Scale and diversity of the physical technosphere: A geological perspective
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Abstract
We assess the scale and extent of the physical technosphere, defined here as the summed material output of the contemporary human enterprise. It includes active urban, agricultural and marine components, used to sustain energy and material flow for current human life, and a growing residue layer, currently only in small part recycled back into the active component. Preliminary estimates suggest a technosphere mass of approximately 30 trillion tonnes (Tt), which helps support a human biomass that, despite recent growth, is ~5 orders of magnitude smaller. The physical technosphere includes a large, rapidly growing diversity of complex objects that are potential trace fossils or ‘technofossils’. If assessed on palaeontological criteria, technofossil
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diversity already exceeds known estimates of biological diversity as measured by richness, far exceeds recognized fossil diversity, and may exceed total biological diversity through Earth’s history. The rapid transformation of much of Earth’s surface mass into the technosphere and its myriad components underscores the novelty of the current planetary transformation.
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Context of the physical technosphere
Organisms interact dynamically with the abiotic world around them and with each other, as they seek the resources they need to live and reproduce. Plants root themselves in the soil and absorb water and nutrients from it, take in carbon dioxide from the air and excrete oxygen back into it. Herbivorous animals eat the plants and excrete their undigested remains back on to the soil, while absorbing oxygen from the air and respiring carbon dioxide – and frequently emitting methane – back into it. Carnivores eat the herbivores and likewise excrete their undigested remains on to the land surface, which are then reworked by consortia of microbes and invertebrates. Similar processes occur in the marine realm with the cycling of biomass between phytoplankton primary production, organisms that consume phytoplankton and carnivores that in turn consume them, with returns of organic matter to the water column and seabed occurring at each trophic level.

Some organisms may modify the habitable surface around them as shelter. Protozoans such as the aquatic agglutinated foraminifera build tests from sand and silt grains. Nest-builders include birds, ants and termites, while there are burrowing organisms both on land and under the sea. A few animals are tool-users: not only non-human primates (Koops et al., 2015), but birds (Roelofs, 2010), cetaceans (Krützen et al., 2005), fish, octopi, ants and wasps. Some of the largest mammalian herbivores and carnivores, and even small mammals such as beavers and prairie dogs, are ecosystem engineers (Wright et al., 2002).

However, Homo sapiens sapiens has, by far, gone the furthest in using technology – machines, factories, computers and such – to consciously or unwittingly alter the surrounding environment, to extract minerals, generate energy, make food and shelter, provide global communication, and so on. This modification is made possible by humanity’s sophisticated social structure (Ellis, 2015), and by our species’ ‘object orientation’ (Koops et al., 2015), which pre-disposes technology use. The interaction between people, societies and their technology has grown in importance through human history, becoming ever more sophisticated in a manner reminiscent of co-evolution (Basalla, 1988; Mesoudi et al., 2006). Today, human influence on materials extends globally, including deep underground and into outer space. As technology became more sophisticated, it opened new opportunities that humans and their societies exploited, which in turn prompted development of yet more varied and complex technology. Human–tool interactions hence seem critically important: these are now evolving (sensu lato) from generation to generation at rates that vastly outpace the modification, via natural selection, of not only our species, but of all known species.

One way to describe and analyse this modification is via the concept of the technosphere (here sensu Haff, 2014; see also Hall, 1975; Milsum, 1968), a new component of the Earth System that may be considered an offshoot of the biosphere sensu Vernadsky (1929). The technosphere as defined here comprises our complex social structures together with the physical infrastructure and technological artefacts supporting energy, information and material flows that enable the system to
work, including entities as diverse as power stations, transmission lines, roads and buildings, farms, plastics, tools, airplanes, ballpoint pens and transistors. The components of the technosphere co-evolve rapidly, with complex and frequently changing lead–lag relationships predicated by additional constructs reserved to the human species, such as behaviours modulated by markets’ supply and demand. The technosphere overlaps broadly, and interacts intimately, with the other spheres, an example being humans and their domestic animals and cultivated plants, which now make up much of the biosphere and are embedded within the technosphere, while humans are also the generators of the technosphere. This is analogous to water being an essential component in both the hydrosphere and atmosphere.

