

Index to the genera—Continued.

Genera.	Corresponding numbers of first species.	Genera.	Corresponding numbers of first species.
Pyrocephalus	340	Tachycineta	83
Q.		Tachyphonus	142
Querquedula	667	Tanagra	126
Querula	361	Tantalus	633
Quiscalus	202	Tetragonops	472
R.		Thalurania	423
Ramphastos	243	Thamnophilus	244
Ramphocelus	128	Thamnistes	250
Ramphocænus	258	Thrasactus	544
Regethinus	531	Thryophilus	29
Rhodinocichla	22	Thryothorus	36
Rhyacophilus	616	Tigrisoma	646
Rhynchops	689	Tinamus	596
Rhynchoeyclus	300	Tityra	341
Rosthramus	535	Todiostrom	280
Rupornis	552	Totanus	614
S.		Tryngites	627
Saltator	158	Trochilus	426
Sayornis	275	Troglodytes	40
Sclerurus	240	Trogon	382
Scirurus	59	Turdus	11
Scelasphorus	427	Tyranniscus	290
Sclenidera	469	Tyrannulus	289
Serpophaga	337	Tyrannus	331
Setophaga	76	U.	
Sittasomus	240	Urospatha	366
Spatula	670	Urubitinga	546
Speotyto	512	Urubitornis	545
Strix	502	V.	
Spiza	179	Vireo	93
Spizaetus	542	Vireolanus	98
Spiziastur	541	Vireosylvia	87
Sporophila	168	Volatinia	171
Stelgidopteryx	85	X.	
Stenopsis	394	Xenops	221
Sterna	684	Xiphorhynchus	239
Sturnella	204	Z.	
Sula	676	Zenaidura	585
Sylvania	68	Zonotrichia	177
Symphemia	626		
Synallaxis	211		

IDENTIFICATION OF THE SPECIES OF CYPRINIDÆ AND CATOSTOMIDÆ, DESCRIBED BY DR. CHARLES GIRARD, IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE ACADEMY OF NATURAL SCIENCES OF PHILADELPHIA FOR 1856.

By DAVID S. JORDAN.

In the years from 1851 to 1855 large collections of fresh-water fishes were made in the western parts of the United States by naturalists attached to the United States and Mexican Boundary Commission and to the Pacific Railroad Survey.

The new species in these collections were described by Dr. Charles Girard in different papers in the Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 1853 to 1859, and again in the report of the United States and Mexican Boundary Survey and the United States and Pacific Railroad Explorations, Vol. X, both works being issued in 1859.

The *Cyprinidae* and *Catostomidae* included in these collections were nearly all described for the first time in a paper entitled "Researches upon the Cyprinoid fishes inhabiting the fresh waters of the United States west of the Mississippi Valley, from specimens in the museum of the Smithsonian Institution." This was published in the Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 1856, pages 154-208.

Girard's descriptions of these difficult fishes are very far from good. The characters noted are usually superficial ones, and real specific distinctions, such as differences in the numbers of the scales of the lateral line, are generally overlooked.

After Dr. Girard's connection with the Smithsonian Institution had closed many of his labels became obliterated; some of his types were lost or destroyed, and a certain identification of many of his species could not be made. A careful examination of the material studied by him, including many bottles from which the labels have been lost, has enabled the writer to positively identify very many of his types not hitherto recognized. There is also a series of many of Girard's types in the possession of the Academy of Philadelphia, these having been presented many years ago by the Smithsonian Institution. These types have been studied by Mr. Seth E. Meek, and many of them by the writer also.

The present paper contains a list of Girard's species, arranged in the order given by him, and opposite each my identification of it. Those species of which the types are still in the National Museum are indicated by a star (*); those which are found at present only in the Academy of Sciences by a dagger (†). A number of synonymic notes are added. A few notes taken from manuscripts of Mr. Meek, and not verified by me, are credited to him.

