

# Acclimation of photosynthesis and respiration to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in two Scrub Oaks

GRAHAM J. HYMUS, TOM G. SNEAD, DAVID P. JOHNSON, BRUCE A. HUNGATE\* and BERT G. DRAKE

Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Mailcode DYN-2, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, USA, \*Department of Biological Sciences and Merriam-Powell Center for Environmental Research, Box 5640, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011-5640, USA

## Abstract

For two species of oak, we determined whether increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (C<sub>a</sub>) would decrease leaf mitochondrial respiration (R) directly, or indirectly owing to their growth in elevated C<sub>a</sub>, or both. In particular, we tested whether acclimatory decreases in leaf-Rubisco content in elevated C<sub>a</sub> would decrease R associated with its maintenance. This hypothesis was tested in summer 2000 on sun and shade leaves of *Quercus myrtifolia* Willd. and *Quercus geminata* Small. We also measured R on five occasions between summer 1999 and 2000 on leaves of *Q. myrtifolia*. The oaks were grown in the field for 4 years, in either current ambient or elevated (current ambient + 350 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) C<sub>a</sub>, in open-top chambers (OTCs). For *Q. myrtifolia*, an increase in C<sub>a</sub> from 360 to 710 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> had no direct effect on R at any time during the year. In April 1999, R in young *Q. myrtifolia* leaves was significantly higher in elevated C<sub>a</sub>—the only evidence for an indirect effect of growth in elevated C<sub>a</sub>. Leaf R was significantly correlated with leaf nitrogen (N) concentration for the sun and shade leaves of both the species of oak. Acclimation of photosynthesis in elevated C<sub>a</sub> significantly reduced maximum RuBP-saturated carboxylation capacity (V<sub>cmax</sub>) for both the sun and shade leaves of only *Q. geminata*. However, we estimated that only 11–12% of total leaf N was invested in Rubisco; consequently, acclimation in this plant resulted in a small effect on N and an insignificant effect on R. In this study measurements of respiration and photosynthesis were made on material removed from the field; this procedure had no effect on gas exchange properties. The findings of this study were applicable to R expressed either per unit leaf area or unit dry weight, and did not support the hypothesis that elevated C<sub>a</sub> decreases R directly, or indirectly owing to acclimatory decreases in Rubisco content.

**Keywords:** elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, leaf nitrogen, leaf respiration, open-top chambers, photosynthetic acclimation, scrub oaks

Received 28 April 2001; revised version received and accepted 24 August 2001

## Introduction

It is predicted that atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (C<sub>a</sub>) is to double within the next 100 years (Schimel *et al.* 1996). Under this scenario a substantial stimulation of C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis could increase ecosystem carbon uptake (Drake *et al.* 1997; Norby *et al.* 1999). However, long-term effects on ecosystem carbon storage may, in part, depend on

whether rates of mitochondrial respiration (R) are affected by increased atmospheric C<sub>a</sub> and associated climate changes (Ryan 1991; Amthor 1995; Drake *et al.* 1999).

A direct, reversible inhibition of foliar dark respiration has often been observed when C<sub>a</sub> is increased (Amthor *et al.* 1992; Mousseau 1993; Teskey 1995; Gonzalez-Meler *et al.* 1996; Ryan *et al.* 1996). However, many studies also report no direct effect of increasing C<sub>a</sub> on R (Ryle *et al.* 1992; Ziska & Bunce 1994; Mitchell *et al.* 1995; Tjoelker *et al.* 1999; Amthor 2000). Generalization about a direct effect of C<sub>a</sub> on respiration is further complicated by the

Correspondence: G. J. Hymus, fax +321-861-1389, e-mail sico2@titan02.ksc.nasa.gov

absence of a mechanism capable of accounting for the magnitude of the direct effects that have been observed (Gonzalez-Meler & Siedow 1999).

In addition to having a direct effect on R, growth in elevated  $C_a$  may change leaf chemical composition, particularly concentrations of leaf nitrogen (N) and total nonstructural carbohydrates (TNC) which may indirectly affect R. Tissue N concentration is highly correlated to R (Wullschlegel *et al.* 1992; Ryan 1995; Reich *et al.* 1998) and commonly decreases in plants grown in elevated  $C_a$ . This decrease is often consistent with an acclimatory decrease in N in photosynthetic enzymes—particularly Rubisco (Nie *et al.* 1995; Rogers *et al.* 1998; Li *et al.* 1999; Griffin *et al.* 2000). Given that up to 25% of leaf N can be invested in Rubisco (Drake *et al.* 1997), substantial reductions in Rubisco could reduce maintenance respiration associated with its synthesis and turnover. Conversely, concentrations of nonstructural carbohydrates generally increase in elevated  $C_a$ , increasing R (Hrubec *et al.* 1985; Thomas *et al.* 1993; Thomas & Griffin 1994; Mitchell *et al.* 1995; Tjoelker *et al.* 1999). Clearly, the indirect effects of decreasing N and increasing TNC in leaves growing in elevated  $C_a$  could have opposite effects on R (Tjoelker *et al.* 1999).

Recent literature reviews have concluded that a reduction in R is often the consequence of exposure to, or growth in, elevated  $C_a$ . A meta-analysis by Curtis & Wang (1998) concluded that R per unit mass was reduced by 18% in woody plants grown at elevated  $C_a$ . Drake *et al.* (1999) concluded that doubling of present  $C_a$  would directly reduce R per unit mass by 15–18%. While foliar respiration is but one component of ecosystem respiration, and is commonly a much smaller component than root and microbial soil respiration (Valentini *et al.* 2000), the effects of elevated  $C_a$  on foliar respiration could be significant at the regional or global scale. Drake *et al.* (1999) showed with a model of global carbon balance that a 15% decrease in foliar respiration would significantly reduce global annual respiratory  $CO_2$  flux by 3 Gt.

In this study we tested the hypothesis that growth in elevated  $C_a$  will reduce R, both directly, and indirectly owing to acclimatory decreases in leaf-Rubisco content. The study site was a Florida scrub-oak ecosystem, within which open-top chambers (OTCs) were used to create the test atmosphere of either current ambient or elevated  $C_a$  for vegetation that had regenerated after fire for 4 years. On five occasions between summer 1999 and 2000, we measured R in detached leaves of *Quercus myrtifolia* Willd. exposed to a step change in  $C_a$  to determine the direct effect on R. By comparing R of leaves measured at a common  $C_a$ , we tested for the indirect effect of  $C_a$  on R. The maximum RuBP-saturated carboxylation capacity ( $V_{cmax}$ ) of *Quercus geminata* Small consistently decreases

in elevated  $C_a$ . For *Q. myrtifolia*,  $V_{cmax}$  typically does not decrease in elevated  $C_a$ . Given that  $V_{cmax}$  can provide a surrogate measure of leaf Rubisco content (Long & Drake 1992), this situation presented the possibility of testing whether acclimatory decreases in leaf Rubisco content will decrease mitochondrial R of leaves growing in elevated  $C_a$ .

