[EDITOR'S NOTE: In recent years, anthropology, like other disciplines, has undergone a radical transformation as new intellectual currents have impacted the field. As anthropologist John Homiak explains in the following article, "there has been a shift from objectivity and 'facts' to subjectivity and 'points of view.'" Anthropologists have had to come to terms with the legacy of their discipline's colonial roots, as the world's indigenous peoples increasingly engage in their own self-study and representation. In writing ethnographies and in making ethnographic film, most anthropologists today would subscribe to the belief that understanding another culture can at best be only partial and always filtered through the lens of one's own cultural biases. In analyzing films shown at a 1993 film festival, Homiak focuses on two major perspectives—the "indigenous perspective" and the "global perspective"—that help explain challenges to and changes in ethnographic filmmaking and cultural representation.]

INTRODUCTION

Time was when ethnographic films were rather straightforward visual documents that depicted ceremonies, socialization patterns, or phases in the subsistence cycles
of small-scale traditional societies. Films like *Trance and Dance in Ball* or *Bathing Babies in Three Cultures* by Margaret Mead immediately come to mind. Such films served as visual illustrations of the concepts or cultural categories about which anthropologists most frequently wrote (e.g., ritual, myth, socialization, or identity).

The authority of these films rested, by and large, not in their images but in the commentary spoken over the image track. For good reason: the images of ethnographic film typically confront us with cultural differences—with scenes of people in faraway places engaged in seemingly exotic behaviors. The sound track carries the burden of meaning by explaining to viewers the significance of these unfamiliar behaviors and events.

Prior to the advent of the subtitling of native speech in the early 1970s, it was usually a "voice-of-god" narration that provided this translation in definitive and unequivocal terms. At times, these narrations even took on an omniscient quality as in the case of films like *The Hunters* (John Marshall 1957) or *Dead Birds* (Robert Gardner 1963). The narrators of these films liberally attribute thoughts to the subjects and seemingly know their every feeling, thought, and desire. Until recently (the last 15 years), this was not a problem for anthropologists because, like the general public, we accepted the conventions of cinematic realism by which these films were constructed. Never mind that the giraffe hunt in *The Hunters* was constructed from footage shot of various hunts, or that the tribal battle in *Dead Birds* was similarly constructed. As long as it was seen to serve the end of ethnographic "truth," such continuity editing was not seen as particularly problematic.

This, of course, has made "authenticity" a somewhat more complex issue in ethnographic film, but we generally assume that unrehearsed "naturally occurring" events are being recorded. All of this is supported by the unobtrusive camera associated with the documentary mode, the so-called "fly-on-the-wall" perspective that remained dominant from Robert Flaherty's *Nanook of the North* (1922) until at least the early 1970s. This style of shooting makes an implicit claim to observational neutrality as seen, for example, in such made-for-television films as National Geographic Specials, Granada Television's *Disappearing Worlds*, and the BBC's *Under the Sun* series. One of the primary reasons why these visual texts continue to be popular among general audiences is that they appear transparent and objective.

**ETHNOGRAPHIC FILM TODAY**

*CUT! CUT! I WANT CAMERA ONE TO COME IN TIGHT ON THE SHAMAN'S FACE...LET ME SEE THE ANTHROPOLOGIST ACTUALLY TALKING TO HIM...THE SUBJECT HAS TO SPEAK...ALL RIGHT, TAKE TWO...*

Today, the encounter between ethnographic filmmakers and what we fashionably call 'the Other' has dramatically shifted. Filming as if the camera were not there has given way to a more frank admission of the fact that ethnographic film entails an encounter between the members of two cultures. In this regard, many films are now reflexive, incorporating strategies of presentation so that the terms, and even meanings, of an encounter between filmmaker and 'Other' are foregrounded as part of the context of the film itself. Now we not only see 'the Other' but we also see the filmmaker showing us 'the Other.' In theory, this serves to destroy any illusion that film is or can be an unambiguous representation of 'reality' by giving viewers access to the intersubjective basis on which ethnographic knowledge and understanding is constructed. This helps viewers remain aware of the fact that films, like written texts, adopt particular perspectives and reflect points of view—rather than express some transparent representation of "the truth."

Many filmmakers now go out of their way to make clear that anthropologists traditionally engaged not in silent observation but in speaking and interacting with their subjects. The filmmaker/anthropologist is part of the plot. Being open about this dialogical process and about the
intentions of filmmakers and subjects alike is also seen as a way to humanize anthropological subjects rather than treating them as examples of abstract or formal principles. This is part of a ‘postmodern’ turn which, to a considerable degree, has served to collapse the separation between a traditional ‘them’ and a modern ‘us.’

