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# MAYAN CALENDAR STSTEMS. 

By (rirus Thomas

## PREFATORY NOTES

The recent explorations in Central America and sonthern Mexieo by Mandslay, Hohnes, the Peabody Museum, and others hawe brought to light so moch mew material that a modifiation in some respects of conclusions based on the data previonsly obtained is required. It is expedient, therefore to lning conchusions and deductions into harmony with the new data. At present, however, attention will be limited to an cxamination and discussion of the inseriptions and the Dreden corlex in the light of this additional material and of the recent diseoveries in regad thereto.

That progrese toward the ultimate and correct interpretation of these inseriptions and of the codiers and symholic figures will he wow is well understood, and that more or less modification of previons virws will follow as the result of new discoreries is to he experted. This fact is well illustrated in the Old World in the efforts of archaologists and linguiste to reach a positive and satisfactory conchasion in regard to the so-ralled Hittite remains.

The most important material for the object of this paper, relating to the inseriptions, is found in the data obtained hy Mr Mandslay during his explorations of the ruins of Copan. Quirigua, Tikal, and Palenque. Although the ruins of the last-named place bave been described and figured again and again, it was not until Mr Mandslay's clear and large photographs of the inseriptions were published that the data relating thereto - ave that on the stat, in U. S. National Musemmwere in a condition to be satistactorily stadied by those internsted in the subject. New light has also been thrown on the inseriptions by certain discoveries made by Mr.I. T. Goodman and Dr E. Förstemam in regard to the signitication of some of the glyphs.

The positive results so far obtained by attempts to explain the inscriptions and codices, including those obtained by Mr (ioodman and Dr Förstemam, relate almost wholly to the time and mumeral symbols. In his claborate and important memoir, Mr (ioodman
amomonces certain diseoveries in regand to the signification and nse of chatarters in the inseriptions, whieh, if veritied, will matmially modify previous opinions in regat thereto and will bat on future attempts at interpmetation of the inseriptions; he alse amounces other diseoveries tending to shew that the opinions hitherto hed in resard to the Mays
 ments form part of Mr Mand lay's groat work. Biologia C'entraliAmerieana, a review of the antire sutyect would serm timely.

The present paper will be limited to an examination of the time and numeral symbols, time counts and time systems of the Mayan tribes, as indicated by the codices and inscriptions, and will a woid, so far as is possible, redisellssion of points considered as satisfactorily settled previons to the appearane of Mr Goodman's memoir antitled The
 persoma examination of the lresden eodex and the inseriptions, the former in $D_{1}$ Förstemamis photographic reprodurtion and the hatter chictiy in the magnifient photographe (antotype) reproductions by A. P. Mandshy in the archaeologic portion of his Biologra CentaliAmericama; but the artaal axmimations have pxtended to all the more important Mayam inseriptions in the C. S. Sational Museum, the leat body Musema in Cambridge, the collection of the Ameritem Antiquarian Soricty in Worerster, the Ameriatm Naseum of Natural Hintory in New York, and the Musemon of Areheology eomnered with the ['niversity of Pemsylvania in Philadelphias. ${ }^{1}$ The discussion will be conductod in the light of the recent diseoverios, some of which will, as we procoed, appear to be valid and of great importance in the study of C'entral Ammpan paleography. As one ohjoct in view will he to test Mr (roohmans interpmetations, his work will he used in analyang the symbols of the inseriptions and the time systenns of the Mayan tribes as a basis of comparison in regard to the several poonts of which it treats. I shall therefore have rery freguent oreasions to refor to it, not in the spirit of ariticism, hat simply in behatf of a-iontiti acruracy, as well as of other workers. diftering from him where 1 betieve he is wrong and agreeing with him where 1 behieve lae is riglat. The mode of examination will be, so far as posible by insperetion of the ghyhs and mathematieal demonstration by means of the mumeral symbols.
ln addition to the objorets montioned as in view in preparing this paper, it is expected that the emmparisons and examinations to be mate will shew to some degree how far the elyphe found at ('opans. Tikal, and l'alenque, used as time and mumeral symbols, agree ats to form and siguifeation, and how far they aree in these resperts with the chatarters of the Dresten codex: and will ako show whether or
${ }^{1}$ (irntoln\} ateknowledgments are mathe to the oftiours of these institulions for

not the same time or calendar system was lused in all, and in what respeet the system presented by Mr Goodman ditlers from that generally understoorl and set forth by other writers-for if he is right in apprehending that previous investigators have been at fant in regard to the Mayan time system, it is important, in view of future investigations, that this be clearly shown and the error be pointed ont. A comparison of the time systems of the Maya, Nahuatl. and Zapotec tribes has been made to some extent from the historic standpoint. This compurison indiates that the time systems used by these tribes were substantially the same.

As attention will be given almost exchusively to the examination of the time series and time systems of the codices and inscriptions. it is necessary, in order that the reader may follow closely and apply the tests himself. that the apparatus to be used be placed before him. This will involve some repution of what has heen given in my previous papers: but in order to use Mr (roodnan's diseoveries in comparisons it is neressury to athopt some seheme of applying them which can be introduced here, as his tables cover more than doo lare quarto pages. This. I hare found, wh be done. after a little sturly and pratetice, by means of two or three short tables. each oempying lese than a page. They are thereform inserted with such waplanations as are necessary to show how they are to he used. One of these tables which will be used in making comparisons is that numbered 3 . on page 21 of my Maya Tear, and entitled there $\cdots$ Days and Months of the four series of lears." It is inserted beve as table 1.


Each month consisted of 20 days, each day having its particular name, as follows: Akhal, Kan, Chicehan, Cimi, Manik, Lamat, Mnlue, Or. Chuen, Eb, Ben, Ix, Men, Cib, Caban, Ezanaly, Caum, Ahau, lmix, Ik. The order or sequente here wiben was always maintamed. thongh the month did not always legin with the same day, sune atoording to the peconliar arrangement of the calendar. as used in the Dresden codex and the instriptions.' it might begin with (and onty with) Akbal, Lamat, Ben, and Ezamals, as is shown in table 1. If it begam with Akbal the second day would be Kan, the others following in the order given; if with Lamat, then Nuluc wonk be the recond, and so on: if with Ben. Ix would be the second, Men the third, and so on to Eh, the hast; if with Ezanats, Canate, Ahath, etc., would follow, always in the order given. The first day of the year would therefore necessarily lo the first day of the months during that year. As the year was divided into eighteen montho of twenty days each (always named and arranged in the following order:

| 1 Por | 7 Yaxkin | 13 Mac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\geq$ Un | 8 Mol | 14 Kankin |
| 3 Zi | 9 Chen | 15 Muan |
| 4 Tzoz (or Zotz) | 10 Iax | 16. I'ax |
| 5 Tzer | 11 7ac | 17 Kayah |
| 6 X ı] | 12 Ceh | 1s (mmht) |

making 360 days, and tive days tor make the 365 were added at th end of the 1 sth month (Cimlnu), the names following in proper ordes it follows as a necessary result that the count in the day series would be thrown forward tive days eath year. If the year (or month) hegan with Akbal, the last day of the 1sth month wond be lk: counting tive days--Akbal, Kan, Chicchan, Cimi, and Manik-woukl Joring ns to Lamat, the first day of the next year.

The numbering of the days wats pecaliar; it did not comerpond with the days of the month as we count them, but was limited to 1\%, followed hyr 1, 2. ete. up to 13 , this order proceeding without variation, thus:

| 1 Akbal | 6 Lamat | 11 Ben | 3 Ezanab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Kan | 7 Mulue | 12 Ix | 4 Catale |
| 3 Chicehan | \& Oc | 13 Men | 5 Ahan |
| 4 Cimi | 9 Chmen | 1 (ib) | 6 linix |
| 5 Manik | 10 Eb | 2 Caban | 7 Ik |

If the list continued is Akhal, 9 Kan, 10 Chicchan, etc., mould follow. Hence, it is readily seen that by continung the series each day name wonld in the conse of time have all the thirteen numerals

[^0]attached to it．The round is completed in 18 month－．a－will be sen lọ tallde ： 2.


| Monntlis | $\stackrel{\vdots}{2}$ | $\pm$ | $\hat{\approx}$ | ※゙ミ |  | $\bar{z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\#}$ | E | $\stackrel{\cong}{\approx}$ | シ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{3} \\ & \text { 2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\ddot{z}$ | $\underset{y}{\underset{y y}{z}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㄹ } \\ & \frac{\Xi}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Xi} \\ & \text { ジ } \\ & \underline{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Xi \\ & E \\ & E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & = \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iny | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | ， | i | 7 | － | $\because$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 1.3 | 11 | 17 | 14 |  |
| Akhat | 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 12 | i | 13 | 7 | 1 | ， | $\because$ | 9 | 3 | 111 |
| Kılı | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 |
| （ ${ }^{\text {ciurohan }}$ | ： | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 |
| （ indi． | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 1 | ， | 2 | $!$ | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 12 | © | $1:$ |
| Munik | i | 12 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 1 | ， | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 1：－ | is | 13 | 7 | 1 |
| Lature | $1{ }^{1}$ | 13 | T | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | ， | 12 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Stlue | 7 | 1 | ＊ | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | （i） | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | 17 |  |
| （16． | 4 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 122 | ＋ | 13 | 7 | 1 | ， | 2 | 9 | \％ | 10 |  |
| －hueds | 9 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 1 | ＊ | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 |  |
| Eb． | 1） | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | i | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | ， | 12 |  |
| Jich | 11 | 5 | 12－ | ¢ | 1：3 | 7 | 1 | $\AA$ | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | ． | 12 | 1 | 13 |  |
| 1x | 1： | 1 | 13 | 7 | 1 | ＊ | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 1 |  |
| 31 | 1ii | 7 | 1 | ＊ | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 13 | i | 1 | ， | 2 |  |
| ribe | 1 | $\cdots$ | 2 | $!$ | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | i | 12 | （i） | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| rabatl． | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 1. | 6 | 1.3 | 7 | 1 | ， | 2 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 |  |
| F\％anmbls | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | ， | 12 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 |  |
| r ituar | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 1. | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | $1)$ | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | $\cdots$ | 12 | b |  |
| Ahatis | ． 7 | $1:$ | 6 | $1: 3$ | 7 | 1 | s | － | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | A | 13 | 7 |  |
| 1 mix | 1. | 1：3 | 7 | 1 |  | 2 | 9 | ． | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 13 | $\bar{\square}$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 1 k | 7 | 1 | 4 | $\underline{1}$ | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 11 | ， | 12 | fi | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\because$ | 3 |  |

In giving a date，therefore instead of giving the day mane alone， the day and mmber lwoth are necesary，thas： 4 Aham，：Kian． 11 lk ． ete．But to complete the date so that it can be lexated in the bedene $^{\text {per }}$


 day of the（twolfth）month（eols．The mmbering of the monthe never
 soroml．and ar （on．

As may low sen from what has been stated．the gams mast begin （under the system here followed）with the days dibal．Lanat，Ban， and leanath．following eath other in rexular order．and bofore the
 mumerals：heme it is apparent that the periox neremary to cover the ere

 As the mext day is 2 damat．this will he the thot day of the mext year



This will end with + Tk and the next will hegin with 5 Akhal, and so on until the mumber 1 : is teathed. when the count hegins agan with 1. The order in which the rears follow one another throngh a complete crele of years, or calendar roumd, is shown in the annexed table (3).

Table 3

| Ahbal | Lamat | Ben | Ezanab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\because$ | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 1 | $\because$ | 8 |
| 4 | - | ${ }^{1}$ | 7 |
| $s$ | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 12 | 13 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | t |
| 7 | s | 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 1. |
| $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 7 | s | 9 |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |

This is to be followed in the order of the mumbers, $1,2,3,4,5$, etc. As all the possible changes are completed in a cyele of year, or calendar round (we use the term "cycle of years" to distinguish it from the period to which Goodman has unfortunately applied the name "eycle," which is not the same as the se-year period, which he calls "(atendar romo "), it always begins or is supposed to begin with 1 Akbal, 1 Lamat, 1 Ben, or 1 Ezanal, according to the order or system adopted, and ends with the year 13 . According to the system:adopted here it always begins with 1 Akhat.

It is stated abose that these tables apply to the "system adopted here." For the benefit of those not thoronghly faniliar with this subjeet an explanation is necessary. As the Maya calendar is an orderly rotation of days, monthe, and years subject to the rules above stated, resulting fiom the numbering by 13, the go days to the month, is monthe to the year, and the 5 added days, any $t$ days of the 20 days. selected at intervak of 5 in the series, ronld be adopted as dominical days. For example, it appeas from the Tromo codex that the people where it was made (supposed to have been those of the peninsula of Tusatan) selected Kan. Nuluc, lx, and Caute as the dominieal days, white the Tzental, with whose system the Dresden codex corresponds, selected (if the count of the days of the month began with 1) Akbal,

Lamatt. Bom, and Fizanah, Na (Bonhman, howeror, contends that the dominiad daysused in the inseriptions were lk. Danik. lith. amd Cobam, hut instetd of commeneing the mumherimg of the days of the month
 following it with 1.2 .8 . ett... to $1!4$. In othor words. instatd of calling the tirst day of the month $h$. he ralls it 20 (these. it must be remembered, are not the day numbers. whieh never wered 18 , but the nembers of the days of the month). This system is in finet. as will he sern hy referemere to table 4 (page itis), the same-with one dif-
 fand bazath as the dominieal days: for, as will be worn by this table. Akhal. in Ik rears. though by position the second day ot the month. is mumbered the first preedsely as it is in Akbal yems in our table 1.

Another point meressary to settle absulutely the sistom in to know which of the domine al days wat placed tirst in commeneing the fiftr-two year perion-in other words. what was the initial day. ln
 1. Whith has also bren aswmed her He (foodman, and secombl that this first year was an Akhal year: hut Mr (iondman hok that areording to his system it was an Ik year. which, as has been explaned. amords with our Akbal year. Ihe expresses also ath opinion that C'aban was poosibly the initial day.

Although this question does not affect the lower time periods. it is apparent that it does athect the numbering of the years of the fifty-two year periox. This subject will, however. be referred to atain.

Turning now to our table 1 . We will try to make as char as possible the mother of using jt so as to aroid the introdution of amotiphoity of tabkes. The year 1 Akhal written out in full woukd be as shown in table 2. It will be sem that the tive tigno colmume aftor the thirteenth-to wit. the fourterenth, tifteenth, wixtecnth, seronteenth, and cightwonth, mumbering from left to right-are frecisoly the same as the first. seeond. third. fometh. and fifth. and that the fire added or interalary days are the same as the first five of the sixth cohnma. Asthe serios continued endlessly in this order. I have eliminated in my tathe 1 the last tiverohmme and tive adder days. using the dirst, second. third, fourth. and tifth, and the tirst five day of the sixth intead.

In combting forwat (hy which is meant to the right), it the momber of monthe to be counted is mot completed on reaching the last or righthand rolumm, wo go batk to the tirst. It. as is freytuently the
 then le toward the left, and after reathing the tirst of left-hand coblum we go to the right-hand colmme. In other words. it is a continums round in whicherer direction we are moving. to the right heing forward in time and to the left backward.

Suppose we wish to know in what year the date $f$ Ahan 3 Zotzthat is. 6 A hau. the 3d day of the fourth month (Zotz)-falls. Looking to the year columns (table 1). We see that than can be the 3d day of the month only in Ezanal, years. Looking along the line opposite running through the figure (or month) eolumns. we find 6 in the seventh column. As this is in the fourth month, to find the first we must comut bark (to the left) three columns, which brings us to the column beaded by (that is, the colum whose top figme in 9) ; hence our year is 9 Ezanalh. Now let ns trace this year through hy the table and find the first day of the next year. Beginning with the column headed 9, we count to the right nine columns, which brings us to the last: then we go back to the first (left-band) and come eight. This reckoning bring- ns to the column headed 11. Comnting 5 days down the next column (headed 5). we find that the next-the bith day of the month-is 10 Akhal, which as will be seen by our talle of years (table 3), is correct. To follow ont this rear, we must hegin with the month colmm headed 10 , as this is the first month (Pop) of the year 10. Akbal.

As any one daty can fall on only four different days of the month, as Ahan on the 18th in Akhal years, on the 13th in Lamat years, on the sth in Ben years, and on the sd in Ezanal, years a mere inspection of the table will at once detect a date erroneous in this respect. For example, there can be no day Manik on the 3d, 9th. or 1fith of the month. et".

Suppose we wish to find on what date the bouth day counting forward from 7 Cill 4 Mae will fall. Looking at the tahle (1), we see that Cil, can be the th day of the month only in Ben years. Rumning along the line opposite (horizontal line) through the figure columns, we find 7 in the column headed 4. A. Mac is the thirteenth month of the yeur, we most count back thirteen monthe or columns to reath the first month of the year. Counting lack the seven columns to the first (left), we then go to the last (right) and count six columns. This bringe ns to that headed 11: hence the year is 11 Ben, and the next year must be 12 Ezanah. A: 7 Cib 4 Mac is the th day of the thirternth month, there will remain of thi month 16 days. 5 whole month: (100 days), and the added 5 days to complete the year. or, in other words. 121 days. Subtratting this from 800 , there remain $47!$ days to be comnted, and deducting from this 365 days on one year, 114 days remain to be counted on the next year. which must he 18 Akhal. As 114 days equal 5 months and 14 days, we begin with the figure column of our table headed 13 , and comt forward 5 months (including this one), and counting down the next month (column headed 9) it days. we reach the figure 9 , and opposite it in the Akbal colum find the day Cib. The date reached is therefore ! Cib, 14th day of the (sixth) month.
 ser that 11 ban is the third gear in the Ben columm, or the elerenth year of the eyele of years, and that 12 Ezamab and 10 Nkhal follow. Wo are thas combled to eorvectly locate these dates in the eyele of years. These tatements and eximples, with the illustrations which follow, will anable the reader to use the tables and for follow the present investigations.

The order in which the characters in the codices and inseriptions are to be read has heen fully explaned in my previons publications. and so generally areepted that it is umeressary to explain it here, expecially as it is indicated in the quotation from Mandslay's work given immediately below. This anther, speaking of the order in wheh the inseriptions are to be read, say (Bioloria Centsali-Ameribana,

With regarl to the order in which the hiemorlyphice should he read, Professor Cyrns: Thomas has shown, from an examination of the Palenque tablets, that when a single colmm only of glyphe is met with, it shonld be reald from the top lo bitom, and that when there is an even number of colums, the slyphs are to be read in double colume from top to bottom, and from left to risht. I myself came to the same conclusion from an entirely inderendent examination of inscriptions from Quirigua and Copan, and this order is adopted in mombering the glyphe on the following plates.

As I have atso shown that this is usually, though not always the order in which the glyphe of the codicess, when in colmms, are to be read, a conchosion which is now ancepted hy all investigator: of Maya symbolic writing, we have in this fact one point of agreement between the codices and inseriptions at Palenque. Copan, Tikal, and Quirigua. The use of dots and short straight lines to indicate momerals up to 19 ( oach dot combing 1 and each short line a), as in the codices, is also miversal in the inseriptions, as is admitted by Mr Madslay. He has also confinmed my sugestion (Study of the Xamuseript Troano. pp. $202-203)$ that the little loope ronnerted, in eretain cases, with these momber symbols have nosignitication. He saly (op. cit. . p. 3: ): "There is no reation to suppose that any diflerent syatem of notation is employed on the seuptmed monuments; it wat not, howerer, manal to leare blank spaces when earing the mumerals $1,2,6,7,11,12,16,17$ in stone.
 0 OO, $\overline{0}$, etc."

As the ordinaly mumeral somboks. the dots and line (whith are never uned to signily a higher single mumber than 1!), have been so freducnty "xplained amb are inedentally rofereod to in what proodes.
 1 shall have frepuent oxasion to nse them, but will not diseuss at this point the gemeral theory presented hy the latter, nor his other
supposed discoveries. He follows. as stated athove, the order in beathing the insorptions first explaned by me, and accepts the interprotation of the ordinary time symbols which has been universally adopted. with the single exception of that found in the Dresden rodes, which han generally brem explaned as the symbol for " "ataght," or nothing. This will he agath refermed to hereafter.

Previous to the appearance of Mr Goodmans work, the following diseoverics in regratd to the nmmeral and time systoms as given in the codions. in addition to what has been already presented horein. had been mate and explained: That this symbol was used, in count
 what variathle in form, and usablly colored red. Was used to indicate "naught" or nothing: and that a vertain prefix to month symbols,
 signifying. when thas used, the 20th day of the month. It was further incertained, as may heen by reference to papers by dr Fönstemam and myself explamatory of time series in the Dresden endex, that the orders of mits in counting bong periods, the day being the primary or lowest unit, was as follow: 20, 18. 20. 20. 20) that is to say. 20 units of the first arder make one of the second ordor, 18 wnits of the second order make one of the third order. 20 mits of the thind order make one of the fourth order. 20 mits of the fometh order make one of the fifth order, and 20 units of the fifth order make one of the sixth order. These different units. sare those of the first order. Were not expressed by specitic symbols, hat by position, that is, by being plared one abore another. as is herr shown the lowest indicating the first, the next ahove the serond order, and so on.


For the purpose of explanation and comparison I hate placed tos the left of the symbol their aquivalents in Arabic numerals. and in the column to the right the equivalente acombing to Mr Coodmans nommelature, which will be explained a little further on
'This example is not an arhitrary one, but is taken from plate xxiv of the Dresden Codex, and haw been seleeted hecanse it was explained by Dr Forstemann, so far as the numbers and count are concorned, in 1587 (Zur Entziflerung der Mayahandechriften, t, 18si). According

to De Förstomam the mamber of days indicated by these mumeral symbols ats thue placed is 1.364 .3 the the bength of the periods being is follows:

|  | Hays |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 rerle | 1.4.0100) |
| 1 katun. | 7. 310) |
| 1 ahaul | $3 \times 11$ |
| 1 rhuen | 21 |

Now let us te:st it by Mr (roodman: syom, using his own tables (last pate of his paper. for this purpose:

| 91 croles | मav. $1,296,1600$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9) katuns | (i4. sin) |
| 9 ahatus. | :3, 240 |
| 16 rhmens | $3 \geq 0$ |
| Day: | $1)$ |

## 1,.364. $3+30$

It in evident from this result that this, so far as the system is con(ermed. is. up) to the lifth order of units, precisely that diseorered and applied by Dr Forstemann. except at to the "namgh" symbol. Exen the bery order and method of expressing a series whith Nr (roodman
 further on, used by Dr Förstemann. In order that I may not do injustice to $\mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{r}}$ Förstemam when 1 speak of the diseoverise by Mr. Goodman. it is propar to add that not only had he diseovered and applied to the time series of the Dresden codex the ordere of units arfepted amb used hy Mr (ioodham, hut had determined as early as 1s:91 the value of the symbols designated "ahan" and "katum," as appeas from his articlo Zur Maya- (hamologio in the Zoitarhrift für Ethmologie for that var. Mr Goodmans paper was not putlinhed until Inat. thomgh it is apparent from his prefater that it was commpheted in 1s:t5. If $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Förstemam had mot seen Mr Gootmans paper when his artiole entithed Die Kreuzinshaft vom laboutur. Was published in the Glohme in 1s:9-which makes mo mention of the formers thongh referging to works on the subjert-it is erident he had diseovered independently the valur of the symbels whieh (iood man designates chuen and evele. 'To the sato-day period he applied the mame "odd year" meder the suppesition that in an earlipe stage of their rutture the Mayas combed only Ber days to the yatr: and to the 7.2 er-day preriod the name "old abam." However" it ajpe"ar from his Eatzithermge der Jayahandshrift, mumber は, Ls:t, that as early an dume of this yatr he had eatembated eormedy the ratue of some six or right mumeral series on the stelar and altar of ("op:an from Mandelay"s work. 'This implies neeresanily a knowledger of the ralne of the so-abled time perionds, and indicater that he had made
this discorery independently, unles. he had received some information on the subject fiom Mandslay of which I have no knowhedge. It is apparent from a statement by the latter anthoi in part 2 of his work. puhbished in 1 s 90 , that the valuss of these symbols, saw that of the ehnen, were yet manown to him. However, as Dr Förstemann sems to have fallen short of the discorery of their use and the application of them, the chief eredit of the discovery must be awarded to Mr Goodman.

This discovery, which must cancel a number of previous speculations and affect to a large extent all attempts at interpretation of the inseriptions and codices. consists, first. in finding ont the fact that in the inscriptions the orders of muts above the first, to wit, his so-called chuens, ahans, katuns, and cyeles, were not indicated by pasition as in the codices, hat eatch had its distinet charater or glyph: second, in determining these characters and their values; and, third. in showing from the inseriptions the order in which they are generally arranged and the manner in which the truth of this discovery may be demonstrated. He has abo discovered that a certain character, which he terms a "calendar round smbo'." was used to indicate the period of 52 years, which has heretofore usually been designated a " ryele " or "cycle of years," and also that certain face chatacters ar" used as numeral symbols. As we shall have occasion to use these in our investigation of the inscriptions. the usat forms of the principal ones (using Mr Goodnan's names) will be shown here and his other clanmed discoveries will be considered hereafter.

## The (fhten

This character usually has a numeral symbol on top and at the left side, the former indicating the number of chnens and the latter the added or overplus diys.


The numeral indieating the mumber of ahans is msually phated at the keft.


Tue Kisto
The nmmeral indicating the momber of katans is matally placed at the loft side, thomgh oreasionally at the top.


The mumeral in this case in also ustally at the left side.

a


Firi. II-The eyelentimber.
The Camanar Romex
The mumeral is usually at the left side.


Fig. 12-Theralenchar romat cymbol.

The forms of the day symbols usually found in the inseriptions are as shown in figure 13 .

The month symbols usual in the inseriptions, including what Mr Goodman clams is the symbol for the five added days or Uayeb, are shown in figure 14.

The typieal and usual form of the chuen is shomu in the first two glyph of tigure $s(a, \quad$ ). If the number at the top were 3 (three

dots or balls), it would signify three ehnens or 60 days ( $3 \times 20$ ); the number at the side if 12 would denote 12 days. It would then read 12 days. 3 chuens, or 3 chuens, 12 days, which together would equal Tedays. This is the only counter or time period symbol which has two numbers attached. It may as well be stated here, to prevent confusion or misunderstanding in regard to our use of terms. that for convenience in our comparisons Mr Goodman's names of these several symbols and the time periods he supposes them to represent will be used, although

I am tirmly comvined，for reasons whish will be shown heradter， that they aro nothing more that orders of mite or moltipliers．
 given，this mast he horne in mind．

The typieal and masul form ol the aham is shown in the thes three
 mast be multiplied hy the momeral－manally at the side to ohtam the foll momber of dars indicated．The mame athan as here mod mast mot be combembed with the day－name dhan．${ }^{1}$＇The use of the same name for two different pmoses is unfortmate and confusing．

The u－ual form of the katum is shown in the first two glyphe of fig－


 datys，the momber of days indieated is T． 200 motiplied by the attached numeral．

 attabed mumeral to ohtain the total momber of datys．

The great cyele will be reforeed to hereatore and the other forms of the charn，ahat，katum，and evelo will be fliseossed as the serien by which their valmes are detomined are examined．

[^1]
## TIDE SERIEN IN TIIE CODICEN IND INSC'RIP'TON゙

## 'Mar l)hewnex (Conex

A- the breaden codex is now so semerally known, it will be made the print of departure and the first examples showing the method of comating time will le taken from it. In this examination further "omspatison will be made between the system used hy Mr Grodman in rome ing time series and that first made known ly bor Farstemam and med hy hima and myself in the papers relating to this subjeet which have bern published. As l have somewhat fully illustrated and explatimed in my dids to the Study of the Mayn Codieres (in Sixth Ann. Rep. Burs. Ethmology), a comsiderahbs number of the time series of the Dresden codex, in which the figmos do not rise aboys tha fometh order of mits, the examples refereal to here will he those involving high nmmbers, in order to strongthen the prof of l)r Förstemamms thenry and to establish cleary the respertive rabues of the mits in the higher orders. These will also nocessarily imdieate the calendar system in foglte, to which it is desirahle to call special attention.

