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1. Introduction

Highly sinuous paleo alluvial channels with attendant floodplains and cutoffs have been found in high resolution imaging of Mars (Malin and Edgett, 2003; Moore et al., 2003; Burr et al., 2009, 2010; Williams et al., 2013). These features present a dilemma in accounting for this meandering, because most terrestrial meandering channels are associated with an appreciable vegetation cover, which has been postulated as being important in producing meandering as opposed to braiding morphology. The existence of these prominent meanders on presumably vegetationless Mars requires explanation. In this paper we discuss the setting and properties of the Martian meandering paleochannels, review the literature on factors producing cohesive stream banks permitting meandering versus braided channels, and explore two possible terrestrial analog sites.

1.1. Aeolis Dorsa (AD) meanders, Mars

Several Martian valley systems have undergone extensive aeolian deflation of fine-grained deposits, leaving channels in inverted relief (Williams and Edgett, 2005; Pain et al., 2007; Williams, 2007; Mangold et al., 2008; Newsom et al., 2010). Most of these are fragmentary or exhibit low sinuosity, but an extensive set of inverted sinuous channels is exposed in the Aeolis Dorsa region, centered at about 5°S and 150°E (Burr et al., 2009, 2010; Williams et al., 2009, 2013) (Fig. 1). In some areas sinuous ridges with multiple bends can be traced in the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) Context Camera (CTX) images (6 m/pixel) (Malin et al., 2007) with apparent cutoffs and parallel lineations that resemble scroll bar deposits in terrestrial meandering channels (Fig. 2a, b).

The AD meanders are developed in the basal member of the Medusae Fossae Formation (MFF), which is likely deposit of volcanoclastic origin (Bradley et al., 2002; Hynek et al., 2003; Carter, 2009; Mandt et al., 2009). The extensive aeolian erosion of this formation into yardangs (Figs. 1, 2) indicates a friable nature (Ward, 1979; Scott and Tanaka, 1982; Bradley et al., 2002; Hynek et al., 2003; Mandt et al., 2008, 2009;
Age estimates of the MFF based on crater counts place deposition in the Amazonian (Tanaka, 1986; Werner, 2006), but the extensive deflation of the deposit likely results in a misleadingly young crater-based age, and more recent dating indicates a Hesperian age (Burr et al., 2009; Kerber and Head, 2010; Zimbelman and Scheidt, 2012). Crater-count dating by Kite et al. (2014) suggests a Hesperian to Late Noachian age for the fluvial activity.

Many of the exposures of the AD meandering channels are fragmentary or strongly eroded (e.g., Fig. 3). The discussion here emphasizes a ~15 km exposure of paleomeanders that exhibits strong sinuosity (~1.5–2.3), evidence of historical evolution of meander bends through channel migration, evidence of cutoffs and avulsions, and is imaged at high resolution (25 cm/pixel) in stereo (Fig. 4a). Less well-exposed sinuous meander belts occur to the southwest (Fig. 4a), outlined in violet in Fig. 4b. These meanders may be smaller or possibly older and are not as well exposed by deflation. Younger, and stratigraphically higher meandering channels occur within the mapped area (light brown). The channel patterns are less well exposed on these younger meander belts, at least in part from greater aeolian stripping. Note that the location of the valleys shifted between the older and lower meanders and the younger valley deposits, possibly because of a MFF depositional event burying the older valleys.

Fig. 5 shows a short section of one meandering channel exhibiting highly sinuous loops. The interior of the large bends shows a series of sub-parallel benches that record earlier positions of the channel and the migration of the meander bends (Fig. 6). In recording the path of meander migration these resemble point bars on terrestrial river floodplains (e.g., Fig. 7). It is likely that these interior benches are channel floor deposits rather than point or scroll bars, however, because the benches topographically become higher upon progressing from the interior to the exterior of the bends (i.e., from earlier- to later-deposition), as revealed in stereo anaglyphs (e.g., from 1 to 2 in Fig. 5). By contrast, point bars generally increase in height towards the interior of bends due to continued deposition. The point bars, and scrolls, if they were present, have presumably been stripped by aeolian erosion. This pattern implies that the channel was aggrading during meander evolution.

The stereo anaglyphs were utilized to interpret the evolution of two channel systems converging to the northwest. Three types of channel cutoffs — neck cutoffs, chute cutoff, and large avulsions — occurred during the time period recorded by the green, blue, and black stages in channel evolution in Fig. 4b. Some meander loops grew large enough to trigger neck cutoffs (arrow in Fig. 5a). A probable chute cutoff is illustrated in Fig. 8, with the original loop extent shown by the black arrow and the cutoff by the white arrow. Many short chute cutoffs across bend apices may not have left a record, because meanders often reoccupy the abandoned loops during subsequent migration. Several cutoffs are transitional, involving short chute cutoffs of a high-amplitude bend at the location where a neck cutoff would eventually have occurred (e.g., red dots in Fig. 4b). Finally, large avulsions occurred across several meander loops in the northern mapped channel (Fig. 9). The final channel courses (black in Fig. 4b) appear to be less sinuous (~1.5) than earlier channels (up to ~2.3), particularly after the avulsion event in the northern channel. These cutoffs and avulsions were probably facilitated by progressive aggradation of the fluvial system in this location, which also aids recognition of the temporal sequence.
The sedimentary characteristics of the AD meanders are difficult to infer without ground truth. Full resolution images of the meanders (e.g., Figs. 7 and 8) reveal no obvious meter-scale boulders. This lack of boulders is not surprising given the probable fine-grained nature of the MFF deposits. A platy surface structure suggestive of cohesive strength is evident in some images of meander deposits (Fig. 7). The deposits also can sustain expression of fractures as well as the imprint of small craters. On the other hand, exposed channel deposits do not weather into multi-meter angular blocks as are evident on the sides of some yardangs sculpted into the MFF (Zimbelman and Griffin, 2010). The channel deposits are also not eroded into yardangs like the MFF, and they are able to stand in positive relief as MFF deposits are deflated. Based upon these characteristics, the exposed channel deposits are likely to be slightly-cemented fine to medium textured gravel (Burr et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2013). This grain size is also inferred from the moderately high thermal inertia of the channel deposits (Burr et al., 2010), although the high thermal inertia could result from finer, but cemented bed sediment.

Because of the inferred fine-grained composition of the MFF, it is likely that the meandering streams carried a large concentration of suspended load. High concentrations of suspended load are likely under the reduced gravity of Mars (Komar, 1979, 1980b). The suspended load presumably formed cohesive point bar and overbank deposits that constrained the channel width sufficiently to promote meandering rather than a braided channel pattern. Yet, only channel deposits appear to be exposed in the inverted meanders, implying...
that the overbank deposits must be readily stripped by aeolian erosion and are more easily eroded than the MFF deposits. The composition of overbank deposits is, thus, uncertain. Exposures of clay-rich fine fluvial overbank sediment can be readily eroded by saltating sand (Morgan et al., 2014), even though they are strongly cohesive when dry. Although no clays have been detected by CRISM multispectral observations covering the AD meandering channels, results from the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) rover show that a high percentage of clays in sediment may not be detected by the CRISM instrument (Bristow et al., 2013).

