Drought stress and tropical forest woody seedlings: effect on community structure and composition
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Summary

1 We hypothesized that severe drought affects the structure of tropical forests by favouring seedlings of some species or groups at the expense of others. To test this hypothesis, we irrigated naturally occurring woody seedlings during an El Niño-related drought in seasonal moist tropical forest on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. We predicted that irrigated plots would retain greater species richness than control plots, and that the benefits of irrigation would increase with the abundance of: trees relative to lianas, wet-forest seedlings relative to dry-forest seedlings, and rare species relative to common species. We also hypothesized that the strength of this filter would increase with increased moisture limitation, predicting that the benefits of irrigation would increase with seedling density and light availability, and decrease with mean seedling age.

2 Irrigation did reduce species losses, but not by limiting the loss of drought-sensitive species as predicted. Instead, mortality in irrigated plots was density dependent, whereas species losses in control plots were well predicted by random thinning, suggesting that density dependence weakened as abiotic stress increased.

3 Irrigation increased seedling growth, but did not affect seedling mortality. Contrary to our predictions, irrigation increased growth in plots dominated by dry-forest species relative to those dominated by wet-forest species, suggesting that dry-forest seedlings either occur in moisture-limited microsites or are more able to utilize dry-season precipitation. The strength of the filter did increase with potential moisture limitation, as irrigation increased seedling growth more in higher light environments.

4 Annual precipitation has declined over much of the humid tropics during the 20th century. Our results suggest that this trend may reduce tropical forest diversity by weakening density-dependent mechanisms that maintain diversity. In addition, plots dominated by dry-forest species experienced higher growth in response to irrigation and also far lower dry-season mortality relative to plots dominated by wet-forest species. While we cannot disentangle the effects of microsite from species composition, these results suggest that dry-forest species may benefit from any increase in dry season length or severity.

5 Research conducted during ‘normal’ conditions may overlook the impact of severe events and thus fail to identify critical mechanisms structuring ecological communities.
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Introduction

The structure and composition of tropical forests change dramatically with increasing precipitation. Overall plant diversity increases with annual precipitation, and liana diversity and abundance decrease (Gentry & Emmons 1987; Gentry 1988; Gentry 1991). However, many have argued that total annual precipitation is not the proximate cause for these relationships, but rather that dry-season duration and severe drought limit species ranges (Gentry & Emmons 1987; Gentry 1988; Wright 1992; Clinebell et al. 1995; Condit 1998; Condit et al. 2004). Indeed, tropical forest community composition often responds strongly to severe drought (Condit et al. 1995, 1996; Nakagawa et al. 2000; Laurance & Williamson 2001; Delissio & Primack 2003). Investigations of community dynamics conducted during average conditions may miss these rare but critical extreme events.

Severe drought may act as a filter by eliminating species that are not drought tolerant, and rare species may be more susceptible to severe drought if their rarity is a consequence of narrow environmental niches (Bazzaz 1998). For example, Condit et al. (2004) found that two forests at opposite ends of a strong precipitation gradient across the Panamanian isthmus share only 47 of 384 total species, despite being only 55 km apart. This suggests either that many species have clear habitat affinities (Bazzaz 1998; Clark et al. 1998) with respect to precipitation or that species are strongly dispersal-limited (Hubbell 2001). Severe drought may also change forest structure by favouring lianas at the expense of trees. Liana abundance peaks in tropical dry forest and declines with increasing precipitation (Gentry 1991; Schnitzer & Bongers 2002). Lianas may be better equipped to tolerate drought because reduced allocation to stems may allow increased allocation to roots (Putz 1983). Lianas strongly influence forest dynamics because they increase the average size of forest gaps, affect slow-growing tree species more than fast-growing pioneers, alter gap-phase succession, and constitute c. 25% of woody species richness in many tropical forests (Croat 1978; Putz 1984; Clark & Clark 1990; Gentry 1991; Appanah et al. 1993; Schnitzer & Carson 2000; Schnitzer et al. 2000; Schnitzer & Bongers 2002).