The mames of the several orders of anits is a mater which failed to receris attention until the subject was taken up by Ilr (xoxdman those that he has applied are moforthate and ean besult only in confusion so lonys as they remain in vogue. Dr Brinton renarks that "No doubt pach of these periods of time had its appropriate mame in the terhmical language of the Xarat atronomers, and also its corresponting daraster in their writing. None of them hats hem reromed by the Spanish writers, hat from the amalogy of tha Nahuatl seript and language, and from ceramin diations in the Maty writmes. we maty sumise that some of these terehisal terms wre from one of the madistk meaning 'to tie or fasten together, and that the eorresponding signs would either direetly (that is pictorially) or ikonomatically (that is. loy similarity of somm) "xpmets this idea" (Primer, pp. 30, :31). He suggests buk for the B6o-dily periont, and pie for the T.en-day period, and hat for the 20 -day period. The name chmen, which Mr* Goochman has applied to the month expusalent, the 20 -day prriod, was adopted by him heentase of the resembitue of the erlyph to the symbol of the day Chmen. This dupheates the name in the time sorios. The simb objection applins to the names ahat, katm, and rycle: each of these is now applied in three different sponses in the calendar system. ahath being used as a day name, as a name of the et or 20 year period, and now for the mit of the third order, or 360 -day period; katun for the $2 t$ or 20 year period, with ahan protixed for the 812 -gar period, and for the unit of the
 also sometimes for the shorday period, and now for the unit of the
 stated. apples the natme "old year" to the Bat-daty period. apparently mader the ideathat it at some previon time constinted the full year: "obl aham" to tha $\overline{6}$. 200 - (hay period (a fourth applieation of this

 chnens, Jh days, Mr (roodman uses this abheriation: ! - $12-14 \times 16$. the $x$ indiating that the two mambers betwern which it stands are nemally attanded to one symbol. Dr Förstemam, as an ahbreviation to exprese the same orders of mits. uses the same mothoul. omitting only the $x$. Thus: 10. 19. 6. 0. \& (Zar Entziffermeg der Mayahamdsehriften, 1心it. pr. (i).

It will promps he as well to insert here what 1 have to say in refer"ence to Mr (ioodman": axpressions in regard to, and use of the term ahat as appled to a time period. The mames applied to time period-
 matess surh application involves other questions. We quote first the following passage from his work ( $\rho, 21$ ):

1 now (rome to what has beren a stumbling-hosk to every one who has hitherte attempent to deal with the Maya reords. It har ben known that the Mayas reckomed time low ahns, katuns, cyeless, and great ceres, but what was the precise lenuth of any of these jerions has been adelmatable question. Some have contended, with the best of prof apparently, that the katm is a period of twenty yars, white whers have mantained, with pronf equally asomb that it is a period of twenty-four years. Tlactruth js, it is meither.

The contention arow from a misaprelnesion, or total igmorame rather, of the Xaya dromolugical sheme. It was taken for grantent that a year of : itis days must neressarily enter into the reckoning; whereas the moment the Mayas departerl from sperife dates and embarked non an extembed time reckoning, they left their ammal calembar behind and made use of a separate chronological ons.

The use of the lemm alan-katun is awoded everywhere in these pages. sucha period never existed, exent as a delusion of Don lio lerez amd his misuided fol-
 tingushing the katuns. The ahan was numbered acoroling to its fwsition in the kathe, as the eqghth, tenth, or the sixth from the duse; but the katun was lexignated be the partioular mumber of the day hatu with which it ended. Thus, for
 ine a mere reversal of the phrase, as the 10 than katm. Nore frequently, hewerer.
 simple mention of the ahatu date. But there wat no ahau-katum.

On page ers. in spakinge of the ahatu. he athk:
This perion is the mat hatis of the Maya chomological syotem. liwerything
 are fenmed tron them.

The ahat is a perind of ato days-the sum of the days in the dightem regular month- and derives its name moblotedly from the fart that it always legins with the day Ahan. It is the periok, not hetwent two thane with the same momeral, hut
 ing formard with this progresiom of four it resulte that the alans follow a ath other
in the order of ! $9,51,10,6,2,11,7,3,12,3,4,13,9,5,1$, and so on-an order of sucression that I'erez quotes from an manamed mannseript, hat whose significanose he failed to gras].

Twenty ahans constitute at katun. They are numerated: 20, 1, 2. 3, ete, nu to 19.
Finally, in speaking of the katum (p. Dt), he says:
lt is user this perion that the hattle royal has been fonght. The question of twatyor twenty-four years has laged undeterminedly for more than half a century A. the facts themselvey will show the folly of the whole contention, 1 pass it hy without awarling to ant imdividual combant the discrenlit of his partisanship.

Twenty years of 365 days make 7,300 days. The katun does not reach hat far, falling a hundred days short, as a multiplication of its constitnent parts will show: $360 \times 20=7,200$.

In conserquence of the day Ahau heginning the ahaus, it must also legin the katuns; aml the ahaus succeeding each other ly differences of four, as $9,5,1,10,6,2,11,7,3$, $12,8,4,13,9, \overline{5}, 1,10,6,2,11,7$, etc, it results that the order of the katuns, compmetal as they are of twenty ahaus, must lue one in which each sucreeding katun bogins with a day number two less than its forerunner-thus: $11,9,7,5,3,1,12,10,8,6,4,2,1 \%, 11$. ete.

The katums are numerated in the same manner as the ahathe: $20,1,2,8$, etce, up to 19.

Let us examine these expressions so far as they relate to the ahatu and bear upon the Maya system as developed in the record.

He says the ahan is a period of 360 days, "and derives its name undoubtedly from the fact that it always begins with the day Aham." This is undoubtedly the use he makes of it; but was it used by the Mayas in this sense! That he has derived this name as applied to the period of 360 days from the inscriptions appears nowhere in his work. He nowhere asserts or pretends to clam that the symbol denoting this period is in any sense phonetic, giving this name. The only early native anthorities to which we can appeal are the Chonicles. To these, therefore. we refer, following $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ Brinton's transhation.

In the Chronitle from the Book of Chilan Batam of Mani, the ahaus are numbered over and over again as containing each twenty years. In the thirteenth paragraph ( $p .103$ ) it is said ${ }^{\circ}$ in the thirteenth ahatu Ahpula died; for six years the come of the thirteenth ahau will not be ended." It is evident from this. be the count confused and even erroneons, that the author considered the ahan as composed of more than six years. The Chonicle of Chmmyel also speaks of the sixth year of the thirteenth ahan, the seventh vear of the eighth ahan katun (uaxae ahan u katunil), and the first year of the first abau katun (ahau w katunile). Another Chronicle of Chmmayel expressly makes ahan the equivalent of katm- - the fom th anan was the name of the katmo"-and nses ahau, katun, and ahau katun as symonyms (ahau u katunil).

It is evident from these extracts. be the originals trustworthy or not, that Mr Goodman conk not have found therein evidence for his application of the term ahau. Nor can it be obtained from Landa,
 of the mative domg homage to the various ahans for ten forare sath.
 tion for a time system.

The dirst wample to which attention is called is taken from plate ot of the Dresden coklex. and includes that portion of a longermes rumning un the phate which is shown in our figure 1 b.

If the ordere in which the series aremde bre that in which it is to la followed, it is evident this must he from right to loft, taking the lower



(i Ahau 11 Ahnu 3 Ahatl s Ahmi Fwo. 15-1'at of phate 24, Drewden conlex. maty be the revorm of this. at it is possible that it loms batek in times. amd is to lo read from left to right the ditfereners between the rolumms heing subtracted instead of added: the rexult is, howeror, the stmes A- there are no month symbols bye means of which to determine the yarmand and onls ohjert in reforring to the arries is to show the value of the symbols areording to the relatise prestions they orupy in relation to one another. the order in which they are to be read. and the value of the comaters, it is not material in which direction the series be taken. We will therefore follow the aseenting order-i. a.. from right to loft, beginning with 1 ) (right-hatud (o)lumn in lower division). L"mg
 red in the original bering commed as mathetht thus:

|  | ( $\because$ | 12 | Hitr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kiatuns. |  | 3 |  |
| Jhatus |  | 18 | $\checkmark$ |
| ( 'hatris |  | $1)$ | 2 |
| 1nıys. |  | 0 | $1)$ |


 month. as the conat will hold eroorel.

 the month to he lop. the time month of the rear. the fore will be 6

together 3.420 days- we subtrat therefom $3 t 6$. the remaning days of the year 6 Ezanath, thas:

 to be seren, with an overplus of thre days. Looking now to our table of yans ( 3 ) and comnting forward seven years from ti Ezanath. we reach 1 只 Ben. As the next year is 1 Ezanab, we look in tahle I to the column headed 1 and eount down this to the third day. This brings us to 3 , and we find than opposite in the Ezanab columm. The dey reathed is therefores thath. which is the day at the hotem of column ( 2 in our tigure S . showing the comat to be correet.

This rample, howevor, involves another question raisol hy Mr Goodman. It will he notieed that in colnmm 1 ) 2 of our tigure the day place and the chuen plate is eath tilled ly an oval figure (red in the original) instead of the ordinary maneral symbols, and that in colamn ( 2 the day place is filled ly a amilar oval figure. In my a a rulation given above I have counted these as equivalent to ciphers (o), or nothing. Mr (foodman observes (page 6t) that a mumber of persons have declared this to be a sign for maght, adding: "They were led into this mistake, undoubtedly by its peculiar ase and position. It is employed in the codices solely to designate initial periods, and in that position it is the equivalent of 20 in all cases exept that of the chuen. where, like the other 20-4gos it denotes but 18.0 As the example now under consideration aflords an opportunity of testing this interpretation. we will do so.

It is apparent from what has heen shown that the corment result is ohtained by counting these symbols as natugh. If the same result be ohtained by counting then as sigus of full count - that is, 20 - or as 1s where filling the ehmen plate the test fails to disclose the correct lles of them.

Counting the total days in each rolumn and subtracting the sum of Defeom that of (6, the result is as follows:


Assuming, as bofore, s Ahan, at the bottom of eolumn Des, to be the Bd day of the month Pop in the year if Ezanab, we suhtract from 2.560

 ing fron the year (i) Eanath (table 品) bians, we reath the year 12 Lamat. The next yoar will be 1:3 Ben. 'Turning to tathe 1 and rounting s daty down the rolumm headed t:3 (as the eighth day from the begiminge of the year must fall in Pops. the tirst month of the year). we reach the mmeral 7 , and find opposite in the Ben rolumn the day Ahan: henee the day reached is 7 Ahan, and not 3 than, as it should be. The addition of days to the total dithrenee ly even twenties will, of course, bring the comst burk to Aham, bence the test lies in the mumber attached to it. It appears, therefore, so firs as this example is comerned, that these oval symbols stand for natugh, and not for 20 and 1s, at inferred by Mr Goodman. It will be observed that the stme symbol appears in the other columms of figure s copied from plate xxys, Dresten eodex. Positive proof that this oral is used for nanght is fomm on plate 50 of the Dresden codex, which may he seen in plate i of my Maya Year. The oval in tho hottom line filling the month or when place can reath the required day onty when comuted ats matht, as may be verified by reference to the serios of days given in the same work.

In the quotationabove from Mr Goodnan: workin relation to the red oval sombol which I have counted as natugh, he says: " It is employed in the codices solely to designate initial periods." l'recisely what he means by this remark 1 fail to comprehemb. When the symbols are found in the same time ser:os in the month place and in the immediately following lay place, and then at odd years and monthe apart in a "ontimons series, how they anto used to designate initial periods is difhent to understand, unless very short periods are abluded to. That the symbol for no day. or namght, in the day place will indiate the begiming of a month in the count which is to follow is moloultedly true, and when it is in the month place an mew year will follow, and so on. This is also the when et days, is monthe 20 athatus. ete are connted. If this be what Me (ioodman means, he is correet: hut


 datys and at the bottom it ahath. Suhtrating from this rolumm the column ( 2, alroaty eriorn, we hato the following resut:

|  | $13:$ | $1 \%$ | Inilf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kıaturs. | + | 1 |  |
| , Thatus. | \% | 1 | s |
| ('hturns. | t | $\because$ | 2 |
| 1)ives | 11 | 11 | , |

The remander, oshan and 2 chuens, equals 2.90 days, and is prefisely the same as the difference between the preceding coltmms. As the date reached by column C2 was 3 Ahau. the $3 d$ day of Pop, the first month in the year 1 Ezanal, we subtract as before Btes, the remaining days of the year 1 Ezamal, from 2.920 . This leaves 2,55 days, or 7 years and 3 days. Counting from the year 1 Ezanah (table :3), 7 year, we reath 8 Ben, the next year being Ezanab. Comting down the figure column headed: $($ table 1$)$, $: 8$ days. we reach the nmeral 11 and find Ahan opposite in the Ezanath column. The day reached is therefore 11 Ahan, 3 Pop, the first month of the year: Ezanab, and corresponds with the day at the foot of column 32 in the plate.
As the difference hetween colmmn A 2 and $B 2$ is precisely the same as that between the other columns ( 8 ahnus 2 chnens), we have only to count 7 years and 3 days from the elose of the year: Ezanah. This brings us to the Bd day of the month P'op in the year + Ezanah, which we find by referring to 'Table 1, to be ti Ahan, corresponding with the day at the bottom of column $A 2$. It must be remmbered, however, that the years mentionel have been those following the arbitrary selection for convenience in calculating, ats nothing has been diseorered in the series to determine these. This rould be aseertained if the top series were uniujured, so as to carry on the count to the lower left-hand series, which have definite dates.
Passing now to the upper division of our figure, we notice that the day at the bottom of each colmm is 1 Ahau and that the day place in earh is tilled by the oral symbol, denoting, areording to our interpretation, mught. As the series asrends toward the left, the columms will he taken in the same order as those of the lower division. We therefore subtract W1 from C'1:

|  | C1 | [11 | 1 birf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Katuns. | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Thaus. | 123 | 5 | 7 |
| Chuens. | s | 5 | 3 |
| [ays. | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The difference is 品katum ( $=21$, 46 ) days), 7 ahaus ( $=2.520$ days), 3 chnens ( $=$ bo days) and no odd days. The total is 24.180 days. As the number is large, exeeding a az-year period or calendar round, we (an suldract the greatest possible number of these periods (in this (ase only one) without in any way aflecting the result so far as reaching the proper date is concerned, lout the number of years thas combraced are to be combed in making up the true interval between the dates.
A. 1 Ahan may lo the Bd lay of the first month (Pop) of the year 12 Ezamab, we select this as our starting point.

One calendar romd equals 18.950 days, which subtracted from 24,180 leave 5,20 days. Taking from this number 362 - the remaining
 : Bio. we obtain $1:$ y
 12. Akhal. Jo the next year is 18 lamat, we roment tomard on table 1 ,

 ayrecing with the date at tho font of the colnum ('1 of our digure
 of the year $1: 3$ Lamat, ateonding to the asmaned initial datte.

As the dilleremes between the cohmons of the meper division of and figute are not the same a alalatation must be madn in math case to make the prowf positive.

Subtanding foluma ('t from Bl. We find the remainder to be 4






 5 Lamat. As the next year is ti ben, we rount Bot days, we fomonthe and a days. in this year. 'This bringe ne to the sth dey of the 1 tith month (the colamm headed 7 ). Which we find is 1 , and opposite. in the Ben eolumm, the day thatu, whith agrees with the phate. The date therefore is I Thatr, the sth day of lax. the lath month of the year it Ben.

Subtrating cohum 131 from $A 1$, we tind the ditheremes to be 10

 our last date was 1 Ahan, sth day of Pax, [tith month of the rear is



 days ont monthe and 13 dats, hegiming with the columm headol $1: 3$,
 in the Lamat columm, the day Ahatu, which tgrees with the plate. Thu dates ohtained atre it mast ber remembered. hased on the abomed starting point 1 Ahatu, $1: 3$ There, fear $1:$ Lamat: this, howevor, dors not atheet ther correcthess of ther pestall.

As ham been stated, to ubtain the Irue interval where falentald rounds


 11 days.

These example are sutherbent to prove beyond any reatanatble doubt the correctuese of 1 ). Förstemann's method of combting the time symbels of the Dresden coolex, and that his orders of umits, or time periods. und in counting. up to and including the eyele. were pre-
 man in his work. It also shows that my calendar tables 1 and on have the days, montho amb yens: armaged eomsistently with the Dresden codex, and that they "an be sucesesfully used in examining and tracing the long or high time eounts, at least so far ate tried. We might dismiss the Dresden wodex with these examples hat for the fact that there are some seribs reathing still higher figures to which In Förstemann has called attention. Therefore, bofore pasing to the inseriptions, a few of these will be noticed and the attempt to commect the dates whirh seem to be related will be made-something whith has not been tone by Dr Förstemam, and in which the proot of his theory lies.

We take as the tirst example the two series, bhack and red, ranning up the folds of the serpent figure, pate 69, following l)r Forstomanms method and assming that the two sories are combeted. They are as follows, (roodman's mames being attarhed:


The total days of the two colmmes as given by Dr För-temann ate as follows:


Sime as abora.
As the month symbols are obliterated, we will assume + Eh mader the black colnm to be the 5 th day of the month Pop in the year 13 Lamat. Subtrating : 0 bo, the remaining days of the yatr 18 Lamat. from :174. and diviling the remainder by 365 , we olatan én rears
 3. and eonting forwad from 18 Lamat $\because$ gatars, we reach 12 Ben. $A$ * the next year is 13 Ezanab, comnting on table 1,12 montles and 17
dayson this fext, wr math ! lx, the 17 th daty of Mate. the fith month of the yate 13 liganath, which corresponds with the daty maler the red rolumin.

 here of the comertmes of his system and of the value assigned the sereral orders of mits or time periots which, in one of the series, involves very high numbers, and abo proof that they are preerisely the same as the time periods used by Mr (roodman in his work, which apparted six yars later, with the one axerption noted bedow.

In (alleulating these serims. 1 )r Förstemam has assumed that 20 unts of the difth orter makr one of the sixth order; or, to nse Mr fooduan's mombelature, that 20 "Yeles make one great cyrle. Although the latter imthor counte hat 18 erves to the great erele. areording to

 which is evident from phate :31. where the place of the tifth order of unit- (eyeles) has the nomber 19 .

As the opportunty is allorded hern of testing on a higher mit Dhe (ioodman"s theory that the red oval indicates full coment (20) where this is the proper momber, or to where that is the momber), I shatl nse it. As will he sem lig reterome to patge Fed where the serios are given, the thatus of the red series are connted as 11 (naught), when anoording to Mr (xoodman's theory they shouk be ou. Let us try the calculation with this number. Subtractang the black firom the red ar before the result is as follows:

|  | tirnat loyeles 4 | ('yeles $6$ | Katuns 1 | Ahat. $211$ | - Chatir $1 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 y= \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 111 | 1:3 | 12 | $s$ |
| Wifference |  |  | $\because$ | 7 | 1 | 2 |

 the former mothod. Aswming \& Eh under tha hatek eoluman : sis Infore. to be the sth daty of the month Pop in the year lis lamate we



 By tahe 1 we ancertain that the lath day of the ath month of this
 lerime the test in this rase, ats the addition of aren monthe will mex-
 theory on this point to be ineoreet or fiar as the brealen eonlex is
 neat.

foids. of as serpent (the whe to the right) and consinte of two aries. one back, the other red. Theos have also heen caldulated by In Förstemam and arranged acooding to the order of unite as given here. Mr Goodhan's mames are given opposite and ditlemenes to the right.

|  | Black | Red | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I)ays |
| Gratat ryces | 4 | 4 | O equali - . 0 |
| Crolex. | 1 | 6 | 0) "quatls.... 0 |
| Katuns | 4 | 1 | \& equal - . . - 57, 600 |
| . hatus | 1.5 | 9 | 5 equal..... 1, 800 |
| ( 'lanen:- | 12 | 15 | 15 equat. . . . 300 |
| Inays | 14 | 0 | 14 equal. . . 14 |
| Tays helow. | $\because$ Kıa | 13 Aklal | Tutal..... 59, 719 |
| Month= | 1610 | 1 Kankin |  |

Ir Förstemamis totals are an follows:

showing his remult to bre precionly the same as that obtained by using the (roodman periokl. or mother showing the Goodman periods to be
 tion. Befome preseding. it is necessary to notiee that the day Kam is never the leth daty of the month. but may low the 1 th the therefore the
 17 Low. la this example the connting must be backwad in the orded of time if we proeed from the lowere the higher weries.


A. 1: Akhal 1 Kankin, is the first day of the fourtementh month of the fear 1: Akbal. we eoment barkward from this date. In comenting barkward, if we stant with--that is, include -the day named, the day somght will he the next heyond the last day counted. As 1 Kankin in the two hundred and dixty-litst day of the yaur 13 Akhat, we subtract
 yeare and at surplus of ses deys, taking from this the odded or intercalary dayn there remain :2en, or 16 monthe and 3 days to the counted back on the year reached. Counting back on our table 号ti years from the yar 18 Akbal, we reath a Ben, the next year being if Lamat. Sultracting 1 ti months and 3 days from is monthe, the remainder is 1 month and 17 days: hence the day reached will he the serenterenth day of the month Uo in the yar 6 Lamat. This, by reference to table 1 . 1 : ETH, I'T $2-11$
is. found to be ? Kan. the same day the that belew the column of batk mumerals, when the eorreetion from 16 to 16 has beem made.
A. this paper is designed in part as a helje to thow commeneme the sudy of the codices and inseriptions, we will, like the surveror who
 a proeses which shouk, as a mather of course result comerdy if our count was right in tracing it harkward.
starting with? Kan, the 17 h h day of the secomd month $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ o, in the


 of eht diys. on $1:$ monthe and 1 chay. Comeng forwad on table is "f yane from the gear 6 Lamat, we reanh 1e Ezanah, the mext yan heing 1:3 Akhal. ('ounting on table 1 the term of 13 monthe and 1 day, hegiming with the colmm hoaded 13 , we reath the same 13 , and opposite in the Akbal column find the day Akhal. Tha date is theresfore 1 : Akial the lat day of the fonrterenth month-Kankin of the year l: Aklal, which proves the proceso to be woreet.

Our next example consists of the two weries. same plate of the Dres den corlex. placed in the folds of the loft serpent, as follow (p)retixing Goodmans: manes ax before):

|  | Red | Black | 1mferemer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Inay |
| direat choles | 4 | 4 | 0 | (eduail* | 11 |
| ( yr.les | 6 | ¢ | 1 | (4yutils | $1)$ |
|  | 11 | 7 | 3 | (7) [14 | 21.1000 |
|  | 10 | 12 | 15 | equal | 6. $4 \times 1$ |
| ( Mmens | 7 | 4 | $\because$ | -quat. | 40 |
| Days | 2 | 10 | $1!$ | -ytur | 12 |
| baya below. | 31 x | 3 cimi |  | Tota | $2 \cdots, 13 \%$ |
| Wentlin. | T Pax | 1+Kayah |  |  |  |

 9.152 ditys. As it is somewhat casion to commt torward than batckward, though the other order appears really to be the ond adoped here. We with begin with the date moler the red rolumm-3 Is the Tth day of the sixfeemth month ( Pax $^{2}$ ) of the yar ! famat. As there manath 5s days in this year after the date given, we subtrat this manher


 wo reach 7 bamat, tha next rear being or Bern. By table 1 we dind
that the 14 th day of the serenteenth month (Kayah) of this year is 3 ('imi, which proves the calculation to be correct.

To those familiar with the Dresden codex it will be apparent that the month symbel used nuder the red column looks as much if not more like that for Tzee than that for Pax, yot. as it haw elemente of both and as the cakculation works out omly with Pax, it has heen assumed that this is the month intended. That the month Tzee can not in any way be mate consistent with the numbers of the series is easily made manifest thus: 3 la, the ith day of the fifth month Tzee. will fall only in the year $s$ Lamat, and $:$ (inui, the 14 th day of the sevententh month Kayab, only in the year $\rightarrow$ Ben. Looking on tahb 3. we see that in counting forward from shamat to s ben we pase orer an interval of only 12 years, and in connting barkward orer an interval of 80 years. As the interval shom hy the mumerals is (after one calendar round. which does not affect the comut, has been subtracted) ! 152 days, it is apparent that 7 'Tzee can mot be the date intended. Förstemamn's totals of these series are as follow:

showing precisely the difference given abore. The atmolute difforence betwoen the two dates is 2 month 15 days +5 years+et yans+14 monthe +14 days. which, together. equal it yeare and 27 days.

The immense stretth of these periods is a point not to be orerlooked. One of those referred to amounts to 12.4 tibs.942 days. of $3+1.2 f$ yenm and 2 days. (oming 20 (cycles to the great acele acording to Förste manis method. This brings up again the question as to the number of unite of the fifth order to form one of the sixth. or, using feedmans terms, the number of "ycles which make a great eyole. Although the disenssion of this question would perhaps be more appropriate after we hate considered the inceriptions, it may as well be introduced here.
$M_{1}$ (roodman, while holding 18 :ts the momber in the inswriptions. almits that in the Dresden codex eo was the number used: but this admission only renders the subject more compliated, as there is wo reason to believe that a different rule prevailed in the inseriptions from that in the eodex. That the vigesimal system of notation was the rule among the llaya tribes is well known, the use of is units of the second order to make one of the third, in time counting, hating apparently been adopted for conveniener in bringing the month into the calculation. This fact, thongh not positive proof of regular vigesimal succession elsewhere in the time system, is sutficient to justify the assmption of regularity. unless satisfactory evidence of variation cam he adduced.

Althongh the last example reaches to the great cycle, and involves
 this questions as atparent be trial, as the ditleremer betweren the two artos will be the same whother wo romet 20 (edes to the great
 herdofore refered to whieh will deede this point. 'This. whidh is it the right half of the mpere division. is as follows:

I! evole<br>9 kitturs<br>(1) ahats<br>:3 chumens<br>(1) days

There is atso one serios in the inseriptions foumd on Mandshay ${ }^{\circ}$ Stela Nof the Copan ruins which serme to settle the question. This is an follows:


 reskoning backward or forward from the initial dato would reath a 1 Ahan \& Chen." thre next date. the liost being 1 Nhan s /hip. He
 20 days.

It is trae that, with the interpretation wivern of the date dataters
 not reach I Sham is Chen. But this intorpertation is by mon ment
 Mamdaty"s photegrapht that the ehom symbol dons mot have a
 Hamdshy"s drawing, there is h. amd the comat may pexibly. as will heroaftor alpear. reach back to somb more distant dato. ats is fomat
 prots it diflemonty.

In tha serond plate the month symbol of this last date a:m mot with

 attarnef to the higher periods are remar and distinct, but the month
 like Kip, if we juder loy Mr (ioedman's month tigures. If we suppose the sign to the laft of the chmen smathel to be amd the momber of

 This change bowerar. would mot be justibed. mor is the rhange made
hy Mr Crodman matil he has clearly proved not only that 1 a syem form a great cerle but also that his arragement of the ehomotogie system, which will he referred to further on. is correct.

While the sorios of the codex which have beon given as examphes work out correctly. it must be atmitted that there are others which can mot be sucesesfully traced without arhitrary rorrections. Neverthelese those wiven, and others rising to the fith order of units that might he moted. which give corrent results. are suftident to prowe the Lube Before wo bave the codex, reforence will be made to some series with double numbers-that is, one spries interpolated with another, ont of which 1 ir Forstemmm is indined to believe in a correction of the other. In these "ases the interpolated sorios. ar supposed correction, is in red. the other in black.
A. an "xampla wo take the following series from phate in, nsing (Forodman's names:


Subtrating the black of the right pair from the black of the left. we get the remainder, $1,13, t, 0$; that is, 1 katum, 13 ahms. 4 chuens, 6
 12 Lamat to be the firet day $(1 \mathrm{Pop})$ of the vear 12 Lamat. Suhtractinge Btit, the remaining days of this year. from 11.96 , and dividing
 or 14 monthis and 1 day. By table 3 we asertain that 31 years from 12 Lamat bring us to + Akhal, the next rear being on hamat. By table 1 wre arevtain that the first day of the fifteenth month is 12 Lallatat, the proper date.

The difference betwern the red series of the two pairs is 1 k katuns.

 to be the first day of the year 12 Lamat, ame subtracting $36 t$, the
 ant 16 days. to be counted on the next year, which is 18 Ben. This rerkoning reaches 12 Lamat, the sixternth day of the month Mol.
 cerned. hut the interval between the hack series is only 364 days +31
 years. It is possible. thercolore. that the red. which rum through the several rolumns of this and the following plate. remeront an independent surber.