## Materials and methods

### *The site*

The Smithsonian Environmental Research Center OTC project was sited in the scrub-oak palmetto ecosystem of coastal central Florida. The project was on Merritt Island (28°38'N, 80°42'W), within NASA's Kennedy Space Center. Two burns, one in August 1995 and the other in January 1996 cleared the site prior to the installation of the OTCs. In May 1996, growth inside the OTCs was cut back to ground level and fumigation began. Since then the ecosystem has regenerated in 16 large OTCs (9.42 m<sup>2</sup> ground area and 1.76 m high) (Li *et al.* 1999; Hungate *et al.* 2000). Eight of the OTCs were maintained at current ambient  $C_a$  and eight at elevated  $C_a$ . Carbon dioxide concentration was measured in each of the 16 OTCs every 11 min. For 1999, average  $CO_2$  concentrations were  $380 \pm 1 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  and  $690 \pm 4 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  during the photoperiod, and  $441 \pm 38 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  and  $800 \pm 10 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  during the night, for the ambient and elevated OTCs, respectively.

### *Leaf mitochondrial dark respiration*

A custom-designed respiration circuit was used to measure R. The circuit passed air through eight stainless steel cylindrical chambers, each of which contained up to 100 cm<sup>2</sup> of leaf material, and one identical empty sealed reference chamber. All the chambers were immersed in a water bath during measurements. This design had two important benefits:

1. The large leaf area increased measurement sensitivity and enabled high flow rates through the system, thereby maintaining positive pressure and reducing the possibility of air leaking into the circuit.
2. By placing the chambers into a water bath, any leaks out of, or into, the chambers could be easily observed.

Dry ambient air from a pressurized cylinder entered the respiration circuit at a flow rate of 12 L min<sup>-1</sup> through 4 mm diameter tubing (Impolene, Imperial Eastman, USA). The airflow was then conditioned to a set  $C_a$  in two stages. First it was passed through a soda-lime column and scrubbed of  $CO_2$ , then  $CO_2$  was added from

a pressurized cylinder containing 10 000  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  CO<sub>2</sub>, balance 21 kPa O<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> (Boggs Gases, Titusville, FL, USA). The air flowed sequentially through four 1 L mixing volumes to ensure mixing and constant C<sub>a</sub>. Once conditioned, the airflow was divided between the eight sample, and one reference, chambers. Each of the eight sample chambers and the reference chamber received a constant flow rate of 1 L min<sup>-1</sup>, measured using separate flow meters (MMA 0.5–5, Dwyer, Marietta, GA, USA). The leaf material was placed on a wire-mesh support in the middle of each chamber. This enabled air flowing into the chambers to circulate under and around the respiring leaves before exiting the chamber. Airflow left the reference and one sample chamber in separate lengths of tubing and passed through a second flow meter, then into separate cells of an infra-red gas analyzer (IRGA; LI 6262, LICOR, Lincoln, NB, USA) set in differential mode that had been calibrated against a water vapour generator (LI 610, LICOR, Lincoln, NB, USA) and standard CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 700  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  (Boggs Gases, Titusville, FL, USA). Airflow from the reference cell passed through a second IRGA, which continuously measured the absolute reference CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O mol fraction and fed this value into the differential analyzer, which could then correct the measured CO<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta\text{CO}_2$ ) and H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\Delta\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) differentials for changes in background C<sub>a</sub>. For each measurement a solenoid valve switched the airflow between reference and sample cells of the differential IRGA. In each configuration  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  was measured. Using the mean of these two  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  accounted for any physical differences between the IRGA cells, or differences resulting from their ageing. When not being sampled air continued to flow through the sample chambers at the rate of 1 L min<sup>-1</sup>.

From a known  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ ) and flow rate ( $\mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$ ), R was calculated and expressed either per unit leaf area ( $R_{\text{LA}}$ ) or per unit dry mass ( $R_{\text{DW}}$ ).

$$R_{\text{LA}} (\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}) = (\Delta\text{CO}_2 \times u) / s$$

where  $s$  is leaf surface area ( $\text{m}^{-2}$ ).

$$R_{\text{DW}} (\eta\text{mol g s}^{-1}) = (\Delta\text{CO}_2 \times u) / \text{DW}$$

where DW is the leaf dry weight (g).

For the sun leaves of *Q. myrtifolia*, R was measured in September and November 1999, March, April, and July 2000. For the sun and shade leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata*, R was measured in July and August 2000, respectively. Each sample chamber contained 20 leaves, four from each of five different plants, from one OTC. Data sets were collected over two consecutive days. Shade leaves were removed from the lowest branch of the shoot. All the leaves were harvested within an hour after sunrise when leaf carbohydrate levels were at a

minimum. After being placed in the sample chambers, the leaves were maintained at a set C<sub>a</sub> for at least 1 h before measurements were made, and C<sub>a</sub> changed. All measurements were made at an air temperature ( $T_{\text{air}}$ ) of 25 °C measured using copper constantan thermocouples (Omega Engineering, Stamford, CT, USA) located where air left the leaf chambers. The temperature of the air flowing through the system tracked  $T_{\text{air}}$  within the lab, which was controlled at 25 °C and buffered against changes by circulating it through a water bath also set at 25 °C.

#### Testing IRGA accuracy

The calculation of  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  by the IRGA relies on a series of calibration constants unique to each analyzer and determined on initial factory calibration. As the components of the IRGA age, it is possible that these calibration constants may become inappropriate leading to erroneous measurements of  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$ . We tested this possibility in April 2000, midway through our experiments. A known, stable  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  was generated and measured at a series of background C<sub>a</sub> of 376, 696 and 1000  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  on the IRGA used in these experiments. Each of these three measurements were made on the IRGA after the span calibration had been performed at a C<sub>a</sub> of 376, 696 and 1000  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ .

#### Light-saturated photosynthetic capacity

In July 2000, for *Q. myrtifolia*, and August 2000, for *Q. geminata*, entire branches were harvested at the same time as the leaves for the measurements of respiration. These branches were cut under water, transferred to a controlled environment, and maintained in low light intensity until analyzed. Analyses were usually made within 2–3 h after harvesting. The response of light-saturated photosynthesis ( $A_{\text{sat}}$ ) to substomatal C<sub>a</sub> ( $C_i$ ) was made using a portable gas exchange system (LI-6400, LICOR, Lincoln, NB, USA). Measurements were made at a leaf temperature ( $T_{\text{leaf}}$ ) of 25 °C and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.4 kPa, in 21 kPa O<sub>2</sub> and at a photosynthetically-active photon flux density (PPFD) of 1200  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , found to be saturating for photosynthesis. Photosynthetic induction was at the growth C<sub>a</sub>, thereafter steady-state photosynthesis was measured with stepwise decreases in C<sub>a</sub>. A second measurement at the growth C<sub>a</sub> was made after a measurement at 5 Pa, followed by measurements with stepwise increases in C<sub>a</sub> up to 150 Pa. The  $V_{\text{c,max}}$  and maximum capacity for electron transport contributing to RubP regeneration ( $J_{\text{max}}$ ) were determined from the  $A_{\text{sat}}$  vs.  $C_i$  response curve using the equations and constants of McMurtrie & Wang (1993).

