



SMITHSONIAN MISCELLANEOUS COLLECTIONS VOLUME 94. NUMBER 1

THE DARKER SIDE OF DAWN

BY

ANANDA K. COOMARASWAMY

Fellow for Research in Indian, Persian and Muhammadan Art Museum of Fine Arts, Boston



(Publication 3304)

CITY OF WASHINGTON
PUBLISHED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION
APRIL 17, 1935

The Lord Baltimore Press BALTIMORE, MD., U. S. A.

THE DARKER SIDE OF DAWN By ANANDA K. COOMARASWAMY

INTRODUCTION

Students of theology and mythology are well aware that the concept of deity presents itself to us under a double aspect; on the one hand as gracious, on the other as awful. He evokes both love and fear. He is both a light and a darkness, a revelation and a mystery. In the latter and awful aspect, clouds and darkness are round about him. The Light is Life, the Darkness Death. The one corresponds to our concept of Good, the other to our concept of Evil, within the recognized definitions of good as "that which all creatures desire", and of evil as "that which all creatures would avoid." A majority of religions in their exoteric formulation treat these contrasted aspects in outward operation as distinct and opposed forces, divine and satanic, celestial and chthonic. Satan is commonly thought of as a Serpent or Dragon and is often so represented, upon the stage or in art. Yet the Solar hero and the Dragon, at war on the open stage, are blood brothers in the green room. From the Christian point of view, the fallen Angels are "fallen in grace, but not in nature"; and from the Islamic, Iblis is restored at the end of time; in other words Satan becomes again Lucifer. The same deity, Zeus for example in Greek mythology, may be worshipped and represented both in anthropomorphic and in snake forms. Serpent worship and its iconography, despite their outwardly "primitive" appearance, have profound metaphysical foundations.

Metaphysical religion envisages a "Supreme Identity" (in the Rg Veda tad ckam, "That One") in which the outwardly opposing forces are one impartible principle; the lion and the lamb lying down together. The contrasted powers are separated only by the very nature of reason, which sees things apart as subject and object, affirmation and negation, act and potentiality, Heaven and Earth. Contemplative practice alike in East and West seeks to approach divinity in both aspects, avoiding a one-sided vision of the Unity; willing to know Him both as being and non-being, life and death, God and Godhead. The contemplatio in caligine, for example, is directed to the dark side of deity; and corresponds to the Indian cult of Siva-Rudra, for the

primordial Darkness remains in Him as Rudra (X, 129, 3 and *Maitri* $Up_{..}$ V, 2).

Evidence can be assembled from the Rg Veda and other sources to show that the deity in the darkness, unmanifested, in his ground, not proceeding, or as it is technically expressed, ab intra, is conceived of in forms that are not human-angelic, but theriomorphic; and typically in that of a brooding serpent or fiery dragon, inhabiting a cave or lying on a mountain, where he guards a treasure against all comers, and above all restrains the Rivers of Life from flowing. The creative act involves a maiming, division, or transformation of the girdling serpent, often thought of as "footless and headless", that is with its tail in its mouth. The contraction and identification of this primordial and impartite Unity is envisaged on the one hand as a voluntary sacrifice, or on the other as affected by violence, exercised by the life-desirous Powers of Light. The celebration of the conquest of the Serpent by the Powers of Light is a basic theme of the Vedic hymns; an aspect of the Great Battle between the Devas and Asuras ("Angels" and "Titans") for the possession of the worlds of light. It is the battle between St. George and the Dragon. At the same time there can be no question that the Powers of Light and Powers of Darkness are the same and only Power. Devas and Asuras are alike Prajāpati's or Tvastr's children; the Serpents are the Suns. It is entirely a question of "orientation". At the end of an Aeon the Powers of Darkness are in turn victorious.

The Powers of Darkness are also at home as Water-snakes (Indian $n\bar{a}qa$) or Merfolk in the Sea that represents the maternal possibility of being. The first assumption in Godhead, Death, is being. Life and Death, God and Godhead, Mitra and Varuna, apara and para Brahman, are related from this point of view as a progenitive pair (Indian mithuna). The determinative, paternal principle accomplishes in conjunction with the passive maternal principle "the act of fecundation latent in eternity" (Eckhart). The generation of the Son "is a vital operation from a conjoint principle that by which the Father begets is the divine nature" (St. Thomas, Summa Theologica, I, q.27, a.2, and q.41, a.5). The Father is Intellect, the Mother Word, the Child Life (Brhadāranyaka Upanişad, I, 5, 7). Just as the Father works through the Son, so the human artist works "by a word conceived in his intellect" (St. Thomas, loc. cit., I, q.45, a.6). In this way every ontological formulation affirms the duality of the Unity as well as the unity of the Duality. It will be evident that whatever holds for the masculine will hold also for the feminine aspect of the Unity; in the following essay it is primarily the Vedic concept of the ab intra form of the feminine principle that is discussed.

NO. I

For many readers the ontological principles outlined above will be of interest and value, not so much by first intention as "traces" of the Way, but rather and only as providing a logical explanation for certain typical forms of the creation myth that is a common property of all cultures. Regarded, however, even from this purely "scientific" point of view, the student of mythology, folklore, and fairy tale will find in these principles a valuable means of recognizing and correlating the varying forms that the world myth assumes. The story is not only of a time before history began, but was already told in a time before history was recorded. We may be sure that the pseudo-historical aspects that the story has assumed, for example in the Volsunga Saga, in Beowulf, or the Mahābhārata, are later developments and partial rationalizations. Fragments of the story will be recognized in the dogmatic life of every Messiah; in the miracles, for example, attributed to Cuchullain, Buddha, Moses, and Christ. Other fragments survive in fairy tales and even in nursery rhymes; in the story, for example of the human hero who crosses water or climbs a tree and thus returns to the magical otherworld, where he rescues or carries off the imprisoned daughter of a giant or magician; and in the stories of mermaids or Undines, who fall in love with a mortal, acquire a soul, and feet in place of their scaly tails.

The author trusts that the foregoing remarks will serve to introduce, however inadequately, the theme of the Darker Side of Dawn, the real sense of which may not be immediately apparent to the general reader. For the professed student of the Rg Veda the actual evidences of the texts are assembled in the accustomed and more technical manner; the thesis, although it might have been expanded at much greater length, may be taken to be complete in itself.