There are however, some interpolations which elanty appear to be eorrections: for examphe these two arries on fate ats:


The day below eath is 13 Malur. L'sing the ditheronee hetween the
 18 Natue: tho ed day of the month lopr in the
 sear le lamat asomerstartigerint (alway comenting forward when it is mot otherwise stated). We
 not tha cormed date. as it should be $1: 3$. Mnher. Csing the dithereme between the red ereme t
 the stme starting point as before ( 13 Wulue 2

 This is a correet pestult. and inticates that the red mamerals were inserted ate a corredion.
(O) phate 6: wo find at serion (figute 16) represented by symbols of the same form as thome in

 month) which muat latl in the year Gam. At


 follow: Ab. 15 katums: B5. : ahaths: dif. t dhuens: Bra. 1 days. There are other whaters with mmerals hetwern the two dates. - mome of whieh maty he heratter explatimed. hat nome wf
 ils rombed aspat of the tinu interval.
 exitedly similar one on phate til. I shatl only show at preant the way

the time symbols to those of the inseriptions already figured amt those presented firther on.

By referming to a and b of figure 10, whowing the katan symbols, the strong resemblaner to glyph 15 of thr series now under consid-

 figures $s$. Bti in the kin or day symbol. llewe it seems the mmbers donoting days are not attached to the ehmen symbol. as is usual in the insrriptions. the day, in the abstrat sense, having its apropriate symbol, to which the momerals denoting the nmmber of days are attaneherd.

A- the usual order in which the glyphe are to bre pad is from the top downward, hy twos and twos where there are two columns, we will take the first pair. 11 and B1. as the date fom which to comot. This. an alheady stated, is 4 Ahan, the sth day' of the Thth month- ('umbuof the yarr s Ben, which. as will hesern herefering to our table 3. is the forty-serenth rear of the cyele of yeme, or calendar romed. Changing these time periods to daty-

subtrating from this remainder 17. the mmber of remaining days in the gear - Ben, from 4 than s cmmbu, and dividing the remainder
 days. Counting forwarl on table in, ft yats, we leath 18 bun, the next pear being 1 Ezamab. Turning to table 1 we find that 17 monthe
 which is wiven on the phate. Comnting batward from + than $s$ Combm, as the symbols apparently indicate shond be done (if the order he as in the inserptions). resulte in a still wider variation from the correot date assmming that the smabols on the plate-which are very distinct and mmistakahb-are correet.

If the dates on the plate are correct. the first falls in the year \& Ben, and the latter in 3 Ben. Comenting forwad there would be an interval (omitting the calendar rounds) of only $\overline{7}$ yane and the flaterone of the当 fears in which the two dates fall. manifestly too sumall for the numeral symbols. Comnting backwarl there would be an interval (omitting the catendar rounds) of $4: 3$ years and the fractions of the 2 (lateyears, making. in all. 16,076 days or 348 days short of that remuired by the time smbols after dedurting the calendar romals. As there
 sible the explamation moeded is fomed in them. In the parallel passage on plate tif. Whach appeats to hato the sambe begiming and radinge date there is but one dot to the "hamen symbel (indientinge 1 (haten)



I have dwolt somewhat at length on these ardies as they are the only ones with two tegible dates in the codex whiels show the higher
 relation which this codrex bears to fle inseriptions, to which we will now turn. hagiming with thon at labempur.

## Inchabtons at Palengete

Bofore proweding with these, in order to show exactly Jo (iondman = mothod of calculating a wores from the inseriptions, I preant as an example one which her has fully worked out. This serion is found in the inseription of the 'Tanple of the sime at Pakenpue. It will he more eritically examined hereafter her comparison with Nathk-
 fore the purpose of illustating the method of rexkoning.


 Reduring these time periods to days. the result is as follows:


A- the fir d date cenn not be fulty determined, it will be meressary to


 bedurting as for the added days. there remain le to be rounted hatk

 - C'muhn. Which is. mo douht, cormert, ath this date in a very common "he on tha l'alampu inariptions.



A PORTION OF THE TABLET OF THE CROSS. PALENQUE


Mr Goolman. after aseertaining the number of days in the time periods precisely as they are given above. procedo as follows:

From these [1, Bss, 996 days] we deduct as many eatentar rounds as pusible, being 78 , or $1,353,540$ days, liaving 3,456 . From the we we take 155 , the number of days from the begiming of the year to 14 Noh, that being the omy date we are cer-

 the year, we fine] these reach to क Cumhn [acombline to hiw methut wit mumbering
 strange sign as a variant of the gymbol for that month. Turning nuw to the dmanal
 till we mome to prove 17 , we finl that 2 (ih, falls on the $14 t h$ of Mol in that rear. Thus we are satisfied that the strange month sixu is a symbol for Cumbur, ami that the colles, katuns, ahans, dinens, and days represent the prons letween the two
 of the erest wecle, to 2 (iil)-14 Mol.

As our proses is intended to be indepentent of Mr (roodmanis tables, it is neessary for u- to divide by atis in order to find the intorvening rears, and to determine the full date including the year, which Mr (roodman fails to do.

## CABLET OF THE CROSN

Proereding now with the Palonque inscriptions. Abtention is directed first to that on the so-callad Tahlet of the ('rome the right slab of which is fortumately safely homsed in the I nited states National Insemm. The inseription on thi- sath is well known through the exeellent antotype in lar Ramis paper entithed Palenque Tablut, hut. in ordere to plate the remod before the ranker in as completo a form as is possible, I have given a copy in figure 1 br. and a copy of Manday"s photograph of the laft wal, in figure plate xa: a drawing of the few characters ahove the arms of the right priest in the middle spare is shown in figure $17 /$.

As this is the most important of all the known Mayan insorip, tions, for the purpose of testing Mr Goodman's diseoreroes. I wall examine it somewhat fully and to this end wive helow a list of the dates and series in the order they stand. heginning with the harge initial on the left wah. It is necenary, bowerers, first to notion someWhat particularly the initial wries of the left sath.

 which is eduivalent to the sisth order of units. I am imelinet to believe this interpretation is correct. The reasoms for this heliof are the form of the hody or ehief element of the glyp, whin is similat to that of the ahan and katun: and the fact lhat it alway follows in the ascending soate (romnting barkward or upward) the eycle, there being, so far as known, no exception to this rule in the
initial serpies. This is thomen mot whty in intial -uries like the ome
 in a number of others wher lhe ordinary mit. lalls and lines.

are prefixad to the elypheremonting the lower wders (ereles.
 is formen in the Inenden ondex and in the ins-riptions that there is an
order of mats alma the fifth, or cycle; that is to say, a sixth, we great crete, as Mr Goodman calls it. This being true. there is were peat


Fig. 17b-Inscription on the middle space of the Tablet of the Cross, Palenque.
son to believe that it would be represented in the inscriptions by a -special character.

Examining the seven succeeding double glyph e in the order in whish they stand, they are found to be ats follows: $13 . B 3$ a fare character and











 a jartionar number determined by the miner detale or otherwise.

Onitting. for the presiont, consideration of the mambere given the the



 regular desemdimg order in whish they stand, and being atwar of the fact that in sereral other similar initial series tha face chatateros are


 contain the symbols for thas day Man and the month Tzax, we mont

 the mont reasomahle fomelasion is that they repmesent there mamerals.
 justify its acoptamer. But here the guestion arioses what exidener
 Admitting that they are momeral sombots, it is erertath that they do

 that the one attached to the symber for the daty Ahat dow mot wexed
 Wo are thas mathed to limit vory matriatly the tiok of inguiry. hut









tion, de will be shown farther on) hy simply adding two days to the first mumbral seres commertion will hemade with the date of the thisd series. There is, howerer, as will low sem, at least one initial series with facr characters in place of numerals where connection is property made according to Mr Goonhans number with a following date.

As there will be actasion to refer frequently to the series on the different divisions of the tablet we give here a list of these serise in the order in which they occur, beginning with the closing date of the initial series on the left slath, the years being added in parentheses. The numeral surbes are wiven in eyeles, katuns, whatw, whens, and days, followed hy therir equivalent in days phared to the right: amd where the smm is greater than a calendar round, the remander, after subtracting the calemdar rounds, is also shown. The term " left slab" (though not strietly correct) is used only to include the six colmmes at the left: "right slah," the six eolumms at the right; and "middle space." to inchode the antire space between the six colmmes at the left and the six cohmms at the right. The series as here givern are based on inspection:

Lefit Nlefl,


Middle spucte



The tirst day of the luft slath-s Alatu is Tzee-has the mumbers given in face chameros, as has hem stated: thone given are aceording Whe (iowdmans interpredation.
 from : Ik 20 \%ae to ! Ik 20 Chem.
 slat, from : 51: to : 5, 512.








 preating list. 'Though there is somu douht ats to the number of
chums, first series. right shab, this athor follows Raths restoration and give it as $\overline{5}$. yet it maty posibly be + or but $\because$. an the glyph is exactly in the line of a heak mepared by lor Raw.

The number of chuens an well as days in the fifth suries of the right sab is uneretain. Namdslay indiatow for the former and in for the latter. Which is apprently correx. The two dates following thi spins, exerpt the month ( 20 Katz) of the semod, are almost entirely obliterated. I beline the daty of the first to tre Mhan. Mandalay does not attempt a restoration, hat agrees with my sugerestion an to the month. Ite sugeest. Cablan at the day of the sereond date. If gives $Z \mathrm{ip}$ : $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}$ the month in the date following the serenth seribs of this
 Yax or Chen. hin figure being unertan, The mumbe of ahams in
 though his figure may lor eomstrued as s. The there lines (1.5) are distine in the inseription. but the mumbre of hall forming the fourth line is uncertain: the number seems to me to be dre or 17 .

In reforring to the insoription, Ram- wheme, given on page fil of his Palengue Tablet - to wit. letters above for abll rolmmand numbers at the sides for the lines-will be followed loere (not Mandslay-s). it being remembered that the eolumm, where there are more than one are to beread two and two fiom the top downard. single column. fiom the (o) downward. and single lines from left to right.

Referring now to the left sab, we will tirst point out the location in the inseription of the grphedemoting tho several dates and munerat sories, the latter being rerered to agree with the order in which they come in the inscription. the tirst date-s Ahan to Tzer-being that with which the initial serime terminated.


```
                                    1 Ahatu (A16) 1s Zutz (B1ti)
First . . . . . 0 (lays 5 chetens (1) \()\) ) ithaus ( (\%)
                            t Ahat ( 1 : 3 ) © Cumhu ( 4 )
```



```
                            13 1k ( (9) 20 Mol (D9)
```



```
                                    4 Ik (El) 15 (6h (Fl)
```



```
                                    41 k ( \(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}\) ) 20 Zacc ( FQ )
```



```
    !) Ik (F1ロ) no month griven
Sixth -.... 13 dars 7 chuens (F15) 6ahans (E16) 1 katun (F16)
```

We begin. therefore in our attempt to trace the sernes and connect the dates with oshan 18 Tzece (as Mr Goodman interprets the numeral face characters), which fall- on the rear 2 Akhal. As it is followed by mother date ( 1 Ahan 1s Zotz) without any recognized
 mmst :andme that if it i- commered with any of the following date it



 miy- (patge 1菏):


#### Abstract

      bath tothat date.


Bofore raforing to Mr (roodman- -hgerestions. We tind tye trial
 with athy of the dater on the loft wah. mor middle ejatere hy either of the mumeral serien an give. If: howerer. we add two days to the

 thate following the seromd wries. This. it is tres. wijn over the




 he hat for the additional fart that if if:3 be sultrated form the smm of the first three sorien (tirst, secombl, third) with added two day- to
 Akhal, will reah ! Ik lis (eh ! Lamat, the date following the thited numberal motios.

 interperete what I comsidey the symbel for natugh (o) as equivalent to ero: than the mmaner of days of the tirst serios instead of estan womld


 that - luw in tigure 1 at the abat is at face form sionian to that shown

 are to he vomered be the intermerliate time peritas. A- 1 Nhatt is
 Ben, the interval is six years ame the frational days of the two yeats
( $\because$ Akbal and $S$ Ben), the total, in days, being 2,525 , whereas the intermodiate time periods, as interpreted by Mr Goodman, give 3.0om. or. onitting the 20 days, according to Mandslay interpretation of the symbol, which appears to be comect, a, aso days. It is apparent therefore that there is some mistake here---that is, supposing the theory that the two dates are intended to be comnected by the intermediate time symbola be true.

Mr Groodman suggests two ways of making the correction-first. by assuming $S$ Aham is Tzee to be the date from which to comnt, and changing the intemediate mmeral series from sahans o chuens to " ahaus 14 chnens, thus making two radical alterations: in other words. a new numeral series to fit the case. This he obtains by subtracting the initial series as he has given it, from the 13 eyeles composing his fifty-third great crele, thus-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13-0-0-0-0 \\
& \frac{0-19-13-4-0}{6-14-0}
\end{aligned}
$$

His other method is to change the intermediate time periods or muneral series to ti ahaus 15 chnens-whieh is also making a new series-and to count from 1 Ahan 1 s Zotz.

In making these proposed changes Mr Gondman seems to thop out of view his eo days, as in fact he does throughout in his ealeulations. He gives the full count- 20 for days, ahaus, and katums, and 18 for chuens-in noting the mumeral series, but appears to treat them as naughts in his calculations. This is evident from the numbers he gives in the present instance. As conclusive evidence on this point it is only necessary to refer to the preface to his " perpetat chronological calendar" (op. cit. not paged). where he says of the series $9-15-20-18 \times 20$, "there are no days, chuens, or ahams in this date." Mr Matudslay. in his illastration of Goodman's method of interpretation hefore the Royal Society of England, June 16. 1897, in which he uses a newly discovered inscription (see figure 20), counts the character at the side of a chuen symbol (C1). precisely like that attached to our chmen, as equivalent to naught. In the case he refers to there are two lines above the symbol, counted as 10 chuens. Speaking of it he says:

C1 is the chuen sign with the numeral 10 (two bars=10) above it aud a "full "ount" sign at the side. Whether the 10 applies to the chuens or days can only be determined by experiment, and sueh experiment in this case shows that the reckoning intended to be expressed is 10 chnens and a "full count" of days-that is, for practical purposes 10 chuens only, ior as in the last reckoning, when the full count of chuens was expressed in the ahaus, so here the full count of days is expressed in the chuens.

In other words, that the eharicter at the side simply means that no 19 ETH, 1'T $2-12$
days are (o) be counted and se his figures giving the mumber of days show. but this. as has beon shown will not suftion to whered the mistake in our example. Howerer, a very sight change as I have hown.
 the time pertods, will sutfiee to bring the series into hamony with the theory. and at the same time to verify his determination of the face momerats attached to the teminal date of the initial series - Ahat 1s Tzer (year e Nkhal).

Athough the initial series will be disenssed farther on, it will perhalp low bot to indicate here the probable processes bey which Mr Goodman reached his condusions in regard to the series mow under consideration.

Aerording to the sistem which he has adopted and which he rlains wat the chrombegie system of the inseriptions. 18 eycles, or units of the fifth order, make 1 great cyde, or 1 mit of the sixth order. and thereat cyeles romplete what he terms the "grand era." As this system will be more fully expland farther on, it is only neressary to state here that he conduden from his investigation that the dates found in the inscriptions all fall in the fifty-third, fifty-fourth, ond fifty-lifth great eyches. As these are taken by him to be absolute time periods. adh begins with its fixed and detominate day; in other words, there is no sliding of the scale. Aecording to this selheme the lifty-third great eyele hegran with the day + Ahans Zotz, the lifty-fourth with + thans Cumbn, and the fifty-fifth with the day $\$$ Ahan: Kankin, these dates following one another at the distance of one great eycle appart. Which is corrent on his asmuption that 13 creles make one great cyele, a comblusion which 1 shall have occeasion to curstion.

Now, it is : 1 parent that he assumes that + Ahan sc Comhu, the day following the tirst mumal serios noted atowe, is the beginning day of his tifty-fourth great crole. This being asmmed it follows that the preseding dates. 8 Ahau 18 Tzee and I Ahau 18 \%otz (which precedes the former in actual time ber precisely one month). mast fall in his lifty-third great eyche: and ats the former (o Ahatu is Treee) is the terminal date of the initial series, therefore this intital series grome back to I Ahat \& \%ot\% the hemiming day of the fifty-third great acte. As the time to be conuted back from $t$ Ahans Combu to reach the resenge date of the initial serien is, aceording to the firat mumeral
 fall in the hat kattun of the lifty-third great eyers. which ateording to his peraliar method of mumbering perions, with be the lath katun of the twolfth exale. Conting hack into this katun (using his tablow). 8 ahatus and the 5 monthe carries us into the ahau hegiminge with $t$ Aham a ['o at the omly day than of this periow falline in the month

Tzer-which the inseription requires-is : Ahan \& Tzec, which
 Mr Goodman eoncludes that the face numeral prefixed to the symbol for the menth Tzee should be interpreted 1s. the nearest position in which a day Ahan the 1sth of the month Tree can be found, is in the thirteenth alatu of this katun. From this date ta 4 Ahan $s$ Gumhu is 6 ahame 14 chuens; hence his proposed ehange in the mumeral sories.

The question therefore to be answered before we "an give full assent to his conclusion is this, Are his renderings of the face rharactors reliable! That they represent mumbers seame to be evident, as I show elsewhere. but the data presented in his work are not entirely satisfactory. That the initial series now under consideration contains one or more eyctes, one or more katuns, one ar more ahats, and one or more chmens-or, as I term them, mits of the fifth, fourth, third, and second orders-is certain; and that the terminal date is a day than in the month Tzee is also true if the insoription be rorrert. The languge used by Mr Goodman in defining the face numerals indicates that he has rolied to some extent on his system of interpetation rather than on the details of the glyphs in determining thoir vahe, hut this can he deeded only by a careful examination of all the insoriptions in this respect, which it is my purpose to make in a supplemental paper when Mandshy's figures of the Quirigua inseriptions are reareded. When the count can be hased on the ghyphe his selaeme will mot interfere with a corpect count. For example, 4 Alan is Cumbu of this series may or may not be the first day of his fiftr-fourth grand recle. for in aither case the count will bring the same result; nor will the fact that there are probably 20 cyles to the groat cyole wange the result. Howerer, the suhject will be further discussed when we consider the initial stries. and for the present we will arrept Mr (ruodman's determination of the face numerals with the alove implied reservation.

I have dwelt somewhat at length on this example in order to show some of the methods of determining positively that there is an error in the original, and the serming impossibility in some "anes of eorrecting it. Ocassonally this can be done by means of a connected prefeding or following series; or, wherr angle minor change will hring all the members of the series into hamony. this change is sometimes justitied. hut such changes as those suggested above by Me (ioudman in regard to the example under consideration, esperially where the value of a sign is also in dispute, are not warmonted without proof.

The next date is found in glyph: C: Do, and is 13 Ik-! Mol. llere the numeral attachod to the month is not a regutar number symbol (dots and hars) and is interpreted 5 by Mr (Goodman. In this I am inclined to think he is wrong, as the symbol appears to the the
 tion of the series is as follows:


 reforence to the annual calcondar. It will be evilent prolly woon that tha woulpors


The intermediate time periods are 1 ahata (of the ustal form, ".
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A- the firet date is uncertain, antess the explanation given above be aroppted. we mast comat back fiom 13 lk go Mol , which falls in the ratr 10 Ikhat. I use 20 Mol , as I bolieve 20 to be the true interpretation of the umsual mumber symbol, and it is ratly that adopeted by Nr Goodman in his caldulation, though not exprossed. As 20 Mol is the whe hundred and sixtieth day of the year. and the eount is backward, we subtact this from otes. and divide the rematnder hy 36. which gives 1 year and 17 days: this hrings us to the year \& Ben. boducting bfor the interealated or added days, and rounting hark 10 deys fem the end of the month Comhn, we reach + thatu. the righth day of the month Cumha, proving that this terminal date of the preceding series is corred and that the eroor of that series must be in the initial date or in the mumerats attached to the intermediate time periods. 'This result is in fact the same as that obtained hy
 with el, thasfering the last days of the colmmos in our table 1 to the lirst phere as is shown in table t. eriven below, which is smply at condensation of his " Irehaie ammal calendar". where eath of the difty-two years is written out in full.
会
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 beromes the 2 enh day of the month ('hen. which is in lact the beginnime day of thin montl, and woukd in all ordinary calculations he combted the tirst, of 1 .

Athongh the mambering of the days of the month and of the hays is mot rhamed by this transposition, it deres make a hange in two important dexpeds. Frist, the days whith woutd be last in the month. if the conme of the ditys of the month hem:m with 1 . Werome the leginning days of the lollowing month, though counted as the enth ly (rood-
 is chamed. For wample, the geat io Akhal of the serios exam-

 method.

In the profaro or prediminary remarks to his Arehate Anmal Cat(2ndar, this athor states as follows:

In regatel to these statements, it may be attirmed that the reason given for placing " Ik at the heat of the days" is whotly insuthribnt. ass it is not, in latt, nearest kion of any of the Arehair dominicak,
 mor. in find. Would this be any reasen for the ehange were it trome. Geromel. as he begins the eoment of the days of the month with 20 . it is in fact mod first in the coment. It is proper, howerer, to atd here
 reotly his athtoritios, Ik was the intial domineal day in the (Guiche-


 the whore tominicat may mot have hern tirst" is certanty corred.
 foll sul lar as the determination of the position of dates is comerned. It istrue. as le states in the paragaph mext botow that quoted, that
" for atl ordinary purpense the point of begimning is of no importance. sinere the ammal ralendar is omly anderty rotation of the deys until each of them with the same mameral has ocoupied the seventy-there phares allotted to it in the fear." if "ath ordinary purposes " be limited to finding the begiming. elowing, and length of pergods withont regard to the atholate position in the higher Mayan time periods.

To illustrate, I take the has day of the serien just exmment. If the domincal days be Akbal, Lamat, Ben, Ezamab, in the order given, as first declared by kider, this day will be 13 Ik, the zoth day of Mol in the fear 10 Akhat, and the forty-ninth year of the seymar periot, where the coment is by true yars, and the aw-yar perion begine with the year 1 Akhal. According to Mr Goodman's systom, using Ik, Manik, Eh, and Caban as the dominical days in the order given (20 Ik being first in the 5 - year period), comoting the begimning day of the monthe as the 2oth. it woukt be (though absolutely the same day in time) the eoth day of the month (hen in the year alk, the ath year of the 52 -yatr period.

It is undoubtedly true that if the days were written ont in proper succession with the proper umbersattached and the month. properly marked, as in my Maya Year, we might, if the series shoukt be mate of sufficient bongth, begin the cyeleat any point where we conld tind a day numbered 1 and standing as the first (hegimninge) day of the month Pop. But the cyeles of years begiming at different points would not eoineide with one another muless they were exactly 52 yearm, or a multiple of 5 y fears, apart.

As the system has, for the periodu above the year, no fixed historial point as a basis or grade, the dates are only relation, that is to say, a date though radty fonated in the 5 -year periond, mulesis commerted with some determinate time system, may refe to an event that ocemred 200, 500 , or 5 , (100 yoars ago: indother words, is but a point in earll of an entless surcession of similar series.

It is possible after all, that (roodman and I are both in error as to the initial year of the 5 -s-year period, though thin will in no way aflect the caldulation of series and detemmation of dates. The result in these calkulations will he the smme with any fear as the initial one. provided that the regular order of sureession be mantaned. If the ordinary calendar among enlightened nations hart nothing fixed by which to determine relative positions in time. our centuries might be founted from any ont mederl year, amb all calcolations marle would be relatively cormet.

Althomgh Ar (roochnan's computations may le, as we shall doubtless find them as we proced, usually corront, yet there is. if I read him aright, one radical emor in his theory. He has taken the apparatus. the add, the means which the Mayas umed in their time dements ats, in reality, their time system. In other words, he has taken the
calculation a the thing calcutated. Ho make the statment, already quoted:
 reckoming: whoreas, the moment the Tayas departed from specifie dates and emberked upon :m extended time renkoning, they ledt their annmal calendar beland amb mate nse of a sparate diromologital one

It is the error made in this satement that vitates the entire stupendous fabric he has huilt upon it, though all of his eomputations may he correct so far as caldenlation is concerned. The Dasa, in order to caleulate time, had neressarily, just as any other peope to mes some system of motation. Mandslay, thomgh usbally so carofully conservative, seems to have been led astray in this matter. as be remarks:

All the dates and reckonings foum on the monumente which can bee made wet fye the ant of these tables are expresed in athans, katuns, ete., and not in years; lut Mr Gondman maintains that the true year was known to the Mayas, and that it is be the ememrent use of the chronologieal and annual tables that the dates carven on the momments can le properly located in the Maya calembar.
Dr Forstemam and De seler seem also to have missed the true signitivation of this time combing. If the former intended to be maderstood, in suggosting an "old year" of 3tio, that this mumber of days was at an carly period in the history of the Mayam people abtully comoded a y year, as seems to be a fair inference from his languge, if follows as a meressary consequence that the years and also the monthes always rommenced with the same day, though not with the
 and elsurwhere). Although 1)r Seler distinguishes the 3tio days from the true year of 3tho days, he alludes to it as a real time jeriod. Speaking of the "katm," he says:

And hener the disednsion-upon which many potithes paner have been writen-



 adophed ly Mr (ioodmam.

As a Mayan date is properly given when it includes the day and day mmber, :and the momtl and day of the month, this detemines the year in the syem and the dominical day. As dites are fomen in the

 days and henee a four-gare serios there is no reason for belioving

 afol in at mere comure in time motation.

It would seem, therefore, that Mr Goodman has taken the system of notation in use among the Maya-their orders of units-to be, in reality. their chronological system. It would be just as true to say that the system of notation adopted by most enlightened people-the units, tens, humbeds, thousands, millions, ete.. used in cakeulating periods of time-is, in fact, their time system. 'The Maya never left their ammal calendar behind them when embarking uron extemled time reckoning, a fact which is overwhemingly proved by the constant reference to dates in the collices and inseriptions. The only proof fumished by Mr Groodman as to the reality of his discoveries is lased upon this fact. The Maya time counts have only dates of the calendar system in view. Of course the mystical or cermonial use of the 260 day periorl is not tenied. Were it otherwise, their counting up of high numbers would hase no more meaning than the figuring of sehoolboys to see what great numbers they could reach. Howryer, atditional evidence of the correctness of this assertion will become more apparent when I come to the examination of the characters and numbers which Goodman assigns to his highest Mayan time periods. But in the meantime, though pointing out his fundamental error in this respect, we must not lose sight of his real and important fliscoveries. which must have a material bearing on all future attempts at interpretation of the coclices and inscriptions.

Continuing on examination of the inscription of the Palenque Tablet of the Cross, and starting now from our last date. 13 Ik 20 Mol, in the year 10 Akbal (as I have interpreted it), we take up the sucereding series. explained by Mr Goodman as follows:

After half a dozen glyphs, unintelligible further than like wost intervening characters they are to be foum elsewhere in the lists of perion symbols, there is another reckoning-1-18-3-12>20 from the preceding date to 9 Ik 15 Ceh [ 3 left slat)]. This is correct, and in connection with the previous rerkoning it proves conslusively that the preceting date whouk he 13 Ik 20 Chen (p. 135).

This "reckoning" signities 1 cycle, 18 katuns, 8 ahaus, 12 chuens, and 20 days. Here, howerer, occurs again at the left of the chuen symbol the same character as that at the left of D1 mentioned above, which we counted as 0 instead of 20 , as interpreted by Goodnan. We count it as 0 in this instane also:

|  | Daça |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 cyele | 144,000 |
| 18 katuns. | 129, 500 |
| 3 ahaus. | 1,080 |
| 12 chuens | 240 |
| Jays | 0 |
|  | $27+920$ |

Following our own count as given above from 20 Mol, bot us see what the result will be. From the total ( 27.420 days) we subtract $1 t$
 subtracting from this ön, the remaming tays of the rear lo Akhal,


 read ! Lamat. By tahbe 1 wo tind that the lath day of the leth month of the year ! Lamat is : His. the lath day of the mont (exh. This is corrot. ant prores (what Mr (ionolman also clams for his comot) that our deevison as to the dates and the matught symbol is alserorreet. We pase to the serite which follows (t, left slah). 'This is deserihed by Mr (ioodman thas:

 of them tre the bissextile charater and its coanloutor, which 1 think arr employed in Falenque to lenote different numbers of calembar ronnds. These shomblabote filteen, if intenden to indicate the lengtle of the reekoning; if wexpress an anditiomal periond, it is uncertain how many. The other two direstive signs are identioal with two of those usel after 1 thau 18 Zotz to show the reveroning is from that
 heal and two wigns for 20 over it probably indicates an initial late, or a mbetitute for it, ats 1 hhau 1 s Zotz woulhl appear to be in this case. The month symbol is wrong here also. It should he liax instead of Zie.

