SMITHSONIAN MISCELLANEOUS COLLECTIONS

VOLUME 98, NUMBER 21



THE WEEKLY PERIOD IN WASHINGTON PRECIPITATION

BY
G. G. ABBOT
AND
N. M. McGANDLISH
Smithsonian Institution



(Publication 3547)

GITY OF WASHINGTON
PUBLISHED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION
JULY 27, 1939



SMITHSONIAN MISCELLANEOUS COLLECTIONS

VOLUME 98, NUMBER 21

Roebling Fund

THE WEEKLY PERIOD IN WASHINGTON PRECIPITATION

BY

C. G. ABBOT

AND

N. M. McGANDLISH

Smithsonian Institution



(Publication 3547)

CITY OF WASHINGTON
PUBLISHED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION
JULY 27, 1939

The Lord Wastimore (Press BALTIMORE, MD., U. S. A.

Roebling Fund

THE WEEKLY PERIOD IN WASHINGTON PRECIPITATION

By C. G. ABBOT AND N. M. McCANDLISH
Smithsonian Institution

Golf and tennis players working in Washington, D. C., are sometimes disappointed by a succession of rainy Saturday afternoons. So insistently has this forced itself on our attention that we have examined the daily weather record at Washington for the years 1924 to 1939 to see if there is any real ground for supposing that there is a marked period of seven days in precipitation.

Other researches of this Institution have indicated that variations of the sun are important causes of weather changes. As the sun's rotation period is slightly less than 4 weeks, we were inclined to suppose that a period of one-fourth that of solar rotation or slightly less than 1 week, if found in weather, might be associated with the sun's rotation. If it were really caused by a solar variation, and related to the sun's rotation, then the weekly weather period, while nearly constant in length, would probably shift in phase occasionally. For it is well known that solar features, such as sunspots, while they may be nearly fixed on the sun's surface for several rotations, soon disappear and are succeeded by others at other solar longitudes.

Statistics soon persuaded us that, so far as there is evidence for this nearly weekly weather period, it seemed indeed to be a little less than 7 days. As a first approximation we assumed that the day of maximum precipitation shifts I day earlier in the week on each successive month. As a rough and ready index of precipitation we computed for each month separately the percentages of the total monthly precipitation which fell on the seven successive days of the week, Sunday to Saturday. We arranged these monthly percentages in a table in which the week-day names shifted to the left by I day each month.

Taking sums of the seven columns of our table we were then in a position to see whether the day of maximum precipitation did actually remain in the same column, or nearly so, for long intervals. To illustrate these procedures we give tables 1 and 2.

As is apparent from table 2, the same column contains the day of maximum precipitation for the yearly average for 4 successive years,

from 1926 to 1929 inclusive. The columns of maximum precipitation in 1924 and 1925 are shifted from this prevailing place only two columns and one column later than this in the week, respectively. In 1930 the highest maximum occurs several days later in the week, but a maximum only a very little lower than this occurs in the same column as for 1925. In 1931 the position of maximum returns to the column frequented from 1926 to 1929.

Table 1—Percentages of Monthly Total Precipitation Falling on Successive Days of the Week, 1920

Month	Day of the week at percentage precipitation								
Ion	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.		
Jan	2	18	30	32	6	.0	12		
Feb	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.		
reb	10	35	51	0	3	0	I		
Mar	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.		
Mat	16	43	7	O	О	34	0		
Ann	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.		
Apr	34	10	38	1	14	3	0		
May	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.		
May	0	29	29	8	19	13	2		
June	∫Fri.	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.		
June	26	27	2	20	15	9	I		
July	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.		
jury	o	30	О	0	63	О	7		
Aug	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.	Mon,	Tues.		
rug	0	15	15	65	0	0	4		
Sept	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.		
Septiment	38	0	25	O	14	19	5		
1 let	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.	Sun.		
Oct.	0	59	40	O	I	0	0		
Nov	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.	Sat.		
1100	40	36	O	7	5	5	7		
Dec	Sat.	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thur.	Fri.		
	4	4	55	О	27	6	4		
Sum, year	170	306	292	133	167	89	43		
Percent, year	1.1	26	24	11	14	7	4		

If, as suggested above, the cause of the weekly weather period is associated with solar rotation, and if the period is constant while the phase is subject to changes as features form and disappear on the sun, then there is no reason to expect the interval which we term the year to have any special relationship with the persistence of any particular phase. Accordingly we have sought to make a better arrangement by comparing from month to month the distribution of percentages of precipitation falling on the dates I to VII of table 2.