We here provide a first step in developing a taxonomy of physical components of the technosphere. Progress in scientific understanding of the biosphere and of geology began with classification of organisms and of rock strata, early efforts being based on assessments of morphology, size, composition and other easily defined metrics, by Linnaeus, Buffon, Cuvier, William Smith and others. We are now at a comparable early stage in fleshing out the taxonomy of the physical technosphere. Cataloguing and classifying its elements is a subjective and untested process, and omits certain important elements, such as radio waves, that leave no lasting physical entity. Nevertheless, given the current lack of a quantitative inventory of morphological diversity, we provide here a descriptive analysis of form and extent of some technological artefacts and systems. To this are added order-of-magnitude estimates of basic quantities such as mass and spatial dimension.

The physical technosphere is the simplest part of this system to assess in a geological context. Our estimates may help inform both considerations of formal stratigraphy (Waters et al., 2016) and wider discussions on the Anthropocene and its underlying social and technological processes, including those relating to material culture in archaeology (Hodder, 2011). Future work in technospheric taxonomy might include devising classifications of technological morphology and ultimately making connection to dynamical considerations, for instance of energy flows.

Components of the physical technosphere

We define the physical technosphere as consisting of technological materials within which a human component can be distinguished, with part in active use and part being a material residue. The human signature may be recognized by characteristics including form, function and composition that result from deliberate design, manufacture and processing. This includes extraction, processing and refining raw geological materials into novel forms and combinations of elements, compounds and products.

The active technosphere is made up of buildings, roads, energy supply structures, all tools, machines and consumer goods that are currently in use or useable, together with farmlands and managed forests on land, the trawler scours and other excavations of the seafloor in the oceans, and so on. It is highly diverse in structure, with novel inanimate components including new minerals and materials (Zalasiewicz et al., 2014a), and a living part that includes crop plants and domesticated animals. Humans both produce and are sustained by (and now are dependent on) the rest of the physical technosphere.

Parts of the technosphere, at the end of their useful life, are more or less immediately recycled back into the main active structure: a considerable proportion of aluminium and iron; some glass, paper, plastic; some of the rubble layers beneath cities. Other parts accumulate to form a growing material residue or waste layer (the archaeosphere of Edgeworth et al., 2015) much of which has considerable long-term preservation potential (e.g. Zalasiewicz et al., 2016). This accumulation is most clearly exemplified by modern landfill sites, but also includes gaseous components (e.g.
Table 1. Approximate mass of the major components of the physical technosphere, arranged in order of descending mass (where 1 Tt = \(10^{12}\) metric tonnes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Area (10^6 km²)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Mass (Tt)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban areas</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural housing</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trawled sea floor</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use and eroded soil</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural roads</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation forest</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoirs</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railways</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals (where applicable)</td>
<td>81.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Spatial extent is based partially on Hooke et al. (2012), and information on approximate thickness and density are from Ford et al. (2014), Edgeworth et al. (2015) and Gattuso et al. (2009).

carbon dioxide and methane that accumulate in the atmosphere), other more or less disseminated pollutants in the hydrosphere and lithosphere, and soil washed off the land surface as a result of urbanization, agriculture and forestry. The marked growth in the waste layer of the technosphere – reflecting relatively ineffective recycling by comparison with the almost perfect recycling shown by the non-human biosphere – has some uses for humans, locally providing a platform for construction (especially as reclaimed land in coastal areas). It may at times increase biodiversity, as in the construction of artificial reefs, though not always successfully (Sherman and Spieler, 2006). Overall, though, this inefficient recycling is a considerable threat to its own further development and to the parent biosphere (Haff, 2014; Steffen et al., 2004, 2015).

Here we focus on both the active and residual parts of the physical technosphere. Assessing the mass and composition of these is hampered by their complexity, lateral compositional and geometric variability, and rapid change through time. Nevertheless, we provide provisional estimates (Table 1) that, while possessing large uncertainties, nonetheless illustrate the scale of the physical technosphere that now supports *Homo sapiens sapiens*, allowing our species to populate the planet in numbers far exceeding those attained as hunter-gatherers, by roughly three orders of magnitude (Haff, 2014), and exceeding those of pre-industrial history by about one order of magnitude.