THE DARKER SIDE OF DAWN

In an article due to appear in the Journal of the American Oriental Society, but of which the publication has been delayed for lack of space, I have discussed the relation of the masculine Angels (devāh) on the one hand with the Titans ($asur\bar{a}h$) and Serpents ($sarp\bar{a}h$) on the other, showing that the former are to be regarded as sacrificial conversions or transformations of the latter. By way of introduction to what follows, and for the sake of the parallel wordings, the general nature of the evidence for the transformation of the Serpents in this sense may be indicated. The evidence is primarily Rg Vedic, but is conveniently resumed in Pañcaviniśa Brāhmana, XXV, 15. where the Serpents, by means of a sacrificial session, are enabled to cast their inveterated ** skins (hitvā jīrņān tacam) and to glide forward (ati-srb), changing their forms, and thus "the Serpents are the Ādityas" (sarpyā vā ādityāh); cf. Satapatha Brāhmana, VII, 3, 2, 14, where Agni is found upon the lotus leaf, having "crept up out of the Waters" (adbhya upôdsrptam). The evidence for the identification of Agni ab extra with Ahi Budhnya ab intra need not be presented in detail, but it may be noted that in IV, 1, 11,2 Agni, "footless and headless, hiding both his ends" (apād aśīrso guhamāno antā) is clearly thought of as a coiled snake, perhaps with its tail in its mouth; and that in the same way the Sun is originally "footless", but is given feet by Varuna that he may proceed (apade padā prati dhātave, I, 24, 8); in other passages, Indra, Agni, Soma, and Varuna are similarly described as "footed" (padavīh, m.); cf. padavī (f.) as footprint, vestigium pedi, in I, 72, 2 and X, 71, 3, and similarly pada, passim. Apād, on the other hand, is a natural kenning for "snake"; in III, 30, 8, the demons Kunāru and Vṛṭra are handless and footless (ahastam, apādam), and Vṛṭra similarly in I, 32, 7. The Satapatha Brāhmana, I, 6, 3, 9, in connection with the transformation of Soma, is explicit "In that he was rolling, he became Vrtra; in that he was footless, he became Ahi" (yad apāt samabhavat tasmād ahis). In the following shorter discussion, complete in itself, there is assembled a part of the corresponding evidence on the side of the feminine principles.

We now proceed to consider the case of Dawn (uṣas), whose lauds are so familiar to every student of the Rg Veda. It is well known that Night and Day or Dawn (naktôsasā du. f.) are sisters, of like mind, who move successively upon a common path, Night "when she hath conceived for Savitr's quickening yielding the womb to Dawn" (I, 113, 1-3). "Sister to mightier sister yields the womb" (I, 124, 8; it is the younger sister that is victorious, the Devi replacing the Asurī, cf. Mahābhārata XII, 35, 25, "The Asuras are the elder brothers, the Devas indeed the younger"). "Successively they nurse the Yearling Calf" (I, 95, 1), i. e. Agni, who has thus two mothers (ubhe sa mātror abhavat putra, III, 2, 2, and dvimātā, passim); "One mother holds the Calf, the other rests (kseti).... Ye, variant pair, have made yourselves twin beauties (vapūmsi), one that is black (kṛṣṇam) and one that shines" (III, 55, 4 and 11, cf. V, 2, 2). In the same way the Bambino, whether Sun or Fire, has two aspects corresponding to those of the sister Dawns (uṣasā virūpe, V, I, 4), "with one of whom is he glaucous (hari), with the other bright

^{*} For Notes, see p. 12 f.

(śukra) and shining (suvarcā)", I, 95, 1; as Pūsan he is of two different aspects, like Day and Night, one bright, one dark (VI, 58, 1); like the Dawns, he "goes back and forth", I, 164, 38, "now becometh sterile (starīḥ), now begets (sūte, tantamount to savitā bhavati, 'becomes Savitr'), he shapes his aspect as he will", VII, 101, 3; cf. Atharva Veda, VI, 72, I, "As the black snake displays himself, assuming such forms (vapūniṣi) as he will, by titan magic "; " Immortal, uterine-brother (sayonih) of the mortal, they move eternally conversely, men mark the one and fail to mark the other", I, 164. 38.4 When Night and Day (usasā, the "sister dawns") have carried him, Agni is born "full strong and white, in the beginning of days" (V. I, 4); 5 the use of uṣasā (du. f.) here to mean Night and Day is paralleled by "days of diverse hue" (visurupe ahanī, I, 123, 7 and VI, 58, 1), and "black day and white day" (ahaś ca krsnam ahar arjunam ca, VI, 9, 1). These sister Dawns are not only thought of as mothers of the Sun or Agni, but are brides of the Sun, as in I, 123, 10 where Dawn is desired by the Sun to be his maiden $(yos\bar{a})$, IV, 5, 13 where the Dawns (pl.) are called the consorts (patnīh) of the immortal Sun, VII, 75, 5 where the generous Dawn (maghonī uṣā) is called the maiden of the Sun (sūryasva yoṣā); in VII, 69, 4, she is again the Sun-maiden (sūryasya yoṣā), and in AV., VIII, 9, 12, the sister Dawns are called the Sun's consorts (usasā $s\bar{u}rya-patn\bar{\imath}$). The Dawn is also a sister of Bhaga and kinswoman (jāmi) of Varuna (I, 123, 5); and is "Heaven's daughter", passim. In VII, 69, 4. she is the daughter of the Sun (yosā sūro duhitā), involving the incest motif more familiar in connection with Prajāpati, cf. also V. 55, 6, where Pūsan is called the second husband of his mother and the seducer of his sister (mātur didişum . . . svasur jārah); "incest" being inevitable because of the kinship (jāmitva) of all the manifested principles, ab intra. Pūsan is Sūryā's lover in VI, 58, 3. The identity of Dawn (usas) with Sūryā is thus evident, as is also that of the sister Dawns (usasā) with Saranyū and her savarņā. In Vājasaneyi Sainhitā, III, 10, Night (rātrī), and Dawn (uṣas) or Day (ahas) are Indra's consorts (indravatī), Indra representing the Sun.

That *Uṣas* may thus denote as well the Night as Dawn or Day renders intelligible certain neglected passages of RV. in which the Dawn is referred to as a sinister power; sinister, that is, essentially, and not merely accidentally in that the passing days shorten the span of life (I, 92, 11) whence Uṣas is called *jarayantī* (VII, 75, 4) from *jr*, "to inveterate". In IV, 30, 8-11, Indra is praised as having "struck down Heaven's daughter, that ill-designing woman" (*striyani*

yad durhanāyuvam . . . duhitārain divah), who is described as "flowing away" (sarat) from her ruined chariot; viz. that chariot that she, "the Daughter of Heaven, and Mistress of the Universe, vokes afar (parākāt, i. e. ab intra) and straightway visits the Five Homes, to look upon the restless ways of the Kindreds" (VII, 75, 4): Similarly, in X, 138, 5, Usas is afraid of Indra's bolt, and goes her way (akrāmat), abandoning her lovely chariot, cf. II, 15, 6. Agni is commonly called "ravisher" or "spoiler" of Dawn (uso na iārah): this has usually been rendered as "lover of Dawn", but jāra, from ir "to inveterate", even when it means "lover", has always a somewhat sinister significance, and in the passages referred to. Yāska's and Sāyana's equations of jāra with jarayitr are certainly correct, in this sense, that with the rising of the Sun, the Dawns are always thought of as retiring and departing, to join the former Dawns, e. g. in I. 113, 10. In VII, 6, 5, Agni, "driving off the Nights (nirudhya nahusah), makes the Dawns to be consorts of the Arya" (aryapatnīr uṣasaś cakāra; Sāyaṇa equates arya with sūrya).10 In I. 123, I. Daksinā, synonymous with Usas in the same hynm, "rises from the dark night as herself an Arya" (kṛṣṇād ud asthāt $arv\bar{a}$), where it is, of course, to be understood that she had been anaryā; it may be noted that Daksinā is Indra's mother by Yajña in Taittirīya Sanihitā, VI, 1, 3, 6, and that Daksinā is Vāc, whose asura origin is notorious.