Channel-forming discharges have been estimated for the AD channels based upon channel widths and meander wavelengths as scaled for the lower Martian gravity (Burr et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2013). Burr et al. (2010) estimated discharges for 16 channel sections ranging in width from 48 to 106 m and wavelengths from 700 to 2500 m, yielding flood discharges primarily in the range of 200–1000 m$^3$/s. Williams et al. (2013) applied similar techniques to the larger Aeolis Serpens channel (Fig. 1), measuring widths from 100 to 500 m and wavelength of ~5500 m, yielding flood discharges between 1700 and 4000 m$^3$/s. The channels mapped in Fig. 4b are smaller. The central northwest–southeast trending channel has an average width of about 51 m and a wavelength averaging 409 m. The east–west channel at the north end of Fig. 4 has a width averaging 91 m and a wavelength of 835 m. The unmapped meandering channels within the area enclosed by violet lines average about 31 m in width and 265 m in wavelength. Formative discharges would be correspondingly lower. The wavelength to width ratio for these channels is in the range of 8–9, towards the lower end of the range of 8–16 observed in terrestrial meandering channels (Williams, 1986, 1988). Estimates of channel width from these largely uneroded channels may be biased towards high values because of channel migration. On the other hand, the more eroded channels, such as the avulsed channel in Fig. 9, yield wavelength to width ratios higher than the terrestrial range (Burr et al., 2010).

Unconfined meandering channels, such as those in AD, imply that migration occurred freely cross a floodplain. The evolution up to cutoff of highly sinuous, single-thread channels in the absence of a biotic binding mechanism for the floodplain sediment presents a challenge: what maintains channel coherence?

1.2. Conditions for meandering and floodplain formation

The planform characteristics of streams (channel patterns) reflect their formative hydrologic and sedimentary environment. The earliest systematic investigation of channel patterns identified three major types, straight, meandering, and braided (multiple flowpaths at low flow) and suggested that thresholds occur between meandering to braided as valley gradient and dominant channel discharges increase (Leopold and Wolman, 1957, 1960). Subsequently a fourth major pattern, anastomosing (anabranching) was identified characterized by multiple channels separated by vegetated floodplain surfaces (Knighton and Nanson, 1993; Nanson and Knighton, 1996; Makaske, 2001).

The influences of flow intensity, valley gradient, size and quantity of bedload and suspended load, as well as vegetation on channel pattern are primarily related to the effects on the flow stresses and bank erodibility. These influences are largely expressed through the aspect ratio ($\gamma = W/H$) and this ratio has been used as a criterion for characterizing the threshold between meandering and braided patterns (Engelund and Skovgaard, 1973; Fredsoe, 1978; Fukuoka, 1989; Howard, 1996). If channel banks are largely unvegetated and composed of cohesionless
sediment, channels will be wide, $\gamma$ will be high ($\geq 50$), and a braided pattern will tend to develop because of channel bed instabilities related to development of multiple alternate bars (Engelund and Skovgaard, 1973; Parker, 1976; Fredsoe, 1978; Fukuoka, 1989; Seminara and Tubino, 1989; Howard, 1996; Dade, 2000; Crosato and Mosselman, 2009). On the other hand, if banks are cohesive (e.g. clay rich, cemented, or densely vegetated) meandering channels will form (usually $10 < \gamma < 50$). Channel width and the degree of channel sinuosity have been found to be proportional to the percentage of clay in channel bed and banks (Schumm, 1960, 1963a, 1968a; Ferguson, 1987). A rich literature documents the effects of vegetation on channel morphology, with channels being narrow and deeper (lower $\gamma$) with densely vegetated banks (e.g., Graf (1978), Andrews (1984), Hickin (1984), Hey and Thorne (1986), McKeeney et al. (1995), Friedman et al. (1996), Huang and Nanson (1997), Huang and Nanson (1998), Moody et al. (1999), Millar (2000), Van De Wiel and Darby (2004), Van de Wiel and Darby (2007)). Differences in the types of vegetation (e.g. forested versus meadow) are often associated with differences in channel width (e.g., Zimmerman et al. (1967), Charlton et al. (1978), Murgatroyd and Ternan (1983), Davies-Colley (1997), Trimble (1997), Hession et al. (2003), Trimble (2004), Allmendinger et al. (2005)). Similarly, meander migration tends to be slower when banks are more densely vegetated (Odgaard, 1987; Pizzuto and Meckelburg, 1989; Micheli et al., 2004; Allmendinger et al., 2005).

Parker et al. (2011) summarize and model the vital role of bank cohesion in facilitating meandering versus braiding. In an alluvial channel if the outer banks are non-cohesive, then erosion will rapidly outpace deposition and the channel will widen to the point that chute cutoffs would form, limiting development of sinuosity (e.g., Frederici and Seminara (2003)) and promoting a braided pattern. Similarly, deposition on the inner-bank must keep pace with outer-bank erosion or the channel will likewise widen and develop a braided pattern. Sediment is initially deposited loosely on the inner bank. If it is not stabilized, fluid stresses will re-entrain the sediment. Cohesion of inner- and outer-banks is most commonly obtained through vegetation, cohesive sediment, or bedrock (e.g., Murray and Paola (1994)). In most terrestrial meandering streams vegetation plays a dominant role in providing bank cohesion.

Fig. 7. Scroll bars along the Quinn River, Nevada, USA. (a) Prominent scroll bars indicate by an asterisk (*). (b) Lidar topography of the same location. Center of image at 41.217°N, 118.741°W.

Fig. 8. Inferred chute cutoff. Black arrow points to apex of former abandoned meander loop, and white arrow points to chute cutoff. Outtake from HiRISE image PSP_006683_1740. See Fig. 4 for location.

Fig. 9. Abandonment of meander loops through avulsion. Relatively straight strongly inverted channel is assumed to have resulted from avulsion of lower, more sinuous channels. Outtake from HiRISE image PSP_006683_1740. See Fig. 4 for location.
The importance of bank cohesion in producing meandering channel patterns is illustrated by the difficulty in producing highly sinuous channels in a laboratory setting. Almost all experimental, self-formed meandering channels with alluvial banks have sinuosity less than 1.5 as a result of the difficulty in obtaining low width/depth ratios (e.g., Friedkin (1945), Schumm and Khan (1971), Schumm et al. (1987)). This occurs largely because of the difficulty in a scale model to duplicate the formation of cohesive banks by overbank sedimentation of clays or development of vegetation. Some success has been achieved in creating slightly higher sinuosity by eroding channels into cohesive sediment (Smith, 1998), but the higher sinuosity channel pattern is generally ephemeral because bank erosion eventually erodes the cohesive sediment and a low-sinuosity or braided channel pattern emerges (Federici and Paola, 2003), unless cohesive silt is introduced as part of the sediment load (Kleinmans, 2005). Introduction of vegetation (e.g., sprouts) on a scale model floodplain also permits development of sinuous channels when accompanied by suspended fine sediment (Braudrick et al., 2009), and vegetation reduces the degree of braiding in experimental channels (Gran and Paola, 2001; Tal et al., 2004). van Dijk et al. (2012) develop moderate sinuosity experimental channels by incorporating fine silt into the sediment feed coupled with a laterally oscillating flow and sediment inlet. At best, however, experimental meanders created to date experience chute cutoffs but do not create sufficient sinuosity to develop neck cutoffs.