In visual ethnography—as in its written counterpart—there has been a shift from objectivity and ‘facts’ to subjectivity and ‘points of view.’ Following upon the impacts of interpretive, Marxist, and feminist theory in anthropology, we recognize that even the cultures of small-scale societies that were previously the stock-in-trade of the discipline can no longer be presented as unified and homogeneous realities. We understand that meanings are contested and negotiated in these (as in our own) societies—reflecting factors of age, gender, class, status, and power. In recognition of this complexity of society, films more often feature multiple voices and contested versions of reality. "Closed" didactic readings of societies by the anthropologist and filmmaker have yielded to "open" expressive readings that reflect more direct access to the "lived experiences" of subjects.

This latter effort to re-situate the individual as the primary focus of ethnographic filmmaking grades over into postmodern concerns with voice and authority. The omniscient voice-of-God noted above is now declassé and politically under attack. Filmmakers increasingly listen for indigenous voices "speaking with" or alongside their subjects with the intent of allowing subjects to voice their own concerns. Some advocate a kind of "participatory cinema" initiated by the most prolific of French ethnographic filmmakers, Jean Rouch. In this approach, filmmaker and subjects seek to work out an authentic collaboration that provides the latter a greater role in constructing their own images or that results in films that take us where their subjects want to go.

Around the globe, however, many of the traditional subjects of the filmmaker’s gaze argue that anthropologists and other professionals should have no authority at all to represent them. Indigenous groups assert that the only way their stories can truthfully be told is if the means of production are wholly in native control. It is in this climate that native filmmakers have emerged as "professional Others" who seek to "speak back" to the dominant culture in their own terms.

THE MARGARET MEAD FILM FESTIVAL

The Margaret Mead Film and Video Festival, held at New York City’s American Museum of Natural History each fall, showcases new and innovative works by independent, ethnographic, and indigenous filmmakers. The 17th Festival, held October 4-10, 1993, included 62 films and videos selected from over 400 submissions that included student projects, television and independent productions. Although subject matters and film styles represent an eclectic offering, salient themes emerge. Aside from the concerns with reflexivity and multiple voices noted above, two themes, in particular, over the past few years highlight and help define recent trends in ethnographic film: indigenous perspectives and global perspectives.

This year's festival included a special focus on indigenous media, featuring several native filmmakers—from Papua New Guinea, Native American and Canadian Inuit communities, Japan, and Ghana.

The first two films described below reflect reflexive and participatory approaches; others that follow illustrate the diversity of approaches within the indigenous perspective. Two final films described reflect the growing concern with global and transnational outlooks. All are appropriate for the high school or college classroom.

THE EARTH IS OUR MOTHER/
THE JOURNEY BACK

This 1992 film depicts the encounter between Danish documentary filmmaker Peter Elsass and a community of Arhuaco Indians in Columbia. Inhabitants of a coca growing region contested by the Columbian state, drug lords, and guerilla forces, the
Archuaco find their way of life and their communities caught in the struggle between these warring elements. The Archuaco and their elders were the subject of Elsass's first film, *The Earth is our Mother*, which depicts the role played by Archuaco elders in passing on and preserving the traditional culture of their people.

Elsass returns six years later to document the Archuaco response to the first film and to follow up on the impact that this film has had upon the community. *The Journey Back* insightfully and sometimes humorously explores the politics that emerge from this type of collaboration.

The filmmaker chose to advocate for the cultural autonomy of his subjects and builds this into the film at various turns. At one point, the Archuaco confront Columbian soldiers who occupy their most sacred ritual site. At another point, they accompany an elder to Bogata, the capital, to protest the murder of three Archuaco leaders believed slain by government security forces. The film thus provides a first hand look at the conflicts of race and culture in Columbia and the ways in which an indigenous people strive to perpetuate their way of life.

Elsass's two "participatory" films bring the "inaccessible" and "distant"--so typically a fixture of ethnographic film--close to our own political homefront. Teachers who draw upon these films for classroom use will want to think about the toll that the international drug trade takes on both the producers and consumers. In the global village, the little-known tribulations of the Archuaco are paradoxically juxtaposed with the unrest and violence of our own inner cities.

**MEMORIES AND DREAMS**

Another 1992 film that resonates with the reflexive and participatory approach is *Memories and Dreams* by Melissa Llewelyn-Davies (1992). This film is of interest if for no other reason than it marks the filmmaker's most recent return to the Maasai of the Loita Hills in Kenya where she shot the celebrated trilogy *A Maasai Diary*, and *The Woman's Olamal* in the 1970s. All of these films have been enormously popular for teaching. In these finely crafted portraits of Maasi life, the filmmaker examined issues of gender, ownership, and power in Maasai society and gave us intimate emotional portraits of young Maasai women experiencing the major life transitions of their culture.