This study led to the arrangement shown in table 3, where sums are given of the monthly percentages in the dates I to VII through the intervals indicated. Maxima are printed in bold-face type, minima in italics.

Table 2—Yearly Sums of Monthly Percentages of Total Precipitation Falling on Successive Days of the Week, 1924–1939

Successive Days

I	H	111		IV	V	VΙ	VII
142	166	183		260	193	98	60
198	75	248		205	100	231	142
211	276	147		160	101	144	157
105	322	118		167	131	140	217
95	237	167		228	113	22 I	139
170	306	292		133	167	89	43
151	51	314		105	86	344	150
193	243	161		96	183	106	224
257	236	131		225	147	99	107
154	127	169		168	107	178	297
229	177	280		103	91	137	182
126	101	232		334	181	103	124
171	251	215		153	290	89	35
137	88	161		186	237	226	165
162	154	122		240	115	239	160
50	124	25		105	93	48	66
, 551	2,934	2,965	2,	868 :	2,338	2,492	2,268
	,	т.					
	-						VII
		٠.	•				55
	-	•					105
						~	415
			•	_			138
			-			-	45
		-					155
		-					219
						-	107
		-	-			•	290
	265	-		~			214
	95	-	_				99
		251	215	153		-	35
	38		40	, ,			85
	99	3 I	121	93	104		80
		278	147	345	208	287	226
	142 198 211 105 95 170 151 193 257 154 229 126 171 137 162 50 ,551	142 166 198 75 211 276 105 322 95 237 170 306 151 51 193 243 257 236 154 127 229 177 126 101 171 251 137 88 162 154 50 124 .551 2,934 1 103 6 203 6 4 1 202 165 179 170 265 171 38	142 166 183 198 75 248 211 276 147 105 322 118 95 237 167 170 306 292 151 51 314 193 243 161 257 236 131 154 127 169 229 177 280 126 101 232 171 251 215 137 88 161 162 154 122 50 124 25 TABLE 3 1 11 1 103 157 5 203 46 5 349 632 5 64 148 6 202 399 6 165 196 6 179 98 6 257 193 6 149 165 6 265 232 6 95 51 171 251 171 251 171 251 180 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 190 19	142 166 183 198 75 248 211 276 147 105 322 118 95 237 167 170 306 292 151 51 314 193 243 161 257 236 131 154 127 169 229 177 280 126 101 232 171 251 215 137 88 161 162 154 122 50 124 25 7551 2,934 2,965 2, TABLE 3 1 11 111 11 103 157 71 5 2934 46 342 203 46 342 349 632 279 35 64 148 148 14 148 <td< td=""><td>142 166 183 260 198 75 248 205 211 276 147 160 105 322 118 167 95 237 167 228 170 306 292 133 151 51 314 105 193 243 161 96 257 236 131 225 154 127 169 168 229 177 280 103 126 101 232 334 171 251 215 153 137 88 161 186 162 154 122 240 50 124 25 105 7551 2,934 2,965 2,868 TABLE 3 1 11 111 1V 4 103 157 71 252 5 2,934 2,965 2,868 TABLE 3</td><td>142 166 183 260 193 198 75 248 205 100 211 276 147 160 104 105 322 118 167 131 95 237 167 228 113 170 306 292 133 167 151 51 314 105 86 193 243 161 96 183 257 236 131 225 147 154 127 169 168 107 229 177 280 103 91 126 101 232 334 181 171 251 215 153 290 137 88 161 186 237 162 154 122 240 115 50 124 25 105 93 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 1 111 111 11V V TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 4 TABLE 4 TABLE 4 TABLE 5 TABLE 5 TABLE 5 TABLE 5 TABLE 6 TABLE 7 TABLE 7 TABLE 7 TABLE 7</td><td>142 166 183 260 193 98 198 75 248 205 100 231 211 276 147 160 104 144 105 322 118 167 131 140 95 237 167 228 113 221 170 306 292 133 167 89 151 51 314 105 86 344 193 243 161 96 183 106 257 236 131 225 147 99 154 127 169 168 107 178 229 177 280 103 91 137 126 101 232 334 181 103 171 251 215 153 290 89 137 88 161 186 237 226 162<</td></td<>	142 166 183 260 198 75 248 205 211 276 147 160 105 322 118 167 95 237 167 228 170 306 292 133 151 51 314 105 193 243 161 96 257 236 131 225 154 127 169 168 229 177 280 103 126 101 232 334 171 251 215 153 137 88 161 186 162 154 122 240 50 124 25 105 7551 2,934 2,965 2,868 TABLE 3 1 11 111 1V 4 103 157 71 252 5 2,934 2,965 2,868 TABLE 3	142 166 183 260 193 198 75 248 205 100 211 276 147 160 104 105 322 118 167 131 95 237 167 228 113 170 306 292 133 167 151 51 314 105 86 193 243 161 96 183 257 236 131 225 147 154 127 169 168 107 229 177 280 103 91 126 101 232 334 181 171 251 215 153 290 137 88 161 186 237 162 154 122 240 115 50 124 25 105 93 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 1 111 111 11V V TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 3 TABLE 4 TABLE 4 TABLE 4 TABLE 5 TABLE 5 TABLE 5 TABLE 5 TABLE 6 TABLE 7 TABLE 7 TABLE 7 TABLE 7	142 166 183 260 193 98 198 75 248 205 100 231 211 276 147 160 104 144 105 322 118 167 131 140 95 237 167 228 113 221 170 306 292 133 167 89 151 51 314 105 86 344 193 243 161 96 183 106 257 236 131 225 147 99 154 127 169 168 107 178 229 177 280 103 91 137 126 101 232 334 181 103 171 251 215 153 290 89 137 88 161 186 237 226 162<

