

Calendar No. 662

81ST CONGRESS }
1st Session }

SENATE

} REPORT
No. 661

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT APPROPRIATION BILL, 1950

JULY 13 (legislative day, JUNE 2), 1949.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. HAYDEN, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H. R. 3838]

The Committee on Appropriations, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 3838) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1950, and for other purposes, report the same to the Senate with various amendments and present herewith information relative to the changes made:

CASH APPROPRIATIONS

Amount of bill passed by House	\$536, 461, 908
Amount added by Senate (net)	54, 224, 003
Total of bill as reported to Senate	<u>590, 685, 911</u>
Amount of 1950 budget estimates:	
Regular estimates (reduced \$425,000 by S. Doc. 56)	616, 380, 020
Supplemental estimates (H. Docs. 93 and 101, S. Docs. 47, 60, 80, 84, and 87)	8, 430, 416
Total regular and supplemental estimates	<u>624, 810, 436</u>
Amount of 1949 appropriations	464, 401, 362

CASH APPROPRIATIONS—continued

The bill as reported to the Senate:

Under the regular and supplemental estimates for 1950-----	\$34, 124, 525
Exceeds appropriations for 1949-----	<u>126, 284, 549</u>

CONTRACT AUTHORIZATIONS

Amount of contract authorizations included in bill as passed House-----	41, 112, 500
Amount of contract authorizations added by Senate--	<u>28, 874, 105</u>
Total of contract authorizations as reported to Senate-----	69, 986, 605
Amount of 1950 budget estimates for contract authorizations, including \$5,075,000 supplemental estimates in H. Doc. 101, and S. Docs. 60 and 80 not considered by House-----	59, 375, 000
Amount of contract authorizations, 1949-----	51, 999, 700

The bill as reported to the Senate:

Exceeds the regular and supplemental contract authorizations-----	10, 611, 605
Exceeds the 1949 contract authorizations-----	17, 986, 905

BUDGET ESTIMATES, AMOUNTS ALLOWED BY HOUSE, AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMITTEE

The following table shows, by offices and bureaus within the Department, the 1950 budget estimates, the amounts allowed by the House, and the amounts recommended by the committee:

Activities	Budget estimates, 1950	Amount in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended by Senate committee	Increase (+), decrease (-), Senate committee bill compared with estimates	Increase (+), decrease (-), Senate committee bill compared with House bill
Office of Secretary	¹ \$9,390,700	⁷ \$8,973,200	¹⁴ \$6,791,815	-\$2,598,885	-\$2,181,385
Commission of Fine Arts	12,800	12,000	12,000	-800	
Bonneville Power Administration	² 33,714,000	⁸ 29,927,500	¹⁵ 30,284,500	-3,429,500	+357,000
Bureau of Land Management	³ 6,307,500	⁹ 5,190,000	³ 5,650,000	-657,500	+460,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	⁴ 60,033,020	¹⁰ 52,127,971	¹⁶ 58,641,746	-1,391,274	+6,513,775
Bureau of Reclamation	376,928,500	317,790,037	¹⁷ 354,601,784	-22,326,716	+36,811,747
Geological Survey	17,900,000	15,513,000	16,283,000	-1,617,000	+770,000
Bureau of Mines	23,946,500	¹¹ 23,587,500	¹⁸ 23,852,500	-94,000	+265,000
National Park Service	29,390,600	28,056,000	¹⁹ 29,319,450	-71,150	+1,263,450
Fish and Wildlife Service	11,309,500	10,735,800	²⁰ 11,716,800	+407,300	+981,000
Territories	⁵ 55,477,316	¹² 44,448,900	²¹ 53,532,316	-1,945,000	+9,083,416
Virgin Islands Corporation	400,000	100,000		-400,000	-100,000
Grand total	⁶ 624,810,436	¹³ 536,461,908	²² 590,685,911	-34,124,525	+54,224,003

- ¹ In addition, contract authorization of \$5,800,000.
- ² In addition, contract authorization of \$18,900,000 including \$400,000 contained in S. Doc. 60.
- ³ In addition, contract authorization of \$250,000.
- ⁴ In addition, contract authorization of \$2,425,000 including \$1,675,000 contained in S. Doc. 80.
- ⁵ In addition, contract authorization of \$32,000,000 including \$3,000,000 contained in H. Doc. 101.
- ⁶ Includes cash supplemental estimates of \$8,430,416 in H. Docs. 93 and 101 and S. Docs. 47, 60, 80, 84, and 87.
- ⁷ In addition, contract authorization of \$59,375,000 including \$5,075,000 supplemental contract authorization in H. Doc. 101 and S. Docs. 60 and 80.
- ⁷ In addition, contract authorization of \$5,000,000.
- ⁸ In addition, contract authorization of \$15,725,000.
- ⁹ In addition, contract authorization of \$150,000.
- ¹⁰ In addition, contract authorization of \$937,500.
- ¹¹ In addition, contract authorization of \$300,000.
- ¹² In addition, contract authorization of \$19,000,000.
- ¹³ In addition, contract authorization of \$41,112,500.
- ¹⁴ In addition, contract authorization of \$2,257,905.
- ¹⁵ In addition, contract authorization of \$15,916,500.
- ¹⁶ In addition, contract authorization of \$1,687,500.
- ¹⁷ In addition, contract authorization of \$10,339,700.
- ¹⁸ In addition, contract authorization of \$550,000.
- ¹⁹ In addition, contract authorization of \$8,935,000.
- ²⁰ In addition, contract authorization of \$50,000.
- ²¹ In addition, contract authorization of \$30,000,000.
- ²² In addition, contract authorization of \$69,986,605.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE, POWER TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

For the Southwestern Power Administration, the committee recommends a reduction of \$2,383,885, or an allowance of \$1,616,115 in lieu of the House recommendation of \$4,000,000, the amount of the 1950 budget estimate.

With reference to the contract authorization proposed for this organization, the committee recommends a reduction of \$2,742,095, or an allowance of \$2,257,905 in lieu of the House recommendation of \$5,000,000 and the budget estimate of \$5,800,000.

BREAK-DOWN OF AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED BY COMMITTEE

The funds recommended by the committee are to provide for the following approved items in the amounts indicated:

Southwestern Power Administration, projects approved by Senate committee

Subproject ¹	Cash appropriation	Contract authorization
(1) Old program, administrative, engineering, and overhead.....	\$150,000	-----
(2) Operations and maintenance.....	330,000	-----
(3) General plant and equipment.....	100,000	-----
(4) Future plans.....	50,000	-----
(5) Miscellaneous construction.....	227,460	-----
(6) Van Buren line, 154-kilovolt.....	8,200	\$24,600
(7) Van Buren switching station, 154-kilovolt.....	75,000	225,000
(8) Brown-Russett interconnection (line and substation), 154-kilovolt.....	236,750	710,250
(9) Extension to substation at Weleetka.....	40,250	120,750
(11) Wilson substation, 66-kilovolt.....	19,275	57,825
(12) Comanche substation, 66-kilovolt.....	22,380	67,140
(13) Walters substation, 66-kilovolt.....	27,280	87,840
(14) Bull Shoals Dam to a point to connect to 154-kilovolt trunk line from Norfolk line.....	49,200	147,600
(15) Switching station, Southeast Norfolk Dam (Bull Shoals), 154-kilovolt.....	75,500	226,500
(19) Fort Gibson to connect to 154-kilovolt line at Webbers Falls, Okla.....	147,600	442,800
(20) Tenkiller Ferry to 154-kilovolt trunk north of Webbers Falls, Okla., 154-kilovolts.....	49,200	147,600
(23) Webbers Falls switching station, 154-kilovolts.....	8,020	-----
Total.....	1,616,115	2,257,905

¹ Subproject title and number refers to list on pp. 35-36, House Appropriations Committee hearings, pt. 2.

TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRIC ENERGY

The private electric utility companies, operating in the area of the Southwestern Power Administration, have advised the committee that they will make the entire transmission and related facilities of their respective systems available to the Government, without charge to the Government's customers, for the carrying of electric power and energy from the Government owned transmission system to preferred customers of the Government as defined in section 5 of the Flood Control Act of December 1944.

These companies have also advised the committee that they will supply all the electric energy which may be required by the Government, in addition to that produced by the Government at its hydroelectric plants, for the service of preferred customers of the Government. The compensation for such transmission and additional energy to be in conformance with the principles found in the contract between the Southwestern Power Administration and the Texas Power & Light Co.

The committee directs that the Administrator of the Southwestern Power Administration report to the Senate and House Appropriation Committees by January 1, 1950, on progress made on entering into contracts with private power companies in the area where the Southwestern Power Administration operates.

DELETION OF PROVISION FOR CONTINUING FUND

With further reference to the Southwestern Power Administration, the committee recommends that the paragraph under the heading "Continuing fund, power transmission facilities" be deleted from the bill. This provision proposes to set up and maintain from receipts

a continuing fund of \$300,000, including a sum of \$100,000 in the continuing fund established under the Administrator of the Southwestern Power Administration in the First Supplemental National Defense Appropriation Act, 1944.

The said "continuing fund" was intended to be used for the "purchase of electric power and energy and rentals for the use of transmission lines and appurtenant facilities of public bodies, cooperatives, and privately owned companies," and the committee reports that no law exists authorizing appropriations for such purposes.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE, POWER TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

For the Bonneville Power Administration, the committee is recommending an increase of \$357,000 in the cash appropriation to provide a total appropriation of \$30,284,500, as compared to the House appropriation of \$29,927,500, and as compared to the revised budget estimate of \$33,714,000 in Senate Document 60.

The committee also recommends an increase of \$191,500 in the contract authorization for the Bonneville Power Administration, which increase will provide a total contract authority of \$15,916,500, as compared to the House amount of \$15,725,000, and as compared to the revised budget estimate for contract authority of \$18,900,000 in Senate Document 60.

The Bonneville Power Administration has advised the committee that substantial changes in the main grid construction program as presented to the committee are desirable. The need for such changes occurs by reason of improved material deliveries and some revisions of 1951 load estimates in the Oregon coastal areas. The changes proposed do not increase the total amount requested for the facilities involved. The committee has reviewed the justification for these changes and approves them as follows:

COULEE-MIDWAY LINE NO. 3

Increase appropriation	\$125,000
Increase contract authority	975,000

COLUMBIA-MIDWAY LINE NO. 2

Decrease appropriation	30,000
Decrease contract authority	250,000

GOLDENDALE-DETROIT (MAUPIN SWITCHING STATION)

Decrease appropriation	95,000
Decrease contract authority	725,000

M McNARY-LA GRANDE TRANSMISSION FACILITY

Due to the critical power shortage in the Northwest, the Bonneville Power Administration is unable to serve adequately the areas now depending upon power generated at Bonneville and Grand Coulee Dams. For this reason the committee, in approving the House allowances for the McNary-LaGrande transmission facility, does so with the understanding that construction work will be so scheduled that completion and energizing of the line will be deferred until power is available from McNary Dam to take care of this new service area.

CANBY SUBSTATION

The committee has not approved the request for funds to construct the Canby substation as proposed in a supplemental budget estimate. The Portland General Electric Co., now serving the city of Canby by transfer for the Bonneville Power Administration, has invested funds in an effort to improve the service to that customer. The committee is advised that the Portland General Electric Co. is prepared to provide facilities adequate for the present and future needs of Canby on a transfer basis cheaper than the service could be rendered by Bonneville. This is a case where the committee believes that it is up to both parties to demonstrate that good faith and willingness to cooperate which they claimed before the committee. The interests of the people of Canby must not suffer because of lack of such cooperation.

LEBANON SUBSTATION

The committee recommends an additional \$255,000 appropriation and \$81,000 contract authority for the Lebanon substation. Based upon the testimony presented the committee believes that the plans for service at the station should be developed to serve the needs of all agencies requiring power in that area, and this increased allowance is to provide the necessary expansion to take care of these needs.

ICE HARBOR TRANSMISSION FACILITY

The House allocation provided appropriation of \$297,000 and contract authority of \$85,000 for the Ice Harbor facility. Due to the elimination of funds to commence construction of Ice Harbor Dam, this facility is unnecessary at this time and is not approved. The funds proposed for this facility are allocated, to the extent necessary, to the Lebanon substation.

KERR-ANACONDA TRANSMISSION FACILITY

The committee has not approved construction of the Kerr-Anaconda transmission facility at this time. While the committee recognizes that the line must be built, the testimony indicates that it is not necessary to commence construction this year. The committee also feels that the question of policy as to whether the line is to be built by the Federal Government or by the private utility presently serving the area should receive further study before the Bonneville transmission system is extended beyond the present grid, with the necessary integration with Hungry Horse Dam.

ORCAS ISLAND SERVICE (SAN JUAN COUNTY)

The committee disapproves the proposed allocation for the Orcas Island service totaling \$350,000 appropriation and \$100,000 contract authorization since there is no budget estimate covering this project nor was it included in Bonneville's submission to the budget. However, the committee recognizes the need for service in this area and will give consideration to a request for funds whenever the project is submitted in the usual manner.

CONSTRUCTION LIMITATION

The committee, with the above exceptions, approves the construction program allowed by the House as detailed in the tabulated submission to the committee and 85 percent of the supplemental estimates included therein, with the understanding that any savings in construction costs on any particular item may be applied to any other project for which funds are authorized in the 1950 fiscal year. It is further recognized that the administration may require additional flexibility in the management of construction funds depending upon actual costs, construction and load conditions existing at the time the work is to be done and the administrator, therefore, is authorized to make transfers of funds for construction from one project to another but in no event shall the funds for any project be reduced by more than 15 percent.

FORCE ACCOUNT LIMITATION

The committee recommends that the following proviso be added to the bill, which is identical with the language contained in the First Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, but which reduces the limitation on force account work from 12 to 8 percent of any construction appropriations for the Bonneville Power Administration contained in this Act:

: Provided further, That not exceeding 8 per centum of any construction appropriations for the Bonneville Power Administration contained in this Act shall be available for construction work by force account, or on a hired-labor basis, except in case of emergencies, local in character, so declared by the Bonneville Power Administrator

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

The committee wishes to call attention to the fact that only recently there has been a new appointment to the position of Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

Various increases requested in the 1950 budget estimates, which were prepared some months prior to the appointment of the new Commissioner of Indian Affairs, have not been approved by the committee. However, after the Commissioner has had opportunity to study and analyze the functions and needs of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the committee will expect within the fiscal year 1950 to receive from him his views with respect to increasing the efficiency and economical administration of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

INDIAN ROADS

With respect to the appropriation for "Indian roads," the House allowed the full amount of the 1950 estimate, \$2,750,000, which amount has been approved by the committee.

The committee was requested to make increases for several specific roads on Indian reservations, which requests were not approved.

The committee requests the Indian Bureau, however, to make a report to the Senate and House Appropriations Committees on the condition of roads on the various Indian reservations and the need for the construction and completion of new roads.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

CONSTRUCTION

HOUSE ACTION

The House committee in reporting the bill eliminated specifically \$300,000 for the Glendo project, Wyoming, and \$1,300,000 for the Harve-Shelby transmission line, Fort Peck project, Montana. The remaining amounts of the construction funds in the 1950 estimates under the Reclamation Bureau were reduced by the House committee 15 percent based on its estimate of an anticipated drop in construction costs. This accounted for a reduction of \$52,328,918 in construction items under the Reclamation Bureau.

After making this reduction of \$52,328,918 based on an anticipated drop in construction costs, the House committee stated in its report in part as follows:

In the report (No. 307) accompanying the appropriation bill (H. R. 3734) for civil functions, Department of the Army, 1950, the basis was set forth for recommending reductions of 15 percent below the budget estimates for construction projects. The same factors and recommendations are applicable to construction activities proposed for the Interior Department. Administrative officials should make every effort to accomplish authorized construction at the rate planned within the limits of funds provided in the bill. If this proves impossible the committee intends to recommend approval of such additional funds as are shown to be required to finance items of construction proposed in the budget, unless specifically hereafter denied.

The House committee, in referring to its action taken on construction projects under the reclamation fund, stated in part:

The recommendation of the appropriation of \$20,368,087 is intended to constitute approval by the committee and by the Congress of all of the work on each project programed under the reclamation fund in the budget submission for the Bureau of Reclamation. If experience shows additional funds to be required at the beginning of next session of Congress, after every effort has been made to accomplish the approved program within the limits of funds appropriated, the committee intends to recommend appropriation of supplemental amounts as may prove to be required at that time.

Likewise, in referring to its action on the construction projects under the general fund, the House committee in its report stated:

The reduction in the estimate indicated is based upon the same criteria heretofore discussed, and as in the case of other construction activity, supplemental requests for funds will be recommended if proved to be required at the beginning of the next session of Congress.

ACTION BY SENATE ON CIVIL FUNCTIONS APPROPRIATION BILL

In reporting the civil functions appropriation bill, 1950, the Senate Appropriations Committee recommended and subsequently the Senate approved a specific amount for each construction project, believing that the Congress should make such a determination in a fiscal year appropriation bill rather than approving a construction program as set forth in budget estimates, appropriating 15 percent less money than such a program may require, and directing the department concerned to come back for deficiencies if the amount appropriated proves to be inadequate.

ACTION ON RECLAMATION BUREAU CONSTRUCTION ITEMS

The committee has taken a similar position with respect to construction projects under the Bureau of Reclamation, and as in the case of the civil functions appropriation bill, 1950, the committee in considering the 1950 reclamation construction program has considered individually each project that was submitted to it in the course of the Senate hearings. A specific amount is recommended by the committee for each of such projects it approved for inclusion in the 1950 program. The amount recommended for each project appears in tables set forth later in this report.

The committee considered estimates for construction by the Reclamation Bureau totaling \$346,204,595. Of this amount, the committee was advised that \$194,513,892 is required for major contracts awarded prior to the fiscal year 1950, or for use in connection with so-called continuing contracts. Inasmuch as the contracts for which these funds are requested are already made and are binding contracts on going projects, a fall or rise in construction costs would not materially affect the Government's obligations thereunder. Therefore, the committee has not applied a reduction to the amounts needed on individual projects for progress payments on major contracts awarded prior to the fiscal year 1950, but recommends inclusion in the bill of sufficient funds to meet these progress payments on continuing contracts.

Of the \$346,204,595 contained in the 1950 construction estimates for the Reclamation Bureau, the committee was advised in the course of its hearing that \$96,096,973 was included for minor contracts, land and rights-of-way, force account, and such items as engineering surveys and designs, inspection, project administration, operation and maintenance during construction, water utilization studies, settler assistance and project development work. The committee has included in the bill the full amount required for these items.

Included in the total budget estimate for construction of \$346,204,595, there was included a total of \$60,096,973 for new major contracts to be awarded during the fiscal year 1950. It is in this category, that the committee has generally applied a 15-percent reduction. These contracts are not yet awarded, and if construction costs do not decrease, it would be possible to reduce the number or size of the contracts actually awarded in order to keep within the amount of funds provided for a given project. With respect to 10 general reclamation projects and 14 subprojects under the Missouri River Basin where the House made an over-all 15-percent reduction, the committee was subsequently advised by the Reclamation Bureau that because of delays on these projects due to one reason or another, no restoration of funds would be required. The committee, therefore, made no increase in these projects but where the House figure contained funds for new major contracts to be awarded during the fiscal year 1950, the committee reduced such funds by 15 percent as was done in the case of other projects.

Finally with respect to its action on construction projects under the Reclamation Bureau, the committee has in a few instances made provision for projects not included in the 1950 budget estimates, and in some instances amounts for projects included in the 1950 budget estimates have been eliminated, reduced, or parts thereof specifically disallowed.

The amounts recommended by the committee for reclamation construction projects, the amounts allowed by the House for these projects, and the budget estimates for these projects appear in the table printed below. The projects printed in black-face type are projects on which the Reclamation Bureau advised no restoration of the House allowance is required but on which, as in the case of other projects, the Senate committee made a 15-percent reduction in funds included in the House allowance for new major contracts.

The table referred to follows:

Comparative table on Bureau of Reclamation construction program

RECLAMATION FUND

Project	1950 budget estimate	Amount allowed by House	Amount recommended by Senate
RECLAMATION FUND, SPECIAL FUND			
Santa Barbara County project, California—Cachuma unit	\$6,100,000	¹ \$5,185,000	-----
San Luis Valley project, Colorado.....			\$250,000
Boise project, Idaho—Anderson Ranch	1,932,000	¹ 1,642,200	1,300,014
Boise project, Idaho—Payette division.....	2,725,000	2,316,250	2,725,000
Lewiston Orchards project, Idaho.....	270,000	229,500	270,000
Minidoka project, Idaho.....	(²)	(²)	(²)
Palisades project, Idaho.....	205,000	174,250	205,000
Milk River project, Montana—Fresno Dam.....	71,500	60,775	64,240
Humboldt project, Nevada.....			500,000
Tucumcari project, New Mexico ³	³ (685,000)	² (582,250)	(³)
Rio Grande project, New Mexico-Texas	20,000	¹ 17,000	14,450
W. C. Austin project, Oklahoma	300,000	¹ 255,000	255,000
Deschutes project, Oregon.....	180,000	153,000	176,700
Deschutes project, Oregon—Arnold irrigation district.....	38,000	32,300	38,000
Deschutes project, Oregon—Ochoco Dam.....	1,150,000	977,500	1,150,000
Deschutes project, Oregon—Grants Pass.....			100,000
Klamath project, Oregon-California	1,000,000	¹ 850,000	803,460
Ogden River project, Utah	285,000	¹ 242,250	219,170
Provo River project, Utah.....	⁴ 4,800,000	3,400,000	4,542,600
Yakima project, Washington—Roza Division.....	449,955	382,462	413,205
Kendrick project, Wyoming	1,680,000	¹ 1,428,000	1,327,910
Riverton project, Wyoming.....	2,731,000	2,321,350	2,632,750
Shoshone project, Wyoming, power division	140,000	¹ 119,000	107,400
Shoshone project, Wyoming, Willwood division.....			60,000
Total, reclamation fund, special fund.....	24,077,455	19,785,837	17,154,899

GENERAL FUND

GENERAL FUND			
Gila project, Arizona.....	\$5,000,000	\$4,250,000	\$4,833,750
All-American Canal, Arizona-California.....	6,000,000	5,100,000	⁵ 5,100,000
Parker Dam power project, Arizona-California	138,000	¹ 117,300	110,290
Colorado River front work and levee system, Arizona-California-Nevada.....	970,000	824,500	970,000
Davis Dam project, Arizona-Nevada.....	38,739,000	32,928,150	37,504,860
Boulder Canyon project, Arizona-Nevada	7,500,000	¹ 6,375,000	6,761,650
Central Valley project, California.....	63,000,000	53,550,000	60,789,890
Colorado-Big Thompson project, Colorado.....	21,500,000	18,275,000	20,172,750
Fort Peck project, Montana.....	3,000,000	1,445,000	1,515,200
Hungry Horse project, Montana.....	24,000,000	20,400,000	23,786,250
Tucumcari, N. Mex. ³	³ 685,000	³ 582,250	³ 582,250
Fort Sumner project, New Mexico.....			⁶ 750,000
Columbia Basin project, Washington.....	⁷ 71,500,000	59,075,000	70,034,390
Missouri River Basin.....	87,150,000	73,822,500	⁸ 81,668,560
Total, general fund.....	329,182,000	276,744,700	314,579,840
Grand total.....	353,259,455	296,530,537	331,734,739

¹ Projects on which no restoration of House allowance is required but on which, as in the case of other projects, the Senate committee made a 15-percent reduction in funds included in the House allowance for new major contracts.

² Limitation for surveys and preconstruction, \$725,000.

³ Request for transfer from reclamation fund to general fund, as recommended by the President (S. Doc. No. 47, Apr. 12, 1949). The House committee did not consider this proposed transfer.

⁴ Includes \$800,000 not considered by House. S. Doc. 47.

⁵ And contract authorization of \$2,975,700.

⁶ And contract authorization of \$1,000,000.

⁷ Includes \$2,000,000 of an original estimate of \$3,000,000 contained in H. Doc. 93 referred to Interior subcommittee handling regular bill for 1950.

⁸ And contract authorization of \$6,364,000.

BOISE PROJECT, IDAHO, ANDERSON RANCH DAM

COMMITTEE ACTION

The budget estimate for the Anderson Ranch Dam, Boise project, Idaho, is in the amount of \$1,932,000, and the House made a reduction of \$289,800, or 15 percent below the estimate, allowing an appropriation of \$1,642,200. The committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,300,014, specifically eliminating \$631,986 for two items, which are discussed below.

The amount of \$262,230 requested in the 1950 estimate for the construction of the transmission line from the Anderson Ranch Dam to the substation at Mountain Home and an amount of \$369,756 for switchyards have been disapproved by the committee.

TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRIC ENERGY

These two items have been disapproved by the committee with the specific understanding that if these facilities are not constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation, the Idaho Power Co. will immediately construct such a transmission line to insure an outlet for the power when it is initially generated at the Anderson Ranch Dam. This line should be constructed in time to assure that none of the energy will be wasted with a subsequent loss, to the Government, of revenue from power sales, thus rendering such project financially infeasible. The decision not to proceed with construction of these facilities by the Government but permit their construction and operation by the Idaho Power Co. is premised upon the assumption that the company will negotiate a contract with the Secretary of the Interior in accordance with the basic principles found in the contract between the Southwestern Power Administration and the Texas Power & Light Co.

The committee directs that the Commissioner of Reclamation report to the Senate and House Appropriation Committees by January 1, 1950, on progress made on entering into a contract along the lines indicated with the Idaho Power Co.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT, CALIFORNIA

COMMITTEE ACTION

The budget estimate for this project is in the amount of \$63,000,000, of which \$53,550,000 was allowed by the House.

The committee recommends an appropriation of \$60,789,890 for this project, which is a reduction of \$2,210,110 below the budget estimate. The amount of the reduction recommended by the committee is 15 percent of the amount included in the 1950 estimate for new major contracts. The appropriation of \$60,789,890 proposed by the committee is \$7,239,890 in excess of the appropriation of \$53,550,000 allowed by the House.

The committee in approving an appropriation of \$60,789,890 for the Central Valley project has specifically disallowed certain items, which are set forth in the table below. It will be noted that although the committee has deleted certain power facility items, transmission lines, and substations, the amount for irrigation facilities has been

increased approximately \$3,750,190 over the budget estimate. This action was taken in order to accelerate progress on the irrigation features of this project. It will also be noted that the committee recommends the inclusion of \$794,699.93 for the payment of claims of certain contractors growing out of a stoppage of work on the Friant-Kern Canal in the winter of 1947. The committee on several different occasions has held lengthy hearings on this matter and feels that these claims should be paid.