While the relationships between precipitation gradients and community structure and composition are well known, the specific mechanisms causing them are not. We argue that severe drought is more likely to stress or kill seedlings, rather than adults, because of their poorly developed root systems (Wright 1992; Coomes & Grubb 2000; Wright 2002). Furthermore, seedling recruitment is often considered the critical stage in forest dynamics, because of the greater susceptibility of seedlings, rather than trees, to the myriad inimical forces acting upon them and because canopies are composed of individuals that have passed through this vulnerable seedling stage (Harper 1977; Wright 1992, 2002). In spite of the importance of seedlings in forest dynamics, most research efforts have focused on the effects of drought on larger size classes (Condit et al. 1995, 1996; Wright et al. 1999; Nakagawa et al. 2000; Laurance & Williamson 2001), and few have focused on seedlings (Gilbert et al. 2001; Engelbrecht et al. 2002; Delissio & Primack 2003; Engelbrecht & Kursar 2003). Surprisingly, the community-level effects of drought on tropical woody seedlings have never, to our knowledge, been experimentally tested.

A number of factors including seedling density, seedling age and light availability, are likely to magnify the impact of severe drought on woody seedlings (Fisher et al. 1991; Poorter & Hayashida-Oliver 2000; Delissio & Primack 2003). Young seedlings may be more susceptible to drought than older seedlings, which have had more time to develop larger root systems, buffering them against drought. Many studies have shown that mortality is very high for young seedlings and declines with age (Garwood 1983; De Steven 1994; Connell & Green 2000; Gilbert et al. 2001; Delissio & Primack 2003). Light is likely to be the most limiting factor at very low light intensities in the understory, and therefore soil moisture should become relatively more limiting as light availability increases (Bazzaz & Pickett 1980; Coomes & Grubb 2000; but note that soil moisture also increases with light availability, Vitousek & Denslowl 1986; Becker et al. 1988). Because of the strong asymmetries in size between adults and seedlings, seedlings are likely to compete not with each other, but rather with the overstorey (Coomes & Grubb 2000).

Indeed, a lack of competition between seedlings has been suggested as a mechanism for the maintenance of diversity in tropical forests, as competitive exclusion cannot occur without competition (Wright 2002). During severe drought, however, seedlings may compete with each other, and this may intensify with increasing seedling density.

In this study, we capitalized on several factors to test experimentally the community level effects of severe drought, by irrigating naturally occurring woody seedlings during the 1997–98 El Niño-related drought. We addressed two broad hypotheses, each with several specific predictions. First, we hypothesized that severe drought structures the diversity and composition of tropical forests by affecting growth and mortality of some species more than others. We predicted that irrigation would decrease mortality and increase growth: (a) in plots dominated by seedlings of species associated with Panama’s wet Atlantic coast more than in plots dominated by seedlings of species associated with the dry Pacific coast; (b) in plots dominated by seedlings of rare species more than in plots dominated by seedlings of common species; and (c) in plots dominated by seedlings of trees more than in plots dominated by seedlings of lianas. We also predicted (d) that irrigation would reduce species losses compared with control plots. Secondly, we hypothesized that the effects of severe drought will increase with factors that exacerbate moisture limitation. Specifically, irrigation will decrease mortality and increase growth: (a) in plots with high
seedling densities more than in plots with low seedling densities; (b) in plots dominated by young seedlings more than in plots dominated by older seedlings; and (c) in plots with higher light availability more than in plots with lower light availability.

Materials and methods

STUDY SITE

We conducted this research in moist tropical forest on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) in the Republic of Panama. Precipitation on BCI averages c. 2600 mm y\(^{-1}\), with a pronounced dry season from mid-December through mid-April (Windsor 1990). Detailed descriptions of the study site can be found in Croat (1978) and Leigh et al. (1996). We conducted the study on Poacher’s peninsula, located on the south side of BCI, which consists of old growth forest that has been relatively undisturbed for more than 500 years (Piperno 1990).

THE 1997–98 EL NIÑO

The El Niño-Southern Oscillation has strong effects on global climate, bringing reduced annual rainfall to much of the humid tropics (Ropelewski & Halpern 1987; Aceituno 1988; Kiladis & Diaz 1989; Dai & Wigley 2000; Diaz et al. 2001; New et al. 2001; Malhi & Wright 2004). On BCI, El Niño events are associated with reduced precipitation during both the wet season and the early dry season (Kiladis & Diaz 1989; Dai & Wigley 2000; Diaz et al. 2001). The 1997–98 El Niño event was one of the strongest on record (Timmermann et al. 1999; Fedorov & Philander 2000), and was associated with sharply reduced wet season precipitation and a longer and dryer dry season on BCI (Fig. 1), although it was not as long and dry as the 1982–83 event (Windsor 1990; S. Paton, unpublished data). The dry season is defined as the period when precipitation is less than potential evapotranspiration, which is commonly estimated to be c. 100 mm month\(^{-1}\) for humid tropical forests (Koppen 1931; Shuttleworth 1989; Condit 1998; Hulme & Viner 1998; Malhi & Viner 1998; Malhi & Wright 2004). By this measure, the 1998 dry season was 19 weeks long, nearly 4 weeks longer than the 1930–2001 average, and one of 11 years during this period with a dry season of 19 or more weeks (Windsor 1990; S. Paton, unpublished data).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Exclosures