### Leaf N analysis

To determine leaf N concentrations, leaves (collected as described above) were dried at 60 °C to constant weight, ground to 40 mesh, and subsamples of the ground tissue were analyzed for C and N concentrations using an elemental analyzer (CE 2100, Elantech, Lukewood, NJ, USA). Leaf N content was expressed both per unit dry weight ( $N_{\text{DW}}$  g g<sup>-1</sup>) and per unit leaf area ( $N_{\text{LA}}$  g m<sup>-2</sup>). Analyses were conducted at the Colorado Plateau Stable Isotope Laboratory at Northern Arizona University. External analytical precisions (st dev,  $n=10$  duplicate samples), were <0.10% N and <0.40% C for these analyses.

### Estimating the fraction of leaf N invested in Rubisco

An estimation of leaf Rubisco content was calculated from measured  $V_{\text{c,max}}$  as shown below:

$$\text{Rubisco (mol m}^{-2}\text{)} = (V_{\text{c,max}}/1\,000\,000)/(8 \times K_{\text{cat}})$$

where eight was the number of Rubisco active sites (Raines *et al.* 1991). The carboxylation capacity of Rubisco active sites ( $K_{\text{cat}}$ ) was 3.3 (mol CO<sub>2</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> site<sup>-1</sup>) (Woodrow & Berry 1988). Leaf Rubisco content (mol m<sup>-2</sup>) was multiplied by the molecular mass of Rubisco (Raines *et al.* 1991) and Avogadro's number, to convert it to g m<sup>-2</sup>. Finally, by knowing the proportion of Rubisco that was N (Steer *et al.* 1968), we estimated the amount of leaf N in Rubisco and ultimately the fraction of leaf N invested in Rubisco ( $f_{\text{Rubisco}}$ ).

### Comparing R, $V_{\text{c,max}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ measured in the lab and in situ

On three occasions, once in each of June, July and August 2000, we compared R measured on detached leaves, using the procedure described above, to R measured in the field on attached leaves. These measurements were made at predawn in June and July 2000 and after sunset in August 2000. Measurements in the field were made using a portable gas exchange system (LI-6400, LICOR, Lincoln, NB, USA) with a large leaf chamber (LI-6400-05, LICOR, Lincoln, NB, USA). The leaf chamber was secured around a shoot and typically accommodated up to 60 cm leaf area, plus stem. For the purpose of comparing R, measurements made in the field were corrected for the proportion of total biomass; that was stem. This was typically 10%, and that stem respiration per unit dry weight was 30% of leaf respiration per unit dry weight (data not shown). In July 1997 we compared  $V_{\text{c,max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  of five leaves on excised stems determined from  $A/C_i$  curves measured as described above, with  $V_{\text{c,max}}$

and  $J_{\text{max}}$  determined from  $A/C_i$  curves measured *in situ*. Measurements were made predawn over 3 days. For all gas exchange comparisons the environmental conditions within the lab were maintained as similar as possible to those in the field.

### Statistical analysis


Two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for an effect of  $C_a$  exposure (direct effect) and  $C_a$  growth (indirect effect) on R of *Q. myrtifolia* sun leaves for the individual sampling dates between summer 1999 and 2000. Two-factor ANOVA was also used to test for the effect of growth  $C_a$  and leaf position on measurements of  $A_{\text{sat}}$ ,  $V_{\text{c,max}}$ ,  $J_{\text{max}}$ , N and  $f_{\text{Rubisco}}$  made on leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata* in summer 2000. Three-factor ANOVA tested for the effect of species, leaf position, and growth  $C_a$  on R of the leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata* in summer 2000. For leaves of both the species, a *t*-test was used to examine the straight-line dependence of R on N. An effect has been described as statistically significant when  $P < 0.05$ . All statistical analyses were performed using a software package (SYSTAT 9.0, Systat inc, Evanstone, IL, USA).

### Results

Throughout this study the effect of elevated  $C_a$  on R was the same whether the rate was expressed as  $R_{\text{LA}}$  or  $R_{\text{DW}}$  (Figs 1 and 3; Tables 1 and 3). Consequently, the symbol R is used throughout except when we wish to distinguish between the two different methods for normalizing the results.

### Seasonal measurements on *Q. myrtifolia* sun leaves

For measurements made in September and November 1999, March, April, and July 2000 on the sun leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* grown in both current ambient and elevated  $C_a$ , R was unaffected by instantaneous increases in  $C_a$  from 360 to 710  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  (Fig. 1; Table 1). In April 2000, R was significantly higher for leaves grown in elevated  $C_a$  when exposed to both 360 and 710  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$   $C_a$ —indicating an indirect effect of growth in elevated  $C_a$  (Fig. 1; Table 1). There were no clear effects of leaf age on R. For the leaves that flushed in spring 1999, and were measured in September 1999 when they were 5-month old, November 1999 at 7-month old and March 2000 at 11-month old, R was similar during each measurement period. For the new flush of leaves measured in April 2000, R was again similar for leaves grown at ambient  $C_a$ , however, R was higher for the leaves grown in elevated  $C_a$ . The lowest rates of R occurred in July 2000 when leaves were 3-month old.




**Fig. 1** Seasonal leaf dark respiration. Leaf respiration ( $R$ ) of the sun leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* measured in September 1999 (a, b), November 99, (c, d), March 2000 (e, f), April 2000 (g, h), and July 2000 (i, j). Leaves were grown in either ambient (white bars) or elevated (grey bars)  $C_a$  and exposed to both 360 and 700  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$   $\text{CO}_2$ . Leaf respiration is expressed per unit leaf area (a, c, e, g & i) and per g dry weight (b, d, f, h & j). Each bar is the mean of  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\pm 1$  SE.

*Indirect effects of growth in elevated  $C_a$  and light environment on photosynthesis and  $R$*

Growth in elevated  $C_a$  and leaf light environment caused changes in light-saturated photosynthetic capacity. For *Q. myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata*, both  $V_{c\text{max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  were significantly lower in the shade leaves than in the sun leaves (Fig. 2; Table 2). *Quercus myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata* displayed contrasting responses of  $V_{c\text{max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  to growth in elevated  $C_a$ . For *Q. myrtifolia*,  $V_{c\text{max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  of both the sun and shade leaves were unaffected by

growth in elevated  $C_a$ . For *Q. geminata* grown in elevated  $C_a$ ,  $V_{c\text{max}}$  was significantly reduced by 33 and 45% in both the sun and shade leaves, respectively, and  $J_{\text{max}}$  was significantly reduced by 20 and 17% in the sun and shade leaves, respectively (Fig. 2; Table 2). For *Q. myrtifolia*,  $A_{\text{sat}}$  measured at the respective growth  $C_a$  was significantly stimulated by 78% in both the sun and shade leaves of *Q. geminata*, acclimatory reductions in both  $V_{c\text{max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  removed any stimulation of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  in elevated  $C_a$  (Table 2).