Name.	Identification.
* <i>Mylocheilus fraterculus</i>	<i>Mylocheilus caurinus</i> , (Rich.).
* <i>Mylopharodon conocephalus</i> , (B. & G.) ..	<i>Mylopharodon conocephalus</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Carpiodes damalis</i>	<i>Ictiobus velifer bison</i> , (Ag.).
* <i>Ictiobus tumidus</i> , (B. & G.)	<i>Ictiobus velifer tumidus</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Moxostoma claviformis</i> !	<i>Erimyzon sucetta oblongus</i> , (Mitch.).
* <i>Moxostoma kennerlii</i>	<i>Erimyzon sucetta</i> , (Lac.).
<i>Moxostoma victoriae</i>	<i>Minytrema melanops</i> , (Raf.).
<i>Moxostoma campbelli</i>	<i>Erimyzon sucetta</i> , (Lac.).
* <i>Ptychostomus congestus</i> , (B. & G.)	<i>Moxostoma congestum</i> , (B. & G.).

! This is the ordinary northern *Erimyzon*, described in Jordan & Gilbert's Synopsis, p. 133, as *Erimyzon sucetta*. The two forms of *Erimyzon* seem to grade into each other. South Carolina examples belong to the southern type, which is, therefore, in all probability, the real *Cyprinus sucetta* of Lacépède. The northern form (*sucetta* of the Synopsis) may stand as *Erimyzon sucetta oblongus*, and the southern form (*E. goodei* of the Synopsis) as *Erimyzon sucetta*. The southern form ranges from South Carolina to Texas, and the type of *M. kennerlii* belongs to it.

Name.	Identification.
* <i>Ptychostomus albidus</i> ¹	<i>Moxostoma congestum</i> , (B. & G.).
<i>Ptychostomus haydeni</i>	? <i>Moxostoma macrolepidotum</i> , (Le. S.).
* <i>Minomus insignis</i> , (B. & G.) ²	<i>Catostomus insignis</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Minomus plebeius</i> , (B. & G.) ³	<i>Pantosteus plebeius</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Minomus clarki</i> , (B. & G.) ⁴	<i>Catostomus clarki</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Acomus latipinnis</i> , (B. & G.)	<i>Catostomus latipinnis</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Acomus guzmaniensis</i> ⁵	<i>Pantosteus guzmaniensis</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Acomus generosus</i> ⁶	<i>Pantosteus generosus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Acomus griseus</i>	<i>Catostomus catostomus</i> , (Forster).
<i>Acomus lactarius</i>	<i>Catostomus catostomus</i> , (Forster).
* <i>Catostomus macrocheilus</i>	<i>Catostomus macrocheilus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Catostomus sucklii</i>	<i>Catostomus teres</i> , (Mitch.).
* <i>Catostomus bernardini</i> ⁷	<i>Catostomus bernardini</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Campostoma ornatum</i> ⁸	<i>Campostoma ornatum</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Campostoma formosulum</i> ⁹	<i>Campostoma formosulum</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Campostoma nasutum</i> ¹⁰	<i>Campostoma anomalum</i> , (Raf.).

¹The type of *Ptychostomus albidus* is a young individual of *M. congestum*. The scales are of the usual size in this genus, about 45 in the lateral line instead of 56 as shown in Girard's figure. *Moxostoma congestum* is very common in Texas, and seems to be quite distinct from *M. macrolepidotum*.

²*Catostomus insignis* is a valid species, nearer *C. teres* than *C. clarki*, but having a broader upper lip than the former. Its scales are considerably crowded anteriorly, much more so than in *C. clarki*, though less so than in *C. teres*; about 27 scales before dorsal. Lat. l. 56. D. 10. Lips broad, the upper with many series of tubercles; lower without cartilaginous sheath; fontanelle small. This is the *Catostomus insigne* of Cope & Yarow.