The urban technosphere

The growing towns and cities (Hooke et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014) are linked with societal energy flows an order of magnitude greater than those of early agricultural communities (Nakicenovic et al., 2012: 108–115), and disproportionately large attendant impacts on the global environment (Zhu et al., 2012). They are the most obvious part of the technosphere, including buildings, roads, airport runways, docks, quarries, mines and their associated waste dumps, canals, levees, dams, concreted waterways, paved open spaces, with a complexly engineered substructure of foundations, water and energy supply lines, landfills, sewage tunnels, railway lines and metro systems. This assemblage has been partially planned, mapped and archived, but not yet systematically converted into geological terms that would allow full consideration as ‘urban strata’, to be contrasted with ‘natural’ geological strata (Figure 1). Archaeologists, though, excavate and record urban layers as distinct from underlying geological strata (Carver, 1987), compiling large data sets relevant to this process.
Buildings – solid, complex but hollow, air-filled structures – following demolition or destruction can be compacted into a solid layer comprising the brick, concrete, glass, metal, plastic, ceramic, wood and other materials used in architecture. Such demolition is intrinsic to ongoing redevelopment of urban space to maintain its functionality. This demolition can at times be abrupt and widespread, as happened to much of Britain’s bomb-damaged housing stock after the Second World War (Saunders, 2005), for instance in Longford Park by Coventry, where part of the valley was filled with 4–6 m of demolition rubble. Equivalent war-derived rubble in Berlin was redistributed in mounds within the city boundary. Fourteen such mounds still exist, the largest being the Teufelsberg hill (Figure 2), comprising Anthropocene rubble up to 80 m thick, with an area of 1.1 million m² and an original rubble volume of 26.2 million m³ (Cocroft and Schofield, 2012; Mielke, 2011). Much contemporary armed conflict, too, is characterized by urban warfare, involving extensive destruction of built structures and redistribution of the resulting rubble.

Analysis of the resulting mass of the physical system would require measuring the volume of the buildings and associated structures within any given area using digital surface models (DSMs) of urban areas, together with information of likely internal composition (percentage concrete, brick, glass, wood, etc.) from building and architectural records. Some archaeology-based projects now have similar aims (e.g. de Beer et al., 2012). One needs also include underground substructure, including concrete pilings and services such as sewage, gas and water pipelines and metro systems that may extend tens of metres underground (Zalasiewicz et al., 2014c). In this overview (Table 1), we take a far more generalized approach, outlined below.

The active urban technosphere is largely built on and within its material residue layer, which approximates to what may be delineated on geological maps as varieties of ‘artificial ground’ – an anthropogenically reworked mixture of rock fragments, soil, building rubble and other forms of waste, locally concentrated as landfill (Ford et al., 2014), and in archaeological records as ‘archaeological strata’. Combining these two disciplinary approaches would best categorize and map these material residue layers. The geological mapping, based on information including analysis of borehole records and geophysical surveys, together with archaeological information from excavations and other forms of subsurface investigation, can provide an approximate 3D model of this unit, which may – somewhat counter-intuitively – be easier to construct than that of the functional urban technosphere of the same area. For example, in London, an average thickness of artificial deposits

**Figure 1.** Bricks and concrete fragments in carbonate-cemented beach rock deposits on the Tunelboca geosite, Basque region, Spain.  
*Source:* A Cearreta.
of 1.6 m has been estimated (Ford et al., 2014), increasing to 8–10 m in the historic core of the city (Rowsome, 2000), and up to 30 m deep in places where old docks have been infilled, such as parts of the 2012 Olympics site. Where mineral resource extraction coincides with urban centres (e.g. northern England coalfields), there may also be greater-than-average thicknesses.

Such assessments can provide snapshots in time. In reality, the city is constantly metabolizing (Bettencourt et al., 2007; Kennedy et al., 2011) with inflows of food and water and outflows of sewage produced by its human component, the latter undertaking constant daily migrations with hydrocarbon-powered vehicles (cars, buses, trains, ferries) or ones powered electrically (mostly trains). The urban technosphere is also evolving year on year as building and demolition take place, in effect operating as an anthropogenically driven sedimentary system with inflow, accretion, erosion and outflow of its component materials, here not powered by gravity or by wind, but mainly by directed energy release from hydrocarbons. While the sources of many materials – especially the bulk materials used for building – may be relatively well constrained, the short- and medium-term sinks of those materials are less so. Ultimately, they or their erosion products will be buried more or less directly, or transported to a sedimentary basin and then buried, depending on the tectonic setting (uplifting or subsiding) of the urban substrate.

