

RESCISSIONS AND DEFERRAL

MESSAGE

FROM

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TRANSMITTING

81 PROPOSED RESCISSIONS OF BUDGET AUTHORITY, PROPOSALS FOR THE REDUCTION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE DIRECT LOANS, AND ONE DEFERRAL, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 1012(a) AND 1013(a) OF PUBLIC LAW 93-344



MARCH 18, 1981.—Message and accompanying papers referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON : 1981



The message and accompanying papers referred to the Committee on

~~Appropriations~~  
Print

TO THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES:

In accordance with the Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I herewith report 81 proposals to rescind a total of \$11.1 billion in budget authority previously provided by the Congress. In addition, I am proposing to reduce authority to incur obligations for direct loans by a total of \$751.8 million and I am reporting one new deferral of \$3.4 million. These proposals are an integral part of my plan to reduce government spending.

The details of the rescission proposals and the deferral are contained in the attached reports.

Ronald Reagan

THE WHITE HOUSE,

March 17, 1981.



CONTENTS OF SPECIAL MESSAGE  
(in thousands of dollars)

Rescission #	Item	Budget Authority
	Executive Office of the President	
R81-38	Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality.....	708
	Office of Science and Technology Policy	
R81-39	Salaries and expenses.....	595
	Funds Appropriated to the President	
R81-40	Appalachian Regional Development Programs.....	110,000
R81-41	Disaster Relief.....	8,000
	International Development Assistance	
R81-42	Sahel development program.....	2,000
R81-43	Inter-American Foundation.....	138
	Department of Agriculture	
	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service	
R81-44	Dairy and beekeeper indemnity programs.....	1,500
	Rural Electrification Administration	
R81-45	Rural communication development fund.....	16,341
	Farmers Home Administration	
R81-46	Rural development planning grants.....	2,000
R81-47	Rural community fire protection grants.....	1,500
R81-48	Rural housing supervisory assistance grants.....	500
	Department of Commerce	
	Economic Development Administration	
R81-49	Economic development assistance programs.....	342,350
	Regional Development Program	
R81-50	Regional development programs.....	21,000
	United States Travel Service	
R81-51	Salaries and expenses.....	41
	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	
R81-52	Construction.....	9,000
R81-53	Coastal energy impact fund.....	40,000
	Science and Technical Research	
R81-54	Scientific and technical research and services.....	3,370
	National Telecommunications and Information Administration	
R81-55	Salaries and expenses.....	313
	Maritime Administration	
R81-56	Research and development.....	2,500
	Department of Education	
	Office of Elementary and Secondary Education	
R81-57	Equal educational opportunities.....	73,253
	Office of Special Education and Rehabilitation Services	
R81-58	Education for the handicapped, gifted and talented....	267,938
R81-59	Rehabilitation services and handicapped research.....	22,323
	Office of Postsecondary Education	
R81-60	College housing loans.....	14,550
	Office of Educational Research and Improvement	
R81-61	Libraries and learning technologies.....	42,750
R81-62	Institute of museum services.....	12,357
R81-63	School improvement programs.....	36,606

<u>Rescission #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u>
	Department of Energy	
	Energy Programs	
R81-64	Fossil energy construction.....	246,900
R81-65	Energy production demonstration and distribution.....	12,649
R81-66	Energy information administration.....	13,443
R81-67	Economic regulation.....	33,155
R81-68	Geothermal resources development fund.....	22,066
R81-69	Alternative fuels production.....	300,000
R81-70	Departmental administration.....	11,500
	Department of Health and Human Services	
	Health Services Administration	
R81-71	Indian health facilities.....	8,871
	National Institutes of Health	
R81-72	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.	1,083
R81-73	National Institute of General Medical Sciences.....	18,682
	Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration	
R81-74	Construction and renovation, St. Elizabeths Hospital..	1,020
	Office of Assistant Secretary for Health	
R81-75	Salaries and expenses.....	30,724
	Health Care Financing Administration	
R81-76	Payments to health care trust funds.....	8,693
R81-77	Program management.....	6,992
	Social Security Administration	
R81-78	Refugee assistance.....	25,100
	Department of Housing and Urban Development	
	Housing Programs	
R81-79	Subsidized housing programs.....	5,099,104
	Solar Energy and Energy Conservation Bank	
R81-80	Assistance for solar and conservation improvements....	121,000
	Community Planning Development	
R81-81	Planning assistance.....	34,976
R81-82	Rehabilitation loan fund.....	110,857
	Neighborhoods, Voluntary Associations and Consumer Protection	
R81-83	Housing counseling assistance.....	6,000
R81-84	Neighborhood self-help development program.....	8,119
	Department of the Interior	
	Office of Water Research and Technology	
R81-85	Salaries and expenses.....	11,800
	United States Fish and Wildlife Service	
R81-86	Construction and anadromous fish.....	2,500
	National Park Service	
R81-87	Urban park and recreation grants.....	35,000
R81-88	Land and water conservation fund.....	250,000
R81-89	Historic preservation fund.....	8,000
R81-90	Construction (trust fund).....	15,500
	Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	
R81-91	Regulation and technology.....	1,954
	Department of Labor	
	Employment and Training Administration	
R81-92	Temporary employment assistance.....	234,475
	Department of State	

<u>Rescission #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u>
R81-93	Bureau of Refugee Programs Migration and refugee assistance.....	22,500
R81-94	Bureau for International Narcotics Matters International narcotics control.....	3,100
R81-95	Department of Transportation Urban Mass Transportation Administration Urban mass transportation fund.....	24,700
R81-96	Research and Special Program Administration Cooperative automotive research.....	11,500
R81-97	Department of the Treasury Bureau of Government Financial Operations Biomass energy development.....	1,245,500
R81-98	Environmental Protection Agency Research and development (pollution and abatement)....	149
R81-99	Abatement, control and compliance.....	1,253
R81-100	Construction grants.....	1,700,000
R81-101	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Research and development.....	4,500
R81-102	Veterans Administration Construction, major projects.....	162,160
R81-103	Other Independent Agencies Action Operating expenses, domestic programs.....	3,207
R81-104	Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Arms control and disarmament activities.....	1,500
R81-105	Corporation for Public Broadcasting Public broadcasting fund.....	95,000
R81-106	Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service Salaries and expenses.....	637
R81-107	Federal Trade Commission Salaries and expenses.....	226
R81-108	Marine Mammal Commission Salaries and expenses.....	4
R81-109	Merit Systems Protection Board Salaries and expenses.....	210
R81-110	National Science Foundation Research and related activities.....	66,000
R81-111	Science and engineering education activities.....	16,000
R81-112	Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission Salaries and expenses.....	39
R81-113	Office of the Federal Inspector for the Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System Salaries and expenses.....	445
R81-114	Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation Salaries and expenses.....	60
R81-115	Selective Service System Salaries and expenses.....	1,940
R81-116	Small Business Administration Salaries and expenses.....	1,405
R81-117	Tennessee Valley Authority Tennessee Valley Authority fund.....	500

<u>Rescission #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u>
	Water Resources Council	
R81-118	Water resources planning.....	5,000
	Subtotal, rescission proposals.....	11,079,386

Rescissions of Authority to Incur Obligations for Direct Loans:

	Department of Agriculture	
	Farmers Home Administration	
R81-119	Rural housing insurance fund.....	316,000
R81-120	Agricultural credit insurance fund.....	89,850
R81-121	Rural development insurance fund.....	160,000
	Off-Budget Federal Entities:	
	Department of Agriculture	
	Rural Electrification Administration	
R81-122	Rural electrification and telephone revolving fund.....	187,000
	Subtotal, rescissions of loan authority...	751,850

<u>Deferral #</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Budget Authority</u>
	Department of Commerce	
	Minority Business Development Agency	
D81-103	Minority business development.....	3,400
	Subtotal, deferrals.....	3,400
	Total, rescission proposals and deferrals.....	11,834,636



SUMMARY OF SPECIAL MESSAGES  
FOR FY 1981

(in thousands of dollars)

	<u>Rescissions</u>	<u>Deferrals</u>
Seventh special message:		
New items.....	11,831,236	3,400
Change to amounts previously submitted.....	---	---
Effect of seventh special message.....	11,831,236	3,400
Previous special message.....	129,533	8,573,788
Total amount proposed in special messages.....	11,960,769 <u>a/</u>	8,577,188 <u>b/</u>

a/ This amount represents budget authority except for \$751.8 million involving authority to incur obligations for direct loans.

b/ This amount represents budget authority except for \$61,756 thousand involving the deferral of outlays only (D81-19B).

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Estimate	
	1981	1982	1983	Rescission	Without Rescission
	0.0	0.1	---	2.8	3.1

Rescission Proposal No: R81-38

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Executive Office of the President	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )	\$ <u>3,250,000</u>
Bureau		Other budgetary resources	<u>242,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality 1111453	Total budgetary resources	<u>3,492,000</u>
		Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>708,000</u>
OMB identification code:	11-1453-0-1-802	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
		Type of budget authority:	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) performs studies and analyses related to current environmental issues, as well as other activities related to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's plan for reducing Federal spending and eliminating duplicative regulatory activities.

In compliance with NEPA Section 205(2), CEQ's activities will be reduced to those statutorily mandated which do not unnecessarily overlap or conflict with established agency activities.

Estimated Effect: The duplication of activities among established agencies and CEQ will be eliminated. Responsibility for various activities will be formally recognized in established agencies.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
3.2	2.6	0.6	0.1	---	---

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
AND OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Council on Environmental Quality  
and Office of Environmental Quality

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$708,000 are rescinded.

Legal authority (in addition to sec 10112) <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year

Justification:

This appropriation funds the activities of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, within the Executive Office of the President, to provide analysis and advice to the President and other agencies in the Executive Office. The proposed reductions would result in a reduced permanent staff and a decrease in the number of external researchers and advisors. Sufficient resources will remain available to meet the major goals and objectives of the office.

Estimated effect:

The permanent staff of the office will be reduced from 24 to 12 and positions for consultant appointees reduced from 20 to 10.

Outlay effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings		
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982	1983
2.8	5.0	--	--	--

Rescission Proposal No: R81-39

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Executive Office of the President</u>	New budget authority \$ <u>2,658,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
Bureau <u>Office of Science and Technology Policy</u>	Other budgetary resources <u>--</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>2,658,000</u>
<u>Salaries and Expenses 1112600</u>	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>595,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>11-2600-0-1-802</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

This appropriation funds the activities of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, within the Executive Office of the President, to provide analysis and advice to the President and other agencies in the Executive Office. The proposed reductions would result in a reduced permanent staff and a decrease in the number of external researchers and advisors. Sufficient resources will remain available to meet the major goals and objectives of the office.

Estimated effect:

The permanent staff of the office will be reduced from 24 to 12 and positions for consultant appointees reduced from 30 to 10.

Outlay effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
2.6	2.0	.6	--	--	--

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT  
Office of Science and Technology Policy

Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$595,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	--	--	---

**Justification:** The Appalachian Regional Commission's mission is assisting the Appalachian region in promoting and managing growth through planning, research, technical assistance, administration, direct and supplemental funding of Federal grant-in-aid programs, and through construction of the Appalachian Development Highway System to improve access to Appalachia.

The remaining non-highway 1981 appropriations are proposed for rescission because Federal involvement is not required in general purpose, multi-state coordinating bodies. There are other means that States have for working together on mutual concerns. In addition, economic expansion and job creation in Appalachia will be stimulated through general tax, fiscal and regulatory reductions.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** No new projects will be funded for area development, local development district support, or Commission research. The highway program will be transferred to the Department of Transportation and funded out of the Highway Trust Fund beginning in 1982.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate			
Rescission	Without	With	Rescission
355.0	319.7	2.9	2.9
		1981	1982
		1983	1984
		46.5	4.5

Rescission Proposal No: R81-40

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Funds Appropriated to the President Bureau Appalachian Regional Development Programs	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-367</u> )	\$ <u>339,300,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Appalachian Regional Development Programs 11X0090	Other budgetary resources	<u>50,233,279</u>
	Total budgetary resources	<u>389,533,279</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>110,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 11-0090-0-1-452	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Appalachian Regional Commission's mission is assisting the Appalachian region in promoting and managing growth through planning, research, technical assistance, administration, direct and supplemental funding of Federal grant-in-aid programs, and through construction of the Appalachian Development Highway System to improve access to Appalachia.

The remaining non-highway 1981 appropriations are proposed for rescission because Federal involvement is not required in general purpose, multi-state coordinating bodies. There are other means that States have for working together on mutual concerns. In addition, economic expansion and job creation in Appalachia will be stimulated through general tax, fiscal and regulatory reductions.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: No new projects will be funded for area development, local development district support, or Commission research. The highway program will be transferred to the Department of Transportation and funded out of the Highway Trust Fund beginning in 1982.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
325.0	319.1	5.9	50.0	46.5	4.5

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

Appalachian Regional Development Programs

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act, 1981, \$110,000,000 are rescinded.

Agency Funds Appropriation	
Bureau	
Appropriation title & amount	
Disaster Relief	
Amount proposed for	
Total budgetary resources	
Legal authority (in addition to sec 1013)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Type of budget authority:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
OMB identification code: 11-0000-0-1-423	
Grant program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Type of account or fund:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	

**Justification:** The Disaster Relief Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-588) authorizes a comprehensive program of disaster relief, response, and recovery. Supplemental assistance is provided to individuals and state and local governments in the event of a presidentially-declared emergency or disaster.

Several cost-saving measures will be applied to tighten the administration of this program. These include:

- Tightening disaster declaration criteria.
- Eliminating the present year-long period during which the Federal Government provides rent-free temporary housing.
- Placing the administration of the temporary housing program with the States instead of the Federal Government.
- Placing Federal financial contributions on a 50/50 Federal/State/Local basis as a way of insuring that Federal public assistance be supplemental in nature.

**Estimated Effect:** These actions will contribute to a more efficient, cost-effective method of responding to disasters. They will not affect the provision of essential disaster relief activities.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-41

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Funds Appropriated to the President	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )	\$ <u>366,449,000</u>
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	<u>234,192,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	<u>600,641,000</u>
Disaster Relief 11X0039	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>8,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 11-0039-0-1-453	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Disaster Relief Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-288) authorizes a comprehensive program of disaster relief, response, and recovery. Supplemental assistance is provided to individuals and State and local governments in the event of a Presidentially-declared emergency or disaster.

Several cost-saving measures will be applied to tighten the administration of this program. These include:

- Placing Federal financial contributions on a 75% Federal/25% State-local basis as a way of insuring that Federal public assistance be supplemental in nature.
- Placing the administration of the temporary housing program with the States instead of the Federal Government.
- Eliminating the present year-long period during which the Federal Government provides rent-free temporary housing.
- Tightening disaster declaration criteria.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: These actions will contribute to a more efficient, cost-effective method of responding to disasters. They will not affect the provision of essential disaster relief activities.



14-188

R81-41

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
640.0	632.0	8.0	---	---	---

OMB Identification Number: 15-107-0-1-101

Grant program:  Yes  No

Type of account or fund:

- Annual
- Multiple-year
- No-year

Type of budget authority:

- Appropriation
- Contract authority
- Other

Justification: The funds proposed for rescission were provided for the African Development Foundation as stipulated in Section 101b of P.L. 96-535. The Administration proposes that the Foundation not be funded, because the type of programs it would support will be carried out by the Agency for International Development without creating a new government entity.

This rescission proposal is a component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: The African Development Foundation board will not be appointed and the Foundation will not begin operation.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars for African Development Foundation)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
0.6	---	0.6	0.9	0.5	---

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

Disaster Relief

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$8,000,000 are rescinded.

Type of account (if any)

Annual

Multi-year

Biennial

Justification: The President's program of disaster relief, emergency aid to individuals and State and local governments in the event of emergency or disaster.

Several cost-saving measures will be applied to this program. These include:

- Eliminating Federal financial contributions to State disaster relief programs as a way of insuring that Federal aid is available only in the event of a disaster.
- Reducing the participation of the Federal Government in the Federal Reserve Bank.
- Eliminating the disaster planning and relief activities of the Federal Reserve Bank.
- Reducing disaster declarations of emergency.

This rescission is an integral part of the President's program of disaster relief and emergency aid to individuals and State and local governments in the event of emergency or disaster.

Disaster relief activities will continue to be carried out by the Federal Government through the Federal Reserve Bank.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-42

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Funds Appropriated to the President	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>66,600,000</u>
Bureau International Development Assistance		5,163,000
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	
Sahel Development Program 11X1012	Total budgetary resources	\$ <u>71,763,000</u>
OMB identification code: 11-1012-0-1-151	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>2,000,000</u>
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The funds proposed for rescission were provided for the African Development Foundation as stipulated in Section 101b of P.L. 96-536. The Administration proposes that the Foundation not be funded, because the types of programs it would support will be carried out by the Agency for International Development without creating a new government entity.

This rescission proposal is a component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: The African Development Foundation board will not be appointed and the Foundation will not begin operation.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars for African Development Foundation)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
0.6	---	0.6	0.9	0.5	---

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

International Development Assistance  
Sahel Development Program

Of the funds made available by P.L. 96-536 for the above-named account, \$2,000,000 provided for transfer to the African Development Foundation are rescinded.

*(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including "Justification: The funds proposed for rescission were provided for the African Development Foundation as allocated in Section 501(b) of P.L. 96-536. The Administration proposes that the Foundation not be funded, because the type of program it would support will be carried out by the Agency for International Development without creating a new government entity. This rescission proposal is a component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens. Estimated Effects: The African Development Foundation board will not be appointed and the Foundation will not begin operation. Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars for African Development Foundation) 1981 Outlay Estimate Without Rescission 0.6 With Rescission 0.4*

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
0.6	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2

Rescission Proposal No: R81-43

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Funds Appropriated to the President	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>10,855,520</u>
Bureau Inter-American Foundation	Other budgetary resources	<u>21,149,607</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Inter-American Foundation 11X4031	Total budgetary resources	<u>32,005,127</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>138,000</u>
OMB identification code: 11-4031-0-3-151	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Inter-American Foundation provides grants to indigenous, democratically structured groups which promote social change and community development among the poor in Latin America and the Caribbean.

The proposed rescission represents savings realized by the implementation of Administration guidelines for lower personnel ceilings and reduced expenditures for travel and equipment.

Estimated Effects: This proposal will rescind savings realized during FY 1981 from a 15 percent reduction in travel and procurement of equipment and a decrease in Inter-American Foundation employees from 69 to 66.

OUTLAY EFFECTS: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
5.2	5.1	.1	--	--	--

Available costs for determining pesticide residues in dead bees are expensive to run and many times inconclusive.

Continuation of the program has not been demonstrated as necessary to a viable beekeeping industry. However, in areas of high risk of pesticide contamination, upward adjustments of pollination fees would probably be necessary in the absence of a program.

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT  
Inter-American Foundation

Of the funds provided for "Inter-American Foundation" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$138,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> Annual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other
---	--	--	--

Justification: The Inter-American Foundation provides grants to indigenous, democratically structured groups which promote social change and community development among the poor in Latin America and the Caribbean.

The proposed rescission represents savings realized by the implementation of Administration guidelines for lower personnel ceilings and reduced expenditures for travel and equipment.

Estimated Effects: This proposal will result in savings realized during FY 1981 from a 12 percent reduction in travel and procurement of equipment and a decrease in Inter-American Foundation employees from 69 to 58.

OUTLAY EFFECTS: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
2.2	2.1	1	--	--

Rescission Proposal No: R81-44

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Agriculture	New budget authority (P.L. 96-528)	\$ 1,700,000
Bureau	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service	Other budgetary resources	---
Appropriation title & symbol		Total budgetary resources	1,700,000
Dairy and Beekeeper Indemnity Programs 1213314		Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 1,500,000
OMB identification code: 12-3314-0-1-351		Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual		Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: This rescission proposal is for beekeeper indemnity payments and is part of the Administration's effort to reduce Federal spending.

This discretionary program provides payments to beekeepers who suffer losses of honey bees as a result of the use of insecticides near or adjacent to property on which the beehives of such beekeepers are located. Payments have been made to cover such losses incurred each year since 1967.

The program is difficult to administer in a way that is fair to both the intended beneficiaries and to taxpayers because:

- Recording and monitoring the movement of colonies is difficult because of the necessity of moving bees from one location to another either to provide pollination services or to escape pesticide contamination.
- Hard data on specific bee kills in the field is extremely difficult to develop, and scientific accuracy in determining the exact cause of bee deaths through a testing protocol is generally difficult.
- Available tests for determining pesticide residues in dead bees are expensive to run and many times inconclusive.
- Continuation of the program has not been demonstrated as necessary to a viable beekeeping industry. However, in areas of high risk of pesticide contamination, upward adjustments of pollination fees would probably be necessary in the absence of a program.

Estimated Effects: This rescission proposal will eliminate indemnity payments to beekeepers in FY 1981.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
1.7	.2	1.5	--	--	--

Justification: This rescission proposal is for beekeeper indemnity payments and is part of the Administration's effort to reduce Federal spending.

This discretionary program provides payments to beekeepers who suffer losses of honey bees as a result of the use of insecticides near or adjacent to property on which the beesives of such beekeepers are located. Payments have been made to cover such losses incurred each year since 1967.

The program is difficult to administer in a way that is fair to both the intended beneficiaries and to taxpayers because:

- Recording and monitoring the movement of colonies is difficult because of the necessity of moving bees from one location to another either to provide pollination services or to escape pesticide contamination.
- Hard data on specific bee kills in the field is extremely difficult to develop, and scientific accuracy in determining the exact cause of bee deaths through a testing protocol is generally difficult.
- Available tests for determining pesticide residues in dead bees are expensive to run and many times inconclusive.
- Continuation of the program has not been demonstrated as necessary to a viable beekeeping industry. However, in areas of high risk of pesticide contamination, upward adjustments of pollination fees would probably be necessary in the absence of a program.



DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

Dairy and Beekeeper Indemnity Programs

Of the funds appropriated under this head in P.L. 96-528 making appropriations for fiscal year 1981, \$1,500,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other Authority to Borrow <input type="checkbox"/> Contract Authority <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Type of budget authority:	Type of account or fund:
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year

**Justification:** This fund is used to make or guarantee loans for community antennas...  
**Estimated Effect:** The proposed rescission would reduce the amount of insured Community Antenna Stabilization Facility loans from \$31.4 million to \$15.1 million.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlays Savings	
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982 - 1983
18.3	15.1	6.8	9.5

Rescission Proposal No: R81-45

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )	\$ <u>34,000,000</u>
Bureau Rural Electrification Administration	Other budgetary resources	<u>                    </u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	<u>34,000,000</u>
Rural Communication Development Fund 12X4142	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>16,341,000</u>
OMB identification code: 12-4142-0-3-452	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <u>                    </u>	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>                    </u> (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Authority to Borrow</u>	

Justification: This fund is used to make or guarantee loans for community antenna television services or facilities. The Administration believes that it is more appropriate for the private sector to fund this activity. This rescission proposal is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation. It has been determined that this reduction can be made without adversely affecting human health and safety or other critical needs. Also, it is believed that sufficient capital will be available from the private investors to fund this activity.

Estimated Effects: The proposed rescission would reduce the amount of insured Community Antenna Television Facility loans from \$31.4 million to \$15.1 million.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlays Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
18.9	12.1	6.8	9.5	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Electrification Administration

Rural Communication Development Fund

Of the loan levels authorized under section 310B under this head in P.L. 96-528, making appropriations for fiscal year 1981, \$16,341,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-Year <input type="checkbox"/> No-Year

*(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like "Justification: Under this program, grants are made to public bodies or such other agencies as the Secretary may select to prepare comprehensive plans for rural development.")*

Estimated Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate			1981 Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	Change	1981	1982	1983
8.4	8.0	0.4	4.0	1.5	0.4

Rescission Proposal No: R81-46

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	New budget authority \$ <u>5,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>5,000,000</u>
Rural Development Planning Grants 1212068	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>2,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 12-2068-0-1-452	* Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** Under this program, grants are made to public bodies or such other agencies as the Secretary may select to prepare comprehensive plans for rural development.

