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Introduction

An expedition to the southern tip of the Sinai Peninsula, sponsored by the Department of Zoology, Tel-Aviv University, in October 1979, yielded several species of stomatopods and provided an opportunity to make observations on color in life of Gonodactylus falcatus (Forskal, 1775). The type-locality of G. falcatus is at Jedda (21°30'N, 39°12'E), Saudi Arabia, in the Red Sea (see Manning and Lewinsohn, 1981). Until recently, that species was considered to be widely distributed throughout the Indo-West Pacific region, but recent field and behavioral studies (Caldwell and Dingle, 1975, 1976; Reaka and Manning, 1981) have demonstrated that G. falcatus is not a single, widespread species but a complex of species that are very similar morphologically but are different in color in life and in behavior as well. Six additional species have been recognized in the complex to date (see Dingle, Caldwell, and Manning, 1977; Manning, 1978a; Manning and Reaka, 1981a,b, 1982). Several of these species, such as G. ternatensis De Man, 1902, can be recognized on morphological differences, and all of these species can be differentiated on the basis of color in life. Until now there has been no detailed account of the color in life of G. falcatus sensu stricto from the Red Sea. Although Holthuis (1967:31, 32) provided some observations on color, his account did not include the color of the display spot on the merus of the claw; at that time it was not known to be a diagnostic character.

Material of five of the species reported herein was collected during the trip to the Sinai in 1979; additional material from the collections of the Department of Zoology, Tel-Aviv University, also is reported herein.

Holthuis (1967:38-42) provided a complete list of the stomatopods then known from the Red Sea. Since 1967 many of the generic names then in use have been changed and several additional families have been recognized within the Stomatopoda (Manning, 1980a). We provide below an updated list of Red Sea stomatopods, based on Holthuis' list, supplemented with references published since 1967.

Localities on the Sinai Peninsula mentioned in the text are shown in Figure 1. For additional information on Red Sea localities, see Lewinsohn.
(1969:194–198, pls.1–3). Localities outside of the Sinai Peninsula that are referred to in the text are accompanied by coordinates, in brackets, taken from gazetteers published by the U.S. Board on Geographic Names.

Abbreviations used in the “Material” sections are as follows:

- **NS**: inventory number of Tel-Aviv University
- **RMNH**: Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden, The Netherlands
- **SLR**: inventory number of joint program of Smithsonian Institution, Washington-Hebrew University, Jerusalem, “Biota of the Red Sea and the Eastern Mediterranean”

**TAU**: Zoological Museum, Tel-Aviv University

**USNM**: collections of the former United States National Museum, now deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
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Stomatopoda Collected from the Sinai Peninsula, 1967-1981

Superfamily Gonodactyloidea Giesbrecht, 1910

Family Euryssquillidae Manning, 1977

1. Manningia amabilis Holthuis, 1967

Manningia amabilis Holthuis, 1967:16, 40, figs. 4, 5.

Material.—Gulf of Aqaba. Marsa Abu Zabad: 15 Sep 1967, Fishelson, leg., 1♀ 41 mm, NS 5171 (TAU); 16 Sep 1967, Fishelson, leg., 1♂ 54 mm, NS 5172 (TAU); 16 Sep 1967, 1♂ 27 mm, SLR 616 (TAU). Gulf of Suez. Et Tur: 10 Sep 1968, 1♂, SLR 2008 (RMNH).

Size.—Total lengths of females 27–54 mm.

Color.—Body dull green, with faint magenta line posteriorly on segments and on gastric grooves. Antennules golden yellow. Antennal scales golden yellow, setae purple. Meral spot of claw dark purple, outlined proximally and externally by almost iridescent ice-blue line; carpus with red spot proximally on inner margin; expanded part of propodus/dactylus purple red, distal part of dactylus pinkish. Walking legs with proximal segment purple, distal segment yellow. Uropods red proximally, most of remainder as in body, distal segment of exopod and all of endopod golden yellow, with purple setae.

Remarks.—Holthuis (1967:28) noted that “The rostrum in my Red Sea specimens [of G. smithii] (Figure 7b) agrees perfectly with De Man’s (1898, pl. 38: fig. 77) figure of that organ in his Gonodactylus chiragra acutirostris,” and followed that with comments on the shape of the rostral plate in the types of G. smithii, in which the anterolateral angles of the plate are also acute, but not spiniform as in G. acutirostris sensu stricto and in material from the Red Sea. We agree with Holthuis that these differences are significant and identify our material with G. acutirostris rather than with G. smithii, realizing that
A re-examination of the type of *G. acutirostris* from the Mergui Archipelago might show that the population there is distinct from that in the Red Sea.

We reproduce here De Man's original figure of *G. acutirostris* (Figure 2b,c), a figure of the rostral plate of *G. smithii* from Madagascar (Figure 2d), and a figure of the rostral plate of a specimen of *G. acutirostris* from the Red Sea (Figure 2a). The rostral plate of the Red Sea specimen is clearly more like that of *G. acutirostris* than that of *G. smithii*.

We also reproduce here De Man's figure of the telson of his *G. acutirostris* (Figure 2e), the structure of which has been discussed several times in the literature (see Holthuis, 1967:29–30). The shortened median carina and lack of a knob clearly suggest that the telson surface has been damaged. The slenderness of the dorsal carinae and marginal teeth resembles our material from the Red Sea.

The account of the color of *G. smithii* given by Serènè (1947:383, as *G. acutirostris*) also suggests that two different species are involved. Although
the basis color pattern appears to be very similar, he noted that "des appendices de l'uropode bleu outremer clair." The distal segments of the uropod in our specimens were golden yellow with purple setae. Both species share the prominent dark blue or purple meral spot.