The committee was requested to earmark in the bill the amount it was recommending for joint facilities, irrigation facilities, transmission lines, substations, and the other features of this project. This procedure was followed with respect to this project for several years, and as a result a number of so-called pocketbook accounts were established. Only comparatively recently did the Congress consolidate these accounts. Therefore, in lieu of earmarking in the bill the amounts for the various phases of this project, the committee is including in its report the exact amount it has approved for each item, and, likewise, the items it has disapproved and the amounts disapproved therefor. The following table sets forth this information, and the committee expects the Reclamation Bureau to follow the committee's recommendations. Funds are not to be used for any item disapproved by the committee.

TABLE SETTING FORTH ITEMS APPROVED AND ITEMS DISAPPROVED BY COMMITTEE FOR CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT, CALIFORNIA

The table setting forth the committee's recommendations follows:

	<i>Budget estimate</i>	<i>Not approved by Senate committee</i>	<i>Approved by Senate committee</i>
1. Joint facilities-----	\$3, 250, 000	-----	\$3, 250, 000. 00
2. Irrigation facilities-----	39, 692, 000	-----	43, 442, 190. 07
3. Power facilities:			
Examinations and surveys -----	100, 000	\$100, 000	-----
Shasta power plant-----	1, 000, 000	-----	1, 000, 000. 00
Keswick Dam-----	2, 350, 000	-----	2, 350, 000. 00
Keswick power plant-----	769, 000	-----	769, 000. 00
Delta steam power plant -----	2, 000, 000	2, 000, 000	-----
Switchyards:			
Shasta switchyard-----	48, 000	-----	48, 000. 00
Keswick switchyard-----	266, 000	-----	266, 000. 00
Elverta switchyard -----	500, 000	500, 000	-----
Tracy switchyard-----	3, 250, 000	-----	¹ 3, 250, 000. 00
Transmission lines:			
Shasta-Tracy via Oroville-Sacramento, 230 kilovolts-----	2, 600, 000	-----	2, 600, 000. 00
Shasta-Tracy (west side) No. 1 and No. 2, 230 kilovolts -----	3, 000, 000	3, 000, 000	-----
Keswick-Tracy via Elverta, 115 kv -----	500, 000	500, 000	-----
Elverta-Roseville, 115 kv -----	400, 000	400, 000	-----
Galt-Delta cross-channel P. P., 115 kv -----	10, 000	10, 000	-----
Tracy-Patterson, 69 kv -----	100, 000	100, 000	-----

¹ Amount is limited to funds needed to complete the Tracy switchyard for project pumping purposes only.

	Budget estimate	Not approved by Senate committee	Approved by Senate committee
3. Power Facilities—Continued			
Substations:			
Roseville-----	\$45,000	\$45,000	-----
Redding-----	100,000	100,000	-----
Miscellaneous power facilities, Government camp-----	20,000	-----	\$20,000.00
Total, power facilities-----	17,058,000	6,755,000	10,303,000.00
4. Distribution systems (irrigation)---	3,000,000	-----	3,000,000.00
5. Contractors' claims-----	-----	-----	794,699.93
6. Total-----	63,000,000	6,755,000	60,789,890.00

CENTRAL VALLEY—GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRIC ENERGY

The committee is proposing that funds be provided to continue construction of the transmission line from Shasta via Oroville to Tracy and for switchyards at Shasta, Keswick, and Tracy with facilities at Tracy limited to those required for project pumping purposes.

The decision not to recommend the construction of other transmission lines, the steam plant, and other electric facilities is based upon the assumption that the Pacific Gas & Electric Co. will negotiate a contract with the Secretary of the Interior in accordance with the basic principles found in the contract between the Southwestern Power Administration and the Texas Power & Light Co.

The committee directs that the Commissioner of Reclamation report to the Senate and House Appropriations Committees by January 1, 1950, on progress made on entering into such a contract with the Pacific Gas & Electric Co.

COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT, COLORADO

COMMITTEE ACTION

The budget estimate for this project is \$21,500,000. The House allowed an appropriation of \$18,275,000, and the committee recommends that this amount be increased by \$1,897,750 to provide a total appropriation of \$20,172,750. The committee made a 15-percent reduction in the amount in the estimate for new major contracts, eliminated two transmission lines included in the estimate, and has included one line not in the estimate.

An amount of \$200,000 is recommended by the committee for the Salida-Gunnison transmission line, which item is not included in the 1950 estimate. This line does not duplicate any private transmission line and is badly needed by REA groups in the Salida-Gunnison area.

The committee has disallowed an item of \$661,000 included in the budget estimate for the Brighton-Valmont (Leysor)-Flatiron-Fort Collins-Greeley Gap (115 kilovolts) transmission line and has also disallowed an item of \$108,000 included in the 1950 estimate for the Estes-Valmont transmission lines.

TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRIC ENERGY

These two transmission lines have been disapproved by the committee upon the assumption that the Public Service Co. of Colorado will negotiate a contract with the Secretary of the Interior in conformance with the basic principles found in the contract between the Southwestern Power Administration and the Texas Power & Light Co.

The committee directs that the Commissioner of Reclamation report to the Senate and House Appropriations Committees by January 1, 1950, on progress made on entering into such a contract with the Public Service Co. of Colorado.

MISSOURI RIVER BASIN

COMMITTEE ACTION

The budget estimate for the Missouri River Basin appropriation is \$87,150,000. The House first eliminated \$300,000 for the Glendo unit, Wyoming, and then subjected the remaining amount to a 15-percent reduction, or \$13,027,500, making a total reduction by the House under the estimate of \$13,327,500. The total appropriation approved by the House was \$73,822,500.

The committee was advised by the Reclamation Bureau that of the budget estimate of \$87,150,000, it had been determined since the bill passed the House that it would not be necessary to allow a total of \$3,384,360. This reduced the requested amount to \$83,765,640. After taking into account the voluntary reductions recommended by the Reclamation Bureau, the committee, as it did in the case of other project appropriations, applied a 15-percent reduction to funds required for new major contracts. This accounted for a further reduction of \$1,238,730. In recommending the transfer of the Missouri diversion unit from phase B to phase A, the committee made a further reduction of \$60,000 in the cash appropriation for this project. In addition to a voluntary reduction of \$600,000 in the Moorhead Dam, which is included in the reduction of \$3,384,360 mentioned above, and a reduction of \$26,650 in this project based on a 15-percent cut in the amount for new contracts, which is included in the reduction of \$1,238,730 mentioned above, the committee made a further reduction of \$2,873,350 in the amount for this project, earmarking the \$500,000 allowed for the Moorhead unit and Kaycee and Piney units, Powder division, Montana-Wyoming. These reductions, including the additional reduction of \$2,873,350 on the Moorhead unit, make a total of \$7,556,440 proposed by the committee in this appropriation.

For the Jamestown unit, Missouri-Souris division, Montana-North Dakota, the committee recommends an increase of \$75,000 above the estimate to provide a total of \$150,000 in phase B for this project. Under the transmission division in phase A, the committee recommends an increase in the cash appropriation of \$2,000,000 for transmission lines in North Dakota. These two items not in the budget estimate total \$2,075,000.

Subtracting the \$2,075,000 in items above the budget estimate from the total of \$7,556,440 proposed by the committee below the budget estimate results in a net decrease of \$5,481,440 recommended

by the committee below the estimate. This net decrease subtracted from the budget estimate of \$87,150,000 leaves a total of \$81,668,560, which is the total appropriation recommended by the committee for the Missouri River Basin. The \$81,668,560 recommended by the committee represents an increase of \$7,846,060 over the House figure of \$73,822,500.

AMOUNTS APPROVED FOR MISSOURI RIVER BASIN PROJECTS

The table appearing below sets forth the committee's recommendations with respect to the Missouri River Basin appropriation, showing the budget estimate for each project, the amount allowed by the House for each project as allocated by the Reclamation Bureau, and the amount for each project recommended by the committee. The projects appearing in black-face type are projects on which the Reclamation Bureau advised no restoration of the House allowance is required but on which, as in the case of other projects, the Senate committee made a 15-percent reduction in funds included in the House allowance for new major contracts.

The table referred to follows:

Comparative table on Missouri River Basin program

Project	1950 budget estimate	Amount allowed by House as allocated by Bureau of Reclamation	Amount recommended by Senate committee
Phase A, units selected for construction:			
Big Horn Basin division, Wyoming, Owl Creek unit -----	\$1,000,000	¹ \$850,000	\$764,640
Bostwick division, Nebraska-Kansas -----	2,730,000	¹ 2,320,500	2,244,130
Boysen division, Wyoming, Boysen unit-----	8,000,000	6,800,000	7,957,400
Cannonball division, North Dakota, Cannonball unit -----	2,960,000	¹ 2,516,000	2,510,900
Cheyenne division, Wyoming-South Dakota: Angostura unit-----	2,000,000	1,700,000	1,926,150
Keyhole unit -----	2,000,000	¹ 1,700,000	1,680,710
Frenchman-Cambridge division, Nebraska-----	10,000,000	8,500,000	9,832,150
Grand division, South Dakota, Shadehill unit-----	4,000,000	3,400,000	3,990,000
Heart division, North Dakota: Dickinson unit-----	880,000	748,000	871,300
Heart Butte unit-----	1,120,000	952,000	1,117,750
Helena to Great Falls division, Montana, Canyon Ferry unit-----	8,000,000	6,800,000	7,928,300
Marias division, Montana, Lower Marias unit -----	2,500,000	¹ 2,125,000	1,951,730
Missouri-Souris division, Montana-North Dakota, Missouri Diversion unit-----			² 200,000
Montana pumping division, Montana, N-Bar-N unit -----	650,000	¹ 552,500	552,500
Moreau River division, South Dakota, Bixby unit -----	4,000,000	¹ 3,400,000	3,371,180
North Dakota pumping division, North Dakota, Fort Clark unit -----	175,000	148,750	137,270
North Platte division, Wyoming: Glendo unit-----	300,000	0	300,000
Kortes unit-----	2,100,000	1,785,000	2,097,000
Powder division, Montana-Wyoming, Moorhead unit and Kaycee and Piney units -----	4,000,000	¹ 3,400,000	500,000
Smoky Hill division, Kansas, Cedar Bluffs unit-----	4,000,000	3,400,000	4,000,000
South Platte division, Colorado, Narrows unit -----	2,000,000	¹ 1,700,000	1,668,510
Transmission division-----	7,310,000	6,213,500	³ 8,930,450
Upper Republican division, Colorado-Nebraska-Kansas, St. Francis unit-----	3,700,000	3,145,000	3,700,000
Yellowstone division, Montana-North Dakota: Cartwright unit -----	52,000	¹ 44,200	44,200
Marsh unit -----	250,000	¹ 212,500	191,660
Sadie Flat -----	200,000	¹ 170,000	170,000
Savage unit-----	102,600	87,210	102,040
Sidney unit -----	45,400	¹ 38,590	38,590
Subtotal, phase A-----	74,075,000	62,708,750	68,778,560

Footnotes at end of table, p. 16.

Comparative table on Missouri River Basin program—Continued

Project	1950 budget estimate	Amount allowed by House as allocated by Bureau of Reclamation	Amount recommended by Senate committee
Phase B, units being prepared for construction:			
Big Horn Basin, Wyo.:			
Hanover unit.....	\$100,000	\$85,000	\$100,000
Shoshone extension unit.....	200,000	170,000	200,000
Cheyenne division, Wyoming-South Dakota, Rapid Valley unit.....			
Columbus division, Nebraska.....	100,000	85,000	100,000
Grand Island division, Nebraska.....	500,000	425,000	500,000
Helena-Great Falls division, Montana, Helena Valley unit.....	120,000	102,000	120,000
James division, South Dakota, Oahe unit.....	90,000	76,500	90,000
Jefferson division, Montana, East Bench unit.....	700,000	595,000	700,000
Lower Big Horn division, Montana-Wyoming, Hardin unit.....	236,000	200,600	236,000
Middle Loup division, Nebraska.....	500,000	425,000	500,000
Missouri-Souris division, Montana-North Dakota:	980,000	833,000	980,000
Crosby-Mohall unit.....			
Devils Lake unit.....	515,000	437,750	515,000
Jamestown unit.....	35,000	29,750	35,000
Missouri diversion unit.....	75,000	63,750	150,000
Montana pumping division, Montana:	260,000	221,000	(⁴)
Nickwall unit.....			
Redwater unit.....	25,000	21,250	25,000
North Dakota pumping division, North Dakota, Painted Woods unit.....			
Three Forks Division, Montana, Crow Creek unit.....	25,000	21,250	25,000
White Division, South Dakota, Pine Ridge unit.....	60,000	51,000	60,000
Yellowstone Division, Montana-North Dakota:	104,000	88,400	104,000
Colgate unit.....			
Elm Coulee unit.....	100,000	85,000	100,000
Seven Sisters unit.....	20,000	17,000	20,000
Stipek unit.....	30,000	25,500	30,000
	33,000	28,050	33,000
	67,000	56,950	67,000
Subtotal, phase B.....	4,875,000	4,143,750	4,690,000
Phase C, continuing work on the general plan of development.....	2,615,000	2,222,750	2,615,000
Phase D, work in cooperation or in connection with activities of the Corps of Engineers.....	85,000	72,250	85,000
Other Department of Interior agencies:			
Bureau of Land Management.....	450,000	382,500	450,000
Bureau of Mines.....	175,000	148,750	175,000
Fish and Wildlife Service.....	475,000	403,750	475,000
Geological Survey.....	3,700,000	3,145,000	3,700,000
National Park Service.....	375,000	318,750	375,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs.....	325,000	276,250	325,000
Subtotal, other departmental agencies.....	5,500,000	4,675,000	5,500,000
Total, Missouri River Basin project.....	87,150,000	73,822,500	81,668,560

¹ Projects on which no restoration of House allowance is required, but on which, as in the case of other projects, the Senate committee made a 15-percent reduction in funds included in the House allowance for new major contracts.

² In addition, contract authorization of \$4,364,000 and use in phase A of \$216,000 of 1949 unobligated balance.

³ Includes \$2,000,000 cash for transmission lines in North Dakota. In addition \$2,000,000 contract authorization for these transmission lines in North Dakota.

⁴ Transferred to phase A, note footnote 2.

GLENDO PROJECT, WYOMING

With reference to the Glendo unit, Wyoming, included in the Missouri River Basin, which was eliminated by the House, the committee recommends an appropriation of \$300,000 for this project.

The committee further recommends that the following proviso be deleted from the bill:

: *Provided further*, That no part of this appropriation may be used for surveys, design, or construction of the Glendo project, Wyoming, or any feature thereof to a greater capacity or for other purposes than set forth in Senate Document

Numbered 191, Seventy-eighth Congress, second session, without the specific authorization of Congress

and that the following proviso be inserted in lieu thereof:

: Provided further, That in order to promote agreement among the States of Nebraska, Wyoming, and Colorado and to avoid any possible alteration of existing vested water rights, no part of this or of any prior appropriation shall be for construction or for further commitment for construction of the Glendo unit or any feature thereof, until a definite planned report thereon has been completed, reviewed by the States of Nebraska, Wyoming, and Colorado and approved by Congress

In approving \$300,000 for this project subject to the foregoing proviso, the committee does so with the understanding that the resident engineer assigned to the Glendo project is to confine his activities in connection with this project to investigations.

MISSOURI DIVERSION UNIT, MISSOURI-SOURIS DIVISION, MONTANA-NORTH DAKOTA

For the Missouri diversion unit of the Missouri-Souris division, Montana-North Dakota, the House allowed \$221,000 under phase B. For the current fiscal year, there was appropriated for this project in phase A \$287,500. The 1950 budget estimate, however, placed this project back in phase B.

The committee has transferred this project to phase A, as approved by the Congress for the fiscal year 1949, recommending a cash appropriation of \$200,000. The committee directs that the unobligated 1949 balance, estimated at \$216,000, be used in connection with this project, and in addition the committee recommends contract authority in the amount of \$4,364,000 for this project. The cash appropriation of \$200,000, together with the estimated unobligated balance of \$216,000, and the contract authorization of \$4,364,000 will provide a total of \$4,780,000, which is the estimated cost of the Missouri River diversion dam unit.

JAMESTOWN UNIT, MISSOURI-SOURIS DIVISION, MONTANA-NORTH DAKOTA

For the Jamestown unit of the Missouri-Souris division, Montana-North Dakota, the House allowed \$63,750 in phase B. The committee recommends that this amount be increased by \$86,250 to provide a total of \$150,000 in phase A for this project.

LA PRELE UNIT, WYOMING

The committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill:

and emergency reconstruction of the La Prele unit, Wyoming

The committee was advised that the La Prele project on the La Prele River in Wyoming was inadvertently omitted from the Missouri River Basin developments included in Senate Document No. 191, Seventy-eighth Congress, approved by the Congress in the Flood Control Act of 1944. The language recommended by the committee to be added to the bill will permit investigations on this project, which is in need of rehabilitation, and which is considered an integral part of the Missouri Basin water system.

TRANSFERS TO NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

ARCHEOLOGICAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Included in the 1950 estimates for the Missouri River Basin is an amount of \$375,000 for transfer to the National Park Service. Of this amount, \$154,400 was set up for "surveys of recreational resources," \$73,680 was included for "archeological and paleontological surveys," and \$146,920 was included for "archeological and paleontological excavations."

With reference to transfers made by the Reclamation Bureau during the fiscal year 1950 for archeological and paleontological surveys and excavations, the committee directs that wherever possible the Reclamation Bureau or the National Park Service make cooperative agreements with State archeological agencies under which funds can be transferred to such State agencies for the utilization of their facilities and trained personnel in this work.

MOORHEAD UNIT, POWDER DIVISION, MONTANA-WYOMING

In connection with the Moorhead unit of the Powder division, Montana-Wyoming, the committee recommends that the following proviso be added to the bill:

: Provided, That no part of this or prior appropriations shall be used for construction, nor for further commitments to construction of Moorhead Dam and Reservoir, Montana, or any feature thereof until a definite plan report thereon has been completed, reviewed by the States of Wyoming and Montana, and approved by the Congress

KAYCEE AND PINEY UNITS

In reference to the \$500,000 recommended by the committee for the Powder division, Montana-Wyoming, it will be noted in the above table that the committee has included with the Moorhead unit the Kaycee and Piney units.

NORTH DAKOTA TRANSMISSION LINES

In addition to the \$2,000,000 cash appropriation recommended for transmission lines in the State of North Dakota, the committee recommends for this purpose contract authority in the amount of \$2,000,000. A total of \$5,000,000 was requested of the committee to start construction of these transmission lines. It is estimated that the total cost of the transmission lines for immediate construction will be \$13,795,000, of which \$8,698,000 is required for transmission lines, and \$5,097,000 is required for substations. The proposed construction includes 463 miles of 115-kilovolt transmission lines, and 247 miles of 69-kilovolt transmission lines.

It was pointed out to the committee that a number of REA cooperatives in North Dakota are proposing to install a 30,000-kilowatt steam plant at Minot, N. Dak., for the generation of electric power to serve cooperative loads in the north central, central, and south-eastern sections of North Dakota. The committee was advised that the cooperatives propose to complete this plant by 1951 in order that power will be available to meet their immediate needs until such time as power will be available from the Garrison Dam power plant, now

scheduled for completion in the fiscal year 1954. It is necessary to construct transmission lines from the cooperatives' plant to the load centers. It was represented to the committee that the Reclamation Bureau has proposed a number of transmission facilities planned for construction by 1954, which could be used at an earlier date by these cooperatives to bring power from the proposed plant to the cooperatives' load centers. It was further pointed out that should the cooperatives undertake a construction program for transmission lines and substations to meet their own requirements, these facilities would later have to be duplicated by the Reclamation Bureau, or additional capacity, above the immediate needs of the cooperatives, built into their system to provide for the capacity for delivering power from the Garrison power plant.

The committee was further advised, however, that a coordinated plan has been worked out whereby the advanced construction of certain components of the Missouri Basin project power system can be utilized to meet the cooperatives' needs in the most economical manner at the earliest possible date. The committee has approved \$2,000,000 in cash and \$2,000,000 in contract authorization to start construction of the proposed transmission lines and substations. The committee was advised that these facilities will not duplicate any existing facilities of the private company, and that the private utility company in this area does not wish to construct the proposed facilities.

CANYON FERRY RESERVOIR, MONT.

With respect to the Canyon Ferry project in Montana, the committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill, which is similar to language contained in the 1949 Interior Department Appropriation Act:

: Provided further, That no part of this appropriation shall be available or used to maintain or operate Canyon Ferry Reservoir at a higher maximum normal pool elevation than three thousand seven hundred and sixty-six feet, unless and until new land in Broadwater County, Montana, equal in acreage to the irrigated land to be inundated in Canyon Ferry Reservoir above elevation of three thousand seven hundred and sixty-six feet is provided with facilities for irrigation; or for or in connection with the acquisition of the power facilities or transmission facilities for delivering power from the Canyon Ferry project, Montana.

LOWER-COST CANAL-LINING PROGRAM

The committee notes with interest the progress being made by the Bureau of Reclamation through the lower-cost canal-lining program which was inaugurated with the committee's approval in the report on the 1947 Interior Department Appropriation Act (Rept. No. 1434, 79th Cong., 2d sess.). Information furnished the committee indicates that this program offers a means of combating rising construction costs and reducing maintenance expenditures on existing projects as well as effecting substantial savings in irrigation water supplies delivered to the farms. The activities should be continued and expanded through the use of limited allocations from construction funds for field laboratory work on existing projects. The cooperation of the western State colleges and the Soil Conservation Service in this program should be sought so that all irrigation in the West may benefit from these demonstrations.

COOPERATION WITH STATE COLLEGES AND THE DEPARTMENT OF
AGRICULTURE

The committee directs the Bureau of Reclamation to continue the program of cooperation in the planning, construction, and operation and maintenance of reclamation projects with western State agricultural colleges and the Department of Agriculture agencies concerned to avoid a duplication of personnel and unnecessary conflicts with due regard for the responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior under the reclamation law and of the western State colleges and Department of Agriculture agencies concerned with conservation of western water and land resources. It is noted with satisfaction that the number of cooperative agreements reported by the Bureau of Reclamation is increasing, but it is felt that there is further room for advancing cooperative efforts along the lines that were explained to the committee during the hearings. The full resources of the Federal agencies as well as the State agricultural colleges and other State agencies should be brought to bear on the reclamation program, and it is hoped that the forthcoming conference of the presidents and other officials of the western State colleges with Department of the Interior and Department of Agriculture officials at Salt Lake City in August will be fruitful in expanding and perfecting work programs at the field level which will be helpful in improving irrigation practices, crop production, and water-saving programs through development farms and otherwise where such services are not provided for reclamation water users by other agencies. The Bureau of Reclamation is expected to make such transfers of funds to other Federal agencies and State colleges that will aid in avoiding duplication of personnel and services in these programs.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GAGING STREAMS

MISSISSIPPI RIVER EMBAYMENT INVESTIGATION

In connection with the appropriation for "Gaging streams," the committee gave careful consideration to a request for the appropriation of \$100,000 for a Mississippi River embayment investigation. There was no budget estimate for this proposed project, and the committee was advised that accomplishment of the proposed work would require 5 years at an estimated cost of \$500,000.

The Mississippi Valley embayment is an area of approximately 45,000 square miles in the Mississippi Valley, extending from southern Arkansas northward to the mouth of the Ohio River. The area includes northern Mississippi, western Tennessee, eastern Arkansas, southeastern Missouri, and southwestern Kentucky.

The proposed investigation involves a determination of the extent of the water-bearing formations in this area, the continuity of the different formations, the capacity of the ground-water reservoirs to transmit water, the storage capacities of the ground-water reservoirs, the amounts of pumping, the configuration of the water tables, and the quality of water. The committee was advised that by com-

binning all of the information as to the outcrop area, the amount of recharge to and discharge from the ground-water reservoirs, and the continuity and ability of the ground-water reservoirs to transmit water, it would be possible to estimate the safe yield of each water-bearing formation.

As noted, this project involves parts of five States, and if undertaken should be undertaken on a cooperative basis between these five States and the Federal Government. The committee was advised that the Geological Survey does not have a ground-water cooperative program in Missouri, and that there would be a missing link there so far as cooperation with the five States is concerned.

Before an appropriation is made to undertake this project, the committee feels that a cooperative program should first be worked out between the five States concerned and the Federal Government, and that then a budget estimate should be submitted to the Congress for consideration.

BUREAU OF MINES

DRAINAGE TUNNEL, LEADVILLE, COLO.

In addition to a cash appropriation of \$250,000 under this heading, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$250,000, providing a total fund availability of \$500,000 for this work.

Work on this drainage tunnel was started as a wartime activity, and \$1,400,000 has been appropriated. The tunnel face at present is 6,600 feet from the portal and has initially entered the mineralized area where the first stage of effective drainage will take place. The committee was advised that further continuation of the tunnel is necessary to derive any benefit from the work accomplished to date.

In view of the strategic minerals to be obtained through drainage of the Leadville tunnel, and in view of the agreements that have been negotiated with 90 percent of the property owners under which royalties in the amount of 3 percent would be paid to the Government on any resultant mineral production, the committee feels that this additional expenditure is justified.

In recommending the approval of this item, the committee wishes to call attention to the following detailed statement prepared by the Bureau of Mines, stressing the importance of this work:

The Leadville district has produced about \$650,000,000 worth of metals, chiefly lead, zinc, silver, and smaller values in manganese, copper, and gold. Its production record is the largest of all the mining districts of Colorado and is among the largest in the country. It is conservatively estimated that 3,000,000 tons of zinc-lead ore would be made available for mining by unwatering the district through this drainage tunnel. The ore that would be thus unwatered would be considerably higher grade than what is currently being mined throughout the country. It would contain more than 15 percent metal, whereas the average lead-zinc ore now being mined contains only about 3 percent metal. In addition to the zinc-lead ore, at least 1,000,000 tons of ferruginous manganese ore would be made available for mining.

The complexity of numerous property ownerships in the district has deterred private capital from undertaking this tunnel enterprise and has prevented the reopening of individual mines now flooded and inactive. The tunnel is designed to serve as a public utility in that it would afford permanent drainage of the area. It will stimulate active domestic mining and increase taxable property values and income taxes as well as make available badly needed metals.

