

Figure 1. Location of Andros Island, the Bahamas (inset) and the 1997 and 1998 AGRRA survey sites in four areas (North, Central, Bights, South) Andros. See Tables 1A, IB for site codes.

ASSESSMENT OF THE ANDROS ISLAND REEF SYSTEM, BAHAMAS (PART 1: STONY CORALS AND ALGAE)

BY

PHILIP A. KRAMER, 1 PATRICIA RICHARDS KRAMER, 1 and ROBERT N. GINSBURG 1

ABSTRACT

Spatially extensive shallow reef crests with high coral cover (particularly *Acropora*) and fore reefs with high topographic complexity were documented during the 1997–1998 rapid assessments of coral and algal indicators in the relatively remote Andros reef system. Apart from the ecological effects of the *Diadema antillarum* die-off, evidence of major disturbance events (hurricanes, bleaching) were not apparent in 1997, although chronic levels of disease and predation were higher than in other Western Atlantic reef areas. High macroalgal abundances in fore reefs are attributed to low grazing pressures whereas the high densities of herbivorous fishes limit macroalgae in reef-crest habitats. During 1998, warm sea surface temperatures triggered localized bleaching in reef crests and appear to have facilitated widespread outbreaks of disease with resulting high mortality of massive corals in many fore reefs.

INTRODUCTION

Recent declines in abundance and diversity of corals in the Western Atlantic are well documented in areas where local stressors such as overfishing, nutrification and sedimentation can be significant (see Ginsburg and Glynn, 1994). Less well known are the condition of coral reefs in more remote areas where anthropogenic influences are less important but where regional impacts caused by bleaching, diseases and hurricanes may be significant. To better understand the influences of regional versus local impacts, we examined the condition of a relatively remote area in the Bahamas, the Andros reef tract. Andros is separated both geographically and hydrographically from the greater Caribbean which may decrease the exchange of larvae and/or water-borne pathogens with other reefs of the region. Regional disturbances, such as the die-off of many acroporids from white-band disease (WBD) (Aronson and Precht, 1997, 2001) and detailed effects of the 1983 Caribbean-wide *Diadema* urchin die-off (Lessios et al., 1984), are poorly documented in Andros.

¹ Marine Geology and Geophysics, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, FL, 33149. Email: pkramer@rsmas.miami.edu

The extent that anthropogenic impacts have affected the reefs off Andros is poorly quantified but is generally assumed to be minimal compared with many areas of the Caribbean. Andros has a very low population of about 8,155 (Bahamas Reef Environmental Education Foundation and MacAlister Elliot and Partners, 1998, unpublished report) with most of its populace concentrated in several small towns along the eastern coast of the island. Androsians rely on fishing, sponging and small-scale tourism for the majority of their income while additional employment comes from the Atlantic Underwater Test and Evaluation Centers (AUTEC), Androsia (a local batik factory), and small-scale farming. North Andros, used for timbering until 1975, now contains a small-scale agricultural industry (Sealey, 1990) but pollution and runoff are believed to be limited. The few small-scale resorts on Andros (<300 rooms total) cater towards tourism focused on guided bonefishing or diving the coral reefs.

Spatial trends in various indicators of the principal reef-building corals and algal populations that were surveyed during 1997 and 1998 using the Atlantic and Gulf Rapid Reef Assessment (AGRRA) method are described in this paper. The condition of reef fish populations in Andros is presented in Kramer, Marks and Turnbull (this volume).

METHODS

Andros Island has the largest land area (6,000 km²) of the Bahamas Archipelago and is located on the eastern margin of the western arm of Great Bahamas Bank where it abuts against the Tongue of the Ocean (Fig. 1). The extensive Andros reef tract parallels the eastern side of the island from Joulter Cays in the north to Saddleback Cays in the south (a total of 217 km). The reef is discontinuous in many places, particularly around the central and southern portion of Andros where several large channels (bights) cut across the entire island dividing it into three distinct landmasses. Smith (1948) and later Newell et al. (1951) both used the term "bank reef" to describe the Andros reef tract although it has also been described as a fringing reef, barrier reef, and fringing-barrier reef (which is probably the most accurate). The reef crest typically lies 1-2 km from the shoreline and is situated atop a Pleistocene ridge that is exposed in places in the form of small elongate offshore cays (e.g., Staniard Rock, Goat Cay, Long Rock, Long Bay Cays, Grassy Creek Cays). Fore reefs are best developed as high-relief features (e.g., pinnacles) composed of often dense aggregations of massive corals (primarily the *Montastraea* annularis species complex) and occur at intermediate depths between 7 m to 12 m, being most extensive in "low-to-intermediate" wave-energy environments. Well-developed reef crests and fore reefs often occur together in central and southern Andros. The outer reef slope contains a break at approximately 20 m followed by the shelf edge at 30 m that is marked by a near-vertical wall rich in sponges and other invertebrates.

For this study, the Andros reef tract was stratified into shallow crests (1-3 m deep) and intermediate-depth fore reefs (8-12 m deep), which were further subdivided into "well developed" and "poorly developed" categories for both depths using low altitude (200 m) oblique aerial photographs taken in July, 1997. Lagoonal patch reefs and deeper shelf-margin reefs were not investigated. Reconnaissance inspections or Manta tows were undertaken in each area to get an overview of reef type before haphazardly selecting representative well-developed sites to survey. In 1997, 26 sites (13 at 1-3 m depth, 13 at

8-12 m) located primarily in the northern and southern sections of Andros Island were examined. In 1998, 28 (15 deep and 13 shallow) sites along 75 km of central Andros were surveyed (Fig. 1; Tables 1A, 1B). Four sites overlapped between the 1997 and 1998 surveys (S6-S7; D6-D7; D9-D10; D12-D13). All surveyors were trained in the AGRRA method and consistency exercises were conducted regularly to minimize differences among observers. For the two survey periods, a total of six persons participated in the benthic surveys with three surveyors collecting approximately 75% of all the data.

The total number of 10-m benthic transects deployed at each site ranged from 6 to 24 and video was recorded on some transects for archival purposes. In 1997, when a modified Version 1 of the AGRRA protocols was used, live stony coral cover was not quantified. Visual estimates of old mortality did not factor out open spaces between columns for lobate colonies such as *M. annularis* and recent mortality estimates included sides of colonies. Maximum (rather than average) macroalgal heights were recorded within quadrats. In addition, after estimating their abundance in the uppermost canopy layer of the algal quadrats, macroalgae were removed prior to estimating the abundance of underlying turf and crustose coralline algae. We substituted the newly adopted Version 2 of the AGRRA protocols for the 1998 surveys (see Appendix One, this volume), expanding the size and health assessments to all stony corals that were at least 10 cm in diameter for approximately half of the sites. Coral sizes were measured to the nearest 5 cm in both years. Identification of corals was based on Humann (1992).

Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistica (version 5.1) program. To permit comparisons between years, all stony corals <25 cm in diameter were excluded from these analyses and the *Montastraea annularis* species complex was treated as a single entity. To statistically characterize variance for the benthic indicators, only the first 10 transects were analyzed from each site. Size data were log(X+1)-transformed and percentage data were arcsine-transformed. Parameters were analyzed by students t-test and by 1-way and 2-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). For spatial analysis, the Andros sites were divided into four areas (North, Central, Bights, and South) (Fig. 1) and analyzed by ANOVA with sites hierarchically nested under areas as random factors.

RESULTS

Species Composition and Abundance of Stony Corals

A total of 3,221 stony corals were examined in 28 reef crests in Andros during the two years of field work. They were dominated by colonies of *Acropora palmata* and displayed varying degrees of development controlled, in part, by wave energy, reef aspect, and the presence of freshwater creeks. China Point (S10) was one of the few shallow sites that contained monotypic thickets of living *A. cervicornis*, although "standing dead" (colonies completely dead and still in growth position) thickets were encountered at several other sites. Live stony coral cover ranged from 20-54% (mean=36, sd=18) in 1998 in shallow reefs (Table 1A). A total of 16 "large" (≥25 cm) scleractinian and one hydrozoan species were recorded in the shallow crests (all reefs combined). *Acropora palmata* comprised a mean of 62% of all these large corals (Fig. 2A). The relative abundance of subdominant taxa on reef crests (e.g., *M. annularis*, *Millepora*

complanata, Porites astreoides) was remarkably uniform across the reef areas (Fig. 3A). The most common size range for the colonies of A. palmata was 120-140 cm (mean diameter=137, sd=77) with the largest colonies exceeding 400 cm in diameter (Fig. 4A).




Figure 2. Species composition and mean relative abundance of all stony corals (\geq 25 cm diameter) on (A) reef crests and (B) fore reefs in Andros.

