The

Explicator

Volume 45 Number 3 Spring 1987

Roy J. Pearcy
Mark A. Heberle
Ernest Fontana
William A. McQueen
John LeVay
A. L. Kistner and
M. K. Kistner
Peter Dixon
Jerome Cartwright
Kathleen Nolan Monahan
Jana Davis
Martin Spence
John Coakley
Raymond D. Gozzi

- Chaucer's COOK'S PROLOGUE, I.4326 Spenser's THE FAERIE QUEENE, VII.7.46
- Shakespeare's SONNET 55
- **Donne's THE CROSSE** 8
- Middleton and Rowley's THE CHANGELING 11
- Webster's THE WHITE DEVIL
- Pope's EPISTLE TO BOLINGBROKE 15
- Blake's THE LITTLE BLACK BOY 16
- 18 **Brown's ARTHUR MERVYN and ORMOND**
- 20 **Scott's THE PIRATE**
- 22 Austen's MANSFIELD PARK
- 25 **Emerson's TERMINUS**
- Lowell's THE CATHEDRAL and FROST'S HAP-PINESS MAKES UP IN HEIGHT FOR WHAT IT LACKS IN LENGTH
- Dostoevsky's CRIME AND PUNISHMENT
- 32 Arnold's THE SCHOLAR-GYPSY
- 34 Arnold's THE SCHOLAR-GYPSY
- 37 Dickinson's THERE'S A CERTAIN SLANT OF LIGHT Hopkins' NO WORST, THERE IS NONE
- Stephen M. Curtis Renn G. Neilson Joanne E. Rea George Monteiro Konnie Leffler Martin R. Kalfatovic

Debbie Beck Martin Puhvel

38

41

James Harrison Curtis Dahl

- 42 Joyce's ULYSSES T. S. Eliot and Stephen Foster 44
- 45 Sassoon's REPRESSION OF WAR EXPERIENCE 47 Faulkner's KNIGHT'S GAMBIT

Conrad's HEART OF DARKNESS

- 48 Hemingway's THE SUN ALSO RISES
- 50 Steinbeck's THE CHRYSANTHEMUMS
- 51 O'Connor's EVERYTHING THAT RISES MUST **CONVERGE**
- Alice Hall Petry David K. Gratz

William Adair

Leroy Thomas

55 Oates's WHERE ARE YOU GOING, WHERE HAVE YOU BEEN?

e is a lich is don't he ac-

oldier is an begins s are d all lic of this t per-

me,"

as are ort to and its to s not Yet,

utiful

em is it it re to izing oks, king

most the the his sits

) the

oks

iself

. He . He . To his osts not ght, Vho The use of the word "ugly" ties this to war (as he used the word in line seven), and it becomes a condemnation of the men who created and directed the war. It also reflects more subtly, a condemnation of himself. As a survivor, he feels guilty for having lived when others did not.

The last stanza of the poem seems to catch the soldier drifting in the midst of his musings on the ghosts. Suddenly, he can hear the guns. We don't know if the sound he is hearing is the breakthrough of his memories of war or merely the "thud, thud—quite soft" of the moth against the ceiling which he had noticed earlier. We also don't know whether what he is experiencing is actual madness taking control at last, or just a recurring, waking nightmare.

Sassoon has done an excellent job of conveying to us the lingering effects of war on the human mind. Whether it is called "shell-shock," as in World War I or "post-traumatic stress disorder," as in the Viet Nam conflict, Sassoon has firmly assaulted the idea that soldiers can walk away from war and things will be just as they were before the experience. He has commendably reminded us of a result of war that is often overlooked: its effect on individual soldiers.

-KONNIE LEFFLER, Kirksville, Missouri

Faulkner's KNIGHT'S GAMBIT

... the yellowed pages bearing even forty and fifty years later the imprints of pressed and vanished flowers and through which moved with the formal gestures of shades the men and women who were to christian-name a whole generation: the Clarissas and Judiths and Marguerites, the St Elmos and Rolands and Lothairs: the women who were always ladies and men who were always brave, moving in a sort of immortal moonlight without anguish and with no pain from birth without foulment to death without carrion, so that you too could weep with them without having to suffer or grieve, exult with them without having to conquer or triumph.

William Cuthbert Faulkner was born in 1897 to not only the tradition of the South he was to so eloquently write about, but also to the more personal tradition of authorship set forth by his grandfather, William Clark Falkner.

The elder Falkner, truly a product of his times, cut a dashing and adventurous figure: war hero; successful entrepreneurial business man; political boss; and a popular man of letters. A figure explored by his grandson a generation later in the novel *Sartoris* (*Flags in the Dust*).

The elder Falkner's output was small, three novels (*The Spanish Heroine*, privately printed in 1851; *The White Rose of Memphis*, Carelton, 1881; and *The Little Brick Church*, J. B. Lippincott & Co., 1882); an epic poem on the Mexican-

American War (*The Siege of Monterey*, privately printed, 1851); and a play (*Lost Diamond*, 1867).

crow

seem

tocra

week

fears

but l

he d

Geoi

tana

nove

story

"ho

Hur

in B

and

by t

ciou siler

the nere of r goth thre A M Y Mor

hea Mic

oth

ists

cou

woi

Sc

He

That the younger Faulkner was aware of his grandfather's success is well-known (some hold that the "u" in his name was an attempt to distinguish himself from his grandfather whose *White Rose of Memphis* had been in print for thirty years, gone through thirty-five printings and sold over 160,000 copies).

Yet another attempt to distance himself from his grandfather can be seen in one of his later works, the short story, "Knight's Gambit." In the passage quoted above, Faulkner is describing the dusty, somber volumes in the Mallinson's library and in particular those volumes chosen by Chick's grandmother; these books "forty and fifty" years old are filled with characters named Clarissa, Judith, Marguerite, St. Elmo, Roland, and Lothair.

A quick look at Falkner's White Rose finds the characters Ingomar, the Barbarian Chief; Mary, Queen of Scots; Don Quixote; the Queen of Sheba; Henry of Navarre; Napoleon; the Duke of Wellington; George III; and Ivanhoe. These names, it is clear, are similar to those Faulkner would deplore as lacking in reallife, the very aspect he so successfully infused his characters with. Even in success, Faulkner, like Quentin Compson, could not help looking over his shoulder.

-MARTIN R. KALFATOVIC, Arlington, Virginia

Hemingway's THE SUN ALSO RISES

The usual opinion seems to be that Jake Barnes, because of his attitude towards Robert Cohn, is anti-Semitic. But it seems closer to the truth to say that Jake has a slight, or not so slight, prejudice against most people in the novel, because of their nationality, race, etc. Indeed, he seems to suffer not from anti-Semitism, but from a mild, or not so mild, case of xenophobia.

He likes Bill and Krum, but they are people like himself, journalists or writers from the Midwest. In his romantic way (Cohn's not the only romantic in this novel), Jake admires certain things about such exotics as Romero and perhaps, the Count; he likes Montoya, and perhaps a few others. But generally, he dislikes.

He dislikes Cohn, a Jew. He dislikes Braddocks and wife, apparently because they're Canadians (Canadians are ill mannered and provincial, the novel suggests). He dislikes the French, who are mercenary; besides, they crowd the tennis courts on the weekends. Obviously he doesn't approve of the homosexuals, and "niggers" too act a certain way. Then there's the ladies of the American women's club who crowd his favorite restaurant, and the American Catholic tourists who