Two important conclusions emerge from studies of natural and laboratory meandering streams. One is that the channel requires a cohesive floodplain (Seminara, 2006) or else new channels will form until they develop into a braided channel (Tal et al., 2004). The second conclusion is that floodplain cohesion must redevelop or be maintained within newly-deposited point bar and overbank sediment on the timescale of meander migration in order that the meandering pattern persists. Otherwise a sinuous meandering pattern might initially form but be quickly replaced by braiding or low-sinuosity meandering.

The appearance of meandering channels in the geologic record coincides with introduction of plants on land during the Devonian period (Schumm, 1968b; Cotter, 1978; Long, 1978; Eriksson et al., 1998; Tirsgaard and Oxnevad, 1998; Davies and Gibling, 2010a,b) and distinctive sandy floodplain assemblages (Fralick and Zaniewski, 2012), in part because of lack of bank cohesion and in part because of fewer fines produced by weathering. This suggests that vegetation is essential for channels to meander rather than braid.

The sinuous meanders in the Aeolis Dorsa (AD) region on Mars comprise a compelling argument, however, that meandering channels can develop in the absence of vegetation in certain geomorphic settings. Because of the common association of terrestrial meanders with vegetation, other mechanisms providing bank stability have been understudied. Here, we assess the role of lower Martian gravity, clays, chemical cementation, and permafrost on development of meandering channels with an emphasis on finding mechanisms other than vegetation for forming meandering channels given the unlikelihood of the past presence of vegetation on Mars. We first consider the possibility that the lower Martian gravity (~38% of gravity on Earth) may facilitate meandering. In the terrestrial context of highly sinuous channels in the continuous permafrost region of the North Slope of Alaska we consider the possibility that ice within river bank sediment might provide sufficient cohesion to promote active meandering, as suggested by Moore et al. (2003) and Dietrich and Perron (2006). We then describe the meandering Quinn River in Nevada, which exhibits highly sinuous meandering in clay-rich sediments with appreciable solute involvement. These potential terrestrial analogs are similar in size and meander properties to the AD channels (Table 1).

### 1.3. Effect of lower Martian gravity on meandering

Here we address the question — does the lower gravity of Mars influence sedimentary processes in a manner that makes it easier obtain a meandering planform? A variety of studies have used scaling relationships to assess formative sedimentary and hydrologic conditions for Martian channels (e.g. Komar (1979, 1980a,b), Komatsu and Baker (1997), Moore et al. (2003), Burr et al. (2004), Wilson et al. (2004), Irwin et al. (2005a,b), Kleinmans (2005), Howard et al. (2007, 2008), Irwin et al. (2008), Burr (2011)), but only the speculative abstracts by Komar (1980a) and Ori et al. (2013) have addressed channel pattern. Empirical studies of the threshold between meandering and braiding understandably do not include the potential effects of gravity. Most theoretical studies of the threshold likewise do not explicitly contain gravity scaling or they include empirical relationships as part of the derivation, an issue noted by Kleinmans (2010). Two semi-theoretical studies of the hydraulic geometry of sand-bed (Willkerson and Parker, 2011) and gravel-bed (Parker et al., 2007) rivers do explicitly include gravity scaling. The channels forming the calibration database for this study are, however, affected to varying degrees by vegetation on the banks and point bars.

The effect of gravity on the threshold between meandering and braided channel patterns can be evaluated through considering the relative degrees of bank cohesion required to maintaining a meandering pattern on Mars versus Earth. Parker (1976) developed a relationship for the threshold of braiding, \( \varepsilon \):

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{SW(HF)}{\pi \rho g H S^2}
\]

where \( W, H, S \) are the width, mean depth and gradient of the channel width, and \( F \) is the Froude Number, \( F = \frac{U}{(gh)^{1/2}} \), where \( g \) is gravity and \( U \) is mean velocity. Braiding is indicated to occur for values of \( \varepsilon > 1 \). The threshold defines when multiple bars will form across an alluvial channel bed. Both experiments (Fugita, 1989) and simulation modeling (Murray and Paola, 1994) suggest that channels with multiple bars develop instabilities resulting in a braided pattern.

We assume that the cross-sectional shape of the channel is determined by a critical shear stress, \( \tau_c \) across the bed and banks that balances long-term erosion and deposition. Assuming discharge \( Q = HWU, \tau = \rho g HS \), and an equivalent friction factor, \( f \), on the two planets, \( f = 8gHSU^{-2} \), and the meandering–braiding transition from Eq. (1), then:

\[
\varepsilon = (\rho g H S^2 F_c)^{5/2} (8\pi n)^{-1}
\]

### Table 1

Characteristics of Martian and terrestrial meandering streams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aeolis Dorsa, Mars</th>
<th>Quinn River, Nevada</th>
<th>Usuktuk River, Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel width (m)</td>
<td>30–106</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meander wavelength (m)</td>
<td>400–2000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed sediment</td>
<td>Sand or fine gravel*</td>
<td>Mud</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel gradient</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sediment size inferred from analysis of orbital data and deposit morphology.
To evaluate the relative critical shear stresses for an equivalent channel pattern on Earth ($E$) and Mars ($M$) we compare channels with equivalent gradients and discharges. This implies:

$$\frac{\tau_{CM}}{\tau_{CE}} = \left(\frac{k_{M}}{k_{E}}\right)^{4/5} = 0.46.$$  \hfill (3)

This suggests that a meandering pattern might occur on Mars for considerably smaller values of bank cohesion than on Earth. Theoretical extrapolation from Earth to Mars is always uncertain, however, without specific information on channel geometry, flow depths, flow resistance, and sediment characteristics (bed and banks) that persisted during the formative periods (Kleinmans, 2005).

2. Possible terrestrial analogs

2.1. Barrow, Alaska

The circumpolar Arctic hosts large numbers of strongly meandering rivers in the zones of continuous and discontinuous permafrost. They are prevalent up to latitudes of more than 72°N in Alaska and Siberia in sediment-dominated regions not covered by late Pleistocene glaciers. Exposures of ice, either as ice wedges or massive bedded ice are common on eroding banks of larger rivers (e.g. Walker and Arnborg, 1966; Walker et al., 1987; Costard et al., 2003; Walker and Hudson, 2003 (Rowland et al., 2009)) and erosion of river banks is often attributed to be dominated by thermo-erosional niching (Walker and Arnborg, 1966; Lewellen, 1972; Scott, 1978; Walker et al., 1987; Costard et al., 2003; Gautier et al., 2003; Walker and Hudson, 2003). This suggests that cohesion introduced by interstitial or massive ice in river banks limits rates of bank erosion and might provide the bank stability necessary to favor meandering over braided stream patterns. The patterns of the Arctic supporting meandering stream channels also support taiga or tundra vegetation, which may also provide cohesion and promote a meandering channel pattern (Vandenbergh, 2001, 2002; Huisink et al., 2002).