The proposed rescission is part of the Administration's effort to reduce the inflationary impact of Federal spending on the economy. It has been determined that this program is of low priority and reductions can be made without adversely affecting human health and safety or other critical needs and that the benefits provided must be foregone in order to combat the high rate of inflation existing today. Furthermore, some funding for this activity may be obtained through other Federal programs such as HUD's Community Development Block Grant program.

**Estimated Effects:** The proposed rescission would reduce the number of grants estimated to be made in 1981 to 80 or 53 less than indicated in the January Budget.

**Estimated Effects:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
6.4	6.0	0.4	1.2	0.4	--

PROPOSED RESCISION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farmers Home Administration  
Rural Development Planning Grants

Of the funds appropriated under this head in P.L. 96-528, making appropriations for fiscal year 1981, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Type of account or fund:
<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Justification:  
The Rural Community Fire Protection Grant program provides fire-fighting equipment and funds for organizing and training personnel in rural communities. Adequate credit assistance to provide equipment is available at reasonable interest rates and terms through the Community Facility Loan Program under the Rural Development Insurance Fund. Surplus government equipment which can be used for fire fighting is also available from the Forest Service. This rescission proposal is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation. It has been determined that this reduction can be made without adversely affecting human health and safety or other critical needs.

Estimated Effect: The proposed rescission would reduce the number of grants to be made in 1981. Adequate credit assistance to provide equipment is available through the Community Facility Loan Program under the Rural Development Insurance Fund.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
3.2	2.7	0.8	0.7	--
--	--	--	--	--

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	New budget authority \$ <u>3,500,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>3,500,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources _____
Rural Community Fire Protection Grants 1212067	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>1,500,000</u>
OMB identification code: 12-2067-0-1-452	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	

Justification:

The Rural Community Fire Protection Grant program provides fire-fighting equipment and funds for organizing and training personnel in rural communities. Adequate credit assistance to provide equipment is available at reasonable interest rates and terms through the Community Facility Loan Program under the Rural Development Insurance Fund. Surplus government equipment which can be used for fire fighting is also available from the Forest Service. This rescission proposal is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation. It has been determined that this reduction can be made without adversely affecting human health and safety or other critical needs.

Estimated Effects: The proposed rescission would reduce the number of grants to be made in 1981. Adequate credit assistance to provide equipment is available through the Community Facility Loan Program under the Rural Development Insurance Fund.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
3.5	2.7	0.8	0.7	--	--

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Farmers Home Administration  
Rural Community Fire Protection Grants

Of the funds appropriated under this head in P.L. 96-528, making appropriations for fiscal year 1981, \$1,500,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-48

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )	\$ <u>1,500,000</u>
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	Other budgetary resources	<u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	<u>1,500,000</u>
Rural Housing Supervisory Assistance Grants 1212062	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>500,000</u>
OMB identification code: 12-2062-0-1-371	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

This program provides grants to local groups which aid low income families in obtaining assistance under the various FmHA housing programs. These groups also provide counseling to such families after they occupy FmHA assisted housing. Reduced FmHA lending workload under the revised 1982 budget should enable FmHA county office staff to perform these functions at equivalent levels to that provided under this program.

Estimated Effects: The proposed rescission would reduce the number of grants estimated to be made in 1981 to 11 or 6 less than indicated in the January Budget.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
		<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
1.8	1.8	--	--	.5	--



PROPOSED RESCINDING OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 96-524

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Farmers Home Administration

Rural Housing Supervisory Assistance Grants

Of the funds appropriated under this head in P.L. 96-528, making appropriations for fiscal year 1981, \$500,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
---	--	--	--

Justification: The objectives of the Economic Development Administration (EDA) are to reduce substantial and persistent unemployment in economically distressed areas and to deal with problems of economic adjustment. Aid provided includes grants, loans and loan guarantees.

There is no convincing evidence that these economic development programs have been effective in creating new jobs or capital investment, or are actually needed to promote local or regional economic development. Economic expansion and job creation in distressed areas will be stimulated through the Administration's general tax, fiscal and regulatory reduction measures, and more flexible community development support assistance. Development assistance to rural areas will be provided by the Farmers Home Administration.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens. FY 1981 funding available for Trade Adjustment Assistance and loan guarantee payments will not be proposed for rescission.

Estimated Effect: The EDA programs will be curtailed in an orderly fashion. No projects which have been approved to date will be cancelled. In addition, EDA will maintain sufficient funding authority to cover costs of collateral protection and to provide coverage for possible defaults on loan guarantees. EDA's current funding from direct appropriations for FY 1981 is \$824.6 million. The proposed rescissions would reduce the FY 1981 funding level to \$282.3 million, which includes funds for Trade Adjustment Assistance, care and preservation of collateral, and defaults on guarantees, and would reduce the FY 1981 loan guarantee authority to \$183 million.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-49

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Commerce	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>624,650,000</u>
Bureau Economic Development Administration	Other budgetary resources	<u>6,900,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	<u>631,550,000</u>
Economic Development Assistance Programs 1312050	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>342,350,000</u>
OMB identification code: 13-2050-0-1-452	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The objectives of the Economic Development Administration (EDA) are to reduce substantial and persistent unemployment in economically distressed areas and to deal with problems of economic adjustment. Aid provided includes grants, loans and loan guarantees.

There is no convincing evidence that these economic development programs have been effective in creating new jobs or capital investment, or are actually needed to promote local or regional economic development. Economic expansion and job creation in distressed areas will be stimulated through the Administration's general tax, fiscal and regulatory reduction measures, and more flexible community development support assistance. Development assistance to rural areas will be provided by the Farmers Home Administration.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens. FY 1981 funding available for Trade Adjustment Assistance and loan guarantee payments will not be proposed for rescission.

Estimated Effect: The EDA programs will be curtailed in an orderly fashion. No projects which have been approved to date will be cancelled. In addition, EDA will maintain sufficient funding authority to cover costs of collateral protection and to provide coverage for possible defaults on loan guarantees. EDA's current funding from direct appropriations for FY 1981 is \$624.6 million. The proposed revisions would reduce the FY 1981 funding level to \$282.3 million, which includes funds for Trade Adjustment Assistance, care and preservation of collateral, and defaults on guarantees, and would reduce the FY 1981 loan guarantee authority to \$163 million.

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

This reduction will eliminate the rest of the eligible projects that would have been funded this year. The effect on job losses will be minimal in 1981 and will be more than offset in 1982 and beyond once the President's overall economic program is in effect.

Outlay Effects:

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
508.7	501.0	7.7	163.3	92.2	39.4

Justification: The State Regional Commissions' primary mission is to assist regions in planning and managing growth through planning, research, technical assistance, and in-kind grants. The program is a direct result of Federal grant-in-aid programs.

The appropriations requested for the second half of 1981 are proposed for rescission because Federal involvement is not required in general purpose, multi-state coordinating bodies. There are other ways that States have for working together on mutual concerns and the Regional Commissions are, in fact, not advancing regional objectives.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: Any amount of this proposed rescission, no new projects will be funded. All existing projects will be released, and the eight Regional Development Commissions and the Office of Regional Development will be closed no later than September 30, 1981. Funds will be reprogrammed among the Commissions in order to approximate the rescission and to insure that funds will be available to pay contractual debts.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
508.7	501.0	7.7	163.3	92.2	39.4

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Economic Development Administration

## Economic Development Assistance Programs

Of the funds included under this head in H.R. 7584 making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1981, and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$342,350,000 are rescinded and total commitments to guarantee loans shall not exceed \$163,000,000 of contingent liability for loan principal.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-50

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Commerce	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>43,838,000</u>
Bureau	Regional Development Program	Other budgetary resources	<u>12,554,762</u>
Appropriation title & symbol		Total budgetary resources	<u>56,392,762</u>
	Regional Development Programs 13X2100	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>21,000,000</u>
OMB identification code:	13-2100-0-1-452	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual		Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Title V Regional Commissions' primary mission is to assist regions in promoting and managing growth through planning, research, technical assistance, administration programs, and direct and supplemental funding of Federal grant-in-aid programs.

The appropriations remaining for the second half of 1981 are proposed for rescission because Federal involvement is not required in general purpose, multi-state coordinating bodies. There are other means that States have for working together on mutual concerns and the Regional Commissions are, in fact, not advancing regional objectives.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: Upon approval of this proposed rescission, no new projects will be funded, all staff except those needed to process ongoing projects will be released, and the eight Regional Development Commissions and the Office of Regional Development will be closed no later than September 30, 1981. Funds will be reprogrammed among the Commissions in order to accommodate the rescission and to insure that funds will be available to pay termination costs.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates	
Without Rescission	With Rescission
53.9	46.0

Outlay Savings			
1981	1982	1983	1984
7.9	6.6	4.1	1.4

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Regional Development Program

Regional development programs

Of the funds included under this head in H.R. 7584, making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1981, and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$21,000,000 are rescinded, and the balance remaining under this head shall be available only to the extent necessary to complete termination of the program.

Type of activity or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual
	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year
	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year
Type of authority:	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

**Justification:** The Title V Regional Commissions' primary mission is to assist regions in promoting and managing growth through planning, research, technical assistance, administration, and direct and supplemental funding of Federal grant-in-aid programs.

The appropriations requested for the second half of 1981 are proposed for rescission because Federal involvement is not required in general purpose, multi-state coordinating bodies. There are other means that States have for working together on mutual concerns and the Regional Commissions are, in fact, not advancing regional objectives.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** Upon approval of this proposed rescission, no new projects will be funded, all activity except those needed to process ongoing projects will be reduced, and the eight Regional Development Commissions and the Office of Regional Development will be closed as later than September 30, 1981. Funds will be reprogrammed among the Commissions in order to expedite the rescission and to insure that funds will be available to pay termination costs.

Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		1981 Outlay Estimates	
Rescission	21.0	Rescission	21.0
Change		Change	
1981	7.9	1981	7.9
1982	8.8	1982	8.8
1983	4.1	1983	4.1
1984	1.4	1984	1.4

Rescission Proposal No: R81-51

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Commerce	New budget authority \$ <u>8,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau United States Travel Service	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>8,000,000</u>
Salaries and Expenses 1310700	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>41,000</u>
OMB identification code: 13-0700-0-1-376	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

This rescission proposal is for a reduction in travel as part of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects:

The effect of this action would be a reduction in staff travel in FY 1981. Low priority international travel and trips to and from regional offices will be reduced.

Outlay Effects: (in thousands of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimate</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
10,482	10,441	41	---	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Travel Service

Salaries and expenses

Of the funds included under this head in H.R., 7584 making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1981, and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$41,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Actual	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

This rescission proposal is for a reduction in travel as part of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

The effect of this action would be a reduction in staff travel in FY 1981, and a reduction in travel and per diem for regional offices will be reduced.

Outlay Effects: (in thousands of dollars)

Outlay Effects				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Rescission	Without Rescission
41	---	---	---	10,487	10,528



Rescission Proposal No: R81-52

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Commerce	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)
Bureau National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>61,944,360</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>61,944,360</u>
Construction 13x1452	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>9,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 13-1452-0-1-306	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions. This reduction will eliminate the construction of the Education Center portion of NOAA's Western Regional Center in Seattle, Washington. The Center is not necessary for NOAA to carry out its mission.

**Estimated Effect:** Training of NOAA personnel will not be affected since centralized training will continue at NOAA's present training facility in Kansas City, Missouri.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
37.5	33.5	4	5	---	---



PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Commerce	New budget authority (P.L. _____) \$ _____
Bureau National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>60,411,741</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Coastal Energy Impact Fund 13x4315	Total budgetary resources <u>60,411,741</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>40,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 13-4315-0-3-452	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions.

This program provides for Federal loan assistance to States and localities for the costs of planning for and meeting energy development impacts and front-end financing of public facilities. The local impacts from oil and gas development have proven to be far less than originally anticipated and well within the capability of States and localities to handle.

Estimated Effect: The rescission of these funds would reduce Federal spending for FY 1981 and 1982, without hampering the carrying out of NOAA's overall mission. No additional projects would receive Federal loan assistance from this program. The anticipated energy impacts are not that significant in eligible areas, and States/localities should be able to absorb them.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Savings	
Without Rescission	With Rescission
60.4	56.4

Outlay Savings			
1981	1982	1983	1984
4	12	12	12

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Coastal energy impact fund

Of the funds appropriated under this head in Public Law 95-86, making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1978, \$40,000,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> Annual
---	---	---

Justification: This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions.

This program provides for Federal loan assistance to States and localities for the costs of planning for and meeting energy development impacts and for financing of public facilities. The local impacts from all gas development have proven to be far less than originally anticipated and well within the capability of States and localities to handle.

Estimated effect: The rescission of these funds would reduce Federal spending for FY-1981 and 1982, without impact on the carrying out of WAA's overall mission. No additional projects would receive Federal loan assistance from this program. The anticipated energy impacts are not significant in eligible areas, and State/localities should be able to absorb them.

Outlay effect: (in million of dollars)

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Savings	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Rescission - Rescission	With
4	11	12	12	50.4	50.4

Rescission Proposal No: R81-54

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

<b>Agency</b> Department of Commerce	<b>New budget authority</b> \$ <u>113,100,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
<b>Bureau</b> Science and Technical Research	<b>Other budgetary resources</b> <u>-1,370,757</u>
<b>Appropriation title &amp; symbol</b> Scientific and Technical Research and Services 13X0500	<b>Total budgetary resources</b> <u>111,729,243</u>
	<b>Amount proposed for rescission</b> \$ <u>3,370,000</u>
<b>OMB identification code:</b> 13-0500-0-1-376	<b>Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
<b>Grant program</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
<b>Type of account or fund:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<b>Type of budget authority:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** The Cooperative Generic Technology Centers (COGENT's), the major program administered by the Department of Commerce's Office of Productivity, Technology, and Innovation (OPTI) have as their purpose under the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (P.L. 96-480) the development and demonstration of new technologies with generic commercial applications as a means of enhancing public and private sector productivity.

Appropriations for 1981 are proposed for rescission because Federal involvement in COGENT's is not required as a means for enhancing productivity. The rate of increase in productivity will be stimulated through general tax, fiscal and regulatory reduction measures included in the President's Economic Recovery Program.

This rescission is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effects:** Upon approval of this rescission, the three planned COGENT's would not be funded. OPTI would be phased out in an orderly manner. Some generic technology development may be delayed in specific areas, but the Administration's economic program will encourage private sector investment in the more promising technology development areas.

**Outlay Effects:**

(in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
105.7	104.1	1.6	1.8	--	--

PROPOSED RESCINDING OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Science and Technical Research

Scientific and Technical Research and Services

Of the funds included under this head in H.R. 7584 making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1981 and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$3,370,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Justification: The Cooperative Generic Technology Centers (CGTCs), the major program administered by the Department of Commerce's Office of Productivity, Technology, and Innovation (OPTI) have as their purpose under the Stevenson-Wyer Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (P.L. 96-480) the development and commercialization of new technologies with generic commercial applications as a means of enhancing public and private sector productivity.

Appropriations for 1981 are proposed for rescission because Federal investment in COBERT's is not required as a means for enhancing productivity. The rate of increase in productivity will be stimulated through general tax, fiscal and regulatory reduction measures included in the President's Economic Recovery Program.

This rescission is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: Upon approval of this rescission, the three planned COBERT's would not be funded. OPTI would be phased out in an orderly manner. Some generic technology development may be delayed in specific areas, but the Administration's economic program will encourage private sector investment in the more promising technology development areas.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Rescission	Without	1981	1982	1983	1984
104.1	102.7	1.8	1.8	--	--

Rescission Proposal No: R81-55

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

<b>Agency</b> Department of Commerce	<b>New budget authority</b> \$ <u>17,400,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
<b>Bureau</b> National Telecommunications and Information Administration	
<b>Appropriation title &amp; symbol</b>  Salaries and Expenses  13x0550	<b>Other budgetary resources</b> <u>2,652,000</u>  <b>Total budgetary resources</b> <u>20,052,000</u>
<b>OMB identification code:</b> 13-0550-0-1-376	<b>Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
<b>Grant program</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>Type of account or fund:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<b>Type of budget authority:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification-** This rescission proposal is an integral part of President Reagan's comprehensive economic package designed to reduce the tax burden on the American people by reducing and eliminating low priority programs. This rescission reflects decreases in the following areas considered, by the President, to fall under this low priority category: Public Telecommunications Facilities Program (administrative costs), \$100,000; Satellite Applications, \$15,000; Travel and transportation of persons, \$55,000; Equipment purchases and rentals, \$86,000; Consultant expenses, \$56,000 and other personnel costs, \$1,000.

**Estimated Effects-** The administration of Public Telecommunications Facilities grants would be substantially reduced (elimination of this program has been proposed in FY1981). This rescission reflects the lower level of funding required to effectively administer grants to projects already approved or under construction. In the Satellite Applications program, work performed on both the international and technical support missions assigned under the Civil Space Policy Presidential Directive (PD-42) would be phased out in FY1981. Encouragement of U.S. private industry and professional organizations to pursue these initiatives has already begun and will continue in FY1981 and thereafter.

**Outlay Effect:(in millions of dollars)**

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
16.8	16.5	.3	---	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Telecommunications and Information Administration

Salaries and expenses

Of the funds included under this head in H.R. 7584 making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1981 and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$313,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antidumping Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> One-year

Justification: This rescission proposal is an integral part of President Reagan's comprehensive economic package designed to reduce the tax burden on the American people by reducing and eliminating low priority programs. This rescission reflects decreases in the following areas controlled by the President: (a) under this low priority category: Public Telecommunications Facilities Program (administrative costs), \$100,000; Satellite Applications, \$12,000; Travel and transportation of persons, \$22,000; equipment purchased and rentals, \$88,000; Consultant expenses, \$88,000 and other personnel costs, \$1,000.

Estimated Effect: The administration of Public Telecommunications Facilities grants would be substantially reduced (elimination of this program has been proposed in FY1981). This rescission reflects the lower level of funding required to effectively administer grants to projects already approved or under construction. In the Satellite Applications program, work performed on both the international and technical support missions assigned under the Civil Space Policy Presidential Directive (PD-42) would be passed out in FY1981. Encouragement of U.S. private industry and professional organizations to pursue these activities has already begun and will continue in FY1981 and thereafter.

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Estimate	
	1981	1982	1983	Rescission	1981
	---	---	---	18.3	18.3



Rescission Proposal No: R81-56

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

<b>Agency</b> Department of Commerce	<b>New budget authority</b> \$ <u>16,300,000</u>
<b>Bureau</b> Maritime Administration	(P.L. <u>96-536</u> ) <u>2,465,795</u>
<b>Appropriation title &amp; symbol</b>	<b>Other budgetary resources</b> <u>18,765,795</u>
Research and Development 13x1716	<b>Total budgetary resources</b> <u>18,765,795</u>
	<b>Amount proposed for rescission</b> \$ <u>2,500,000</u>
<b>OMB identification code:</b> 13-1716-0-1-403	<b>Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):</b>
<b>Grant program</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
<b>Type of account or fund:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual	<b>Type of budget authority:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The purpose of the Maritime research and development program is to innovate concepts, methods, systems, and equipment to improve productivity and operating efficiency in the U.S. shipbuilding and ship operating industries.

Selected low priority long-term research activities will be limited in order to reduce Federal spending. Similar higher priority research will continue to be carried out by the Department of Defense.

This rescission proposal will contribute to President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions.

Estimated Effect:

Research Center: Navigation and communication research activities will be reduced.

Research Contracts: Research on the construction of new ship types and the potential market opportunities of Arctic shipping will not be expanded beyond existing activities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
17	16.4	0.6	0.8	1.1	---

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Maritime Administration  
Research and development

Of the funds included under this head in H.R. 7584, making appropriations for the Department of Commerce for fiscal year 1981, and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$2,500,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R31-57

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Education	New budget authority \$ 292,008,000 (P.L. 96-536 )
Bureau Office of Elementary & Secondary Education	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol  Equal Educational Opportunities 9110103	Total budgetary resources <u>292,008,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>73,253,000</u>
OMB identification code: 91-0103-0 1-501	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

Programs funded under the Equal Educational Opportunities appropriation include Emergency School Aid, Training and Advisory Services, and Women's Educational Equity. The purpose of the Emergency School Aid and Training and Advisory Services programs is to aid school districts engaged in desegregation in the areas of race, sex, or national origin. The Women's Educational Equity program supports development, demonstration, and dissemination projects that promote educational equity for women and girls at all levels of education.

As part of the President's overall budget reform plan, funding for all programs and agencies will be reduced on an equitable basis. The purpose of the rescission proposed here is to reduce government spending and thereby control one source of inflationary pressure.

Estimated Effect:

Emergency School Aid Act: The rescission proposal will decrease average awards for new and continuation proposals under Basic Grants (-\$26,950,000), and will reduce the number of new awards for Special Programs and Projects (-\$20,896,000), Magnet Schools, Neutral Sites, and Pairing (-\$7,500,000), Grants to Non-Profit Organizations (-\$1,875,000), Educational Television and Radio(-\$1,613,000), and Evaluation (-\$500,000).

Training and Advisory Services: The rescission proposal will decrease average awards for desegregation assistance centers, training institutes, and State and local educational agency projects (-\$11,419,000).

Women's Educational Equity: The rescission proposal will reduce the number of new awards for general and small grants and for contracts (-\$2,500,000).

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
289.4	282.6	6.8	48.7	14.2	3.2

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Elementary and Secondary Education

Equal Educational Opportunities

Of the funds provided for Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the  
Emergency School Aid Act, and Title IX, Part C of the Elementary and Secondary  
Education Act of 1965, for fiscal year 1981 in Public Law 96-536, \$73,253,000  
are rescinded.

Amount Proposed for	Amount Available	Amount Rescinded	Percentage Rescinded	Comments
Preschool Incentive Grants	26,250,000	26,250,000	100%	A rescission is requested of \$26,250,000 from the \$73,253,000 provided for the Handicapped State Grant program funded under Part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act. The average Federal share per child will be 2180 compared to an average Federal share of \$235 over the amounts presently available.
State Grant Program	47,003,000	47,003,000	100%	A rescission is requested of \$47,003,000 from the \$73,253,000 provided for the Handicapped State Grant program funded under Part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act. The average Federal share per child will be 2180 compared to an average Federal share of \$235 over the amounts presently available.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-53

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Education	New budget authority	\$ 1,102,050,000
Bureau Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services	(P.L. 96-536 )	
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	593,627,268
Education for the Handicapped, Gifted and Talented	Total budgetary resources	1,695,677,268
9110300	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 267,938,000
911/20300		
910/10300		
OMB identification code: 91-0300-0-1-501	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
9/30/81 (593,627,268)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year 9/30/82 (947,000,000)	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
(expiration date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year		

Justification: This program provides funds to assure a free appropriate public education for handicapped children. In addition, funds are provided for deaf-blind centers, special projects for early childhood education and the severely handicapped, media resource services as well as for regional, vocational adult and postsecondary programs. Funds are also included for innovation and development, and training of special education personnel.

As part of the President's overall economic program to reduce federal spending, funding for these programs would be reduced. Obligated and unobligated balances currently available will minimize the impact of the proposed reduction.

Estimated Effect:State Grant Program

A rescission is requested of \$230,500,000 from the \$922,000,000 projected to be available for the Handicapped State Grant program funded under Part B of the Education of the Handicapped Act. The average Federal share per child will be \$180 compared to an average Federal share of \$239 under the amounts presently available.

Preschool Incentive Grants

A rescission is requested of \$6,250,000 from the \$25,000,000 projected to be available for Preschool Incentive Grants. The Federal contribution will be \$76 per child under the revised estimate as compared to a Federal contribution of \$102 per child under the amounts presently projected to be available.

Severely Handicapped Projects

A rescission is requested of \$1,250,000 from the \$5,000,000 available. It is estimated that, in addition to supporting \$1,750,000 in continuation projects, \$2,000,000 would be available for new Severely Handicapped Projects.

Early Childhood Education

A rescission is requested of \$5,000,000 from the \$20,000,000 available. It is estimated that, in addition to supporting \$10,000,000 in continuation projects, an additional \$5,000,000 would be available for new projects.