Its colony diameters were significantly smaller in the bights area (mean=118 cm for S18-S22) compared with the other areas along Andros (mean=152 cm) (ANOVA df=1, MS=37, F=18.2, p<0001) (Fig. 4A). Average colony diameters overall were largest in the northern area and smallest in the bights area (Table 2A).

In the 32 intermediate-depth fore reefs that were surveyed, a total of 3,156 large corals were assessed. Fore reefs were mainly dominated by assemblages of M. annularis (Fig. 2B) and they were best developed around protected channels, cays, and seaward of reef crests. Live stony coral cover in 1998 ranged from 6 to 45% (mean=22.6, sd=13.8) in the fore reefs (Table 1B). Several sites in the bights area (e.g., D18, D19) had low coral cover (<10%) and one site (D23) contained few corals greater than 25cm in diameter. A total of 18 species of large stony corals were counted within fore reef transects (all reefs combined), 67% of which were M. annularis complex (M. annularis, M. faveolata, M. franksi) (Fig. 2B). An exception to this pattern was the dominance of S. siderea rather than M. annularis at several sites in the bights area (Fig. 3B). Colonies of M. annularis were most common in the 40-50 cm size range (average=64, sd= 8), yet some individuals exceeded 250 cm in diameter (Fig 4B). Colony sizes were negatively correlated with water depth ($r^2 = 0.03$, p<0.0001) and significantly smaller in the reefs surveyed in 1998 (mean diameter=54 cm) than in 1997 (mean diameter=70 cm) (t-test, df=1443, t=10.1. p<0.0001). As in the reef crests, colonies were largest in the northern area and smallest in the bights (Table 2A).

The number of species of stony coral recruits (≤2 cm) was greater in fore reefs (26 species, all reefs combined) than in reef crests (16 species, all reefs combined). Small stony coral densities were also significantly higher in deeper (equivalent to 10.6 recruits/m²) than in shallower sites (equivalent to 2.9 recruits/m²) (t-test, t=12.7, df =544,




Figure 3. Relative species abundance of the 10 most abundant stony corals (\geq 25 cm diameter) on (A) reef crests (here excluding *Acropora palmata*), (B) fore reefs (here excluding the *Montastraea annularis* complex) in four areas of Andros.

p<0.0001) but showed a high degree of variability among reefs (Tables 3A,3B). *Porites astreoides* was the most abundant recruit in reef crests (50%) and fore reefs (25%) despite low abundances of large adults (~4% in reef crests, 3% on fore reefs) (Fig. 5). *Acropora palmata* was the third most abundant (9%) recruit in shallow reefs with the *M. annularis* complex as the fourth most abundant (6%) in deep reefs.




Figure 4. Size-frequency distribution of all colonies (≥5 cm diameter) of (A) *Acropora palmata* on reef crests and (B) *Montastraea annularis* on fore reefs in four areas off Andros.

Stony Coral Condition

Levels of old partial-colony mortality (hereafter old mortality) in large stony corals ranged from 12% (S5) to 52% (S25) (Table 2) and were higher in reef crests than fore reefs for both survey years (2-way ANOVA, df=581, MS=1787, F=12.5, p<0.0001). The frequency of 100% standing dead colonies was 10%. Reefs surveyed in 1997 had significantly higher old mortality (crests = 38%, fore reefs = 29%) than those surveyed in 1998 (crests = 29%, fore reefs = 22%) (2-way ANOVA, df=581, MS=5665, F=40.4, p<0.0001). Large differences in old mortality were apparent among species, with acroporids (n=1,764) accounting for the highest levels of old mortality (48% for A.




Figure 5. Species composition and mean relative abundance of stony coral recruits (\leq 2 cm diameter) in (A) reef crests and (B) fore reefs off Andros.




Figure 6. Percent old and recent (rec) partial colony mortality (mean ± standard error) in 1998 for the 15 most abundant ≥25 cm stony coral species; both survey depths combined, off Andros. Species codes same as in Figure 5 except MAF = Montastraea faveolata, MA = M. annularis, MFR = M. franksi, AC = Acropora cervicornis, DL = Diploria labyrinthiformis, MILC = Millepora complanata, DC = Diploria clivosa.

cervicornis, 38% for A. palmata). These high values can be largely attributed to the presence of 100% standing dead colonies of Acropora which made up 1-24% (average 7%) of the assessed corals in shallow reef crests. Excluding 100% standing dead colonies, Acropora had an average old partial-mortality of 27%. Old mortality at fore reef sites ranged from 13% (D15) to 45% (D32) and the frequency of 100% standing




Figure 7A. Size frequency distribution of colony diameter for *Acropora palmata* for 1998 with (A) no, (B) partial (1-99%) or (C) 100% (=standing dead) old colony mortality in reef-crest sites off Andros.




Figure 7B. Size frequency distribution of colony diameter for *Montastraea annularis* for 1998 with (A) no, (B) partial (1-99%) or (C) 100% (= standing dead) old colony mortality in fore-reef sites off Andros.

dead colonies averaged 7% (Table 2B). Montastraea faveolata and A. palmata had the highest mean old mortality values (>30%) while Porites astreoides had the lowest (<10%) (Fig. 6 for 1998 data). In both A. palmata and M. annularis, old mortality values increased with colony size up to the mode of the population (120-140 cm-size classes for reef-crest colonies of A. palmata, 40-50 cm size classes for fore-reef colonies of M. annularis). Smaller corals tended to be either completely alive or completely dead (i.e., to have either no mortality or 100% mortality) whereas areas of old mortality were displayed on the surfaces of many of the larger colonies (Fig. 7A, B). Examination of three spatial scales (within reef, within areas, between areas) for each reef type showed that within-reef-variation explained approximately 80% of the variation in old mortality.

Recent partial-colony mortality (hereafter recent mortality) in the ≥25 cm stony corals ranged from 0.5-42.5% (Table 2) and was higher in fore reefs (mean 13%) than in reef crests (mean 6%) during both survey years (2-way ANOVA, df=580, MS=3403, F=34.6, p<0.0001). Recent mortality in the reef crests was lower during the 1998 surveys (average 4%) than in 1997 (average 7%) (t-test, df=270, t=5, p<0.0001) but was not significantly different between years in the fore reefs (1997 mean=11%, 1998 mean=13%) (t-test, df=310, t=0.4, p=0.7). Recent mortality showed no significant correlation with colony diameter in *A. palmata* and *M. annularis*. Very high levels of recent mortality (>20%) were recorded at several fore reefs in central and southern Andros during the 1998 survey (D10, D13, D24, D25). Significant differences in recent mortality also occurred between different coral species in 1998 (1-way ANOVA, df =40, MS=3787, F=7.7, p<0.001). Corals with the highest levels of recent mortality included *Montastraea franksi*, *Colpophyllia natans*, *M. annularis*, *and Diploria labyrinthiformis* (Fig. 6 for 1998 data).

Identifiable causes of recent stony coral mortality in reef crests included predation, diseases (Table 2A), physical damage associated with human-induced trash and boat groundings, and a bleaching event associated with warmer seawater temperatures observed in summer 1998 (see Kramer, this volume). Many colonies of A. palmata and A. cervicornis had elevated "chimneys" characteristic of repeated predation by the three-spot damselfish, Stegastes planifrons. Damselfish gardens were present on approximately 8% of all the surveyed stony corals and were significantly more common in reef crests than in fore reefs (t-test, df=584, t=3.76, p<0.0001). Evidence of predation by parrotfishes (particularly the stoplight parrotfish, Sparisoma viride) in the form of excavations or small bite marks was low. The corallivorous snail, Coralliophila abbreviata, was observed singularly and in small groups (three to five per group), mainly on colonies of Acropora. Small white (<25 cm²) lesions were present on approximately 20% of all A. palmata surveyed (both years combined) and were attributed to either disease (patchy necrosis = white pox) or predation by Coralliophila. Although distinguishing recent mortality due to patchy necrosis from predation was often difficult, on average about 9% of the colonies of A. palmata were affected by diseases (all shallow sites combined). High (12-15% in S7, S9, S13, S15, S26) to alarmingly high (~25-30% in S11, S12, S28) incidences of disease were also observed. No large-scale bleaching was observed during 1997 (<2% of colonies overall affected); however, during August 1998, substantial bleaching (>10% of colonies affected) was observed in several shallow sites (e.g., S5, S21). Bleaching was very species-specific with Millepora complanata, Agaricia tenuifolia, A. palmata, and A. cervicornis being

most affected. At one site (S24), where 80% of the colonies were discolored (mostly being completely bleached), entire "very recent" colony mortality was observed in several instances.