Dawn precedes the actual day, and must not delay, lest the Sun scorch her like a thief or enemy (V, 79, 9). It is not until the thirty parts of the whole twenty-four hours have elapsed that she becomes again an auspicious power, meanwhile as in VI, 59. 6, b, "moving headless, with babbling tongue, she descends thirty grades" (hitvī śiro jihryā vavadac carat triniśat padā ny akramīt; hitvī śiro combined with ib., a, apād, cited below, giving us the analogy to Agni, apād aśīrṣo guhamāno antā in IV, 1, 11); and similarly in I, 123, 8, where the sisters are said to "traverse thirty leagues (trimśatam yojanāni), alternately"—to reappear in due course, paritakmyāyām, for the "ancient Dawn is born again and again (punaḥ punar jāyamāna purāṇī) decking herself with the selfsame hue" (samānam varṇam "abhi śumbhamānā, I, 92, 10). Meanwhile the Sun, throughout the thirty stations of her decline, rules supreme (trimśad dhāma vi rājati, X, 189, 3).

What is then the status of the Dawn *ab intra*, in the Night, as Night, and especially at the end of the Night's course (*paritakmyāyām*), as in V, 30, 14, where "Night at the end of her course shines-forth-as-Dawn (*aucchat*) at the coming of the Debt-collector ¹² king of the Glit-

tering-folk", and in VII, 69, 4, where "at the end of her wandering, the Daughter of the Sun chooses his glory (śriyam) "? The procession of Usas is in fact described in terms exactly parallel to those of I, 24, 8 cited above with respect to the procession of the Sun: in I, 152, 3, "The footless-maid proceeds as first of footed things" (apād eti prathamā padvatīnām), and this is nearly identical with VI, 59, 6 "This footless-maid came earliest forth to footed things" (apād iyani purvā ā agāt padvatībhyah, apād in both passages representing $apad\bar{\imath}$). That is as much as to say that she, who had been a "serpent", now assumes an angelic-human form. The same is implied when it is said that "Our Lady puts off her dark robe" (apa krsnān nirnijani devī avarityāvah, I, 113, 14, cf. VIII, 41, 10, where it is Varuna that "makes the black robes white", śvetān adhi nirnijaś cakre krsnān); for this is the same as putting off desuetude and impotence (I, 140, 8 jarām pra muñcan, Pañcaviniśa Brāhmanan, XXV, 17, 3 jarām apāhat, etc.), it is really the snake-skin, the old skin, jīrnān tacam as in Pancavinisa Brāhmana, XXV, 15, that is taken off. It is similarly that Urvasii and her sisters, in X, 95, 8-9, "evade Purūruvas like snakes" (tarasantī na bhujyuh), but when they yield "display themselves as swans" (ātayo na tanvaļi *śumbhata*), or "with swan-skins", for tanu is often tantamount to "skin".

In I, 185, where Day and Night (ahanī) are if not absolutely identified with, at least very closely assimilated to Heaven and Earth (dyāvāpṛthivī, or rodasī), it is said, in the second verse, that "The twain (unspecified)," though not proceeding (acarantī) and footless (aþadī), yet support a mighty Germ (garbha=Agni) that proceeds and hath feet" (carantam þadvantam). This is closely related to X. 22, 14, "Thou smotest Śuṣṇa to the right for sake of Universal-Life (viśvâyave, i. e. for Agni), that Earth (kṣāḥ) that had neither hands nor feet (ahastā yad aþadī, cf. III, 30, 8, cited above) might wax "(vardhata), and III, 55, 14 where "As having feet (þadyā) she standeth up erect (ūrddhvā tasthau), adorned with many beauties".

We can now compare all of the foregoing matter with a part of the account of the marriage of Sūryā in X, 85, 28-30. Here, immediately before her actual wedding, Sūryā is called Kṛtyā, and it is only when this kṛtyā nature that is like a clinging garment (āsakti) is put off that she comes to her husband: "Kṛtyā that clingeth close is taken off (vyajyáte) this Kṛtyā hath come to be with feet and consorts with her husband as a bride" (kṛtyā eṣā padvatī bhūtvā jāyā viśate patim)." The text goes on to describe the inauspicious aspect of the Sun himself when united with this same Kṛtyā, ab intra:

"Inglorious (aśrīrā) becomes his form when it glitters in (rusatī) this evil (bā bayā amuyā, as in X, 135, 2 with reference to the evil way of Yama), what time the husband wraps his body in the garment of his wife", which is, of course, the "robe of Night" of I, 115, 4, Analogous to this is the allusion in I, 105, 2, where it is a part of Trita's 16 complaint that "the wife holds fast her husband" (ā iāyā yuvate patim); it is in fact only "when the parents that cohabit in the dark are separated that they pass over the Babe" (krsnaprutau vevije asva saksitau ubhā tarete abhi mātarā śiśum, I, 140, 3); "In the Angel's mansion were the First, from their diremption rose the others" (krntatrād esām uparā udayan, X, 27, 23); it is when the sacrificer makes his Soma offering that mighty Father Heaven breaks from the embrace, I. 71, 6; and this separation of Heaven and Earth, effected by the sacrifice, is the essential act of creation, RV. passim (e. g., VII, 80, 1) for thereby there is made that "space", antariksa, in which the desirous principles are destined to find a home and prolong their line, as in a promised land.

If the husband is inglorious when he wears the woman's robe, that is in fact a snake-skin, she herself becomes glorious when she puts off the dark robe (I, 113, 4 cited above), and shines forth radiant in robes of light (śukravāsaḥ, I, 113, 7), when as in I, 92, 11 "She wakes, uncovers Heaven's ends" and drives her sister far away shines out in the bright-eye of her seducer "(jārasya cakṣasā vi bhāti, cf. X, 189, 2, antaś carati vocanâsya), That is indeed her marriage when she becomes a woman clothed with the Sun, when as in VII, 81, 2, "The rising Sun, refulgent Star, pours out his beams in company with hers; and then, O Dawn, may we partake together of thy shining and the Sun's; and her death, for when he suspires then she expires "(asya prâṇād apānatī, X, 189, 2, called the hymn of the "Serpent Queen", Sarpavājñī).

