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Introduction

The Egyptian revival has been considered a “recurring theme in the history of taste” as the Western fascination with ancient Egyptian arts and design has spanned from Greco-Roman times to the modern era. Throughout the centuries, Egyptian themes have been revived in Western architecture, interior design, and the decorative arts. Egyptian obelisks were erected in ancient Rome, and Emperor Hadrian’s villa in Tivoli had an Egyptian style section known as Canopus. Renewed interest in Egypt occurred during the Renaissance in Italy and spread to France in the sixteenth century with lasting influences on the Western conception of ancient Egypt. From the beginning of the eighteenth century, Egyptian follies, such as pyramids, obelisks and sphinxes, were incorporated into the Rococo and Neoclassical fashion for Anglo-Chinese parks on English estates. During the 1760s, the Italian designer, Giovanni Battista Piranesi created a painted interior in the Egyptian taste for the Caffe degli Inglesi in the Piazza di Spagna in Rome and also included eleven designs for chimneypieces in the Egyptian style in Diverse Maniere D’adornare I Cammini (1769). These are just a sampling of the many episodes of the Egyptian revival in which ancient Egypt inspired creative reinventions in the arts.

Several influential events have renewed interest in Egypt, most notably Napoleon Bonaparte’s military campaign in Egypt (1798-1799). While the military campaign was a failure, the expedition resulted in the profusely illustrated multi-volume work, Description de l’Egypte (1809-1828), which produced the most accurate depictions and information documenting ancient Egypt available to Western audiences. The creation of
the Egyptian style in French Empire designs had an influence on the Continent and America as well as the English Regency designs of Thomas Hope with his famous ‘Egyptian Room’ in his Duchess Street house in London (1799-1804).

The United States of America was still a young nation in the early nineteenth century, and analogies to ancient Egypt appeared with the Mississippi River referred to as “the American Nile,” and American cities named Memphis, Cairo, Thebes, and Karnak. The Egyptian style appeared in American architecture in the first half of the nineteenth century with American cemetery entrance gates and military monuments inspired by funerary Egyptian architecture. Courthouses, prisons, Masonic lodges, libraries, medical colleges, and even synagogues and churches in the Egyptian style connoted the enduring grandeur and strength of Egyptian monuments as well as the mystery and wisdom of ancient Egypt. The myth of ancient Egypt was imaginatively perpetuated by Orientalist painters throughout the nineteenth century with romanticized views of ancient monuments, the Egyptian landscape and the exotic culture.

From the mid to late nineteenth century, Americans’ popular awareness and enthusiasm for ancient Egypt was sustained by frequent articles published on archeological discoveries and current events in illustrated weekly magazines like Harper’s Weekly. Travel literature by Americans who visited Egypt was also immensely popular, such as Mark Twain’s The Innocents Abroad first published in 1869. Before the era of professional American Egyptology emerged from the 1890s to the 1920s, the amateur Egyptologist, George R. Gliddon enticed American audiences with his popular lectures that involved unwrapping ancient Egyptian mummies. Gliddon’s performances
caused a sensation, and “Boston was gripped by mummy fever” in the summer of 1850 as news spread of the unwrapping of a mummy over three days. 5 Beginning with Owen Jones’ Egyptian Hall at the Great Exhibition of 1851 in London, Egyptian pavilions were featured at subsequent international exhibitions. The display of non-Western cultures at the Philadelphia Centennial in 1876, including an Egyptian Court with a temple façade, made a stylistic impact on commodities and interior decoration as exotic styles were popularly adapted for designs. The discovery of Tutankhamen’s tomb by Howard Carter in 1922 spawned a new phase of Egyptomania, which manifested itself in jewelry, fashion, the decorative arts, interior design in the Art Deco aesthetic.

While prominent periods of the Egyptian revival style noted above have been the subject of scholarly writings, the renewed fascination with ancient Egypt in the 1870s in America following the opening of the Suez Canal in 1869 has not received in-depth study. 6 The world-wide popular attention focused on the historic event acted as a catalyst for a new phase of the Egyptian revival in the 1870s and 1880s. The great amount of information about Egypt available through writings, illustrations, museum collections, and increased opportunities for travel offered myriad sources for inspiration. The Egyptian revival of the Victorian period embraced an imaginative application of Egyptian motifs and decoration for a range of fashionable commodities and decorative arts objects, including silverware, glass, furniture and jewelry. The Egyptian revival also figured in the English design reform movement from the mid-nineteenth century, and the influence of the designers, Owen Jones and Christopher Dresser, spread to America.
While a large assortment of objects in the Egyptian revival style was available to
the consumer, the Egyptian style remained an unconventional choice in domestic interior
decoration. The Western fascination with exotic and oriental cultures in the last quarter
of the nineteenth century was transformed by tastemakers into fashions for interior
decoration with Islamic, Persian and Indian rooms created in high-style interiors for elite
American patrons. In Harriet Prescott Spofford’s popular household art manual, *Art
Decoration Applied to Furniture* (1878), her comments reveal the mindset of the times in
adopting non-Western styles for interior decoration when she says, “…without doubt our
better acquaintance with the Eastern countries, the farther depth to which we have
penetrated them, and the richer acquisitions that we have amassed from their artistic
treasures, enable us to present a completer picture.”

While the Egyptian revival style was both historical and exotic, it never had as broad an appeal in interior decoration as
the fashionable “Turkish” smoking rooms and “Japanese” parlors of the late nineteenth
century. As the ultimate expression of the Egyptian style, the reception room at the
country house, Cedar Hill (1872-1877), in Warwick, Rhode Island, is significant in the
history of design as the only room of its kind to survive with special treatments to the
walls and ceiling, woodwork, fireplace, furniture, and appropriate decorative objects
remarkably intact.

Since it was created for a private residence, the Egyptian reception room had not
received critical examination until Cedar Hill recently became accessible to the public as
Clouds Hill Victorian House Museum. The interior decoration and furnishings of the
reception room represent a unique aesthetic statement that is the culmination of artistic
responses to the American fascination with Egypt in the 1870s. The architectural
historian, Marcus Binney, remarks that the reception room is “one of the most elaborate and extraordinary Egyptian rooms to be found on either side of the Atlantic.” The decorative program includes a profusion of Egyptianizing ornament and iconography in the polychromatic wall and ceiling decoration, carved fireplace and mantel garniture, furniture and gas lighting fixtures. The variety of design approaches includes applying current design theories and popular trends in the imaginative reworking of ancient Egyptian motifs and iconography as well as quoting elements from earlier Egyptian revivals. Examining the custom-designed elements of the reception room within the broader context of Orientalism, cosmopolitanism, and the development of artistic interiors provides a historical framework for the stylistic influences on the reception room. The unusual choice to decorate the reception room entirely in the Egyptian style is ultimately an expression of the sophisticated taste and wealth of the inhabitants of Cedar Hill, demonstrating the family’s aesthetic cultivation, knowledge and appreciation of the ancient culture of Egypt, then widely believed to be the oldest civilization in the world.
Cedar Hill, a Victorian Country House

Cedar Hill, a twenty-eight room country house in Warwick, Rhode Island, was built by William Smith Slater (1817-1882) as a wedding gift for his daughter, Elizabeth Ives Slater (1849-1917) upon her marriage to Alfred Augustus Reed, Jr. (1845-1895) on May 19, 1870 (fig. 1.1 and 1.2). Slater fully financed the 15,000 square foot house with construction beginning in 1872 on the hilltop site overlooking Greenwich Bay, located some ten miles south of Providence (1.3). As the architectural style of a house was believed to be indicative of the family’s character and social status in Victorian times, the imposing character of Cedar Hill’s three and one-half story Italianate granite façade combined with Gothic revival decorative elements suggests strength and protection (fig. 1.4). The stone facade may have been a meaningful choice for a father’s gift of a new home to his daughter. For upper-class residential architecture, the grandiose home served as “a symbol of its owner’s desire for social status as well as physical and psychological security.” Nineteenth-century writers attached importance to homes as an important reflection of their owners’ cultural refinement, and architectural historians have recognized that the home is a “dominant symbol of American culture.” The richly appointed principal rooms used for entertaining at Cedar Hill are a remarkable survival of upper class tastes, and the Egyptian reception especially distinguishes the twenty-eight room mansion as one of the finest private residences built in Rhode Island after the Civil War.

The décor of Cedar Hill reflects the fashionable trends for Neo-Grec interior decoration and Renaissance revival furnishings during the 1870s. The first floor
arrangement of rooms follows the traditional plan of a center-hall providing access to four principal rooms including the reception room and dining room on the right side of the hall and the drawing room and library on the left (fig. 1.5). This formal layout was commonly employed in the eighteenth-century urban townhouses of Providence, and its use at Cedar Hill implies that the country house was conceived as a primary residence capable of use for year-round social entertaining rather than only as a seasonal summer home. As the central artery of the house and the space where guests would initially enter upon arrival, a sense of the family’s taste, wealth, and social standing is expressed through the carefully coordinated and stylish décor. A historical photograph documents the appearance of the hall with its encaustic tile floor covered with an oriental carpet, wainscoting enveloping the walls, and richly stenciled Neo-Grec patterns decorating the walls and ceiling (fig. 1.6). Across from the towering walnut hall stand, two large soldier vases frame the side hall, where an entrance from the porte cochere is located and the main staircase rises three stories. Family history states that these Canton porcelain vases were gifts to Mr. Alfred A. Reed from his close personal friend, the King of Siam. A variety of mantel garniture and vases are displayed above the Dutch-style hooded chimneypiece accented with mythical chimeras, and Italianate designs ornament the etched glass panes set in the doors to the back hall with the family crests of the Reed and Slater families etched in the center medallions.

As a conspicuous symbol of one’s success, wealth and high culture, the impressive home of Cedar Hill was one of several large estates built in Warwick by prominent Rhode Island businessmen. Cedar Hill is representative of the wealth and stature of William Smith Slater, who was a member of one of the preeminent families of
Rhode Island society. William Smith Slater was the nephew of Samuel Slater (1768-1835), who is regarded as the founder of the cotton manufacturing industry in America. Even in the nineteenth century, Samuel Slater was recognized as “The Father of American Manufactures” for bringing the technological knowledge of cotton spinning machinery by memory from England to America in 1789. Prior to the Civil War, textile manufacture was the most important American industry. Samuel Slater successfully established cotton mills in Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Connecticut and New Hampshire, and the modern factory system revolutionized textile production in the United States. In 1803, John Slater (1776-1843) joined his older brother, Samuel, in Rhode Island and together they established extensive cotton mills. The mill village for worker housing owned by the Slater family came to bear the name Slatersville, Rhode Island. After his father, John Slater, taught him all aspects of textile manufacturing, William Smith Slater succeeded to the family business and managed the Rhode Island properties until his death in 1886, amassing great wealth as he adeptly oversaw one of the great textile manufacturing empires in Rhode Island.

William Smith Slater’s success and wealth from a variety of industrial and financial interests enabled him to build for his daughter an impressive house in Warwick, Rhode Island. Writing on the history of the textile industry in 1893, William R. Bagnall commented on the personal character of William S. Slater, saying “Though possessed of large wealth, of the best social position, of native dignity, and accustomed to the deference usually paid to such facts and traits, he was wholly free from the hauteur sometimes manifested by gentleman of similar position.” Bagnall nostalgically remarks that Slater was “simple in his tastes” and enjoyed “rural life” as he lived most of the year
at his house in Slatersville, yet his homes and the house he built in Warwick for his
daughter are conspicuous symbols of his success.\textsuperscript{18} Around 1850, William S. Slater had
the Providence architect, Thomas A. Tefft (1826-1859), build a large, mansarded house
with a four-story tower in Slatersville.\textsuperscript{19} Although the Slater family homestead was torn
down in the early twentieth century, nineteenth-century photographs record the
appearance of the Towered Second Empire style house with its characteristic French
mansard roof with dormer windows and a tower punctuating the center of the front façade
(fig. 1.7).\textsuperscript{20}

By the late nineteenth century, the Slater name was considered “the synonym of
the highest integrity,” and William S. Slater ambitiously sustained the “reputation and
prestige” of both his family name and his relations through his joining by marriage to
Harriet Morris Whipple on December 7, 1842, thus becoming associated with the family
of the lawyer, John Whipple, “one of Rhode Island’s noblest sons.”\textsuperscript{21} During the winter
season, William S. Slater resided in Providence in a house then known as “the mansion of
Hon. John Whipple.”\textsuperscript{22} Originally a Greek revival dwelling as his father-in-law’s
residence, William S. Slater had the architect, Alpheus Morse (d. 1893), substantially
enlarge and remodel the house into a three-story Italianate house with a hip roof,
modillion cornice, and quoined three-bay façade with a central balustrade Doric portico,
considered a handsome and stylish renovation at the time.\textsuperscript{23} This house still stands on
College Street and is now known as the Whipple-Slater House (fig. 1.8).\textsuperscript{24}

While Slater funded the building of Cedar Hill, the Reed family had previously
purchased the land in Warwick to establish a country estate. The land where Cedar Hill
stands was first acquired by Alfred Augustus Reed (1817-1878) from the Town of Warwick in 1869 after the land had been used for many years as one of the town of Warwick’s “poor farms” known as Asylum Farm. Descended from old New England society, Alfred August Reed (1817-1878) was a merchant from Dorchester, Massachusetts, who came to Warwick after making his fortune in the East India trade and serving as the United States Consul to Java from 1850 to 1856 (fig. 1.9). While engaged in trade with Indonesia, Reed married Caroline Susette van Son (1825-1861), the daughter of the Dutch East Indies Company representative in Java, and the Reed’s four children were born in Batavia (modern Jakarta) (fig. 1.10). Upon returning to the states, Reed was among the new generation of entrepreneurs who entered the textile industry in Rhode Island. Building the Oriental Print Works in Apponaug and the Oriental Mills in Providence, these mills were among the principal manufacturers in the state and produced a variety of print cloths and Turkish towels. Reed’s sons and heirs, Alfred A. Reed Jr. and Gordon Reed, managed the Oriental Mills in Providence following his death in 1879. However, the panic in 1893 claimed Oriental Mills as a business failure.

Just as the Slater family residences expressed an appreciation for stylish housing, the Reed family also had erected fine homes. Alfred A. Reed built an expansive Greek revival residence known as Edgehill along with extensive gardens on the estate in Warwick, as the country environment was deemed beneficial to Mr. Reed’s health. While Edgehill was torn down in the twentieth century, a photograph shows its elegant façade with classicizing elements, such as its columned entry porch with a Palladian window in the central gable above (fig. 1.11). A photograph also survives of the Reed’s Italianate stone residence in Dorchester, Massachusetts (fig. 1.12).
engagement to Elizabeth Ives Slater, a portion of the land in Warwick was given to Alfred A. Reed, Jr. and then sold to William S. Slater. Slater then gave the land to his daughter and built Cedar Hill, which has always remained in the ownership of women as it has passed down for four generations from mother to daughter.

As Providence grew to become one of the most prosperous and productive industrial cities in nineteenth-century America, those with the means to escape the crowded and dirty city began to build country houses in Warwick. The development of Warwick reflected the nationwide trend of the well-to-do establishing country retreats for recreation and relaxation away from metropolitan areas. By 1875, the population of Providence reached 100,875 and was a major industrial center. Yet Providence was small in comparison to Boston, which had a population of 341,919 then. While working and middle-class people traveled by steamer to visit Rocky Point, Oakland Beach, and Buttonwoods on Warwick’s shore for weekend attractions like resorts with amusement parks, hotels and casinos, more wealthy Providence businessmen built summer residences on country estates near Warwick with offices and a principal residence in Providence. Warwick was located just ten miles south of Providence, and Cedar Hill and other country houses were erected in an area known as Cowesett. Established Providence families of old New England society built comfortable homes in Warwick before the gaudy heyday of Newport, where the rich and fashionable New Yorkers, Bostonians, and transplanted Southerners built summer cottages and palatial oceanside residences.
William S. Slater selected a leading Rhode Island architect, Gen. William R. Walker (1830-1905), to build Cedar Hill (fig. 1.13). Walker’s architectural firm, established in Providence in 1864, built the city and country residences of a number of well-to-do textile mill owners and other successful manufacturers in the 1860s and 1870s that show Walker’s ability to work in a variety of High Victorian revival styles.33 Beyond high-end private residences, Walker’s well-known commissions for mills, schools, churches and state armories show that he had a distinguished career.34 It is evident from the large number of important commissions and the numerous designs published in *The American Architect and Building News* that Walker impressed the prominent citizens of his state.35 The Walker firm became known as an architectural dynasty as his son, William Howard Walker (1856-1922) and later his grandson William R. Walker II (1884-1936), continued the firm as William R. Walker & Son. William S. Slater purportedly was involved in Walker’s contemporaneous building of the Narragansett Hotel in Providence, begun in 1874 and completed in 1878. The Narragansett Hotel had reportedly “cost about one million dollars,” and it was considered “one of the finest hotels in the country” with the “interior furnishing and fitting up…in strict accord with its palatial character” (fig. 1.14).36 Perhaps Slater’s investment developed out of the private residential commission for his daughter’s house.

Cedar Hill is a rare survival of the Victorian era, as most of the country estates that were established in Warwick no longer exist. Around 1870, William R. Walker had also built the palatial residence of Gov. William Sprague (1830-1915), a partner in “the rich and powerful” textile-manufacturing firm, A. & W. Sprague.37 The Sprague mansion once stood nearby Cedar Hill as a physical symbol of the prominent industrialist
family’s cultural refinement (fig. 1.15). Whereas Samuel Slater was esteemed as the father of American textile manufacturing, William Sprague (d. 1836), concurrently developed a family empire in the textile industry. His sons, Amasa and William, formed the A. & W. Sprague Co., which became one of the leading manufacturing firms in the United States. Writing in 1886, Welcome Arnold Greene noted the Sprague family’s wealth and fame by remarking that their nine large mills were “probably the largest producers of cotton cloth and printed calicoes in the world.” The Sprague residence is the only comparable country house in Warwick known to have been built by Walker, and its impressive façade may have further enhanced Slater’s confidence in the architect.

While the Sprague residence exuded a similar architectural spirit to Cedar Hill’s edifice, the Sprague house featured decorative details from the Swiss Chalet style, as seen in the cut-out patterned balustrade and trim accenting the upper balcony and decorative bargeboards, as well as a central cupola. The bolder and more expensive choice of masonry construction for Cedar Hill with its rough hewn stone walls was a more costly construction than the Sprague mansion’s wooden façade (fig. 1.16). The Sprague house was later owned by Col. Walter R. Stiness (1854-1924). Walker’s inclusion of a photograph of this house in his firm’s 1895 architectural portfolio shows the architect’s pride in this high-profile commission (fig. 1.17). A map of Cowesett shows the area as it was settled in the late-nineteenth century with the location of Cedar Hill labeled as the property of Mrs. Alfred A. Reed and the Stiness property also marked (fig. 1.18 and 1.19). Cedar Hill Farm once spanned almost five hundred acres with fields harvested for corn and hay, an apple orchard, woodlands, Guernsey cows raised for dairy products, and
a nine-hole golf course and tennis court for the family’s recreation (fig. 1.20). The nearby shore offered bathing and sailing, and the family enjoyed horseback riding in the surrounding countryside. Among the Reed’s neighbors in the 1890s, Mr. and Mrs. Arthur B. Lisle purchased a house known as The White Swan, which was built around 1800. Located south from Cedar Hill on Post Road, Mr. Lisle altered the house to accommodate their extensive collection of Egyptian artifacts and had extensive gardens designed by the eminent firm of the Olmstead Brothers.

In the *History of Warwick*, published in 1875, the author notes that “the palatial residence of Amasa [sic] Sprague, Esq., at the old Ladd watering-place” was “the most costly dwelling-house, probably, in the town; with its extensive and beautiful lawns and shrubbery.” As Fuller continues to describe the neighbors, he mentions, “On the hill the massive stone dwelling-house of Alfred A. Read [sic], Esq. vying, in architectural beauty, with the Sprague house, to the northward, and overlooking Narragansett Bay and the surrounding country.” By 1875, Cedar Hill’s interiors were largely complete, and the house stood atop a treeless hill and was then clearly visible in the wide-open landscape from across the bay.