Media Services and Captioned Films

A rescission is requested of \$2,000,000 from the \$19,000,000 projected to be available for Media Services and Captioned Films. It is estimated that no new demonstration and development projects would be funded.

Regional Resource Centers

A rescission is requested of \$2,438,000 from the \$9,750,000 projected to be available for Regional Resource Centers. It is estimated that continuation projects will be reduced by this amount in 1981.

Regional Vocational, Adult, and Postsecondary Programs

A rescission of \$1,000,000 is requested from the \$4,000,000 available for Regional Vocational, Adult and Postsecondary programs. It is estimated that, in addition to supporting \$1,800,000 in continuation projects for vocational technical schools for the deaf, \$1,200,000 would be available for new demonstration projects.

Innovation and Development

A rescission of \$5,000,000 is requested from the \$20,000,000 available for Innovation and Development. Remaining funds would provide \$12,250,000 for new and continuation projects for research and development, as well as student research. Model demonstration projects and technical assistance would be funded at a level of \$2,750,000.

Special Education Personnel Development

A rescission of \$14,500,000 is requested from the \$58,000,000 available for Special Education Personnel Development. It is estimated that funding of continuation projects will be reduced by \$1,000,000 to \$43,500,000 and no new projects would be funded.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
1,074	1,069	5	152	99	12

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Education for the Handicapped

Of the funds provided for "Education for the Handicapped" for fiscal year 1981 in P. L. 96-536, \$267,938,000 are rescinded: Provided, That notwithstanding other provisions of Law, \$691,500,000 for section 611 and \$18,750,000 for section 619 of the Education of the Handicapped Act shall become available for obligation on July 1, 1981, and shall remain available until September 30, 1982.



Rescission Proposal No: R81-59

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Education	New budget authority	\$ <u>965,875,000</u>
Bureau	Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services	(P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	<u>1,795,170</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Rehabilitation Services and Handicapped Research	Other budgetary resources	<u>967,670,170</u>
	9110301	Total budgetary resources	<u>967,670,170</u>
	91X0301	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>22,323,000</u>
OMB identification code:	91-0301-0-1-506	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: This program supports a wide variety of services aimed at maximizing the potential for employment and independent living of handicapped individuals.

Under Rehabilitation Services, basic State grants provide funding to State vocational rehabilitation agencies. This proposal does not affect basic State grants. Also funded are service projects, independent living projects, and professional training.

The National Institute of Handicapped Research (NIHR) was established to help promote the coordination of research with respect to handicapped persons, in collaboration with other Federal agencies. The National Council on the Handicapped establishes general policies for NIHR and reviews the operation of the Rehabilitation Service Administration and NIHR.

As part of the President's overall economic program to reduce Federal spending rescissions are proposed for most of the lower priority discretionary activities included in this account.

Estimated Effect:Service Projects

A rescission of \$6,572,000 is requested from the \$32,860,000 available for Service Projects. Priority will be placed on continuing projects initiated in prior years.

Independent Living

A rescission of \$3,600,000 is requested from the \$18,000,000 available for Independent Living Centers. It is estimated that this will allow for the continuation of 59 existing projects.

Training

A rescission of \$5,100,000 is requested from the \$25,500,000 available for training. The revised estimate will allow \$14,728,000 to complete the funding for the 1980-1981 academic year, and \$5,672,000 to provide initial funding for academic year 1981-1982.

National Institute of Handicapped Research

A rescission of \$7,000,000 is requested from the \$35,000,000 available for the National Institute of Handicapped Research. This level of funding will provide for the continuation of ongoing projects.

National Council on the Handicapped

A rescission of \$51,000 is requested from the \$256,000 available for the National Council on the Handicapped. The reduction will not affect the ability of the Council to properly function.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimate</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
960.0	956.0	4.0	16.0	2.0	--

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Rehabilitation Services and Handicapped Research

Of the funds provided for "Rehabilitation Services and Handicapped Research" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$22,323,000 are rescinded: Provided, That notwithstanding other provisions of law, the appropriation for section 112 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 shall be \$2,800,000.

Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (specify date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year		Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Other	

*(This section contains mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including the justification and estimated effect sections.)*

*(This section contains mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including the justification and estimated effect sections.)*

Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars)				1981 Outlay Estimate	
				Rescission	Without Rescission
1981	1982	1983	1984	13.5	31.5
18.5	4.0	29.8	20.0		

Recission Proposal No: R81-60

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Education	New budget authority \$ <u>14,271,000</u>
Bureau Office of Postsecondary Education	(P.L. <u>96-536</u> ) 356,935,713
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources
College Housing Loans	Permanent Indefinite Approp. 279,000
91X4250	Total budgetary resources <u>371,485,713</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>14,550,000</u>
OMB identification code: 91-4250-0-3-502	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

This appropriation is used to pay insufficiencies resulting from the sale of participation certificates. The insufficiency is the difference between the interest revenue received on the loans to institutions and the cost of interest paid on the participation certificate. It is proposed that budget authority no longer be used to pay for the insufficiencies but rather the expense be paid for out of the unobligated balance of the College Housing Loan revolving account.

Estimated Effect:

This action will eliminate the need for budget authority for this program. If new loan activity is authorized for the program, the revolving funds available for lending will decrease by an amount identical to the formerly required appropriation. This will reduce program activity by an estimated 10-15 projects.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
31.7	13.5	18.2	4.0	29.5	20.0

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

R81-60

Office of Postsecondary Education

College Housing Loans

Of the funds appropriated for participation sales insufficiencies for fiscal year 1981 in Public Law 96-536, \$14,550,000 are rescinded. Payments of insufficiencies in fiscal year 1981 as may be required by the Government National Mortgage Association, as trustee, on account of outstanding beneficial interests or participations issued pursuant to section 302(c) of the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1717), shall be made from the fund established pursuant to title IV of the Housing Act of 1950, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1749), using loan repayments and other income available during fiscal year 1981. The excess of total loan repayments and other income available during fiscal year 1981, less operating costs including costs for participation insufficiencies, shall be used to reduce the balance of direct loans outstanding from the Department of the Treasury.

Type of account or fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual
Type of account or fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (1981-1982)
Type of account or fund	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year
Type of account or fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

*(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like "The Administration is proposing to include the School Libraries and Instructional Resources program as part of the Education Grant program in 1981. This reduction is proposed as a part of the Administration's overall effort to reduce federal spending.")*

1981	1982	1983	1984

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Education	New budget authority \$ <u>263,655,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau Office of Educational Research and Improvement	Other budgetary resources <u>898,214</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Libraries and Learning Resources 9110104,911/20104 and 910/10104	Total budgetary resources <u>264,553,214</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>42,750,000</u>
OMB identification code: 91-0104-0-1-503	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>9/30/81 (\$898,214)</u> <u>9/30/82 (\$171,000,000)</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The Administration is proposing to include the School Libraries and Instructional Resources program as part of the Education State Block Grant program in 1982. This rescission proposes to reduce the 1981 level for this program by \$42.8 million. This reduction is proposed as a part of the Administration's overall effort to reduce federal spending.

Estimated Effect: The School Libraries and Instructional Resources program would be continued in its present form, but with a reduced funding level of \$128,250,000. The revised estimate would provide for a per-pupil expenditure of \$2.94, compared with the previous estimate of \$3.92.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
257	250	7	27	9	--

*[Faint background text and bleed-through from the reverse side of the page are visible.]*

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
Libraries and Learning Resources

Of the funds provided for "Libraries and Learning Resources" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$42,750,000 of the amount provided for title IV, part B of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act are rescinded, and the remaining funds provided for fiscal year 1981 may be expended without regard to the provisions of section 402(a)(2)(A)(i) of title IV, part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

OMB Identification Number: 51-8801-0-1-503	Legal Authority (in addition to sec 10113) <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (specify date)	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Justification: The Institute of Museum Services provides grants to acquire, care, and botanical gardens to maintain, increase, and improve their services. Most grants are for general operating support, although some provide funds for emergency assistance and innovative projects.

This rescission proposal is a part of the Administration's effort to reduce Federal spending for low priority programs and activities.

Estimated Effect: The rescission would eliminate all funding for grants to museums. The remaining \$20,000 in the program will allow the staff of the Institute to begin the orderly phase-out of the program. The termination of the Institute is part of an overall Administration effort to reduce Federal involvement in cultural activities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Without Rescission	With Rescission
0.1	0.4	2.9	--	13.0	12.9

Rescission Proposal No: R81-62

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Education	New budget authority	\$12,857,000
Bureau	Office of Educational Research and Improvement	(P.L. <u>96-514</u> )	--
Appropriation title & symbol		Other budgetary resources	
Institute of Museum Services		Total budgetary resources	12,857,000
9110801		Amount proposed for rescission	\$12,357,000
OMB identification code:	91-0801-0-1-503	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual		Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____	(expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification. The Institute of Museum Services provides grants to aquaria, zoos, and botanical gardens to maintain, increase, and improve their services. Most grants are for general operating support, although some provide funds for emergency assistance and innovative projects.

This rescission proposal is a part of the Administration's effort to reduce Federal spending for low priority programs and activities.

Estimated Effects. The rescission would eliminate almost all funding for grants to museums. The remaining \$500,000 in the program will allow the staff of the Institute to begin the orderly phase-out of the program. The termination of the Institute is part of an overall Administration effort to reduce Federal involvement in cultural activities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
13.0	12.9	0.1	8.4	3.9	--



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
Institute of Museum Services

Of the funds appropriated under this head in Public Law 96-514 for fiscal year 1981, \$12,357,000 of the amount appropriated for carrying out title II of the Arts, Humanities, and Cultural Affairs Act of 1976 are rescinded.

OMB identification code: 91-0502-0-1-501	
Grant program: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (specify date) <input type="checkbox"/> 30-year	
Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	

Justification: This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

This appropriation consists of a large number of relatively small discretionary grant programs. Many of these programs overlap services or other "cross categorical" grants. A rescission of \$12,357,000 is proposed in 1981 covering 15 programs.

Estimated Effect: Since this rescission covers a large number of programs at relatively low dollar reduction levels, the general effect of the rescission is for cost programs either to (1) reduce the number of awards made in individual program categories, or (2) to fund the same number of projects at lower dollar levels.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates				1981 Outlay Estimates	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Rescission	Without Rescission
1	24	2		17	171

Recission Proposal No: R81-63

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Education	New budget authority \$ <u>166,973,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau Office of Educational Research & Improvement	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>166,973,000</u>
School improvement programs 9110502	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>36,606,000</u>
OMB identification code: 91-0502-0-1-501	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

This appropriation consists of a large number of relatively small discretionary grant programs. Many of these programs overlap services or offer "micro categorical" grants. A rescission of \$36,606,000 is proposed in 1981 covering 12 programs.

Estimated Effect: Since this rescission covers a large number of programs at relatively low dollar reduction levels, the general effect of the rescission is for most programs either to (1) reduce the number of awards made in individual program categories, or (2) to fund the same number of projects at lower dollar levels.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
171	167	4	24	9	--

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
School Improvement

Of the funds provided for "School Improvement" in Public Law 96-536 for fiscal year 1981, \$36,606,000 of the amount appropriated for title II, title III (part B, part C, and part L), and title IX, parts A and E of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, title V (part A and part B), section 532 of the Higher Education Act, the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Education Act, part B of the Headstart-Follow Through Act, section 3(a)(1) of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, are rescinded: Provided, That \$14,000,000 shall be made available under title I, part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriating <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year
--	---

Justification:

SEC I Demonstration Plan (75-5-1). A revision is proposed for this project in FY 1981 covering \$17,500,000. The nature of the demonstration and the technical risks are such that it could qualify for support from the Synthetic Media Corporation (SMC). An orderly element is possible by using the design work to date to provide a definitive technical configuration. This effort will be a definitive step towards the completion of this project which will be made available to industry to pursue commercialization of this technology. It would also be available to early commercialization for financial assistance by the SMC.

High for Modeling (as demonstrated in 75-1-b and 75-1-c). Project 75-1-b and 75-1-c are proposed for continuation in FY 1981 for the amount of \$1,000,000 and \$25,000,000 respectively. The continuation of the cooperative SMC and GORCO design efforts was scheduled in FY 1981. The nature of these demonstrations and the technical risks are such that both projects may qualify for financial assistance under the provisions of the SMC.

Low-Risk for Demonstration Plan (75-1-d). Project 75-1-d is proposed for continuation in FY 1981 in the amount of \$2,500,000. It is the Administration's position that industry should develop and commercialize new technologies to convert coal to synthetic fuels. By capturing the design work to date and finalizing the Environmental Impact Statement by use of prior year unobligated balances, industry would be able to continue the project. The technical risks and size of the project may qualify it for financial assistance under the provisions of the SMC.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-64

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Department of Energy</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 423,300,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-514</u> )
Bureau <u>Energy Programs</u>	Other budgetary resources <u>162,706,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol <u>Fossil Energy Construction</u> <u>89x0214</u>	Total budgetary resources <u>586,006,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 246,900,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>89-0214-0-1-271</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

SRC I Demonstration Plant (78-2-d). A rescission is proposed for this project in FY 1981 totalling \$157,500,000. The nature of the demonstration and the technical risks are such that it could qualify for support from the Synthetic Fuels Corporation (SFC). An orderly closeout is possible by using the design work to date to provide a definitive mechanical configuration. This along with a definitive cost estimate to be completed by July 1981 will be made available to industry to pursue commercialization of this technology. It would also be sufficient to merit consideration for financial assistance by the SFC.

High Btu Pipeline Gas Demonstration Plants (76-1-b and 77-1-b). Projects 76-1-b and 77-1-b are proposed for rescission in FY 1981 for the amounts of \$2,000,000 and \$42,000,000 respectively. An evaluation of the competitive ICGG and CONOCO design efforts was scheduled in FY 1981. The nature of these demonstrations and the technical risks are such that both projects may qualify for financial assistance under the provisions of the SFC.

Low/Medium-Btu Demonstration Plant (76-1-c). Project 76-1-c is proposed for rescission in FY 1981 in the amount of \$45,400,000. It is the Administration's position that industry should develop and commercialize near term technologies to convert coal to synthetic fuels. By capturing the design work to date and finalizing the Environmental Impact Statement by use of prior year unobligated balances, industry would be able to continue the project. The technical risks and size of the Memphis Project may qualify it for financial assistance under the provisions of the SFC.

Estimated Effects: The Department is redirecting its research and development (R&D) funds to primarily long range and high risk activity. Near term R&D will continue only where the government has a unique resource or facility which is necessary to the development and commercialization of first-of-a-kind technologies by the private sector. Therefore, government support for SRC-I, the two high Btu pipeline projects and Memphis will be withdrawn. These plants may qualify as candidates to be supported by the SFC and will be recommended to the SFC by the Department.

Outlay Effects:

1981 Outlay Estimates (in millions of dollars)

<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
		<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
163.3	0	163.3	83.6	0	0

Justification:

This rescission is proposed to phase down near term energy supply and commercialization activities. These activities cover a broad range of energy programs previously aimed at subsidizing adoption and studying commercialization of energy technologies. Many of these activities were based upon a desire to assist the private sector in adopting new technologies in the synthetic, oil, and gas and coal combustion areas. These relatively small programs have not been affected primarily because the support provided to assist newer technologies were not sufficient. With the creation of the SFC and the reduction of energy prices it is expected that private market forces will be sufficient to overcome commercialization obstacles without the need for continued Federal assistance in these areas.

DOE's Federal leasing activities would be phased down in FY 1981 except for the achievement of production goals pending the proposed consolidation of these activities to the Department of Interior. There will no longer be a need for continued DOE activity in this area in the future.

The Solar rescission for FY 1981 is predicated on the new healthier environment for commercial solar technologies, brought about by the establishment of sound energy pricing policies, primarily through the decoupling of crude oil prices, and extensive solar tax credits. The inequities and inefficiencies built into previous energy pricing policies have prevented solar energy from achieving its true potential. It is now possible to shift the focus of the Department's solar activities away from costly near-term development, demonstration, and commercialization efforts into longer-range research and development projects that are too risky for private firms to undertake. Therefore, a rescission is proposed for solar market development efforts since the private sector can pursue these activities in their own response to market forces.

Department of Energy  
Fossil Energy Construction

Of the funds provided under this head in Public Law 96-514, \$246,900,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-65

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Energy	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-514</u> )	\$ <u>226,062,000</u>
Bureau	Energy Programs	Other budgetary resources	-
Appropriation title & symbol	Energy Production, Demonstration, and Distribution  89X0219	Total budgetary resources	<u>226,062,000</u>
OMB identification code:	89-0219-0-1-271	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>12,649,000</u>
Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
		Type of budget authority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

This rescission is proposed to phase down near term energy supply and commercialization activities. These activities cover a broad range of energy programs primarily aimed at subsidizing adoption and studying commercialization of energy technologies. Many of these activities were based upon a desire to assist the private sector in adopting new technologies in the synfuels, oil and gas and coal combustion areas. These relatively small programs have not been effective primarily because the economic incentives to adopt newer technologies were not sufficient. With the creation of the SFC and the decontrol of energy prices it is expected that private market forces will be sufficient to overcome commercialization obstacles without the need for continued Federal assistance in these areas.

DOE's Federal leasing activities would be phased down in FY 1981 except for the establishment of production goals pending the proposed consolidation of these activities to the Department of Interior. There will no longer be a need for continued DOE activity in this area in the future.

The Solar rescission for FY 1981 is predicated on the new healthier environment for commercial solar technologies, brought about by the establishment of sound energy pricing policies, primarily through the decontrol of crude oil prices, and extensive solar tax credits. The inequities and inefficiencies built into previous energy pricing policies have prevented solar energy from achieving its true potential. It is now possible to shift the focus of the Department's solar activities away from costly near-term development, demonstration, and commercialization efforts into longer-range research and development projects that are too risky for private firms to undertake. Therefore, a rescission is proposed for solar market development efforts since the private sector can pursue these activities on their own in response to market forces.

Estimated Effects:

The estimated effects of this rescission are:

- Reduction in Coal resource of \$.5 million for monitoring projects funded under the Alternative Fuels Act because of the planned transfer of these responsibilities to the Synthetic Fuels Corporation.
- Reduction of Oil and Gas resources of \$.9 million for municipal grants for demonstrations of unconventional gas sources.
- Terminate the coal loan guarantee reserve program which was established in FY 1978 and to date has not been utilized (\$6.0 million).
- Terminate initiation of oil shale production goals (\$120,000) given the creation of the SFC.
- Terminate oil shale and tar sands alternative bidding systems studies (\$90,000). These activities will be pursued by the DOI.
- Terminate new onshore oil and gas leasing initiatives given the Administration's policy to consolidate all Federal leasing activities in DOI (\$190,000).
- Reduction of solar market analysis activities (\$5.5 million).

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
238.0	234.1	3.9	2.8	--	--



DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
 Energy Programs  
 Energy Production, Demonstration  
 and Distribution

Appropriations under this heading contained in P.L. 96-514 and P.L. 95-240 are rescinded in the amounts of \$6,649,000 and \$6,000,000, respectively.

<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biennial <input type="checkbox"/> Multi-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	---	--

*(Faint, mirrored text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page)*

1981 Outlay Budget		Outlay Budget		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
13.4	-0-	13.4	-0-	-0-

Rescission Proposal No: R81-66

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Energy	New budget authority \$ <u>104,117,000</u> (P.L. 96-514)
Bureau Energy Programs	Other budgetary resources <u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>104,117,000</u>
Energy Information Administration 8910216	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>13,443,000</u>
OMB identification code: 89-0216-0-1-276	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The proposed rescission would reduce funds presently available to the Energy Information Administration. State level energy forecasts required by the Emergency Energy Conservation Act and conservation activities will be curtailed. Model updates, documentation, verification, quick response analytical reports, schedules analyses reports, international analysis, longterm forecasts and quarterly reports on policy issues will be scaled down. The OGIS will scale down collection of information at the field level of detail. The Emergency Energy Management Information System function will be sharply curtailed. The curtailment of these activities is consistent with the Administration's goal to rely primarily on the private sector to meet energy needs.

Estimated Effects: The proposed rescission will require the scaling down of analytical, data collecting systems, development and validation activities currently underway.

Outlay Effects: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
13.4	-0-	13.4	--0-	-0-	-0-

Rescission Proposal No: R81-67

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Information Administration

Of the funds provided under this head in Public Law 96-514, \$13,443,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> Annual analysis year <input type="checkbox"/> Type of account or fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Congressionally authorized <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriated by law <input type="checkbox"/> Type of budget authority	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Grand program
---	--	---	--

Justification

The proposed rescission of \$33,155,000 represents the net effect of savings realized by a reduction in funding requirements for gasoline rationing less absorption of the costs of the FY 1981 pay raise by the agency. The detail of these savings is as follows:

239,167,000	Savings from Gasoline Rationing Appropriation
6,015,000	Less: Agency Absorption of Pay Raise Costs
<u>233,152,000</u>	Net Rescission

As shown below, all but \$3.5 million of the amount in the January budget for gasoline rationing are proposed for rescission. (\$ in millions)

Proposed Budget	Change	January Budget	
23.5	2-39.7	245.7	Appropriation
-0-	-75.0	75.0	Proposed Supplemental
-0-	-0.3	0.3	Rationing Portion of Pay Supplemental
<u>23.5</u>	<u>-117.3</u>	<u>118.0</u>	Total

The total pay supplemental for this account was \$6,315,000. Of this amount, \$300,000 for gasoline rationing is being deflected by other action and \$6,015,000 is being absorbed by the agency. An additional \$7,433,000 was made available by Congressional direction from the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) State grants appropriation. \$2,108,000 of this amount has been awarded. EPCA funds are not included in this account or the rescission figure.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-67

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Energy	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-514</u> )	\$ <u>176,867,000</u>
Bureau Energy Programs	Other budgetary resources	_____
Appropriation title & symbol  Economic Regulation 8910217	Total budgetary resources	<u>176,867,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>33,155,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>89-0217-0-1-270</u>	Legal authority*(in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification

The proposed rescission of \$33,155,000 represents the net effect of savings realized by a reduction in funding requirements for gasoline rationing less absorption of the costs of the FY 1981 pay raise by the agency. The detail of these savings is as follows:

Savings from Gasoline Rationing Appropriation	\$39,167,000
Less: Agency Absorption of Pay Raise Costs for Other Programs <sup>1/</sup>	<u>6,012,000</u>
Net Rescission	\$ <u>33,155,000</u>

As shown below, all but \$3.5 million of the amounts in the January budget for gasoline rationing are proposed for rescission. <sup>2/</sup> (\$ in millions)

	<u>January Budget</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Proposed Budget</u>
Appropriation	\$42.7	\$-39.2	\$3.5
Proposed Supplemental Rationing Portion of Pay Supplemental	72.0	-72.0	-0-
	0.3	-0.3	-0-
Total	<u>115.0</u>	<u>-111.5</u>	<u>-3.5</u>

<sup>1/</sup> The total pay supplemental for this account was \$6,312,000. Of this amount, \$300,000 for gasoline rationing is being deleted by other action and \$6,012,000 is being absorbed by the agency.

<sup>2/</sup> An additional \$7,433,000 was made available by Congressional direction from the Energy Policy and Conservation (EPCA) State grants appropriation. \$2,108,000 of this amount has been awarded. EPCA funds are not included in this account or the rescission figure.

The \$3.5 million remainder reflects cost incurred through February 1981, and estimated termination costs.

The program under development is being terminated because it is not expected to be a viable response to an energy emergency and because there are more efficient ways of dealing with energy emergencies. Work completed to date has shown that the program's effectiveness would be severely limited by the program's complexity and vulnerability to fraud. The program would also have resulted in an extraordinary regulatory burden imposed on gasoline consumers and suppliers. In lieu of this cumbersome coupon rationing system, the Department plans to pursue alternate programs relying on market forces.