Another version of the Dawn's procession can be recognized in the story of Apālā, whose name means "unprotected", i. e., husbandless and free woman. In VIII, 91, where Indra represents the Sun and is described in terms appropriate to the Sun, the maiden $(kany\bar{a})$, who is at enmity with her (former) husband (patidviṣah) 18 reflects, "What if we go and wed with Indra?" 19 She gives him Soma, that is, virtually performs a sacrifice to him, and asks him to raise up hair upon her father's (bald) head, his field, and upon her own body, "here below the waist", that is, to restore the fertility of the universe 20; the reference to her own body indicating her extreme youth. Indra draws her through the three apertures (kha) 21 of his (solar) chariot, and so cleansing $(p\bar{u}tv\bar{u})$ her makes for her a "sunny skin" $(s\bar{u}rya$ -

tvacam). According to the quite intelligible legend cited by Sāyana, Apālā, daughter of Atri, had in fact suffered from a skin-disease, and the three skins that Indra removed from her became reptiles. In the Jaiminīya Brāhmaņa version (I, 220) we are told that Apālā desired to be rid of her "evil colour" (pāpani varnam); with the two first cleansings she becomes successively a lizard $(godh\bar{a})$ and a chameleon (krkalāsa), with the third cleansing she becomes samsvistikā (evidently "whitened"; the Satapatha Brāhmana version has sainślistikā. apparently "fit to be fondled") and her form is called the "most beautiful of all forms". In the nearly identical version of Pañcaviniśa Brāhmana, IX, 2, 14, the woman's name is Akūpārā (in literal significance identical with "Aditi", "In-finite"), she is an Āngirasī (thus of Agni's kin), and it is expressly stated that her "skin was like a lizard's" (godhā), that is reptilian and scaly. In X, 85, 34, Sūryā's cast off garment (śāmulyam, to be connected rather with śamala, "foul", than any word implying "woollen") is significantly described as "rasping, coarse, prickly, poisonous, and inedible"; the curious expression "inedible" (na . . . , attave) corresponding to Atharva Veda, I, 11, 4, where the chorion or after-birth (jarāyu, a term applied to the slough of a snake in ib. I. 27, 1) is said to be "for the dog to eat" (sune . . . attave). In any case, it is clear that the old skins are removed, and a glorious skin revealed, making Apālā fit to be Indra's bride,22 i. e., Sūryā to be the Sun's. With sūryatvacam above cf. Atharva Veda, II, 2, 1, where the Gandharva Viśvāvasu (= Vena, the Sun, ib. II, I) is himself "sun-skinned".... (sūrya-tvak); in Pañcaviniśa Brāhmana, XXIII, 16, 5, where the sacrificers "make a skin for themselves" (tvacam eva kurute) a "sun-skin" is to be understood; like that of those who are sunskinned" in Vājasaneyi Sainhitā, X, 4.22

We have long suspected that Apālā becomes in the Buddha legend Sujātā, who in the Jātaka (I, 69) is the daughter of a farmer, desires a husband, and brings an offering of milk to the Bodhisattva, seated beneath the Bodhi tree, on the eve of the Great Awakening. Sujātā, in fact, becomes the consort of Indra. The fullest account occurs in Jātaka No. 31, text I, p. 205. Here Sujātā is the fourth of Indra's handmaidens (pādaparicārikā); three having died are reborn in the same status, according to their virtue, but Sujātā, "because she had performed no deed of virtue" (kusalakamassa akatattā, cf. "akṛtyā" discussed in Note 13) is reborn as a crane. Indra seeks her, finds, and instructs her, and proves by a trial that she has experienced a change of heart. She is next reborn in a potter's family; Indra seeks her out, and makes her a gift in acknowledgment of

her virtue. She is reborn a third time as the daughter of the Asura Vepacittiya (it will not be overlooked that the three births correspond to the three cleansings of Apālā), and because of her virtue is very beautiful (abhirūpa); her father (who corresponds to Tvastr in the Sūryā versions) arrays her for marriage, and summons an assembly of Asuras so that she may choose a husband for herself. Indra assumes the "Asura colour, or appearance" (asuravannam = asurya-varnam, and this corresponds to X, 85, 30 quoted above) and takes his place in the assembly (really a svayainvara) where Sujātā chooses him to be her husband, and he makes her his chief queen. Indra in this story represents a previous incarnation of the Buddha. In the last incarnation where the Bodhisattva is no longer identified with Indra (in the sense of the Vedic dual Indragnī) the requirement of the narrative makes it impossible for Sujātā to become the Buddha's wife, and she remains Indra's, though we may suspect that the Bodhisattya's actual wife Yasodharā is really the alter eao of Sujātā.

Given the other parallels, it is worth noting that Usas is more than once in RV. addressed as "well-born", or if we treat this as a name, as "Sujātā" (I, 123, 3, uso devī . . . sujāte; VII, 77, 6, divo duhitar usah sujāte); this merely confirmatory evidence was remarked only after the identification had already been in mind for some years. Conversely, the designation of Usas as Maghoni in VII, 75. 5. is already suggestive of Maghavan, i. e. Indra. We are also inclined to identify the kanyā and sujātā of our texts with the sukanyā, daughter of Sarvata, who becomes the wife of Cyayana in Satabatha Brālmana, IV, 1, 5; but as this involves a discussion of the identity of Cyavana, Atri, and others, the possibility must remain to be taken up on another occasion. It may, however, be pointed out that just as the Sun is inglorious when he wears the guise of Krtva, so in Satapatha Brāhmana, IV, 1, 5, 1, the inveterated (jīrnah) Cvavana is "of Krtyā's aspect" (krtyā-rūpah); that jahe, "he was left behind", corresponds to X, 53, 8, "leave we there the impotent" (atra jahāma asevah) and X, 124, 4, "I leave behind the Father" (pitaram jahāmi); and that the name Cyavāna or Cyavana, "fallen away", corresponds to X, 124, 4 where "Agni, Varuna, and Soma fall away" (cyavante). Cf. too the "five-fold offering" made by Sunrta to Brahmanaspati 23 in RV. I, 40, 3.

Atharva Veda I, 27 offers unmistakably a condensed account of Indrāṇi's procession and marriage. Verse I opens, "On yonder shore (amūḥ pāre) are thrice seven adders (prdākvaḥ) that have cast their skins "(nirjarāyavaḥ)." All that the cast skins are good for is to

blindfold the vicious beings that beset the paths, the highwaymen (paripanthinah) who are inimical to the proceeding principles. Verses 2 and 3 are apotropaic in the same sense. Verse 4 continues in a language which is now readily comprehensible, "Let the two feet go forward, let them visibly proceed; bear (her) to the homes of Prna (vahatain prnatah grhān); let Indrāni go forth foremost, unconquered, unrobbed, to the East". Here vahatain grhān is a quite technical expression implying "lead home the bride". Prna is a designation either of the Sun, cf. Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, VIII, 7, 2, 1 where the "world-filling" (lokain-pṛṇa) brick represents the Sun, who "fills the worlds" (lokain pūrayati); or of Indra as the Sun, cf. RV. IV, 19, 7, where Indra "fills the waste-lands", apṛṇak dhanvāni; or of Agni who "fills the regions" (ā rajasī apṛṇat, III, 2, 7, pṛṇakṣi rodasī ubhe, X, 140, 2, and passim).