**Fig. 2** Light-saturated photosynthesis. Plot of  $A_{\text{sat}}$  against  $C_i$  for *Q. geminata* sun (a) and shade (b) leaves, and *Q. myrtifolia* sun (c) and shade (d) leaves. Measurements were made in July (*Q. myrtifolia*) and August (*Q. geminata*) 2000, on leaves of excised branches grown in elevated (solid symbols, solid lines) or ambient (open symbols, dashed lines)  $C_a$  treatments. Also shown are the supply functions for each curve, the  $C_i$  obtained for a given  $g_s$  with varying  $A_{\text{sat}}$  (dashed line). The operating point is at the intersection of the supply function and the  $A/C_i$  curve for each treatment. The  $A/C_i$  curves for  $V_{c \text{ max}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  are fitted to the data points illustrated by maximum-likelihood regression following the functions of McMurtrie & Wang (1993). Data points shown are the means ( $\pm 1$  SE) for measurements made in at least seven replicate OTCs.


**Table 1** Seasonal rates of R. Per unit leaf area ( $R_{\text{LA}}$ ) and per unit dry weight ( $R_{\text{DW}}$ ) are shown for the sun leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* grown in either ambient or elevated  $C_a$ , and exposed to both 360 and 710  $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$   $\text{CO}_2$ . Data shown are means ( $\pm 1$  SE) for measurements made in  $\geq 6$  replicated OTCs on five occasions between summer 1999 and 2000. Two-factor ANOVA was used to test the effect of exposure  $C_a$ , and growth  $C_a$  on  $R_{\text{LA}}$  and  $R_{\text{DW}}$ . Bold figures indicate a statistically significant effect at  $P < 0.05$

		Ambient		Elevated		ANOVA		
		360	710	360	710	$C_a$ expose	$C_a$ grow	Int
Sep. 99	$R_{\text{LA}}$	0.62 $\pm$ 0.05	0.57 $\pm$ 0.04	0.79 $\pm$ 0.04	0.75 $\pm$ 0.05	$F_{1,26} = 0.5$	$F_{1,26} = 0.5$	$F_{1,26} = 0.1$
	$R_{\text{DW}}$	3.66 $\pm$ 0.55	3.30 $\pm$ 0.33	3.90 $\pm$ 0.21	3.68 $\pm$ 0.21	$F_{1,26} = 0.4$	$F_{1,26} = 0.5$	$F_{1,26} = 0.2$
Nov. 99	$R_{\text{LA}}$	0.68 $\pm$ 0.04	0.68 $\pm$ 0.04	0.75 $\pm$ 0.03	0.76 $\pm$ 0.06	$F_{1,28} = 0.01$	$F_{1,28} = 2.8$	$F_{1,28} = 0.01$
	$R_{\text{DW}}$	4.1 $\pm$ 0.17	4.05 $\pm$ 0.23	3.89 $\pm$ 0.23	3.89 $\pm$ 0.28	$F_{1,28} = 0.01$	$F_{1,28} = 0.5$	$F_{1,28} = 0.01$
Mar. 2000	$R_{\text{LA}}$	0.75 $\pm$ 0.03	0.74 $\pm$ 0.04	0.88 $\pm$ 0.04	0.85 $\pm$ 0.04	$F_{1,28} = 0.4$	$F_{1,28} = 2.5$	$F_{1,28} = 0.1$
	$R_{\text{DW}}$	4.10 $\pm$ 0.13	4.07 $\pm$ 0.23	4.05 $\pm$ 0.23	3.52 $\pm$ 0.48	$F_{1,28} = 1.8$	$F_{1,28} = 0.6$	$F_{1,28} = 1.1$
Apr. 2000	$R_{\text{LA}}$	0.81 $\pm$ 0.10	0.76 $\pm$ 0.10	1.20 $\pm$ 0.03	1.12 $\pm$ 0.08	$F_{1,20} = 0.7$	<b><math>F_{1,20} = 22.3</math></b>	$F_{1,20} = 0.1$
	$R_{\text{DW}}$	6.66 $\pm$ 0.42	6.25 $\pm$ 0.41	8.29 $\pm$ 0.23	7.69 $\pm$ 0.48	$F_{1,20} = 1.6$	<b><math>F_{1,20} = 15.0</math></b>	$F_{1,20} = 0.1$
Jul. 2000	$R_{\text{LA}}$	0.41 $\pm$ 0.04	0.39 $\pm$ 0.03	0.43 $\pm$ 0.03	0.44 $\pm$ 0.03	$F_{1,28} = 0.9$	$F_{1,28} = 0.3$	$F_{1,28} = 0.7$
	$R_{\text{DW}}$	2.43 $\pm$ 0.25	2.32 $\pm$ 0.21	2.29 $\pm$ 0.17	2.35 $\pm$ 0.17	$F_{1,28} = 0.01$	$F_{1,28} = 0.1$	$F_{1,28} = 0.2$

$R_{\text{LA}}$  is expressed in  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $R_{\text{DW}}$  is expressed in  $\eta\text{mol g s}^{-1}$ .

For the sun and shade leaves of *Q. myrtifolia*, R was unaffected by growth in elevated  $C_a$ . For *Q. geminata*, growth in elevated  $C_a$  decreased R by 7 and 9% in the sun and shade leaves, respectively—neither decrease was statistically significant (Fig. 3; Table 3). Leaf position in

the canopy had statistically significant effects on R. For *Q. geminata*,  $R_{\text{LA}}$  and  $R_{\text{DW}}$  were lower in the shade leaves than in the sun leaves by 40 and 22%, respectively, in leaves grown in ambient  $C_a$ , and for leaves grown in elevated  $C_a$  by 40 and 22%, respectively. Similarly, for



**Fig. 3** Indirect effects on leaf respiration. Leaf respiration ( $R$ ) of *Q. geminata* sun (a) and shade (b) leaves and *Q. myrtifolia* sun (c) and shade (d) leaves. Each plot shows both  $R_{DW}$  (unhatched bars) and  $R_{LA}$  (hatched bars) for the leaves grown at either 360 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> (white bars) or 700 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> (grey bars) and exposed to a common C<sub>a</sub> of 360 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup>. Each bar is the mean of eight replicated OTCs (± 1 SE).