If cohesion introduced by frozen stream banks is a major factor controlling stream morphology, the Arctic meandering streams may be a close analog to the Martian meanders. If, however, vegetation dominates in permitting a meandering pattern then a close analog is unlikely. To address this, we investigate meandering rivers in the vicinity of Barrow, Alaska that exhibit similar planform morphology to the Martian AD meanders.

In large arctic rivers and deltas lateral erosion generally occurs by notching of the lower parts of river banks and undermining and collapse or slumping of the more cohesive, frozen, and generally vegetated upper portions of the bank (Lewellen, 1972; Scott, 1978; Walker et al., 1987; Walker and Hudson, 2003; Walker and Jorgenson, 2011). Seasonal depth of thaw can reach 50 cm in coarse sediment, but can be only a few centimeters in cohesive sediment (Scott, 1978). Thermo-mechanical erosion can be limited either by depths of thaw or by the ability of streams to erode and transport thawed sediment. Scott (1978) suggests rates of bank erosion are commonly less than seasonal depth of thaw. On the other hand, in large rivers thermo-mechanical notching and attendant bank retreat can exceed 3–5 m, with extremes exceeding 8 m for an individual event (Walker et al., 1987; Walker and Hudson, 2003; Walker and Jorgenson, 2011). But average rates of bank erosion in bends of large rivers is more typically 0.9 m per year and less for cohesive banks, with local maximum rates several times higher (Walker et al., 1987; Gautier et al., 2003; Walker and Jorgenson, 2011). Cases of very rapid bank erosion probably have a strong component of mechanical entrainment (Gautier et al., 2003). In summary, rates of bank erosion can be limited either by seasonal depths of thaw (as accelerated by contact with river flows) or by the ability of the flow to detach and transport the thawed sediment.

The strongly sinuous meandering of rivers heading on the Arctic Coastal Plain display a strong visual similarity to the AD meanders. We have investigated meandering channels in the region south of Barrow, Alaska in terms of the analog potential (Fig. 10). The two related questions to be addressed are as follows: 1) Is a meandering pattern maintained as a result of cohesion introduced by frozen banks? And 2) does vegetation have a strong effect on evolution of arctic channel morphology?

The Coastal Plain is mantled by a thin mantle (a few tens of meters) of Quaternary sediment collectively termed the Gubik Formation (Black, 1964; Jorgenson et al., 2011) overlying Cenozoic and earlier deformed sedimentary rocks. The fluvial network is incised into this mantle. The Gubik Formation has several distinct facies, including marine sands and silts deposited during transgressive interglacial highstands and (largely stabilized) sand dunes and loess (Williams et al., 1977, 1978; Williams, 1983; Jorgenson et al., 2011). In the Barrow area the coastal region is underlain by diverse facies largely composed of marine sands and silts, with minor gravel. Black (1964) designates this as the Barrow Unit. South of Barrow, the Gubik Formation is dominantly sandy with minor silt, termed by Black as the Meade River Unit. The Meade River unit has been interpreted as a paleo sand sea (Carter, 1981), and transitions to loess along its southern margin (Jorgenson et al., 2011). The boundary between these two units lies approximately along the SW–NE trending portion of the Meade River (Fig. 10).

Although the Barrow and Meade River units of the Gubik Formation feature strongly meandering rivers, we limit our study to meandering channels in the Meade River unit and focus on a prominent tributary, the Usuktuk River. The finer Barrow unit typically has a thick peat horizon and dense vegetation, which presumably limits its analogy to Mars. In addition, most of the smaller rivers in this unit appear to be geomorphically largely inactive with poorly-developed point bars, beaded drainage in smaller channels, and patterned ground (ice wedges) extending deep into the interior of meander bends. Channels developed in the sand dunes and loess of the Meade River unit have well-developed point bars, numerous cutoffs and oxbow lakes, and a less dense vegetation cover.

We have primarily investigated the sinuous lower portion of the Usuktuk River, a tributary to the Meade River (Fig. 11). We employed DOQ (Digital Ortho Quadrangles), historical aerial photography downloaded from the EarthExplorer (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov), and 5 meter resolution ISAR (Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar) topography data (Jones and Grosse, 2013), and conducted a brief field reconnaissance in August, 2011. A prominent transgressive marine scarp lies a few km north of the study region (Williams et al., 1978).

![Fig. 10. The western North Coastal Plain of Alaska showing location of meander study area shown in Fig. 11. Inset shows general location of map. Thaw lakes occur primarily in Quaternary sediments of the unconsolidated sedimentary Gubik Formation (Black, 1964).](image-url)
The Usuktuk River in the study region has a bankfull width of about 100 m with a valley gradient of about 0.0004 and a channel gradient about half that (Fig. 11b). Meander wavelength is about 1.84 km. Point bars are prominent as are scroll bars, and both are sandy. The channel bed was not visible during our visit, but appears to be sand-dominated. Strong winds from the east–northeast have eroded sand from point bars and have deposited prominent, poorly vegetated sand sheets and parabolic dunes on point bars and neighboring uplands (Fig. 12). Surrounding uplands are uneven in elevation, reflecting the original topography of the dunes which can extend locally 25 or more meters above river level. Point bars typically rise about 3–7 m above low water level, and are typically vegetated with dry dwarf shrub tundra beyond 200 m from the low-water river bank. Prominent scroll bars are often present (Fig. 12). The Usuktuk River has a highly sinuous planform (sinuosity ~ 2.6), but the presence of flood chutes across the point bars of several meander bends suggests that the river may be close to the meandering–braiding threshold (Fig. 12), or possibly they result from nival seasonal fluctuations in discharge.

Based upon ubiquitous scroll bars on abandoned portions of the valley floor, the meandering pattern of the Usuktuk has probably been consistent over the life of the valley floor. Older parts of valley floor deposits, as well as oxbow lakes, are perched 2–6 m above modern point bar deposits. That suggests that the Usuktuk River has been slowly downcutting.

The height and character of outer stream banks varies greatly. Where the river is eroding into the inactive dune deposits, the banks are as much as 20+ meters high, with largely bare sand and bank steepness near the angle of repose (Fig. 13b). In some locations dense fine roots permeate the sand, extending from shrubs capping the banks (Fig. 14). These roots exploit the seasonally unfrozen active layer on the stream banks. Where the stream is impinging into earlier point bar and overbank deposits, banks are typically 3–5 m high, generally strongly mantled with dense dwarf tundra and locally ~1 m willows (Fig. 13a). The banks are often undercut, and a vegetation mat often drapes over the undercut banks, or grows directly on the banks. The vegetation-mantled banks appear to offer appreciable resistance to fluvial erosion, apparently creating local bulges in the otherwise concave bank planforms.