In any case, the evidence assembled above suffices to show that the procession of the "Serpents" on the male side, who "creep further" (ati sarpante) and become Ādityas, as related in the Pañcaviniśa Brāhmaṇa, XXV, 15, ample support for which can be cited from the Rg Veda, is paralleled on the female side. Apart from their ontological interest, the general conclusion provides a sound basis for the interpretation of many peculiarities of the later Indian iconography.

NOTES

- 1. The Angels (devāli) in RV., although from one point of view, that is to say throughout the duration of their aeviternity (amṛtatīva), incorruptible (ajara, ajurya, amṛta, amartya), are subject nevertheless to inveteration at the end, and resurrection at the beginning, of every aeon (yuga); for example, Agni, the very principle of life (āyus, viśvāyus, RV. passim) "Being inveterated, is forthwith born youthful" (jujurvān yo muhur ā yuvā bhūt, II, 4, 5), and with respect to the aeviternity of his manifestation is also said to be "of unaging youth" (yuvā ajaraḥ, V, 44, 3), and called "Life-universal, deathless amongst them that die" (viśvāyur yo amṛto marteṣu, VI, 4, 2). Similarly in X, 124, 4 "Agni, Varuṇa, and Soma decline" (cyavante), in IV, 19, 2 the inveterated deities are re-emanated (avāṣrjanta jivrayo na devāli), and in V, 74, 5. "From him that hath declined (cyavānāt) ye (Aśvins) loosed the covering cloak, when ye made him young (yuvā) again, and stirred the bride's desire".
 - 2. All references unspecified are to the Rg Veda Samhitā.
- 3. For the significance of the vestigium pedi in Vedic, Zen, and Christian tradition see my Elements of Buddhist iconography, 1035, p. 16 and Note 146.
- 4. These two forms of his are the same as the two forms (dve rūpe) of Brahman, "immortal, imageless" (amṛta, amūrta) and "mortal, in a likeness" (martya, mūrta) of Bṛhadāraṇyaka Up, II, 3, 1, cf. Mairri Up, VI, 3, 15, and 22. The immortal form is that of Varuṇa, Death, the para- and niṛṇṇṇa-Brahman: the mortal that Mārtāṇḍa (= Vivasvan, Sūrya) whom "Aditi bore hitherward unto repeated birth and death", RV, X, 72, 9; Purūravas "when in altered aspect I kept with mortals", X, 95, 16: Puruṣa, whom the Angels sacrificed, X, 9: Agni as the sacrifice, X, 88, 9; Bṛhaspati as the sacrifice, Yama "who gave up his own dear body", X, 13, 4; Yama, "the sole mortal", X, 10, 3; Vasiṣṭha of the "only birth", VII, 33, 10; the "only son" (ckain putram) of Varuṇa, Mitra, and Aryaman, VIII, 101, 6; the apara- and saguṇa- Brahman of the Upaniṣads. "Mitra is the Day and Varuṇa the Night", Pañcariniśa Brāhmaṇa, XXV, 10, 10.
- 5. The Vedic hymns to Dawn are primarily concerned with her first appearance at the beginning of the aeon, and analogically with her constant reappearance, cf. I, 123, 9, where Dawn, coming forth day after day, "hath knowledge of the first day's name". In the same way the "Days" are primarily periods of supernal time, and only analogically human days, cf. I, 164, 51 "Day after Day the Waters rise and fall", and II, 30, 1, "Day after Day the sparkling of the Waters moves". Another version of the hesitation before the battle occurs in the Kulaväka Jātaka, No. 31, Jātaka, text I, pp. 202-203, where Indra (Sakra) corresponds to Arjuna and Mātali to Kṛṣṇa; Indra's words "Let me not for the sake of empire (issaram = aiśvaryam) destroy life, rather would I for their sake sacrifice my own life to the Asuras", very closely parallel those of Arjuna in the Bhagavad Gītā, I, 33-35, though the detail of the motivation is brought out in a slightly different manner.
- 6. The concatenation of kṛṣṇa and arjuna here is by no means fortuitous, but corresponds to that of Kṛṣṇa and Arjuna in the Mahābhārata, where the Great Fight is nothing else but the Vedic conflict of Devas and Asuras. Kṛṣṇa, whose name is significant of his descent, comes over from the other side to aid

the Aryan Pāṇḍavas, just as does Vibhiṣaṇa in the Rāmâyaṇa, and Uśanas Kāvya, who is the priest of the Asuras but is won over to the side of the Devas. in Pañcavinisa Brāhmana, VII, 5, 20 Bandh. Sr. S., XVIII, 46, and Jaiminiya Brāhmana, I, 125-126; cf. Viśvarūpa, Vṛtra's brother, called "priest of the Devas" in Taittirīya Samhitā, II, 5, 1 and Indra's guru in Bhāgavata Purāņa, VI, 7-13. It is because of the intimate relationships of the Devas and Asuras that Ariuna, in Bhagavad Gītā, I, 28 ff., shrinks from the slaughter of "kinsmen and teachers"; cf. Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, IV, 1, 4, 8, where Mitra (= "Arjuna") dislikes to take part in the slaying of Soma, while in the same way Taittiriya Brāhmana, I, 7, 1, 7-8, where Namuci reproaches Indra as the "betrayer of a friend" (mitra-dhruk), and Pañcaviniśa Brāhmana, XII, 6, where Namuci reviles him as "guilty hero-slayer of the guiltless" (vīrahann adruho druha), provide a literal prototype for Bhagavad Gītā, I, 38, where Arjuna shrinks from the "sin of the betrayal of a friend" (doşam mitra-droheh). Arjuna, in fact, shrinks from taking upon himself what in RV. are Indra's typical kilbiṣāṇi. It is also very significant, though the implications are too many to be followed up here, that of the two original brothers of the lunar stock, Dhṛtarāṣṭra is blind, while Pandu means the "son of a eunuch", the former corresponding to the form of deity ab intra, the latter to his generated aspect ab extra, as son of him that had been impotent ab intra; "blindness" and "impotence" being typical of the interior operation (guhya zrata) in RV. passim, as may be seen by an analysis of those verses in which are found the words andha, and vadhri or stari (it may be noted in this connection also that śrona, "halt", generally coupled with andha, "blind" in the texts alluded to, corresponds to apād, "footless", as cited in the present article). Can we not indeed identify Pandu the "golden-handed son" (the Sun) whom the Asvins gave to her "whose consort was unmanned" (I, 117, 24)? The victory of the Pandavas corresponds to RV., X, 124, 4, where Agni, Varuna, and Soma decline (cyavante) and the "kingdom is reversed" (pary avart rastram). The Epic naturally concludes with the final return of the Pāṇḍavas to Heaven, their disappearance ab intra, accompanied by Draupadī, whose alter nomen "Kṛṣṇā" confesses her Asura origin, and who as the wife of the five Pandava brothers may be compared to Uṣas or Sūryā, successively the wife of Soma, Gandharva, Agni, and a "mortal" (sc. Vivasvan, Purūravas, Yama), X, 85, 40, and elsewhere also referred to as the consort of the Asvins; or may be compared with Vac, as participated in by the Five Kindreds (pañca jana). The correspondences outlined above could be followed up in great detail.

