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ABSTRACT

Flint, Oliver S., Jr., J. Frank McAlpine, and Herbert H. Ross. A Revision of the Genus Leptonema Guerin (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae: Macronematinae). Smithson-
ian Contributions to Zoology, number 450, 198 pages, 798 figures, 31 maps, 1
dendrogram, 1987.—The genus Leptonema Guérin is revised, resulting in 105 species
being recognized, 2 of which are composed of 2 subspecies each, 2 additional species
being placed to species group only, and 2 more species remaining nomina dubia. We
describe 48 species and 2 subspecies as new, and divide the genus into 15 new species
groups. For the 105 species fully recognized, the male genitalia, and other selected
characters, are figured, and known distributions mapped. The genus is known in the
New World from southwestern United States to central Argentina, including the
Greater and Lesser Antilles, and in the Old World from subsaharan Africa and
Madagascar. The phylogenetic relationships of the genus are discussed and a phylo-
genesis of the species groups proposed. The genus Neoleptonema is a new synonym of
Leptonema and its sole species, aspersum Ulmer, is placed in the sparsum group (new
combination). Macrostemum giganteum Martynov (new combination), from India is
removed from Leptonema. The following 6 new synonymies are made: M. displicens
Navás under L. affine Ulmer; L. bhoumi Statzner and Gibon under L. guineense Gibbs;
L. silvestrinum Navás under L. columbianum Ulmer; L. grisolinum Navás under L.
crassum Ulmer; Hydropsyche trilobata Jacquemart under L. agraphum (Kolenati); and
Hydropsyche flagellata Jacquemart under L. pallidum Guérin.
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A Revision of the Genus
*Leptonema* Guérin (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae: Macronematinae)

**Oliver S. Flint, Jr., J. Frank McAlpine, and Herbert H. Ross**

**Introduction**

The caddisfly genus *Leptonema* is one of the larger genera in the order Trichoptera, with 107 species and 2 subspecies herein treated. Most species are also large for caddisflies, some species having a wingspread of 60 mm. They are often very abundant at lotic sites, where they comprise one of the major portions of insectan biomass. They inhabit all types of flowing water from spring brooks to large lowland rivers. In northern South America and southern Central America the diversity of species seems to be greatest in streams a meter or two in width in forested mountains.

When this study was initiated 30 years ago, the genus appeared to be limited primarily to the Neotropical and Afrotropical Realms, with possible outliers in Ceylon and southern India. This study has shown that the suspected members from Asia belong to other genera, thus restricting *Leptonema* to Tropical America and Africa, with a distinctive group found in Madagascar. Within these areas, however, the genus has radiated extensively, with 91 species known from the New World, 12 from Africa and another 6 from Madagascar.

This study was begun by McAlpine as a special project under Ross at Illinois in 1956. It was essentially completed in 1963, when both of the initial investigators accepted new positions and the manuscript was set aside. Shortly before Ross’s death in 1978, McAlpine and Ross requested Flint to update and complete the paper, adding new species and records that had accumulated in his extensive new collections. The results presented herein follow the original manuscript as closely as possible, in order to maintain the contributions of McAlpine and Ross.

**Historical Review**

Guérin (1843) erected *Leptonema* as a genus related to, but distinct from, *Macronema* Pictet and described in it one new species, *pallidum* (as *pallida*), the type by monotypy. Walker (1852) listed the genus and the species, but he did not know either category, for in the same paper he described a closely related new species, *albovirens*, and he placed it in *Macronema*. Hagen (1861) compounded Walker’s error by sinking *albovirens* (Walker) as a synonym of *pallidum* Guérin, and placing the latter in *Macronema*. These actions led to much confusion about the identities of *pallidum* and *albovirens*, and the status of *Leptonema*.

Ulmer (1905a-c, 1907a,b) brought together the 5 species of *Leptonema* described by earlier authors and added 9 new species. The last of these papers is the first revisionary study of the genus; it placed the taxonomy of the group on a firm basis and set an excellent pattern for latter students. Moseley’s (1933) classical “Revision of the Genus *Leptonema*” is the latest and most comprehensive treatment of the group. In this work he treated 37 species, 24 of which were newly described, placed 1 in synonymy and left 14 as unrecognized; he provided 199 excellent figures, mostly of the male genitalia, and gave a complete bibliography. Most of his descriptions and figures of the species can scarcely be improved upon, and hence, we utilize many of his illustrations in this work. For a few species described by Moseley from “Biologia” material we have provided more precise data on the type localities, thanks to the gazetteer of place names used in the “Biologia Centrali America” by Selander and Vaurie (1962).

Scattered species of *Leptonema* have been described since
1933 by various authors including Flint (1967a, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1981), Jacquemart (1962), Marlier (1947, 1961, 1965), Navás (1933a,b, 1934a,b), Schmid (1964), and Sykora (1964) but no further revisionary studies have appeared. Ulmer (1957), Marlier (1961, 1962), Flint (1964, 1968), Wiggins (1977), Flint and Wallace (1980), and Scott (1983) provided information on the morphology of the immature stages. Fischer (1963, 1972) presented a comprehensive catalog of the world literature on Hydropsychidae up to the end of 1960, in which are listed 52 species of Leptonema. Herein we have cited the original reference for each species and added only those that are not included in Fischer's catalog. For a full bibliography one should consult the latter.

Now, in 1986, 143 years after the establishment of the genus, we fully describe 105 species and 2 subspecies, of which 50 species and 2 subspecies are newly described, leaving 2 names unplaced beyond species group, 2 more as nominina dubia, and 13 placed in synonymy. Our intent has been to characterize the genus Leptonema, to describe and figure in as uniform a manner as possible the males of all species, to cluster the species into species groups, and to discuss the placement of the genus in the family and the phyletic arrangement of the species groups.
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Genus *Leptonema* Guérin


ADULTS

Size from moderate (about 10 mm) to relatively large (about 30 mm); length of forewing 8–28 mm. Wings varying from uniformly, semi-transparent, milky whitish or greenish through dull ochraceous, to opaque, pale brown; often blotched, streaked or irrorated with yellow, brown, and/or black pubescence (Figures 776–788); colors subdued, and patterns, when present relatively obscure.
Head (Figures 3, 5, 6): In shape usually higher than long. Face and frons rather densely setose with short, fine, mostly depressed setae. Anterior warts of frons indistinct; more or less continuous with each other and with the posterior warts, i.e., front warts not swollen nor clearly delineated as in Macronema; posterior warts more swollen and more clearly delineated. Frons without a raised, sclerotized plate; with a setose, rather bulging, lunulate callus immediately above and between the bases of the antennae. Malar space usually less than \( \frac{1}{2} \) eye height (Figure 5); occasionally, e.g., crassum group, very broad (Figure 2); bare except when very broad. Parafacial area usually narrower than malar space; with some short setae, at least anteriorly. Postocular area about same width as parafacial; and more clearly delineated. Frons without a raised, scle-

"crassum sometimes, e.g., Macronema; delineated as in posterior warts more swollen posterior warts, i.e., front warts not swollen nor clearly mostly depressed setae. Anterior warts of frons indistinct; sublateral and a smaller lateral wart. Apical segment longest. Ocelli absent. (Figures 2, 5) 3-segmented, with protuberant palpiger; sec-

third; third segment longer than fourth; fifth segment much longer than any other segment, with many transverse annules. All segments with numerous, short, depressed, soft, yellowish setae. Labial palp (Figures 2, 5) 5-segmented, with protuberant palpiger; second segment frequently broad and conspicuously flattened, apical segment longest. Ocelli absent.

Thorax: Prothoracic warts often divided into a larger sublateral and a smaller lateral wart.

Tibial spur formula usually 2, 4, 4; sometimes 1, 4, 4 (inner spur of anterior tibia undeveloped in this case). Front tibia with outer spur larger than inner one (Figure 676), except in crassum group where reverse is true; rarely with 2 subequal spurs. Middle tibia and metatarsus (Figure 3) sometimes much broadened and flattened: e.g., crassum group.

Forewings (Figures 1, 7, 8) broad with round apex. Posterior margin usually almost straight, often slightly convex, rarely slightly concave. Costal cell with 1 or 2 crossoveins; discoidal cell short and broad, usually slightly longer than broad and always closed. Forks 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 present; second fork and thyroidal cell each with a small, thickened, gland-like callus, viz., a nymgital spot. Hindwings (Figures 1, 7, 8) shorter, broader and paler than forewings; forks 1, 2, 3, and 5 present. Females of some species in pallidum group with a cluster of short, densely packed setae about in middle of second cubital vein (Figure 7).

Abdomen: First abdominal sternum greatly reduced; largely internal, and therefore not apparent. Second sternum (+ apparent basal sternum) with (Figure 644) or without (Figure 98) a median suture; third sternum sometimes with a short median suture. Some or all of sterna 2–8 with lateral sutures (Figure 544). Fifth sternum (Figures 27) with a pair of clear, raised, oval, boss-like structures, generally smaller in the female (Figure 523) than in the male (Figure 522); anterior end of each with a small pore leading to an internal gland (Figure 411). Second tergum with or without a short median suture. Some or all of terga 1–8 with lateral sutures. Membrane on sides of abdomen often with tuft-

"crassum sometimes much broadened and flattened: e.g., Macronema; delineated as in posterior warts more swollen posterior warts, i.e., front warts not swollen nor clearly mostly depressed setae. Anterior warts of frons indistinct; sublateral and a smaller lateral wart. Apical segment longest. Ocelli absent. (Figures 2, 5) 3-segmented, with protuberant palpiger; second segment frequently broad and conspicuously flattened, apical segment longest. Ocelli absent. Thorax: Prothoracic warts often divided into a larger sublateral and a smaller lateral wart.

Tibial spur formula usually 2, 4, 4; sometimes 1, 4, 4 (inner spur of anterior tibia undeveloped in this case). Front tibia with outer spur larger than inner one (Figure 676), except in crassum group where reverse is true; rarely with 2 subequal spurs. Middle tibia and metatarsus (Figure 3) sometimes much broadened and flattened: e.g., crassum group.

Forewings (Figures 1, 7, 8) broad with round apex. Posterior margin usually almost straight, often slightly convex, rarely slightly concave. Costal cell with 1 or 2 crossoveins; discoidal cell short and broad, usually slightly longer than broad and always closed. Forks 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 present; second fork and thyroidal cell each with a small, thickened, gland-like callus, viz., a nymgital spot. Hindwings (Figures 1, 7, 8) shorter, broader and paler than forewings; forks 1, 2, 3, and 5 present. Females of some species in pallidum group with a cluster of short, densely packed setae about in middle of second cubital vein (Figure 7).

Abdomen: First abdominal sternum greatly reduced; largely internal, and therefore not apparent. Second sternum (+ apparent basal sternum) with (Figure 644) or without (Figure 98) a median suture; third sternum sometimes with a short median suture. Some or all of sterna 2–8 with lateral sutures (Figure 544). Fifth sternum (Figures 27) with a pair of clear, raised, oval, boss-like structures, generally smaller in the female (Figure 523) than in the male (Figure 522); anterior end of each with a small pore leading to an
process e paired and arising basad of root of process d. Process f, when present, forming a single dorsal process arising mesally between bases of processes d and e. Process g generally present and paired; arising ventrolaterally just basad of roots of processes b and c which in its most complex condition is feathered or spiculate as in alecatum (Figure 650). Process j, when present, forming a single median process arising dorsally from process a; sometimes in the form of a simple tubercle, but more often in the form of a spike or fork (Figure 573).

Female Genitalia (Figure 13): Eighth sternum (8S) almost completely divided along midline into 2 ventral plates (Vt Pl). Ninth tergum (9T) saddle-shaped (numbering of segments follows Nielsen, 1980; Schmid, 1980, believes segment 9 is lacking and thus the segments present are 8, 10, and 11); posteriad with a row of strong setae, a narrow band of fine setae along posterior margin and a distinct, setose wart on each side; each posterodorsal extremity with a conspicuous, and distinctively shaped clasper groove (Cl Gr) and receptacle (Cl Rec). Tenth tergum (10T) small, ending with 2 pairs of distinct papillae (Pap), between which the slimmer, darker, and indistinctly biarticulate cercus (Cer) is inserted. With complex internal sclerites (offering useful specific characteristics).

**IMMATURE STAGES**

Full, well-illustrated descriptions of larval and pupal stages are provided by Ulmer (1957), Marlier (1961, 1962), Wiggins (1977), Flint and Wallace (1980), Statzner and Gibon (1984), Boon (1985), and especially Scott (1983). The following summary of the most significant characters of *Leptonema* is adapted from these works.

**Larva:** Body densely setose or bristly; integument rough. Head short and rounded or elongate; without a carina. Labrum with a large anterolateral brush. Mandible broadly triangular, stout; teeth extending at least to middle of cutting edge. Left mandible with a brush on mesal surface. Maxillae not elongate. Genae with striidulatory grooves ventrally; gular sclerite clearly delineated by an
FIGURES 10-12.— Apex of phallus of a hypothetical male *Leptonema*, showing processes (a-j): 10, lateral; 11, ventral; 12 dorsal.

ecdysal line on both sides. Legs strongly bristled. Foreleg without a large, fan-like array of long, thin setae. Tarsal claw with a single strong basal spine. Anterior coxa with one or more processes on the inner margin. Trochantin of foreleg with a trianguloid process. Proventriculus with simple spines. Anal proleg relatively short and stout; setal fan well developed. Gill tufts on meso- (1 pair) and metasterna (2 pairs). Lateral abdominal gill tufts absent; with two or more rows of ventrolateral and ventral tufts on segments 1–7. Gills with filaments arising in whorls from a central stalk. Anal gills present, probably five (often only 4 or less are seen).

Pupa: Labrum with anterior margin semicircular with small basolateral lobes; with scattered small setae. Mandibles with inflated bases, outer face basally with dark setae; inner margin with teeth at midlength, beyond which the margin is serrate. Front of face with a paired cluster of large, dark, hooked setae and scattered small and long setae. Antennae longer than body, terminal segments coiled 2–3 times around apex of abdomen. Meso- and metanota each with a few setae. Midlegs with setal fringes on both sides of tarsi. Abdomen with single (segment 3), or deeply bifid (segments 4–7), lateral, membranous lobes. Ventrally with paired, tufted gills on segments 2–7. Hook plates anteriorly on segments 2 or 3 to 8, posteriorly on 3. Segment 4 dorsally with long, dark setae, other segments with fewer, and usually shorter, setae. Apical appendages plumplly, apex constricted; with subapical lateral and/or mesal, long, apically hooked setae; apex upturned, covered ventrally with short spinous setae.

Larval Retreat: Retreats reportedly (Flint and Wallace, 1980; Scott, 1982) similar to those of typical *Hydropsyche*, covered with rock and sand grains, lined with silk. Catch nets of hydropsychine-type construction with a central seam; mesh size reported from 40.5 × 80 μm to 430 × 167 μm. Attached to solid substrate (wood, stones, bedrock, solid clay) in flowing water.

Pupal Retreat: Ovoid, of rock fragments with interstices filled with sand, or mostly sand, attached firmly to inner, silken lining. Usually attached by one side to substrate, in which case this side is silken only. Small openings left at both ends between sand and silk to allow water circulation.

**Behavior**

No reports are known on the eggs, oviposition, or most aspects of behavior of either adults or larvae. The adults come to light at night, both incandescent and ultraviolet, but the sex ratio is often strongly skewed toward the female sex. In the daytime, net collecting of adults generally produces a nearly equal sex ratio or a predominance of males. This is especially true in the more strongly marked species, where one rarely takes males at the light. This suggests that males, especially of the colorful species, are much more strongly day-active than the females.

**Generic Changes**

*Neoleptonema* Ulmer (1907b), erected for *aspersum* Ulmer, was distinguished from *Leptonema* by the presence of a common stalk at the base of the third fork in the forewing. Our studies, based on both sexes of *aspersum* and its sister species, *rostratum*, reveal that both have this characteristic, but both agree in all other diagnostic characteristics with *Leptonema*. Consequently, we regard *Neoleptonema* as a synonym of *Leptonema*, and place the species with this characteristic in a subgroup of the *sparsum* group.

On the basis of the description and figures provided, "*Leptonema*" *giganteum* Martynov (1935) from India does not belong in the genus *Leptonema*. In addition to differences in wing venation, it has an elongate third segment of the maxillary palpus, a reliable diagnostic character of *Macrostemum* (and related genera) to which it is herein transferred.
Study of the male syntype of *Macronema ceylanicum* Hagen (1858), placed in *Leptonema* by Ulmer (1907a,b), showed that Mosely (1933) was correct in erecting a new genus (*Pseudoleptonema* Mosely, type *M. ceylanicum* Hagen, by original designation) for that species. *Pseudoleptonema* differs from *Leptonema* in many ways: the second segment of the maxillary palpus is shorter than the third (as in *Macrostemum*) and often produced apicad; the anterior warts of the head are well defined and larger than the posterior ones (as in *Macrostemum*); the color pattern of the forewing is like *Macrostemum*; but the wing venation, especially in the hindwing is distinctly different from any other genus (see Schmid 1958); the male genitalia (see Schmid 1958, pl. 19: figs. 7, 12, 15), especially the tenth tergum and apex of the phallus is simpler than in *Leptonema*, much as in *Macrostemum*. Based on these characteristics, we believe that *Pseudoleptonema* is a valid genus more closely related to *Macrostemum* than to *Leptonema*. Schmid (1958), who described 2

new Ceylonese species of *Pseudoleptonema*, independently arrived at the same conclusion and provided an excellent diagnosis of the genus.

**Taxonomic Keys**

The first key that follows is a synoptic key to species groups of *Leptonema*. It serves to place species, hopefully including those still uncollected, in their respective groups and to summarize the main characters of these groups. It is as consistent with our concept of the phylogeny of the groups as we can achieve. The second key is for identifying known species and it is designed in a strictly utilitarian fashion. Both keys rely heavily on the characters of the male genitalia discussed above. With very few exceptions, it is essential to refer to these structures for correct placement and/or identification of species.
Key to Species Groups

1. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4 ................................................................. 2
   Tibial spurs 1, 4, 4 ................................................................. 12
2. Second (basal) abdominal segment with a median suture ................. 3
   Second abdominal segment without a median suture .......................... 10
3. Phallus relatively simple, lacking processes [Figure 18] ........................ cinctum group
   Phallus more complex, some of processes b, c, d, or e well developed [Figures 150, 347, 383, etc.] .................................................. 4
4. Male tenth tergum without wart b, wart a simple, situated near base; lateral lobe simple, elongate [Figure 324] speciosum group
   Male tenth tergum with wart b and/or e in addition to a, but their position and shape variable; lateral lobe variable in size and shape ................. 5
5. Forewing with nygmatic spots surrounded by a ring of black hairs. Male tenth tergum with warts a and b low and tuberculate, c absent or rudimentary; phallic processes b, c, d, and e, when developed, strikingly “feathered” [Figure 417], often some of these processes weak [Figure 391] or absent [Figure 383] ................................................................. stigmusum group
Nygmatic spots normal. Tenth tergum with or without wart c; processes of phallos not strongly feathered ................................................. 6
6. Phallic process j undeveloped .................................................. 7
   Phallic process j present, generally developed as a forked process [Figure 573], rarely a small lobe [Figure 615] simulanus group
   Phallic processes d and e lacking as free processes [Figure 423] ......... 8
   Phallic processes d and/or e present as free processes ...................... 8
7. Basal segment of clasper with an area of enlarged setae on inner surface near apex [Figure 645]; phallos usually with processes b and c strongly barbed, process d with anterior or posterior branch (never both), process g strongly developed [Figure 641]. Hindwing of female often with a yellow, glandular thickening on Cu 2 [Figure 7] ................................................................. pallidanum group
   Basal segment of clasper without a spinulose area; phallos with processes b and c smooth, the former forming a strong apical hook, process d usually with both an anterior and posterior branch, process g weakly developed. Hindwing of female without a glandular thickening .................................................. 9
8. Tenth tergum with basoventral margin usually with a lateral projecting lobe [Figure 699]; process d of phallos with both anterior and posterior branches (often short) [Figure 701]; clasper usually without basomedian lobe [Figure 705] ................................. complexusum group
   Lateral lobe of tenth tergum with an elongate basoventral lobe [Figure 618]; process d of phallos reduced, posterior branch lacking [Figure 620]; clasper with an elongate basomedian lobe [Figure 622] .....  insulanum group
9. Forewing with transverse brown bands, costal cell filled with silvery hair [Figure 789] ................................................................. sparsanum group
   Forewing brown or green, rarely irrorate, never with a stripe of silver hair in costal cell ................................................................. 11
10. Forewing with 2 dark brown spots, one at extreme base, other over humeral crossvein. Basal segment of male clasper with a cluster of stout setulae [Figure 220]. Female midtibia and tarsus strongly dilated [Figure 3] ................................. crassanum group
    Without dark basal spots. Male clasper without strong setulae on basal section [Figure 59]. Female midtibia and tarsus normal ...................... affine group
11. Phallos simple, without spines or hooks .................................... 13
   Phallos with various apical or subapical process, or divided ................ 14
12. Tenth tergum very simple [Figure 131] ................................. occidentale group
Tenth tergum more complex [Figure 264] ............... amasonense group
14. African ................................................. normale group
South American ........................................... davisi group

Key to Species and Subspecies
(Leptonema machadoi, serranum, naevosum, nygmosum, are not placed in this key because they have been insufficiently described.)

1. Veins M, and M of forewing with a basal stalk [Figure 788] .......... 2
Vein M of forewing branched at chord ................................... 3

2. Apical segment of clasper very short, less than 1/3 length of basal segment [Figure 181] (Brazil to Venezuela) .... aspersum (Ulmer), new combination
Apical segment of clasper long, almost half as long as basal segment [Figure 195] (Argentina to Brazil) ................. rostratum, new species

3. Spurs 2, 4, 4 ........................................... 4
Spurs 1, 4, 4 .................................................. 91

4. Phallus with one or more of processes b-j well developed and extending free .............................................. 5
Phallus lacking free processes, ending in a rounded lobe, usually with rounded dorsolateral lobes ................. 69

5. Phallus with process f present ...................................... 6
Phallus lacking process f ............................................. 20

6. Clasper with a basomesal process .................................... 7
Clasper without a basomesal process ..................................... 10

7. Phallic process f a simple rounded lobe [Figure 632] (Colombia) .......... 
.............................. uncatum Mosely
Phallic process f an elongate, pointed process ......................... 8

8. Phallic process f in dorsal aspect a single, elongate process .......... 9
Process f in dorsal aspect with apex tripartite [Figure 623] (Colombia, Venezuela) .......... tripartitum, new species

9. Lateral lobe of tenth tergum with a slender ventral process [Figure 618] (Venezuela) .................................... insulanum Banks
Lateral lobe of tenth tergum lacking processes [Figure 768] (Ecuador, Peru) .......... 
.............................. trifidum, new species

10. Phallic process f a simple lobe, rounded or pointed apically ........... 11
Process f bifid or divided into 2 divergent processes ................. 16

11. Phallic process e an erect, well-marked process ............................................ 12
Phallic process e not developed as a free process ....................... 14

12. Phallic process a large, massive, posterior face nearly vertical ........ 13
Phallic process a developed as an arched, finger-like lobe [Figure 711] (Panama, Colombia) .................................. cheesmanae Mosely

13. Phallic process d with a distinct ventral stem, apical arm slender and pointed, process e attenuate, pointed [Figure 739] (Peru) ... harpagum, new species
Phallic process d sessile, apical arm spade-like, process e with apex shallowly bifid [Figure 747] (Peru, Bolivia) ............... inca Mosely

14. Phallic processes d present, fused basomesally [Figure 761] (Colombia, Ecuador) .......... 
.............................. rosenbergi Mosely
Lacking phallic process d ........................................... 15

15. Phallic process j a small, triangular flap, process e very small and erect [Figure 610] (Guyana to Argentina) .......... 
.............................. spinulum, new species
Lacking process j, process e long, reflexed, reaching to base of process f [Figure 463] (Costa Rica, Panama) .......... 
.............................. ekisi, new species
16. Phallic process a short, shallowly divided lobe, process c a simple, pointed lobe reaching to process f [Figure 471] (Panama). \textit{fortunum}, new species. Process f usually deeply divided, and/or process e longer, divided or doubled.

17. Phallic process a simple, long, slender process. Process c divided or doubled.

18. Phallic process f produced as a pair of spines directed laterad [Figure 539] (Panama, Colombia). \textit{sinuatum} Mosely. Process f deeply divided, with arms directed, caliper-like toward apex of phallus [Figure 546] (Costa Rica). \textit{turrialbum}, new species.

19. Phallic process c with a dorsal branch [Figure 561] (Panama). \textit{woldianum}, new species. Process c with a second more slender process beneath it [Figure 479] (Costa Rica, Panama).

20. Tenth tergum lacking wart b, with wart a large, lateral lobe elongate.


22. Phallic process e with posterior branch very short, barely recognizable [Figure 357] (Brazil). \textit{stigmaticum} Navás. Process e with anterior and posterior branches almost equally long.

23. Branches of phallic process e arising ventrally on process [Figure 324] (Brazil). \textit{agraphum} (Kolenati). Branches of process e arising dorsally on process [Figure 364] (Brazil). \textit{tholloni} (Navás).

24. Phallic process g as long or longer than fused b–c lobe.

25. Phallic process g a simple, elongate lobe. Process g with apex bifurcate [Figure 329] (Brazil). \textit{bifurcatum}, new species.

26. Phallic lobe b–c a simple process. Lobe b–c divided into lateral lobes, each bearing 3 processes [Figure 336] (Brazil). \textit{boraceia}, new species.

27. Phallic process a a narrow, arched lobe [Figure 368] (Brazil). \textit{tridens} Mosely. Process a indistinguishable, a broadly rounded area [Figure 350] (Brazil).

28. With a small tripartite process ventromesally between base of b–c lobe [Figure 373] (Brazil). \textit{trispicatum}, new species. With a small ventrolateral process at base of b–c lobe [Figure 344] (Brazil). \textit{eugnathum} (Müller).

29. Tenth tergum with warts a and b low, rounded, bearing setae arising from enlarged bases; phallic processes b, d, and e usually present and bearing combs of enlarged setae, rarely reduced to a few small points on the phallus. Tenth tergum with some warts produced on stalks; phallic processes various, but not with setal combs.

30. Phallic process b without setal comb, processes d and e reduced to a few spines [Figure 381] (Bolivia). \textit{auriculatum}, new species. Phallic process b always with a setal comb, processes d and e usually with a comb, but may be reduced.

31. Phallic process b short, barely reaching ventral margin of phallus. Phallic process b elongate, extending well beyond ventral margin of phallus.

