

# ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS IN MARE UNITS ON THE LUNAR FAR SIDE

A. S. WALKER\* and FAROUK EL-BAZ

*Center for Earth and Planetary Studies, National Air and Space Museum, Smithsonian Institution,  
Washington, DC, U.S.A.*

(Received 30 June, 1982)

**Abstract.** Mare material is asymmetrically distributed on the Moon. The Earth-facing hemisphere, where the crust is believed to be 26 km thinner than on the farside, contains substantially more basaltic mare material. Using Lunar Topographic Orthophoto Maps, we calculated the thickness of the mare material in three farside craters, Aitken (0.59 km), Isaev (1.0 km), and Tsiolkovskiy (1.75 km). We also studied crater frequency distribution in five farside mare units (Aitken, Isaev, Lacus Solitudinis, Langemak, and Tsiolkovskiy) and one light plains unit (in Mendeleev). Nearly 10 000 farside craters were counted. Analysis of the crater frequency on the light plains unit gives an age of 4.3 billion yr. Crater frequency distributions on the mare units indicate ages of 3.7 and 3.8 billion yr, suggesting that the units are distributed over a narrow time period of approximately 100 million yr. Returned lunar samples from nearside maria give dates as young as 3.1 billion yr. The results of this study suggest that mare basalt emplacement on the far side ceased before it did on the near side.

## 1. Introduction

It has long been known that the lunar far side has considerably less mare material than does the near side, where its abundance suggested to Galileo that the mare-filled basins were seas. Many modern investigators at first attributed the lack of mare material on the far side to the lack of basins. However, it was soon realized (Hartmann, 1966) that the basins are randomly distributed on both sides of the Moon, but that greater flooding occurred on the near side. As a result the mare units are not randomly distributed. The reason for this is not known.

Basins on both sides of the Moon are approximately equal in number, have similar diameters, and presumably had similar depths of penetration. The lithosphere that they penetrate, therefore, must be different. Kaula *et al.* (1972) calculated a 2–3 km offset towards the Earth of the center of mass of the Moon from its center of figure. They attribute this to a 26 km difference in crustal thickness, and calculate that the farside crust is 74 km thick while the nearside crust is only 48 km thick (Kaula *et al.*, 1974). A thicker crust would account for the lack of mare material in small farside craters and basins, but it would not account for its absence in the large basins which presumably penetrated the lithosphere, or should have at least tapped the asthenosphere through faulting. The asymmetry in crustal thickness, the difference in mare-basalt distribution, and the hiatus between basin formation and mare filling have not yet been fully explained.

\* Now with the U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia.

Turcotte (1975) suggests that mare basalt was generated by tidal interactions either initiating convective flow or leading directly to melting during an early Earth–Moon close approach. Schultz *et al.* (1976) suggest that mare flooding may have been initiated by large tidal variations that occurred while the Moon was orbiting in a region between 30 and 45 times the radius of Earth.

Variations in crustal thickness and mare abundance may be either primary or secondary. Primary asymmetries, those resulting from accretion, would suggest that the Moon accreted under the gravitational and geochemical influence of a large, nearby second mass – i.e. the Earth. A primary origin for the asymmetries, therefore, would support either some type of a fission model or else a heterogenous accretion model for the origin of the Earth–Moon system. It is unlikely, however, that the axes of such a system would be centered on the present sub-Earth point, and it is therefore difficult to consider the asymmetries as primary. On the other hand, if it can be determined that the asymmetries are secondary, or created following an early differentiation phase, the capture hypothesis could be supported (Firsoff, 1969). However, the process of capture itself would be catastrophic. It may be that the earliest episodes of mare flooding on both sides of the Moon were initiated as a response to an intense and sudden tidal interaction between the Earth and Moon. Such an interaction would be experienced more intensely on the near side than on the far side and may account for the greater volume of basalt on the near side. Whether a Moon consolidated enough to support basins could then develop a discrepancy in crustal thickness in response to the gravitational pull of a newly nearby planet, needs to be investigated.