7. For some of these equivalents see Bloomfield in Journ. Amer. Oriental Soc., XV, 172, ff. It should be added that the whole concept of the two wives and two mothers survives in the nativities of Buddha, Mahāvīra, and Kṛṣṇa. Apart from the more obvious parallels, it will be remarked that Māyādevī, the Buddha's mother who does not survive, derives by her name itself from the Asura side, while the co-wife Pajāpatī, called in the Buddhacarita, II, 10, her saunaprabhavā, tantamount to savarṇā, lives; and that Devakī, the mother of Kṛṣṇa, is the sister of the Asura Kansa, in whose realm both parents are imprisoned, while the child is taken over water (the Yamunā, although in flood, becoming fordable for him, like the Sarasvatī in RV. passim) to the human-angelic world where he is fostered by another mother. In the case of Mahāvīra, the circumstances of whose nativity are so exactly paralleled in RV., I, 113, 2 and I, 124, 8 cited above, the choice of the Kṣatriya womb (and similarly in Buddhism, the

opposition of Kṣatriya to Brahman) by no means necessarily reflects a contemporary social conflict of values, but can be better understood in the light of the whole Vedic concept of the contrasted relations and functions of the spiritual (brahma) and temporal (kṣatra) powers, the former being primarily those of Varuṇa — Brahman, the latter those of Indrâgnī. Nor need we be confused by the fact that when the relation of Agni to Indra is considered per sc, and ab extra, this is again that of the spiritual to the temporal power: for just as Agni delegates the temporal power to Indra (VIII, 100, 1-2, X, 52, 5 and 124, 4, etc., cf. Satapatha Brāhmaṇa V, 4, 4, 15) though sometimes playing an active part, so the Buddha (who for the most part corresponds to Agni, "Gautama Buddha" for example reflecting Agni uṣar-budh) declines the temporal power and as an actual teacher plays the Brahman part, although in the conflicts with Māra (— Mṛrtyu — Vṛtra, etc.) and the "Ahi-nāga" (sic in Mahāvagga, I, 15, 7) of the Jaţila shrine, he takes that part which is played more often by Indra than by Agni or Bṛhaspati in person.

8. "Sinister" also in a literal sense: for the act of creation and procession is an extroversion, as appears in innumerable texts, e. g. X, 124, 4 "the kingdom was reversed" (pary āvart rāṣṭram), IV, 1, 2 "O Agni, turn thy brother Varuṇa round about" (bhrātaram varuṇam agne ā vavṛṭsva), cf. Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, IV, 5 where, the Angels and Titans being of equal heroism, "there was a delay in turning back" (na vyavartanta) the latter; and this extroversion is a right hand or sunwise turn, as in III, 10, 2 = IV, 6, 3, "Agni, choosing rightwise the angelic office" (pradakṣiṇit dcvatātim urāṇaḥ), or X, 22, 14, "Thou (Indra) smotest Suṣṇa to the right (pradakṣiṇit) for Viśvâyu" (i. e. Agni). Cf. Satapalha Brāhmaṇa, III, 2, 1, 13 and VII, 5, 1, 37.

Remembering that Night and Dawn are the two wives of Indra (Vājasaneyi Saihhita, III, 10, cited above) it is obvious that RV. X, 145—in application a spell directed against a co-wife (sapatnībādhanam)—is by first intention an imprecation launched by Indrāṇī herself, to whom the hymn is attributed, against her rival sister Night; while X, 129, attributed to Śacī Paulomī (Indrāṇī) is her song of triumph (cf. X, 125, attributed to Vāc). Atharva Veda I, 14, is apotropaic in the same sense as RV. X, 145.

The application of these hymns illustrates very well the basic principle of magical incantation; the recital of what was done in the beginning is held to be effective in particular application here and now. In the same way, for example, RV. V, 78, the immediate reference of which is to Agni's or the Sun's nativity, is employed as a birth rune. The application is by analogy, and takes for granted the correspondence of macrocosm and microcosm.

9. Night and Day (uṣasānaktā) are both favorably regarded "Daughters of Heaven" in X, 70, 6, but this is as being seated together at the altar (yonau), that is analogically ab intra, for yoni as altar corresponds to "navel" (nābhi) "where Aditi confirms our kinship" (jāmitva) X, 64, 13, and it is at the "navel of Order" (rtasya nābhau) that "I throughly purify" (sani punāmi, X, 13, 3).

10. The word nahuṣaḥ contrasts with uṣasaḥ, both fem. pl. acc. Nahuṣa (m.), from a root nah implying "bondage", is a designation of Agni's father in I, 31. 11 and V, 12, 6; in fem. pl. it may therefore appropriately designate at the same time "nights", (as rendered also by Fay in Journ. Amer. Oriental Soc., XXVII, p. 411, q. v.) and the recessive "false dawns" that have been Agni's "first mothers" in his successive manifestations, but are set back yielding

place to the true dawns that are the Suns' brides and Agni's "second mothers". It is further noteworthy that in some later texts Nahuşa is or becomes a serpent. In literal significance and as an essential rather than personal name, nahuşa may be compared to varuna and vytra, as derivates of vy.

II. The samānam varņam daily put on is of course the āryam varņam of III, 34, 9 as distinguished from the asuryam varņam of IX, 71, 2 (= pāpam varņam in Jaiminīya Brāhmaṇa, I, 220, with reference to Apālā); and being in fact the "cast(e)" of the Sun, the Dawns are described virtually as becoming every morning savarṇā in Bloomfield's second sense of "like (Vivasvant) in character or class" (Journ. Amer. Oriental Soc., XVI, p. 178).

12. Rṇañcaya, lit. "debt-collector": either Bṛhaspati-Bṛahmaṇaspati, as in II. 23, II and 17 (rṇayā, rṇacid rṇayā), or Indra himself (rṇacid rṇayā, IV, 23, 7), the toll being exacted in either case from the fiend (druh). Monier-Williams, for rṇañcaya, has nothing better to offer than "name of a man", and it is in this fashion that essential names have generally been treated by translators of the Vedas. How many needless obscurities and complications have been introduced into Vedic studies by a persistent neglect of the warning "Even as He seemeth, so is He called" (V, 44, 6) it would be hard to tell. Kaṭha Up., IV, 14 can be pertinently cited: "He who sees the principles separately, pursues them separately".