The next date is at EO. F! which. as there given appeats to be a Ik 20 Zate and the series is 2 days. If dhums. 7 ahams. I katno. and 2 eycles at E. E to F . the symbols being of the usual form. Jo this will

 gests. This date falls in the year 2 Akhal.

The eoment $2-7-11 \times \because$, when converted into datys is as follow-:

|  | 1) my |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 (Vツ) | 2RR. 1000 |
| 1 katan. | 7,201 |
| \% ahatus. | $\therefore 200$ |
| 11 (h)urn | 200 |
| 2 day | $\because$ |

Subtracting from this 1 is (alendar rouncti-2



 yan will be te Akhal, by comoting on table 1 nine monthe in thi
 with the inereiption rxapt as to the month, wheh is ob Zare. The


suggestion, therefore, that the reckoning in to be from 1 Nhat $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{K}}$ Zotz appears to be correct; at least it comects this date with that following the series. when allowane for the correetion mentioned is made.

Athongh this imergularity, of taking the serios step loy step from a given date for atime and then skipping back to another date as the starting point, arouses suspicion of sonething wrong in the proceeding, yet it orrurs more than one both in the inseriptions and coediees, and hence is not neressarily an evidence of error. The two dates which precede the first series indieate two points from which the coment in some of the following series is to hegin. Did we fully understand the intermediate glyphs, we soukd probably find this explained; at any rate we must follow at present what seems to be the most probabe mbe. trusting that future investigation may correct any moms into which we have fallen. Mr Goorlnan, who hat sought to learn the meaning of what he calls directive signs, satys in regard to those connected with this series. "Two directive signs are identical with two of those used after 1 Ahan Is Zotz to show the reckoning is from that date." There is, however, but one that is similar, and it is an oftrepeaterd glyph. It any rate the proper result appears to be 9 Ik 20 Chen in the yar 12 Akhal, at in no posible way an $91 k 20$ Zae, which falle in the yar 11 Ikhal, be reacherd and the day zo Zate in the year 12 $\mathrm{Mkbab}_{\text {l }}$ is 8 Ik , whereas the plan of the series appears to require ! Ik. That the count should be from 1 Thau 15 Zotz- that is. 1 month bate of s dhan 18 Zotz-or that the 11 chmens in the mumeral series should be 10, is shown in another way, thus: To ohtain the lapse of time from the last preceding date, 9 Ik 1.5 Ceh, we deduct ! , eow days (third series) from 13,242 (fourth scriss), and from this dedurt s.1982 (first series), over which, as we have seen, the count skipperd; this leaves 1,060 diys. (Counted forward from : kk ló C'rh (yoar ! Lamat), this nomber of days hrings us to 3 lk zo Yax in the year 19 Akhal, just 1 month later than 20 Chen. This calculation is based on 8 Ahan is Tzer as the starting point: hence we must comat from 1 Ahan 1 o Zotz, or assmme that the 11 chuens in the numeral series should be 10 . That the en Zate is wrong semens to be evident. Basinge the count on 4 than o comh and sthan 18 Tzee will bring the same result, as will he sem hy subtracting $2.4+1$ from $13,24 \geq$ and counting forward from the former.

The series (o) ot the left shab) following the last date-: Ik 20 (henas corrected, is described by Mr. Goodman as follows: "The reckoning which follows. $3-6-10-12 \times 2$, from the beginning of the great cycle is correct. It is here the 5 Mol should have gone, that heing the month date." These number symbols, 8 erver, 6 katuns, 10 ahatus, 12 chuens, 2 dars. which amoment to 46,040 days, are followed at F12 by 9 Ik without any areompanying month symbel. The cerde and ahau symbols in this instance are fate forms. By asoming a- the month
dato is Nol, amd wonting back, Mr Coodman reathest Ahan CombuD.3. Ft. That the rount hatewad from ? lk 5 Mol will reath thatu 8 Cumhu is true. but hore again is leaping over sories as though they were inserted withont plan of system. Moreoror. Mr Goodmans remark that the rount reaches back to the begiming of the wreat eycle appals to be inmonsistent with his own figures moses we changer his "full counts" to natughs. The initial sories which he gives is, as has heen shown, 53-12-13-13-4×20 to s than 18 Tzec. Now. from this date-s Ahau is Tree-to $t$ Ahan 8 Cumhu. acoording to his own coment (page 135 ) is $6-1+\times 20$. Let wa ahl these together.

| Cycles | Kutuns | Ahaus | ' 'huens | 1hys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 19 | 13 | $\pm$ | 20 |
|  |  | 6 | 14 | $\because 0$ |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | $\because$ | 0 |

This reckoning runs hatk beyond the beginning of his 13 th cyele, and hence, by his method of stating sories, past the beginning of his great cycle, by two months, using his own figures. If the 20 days in the two series hat been counted as 0 . his caleubation would have brought him to the beginning of a great rycle according to his scheme. Although, as has been stated. he does mot use the full comnts in his calculations, roference is made here to his method of stating mumeral series in order to guard students from being led into arror thereby. In every case where he uses 20 for days. ahams, or katuns, and is for chums, the true figure is 0 .

Another fact to be taken into consideration in deriding whether the evidence in the last combt is satisfactory is that, as 1 k might fall on the 5th, 10th. 15th, of both of the month and any one of the months might be chowen, there are $72(4 \times 1 \mathrm{n})$ variations to be tried to hing it into acoord with the precoding date. If it could be connected by a following ammeral series with some other date. the eridence would then be entimely aceptable. hat this does not appear to be the case.

Howerer, I am not entirely satistied with the result in this cande, as the omission of the month date seems to imply that the :3 lk is to fall on the 20th day of the month. If wo follow the same ruld as in the two preareding series. and subtrat the the (29.9.942 days) from the 5 th
 the one month as bufore and eounting from the lat proceding date-

 watd from! Ahan 18 Kotz, we reach ! Ik the eoth day of the month by dropping the same tronblesome one month. These facts lead me to suspert that the trwe solution of the perblem has wot yet been reathed.

Following the last datc, after some five unknown erlyphe are pased,

th ahans, 1 katun, equal to 9.818 days. As no date appears in the remainder of the colmms of this left slab, the question arises, Is the left inseription complete in itself and this the close, or is there connection with that of the middle space or right slab! This question will be discussed a little finther on. However, it may be stated here that by using the last (tentl) numeral series on the right slab ( 7,0 on 2 ? days) and comnting forward from 1 Ahan 18 Zotz 2 Akbal, of the left slah, we reach! Ik $n^{\circ}$ Mols Ezanat, of the fifth series of the left slab; but this would seem to be an aceidental coincidence.

As additions to the evidence already adduced in regard to the use of face characters to represent numbers, attention is called to others on this slab in regard to which there can be no question. One of these represmeng the ahan, or third order of nnits, is seen at F10; one denoting the eycle, or fifth order of units. at F11: another representing the ahan is seen in front of the anklets of the left priest at Li3, and another denoting the katun or cyele is under the feet of the left priest.

The inseription in the middle space begins with the date 9 Akbal 6 Xnl-including the two grlyphis G and II above the head of the left priest. These are distimet, and are probably to beacepeted as correct, as the inseription in the middle spare of the Tahlet of the Sun, which appears to be similar in several respects to that on this tablet, begins with precisely the sance date, in the same relative position. The numeral series (1) which follows ronsists of glyphs L12 and L13, immediately in front of the anklets of the left priest. These are 17 days, 8 chuens, 1 ahan, which equal 537 days. It is possible, however, that the large glyph on which the left priest is standing, which indicates 9 katuns or 9 cycles, is to be included in this series. If they are katuns. then the total number of days is 65.837. from which decheting three calendar romds ( 56,940 days), leaves 8,397 days to be comnted: if they are eycles, the total number of days is $1,296.337$, from which deducting 68 calendar rounds ( $1.290,6+0)$. leaves 5,897 days. The date which follows at glyph L1t is 13 thau and apparently is Kayab : or Xul! or possibly Kankin, though the month symbol ean not be determined with positive certainty by inspection of the photograph or of Maudslay s drawing. The corresponding date in the Sun Tablet is 13 Ahau 18 Kankin; and what is worthy of notice is that comnting forward 537 days from 9 Akbal 6 Xul, year 8 Ezanab, brings us to 13 Ahan 18 Kankin, year 4 Akbat; this is probably the correct date. Using the katms or cycles we can make comection with none of the green dates; hence the glyph on which the priest is standing may he omitted from the nomeral series. Neither 9 Akbal 6 Xrnl, nor 18 thau 18 Kankin, nor 13 Ahau 18 Kayab will connect with any of the dates on the left slab by any of the numbers given.

Taking for granted that 9 Akbat 6 Xul is the date intended by the
aborigimal artiot to be given at thin point．We next try the eromertions forwitr
＇The other dates and series in the middle space after for ．Than is Kimkin！（or kiavil！！）already mentomed．are the following：I date at（） 1 。（） 2 over the hands of the right priest．Thi is too hadly defaced to be detemaned：all that（an be pexitively aserted is that the manter of the day of the month is ？ 3 thens membering it certain that it mand be Abatu，Chiechath．（）．or Mon．＇Ther number of the daye was－matl． seemingly ：or 1 ，hat evidently not excoeding m：Mandshers drawing givess．The corresponding date on the Tithlet of the sun the given by
 ingly on the＇libled of the Foliated（＇ross．The next mumeral series （ $\because$ ．middle－pare）is found in the seeond and third glyphe of colmm R， immediately behind the shoulders of the right priest．This ：ppentre by
 in his drawing of this inseription in pat 10 of his work，makes the nmmber of chans $1:$ ，taking for granted，as seems to be indicated． though it is somewhat doubtiul．that the fwo outer dots．have beren foroken away．This would ineratse the total mambere of days to 2．t2th．


Before attempting to make commertions between the dates on the middle shate and those which follow we will pass to the eolmme of the inseription on the right shat）．The lisst date is found in eryphe Te． L3．viz： 15 －：20 Pop．Tho day（an not he dotemmed hy inapere－ tion．Howerar．it mast be（＇ilam．Ik．Mank．or Eh，these being the only diys which fall on the zoth day of the month．＇The mumber pre－ fixed to the month in this instame is the fall－comen or 20 smbel．two


 the former：the month symbul is sommenat indistinct．hut appeare to be that of kityath．The errereponding date in the inswription of the

 position is owoupiod in the T＇able of the（＇rose now undor considuration












Neither of the two dates preceding the first series of the right shot, as determined hy inspertion of the inseription. makes a satisfactory connertion with any preceding or following date: the proper day, but not the proper number, and even the day of the month, is reached, but there is no complate dereement, nor can the result be followed up with proof of its comrentness. If we deduct $\&$ days from s,0.at, the first mumeral series of the right slab, and coment binck from S Cimi 14 Kayah 10 Ben, we reach 18 . Thatu is Kayath 1 Akhal, which may possibly be the correat date following the firat saries in the middle space. But this will not comeet with : Akhal "Xul by the intermediate 537 days. but with ! Akbal "then, year 1: Ezanah. Howerer, if we
 Ahatu 1s Kankin, year 9 Akhal. the second date of the midede spate, as found by matulation from ! Akbal 6 Xul \& Ezamab, this will bring us to 5 Cimi it Kankin, year 5 Ben, which may be the seeond date of the right slat, thengh the month sumbol appears to be that of Kayah, and is so interpreted in Mandshay stawing. This will change the days of the glyph $T+$ fiom $1 t$ to 6 . but these are exactly in the lime of the break in the slah and hare been restored hy Dr Ratu. Nevertheless. ass ('imi $1+$ Kankin will not commert with any fothowing date hy thr mameral series as they stand, the result is not watisfatory.

The tirst date $11-!30$ P(op) if construed to be 11 Manik 20 Pop 5 Lamat, will, by rounting forward with 15,217 , the seventh series, bring ns to 5 Kan 12 Kankin, year 7 Ben. the date of the sixth series, oxcept that the month is Kankin instead of Kayah as in the inseription. Can it he that these supposed Kayab symbols should be interpered Kankin? That some of then differ materially from the others is apparent. If, howerer, the date is construed to be 11 lk 20 l 'op, year or Akbal, and series 2 and 3 ( 4.74 and $1 \cdot 2$ ) be subtracted from the first series ( 8084 ), the remainder, 8.162 , will. hy rounting forward, rearh I Kan $\because$ Kankin. year 13 Akhal. the date following the first series exerpt as to the month, which in the inscription appars to be Kayab, though uncertain. The day symbol of the tirst date. $11-!20$ Popl. hoes not appear to be Ik, though too nearly obliterated to br determined by inspection. But it appears, on the other hatud, as has been stated, that if we asime this first date to he 11 Mamik 20 ['op). Year 5 Lamat and count forward 15, 217 (the seronth series), wo reath 5 Kan 12 Kankin, year 7 Bem. date of the sixth series exoept the month. which is Kayath in the inseription, or what has newally heren taken as Kayath, and is of the form given in the Dresden eorex to this month symbol. And lastly, it may be stated that Mandslay's drawing is eridently intended to imbicate Caban. As neither of these results can be followed up with other satisfatory comnertions they must be considered as merely acridental coincidences. The sams remark applies aloo to the noxt dato. © ('imi (or Cil!) 1t Kayab) Nor can any satisfactory comection be madre with the next date-1 Kan 2 Kayab. By
 mentioned :there. li' the date of the liftle series. left shab. be con-

 corrert date, worording to the inseription. If this reckoning lne accepted it will lorm at combertion betwern the insariptions of the right sud loft slaths.

The serend date following the dirst maneral seribs on this Jath is

 ahams, which expal f,it! days, and following this series, al sht Tht.

 Lamat 6 X lommediately following the last-mentioned dato, at slos, is the short nomeral series (3. right shab). 3 days. 6 ehmens. or 123 days. which. counting forward. bring us to 8 than 13 Ceh, year to Lamat, the date which followsat 'T17. U1. The rule therefore holds good as to these dates and the fwo intervening mumeral series. It would sem to follow, therefore that the arrangement or plan of the arios on this slab. when fouml, should admedd with the determination as fo these two surios: but from this point to the end of the insereption there is no conne etion of dates -with possibly one exception without some change in dates Or mumbers from what they appear to he he insection. or ehang in the direction of the reckoning. I shall therrofere note the position of the dates and series whish have been mentioned in the preceding list. and then atd some remarks in regatel to the relation of the dates and series to she another. I do this becams Mr (ioodman has left unnotioed the serfes of the inseription on this right shab, possibly bereata of the diflioulty and seoming impossibility of bringing them into harmomy with his therory.

Immediately following the has date mentioned there is at ['0 a smbol demoting : creles. or ninth cyalo. hut judging by the fule adopered loy Mr: (ioodmath this is not to be momsidered a part of the momeral series (1) which follows immediately after at [': te Ct.viz.
 date : Ezanabl I! Xul, the day somewhat indistinet, but so rendered, apparenty correctly, hy Mandsay. Following this at LV. Ľ: is the
 katun. 'The mombers of this series in the inscription hare heren injured tosuch an extent as to remder merertain those marked as donbtful: the momher of ditys is assumed to be 13.13n, which is probathly eorecet, but the errens, if there be one is such that it should be readily diseosared he means of connecting serios, if theso be corred.

Foblowing the last series, at U10, V10 is a datu so nealy ohliterated
that it can not be determined (except the numerals) with positive eertainty: it appears to be 5 thau ${ }^{\text {P Tzor. Glyphe Vlo. U13 give another }}$ date. 5 - ? 20 Zotz. The features of the day symblel are completely obliterated: the protix to the month glyph is the symbol for 20 . Imme-
 ahatus, 1 katun (14.17f days): at V17. V17 the dater 5 Kan 12 Kayah; at W1. W2 the sories ( 7 ) 17 days, 4 ehmons, 2 ahatus, 2 katums ( 15.217 days); at $X 5, W 6$ the date 1 mix $\pm$ Ceh (or $/ 2 i p$ ), month symbol somewhat doubtfinl. but one of the two manmed, apparently Ceh. Following this at Xif. WT is the brief series (o) 1 day. 1 rhuen. 1 ahan (381 dars). followed at X10. Wr11 hy the date F Kin 17 Mol; this is followed at X11. X13 hy the surion (3) 7 days, 4 chuens. othans, 2 katums ( 17.367 days): following this at $W 14 . \mathrm{N} 14$ is an uncertan date- 11 Cil . Cini, or Chicelam, 1t! (or 18!) Kayab: The day symboland its mumber are distinct amd "har, but the symbol is umbital: the momber prefixed to the month symbol has betn partially booken away; there were certainly two lines (10) and some two. three, or four balls. The month symbol is uneretain. Tut is apparently the same as that of the date 13 Ahan 18 Layab: or Xul, in colum L, though it has something additiomal on top. It is possible the symbol is intended for Chen m. Kankin.

Following the last date ( 11 (ib)! at Wran. N 15 is the series ( 10 ) 2 days. $\leq$ •hmens, $16,16,18$, or 19 ahaus. The three lines (15) protixed to the ahatu symbol are diatimet. but the additional balls or dots have been injured to sublh an extent as to render the manber uncertain ( 7 , ont days. (ounting $1!$ ahatus). There is no date or other series in the remaining portion of the insoription.

If it be possible to detemmine the phan. sucerssion, or armagement of the serise in this inseription, an important step will have been gatned and a basis laid for the correct determination of the associated glyphs. The peculiantios of Mayan time system and notation so often lead to deceptive results that extreme cantion is required, and a single connection or proper rexult is seldom sufficient evidener of a rorrect interpretation.

Taking the list of the surbes as given we are at once impressed with the strong general resemblame to the plan of the series on many of the phates of the lresden codex. Whore sereral different series are found, somer reckoned in one direction and some in another, as. for "xample. plater 7 . where there are ome putire series, parts of two others. and dislonated parts of two: or plate 70 , where there are, in whole or in part. some latf dozen series still in a tangle which has not yet been straghtemed out: alaw other plates.
'Taking merely the mumoriaal series in the order they stamd and thanged to days. there is cortamly in the irregularly ancending soale an indication of arrangement. of and relation betwern the series. 19 ETLI, DT $\because-13$
 whth the right sah ant then with the left．aro an follows：

|  | Midतl／syutet |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 83： |
| 2. | $\because$ ，34\％＇ |
| lighle shal， |  |
|  | $\therefore$ S． 0 \％ 3 |
| 2. | 4.749 |
| ： | 123 |
| 4. | 111，11\％ |
| 5. | －13，135 |
| 6． | －11，176 |
|  | 15， 217 |
| $\cdots$ | 3 Sl |
| 9. | 17．8324 |
|  | －－ C （0）＂， |
| Le挍 slul， |  |
|  | －2！パ） |
| $\because$. | 512 |
|  | ．．．274，920 |
|  |  |
|  | －4\％！11＋2 |
| 13. | ．．．！！51\％ |

It is apparent from this list that there is an irregulary asomeners sathe following the ordare given，hat so liar mo common divisor fomme

 the deat of semed reformere to the ditleremoes，as is matal in the




 will not le amion to again notioe them here．











 ments can sameely he aceidental，and if not，they satahlish two

 result ：and．soment．that the pmendation of the tirst mumeral seribs by addinge ob days is alas correct．Other relations of dates on the beft slah have been given．bexides which no further ermonertion hy wing the ditlerences of the mumeral series can be obtainect．

Thoning to the right slab．if，the has been suggested．We assume the


 bring us to 1 Kan z Kankin \}: Akbal, the date following the first mameral series．if the month symbol is interpreted Kankin instead of Kayab．This result，however．is not so satisfactory as that of the left sab．as the day in（ 11 －！ 20 Pop）does not apperar to be Ik，theogh indeterminable by inspection；but it has been refereed to in connection with the seckoning in legard to the inseription onthe left shat，as it may trad to show that these minor sories are to be deducted in trateing＂omneretion of the datco．

After a somewhat lengthy and caroful stady of the inseription on this tablet．teating the relation of the serime ly ealculation in every possible waty I have fated to find any satisfartory evidenee of eonnes－ tion in at continoous line．The indiations peint rather to two or moter parallel lines．There are howeror，ditlientios in the way of obtaining a chear understanding of the plan adoperd hy the oreginal atrist whieh I have heen mathe to orereome．so grata in fact，that wre it not for other evidence，the worectness of Gookman＂s theory in this erepoet womd he left in doulot．It was probably on aroomet of theme diffient－ ties that this authore omitted any referene to the inscription on the light shathe the hest known and most aceresible to stadents of all the Central Amerientu inseriptions．Some imbiations of different limes of serise are forbud in the ofertapping of reskoning in the inseription of the left slab already griere．
 1：Ceh whicl follows mumeral series $:$ of this slab（sen list of suries
 nerted with any mumeral series．This is．as will tre fond in other instances．poobahls intemded to indicate that at this point ！wars laty
 the left stab．The day A A hatu 18 Coh is the first day of the loth cyele as．given in Goodmanis chmonohotal catentar．It is．however．rer－ tain that all the mumeral seribs preceding it on the tablet fall short of amounting to ！agedes．Moreover．some of them appoar，as has been

actablly combed．These facts serm to indiate that there is some mision．in truth a pary large one：but with our present knowledge we are matle to solve the problem．

I have atready alluded to the gustion of comedion botwern the left and right slats，direct，or by means of the ebatamers in the mid－ dhe spare．In（ionothan evidently follows the ideat that the begiming of the inseription on the right wiah（six eolumns）follows dieedly the Whese of that on the left slat）．Ite does not make this phain in his
 on a previons page are considered（p）： 9 th it heromes evident as the wo ＂pper glyples of this figure are the last（E17 and F17）of the inserip－ tion on the loft slah，and the other three the first three（S1．T1，and Sa）in the inseription on the right slat．In comertion therewith he remarke as follows：

The reckoning here is from the heginning of a great cyele．A motation of $1-$ ti－T $\times 12$（the 12 erronemsly atpears as 13 ）precedes the glyphs and is to he inwor－ pratert with them．The reckoning shows the difference botween the dates in the annual c：ilemlar．

His reckoning（ $1-6 ;-7 \times 12$ ）is 1 katm，is ahans， 7 chuens， 12 days＝ 9,010 （given in the sixth series of our list of the left sath as 9,513 ）．If it were true．ats he states，that the＂reckoning shows the differenee between the dater of the ammal calendar．＂meaning the date preced－ ing and that following the mumeral series，this would be strong proof of comection，but unfortunately Mr Gomdman is mistaken in this instance，as meither the hast preceding date（！Ik 5 Mol），nor the initial
 with cither of the first two dates of the right slath，or wny other date therem．If there be any cometion between the dates in the different －paces，it is between those of the middle pate and those of the right wahb realing forward．and the hast date on the inseription of the right what and one of thoser on the left．

It je mident from what has hern shown that the proof of Mr Gemod－ manis theory，drawn from the Tablet of the（rose is not were sation factory ar mot more than ome－third of the dates thereon ran be
 cession the probability of the doubie or treble coincidence is so extremely remotw that the theny as to the mmeral symber and the ir
 aroured but once in the whole range of the inseriptions it wombld te：
 and again in the inserptions，and weme arill he sem，a sumesion of thereand fone the proof is too strong to be rexisted．Even without
 hatme of these mumbial serpes to these of the codicen is ahmot． if not quite．malliciont to justify frosduran：interpmetation of the mumeral smbols to which allusion hat trew madr．




Wre turn to the insaription on the Tablet of the sum-of whirh we atoo hare a photograph he Mr Mandslay, shown in ond plate xat and to Mr (roodman: comment, which is as follows (juge 13ti):
 of the glythe of the initial lirective series. I reckeming of $1-2 / 11$, with three mintelligihle glyphe following, points to a date which apowars to le 1 ("ahan 10 Tzes'; hut as that is not the date to which the intelligible part of the rewking womblead, louth the date and direction are mertain. Thirteen glyphe follow,
 nection I du wot know. Then amme a restatement of the initial reckoning, $1-1-5-3 \times 6$, from the berinning of the grat cerch, followed by nine glyphe whet nse here is mintelligible, thongh four of them are signs with whose meaning we are acquainter). Next in creder comes at reckoning of $9-10-18-5.616$ (follown? by four glyphe nearly identical with a series in the pereeding inseriptim), from $t$ Ahan si Cumhu, the heginning of the great eycle, to 2 (ib) 14 Moh. This is correct.
 cahmbar the last two dates adjuin each other, but whether the latter is here intemded to he the succeeding day, or whether sone ealendar romeds are indieated he the dharaters preceding it, is something we are at present unable to deternine. Nixtem baflling glyph follow, and then there is a reckoming of $7-1 ;-12 \times 3-12$. Ahan s Ceh. There are no rengnizalde divestive signs here, but bey trial we disenver that
 comes after wix intervening glybhe. Eight more mintelligil怅 glyphs occur, and
 sigus are unfaniliar, but as the reckoming is lackward to : Aklal is Xol, they probahly denote that fact. Next is $1-5 \times 17,1.3$ Nham 15 Kankin, which is declareal to 1 w a 10 th ahan, the reckoming heing the distance from 9 Akhal if Ninl to that



This is a phozling insoription so far an its numeral or time serios are concerned, a fact alparent from the comment which Mr (eroctman makes on it. Ithough there are several series with sufficient data for the purpose of tracing them. leat tew of the dates can be comected, anct thene not satiatiantorily.
 tion aro as follows. adopting (roodman's interpretation of the initial sories:

> Left slebl,

at | 1 | 18 | 5 | 3 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 18 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 9 | 12 | 15 | 5 | 18 |

912 16 516

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Caham'. 10 Tzer (3 damat) | 41 |
| (N0, clate) (275, +6\% ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |
| ( Nor late) (1,388, 496) | 3, |

Mirlolle spure
4 Akhal © Sul (* Ezanah)
1: Ahan 18 K゙ankin (9, 1kbal)
sOc? S Kayal)? (11 1.amat?)

## Righlet slut,

\& Than s ("umbus ( C Ban)
$\because$ (ibl $1+$ Mot ( 5 . 1 klnal )
3 ('at:m lin Mol (5 Akhal)

4. Akhal ti Nial (8 lexamab)


For eonvenionce of reference the series of ath divivion ame manbered at the left; the year to whith the date refers is qiven in paranthesis following the date, and the equivalent in days of the time series-after dedueting the calendar remads where greater than one round-is plated at the right. The positions of the various dates and serios in the inaription are given ats wo proced.

In this inseription as that of the ('ross, the mumbers pretixed to the periods of the intial series are fare chatactere instead of the wrdinary mumber symbols, excopt the mmber prefixad to the month symbol Ceh, which consists of the usual limes and dots. This initial morien-5t-1-18-o-s-6-interproted. is as follows: The fifty-fomrh great
 19th day of the month Coh. Wr (ioodman's interpretation of this inseription. su far as it extembs, is given above. It appears that he phares as seems to be his rule, the inseription in the middle pate after that in the right slab. It is posiblle as is indicated be what forlows, that he is right in this instame.

That 18 (imi 19) Coh, the tiret date, will mot rommert with the mext date hy 1 ahan, 2 dumens, 11 days ( 411 (ays), the meeond muneral veries

 notwithstanding the radical erose on the part of the original artint impliad by the assmuption that the last is the comed thate here. there




 realt, it must le remembered. is hased mon the assmotion that Mr
 rembering of the face momerat. In this "ase his detremination has beren reached not from the details of the fiere dhatarer but from his theory that his ith proat crole hegins with + Ahatus Cumhtu, as


 signs for 1:3:


"home rign than any other. but the numeral is mmistakahy 18 . It is more rea-
 chaten symbol shomlal have heem usal th repreeent both 13 and tis.