If it can be determined that the asymmetry of the basaltic volume is of secondary origin, and if the timing of the emplacement of mare material on the far side reflects that on the near side, constraints may be placed on theories of lunar evolution and on the origin of lunar asymmetries.

In this study we examine lunar farside mare units and one plains unit. Following a discussion of mare distribution and its association with other lunar features, the thicknesses and volumes of some of the mare units are calculated. Standard crater counting techniques are applied to units located along the groundtracks of the Apollo 15, 16, and 17 spacecraft.

## 2. Farside Mare Units

### 2.1. DISTRIBUTION AND ASSOCIATIONS

Farside mare units, considered to be basaltic in composition because similar units on the near side have been identified as basalts, are located in topographically low areas associated with basins, especially in the large basins; Australe, Smythii, Marginis and Lomonosov/Fleming (Figure 1). Al-Khwarizmi/King and Mendeleev conspicuously lack any mare material. The lack of basalt in the area where al-Khwarizmi/King and Lomonosov/Fleming basins intersect, which must be heavily fractured and faulted, suggests that the source area for the basalt is highly localized and was not present in this area.

## ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS




Fig. 1. Distribution of mare units on part of the far side of the Moon.

Wilhelms and El-Baz (1977) mapped three mare units in a geologic map of the east side of the Moon (50° E to 140° E) by comparing the crater distributions of large diameter (> 10 km) craters on the units. They suggest that the oldest, Imbrian basalt (Im<sub>1</sub>), which occupies the Australe basin and a few craters in the northwestern part of the map, is older than most nearside mare material. The middle unit (Im<sub>2</sub>), in Tsiolkovskiy, Langemak, Bolyai and Lacus Solitudinis, may be of late Imbrian age as it appears to have fewer craters. The youngest unit (Eld), which is found only in Waterman crater, Lacus Solitudinis and Smythii basin may be thin beds of lava or a pyroclastic facies of mare material.

The mare material on the south side of the Moon is divided by Wilhelms *et al.* (1979) into three units. Im<sub>1</sub> is a unit older than many upper Imbrian craters and older than all

the known mare materials on the central far side. It is lighter in color than unit Im<sub>2</sub>, the intermediate unit, and EIm, the youngest material. The mare units are all associated with the Australe and South Pole/Aitken basins.

In the geologic map of the central far side, Stuart-Alexander (1978) mapped only one unit (Im) of Imbrian mare material, which she suggested may be younger than the Orientale basin. Much of the mare material is within or associated with the South Pole/Aitken basin which is one of the topographically low areas on the Moon. Moscoviense, Campbell and the Freundlich/Sharonov basins each have isolated patches (Figure 1). The only mare occurrence that is not associated with a basin is in the Nectarian age crater Kohlschütter, southeast of Moscoviense, Kohlschütter, however, may be on an unmapped ring of Moscoviense.

On the north side of the Moon, only Compton and Campbell craters have any mare material (Lucchitta, 1978). On the west side, all of the mare materials is associated with the Orientale basin, which is excluded from this study.

## 2.2. THICKNESS AND VOLUME OF FAR SIDE MARE BASALT

The prevalence of 'ghost craters' of varying depth and preservation state on lunar mare units suggests that basins and craters were filled over a long period of time by multiple flow units, probably from several source vents.

Few fresh flow scarps from which thicknesses of individual flow fronts may be measured are present in areas covered by high resolution photographs. Gifford and El-Baz (1978) measured 85 scarps, and found them to vary between 4 and 45 m in height, with 57% of the scarps under 15 m high. Based on study of returned lunar samples, Brett (1975) concluded that mare basalts were derived from cooling units less than 10 m thick. Thus, photogeologic and geochemical evidence both suggest that lunar basalt flows are rather thin (less than 100 m in thickness) in addition to being intermittent in frequency.