The blending of historical styles expressed in the façade of Cedar Hill combines Italianate and Gothic revival features. Both styles continued to be popular choices for country houses in post-Civil War America, and the combination of historicizing elements was not unusual. The exterior reflects the spirit of eclecticism prevalent in the Victorian age, and this approach to design continues in the themes and styles elaborated in the high-style Victorian interior (fig. 1.21 – 1.24). As opposed to the picturesque, irregular outline
and asymmetrical massing of earlier Gothic villas of the 1830s and 1840s, the regular massing of Cedar Hill conveys the overall Italianate influence (fig. 1.25). When looking closely at the façade, one may discern the careful attention to detail in the decorative pattern created in laying the stones (fig. 1.26). The rusticated pink granite façade contributes to the Italianate character, and subtle polychromatic details are added by the blue granite stone lintels framing the windows and quoins. Gothic revival elements include the verticality and variety of the roof line created by the steep pitched roof and the projecting gables with decorative bargeboards ornamented with cut-out designs of Gothic trefoils and floral motifs (fig. 1.27). The verandah was a popular element found on Gothic revival and Italianate houses. The paired square posts of Cedar Hill’s verandah reference paired columns in Renaissance architecture while the pierced floral ornament embellishing the woodwork is typical of the time (fig. 1.28). The verandah extends from the front of the house to the south façade to shade the parlor and library. In contrast to the Italianate character of the first and second story rectangular windows framed with blue granite lintels, certain third floor windows are framed by Tudor arches. These paired lancet-shaped windows incorporate a classicizing Venetian Gothic detail expressed in the carved wooden Corinthian column (fig. 1.29). The Tudor arch openings of the porte cochere are another notable accent of the Gothic revival vocabulary (fig. 1.30). Rounded Tudor-arch openings for porte cocheres were featured in a number of published designs in architectural literature of the nineteenth century. The incorporation of this element suggests Walker’s awareness of A.J. Downing, who advocated for the Gothic style for country houses.
In developing the architectural style of Cedar Hill, Walker likely drew inspiration from his architectural library of “reference books, many of them imported.” Marcus Binney suggests that the character and scale of Cedar Hill closely resembles the gabled rectories, farmhouses and mansions in William Wilkinson’s English Country Houses, first published in 1870. However, Walker likely had explored multiple sources and then creatively combined the Gothic and Italianate elements to suit the client’s preferences. Cedar Hill is characteristic of its time, showing both the influence of eclecticism and revivalism that prevailed in the Victorian age.

While no original plans or design drawings survive for Cedar Hill, numerous letters have been saved that show Walker’s role in supervising the work of contracted companies. The payments from 1872 to 1877 are recorded on a master list titled: House at Warwick R.I. an account with William S. Slater. This list records the total expense of Cedar Hill as reaching $136,284.53 (see app. 1, exh. A). Letters from William R. Walker to William S. Slater reveal the progress of work. In a letter dated August 20, 1872, Walker writes “I think this month will get up the outside walls of house ready for roofs. The work looks very well indeed and is laid very true and strong.” Despite the nationwide economic depression following the Panic of 1873, the progress of building Cedar Hill continued until 1877 and was apparently not hindered by the economic crisis.

Walker took advantage of technological advances to provide modern conveniences at Cedar Hill, including indoor plumbing for multiple bathrooms, a steam heating system, and a gas machine in the cellar that generated gas for the lighting fixtures. As Cedar Hill was located in a remote area, a fire protection system collected
rainwater in two twelve-thousand gallon brick cisterns under the north and south lawns.

An electric bell system and speaking tubes facilitated internal communication, which was common in large houses where servants were employed; however the burglar alarm was an elaborate security precaution. A sixteen-drop annunciator with indicators labeled by room was installed in the kitchen (fig. 1.31). When a pushbutton was pressed in a room, then the bell would ring and indicator would move to summon the servant. Holmes Burglar Alarm Telegraph Co. of New York City also installed the burglar alarm system, consisting of copper wiring in the windows and entry doors that were connected to the “Automatic Burglar Indicator” located in Mr. Reed’s bedroom on the second floor (fig. 1.32). Family tradition claims that the alarm bell was set off often to Mr. Reed’s great annoyance as the servants would exit and re-enter the house.

The letter with a contract proposal from E. Holmes to Mr. A.A. Reed, Esq. dated March 4, 1874, is quite revealing of the impression Cedar Hill made on the company’s representative who visited the house to make an estimate for the installation of the combination call bell and burglar alarm system (see app. 1, exh. D). Holmes writes:

My man who was at your house reports that you have the best house in the state and that if we do the job, it must be very nicely done, that we always profess to do. The prices I have given you on another sheet are the same that we charge for ordinary houses in the city. Although for an extraordinary house like yours we usually make an extra pitch, but in this case every item is figured at the lowest price that we do any house in the city. I can send you my best man as soon as you will need him.

The installation of a burglar alarm system in the latest technology available at Cedar Hill shows the family’s concern to protect their home.
While the interiors of Cedar Hill are a rare survival of Victorian taste in the 1870s, we are also fortunate in having an extensive number of bills and correspondence that provide documentation of the work completed by an array of firms and individuals who hailed mostly from Providence, Boston, and New York City. It would otherwise prove difficult if not impossible to identify the firms responsible for the interior decoration. The record of the work produced at Cedar Hill attests to the high-quality of design and craftsmanship in all aspects of the interior decoration and furnishings. The careful coordination of details is seen in the selection of custom wood work, carpets, drapery, wallpaper and furniture upholstery with ornament across every surface from the frescoed walls and ceilings to the sculpturally carved fireplaces, elaborate gasoliers, and furniture. The fashionable decorating firm, W.J. McPherson & Co. of Boston created the elaborate Neo-Grec decorative program with polychromatic stenciled borders and friezes framing colorful fields on the walls and ceilings throughout Cedar Hill as well as etched ornamental glass along the main hall. The Boston firm of Doe & Hunnewell supplied the Renaissance revival furniture suites and coordinated the window treatment and mantel mirrors for the principal rooms. 58 Mitchell, Vance & Co. of New York City provided the ornate gasoliers. Among the individual craftsmen, Charles Dowler of Providence carved three fireplace designs for the dining room, library, and reception room, as well as carved ornament for the staircase and library bookshelves.

The interior decoration and furnishings of the dining room, drawing room, and library reveal thematic concepts and styles considered appropriate for the principal rooms. The water-bird theme of the dining room may have been considered particularly suitable for a country house that commanded an impressive view of Greenwich Bay
The furnishings and décor of the dining room were carefully selected to express the family’s elite cultural refinement for the formal space where the Reeds entertained family and friends. The water-bird theme of the dining room begins with the crane imagery in the medallions of the etched ornamental glass set into the double doors and continues with the sculpturally carved cranes framing the fireplace (fig. 1.33). In keeping with the vogue for hunting themes, the massive proportions and architectural character of the robustly carved Renaissance revival furniture feature statuesque spoonbills and growling wildcats. The décor of the dining room reflects the significance attached to the ritual and ceremony of dining “as an occasion for the display of highly civilized behavior.”

As the largest of the principal rooms and the major center of entertainment for guests after dining, the drawing room’s size and elegant decoration showcase the family’s refined taste. Walking past the etched glass panes set in the double doors that reproduce the charming cherubs by the Renaissance artist, Raphael, one may gaze at the ornate crystal chandelier and gilded band of Neo-Grec ornament stenciled on the light blue walls and ceiling (1.35). The mahogany overmantel mirror frame as well as the window and door cornices are ornamented with a delicately carved pineapple, regarded as a symbol of hospitality since colonial times (1.36). The Renaissance revival style fireplace is flanked by griffins, appropriately placed as the mythological beast symbolized guardianship of wealth (1.37). The Renaissance revival mahogany and ebony suite of furniture, originally upholstered in light blue satin damask to match the Aubusson carpet and drapes is typical of the period while three striking pieces of furniture, including a “centre table” and two matching cabinets feature intricate ivory and ebony marquetry evocative
of Italian Renaissance grotesque ornament (fig. 1.38). The drawing room, considered the feminine domain, is joined to the male retreat of the library by pocket doors (fig. 1.39).

The library was considered integral to a refined household, and the decoration of the library in the southwest corner at Cedar Hill reflects conventional trends. Typical of the period, low book cases with glass doors frame two corners of the room, the walls are wallpapered with a conventionalized pattern above the wood wainscoting, and furniture for this male retreat was originally upholstered in leather. Two carved figures of the mythological creature, Pan, with the upper body of a man and lower body of a goat, frame the fireplace, emphasizing the family’s knowledge of mythology (fig. 1.40). An orientalizing flavor is brought to the room by the floral design on the ceramic shade of the hanging gasolier and brightly patterned wool drapery (fig. 1.41 and 1.42). Located on the second and third floor of Cedar Hill are the private bedrooms, while the ell housed bedrooms for the live-in servants. A billiard room is located on the third floor, in following “the popular idea that a house should be a place of family amusement and entertainment.”

In contrast to the influence of French design in the predominant Neo-Grec and Renaissance revival aesthetic in the hall and principal rooms at Cedar Hill, the Egyptian style of the reception room exudes a decidedly exotic and Eastern influence with a profusion of ancient Egyptian ornamental motifs and iconography (fig. 1.43). If tastemakers writing on house decoration had been aware of the Egyptian reception room, it likely would have caused a sensation. Yet, along with the other principal rooms in the
home, the reception room was “the public face of private life,” meant for the eyes of the family and invited guests only. Completed for the private sphere of the home, no published commentary or images of the reception room have yet come to light to show contemporary evaluations of this Egyptian room. The Egyptian style of the reception room was a conscious statement of the cultivated taste of the owners and a daring departure from conventional Eurocentric styles.

With the gendering of spaces in the Victorian home, the dining room and library were generally considered masculine spaces while the drawing room was considered a feminine space associated with the social ceremony of calling. The reception room was an important formal space for receiving guests upon their arrival. The types of social encounters that took place in the Egyptian reception room were likely brief as it served as the initial waiting room upon the guest’s arrival before joining the host and hostess in the dining room or drawing room. Located immediately to the right of the front door in the northeast corner of Cedar Hill, the reception room may be entered from the main hall as well as from a door from the side hall if guests arrived by the porte cochere during inclement weather (fig. 1.44). The reception room is the smallest of the principal rooms, yet its ceiling height reaching thirteen feet gives a sense of grandeur to the space. Natural light streams in from the three-bay window looking out to the east onto Greenwich Bay in the distance and another window facing the north lawn. Reception rooms were found in the homes of the wealthy who embraced formal traditions that came down from European aristocratic society. Towards the end of the nineteenth century, the lack of a reception room in middle-class American house plans with a living room instead of a formal drawing room reflects the growing trend towards informality. Yet, in the most
affluent homes of American society, the reception room retained its important role as communicating the first impression of the family’s taste through the formal display of the room’s décor. Just as the hall and other principle rooms conveyed the taste and status of the Reed family, the Egyptian room projects the family’s identity as fashion-conscious leaders in adopting the exotic Egyptian style.

It is not possible to determine whether the idea for the Egyptian room originated with the client or was conceived by the architect acting as the arbiter of taste. No documentation has emerged to show that the newlyweds had traveled like other affluent Americans of the time to Europe where they may have been inspired by Egyptian artifacts in a museum or from visiting Egypt, as this became more popular after the Suez Canal opened in 1869. It is more likely that the vogue for the Egyptian style that fired the imaginations of Americans in the 1870s inspired the design of the reception room. The client relied on the talents of the architect, interior decorator, and furniture designer to create the total effect of the reception room as an Egyptian confection. An exploration of the decorative program will show the variety of ways in which the Western perception of Egypt was translated into the high-style setting of the reception room.
The Egyptian Reception Room and its Floor, Wall and Ceiling Treatments

The overall impression of the Egyptian reception room at Cedar Hill is created by the richness and variety of conventionalized floral and geometric ornament enlivening the floor, wall, and ceiling treatment, as well as a frieze with Egyptian figures. The imaginative pastiche of ancient Egyptian motifs would have left a striking impression upon guests in the brief amount of time in the reception room they may have spent in the reception room before joining the host and hostess in the dining room or drawing room. Like the hall and drawing room, the stenciled bands of Egyptianizing ornament in the reception room are incorporated into the Victorian tripartite division of the wall. Colorful lotus patterns run above the walnut dado, followed by a red field and a figural frieze section above, and an additional ornamental band of lotuses enriches the coved cornice with a geometric border framing the ceiling. Rather than attempting to recreate the exterior and interior of an Egyptian temple like that seen at L’Exposition Universelle in Paris in 1867, the Egyptianizing ornament appropriated in the decorative bands and borders have been abstracted from their original historical context to decorate a Western high-style domestic setting of the 1870s (fig. 2.1 and 2.2). While it cannot be determined who originally created the concept for the Egyptian room at Cedar Hill, the decorative program executed by W.J. McPherson & Co. plays a major part in conveying the Egyptian stylistic theme.

Visible in the historic photograph recording the early appearance of the reception room is the floor richly covered with wall-to-wall carpeting, which was popular at the time (see fig. 1.43). The design includes a patterned field of stylized floral ornament and
a border that sets off the perimeter of the room. Although the colors are not discernible in the photograph, Axminster carpets were known for their bright and colorful patterns woven in wool. Writing in 1878, Spofford expresses the importance of selecting tasteful carpet for an artful home, and her comments reflect current design trends of the 1870s that influenced the interior decoration at Cedar Hill. Spofford writes, “After the appearance of the hall, the carpets give the first impression of the house to the person who enters, and they afford constant and countless sensations to the person who stays – unconscious sensations of comfort, if they are suitable;” She continues to elaborate the importance of this element by saying, “The carpet is to the room exactly what the background is to the picture: it throws up the whole effect, the main features and their suggestions, and is content with that part.” The conventionalized patterns on the carpet in the reception room complement the surface decoration of the walls and ceiling, which corresponds to Spofford’s statement that it is “not unity of style so much as unity of character” which holds utmost importance in coordinating the decoration of a room.

The prominent New York City firm, W. & J. Sloane supplied the high-quality Axminster carpet to fit the reception room, costing a considerable amount at $385 (see app. 1, exh. E). Spofford notes that Axminster carpet is “very expensive” and remarks that the “velvety pile is exceedingly thick and soft, and it is thought to exceed the Oriental carpets in richness.” The floor treatment of the reception room reflects the client’s taste for rich carpeting to correspond with the room’s overall decorative theme.

The fashionable Boston decorating firm, W.J. McPherson & Co., was responsible for Cedar Hill’s polychrome interiors with a rich variety of Neo-Grec patterns. Stenciled interiors were popular throughout the nineteenth century, and Neo-Grec motifs were
fashionable in the 1870s. For domestic interior decoration surviving from the late nineteenth century, it is rare to be able to identify the decorating firm. The substantial decorative work at Cedar Hill is the only full residential commission by W.J. McPherson and Co. known to survive intact. The exceptional survival of the firm’s work is authenticated by the documentation of two bills dating from 1874 and 1875 (see app., exh. F and G). A study of the interior decorative scheme in the reception room will bring a fuller understanding of the firm’s capabilities in providing custom designs for residential work.

While relatively little is now known of the life of William J. McPherson (1822-1900), he emigrated from Scotland to Boston in the 1840s and established his business by 1845. The firm was active for four decades, and William J. McPherson rose to become a distinguished leader in the Boston design and decorating trades during the second half of the nineteenth century. Advertising in the Boston Directory of 1872, W.J. McPherson worked in a variety of media as a “House Painter and Glazier…Fresco Painter in Enamel, Oil, and Distemper Colors” and “Decorator.” Fresco painting was the impressively sounding nineteenth-century term used by firms in advertising their ability to paint decoration for interiors. The advertisement further elaborates the breadth of the firm’s work with “P.S. Special attention paid to the arranging and execution of Interior Decorations for Churches, Public Buildings, Private Residences, Halls, Hotels, &c.” One of McPherson’s most prominent public commissions is the Connecticut State Capitol at Hartford (1877-1880), where he was authorized to act as “expert and advisor” for the interior decoration with a contract to paint the interiors and produce a stained glass skylight. W.J. McPherson & Co. is recognized as one of the earliest American glass
studios and advertised as “Decorative, Painted, and Stained glass manufacturers.” While scholarly attention has recently focused on ecclesiastical and residential glass produced by W.J. McPherson & Co., the high-style interiors of Cedar Hill represent the broad scope of residential work that the firm was capable of producing.

An eight-page catalogue published in 1888 by W.J. McPherson provides further insight into the scope of the firm’s work. While a page devoted to a “list giving some few prominent commissions,” shows an array of libraries, schools, custom houses, clubs, theatres, and churches, as well as twenty-three individuals who are presumably residential clientele, Cedar Hill is not included. Colonial English wording is employed to convey romantic nostalgia as McPherson outlines the extent of his “Art Rooms…wherein are offered for inspection artistic examples of Leaded Glass, comprising both domestic and ecclesiastical work of high order; choice bits of decoration; aquarelle sketches and cartoons of notable productions, and objects of art for the embellishment of interiors.” Perhaps the young married couple, Mr. and Mrs. Alfred A. Reed, Jr., visited the Art Rooms located at 440, 442, and 444 Tremont Street in Boston to peruse McPherson’s offerings. While no illustrations of designs are included in the 1888 catalogue, a section entitled “Decoration” further elaborates the company’s capabilities, stating:

House Interiors decoratively treated from the simplest harmonic application of color to the highest degree of artistic elaboration. Drawing-rooms requiring to be treated in the style of any period made the subject of careful study. Figure panels and friezes composed and executed in a thoroughly refined and artistic manner.

Cedar Hill’s reception room reflects the budding trend for artistic interiors, showing McPherson’s awareness of ideas for interior decoration current in the 1870s.
The period term, artistic, began to be noticeably used in the 1870s and continued in the following decades. Although the concept of artistic is not simply defined, it alluded to a self-consciousness and awareness of ideas in tastefully selecting appropriate styles for interiors and objects for the home in order to create an artistic effect. Themed artistic interiors embraced the reinterpretation of many historicizing styles, ranging from English Gothic and Jacobean to Renaissance and French Louis styles, as well as the more exotic Japanese and Islamic styles. The artistic label was used to advertise a range of commercial items for consumption, from art furniture to artistic light fixtures and modern artistic houses. Marketed as the highest aspiration for the American consumer, tastemakers emphasized an aesthetic approach when giving advice on ways to harmoniously decorate rooms in domestic interiors. As W.J. McPherson was considered an expert in the coordination of interior decorations, he likely offered a wide variety of ornamental styles in order to satisfy style-conscious clients. The Egyptianizing ornamental patterns and figural frieze for the reception room were likely created as a custom order, and the room remains a singular example of decorative work by W.J. McPherson & Co. in the Egyptian revival style.

The bill dated January 9, 1875 to A.A. Reed Jr. Esq., provides an itemization of the work completed by W.J. McPherson & Co. at Cedar Hill (see app. 1, exh. G). The billhead shows Wm. J. McPherson as “House Painter and Interior Decorator,” and the list begins below “For Painting & Decorating House at Warwick R.I.” with “Stock” amounting to $1,206.65. The bill is boastful of McPherson’s reputation with the listing of “59 1/10 Days Time Leading Decorator & Designer @ $6” a day totaling $354.60. Additionally, a “foreman” worked for 140 days at Cedar Hill for $5 a day totaling $700,
then a “decorator” for 266 5/10 days at $4.50 a day cost $1,199.25, and “Painters” for 305 days at $4 a day added up to $1,220. Also, “board for men, car fares, Express, Teaming &c. &c.” came to $921.88. All of these combined to arrive at the total of $5,602.38, yet W.J. McPherson & Co. adhered to the original contract amount of $5,000.  

The design aesthetic employed by W.J. McPherson & Co. for the decoration of the reception room reflects the widespread stylistic influence stemming from the mid-nineteenth century English design reform movement, which originally intended to improve design and public taste. The conventionalized floral and geometric motifs seen in the stenciled wall and ceiling ornamentation throughout Cedar Hill reflects an awareness of the influential design reform theories that were espoused by Owen Jones and his disciple Christopher Dresser, and the Egyptianizing ornament on the walls and ceiling of the reception room were likely inspired by the illustrations of the Egyptian ornamental vocabulary promoted in their publications.

