

CO₂ Elicits Long-Term Decline in Nitrogen Fixation

Bruce A. Hungate,^{1*} Peter D. Stiling,³ Paul Dijkstra,¹
Dale W. Johnson,⁴ Michael E. Ketterer,² Graham J. Hymus,⁵
C. Ross Hinkle,⁶ Bert G. Drake⁵

Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide (C_a), a product of fossil fuel burning, land-use change, and cement manufacture, is expected to cause a large carbon sink in land ecosystems, partly mitigating human-driven climate change (1). Increasing biological nitrogen fixation with rising C_a has been invoked as a means to provide the N necessary to support C accumulation (2). As in many short-term experiments (3), we found that C_a enrichment increased N fixation during the first year of treatment in an oak woodland. However, the effect declined and disappeared by the third year. C_a enrichment consistently depressed N fixation during the 5th, 6th, and 7th years of treatment. Reduced availability of the micro-nutrient molybdenum, a key constituent of nitrogenase, best explains this reduction in N fixation. Our results demonstrate how multiple element interactions can influence ecosystem responses to atmospheric change and caution against expecting increased biological N fixation to fuel terrestrial C accumulation.

We investigated the effects of elevated C_a

on legume N fixation in a stand of scrub-oak vegetation in central coastal Florida, where the leguminous vine *Galactia elliptica* Nutt. occurs naturally (4). During the first year of the experiment, elevated C_a nearly doubled N fixation by *G. elliptica* (Fig. 1A), but this effect disappeared and later reversed ($C_a \times$ time interaction, $P = 0.001$). Elevated C_a significantly depressed N fixation by *G. elliptica* during the last 3 years of CO₂ exposure (Fig. 1A, repeated measures analysis of variance for 2000 to 2002 only, $P = 0.049$). During the 7-year period, the relative effect of elevated C_a on N fixation by *G. elliptica* declined exponentially (Fig. 1A).

N-fixing plants are sensitive to light availability (5), so increased shading through greater leaf area could have been responsible for the declining response of *G. elliptica* N fixation to elevated C_a . However, N-fixing plants also require relatively high concentrations of other nutrients such as phosphorus, iron, and Mo (5). Elevated C_a increased nutrient accumulation in oak biomass and in organic

forms in the soil (4), potentially reducing their availability to *G. elliptica*. Elevated C_a did not alter foliar P in *G. elliptica* (1.19 ± 0.05 mg g⁻¹ for ambient C_a versus 1.08 ± 0.12 mg g⁻¹ for elevated C_a , $P = 0.397$), but it substantially decreased foliar concentrations of both Mo and Fe (Fig. 1B). This pattern may reflect reduced Mo and Fe concentrations in *G. elliptica* nodules, where N fixation occurs. Neither leaf area index ($r = -0.192$, $P = 0.476$) nor foliar Fe ($r = 0.024$, $P = 0.843$) was significantly correlated with

N fixation by *G. elliptica*, whereas foliar Mo showed a positive, significant relationship (Fig. 1C).

Limitation of N fixation by light and nutrients could both occur, but we submit that the regression analysis provides correlative evidence in favor of the latter and specifically implicates limitation by the availability of Mo. Mo deficiency in N-fixing plants has been documented, particularly in sandy acidic soils (6) similar to the scrub-oak soil studied here (4). By causing soil pH to decline or organic matter to accumulate (both of which increase Mo adsorption to soil particles), elevated C_a could reduce the availability of Mo, causing a systematic decline in N fixation (Fig. 1A). We found that experimental C_a exposure initially increased but later suppressed N fixation, contrary to the expected response (2, 3). Biogeochemical feedbacks can substantially modify ecosystem responses to global change, and our results underscore the need to broaden the suite of elements typically considered when examining these feedbacks.

References and Notes


- J. T. Houghton et al., Eds., *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- R. M. Gifford, J. L. Lutz, D. J. Barrett, *Plant Soil* **187**, 369 (1996).
- H. Poorter, *Vegetatio* **104/105**, 77 (1993).
- Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- P. M. Vitousek et al., *Biogeochemistry* **57/58**, 1 (2002).
- H. Hafner, B. J. Ndunduru, A. Bationo, H. Marschner, *Fert. Res.* **31**, 69 (1992).
- We thank D. Johnson and H. Anderson for site maintenance and assistance in the field; D. Moon and J. Colson for sorting litter; and J. Moan and R. Doucet for N and ¹⁵N isotope analyses. Supported by NSF grant nos. DEB 9873715 and 0092642, the U.S. Department of Energy through the National Institute for Global Environmental Change and the Terrestrial Carbon Program, and NASA.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/304/5675/1291/DC1
Materials and Methods
References and Notes

12 January 2004; accepted 5 April 2004

Fig. 1. (A) The relative effect of elevated C_a on N fixation, calculated as (mean elevated C_a - mean ambient C_a)/mean ambient $C_a \times 100\%$, for each year of the experiment, showing the consistent decline and reversal of the effect of C_a on N fixation through time. The decline is best described as relative C_a effect = $[1.514 \times e^{(-0.658t)} - 0.5] \times 100\%$, where e is the base of the natural logarithm and t is time in years since the beginning of the experiment (in 1996, $t = 0$). This model provided a substantially better fit ($r^2 = 0.986$) than a linear model ($r^2 = 0.762$). (B) Elevated C_a reduced the concentration of Fe by 30% and of Mo by 55% in foliage of *G. elliptica* (Fe, $P = 0.043$; Mo, $P = 0.008$). Values are means \pm SEM, $n = 8$ experimental replicates, for the ambient (open) and elevated (solid) C_a treatments. (C) Correlation between foliar Mo concentration in *G. elliptica* and N fixation rate for plots exposed to ambient (○) or elevated (●) C_a , implicating limitation by Mo as the cause of the suppression of N fixation (N fixation = $0.25 \ln([\text{Mo}]) + 1.49$, $r^2 = 0.586$).



¹Department of Biological Sciences and Merriam-Powell Center for Environmental Research, ²Department of Chemistry, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA. ³Department of Biology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA. ⁴Department of Biology, University of Nevada, Reno, NV 89557, USA. ⁵Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, MD 21038, USA. ⁶Dynamac Corporation, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, USA.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: bruce.hungate@nau.edu