During our August, 2011 visit, we saw no exposed massive ice on streambanks, either on the ground or from helicopter reconnaissance. Segregated ice as ice wedges is clearly present within both the Meade River dune deposits as well as in older point bar deposits because of abundant patterned ground and thaw lakes of various sizes. Thaw lakes develop from degradation of massive subsurface ice, e.g., Hinkel et al. (2003, 2005), Pelletier (2005), Hinkel and Hurd (2006), West and Plug (2008), Plug and West (2009), and Jorgenson et al. (2011).

We measured rates of bank erosion on outer meander bends of the Usuktuk River shown in Fig. 11 by comparative measurements on 1:40,000 scale aerial photography flown in 1955, with digital 1-m resolution DOQs flown in 2005. We selected 23 bank sections characterized by a generally smooth planform curvature. The aerial photography was georeferenced to a GIS database of the DOQs. We measured paired perpendicular distances from recognizable static features (patterned ground intersections, sharp oxbow and thaw lake margins, etc.) to the upper break in slope of stream banks on each dataset. We also measured planform curvature by fitting a circle to three digitized bank locations.

Normalized planform curvatures (the assumed 100 m channel width divided by circle radius) ranged from 0.06 to 0.41, averaging 0.19. Apparent migration distance ranged from −2.2 m to 19.75 m, averaging 6.3 m (a mean migration rate of −0.13 m year$^{-1}$, or 0.16 m year$^{-1}$ discounting negative measurements). A regression of migration rate on curvature was statistically insignificant, but the measured mean rate of migration is significantly different from zero at the 95% level of significance. Four negative estimates of bank migration clearly indicate
the magnitude of measurement errors using the technique, which is likely about ±2 m, because of possible image distortion, difficulty in recognizing the upper bank location on the earlier black and white images, inter alia. We can conclude from this exercise that the very low bend rates of migration (−0.13 m year⁻¹) are much less than yearly active layer depths of thaw (−0.3–0.5 m), so that thermo-erosional potential is not a limiting factor, but rather rates of erosion are limited either because of fluvial sand transport capacity (particularly on the higher sandy banks which potentially contribute 20+ m² of sand per planform meter of bank for every 1 m of bank erosion) or cohesion introduced by bank vegetation. Another rough estimate of maximum rate of migration of the Usuktuk River results from noting that the maximum distance of migration recorded in scroll bars is about 2 km. The maximum age of thaw lakes near Barrow is about 5400 years BP (Hinkel et al., 2003). Meandering stream channels have developed across a number of the oldest thaw lakes, suggesting that 5400 years is a minimum age for establishment of drainage. This results in a long term maximum rate of migration of about 0.4 m year⁻¹, but the rate of migration is probably more in the range of what was measured photogrammetrically, particularly if the Usuktuk River is older and when considering average rather than maximum rates.

Despite the location of the Usuktuk River within the zone of continuous permafrost, we conclude that rates of bank erosion are limited by the necessity to erode and remove floodplain vegetation rather than permafrost ice cohesion.

2.2. Quinn River, Nevada

Actively meandering rivers occur in scattered locations throughout the Great Basin region of the western United States. Several have developed within fine-grained lacustrine sediments of large late Pleistocene paleolakes, especially Lake Bonneville and Lake Lahontan. Examples include the Sevier River north of Sevier Lake in Utah, the Humboldt River upstream and downstream from the town of Winnemucca in Nevada, and the Quinn River (QR) in northwestern Nevada and southeastern Oregon (Fig. 15). Inactive sinuous channels also occur on the floor of Lake Bonneville near 40.3°N and 113.2°W (Oviatt et al., 2003). Most of the active sinuous rivers host appreciable phreatophytic vegetation on the banks and floodplains, including the headwater portions of the QR. The lower portions of the QR, however, are very sparsely vegetated yet the river is actively migrating, with evidence of a long history of meandering with cutoffs (Fig. 16). Vegetation is nearly absent from the convex eroding banks of the river, although scattered shrubs colonize portions of many of the higher inner, depositional banks (Figs. 6 and 17). Vegetation is clearly not a controlling factor in regulating the meandering of the QR. Thus, QR at the study site is a rare terrestrial example of a meandering channel in which the banks are free of...
appreciable vegetation but the channel is actively migrating. Aerial photographs taken over the last 50 years show at least three cutoff events within the study sites and some meander bends have migrated more than 40 m in 30 years. Mineral precipitates locally mantle the channel banks and floodplains (e.g., the light-toned areas near the top of Fig. 16a), potentially providing cohesion through chemical cementation. The sinuosity of the QR at the study site is about 1.8, which is within the range of the AD meanders. Cutoffs at the QR and in AD involve chute (Fig. 16b, location 1; Fig. 8) and neck cutoffs (Fig. 16b, location 3, Fig. 5a). Moreover, slightly inverted channels can be seen in the LiDAR DEM data at the QR (e.g., at the white arrow in Figs. 16b and 18). We examine below the possible roles of clay cohesion and chemical cementation in permitting a meandering pattern in the near absence of vegetation.

Observations reported here are based upon four field trips to the QR in a strongly meandering section (Fig. 16) from December, 2009 to October, 2011. We conducted GPS surveying, vane measurements of sediment shear resistance, penetrometer measurements, water jet tests of erodibility and critical shear stress for hydraulic erosion of sediments, grain size analyses, determination of major ions in distilled water and acid leaching of sediment samples, water chemistry, grain size analyses using a Coulter counter, and scanning electron microscopy of sediment samples. In addition, 1 m resolution LiDAR topography was collected for a 10 km reach of the river (e.g. Fig. 16).

The QR flows through the lacustrine deposits of paleo Lake Lahontan (Morrison, 1964; Reheis, 1999) across the center of the Blackrock Desert. The late Quaternary highstand of Lake Lahontan occurred between 14,500 and 13,000 years BP (Benson, 1991). The lake probably retreated from the study area by about 9500 years BP (Benson et al., 1990, 1992). It is likely that the QR began flowing across the abandoned lake bed as soon as the lake retreated. The abandoned meander deposits (e.g., Fig. 18) of the QR have not been dated, so the detailed history of meandering is unknown. Study of historical meander migration from aerial photography (Matsubara and Howard, 2014) shows that the rates of migration of meander bends are slow, averaging ~0.5 m/year, suggesting at least a few thousand years are recorded in the exposed meander deposits. Climate fluctuations have occurred on a variety of temporal scales throughout the late Pleistocene and Holocene of the Great Basin Region (e.g., Madsen et al. (2001), Tchakerian and Lancaster (2002), Balch et al. (2005), Briggs et al. (2005), Minckley et al. (2007), and Louderback and Rhode (2009)). Based, however, on the similar scale of old scroll bar patterns, the channel width of cutoff loops, and the meander wavelength of abandoned/avulsed channels, the effective flow regime of the river has not changed much during the period of recorded activity. It is likely that arid intervals are probably just not recorded in the fluvial deposits because erosional and depositional activity largely occurs during peak flows.