³The type of *Catostomus plebeius* is a *Pantosteus*, allied to *P. generosus*, but with the scales before the dorsal larger. Scales crowded forwards; upper lip full, with 2 or 3 rows of tubercles; lower with 5. Jaws with cartilaginous sheaths. Snout broad, moderately projecting. Fins much lower than in *P. guzmaniensis*. D. 9. Lat. l. 90; 29 to 30 in cross series. Head $4\frac{2}{3}$ in length; depth 5. Ventral fins rather short. I do not see that the descriptions of *Pantosteus delphinus* and *P. bardus* indicate any differences from *P. plebeius*.

⁴*Catostomus clarki* is very close to *C. arcopus*, differing chiefly in the larger size of the scales before the dorsal (23 in *clarki*; 42 in *arcopus*). Scales little crowded anteriorly. D. 11. Lat. l. 70.

⁵The type of *Catostomus guzmaniensis* is a *Pantosteus*, and on comparison I am unable to distinguish it from the type of *Pantosteus virescens*, Cope. The latter is said to be from Pueblo, Colo. The specimens of the former are much smaller than the type of the latter, but they are apparently adult, the fins being tuberculate. D. 10. Lat. l. nearly 100; 46 scales before dorsal. Lips with cartilaginous sheath; upper lip with many rows of tubercles. Eye small; fins high, the longest ray of dorsal $1\frac{1}{2}$ in head. Head $4\frac{1}{2}$ in length. No fontanelle.

⁶The type of *Catostomus generosus* seems to me unquestionably identical with the type of *Pantosteus platyrhynchus*, Cope, as well as with *P. jarrovi*, Cope. The peculiarities of *P. platyrhynchus* seem to be due to its shriveled condition.

⁷*Catostomus bernardini* is close to *C. occidentalis*, but the head in the former is less conic and the lower fins longer. Scales much crowded forwards, 75 in the lateral line, 31 before dorsal. D. 12; longer than high. Lips broad, without cartilaginous sheath; the lower, as in *C. occidentalis*, deeply incised. Fontanelle large. Caudal lobes equal.

⁸ Lat. l. 73, in type of *Campostoma ornatum*.

⁹ Lat. l. 46, in type of *C. formosulum*.

¹⁰ *Campostoma nasutum* is an ordinary *C. anomalum*.

Name.	Identification.
* <i>Dionda episcopa</i> ¹	<i>Dionda episcopa</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Dionda serena</i> ²	<i>Dionda serena</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Dionda texensis</i> ³	<i>Dionda episcopa</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Dionda papalis</i>	? <i>Dionda serena</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Dionda argentosa</i> ⁴	<i>Dionda episcopa</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Dionda chrysitis</i> ⁵	<i>Dionda serena</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Dionda melanops</i> ⁶	<i>Dionda melanops</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Dionda couchi</i>	? <i>Dionda melanops</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Dionda plumbea</i>	? <i>Zophendum</i> ? <i>plumbeum</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Dionda spadicea</i>	? <i>Zophendum</i> ? <i>plumbeum</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Dionda grisea</i> , (U. S. Pac. R. R.)	? <i>Zophendum</i> ? <i>plumbeum</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Hyborhynchus perspicuus</i>	<i>Pimephales notatus</i> , (Raf.).
* <i>Hyborhynchus fenellus</i>	<i>Pimephales notatus</i> , (Raf.).
<i>Hyborhynchus puniceus</i>	? <i>Zophendum</i> ? <i>plumbeum</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Hyborhynchus confertus</i>	<i>Pimephales promelas confertus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Pimephales maculosus</i>	<i>Pimephales promelas confertus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Pimephales fasciatus</i>	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> , (Raf.).
* <i>Algoma amara</i>	<i>Dionda amara</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Algoma fluviatilis</i>	<i>Dionda fluviatilis</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Cochlognathus ornatus</i> , (B. & G.)	<i>Cochlognathus ornatus</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Hybognathus argyritis</i>	<i>Hybognathus argyritis</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Hybognathus evansi</i>	? <i>Hybognathus nuchalis</i> , (Agass.).
* <i>Hybognathus placitus</i>	<i>Hybognathus nuchalis placita</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Algansea bicolor</i>	<i>Algansea bicolor</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Algansea obesa</i>	<i>Algansea obesa</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Algansea formosa</i> ⁷	<i>Algansea symmetrica</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Lavinia exilicanda</i> , (B. & G.)	<i>Lavinia exilicanda</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Lavinia harengus</i>	<i>Lavinia exilicanda</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Argyreus dulcis</i>	<i>Rhinichthys cataractæ dulcis</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Argyreus nubilus</i> ⁸	<i>Agosia nubila</i> , (Grd.).

