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ABSTRACT

The taxonomic status of *Notocaris tapscotti* Broom, a pygocephalomorphic crustacean from the Dwyka 'White Band' of South Africa is discussed, a reconstruction of the external morphology suggested and new collecting localities recorded.
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INTRODUCTION

Since 1923, when Woods gave a brief description of a peracaridean crustacean from Kimberley under the generic name *Pygocephalus*, some confusion has arisen regarding the status of this organism. Additional localities of this crustacean have since been found, this new material allowing the description of the fossil to be supplemented. The purpose of this paper is to record these localities and to attempt to resolve the taxonomic confusion.

The following works refer to Dwyka pygocephalomorphic crustaceans from South Africa:

Rogers & Du Toit (1909: 193) mention the presence of crustacean fossils 'probably *Anthrapalaemon*' in the Upper Dwyka shales, while Haughton (1919) records *Anthrapalaemon* from the Dwyka White Band at Orange River Station.

Woods (1923) recorded a *Pygocephalus* from the Dwyka White Band at Kimberley, but did not provide a specific name.

Broom (1931) re-examined Woods' material and gave the species the name *Notocaris tapscotti* n. gen. et sp.

Du Toit (1954) and Haughton (1969) merely repeat the previous records of *Notocaris* and *Anthrapalaemon*.

Fabre (1967) described *Pygaspis ginsburgi* from material collected at Laingsburg, Cape, also from the Dwyka White Band.
Secretan (1967) in a discussion of lines of evolution in the Archaeostraca, deals with *Pygaspis ginsburgi*, its tagmatization and segmentation.

Brooks (1969) synonymized *Pygaspis* Beurlen (type species *P. brasiliensis*) and *Liocaris* Beurlen with *Paulocaris* Clarke. Brooks incorrectly ascribed the specific name *tapscotti* to Woods. Pinto (1971), however, re-examined the South American species of *Pygaspis*, *Liocaris*, and *Paulocaris*, and concluded that the three genera should be maintained. He also tentatively suggested that *Pygaspis* (Beurlen 1934) was a synonym of *Notocaris* (Broom 1931). Savage (1971) described trails from the Dwyka of Natal and related them to syncaridan and peracaridan crustaceans, although not actually correlating the trails with any Pygocephalomorpha.

McLachlan & Anderson (1973) review and contribute to the evidence for marine conditions during Dwyka times in South Africa, but note that by the time of the deposition of the White Band (Upper Carboniferous or Lower Permian), with its associated crustaceans, palaeoniscid fish, and the small aquatic reptile *Mesosaurus*, conditions were probably non-marine. (This conclusion has by no means been fully proven.)

In their list of Dwyka invertebrate fossils (1973: 54) McLachlan & Anderson conclude that the names *Anthrapalaemon*, *Pygaspis ginsburgi* and *Notocaris tapscotti* probably refer to the same crustacean.

**SYSTEMATICS**

Superclass **CRUSTacea**

Class **MALACOSTRACA**

Subclass **EUMALACOSTRACA**

Superorder **EOCARIDA**

Order **PYGOCEPHALOMORPHA**

Family **Pygaspidae**

*Genus Notocaris* Broom, 1931

*Generic diagnosis*

Carapace lacking mid-dorsal carina, cervical groove, and tubercles, but possessing 1–3 antero-lateral spines, as well as a suprabranchial suture. Abdomen reflexed, somewhat reduced.

*Discussion*

Pinto (1971) notes that it is necessary to compare ‘*Pygaspis*’ and *Notocaris*, as these may well be synonymous. Comparison of *Pygaspis brasiliensis* and *Notocaris tapscotti* shows that several differences exist in the nature of the carapaces. In the former species the carapace is covered with tiny tubercles, especially well developed at the cervical sulcus and dorsal carina. There are no antero-lateral spines, but five or six spaced lateral spines, while a bifurcate cervical sulcus curves posteriorly in the medio-dorsal region, forming a smooth
carina which stretches almost to the posterior margin. In Notocaris there is a well-developed antero-lateral spine (not always preserved or visible in many specimens) as well as one or two lateral spines. The carapace, however, bears no sign of tubercles, a cervical groove, or median carina, but signs of a longitudinal supraboranchial suture can be detected on a few specimens.

Whether these characters are of generic or infrageneric significance amongst the pygocephalomorphic crustaceans requires investigation, as indeed does the whole generic complex within this group. Presence or absence of carapace grooves, carinae, and spines amongst living crustaceans, e.g. the Caridean decapods, is of definite value in generic separation. If this applies in the present case, the South American Pygaspis and the South African Notocaris must be maintained as valid genera.