The tunnel face at present is 6,600 feet from the portal and has initially entered the mineralized area where the first stage of effective drainage will take place. Further continuation of the tunnel is necessary to derive any benefit from the work accomplished to date. It is proposed that the entire tunnel and laterals totaling a bore of 17,500 feet ultimately will be completed. The remaining work has been divided into four stages, each of which could be completed in approximately a year. If sufficient funds should be available, stage IV could be carried on simultaneously with stages II and III and the entire project could be completed in 3 years.

The present estimate of \$500,000 is sufficient to finish stage I, that is, to the Hayden shaft, a distance of 2,600 feet. The cost of completing the entire project will depend upon the wages and material prices current at the time the work is done, but at present levels it would be \$1,700,000.

Agreements were negotiated with 90 percent of the property owners during the previous Bureau of Mines activity on this project whereby royalties in the amount of 3 percent would be paid to the Government on any resultant mineral production. Additional revenue would be expected in the form of tolls charged for haulage of ore and waste rock through the tunnel.

Estimates of the return to the Government have been made on the following basis:

- (1) That the very conservative estimate of tonnage proves to be the actuality.
- (2) That current (August 1948) market prices for metals prevail.
- (3) That only one-quarter of the tonnage mined will be hauled through the tunnel: the rest being hoisted through shafts.
- (4) That twice as much waste rock as ore will be transported.
- (5) That 20 cents per ton hauled will be the toll rate.

On this basis, the potential returns to the Government at the various stages of completion of the tunnel are as follows:

State	Total length of tunnel	Potential return		Total	Government expenditure	
		Royalties	Tolls		Additional	Cumulative total
Present.....	6,600	0	0	0	0	\$1,400,000
I.....	9,200	\$287,000	\$127,000	\$414,000	\$500,000	1,900,000
II.....	11,800	664,000	270,000	934,000	925,000	2,325,000
III.....	14,100	1,048,000	405,000	1,453,000	1,265,000	2,665,000
IV.....	17,500	1,620,000	600,000	2,220,000	1,700,000	3,100,000

It can be seen that after completion of the second stage, the potential return to the Government is greater than the additional Government outlay needed to complete the work.

Even without considering the repayment features of the Leadville tunnel project, it is one of the most promising zinc-lead development proposals that have come to the attention of the Bureau of Mines in its investigations of strategic mineral deposits.

The expenditure of an additional \$1,700,000 at Leadville will make available for mining 3,000,000 tons of ore containing 1,000,000,000 pounds of combined lead and zinc metal at a cost of less than two-tenths of a cent per pound of metal. Even including the previous expenditure of \$1,400,000, the total Government outlay will be only three-tenths of a cent per pound. If the expenditure should be prorated to the manganese and byproduct silver as well as the lead and zinc, the cost per pound of metal would be even less.

While the average of the zinc and lead ore developed by the Bureau of Mines in its strategic minerals program is less than 6 percent combined metals, the material at Leadville contains more than 15 percent. Consequently, once the tunnel is unwatered, the ore that will be made available at Leadville will be of more immediate use than most of the ores now being developed.

INCREASES AND LIMITATIONS

The changes in the amounts of the House bill recommended by the committee are as follows:

Office of the Secretary:

Salaries:

The committee makes no recommendation for change in the amount of this appropriation, \$1,275,000, as allowed by the House. The 1950 estimate is in the amount of \$1,350,000, and the House made a reduction of \$75,000, which is approved by the committee.

Within the total appropriation approved for "Salaries, Office of the Secretary," the committee approves the use of not to exceed \$175 as the Secretary's proportion of the administrative cost of the suggestions committee.

Division of Territories and Island Possessions:

Salaries and expenses -----

\$27, 500

The 1950 estimate for this appropriation is \$217,500. The House allowed an appropriation of \$190,000. The committee recommends an increase of \$27,500 to provide the full amount of the estimate.

The break-down of the \$27,500 increase proposed by the committee is as follows:

Activity	Appropriated, 1949	Budget, estimate, 1950	House, bill, 1950	Increase recommended
(1) Caribbean Branch.....	\$25, 331	\$28, 353	\$25, 033	\$3, 320
(2) Office of General Counsel.....	12, 753	16, 924	10, 285	6, 639
(3) Pacific Branch.....	12, 321	30, 776	15, 085	15, 691
(4) Pay increase, Public Law 900.....	10, 500	13, 530	11, 680	1, 850
Total.....	60, 905	89, 583	62, 083	27, 500

Oil and Gas Division:

Salaries and expenses -----

45, 000

The House allowed \$325,000 for this appropriation, which is \$75,000 under the 1950 estimate of \$400,000. The committee recommends an increase of \$45,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$370,000.

The increase of \$45,000 proposed by the committee is for the coordination of oil and gas activities by the Oil and Gas Division inasmuch as the House approved the full budget estimate of \$175,000 for enforcement and administration of the Connally "Hot Oil" Act.

Soil and moisture conservation -----

200, 000

The increase recommended by the committee will provide the budget estimate, or a total appropriation of \$2,800,000 for conservation of basic soil and water resources on public lands under the jurisdiction of the Interior Department.

The House committee in its report stated that experimental seeding of barren lands by distributing pelletized seeds from airplanes should be given further trial for final determination as to its merits and stated further that \$100,000 of the appropriation recommended for the fiscal year 1950 is for allocation to this use. The Senate committee approves this recommendation by the House committee and, in addition, recommends inclusion in the bill of language making not to exceed \$65,000 of the unobligated balance of the 1949 appropriation available for this program.

Total, Office of the Secretary -----

272, 500

Bonneville Power Administration----- \$357, 000

The committee recommends an increase of \$357,000 in the cash appropriation for the Bonneville Power Administration to provide a total appropriation of \$30,284,500 as compared to the House appropriation of \$29,927,500 and the revised budget estimate of \$33,714,000.

The committee also recommends an increase of \$191,500 in the contract authorization for this Administration to provide a total contract authority of \$15,916,500 as compared to the House amount of \$15,725,000 and the revised budget estimate for contract authority of \$18,900,000.

The committee's action with respect to the Bonneville Power Administration is discussed more fully on pages 5-7 of this report.

Bureau of Land Management:

Salaries and expenses----- 60, 000

The increase of \$60,000 proposed by the committee is divided as follows:

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| (1) Correction of accounting deficiencies-- | \$25, 000 |
| (2) Microfilming of records (total of \$75,000 requested in a supplemental estimate, S. Doc. 47)----- | 35, 000 |

Total increase recommended-----	60, 000
---------------------------------	---------

The increase of \$60,000 recommended by the committee will provide a total appropriation for "Salaries and expenses" of \$1,035,000, as compared to the House amount of \$975,000, and as compared to the revised Budget estimate of \$1,075,000.

Management, protection, and disposal of public lands----- 225, 000

For this appropriation, the House allowed \$3,300,000 of the regular budget estimate of \$3,792,500, or a reduction of \$492,500 under the estimate.

Subsequent to passage of the bill by the House, a supplemental estimate in S. Doc. 47 was received in the amount of \$200,000, making the total estimate \$3,992,500. The supplemental estimate in the amount of \$200,000 was for 6 additional field parties to be used in the survey of public lands in Alaska.

Of the total increase of \$692,500 requested over the House allowance of \$3,300,000, the committee has approved an increase of \$225,000. The total appropriation recommended under this heading is \$3,525,000.

The increase of \$225,000 recommended by the committee is divided as follows:

- | | |
|--|------------|
| (1) Survey of public lands in the United States----- | \$125, 000 |
| (2) Survey of public lands in Alaska----- | 75, 000 |
| (3) Squaw Butte Station, Oregon----- | 25, 000 |

(This additional \$25,000 will provide a total of \$50,000 Federal funds for this station. The State now contributes \$50,000 annually to the operation of this station.)

Total increase recommended-----	225, 000
---------------------------------	----------

Revested Oregon & California Railroad and reconveyed Coos Bay Wagon Road grant lands, Oregon----- 175, 000

The budget estimate for this appropriation is in the amount of \$817,000. The House allowed an appropriation of \$500,000, or \$317,000 less than the estimate.

The committee recommends an increase of \$175,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$675,000, which amount is \$142,000 less than the 1950 budget estimate.

Bureau of Land Management—Continued

The increase of \$175,000 proposed by the committee is divided as follows:

(1) Forest management	\$26, 000
(2) Timber sales	39, 000
(3) Sustained-yield agreements	92, 410
(4) General increase	17, 590
Total increase recommended	<u>175, 000</u>

The committee recommends that the contract authorization of \$150,000 allowed by the House for the construction of access roads, including acquisition of rights-of-way and existing connecting roads be increased to \$250,000, the amount of the 1950 budget estimate, and that the language be amended as follows:

in addition, the Secretary, or at his request, the Commissioner of Public Roads, Federal Works Agency, is authorized to incur obligations and enter into contracts for construction of access roads, including acquisition of rights-of-way and existing connecting roads for the acquisition of rights-of-way, and to incur obligations and to enter into contracts for construction of access roads, and the acquisition of existing connecting roads, in an amount not to exceed ~~\$150,000~~ \$250,000

Total, Bureau of Land Management	<u>\$460, 000</u>
--	-------------------

Bureau of Indian Affairs:

National Indian Institute	22, 500
---------------------------------	---------

This item was recommended in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47. The National Indian Institute was created by Executive Order 8930, Nov. 1, 1941, following ratification by the United States on June 7, 1941, of the convention providing for the creation of the Inter-American Indian Institute.

During the war years, the work of the National Indian Institute was financed with funds provided by the Coordinator of Inter-American Affairs, and more recently functions of the Institute have been performed in part by a private nonprofit corporation. The amount recommended by the committee will provide for the salaries and expenses of a small organization to enable the United States to discharge more fully its obligations under the convention of 1941.

Field administration, salaries and expenses	200, 000
---	----------

The budget estimate for this appropriation is \$3,355,000. The House allowed an appropriation of \$3,000,000 or \$355,000 less than the estimate.

The increase proposed by the committee will provide a total appropriation of \$3,200,000, or \$155,000 less than the 1950 estimate.

The increase of \$200,000 recommended by the committee is as follows:

(1) Additional personnel for local offices of superintendents of Indian reservations to strengthen and increase efficiency of these offices	\$100, 000
(2) Labor recruitment and placement service	100, 000

Total increase recommended	<u>200, 000</u>
----------------------------------	-----------------

The committee feels that the local offices of superintendents of Indian reservations should be strengthened and made more efficient and has, accordingly, recom-

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

mended additional personnel for these offices. This additional personnel is not for regional, area, or offices other than the local offices of superintendents of Indian reservations.

The committee feels that much good can come from an active and efficient Indian labor recruitment and placement service. It is believed that Indians should be utilized in useful employment wherever such employment can be found. The committee has recommended an increase of \$100,000 to enable the Indian Bureau to undertake actively a program of finding gainful employment for Indians and placing them in such employment.

The Government has spent large sums of money in importing labor from outside the United States. Moreover, appropriations are made for relief assistance of various kinds for Indians, and the committee feels that savings can be effected through an active Indian recruitment and placement program, and at the same time the Indians employed will become more self-reliant and independent.

Instead of renting new offices or finding other quarters in connection with this program, the committee expects the Indian Bureau to utilize to the fullest extent existing Government buildings and facilities.

At the time hearings are held next year before the Senate and House Appropriations Committees, it will be expected that the Indian Bureau submit a written report, setting forth in detail the measure of success that has been realized in this labor recruitment and placement program, indicating, in addition to other pertinent information, the number of Indians that have been placed in employment, and the type of work in which they have been placed.

Alaska Native Service-----

\$350, 000

For this appropriation, there was a budget estimate in the amount of \$5,925,000, of which the House allowed \$5,000,000, or \$925,000 under the estimate.

The committee was requested to restore the entire House reduction but has approved an increase in the amount of \$350,000. The committee has not earmarked the purposes for which this increase is allowed but will expect the Indian Bureau to allocate it to those functions financed under this appropriation where there is the greatest need.

Vessel conversion, Alaska Native Service-----

150, 000

This item was recommended in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 80.

The First Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, carried an appropriation in the amount of \$500,000 for conversion and outfitting of the U. S. M. S. *Coastal Rider*, which was transferred to the Alaska Native Service as surplus to the needs of the Maritime Commission under authorization contained in the act of June 29, 1948 (Public Law 841) to replace the U. S. M. S. *North Star*.

The committee was advised that bids have been received from 4 shipyards on the conversion of this vessel and based on the bids received \$500,000 will not be sufficient for the conversion and outfitting. An additional \$150,000 will be required, and the committee recommends approval of the supplemental estimate in this amount.

Navajo and Hopi Service:

Construction and maintenance services-----

75, 000

Of the budget estimate totaling \$3,447,300, the House allowed \$3,000,000, or \$447,300 less than the estimate.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

Request was made of the committee to restore the \$447,300 to the bill, including \$300,000 for buildings and utilities and \$147,300 for roads and trails.

The committee is not recommending any increase in the buildings and utilities item but has approved \$75,000 of the \$147,300 requested for roads and trails. This recommended increase of \$75,000 will provide a total appropriation of \$3,075,000.

Agency services-----

\$1, 014, 975

The House made a reduction in this appropriation of \$511,700 under the 1950 budget estimate, allowing an appropriation of \$5,000,000 in lieu of \$5,511,700. Restoration of this reduction by the House was requested of the committee but was not approved.

On the House floor, language was deleted which in substance prohibited the making of public assistance payments to the Navajo and Hopi Indians in the States of Arizona and New Mexico. However, funds were not included in the bill for the making of these payments.

The committee was advised that based on an agreement reached by the Social Security Administration, the Indian Bureau, and the States of Arizona and New Mexico, a total of \$1,014,975 will be required during the fiscal year 1950 for the making of these public assistance payments to Navajo and Hopi Indians in these two States.

In recommending this increase to provide public assistance payments to Navajo and Hopi Indians in the States of Arizona and New Mexico, the committee calls attention to the fact that legislation is now pending in Congress on this matter. The committee is hopeful that the matter can be disposed of by the enactment of proper legislation, but in the meantime provision must be made in this bill for the making of public assistance payments to Navajo and Hopi Indians in these two States.

Total, Navajo and Hopi Service-----

1, 089, 975

Education of Indians-----

782, 000

The increase recommended by the committee will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$12,982,000. The House allowed the full amount of the regular budget estimate, \$12,200,000, but earmarked a total of \$282,000 for nonbudgeted items.

Subsequent to passage of the bill by the House, a supplemental estimate in the amount of \$500,000, contained in S. Doc. 47, was received by the Senate for operation of the Chee Dodge School, Utah. The total recommended by the committee is \$282,000 in excess of the revised budget estimate, \$12,700,000, and this increase is due largely to the fact that the House earmarked items totaling \$282,000 not in the 1950 estimates, for which the committee is recommending that funds in the amount of \$270,000 be included in the bill. To approve these items without appropriating funds therefor would mean that other Indian schools and items under this appropriation would have to be reduced.

The break-down of the increase recommended by the committee follows:

- (1) Operation after conversion for school purposes of the Bushnell General Hospital plant, Utah (Chee Dodge School), proposed in a supplemental estimate, S. Doc. 47----- \$500, 000

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

(2) Eufaula School, Okla., for which the House earmarked funds but did not include an appropriation-----	\$70, 000
(3) Pipestone School, Minn., for which the House earmarked funds but did not include an appropriation-----	200, 000
(4) Repairs and improvements, Kinishba Museum, Ariz-----	6, 000
(5) Crafts program, Anadarko, Okla-----	6, 000
Total increase recommended-----	<u>782, 000</u>

The House report also earmarked \$12,000 additional for the Minnesota State contract, for which additional funds were not included in the bill. In view of the fact that the Senate committee was advised at its hearing that the amounts for State contracts for aid to public schools enrolling Indian children are likely to be insufficient in other States, the committee has not approved the earmarking of \$12,000 above the budget estimate for one State as proposed by the House.

In fact, information was developed at the Senate hearing to the effect that the amount included in the bill for the payment of State contracts for aid to public schools enrolling Indian children from nontaxable land is considerably less than the amounts requested by several of the States. It was pointed out that the States' requests are based on the anticipated average daily attendance of Indian children in their public schools and the estimated local per capita cost of education. The Congress has entertained deficiencies for the current fiscal year from the States of Montana and Wisconsin. However, the committee is not recommending further increases at this time, but it recognizes that if the anticipated average daily attendance for all the States enrolling Indian children are realized that it will be necessary for the Indian Bureau to request a deficiency appropriation to meet its obligation to these States.

Conservation of health----- \$186, 000

The increase of \$186,000 recommended by the committee is proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 80 and is to provide an additional amount for the Minnesota State (Ah-Gwah-Ching) Sanatorium, for which the House included \$139,000, making the total for this sanatorium \$325,000.

The \$186,000 increase over the House bill will provide for 71 additional Indian tuberculosis patients at a per diem rate of \$6.60 per patient-day and will also provide an increase in the per diem rate from \$6 to \$6.60 per patient-day for 64 patients provided for under the amount allowed by the House. The total of \$325,000 recommended by the committee for this hospital will provide for a total of 135 of the 150 beds available at the per diem rate of \$6.60 per patient-day. The First Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, included an item of \$50,000 to provide for the care of additional Indian tuberculosis patients at the sanatorium until July 1, 1949.

The House allowed the full amount of the regular budget estimate, \$7,731,000 for the appropriation "Conservation of health," and the approval by the committee of the \$186,000 supplemental in S. Doc. 80 will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$7,917,000.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

The House committee in its report in approving the regular budget estimate stated that "it is the intention of the committee that \$60,000 be allocated to the operation of the hospital at Pipestone, Minn. The Bureau of Indian Affairs is expected to restore to this hospital all equipment which has been removed." The Senate committee does not agree with these statements in the House report.

The committee was advised by the Indian Bureau that it does not consider it advisable to operate the Pipestone Hospital with a rated capacity of 36 beds as an institution to provide care for Indian tuberculosis patients, and that it is not possible to recruit a physician specialized in tuberculosis who will accept an assignment at such a small institution. The Indian Bureau further advised that to reopen this hospital and to operate it for a year would require additional funds in the amount of \$113,886, and that the annual cost of operating the unit thereafter will be \$57,836 plus supplies, materials, expendable material amounting to \$17,050, or a total of \$75,000.

The Indian Bureau strongly recommended against operation of the Pipestone Hospital as a tuberculosis sanatorium, and also stated that it is not needed in this area for general hospital purposes, but made the suggestion that the institution be transferred to the State of Minnesota with all the original equipment, and that the Indian Service contract with the State for care of such tubercular Indians as may be admitted at a rate of \$6.60 per patient-day as has been established as the rate acceptable to the State of Minnesota at the Ah-Gwah-Ching Sanatorium.

The committee calls this recommendation of the Indian Bureau to the attention of the proper legislative committee of the Senate and recommends the enactment of proper legislation to transfer the Pipestone Hospital to the State of Minnesota.

Welfare of Indians

\$400, 000

The increase of \$400,000 recommended by the committee is for public assistance payments to Indians, other than Navajos and Hopis, in the States of Arizona and New Mexico.

The committee also recommends that the following language be deleted from the bill:

Provided further, That no payment shall be made from this appropriation to Indians who are eligible for benefit payments under the Social Security Act.

As in the case of public assistance payments recommended by the committee under the appropriation "Navajo and Hopi Service, agency services," this increase under the appropriation "Welfare of Indians" is based on an agreement reached by the Social Security Administration, the Indian Bureau, and the States of Arizona and New Mexico.

Likewise, as in the case of the increase recommended for public assistance payments to the Navajo and Hopi Indians in Arizona and New Mexico, the committee calls attention to the fact that legislation is pending in Congress on this matter. Until such legislation is acted upon by the Congress, provision of necessity must be made for public assistance payments to Navajo, Hopi, and other Indians in the States of Arizona and New Mexico.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

The increase of \$400,000 recommended by the committee will provide a total appropriation of \$900,000 under the heading "Welfare of Indians." For the fiscal year 1949, \$872,710 was appropriated under this heading, including a deficiency of \$400,000.

Agriculture and stock raising----- \$10, 000

The budget estimate for this appropriation is \$935,000, and the House allowed \$850,000. The committee recommends that this amount be increased by \$10,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$860,000.

The increase of \$10,000 recommended by the committee is for premiums at Indian fairs and is to be allocated by the Indian Bureau for use at Indian fairs held in various parts of the United States.

Revolving fund for loans----- 2, 900, 000

The estimate for this appropriation is in the amount of \$2,400,000, the House allowed an appropriation of \$500,000, or a reduction of \$1,900,000 under the estimate. The committee recommends that the item be increased to \$3,400,000, an increase of \$2,900,000, or \$1,000,000 in excess of the budget estimate.

The total authorization for the revolving loan fund is \$12,000,000, toward which a total of \$6,599,600 has been appropriated to date. Based on the budget estimate for the fiscal year 1950 of an additional appropriation of \$2,400,000, the committee was advised that in view of loans in effect or approved and pending acceptance by various Indian tribes and new applications in process of preparation there would be an estimated shortage in the revolving fund of \$2,878,976.

In view of the large volume of pending loan applications, and in view of the economic benefit of these loans to Indians, the committee recommends an increase in this appropriation to \$3,400,000.

Acquisition of lands for Indian tribes----- 25, 000

The increase of \$25,000 recommended in this appropriation will provide the full amount of the Budget estimate, \$150,000.

The additional amount recommended by the committee will permit the Indian Bureau to proceed with its land programs on the Fort Belknap Reservation, Mont., and the Standing Rock, Pine Ridge, and Rosebud-Yankton Reservations in the Dakotas.

The committee recommends that the following provisos be added to the bill, which have been a part of the Interior Department Appropriation Act for several years:

: Provided, That no part of the sum herein appropriated shall be used for the acquisition of land within the States of Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming outside of the boundaries of existing Indian reservations: Provided further, That no part of this appropriation shall be used for the acquisition of land or water rights within the States of Montana, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington either inside or outside the boundaries of existing reservations

Maintenance, operation, repair, and improvement, irrigation systems----- 19, 800

The increase of \$19,800 recommended by the committee is for the following projects:

(1) Uintah project, Utah----- \$5, 775
(2) Wind River project, Wyoming----- 14, 025

Total increase recommended----- 19, 800

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

The increase of \$19,800 recommended by the committee will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$469,800 as compared to the budget estimate of \$450,000, which amount was allowed by the House.

Construction, irrigation systems----- \$22, 500

The increase of \$22,500 recommended by the committee is for the Flathead, Montana, project. For this project, the House allowed an appropriation of \$127,500, and the increase of \$22,500 will provide the full amount of the budget estimate, \$150,000.

The total cash appropriation recommended by the committee for "Construction, irrigation systems" is \$3,598,351, which is \$22,500 in excess of the House total of \$3,575,851, and \$577,649 less than the total budget estimate of \$4,176,000.

Construction of buildings and utilities:

Pima, Ariz----- 15, 000

The amount recommended is for the construction of a natural-gas line to the Pima Agency headquarters at Sacaton, Ariz., and for a distribution system to serve the various agency buildings. A permit for construction of a gas transmission line across the reservation was given to the El Paso Natural Gas Co. some years ago, and a condition of the permit is that the agency may purchase gas at Tucson gas rates. As a result of these favorable rates, it is estimated that the use of natural gas will substantially reduce the annual expenditures for fuel. The estimated cost of the connection line to the Pima Agency headquarters and the distribution system is based on reuse of gas pipe, meters, valves, and other equipment, salvaged from the former Rivers War Relocation Camp near the Pima Agency. The cost, therefore, is materially less than if the estimate were based on the purchase of new materials and equipment.

Truxton Canon, Camp Verde, Ariz.:

The committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill:

Truxton Canon, the prior year appropriation of \$8,000 for the replacement of the Camp Verde, Arizona, Indian school is hereby made available for cooperation with the public school district of Camp Verde, Arizona, for public school facilities;

In 1946 the Camp Verde Indian school burned. The \$8,000 later appropriated for rebuilding this school has not been used because arrangements were made with the Camp Verde school district of the Arizona public schools to take the children into the public schools. At the Senate hearing, the committee was advised that the Indian Bureau has a request from the Arizona State Department of Education to transfer to the Camp Verde public school the \$8,000 to assist the State in a program of enlargement of its public schools, and the committee recommends that this request be approved.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

Red Lake School, Minnesota----- \$68,000

This item was recommended in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 87.

The sum of \$80,000 was appropriated in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, for the construction of school facilities to accommodate Indian pupils of the Red Lake Reservation, Minn. The estimate for that sum, contained in H. Doc. 93, was based upon the facilities required to meet the estimated enrollment at that time. Due to the lack of adequate facilities since 1940, when the building was destroyed by fire, the school has carried on a very limited program. A survey conducted at the close of the 1948-49 school year disclosed that the enrollment for the next school year will be materially increased. The additional \$68,000 recommended will provide for four additional rooms, which are now considered necessary for the accommodation of a greater enrollment than contemplated when the item was considered by the Congress in the Second Deficiency Appropriation bill for 1949.

Western Oklahoma----- 168,000

The increase of \$168,000 recommended by the committee is divided as follows:

(1) Fort Sill Indian school-----	\$55,000
(2) Riverside Indian school-----	55,000
(3) Pawnee Indian school-----	58,000
(a) Improvement to existing din- ing hall and kitchen-----	\$25,000
(b) Water distribu- tion-----	2,500
(c) Sewer distribu- tion-----	2,500
(d) Electric distri- bution-----	1,000
(e) Gas distribu- tion-----	2,000
(f) Commissary and refrigeration-----	25,000
Total-----	58,000

 Total increase rec-
 ommended----- 168,000

The committee was advised that bids for the construction of dormitories at the Fort Sill and Riverside Indian schools were opened on June 7, 1949, and that the low bid for the construction of both dormitories plus the cost of other items exceeds by \$110,000 the funds on hand for these projects plus the additional \$76,500 approved by the House. The committee recommends, therefore, an increase of \$55,000 for each school.

Representation was made to the committee of the inadequate and run-down facilities at the Pawnee Indian school, Oklahoma, and a total of \$963,000 was requested for a construction and rehabilitation program at this school. The committee has approved six of the items requested, totaling \$58,000, which are listed above.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

Umatilla, Oreg----- \$15, 000

This increase of \$15,000 was recommended in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47 and is for the construction of a garage that is to replace one destroyed by fire. The building is used to house farm equipment, tractors, trucks, and other Government-owned equipment.

Colville, Washington----- 86, 000

This item was likewise recommended in S. Doc. 47, which was received by the Senate after the bill passed the House. The increase of \$86,000 is to rebuild the office building at the Colville Indian Agency, which was destroyed by fire on Mar. 13, 1949.