**The rural technosphere**

Between the urban and rural technosphere is a suburban transitional zone largely comprising low-density housing and gardens interlaced with transport networks. Here the ‘urban strata’ are thinner and patchier than their city correlative, perhaps averaging a metre or so thick.
These suburban transition areas have transformed over recent decades into interconnected supply areas and lines along which growing amounts of materials are moved. The pattern of contemporary transformation here is complex and heterogenous through time, often being radially disposed around city centres (Figure 3).

Similarly, farther out in rural regions, the areally extensive soil + crop + domestic animal combination, together with the artificial irrigation and drainage networks which allow the croplands to function, may also be placed in the functional technosphere. While this zone might also be regarded as part of a heavily human-modified biosphere, and has also been analysed in terms of anthromes (anthropogenic biomes: Ellis et al., 2010), we categorize it here within the technosphere because of its continual modification into the main resource that sustains the human component of cities. Following this logic, managed forestry (trees + soil) may be placed in this category too, and perhaps also the rivers that traverse it, being largely contained via embankments and locally impounded behind dams (Syvitski and Kettner 2011).

How far may one take this unit? Even the thin soils, rough vegetation and sparse sheep populations of upland pasture (once heavily forested) are essentially all continually modified from pre-existing patterns to support human populations. There are relics of a former agricultural landscape

Figure 3. Multiyear analysis of urban/suburban technosphere transformations across London. This multiyear multispectral analysis is derived from Landsat data, and detects transformations in reflectance of hard surfaces. Changes in impervious surfaces (sensed between 0.45 and 0.52 µm) are indicated in different colours (red indicates change to 1989, green 2001, and blue 2012). The image (50 km across) shows the complexity in space and time of transformations over two decades. Additional modifications not detected by this imagery, e.g. underground, will also have occurred.

Source: Territorial Agency /John Palmesino and Ann-Sofi Rönnskog, with David Hellström.
locally, too, such as the dry-stone walls hidden among the woods of New England, and, scattered across Europe, mounds representing single buildings or whole villages abandoned following the bubonic plagues that once ravaged the region.

In these regions, the residual (waste) component includes scattered landfills and patchy areas of artificial ground, laced with anthropogenic additions that range from nitrate and phosphate compounds, to manure and ‘night soil’, to pesticide residues. There are earthworks such as embankments, excavations for railways and roads, lynchets (earthen banks) and ridge-and-furrow formations, canals and ditches, and older archaeological features and deposits (Edgeworth, 2014). Sediment washed or blown from ploughed or de-vegetated areas (that may then become ‘dustbowls’) commonly accumulates on lower slopes, within floodplains or behind dams. Additional localised waste masses relate to the extraction of rocks and minerals (including hydrocarbons and metals) used to construct and power the active urban technosphere, with empty spaces such as quarries often serving also as repository for urban waste. Waste deposition sites may contain complex, often toxic, chemicals, emit methane and other gases, and include collapse-prone voids.

The subterranean technosphere

The technosphere extends deeply into the subterranean rock mass via mines, boreholes and other underground constructions (Zalasiewicz et al., 2014b). These structures are typically temporary conduits for the managed flux of potable water, liquid and solid effluent, hydrocarbons, metals and minerals (and in the case of subway systems the flux of humans themselves). Even after use they may retain solid form (such as metal borehole casings, concrete grouting and pit props). Some may retain longer-term use (as with underground salt caverns for gas storage), while others may be actively converted into the waste technosphere, like the sophisticated, highly engineered structures for nuclear waste storage. There is also disturbance of the rock mass itself, e.g. through collapse of underground cavities or hydraulic fracturing, propagating fractures and chemically modifying the rock mass far beyond the immediate intrusion site. The subterranean technosphere is difficult to quantify and is not included in the estimate of technosphere mass shown in Table 1.