Since 1994, an ongoing exclosure project (W. Carson, unpublished data) has excluded ground-dwelling mammals from eight (30 × 45 m) permanent plots on BCI and nearby Gigante Peninsula. Excluding mammals has caused a twofold increase in mean seedling density inside the two exclosures on Poachers Peninsula relative to the paired control plots (23 vs. 44 individuals m\(^{-2}\)).
We assigned the irrigation treatments in a stratified manner with respect to seedling density. Within each main plot, we ranked the 24 seedling plots by density, and randomly assigned one of each of the three irrigation treatments to the three most dense plots, then one of each of the treatments to the three next most dense, until irrigation treatments had been assigned to all plots. One high irrigation plot was mistaken labelled as a control plot and not irrigated, and thus is included in the analyses as a control plot. One moderate irrigation plot was completely destroyed by a fallen snag and thus was excluded from the analyses, resulting in 33 control plots, 31 moderate irrigation plots and 31 high irrigation plots.

Censuses

We censused the seedlings in December 1997 before irrigation commenced. For every individual less than 1.0 m tall, we recorded its height and number of true leaves, and identified it to species. We censused a total of 3053 individual seedlings and identified more than 96% to species (130 species total), resulting in 2931 individuals with complete data. Only those seedlings with complete data were included in the analyses. We re-censused all seedlings encountered in the first census once after we ceased irrigating at the end of the dry season in May 1998, and again in December 1998 at the end of the following wet season. For the second and third censuses we ignored newly germinated seedlings.

RESPONSE VARIABLES

During the second and third censuses we recorded mortality and counted the number of leaves on each seedling. We used a standard exponential growth model to calculate relative growth rate in number of leaves (RGR, leaves leaf⁻¹ month⁻¹) as: \( \text{RGR} = \frac{\log(L_t) - \log(L_0)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \), where \( L \) is number of leaves and \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are the beginning and end, respectively, of the census interval in months (Evans 1972). Number of leaves is considered a reasonable measure of seedling size (Flores 1992; Burslem et al. 1996; Poorter & Hayashida-Oliver 2000; Gilbert et al. 2001), and thus of growth rate. In contrast, relative change in seedling height is a poor measure of growth rate because change in height is likely to be small relative to measurement error over our relatively short census interval. To avoid zero values when log-transforming leaf number, we added 0.5 leaves to each census for all individuals with zero leaves at either the first or second census, prior to calculating relative growth rate. The vast majority of these individuals were seedlings with only cotyledons but no true leaves, and we assumed that cotyledons were equivalent to 0.5 leaves. As seedlings with true leaves have typically senesced their cotyledons (D. Bunker, personal observation), we added 0.5 only to seedlings with cotyledons but no true leaves. We concluded that individuals were dead if they had lost all true leaves, with the exception of seven individuals that had no leaves at the end of the dry season but did have leaves at the end of the wet season. We calculated monthly mortality per plot as: \( m = 1 - (N_t / N_0)^{\frac{1}{t}} \), where \( N_t \) is the number of surviving seedlings, \( N_0 \) is the initial number of seedlings, and \( t \) is the census interval in months (30 days) (Sheil et al. 1995).

COVARIATES

We included in the analyses six covariates to test the specific predictions outlined above.