The third mumbere eries is fombl (in reveres owder) in glyphe (7.
 chaturers.
 5 chnens. 16 days. is found (in reverse moler) inglyphe ('tat to C16. inclusive. Wers the diys are not joined to the chaen symbolas usuat, but have a separate sfimbor (C'1t) a fate character with the number prefixed. The when smbol ( $\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{t}$ ) is aloo a face chatrater. The series redured to days is 1.358 .4 thi. from which subtracting 73 calendar rombds leaves 8.456 days to be comnted. Counting formard this number of days fron $t$ Ahan $\&$ ('umhn (o Ben) the beginning of (xoodman*s
 in this instance are found "ftor the nomeral series and on the right
 the dates together before or after a momeral series which donotes the lapse of time between them is umsual. hut not without preredent.
[sing the last result, we may perlape find the proper eonneetion with $1 \%$ Cimi 19 Coh, the first wiven date. Subtrating the third series
 days. from which subtracting so calendar rounds (1,100, sto days) leaves $12.6!\%$ days to be counted. Reckoning hack this number of days (12.600) from : Cih 14 Mol (5 Akbal) we reach 13 (imi 19 (eh (9) Lamat) the first date of the laft sabh. Of rourse it follows that rounting forward from 13 ('imi 19 Ceh (9 Lamat), the difference between the third and fourth series. wreach 2 (ibs 14 Mol ( 5 Akhal). Subtracting the thind series from the fourth in order to get back to 13 ( im 19 ('eh is certainly proper, as the former is included in the latter: These results would seem to be correct, and it so, justify Coodman's interpretation " $1 \%$ " of the fine nomeral joined to Cimi. and form a seeond connertion between the inseriptions of the left and right stabs. Howerer, using the last munber. 12.690 less 411 (12.274). and counting hatek from 2 (ib) It Mol, we ratrh 8 Caban 5 Mann (10 Ban insteal of 1 Caban 10 Tzoes. As this is. as it should be. aloo the date reached hy romnting forward 411 days from 13 Cimi 19 Ceh (9 Lamat). I am indined to believe that it is corrert. and that here the original artist has by mistake given an eromeons date. It is apparent that to we +11 days in counting forward from 1: Cimi 19 Ceh, year a Lamat, mast of necessity bring as into the yar 10 Ben, therefore, as 1 (ahan 10 Tzer cam not be connected with any other date by subtraction, addation. or skipping. and the date $\&$ Caban or Muan will commect both harkward and forward, it may be arcepted as probahly eorrect.

As there is no mumeral series in the middle space, these may be leint
to be determined hy the dates. of from the mumerad areme in the correponding position in the Tahlat ol the ('ros. Be lhis as it may. it is certain that the first mamerat sertes in the midelle space of the

 days. the serome arders in the middle spater of the 'Tahbet of the ('rose.

 bowerer. would seem to le cont may to the eridenee adduced of the dired commetion letwers the inseriptions of the left and right abs: nevortholess it is a manarkable roincodemor which depende on amme fiact in regard to the ereries not yot asorrtained. Poseibly these form a separate sucersion of series.

I hate been mable to fiod any commertion between aither of the dates ol the reght shat, whieh precede the fist momeral series and any ont which follows. Thinserjes in reverar order is : days. le chanom-
 aftor subtratinge (alendat rounds. Itant: days. I'sing the latter

 follow the numaral surise

Foflowing the lat-mentioned date. at ( 211, lill is the mumbral moriso


 of lill), and this is followed at Rlt (right portome and (Qts he the date 1:3. Aham 1 o Kankin. It will be observed that two of these dates are the same at the firs amd serond dates of the middle space. It somes from the reekenings wheh lollow that the number of days in the meroml




 Year - Ezamalo; this may be the tios date in the middle space amd mot
 Goodman conteme, which wonld be a latekwatel count as stated in the

 betwern the same dates in the middle spate of the 'lahlel of the ('ion-
 date on the rixht stat: ore adding logether the areond and third sorvor

 results senm to justify the slight corrections made in the momerals.



 two cases where his statoments are palpably rroneotlo．Hogion 17 as the mumber of dity－in the third series right slats without reforemer to the fiact that the inseripetion shows 12 ．I think that 17 days are to be counterl here，but the inserpiption show－chearly 12 ．

The mext inseription to which attention is directed is that on the so－called Tablet of the Foliated（roos．Herw we are farored with Mr Mandalay－excellent photograph，of which a arpy iv given in our plate xlin．
The numeral serien and dater in the order in which they stand in the inseription．induding the intial serion an interpered by Goodman （extept as to the ef dits），are als follow：


Is in the lists heretofore given，for conveniene the serifs are num－ bered at the left．the yeare are added in parenthemes．the mumber of days are indiented hey the mmeral serise placed to the right，and the remainder is shown after the calendar romeds have been subtrated when the total excerde a caldendar round．In place of the 20 dars given by Goobman I have in earh vam substituted of days．as I thum interperet the symbel in the inseripetion．

As the reader must have the inseription before him to find the pesi－ tion of the momeral sorise and date and is presumed now to be suf－ diefently pusted to find them from the list giren abowe it is deemed munecessary to give here a list of the erlyph．Fuch reteremere to predal glyphes as dremed nocessatry will be made an we procond．

The numerals to the time periods in the initial series of this inseripetion， as in the two which have been examined．comsist of face whataters，
axap the 1：to the menth Mate．For their dempmination we are
 being sullicent tomathe us to idmity some of them．The date from

 －Ben．（bomber forward from this date ：9．ato days the number



 inw（fijulim：



 tain diretive characters．is derlarel to be a reckoning to the bexinning day serore of

 Whan $1:$ Naw：I ann positive of this，for the very mext reckoning will show that



 miswing to，olo days．I－from this on the reckoning and dates of the two inserip－ thons are nearly the same，it is mot worth while to repat them；I will，however， give a－ynop－is showing the prosition of the date in buth：

| ） | 5t | 1 | 1is | i | $3 \times 1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2） | 5t | 1 | 18 | 5 | $4 \times 20$ | 1 ．That 13 Mat |
| 引 | it | 1 | 14 | 1 | 1s． 19 | 1 （antar $\overline{\text { I Pax }}$ |
| （1） | 5t | ： | 21 | 20 | $15 \times 31$ |  |
| （．） | St | $!$ | ； | 1 | 15－ 30 | 12．Thimit（idy |
| （6） | it | ！ | 111 | $\because$ | i $\times$ i | $\because$（ imil 19\％\％ot\％ |
| （1） | －t | 4 | 10 | － | $!+8$ | 1）\kont tixul |
| い | it | 9 | 10 | 111 | 1s． 20 | 1：1h：11 IA K゙ankin |
| （！） | － 4 | $!$ | 12 | 11 | $12 \times 10$ | \＆い，：Kay |
| （10） | －1 | 4 | 12 | 18 | －）$\times 16$ | $\because$（\％） $1+$ Mul |
| 11） | it | 4 | $1: 3$ | $\because 1$ | $14 \times 20$ | －Uhatı $*$ Iou |

Bewiming with the firel date．I Ahan 1：Mate（which fallo in the rear



 at 131 ，At immediately lollowing．Thin menth is important an it
 hy Mr（iondman to the lame oflyphathed to the day Ahat The rerkoning hore is forward，which is presmed to be the diredion followed the the werme

comet it, 1 katun, $1+$ absus, $t+$ chucns, 0 (lyys, or, in all, 12.520 days, the reekoning is forward this number of days. presumably from 1 Ciance 7 Yax in the year 10 Ben. No commertion is made by this count: hut when 2! 4 datys thr amount ot the previous seribs are deductod, the
 added day of the yar + Ezamal). This is corrert, as we find this date tollowing the series at ('S, Ds. By using the whole numeral series12520 days and counting from the first date 1 thatu 13 Mar (!) Lamat) - we reach the latter date-2 Aham 3 Cayph-ans. of course, we should. We thus haro proof not only that Mr Goodman hat comectly interpreted the symbol at D. as that of the Cayeh, or 5 added-day period, but aloo additional evidenee in favor of the number assigned by him to the face character of the first date. It may be said that this first date was found by counting backward from after dates. Be it so, this method is profectly legitimate and is the only means of determination in such case muless his theory of comnting from the begimning of the great cycle and also his interpretation of the face mumerat be accepted. The symbols of the month and day of the month are clear, and limit the day to one of four- Ahan. Chicchan, Oe, Nen-mone of which, save Ahat, will comert with the following dates. I therefore deam the evidence sufficiont for areeptance.

As 1 Ahau 13 Mac is reintroduced at D) 14 . ( 15 , it would seem that a new reckoning should begin from this point. The result of the trial, using the entire nomeral series which comes immediately atter the date is an follows:


As 1 thau 13 Nac falls in the year 9 Lamat, we rookon from that date. comoting forward 17.096 days, and rearh e (ib) 14 Yax in the year 4 Akbal. This is correct except as to the month, which, as shown hegeph M1, is certainty Mol. It is evident, therefore that Mr ( moodman is wrong in assmmine that the series $7-7-7-3-16$ ( 11 17.0日, days after casting out the calendar rounds) connects 1 Ahau 18 Mac of the left sath with 2 Cib $1+$ Nol, the first date of the right lath, manes the month is corrected to Yax. What he means hy " to.000 days to he accounted for," and that thes are to be aceonnted for by the reckoning $" 7-7-7-3-16$ to 2 Cib 14 Mol," is not chanr. Alcording to his "synopsis showing the position of the dates in both [insoriptions]"




He makes the lapse of time from 1 Tham $1: 3$ Mac to $丷$（＇ih $t+$ Nod
 dar founds．＇That this mamler of days will commert the two dates is certangy the but where is the evidenere to justify this radieal whage
 prowl that thase two dates ate to be commerted by the foturth momeral
 mast bedemonstration tirat that the are to he eommeeted ancording to the plan of the aboriginal artist．The diaed conmedion betwern the serise of the latt and right slab is therefore mot proved，though the reekonings given aboroseem to indiator it．





 which is to be commed is mocertam，as it is immediately followed at


 amd the month symbol is unu－nal．hut more like that for ki：uab than





 followed be modate．

 （16．Tw days）with whter of the（later which follow．＂The rexkoninge






Kilyall: second. s Abau 13 [0 will not connect with the following date: third. © Oe 3 Kityh will answer nore requirements of the position than will s Aham 13 Uo. Assuming s Ahan 18 U'o to be correct, the onty commection is backward by the second numeral series, 17.76t, with $\because$ (ib) 14 Mol, first date of the right slab. Aswming the date to be 8 ()e
 followed hes no date. we reach 2 (ih) $1 t$ Mol, year 5 . Ahtal, which is presumed to fill the place of the missing date. Comoting forward from this det days, the fourth mmeral series, we rearh s Ahatus ['o, year 7 Ben, the date wheh follows. I am inclined, though with considerable doubt. to arcept this as the rorreet solution, as Goodman serms to have done, but it leares he without any conneetion batekwad from s Oc B Kavath, simiłar duplication of dates is found in the inseription of the Tablet of the Sun.

In this ease, ats well as in the preceding insoription. if we count 2,386 days (the mumber in the second series of the middle spate in the Tablet of the Cross) from 8 Oe ${ }^{2}$ kityab in the middre space. wronneet with 2 (ib) 14 Mol, first date on the right will,

Let us examin now Goodman's synopsis (pagr T6i). By comparing it with the lists of the series of the Tablet of the Sun and the Timbet of the Foliated Cross (pages 761, 760), it will be seen that he begins with the first sories on the left shab of the Tablet of the Sun (date $1: 3$ ('imi $1:{ }^{\prime}$ ('eh). His next series is the first of the left slab of the Tablet of the Foliated Cross (date 1 than 18 Mace) the lapse between the two being 14 dars. Ilis next (3) is the second series, left slah of the Tablet of the Foliated Coss (date 1 Cance 7 Yas): his next ( 4 ) is the third. left shab of the Tablet of the Foliated Cross. 'This skips over the second arries of the left slab of the Tablet of the Sun (date $\because$ ( $a$ ban 10 Tzece. Moreover, the fourth series ( 4 ), whid be gives bere as $2-20-20-150$ (the 20s and 15 each being in fact counted hy him an 0, as can readily he shown hy his own figures, $2-0$ - 0 - 0 - 0 making the commetion he designates), is made not by adding the third series of the hoft slab of the Tablet of the Foliated (ross (1-1t-14-0) to his series $\therefore$. hut to suries 2 , the second series of the tablet $(1+1: 9)$ being inchuded, as 1 have shown, in the third ( $1-1+1 t-10$ ). In other words, the eount from 1 (imac $\overline{7}$ Yax to 2 Ahau $:$ Layel, is to be obtained by subtrating serics $2(1+19)$ from the third series $(1-14-14-0)$. left sab of the Tablet of the Foliated Cross. The next three dates 12 Ahans Ceh, 2 Cimi 19 Zotz, and 9 Akbal 6 Xut, appear to bave bern located by his theoretie scheme and not hy the data obtained from the inseriptions. This may be shown as follows:

From 2 Ahau 3 Layeb, thind series of the left stat, of the Tablet of the Foliated Cross, he skips to 12 Ahan © Cehn, first series on the right sath of the Tablet of the Sun, making a jump from the beginning of the second recle ( $-2-(1-0-0-1)$ of his fifty-forth grat erolle to

 the acond sarios of the right stab of the Tablet of the sitn. 'This arives
 it is true, "xperses the exted litpe of time betwern there two datos. But upon what widene in the inseriptions is this sureresion founted: A.conding to his own satement the lapse of time from + dhan is



 To.tati days. A= any given date will rosppear in bath calendar romad or ie-year period, the poxition in the great erele, evern on his theory. shomld be detemined hy the series of the inserijuton. This is done in


 hatrward commertion indieated ley which the position of this date can be acerrtathed.





 whieh promedes. But it is equally true that if. as le bolds, the mide Ho spate follows the right sab, sommedion will bo mate with the !










 hat it is whthot any :uthority in the inariptions. 'This is followed in
 pesition loult on tha Tablat of the sum and the 'Tathet ol the Foliated
 (1) The thial surios on the right shat of the Tablet of the Foliated





 tions appear to fre hased on the same genmeal plan amb intimatoly related; in fart. they prosent whatantially the same chatin of whime

## 

Wre turn next wa the inseripetion fomed in the weratled trample of Inseriptions. Whare wo hate the lemetit of Mr Mandalay - photographs and drawinge and, to some oxtont, of Mr ( ioodmanis interpme tation. As parts of the insiription have been badly dofourd it is


impossible to give the series and dates in commected form. Attontian
 tinct to be dotermined with probabla correctuess by inspertion. $1=$
 inscription with comments. roferonce will be mate tirat the thertion.
 numberad soparately in the whal mamer.
 are as follows the breake and parentheses being his own:



 (lots, lat hy threw sigus for 3) . . . . (and) le ahans . . . . . rovoning hatk-








 2 alans . . . . (fronn tho 3 h han, hegiming a katun . . . . . . \% \%ot\% . . . . . a twentioth ahau (or herimning of a katan)-1 day 12 dhtens . . . . . 1 ahata . . . . . 4) katans . . . . (and) 2 erves . . . . . (the count coveriner) Ts calendar munds (from, of to-for it is mertatu if the reckmang is intented to fix the posi-
 bark from it) the tenth soore (or fifth monble seore) of days, (in the) serentherele (and) T days . . . . (fron the) twentieth (or beginning soome) Nanik . . . . 10 Tzer: (there is a mistake somewhere, as the date at that proint is ! Manik-20 Zot\%) . . . . the beginning of a seventh day (of 7-4lay period). lieckoning backwarle, (hy) katuns . . . . . (an unintelligibleglyph, though it jurb)-
 . . . . 11 katuns . . . . . (and) 10 eycles . . . . . (to) a date atlearing some dis. tance back (s . Than-I3 Pop: the reckoning here is an exart repetition, though in a different style, of the first of the preceding ones) . . . . . (from the in Lamat . . . . . I Jul . . . . . (that is) 1 calendar round . . . . . (and) stays . . . . . (an mintolligible glyph ) . . . . (from the) IU Ahan . . . . . I3 Yaxkin . . . . . appearing some distance back, - 5 Lanat-1 Mol . . . . 4 Manik . . . . . 10 Zip (I have no motion what these two isolated fates can mean, unless the former is a mere redundant repetition of the date from which all the reckonings have been mave; but the batter has me apparent relation to anything else in the text).-1 eycle . . . . . 9 katums . . . . (ant) 16 ahatus . . . . (an mantelligible dincotive sign; the reckonimer, however, is from 10 Ahau-13 Jaxkin. beginning the fouth ahatu of the tenth katun of the tenth "yele-showing an abrupt and maweonstable leap forward) the) twentieth (or beginning) sure days . . . . . begimming the twelfth cyde.

The dates and mmeral series in this portion of the inseription, taken in the ordar they come in the figure given atrove are as follows:


The first date (A1, B1) is 10 Ahan 13 Yaxkin; the next (A5. Bi.) is s Ahan 1: Pop. The glyph Az, which is one calcudar round, in now induded in the indernediate comb. The internediate momeral erm-
 onty ${ }^{3}$ dots or ball- mepenming the thens. Whey ate from their size
and certain marks on them, interpreted 3 times 8 by Goodman. The next date ( 15.5 . 5 ) is \& than 13 Pop, followed at C1, 1)1 hy 5 Lamat 1 Mol without any intermediate numeral series. Following the latter date, at $(2, b)=$ is the mumeral serics 8 days. $t$ thuens, 2 ahans ( 808 days). This is followed at (33, D: hy the date : Aham 3 Zotz, and this. at $D+$ to Cifinclusive. hy the mumeral series 1 day, 12 chmens. 1 aban. 9 katuns. 2 creles ( 353.401 days). At D6 in the symbol for 18 calendar rounds followed at E1. F1 by the date 1 Manik 10 Tzee; and this is
 10 ahaus. 11 katuns. 10 cycles ( 1.522 .908 days). At F 6 E © is the date 5 Lamat 1 Mol. 'This' is followed immediately (Fi) by the symbol for 1 calendar romod, and this at G1 by the symbol for 8 days. Following this, at ( 4.42 , is the date 10 Ahan 18 Yaxkin; and this is followed (Hs, in one symbol) by Lamat 1 Mol, and the latter. at Gt. Ht. hy 4 Manik 10 Zip.

Mr Goodman says the reekoning from the first date and generally in this inscription is backward, but it is certain that the count backward of 4,508 days (first series) from 10 Ahalu 13 Yaxkin will not reach 8 Ahan 13 Pop, the next date, nor any following date given in the foregoing list. This first date ( 10 Aban 13 Yaxkin) is probably comected with some preceding date not included in the portion of the insaription given by Mr Goodman which is now under consideration.

It we count forward 4.50 days from S Ahan 13 Pop. year 9 Lamat, the second date (first series of the list), we reach 5 Lamat 1 Moh, rear 8 Lamat, the date next following. It is true that both dates come atter the mumeral series. hat this ocem's more than once in the inscriptions. If we subtrat sus days (the second series) firom tagos (tirst series), the remander is 3,700 days; counting forward this number of days from 8 Ahau 13 Pop, year 4 Lamat, we rach 3 Ahan 3 Zotz, year ${ }^{6}$ Ezanab. the date of the second series. This, it will be remembered, is the rute which semes to preval in two of the preceding inseriptions.

The next series (3), 11.761 days after the calendar round have been suhtracted, is followed hy the date 1 Manik 1o'reec. This dato Mr (roodman says is a mistake, "as the date at this point is! Manik zo Zotz," which, according to the system $I \mathrm{am}$ using. would be 3 Manik 20 Zip . It is certain that 1 Manik 10 Czee cam not be connected by 11.761 days with any preceding or following date, whether the reekoning be forward or hackward. If we adopt Mr Coorlman's suggestion that the date should he 9 Manik 20 Zip (Year 2 Lamat) and count forward 11.761 days, we reach 5 Lamat 1 Mol (yar 8 Lamat), the date which follows. Although there is no second commection to confirm this suggestion. 1 am inclined to think it is probably correct. Counting forward 4 , 50 days (fourth series) from $s$ Ahan 18 Pop, year ! lamat (first oriew). We reach 5 Lamat 1 Mol (year - Lamat), the dato following the fourth numeral series: and counting eight dari (fifth -rries) 1: ET11, 1'T $\because-1$ -
from lo dhan $1: 3$ laxkin bringe the rerkoning to 5 damat 1 Not，the next following late．

It appeers．therefore，from these results that the reckoning oo far is forward and not batckwarl，as Mr Goodman maintains．

A－the next momaral series（if in the list given abose）han the pres－
 is no recognizable date following within reanomatbe distane．We will turn to Mr Matudatay＂s photographe and drawinge of the insoription．
 for examination．Wre take that following the jortion which has beren examined．This will the fomad in his photograph，phate 5 ，vol．s．and drawing．plato tie．samb volume．＇The mumbering and lettering on his plate iez will be fotlowed．While I feel dombtful as to atme her of the glyphe on the plate ol drawing－judging be the neary obliterated forms in the photograph，yot．as Mandalay had an opor－ tanity of observing the original and of arofintly stmelying the catcts． I shall aceept the drawings gromerally，expressing doubt where d dem it mocessary．

Attention is called firet to the somewhat doubtuly gly 0 t．denoting 7 （imi l：9 Ceh．Following this order，the reverace of the nanal（PT to




 fonnd at Oto．Plo．As the agrement with the inserjption is exact． the count appeare to ber correct．The cycle atm ahan symbols here are lane glypls．


 forward this momber of days we reach or Eanah，the fith day of Kan－ kin in the your 18 Ben．This tureme exatly with the inarription，as we lind 5 Ězanat of kankin fouther on at（Q） 1 ．and the rounting in this case is forworl．：has been fommed to be the rule of this inserip）－ tion with the whe exerption noted．Commting foward fome the tant

 the year lo Mkat．This is correet，the the latter date is fomed in the


A－these the the only arose of this inseription presenting data－moth－ －＇iont for satisfactory computation，I will notice one or two glyphe and pase to other insoriptions．At $I$ sis and l＇o are athan ermbohs，which aplear to take the place ol katun symbols hat 1 am amable to powe thi－hy eomer In the latter instame there is a date immediately pre－
ceding and dates following. hout I am matle to make connection- ly including or excluding the above symbol, either ly counting hackward or forward, though the date which follows is clearly determined by a computation, given above.

## Tikal Inschittions

Our next examples will be from the Tikal insariptions, but here we will un" Rosny"s photograph of the so-called "Bas-Relief de Bernoulli" (Les Docs., Ecrits de LiAntiq. Amoricain, Mem. Sor. Ethn. vol. i. 1ssi), Manday"s figures not being at haud. Rosuy's plates 10-11 represent a standing individual literally owerwhelmed with ormaments and orerarched by a great serpent, from whose wide-open jaws protrude the head. shoulders, and arms of a human form. In the upper left-hand and right-hand corners are the inseriptions, eath of four columns. The carring in this case is on wond. The inseription in the upper lefthand corner is shown in part in our tigure 19 .
The first two glyphs (A1, B1) represent the date 3 Ahan 3 Mol, which falls in the year $\pm$ Ezanath, At B3. A 4 is the next date. 11 Ik , and apparently 15 Chen. The number symbol betreen these are ( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ), 2 dars. 2 chuens. and (AB), 2 ahaus. together emal to 7 the days. Comnting forward 762 days from the first dite (3 Aham: Mol), we remh 11 Ik 15 Chen in the year 6 Lamat, which is correct.
The insurption ou plate 12 , same work, commonces, like the first, with 3 Ahan 3 Mol, lout the numbers are too mach injured, until the lower half is reached, to trace the series correctly. The seventh glyph in the right column and "ighth in the left give


Fig. 19 -l'art of the inscription at Tikal. the date I Ben 1 Pop. Near the bottom are two numeral symbols giving 7 days 2 chuens and $: 3$ ahans, equal to 1.127 days, followed by a date 8 Ahan 18 - ? the month date being nearly obliterated. Connting forward from 7 Ben 1 Pop, in the year 7 Ben $1.12 \begin{gathered}\text { daym. }\end{gathered}$ we reach 3 Ahan the 13 th day of the month Co in the year 10 Lamat. This is correct, as the portion of the month symbol remaining is not inconsistent with the ${ }^{T} 0$ asmbol in the Dresten codex.

It is noticeable that all the chuen symbols in these tro inseriptions are face forms, the ahau symbols ordinary and fate forms. It may also be remarked in paswing that the glyphs in these inscriptions are the most delicately and tastefully ormamented of any which have so far been found in Central America or Mexico.

On plate 13, same work, is a lowief inscription from the same basrelief. The first date is - : Ahau 13 Pop, the number to the left of Ahau being defaced. Following these are the mumerals 18 days. 7 chuens, equal to 158 days, and the date 11 Ezanal) 11 - ? the month
symbol indiating Chen or Mhan，：yparently tho formors．If wo asimme the day of the first date to he + Ahan，the eoment is commet and the latter date is 11 Fzanath 11 （＇hen．

## Coman Mncombtions

Wraturn now to Mand latyo photographe of the（＇opan inaroptions． ＇ommencing with that on Stola $A$ ，arooding to the mothod adoped by this explorer of designatinge the monolithe of this locality．As Mr Goodman refors to the insoriptions of this plate，we will notice his fomments sor fir ats is deemed meressury．

NTELA A
The great ryete which Mr（ioodman numbers 5 theing omitted．the remainder of the intial serios in wheh the attached mmerals are of
 ahans．E chmons， 0 days，to 12 then 18 （＇mmon．The symbol hore
 of the date are some distanoe apart．the Nhan at $13: 3$ and the Combu at 138．After pasing ofor seremal glyphs．We rath at C15 the symber
 Ahan Is Muan．Aerording to Mr Goodmam，the first date in to be commeted with the serond hy counting hackward．Counting hark：
 18 Muan，but this omits from consideration a mumber of intemmediate alyphe with attached momerals．If the reckoning be corroct．it will prove that the fine erlyphat B3 is Aham．

## sTELA 1

The initial surios on stelat B．lika the preceding one has ordinary mumerats predixed to the ti．me period or order－ot－mate symbols，though



 tem，the tominal date of the initial sorion of this inseription should be previsely formens or eno days later in time than the terminal date ＂f the intial－rios on stela $A$ ：this．howorer，ar will he shown far－ ther on，does mot prove to he so．

## NTにはA（

Is tharo are wo wher recogrizalle series on Stelat B．We pase to
 in murh donht．Ilis remarks are an follows：

Sarly eworthing abont this inserption appare to he wrone．The prineipal rerkening dons net aromal with the date given．The initial date to the leit is o

Aham 18 Kayah, hesignaterl hy the first glyph to be a certain number of sore days
 partienlar flate mast he in the lizth evele of the both erreat igele, as no ahan in thes 13th cyele of the bith great cyele hegins with 1 A Ahan 18 Kayab. In the both great erole it is $13-2-18-18 \times 20$. From this date acortine to the glybe as drawn, there is a rerkoning of $11-14-5-1 s \times 1$ to either another 6 . Than is Kayab or to an $s$ Ahan 13 Muan; but such a reckoning would rearla neither ui those dates-beth of which are levignated as heginning an ahath-evel if there wore no whl day or "huen. The only explanation I van venceive $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{s}}$ that the reckoning is , or was intemeled to be, $11-17-5-18 \times 20$, whish is 5 alhan rommle; and as the same ahat date redure at each romel, the of Ahan 18 Kayab woukl ine correct in that event. But this would leave the next date, s Ahan 13 Mn Na, still a mystery, it aplearing to have no connection with the precerling lates. As the leginning , fan ahan it combloot orour : my where in the vicinity except at $5+12-16-1-18 \times 20$. The emonel section, like the first. begins with a glyh indicating the date to lee certain soores of days in the lath recle. The day number is erisen as 15 , bot wi course that is imposible. From a later examination of the stome Mambley thinke it may le at or 5 . It is probably the former, the date in all bikelihuml being-55-1:3-2-I4-1s $\times 20-9$ Ahaul 10 Cumhu. In this event, the character under the ordinary numeral acompanying the month symbol monst represent 10 . The rest of the inseription is unintelligilhe, except the two dates, 4 Ahan is Uo and 5 Ahans Uo.

Unfortunately Mandslaris photographs of the inceriptions on this stela are not sufticiently distinct and clear to enable us to thoronghly test his drawings by inspection, and the batter are not entirely satisfactory.

