Carpoglyphus alienus, new species.

Body nearly elliptical, rather pointed in front, broadly rounded behind; without separation between cephalothorax and abdomen; with but few hairs above; a pair in front between legs I and II, a submarginal pair above leg IV, and two pairs of longer ones behind; the median pair are on the dorsum, the other pair on the posterior margin; a large dark elliptical spot each side behind. Legs of moderate length and slenderness; leg I has the long hair from near middle of penultimate joint; the basal clavate hair of tarsus is curved near tip. The caroncles are not very distinct, but the claws are large. In leg IV the tarsus is fully twice as long as the metatarsus. The vulva of the female, which is well forward and intercoxal, shows two divaricate lines, and each side two oval suckers. The male opening is farther back, and nearly three times as long as broad, rather broader behind, and the sides slightly concave; there are two circular suckers each side.

Various specimens found in urine, Batavia, Java.

It differs from *C. passularum* in the less hairy legs, and apparent lack of short hairs on dorsum, as well as in genital apertures.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

Miyake, H., u. J. Scriba.—Vorl. Mitth. über eine neuen Parasit. des Menschen. Berl. Klin. Wochenschrift, 1893, no. 16, p. 374.

[Describes Nephrophages sanguinarius; it is a Tarsonemus.] Miyake, H., u. J. Scriba.—Nephrophagus sanguinarius, ein neuer meschl. Parasit. in Urogenitalapparat. Mitth. med. Faculitat d. K. Jap. Univ., III, p. 1; 1897.

Marpmann.—Ueber d. vorkommen von Milben in Harn. Centralb. f. Bakter. u. Parasit. (I), xxv, 1898, p. 304.

J. C. van der Harst.—Een nieuve parasiet. Pharmaceutisch' Weekblad, 1903, pp. 104–106.

[Describes Glyciphagus privatus Oud.] A. C. Oudemans.—Mijten in Urine. Pharmaceutisch' Week-

blad, 1904, pp. 269-271.

The following papers were submitted to and accepted by the Publication Committee:

REMARKS ON GENITALIC GENERA IN THE CULICIDÆ.

By Harrison G. Dyar.

In a recent publication 1 Dr. E. P. Felt has figured the genitalia of the $\partial \partial$ of a number of species of Culicidæ, and in a brief

¹ Bull. 79, N. Y. State Mus., 1904.

appendix erects seven new genera, describing the venation and genitalic characters of each. The venational characters seem to be of an indefinite nature, and we might as well frankly regard the genera as founded on the genitalia alone. These certainly show well marked and distinctive characters. I have received from Dr. Felt photographs of many of his slides and have had others prepared by the kindness of Mr. H. S. Barber. It is of especial interest that the genitalic groups run largely parallel to those defined on larval characters, in some cases con-




Fig. 9.—Male genitalia of Grabhamia can'ator Coq.: a, side piece or basal segment of clasp; b, basal lobe of same, or claspette; c, subapical lobe of same; d, clasp filament or terminal segment of clasp; e, articulated apex of same; f, harpe, basal segment; q, harpe, terminal segment; h, harpago; i, appendage of 8th segment; j, position of the unci (they cannot be detected in the specimen before me).

firming larval affinities where it had been heretofore supposed that these were contradicted by the adults. A case in point is that of Janthinosoma musicum, Culex jamaicensis and Tæniorhynchus signipennis. These larvæ are very peculiar and essentially alike. The adults have been considered unrelated; but the genitalia are in some respects very similar and place these forms close together. This leads me to conclude that the genitalic groupings, where reinforced by the larval ones, show natural divisions, and I am, therefore, in accord with Dr. Felt

in using them as the basis for genera. It is true that in general practice other characters than these are preferable, owing to the necessity of preparing the specimens and to the fact that the characters are shown by one sex only, and that the one not generally collected. I believe, however, that since the groups are natural ones it is probable that other recognition characters will be found. If they should not be, it might be better to reduce the genitalic genera to subgeneric rank, for practical reasons, without thereby losing sight of their value. It seems inevitable that the genus *Culex* shall be divided, and the genitalic divisions are more natural than those recently founded on scales and papal structure. As to the latter it is necessary to remove and mount the palpi, which is as practically objectionable a process as any connected with the study of the σ genitalia.