3. Gonodactylus botti Manning, 1975

**Gonodactylus chiragra.**—Holthuis, 1967:26, 41, fig. 7a.—Hughes, 1977:90. [Not *G. chiragra* (Fabricius, 1787).]

**Gonodactylus botti** Manning, 1975:289, fig. 1 [♂ 48 mm, ♀ 51 mm, Indonesia].


**Size.**—Total lengths of males 19–61 mm, of females 20–56 mm.

**Color.**—Not observed.

**Remarks.**—In his account of *Gonodactylus chiragra* from the Red Sea, Holthuis (1967:26) commented on the shape of the rostral plate, with the anterolateral angle comparatively broadly rounded, as well as the inflated carinae of the telson. In 1975 one of us (R.B.M.) described a species of *Gonodactylus, G. botti,* from Indonesia, which resembled *G. chiragra* in general facies, but differed in characteristics of the rostral plate, overall size, and in relative inflation of the carinae of the telson. These features are shown here for two different specimens in our collection (Figure 3), and the rostral plate and telson of what we consider to be *G. chiragra* sensu stricto is shown in Figure 2e,f. We identify our material with *G. botti* rather than *G. chiragra.*

*Gonodactylus botti* is a smaller species than *G. chiragra;* in the latter species total lengths of up to 100 mm or more have been recorded (Kemp, 1913; Dingle, Caldwell, and Manning, 1977). The largest known specimen of *G. botti,* recorded by Holthuis (1967:26), is 79 mm. The rostral plate in *G. botti* has a shorter median spine and a more rectangular basal part (compare Figure 3a,d, *G. botti,* with Figure 2e, *G. chiragra*). In *G. botti* the telson carinae are more inflated and the marginal teeth less distinct (compare Figure 3b,e, *G. botti,* with Figure 2f, *G. chiragra*). Both species share the relatively large ocular scales as shown in Figures 2e and 3a,b.

Our specimens have abdominal width/carampace length indices as indicated in Table 1. Dingle, Caldwell, and Manning (1977:17) noted that in specimens of *G. chiragra* from Thailand this index ranged from 780–870, with a mean of 820. The relative width of the abdomen thus is similar in these two species.

We suspect that most, if not all, Red Sea records of *G. chiragra* will be referable to *G. botti.*
4. *Gonodactylus demanii* Henderson, 1893


*Gonodactylus demanii* —Hughes, 1977:90.

Table 1.—Abdominal width/carapace length indices (AW-CLI) of *Gonodactylus botti* Manning (numbers of specimens in parentheses; * = holotype, ** = paratype).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carapace length (mm)</th>
<th>♂ AWCLI</th>
<th>♀ AWCLI</th>
<th>Mean AWCLI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>857–865(2)</td>
<td>861(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>831–879(4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>860(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>855–873(2)</td>
<td>863(1)</td>
<td>863(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>880(1)</td>
<td>846–867(2)</td>
<td>864(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>824–851(2)</td>
<td>839–882(4)</td>
<td>847(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>806(1*)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>813(1**)</td>
<td>813(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>782(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>782(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>767(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>767(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32 mm, ♂ 30–34 mm, NS 19038 (TAU). 1 km S of Shurat el Manqata: from dead coral, 1 m deep, 17 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 32 mm (USNM). 2 km S of Shurat el Manqata: reef flat, 0–1 m deep, 17 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 10.5 mm (USNM). Marsa Abu Zabad: 15–16 Sep 1967, ♂ 32, 37, 42 mm, ♂ 29, 30, 35 mm, NS 3563, NS 3724 (TAU). 5 km S of Dahab: reef flat, from dead coral, about 1 m deep, 4 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 16, 30 mm, NS 19057 (USNM). Shurat el Manqata: reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m, poisoning, Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 30 mm, ♂ 36 mm, NS 19047 (RMNH). 2 km S of Shurat el Manqata: from dead coral, 1 m deep, 17 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 17, 43.5 mm, 4♀ 31–36 mm (USNM). 2 km S of Shurat el Manqata: reef flat, from black sponge, about 0.5 m deep, 8 Nov 1981, Holthuis and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 17 mm, ♂ 29, 38, 40 mm, NS 19040 (USNM); same data, sandy reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m deep, poisoning, Holthuis and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 27–40 mm, ♂ 25–35 mm, NS 19037 (RMNH). Tiran Island, entrance to the Gulf of Aqaba: 1 m deep, 24 Sep 1981, Kerman, leg., ♂ 22, 25 mm, ♂ 17–34 mm, NS 19039 (TAU); same date, southern shore of Foul Bay, 1 m deep, Kerman, leg., ♂ 23, 30 mm, NS 19041 (TAU).


**Size.**—Total lengths of males 13–40 mm, of females 10.5–42 mm.

**Color.**—Not observed.

5. *Gonodactylus falcatus* (Forskål, 1775)

*Cancer falcatus* Forskal, 1775:96.—Manning and Lewinsohn, 1981:314, fig. 1.


**Material.**—*Gulf of Aqaba. Elat:* from *Stylophora*, 26 Nov 1971, Dafni, leg., ♂ 18 mm, NS 8965 (TAU). Wasset: beach poisoning, 7 Oct 1968, Fishelson, leg., ♂ 37, 42 mm, ♂ 30, 35 mm, NS 3563, NS 3724 (TAU). 5 km S of Dahab: reef flat, from deep coral, about 1 m deep, 4 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 16, 30 mm, NS 19057 (USNM). Shurat el Manqata: reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m, poisoning, Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 30 mm, ♂ 36 mm, NS 19047 (RMNH). 1 km S of Shurat el Manqata: from dead coral, 1 m deep, 17 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 17, 43.5 mm, ♂ 31–36 mm (USNM). 2 km S of Shurat el Manqata: reef flat, from black sponge, about 0.5 m deep, 8 Nov 1981, Holthuis and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 17 mm, ♂ 29, 38, 40 mm, NS 19040 (USNM); same data, sandy reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m deep, poisoning, Holthuis and Lewinsohn, leg., ♂ 27–40 mm, ♂ 25–35 mm, NS 19037 (RMNH). Tiran Island, entrance to the Gulf of Aqaba: 1 m deep, 24 Sep 1981, Kerman, leg., ♂ 22, 25 mm, ♂ 17–34 mm, NS 19039 (TAU); same date, southern shore of Foul Bay, 1 m deep, Kerman, leg., ♂ 23, 30 mm, NS 19041 (TAU).