In *Memories and Dreams* the filmmaker returns nearly twenty years later to follow up on the lives of these women and to explore their own and their community's changing attitudes toward women's roles, sex, love, and marriage. As in her earlier works, Llewelyn-Davies is an ambiguous participant in this film, asserting her presence only as an off-screen voice that interrogates her subjects. Although there is "dialogue" between filmmaker and subjects in this film, genuine collaboration seems missing. At a time when authorship and ethnographic authority are being more carefully weighed, Llewelyn-Davies' role as interrogator seems a bit heavy-handed. The "dialogues" with Maasai women are fully controlled by Llewelyn-Davies and lack a mutuality of exchange. At one point when being queried about attitudes toward their husbands, for example, two women redirect similar questions at the filmmaker, asking her about her own husband (who had been involved in shooting the earlier films and was, in fact, known to them). Llewelyn-Davies, however, deflects the questions and moves the interrogation along to where she wishes it to go. As in more traditional 'observational' style films, the gulf between 'us' and 'them' is retained. This one-way feel to the dialogue left me, for one, with the nagging question as to whose "dreams and memories" were being revisited in this work--those of the Maasai or of the filmmaker.

**INUIT PRODUCED VIDEOS**

A series of videos are of note in the indigenous media category. They are three Inuit-produced videotapes on Inuit culture directed and produced by Zacharias Kunuk, an Inuit filmmaker from Igloolik,
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ARCHAEOLOGISTS AT DISNEYLAND

The Society for American Archaeology (SAA) is holding its annual meeting at the Disneyland Hotel, Anaheim, California in April—and the program is chock full of offerings for those interested in archaeology and education! If you are interested in attending or receiving further information about the Society, call the SAA office at (202) 789-8200, or fax to (202) 789-0284. All interested in archaeology and education are invited to attend a 4:00 p.m. meeting on April 20 of the "Intersociety Public Education Working Group," which brings together individuals from a wide variety of institutions and societies interested in archaeology and education.

Friday and Saturday, April 20-21, the SAA offers a potpourri of activities for educators. Pam Wheat, Crow Canyon Archaeological Center, will offer a free 10-hour workshop; Brian Fagan and Kent Lightfoot will participate in the Public Education Session, "Investigating the Mysteries of Time with Archaeology." A hands-on archaeology fair, "Archaeology Land," will feature activity centers, hands-on displays, and manipulative exhibits focusing on preservation, cultural awareness, and stewardship.

How did the Society for American Archaeology become so involved and committed to public education? The effort began several years ago, encouraged by the top leadership of the society and carried out by a highly committed and enthusiastic group of individuals. In April 1990 a core group formed as the SAA Public Education Committee to work on projects that "promote awareness about and concern for the study of past cultures, and to engage people in the preservation and protection of heritage resources."

Soon thereafter, the Archaeology and Public Education newsletter appeared that today is distributed four times a year throughout the country to aid educators, interpreters, archaeologists, and others who wish to teach the public about the value of archaeological research and resources." The newsletter is edited by Phyllis Messenger and KC Smith. The Public Education Committee developed a "Strategic Plan," identifying the priority goals toward which the committee would work over the next few years. These goals reflect the ongoing work of the SAA Public Education Committee and its subcommittees:

(see next page)
1. Network Subcommittee
Expand and develop a network of provincial and state coordinators for public education. (46 coordinators currently develop joint meetings and poster sessions at regional archaeological meetings.)

2. Public Session Subcommittee
Offer public sessions at the SAA Annual Meeting. (In 1993, the public session drew 400 archaeologists, teachers, and the lay public. A school archaeology essay contest was held in St. Louis school districts, with the winners recognized at the SAA session.)

3. Workshops Subcommittee
Conduct archaeology education workshops for teachers at the SAA annual meeting. (Workshops have been held for the last three years, in cooperation with the National Park Service and the Bureau of Reclamation. The 1994 workshop is a two-day session.)

4. Resource Forum Subcommittee
Maintain a collection of existing archaeology education materials (called the "Resource Forum") and exhibit them at professional meetings. (The Education Resource Forum has been displayed at three SAA meetings and at other professional conferences. Future plans include publication of an annotated guide to exhibit resources and the development of multiple versions of the exhibit.)

5. Formal Education Subcommittee
Foster and develop pre-collegiate archaeology education through a variety of proactive strategies. (A set of guidelines for evaluating archaeology education materials for classroom use is being finalized under a Bureau of Reclamation grant. An evaluation of archaeology-related games for grades K-12 is underway with support from the Bureau of Land Management. An introductory packet for individuals requesting information about archaeology education is being finalized.)

6. Professional Involvement Subcommittee
Encourage professional community involvement in public archaeology and education. (Professional archaeologists and archaeology students are integral in assuring the long-term success of public education. The committee will organize sessions at annual meetings on how to elevate the status of such activities in promotion and tenure reviews.)