The first edition of *The Grammar of Ornament* appeared in 1856 with subsequent printings, and Christopher Dresser’s *Principles of Decorative Design* was first published as a series of articles in the *Technical Educator* in 1870 and published in book form in 1873. The flat, conventionalized appearance of the stenciled patterns at Cedar Hill reflects the dissemination of Jones’ thirty-seven propositions outlined in *The Grammar of Ornament* to guide the creation of tasteful ornamental designs. These universal principles of design were illustrated in international and historical styles, ranging from ancient Roman, Pompeian, and Greek to Medieval, Celtic and Renaissance ornament.
Chapters on non-Western styles included Egyptian, Assyrian, Persian, Arabian, Turkish, Indian, Hindoo, and Moresque ornament from the Alhambra. As artists, designers and architects used these examples as a source for inspiration, it is likely that McPherson had a copy and probably looked to the chapter devoted to Egyptian ornament as a springboard for creative adaptations.

The Egyptian style of the reception room at Cedar Hill also reflects the cosmopolitan spirit that emerged in the variety of European and exotic fashions of the Orient and the Near East appropriated for wealthy American homes, which was a notable transformation in interior decoration after 1870. The historian, Kristin Hoganson, writes that the “enthusiasm for imported goods and styles perceived to be foreign” in the late nineteenth century is a reflection of “cosmopolitan domesticity.” W.J. McPherson’s decoration for the Egyptian room reflects the trend seen in the homes of the affluent and sophisticated ranks of American society to display one’s worldliness and cultural refinement in wonderfully decorative high-style interiors.

This cosmopolitan spirit is an influential element in the encyclopedic array or ornament in *The Grammar of Ornament*, which was intended to inspire other artists to rework designs for new decorative purposes. Jones found a fertile source of inspiration in ancient Egyptian ornament, writing, “The architecture of the Egyptians is thoroughly polychromatic, they painted everything.” The eye-catching and colorful medley of Egyptianizing motifs in the reception room are not traceable as direct copies of Jones’ patterns but the various depictions of the lotus as well as an array of geometric ornament bear a resemblance to those illustrated in *The Grammar of Ornament*. Jones would likely
have approved of the eclectic appropriation of ancient Egyptian ornament in the reception room at Cedar Hill, for the new application developed the use of conventionalized ornamental principles rather than being a direct copy or imitation of historical motifs.

For the wall treatment of the reception room, a profusion of ornamental details conjures up an exotic Egyptian effect. While variations of sunken wood paneling in the other principal rooms and hall create a sense of unity throughout the interiors, the reception room has a higher walnut dado that incorporates a stylized bundle of reeds and an upper band of paneling painted with foliate patterns (fig. 2.3). The reed motif may have been appropriated with its ancient symbolic meaning in mind, in which the plant was associated with Upper Egypt as the domain of the pharaoh. The carved bundle of reeds is also incorporated into the window cornices and overmantel mirror frame to create a unified decorative treatment. While not particularly Egyptian in character, a stylized plant motif painted on the upper dado section consists of two brown spade-shaped leaves radiating out and framing a central floral motif. This repeating pattern is framed by vertical bands of geometric ornament which have an Egyptianizing quality. With no shading or shadow except for flat red and black veining and outlining, the flatness and abstraction of the foliate motifs expresses the design reform tenet of the fitness of purpose for two-dimensional ornament applied on a flat surface. A more intricate repeating pattern of floral ornament lines the wall directly above the dado, consisting of a stylized lotus plant with radiating stems ending in buds and open flowers with pointed petals in yellow, blue, red and orange against a background of interwoven horizontal stripes (fig. 2.4). Although the significance of the lotus in ancient Egyptian iconography as symbolic of birth and rebirth was known in Victorian times, the stenciled
lotus designs are applied for decorative purposes without an overt symbolic intention at Cedar Hill. The bold red color filling the wide field above may reflect the enduring popularity of *Pompeian red*, a color considered suitable for the halls and vestibules of residences during the nineteenth century vogue for painted Pompeian-styled interiors. Intricate decorative borders separate the field from the figural frieze, including a swag-like pattern and flat, abstract geometric ornament.

The Egyptian reception room is the only room at Cedar Hill that contains a figural frieze, and the variety of male and female figures wearing Egyptian garb evokes Western perceptions of ancient Egypt. The generalized and classicizing depiction of the figures does not imitate Egyptian iconography with archeological accuracy and thus are conceptually comparable to the artistic approach found in pre-Napoleonic illustrations, such as those in travelers’ accounts that published drawings copying ancient Egyptian wall paintings. The illustrations produced from the Napoleonic Expedition (1798-1799) in the *Description de l’Egypte* (1809-1828) were the most precise and accurate to date, making it the authoritative work at its time. These volumes along with later publications throughout the nineteenth century continued to fuel artists’ imaginations with imagery of ancient Egyptian tombs and monuments and their decoration. The artistic license expressed in the romanticized depiction of figures in Egyptian costume in the figural frieze at Cedar Hill shows that the design approach ultimately intended to create an exotic Egyptian feel rather than produce a copy of actual scenes known from ancient Egyptian art.
The figures in Cedar Hill’s frieze may be loosely based on ancient Egyptian depictions, but the physiognomy of the figures is not rendered in a manner that accurately replicates wall paintings and reliefs from decorations in Egyptian tombs and temples. The composite view of the human figure in ancient Egyptian imagery typically showed the head in profile with a full-view of the eye and half of the mouth while the shoulders were shown frontally, and the chest, waist, legs and feet in profile.\textsuperscript{91} The basic principles of Egyptian figural imagery had a religious function “to symbolize an eternal, abstract world” with a tomb owner, deities and kings in the world of the dead and the gods, so these depictions were not meant to convey the human figure naturalistically as seen in Western artistic conventions.\textsuperscript{92} At Cedar Hill, the figures are rather bulky in musculature with thick arms and legs, which reflects the classical influence on the artist’s personal style (fig. 2.5).

The classicizing influence shows the Victorian conflation of features popularly associated with different ancient cultures. Wearing garb that looks more like a Greco-Roman style toga, a male stands in a vaguely contra posto pose with his arms lifted before him in a languid gesture (fig. 2.6). A male stands in a frontally-facing pose and holds a staff in one hand while wearing the customary regalia of royalty, including a nemes head cloth, an upper body garment, and a pleated knee-length shendyt kilt, each decorated with colorful stripes of blue, red and yellow (see fig. 2.6). Another variation of royal garb is seen on a bare-chested male figure in a nemes headdress and kilt, while another male also standing in a frontal pose wears a simple headdress and carries a book, possibly meant to depict a scribe and allude to the wisdom of ancient Egypt (see fig. 2.6 and 2.7). One of the female figures in the frieze wears a toga-like semi-transparent
garment and an Egyptian headdress, yet the rigidness of her pose with one arm raised to the side looks like classical statuary (see fig. 2.7). The inclusion of c-shaped harps in the frieze at Cedar Hill refers to the importance of music in ancient Egyptian culture, which was popularly known through published copies of depictions of harpists in ancient Egyptian wall paintings. In the segment of the frieze that repeats on either side of the fireplace, a harp is placed in between two striding male figures in long robes and carrying implements of a fan and a staff, possibly connoting their status in the priestly class of ancient Egypt (fig. 2.8). The generic character of the figures in recognizably Egyptianizing costume and accessories conveys an Egyptian impression for a Western audience and setting.

While the sequence of standing figures lines the walls of the reception room, the corners are framed by a depiction of a zoomorphic deity, the lioness-headed goddess of war and vengeance, Sekhmet, seated in profile on a throne (fig. 2.9). She wears a blue headdress crowned by a protective uraeus above her forehead and holds an ankh, the ancient Egyptian symbol of life, in one hand and a divine scepter topped by a lotus in the other. The composition follows Egyptian conventions for representations of seated deities with a closer attention to detail than the more generic interpretation of the standing figures.

The figural frieze at Cedar Hill may also have been inspired by Thomas Hope’s Regency design of a frieze in his Egyptian Room, also known as ‘Little Canopus’ (1799-1804), that was in his Duchess Street house in London and published as a line drawing in *Household Furniture and Interior Decoration* in 1807 (fig. 2.10). The frieze was
painted, but the colors of the walls, ceiling and furniture of “pale yellow and bluish green of the Egyptian pigments, relieved by masses of black and gold” were not recorded in the line drawing. Hope claimed that the figures in the processional frieze were derived from papyrus scrolls, yet it has been compared to classical friezes like that at the Parthenon as well as the ancient neo-Egyptian work, known as the Mensa Isiaca (fig. 2.11), which had been discovered and published in the sixteenth century and details were later illustrated in Bernard de Montfaucon’s Antiquité expliqée (1719-1724). The classicizing treatment of the Egyptian figures at Cedar Hill is reminiscent of an Isiac procession illustrated in Montfaucon’s work, but it is more likely that McPherson would have been familiar with the famous Hope design, which was considered revolutionary during its time (fig. 2.12).

The stenciled decoration continues above the figural frieze with a cavetto cornice adorned with a stylized lotus pattern (fig. 2.13). The coved cornice is an element adapted from ancient Egyptian architecture, and the curved transition from the wall to the ceiling adds an Egyptian flavor to the room. The lotus appears to be the favorite motif of the reception room, and the repetition of the lotus gives the decorative program further visual coherence. A colorful stenciled border of flat abstract motifs stands out against the light beige color of the ceiling. A number of symbolic Egyptian motifs are combined to form the decorative device at the corners of the ceiling, consisting of feathers, lotuses, and wings (fig. 2.14). While Egyptianizing motifs create the predominant stylistic theme of the reception room, the perimeter of the ceiling is outlined with a band of plaster molding in the classical egg and dart motif, and a colorful plaster medallion in a Neo-Grec design of stylized anthemia is in the center of the ceiling. These both show the mingling of
classical ornament in the Egyptian-themed room, and this combination was not uncommon in the Victorian era. Hanging from the ceiling medallion is the most unusual gasolier in the house.

The premier manufacturer of gas lighting fixtures in the 1870s, Mitchell, Vance & Co. of New York City, supplied gas chandeliers and wall brackets for Cedar Hill. Bills dated December 1874 and January 1875 list the items supplied by Mitchell, Vance & Co. with numbers of stock designs noted for gasoliers, wall brackets and globes (see app. 1, exh. H). Located at 597 Broadway, Mitchell, Vance & Co. was praised as “One of the largest, most attractive, and popular establishments of its class in New York,” and the company’s distinguished status likely attracted the Rhode Islanders to commission the New York firm to furnish their home in Warwick. The company’s high reputation in the 1870s is remarked upon in the following from the New York newspaper, The Independent: “Their stock of fine gas fixtures is probably not excelled, if equaled by that of any other manufacturers in the world. It embraces everything new and desirable which ingenuity, good taste, and capital can produce.” The “new and artistic designs” of gas fixtures by Mitchell, Vance & Co. were “greatly appreciated by any one of good taste and refinement.” Having beautiful gasoliers was an important element in completing the look of the principal rooms at Cedar Hill, and this aspect reflects the young married couple’s aspirations to have a fashionable residence in Warwick.

The ornate gasoliers at Cedar Hill were resonant with meaning, since the expensive and fashionable fixtures reflect the taste and status of the family. Gas lighting was invented in the eighteenth century and became the predominant nineteenth-century
illuminant in urban and suburban areas. The gasoliers at Cedar Hill employed the most advanced technology for artificial light with “Automatic Argand burners” and “Springfield burners,” and the gas supply for the house was produced by a gas machine located in the cellar.\textsuperscript{100} Illuminating rooms with gas lighting had a much brighter quality than candles and oil lamps, affecting the ambience of a room during evening entertaining. Yet the light emitted from the flame jets was still much dimmer than the electric light we are accustomed to in the twenty-first century, and the reflection of light from the gasolier in the large overmantel mirror further helped to illuminate the room.

At this time, gas fixtures of cut glass, bronze or other gilded metals were popular for high-style interiors, and the crystal chandelier in the drawing room and the brass chandelier in the dining room at Cedar Hill reflect these popular trends. The gasolier in the Egyptian room is the most elaborate and unusual gasolier at Cedar Hill, having an inner metal armature encased in wood that is carved with Egyptianizing motifs (fig. 2.15 and 2.16). As Mitchell, Vance & Co. advertised “Special Designs Furnished When Required,” this Egyptianizing design was likely produced as a custom order especially for this room.\textsuperscript{101} Acclaimed for being “manufacturers par excellence” Mitchell, Vance & Co. employed “their own skilled artists to furnish in original designs and styles.”\textsuperscript{102}

For style-conscious clients, the carved Egyptianizing ornament embellishing the wood gasolier harmonizes with the overall effect created by the walnut wooden paneling, fireplace, overmantel mirror and window cornices, and furniture in the Egyptian room. The gasolier consists of two circular tiers covered in carved ornament, which are connected by vertical elements shaped like columns. The palmette capitals and baluster-
shaped bases are reminiscent of columns with foliate capitals from ancient Egyptian temples. Four stylized vultures with flat, board-like wings, thick legs, heavy claw feet and an elaborate feathered tail stand atop the upper circular tier of the gasolier (fig. 2.17). The vulture held symbolic importance in ancient Egypt, as the goddess Nekhbet was portrayed as a vulture. The lower tier extends to six arms with an anthemion-shaped turnkey that allows gas to flow to each burner individually. Each arm terminates in an X-shaped design, and the base carved with stylized flower petals supports a small ceramic dish holder above, which is glazed with designs of white petals accented with blue and red and a yellow rim. The original etched globes survive and are decorated with Neo-Grec stylized ornament (fig. 2.18).

As typical of gasoliers at this time, the center burner is set in a slide fixture which may be pulled lower as a reading light above the center table, and weighted balls balance this mechanism. The brightly glazed ceramic shade over the center burner is appropriately decorated with Egyptianizing designs of white lotus plants against a royal blue background which alternates with fields of geometric patterns (fig. 2.19). In addition to the hanging gasolier, gas fixtures flank the overmantel mirror and are attached to the opposite wall with matching ornamental wood casing and ceramic dishes like the gasolier (fig. 2.20). The application of the ancient Egyptian ornamental vocabulary to the modern form of the gasolier and the wall and ceiling decoration shows the inventive adaptation by Victorian designers working in the Egyptian revival style of the 1870s. Yet, the dramatic focal point of the reception room is the fireplace.
The Fireplace Design as a Focal Point for the Reception Room

The fireplace has been considered a highly important element in the tradition of European and American interiors, and through the late nineteenth century it continued to be the site of elaborate ornamental decoration in American homes. Although a technologically advanced steam heating system was installed at Cedar Hill, the fireplace remained a focal point in the principal rooms along with stylish mantel clocks and garniture displayed on the mantel shelves as an expression of the taste of the owner. The Providence craftsman, Charles Dowler (1841-1931) carved sculptural figures to adorn three fireplaces for Cedar Hill with designs corresponding to the themes of the reception room, dining room and library. The reception room’s fireplace design, including the ensemble of the overmantel mirror, mantel and sculptural figures flanking the fireplace is a product of the collaborative efforts of the architect, carver and the furniture company (fig. 3.1). As an eclectic and historicizing expression of the Egyptian revival of the 1870s, the design of the fireplace shows the creative adaptation of an Egyptian ornamental motif from *The Grammar of Ornament* and also draws from an eighteenth-century chimneypiece design by Piranesi, as well as incorporating scenes in sunk relief in the manner of ancient Egyptian decoration.

Charles Dowler arrived in Providence in 1863 from Birmingham, England, and he initially worked as a gunsmith to produce arms for the Union during the Civil War. Dowler became a part of Providence’s thriving late nineteenth-century artistic community when he set up a carving shop in 1869. Dowler listed himself in Providence Directories as a “Carver, Modeler, and Ornamental Designer – All kinds of Carving for
Furniture and House in the latest style of the art.”

Over his long career, Dowler’s decorative architectural work involved “carving, modeling and chiseling in plaster,” and he was noted for being capable of “executing any kind of design for interior and exterior decorations, also models for monumental workers, or stone workers to copy from.”

The evolution of a broad range of work over Dowler’s fifty-five year career encompasses interior and exterior decorative carvings and moldings in the 1870s, chasing patterns for jewelry in the 1880s, and creating models for monumental sculpture in the 1890s.

Dowler was praised for “his rare skill as an artist” and his “fecundity of intuitive talent as a designer.” By 1892, Dowler was highly regarded as “the oldest established sculptor in all Rhode Island” with his name “intimately associated with some of the finest and most important work in the State, including a quantity of rich interior and exterior decoration.”

Some of Dowler’s most notable contracts were recognized in *Industries and Wealth of the Principal Points of Rhode Island* (1892), including “the whole of the carving on the Narragansett Hotel, inside and outside, and the carving and decoration on the Sprague Mansion, and those of Mr. Lamb, Mr. Frank Sale, Mr. Horace Daniels, Mr. B.B. Knight, Mr. F. Nightingale.” Dowler’s “high reputation” is indicated by the list of residences of the leading businessmen of Rhode Island as well as the Narragansett Hotel, considered to be the finest hotel in Providence at the time. As all of these are known architectural commissions of the architect of Cedar Hill, William R. Walker, it is evident that Walker often enlisted the services of Dowler to create ornamental decoration. The breadth of Dowler’s residential work in the late nineteenth century for Rhode Island’s most prominent citizens merits further study and has yet to be documented.
The fireplaces Dowler carved for Cedar Hill survive along with carving for the bookcases in the library and staircase ornament, and bills authenticate his work completed at Cedar Hill in the mid-1870s.

Dowler’s work for the reception room is documented on two bills which separate the carving of the mantle and the two figures. In a bill dated September 21, 1874, Charles Dowler’s “carving for Egyptian Mantle as drawn by Mr. Walker” amounted to $53.50 (see app. 1, exh. I). This document indicates that the architect provided a design for the mantel portion for Dowler to execute, and it also offers a clue to better understand Walker’s involvement in creating design elements for the reception room. The mantel is shaped like a cavetto cornice, emulating the detail widely found in ancient Egyptian architecture. An alternating pattern of a conventionalized lotus blossom and a geometric motif is carved in shallow relief on the concave surface, which is framed by a rope-like border below (fig. 3.2). This band of ornament appears to be derived directly from The Grammar of Ornament, in which Jones had copied a design from a sarcophagus for No. 26 on Plate VII (fig. 3.3). Walker’s library of reference books may very well have included The Grammar of Ornament among his valuable imported books. Dowler translated the flat colorful pattern into a monochromatic sunk relief meticulously carved in wood. Additionally, the “black marble inlaid in Mantel shelf” as well as the “soapstone fireplace” surround for the “Egyptian Room” was supplied by Tingley Marble Co. of Providence (see app. 1, exh. J). Another bill from Charles Dowler, dated June 6, 1874, lists “2 Egyptian” for the reception room costing $175. Since no further written evidence or design drawings have come to light, it is possible that the design originated with either Walker or Dowler.
The seated Egyptian figures flanking the fireplace bear a striking resemblance to seated figures in a fanciful Egyptian style chimneypiece design created by Giovanni Battista Piranesi and published in 1769 in *Diverse Maniere d’adornare I cammini ed ogni altra parte degli edifizie* (Diverse Manners of Ornamenting Chimneys and All Other Parts of Houses). It is unlikely that Piranesi’s bold design, *Camino egizio con montanti decorate con grandi figure sedute*, was ever executed in full (fig. 3.4). It was one of eleven etched plates of chimneypiece designs he created in the stile Egiziano. In Piranesi’s design, a profusion of ornament and figures from ancient Egyptian mythology densely covers the mantelpiece and wall, including hieroglyphs, scarabs, lion and bull’s heads, Egyptian telamons, winged figures, snakes, and even the human-headed birds which represent *ba’at*, a representation of the spirit. Piranesi’s extravagant Egyptian style designs were unprecedented during his time, and his influence on other designers extended to the late nineteenth century with the seated figures framing the fireplace in the reception room at Cedar Hill (fig. 3.5).

Piranesi advocated for the appropriation of ancient Egyptian architectural, figural, geometrical, and stylized floral ornament and iconography for his Egyptian style, reflecting his highly imaginative “aesthetic of eclecticism” for interior decoration.116 The reinvention of Egyptian themes in the decorative program of the reception room at Cedar Hill shares affinities with Piranesi’s philosophy, especially in the overpowering variety of Egyptian ornament covering the surfaces of the music cabinet. Piranesi also encouraged the creative intermingling of Egyptian ornamental vocabulary with that of other ancient civilizations, such as Etruscan (which he called Tuscan), Greek and Roman, in an introductory didactic essay with his designs, titled “An Apologetical Essay in Defence of
the Egyptian and Tuscan Architecture." Interestingly, Piranesi felt the use of Egyptian ornament was another exotic stylistic option in addition to the treatment of eighteenth-century European interiors in the “Chinese manner,” also known as chinoiserie. Piranesi’s advocacy parallels the fashion for rooms decorated in exotic styles that emerged in artistic houses of the late nineteenth century. As a product of Victorian attitudes towards design, the fireplace in the Egyptian room at Cedar Hill looks to the past for inspiration and imaginatively reworks eclectic elements.