By reduction of table 3 to percentages there results table 4.

From table 4 we conclude that, assuming a periodicity of 6 days 18 hours in precipitation at Washington, a day of maximum precipi-

tation persists in the averages for many months, on which day from 2 to 8 times as much precipitation falls as on neighboring days of minimum precipitation usually 1 day earlier and 2 days later. At intervals ranging from 40 to 100 weeks the day of maximum precipitation shifts a little, within the period, and at times, though rarely, a pronounced double maximum persists.

Table 4—Percentages of Precipitation on Selected Days

Interval I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	Total	$\frac{\text{Max.}}{\text{Min.}}$
Jan. 1924-Oct. 1924 11.4	17.4	7.9	28.0	21.1	8.1	6.1	100	4.6
Nov. 1924-Sept. 1925 18.4	4.2	31.1	17.8	6.7	12.3	9.5	100	7.4
Oct. 1925-Nov. 1927 13.4	24.3	10.7	11.7	9.9	13.9	16.0	100	2.5
Dec. 1927–Oct. 1928 5.8	13.5	13.5	21.0	10.2	23.5	12.6	100	4.0
Nov. 1928-Dec. 1929 14.4	28.5	22.5	12.1	12.4	6.9	3.2	100	8.9
Jan. 1930-Mar. 1931 11.0	13.0	26.8	9.4	6.1	23.4	10.3	100	4.4
Apr. 1931–Dec. 1931 19.8	10.8	8.1	6.6	19.6	10.9	24.2	100	3.7
Jan. 1932-Nov. 1932 23.3	17.5	11.6	20.4	11.7	5.7	9.7	100	4.I
Dec. 1932-Nov. 1933 12.4	13.8	11.0	10.4	10.4	17.8	24.2	100	2.3
Dec. 1933-Mar. 1935 16.6	14.5	26.0	12.7	7.2	9.7	13.4	100	3.6
Apr. 1935–Dec. 1935 10.6	5.7	15.1	30.8	17.5	9.3	0.11	100	5.4
Jan. 1936-Dec. 1936 14.2	20.8	17.9	12.7	24.1	7.4	2.9	100	8.3
Jan. 1937–June 1937 6.3	9.5	6.7	15.5	22.2	25.7	14.2	100	4.1
July 1937-Dec. 1937 16.5	5.2	20.2	15.5	17.3	12.0	13.3	100	3.9
Jan. 1938-May 1939 12.4	16.3	8.6	20.3	12.2	16.9	13.3	100	2.4

In May 1939, an exceptionally dry month, maxima of precipitation occurred on Saturday and Monday. According to table 4 maximum precipitation should have occurred between them on Sunday. In reality zero precipitation occurred on Sunday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. If we use the average position of maxima and minima in the interval from January 1938 to the present time, the maxima for June 1939 should fall on Sunday and Tuesday, the minimum on Saturday, and these features should come I day earlier in the week successively in subsequent months.