¹ This species has been lately fairly described by Professor Cope, under the name of *Hybognathus flavipinnis*. The suborbital bones in this and other species of "*Dionda*" are rather broad, much as in *Hybognathus nuchalis*. Lat. 1. 37.

² This species has been described under the name of *Hybognathus nigrotæniatus* Cope. It has larger scales than *D. episcopa*, and rather smaller eye. Lat. 1. 34.

³ This species seems to be identical with *D. episcopa*. Lat. 1. 36 to 39.

⁴ This species seems to be also identical with *D. episcopa*. Lat. 1. 37.

⁵ The types of *Dionda serena* and *D. chrysitis* much resemble each other, except that *D. serena* is much darker in color. *D. chrysitis* has scales 5-32-4. Head $4\frac{3}{8}$ in length; depth $4\frac{1}{2}$. Eye $3\frac{1}{2}$ in head. Head shorter and body less deep than shown in Girard's figure. (MEEK.)

⁶ *D. melanops* and *D. couchi* are little different. The former has scales 7-38-4; the latter 6-36-3. Both are deeper than the other *Dionda*; depth $3\frac{1}{2}$ to $3\frac{3}{4}$ in length. In *D. couchi* the lower jaw has a conspicuous dermal fold. This is little developed in *D. melanops* and obsolete in the other *Dionda*. The black caudal spot is much more distinct in *D. melanops* than in *D. couchi*. (MEEK.)

⁷ The original type of *Pogonichthys symmetrica*, B. & G., has no barbel, and the teeth are 4-5. Lat. 1.53. Depth $4\frac{1}{2}$ in length. It seems to be identical with *Algansea formosa*. The name *Algansea* should apparently supersede *Leucos* (preoccupied) and *Myloleucus*. *Algansea antica*, Cope (type examined by me) seems to be a valid species of this genus. The following notes may be added to Cope's description:

Head $3\frac{3}{5}$ in length; depth $3\frac{3}{5}$. Head acute, subconic; snout rather pointed, $4\frac{2}{5}$ in head. Insertion of dorsal midway between pupil and base of caudal. Mouth small; jaws equal; maxillary 4 in head. Pectoral short, not nearly reaching ventral. Lateral line decurved. Scales 10-49-6. Teeth 4-5, little hooked, with very broad grinding surface.

⁸ I am unable to separate *Apocope vulnerata*, *henshavi*, *rhinichthyoides*, or *couchi* from *A. nubila*. *A. oscula*, Cope (not Grd.), is also the same, as is probably *Apocope carringtoni* also. The genus *Apocope* may apparently, without violence, be united to *Agosia*.