*Notocaris tapscotti* Broom

(Figs 1–4)

![Figure 1](image)  
Fig. 1. Dorsal/ventral reconstruction of *Notocaris tapscotti*. 
Description

Exoskeleton very thin. Carapace almost circular (when compressed), apparently attached to the body only in the anterior region. No sign of a medio-dorsal carina. Rostrum short, triangular. Antero-lateral corner of carapace bearing one small acute spine; occasionally a second or even a third tiny spine may be seen posterior to the antero-lateral spine. Anterior margin of carapace slightly concave. A faint longitudinal suprabranchial suture, stretching from the region of the anterior margin to the posterior margin may be seen on the carapace of some specimens. Posterior margin of carapace dorsally concave. Abdomen usually under thorax, consisting of five segments plus telson. (A reduced first segment, hidden by the posterior part of the thorax and carapace may be present.) Pleura of abdominal segments apically acute, apices directed posteriorly.

Antennule with 3-segmented peduncle, basal segment equal in length to two distal segments together, peduncle extending beyond antennal scaphocerite. Inner flagellum slightly shorter than outer, latter only slightly longer than peduncle.

Antennal scaphocerite elongate-oval, reaching to end of second segment of antennular peduncle. Single flagellum reaching posteriorly to level of posterior carapace margin.

Eyestalks slender, situated dorsal to the antennae.

Epistome ventral, elongate-oval, separating the mandibles. Position and structure of the mouthparts uncertain. An elongate slender mandibular palp

Fig. 3. *Notocaris tapscotti*. (All figures natural size.) A–D. Laingsburg. E. Ratelklip, Calvinia. F–I. Kimberley.

A. Specimen showing ventral sternites and eyestalks. B–D. The Laingsburg specimens preserved in dark grey shale, appear to have a ‘smear’ of white material surrounding and obscuring the fossil and its impressions. E. Specimen showing eyestalks. F–G. Specimens in lateral view. Note pleonal pleura, pereopodal exopodites, and antennae. H. Specimen showing typically enrolled pleon. I. Carapace in lateral view. Note also antennal scaphocerites.
Legend to Figure 3 on opposite page
Legend to Figure 4 on opposite page.
present, the distal portion protruding beyond the anterior carapace margin, medial to the antennular peduncles.

Seven posterior appendages essentially similar, biramous. Exopod consisting of an elongate basal segment, two short distal segments, plus plume-like flagellum. Endopod longer than exopod, consisting of short dactyl, slender propodus one-third longer than carpus, three or four proximal segments indistinct. In female, seven pairs of overlapping roughly circular oostegites, those of opposite sides not meeting, the brood pouch thus remaining open. Seven series of gills present around the bases of the peraeopods, exact structure indistinct.

Pleopods seldom seen, biramous, consisting of short protopod and two plume-like rami.

Uropods consisting of short basal segment plus two broad rami; outer ramus shorter and narrower than inner.

Telson triangular, bearing three lateral spines plus an articulating median spine extending beyond furcal lobes. Latter flattened, strongly curved. There is still some uncertainty about the exact proportions of the uropodal rami.

MATERIAL AND LOCALITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Carapace length range (including rostrum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kimberley, Cape</td>
<td>28.45S, 24.46E 7-27 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laingsburg, Cape</td>
<td>33.12S, 20.51E 14-19 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loeriesfontein, Cape</td>
<td>30.59S, 19.29E 13-28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratelklip, district Calvinia, Cape</td>
<td>32.02S, 19.48E 13 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange River Station district Hoptown, Cape</td>
<td>29.38S, 24.15E (material not seen)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The presence of two closely-related genera, viz. Notocaris and Pygasptis, each represented by a single very similar species from the same stratum (i.e. the White Band) of the Dwyka of the Great Karoo Basin (McLachlan & Anderson 1973) demands close scrutiny. Fabre (1967), in discussing the affinities of his new species, P. ginsburgi, concludes that it is closely related to the South American P. brasiliensis. Regarding Notocaris, Fabre mentions having examined some material of N. tapscotti in the Albany Museum, Grahamstown, but did not see any of the Kimberley material. He notes similarities in size, appendages, and
general structure but without closer examination of Notocaris material, prefers to place his material in the genus Pygaspis.

Examination of type material of Notocaris tapscotti from Kimberley, the type material of Pygaspis ginsburgi from Laingsburg, as well as additional material from Loeriesfontein, Calvinia and Laingsburg, Cape, makes it obvious that only one species is involved here. Fabre observes that Woods mentions enrolled individuals (individus enroulés) and that this could not clearly be seen in the Laingsburg specimens. In fact, Woods meant the flexure of the abdomen, and from Fabre's figures 2 and 3, it is obvious that his material also showed this flexure, although the ventral view (fig. 3) is somewhat confusing regarding the uropod and telsonic structure. No differences regarding general form, or structure of the appendages, can be detected, which would suggest that not more than one species is involved amongst the material from Kimberley, Laingsburg, and Loeriesfontein. As Broom's name has precedence, all the known pygocephalomorphic crustaceans from the abovementioned localities must therefore bear the name Notocaris tapscotti, while Pygaspis ginsburgi becomes a synonym of this earlier name.
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