Geographic affinity

We measured geographical affinity based on data collected from two permanent plots, one on the wet Atlantic side of Panama at Fort Sherman, and the other on the dry Pacific side at Cocoli. Precipitation at Fort Sherman averages roughly 3030 mm year⁻¹, Cocoli averages 1950 mm year⁻¹, and BCI averages 2616 mm year⁻¹ (Condit et al. 2004). Free-standing woody stems > 10 mm diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) were censused in plots of 4 ha at Cocoli and 4.96 ha at Fort Sherman (Condit et al. 2004). Lianas > 1 m tall were censused in nine 100-m² plots within each of the above plots at Fort Sherman and Cocoli (S. Schnitzer, unpublished data). Our affinity metric is simply the proportion of total stem density for each species found at Fort Sherman: Affinity = Fort Sherman density/(Fort Sherman density + Cocoli density). Thus species found only at Fort Sherman have an affinity of 1.0 (wet Atlantic affinity), whereas species found only at Cocoli have an affinity of 0.0 (dry Pacific affinity). Species found at neither site, but occurring on BCI, were assigned an affinity of 0.5. Average affinity within seedling plots ranged from 0.10 to 0.93 (mean ± 1 SE: 0.60 ± 0.018, \( n = 95 \)).

Abundance

As a measure of species’ commonness or rarity at the landscape level, we used stems > 10 mm d.b.h. per hectare based on 1990 stem density in the BCI 50-ha plot for trees (Condit et al. 1996), and stem density in 16 864 m² sampling plots on BCI and nearby Gigante Peninsula for lianas (S. Schnitzer and W. Carson, unpublished data). Average abundance within plots ranged from 12 to 146 (mean ± 1 SE: 45 ± 2.2, \( n = 95 \)).

Growth form

We categorized seedlings into two growth forms: lianas and trees, based on Croat (1978). We included seedlings of all woody species, but excluded all herbaceous seedlings, which were not common. Within seedling plots the average proportion of individual seedlings that were lianas ranged from 0% to 100% (mean ± 1 SE: 23 ± 1.7%, \( n = 95 \)).
Seedling density

Seedling density is the total number of seedlings < 1 m tall in each 1-m² seedling plot, and ranged from 6 to 176 (mean ± 1 SE: 32 ± 3.1, n = 95). We log transformed seedling density to normalize the data. We should note here that seedling density and the mammal enclosures are correlated. Because of this collinearity we could not include both effects in the statistical analyses, and any interpretation of the effect of seedling density must include the potential confounding of the enclosure effect. However, we found no effect of increasing seedling density on seedling mortality or growth (see Results).

Seedling age

During the first census we categorized individual seedlings as less than 1 year old vs. seedlings older than 1 year based on seedling condition and our experience re-censusing over 20,000 seedlings of known age on BCI. Within seedling plots the proportion of seedlings that were older than 1 year ranged from 5% to 100% (mean ± 1 SE: 50 ± 2.3%, n = 95).

Light availability

In April 1998, during the middle of the dry season, we measured light availability as diffuse non-interception of photosynthetically active radiation (Parent & Messier 1996) under homogeneous skies at four evenly spaced locations 25 cm above each seedling plot, using an LAI-2000 canopy analyser (Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). We then divided each reading by a simultaneously collected above-canopy measurement, and averaged the four measurements for each plot. Light transmission ranged from 0.7% to 5.5% of ambient (mean ± 1 SE: 2.6 ± 0.1%, n = 95).

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

To quantify the main effect of irrigation and interactions between the covariates and irrigation we used a maximum likelihood, mixed-model ANCOVA with SAS/STAT Proc Mixed, Version 6.12 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA; Littell et al. 1996). Because the individual seedlings within our 1-m² seedling plots are not independent, we used mean mortality (m) and mean RGR for each seedling plot as our response variables. For covariates that vary at the seedling level (age, growth form, geographical affinity and abundance), we used the mean value (affinity) or the proportion sharing the characteristic (e.g., proportion of seedlings that were older) within each seedling plot for the analysis. We also included the effect of season as a repeated measure.

We analysed relative growth and mortality separately, and included only surviving seedlings in growth analyses. For both models, we used a backwards elimination approach to determine minimally adequate models (Littell et al. 1996; Kleinbaum et al. 1998; Crawley 2002). We began with a full model that included the main effects of irrigation, season and the six covariates, as well as all two-way and three-way interactions between each covariate and irrigation and season. Note that we did not include any interactions between the covariates. We also included a random effect for location (the four enclosure and control plots). To account for the repeated measures nature of the design (dry-season and wet-season responses for each seedling plot), we also included a random effect for each seedling plot (Littell et al. 1996). We then sequentially removed non-significant (P > 0.05) covariates and their interactions, retaining all effects contained within significant higher order terms. We log-transformed abundance and seedling density to normalize the data, and verified the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance through visual inspection of normal probability plots and residuals. Percentage mortality for each seedling plot was arcsin-squared transformed prior to analysis, and adjusted means were back-transformed prior to presentation in figures (Sokal & Rohlf 1995).