The marine technosphere

The technosphere reaches far into the marine realm; the most obvious representatives comprise mobile technological objects (ships, submarines) and more or less fixed ones (oil platforms and pipelines, piers, docks, aquaculture structures). The latter include construction at the sea’s margin for transport (ports and harbours) and to stabilize coastlines or reclaim seabed areas (sea walls, polders and artificial islands).

There are other, larger components. The bottom-trawled area of sea floor now extends over most of the continental shelves, and locally out onto the continental slope; this is the submarine equivalent of terrestrial agricultural soils, repeatedly ploughed to depths of some decimetres to scrape up seafood to feed humans, locally triggering sediment gravity flows (Martin et al., 2015). Volumes of seawater, too, are systematically fished, now dramatically altering global fish stocks (Pauly et al., 1998); not easily defined, and not quantified here, these spaces have nevertheless effectively been co-opted into the technosphere.

The waste component of the submarine technosphere includes a land-derived component, most vividly demonstrated by dumped masses of garbage, spoil masses from coastal mining operations, war debris including wrecks and dumped munitions, ballast material from ships (WBGU, 2013), and widely dispersed plastic debris (Ivar do Sul and Costa, 2014; Zalasiewicz et al., 2016). Less obvious, but potentially definable, are deposits remobilized and transported by bottom trawling (Martin et al.,
2015) and other anthropogenic sea floor disturbances. These processes may effect significant bio-
sphere change, as in reef systems in the Caribbean, where organic waste accumulation has reduced
some reefs to a microbial mat state (Brocke et al., 2015). Components here also include oil from spills,
plastics, dissolved CFCs and bomb-produced radiocarbon (\(^{14}\)C).

The aerial technosphere

The atmosphere is a space now continually crossed by aircraft, mostly along specific migratory
paths. In this and in other ways (such as providing oxygen for both biological and industrial respi-
ration, wind for turbines, and passage for radio waves) it may be regarded as an enabling medium
for the technosphere, rather than a component of it per se. A specific component is internal air in
buildings, modified and controlled for temperature and humidity, across a global stock of buildings
now covering >150 billion m\(^2\) (Nakicenovic, et al., 2012).

The atmospheric waste component of the technosphere includes carbon dioxide, methane,
chlorofluorocarbons, nitrous oxide and other greenhouse gases added by industrial and agricultural
activities, with effective lifetimes from years to many millennia. Without massive, directed anthro-
pogenic intervention, it will take many millennia for the elevated CO\(_2\) levels to decline to near-
background levels (Tyrrell, 2011). A particulate component comprises aerosols, radiogenic fallout
(Waters et al., 2015) and fly ash (Oldfield, 2015; Rose, 2015), though these are typically short-
lived, being rained out onto the ground and into the hydrosphere.

Each year, a quantity of carbon dioxide in excess of 2 parts per million (ppm) now accumulates
in the atmosphere (Rubino et al., 2013; Waters et al., 2016), equivalent to about 17 billion tonnes
(Gt) of carbon dioxide annually. The total anthropogenic carbon dioxide burden in the atmosphere
(120 ppm as of 2015, nearly one-third of the total) weighs in at nearly one 1 trillion tonnes (Tt =
10\(^9\)) – the mass equivalent of about 150,000 of Khufu’s Great Pyramid of Egypt – or, as gas at
atmospheric pressure, the equivalent of a pure CO\(_2\) gas layer ~1 m thick around the whole globe
(and now thickening at the rate of ~1 mm every two weeks). This does not represent all anthropo-
genic CO\(_2\) emissions – approximately one-quarter has been dissolved into the oceans or assimilated
by ocean phytoplankton, while the other quarter has been taken up by land biota. The growth
of these gases in the atmosphere is measurable in bubbles of fossil air in ice cores, while dissolving
excess CO\(_2\) in the ocean engenders acidification and declining carbonate accretion in organisms
such as corals (Albright et al., 2016) and pteropods (Bednaršek et al., 2012).

The technosphere, since 1957, has extended beyond the atmosphere into outer space in the form
of satellites and related debris orbiting the Earth, and spacecraft elsewhere in, and occasionally
heading beyond, the Solar System (Gorman, 2014).