Details of the seated Egyptians at Cedar Hill closely emulate aspects of Piranesi’s figures, suggesting that Walker may have owned a copy of Piranesi’s *Diverse Manieri* in order to carefully study and produce a design for Dowler to execute. Dowler faithfully copied the posture and the defined abdominal musculature as well as the Egyptian costume consisting of a headdress, elaborate, broad *wesekh* collar, and kilt (fig. 3.6). The seated figures in Piranesi’s design have been interpreted as male, even though they are wearing vulture headdresses typically worn by female deities and royalty. The choice to portray the seated Egyptians at Cedar Hill as female shows the creative liberties taken in adapting Piranesi’s design. The depiction of the bare-breasted female presents the exotic Other to the Western viewer, indicating the influence of the broader phenomenon of Orientalism. While depicting a contemporary Western female nude would have been considered indecent by Victorian standards of propriety, veiling the female figure in ancient Egyptian regalia provided a narrative subject that evoked the idealized beauty and youth of an Egyptian goddess or queen.
Dowler’s nineteenth-century rendition of the seated Egyptians follows certain formal conventions of ancient Egyptian sculpture in order to convey an Egyptianizing appearance, but he does not imitate Egyptian statuary with archeological correctness. While ancient Egyptian sculpture of royalty and deities as seated figures tended to be carved in stone, the figures carved in wood at Cedar Hill harmonize with the woodwork in the reception room to create a unified decorative program. The strict frontality of the gaze and static pose are stylistic conventions employed from the very earliest to latest periods of Egyptian sculpture. The placid expression of the seated Egyptians at Cedar Hill imitates the emotionless stare often associated with Egyptian statuary, yet the facial features consisting of large round deep-set eyes, a broad bridge and bulbous tip of the nose and full lips presents Dowler’s nineteenth-century interpretation of feminine beauty (fig. 3.7). The palms resting flat on the lap was typical for seated figures in ancient Egyptian sculpture. The headdress and coifed wig were distinct status symbols in ancient Egyptian iconography and would only have adorned royalty or goddesses, yet Dowler’s interpretation does not authentically duplicate ancient examples. The headcloth’s naturalistically draping folds are incised with geometrical patterns at the lower edging while the wig’s neat stylized rows of curls fall onto the shoulders. The delicate and small-beaked bird may have been inspired by Egyptian vulture headdresses, but it more closely resembles Piranesi’s design.

The Egyptian-style decoration continues with six inset panels of figural scenes in sunk relief on the projecting side walls of the chimneypiece that depict imagery and activities popularly associated with Egyptian culture and mythology. The artist attempted to imitate subject matter and stereotypical Egyptian conventions of representation. A
degree of artistic license is evident in certain scenes while the careful copying of archeological examples known from published relief fragments is evident in other instances. As these panels are not specifically itemized in the surviving bills for Charles Dowler’s work, it is possible that the work may have been produced by an artist with Doe & Hunnewell based on the stylistic resemblance to the sunk relief figures seen on the music cabinet.

The depiction of identical male and female figures are carved in relief on the upper pair of panels on both the left and right side of the fireplace, while different scenes are featured below (fig. 3.8 and 3.9). The male stands in a striding pose with one outstretched hand holding an offering, and he wears an ostrich feather in his headdress, a broad collar and a kilt (fig. 3.10). The artist attempted to imitate the conventional frontality of the chest in contrast to the face and lower body seen in profile as in ancient Egyptian sunk reliefs, but this rendition lacks the angularity and precision of ancient examples. The female stands in profile in a static pose, wearing a wig and the double crown of Upper and Lower Egypt (fig. 3.11). Her gesture of offering imitates ancient Egyptian imagery with her outstretched hand holding an ostrich feather, which was an emblem of truth. Although she appears to be nude, the depiction is probably an interpretation of women depicted in tight-fitting sheaths in ancient Egyptian imagery.

Below each figure is a band of zig-zag ornament and above each is a row of incised hieroglyphic symbols which imitate the hieroglyphic legend used to identify important people and deities in ancient Egyptian reliefs and wall paintings. The lower panel on the left side of the fireplace shows a scene of three men kneeling and chiseling hieroglyphs onto steles with crouching lion statues above (fig. 3.12). While the kneeling posture
imitates conventions of ancient Egyptian imagery, the facial features and figural details do not duplicate the sophistication and angularity of ancient Egyptian sunk reliefs.

The scene depicted on the lower panel on the right side of the fireplace includes a male standing next to a large balance and another male and female figure engaged in activities (fig. 3.13). The balance alludes to the well-known scene of the judgment of the dead depicted in ancient Egyptian tombs and on papyri, in which the deceased’s heart, representing one’s conduct during life, was weighed against an ostrich feather, representing ma’at, or truth. The deceased person was allowed to enter the afterlife in the kingdom of Osiris if the heart balanced the feather. The imagery at Cedar Hill bears a striking resemblance to the scale featured in Denon’s Description, suggesting the artist’s design source (fig. 3.14). The judgment’s results were usually recorded by the god, Thoth, and perhaps the artist imaginatively interpreted this action in the next figure of a woman wearing a headdress and a flowing dress, who is writing in a book (fig. 3.15). The man wearing a headdress and kilt, using a mortar and pestle, may be adapted from the scenes of everyday life that were known from ancient Egyptian reliefs. Writing on the development of Americans’ awareness of ancient Egypt in the nineteenth century, Mrs. Schuyler Van Rensselaer states that “every self-respecting American bookcase then contained at least one book on Egypt – Sir Gardiner Wilkinson’s Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians.” First published in 1837, Wilkinson’s volumes described ancient Egyptian culture with many illustrations copied from tombs and temples. As well-read Americans would have been familiar with Egyptian imagery, the scenes in sunk relief in the reception room at Cedar Hill could have served as conversational pieces when entertaining guests and thereby express the family’s knowledge of ancient Egypt.
The display of mantel garniture held great importance in Victorian interior decoration as a visual expression of the family’s taste and wealth. The fireplace served as a focal point for the reception room, and the mantel garniture selected to grace the mantel shelf complemented the room’s Egyptian-style decor. The symmetrical arrangement of the original mantel clock set and garniture is visible in the historic photograph of the reception room (fig. 1.43).121 The set likely dates to the 1870s and the clock face is marked: Henry T. Brown, Providence (fig. 3.16 and 3.17). A more lavishly ornamented mantel clock set with matching obelisks retailed by Tiffany & Co. of New York City was acquired later (fig. 3.18).122 Mantel clock and garniture sets in a variety of styles were imported from France and retailed by luxury American companies in the late nineteenth century. The Egyptian style formed an entire genre of mantel clocks, and the Egyptian-inspired sets at Cedar Hill represent high-quality French design. Both clock designs show the popular and romanticized conceptions of the Egyptian revival style with similar architecturally-derived forms and the fanciful appropriation of ancient Egyptian motifs for decorative purposes.

The sloped sides of the time pieces are derived from the battered walls of ancient Egyptian temple architecture, and both clocks share a similar color scheme of black slate and contrasting red stone ornamented with a variety of incised Egyptian-inspired figures and motifs in ormolu. The architectonic shaping of the earlier clock includes a pointed roof supported by capitals. The Tiffany & Co. clock has an applied facing of red marble in the shape of classicizing quoins accenting the front corners. Both clocks feature the popularly known Egyptian symbols of the vulture with its wings spread at the central base as well as recumbent sphinxes. The Henry T. Brown clock is embellished with two
kneeling falcon-headed figures at the corners of the base, representing the god Horus, while flowers and a winged sun disk are decorative accents above the clock face. Two standing figures carrying a basket on poles are depicted below the clock face and may have been derived from processional scenes showing servants on Egyptian wall paintings and reliefs. These figures along with a vulture and the kneeling Horus figures also decorate the two matching candle holders. A similar design approach is seen in the more elaborate decorative program on the Tiffany & Co. set, showing the inventive appropriation of the ancient Egyptian design vocabulary.

As a nearly identical three-piece mantle garniture set in the collection of the Metropolitan Museum of Art is dated to c. 1885, Cedar Hill’s set was likely purchased in Tiffany and Co.’s New York showrooms in the 1880s (fig. 3.19).123 The profusion of ornament in intricate ormolu includes winged sun disks, rearing cobras and bird-headed lions wearing crowns. Flanking either side of the clock face are highly ornamented mummy cases for an Egyptian pharaoh with the traditional insignias of kingship, including the nemes headdress and the ceremonial beard attached to his chin. The mummy cases are covered with meaningless decorative pseudo-hieroglyphs. A sphinx crowns the clock, and bull’s heads wearing Egyptianizing headdresses accent each side in reference to the Apis bull, one of the most important animal deities of ancient Egypt. Cedar Hill’s clock was once flanked by two obelisks richly covered in hieroglyphs, which can be seen in a photograph of the reception room (fig. 3.1).124 The flanking obelisks may have been inspired by the widespread media attention focused on the gift to America of an ancient Egyptian obelisk from Alexandria that was built by Pharaoh Thutmose III.125 Popularly known as Cleopatra’s Needle, the plans to transport the obelisk took
years to finalize, and the obelisk was dedicated in Central Park in New York City on February 22, 1881.

Completing the Egyptian revival design of the fireplace is the large overmantel mirror supplied by the Boston furniture firm, Doe & Hunnewell. The frame is carved in the shape of a bundle of reeds, which matches the upper dado and window cornices to create a sense of uniformity in the room’s décor (fig. 3.20 and 3.21). The vertical sides of the mirror frame terminate in bases with a stylized leaf ornament and a carved lotus of an Egyptianizing character (fig. 3.22). Similar ornamental devices, consisting of a pair of stylized feathers with birds and a sun disk, accent the top corners of the overmantel mirror and the center of the window cornices, from which hang conventional gold damask drapery. An applied carved vulture crowns the center of the overmantel mirror, which follows the traditional iconography of the ancient Egyptian goddess, Nekhbet, as a vulture with its wings spread to offer protection. The rendition adorning the mirror frame bears a close resemblance to the vulture recorded from a relief and depicted in Wilkinson’s *Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians* (fig. 3.23). Well-educated Victorians would have been aware of the significance of the vulture and feather motifs in Egyptian iconography from Wilkinson, as well as an array of other publications that explored the fascinating culture of ancient Egypt. Doe & Hunnewell’s designs show the careful attention paid to ancient models in order to adapt these motifs for Egyptian-style decoration. While the striking design of the fireplace acts as a focal point for the reception room, the imaginative application of Egyptian-inspired motifs on the furniture by Doe & Hunnewell produces equally eye-catching designs.
The Egyptian Revival Suite of Furniture

In furnishing a Victorian household as a newly married couple, the Reed’s selection of furniture suites for Cedar Hill formed an important part of expressing their fashionable identity. The prominent Boston furniture firm, Doe & Hunnewell, produced custom designs for Cedar Hill’s Egyptian revival suite of furniture for the reception room, as well as furniture for the other principal rooms, bedrooms, and billiard room. Compared to the boldly carved, naturalistic birds embellishing the dining room suite of furniture at Cedar Hill, the Egyptian revival furniture for the reception room is decorated with shallow carving and incising of abstract Egyptianizing ornament. The Egyptian revival style in Victorian furniture is considered a branch of the Neo-Grec style, as a subset within the Renaissance revival style. Yet in contrast to the conventional design of the Neo-Grec drawing room seating furniture at Cedar Hill, the Egyptian style is an unusual choice. As one must have a high degree of personal cultivation in order to appreciate the intricate array of Egyptianizing details, the Egyptian-style furniture is a conscious statement of the owner’s erudition and sophisticated taste. The reception room’s furniture exemplifies a high caliber of innovative furniture design by Doe & Hunnewell, showing the creative adaptation of motifs popularly associated with ancient Egypt.

Boston was esteemed as a flourishing furniture center for the New England region in the late nineteenth century, and Doe & Hunnewell was a among seventeen furniture manufacturers that produced high-class custom furniture made on order. Doe & Hunnewell advertised themselves as “Designers and Manufacturers of First Class
Furniture, Mantels, Mirrors, Drapery Curtains and Shades." An in-depth study of Doe & Hunnewell has yet to be undertaken, likely due to the paucity of company records and lack of furniture in public collections. Additionally, it is difficult to make attributions for Boston-made furniture of the Victorian era which is often unmarked, and Doe & Hunnewell trade catalogues have yet to be located for comparison of designs to surviving examples. Fortunately, the extensive documentation with room-by-room lists of the furniture supplied by Doe & Hunnewell are recorded in a “Memorandum of Furniture selected by Mr. and Mrs. A.A. Reed Jr.,” dated March 21, 1874, and another similar list with pricing dated August 1, 1874, when the “goods were completed and ready for delivery, as per agreement.” The documentation provides an iron-clad provenance for the Egyptian-style suite for Cedar Hill’s reception room (see app. 1, exh. K and L).

Furnishing the Reed’s house at Warwick was a lucrative commission for Doe & Hunnewell during a time when the Boston’s furniture industry overall suffered financially following the panic of 1873, and an economic depression was felt in New England until the late 1870s. Despite the slow economy, work to build and furnish Cedar Hill continued without any apparent slowing of pace, attesting to William S. Slater’s wealth. In order to select furniture for the entire household, Mr. and Mrs. Alfred A. Reed, Jr. easily could have made a trip into Boston by train to visit Doe & Hunnewell’s fashionable furniture showrooms located at 198 and 200 Tremont Street. There the young married couple could view the company’s “stock of FINE FURNITURE unexcelled by any in this city” as well as “the newest novelties in furniture coverings and curtain materials” consisting of an array of imported fabrics “direct from the makers in Europe.” With “cabinetwork designed and executed to order of every description,”
Doe & Hunnewell was well-suited to produce the custom-made Egyptian style suite of furniture for the reception room at Cedar Hill. On the March 21, 1874 memorandum, Doe & Hunnewell states “The above work to be strictly first-class, and warranted, and to be delivered and set in place in your home.” In a letter dated January 21, 1876, from Doe & Hunnewell to Wm S. Slater, the company writes, “We have visited the house several times and considering the location, which is a trying one for furniture, we think our work has stood remarkably well, there having been only a few matters requiring our attention. We trust it may continue to be satisfactory.” (see app. 1, exh. M) This correspondence shows the high level of customer service that Doe & Hunnewell provided to its clientele.

The reception room contains a walnut suite of furniture, including two armchairs, six chairs, a sofa, center table, and a music cabinet, all of which remain at Cedar Hill today. In an early photograph of the room, the original or early placement of the sofa is seen against the north wall and a chair to the left of the fireplace, while the center table stands in the middle of the room with a small vase of flowers atop it (fig. 1.43). The overall matching forms of the seating furniture in the reception room were likely produced from stock design patterns, which were then enriched with a mixture of shallow and robust carving and incised Egyptian-themed ornament. Doe & Hunnewell boasted of “Rich and Unique Furniture designed and manufactured to order,” and the company may have fabricated this Egyptian style design solely for this client, as it appears to be the only group of its kind known. The matching forms of the seating furniture have tall chair backs with rounded crests, trapezoidal seats and cabriole legs ending in paw feet. Yet in comparison to the side chairs, the arm chairs and sofa have more massive
and wider proportions with shorter legs, as well as upholstered arms on baluster-shaped supports (fig. 4.1). The attention to detail is evident in the application of ornament, as seen with the incised scrolling lotus flower on the side of the arms for the arm chairs and the sofa (fig. 4.2).

The overall appearance of the flattened geometrical ornament that adorns the crest rails, stiles and seat rails of the chairs and sofa convey an Egyptianizing decorative character to the Victorian furniture forms. The creative adaptations of Egyptianizing motifs may have been inspired by the variety of flat, conventionalized ornamental patterns in *The Grammar of Ornament*. The rounded crest rail of the chair back is incised with an abstract geometrical pattern of stippled circles surrounded by smooth curved bands (fig. 4.3). As a decorative accent, a flat three-lobed shape connected to a diamond is applied on either side of the crest rail. An abstracted pylon-shaped element extends down the stiles, which is an adaptation of battered walls commonly associated with ancient Egyptian architecture, and this is followed by a pointed linear pattern. The seat rails are incised with a zig-zag motif, which was a widely adapted decorative motif of ancient Egyptian derivation (fig. 4.4). While the abstract pattern of parallel vertical zig-zag lines was symbolic of water in ancient Egyptian imagery, the ornamental appropriation here does not have these symbolic connotations. Among the numerous zig-zag patterns featured in *The Grammar of Ornament*, the pattern of the seat rail resembles the lower band of ornament featured on no. 17 on plate 8, which Jones copied from mummy cases in the British Museum and the Louvre (fig. 4.5). Adding to the mélange of ornament, the canted corner of the upper leg block is ornamented with a conventionalized feather pattern. This also bears a resemblance to similar patterns in *The
*Grammar of Ornament*, showing this may have served as a fertile source of Egyptian vocabulary for the furniture designer.\textsuperscript{142} Rather than the typical triangular knee bracket, which originally acted as functional support on a chair, the feathered wing-shaped knee brackets carved in low relief on each side of the front legs add ornamental flair (fig. 4.6).

The combination of Egyptianizing ornament on the furniture is strikingly original, and the design of the legs shows the fusion of ancient Egyptian and Western furniture traditions. The front legs are a Victorian version of the traditional cabriole leg with a paw foot.\textsuperscript{143} Stylized lobes on the sides suggest the furry leg of a lion, and an unusual Egyptianizing ornamental detail of a pointed abstract pattern bisects the center of the leg. The lion’s leg was also a feature of elaborate chairs and royal thrones in ancient Egyptian furniture. The shaping of the slender back legs ending in diminutive paws on a tapering pad are reminiscent of those carved like hind legs on ancient Egyptian furniture (fig. 4.7).\textsuperscript{144} Egyptian furniture was renowned throughout the ancient world for its workmanship and design, and lion-legged chairs featured in tomb wall paintings and actual examples were known from archeological discoveries in the nineteenth century (fig. 4.8).\textsuperscript{145} Both interpretations of the lion’s leg and paw foot seen on the Egyptian revival seating furniture at Cedar Hill show the eclectic and historicizing spirit of Victorian furniture design.

The sofa’s design illustrates the popular tripartite division of the back, derived from Neo-Grec forms featuring strong and abrupt outlines (fig. 4.9).\textsuperscript{146} The sofa back’s bold outline is formed by two rounded chair backs that frame a lower carved central crest rail. An eye-catching abstracted sunburst carved in shallow relief is topped by a stylized
bundle of reeds with ball-shaped ends ornamented with a zig-zag pattern (fig. 4.10). The
sun burst may reference the sun god, Re, yet this was typically represented as a solar disk
in ancient Egyptian iconography. The reed-bundle motif is repeated as the skirt of the
sofa and abstracted feathered wings form the central drop, which is a decorative device
that complements the winged knee brackets. Wings appeared frequently in ancient
Egyptian iconography, such as in the winged sun disk that symbolized eternity and the
spread wings of a vulture that connoted protection. However, the fanciful adaptation of
this ancient Egyptian motif on the furniture is meant for decorative purposes to evoke an
Egyptian feel.