32. Phallic processes d and e reduced to a few small spines [Figure 396] (Argentina).
boliviense plumosum, new subspecies

33. Phallic processes $d$ and/or $e$ larger, bearing a cluster of comb-like setae...

34. Phallic process $e$ an elongate, slender lobe bearing short, spine-like setae [Figure 405] (Venezuela to Bolivia)...

spiritum, new species

35. Phallic processes $d$ and $e$ united into a large fan-like array of large setae [Figure 414] (Venezuela to Ecuador)...

stigmosum Ulmer

36. Phallus with process $j$ large, forked apicad...

37. Apical clasper segment short, barely more than $1/4$ length of basal segment; process $j$ comparatively short, and deeply forked [Figure 577] (Panama)...

campanum, new species

38. Clasper short, apical segment short (much less than $1/2$ length of basal segment) and broad; phallic process $j$ short and deeply forked [Figure 592] (Guatemala)...

dyeri, new species

39. Tenth tergum with apex of lateral plate distinctly twisted; apical segment of clasper slightly more than half length of basal segment; apex of phallic process $g$ acuminate, pointed [Figure 569] (Costa Rica)...

ascelepium, new species

40. Phallus with process $j$ with arms U-shaped, $1/2$ to $1/2$ depth of process [Figure 609] (Mexico to Nicaragua)...

simulans mayanum, new subspecies

41. Phallus usually with process $g$ and processes $b$ and/or $c$; lacking processes $d$ and $e$...

42. Phallus with processes $d$ and/or $e$ in addition to abovementioned processes...

43. Phallus with process $g$ a broad lobe, sometimes with dorsal margin serrate or bearing erect points...

44. Phallus with process $g$ antepical, dorsal margin of $g$ serrate [Figure 493] (Peru)...

inspiratum, new species

45. Phallus with dorsal margin of process $g$ bearing an erect, large tooth...

46. Phallus with tooth of process $g$ antepical, dorsal margin of $b$ serrate [Figure 554] (Costa Rica)...

vitum, new species
Phallus with tooth of g apical, dorsal margin of b smooth [Figure 525] (Panama) ................................................................. salvini Mosely

47. Phallus with a small, finger-like process on dorsal margin overlying a, b a semierect, short lobe [Figure 441] (Mexico) ........... chiapense, new species

Phallus lacking a process above a, but a may appear in lateral aspect finger-like, b usually a long, reflexed process, but may be short and broad ........... 48

48. Phallus with process b a long, slender, reflexed process ............... 49

Phallus with process b short, rather broad, with an apical point and directed basodorsally [Figure 532] (Ecuador) .................. simplex Mosely

49. Phallus with process b very long, extending well basad of base of process g; dorsum of phallus unmodified but with rows of points along side [Figure 449] (Mexico) ........................................... chila Flint

Phallus with b barely longer than g; dorsum of phallus inflated subapically, lacking points along side [Figure 516] (Mexico, Guatemala) ............... plicatum Mosely

50. Phallus with process g pointed, directed posteriad, b pointed, semierect [Figure 456] (Ecuador) ........................................... coheni, new species

Phallus with process g and b differently formed .................. 51

51. Apex of phallus with 2 long, reflexed process (apex of g and b) [Figure 419] (Mexico, Guatemala) .................. acutum Mosely

Apex with only a single, long, reflexed process .................. 52

52. Phallus with process g (?) bearing a basal filament that is curled around apex of phallus [Figure 424] (Ecuador) .................. andrea, new species

Phallus with g lacking, or lacking a basal process curling around phallus .......... 53

53. Dorsoesmal margin of phallus apically with 2 short, digitate lobes, dorsal filament of g (?) twisted around these lobes [Figure 501] (Ecuador) ............... mastigion, new species

Dorsum of phallus without lobes, apical process simply reflexed .......... 54

54. With a small spine (? g) subtending apical process [Figure 430] (Venezuela) ...... araguense Flint

Lacking any spine beneath apical process [Figure 487] (Venezuela) ............. heppneri, new species

55. Tenth tergum with wart a and b erect, elongate; clasper with basal segment lacking apicomesal pad of enlarged setae .................. 56

Tenth tergum with wart a usually lacking, no warts erect and elongate; clasper with basal segment usually bearing an apicomesal pad of enlarged spines .......... 57

56. Phallus with all processes short, pointed, directed apicad or dorsad [Figure 435] (Colombia) ......................................... bilobatum Schmid

Phallus with at least one arm of d very long, slender, and directed basad ...... 58

57. Tenth tergum with a basoventral lobe; phallus with processes d completely separated basally in dorsal aspect .................. 59

Tenth tergum without basoventral lobe; processes d fused basomesally, arising middorsally in dorsal aspect [Figure 754] (Costa Rica to Ecuador) ............. intermedium Mosely

58. Phallus with process e deeply divided, process d with both anterior and posterior arms long and pointed [Figure 731] (Costa Rica) ........... furciligerum, new species

Process e simple, serrate or bearing basal spines, process d with apical arm short or long, or variably ornamented .......... 59

59. Phallus with b a long, slender, reflexed process .......... 60

Phallus with b short, often divided in several points directed apicad or dorsad .......... 61

60. Phallus with apical arm of d long, slender, pointed and with e serrulate apically but without processes [Figure 725] (Panama) ............... forficulum Mosely
Phallus with apical arm of d very short often serrate apically with e bearing one or more elongate spines basally [Figure 718] (Costa Rica, Panama) .............................................. complexum Mosely

61. Phallus ending in 4 short spines, with process d almost totally lacking apical arm [Figure 706] (Colombia) .......................................................... banksi Mosely
Phallus ending in a short upturned lobe ending in a short, laterally direct point, process d with apical arm long and slender, bearing an erect spine [Figure 699] (Ecuador) .............................................. album Mosely

62. Clasper with a basomesal lobe or process ....................................... 63
Clasper lacking basomesal process ...................................................... 64

63. Clasper with a distinct basomesal process; phallus with e a distinct, free process [Figure 691] (circum-Amazonian, from Guyana to Andes, Argentina and Brazil) .............................................. viridianum Navás
Clasper with basomesal process reduced to a truncate lobe; phallus lacking a free e [Figure 674] (Venezuela to Suriname) ..................... ramosum, new species

64. Phallus with process e very long, extending far basad of base of a .......................... 65
Phallic process e present and directed basad, but shorter, reaching no more than base of a ......................................................... 66

65. Tenth tergum with wart b a small lobe and lateral plate ending in a small process [Figure 669] (Brazil, Argentina) .............................................. pallidum Guérin
Tenth tergum lacking b, lateral plate broadly rounded [Figure 655] (Dominica) .............................................. archboldi Flint

66. Phallus with e bearing long projections, directed basad .......................... 67
Phallus with e bearing small points, curving apicoventrad ..................................... 68

67. Phallus with d and e arising separately, g with many projections [Figure 647] (Peru, Bolivia) .............................................. alceatum, new species
Phallus with d and e arising from a common base, g smooth [Figure 683] (Venezuela) .............................................. spangleri, new species

68. Phallus with d long, apex extending beyond ventral margin of phallus [Figure 637] (United States to Colombia and east to St. Vincent) .............................................. albivirens (Walker)
Phallus with d very short, barely curved, not reaching ventrad of middle of phallus [Figure 660] (Mexico) ......................... moselyi, new species

69. Thorax and usually head, laterally at least, covered with long, thin hair; base of forewing usually with 2 lateral dark spots .............................................. 70
These areas with only a few hairs; forewing lacking basolateral spots .................. 76

70. Apex of phallus produced into a posteriorly directed, pointed lip [Figure 243] (Venezuela) .............................................. guyanense, new species
Apex of phallus not produced ............................................................ 71

71. Clasper with cluster of enlarged setae on mesal face of basal segment borne from near apex ..................................................... 72
Clasper with enlarged setae borne from a small protuberance near midlength [Figure 251] (Venezuela to Suriname) ......................... hirsutum Flint

72. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe rounded apically, concave dorsally [Figure 776] (Brazil) .............................................. lunatum, new species
Tenth tergum with lateral lobe ending in a sharp point, not concave dorsally ............................................................. 73

73. Tenth tergum with lateral plate produced into an elongate plate directed posteriad, wart b either lacking or a small, knob at dorsal tip of lateral lobe ............................................................. 74
Tenth tergum a short point, directed dorsad or laterad, wart b large, long, overlying lateral lobe ............................................................. 75

74. Tenth tergum with tip bifid [Figure 257] (Ecuador, Peru) ......................... mandibulatum, new species
Tenth tergum ending in a single point [Figure 219] (South America, except Chilean Subregion) \textit{columbianum} Ulmer

75. Phallus with ventral process of ejaculatory duct in lateral aspect sharply curved at base then straight to apex [Figure 234] (Venezuela to Ecuador, Costa Rica?) \textit{divaricatum}, new species

76. Tenth tergum with wart \(a\) large, wart \(c\) no more than a slightly protuberant area of setae .......................................................... 77

77. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe broadly rounded with a hook-like ventral process [Figure 39] (Bolivia) \textit{piliferum} Schmid

78. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe elongate, no ventral process .......................... 78

79. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe elongate, and curved mesad, with a small basolateral pocket [Figure 52] (Venezuela) \textit{pseudostigmomosum} Flint

80. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe bearing a rod-like lateral process; apical segment of clasper almost \(1/3\) length of basal segment [Figure 14] (Colombia, Ecuador) \textit{cinctum} Ulmer

81. Tenth tergum with a lateral ridge that may bear some irregular lobes; apical segment less than \(1/3\) length of basal segment ............................................ 81

82. Phallus with lateral lobe produced well beyond tip of lateral lobe; fifth sternal lobes small, narrow [Figure 25] (Ecuador) \textit{lojaense}, new species

83. Tenth tergum with a well-developed lateral lobe extending freely from mesal lobe .......................................................... 84

84. Apex of phallus with an elongate, lip-like lobe extending from middle [Figure 80] (Madagascar) \textit{milae} Sykora

85. Phallus broad subapically, then rapidly narrowed to stem; lateral lobe of tenth tergum broadly confluent to median lobe, directed wholly laterad in dorsal aspect [Figure 72] (Madagascar) \textit{madagascariense} Ulmer

86. Apical segment of clasper nearly \(1/3\) length of basal segment; tenth tergum with 2 lobes of each side basally [Figure 103] (Madagascar) \textit{zahradniki} Sykora
87. Apical segment of clasper in both lateral and ventral aspects narrowed almost to an apical point [Figure 64] (Madagascar) conicum, new species

Apical segment of clasper broader apically, in lateral aspect obliquely truncate .............................................................................................................. 88

88. Fifth sternal lobes more than half width of sternum; apical clasper segment in posterior aspect compressed [Figure 88] (Madagascar) nupharum, new species

Fifth sternal lobes about 1/4 width of sternum; apical clasper segment in posterior aspect terete, slightly tapered [Figure 56] (Madagascar) affine Ulmer

89. Apex of phallus with an almost circular lobe laterally, with a small dorsal point

Apex with lateral lobe twice as long as high, with an apicoventral point [Figure 203] (Venezuela) sancticaroli, new species

90. Tenth tergum with a single basolateral lobe [Figure 211] (Panama to Argentina) sparsum (Ulmer)

Tenth tergum with two basolateral lobes [Figure 189] (Cuba) . . . poeyi (Banks)

91. Phallus terminating in a simple rounded lobe from which single mesal processes may extend for a short distance

Phallus bearing, somewhere, paired processes or divided for nearly half length into dorsal and ventral lobes ................................................................. 101

92. Tip of phallus with an ovoid dorsomesal opening surrounded by a raised rim

Tip of phallus with a dorsal lobe covering opening, thereby deflecting the opening to a posterior position ................................................................. 100

93. Base of clasper with a lobe bearing a brush of setae [Figure 279] (Venezuela to Surinam) ................................................................. irroratum Flint

Base of clasper lacking distinct lobes ................................................................. 94

94. Basal segment of clasper with a linear patch of stout setae on inner face near apex; tenth tergum with elongate, dorsolateral lobes

Basal clasper segment lacking stout setae; wart a generally low and rounded if protuberant at all ................................................................. 96

95. Tenth tergum with an erect basolateral lobe, and a broadly rounded apical lobe [Figure 264] (Venezuela, Brazil) ................................................................. amazonense Flint

Tenth tergum with 3 elongate lobes, all converging apicad [Figure 294] (Venezuela) ................................................................. neblinense, new species

96. Tenth tergum with a distinct process from posterior ................................................................. 97

Tenth tergum simple, posterior margin curving, possibly ending in a small point ................................................................. 98

97. Tenth tergum with a long, upcurved, pointed, posteroverntal process, wart a large and protuberant, b distinct and slightly developed [Figure 107] (Ghana, Ivory Coast) ................................................................. guineense Gibbs

Tenth tergum with rod-like process from posterior margin, wart a scarcely protuberant, b lacking [Figure 131] (Ghana, Cameroons) ................................................................. occidentale Ulmer

98. Apical clasper segment 1/4 length of basal; lateral lobes of phallus elongate and reflexed slightly [Figure 115] (Zaire) ................................................................. mariieri, new species

Apical clasper segment distinctly less than 1/4 length of basal; lateral lobes of phallus rounded dorsally ................................................................. 99

99. Phallus with phallotremal sclerites borne from near venter; apical segment of maxillary palpus about 1 1/2 times length of basal 4 segments [Figure 139] (Zaire) ................................................................. vanderyati Navás

Phallotremal sclerites above middle of phallus; apical palpal segment as long as
basal 4 segments [Figure 123] (South Africa) 

100. Phallus relatively short and stout, apex with projecting mesal lobes both dorsally and ventrally [Figure 286] (Surinam, Brazil) 

maculatum Mosely

Phallus long and slender, apex with a single middorsal lobe and rounded lateral lobes [Figure 271] (Colombia) 

chocoense, new species

101. Aedeagus divided for nearly half its length into tubular dorsal lobe overlying a more concave ventral lobe [Figure 147] (Ghana, Ivory Coast) 

aberrans, new species

Aedeagus either bearing a series of paired apical processes, or tubular with a pair of dorsal processes subapially

102. Aedeagus dorsally with a pair of short processes subapically [Figure 155] (Cameroons, Zaire) 

alatum Marlier

Aedeagus terminating in several pairs of elongate processes

103. Apex of phallus with some processes directed basad

104. Apical processes of phallus directed apicad

105. Ninth segment with a large posterolateral lobe; with apical clasper segment half length of basal segment [Figure 309] (Venezuela) 

gadzux, new species

Apical region of phallus with 3 sets of processes, some of which are reflexed, no process much more basad than these

106. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe produced as a rod-like process [Figure 173] (Cameroons to Angola) 

normale Banks

Lateral lobe no more than a protuberant knob [Figure 163] (Ivory Coast) 

The cinctum Group

Diagnostic Characters.—Size moderate to very large; forewing 17–28 mm long. Color yellowish brown to brown, forewing often strikingly maculate. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibia of female not broader than in male. Malar space moderately broad, bare. Basal abdominal sternum with a median suture. Male tenth tergum with wart a well developed, warts b and c intimately associated with lateral lobe and generally not recognizable; lateral lobe generally elongate, usually with a basolateral process, lobe or pocket. Basal segment of clasper without stout setulae or basomedian lobe. Phallus simple, without processes; apex rather rounded, inflated, with an upright, bifurcate mesal lobe.

Remarks.—We place seven species in this group: cinctum Ulmer, lacuniferum Flint, lojaense, new species, menkei, new species, piliferum Schmid, pseudocinctum, new species, and pseudostigmosum Flint.

Distribution.—The Andes and the Guayanian Shield of northern and western South America from Venezuela to Bolivia.

Leptonema cinctum Ulmer

Figures 14–19, 785; Map 1

Leptonema cinctum Ulmer, 1905a:64, 65, pl.3: fig. 105. [holotype d, in PAN].—Fischer, 1963:167.—Flint, 1966:5, fig. 2j–m.

Type-Locality.—Balzapamba, Ecuador.

Distribution.—Colombia, Ecuador.


Remarks.—This species was confused with L. pseudocinctum, new species, and L. lojaense, new species by Mosely (1933). The identity of cinctum was established by Flint (1966).

Leptonema cinctum is the smallest of the three aforementioned species with a forewing length of 15–19 mm, and differs in the shape of the apex of the phallus, the presence of a process ventrolaterally on the tenth tergum, and by the long apical segment of the clasper.
Leptonema lacuniferum Flint

Figures 20–24; Map 1


**Type-Locality.**—Area of the end station, Rio Marauia, Brazil.

**Distribution.**—Brazil, Venezuela.


**Remarks.**—This species belongs in the *cinctum* group, and is most closely related to *pseudostigmosum*. It is easily distinguished by the very different tenth tergum, and the shape of the apex of the phallus, especially the erect, subapical, dorsal lobe and the outline of phallus in dorsal aspect.

Leptonema lojaense, new species

Figures 25–31, 786; Map 1

*Leptonema cinctum* Ulmer.—Mosely, 1933:19, 20, frontis., figs. 22–25 [misidentification].

**Male.**—Color basically brown; forewing brown, distinctly maculate with fuscous blotches and streaks (see Mosely, 1933, frontis.). Length of forewing 26–28 mm.

Malar space broad, ½ height of eye. Parafacial area ½ width of malar; postocular area as wide as parafacial, with a row of 6–7 stout setae. Maxillary palpus rather short; fifth segment slightly longer than basal four segments. Process of fifth sternum narrow, elongate, free end considerably raised above surface of sternum.

**Genitalia.**—Tenth tergum with wart *a* large and erect; warts *b* and *c* lacking; lateral lobe elongate with a basolateral ridge; mesal lobe membranous. Clasper with apical segment short, terete, with a pad of short, stout setae mesally; base of clasper unmodified. Phallobase basally angled to axis of stem, ending in an enlarged apex (dorsum broken here in type); apex with an erect, bifid lobe.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Types.**—Holotype (male): “Env. de Loja, Equateur.” Paratype: Marked only Coll. G.A. Poujade 1909, 1♂. In addition to this paratype, there is a female, apparently the same species, with the additional handwritten label Loja, Equateur; undoubtedly both specimens are from Loja. Holotype in MCZ; paratype and female in MNHN.

**Remarks.**—This is the species figured by Mosely (1933) as *cinctum*, most probably the specimen here designated as paratype.

The lack of basolateral process from the tenth tergum, short but terete apical segment of the clasper, and narrow, projecting, finger-like process from the fifth sternum readily distinguish this species from the closely related *cinctum* and *pseudocinctum*.

Leptonema menkei, new species

Figures 32–38; Map 1

**Male.**—Color pale greenish; forewing greenish, nygmata with tufts of fuscous hair. Length of forewing, 17–20 mm. Malar space moderately broad, about ¼ height of eye.
Parafacial and postocular areas about \( \frac{3}{4} \) as wide as malar; postocular with a row of 5–6 stout setae. Maxillary palpi with fifth segment slightly less than half length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum narrow, free end raised above surface of sternum (as in lojaense).

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart \( a \) developed as a series of setae on protuberant bases; wart \( b \) elongate, subapical; lateral lobe produced as a rounded lobe apicodorsally, with a basoventral pocket. Clasper with apical lobe short, with a pad of mesal, short, stout setae, about \( \frac{1}{2} \) as long as basal segment; base of clasper unmodified. Phallus with base slightly angled to axis of stem, apex enlarged, especially posteroventrally; with posteroesmal bifid lobe.

**FEMALE.**—Similar to male. Forewing 21 mm.


**Paratypes:** Same data, 19; same, but El Blanquito, 1350 m, 1–3 Aug 1976, Rosales and Joly, 19. **Edo. Barinas,** San Isidro, 14 km S La Soledad, 25 Sep 1975, R.E. Dietz, 19; same, but 31 May 1975, 19.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in USNM and IZAM.

**Remarks.**—The species is closely related to *Leptonema pseudostigmosum,* and differs in the tenth tergum, claspers and phallus. In *menkei* wart *a* is poorly developed but *b* is distinct and the lateral lobe is distinctly produced dorsolaterally, the apical segment of the clasper is much shorter, and the apex of the phallus is strongly produced apicoventrad.

### Leptonema piliferum Schmid

*Figures* 39–44; *Map 2*

**Leptonema piliferum** Schmid, 1964:318, 319, pl.22: figs. 1–3 [holotype d, in CNC].

**Type-Locality.**—Alto Palmar, Cochabamba, Bolivia.

**Distribution.**—Bolivia.


**Remarks.**—The genitalia show this to be a member of the *cinctum* group, but it is remarkably different from the other described species. Especially noteworthy is the large, rounded, lateral lobe of the tenth tergum that lacks other processes.

### Leptonema pseudocinctum, new species

*Figures* 45–51; *Map 2*

**Male.**—Color basically brown; forewing brown, distinctly maculate with fuscous streaks and blotches (appearing identical to Mosely, 1933, frontis.). Length of forewing 20–23 mm.

Malar space rather broad, \( \frac{3}{4} \) height of eye. Parafacial area half width of malar; postocular area width of parafacial, with an irregular row of 2–4 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment long, only slightly shorter than basal four segments. Process of fifth sternum large, oblong, broader than long.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart \( a \) large, erect, with setae borne from long papillae; warts \( b \) and \( c \) lacking; lateral lobe elongate, with a basolateral ridge; mesal lobe membranous. Clasper with apical segment very short, enlarged apically, with a dense pad of short, stout setae mesally; base of clasper unmodified. Phallobase basally angled obliquely to axis of stem, ending in an enlarged apex; apex with a small, erect, bifurcate process.

**Female.**—Color similar to male, but forewing slightly larger: 20-25 mm.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CMP, INHS, MCZ, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The examples from Peru and Bolivia differ slightly from the northern examples in the shape of the tenth tergum. These show a small point from the basolateral ridge, but it is very much smaller than the larger process in *cinctum.*

This species is closely related to *cinctum* and lojaense. From both species it is easily recognized by the very short, enlarged apical segment of the clasper. From *cinctum,* it differs in addition by the lack of the long basolateral process from the lateral plate of the tenth tergum and in the shape of the apex of phallus.

### Leptonema pseudostigmosum Flint

*Figures* 52–55; *Map 2*

**Leptonema pseudostigmosum** Flint, 1981:20, 21, figs. 77–80 [holotype d, in MCZ].

**Type-Locality.**—Rancho Grande, Aragua, Venezuela.

**Distribution.**—Venezuela.

**Material Examined.**—VENEZUELA, Edo. Aragua, Rancho Grande, Aug 1943, Rene Lichy, holotype ♂; Carretera Maracay–Choroni, 900 m, 20 Apr 1972, Fernandez and Teran, paratype ♂; same, but 17 Jun 1975, R.E. Dietz, paratype ♂. Material in MCZ and IZAM.

**Remarks.**—This is another species of the *cinctum* group as is shown by the general structure of the tenth tergum and phallus. The very long lateral lobes of the tenth tergum with their small basolateral pocket are distinctive.

**The affine Group**

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Medium sized species with brownish, generally irrorate wings; forewing length 11–18 mm. Malar space broad, generally about half height of eye, with numerous short setae along ventral margin; postocular row of stout setae well developed. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Midtibia of female not or scarcely broader than in male. Basal abdominal sternum without a median suture. Fifth sternal process oval, generally very small. Male tenth tergum with wart *a* present and sometimes bearing additional scattered setiferous tubercles, wart *b* lacking; lateral lobe well developed, rather broad and truncate apically; median lobe very large and sclerotized, longer than lateral lobe. Basal segment of clasper unmodified; apical segment with an apical group of peg-like setae. Phallus with a simple, bulbous apex with projecting, dorsolateral lobes and a rimmed opening.
Remarks.—This is a very tightly knit group of species. It is quite possible that further study of long series from numerous localities will result in synonymy of some of the species here recognized. We treat six species as distinct: *affine* Ulmer, *conicum*, new species, *madagascariense* Ulmer, *milae* Sykora, *nupharum*, new species, and *zahradniki* Sykora.

Distribution.—The group is limited to the island of Madagascar.

**Leptonema affine** Ulmer

*Figures* 56-63, 96-98, 787; *Map* 3


Type-localities.—Of *affine*: Cote Ouest, Madagascar.

Of *displicens*: Perinet, Madagascar.

Distribution.—Madagascar.


Remarks.—This is a strongly marked species, although most of the coloration is easily lost in alcohol or with handling (Figure 787). In the male genitalia the tenth
tergum always bears a small angle between wart A and the lateral lobe, and the lateral lobe is well separated from the median lobe. The apical segment of the clasper is short, and rectanguloid apically in lateral aspect. The central opening at the tip of the phallus in posterior aspect is only about a third the width of the phallus and is produced to a slight degree middorsally.

**Leptonema conicum, new species**

**Figures 64-71, 99, 100; Map 4**

**Male.**—Mottled yellowish brown above, legs and venter clear yellow; forewing yellow, abundantly spotted with brownish fumose spots (much as in Figure 787), brown pterostigmal spot present but much encroached upon and irrorated with yellow. Length of forewing 13.5 mm.

Malar space almost half as wide as height of eye, with a band of short hairs ventrally. Parafacial area about \( \frac{1}{4} \) width of malar, with short hairs anteriad. Postocular area same width as parafacial, with 5 stout setae. Fifth segment of maxillary palpus distinctly shorter than basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum very small.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with an angulate projection between wart A and lateral lobe; lateral lobe well separated from median lobe; median lobe longer than lateral lobe, apex broadly rounded. Basal segment of clasper stocky, almost as thick at ends as in middle; apical segment strongly

---

tapered from base to apex in both lateral and ventral aspects. Phallus with a slender stem that gradually widens to expanded apical portion; apex with rounded and slightly projecting dorsolateral lobes, apical opening simple, rather small.

**FEMALE.**—Size, color, and general habitus as in male; tarsus of midleg slightly broader; processes of fifth sternum smaller.

**Genitalia:** Clasper receptacle rather egg-shaped; caudal margin of the flange that overlies the anterior margin of the receptacle, step-shaped in outline; with a strong molar-like ridge arising ventromesad of clasper receptacle.


Holotype in MNHN; paratypes in CNC, INHS, MNHN, and USNM.

**REMARKS.**—This species is very close to *affine*. It seems to differ in having a shorter, broader basal clasper segment, and especially in the conically shaped apical clasper segment. The phallus does not appear to offer any consistently different shape in the two species.

---

**Leptonema madagascariense Ulmer**

**Figures 72–79; Map 5**


**TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Fort Dauphin, Madagascar.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Madagascar.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.**—MADAGASCAR, [Prov. Fort Dauphin], Fort Dauphin, sikora, 3♂ holotype. Holotype in NMW.

**REMARKS.**—The holotype of this species has been examined, and we find nothing else like it in our Madagascan material.

This is a small (forewing length 12 mm), uniformly dark species. Mosely’s figures of the dorsal and lateral views of the genitalia are very accurate and are reproduced here. The species appears to be most closely related to *nupharum*, as is shown by the similar, somewhat flattened, apical segment of the clasper, and the general shape of the apex of the phallus. However, they are easily distinguished by the broadly confluent lateral lobes of the tenth tergum, proportionately longer apical segment of the clasper, broad basal segment of the clasper with a shorter basal attachment area, and details of the tip of the phallus. The lobes of the fifth sternum are larger in *madagascariense* than in most of the species, but a bit smaller than in *nupharum*.
Leptonema milae Sykora

FIGURES 80-87; MAP 5


TYPE-LOCALITY.—Madagascar.

DIAGNOSTIC CHARACTERS.—This is a large species with forewings yellow, with brown spots. It has a more distinct wart on the tenth tergum than the other species. The most distinctive characteristic is the greatly prolonged dorsal margin of the opening at the tip of the phallus.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum lacking any angle between wart and lateral lobe; lateral lobe not strongly upturned in lateral aspect, in dorsal long, free of median lobe; median lobe barely longer than lateral, tip pointed in lateral aspect. Clasper with basal segment slightly constricted and bent mesad in middle, apex truncate. Phallus with stem narrow, enlarged apicad; apex rounded with low, rounded, dorsolateral lobe, posterior opening very broad with a midventral strap-like process protruding from opening.

FEMALE.—Size and color similar to male; length of forewing 14 mm. Tarsus of midleg scarcely broader than in male. Process of fifth sternum much smaller and narrower than in male.

Genitalia: Dorsal cap haired at apex. Clasper receptacle oval in outline, rather deeply invaginated with entrance smaller than receptacle; with two flanges arising from margin and overhanging entrance: one on anterolateral margin larger, rather pointed, one on posterior margin smaller, gently curved; between these two flanges, a small, nipple-like process anteriorly also projecting into entrance of receptacle.


Holotype and paratype in USNM.

REMARKS.—This is a member of the affinis group with (apparently) weakly irrorate wings. It is the only species in the group with large processes on the fifth sternum and also shows a process projecting from the ventral margin of the genital aperture of the phallus. It also differs slightly from the other species in the shape of the tenth tergum, shape of the apical clasper segment, and size of the phallic opening.

Leptonema zahradniki Sykora

FIGURES 103-106


TYPE-LOCALITY.—Madagascar.

REMARKS.—We know this species only from Sykora’s description and figures. In no other species do the warts and lobes project as four distinct processes so strongly from the side of the tenth tergum. The apical segment of the clasper is nearly 40% as long as the basal segment, twice as long as in any other species.

The occidentale Group

DIAGNOSTIC CHARACTERS.—Size rather small to medium; forewing length 9-13 mm. Color generally dark, apparently not strongly marked. Malar space generally broad, from over ¼ to ½ height of eye; sparsely hairy. Fourth segment of maxillary palpi as long as or longer than third; fifth segment longer than basal four segments combined. Tibial spurs 1, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader than in male. Basal abdominal sternum without a median suture. Fifth sternal process generally long, narrow, and set obliquely, rarely (occidentale) small, rounded. Male tenth tergum with wart c well developed, a usually represented by scattered, setiferous tubercles, b lacking; lateral lobe simple, blade-like. Clasper with basal segment unmodified. Phallus with a simple, bulbous apex with projecting, dorsolateral lobes and a rimmed dorsal opening.

REMARKS.—This is a very homogeneous group of 5 species that offer, in general, only slight differences in genital structure. It contains guineense Gibbs, marlieri, new species, natalense Mosely, occidentale Ulmer, and vanderstyi Navás.
Distribution.—The group is limited to Africa south of the Sahara.

**Leptonema guineense** Gibbs


**Leptonema bihoumi** Statzner and Gibon, 1984:131, 135, 136, fig. 6 [holotype d, in ZSM; new synonymy].

**Type-Locality.**—Atewa Range, Ghana.

**Distribution.**—Ghana, Ivory Coast.

**Material Examined.**—GHANA, Atewa Range, 6 Oct 1967, D. Leston, 1♂, 1♀ paratypes. [IVORY COAST], waterfalls at Man, 10 Aug 1982, 1♂ paratype of *bihoumi*. Material in BMNH and ZIK.

**Remarks.**—We have studied a paratype of *L. bihoumi* and find it agrees in most details with the figures of *guineense*. The only notable difference is in the proportionate length of the apical clasper segment. In *guineense* it is approximately ½ of the basal segment (Figure 108), but in *bihoumi* it is almost ¼ as long (Figure 109). However, lacking apparent differences in other parts of the genitalia, this is considered to be most probably a populational difference.

The species is clearly a member of the *occidentale* group, and closest to *natalense* as is shown by the elongate lateral lobes of the tenth tergum and long oblique lobes of the fifth sternum. From the latter it differs in the shape of all lobes of the tenth tergum and apex of the phallus.

**Leptonema marlieri**, new species

**Figures** 115–122; **Map 3**

**Leptonema natalense** Mosely.—Marlier. 1961:202-207, fig. 23a-d; 1962:138, fig. 77 (misidentification).

**Male.**—Color in alcohol, brown; head, thorax, and abdomen very dark brown, abdomen and legs, and setiferous warts of head and thorax, pale yellowish; wings hairy, almost uniformly brown, with an indication of a darker color anteriad and posteriad. Length of forewing 13 mm.

Malar space wide, almost ½ height of eye, bare. Parafacial area almost ¼ width of malar, with short setae. Postocular area as wide as malar, with many short setae, no enlarged setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment slightly longer than basal four segments combined. Foretibia with single apical spur. Process of fifth sternum elongate, narrowly ovoid, set obliquely.

**Genitalia.**—Tenth tergum with wart c well developed, elongate, protuberant; lateral lobe broad, tip slightly upturned. Clasper with basal segment lacking processes; apical segment slender, slightly more than ¼ length of basal segment, with spinous setae entire length of inner margin.

**Phallus.**—With basal section long, slender, at an obtuse angle to stem; apex separated from stem by a membranous region, with large dorsolateral lobes, slightly reflexed and acutely pointed, dorsal opening with a low rim, and with phallotremal complex near dorsal margin.

**Female.**—Length of forewing 12 mm. Coloration as in male.

**Types.**—Holotype (male): ZAIRE, [Prov. Kivu], Terr. Uvira, Kahololu River, [upper Ulindi, 03°12'S, 28°51'E, see Marlier 1961:203], 2750 m, 26 Jan 1960 [N. Leleup].

Paratypes: Same data, 7♂, 1♀, (a pair of wings on a slide); Haute Kambeuku River, 2450 m, 20-23 Jun 1955, N. Leleup, 27♂, 29 (pair of wings, 1♂ abdomen, and 1♀ abdomen on slides); Riv. Ulindi, 28 Jan 1960, N. Leleup, 1♂; same, but, 2700 m, 25 Jan 1960, 1♂, 5♀; Riv. Bisanje, route Kahololu, km 22, 24 Jan 1960, 1♂, 1♀ metamorphotype; Musondjo River, Masanga, 31 Oct 1943, 2♂ (1♂ abdomen and pair of wings on slide); Riv. Mugono/Mihomba, 28 Jan 1960, 3♂.