The intial series in this instanco appears to consist of the single symbol denoting 13 creles. followed immediately hy 6 than is Kiayal. This. written out after the method aclopted. would be $5 t-13-(1)-1-(1)-1)$. to if Ahau 1s Kayab, or tifty-fourth great cycle, 12 cycles, o katmes, 0 ahans, 0 chuens. " days, to ${ }^{\circ}$ Ahan 1 K Kayab. asimming the date to be in Goodman's supposed fifty-fourth great cyele. Howrere, acording to this author, no ahan in his fifty-fouth great ryelo begims with is Ahan 18 Kayab. hut, as he finds by reference to his sheme as shown in his tables. that it dops begin the pighteenth ahan (acoording to his method of comnting ) of the second katun of the thirternth wrole of the fifty-fifth great eycle, he places it there. It is apparent firom this fact that he has determined the mumer of the great ryde not hy an inspection of the initial or great cyele glyph. but from his system. Has his determination of the mumbers of the other two great croles he mentions been reached in the same way! I am strongly inclined to think that it has as the prowes to be followed in determining the numbers from the details of the initial glyphs is not clearly given nor fully explained anywhere in his work.

There is an initial series to another inscription on this stela, but it is unintelligible to me and apparently so to Goodman. Thror is one numeral series in the first inscription, but it will not comnect dates.

STELA II
The inseription on Stela D presents the unmelal feature of giving the symbols in the fom of the antire body of the peram or animat, instead of simply the head, of which a parallel. so far an 1 anm aware. is found only in some of the Mexiath rodices. Nowrere rxapet the initial one is recognizable. some aid. however, may be obtathed from this singular inseription in determining the signification of the time and momeral symbols. For example, the reve and katm symbols hate carh, as an essential portion of the efyph, a hire form in conmection with the haman figure; the ahan has a nondemeript monster: the chuen, what I take to be a froer, and the symbol for the month Zotz (if Mr Goodman be correct in his determination). the figure of a leafnosed bat. The grand cyole or initial olyph, has as the sidepiece (each side) a tish. I am inclined to bolieve that these figures. which (with the exception of the bat) appear to be uncsential for the determination of the time periods or orders of units. are ned as symbolie of the names assigned to these periods.

The initial series in this case, as determined by Mr Goodnan, is $5+9-5-5-0-0$ to 4 Ahan 13 Zotz.

NTELAE AND F
Stela E presents no recognizable initial or other series or determinable dates. The same may be said of Stela F , though Mr Coodman gives an initial series which is confessedly presented "irrespective of the reading of the inscription."

STEL.E H AND I
Passing over Stela II, whose inseriptions present no connerted dates. we come to that on stcla l. Fortumately we hare good photographs by Mandslay of the inseriptions on this Stela. The initial series as
 If chuens, 0 days- 5 Shau- - the month date should be \& U's, but the whyp which here follows aftor the initial directive serome is obliterated." The ahan symbol is here the figure of a birdes lead, and the mumber as symbol. The month symbol. Which Mr Goodman silys is obliteratm, is, on the contrary, quito distinct, the only injury being a slight break in the atturhed numeral. whidh appear's to hes. Thu month symbol is apparently that of Chen: if of lo it is a quite umusual form. Howerer, as this dowe not comere with smy other date. We turn to the inseription on the nerth side.

Mr (iordmanis statement in regend to this insurption is an follows:
There 10 Alan 18 Chen is designated as the begiming of a katun-an sth katun as griven * * * Thore follows a reckoning of shaye and in chucns irom 10 . Han 13 Chen to 10 Lamat-the month date not given, hat wo kiow it mat le 16 Lif.


INSCRIPTION ON STELA J, COPAN


Matdslay"s photograph of this third row as published in his plate 65 is. so fir as the first group, which inchudes the date mentioned, is concerned, too dim and imperfect to determine the glyph with eren a reasonable degree of certainty. but as Mr Goodman had originad photograplsis and Mandsay* drawings are more complete, the original inscription may have heen clearer than the published photograph (antotype). From the drawing, the Ahan symbol is seen to be of the usual form, but the attached numeral, if it bosw, is a face charater similar to the second form of 10 given by Mr Goodman. The number 13 over the month symbol is of the usual form (balls or (lots and lines); the month symbol is incomplete. hat the remaining portion, as given in the drawing, with the exception of the calp piece. which is like that of Chen, is more like Yax, Zac. or Ceh. The symbol fors days in the reckoning is separate from the chum symbol. The number orer the chnen is a face form. the same as that noticed above as 10 . The 10 Lamat which follows is distinct and of the hisual form. It is followed immediately by a glyph with the usual numeral symbol for 9 attached. Althongh Mr Goodman says " month date not given," this glyph resembles almost exactly that in the inscription on the back, which he calls Uo, but which is more like Chen. The only objection to assuming it to le a month symbol is that Lamat is never the !th day of the month, but similar errors in this respert have been observed. It is true that if we count $s$ days, 10 chmens ( $=20$ s days) from 10 Ahan 13 Chen. we will reach 10 Lamat 16 Pop of the following year"; but the test is never satisfactory without the month and day of the month, except in case of continued series. as in the codex, where the error, if one is mate. can be corrected by the preceding or following differonces. Let us in this case chamge the number attached to the gryph following 10 Lamat to 11, and call the montla Chen, which it most sesembles. Counting hark We vary but one day from 10 than, but the month will be Kayrah. This series is therefore not sufficiently certain to decide positively that Mr Goodman: asigmment of the number 10 to the fate glyph over the Ahan symbol is correct, hat we are justifed in aecepting this fore chanater as a mumbral, as eharacters denoting of or 20 are never attached to symbols representing partieular days.

## STELA .

One of the most important inscriptions at Copan is that on the north and south faces of Stela .J, the two sides forming ond series. This is
 of Mandalay drawings, these being selected rather than the autotyper, which in some platers is a little dim. As the glyphe are all numbered except the upper two on the north side, marked $A$ and $B$, they will be cited by the numbers.

A slight glance orer the inardiption is suthicient to call attention to
the frequent peprition of the so-salled athan time or mumerat -ymbol. By begiminge with eryph 1 and followinge down the dir: lwo colamms and then down the weond two as mombered. il will be sern that they have momerals attached. hewiming with 1 and proweding in regular
 appear to hate lerengiven on the Stela.

As Mr (inodman's comment on this inseription reveals his method of amertating numeral charaters. it probahly will be best to give it in full:

Filist Ahat-3to DAY:

Sermel glyph-The npper character is one meaning begiming, of from the begin-
 there will he no necessity for speaking of it again. The inferne is plain that the whate tirs under it reperent the momber of days in the single ahan that has pased.
 not heing as pain in this partieular instance as in suceseding ones. Wir have hong surpecten all forme of the coil, where it went beyond a mere corre, to be imbeative of 9 and the suhfix of the ahan symol has pretty well satisfied us of it. Now, these are infentical with the coils in that subtix, hut they have not the renterpiece between them wheh there multiplies then ley 4 . Henere, thes umst stam for in simply, one of the commomest comstituents of Bibo, the ahan munker of diys. In that case


Thid ghyh-Here we recognize the double coune character, which we know
 avele. It follows that the heal abne at mant imply 19, hut moftumately it is too matilatell to charly make ont if it has the chatacteristies of the ordinary is face or is a variant.
swoml glyph-The ssme two eoils; hence the eramposite waracter above them here mat dermite 40 .

Thim glam-The 10 -hay sign qualified hy three charneters that whomb asgrugate
 grinel. If you will look dhwn to theserenth ahan you will see, in the secomal gryph,

 !; bat lore there ate two wher partial bandes so that preamably it is three times

 means 1, the hame in this prextion eviluntly has the value of th.



 a mingle value.

Thich !lyph-The !fer chanaleor which in the month symbol has the value of 4 , an
 कharacter that must mignify 18 , to make up the eomplement of days-lont $=$ (it) $\times 15=10.50$.
 aheta, or three ahams.

## Fuletif Ahai-1440 Divs

It will be observed that the reckoning of the days is miswing here-a fact that will ber me important when we reash the next alsau.
sombl glym-As a protion of this is ohliterated we will pass it by. It is a waste of time to stady illegible glybus when the missing part is not restorable from what is left or from the montext.

Thiarl glyph-same remarks.

## Fiftil Ahau- 1800 Days

Nerond $g^{\prime} g_{p} h-18 \times 40=720 \times 2=1,440$; hence this glyph should have gone with the precerling ahan.

Third glyph- 1 symbl which appropriately denotes the leginning of a fifth ahau in several other places in the inseriptions. Ifall attention to the pernliar character of the wing, or whatever it may be termet. It is not the onlinary form, signifying 20 , lut must have the value of $36-10 \times 5=50 \times 30=1800$

## Sixth Ahac-2lbo Day:

Second glyn-The umber number being 4 here, the charater above the enils should represent 30 , but insteal it reprevente only $25-18 \times 25=450 \times 4=1500$; hence this glyph should have gone with the fifth alam.

Third glyph-The eothay sign aqain, qualitied by a character whiw the connection requires to be a sign for $108-108 \times 20=2160$.

Fouth ylyph-An arbitrary sign, [rohahly, for 6 ahans or a sixth ahan.

> Gevertli Ahat-2520 Days

Second glyph $-18 \times 4=52 \times 35=2520$.
Thirl gl!ph-Two of the eharaters eneounterel above reappear here, ascociated with a knot which we know to he a sign for 5 or some of its multiples. As neither 10 , 15 , nor 20 adked to the other tharacters woukd fom a number that would be an even divisor of 2,500 , we must consider this a sign for 5 and the character molerneath it to represent $40-10+27+5=42 \times 10=25^{2}(1)$. The smbix here, conserpuently, motwithstanding it, resemblance th the charater remesenting $7=$, can have no value, but most serve merely as a perlestal, as it does moler the day symbohs.

> Eighth Ahav-2850 Dass

## Secomel glyph $-18 \times 40=720 \times 4=2840$.

Thim glyh $-18 \times 40=720 \times 4=2880$. The mbfix is without value here also.
Fourth glyph-Tou lefarel to justify any estimate of it.

## Nintu Ahat-3240 D.ays

The computation, if there was one, and the equivalents are defaced heyond the possibility of reeornition.

## Tevtil Ahau- 3600 D.as

The aban sign here differs from all the rest. It is the symbol used in a Tikal tablet to denote a date to be a tenth alau.

Scont glyph-The two coils do not appear here, only one; but that one is qualitied by a curve, signifying 5 . As it can not be admed without destroying the thement, it must serve as a multiplier- $9 \times 5-45 \times 40=1800 \div 2=3600$. The 2 sign here louks something like the alou elarater for 4 , but the eontext requires it to be 2.

Thime ghaph-The symbol that everywhere demotes atenth ahat or an even 10-ahan reckuning, with the character that commonly constitutes its center placed beside it.

## 

 with eertainty. If the characters that are colerably preserved be 5,0, and 2, the other whmble 4 , hat I distrust their identity.

Thiod ghyn-There may he two ghyphe here, though I think mat. The ?3-day gerind ixing the factor to be ravend, it requires l!s for a multipher to bring it to the neceseary tatal. The eharacter to the loft of it being 1 , there is gend reatom for sanpusing it to represent 78 , and the righthand wign at the top ineing 1 s , it follows
 hence the remaining eharatore must ageregate 107. The comb sign-though duphicatend here, as in many wher baces, to give it a more ornamental effect-probably represente hat 20). That leaves sit to be acounten for by the remaining waracter. It is a sign that necorw many times, but itw rentral part is selfom twior alike, sombtimes being a single bar, sommenes twa, and aqain something quite different. Here it has the appearance of the whire in the oklmil sign, which stande for 7 . On either
 therefore, that the two together may represent sot, the farticular center part in this in-tanere rai-ing the finl bahe of the character to sto

## Twelfril Ana-1:30 Jons

 wharactere repmenting 10 and 1 s . lont the ball in the come of the dirst is double, and there is crose hatching on hoth, which may monify the meaning. The character at the bottom semme to In only a hegiming sign, though its form is somewhat
 mast represent e4n; luat there in tom much macertanty involved to warrant contilence in this deduction.

 ter has a peruliar marking at the thp, and we do mot know bow that may atter itvalue. The character wer it mat he a maliphe of 20 , as it has the gemeral appare ance of the wing eign for that number with a gathiging mark at the left part of it. For at ramen that will be mande wident later on, we will asmme that it remesents 120, and the ynir whater $t-120 \times 6=720 \times t=4 \% 20$.

## 








 for a thirtemth ahat or 18 ahams.

## Fonmteentil Anar-nofll birs


 nearly illowible that there is mocertainty ahnet them, it wouht he usehns tor attempt a whition of the parake.



## Fheteexth Ahaf-5400 Days

Ficond glyph-The 9, 5, and 4 signs are plain here; the other character, therefore, mast be 30 .
Third glyph-The 5-ahau character, qualified ly a sign that must represent 3-the whole leing a symbol for a fifteenth ahau, or 15 ahaus.

Sixteentr Ahać-5760 D.iys
Serond glyph-A different character qualifies the coil here. It must stand for $4-9 \times 4=3 n \times 4=144 \times 40=5760$.

Third $g^{l} y p h$-The same form of the $y m$ ix character encountered at the twelfth ahan is again the central figure, but here it has a 20 sign under it, which presumably raises it to 120 . If so, it reguires to be multiplied by 45 to make up the total numleer of days. The signs for 18 and 10 leave 20 to he supplied hy the other character. which in the skeletom jaw, an invariable sign for 10 , here doubled in value by the row of dote in the mper part.
The manner of piecing out the momerals in some of the above instances has been ton forced lor the result to be regarded as altogether trustworthy. There are also several incmsisteneies or ermors; hut, take it all in all, the mumber of occurrences in perfert atcord with our asumption is too great to be attribntabie to accident, and we are therefore justified in believing our theory to lee correst, however we may have erred in particular applications of it. We have gained a great deal more than is apparent at a first grauce. Not only have a considerable number of equivalents for different ahans and symbols for minor time perions been itentified and the value of many new mumeral signs established, Dnt-mure important than all this-we have satisfied ourselves that there is a phan underlying the emphoyment of a purtion of these sigus which is capable of almost unlimited variation and extension.

As our investigations so fur appear to confirm sulticiently for gencral acceptance Mr Coodnam's interpretation of the symbols denoting the orders of mits, or time periods as he terms them, we may now inquice how far the data bear out his amouncement of varions other mmeral symbols. That there appeats to be suffirient basis for his idea that cortain face chamacters are used as momerals has ahready heen noticed, thongh the eridunce is as yet not entirny satisfactory as to the vahes assigned some of them. In his romment on the inscription now under consideration he goes more into detail in this direction, assigning nomber values to the component parts of and appendages to glyphs. In our examination of this inscription we shall notice brietly some of these idess ats wr proceed.

In the paragraph immediately preceding the long quotation given above he remarks as follows:

We start with the asumption that every glyph fohlowing a particular ahan represente it or its value in another way. The fact that there is no twentieth ahanwhich, so far as the symbol that numeral is attachent to is concerned, means mo ahau at all-shows that one full ahau, or 360 days, is considered to have passed when the table leverins.

Here at the outsot, we are met with an assumption which seems to cowr half the ground to be examined. On what grounds dows he base the opinion that "every glyph following a particular ahau represents

 called to the further atommption that what would, acoodeding to his

 in at quotation which will be found on a previons page of this paper.
 astalish the corverthes of this assertion is nowhere quen in his pater. I presume, therefore that it is based upon the ehronologice syatrm that he has construede of whinh further notioe will he taken before closing thi- paper. Buthow does it happen they are found mumbered 1. $2, \quad 3$, atro., in an inseription when H. (roodman tells ws that in the katums. taken in their order, they were mombered :5, 5. 1. 10. 6, 2. 11. 7 .
 are to be added, the mumbers mast be given $1 . \partial$, ate, is vory pident lut if abans were real periods in the Maya chomology. and not simply units of the third order, as we hater stated, why are they not mombered in this inseription in the order in which ther eome in the katum! It may readily be seen that the sucersion :5, 1, 10, 6, etco.. arose from counting ley the day momber $1-1:$ by divisions of fond as in the series in the Cortesian codex, the romet heing hareward: as, for examphe. counting upward from the bottom of one of the other colmmes in table
 hy Mr Coodman.

Ila quotes the lollowing from lorez (prago 12):
 a key to timl the katuns. Acomaling to the order of its maroh it falls wh the days of
 7, 3, 12, 8, 4.
(On this he remation as follows (loe. rit.) :







 surnornizel hy him. how is it possible to reeoncild this comet, which

 only come into notion when the year of B6t days is romsidered. which
 coment $1 t$ some doubtul, therefore whether this explatation will allay " the porturherl -pintit of tho Maya ralendar:"

By referener to his comment on the ahatas of this insurption，as quoted above．it will be seen that he usen the coils and other parts of the attached and acompanying glyphs as multiplierw，assigning values to them that bring ont the chesired nomber．It is umeressary to fol－ low his process，as it is given fully in the quotation．But all this is presented without proof that the ralues assigned are eorrect．or，in fact，that the chatacters are mumber stmbols．Until evidenere render－ ing subl interprotation at least probable is presented，it is nothing more than guess．Howerer，it mast not be taken for granted that I roject all these symbols and appendages as not indiating numbers，as two or three already noticed（hesides fate characters）appear from satisfactory evidence to have been used as numerals：and it will ber seen farther on that there are reason．for behering the are some append－ ages which are atso thas used．The point made above is that Mre Goodman fails to present reasoms for his aseretions in this resperet． which necessitates going orer the entire record to verity on disprowe them．

That the symbols in this inseription which Mr（foochnan dowignates by the name＂ahat＂are to be counted ats equivalent to Bho days earh mast be admitted．but the name aham，it mast be remembered，is．as applied here merely an abhitrary dexignation，and its use is wholly different from that made of it ly the natives，wo far as the preserved records show．
．11TAl K
The inseription on Altur $K$ contains nothing recounizable wave a portion of the initial series which is given by Mr（toodman ats follows：

 Mand lay，we have no meme of testing his drawing（plate To，pat B）． The prefixed numerals in this ease are the matal dotsor halls amd hort lines，but are not sutheontly distinct to verify（roodmans interpreta－ tion：in fart．the manber pretixed to the chmen symbullooks more like
 is wholly obliterated．The series and date as given by him are there－ fore largely conjectural，the latter having evidently bem ohtained by ＂alculation areording to his system，and not from an inspertion of the inseription．
－TELA M
The initial serides on Stelat M，ats gren hy（roodman，is at－：t－ti－5－ 1s－20－s Ahan \＆Kotz，or ，hamging the 18 and 20 to 0 ，as wo have found to be correct，the fiftr－fourth great eyele．！＂rellos，lif katum－ 5 ahmus， 0 chmens， 0 days，to Ahan S Zotz．＇The pretixed mmerak in this series are of the disuld form，batls and short lincs．and agree with Goolman＇s interpretation．

1：9 ETH．IT $\because=-1.5$

## Mres.i N

 drawings. the former somewhat indialinet, bat the lattor very eloar.


 - Kip. This is correed, if the month symbat, which is insorted and
 poted, sit the protixed numerals are of the ordinary form and dislimet. Na (iondman mits. " the montla symbot is wrong: it should be $\because Z i p .0$ This is trun if wo acopet his theory that the rount is to lee
 yreat ryele.

As an important question arises in matard th the series on the west side of this Steta, we quote the following from Mr (rondman in regerd to it:

At the top wif the seemel column wecurs the sign that indieates a reckoning harkwatd. It is followed by sevengyphes, wheh I think give in another form the sulsstance of the suherquent reekoning, which is the longest that owrors in any of the

 is not omly wrong, but is alsurd as well. The eyedes run only to 1 bi, amit no such reckoning tarekarl or forwarl from the initial date would reald a 1 thans Chem. But fortumately, dexpite atl the blunderinge we ean see what the intentiom was. 1

 amb ahane expresed in the remoning would leat ne to smeper that it was to go
 Thaway with the ohf katums and ahas and leave the tereming in even katun romds. If it were to have gone forward, the mid mumbe would haw laen : areat exeles, 7

 of a katum romm-that is, the that that mast base between ton oforrenee of any given tate as the begimning of a katm.

In thinking of the ofld 19 kitume ant thathe, they hamkeren in respect to the
 latek for the folla great eyele: if it went forwarl, it wemld extemit the tidth. It is mot material whith way it be dowhen. The important fact in that in either ease
 winate of the immener reath of their chemotorital eatemlar. There are a few

 at aif the rows of glyphe aromel the bive.






cyede, or, acording to the nomendature we have suggested an correctthat 13 mits of the fiftla order make om of the sixth order. It would indivate (unlon it "an lo shown that the 17 eycles is an erron) that the sratem in use at Copan was the same as that in the bresden codex, the comt being $\%$. It is true that the sories will not coment the first date ( 1 than o Zip) with the 1 Ahatu o (ben which follows, hut the length of the series indicates. as we have woften found the case, that the coment is batk to some initial date. The order of the seribs, notwithatanding Mr Goodmani- contrary opinion. seems to indicato that the count is forward to 1 . Ahaus (hom. Comnting hack from 1 Aham o Chem, year:3 hem, we reach 12 Ahau 13 Zotz, Year 5 Lamat, which would be the initial date.
Comonge ene ces to the great cyele, as we are juatified in assmminge is correct. Would of course put ont of order Mr Croodmanis tables so far an they relate to great eyeles and the mombering of the celes, though it would not atfect the order of the katuns. The date 12 Ahau 13 Zotz is, as we find by his table, the first day of the sixth katum, sixth erele of his fifte-fitth great cycle. This, however, will be further noticed when werome to the diswasion of the initial series.

STELA 1
1 pass by Stela P, as 1 ludieve Mr Coodman's interpretation of the initial series (the only part noticed by him) to be largely guesstrork, and as there are no recognizable minor series.

We tum next to the inseription on the top of Altar Q . of whith Manday gives a large and clear photograph and a geod drawing. This is to be read by double columns, as usual, commeneing at the upper left hand. The first two glyphs give the date 5 (abm 15 Yaxkin. Passing over threa wametors, we reach another date, \& than is Yaxkin. There is mo intermodiate numeral series, but a reference to our table 1 will show that these two dates are hat of days apart. At the lontom of the first colum is the symbol for 12 d ditw, 7 chums, which is followed at the top of the third and fourth columns bes. Ben 11 Muin. The 1 -day mumeral to the left of the chace symbol should certainly the 13 , notwithetanding the fact that Manday's drawing gives it as 12 . An inspection of his photograph shows a middle prominence which appears to te part of a hall, though herenders it without any evident reasom a cross. Comonge forward $T$ monthe and 1 days in the year 1 Akhal (in which these lates fall). on our table 2 . from Aham 18 Yaxkin, we reach 5 Ben 11 Muan, which is correct. At the bottom of the third column is the symbol of 16 katuns. which does not apparar to be a counter, but which Mr Goodman interpets seventrenth katum. Following this at the bottom of the fourth column is if Ahan, and at the top of the fifth column $1: \therefore$ kilyal. The next date, which is
 the preereding is the commter thys．B elmens．＂pual it days．Astis Aham 1：3 Kayah falls in the year 12 Lamat，we count formard tit days
 month（ $\mathrm{L}^{(0)}$ ）inthe year l：Ben．This is corred．as Kim may be the twelfth day of the month lat mot the thirternth．

The date glyphe in this inseroption are of the usual form found in the Inereden coded，and the minor mumerals the ordinary dots or batls athd limes：and with the slight and evidently meressary eormedons noted．the series confom to the rmbe．IJownore there is a break in the interpretation and calculation which remains undepatined，From 5 Ben 11 Mam，which is in the yem 1 Akhat，the the peroding dato． to 6 Aham 18 Kity in the yan 12 Lamat．thare is alownad jump of 37 yatas and ter deys maromenter for．This appears to indicate that the 17 katums pased owe（lottom of thind columb）athl possihly some
 man meroly ：ay－（puge 134）：

 ning the 5th ahate of the Ith katun of the sth erele．

11． $\mathrm{Al}=$



 exerpt the katums，Mandilay＂dhawimg showing 1：or 11．There ate two shone limes and thom batls or dots．Bat the two onter ones are darkened with limes indicatinge that they maty forsibly be looper．No




On this altar we tind vory distimetly shown thene dates，t than $1: 8$

 the two daters．
 attord ：my motns of teximg Mr（ioohlman＇s diseoverios，following his explanations so fitr ar thi－was meresistry．

## 






the result in his own words, after stating that the initial series as Goodman would read it is 5-:!-1シ-0-0-16 to 5 Cib $1 t$ Yaxkin:


Fir. 20-Inseription at Pjedras Negras.

The next three glyphs are undeciphered; then comes another reckoning:
(1) is the chuen sirn with the numeral 10 (two hars=10) above it, and a "full count" sign at the side. Whether the 10 applies to the chmens or days can only be
 ing intonded to be "xpresect is 10 chumens and a "full come" of dayo-that is, for

 the elmans.


|  | Days |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10 \text { (huens ( } 10 \times 20) \ldots .$ |  |
|  |  |
|  | 4, 520 |
|  | $4,3 \mathrm{SH}=12 \mathrm{ymar}$ |

141
Adding 4,500 days, or 12 years and 140 days, to the date 5 (ib) 14 kankin it brings us to the date 1 cil) $1+$ kankin in the thirternth year of the annual calemdar.
Turning to the inseription we find at ( Cl (pasing over the first half of the glyph) 1 (ib) followed by (the tirst half of be) 14 kankin, the date at which we have already arived loy computation.
Pasing oner the next three glyphe warrive at another reckoning. Bt gives 10 days 11 dhans 1 aham, and the first half of (5) gives 1 katme.

 it will hing ne to + Cimi $1+$ In in the thirty-fifth year of the ammal calendar, and


Pasing ower the mext three gryphe we arrive at another yeekoning ( 6,1 , 3 , athan, 8 chume, 15 day:

|  | Days |
| :---: | :---: |
| (3) Ahatr. | 1, (1) $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ |
| 8 ('lumens | 160 |
| 15 day: | 15 |
|  | 1, 2 N |
|  | 1,045 |

160
 Vax in the thirty-oighth your of the anmal coblembat; this in the date we timet expresom in tho eryphs Fid and Fat of the insoription.
 day Vmix. hat that it is at hay sign he know from the fact that it is inchaded in a
eartonche, and I am inclined to think that the more usual Yonix sign (womething like an "pen hant with the tingers extented) was inehnerl in the oval on the top of the grotesque heatra, but it is ton much worn for jdentification.

L'asing over seven glyph, the next reckoning occurs at F6, which sives:

|  | Days |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 Chuens...... | 80 |
| 19 days........ | 19 |
|  |  |

Adiling 99 days to the last date, 11 Ymix 14 Yax, bringe us to 6 than 18 Mnan in theseme year, and we fime this late expmesed in F7 anm Fs.

The last glyph in the insoription is a Kitun sign with the maneral 14 above it, and a sign for "hegimniner" in front of it, and indieates that the last late is the beginning of a fourteenth katum, If we turn to the talate for the ninth ryele of the tifty-fourth (ireat Cycle, from which westarted, it will be seren that the fourteenth Katun of that eyele fles commence with the date 6 , Hhat 18 Mman.

It is simply impossible that the inentity of the dates expmessal in the insoription with those to which the computations haves sumed us can throughont be fortuitons.

## GLMMAI呂

Having now concluded my examination of the inecriptions. I may state that 1 am satisfied on the following peints: That the signification and momeric ralur, of the smmole (eard represented in two or more forms) which Mr (roodman mames, respectively, day in the abstract, chmen, ahan. katum, cyede and calendar romed, are as indicated ahore amb must bo arepted as correct: that the usually large (quadruple) initiad glyph represonte the sixth order of units. or. as Goodmam terms it, great "erde: that arsain fiae chanacters and alsu some two or three chavaters not face glyphare used as nomber symbols. These are mudoubtedly the most important discoverien yet made in regard to the signification of the glyphs in the inseriptions: and although ther seem to throw hent little light on the condices, they must influme to a considerable extent, attimpts at interpmetation of these records.
The use of fare chanaters for dars and time periode should not be comsidered as something peonhar to the inseriptions, as an examination of the codices will show that this change of ordinary symbols into fare forms is by nomens numal. In the Trano condex the symbel for the day Eh is oftemer a face form tham otherwise and thoser for the days Nen and Oc:are often changed into faters. The eymbol for the day la in oceasionally radially whaged an as to represent a fare. A remarkathe change in the Chicelan smbel in order to give it a fare form is som in plate :31. la one or two instances, as on plate 23 , what , wre presumed to be smbols for the aham have a prefixed fare character posibly denothe a mumeral.

Wo pass now to the consideration of some other guestions which are bronght up by this iuvestigation.

## 

First, I will explain briefly Mr (iondanans intrypretation of the ancient Mayan sitem of ehronology. It man however. he borme in
 from the well-known Mayan falemdar system eomprising years of Btis days and is monthes. 5-verar ofeles. ete.