7. Special Interest Groups Subcommittee
Work with special interest groups to promote education about archaeology and heritage preservation. (Many archaeologists collaborate on an individual, ad hoc basis with civic and recreational organizations having an interest in, or impact on, archaeological sites. Future efforts will focus on developing a relationship with one or more major organizations to provide information about archaeology and resource protection, articles for publication in newsletters, and other appropriate services or productions.)

8. Awards Subcommittee
Establish an awards program to recognize exemplary efforts that promote public archaeology education. (The SAA Executive Committee has authorized the development of an awards program to give special recognition to organizations and individuals who have promoted public education about the past, or who have engaged the public in the preservation and protection of heritage resources.)

9. Archaeology Week Subcommittee
Encourage and assist the development of state- or province-sponsored Archaeology or Heritage Preservation Weeks. (A growing number of U.S. states and Canadian provinces are establishing specific times for promoting archaeology and heritage preservation awareness, consisting of events, activities, and products that encourage public involvement.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION about the SAA Public Education Committee, or to receive the newsletter, contact Edward Friedman, chairman of the Public Education Committee, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 25007, D-5650, Denver, CO 80225.

[This article is based on "A Plan for the Future" by Phyllis Messenger, Archaeology and Public Education 4(1) August 1993.]
TEACHERS CORNER: SIMULATIONS

Culturally, economically, and socially diverse classrooms challenge teachers to foster more effective communication skills. Through using a combination of presentations, simulations, and debriefings, teams of students can use anthropology for collaborative problem-solving, thereby increasing their analytical and communication skills, as well as their personal relationship skills and competencies at handling cultural diversity.

PERSONAL CULTURE

One of the basic anthropological approaches that guides my teaching is self-reflection. Self-reflection is the active process of discovering, understanding, and defining one's own personal values, beliefs, thinking styles, and assumptions about reality, and is one effective means through which students can come to understand the anthropological concept of culture. I define personal culture as the organic complex or entirety of an individual's personal system of meaning: beliefs, values, perceptions, assumptions, and explanatory frameworks about reality that underlie a person's behavior.

One's personal culture develops in the social interactions within one's family and within one's sociocultural milieu of community, work, school, affiliation groups and other local social organizations. One's personal culture can, of course, change over the course of one's lifetime, and is affected by the national culture and the various subcultures within which one lives.

Students need to understand how their personal culture and the cultures all around them influence their relationships with others. Interpersonal relationships demand effective communication, but this is difficult, particularly in multicultural contexts where people's personal cultures may be so different and so little understood by those engaged in the interaction.

Organizational or institutional culture is another level of culture I introduce to my students. Most of us live our daily lives within organizations. We need to understand more about the culture and social structure embodied in organizational policies, procedures, and programs, to become better problem-solvers and to communicate more effectively with others.

FOURTEEN COMPETENCIES

To enter into effective communication, and to build practical relationships with persons of diverse backgrounds and ways of behaving, it is helpful to strengthen certain personal competencies. Through engaging in simulations and debriefing exercises, students develop these competencies, and, in the process, increase their abilities for self-reflection and for understanding the personal, social, and organizational cultures in which they live. The 14 competencies described below include behaviors that enhance communication and relationships, and basic orientations to personal interactions with others, such as one's beliefs, values, and attitudes. Students can be encouraged to:

SELF REFLECTION
1. **Personalize Observations:** Recognize and accept that one's personal perceptions may not be shared by others; know and accept that 'my way is not the only way;' use 'I,' not 'you' messages.

2. **Pay Attention to Your Feelings:** Self-reflect on one's thoughts and feelings during an interaction.

3. **Listen Carefully:** Pay close attention to what is said, verbally and nonverbally.

4. **Observe Attentively:** Learn to understand meanings of nonverbal behavior.

5. **Assume Complexity:** Recognize multiple perspectives and outcomes.

6. **Tolerate Ambiguity:** Respond to unpredictable situations without stress.

7. **Have Patience:** Stay calm, stable, and persistent in difficult situations.

8. **Manage Personal Biases:** Treat people as individuals by recognizing that everyone belongs to many groups and that no one typifies a group.

9. **Be Non-Judgmental:** Not negatively judging others.

10. **Be Flexible:** Readjust quickly to changing situations.

11. **Be Resourceful:** Seek information about the cultures of those with whom you interact.

12. **Have A Sense of Humor:** Laugh at oneself and with others, not at others.

13. **Show Respect:** Behave in a respectful manner to those who are different.

14. **Be Empathetic:** Feel the thoughts, attitudes, and experiences of another.

Simulations that encourage these 14 competencies are active learning strategies that may include role playing and games. They provide students the opportunity to practice and apply their learning in the classroom environment. Simulations should include ample time for debriefing, a time when people who have shared a common experience discuss the meaning of that experience and its impact on the participants.