Holotype in MRAC; paratypes in MRAC and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The species is a member of the *natalense* complex, with specific differences to be seen in the apex of the phallus and the apical segment of the clasper. The comparatively long and slender apical clasper segment is unique to *marlieri*, whereas it is much shorter and with the inner margin more or less angulate in *vanderysti* and *natalense*. The pointed and slightly reflexed dorsolateral lobes at the phallus apex are also distinctive, with the other two species having rounded lobes. The phallotremal sclerites are near the dorsum of the phallus in *marlieri* and *natalense*, but near the ventral margin in *vanderysti*.

**Leptonema natalense** Mosely

**Figures** 123–130; **Map 4**


**Type-Locality.**—Kloof, Natal [Republic of South Africa].

**Distribution.**—Republic of South Africa (Natal, Transvaal).

**Material Examined.**—[REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA], Natal, Kloof, 1500 ft, Sep 1926, R.E. Turner, 1♂ holotype; Pietermaritzburg, 2 Nov 1954, B.R. Stucken- berg, 1♂; same, but 27 Mar 1955, 1♂; Komba Stream, 30 Aug 1973, F.M. Chutter, 1♂ reared from larva, 1 larva. Transvaal, Lowveld, Gladdespruit, no date, 2♂.

Holotype in BMNH; material in AMG and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The males from Natal are all in perfect agreement in genital structure. The examples from Transvaal differ slightly in not having the mesal margin of the
apical clasper segment so strongly produced, but the apex of the phallus is virtually identical in the two series. We consider these as all being the same species.

The species is very close to *vanderysti*, but is to be recognized by the phallotremal sclerites being positioned dorsad, just beneath the dorsal opening rather than at the venter of the phallus as in *vanderysti*. The Natalese material is further differentiated by having the mesal margin of the apical clasper segment strongly angulate.

**Leptonema occidentale Ulmer**

*Figures 131–138; Map 4*


**TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Cameroon.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Cameroon, Ghana.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.**—CAMEROON, Rutherford, 1♂ syntype. GHANA, Atewa Range, 6 Oct 1967, D. Leston, 1♂. [Illegible label], 1♂ ex McLachlan Collection. Material in BMNH, CNC, and INHS.

**REMARKS.**—The Marlier (1961) and Jacquemart and Statzner (1981) records of this species are based on misidentifications, and are treated under *vanderysti*. This species is related to both *natalense* and *guineense*, but not as closely as they are to each other. The veins R₁ and Sc in the forewing are connected by a short crossvein, but then run free to the wing margin, a characteristic apparently unique to this species. The shape of the tenth tergum, claspers and to a lesser degree the apex of the phallus in the male genitalia offers distinctive characteristics in this species.

**Leptonema vanderysti Navás**

*Figures 139–146; Map 4*

*Leptonema vanderysti* Navás, 1930:330, 331, fig. 45 [holotype 9, in MRAC].—Scott, 1983:398.—Fischer, 1963:171 [as synonym of *occidentale*].


**TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Kisantu [Zaire].

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Zaire.


**REMARKS.**—The abdomen of the female holotype has been cleared and unquestionably belongs to a species close to *natalense*, but differs slightly from the females of either *marlieri* or *natalense*. The genitalia of the specimen from Kundelungu agrees in detail with that of the holotype. The male from Bunyakiri agrees in color and size with these females and is also very close to *natalense*. We believe that these examples represent the two sexes of this one species.

The male recorded by Marlier (1961) from Burundi and the partial basis (the 3♂ can not be found, Andre in litt.) for his record of *occidentale* from that country has been studied. The parts are on 3 slides and the genitalia badly distorted, but are clearly not *occidentale*. They appear to be the same as those of the male here described, but lack enough detail to absolutely rule out *natalense*. The larvae and pupae described by Jacquemart and Statzner (1981) were taken from the same river system as Marlier’s male from Bunyakiri, but at a higher elevation (2110 m) than at Bunyakiri (1150 m). Although their true identity can not be settled on the basis of current knowledge, it seems more probable that they refer to the male here considered *vanderysti* than to *occidentale*.

The adults differ from *occidentale* in venation and the male also in its genitalia. The male genitalia are more similar to those of *natalense* with which it shares the rounded apicolateral lobes of the phallus. It differs in two manners: the apical segment of the clasper is not strongly broadened basally, and the phallotremal sclerites at the apex of the phallus are placed near the ventral surface of the phallus at the bottom of the central opening.

**The normale Group**

**DIAGNOSTIC CHARACTERS.**—Size medium; forewing length 10–12 mm. Color pale, generally yellowish, unmarked. Malar space narrow, less than ⅓ height of eye, bare; postocular area without enlarged setae. Fourth segment of maxillary palpi larger than third; fifth segment generally shorter than basal four combined, in *aberrans* slightly longer. Tibial spurs 1, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader than in male. Basal abdominal sternum without a median suture. Processes of fifth abdominal sternum small, ovoid. Tenth tergum with wart c well developed (lacking in *alatum*), warts a and b lacking; lateral lobe elongate, slender apicad. Clasper with basal segment unmodified. Phallus with apex complex, bearing processes and lobes, of indeterminable homology.

**REMARKS.**—This is a rather heterogeneous group of four or five species. The species *normale* Banks, *latipenne* Marlier and *machadoi* Marlier are very similar genitalically, with *alatum* Marlier and *aberrans*, new species, each equally distinct from all.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—The group is limited to Africa south of the Sahara, apparently in the Equatorial region.
**Leptonema aberrans**, new species

*Figures 147–154; Map 6*


Malar space narrow, about 1/4 height of eye; bare. Parafacial area about 1/4 width of malar, with a few short setae. Postocular area 1 1/2 times as wide as malar, with a few short setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment longer than basal 4 segments combined. Foretibia with a single apical spur. Process of fifth sternum small, oval.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart c well developed, protuberant; lateral lobe long, triangular, pointed apicad in lateral aspect; in dorsal, long, thin with tip slightly hooked laterad. Clasper short, broad; apical segment almost a third as long as basal, with spinous setae at tip; basal segment unmodified basally. Phallus with base enlarged, bulbous, then sharply constricted, apical portion long, slender, divided near base into a pair of overlapping processes: ventral process slightly curved apicad, ending in a pair of upturned spines; dorsal process generally tightly appressed to dorsum of ventral process, membranous apicad, ending in a hooked, upturned sclerite, with ejaculatory duct internally.

**FEMALE.**—Unknown.


Paratypes: Same, but 13 Nov 1966, 2♂. [IVORY COAST], waterfalls at Man, 10 Aug 1982, 2♂.

Holotype in BMNH; paratypes in BMNH, USNM, and ZIK.

**REMARKS.**—Although the structure of the ninth and tenth segments and claspers of the male genitalia is typical of species in the *normale* group, the deep division of the phallus into two, long, overlaying lobes with fairly simple apices is totally unlike anything elsewhere in the genus. Perhaps the division of the phallus is foreshadowed by the deep divisions and processes of the phallus in the *normale* subgroup, but the phallotheca in these is perfectly typical.

---

**Leptonema alatum Marlier**

*Figures 155–162; Map 3*


**Type-Locality.**—Kitutu, Maniema, Kivu, Zaire.

**Material Examined.**—Cameroons, Zaire.

**Remarks.**—The general structure of the male genitalia show this to be a species of the *normale* group. From the other known species it differs in the very long, slender claspers and especially in the structure of the phallus. The dorsum of the phallus for the apical third is membranous, and bears a pair of asymmetrical, sclerotized spines and the tip is upturned and tubular with a projecting, central, ejaculatory duct.

**Leptonema latipenne Marlier**

*Figures 163–172; Map 6*


**Type-Locality.**—Le Banco, Ivory Coast.

**Distribution.**—Ivory Coast.

**Material Examined.**—Ivory Coast, Reserve du Banco, 8 Jul [1945], R. Paulian and C. Delamare, 1♂, 2♀; same, but 25 Jul [1945], a la lumiere, 1♂, 1♀ syntypes. 17 km NW Abidjan, 12 Nov 1979, J.A. Gruwell, 1♂, 2♀. SYntypes in MNHN; material in MNHN and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The abdomen of the topotypic male from le Banco (Figures 163–170) was cleared and compared to that of the male from near Abidjan (Figures 171, 172). There are differences in most processes at the tip of the phallus between these examples. However, the overall structure is so similar in both that we believe these represent primarily populational differences, but are also partly due to the degree to which the membrane of the middorsal lobe is expanded. The Abidjan male agrees perfectly with the figures in Marlier and Botosaneanu, 1968.

The male genitalia show few differences from those of *normale* to which it is closely related. The tenth tergum in *latipenne* lacks the elongate lateral process, and the processes at the apex of the phallus, although identical in general, differ greatly in shape and length.

**Leptonema machadoi Marlier**

*Map 6*


**Type-Locality.**—Rives du Lác Calundo, Zambesi Basin, Angola.

**Distribution.**—Angola.

**Remarks.**—We have been unable to study the type of this species, and the original description, lacking figures of the genitalia, does not permit us to identify it with certainty. The description of the genitalia, size, and color are consistent with these structures in *normale*. If the type of *machadoi* can be found, it may show these species to be synonymous.

**Leptonema normale Banks**

*Figures 173–180; Map 6*


**Type-Locality.**—Ja[Da] River, Cameroons.

**Distribution.**—Angola, Cameroons, Zaire.

**Material Examined.**—Angola. Dundo, 21 Sep 1949, B. Malkin, 1♂, 1♀. CAMEROONS, Ja River, Bitze, 1♂ holotype. ZAIRE, Dima, 15 Jan 1906, A. Koller, 1♀. Dist. Kwango, Terr. Feshi, Riv Kwenge, 850m, Feb 1959, N. Leleup, 2♀. Holotype in MCZ; material INHS and MRAC.

**Remarks.**—The species is very close to *latipenne*, but easily distinguished by the lateral lobes of the tenth tergum and the comparative lengths and shapes of the processes at the apex of the phallus.

The *sparsum* Group

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Size relatively small; forewing 8–15 mm long. Color brown; forewing light brown, transversely banded, subcosta usually with a dark spot at humeral crossvein, costal cell with conspicuous silvery macrotrichiae, silvery band continuous on pronotum and head laterally. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Midlegs of female with tibiae and tarsi flattened and much broadened. Malar space broad to narrow, bare. Basal abdominal sternum without or with a weak median suture. Male tenth tergum with wart *a* (doubled in *poeyi*), *c* and *b* apparently lacking; lateral lobe long, slender, and pointed. Basal segment of clasper usually with apicomesal patch of stout setae, lacking basomesal lobe. Phallus without processes, apex inflated, with a dorsal opening subtended by a small pointed flap.

**Remarks.**—Five species, which we place in two subgroups belong in this group. The *sparsum* subgroup contains *poeyi* (Banks), *sancticaroli*, new species, and *sparsum* Ulmer and has a normal forewing venation, i.e., *M*₄₊₄ is branched at crossvein *m*. In the subgroup containing *aspersum* (Ulmer) and *rostratum*, new species, this vein is branched halfway to the wing margin. However, the structure of the two subgroups and their common, and rather unusual, coloration clearly place them in a close relationship.
DISTRIBUTION.—*Leptonema poeyi* is limited to Cuba, but the other species are widely distributed from southern Central America to northern Argentina. They are commonly taken in proximity to large rivers and streams.

*Leptonema aspersum* (Ulmer), new combination

FIGURES 181–188, 788; MAP 7

*Neoleptonema aspersum* Ulmer, 1907b:61, fig. 65 [holotype $ in NMW].—Fischer, 1963:175.—Flint, 1974:103, fig. 233, pl. 10 [Suriname].—1978:386, fig. 65 [Venezuela].

TYPE-LOCALITY.—Santa Rita, Brazil.

DISTRIBUTION.—Brazil, Guyana, Suriname, Venezuela.


MAP 7.—Distributions of *Leptonema aspersum* (Ulmer) and *L. rostratum*, new species.
Dec 1984, R.L. Brown, 1♀. Material in AMNH, BMNH, CUI, INPA, IZAM, and USNM.

Remarks.—This and rostratum are indistinguishable in coloration, but differ remarkably in the male genitalia especially in the proportional lengths of the clasper segments. As a consequence it is not possible to assign females, without associated males, with any degree of security to either species. To compound the difficulty, the holotype of aspersum is a female from Santa Rita, Brazil, an area from which we have no other material. Because the only males figured as aspersum are this species, we apply the names in this manner.

**Leptonema poeyi** (Banks)

*Figures 189–194; Map 8*


**Type-locality.**—Coast below Pico Turquino, Cuba.

**Material Examined.**—CUBA, coast below Pico Turquino, 26-30 Jun 1936, Darlington, lectotype ♂, 13 ♀ paralectotypes; same, but south side Pico Turquino, 3000–5000 ft., Jun 1936, 1♀. Material in MCZ.

**Remarks.**—This is the only species of the genus known for certainty from the Greater Antilles, although larvae of an unknown species have been taken in Puerto Rico.

The species is related to sparsum from South America and Panama. It is easily recognized by the tenth tergum, which bears two distinct warts in poeyi but only one in sparsum.

**Leptonema rostratum, new species**

*Figures 195–202; Map 7*

*Neoleptonema aspersum* Ulmer.—Flint, 1972:235 [misidentification, Argentina]: 1978:386 [misidentification of material from Argentina and Brazil].

**Male.**—Color golden brown; forewing brown, transversely irrorate, with a dark subbasal spot on subcosta, anterior margin with a band silvery hair strongest basally and continuous on pronotum and head laterally; venation with M₃₄ stalked in forewing. Length of forewing 10–15 mm.

Malar space broad, almost ½ height of eye, bare. Parafacial area as broad as malar, with short hairs anteriorly; postocular area half as wide as malar, with a row of 1–3 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment ½ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum small, almost circular.

**Genitalia.**—Tenth tergum with wart a large, protuberant; lateral lobe long, slender, pointed. Clasper with apical segment long, ⅔ as long as basal segment; basal segment broad, basal extension reduced. Phallus with base at right angles to, and hardly broader than, base of stem; apex enlarged, especially produced dorsad, dorsal opening with apex produced, snout-like.

**Female.**—Color as in male; smaller in size: forewing 7–13 mm. Middle leg with femur, tibia and tarsus very thin and broad.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CMP, CNC, CUI, FHCU, IML, INHS, INPA, MCZ, UNLP, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—This species agrees with aspersum in size and appearance, yet has a grossly different proportion to the clasper segments and lesser differences in the apex of the phallus. Although the two species ranges overlap greatly, rostratum seems to be the dominant in the south and aspersum in the north.

**Leptonema sancticaroli, new species**

*Figures 203–210; Map 8*

**Male.**—Color pale brown; forewing brown, transversely irrorate with bands and spots of curly, brown and silvery hair, which are present also on head and thorax dorsally, with a dark spot basally on subcosta. Length of forewing, 8–8.5 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅛ height of eye, bare. Parafacial area about ⅛ width of malar; postocular area ⅛ width
of malar, with a row of 2-5 stout setae and scattered small hairs. Maxillary palpus small, fifth segment less than half length of basal 4 segments combined. Basal abdominal segment with a short, indistinct, mesal suture. Processes of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a large, protuberant, elongate to distinctly bilobate, dorsally with a small setiferous spot; lateral lobe ending in a dorsal point, directed laterad as a rounded lobe in dorsal aspect. Clasper with apical segment about ¼ as long as basal segment, with inner
face densely packed with short, black setae; basal segment with a series of large, spinous setae subapically on inner face. Phallus with base long, enlarged, at right angles to stem; apex produced into elongate lateral lobes, united dorsally and ending in a small upturned point, narrowly divided ventrally, with an internal complex of sclerites.

**Female.**—Similar to male in size and color.


**Paratypes:** Same data, 2♂, 2♀ (blacklight); same, but 7 km E San Carlos de Rio Negro, 10–12 Mar 1984, 1♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IZAM and USNM.

**Remarks.**—This species is identical to *sparsum* in appearance and was taken together with it at the type-locality. It is only to be distinguished by the male genitalia, especially the very elongate apex of the phallus, which lacks a dorsal opening.

*Leptonema sparsum* (Ulmer)

**Figures** 211–218, 789; **Map** 8

**Macronema (Leptonema?) sparsum** Ulmer, 1905a:76, pl. 11: fig. 64 [holotype in ZIUH]

*Leptonema* (Ulmer).—Fischer, 1963:172.–Flint, 1974:98, fig. 218, pl. 1a (Suriname); 1978:384, 399, fig. 54 [Paraguay, Peru, Venezuela].

**Type- Locality.**—Brazil [collectors Beske worked around Nova Friburgo, Edo. Rio de Janeiro].

**Distribution.**—Argentina, Brazil, Ecuador, Guyana, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Venezuela.


**Edo. Amazonas,** 60 km N Manaus, 22 Nov 1976, N.D. Penny, 1♂, 2♀; Reserva Dukde, 26 km E Manaus, 1–5 Feb 1979, O.S. Flint, Jr., 3♂, 3♀; same, but 24 Nov 1976, N.D. Penny, 2♀; same, but 18–22 Apr 1972, E.G., 1♀, and E.A. Munroe, 7♂, 22♀. Flores, near Manaus, 31 Jan 1979, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♀; same, but 9–19 Nov, Parish, 1♂, 1♀; same, but Igarape Tarumanzinho, near Manaus, 27 Jan 1975, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♂; AM 10 km 220, 48 km W Itacoatiara, 30 Jan 1979, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♀; Rio Negro, Apr 1929, 1♀. Edo. Mato Grosso, Chapada, H. Smith, 1♂; Buriti, Chapada do Guimarães, 1200 m, 13–30 Jan 1972, E.G., 1♀, and E.A. Munroe, 3♀.


**REMARKS.**—This distinctive little species is widespread over South America and southern Central America. The male genitalia show many small differences in all their parts between examples from different locations, but the differences seem to be connected by intermediates, seem to be associated independently and not to show any coherent distributional pattern. Thus, we still believe only a single species is represented.
It is clearly, and rather closely, related to poeyi, with which it seems to be identical in coloration. It is easily separated by the shape of the tenth tergum, poeyi having two conspicuous warts and sparsum only one.

The crassum Group

Diagnostic Characteristics.—Size moderately large; forewing length 15–28 mm. Color pale green or brown; forewing pale green, or brown with infuscations over chord and along apical margin, often with 2 dark spots near base. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibiae and tarsi of females generally flattened and broadened. Malar space very broad and hairy; head and thorax hairy. Basal abdominal sternum and along apical margin, often with 2 dark spots near base. New species, guayanense, hirsutum varicatum, forewing length 15–28 mm. Color pale green or brown; evident. The other subgroup contains di- diorrh aspect and wart of the tenth tergum is scarcely green and immaculate in life, and the dorsal opening of the dorsal opening. Enlarged, generally rounding into stem; apex enlarged, either lacking or developed into an erect, rectangu- loid lobe. Basal segment of clasper with a definite subapical wart without a median suture. Male tenth tergum with wart a, Leptonema cellare Navás, 1927:41 [lectotype 9, in DEI].—Flint, 1978:385 [synonymy].

Leptonema columbianum Ulmer

Figures 219–225; Map 9


Leptonema crassum Banks, 1913:87 [holotype 9, in MCZ].—Moely, 1933:13 [synonymy].


Leptonema silvestrinum Navás, 1934a:168, 169, fig. 44 [holotype ♂, in DEI] [new synonym].

Type-Localities.—Of columbianum, Colombia. Of externum, camp 41, 360 km from Porto Velho, Brazil. Of cellare, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Of silvestrinum, Corumba, Mato Grosso, Brazil.

Distribution.—Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Guyana, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Venezuela.


**Remarks.**—This species ranges widely throughout South America, except in the central Andean area of western South America where it seems to be replaced by its sister species, *mandibulatum*, new species. *Leptonema columbianum* is smaller on the average, and is pale green in life. The only distinct genitalic difference we can find is in the tenth tergum, which ends in a sharp point in *columbianum*, but is distinctly bilobed in *mandibulatum*.

**Leptonema crassum Ulmer**

**Figures** 226–233; **Map** 10


Leptonema grisolinum Navás, 1933a:312, 313, fig. 23 [holotype δ, in MNHN]. [new synonymy].

TYPE LOCALITIES.—Of crassum, Espírito Santo, Brazil. Of radiale, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Of grisolinum, Cauca, Edo. Miranda, Venezuela.

DISTRIBUTION.—Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Venezuela.


REMARKS.—This species is very close to divaricatum, with which it is easily confused. The only distinctive difference appears to be in the tip of the phallus, which is discussed under divaricatum. In addition the species appears to be paler in color, more a yellow brown, rather than the more grayish brown of divaricatum, though some examples of the latter are as pale as the former.

The shape of the tip of the ejaculatory duct divides this species into two apparent populations. The one to the north (Colombia, Venezuela, and middle America) has it suddenly widened below the apex, while the southern population (Brazil, Peru, and south) has it suddenly widened below the tip. However the lateral aspect remains virtually identical in both forms.

Leptonema divaricatum, new species

FIGURES 234–242; MAP 10


MALE.—Color brown, often with grayish overtone, or a more yellowish tone; forewing brown with two dark basal spots, one on costa other subbasally on subcosta, chord with
a wavy darker band, apex infuscate. Length of forewing, 16-19 mm.

Malar space very broad, about ½ as wide as height of eye, densely hairy. Parafacial and postocular areas very broad below, narrow above, hairy; with a row of 3–5 stout setae in postocular area almost hidden in hairs. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment less than half as long as basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum narrow, oblique.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a prominent, wart b elongate, dorsal in position; lateral plate produced into a dorsally directed point, in dorsal aspect generally appearing caliper-like, but occasionally sharply divergent. Clasper with basal segment less than 3 times as long as apical, with a large group of stout spines in mesal face subapically; without basomesal lobe. Phallus base broad, rounding into stem; apex enlarged ventrad, with a low dorsal lobe open dorsally, with ventral process of ejaculatory duct long, curved at base only, tip broad and truncate.

FEMALE.—Generally similar in size and color, but frequently a bit darker.

TYPES.—Holotype (male): ECUADOR, Pcia. Pichincha, 29 km W Santo Domingo de los Colorados, 6 May 1975, Spangler et al.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, INHS, IZAM, MCZ, and USNM.

REMARKS.—In addition to the material listed above, there is a single male from Costa Rica, Guápiles, May, Wm. Schaus, with cleared genitalia that is this species. However, considering the known range of the species, we are concerned that possibly the specimen might be mislabelled or have the genitalia exchanged with some other specimen. The known range of the species is the Andean Ranges from northern Venezuela through Ecuador. Leptonema crassum appears to be a more lowland species, although it overlaps divaricatum in the foothills where the two coexist.

The species has been commonly confused with crassum to which it bears a great resemblance. It is only distinguishable with certainty by the structure of the ventral process of the ejaculatory duct at the tip of the phallus: in crassum this extends in lateral aspect more directly posteriad, is angulate near midlength, and the tip is pointed; in divaricatum this process is strongly curved basally, then extends at an even, slight curve to the apex, which is broad and truncate. There is considerable variation in the shape of the tenth tergum in both species in terms of the shapes and placements of the lobes, with both showing almost the same variations.

**Leptonema guayanense**, new species

**Figures 243–250; Map 10**

MALE.—Color golden brown; forewing with a dark spot subbasally on subcosta and another tuft of dark hair basally on axillary sclerite, posteroapical margin with an infuscate band. Length of forewing 15–21 mm.

Malar space very broad, more than ½ as high as eye, very hairy. Parafacial area lacking; postocular area as broad below as malar, lacking above, densely hairy with 2–3 enlarged setae near eye. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment longer than basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum long, narrow, very obliquely placed, with anterior margin reflexed over opening.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a small, rounded, wart b erect, basomesal in position; lateral lobe narrow, pointed, caliper-like in dorsal aspect. Clasper with basal segment about ½ times as long as apical, with a cluster of mesal spines about third of length from apex; without basal lobe. Phallus with base broad, rounding into stem; apex...
enlarged ventrad, with rounded lateral lobes, with a small apicodorsal opening, ventromesal margin of which is produced into a tongue-like lobe, ventral process of ejaculatory duct very long, apex pointed, either folded internally, or exserted from opening.

FEMALE.—Smaller in size, wings darker brown; forewing 14–15 mm in length.


Paratypes: Same, but 2–8 Feb 1967, 9♂, 8♀; Roraima, 3000 ft., 15 Sep 1974, B.V. Ridout, 2♀; Auyántepui, Río Chirur, 24 Aug 1974, B.V. Ridout, 1♂; Camarata, 3000 ft., 6 Aug 1974, B.V. Ridout, 1♂.

Holotype in IZAM; paratypes in BMNH, IZAM, and USNM.

Remarks.—This species is another member of the crassum group that is restricted to the area of the Guayana Shield. It is probably most closely related to hirsutum with which it coexists. There are distinct differences in the structure of the tenth tergum and claspers, but the most distinctive differences are in the shape and structure of the apex of the phallus especially the ventral process of the ejaculatory duct.

**Leptonema hirsutum Flint**

**(Figures 251–256; Map 9)**

**Leptonema hirsutum** Flint, 1974:102, figs. 228–232 [holotype ♂, in RHN].

**Type-Locality.**—Tapahahoni River, Granholo Pocketi, Suriname.

**Distribution.**—Guyana, Suriname, Venezuela.


**Remarks.**—*Leptonema hirsutum* is closely related to *crassum*, but is distinguished by the more slender claspers with a setal patch borne nearly at midlength and the large ventral lobe at the apex of the phallus.

**Leptonema lunatum, new species**

**(Figures 776–784; Map 9)**

**Male.**—Color light brown; forewing lacking basal spots, with indication of infuscation along chord. Length of forewing 24–28 mm.

Malar space broad, almost ½ as high as eye. Parafacial area almost as broad as malar; postocular area as broad below as malar, very narrow above, with 5–8 enlarged setae near eye. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment slightly more than ⅓ as long as basal 4 segments combined. Head dorsally and thorax densely setose. Processes of fifth sternum long, narrow, curved posteromesad, with a small, oblique opening at anterior end.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a a slightly produced, setiferous lobe in dorsolateral position; lateral lobe a large, broadly rounded plate, with dorsal surface shallowly cupped with anterodorsal margin a produced, setiferous ridge. Clasper with basal segment about ⅓ times as long as apical, with inner margin bearing many spiny setae; without basal lobe. Phallus with base wider than, and rounding into stem; apex rounded posteroventrally, with large, rounded dorsolateral lobes, with apicodorsal opening small, a small ventromesal lobe in opening.

**Female.**—Similar to male in size; color darker brown. Midtibiae and tarsi broad and flattened.

Types.—Holotype (male): BRAZIL, Edo. Santa Catarina, Corupa (Hansa Humboldt), Nov 1944, A. Maller.

Paratypes: Same data, 4♂; same, but Jan 1946, 2♂, 3♀. Holotype in AMNH; paratypes in AMNH and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The genitalia are very distinctive, especially the large, rounded, lateral lobes of the tenth tergum and the rounded, apicodorsal lobes of the phallus. The long, narrow, crescentic, fifth sternal lobes are unique, especially so as they curve mesally posteriorly rather than laterad as those of the other species with narrow lobes.

**Leptonema mandibulatum, new species**

**(Figures 257–263; Map 9)**

**Male.**—Ochraceous brown; forewings uniformly ochraceous brown, probably with greenish overtones in life, with 2 dark brown spots, one at base of costal margin, other on subcosta slightly distad. Forewing length 15–16 mm.

Malar space broad, almost ⅓ height of eye, covered with fine hairs. Parafacial and postocular areas, almost as broad ventrally as malar, much narrowed dorsad, with fine hair; postocular area with a row of 2–4 slightly enlarged setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment distinctly longer than basal 4 combined. Processes of fifth sternum, narrow, elongate, distinctly oblique.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a low; lateral plate produced into a point apically with a distinct dorsomesal point (wart b ?). Clasper with basal segment about 6 times as long as apical, with a well-developed spiculate area apicesally, without basomesal process. Phallus with base broad, angled to stem that is slender; apex produced into a pair of dorsal, caliper-like lobes basad of which are a pair of short spines that may be more or less everted.

**Female.**—Slightly larger, to 18 mm. Midtibia and tarsus very broad and flat; second tarsal segment ⅜ as wide as long.


**Ecuador.** Pea. Pastaza, Puyo, 11–17 May 1977, Spangler and Givens, 38, 29; same, but 1–11 Feb 1976, P.J. Spangler, 28; same, but 29 May 1975, Cohen and Langley, 1f; same, but 1.5 km S Puyo, 8–21 May 1977, Spangler and Givens, 1f, 99; same, but 5 km E Puyo, 17 May 1977; 39; same, but 5 km S Puyo, 8 May 1977; 19; same, but 6 km W Puyo, 3 Feb 1976, 19. Pea. Napo, Lago Agrio, 16–19 Sep 1975, A. Langley, 1d, 219; same, but 5 km N Lago Agrio, 26 Sep 1975, 128; same, but 4 km NW Lago Agrio, 23 Aug 1975, Langley and Cohen, 1f; same, but 4 km N Lago Agrio, 26 Aug 1975, 1d, 89; same, but 18 km NW Lago Agrio, 30 Aug 1975, 89; same, but Rio Aguarico, 18 km E Lago Agrio, 28 Aug 1975, Langley et al., 1f, 19; same, but 3 km NE Lago Agrio, 17 May 1975, Spangler et al., 19. BOLIVIA, Dpto. La Paz, Quebradas del Río Zongo, 1400 m, 24–30 Oct 1984, L.E. Peña G., 38, 129; Rio Coroico, 1200 m, 23–26 Nov 1984, L.E. Peña G., 95, 389.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CAS, CNC, INHS, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—Leptonema mandibulatum and colombianum are very closely related, but the former is slightly larger in size and appears to be browner in color. The only certain distinguishing character is in the tenth tergum of the males: in mandibulatum the apex is distinctly bifid in either lateral or dorsal aspects, as opposed to being produced into a simple point as in colombianum. The second segment of the female midtarsus in mandibulatum tends to be narrower and more elongate than it is in colombianum, but this characteristic should not be relied upon in the absence of males from the area.