Effects of the Rescission:

The direct effect of the rescission on budget outlays is shown below. In addition, termination of the rationing program reduces outlays over \$78 million in FY 1982 and \$42 million per year from FY 1983 through 1986.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimates</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
176.9	143.7	33.2	-0-	-0-	-0-

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
Economic Regulation

Of the funds provided under this head in Public Law 96-514, \$33,155,000 are rescinded.

Agency	Amount	Rescinded	Other
Department of Energy	33,155,000	33,155,000	0
Subtotal	33,155,000	33,155,000	0

Justification

The proposed rescission of \$33,155,000 represents the net effect of savings realized by a reduction in funding requirements for gas line maintenance and absorption of costs of the FY 1981 pay raise by the agency. The effect of these savings is as follows:

Gas Line Maintenance	\$33,155,000
Pay Raise	(0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$33,155,000</b>

As shown above, all but \$33,155,000 of the available FY 1981 budget for gas line maintenance is proposed for rescission.

	Original Budget	Proposed Budget
Appropriation	33,155,000	33,155,000
Rescinded	33,155,000	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33,155,000</b>

- 1/ The total FY 1981 appropriation for gas line maintenance is \$33,155,000. Of this amount, \$300,000 for gas line maintenance is being absorbed by other activities and \$32,855,000 is being absorbed by the agency.
- 2/ In addition, \$33,155,000 are also available by Congressional appropriation from the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) State Grants appropriation. \$3,155,000 of this amount has been rescinded. The funds are not included in this amount on the rescission figure.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-68

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Energy	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-367</u> ) \$ <u>1,284,000</u>
Bureau Energy Programs	
Appropriation title & symbol  Geothermal Resources Development Fund 89X0206	
	Other budgetary resources <u>42,233,417</u>
	Total budgetary resources <u>43,517,417</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>22,066,000</u>
OMB identification code: 89-0206-0-1-271	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The purpose of the program is to accelerate the commercial development and utilization of geothermal energy by providing loan guarantee commitments. The guarantee reserve fund was established to provide for a fund in the event of loan defaults. Also, a fund for interest differential payments for loans to municipalities is provided. The program has developed sufficient interest in the private sector to the point that private capital will be available to continue development of hydrothermal/geothermal resources. This will then allow market forces to determine the appropriate allocation of financial resources. Therefore, this rescission of \$22,066,000 is proposed to eliminate the reserve fund. The interest differential reserve would be continued.

Estimated Effects: The guarantee reserve fund does not contain sufficient resources to cover all possible defaults. If an existing loan guarantee defaults, a budget supplemental will be requested.

Outlay Effects: (In millions of dollars)

No outlays are associated with the guarantee reserve fund.

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
2.5	2.5	--	--	--	--

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
Geothermal Resources Development Fund

Appropriations provided under this head in P.L. 94-355, P.L. 95-69, and P.L. 96-367 are hereby rescinded in the amount of \$22,066,000.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual	<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Budget authority	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Fiscal	<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation		<input type="checkbox"/> Fiscal	<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Budget authority		<input type="checkbox"/> Fiscal	<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Fiscal	<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-year

The purpose of the program is to encourage the geothermal development and utilization of geothermal energy by providing loan guarantees. The guarantee reserve fund was established to provide for a fund in the event of loan defaults. Also, a fund for interest differential payments for loans to water heaters is provided. The program has developed significant interest in the private sector to the point that private capital will be available to continue development of hydrothermal geothermal resources. This will then allow market forces to determine the appropriate allocation of financial resources. Therefore, this resolution of \$22,066,000 is proposed to eliminate the reserve fund. The interest differential reserve would be continued.

The guarantee reserve fund does not contain collateral resources to cover all possible defaults. If an existing loan guarantee default, a budget supplemental will be requested.

Geothermal Resources (in billions of dollars)

No entries are associated with the guarantee reserve fund.

1981 Geothermal Resources	1981	1982	1983	1984
Resolving	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2



Rescission Proposal No: R81-69

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Energy	New budget authority \$ <u>3,310,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-304</u> )
Bureau Energy Programs	Other budgetary resources <u>2,208,000,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Alternative Fuels Production 89X5180	Total budgetary resources <u>5,518,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 89-5180-0-2-271	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>300,000,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: This rescission is proposed for \$300 million in the Alternative Fuels program to phase out funding for additional feasibility studies and cooperative agreements. The Federal Government has already obligated \$200 million for such studies. Committing an additional \$300 million will do little to expand synfuel production capacity and will not be cost effective. Since these funds would be used primarily to subsidize private sector studies of the viability of potential synfuel projects, rescinding these funds will effect only those projects where there is not sufficient private sector interest or funds to proceed with such evaluations. Furthermore, because the cost of such studies is a minor percentage of the total costs of the typical project and because, for synfuels, funds will be available from the Synthetic Fuels Corporation to finance plant construction, there is a substantial incentive for private firms to continue with their project evaluations.

Estimated Effects: This rescission proposal will eliminate \$270.0 million in funding for additional feasibility studies and cooperative agreement awards and \$30.0 million for synthetic fuel facilities and direct coal combustion projects. No effect on the economic viability of these technologies is expected and the total number of projects ultimately funded by the SFC will not be reduced.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
200	100	100	125	75	0

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
Alternative Fuels Production

Of the funds provided in P.L. 96-304, \$300,000,000 provided for support of preliminary alternative fuels commercialization activities are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-70

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Energy	New budget authority \$ <u>38,065,000</u>
Bureau Departmental Administration	(P.L. <u>96-367</u> ) ---
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources
Departmental Administration	Total budgetary resources <u>38,065,000</u>
89X0228	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>11,500,000</u>
OMB identification code: 89-0228-0-1-999	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: This program funds energy conservation retrofit projects, energy conservation surveys of DOE facilities, the acquisition and construction of real property and capital equipment, and other related and administrative activities.

In accordance with President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan to reduce Government spending, \$11.5 million is proposed for rescission for plant and capital equipment. The funding levels of lower priority projects are being reduced.

Estimated Effect: This rescission will defer one fuel conversion project start until 1982 and reduce the funding levels of several other energy conversion retrofit projects in the In-House Energy Management Program. The rescission complements programmatic spending reductions in other parts of the agency by reducing the number of advanced Architect-Engineering (A-E) designs in the Plant Engineering and Design program.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
44.7	43.2	1.5	10.0	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Departmental Administration  
Plant and Capital Equipment

Of the funds appropriated under this head in Public Law 95-367 making appropriations for Energy and Water Development, \$11,500,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-71

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority (P.L. 96-514)	\$ 84,469,000
Bureau Health Services Administration	Other budgetary resources	22,459,629
Appropriation title & symbol  Indian Health Facilities  75X0391	Total budgetary resources	106,928,629
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 8,871,000
OMB identification code: 75-0391-0-1-551	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Indian Health Facilities appropriation has as its primary purpose the construction of health facilities, the provision of sanitation facilities for Indian homes, and the construction of personnel quarters for employees of the health facilities. As part of an overall effort to reduce the level of Federal spending, a rescission is proposed to reduce new FY 1981 budget authority to the level originally requested for FY 1981.

Estimated Effects:

Hospitals--New and Replacement. A rescission of \$3.6 million is proposed for the New and Replacement program. The funds appropriated for this activity would have been used to plan and design replacement hospitals at Crownpoint, New Mexico, Kakanak, Alaska, and Browning, Montana. As a result of the reduction these projects will not be undertaken. The remaining \$25,358,000 will fund second stage costs of construction for the replacement hospital at Tahlequah, Oklahoma, completion of the Chinle, Arizona hospital, and major modernization of hospitals at Sells, Arizona and Winnebago, Nebraska.

Outpatient Care Facilities. A rescission of \$4.5 million is proposed for the Outpatient Care Facilities activity. The funds appropriated for this activity would have been used to complete the first phase of construction of new health centers at Anadarko, Oklahoma, Tsaille, Arizona and Huerfano, New Mexico. As a result of the reduction these projects will not be undertaken.

Personnel Quarters. A rescission of \$0.8 million is proposed for this activity. The funds appropriated for this activity would have been used to construct 12 units of personnel quarters at Lodge Grass, Montana. These units would have been used to house the personnel needed to operate the health clinic under construction at this location. As a result of the reduction, this project will not be undertaken.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
84.2	82.8	1.4	5.6	1.9	---

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Services Administration  
Indian Health Facilities

Of the funds provided for "Indian Health Facilities" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-514, \$8,871,000 are rescinded.

Legal authority (in which year enacted) <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Type of budget authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year

**Justification:**  
 The Administration is committed to Federal support of biomedical research activities, but as part of a general effort to achieve economies and reduce lower priority activities, a rescission of \$1,088,000 is proposed for this appropriation from the 1981 Continuing Resolution through June 30, 1981. If the Continuing Resolution is extended for the full fiscal year, an additional \$2,736,000 will be proposed for rescission.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effects:**  
**Research Training:** The Administration is proposing to eliminate the In-Service Awards program. This would reduce research training by \$288,000.

**Research Grants:** The number of competing research project grants awarded would be reduced from 235 to 230, a saving of about \$508,000, yielding a revised level of \$7,177,000 for total research project grants under the continuing resolution. The reduction would reflect a decrease of one competing research project in the immunology, allergic and immunologic diseases program and a decrease of four competing projects in microbiology and infectious diseases.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-72

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority \$ <u>167,296,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau National Institutes of Health	Other budgetary resources <u>80,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>167,376,000</u>
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases 7510885	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>1,088,000</u>
CMB identification code: 75-0885-0-1-550	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The Administration is committed to Federal support of biomedical research activities, but as part of a general effort to achieve economies and reduce lower priority activities, a rescission of \$1,088,000 is proposed for this appropriation from the 1981 Continuing Resolution through June 5, 1981. If the Continuing Resolution is extended for the full fiscal year, an additional \$2,796,000 will be proposed for rescission.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects:

Research Training: The Administration is proposing to eliminate the indirect costs and institutional allowances paid under the National Research Service Awards program. This would reduce research training by \$588,000.

Research Grants: The number of competing research project grants awarded would be reduced from 235 to 230, a saving of about \$500,000, yielding a revised level of \$73,177,000 for total research project grants under the continuing resolution. The reduction would reflect a decrease of one competing research project in the immunology, allergic and immunologic diseases program and a decrease of four competing projects in microbiology and infectious diseases.



Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
226.4	225.8	0.6	0.5	---	---

Justification:

The administrator is committed to the support of research activities, but as part of a general effort to reduce the budget, the administrator has proposed a rescission of \$0.6 million from the 1981 Continuing Resolution. The rescission is proposed for the full fiscal year.

This rescission proposal is in support of the President's comprehensive economic plan for 1981. The rescission is necessary to reduce the regulatory burden.

Estimated Effects:

Research Training: The rescission of \$0.6 million will reduce the indirect costs and institutional support for research. This would reduce the number of research projects to a level of 1,700 projects.

Outlay Effects:

<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
226.4	225.8	0.6	0.5	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
National Institutes of Health  
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Of the funds provided for "National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$1,088,000 are rescinded, and funds under this head may be expended without regard to the provisions of section 472(b)(5) of the Public Health Service Act.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-73

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority	\$ 241,687,000
Bureau National Institutes of Health	(P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	---
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	---
National Institute of General Medical Sciences - 7510851	Total budgetary resources	241,687,000
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 18,682,000
CMB identification code: 75-0851-5-1-550	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

The administration is committed to Federal support of biomedical research activities, but as part of a general effort to achieve economies and reduce lower priority activities, a rescission of \$18,682,000 is proposed for this appropriation from the 1981 Continuing Resolution through June 5, 1981. If the Continuing Resolution is extended for the full fiscal year, an additional \$2,368,000 will be proposed for rescission.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect:

Research Training: The Administration is proposing to eliminate the indirect costs and institutional allowances paid under the National Research Service Awards program. This would reduce research and training by \$15,545,000. Additionally, the proposal would reduce the number of trainees planned under the Continuing Resolution by 292 for a revised level of 3,765 trainees or a reduction of \$3,137,000.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
296.7	290.3	6.4	12.3	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
National Institutes of Health

National Institute of General Medical Sciences

Of the funds provided for "National Institute of General Medical Sciences" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$13,682,000 are rescinded, and funds made available under this head may be expended without regard to the provisions of section 472 (b) (5) of the Public Health Service Act.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-74

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>1,020,000</u>
Bureau Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration	Other budgetary resources	<u>46,727,231</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Construction and Renovation, Saint Elizabeths Hospital  75X1312	Total budgetary resources	<u>47,747,261</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>1,020,000</u>
OMB identification code: 75-1312-0-1-550	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

This rescission proposal of \$1,020,000 is requested because large unobligated balances remain available in this account for high priority construction and renovation at Saint Elizabeths Hospital. An additional \$480,000 is planned to be proposed for rescission if additional 1981 funding is provided after the present Continuing Resolution expires on June 5, 1981.

Estimated Effects:

More than \$45 million in funds carried over from prior appropriations would be used to support repairs deemed to be most critical for both the proper operation of the hospital and for assuring the health and safety of hospital employees and patients.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
8.6	8.4	0.2	0.3	.5	--

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration  
Saint Elizabeths Hospital,  
Construction and Renovation

Of the funds provided for "Saint Elizabeths Hospital, Construction and Renovation" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$1,020,000 are rescinded.

This rescission proposal of \$1,020,000 is requested because funds allocated to Saint Elizabeths Hospital for the fiscal year 1981 are \$1,020,000 in excess of the amount authorized in P.L. 96-536. The rescission of \$1,020,000 is requested to bring the total amount available for the fiscal year 1981 to the amount authorized in P.L. 96-536. The rescission of \$1,020,000 is requested to bring the total amount available for the fiscal year 1981 to the amount authorized in P.L. 96-536.

Fiscal Year	Amount
1980	1.0
1981	1.0

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority	\$ 127,333,400
Bureau Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health	(P.L. 96-536)	
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	14,815,000
Salaries and Expenses	Total budgetary resources	142,148,400
7511101	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 30,724,000
OMB identification code: 75-1101-0-550	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

As a result of the President's efforts to reduce Federal spending, a rescission is proposed for the low priority activities within the following programs: health services research (\$728,000); smoking and health (\$933,000); and health promotion (\$969,000).

In addition, the HMO program is proposed for phase-out by the end of 1982. This rescission, which includes \$28,094,000 for HMO grants, marks the first stage of this phase-out. The HMO concept has been adequately demonstrated through 215 HMOs with over 9 million members located in every major city in the nation. Further assistance for HMO development should come from the private sector--particularly insurance companies and employers with large workforces--who have provided substantial amounts of HMO development capital in recent years. The Federal Government is now facing substantial losses in the HMO loan program in future years due to anticipated loan defaults. The HMOs that the Federal Government funds through this grant program are those least likely to become viable--since they could not obtain funding in private markets--and are most likely to need loan support following their grant cycle, thus aggravating the anticipated bankrupt status of the loan program.

Estimated Effects:

Health services research - \$728,000. The proposed rescission will eliminate low priority grant and contract activities.

Health maintenance organizations - \$28,094,000. This rescission will eliminate feasibility and planning grants in fiscal year 1981. Only initial development grants will be funded so that current, viable grantees can achieve Federal qualification status.

Health promotion - \$969,000. This rescission will reduce funding for low priority health promotion activities. The current program to produce and distribute health promotion films will be eliminated and the National Health Information Clearinghouse will reduce its capacity to respond to public inquiries.

Smoking and health - \$933,000. This rescission will eliminate low priority public and technical information activities. The scope of the annual report to Congress will also be reduced.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
138.3	128.2	10.1	5.4	15.2	--



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health

Assistant Secretary for Health  
Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds provided for "Salaries and Expenses" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$30,724,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antidivestiture Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year

*Justification: This appropriation provides for payments from Federal funds to the health care trust funds for certain types of benefits and related administrative costs not financed by contributions from workers and employers. As part of the general effort to contain health care costs by stimulating competition in the health care industry, the Administration is proposing to phase out the professional standards review organizations (PSROs). Consistent with this phase-out, a rescission of \$30,724,000 is proposed for the Payments to Health Care Trust Funds for PSRO activities.*

*Estimated Effects: The proposal will reduce reimbursement to the Health Care Trust Funds by \$30,724,000. PSRO activity (for which the Trust Funds were being reimbursed) is being phased out.*

*Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)*

1981 Outlay Estimate			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982
9,819.3	9,819.3	8.7	...

Rescission Proposal No: R81-76

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority \$ <u>7,829,720,000</u>
Bureau Health Care Financing Administration	(P.L. <u>96-536</u> ) <u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources <u>---</u>
Payments to Health Care Trust Funds 7510580	Total budgetary resources <u>7,829,720,000</u>
OMB identification code: 75-0580- -1-551	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>8,693,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: This appropriation provides for payments from Federal funds to the health care trust funds for certain types of benefits and related administrative costs not financed by contributions from workers and employers. As part of the general effort to contain health care costs by stimulating competition in the health care industry, the Administration is proposing to phase out the professional standards review organizations (PSROs). Consistent with this phase-out, a rescission of \$8,693,000 is proposed for the Payments to Health Care Trust Funds for PSRO activities.

Estimated Effects: The proposal will reduce reimbursement to the Health Care Trust Funds by \$8,693,000. PSRO activity (for which the Trust funds were being reimbursed) is being phased out.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
9,619.3	9,610.6	8.7	---	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
 HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION  
 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration  
 Payments to Health Care Trust Funds

Of the funds provided for "Payments to Health Care Trust Funds" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1981, \$8,693,000 are rescinded.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Anticipatory Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Bi-year _____	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** Funds totalling \$18 million for cash payments and medical assistance to individuals refugees and voluntary agency grants for Soviet Jewish refugee resettlement are proposed for rescission. This proposal reflects savings realized because actual refugee admissions to the U.S. during the first quarter of FY 1981 were 40% lower than expected.

In addition, a reduction of \$5 million is proposed for an earlier phase-out than previously planned of the Cuban program which reimburses States for cash and medical assistance to pre-1978 arrivals. These funds are no longer needed due to the successful economic resettlement of the pre-1978 arrivals and lower State estimates of anticipated costs.

Reductions totalling \$2,100,000 are also proposed for low priority, non-State administered, non-direct social service projects (\$2,000,000), and for a reduction of four full-time permanent positions in Federal Administration (\$100,000).

**Estimated Effects:** This rescission proposal will not affect the Refugee Assistance Program due to the lower than anticipated refugee admissions in the first quarter of 1981.

The proposed rescission of grant funds for the Voluntary Agency Program would reduce Federal aid in FY 1981 for Soviet Jewish and other refugees served by the voluntary agencies.

The rescission proposed for the Cuban program will have no effect on the program since the current estimated level of need can be met with the lower 1981 amount.

An additional \$24,705,000 for cash and medical assistance and State administration (\$4,900,000), Services for Asylum Applicants (\$7,000,000), Social Services/Non-State programs (\$2,000,000), Cuban Program Phaseout (\$3,000,000), Voluntary Agency grants (\$8,400,000), and Federal Administration (\$305,000) are planned to be proposed for rescission if additional 1981 funding is provided for these programs after the present Continuing Resolution expires on June 5, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
Health Care Financing Administration  
Program Management

Of the funds provided for "Program Management" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$6,992,000 are rescinded. Further, the amount to be transferred to this appropriation as authorized by section 201(g)(1) of the Social Security Act, from the Federal Hospital Insurance and the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Funds referred to therein, is reduced by \$4,560,000.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-78

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Health and Human Services	New budget authority	\$ 467,000,000
Bureau Social Security Administration	(P.L. 96-536)	
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	
Refugee Assistance	Total budgetary resources	467,000,000
7510473	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 25,100,000 <sup>1/</sup>
OMB identification code: 75-0473-0-1-609	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** Funds totalling \$18 million for cash payments and medical assistance to Indochinese refugees and voluntary agency grants for Soviet Jewish refugee resettlement are proposed for rescission. This proposal reflects savings realized because actual refugee admissions to the U.S. during the first quarter of FY 1981 were 40% lower than expected.

In addition, a reduction of \$5 million is proposed for an earlier phase-out than previously planned of the Cuban program which reimburses States for cash and medical assistance to pre-1978 arrivals. These funds are no longer needed due to the successful economic resettlement of the pre-1978 arrivals and lower State reestimates of anticipated costs.

Reductions totalling \$2,100,000 are also proposed for low priority, non-State administered, non-direct social service projects (\$2,000,000), and for a reduction of four full-time permanent positions in Federal Administration (\$100,000).

**Estimated Effects:** This rescission proposal will not affect the Refugee Assistance Program due to the lower than anticipated refugee admissions in the first quarter of 1981.

The proposed rescission of grant funds for the Voluntary Agency Program would reduce Federal aid in FY 1981 for Soviet Jewish and other refugees served by the voluntary agencies.

The reduction proposed for the Cuban program will have no effect on the program since the current estimated level of need can be met with the lower 1981 amount.

<sup>1/</sup> An additional \$24,705,000 for cash and medical assistance and State administration (\$4,000,000), Services for Asylum Applicants (\$7,000,000), Social Services/non-State programs (\$2,000,000), Cuban Program Phasedown (\$3,000,000), Voluntary Agency grants (\$8,400,000), and Federal Administration (\$305,000) are planned to be proposed for rescission if additional 1981 funding is provided for these programs after the present Continuing Resolution expires on June 5, 1981.

The \$2,000,000 rescission for Social Services would affect Federal low priority non-direct social services.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
579.4	564.3	15.1	7.5	2.5	---

Other  
 Agency  
 Contract authority  
 Other

Annual  
 Multiple-year  
 No-year

Justification: Funds totaling \$15 million for cash payments and medical assistance to...  
 In addition, a reduction of \$5 million is proposed for an earlier phase-out of the...  
 Reductions totaling \$2,100,000 are also proposed for low priority, non-State administered...  
 The proposed rescission of grant funds for the Voluntary Agency Program would reduce...  
 The reduction proposed for the Cuban program will have no effect on the program since the...  
 An additional \$24,705,000 for cash and medical assistance and State administration...  
 (24,000,000) for the Cuban program (7,000,000), Social Services (17,000,000), and State administration...  
 (24,000,000) and Federal Administration (2,000,000) are planned to be proposed for...  
 present continuing rescission expires on June 30, 1981.

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
 Social Security Administration  
 Refugee Assistance

Of the funds provided for "Refugee Assistance" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1981, \$25,100,000 are rescinded.

Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Annual
		<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year
Outlay effects:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract authority		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

Justification: This account provides budget authority to enter into contracts for the Lower Income Housing Assistance Program (Section 8), the Public Housing program and the Public Housing Modernization program. These programs are executed in part through grants to State and local housing authorities.

Budget Authority totaling \$5,099,104,150 is proposed for rescission in FY 1981. The rescission has two components: (1) \$4,799,104,150 is a reduction in the planned number of additional subsidized housing (Section 8 and public housing) units in 1981 from \$54,850 to \$10,000 units; (2) \$300 million is a reduction in the 1981 Public Housing Modernization program level.

A reduction of 44,850 additional subsidized housing units in 1981 is being taken as part of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan. As a result of examining the current demand for subsidized housing, the resources available, and HUD's ability to provide housing units given delays in 1981 processing, it has been determined that the 1981 level of subsidized housing should be reduced. A 1981 program level of 210,000 units is now believed to be both realistic and consistent with the goals of this program.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-79

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Housing and Urban Development	New budget authority (P.L. 96-526)	\$30,169,767,000
Bureau Housing Programs	Other budgetary resources	5,019,960,447
Appropriation title & symbol Subsidized Housing Programs 86X0139	Total budgetary resources	35,189,727,447
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 5,099,104,150
OMB identification code: 86-0139-0-1-604	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

This account provides budget authority to enter into contracts for the Lower Income Housing Assistance Payments program (Section 8), the Public Housing program and the Public Housing Modernization program. These programs are executed in part through grants to State and local housing authorities.

Budget Authority totalling \$5,099,104,150 is proposed for rescission in FY 1981. The rescission has two components: (1) \$4,799,104,150 is a reduction in the planned number of additional subsidized housing (Section 8 and public housing) units in 1981 from 254,550 to 210,000 units; (2) \$300 million is a reduction in the 1981 Public Housing Modernization program level.