In all simulations, it is important to take students through a step-by-step approach: 1) introduce the simulation activity and its purpose, 2) define the roles that students will take, 3) train each person in his or her simulation role, 4) do the actual simulation with enough time for it to be fully experienced, 5) debrief the experience, 6) self-evaluate the experience, and 7) evaluate the entire session with the other participants.

The following two simulations can be used together in the classroom to help students 1) develop self-reflection skills, 2) understand and analyze difficulties of communication within culturally diverse communities, and 3) grow in their own competency skills at managing cultural diversity.

**SIMULATION #1: Introduction to Self-Reflection**

Ask students to write the following statement with their nondominant hand: "I am writing my name ___________ with my nondominant hand."

After the exercise, ask the students the following: "Describe in three words or less your personal feelings and/or thoughts about the experience of writing with your nondominant hand."

As students answer, write their exact words on the board; usual responses include: 
"frustrated, vulnerable, awkward, embarrassed, fun." Following this discussion, introduce the next simulation.

**SIMULATION #2: Cross-Cultural Communication**

Divide the class into four equal groups. Give each group a different script from those offered below. The four groups have three minutes to become familiar with their scripts. Each script describes rules for communicating. Then each student is asked to pair up with a member of another group, but to follow his/her own group's script for communicating. Each person must obtain at least two pieces of information about his/her partner from the other group. Conversations are stopped after two minutes and students return to their original seats.

**Scripts:**

#1: Speak louder than you normally would, use hand gestures often, stand 6 inches
closer to your partner then you normally would, and ask lots of personal questions.

#2: Speak more softly than you normally would, stand much farther away from your partner than you normally would, do not initiate conversation, do not look at your partner in the eyes, and do not ask questions.

#3: Speak gently but in your normal voice, look down or over your partner’s shoulder, do not show emotion or react to your partner when he or she is speaking, and avoid eye contact.

#4: Touch your partner when you change the topic of conversation and do that as often as you wish, look directly at your partner, ask questions often, and interrupt your partner fairly frequently.

Debriefing

1. Write four questions on the board before the simulation and explain that these will be used for later discussion. The questions are: What did you see or hear? What is its meaning in relation to ideas of culture we have discussed? What was your personal reaction to the experience? How are you going to use this experience in a practical way?

2. After the cross-cultural conversation simulation, ask students to give you their immediate personal response ("I felt rude," "I couldn't stop giggling," "I felt so frustrated").

3. Ask one person from each group to read his/her script.

4. Ask students to name various nonverbal forms of communication encountered in the simulation (gesture, eye contact, personal space).

5. Ask students to comment on what each learned from participating in the simulation.

Comments following this simulation often include: "I never realized before how much our communication is governed by rules," "We are just not conscious of what governs our behavior," "I can almost feel the culture operating inside me that I never saw before," "I never realized how much I could be 'turned off' by the way another person communicates," "It is hard to care about understanding another person's viewpoint when they are communicating in such a 'rude' manner."

At this point, ask students to offer ideas for how learning from this experience can be used in a practical way. Introduce the Fourteen Competencies and hand them out on a piece of paper to go over in detail. Offer the suggestion that practicing these competencies helps people deal more effectively with the frustrations that grow out of cross-cultural communication.

Suggested Readings
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SUMMER FIELDWORK OPPORTUNITIES

Looking for adventure? For an opportunity to acquire new skills? Become a member of an archeological excavation or a scientific expedition in the United States or abroad and learn about another culture—past or present.

SMITHSONIAN PROGRAMS

Anthropology-related summer projects offered by Smithsonian Research Expeditions are:

Studying the Reenactment of the Battle of Little Big Horn. Record two reenactments of the Battle, the second being a Native American version (June 22-28).

Crow Culture: Writing a Contemporary Ethnography. Record everyday life on Crow Agency in Montana (August 17-23).

Crow and the Land: Folklore. Document stories and folklore handed down through generations in the hills of Custer County (June 29-July 6).

Bali Arts Festival. Observe, photograph, and interview performers of the annual Bali Arts Festival (June 9-18).

Polynesian Barkcloth: Preserving a Tradition. Assist in the conservation of Polynesian barkcloth in the Department of Anthropology’s collections. Tours of conservation labs and lectures will give volunteers additional knowledge (April 17-30).

The Lamb Collection. Prepare this West African textile collection for storage at the new Museum Support Center in Maryland (July 31-August 13).

Ethnic Imagery in Advertising. Survey collections and conduct research on imagery of ethnic groups prior to photography and after 1870 when "mass culture" emerged, at the archives of the National Museum of American History (May 15-28).

For further information, write or call Smithsonian Research Expeditions, 490 L’Enfant Plaza, S.W., Suite 4210, Washington, D.C. 20024; (202) 287-3210.