The species occupies a rather restricted range along the eastern slopes of the Andes from Ecuador to northern Bolivia. The range of colombianum appears to be more widespread throughout the lowlands of South America.

**The amazonense Group**

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Size moderate; forewing from 11–16 mm long. Color brown, strongly maculate and patterned. Tibial spurs 1, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader than in male. Malar space narrow and bare. Proportions of maxillary palpal segments variable. Basal abdominal sternum with suture either present, or usually, reduced, or rarely absent. Fifth sternal lobes variable in size and shape. Male genitalia with tenth tergum usually having warts a and/or e present; lateral lobe with ventral margin modified and produced, often with a distinct mesal lobe. Clasper usually bearing modified setae mesally on basal segment, rarely with a basomesal lobe. Phallos not bearing apical processes; apex usually rounded with a simple opening surrounded by a rim that is often strongly developed on one side.

**Remarks.**—This is a group of five species that is divisible into 2 subgroups. The typical subgroup has the fifth segment of the maxillary palpus as long as, or longer than, the basal four segments and the opening at the apex of the phallos dorsal in position with the anterior margin of its rim produced. In the maculatum subgroup the apical palpal segment is much shorter than the basal segments and the phallic opening is directed posteriad with the, now, dorsal portion of the rim produced over the opening. The first group contains amazonense Flint, irroration Flint, and nellenise, new species. the second subgroup maculatum Mosely and choocense, new species.

**Distribution.**—The species are only known from South America, especially the Amazon Basin and the rugged areas of the Guayan Shield, but one is known from the western Andes.

**Leptonema amazonense Flint**

**Figures 264–270, 790; Map 11**

Leptonema amazonense Flint, 1978:399, figs. 130–134, 145 (holotype d, in INPA.

**Type-Localities.**—Brazil, Amazonas, Manaus, Reserva Ducke.

**Distribution.**—Brazil, Venezuela.

**Material Examined.**—BRAZIL, Edo. Amazonas, Reserva Ducke, 26 km E Manaus, 24 Nov 1976, 1d paratype, 19. VENEZUELA. T.F. amazonas, Cerro de la Nebulina, basecamp, 0°51′N, 66°57′W, 140 m, 20–24 Mar 1984, Flint and Louton, 4d, 4f; same, but 13 Feb–10 Mar 1984, Davis and McCabe, 28, 39; same, but 4–8 Feb 1985, Spangler et al., 28, 39; same, but camp III, 0°56′N, 66°53′W, 1820 m, 15–17 Feb 1984, D.R. Davis, 1d, 19; same, but camp IV, 0°58′N, 65°57′W, 760 m, 15–18 Mar 1984, O.S. Flint, Jr., 28, San Carlos de Rio Negro, 6–12 Dec 1984, R.L. Brown, 28; Marawaca (Talud cabeceras Caño Negro), 16 Jun 1983, Exp. Marawaca, Fundacion Terramar, 1d. Material in IZAM and USNM.

**Remarks.**—This species is now known from 3 localities, two in Venezuela and the type-locality in Brazil. The male
genitalia of specimens from each site differ in details of shape and proportions of parts, especially in the tenth tergum and to a lesser degree the apex of the phallus. The topotypic males are yellow on the basal third of the forewing, then the wing is strongly maculate. Both Venezuelan series and the female from Brazil are maculate to the forewing base. As with the other related species, these differences are considered to be no more than populational characteristics.

The basal abdominal sternum bears a short, indistinct mesal suture.

**Leptonema chocoense**, new species

*Figures* 271–278, 791; *Map* 11

**Male.**—Color greyish brown; forewing brown with transverse, darker, irrorations, hindwing infuscate, especially apicad. Length of forewing, 11 mm.

Malar space broad, almost ½ height of eye, bare. Parafacial area almost as broad as malar; postocular as broad as parafacial, with numerous short setae, and a single enlarged seta. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment less than half as long as basal four combined. Foretibia with only a single apical spur. Process of fifth sternum small, ovoid, clearly attached to the anterior margin.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a very large, occupying most of the dorsal half of the tergum, bearing many spinous setae; wart c large, protuberant; lateral lobe with apicoventral margin produced into a lobe bearing short, reflexed spines. Clasper with apical segment about ¼ as long as basal, with a small group of spinous setae on apex. Phallus with base at right angles to stem, very broad; apex with distinct lateral lobe and a thin, dorsal flap triangular in dorsal outline, beneath which apex is squarely truncate.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Type.**—Holotype (male): COLOMBIA, Dpto. Choco, km 130, 86 Km E Quibdo, 17 Feb 1983, O.S. Flint, Jr. Holotype in USNM.

**Remarks.**—This very distinct species does not show clear relationship to any other known species. It is, perhaps, most similar to *maculatum* from which it is easily distinguished by the spinose lobes of the tenth tergum and the apicodorsal projection of the phallus.

**Leptonema irroratum** Flint

*Figures* 279–285, 792; *Map* 12

*Leptonema irroratum* Flint, 1974:100, 101, figs. 220–223, pl. 1b [holotype d, in RNH].

**Type-Locality.**—Suriname, Nassau Mountains, trail km. 11.2, north valley near large falls.

**Distribution.**—Suriname, Venezuela.
**Leptonema irroratum** Flint, *new species*  
**Leptonema neblinense**, new species  
**Leptonema maculatum** Mosely  
**Leptonema gadzux**, new species

**Material Examined.**—SURINAME, Nassau Mountains, trail km 11.2, north valley near large falls, 24 Mar 1949, D.C. Geijskes, ♀ holotype. VENEZUELA, T.F. Amazonas, Cerro de la Neblina, camp IV, 0°58'N, 65°57'W, 760 m, 15–18 Mar 1984, O.S. Flint, Jr., 5♂, 2♀. Holotype in RNH; material in IZAM, USNM.

**Remarks.**—Although this Venezuelan material agrees in general structure in all parts of the male genitalia with the holotype, almost every part differs slightly in shape or proportionate length. Perhaps it represents a distinct species, but lacking material from intermediate localities, and considering the variation apparent in *amazonense* and *maculatum*, we are considering this to be intraspecific variation.

The basal abdominal sternum bears an indistinct mesal suture in the Venezuelan material.

**Leptonema maculatum** Mosely  
**Figures** 286-293, 793; **Map 12**


**Type-Locality.**—[Brazil], Unt[er] Amaz[on] Taperinha b[ei] Santarem.

**Distribution.**—Brazil, Suriname.


**Remarks.**—The males from Amazonas and Suriname at first sight appear to be quite different. The Surinamese male bears a large middorsal lobe on the tenth tergum (Flint, 1974, fig. 219) that is totally lacking in the other material. However, all the other parts of the male terminalia are virtually identical. Lacking sufficient material to assess these differences, we consider this to be most probably a populational characteristic.

**Leptonema neblinense**, new species  
**Figures** 294–301, 794; **Map 12**

**Male.**—Color greyish brown; forewing brown with transverse, darker irrorations; hindwing evenly infuscate. Length of forewing 11 mm.

Malar space broad, almost ⅓ height of eye, bare. Parafacial area ⅓ as broad as malar; postocular area slightly broader than malar, with many, short, dark setae. Maxillary
palp with apical segment distinctly longer than basal 4 combined. Foretibia with only a single apical spur. Process of fifth sternum very large, ovoid, set obliquely.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum lacking obvious setal warts; with mesal lobe elongate, slender, with scattered setae, lateral lobe bipartite, a dorsal part somewhat flattened and broad, with ventral part more terete and slightly longer, both parts with scattered setae; between lateral and mesal lobes a broad, membranous plate. Clasper with apical segment less than 1/2 length of basal; basal segment with inner margin bearing a group of short, modified setae. Phallus with base at right angles to stem; apex with an erect, dorsal lobe, with opening apicodorsal in position.

FEMALE.—Identical in size and coloration.

Types.—Holotype (male): VENEZUELA, T.F. Amazonas, Cerro de la Nebquina, Camp X, 0°54'N, 60°2'W, 1690 m, 12 Feb 1985, R. McDiarmid. Caught at night on boulders by stream in copula with female.

Paratypes: Same data, 2♀; same, but Camp VII, 0°51'N, 65°58'W, 1850 m, 2–4 Dec 1984, R.L. Brown, 1d, 1♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IZAM and USNM.

Remarks.—This very distinctive species is most similar to irroratum. It differs from that species and all others in the group by lacking any distinct setal warts on the tenth tergum, whose structure is also very distinctive with its elongate lobes.

The davisi Group

Diagnostic Characters.—Size small; forewing from 7.5–8 mm. Color dark fuscous; forewing dark with paler irrorations. Tibial spurs 1, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader than in male. Malar space broad with a few short hairs. Third segment of maxillary palpus slightly longer than fourth. Basal abdominal sternum with median suture weak or lacking. Fifth sternum lobes large, ovoid. Male tenth tergum lacking obvious warts; lateral and/or mesal lobes elongate. Basal segment of clasper with mesal, stout spines. Phallus with apex complex, not readily homologized with other species; divided into longitudinal plates, each bearing processes.

Remarks.—This is a group of three species, aterrimum Mosely, davisi, new species, and gadzux, new species.

Distribution.—The species are found in the lowlands of South America, especially the northern Amazon Basin.

Leptonema aterrimum Mosely

Figures 302–308, 795; Map 11


Type-locality.—[Brazil], Unt[er] Amaz[on] Taperinha [bei] Santarem.

Distribution.—Brazil.

Material Examined.—BRAZIL, [Edo. Pará], Unter Amazon, Taperinha near Santarém, 1–10 Jun 1927, Zerny, δ holotype. Holotype in NMW.

Remarks.—We have studied the holotype whose abdomen is mounted on a slide, and prepared figures of the dorsal and ventral view of the phallus as best as can be made out. The original figures of Mosely seem to be accurate. The female paratype from Serra do Itatiaia, which was also studied, is clearly not this species, but possibly maculatum. The basal abdominal sternum appears to lack the mesal suture.

Leptonema davisi, new species

Figures 309–316, 797; Map 11

Male.—Color greyish brown; forewing greying brown with paler, more golden spots; hindwing infuscate apically. Length of forewing, 7.5–8 mm.

Malar space broad, just over 1/4 height of eye, with a few short setae. Parafacial area more than half as broad as malar; postocular area as broad as malar, with numerous, short, dark setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment approximately 1/4 length of 4 basal segments combined. Foretibia with only a single apical spur. Process of fifth sternum very large, ovoid; with posterolateral margin much upraised and overlaying sternum.

Genitalia: Ninth segment broad dorsally and ventrally with posterolateral margin produced into a large lobe; lacking large dorsal setae. Tenth tergum lacking warts, with lateral lobe appressed to side of mesal lobe whose apex is produced into a broadly rounded lobe; ventral margin developed into a small process ending just beyond lateral lobe. Clasper with apical segment half length of basal segment, with a few mesal spines; basal segment with many mesal spines. Phallus complex (relationship of parts are still obscure even after dissection of one male), base broad, almost at right angles to stem that at midlength is divided into 3 plates: dorsal plate lightly sclerotized, overlaying most of basal areas of others and which is complexly related to phallicrypt and ventral rods of tenth tergum; a central plate ending in a divaricate sclerite bearing 2 pairs of slender, serrate processes directed basad and bearing mesally the phallostremal sclerites; the ventral plate ends in a lyre-shaped sclerite as best seen in ventral aspect.

Female.—Similar in size and color to male.

Types.—Holotype (male): VENEZUELA, T.F. Amazonas, Cerro de la Nebquina, basecamp, 0°50'N, 66°10'W, 140 m, 23 Feb 1985, P.J. Spangler et al.

Paratypes: Same, but 14 Feb 1985, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 4–12 Feb 1984, Davis and McCabe, 1♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IZAM and USNM.

Remarks.—This species in size and maculation appears to be identical to aterrimum. It appears, however, to be quite different in certain structures of the male genitalia, espe-
cially the clasper whose apical segment is much longer in *davisi* than in *aterrimum*. The structure of the phallus appears to be radically different in the two species, but the complexity of this structure and its obscurity in both may result in more apparent than real differences. The ventral plate appears to end in a reflexed process in *aterrimum*, but a lyre-shaped sclerite in *davisi*, and the central plate in a reflexed process and a semi-erect, truncate lobe in *aterrimum* as opposed to 2 pairs of reflexed processes in *davisi*.

**Leptonema gadsux, new species**

*Figures 317–323; Map 12*

**Male.**—Color in alcohol brown; forewing denuded, brown with scattered, pale spots along the costal margin, especially near the chord, and to a lesser degree in centers of apical cells. Length of forewing 7.5 mm.

Malar space broad, over ¼ height of eye, with a few short setae. Parafacial area half as broad as malar; postocular area as wide as malar with scattered, short, dark setae. Maxillary palpi lacking. Foretibia with a single apical spur. Processes of fifth sternum very large, ovoid; with posterolateral margin much upraised and overlying sternum.

**Genitalia:** Ninth segment with posterolateral margin slightly expanded and flared laterad; with few dorsal and lateral setae. Tenth tergum lacking warfs; with lateral and mesal lobes elongate, free; with an internal sclerite articulating with aedeagus at midlength. Clasper with apical segment ¼ length of basal segment, with a few mesal spines; basal segment with a cluster of short spinous setae apically; inner margin with scattered, long, spinous setae, base projecting apicomesally, with an erect, bilobate process mesally. Phallus with base elongate, only gradually angled to axis of stem, produced far basad by base of clasper to which it is attached by lateral sclerites; stem beyond bend deeply concave and membranous beneath with lateral margins sclerotized and produced ventrad, flange-like, base of flange produced into a large, pointed process, apex of flange developed into a ventral, recurved hook; from apex a membranous lobe bearing the phallobulbar sclerites.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Type.**—Holotype (male): VENEZUELA, T.F. Amazonas, San Carlos de Rio Negro, 1°56′N, 67°03′W, 6–12 Dec 1984, R.L. Brown. Holotype in USNM.

**Remarks.**—This most unusual species seems to be best placed in the *davisi* group, but the phallus is quite different from the other species. The presence of a mesobasal lobe on the clasper, the large apicolateral hooks and basolateral processes from the phallus are diagnostic for this species.

The speciosum Group

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Size moderately large, forewing from 16–21 mm in length. Color variable, some subgroups greenish with fuscous nygmata, others ochraceous and often strongly maculate. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibia of female not broader than in male. Malar space narrow to rather broad, bare. Basal abdominal sternum with mesal suture. Male tenth tergum with wart a large and erect, warfs b and c apparently lacking; lateral lobe narrow, elongate. Phallus rather simple, lacking most processes, but with b and c fused and strongly sclerotized, usually with g or d well developed. Clasper without specialized setulae or lobes on basal segment.

**Remarks.**—This is a rather heterogeneous group of 10 species but is held together by the remarkable similarity of the tenth segment in all species, and the fused b and c lobes of the phallus. We recognize three subgroups. The first consists of *eugnathum* (Mueller), and *trispicatum*, new species. They are characterized by the ovoid shape of the fused b-c lobe of the phallus. The second subgroup has the fused b-c lobe elongate and often pointed or bearing points, and the process g very long, often surpassing b-c. This subgroup contains *speciosum* (Burmeister), *tridens* Mosely, *bifurcatum*, new species, and *boracea*, new species. The third subgroup containing *agrophum* (Kolenati), *tholloni* (Navás), *stigmaticum* Navás, and probably *serranum* Navás, is defined by the tripartite appearance of the fused b-c lobe, and strong development of process d. In addition the first and last subgroups are, in general, a bit smaller in size and rather uniformly colored (probably light green in life) than is the larger and rather strikingly colored middle group.

**Distribution.**—Limited to the mountains of eastern Brasil.

**Leptonema agrophum** (Kolenati)

*Figures 324–328*

Macronema agrophum Kolenati, 1859:148, 168 [mis-spelled as agrophum]. 188, 238, 239, pl. 11: fig. 2 [holotype d, in NWM].

**Leptonema agrophum** (Kolenati).—Ulmer, 1957:339.—Fischer, 1963:165, 166.

Hydropsycha trilobata Jacquemart, 1962:1–5, figs. 1–4 [type d, in IRSNB] [new synonymy].

**Type-Locality.**—Of *agrophum*, Brasilia (Beske). Of *trilobata*, Edo. Rio de Janeiro, Bomanca.

**Distribution.**—Brazil.

**Material Examined.**—“Brasilia,” holotype d. In NWM.

**Remarks.**—Ulmer (1913) recorded this species from Argentina, Bolivia, and Peru. The male from Argentina (now in the ZSZMH) has been examined: it is *boliviense*. The male from Peru was made a paratype of *boliviense*. It is wholly unlikely that the Bolivian female is *agrophum*, but again probably *boliviense*.

This species, *tholloni*, and *stigmaticum* are very closely related. The three species appear identical in the fifth sternal processes, ninth and tenth segments, claspers and basal region of the phallus. The only differences are in the form of process d of the phallus. In *agrophum* the apical
point is acute and upturned and the basal point arises laterally. In *tholloni* the apical and basal points both arise dorsolaterally and the apical point is curved ventrad. In *stigmaticum* the apical point is replaced by a broad, truncate lobe and the basal point arises dorsolaterally. In *eugnathum* the apical point is curved ventrad. In *stigmaticum* the apical point is curved ventrad. In *eugnathum* the apical point is curved ventrad. The positions and directions of the points could be controlled by some sort of rotation of process *d*, but we have been unable to do so artificially. Therefore, we recognize the 3 species for now, but recognize the possibility that additional series from other localities may well show these differences to be variation.

**Leptonema bifurcatum, new species**

**Figures 329-335; Map 13**

*Leptonema speciosum* (Burmeister).—Mosely, 1953:15-17, figs. 13-16 [misidentification].

**Male.**—Color brown; forewing brown, faded, apparently with a darker spot on the nygma and some darkened areas apically and mesally, but without apparent longitudinal dark streaks. Length of forewing, 16 mm.

Malar space narrow, about 1/8 height of eye. Parafacial area about 1/8 width of malar; postocular area about width of parafacial; type lacks all postocular setae. Basal segment of maxillary palpus 1/2 length of second, third 3/8 length of second, fourth 1/2 length of second, fifth segment lacking. Processes of fifth sterum small, rounded.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart *a* large, rounded; warts *b* and *c* lacking; lateral lobe elongate, rounded apically; mesal lobe membranous. Clasper with apical segment elongate, about 1/3 length of basal segment; base of clasper without processes. Phallobase short and broad, basal half at right angles to stem and greatly enlarged; process *a* slightly produced and serrate dorsally, process *g* large, with a small basolateral spine and bifurcate apically; fused *b-c* lobe hourglass shaped in ventral aspect with a pair of small basal spines and bifid apically, tip upturned in lateral aspect.

**Female.**—Similar in color to male, but badly faded. Length of forewing 16 mm.


**Paratype.**—Same data, 1♀.

**Types in NMW.**

**Remarks.**—This species has been confused with *speciosum* and was figured as such by Mosely (1933). Now, with the study of the type of *speciosum*, the distinctness of the two species is apparent.

The species is similar to *speciosum* and *tridens*, but can be distinguished by the structure of the phallus. The deeply bifid apex of process *g* in *bifurcatum* is diagnostic. The complex *b-c* is quite similar in lateral aspect to that of *tridens*, but its outline in dorsal or ventral aspect differs considerably in the two species.

**Leptonema boraceia, new species**

**Figures 336-343, 796; Map 13**

**Male.**—Color brown; forewing brown, densely covered with short, fuscous hairs, producing distinct, longitudinal, dark marks in many cells, especially the apical ones. Length of forewing, 18–19 mm.

Malar space rather broad, slightly more than 1/4 height of eye. Parafacial area in middle about 1/4 width of malar; postocular area slightly wider than parafacial, with a row of 6 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment very long, as long as combined lengths of basal 4 segments. Process of fifth sterum large, rounded.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart *a* large, rounded; warts *b* and *c* lacking; lateral lobe elongate, rounded apically; mesal lobe membranous. Clasper with apical segment elongate, about 1/3 length of basal segment; base of clasper unmodified. Phallobase short, broad, ending bluntly; with processes *g* heavily sclerotized, divergent, upturned; *b-c* complex bearing laterally 2 basally directed and 2 apically directed processes, dorsalmost of each pair being shortest, with a ventromesial pointed process.

**Female.**—Similar to male in size and maculation.


**Paratypes.**—Same data, 1♀, but Rib. Venerando, 27 Jan 1974, C. Froehlich, 1♂. Edo. Rio de Janeiro, Nova
Friburgo, 1000 m, 14 Oct 1985, S.E. Miller, 1♀; Rio Macacu (N of Cachoeiras de Macacu), 650 m, 16 Oct 1985, S.E. Miller, 1♂.

Holotype in USP; paratypes in USNM and USP.

REMARKS.—This very distinctive species is clearly related to speciosum and tridens. Its is easily recognized by the structures of the lobes at the apex of the phallus.

**Leptonema eugnathum** (Müller)

FIGURES 344-349; MAP 13

Macronema eugnathum Müller, 1921:536, 548, 552–554, figs. 187D, 199, 201a,b [type not designated].—Fischer, 1963:184.


** TYPE-LOCALITIES.**—Of *eugnathum*, Itajahy, [Sta. Catarina], Brazil. Of *ochraceum*, Boiteuxburg, 800 m, Sta. Catarina, Brazil.

** DISTRIBUTION.**—Brazil.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.**—Lectotype male, here designated, “Itajahy, Brazil, 20/2 79, Fr. Müller, Macronema A.” Additional material: [Edo.] S. Catarina, Brazil, F. Müller, 1♀. Edo. Paraná, 8 km NE Banhado, 12 Feb 1972, E.G., I., and E.A. Munroe, 1♂. Lectotype in MCZ; material in BMNH and CNC.

**REMARKS.**—We designate the above specimen as a lectotype, although the adult stage is no more than mentioned in passing by Müller. This specimen, probably reared, is labelled in Müller’s hand, and we believe it to have been in front of him when he was describing the species. It was later sent to Hagen and placed in the collection of the MCZ together with examples of his other species.

This species is very closely related to *Leptonema trispicatum*, new species. It is distinguished by the proportionately shorter and broader phallus with the pair of ventrolateral spines (process *g*?) just before the fused *b–c* lobe.

**Leptonema serranum** Navás


** TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Alto da Serra, Edo. São Paulo, Brasil.

** DISTRIBUTION.**—Brazil.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.**—BRAZIL, Est. São Paulo, Alto da Serra, 1 Nov 1926, Melser, holotype 9. In DEI.

**REMARKS.**—The unique female type has been seen and the genitalia cleared and studied. It is clearly a member of the *speciosum* group close to *agraphum*. However, there appear to be differences in the genitalia between this type and the few other females of the group available. We are, therefore, maintaining *serranum* but it may ultimately prove to be a synonym of one of the other species in the group.

**Leptonema speciosum** (Burmeister)

FIGURES 350–356, 798; MAP 14


** TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Brazil.

** DISTRIBUTION.**—Brazil.


**REMARKS.**—We have been fortunate to have been able to borrow and clear the genitalia of the lectotype of this species. This has revealed a species distinctly different from that treated as *speciosum* by Mosely (1933). In fact, we have seen no examples of this species other than the type series. Bescke (or Beske), father and son, lived and worked around Nova Friburgo from 1822 to 1852. They sent material to Europe that was distributed to specialists by Winthem, and the son was visited by Burmeister from the end of 1850 to early 1851 (Papavero, 1971:87–89; 1973:292, 293). The types therefore probably originated in or around Nova Friburgo.

Together with *tridens* and boraceia, this species forms a very distinct subgroup of the *speciosum* group. The specific characters are found in the apex of the phallus. Process *a* is barely recognizable, process *g* is long, gently curved and sword-like, while the *b–c* complex is short, directed ventrad, and ends in a short, serrate, bifid lobe.

MAP 14.—Distributions of *Leptonema stigmaticum* Navás, *L. speciosum* (Burmeister), *L. tridens* Mosely, and *L. tholloni* (Navás).
Leptonema stigmaticum Navás

Figures 357-363; Map 14

Leptonema stigmaticum Navás, 1916a:30, 31, fig. 12 [holotype δ, in MNHNP].

Type-Locality.—Nueva Friburgo [Nova Friburgo], Brazil.

Distribution.—Brazil.

The species resembles both speciosum and boraceia both in maculation and genitalic structure. It may be recognized by the form of the processes g of the phallus, which in tridens are as long as the b-c lobe and rather bluntly pointed. The b-c lobe in lateral aspect is rather long, curved and pointed. Process a is bilobed in dorsal aspect and erect in lateral aspect.

Leptonema tholloni (Navás)

Figures 364-367; Map 14

Macronema tholloni Navás, 1922:48, 49 [holotype δ, in MNHNP].


Type-Locality.—Gabon [Africa] [in error].

Distribution.—Brazil.

Material Examined.—[BRAZIL, Edo. Rio de Janeiro] Corcovado, Apr 1932, Haroldo T., 1d. Brazil, Winthem and Hagen [no further data], 2δ, 19. Material in MCZ, CNC, and USNM.

Remarks.—The discovery of examples from Brazil that agree with the figures of the type (Mosely, 1933, figs. 65–68), leads inevitably to the conclusion that the type is mislabelled.

As discussed under agraphum, the two species are virtually identical as stated by Mosely (1933). The acute apical point of process d is directed ventrad and the basal point is borne dorsolaterally in tholloni.

Leptonema tridens Mosely

Figures 368–372; Map 14


Leptonema speciosum (Burmeister).—Navás, 1932:63 [misidentification].

Type-Locality.—Parana, Brazil.

Distribution.—Brazil (possibly Paraguayan).

Material Examined.—BRAZIL, Edo. Rio de Janeiro,
more slender and elongate in *trispicatum* and ends in a trifid, apicoventral process rather than a pair of divergent spines. The apical lobe of the phallus possesses a pair of basally directed ventral lobes, one on each side of the trifid process, in *trispicatum*.

**The stigmosum Group**

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Size large; forewing up to 25 mm long. Color uniformly rusty brown to pale yellow; nympha surrounded by a ring of blackish hair. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibia of female not broader than in male. Malar space relatively narrow; bare. Basal abdominal sternum with a median suture. Male tenth tergum with warts a and b, wart c not evident; lateral lobe present, flattened, blade-like; median lobe membranous. Basal segment of clasper without stout setulae or basomedian lobe. Phallus relatively simple; processes f, g, and j rudimentary or absent.


**Distribution.**—The Andes of western South America from central Venezuela to northwestern Argentina.

*Leptonema auriculatum*, new species

**Figures** 381-386; **Map** 15

**Male.**—Color ochraceous; forewing ochraceous slightly darker along chord and in cells, nympha dark. Forewing length 19–20 mm.

Malar space relatively broad, almost ½ height of eye. Parafacial area ½ width of malar space; postocular area same width as parafacial; with 8–9 postocular setae. Processes of fifth sternum medium size, oval in shape.

**Genitalia:** Clasper with moderately long apical segment, almost ⅓ length of basal. Tenth tergum with lateral lobe rather small, with setae borne on a convex lobe; warts a and b united, developed as a series of small lobes and large setae on protuberant bases. Phallus relatively simple; process c ear-like with b a small dorsal angle from it; processes d and e very small and rudimentary, only a few small points each.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Types.**—Holotype (male): BOLIVIA, Dpto. La Paz, Quebradas del Rio Zongo, 1400 m, 24–30 Oct 1984, L.E. Peña G.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CMP, CNC, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—*Leptonema auriculatum* is most closely related to *boliviense*, but none of the phallic processes are "feathered," and d and e are reduced in size to only a few points as in *b. plumosum*. In addition *auriculatum* differs in possessing a long apical segment of the clasper and in having the tenth tergal lobes differently developed.

*Leptonema boliviense boliviense* Mosely

**Figures** 387–391; **Map** 16


*Leptonema agraphum* (Kolenati).—Ulmer, 1915:393 [misidentification].

**Type-Locality.**—Tiputani, Quellit d’Beni, Bolivia.

**Distribution.**—Argentina, Bolivia, Peru.

**Material Examined.**—ARGENTINA, Pcia. Jujuy, San Lorenzo, 1♂. Pcia. Salta, Rt 59, km 23.5, Cañada de la Gotera, 16–17 Oct 1973, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♂, 2♀. BOLIVIA, [Dpto. La Paz], Yungas La Paz, Coripata, Rio Santiago, 1600 m, 2 Dec 1984, L.E. Peña G., 3♀; Circuata to Cujata, 2400 m, 2–5 Dec 1984, L.E. Peña G., 6♂, 1♀; Mururata to Cuillioni, 1600 m, 26–28 Nov 1984, L.E. Peña G., 28♂, 23♀; Nagalani River, 13 km from Sacramento Camp on Ingavi-Coroico Rd., 1400 m, 11 Jul 1964, B. Malkin, 1♂; Coroico, 2299 m, 23–24 Nov 1984, L.E. Peña G., 12♂, 13♀; quebradas del Rio Zongo, 1400 m, 24–30 Oct 1984, L.E. Peña G., 375, 26♀; Coroico to Chulumani, 1800–2100 m, Nov–Dec 1984, L.E. Peña G., 1♂. Dpto. Cochabamba, Rio Ronquito, road to Villa Tunari, Chapare, 1900 m, 10–11 Dec 1984, L.E. Peña G., 26♂, 35♀; Chapare, Alto Palmar, 1100 m, Nov 1960, J.E. Foerster, 5♂; same, but Apr 1959, 5♂, 3♀; same, but 16 Mar 1961, 1♂, 6♀; same, but 22 Mar 1962, 1♂, Dpto. Chuquisaca, Incah uasi, E. Muyu Pampa, 1600 m, 23 Dec 1984, L.E. Peña G., 1♂. PERU, [Dpto. Cuzco], Aguaacalientes [2 km N Machu Picchu], 2100 m, 16 Dec 1976, Hooghlestra and v. Huis, 5♂, 1♀; Torentoy Canyon, base of Machu Picchu, forest trail night sweeping, 2000 m, 19–24 Jun 1964, B. Malkin, 1♂; same, but 3 July 1964, light, 8♂; same, but Machu Picchu, 6 Aug 1971, B.V. Ridout, 2♂; same, but 8000', 8 Aug 1973, 1♂, 2♀; same, but museum, 1385 m, 11–14 Aug 1971, C. and M. Vardy, light, 1♂, 5♂. Material in BMNH, CNC, ITZ, USNM, USP, and ZSZMH.