The original upholstery is noteworthy. The colorful and exotic woven design of
the upholstery heightens the overall impact of the furniture design. However, the
Eastern-influenced design of interlaced arabesques is not of ancient Egyptian derivation.
Rather the upholstery has a Turkish or Moorish flavor, and this recognizably exotic
pattern would have been considered an appropriate complement for the Egyptian style
furniture. The paisley motifs mixed with arches and arabesques on the upholstery show
the blending of design elements from the East that was commonly employed in creating
the overall exotic effect for artistic interiors. The tufted and buttoned application on the
chair backs forming the peacock’s tail is a thoroughly Victorian element. Typical of
Victorian furniture, the seating furniture has upholstered back panels as well since the
furniture would be rearranged for different social activities. The upholstery on the back
of some of the chairs has been better protected from the sun, and the brighter red and
green colors suggest the richness of the upholstery when it was new (fig. 4.11 and 4.12).
The design of the center table illustrates the imaginative reinterpretation of ornament from ancient Near Eastern cultures by combining Egyptian and Assyrian motifs in a Victorian furniture form (fig. 4.13). The skirt of the table top is incised with a zigzag motif similar to that seen on the seat rails of the chairs, yet it is accented with applied sun disks flanked by stylized winged birds. The traditional Egyptian architectural usage for this element shows a sun disk flanked by a uraeus on either side as a protective symbol. The reason for the replacement of snake heads with birds may have been the client’s request or a Victorian adaptation meant to improve upon the ancient prototype. This motif is repeated on the coved cornice of the music cabinet (fig. 4.14), and a simpler version of the sun disk flanked by abstract birds is seen in the “4 gas rosettes” for two gas lighting fixtures flanking the overmantel mirror and two on the opposite wall, as well as the curtain tie-backs (fig. 4.15). The support for the center table features two masks of bearded males enveloped by wings that cross under the chin (fig. 4.16). This loose adaptation suggests the popularly known “Assyrian” profile featured on ancient reliefs, which typically consisted of a thick, rectangular beard jutting directly downward from the chin. While Doe & Hunnewell’s adaptation does not attempt to accurately copy the specific knotting and shaping of Assyrian beards in ancient Assyrian reliefs, the almond shape of the eyes, straight nose and stylized beard are evocative of ancient Assyrian art. The bizarre combination of the pair of wings framing the faces and three massive lion’s paw feet forming the base alludes to the fantastical winged man-headed lions in Assyrian sculpture, which were well-known from nineteenth century publications on archeological discoveries (fig. 4.17). The inventive fusion of Ancient Egyptian and Assyrian elements is not unusual for the Victorian period, as Egypt and Assyria were often conflated as
related ancient civilizations.149 The center table reflects the broader phenomenon of Orientalism in the late nineteenth century with the Western imagination transforming popular conceptions of Assyria and Egypt in furniture forms.

The most striking piece of furniture in the Egyptian room is the music cabinet (4.18). Costing $575, this custom-made piece was also the most expensive single item in the reception room.150 The profusion of abstract patterns, pseudo-hieroglyphs, and figural imagery that decorates the music cabinet shows the nineteenth-century appropriation of ancient Egyptian iconography for decorative purposes in much the same manner as Piranesi’s “aesthetic of eclecticism” for his Egyptian-style designs from the eighteenth century. The music cabinet is architectural in character as it is crowned by a cavetto cornice (fig. 4.19). The incised lotus motif on the concave surface with a torus molding below is very similar to the fireplace mantel and was likely derived from the same design in *The Grammar of Ornament* (see fig. 3.3). The cavetto cornice dates to the Third Dynasty, and ancient Egyptian carpenters incorporated the cavetto cornice with torus molding on boxes and tables.151 The Doe & Hunnewell designer may have been aware of French Empire style cabinets from the early nineteenth century which feature this element. A cabinet now in the Bibliothéque du Senat was made by the cabinetmaker, Charles Morel, to store volumes of Denon’s publication, *Description de l’Egypte* (fig. 4.20).152 Considered the archetype for adapting the cavetto cornice to modern furniture forms, vertical lines adorn the cornice with a winged sun disk framed by uraei in the center.
An assortment of Egyptianizing ornament symmetrically flanks the door of the music cabinet, while an ornamental band of the zig-zag motif wraps around the base (fig. 4.21). Above incised lotus flowers is an applied group of carved elements, including feathers, a pharaonic head wearing a striped nemes headdress, and a temple doorway featuring a cavetto cornice and battered walls. Protruding atop the back edge of the music cabinet is a triangular back board with applied carving of a serpent and two feathers projecting upward. While these held great symbolic importance in ancient Egypt, the Victorian attitude towards ornamentation encouraged the eclectic combination of motifs for decorative purposes.

Set in the door of the music cabinet is a bronze plaque with a profile portrait in high relief of a female wearing an elaborate vulture headdress (fig. 4.22). In comparison to an ancient Egyptian depiction of Isis wearing a vulture headdress in a meticulously incised raised relief, the artist who created the music cabinet’s bronze plaque closely copied the details of the vulture’s plumage, but the facial features are a deviation from Egyptian precedents (4.23). The artist’s classicizing profile parallels the tendency by artists who accompanied Egyptologists to make alterations when recording imagery from archeological sites. Rather than aiming for authenticity or archeological correctness in the facial features, the artist blends the ancient Egyptian costume with Western artistic conventions for the facial features. The same profile relief on the music cabinet is also seen in a summer screen for a fireplace, showing the adaption of Egyptianizing iconography for a variety of Victorian products for the home (fig. 4.24).
In addition to the center table’s design, the conflation of ancient Egyptian and Assyrian cultures is also seen in the French inscription on the projecting shoulder of the bronze portrait relief, which identifies the woman as “Nitocris, queen of Babylon” (fig. 4.25). The ancient historians, Herodotus, Eratosthenes, and Manetho all mentioned Nitocris, as a queen of Egypt from the Sixth Dynasty, but this was also the name of a Babylonian princess. By the late nineteenth century, “Nitocris” was the title of a theatrical production, and another play titled “Belshazzar” included Nitocris as a character. As well-read and cultivated Americans who attended the theatre, the Reed family and their social peers may have been familiar with Nitocris as a figure in ancient history and would have appreciated the reference on the plaque.

Illustrating the Victorian love of ornament, decoration continues on both sides of the music cabinet with sunk reliefs which closely emulate ancient Egyptian artistic conventions (fig. 4.26). The depiction of a pharaoh making an offering of a lotus flower follows traditional royal iconography, as he wears the traditional regalia of a collar, skirt, and a bull’s tale (fig. 4.27). The crown is an adaptation of the kind often seen worn by the goddess Hathor, which consists of a sun disk, two feathers and cow’s horns. Hieroglyphic symbols are incised in a rectangle above in imitation of the tablets of identifying hieroglyphs featured on ancient Egyptian wall reliefs. While actual hieroglyphic symbols may be used here, the meaningless arrangement is purely decorative and intended to convey the look of ancient Egyptian examples. A female figure is carved in sunk relief on the sloped narrow panel that projects from either side of the music cabinet (fig. 4.28). The female’s pose is closely based on ancient Egyptian examples, showing her face and one breast in profile, arms at her sides, and wearing a
wig and close-fitting sheath. Both detailed renditions of the male and female figures in sunk relief on the music cabinet are a more sophisticated attempt to imitate ancient Egyptian examples than the panels of sunk relief flanking the fireplace, suggesting a different artist’s hand.

In contrast to the delicate incised ornament and carving on the upper portion of the music cabinet, two massive front supports in the shape of bulbous baluster-like forms accent the open shelf of the lower section (fig. 4.29). This element may be derived from the seventeenth-century baluster supports that were featured prominently on Tudor tables and court cupboards in England. While carved classically-inspired motifs of gadrooning and acanthus leaves often ornamented Tudor forms, the supports on the music cabinet are carved and incised with a pastiche of striking decoration. Horn-like protrusions covered with stylized feathers jut out from the uppermost portion, which tapers and then swells to the round mid-section carved with abstract exotic ornament in shallow relief. At the bottom, stylized leaves are carved in relief, and each leg rests on a rounded extension on the thick base. The back panel features incised decoration of a zig-zag motif and a sunburst similar to that on the sofa’s back, which is combined with lotus-shaped tassels (fig. 4.30). The overloading of Egyptianizing ornamental motifs combined on the music cabinet exemplifies the eclectic and historicizing spirit of Victorian furniture design.

The Egyptian revival style in furniture design has been mainly associated with important interior decorating and cabinetmaking firms of New York City, including Pottier and Stymus, Alexander Roux, Kimbel & Cabus, Herter Brothers and Leon Marcotte. However, the Egyptian revival suite by Doe & Hunnewell at Cedar Hill shows
that the elite Boston firm also produced pieces that rivaled the sophistication of the New York firms. The opulent designs of Egyptian revival style chairs by New York firms often incorporated gilt figural mounts of pharaonic heads or sphinxes for decorative accents. For instance, an armchair by the premier cabinetmaking firm Pottier & Stymus (c. 1870) has incised gilt details and gilt metal mounts of female Egyptian heads with headdresses as arm supports and paw feet on the front legs (fig. 4.31). The contrasting ebonized and gilt coloration seen on high-style examples was copied for middle-consumers with more affordable painted black and gold imitations. While an entire suite of Egyptian revival furniture like that at Cedar Hill was a great expense, the ‘Thebes’ stool was a popular form modeled on an ancient Egyptian example in the British Museum, which added a touch of Egypt to any room (fig. 4.32). The Egyptian revival style in furniture of the late nineteenth century reflects the fascination with Egypt among fashionable circles, and the variety of interpretations are indicative of the influence of Orientalism in Victorian design. The Doe & Hunnewell suite is a unique departure from the style characteristic of contemporary New York firms, and thus is an important group showing the diversity of creative expressions in furniture of the Egyptian revival style.
The Egyptian Revival Style for Domestic Interiors

The impact of the widespread American fascination with exotic foreign cultures is evident in the Egyptian style décor of the reception room at Cedar Hill. Yet, the Egyptian style was an option among a variety of fashionable historical revivals being adopted for individual rooms in high-style interiors that conveyed the owner’s knowledge of the latest trends. A contemporaneous trend to the Egyptian style was the vogue for Turkish smoking rooms, which was more widely disseminated in middle-class homes with the Turkish corner craze. Dr. William Hammond’s Egyptian library in New York City dating to 1873 demonstrates a similar creative impulse to Cedar Hill’s reception room and offers a striking comparison for its eclectic application of Egyptianizing decorative motifs and furnishings. The parlor of Samuel Eberly Gross in his Chicago mansion, dating to 1880-1881, is one of the few documented examples of interiors in the Egyptian style. The Egyptian dining room designs by the Aesthetic movement designer, H.W. Batley, from the 1870s and the Egyptian style decoration of the entry hall of Bushloe House (c. 1880) designed by Christopher Dresser, show further experimentation with the Egyptian style in England. While certain styles were deemed appropriate by tastemakers for a room’s function, such as Gothic libraries and Japanese parlors, Egyptian style interiors could be adapted successfully to various principal rooms to express the discerning taste of the occupant.

Among the exotic themes explored in interior decoration, high-style interiors in the Turkish and Moorish styles gained popularity in the late nineteenth century. The Orientalist mentality that figured in the creation of the Egyptian reception room at Cedar
Hill is also evident in the expression of the Turkish theme in a sumptuous smoking room in the Portland, Maine summer home built by the hotelier, Sylvester Ruggles Morse from 1858-60, which is now known as Victoria Mansion (fig. 5.1). The architect, Henry Austin, who created the Italianate villa, previously designed the Egyptian revival gateway at the Grove Street Cemetery in his native New Haven, Connecticut (1839-1847) (fig. 5.2). Austin’s only foray in the Egyptian style in architecture makes use of massive battered red sandstone pylons edged with torus molding and bundled lotus bud columns, which are crowned by an architrave and cavetto cornice with a winged orb flanked by uraei.

The importance of the Turkish smoking room at Victoria mansion in the history of American interior design parallels that of the Egyptian room at Cedar Hill as both are among the earliest manifestations of Orientalism in American domestic interiors. The interior decoration and furniture of the mansion was provided by the German-born Gustav Herter, one of the first professional interior designers in America, and the trompe l’oeil wall paintings were executed by the Italian Giusseppe Guidicini, both based in New York City. The Turkish smoking room is recognized as the earliest example of Islamic décor known to exist in the United States, and it is also the oldest smoking room to survive in an American private residence.156

The unusual brownstone exterior of Victoria Mansion draws architectural influences from Tuscan villas while the porch is framed with Grecian Ionic columns, and the interior boasts a Second Empire parlor, Gothic library, Pompeian bathroom, and a Bedouin tent painted on the ceiling of the belvedere (fig. 5.3). As the finest tobacco
came from Turkey, the Turkish style came to decorate the smoking room, which accommodated the social custom of tobacco smoking for men. Turkish smoking rooms existed in hotels, but it was unusual for homes to have this male retreat for entertainment and pleasure in pre-Civil War America. Just as the Egyptian room at Cedar Hill created a striking first impression, the Turkish smoking must have been a dramatic surprise for guests visiting the Morse residence. One enters the Turkish smoking room on the second floor of the mansion inside the tower through pocket doors set with stained glass (fig. 5.4). The walls of the intimate 9 ½-foot square room are enveloped in a green marbleized dado, while above this is a Near-Eastern inspired stenciled trefoil pattern in red and green outlined in gold leaf to give a three-dimensional effect (fig. 5.5). Similar to the quotations from *The Grammar of Ornament* in decorative elements of Cedar Hill’s reception room, the trefoil pattern covering the walls of the Turkish smoking room has recently been identified as a detail derived directly from a design featured in Owen Jones’ *Plans, Elevations, Section and Details of the Alhambra*, originally published in 1842.157 Nearly eleven feet high, the ceiling is enriched with scrollwork in the same colors while rosewood cornices with gilt trim shaped like Moorish arches are surmounted with crescents symbolic of the Ottoman Empire.

The decorative effect of Turkish themes is conveyed through the colorful upholstery for the window treatments with lobed valances and curtains featuring strapwork patterns and stylized floral motifs, as well as elaborate *passementerie* in an array of tassels and elongated drops suspended from the valances (fig. 5.6).158 The Turkish smoking room is furnished with a divan and two ottomans upholstered in the same fabric as the curtains, and a five-light gasolier illuminates the space. Katherine C.
Grier asserts that the decoration of the Turkish smoking room is “clearly a French interpretation of Turkish furnishing textiles.” The romantic and exotic interpretation was noted in 1911 when a newspaper reporter wrote, “With its strange ornaments and brilliant coloring the atmosphere of the little room seems a breath of the far distant East.” The imaginative artistic rendering of Turkish themes in the smoking room parallels the Egyptian themes in the reception room at Cedar Hill, as both relatively small rooms created a novel, dramatic effect with ornately patterned walls and ceilings as evocations of distant foreign cultures.

The wide-spread adaptation of Turkish or cozy corners became an American middle-class craze spanning from the 1890s to the 1910s. With the vogue for the exotic in home furnishings, one could assemble an assortment of Oriental rugs and hangings, a Moorish divan, ottomans and cushions as well as mother-of-pearl furniture and imitation oil lamps for the Turkish corner. The aim of the informal character of the Turkish corner was to create an exotic atmosphere within a room. The tent-like arrangement of textiles forming the Turkish corner in the drawing room of a Mrs. Hughes in New York City includes Eastern-inspired textile patterns on the divan and pillows with a display of swords on the wall (fig. 5.7). The Turkish corner is an exotic accent next to the conventional middle-class Rococo revival furniture and striped wallpaper. In 1915, Harrison Griswold Dwight derided, “The so-called Turkish corner which I fear is still the pride of some Western interiors never originated anywhere but in the diseased imagination of an upholsterer.” Although not as opulent as high-style Turkish smoking rooms, colored and patterned fabrics remained the most important element in conveying the exotic feel of the Turkish corner.
Just as Cedar Hill’s unconventional Egyptian reception room conveyed the family’s aesthetic cultivation and appreciation for ancient Egypt, the Egyptian library created for Dr. William A. Hammond (1828-1900) in New York City in 1873 was a material expression of his character as part of “the interior of the house of a professional man of scholarly pursuits, cultivated tastes, and wealth sufficient to gratify both.”¹⁶⁴ Dr. Hammond had served as surgeon-general during the Civil War and became one of the leading neurologists in America (fig. 5.8).¹⁶⁵ In addition to his distinguished medical career, Hammond was known as “a famous entertainer, a frequent diner-out, and an omnivorous reader of newspapers and popular magazines.”¹⁶⁶ The article further remarks, “Very few men combine the successful pursuit of science and literature with the pleasures of society as Dr. Hammond does.”¹⁶⁷ His social and aesthetic aspirations are revealed in his involvement in the designs for his residence.

The design for Dr. Hammond’s house was clearly client-driven, and the resulting interiors reflected his individuality and intellect. In an article appearing in the first issue of The Art Amateur in 1879, the author writes that “The doctor uses his own ideas and selects his designs, and himself gives all the instructions to the artisans he employs.”¹⁶⁸ Hammond’s keen interest in interior decoration is revealed in his stating, “If I wasn’t a physician I should be an upholsterer.”¹⁶⁹ As an impressive dwelling on West 54th Street near fashionable Fifth Avenue in New York City, the Hammond residence achieved prominence when contemporary tastemakers published effusive praise in magazine articles in The Art Amateur and Harper’s New Monthly as well as in the vanity folio publication, Artistic Houses, which produced five hundred copies by subscription.
Dr. Hammond’s house embraced an eclectic ideal, beginning with the brownstone and brick façade which reproduced “an old house” he had seen in Nuremberg. An astonishing array of world cultures were incorporated into the variety of exotic and revival styles interiors of the Hammond residence, reflecting the cosmopolitanism then imbuing American high-style interiors. The drawing room featured early English and Celtic decoration, the dining room had medieval and Renaissance influences, a writing room had unique Danish decoration while separate bedrooms for Dr. and Mrs. Hammond featured Gothic and Medieval styles for the former and Renaissance styles for the latter with an additional Japanese bedroom. The tastemaker, Mrs. M.E.W Sherwood, admiringly praises Dr. Hammond’s house as “Perhaps one of the first conspicuously artistic interiors in New York…” and further contends that “its series of internal decorations…will be difficult for artists to surpass for some time to come.” Hammond’s house reflects the fashion for artistic houses, for which *The New York Times* writer ridicules in saying the rooms one encounters when visiting the homes of friends cover “a sweeping *giro* across two or three centuries, through medieval Germany and flowery Renaissance France, with a flight into the East, all in the course of an afternoon.”

The suitability of the Egyptian style for the library was supported by the long-held association of the ancient Egyptian civilization with knowledge and medical advances. Sherwood expresses her approval of Dr. Hammond’s “Egyptian retreat” as a room which “is devoted to consultations on the mysterious diseases of the brain,” and she asserts that it “is fitly dedicated to that subtle Egyptian intellect which saw so clearly behind the veil, and read as no other people have read the enigma of life.” The *Art Amateur* reviewer
also comments admiringly that the “Egyptian style gives a gnostic radiancy to the decoration” of Dr. Hammond’s library.\textsuperscript{174}

Although the Egyptian library no longer exists, the contemporary descriptions of the room may be compared to photographs dating to the occupancy of the later owner, Chauncey M. Depew (1834-1928), a renowned lawyer, railroad president, and U.S. Senator, who retained the original interior decoration but refurnished it with his own taste of furniture (fig. 5.9 and 5.10).\textsuperscript{175} The decoration chosen for the walls and ceiling and the furnishings of the Egyptian library convey Dr. Hammond’s appreciation for the culture of ancient Egypt and reveal his aspirations to be identified with this celebrated civilization. In comparison to the looser and more generic adaptations of the figures by W.J. McPherson & Co. for the frieze at Cedar Hill, specific scenes popularly known from archeological discoveries are incorporated into the frieze in Dr. Hammond’s library which imitate iconographic conventions of ancient Egyptian art. “The black and red figures painted flat” on the figural frieze were admired as “a careful and speaking fragment of Egyptian history,” and segments of the “various historic scenes” are discernible in the later photographs.\textsuperscript{176} In Artistic Houses, George William Sheldon mentions “the gods Osiris, Isis and so on, in warlike procession, with chariots and horses and warriors.”\textsuperscript{177} A section of the frieze with two figures standing in war chariots led by horses is visible in the c. 1899 photograph (see fig. 5.9).\textsuperscript{178} In another photograph, a pharaoh smiting his enemies in the presence of a deity is discernible in the frieze, which Sheldon identifies as, “Rameses II, the Theban king who had a hand in the construction of the palaces of Karnak and Luxor, and who appears in the truly royal act of slaughtering a prisoner” (see fig. 5.10).\textsuperscript{179} Hammond’s selection of well-known
iconography from ancient Egyptian wall reliefs and paintings shows his attempt for the
design to have an authentic quality.