Recent mortality of stony corals in the fore reefs was mainly attributed to diseases (Table 2B) and, to a lesser degree, to overgrowth by macroalgae. No significant predation or bleaching was noted either year in deeper water (> 5m). In 1997, macroalgal overgrowth by Microdictyon marinum was observed to cause limited bleaching and mortality around the edges of colonies, particularly the lobate M. annularis. Stony coral diseases were widespread during 1997, but at moderate levels (4% of colonies infected). During 1998, significantly higher levels of disease were recorded (18% infected colonies) (1-way ANOVA, df =1, MS=1418, F=21.7, p<0.001), with the most common being black-band disease (BBD) and white plague (WP). During 1998, active BBD and WP infected mainly faviid corals which included in order of decreasing infection M. franksi, M. annularis, M. faveolata, M. cavernosa, D. labyrinthiformis and C. natans. Within reefs. BBD occurred in clumped distributions with simultaneously active infections in colonies that were either touching or closely adjacent. At larger spatial scales, diseases were more prevalent in fore reefs with higher colony densities than in less welldeveloped reefs with lower colony densities. This pattern may be due in part to the abundance of M. franksi and M. annularis which were more susceptible. The incidence of WP varied among reefs and it was observed most commonly in the central and southern areas in reefs containing a high density of large corals. Here, sites with <5colonies/10m often had a lower prevalence of disease. Both BBD and WP affected all stony coral size classes. Approximately 6% of all fore-reef stony corals surveyed in 1998 (primarily M. annularis and M. franksi) had suffered 100% recent mortality. The average colony diameter of the >25 cm corals with 100% recent mortality was 44 cm with most being in the 25-40 cm size class (although corals <25cm were not surveyed in all sites). During 1998, the percentage of recent partial-colony mortality was strongly correlated with the percentage of stony corals showing signs of disease ($r^2=0.63$, p <0.001).

Algal Communities and Diadema antillarum

Algal populations off Andros were distinctly different between the two reef habitats but were remarkably consistent within each depth zone. Reef crests (Table 3A) tended to be dominated by crustose coralline algae (mean relative abundance = 44%) and turf algae and contained relatively few macroalgae (mean relative abundance = 15%). Dominant macroalgal species in the reef crests were *Dictyota divaricata*, *Stypopodium zonale* and *Turbinaria turbinata* with average canopy heights from 1-3 cm (mean = 1.8 cm) in 1998. In contrast, macroalgae (mean relative abundance = 47%) and crustose corallines (mean relative abundance = 35%) were codominants in fore reefs where turf algae were relatively scarce (Table 3B). The principal macroalgae observed in fore reefs were *Microdictyon marinum*, *Lobophora variegata*, *Dictyota pulchella*, *Dictyota divaricata*, *Sargassum hystrix*, and *Halimeda* spp. Macroalgal heights were significantly higher in fore reefs (averaging 3.3 cm in 1998) than in reef crests for both survey years (2-way ANOVA, MS=2.9, df =534, F=97, p<0.0001). When macroalgal abundance and height are considered together as a macroalgal index (Tables 3A, 3B), the index was four times greater in fore reefs (mean=204) than in reef crests (mean=46). *Diadema* was not

recorded in any of the sites during 1997 although a few individuals were counted outside of transects at several sites. *Diadema* densities were slightly higher at examined sites in 1998, averaging 0.4 individuals/100m² in both habitats.

DISCUSSION

Shallow Reef Crests

The reef crests off Andros presently contain extensive areas of *Acropora palmata*, a large percentage of which have colonies that are partially or even fully alive on their upward facing surfaces. Densities of live and partially live (i.e., <100% total partial-colony mortality) colonies were high (~4 colonies/10m). Evidence of the WB epizootic reported to have decimated populations of *A. palmata* populations in other areas of the Caribbean (Aronson and Precht, 1997, 2001) was minimal. The low-to-moderate levels of old mortality, large colony sizes, and fairly even size-distributions that we observed all suggest modest levels of disturbance to *A. palmata* in the last several decades. Smaller colony sizes were recorded in several sites, particularly near the bights area (e.g., S16-S22) where tidal creeks and channels discharge water having highly variable temperatures and salinities from Great Bahama Bank that probably inhibits *Acropora* growth.

When *A. cervicornis* was present on shallow reefs, it usually occurred as thickets, particularly in the back reef, although most of these colonies were long dead. The distribution and abundance of historic *A. cervicornis* populations are not known for Andros although anecdotal reports suggest it may have been more common in shallow-patch and back-reef habitats.

Low-to-moderate values (1-5%) for recent partial-colony mortality observed in the majority of the reef crest surveys is thought to represent background (chronic) mortality caused by predation, disease, competition, and other biotic interactions. The higher levels of recent mortality recorded during 1997 (e.g., S9, S12-14) are mainly attributed to the way mortality was scored (by including colony sides) rather than to higher levels of disturbance relative to 1998. Levels of recent mortality recorded during 1998 at non-bleached sites (~3.5%) are comparable to levels recorded in other western Atlantic reef crests not experiencing acute disturbances (Kramer, this volume). Most colonies of A. palmata had recently dead tissues; however, the small size of most of these lesions suggests either that routine injuries are of limited areal extent and/or tissue regeneration occurs fairly quickly (Meesters et al., 1996). Alternatively, if lesions were caused by disease, tissue loss is slow but may accumulate while the colony remains infected.

National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) hotspot maps indicated a 1-2 degree increase in sea surface temperatures beginning in late May, 1998 and extending through August, 1998. Observations during 1998 suggest that bleaching and bleaching-induced mortality off Andros mainly impacted the shallow reefs. Spatially, the extent of bleaching impacts to shallow reefs was variable with reefs immediately south of South Bight being the most affected during the time of our survey. The high value for recent mortality (>15%) observed in one reef crest (S24) surveyed during August, 1998 is attributed to bleaching-induced mortality. Species that suffered mortality

were mainly *Millepora complanata*, *Agaricia tenuifolia* and, to a lesser extent, *A. palmata*. Local reports from the Bahamas during 1998 suggest that region-wide bleaching reached a peak in late August/early September at least two weeks after our surveys ended (Wilkinson, 1998). Therefore, while our surveys indicated only localized impacts, the full effects of the 1998 bleaching event are difficult to ascertain since the immediate damage may have ensued for several weeks to months after our surveys and occurred beyond our survey area. However, the low relative abundance of macroalgae on reef crests, high abundance of living *A. palmata* colonies, and the presence of *A. palmata* recruits (mean=0.27/m²) suggest that recovery from low-to-moderate levels of bleaching-induced mortality on reef crests is favored.

Fore Reefs

Patterns in coral abundance in the Andros fore reefs were evident at both small and large spatial scales. Well-developed fore reefs at intermediate depths (7-12 m) occurred mainly as dense patches of the M. annularis species complex often located off islands and along channels where either protection from large swells and/or underlying Pleistocene geomorphology favored reef growth. Grading away from these dense patches were stretches with lower relief, lower coral densities, and smaller coral sizes. Hence, the degree to which fore reefs had developed was largely determined by the abundance of the M. annularis complex. In poorly developed reefs, such as N. Mangrove (D23), the dominant coral was S. siderea (44%) while the M. annularis complex comprised only 17% of the large (≥25 cm in diameter) coral population. The size and abundance of the colonies of *Montastraea* in any particular site presumably reflect both the suitability of local conditions (Bak and Meesters, 1998) and rates of coral growth. That fore reefs located in shallower water (7-9 m) had larger colonies of the M. annularis complex than those located slightly deeper (10-12m) is probably explicable by the observation that growth rates for Montastraea can decrease sharply in this depth range (Hubbard and Scaturo, 1985) and even small absolute increases of water depth (2-3 m) may shift colonies to smaller sizes. The smaller colony sizes found overall in the 1998 surveys can be mainly attributed to the relatively poor environmental conditions in many of the reefs near the bights. In addition, survey depths were slightly shallower in 1997 compared with those in 1998 because the zone of maximum development in the northern and southern areas was shallower than in the central and bights areas.

That we found a strong relationship between levels of old mortality in *M. annularis* and colony size up to the mode of the population (40-50 cm) in Andros (Fig. 7) is similar to the relationship described by Bak and Meesters (1998) in the Netherlands Antilles. In addition, levels of old mortality were strongly influenced by the species composition of the stony corals present in any given reef. Therefore, differences in mean colony size and species composition can explain some of the between-reef variability in levels of old mortality and these factors should be taken into account when comparisons are made among sites, reefs, areas, subregions, and regions.