**Remarks.**—The two subspecies are identical in all characteristic except that subspecies *plumosum* is a bit larger and darker, and that processes d and e, which are elongate in *boliviense*, are reduced to points in *plumosum*.

*Leptonema boliviense plumosum*, new subspecies

**Figures** 392–396; **Map** 16

**Male.**—Wings dull brown, discolored; with the usual 2 fuscous spots. Forewing 16 mm.
MAP 15.—Distributions of Leptonema auriculatum, new species, and L. spirillum, new species.

Malar space rather narrow, about ⅓ height of eye. Parafacial area ⅔ width of malar space; postocular area same width as parafacial; with 7–8 postocular setae. Processes of fifth sternum medium-sized.

Genitalia: Ninth segment, tenth tergum and claspers similar to those of boliviense. Phallus basically identical to that of boliviense except that processes d and e reduced to short points.

Female.—Unknown.

Types.—Holotype (male): ARGENTINA, Pcia. Tucumán, Cumbre Taficillo, 10 Dec 1929.

Paratypes: Same data, 1♂; Quebrada la Toma de Tafi Viejo, 21 Dec 1950, R. Golbach, 1♂ (headless).

Holotype USNM; paratypes IML, CNC.

Remarks.—In most respects this would appear to be typical boliviense. However, processes d and e of the phallus are reduced, being no more than a point or two directly attached to the sides of the phallus but in exactly the same position as in the typical subspecies. The internal structure and processes b and c of the phallus, and all other parts of the genitalia are identical in both subspecies, however. The distribution of plumosum is the southernmost of the stigmosum group, and it would appear to be a restricted population isolated in a small mountain range just west of Tucumán, Argentina, somewhat to the south and east of the range of the nominal form.
MAP 16.—Distributions of Leptonema stigmosum Ulmer, L. neadelphus, new species, L. b. boliviense Mosely, and L. b. plumosum, new subspecies.

**Leptonema neadelphus, new species**

**Figures 397–404; Map 16**

**MALE.**—Color rusty brown; forewing rusty brown with distinct clusters of fuscous hair around the nygmata. Length of forewing 19–21 mm.

Malar space moderately wide, slightly less than \( \frac{1}{3} \) height of eye. Parafacial area about \( \frac{1}{3} \) width of malar; postocular area width of parafacial; with a row of 5–7 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment shorter than basal 4 combined. Processes of fith sternum small, ovoid.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum like that of stigmosum; except that warts \( a \) and \( b \) are well separated. Clasper unmodified; apical segment terete, with short, peg-like setae mesally, slightly less than \( \frac{1}{3} \) length of basal segment. Phallus with processes \( b \) and \( c \) united into a spinose fan, \( e \) reduced into a small lobe with a row of setae, \( d \) reduced to a few small points.

**FEMALE.**—Similar to male in size and color.

**TYPES.**—**Holotype** (male) COLOMBIA, Dpto. Antioquia, 10 km E Medellin, road to La Palma, 6 Feb 1983, O.S. Flint, Jr.

**Paratypes:** Same, but road to Santa Elena, 12 km E
Medellin, 6 Feb 1983, 1♂; same, but Quebrada la Garcia, 20 km NW Medellin, 13 Feb 1983, 1♂; same, but Quebrada la Mosca, 1 km W Guane, 7 Feb 1983, 1♂; same, but Piedras Blancas, 10 km E Medellin, road to Guane, Apr 1963, 1♂. VENEZUELA, Edo. Mérida, Mucuy Fish Hatchery, 7 km E Tabay, 6600 ft, 10–13 Feb 1978, J.B. Heppner, 2♂.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in USNM, CNC, IZAM, UNCMB.

Remarks.—The species is closely related to _stigmosum_, but the two species are sympatric in Colombia and Venezuela. The primary differences are to be seen in the apex of the phallic whereon processes _c_ and _e_ are reduced to spinose fans and _d_ is represented by only a few small points.

From _spirillum_, with which it shares the reduction of process _d_ to only a few small points, _neodelphus_ differs by having reduced the elongate lobes of processes _c_ and _e_ to clusters of spines.

**Leptonema spirillum, new species**

_Figures 405–415; Map 15_

_Leptonema stigmosum_ Ulmer.—Mosely, 1933:14, 15, figs. 8–12 [misidentification].

MALE.—Wings almost uniformly ochraceous-yellow, with 2 small dark spots, surrounded by fuscous hair. Forewing length 17–18 mm.

Malar space rather narrow, about ½ height of eye. Parafacial area barely ½ width of malar space; postocular area about width of parafacial; with 4–6 postocular setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment slightly more than ½ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum rather small.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum similar to that of _stigmosum_ except wart _b_ is displaced apicad and well separated from _a_. Clasper similar to that of _stigmosum_. Phallus with processes _b_, _c_, and _e_, well developed, "feathered" (process _e_ in Ecuadorian material smaller and directed posteriad), process _d_ present though rudimentary.

FEMALE.—Similar to male in size and color.

**Types.—Holotype (male):** PERU, Dept. Cusco, Paucartambo, Cosnipata Valley, 17 Nov 1951, F. Woytkowski.

**Paratypes:** Same data, 15♂, 3♀; same, but 16 Nov 1951, 5♂; same, but 19 Nov 1951, 1♂, 2♀; same, but 20 Nov 1951, 2♂; same, but 26 Nov 1951, 1♂; same, but Santa Isabel, Cosnipata Valley, 16 Dec 1951, 5♂; same, but Hacienda Maria (tropical jungle), 2700', Cosnipata Valley, 21 Feb 1952, 2♂; same, but 11 Mar 1952, 2♂, 2♀; same, but 19 Mar 1952, 1♂; same, but Callanga River Valley, "Callanga," 1300 m, 26–27 Feb 1953, 3♂; same, but 19 Feb 1953, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 4 Mar 1953, 1♂; Quince Mil, 14–31 Aug 1962, L.E. Peña G., 32♂, 32♀. BOLIVIA, Dpto. Cochabamba, Alto Palmar, Nov 1960, J.E. Foerster, 11♂, 22♀. COLOMBIA, Dpto. Antioquia, Andes, Aug 1955, N. Delgado, 1♂; Rio Aurrá, km 50 near San Jerónimo, 14 Feb 1983, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♂, 1♀, _Dpto. Meta_, 24 mi W Villavicencio, 12 Mar 1955, 9♂. _Dpto. Valle_, Topacio, 1600 m, 13 Sep 1985, A. Quintero, 1♂, 1♀; same, but Rio Pance, Topacio, 1550 m, 5 Aug 1985, 1♂ with exuviae. ECUADOR, Peia. Tungrahua, 17 km E Baños, 28 May 1975, Langley and Cohen, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 39 km E Baños, 4200', 25 Jan 1976, Spangler et al., 6♂, 13♀. _Peia. Pastaza_, 16 km W Puyo, 3 Feb 1976, Spangler et al., 1♂, 3♀; same, but 22 km W Puyo, 5 Feb 1976, 1♂. _Peia. Napo_, San Francisco de Borja, 15 May 1975, Spangler et al., 1♂. VENEZUELA, Edo. Mérida, Rio Montalban, rt 4, 19 km W Mérida, 20 Feb 1976, C.M. and O.S. Flint, Jr., 2♂, 2♀; same, but La Pedregosa, Mérida, 21 Feb 1976, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 31 May 1976, Menke and Vincent, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 6 km W Mérida, 5000', 9 Feb 1978, J.B. Heppner, 4♂, 1♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in AMNH, CAS, CNC, INHS, IZAM, MCZ, UNCMB, and USNM.

Remarks.—_Leptonema spirillum_ is more similar to _stigmosum_ and _neodelphus_ than to the other members of the _stigmosum_ group. It is easily identified by the characters of the phallic used in the key, and as discussed under the other two species.

**Leptonema stigmosum Ulmer**

_Figures 414–418; Map 16_


**Type-Locality.**—Balzapamba, Ecuador.

**Distribution.**—Colombia, Ecuador, Venezuela.


Remarks.—The lectotype of the species was designated...
and illustrated by Flint (1966). The true stigmosum is a different species from that figured by Mosely (1933), which is here described and named spirillum.

Leptonema stigmosum is very similar to neadelphus, but is easily distinguished from that species by the following characters of the phallus: processes $d$ and $e$ are united forming a large and distinctly fan-shaped process, and processes $b$ and $c$ are united also, with $c$ developed into an elongate “feathered” process.

From spirillum, another closely related species, stigmosum can be separated by the differences in phallic process $e$ which is reduced to only a few sessile points, and process $c$ which is even more elongate process in spirillum. In addition warts $a$ and $b$ of the tenth tergum are closer together in stigmosum.

**The plicatum Group**

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Size moderately large; forewing 15–22 mm. Color green or greenish brown in life, fading to ochreous after death. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader than in male. Malar space narrow and bare. Fourth segment of maxillary palpus much shorter than third. Basal abdominal sternum with a median suture. Fifth sternal lobes usually very large, especially in males. Male tenth tergum with warts $a$ and $b$ elongate, projecting from behind lateral lobe; $a$ usually, and $b$ sometimes, divided into two lobes; wart $c$ not recognizable; lateral lobe flattened, blade-like. Apical segment of clasper relatively long; basal segment without stout setulae or basomesal lobe. Phallus with processes $c$ and sometimes $b$ elongate and reflexed, $g$ usually a broad lateral plate, often with points; $e$, $d$, and $j$ lacking, rarely present.

**Remarks.**—This is a very large group of species closely related to the similans group, which possesses a strongly developed process $f$ of the phallus. We divide the plicatum group into two subgroups as follows.

The typical subgroup has the phallic process $g$ produced, sometimes into a long process, processes $c$ and often $b$ are elongate, and processes $e$ and $f$ are lacking. The subgroup contains 15 species: acutum Mosely, andrea, new species, araguense Flint, bilobatum Schmid, chiapense, new species, chila Flint, coheni, new species, heppneri, new species, inspiratum, new species, mastigion, new species, michoacanense, new species, plicatum Mosely, salvini Mosely, simplex Mosely, and vitum, new species.

The similans subgroup has similar developments of processes $b$, $c$, and $g$, but also has process $f$ developed in some manner or other. The subgroup contains: ekisi, new species, fortunum, new species, hamuli, new species, similans Mosely, turrialbum, new species, and woldianum, new species.

**Distribution.**—The group is widely distributed in Mexico and Central America and along the Andes of northern and western South America. The species are generally found in small, fast streams in the mountainous areas.

**Leptonema acutum Mosely**

**FIGURES 419–423; MAP 17**


**Type-Locality.**—Pancina, Vera Paz [= Panimá, Baja Verapaz, Guatemala].

**Distribution.**—Guatemala and Mexico.


**Remarks.**—The type country given in the original description as “Panama” is incorrect. This was undoubtedly the result of misreading the locality Pancina on the label. The species is not known from Panama.

The species is a member of the typical plicatum subgroup. It is readily recognized by the long, slender process $b$ and the even longer, reflexed, apical process $g$ of the aedeagus and long slender lobes $a$, $b1$ and $b2$ of the tenth tergum.

**Leptonema andrea, new species**

**FIGURES 424–429; MAP 18**

**Male.**—Color pale greenish, fading to pale brown; forewing greenish with a slight indication of apical infuscation. Length of forewing, 14 mm.

Malar space intermediate in width, about $\frac{1}{4}$ width of eye; parafacial and postocular areas about $\frac{3}{4}$ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 4–5 setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment $\frac{3}{5}$ length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth segment indistinct, but apparently large and ovoid.

**Genitalia.** Tenth tergum apparently lacking wart $a$, warts $b1$ and $b2$ elongate, $b2$ displaced to posteroventral face; lateral lobe rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment long, terete; basal segment slightly more than $\frac{2}{3}$ times length of apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate; with a strongly sclerotized, elongate, apicolateral plate ($g$?) bearing basoventrally a long filament wrapped around phallus.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Type.**—Holotype (male): ECUADOR, Peja, Pastaza, Estacion Fluviometrico, 27 km N Puyo, 4 Feb 1976, P. Sangler, A. Langley, and J. Cohen. Holotype in USNM.

**Remarks.**—This strange appearing species is a typical member of the plicatum group in all characters except the tip of the phallus, which is very unusual. No other species
has long filaments wound around the phallus. The species *mastigion* has long filaments from apicolateral plates of the phallus, that may be homologous and may represent a stage in the evolution of this structure.

**Leptonema araguense Flint**

Figures 430–434; Map 18

*Leptonema araguense* Flint, 1981:20, figs, 73–76 [holotype δ, in USNM].

**Type-Locality.**—Choroni Pass, 1400 m, Aragua, Venezuela.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Venezuela.

**Material Examined.**—No additional material seen beyond that recorded in original description.

**Remarks.**—This species is very closely related to *Leptonema heppneri*, which is found in the next mountain range to the west of that of *araguense*. They are similar to *acutum* with which they share the form of the tenth tergum and rather simple development of the phallus. In all species process *b* of the phallus is long and slender, but in *araguense* process *g* is apparently reduced to a simple spine beneath *b*. In *heppneri* this spine is totally lost, while in *acutum* both *b* and *g* are very long, recurved processes.
**Leptonema bilobatum Schmid**

*Figures 435–440; Map 18*


**Type-Locality.**—Monterredondo, Cundinamarca, Colombia.

**Distribution.**—Colombia.

**Material Examined.**—COLOMBIA, Dpto. Cundinamarca, Monterredondo, 4 Jan 1960, J.E. Foerster, ♀ holotype; same, but 3 Mar 1957 to 16 Apr 1961, ♂♂ 89. Holotype and paratypes in CNC.

**Remarks.**—This is a very pale greenish species, but each forewing has 3 small flecks of fuscous setae: one on each of the nymgastic spots and one at the apex of the median cell. Although the male genitalia are distinctive, they show a relationship to *coheni*. In *bilobatum* the tenth tergum possesses a distinct basolateral flap, process *e* of the aedeagus is represented by a short, posterodorsally directed process, *d* by a small dorsolateral spiculate lobe, and the apicolateral surface of the phallos is irregularly sclerotized.

**Leptonema chiapense, new species**

*Figures 441-448; Map 17*

**Male.**—Color greenish brown; forewing greenish brown, with strong green overtones basally. Length of forewing 14–15 mm.

Malar space narrow; about ⅓ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅓ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–7 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment slightly shorter than basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum very large, round, occupying almost entire width of sternum.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with warts *a* and *b1* elongate, *b2* reduced to a small lobe and a series of enlarged setal bases. Clasper with apical segment terete; basal segment 4½ times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase slightly enlarged, curving directly into stern; subapically with a darkened, constricted dorsolateral area; process *b* a short, erect spine arising from an enlarged base; process *g* pointed, produced dorsolaterally; with a dorsomesal thumb-like lobe overlying *a* (possibly *j*, but with free end directed posteriad).

**Female.**—Similar to male in color; large, forewing length 15–16 mm.


*Paratypes:* Same data, 3♂, 2♀; same, but J. Bueno, 1♂, 2♀; Bonampak, 19–20 May 1984, A. Ibarra, 2♂, 1♀; same, but 20–25 May 1980, J. Bueno, 5♂, 3♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IBUNAM and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The species at first sight seems similar to *simplex* Mosely. However, the dorsomesal process that in lateral aspect appears to be process *a* proves on closer inspection to be a unique development that overlies the true process *a*. We suggest it may be process *j* with its free end directed apicad, rather than basad as is usual.

**Leptonema chila Flint**

*Figures 449–455; Map 17*

*Leptonema chila* Flint, 1967a:15, 16, figs. 64–67 [holotype ♂, in USNM].

**Type-Locality.**—Near Chilpancingo (route 95, km 297), Guerrero, Mexico.

**Distribution.**—Mexico.

**Material Examined.**—MEXICO, Edo. Guerrero, nr. Chilpancingo, rt 95, km 297, 15–16 May 1935, Flint and Ortiz, ♂ holotype, 1♀, 2♂ paratypes. *Edo. Chiaspas, Finca Vergel, 11 May 1935, A. Dampf, ♂; same, but 12 May 1935, ♂; same, but 19 May 1935, ♂; same, but 8 June 1935, ♂. Material in CNC, INHS, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The examples from Chiapas differ slightly from the types in that the apex of lobe *b* is not angled sharply laterad, nor is the spiculate ridge on the phallos as strongly developed in these examples. However, the other structures of the genitalia are identical.

It appears to be most closely related to *acutum*, but is immediately distinguished by the fact that the apex of process *g* is not developed into a long, recurved spine in *chila*.

**Leptonema coheni, new species**

*Figures 456–462; Map 19*

**Male.**—Color pale greenish, fading to pale brown; forewing greenish with a spot of fuscous setae at the thyridium, a wavy infusulation along the chord, and at the apex. Length of forewing 15 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅔ width of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅔ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 3–4 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment less than ⅔ length of basal 4 combined. Process of fifth sternum large, round.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart *a* large, basally situated, with basal expansions, *b* small, single, apically situated; lateral lobe rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment long, terete; basal segment 3 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate; *a* weakly bilobed; *b* a pointed, reflexed spine; *g* a sharp, spine-like process directed ventromesad.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Types.**—*Holotype* male, ECUADOR, Pcia. Cotopaxi, 113 km W Latacunga, 4500′, 1 Jul 1975, Langley and Cohen.

*Paratype:* Same data, 1♂.

Holotype and paratype in USNM.

**Remarks.**—The species appears to be rather closely re-
lated to *simplex*. They are easily distinguished by the shape of phallic process *b* and *g*. The latter in *coheni* is especially distinctive as it is sharply pointed and decurved, rather than broadly rounded as in *simplex*.

**Leptonema ekisi**, new species

*Figures 468–470; Map 17*

*Leptonema salvini* Mosely, 1933:64 [misidentification of paratype ♂ from Orosi, Costa Rica].

**MALE.**—Wings and body medium brown; forewing with fuscous hair over nygmata and along chord. Forewing length 22 mm.

Malar space broad, slightly less than 1/2 height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas 3/4 width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–6 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment slightly more than 1/2 length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum small, elongate.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart *a* short, erect; warts *b1* and *b2* with short stalks; lateral lobe broadly rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment about 1/5 as long as basal segment; base of clasper unmodified. Phallobase elongate; *a* semierect in lateral view; *b* long, smooth, reflexed; *f* present, terete, about as long as broad in lateral view; *g* bearing a dorsal lobe with a pair of small apical points and a rounded apical lobe.

**FEMALE.**—Similar to male, slightly larger. Forewing length 25 mm.

**TYPES.**—*Holotype* (male): PANAMA, Pcia. Chiriqui, Bambito, 1500 m, 22 May 1973, G. Ekis.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IBUNAM, MCZ, and USNM.

**REMARKS.**—This species would appear to be one of the simplest species of the *sinuatum* subgroup, probably most closely related to *fortunum*. It differs most noticeably in process *f* of the phallus, which is terete in *ekisi* but bifid in *fortunum*. Process *g* is also differently shaped in the two species, being bilobed in *ekisi* but irregular and pointed in *fortunum*.

**Leptonema fortunum**, new species

*Figures 471–478; Map 20*


**MALE.**—Color in alcohol, uniformly brown. Forewing length 15–18 mm.

Malar space narrow, about 1/6 height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about 1/4 width of malar; postocular area with a row of 3–5 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment about 1/5 length of basal 4 segments combined. Fifth sternum with process large, elongate, ovoid.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart *a* on a short stalk; warts *b1* and *b2* on long stalks; lateral lobe broadly rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment short, terete; basal segment about 4 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, slightly angulate; *a* slightly produced, with a small dorsal point; *b* elongate, reflexed, sinuate; *f* short, tip bifid; *g* developed into a pointed, erect, dorsal lobe and an apical lobe ending in a ventromesal point.
MAP 20.—Distributions of Leptonema hamuli, new species, L. fortunum, new species, L. plicatum Mosely, and L. michoacanense, new species.

FEMALE.—Unavailable.


Paratypes: Same, but various dates between Nov 1976 and Dec 1977, 874 ♂

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, UCB, and USNM.

REMARKS.—This species is quite similar to ekisi. It differs by having phallic process b of moderate length, not strongly toothed, and distinctly sinuate in both dorsal and lateral aspect, e totally lacking, f short, but with a bifid tip, and g-produced into dorsal and ventromesal points.

Leptonema hamuli, new species

FIGURES 479–486; MAP 20

MALE.—Wings and body pale brown (undoubtedly greenish in life); forewing with slight infuscation over chord. Length of forewing 12.5–14 mm.

Malar space very narrow, about ⅓ height of eye. Para- facial and postocular areas about ⅓ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–7 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment barely more than half length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a long, on a flexible base; warts b1 and b2 on long stalks, well separated from wart a; lateral lobe broadly rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment terete; basal segment about 3½ times length of apical segment, unmodified basally. Phallobase elongate; a mostly membranous; b and c both developed into long, slender, basally directed processes; f developed into a pair of laterally directed spines arising from a conical base; g bearing a small dorsal tooth; dorsal margin between a and f bearing a pair of wing-like flaps (perhaps d).

FEMALE.—Unknown.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, INHS, MCZ, and USNM.

REMARKS.—Leptonema hamuli is related to sinuatum but easily distinguished by the aedeagus. It is unique within the plicatum group in having both processes b and c developed and in having dorsolateral wing-like flaps between a and f.

Leptonema heppneri, new species

FIGURES 487–492; MAP 18

MALE.—Color pale brown (probably greenish brown in life), forewing brownish, immaculate. Length of forewing 16–19 mm.

Malar space narrow; about ½ height of eye. Para- facial and postocular areas about ⅓ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–6 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with
fifth segment only slightly shorter than basal four segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, almost round.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with warts a and b1 elongate, erect; b2 reduced to a small knob or setal spot on posteromesal face; lateral lobe rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment long, terete; basal segment 3 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, subapically expanded dorsad, dorsomesal surface concave, semimembranous; b simple, slender, recurved spine, g lacking.

FEMALE.—Similar to male in color; larger, forewing length 18–20 mm.

Types.—Holotype (male): VENEZUELA, Edo. Lara, Yacambú National Park, 13 km SE Sanare, 4800 ft, cloud forest, 4–7 Mar 1978, J.B. Heppner.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, IZAM, and USNM.

Remarks.—This species is virtually identical to araguense. The only significant difference is the loss in heppneri of a pair of spines that subtend phallic process b in araguense.

The distribution of this pair of species is interesting in that araguense appears to be limited to the cloud forests of the coastal range in Aragua, and heppneri occupies a similar position in the next ranges to the west. The two areas are separated by a region of drier, lower hills.

Leptonema inspiratum, new species

Figures 493–500; Map 19

Male.—Color stramineous; forewing immaculate. Length of forewing 15.5 mm.

Malar space very narrow, about ¼ width of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ¼ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–6 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment ¾ length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a elongate, erect, wart b1 shorter, b2 barely discernible, displaced ventromesally; lateral lobe truncate apically, ventral margin strongly sclerotized, sinuate. Clasper with apical segment elongate, terete; basal segment unmodified basally. Phallobase elongate, slightly inflated dorsally before apex, lateral surface deeply indented, forming a concavity in which b rests; b a long arcuate process, giving rise to c basoventrally, which is shorter than b and curves more sharply ventrad; g coarsely serrate dorsally.

Female.—Unknown.

Type.—Holotype (male): PERU, Dpto. Puno, Rio Inambari/Loromayu, 5–6 Sep 1967, L.E. Peña G. Holotype in CNC.

Remarks.—This species appears very close to michoacanense, being separable only by the shape of the processes of the phallus. In inspiratum the process c is beneath process b and is longer and more sharply curved ventrad, and process g has its dorsal margin coarsely serrate.

Leptonema mastigion, new species

Figures 501–507; Map 19

Male.—Color pale brown, probably green in life; forewing indistinctly fuscous over anastomosis. Length of forewing, 12 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅔ width of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas ¼ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 3–4 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment about ¾ length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, rounded.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with 2 elongate warts, probably a and h lateral lobe long, produced and bluntly pointed apically. Clasper with apical segment long, terete; basal segment about 2½ times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, angled; a developed into a thin, erect process, g an erect apicolateral plate produced into a recurved, slender, whip-like filament; j a short, thin, erect process.

Female.—Size and color in alcohol similar to male.

Type.—Holotype (male): ECUADOR, Peia. Los Ríos, Rio Palenque Biological station, 56 km N Quevedo, 250 m, 28–29 Jul 1976, J. Cohen.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC and USNM.

Remarks.—This remarkable new species appears to show a relationship to Leptonema andrea. It is easily distinguished by the shorter apical, whip-like processes of the phallus, which are only reflexed, rather than wrapped around the tip.

Leptonema michoacanense, new species

Figures 508–515; Map 20

Male.—Color brown; forewing indistinctly infuscate along chord. Length of forewing 15–19 mm.

Malar space broad, slightly less than ⅔ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅔ height of malar; postocular area with a row of 4–7 stout setae. Maxillary
palp with apical segment 4/5 length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a short, erect; warts b1 and b2 short; lateral lobe broad, apex rounded. Clasper with apical segment short, terete; basal segment 4/5 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, expanded dorsal and laterad subapically; a barely produced, mostly membranous; b elongate, curving basad and ventrad; c short, arising basolaterally from b, rarely surpassing g dorsally; g a large, lightly sclerotized, quadrate lobe.

FEMALE.—Similar to male. Forewing length 15–20 mm.

Types.—Holotype (male): MEXICO, Edo. Michoacán, San Lorenzo, rt 15, km 206, 19 July 1966, Flint and Ortiz.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, IBUNAM, and USNM.

Remarks.—This species is virtually identical to plicatum, differing only in characteristics of the genitalia. The apical segment of the clasper is noticeably shorter, and both processes b and c are present on the phallus of michoacanense. It also resembles inspiratum, however, processes b, c, and g are differently formed in these two species.

Leptonema plicatum Mosely

Figures 516–524; Map 20


Type-Locality.—V. de Atitlán [= Volcan de Atitlán, Dptos. Sololá and Suchitepéquez, Guatemala].

Distribution.—Guatemala and Mexico.


Remarks.—The species is most closely related to michoacanense from which it differs in the proportionately longer apical segment of the clasper and the loss of phallic process c.

Leptonema salvini Mosely

Figures 525–531; Map 21


Type-Locality.—Volcan de Chiriqui, Panama.

Distribution.—Panama.


Remarks.—The male from Orosi, Costa Rica recorded by Mosely (1933:64) is a male of the species here described as eksi; thus salvini has not yet been taken in Costa Rica.

The species is most closely related to vitum, from which it differs as follows: process b of phallus shorter, smooth, and with apex directed mesad, and with process g a simple, erect, point.

Leptonema simplex Mosely

Figures 532–538; Map 19


Type-Locality.—Loja, Ecuador.

Distribution.—Ecuador.

Material Examined.—ECUADOR, Loja, 11 Aug 1896, P. Dognin, 1♂ holotype. Holotype in BMNH.

Remarks.—The species is the least complex member of the group and quite close to plicatum. It differs from the latter only in the apex of the phallus, especially in the more prominent process a, much shorter process b, and lack of dorsal, subapical swellings on the phallotheca.

Leptonema sinuatum Mosely

Figures 539–545; Map 21


Type-Locality.—Gorgona Island, Colombia.

Distribution.—Colombia, Panama.
Material Examined.—PANAMA, Canal Zone, Barro Colorado Island, 1–9 May 1964, S.S. and W.D. Duckworth, 1♂; same, but 10–17 May 1964, 1♂; same, but 20–23 May 1964, 1♂; same, but 12 Mar 1967, M.E. Irwin, 1♂; same, but 19 May 1977, Silberglied and Aiello, 1♂. [Pcia. Panama], Cerro Campana, 11–14 Jul 1967, O.S. Flint, Jr., 2♀, 2♂. [Pcia. Colon], Porto Bello, 19 Feb 1911, A. Busck, 1♂; same, Feb 1911, 1♂. Com. San Bias, 2 km S Nusagandi, 3 Mar 1985, Flint and Louton, 1♂. Material in CNC, INHS, UCR, and USNM.

Remarks.—This species is most closely related to turrialbum, but differs in that wart a of the tenth tergum arises close to wart b, phallic processes are directed laterad over process b, and process a bears a pair of short, pointed projections.

Leptonema turrialbum, new species

Figures 546–553; Map 21

Male.—Wings and body medium brown; forewing with indistinct fuscous marks over chord and in anal cell. Forewing length 14–16 mm.

Malar space very narrow, about ⅛ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅛ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–8 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment almost ⅛ length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a long, on a flexible stalk; warts b1 and b2 on long stalks, well separated from wart a; lateral lobe broadly rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment about ⅛ length of basal segment; base of clasper unmodified. Phallus elongate; a mostly membranous, tip darkened; b long, curving basad and ventrad; e represented by a linear row of teeth; f erect in lateral aspect, directed posteriad, bifid; g bearing a small dorsal tooth and an apical point hooked laterad.

Female.—Similar to male, but darker brown, larger. Forewing length 15–17 mm.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, IBUNAM, UKAL, and USNM.

Remarks.—This species is closely related to sinuatum. It differs by having warts a and b of the tenth tergum well separated, the points of phallic process f point posteriad, e reduced to a row of spines, a lacks the pair of pointed projections, and the tip of g is developed into a small, laterally directed hook.