Alaska:

The committee recommends that the contract authorization under this heading be increased from \$637,500, as proposed by the House, to \$1,387,500, an increase in the contract authorization of \$750,000.

The increase of \$750,000 recommended by the committee in the amount of the contract authorization is for staff quarters at the Anchorage, Alaska, hospital.

A supplemental estimate was received in S. Doc. 80, proposing an increase in the contract authorization of \$1,675,000 for a 400-bed hospital and employees' quarters to be constructed at Anchorage, Alaska. The Congress has heretofore appropriated \$75,000 and provided a contract authorization of \$5,925,000 for these facilities. The committee was advised that based on bids opened Apr. 26, 1949, the funds previously made available for this work are insufficient by \$1,675,000.

The committee has not approved the entire increase requested in the contract authorization but does recommend an increase of \$750,000 for staff quarters at the Anchorage hospital.

According to information furnished the committee, the bids received must have been accepted on or before July 10, 1949. In view of the late date at which this request was placed before the Congress, and the fact that the time has now expired for acceptance of the bids opened on Apr. 26, 1949, the committee suggests that the Indian Bureau resubmit the contract for bids. Due to the change in construction costs since the original contract was submitted for bidding, and in view of the increase of \$750,000 recommended by the committee in the contract authorization for staff quarters, it may be found possible to construct and equip these facilities within the total of \$6,750,000 that will now be available for their construction, furnishings, equipment, and miscellaneous costs connected therewith.

Surveys, plans and administrative expenses----- 4, 000

This increase is recommended in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47 and is to provide funds for surveys, plans and administrative expenses in connection with the supplemental items of \$15,000 for the Umatilla Agency, Oreg., and \$86,000 for the Colville Agency, Wash., both of which are recommended by the committee for inclusion in the bill.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

The increase of \$4,000 recommended by the committee will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$237,750, as compared to the House figure of \$233,750 and the revised budget estimate of \$279,000.

Rescission of certain unobligated balances:

The committee recommends that the following proviso be added to the bill:

: Provided further, That unobligated balances in the amount of \$202,418 of specific authorizations in appropriations for prior years under the headings "Construction, and so forth, buildings and utilities" are hereby rescinded and such sum shall be carried to the surplus fund and covered into the Treasury immediately upon the approval of this Act

In recommending inclusion of the foregoing proviso in the bill, it is the intention of the committee that unobligated balances of prior year appropriations on the projects listed in the following table be rescinded in the amount of unobligated balance indicated in each case, and that such amounts, totaling \$202,418 be carried to the surplus fund and covered into the Treasury:

Agency	Project	Appropriation		Unobligated balance
		Year	Amount	
California.....	Hoopa Valley, improvements to utilities.....	1942-47	\$55,000	\$30,000
Carson.....	General repairs and improvements.....	1942	25,000	487
Do.....	Improvements to water system.....	1947	15,000	5,000
Cheyenne River.....	do.....	1939-43	49,500	43,830
Crow Creek.....	Quarters.....	1941-47	42,000	8,806
Fort Apache.....	Remodel dormitory into sanatorium.....	1943	35,000	31,500
Fort Belknap Consolidated.....	Rocky Boy's, improvements to sewer system.....	1940	15,000	604
Fort Berthold.....	Jail.....	1939	10,000	1,385
Do.....	Employees' quarters.....	1939	25,000	978
Do.....	Quarters.....	1942	7,500	1,455
Do.....	Improvements to utilities.....	1942	22,000	19,800
Five Civilized Tribes.....	Jones Academy, improvements to water.....	1941	31,500	14,474
Do.....	Talihina, improvements to water.....	1941	27,500	3,155
Flathead.....	Improvements to utilities.....	1942	13,000	1,662
Klamath.....	Completion of roads garage.....	1943	5,000	5,000
Northern Idaho.....	Quarters.....	1941	10,000	10,000
Pine Ridge.....	Warehouse and office.....	1943	4,000	4,000
Rosebud.....	Improvements to power system.....	1939	15,000	1,234
Tacoma Sanatorium.....	Purchase land from Puyallup Indians.....	1941	228,525	13,400
Turtle Mountain.....	Day school facilities.....	1938-39	125,000	2,294
Wahpeton School.....	Improvements to heating.....	1938	10,000	817
Western Shoshone.....	Improvements to water.....	1939	12,500	1,049
Western Oklahoma Consolidated.....	Concho, improvements to heating system.....	1938	20,000	1,183
Winnebago.....	Warehouse.....	1939	9,000	307
Total.....				202,418

Request was made of the committee in a supplemental budget estimate to make the foregoing unobligated balances available for other construction projects. The committee did not approve this request to transfer these sums to such projects and in such amounts as might be decided upon by the Indian Bureau. On the other hand, the committee feels that these unobligated balances, some of which date back to appropriations made in 1938, 1939, and 1940, should be rescinded and covered into the Treasury inasmuch as they have not been used or needed for the projects for which originally appropriated.

Total, construction of buildings and utilities..... \$356,000

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

Administration of Indian tribal affairs (tribal funds):

The committee recommends that the amount of this appropriation from tribal funds be increased from \$390,000, as proposed by the House, to \$440,000.

The increase of \$50,000 is divided as follows:

(1) Eastern Cherokee Tribe, North Carolina, for use in constructing community buildings on the reservation for recreational, business, and other purposes-----	\$25, 000
(2) Indians of California for defraying expenses in revising roll as provided by Public Law 852, 80th Cong., 2d sess., approved June 30, 1948-----	25, 000
Total increase-----	50, 000

The committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill:

, and the authorization from tribal funds for the payment of salaries of necessary employees and other expenses for the distribution of per capita payments authorized by the Act of July 2, 1942 (56 Stat. 528) is hereby increased from \$1,500 to \$4,500

The purpose of the foregoing language is to increase the limitation for clerical work in connection with the distribution of tribal funds to the enrolled members of the Seminole Tribe of Indians, Oklahoma, from \$1,500 to \$4,500. The act of July 2, 1942, authorizing the per capita payments limited the amount to be used for distribution expenses to \$1,500. The committee was advised that this sum has been exhausted, and that in view of the fact the enrollment was closed some 40 years ago and the fact that approximately one-half of the members are deceased, considerable clerical work remains to be done in dividing the shares of the deceased and making payments to the heirs.

Support of Klamath Agency, Oreg. (tribal funds):

The committee recommends that the amount to be available from tribal funds be increased from \$300,000, as proposed by the House, to \$304,500, the amount of the budget estimate. The additional \$4,500 will enable this tribe to purchase a tractor and dozer needed in fire suppression and protection work. The purchase of this equipment was requested by the Klamath Tribal Council, the total cost of which is about \$10,525.

Support of Menominee Agency and pay of tribal officers, Wisconsin (tribal funds):

In a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47, it was proposed that an additional \$1,000 be made available from tribal funds of the Menominee Indians for increased contract payments to the Catholic Indian Missions for operation of the reservation hospital at Keshena, Wis. This amount was requested by the Menominee Advisory Council at its meeting on Dec. 1, 1948.

The committee has approved this request and recommends that the amount to be appropriated from tribal funds of the Menominee Indians be increased from \$234,000 to \$235,000.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

Compensation and expenses of attorneys (tribal funds):

The committee recommends that the amount to be appropriated from tribal funds for the compensation and expenses of attorneys employed by the individual tribes be increased from \$55,000, as proposed by the House, to \$86,800, or an increase of \$31,800.

The committee was advised that after the submission of the regular 1950 budget estimates, certain tribes entered into contracts with attorneys to act as general counsel and to prosecute claims through the Indian Claims Commission.

The increase of \$31,800 from tribal funds recommended by the committee is divided as follows:

Maricopa, Ariz.....	\$3, 400
San Carlos, Ariz.....	6, 000
Red Lake, Minn.....	12, 000
Mescalero Apache, N. Mex.....	3, 900
Standing Rock, N. Dak.....	4, 500
Pine Ridge, S. Dak.....	2, 000

Total increase recommended.....	<u>31, 800</u>
---------------------------------	----------------

The committee further recommends that the following paragraph be added to the bill:

The sum of \$10,000 of tribal funds of the Indians of California heretofore appropriated and made available until used by the Act of July 1, 1946 (60 Stat. 348, 361), for services and expenses of attorneys is hereby made available for the payment of services and expenses of the attorneys under and in accordance with contracts of employment approved by the Secretary of the Interior in the prosecution of the class suit numbered 31 before the Indian Claims Commission in behalf of the Indians of California, heretofore recognized as an identifiable group of American Indians and enrolled under the Act of May 18, 1928 (45 Stat. 602), as amended by the Acts of April 29, 1930 (46 Stat. 259), and June 30, 1948 (Public Law 852, Eightieth Congress, second session), which are hereby recognized as an identifiable group of American Indians within the meaning of the Indian Claims Commission Act of August 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1049).

Industrial assistance (tribal funds):

The committee recommends that the appropriation from tribal funds for industrial assistance be increased from \$396,000, as proposed by the House, to \$496,000, an increase of \$100,000.

The increase recommended is for the Blackfeet Tribe of Indians, Montana. By resolution adopted by this tribe in April 1948, request was made for use of \$100,000 of their tribal funds for further development of their reservation program. It was pointed out to the committee that the funds requested will be used to assist individuals in the acquisition of cattle and land through the livestock and land enterprises now being conducted.

Support of Indian schools (tribal funds):

The committee recommends that the amount to be appropriated from tribal funds for support of Indian schools be increased from \$987,000, as proposed by the House, to \$1,014,000, an increase of \$27,000.

This increase was proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47. The increase of \$27,000 is for the purpose of replacing a horse barn at the Ogala Boarding School on the Pine Ridge Reservation, S. Dak., which was completely destroyed by fire on Jan. 3, 1949.

Bureau of Indian Affairs—Continued

Construction, tribal community hall, Yakima Indian Agency, Wash. (tribal funds):

The committee recommends that the following provision be added to the bill:

Construction, tribal community hall, Yakima Indian Agency, Washington (tribal funds): For the construction and equipment of a community hall at Yakima Indian Agency, Washington, for the Yakima Tribe of Indians, \$75,000, payable out of funds on deposit in the Treasury to the credit of said tribe.

The foregoing amendment was proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47. The proposed tribal community hall will serve as a memorial to veterans of World Wars I and II and will be used as a business meeting place and as a recreation hall for all members of the tribe. This appropriation from tribal funds was requested in a resolution of the Yakima Tribal Council dated Oct. 5, 1948.

Purchase of passenger motor vehicles:

As passed by the House, the bill contains authority for the Bureau of Indian Affairs to purchase 200 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

The budget estimate proposed authority to purchase 285 passenger motor vehicles, of which 235 would be for replacement only.

The committee recommends that the bill be amended to provide authority to purchase 250 passenger motor vehicles, or an increase of 50 over the number proposed by the House. The committee further recommends that 225 of these be for replacement only. The Indian Bureau now has 1,626 motor vehicles.

Employment of consultants:

The committee recommends that the following provision be amended as indicated:

Appropriations herein made for the Bureau of Indian Affairs shall be available for travel expenses and the purchase of ice for official use of employees, and services as authorized by section 15 of the Act of August 2, 1946 (5 U. S. C. 55a), *except that not to exceed \$5,000 out of irrigation appropriations shall be available for such services at rates for individuals not in excess of \$100 per diem*

The budget estimate proposed that irrigation appropriations be available for payment of consultants not in excess of \$100 per diem. The committee has approved this language with a limitation that not to exceed \$5,000 of irrigation appropriations shall be available for this purpose. The committee was advised that the Indian Bureau on occasions has need for the intermittent services of experts or consultants when special or technical matters pertaining to irrigation projects arise.

Total, Bureau of Indian Affairs-----	\$6, 513, 775
--------------------------------------	---------------

Bureau of Reclamation:

Purchase of automobiles:

The budget estimate proposed authority for the purchase of 262 passenger motor vehicles, including 201 for replacement only.

The House allowed 200 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, and the committee recommends that this number be increased to 230, including 201 for replacement only.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

The committee was advised that the Reclamation Bureau has 1,312 passenger-carrying vehicles, of which 204 are 1941 models or older. The committee was further advised that the majority of the additional motor vehicles requested are for use in the Missouri Basin area where the construction program is being substantially increased over the fiscal years 1948 and 1949.

Employment of consultants:

The committee recommends that the following provision in the bill be amended as indicated:

services as authorized by section 15 of the Act of August 2, 1946 (5 U. S. C. 55a) ~~(not exceeding \$100,000)~~, in an amount not to exceed \$100,000: *Provided, That the rates for individuals shall not exceed \$100 per diem*

The foregoing provision as proposed to be amended is similar to language in the 1949 Interior Department Appropriation Act.

Payments to school districts:

The committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill, which is similar to language included by the Congress in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949:

; payments to school districts in accordance with the Act of June 29, 1948 (Public Law 835), including payments on account of dependents of employees in field offices in project areas engaged in construction and related activities

In order to avoid any question as to the continuing effect of the provision included by the Congress in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, including the making of payments on account of dependents of employees in field offices in project areas engaged in construction and related activities, it is necessary to repeat this provision in the 1950 Interior Department Appropriation Act.

Recreational areas and archaeological and paleontological remains in reservoir areas:

The committee recommends that the following provision be added to the bill:

: Provided further, That funds appropriated for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for expenditure through the facilities of the National Park Service in amounts of not to exceed \$25,000 for any one reservoir area for studies of recreational areas and planning for their utilization, and in amounts of not to exceed \$25,000 for any one reservoir area for the investigation and recovery of archaeological and paleontological remains in reservoir areas in which said Bureau is performing work, in the same manner as provided for in the Act of August 21, 1935 (49 Stat. 666), and funds so expended shall not be reimbursable or returnable under the reclamation law

A broader provision than the foregoing amendment proposed by the committee was recommended in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 84.

The Comptroller General's decision of Apr. 7, 1949, holds that the funds of the Reclamation Bureau are not now available for investigations of the recreational facilities on reclamation projects. Similarly, it has been held that such funds are not available for the investigation and recovery of archaeological remains in reservoir areas.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

In order to permit coordinated planning for unified development of project areas and the timely recovery of valuable fossils, it is necessary that authority be provided to make the funds of the Reclamation Bureau available for such purposes. The committee has approved the authority requested but recommends a limitation of \$25,000 for any one reservoir area on studies of recreational areas and planning for their utilization. A similar limitation is recommended for the investigation and recovery of archaeological and paleontological remains.

General investigations ----- \$200, 000

The regular 1950 budget estimate for this appropriation is \$3,600,000. The House made a reduction of \$100,000, allowing an appropriation of \$3,500,000.

After the bill passed the House, the Senate received a supplemental estimate in the amount of \$300,000 earmarked for the Solano County project, California, making a total revised budget estimate of \$3,900,000.

The committee recommends an increase of \$200,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$3,700,000, which is \$200,000 less than the revised budget estimate.

Of the \$200,000 increase proposed by the committee, \$100,000 is to restore the House reduction in the regular budget estimate, and the remaining \$100,000 is for the Solano County project, California.

In approving \$100,000 of the \$300,000 supplemental estimate for the Solano County project, the committee does so with the understanding that the \$100,000 is to be used only for investigations and engineering, general, in connection with this project. The committee wishes it distinctly understood that the approval of \$100,000 for investigations on this project does not represent a committal to the project and should not be so interpreted. The committee wishes to have more definite information and assurances as to reimbursements to be made by local interests for this project. The committee, accordingly, directs the Reclamation Bureau to make a definite and detailed report to the Senate and House Appropriation Committees on reimbursements for this project by local interests.

Investigations, upper Colorado River Basin ----- 500, 000

The committee recommends that the following paragraph be added to the bill:

Investigations, upper Colorado River Basin: For engineering and economic investigations and studies of water conservation and development plans, in the upper Colorado River Basin States, such investigations, surveys, and studies to be carried on by said Bureau either independently, or in cooperation with State agencies and other Federal agencies, \$500,000 to remain available until expended, and which shall be in addition to any other funds available for expenditures for such investigations in said area.

The foregoing appropriation is recommended pursuant to a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 84. At the Senate hearing, a total of \$1,300,000 was requested for this appropriation. The committee has approved the amount of the budget estimate, \$500,000. With respect to this item, the committee was advised in part as follows:

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

"The upper Colorado River Basin compact, adopted by the Congress and approved by the President on May 6, 1949, has now made it possible to formulate a basin-wide, comprehensive plan of water resources development for the area. In order to provide a basis for such a plan, it is necessary to perform engineering and economic investigations and studies in respect to water availability and potential use; reservoirs for conservation storage to permit proper development in the upper Colorado River Basin consistent with delivery requirements at Lee Ferry; potential uses of water within the natural basin to assist in the determination of the amounts which may be available for diversion to other areas in the upper basin States; and in respect to the relationship of the use of water for proposed industrial development within the basin to irrigation and power development.

"In addition to the estimate of appropriations for investigations now included in the budget under the Colorado River development fund, and the portion of the estimate for general investigations to be allocated to this area, funds in the amount of \$500,000 are required for proper progress on the comprehensive plan during the fiscal year 1950 in order to bring the plans for specific projects, which may later be proposed for authorization, into proper relation to each other."

Construction, reclamation fund:

San Luis Valley project, Colorado----- \$250, 000

The committee was advised that a contract has been awarded for the construction of Platoro Dam, which is the key structure of this project, and that the contractor has estimated that his earnings will exceed the funds available by \$250,000.

This project has been delayed for some 10 years due to unavoidable circumstances, and now that construction has started, the committee feels that the work should go forward as rapidly as possible especially in view of the fact that at best several years will be required to complete the Platoro Dam.

Boise project, Payette division----- 408, 750

The estimate for this project is \$2,725,000, and under the House bill an appropriation of \$2,316,250 would be available. The committee has approved the estimate, \$2,725,000, for this project, which is an increase of \$408,750 over the House bill. No reduction is recommended inasmuch as the committee was advised that the budget estimate contains no amount for the awarding of new major contracts.

Lewiston Orchards project, Idaho----- 40, 500

For this project, the committee recommends the budget estimate, \$270,000, inasmuch as there is no amount included in the estimate for new major contracts. Under the House bill, an appropriation of \$229,500 would be available for this project.

Palisades project, Idaho----- 30, 750

Under the House bill, \$174,250 was appropriated for this project, and the committee recommends an increase of \$30,750 to provide the full amount of the budget estimate, \$205,000. The estimate for this project, likewise, contained no amount for the awarding of new major contracts.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

Milk River project, Montana, Fresno Dam division----- \$3, 465

The estimate for this project is \$71,500, and under the House bill \$60,775 is appropriated therefor. The committee has made a 15-percent reduction in the amount contained in the estimate for new major contracts, which reduction below the estimate amounts to \$7,260, leaving an appropriation of \$64,240 for this project. This amount represents an increase of \$3,465 over the House figure of \$60,775.

Humboldt project, Nevada----- 500, 000

In approving an appropriation of \$500,000 for this project, the committee recommends that the following paragraph be added to the bill:

Humboldt project, Nevada, \$500,000, toward emergency rehabilitation of irrigation and drainage facilities, and flood protection subject to allocations under section 7 of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939, and repayment of reimbursable amounts under terms satisfactory to the water users and the Bureau of Reclamation.

The committee recognizes the emergency situation affecting the Humboldt project in Nevada. An appropriation of \$500,000 has been approved for the Reclamation Bureau to begin urgent work recommended in its report to protect highly developed agricultural lands from floods, salinity intrusion, and water losses through rehabilitation of existing irrigation and drainage facilities and where necessary to provide flood and other protection and to construct new drains.

Deschutes project, Oregon----- 301, 900

The budget estimate for this project, including the Arnold irrigation district and the Ochoco Dam, is \$1,368,000. The committee has applied the same rule with respect to the budget estimate for this project as in the case of others, that is, a 15-percent reduction in the amount for new major contracts.

In addition, the committee has approved an emergency item in the amount of \$100,000 for the Grants Pass irrigation district, which amount is to be repayable under terms satisfactory to the district and the Reclamation Bureau. The amount recommended for the Grants Pass irrigation district is for emergency reconstruction of the northwest unit pipe line. A recent investigation revealed that this pipe line and appurtenant structures crossing the Rogue River near the town of Grants Pass have badly deteriorated. This condition has been brought about largely due to the fact that a part of the pipe line crossing the river was originally supported by a suspension bridge, which was washed out by a flood. The replacement of the pipe line is a one-season job and must be done during the period of low water in the Rogue River, which is the late summer and fall.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

The total recommended by the committee for this project is \$1,464,700. The following table shows the break-down of the amount recommended by the committee and the comparative House figures:

Item	House	Senate committee	Increase
Deschutes project.....	\$153,000	\$176,700	\$23,700
Arnold irrigation district.....	32,300	38,000	5,700
Ochoco Dam.....	977,500	1,150,000	172,500
Grants Pass irrigation district.....		100,000	100,000
Total.....	1,162,800	1,464,700	301,900

Provo River project, Utah.....

\$1,142,600

The budget estimate before the House on this project was in the amount of \$4,000,000, of which the House allowed \$3,400,000. Subsequent to passage of the bill by the House, a supplemental estimate in S. Doc. 47 was received by the Senate in the amount of \$800,000.

With reference to the supplemental estimate, the committee was advised that recently conducted investigations have determined that the existing Sam Park Reservoir, originally planned as the terminus for the Salt Lake aqueduct, is now fully utilized and will not be available to serve the purposes of the project. In view of this situation, additional funds are required for the construction of a new terminal reservoir for the aqueduct to provide for delivery of water to Salt Lake City by the summer of 1950 as originally scheduled.

The committee has applied the same rule with respect to the budget estimate for this project as in the case of others, that is, a 15-percent reduction in the amount for new major contracts. Included in the revised budget estimate of \$4,800,000 the committee was advised that there was an amount of \$1,716,000 for new major contracts. 15 percent of this amount is \$257,400, which deducted from the revised estimate of \$4,800,000 leaves an appropriation of \$4,542,600 recommended by the committee for this project. The amount of \$4,542,600 recommended by the committee based on the revised budget estimate represents an increase of \$1,142,600 over the House figure of \$3,400,000 which was based on the regular budget estimate.

With further reference to the Provo River project, the committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill:

including the south division of Utah Lake Distributing Company Canal, which is hereby authorized,

The committee was advised that there is some doubt whether or not the south division of the Utah Lake Distributing Co. canal is now included in the Provo River project. It was represented to the committee that the south division of the Utah Lake Distributing Co. canal is really a part of the project and was so intended to be. To remove any doubt as to this, and for bookkeeping and accounting reasons, the committee recommends that the bill be amended as indicated.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

Yakima project, Washington, Roza division----- \$30, 743

The budget estimate for this project is \$449,955, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$382,462. The committee recommends that this amount be increased by \$30,743 to provide a total appropriation of \$413,205. The reduction of \$36,750 recommended by the committee below the budget estimate of \$449,955 is based on a 15-percent reduction in the amount contained in the estimate for new major contracts.

Riverton project, Wyoming----- 311, 400

Of the budget estimate of \$2,731,000, the House allowed an appropriation of \$2,321,350. The committee recommends that this amount be increased by \$311,250 to provide a total appropriation of \$2,632,750. The amount of \$2,632,750 recommended by the committee for this project is \$98,250 below the budget estimate, which reduction below the estimate represents 15 percent of the funds in the estimate for new major contracts.

With further reference to this project, the committee recommends that the following language be amended as indicated:

, of which a part shall be available for a comprehensive and detailed survey of the Pavilion ~~division~~ and Pilot Butte divisions

Shoshone project, Wyoming:

Willwood division----- 60, 000

This is a new item recommended by the committee to be added to the bill and is for the C. J. Coulee siphon. In addition to this appropriation of \$60,000, the committee expects the Reclamation Bureau to use an unobligated balance of approximately \$100,000 for this siphon. The additional appropriation is recommended by the committee in view of the fact that the lowest bid received on the siphon is approximately \$60,000 in excess of funds available to the Reclamation Bureau for the siphon.

Total, construction, reclamation fund----- 3, 080, 108

Operation and maintenance:

With respect to the following items for operation and maintenance of existing reclamation projects, the committee has in each instance recommended an increase sufficient to provide the amount of the 1950 budget estimate. In the case of one project, Rio Grande, N. Mex.-Tex., because of the need for emergency repairs on the Elephant Butte Dam, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of \$110,000 above the budget estimate.

Reductions in these operation and maintenance items do not represent Federal savings inasmuch as operation and maintenance costs are reimbursable, being paid by the water and power users.

In view of the fact that these costs are paid by the water and power users, they are directly concerned with the cost of operating and maintaining the individual projects. It was pointed out at the Senate hearing that the water and power users keep a close check on the projects to see that there is no waste or extravagant use of funds in connection with the operation and maintenance of the individual projects.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

It was further pointed out at the Senate hearing that if the projects are not maintained adequately from year to year and are permitted to get into a run-down condition, then a rehabilitation and betterment program becomes necessary which proves to be more costly than adequate year-to-year maintenance.

Parker Dam, Ariz.-Calif. (from power revenues)---	\$169, 200
Central Valley, Calif. (\$26,300 together with \$74,300 from power revenues)-----	100, 600
Colorado-Big Thompson, Colo. (from power revenues)-----	37, 150
Boise, Idaho-----	50, 000
North Platte, Nebr.-Wyo. (from power revenues)--	30, 500
Rio Grande, N. Mex.-Tex. (from power and other revenues)-----	154, 700
<p>Of the increase of \$154,700 recommended by the committee for the Rio Grande project, \$44,700 is to restore the House reduction below the budget estimate, and \$110,000 is for extraordinary repair and maintenance of the Elephant Butte Dam and for reimbursement of funds used for this work. A recent inspection of this structure reveals that certain parts of it are in a potentially dangerous condition due to deterioration over past years. In view of the emergent nature of this item, the committee has included funds in the bill to provide for the necessary repair and maintenance work.</p>	
Deschutes, Oreg-----	22, 000
Klamath, Oreg.-Calif-----	18, 000
Owyhee, Oreg-----	20, 000
Columbia Basin, Wash. (from power revenues)---	90, 000
Yakima, Wash. (\$39,000 together with \$2,000 from power revenues)-----	41, 000
Riverton, Wyo. (\$23,050 together with \$13,950 from power revenues)-----	37, 000
Shoshone, Wyo. (\$12,100 together with \$25,295 from power revenues)-----	37, 395
Total, operation and maintenance-----	807, 545

Alaskan investigations-----	100, 000
-----------------------------	----------

The committee recommends an increase of \$100,000 in this appropriation to provide the full amount of the budget estimate. \$250,000. The committee also recommends that language be added to the bill to provide that reports be made to Congress and to make this appropriation available until expended to place it on the same basis as other investigation and construction appropriations provided for the Reclamation Bureau.