**Size.**—Total lengths of males 13–40 mm, of females 10.5–42 mm.

**Color.**—Not observed.
insula. Ras Muhammad: 17 Sep 1967, 4♂, 1♀, SLR 688 (RMNH).

Gulf of Suez. N of Ras Milan: 3 m deep, 20 Apr 1979, Galil, leg., 1♂ 26–52 mm, 1♀ 38.5–56 mm, NS 16760 (9♂, 7♀ TAU; 6♂, 4♀ USNM). Ras Kanisa: about 1 km offshore, coral reef, from dead coral, 1–2 m deep, 17 Oct 1972, Lewinsohn, leg., 2♂ 14, 16 mm, 3♀ 15–36 mm, NS 19049 (TAU). Ras Garra: from dead coral covered with algae, 1 m deep, 17 Oct 1972, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 21 mm, NS 19055 (TAU); 27 Sep 1974, 1♂ 38 mm, 4♀ 32–48 mm, NS 19046 (TAU); 19 Nov 1977, Goren, leg., 8♂ 20–45 mm, 6♀ 26–51 mm, NS 19048 (TAU); Apr 1979, Galil, leg., 1♂ 19 mm, NS 16765 (TAU). Et Tur: 20 Sep 1967, 1♀ 40 mm, SLR 770 (TAU); 10 Sep 1968, 4♂, 6♀, SLR 2008 (RMNH); 11 Sep 1968, 1♂, 1 juv., SLR 2093, SLR 2117 (RMNH); coral reef near beacon, from dead coral, 1–2 m deep, 18 Oct 1972, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 22 mm, 4♀ 18–47 mm, NS 19052 (TAU); 5 Apr 1979, Galil, leg., 2♂ 41, 46 mm, 2♀ 33, 43 mm, NS 16763, NS 16764 (TAU). Abu Durba: 24 Sep 1974, 1♂ 42 mm, 3♀ 32–46 mm, NS 19050 (TAU). 3 km N of Abu Durba: from dead coral, 1 m deep, 19 Oct 1972, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 13 mm, NS 19056 (TAU). El Bilaiyim: from dead coral, 23 Sep 1974, Fishelson, leg., 1♂ 56 mm, NS 12539 (TAU).

Size.—Total lengths of males 13–56 mm, of females 14–56 mm.

Color.—Male: Body a variable green, usually darker than females. Antennules with reddish flagella. Antennal scales with proximal third crimson, distal third light blue, setae blue proximally, crimson distally. Meral spot light yellow, with brownish infusion distally, grayish infusion proximally. Dactylus with enlarged part whitish, distal part pinkish. Walking legs uniformly pink to crimson. Body segments lined posteriorly with crimson. Sixth abdominal somite and telson each with 1 pair of small but prominent black spots, carinae green, surface between carinae pink. Uropods blue, suffused with crimson or pink; endopod pink.

Female: Overall lighter green than male. Antennal scales maroon or orange proximally, yellow distally. Meral spot and dactylus color as in male. Walking legs with proximal segment bright yellow, first of distal segments orange or yellow, distalmost segment yellow. Body segments with posterior line of small red spots. Sixth abdominal somite and telson each with 1 pair of small but prominent black spots and with slight infusion of pink on surface between carinae. Uropod proximal segment as in body, distal segment of exopod and endopod yellow with red setae.

Pleopods green in both sexes.

Remarks.—The 1979 expedition provided the first opportunity to give an account of the color in life of the meral spot of this species, one of the diagnostic features of species of Gonodactylus. Holthuis (1967:32) already had noted that “females can immediately be recognized by their plan colour and yellow legs, the males by the transverse rows of dark spots on the dorsal surface of the body and by having the legs greenish or greyish but not yellow.” In our material the dark spots were not particularly distinctive in live specimens but showed up vividly in freshly preserved material. Under magnification, the sexual differences in color, especially of the antennal scales and uropodal segments, were particularly striking.

Dollfus (1938, fig. 20) illustrated the telson of a specimen of G. falcatus (as G. glaber) from Ras Muhammad, in which the dorsal carinae were very inflated. Manning (1978a:8) noted that Dollfus’s specimen might be referable to the smaller G. mutatus Lanchester, a species in which the telson carinae were very inflated, thus providing the first record of the second species of the G. falcatus complex in the Red Sea. At that time no specimens of G. falcatus sensu stricto on which the telson carinae were that inflated had been observed. Among the specimens reported here, the larger male from Et Tur (NS 16763, TL 46 mm) and two males from north of Ras Milan (NS 16760, TL 48, 52 mm), resemble the specimen, 47 mm long, figured by Dollfus. Large males of G. falcatus can be expected to show highly inflated dorsal carinae on the telson.

Nine species are now recognized in the falcatus Group; these are listed in Table 2, with appro-
As has been pointed out by several authors, *G. graphurus* differs from the remainder of the species in that the abdomen is ornamented by a series of fine, transverse grooves; these were contrasted with the condition of the surface of the abdomen in *G. falcatus* in figure 2 in Manning, 1978a.