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education (OESE)

OESE offers week-long courses in the sciences, arts, and humanities with in-service credit for teachers, K-12, from Maryland, the District of Columbia, and Virginia. Call Clare Cuddy at (202) 357-2404 for a registration form after May 1. This summer’s offerings include a course held in conjunction with the Folklife Festival taught by SI folklorist and education specialist Betty Belanus, and a course focused on ways to incorporate an anthropological perspective into 7-12 social studies and language arts curriculum taught by AnthroNotes editor Ruth O. Selig.

ORGANIZATIONS TO CONTACT

Anthropology departments at local universities and colleges, state historic preservation offices, and state archeological societies often organize local archeological excavations and frequently accept volunteers with no previous fieldwork experience. The Archaeological Institute of America (AIA) offers a listing of state
archeologists as part of its yearly field school listing for the U.S. and abroad. The cost, including shipping and handling, is $11.50 for members and $13.50 for non-members. For each additional copy ordered, add 50 cents for shipping. Write: Kendall-Hunt Publishing Co., Order Dept., 2460 Kerper Blvd., Dubuque, IA 52001; (800) 338-5578. Archaeology magazine, published by the AIA, features an archeology travel guide to sites open to the public in the Old World (March/April issue) and the New World (May/June issue).

Several organizations offer volunteer public participation in worldwide research expeditions. Many of these organizations listed below are non-profit, and participation fees may be treated as tax-deductible contributions.

University Research Expeditions Program
University of California
2223 Fulton, 4th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94720
(510) 642-6586

Earthwatch
680 Mount Auburn St., Box 403,
Watertown, MA 02272.
(617) 926-8200
(Scholarships available for teachers)

CEDAM International
(CEDAM stands for Conservation, Education, Diving, Archeology, Museums)
Fox Road
Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520
(914) 271-5365

Foundation for Field Research
P.O. Box 2010
Alpine, CA 91903
or
Dept. P.; P.O. Box 71
St. George's Grenada (WI)
(809) 440-8854

SELECTED FIELD SCHOOLS

Summer Abroad through World Learning, Inc., the U.S. Experiment in International Living, offers students and adults opportunities to learn another culture through homestay, language-study, and ecologically-focused programs. Write: World Learning, Inc., The U.S. Experiment in International Living, P.O. Box 676, Kipling Rd., Brattleboro, VT 05302-0676; (802) 258-3173.

Picuris Pueblo in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, New Mexico, is the focus of an ethnographic field school in late July, sponsored by Middlesex County College. In addition to three weeks of instruction on the southwest cultures and in field methods, students will live with Pueblo families and participate in village life, including pottery making, adobe construction and feast day. Write: Dr. Diane Z. Wilhelm, Middlesex County College, 155 Mill Road, Box 3050, Edison, NJ 08818-3050; or call (908) 548-6000 ext. 3099.

Center for American Archeology, Kampsville Archeological Center conducts educational research programs for junior and senior high school students, college students, and the non-professional, and workshops for teachers. Scholarships are available for American Indian students. Write: Admissions Office, Kampsville Archeological Center, Kampsville, IL 62053; or call (618) 653-4316.

Drew University in West Africa offers a comprehensive study of West African art and architecture in Mali and Cote d'Ivore (July 19-August 13). In Mali, students will be introduced to West African cultures through lectures and travel. In the Cote d'Ivore, students will learn through apprenticeships about West African arts and crafts and archaeology. Write: Off-Campus Program Office, BC-115, Drew University, Madison, NJ 07940-4036; (201) 408-3438.

Northwestern University's Ethnographic Field School (June 20-August 13) is an opportunity to learn about the Navajo or Hispanic cultures of New Mexico and Arizona by designing independent research projects. Write or call: Professor Oswald Werner, Department of Anthropology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208; (708) 491-5402 or (708) 328-4012, evenings.
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Anthro notes

"Ethnographic Film," continued from p. 4)

Northwest Territories, Canada: Oaggig (Gathering Place, 1989), Nunagpa (Going Inland, 1991), and Saputi (The Fish Trap, 1992). All of these videos have as their primary audience Inuit peoples themselves. All were made under the direction of Inuit elders and involve the 'reconstruction' and representation of various traditional Inuit practices. In contrast to the external contextualizing commentary of the anthropologist, we have only the subtitled dialogue of the Inuit. Recreating the recent past that exists only in memory, Kunuk seeks to keep alive a sense of identity grounded in a traditional way of life.

Teachers who have used films from the Netsilik Eskimo Series will find interesting parallels in Kunuk’s videos, but, in this case, with a different sense of pacing and perspective in imaging the land, and in personal touches that give a sense of psychological realism and intimacy to the social interactions among the Inuit. Some teachers might wish to contrast Saputi with Fishing at the Stone Weir: Part I as a way to explore exactly what is distinctive about the Inuit perspective in Kanuk’s videos that will become part of a series on Igloolik life for Canadian television.