During 1998, a mass mortality event affected many massive corals in the Andros fore reefs. This widespread disturbance is thought to have begun in June approximately one month after abnormally warm sea surface temperatures enveloped the area (T. Turnbull, personal communication). Local observations of "white coral" were first

reported in early July. One month later we found many massive colonies with either partial or complete recent tissue mortality and signs of disease (primarily WP and BBD) but surprisingly little evidence of bleaching (e.g. <5% colonies displayed discolored tissues). At severely impacted fore reefs, we estimated that up to 50% of the live stony coral cover had been recently lost. We believe severe outbreaks of one or more diseases must have occurred in late June/early July and moved through the entire area at a very rapid pace, perhaps in response to the increased seawater temperatures. [Increased temperatures can trigger or exacerbate the activity of microorganisms (bacteria, cyanobacteria, fungi, protozoans) and possibly viruses that are responsible for outbreaks of disease (e.g., Rutzler et al., 1983).] That the highest mortalities off Andros were observed at depths of 6-12 m in reefs with high coral densities and complex geomorphological structure suggests that diseases might be expected to spread most rapidly under conditions in which colonies (particularly monogeneric coral assemblages) have direct or close contact with one another. The unusually luxuriant growth of the M. annularis species complex that is typical of the Andros fore reefs may have made these communities very prone to outbreaks of disease. Recovery from this massive disturbance will take many years, possibly decades.

Acropora cernivornis was rarely seen in the deeper sites. Examination of sediments from several fore reefs, however, revealed only small amounts of A. cervicornis rubble indicating that it may never have been as common on Andros as in many other areas of the western Atlantic (e.g., Jamaica, Belize, Bonaire).

Algae and Diadema

Historic populations of *Diadema* in the Bahamas, particularly at shallow depths, were very high according to descriptions by Storr (1964) and Newell and Rigby (1951). The low abundances observed in Andros (both shallow and deep sites) during our surveys reinforces local anecdotal accounts that the 1983-84 die-off of *Diadema antillarum* in the western Atlantic (Lessios et al., 1984) also decimated populations on Andros. At present, scarids (parrotfish), acanthurids (surgeonfish), and some pomacentrids (damselfish) are the principal herbivores in Andros' reefs (Kramer, Marks and Turnbull, this volume). As documented in other studies (Hay, 1984; Morrison, 1988), these herbivores are disproportionately distributed across the Andros shelf with higher numbers principally associated with well-developed shallow reef-crest habitats.

Macroalgal indices for the 1998 sites displayed a strong inverse relationship with herbivore biomass (Kramer, Marks and Turnbull, this volume). The relationship is unusually well pronounced, and may also reflect that our surveys took place when, due to seasonal blooms of *Microdictyon marinum*, the macroalgal index reaches a seasonal peak in the late summer (T. Turnbull, personal communication). Within individual habitat types (e.g., reef crest or fore reef), the herbivore-algae relationship was only weakly significant indicating other synergistic factors, such as wave energy and sedimentation, may also influence algal abundance patterns. However, the overall algal-herbivore relationship between depths suggests a strong top-down control on the distribution of macroalgae on Andros. Herbivorous fishes may have compensated for the loss of *Diadema* as an herbivore in reef crests but not in fore-reef sites. High macroalgal canopy heights and lack of grazed surfaces reflect a general lack of herbivory at greater (>6 m)

depths. The impact of excessive algae to fore reef corals is potentially severe, but not well characterized, off Andros. *Microdictyon*, which attaches to the sides of *Montastraea annularis* columns, was observed to grow over the edges of its live tissues and other small corals causing bleaching and, in some cases, mortality. Following the 1998 mass mortality of many massive stony corals, macroalgal abundances are expected to have further increased on fore reefs given the increased availability of substratum space. Macroalgal abundances will likely remain high in Andros fore reefs until levels of grazing by either *Diadema* or herbivorous fishes increase.

Even given the high relative abundance of macroalgae, mean densities of coral recruits in the Andros fore reefs were fairly high (equivalent to 10.6/m²) compared with other areas of the wider Caribbean (Bak and Engel, 1979), suggesting that small corals may be able to survive despite overtopping by macroalgae. In addition, average recruit density for the shallow sites (equivalent to 3 juveniles/m²) was higher than at similar reef types in the Florida Reef Tract (maximum ~2.5 juveniles of <4cm diameter/m²) (Chiappone and Sullivan, 1996). Juvenile species richness was also higher in the shallow reefs off Andros (16 species) than in shallow reefs off the Florida Keys (~11 species) (Chiappone and Sullivan, 1996). The presence and relatively high abundance of recruits of A. palmata in reef crests and of the M. annularis complex in fore reefs is surprising given that recruits of these species are generally absent or present in very low numbers in settlement studies (e.g., Bak and Engel, 1979; Hughes and Jackson, 1985; Rylaarsdam, 1983). High recruit abundance for these corals on Andros may be attributed to the high densities of adult colonies. The survivorship of the recruits we surveyed was not examined but may play an important role in the stability of these populations. Additional surveys focused on understanding the recruitment and survivorship of A. palmata and the M. annularis species complex on Andros are warranted.

Despite their relatively remote location, our results indicate that the reefs off Andros Island have been affected by some (e.g., loss of *Diadema antillarum*), but not many, of the regional disturbances documented in other parts of the Caribbean. Impacts of the regional WBD epizootic on Acropora and of the massive bleaching events prior to 1998 appear to have been lower off Andros, based on the dense thickets of live A. palmata and the large size of many stony corals at the time of our survey. However, a high abundance of macroalgae and the presence of coral diseases in these reefs are suspected in years prior to 1997. In 1998, the massive disease outbreak in fore-reef habitats, particularly in the central and bights areas, resulted in catastrophic tissue loss for many large corals (particularly the *M. annularis* complex). Bleaching in shallow reef crests during 1998 also caused acute localized loss of live stony corals often resulting in complete colony mortality for the most severely impacted reefs in southern Andros (personal observations). The longer-term trajectory with regard to the recovery of these reefs will depend on the frequency of future disturbance events, the status of their Diadema populations, and the recruitment of new, and survivorship of existing, stony corals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was made possible by a grant from the Committee for Research and Exploration of the National Geographic Society (Grant #6046-97). The AGRRA Organizing Committee facilitated financial support as described in the Forward to this volume. Additional sponsorship was provided by the Hachette Filipacchi Foundation and Carolina Skiffs. Assistance with the field surveys was provided by V. Kosmynin, K. Marks, J. Kenter, B. Riegl, E. Phillips, S. Lutz, and C. Peters. Special thanks are extended to W. Bryant, M. McGarry, and S. Cawthon for their adept piloting skills. The late Captain R. Gaensslen generously provided the use of his vessel, *The Captain's Lady*, for the 1997 survey. For the 1998 survey, Go Native Yacht Charters is thanked for partial charter sponsorship of their 60' catamaran, *The Liahona*. E. Fisher assisted with data entry and J. Lang provided many useful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. We are grateful to the Bahamas Department of Fisheries for granting permission to conduct field work in Andros.

REFERENCES

- Aronson, R.B., and W.F. Precht
 - 1997. Stasis, biological disturbance, and community structure of a Holocene coral reef. *Paleobiology* 23:326-346.
- Aronson, R.B., and W.F. Precht
 - 2001. Evolutionary paleoecology of Caribbean coral reefs. Pp. 171-233. In: W.D. Allmon and D.J. Bottjer (eds.), *Evolutionary Paleoecology: The Ecological Context of Macroevolutionary Change.* Columbia University Press, New York.
- Bak, R.P.M., and M.S. Engel
 - 1979. Distribution, abundance and survival of juvenile hermatypic corals (*Scleractinia*) and the importance of life history strategies in the parent community. *Marine Biology* 54:341-352.
- Bak, R.P.M., and E.H. Meesters
 - 1998. Coral population structure: The hidden information of colony size-frequency distributions. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 162:301-306.
- Chiappone, M., and K.M. Sullivan
 - 1996. Distribution, abundance and species composition of juvenile scleractinian corals in the Florida Reef Tract. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 58:555-569.
- Ginsburg, R.N., and P.W. Glynn
 - 1994. Summary of the colloquium and forum. Pp. i-ix. In: R.N. Ginsburg (compiler), Proceedings of the Colloquium on Global Aspects of Coral Reefs: Health, Hazards and History, 1993. Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science. Miami. FL.
- Hay, M.E.
 - 1984. Patterns of fish and urchin grazing on Caribbean coral reefs: are previous results typical? *Ecology* 65:446-454.

Hubbard, D.K., and D. Scaturo

1985. Growth rates of seven species of scleractinian corals from Cane Bay and Salt River, St. Croix, USVI. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 36:325-38.

Hughes, T.P., and J.B.C. Jackson

1985. Population dynamics and life histories of foliaceous corals. *Ecological Monographs* 55:141-166.

Humann, P.