13. Heaven and Earth, as parents of Agni, "The son within his parents' lap, as being the Eternal Germ" (garbham nityam na sunum pitror upasthe, ib.). This nityam, incidentally, recurs in Katha Up., V, 13, "Eternal

mid the transient" (nityo' nityānām).

14. Kṛtyā as feminine personification of kṛtya, "that to be done", is perspicuous in the present context; where that which should be, but is not yet done, and merely in potentia, is as such evil. The putting off of krtyā is procedure from potentiality to act, nonbeing to being, privation to abundance, death to life. For the conception, typical also in Christian Scholastic philosophy, there may be compared in connection with Indra's procession "Many a thing not yet done I have to do" (bahīni me akṛtā kartvāni, IV, 18, 2, cf. "Wot ye not that I must be about my Father's business?", Luke II, 49); in connection with Usas, "Delay not to go about thy labour" (mā cirani tanuthā apaḥ, V, 79, 9); again in connection with Indra, "Do what thou hast to do" (kariṣya kṛṇuhi, I, 165, 9), who indeed "does what must be done" (cakriḥ yat kariṣyan, VII, 20, 1), i. e. in Christian formulation "Those things which God must will of necessity" (St. Thomas, Sum. Theol., I, q. 45, a. 2 c), who is also described as being "wholly in act". The principle involved underlies Brhadāranyaka Up., III, 2, 13, "What they praised was Action (karma)", and the doctrine regarding karma yoga in the Bhagavad Gītā. Cf. also kusalamassa akatattā (= kuśalasya akartatvāt) in Jātaka, text, I, 205; akārya as "sin" in Mṛcchakaţika, VIII, 22, 4; and akaraṇasanivaram as "sins of omission" in Sādhanamālā No. 98 (Gaekwad's Oriental Series, XXVI, p. 201).

The following verse is apotropaic with respect to the "consumptions" $(yakşm\bar{a})$ which may be transmitted from the bride's stock $(yanti\ jan\bar{a}t\ anu)$, and which the Angels are besought to return to the place of their origin. Yakşma is, of course, a disease always thought of as proceeding from Varuna in his unfriendly aspect. Following words derived from RV., X, 17, 1 referring to Tvaştr's gift of his daughter Sūryā in marriage, the Atharva Veda, III, 31, 5 similarly expresses the wish "May I be separated from evil

(pāpmanā) and consumption (yakşmeṇā) and united to life (āyuṣa)", cf. RV. VII, 59, 2 "Release us from the bonds of death, not those of life" (bandhanāt mṛtyor mukṣīya na amṛtāt), that is in effect also "May we pass over from Varuṇa, from Death, to Agni Vaiśvānara, to Life".

16. I. e. Agni, ab intra, and eager (icchan, etc.) to proceed.

17. Converse of guhamāno antā in IV, I, II. The "ends" are either as here the halting places of the Sun, or as in Jaimiūiya Up. Brāhmaṇa, I, 35, Winter and Spring, the two ends of the Year; or, indeed, any pair of contrasted and limiting concepts which are united ab intra and divided ab extra. The distinction of the limits is temporal and spatial; their indistinction eternal.

18. The husband (pati) with whom she is at variance is no doubt the Gandharva, the jealous protector of unwedded maidens, cf. X, 85, 21-22, "Rise up from hence, Viśvāvasu; this maiden hath a husband.... Seek in her father's home another willing maid". Compare also X, 95, 2, where Urvaśi (who corresponds to Uṣas, Sūryā, and Apālā, as does Purūravas to Sūrya and Indra) deserting Purūravas says "like the first of Dawns I leave thee". From the Brāhmaṇa and other versions of the legend (knowledge of which is taken for granted in X, 95) we know that Urvaśi is in fact taken back into the Gandharva world (the "Assumption of the Virgin"), and that it is only when the sacrifices of the Year have been completed that Purūravas himself recovers his Gandharva status and is reunited to his immortal bride. Purūravas is "mortal", not as man is mortal by contrast with the devas, but as the devas are mortal when contrasted with the asuras, as Mitra is mortal by contrast with Varuṇa (I, 164, 38 and X, 85, 17-18); he is the "dying god", the Year, the father of "Life" (āyus).

19. Apālā's uninhibited procedure corresponds to the shamelessness of Dawn, RV. passim, where she is referred to as like a dancer, as unbaring her bosom, or unveiling her charms (I, 92, 4; I, 124, 3-4; VI, 64, 2), or described as rising as if from a bath (V, 80, 5-6; Apālā's meeting with Indra also taking place beside the river, where, as Sāyaṇa takes it, she has gone to take her morning bath). Urvaśī and her sister apsarases are similarly described in X, 95, 9. Cf. RV., VII, 80, 2 speaking of Dawn, "Youthful and shameless she goeth forward, having come to know of Sun, and sacrifice, and Agni", and also Jaiminīya Up. Brāhmaṇa, I, 56. "In the beginning, the woman went about in the flood, desirously seeking a husband (strī saincarantī icchantī, "This woman desiring a husband", whom Indra makes his chief queen). The woman's boldness, of which the memory survives in the later rhetorical allusions to the inconstancy of Śrī-Lakṣmī, is admirably illustrated in the early Indian representations of apsarases, best perhaps in the Mathurā Museum example, J 2.

20. Cf. Atharva Veda, III, 17, 5, "Tvaṣṭṛ made a marriage for his daughter, and all this universe went forth" (idain viśvam bhuvanain vi yāti), where in spite of Bloomfield, Journ. Amer. Oriental Soc., XVI, p. 183, I venture to think that vi yāti is intransitive and has viśvam bhuvanam as subject. It is in the same way that Urvaśi "bestows upon her husband's father wealth, when her lover (uṣaḥ, m.) woos her from the nearby home" (X, 95, 4), i. e. from the Gandharva world, from within, cf. the reference to the origin of Vāc in "another's house", RV. X, 109, 4.

21. Apālā is drawn three times "through the opening of the chariot, the opening of the wain, the opening of the team" (khe rathasya, khe anasalı,

khe yugasya). In Jaiminīya Up. Brāhmaṇa, I, 3, the kha anasaḥ and kha rathasya are identified with the divaś chidra or "hole in heaven", which is "all covered over by rays", and is the Sun through the midst of which the Comprehensor "utterly escapes" (atimucyate); cf. Chāndogya Up., VIII, 6, 6, where the Sun is called the "portal of the worlds" (lokadvūra) and RV. V, 81, 2 where it is the Sun that "lets out the forms of all things" (viśvū rūpāṇi prati muūcate savitṛ). Obviously the way out and the way in are the same (cf. John, X, 9); to be dragged forward through the hole of the chariot is to be born into the worlds, to pass out through the hole is to die, whether temporarily or finally. With the description of the axle-hole as all covered over with rays", cf. X, 132, 6, "Wash her (Aditi) with sun-rays" (sūro ninikta raśmiohih).