**Table 2** Light-saturated photosynthesis and leaf properties.  $A_{sat}$  was measured at the growth C<sub>a</sub> for ambient and elevated C<sub>a</sub> treatments. ( $V_{c\ max}$ ) and  $J_{max}$  were derived from the equations and constants of McMurtrie & Wang (1993). All values are the means (± 1 SE) for plants from at least seven open top chambers. Two-factor ANOVA was used to test the effect of growth C<sub>a</sub> and leaf position on the parameters measured. Bold figures indicate a statistically significant effect at  $P < 0.05$

		Sun Leaves		Shade Leaves		ANOVA		
		Ambient	Elevated	Ambient	Elevated	C <sub>a</sub>	Position	Int
<i>Q. myrtifolia</i>	$A_{sat}$	7.5 ± 1.1	13.3 ± 1.2	5.0 ± 0.6	8.9 ± 0.7	<b>F<sub>1,24</sub> = 13.5</b>	<b>F<sub>1,24</sub> = 25.9</b>	$F_{1,24} = 1.0$
	$V_{c\ max}$	66.1 ± 7.1	61.1 ± 4.2	39.3 ± 3.3	31.42 ± 3.2	$F_{1,24} = 1.9$	<b>F<sub>1,24</sub> = 35.5</b>	$F_{1,24} = 0.1$
	$J_{max}$	113.9 ± 7.5	113.5 ± 5.7	82.9 ± 6.1	76.6 ± 3.9	$F_{1,24} = 0.3$	<b>F<sub>1,24</sub> = 32.5</b>	$F_{1,24} = 0.2$
	$N_{DW}$	11.9 ± 0.3	10.6 ± 0.5	12.1 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.4	<b>F<sub>1,28</sub> = 12.3</b>	$F_{1,28} = 0.2$	$F_{1,28} = 0.1$
	$fRubisco$	11.0 ± 1.0	10.44 ± 0.9	9.29 ± 0.8	8.23 ± 0.8	$F_{1,24} = 0.8$	<b>F<sub>1,24</sub> = 4.9</b>	$F_{1,24} = 0.1$
	SLA	5.97 ± 0.21	5.33 ± 0.15	8.46 ± 0.20	8.17 ± 0.13	<b>F<sub>1,28</sub> = 7.0</b>	<b>F<sub>1,28</sub> = 233</b>	$F_{1,28} = 0.3$
<i>Q. geminata</i>	$A_{sat}$	14.1 ± 1.2	14.8 ± 0.6	12.3 ± 1.4	9.65 ± 0.9	$F_{1,26} = 0.9$	<b>F<sub>1,26</sub> = 11.1</b>	$F_{1,26} = 2.6$
	$V_{c\ max}$	93.5 ± 4.7	62.4 ± 2.9	72.9 ± 7.7	40.1 ± 3.1	<b>F<sub>1,26</sub> = 19.4</b>	<b>F<sub>1,26</sub> = 43.0</b>	$F_{1,26} = 0.03$
	$J_{max}$	150.2 ± 7.0	120.0 ± 5.3	105.3 ± 13.2	88.0 ± 4.8	<b>F<sub>1,26</sub> = 8.7</b>	<b>F<sub>1,26</sub> = 22.7</b>	$F_{1,26} = 0.6$
	$N_{DW}$	11.5 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.3	10.5 ± 0.3	9.6 ± 0.2	<b>F<sub>1,28</sub> = 7.8</b>	<b>F<sub>1,28</sub> = 5.8</b>	$F_{1,28} = 4.2$
	$fRubisco$	11.0 ± 0.6	8.0 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 1.1	7.1 ± 0.6	<b>F<sub>1,26</sub> = 31.0</b>	$F_{1,26} = 0.2$	$F_{1,26} = 3.5$
	SLA	5.29 ± 0.21	5.04 ± 0.15	3.90 ± 0.17	3.87 ± 0.10	<b>F<sub>1,28</sub> = 46.0</b>	$F_{1,28} = 0.52$	$F_{1,28} = 0.31$

$A_{sat}$ ,  $V_{c\ max}$  and  $J_{max}$  are expressed in μmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_{DW}$  in mg g<sup>-1</sup>.  $fRubisco$  is the percentage of leaf N invested in Rubisco. SLA is expressed in m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table 3** Effect of species, leaf position and growth in elevated  $C_a$  on R. Per unit leaf area ( $R_{LA}$ ) and per unit dry weight ( $R_{DW}$ ) are shown for the sun and shade leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata* grown at either ambient or elevated  $C_a$  and exposed to a common  $C_a$  of  $360 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ . Data shown are means  $\pm$  1SE for eight replicated OTCs. Three-way ANOVA was used to test for the effect of species, leaf position, and growth  $C_a$  on  $R_{LA}$  and  $R_{DW}$ . None of the interactions between the three factors was statistically significant, therefore  $F$ -values for the three factors only are shown. Bold figures indicate a statistically significant effect at  $P < 0.05$

	<i>Q. myrtifolia</i>				<i>Q. geminata</i>				ANOVA		
	Sun		Shade		Sun		Shade		Species	Position	$C_a$
	Ambient	Elevated	Ambient	Elevated	Ambient	Elevated	Ambient	Elevated			
$R_{LA}$	0.41 $\pm$ 0.04	0.44 $\pm$ 0.03	0.23 $\pm$ 0.02	0.23 $\pm$ 0.03	0.95 $\pm$ 0.1	0.88 $\pm$ 0.06	0.57 $\pm$ 0.08	0.52 $\pm$ 0.06	<b><math>F_{1,56} = 100</math></b>	<b><math>F_{1,56} = 49.4</math></b>	$F_{1,56} = 0.3$
$R_{DW}$	2.35 $\pm$ 0.17	2.43 $\pm$ 0.25	1.93 $\pm$ 0.18	1.89 $\pm$ 0.24	3.64 $\pm$ 0.26	3.39 $\pm$ 0.22	2.87 $\pm$ 0.27	2.62 $\pm$ 0.25	<b><math>F_{1,56} = 35.9</math></b>	<b><math>F_{1,56} = 14.7</math></b>	$F_{1,56} = 0.9$

$R_{LA}$  is expressed in  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $R_{DW}$  is expressed in  $\eta\text{mol g s}^{-1}$ .

*Q. myrtifolia*  $R_{LA}$  and  $R_{DW}$  were also lower in the shade leaves than in the sun leaves by 44 and 21%, respectively in leaves grown in ambient  $C_a$ , and in leaves grown in elevated  $C_a$  by 48 and 20%, respectively. For both the species, grown in both ambient and elevated  $C_a$ , growth in the shade decreased the leaf density by between 30 and 53% (Table 2). Decreased leaf density in the shade leaves accounted for the fact that the decrease in  $R_{LA}$  in the shade leaves was greater than the decrease in  $R_{DW}$ . For both the sun and shade leaves, R was significantly lower in *Q. myrtifolia* than *Q. geminata* (Fig. 3; Table 3).

#### Comparing N, R, $V_{cmax}$ and $fRubisco$

For the sun and shade leaves of both the species, growth in elevated  $C_a$  resulted in small but statistically significant decreases in N of ca. 10% when it was expressed per unit dry weight. There was no effect of elevated  $C_a$  on  $N_{LA}$  for the leaves of either species (Table 2). For all the leaves the difference in the decrease in  $N_{DW}$  and  $N_{LA}$  was consistent with increases in leaf density in elevated  $C_a$ . The similar decreases in N for both the species were irrespective of the fact that  $V_{cmax}$  of *Q. myrtifolia* was unaffected by elevated  $C_a$ , whereas  $V_{cmax}$  was significantly decreased in *Q. geminata* (Table 2). The significant relationship between  $R_{LA}$  and  $N_{LA}$ , was unaffected by  $C_a$  for *Q. myrtifolia* ( $t_{38} = 1.03$ ;  $P = 0.31$ ) and *Q. geminata* ( $t_{38} = 0.42$ ;  $P = 0.69$ ) (Fig. 4). For *Q. myrtifolia*,  $fRubisco$  was unaffected by elevated  $C_a$  in both the sun and shade leaves (Table 2). However, acclimation in *Q. geminata* significantly decreased  $fRubisco$  from 11 to 8% in the sun leaves, and from 12.2 to 7.1% in the shade leaves (Table 2).