Contemporary assessments of Hammond’s library reveal approving attitudes of
the Egyptian decoration, as well as disclose the sources Hammond culled for design
inspiration, and refer to literature on Egypt that would have been familiar to well-read
Victorians. Sherwood compares “the lotus, the scarabaeus, and the procession of slaves,
huntsmen and animals” as well as “the hawk-headed goddess, the dog-faced deities of
Egypt” decorating the Egyptian library to “the strange but expressive drawing with which
Brugsch’s book has made us familiar.” Sherwood references a leading nineteenth-
century German Egyptologist, Heinrich Karl Brugsch, whose numerous publications on
ancient Egypt contributed significantly to the field. Although only a corner of the ceiling
is visible in the photographs from 1889 offering a hint of the intricate ornamentation,
Sheldon remarks that “the borders and scarabaei are precise counterparts” of those
illustrated in Wilkinson’s *Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians*, indicating one
of the popular volumes that Hammond consulted for designs (see fig. 5.9 and 5.10). The
frieze section above the fireplace features a large winged scarab, which was an
important symbol in ancient Egyptian religion and a favorite motif on ancient Egyptian
amulets and seals (see fig. 5.9 and 5.10). Sheldon notes that, “Over the mantel is a
scarabaeus four feet wide, but not wider than some Egyptian originals, as one may see in
the plates of Racinet’s “Costume Historique,”” which was originally published in France
between 1876 and 1888 and illustrated costume of the ancient world, including Egypt.
Sheldon notes that “Egyptian heads” incorporated on the oak poles and rings that support
portieres feature designs derived from “Nott and Gliddon’s book.” The famous
publication, *Types of Mankind*, is an example of nineteenth-century racialized science and includes a chapter devoted to ancient Egyptians, and Hammond likely used this source to create a more authentic Egyptian look for the library.

Just as different cultural inspirations intermingle in the Egyptian room at Cedar Hill, an eclectic design approach infuses Dr. Hammond’s library. Although Greece is a Mediterranean country, the exotic design of the imported Greek chandelier is suitably incorporated in the Egyptian library. The “Graeco-Egyptian” design for the “large mantel-piece” includes “satin-wood” caryatids with Egyptian female heads wearing exotic headdresses and necklaces, and the “key-stone” in the center depicts “Cupid driving a creature with the head of a horse, the wings of an eagle, and the tail of a lion, and the feet of a dragon” (fig. 5.11 and 5.12). Dr. Hammond wished for the mantel to be in the “pure Egyptian” style, but Sheldon remarks that “among the house-furnishers the spirit of eclecticism is mighty and hitherto prevailed.” Instead of a large overmantel mirror like that seen at Cedar Hill, “a portrait of Rameses II shines from the center of the wood-work above the shelf,” of the fireplace mantel in the Hammond library (see fig. 5.10). This portrait suggests a nineteenth-century romanticized Middle Eastern stereotype, and Sheldon draws attention to the “long black hair, and swarthy face, and the lusterless whites of his sensual eyes.” An Egyptian style suite of furniture once graced the library with “white oak” chairs “of an Egyptian pattern” of lotuses ornamenting the legs and supports. In keeping with the library’s decoration “in the style of the wise Egyptians,” “a statue of the god Buddha, whose name signifies wisdom” sits atop an antique English seventeenth-century chair. The cast of a bronze torso of Marsyas contributes to the “Egyptian spirit” of the library and reveals that Hammond is
an astute collector, for his replica was one of twelve casts taken of an ancient sculptural fragment discovered “at the foot of the obelisk in Alexandria,” also known as Cleopatra’s Needle once it was erected in Central Park in 1881. As a parallel development to the Egyptian reception room at Cedar Hill, the Egyptian library shows the incorporation of the Egyptian style in the budding trend for artistic interiors.

The parlor in the mansion of the millionaire real estate entrepreneur, Samuel Eberly Gross, in Chicago (1880-1881) is one of the few documented interiors completed in the Egyptian style, although no documents survive to explain whether the unusual choice stemmed from the client’s personal interest or a designer’s guidance. As Gross was “a liberal patron of art” and “devoted much time to the study and practice of literature, to art and to mechanical invention,” perhaps he cultivated an interest in the Egyptian style through his artistic pursuits. The room’s décor demonstrates the eclectic blending of styles associated with the East (fig. 5.13). Notable Egyptianizing accents include the large winged scarab painted on the wall, pseudo-hieroglyphic panels forming the upper frieze, and an ornamental band of lotus flowers above the tiled dado and as a border on the ceiling. Also, vulture motifs adorn the central hanging light fixture and the wall bracket. The Moorish carved screens, Eastern-inspired plant stand, tiger skin rug, oriental carpets, and shield and swords on display add an exotic flavor to the room. Although the Egyptian elements of this parlor are not as pronounced as the reception room at Cedar Hill and the Hammond library, it is significant for showing the adaption of the Egyptian style for an important room for entertaining. The owner obviously wanted to make a statement about his taste by decorating the parlor in the Egyptian style whereas the rest of his mansion has been judged as “otherwise
unremarkable.” The parlor’s eclectic décor embodies the increasingly fashionable trend for non-Western styles that transformed the design of domestic interiors of affluent patrons through the 1880s and 1890s.

The artistic craze raged in America and England in the late nineteenth century, and examples of the Egyptian style in Aesthetic movement interiors in England show designers’ experimentations with this exotic style for the decorative schemes of the dining room and the entrance hall. The British artist and designer, H.W. Batley (1846-1932), was a pupil of Bruce Talbert, and his talents included designing art furniture and creating intricate etchings of designs in a broad range of styles. Two of his attempts at designing Egyptian-style dining rooms reveal his distinctive approach to adapting Egyptian ornament to the formal domestic space. In 1883, Batley’s design for an Anglo-Egyptian dining room inscribed “etched in 1872,” was reproduced as plate 4 in *A Series of Studies for Domestic Furniture, Decoration &c Designed & Etched by H.W. Batley* (fig. 5.14). The overall impression of the Egyptian dining room is created by the variety of intricate Egyptianizing ornamental patterns covering the walls. Conventionalized papyrus plants are depicted in a frieze above the doors, and an assortment of geometric patterns enliven the tripartite division of the wall. Winged sun disks crown the two doorways and the sideboard niche. Two columns decorated with Egyptianizing foliate motifs flank the niche, and another column frames a doorway to the far right. Batley applied a variety of abstract Egyptianizing patterns on the dining room table, chair and corner tables. Although it was likely an unrealized project, it is an important example that shows the creative imagining of the Egyptian style as a fashionable option for decorating the dining room.
Batley published another design for a dining room in the Egyptian style in a June 1878 issue of *Building News*, which was accompanied by a review stating Batley had studied “the details…from Egyptian work, and adapted [them] to modern ideas of the dining room” (fig. 5.15). Figural scenes in a manner imitating the style of wall decoration in ancient Egyptian tombs fill the field section of the wall with the themes focusing on elements of meals. Egyptian figures carrying various birds and animals as offerings are appropriate selections that complement the purpose of the dining room. Water birds are depicted in the upper frieze section against a background of vertical lines in a zig-zag pattern, showing Batley’s use of the ancient Egyptian depiction of water. In a similar manner to the reception room at Cedar Hill, a lotus motif adorns a border above the frieze, and a vulture with spread wings is seen above the fireplace with a lotus pattern decorating the mantel shelf. A variety of geometric patterns throughout the room are reminiscent of the designs in *The Grammar of Ornament*, and a papyriform column is at the far left. Adding exotic flair to the dining room are two robed Egyptian maidens, one of which is seated in a chair that is based on ancient Egyptian furniture forms with legs shaped like animals legs and feet. Batley’s design for all of the dining room’s elements, including the portieres, wall decoration, furniture, and decorative arts objects, shows a variety of intricate layers of Egyptianizing ornament that evoke a rich Egyptian feel.

The versatile Scottish-born industrial designer, Christopher Dresser (1834-1904), was one of the most influential designers of his time. Dresser designed for a broad range of media, including metalwork, ceramics, furniture textiles, wallpapers, carpets, glass, and interior decorating schemes. While none of Christopher Dresser’s interiors are completely intact, some of his decorations survive for Hiram B. Owston’s Bushloe House
in Leicester of about 1880 (fig. 5.16). The elaborate wall decoration in the Egyptian manner and impressive skylights of the entrance hall make a striking first impression on the visitor (fig. 5.17 and 5.18). As the first space one enters upon arrival, the Egyptianizing ornament in shockingly bold patterns exemplifies Dresser’s revolutionary approach to design and thus is also a statement of the owner’s taste for innovative design. The abstract ornament enlivening the staircase wall consists of zig-zag motifs in a predominantly green and yellow color scheme with stripes and stylized flowers in deep red accents, as well as rows of conventionalized blue flowers and lotuses (fig. 5.19 and 5.20).

Dresser’s abstract design vocabulary for the entrance hall at Bushloe House is a radical departure from the immensely popular illusionistic depictions of naturalistic imagery that prevailed on wallpapers and textiles in the Victorian era. The flat, conventionalized patterns in the entrance hall show the profound influence that Dresser’s mentor, Owen Jones, had on his design aesthetic. Dresser advocated looking to diverse historical styles, including Arabian, Chinese, Egyptian, Greek, Indian, Japanese, and Moorish styles, for inspiration to create wholly new styles. While little is known of the Owston commission, Dresser’s eclectic approach to design is evident in the variety of styles used to decorate Bushloe House, including a Persian style library and Japanesque drawing room in addition to the Egyptian style entrance hall. At Bushloe House, the strikingly original treatment of the entrance hall in geometrical and conventionalized patterns of the Egyptian derivation has similar aesthetic aims and social meaning as the Egyptian reception room at Cedar Hill. The novelty of the unusual and exotic qualities of
the Egyptian style decoration is a material expression of the owners’ unconventional and refined taste.

Writing in 1878, Harriet Prescott Spofford comments that Oriental styles in home decoration, such as the Chinese and Japanese, in comparison to the Gothic and Grecian “will...seem always more or less fantastic...” and she further states “we can hardly imagine a thorough home feeling accompanying rooms arranged in that style except for the very young and gay, and for those cosmopolitan people who are able to feel at home anywhere.” Spofford’s comments are applicable to the Egyptian style, as the patrons who commissioned rooms inspired by the exotic ancient civilization clearly intended to assert a worldly and sophisticated identity. The Egyptian style provided a means of including exoticism in the home and could be adapted to different rooms to create an escape to a historically and geographically distant culture. A multitude of creative interpretations in the design of objects for the home show the appeal of the Egyptian revival, yet it is difficult to determine the extent of Egyptian style interiors in the late nineteenth century. Although ancient Egypt conjured up many different associations in the minds of Americans, Egyptian revival interiors never reached the heights of popularity that the Turkish corner craze achieved in American homes. Perhaps the competing variety of exotic and historicizing styles and the expense of decorating a room entirely in the Egyptian style contributed to the few Egyptian revival interiors known today, or perhaps redecorating has erased such rooms. Even so, the fascination with ancient Egypt speaks to the curiosity of the age, and the Egyptian revival interior is the ultimate expression of the Victorian spirit in interior design.
Conclusion

While many of the mansions and interiors of the late nineteenth century no longer survive, Cedar Hill is distinguished by its Egyptian revival reception room, which speaks to the American fascination with Egypt in the 1870s. Like the interiors of many grand houses created in the late nineteenth century, the Egyptian reception room is the result of a collaborative effort. The Egyptian reception room and those who had a hand in creating it – the architect, William R. Walker, the decorating firm, W.J. McPherson & Co., the carver, Charles Dowler, and the furniture company, Doe & Hunnewell – deserve to be recognized along the same lines as more famous decorating firms of the era, such as Herter Brothers and Associated Artists. The interior decoration and furnishings that survive attest to the superior quality of workmanship and high level of sophistication and originality in design. The penchant for eclecticism and historicism in Victorian design is evident in the reception room with the decorative vocabulary of ancient Egypt combined in consciously new ways.

Looking at the range of fashionable interiors that have been created in different periods of the Egyptian revival, the style has been implemented as a vehicle for the tastes and habits of the patrons. The Egyptian revival has acted “as an exotic foil to the classical tradition” with imaginative and exotic visions of ancient Egypt created for interiors through the centuries. The range of decorative applications and special meanings in different episodes of the Egyptian revival show the enduring appeal of the Egyptian style to evoke ideas of permanence and grandeur. For instance, the Egyptian room at Cairness house in Aberdeenshire, Scotland, by James Playfair (1792-1794) is the
earliest Egyptian revival interior in Great Britain. With its stepped chimney-piece, battered doorways and Egyptian symbols and hieroglyphics throughout, the tomb-like room is believed to have been a space where the Freemasonic “Egyptian rite” was held. The belief that Freemasonry had its origins in ancient Egypt resulted in many Egyptianizing interiors in Masonic lodges in Europe and America from the mid-nineteenth century onwards. The Egyptian revival functioned as political propaganda after Napoleon’s campaign in Egypt and expressed the growing power of the French empire. The *trompe l’oeil* decoration of the walls of an Egyptian style room in Napoleon’s summer residence on Elba, the Villa di San Martino, presents vistas of the Egyptian desert seen through a portico of Egyptian columns. When the writer Mary Russell Mitford visited Rosedale Cottage in the early nineteenth century, she observed the fanciful decoration in the English cottage ornee, noting “the library Egyptian, all covered with hieroglyphs, and swarming with crocodiles and sphinxes. Only think of a crocodile couch, and a sphinx sofa!” The taste for the imaginary and exotic in Regency and Empire interiors returned in the themed rooms inspired by the East in the late nineteenth century, and the Egyptian reception room at Cedar Hill represents a high point in the artistic reimagining of the Egyptian style through the Victorian perception of ancient Egypt.

The Egyptian revival spanning from the 1860s to the 1890s influenced high Victorian design, the design reform movement, and artistic interiors of the Aesthetic movement. The effect of the Egyptian revival did not end with this phase. Ancient Egyptian motifs were incorporated in the emerging Art Nouveau style with an Egyptianizing influence evident in media ranging from jewelry design to paintings. A
new wave of Egyptomania followed the discovery of Tutankhamen’s tomb in 1922, which brought a fresh understanding to Egyptian antiquity. In addition to Art Deco domestic interiors and residential architecture, this chapter of the Egyptian revival found expression in the public domain with lavish décor for hotels and theatres wherein the Egyptian style became associated with entertainment and leisure. One of the latest invocations of ancient Egypt in America is seen in the ostentatious residence of the entrepreneur, Jim Onan, in Wadsworth, Illinois. Inspired by “pyramid power,” the country house is in the form of a 24-carat gold-plated pyramid reached by a sphinx-lined driveway (1977-1980). This is more akin to the glamour of Hollywood movies than the archeological accuracy sought in earlier eras of the Egyptian revival. The decorative range and exotic allure of the ancient Egyptian civilization continues to inspire art and design, and it is improbable that ancient Egypt will ever cease to color the imaginations of artists, architects and interior designers.
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Ibid., 1272.
78 David Park Curry and Patricia Dawes Pierce, ed., Monument: The Connecticut State Capitol (Hartford: Old State House Association, 1979), 12. W.J. McPherson & Co. was responsible for the decoration of a number of U.S. Custom, Treasury and Court Houses across the country. Another notable commission includes an intricate marquetry floor of mahogany, cherry and hickory laid in geometric designs, for which a plan of the proposed design survives by W.J. McPherson & Co. The floor was recently uncovered after years of being under carpeting in the former office of the Secretary of the Navy in the Navy Department Wing of the Eisenhower Office Building. See http://www.history.navy.mil/library/online/ndlwing.htm.
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Frederick Fried, *Artists in Wood, American Carvers of Cigar-Store Indians, Show Figures, and Circus Wagons* (New York: Clarkson N. Potter, Inc., 1989), 154-155. Fried also states that Dowler married Eliza Norton, a dressmaker from Birmingham, in 1864. Dowler’s first shop address was 84 Orange Street, while he later moved his shop to 52 Pine Street in 1870 and again moved in 1871 to 56 Peck Street, followed by a move in the mid-1870s to 49 Peck Street. Dowler’s charming cottage ornée at 581 Smith Street still survives and is listed on the National Register for Historic Places. The carved ornament applied to the porch and plaster ornament and interior decoration including ceiling paintings Dowler made for the interiors shows the character of his later work.


Industries and Wealth of the Principal Points in Rhode Island (New York: A.F. Parsons Publishing Co, 1892), 110.

See Christopher Monkhouse, Thomas S. Michie, and John M. Carpenter, *American Furniture in Pendleton House* (Providence, R.I.: Rhode Island School of Design and Museum of Art, 1986), 24. A ca. 1904 photograph of Dowler’s studio at 47 Washington Street shows an array of paintings, reliefs and sculpture as well as a settee for which Dowler had completed the decorative surface carving as part of a commission to replicate an item in Charles Pendleton’s furniture collection. A surviving bill indicates that Dowler worked with Morlock & Bayer and R.H. Breitenstein & Sons on the pair of settees. See Rhode Island Historical Preservation & Heritage Commission, *Outdoor Sculpture of Rhode Island* (Providence: Rhode Island Historical Preservation & Heritage Commission, 1998), 47. Dowler designed the Samuel Collyer Memorial (1890) in Pawtucket, RI, which is a standing bronze statue of a fire engineer, wearing a fire fighter’s coat and hat and carries a horn. Dowler also designed the John Sparks Monument in Bristol, RI. See Advertisement, Charles Dowler, *Providence Directory* (Providence: Sampson, Murdock, & Co., 1889), 1104. Charles Dowler’s advertisement lists “chasing patterns for jewelers” in addition to “carving and modeling for architectural and furniture decorations” and modeling in wax” as well as “models in plaster for sale.” His business address is listed as “45 Eddy Street, opp. City Hall, Slade Building, Room 13, Providence.”

Industries and Wealth of the Principal Points in Rhode Island, 110. Also, Dowler was a member of the Providence Art Club when it was founded in 1880, and it is likely he exhibited his work through this venue. Two works of sculpture by Charles Dowler titled “Before the Bath” and “After the Bath” are listed as entries in an exhibition held in 1892. See Report of the Eighteenth Triennial Exhibition of the Massachusetts Charitable Mechanic Association (Boston: Press of Rockwell and Churchill, 1893), 192.

Industries and Wealth of the Principal Points in Rhode Island, 110.

Ibid., 110.

Ibid., 110.

If more of Dowler’s unsigned work even survives in private residences, the work has not been accessible for attribution and scholarly study. Dowler is also recognized in the folk art field as a woodcarver of shop figures. See William F. Brooks, Jr. Gerard C. Wertkin and Lee Kogan, eds., *Encyclopedia of American Folk Art* (New York: Routledge, 2004), 138. Following his retirement in 1919 at 75 years old until his death in 1931, Dowler pursued painting, and he also traveled extensively in Europe.
See “Losses by Fire,” *New York Times*, Jan. 19, 1884, 1. A fire in the Vaughan Building in Providence led to a $5,000 loss by William R. Walker & Sons, “in reference books, many of them imported, and plans of work, including those of the new Masonic Hall, were damaged.”

Bill, Tingley Marble Co. to William S. Slater, October 24, 1874.

Bill, Charles Dowler to Wm. S. Slater Esq., June 6, 1874. The cost for “carving 2 Figures Pan” for the library’s fireplace cost $100. While both the Pan figures are sculpturally carved with artistic detailing and modeling in the same detailed manner as the Egyptians, the larger size of the Egyptians may explain the higher price for these two figures.


Lawrence, *Antiques*, 124.

Ibid., 124.

Humbert, Jean-Michel, Michael Pantazzi, and Christine Zeigler. *Egyptomania: Egypt in Western Art, 1730-1930.* (exhibition catalogue) Ottawa: National Gallery of Canada, 1994), 72. Michael Pantazzi argues that Piranesi’s figures were based on the colossi of Memnon, due to the similar reliefs depicted on the cube-like thrones of the colossal statues at Amenhotep III’s funerary temple, which Piranesi could have studied in engravings in Frederick Lewis Norden’s *Travels in Egypt and Nubia*, published in 1757.


The whereabouts of the Oriental-style fire screen is unknown.

No documentation of the purchase of either set has been located.

The Metropolitan Museum of Art’s clock set differs slightly from the set at Cedar Hill, having bands of yellow-colored marble for the quoins, and Arabic numerals on its clock face instead of Roman numerals. Also, the bulls hold rings in their mouths, and more elaborate patterned decoration is seen on the back of the sphinx atop the clock.

The whereabouts of the obelisks are currently unknown due to theft.