The sketch herewith of *Grabhamia cantator* Coq., shows the names applied to the different parts. They vary much in amount of development as well as in shape in the different species. *Anopheles* shows the simplest arrangement, scarcely distinguishable from the Corethrinæ. This is in accord with the larval characters, since *Anopheles* larvæ are very close to some Corethrid forms, as *Eucorethra* and *Dixa*. The Culicinæ have a small articulated tip to the terminal segment of the clasper, which appears to be lacking in the Aëdinæ, although in *Uranotænia sapphirina* there is a small spine much resembling it and probably representing its rudiment. The species of *Culex* show the most differentiation, especially in the true *Culex* or *pipiens* group and these are the most specialized larvæ. We have thus a concordance in general as well as special characters between genitalic and larval structure

I have thrown the forms known to me into a synoptic table of genera, which follows. A few new names are supplied to fill gaps left in Dr. Felt's groupings or as corrections. Nine generic names, out of a total of thirty-one credited to our fauna,

are omitted, as I have had no material to dissect.

1. Harpes and harpagones absent or greatly reduced; clasp segment
strong and longer than the basal segment
Harpes or harpagones developed; clasp segment usually shorter than
the basal segment
2. Terminal clasp without a terminal articulated spine, though often
otherwise modified, branched or spinous
Terminal clasp with an articulated spine which is usually apical; clasp
usually simple, seldom modified 6
3. Clasp transparent, membranous 4
Clasp chitinous, solid 5

4.	Clasp inflated, lobed, irregular, apparently erectile	
	Clasp broad, simple, with minute apical spineUranotænia	
5.	Clasp enlarged, clawed, hirsute on the outer aspect Deinocerites	
	Clasp slender, bifurcate, arising subapically	V
6.	Harpes filamentous or papillose, slender, delicate	
	Harpes not filamentous, chitinous or spined	
7.	Harpes filamentous; unci reduced or invisible	
	Harpes papillose-capitate; unci an undivided basal cone, Janthinosoma	
8.	Harpes broadened at base, not jointed; outer lobe of side piece finger-	
	shaped	v
	Harpes not broad at base, jointed centrally	
9.	Side piece with a heavy terminal brush; harpes hooked Pseudoculex	V
	Side pieces without terminal brush	
10.	Harpes hooked by a slender retrorse spine Culiselsa	V
	Harpes not hooked	V
II.	Clasp with an outward angle and spines; harpes touching to form a	
	ring-shaped structure	V
	Without these characters; clasp simple	
12.	Terminal clasp expanded, narrow bladder-like	
	Terminal clasp filamentous	
13.	Basal lobe of side piece setose Feltidia	
	Basal lobe of side piece a thick chitinous rod Coquillettidia	
14.	Side piece with a subapical process within bearing setæ and filamentous	
	or leaf-like appendages20	
	Side piece without such a process	
15.	Clasp with the articulated tip subterminal Ecculex	V
	Clasp with the articulated tip terminal	
16.	Side pieces short conical; harpes with long branch at base, Stegomyia	V
	Side pieces long conical; harpes not so branched	
17.	Harpes with trifid apex; tip of clasp multiple divided Pneumaculex	Ser.
	Harpes with simple or spinous apex	
18.	Appendicular tip of clasp long	
	Appendicular tip of clasp minute	
19.	Unci not forming a central projecting sac Theobaldia	
	Unci united into a large central projecting sac Culicella	
20.	Harpes nearly simple, dentate only	
	Harpes heavily spined, often recurved	
21.	Leaf-like scale of apical lobe of side piece absent Neoculex	
	Leaf-like scale present; setæ arising from a second, basal lobe	
	Melanoconion	
	Genus ANOPHELES Meigen.	

Genus ANOPHELES Meigen.

Type: bifurcatus Linn. The genitalic type has been figured by Theobald and Felt. Nine species are recorded from North America, viz: maculipennis Meig., plumbeus Hal., bifurcatus Linn., punctipennis Say, pseudopunctipennis Theob., franciscanus McC., barberi Coq., crucians Wied., eiseni Coq.