Flow in the QR is highly seasonal, being dominated by snowmelt from the mountainous headwaters in southeastern Oregon and northwestern Nevada (Fig. 15). Flow through the study area discharges into the Quinn River Sink, approximately 40 km downstream from the study site and evaporates. Discharge data are not available for the QR in Nevada. Estimating from the annual discharge data from the closest gauge station upstream of the study area, high discharges are typically observed from March through May (USGS Surface water data for gauge station 10353500). This station is located on the Quinn River.
Fig. 16. Quinn River channel and fluvial features. (a) USDA Farm Service Orthophoto image taken in 2006, showing meander evolution traces in scroll bar and oblique accretion deposits. Light-toned areas near top of image, particularly along tributary are surface mineral crusts. Dark mottling at right is scattered shrubby vegetation. (b) National Center for Airborne Laser Mapping 1 m lidar topography. Total elevation range is about 6 m. Black arrows point to flood chutes on the Quinn River floodplain. The white arrow points to a paleochannel eroded into slight positive relief. Numbers refer to cutoffs. The “*” symbol is at a location where the river is cutting into Lake Lahontan clays. Elsewhere the channel is primarily reworking earlier floodplain deposits. Image centered at 41.21°N, 118.74°W.

Fig. 17. Mass wasting and fluvial features along a meander bend of the Quinn River, looking downstream. At this location the channel is eroding into Lake Lahontan sediments. Bank height on outer bank is 3.6 m and on the inner bank is 2.1 m. The right bank shows a typical expression of inner bank sediment deposited by oblique accretion. Short scarps on this bank reflect notching of bank during water level decline, presumably by wave action. The spring, 2011 high water was just above the highest notching and within the light-toned band with scattered low shrubs. Line of shrubby vegetation along the crest of the right bank marks an older scroll bar. The silty sand deposits comprising scroll bars are conducive to vegetation growth. Note that the prominent water mark on the left bank is well below the level of the 2011 high water, which probably reached to the break in slope on the bank. Mass wasting of small blocks from the steep upper bank has mantled the high water bank textures. A recessional water stage on the left bank is indicated by damp, light-toned smooth bank extending about 0.6 m above the present water level upper banks fail by block by block or by cantilever failure along tension cracks. Note incipient failure in foreground and numbered debris fans. Bank failure 4 occurred prior to the recessional level and its deposits below that level have been extensively reworked with the exception of a few partially eroded blocks at water level. Bank failure 3 may have occurred during the recessional water level and is partially reworked. Note that the disaggregation of the debris has produced a convexity in the bank at water level. Bank failure 2 may have had two separate events, being partially smoothed below the recessional water mark but with angular debris deposited after the water level had retreated to its present value. Bank failure 1 occurred after the recessional water level but while the river level was several centimeters above its present level. Image located at 41.192°N, 118.738°W and taken on October 15, 2011.
about 100 km upstream of the study area and discharge data were collected from 1949 to 1985. It should be noted that in recent years the flow of the QR is being controlled for irrigation purposes and that large floods are rare. During summer and fall months, discharge through the river decreases to a trickle inferred to be sourced from groundwater. A heavy winter snowfall in 2010–2011 resulted in a broad flow peak during May and early June in the study area at about ¾-bankfull stage and flowing at approximately 0.42 m s\(^{-1}\). Estimated discharge based on the flow velocity and the cross-sectional profile was 4.8 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\). We estimate bankfull discharge to be approximately 12 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) (Matsubara and Howard, 2014). The last major flood through the QR occurred around 1983. Small ephemeral channels sourced on the surrounding playa indicate that locally-sourced runoff occurs occasionally. Numerous flood chutes on the QR floodplain (Fig. 16b) indicate significant overbank flows occur. Flow modeling with the HEC-RAS program suggests overbank discharges creating flood chutes are probably in the range of 20 to 30 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) (Matsubara and Howard, 2014).

The QR has incised into clay-rich basin-center lacustrine deposits presumably dating to the late Pleistocene high water. Lateral incision by the river reveals exposures of these lake sediments, which are moderately consolidated with prismatic jointing. When directly exposed to water, however, the deposits slake rapidly into small aggregates. Several samples of lake sediments were analyzed for grain size distribution, revealing an average composition of about 60% silt and 40% clay, with minor sand (Fig. 19). Some exposures contained thin ash beds. Because the QR flows across a paleolake surface, the channel gradient is very low (~0.00015). This prohibits coarse grained sediment from reaching the study area from the nearby mountains, making QR a mud (silt/clay)-dominated channel with the river banks and channel bed consisting almost exclusively of mud to fine sand. The grain size distribution indicates an average mud content of 78% (Matsubara, 2013) and that almost all of the samples have more than 41% mud content and some of the samples consist entirely of mud (Fig. 19). Silty sands are largely limited to overbank deposits as climbing ripples in scroll.

![Fig. 18. Inverted abandoned channel on the floodplain of the Quinn River. (a) LiDAR elevation map. Elevation scale in m AMSL. Relative relief of inversion is less than 1 m. A short section of the Quinn River is at lower right. A tributary drainage crosses the floodplain at the upper left. Map is centered at 154.205°W, 41.155°N. (b) Detail of inverted channel and Quinn River oblique accretionary channel deposits. Note partial vegetation cover on inverted channel and near lack of vegetation on oblique accretion deposits. Whitish areas mantled by chemical crusts. Image from Google Earth.]
bars (Fig. 6), creating an upward-coarsening size grading to the fluvial sediments. Overall, however, variations in grain size distribution between the different depositional settings (channel perimeter, cutoff infill, and lake deposit) were small (Matsubara, 2013). Most QR sediment deposits have a clay/silt ratio of about 0.6 with varying amounts of fine sand (Fig. 19). This ratio suggests that the fluvial deposits are primarily reworked Lahontan lake deposits, with variable amounts of fine sand from other headwater sources. At numerous locations the QR is actively migrating laterally into Lake Lahontan sediment (e.g., at an “asterisk (*)” in Fig. 16b). Based on the study by Schumm (1963b) of vegetated meanders in the Midwestern U.S., the silt/clay content of QR sediment (original Lahontan clay and reworked fluvial deposits) is at the high end of the channels classified by Schumm with regard to the percentage silt and clay in the bed and banks.

Most meandering channels deposit a two-component vertical sequence: a lower, relatively cohesionless sand or gravel bedload and lateral accretion point-bar deposit overlain by finer, cohesive sediment deposited by vertical or oblique accretion (Wolman and Leopold, 1957; Allen, 1970; Bluck, 1971; Jackson, 1978; Nanson, 1980; Miall, 1996; Bridge, 2003; Page et al., 2003). Erosion of channel banks commonly occurs by slumping or toppling because of erosion of the less cohesive and largely unvegetated sediment in the lower part of channel banks from entrainment by river flow or seepage forces from ground water (e.g., Thorne and Tovey (1981), Thorne (1990), Thorne and Abt (1993), Thorne et al. (1998a,b), Simon et al. (1999), Wood et al. (2001), and Simon and Collison (2002)). This slumping and toppling of the cohesive upper banks usually just lowers the vegetated or cohesive layers onto the lower bank, which is often protected from further erosion until the slumped material is eroded by the flow (Thorne and Tovey, 1981; Osman and Thorne, 1988; Simon et al., 1999; Wood et al., 2001; Parker et al., 2011).