#### Comparing R, $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ measured in the lab and in situ

Leaf respiration measured in the lab on detached leaves was not different from R measured *in situ* in June

( $t_6 = 0.25$ ;  $p = 0.81$ ), July ( $t_6 = 2.4$ ;  $p = 0.06$ ) and August 2000 ( $t_8 = 0.54$ ;  $p = 0.61$ ) (Fig. 5a). For the measurements made in July 1997 both  $V_{cmax}$  ( $t_8 = 0.3$ ;  $p = 0.77$ ) and  $J_{max}$  ( $t_8 = 0.4$ ;  $p = 0.70$ ) measured on leaves attached to stems, but that had been removed from trees, were not different from  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  measured *in situ* (Fig. 5b).

#### Testing IRGA accuracy


The IRGA used in these experiments proved to be able to measure a known  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  of  $20 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  to within  $\pm 1\%$  accuracy, over a range of background  $C_a$  from 376 to  $1000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ , as long as the background  $C_a$  was known (Fig. 6). If the background  $C_a$  was not known, the IRGA could only measure  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  at the background  $C_a$  at which it had been calibrated. For an IRGA calibrated at a  $C_a$  of  $1000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  measuring a  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  at a background  $C_a$  of  $376 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  the  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  measured was 30% too high.

#### Discussion

This study tested two hypotheses: (1) Elevated  $C_a$  directly inhibits leaf mitochondrial R; and (2) Growth in elevated  $C_a$  will indirectly decrease leaf mitochondrial R, specifically because of the acclimatory decreases in leaf Rubisco content. For *Q. myrtifolia* and *Q. geminata*, the results of this 1-year study do not support either of the hypotheses (Figs 1, 2 and 3). Increased  $C_a$  had no direct effect on R for leaves grown in either ambient or elevated  $C_a$ . An indirect effect was observed only in young leaves just after the first-leaf flush of the year in which R was stimulated but not inhibited. In this study, R was measured on detached leaves and photosynthesis on leaves of detached stems. Neither of these procedures affected the gas exchange properties of the leaves (Fig. 5).


Recent reviews conclude that growth in elevated  $C_a$  will decrease  $R_{DW}$  by between 15 and 18% (Amthor





**Fig. 4** Relationships between  $R_{LA}$  and  $N_{LA}$ . Plots of  $R_{LA}$  against  $N_{LA}$  for *Q. myrtifolia* (a) and *Q. geminata* (b). Each plot shows data from both the sun and shade leaves combined for both the leaves grown at elevated (solid symbols) and ambient  $C_a$  (open symbols). Least squares, linear regressions and 95% confidence limits are shown.

1997; Drake *et al.* 1997; Curtis & Wang 1998; Drake *et al.* 1999). However, these figures mask large variability. Gonzalez-Meler & Siedow (1999) reviewed literature reporting a direct effect of elevated  $C_a$  on  $R$ , and found that  $R$  ranged from an inhibition of up to 60% in shoots of *Castanea sativa* (El Kohen *et al.* 1991); no effect on *Pinus ponderosa* seedlings (Griffin *et al.* 1996); to a 20% stimulation in some crop species (Ziska & Bunce 1994). Both the magnitude and direction of the direct effect may depend on many interacting factors. Tissue type, species, growth temperature,  $C_a$  during growth, N supply, and activity of the alternative pathway which is not inhibited by elevated  $C_a$ , may all potentially influence the direct effect of elevated  $C_a$  on  $R$  (Gonzalez-Meler & Siedow 1999). When elevated  $C_a$  directly inhibits  $R$  it may be owing to the inhibition of the activity of specific mitochondrial enzymes (Gonzalez-Meler *et al.* 1996). However, this



**Fig. 5** Comparing  $R$ ,  $V_{c,max}$  and  $J_{max}$  measured in the lab and *in situ*. (a) Plots of  $R_{DW}$  measured in June, July and August 2000 on detached leaves (white bars) and *in situ* (grey bars). *In situ* measurements of  $R$  were partitioned between leaf  $R$  (solid grey bars) and stem  $R$  (hatched grey bars). Bars shown represent the mean ( $\pm 1$  SE) for four replicate measurements in June and July, and five replicate measurements in August 2000. (b) Plots of  $V_{c,max}$  and  $J_{max}$  measured on the leaves on excised stems (white bars) and *in situ* (grey bars). Plots shown are the mean ( $\pm 1$  SE) for five replicate measurements conducted in July 1997.

mechanism is not thought to be capable of accounting for the magnitude of the inhibition often observed, because the control of the overall rate of respiration exerted by these enzymes is too small (Gonzalez-Meler & Siedow 1999). For the leaves of *Q. myrtifolia* at 1, 4, 6, 8 and 11 month of age, and for leaves from plants that had grown in the field for up to 4 years in either current ambient or elevated  $C_a$ , we found no evidence that increasing  $C_a$  directly decreases  $R$  (Fig. 1).

This study also focused on the possibility that photosynthetic acclimation, which decreases leaf-Rubisco content, may decrease N and indirectly decrease  $R$  (Drake *et al.* 1999). As often observed, even  $R$  was strongly correlated to N (Fig. 4) (Wullschlegel *et al.* 1992; Ryan 1995; Reich *et al.* 1998). However, for the sun and shade leaves




Fig. 6 Testing IRGA accuracy. Differential  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations ( $\Delta\text{CO}_2$ ) measured at three different background  $C_a$  of 376, 696 and  $1000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ . The IRGA was calibrated at a  $C_a$  of either 696 (grey, hatched bars) or  $1000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  and uncorrected for changes in background  $C_a$ , or wired into an absolute analyzer to correct for changes in background  $C_a$  and calibrated at  $696 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$  (white bars). The dashed line represents the true  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$ .

of *Q. geminata*, large acclimatory decreases in  $V_{c\text{max}}$  in elevated  $C_a$  resulted in small (<10%) decreases in N and R—only the decrease in N was statistically significant (Fig. 3; Tables 2, 3). We can conceive of four reasons why large decreases in  $V_{c\text{max}}$  were not paralleled by large decreases in N and R:

1. Photosynthesis at low  $C_i$  and light saturation was not limited by Rubisco. This would be unusual, however, for the shade leaves it has been shown to be possible (Sage *et al.* 1990).
2. Decreases in  $V_{c\text{max}}$  were not reflective of decreases in leaf Rubisco content. Elevated  $C_a$  often decreases leaf Rubisco content (Drake *et al.* 1997), however, Rubisco activity can also be decreased by decreases in Rubisco activation state in elevated  $C_a$  (Drake *et al.* 1997).
3. Decreases in  $V_{c\text{max}}$  were reflective of decreases in leaf Rubisco content, however, N released from Rubisco remained in the leaf. Nitrogen released from Rubisco in elevated  $C_a$  may remain in the leaf and be made available to N-limited sinks (Sage 1994; Stitt 1991; Woodrow 1994; Medlyn 1996). There is little consensus as to whether such a process occurs in the leaves growing in elevated  $C_a$  and experimental evidence to suggest that it does not (Medlyn 1996).
4. Decreases in  $V_{c\text{max}}$  were reflective of decreases in leaf Rubisco content and N released from Rubisco was translocated out of the leaf, however,  $f\text{Rubisco}$  was such a small proportion of total N that decreases in leaf Rubisco content had small effects on N and R.