IMAGINING INDIANS

By far the most notable film in this year’s indigenous category was Victor Masayesva’s Imagining Indians (1992). This Hopi filmmaker presents a Native perspective on the misrepresentation of Native Americans in feature films. Masayesva breaks with strict documentary conventions and feels free to use a combination of scripted scenes, documentary and feature archival footage, and interviews. Weaving a complex narrative, he plumbs the ways in which Native Americans react to, attempt to work with, or overtly resist their representation by the dominant White culture. We get an eye-opening Native look at recent popular films by Kevin Costner and Robert Redford. Intercut through all this is a subtheme about how a romanticized "noble savage" view of American Indians has gone hand-in-hand with the commodifi-

cation [commercialism] and appropriation of their arts and material culture.

Employing a keen sense of irony, Masayesva opens the film with a scene in a dentist’s office, the walls of which are covered with broadsides for Hollywood films featuring Indians. The patient, a Native American woman, is seen seated in the dentist chair, her mouth plugged ("silenced") with cotton tubes. The ensuing inability of the dentist to communicate with his patient stands as a metaphor for the misunderstandings explored by the filmmaker—just as the visit to the dentist (read: "white man’s medicine") constitutes a metaphor which speaks on various levels both to Whites and Native Americans. Virtually any viewer will associate the dentist’s office with anxiety and discomfort, a sentiment that Masayesva plays out as he registers the sentiments which Native Americans express at being variously patronized and controlled by the dominant white culture. Periodically the dentist office scene re-appears throughout the film, to frame newly introduced subthemes that are introduced.

What is most refreshing about Imagining Indians, however, is not simply its "indigenous" perspective, but the fact that Masayesva (unlike some other native filmmakers and some anthropologists),
recognizes the existence of diversity and even ambiguity within this perspective. There is no single voice that "speaks back" to the dominant White culture but many competing voices with individual points of view. At one point the filmmaker explores native protest to a recent production by Robert Redford that casts a non-Indian in the starring role as a Native American. The inserts of two Native American "talking heads" appear on the screen, each simultaneously articulating a different viewpoint on the matter.

THE 'LOCAL' IN THE GLOBAL

Culture Within the global ethnospace is a second theme that has emerged in ethnographic filmmaking over the past few years. Two realities exist in these films. Cultures have become progressively "de-territorialized" as native peoples migrate to the colonial motherlands, as traditional art is commodified and produced for consumption within a world system, and as people find different ways of creating ethnicity in different sites of their respective diasporas. The second reality is that we can no longer maintain the fiction of presenting 'the local' without reference to the global.

In the 1960s, anthropologists began to handle these problems through network analysis, in the 70s through recourse to the concept of "world system," and in the 80s by reference to transnationalism. All along, however, most ethnographic filmmakers remained content to make films in rustic peasant villages or distant island or other remote "traditional" sites. The formula, in fact, remains popular for the types of made-for-television documentaries noted above. No doubt it produces the familiar feel for the exotic that audiences have come to appreciate in films dubbed 'ethnographic'.

But the world is now much more complex. Even television--with its current penchant for using images of 'the Other' in advertising--tells us as much. Today Aboriginal Australians control their own broadcasting network and display their art in the fashionable galleries of New York; Buddhist temples exist in the heartland of America; a fair majority of Maori in New Zealand have embraced the creed of Rastafari, a religion and culture "invented" in the African Diaspora; and Songhay and other West African traders ply an international trade on the streets of Harlem. "Culture", the so-called object of anthropological study, stubbornly refuses to stay in its place and be properly analyzed regardless of how much we anthropologists long for the simplicity of our pastoral field sites.

While many popular documentaries continue to uphold the fiction of a radical separation between a modern "us" and a traditional "them," the postmodern turn in filmmaking continues to dissolve this fiction. Films like Cannibal Tours (1987), In and Out of Africa (1990), Market of Dreams (1986), My Town--Mio Paese (1986), Joe Leahy's Neighbors (1987), Black Harvest (1992), and Valencia Diary (1992) all show the complex ways in which local and global domains intersect and are implicated in one another.

TWO EXAMPLES

In the 1993 Mead Festival, two films fit admirably into this more complex niche: Rime and Reason (1992) by Francis Guibert and Kofi: An African in France (1993) by Carlyn Saltman and Beth Epstein. Rime and Reason is a lively reconnaissance exploring the global manifestations of rap, hiphop, and raggamuffin across the urban landscapes of France. What was initially a musical and cultural manifestation, featuring the cross-over between a Jamaican-inspired deejay style known as "toasting" and African-American rap and hip-hop, is now seen as having crossed the language barrier into multicultural France.