Construction, general fund:

Gila project, Arizona-----	583, 750
----------------------------	----------

Of the budget estimate of \$5,000,000, the House allowed an appropriation of \$4,250,000. The committee recommends that \$4,833,750 be appropriated.

Included within the budget estimate of \$5,000,000 is \$1,275,000 for new major contracts. The committee reduced this amount by 15 percent or by \$191,250, leaving \$4,808,750 of the budget estimate approved.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

In addition to approving \$4,808,750 of the budget estimate, the committee has approved an item of \$25,000 for plans and designs for reconstruction, repair, and improvement of the desilting basin and appurtenant works of the Gila project at Imperial Dam. The desilting basin was seriously damaged Jan. 20, 1949, while discharging Colorado River water through the basin to the Gila sluiceway channel in connection with a military testing program being carried on in the sluiceway channel under direction of the Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Department of the Army.

The additional \$25,000 recommended by the committee in connection with plans and designs for repairing and improving the desilting basin provides a total appropriation of \$4,833,750 for this project.

Davis Dam project, Arizona-Nevada----- \$4, 576, 710

The budget estimate for this project is in the amount of \$38,739,000. The House allowed an appropriation of \$32,928,150.

The committee recommends an appropriation of \$37,504,860. This figure represents the budget estimate less 15 percent of the funds included in the estimate for new major contracts. The amount recommended by the committee is an increase of \$4,576,710 over the House figure of \$32,928,150.

Advances to Colorado River dam fund, Boulder Canyon project:

All-American canal:

The budget estimate for this project is in the amount of \$6,000,000, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$5,100,000. The committee recommends no change in the amount of this appropriation.

However, in addition to the cash appropriation of \$5,100,000 for this project, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of a provision providing contract authority in an amount not in excess of \$2,975,700.

The committee was advised that \$7,581,000 is required to complete the Coachella extension of the All-American canal, and that \$4,605,300 is available under the House bill for this phase of the work. This leaves an amount of \$2,975,700 required to complete the Coachella extension, and the committee recommends contract authority in this amount in order that this work can go forward and be completed as rapidly as possible.

Advances to Colorado River dam fund, Boulder Canyon project----- 500, 000

The estimate for this project is \$7,500,000, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$6,375,000, a reduction of \$1,125,000, or 15 percent below the estimate.

The committee was advised that no restoration of the House reduction on this project was required. The committee has deducted 15 percent of the amount in the House figure for new contracts, which reduction amounts to \$113,350. After making this reduction, \$6,261,650 is the amount of the budget estimated approved by the committee.

The \$500,000 increase proposed by the committee which will provide a total appropriation of \$6,761,650 for this project, is earmarked for the second unit of the Boulder City schools.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

As the bill passed the House, \$25,000 was included in the bill for this school.

Enrollment in the Boulder City schools has steadily increased each year since the establishment of the schools in 1932. Progress is being made in providing facilities for classrooms, study hall library, and administrative personnel in the first unit, and the second unit of the high-school plant is needed to provide a gymnasium, shop classroom building, and an auditorium. A large percentage of the community is occupied by Government residences and public buildings, and there is insufficient tax base for financing the construction of school facilities.

Central Valley project, California----- \$7, 239, 890

The action taken by the committee with respect to this project is discussed on pages 11-13 of this report.

The committee further recommends that the following language be added to the bill:

, of which \$794,699.93 is for payment to the following-named contractors in the following designated amounts in full settlement of their claims, legal or equitable, of any nature whatsoever arising out of or connected with the notice by the Bureau of Reclamation of the exhaustion of funds for payment of contractors earnings in connection with the construction of the Friant-Kern Canal, California, Peter Kiewit Son's Co., \$186,195.93; Arizona-Nevada Constructors, \$348,867.62; Morrison-Knudsen, Inc., and M. H. Hassler, \$217,618.47; Bechtel Bros.-McCone Co., \$32,018.51

Colorado-Big Thompson project, Colorado----- 1, 897, 750

The action taken by the committee on this project is discussed on page 13 of this report.

Fort Peck project, Montana----- 70, 200

The committee did not approve restoration of \$1,300,000 disallowed by the House for initiating construction of the Havre-Shelby transmission line and for initiating construction of the Havre, Ruyard, Shelby substations. For the transmission line, the estimate included \$1,000,000, and for the substations \$300,000 was included in the estimate.

The House allowed \$1,445,000 for this project, and the committee recommends an increase of \$70,200 to provide a total appropriation of \$1,515,200. In addition to not restoring the items for the transmission line and substations, the committee made a 15-percent reduction in the amount contained in the estimate for new contracts. The House figure was based on eliminating the transmission line and substations and a 15-percent reduction on all the remaining construction funds.

Hungry Horse project, Montana----- 3, 386, 250

For this project, the House allowed \$20,400,000 of the budget estimate of \$24,000,000.

The committee recommends an appropriation of \$23,786,250, which is the budget estimate less 15 percent of the amount in the estimate for new major contracts. The amount recommended by the committee is \$3,386,250 in excess of the House figure of \$20,400,000.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

Tucumcari project, New Mexico:

In accordance with a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47, the committee recommends that the Tucumcari project, New Mexico, be transferred from the reclamation fund to the general fund in the amount allowed by the House, namely, \$582,250.

Fort Sumner project, New Mexico-----

\$750, 000

In addition to a cash appropriation of \$750,000 for this project, the committee recommends that contract authority of not to exceed \$1,000,000 be included in the bill.

An acute emergency exists in the area of the Fort Sumner irrigation district in New Mexico. This emergency condition arises from the district's inability to undertake repairs on the existing project works on a scale large enough to cope with the continuing floods on the Pecos River. To alleviate this condition, Congress has recently passed a bill authorizing the Reclamation Bureau to rehabilitate, operate, and maintain the irrigation works of the Fort Sumner project. A new diversion dam is proposed to replace the present damaged structure. Enlargement and rehabilitation of the canal and lateral systems, adequate pumping facilities to deliver water to the high-line canal, and the rehabilitation and extension of the drainage system are proposed.

In view of the emergency existing with respect to this project, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of sufficient funds and authority to enable the Reclamation Bureau to proceed as soon as possible to carrying out the recently passed authorization for this project.

Columbia Basin project, Washington-----

10, 959, 390

The House considered a budget estimate of \$69,500,000 for this project and allowed an appropriation of \$59,075,000.

In considering the second deficiency appropriation bill, the Senate committee transferred for consideration on the 1950 Interior Department appropriation \$2,000,000 of a deficiency estimate of \$3,000,000 for this project. Thus, the estimate considered by the Senate committee is in the amount of \$71,500,000.

The committee has made a 15-percent reduction in the amount in the regular 1950 estimate for new major contracts and approves \$68,034,390 of the regular estimate. In addition, the committee has approved the \$2,000,000 estimate transferred from the second deficiency appropriation bill, which is required for the repair of flood damages to tailraces and channel banks immediately downstream from Grand Coulee Dam, and for resloping and protection of the channel banks as protection against future flood damage. The total appropriation recommended by the committee, therefore, is \$70,034,390, which is an increase of \$10,959,390 over the House figure.

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

The committee concurs in the statement on p. 13 of H. Rept. No. 324 (81st Cong., 1st sess.) that of the funds appropriated for this project \$225,000 be used for new school construction and \$100,000 be devoted to repair and improvement of existing school facilities at Coulee Dam, Wash., and recommends that proportionate amounts, based on the relative enrollment of the dependents of Reclamation and contractor employees, be expended on school facilities at Grand Coulee, Wash., in accordance with Public Law 835, 80th Cong.

The budget estimate included \$45,000 for plans and designs for a headquarters building for the Columbia Basin project at Ephrata, Wash. In addition to the funds for plans and designs, the committee was requested to allow funds to start construction of the headquarters building. The committee, however, did not approve this request, believing that the Reclamation Bureau should first prepare the plans and designs and then obtain a budget estimate for the proposed construction. When such an estimate is received, the Congress will then have opportunity to go into the size and type of building proposed, its cost, and other information pertaining thereto.

Missouri River Basin----- \$7, 846, 060

In addition to this increase of \$7,846,060 in the cash appropriation, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of the following provision, providing contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$6,364,000, of which \$2,000,000 is for REA transmission lines in the State of North Dakota:

, and in addition thereto the Commissioner of Reclamation is hereby authorized to incur obligations and enter into contracts for additional work, materials, and equipment in an amount not exceeding \$6,364,000, including not to exceed \$2,000,000 for power transmission lines

A detailed statement of the action taken by the committee with respect to the Missouri River Basin appears on pages 14-19 of this report.

Colorado River front work and levee system----- 145, 500

The House allowed for this item \$824,500 of the budget estimate of \$970,000. Based on information obtained by the committee, no reduction below the estimate is recommended inasmuch as no funds are contained in the estimate for the awarding of new major contracts. The committee, accordingly, recommends an increase of \$145,500 to provide the full amount of the estimate.

Total, construction, general fund----- 37, 955, 500

Colorado River Dam Fund, Boulder Canyon project

The committee recommends that the following provision be added to the bill:

: Provided, That not to exceed \$5,662.22 from the unobligated balance of the appropriation for operation, maintenance, and replacements of the dam, power

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

plant, and other facilities of the Boulder Canyon project contained in the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1948, may be utilized for additional payments to the Boulder City school district for the school year 1947-1948, to carry out the purposes of said Public Law 528

Public Law 528, Eightieth Congress, provided, in substance, that for the fiscal years 1948 to 1951, inclusive, there should be paid to the Boulder City school district from annual appropriations an amount equal to the actual cost of instruction for each school year of pupils who are dependents of employees of the United States living in or in the immediate vicinity of Boulder City. The statute further provides that such payment may not exceed the sum of \$65 per semester per pupil. In other words, the act authorized payment of actual cost or \$65 per pupil, whichever is the lesser.

In the First Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1948, Congress appropriated \$39,000, which it was estimated would be the actual cost of instruction within the meaning of the statute. It actually developed, however, that the cost sustained in the operation of the Boulder City school district was in excess not only of the cost estimated but also of the limit of \$65 per pupil. In reliance on the provisions of Public Law 528, the school district has presented a claim for reimbursement up to the rate of \$65 per pupil permitted by the statute. The difference of \$5,662.22 between the total amount of this claim, \$44,662.52, and the amount appropriated in the First Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1948, \$39,000, is required to effectuate the purposes of Public Law 528.

Force account work:

The committee recommends that the following new paragraph be added to the bill:

Not exceeding 8 per centum of the construction appropriation for the Bureau of Reclamation for any project contained in this Act shall be available for construction work by force account and on a hired-labor basis; except that not to exceed \$250,000 may on approval of the Commissioner be expended for construction work by force account on any one project when the work is unsuitable for contract or when excessive bids are received; and except in cases of emergencies local in character, so declared by the Commissioner.

The foregoing paragraph is identical with the force account provision carried in the First Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, with the following two exceptions: (1) The Second Deficiency Act placed a limitation of not to exceed 12 percent of the construction appropriation for any project as the maximum for force account work whereas the foregoing amendment places a limitation of 8 percent, which is the same percentage limitation

Bureau of Reclamation—Continued

as carried in the 1949 Interior Department Appropriation Act, and (2) the Second Deficiency Act made an exception of \$500,000 under certain conditions whereas the amendment recommended by the committee lowers this exception to \$250,000. The 1949 Interior Department Act fixed an exception of \$200,000 and in connection therewith carried somewhat more restrictive language than carried in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949, or in the above language proposed by the committee for inclusion in the 1950 Interior Department appropriation bill.

Contractors' representatives suggested to the committee that the Reclamation Bureau's estimate on contract construction work should be made public at the time of opening the contractors' bids. This procedure seems to the committee to be fair both to the Government and to the contractors and should be adopted by the Reclamation Bureau.

Total, Reclamation Bureau-----	\$42, 643, 153
--------------------------------	----------------

Geological Survey:

Topographic surveys-----	500, 000
--------------------------	----------

Of a budget estimate of \$7,000,000, the House allowed an appropriation of \$5,500,000, making a reduction of \$1,500,000.

The committee was requested to restore the full amount of the House reduction but has approved a restoration of \$500,000. The increase proposed by the committee will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$6,000,000.

The committee realizes the work yet to be accomplished in the field of topographic surveys but feels that in the use of funds recommended for the fiscal year 1950, which are \$1,000,000 less than requested, sound judgment should be used and work should be undertaken where the need for topographic mapping is considered most important and urgent.

Geologic surveys-----	20, 000
-----------------------	---------

Under the bill as passed by the House, \$11,620 is included for the Volcano Observatory, Hawaii. The committee was requested to increase this amount by \$40,000. The committee has not approved the full amount of this request but does recommend an increase of \$20,000 for expanding the work carried on at this laboratory.

For the appropriation "Geologic surveys," the estimate is \$3,500,000, the House allowed the amount of the estimate, and the increase of \$20,000 proposed by the committee will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$3,520,000.

Mineral resources of Alaska-----	75, 000
----------------------------------	---------

The increase of \$75,000 recommended by the committee will provide the full amount of the budget estimate, \$500,000. The House allowed an appropriation of \$425,000.

Geological Survey--Continued

The break-down of the increase recommended by the committee is set forth in the following table:

Activity	Appropriated, 1949	Budget estimate, 1950	House bill, 1950	Increase recommended
(a) Petroleum investigations.....	\$73,000	\$128,000	\$115,000	\$13,000
(b) Permafrost investigations.....	29,600	39,600	37,600	2,000
(c) Investigations of metallic deposits.....	105,000	140,500	132,000	8,500
(d) Investigations of the Aleutian area.....	12,000	60,000	12,000	48,000
(e) Pay increase, Public Law 900.....	18,750	26,500	23,000	3,500
Totals.....	238,350	394,600	319,600	75,000

Gaging streams..... \$125,000

The budget estimate for this appropriation is in the amount of \$4,198,000. The House made a reduction of \$198,000 in the noncooperative water investigational activities carried on under this appropriation, allowing the full budget estimate for the cooperative program with States and municipalities.

The committee recommends that \$125,000 of the House reduction be restored to the bill to provide a total appropriation of \$4,125,000. Under the bill as passed by the House, \$985,000 was allowed for the noncooperative water investigational activities. The increase of \$125,000 recommended by the committee will provide a total of \$1,110,000 for this work. For the noncooperative work, \$1,189,800 was appropriated for the fiscal year 1949.

The committee recommends that the following proviso in the bill be amended as indicated:

: Provided further, That not to exceed \$10,000 of this appropriation shall be available for payment of the compensation and expenses of the person appointed by the President pursuant to the Act of April 19, 1945 (Public Law 34), to participate as the representative of the United States in the negotiation of a compact between the States of Colorado and Kansas relative to the division of the waters of the Arkansas River and its tributaries, and for the payment of compensation and expenses of the person appointed by the President to participate as the representative of the United States in the administration of said compact as approved by the Congress (Public Law 82, Eighty-first Congress)

The compact between the States of Colorado and Kansas relative to the division of the waters of the Arkansas River has been signed by the two States and ratified by the Congress. It provides for a Federal representative on the administrative commission, and the amendment recommended by the committee provides for compensation and expenses of the person appointed by the President to participate as the representative of the United States in the administration of this compact.

Geological Survey—Continued

Engraving and printing maps-----

\$50, 000

The estimate is \$893,000, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$700,000, making a reduction of \$193,000. Of the House reduction, the Geological Survey requested that \$50,000 be restored for the purchase of two lithographic presses. This request has been approved by the committee. The increase of \$50,000 recommended will provide a total appropriation of \$750,000 for the appropriation "Engraving and printing of maps."

Acquisition of surplus property:

The committee recommends that the following new paragraph be added to the bill:

The Geological Survey is hereby authorized to acquire by transfer without exchange of funds, for one year beginning July 1, 1949, from executive departments or independent establishments, equipment, materials, and supplies of all kinds, with an appraised value of not to exceed \$150,000 from the surplus stores of these agencies: Provided, That the authorization in this paragraph shall not be construed to deny to veterans the priority accorded to them in obtaining surplus property under Public Law 375, approved May 3, 1946.

The foregoing provision is similar to authority carried in the 1948 Interior Department Appropriation Act enabling the Geological Survey for a period of 2 years to obtain surplus property from certain other Federal agencies. The committee was advised that the Survey during the 2-year period acquired approximately \$140,000 worth of surplus miscellaneous field and office equipment.

The committee is recommending an extension of this authority for 1 year, placing a limitation of \$150,000 on the amount of surplus property that can be acquired under this authority. The committee feels that where surplus property is needed by a Federal agency it should be acquired in lieu of the agency requesting appropriations to purchase the same or similar property, equipment, or materials.

Total, Geological Survey-----

770, 000

Bureau of Mines:

Testing fuels-----

35, 000

For this appropriation, the House allowed \$621,000, the amount of the 1950 estimate. The committee recommends that this amount be increased by \$35,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$656,000.

The bill as passed by the House contained an item of \$35,940 for "Sampling and inspection of fuel for Government agencies." The increase of \$35,000 recommended by the committee is to provide a total of \$70,940 for this work. The committee was requested to allow a total increase of \$129,000 for the sampling and inspection of fuel for Government agencies. The committee is recommending no increase in the District of Columbia personal service limitation inasmuch as it is expected that the additional amount recommended by the committee will be used in the field.

Bureau of Mines—Continued

The Bureau of Mines for a number of years has rendered technical assistance to the mining industry by means of various scientific research and testing programs. The bureau samples and analyzes coal and the data are the quality guide used by Federal coal-purchasing agents in selecting coals for Government use on a price-quality basis. The committee was advised that the Government spends approximately \$60,000,000 annually for coal. Contracts are awarded to those coal producers who can provide the lowest-priced coal that meets the specifications as determined from Bureau of Mines records. In view of the importance of this work, and the savings that can be effected through a more thorough sampling and inspection program, the committee feels justified in recommending an increase of \$35,000 for this work.

Investigation and development of domestic mineral deposits, except fuels:

The committee recommends that the following proviso be added to the bill:

: Provided further, That the Director of the Bureau of Mines, for the purpose of the project now in progress under this appropriation on chromite deposits near Nye, Montana, is authorized to reacquire for the Government certain land, buildings, and other facilities essential to the conduct of that project and known as Plancor 587, at a price not to exceed \$44,000

The list of property proposed to be obtained by the Government under the foregoing amendment recommended by the committee is as follows:

List of property at chromite site

	Original cost to Government, 1943	WAA value purchase price now
Land, approximately 247 acres, 13 mining claims.....	\$646.02	\$724.00
Land improvements, sumps, clearing, excavation, etc.....	183,882.90	-----
Water rights—600 inches or 6 second-feet, Stillwater.....	6,845.95	6,000.00
Roads, walks, and streets.....	59,335.12	500.00
Water system, complete with fire lines, hydrants, etc.....	157,339.67	10,000.00
Sewage disposal system, complete including septic tank.....	37,908.70	700.00
Electrical distribution system.....	39,485.17	4,340.00
Stock-pile facilities.....	5,551.22	-----
4 3-room houses, masonite lining, shingle roof, double inch floor, 1 bedroom, kitchen, front room, shower bath, fully insulated, size 24 by 25 feet, total floor area 600 square feet....	9,882.48	1,600.00
2 5-room houses, masonite and new wood plank lining, shingle roof, 1,237 square feet, oil-fired furnaces, 2 bedrooms, dining room, bath and kitchen, half basement.....	14,962.28	2,000.00
Tank house, 73 feet 4 inches by 302 feet 6 inches, D and M roof with 55-pound composition built-up roof, 22,192 square feet with 23-foot clearance, clear span trusses, cement foundation, no floors, heavy timber trusses, double sheeted, covered with drop siding....	130,409.31	9,000.00
Pump house on the side of the Stillwater River, of frame construction, composition roofing, partial plank and partial concrete floor 108 feet by 21 feet by 9 feet high.....	(Cost included in above)	75.00
Pump house on west side of Stillwater River, composition roof, size 41 feet 7 inches by 16 feet by 12 feet 3 inches high.....	2,500.00	200.00

Bureau of Mines—Continued

List of property at chromite site—Continued

	Original cost to Govern- ment, 1943	WAA value purchase price now
6-stall garage, 1-inch sheathing, 1-inch drop siding	\$1,924.19	\$150.00
Mess hall, concrete foundation, full basement, masonite lining, double inch floors, mill type construction	9,976.03	900.00
Guest house, concrete foundation, masonite lined, shingle roof, double 1-inch for floor, farm construction, floor area 2,155 square feet, 5 bedrooms with adjoining showers, individual hot- and cold-water lavatories, large front room, fully equipped kitchen, oil-fired steam-heating system and hot-water heater	9,311.63	900.00
20-bed hospital, 10,040 square feet floor area, asphalt tile floors, wood-frame construction, 36- by 75-foot basement, oil-fired boiler, steam heated	55,508.19	3,087.27
1 nurses' house, masonite lining, shingle roof, wood frame construction, 600-foot floor area	3,385.46	550.00
Pest house, concrete piers, masonite lining, shingle roof, wood frame construction, 856 square feet floor area with bath, steam heated from hospital boilers	4,496.53	400.00
Community hall, concrete piers, masonite lining, shingle roof, wood frame construction, 1,716 feet, toilets, projection room, band stand, dance floor, heated from boiler in schoolhouse	7,264.98	700.00
Store building, masonite lining, shingle roof, double fir floor, 864 square feet	2,777.44	400.00
2-room schoolhouse, masonite lining, shingle roof, 1-inch floor, full basement, 3,220 square feet, low-pressure oil-burning steam radiation with cast-iron radiation	12,701.01	1,200.00
Picket fence, 240 feet long by 8 feet high, constructed of 1-by-4 pickets with 6-by-6 posts 10 feet high	5,100.22	300.00
Ramps for storing concentrates	6,586.52	200.00
Incinerator of fire-brick construction, base 6 by 6 feet by 8 inches by 6 feet 3 inches constructed of concrete, complete with hopper	2,099.63	150.00
Total original cost to the Government	769,625.05	
WAA appraisal—purchase price—re-acquisition price		43,176.27

Drainage tunnel, Leadville, Colo

\$250,000

The committee recommends in addition to a cash appropriation of \$250,000 for drainage of the Leadville, Colo., tunnel, contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$250,000. It is recommended by the committee that the following new paragraph be added to the bill:

Drainage tunnel, Leadville, Colorado: To enable the Bureau of Mines to extend and operate the present Leadville, Colorado, drainage tunnel for the purpose herein authorized or by Public Law 133 of the Seventy-eighth Congress, \$250,000, and in addition the Secretary is authorized to enter into contracts in an amount not to exceed \$250,000.

The purpose of the foregoing appropriation and contract authority recommended by the committee is discussed in detail on page 21 of this report.

Oil and gas investigations

40,000

This increase of \$40,000 recommended by the committee will provide the full amount of the 1950 budget estimate, \$690,000, the House having approved an appropriation of \$650,000.

Bureau of Mines—Continued

The additional \$40,000 recommended by the committee is for administration, operation, and maintenance of the Petroleum Experiment Station of the Bureau of Mines at Bartlesville, Okla.

Expenses, mining experiment stations:

The budget estimate for this appropriation is \$1,409,000, and the House allowed \$1,400,000. The committee has approved the amount allowed by the House.

In view of the importance of the development of sponge-iron, the committee desires to see this work continued at the sponge-iron plant, Laramie, Wyo., out of the total funds appropriated for "Expenses, mining experiment stations."

Construction planning----- \$30, 000

The committee recommends that the following new paragraph be added to the bill:

Construction planning: For the preparation of plans and specifications, including administrative expenses for an experiment station building to be constructed on Government owned land, Reno, Nevada, \$30,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That any part of this amount may be transferred, upon the request of the Secretary of the Interior, to the General Services Administration.

The committee was requested to make an appropriation of \$300,000 and to provide contract authority in the amount of \$300,000 for the construction of a rare metals research laboratory at Reno, Nev. The University of Nevada proposes to furnish a site for this laboratory.

Believing that the plans and specifications for this structure should be obtained first, the committee has not approved funds for construction but does recommend inclusion in the bill of the above amendment, proposing an appropriation of \$30,000 for the preparation of plans and specifications.

Buildings and grounds, Pittsburgh, Pa----- 10, 000

For this appropriation, the House approved \$250,000. The committee recommends an increase of \$10,000 to provide the full amount of the 1950 estimate, \$260,000.

The additional \$10,000 recommended by the committee will provide for the following:

- (a) 1 operating engineer (steam), CPC-6_ \$2, 469
- (b) 2 laborers, CPC-3, at \$1,822----- 3, 644
- (c) 1 janitor, CPC-3----- 1, 822
- (d) 1 clerk-telephone operator, CAF-2----- 1, 954

- 01 Personal services----- 9, 889
- (e) Supplies and materials----- 111

Total increase recommended----- 10, 000

Economics of mineral industries----- 150, 000

The 1950 estimate for this appropriation is \$1,100,000. The House made a reduction of \$300,000, approving an appropriation of \$800,000. The committee recommends an increase of \$150,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$950,000 for the fiscal year 1950. This amount is \$150,000 below the estimate.

The additional amount recommended by the committee is for work in metal economics, nonmetal economics, petroleum economics, foreign mineral economics, and for the Office, Chief of Division, and pay increases as required by Public Law 900.

Bureau of Mines—Continued

Purchase of passenger motor vehicles:

The committee recommends that the following paragraph in the bill be amended as indicated:

Appropriations in this Act to the Bureau of Mines shall be available for the purchase (not to exceed ~~one hundred and thirty-seven~~ *one hundred and fifty-one, of which one hundred and thirty-seven shall be for replacement only*) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Under the amendment recommended by the committee, the Bureau of Mines is authorized to purchase a total of 151 passenger motor vehicles, as proposed in the budget estimate, as compared to 137 under the House provision, or an increase of 14. Under the House provision all of the 137 authorized to be purchased would be for replacement only. Under the amendment recommended by the committee, 137 of the 151 authorized to be purchased would be for replacement.

The House provision has been amended to provide for the purchase of 14 additional passenger automobiles with the understanding that these additional automobiles are for use in connection with coal-mine inspections and investigations work. The committee considers this work most important, and each engineer should have the use of an automobile in order to carry out his official duties and do his work promptly and effectively.