Some morphological characteristics of these species, other than *G. graphurus*, are summarized in Table 3 as an aid to their identification.

Thus some of these species can be distinguished on the basis of morphological features alone. *Gonodactylus ternatensis* and *G. randalli* can be recognized immediately by their morphological features, even in preserved material lacking any clue to color in life. Further, these species can be recognized in the field by their color patterns, summarized in Table 4.

With the exception of *G. randalli*, which apparently lives at greater depths (6–8 to 21 meters) than the other species, all of these species appear to frequent shallow-water coral-rubble habitats, often in areas exposed at low tide. At least some of the species also may be found in slightly deeper habitats; *G. insularis*, for example, has been taken in very shallow water, 0–1 meter, as well as down to about 23 meters.

Finally, these species appear to have very limited geographical ranges. Whereas *G. falcatus* until very recently (Holthuis, 1967:32) was believed to occur from the Red Sea and SE Africa to Japan and Polynesia, it is now known that it does not. So far as we can tell, *G. falcatus* is endemic to the Red Sea.

Within the *falcatus* Group, the most widely distributed species appears to be *G. mutatus*, which has been recorded from localities in the

---

**Table 2.** —Composition of the *falcatus* Group, with appropriate literature references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Literature References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus aloha</em> Manning and Reaka, 1981</td>
<td>Manning and Reaka, 1981a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus falcatus</em> (Forskål, 1775)</td>
<td>Manning, 1978a; Manning and Lewinsohn, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus glabrous</em> Brooks, 1886</td>
<td>Manning, 1978a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus graphurus</em> Miers, 1875</td>
<td>Ingle, 1971; Manning, 1978a, fig. 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus insularis</em> Manning and Reaka, 1982</td>
<td>Manning and Reaka, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus mutatus</em> Lanchester, 1903</td>
<td>Dingle, Caldwell, and Manning, 1977; Manning, 1978a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus randalli</em> Manning, 1978</td>
<td>Manning, 1978a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus siamensis</em> Manning and Reaka, 1981</td>
<td>Manning and Reaka, 1981b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonodactylus ternatensis</em> De Man, 1902</td>
<td>Dingle, Caldwell, and Manning, 1977; Manning, 1978a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** —Morphological characteristics of *falcatus* Group species other than *Gonodactylus graphurus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Maximum length (mm)</th>
<th>Knob single (-) or bilobed (+)</th>
<th>Sixth abdominal somite with (+) or lacking (-)</th>
<th>Median carina of telson slender, sharp (+), inflated (-), or both (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>G. aloha</em></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. falcatus</em></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. glabrous</em></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. insularis</em></td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. mutatus</em></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. randalli</em></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. siamensis</em></td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. ternatensis</em></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.—Color patterns of falcatus Group species other than Gonodactylus graphurus (+ = with, − = without, ± = modified).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Display spot</th>
<th>Abdominal somites with posterior red line</th>
<th>Paired black spots on 6th somite and telson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G. aloha</td>
<td>yellow, with brown anterior infusion</td>
<td>δ +</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. falcatus</td>
<td>yellow, with brown anterior infusion</td>
<td>δ +</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. glabrous</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>δ ± (line of dots)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. insularis</td>
<td>yellow, with reddish anterior infusion</td>
<td>δ −</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. mutatus</td>
<td>yellow, with brown anterior infusion</td>
<td>δ −</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. randalli</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>δ −</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. siamensis</td>
<td>yellow, with brown anterior infusion</td>
<td>δ +</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. ternatensis</td>
<td>orange</td>
<td>δ +</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

western Indian Ocean, Phuket Island [7°49′N, 98°24′W], Thailand, in the Andaman Sea, and from the southern Baie de Nhatrang [12°15′N, 109°12′E], Vietnam, in the South China Sea (Manning, 1978a). Observations of living populations of G. mutatus throughout its range are needed to confirm this distribution pattern.

Gonodactylus ternatensis, a species that can be identified from preserved material without difficulty, also is fairly widely distributed. So far it has been recorded from localities between Apia, Samoa [13°48′S, 171°44′W], and Phuket Island, Thailand (Manning, 1978a).

The remainder of the species in the falcatus Group, including G. graphurus, have even more restricted ranges. Gonodactylus aloha is known only from the islands of Oahu [21°30′N, 158°00′W] and Maui [20°45′N, 156°20′W], Hawaiian Islands (Manning and Reaka, 1981a); G. glabrous is known from two localities, Samboang [Samboang Point, 09°02′N, 117°37′E], Philippines, and Ternate [0°50′N, 127°19′E], Molucca Islands, Indonesia (Manning, 1978a); G. graphurus is known only from Australasian waters (Manning, 1966, 1978a); G. insularis from Enewetak Atoll [11°30′N, 162°15′E] and possibly Onotoa, Gilbert Islands [01°52′S, 175°34′E] (Manning and Reaka, 1982); G. randalli from Arno Atoll [7°02′N, 171°40′E], Marshall Islands, Canton Island [2°50′S, 171°40′W], Phoenix Islands, and Moorea [17°32′S, 149°50′W], Tahiti, Society Islands (Manning, 1978a); and G. siamensis is known only from Sattahip Island [12°40′N, 100°52′E], Thailand, in the Gulf of Thailand.

Additional field studies should help us gain a much better understanding of the distribution patterns of species in this group and could uncover additional species with limited distributions.

