See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236850341

## Geochemistry of volcanic glasses and sources of archaeological obsidian on the Kamchatka Peninsula (Russian Far East): First ....

Article · January 2005

CITATIONS		READS	
10		76	
6 autho	rs, including:		
0	Robert J. Speakman		Michael D. Glascock
	University of Georgia	250	University of Missouri
	179 PUBLICATIONS 2,196 CITATIONS		542 PUBLICATIONS 7,285 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE
and the second	Yaroslav V. Kuzmin	-	Andrei V. Grebennikov
	Sobolev Institute of Geology and Mineralogy	Sile	Far East Geological Institute
	273 PUBLICATIONS 3,288 CITATIONS		42 PUBLICATIONS 203 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Validation of the WDXRF, EDXRF, ICP–MS, NAA and PGAA analytical techniques. View project

Create new project "Obsidian Artifact Sourcing " View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Andrei V. Grebennikov on 28 May 2014.

## Geochemistry of Volcanic Glasses and Sources of Archaeological Obsidian on the Kamchatka Peninsula (Russian Far East): First Results

Robert J. Speakman, Michael D. Glascock, Vladimir K. Popov, Yaroslav V. Kuzmin, Andrei V. Ptashinsky, and Andrei V. Grebennikov

Assigning obsidian artifacts to their geologic sources using analytical chemistry techniques is one of the best methods available for establishing prehistoric patterns of trade, exchange, and interaction. In northeast Asia, significant progress in this field has been achieved in Japan (Hall and Kimura 2002; Suzuki 1970; Yamamoto 1990), and more recently in the Russian Far East (Kuzmin et al. 2002a, 2002b). However, on the Kamchatka Peninsula no systematic collections or geochemical analyses of obsidian have taken place.

The Kamchatka Peninsula, situated in far eastern Russia, spans a region of approximately 400 by 1200 km. Located at the boundary between the Pacific and Eurasian plates, Kamchatka is one of the most active volcanic arcs in the world. Consequently, there are many obsidian sources, and approximately 800 archaeological sites with obsidian tools and debitage are known to exist. Source determination of artifacts will give us a better understanding of the prehistoric movement of people and artifacts in this region, and will contribute to the ongoing dialogue of the role that this area played in the colonization of Beringia and the Americas (Goebel et al. 2003).

In 2003, a joint University of Missouri and Russian Academy of Sciences collaborative research project was initiated to identify sources of archaeological obsidian in Kamchatka. During the 2004 field season, we collected obsidian from geologic contexts near the city of Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky. Our samples were augmented with artifacts from extant collections and with geologic specimens housed in Russian reference collections. Thus far, 251 artifacts obtained from sites across Kamchatka and 43 source samples have been analyzed by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) at the University of Missouri Research Reactor Center.

2

1

4

9

3

Robert J. Speakman and Michael D. Glascock, Archaeometry Laboratory, University of Missouri Research Reactor Center, Columbia, MO 65211; e-mails: speakmanr@missouri.edu; glascockm @missouri.edu

Vladimir K. Popov and Andrei V. Grebennikov, Far Eastern Geological Institute, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 100-Letiya Vladivostoku Ave. 159, Vladivostok 690022, Russia; e-mails: vladpov@fegi.ru; greandr@hotmail.com

Yaroslav V. Kuzmin, Pacific Institute of Geography, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Radio St. 7, Vladivostok 690041, Russia; e-mail: ykuzmin@tig.dvo.ru

Andrei V. Ptashinsky, Kamchatka State Pedagogical University, Korolev St. 39/2, Apt. 35, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy 683009, Russia; e-mail: ptashins@mail.iks.ru

Thirteen distinct chemical groups, designated K-1 through K-13 (Figure 1), were determined; 11 samples are unassigned. Two groups, K-12 and 13, only contain geological samples. Seven groups (K-2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 11) comprise obsidian from both sources and archaeological sites. The distances between sources and sites, where obsidians from particular source groups were identified, vary from about 1 to 400 km. Four groups (K-1, 4, 8, and 10) included only artifacts, thereby making source attribution impossible. These groups provide us with an idea of the number of geological sources that must be located, and clues as to where to look. Fieldwork during the 2005 season will focus on the search for sources so far unknown.

This research was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (grant BCS-0102325), the U.S. 7 Civilian Research and Development Foundation (grant RG1-2538-VL-03), and the Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (grant 05-III-A-08-099).



6

Figure 1. Bivariate plot of manganese and barium concentrations for obsidian source samples and artifacts from Kamchatka analyzed by INAA. Ellipses represent the 95 percent confidence interval for 10 group membership. Unassigned specimens are not plotted. Manganese and barium are particularly useful for discriminating elements because as large ions these elements are incompatible with crystallizing solids; as magmas evolve the concentrations of incompatible elements will be different for each source.

## **References Cited**

Goebel, T., M. R. Waters, and M. Dikova 2003 The Archaeology of Ushki Lake, Kamchatka, and the Pleistocene Peopling of the Americas. Science 301:501-505.

Hall, M., and H. Kimura 2002 Quantitative EDXRF Studies of Obsidian Sources in Northern Hokkaido. Journal of Archaeological Science 29:269-266.

8

Kuzmin, Y. V., M. D. Glascock, and H. Sato 2002b Sources of Archaeological Obsidian on Sakhalin Island (Russian Far East). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 29:741–749.

Kuzmin, Y. V., V. K. Popov, M. D. Glascock, and M. S. Shackley 2002a Sources of Archaeological Volcanic Glass in the Primorye (Maritime) Province, Russian Far East. *Archaeometry* 44:505–515.

Suzuki, M. 1970 Fission Track Ages and Uranium Content of Obsidians. Zinruigaku Zassi [Journal of the Anthropological Society of Nippon] 78(1):50–58.

Yamamoto, K. 1990 Space-Time Analysis of Raw Material Utilization for Stone Implements of the Jomon Culture in Japan. *Antiquity* 64(245):868–889.