Transfer of property at Fort Douglas Military Reservation, Salt Lake City, Utah:

The committee recommends that the following new paragraph be added to the bill, which was proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47:

The last paragraph under the head "Bureau of Mines" in the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1949, is amended to read as follows: "The Department of the Army is authorized to transfer to the Department of the Interior, for the use of the Bureau of Mines, without compensation therefor, full jurisdiction, possession, and control of a parcel of ten acres, more or less, from that portion of Fort Douglas Military Reservation in the County of Salt Lake, State of Utah, which lies directly north and east of the site of the Bureau of Mines Intermountain Experiment Station and is described substantially as follows: All of that parcel of land bounded on the north by the southerly margin of Fort Douglas Boulevard; bounded on the west by the easterly margin of Fifteenth East Street extended and by a line running south from Monument Numbered 6, Fort Douglas Military Reservation, Utah, a distance of four hundred eighty feet, to a point in line with the southerly margin of the five-acre tract at present occupied by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, bounded on the south by a line running east from said point in line with the southerly margin of said Bureau of Mines property, and by a line running west from Monument Numbered 6, Fort Douglas Military Reservation; and bounded on the east by a north and south line so located as to make the total enclosed area approximately ten acres."

Bureau of Mines—Continued

The committee was advised that the description of the parcel of land proposed in the 1949 Interior Department Appropriation Act to be transferred to the Bureau of Mines does not include the entire tract of land that the National Military Establishment desires to include in the transfer. The committee was further advised that the tract in question has been surveyed by Army engineers, and that the description contained in the amendment recommended by the committee is complete and accurate as to the property to be transferred. The committee, therefore, has approved the foregoing amendment proposed in a supplemental budget estimate.

Total, Bureau of Mines -----	\$515, 000
------------------------------	------------

National parks:

Salaries and expenses -----	100, 000
-----------------------------	----------

Under this heading, the House allowed the full amount of the regular 1950 estimate, \$756,000.

After the passage of the bill by the House, there was received by the Senate a supplemental estimate contained in S. Doc. 80, proposing an appropriation of \$135,000 to provide a temporary auditing staff to bring up to date the excessive backlog of approximately 700 concessioners' annual reports.

Of the supplemental estimate of \$135,000, the committee has approved \$100,000. Included in the \$135,000 supplemental was provision for 4 CAF-12 supervising auditors. In recommending an appropriation of \$100,000, the committee has approved only 1 of the supervising auditor positions. The request for \$135,000 was based on a 9-months operation to accomplish the proposed work. The committee feels that with the utilization of more field personnel and less supervising personnel the proposed work can be accomplished within the amount recommended and within the period on which the budget estimate was based. The committee desires to see this work concluded at the earliest possible date.

River basin studies -----	180, 000
---------------------------	----------

This item was contained in the regular 1950 budget estimates but was not included in the bill by the House.

The committee recommends that this appropriation be made, and that the following provision be included in the bill:

For investigations and studies (exclusive of the preparation of detailed plans and working drawings) of the recreational resources and for the survey and excavation of archeological resources in the river basins of the United States (except the Missouri River Basin and in areas under the primary jurisdiction of the National Park Service), including personal services in the District of Columbia, and printing and binding, \$180,000.

National parks—Continued

The break-down of the \$180,000 recommended by the committee is as follows:

Activity	Appropriated, 1949	Budget estimate, 1950	House bill, 1950	Increase recommended
(1) Reservoir and basin studies.....	\$101,904	\$103,204	-----	\$103,304
(2) Archeological investigations.....	22,050	25,000	-----	25,000
(3) Comprehensive archeological excavations.....	14,000	44,196	-----	44,196
(4) Pay increase Public Law 900.....	7,500	7,500	-----	7,500
Total.....	145,454	180,000	-----	180,000

Acquisition of lands.....

\$50,000

The increase of \$50,000 recommended by the committee will provide the full amount of the 1950 estimate for this appropriation, \$300,000. The House approved \$250,000.

The committee was advised that with the full amount of the estimate land needed in connection with the Saguaro National Monument near Tucson, Ariz., can be obtained, and that additional funds are also required to apply toward the purchase of privately owned cave properties in the Mammoth Cave National Park, as authorized by the act approved June 30, 1948 (Public Law 851, 80th Cong.).

Independence National Historical Park, Pa.:

The House allowed the budget estimate, \$500,000 for this appropriation, which is approved by the committee.

In addition, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of contract authority in an amount not exceeding \$3,935,000 for the acquisition of lands for purposes of the Independence National Historical Park, Pa.

The acquisition of property in the city of Philadelphia, to become a part of the Independence National Historical Park, was authorized by the act of June 28, 1948 (Public Law 795, 80th Cong.). Sec. 6 of that act authorizes an appropriation of not to exceed \$4,435,000 for acquiring the properties necessary for establishing the park.

It was pointed out to the committee that very little of these historical structures of outstanding national significance and other properties can be acquired with the \$500,000 cash appropriation recommended in the 1950 estimate. The authorizing act provides that the park shall not be established until title to the First United States Bank property, the Merchants' Exchange property, the Bishop White house, the Dilworth-Todd-Moylan house, the site of the Benjamin Franklin house, together with two-thirds of the remaining lands and interests therein within certain areas described in the act, shall have been vested in the United States.

Moreover, it was pointed out to the committee that the State of Pennsylvania has already appropriated \$3,000,000 for the development of the North Mall facing Independence Hall, which development is coordinated with the Federal project.

National parks—Continued

The \$500,000 cash appropriation and the recommendation for contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$3,935,000 will provide the full amount of the authorization contained in Public Law 795 of June 28, 1948, and will permit this work to go forward as contemplated by that act.

Parkways-----

\$900,000

The House allowed an appropriation of \$6,600,000 for "Parkways," or a reduction of \$900,000 under the 1950 estimate of \$7,500,000. The committee recommends approval of the full amount of the estimate.

Included in the 1950 estimate is \$5,180,000 to pay on prior contract authorizations, leaving \$2,320,000 for new work.

The authorization for the fiscal year 1950 is \$10,000,000, and the committee was advised that this amount was requested of the Budget Bureau, which allowed an estimate of \$7,500,000. It is estimated that \$163,500,000 will be required to complete the Blue Ridge, Natchez Trace, Foothills, Colonial, and George Washington Memorial Parkways.

Believing that this work should proceed at a somewhat faster rate than would be possible under the funds for new work in the 1950 estimate, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of the following language, proposing contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$1,750,000:

, and in addition the Secretary is hereby authorized to incur obligations and enter into contracts, not exceeding a total of \$1,750,000, for the construction of the Blue Ridge, Natchez Trace, George Washington Memorial, Colonial, and Foothills Parkways.

Roads and trails:

The regular 1950 estimate for this appropriation is in the amount of \$7,500,000. By a supplemental estimate contained in S. Doc. 56, \$131,800 of this item was transferred to the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, for work in connection with Lake Texoma recreational area. At another point in this report, the committee is, accordingly, recommending a decrease of \$131,800 in the cash appropriation under this heading, which will provide an appropriation of \$7,368,200.

In addition to a cash appropriation of \$7,368,200, the committee recommends inclusion in the bill of the following language, proposing contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$3,250,000:

, and in addition the Secretary is hereby authorized to incur obligations and enter into contracts, not exceeding \$3,250,000, for the purposes authorized in section 4a of said Act

The 1950 authorization for National Park roads and trails is \$10,000,000, which amount was requested of the Budget Bureau by the Park Service. Last year, some 29,600,000 people visited the national parks and other areas administered by the National Park Service and some 3,300,000 cars entered the national parks and monuments. During the war period, it was necessary to neglect the national park roads and trails, and the committee was advised that in many instances these roads and trails are in a deplorable condition.

National parks—Continued

Physical improvements, buildings, and utilities----- \$458,450

The House allowed the original budget estimate, \$3,500,000, for this appropriation. The estimate was subsequently reduced by S. Doc. 56 in the amount of \$111,000, leaving an estimate of \$3,389,000, and then by S. Doc. 80, the estimate was increased by \$195,000, providing a revised budget estimate of \$3,584,000.

Taking into account the decrease of \$111,000 recommended by the committee and the increases of \$458,450, the committee recommends a total appropriation under this heading of \$3,847,450.

The increase of \$458,450 proposed by the committee is for the following:

(1) Rehabilitation and restoration of the proposed Castle Clinton National Monument, New York City, for which an estimate of \$195,000 was submitted in S. Doc. 80-----	\$165,750
(2) Repair of the Victory Monument, Yorktown, Va, which was struck by lightning and badly damaged--	65,000
(3) Completion of the Museum Building at the Ocmulgee National Monument, Ga., which at present is only 60 percent complete-----	227,700
Total increase recommended----	<u>458,450</u>

Total National Park Service----- 1,688,450

Fish and Wildlife Service:

Propagation of food fishes----- 645,500

The increase recommended by the committee is divided as follows:

(1) Restoration of House reduction below budget estimate-----	\$70,500
(2) Increase for fish-cultural stations----	500,000
(3) Repair of damage at fish-cultural stations, resulting from storm conditions of the past winter as recommended in a supplemental estimate, S. Doc. 80-----	75,000
(a) Hagerman, Idaho---	\$15,400
(b) Warm River, Idaho--	13,200
(c) Bozeman, Mont----	9,830
(d) Ennis, Mont-----	25,100
(e) Leavenworth, Wash--	3,150
(f) Quilcene, Wash----	8,320
Total-----	<u>75,000</u>

Total increase recommended----- 645,500

The budget estimate for the appropriation "propagation of food fishes" as considered by the House was in the amount of \$2,055,500. After the bill passed the House, a supplemental estimate in S. Doc. 80 was received in the amount of \$75,000, increasing the total 1950 budget estimate to \$2,130,500.

Of the regular estimate, \$2,055,500, the House allowed \$1,985,000, making a reduction of \$70,500. Moreover, in its report, the House committee directed that the Fish and Wildlife Service should make an equi-

Fish and Wildlife Service—Continued

table allocation of its funds so as to provide for the rehabilitation and expansion of the fish-cultural station at Warm Springs, Ga., the development of facilities for the propagation of both warm-water and cold-water fish at North Attleboro, Mass., and rehabilitation and improvement of facilities at the Quilcene, Wash., station. To provide for these 3 stations as directed by the House report would require a total of \$245,000, which would be available under the bill as passed by the House only by deducting this amount from stations included in the 1950 estimate.

The committee, therefore, recommends that \$70,500 be restored to the bill to provide the entire amount of the 1950 budget estimate. This action will provide for the fish-cultural stations and other items included under this appropriation in the amounts set forth in the budget schedule for this appropriation and the Department's justification.

In the course of the Senate hearings, the committee had presented to it, in addition to requests for restorations on stations within the budget estimate, requests totaling approximately \$1,400,000 for the development or rehabilitation of other fish-cultural stations. The names of these are listed as follows: Bald Hill Dam, N. Dak.; North Attleboro, Mass.; Erwin, Tenn.; Nashua, N. H.; Warm Springs, Ga.; Hot Springs and Dexter, N. Mex.; Ennis and Bozeman, Mont.; White Sulphur Springs, W. Va.; Williams Creek (McNary), Ariz., northwestern Arizona, and Quilcene, Wash. The committee believes that out of the appropriation made the foregoing stations should receive a fair and equitable distribution of the funds, and it is the direction of the committee that no station shall be eliminated completely.

The committee recommends an increase of \$500,000 above the budget estimate for fish-cultural stations and directs the Fish and Wildlife Service to allocate this amount to the foregoing stations presented at the Senate hearing. In allocating this fund, the committee expects that the Fish and Wildlife Service will obtain the maximum amount of State cooperation, and preference should be given where the largest amount of State cooperation is obtainable, with the understanding, as stated above, that no station is to be eliminated completely.

Out of the increase of \$500,000 recommended by the committee, \$6,000 is included for transfer to the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, for the installation of a syphon at the Bald Hill Dam, N. Dak.

Inasmuch as the committee has provided the budget estimate and an additional sum for use on nonbudgeted stations, the committee desires that all budgeted items be allocated funds in the full amount of the original budget.

Investigations respecting food fishes ----- \$40, 000

The estimate for this appropriation is \$1,316,000. The House allowed \$1,250,000, or a reduction of \$66,000.

In allocating this reduction of \$60,000, the Fish and Wildlife Service applied a reduction of \$60,000 to "construction of weirs" and a reduction of \$6,000 to "stream improvement for Alaska fishery research."

The committee was requested to restore the House reduction, but this request was not approved with the understanding that the Fish and Wildlife Service is not bound by the allocation it submitted to the committee of the House reduction. The amount of the budget estimate approved by the committee, \$1,250,000, may be used for items in the 1950 estimate considered most essential.

Fish and Wildlife Service—Continued

The increase of \$40,000 recommended by the committee to provide a total appropriation of \$1,290,000 is divided as follows:

(1) Additional amount for operation of the <i>Albatross III</i> -----	\$20, 000
(2) Operation of the <i>Black Douglas</i> -----	20, 000
Total increase recommended-----	<u>40, 000</u>

Included in the \$1,316,000 budget estimate was \$105,000 for operation of the *Albatross III*. This fish research vessel is operated off the North Atlantic seaboard for the purpose of exploring the New England banks for commercial fish resources, determining the abundance of commercial fishery stocks and related fish research activities.

The vessel *Black Douglas* has been in operation under arrangements with the California Division of Fish and Game, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, and the California Marine Research Committee to expand the cooperative pilchard study to include hydrographic, oceanographic, and biological research at sea. Under the agreement, the Marine Research Committee pays the cost of operation of the vessel for about 4 months from catch tax collections. The committee was advised that these tax moneys are not sufficient to continue operation of the *Black Douglas* after August 1949. Monthly operating cost of the vessel, including crew's salaries and subsistence, is about \$6,500.

The committee was advised that the *Phalarope*, used as a work boat in Long Island Sound in oyster research, is more than 25 years old and is no longer dependable or safe for operation. The committee recommends inclusion in the bill of contract authority in an amount not to exceed \$50,000 to enable the Fish and Wildlife Service to obtain a new boat for this work in connection with the Milford, Conn., Shellfish Laboratory.

Investigation, exploration, and development of Pacific fisheries:

The committee recommends the inclusion of the following language in the bill, which was proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47:
, together with the unobligated balance of the appropriation under this head in the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1949

The 1949 appropriation contained funds for the construction of a fishery research laboratory in the Territory of Hawaii on land to be donated for that purpose by the Territory. The committee was advised at its hearings that the Territory has recently instituted condemnation proceedings to acquire a site for the laboratory, and that the fiscal year 1949 would end before title could be secured and a construction contract executed. In addition, it was pointed out that delays have been encountered in awarding contracts for vessel alterations. In view of these delays, approximately all, or about \$235,000, of the unobligated balance will be required for use during the fiscal year 1950.

Fish and Wildlife Service—Continued

Wildlife resources and management investigations ----- \$54, 000

The committee has approved the budget estimate, \$340,000, for this appropriation, which was allowed by the House, and in addition, the committee recommends an increase of \$54,000 for the establishment of cooperative wildlife research units as follows:

- (1) Arizona ----- \$9, 000
- (2) Montana ----- 9, 000
- (3) North Carolina ----- 9, 000
- (4) New York ----- 9, 000
- (5) Alaska ----- 18, 000

Total increase recommended ----- 54, 000

Protection of migratory birds ----- 22, 000

The committee recommends that this appropriation be increased by \$22,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$410,000. The budget estimate is in the amount of \$388,000.

This increase is recommended based on representation to the committee that the States of Florida, Mississippi, and Louisiana, have requested additional United States game management agents in order that there be better protection afforded migratory waterfowl in those States as well as representation that there are other places in the United States requiring additional attention due to flagrant violations of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act regulations. Information was placed before the committee by the Director of the Fish and Wildlife Service to the effect that the Service's staff of 74 game-management agents found it impossible to carry out the obligations assumed by this Government when the Migratory Bird Treaty was signed.

Maintenance of mammal and bird reservations ----- 219, 500

The House allowed for this appropriation \$1,600,000 based on the regular budget estimate of \$1,728,000, or a reduction of \$128,000.

The committee recommends the restoration of the \$128,000 to the bill to provide the full amount of the regular estimate and also the inclusion in the bill of supplemental estimates sent to the Senate totaling \$91,500. These increases proposed by the committee will provide a total appropriation of \$1,819,500, the amount of the revised budget estimate.

The House committee in its report directed the Fish and Wildlife Service to endeavor to reappraise the activities programmed in its budgetary submission so as to complete the Little Grassy Dam on Lake Crab Orchard, Ill., in which directions the Senate committee does not concur.

The break-down of the increase of \$219,500 recommended by the committee is as follows:

- (1) Restoration of House reduction in regular estimate, including \$43,000 for replacement of trucks, \$50,000 for repairs to roads, and \$35,000 for repairs to water-control structures ----- \$128, 000
- (2) Replacement of a service building and equipment at the Bowdoin National Wildlife Refuge (contained in S. Doc. 47) ----- 21, 500

Fish and Wildlife Service—Continued

(3) Repair of damage at wildlife refuges resulting from storms of the past winter (contained in S. Doc. 80)	\$70,000
(a) Nine Pipe, Mont	2,100
(b) National Bison Range, Mont	10,000
(c) Desert Game Range, Nev	10,500
(d) Ruby Lake, Nev	6,000
(e) Sheldon Antelope Range, Nev	6,500
(f) Turnbull, Wash	5,000
(g) Little Pend Oreille, Wash	15,500
(h) Valentine, Nebr	3,563
(i) Des Lacs, N. Dak	1,679
(j) Elk Refuge, Wyo	9,158
Total	<u>70,000</u>

Total increase recommended 219,500

Purchase of passenger motor vehicles:

The bill as passed by the House made provision for the purchase of not to exceed 64 passenger motor vehicles, for replacement only.

The committee recommends that the bill be amended to provide for the purchase of not to exceed 66 passenger motor vehicles, of which 64 shall be for replacement only. This recommendation by the committee is in accordance with the 1950 budget estimate.

After the acquisition of 66 motor vehicles, of which 64 would be replacements, the Fish and Wildlife Service would have a total of 321 motor vehicles.

Total, Fish and Wildlife Service \$981,000

Government in the Territories:

Territory of Alaska:

Salaries and expenses 5,000

The increase recommended was proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 80 and is to provide a cost-of-living allowance for the Governor and the secretary of the Territory of Alaska in accordance with secs. 350.2 and 350.3, as amended, and 350.11 of subch. C, of the Civil Service Commission Rules and Regulations. The cost-of-living allowance amounts to 25 percent of the rate of basic compensation.

The increase of \$5,000 recommended will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$72,700, the amount of the revised budget estimate.

Construction, repair, and maintenance of roads, bridges, and trails 1,070,000

The budget estimate for the cash appropriation under this heading, including a supplemental estimate of \$2,000,000 in H. Doc. 101, which was not considered by the House, is in the amount of \$28,692,000. Of the regular budget estimate of \$26,692,000, the House allowed an appropriation of \$25,692,000, making a reduction of \$1,000,000 below the estimate.

Government in the Territories—Continued

The budget estimate for the contract authorization under this heading, including a supplemental estimate of \$3,000,000 in H. Doc. 101, which was not considered by the House, is in the amount of \$10,000,000. The House allowed the full amount of the regular budget estimate, \$7,000,000.

At the Senate hearings, the committee was requested to restore the House reduction of \$1,000,000 in the cash appropriation and to allow the supplemental estimate of \$2,000,000, or a total increase of \$3,000,000 in the cash appropriation. As to the contract authorization, the committee was requested at the Senate hearings to allow the full amount of the supplemental estimate, \$3,000,000, to provide total contract authority of \$10,000,000.

Subsequent to the committee's hearings, the committee was advised that final bids received on the Turnagain Arm Road in Alaska were approximately \$2,000,000 lower than the anticipated cost of the road. In lieu of an increase in the cash appropriation of \$2,000,000 and an increase in the contract authorization of \$3,000,000 for this road, the Department advised the committee that its request was revised to a cash appropriation of \$2,000,000 and a contract authorization of \$1,000,000. The committee has approved the increase of \$1,000,000 in the contract authorization for the Turnagain Arm Road and has approved \$1,070,000 of the requested increase of \$2,000,000 in the cash appropriation for this road.

The requested increase of \$1,000,000 for work on other roads in Alaska has not been approved by the committee.

Alaska Railroad-----

\$8, 000, 000

The budget estimate is in the amount of \$25,000,000 cash and contract authorization of \$22,000,000. The House allowed an appropriation of \$17,000,000 and a contract authorization of \$12,000,000.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8,000,000 in the appropriation and an increase of \$10,000,000 in the contract authorization to provide the amount of the 1950 budget estimate in each instance.

The House committee in its report stated that the complete rehabilitation and modernization of the Alaska Railroad is not justified. The rehabilitation of the Alaska Railroad was originally started as a 5-year program. For the fiscal year 1949, funds were recommended by the President and approved by the Congress to accelerate the rehabilitation program from a 5-year to a 3-year program.

The committee was advised again that the Department of the Army is on record as desiring the rehabilitation of the Alaska Railroad and its improvement to a good operating standard, that a large and continuous flow of heavy materials is a vital necessity to the continued operation of military bases in Alaska, and that there is no substitute for rail transportation of the amounts of staple foodstuffs, fuel, heavy machinery, and construction materials going into Alaska for military use.

Government in the Territories—Continued

The committee was further advised that without completion of the rehabilitation program, funds already expended in large measure will have been wasted as the railroad facilities will be so chopped up and interspersed with incomplete, inefficient, and uneconomic facilities that no substantial reduction in operating overhead can be realized. It was further pointed out that if the rehabilitation program is not completed the railroad cannot increase its potential tonnage capacity to any marked extent, and that it cannot decrease delivery time appreciably.

The committee, therefore, believes that the Alaska Railroad rehabilitation program should be completed and on a 3-year basis as previously approved by the Congress.

The committee further recommends that the following language in the bill be amended as indicated:

, and no one other than the general manager of said railroad, and one assistant general manager at not to exceed ~~\$10,000~~ \$13,000 per annum, shall be paid an annual salary out of this fund of more than ~~\$8,500~~ \$11,000

The increases recommended in the two foregoing limitations were proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 80.

The present top pay for classified employees in Alaska is \$10,330, and based on a ruling of the Comptroller General such employees are entitled to receive the cost-of-living allowance of 25 percent in addition to this ceiling, which makes the total take-home pay received by top classified employees in Alaska \$12,912.

However, employees of the Alaska Railroad are not under the Classification Act. The increase in the limitation on the salary of the assistant general manager of the Railroad from \$10,000 to \$13,000 will provide a salary equivalent to the take-home pay of a CAF-15 or P-8 employee for a 40-hour workweek. The increase in the limitation on the salary of other employees will provide a salary equivalent to the take-home pay of a CAF-14 or P-7 employee for a 40-hour workweek. In each instance, these increased limitations include cost-of-living allowances, which are a portion of the pay of railroad employees.

It was pointed out to the committee that wages and salaries on the Alaska Railroad are fixed on the basis of prevailing wages and salaries on railroads in the States, plus a flat allowance for higher living costs in Alaska. For officials of the Railroad, the prevailing wage principle is modified to bring their compensation more in line with compensation of employees with somewhat comparable responsibilities in other Federal positions rather than with the salaries they would receive if employed by private carriers.

Total, Territory of Alaska	\$9, 075, 000
----------------------------------	---------------

Government in the Territories—Continued

Territory of Hawaii:

Salaries and expenses----- \$5, 833

This increase recommended by the committee is based on supplemental estimates contained in S. Docs. 47 and 80.

Of the increase proposed, \$2,583 is to provide the cost-of-living allowance for the Governor of Hawaii and \$3,250 is to provide the cost-of-living allowance for the secretary of Hawaii and the private secretary to the Governor.

The increase of \$5,833 will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$32,633, the amount of the revised budget estimate.

Government of the Virgin Islands:

Salaries and expenses----- 2, 583

This increase was proposed in a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 80 and is to provide the cost-of-living allowance for the Governor of the Virgin Islands.

This increase of \$2,583 will provide a total appropriation under this heading of \$225,583, the amount of the revised budget estimate.

Acquisition of surplus property:

The committee recommends that the following language be added to the bill, which was contained in a different form in a supplemental budget estimate, S. Doc. 80:

: Provided, That the Department of the Army or any other agency of the United States Government having title thereto is authorized to transfer, without charge to the government of the Virgin Islands or any agency thereof, materials, equipment, machinery, supplies, buildings, and docks surplus to its needs in the Caribbean area, which may be certified by the Department of the Interior as needed for any authorized activity of the government of the Virgin Islands.

The surplus property which the government of the Virgin Islands is interested in obtaining is primarily that which has become surplus because of deactivated Caribbean air bases on Antigua, St. Lucia, and British Guiana.

It was pointed out that additional surplus equipment may become available if and when the Air Force closes other airfields in the Caribbean area. The type of equipment needed is heavy machinery and structures of various kinds such as generators and power plants, refrigeration machinery, fire-protection equipment, public-works and road-construction equipment, and hospital supplies and equipment.

Total, government in the Territories--- 9, 083, 416

General provisions:

Jackson Hole National Monument (sec. 110):

The committee recommends that the following section be added to the bill, which is in the current law and which has been a part of the Interior Department Appropriation Act for several years:

SEC. 110. No part of any appropriation contained in this title shall be used directly or indirectly by way of wages, salaries, per diem or otherwise, for the performance of any new administrative function or the enforcement or issuance of any rule or regulation occasioned by the establishment of the Jackson Hole National Monument as described in Executive Proclamation Numbered 2578, dated March 15, 1943.

Indication was given at the Senate hearing that progress is being made in working out solutions to the various problems and issues arising out of the establishment of the Jackson Hole National Monument. It was further indicated that there is good reason to believe that agreement on these differences will be reached between the parties concerned. If such agreement is reached, it will not be necessary to repeat the above section in the 1951 Interior Department Appropriation Act.

Employment of consultants:

The committee recommends that the following new section be added to the bill:

SEC. 111. Where appropriations in this title are available for expenditure for services as authorized by section 15 of the Act of August 2, 1946 (5 U. S. C. 55a), such expenditures shall be at rates not exceeding \$35 per diem for individuals (unless a higher rate is otherwise authorized by law or unless a higher rate, not exceeding \$50, shall be approved by the Director of the Bureau of the Budget).

The foregoing section was included in the Interior Department Appropriation Act for the fiscal year 1949.

