

Ballard 11/92



# SILK

TS  
1665  
.H37  
1992  
MSC

**HARPERS FERRY REGIONAL TEXTILE GROUP**  
**November 12-13, 1992**

**National Museum of American History**

Disclaimer: Material in SILK has been provided by the speakers and authors. Inclusion does not necessarily imply professional endorsement or approval by the Harpers Ferry Regional Textile Group.

SILK has been published by the HARPERS FERRY REGIONAL TEXTILE GROUP, c 1992. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States.

This Harpers Ferry Regional Textile Group Symposium was organized by:

Katheleen Betts  
Meg Loew Craft  
Katherine Dirks  
Margaret Fikioris  
Jane Merritt  
Fonda G. Thomsen

## Surfactants and Silk

Dr. Heason Rhee, International Fabricare Institute  
Silver Spring, Maryland  
and

Mary W. Ballard, Conservation Analytical Laboratory,  
Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

**Abstract:** The interaction of surfactants upon silk will be reviewed, especially that of nonionic and anionic surfactants on the amphoteric character of the silk fiber. Discussion will compare visual vs. non-visual results, that is, what can occur without apparent changes to the silk. Results of light ageing after various cleaning methods will be evaluated in reference to this study. The purpose of this presentation is to suggest certain correlations between textile science and empirical observations from conservation treatments. **Keywords:** anionic, nonionic, surfactant, silk, adsorption

There are numerous issues associated with the cleaning of antique textiles, especially silk. Conservators and conservation scientists have focused their soap and surfactant studies on a variety of topics: the type of cleaning agent, the use of auxiliary agents, the effect of water hardness, the type of soiling, the relative merits of non-aqueous drycleaning, the degree of cleanliness achieved, the most appropriate formulation. One issue, addressed in the surfactant and physical chemistry literature, should be added to this list for the textile conservation field: the chemical interaction that surfactants may have with the fiber.

The first step in cleaning or soil removal is the diffusion of water to the soil-fiber interface. This diffusion is usually accomplished by adding a surfactant or soap, since surfactants decrease the surface tension of water. In other words, surfactants reduce the attraction between water molecules, which permits the water to be more readily absorbed in the fiber and to become close to the soil particles.

Surfactants and soaps are organic compounds that have a non-polar, hydrocarbon portion or "tail" and a portion or "head" which is more polar. The non-polar section would not be water soluble without its polar head. The type of "head" determines the category of surfactant: anionic, nonionic, or cationic. Cationic surfactants refer to compounds in which the surface active agent is positively charged (+); these are generally used as softening and antic-static agents in textile processing industries and in household cleaning. Nonionic compounds do not bear any charge, but are polar enough to reduce the surface tension of water significantly. Anionic surfactants are compounds that have negatively charged (-) "heads."

Successful cleaning or soil removal involves matching the type of surfactant with the type of soil or particles marring the fiber surface. For example, nonionic surfactants are effective in removing non-polar oily soil from hydrophobic substrates--

from fiber surfaces that do not interact with water; anionic agents are less effective. Yet much of the (polar) soiling found on cotton fabrics is removed by anionic surfactants, commonly used in home laundry detergents. Also important is the concentration of the surfactant in the bath or cleaning solution. Increasing the concentration increases the cleaning power up to a certain point or "critical micelle concentration." A concentration higher than this will be less effective. Each surfactant has a particular effective concentration or CMC, depending upon the particular chemical structure of surface active agent.

While a considerable amount of work has been done on addressing the adsorption behavior of the surfactant during wet cleaning or washing, much less information is available on how much of the adsorbed surfactant is removed during the rinsing process. Animal fibers like wool or silk adsorb anionic surfactants during washing because of the amphoteric nature of the proteins. Protein fibers are made up of amino acids, with an amino (+) portion and an acid (-) portion in each molecule of the fiber.

Samples of silk cleaned and rinsed with nonionic surfactant show little sulfur present because the amount of sulfur in the silk protein is very small. However, after wet cleaning samples of silk with an anionic surfactant, and rinsing with deionized water, somewhat more sulfur--part of the anionic surfactant molecule--is detected by inorganic elemental analysis (SEM/EDS). Such a residue is not present for cotton. Upon light ageing, differences in mechanical properties also appear for silk samples cleaned by different systems.

The presence of a surfactant residue depends not only on the rinsing procedures but also on the type of surfactant and fiber. This is especially important for silk where the electrostatic attraction between surfactant and fabric plays a critical role in the sorption of surfactant. There are two ways to modify this electrostatic attraction. One will be altering the type of surfactant, nonionic rather than anionic. The other is to change the pH condition of the rinse water. The advantages and disadvantages of the residue itself should be considered.

In this study silk fabrics were obtained from Testfabrics Inc. of Middlesex, New Jersey. The anionic surfactant--sodium lauryl sulfate, buffered to a pH of 7.0--was selected for the primary experimental work, since it is the standard surfactant used in wet cleaning antique textiles across the United States.