The physical technosphere and the geological Anthropocene

The material technosphere differs considerably from the stratigraphic record of the Anthropocene
(i.e. the Anthropocene Series, the material equivalent of the Anthropocene Epoch: Zalasiewicz
et al., 2011, 2014c). The Anthropocene Series comprises all strata laid down during the
Anthropocene, whenever that is eventually deemed to have begun (Waters et al., 2016). It includes
anthropogenic deposits (e.g. recent urban strata) and non-anthropogenic ones (desert sands, say,
that have accumulated without perceptible human influence during Anthropocene time).

The anthropogenic component of the Anthropocene Series, if standard geological terminology
applies, approximates to various types of artificial ground among the technosphere waste, includ-
ing bodies of building rubble and landfill, and active technosphere (e.g. embankments). Many
types of artificial deposit remain active, such as landfills and foundations undergoing modification.
Modern soils (whether agricultural or not) might be reasonably considered part of the Anthropocene Series, though these are not typically included on geological maps. If soil is worked (as opposed to undisturbed and natural) then it would also form part of the related concept of the archaeosphere (Edgeworth, 2014). The Anthropocene Series would not, in traditional classification, include the most obvious parts of the active technosphere, such as buildings and motor vehicles (these are generally only considered stratal components once reduced to rubble layers or landfill deposits). It would, though, include layers of deep-sea sediment and snow and ice on icecaps, which do not form part of either active or waste-layer technosphere, though they typically contain tiny amounts of waste material (far-travelled soot, artificial radionuclides, excess carbon dioxide in trapped air and chemical pollutants such as lead, sulphate and polychlorinated biphenyls).

Hence, the physical technosphere provides an alternative prism within which the Anthropocene phenomenon can be considered, that more clearly reflects its dynamic nature than does the chronostratigraphic Anthropocene Series. One of the most remarkable aspects of the technosphere is the diversity and rapid evolution of its technological components; these, the technofossils of the present and future (Zalasiewicz et al., 2014d), are already attaining a level of diversity and abundance exceeding recognized fossil diversity.

**Technospheric diversity and richness**

How can one measure the diversity of technofossils? One might, perhaps, do this in the same way as palaeontologists measure the diversity of fossil organisms. This cannot be done quite as modern biodiversity is defined and measured, where a common approach tallies the number of biological species (or alternatively genera, or families, or lineages) living at any one time, this number being termed taxonomic richness. One commonly used definition of species (in eukaryotic organisms – i.e. those with a membrane-bound nucleus) is based on ability to interbreed and produce viable offspring under natural conditions, this definition being typically allied to (but not perfectly mirrored by) morphological distinctness. The number of eukaryotic organisms so described as species is < 2 million, though estimates of the total number, including undescribed species, has ranged from ~3 to ~100 million, with recent estimates at between 5 and 15 million (Mora et al., 2011, for instance, suggest ~9 million eukaryotic species). Bacterial diversity, estimated in the thousands by classical techniques, is now known to number some millions of distinct types of organisms using DNA-sequencing information, though metazoan species concepts are hard to apply.

The total number of species that has existed in the past is much greater than the number of currently existing species. Assuming an average species-span is ~2–5 million years, then the half-billion years of the Phanerozoic Eon has seen the passage of about 1 billion metazoan species. Of those, only something like 300,000 have been described and named – less than one in a thousand. Why? Many were soft-bodied, and so unlikely to fossilize, and others were simply rare. Upland terrestrial zones are likely to have been eroded, leaving no trace of the animals or plants that once lived there, while the record of the deep ocean floor is eventually obliterated by subduction.

Fossil species, too, are morphospecies, based upon morphological distinctiveness alone, as it is impossible to say which organisms interbred. Where preserved morphology is simple (as in the spherical sphaeromorph acritarchs, the fossilized cysts of ancient planktonic algae) then original genetic diversity is very poorly reflected by the limited morphospecies diversity recognized.