6. Gonodactylus lanchesteri Manning, 1967

Gonodactylus lanchesteri Manning, 1967:11, fig. 4.

mm, SLR 1219 (TAU). Marsa Murach: 24 Jun 1969, 2♀ 14, 16 mm, NS 7329 (TAU); 9 Feb 1971, Fishelson, leg., 1♂ 16 mm, NS 7387 (TAU). Ras el Burqa: 5 Oct 1968, poisoning, Fishelson, leg., 8♀ 18–25 mm, 2♀ 14–21 mm, NS 5167 (TAU); 5 Oct 1968, poisoning, Fishelson, leg., 4♂ 22–26 mm, 6♀ 23–26 mm, NS 5170 (TAU). Marsa Murach: 24 Jun 1969, 2♀ 14, 16 mm, NS 7329 (TAU); 9 Feb 1971, Fishelson, leg., 1♂ 16 mm, NS 7387 (TAU); 7 Oct 1968, beach poisoning, Fishelson, leg., 2♀ 21, 25 mm, NS 3725, NS 5166 (TAU); 7 Oct 1968, beach poisoning, Fishelson, leg., 7♂ 17–25 mm, 4♀ 20–25 mm, NS 3726 (TAU). Nuweiba: 26 Jun 1972, 1♂ 18 mm (TAU). 5 km N of Dahab: reef flat from dead coral, about 1 m deep, 5 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 15 mm, NS 19035, NS 19036 (USNM). 5 km S of Dahab: reef flat, from dead coral, about 1 m deep, 4 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 15 mm, 2♀ 13, 19 mm, NS 19035, NS 19036 (USNM). Ras Atantur: poisoning, 2 Jul 1969, Fishelson, leg., 4♂ 15–24 mm, 11♀ 19–25 mm, NS 5805 (TAU, 3♀ USNM). Shurat el Manqata: dead reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m deep, poisoning, 9 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn, leg., 5♂ 19–26 mm, 9♀ 20–29 mm, NS 19032 (TAU). 2 km S of Shurat el Manqata: reef flat, 0–1 m deep; 17 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., 3♂ 17–22 mm, 3♀ 16–20 mm (USNM). Marsa Abu Zabad: 16 Sep 1967, 19, SLR 616 (RMNH). El Gharqana: 10–11 May 1968, 6♂, 9♀, SLR 1555, SLR 1626 (RMNH); 2 Jun 1969, 1♂ 23 mm, SLR 2355 (TAU). S of El Gharqana: 2 Jun 1969, 2♂ 20, 25 mm, 1♀ 28 mm, SLR 2316 (TAU).

Southern tip of Sinai Peninsula. Marsa el At: 4 Jun 1969, 1 juv., SLR 2415 (RMNH). 1 km N of Nama Bay: reef flat, from dead coral about 1 m deep, 6 Nov 1981, Holthuis and Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 20 mm, NS 19033 (RMNH). 3 km S of Sharm esh Sheikh: 0–3 m, 15 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 20 mm (W); 15 Oct 1979, 0–3 m deep, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., 19♀ 15 mm (USNM). Marsa Bareika: 7 Jun 1968, 2♀ 21, 23 mm, SLR 1892a (TAU). Gulf of Suez. Ras Garra: 19 Nov 1977, Goren, leg., 1♂ 16 mm, 1♀ 20 mm, NS 19031 (TAU). Et Tur: coral reef near beacon, from dead coral, 1–2 m deep, 18 Oct 1972, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♂ 17 mm, NS 19032 (TAU).

SIZE.—Total lengths of males 15–26 mm, of females 13–29 mm.

COLOR.—Uniform light green, including meral spot, which was indistinguishable from the body in color.

REMARKS.—Our meager notes on color in life in this species, diminished because of the small size of the specimens we collected and the lack of a microscope in the field, provide the first account of its color. Most noteworthy is that the meral spot was indistinguishable from the overall color of the body.

7. Mesacturoideos brevisquamatus (Paulson, 1875)

Gonodactylus brevisquamatus Paulson, 1875:126, pl. 21: fig. 3.


MATERIAL.—Gulf of Aqaba. 5 km N of Dahab: reef flat, from dead coral, about 1 m deep, 5 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn and Holthuis, leg., 4♂ 14–21 mm, 7♀ 15–20 mm, NS 19025 (RMNH). 2 km N of Dahab: from dead coral, 0–2 m deep, 5 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn, leg., 11♂ 19–28 mm, 8♀ 19–28 mm, 5 juv. 8–11 mm, NS 19024 (TAU). 5 km S of Dahab: reef flat, from dead coral, about 1 m deep, 4 Nov 1981, Lewinsohn and Holthuis, leg., 1♂ 15 mm, NS 19032 (TAU). 2 km S of Shurat el Manqata: reef flat, 0–1 m deep; 17 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., 3♂ 17–22 mm, 3♀ 16–20 mm (USNM). Marsa Abu Zabad: 16 Sep 1967, 19, SLR 616 (RMNH). El Gharqana: 10–11 May 1968, 6♂, 9♀, SLR 1555, SLR 1626 (RMNH); 2 Jun 1969, 1♂ 23 mm, SLR 2355 (TAU). S of El Gharqana: 2 Jun 1969, 2♂ 20, 25 mm, 1♀ 28 mm, SLR 2316 (TAU).

SIZE.—Total lengths of males 11–30 mm, of females 15–32 mm.

COLOR.—Not noted.
Family PSEUDOSQUILLIDAE Manning, 1977

8. Pseudosquilla ciliata (Fabricius, 1787)

Squilla ciliata Fabricius, 1787:333.
Pseudosquilla ciliata.—Holthuis, 1967:15, 40.