With reference to several appropriation items in the 1950 Interior Department appropriation bill, the committee was requested to include language under these appropriations permitting the payment of consultants at a maximum of \$50 per day.

The committee has not agreed to include these separate provisions in the bill but does feel that under certain circumstances it may be necessary to pay \$50 per day to obtain on a temporary basis the type of technical and professional assistance needed.

The committee, therefore, recommends inclusion in the bill of the above amendment, which was in the 1949 act, and which permits the payment of \$50 per day in instances where such a rate is approved by the Director of the Bureau of the Budget.

Total increase, title I -----	\$63, 284, 294
-------------------------------	----------------

DECREASES AND LIMITATIONS

Office of the Secretary:

Southeastern Power Marketing:

Salaries and expenses-----

\$70, 000

The committee recommends that this entire provision, which reads as follows, be deleted from the bill:

Salaries and expenses, southeastern power marketing: For expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U. S. C. 825s), as applied to the area east of the Mississippi River, for marketing power produced or to be produced at multiple-purpose projects of the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army; purchase (not to exceed two) and hire of passenger motor vehicles; services as authorized by section 15 of the Act of August 2, 1946 (5 U. S. C. 55a); and printing and binding; \$70,000.

There now exists under the Office of the Secretary of the Interior a Division of Power, to which marketing responsibilities of the Secretary under the Flood Control Act of 1944 have been delegated.

In the southeastern portion of the United States, power is being produced at only one flood-control project built by the Corps of Engineers, and the power from this project, Dale Hollow Dam, Tenn., has already been sold to the Tennessee Valley Authority. The committee was further advised that engineers of the Interior Department and engineers of the Tennessee Valley Authority have also negotiated an agreement whereby all power from two other Cumberland Basin projects, Center Hill and Wolf Creek, is to be sold when available by the Interior Department to the TVA under terms which satisfy the statutory responsibilities of both agencies.

Moreover, the committee was further advised that a contract has already been negotiated by the Division of Power for the sale of power to the Georgia Power Co. from the Allatoona project, Georgia, which is to be completed in 1950. Other Corps of Engineers projects in the southeastern area of the United States are not due to be completed until 1952.

A total of \$85,000 was requested for the appropriation "Salaries and expenses, southeastern power marketing," and the House allowed an appropriation of \$70,000. The committee was requested to approve the \$70,000 allowed by the House and to increase the amount by \$15,000 to provide the full amount of the budget estimate.

However, the committee sees no need for setting up another organization, which includes personnel increases of \$68,860, to carry on work which is already being performed, and which is of such a nature that a separate organization should not be required. The committee has, accordingly, denied the requested increase of \$15,000 and recommends that the \$70,000 allowed by the House be deleted from the bill.

Office of the Secretary—Continued

Southwestern Power Administration:

Construction, operation, and maintenance, power transmission facilities-----	\$2, 383, 885
--	---------------

The committee also recommends that the contract authority proposed under this heading be reduced from \$5,000,000, as proposed by the House, to \$2,257,905, a reduction of \$2,742,095.

The committee's action on the Southwestern Power Administration is discussed in more detail on pages 3-5 of this report.

Continuing fund, power transmission facilities:

The committee recommends that the following paragraph be deleted:

Continuing fund, power transmission facilities: All receipts from the transmission and sale of electric power and energy under the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of December 22, 1944 (16 U. S. C. 825s), generated or purchased in the southwestern power area, shall be covered into the Treasury of the United States as miscellaneous receipts, except that the Treasury shall set up and maintain from such receipts a continuing fund of \$300,000, including the sum of \$100,000 in the continuing fund established under the Administrator of the Southwestern Power Administration in the First Supplemental National Defense Appropriation Act, 1944 (57 Stat. 621), which shall be transferred to the fund hereby established; and said fund of \$300,000 shall be placed to the credit of the Secretary and shall be subject to check by him to defray emergency expenses necessary to insure continuity of electric service and continuous operation of the facilities, and to cover all costs in connection with the purchase of electric power and energy and rentals for the use of transmission lines and appurtenant facilities of public bodies, cooperatives, and privately owned companies.

Total decrease, Office of the Secretary-	2, 453, 885
--	-------------

Reclamation Bureau:

Construction, reclamation fund:

Santa Barbara County project, Calif., Cachuma unit---	5, 185, 000
---	-------------

The committee understands that before any major construction on the Santa Barbara project is undertaken, an election will be held within affected member units as contemplated by article 29 (b) of proposed agency-United States Contract. While the committee approved this procedure in this instance, this should not be considered a precedent.

The committee, therefore, recommends withholding of further funds for this project until after the local election to be held in September 1949.

Boise project, Idaho:

Anderson Ranch Dam-----	342, 186
-------------------------	----------

The action taken by the committee with respect to this project appears on page 11 of this report.

Reclamation Bureau—Continued

Tucumcari project, New Mexico:

The committee recommends that the \$582,250 allowed by the House for this project be transferred to the general fund. This recommendation is in accord with a supplemental budget estimate contained in S. Doc. 47 received by the Senate after the bill was passed by the House.

Rio Grande project, New Mexico-Texas----- \$2, 550

The estimate on this project is \$20,000, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$17,000. The Reclamation Bureau advised that no increase in the amount allowed by the House would be required. The committee has made a further reduction of \$2,550, which represents 15 percent of the funds in the House figure for new major contracts. The appropriation recommended by the committee for this project is \$14,450.

Klamath project, Oregon-California----- 46, 540

The budget estimate for this project is \$1,000,000, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$850,000. The Reclamation Bureau advised that no restoration of the House reduction would be required. The committee has made a further reduction of \$46,450, representing 15 percent of the amount in the House figure for new major contracts, leaving an appropriation of \$803,460 recommended by the committee for this project.

Ogden River project, Utah----- 23, 080

Of the budget estimate of \$285,000 for this project, the House allowed \$242,250. The committee was advised by the Reclamation Bureau that no increase in the amount allowed by the House would be required. The committee has made a further reduction of \$23,080, representing 15 percent of the amount in the House figure for new major contracts, leaving an appropriation for this project of \$219,170 recommended by the committee.

Kendrick project, Wyoming----- 100, 090

The budget estimate for this project is \$1,680,000, and the House allowed an appropriation of \$1,428,000. The Reclamation Bureau advised the committee that no increase over the House figure was required. As in the case of similar projects, the committee has made a further reduction based on 15 percent of the amount in the House figure for new major contracts. Deducting this additional reduction of \$100,090 from the House figure of \$1,428,000 leaves an appropriation of \$1,327,910 for this project.

Shoshone project, Wyoming:

Power division----- 11, 600

Of the budget estimate of \$140,000 the House allowed \$119,000. The Reclamation Bureau advised the committee that no restoration of the House reduction was required. The committee has made a further reduction of \$11,600 based on 15 percent of the amount in the House figure for new major contracts. The amount recommended by the committee for this project is \$107,400:

Total decrease, construction, reclama-
tion fund----- 5, 711, 046

Reclamation Bureau—Continued

Construction, general fund:

Parker Dam power project, Arizona-California-----	\$7, 010
---	----------

Of the budget estimate of \$138,000, the House allowed an appropriation of \$117,300. The Reclamation Bureau advised the committee that no increase in this amount was required. The committee recommends a further reduction of \$7,010, representing 15 percent of the amount in the House figure for new major contracts. Deducting the \$7,010 from the House figure of \$117,300 leaves an amount of \$110,290 for this project.

Advances to Colorado River dam fund:

Boulder Canyon project-----	113, 350
-----------------------------	----------

The House made a 15-percent reduction in the estimate of \$7,500,000 for this project, approving an appropriation of \$6,375,000. The committee was advised by the Reclamation Bureau that no increase in this amount would be required. With reference to the House figure of \$6,375,000, the committee has applied a 15-percent reduction to the amount in that figure for new contracts, making an additional reduction of \$113,500 in the budget estimate. This additional reduction from the budget estimate of \$7,500,000 leaves \$6,261,650 of the budget estimate approved by the committee.

As stated in another part of this report, the committee has approved an additional \$500,000 for this project, which additional amount is earmarked for the second unit of the Boulder City Schools.

Total decrease, construction, general fund-----	120, 360
--	----------

Total decrease, Reclamation Bureau-----	5, 831, 406
---	-------------

Bureau of Mines:

Control of fires in inactive coal deposits-----	250, 000
---	----------

The House committee allowed the budget estimate for this appropriation, \$250,000, which is the same as the amount appropriated for the fiscal year 1950. On the House floor, this item was increased by \$250,000 to provide a total appropriation of \$500,000 for the fiscal year 1950.

The committee recommends that this appropriation be reduced by \$250,000, leaving the full amount of the 1950 estimate.

National Park Service:

Recreational areas-----	182, 200
-------------------------	----------

Certain functions previously carried on by the National Park Service in connection with the Lake Texoma recreational area have been transferred to the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army.

In S. Doc. 56, it was proposed that in view of this transfer the 1950 appropriation for "Recreational areas" under the National Park Service be reduced by \$182,200. The committee has approved this reduction contained in S. Doc. 56 and recommends an appropriation under this heading of \$227,800 in lieu of \$410,000 as proposed by the House.

National Park Service—Continued

Roads and trails----- \$131, 800

Also in S. Doc. 56, \$131,800 of the appropriation "Roads and trails" under the National Park Service was transferred to the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, for work in connection with the Lake Texoma recreational area. The committee recommends that the appropriation "Roads and trails", National Park Service be reduced by \$131,800, leaving a cash appropriation of \$7,368,200.

As stated in another part of this report, the committee is recommending contract authorization under this heading in an amount not to exceed \$3,250,000.

Physical improvements, buildings, and utilities----- 111, 000

Likewise, in S. Doc. 56, \$111,000 of this appropriation was transferred to the Corps of Engineers for work in connection with the Lake Texoma recreational area, and the committee is recommending that the \$111,000 be deleted from the appropriation "Physical improvements" under the National Park Service.

Total decrease, National Park Service----- 425, 000

Total decrease, Title I----- 8, 960, 291

Virgin Islands Corporation—Title II----- 100, 000

The committee was advised that the Bureau of the Budget is considering a supplemental estimate submitted by the Interior Department to implement the provisions of the recently passed bill, H. R. 2989, to incorporate the Virgin Islands Corporation, with a view to transmitting such a supplemental estimate to the Congress for consideration on the next deficiency appropriation bill. In view of the recent passage of this bill, and in view of the request that will be made for funds to carry that measure into effect, the committee was advised that secs. 202 and 203 of the 1950 Interior Department appropriation bill could be deleted.

The committee, accordingly, recommends that these two sections, reading as follows, be stricken from the bill:

SEC. 202. Section 304 (b) of the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended (Public Law 248, approved December 6, 1945), shall not be applicable with respect to the Virgin Islands Company until after June 30, 1950.

SEC. 203. The Virgin Islands Company is authorized to borrow from the Treasury of the United States not to exceed \$100,000, for which purpose there is hereby appropriated out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$100,000. The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to make such loans to the Company for repayment not later than one year after the making thereof, at rates of interest determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, taking into consideration the current average rate on outstanding marketable obligations of the United States as of the last day of the month preceding the making of any such loan to the Company: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to extend the maturity dates for repayment of notes issued under authority of the Government Corporations Appropriation Act, 1949, to two years after the making thereof.

Virgin Islands Company—Title II—Continued

Section 201 relates to administrative expenses of the "Virgin Islands Company," and in view of the fact that the designation of this organization in the recently passed H. R. 2989 has been changed to "Virgin Islands Corporation," the committee recommends that where the word "Company" appears in section 201 it be deleted, and that the word "Corporation" be inserted in lieu thereof.

Total decrease, title II-----	\$100,000
Total decrease, titles I and II-----	9,060,291
Total increase, title I-----	63,284,294
Total decrease, titles I and II-----	9,060,291
Net increase-----	54,224,003
Amount of bill as reported to the Senate-----	590,685,911

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE APPROPRIATIONS FOR 1949, THE ESTIMATES FOR 1950, THE BILL AS PASSED THE HOUSE, THE SENATE RECOMMENDATIONS IN ACCOMPANYING BILL, THE INCREASES OR DECREASES PROPOSED IN SENATE BILL AS COMPARED WITH THE CURRENT APPROPRIATIONS AND THE INCREASES OR DECREASES PROPOSED IN THE BILL COMPARED WITH THE BUDGET ESTIMATES, AND THE HOUSE BILL

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)
 [Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949 ¹	Budget estimates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill compared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY							
Salaries, Office of the Secretary.....	\$1, 201, 842	\$1, 350, 000	\$1, 275, 000	\$1, 275, 000	+\$73, 158	-\$75, 000	
Solicitor's office, salaries.....	250, 000	284, 000	284, 000	284, 000	+34, 000		
Division of Territories and Island Possessions.....	170, 500	217, 500	190, 000	217, 500	+47, 000		+\$27, 500
Oil and Gas Division.....	325, 000	400, 000	325, 000	370, 000	+45, 000	-30, 000	+45, 000
Board of Geographic Names.....	13, 266	14, 200	14, 200	14, 200	+934		
Soil and moisture conservation.....	2, 800, 000	2, 800, 000	2, 600, 000	2, 800, 000			+200, 000
Contingent expenses.....	215, 000	240, 000	215, 000	215, 000		-25, 000	
Storm emergency in Western States.....	2, 586, 000				-2, 586, 000		
Emergency flood protection and repair.....	275, 000				-275, 000		
Southeastern power marketing.....		85, 000	70, 000				
						-85, 000	-70, 000

¹ Includes funds appropriated in the First Deficiency Act, 1949, and in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1949.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)
[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget esti- mates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill com- pared with—	
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY—continued						
Power transmission facilities:						
Construction, operation, and maintenance.....		² \$4,000,000	³ \$4,000,000	⁴ \$1,616,115	+\$1,616,115	-\$2,383,885
Operation and maintenance.....	\$391,000	(⁵)			-391,000	
Continuing fund.....		(⁶)	(⁶)	(⁷)		
Total, Office of the Secretary.....	8,227,608	9,390,700	8,973,200	6,791,815	-1,435,793	-2,598,885
COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS						
Expenses.....	12,645	12,800	12,000	12,000	-645	
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION						
Construction, operation, and maintenance.....	⁸ 27,173,500	⁹ 33,714,000	¹⁰ 29,927,500	¹¹ 30,284,500	+3,111,000	+357,000
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT						
Salaries and expenses.....	1,076,500	¹² 1,075,000	975,000	1,035,000	-41,500	+60,000
Management, protection, and disposal of public lands.....	3,190,000	¹³ 3,992,500	3,300,000	3,525,000	+335,000	+225,000
Fire fighting.....	90,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	-40,000	
Range improvements on public lands.....	350,000	358,000	350,000	350,000		
Revested Oregon & California R. R. and reconveyed Coos Bay Wagon Road grant lands, Oregon.....	521,500	¹⁴ 817,000	¹⁵ 500,000	¹⁶ 675,000	+153,500	+175,000

Payments to States from sales of lands.....	7,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	-2,500			
Payment to Oklahoma from oil and gas royalties.....	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000				
Leasing of grazing lands.....	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000				
Total, Bureau of Land Management.....	5,245,500	6,307,500	5,190,000	5,650,000	5,650,000	+404,500	-657,500	+460,000	
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS									
Salaries and expenses.....	790,500	1,129,000	850,000	850,000	850,000	+59,500	-279,000		
National Indian Institute.....		18 22,500		22,500	22,500	+22,500		+22,500	
Salaries and expenses, district offices.....	210,725	(17)				-210,725			
Salaries and expenses, field administration.....	2,602,800	3,355,000	3,000,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	+597,200	-155,000	+200,000	
Maintaining law and order.....	141,000	164,500	164,500	164,500	164,500	+23,500			
Alaska Native Service.....	4,400,962	5,925,000	5,000,000	5,350,000	5,350,000	+949,038	-575,000	+350,000	
Vessel conversion.....	500,000	19 150,000		150,000	150,000	-350,000		+150,000	
Navajo and Hopi Service.....	6,242,015	8,959,000	8,000,000	9,089,975	9,089,975	+2,847,960	+130,975	+1,089,975	
Purchase and transportation of Indian supplies.....	706,900	(17)				-706,900			
Maintenance of buildings.....	765,650	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	+234,350			
Education of Indians.....	11,176,000	20 12,700,000	12,200,000	12,982,000	12,982,000	+1,806,000	+282,000	+782,000	

² And contract authorization of \$5,800,000.

³ And contract authorization of \$5,000,000.

⁴ And contract authorization of \$2,257,905.

⁵ Consolidated with preceding item.

⁶ Continuing fund of \$300,000 for emergency expenses authorized.

⁷ Continuing fund of \$300,000, see footnote 6, deleted.

⁸ And contract authorization of \$13,340,700.

⁹ And contract authorization of \$18,500,000; increased to \$18,900,000 in S. Doc. 60.

¹⁰ And contract authorization of \$15,725,000.

¹¹ And contract authorization of \$15,916,500.

¹² Includes \$75,000 in S. Doc. 47.

¹³ Includes \$200,000 in S. Doc. 47.

¹⁴ And contract authorization of \$250,000.

¹⁵ And contract authorization of \$150,000.

¹⁶ And contract authorization of \$250,000.

¹⁷ Estimate for activities previously carried under this title has been transferred to other appropriations.

¹⁸ Contained in S. Doc. 47.

¹⁹ Contained in S. Doc. 80.

²⁰ Includes \$500,000 in S. Doc. 47.

includes \$714,000 cash in S. Doc. 60.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the bill as recommended in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)

[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget esti- mates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill com- pared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS—continued							
Conservation of health.....	\$7,284,300	²¹ \$7,917,000	\$7,731,000	\$7,917,000	+\$632,700	-----	+\$186,000
Welfare of Indians.....	872,710	604,000	500,000	900,000	+27,290	+\$296,000	+400,000
Management, Indian forest and range resources.....	979,800	1,112,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	+20,200	-112,000	-----
Suppressing forest and range fires.....	62,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	-50,000	-----	-----
Agriculture and stock raising.....	818,007	935,000	850,000	860,000	+41,993	-75,000	+10,000
Revolving fund for loans.....	-----	2,400,000	500,000	3,400,000	+3,400,000	+1,000,000	+2,900,000
Acquisition of lands for Indian tribes.....	150,000	150,000	125,000	150,000	-----	-----	+25,000
Development of Indian arts and crafts.....	36,500	37,000	37,000	37,000	+500	-----	-----
Irrigation, operation, and maintenance.....	438,385	450,000	450,000	469,800	+31,415	+19,800	+19,800
Construction, etc., irrigation systems (reimbursable).....	3,411,000	4,176,000	²² 3,575,851	²² 3,598,351	+187,351	-577,649	+22,500
Construction, etc., buildings and utilities.....	²³ 7,734,500	²⁴ 4,769,000	²⁵ 4,206,600	²⁶ 4,562,600	-3,171,900	-206,400	+356,000
Roads, Indian reservations.....	2,500,000	2,750,000	2,750,000	2,750,000	+250,000	-----	-----
Fulfilling treaties with Senecas of New York.....	6,000	6,000	-----	-----	-6,000	-6,000	-----
Fulfilling treaties with Six Nations of New York.....	4,500	4,500	-----	-----	-4,500	-4,500	-----
Fulfilling treaties with Choctaws, Oklahoma.....	10,520	10,520	-----	-----	-10,520	-10,520	-----
Fulfilling treaties with Pawnees, Oklahoma.....	30,000	30,000	-----	-----	-30,000	-30,000	-----

Payment to Indians of Sioux reservations.....	150,000	125,000				-150,000	-125,000
Fulfilling treaties.....			27 176,020			+176,020	+176,020
Payment to Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations of Indians, Oklahoma.	8,359,000					-8,359,000	
Payment to Confederated Salish and Kootenai.....	549,648					-549,648	
Payment of interest on Indian trust funds.....	1,195,000	1,140,000	(28)			-1,195,000	-1,140,000
Power revenues, Indian irrigation projects.....	(29)	(30)	(30)				
Total, Bureau of Indian Affairs, exclusive of tribal funds.....	62,128,422	60,033,020	52,127,971	58,641,746		-3,486,676	-1,391,274
Miscellaneous Indian tribal funds:							+6,513,775
<i>Administration of Indian tribal affairs.....</i>	385,000	402,500	390,000	440,000		+55,000	+50,000
<i>Support of Klamath Agency, Oregon.....</i>	213,405	304,500	300,000	304,500		+91,095	+4,500
<i>Support of Menominee Agency, Wisconsin.....</i>	196,227	31 235,800	234,000	235,000		+38,773	+1,000
<i>Support of Osage Agency, Oklahoma.....</i>	214,200	222,480	222,000	222,000		+7,800	
<i>Expenses of tribal officers, Five Civilized Tribes, Oklahoma.....</i>	(32)	(32)	(32)	(32)			-480
<i>Expenses of attorneys, Creek Nation of Indians, Oklahoma.....</i>	2,500					-2,500	
<i>Expenses of attorneys, Chickasaw Nation of Indians, Oklahoma.....</i>	2,000		3,000	3,000		+1,000	+3,000
<i>Expenses of tribal councils or committees thereof.....</i>	60,000	75,000	75,000	75,000		+15,000	

NOTE.—The following appropriations, shown in italic type, are from Indian tribal funds and are not included in the totals in this tabulation.

21 Includes \$186,000 in S. Doc. 80.
 22 And contract authorization of \$300,000.
 23 And contract authorization of \$5,925,000.
 24 And contract authorization of \$750,000; increased to \$2,425,000 in S. Doc. 80. Includes \$105,000 cash in S. Doc. 47 and \$68,000 in S. Doc. 87.
 25 And contract authorization of \$637,500.
 26 And contract authorization of \$1,387,500.
 27 Consolidation of the 5 preceding headings.
 28 Indefinite appropriation estimated at \$1,140,000.
 29 Indefinite appropriation estimated at \$1,215,000.
 30 Indefinite appropriation estimated at \$1,425,000.
 31 Includes \$1,000 in S. Doc. 47.
 32 Indefinite.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)

[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget esti- mates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill com- pared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS—continued							
Miscellaneous Indian tribal funds—Continued							
Relief of needy Indians	\$112,000	\$112,000	\$112,000	\$112,000			
Compensation and expenses of attorneys (tribal funds)	82,880	55,000	55,000	86,800	+\$3,920	+\$31,800	+\$31,800
Purchase and lease of lands	121,000				-121,000		
Industrial assistance	350,000	396,000	396,000	496,000	+146,000	+100,000	+100,000
Pima cropping operations	200,000				-200,000		
Suppressing forest and range fires	40,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	+35,000		
Support of Indian schools	725,202	33 1,014,000	987,000	1,014,000	+288,798		+27,000
Construction tribal community hall, Yakima agency		34 75,000		75,000	+75,000		+75,000
Total, Bureau of Indian Affairs, from Indian tribal funds	2,704,414	2,967,280	2,849,000	3,138,300	+433,886	+171,020	+289,300
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION							
(From reclamation fund)							
Salaries and expenses (other than project offices)	3,860,000	5,000,000	4,300,000	4,300,000	+440,000	-700,000	
General investigations	3,500,000	35 3,900,000	3,500,000	3,700,000	+200,000	-200,000	+200,000
Investigations, Upper Colorado River Basin		36 500,000		500,000	+500,000		+500,000

	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	+50,000		
Advance planning-----							
Construction (from reclamation fund):							
Santa Barbara County project, California, Cachuma unit.....	37 1,000,000	6,100,000	5,185,000		-1,000,000	-6,100,000	-5,185,000
Paonia project, Colorado.....	471,000				-471,000		
San Luis Valley project, Colorado.....				250,000	+250,000	+250,000	+250,000
Boise project, Idaho:							
Anderson Ranch Dam.....	5,100,000	1,932,000	1,642,200	1,300,014	-3,799,986	-631,986	-342,186
Payette division.....	1,800,000	2,725,000	2,316,250	2,725,000	+925,000		+408,750
Lewiston Orchards project, Idaho.....	1,461,000	270,000	229,500	270,000	-1,191,000		+40,500
Palisades project, Idaho.....	(38)	205,000	174,250	205,000	+205,000		+30,750
Milk River project, Montana; Fresno Dam division.....		71,500	60,775	64,240	+64,240	-7,260	+3,465
Sun River project, Montana.....	45,000				-45,000		
Humboldt project Nevada.....				500,000	+500,000	+500,000	+500,000
Tucumcari project, New Mexico.....	[1,293,000]	39 [685,000]	[582,250]	39 [582,250]	[-701,750]	[-102,750]	[-----]
Rio Grande project, New Mexico-Texas.....	57,985	20,000	17,000	14,450	-43,535	-5,550	-2,550
W. C. Austin project, Oklahoma.....	320,000	300,000	255,000	255,000	-65,000	-45,000	
Deschutes project, Oregon.....	580,000	1,368,000	1,162,800	1,464,700	+884,700	+96,700	+301,900
Owyhee project, Oregon.....	150,000				-150,000		
Klamath project, Oregon-California.....		1,000,000	850,000	803,460	+803,460	-196,540	-46,540
Ogden River project, Utah.....	34,000	285,000	242,250	219,170	+185,170	-65,830	-23,080
Provo River project, Utah.....	2,430,000	40 4,800,000	3,400,000	4,542,600	+2,112,600	-257,400	+1,142,600
Yakima project, Washington, Roza division.....	1,298,650	449,955	382,462	413,205	-885,445	-36,750	+30,743