Processes of bank erosion and inner bank deposition along the QR are quite different from typical meandering channels because of the lack of a lower, cohesionless bedload and point bar stratum coupled with a negligible role of vegetation. The QR is an example of a suspended-load dominated river (Schumm, 1963b), in which the majority of deposition occurs by oblique accretion from suspension (Page et al., 2003). The term oblique refers to deposition occurring with components of vertical and lateral accretion. Channels dominated by suspended load deposition occur primarily in low-gradient channel systems, and often, as in this case, in streams crossing paleolacustrine deposits (Jackson, 1981; Brooks, 2003a,b). Page et al. (2003) recognize the possible case of channels depositing sediment solely by oblique accretion (e.g., Gibling et al. (1998)). Along the QR, the only important component of traction transport occurs during formation of climbing ripples in reworking fine sands deposited from suspension on scroll bars and scattered ripples on the channel bed formed by saltating mud aggregates (Fig. 20).

Rates of bank erosion appear to be limited by the ability of the river to entrain the cohesive sediment just above the river bed. Strongly undercut banks are essentially absent along the QR. Rather, the lower banks are commonly concave, progressing upward to convex slopes, or, along higher bank sections, transitioning to steep banks sometimes bordered downstream by angle-of-repose accumulation of sediment mass-wasted from the upper banks (Fig. 17). The mass-wasted debris is most common where the river is incising into Lake Lahontan sediment. Large slumps are essentially absent along river banks at the QR. Where upper bank slopes are steep, thin sheets of bank material fail along tensional fractures and spread debris on the lower slopes. Debris shed onto lower river bank slopes is reworked by subsequent high flows. The dry clay expands and rapidly slakes into small aggregates when wetted, contributing to rapid mobilization. The dense, prismatic fragments mass wasted from the Lake Lahontan deposits are particularly susceptible to slaking. These observations indicate that the rate of outer bank erosion is not strongly controlled by erosional resistance of the upper banks. An indirect control of the rate of erosion by bank height does exist, however, because higher banks cutting into Lake Lahontan sediment erode more slowly, probably because the slaking of the mass-wasted debris adds a layer of clay to the lower banks (Fig. 17).

The lower bank of the river, within about 0.6 m (measured vertically) of low water levels, remains constantly damp by water contributed by a near-surface groundwater table. Sediment less than about 0.3 m from low water is cohesive and slippery. Damp clay, though seemingly counterintuitive, is difficult to entrain. Dry clay, on the other hand, expands and slakes into small aggregates immediately when the flow...
comes through in the spring and the slaking process contributes to rapid erosion. This is supported by the data from submerged jet shear tests (Hanson and Simon, 2001; Hanson and Cook, 2004) indicating that channel banks of the QR are very erodible at the top and gradually become less erodible near the water edge.

It is uncertain to which just hydraulic stress versus wetting and swelling control the rate of erosion. Even during the high flows observed in the spring of 2011 (estimated bed shear stress 0.98 Pa), QR was still only modestly muddy and the collected water sample did not contain much sediment demonstrating that ¾ bankfull stage is still not enough to massively entrain wet silt/clay sediments. Estimated shear stress for bankfull conditions is 1.5 Pa.

In addition to abundant mud, ion chromatography analysis indicated that salts are found ubiquitously in sediments and as well as in river water (Table 2) (Matsubara, 2013). The presence of less soluble divalent ions (e.g., Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ species) suggests that chemical cementation could be providing cohesion to the channel banks. This was proven not to be the case at the QR, however, when and scanning electron microscope (SEM) images showed no evidence of precipitate crystals binding sediments together (Fig. 21). The images show that sediment particles are typically drapped (wrapped) with clay (Matsubara, 2013). Salt crystals (Ca, K, Na species) were found in SEM samples as well, but not as abundant/uniformly as mud drapes. Also because the sediment samples had to be completely dried to coat samples with carbon, it is inevitable for salt crystals to grow as the water evaporates. Thus, the salt crystals (~1 μm) we see in the SEM images may not be representative of natural conditions.

Although no evidence exists of chemical cementation of the QR sediments, the high solute content of river water may still play an important role in providing bank cohesion. Dissolved solutes encourage flocculation and settling of fine sediment. This was illustrated by simple rate of deposition tests in graduated cylinders (Fig. 22). Representative QR sediment mixed in distilled (DI) water took much longer to settle than those in saline water (Matsubara, 2013). All sediment in a solution with a salt concentration equivalent to sea water and with solute concentrations representative of the QR at low flow conditions (Table 2, rows 1 and 3) settled within 40 min. All of the sediment settled after 8 h in a solution with salt concentration equal to the QR at high flow (Table 2, row 2). Conversely, sediment in DI water remained partially in suspension after 24 h. Fine sediments in DI water with dispersant remained in suspension even after a week. It should be noted that the physical agitation in the turbulent flow can break apart flocculated clay particles and place an upper limit to flocc growth.

The flocculation effect was observed in the field when river water sample was collected during the spring, 2011 high flow (5 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) event. The suspended sediment within the water sample settled to the bottom of the container within a few minutes. Also, large aggregates were transported as bedload during high flows, forming ripples and dunes locally on the channel bed (Fig. 18). Flocculation allows clay, which would otherwise be removed from the river as wash load, to be rapidly redeposited, which then collapse to form dense, cohesive drapes on the bank. During falling stages, clay/silt drapes occur on the accreting inner banks and also on the eroding outer banks, providing a coating that must be eroded in a subsequent high flow stage before net bank erosion can occur. The flocculated sedimentation followed by cohesion development presumably also interacts with flow detachment to regulate the river width to be narrow enough to maintain a meandering planform.

Some channel segments of the QR abandoned by avulsion have become inverted by less than 1 m (Fig. 18). Portions of these segments are separated from the current channel by more than 500 m and are unlikely to have infilled by backwater or overbank sedimentation, as happens for local cutoffs (e.g., Fig. 16b). We infer that the abandoned channels were infilled by aeolian sand transport, which was then modestly cemented by salts leached from finer silt and dust codeposited with the sand. They also host a denser vegetation cover than the surrounding clay-rich sediment, probably contributing to erosional resistance and possibly encouraging additional aeolian sand deposition.

The active meandering of the QR in a mud-rich but vegetation-poor environment indicates that sufficient bank cohesion permitting meandering can be facilitated by mud deposition encouraged by flocculation in a solute-rich environment.