For *Q. geminata* we estimated  $f\text{Rubisco}$  to be 11 and 12% in the sun and shade leaves, respectively (Table 2). Estimating  $f\text{Rubisco}$  is not new (Tissue *et al.* 1996) however, the estimate requires inputs for both  $K_{\text{cat}}$  and the number of Rubisco active sites. We used 3.3 for  $K_{\text{cat}}$ —a figure obtained from Spinach (Woodrow & Berry 1988). This value may be high for the subtropical trees in this study. In addition, we assumed that Rubisco has eight active sites (Raines *et al.* 1991). It has also been shown that Rubisco has 6.5 active sites (Sage *et al.* 1993). Decreases in both  $K_{\text{cat}}$  and the number of Rubisco active sites will increase  $f\text{Rubisco}$ . If Rubisco has 6.5 active sites and  $K_{\text{cat}}$  was 2.5 then our estimate of  $f\text{Rubisco}$  increases to 17 and 20% in the sun and shade leaves of *Q. geminata*, respectively. If we assume that the decreases in  $V_{c\text{max}}$  of 33 and 45% in the sun and shade leaves in elevated  $C_a$ , respectively, were reflective of decreases in leaf Rubisco content, and that N released was translocated out of the leaf, then from our lower estimates of  $f\text{Rubisco}$  we would expect N to be decreased by 4 and 6% in the sun and shade leaves in elevated  $C_a$ , respectively. These estimates are less than the observed decreases in N of 6 and 9% in these leaves (Table 2). Only if we use the higher estimates of  $f\text{Rubisco}$ , we would predict the same decreases in N that we observed. These findings provide good evidence that the reason that acclimatory decreases in leaf Rubisco content did not significantly decrease N or R in this study was because  $f\text{Rubisco}$  was low.

When measuring  $\text{CO}_2$ -fluxes into or out of leaves; leaks, diffusion of air through porous tubing, adsorption or absorption of  $\text{CO}_2$  by tubing, may cause experimental artifacts (Long & Hällgren 1993; Amthor 2000). These errors may be compounded when small fluxes are being measured, as may be the case with respiratory fluxes from leaves. In this study we measured respiration using a system that had been designed to protect against many of these potential artifacts. Most importantly, measurements were made in cuvettes that could accommodate a large leaf area, typically  $100 \text{cm}^2$ . This enabled the generation of a large quantity of  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  whilst maintaining high flow rates through the system, which in turn protected against leaks of outside air into the system without compromising measurement sensitivity. Instrument ageing may change the differential sensitivity of the IRGA and render factory calibration constants inappropriate—again leading to measurement artifacts. We found that ageing of our IRGA and its components had no effect on its ability to accurately measure  $\Delta\text{CO}_2$  over a range of background  $C_a$  (Fig. 6).

In conclusion, respiratory carbon losses can be important for determining whether natural ecosystems are a net source or sink for  $\text{CO}_2$  (Valentini *et al.* 2000). In an elevated  $C_a$  world, effects on specific rates of leaf respiration are predicted to have important consequences for

ecosystem-carbon sequestration (Drake *et al.* 1999). This study was performed on plants growing in a natural ecosystem that had been exposed *in situ* to elevated C<sub>a</sub> for between 3 and 4 years. The year of data presented in this study provided no evidence to support the hypothesis that elevated C<sub>a</sub> decreases leaf R either directly, or indirectly owing to the acclimatory decreases in Rubisco content.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Alistair Rogers for his guidance in calculating *f*Rubisco. Dr C. Ross Hinkle and Dr William Knott of the Dynamac Corporation for their help and enthusiastic support. This work was funded by the US Department of Energy, the Smithsonian Institution, and the US National Science Foundation (DEB 9873715).