This ANCOVA approach allows us to test our hypotheses – that severe drought has the potential to alter community composition and that the effects of drought increase with increasing potential moisture limitation – specifically by identifying significant interactions between irrigation and the covariate in question. A significant interaction demonstrates that the response (growth or mortality) changes disproportionately between irrigation treatments as the covariate changes in value (i.e., the slopes differ between irrigation treatments). For instance, if irrigation increases growth or survivorship more at higher light availability than at low light availability, we can conclude that the relative impact of moisture limitation increases as light availability increases. Where the ANCOVA found significant interactions between irrigation and season and/or a covariate, we used orthogonal contrasts between the control treatment and the moderate and high irrigation treatments at two or more values of the covariate to determine the nature of the interaction (Sokal & Rohlf 1995; Littell et al. 1996).

To test the hypothesis that irrigation would increase species richness, we used a randomization test (Sokal & Rohlf 1995) to compare actual loss of species per plot due to mortality with predicted loss based on a random thinning model. Non-random thinning can alter species richness in two ways. First, if individuals of more common species (within a seedling plot) are more likely to die than individuals of less common species, then species loss will be lower than predicted by random thinning. This result would agree with observations of negative density dependence often invoked as a mechanism for the maintenance of diversity in tropical forests (Janzen 1970; Connell 1971; Clark & Clark 1984; Hubbell et al. 1990; Condit et al. 1992; Wills et al. 1997; Harms et al. 2000). Alternatively, individuals of particular species or less common species may be more likely to die, which would result in greater species loss.
Drought filters tropical forest seedlings

We calculated mean proportional species loss ($dS$) as $dS = (\sum S_{ni} / S_{ni})/n$, where $S$ is the number of species in plot $i$ at the beginning ($t_i$) or end ($t_s$) of the dry season, and $n$ is the number of seedling plots in the irrigation treatment. We constructed a null model for the expected distribution of mean proportional species loss for each irrigation treatment by randomly thinning individuals from each plot at the observed mortality rate within each plot, calculating mean $dS$ among plots within each treatment, and repeating this process 10,000 times. By randomizing within plots, this null model incorporates intrinsic differences between plots, such as number of individuals, species richness and evenness. We concluded that treatment effects on species richness were significant when our actual mean $dS$ was beyond 95% of means (two-tailed) calculated from this null model.

**Community responses and the effect of common species**

Because we wanted to test the community level response to dry-season soil moisture availability, we irrigated naturally occurring seedlings and thus did not control the abundance of individual species. Thus, our results are not the response of, for instance, the average liana species or average tree species, but rather are the mean of seedling responses within a given seedling plot. Because we did not manipulate initial seedling composition, our results must be interpreted with care: the composition of a given seedling plot and its response to irrigation may be correlated, yet not causally connected.

As in many tropical forests, a few species in our study were common (Table 1), whereas the majority of species were uncommon. Indeed, the relative abundance curve for our study (not shown), closely resembles that of the BCI 50-ha plot (Hubbell 2001). To gauge the effect of common species on our results, we removed the 10 most frequent species and applied the minimally adequate ANCOVA models to seedling plot means calculated using the remaining individuals, and found our results to be robust to the omission of common species (results not shown). We also present growth and mortality for the 10 most frequent species in our study, calculated for each species as the mean and standard error of growth and mortality in the seedling plots where the species occurred (Table 1).

**Results**

**Leaf relative growth rate**

Irrigation during the dry season significantly increased dry-season relative growth, but had no effect on subsequent wet-season growth after irrigation ceased (Table 2, Fig. 2a). Irrigation significantly increased dry-season growth in plots dominated by seedlings associated with the Pacific coast (dry-forest seedlings), but significantly decreased growth in plots dominated by seedlings associated with the Atlantic coast (wet-forest seedlings) (Table 2, Fig. 2c). Plots dominated by wet-forest seedlings always had mean growth rates below 0 during the dry season (Fig. 2c). During the wet season, average growth did not vary significantly with geographical affinity in any of the irrigation treatments (Fig. 2d).