Name.	Identification.
* <i>Argyreus oculus</i> ¹	<i>Agosia oscula</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Argyreus notabilis</i>	<i>Agosia oscula</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Agosia chrysogaster</i>	<i>Agosia chrysogaster</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Agosia metallica</i>	<i>Agosia metallica</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Pogonichthys inaequilobus</i> , (B. & G.) ..	<i>Pogonichthys macrolepidotus</i> , (Ayres).
* <i>Pogonichthys symmetricus</i> , (B. & G.) ..	<i>Algansea symmetrica</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Pogonichthys argyriosus</i> , (B. & G.) ² ..	<i>Pogonichthys macrolepidotus</i> , (Ayres).
* <i>Pogonichthys communis</i>	<i>Platygobio gracilis</i> , (Rich.).
* <i>Gobio gelidus</i>	<i>Hybopsis gelidus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Gobio aestivalis</i> ³	<i>Hybopsis aestivalis</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Gobio vernalis</i>	<i>Hybopsis amblops</i> , (Raf.).
* <i>Leucosomus dissimilis</i> ⁴	<i>Conesius dissimilis</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Leucosomus pallidus</i>	<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i> , (Mitch.).
* <i>Leucosomus incressatus</i>	<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i> , (Mitch.).
* <i>Nocomis nebracensis</i>	<i>Hybopsis biguttatus</i> , (Kirt.).
<i>Exoglossum mirabile</i> ⁵	<i>Phenacobius mirabilis</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Meda fulgida</i> ⁶	<i>Meda fulgida</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Cliola vigilax</i> , (B. & G.) ⁷	<i>Cliola vigilax</i> , (B. & G.).
<i>Cliola velox</i>	<i>Cliola vigilax</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Cliola vivax</i>	<i>Cliola vigilax</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Alburnus dilectus</i> ⁸	<i>Notropis dilectus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Alburnus umbratilis</i> ⁹	<i>Notropis umbratilis</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Alburnus amabilis</i> ¹⁰	<i>Notropis amabilis</i> , (Grd.).

¹The type of *Argyreus oculus* has about 90 scales in the lateral line, and is identical with *Apocope ventricosa*. The type of *A. notabilis* I cannot find, but the figure seems to be intended for *Agosia oscula*.

²The type of *Pogonichthys argyriosus* is the young of *P. macrolepidotus*, with the caudal lobe broken off. The genus "*Symmetrurus*," based on this species, is therefore valueless.

³*Ceratichthys sterletus*, Cope, seems to be identical with *Gobio aestivalis*; the types have been compared by me.

⁴I now regard *Conesius milneri*, Jor., *Ceratichthys prosthemi*, Cope, and *Gobio plumbeus* as identical. *Conesius dissimilis* has the scales larger than in *C. plumbeus*; lat. 1. 60; cross series 22, the lateral line much decurved; the mouth oblique, subterminal, much as in *Semotilus*; head flattish above; barbel small, terminal. Head 4 in length; depth 4; eye $4\frac{1}{2}$ in head.

⁵*Exoglossum mirabile*, Grd. = *Sarcidium scopiferum*, Cope = *Phenacobius teretulus* var. *liosternus*, Nelson.

⁶In the types of *Meda fulgida*, the teeth are 2, 4 or 5-5, 1 or 2. The genus is precisely identical with *Plagopterus*, Cope. *Meda fulgida* and *Meda argentissima* are, however, apparently distinct species, although closely related.

⁷*Cliola vigilax*, B. and G. = *Cliola velox*, Grd. = *Cliola vivax*, Grd. = *Hybopsis tuditanus*, Cope = *Alburnops tanrocephalus*, Hay = *Hypargyrus tuditanus*, Forbes.

⁸*Alburnus dilectus*, Grd. = *Alburnus oligaspi*, Cope. The types of the latter, examined by me, have 11 anal rays.

⁹*Alburnus umbratilis*, Grd. = *Luxilus lucidus*, Grd. = *Notropis nigripinnis*, Gilbert. The type of this species has 44 scales in the lateral line. *Notropis macrolepidotus*, Forbes, much resembles the young of this species, but is said to have rather larger scales. (6-40-3 instead of 9 or 10-44 or 45-4.)

¹⁰*Notropis amabilis* seems to be a valid species. The following additions may be made to the description as given in the Synopsis. Head less acute than in *N. rubrifrons*. Jaws equal; maxillary reaching front of eye; fin short; dusky blotch at base of caudal very faint. Head $4\frac{1}{2}$ in length; depth 5. A. i. 8. Scales 5-34-3.