Attention has alreaty bran adled to his time periods from the daty up to and imbluding the eyere, and aho to the fact that these are identhal with the orders of units in the Maymstistem of motation, afot whieh seroms to negative the idea that they shomd be called time proriods. These projods. with his names ant the values assigned them, aro as follows:


If we follow him carefully throughont his work, it becomes apparans that, after he had arrived at tha conchasm that the orders of unt a a steps in notation were fratabla chromologie periods, it was a matural fonsequene that he shomald conerive the iden that thesystemmast rateh batek to a momber or period that would romad ont evenly as a ereat common multiple of all the lewrer fartors. This is apparent from the foltowing passage near the commonerment of his paper: ${ }^{1}$




 journcer and leaver us only when it has !ninterl ont an unmistakalale way the final











 able law geverning the eatemba that they mbst go forwarl wntil they commence
arean with the same late irom which they starten. Such a result in the case of the former requires 949 cyeles, and in that of the latter 73 great cyeres, tach of which reckoninge constitutes a polion of $: 37,400$ years

It is also apparent in the following axpresion (p. a6):


#### Abstract

The grand era is composed of seventy-three great ceples and mmprises 37t.foo  datr completes itself, jnst as their ammal calendar does in a period of 52 yeare.


This mumber of days is the proluct of the factors $20 \times 15 \times 20 \times 20 \times$ $1: \times 78 . \quad$ Now let us wamine his reason for introcheing the 18 and 73 instead of carrying on the count acoording to the usual Maya vigesimal motation, as Dr Forsteman has done. This is easily seen. Having conceived the idea that all the factor of the caldondar system are time periods and must come into hamony in the highest period, it was absolutely neressary to bring these prime numbers into the romit. The 18 is neressury to the day mombering and to the seserar period $(4 \times 13)$, and the 38 to the 365 -day period ( $\because \times 73$ ) and as 4 and . are factors of the lower perionds (as zo) the prime numbers onty were newessary to complete the scheme. As the attempt to introduce both these into one period would hare required the use of the rery large multiplier ! 49 (see his nse of it. p. 27 ). the 13 was introduced into the grand cycle. We might ask. and semmingly with good reanom. why not in one of the lower orders! The answer is apparent - the reords whow herond question that, up to the eyra. the multiplier, expept in the case of the chmen, was 20 . Bat in pasing from the cerce to the grand rycle, hut a single example has heen found in the inscriptions *howing a higher mumber than 13 , and this, as has already been stated, Me Goodman docides must be prroneous.

As the introduction of the 13 somewhere is absolutely nevessary to round ont his grand matiple, how, we may ask, was the system completed in aceordance with the Dresden codex which he almits (page 3 ) "pertains to the areinair system in the main, thongh reckoning eo cydes to the great cyele": Unless ! 49 is introduced as ambiplier in the mext step, which am not be smpposed possible, the entire scheme is destroyed and the sereval stops redued merely to those of notation, which in fact they are. The idea that the Mayan tribes of Chiapas, Guatemata, and Honduras had such a magnificent rounding-ont system. while the lueatec tribes. thongh baving a system similar in other respects, failed to introduce the romding-out factors. is. to say the least. rery strange. In order to include the 365 days of the fear in the great multiple, it was also necessary to introduce the prime number 7 or, which is not a divisor of any of the lower periods. This explains Mr Goodmm's theory of a great cycle composed of 13 eyeles and a grand era composed of 73 great cycles, as he could not otherwise have a general rounding-out period. These are of course neressary to this scheme, Gut the cracial question is, did the Maya baye my such scheme.
 that the sehome works ont nicoly acording to the figures is mo eri-
 by the most adramed Mayan priost.

Sueaking of the grand ara, his great romeding-ont perionl. Mr Goodman salys:
As the existence of this perionl is wery likely to 1 ne cuestioned, 1 will give my rea-



 until then, wery 1 erioh of the chromokerieal calembar begine again with the same day of the same month, hat (with the exeption of the harner and sreat mede) with
 rences, emstitute the grand romb, when tha perionls legin again not anty with the same day of the same month but with the same day monder.

There in ne donlat that the "alculation here isall right, and that $7: 3.1 \%$. and their maltiple, $94: 3(73 \times 13)$, will be divisors of any product of
 that the results he gives in the two tables following the parameph proted aro correet. hut after all he is simply taking apatt the pieces ho hats put together. In other words, no amount of figuring in this way will formi-h proof that sach a schemo as his was in foge among the Maya. That they did have a motation with the following maltipliors:

 be erathted that the great sedeme he has bailt up on this fommbation is justified. There is just as morh evidence, in fard muth mone, that the count went on attor the serond wrder of wnits areording to the


That there was a count of order of mats almere the fifth of evote is "rident buth from the eodex and from the inserptions. and 1 am indined







 hate bern the limit of computation is infored in pate from the prominemer and pesitiong given the sembol, and fom tho fad that no higher






That the symbols of this ordef forming the initisl glyph of rarious series in the inseriptions ditler in sume of their parts and appendages is evident, but that these elements and appendates are und to indieate momerals has mot yet beon patablished by Mr (foodman, as is evident to anyour who will examine his explanation of the ahatls on Stela of of Copan in the quotation wiven above, which shows his method of arriving at the numbers indiated by elyphs. There is too much guessing in the hailding up of nmmbers by piecing together the parts to justify areptamere by those who are in seard of positive results.

I have stated again and again that I beliere the sa-called time periods to be nothing more than the orders of units used by the Maya tribu in its system of motation. That they are the same up to the erede, or fifth ordere, is known from the eridence furnisherd by the cerdices and inseriptions: and that the same rigesimal system is contimed to the sixth order in the bresiten codex is admitted hy Mr Goodman and proved by the mpies on plate 81 . whibl has been given above
 combed it is only meresary to state that the arios commerts with 18 Akbal, which may he that below or that to the loft abowe. Lat the connt he either way, it begins and ends with this date.

The gerat time serios on Stala $N$ of Copan heretofore mentioned. Which Mr. (foodman brushes aside as " not only wrong hat abourd as well." deserves more consideration than has beren given it. The attarhed momerals are of the ordinary form - balls and whort linesand arp quite distinct in Mandslary photograph and drawing. It is absolately meressary to Mr (forodman's theory as to the llayat time Eystom that this seribe he offorthally dispored of. And ret. so far as
 for rejorting it than that it combliat- with a theory.
 or: writton ont. 14 great "yrles. 17 croles. 19 katuns, 10 ahams, 0 (hamms,


 grat cychersmbol is in this case a face chatacter, as are the coreto, katm, and atha symbols. The when symbol, which has the days atterehed, is of the usual forme. The day which follows in t thans Chen.
lf we assume that the 1 Dhan $x$ Zip whirh terminates the initial series and is fomd in the cohtum on the east side of the stela is to the commerted by the lomgerios with the 1 that shen in the collum on the west side (the sories being in the sume collemb), it is true, as lioudman remarks. that the mumeral series as wiven will mot make the connertion. But this fied is by no means wondusive evidenee that there is an ervor in the morios: fors, in the first place taking into comsideration
the fard that there is an inceriztion rumbing around the hase which maly of may mot be at pat of the whole. it is ly motan mertan that the ahorigimal artist intemed to commed these two dater ly this
 athe that this longe ardes was intended to combert the lollowing datte

 remer that the first following date does not ammere with the turminal

 condude that this momerat surios was intented to commer the date which follons with some initial date, and this. males the eront wats


 tation given abowe from his rematrke on this sories, he atoopte ate

 reathing the fortath grat ryele. It is erident, therofore ou his therory that it was not the intention to eomeret the two datere I Than
 Showing. fall in the fifty-fourth wreat crede. As proot that this is his
 If so. the reekoning goes hack to the fortioth eroat eyole; if it went forwsed it would extend to the sixty-ninth." Is he sity (f) Itan)
 in amother plate that Mayan connt allatys redated to pat time it is
 fourth.

It follows. from the condasion reathed in the proveding paratiaph, amd from Mr (fordmanis sheme, that, rombting hatk from I Dhatu





 to the great ryele or sixth order ol wits (as there aro grod reasons for berbeing is the proper method) would theisk wh the order of
 manturenge of the crobes, thometh it would not athed the order of the katuns. The efoles, katuns, and hower peride would follow in regulatomer, the initiat daysot each depomding on the day with whel the


fails to do) that the theory of 18 erveles to the groat crele is erroneous and that the count follows the vigesimal system, as in the Dresden codex. It is significant, however, that by simply changing I Ahan 8 Chen to 18 Ahan 8 Chen. comuting back $17-1!1-10-11$ we reach 4 Ahau S Combu.

Moreover, if the Dresten codex, which. so far as apears. follows the same time system that is found in the insoriptions, "an have correetly $1: 4$ eveles, where is the avidence to be fomm that 17 eroles wouk neressarily be aromeons in the inseriptions! No (roodman's objection seents to rest wholly on his therory of the chromologite system. This is insufficient to justify beliof in such a radical difference low wom the systems of two records which in all other respects are so morly alike.

Following Mr Goodman": interprotation of mmoral symbols. an additional fact bearing on this question, we tind in certain details of the ereat cyele and katum symbols. A erording to him. the comblike figure similar to those on the katun symbol has the value of 24. If it plays any part in making mp the nomerical value of the katun, it may retwomaly be assmed that it performs at similar ofliee in rommeetion with the great cerle symbol, of which it is a msual arcompaniment. It is true that $\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{r}}$ (roodman has furnished no proof that this particular charater is a mumeral symbol denoting yo. hat in acoordaner with his theory it should have the sume value in comection with the errat recle olyph as elswhere.

In this serios we have the only evidence in the insoriptions of which I an aware that the ereat cyrles were mombered, it being the highest mumber given. But this numbering is just as the numbering of om thousathe of millions: we say 10 thousand and 10 million. In the Dresden "odex four of these periods are noted in some four on fice series. There are the highest comats. so far an is known, that the Maya reached, their notation seming to have seent itself in the sixth order of units. We conchade, therefore that, though the data are not sutirient to suttle all these points by aboolute demonstation, as all the evidence obtamable is agamst the theory of $1 \%$ cyclos to the great eycho and in favor of 20 , and as the only rvidener as to the mumbering of the great rycles indicates that they gotamee 13, it is safest to a-smen that the vigesimal system was followed throughont after the count row above the ehmen or serond otder of units.

It is oftem justifiable to advemere into the field of speralation in onfer to clear away so far as posible obstrmetion- to advancement and to bix the limits of imvestigetion. Wht the result of epereulation can not safoly loe uned an a factor in mathematical demonstration. and Mr Mandalay has camdidly stated the meressity for further inventigation in this rexert.

We have noticed the mambering of the ahame by the day mombers.



 begin－with fo Ahatu：that the thiol protiot hegine with th：the next with $2:$ the mext with 11 ，ant so on in the odder wiven thover but the same in true if we seloet athe other dirs as 1 Akhal in our tahbe 1 ，
 ＂atclan ato．
 follows．according to this syotem．that the katums，which contan just


 ete．－in a contimour wries and take ead twentieth one．As there are twenty katums in arerle，the latter mast also，areording to this
 $\therefore$ ．＂to．．in a contimmes seriss．and taking each twentioth ome，the

 great reve．the latter will all heerin with the same day and samb daty nomber．but if 20 he the correct eount，then the order will le 11． 4 ．


But after alt，this kind of tiguring is a more somre of ammoment except where the knowledge combered may aid to more erertain and rapod counting．It is an though we were to take the days of our almanate in regulat order as named．begiming the first hundred with sumbay：the serond handred would begin with Tuesday，and so on． by taking these and plading them in ronsertotive order we robld pirk out erery tently ome the he wiming of the thousamds．This might
 combting time hat it would he mo explantion of our vatenden system． and would mot be a part．But at realt thereote




 －rherted as an initial dathe thatn any othere day，is．in fate the initial day in mest of the inseriptions and is atso promiment in the bresten codex．
 taken plate on ：day．Ahatu．But it can be demomstattert that the initial




the same day a fact to which I have herotofore called attention (sere The Xaya lear. pages ta and sio). But the seride may be arbitraty: that is, the engrave or painter may have chosen to begin mo serios with one day and another with amothor day. Thim, however, gons to the very root of the subject, as Mr Coodman's syom abonLutely regnimes that the abaus or Beor-day comets shall all begin with the same day. and as worked ont hy him with a day than. Do seler. innpremed hy the result of Dr Förrstemam"s investigations. hats been led to beliere that most of the serips of the Dresten corlex have 4 Ahat combu as their initial date. or the day to whieh they refere While I admit that this is undoubtedly the day which seems to be most prominent in this codex. my investigations do not lead me to indorer his romelusion.
 of which there aro in reality ? sertiomat, or 8 romplete have than an the initial day, but the initial days of the three series are not all Sto days or an exen multiphe of stio days apart. as they shouk be if
 of 2tot. showing that they are based on a 260 -day periox.

The long series on plates .51-5s does not commenre with the day Ahan. whether we consider the upper line or lower line of days the proper one to coment bark from. It is also apparent that in this catse the series is based primarily on the er6o-daty period. As the least common moltiple of stal and 3 ato is t.gst, it does not appear possible to bring those series based on the abioday period into hamony with the Goodman theory exeept where the total mumber of days is a moltiple of tast, unless we suppose that thare are two series of nonroincident finctors ruming through them. It is true that we may use the week of onn abendar in rombting 10 -daty periods by allowing for the supplementary days, as is mondonedly done in some of the serifes of the codices and insoriptions: bat the theory that the alnan are time periods which ann not owerlap (them indicating two starting points not comsistent with the idea of miform mbroken surepsions is the point damed at in the abow referenees to the surbes of the bresten endex. Anothere point in comertion with the series on plates. $11-5$ s ditheult tes aceount for on thi theory is that the first day of the chmome (supposiing the numbers in the lower wrle of units to represent the day of the chuen) is Dulur throughont. It is true that the mumber in the lower order of unit- may commenere anywhere in the chuen. Jont if these are fixed time periods and the chuens 'hat not true monthes as Well as the ahame commence with Ahan it serm- that such important serbes as this one womld reveal this fact somewhere in the reckoning. In the inseription at the end there are two symbols of the unall type. one indiatinge katm, the other $1: 3$ anamis $=11$ sisu days. while the stm of the series is 11 , etth, of so deys more.

in the lowere order of mats. Ben an the tirst day of the whene and 5) Ehe the tirat daty of the erotes. While these examples do not furnish prositise proof in requad to the question at issur. they at lasist. in commertion with what has heen presented conereming the plan and objeret of these reekonings. do indieate that the so-called time prriods are merely orders of mits and mot chronologid protiods always coming in regular order from a fixed point in time. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nerer-
 inscriptioms, as will clearly appear when their reckoning is prosented. begin with thath, which fact most reepive a matisfatory explanation before this question ath be eonsidered settled.

Saother fact to be borne in mind is that acording to Mr (rood-
 periods mast commence with the same day though not the sime day nomber, and this would eontimm indetinitely. The stme thing. however, wond be true in this whome were any other dity seterted as the initial clate; all that will apply in any reapet to than will, until the feat comnt comes into phay, apply in every partioular to any other dity, atatement which admits of positive demonstration. Ther muly rason for prefering thatu, if there be any. is historic, or mather mythologe as matny of the serins cover too grat hapes of time to be historic.

If the two aham symbols in the inserption in the 'lemple of lanerip tions of labempe wofered to above on page Tit. he countorn in the time serise with which they are comereted, they rertainly oremp the
 that they has some relation to the mame of the period for which they stamel. 'This. howerer. is at best hut a mere gimes, and the mames are of hut minor importance in the diserssion.

## MNTTAL SERIES

Taking up now the initial series of the inseriptions, I shall give the beginning day of cath and briefly disensis its hatring on forolman's theory of the Mayan time system. 'The list so far as motieed by this anthor is an follows, using his motation, hut suhatitnting manght for full count:

> I'nlengule /nsiryiptions.
 coumorts, ty comatige back, with 1 thatu क \%ot\% the begimaing day




[^2] nects with + Ahains © Comhu, the begimning day of the fifty-fourth great erele. Here also the prefixed ummerals are iate charactors.
(3) Tublet of the Polinted ('omsx-5-1-15-5-to to 1 Ahau 13 Mace. This comects with 4 Ahans ('umhu, first day of the fifty-fourth great cycle. Here also the pretixed numerals are fime damacters.
(4) Tomple of Chereriptions-5+-!-4-(1)-(1)-6 to 13 Aham is Yax. This as given by Mr Goodman connects with 4 Ahaus Cumhn, but haw certainly been interpreted almost wholly ly pore guesswork. The glyphes are nearly obliterated, but enough remains to show that the prefixed numerals were of the ordinary form, balls and short limes (see motes below).
(5) Inseribert Ntegs. Ifouse ( $-5.5-18-12-15-12$ to © Eb, 15 Pop. This, as given be Mr Goorlman, conveets with 4 Ahan 3 Kankin, the first day of his fifty-fifth great cyele. but he admits that the prefixed numerals, all of which are fate chanaters and badly damaged, have been determined otherwise than ley insertion.

## Copern luseriptions

(6) Stelt A-5t:9-1t-1:9-8-0 to 12 Whan is Cumbur. This conneets with 4 Ahau s C'unhn, initial day of the tifty-fourth great cere The pretixed numerals are of the ordinary form, bathe and shont lines. and are quite distinct.
 + Ahans Combu, initial day of the fifty-fourth great ceycle. The prefixed mmerals are of the ordinary form. halls and short lines, and are distinet.
(5) Štelu ('-First inseription: 55!-18-(0-0-(0)-1) tor 6 Ahan 18 Kayal). This does not ronnect with the first day of either of (iroodmanis great eycles (fifty-third. fifty-fourth. fifty-fifth). The only counter of the initial series has the prefixed mmerals of the ordinary form, yuite distinet.
Second inseription: 斿? - 1 ? - (1-(0)-0-0 to 15! (!) : Ahau - Combu? This makes no comonetion with the begimning day of either of (roortman's great cyedrs. The pretixed mmerals to the single counter are of the ordinary form and distinct. For further notiee of these sories. see reference to stela (con a preceding page and remarks below.
 4 Ahoms Cumbu, first day of the fifty-fourth great cyele. The prestixed mumerals are in this case poculiar, lowing complete foms.
 man). This ako comects with the first day of the fifty-fourth great erole. using the series as given by Goodman: the semicis however, wholly made up by this author, as there is nothing in the inseription and no glyphe ohliterated or otherwise to indicate it, the date following immediately after the great cycle eymbol.

1: ETH. 1 TT $2-\quad 16$
 boing umbsual：Ne（roodman say：it whould be［oo．This commerts
 adopet Mr（roodman interpretation of the month symbol．＇The pre－ fixed ntumerals are of the ordinary form and are very di－tinet．

 of the diftr－fourth grat rele，arording to the comers as here given． The prefixed momerals are of the ordinary form and are mostly dis－ tinct．but there is great umcrertanty ds to the order in which the glyphe are to he taken．

East sida：54－4－1：－10－0－0 to no rerognized dato：（boodman says it
 Ahan © C＇umho，first day ol his tifty－fourth great ryde，lout in this case he has made a mistake as the eromeretion is with $\%$ Ahan 3 Cumbur ＇The prefixed mumorals are of the ordinary form and are distinct．but the order in which the glyphe come is very doubtful（see remarks below）．
 with 4 than 8 C＇mmhn．the first day of the fifty－fourth great revele．The protixad mumerals are of the ordinary form，lat some of the ghys are defaced and some of the mombers do not appear to agree with those given hy Goodman（see remarks helow）．
 Ahans Cumhn，first day of the fifty fourth great eycle．The prefixed momerals as given in Maud－heys drawing（the photograph is not given）：10 of the ordinary form and corropond with the mumbers given hore．
 the month momerat is wrome horeand that it should be：Z Zip）．＇Thiswilt

 of the ordimary form，are quite distinde and agrer with those given．






 als．which are of the urdinary form and dintinet in Mandslay shawing （the photograph is not wiven），do mot appear to agrer with（rondman＇s ligumes（sere remarks below）．

As I do mot hatre Mandatay folotogreaphe amd drawings of the （ ）nirigua inseriptions I will omit then from romsithration here．
lixamining these ditlerent mriom and noting（iocolman＇s explanations
and comments, we soon perceive that the data on which to base a decision in regard to his interpretation of these initial serios are rather meager. In six of them the pretixed numerals are face characters, so that the result depends entirely on the correctness of Goodmans interpretation. in regard to which the proof is as yet entirely lacking. A more thorongh examination of all the inswiptions contaning face numerals. including those of Quirigua, photonraphs of which are not yet at hand, is necessary lefore this question an be decided. There are two. I helieve, in which connection can lee made between the terminal date of the initial series and dates which follow. But this is not positive proof of correct rendering where the series rums into high mombers, as do all the initial series. This will be understood by the statement that one. two or more calendar ronnds may be dropped ont of the aggregate and ret the result will he the same if the prefixed numerals are changed to accord with this result; in other words, the same remainder in days will be left in the one case as in the other. This is possible, but it is not possible to change the time periods so as to give the same result where the sum is less than a calendar round, as one of the higher periods embraces all and more than all the given lower period. However, we may accept his interpretation where the teminal date of the initial series connects with the date which follow. The uncertain and somewhat suspicions alement in the investigation is the evidence in some cases and indication in others that Mr Goodman has obtained his serios not from the "haracters, but from his system. In these cases it is evident that comection of the terminal date by the series with the initial date proves nothing more than the correctness of his calculation. For this reason none of these are ronsidered as evidonce of the general use of a certain initial. exerpt whore there is comection with a following date through a followmy series. The two or three instanses in which this is the case have been specially referred to. As bearing on this point. the following facts are noted:

The initial series in the Temple of Inscription ( 4 in the above list) ix so neally ohlterated. as appars from Mathday"s photograph, that it is impossible to detemine the pretixed numerats or the termint date. The $t$ (katuns) is the only distinct number in the series. Enough of the day nmber. given ly Goodman as 13 than, remains to indicate that his rendering is wrong. There are (an is alno shown in Maudslaty"s drawing) two short lines denoting 10, hut the dots or halls are obliterated: there is. howerer, the little loop remaning at one rad. As a rule which has no known exception, mentes this he one, there are never more than two balls hetween these end loops, usually but one (see the quotation on this from Mandslay given abore). As there would have to be three to give the 13 , either Mr Goodman is wrong or the inseription is irregular. 'This series must therefore be excepted from thase oftering evidence in filror of this anthor"s theory.
 hass hern determinel atherwise than by inspertion, and hemer it most berexeladerl.
 evidence. as the pretixed momerala are of the ordinary form, are distimet. aml make rommertion with the initial date of (roodmans: tilty-lourth wrat ereld.

The two inserjptions on stola ( $C$ ( of above list) present one mansma feature and one whith serms to bear vory strongly against Nr (fombmans therory of 18 cyedes to the great rever in fact is almos positive eridenore against it. Itere following Mr Mandslay"s drawing-for his photograph is not suffeiently plain for satisiactory insprotion-we motice that but one time period is given, 13 cocles, and that this is followed without any intervoning glyphe by the date if Ahatu is Kayab. 'The day symbol is atace whatare but is so rendered, and sommingly corrortly, by (roodman. This will mot make connertion with the initial date of cither of the three great ryeles erimen hey him. The fart that the numeral in this rase (haths and whont lines) prefixed to the cerele symbol is 18 apperars to stand in direct contradiation of this :mthor"s thenry as "full come" is mowhere we given in ordinary mumerals or even in a face chatueter, hut always in one of the symbols for full count. Wo never find in ordinary momer-



The other insoription on this stela is also umusual in the same respert, the mumeral series consisting of only one time period- $\mathbf{1 3}$ reves-which is followed immediately by the date 15!. Dhan © Cumhu. The 15 protixed tor dhan is evidently an croor. Mr Maudslay though giving 15 in his drawing, eomelndes, foom a subsepuent examination, that it may be ar or . However. it will not commed with the diral day of wither of Mr ( Foodman's great creles, whether we use the one of the other mumber or any other Ahate $ה$ Comhth. These two intial sorise taken together present another fact difleult to ace:oment for on

 the dates are in the same ervat ervele and if in diflerent ones they would
 limits the inseription- to the fifte-thirt, fifterourth. and fifty-fifth. In his comment on these sertus he virtatly wonfenses his inability to determime the mamber of the great eyole by the detats of the erybl.

Ther inseributions on the rast and west faces of stelat of are placerd irmontarly, in ome case in threr columms and transwore lines, and in the other in diatomal lines; the order, therefore in whiels the ehyphes are to be takem is very merertan.

Acording to Mandsky" drawing of Altar $K$ (mo photograph is given), the initial serios of the inseription ats given he (iosedman does
not appear to bo comed. The drawing shows 120 or 1 ryeles and not !3. mbess the two short lines are to be "onsidered as one. Which can only be determined hy incerecting a photograph or at ant.

The initial spries of Altar ( 17 of the athowe list) as yrom by
 tion as wiven in Mandiay"s drawing (there is mophotograph). He gives 15 katuns, wherest the inseription shows only $1:$, the prefixed numerals being of the ordinary form.

Athough the erjdenee presented is not suttieient to astablish M1 Goodman's theory of a distinet Mayan time system, it. together with the very frequent references in the breseden codex to the day them o ('mmh (whichalways falls in the yan \& len), indicates that this date was considered one. perhaps the chief, mitial point in the time series. $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ Förstoman has (alled attention (o) its use in this condex in his Zur Entzifferung der Mayahandschaften and in a letter to me.

Neither of the ligh serios running the folds of the serpent figures of plates if and diz appear to hegin or end with than. The hack series in the right serpent of plate $6=$ over ? Kim 16 Co (the 16 is atn evident error) reaches bark, if comed from this date with 20 (cyeles
 the great rycle. it rearhes 10 ('hiochan is Pax. ${ }^{1}$ But it is moticeahle that at the bottom of the plate ( 62 ) at the right of these serpent figures and "xtending into plate 63 are five short series with 4 Ahan (Cmmhn as the given date in each. The red loops heresecm, as l have shown on another page, to indiate connocting series, as some of then connect with the dates immediately above.

The series in the upper lefthand portion, arcompanied hy loops,
 or both series of the colmm, that with the loops and that above 9 Ix .

The series ruming through the middle and lower divisions of plates T2 and TB stants with $\pm$ Eh. The two high series at the right of the upper division of plate 52 go hack to $t$ that $s$ Cumhu.

It will be seen from this disenssion that while $t$ hhan $\&$ Cumhn is a notable initial date. it is not the only one with which series ruming into years commencr, and that than is not the onts initial day iu long series. 'There is, howorer, one notionbla ditherence between the initial series in the inscriptions and the seribe in the endices: in the former the symbol of the highest or sixth order of units is a marked chameter which has no parallel in the latter, but it must be remembered that in the latter the distimetion hetwem the orders of units is made ley the position of the ordinary connters and not by distinetsymbols, as in the former.