A reduction of 44,550 additional subsidized housing units in 1981 is being taken as part of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan. As a result of examining the current demand for subsidized housing, the resources available, and HUD's ability to provide housing units given delays in 1981 processing, it has been determined that the 1981 level of subsidized housing should be reduced. A 1981 program level of 210,000 units is now believed to be both realistic and consistent with the goals of this program.



The Public Housing Modernization rescission relates to delays in the start-up of the new Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program authorized by the Housing and Community Development Act of 1980. Although the 1981 appropriation for Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing calls for \$2 billion in budget authority to be set aside for Public Housing Modernization, a more feasible program level is now projected at \$1.7 billion in light of the timing considerations involved in getting final regulations issued, staff trained, and funding applications received from Public Housing agencies and approved by HUD.

Estimated Effects:

This rescission action will reduce the number of households to be assisted under the subsidized housing programs by approximately 44,550. The rescission will not affect the over 3 million families already receiving rental assistance in HUD subsidized housing projects. The 210,000 units which will still be provided in 1981 will assist an estimated additional 532,000 persons. There is no estimate available at this time on the number of Public Housing Modernization projects which will not be approved in 1981 as a result of the rescission in Public Housing Modernization funding.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate

Without Rescission	With Rescission	Outlay Savings			
		1981	1982	1983	1984
4471.0	4467.6	3.4	15.0	42.0	94.0

Estimated Effects:

Had this program been implemented, it was expected that the 1981 appropriation would have supported loan subsidies or grants to about 75,000 lower income households making energy conservation investments. 11,000 households would have received payments to reduce their mortgage costs on purchases of new homes with passive solar design features. These loan subsidies, grants and payments will not be made.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
47	(Loss than \$500,000)	47	69	5	--

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Subsidized Housing Programs

Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing

Of the amounts of additional contract authority provided under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, as authorized by Section 5 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, \$15,000,000 for the modernization of existing low-income housing projects, \$30,611,609 for existing units under Section 8, including Section 8(j), of such Act, and \$182,102,148 for newly constructed and substantially rehabilitated units assisted under such Act, and \$5,099,104,150 of budget authority, are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-80

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Housing and Urban Development	New budget authority \$ <u>121,250,000</u> (P.L. 96-526)
Bureau Solar Energy and Energy Conservation Bank	Other budgetary resources <u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Assistance for Solar and Conservation Improvements 861/20179	Total budgetary resources <u>121,250,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>121,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 86-0179-0-1-272	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The Reagan Administration does not intend to start-up this new program, which is designed to subsidize investments in energy conservation measures and solar technologies. Instead, existing tax credits and rising energy prices will be relied upon to provide incentives for these types of investments in residences and agricultural and commercial buildings.

Estimated Effects:

Had this program been implemented, it was expected that the 1981 appropriation would have supported loan subsidies or grants to about 75,000 lower income households making energy conservation investments 11,000 households would have received payments to reduce their mortgage costs on purchases of new homes with passive solar design features. These loan subsidies, grants and payments will not be made.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
47	(less than \$500,000)	47	69	5	--

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Solar Energy and Energy Conservation Bank

Assistance for Solar and Conservation Improvements

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, any unobligated balances and amounts becoming unobligated are rescinded.

Justification:

The Rescission Administrator does not intend to start-up this new program, which is designed to subsidize investment in energy conservation measures and solar technologies. Instead, existing tax credits and rising energy prices will be relied upon to provide incentives for these types of investments in residences and agricultural and commercial buildings.

Estimated Effects:

Had this program been implemented, it was expected that the 1981 appropriation would have supported loan subsidies or grants to about 75,000 lower income households making energy conservation investments. If 500 households would have received payments to reduce their mortgage costs on purchases of new homes with passive solar design features, these loan subsidies, grants and payments will not be made.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
47	(less than 200,000)	47	69	2

Rescission Proposal No: R81-81

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Housing and Urban Development	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )	\$ <u>33,750,000</u>	
Bureau	Community Planning and Development		Other budgetary resources	<u>1,425,709</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Planning Assistance 86X0104			Total budgetary resources	<u>35,175,709</u>
		Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>34,975,709</u> <sup>1/</sup>	
OMB identification code: 86-0104-0-1-451		Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act		
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year		Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		

**Justification:** The Planning Assistance program provides discretionary grants to States, area-wide organizations, and localities to support, in part, planning and management activities. This program was established in 1954 to develop local planning capabilities and help finance local planning activities.

All available budgetary resources are being proposed for rescission because: (1) the program has already accomplished its primary purpose of developing local planning capabilities; (2) it is a low-priority program; and (3) other Federal and non-Federal funds are available to promote planning activities at the discretion of localities.

**Estimated Effect:** It is estimated that no States, areawide organizations, or localities will receive Planning Assistance funds as a result of this rescission. However, other sources of planning assistance funds, such as block grant or general revenue sharing funds, are available to these entities.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984 <sup>1/</sup>
40.0	37.0	3.0	21.3	10.7	---

<sup>1/</sup> Estimated balance available for rescission.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT  
 Community Planning and Development  
 Planning Assistance

Any amounts not reserved from appropriations provided under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Acts, for 1981 and prior years, including any amounts becoming available from cancellation of prior year reservations, are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> Annual
--	--	--

Justification: The Planning Assistance program provides discretionary grants to States, area-wide organizations, and localities to support, in part, planning and management activities. This program was established in 1954 to develop local planning capabilities and help finance local planning activities.

All available budgetary resources are being proposed for rescission because (1) the program has already accomplished its primary purpose of developing local planning capabilities; (2) it is a low-priority program; and (3) other Federal and non-Federal funds are available to provide planning activities at the discretion of localities.

Estimated Effect: It is estimated that in States, area-wide organizations, or localities that receive Planning Assistance funds as a result of this rescission, however, other sources of planning assistance funds, such as block grant or general revenue sharing funds, are available to these entities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Rescission	Without Rescission
3.0	21.3	10.7	1984	37.0	40.0

Estimated balance available for rescission.

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

<b>Agency</b> Department of Housing and Urban Development	New budget authority (P.L. 96-526) \$ <u>129,980,000</u>
<b>Bureau</b> Community Planning and Development	
<b>Appropriation title &amp; symbol</b> Rehabilitation Loan Fund 86X4036	Other budgetary resources <u>87,149,954</u> Total budgetary resources <u>217,129,954</u>
OMB identification code: 86-4036-0-3-451	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>110,856,954 a/</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** The Rehabilitation Loan Fund provides low-interest loans for single-family and multifamily, as well as some commercial, rehabilitation in order to promote the revitalization of selected distressed areas.

All unreserved funds from 1981 appropriations and prior years currently available, and all recoveries of prior reservations, will be rescinded except for balances which may be necessary for operating expenses to service loans and provide for property acquisition and property management and for funds needed to capitalize delinquent interest. In addition, the authority to make further commitments for the principal amounts of direct loans will be terminated. This program is being terminated because it unnecessarily duplicates the rehabilitation efforts eligible under the Community Development Block Grant program.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** It is estimated that this rescission will result in approximately 9,150 units not being rehabilitated which otherwise would have been rehabilitated through this program. However, should localities use more of their own funds or more of their Block Grant funds for rehabilitation, this estimate can be reduced.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984 <sup>b/</sup>
132.9	93.9	39.0	98.0	6.0	-10.2

a/ Estimated balance available for rescission.

b/ Reflects reduced program income due to termination of program in 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Rehabilitation Loan Fund

All unreserved funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Acts, 1981 and prior years, including any recoveries of prior reservations, but excluding necessary funds for operating costs and the capitalization of delinquent interest on delinquent or defaulted loans, are rescinded. No further commitments for principal amounts of direct loans shall be made.

Form with checkboxes for 'Type of account or fund' (Annual, Multiple-year, No-year) and 'Type of budget authority' (Appropriation, Contract authority, Other).

Justification: The Rehabilitation Loan Fund provides low-interest loans for single-family and multi-family, as well as some commercial, rehabilitation in order to promote the revitalization of selected distressed areas.

All unreserved funds from 1981 appropriations and prior years currently available, and all recoveries of prior reservations, will be rescinded except for balances which may be necessary for operating expenses to service loans and provide for property acquisition and property management and for funds needed to capitalize delinquent interest. In addition, the authority to make further commitments for the principal amounts of direct loans will be terminated. This program is being terminated because it unnecessarily duplicates the rehabilitation efforts eligible under the Community Development Block Grant program.

This resolution proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: It is estimated that this resolution will result in approximately 5,750 units not being rehabilitated which otherwise would have been rehabilitated through this program. However, should localities use some of their own funds or some of their Block Grant funds for rehabilitation, this estimate can be reduced.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Without Resolution	With Resolution
39.0	35.0	0.0	-10.5	135.8	93.9

Estimated balance available for resolution. By action reduced program income due to termination of program in 1981.



Rescission Proposal No: R81-83

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

<b>Agency</b> Department of Housing and Urban Development	New budget authority \$ <u>10,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
<b>Bureau</b> Neighborhoods, Voluntary Associations, and Consumer Protection	
<b>Appropriation title &amp; symbol</b> Housing Counseling Assistance 8610156	Other budgetary resources _____ Total budgetary resources <u>10,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 86-0156-0-1-506	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>6,000,000</u>
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** The Housing Counseling Assistance program provides grants and technical assistance to HUD-approved private and public non-profit housing counseling agencies. In turn, these agencies provide information and advice to current and prospective tenants and homeowners of HUD-assisted or HUD-insured housing.

\$6,000,000 in budgetary resources are being proposed for rescission because: (1) the effectiveness of most types of counseling has never been proven; (2) it is a low-priority program; and (3) other Federal funds are available to provide housing counseling at the discretion of localities. The remaining \$4,000,000 in budgetary resources will be used solely for homeowner default counseling, which studies indicate to be an effective Federal expenditure.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** It is estimated that about 70 counseling agencies will not receive funding in 1981 as a result of this proposal. However, other Federal and non-Federal funds could be used for housing counseling at the discretion of localities.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Savings		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
9.4	6.5	2.9	1.8	0.7	0.6

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Housing Counseling Assistance

Of the funds provided under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$6,000,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year
---	--

**Justification:** The Housing Counseling Assistance program provides grants and technical assistance to HUD-approved private and public nonprofit housing counseling agencies. In 1981, these agencies provide information and advice to current and prospective tenants and homeowners of HUD-assisted or HUD-financed housing.

\$6,000,000 in budgetary resources are being proposed for rescission because (1) the effectiveness of such types of counseling has never been proven; (2) it is a low-priority program; and (3) other Federal funds are available to provide housing counseling at the discretion of localities. The remaining \$6,000,000 in budgetary resources will be used solely for housing counseling, which studies indicate to be an effective Federal expenditure.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** It is estimated that about 70 counseling agencies will not receive funding in 1981 as a result of this proposal. However, other Federal and non-Federal funds could be used for housing counseling at the discretion of localities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Savings				1982 Outlay Savings	
1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
2.8	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.5

Rescission Proposal No: R81-84

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Housing and Urban Development	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )	\$ <u>9,000,000</u>
Bureau	Neighborhoods, Voluntary Associations, and Consumer Protection	Other budgetary resources	<u>230,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Neighborhood Self-Help Development Program 860/10175 861/20175	Total budgetary resources	<u>9,230,000</u>
OMB identification code:	86-0175-0-1-451	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>8,118,534</u> <sup>1/</sup>
Grant program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
		Type of budget authority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
			<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
			<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The Neighborhood Self-Help Development Program provides grants and technical assistance to neighborhood organizations to undertake neighborhood preservation and revitalization activities in lower-income areas.

All available budgetary resources are being proposed for rescission because (1) the program unnecessarily duplicates the neighborhood efforts of the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation and the Community Development Block Grant program, (2) it is a low-priority program, and (3) other Federal funds are available to promote neighborhood efforts at the discretion of localities.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: As a result of this rescission, it is estimated that sixty neighborhood organizations will not receive neighborhood self-help grants. However, localities may use more of their own funds or more of their Block Grant funds for neighborhood activities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
15.4	11.0	4.4	3.7	0	0

<sup>1/</sup> Estimated balance available for rescission does not include estimates from recoveries of cancelled prior commitments.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Neighborhoods, Voluntary Associations, and Consumer Protection  
Neighborhood Self-Help Development Program

All unobligated funds provided under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies appropriation Acts, 1981, and previous years, are rescinded. In addition all recoveries of prior obligations are rescinded as they become available.

Justification: The Neighborhood Self-Help Development Program provides grants and technical assistance to neighborhood organizations to undertake neighborhood preservation and revitalization activities in low-income areas.

All available budgetary resources are being proposed for rescission because (1) the program is currently operating at a level below the authorized level of the Neighborhood Development Corporation and the Community Development Block Grant program, (2) it is a low-priority activity, and (3) other Federal funds are available to promote neighborhood efforts in the districts of localities.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for opening new markets, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory barriers.

Estimated Effect: As a result of this rescission, it is estimated that sixty neighborhood organizations will not receive neighborhood self-help grants. However, localities pay the cost of their own funds or use of their Block Grant funds for neighborhood activities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate				1982 Outlay Estimate			
1981	1982	1983	1984	1981	1982	1983	1984
11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	0	0	0	0

Estimated balance available for rescission does not include estimates from recoveries of cancelled prior obligations.

Rescission Proposal No: \_\_\_\_\_

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

<b>Agency:</b> Department of the Interior	<b>New budget authority</b> \$ 30,485,000 (P.L. 96-514)
<b>Bureau</b> Office of Water Research & Technology	<b>Other budgetary resources</b> 3,914,570
<b>Appropriation title &amp; symbol</b>  Salaries and Expenses  141/20115 1410115	<b>Total budgetary resources</b> 34,399,570
	<b>Amount proposed for rescission</b> \$ 11,800,000
<b>OMB identification code:</b> 14-0115-0-1-301	<b>Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
<b>Grant program</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
<b>Type of account or fund:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<b>Type of budget authority:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** The programs carried out by the Office of Water Research & Technology include support of State water institutes, grants for basic research and development, and grants for water desalting research and development.

This rescission will reduce the carryover into 1982 of funding appropriated for 1981 and prior years. Together with withdrawal of the request for 1982 appropriations, this will result in termination of the agency's activities early in 1982. These activities are more appropriately conducted by private industry.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** This rescission will allow speedy termination of all activities of the agency. It will terminate Federal support for State water institutes, as well as ending basic R&D assistance.

**Outlay Effect:** (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
28.7	22.9	5.8	6.0	--	--

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Water Research and Technology

Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1981, \$11,800,000 are rescinded.

Type of account or fund:

Annual

Multiple-year (specify year) 1981-1982

No-year

Type of authority:

Appropriation

Contract authority

Other

**Justification:** The program carried out by the Office of Water Research and Technology includes support of State water laboratories, grants for basic research and development, and grants for water desalting research and development.

This rescission will reduce the carryover into 1982 of funding appropriated for 1981 and prior years. Together with withdrawal of the request for 1983 appropriations, this will result in termination of the agency's activities early in 1983. These activities are being progressively conducted by private industry.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to reduce unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** This rescission will allow speedy termination of all activities of the agency. It will terminate Federal support for State water laboratories, as well as ending basic and

Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
28.7	16.9	28.7	16.9	0.0	0.0

Rescission Proposal No: R81-86

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of the Interior	New budget authority \$ <u>37,897,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-514</u> )
Bureau U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Other budgetary resources <u>29,530,967</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Construction and Anadromous Fish 14X1612	Total budgetary resources <u>67,427,967</u>
OMB identification code: 14-1612-0-1-303	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>2,500,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>P.L. 93-344</u>
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

Funds proposed for rescission were appropriated for the first phase of construction for an additional national fishery research laboratory. Seventeen fish and wildlife research laboratories are already operated by the Fish and Wildlife Service, as well as over ninety national fish hatcheries and development centers. Given the present climate of fiscal restraint, it is not appropriate to begin construction on an additional laboratory at this time.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects

This proposal will rescind all construction funds for the Gainesville National Fishery Research Laboratory, Florida.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
55.1	54.6	.5	2.0	--	--

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Construction and Anadromous Fish

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1981, \$2,500,000 are rescinded.

Legal authority for this rescission is provided by the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1981, Public Law 96-480, 101 Stat. 2063.

Other  
 Appropriation  
 Contract authority  
 Other

No-year  
 Multiple-year  
 Annual

Yes  
 No

Justification

Funds proposed for research were appropriated for the first phase of construction for an additional national fishery research laboratory. Government fish and wildlife research laboratories are already operated by the Fish and Wildlife Service, as well as over ninety national fish hatcheries and development centers. Given the present climate of fiscal restraint, it is not appropriate to begin construction on an additional laboratory at this time.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, cut reductions, and reforms to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects

This proposal will rescind all construction funds for the Gatinsville National Fishery Research Laboratory, Florida.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Estimate		
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982	1983
25.1	25.1	2.0	5.0	5.0



Rescission Proposal No: R81-87

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of the Interior	New budget authority (P.L. 96-514) \$ <u>20,000,000</u>
Bureau National Park Service	Other budgetary resources <u>69,647,665</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Urban Park and Recreation Grants 14X0720	Total budgetary resources <u>89,647,665</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>35,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 14-0720-0-1-303	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>93-344</u>
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: To bring the budget under control and refocus the Department's conservation and preservation programs, this grant program is proposed for elimination. The Urban Park and Recreation Fund provides grants to aid the rehabilitation of local recreation systems and to encourage planning for and demonstration of innovative approaches to park and recreation management.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: The rescission would reduce available funds by \$35 million. It will halt grant approvals for the remainder of fiscal year 1981. Approximately \$4 million is now estimated to be available to fund grants previously announced but not yet obligated. Local efforts to improve planning and management of local recreation programs, begun in response to this Urban Park program, are expected to continue at a somewhat diminished level.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
\$53.2	\$43.2	\$10.0	\$9.6	\$7.7	\$6.0

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

Urban Park and Recreation Fund

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1981 and prior years, \$35,000,000 are rescinded.

*(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like "Justification: To bring the budget under control and reduce the Department's conservation and preservation programs...")*

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate	Outlay Savings			1981 Outlay Estimate	
	1981	1982	1983	Rescission	Without Rescission
\$22.7	\$10.0	\$2.6	\$7.7	\$48.1	\$22.7

Rescission Proposal No: R31-88

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of the Interior	New budget authority \$ <u>378,593,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-514</u> )
Bureau National Park Service	Other budgetary resources <u>251,786,852</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Land and Water Conservation Fund 14X5005	Total budgetary resources <u>630,379,852</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>250,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 14-5005-0-2-303	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <sup>1/</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The Land and Water Conservation Fund provides support for Federal land acquisition and State recreation grants. In order to refocus Interior's conservation and preservation programs, a moratorium on Federal land purchases is proposed. This will allow for a thorough policy review of existing park and recreation legislation, as well as making additional funds available for restoration and improvement of existing national park lands. An aggressive land exchange program will be initiated to round out the Federal conservation estate.

In addition, assistance to States for low priority recreation grants is proposed for rescission as part of President Reagan's comprehensive plan for reducing Federal spending.

Estimated Effects: The rescission would reduce available funds by \$250 million. Rescission of \$105 million for Federal purchases for parks, recreation, trails, wild and scenic rivers, and other purchases would halt all land acquisition activities for the remainder of FY 1981, and may require the Federal acquisition agencies to reduce staff. Rescission of \$145 million for recreation project grants to States would essentially end the program. Many local projects will continue to completion in the next two fiscal years due to large unliquidated balances available to many States.

The rescission would require redistribution of any unobligated balances of the FY 1981 appropriation in a manner which will result in total apportionments differing from the formula established by section 6 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4601). Therefore it is proposed that the Secretary be given the discretion to allocate the funds in a manner which will assure that the purposes of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act are achieved.

<sup>1/</sup> These funds support both grant and non-grant programs.

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
518.0	443.0	75.0	66.4	72.3	21.8

Justification: The Land and Water Conservation Fund provides support for Federal land acquisition and State recreation grants. In order to reduce Federal conservation and preservation programs, a restoration on Federal land purchases is proposed. This will allow for a thorough policy review of existing park and recreation legislation, as well as making additional funds available for restoration and improvements of existing national park lands. An aggressive land exchange program will be initiated to reduce the Federal conservation estate.

In addition, assistance to States for low priority recreation grants is proposed for rescission as part of President Reagan's comprehensive plan for reducing Federal spending.

Estimated Effect: The rescission would reduce available funds by \$150 million. Rescission of \$100 million for Federal purchases for parks, recreation, trails, wild and scenic rivers, and other purchases would halt all land acquisition activities for the remainder of FY 1981 and may reduce the Federal population agencies to reduce visit. Rescission of \$145 million for recreation project grants to States would essentially end the program. Many local projects will continue to completion in the next two fiscal years due to large unliquidated balances available to many States.

The rescission would reduce redistribution of any unliquidated balances of the FY 1981 appropriation in a manner which will result in total appropriations differing from the amounts established by section 6 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4601). Therefore it is proposed that the Secretary be given the discretion to allocate the funds in a manner which will assure that the purposes of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act are achieved.

These funds support both grant and non-grant programs.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

Land and Water Conservation Fund

Of the funds appropriated under this head, \$250,000,000 are rescinded in the following amounts: \$145,000,000 for payments to the States; \$105,000,000 combined amounts for the Bureau of Land Management, Forest Service, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Park Service, and for Pinelands National Reserve: Provided, that notwithstanding the provisions of section 6 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, as amended, the unobligated balance of the contingency reserve and of funds appropriated and apportioned for the various States and unobligated upon the date of this Act shall be made available to the States in the most equitable means practicable, in the judgment of the Secretary, consistent with the general purposes of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		1982 Outlay Estimate		1983 Outlay Estimate	
Without Rescission	With Rescission	Without Rescission	With Rescission	Without Rescission	With Rescission
49.0	68.0	3.0	2.5	1.5	1.0

Rescission Proposal No: \_\_\_\_\_

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of the Interior	New budget authority \$ <u>32,500,000</u>
Bureau National Park Service	(P.L. <u>96-514</u> )
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources <u>10,545,980</u>
Historic Preservation Fund	Total budgetary resources <u>43,045,980</u>
141/25140	Amount proposed for
140/15140	rescission \$ <u>8,000,000</u>
OMB identification code:	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):
14-5140-0-2-303	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund:	Type of budget authority:
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1981</u> <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date)	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: To bring the budget under control and refocus the Department's conservation and preservation programs, a moratorium on providing grants to States under this Fund is proposed. No changes are proposed for grants to the National Trust for Historic Preservation or for the current tax incentives for historic preservation. This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: The proposed rescission of \$8 million in State grants will reduce funding for State operations and acquisition and development by \$7.4 million and would eliminate \$ .6 million for the Secretary's Discretionary Fund. Most of these funds are for specific State projects which can be deferred or completed with State or private funds.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
49.0	46.0	3.0	2.5	1.5	1.0

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service  
Historic Preservation Fund

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, and prior years, \$8,000,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: RR1-90

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of the Interior	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)
Bureau National Park Service	Other budgetary resources <u>15,500,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Construction (Trust Fund) 14X8215	Total budgetary resources <u>15,500,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>15,500,000</u>
OMB identification code: 14-8215-0-7-401	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The amount proposed for rescission would have funded the first phase of a multi-year project to construct a 4,100 foot highway tunnel under the Cumberland Gap National Historic Park. The total cost of the project is presently estimated at \$150,000,000. Because of the high cost of the tunnel, the Administration does not consider it appropriate to initiate construction when a less costly alternative is available. This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effects: The tunnel would not be constructed and U.S. Route 25E would not be relocated.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate	
Without Rescission	With Rescission
7.5	---

Outlay Savings			
1981	1982	1983	1984
7.5	7.5	.5	---



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Construction

Of the funds appropriated under this head in Public Law 96-126, making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and related agencies, 1980, \$15,500,000 are rescinded.