The total volume or the cumulative thickness of the basalt cannot be directly measured and is thus a more controversial issue. Hartmann and Wood (1971) found a flooding depth of approximately 3 km in several basins they examined. DeHon (1974; 1979), DeHon and Waskom (1976), and Mohan (1979) argue for very thick (400–2000 m) basalt coverage. Whitford-Stark (1979) estimates an average thickness of 750 m for Mare Australe fill. Hörz (1978) however, suggests that the basaltic basin fill is extremely shallow (less than 300 m for most mare basins).

Although lunar farside craters may not be directly compared with nearside basins, knowledge of the thickness of farside lunar basalts, even if grossly estimated, may contribute to our understanding of lunar igneous processes. A crater may, in its simplest form, be considered as a frustum, or a right conical segment. If the diameter, depth-to-mare fill and slope can be measured, the thickness and volume of basalt may be calculated to a first approximation.

Lunar Topographic Orthophoto Maps of three craters with mare material totally covering the bottom (Isaev, Aitken, and Tsiolkovskiy) were examined to determine the

**TABLE I**  
Volume of mare material

	$\theta$	$d_1$	$d_2$	$d_3$	$t_1$	$t_2$	$t_3$	$V$
Aitken	11.55°	127.3 km	89.13 km	83.35 km	4.49 km	3.9 km	0.59 km	$3.4 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^3$
Isaev	12.17°	86.9 km	59 km	49.72 km	4 km	3 km	1 km	$2.33 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^3$
Tsiolkoskiy	24.34°	180 km	165.85 km	157.98 km	4.98 km	3.2 km	1.78 km	$3.6 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^3$

See Figure 2 for description of terms.

TABLE II  
Lunar features used for size-frequency distribution curves

Feature	Location	Geologic Unit	Diameter (km)	Images Used	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	No. of Craters	C <sub>S</sub>	C <sub>S</sub> meters	Age <sup>d</sup> (billion yr)
Isaev	17.8S 147.3E	IM <sup>b</sup>	86.9	A15, 8924, 8926, 8928	590	1030	- 0.69	200	3.7
Langemak	9.8S 119E	IM <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	102	A15, 9015, 9017	228	193	- 0.67	214	3.7
Lacus Solitudinis	27S 104E	IM <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	-	A15, 9961 9963, 9965	712	983	- 0.59	257	3.8
Tsiolkovskiy	20.4S 128.9E	IM <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	180	A15, 9592, 9598, 9600, 9602	7384	4717	- 0.57	269	3.8
Aitken <sup>a</sup>	17S 173E	IM <sup>b</sup>	145	A17, 1920, 1922, 1924	1937	1604	- 0.53	295	3.8
Mendeleev	6.3N 142.6E	INp <sup>b</sup>	130	A16, 4199, 4201	1805	1314	+ 0.15	1412	4.3


<sup>a</sup> Aitken data from Walker and El-Baz (1979).

<sup>b</sup> Stuart-Alexander (1978).

<sup>c</sup> Wilhelms and El-Baz (1977).

<sup>d</sup> Based on calibration curve (Boyce and Johnson; 1977).

## ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS



- $d_1$  measured diameter of crater
- $d_2$  calculated
- $d_3$  calculated
- $t_1$  calculated from Pike, 1974
- $t_2$  measured thickness
- $t_3 = (t_1 - t_2)$
- measured slope angle
- $$v = \frac{1}{3} \pi t_3 \left[ \left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d_1}{2} \times \frac{d_2}{2}\right) \right]$$

Fig. 2. Geometric solution for the volume of crater fill of mare material.

crater diameter and the average vertical distance from the rim to the mare fill. The slope of the crater wall is determined by averaging slope measurements at several areas of the wall, avoiding disturbed areas. The total depth of the crater may be calculated using Pike's (1974) equation for crater depth vs. crater diameter, and the thickness of the mare material may be determined by subtracting the rim-to-mare surface depth from the total calculated crater depth. The mare diameter and volume may then be determined from the equation for a frustum (Figure 2).