1992. *Coral Reef Identification, Florida, Caribbean, Bahamas.* New World Publication, Inc. 278 pp.

Lessios, H.A., D.R. Robertson, and J.D. Cubit

1984. Spread of Diadema mass mortality through the Caribbean. Science 35 335-337.

Meesters, E.H., I. Wesseling, and R.P.M. Bak

1996. Partial mortality in three species of reef-building corals and the relation with colony morphology. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 58:838-52.

Morrison, D.

1998. Comparing fish and urchin grazing in shallow and deeper coral reef algal communities. *Ecology* 69:1367-1382.

Newell, N.D., and J.K. Rigby

1951. Shoal-water geology and environs, eastern Andros Island, Bahamas. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 97:1-30.

Rylaarsdam, K.M.

1983. Life histories and abundance patterns of colonial corals on Jamaican reefs. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 13:249-260.

Rutzler, K., D.L. Santavy, and A. Antonius

1983. The black band disease of Atlantic reef corals. III. Distribution, ecology, development. *Marine Ecology* 4:329-358.

Sealy, N.E.

1990. The Bahamas Today: An introduction to the human and economic geography of the Bahamas. MacMillan Caribbean. Hong Kong. 120 pp.

Storr, J.F.

1955. *Ecology and Oceanography of the Coral Reef Tract, Abaco Island, Bahamas.* Ph.D dissertation. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. 264 pp.

Wilkinson, C.

1998. The 1997-1998 mass bleaching event around the world. Pp. 15-38. In: C. Wilkinson (ed.), Status of Coral Reefs of the World: 1998, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Cape Ferguson, Queensland.

Table 1A. Reef crest site information for AGRRA stony coral and algal surveys off Andros Island, Bahamas (1997 sites are italicized).

Reef crest Site Name	Site Code	Latitude (N° ')	Longitude (W°')	Survey Date	Depth (m)	Transects (#)	\geq 25 cm Stony Corals $(\#/10\text{m})^2$	% Live Stony Coral Cover (mean ± sd) ²
N. Joulters	S1	25.31322	78.03433	Aug 15 97	2.5	13	13.5	
Golding	S2	25.22392	78.08557	Aug 18 97	1.5	12	12.0	
Morgan	S3	25.1601	78.0029	Aug 17 97	1.5	14	10.5	*
Coconut Point	S4	25.12885	77.98303	Aug 17 97	1.5	13	11.0	
Mahore	S5	25.06367	77.93783	Aug 21 98	1.0	10	9.5	43.0 ± 14.5
S. Staniard 2	S7	24.84493	77.86098	Aug 20 98	0.5	7	9.0	41.0 ± 22.5
S. Staniard 1 North Andros-	S6	24.84217	77.8586	Oct 19 97	3.5	9	9.0	***
all ¹						78	10.6	42
N. Love Hill	S8	24.77435	77.80772	Aug 18 98	1.0	9	9.5	41.5 ± 14.0
S. Love Hill	S9	24.84425	77.8563	Aug 5 97	1.5	17	7.0	
China Point	S10	24.75133	77.80767	Aug 18 98	1.0	13	9.0	54.0 ± 31.0
Red Rock	Sil	24.72917	77.77017	Aug 7 98	1.0	17	6.5	37.0 ± 15.5
S. Autec	S12	24.69953	77.74343	Aug 6 97	1.5	11	6.5	
S. Long Rock	S13	24.63067	77.691	Aug 9 97	2.5	15	9.0	
Mid Long Rock	S14	24.6259	77.69318	Aug 8 97	1.5	24	8.0	
Sugar Rock Central Andros- all ¹	S15	24.5448	77.68372	Aug 10 98	2.0	8 114	7.0 7.8	20.0 ± 11.0
Autec2-South	S16	24.484	77.699	Aug 11 98	1.0	10	7.0	24.0 ± 11.5
N. Bight	S17	24.4395	77.69807	Aug 12 98	2.0	11	9.0	33.5 ± 9.0
Big Wood	S18	24.36703	77.68235	Aug 13 98	1,5	12	9.0	36.0 ± 11.0
Autec 3	S19	24.34315	77.67068	Aug 12 98	1.0	11	10.0	39.5 ± 15.5
Middle Bight	S20	24.3069	77.65638	Aug 13 98	1.5	11	9.5	35.5 ± 10.5
Mangrove C.	S21	24.29167	77.6462	Aug 13 98	1.5	15	8.5	25.0 ± 10.5
Mangrove S	S22	24.25315	77.62917	Aug 13 98	1.0	13	10.0	30.0 ± 13.5
Bights-all ¹	7					83	9.0	28.5
Congo Town	S23	24.3013	77.64788	Aug 15 98	1.0	15	9.0	30.5 ± 14.5
Long Bay Cay	S24	24.09793	77.53703	Aug 16 98	1.0	12	10.5	35.5 ± 11.5
North Rock	S25	23,79092	77.42637	Aug 14 97	2,0	15	9.5	33.3 ± 11.3
North Grassy	S26	23.77822	77.41902	Aug 13 97	2.0	15	9.0	
Delta	S27	23.70967	77.38237	Aug 13 97	2.0	13	9.5	
Pigeon South Andros-	S28	23.6965	77.377	Aug 11 97	1.5	14	8.5	
all ¹	-11 -41-					84	9.3	33.0

Transects (#) = sum; all other columns = means
Based on analysis of the first 10 transects/site.

Table 1B. Fore reef site information for AGRRA stony coral and algal surveys off Andros Island, Bahamas (1997 sites are italicized).

Deep Fore Reef Site Name	Site Code	Latitude (N° ')	Longitude (W°′)	Survey Date	Depth (m)	Transects (#)	≥25 cm Stony Corals (#/10m)²	% Live Stony Coral Cover (mean ± sd) ²
N. Joulters	DI	25.3132	78.0856	Aug 16 97	6.5	12	16.0	
Nichols	D2	25.1438	72.9875	Aug 17 97	9.5	13	13.0	~~~
Conch	D3	25.1026	77.9639	Oct 17 97	5.5	9	8.5	
Bucket	D4	24.8793	77.8784	Oct 20 97	10.0	6	11.0	
N,Staniard	D5	24.8775	77.8791	Oct 18 97	7.5	13	6.5	
S Staniard 2	D7	24.50630	77.56437	Aug 20 98	9.0	9	11.0	37.0 ± 13.0
S.Staniard 1 North Andros- all ¹	D6	24.8438	77.9406	Oct 19 97	8.0	6 68	14.5 11.5	37.0
S. Love Hill	D8	24.7678	27.7987	Aug 6 97	8.5	16	9.0	~ w =
Coffee	D9	24.7452	77.7786	Aug 7 97	11.0	15	7.5	
West Klein	D10	24.7450	77.7847	Aug 7 98	10.5	10	9.0	24.5 ± 8.0
S. Autec	DH	24.7015	77.7402	Aug 7 97	13.0	8	9.5	
S. Long Rock	D12	24.6307	77.6910	Aug 9 97	8.0	12	11.5	
Long Rock	D13	24.6260	77.6910	Aug 8 98	8.7	10	11.0	22.5 ± 8.0
Mid Long Rock	DI4	24.37547	77.41587	Aug 9 97	9.5	11	10.0	
Green Cay	D15	24.5958	77.6933	Aug 9 98	11.0	14	3.0	11.0 ± 4.0
Sugar Rock	D16	24.5402	77.6821	Aug 10 98	11.5	13	6.5	18.0 ± 7.0
Central Andros- all ¹				5		109	8.5	19
Bristol Galley	D17	24.5263	77.6892	Aug 10 98	11.5	12	7.0	17.0 ± 5.0
Autec 2	D18	24.5064	77.6970	Aug 11 98	12.5	15	3.5	9.0 ± 3.0
Autec 2-South	D19	24.4833	77.6965	Aug 11 98	10.5	12	7.5	15.0 ± 5.0
N. Bight	D20	24.4393	77.6962	Aug 12 98	9.5	11	11.5	31.0 ± 12.5
Antee 3	D21	24.3432	77.6707	Aug 14 98	10.5	10	9.0	25.5 ± 10.5
Middle Bight	1022	24.3097	77.6530	Aug 14 98	9.0	10	11.0	28.0 ± 8.0
Mangrove N.	D23	24.3011	77.6478	Aug 14 98	9.5	23	2.0	5.5 ± 3.0
Bights-all ¹						93	7.4	18.5
Congo Town	D24	24.3012	77.6479	Aug 15 98	10.5	10	12.0	21.0 ± 15.5
Long Bay Cay	D25	24.0997	77.5334	Aug 16 98	10.5	10	11.0	19.0 ± 9.0
Oasis	D26	23.9476	77.3867	Aug 16 98	6.0	10	12.5	45.5 ± 21.0
High Point Cay	D27	23.4200	77.4600	Aug 17 98	9.0	9	13.5	35.0 ±7.5
North Rock	D28	23.7965	77.4222	Aug 13 97	7.5	11	11.5	
North Grassy	D29	23.7803	77.4168	Aug 13 97	9.5	9	10.0	
South Grassy	D30	23.7285	77.3974	Aug 12 97	7.5	10	12.5	
Delta	D31	23.7097	77.3810	Aug 13 97	8.5	12	7.5	
Pigeon	D32	23.6945	77.3742	Aug 11 97	9.0	12	8.0	
Saddleback	D33	23.6767	77.3703	Aug 11 97	8.5	10	12.5	
South Andros- all ¹						103	11.2	30.0

Transects (#) = sum; all other columns = means ²Based on analysis of the first 10 transects/site.