Peirce, 147.

Peirce, 147.

See bill, Doe & Hunnewell, to William S. Slater, August 1, 1874. The items include: 1 walnut mirror for $335, 1 ½ in Bevel on Plate for $74, 1 Drapery Curtain & Cornice for Single Window and the same for Large Window for $492 together, and lastly 2 pair of Laces Loops &c for $110.

Chapter 4 endnotes:

partners of Doe & Hunnewell. Joseph Merrill Doe learned the trade of upholsterer in Lowell, Massachusetts, before entering into a number of earlier partnerships in the furniture business in the 1830s and 1840s in Boston, then worked from the mid-1850s in New York, and after the Civil War returned to Boston and formed Doe & Hunnewell in Boston. See Henry Cole Quinby, *Genealogical History of the Quinby (Quimby) family in England and America* (Rutland, Vermont: The Tuttle Company, 1915), 481. Following Doe’s death in 1871, E.R. Hunnewell continued the successor firm as Doe, Hunnewell & Co. until his death in the mid-1890s. When John Murray Quinby became a partner in the firm in 1894, he inherited the business and the property of Elias R. Hunnewell the following year. See Massachusetts Bureau of Statistics of Labor, *Labor and Industrial Chronology of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts 1899* (Boston: Wright & Potter Printing Co. State Printers), 1900, 6. In February of 1899, Doe, Hunnewell & Co. was listed as “retired from business.”

128 Bill, Doe & Hunnewell to William S. Slater, August 1, 1874. Doe & Hunnewell was awarded silver and bronze medals at the Twelfth Exhibition of the Massachusetts Charitable Mechanic Association in 1874, and the medals are proudly featured on Doe & Hunnewell’s billhead. See *Twelfth Exhibition of Massachusetts Charitable Mechanic Association* (Boston: Alfred Mudge & Son, Printers, 1874), 68. The judges remarked: “577. Doe & Hunnewell, *Boston, Mass.* - Furniture in Various Forms. – The entire exhibit commends itself for its superior work throughout. The black mantel, with mirror, is rich and massive in appearance, finely finished, and the design is exceedingly appropriate. All of the other articles are deserving of approval at all points. *Bronze Medal.*”

129 It is plausible that the February 10, 1882 fire may have contributed to the dearth of any known company records, designs, or trade catalogues from the 1870s. See “Furniture Factory Fire,” *Boston Daily Globe*, Feb. 11, 1872, 1; “A Furniture Factory Burned,” *The New York Times*, February 11, 1882, 1.

130 A Doe & Hunnewell Rococo revival pier table with marble top is in permanent collection of the Museum of Fine Arts Boston (1973.613).

131 Letter, Doe & Hunnewell to Mr. W.S. Slater, November 24, 1875.

132 The furniture for the reception room is listed under the heading of “Music Room” which shows the possible dual purpose of the Egyptian room. The piano stool and music cabinet for storage of materials are two items which reflect this purpose. However, it is not known if the 1878 Steinway piano was originally placed in the Egyptian room, as it has also been located in the parlor and hall at different times. See Bill, Doe & Hunnewell to William S. Slater, August 1, 1874, back side of page 1.

133 Cooke, 85; Seidler, 82. See advertisement, Doe & Hunnewell, *Boston Daily Globe*, Oct. 16, 1875. The financial troubles of the period are reflected in Doe & Hunnewell’s advertisements in 1875, when the company announced, “We have marked our Entire Stock of FIRST-CLASS FURNITURE Down to prices to meet the times. We desire to keep our workmen employed at our Factory during the winter, and we will offer BARGAINS! IN PARLOR, CHAMBER, LIBRARY AND DINING ROOM SUITES, Of which we have a full assortment of the very best quality. Large Assortment of CURTAIN MATERIALS AND COVERINGS, Of our own importation, at the LOWEST PRICES.” In a letter from Doe & Hunnewell to William S. Slater, September 16, 1874: “Dear Sir: We are very sorry to have to trouble you again but we are very much in need of some funds and should consider it an especial accommodation if you could without inconveniencing yourself give us something on the account. Trusting you will pardon us for again troubling you, we remain Yours Very Truly, Doe & Hunnewell.”

134 Advertisement, Doe & Hunnewell, in Charles Callahan Perkins, *Massachusetts Art Teachers’ Association, The Antefix Papers. Papers on Art Educational Subjects, read at the weekly meetings of the Massachusetts’ Art Teachers’ Association by members and others connected with the Massachusetts Normal Art School* (Boston: Alfred Mudge and Son, Printers, 1875), 256. Doe & Hunnewell moved in 1878 to a new store location. While no information survives to describe the Tremont Street premises, the layout of Doe & Hunnewell’s establishment at 577 and 579 Washington Street is described in
detail in a newspaper report following the 1882 fire. From the description, customers could visit the show-room and sales-room on the first floor, where a variety of fabrics, upholstery trimmings and draperies were displayed as well as large mirrors, “elegantly-carved…mantels” along with a variety of furniture items while on a second floor, “general stock of elegant parlor furniture” was displayed in the front portion as well as “a designing and carving room” in the rear. See “Furniture Factory Fire,” Boston Daily Globe Feb. 11, 1872, 1.

135 Advertisement, Doe & Hunnewell, in Perkins, 1875, 256.

136 Memorandum of Furniture selected by Mr. & Mrs. A.A. Reed Jr., March 21, 1874, 6.

137 Letter, Doe & Hunnewell, to Wm. S. Slater, Jan. 21, 1876, 1.

138 While mahogany and rosewood are considered to be among the finer and rarer woods of the Victorian era, walnut was the most prominent wood used for revivalist furniture. In addition to the use of walnut for the dining room, library and reception room furniture, Doe & Hunnewell supplied the drawing room and bedroom furniture suites for Cedar Hill in mahogany, while other bedrooms were furnished with maple, oak and butternut. Walnut was capable of being carved with greater ease, so this may explain the choice of this material for executing the ornamentation of the Egyptian-style suite. Walnut may also have been chosen as the client’s personal preference.

139 In addition to the furniture being in an unusual ornamental design, the listing of the furniture without numbers referencing stock designs on the memorandum and bill also supports the reasoning that the Egyptian room’s furniture is a custom design. For instance, the “round piano stool in cashmere” is listed as #154/11971, showing the stock design number for the item and upholstery. See Appendix 1 for documents.


141 The cost of the two arm chairs was $310 ($155 each), and the three “single” chairs cost $240 ($80 each). See Bill, Doe & Hunnewell to William S. Slater, August 1, 1874.

142 Variations of feather-like ornament are seen on No. 18, 19, and 20 of Plate XI. See Jones, (reprint, 2001), 73.

143 The front legs are fitted with casters to allow for rearranging the furniture for different social activities, such as drawing a chair up to the center table for reading below the gasolier.

144 Dorothea Arnold, “An Egyptian Bestiary,” The Metropolitan Museum of Art Bulletin v. 52 no. 4 (Spring, 1995), 53. In addition to distinguishing hind and forelegs, ancient Egyptian artisans often made the left and right legs of animals and positioned them accordingly on the piece of furniture.

145 Geoffrey Killen, “The style and development of ancient Egyptian furniture: Part 1” Antiques (April 1997) v. 151 no. 4, 574. Egyptians also used gazelle and bull (bovine) legs for furniture designs. Some stools have legs carved as the hind and forelegs of a lion.

146 See Kenneth L. Ames, “What is the Neo-Grec?” Nineteenth Century 2, no. 2 (Summer 1976), 14.

147 See bill, Doe & Hunnewell to William S. Slater, August 1, 1874, back side of page 4. The four gas rosettes cost $16.


149 Marcus Binney suggests that the table is based on Roman examples. See Binney, 866.
Bill, Doe & Hunnewell to Wm. S. Slater, August 1, 1874. “Wal. Music Cabinet. Bronze in door,” is listed on the back side of page 1. Also, see Doe & Hunnewell memorandum, March 21, 1874, 2. The colors “black, red, blue & gold, waxed” are listed for the music cabinet. As ancient Egyptian scenes created in sunk relief were carved in stone and then painted, colorful decoration may have been originally intended for the walnut music cabinet. As no traces of these colors are visible today, this decoration must not have been executed.

Fernand Beaucour, Yves Laissus, Chantal Orgogozo, The Discovery of Egypt (Paris: Flammarion, 1990), 210. Another French Empire example is Dominique Vivant Denon’s medal cabinet (1806), made by Jacob Desmalter and Guillaume Biennais of mahogany and silver, now in the Metropolitan Museum of Art. The pylon-shaped cabinet is topped by a cavetto cornice that is accented with silver inlaid vertical stripes and applied winged sun discs with uraei, while torus molding at the edge. See Beaucour, 211.

Percy F. Newberry, “Queen Nitocris of the Sixth Dynasty” The Journal of Egyptian Archeology Vol. 29 (Dec., 1943), 51. The Egyptian queen, Nitocris, was described by Manetho “as of fair complexion and the bravest and most beautiful woman of her time,” who also built the Third Pyramid. Herodotus recounts a story of Nitocris avenging the murder of her brother, who was king of Egypt, by inviting those most concerned to a feast in a spacious underground chamber, which she then flooded.


Chapter 5 endnotes:

James F. O’Gorman, Henry Austin, In Every Variety of Architectural Style (Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press, 2008), 118.


The Turkish smoking room was recently restored with the original textiles and tassels meticulously replicated.


The Moorish room created by Leon Marcotte for LeGrand Lockwood at the Lockwood-Matthews Mansion (1868-1869) in Norwalk, Connecticut is another example of an Islamic-inspired room. The Turkish smoking room from the John D. Rockefeller House in New York City (1884) was created for the previous owner, Arabella Yarrington Worsham, and now is installed in the Brooklyn Museum.


*Artistic Houses, Being a Series of Interior Views of a number of the Most Beautiful and Celebrated Homes in the United States with A Description of the Art Treasures contained therein* Vol. One, Part II. Originally published 1883-1884 (reprint, New York: B. Blom, 1971), 187. The Egyptian library is not illustrated in *Artistic Houses*, but it does include photographs of the drawing-room, Dr. Hammond’s bedroom, and the Japanese bedroom. There is no author listed on the title page of *Artistic Houses*. In *The Opulent Interiors of the Gilded Age*, George William Sheldon is presumed to be the primary author of *Artistic Houses* based on varied sources that cite him as the author, so I will use his name in quoting *Artistic Houses*. See Lewis, Arnold, James Turner, Steven McQuillin, George William Sheldon. *The Opulent Interiors of the Gilded Age: all 203 photographs from “Artistic Houses”* (New York: Dover, 1987), v-vi.

William H. Rideing, “Medical Education in New York,” *Harper’s New Monthly*, v. 65, 677. On page 677, Rideing states that Hammond’s “private practice is enormous; he is called to testify as an expert in courts of law; and his reputation is so wide that patients come hundreds of miles to see him.”

Ibid., 677.

Ibid., 677.


*Artistic Houses*, 92.

Ibid., 95.


Sherwood, 683.


Chauncey M. Depew purchased Hammond’s house in 1888 and retained the Egyptian decoration of the library until his death in 1928. Photographs of the dining room, library, drawing room, and (formerly Dr. Hammond’s) bedroom in Depew residence with the Hammond’s interior decoration intact are featured in Lancaster, Clay. *Photographs of New York Interiors at the Turn of the Century, From the Byron Collection of the Museum of the City of New York*. New York: Dover with the Museum of the City of New York, 1976.

*Artistic Houses*, 88.

Ibid., 88.

Ibid., 88.

Ibid., 88.
180 Sherwood, 683.

181 Ibid., 87.

182 *Artistic Houses*, 88.

183 Ibid., 89.

184 Sheldon notes that “the handsome chandelier is Greek.” See *Artistic Houses*, 89.

185 Ibid., 88, 89.

186 Ibid., 89, 88.

187 Ibid., 88. The author waxes poetic about Rameses II, “the likeness of the man who fell in love with the daughter of a king whom he had vanquished in battle, rather than of the great general who conquered Ethiopia and Syria, and the principal builder of that hundred-gated Thebes which its inhabitants believe to be the first city founded upon earth.” This suggests that Hammond may have related this information to Sheldon. Yet Sherwood has a different interpretation, describing “the inscrutable eye of the high-priest as he presides over the fireplace.” See Sherwood, 683.

188 *Artistic Houses*, 88.

189 Ibid., 89.

190 Ibid., 87. Sheldon writes that this chair was “formerly the property of Sir Matthew Hall, Chief-Justice of the King’s Bench in the seventeenth-century.” The writer for *The Art Amateur* perhaps describes the same English chair when he states, “Even the carved wood chair, with a back as high as that of a cathedral stall, impresses you as being in keeping with the prevailing style of decoration.” See *The Art Amateur*, 13.

191 *Artistic Houses*, 89. Sheldon states that Lieutenant-Commander Gorringe discovered the sculpture and had twelve casts made. Gorringe was in the U.S. Navy and was involved in transporting the obelisk to New York City.


194 Ibid., 19.

195 Sigler notes that several objects from the Gross Mansion, including some from the Egyptian parlor, are in the collection of the Lightner Museum in St. Augustine, Florida.

196 Sigler, 19.


198 Soros, 36. The folio has an alternate title: *Etched Studies for Interior Decoration*, which appeared on the book cover.

Widar Halen, “Christopher Dresser and the Aesthetic Interior,” Antiques 139.1 (January 1991), 259. Built in 1850 and greatly enlarged in 1880, today Bushloe House is recognized by English Heritage on The Statutory Lists of Historic Buildings as Grade II, which means the building is considered “outstanding.” Grade I is reserved for buildings of international stature and Grade III means a building is of special interest and national significance. The Wigston District Council offices are currently housed in Bushloe House.

Dresser’s predilection for the Egyptian style is evident in an article he wrote, titled “The Expression of Egyptian Ornament” in Furniture Gazette 1874, 479.


Spofford, 162.

Conclusion endnotes:


Curl, 106-108. Also see plate 62. Curl includes a surviving drawing of the Egyptian room at Cairness House. The room was previously thought to have been a billiard room and is described as such in these sources. Subsequent research exploring the original owner, Charles Gordon, and the architect, James Playfair, who were both Freemasons, has led to the discovery of the original intent of the room. The idea for the room resulted after Playfair visited Paris in 1785 where Cagliostro had begun to celebrate the Freemasonic ‘Egyptian Rite.” I am grateful to John A. Packer, Chairman, The Scottish Egyptian Archeological Trust, for sharing this information. John A. Packer, e-mail to author, September 5, 2009.
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Page 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 20</td>
<td>To pay R. A. Athenn, for payments</td>
<td>185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Work on the</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. M. Tupper, Do</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 6</td>
<td>A. C. Athenn, Work on the</td>
<td>104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 12</td>
<td>A. C. Athenn, Work on the</td>
<td>153.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 14</td>
<td>A. C. Athenn, Work on the</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 5</td>
<td>J. Murray, Do</td>
<td>133.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 4</td>
<td>J. Murray, Do</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 8</td>
<td>J. Murray, Do</td>
<td>54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 21</td>
<td>J. Murray, Do</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 22</td>
<td>J. Murray, Do</td>
<td>87.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 23</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>185.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 29</td>
<td>A. C. Athenn, Work on the</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 1</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>37.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 21</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 22</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 23</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 24</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 25</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 26</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 27</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 28</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 29</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 30</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 3</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 4</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 5</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 6</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 7</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 9</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 10</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 11</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 12</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 13</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 14</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 15</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 16</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 17</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 18</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 19</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 20</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 21</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 22</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 23</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 24</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 25</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 26</td>
<td>A. C. Whipple, Do</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. B. M. Green        Inquire 100
11. Jesse M. Manley 170 Mast 110. 19. 440.81
13. Geo. W. Carr 260  Steel Apron 189.41
Apr. 22. M. W. Clark 150. Chute 95.00
Apr. 22. W. C. Martin 200. 100.00
15. J. B. Manley 540. Mantle 340.00
15. M. B. Chappell 50. Paint 58.20
May 1. J. B. Manley 50. Mantle 4. 433.00
May 1. M. B. Chappell 50. 50. 526.77 140.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/11/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright, Vard, Thos</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/18</td>
<td>C. Davies, Cord, Covering</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/18</td>
<td>James Martinez, Cord, Thos.</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Cord</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/22/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Cord</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/23/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Cord</td>
<td>1825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Cord</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/1/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/27/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>1633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/18</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/7/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/28/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/8/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/15/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/22/19</td>
<td>Mr. W. Reed, Shipwright</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional entries:
- W. Chambers, Jr., New York, 200
- E. H. Chambers, Jr., 1485
- James Walker, cord, 1125

Total: 19,930.01
Exhibit B: Receipt, William S. Slater to Alfred A. Reed Jr., April 29, 1872.

Exhibit C: Bill, Estate of E.I. Reed (Coweset Station) to N.B Schubarth, Jan. 1, 1873.
Exhibit D: Letter, E. Holmes to A. A. Reed Esq., March 4, 1874.

March 4, 1874

A. A. Reed, Esq.

Dear Sir,

I am happy to report that the new house you have just erected is the finest in the city. The plan is most elegant and the finish is of the highest quality.

The prices I have quoted you are as follows:

- [List of prices]

If you are satisfied with the final price, I will commission the work immediately. If not, we will renegotiate.

I am confident that you will be pleased with the final result.

Respectfully,

E. Holmes
Exhibit E: Receipt, W. and J. Sloane to Mr. W.S. Slater, April 10, 1874.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carpet</td>
<td>542 yds</td>
<td>$8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloth</td>
<td>227 yds</td>
<td>$8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exhibit F: Receipt, Alfred A. Reed Jr. to W.J. McPherson & Co., Dec. 14, 1874

Boston, December 14, 1874

Mr. Alfred A. Reed Jr.
To W. J. McPherson & Co., Dr.
Decorative Painted, and Stained Glass Manufacturers,
440 and 442 Tremont Street, Boston.

For Furnishing Ornament
al Lights Multi glass at per Contract $599.00

Paid Feb. 3rd 1875

W.J. McPherson & Co.
Per E. M. C. cornish
Exhibit G: Receipt, A.A. Reed Jr. Esq. to Wm. J. McPherson, Jan. 9, 1875.

Boston, Jan. 9, 1875.

To WM. J. McPHERSON, Dr.
House Painter and Interior Decorator,

For Painting & Decorating
House at Warwick R.R.
Stock
59 1/10 days time leading
Beaver & designer at W. $350.00
149 days framework $ 65.00
266 days labor decorator $250.00
305 days Painters $ 450.00
150 day for barn, car, fence
Express. Trunk $ 50

Fine difference, making
Amount of Contract
$3,200.00

The above has been examined
and approved

[Signature]

Paid Feb 3, 1875
W. J. MC. PHRESON
[Signature]
Exhibit H: Receipt, Mitchell, Vance & Co. to Mr. A.A. Reed, Jr., December 1874, and January 1875.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Arm of Chandelier 6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Pair of 6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Doz. Automatic Burners</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Spring Telesc Burners</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 2 1/4 Brackets 6 1/2&quot; Lender 6&quot;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/4&quot; 1392 Screw 24&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1/4&quot; 1392 Screw 24&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/2&quot; 1392 Screw 24&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Arm of Chandelier 6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Pair Arms 6 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Pair of Dome shades 32&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/2&quot; 1392 Brass 24&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/4&quot; 1392 Brass 24&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/2&quot; 1392 Lender 6&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Frogs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Pair Arm Frames</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Back plates</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal: $36.50

10% Discount: $3.65

Total: $32.85

Levy: 2.14
Balance: $13.0941

Paid: $13.0941

The above is correct.

[Signature]
Exhibit I: Transcribed receipts for Charles Dowler.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providence Sept 21(^{st}) 1873</td>
<td>Mr Wm. S. Slater To Chas Dowler To Carving for Egyptian Mantle as drawn by Mr Walker and Recept</td>
<td>$53.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence Sept. 16(^{th}) 1874</td>
<td>Wm S. Slater Alfred A. Reed Jr. To Charles Dowler Dr To Carving 2 figures Pan</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do 2 Egyptians</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reed Payment</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charles Dowler

This bill is correct

Alfred A Reed Jr
Exhibit J: Bill, Tingley Marble Co. to William S. Slater, 1874.
Exhibit K: Memorandum of Furniture selected by Mr. & Mrs. A.A. Reed Jr., March 21, 1874.