The results for Isaev, Aitken, and Tsiolkovskiy are given in Table I, and indicate that the calculated thickness of the mare fill ranges between 500 and 2000 m.

### 3. Crater Frequency Measurements

#### 3.1. METHOD

Trask (1966) determined that a log-log plot of the cumulative number of craters with diameters greater than  $D$  per  $\text{km}^2$ , vs.  $D$ , the crater diameter, resulted in a frequency distribution which could be described by  $F \propto D^{-2}$  for a steady state distribution in which craters are destroyed as rapidly as they are produced. Above a certain diameter, the plot is represented by  $F \propto D^{-\lambda}$  where  $\lambda$  is approximately 3. Moore *et al.* (1980) refine the non-steady state distribution to  $N = KD^\alpha$  where  $N$  is the cumulative number of craters with diameters equal to or greater than  $D$ ,  $K$  is the net accumulated flux, and  $\alpha$  is the population index, which varies for different diameter crater populations.

Morris and Shoemaker (1968) define  $C_S$  as the intersection of the steady-state curve with the second curve.  $C_S$  is proportional to the relative age of the unit, with a higher  $C_S$  value indicating an older unit. Boyce and Johnson (1977) calibrated  $C_S$  values from absolute ages of returned lunar samples.

In this study, crater size frequency data were collected using a Zeiss TGZ Particle Size Analyzer.  $C_S$  values were determined by graphical methods, and the calibration




Fig. 3. Cumulative size-frequency distribution of craters in the mare fill of Isaev.

curve of Boyce and Johnson was used to determine the ages of 5 farside mare units and one farside Nectarian age plains unit, photographed by the Apollo panoramic cameras. Procedures recommended by Crater Analysis Techniques Working Group (1978) are used for data compilation and plotting. Ghost craters, obviously secondary or possible endogenic craters and other disturbed areas were excluded.

### 3.2. ISAEV

Isaev ( $17.8^{\circ}$  S,  $147.3^{\circ}$  E) is a small (86.9 km diam) Nectarian age crater on the northwest rim of the Pre-Nectarian crater Gagarin. Its mare-covered floor, 6000 m above the arbitrary datum, is relatively smooth, with few scarps apparent. The surface is crossed by east-west trending discontinuous rays, and shows no major tectonic features.

## ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS

Isaev has one of the few farside mare units which is not associated with a basin. However, it is near the rim of the Pre-Nectarian South Pole/Aitken basin in addition to being on the rim of Gagarin crater. The latter although Pre-Nectarian in age, is younger than the South Pole/Aitken basin (Wilhelms and El-Baz, 1977). Either Gagarin or the South Pole/Aitken impact may be responsible for producing deep faults, which may have tapped a source area. The deeper faults and fractures generated by the Isaev impact event may be responsible for post-impact flooding. The fact that the unnamed 40 km diam crater which is located on the southeast rim of Gagarin, and much closer to the edge of the South Pole/Aitken basin, was not flooded suggests that the source was local (although the crater is smaller than Isaev and may not have generated faults which could reach the postulated reservoir). Cyrano ( $21^{\circ}$  S,  $157.5^{\circ}$  E), a Pre-Nectarian crater located on a ring of the South Pole/Aitken basin close to a ring of Keeler, similarly shows no evidence of flooding. This further suggests a localized source.

We counted 1030 craters in  $590 \text{ km}^2$  of the floor of Isaev. The data are reproduced in Figure 3 and Table II. The cumulative crater-frequency curve results in a  $C_g$  value of 200 m and an age of 3.7 billion yr before present, using the Boyce and Johnson (1977) calibration curve.