33 Includes \$27,000 in S. Doc. 47.
 34 Contained in S. Doc. 47.
 35 Includes \$300,000 in S. Doc. 47.
 36 Contained in S. Doc. 84.
 37 And contract authorization of \$1,600,000.
 38 And contract authorization of \$2,000,000.
 39 Submitted in regular budget under "Construction (from reclamation fund)," withdrawn by S. Doc. 47 and resubmitted under "Construction, general fund."
 40 Includes \$800,000 in S. Doc. 47.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets] not included in totals)
[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget estimates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill compared with—		House bill, 1950
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—continued							
Construction (from reclamation fund)—Continued							
Kendrick project, Wyoming.....		\$1,680,000	\$1,428,000	\$1,327,910	+\$1,327,910	-\$352,090	-\$100,090
Riverton project, Wyoming.....	\$1,780,175	2,731,000	2,321,350	2,632,750	+852,575	-98,250	+311,400
Shoshone project, Wyoming, power division.....	430,000	140,000	119,000	107,400	-322,600	-32,600	-11,600
Shoshone project, Wyoming, Willwood division.....				60,000	+60,000	+60,000	+60,000
Total construction, from reclamation fund.....	16,957,810	24,077,455	19,785,837	17,154,899	+197,089	-6,922,556	-2,630,938
Operation and maintenance (from reclamation fund):							
Parker Dam project, Arizona-California.....	2,662,080	3,369,200	3,200,000	3,369,200	+707,120		+169,200
Yuma project, Arizona-California.....	236,000	185,000	185,000	185,000	-51,000		
Central Valley project, California.....	1,092,257	1,500,600	1,400,000	1,500,600	+408,343		+100,600
Colorado-Big Thompson, Colorado.....	202,000	437,150	400,000	437,150	+235,150		+37,150
Boise project, Idaho.....	230,000	350,000	300,000	350,000	+120,000		+50,000
Minidoka project, Idaho.....	484,840	490,000	490,000	490,000	+5,160		
Mirage Flats project, Nebraska.....		24,000	24,000	24,000	+24,000		
North Platte project, Nebraska-Wyoming.....	193,000	330,500	300,000	330,500	+137,500		+30,500
Rio Grande project, New Mexico-Texas.....	240,200	419,700	375,000	529,700	+289,500	+110,000	+154,700

Deschutes project, Oregon.....	107, 275	172, 000	150, 000	172, 000	+64, 725	-----	+22, 000
Klamath project, Oregon-California.....	274, 550	303, 000	285, 000	303, 000	+28, 450	-----	+18, 000
Owyhee project, Oregon.....	307, 600	370, 000	350, 000	370, 000	+62, 400	-----	+20, 000
Columbia Basin project, Washington.....	1, 755, 000	2, 190, 000	2, 100, 000	2, 190, 000	+435, 000	-----	+90, 000
Yakima project, Washington.....	378, 100	466, 000	425, 000	466, 000	+87, 900	-----	+41, 000
Kendrick project, Wyoming.....	337, 250	640, 500	640, 500	640, 500	+303, 250	-----	
Riverton project, Wyoming.....	155, 502	297, 000	260, 000	297, 000	+141, 498	-----	+37, 000
Shoshone project, Wyoming.....	185, 800	312, 395	275, 000	312, 395	+126, 595	-----	+37, 395
Total, operation and maintenance.....	⁴¹ 8, 841, 454	⁴² 11, 857, 045	⁴³ 11, 159, 500	11, 967, 045	+3, 125, 591	+110, 000	+807, 545
Rehabilitation and betterment.....	1, 500, 000	-----	-----	-----	-1, 500, 000	-----	-----
Emergency fund.....	1, 000, 000	-----	-----	-----	-1, 000, 000	-----	-----
Total, Bureau of Reclamation, from reclamation fund.....	⁴¹ 35, 659, 264	⁴² 45, 384, 500	⁴³ 38, 795, 337	37, 671, 944	+2, 012, 680	-7, 712, 556	-1, 123, 393
Alaskan investigations, general fund.....	150, 000	250, 000	150, 000	250, 000	+100, 000	-----	+100, 000
Construction, general fund:							
Gila project, Arizona.....	2, 470, 000	5, 000, 000	4, 250, 000	4, 833, 750	+2, 363, 750	-166, 250	+583, 750
Davis Dam project, Arizona-Nevada.....	26, 875, 000	38, 739, 000	32, 928, 150	37, 504, 860	+10, 629, 860	-1, 234, 140	+4, 576, 710
Parker Dam project, Arizona-California.....	212, 000	138, 000	117, 300	110, 290	-101, 710	-27, 710	-7, 010
All American Canal, advances to Colorado River Dam fund.....	4, 000, 000	6, 000, 000	5, 100, 000	4, 500, 000	+1, 100, 000	-900, 000	-----
Boulder Canyon project, advances to Colorado River Dam fund.....	⁴⁵ 2, 350, 000	7, 500, 000	6, 375, 000	6, 761, 650	+4, 411, 650	-738, 350	+386, 650
Central Valley project, California.....	41, 358, 900	63, 000, 000	53, 550, 000	60, 789, 890	+19, 430, 990	-2, 210, 110	+7, 239, 890
Kern River project, California.....	42, 500	-----	-----	-----	-42, 500	-----	-----
Colorado-Big Thompson project, Colorado.....	22, 125, 000	21, 500, 000	18, 275, 000	20, 172, 750	-1, 952, 250	-1, 327, 250	+1, 897, 750

⁴¹ Includes \$6,999,601.

⁴² Includes \$9,323,745 appropriated from power revenues.

⁴³ Includes \$8,836,650 appropriated from power revenues.

⁴⁴ And contract authorization of \$2,975,700.

⁴⁵ Includes \$600,000 in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1948.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)

[Amounts taken from trial funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget esti- mates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (—), Senate bill com- pared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION—continued							
Construction, general fund—Continued							
Preston Bench project, Idaho.....	46 \$453,000				-\$453,000		
Fort Peck project, Montana.....	990,000	\$3,000,000	\$1,445,000	47 \$1,515,200	+525,200	-\$1,484,800	+\$70,200
Hungry Horse project, Montana.....	14,611,650	24,000,000	20,400,000	23,786,250	+9,174,600	-213,750	+3,386,250
Tucumcari project, New Mexico.....	1,293,000	685,000	582,250	582,250	-710,750	-102,750	
Fort Sumner project, New Mexico.....				750,000	+750,000	+750,000	+750,000
Columbia Basin project, Washington.....	51,062,000	48 71,500,000	59,075,000	70,034,390	+18,972,390	-1,465,610	+10,959,390
Colorado River front work and levee system.....	1,125,000	970,000	824,500	970,000	-155,000		+145,500
Missouri River Basin.....	59,586,650	87,150,000	73,822,500	49 81,668,560	+22,081,910	-5,481,440	+7,846,060
Water conservation and utilization projects.....	(50)						
Total, construction from general fund.....	228,554,700	329,182,000	276,744,700	314,579,840	+86,025,140	-14,602,160	+37,835,140
Operation, maintenance, and replacements, Boulder Canyon project.....							
Colorado River development fund, expenditures account.....	1,500,000	1,612,000	1,600,000	1,600,000	+100,000	-12,000	
Total, Bureau of Reclamation, exclusive of reclamation fund.....	900,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	-400,000		
Grand total, Bureau of Reclamation.....	231,104,700	331,544,000	278,994,700	316,929,840	+85,825,140	-14,614,160	+37,935,140
	266,763,964	376,928,500	317,790,037	354,601,784	+87,837,820	-22,326,716	+36,811,747

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Salaries and expenses.....	257,000	343,000	343,000	343,000	+86,000		
Topographic surveys.....	4,640,000	7,000,000	5,500,000	6,000,000	+1,360,000	-1,000,000	+500,000
Geologic surveys.....	2,770,000	3,500,000	3,500,000	3,520,000	+750,000	+20,000	+20,000
Investigation of mineral resources of Alaska.....	343,750	500,000	425,000	500,000	+156,250		+75,000
Gaging streams.....	3,676,700	4,198,000	4,000,000	4,125,000	+448,300	-73,000	+125,000
Classification of lands.....	300,000	320,000	320,000	320,000	+20,000		
Mineral leasing.....	734,000	746,000	725,000	725,000	-9,000	-21,000	
Engraving and printing maps.....	605,550	893,000	700,000	750,000	+144,450	-143,000	+50,000
Cooperative advance.....	400,000	400,000	(⁵¹)	(⁵¹)	-400,000	-400,000	
Total, Geological Survey.....	13,727,000	17,900,000	15,513,000	16,283,000	+2,556,000	-1,617,000	+770,000

BUREAU OF MINES

Salaries and expenses.....	169,750	158,000	158,000	158,000	-11,750		
Investigating mine accidents and operating mine-rescue cars.....	1,165,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	+35,000		
Control of fires in inactive coal deposits.....	250,000	250,000	500,000	250,000			-250,000
Coal-mine inspection and investigations.....	2,431,500	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,700,000	+268,500		
Testing fuel.....	522,400	621,000	621,000	656,000	+133,600	+35,000	+35,000
Anthracite-mining investigations.....	396,100	420,000	420,000	420,000	+23,900		
Lignite research laboratory.....	\$2 200,000	550,000	550,000	550,000	+350,000		
Anthracite research laboratory.....		(⁵³)	(⁵³)	(⁵³)			
Synthetic liquid fuels.....	14,885,000	10,000,000	9,750,000	9,750,000	-5,135,000	-250,000	
Mineral-mining investigations.....	421,300	420,000	420,000	420,000	-1,300		

⁴⁶ In Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1948.

⁴⁷ And contract authorization of \$1,000,000.

⁴⁸ Includes \$2,000,000 of an original estimate of \$3,000,000 contained in H. Doc. 93 referred to Interior subcommittee handling regular appropriations for 1950.

⁴⁹ And contract authorization of \$6,364,000.

⁵⁰ Not to exceed \$126,000 of unexpended balances of appropriations from prior years made available and contract authorization of \$550,000.

⁵¹ 1949 appropriation continued available as revolving fund.

⁵² And contract authorization of \$550,000.

⁵³ Contract authorization not to exceed \$300,000.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)
[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget esti- mates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill com- pared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
BUREAU OF MINES—continued							
Investigation and development of domestic mineral deposits, except fuels.....	\$1,560,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	+\$440,000		
Drainage tunnel, Leadville, Colo.....				\$250,000	+\$250,000		+\$250,000
Coal investigations.....	300,000	261,000	261,000	261,000	-39,000		
Oil and gas investigations.....	645,000	690,000	650,000	690,000	+\$45,000		+\$40,000
Mining experiment stations, expenses of.....	1,415,000	1,409,000	1,400,000	1,400,000	-15,000		
Construction, planning, Reno, Nev.....				30,000	+\$30,000		+\$30,000
Metallurgical research and pilot plants.....	1,460,000	1,810,000	1,810,000	1,810,000	+\$350,000		
Care, etc., buildings and grounds, Pittsburgh, Pa.....	330,400	260,000	250,000	260,000	-70,400		+\$10,000
Economics of mineral industries.....	769,900	1,100,000	800,000	950,000	+\$180,100		+\$150,000
Helium utilization and research.....	96,000	97,500	97,500	97,500	+\$1,500		
Helium production and investigation.....	(55)	(55)	(55)	(55)			
Total, Bureau of Mines.....	27,017,350	23,946,500	23,587,500	23,852,500	-3,164,850		+\$265,000
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
Salaries and expenses.....	814,000	891,000	756,000	856,000	+\$42,000		+\$100,000
Regional offices.....	707,500	811,000	750,000	750,000	+\$42,500		-\$61,000

National parks.....	4,027,000	4,700,000	4,525,000	4,525,000	4,525,000	+498,000	-175,000		
National monument, historical, and military areas.....	1,730,000	2,150,000	2,150,000	2,150,000	2,150,000	+420,000			
Recreational areas.....	318,000	⁵⁷ 227,800	410,000	227,800	227,800	-90,200		-182,200	
Emergency repair and fire-fighting fund.....	334,800	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	-304,800			
Investigation and purchase of water rights.....	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000				
Travel Division.....	62,700	63,600				-62,700	-63,600		
Recreational demonstration areas.....	10,645	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	+9,355			
Salaries and expenses, National Capital parks.....	860,000	1,050,000	1,050,000	1,050,000	1,050,000	+190,000			
River basin studies.....	165,254	180,000		180,000	180,000	+14,746		+180,000	
Gettysburg National Cemetery, Pa.....	5,000					-5,000			
Statue of Gen. José Gervasio Arce.....	23,000					-23,000			
Acquisition of lands.....	200,000	300,000	250,000	300,000	300,000	+100,000		+50,000	
Independence National Historical Park, Pa.....		500,000	500,000	⁵⁸ 500,000	500,000	+500,000			
Parkways, construction of.....		7,500,000	6,600,000	⁶⁰ 7,500,000	7,500,000	+7,500,000		+900,000	
Roads, trails, and physical improvements.....	⁽⁵⁹⁾ 4,762,350	(⁶¹)				-4,762,350			
Roads and trails.....		^{61a} 7,368,200	7,500,000	⁶² 7,368,200	7,500,000	+7,368,200		-131,800	
Physical improvements.....		⁶³ 3,584,000	3,500,000	3,847,450	3,847,450	+3,847,450	+263,450	+347,450	
Total, National Park Service.....	14,035,249	29,390,600	28,056,000	29,319,450	29,319,450	+15,284,201	-71,150	+1,263,450	
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE									
General administrative expenses.....	287,000	287,000	287,000	287,000	287,000				
Propagation of food fishes.....	2,011,825	⁶⁴ 2,130,500	1,985,000	2,630,500	2,630,500	+618,675	+500,000	+645,500	

⁵⁴ And contract authorization of \$250,000.
⁵⁵ Funds transferred from Departments of Commerce, Army, and Navy.
⁵⁶ Includes \$135,000 in S. Doc. 80.
⁵⁷ Reduced from \$410,000 to \$227,800 in S. Doc. 56.
⁵⁸ And contract authorization \$3,935,000.
⁵⁹ Contract authorization not to exceed \$2,680,000.
⁶⁰ And contract authorization of \$1,750,000.
⁶¹ Estimates of \$11,000,000 for activities previously carried under this title have been transferred to the two items following.
^{61a} Reduced from \$7,500,000 to \$7,368,200 in S. Doc. 56.
⁶² And contract authorization of \$3,250,000.
⁶³ Includes \$84,000 in S. Doc. 80.
⁶⁴ Includes \$75,000 in S. Doc. 80.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)
[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget estimates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill compared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE—continued							
Operation and maintenance of fish screens.....	\$38,300	(65)			-\$38,300		
Investigations respecting food fishes.....	1,197,500	\$1,316,000	\$1,250,000	66 \$1,290,000	+92,500	-\$26,000	+\$40,000
Commercial fisheries.....	535,000	510,000	510,000	510,000	-25,000		
Investigation, exploration, and development of Pacific fisheries.....	1,000,000	989,000	900,000	67 900,000	-100,000	-89,000	
Fishery market news service.....	152,500	158,500	158,500	158,500	+6,000		
Protection of Alaska fur seals.....	1,267,500	481,300	481,300	481,300	-786,200		
Alaska fur seal investigations.....	60,000	37,400	37,400	37,400	-22,600		
Enforcement of Black Bass, Whaling Treaty, and Sockeye Salmon Acts.....	37,400	43,600	43,600	43,600	+6,200		
Wildlife resources and management investigations.....	346,450	340,000	340,000	394,000	+47,550	+54,000	+54,000
Control of predatory animals and injurious rodents.....	1,071,000	1,080,000	1,080,000	1,080,000	+9,000		
Protection of migratory birds.....	367,834	388,000	388,000	410,000	+42,166	+22,000	+22,000
Alaska fisheries and game.....	236,000	1,273,700	1,250,000	1,250,000	+1,014,000	-23,700	
Maintenance of mammal and bird reservations.....	68 1,485,700	69 1,819,500	1,600,000	1,819,500	+333,800		+219,500
River basin studies.....	159,700	205,000	175,000	175,000	+15,300	-30,000	
California wildlife management areas.....	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000			

	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)
	(71)	(72)	(72)	(72)	(72)	(72)
Migratory bird conservation fund.....						
Federal aid in wildlife restoration.....						
Total, Fish and Wildlife Service.....	10,503,709	11,309,500	10,735,800	11,716,800	+1,213,091	+407,300
GOVERNMENT IN THE TERRITORIES						
Alaska:						
Salaries and expenses.....	67,150	73,72,700	67,700	72,700	+5,550	+5,000
Legislative expenses.....	48,000	(74)			-48,000	
Public schools (receipt limitation).....	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000		
Insane, care of.....	488,500	494,400	494,400	494,400	+5,900	
Construction and repair of roads, trails, etc. (receipt limitation).....	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000		
Roads, bridges, and trails, construction, repair and maintenance of.....	75 10,442,400	76 28,692,000	77 25,692,000	77a 26,762,000	+16,319,600	+1,070,000
Alaska Railroad, operation, maintenance, and repair.....	78 17,000,000	79 25,000,000	80 17,000,000	81 25,000,000	+8,000,000	+8,000,000
Total, Territory of Alaska.....	28,196,050	54,409,100	43,404,100	52,479,100	+24,283,050	+9,075,000
Hawaii:						
Salaries and expenses.....	28,490	82 32,633	26,800	82 32,633	+4,143	+5,833
Legislative expenses.....	47,200	(74)			-47,200	
Total, Hawaii.....	75,690	32,633	26,800	32,633	-43,057	+5,833

65 Estimate of \$38,500 for activities previously carried under this title has been transferred to other items.
66 In addition, contract authorization not to exceed \$50,000.
67 Together with unobligated balance of 1949 appropriation.
68 And indefinite appropriation estimated at \$291,283.
69 And indefinite appropriation estimated at \$300,000 and includes \$91,500 cash in S. Doc. 80.
70 Indefinite appropriation estimated at \$2,000,000.
71 Indefinite appropriation estimated at \$11,276,687.
72 Indefinite appropriation estimated at \$10,000,000.
73 Includes \$5,000 in S. Doc. 80.
74 Appropriation made biennially.
75 And contract authorization of \$13,904,000.
76 Contract authorization of \$7,000,000 increased to \$10,000,000 in H. Doc. 101. Includes \$2,000,000 cash in H. Doc. 101.
77 And contract authorization of \$7,000,000.
77a And contract authorization of \$8,000,000.
78 And contract authorization of \$12,000,000.
79 And contract authorization of \$22,000,000.
80 And contract authorization of \$12,000,000.
81 And contract authorization of \$22,000,000.
82 Includes \$5,833 in S. Doc. 80.

Comparative statement showing the appropriations for 1949, the estimates for 1950, the bill as passed the House, the Senate recommendations in accompanying bill, the increases or decreases proposed in Senate bill as compared with the current appropriations and the increases or decreases proposed in the bill compared with the budget estimates, and the House bill—Continued

(NOTE.—Figures in brackets [] not included in totals)

[Amounts taken from tribal funds are indicated by italics]

Object	Appropriations, 1949	Budget estimates, 1950	Recommended in House bill for 1950	Amount recommended in Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill compared with—		
					Appropriations, 1949	Estimates, 1950	House bill, 1950
GOVERNMENT IN THE TERRITORIES—continued							
Virgin Islands:							
Salaries and expenses.....	\$225,375	⁸³ \$225,583	\$223,000	⁸³ \$225,583	+\$208		+\$2,583
Agricultural experiment station.....	49,100	50,000	50,000	50,000	+900		
Defraying deficits in the treasuries of municipal governments.....	520,200	745,000	745,000	745,000	+224,800		
Total, Virgin Islands.....	794,675	1,020,583	1,018,000	1,020,583	+225,908		+2,583
Puerto Rico: Salaries and expenses.....		15,000				-\$15,000	
Total, government in the Territories.....	29,066,415	55,477,316	44,448,900	53,532,316	+24,465,901	-1,945,000	+9,083,416
Subtotal, Department of the Interior.....	463,901,362	624,410,436	536,361,908	590,685,911	+126,784,549	-33,724,525	+54,324,003

VIRGIN ISLANDS CORPORATION

Working capital loan from Treasury.....	\$500,000	⁸⁴ \$400,000	\$100,000		-\$500,000	-\$400,000	-\$100,000
Administrative expense limitation.....	⁸⁵ 97,880	⁸⁵ 97,880	⁸⁵ 97,880	⁸⁵ 97,880			
Total, Virgin Islands Company.....	500,000	400,000	100,000		-500,000	-400,000	-100,000
Grand total, Department of the Interior.....	464,401,362	624,810,436	536,461,908	590,685,911	+126,284,549	-34,124,525	+54,224,003

⁸³ Includes \$2,583 in S. Doc. 80.

⁸⁴ Includes \$300,000 in S. Doc. 80.

⁸⁵ Limitation on use of funds available to Virgin Islands Company for administrative expenses (not carried in total).

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION FOR 1949, THE ESTIMATED AUTHORIZATION FOR 1950, THE BILL AS PASSED THE HOUSE, THE SENATE RECOMMENDATIONS IN ACCOMPANYING BILL, THE INCREASES OR DECREASES PROPOSED IN THE BILL AS COMPARED WITH FISCAL YEAR 1949 CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION, AND THE INCREASES OR DECREASES PROPOSED IN THE BILL AS COMPARED WITH THE ESTIMATED AUTHORIZATION AND THE HOUSE BILL

Departmental unit	Authorization, fiscal 1949	Estimates, fiscal 1950	Recommended in House bill for fiscal 1950	Recommended by Senate committee	Increase (+) or decrease (-), Senate bill compared with—	
					Authorizations, 1949	Estimates, 1950
Office of the Secretary.....	-----	\$5, 800, 000	\$5, 000, 000	\$2, 257, 905	+\$2, 257, 905	-\$2, 742, 095
Bonneville Power Administration.....	\$13, 340, 700	118, 900, 000	15, 725, 000	15, 916, 500	+2, 575, 800	-2, 983, 500
Bureau of Land Management.....	-----	250, 000	150, 000	250, 000	+250, 000	-----
Bureau of Indian Affairs.....	5, 925, 000	2 2, 425, 000	937, 500	1, 687, 500	-4, 237, 500	-737, 500
Bureau of Reclamation.....	3, 600, 000	-----	-----	10, 339, 700	+6, 739, 700	+10, 339, 700
Bureau of Mines.....	550, 000	-----	300, 000	550, 000	-----	+550, 000
National Park Service.....	2, 680, 000	-----	-----	8, 935, 000	+6, 255, 000	+8, 935, 000
Fish and Wildlife Service.....	-----	-----	-----	50, 000	+50, 000	-----
Government in the Territories.....	25, 904, 000	3 32, 000, 000	19, 000, 000	30, 000, 000	+4, 096, 000	-2, 000, 000
Total contract authorizations.....	51, 999, 700	59, 375, 000	41, 112, 500	69, 986, 605	+17, 986, 905	+10, 611, 605

¹ Includes \$400,000 in S. Doc. 60.
² Includes \$1,675,000 in S. Doc. 80.
³ Includes \$3,000,000 in H. Doc. 101.

PERMANENT AND INDEFINITE APPROPRIATIONS, GENERAL AND SPECIAL FUNDS

Object	Appropriated, 1949	Estimated, 1950	Increase (+) or decrease (-)
Continuing fund, power transmission facilities.....		\$200,000	+\$200,000
Payments from proceeds of sales, motor-propelled vehicles.....	\$457,000	488,400	+31,400
Continuing fund for emergencies, Bonneville power project.....	457,500		-457,500
Payments to States from grazing fees, Indian ceded lands.....	100	100	
Oregon and California grant lands, deficiency payments to counties in lieu of taxes.....	750,000	750,000	
Coos Bay Wagon Road grant lands, excess payments.....	1,000	1,000	
Oregon and California grant lands, excess payments.....	5,000	5,000	
Coos Bay Wagon Road grant lands, payments to Coos and Douglas Counties, Oreg., in lieu of taxes.....	19,000	19,000	
Oregon and California grant lands, payments to counties.....	2,500,000	2,500,000	
Mineral Leasing Act, payments to States and Alaska.....	9,600,000	9,600,000	
Payments to States from grazing receipts, public lands.....	235,000	235,000	
Alaska school lands, payment to Alaska.....	500	500	
Power revenues, Indian irrigation projects.....	1,215,000	1,425,000	+210,000
Payment of interest on Indian trust funds.....		1,140,000	+1,140,000
Indian arts and crafts fund.....	50	50	
Acquisition of lands and loans to Indians in Oklahoma, act of June 26, 1936.....	300	300	
Payments to States of Arizona and Nevada.....	600,000	600,000	
Repayment of advances from Treasury.....	5,000,000	5,000,000	
Repayment of advances, All-American Canal.....	350,000	300,000	-50,000
Continuing fund, emergency expenses, Fort Peck project, Montana.....	312,955	365,000	+52,045
Payments from proceeds of sale of water, Geological Survey.....	500	500	
Development and operation of helium properties, Bureau of Mines.....	466,000	470,000	+4,000

Educational expenses, children of employees, Yellowstone National Park.....	18,696	9,581	-9,116
Salaries and expenses, Fish and Wildlife Service.....	291,283	300,000	+8,717
Migratory bird conservation fund.....	2,000,000	2,000,000	-----
Federal aid in wildlife restoration.....	11,276,687	10,000,000	-1,276,687
Expenses incident to sale of refuge products.....	30,000	30,000	-----
Payments to counties under Migratory Bird Conservation Act.....	97,094	100,000	+2,906
Alaska Railroad fund.....	15,050,000	15,800,000	+750,000
Total, general and special funds.....	50,733,665	51,339,431	+605,766

[Faint, illegible text and bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

TRUST FUND APPROPRIATIONS

Object	Appropriated, 1949	Estimated, 1950	Increase (+) or decrease (-)
Construction of electric transmission lines and substations, contributions, Bonneville power project.....	\$5, 989		-\$5, 989
Deposits by individuals for surveying public lands.....	12, 000	\$12, 000	
Administration and protection of grazing districts.....	50, 000	50, 000	
Refund of deposits, unearned grazing fees.....	1, 000	1, 000	
Alaska town-site funds.....	6, 000	6, 000	
Unearned proceeds from lands.....	2, 000, 000	2, 000, 000	
Indian moneys, proceeds of labor.....	800, 000	800, 000	
Miscellaneous trust funds of Indian tribes.....	11, 000, 000	11, 000, 000	
Operation and maintenance collections, Indian irrigation projects.....	1, 250, 000	1, 250, 000	
Advances by States, etc., for construction, operation, and maintenance of reclamation projects, including investigations.....	2, 305, 094	2, 510, 900	+205, 806
Synthetic liquids fuels program, cooperative fund.....	20, 800		-20, 800
Donations, including land, national parks.....	20, 000	20, 000	
Gifts or bequests of personal property, national parks.....	1, 100	1, 100	
Birthplace of Abraham Lincoln, preservation of, national parks.....	2, 400	2, 400	
Expenses, sale of furs.....	250	250	
Fox and fur seal industries.....	250, 000	290, 000	+40, 000
Miscellaneous contributed funds, Fish and Wildlife Service.....	20, 000	20, 000	
Improvements of roads, bridges, and trails, Alaska.....	300, 000	300, 000	
Total, appropriations, trust funds.....	18, 044, 633	18, 263, 650	+219, 017
Total, permanent and indefinite appropriations, including trust funds.....	68, 778, 298	69, 603, 081	+824, 783

108.08
10.91
87.17

108.08
10.91
87.17

SMITHSONIAN LIBRARIES



3 9088 01850 3573