PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

THE GENERIC NAME OF THE HOUSE-RATS.

BY GERRIT S. MILLER, JR.

The generic name Mus is currently applied to both house-mice and house-rats, as well as to an assemblage of rat-like species comprising perhaps the greater part of the sub-family Murina. This arrangement involves many inconsistencies, the most glaring of which is probably the close association of the house-mice with the rats. The two groups are in fact generically distinct, the rats retaining a primitive type of dentition, in which the anterior molar shows no tendency to assume the chief function of the toothrow, and the posterior molar remains a large, functionally important tooth, while the house-mice and their allies have a highly specialized toothrow in which the first molar is of much more mechanical importance than the other teeth combined, and the third tooth is greatly reduced. The incisors in the house-mouse group are also noticeably specialized. With the house-mice and their European and Central-Asiatic allies must be associated the Indian and African group commonly known as Leggada, the main peculiarities of which are precisely the same. In its most extreme development Leggada differs from the housemice in the more pronounced reduction of the hinder molar and in the further enlargement of m¹ by the addition of a supplemental anterior transverse enamel ridge; but on taking into consideration the numerous recently described species it seems impracticable to retain the two groups as distinct genera.

The type of the Linnaun genus Mus is by tautonymy musculus, since this is the only included species "possessing the generic name as * * * [a] synonym."* This name must therefore be restricted to the house-mouse-Leggada group.

^{*} International Code, article 30.

In determining the generic name of the rats, as typified by the species norvegicus and rattus, and without, for the present, attempting to fix any exact limit for the group, it is necessary to consider the genera Acanthomys Lesson, Euchætomys Fitzinger, and Epimys Trouessart, each of which as originally defined contained species related to the house-rat.*

Acanthomys was proposed† as a subgenus of Mus to contain the species retifer, alexandrinus, perchal, platythrix and hispidus.† No type was designated nor has one been selected by a subsequent reviser. As the name has, however, generally been placed in the synonymy of Acomys I. Geoffroy, it may be allowed to remain there, with the species hispidus as type.

Fitzinger‡ united under the generic name Euchætomys the following species: palmarum, noraræ, retifer, perchal, kok, hardwickii, rufescens, ellioti, lepidus, vittatus, pumilio, parduleus, zebra and donovani. No type was designated and none has been selected. Since the description indicates that the group was primarily intended to contain the coarse-furred species, as distinguished from the true rats (Rattus) on the one hand and the spiny rats (Acomys) on the other, I have no hesitation in referring it to the synonomy of Nesokia Gray, 1842, and in choosing the species hardwickii as the type.

In 1881 Trouessart§ formed the subgenus *Epimys* for the true rats including both *rattus* and *norvegicus*. He designated no type and none has since been selected. As this group exactly coincides with the genus now under consideration the name should be adopted for the rats congeneric with *Mus rattus* Linnæus, the species which I choose as type.

The synonymy and characters of the genera $\it Epimys$ and $\it Mus$ are briefly as follows:

Genus EPIMYS Tropessart.

1867. Rattus Fitzinger, Sitzungsber. Math.-Naturwiss. Cl. k. Akad. Wissensch. Wien, LVI, pt. II, p. 63 (type by tautonomy Rattus domesticus Fitzinger = Mus rattus Linnaeus) not Rattus Donovan, 1827.

^{*} Rattus Fitzinger, Sitzungsber, Math.-Naturwiss, Cl. k. Akad, Wissensch, Wien, LVI, pt. H., p. 63, type by tautonomy Mus rattus is anteried by Rattus Donovan, 1827, applied to a South African striped rat. Rattus Frisch, 1775, has no status in nomenclature.

[†] Nonv. Tabl. Règne Anim. Mamm. p. 135. 1842.

[‡] Sitzungsber, Math.-Naturwiss, Cl. k. Ahad. Wissensch, Wein, LVI, pl. 11, p. 73, 1867. § Bull. Soc. d'Etudes Sci. d'Angers, X, p. 117, 1881.

1881. Epimys Trouessart, Bull. Soc. d'Etudes Sci. d'Angers, X, p. 117 (type by subsequent designation Mus rattus Linnæus).

External form, skull and teeth with no special modifications; molars slightly graduated in size from first to third, the anterior tooth not tending to assume the main function of the toothrow, the posterior tooth not tending to disappear, enamel folding of upper molars directly referable to a simple 9-cusped pattern and its reductions, the outer margin of m^1 and m^2 never with more than three cusps, the inner margin of same teeth never with more than 2 cusps; m^1 usually with 5 roots, its first lamina not distorted by the backward displacement of antero-internal tubercle; upper incisor moderately compressed, set at such an angle that its outer side is worn smoothly away by action of lower tooth.

GENUS MUS Linnæus.

- 1758. Mus Linnæus, Syst. Nat., I, 10th ed., p. 59 (musculus). Part.
- 1814. Musculus Rafinesque, Précis des Découy. Somiologiques, p. 13 (substitute for Mus).
- 1837. Leggada Gray, Charlesworth's Mag. Nat. Hist., I, p. 586. November, 1837 (L. booduga Gray and Mus platythrix Bennett).
- 1844. Drymomys Tschudi, Fauna Peruana, p. 178 (D. parvulus Tschudi = Mus musculus Linnaeus. See Palmer, Index Gen. Mamm., p. 246).
- 1876. Nannomys Peters, Monatsber. k. preuss. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, p. 480, August, 1876 (N. setulosus Peters).
- 1881. Acromys Trouessart, Bull. Soc. d'Etudes Sci. d'Angers, X, p. 133 (synonym of *Drymomys* wrongly attributed to Wagner. See Palmer, Index Gen. Mamm., p. 246).
- 1896. Pseudoconomys Rhoads, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia, p. 531, December 8, 1896. Mus (Pseudoconomys) proconodon Rhoads.
- 1900. Dryomys Philippi, An. Mus. Nac. de Chile, XIV, p. 20 (modification of Drymomys Tschudi).

In general like Epimys but mechanical scheme of molars modified by the elongation of crown of anterior tooth until it forms the main portion of toothrow; m^1 with three roots, its crown decidedly longer than those of the two succeeding teeth combined, its first lamina much distorted by displacement backward of inner tubercle into line with outer and middle tubercles of second lamina; m^3 small and tending to disappear, in some species without trace of first lamina; upper incisor much compressed, set at such an angle that a subapical notch is normally cut in its outer side by action of lower tooth.