### 3.3. LANGEMAK

Langemak ( $9.8^{\circ}$  S,  $119^{\circ}$  E) is a 102 km diam Imbrian crater whose floor is only partly covered by mare material which Wilhelms and El-Baz (1977) mapped as late Imbrian. In general, the 6600 m elevation crater floor is rough, although the mare area is rather smooth and flat.

We counted 193 craters in a  $228 \text{ km}^2$  area. The results are indicated in Figure 4 and Table II. The cumulative crater frequency curve results in a  $C_g$  value of 212 m and an age of 3.7 billion yr before present.

### 3.4. LACUS SOLITUDINIS

Lacus Solitudinis ( $27^{\circ}$  S,  $104^{\circ}$  E) is a large, north-south elongated area east of the Pre-Nectarian crater Titius. The material is mapped as younger Imbrian mare material by Wilhelms and El-Baz (1977). The area near  $25^{\circ}$  S,  $103^{\circ}$  E, at an elevation a little above 6200 m has a very apparent 'high lava mark' along the edges (El-Baz, 1972). It is located in a region mapped as Nectarian material of subdued crater clusters, chains, and irregular craters. Twenty kilometers southeast of this area, separated by low Nectarian crater rims of up to 8600 m in elevation, lies the main 'lake' between Nectarian terra mantling material on the east, and Nectarian partly mantled terra material on the west. The semi-circular surface shape of this lake suggests that it may occupy the floor of a 110 km diam unmapped Pre-Nectarian crater centered at  $27.07^{\circ}$  S,  $102.7^{\circ}$  E. Much of the crater has been obliterated by the Titius impact. However, the northeast trending ridge at  $25.6^{\circ}$  S,  $101.6^{\circ}$  E may be a relict rim.

Nine hundred and seventy three craters in a  $712 \text{ km}^2$  area of Lacus Solitudinis were




Fig. 4. Cumulative size-frequency distribution of craters in the mare fill of Langemak.

counted (Table II; Figure 5), giving a  $C_S$  value of 259 m and an age of 3.8 billion yr before present.

### 3.5. TSIOLKOVSKIY

Tsiolkovskiy ( $20.4^\circ$  S,  $128.9^\circ$  E) is a 186 km diam Imbrian age crater with a central peak surrounded by young Imbrian mare material (Wilhelms and El-Baz, 1977). Baldwin (1969) suggested that Tsiolkovskiy is near the center of an ancient, incomplete basin. Wilber (1978) postulated that several source vents throughout the crater floor and wall were responsible for several small events rather than a single inundation. He further suggested that faulting and central peak uplift provided the pathway to the source region. Central peak uplift, however, is not crucial for providing a pathway, as evidenced by Isaev.

## ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS




Fig. 5. Cumulative size-frequency distribution of craters in Lacus Solitudinis.

Based on crater counts, Wilber (1978) suggested that the mare surface is early Imbrian in age. For our study, 4717 craters were counted in an area of 7384 km<sup>2</sup>. The results, Table II and Figure 6, indicate a  $C_S$  value of 266 m and an age of 3.8 billion yr before present, or middle Imbrian age.

### 3.6. AITKEN

Aitken (17° S, 173° E) is a 145 km diam Imbrian age crater that lies within undifferentiated farside highlands (Stuart-Alexander, 1978). The walls are very irregularly terraced, with few flat surfaces, possibly due to the impact of the 30 km diam crater on the north wall. Aitken has a NS-trending central peak that rises over 1.5 km above the crater floor.

The floor of Aitken has several unusual features. West of the peak is a series of 400 m




Fig. 6. Cumulative size-frequency distribution of craters in the mare fill of Tsiolkovskiy.

high discontinuous linear hills with a northwest trend. Aitken is assigned an upper Imbrian age and is mapped as being along the northern rim of a large, pre-Nectarian, South Pole/Aitken basin (Stuart-Alexander, 1978). The hills west of the central peak may reflect traces of an ancient fault system reactivated by the Aitken impact. East of the central peak is a cluster of five craters; some occupied by domical structures. Some of these craters show 'high lava marks', and three of them are breached or partially flooded. Bryan and Adams (1974) believe that the five craters were formed simultaneously. There is a sixth crater, 4 km in diam, near the southwest wall that also has domical structures, and a large (8 km diam) crater near the south wall that displays an inner 'high lava mark'.