One fact whicls mast be bome in mind in commetion with this point is that Alan ean not be the first day of a year or month in Mr Goodman's system, nor in any Mayam system. It follows, there-
fore that mither of his harge periods－crole and great cyole－van begen with the first day of a lear．This．howerer，is true of most，if bot all．of the stribs of the Dresden codex，which goes far wath proving that Jr Coonhan＇s supposed time probed are not really such in atrue somse but are simply time combers or orders of mits：other－ wise we must－mppose that the Haya hat two time systems coincident onty at cortain pointe．which is what llat（ioodman atsumbes．

Why the caldember wad should be called＂Aredabe＂，as＂ompered with that of the coolites is not altogether apparent from the inserip－ tions examined．As given and explaned by Mr（iomman．it was as complete and forfect in alf its details as that which wouk be designated more reernt．The months，years．and seyear jeriods．the method of mombering the days．and hence the t－year series and all the peruliani－ ties of the system，were procisely the same as those of the codices． As it is a rule in the progress of human rulture to adranee from the imperfect and whde to that which is more nearly perfect，that the arehate Maya callondar system might be expeeted to exhibit imperfere－ tions which were gradually remedied hy experience．Dr Förstemam， reasoning on this very justifiable assumption，conchaded（though we mast admit he fails to present satisfatory（evidenee that primarily theile years emonised of only 360 days and that the next step in advance wan to a year of $34 t$ days，the timal correction resulting in the
 syben induded two different years，the calondar year consisting of 366 datys ant the chanologic year of fol days（it wats foo day－）．His scheme intlates not omly a 3 th－day period，hut tarries with it the 365 － day prefod of true year，as this is ome of his asomtial fictors，and more－ over is apparent in almost every inseripetion amd most be admitted as a part of the chmonogic system of the oldest inscribed records which have heen diseovered，be our theory as to their time s．stem what it may．

## 11）ENTITY OF SVETEAS AND（＇HARACTERS OF THE いいFFERENT TRIBES

That there ate fomd in the inseriptions on the now ruined strmetures
 monthe and for some of the dats，as well as some other peraliaritice in symbols，not observed in the codices，is true．But comsideringe what has been given be eaty writers eomerning the manes and order of the days and monthe among the diflerent tribuse the agerement in the forms and orter of the days and montls as shown hy the inserijetions is remarkable．Take the day Ahat lor example；athongh we meet here and there a face form，yet the usual symbel at Jalenerue，Tikat，

 And eath hobls the same pelative pesition throughout，which indicates
a sumeness and uniformity at variance with the idea of any difference in system. or any great differance eren in nomenchatare.
sereral of the month symbots, as Pop, Zip, Zotz, Xul, Yaxkin, Mol, Yax. Kayal, Cumhn, and in fact nearly all, are substantially the same as those found in the I reseden eodex, which is the on? y codex in which the monthe have as yet beren discorered. This similarity would seem to indicate that the names among the different tribes have not alwas been correctly given by the early writers. In fact, the codices and inseriptions show greater uniformity in regard to the timesystem and time srmbols than is to be infereed from the historical record. Each section introduces some styplis not found in other sections, and there is more or less variation in the ornamentation and nomessential features. but the typical forms of the time symbols are generally essentially the same.
1 The evidence. when carefully examined in detail, presents some facts whith serm to demonst bate the corrextness of the above ronclusion, and to show that the testimony of the early anthorities indieates a greater difference in systems than is indicated by the insoriptions.
'The names and order of the days of the month used by the Maya (proper), Tzental, and Quiche-C'akchituel tribes, as based on the historic evidence. are a- follow:






Althongh uniformity in the form of the day simbols does not prove ithontity in the mame in the difleront tribal dielectes, it tends in this direetion, if allowame be mate for the variation meressaty to experes the same idea, and madouhtedly indiatce mity of origin. Take. for (xample, the daty Votan in the Tzental ealembar. Which stands in the pate of Aktal in the other abendars. The symbol of this day is remarkally miform in all the inseriptions where it appears. The same is trius in regard to Kann. Lamat, and Eaamab, which mever

 to account for the miformity of the symbels in the seroral rengons that these tribes are known to hate inhabited!

However. the wide variation hetwern the historia evidenes and that of the inseriptions $i s$ in reference to the names of the months. In regard to these, an given historically. it may be atated that those of the Jata (proper) and the 'Yental-Zotzil and ( [roups ditfered throughout, morphologically and in signifeation. so lat as the latter has bern dutormined. mo natmo in one being the satme. silw in a single instanoo, an that in another. As compared with those in the Maya caldedan: which have abready herengiver. those of the

 mammer thromgont. So widely difforent, in fact, are they, that I)r Brinton and Dr Sider made no attompt to bring them into harmony. Now. in contrast with this. the symbols are mot mbly compatively miform in the inseriptions, an is shown by the figures given in Mr (boxlmans work. hut, with very few exoptons, worespond with those in the Treseden coded. There are aks indieations that the names Were the same a- those fomed in the Maya raldadar. For example. the symbot of the month lop is charaterized hy an intertacing tigure "pparently intombed to chomoto matting: in May:a, Popsignifios "mat." Thu name of the fourth month. Zotz, signitios ": bat," and the symbol. Whach is always a face form, has an extomeion mpand from the tij) of tha mose, presumathly to indicater the lead'mosed bat. But as

 hy the full form of a lationad hat. Sosemeral is the mitormity of the month elyphs, both in the bresten codex and in the inseriptions that Dr Condmam hats not hexitated to apply to all the mames of the


diversity in all their calembars：their dates are all forrelative，and in most of the rewort parallel eath other．＂Of course there are spo－ radie variations and imperfect olyphe which often render determina－ tion by simple inspertion unertain．hut it is generally aded by the combeting numeral serifes．

The ehange of day symbels from the typical form to fare whaterers is found in the rodicem as well as in the inserptions，as is shown hy an examination of the Tromo＂omex，where it is of frequent aremence．
 and Ix ，in the latter wolex，are so bacal that identity is aseortained only by mean of the positions they wanpy in series．It is upon this mafomity No（roorlman chiotly hases his theory of an arehaic calen－ dar．Following the quotation givan in the preceding paragraph has say（1） 1 ）145－14i）：
 tion，or separate nations－they were a honnomenos peaple，comstituting the grandext native rivilization in the Western Jeminphere of which there is any reoorl．Vet when the fombaris arrisel upm this theater of prehistorie Amerisan grandenr，
 national gratness．Thovery sites ut the ane ind rapitals were mmentioned，name－
 a few lamdred yars．It comblumb come in the wake of a perion that land ontlated the patience and retentiveneso of even aboriginal minds．Next，Jr Otto stoll，the distinguther eomparative linguist，who has made a spectal stmer of the Maya dia－ lects，states that the Gakehifucl hasume，whe of the most nearly athines to that of the Trentals，whon at present wobly the central seat of the extinet empire，is yet

 We thod in the Yucater chmondes a motinte imbleation singnarly in keepmo with Lr stoll＇s extmate．All the Xin ehronicles bexin with a reand of the migration of their ancestors，in two great halies，about two humbred and forty years apart，from somer region to the westwad．

From long and carefil sthts of the amals 1 have come the eomolusion that
 of whe era．That this migration conala have come from the Archair mation only is proved by the flentity of the erapharystem of the luaters with that uf Palenque， Copan．Quirigua，and other cities of the central region－a systens fomm mowhere to the north，somth，or west of it．Even to this lay the luatse language is more elosely

 in eeveral resperts from thase of the Arehaie oitien is not a final weven grave objere


 subsedpent history．It is mot unlikely，therefore，that they ohangel their methoch of romputing time so as to monform to these of thein superione or the change may have heen mank for some reason mot evilent to us；but that they did ehange thein methors there can le for donht，and that，tow，shortly after their contact with the other nations．Two of their chronirles distinctly state that at a time equivalent to about the＂gnth year of ont＂rab＂Par was put in orler．＂The statement＂an refer



 matr by the Xins in their now home pravins to this change．
＇The ditheuty in this therery lise in the fact that prowisely the same

 of which we know wire in we down to that time．though pessihly
 montly names sedm－to have been of companatively recont date．The sathe grobral systrm，allowance being made for dillerences in names

 for the diflerences in the mames of the montlas and of some of tha days． the chamere of dominical days by the people anonge whom the＇Tromo ＂onlex was writton，and some differemer in connting the monthe which armes to have obtained amomg wome of the Cakenitmol，the calpudar si－tim was unform among the Mayan tribus fom the firs notion we hatre of it to the coming of the spaniard．＇The ideat therefore advameed hy Mr Goodman of an＂Archaie calendar．＂which ceaved to low in use about the time of the Xin migration．hetween sixteren hundrad and two thousimd rears ：eno．appeare to be without valid hasis．

Finally，on this peont I think I will be justified in the statement that if the arehaid Mayan ehronologite sysum was se eomplete and perfect as：it is lelieved ly Mr Goodman to have bem．it was the most system－
 only out ranking in thim repatt the oriental susums．but eren those of modorn aivilization．We are therofore comperled from one examinat tion of the subjert．while commending as axeedthely valnalhe his real dincowerios，whish have been notiond．to roject his themy in roward to
 gromeally rereived．helieving that he has mistaken the motation ased

 to the Jelyan time system is the conclasion reathed hy him in refer－ Purn to the range of time wer whath the history of the Maya people has ratembed．This is shown in the following extrat from his work：


 were hepembent on the instrutalda lansui nature munt all have bean in common． They wera atrag in manhers，and stronger atill hy theirgeat and whitary enlighten－




the fuestion of danger from ontsilu sources is partically eliminated from the poblo lem of their mational existence. Their unity of origin, the simple muneral worwhip inticated by the ir momments, the civicspirit to be inferred from the abseme of all warlike insignia in the inserintions, print umnstakably to hapmy, contenterk. peaceful state of internal affairs, akin to lnotherhood. Under such confitions, how bong might not a nation embure? We go bark ton thonsand yoars and find them then rivilizerl. What other tens of thomsand yams may it have taken then to reably that stagre? From the time of the abrupt termination of their inseriptions, when all sumbdenly hroonses a blank, hark to that remote first bate, the apparent frambinms in the growth oi their civilization are oo eradual as to foreshalow a necessity for their 280.500 resorded gears to rearh the puint of its commentrment. Minifestly, we whald have to let ont the strap that contines our notion of history. The fielo of native nationality in America fromisas, when fully explored, to reveal dates sur remote that it will require a witler mental range to realize them (1ase 149).

This conclusion is reachard by the following process of reasaming: That the ronchuding date (he always (alls it "initial datr") of the initial seride "rould have bat a single purpose-that of reoording the date at which the monument was ereeted." The fart that some of the strle have different "initial dates" on opposite sides is explained by the statement that "in these instaners one date is reckoned from the other. the latter one undonbtedly dewinating the time of dedieation." This howerer, is a supposition not sustalined by satisfactory evidence. do to the two on Stala ( C , he confesses he can give no pxplanation of them without radieal changes in each.

By a comparison of the dates in the varions insuiptions he arrives at the conclasion that the lapse of time between the earliest and latest of these was s, 383 yenm. Adding to this 2.348 years, the time preceding 1855 A. D. at which he thinks the record (losed (page 148), "we shall arive at the time when that aneiont Mara conqueror trod his enemies under foot. 10.731 yans ago the oldent historionl date in the world"; that is to sire the monmment on whirh the earlifst date is recorded was erected $s .836$ years before the Christian era. To obtain the enormons streteh of 280.800 years, mentioned in the abore extract he comonts bate arcording to his theoretie time sresem to the begimning of the grand era. Of course. suth startling prosult, lowed upon the kind of testimony offered. can hatdy le ancepted as historic. The inseriptions showing what may loe called "initial series" exist; they show the counters up to the sixth order of mits. or the great cyele, but all else mesu which his great struture is built eonsints of
 or his fifty-third. fiftr-fourth, and fiftr-fifth great cerdes. That the great areles wero numbered. just as wa number thonsands and millions, is madoubtedly true. but 14 is the highest numbring of whirh we have any positive evidence in the inseriptions or colices, which indicates that the count would have ended at 20 , following the rigesimal system if earried higher.

Notwithstanding these rritirisms Mr Goodman seems to be light in

 - tate and tribald distinetions muth less mated than when dexpibed hey
 dialoets the names [of the days] belonged abready at the time of the fonpuest to an ardatic form of spereh. indieating that they wore derived from some erommon andent stok, not one from the other, amd that. with one or two pessible exceptions. they helong to the - tork ame are mot borowed words." Thongh we "an mot say positively to What tribes the inseriptions of the diflerent distriets are to be fexere tively attributed, we ath satoly assert that they are Maym, and that those at laberopur are in what is or was the colloter of the Tzental
 ("ountry: thoos at ('op)an amel Quirigua in the habitat of the Quicho and Cakehifurl or posilh! ('hol peoples; and those at Tikal in that formerly oceupied by the Itza tribes. The great similarity in the time and mameral symbols and the time systems shown ly the inseriptions in these difterent lacalitios wonk seem, therofore, to justify Mr Goomman: assertion "that-whether a single empire a federation, or separate mations--they were a homogeneons peophe." :and thas. thongh there
 likely to fail to furnish amy ly further investigation. they do form indirently a firm basis in our attempts to trate the past history of this ferople. The next step is to determine the age of the reeords. form an appats from what has hem shown, the history as derived from the early Spanish writers can not be fully relied om, and the traditions an be trusted only an far the they agree with the monmments and the lin-
 ate ran not be arapted is evident from the yuotationgiven above.
 is that the Jaya of Y"uatan represent the wrigimat stork. or that they have retamed with last whene of any of the triber the mames and time syotem of the calendar, wexept an to the dominital days.

## 

Bofore dosing this petper if will. for the hemetit of those who have deremty takem up the study of the Maya mamumpizte and inserip)toms. Fefor to some smbals found in the eodieres wheh prohably rept

 ful result- in attemplat interpmetation of the conliores.

IN The lomembe ('onex
'The katun smbel in the ordinary form shown at wature lo. is fery frepurnty wed in this codex, sometimos, as already shown as

example. on plates 61 and 49 . These, which have been heretofore alhuded to. are precisely of the form fond in the inseriptions. The series as given on plate 6! in 15 katuns, 4 abans. 4 dhuens. 4 days, the days having a secial symbol not joined to that of the chmens. The preceding date is $t$ Ahaus © comhn, and that which follows : Kan 1: Kayab. The reckoning in this case reaches. as has been shown, the day and day mumber Kan). Wet the th day of (immon instath of the 1:2th of Kayab. Verartheless. there am be no question that this is a series preciofly after the form of thore given in the insariptions.

In these two series are also son the ahat and dhuen symbols of the unual forms. the days. as has heen staterl. usaally having a sepate symbol, generally the su-abled kin symbol, as the lower whateter in the symbol of the month laxkin.

The ordinary momerals fommat the side or top of these symbols are frequently replapd by one on more little ball on (cup-shape charavters. such as are shown in figure 21 . Others of like form attabed to other period symbol- are shown at $A B, B 3$, and At. figure 16 . In the latter, ordinary momerals are aloo present. The firs (figure 21 ) in from the upper divisjon of phate -8. and the others are from plate 64. Are these charactors mummals! If so. what is the value of earh? Is they can not together represent in any instance more than 20, and as many as three aro found in some instanes attached to one symbol, it is evident that, if they are mumber chanaters, eath must indicate $1, \stackrel{3}{ }$ 3. t. 5 , or 6, not more. As the latter three have ako ordinary numerals attathed, but odd nombers. it may be


Fig. 21 Glyph from plate 73. Dresden codex. inferred that the value is ?. 4 , on 6. There is, howern, other oridence bearing on this question. Which is seen in the symbol shown at $A$, figure 16 . This is ceptainly the equivalent of the "calendar round" symbol of the inseriptions, and as the largest manber of full calendar romeds in the time series immediately below is 5 , the value of catch of these little whractire womld reem to be 2. As a chmen symbol in the same commertion is follownd hy the sombol for day in the abstract rense. adoh having these little waraters attached. the evidence in fatror of the therory that they are momerals in rery strong. In the midfle of the lower half of plate $\overline{0}$ at katun -ymbul is followed
 out other mamerals. Sio far. howerel. I hato bepa bublhle to comenert dates ber means of theo counters. if they be such: bot this is not decisive, as there are not suttirient recognized data in any fase for a fair test.
(On plate it. second column. wear the top, is a face glyph usist an
 a matl abtu smbol of the usabl type. There are wereat other chatactere in this codex which appear to be used ats mumber symbols.
as the bird hoad with 10 prefised. center of plate 70 ; the lmix-like chatarter with farefixed. lower lefthand corner of plate 71.

In regard to this shamotor, which is contaimed in two grouls-one

 supposed rasorations-harmarks as follows (p. (8) :
The resmblane hetwoen the lant slyph in the list and the character ocenring on phates 51 and 52 of the bresden codex removes all doulto of the latter foing a direntive sign. In is amployen son coronely in one instance that it is well worth while wiving both exampes of its use in order to illustrate the pecularity. The
 of the 5th great eprele of the Archaic era) to 12 Lamat in both casew, but with different intervals. The realing in plate $L$ is this: [see plate wow or ].
Here the meaning, plainly enough, is: From 4 . hams C"umhn to the 12 Lamat; that is, $s$ days from the former (ow initial) date. The realing on plate 5 on is more complisated. There are two + thau-8 Comha dates followed by this reckoning: [Ser plate Xlivh ].

The 12 Lamat is not distinct, as here, but there can he no quewtion of its identity, the reckoning heing of wactly the swme warater as the other. The reading here
 5 ahans from the 2 former (ow intial) daters. The menliarity here is that the directive sign indiater the reckning to le from two dater-the whe instance of the kin! that has come under my olservation.

In regard to the gromp on plato 51 (om phate Nin) it may be safely assumed that the upper date is $t$ thans Cumbu, and it is true that comet inge days from this dato brings the reckoning to $1:$ Lamat. Int the long series immediately below serms to be intended tocoment the batter date with the 12 Lamat which is below this lomg series prodisely as in the preceding case. the serien hre ascending to the left. The assumpe tion, therefore that the lmix somber is a divertive sign in very dondetfor morenser, the lamat symbel proedes it. Förstemam sugerests that it signifies an ahatu-katum= 5.764 days.

 plare. the assumption that 12 bamat stands at the hatad of the group is not warranted. The remmant of the obliterated glyph wives morolor" to it. more is there anything in the armoment of the series in the division to sugerst it. Morowere the two dates-anh thans ('umhedo boh fertain to the womm, but do the two long series at the right inmewhituly motro them. 'This is wident from inspertion. hat positive prowf in fomen in the fiat that, if we use the blark mamerals of the

 - ather seribes. the 1 Akbal below. C'sing the red comenters in the left

 - atud, dillore only loday゚ from thase of the right eolumn, reath Fizanab.
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 lochuens will. however, make the commertion. It is evident, therefore. that Mr (roodmans: explanation of the two dot- lafore the Imix-like symbel of the group is only a suppositiom, and his theory as to the nse of this symbol is without convine ing support; neverthelms. it is probahly a momeral charater. Fïrstemames suggestion is that it signifies a "katunie cyole," (ioodman": calendar round.

It is true that the tromblesome puestion arisen, Are we to assume that the glyphs which have been notied are always to be considered number symbols, whererer found! This would appear to carry the idea of number symbols to the extreme. See. for axmple the athan symbols on plates $i=$ and 73 . To assume this would inply that the rurious prefixes to these smbols are numeral signs. as Mr Goodman contembls. having assigned values to most of the trpesfomul on the phates referred to. Possibly he may he right (see page tio of his work).

A puzzling charaeter foumd in this codex is the red circle or loop with bowknot on top (figure wo ) Whether these are intended as symbols of comection on not the series comected with them appear in a majority of catses to form links between other series or to join one or more of what we maty term side dates not following in the line of the series. They appear. however, in one sories to have some other use: at least, as will he seen when the series is notied, the mumrals inclosed appear to be used in a different way from those in other loops.

The first we notice are those in the lower left-hand corner of plate 70 . (imanters commeted with the left loop are 4 (supposed) dmens, "days. the latter number being inclosed in the loop. 'The date below is 4 than $S$ Comlun. and at the top of the long series over the loop is 9 Ix. If we coment backward from 4 than 8 Cumbu $t$ chmens. fi days, or sif dars (which does not carry us beyond the commencement of the year). we reacla : Ix. The momerals commeted with the right loop are 10 chmens,


Fig, 2 - - Fig bre irom plate 72, Dresden evo dex. S days, or eos days, the dato helow 4 Alaus ('umhu and the day above $\pm$ Eh. Reckoning backward as betore. we reach the $\pm$ Ehabowe. The rule also hold good for the counters comerted with the loops above, near the midule of the same plate. Where these of the left loop are 1 alman. 12 chuens. 6 days, and thone of the right $t$ ahans. 10 chums. 6 datrs. the date helow each heing $t$ Ahan \& Comhu and the day above each! 1 x .

The reckoning indicated hy the sries lolonging to the loops in the lower lefthand romer of phate 6 ? is mot quite so satinfatory. The series of the left loop is 11 (hums. 15 days. the date almose 3 Chicchan $1:$ Kankin: that of the midde liop 17 days, the date above 13






 corrected by the insertion of ared $\because$ botween the ahath atme chand


 Atemtion is ablled to the fate that the numerals inchored in the loopse here in ewh case exered $1: 3$, the highent daty momber. as the phestion


The series lefonginge to the red leop on phate is (nsing the original

 date of the lomg arios to the right is l: Muhne : Zate. 'The reekon-


 abowe the lower date. The serios in the wper division commerts with 1: (). to the right. That in the mildle division of phate tis rommere with the 3 Lamat oree it. Of the fwo modes in the mpere divisum of plat Bi. that of the right loop eomerets with the date ahowe hat that of the laft dowe mot. The series atterdmed the the red lown on phate 2 t. if we consider the red symbol inside as matught. connects with 1 . Whats 1. Kaỵab at the right.

 the eodex, but in this ease the mombers in the loope do mot form pant of











 - (1) t:men of Ahan in the May:n time romat.

## In Other Contces

in regard to these it may be stated in hrief that in the Cortexian codex plates: 1 to : contain frequent repetitions of the ahau symbol, used apparently as a comnter, ordinary momerals being generally attached. These, howerer hare, in addition to the mmerals, other appendages not seen in the inseriptions (at least not in the same form) as. for example, the cross-hatched aljunct seen on phate 34. It is true some of the forms given hy Goodman show wros-hatching, and of
 lower division and elsewhere are symbols (with mmerals attached) which apparently ocemp the place of days and chmens, or of the first and serond orders of units. However, I am unahle to determine either their relation to any of the nmmerons dates on the plate or their nis. Mr (roodman giver to the eros-hatehing in some instanees the value of ! , hat in others he uses it an a multiplier, hamally as $20 \times 20$ (see pl. 100. 101 of his work). Possibly he would deride that these alan symbols are simply intended to refer to the legiming of the first, third, tenth ahall. cete. ancording to the number pretixed. I am inclined to believe there can be little doubt that they are comenters with the nosul value asigned to the ahan, whaterer may be their relation to the dates on the plate.

On plate 3 , lower division, and possilly elsewhere, is what appears to be a coonter in which the whef element is the Camac chatacter. The ordinary dmen symbol wors quite frequmtly on the phates referred to. hat never with more than one set of numerals. Other symbols with numeral- attached which may posibly be conters are foum on the same phates, hat I have been unable to test the smpposition.

In the Tromo codex what appear to lee ahan symbols are found on plates 20 to $23.31,7^{*}$ to $10^{*}$. and alwo elsewhere. On the latter two plates are also what appear to be katum symbols. In a tew instances these two symbols have numerals attached. Sattered through the codex are quite a mumber of other symbols with mumerals attachod, which appear to be commersom numberglyphs. On the so-called titlepage of this and the Cortrian codices ate quite a number of glyphs which I take to be number symbols. Some of these I presume from the form to be chuens, hut they are in gromps menally with mumerals atterbed, and as in thren instances these numerals are 1:, I take them to indisate days. and the number of chnen symbols in a gromp to indicate the number of chnens, as the two numbers attached to the chuen glyphe in the inseriptions indicate the days and clmens. I am also rather inclined to the belief that on this title-pager the fourth line of characters from the top denotes ahans. The red oval symbols helow with numerals attached are also probably mumberglyphs,

Gut they mas indicate days or some highor orelor of mite than chmens． as the mamerals in some canos ate 1 ？．Howeror．I have not suc－ corded in tinding any relation betwen these spribs and aconmpunging days．

Whether 1 hatre suededed in showing satisforerily the red diseov－ erios math he Mr（ioxhman and in indicating clearly their trur value mast bo dotermined bey the use whirh other workers in this fird will make of what has beren here peresonted．That these discorpros have （9） the adieres amd insoriptions will be admitted．Bolieving that the advance made thereby may be proditably carriced into the stady of the
 some sugeretions in regarel thereto in the hope that other workers in this ficld mey be inchered to pmesere the subjoet．

## WORKIN゙G TABLEES

As an atid to readers I have followed Mr（oorlmans：example in pre－
 to twenty．

| Calemdar romads |  |  |  | Calmular mands |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15， $1 \times 0$ | $\because 1$ | 3！ 3 ，，iso | 41 | 7－5，180 | 61 1，15－，スヘ |
| 2 | 37，（mit） | 29 | ＋17．840 | 42 | 79\％，1631 |  |
| 8 | 5ki，944 | 28 | 4341,540 | $114:$ | －14i， $1+1)$ | （i．）1．145，740 |
| 4 | 75． 920 | 24 | $4.55,5=0$ | 4 | $43.35,120$ | （i）1，214． 520 |
| 5 | 14， 5111 | 25 | 4.4 .5010 | 45 | S．it． 1100 |  |
| $1 ;$ | 11：,$~ 5.481$ | 21 | 4！\％）． 480 | Hi | N， $3,0,000$ |  |
| 7 | $13 \%$ ，Nit | $\because$ | S12．4（3） | 47 | x！12，11F0 | （ii）1，271，（6it） |
| $*$ | 1.51 .810 | $\because$ | 5：31． 411 | $4 \times$ | \＄11． 14.40 | （is 1，2301），（i）0 |
| A | 170，心20 | － | Si0， 420 | 49 | 4330，0： 313 | （59）1，30：4．600 |
| $11)$ | 15！，－ 110 | ：31） |  | 510 | 4H：1， 0011 |  |
| 11 | 204,750 | $: 3$ | 丞，380 | 51 | ใHi\％，and | $711.3 \cdot 17.580$ |
| 12 |  | ：$\%$ | （1）\％，：3tio | BO | （mbi，（Hil） |  |
| $1: 3$ | $2+6,7.91$ | ：3） | （i2）$i_{\text {，}}, 3+4$ | 53 | 1．010．），9417 |  |
| 11 | 2fin， 720 | ：4 | （145，$\because 20$ | i．t | 1，1024． 920 | It 1．7184． 3 20 |
| 1.5 | 2－ 2 ， 1111 | 3．7 | bit． 3 （tut | i． | 1．14：${ }^{\text {，}}$（141） | 7．1．42：3． 200 |
| 11. | ：31：3，livll | ：3i |  | ：3i |  | Ti $1,4 \because 2 .+6 i)$ |
| 17 | （i－2，titil） | ：17 | 710．203 | $\therefore$ | 1，0，＜1，S10 | 75 1．H61．fion |
| 1.5 | $\therefore 11.14 .11$ | 34 | $\cdots=1,241$ | is | 1．100）， 510 | is 1，4．0．4．40 |
| $1!1$ |  | 3：1 | －111，2011 | 51： | 1，115，（20） | $7!18.194 .420$ |
| 20 |  | 41 | T5：4，2014 | （ii） | 1．135．（10） | st1 1．s1s．（1） |


| Ahaus |  | Katuns |  | Cyeles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3 \times 50$ | 1 | 7,200 | 1 | 144,000 |
| 2 | 720 | 2 | 14, 400 | 2 | 288,000 |
| 3 | 1,080 | 3 | 21,600 | 3 | 4.32,000 |
| 4 | 1,440 | 4 | 28, 800 | 4 | 576, 000 |
| 5 | 1,800 | 5 | 36, 1000 | 5 | 720,000 |
| 6 | $\therefore .160$ | 6 | 43, 200 | 6 | S64, 000 |
| 7 | $\because{ }^{2} 520$ | 7 | 50, 400 | 7 | 1,008,000 |
| 8 | 2, 880 | 8 | 57,600 | 8 | 1,152, 000 |
| 9 | $\therefore 2,24$ | 9 | 64, 800 | 9 | 1,29\%, 0100 |
| 10 | 3,100 | 10 | 72, 000 | 10 | 1, 440, 000 |
| 11 | $\therefore$ A160 | 11 | 79, 200 | 11 | 1, 584, 0000 |
| 12 | 4,320 | 12 | 86, 400 | 12 | 1. $72 \mathrm{~S}, 000$ |
| 13 | 4,1880 | 13 | 93,800 | 13 | 1, 812, 1010 |
| 14 | 5, 040 | 14 | 100, 800 | 14 | $2,016,000$ |
| 15 | 5,400 | 15 | 10s, 000 | 15 | $2,160,000$ |
| 16 | 5, 760 | 16 | 115, 200 | 16 | $2,304,000$ |
| 17 | 6, 120 | 17 | 120, 400 | 17 | 2, 448,000 |
| 18 | (b, 480 | 15 | 129,600 | 18 | 2,592,000 |
| 19 | 6, 840 | 19 | 136, 800 | 19 | 2, 736, 000 |
| 20 | 7,200 | 20 | 144,000 | 20 | 2, 880,000 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is possible that the inscriptions of the Yucatan peninsula will be found to follow the system of the Troano and Cortesian codices und the ondex used by Landa, shomld any inseribed dates be found.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    
    
    
    
    