**Justification:** The regulatory programs funded under this appropriation include inspection of coal mining operations under the provisions of the Interior Surface Mining Regulatory program and monitoring of states with approved permanent regulatory programs. Other activities include provision of technical assistance to Federal and State surface mining regulatory staffs, review of mine plans on Federal lands, and processing of petitions to designate lands unsuitable for mining.

The funds being proposed for rescission include savings resulting from hiring limitations and reductions in travel and consulting services.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** Inspection and enforcement activities, evaluation of state programs and technical assistance will be curtailed slightly through this \$1.9 million reduction. These curtailments will reduce the regulatory burden imposed on states and mine operators.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Without Rescission	With Rescission
2.0	-0-	-0-	-0-	94.9	93.0

Rescission Proposal No: 881-91

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of the Interior	New budget authority	\$ <u>92,833,000</u>
Bureau	Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	(P.L. <u>96-514</u> )	
Appropriation title & symbol		Other budgetary resources	<u>--</u>
	Regulation and Technology	Total budgetary resources	<u>92,833,000</u>
	1411801	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>1,954,000</u>
OMB identification code: 14-1801-0-1-302		Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual		Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The regulatory programs funded under this appropriation include inspection of coal mining operations under the provisions of the interim surface mining regulatory program and monitoring of states with approved permanent regulatory programs. Other activities include provision of technical assistance to Federal and State surface mining regulatory staffs, review of mine plans on Federal lands, and processing of petitions to designate lands unsuitable for mining.

The funds being proposed for rescission include savings resulting from hiring limitations and reductions in travel and consulting services.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: Inspection and enforcement activities, evaluation of state programs and technical assistance will be curtailed slightly through this \$1.9 million reduction. These curtailments will reduce the regulatory burden imposed on states and mine operators.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
96.9	94.9	2.0	-0-	-0-	-0-

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Regulation and Technology

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1981, \$1,954,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year: September 30, 1982 <input type="checkbox"/> No-year

*Justification:* This appropriation provides resources for the CETA Title VI program of temporary public service employment (PSE) for low-income individuals in times of high unemployment. Evidence suggests that the program has been an ineffective counter-cyclical tool and indicates that net job creation has been relatively small. In the long term, therefore, it is proposed to phase out the Title VI PSE program by the end of 1981. The phase out will be accomplished by not hiring individuals to fill vacancies as they occur, by placing people in unsubsidized jobs, or, if necessary to achieve the phase-out by the end of the fiscal year, by layoffs.

*Estimated Effects:* 1981 enrollment in the program would average 82,500 instead of the 100,500 financed by Continuing Resolution, P.L. 96-536. All participants would be out of the program before October 1, 1981.

*Outlay Effects:* (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings		
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982	1983
874	844	130	105	---

Rescission Proposal No: R81-92

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Labor	New budget authority \$ <u>729,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau Employment and Training Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>54,252,950</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>783,252,950</u>
Temporary Employment Assistance 161/20173	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>234,475,000</u>
OMB identification code: 16-0173-0-1-504	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** This appropriation provides resources for the CETA Title VI program of temporary public service employment (PSE) for low-income individuals in times of high unemployment. Evidence suggests that the program has been an ineffective counter-cyclical tool and indicates that net job creation has been relatively small in the long term. Therefore, it is proposed to phase out the Title VI PSE program by the end of 1981. The phase out will be accomplished by not hiring individuals to fill vacancies as they occur, by placing people in unsubsidized jobs, or, if necessary to achieve the phase-out by the end of the fiscal year, by layoffs.

**Estimated Effects:** 1981 enrollment in the program would average 85,600 instead of the 100,000 financed by Continuing Resolution, P.L. 96-536. All participants would be out of the program before October 1, 1981.

**Outlay Effects:** (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
974	844	130	105	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR  
 Employment and Training Administration  
 Temporary Employment Assistance

Of the funds made available under this head in Public Law 96-536 making continuing appropriations through June 5, 1981, and in previous years, any unobligated balances, including amounts becoming unobligated, are rescinded as they become available.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other		Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year

Justification: The Continuing Resolution (P.L. 96-536) in effect through June 5, 1981, provides \$258.5 million for State Department's refugee assistance activities. For FY 1981, \$40 million was originally planned as the appropriate U.S. share of the international famine relief program in Kampuchea and \$25 million for special assistance to Soviet refugees resettling in Israel.

Improved conditions in Kampuchea, due primarily to the large amounts of relief aid provided over the last year, have reduced food and other emergency relief requirements below the level originally anticipated. As a result, the United States will contribute \$30 million for Kampuchean relief in 1981. The amount of assistance to Soviet refugees resettling in Israel is reduced because of the stable and continued decline in the number of refugees allowed to emigrate from the Soviet Union and lower percentage of those refugees electing to go to Israel.

This rescission proposal, totaling \$22.5 million, is an integral part of the President's comprehensive economic plan for spending and tax reductions and regulatory reform.

Estimated Effect:

This proposal will capture budget savings due to diminished requirements while maintaining an appropriate level of U.S. support for these activities.

In addition, this proposal is consistent with the U.S. position of responding to emergency relief needs in Kampuchea rather than to longer-term rehabilitation activities.

(in millions of dollars)

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	Without Rescission	With Rescission
18.0	4.5	--	--	\$253.8	\$238.8

Outlay Effect:

Rescission Proposal No: R81-93

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of State	New budget authority (P.L. 96-536)	\$ 328,494,000
Bureau Bureau of Refugee Programs	Other budgetary resources	---
Appropriation title & symbol Migration and Refugee Assistance 1911143	Total budgetary resources	328,494,000
OMB identification code: 19-1143-0-1-151	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 22,500,000
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date). <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Continuing Resolution (P.L. 96-536) in effect through June 5, 1981, provides \$328.5 million for State Department's refugee assistance activities. For FY 1981, \$40 million was originally planned as the appropriate U.S. share of the international famine relief program in Kampuchea and \$25 million for special assistance to Soviet refugees resettling in Israel.

Improved conditions in Kampuchea, due primarily to the large amounts of relief aid provided over the last year, have reduced food and other emergency relief requirements below the level originally anticipated. As a result, the United States will contribute \$30 million for Kampuchean relief in 1981. The amount of assistance to Soviet refugees resettling in Israel is halved because of the sizable and continued decline in the number of refugees allowed to emigrate from the Soviet Union and lower percentage of those refugees electing to go to Israel.

This rescission proposal, totalling \$22.5 million, is an integral part of the President's comprehensive economic plan for spending and tax reductions and regulatory reform.

Estimated Effect:

This proposal will capture budget savings due to diminished requirements while maintaining an appropriate level of U.S. support for these activities.

In addition, this proposal is consistent with the U.S. position of responding to emergency relief needs in Kampuchea rather than to longer-term rehabilitation activities.

(in millions of dollars)

Outlay Effect:

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlays Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
\$353.8	\$335.8	18.0	4.5	--	--

PROPOSED RESCINDING OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Public Law 96-536

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Other

Migration and Refugee Assistance

Of the funds provided for "Migration and Refugee Assistance" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$22,500,000 are rescinded.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract Authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year

*(Faint, mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including phrases like "Justification: The Continuing Resolution...", "Current circumstances in Bolivia...", and "Estimated Effect: This proposal will effect savings...")*

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings	
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982
35.7	33.7	5.0	0.8
			0.3

Rescission Proposal No: \_\_\_\_\_

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of State	New budget authority (P.L. 96-536)	\$ 35,106,000
Bureau Bureau for International Narcotics Matters	Other budgetary resources	---
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	35,106,000
International Narcotics Control	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 3,100,000
1111022		
OMB identification code: 11-1022-0-1-151	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: The Continuing Resolution (P.L. 96-536) in effect through June 5, 1981, provides \$35.1 million for State Department's international narcotics control activities. Funds totalling \$3.3 million were planned in FY 1981 for U.S. narcotics control assistance to Bolivia to enhance enforcement and customs capability and support alternative agricultural projects in that country.

Current circumstances in Bolivia preclude continuing effective narcotics control activities as originally planned. Therefore, \$3.1 million is proposed for rescission.

This rescission proposal is an integral part of the President's comprehensive economic plan for spending and tax reductions and regulatory reform. This proposal is made in accordance with the Antideficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 665).

Estimated Effect: This proposal will effect savings due to eliminated requirements without damaging overall U.S. international narcotics control efforts.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
35.7	33.7	2.0	0.8	0.3	---



DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
Other  
International Narcotics Control

Of the funds provided for "International Narcotics Control" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$3,100,000 are rescinded.

Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (specify in 1981) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	GDS identification code: 69-119-0-1-101	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1015): <input type="checkbox"/> Antidrafting Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
---	--	--	---

**JUSTIFICATION:** The Waterborne Transportation Demonstration grant program was authorized by the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended by Title III of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978. It provides Federal financing to carry out a demonstration project using high-speed waterborne transportation equipment and facilities, and operating in the vicinity of New York, New York. The purpose of the project is to determine the feasibility of utilizing this technology in providing certain public mass transportation services.

A 1981 program level of \$13 million was planned, consisting of 1979 and 1980 carryover appropriations. A 1982 program level of \$12 million was planned, consisting of 1981 carryover appropriations. At this time, only \$300 thousand has been obligated, with a balance of \$24.7 million available for rescission.

This rescission is intended to eliminate this low priority program as part of the President's plan for economic recovery.

**Estimated Effect:** This rescission action will terminate the program.

**Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars):**

1981 Outlay Estimate					
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
3	---	3	2	8	9

Rescission Proposal No: R81-95

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Transportation	New budget authority	\$ 4,615,200,000
Bureau	Urban Mass Transportation Administration	(P.L. <u>96-400</u> )	705,955,115
Appropriation title & symbol		Other budgetary resources	
Urban Mass Transportation Fund (Waterborne Transportation Demonstration Project) 69X1119 699/11119 690/11119		Total budgetary resources	<u>5,321,155,115</u>
OMB identification code: 69-1119-0-1-401		Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>24,700,000</u>
Grant program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1981</u> (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**JUSTIFICATION:** The Waterborne Transportation Demonstration grant program was authorized by the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended by Title III of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978. It provides Federal financing to carry out a demonstration project using high-speed waterborne transportation equipment and facilities, and operating in the vicinity of New York, New York. The purpose of the project is to determine the feasibility of utilizing this technology in providing certain public mass transportation service.

A 1981 program level of \$13 million was planned, consisting of 1979 and 1980 carryover appropriations. A 1982 program level of \$12 million was planned, consisting of 1981 carryover appropriations. At this time, only \$300 thousand has been obligated, with a balance of \$24.7 million available for rescission.

This rescission is intended to eliminate this low priority program as part of the President's plan for economic recovery.

**Estimated Effect:** This rescission action will terminate the program.

**Outlay Effect** (in millions of dollars):

1981 Outlay Estimate		1981	1982	1983	1984
Without Rescission	With Rescission				
3	---	3	5	8	9

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
 Urban Mass Transportation Administration  
 Urban Mass Transportation Fund  
 Waterborne Transportation Demonstration Project

Of the funds appropriated under this head in P.L. 96-38, P.L. 96-131, and P.L. 96-400, making appropriations for a waterborne transportation demonstration project for fiscal years 1979, 1980, and 1981, \$24,700,000 are rescinded.

<p>Amount proposed for rescission: <u>\$24,700,000</u></p> <p>Legal authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____</p> <p>Type of budget authority:  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation  <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority  <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____</p>	<p>Grant program: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Type of account or fund:  <input type="checkbox"/> Annual  <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year</p>
---	---

Justification:

The Cooperative Automotive Research Program was developed in cooperation with the domestic automotive industry, to provide a substantial body of basic research aimed at fundamentally improving U.S. automotive technology for development of advanced, fuel-efficient automobiles during and beyond the 1980's. The Administrator has decided that financing long term research to benefit a particular industry is not an appropriate Federal role and that the auto industry is in a better position to decide what research to undertake. This lower priority item is being proposed for rescission as part of the Administration's overall plan to reduce Federal spending.

Estimated Effects:

There are no programmatic impacts upon the current R&D mission or activities that result from this rescission action. The impact upon the industry's support of basic research is not precisely known. In the short run, the current financial condition of the industry will probably deter any changes in the levels of support provided to basic research in auto-related disciplines. In the long run, increases should be expected through tax incentives and other Federal actions.

(in millions of dollars)

Outlay Effects:			
1981	1982	1983	1984
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Outlay Savings			
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Rescission Proposal No: R81-96

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Transportation	New budget authority \$ <u>12,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-400</u> )
Bureau Research and Special Program Administration	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol Cooperative Automotive Research 69X0107	Total budgetary resources <u>12,000,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>11,500,000</u>
OMB identification code: 69-0107-0-1-401	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The Cooperative Automotive Research Program was developed in cooperation with the domestic automobile industry, to provide a substantial body of basic research aimed at fundamentally improving U.S. automotive technology for development of advanced, fuel-efficient automobiles during and beyond the 1990's. The Administration has decided, that financing long term research to benefit a particular industry is not an appropriate Federal role and that the auto industry is in a better position to decide what research to undertake. This lower priority item is being proposed for rescission as part of the Administration's overall plan to reduce Federal spending.

Estimated Effects:

There are no programmatic impacts upon the current RSPA mission or activities that result from this rescission action. The impact upon the industry's support of basic research is not precisely known. In the short run, the current financial condition of the industry will probably defer any changes in the levels of support provided to basic research in auto-related disciplines. In the long run, increases should be expected through tax incentives and other Federal actions.

Outlay Effects:

(in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	with Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
6.0	0.5	5.5	6.0	--	--

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Program Administration  
Cooperative Automotive Research Program

Appropriations under this heading contained in Public Law 96-400 are hereby rescinded in the amount of \$11,500,000.

These financial incentive programs would be maintained as part of the general effort to adopt market principles to achieve national energy goals. Additional direct government spending programs are not needed in light of the following incentives for the development of alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste energy:

- The 4¢ per gallon excise tax exemption for gasoline (a mixture of 10% ethanol and 90% unleaded gasoline) results in a subsidy of 18.8¢ per barrel of alcohol. This subsidy reduces the cost of gasoline to the point where it is competitive with gasoline. In addition, approximately 30 States provide tax credits for ethanol. The federal excise tax exemption will result in an estimated tax expenditure of approximately \$2 billion over the next 5 years.
- Capital investment in alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste projects are made more attractive because certain types of plant and equipment are eligible for an investment tax credit of 20%. In 1982, these costs will result in tax expenditures of over \$500 million.
- The removal of price controls from domestic crude oil will restore market forces in the energy field and make alternative energy sources more competitive with petroleum.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-97

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Department of the Treasury</u>	New budget authority \$ _____ (P.L. _____)
Bureau <u>Bureau of Government Financial Operations</u>	Other budgetary resources <u>1,270,000,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Biomass Energy Development</u> <u>20X0114</u>	Total budgetary resources <u>1,270,000,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>1,245,500,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>20-0114-0-1-271</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: Funds totalling \$1,245,500,000 for financial incentive programs for alcohol fuels, biomass and energy from municipal waste are proposed for rescission. This action would terminate the feasibility study, cooperative agreement, loan and loan guarantee programs for alcohol fuels and biomass in the Departments of Energy and Agriculture (proposed rescission amounts of \$521.6M and \$505.0M respectively), and would terminate feasibility studies, cooperative agreements, loan guarantees and price support loans for the energy from municipal waste program of the Department of Energy (proposed rescission of \$218.9M).

These financial incentive programs would be terminated as part of the general effort to adopt market principles to achieve national energy goals. Additional direct government spending programs are not needed in light of the following incentives for the development of alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste energy:

- The 4¢ per gallon excise tax exemption for gasohol (a mixture of 10% alcohol and 90% unleaded gasoline) results in a subsidy of \$16.80 per barrel of alcohol. This subsidy reduces the cost of gasohol to the point where it is competitive with gasoline. In addition, approximately 30 States provide tax credits for gasohol. The federal excise tax exemption will result in an estimated tax expenditure of approximately \$2 billion over the next 5 years.
- Capital investment in alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste projects are made more attractive because certain types of plant and equipment are eligible for an investment tax credit of 20%. In 1982, these costs will result in tax expenditures of over \$200 million.
- The removal of price controls from domestic crude oil will restore market forces in the energy field and make alternative energy sources more competitive with petroleum.

- The President's program for economic recovery is designed to result in a significant increase in the amount of capital formation in the private sector for all types of investment projects. This should increase capital availability for alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste projects without the need for government intervention through loan guarantees and other financial incentives. Also, unlike other forms of alternative fuels, alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste projects are a less risky investment because the technology is proven and the economic feasibility can be estimated relatively accurately.

These incentives will ensure that alcohol fuels, biomass and energy from municipal waste will be able to compete effectively with conventional energy alternatives without the need for additional government subsidies through loan guarantees, feasibility studies and cooperative agreements.

Estimated Effect: As a result of this rescission, alcohol fuels, biomass and urban waste energy development projects selected by the Departments of Energy and Agriculture for feasibility studies, cooperative agreements, loan guarantee awards and price support loans will not be funded. The responsibility for financing these activities will shift to the private sector. While there may be instances of delays while alternative sources of funding are secured for individual projects, it is not anticipated that there will be any significant effect on the overall rate of development of these alternative energy sources.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimates</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without</u>	<u>With</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
<u>Rescission</u>	<u>Rescission</u>				
163.0	14.5	148.5	122.0	16.0	19.0

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Government and Financial Operations  
Biomass Energy Development

Of the funds provided under this head for Fiscal Year 1980 in Public Law 96-304, \$1,245,500,000 are rescinded.

1981 OMA Estimate		1980 OMA Estimate	
Rescission	Without	Rescission	Without
183.0	14.8	148.8	152.0
		18.0	19.0

- The removal of price controls...
- Capital investment in alcohol...
- The removal of price controls...



PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 253,520,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
Bureau _____	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol  681/20107 Research and Development (Pollution Control and Abatement)	Total budgetary resources <u>253,520,000</u>
OMB identification code: 68-0107-0-1-304	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 149,300</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The President has directed a reduction of 5 percent for consulting services for fiscal year 1981. The 5 percent reduction also applies to certain procurements for management and professional services, and special studies and analyses that are reported to the Federal Procurement Data System.

The planned 5 percent reduction is part of the President's program to reduce Federal spending in fiscal year 1981, and to eliminate excessive and unnecessary expenditures for consulting and related services.

Estimated Effects:

This proposal will allow the savings associated with this reduction in consulting services to be achieved.

Outlay Effect: (in thousands of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
230,000	229,900	100	49	---	---

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

R81-98

## Research and Development

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$149,300 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-99

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 545,183,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>545,183,000</u>
Abatement, Control and Compliance 681/20108	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$1,253,100</u>
OMB identification code: <u>68-0108-0-1-304</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification:

The President has directed a reduction of 5 percent for consulting services for fiscal year 1981. The 5 percent reduction also applies to certain procurements for management and professional services, and special studies and analyses that are reported to the Federal Procurement Data System.

The planned 5 percent reduction is part of the President's program to reduce Federal spending in fiscal year 1981, and to eliminate excessive and unnecessary expenditures for consulting and related services.

Estimated Effects:

This proposal will allow the savings associated with this reduction in consulting services to be achieved.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimate</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
\$495.2	494.1	1.1	0.2	---	---

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Abatement, Control and Compliance

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$1,253,100 are rescinded.

Amount proposed for rescission	Abatement, Control and Compliance 1981/1982
Legal authority (in addition to 1013)	OMB Identification Code: 66-9199-0-1-104
<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Other	Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year (indicate 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)
Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year

Justification:  
 The President has directed a reduction of 5 percent for consulting services for fiscal year 1981. The 5 percent reduction also applies to certain procurements for management and professional services, and special studies and analyses that are reported to the Federal Procurement Data System.

The planned 5 percent reduction is part of the President's program to reduce Federal spending in fiscal year 1981, and to eliminate excessive and unnecessary expenditures for consulting and related services.

Estimated Effects:  
 This proposal will allow the savings associated with this reduction in consulting services to be achieved.

Outlay Effects (in millions of dollars):

1981 Outlay Estimate				1982 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	1983	1984	With Rescission	Without Rescission
1.1	0.5	---	---	424.1	245.2

Rescission Proposal No: R81-100

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Environmental Protection Agency</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 3,304,837,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>3,316,758,185</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>6,621,595,185</u>
<u>Construction Grants</u> <u>68X0103</u>	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 1,700,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>68-0103-0-1-304</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

A total of \$1,700,000,000 of unobligated wastewater treatment construction grant funds are proposed for rescission by the President. These funds were appropriated in 1981, 1980, and under the Public Works Employment Appropriation Act of 1977. The reductions are an integral part of the President's overall Economic Recovery Program which includes lower near term levels of Federal funding for capital investment. In addition to these reductions, the President is also proposing major legislative reforms which will ensure the long term viability of this program. The combination of lower funding levels and program reforms will relieve the burden on the Federal government for financing projects that improve the environment marginally or not at all.

Estimated effects

The proposed reductions will primarily impact new, yet to be initiated projects or segments of projects, and will fall more heavily on those States with relatively large unobligated balances. An estimated \$14 billion in appropriated but unspent funds remain for continuing or completing ongoing projects.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
4,200	4,180	20	275	510	440

A total of \$1,700,000,000 of unobligated wastewater treatment construction grant funds are proposed for rescission by the President. These funds were appropriated in 1981, 1982 and under the Public Works Employment Act of 1977. The rescissions are an integral part of the President's overall economic recovery program which includes lower tax levels of federal funding for capital investment. In addition to these reductions, the President is also proposing major legislative reforms which will ensure the long term viability of this program. The combination of lower funding levels and program reforms will relieve the burden on the federal government for financing projects that improve the environment marginally or not at all.

The proposed reductions will primarily impact new, yet to be initiated projects or segments of projects, and will fall more heavily on those States with relatively large unobligated balances. An estimated \$14 billion is appropriated but unspent funds remain for continuing or completing ongoing projects.

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## Construction Grants

Of the funds appropriated under this head, \$586,382,091 in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1980, and \$1,000,000,000 in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, are rescinded. The reduction in each appropriation will be distributed among the States according to the allotment formula specified in Sec. 205(c) of P.L. 92-500 as amended by P.L. 95-217. However, whenever a State's share of the reduction from an appropriation, as determined by the formula, is greater than its March 4, 1981 unobligated balance for that appropriation, the shortfall will be distributed according to the allotment formula among all the States which still have funds remaining from that appropriation. This process of distributing the shortfall will continue until the amount of the reduction has been allocated among the States.

In addition, all remaining funds, in the amount of \$113,617,909, appropriated under this head in the Public Works Employment Appropriation Act, 1977, are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-101

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency National Aeronautics and Space Administration	New budget authority	\$ 4,340,788,000
Bureau	(P.L. 96-526)	---
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	---
Research and Development	Total budgetary resources	4,340,788,000
801/20108	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 4,500,000
OMB identification code: 50-0108-0-1-999	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>Sept. 30, 1982</u> (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** This rescission is the net effect of required 1981 adjustments to the NASA research and development programs as part of the President's Budget Reform Plan. Because of the urgent need for fiscal restraint, reductions are proposed for numerous relatively lower priority research and development programs. Included as part of the adjustment is an upward reestimate in funding required to maintain progress in the highest priority space shuttle program to meet civilian and critical defense needs.

**Estimated Effect:** The orderly development and procurement of an operational fleet of space shuttle orbiters will continue as currently planned. As noted above, some lower priority research and development programs will be reduced; however, core programs will be continued in space science, space applications, aeronautical technology, and advanced space technology.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
4,054.2	4,051.9	2.3	2.2	---	---



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
 Research and Development

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development--Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$4,500,000 are rescinded.

Agency: Veterans Administration Bureau:	
Grant program: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	
Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Entire year:	

Justification:  
 This account provides funds for constructing, altering and improving the facilities under the jurisdiction of the Veterans Administration. This rescission proposes the cancellation of construction plans for VA hospitals in Baltimore, Maryland and Camden, New Jersey. These two areas are heavily overbedded and well-served by community and private hospitals. In each instance, there are three other VA hospitals within commuting distance which are underutilized at present.