Material.—Gulf of Aqaba. Wasset: from corals, 15 Oct 1968, 29°48', 53 mm, NS 4093 (TAU). Dahab: poisoning, 9 Oct 1968, Fishelson, leg., 1 juv. 15 mm, NS 5159 (TAU). Ras Atantur: poisoning, 2 Jul 1969, Fishelson, leg., 3♂ 32-47 mm, 2♀ 54, 60 mm, NS 5806 (2♂, 1♀ TAU; 1♀ USNM). Shurat el Manqata: dead reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m deep, poisoning, 19°22 mm, NS 19017 (RMNH). Marsa Abu Zabad: 15-16 Sep 1967, 36°41-48 mm, 2♀ 42, 47 mm, SLR 564, SLR 612 (TAU), SLR 616 (RMNH); 16 Sep 1967, 39°55-60 mm, 2♀ 42, 47 mm, NS 5173 (TAU). El Gharqana: 9 May 1968, 3♂, SLR 1513 (RMNH). 3 km S of Nabq: reef flat with sand and algae, intertidal to 0.5 m deep, poisoning, 8 Nov 1981, Holthuis and Lewinsohn, leg., 1♀ 74 mm, NS 19016 (USNM). Tiran Island, entrance to the Gulf of Aqaba, entrance to Foul Bay: 10 m deep, 22 Sep 1981, Goren, leg., 1 juv. 15 mm, NS 19018 (USNM).

Size.—Total lengths of males 32-48 mm, of females 22-74 mm.

Color.—Not noted.

9. Pseudosquilla megalophthalma Bigelow, 1893

Figure 4


Material.—Southern tip of Sinai Peninsula. 3 km S of Sharm el Sheikh ("small Marsa"): 0-3 m deep, 15 Oct 1979, Manning and Lewinsohn, leg., 3♂ 16-26 mm, 1♀ 16.5 mm (USNM). Ras Muhammad: 7 Apr 1979, Galil, leg., 2♂ 27, 28 mm, NS 16766 (TAU). Red Sea. Mersa Haleib [Halaib, 22°13'N, 36°38'E], Sudan: Nov 1895, Pola Expedition, leg., 1♀ 17.5 mm (Zoologische Staatsammlung, Munich). Djeddaah, Saudi Arabia: 1885, 1♀ 39 mm (Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna). Habban [26°44'N, 36°32'E], Saudi Arabia: Pola Expedition, leg., 1♀ 19 mm (Zoologische Staatsammlung, Munich).

Size.—Total lengths of males 16-28 mm, of females 16.5-39 mm.

Color.—Not noted.

Remarks.—Although this species has long been considered part of the Red Sea stomatopod fauna, so far as we can determine the only previous record of its occurrence there is that of Tattersall (1921:357), who recorded it from Tella Tella Kebira, Suakin Archipelago [18°42'N, 38°30'E], Sudan, at 18°48'N. His material consisted of a single young female, 30 mm long. Tattersall pointed out numerous differences between his specimen and Bigelow’s holotype, a male, 68 mm long, from Mauritius. We believe that most of these differences can be attributed to age (size); none of our specimens is larger than 39 mm. We illustrate here (Figure 4) the telsons of one of the largest (26 mm) and the smallest (16 mm) males examined by us; both are clearly referable to P. megalophthalma, but in the smaller specimens the accessory median carinae of the telson are absent, whereas in the larger they are present but poorly developed. They are even more strongly developed in the specimen (30 mm long) figured by Tattersall (1921, pl. 27: fig. 3).

In addition to the material from the Sinai, we report here specimens studied by one of us (R.B.M.) in museums in Munich and Vienna. Those collected by the Pola in the Red Sea were not included by Balss (1910) in his report of the stomatopods taken by that expedition.

Superfamily LYSIOSQUILLOIDEA Giesbrecht, 1910

Family NANNOSQUILLIDAE Manning, 1980

10. Keppelius hystricotelson (Barnard, 1958)

Lysiosquilla hystricotelson Barnard, 1958:20, fig. 7.


Keppelius hystricotelson.—Manning, 1978b:10, fig. 5.

Material.—Gulf of Aqaba. 3 km S of Nabq: sandy reef flat with algae, intertidal to 0.5 m
FIGURE 4.—*Pseudosquilla megalophthalma* Bigelow, Sharm es Sheikh, sixth abdominal somite and telson of ♂: a, 26 mm; b, 16 mm.

---

depth, poisoning, 8 Nov 1981, Holthuis and Lewinskohn, leg., 1♂ 25 mm, NS 19020 (TAU).

**SIZE.**—Total length of male 25 mm.

**COLOR.**—Not noted.

**Superfamily SQUILLOIDEA Latreille, 1802**

**Family SQUILLIDAE Latreille, 1802**

11. *Alima neptuni* (Linnaeus, 1768), new combination


(Cancer) *Astacus neptuni*.—Herbst, 1793:91.

*Alima hyalina* Leach, 1817 [figure on unnumbered plate].

*Erichthus hyalinus.*—Schinz, 1823:64.

*Squilla* (*Alima*) *hyalina.*—Voigt, 1856:195.

*Alima angusta* Dana, 1852:631.


*Alima gracilis.*—Claus, 1871:45, pl. 8: fig. 35.

*Squilla alba* Bigelow, 1893b:103.


---

**Material.**—Gulf of Aqaba. El Kura (S of Dahab): 13 Sep 1967, 1♀ 39 mm, SLR 445 (RMNH).

**SIZE.**—Total length of female 39 mm.

**COLOR.**—Not noted.

**Remarks.**—On page 226 of volume 3 of the 12th edition of *Systema Naturae*, in the Appendix Tomi I (= Appendix Animalium), Linnaeus (1768) mentioned *Cancer Neptuni* and gave the following description:


This description is so short and incomplete that the species cannot be recognized from it. However, a far more extensive description of the species is provided by Andreas Sparrman (1769) in his thesis “Iter in Chinam,” published in the seventh volume of Linnaeus’s *Amoenitates Aca-
Sparrman's description is as follows:

*Cancer Neptuni* (macrourus) thorace antice posticeque trispinoso. (post Homarum 74. locandus).