**Mortality**

Irrigation did not significantly reduce mean seedling mortality (although the interaction between irrigation and season was marginally significant), nor did any of the covariates interact significantly with irrigation to affect mortality (Table 3, Fig. 3a). However, both light and affinity interacted with season to affect mortality, and age had a direct effect on mortality (Table 3). At the lowest observed light availability (< 1% of ambient), mean seedling mortality did not significantly differ between the dry and wet seasons (Table 3, Fig. 3b). However, dry-season mortality was significantly higher than wet-season mortality at higher light availability (Table 3, Fig. 3b). Mortality decreased sharply as the proportion of older seedlings within a plot increased (Table 3, Fig. 3c). In seedling plots dominated by dry-forest seedlings, mortality was equally low during both the dry and wet seasons (Table 3, Fig. 3d). However, as the proportion of wet-forest seedlings increased, dry-season mortality increased sharply, from less than 1% to more than 6% month$^{-1}$, while wet-season mortality did not change (Table 3, Fig. 3d). Seedling density, the proportion of seedlings that were lianas, and mean abundance had no effect on seedling mortality (Table 3).

**Effects on species richness**

Irrigation had a significant positive effect on species richness (Table 4). Species loss per plot under high
Table 1 Characteristics and mean responses of the 10 most frequent species (percentage of plots in which the species occurred). Mean age is the proportion of individuals > 1 year old. See ‘Methods’ for quantification of affinity and abundance. For each species, growth and mortality means for moderate and high irrigation, whose 95% confidence intervals do not overlap their respective control means, are in bold. Specific names follow Croat (1978) and Condit et al. (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Growth form</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
<th>Geographic affinity</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Dry-season growth</th>
<th>Wet-season growth</th>
<th>Dry-season mortality</th>
<th>Wet-season mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trichilia tuberculata</strong></td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>266.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prionostemma aspera</strong></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tetragastris panamensis</strong></td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faramea occidentalis</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>538.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>−0.015</td>
<td>−0.026</td>
<td><strong>0.024</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ocotea puberula</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>−0.017</td>
<td>−0.037</td>
<td>−0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heisteria concinna</strong></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pouteria reticulata</strong></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td><strong>0.047</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maripa panamensis</strong></td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>−0.036</td>
<td>−0.027</td>
<td>−0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lacmellea panamensis</strong></td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>−0.008</td>
<td>−0.005</td>
<td>−0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oenocarpus mapoura</strong></td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
irrigation was 22% lower than predicted by random thinning. In contrast, species loss per plot in the control plots was accurately predicted by random thinning. Additionally, total species loss (due to non-random thinning and a non-significant increase in mortality) was 32% lower under high irrigation than in control plots (Table 4).

**Discussion**

**Effects of Irrigation and Drought on Community Composition and Structure**

While the effects of irrigation during severe drought did not change with the relative abundance of rare species or lianas, we did find a sharp difference in growth as the proportion of wet-forest (Atlantic) seedlings increased. We predicted that without irrigation mean seedling growth would decline as the proportion of wet-forest seedlings increased, and that irrigation would mitigate this decline. In fact, we found that without irrigation, growth rate did not change as the proportion of wet-forest seedlings increased ($P = 0.5$), yet when irrigated, growth rate declined in plots dominated by wet-forest seedlings and increased sharply in plots dominated by dry-forest seedlings (Fig. 2c). Two mechanisms may contribute to this result. First, plots dominated by seedlings associated with dry forests are likely to be in dry microsites. However, if this were the sole mechanism, we would predict that dry-season growth rate in the control plots would increase as the relative abundance of wet-forest seedlings increased (and thus moisture limitation decreased), and that irrigation would reduce this difference. Additionally, this pattern could be the result of greater plasticity of water use in dry-forest seedlings, which may be able to respond rapidly to bursts of rainfall during drought (Milnes et al. 1998; Silim et al. 2001; Stratton & Goldstein 2001), while wet-forest seedlings may remain dormant when faced with increased evaporative demands regardless of soil moisture availability (Wright & Cornejo 1990).

Because we did not manipulate species composition, additional experiments will be required to disentangle the effects of microsite from those of species composition. Regardless of the mechanism, our results show that plots dominated by dry-forest seedlings grew well during the dry season when irrigated (Fig. 2c), and also suffered far lower dry-season mortality than plots dominated by wet-forest seedlings (Fig. 3d). Together, these results suggest dry-season length and severity may both play a role in species segregation across precipitation gradients (Gentry & Emmons 1987; Gentry 1988; Wright 1992; Condit 1998; Condit et al. 2004).