In all probability kha rathasya, kha anasah, kha yugasya are synonymous expressions, all equivalent to kha as "hole in the wheel through which the axle passes", see my "Kha and other words denoting 'Zero', in connection with the metaphysics of space", in Bulletin of the School of Oriental Studies, VII, 1934. But even if we hold with Sāyana that three successively smaller openings in different parts of the chariot are intended (which seems improbable), it by no means follows that the three operations by which the "delivery" is made are to be understood as taking place upon one and the same occasion; we understand in any case that Indra drags Apālā three times through the "hole of his chariot", in other words makes her to be born thrice, as in the story of Sujātā cited below; cf. khād-iva yoni-jātaḥ in Buddha Carita, 1, 30.

Since writing the above I find in Jaiminīya Brāhmaṇa, II, 419, yathā ratha-nābhaṇ arāḥ pratiṣṭhā, "when the spokes are affixed to the hub of the chariot"; and inasmuch as "hub of the chariot "can only mean "hub of the chariot wheel", so we may take it that "aperture of the chariot", kha rathasya, means "aperture of the chariot wheel", as the sense requires.

There is an analogous ritual use of "ringstones", which are regarded as yonis or female symbols of generation (see Marshall, Mohenjo-Daro, p. 62, and references there cited); those who are passed through such ringstones are, as it were, "born again". That such stones are really symbolic representations of the solar loka-dvāra through which one "escapes altogether" (atimucyate) is clearly seen in the case of the well-known example at Satrunjaya, where the opening in the stone is called the "door of liberation" (mukti-dvāra).

For further references to the story of Apālā see Oertel in Journ. Amer. Oriental Soc., XVIII, 26 f.

22. With all the purifications referred to above may be compared those performed by the Sāman and Rk antecedent to the consummation of their veiled union on the night of the sabbath (upavasathiyām rātrim, sadasi, Jaiminīya Up. Brāhmaṇa, I. 54). In this case (in many respects analogous to that of Yama and Yamī, RV. X, 10, but with a "happy ending"), that which Rk removes and casts forward (pratyauhat) becomes the "vision of living creatures" (dhīr eva prajānām jīvānām eva), and the whole is once more a story of creation.

23. Not an independent "Person", but an essential name of Agni, as explicitly recognized in I, 38. 13.

24. Amūh pāre, i. e. "on the farther shore", awaiting transportation over the flowing river, like for example, Bhujyu, samudra ā rajasaḥ pāra īṅkhitam, whom the Aśvins bring across in their winged ships, X, 143, 5. The thrice

seven adders or addancs are no doubt the twenty-one rivers of X, 5, 5, 64, 8, and 75, I, cf. X, 99, 4 where the young restless streams that Indra pours out hitherward are as yet "footless and carless", and IX, 77, 3 where the Soma streams are referred to as "beautiful like snakes" (ahyo na cāravo). If the latter comparison seems strange in view of what has been said so far, it must be remembered that the beauty of naginis becomes a cliché in later Indian literature, and that at least a beauté de diable must be attributed to Night, with whom the Sun is in love before her transformation takes place; just as in folk-lore the human hero is in love with the mermaid before she acquires a human form and soul. Nirjarāvavah is literally "freed from the chorion", the commentators supplying "with skin sloughed from the body, chorionwise" and "as Devas, freed from the chorion". Comparison may be made with RV. X, 106, 6 "Do ye (Aśvins) make my corrupted chorion to be incorruptible" (jarāyv ajaram marâyu); X, 123, I, where the Sun is new risen from the chorion, or in a chorion of light (jyotir-jarāyū); Atharva Veda, I, 12, 1 where the Sun is jarāvu-ja; Satapatha Brāhmaņa, VI, 6, 1, 24, where Agni is due to be born from the chorion (jarāyuņo jāyamāna) which is called "putrid", like Sūrvā's cast off garment in X, 85, 34, cf. AV. I, 11, 4; and especially Jaiminīya Brāhmana, II, 438, where Saramā, "splitting open the chorion of the Waters" sets them free to flow. The word jarāyu itself derives from jr "to be inveterated"; such expressions as RV. I, 140, 8 jarām pra muñcan, and Pañcavimsa Brāhmana, XXV, 17, 3, jarām apāhat, "put off eld", and such expressions as jarāvu-ja cited above, equally imply a birth and rejuvenation. In other words, the young unwedded streams are newly born; Indra's bride is one of them, or one like them, just as Urvaśī in X, 95, 6 is one of the "seven" Apsarases, in V, 42, 9, "Urvaśī of the streams". "Unrobbed" (amuṣitā) has reference to the powers of darkness that lie in wait to steal away the "names" of those that proceed. as in V, 44, 4, where Krivi nāmāni vane pravaņe muşayati.

25. For example, in VIII, 17, 5, \$prdāku-sānu\$ is an epithet of Indra: the words appear to mean "serpent-shouldered" (\$sanu\$, primarily "high plain" or "table-land", metaphorically the upper part of the back, as in RV. I, 32, 9). There is an image answering to this description in the Mathurā Museum (see Vogel, \$Ars Asiatica\$, XV, Pl. XXXIX and p. 46). The female counterpart of this image (ib. Pl. XL) has long been known as the "Serpent Queen". And Sarparājāī, or "Serpent Queen" is a designation of Vāc and of Earth in \$Salapatha Brāhmaṇa\$, IV, 6, 9, 16-17. The two images are then rightly to be called those of Indra and Indrāṇi.

The Sarparājūī hymn is also called the Mānasa Stotra or "mental laud", because its verses are "recited mentally" (manasā stuyante, Taittrīya Sanhitā, VII, 3, 1, 4, cf. Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, II, 2, 1, 30); hence the name of the well-known Bengali snake-goddess, Manasā Devī, who is at once Indrāṇī and the Earth, and of whom the Mathurā "Serpent Queen" may be regarded as one of the earliest known representations.

The Serpent Queen must also be recognized in Sasarparī "the daughter of the Sun" and "Lunar Maiden" (pakṣyā; pakṣa, according to Sāyaṇa, is here the Sun, the usual sense of "Moon", as in Buddha Carita, II, 20, seems to be more acceptable, and would allude to Sasarparī's āsurya origin), "who puts forth the New Life" (navyam āyur dadhāna), RV. III, 53, 15-16; where Āyus is primarily Agni (see Bloomfield in Journ. Amer. Oriental Soc., XX, p. 181), "the one and only Life" (ckāyus, I, 31, 5), and "Universal Life" (viśvāyus, I, 67, 5; IV, 28, 2; VI, 4, 2).