Leptonema vitum, new species

Figures 554–560; Map 21

Male.—Color brown (probably green when alive); forewing brown, slightly darker along chord. Length of forewing 14–15 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ½ height of eye. Parafacial area in middle about ¼ width of malar. Postocular area slightly narrower than parafacial; with a row of 3–4 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment ⅜ length of
basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, about as in salvini.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a short, erect; wart b1 short, b2 elongate, with a long stalk; lateral lobe broadly rounded apically. Clasper with apical segment ¼ as long as basal segment; base of clasper unmodified. Phallobase elongate; process a semierect in lateral aspect; process b elongate, reflexed, with small points, tip directed ventrad; process g produced into a large, dorsally directed tooth basally, with apex serrate and directed mesad.

**Female.**—Similar to male. Forewing length 16–17 mm.


Paratypes: Same data, 1♀; same, but 19–20 Mar 1965, 1♂.

Holotype and paratypes in USNM.

**Remarks.**—This species is closely related to salvini. It differs in that processes b are long and sinuate with their tips directed ventrad, and bear small points on their surfaces, and processes g are produced into a serrate apicominal lobe in addition to a large, basodorsal tooth.

**Leptonema woldianum**, new species

Figures 561–568; MAP 22


**Male.**—Color in alcohol, uniformly brown. Forewing length 15–17 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅓ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅔ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 4–6 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment slightly less than ⅙ length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, elongate, ovoid.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a long, on a flexible stalk; b1 and b2 on long stalks, separated from a; lateral lobe rounded apically, with a shallow basolateral pocket. Clasper with apical segment terete, short; basal segment long, slender, over 4 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, basal section barely angled; a bearing a short dorsal point; b very long, recurved, with a dorsal branch from midlength; e represented by a few scattered spinules, with tip produced into a pointed dorsolateral flap; f developed into a pair of short, erect, dorsal points; g semierect, ending in a small point.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Type.**—Holotype (male): PANAMA, Pcia. Chiriqui, Rio Chiriqui, Fortuna dam site, week 41 1977, H. Wolda (OTU #59).

Paratypes: Same, but various dates between Nov 1976 and Dec 1977, 1♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, UCB, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—This species belongs to the sinuatatum subgroup, and is most closely related to hamuli. In woldianum the processes e and f are shorter than in hamuli, process b is forked and process c is absent.

**The simulans Group**

**Diagnostic Characters.**—Size moderately large; forewing 15–20 mm. Color greenish with brown overtones to light brown, generally turning to ochraceous after death. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader.
than in male. Malar space narrow or of intermediate width, bare. Fourth segment of maxillary palpus much shorter than third. Basal segment of abdominal sternum with a median suture. Fifth sternal lobes variable in size and shape. Male tenth tergum with warts a, b1, and b2 elongate, all clustered near apex of tergum, e not recognizable; lateral lobe flattened, apex rounded, often with ventral margin twisted laterad. Apical segment of clasper varying in length; basal segment without apicomesal setulae or basal lobes. Phallus with processes b and e present, often reflexed; e, when present, a low, spinulate ridge; f rarely present; g, if present, a broad lateral plate, lacking in some species; j present, usually forked.

**REMARKS.**—This group of 6 species, one divided into 2 subspecies, is closely related to the *plecatum* group. It is easily recognized by the clustering of the warts near the apex of the tenth tergum and having phallic process j present. The group contains *asclepium*, new species, *campanum*, new species, *championi* Mosely, *dyeri*, new species, *simulans simulans* new subspecies, and *spinulum*, new species.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—The species are mostly found in the mountainous regions of southern Mexico and Central America, with one species widely distributed in South America.

**Leptonema asclepium, new species**

**Figures 569-576; Map 22**

**MALE.**—Color pale brown, probably green in life; forewing with faint infuscation along chord. Forewing length 15 mm.

Malar space narrow, about 1/2 width of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas 1/4 width of malar; postocular area with a row of 6–8 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment slightly more than half length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with warts a, b1, and b2 elongate, arising close together at apex of tergum; lateral lobe with apex twisted laterad, dorsal margin broadly and slightly produced, with basal area broad and dorsally continuous with wart b2. Clasper with apical segment very long, terete; basal segment almost 4 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, sharply angled; apex with process b long, pointed, reflexed basad, with process c short, arising from outer face of b; e fused to phallobase, produced into a rounded, spinulate knob dorsolaterally; g a narrow, pointed process; j furcate apically, arms at least 1/3 as long as basal part.

**FEMALE.**—Unknown.


**Paratype:** [Pcia. San José], Carillo, 7 May, Wm. Schaus, 12.

Holotype and paratype in USNM.

**REMARKS.**—This species, which co-exists with *simulans simulans*, is easily distinguished by characters of the genitalia. The twisted apex of the lateral plate of the tenth tergum, the very long apical segment of the clasper, and the position of process e on the phallus are diagnostic for the species. The depth of the fork and general appearance of process j is identical in *simulans mayanum* and *asclepium*, but clearly different from *simulans simulans* and *dyeri*. Although *asclepium* and *simulans simulans* have not yet been taken together, they are in general sympatric.

**Leptonema campanum, new species**

**Figures 577–583; Map 22**

**MALE.**—Color pale greenish, fading to pale brown; forewing with an indication of light fuscous shading along chord. Length of forewing 13–15 mm.

Malar space narrow, about 1/2 height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about 1/4 width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–7 setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment 1/3 length of basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, ovoid (like that of *championi*).

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with warts, a, b1, and b2 arising close together at apex of tergum, each on a short stalk; lateral lobe bluntly pointed apically, posterior face broad and rather strongly sclerotized. Clasper with apical segment elongate, terete; basal segment almost 4 times as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, sharply angled; apex with process b finger-like, erect; c shorter, twisted; f fused to phallobase, in form of an elongate row of spicules ending in a rounded lobe dorsolaterally; g a membranous, rounded, ventrolateral lobe; j elongate, forked apically, arms of fork as long as basal stem.

**FEMALE.**—Similar to male in size and color.

**TYPES.**—Holotype (male): PANAMA, Pcia. Panamá, Cerro Campana, near Chica, 11–14 Jul 1967, O.S. Flint, Jr.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, INHS, and USNM.

**REMARKS.**—This species and *championi* are closely related, and are to be distinguished by the male genitalia. In *campanum* the warts of the tenth tergum have shorter stalks, the apical segment of the clasper is distinctly shorter, process g of the phallos bears no medial pointed lobe, and process j is shorter and more deeply forked.
Leptonema championi Mosely

**Figures 584-591; Map 22**


**Type-Locality.**—Cahabon, [Baja] Vera Paz, Guatemala.

**Distribution.**—Guatemala, Mexico.


**Remarks.**—*Leptonema championi* and the new species *campanum* are closely related. The differences, more fully enumerated under *campanum*, are to be found in the tenth tergum, claspers, and aedeagal processes.

Leptonema dyeri, new species

**Figures 592-599; Map 23**

**Male.**—Pale green, fading to a very pale brown after death; forewing pale green or very pale brown. Forewing length 15-17 mm.

Malar space rather broad, almost ⅓ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas ⅔ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 6–7 bristles. Maxillary palpus with apical segment almost ⅓ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum elongate-oval, rather narrow.

**Genitalia.**—Tenth tergum with warts a, b1, and b2 elongate, arising close together at apex of tergum; lateral plate elongate, apex obliquely truncate. Clasper with apical segment rather short and broad; basal segment short, broad, only slightly more than twice as long as apical, base unmodified. Phallobase elongate, sharply angled; apex with process b long, reflexed basad, barely attaining base of process g; c short and arising from outer face of b; e fused to phallobase, barely produced into a low dorsolateral knob; g narrow, apex rounded; j short, serrate apically, arms about ⅓ as long as stem.

**Female.**—Similar to male in size and coloration.

**Types.**—Holotype (male): HONDURAS, Tegucigalpa, 11 Dec 1919, F.J. Dyer.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC and USNM.

**Remarks.**—*Leptonema dyeri* is closely related to *simulans* and *asclepium*, and is to be distinguished by the male genitalia. The very short clasper, and especially the short, broad apical segment, and the very short process j that is deeply forked are the best distinguishing characteristics. The simple elongate lateral lobe of the tenth tergum separates this species from *asclepium* but not from *simulans*. Similarly, the posterior position of process c of the phallus distinguishes *dyeri* from *simulans*, but not *asclepium*.

The ranges of *dyeri* and *simulans mayanum* are basically

---

**Map 23.**—Distributions of *Leptonema s. simulans* Mosely, *L. s. mayanum*, new subspecies, and *L. dyeri*, new species.
the same, and the two have, in fact, been taken together at one site in Nicaragua. However, it appears that most sites of dyeri are west of those of mayanum. This could mean that dyeri prefers the slightly drier and lower western areas, while mayanum prefers the wetter, higher eastern parts of the region.

Leptonema simulans simulans Mosely

Figures 606–607; Map 23


Type-Locality.—V. de Chiriquí, Panama, 200–300 ft. Distribution.—Panama, Costa Rica.


Remarks.—Leptonema simulans, asclepium and dyeri are three closely related species, to be distinguished only by characteristics of the tenth tergum, claspers and apex of the phallus. In simulans and dyeri the lateral plates of the tenth tergum are simple, elongate lobes, but in asclepium they are strongly twisted and modified. The length of the apical segment of the claspers is different in all three species, dyeri being the shortest and asclepium the longest. Process c in dyeri and asclepium is borne from the posterior face of h, but in simulans it is ventral in origin. Process j in dyeri is short and deeply forked, long and deeply forked in asclepium and simulans mayanum, and long and shallowly forked in simulans simulans.

Between the two subspecies of simulans, 1 can find consistent differences only in the depth of the fork of process j, and in the ranges of the two subspecies.

Leptonema simulans mayanum, new subspecies

Figures 608–609; Map 23

Leptonema pallidum (Ulmer).—Ulmer, 1907b:47 [misidentification of material from Soconusco, Mexico].—Weidner, 1964:84.

Leptonema simulans Mosely.—Mosely, 1933:34 [misidentification of material from Mexico and Guatemala].

ADULT.—Color pale greenish, becoming pale brown after death; forewing infuscate along chord. Length of forewing 15–20 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ½ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas almost as wide as malar; postocular area with a row of 6–8 large setae. Maxillary palpus with apical segment almost ½ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

Genitalia: Identical to that of typical subspecies, except process j of aedeagus deeply forked, arms ½ to ¾ length of stem.

FEMALE.—Similar to male in size and coloration.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, IBUNAM, INHS, SDMNH, and USNM.

Remarks.—The difference between the two subspecies of simulans appears to be limited to the depth of the apical fork of process j of the phallus. In mayanum the process is more deeply forked, being ½ to more than ¾ (example from Michoacán) of the total length of the process. The form of the other parts of the genitalia appears to be identical in the two subspecies.

The ranges of the two subspecies are distinct. The nominate subspecies is known only from Costa Rica and Panama. To the north it is separated from the range of mayanum by an apparently unsuitable lowlying area along the Costa Rican-Nicaraguan border. North of this area, mayanum is found in the highlands well into central Mexico.
**Leptonema spinulum, new species**

Figures 610–617; Map 24

**Male.**—Wings and body pale green, fading to brown; forewing slightly infuscate for apical third. Length of forewing 15–16 mm.

Malar space very narrow, about $\frac{1}{6}$ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about $\frac{3}{4}$ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5 setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment $\frac{3}{4}$ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum large, ovoid.

**Male Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with warts a, b1, and b2 elongate, arising in close proximity, b2 deflexed into ventromesal position; lateral lobe with a sinuate, sclerotized ventral margin, behind which is a distinct concavity and a more lightly sclerotized mesal lobe. Clasper with apical segment terete; basal segment straight, unmodified basally, over 4 times as long as apical segment. Phallobase elongate; a bilobed; b apically produced into an elongate, pointed apical lobe, and c a short, basally rounded lobe with a small dorsal point; e completely fused to phallobase, more or less outlined by a row of spinules; f present; j two-parted, one a short, nearly erect point basally, other a small apical lobe whose tip lies to one side or other.

**Female.**—Similar to male in coloration; slightly larger, forewing 16–18 mm.

Dorsal aspect. Basal segment of clasper without apicomesal closely related to the group and contains three complexum generally present and pointed, well developed.


d, stem; apex with process pointed in a well developed, b. catum Mosely. species: insulanum un

tripartitum, new species, and Banks, c. lateral aspect, often very broad in dorsal, e. base rather narrow, elongate, obliquely angled to axis of spiculate lobe, with large, basomesal process. Phallus with gate, blade-like with a well-developed basal process; mesal Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader nor narrower than in male. Malar space narrow, bare. Male tenth tergum does possess process that is present only in this group. j, third slightly infuscate; green often fading to pale brown. However, it is different from the other species of the group. However, it does possess process j that is present only in this group.

The insulanum Group

Diagnostic Characters. —Size moderate; forewing 12–15 mm. Color pale green, forewing generally with apical third slightly infuscate; green often fading to pale brown. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibiae of female not broader than in male. Malar space narrow, bare. Male tenth tergum with warts a and b well developed, erect, lateral lobe elongate, blade-like with a well-developed basal process; mesal lobe well developed, surpassing lateral lobe, very broad in dorsal aspect. Basal segment of clasper without apicomisal spinucle lobe, with large, basomesal process. Phallus with base rather narrow, elongate, obliquely angled to axis of stem; apex with process a well developed, b pointed in lateral aspect, often very broad in dorsal, c lacking, e and generally d present and pointed, f well developed.

Remarks. —This well-marked group of species is most closely related to the complexum group and contains three species: insulanum Banks, tripartitum, new species, and uncatum Mosely.

Distribution. —The group is restricted to the Andes in northern and northwestern South America.

Leptonema insulanum Banks

Figures 618–623; Map 24


Type-Localities. —Of insulanum: San Juan, Puerto Rico [undoubtedly either mislabelled or label misinterpreted]. Of ulmerti: Venezuela.

Distribution. —Venezuela.


Remarks. —This and tripartitum are sister species. The primary differences are to the found in the phallic of the two species, especially in processes that are unitary rather than trifid. These species also appear to be allopatric, with insulanum being found in the Andes of central Venezuela, and tripartitum in the Andes in western Venezuela and Colombia.

Leptonema tripartitum, new species

Figures 624–631; Map 24

Male. —Wings and body pale green; forewing pale green slightly infuscate for apical third. Forewing length 14–15 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅛ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅜ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 4–5 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment about ⅘ length of basal 4 combined. Processes of fifth sternum ovoid, rather small.

Genitalia: Tenth tergum with wart a basal, low with enlarged setal bases, b semierect arising from inner face of lateral lobe subapically; lateral lobe blade-like with ventral margin bearing a narrow process; with a well developed inner lobe surpassing lateral lobe. Clasper with basal segment about 4 times as long as apical, without an apicomisal spinucle lobe; basomesal process well developed, pointed apically. Phallus with base narrow, elongate, obliquely angled to stem; apex apparently lacking processes b and c, apex modified into a pouch opening obliquely upward from which the end of the ejaculatory duct protrudes, beneath which protrudes a pair of flat lobes (g?); d a simple, deflexed process; e a long, erect, apically serrate process; f elongate, trifid in dorsal aspect.

Female. —Pale green; slightly larger, 15–17 mm. Hindwing lacking yellow cellule.

Type. —Holotype (male): COLOMBIA, Dpto. Antioquia, Quebrada Honda, 12 km SW Fredonia, 1450 m, 22 Feb 1983, O.S. Flint, Jr.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IZAM, UNCMB, and USNM.

REMARKS.—The species is very closely related to insulanum. In tripartitum the basomesal process of the clasper is shorter, process d of the phallus shorter and decumbent, and process f is tripartite in dorsal aspect rather than a simple lobe.

**Leptonema uncatum Mosely**

**FIGURES 632–636; MAP 24**


**TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Sozonoco, Colombia.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Colombia.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.**—COLOMBIA, Sozonoco, 800 m, Jun, E. Candelli, holotype d. Ed. Meta, Quebrada Blanca, 3 km W Restrepo, 11 Feb 1982, O.S. Flint, Jr., d. Holotype in MCZ; material in USNM.

**REMARKS.**—The type-locality is not found in any gazetteer available to us. The label is handwritten by N. Banks and is probably an orthographic error for Susumaco. De Marmels (1985) has recently discovered this locality on the road from Bogotá to Villavicencio near the boundary line between the Departments of Cundinamarca and Meta, which is very close to the locality of the other known example.

The form of the male tenth tergum, clasper, and aedeagus show that this species is clearly a member of the group, however, it is more distantly related to the other two than they are to each other. The processes of the phallus are quite different in uncatum as is the shorter and very broad basomesal lobe of the clasper.

**The pallidum Group**

**DIAGNOSTIC CHARACTERS.**—Size intermediate; forewing 11–20 mm. Color pale greenish, generally turning pale ochreous after death. Hindwing of females of many species with a yellow cell composed of densely packed, yellowish, scale-like hairs on each side of Cu2. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibia not broader in female than in male. Malar space narrow and bare. Fourth segment of maxillary palpus 2, scale-like hairs on each side of Cu. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Apical segment short. Phallus with process a prominent, processes b and c usually elongate, processes d, e, and f usually present; all processes, except a and g spicate.

**REMARKS.**—The following eight species comprise the pallidum group: albovirens (Walker), alceatum, new species, archboldi Flint, moselyi, new species, pallidum Guérin, ramosum, new species, spangleri, new species, and viridianum Navás.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—The group is the most widespread of any in the genus in the New World. Species have been taken from southwestern United States to northern Argentina, including the Lesser Antillean islands as far north as Dominica. They are often found in warmer lowland waters, providing the streams are reasonably shallow and fast-flowing with a rocky bottom.

**Leptonema albovirens (Walker)**

**FIGURES 1, 4–6, 13, 637–646; MAP 25**

*Macronema albovirens Walker, 1852:76 [lectotype d, in BMNH].


*Leptonema guatemalum Banks, 1913:89, Pl. IV: figs. 9, 11. [holotype d, in MCZ].—Flint, 1967b:8 [synonymy].


**DISTRIBUTION.**—USA (Texas), Mexico, Guatemala, Belize, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, Trinidad, Tobago, Grenada, St. Vincent. Mosely (1933:47) lists British Guiana: these specimens are ramosus, new species.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED.**—Material is too numerous to list, but we have seen thousands of specimens, at least a few from each country listed above. Map 25 gives the outline of the known distribution of albovirens, but omits many localities in the central part of the range. In fact, albovirens is the "weedy" species of the genus.

**REMARKS.**—The species is very closely related to moselyi and we still have doubts as to the validity of the two species. However, because the males differ in the degree of development of the processes d and e of the phallus and the size of the fifth sternal processes, the females in presence or absence of the yellow cell in the hind wing, and that Flint collected both forms at the same sheet on the same night (Mexico, Morelos, Xochitipec, 12–14 Jul 1965), we are herein treating the two as distinct species.

**Leptonema alceatum, new species**

**FIGURES 647–654; MAP 26**

**MALE.**—Wings unicolorous, pale sandy-brown, probably greenish in life. Forewing length 13–15 mm. Malar space narrow, about ½ height of eye. Parafacial
MAP 25.—Distributions of *Leptonema albovirens* (Walker), *L. moselyi*, new species, and *L. archboldi* Flint.

MAP 26.—Distributions of *Leptonema alceatum*, new species, and *L. pallidum* Guérin.
and postocular areas about as wide as malar; postocular area with a row of 5–7 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment less than half as long as basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum pyriform, of intermediate size.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum lacking warts; lateral lobe elongate, apex projecting and rounded, ventral margin sclerotized and twisted with a finger-like process (of variable length) directed posteriorly. Clasper relatively short and stout; apical segment short, basal segment about 5 times as long as apical with a few spinulose setae apicomasally, base without processes but transverse. Phallus with base broad, elongate, slender, reflexed with many bearing large setae; g strongly reflexed and spinulate.

**Phallobase, and process**

In *Leptonema archboldi* the clasper is longer and there is no apicomasal lobe bearing the spinose setae of the basal segment in *archboldi*.

The female of this species lacks the yellow cellule in the hindwing.

**Leptonema moselyi, new species**

**FIGURES 660–668; MAP 25**

*Leptonema moselyi* (Walker).—Mosely, 1933:46, fig. 130 [in part, variant d from Cuernavaca, Mexico].

**MALE.**—Wings and body uniformly pale greenish, rapidly fading to brownish white. Forewing 11–13 mm. Malar space very narrow, scarcely ½ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about as wide as malar; postocular area with a row of 2–6 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment almost ½ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum relatively small, elongate.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with warts a and b small, apical in position; lateral lobe blade-like, extending as a flat plate beyond warts, apex obliquely truncate. Clasper with basal segment slightly more than three times as long as apical segment, with a few apicomasal spinose setae, without a basomeral lobe. Phallus with base angled; a protruding, bilobed in dorsal aspect; b short, reflexed, serrate; c short, reflexed, serrate; d short, deflexed; e short, generally hooked apicad; g rounded, knob-like in both lateral and ventral aspects.

**FEMALE.**—Larger, forewing 15–17 mm. Lacking yellow cellule on vein Cu.

**Type.**—*Holotype* (male): MEXICO, Edo. Morelos, Xochitepec, 12–14 Jul 1965, Flint and Ortiz.

**Paratypes:** Same data, 4d, 29; same, but Cuautla, 27 Jul 1937, A. Dampf (MF6247), 2d, 39; same, but 28 Aug 1937, MF6248, 1d, 39; same, but 30 Aug 1937, MF6249, 1d, 69; same, but 31 Aug 1937, MF6250, 2d, 69; same, but 2 Sep 1937, MF6259, 4d, 39; same, but 23 Sep 1937, 1d, 119; Cuernavaca, 26 Mar 1932, A. Dampf (MF2492), 1d, same, but 13 May 1932, MF2570, 1d; 15 mi S Cuernavaca, 15 Dec 1946, F.S. Ross, 1d; Camomillas, 9 May 1942, A. Dampf (MF9697), 1d; Sn. Rafael Vicente Aranda, 26 Mar 1982, H. Velasco, 5d, 49; same, but 2 Oct 1982, 3d; Rio Amacuzac, Huajintlan, 28 May 1983, Porras and Castelrejon, 23d; Ticumán, 8 Jan 1981, J. Bueno, 5d; same, but 13–14 Mar 1981, 69; Morelos, 15 Mar 1977, 1d; Edo. México, Chapingo, 13 Jun 1924, A. Dampf (MF203), 2d; Edo. Michoacán, San José de Purúa, 28 Apr 1979, J. Bueno, 8d, 32; 5 mi W Apatzingán, 1200’, 13 Aug 1941, H. Hoogstraal, 1d, 19; same, but la Majada, moist jungle, 12 Aug 1941, 1d; Edo. Oaxaca, Tamazulapan, 7–8 Jun 1967, Flint and Ortiz, 7d, 12; Edo. Durango, 23 mi S Durango, 6000’, 3 Jul 1964, W.R.M. Mason, 1d; Rt 45, Río Melones, Nombre de Dios, 17 Aug 1977, J. Bueno S., 10d, 29. Mexico, [no further
locality], A. Dampf (MF1704, 1738, 1557), 3♂. Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CAS, CNC, IBUNAM, INHS, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—The species is very closely related to *albovirens*. The range of *moselyi* is totally enclosed in that of the *albovirens*. The type series was collected intermingled at the sheet with *albovirens* from which it was not distinguished at the time.

The males differ by having the fifth sternal processes noticeably smaller in *moselyi*, and by having a more truncate lateral lobe of the tenth tergum and by having the processes of the phallos much reduced. The females are easily distinguished as *moselyi* lacks the yellow cell in the hindwing.

**Leptonea pallidum Guérin**

*Figures 669–673; Map 26*

Leptonea pallida Guérin, 1843:396 [sex, disposition, and existence of type unknown].—Fischer, 1963:171.

Leptonea furcatum Ulmer. 1905a:57, 58, figs. 50, 51 [lectotype ♂, in PAN].—Weidner, 1964:84.—Flint, 1966:5, 6 [lectotype designated].

Hydropsyche flagellata Jacquinart, 1962:6–10, figs. 5–8 [holotype ♂, in IRSNB] [new synonymy].

**Type-Locality.**—Of *pallidum*: Brazil. Of *furcatum*: Espírito Santo, Brazil. Of *flagellata*: Bomanca, Edo. de Río.

**Distribution.**—Argentina, Brazil.

**Material Examined.**—ARGENTINA, Pcia. Misiones, Dept. Frontera, San Antonio, 20 Dec 1966, Martínez, 1♂. BRAZIL, Distrito Federal, Parque do Gama, 10 Oct 1971, Muntroe and Brown, 1♂. Edo. Rio de Janeiro, km 54, 26 km E Nova Friburgo, 19 Apr 1977, C.M. and O.S. Flint, Jr., 4♂, 1♀; same, but 25 Apr 1977, 5♂, 9♀; Cachoeiras de Macacu, 800 m, 15 Oct 1985, S.E. Miller, 1♂; Rio Macacu, N Cacheiras de Macacu, 650 m, 16 Oct 1985, S.E. Miller, 1♂; Fazenda Japuhyba, Angra dos Reis, 6 Jul–22 Sep 1945, L. Travassos F., 1♂, 7♀. Edo. Espírito Santo, Fazenda Santa Clara, 15 km SE Santa Teresa, 22 Apr 1977, C.M. and O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♂. Edo. Goias, 24 km E Formosa, 14–29 May 1956, F.S. Truxal, 7♂; Chapada dos Veadeiros, 18–24 km N Alto Paraíso, 1400–1500 m, 2–5 Nov 1985, S.E. Miller, 1♂. Edo. São Paulo, 10 km N Rio Preto, 13 Jan 1977, L. Knutson, 1♂ Edo. Minas Gerais, Serra do Cipó, km 110, 23 Sep 1976, C.G. Froehlich, 1♀; same, but 5–8 Oct 1975, 1♂, 4♀; same, but 21 Dec 1974, 1♀; same, but 117, 18 Dec 1973, 1♂; same, but Rio Capivara, 6 Feb 1974, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 18 Apr 1975, 1♂; same, but 18 Dec 1973, 1♂, 1♀; same, but caminho da usina, afl. Rio Capivara, 19 Apr 1975, 1♂, 3♀; same, but 21 Sep 1976, 3♂, 2♀; same, but 22 Sep 1976, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 20 Dec 1974, 1♂, 1♀; same, but Rio Brumquinho, 7 May 1974, 1♂. Material in CNC, LACM, MACN, USNM, and USP.

**Remarks.**—Mosely (1933:7, 8) gives an extensive analysis of the range of this species, and concludes that all records of the species from Mexico and Central America are erroneous. We also accept his conclusion (Mosely, 1939) that *pallidum* and *furcatum* are synonyms. Flint’s experience with live examples in Brazil verifies the color of the living examples as being pale greenish.

The females of this species generally have the yellow cell on vein Cu2 in the hindwing, but many examples from some localities lack it entirely.

This species seems to be very variable in the comparative lengths of the processes of the phallos and lobes of the tenth tergum. Individuals from each locality differ to some degree from examples from other sites. However, all contain the same processes arising from the same position, although one locality has reduced processes b and bl to short truncate knobs. A male from Serra do Cipó has even lost the pointed, basally directed process d, and the round lobe associated with its base.

**Leptonea ramosum, new species**

*Figures 674–682; Map 27*

Leptonea albovirens (Walker).—Mosely, 1931:170 [misidentification]; 1935:47 [misidentification of material from British Guiana only].

Leptonea dissimile Mosely.—Flint, 1974:101, figs. 225–227 [misidentification].

Leptonea viridians Navas.—Flint, 1978:384, figs. 55, 56 [misidentification of example from Rio Marauia, Brazil].

**Male.**—Wings and body uniformly pale greenish, rapidly fading to brownish white. Forewing 10–14 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅓ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas ⅓ as wide as malar space; postocular area with a row of 3–5 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment less than half as long as basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum moderately large, round.

**Genitalia.**—Tenth tergum with warts a and b small, apical; lateral lobe elongate, rounded apically, apex with a pocket on inner side into which wart b is set. Clasper with a small patch of spines near apex of inner face, basal segment 4 times as long as apical; with a basosmal lobe that is truncate in either lateral or posterior aspect. Phallos with base large, angled to axis of stem; process a arched, with a middorsal crest; b and c long, reflexed, spinulate; d, pointed, decurved; e reduced to a row of spinules on phallobase; g a pointed lobe, produced caliper-like across the venter.

**Female.**—Similar, pale greenish; hind wing with a yellow cell on vein Cu2. Length of forewing 13–15 mm.


Paratypes. Same data, 1♂; Salto Pará, Rio Caura, 250 m, 20–22 Nov 1978, A. Chacon H., 8♂, 10♀; Kanarukini, 450 m. 2 Feb 1967, Fernandez and D’Ascoli, 1♂, 1♀. [T.F. Amazonas], Mt. Duida, 15–22 Nov 1929, Tate Expedition,


**Other Material:** GUYANA, Kieteur, 26 May 1920, J. Ogilvie, 1♀. Issororo, N.W.D., Dec 1918, G.E. Bodkin, 1♀.

Holotype in USNM; paratypes in AMNH, IZAM, RNH, and USNM; material in BMNH.

**Remarks.**—This species is the sister species of *viridianum*. It differs in not having the basomesal lobe of the clasper produced into a point, in the phallus by lacking process e as a free hook, and in lesser degree in the lobes of the tenth tergum.

---

**Leptonema spangleri**, new species

**FIGURES** 683–690; **MAP 27**

**Male.**—Uniformly pale green; wings unicolorous, pale greenish. Forewing length 12–13 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅛ height of eye. Parafacial area as wide as malar, postocular area narrower; postocular area with a row 3–4 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment slightly more than ⅛ length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth segment ovoid, small, anterior margin slightly elevated.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with a single rectangular wart middorsally (a ?); lateral lobe rounded, in dorsal aspect with...
apex rectangularly produced, ventral margin sclerotized and twisted with a small basoventral pocket. Clasper with apical segment short; basal segment almost 4 times as long as apical, with a few apicominal blunt setae, no basomesal process. Phallus with base broad, angled to axis of stem that is slightly sinuate; process a arched, in dorsal aspect with a small apical excision; b long, reflexed, spinulose with a strong basal spine (c); d a long, spinulose process arising from base of e; e a membranous lobe bearing large setae; g elongate, slender, semi-erect.