### References

- Amthor JS (1995) Terrestrial higher-plant responses to increasing atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] in relation to the global carbon cycle. *Global Change Biology*, **1**, 243–274.
- Amthor JS (1997) Plant respiratory responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. In: *Advances in Carbon Dioxide Effects Research* (eds Allen LH, Kirkham MB, Olszyk DM, Whitman CE), pp. 35–77. American Society of Agronomy Special Publication (*Proceedings of 1993 ASA Symposium, Cincinnati, Ohio*). ASA, CSSA and SSSA, Madison WI.
- Amthor JS (2000) Direct effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nocturnal *in situ* leaf respiration in nine temperate deciduous tree species is small. *Tree Physiology*, **20**, 139–144.
- Amthor JS, Koch GW, Bloom AJ (1992) CO<sub>2</sub> inhibits respiration in leaves of *Rumex crispus* L. *Plant Physiology*, **98**, 757–760.
- Curtis PS, Wang X (1998) A meta analysis of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on woody plant mass, form and physiology. *Oecologia*, **113**, 299–313.
- Drake BG, Azcon-Bieto J, Berry J, Bunce J, Dijkstra P, Farrar J, Gifford RM, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Koch G, Lambers H, Siedow J, Wullschlegel S (1999) Does elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inhibit mitochondrial respiration in green plants. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **22**, 649–657.
- Drake B, Gonzalez-Meler M, Long SP (1997) More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>? *Annual Review, Plant Physiology, Plant Molecular Biology*, **48**, 607–637.
- El Kohen A, Pontailleur J-Y, Mousseau M (1991) Effect d'un doublement du CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphérique sur la respiration à l'obscurité des parties aériennes de jeunes châtaigniers (*Castanea sativa* Mill.). *Life Sci. Series III C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **312**, 477–481.
- Gonzalez-Meler MA, Ribas-Carbo M, Siedow JN, Drake BG (1996) Direct inhibition of plant mitochondrial respiration by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant Physiology*, **112**, 1349–1355.
- Gonzalez-Meler MA, Siedow JN (1999) Direct inhibition of mitochondrial respiratory enzymes by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: consequences for tissue and whole plant level respiration. *Tree Physiology*, **19**, 253–259.
- Griffin KL, Ball JT, Strain BR (1996) Direct and indirect effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on whole-shoot respiration in ponderosa pine seedlings. *Tree Physiology*, **16**, 33–41.
- Griffin KL, Tissue DT, Turnbull MH, Whitehead D (2000) The onset of photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure in field-grown *Pinus radiata* D. Don. after 4 years. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **23**, 1089–1098.
- Hrubec TC, Robinson JM, Donaldson RP (1985) Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and carbohydrate content on the dark respiration of soybeans. *Plant Physiology*, **79**, 684–689.
- Hungate BA, Dijkstra P, Johnson DW, Hinkle CR, Drake BG (2000) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen fixation and decreases soil nitrogen mineralization in Florida scrub-oak. *Global Change Biology*, **5**, 781–789.
- Li JH, Dijkstra P, Hinkle R, Wheeler RM, Drake BG (1999) Acclimation of photosynthesis in two Florida scrub-oak species, *Quercus geminata* and *Quercus myrtifolia*, grown in elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in their native environment. *Tree Physiology*, **19**, 229–234.
- Long SP, Drake BG (1992) Photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. In: *Crop Photosynthesis: Spatial and Temporal Determinants* (eds Baker NR, Thomas H), pp. 69–95. Elsevier Science Publications, Amsterdam.
- Long SP, Hällgren J-E (1993) Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by plants in the field and the laboratory. In: *Photosynthesis and Production in a Changing Environment* (eds Hall DO, Scurlock JMO, Bolhàr-Nordenkamp HR, Leegood RC, Long SP), pp. 129–167. Chapman & Hall, London.
- McMurtrie RE, Wang YP (1993) Mathematical models of the photosynthetic response of tree stands to rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and temperatures. *Plant Cell and Environment*, **16**, 1–13.
- Medlyn BE (1996) The optimal allocation of nitrogen within the C<sub>3</sub> photosynthetic system at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, **23**, 593–603.
- Mitchell RJ, Runion GB, Prior SA, Rogers HH, Amthor JS, Henning FP (1995) Effects of nitrogen on *Pinus palustris* foliar respiratory responses to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **46**, 1561–1567.
- Mousseau M (1993) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on growth, photosynthesis and respiration of sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.). *Vegetatio*, **104/105**, 413–419.
- Nie GY, Long SP, Garcia RL, Kimball BA, LaMorte RL (1995) Effects of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the development of the photosynthetic apparatus in wheat, as indicated by changes in leaf proteins. *Plant Cell and Environment*, **18**, 855–864.
- Norby RJ, Wullschlegel SD, Gunderson CA, Johnson DW, Ceulemans R (1999) Tree responses to rising CO<sub>2</sub> in field experiments: implications for the future forest. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **22**, 683–714.
- Raines CA, Lloyd JC, Dyer TA (1991) Molecular biology of the C<sub>3</sub> photosynthetic carbon reduction cycle. *Photosynthesis Research*, **27**, 1–14.
- Reich PB, Walters MB, Ellsworth DS, Vose JM, Volin JC, Gresham C, Bowman WD (1998) Relationships of leaf dark respiration to leaf nitrogen, specific leaf area and life span: a test across biomes and functional groups. *Oecologia*, **114**, 471–482.
- Rogers A, Fischer BU, Bryant J, Frehner M, Blum H, Raines CA, Long SP (1998) Acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> under low nitrogen nutrition is affected by the capacity for assimilate utilisation. Perennial Ryegrass under Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Plant Physiology*, **118**, 683–689.

- Ryan MG (1991) Effects of climate change on plant respiration. *Ecological Applications*, **1**, 157–167.
- Ryan MG (1995) Foliar maintenance respiration of subalpine and boreal trees in relation to nitrogen content. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **18**, 765–772.
- Ryan MG, Hubbard RM, Pongratic S, Raison RJ, McMurtrie RE (1996) Foliage, fine-root, woody-tissue and stand respiration in *Pinus radiata* in relation to nitrogen status. *Tree Physiology*, **16**, 333–343.
- Ryle GJA, Powell CE, Tewson V (1992) Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis, respiration and growth of perennial ryegrass. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **43**, 811–818.
- Sage RF (1994) Acclimation of photosynthesis to increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. The gas exchange perspective. *Photosynthesis Research*, **39**, 351–368.
- Sage RF, Reid CD, Moore BD, Seemann JR (1993) Long-term kinetics of the light-dependent regulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity in plants with and without 2-carboxyarabinitol 1-phosphate. *Planta*, **191**, 222–230.
- Sage RF, Sharkey TD, Seemann JR (1990) Regulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase activity in response to light intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> in the C<sub>3</sub> annuals *Chenopodium album* and *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology*, **94**, 1735–1742.
- Schimel D, Alves D, Enting I, Heimann M, Joos F, Raynaud D, Wigley T (1996) Radiative forcing of climate change. In: *Climate Change 1995, the Science of Climate Change* (eds Houghton JT, Meira Filho LG, Callander BA, Harris N, Kattenberg A, Maskell K), pp. 35–71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Steer MW, Gunning BES, Graham TA, Carr DJ (1968) Isolation, properties, and structure of fraction I protein from *Avena sativa* L. *Planta*, **79**, 254–267.
- Stitt M (1991) Rising CO<sub>2</sub> levels and their potential significance for carbon flow in photosynthetic cells. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **14**, 741–762.
- Teskey RO (1995) A field study of the effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on carbon assimilation, stomatal conductance and leaf and branch growth in *Pinus taeda* trees. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **18**, 565–573.
- Thomas RB, Griffin KL (1994) Direct and Indirect effects of atmospheric carbon dioxide enrichment on leaf respiration of *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. *Plant Physiology*, **104**, 355–361.
- Thomas RB, Reid CD, Ybema R, Strain BR (1993) Growth and maintenance components of leaf respiration of cotton grown in elevated carbon dioxide partial pressure. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **16**, 539–546.
- Tissue DT, Thomas RB, Strain BR (1996) Growth and photosynthesis of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) after exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> for 19 months in the field. *Tree Physiology*, **16**, 49–59.
- Tjoelker MG, Reich PB, Oleksyn J (1999) Changes in leaf nitrogen and carbohydrates underlie temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> acclimation of dark respiration in five boreal tree species. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, **22**, 767–778.
- Valentini R, Matteucci G, Dolman AJ *et al.* (2000) Respiration as the main determinant of carbon balance in European forests. *Nature*, **404**, 861–864.
- Woodrow IE (1994) Optimal acclimation of the C<sub>3</sub> photosynthetic system under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Photosynthesis Research*, **39**, 401–412.
- Woodrow IE, Berry JA (1988) Enzymatic regulation of photosynthesis CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in C<sub>3</sub> plants. *Annual Review Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, **39**, 533–594.
- Wullschlegel SD, Norby RJ, Gunderson CA (1992) Growth and maintenance respiration in leaves of *Liriodendron tulipifera* L. exposed to long-term carbon dioxide enrichment in the field. *New Phytologist*, **121**, 515–523.
- Ziska LH, Bunce JA (1994) Direct and indirect inhibition of single leaf respiration by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations: interaction with temperature. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **90**, 130–138.