### 3. Discussion

We have explored three possible mechanisms that could contribute to the bank cohesion required for channels to meander in the absence of appreciable vegetation cover: permafrost, abundant clays, and chemical cementation. The effect of ice on bank cohesion was studied at the Usuktuk River in Alaska. Control of meander pattern and bank migration by ice in bank materials, however, does not appear to be a dominant factor for the Usuktuk River, despite its location in perennial permafrost with associated cold-climate features such as patterned ground and thaw lakes indicating the subsurface presence of massive ground ice. Vegetation appears to have a strong control on meander evolution of the Usuktuk, which makes it unlikely to be a representative analog to AD. One caveat is that this fieldwork was conducted in August. It may be possible that bank retreat occurs only during the high flows when permafrost starts to thaw, allowing temporary exposure of permafrost. In addition, we have identified no obvious periglacial landforms such as patterned ground or thaw depressions exposed in the fluvial or MFF deposits in the AD region. A possibly important further difference is that the AD channels were aggrading during meander evolution, whereas the Usuktuk River appears to be slowly incising. Finally, in a larger context, we note that, in the High Arctic north of the zone of coherent tundra vegetation, meandering channels are rare or absent (Vandenberghje, 2001). Larger Arctic rivers which locally expose permafrost in the banks do not exhibit the highly sinusoidal meandering characteristic of AD meanders. We, thus, find no compelling evidence from terrestrial analogs to support ice cementation as a controlling factor in the development of the AD meanders.

Our study at the QR showed that meandering rivers can form in regions with sparse vegetation with abundant mud and chemical precipitates as possible alternate sources of cohesion. The SEM images show that sediment particles are drapped (wrapped) with clay and that it is mud that is binding sediments together. Although analysis of leachates from sediment samples showed high concentrations of soluble salts and acid-released carbonates, they do not appear to be a significant source of cohesion. Abundant efflorescences of salts from evaporation of water migrating to the surface by capillary flow cover extensive areas of QR sediments (e.g., Figs. 16a and 18). These surface coatings, however, do not create appreciable cohesion in the underlying sediments. We conclude that high mud content rather than chemical cementation is the dominant source of sediment cohesion.

Bank cohesion at the QR is indirectly controlled by the effect of high solute content on flocculation and deposition of mud aggregates. Various types of salts, particularly chlorides and sulfates, are observed on Mars (Bibring et al., 2006; Christensen et al., 2007; Osterloo et al., 2008). An examination of CRISM/OMEGA spectral data, however, reveals no definitive detection of clays at AD region. The resolutions of the spectral datasets are coarse, however, and no clays were detected from the orbiter at the site visited by the Mars Science Laboratory.
rover that has ~20% clay content (Bristow et al., 2013). The AD region shows evidence of extensive aeolian activity (Zimbelman and Griffin, 2010). Consequently, the lack of hydrated mineral signature at this site may result from the dust cover (Ruff and Christensen, 2002).

One counter argument for the QR as a potential analog for Martian meanders is that most of the meanders found on Mars are inverted. Inverted channels often form when bed sediment, which is usually coarser than the overlying finer floodplain sediment, is chemically cemented and then subsequently exposed by erosion of the finer surrounding materials (e.g., Williams (2007); Williams et al. (2009)). Initially cohesionless bed sediment is commonly favored for subsequent cementation because of its permeability which encourages fluid migration. The coarse-grained bedload and point bar sediments are, however, lacking at QR. Such channel bed deposits appear to comprise the channel material exposed in the AD meanders (e.g., Fig. 5). Aeolian infilling and cementation is not a likely explanation for the preservation of the AD meanders shown in Fig. 5 which express a continuous history of channel migration. Aeolian infilling followed by cementation, however, could potentially explain the thin, multilevel inverted meanders shown in Fig. 3 and the slightly inverted abandoned channels at the QR (Fig. 18).

The role of moisture content and duration of bank submergence on erosion rate is a topic that needs to be explored further. Although the QR is composed mainly of mud, water during moderately high flows was relatively clear. This implies that QR did not reach the threshold flow velocity to rapidly erode bank sediment. Wet clays are harder to

![Fig. 21. SEM images taken at 100× (left) and 5000× (right) magnification both showing Quinn River sediments coated with clay. Individual salt (Ca) crystals were observed as well.](image)

![Fig. 22. Sediment settling experiments for Quinn River sediment in different solutions. Deposition rates for sample in solute concentration equivalent to that of Quinn River during ponded condition (a, left cylinder) and sea water (a, right cylinder) were about the same and were much faster than the other three solutions. Sample mixed in DI water only (b, left cylinder), DI water + dispersant (b, right cylinder) took much longer to settle compared to those placed in saline water. Solute concentration equivalent to that of Quinn River during high flow (c) was enough to accelerate deposition.](image)
entrain than dry clay, and (wet) clay that is armorung the channel bank might require to be submerged for some length of time before losing its plasticity sufficiently to start moving.

Although most terrestrial meandering rivers have banks and floodplains that are strongly vegetated, bank cohesion and point-bar sedimentation in some rivers might be dominated by the mud content. This is suggested by the strong correlations between mud content, channel width, and sinuosity noted by Schumm (1960, 1963a). These observations, however, should be tempered by the indirect effects of sediment characteristics on vegetation density and the role of vegetation in encouraging fine sediment deposition. Nonetheless, further investigation of the direct contribution of mud to bank cohesion and point bar sedimentation in fine-grained meandering systems is warranted.

4. Conclusions

The paleo-meanders in the Aeolis Dorsa region on Mars present a compelling argument that meandering channels can develop in the absence of vegetation. We have reviewed the factors producing cohesive stream banks permitting meandering versus braided channels and studied two possible terrestrial analog sites: the permafrost region in northern Alaska and mud and solute rich Black Rock Desert, Nevada. The arctic Usuuktuk and the AD meanders share an apparently fine-textured parent material, similar channel dimensions, low channel gradients, and sinuous planforms with meander migration and occasional cutoffs. During our visit, however, no exposure of massive ice occurred on streambanks. The banks are often undercut, and a vegetation mat often drapes over the undercut banks, or grows directly on the banks. Vegetation appears to have a strong control on meander evolution on the Usuuktuk, which is unlikely to have an analog in AD. Also no obvious periglacial landforms, such as patterned ground or thaw depressions, are exposed in the fluvial or MFF deposits in the AD region.

The Quinn River in Nevada is a mud dominated system with grain size distribution of at least 41% silt/clay at all locations (channel bed, banks, and floodplains). Ion chromatography analysis and SEM images also showed presence of salts in abundance in sediments and river water. Although these images showed no evidence of precipitate crystals binding sediments together, the high dissolved ion concentrations in the water and in QR sediment have an important influence on sediment deposition. Hydrometer settling experiments clearly showed that presence of salt in the river water encourages the deposition of fine sediment aggregates. If it were not for the saline river water, mud would remain suspended and transported downstream to the terminal playa. Dissolved solutes encourage rapid deposition and flocculation and setting of fine sediment. In the field, ripples and dunes composed of large mud aggregates were locally found on the channel bed. Our study at the Quinn River showed that in the absence of vegetation, bank cohesion is provided by mud with salts aiding flocculation. Both of these elements are also likely present in rivers on Mars.
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