For this study, 1604 craters over an area of 1937 km<sup>2</sup> were counted. The results were reported in Walker and El-Baz (1979) and are reproduced in Figure 7 and Table

## ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS




Fig. 7. Cumulative size-frequency distribution of craters in the mare fill of Aitken.

II. With a  $C_s$  of 295 m, the absolute age is approximately 3.8 billion yr, according to the Boyce and Johnson (1977) calibration. This value is in agreement with the photo-geologic interpretation that places the floor material of Aitken in the Imbrian period.

### 3.7. MENDELEEV

Mendelev (6.3° N, 142.6° E) is a large, 330 km diam Nectarian age basin flooded with light plains of either Imbrian or Nectarian age in the north and Imbrian light plains in the south (Stuart-Alexander, 1978). There is one small Imbrian crater in the northern part of the floor. The light plains material is crossed by numerous linear secondary crater chains. The basin is surrounded by ejecta out to two basin radii.

Soderblom and Boyce (1972) used the Soderblom and Lebofsky (1972) technique of crater analysis to obtain a  $D_L$  value of  $575 \pm 95$ , corresponding to an age of approximately 3.8 billion yr before present. Neukum (1977) analyzed crater size-frequency distribution data for Mendelev, and found that age to be 4.2 to 4.3 billion yr before present.




Fig. 8. Cumulative size-frequency distribution of craters in the light-colored plains in the floor of Mendeleev basin.

For this study, 1314 craters in 1805 km<sup>2</sup> area were counted. The results are shown in Figure 8 and listed in Table II. The  $C_S$  value of 1420m corresponds with an age of approximately 4.3 billion yr before present, in agreement with Neukum's calculation.

#### 4. Conclusions

Mare units on the Lunar far side occur as scattered crater fill and occasionally as laci. They are generally associated with craters at the center (Tsiolkovskiy), the edges (Aitken), or the rings (Lacus Solitudinis) of large basins. Their emplacement suggests first that a large basin-forming impact reached a potential source area and second that a subsequent smaller impact created a crater and initiated the faulting required to tap the source area.

## ANALYSIS OF CRATER DISTRIBUTIONS

The 0.5 to 1.5 km thickness of the fill (Table I) suggests a fairly active source area, whereas the scattered locations of fill suggest small, localized source areas. The presence of ghost craters implies that the source areas were not activated for some period of time following the second impact. This suggests that the basaltic distribution asymmetry is of secondary origin and may be related to the capture of the Moon.

Mare units on the far side of the Moon are difficult to date stratigraphically or quantitatively because they occur as discontinuous units usually enclosed in Imbrian or Nectarian age craters.

Crater counting data suggest that the lunar farside maria surface units studied for this report are distributed over a narrow time period of approximately 100 million yr. The dates are well within the range of ages of returned lunar basalts ( $3.16\text{--}3.96 \times 10^9$  yr) as reported by Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1971a, b). Boyce *et al.* (1975) found that the maria were deposited in three major episodes on the near side with the oldest units being greater than 3.7 billion yr old, and the youngest units being less than 2.5 billion yr old. It is interesting that basalts less than 3.7 billion yr old are not represented in the areas sampled in this study. Examination of photographs of other lunar farside mare units indicates that no units appear to have lower crater densities. Therefore, we suggest that basalt emplacement on the far side ceased long before it ceased on the near side. Unfortunately a better understanding of the age relationships of far side mare material must await future lunar missions.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by NASA grant NSG-7188. We thank Ann Gifford, Bill Hartmann and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments and R. E. Aiello for drafting the figures.