Page 1:

Memorandum of Furniture selected by Mr. & Mrs. A.A. Reed Jr., March 21, 1874.

Hall.
1. Walnut Stool. Plain Glass. 100.00
2. Chair with Legs. 35.00
1. Birch, Leather Bench. Walnut Seat. 250.00

Dining Room.
1. Mahogany Shield 14 ft. long with base and 2 ends. 135.00
1. Large Dutch, Marble with Mirror and French Blocks. 180.00

Drawing Room.
1. Mahogany Shield 350.00
1. Mahogany Shield 305.00
1. Mahogany Shield 65.00
2. Mahogany, Ebony 120.00
1. Ebony Table 775.00

3. Mahogany, Ebony 120.00

4. Mahogany, Ebony 120.00

5. Mahogany, Ebony 120.00

6. Mahogany, Ebony 120.00

7. Mahogany, Ebony 120.00

Library.
1. Mahogany 29.00
1. Mahogany 68.00
1. Mahogany 175.00
1. Mahogany 135.00
2 Half Larger Arm Chairs 11 3/4 x 23 3/4 x 28 3/4 25 00
2 Single Chairs 6 3/4 x 16 3/4 20 00
1 Small Desk Library 16 00
1 Round Knocked Armor Leather 16 00
1 Drawing Curtain + Lining for Single Window Armor Leather Cape
Window 10 00 00
3 pr. Lace, "Looped 40 for Dining 5 00 00

Dining Room:
1 Half Desk 36 00
1 Arm Chair 8 00
1 Side-board "Driette Top" 30 00
1 Dining Table, "Simple" 32 00
1 Table 9 00 00
2 ft. 4 1/2 ft. Height 11 00
2 1/2 pr. Laces 200 00
18 Single 7 00 10 14 00
1 Half Crown "Chevaling" Table 32 00
2 1/2 pr. Drawers 10 00
1 Drawing Curtain + Lining Single Large 187 00
2 pr. Trousers 40 00

Total: 233 00
North East Chamber, 2nd Floor

1. Large Ashley, cushion, 32 in. across x 8 ft. 3 in. long. 225.00
2. Darwin - Glass, 44 in. x 36 in. 225.00
3. Corner, bed, 54 in. x 78 in. + 1 side, 3 draperies.
4. Headboard, cream, 8 ft. x 8 in. 60.00
5. 2 French glass 15.00
6. 1 fancy, 20.00
7. Turkish lounge, right arm, left back, corner, all rounds, in figured cloth, set to service.
8. Easy lounge, 36 in. 75.00
9. 1 armchair, 36 in. 40.00
10. Bed, canopy, 12 in. x 12 in. x 12 in. 200.00
11. Window, drapery - canvas, for double window. 100.00
12. 1 sofa, pillow, with cord 75.00
13. Armchair, 15.00
14. Table, 20 in. x 10 in. x 20 in. 15.00
15. Turkish lounge, right arm, left back, corner, all rounds, wth figured cloth, set to service. 90.00
16. Easy, pillow, with cord 15.00
17. 1 large easy chair 60.00
18. 1 armchair, 36 in. 10.00
19. 1 armchair, 30 in. 15.00
20. Chair, 18 in. 7.00
21. Chair, 24 in. 18.00

Total: 1623.50

North West Chamber, 1st floor

1. Redding, 44 in. x 36 in. 400.00
2. Darwin, 44 in. x 36 in. 225.00
3. Headboard, cream, 36 in. x 36 in. 15.00
4. Door, dressing, 36 in. x 36 in. 25.00
5. Table, 20 in. x 10 in. x 20 in. 15.00
6. Turkish lounge, right arm, left back, corner, all rounds, wth figured cloth, set to service. 90.00
7. Easy, pillow, with cord 15.00
8. 1 large easy chair 60.00
9. 1 armchair, 36 in. 10.00
10. Chair, 18 in. 7.00
11. Chair, 24 in. 18.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Price 1</th>
<th>Price 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drapery curtain, Pole and Arches</td>
<td>177.17</td>
<td>153.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat. chair</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid oak chamber in L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak crooks, decorated</td>
<td>175.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport case, Italian white top</td>
<td>225.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammel</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair in Bedroom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring bed</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two chairs in two parts</td>
<td>48.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Potette</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Pillows 25 in</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count Room Chamber, Nursery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large horse, Padded</td>
<td>133.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Mohair Cushions, 50000 with upholstered King Size Top</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>311.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammel</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French bed, made with spring edges</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovas with cord</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy chair</td>
<td>46.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring bed</td>
<td>145.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four stools in two pairs</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 Pillows 20 in</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27&quot;</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Potette</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovas for under seat</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linen Curtain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohs, Spade Chamber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upholstering furniture in Red Cotton</td>
<td>372.47</td>
<td>477.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillis Firm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffet with five doors</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple sofa chair with linen upholstery</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall 2nd Story</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dining Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Paper - Orange</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Sofa</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy Chair</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Side Table</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriental Table with one white &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue Scripted fabric and facing</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table set in brass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall, Easy Chair on Satin button</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Furniture to be provide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knitting Table with leave</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Tubing Rock Chair</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North West Chamber 3rd Story</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Bedspread that matches your</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room - like Fire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy Chair Satin Button</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Bed</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair Mattress in box springs</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Recliner</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X Pillows 20 in</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North East Chambers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comforter Bedspread</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Glass with drawers</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dresser Tables with leaves</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanging Rod</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair Mattress in box springs</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Recliner</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 X Pillows 20 in</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy Chair with head rest</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ballroom Room
1 Oak Side board with Mirror, Arches at each end for cue, Station White
Top.
2 Sofas with Sliding Base, Leather
Ladies' Side Chair
Large Arm Chair with Head Rest.
4 Chairs
1 Commode with Glass in Lid.
1 Sq. Card Table, Cloth put on top, in Circle, and framed.
1 Window Cushion

The above work to be strictly first class, and warranted, and to be delivered and set in place in your house.

Dr. H. Munnell
Exhibit L: Bill, Doe & Hunnewell to Mr. Wm S. Slater, August 1, 1874.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>1873 to 1874</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Wholesale Ladies' Suits</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wholesale Shoes</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Wholesale Suits</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wholesale Footwear</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Wholesale Books</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wholesale Wood</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Wholesale Furniture</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Wholesale Iron</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Wholesale Steel</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Wholesale Glass</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Wholesale Paper</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Wholesale Oil</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Wholesale Paint</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Wholesale Marble</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Wholesale Canvas</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Wholesale Hardware</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Wholesale Chemicals</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table lists various wholesale items along with their quantities and prices for the year 1873 to 1874.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brown Tails with Leathers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tails with kid in bristles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herring Bells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haul Outlines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Wreck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. O. Triggers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheap Spanish Patent leather</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>224.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

136
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Exhibit M: Letter, Doe & Hunnewell to Wm. S. Slater, Jan. 21, 1876.

Doe & Hunnewell,

Manufacturers of
First-Class Furniture, Mantels, Mirrors, Draperies, Lace Curtains and Window Shades.

Importers, Jobbers and Retailers of
Furniture Coverings, Drapery Materials, and Upholstery Trimmings.

Warerooms in Abbott Lawrence Building... Adjoining Hotel Boylston,
198 & 200 Tremont St., Boston, Mass.

Factory at East Cambridge, Mass.

Boston, Jan. 21, 1876

My Dear Mr. Slater,

Yours of 19th inst. has come to hand, enclosing check for $200, to settle the difference between our invoice and the statement, without prejudice to any claim you may have. We have visited the store several times and the location, which is at a buying and selling center, we think will prove well, and have no reason to believe that any matter of any consequence to you will arise in connection with the furniture for your new hotel. Therefore, Our facilities...
For many, our luck, we can produce, plain substantial furniture in quantities suitable for hirely at most reasonable prices, to supply the Reverend's Tremendous expenses in Boston, we would refer particularly to our furniture in the Windsor House. The Reverend Gardner Methodistas, Resp. We would like to submit your designs - samples, as you may desire, with prices, and with others to the same, as we presume will be the case, you will then be able to judge, which fairly will be to your advantage to trade with.

Thanking you for your
patronage

Mr. Tremend

Your truly

Love Humbly
Illustrations

Figure 1.1: Elizabeth Ives Slater Reed
(Courtesy, Clouds Hill Victorian House Museum (CHVHM))

Figure 1.2: Alfred Augustus Reed, Jr. (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.3: Detail of Rhode Island map
(Welcome Arnold Greene, *The Providence Plantations for 250 Years*…
(Providence: J. A. & R. A. Reid, 1886, n.p.)
Figure 1.4: Cedar Hill c. 1879 (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.5: Floorplan of first floor (Courtesy, Haynes/De Bour Associates, Architects)
Figure 1.6: Hall at Cedar Hill, c. 1879 (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.7: William S. Slater’s House in Slatersville, RI. (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.8: Whipple-Slater House, Providence, RI. (Woodward, William Mackenzie, Providence Preservation Society, and American Institute of Architects Rhode Island Chapter. *PPS/AIARI Guide to Providence Architecture* (Providence, R.I.: Providence Preservations Society, 2003), 75.)

Figure 1.9: Alfred Augustus Reed (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.10: Caroline Susette van Son Reed (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.11: Edgehill, Warwick, RI (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.12: Alfred A. Reed house, Dorchester, MA (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.13: Gen. William R. Walker (Courtesy, Westerly Armory Restoration Inc.)
Figure 1.14: The Narragansett Hotel (Welcome Arnold Greene, *The Providence Plantations for 250 Years*… (Providence: J. A. & R. A. Reid, 1886) 358.)

Figure 1.16: Cedar Hill c. 1879 (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.18: Map of Cowesett (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.19: Cowesett map and (clockwise top left to right) Cedar Hill, Cedar Hill Farm buildings, William Sprague Mansion, Sprague carriage house (converted to a house); (bottom left to right) Edgehill, Reed estate gatekeeper’s house (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.20: Cedar Hill Farm buildings and barnyard c. 1879 (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.21: East façade (Photographs by Marissa Hershon unless otherwise noted)

Figure 1.22: South façade
Figure 1.23: North façade

Figure 1.24: West façade
Figure 1.25: Cedar Hill, undated photograph (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.26: Detail of stonework and quoins at Cedar Hill
Figure 1.27: Aerial view of Cedar Hill (Courtesy, CHVHM)

Figure 1.28: Paired posts of the verandah at the front entrance
Figure 1.29: Paired lancet windows

Figure 1.30: Reed children by the porte cochere (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.31: Cedar Hill’s annunciator call box

Figure 1.32: Cedar Hill’s burglar alarm box
Figure 1.33: Dining room (Marcus Binney, “Cedar Hill, East Greenwich, Rhode Island,” *Country Life*, April 3, 1986, 864.)

Figure 1.34: Dining room fireplace with cranes carved by Charles Dowler (Photo, Wayne Cabral)
Figure 1.35: Drawing room detail of stenciled band of stylized anthemia motif above dado

Figure 1.36: Detail of pineapple ornament on overmantel mirror, molded plaster cornice and ceiling stenciling in the drawing room
Figure 1.37: Drawing room fireplace (Photo, Wayne Cabral)

Figure 1.38: Cabinet in drawing room
Figure 1.39: View from library to drawing room (Binney, 864.)

Figure 1.40: Library fireplace with Pan figures carved by Charles Dowler  
(Photo, Wayne Cabral)
Figure 1.41: Gasolier in the library

Figure 1.42: Detail of drapery in library
Figure 1.43: Historical photograph of the reception room c.1877 (Courtesy, CHVHM)
Figure 1.44: View from side hall (Binney, 863.)

Figure 2.1: Egyptian temple at the 1867 exposition, recreating the Temple of Philae (Originally published in *The Illustrated London News*, 16 November 1867. Zeynep Çelik, *Displaying the Orient, Architecture of Islam at Nineteenth-Century World’s Fairs*. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992), 114.)

Figure 2.3: Walnut dado in reception room (H: 53”)

Figure 2.3: Walnut dado in reception room (H: 53”)
Figure 2.4: Detail of stenciled band above dado

Figure 2.5: Section of figural frieze
Figure 2.6: Detail of frieze with (left to right) a scribe, pharaonic figure, male in contra posto pose, and member of the priestly class

Figure 2.7: Detail of frieze with female figures and a pharaonic figure
Figure 2.8: Detail of frieze with two male figures and a harp

Figure 2.9: Detail of seated goddess, Sekhmet
Figure 2.10: Thomas Hope’s Egyptian Room (Thomas Hope, *Household Art and Decoration*, (London, 1807), Plate VIII.)

Figure 2.11: The *Mensa Isaica* (Curl, 58.)
Figure 2.12: Detail from plate CXVI, no. 2 in English edition of Montfaucon (Curl, 78.)

Figure 2.13: Detail of coved ceiling with lotus pattern
Figure 2.14: Detail of stenciling on corner of ceiling

Figure 2.15: Gasolier and center medallion in the reception room
Figure 2.16: Detail of the wood casing splitting apart

Figure 2.17: Detail of carved vulture and capital on the gasolier
Figure 2.18: Detail of gasolier arm and etched globe

Figure 2.19: Ceramic shade of the gasolier
Figure 2.20: Wall bracket
Figure 3.1: The Egyptian reception room (Binney, 865.)

Figure 3.2: Detail of carved mantel

Figure 3.4: Etching of *Camino egizio con montanti decorate con grandi figure sedute* (Giovanni Battista Piranesi, *Diverse Maniere*…(Rome, 1769)
Figure 3.5: Fireplace in the reception room (H: 47 5/16”)

Figure 3.6: Seated Egyptian (H: 36 5/8”)
Figure 3.7: Detail of seated Egyptian

Figure 3.8: Left side of fireplace
Figure 3.9: Right side of fireplace

Figure 3.10: Male figure in sunk relief
Figure 3.11: Female figure in sunk relief

Figure 3.12: Lower panel on left side
Figure 3.13: Scale and figure on lower panel on right side

Figure 3.15: Detail of lower panel on right side of fireplace

Figure 3.16: Mantel clock by Henry T. Brown of Providence (H: 14 9/16”)


Figure 3.17: One of the pair of candle holders (H: 10”)

Figure 3.18: Mantel clock retailed by Tiffany & Co. (H: 17 ¼”
Figure 3.19: Ormolu and marble mantel set, retailed by Tiffany & Co. c. 1885 (The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Edgar J. Kaufmann Charitable Foundation Fund, 68.97.4-.6)

Fig. 3.20: Detail of walnut overmantel mirror frame (Photo, Wayne Cabral)
Figure 3.21: Window cornice and drapery

Figure 3.22: Detail of overmantel mirror frame
Figure 3.23: Plate 53 from Sir Gardiner Wilkinson, *Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians*... (London: J. Murray, 1837).

Figure 4.1: Armchair (H: 40 1/8”) and side chair (H: 37 3/4”)
Figure 4.2: Detail of incised lotus on side of armchair

Figure 4.3: Detail of crest and rail on side chair
Figure 4.4: Detail of seat rail on side chair

Figure 4.6: Detail of leg, seat rail and knee brackets on side chair

Figure 4.7: Detail of back leg of side chair
Figure 4.8: Detail of royal throne from “Thebes, Tomb of Ramesses III,” in *Civil Monuments* Vol. II, Plate XCI, 1832-44 (Franco Serino, *The Monuments of Egypt and Nubia by Ippolito Rosselini* (Cairo: The American University of Cairo Press, 2003), 101.)

Figure 4.9: Sofa (H: 41”)
Figure 4.10: Detail of sofa (photo, Wayne Cabral)

Figure 4.11: Upholstery panel on back of side chair
Figure 4.12: Detail of upholstery panel on back of side chair

Figure 4.13: Center table (H: 30 3/8”) (Photo, Wayne Cabral)
Figure 4.14: Detail of winged birds and sun disk on cornice of the music cabinet

Figure 4.15: curtain tie-back
Figure 4.16: Detail of mask on center table

Figure 4.17: Human-headed winged lion, 883-859 B.C.; Neo-Assyrian period, reign of Ashurnasirpal II, excavated at Nimrud (ancient Kalhu), northern Mesopotamia, Alabaster Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of John D. Rockefeller Jr. 1932 (32.143.2) (photo, [http://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ho/04/wam/ho_32.143.2.htm](http://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ho/04/wam/ho_32.143.2.htm))
Figure 4.18: Music cabinet (H: 64 ½”) (Photo, Wayne Cabral)

Figure 4.19: Lotus pattern in relief on music cabinet’s cornice
Figure 4.20: Cabinet by Morel, 1813-1836 (Beaucour, Fernand, Yves Laissus, Chantal Orgogozo, *The Discovery of Egypt* (Paris: Flammarion, 1990), 210.)
Figure 4.21: Carved and incised ornament on music cabinet (Photo, Wayne Cabral)
Figure 4.22: Bronze plaque on music cabinet

Figure 4.23: Detail of a limestone door jamb from the Serapeum at Saqqara showing the goddess Isis wearing a vulture headdress, Thirtieth dynasty, Paris, Louvre (Gay Robins, *The Art of Ancient Egypt* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1997), 232.)
Figure 4.24: Summer cover with Egyptian profile in relief (Courtesy, Aurora Mills Architectural Salvage, www.auroramills.com)

Figure 4.25: Detail of inscription, “Nitocris/Reine de Babilone” (Photo, Wayne Cabral)
Figure 4.26: Decoration on side of music cabinet

Figure 4.27: Sunk relief of male figure on side of music cabinet
Figure 4.28: Sunk relief of female figure on music cabinet

Figure 4.29: Front leg of music cabinet
Figure 4.30: Lower panel on music cabinet

Figure 4.31: Egyptian revival bergere, Pottier & Stymus, ca. 1870 (Brooklyn Museum, 1992.89, photo, https://www.brooklynmuseum.org/opencollection/objects/2125)
Figure 4.32: New York City Parlor with Thebes stool, 1896 (Clay Lancaster, *Photographs of New York Interiors at the Turn of the Century, From the Byron Collection of the Museum of the City of New York* (New York: Dover with the Museum of the City of New York, 1976, plate 16.)

Figure 5.1: Turkish smoking room at Victoria Mansion (HABS photo, 1935, courtesy Victoria Mansion)
Figure 5.2: Grove Street Cemetery Gate, New Haven, Connecticut, 1839-1847
(James F. O’Gorman, *Henry Austin, In Every Variety of Architectural Style* (Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press, 2008), 118, photo by Cervin Robinson.)

Figure 5.3: Victoria Mansion
(James F. O’Gorman, *Henry Austin, In Every Variety of Architectural Style* (Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University Press, 2008), 69, photo by Cervin Robinson.)
Figure 5.4: Turkish smoking room (Courtesy, Victoria Mansion)

Figure 5.5: Detail of Turkish smoking room (Courtesy, Victoria Mansion)
Figure 5.6: Detail of original valance (Courtesy, Victoria Mansion)

Figure 5.7: Turkish Corner in Mrs. Hughes’s Drawing Room, 1899 (Lancaster, plate 34.)
Figure 5.8: Dr. William A. Hammond
(William H. Rideing, “Medical Education in New York,” Harper’s New Monthly
Magazine v. 65, 669.)

Figure 5.9: Egyptian library, photo c. 1899 as Depew’s residence (Grace M. Mayer,
Percy C. Byron, and Museum of the City of New York, Once Upon a City: New York
from 1890 to 1910 (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1958), 118.)
Figure 5.10: Egyptian library, originally completed for Dr. William A. Hammond, photo c. 1899 (The Byron Collection, The Museum of the City of New York)

Figure 5.11: fireplace and detail of keystone (Courtesy, Antique Room)
Figure 5.12: Detail of caryatid (Courtesy, Antique Room)


Figure 5.15: Design for dining room in the Anglo-Egyptian style by H.W. Batley, originally published in The Building News, June 26, 1878 (Jean-Marcel Humbert, L’Egyptomanie dans L’art Occidental (Courbevoie, Paris: ACR, 1989), 115.)

Figure 5.17: Entrance Hall of Bushloe House (Photo, Bill Boulter)
Figure 5.18: Skylight in entrance hall of Bushloe House (Widar Halen, *Christopher Dresser* (Oxford: Phaidon, Christie’s, 1990), 175.)

Figure 5.19: Detail of wall decoration (Photo, Bill Boulter)
Figure 5.20: Detail of wall decoration (Photo, Bill Boulter)