Table 2A. Size and condition (mean ± standard deviation) of all stony corals (≥25 cm diameter) in first 10 transects/site, by reef-crest sites off Andros (1997 sites are italicized).

Reef Crest	Site	Year	S	Stony Corals	Partial-0	Colony Mort	ality (%)	Stony Corals (%)			
Site Name	Code		(#)	Diameter (cm)	Recent ²	Old	Total	Standing dead	Bleached	Diseased	
N. Joulters	SI	1997	177	114.5 ± 5.5	6.5 ± 0.5	21.5 ± 2.0	28.0 ±2.0	3.5	1.5	8	
Golding	S2	1997	144	117.5 ± 6.0	5.5 ± 0.5	33.0 ± 3.0	38.5 ± 3.0	10.5	2	5	
Morgan	S3	1997	144	114.5 ± 7.0	7.0 ± 1.5	21.5 ± 2.0	28.0 ± 2.5	5.4	2	7	
Coconut Point	S4	1997	138	134.0 ± 6.0	6.5 ± 1.0	37.0 ± 3.5	42.0 ± 3.0	20.5	0	3	
Mahore	S5	1998	94	123.0 ± 9.5	2.0 ± 0.5	12.0 ± 2.0	13.5 ± 2.0	1	14	6.5	
S. Staniard 2	S7	1998	64	135.5 ± 12.5	$0.5 \pm < 0.5$	24.0 ± 4.0	24.5 ± 4.0	8	0	15	
S. Staniard 1	S6	1997	80	191.0 ± 12.5	4.5 ± 1.0	43.5 ± 4.5	47.0 ± 4.5	24.5	11	0	
North Andros-			841	133 ± 27	4.5 ± 2.5	27.5 ± 11.0	31.5 ± 11.5	10.5 ± 8.8	4.5 ± 5.7	6.5 ± 4.7	
N. Love Hill	S8	1998	85	101.5 ± 10.0	3.5 ± 1.5	24.0 ± 2.5	27.0 ± 3.0	0	3.5	1	
S. Love Hill	59	1997	116	116.0 ± 6.5	11.0 ± 1.5	38.0 ± 3.0	47.5 ± 3.0	8.5	6	12	
China Point	S10	1998	109	105.5 ± 8.0	2.5 ± 0.5	25.0 ± 3.0	27.0 ± 3.0	3.5	10	4.5	
Red Rock	S11	1998	108	97.0 ± 5.5	2.5 ± 0.5	32.0 ± 2.5	35.0 ± 3.0	I	7.5	25	
S. Autec	S12	1997	71	128.5 ± 9.0	9.5 ± 1.5	41.5 ± 4.5	50.0 ± 4.0	14	1.5	25.5	
S. Long Rock	S13	1997	130	126.0 ± 9.0	8.5 ± 1.0	37.5 ± 2.5	45.5 ± 3.0	8.5	1	14	
Mid Long Rock	S14	1997	195	108.0 ± 4.5	8.5 ± 0.5	36.5 ± 2.0	44.0 ± 2.5	7.	3.5	9.5	
Sugar Rock	S15	1998	57	117.5 ± 9.0	4.0 ± 1.0	27.5 ± 4.5	31.5 ± 4.0	9.	0	14	
Central Andros			871	112.5 ± 11.5	6.0 ± 3.5	33.0 ± 6.5	38.5 ± 9.5	6.5 ± 4.6	4.1 ± 3.5	13.2 ± 8.7	
Autec 2-South	S16	1998	69	96.0 ± 6.5	1.0 ± 0.5	39.0 ± 4.5	39.5 ± 4.5	16	4.5	10	
N. Bight	S17	1998	99	117.5 ± 6.0	2.0 ± 0.5	31.5 ± 3.5	33.0 ± 3.5	13	İ	8	
Big Wood	S18	1998	109	89.0 ± 5.5	4.5 ± 0.5	23.0 ± 3.0	27.0 ± 3.0	9	7.5	2	
Autec 3	S19	1998	110	85.0 ± 5.0	3.5 ± 0.5	25.0 ± 3.0	28.5 ± 3.0	7.5	8	3.5	
Middle Bight	S20	1998	103	88.0 ± 6.0	5.0 ± 1.0	35.0 ± 3.5	39.5 ± 3.5	12.5	5	1.	
Mangrove C.	S21	1998	124	84.0 ± 5.0	3.5 ± 1.0	38.5 ± 3.5	41.0 ± 3.5	17.5	13.5	4	
Mangrove S.	S22	1998	130	73.0 ± 4.5	2.5 ± 0.5	25.0 ± 3.0	27.5 ± 3.0	9	3	6	
Bights-all ^t			744		3.0 ± 1.5	31.0 ± 6.5	33.5 ± 6.0	12.0 ± 3.7	6.1 ± 4.1	4.9 ± 3.2	
Congo Town	S23	1998	138	93.5 ± 4.5	3.0 ± 0.5	30.0 ± 3.0	33.0 ± 3.0	13	8.5	3.5	
Long Bay Cay	S24	1998	124	88.0 ± 5.0	15.0 ± 2.5	28.0 ± 3.0	41.0 ± 3.0	3	80	1.5	
North Rock	S25	1997	146	119.0 ± 7.0	7.5 ± 1.0	52.0 ± 3.0	58.5 ± 2.5	18	0.5	7	
North Grassy	S26	1997	132	109.5 ± 7.0	6.0 ± 1.0	47.5 ± 3.0	53.0 ± 3.0	12	2.5	13	
Delta	S27	1997	123	119.0 ± 6.0	3.0 ± 0.5	40.0 ± 3.0	43.0 ± 3.0	4	1	4	
Pigeon	S28	1997	117	131.5 ± 8.5	7.5 ± 1.0	41.0 ± 3.0	48.5 ± 3.0	7	0	31	
South Andros all ¹			780	110.0 ± 16.5	7.0 ± 4.5	40.0 ± 9.5	46.0 ± 9.0	9.5 ± 5.8	15.4 ± 31.8	10.0 ± 11.0	

¹Stony corals (#) = sum; all other columns = means ± standard deviation. ²1997 values are inflated relative to 1998 due to changes in assessment methodology.

Table 2B. Size and condition (mean \pm standard deviation) of all stony corals (\geq 25 cm diameter), in first 10 transects/site, by fore-reef sites off Andros (1997 sites are italicized).