Of the recognized fossil species, perhaps a few thousand are of trace fossils, of tracks, trails, burrows, nests and footprints. Like body fossils, these are also classified morphologically and placed within Linnean nomenclature as morphospecies. Although only rarely linked with the trace-making organism at species level, trace fossils provide key behavioural information typically absent from morphological fossils.
One might treat artefact types as distinct morphological entities, i.e. as trace fossil (or technofossil) morphospecies. Detailed comparison of biodiversity with total technofossil diversity is currently impossible. The incompleteness of our cataloguing of both modern and fossil species (including trace fossil species) is a factor, but is dwarfed by our ignorance of the number of artefact types that have been made. Unlike the case with taxonomic species databases, there are no inventories of the total diversity of artefacts that we are aware of, and few of any class of artefact or tool, particularly those made in modern times.

Nevertheless, comparisons with the diversity of specific types of technofossil are revealing. One commonly produced potential technofossil is the book, and a recent Google-based assessment of titles revealed ~130 million individual titles (http://mashable.com/2010/08/05/number-of-books-in-the-world/#443wpuoowEqT), recorded since publishing began, with now over a million new titles each year in the USA alone. These titles range from bestsellers produced in millions, to doctoral theses of which only a few copies exist; each title nevertheless can be regarded as a distinct, biologically-produced morphological entity with its own specific pattern of printed words, pages, dimensions and texture.

A more recent, ‘fossilizable’ example comprises mobile phones, commercially available since 1983, and with ~6.8 billion unique mobile phone connections made by 2014, operating via hundreds of ‘technospecies’ with complexity of both external and internal structure, of good fossilization potential (Zalasiewicz et al., 2014d, 2016).

The total number of artefacts with technofossil potential is unknown, but might exceed by an order of magnitude the number of book titles. If so, and if such comparison is valid, this number would equal or exceed the total global biodiversity that has existed on Earth. The technosphere, thus, represents an unparalleled increase in biologically fashioned morphological diversity at the Earth’s surface, which is developing a complexity (in computer-based products, for instance) that might someday rival biological complexity.

As with biological species, not all technofossils will be recognizable following the information loss associated with fossilization. Future fossilized books, for instance, will likely be rectangular carbonized masses classifiable by size and relative dimensions and subtle variations in surface texture; fragmentary details of the print information will only be rarely preserved, as are fragmentary details of DNA structure in some exceptionally preserved ancient fossils today. Hence – as with the sphaeromorph acritarchs – the true diversity will be hidden among the smaller number of morphospecies identifiable in practice. The record of more robust and complex technofossils will better reflect original diversity.

Conclusions

The physical technosphere – that part which can be most easily considered in relation to stratigraphy – is characterized by both active and residual components. Continuous growth, transformation and re-incorporation takes place among these components, and its scope is now global.

Highly preliminary estimates of the major components of the Earth System co-opted into the technosphere (Table 1) indicate a mass of ~30 trillion tonnes (Tt), equivalent to > 50 kg/m² of the Earth’s surface. The total is five orders of magnitude greater than the standing biomass of humans (~0.3 Gt; Smil, 2011) presently sustained by this construct and its reshaping of the biosphere. The enormous scale of the technosphere by comparison to pre-anthropogenic systems becomes even more apparent when one considers that present human biomass is more than double that of all large terrestrial vertebrates that characterized the Earth prior to human civilization (Barnosky, 2008) and is an order of magnitude greater than present wild terrestrial vertebrate biomass (Smil, 2011).

It is difficult to assess the accuracy of the numbers offered in Table 1. However, they appear to be of the correct order of magnitude. For example, if one calculates the mean thickness of the
The anthrosphere expressed physical technosphere needed to produce 27.73 Tt (total minus sea trawling; Table 1), assuming roughly half the landmass (i.e. 69.6 million km²; Hooke et al., 2012) is modified by humans, one obtains a value of 26.6 cm (24.2–29.4 cm at 1σ), which lies within the range of thickness estimate provided (10–200 cm).

Further assessment of the evolving mass and compositional characteristics of the physical technosphere will allow it to be more precisely compared with allied phenomena such as the biosphere from which it originated, the stratigraphic Anthropocene in which it is preserved, and the archaeosphere which details the cultural contexts of its formation. While the long-term development of the technosphere remains uncertain (Williams et al., 2015), its scale and accelerating diversification of form means that it already represents a distinctive new component at a planetary scale.
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