Guibert intercuts interviews with young working-class and immigrant males and females with performance vignettes, street scenes, and the visual artwork through which young immigrants (largely Arab and African and working class whites) publically announce their presence and claim their place in the urban terrain. Through interviews they discuss what the
style means to them as a form of identity and cultural resistance amidst the current anti-immigrant sentiments prevalent throughout much of France. In this film one gets a sense of the emergent and recombinant nature of hip-hop culture; something assisted by the highly visual symbols and codes of this postmodern form of cultural expression. Kofi, by contrast, is an intimate portrait film that picks up the remarkable story of Kofi Yamgnane, a missionary-educated native of Togo who recently became the first African ever to be elected as the mayor of a French town. The film traces how Kofi came to the Breton village where he lives with his French wife and all-white neighbors, his early problems of acceptance, and the headlines that followed in the wake of his election as mayor. Although he has left the village life of Africa far behind, Kofi manages to introduce some "tribal" traditions into his running of the Breton village with the formation of a council of elders. As the film unfolds, Kofi is summoned to Paris to assume a post as minister for "integration"--and in this role we begin to see how the French government attempts to use Kofi's own status as a symbol in dealing with the current social climate surrounding immigrants in France.

Screened together, Kofi and Rime and Reason form an interesting couplet. Together, they contain thought provoking perspectives from which to explore different realities of the immigration experience and radically different takes on how this experience relates to issues of race and identity.

CONCLUSION

Over the past two decades, ethnographic film has undergone a series of transformations, from films which are didactic and ones in which individuals appear as cultural "types" rather than full-bodied individuals, to ones which are reflexive and that incorporate narrative strategies of presentation, providing access to indigenous voices and concerns. Many of these changes in visual ethnography took place before the more talked about postmodern turn in the writing of ethnographic texts. The concern with "dispersed authority"--producing texts which present more provisional readings of cultural phenomenon in which the burden of representation is somehow "shared" between ethnographer and subjects--was recognized as an issue in ethnographic filmmaking over a decade before it became a concern in "writing culture." Perhaps one of the reasons for this is that film images are specific and cannot in themselves generalize from the immediacy of the occurrences they record. Film presents behavior and events "fully-formed" and cannot as easily overlook the specific individuals which they present to our gaze.

Concerns over voice and authority have led to a repositioning of the subject across broad swaths of ethnographic film. Films are more open to native voices and concerns. In addition, more films seek to produce representations commensurate with the lived experience of the specific and named individuals they depict. This tradition, of course, has a long history dating to Robert Flaherty's Nanook of the North. Now, however, in addition to Nanook, we are more likely to recognize other 'stars' of ethnographic cinema--Damoré Zika, !Nai, Onka, Jero Tapakan, and others. Largely because of these developments, more anthropologists now consider ethnographic film to be an alternative means of representation with its own strengths and weaknesses, rather than merely an adjunct to the ethnographic text.

In acknowledging that film is a form of communication (as argued for decades by scholars like Sol Worth and Jay Ruby), there is an accompanying expectation that more critical skills for 'reading' film need to be brought to bear by those who use them. This is especially true given the challenge of 'indigenous perspectives' and indigenously produced media. Ethnographic films are not merely depictions of 'the real'; they articulate points of view and incorporate ideologies of their own. I concur with the assessment recently put forward by Jay Ruby that "The move to give greater voice and authority to the subject [in film] has now reached a local but extreme point" (Ruby 1991:54). What
indigenous voices say about themselves and their situation is as much data to be interpreted as insight into the world of the Other.

Note

A free listing of films (with distributor information) shown at the 1993 Margaret Mead Film Festival is available from the Education Department, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, N.Y. 10024. For further information about the film festival call 212/769-5305; fax 212/769-5329. In addition, the Margaret Mead Traveling Film and Video Festival is scheduled to appear in Berkeley, Washington, D.C., Los Angeles, Philadelphia, and Austin.
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("Summer Opportunities," continued from p.11)

Southwestern Archaeology on the Ground and in the Classroom is a graduate level archeology field class for primary and secondary school teachers, offered by Arizona State University. Teachers, who can choose one of two sessions—July 11-26 or July 27-August 11—will excavate Rattlesnake Point Ruin, a 90-room, 14th century pueblo in Lyman Lake State Park near St. Johns. For the course, teachers will develop a unit on Southwestern archeology appropriate to the grade level taught. Write: Lyman Lake Prehistory Project, Department of Anthropology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-2402; or call (602) 965-6213.

Human Origins and Prehistory in Kenya: The Koobi Fora Field School (June 5-July 16; July 23-September 2), offered by Harvard University Summer School and the National Museums of Kenya, introduces the wealth of paleoanthropological evidence at Koobi Fora and field methods in early human research. Write or call: Dr. Harry V. Merrick, Koobi Fora Field School, Harvard Summer School, 51 Brattle St., Cambridge, MA 02138; (203) 481-0674 or (617) 495-2921.
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