Genus CELLIA Theobald.

Type: pulcherrima Theob. The genitalia will probably prove similar to those of Anopheles. I have not seen them of either of the species recorded from North America, viz: argyrotarsis Desv., albipes Theob.

Genus CYCLOLEPPTERON Theobald.

Type: grabhamii Theob., the only species recorded from our region. The genitalia are unknown to me.

Genus ARRIBALZAGIA Theobald.

Type: maculipes Theob. This species is recorded from Trinidad and will doubtless be found in the southern portion of our region. The genitalia have not been examined.

Genus PSOROPHORA Desvoidy.

Type: ciliata Fab. We are credited with three species, viz: ciliata Fab., howardii Coq., scintillans Walk. The genitalia of ciliata have been figured by Dr. Felt.

Genus MEGARHINUS Desvoidy.

Type: hæmorrhoidalis Fab. We are credited with seven species, viz: rutilus Coq., portoricensis Von Röd., ferox Wied., grandiosus Will., hæmorrhoidalis Fab., longipes Theob., separatus Arrib. Mr. Barber has made me a nice mount of the genitalia of portoricensis, from which the characters given in the table were taken.

Genus STEGOMYIA Theobald.

Type: fasciata Fab. Besides this species, sexlineata Theob. may occur with us. The genitalia of fasciata are distinctive as may be inferred from the preceding table.

Genus PNEUMACULEX, new genus.

Type: signifer Coq. This species is peculiar in many ways and deserves a distinct generic appellation. The larva has besides the peculiar dorsal plate an enlargement of the tracheal tubes into a sort of bladder in the thorax, suggesting Corethra. In the of genitalia the side pieces are conic, without apical lobe; basal lobe small but bearing two stout setæ; terminal clasp slender, enlarged a little outwardly with a multiple articulated tip. Harpes short, chitinous, concave, with trifid apex; harpagones small, slender, chitinous, acute; another pair of appendages more basally placed, shorter than the harpagones, with a terminal hook; a median, divided, double-tipped membrane (unci?).

Genus TÆNIORHYNCHUS Arribalzaga.

Type: titillans Walk. Theobald takes fasciolatus Arrib. as the type of Teniorhynchus, but the first species is teniorhynchus Arrib. (nec Walker) = titallans Walk., and should be the type. This species is also the type of teniorhynchus. The species has been recorded from Trinidad and will doubtless be found in the southernmost part of our territory. I do not know the genitalia.

Genus COQUILLETTIDIA, new genus.

Type: perturbans Walk. Theobald places this species in Taniorhynchus Arrib., but not correctly, I believe. The genitalia are peculiar. Dr. Felt has prepared them from a specimen which I sent him, but the figure is not reproduced in his bulletin. The characters may be gathered from the table. Four species are referred here, viz: richardii Fic., perturbans Walk., confinis Arrib., nigricans Coq.

Genus FELTIDIA, new genus.

Type: jamaicensis Theob. Dr. Felt has taken jamaicensis as the type of *Grabhamia*, but Theobald mentions first dorsalis Meig. Of jamaicensis, Theobald gives a rough figure and Felt a good photograph. We have three species at present referable here, jamaicensis Theob., cyanescens Coq., signipennis Coq.

Genus JANTHINOSOMA Arribalzaga.

Type: discrucians Walk. We have five species, viz: musicum Say, posticatum Wied., lutzii Theob., discrucians Walk., varipes Coq. Dr. Felt has prepared the genitalia of musicum and lutzii which are much alike. He has figured the former.

Genus JOBLOTIA Blanchard.

Type: niveipes Theob. The name is a substitute for Theobald's Trichosporon (nec Trichosporus Macq.) The single species is recorded from Trinidad, but probably occurs with us. I have not seen the genitalia.

Genus ECCULEX Felt.

Type: sylvestris Theob., the only species. It has most remarkably distinct genitalia and is apparently not at all allied to *Grabhamia* as one would have supposed. Dr. Felt has published a photograph.

Genus PSEUDOCULEX, new genus.

V Type: aurifer Coq. As noted below, I think this is a distinct generic type. The characters are given in the preceding table and in Dr. Felt's figure.¹

Genus CULICELSA Felt.