*Corpus* magnitudine Tipulae. *Testa* figura fructus Bursae pastoris, oblonga; antice carinata & angustata spinis tribus porrectis, quamur media longior, supra caput extensa; Post-

This description fits the larval stage of *Alima hyalina* extremely well, as is shown by a comparison of Sparrman's account with Manning's (1962) description of the larvae and postlarvae. The size as indicated by Sparrman (as large as a cranefly, *Tipula*) is of rather little help, as no indication is given how either species is measured, nor which species of *Tipula* is meant; the largest European *Tipula*, *T. maxima*, has a wingspread of up to 60 mm and a length of up to 35 mm, whereas in the common *T. oleracea* L. the wingspread is about 40 mm and the length about 25 mm. The total length of the larva of *Alima hyalina* is about 40 mm, that of the postlarva about 20 mm. One might therefore say that *Alima hyalina* and *Tipula* sp. are in about the same size range. Sparrman's description of the carapace as being elongate and having the shape of the fruit of the Sheperd's Purse, *Capsella bursa-pastoris* L., excellently characterizes the carapace, which is elongate and widens posteriorly, having the posterior margin emarginate in the middle. The three slender spines on the anterior margin of the carapace, of which the median is longest and reaches distinctly beyond the end of the body; the emarginate posterior margin likewise with three spines of which the outer are straight; and the median short and directed upward—all are found in *Alima hyalina*. The same is true for the very narrow (linear) abdomen, which is about as long as the carapace and ends in a broader, but elongate telson, which bears 6 small denticles on the margin. Also the pedunculated eyes are very conspicuous in *Alima hyalina*. There can be little doubt therefore that *Cancer neptuni* is based on a specimen of *Alima hyalina* or a very closely related species.

The locality where Sparrman collected the specimen of *Cancer neptuni* removes the last doubt as to the identity of that species. The entry for 27 May 1767 in Sparrman's narrative (1769:506) is as follows: "Maji. 27. hinc postquam nasci in altum mare devecti, maximam FUCI natantis (Sargazo) copiam agui vidimus. In hoc SCYLLAEAM pelagicam & CAN-CRUM Neptuni cepti." On the 25th of May the ship had arrived at Ascension, and presumably left the 26th or 27th. *Cancer neptunus* thus must have been taken just north of Ascension, considering that the ship needed 55 days (26 May to 21 July) to cover the distance from Ascension to Fayal (Azores). Like the type-localities of *Alima hyalina*, the type-locality of *Cancer neptuni* lies in the central Atlantic [about 7°57'S, 14°22'W, the coordinates of Ascension]. Leach (1818:416) reported *Alima hyalina* from "Porto Praya [= Porto do Praia, Cape Verde Islands, 14°54'N, 23°31'W], and in 7,37,0 N. lat. 17,34,15 W. long." Gurney (1946:141) remarked that "In its [the larva] later stages this species is very conspicuous, and has, in consequence, been frequently noticed and figured," which explains why it was taken as early as 1767 by Sparrman on his home voyage from China.

In the literature the species has been reported under a great number of different names, and only recently the name *Alima hyalina* was adopted for the adult specimens (Manning, 1962). A change to the name *Alima neptuni* (Linnaeus, 1768), therefore, will not cause any nomenclatural upset.

This is the first record for this species from the Red Sea.

The synonymy given above includes only different name combinations used for larvae and adults of this species and references for citations in the text. The synonymy is not intended to be complete for the species.

12. *Kempina zanzibarica* (Chopra, 1939)  
*Squilla zanzibarica* Chopra, 1939:143, figs. 2, 4.  
*Kempina zanzibarica*.—Manning, 1981:298, fig. 1.

Size.—Total length of only male examined 95 mm.

Color.—Not noted.

13. Leptosquilla schmeltzii (A. Milne Edwards, 1873)

_Squilla Schmeltzii_ A. Milne Edwards, 1873:87, pl. 2: fig. 7.

Leptosquilla schmeltzii.—Holthuis, 1967:15, 40.

Material.—Gulf of Aqaba. Tiran Island, entrance to the Gulf of Aqaba, Foul Bay: 24 Sep 1981, Kerman, leg., 20 mm, NS 19019 (TAU).

Size.—Total length of only male examined 20 mm.

Color.—Not noted.

14. Oratosquilla massavensis (Kossmann, 1880)


Oratosquilla massavensis.—Makarov, 1971:146.—Lewinsohn and Manning, 1980:20, figs. 5, 6, 7b [Mediterranean records].

Material.—Gulf of Suez. Trawl net from 28°47'N, 33°09'E to 28°41'N, 33°08'30"E, 36–38 m, 12 Nov 1972, Lewinsohn, leg., 1♀ 86 mm, NS 19023 (TAU).

Size.—Total length of only female examined 86 mm.

Color.—Not noted.

List of Adult Stomatopoda Known from the Red Sea

Adults of 33 species of stomatopods are now known from localities within the Red Sea, and these are listed below. Reference numbers of those species described in this study are in parentheses. Larval forms are not included in the list; accounts of larvae of Red Sea species have been provided by Gurney (1937), Gohar and Al-Kholy (1957), and Williamson (1970). Our listing of adults, based on the complete list of Red Sea stomatopods compiled by L.B. Holthuis in 1967, includes references published since then, but does not duplicate the detailed synonymies he provided. Synonyms are indented under the applicable species entries.