**Female.** — Similar, pale green, longer, forewing 14–15 mm. Hindwing with yellow cell.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in IZAM and USNM.

**Remarks.** — This very closely related to *alecatum* and is found to north of the range of the latter. It is easily recognized by the large middorsal wart of the tenth tergum, and phallic process a lacking the dorsal crest and d arising directly from e, which is wholly free of the phallotheca.

**Leptonema viridianum Navás**

**Figures 691–698; Map 27**

---

**Type-localities.** — Of *viridianum*: Bahia, Brazil. Of *dissimile*: Peia, Sara, Bolivia.

**Distribution.** — Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Guyana, Paraguay, Peru, and Venezuela.


**ECUADOR,** Peia, Pastaza, Puyo, 3280', 30 Jan 1976, Spangler et al., 19; same, but 1–11 Feb 1976, Spangler et al., 59, 109; same, but 29 May 1975, Cohen and Langley, 19; same, but 5–17 May 1977, Spangler and Givens, 86, 259; same, but 5 km S Puyo, 8 May 1977, 29; same, but 1.5 km NE Puyo, 14 May 1977, 19; same, but 1.5 km S Puyo, 14 May 1977, 19; same, but 21 May 1977, 29, 49; same, but 3 km N Puyo, 30 May 1975, Langley and Cohen, 29; Road between Puyo and Puerto Napo, Feb 1964, C. Gregoire, 29, 19. Peia, Zamora-Chinchipe, Zamora, 31 May 1976, Langley et al., 19; Cumburataza, 12 Jun 1976, Langley et al., 19. Dpto. Napo, Tena, 23–29 May 1977, Spangler and Givens, 96, 129.


**Remarks.** — The identity of *viridianum* and the synonymy of *dissimile* were discussed by Flint (1978:384).

The species is similar in size and color to *albovirens*, *ramosum*, and several of the other species of the group. It is easily distinguished from all by the elongate, pointed baso-ventral pocket of the claspers, and the details of the processes of the phallus. The female of this species possesses the yellow cell on vein Cu2 in the hindwing.

**The complex Group**

**Diagnostic Characters.** — Size moderate; forewing length to 15 mm. Color pale green; forewing generally green, often with apical third slightly infuscate; green generally fading to pale brown. Tibial spurs 2, 4, 4. Middle tibiae and tarsi of females of some species distinctly dilated. Malar space narrow, bare. Basal abdominal segment without a median suture. Male tenth tergum with warts a and b well developed, generally broad and divided, with apex very broad in dorsal aspect; lateral lobe ovoid, dorsal margin distinct, apex generally surpassed in lateral aspect by median
lobe, ventral margin basally with a distinct groove, often with an associated lobe to one side. Basal segment of clasper without apicominal spiculate lobe, base generally without lobe (present in trifidum). Phallus with base narrow to broad; apex with processes b, c, d, and e usually present and well developed, process f rarely present.

Remarks.—The 11 species comprising this group may be easily divided into two distinct species groups: the inca subgroup consists of inca Mosely and harpagum, new species; and the complexum subgroup of album Mosely, banksi Mosely, cheesmanae Mosely, complexum Mosely, forficulum Mosely, furciligerum, new species, intermedium Mosely, rosenbergi Mosely, and trifidum, new species. The inca subgroup is most easily recognized by the form of the phallus, especially the strong process f and the truncate shape of process a. In general the other subgroup lacks f (present in cheesmanae, rosenbergi, and trifidum) and always has process a formed as an arched, free lobe.

Distribution.—The group is found along the Andes of western and northern South America from Bolivia to Venezuela, and north in southern Central America as far as Costa Rica.

**Leptonema album Mosely**

*Figures 699-705; Map 28*

Type-Locality.—Ecuador.

Distribution.—Ecuador.


Remarks.—This pale greenish species has a rather distinctive variation of the complexum-type genitalia. The outstanding features that distinguish it from related species are: median lobe of tenth tergum well developed; clasper with a very long apical segment; phallic process c very rudimentary, but processes d and e longer than in most species, the latter process arising almost dorsomesally; no evidence of process f.

*Leptonema banksi* Mosely

Figures 706–710; Map 28


Type-Locality.—Bogota [Colombia].

Distribution.—Colombia.

Material Examined.—[COLOMBIA], Bogotá, 77♂, holotype ♂. Holotype in MCZ.

Remarks.—This species has a distinctive variation of the complexum-type genitalia. The outstanding features that distinguish it from related species are: median lobe of tenth tergum well developed; clasper with a very long apical segment; phallic process c very rudimentary, but processes d and e longer than in most species, the latter process arising almost dorsomesally; no evidence of process f.

*Leptonema cheesmanae* Mosely

Figures 711–717; Map 29


Type-Locality.—Gorgona Island, Colombia.

Distribution.—Colombia, Panama.


Remarks.—The specimens from Panama are similar to the Colombian examples except that process e is longer and decumbent. Mosely’s (1933) figure 153, does not appear to show process f, although it is clearly present in figure 152 (it is present).

The species is closely related to harpagum with which it shares the presence of process f on the phallus. The apical area of the phallus is more extended and all of the processes are much more elongate in cheesmanae than in harpagum.

*Leptonema complexum* Mosely

Figures 718–724; Map 30


Type-Locality.—Bugaba, Panama.

Distribution.—Costa Rica, Panama.

Leptonema forficulum Mosely, 1933:52-54, figs. 154-159 [as L. forficula; holotype ♂, in MCZ].—Fischer, 1963:168.

**Type-Locality.**—Cabima, Panama.

**Distribution.**—Panama.

**Material Examined.**—PANAMA, [Canal Zone], Cabima, 26 May 1911, A. Busck, holotype ♂, 1♂ topotype; Rio Agua Salud, pipeline road, 8–12 Jul 1967, Flint and Ortiz, 1♂, 1♀; Barro Colorado Island, Jun 1940, J. Zetek, 1♂. COM. San Blas, Rio Carti Grande, 2 km W Nusagandi, 5 Mar 1985, Flint and Louton, 2♀. Material in AMNH, CNC, IBUNAM, UKAL, MCZ, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—This species is very close to complexum from which it differs in possessing one or several small dorsal processes from the base of phallic process e, and in having the apically directed lobe of process d broad, obliquely truncate and with the ventral margin serrate.

**Leptonema furciligerum**, new species

**Figures** 731–738; **Map** 29

**Male.**—Wings and body pale green; forewing pale green slightly infuscate for apical third. Forewing length 14 mm.

Malar space narrow, about ⅛ height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about ⅜ width of malar; postocular area with a row of 5–7 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment slightly more than ⅓ as long as basal 4 segments combined. Process of fifth sternum large, oval.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a1, high lateral, connected to a2, which extends mesad across tergum; b1 erect, b2 an irregular setate mound; lateral lobe blade-like, ventromesal margin with a parallel, setate, mesal ridge, ventrally with a thumb-like process. Clasper with basal segment 2½ times as long as apical, without a spinulose lobe apicomesally; lacking basomesal process. Phallus with base narrow, elongate, obliquely angled to stem; apex with a erect, with tip shallowly divided in dorsal aspect; b and c elongate, slender, apices directed dorsad; d with basal arm long, slender, sparsely serrate apicad, apical arm, elongate, tip pointed; e directed basoventrally, deeply divided, ventral arm may have apex divided.

**Female.**—Unknown.


**Remarks.**—This species is very closely related to complexum, and may be no more than a variant of it. However, material from both Cabima and Barro Colorado Island, Panama, can be segregated into both forms with no doubt. Based on the coexistence of the two at the same locality, then, we hold them as distinct species.

In forficulum process e of the phallus lacks any dorsal spine or spines, and the apical lobe of process d is long and slender.

**Leptonema forficulum Mosely**

**Figures** 725–730; **Map** 30


**Type-Localirty.**—Cabima, Panama.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Panama.

**Material Examined.**—PANAMA, [Canal Zone], Cabima, 15 Jul 1967, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♂, 1♀. Pcia. Panamá, Cerro Campana, near Chica, 11–14 Jul 1967, O.S. Flint, Jr., 1♂; same, but 12 Jun 1967. Triplehorn and DeLong, 2♂, 1♀. Canal Zone, Barro Colorado Island, Apr 1940, J. Zetek, 1♂; same, but 24 May 1940, 1♂; same, but 8 Apr 1935, A. Friedman, 1♂; same, but 25 Nov, M. Bates, 3♂; same, 4 Dec, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 28–30 Apr 1964, S.S. and W.D. Duckworth, 2♂; same, but 1–9 May 1964, 2♂; same, but 10–17 May 1964, 1♂, 1♀; same, but 7 Jan 1929, C.H. Curran, 1♂; Cabima, 24 May 1911, 1♂. Pcia. Colón, Porto Bello, 24 Feb 1911, A. Busck, 1♂; paratype of forficulum. COM. San Bias, Rio Carti Grande, 2 km W Nusagandi, 5 Mar 1985, Flint and Louton, 2♀; Quebrada Pingandi, 9 km N Nusagandi, 1–2 Mar 1985, Flint and Louton, 1♂. Holotype in MCZ; material in USNM.

**Remarks.**—The species is very close to forficulum from which it differs in possessing one or several small dorsal processes from the base of phallic process e, and in having the apically directed lobe of process d broad, obliquely truncate and with the ventral margin serrate.
plexum and forficulum. It is most easily recognized by the processes of the apex of the phallus, especially the elongate, pointed apical arm of process d and the position and form of process e.

**Leptonema harpagum, new species**

*Figures 739-746; Map 28*

**Male.**—Color in alcohol, pale brown. Length of forewing 14.5 mm.

Malar space narrow, about 1/4 height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about 1/3 width of malar; postocular area with a row of 4 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment not quite half length of basal 4 combined. Processes of fifth sternum large, almost round.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a a large, squat, basal lobe, wart b a slender, elongate apical lobe; lateral plate elongate, rounded apically, dorsal margin not well delimited, with a distinct, turned-up, ventrolateral lobe. Clasper with basal segment more than 3 times as long as apical segment; basal segment with neither apicomesal spiculose patch nor basomesal lobe. Phallus with base enlarged, angled to axis of stem; process a prominent, truncate apically, slightly bilobate in dorsal aspect; b and c elongate, narrow, pointed, semierect; d with a distinct base, basal arm long, serrate apicad, apical arm shorter, pointed; e an erect process, curved over dorsum; f a long, slender lobe.

**Female.**—Unknown.

**Type.**—Holotype (male): PERU, [Dept. Huanuco].

**Remarks.**—The species is very closely related to *inca*, but has been taken to the north of the latter. The apical processes of the apex of the phallus, especially the elongate, pointed apical arm of process d and the position and form of process e.

**Leptonema inca Mosely**

*Figures 747-753; Map 28*


**Type-Locality.**—Pachitea, Peru.

**Distribution.**—Bolivia, Peru.


**Remarks.**—This is the sister species of *harpagum*. Differences are only to be found in the male genitalia, and are enumerated under *harpagum*. The range of *inca* is distinctly to the south of that of *harpagum*.

**Leptonema intermedium Mosely**

*Figures 754-760; Map 31*


**Type-Locality.**—Chimbo, Ecuador.

**Distribution.**—Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Panama.


MAP 31.—Distributions of Leptonema intermedium Mosely, L. trifidum, new species, and L. rosenbergi Mosely.

Flint and Louton, 1♂, 1♀. Material in CAS, CNC, CU, IBUNAM, INHS, MCZ, RNH, UKAL, USNM, and VPISU.

REMARKS.—In many ways *intermedium* is similar to *rosenbergi*; they agree in size and color and in most characteristics of the male genitalia. However, *intermedium* does not have phallic process *f*, while *rosenbergi* does, but *intermedium* does have process *e* while *rosenbergi* does not.

The midtibia and tarsus of female *intermedium* are distinctly broader than those of the fore and hindlegs, as noted by Fischer (1945).

**Leptonema rosenbergi Mosely**

**FIGURES** 761–767; **MAP** 31


**TYPE-LOCALITY.**—Cachabé, Ecuador.

**DISTRIBUTION.**—Colombia, Ecuador.


**REMARKS.**—The topotype available to us shows a very small process *e* laterally near the base of process *f*, otherwise Mosely’s figures seem very accurate. Fischer (1945) states that the females in the topotypic series possess dilated midlegs in this species as well as in *intermedium*.

As mentioned under *intermedium*, these are sister species. The presence of phallic process *f* in *rosenbergi* distinguishes it from *intermedium* where this process is lacking.

**Leptonema trifidum, new species**

**FIGURES** 768–775; **MAP** 31

**MALE.**—Color pale green; forewings greenish with some apical infuscation. Length of forewing 12–14 mm.
Malar space moderate, about 1/5 height of eye. Parafacial and postocular areas about 1/4 width of malar; postocular area with a row of 3–5 stout setae. Maxillary palpus with fifth segment about 1/4 length of basal 4 segments combined. Processes of fifth sternum small, ovoid.

**Genitalia:** Tenth tergum with wart a low, with enlarged setal bases, in dorsal aspect elongate and often partially divided, wart b apical in position also divided; lateral plate elongate, ovoid, ventral margin with a basal groove. Clasper with basal segment 3 times as long as apical; with a pointed basomesal lobe, but no apicomesal lobe. Phallic base with base enlarged, angled to stem; apex with process a prominent; processes b and c slender, pointed, d trident, with 2 caudally and 1 anteriorly directed arms (their precise lengths and curves, very variable); e directed basad, long and serrate; f a long, slender spike.

**Female.** Similar in size and coloration to male.


Holotype in USNM; paratypes in CNC, INHS, and USNM.

**Remarks.**—This is a very distinctive member of the *complexum* group. It differs from all other species of this group by having an extra lobe from phallic process d and process f as long as the basal lobe of process d.

### Nomina Dubia

#### Leptonema nygmosum Navás


**Type-Local.**—Colombia, Department of Cauca.

**Distribution.**—Colombia.

**Remarks.**—Except that Navás specifically mentioned that the type was in his collection, "(Coll. m.)," the remarks under *naevosum* apply equally well here.

### Phylogenetic Considerations

The problem of formulating a family tree to express our concept of the evolutionary history of a group resolves itself ultimately into finding out what conditions of each character are the primitive ones and which ones are the derived conditions in the group under study. The best clues to these attributes can only come from knowing their conditions in related taxa. An early step in attempting to unravel the evolution of *Leptonema*, therefore, was to analyse its relationship to other genera in its subfamily and family.

*Leptonema* belongs in the subfamily Macronematinae, in the family Hydropsychidae. Ross (1956:10) recognized four subfamilies but did not explore their affinities, only defining and listing them in assumed order of evolutionary complexity, namely: Arctopsycheæ, Diplectroninae, Hydropsychinae, and Macronematinae. The Arctopsycheæ are frequently considered to be an independent family (Martynov 1924, Schmid 1980), but are placed in essentially the same phylogenetic position.

The Arctopsycheæ contain only two genera, *Arctopsyche* McLachlan and *Parapsyche* Betten, exhibiting many primitive characters: the fore- and hindwings are both elliptical; postcostal cell short and wide; antenna thick and about as long as forewing; the male genitalia have long intermediate appendages (derived from the epiprocts of the eleventh abdominal segment); the larval head has a large gular area completely separating the genal valves; and the abdominal gills are branched primarily at their tips. On the basis of these characters the Arctopsycheæ are a more primitive group than the other three. In male Arctopsycheæ, however, the two segments of the claspers are partially fused, a derived condition. These conditions in the Arctopsycheæ, therefore, appear to be clear evidence of the first known branching of the evolutionary line in the Hydropsychidae. In the branch leading to the Arctopsycheæ most of the characters remained primitive, but the claspers became specialized through partial fusion of their segments. In the branch leading to the ancestral form, which ultimately evolved into the other three subfamilies, the claspers remained distinctly two-segmented, but the shape and venation of the hindwing became increasingly different from the forewing and the postcostal cell of the forewing became...
long and narrow and the intermediate appendages in the male genitalia disappeared (Weaver 1985). In the larva the genal areas of the head became pinched together, thereby dividing the gular area, and each abdominal gill gained more whorls of branches.

Certain genera of the Diplectroninae, notably *Oropysche* Ross and *Homoleptra* Ross, show few specializations other than those just mentioned and, in addition, have a relatively complete wing venation. They appear to be the most primitive genera of the Diplectroninae. In the hindwings of these two genera the apices of the subcostal and radial veins curve slightly forward; in other genera of the subfamily this feature becomes greatly exaggerated. Wing coupling in the Diplectroninae is apparently accomplished with a jugum, as it is in the Arctopsychinae and in many other primitive genera in other families. In the larva the posterior portion of the divided gular sclerite is still relatively large.

The Hydropsychinae have the same type of larval gills as do the Diplectroninae, but the posterior portion of the gular sclerite is reduced further in size to no more than a small point. However, they exhibit two specializations in their wings. In the hindwing the apex of vein Sc1 has fused with the apex of vein R1, and the fused veins continue as a single straight vein to the margin of the wing. In the forewing the under side of vein 1A possesses a long row of fairly stout hairs that function as part of the wing-coupling mechanism. The forewings are markedly narrower than the hindwings, a trait apparently associated with more rapid flight.

In the Macronematinae the anterior margin of the hind wing has a long row of hamuli that hook into a linear crease just anterior to the anal vein of the forewing (which has no row of hairs beneath vein 1A such as is found in the Hydropsychinae). In the hindwing, fusion of the tips of the anterior veins has progressed even further than in the Hydropsychinae, involving a fusion of R2 as well as R1. In fact, the venation becomes very complex in certain genera of the subfamily (e.g., Polymorphanisini), primarily by irregular fusion of the veins, not by their loss, and the anal area of the hindwing is greatly expanded in the male. These conditions being specializations for faster flight than in the Hydropsychinae (Schmidt, in litt.). In addition, each of the abdominal gills of the larva has about 6 whorls of gill branches. *Leptonema* possesses these characters.

Two alternative interpretations of all these factors appear to be the only logical explanations of the evolution of the groups involved. All three subfamilies, the Diplectroninae, Hydropsychinae, and Macronematinae, could have evolved from an ancestor that was similar to *Oropysche* in all respects except the slightly bent vein tips. It is necessary simply to postulate that in the Hydropsychinae the wing-coupling setae evolved on vein 1A of the forewing, whereas in the Macronematinae the wing-coupling setae evolved on the front margin of the hindwing, and that the branching of the larval abdominal gills became more complex. Such an arrangement would represent a trichotomy arising from an ancestor immediately common to all three lines. However, since in both the Hydropsychinae and Macronematinae the front wings became narrower and the tips of the apical veins became fused in the hindwings, it is perhaps more probable that the hydropsychine-macronematine line arose from this common ancestor and that this line later divided and evolved into the two subfamilies Hydropsychinae and Macronematinae. Thus, according to one alternative, the Macronematinae arose directly from a primitive diplectronine-like ancestor, according to the other alternative it arose indirectly from a diplectronine-like ancestor in which the forewings had become narrower, some fusion of vein tips has occurred in the hindwing, and flight was more rapid.

*Leptonema* has been considered an anomalous genus within the Macronematinae by many authors, and a number of its discrepant characters were outlined in detail by Ulmer (1957) and Marlier (1962). A comparison of these and other characters of the genus with other genera of other subfamilies, revealed its most probable phylogenetic position within this subfamily. In our comparison the following characteristics of *Leptonema* were instructive: the second segment of the maxillary palpus is usually much longer than the third or fourth segment; the posterior warts on the head, situated almost on the posterior margin are longer and more distinctly delineated than the anterior warts, which are very poorly defined; the wing venation is extremely primitive compared with that of the other macronematines; the larval proventriculus possesses only simple spines, the vestiture of the larval abdomen is markedly diplectronine as is the head shape, which lacks the carinae or specialized structures present in the larvae of many other genera of the Macronematinae. Since these characters are either common in, or distinctive of, primitive genera of the other subfamilies of Hydropsychidae (e.g., *Smicridea* in the Hydropsychinae, and most Diplectroninae and Arctopsychinae), it seems probable that they typified the ancestor of the Macronematinae. We believe these data indicate that *Leptonema* is a little-changed representative of a very early branch of the Macronematinae. Unfortunately we are unable to discover any derived characters that serve to prove the monophyletic nature of the genus *Leptonema*.

**Phylogeny of Leptonema Species Groups**

In a perusal of 105 adequately known species of *Leptonema*, one is impressed with the existence of two large groups based on the structure of the phallus and tenth tergum. One-third of the species have these structures rather simple (Figures 14–146, 181–301); the other two-thirds have additional processes on these parts and in many
species they have become highly complex (Figures 324–775). This raises questions concerning the delineation of the genus itself, especially so considering that we were unable to discover any apomorphic characters to prove monophyly. However, because the species share so many characters of wing venation, maxillary palpi, warts of the head, tibial spurs, abdominal sternum, and other parts and they collectively differ from other macronematine genera in the same characters so decidedly, that we believe they form a monophyletic unit and are able to derive all the species groups from a common ancestral form.

A major problem concerning the phylogeny of these species is the determination of whether the complex type of phallus and tenth tergum represents the primitive type for the genus (from which simpler types arose through simplification and reduction), or whether the simple type was the primitive form from which the complex types evolved. A comparison of these structures in *Leptonema* with the same structures in other genera of Hydropsychidae suggests the latter alternative is more likely, because many of the simple types of copulatory organs are remarkably similar to those found in other genera of the subfamily Macronematinae, as well as to simpler types found in other subfamilies of the Hydropsychidae, such as the genera *Diplectrona*, *Potamyia*, *Cheumatopsyche*, *Calosopsyche*, and *Hydropsyche* (s.s.). From such comparisons we provisionally accepted that the relatively simple structures of the male genitalia were primitive in *Leptonema* and that the complicated structures evolved through addition or division of parts.

With this hypothesis as a basis, an attempt was made to arrange the species according to logical steps in a progression from the simple to the complex type. This attempt exposed two major difficulties. First, many complex phallic organs are very different from each other, indicating that probably several different lines of specialization occurred within these types. Secondly, it was difficult to know which of the simpler types exemplified the probable ancestral condition from which the complicated types evolved. This led to a search for additional characters in the genus that might throw light on either of these two problems.

A character was discovered that appears to divide the entire genus into two primary groups. In one large group, the second abdominal sternum (the first apparent or basal sternum) has a pronounced median suture that represents an internal phragma (Figure 644). Almost all species with this suture have a relatively complex phallus and tenth tergum and conversely, all those species lacking the suture have a relatively simple phallus. The suture is also present in most other genera of the Macronematinae, in some Arctopsychinae, and in many, especially the primitive, genera of the Diplectroninae and Hydropsychinae. These data suggest that the possession of a suture is the primitive condition and that the loss of the suture is a derived condition, and that the species lacking it may form a single branch of the genus. Because practically all of the species lacking the suture also have the simple genitalia, it is believed that the loss of this suture may indicate the earliest branching of *Leptonema*.

The large group of species with the suture includes only a small number that have a rather simple type of male tenth tergum and phallus. The remaining species possess some sort of addition or specialization of these structures. By a series of trial and error sortings, based on the relative development of a variety of homologous characters, mainly of the tenth tergum and phallus, we have arranged these in what appears to be monophyletic groups whose primitive forms differ from group to group in the addition of new, distinctive parts and/or modifications of existing parts.

The species lacking the suture on the second abdominal sternum present fewer distinctive features of the genitalia that can be used as a basis for grouping the species. Using other characters, however, including the number of tibial spurs, shape of the female midtibia and tarsus, the vestiture of the body, plus some characters of the phallus and claspers, it is possible to group these species, also, into what appear to be monophyletic clusters.

On the basis of the evidence before us we propose the following as the general trends of evolution of the various species groups in the genus *Leptonema*. This is not intended to be a cladistic analysis for we have no derived characters to define many of the lines. But we hope it may be of value to others to have our ideas on record, tentative as they may be.

The ancestor of *Leptonema* (Dendrogram, ancestor 1) probably had a suture on the second abdominal sternum, a simple tenth tergum and phallus, two spurs on the foretibia, and colored and patterned forewings. The *cinctum* group could well be a little-changed descendant of ancestor 1, because it possesses all of these primitive characters.

From ancestor 1, one lineage specialized in the loss (or near loss, as some specimens or species in a few groups still show an incomplete suture) of the suture of the second sternum, while retaining a comparatively simple tenth tergum and phallus: this leads to ancestor 2. A second lineage from ancestor 1 became more specialized and complex in the structure of the phallus, and most groups also developed a more complex tenth tergum, but lost the complex color pattern while retaining the suture on the second sternum (leading through ancestor 5).

From ancestor 2, one line leading to ancestor 3 retains the primitive states of two front tibial spurs, relatively strongly colored and often patterned forewings, a simple phallus, and the tenth tergum has wart a large. The *affine* group consisting of six species, all limited to Madagascar, is characterized by an unusually large median lobe to the tenth tergum, a distinctive specialization. The second line from ancestor 3 lost one apical spur on the foreleg and the color pattern in the forewings. However, the tenth tergum remains rather unmodified, especially so in the *occidentale*
The apex of the phallus becomes modified, but in manners totally different from that of the New World species.

A second line arose from ancestor 2, specializing by the development of coarse setulae from near the apex of the basal clasper segment, and giving rise to ancestor 4. From here arose a line in which the female midtibiae and tarsi became broadened. The crassum group further specialized by losing the wing patterning, but developed two strong, dark spots at the base of the forewing and the body became hairy. The sparsum group specialized in developing a distinctive color pattern: a band of silver-colored hair in the costal cell of the forewing and along the lateral margins of the pronotum and head, the rest of the forewing being regularly irrorate.

The second line arising from ancestor 4 specialized in the reduction of the spurs on the foreleg to one and the development of new lobes and odd conformations of the tenth tergum. The amazonense group changed little from this ancestral form, although producing more bizarre forms to the tenth tergum and modifying the phallic opening to some degree in the various species. In the davisi group the modification of the phallic apex reaches a complexity match-
ing that of any other lineage, but does not seem to be homologous to any of them.

Now we return to the lineage derived from ancestor 1, which retained the basal abdominal suture. The line leading to ancestor 5 offers one strong apomorphic characteristic, the apex of the phallus develops some sort of processes. The speciosum group is a good example of this stage, differing only in this characteristic from the cinctum group, but from the remainder of the lineage in the manner of fusion of the new lobes of the phallus. The other descendant line lost the colored wing pattern and developed a blade-like lateral lobe and wart b on the tenth tergum at ancestor 6.

From this ancestral form the sigmosum group developed by the production of a ring of dark hairs around the mygmatia, the low, rounded warts a and b on the tenth tergum, and of setae, either short or long, on the processes of the phallus. The other line giving rise to ancestor 7 developed elongate warts a and b on the tenth tergum and the phallus developed process g.

One descendant line from ancestor 7 changed little from this pattern, but within it, the species offer all sorts of variations on the development of phallic processes b, c, g, and f. One specialized offshoot of this line that developed a process j, usually long and furcate, is recognized as the simulans group. The other line without j is recognized as the plicatum group. The other line descending from 7 developed processes d and/or e on the phallus, giving rise to ancestor 8.

From 8, two descendant lines arose differing in whether phallic process d is divided into anterior and posterior arms, or is simple. The divided state gave rise to the complexum group. The simple state of d gave rise to two groups. In the insulanum group the ventral margin of the lateral lobe of the tenth tergum developed a process, but warts a and b remained long and in a typical position. In the pallidum group the apex of the basal clasper segment developed a patch of enlarged setulae, and the warts a and b were displaced apicad on the tenth tergum, and reduced in size or totally lost and the lobes became modified.

**Historical Biogeography**

Two circumstances concerning the known distribution of *Leptonema* are of paramount importance. First, all known species occur on two widely separated continents, Africa (including Madagascar) and neotropical America. Second, all extant species occur in tropical or near-tropical portions of these continents. Because of this latter circumstance, all information indicates that the genus has been a tropical one during its entire evolutionary history. Taken in conjunction with the distribution of the genus, if this probability is true, then it becomes very improbable that dispersal could have taken place through temperate or arctic regions. Thus, the possibility of a fragmented Gondwanan distribution becomes more likely.

When the geographic distribution of the species groups are added to the family tree of *Leptonema* several items become apparent. First, the large branch including all the progeny of ancestors 5 through 8 almost certainly evolved in neotropical America because all its known species are American. If species of this branch dispersed to or from other areas, no evidence of it has yet come to light. The same is true of that branch arising from ancestor 2, which embraces the crassum, sparsum, amazonense, and davisi groups. By the same reasoning, the other branch arising from ancestor 2 and embracing the affine, occidentale, and normale groups probably evolved in the African area.

In the absence of fossil evidence, the only method of estimating the time at which ancestor 2 occurred are by (1) measuring the amount of change that has come about in daughter lineages and (2) establishing the number of species that have differentiated from it. The first is not necessarily associated in direct proportion to the passage of time (Simpson, 1944; Ross, 1956), nor is the latter (Ross, 1962). Hence all that can be said concerning the age of ancestor 2 is that it probably existed early in the evolution of *Leptonema*. Because *Leptonema* is the oldest offshoot of a line that has since evolved into a large, widespread, and varied assemblage of genera (the more specialized Macronematinae), an early form of *Leptonema* could conceivably have lived in the Cretaceous on Gondwanaland. During the Cretaceous with the breakup of Gondwanaland (Dietz and Holden, 1970) ancestor 3 was probably isolated on the African block, or dispersed thereto across the incipient South Atlantic. Similarly one daughter lineage from ancestor 3 was isolated on, or dispersed to, Madagascar at a somewhat later date.