Type: taniorhynchus Wied., the only species properly referred here. Dr. Felt adds aurifer Coq., but I consider this to represent a distinct generic type. I am doubtful, moreover, whether the hook on the harpes is to be considered a generic character. If not, Culiselsa falls in with the following.

Genus GRABHAMIA Theobald.

Type: dorsalis Meig. Synonym, Culicada Felt, type canadensis Theob. I have not seen the genitalia of dorsalis, but Theobald figures the larva of it and the genitalia of a closely allied species. This is our largest genus. Sixteen species are referred here with certainty, viz: canadensis Theob., dupreei Coq., sollicitans Walk., cantans Meig., atropalpus Coq., cantator Coq., varipalpus Coq., curriei Coq., impiger Walk., lazarensis F. and Y., pullatus Coq., trichurus Dyar, triseriatus Say, punctor Kirb., astivalis Dyar, onondagensis Felt, and twenty-five others more or less probably, viz: trivittatus Coq., fitchii F. and Y., squamiger Cog., abfitchii Felt, testaceus Wulp, bigotii Bell, excrucians Walk., impatiens Walk., annulatus Schv., confirmatus Arrib., scholasticus Theob., inflictus Theob., hirsuteron Theob., rubidus Desv., nigripalpus Theob., janitor Theob., palus Theob., similis Theob., bimaculatus Coq., discolor Coq., fletcheri Coq., nanus Coq., niveitarsis Coq., vittata Theob., spenceri Theob. The genitalia of several have been figured.

Genus PROTOCULEX Felt.

Type: serratus Theob., the only species so far represented. Dr. Felt has briefly described the genitalia, though he has not figured them. I have a fine photograph of the parts from him, and a figure may be expected later, I presume.

Genus THEOBALDIA Neveau-Lemaire.

Type: annulata Meig. Culiseta Felt is a synonym, with type absobrinus Felt. Five species will be referred here, though their synonymy is not settled. They are annulata Meig., incidens Thom., consobrinus Desv., absobrinus Felt, magnipennis Felt.

Genus CULICELLA Felt.

Type: dyari Coq., well figured by Dr. Felt. Melanurus Coq. also falls here, having essentially the same structure, though the basal plate is less developed. Dr. Felt referred it to Ecculex, but I cannot see that it has any affinity therewith.

Genus NEOCULEX, new genus.

Type: territans Walk. This species may be separated from Culex proper on the characters given above. Dr. Felt figures

a portion of the genitalia, but his figure does not show the peculiar basal organs.

Genus CULEX Linnæus.

Type: pipiens Linn. As now restricted, the genus is a small one. But five species are certainly referred to it, viz: pipiens Linn., tarsalis Coq., restuans Theob., fatigans Wied., salinarius Coq.; to which should probably be added secutor Theob.

Genus MELANOCONION Theobald.

Type: atratus Theob. Though belonging near Culex the male genitalia show a distinct type. A second species, spissipes Theob., is recorded from Trinidad.

Genus DEINOCERITES Theobald.

Type: cancer Theob. The genitalia have been figured by Theobald and are very peculiar. The same may be said of most of the Aëdinæ.

Genus AEDES Meigen.

Type: cinereus Wied. Two species are credited to our fauna, viz: fuscus O.-S. and perturbans Will. The genitalia of fuscus have been figured by Dr. Felt.

Genus HOWARDINA Theobald.

Type: walkeri Theob. The genitalia are unknown to me.

Genus WYEOMYIA Theobald.

Type: grayii Theob. We have four species, viz: grayii Theob., pertinans Will., trinidadensis Theob., smithii Coq. The peculiar genitalia of smithii have been briefly described by Dr. Felt.

Genus PHONIOMYIA Theobald.

Type: longirostris Theob. Not studied.

Genus URANOTÆNIA Arribalzaga.

Type: nataliæ Arrib. We have three species, viz: sapphirina O.-S., lowii Theob, socialis Theob. Dr. Felt gives the genitalia of sapphirina.

Genus AEDOMYIA Theobald.

Type: squammipenna Arrib., is recorded from Trinidad.

Genus HÆMAGOGUS Williston.

Type: cyaneus Fab., not studied.