## References

- Baldwin, R. B.: 1969, *Astron. J.* 74, 570.  
Boyce, J. M. and Johnson, D.: 1977, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 8, 3495.  
Boyce, J. M., Dial, A., and Soderblom, L.: 1975, *USGS Interagency Rept. Astrogeology* 66, 25 pp.  
Brett, R.: 1975, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 39, 1135.  
Bryan, W. and Adams, M.: 1974, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 5, 25.  
Crater Analysis Techniques Working Group: 1978, *NASA Tech. Mem.* 79730, 20 pp.  
DeHon, R.: 1974, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 5, 53.  
DeHon, R.: 1979, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 10, 2935.  
DeHon, R. and Waskom, J. D.: 1976, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 7, 2729.  
El-Baz, F.: 1972, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 3, 39.  
Firsoff, V. A.: 1969, *The Old Moon and the New*, Sidgwick and Jackson, London.  
Gifford, A. and El-Baz, F.: 1978, *Lunar and Planetary Sci.* 9, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, pp. 382-385.  
Hartmann, W. K.: 1966, *Sky and Telescope* 32, 1.  
Hartmann, W. K. and Wood, C. A.: 1971, *The Moon* 3, 3.  
Hörz, F.: 1978, *Proc. Lunar and Planetary Sci. Conf.* 9, 3311.

A. S. WALKER AND FAROUK EL-BAZ

- Kaula, W. M., Schubert, G., and Lingenfelter, R. E.: 1974, *Lunar and Planetary Science 5*, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, pp. 399.
- Kaula, W. M., Schubert, G., Lingenfelter, R. E., Sjogren, W. L., and Wollenhaupt, W. R.: 1972, *Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf.* 3, 2189.
- Lucchitta, B. K.: 1978, *Geologic Map of the North Side of the Moon*, USGS Map I-1062.
- Mohan, S.: 1979, *Icarus* 38, 317.
- Moore, H. J., Boyce, J. M., and Han, D. A.: 1980, *The Moon and the Planets* 23, 231.
- Morris, E. and Shoemaker, E. M.: 1968, *NASA Tech. Rept.* 32-1265, 65.
- Neukum, G.: 1977, *The Moon* 17, 383.
- Papanastassiou, D. A. and Wasserburg, G. J.: 1971a, *Earth Planetary Sci. Lett.* 12, 36.
- Papanastassiou, D. A. and Wasserburg, G. J.: 1971b, *Earth Planetary Sci. Lett.* 11, 37.
- Pike, R. J.: 1974, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1, 291.
- Schultz, P. H., Burns, J. A., and Greeley, R.: 1976, *Lunar Sci.* 7, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, pp. 785-787.
- Soderblom, L. A. and Boyce, J. M.: 1972, *Apollo 16 Prelim. Sci. Rept.*, NASA SP-315, pp. 29-3.
- Soderblom, L. A. and Lebofsky, L.: 1972, *J. Geophys. Res.* 77, 279.
- Stuart-Alexander, D.: 1978, *Geologic Map of the Central Far Side of the Moon*, USGS Map I-1047.
- Trask, N. J.: 1966, *Ranger 7 and 9*, Jet Prop. Lab., Pasadena, California, Rept. TR-32-800, 252.
- Turcotte, D. L.: 1975, *Lunar Sci.* 6, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, pp. 824.
- Walker, A. S. and El-Baz, F.: 1979, *Lunar Planetary Sci.* 10, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, pp. 1180-1182.
- Whitford-Stark, J. L.: 1979, *Proc. Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf.* 10, 2975.
- Wilber, C. L.: 1978, *Lunar Planet. Sci.* 9, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, Texas, pp. 1253.
- Wilhelms, D. E. and El-Baz, F.: 1977, *Geologic Map of the East Side of the Moon*, USGS Map I-948.
- Wilhelms, D. E., Howard, K. A., and Wilshire, H. G.: 1979, *Geologic Map of the South Side of the Moon*, USGS Map I-1162.