### References

1. Aickin, R.G. "Communications: The Adsorption of Sodium Alkyl Sulphates by Wool and other Textile Fibres," Journal of the Society of Dyers and Colourists, vol. 60, #3 (March, 1944): 60-65.
2. \_\_\_\_\_. "The Adsorption of Sodium Alkyl Sulphates by Wool and other Textile Fibres," Journal of the Society of Dyers and Colourists, vol. 60, #10 (October,

- 1944): 286-287.
3. Boring, M. and P. Ewer. "Surfactant Comparison Test," Postprints of the Joint Session of the Paintings and Textiles Specialty Groups, 1991. Washington, D.C.: American Institute for Conservation, pp. 41-61.
  4. Canadian Conservation Institute Notes, "Notes: Anionic Detergent."
  5. Durham, K. "Chapter I: Properties of Detergent Solutions--Amphipathy and Adsorption," Surface Activity and Detergency. London: Macmillan & Co., Ltd., 1961 pp 1-28.
  6. Eastaugh, N. "Some Experiments Comparing the Performance of Detergent Formulations Based on Anionic and Non-Ionic Surfactants Under Conditions Relating to Conservation Use," Preprints, ICOM Committee on Conservation, vol. I Marina Del Rey: Getty Conservation Institute, 1987, pp. 357-364.
  7. Ewer, P. and R. Randolph, "Report on Orvus WA Paste," Textile Conservation Newsletter, #22 (Spring, 1992):2-5.
  8. Fava, A. and H. Eyring. "Equilibrium and Kinetics of Detergent Adsorption--A Generalized Equilibrium Theory," Journal of Physical Chemistry, Vol. 60, #7 (1956)"890-890.
  9. Freeland, G.N., G.B. Guise, and I.M. Russell, "Sorption and Analysis of Some Nonylphenol Ethoxylate Surfactants on Wool," Textile Research Journal. vol. 55, #6 (June,1985): 358
  10. Garrett, H.E. Surface Active Chemicals. New York: Pergamon Press, 1972.
  11. Harris, J.C. Detergency Evaluation and Testing. New York: Interscience Publishers, Inc., 1954.
  12. Hofenk de Graaff, J. "Cleaning Ancient Textiles," Conservazione e Restauro dei Tessili: International Conference, Como, 1980 Milan: C.I.S.S.T.- Lombardy Section.
  13. \_\_\_\_\_. "The Constitution of Detergents in Connection with the Cleaning of Ancient Textiles," Studies in Conservation, vol. 13, #3 (August, 1968): 122-141.
  14. \_\_\_\_\_. "Some Recent Developments in the Cleaning of Ancient Textiles," Science and Technology in the Service of Conservation. London: International Institute for Conservation, 1982, pp. 93-95.
  15. Holt, L.A., J.S. Kelson, and R.N. Reddie. "Substantivity of Various Anionic Surfactants Applied to Wool. Part II: Treatments Under Dyeing Conditions," Textile Research Journal, Vol. 62, #3 (March, 1992): 140-143.

16. \_\_\_\_\_ and J. Onorato. "Substantivity of Various Anionic Surfactants Applied to Wool," Textile Research Journal. vol. 59, #11 (November, 1989): 653-657
17. Jones, T.G. "Chapter 4: Dirt Removal," Surface Activity and Detergency. London: Macmillan & Co., Ltd., 1961 pp 72-118.
18. Kissa, E. "Chapter 1: Evaluation of Soiling," Detergency: Theory and Technology. edited by W. G. Cutler and E. Kissa. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1987, pp.1-89.
19. Meader, A.K. and B.A. Fries, "Adsorption in the Detergent Process," Industrial Engineering Chemistry, vol. 44 #7 (1952): 1636-1648.
20. Longman, G.F. The Analysis of Detergents and Detergent Products. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1975.
21. Moffatt, E. "Detergents," Canadian Conservation Institute Report ARS No. 1781. Ottawa, Canada: Canadian Conservation Institute, 1981.
22. Moillet, J.L., B. Collie, and W. Black. Surface Activity, second edition. Princeton: D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., 1961
23. Orvus: for Dry Cleaners, Laundries, Rug Cleaners (no date)
24. Putnam, F. W. "The Interactions of Proteins and Synthetic Detergents," Advances in Protein Chemistry, vol. 4, edited by M.L. Anson and J.T. Edsall. New York: Academic Press, Inc. 1948, pp. 79-122.
25. Rice, J.W. "Chapter 4: Principles of Fragile Textile Cleaning," Textile Conservation, edited by J.E. Leene. London: Butterworths, 1972, pp. 32-72.
26. Selm, R. and J. Sigman. CAL#4978: Final Report, Summer Internship, 1987. Unpublished Report, Conservation Analytical Laboratory, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
27. Shebs, W.T. "Chapter 3: Radioisotope Techniques in Detergency," Detergency: Theory and Technology. edited by W. G. Cutler and E. Kissa. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1987, pp.1-89.
28. Sisley, J.-P. Encyclopedia of Surface Active Agents, translated by P.J. Wood. vol I (1954) and vol. II (1962) New York: Chemical Publishing Company, Inc.
29. Spei, M. and R. Holzem, "Molküllängenabhängige Einlagerung von Natrium-Alkylsulfaten in Faserkeratine," Meiliand Textilberichte vol. 71, #9 (1990): 706-707 ["Molecular length dependent deposition of sodium alkyl sulphates in keratin fibre" Meiliand English E329]

30. Trotman, E.R. Textile Scouring and Bleaching. London: Charles Griffin & Company, Ltd., 1968.
31. Vandergrift, A.E. and B.J. Rutkowski, Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, vol. 44, (1967): 107
32. Weatherburn, A.S. and C.H. Bayley. "The Sorption of Synthetic Surface-Active Compounds by Textile Fibers," Textile Research Journal, vol. 22 (December, 1952): 797-804.
33. Wentz, M. "Chapter 12: Experimental Studies on the Effect of Aqueous and Nonaqueous Treatments on Historic Textiles," Historic Textile and Paper Materials: Conservation and Characterization, edited by H.L. Needles and S. H. Zeronian. Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society, 1986, pp.211-229.