A recent trans-Atlantic dispersal event, such as has been shown to be possible by Williams (1958), was at first thought to exist in *Leptonema*. The type of *L. tholloni* (Navás) is labelled to be from Gabon (West Africa), but the species is a closely related member in the agraphum species group that is otherwise only known from Brazil. However, we have recently discovered a few more examples of *tholloni* from Brazil. It is now apparent that the type must have been mislabelled, and that the species does not occur in Africa.
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FIGURES 14–19.—*Leptonema cinctum* Ulmer, male: 14, genitalia, lateral; 15, clasper, posteroverntral; 16, tenth tergum, dorsal; 17, apex of phallus, posterior; 18, same, lateral; 19, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 20–24.—Leptiona lacuniferum Flint, male: 20, genitalia, lateral; 21, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 22, clasper, posteroventral; 23, apex of phallus, dorsal; 24, same, lateral.
FIGURES 25–31.—*Leptonema lojaense*, new species, male: 25, genitalia, lateral; 26, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 27, fifth sternum, ventral; 28, clasper, posteroverentral; 29, apex of phallus, dorsal; 30, same, lateral; 31, same, posterior.
FIGURES 32–38.—*Leptonema menkei*, new species, male: 32, genitalia, lateral; 33, clasper, posteroventral; 34, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 35, apex of phallus, lateral; 36, same, posterior; 37, same, dorsal; 38, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 39–44.—*Leptonema piliferum* Schmid, male: 39, genitalia, ventral; 40, same, lateral; 41, same, dorsal; 42, apex of phallus, dorsal; 43, same, posterior; 44, fifth sternum, ventral.
FIGURES 45–51.—Leptonema pseudocinctum, new species, male: 45, genitalia, lateral; 46, clasper, postero-ventral; 47, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 48, apex of phallus, lateral; 49, same, posterior; 50, same, dorsal; 51, fifth sternum, ventral.
FIGURES 52-55.—*Leptonema pseudostigmatum* Flint, male: 52, genitalia, lateral; 53, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 54, phallus, lateral; 55, same, dorsal.
Figures 56–63.—*Leptonema affine* Ulmer, male: 56, genitalia, lateral; 57, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 58, fifth sternum, ventral; 59, clasper, posterodorsal; 60, apex of phallus, dorsal; 61, same, ventral; 62, same, posterior; 63, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 64–71.—Leptonema conicum, new species, male: 64, genitalia, lateral; 65, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 66, apex of phallus, dorsal; 67, same, ventral; 68, same, posterior; 69, fifth sternum, ventral; 70, maxillary palpus, lateral; 71, clasper, posteroventral.
FIGURES 72–79.—Leptotomus madagascariensis Ulmer, male: 72, genitalia, lateral; 73, clasper, posterovertral; 74, apex of phallus, posterior; 75, same, lateral; 76, same, dorsal; 77, same, ventral; 78, genitalia, dorsal; 79, fifth sternum, ventral.
Figures 80–87. *Leptonema milae* Sykora, male: 80, genitalia, lateral; 81, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 82, clasper, posteroventral; 83, apex of phallus, posterior; 84, same, dorsal; 85, same, ventral; 86, fifth sternum, ventral; 87, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 88-95.—*Leptome nupharum*, new species, male: 88, genitalia, lateral; 89, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 90, fifth sternum, ventral; 91, apex of phallus, dorsal; 92, same, ventral; 93, same, posterior; 94, clasper, posteroventral; 95, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 103–106.—Leptonema zahradni Sykora, male: 103, genitalia, lateral; 104, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 105, clasper, posterovertral; 106, apex of phallus, dorsal.

FIGURES 107–114.—Leptonema guineense Gibbs, male: 107, genitalia, lateral; 108, clasper, posterovertral; 109, same, example from Ivory Coast; 110, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 111, fifth sternum, ventral; 112, apex of phallus, lateral; 113, same, dorsal; 114, same, ventral.
FIGURES 115–122.—Leptonema marlieri, new species, male: 115, genitalia, lateral; 116, clasper, postero-ventral; 117, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 118, apex of phallus, lateral; 119, same, dorsal; 120, same, ventral; 121, maxillary palpus, lateral; 122, fifth sternum.
FIGURES 123–130.—Leptonema natalense Mosely, male: 123, genitalia, lateral; 124, same, dorsal; 125, apex of phallus, lateral; 126, same, dorsal; 127, same, ventral; 128, fifth sternum, ventral; 129, clasper, posterovesentral; 130, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 131–138.—*Leptonema occidentale* Ulmer, male: 131, genitalia, lateral; 132, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 133, genitalia, ventral; 134, phallus, lateral; 135, same, dorsal; 136, same, ventral; 137, fifth sternum, ventral; 138, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 139–146.—Leptonema vanderysti Navás, male: 139, genitalia, lateral; 140, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 141, clasper, posteroventral; 142, apex of phallus, lateral; 143, same, dorsal; 144, same, ventral; 145, fifth sternum, ventral; 146, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 147-154.—*Leptonema aberrans*, new species, male: 147, genitalia, lateral; 148, clasper, postero-ventral; 149, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 150, phallus, lateral; 151, same, dorsal; 152, same, ventral; 153, fifth sternum, ventral; 154, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 155–162.—*Leptonema alatum* Marlier, male: 155, genitalia, lateral; 156, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 157, clasper, posteroventral; 158, apex of phallus, lateral; 159, same, dorsal; 160, same, ventral; 161, fifth sternum, ventral; 162, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 163-172.—Leptonema latipenne Marlier, male: 163, genitalia, lateral; 164, clasper, posteroventral; 165, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 166, apex of phallus, lateral; 167, same, dorsal; 168, same, ventral; 169, fifth sternum, ventral; 170, maxillary palpus, lateral. Male from Abidjan: 171, genitalia, lateral; 172, apex of phallus, lateral.
FIGURES 173–180. — *Leptonomia normalis* Banks, male: 173, genitalia, lateral; 174, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 175, clasper, posterovertral; 176, phallus, lateral; 177, same, dorsal; 178, same, ventral; 179, fifth sternum, ventral; 180, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 181–188.—Leptonema aspersum (Ulmer), male: 181, genitalia, lateral; 182, clasper, posteroventral; 183, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 184, fifth sternum, ventral; 185, phallus, lateral; 186, same, dorsal; 187, same, ventral; 188, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 189–194.—Leptonema poei (Banks), male: 189, genitalia, lateral; 190, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 191, phallus, lateral; 192, same, dorsal; 193, same, ventral; 194, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 195–202.—Leptonema rostratum, new species, male: 195, genitalia, lateral; 196, clasper, postero-ventral; 197, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 198, fifth sternum, ventral; 199, apex of phallus, dorsal; 200, same, ventral; 201, same, lateral; 202, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 203–210.—*Leptonema sancticaroli*, new species, male: 203, genitalia, lateral; 204, clasper, poster-oventral; 205, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 206, apex of phallus, lateral; 207, same, dorsal; 208, same, ventral; 209, fifth sternum, ventral; 210, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 211-218.—*Leptonema sparsum* (Ulmer), male: 211, genitalia, lateral; 212, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 213, phallus, lateral; 214, same, dorsal; 215, same, ventral; 216, fifth sternum, ventral; 217, genitalia, ventral; 218, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 219–225.—*Leptonema columbianum* Ulmer, male: 219, genitalia, lateral; 220, clasper, posteroventral; 221, genitalia, dorsal; 222, apex of phallos, dorsal; 223, same, lateral; 224, fifth sternum, ventral; 225, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 226–233.—*Leptonema crassum* Ulmer, male: 226, genitalia, lateral; 227, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 228, apex of phallus, lateral; 229, same, posterior; 230, same, dorsal; 231, clasper, posteroventral; 232, maxillary palp, lateral; 233, fifth sternum, ventral.
Figures 234–242.—Leptonema divaricatum, new species, male: 234, genitalia, lateral; 235, clasper, postero-ventral; 236, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 237, apex of phallus, posterior; 238, same, lateral; 239, same, dorsal; 240, same, ventral; 241, fifth sternum, ventral; 242, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 243–250.—Leptonema guyanense, new species, male: 243, genitalia, lateral; 244, clasper, posterio-ventral; 245, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 246, apex of phallus, lateral; 247, same, dorsal; 248, same, posterior; 249, fifth sternum, ventral; 250, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 251–256.—*Leptonema hirsutum* Flint, male: 251, genitalia, lateral; 252, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 253, clasper, posteroventral; 254, apex of phallus, dorsal; 255, same, lateral; 256, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 257–263.—Leptonema mandibulatum, new species, male: 257, genitalia, lateral; 258, clasper, posteroverentral; 259, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 260, fifth sternum, ventral; 261, apex of phallus, dorsal; 262, same, lateral; 263, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 264–270.—Leptonema amazonense Flint, male: 264, genitalia, lateral; 265, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 266, phallus, lateral; 267, same, dorsal; 268, clasper, posteroverentral; 269, fifth sternum, ventral; 270, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 271–278.—*Leptonema chocoense*, new species, male: 271, genitalia, lateral; 272, clasper, posterodorsal; 273, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 274, apex of phallicus, dorsal; 275, same, ventral; 276, same, lateral; 277, fifth sternum, ventral; 278, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 279–285.—*Leptonema irroratum* Flint, male: 279, genitalia, lateral; 280, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 281, apex of phallus, lateral; 282, same, dorsal; 283, clasper, posteroverentral; 284, fifth sternum, ventral; 285, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 286–293.—*Leptonema maculatum* Mosely, male: 286, genitalia, lateral; 287, same, dorsal; 288, same, ventral; 289, fifth sternum, ventral; 290, apex of phallus, lateral; 291, same, dorsal; 292, same, ventral; 293, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 294–301.—*Leptonema neblinense*, new species, male: 294, genitalia, lateral; 295, clasper, poster-oventral; 296, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 297, apex of phallus, lateral; 298, same, dorsal; 299, same, ventral; 300, fifth sternum, ventral; 301, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 302-308.—*Leptonema aterrimum* Mosely, male: 302, genitalia, lateral; 303, same, dorsal; 304, same, ventral; 305, apex of phallus, lateral; 306, same, dorsal; 307, same, ventral; 308, fifth sternum, ventral.
Figures 309–316.—Leptonema davisi, new species, male: 309, genitalia, lateral; 310, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 311, apex of phallus, lateral; 312, same, dorsal; 313, same, ventral; 314, clasper, posteroscutal; 315, fifth sternum, ventral; 316, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FlGis 317-323.— *Leptonema gadus*, new species, male: 317, genitalia, lateral; 318, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 319, apex of phallus, lateral; 320, same, dorsal; 321, same, ventral; 322, fifth sternum, ventral; 323, clasper, posteroventral.
FIGURES 324–328.—*Leptonema agraphum* (Kolenati), male: 324, genitalia, lateral; 325, same, ventral; 326, same, dorsal; 327, apex of phallus, lateral; 328, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 329–335.—Leponema bifurcatum, new species, male: 329, genitalia, lateral; 330, same, dorsal; 331, same, ventral; 332, apex of phallos, lateral; 333, same, dorsal; 334, same, ventral; 335, fifth sternum, ventral.
FIGURES 336–343.—*Leptomema boraceia*, new species, male: 336, genitalia, lateral; 337, clasper, postero-ventral; 338, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 339, apex of phallicus, lateral; 340, same, dorsal; 341, same, ventral; 342, fifth sternum, ventral; 343, maxillary palp, lateral.
Figures 344–349.—*Leptonema eugnathum* (Müller), male: 344, genitalia, lateral; 345, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 346, clasper, posteroventral; 347, apex of phallus, lateral; 348, same, dorsal; 349, same, ventral.
Figures 350–356.—Leptonema speciosum (Burmeister), male: 350, genitalia, lateral; 351, clasper, poster-ovelral; 352, apex of phallus, lateral; 353, same, dorsal; 354, same, ventral; 355, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 356, fifth sternum, ventral.
FIGURES 357–363.—*Leptonema stigmaticum* Navás, male: 357, genitalia, lateral; 358, clasper, posteroventral; 359, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 360, apex of phallus, lateral; 361, same, dorsal; 362, same, ventral; 363, fifth sternum, ventral.
FIGURES 364–367.—Leponema tholloni (Navás), male: 364, genitalia, lateral; 365, same, dorsal; 366, apex of phallus, lateral; 367, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 368–372.—*Leptonema tridens* Mosely, male: 368, genitalia, lateral; 369, same, ventral; 370, same, dorsal; 371, apex of phallus, dorsal; 372, forewing.
FIGURES 373–380.—*Leptonema trispicatum*, new species, male: 373, genitalia, lateral; 374, clasper, poster-oventral; 375, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 376, apex of phallus, lateral; 377, same, dorsal; 378, same, ventral; 379, fifth sternum, ventral; 380, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 381–386.—*Leptonema auriculatum*, new species, male: 381, genitalia, lateral; 382, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 383, apex of phallus, lateral; 384, same, dorsal; 385, same, ventral; 386, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 387-396.—Leptonema b. bolivense Mosely, male: 387, genitalia, dorsal; 388, same, ventral; 389, same, lateral; 390, apex of phallus, dorsal; 391, same, lateral. Leptonema b. plumosum, new subspecies, male: 392, maxillary palpus, lateral; 393, fifth sternum, ventral; 394, apex of phallus, dorsal; 395, same, ventral; 396, same, lateral.
Figures 397-404.—Leptanema neadelphus, new species, male: 397, genitalia, lateral; 398, clasper, poster-oventral; 399, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 400, apex of phallus, lateral; 401, same, dorsal; 402, same, ventral; 403, fifth sternum, ventral; 404, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Leptotoma spirillum, new species, male: 405, genitalia, lateral; 406, same, ventral; 407, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 408, apex of phallus, dorsal; 409, same, ventral; 410, same, lateral; 411, process of fifth sternum showing internal gland, ventral; 412, fifth sternum, ventral; 413, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 414-418.—*Leptonema sigmosum* Ulmer, male: 414, genitalia, lateral; 415, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 416, fifth sternum, ventral; 417, apex of phallus, lateral; 418, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 419–423.—*Leptonema acutum* Mosely, male: 419, genitalia, dorsal; 420, same, lateral; 421, same, ventral; 422, apex of phallus, dorsal; 423, same, lateral.
FIGURES 424–429.—*Leptonema andrea*, new species, male: 424, genitalia, lateral; 425, clasper, posteroverentral; 426, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 427, apex of phallus, dorsal; 428, same, ventral; 429, maxillary pulpus, lateral.
FIGURES 430-434.—Leptonema araguense Flint, male: 430, genitalia, lateral; 431, clasper, posteroventral; 432, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 433, phallus, lateral; 434, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 435–440.—Leptonema bilobatum Schmid, male: 435, genitalia, lateral; 436, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 437, fifth sternum, ventral; 438, apex of phallic, dorsal; 439, same, ventral; 440, clasper, posteroventral.
FIGURES 441–448.—Leptonema chiapense, new species, male: 441, genitalia, lateral; 442, clasper, posteroventral; 443, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 444, apex of phallos, lateral; 445, same, dorsal; 446, same, ventral; 447, fifth sternum, ventral; 448, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 449–455.—*Leptonema chila* Flint, male: 449, genitalia, lateral; 450, clasper, posterodorsal; 451, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 452, phallus, lateral; 453, same, dorsal; 454, fifth sternum, ventral; 455, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 456–462.—Leptonema coheni, new species, male: 456, genitalia, lateral; 457, clasper, posterodorsal; 458, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 459, fifth sternum, ventral; 460, apex of phallus, dorsal; 461, same, ventral; 462, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 463–470.—_Leptonema rhiz_, new species, male: 463, genitalia, lateral; 464, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 465, apex of phallus, dorsal; 466, same, ventral; 467, same, lateral; 468, fifth sternum, ventral; 469, clasper, posteroverentral; 470, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 471–478.—*Leptonema fortunum*, new species, male: 471, genitalia, lateral; 472, clasper, posteroventral; 473, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 474, apex of phallus, lateral; 475, same, dorsal; 476, same, ventral; 477, fifth sternum, ventral; 478, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 479-486. — *Leptonema hamuli*, new species, male: 479, genitalia, lateral; 480, clasper, posterolateral; 481, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 482, fifth sternum, ventral; 483, apex of phallicus, dorsal; 484, same, ventral; 485, same, lateral; 486, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 487–492.—Lepismena heppneri, new species, male: 487, genitalia, lateral; 488, clasper, postero-ventral; 489, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 490, apex of phallus, dorsal; 491, fifth sternum, ventral; 492, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 493–500.—*Leptonema inspiratum*, new species, male: 493, genitalia, lateral; 494, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 495, process of fifth sternum, ventral; 496, genitalia, ventral; 497, phallus, lateral; 498, same, dorsal; 499, same, ventral; 500, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 501–507. — *Leptonema mastigion*, new species, male: 501, genitalia, lateral; 502, apex of phallus, dorsal; 503, same, ventral; 504, clasper, posteroverentral; 505, fifth sternum, ventral; 506, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 507, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 508–515.—*Leptonema michoacanense*, new species, male: 508, genitalia, lateral; 509, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 510, apex of phallus, lateral; 511, same, dorsal; 512, same, ventral; 513, fifth sternum, ventral; 514, clasper, posteroventral; 515, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 516–524.—*Leptonema plicatum* Mosely, male: 516, genitalia, lateral; 517, clasper, posteroventral; 518, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 519, apex of phallus, lateral; 520, same, dorsal; 521, same, ventral; 522, fifth sternum, ventral; 523, fifth sternum of female, ventral; 524, maxillary palp, lateral.
Figures 525–531.—*Leptonema salvini* Mosely, male: 525, genitalia, lateral; 526, same, ventral; 527, same, dorsal; 528, apex of phallus, lateral; 529, same, dorsal; 530, fifth sternum, ventral; 531, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 532–538.—Leptonema simplex Mosely, male: 532, genitalia, lateral; 533, same, dorsal; 534, phallus, lateral; 535, same, dorsal; 536, same, ventral; 537, genitalia, ventral; 538, fifth sternum, ventral.
FIGURES 539-545.—*Leptonema sinuatum* Mosely, male: 539, genitalia, lateral; 540, same, dorsal; 541, apex of phallus, lateral; 542, same, dorsal; 543, genitalia, ventral; 544, fourth and fifth sternum, ventral; 545, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 546–553.—Leptonema turrialbum, new species, male: 546, genitalia, lateral; 547, clasper, poster-oventral; 548, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 549, apex of phallus, lateral; 550, same, dorsal; 551, same, ventral; 552, fifth sternum, ventral; 553, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 554–560.—Leptonema vitum, new species, male: 554, genitalia, lateral; 555, clasper, posteroventral; 556, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 557, apex of phallus, lateral; 558, same, dorsal; 559, same, ventral; 560, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 561–568.—Leptonema woldianum, new species, male: 561, genitalia, lateral; 562, clasper, poster-oventral; 563, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 564, apex of phallus, lateral; 565, same, dorsal; 566, same, ventral; 567, fifth sternum, ventral; 568, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 569–576.—Leptonema asclepium, new species, male: 569, genitalia, lateral; 570, clasper, posteroventral; 571, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 572, fifth sternum, ventral; 573, apex of phallus, dorsal; 574, same, ventral; 575, same, lateral; 576, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 577–583.—Leptonema campanum, new species, male: 577, genitalia, lateral; 578, clasper, poster-oventral; 579, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 580, apex of phallus, lateral; 581, same, dorsal; 582, same, ventral; 583, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 584-591.—*Leptonema championi* Mosely, male: 584, genitalia, lateral; 585, fifth sternum, ventral; 586, genitalia, dorsal; 587, apex of phallos, lateral; 588, same, dorsal; 589, same, ventral; 590, genitalia, ventral; 591, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 592–599.—Leptonema dyeri, new species, male: 592, genitalia, lateral; 593, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 594, genitalia, ventral; 595, fifth sternum, ventral; 596, apex of phallus, dorsal; 597, same, ventral; 598, same, lateral; 599, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 600–609.—Leptonema s. simulans Mosely, male: 600, genitalia, lateral; 601, same, dorsal; 602, apex of phallus, lateral; 603, same, dorsal; 604, fifth sternum of female, ventral; 605, fifth sternum, ventral; 606, genitalia, ventral; 607, maxillary palpus, lateral. Leptonema s. mayanum, new subspecies, male: 608, apex of phallus, lateral; 609, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 610–617.—*Leptonema spinulum*, new species, male: 610, genitalia, lateral; 611, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 612, clasper, posterolateral; 613, apex of phallosome, dorsal; 614, same, ventral; 615, same, lateral; 616, fifth sternum, ventral; 617, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 618–623.—Leptonema insulanum Banks, male: 618, genitalia, lateral; 619, same, dorsal; 620, phallos, lateral; 621, same, dorsal; 622, genitalia, ventral; 623, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 624-631.—Leptonema tripartitum, new species, male: 624, genitalia, lateral; 625, clasper, poster-oventral; 626, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 627, apex of phallus, lateral; 628, same, dorsal; 629, same, ventral; 630, fifth sternum, ventral; 631, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 632-636.—*Leptonema uncatum* Mosely, male: 632, genitalia, lateral; 633, same, dorsal; 634, apex of phallus, dorsal; 635, same, lateral; 636, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 637–646.—Leptonema albovirens (Walker), male: 637, genitalia, lateral; 638, same, dorsal; 639, fifth sternum of female, ventral; 640, fifth sternum, ventral; 641, apex of phallus, lateral; 642, same, dorsal; 643, same, ventral; 644, basal abdominal sternum, ventral; 645, genitalia, ventral; 646, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 647–654.—Leptonema alceatum, new species, male: 647, genitalia, lateral; 648, apex of phallus, dorsal; 649, same, ventral; 650, same, lateral; 651, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 652, clasper, posteroven- tral; 653, fifth sternum, ventral; 654, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 655–659.—Leptonema archboldi Flint, male: 655, genitalia, lateral; 656, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 657, clasper, posteroventral; 658, apex of phallus, lateral; 659, same, dorsal.
FIGURES 660–668.—Leptonema moselyi, new species, male: 660, genitalia, lateral; 661, clasper, posteroverentral; 662, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 663, apex of phallus, lateral; 664, same, dorsal; 665, same, ventral; 666, fifth sternum, ventral; 667, fifth sternum of female, ventral; 668, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 669–673.—Leptonema pallidum Guérin, male: 669, genitalia, lateral; 670, same, dorsal; 671, apex of phallus, dorsal; 672, same, lateral; 673, genitalia, ventral.
Figures 674-682.—*Leptonema ramosum*, new species, male: 674, genitalia, lateral; 675, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 676, apex of foretibia; 677, clasper, posteroventral; 678, apex of phallus, lateral; 679, same, dorsal; 680, same, ventral; 681, fifth sternum, ventral; 682, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 683–690.—Leptonema spangleri, new species, male: 683, genitalia, lateral; 684, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 685, apex of phallus, lateral; 686, same, dorsal; 687, same, ventral; 688, clasper, postero-ventral; 689, fifth sternum, ventral; 690, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 691-698. — *Leptonema viridianum* Navás, male: 691, genitalia, lateral; 692, same, dorsal; 693, apex of phallus, lateral; 694, same, dorsal; 695, fifth sternum, ventral; 696, fifth sternum of female, ventral; 697, maxillary palpus, lateral; 698, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 699-705.—*Leptonema album* Mosely, male: 699, genitalia, lateral; 700, same, dorsal; 701, apex of phallus, lateral; 702, same, dorsal; 703, fifth sternum, ventral; 704, maxillary palpus, lateral; 705, genitalia, ventral.
I-CURES 706-710.— _Leptonema banksi_ Mosely, male: 706, genitalia, lateral; 707, same, dorsal; 708, apex of phallus, dorsal; 709, same, lateral; 710, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 711–717.—Leptonema cheesmanae Mosely, male: 711, genitalia, lateral; 712, same, dorsal; 713, fifth sternum, ventral; 714, apex of phallos, dorsal; 715, same, lateral; 716, maxillary palp, lateral; 717, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 718–724.—*Leptonema complexum* Mosely, male: 718, genitalia, lateral; 719, same, dorsal; 720, apex of phallus, dorsal; 721, same, lateral; 722, same of variant form, dorsal; 723, same of variant form, lateral; 724, genitalia, ventral.
Figures 725–730.—Leptonema forficatum Mosely, male: 725, genitalia, lateral; 726, same, dorsal; 727, fifth sternum, ventral; 728, apex of phallus, dorsal; 729, same, lateral; 730, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 731–738.—Leptonema furciligfrum, new species, male: 731, genitalia, lateral; 732, clasper, poster-oventral; 733, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 734, apex of phallus, lateral; 735, same, dorsal; 736, same, ventral; 736, fifth sternum, ventral; 738, maxillary palpus, lateral.
FIGURES 739–746.—Leptonema harpaeum, new species, male: 739, genitalia, lateral; 740, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 741, apex of phallus, lateral; 742, same, dorsal; 743, same, ventral; 744, fifth sternum, ventral; 745, clasper, posteroventral; 746, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 747–753.—Leptonema inca Mosely, male: 747, genitalia, lateral; 748, same, dorsal; 749, fifth sternum, ventral; 750, apex of phallus, dorsal; 751, same, lateral; 752, maxillary palpus, lateral; 753, genitalia, ventral.
FIGURES 754–760.—*Leptonema intermedium* Mosely, male: 754, genitalia, lateral; 755, apex of phallus, dorsal; 756, same, lateral; 757, genitalia, dorsal; 758, fifth sternum, ventral; 759, fifth sternum of female, ventral; 760, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 761–767.—*Leptonema rosenbergi* Mosely, male: 761, genitalia, lateral; 762, same, dorsal; 763, apex of phallus, lateral; 764, same, dorsal; 765, fifth sternum, ventral; 766, maxillary palpus, lateral; 767, genitalia, ventral.
Figures 768-775.—Leptonema trifidum, new species, male: 768, genitalia, lateral; 769, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 770, clasper, posteroverentral; 771, apex of phallus, dorsal; 772, same, ventral; 773, same, lateral; 774, fifth sternum, ventral; 775, maxillary palp, lateral.
FIGURES 776–784.—Leptoneam lunatum, new species, male: 776, genitalia, lateral; 777, clasper, posteroventral; 778, ninth and tenth terga, dorsal; 779, apex of phallus, posterior; 780, same, lateral; 781, same, dorsal; 782, same, ventral; 783, fifth sternum, ventral; 784, maxillary palpus, lateral.
Figures 785-789.—Wings. 785, Leptonema cinctum (Umer); 786, L. lagenense, new species; 787, L. affine (Umer); 788, L. aspersum (Umer); 789, L. sparsum (Umer).
Figures 795–798.—Wings: 795, Leptonema aterrimum Mosely; 796, L. boracea, new species; 797, L. davisi, new species; 798, L. speciosum (Burmeister).
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<td>insulanum</td>
<td>64 (24)</td>
<td>618-623</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermedium</td>
<td>74 (31)</td>
<td>754-760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irritatum</td>
<td>40 (12)</td>
<td>279-285, 792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacuniferum</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>latipenne</td>
<td>28 (6)</td>
<td>163-172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lojaense</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
<td>25-31, 786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lunatum</td>
<td>38 (10)</td>
<td>776-784</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machadoi</td>
<td>28 (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maculatum</td>
<td>41 (12)</td>
<td>286-293, 793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>madagascariense</td>
<td>23 (3)</td>
<td>72-79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandibulatum</td>
<td>38 (9)</td>
<td>257-263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marleri</td>
<td>25 (4)</td>
<td>115-122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mastigion</td>
<td>56 (19)</td>
<td>501-507</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>menkei</td>
<td>18 (1)</td>
<td>32-38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>michoacanense</td>
<td>56 (20)</td>
<td>508-515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>milae</td>
<td>24 (3)</td>
<td>80-87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moselyi</td>
<td>67 (25)</td>
<td>660-668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naevosum</td>
<td>76 (nomen dubium)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natalense</td>
<td>25 (5)</td>
<td>123-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neadelphus</td>
<td>49 (16)</td>
<td>397-404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neblinense</td>
<td>41 (12)</td>
<td>294-301, 794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normale</td>
<td>28 (6)</td>
<td>173-180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nupharum</td>
<td>24 (3)</td>
<td>88-93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nygmosum</td>
<td>76 (nomen dubium)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occidentale</td>
<td>26 (5)</td>
<td>131-138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ochraceum</td>
<td>45 (synonym of eugnathum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pallidum</td>
<td>68 (26)</td>
<td>669-673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piliferum</td>
<td>19 (2)</td>
<td>39-44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plicatum</td>
<td>57 (20)</td>
<td>516-524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poeyi</td>
<td>30 (8)</td>
<td>189-194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudocinctum</td>
<td>19 (2)</td>
<td>45-51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudostigmus</td>
<td>19 (2)</td>
<td>52-55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radiale</td>
<td>34 (synonym of crassum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ramosum</td>
<td>68 (27)</td>
<td>674-682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rosenbergi</td>
<td>75 (31)</td>
<td>761-767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rostratum</td>
<td>30 (7)</td>
<td>195-202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salvini</td>
<td>57 (21)</td>
<td>325-351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sancticarolii</td>
<td>30 (8)</td>
<td>203-210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serratum</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silvestrinum</td>
<td>33 (synonym of columbianum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simplex</td>
<td>57 (19)</td>
<td>532-538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simulans</td>
<td>62 (23)</td>
<td>600-607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simulans</td>
<td>62 (23)</td>
<td>608, 609</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simultum</td>
<td>57 (21)</td>
<td>539-545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spangleri</td>
<td>69 (27)</td>
<td>685-690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sparsum</td>
<td>32 (8)</td>
<td>211-218, 789</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speciosum</td>
<td>45 (14)</td>
<td>350-356, 798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spinulum, 63 (24; 610–617)
spirillum, 50 (15; 405–413)
stigmaticum, 46 (14; 357–363)
stigmosum, 50 (16; 414–418)
tholloni, 46 (14; 364–367)
tridens, 46 (14; 368–372)
triglum, 75 (31; 768–775)
trilobata, 43 (synonym of agraphum)
triptitum, 64 (24; 624–631)
trispatum, 46 (13; 373–380)
turrilbum, 58 (21; 546–553)

ulmeri, 64 (synonym of insulanum)
uncatum, 65 (24; 632–636)
vandernyi, 26 (5; 139–146)
viridianum, 70 (27; 691–698)
vitum, 58 (21; 554–560)
woldianum, 59 (22; 561–568)
zahradniki, 24 (103–106)
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