Estimated Effects:  
 Two proposed VA hospitals will not be built. However, adequate health for eligible veterans will continue to be available.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

Outlay Savings				1981 Outlay Estimate	
1984	1983	1982	1981	Without Rescission	With Rescission
28	35	41	16	277	261

Deferral No: R81-102

DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Veterans Administration	New budget authority.	\$ 423,774,000
Bureau		(P.L. 96-526)	853,523,090
Appropriation title & symbol		Other budgetary resources	1,277,297,090
	Construction, Major Projects	Total budgetary resources	1,277,297,090
	36X0110	Amount to be deferred:	
		Part of year	\$ 162,160,000
		Entire year	_____
CMB identification code:	36-0110-0-1-703	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):	
Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual		Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____	(expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

This account provides funds for constructing, altering and improving the facilities under the jurisdiction of the Veterans Administration. This rescission proposes the cancellation of construction plans for VA hospitals in Baltimore, Maryland and Camden, New Jersey. These two areas are heavily overbedded and well-served by community and private hospitals. In each instance, there are three other VA hospitals within commuting distance which are underutilized at present.

Estimated Effects:

Two proposed VA hospitals will not be built. However, adequate health for eligible veterans will continue to be available.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
277	261	16	41	32	58

881-102

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Construction, Major Projects

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$162,160,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Legal authority (in addition to sec. 10125)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Type of budget authority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No-year Type of account or fund:

Justification: Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA) supports full-time volunteers who work with community groups to solve poverty problems. The Senior Companion Program supports poor or near-poor volunteers age 60 and over. These volunteers help other older people, who have moderate impairments and inadequate family support, achieve and maintain the highest possible degree of independent living.

Reduct one are proposed for these two programs as a part of the Administration's overall plan to reduce spending throughout the Federal Government. A revised 1982 request eliminates the expansion of these programs that was proposed in the January Budget. This rescission will prevent 1981 expansion toward 1982 levels that are no longer planned. The proposed reductions represent a 2.7 percent decrease in the total budgetary resources available for domestic program operations.

Estimated Effect:

Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA): A savings of \$1,727,000 will be realized through the reduction of 1,800 trainees and 273 volunteer service years from the planned level in FY 1981.

Senior Companion Program: Thirteen new projects with 819 volunteers serving the needs of the nonbound or potentially institutionalized elderly will not be funded as originally planned in FY 1981. The savings will be \$1,480,000.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-103

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency ACTION	New budget authority	\$ 113,760,000
Bureau	(P.L. 96-536)	3,271,000
Appropriation title & symbol	Other budgetary resources	117,031,000
Operating Expenses, Domestic Programs	Total budgetary resources	
4410103	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 3,207,000
OMB identification code: 44-0103-0-1-506	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: Volunteers in Service to America (VISTA) supports full-time volunteers who work with community groups to solve poverty problems. The Senior Companion Program supports poor or near-poor volunteers age 60 and over. These volunteers help other older people, who have moderate impairments and inadequate family support, achieve and maintain the highest possible degree of independent living.

Reductions are proposed for these two programs as a part of the Administration's overall plan to reduce spending throughout the Federal Government. A revised 1982 request eliminates the expansion of these programs that was proposed in the January Budget. This rescission will prevent 1981 expansion toward 1982 levels that are no longer planned. The proposed reductions represent a 2.7 percent decrease in the total budgetary resources available for domestic program operations.

Estimated Effect:

Volunteers in Service to American (VISTA): A savings of \$1,727,000 will be realized through the reduction of 1,800 trainees and 273 volunteer service years from the planned level in FY 1981.

Senior Companion Program: Thirteen new projects with 819 volunteers serving the needs of the homebound or potentially institutionalized elderly will not be funded as originally planned in FY 1981. The savings will be \$1,480,000.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
153.7	151.8	1.9	1.1	0.2	---

Of the funds provided under this heading for ACTION for fiscal year 1981 in Public Law 96-536, \$3,507,000 are rescinded.

Agency: 151-1-0-0000-153

Legal authority to administer: 10124

Grant program:  Yes  No

Involuntary Act

Type of account or fund:  
 Annual  
 Multiple-year \_\_\_\_\_  
 No-year \_\_\_\_\_

Type of budget authority:  
 Appropriation  
 Contract authority  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_

Justification: Reduced activity for arms control negotiations, slow obligation of research funds, realignment of agency staffing and responsibilities, and general administrative savings have allowed reductions of \$300,000 in program operations and \$1,700,000 in research. These savings will be achieved by withdrawal of the pending \$500,000 pay supplemental and by proposing this rescission of \$1,500,000.

Estimated Effects: This will reduce the agency's operating margin and would slow reprogramming of lower-priority research funds into operational support of negotiations, should negotiating opportunities arise. Revival of an active international arms control agency would require supplemental appropriations to allow agency participation.

Outlay Effects (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
16.8	16.2	2.6	1.6	0.2	---

ACTION

Operating Expenses, Domestic Programs

Of the funds provided under this heading for ACTION for fiscal year 1981 in Public Law 96-536, \$3,207,000 are rescinded.

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Arms Control and Disarmament Agency	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$18,500,000
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	550,000
Appropriation title & symbol  Arms Control and Disarmament Activities 9410100	Total budgetary resources	19,050,000
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 1,500,000
OMB identification code: 94-0100-0-1-153	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: Reduced activity for arms control negotiations, slow obligation of research funds, realignment of agency staffing and responsibilities, and general administrative savings have allowed reductions of \$300,000 in program operations and \$1,700,000 in research.

These savings will be achieved by withdrawal of the pending \$500,000 pay supplemental and by proposing this rescission of \$1,500,000.

Estimated Effects: This will reduce the agency's operating margin and would slow reprogramming of lower-priority research funds into operational support of negotiations, should negotiating opportunities arise. Revival of an active international arms control agency would require supplemental appropriations to allow agency participation.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
16.8	16.2	.6	.6	.2	.1

PROPOSED RESCINDING OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Number is Form 1017 of P.L. 96-344

Agency	Arms Control and Disarmament Agency
Bureau	
<b>ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY</b>	
<b>Arms Control and Disarmament Activities</b>	
Total budgetary resources	12,000,000
Appropriation title	
Agency account number	
Account proposed for rescinding	

Of the funds provided for "Arms control and disarmament activities" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$1,500,000 are rescinded.

Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Type of account or fund:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year
Type of budget authority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Expiration date	

**Justification:** Reduced activity for arms control negotiations, slow completion of research funds, reassignment of agency staff and responsibilities, and general administrative savings have allowed reduction of \$100,000 in program operations and \$1,500,000 in research. These savings will be achieved by withdrawal of the pending \$200,000 pay supplemental and by proposing this rescission of \$1,500,000.

**Estimated Effect:** This will reduce the agency's operating margin and would slow progress of lower-priority research funds into operational support of negotiations, should negotiating opportunities arise. Review of an active international arms control agency would require supplemental appropriations to allow agency participation.

Outlay effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates			
Rescission	Rescission	1981	1981
Without	With	Outlay	Outlay
16.8	16.3	16.8	16.3
		1.5	1.5



**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Corporation for Public Broadcasting	New budget authority (1982) <u>\$172,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>95-205,96-38</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources (1983) <u>\$172,000,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Public Broadcasting Fund	Total budgetary resources <u>\$344,000,000</u>
2020151 2030151	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 95,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 20-0151-0-1-503	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

CPB is the primary vehicle for providing Federal financial assistance to the 217 radio and 170 television stations that currently compose the non-commercial broadcasting system.

Because non-commercial stations should determine the need for and type of programs they require and finance their own productions funds for CPB's national program production and administrative activities should be cut. This pattern of reductions will provide an opportunity for private and corporate donations to play a greater role in financing local public radio and television productions.

Estimated Effects

The reductions will be primarily directed at CPB's administrative costs and national program production while CPB support for local stations will be maintained at as high a level as possible.

A proposed distribution of funds for 1982 could allow for \$82 million for Community service grants for radio and television, \$30 million for national radio and television program productions and \$11.5 million for Administration, Research and Training.

A proposed distribution of funds for 1983 would allow for \$77 million for Community Service Grants to local radio and television stations, \$27 million for national radio and television productions and \$10 million for Administration, Research and Training.

Outlay effects (in millions of dollars)

	<u>Outlay Estimates</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
Without Rescission	172	172	--	\$43	--	--
With Rescission	129	120	--	--	\$52	--

CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING

Of the funds provided for "The Corporation for Public Broadcasting" for fiscal year 1982 in P.L. 95-205, \$43 million are rescinded, and of the funds provided for "The Corporation for Public Broadcasting" for fiscal year 1983 in P.L. 96-38, \$52 million are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year
--	---	---

Justification

CPS is the primary vehicle for providing Federal financial assistance to the 517 radio and 170 television stations that currently compose the non-commercial broadcasting system. Because non-commercial stations should determine the need for and type of program they require and finance their own production costs for CPS's national program production and administrative activities should be cut. This pattern of reductions will provide an opportunity for private and corporate donations to play a greater role in financing local public radio and television production.

Estimated Effect

The reductions will be primarily directed at CPS's administrative costs and national program production while CPS support for local stations will be maintained at as high a level as possible.

A proposed distribution of funds for 1982 could allow for 385 million for Community Service grants for radio and television, 250 million for national radio and television program production and 212 million for Administration, Research and Training.

A proposed distribution of funds for 1983 would allow for 277 million for Community Service grants to local radio and television stations, 227 million for national radio and television production and 210 million for Administration, Research and Training.

Outlay effects (in millions of dollars)

	Outlay Savings			Outlay Estimates	
	1981	1982	1983	1982	1983
Without Rescission	--	243	--	175	175
With Rescission	--	285	--	150	150

Rescission Proposal No: \_\_\_\_\_

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service	New budget authority (P.L. 96-536)	\$ 18,942,000
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	18,942,000
Salaries and Expenses 9310100	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 687,000
OMB identification code: 93-0100-0-1-505	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification

This appropriation provides for mediation, technical assistance, and arbitration services to aid parties to collective bargaining disputes to settle their disputes. Funds are also provided for a new program of grants to support the establishment of labor-management committees. The grant program is a low priority program which cannot be afforded in a truly austere budget, and this rescission eliminates funds rendered excess by the decision not to implement the program. Remaining grant funds of \$313 thousand are being reprogrammed to cover the increased cost of civilian pay.

The supplemental request for civilian pay increases for this account is being withdrawn due to this decision as well as to reductions in Federal employment and in spending on items like travel and equipment.

Estimated Effects:

Approximately 10 to 12 grants would not be awarded for the establishment and operation of plant, area, and industry committees. The effect of not awarding these grants on the number of committees which will or will not be formed is not known.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
24.5	24.5	--	0.7	--	--

The 1981 outlay estimate does not include the effect of withdrawal of the pay supplemental law pending before the Congress.

FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE

Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds provided for the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, "Salaries and expenses," for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$687,000 are rescinded.

Form with fields for 'Amount proposed for rescission', 'OMB identification code', 'Grant program', 'Type of account or fund', and 'Type of budget authority'.

Justification

This appropriation provides for mediation, technical assistance, and arbitration services to aid parties to collective bargaining disputes in settling their disputes. Funds are also provided for a new program of grants to support the establishment of labor-management committees. The grant program is a low priority program which cannot be afforded in a truly austere budget, and this rescission eliminates funds requested in excess of the action not to implement the program. Rescinding grant funds of \$13 thousand are being reprogrammed to cover the increased cost of civilian pay.

The supplemental request for civilian pay increases for this account is being withdrawn due to this decision as well as to reductions in Federal employment and in spending on items like travel and equipment.

Estimated Effects

Approximately 10 to 12 grants would not be awarded for the establishment and operation of plant, area, and industry committees. The effect of not awarding these grants on the number of committees which will be formed is not known.

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

Table with columns for '1981 Outlay Factors', 'Rescission', 'Without Rescission', and '1981 - 1984'.

Rescission Proposal No: R31-107

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Federal Trade Commission</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 71,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>-</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>71,000,000</u>
<u>Salaries and Expenses</u> <u>2910100</u>	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 226,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>29-0100-0-1-376</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** This appropriation finances expenses necessary for antitrust enforcement, consumer protection, and economic reporting. In support of these activities, the Commission operates ten regional offices in the United States. This rescission in conjunction with the withdrawal of the pay supplemental now pending before the Congress would initiate the phase-out of these offices and a reduction in the Washington headquarters office. The Administration believes that this action would allow the Commission to focus more on problems of a nationwide scope, reduce multigovernmental regulatory burdens, and permit State and local agencies, as well as private business on its own, to reduce antitrust problems. This action is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effects:** The phasing out of the regional offices would allow the Federal Trade Commission to focus on issues of national importance and would shift activities to State and local governments. The reductions described would result in an overall agency savings of 30% by 1986.

Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimates</u> <sup>1/</sup>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
70.0	69.8	.2	---	---	---

<sup>1/</sup> The 1981 outlay estimate does not include the effect of withdrawal of the pay supplemental now pending before the Congress

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds provided for Federal Trade Commission, "Salaries and Expenses" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$226,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Governmental <input type="checkbox"/> Other
---	---	---

Justification: This appropriation finances expenses necessary for antitrust enforcement, consumer protection, and economic reporting. In support of these activities, the Commission operates ten regional offices in the United States. This rescission in conjunction with the withdrawal of the pay supplemental now pending before the Congress would reduce the phase-out of these offices and a reduction in the Washington headquarters office. The Administrator believes that this action would allow the Commission to focus more on problems of a national scope, reduce unnecessary regulatory burdens, and benefit State and local agencies, as well as private business on its own, to reduce regulatory burdens. This action is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: The phasing out of the regional offices would allow the Federal Trade Commission to focus on issues of national importance and would shift activities to State and local governments. The reductions described would result in an overall agency savings of 3% by 1985.

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings	
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982
70.0	67.8	---	---

The 1981 outlay estimate does not include the effect of withdrawal of the pay supplemental now pending before the Congress.

Rescission Proposal No: RS1-108

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Marine Mammal Commission	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>734,000</u>
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	_____
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	<u>734,000</u>
Salaries and Expenses 9512200	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>4,000</u>
OMB identification code: 95-2200-0-1302	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
	Type of budget authority:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** This rescission is proposed to achieve the savings realized by a reduction of \$4,000 in FY 1981 travel expenses.

This proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** On site reviews of Federal marine mammal programs will be limited to priority oversight activities.

**Outlay Effect:** (in thousands of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
725	721	4	--	--	--

PROPOSED RESCINDING OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Form as Amended H.R. 131-104

MARINE MAMMAL COMMISSION  
Salaries and Expenses

Agency	Marine Mammal Commission
Bureau	
Appropriation title & number	
Total budgetary resources	\$15,000
Other budgetary resources	
Legal authority (reference to sec. 1011)	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
Type of budget authority:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation
	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
OMB identification code:	31-8100-9-1001
Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Type of account or fund:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual
	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year
	<input type="checkbox"/> Bi-year

Of the funds provided for "Marine Mammal Commission" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$4,000 are rescinded.

Justification: This rescission is proposed to achieve the savings realized by a reduction of \$4,000 in FY 1981 travel expenses.

This proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: On the review of Federal marine mammal programs will be limited to priority oversight activities.

Costs Effect: (in thousands of dollars)

1981 Ombudsman Review		1981 Ombudsman Review	
Without	With	Without	With
Rescission	Rescission	Rescission	Rescission
125	125	125	125

Ombudsman Savings	
1981	1982 - 1983
4	4



Rescission Proposal No: R81-109

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Merit Systems Protection Board	New budget authority (P.L. 96-536)	\$ 10,905,000
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	432,000
Appropriation title & symbol Salaries and Expenses 4110100	Total budgetary resources	11,337,000
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 210,000
OMB identification code: 41-0100-0-1-805	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** The Merit Systems Protection Board was created in 1978 to assume the adjudicatory functions of the former Civil Service Commission. The Board processes employee appeals and conducts studies of merit system abuse. This proposal would reduce the funds available for these activities by \$210,000.

This rescission is proposed as a part of the Administration's overall effort to reduce Federal spending.

**Estimated Effect:** It is anticipated that this rescission will cause the Board to target studies at potential merit abuse patterns, rather than general Civil Service evaluation, as well as to reduce administrative support functions. A temporary case backlog is also expected to result.

**Outlay Effect:** (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
16.1	15.9	.2	---	---	---

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds provided for the Merit Systems Protection Board, "Salaries and Expenses" for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$210,000 are rescinded.

<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	

**Justification:** The Merit Systems Protection Board was created in 1978 to assume the adjudicatory functions of the former Civil Service Commission. The Board processes employee appeals and conducts studies of merit system abuse. This proposal would reduce the funds available for these activities by \$210,000.

This rescission is proposed as a part of the Administration's overall effort to reduce Federal spending.

**Estimated Effect:** It is anticipated that this rescission will cause the Board to target efforts at potential merit system patterns, rather than general Civil Service evaluation, as well as to reduce administrative support functions. A temporary case backlog is also expected to result.

**Outlay Effect:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		1981 Outlay Savings	
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982
18.1	18.9	1.2	...

Rescission Proposal No: R81-110

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>National Science Foundation</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 987,900,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>25,000,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  <u>Research and Related Activities 491/20100</u>	Total budgetary resources <u>1,012,900,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>49-0100-0-1-251</u>	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 66,000,000</u>
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <u>September 30, 1982</u> (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification

Programs funded from this appropriation support basic and applied research in all scientific disciplines, engineering and related activities. Support is also provided for the U.S. Antarctic Program, International Cooperative Science, and Science Policy Activities.

Programs which are substantially affected by the proposed reductions are those which are narrowly focused or of less immediate priority in meeting the National Science Foundation's major goals and objectives. Less severe reductions are proposed in core programs. However, the adjusted funding levels will not impair the foundation's ability to maintain its support of critical research or its ability to meet statutory responsibilities for the advancement of science.

These reductions are proposed in order to reduce Federal spending.

Estimated Effect

Research support in the behavioral and neural sciences will be reduced by approximately 13 percent from the planned FY 1981 level; social and economic science programs by 31 percent; industry/university cooperative research projects by 45 percent; the Small Business Innovation Program by 36 percent; University-Based Innovation Centers by 29 percent; 2/4 year college instrumentation support by 42 percent; minority research initiation projects by 6 percent; international science programs by 28 percent; and the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research by 27 percent. Programs for which all FY 1981 appropriation funding is eliminated are: intergovernmental science programs, the Appropriate Technology Program, Visiting Professorships for Women, National Research Opportunity Grants, and Research Improvement in Minority Institutions.

Programs supporting research in the basic sciences and engineering will be reduced by a total of \$20 million or approximately 2.5 percent.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate

Outlay Savings

	<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
			<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
	922.9	901.4	21.5	31.3	13.2	—
<p><u>Justification</u></p> <p>Programs funded from this appropriation support basic and applied research in all scientific disciplines, engineering and related activities. Support is also provided for the U.S. Antarctic Program, International Cooperative Science, and Science Policy Activities.</p> <p>Programs which are substantially affected by the proposed reductions are those which are narrowly focused or of less immediate priority in meeting the National Science Foundation's major goals and objectives. Less severe reductions are proposed in core programs. However, the adjusted funding levels will not impact the foundation's ability to maintain the support of critical research or its ability to meet statutory responsibilities for the advancement of science.</p> <p>These reductions are proposed in order to reduce Federal spending.</p> <p><u>Estimated Effect</u></p> <p>Research support in the behavioral and neural sciences will be reduced by approximately 13 percent from the planned FY 1981 levels; social and economic science programs by 31 percent; industry/university cooperative research projects by 43 percent; the Small Business Innovation Program by 34 percent; university-based Innovation Centers by 29 percent; 3-year college instrumentation support by 42 percent; minority research initiation projects by 6 percent; international science programs by 28 percent; and the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research by 33 percent. Programs for which all FY 1981 appropriation funding is eliminated are: intergovernmental science programs, the Agriculture Technology Program, Young Professionals for Women, National Research Opportunity Grants and Research Improvement in Minority Institutions.</p> <p>Programs supporting research in the basic sciences and engineering will be reduced by a total of \$50 million or approximately 5.5 percent.</p>						
<p>Type of account or fund:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annual</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year, September 30, 1982 (specify date)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No-year</p>						
<p>Grant program:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>						
<p>Legal authority for this activity:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>						
<p>Type of budget authority:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>						

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Research and Related Activities

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$66,000,000 are rescinded.

Agency: National Science Foundation	Amount proposed for rescission: \$ 66,000,000
Grant program: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other

Justification:

This appropriation funds the National Science Foundation's programs to strengthen science education at all academic levels. It includes the programs for scientific personnel improvement, science education resources improvement, science education development and research, and a science education communications program.

Specific reductions in these areas are proposed for programs which are less critical to meeting the major goals and objectives of the Foundation and are being made in order to reduce Federal spending.

Estimated Effect:

The proposed reductions will reduce funding from the planned FY 1981 level by the following percentages: Comprehensive Assistance to Undergraduate Education, 38 percent; Development in Science Education, 26 percent; Research in Science Education, 33 percent; Public Understanding of Science, 25 percent; and the Science for Citizens program, 32 percent. Funding for the Information Dissemination in Science Education program would be eliminated.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
75.8	28.3	14.3	1.3	--	--

Rescission Proposal No: R81-111

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>National Science Foundation</u>	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )	\$ <u>80,704,000</u>
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	<u>5,000,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources	<u>85,704,000</u>
Science and Engineering Education Activities 4910106	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>16,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 49-0106-0-1-251	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

This appropriation funds the National Science Foundation's programs to strengthen science education at all academic levels. It includes the programs for scientific personnel improvement, science education resources improvement, science education development and research, and a science education communications program.

Specific reductions in these areas are proposed for programs which are less critical to meeting the major goals and objectives of the Foundation and are being made in order to reduce Federal spending.

Estimated Effect:

The proposed reductions will reduce funding from the planned FY 1981 level by the following percentages: Comprehensive Assistance to Undergraduate Education, 38 percent; Development in Science Education, 56 percent; Research in Science Education, 33 percent; Public Understanding of Science, 25 percent; and the Science for Citizens program, 35 percent. Funding for the Information Dissemination in Science Education program would be eliminated.

Outlay Effect; (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
72.8	58.3	14.5	1.5	—	—

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Science Education Activities

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$16,000,000 are rescinded.

Agency Commission	Agency Commission
Program	Program
OMB identification code: 92-5100-0-1-554	OMB identification code: 92-5100-0-1-554
Grand program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Grand program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year
Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other

**Justification:**  
 The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission adjudicates contested enforcement actions of the Department of Labor.  
 This rescission proposal reflects reductions in obligations for personnel, travel, and equipment procurement and is a component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan.

**Estimated Effects:**  
 Reductions in personnel and travel may cause some delays in hearings and decisions. However, the Commission's workload in the first part of 1981 has fallen below the estimates on which the budget was based. Moreover, the workload is determined in large part by enforcement activity in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Since this activity is expected to decrease, the decline in the Commission's workload is expected to continue and to counteract much of the effects of reduced personnel and travel resources.

Outlay Effects (in thousands of dollars)			
1981 Outlays			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	Outlay Savings	
2,652	2,652	1981	1982
		37	2
		1983	1984
		--	--

Rescission Proposal No: R81-112

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission	New budget authority (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )	\$ <u>5,659,200</u>
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	<u>--</u>
Appropriation title & symbol  Salaries and Expenses 9512100	Total budgetary resources	<u>\$5,659,200</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>39,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>95-2100-0-1-55A</u>	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification:

The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission adjudicates contested enforcement actions of the Department of Labor.

This rescission proposal reflects reductions in obligations for personnel, travel, and equipment procurement and is a component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan.

Estimated Effects:

Reductions in personnel and travel may cause some delays in hearings and decisions. However, the Commission's workload in the first part of 1981 has fallen below the estimates on which the budget was based. Moreover, the workload is determined in large part by enforcement activity in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Since this activity is expected to decrease, the decline in the Commission's workload is expected to continue and to counteract much of the effects of reduced personnel and travel resources.