Superfamily GONODACTYLOIDEA

Family EURYQUILLIDAE

*Manningia amabilis* Holthuis, 1967 (1)

Family GONODACTYLIDAE

*Gonodactylus acutirostris* De Man, 1898 (2)

*Gonodactylus botti* Manning, 1975 (3)

*Gonodactylus choprai* Manning, 1967

*Gonodactylus demanii espinosus*—Holthuis, 1967:41

*Gonodactylus demanii* Henderson, 1893 (4)

*Gonodactylus falcatus* (Forskal, 1775) (5)

*Gonodactylus lanchesteri* Manning, 1967 (6)

*Mesacturoides brevisquamatus* (Paulson, 1875) (7)

Family PROTOSQUILLIDAE

*Chorisquilla spinosissima* (Pfeffer, 1881)

*Protoquilla spinosissima*.—Holthuis, 1967:42

*Haptosquilla lenzi* (Holthuis, 1941).—Hughes, 1977:90

*Protoquilla lenzi*.—Holthuis, 1967:36, 42

*Haptosquilla pulchella* (Miers, 1880)

*Protoquilla pulchella*.—Holthuis, 1967:42

Family PSEUDOSQUILLIDAE

*Pseudosquilla ciliata* (Fabricius, 1787) (8)

*Pseudosquilla megalophthalma* Bigelow, 1893 (9)

Superfamily LYSIOSQUILLOIDEA

Family CORONIDIDAE

*Neocoronida trachurus* (von Martens, 1881).—Manning, 1978b:13, fig. 7

*Coronida trachurus*.—Holthuis, 1967:40

Family LYSIOSQUILLIDAE

*Lysiosquilla maculata* (Fabricius, 1793).—Holthuis, 1967:40

Family NANNOSQUILLIDAE

*Acanthosquilla derijardi* Manning, 1970.—Holthuis, 1975:309

*Acanthosquilla multifasciata* (Wood-Mason, 1895).—Holthuis, 1967:22, 40

*Acanthosquilla vicina* (Nobili, 1904).—Holthuis, 1967:23, 40

*Keppelius hystricotelson* (Barnard, 1958) (10)

*Pullosquilla thomassini* Manning, 1978.—Manning, 1980b:269

Superfamily SQUILLOIDEA

Family HARPIOSQUILLIDAE

*Harpiosquilla harpax* (De Haan, 1844).—Holthuis, 1967:15, 40

Family SQUILLIDAE

*Alima neptuni* (Linnaeus, 1768) (11)

*Anchisquilla fasciata* (De Haan, 1844).—Makarov, 1971:143

*Squilla fasciata*.—Holthuis, 1967:2, 39
Carinosquilla carinata (Serène, 1950)
- Squilla carinata. — Holthuis, 1967:39
Clorida fallax (Bouvier, 1914)
- Squilla fallax. — Holthuis, 1967:5, 39, fig. 2
Kempina zanzibarica (Chopra, 1939) (12)
Lenisquilla gilesi (Kemp, 1911). — Manning, 1981:300, fig. 2
- Squilla gilesi. — Holthuis, 1967:3, 39, fig. 1
- Squilloides gilesi. — Makarov, 1971:143, fig. 61
Leptosquilla schmeltzii (A. Milne Edwards, 1873) (13)
Oratosquilla birsteini Makarov, 1971:146, fig. 62.
Oratosquilla massavensis (Kossmann, 1880) (14)
Oratosquilla nepa (Latreille, 1825)
- Squilla nepa. — Holthuis, 1967:7, 39
Oratosquilla simulans (Holthuis, 1967)
- Squilla simulans Holthuis, 1967:7, 39, fig. 3
- Squilla gonyptes. — Holthuis, 1967:39 (references)

Zoogeographical Notes

Our knowledge of the stomatopod fauna of the Red Sea has increased dramatically in the last two decades. In 1906, Nobili recorded a total of 15 species from the area, although only nine of these were from the Red Sea proper, the remainder having been taken in the Gulf of Aden. By 1938 only 15 species had been recorded from the Red Sea (Dollfus, 1938), and Ingle (1963) included accounts of only 18 species. In 1967 Holthuis updated the literature records and added several new ones, bringing the total to 28 species then known. Thirty-three species are recorded herein.

Of these 33 species, five (15%) are so far known only from the Red Sea proper. These are Gonodactylus falcatus (Forskal), Mesacturoides brevisquamatus (Paulson), Oratosquilla birsteini Makarov, O. massavensis (Kossmann), and O. simulans (Holthuis). Although O. massavensis has colonized the Mediterranean through the Suez Canal, within the Indo-West Pacific region proper it has not been taken outside of the Red Sea.

Eight species (24% of the Red Sea stomatopods) are otherwise known only in the Indian Ocean, and five of these (marked with an asterisk) occur only in the western part of the Indian Ocean. These eight species are *Gonodactylus choprai* Manning, G. *demanii* Henderson, G. *lanchesteri* Manning, *Kempina zanzibarica* (Chopra), *Keppelius hystricotelson* (Barnard), Lenisquilla gilesi (Kemp), *Manningia amabilis* Holthuis, and *Neocoronida trachurus* (von Martens).

The remaining 19 species (57% of the stomatopods found in the Red Sea) are more or less widely distributed in the Indo-West Pacific region, the ranges of some (Alima neptuni (Linnaeus) and Pseudosquilla megalophthalma Bigelow) extending to the central Pacific, others (Harpiosquilla harpax (De Haan), Lysiosquilla maculata (Fabricius), and Anchisquilla fasciata (De Haan)) extending from the Red Sea and western Indian Ocean to Japan.

Thus the Red Sea stomatopod fauna forms a small extension of the Indo-West Pacific stomatopod fauna. Even the endemic species are representatives of genera composed of many other Indo-West Pacific species: Gonodactylus, with eight other species in the falcatus Group alone; Mesacturoides, with four other species, three of which occur only in the northwestern Indian Ocean; and Oratosquilla, with more than 25 other species.
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