Fore-reef	Site	Year	Stony corals		Partial-c	olony morta	ality (%)	Stony corals (%)			
Site name	Code	•	(#)	Diameter (cm)	Recent ²	Old	Total	Standing dead	Bleached	Diseased	
N. Joulters	DI	1997	166	65.0 ± 2.5	11.0 ± 1.5	21.0 ± 2.0	32.0 ± 2.0	2.5	0.5	1	
Nichols	D2	1997	168	72.0 ± 4.0	11.0 ± 1.5	33.0 ± 2.5	43.0 ± 2.5	7	3	2	
Conch	D3	1997	77	57.5 ± 3.5	6.0 ± 1.5	19.0 ± 3.0	25.0 ± 3.0	1.5	2.5	1.5	
Bucket	D4	1997	58	70.5 ± 7.0	2.0 ± 0.5	30.0 ± 3.5	32.0 ± 3.5	0	14	1.5	
N. Staniard	D5	1997	77	60.5 ± 5.0	7.0 ±1.5	39.5 ± 4.0	46.0 ± 4.0	6.5	4	1.5	
S. Staniard 2	D6	1998	99	54.0 ± 4.0	4.0 ± 1.5	16.5 ± 2.0	20.5 ± 2.5	2	8	7	
S. Staniard I	D7	1997	87	59.5 ± 3.5	8.0 ± 1.5	33.5 ± 3.5	40.5 ± 3.5	5.5	10.5	1	
North Andros- all ¹			732	62.5 ± 6.5	7.0 ± 3.5	27.5 ± 8.5	34.0 ± 9.5	3.5 ± 2.7	6.0 ± 4.9	2.2 ± 2.1	
S .Love Hill	D8	1997	98	69.0 ± 3.5	12.0 ± 2.0	37.5 ± 3.5	48.5 ± 3.5	2	1	12	
Coffee	D9	1997	113	55.5 ± 3.0	16.5 ± 2.0	31.0 ± 3.0	46.5 ± 3.0	4.5	2.5	9	
West Klein	D10	1998	89	47.5 ± 2.0	24.5 ± 3.5	16.0 ± 3.0	39.0 ± 3.5	3.5	13.5	42.5	
S. Autec	DH	1997	74	66.5 ± 4.0	19.0 ± 2.5	30.0 ± 3.0	48.0 ± 3.5	2.5	4	2.5	
S. sLong Rock	D12	1997	135	59.5 ± 2.5	14.0 ± 1.5	25.0 ± 2.0	$39.0\ \pm2.5$	0	5	8	
Long Rock	D13	1998	110	58.5 ± 3.0	39.0 ± 4.0	27.0 ± 3.5	58.5 ± 3.5	7.5	11	27.5	
Mid Long Rock	DI4	1997	110	55.0 ± 3.0	13.5 ± 1.5	22.5 ± 2.0	36.0 ± 2.5	1	5.5	7.5	
Green Cay	D15	1998	37	41.0 ± 3.5	15.5 ± 5.0	13.0 ± 3.5	28.0 ± 5.5	2.5	2.5	13.5	
Sugar Rock	D16	1998	78	34.0 ± 1.0	8.5 ± 2.5	20.5 ± 3.0	28.5 ± 3.5	1.5	5	16.5	
Central			844	54.0 ± 11.5	18.0 ± 9.0	24.5 ± 7.5	41.5 ± 10.0	$\textbf{2.7} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	5.5 ± 4.1	15.4 ± 12.4	
Andros-all Bristol Galley	D17	1998	74	38.5 ± 2.0	15.0 ± 3.5	24.0 ± 4.0	37.5 ± 4.5	7	4	23	
Autec 2	D18	1998		39.0 ± 3.0	8.5 ± 4.0	22.5 ± 5.5	29.0 ± 5.5	11	11	6.5	
Autec 2-South	D19	1998		46.5 ± 3.5		29.0 ± 4.0		8.5	0	11	
N, Bight	D20	1998		54.5 ± 3.5		20.0 ± 2.5		5	2.5	15.5	
Autec 3	D21	1998		39.5 ± 2.0			34.0 ± 3.5	2	8	21.5	
Middle Bight	D22	1998		51.5 ± 3.5		22.5 ± 3.0		4.	2	22.5	
Mangrove N.	D23	1998		34.0 ± 1.5		17.5 ± 3.5		0	6.5	6.5	
Bights-all ¹		.,,,	558	43.5 ± 7.5			33.0 ± 5.0	5.4 ± 3.8		15.2 ± 7.3	
Congo Town	D24	1998	122	53.5 ± 2.5	42.5 ± 3.5	25.5 ± 3.0	62.0 ± 3.0	2.5	2.5	32	
Long Bay Cay	D25	1998	110	43.0 ± 2.0	20.5 ± 3.5	23.0 ± 3.0	40.0 ± 3.5	5.5	4.5	25.5	
Oasis	D26	1998	127	72.5 ± 5.0	2.0 ± 0.5	26.0 ± 2.5	28.0 ± 2.5	2.5	1.5	3	
High Point Cay	D27	1998	114	45.5 ± 2.0	5.0 ± 1.5	20.5 ± 2.5	25.5 ± 2.5	2	2.5	8	
North Rock	D28	1997	124	63.5 ± 3.5	9.0 ± 1.5	29.5 ± 2.5	38.0 ± 2.5	1.5	0	1.5	
North Grassy	D29	1997	92	54.0 ± 4.0	8.5 ± 1.5	33.5 ± 3.0	42.0 ± 3.0	1	2	2	
South Grassy	D30	1997	125	69.0 ± 3.5	10.0 ± 1.0	39.0 ± 2.5	49.0 ± 2.5	5	1	4	
Delta	D31	1997	90	53.0 ± 2.5	11.0 ±2.5	31.5 ± 3.0	42.0 ± 3.5	3.5	1	3.5	
Pigeon	D32	1997	97	68.5 ± 4.5	13.5 ± 2.5	45.0 ± 4.0	56.0 ± 3.5	12.5	1	6	
Saddleback				63.5 ± 3.0	11.0 ± 1.5	29.5 ± 2.5	40.5 ± 2.5	2.5	4	12	
South Andros-				58.5 ± 10.0			42.5 ± 11.0	3.8 ± 3.3	2 ± 1.4	9.8 ± 10.6	

The standard deviation |f| = 1 sum; all other columns = means \pm standard deviation. The standard relative to 1998 due to changes in assessment methodology.

Table 3A. Algal characteristics, and density of stony coral recruits and of Diadema antillarum (mean ± standard deviation), by reef-crest sites off Andros (1997 sites are italicized).

Reef Crest	Site	Year	Quadrats (#)	Relat	Macro		Recruits	Diadema		
Site Name	Code			Macroalgae	Turf algae	Crustose coralline algae	Height ²	Index ³	(#/.0625 m ²) ((#/100 m ²)
N. Joulters	SI	1997	53	6.5 ± 10.0	43.0 ± 11.0	50.5 ± 6.0	w w		0.2 ± 0.4	0
Golding	S2	1997	40	7.5 ± 12.0	39.5 ± 13.5	53.0 ± 11.5			0.0 ± 0.2	0
Morgan	S3	1997	44	8.0 ± 8.5	51.5 ± 16.5	40.5 ± 18.0			0.3 ± 0.5	0
Coconut Point	S4	1997	41	8.5 ± 15.0	44.0 ± 16.5	47.5 ± 14.5			0.1 ± 0.3	0
Mahore	S5	1998	45	12.0 ± 16.0	49.5 ± 18.5	38.5 ± 17.0	3	82	0.1 ± 0.4	1
S. Staniard 2	S7	1998	34	13.0 ± 7.5	64.5 ± 22.0	22.5 ± 19.5	2	41	0.1 ± 0.3	0
S. Staniard I	S6	1997	36	3.5 ± 6.0	49.5 ± 16.0	47.0 ± 15.5			0.2 ± 0.3	0
North Andros-all ¹			293	8.0 ± 3.0	49 ± 8.0	43.0 ± 10.5	2.5 ± 0.5	61.5 ± 29.0	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.4
N. Love Hill	S8	1998	40	17.0 ± 23.0	39.5 ± 25.0	43.5 ± 27.0	2.5	79	0.4 ± 0.8	0
S. Love Hill	S9	1997	60	18.5 ± 15.5	41.0 ± 27.5	40.5 ± 29.5			0.3 ± 0.7	0
China Point	S10	1998	44	10.5 ± 9.5	37.0 ± 25.5	52.5 ± 26.5	2	28	0.1 ± 0.5	0
Red Rock	S11	1998	18	13.5 ± 21.5	57.0 ± 26.0	29.5 ± 27.0	1	15	0.1 ± 0.2	0
S. Autec	S12	1997	42	26.0 ± 21.0	38.0 ± 22.5	35.5 ± 24.0			0.3 ± 0.5	0.9
S. Long Rock	S13	1997	46	14.5 ± 14.0	40.5 ± 14.5	45.0 ± 19.0			0.2 ± 0.4	0
Mid Long Rock	S14	1997	92	9.5 ± 10.5	50.5 ± 24.5	39.5 ± 26.0			0.4 ± 0.6	0
Sugar Rock	S15	1998	37	8.0 ± 8.5	50.0 ± 20.5	42.0 ± 22.0	1.5	23	0.2 ± 0.8	0
Central Andros-all			379	14.0 ± 5.5	44.0 ± 7.0	42 ± 8.0	1.5 ± 1.0	36.5 ± 29.0	0.3 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.3
Autec 2-South	S16	1998	46		44.5 ± 23.0	44.5 ± 25.0	1	24	0.0 ± 0.2	0
N. Bight	S17	1998	55	13.5 ± 20.0	45.0 ± 21.5	39.0 ± 18.0	1.5	26	0.1 ± 0.6	0
Big Wood	S18	1998	54	26.0 ± 20.5	37.0 ± 23.5	36.5 ± 26.0	2.5	99	0.1 ± 0.4	4
Autec 3	S19	1998	54	9.0 ± 12.0	46.5 ± 25.0	44.5 ± 28.5	1.5	22	0.1 ± 0.7	0
Middle Bight	S20	1998	54	13.5 ± 20.0	40.0 ± 21.0	46.0 ± 22.5	1.5	39	0.1 ± 0.3	2.5
Mangrove C.	S21	1998	65	10.5 ± 13.5	40.5 ± 21.0	48.5 ± 22.0	1.5	47	0.2 ± 0.5	0
Mangrove S	S22	1998	64	20.5 ± 17.5	42.0 ± 18.0	37.5 ± 18.0	2.5	88	0.2 ± 0.5	0
Bights-all ¹			392	15.0 ± 6.0	42.0 ± 3.5	42.5 ± 4.5	1.5 ± 0.5	49.0 ± 31.5	0.1 ± 0.1	1 ± 1.5
Congo Town	S23	1998	69	11.5 ± 15.5	13.5 ± 19.0	44.0 ± 19.0	1.5	26	0.1 ± 0.4	2.5
Long Bay Cay	S24	1998	54		14.0 ± 19.0	41.0 ± 19.5	2	43	0.1 ± 0.3	0
North Rock	S25	1997	50	14.5 ± 19.0	29.5 ± 21.0	56.0 ± 16.0			0.1 ± 0.4	0
North Grassy	S26	1997	51	10.5 ± 11.5	43.0 ± 19.5	47.0 ± 17.5			$\theta.4\pm\theta.7$	0
Delta	S27	1997	44	11.5 ± 12.0	30.5 ± 15.0	58.0 ± 16.5			0.3 ± 0.5	0
f ³ igeon	S28	1997	44	18.0 ± 15.0	40.5 ± 15.0	41.5 ± 18.0			0.2 ± 0.4	0
South Andros-all ¹			312	12.5 ± 3.0	28.5 ± 12.5	48.0 ± 7.5	1.5 ± 0.5	34.5 ± 12.0	0.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 1.0