Outlay Effects: (in thousands of dollars)  
1981 Outlays

Without Rescission	With Rescission	Outlay Savings			
		1981	1982	1983	1984
5,662	5,625	37	2	--	--



881-112

### OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION Salaries and Expenses

Agency Office of the Federal In...  
Appropriations and...  
Public Law 96-536

Of the funds provided for the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, "Salaries and expenses," for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-536, \$39,000 are rescinded.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	OHS identification code: 22-0100-0-1-276	Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	--	--	---	---	--

Justification: The proposed rescission of \$45,000 represents the net effect of savings realized from reduction in travel, procurement of furniture and equipment, and personnel, less the additional absorption of FY 1981 pay raise costs by the agency. The details of these savings is as follows:

Net Rescission	\$45,000
Pay raise costs	\$305,000
Less-Agency Absorption of	
Local Savings	\$741,000
Savings from Hiring Freeze	\$00,000
Savings from Procurement Cut	75,000
Savings from Travel Cut	\$165,000

Estimated Effect: The effect of this proposal is to allow savings to be achieved from reductions in travel, procurement of equipment, and personnel.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate	1981 Outlay Estimate	1982	1983	1984
20.3	19.9			
0.4				

Rescission Proposal No: R81-113

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Office of the Federal Inspector, ANGTS	New budget authority (P.L. 96-514)	\$ <u>21,483,000</u>
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	<u>341,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Salaries and Expenses  5210100	Total budgetary resources	<u>21,824,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ <u>445,000</u>
OMB identification code: 52-0100-0-1-276	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** The proposed rescission of \$445,000 represents the net effect of savings realized from reduction in travel, procurement of furniture and equipment, and personnel, less the additional absorption of FY 1981 pay raise costs by the agency. The details of these savings is as follows:

Savings from Travel Cut	\$165,000
Savings from Procurement Cut	76,000
Savings from Hiring Freeze	500,000
Total Savings	<u>\$741,000</u>
Less-Agency Absorption of Pay Raise Costs	<u>\$296,000</u>
Net Rescission	<u>\$445,000</u>

**Estimated Effects:** The effect of this proposal is to allow savings to be achieved from reductions in travel, procurement of equipment, and personnel.

**Outlay Effects:** (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
20.3	19.9	0.4	---	---	---

FEDERAL INSPECTOR FOR THE ALASKA GAS PIPELINE  
Permitting and Enforcement

Appropriations under this heading contained in Public Law 96-514 are hereby rescinded in the amount of \$445,000.

Total budgetary resources		Appropriation title & number	
Amount proposed for rescission		Salaries and expenses	
Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):		OMB identification code:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act		42-0100-0-1-451	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other		Grant program	
Type of budget authority:		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation		Type of account or fund:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	

**Justification:** This appropriation provides funds for the Corporation's staff, space rental, office expenses, and other operating expenses that are not directly related to land acquisition and development activities.

Funds totaling \$60,000 are proposed for rescission as a result of equipment and staff reductions. This proposal is part of President Reagan's efforts to reduce Federal spending.

**Estimated Effect:** The Corporation will experience a staff and equipment reduction that is commensurate with the proposed reduction in land acquisition and public development operations.

Outlay effect: (in thousands of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
2,443	2,383	60			

Rescission Proposal No: R81-114

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation	New budget authority <u>\$ 2,443,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-514</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>2,443,000</u>
Salaries and expenses 4210100	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 60,000</u>
OMB identification code: 42-0100-0-1-451	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: This appropriation provides funds for the Corporation's staff, space rental, office expenses, and other operating expenses that are not directly related to land acquisition and development activities.

Funds totalling \$60,000 are proposed for rescission as a result of equipment and staff reductions. This proposal is part of President Reagan's efforts to reduce Federal spending.

Estimated Effect: The Corporation will experience a staff and equipment reduction that is commensurate with the proposed reduction in land acquisition and public development operations.

Outlay effect: (In thousands of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
2,443	2,383	60	--	--	--

PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Salaries and expenses

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$60,000 are rescinded.

Agency Selective Service System	Agency	90-0400-0-1-84	OMB identification code:
Bureau	Grant program	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Appropriation	Type of account or fund:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No-year	(expiration date)
	Type of budget authority:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other	
	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012):	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other	

Justification: Funds for the pre-mobilization procurement of area office telecommunication equipment are proposed for rescission. These funds would be used to equip each of the approximately 400 area offices with an on-line computer terminal to transmit data directly to the headquarter's computer system. This low priority item is proposed for reduction as part of President Reagan's plan to reduce Federal spending. Upon mobilization, data would be transmitted via mail service until adequate telecommunications gear could be installed.

Estimated Effect: Pre-mobilization procurement of area office terminals will not be funded as planned in 1981. Several area offices would have demonstration terminals. Installation of the complete system would be delayed one to two months after mobilization.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlays Savings		
Rescission	Without Rescission	1981	1982	1983
34.0	32.1	1.9	---	---

Rescission Proposal No: R81-115

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Selective Service System	New budget authority \$ <u>26,594,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-526</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources _____
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>26,594,000</u>
Salaries and Expenses	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>1,940,000</u>
9010400	
OMB identification code: 90-0400-0-1-54	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: Funds for the pre-mobilization procurement of area office telecommunication equipment are proposed for rescission. These funds would be used to equip each of the approximately 400 area offices with an on-line computer terminal to transmit data directly to the headquarter's computer system. This low priority item is proposed for reduction as part of President Reagan's plan to reduce Federal spending. Upon mobilization, data would be transmitted via mail service until adequate telecommunications gear could be installed.

Estimated Effect: Pre-mobilization procurement of area office terminals will not be funded as planned in 1981. Several area offices would have demonstration terminals. Installation of the complete system would be delayed one to two months after mobilization.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlays Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
34.0	32.1	1.9	---	---	---

## SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM

## Salaries and Expenses

Of the funds appropriated under this head in the Department of Housing and Urban Development - Independent Agencies Appropriation Act, 1981, \$1,940,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: 881-116

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Small Business Administration</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 238,245,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-536</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>3,927,000</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Salaries and Expenses 7310100	Total budgetary resources <u>242,172,000</u>
OMB identification code: <u>73-0100-0-1-376</u>	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 1,405,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

**Justification:** This account funds several special purpose management and technical assistance programs, as well as salaries and administrative expenses of the Small Business Administration (SBA). To constrain Federal spending, the Administration proposes to integrate, wherever possible, special interest group assistance programs with on-going SBA management and technical assistance programs. Moreover, enhancements to special purpose programs will be eliminated, particularly in those cases where SBA's efforts are being supplemented by those of other Federal agencies. These efforts to achieve program economies and to reduce administrative overhead costs will result in savings of \$1.4 million in 1981, which are now proposed for rescission.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** The activities of the Women's Business Enterprise program will be performed through on-going SBA programs, and the 1981 budget enhancement for the 7(j) Development Assistance program will be eliminated. This rescission will also reduce the level of consulting service, travel, and procurement obligations originally planned for 1981.

**Outlay Effect (in millions of dollars):**

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
235.9	234.5	1.4	--	--	--



SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Salaries and expenses

Of the funds included under this head in H.R. 7584 making appropriations for the Small Business Administration for fiscal year 1981 and appropriated by Public Law 96-536, \$1,405,000 are rescinded.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year

**Outlay Effect:** activities by a total of \$0.5 million in 1981. The effect of the rescission proposal is to reduce TVA travel and procurement and procurement by another \$2.5 million in its power program.

**Estimated Effect:** This rescission proposal provides a \$300,000 decrease in travel and a \$500,000 decrease in procurement in support of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions. These selected lower priority activities will be curtailed or postponed to reduce Federal spending. In addition, TVA also proposes to administratively reduce travel and procurement by another \$2.5 million in its power program.

(in millions of dollars)

Year	1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
	Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
	2227.0	2226.5	0.5	-	-	-

R81-117

Rescission Proposal No: \_\_\_\_\_

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Tennessee Valley Authority	New budget authority (P.L. 96-367)	\$287,563,000
Bureau	Other budgetary resources	87,394,000
Appropriation title & symbol Tennessee Valley Authority Fund 64X4110	Total budgetary resources	374,957,000
	Amount proposed for rescission	\$ 500,000
OMB identification code: 64-4110-0-3-999	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Justification: This rescission proposal provides a \$300,000 decrease in travel and a \$200,000 decrease in procurements in support of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions. These selected lower priority activities will be curtailed or postponed to reduce Federal spending. In addition, TVA also proposes to administratively reduce travel and procurement by another \$2.5 million in its power program.

Estimated Effect: The effect of the rescission proposal is to reduce TVA travel and procurement activities by a total of \$0.5 million in 1981.

Outlay Effect:

(in millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimate</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without Rescission</u>	<u>With Rescission</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
2227.0	2226.5	.5	-	-	-

Rescission Proposal No: AB1-115

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Number: H.R. 1011 of P.L. 96-367

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Payment to the Tennessee Valley Authority Fund

Of the funds appropriated under this head in P.L. 96-367 making appropriations for Energy and Water Development \$500,000 are rescinded.

Agency: Water Resources Council	Appropriation title & symbol:
Bureau:	Water Resources Planning
Legal authority (in which is the authority):	OMB identification code: 83-0100-0-1-301
<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	Grant program: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Other	Type of account or fund:
Type of budget authority:	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year
<input type="checkbox"/> Other	

**Justification:** The programs carried out by the Water Resources Council have as their primary purpose the improvement of Federal, State, and regional water resources planning, and the coordination of Federal water resource policy. This rescission will reduce the carryover into 1982 of funds appropriated for fiscal year 1981. Together with withdrawal of the request for 1981 appropriations, this will curtail the activities of the Water Resources Council, because high priority activities have been completed, and remaining programs are carried out ineffectively.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

**Estimated Effect:** This rescission will allow speedy termination of all Water Resources Council activities. Elimination of all funding for the Water Resources Council in 1982 will allow redirection of Federal policy to develop a more effective process for coordination of water resource programs. The proposal will terminate Federal participation in six river basin commissions and will also eliminate the \$10 million program of cost shared grants to States for water planning and management.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
22.6	22.6	---	2.0	---

Rescission Proposal No: R81-118

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency <u>Water Resources Council</u>	New budget authority <u>\$ 24,777,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-367</u> )
Bureau	Other budgetary resources <u>--</u>
Appropriation title & symbol	Total budgetary resources <u>24,777,000</u>
Water Resources Planning 85X0100	Amount proposed for rescission <u>\$ 5,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 85-0100-0-1-301	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____

Justification: The programs carried out by the Water Resources Council have as their primary purpose the improvement of Federal, State, and regional water resource planning, and the coordination of Federal water resource policy.

This rescission will reduce the carryover into 1982 of funds appropriated for fiscal year 1981. Together with withdrawal of the request for 1982 appropriations, this will terminate the activities of the Water Resources Council, because high priority activities have been completed, and remaining programs are carried out ineffectively.

This rescission proposal is an integral component of President Reagan's comprehensive economic plan for spending reductions, tax reductions, and actions to remove unnecessary regulatory burdens.

Estimated Effect: This rescission will allow speedy termination of all Water Resources Council activities. Elimination of all funding for the Water Resources Council in 1982 will allow redirection of Federal policy to develop a more effective process for coordination of water resource programs. The proposal will terminate Federal participation in six river basin commissions and will also eliminate the \$10 million program of cost shared grants to states for water planning and management.

Outlay Effect: (In millions of dollars)

<u>1981 Outlay Estimates</u>		<u>Outlay Savings</u>			
<u>Without</u>	<u>With</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>
<u>Rescission</u>	<u>Rescission</u>				
22.6	22.6	--	5.0	--	--

WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL

Water Resources Planning

Agency Department of Agriculture  
Bureau Farmers Home Administration

Other budgetary resources  
Total loan limitation \$4,029,600,000

Amount proposed for rescission \$5,000,000

Act of 1949, 64 Stat. 491, 35A

Type of account or fund:  Annual  Multiple-year  No-year

Expiration date: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of budget authority:  Other for direct loans  Other for direct loans  Authority to incur obligations

Estimated effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimates		Outlay Savings		
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983
762.1	780.2	1.8	31.1	32.3
				34.9

Estimated effects: The proposed \$5 million reduction of authority to incur obligations for moderate income housing direct loans (from \$225 million to \$205 million) would reduce the number of estimated housing units assisted in 1981 to 14,700 (7,700 less than in the January budget).

Justification: The Rural Housing Insurance Fund program finances a variety of loans to purchase, develop, improve, repair and rehabilitate housing in rural areas. This proposal reduces the authority to incur obligations for direct loans under the moderate income homeownership loan program by \$5,000,000. This proposal is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation, reduce subsidies and limit Federal involvement in the credit market.

Of the funds provided for "Water Resources Planning" in P.L. 96-367, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

Rescission Proposal No: R81-119

**PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	Current direct loan limitation \$ <u>4,099,600,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>--</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Rural Housing Insurance Fund 12X4141	Total loan limitation <u>4,099,600,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>316,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 12-4141-0-3-371	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority Authority to incur obligations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>for direct loans.</u>

Justification:

The Rural Housing Insurance Fund program finances a variety of loans to purchase, develop, improve, repair and rehabilitate housing in rural areas. This proposal reduces the authority to incur obligations for direct loans under the moderate income homeownership loan program by \$316,000,000. This proposal is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation, reduce subsidies and limit Federal involvement in the credit market.

Estimated Effects:

The proposed \$316 million reduction of authority to incur obligations for moderate income housing direct loans (from \$825 million to \$509 million) would reduce the number of estimated housing units assisted in 1981 to 14,700 (7,700 less than in the January budget).

Outlay Effects: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
762.1	760.5	1.6	31.1	35.3	34.9

081-120

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

R81-119

Farmers Home Administration

Rural Housing Insurance Fund

The fiscal year 1981 appropriations language for the above account is amended as follows:

Loans and related advances pursuant to section 517(m) of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, \$24,000,000 shall be available from funds in the rural housing insurance fund, and for insured loans as authorized by title V of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, \$3,759,600,000, of which \$3,195,600,000 shall be available for subsidized interest loans to low-income borrowers as determined by the Secretary; and not to exceed \$5,000,000 for advances as authorized by section 501(e) of such Act and not to exceed \$2,000,000 for compensation of construction defects as authorized by section 509(c) of such Act: Provided, That unsubsidized interest guaranteed loans of not to exceed \$25,000,000 shall be in addition to these amounts.

*(Faint mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including "Justification:" and "Estimated Effects:")*

*(Faint mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including "Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)")*

1981 Outlay Estimate			
Without Rescission		With Rescission	
1981	1982	1981	1982
---	1.4	753.8	753.8
1.2	1.2		

PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY  
Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	Current direct loan limitation \$ <u>7,873,600,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	
Appropriation title & symbol Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund 12X4140	
	Other budgetary resources _____
	Total loan limitation <u>7,873,600,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>88,850,000</u>
OMB identification code: 12-4140-0-3-351	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Authority to incur obligations for direct loans.

Justification:

The Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund finances a number of Agricultural Credit Programs. This rescission proposes to reduce the authority to incur obligations for direct loans by \$80.0 million for the Farm Ownership Program, \$5.0 million for the Soil and Water Conservation Program, and \$3.8 million for the Resource Conservation and Development program. These activities can be financed through private sources of credit. The \$3,850,000 reduction in the Resource Conservation and Development Loans is consistent with the phase down of the Resource Conservation and Development program. This proposal is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation and reduce the role of the Federal government in the credit market. It has been determined that this reduction can be made without adversely affecting human health and safety or other critical needs.

Estimated Effects:

The proposed reduction of \$80 million of authority to incur obligations for direct loans under the farm ownership program (from \$870 million to \$790 million) would reduce the number of loans estimated to be made in 1981 to 10,700 (470 less than indicated in the January budget). A \$5 million reduction of authority to incur loan obligations under the soil and water loan program would reduce the number of loans to 2,050 in 1981 (210 less than indicated in the January budget).

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
753.6	753.6	---	1.4	1.5	1.5



DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

R81-120

Farmers Home Administration

Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund

The fiscal year 1981 appropriations language for the above account is amended as follows:

Loans may be insured, or made to be sold and insured, under this fund in accordance with and subject to the provisions of 7 U.S.C. 1928-1929, or guaranteed, as follows: real estate loans, \$914,600,000, including, \$840,000,000 for farm ownership loans of which \$50,000,000 shall be guaranteed loans; and \$55,500,000 for water development use, and conservation loans of which \$6,000,000 shall be guaranteed loans; operating loans, \$875,000,000 of which \$25,000,000 shall be guaranteed loans; and emergency insured and guaranteed loans in amounts necessary to meet the needs resulting from natural disasters.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Soil Conservation Service  
Resource Conservation and Development

The loan level authorized under this head in Public Law 96-528, making appropriations for fiscal year 1981 is reduced to \$150,000.

1981 Outlay Savings		1981 Outlay Estimate	
1981	1982	Without Restriction	With Restriction
7.8	4.2	280.4	130.4

R81-121

Rescission Proposal No: \_\_\_\_\_

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	Current direct loan limitation \$ <u>1,010,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-528</u> ) Other budgetary resources <u>          --</u> Total loan limitation <u>1,010,000,000</u>
Bureau Farmers Home Administration	
Appropriation title & symbol Rural Development Insurance Fund	
12X4155	
OMB identification code: 12-4155-0-3-452	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>160,000,000</u>
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority Authority to incur obligations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>for direct loans.</u>

Justification:

The Rural Development Insurance Fund finances three loan programs: Water and Waste Disposal Loans, Community Facility Loans, and Business and Industry Loans. This proposal, which reduces the authority to incur obligations for direct loans under the Water and Waste Disposal Loan Program, is part of the Administration's effort to combat inflation and reduce Federal involvement in the credit market.

Estimated Effects:

The proposal to reduce by \$160 million authority to incur obligations for direct loans under the water and sewer program (from \$750 million to \$590 million) would reduce the number of loans estimated to be made in 1981 to 1,398 (379 less than indicated in the January budget).

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
280.4	280.4	--	0.8	4.2	7.8

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farmers Home Administration

Rural Development Insurance Fund

The fiscal year 1981 appropriations language for the above account is amended as follows:

Loans to be insured, or made to be sold and insured, under this fund in accordance with and subject to the provisions of 7 U.S.C. 1928 and 86 Stat. 661-664, as follows: insured water and sewer facility loans, \$590,000,000; guaranteed industrial development loans, \$741,000,000; and insured community facility loans, \$260,000,000.

<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Authority to incur obligations	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Other for direct loans <input type="checkbox"/> Authority to incur obligations <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	<input type="checkbox"/> No-year <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> Annual

Justification:

These proposed actions are based on the fact that RFA has largely accomplished its purpose to provide the basic investment capital necessary to provide electric and telephone service in rural areas. More than 90% of all farms and rural establishments now have electric service, and more than 90% have telephone service. The bulk of borrowing is now for power generation and system improvements, costs that could be born by system users.

Estimated Effects:

The reaction would reduce direct lending to rural telephone systems (\$125 million) and direct lending to rural electric systems for power generation and transmission facilities (\$85 million). The major reason that these systems have not already converted to using the private sector as a major capital source is the highly favorable rate structure--for both the direct and guaranteed lending--offered by RFA. As a consequence, the availability of these subsidies reduces the necessity for recipients to improve their financial position in order to attract private capital.

Rescission Proposal No: R31-122

## PROPOSED RESCISSION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY

Report Pursuant to Section 1012 of P.L. 93-344

Agency Department of Agriculture	Current direct loan limitation \$ <u>1,100,000,000</u> (P.L. <u>96-528</u> )
Bureau Rural Electrification Administration	Other budgetary resources <u>---</u>
Appropriation title & symbol Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund 12X4230	Total loan limitation <u>1,100,000,000</u>
	Amount proposed for rescission \$ <u>187,000,000</u>
OMB identification code: 72-4230-0-3-271	Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1012): <input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act
Grant program <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Type of account or fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year	Type of budget authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority Authority to incur obligations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>for direct loans</u>

Justification:

These proposed actions are based on the fact that REA has largely accomplished its purpose to provide the basic investment capital necessary to provide electric and telephone service in rural areas. More than 99% of all farms and rural establishments now have electric service, and more than 90% have telephone service. The bulk of borrowing is now for power generation and system improvements, costs that could be born by system users.

Estimated Effects:

The rescission would reduce direct lending to rural telephone systems (\$125 million) and direct lending to rural electric systems for power generation and transmission facilities (\$62 million).

The major reason that these systems have not already converted to using the private sector as a major capital source is the highly favorable term structure--for both the direct and guaranteed lending--offered by REA. As a consequence, the availability of these subsidies reduces the necessity for recipients to improve their financial positions in order to attract private capital.

Taking these actions will result in a dramatic reduction in direct Federal lending. At the same time, rural electric and telephone consumers served by these systems will continue to receive adequate service at rates comparable to those charged to customers of non-REA-financed utilities.

Outlay Effect: (in millions of dollars)

1981 Outlay Estimate		Outlay Savings			
Without Rescission	With Rescission	1981	1982	1983	1984
---	---	---	---	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Electrification Administration

Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund

Loan authorizations provided for the Rural Electrification and Telephone Revolving Fund for fiscal year 1981 in P.L. 96-528, for Section 305 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, are reduced as follows: a reduction of \$62,000,000 in rural electrification loans and \$125,000,000 in rural telephone loans to provide for a total level of \$788,000,000 for rural electrification loans; and a reduction of \$125,000,000 for rural telephone loans: Provided, That loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act are in addition to these amounts, but during 1981, total commitments to guarantee loans pursuant to section 306, shall not exceed \$5,145,000,000 of contingent liability for loan principal.

Deferral No: D81-103

**DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY**  
Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

Agency	Department of Commerce	New budget authority	\$ 44,400,000
Bureau	Minority Business Development Agency	(P.L. 96-536)	4,586,291
Appropriation title & symbol		Other budgetary resources	
Minority Business Development		Total budgetary resources	48,986,291
13X0201		Amount to be deferred:	
		Part of year	\$ _____
		Entire year	3,400,000
OMB identification code: 13-0201-0-1-376		Legal authority (in addition to sec. 1013):	
Grant program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act	
Type of account or fund:		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annual		Type of budget authority:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year _____ (expiration date)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appropriation	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No-year		<input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

**Justification:** This appropriation provides for the delivery of management and technical assistance to minority businesses through private business consulting firms. While this deferral will reduce the number of locations where services can be provided, it will still enable the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) to offer management assistance in 65 out of the 100 metropolitan areas with the highest concentration of minority businesses.

This deferral action is taken as a part of the Administration's effort to reduce Federal spending.

**Estimated Effects:** By deferring resources in the three components of the Enterprise Development program, the effect of reduction on any client group, particularly those benefitting from the General Business Service Centers, will be minimized.

**Outlay Effect:** This deferral action will reduce 1981 outlays by \$3.4 million and will reduce the need for budget authority in 1982.



Deferal No: 081-103

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
BUREAU OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Agency	Department of Commerce
Bureau	Minority Business Development Agency
Appropriation Title & Symbol	Minority Business Development
OMB Labeling Code	15-X-001-0-010
Grant Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Type of account or fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Annual <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple-year <input type="checkbox"/> No-year
Type of budget authority	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Contract authority <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Legal Authority	<input type="checkbox"/> Antideficiency Act <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Current year	1987
Amount to be deferred	1,400,000
Other budget authority	49,988,591
New budget authority	44,400,000

**Justification:** This appropriation provides for the delivery of management and technical assistance to minority businesses through private business consulting firms. While this deferral will reduce the number of locations where services can be provided, it will still enable the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) to offer management assistance in 65 out of the 100 metropolitan areas with the highest concentration of minority businesses.

This deferral action is taken as a part of the Administration's effort to reduce Federal spending.

**Estimated Effects:** By deferring resources in the three components of the Enterprise Development program, the effect of reduction on any client group, particularly those benefiting from the General Business Service Centers, will be minimized.

**Outlay Effect:** This deferral action will reduce 1987 outlays by \$3.4 million and will reduce the need for budget authority in 1987.