**Implications for Resource Limitation**

We predicted that the effects of irrigation on seedling performance would increase with potential moisture limitation. Our results supported this prediction with higher mean dry-season seedling growth under high irrigation and higher light (Table 2, Fig. 2g). This suggests that our seedlings were not limited by soil moisture in the darkest understorey environments, but that at higher light availability they were moisture limited, in spite of the fact that soil moisture also increases in gaps associated with higher light (Vitousek & Denslow 1986; Becker et al. 1988). Similarly, Fisher et al. (1991) found that light and irrigation interacted strongly to increase dry-season growth of seedlings of *Viroloa surinamensis*, a highly drought-sensitive tree that has a strong geographical affinity for wet forests (Howe 1990; Condit et al. 1996; Engelbrecht & Kursar 2003; Condit et al. 2004), resulting in far higher growth in irrigated gaps than in either irrigated understory or unirrigated gaps.

Because we did not manipulate mean seedling age and seedling density, our results must be interpreted with care. For instance, while first-year seedlings are likely to experience greater moisture limitation than established seedlings, dry microsites could have fewer first-year seedlings if the prior wet season, which was the driest on record (Windsor 1990; S. Paton, unpublished data).
data), was dry enough to limit establishment. From either scenario we would predict that seedling performance would increase with increasing mean seedling age in control plots, and that irrigation would allow both young and old seedlings to grow equally well. In contrast to these predictions, our results show that dry-season seedling growth in control plots did not change with mean seedling age, although growth increased...
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Fig. 3 Effects of irrigation, season and covariates on seedling mortality (adjusted means ± SE; a: control, n = 33; moderate, n = 31; high, n = 31; b–d: n = 95). (a) Season and irrigation. (b) Season and light. (c) Season and seedling age (young ≤ 1 year; old ≥ 1 year). (d) Season and geographical affinity. Asterisks between two data points denote significant differences in mortality between seasons, whereas asterisks in the key denote significant effects of the covariate within season; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Table 4 Observed and expected percent reduction in mean species richness per plot under three irrigation treatments. Expected species loss was estimated from a random thinning model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation treatment</th>
<th>Observed mean (%)</th>
<th>Expected mean (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 sharply in response to irrigation in plots dominated by young seedlings, while growth declined in plots dominated by older seedlings (Fig. 2e). While we cannot rule out an effect of microsite, these results could be due to intrinsic differences between first-year and older seedlings. For instance, young seedlings may have larger carbon reserves (e.g. cotyledons) that allow them to utilize light and soil resources more effectively during the dry season (Kitajima 1996; Kitajima & Fenner 2000), and older seedlings may have accumulated pathogen loads that become more virulent with increased water supply (Augspurger 1984; Biles et al. 1992; Hampson & Coomes 1997).

RELEVANCE TO THEORIES FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF TROPICAL DIVERSITY

Because total species diversity increases with precipitation in the tropics, we predicted that irrigation would have positive effects on overall diversity within our seedling plots by reducing losses of drought-sensitive species (Gentry & Emmons 1987; Gentry 1988; Wright 1992; Condit et al. 2004). However, if this were the case, species losses in control plots would be non-random, and higher than predicted, as drought-sensitive species are lost. Instead, species losses were explained by random thinning in control plots, yet plots under high irrigation lost 22% fewer species than predicted by random thinning. In the irrigated plots where the effects of drought were reduced, density-dependent mortality (Janzen 1970; Connell 1971; Clark & Clark 1984; Hubbell et al. 1990; Condit et al. 1992; Wills et al. 1997; Harms et al. 2000) appears to have had a positive effect on diversity, yet this mechanism failed to operate in the control plots that experienced severe drought. These results suggest that the strength of density-dependent mortality may decrease as abiotic stress increases.

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE EFFECTS OF GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE

Precipitation over tropical land masses has declined during the 20th century (Diaz et al. 1989; Kumar et al. 2004; Malhi & Wright 2004), and several recent severe
ENSO events suggest a long-term increase in ENSO activity (Trenberth & Hoar 1996, 1997; Fedorov & Philander 2000). Indeed, some global climate models predict an increase in ENSO activity (Timmermann et al. 1999) with increasing global temperatures, and longer dry seasons for much of the tropics (Hulme & Viner 1998). While the relationships between global warming, ENSO and precipitation trends remain contentious (Fedorov & Philander 2000; Doherty & Hulme 2002), any reduction in precipitation may affect tropical forest composition by favouring dry-forest species and decreasing overall species diversity.
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