¹Quadrats (#) = sum; all other columns = means ± standard deviation.

²Heights measured as maximum canopy heights in 1997 are not included.

³Macroalgal index = relative abundance of macroalgae x macroalgal height.

Table 3B. Algal characteristics, and density of stony coral recruits and of *Diadema* antillarum (mean ± standard deviation), by fore-reef sites off Andros (1997 sites are italicized).

Fore Reef	Site	Year	Quadrat	Relat	ive Abundanc	e (%)		oalgal	Recruits	Diadema
Site Name	Code		(#)	Macroalgae	Turf algae	Crustose coralline algae	Height	Index ³	(#/.0625 m ²)	(#/100 m ²)
N. Joulters	D1	1997	35	49.0 ± 18.0	10.0 ± 13.5	41.0 ± 18.5			0.3 ± 0.6	0
Nichols	D2	1997	42	35.5 ± 15.0	17.0 ± 13.0	47.5 ± 14.0			0.5 ± 0.6	0
Conch	D3	1997	30	60.0 ± 32.0	26.5 ± 26.5	14.0 ± 14.0			0.3 ± 0.6	0
Bucket	D4	1997	24	25.5 ± 8.5	45.0 ± 22.0	29.5 ± 18.5			0.7 ± 0.8	0
N.Staniard	D5	1997	21	33.5 ± 18.5	44.0 ± 26.0	22.5 ± 8.0			0.5 ± 0.6	0
S. Staniard 2	D6	1998	45	35.0 ± 14.0	27.5 ± 18.5	37.5 ± 19.0	3.5	162	0.4 ± 1.1	1
S. Staniard 1	D7	1997	24	36 ± 10.0	46.0 ± 18.0	22.5 ± 18.0		•	0.8 ± 1.0	0
North Andros- all ¹			221	39.0 ± 11.5	31.0 ± 14.0	30.5 ± 12.0	3.5	162	0.5 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.4
S . Love Hill	D8	1997	37	61.0 ± 19.5	25.0 ± 14.5	14.5 ± 12.0			0.9 ± 1.2	0
Coffee	D9	1997	51	56.5 ± 17.0	13.5 ± 14.5	30.0 ± 14.0			0.7 ± 0.9	0
West Klein	D10	1998	45	48.0 ± 19.5	28.0 ± 18.0	24.0 ± 11.5	3.5	177	0.5 ± 0.9	0
S .Autec	D11	1997	33	74.0 ± 17.5	6.5 ± 9.5	19.0 ± 16.0	202		0.7 ± 1.0	0
S. Long Rock	D12	1997	38	62.5 ± 20.5	10.5 ± 11.5	27.0 ± 16.0			0.9 ± 0.9	0
Long Rock	D13	1998	46	48.0 ± 20.0	30.0 ± 19.5	22.0 ± 13.0	3.0	145	0.1 ± 0.4	0
Mid Long Rock	D14	1997	33	59.0 ± 15.0	9.5 ± 12.0	31.5 ± 10.5			0.7 ± 0.8	0
Green Cay	D15	1998	62	54.5 ± 20.0	15.5 ± 19.0	30.0 ± 14.0	3	212	0.7 ± 0.8	0
Sugar Rock	D16	1998	56	41.5 ± 12.5	21.0 ± 16.5	37.5 ± 15.0	3.5	215	0.9 ± 1.0	0
Central Andros			401	56.0 ± 9.5	18.0 ± 9.0	26.0 ± 7.0	3.2 ± 0.3	187 ± 33	0.7 ± 0.2	0
Bristol Galley	D17	1998	48	45.5 ± 21.0	18.0 ± 20.5	36.5 ± 15.0	4.0	238	0.8 ± 1.1	0
Autec 2	D18	1998	62	51.5 ± 13.0	11.0 ± 9.0	37.5 ± 10.5	3.5	281	0.7 ± 1.1	2
Autec 2-South	D19	1998	44	40.0 ± 15.5	24.0 ± 24.0	36.0 ± 18.5	3.0	178	0.4 ± 0.7	0
N. Bight	D20	1998	47	45.0 ± 15.5	21.0 ± 22.5	33.5 ± 16.0	3.0	202	0.8 ± 1.2	0
Autec 3	D21	1998	49	44.0 ± 16.0	14.0 ± 17.5	42.0 ± 18.0	3.0	185	0.6 ± 0.7	0
Middle Bight	D22	1998	48	42.0 ± 13.0	14.0 ± 15.5	44.0 ± 14.0	3.7	255	0.6 ± 1.0	0
Mangrove N.	D23	1998	70	50.5 ± 19.0	15.5 ± 17.0	34.0 ± 22.0	3.0	238	0.2 ± 0.6	0
Bights-all ¹			368	45.5 ± 4.0	17.0 ± 5.0	37.5 ± 4.0	3.3 ± 0.4	226 ± 38	0.6 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.7
Congo Town	D24	1998	48	50.0 ± 24.0	13.5 ± 19.0	36.5 ± 23.0	4.0	218	0.3 ± 0.7	0
Long Bay Cay	D25	1998	50	50.0 ± 16.5	14.0 ± 19.0	36.0 ± 17.5	3.5	223	0.4 ± 0.7	4
Oasis	D26	1998	50	31.5 ± 19.5	29.0 ± 25.5	39.5 ± 17.5	3.0	140	0.6 ± 1.2	0
High Point Cay	D27	1998	35	41.5 ± 16.0	16.0 ± 21.5	42.5 ± 19.5	3.5	190	0.9 ± 1.2	2
North Rock	D28	1997	33	49.5 ± 12.5	3.5 ± 6.0	47.5 ± 13.0			1.1 ± 1.5	0
North Grassy	D29	1997	26	43.0 ± 25.0	10.0 ± 16.0	47.0 ± 24.0			0.9 ± 1.8	0
South Grassy	D30	1997	31	49.0 ± 15.0	4.0 ± 7.0	46.5 ± 12.0			0.6 ± 0.8	0
Delta	D31	1997	43	41.0 ± 15.0	4.0 ± 6.5	55.0 ± 16.0			1.1 ± 1.3	0
Pigeon	D32	1997	39	48.5 ± 15.5	9.0 ± 11.0	42.5 ± 12.5			1.7 ± 1.7	0
Saddleback	D33	1997	37	48.0 ± 16.0	5.5 ± 9.0	46.5 ± 15.0			0.9 ± 1.1	0
South Andros- all ¹			392	45.5 ± 6.5	11.0 ± 8.0	38.5 ± 3.0	3.3 ± 0.4	193 ± 38	0.9 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 1.4

Quadrats (#) = sum; all other columns = means \pm standard deviation.

²Heights measured as maximum canopy heights in 1997 are not included. ³Macroalgal index = relative abundance of macroalgae x macroalgal height.