Name.	Identification.
† <i>Alburnus megalops</i> ¹	<i>Notropis swaini</i> , (Jor. & Gilb.).
* <i>Alburnus socius</i> ²	<i>Notropis socius</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Alburnops blennius</i> ³	<i>Notropis blennius</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Alburnops shumardi</i>	<i>Notropis</i> sp.
* <i>Alburnops illecebrosus</i> ⁴	<i>Notropis illecebrosus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Codoma ornata</i>	<i>Notropis ornatus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Codoma vittata</i>	<i>Notropis vittatus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Plargyrus typicus</i>	<i>Notropis megalops</i> , (Raf.).
<i>Plargyrus bowmani</i>	<i>Notropis megalops</i> , (Raf.).
<i>Cyprinella bubalina</i> , (B. & G.)	<i>Notropis bubalinus</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Cyprinella umbrosa</i> ⁵	<i>Notropis bubalinus</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Cyprinella gunnisoni</i> ⁶	<i>Notropis bubalinus</i> , (B. & G.).
† <i>Cyprinella beckwithi</i> ⁷	<i>Notropis bubalinus</i> , (B. & G.).

¹ *Notropis swaini*, Jordan & Gilbert, nom. sp. nov. = *Alburnus megalops*, Grd. The name *megalops* is preoccupied in the genus *Notropis*; we therefore propose for this species the new name *Notropis swaini*. The following description is taken from specimens obtained by Professor Gilbert and the writer in the Rio Comal at New Braunfels, Tex. These have been compared with types of *Alburnus megalops* and *Alburnus socius* in the Philadelphia Academy:

Body moderately elongate, somewhat compressed, a little more robust than in *N. rubrifrons*; back a little elevated. Head rather short and broad, the interorbital space somewhat convex, its width about two-thirds that of the eye. Eye very large, $2\frac{3}{8}$ in head, about half longer than the rather obtuse snout. Mouth large, oblique, the lower jaw slightly projecting; the maxillary reaching nearly to front of pupil; its length $2\frac{1}{2}$ in head. Fins small; dorsal inserted somewhat behind ventrals. Lateral line decurved; scales large, 16 before dorsal.

Head $4\frac{1}{6}$ in length; depth $4\frac{1}{2}$. D. 9. A. 9. Scales 6-35-3. Teeth 2, 4-4, 2, hooked with little, if any, grinding surface.

Color greenish, the scales above dark edged; a plumbeous band along the sides, which does not form a distinct spot at base of caudal; dark dots along lateral line; a dark vertebral streak; fins pale.

This species is common in the outlet to the Comal Spring at New Braunfels. The largest specimens taken are a little over 2 inches in length. Some of these are numbered 36529 in the U. S. National Museum.

² A type of *Alburnus socius* in the museum of the Academy belongs to a species apparently different from *N. swaini* (*megalops*). *N. socius* is deeper, the depth being $4\frac{1}{2}$ in length; the head is 4 in body, the eye smaller, 3 in head. The head is rather deep and flattish above; maxillary extending to past front of eye; lower jaw longest. A. 10. Lat. 1. 33. Color paler, the sides without dark dots.

³ In one of the types of *Alburnops blennius* the teeth are 1, 4-4, 0. The snout is a little more convex than in *A. illecebrosus*. The anterior suborbital is in *A. blennius* rather broad, but in *A. illecebrosus* very narrow. Both species are brightly silvery. I am unable to identify *A. shumardi*, the types being lost.

⁴ *Alburnops illecebrosus* type. (Phila. Acad.) Head $4\frac{1}{2}$; depth $4\frac{1}{4}$. D. 8. A. 9. Scales 6-36-3. Teeth 2, 4-4, 2, little hooked, with narrow grinding surface, and the edges of the first very slightly crenate. Thirteen scales before dorsal. Eye $3\frac{3}{8}$ in head. Snout rounded, $4\frac{1}{2}$ in head. Mouth oblique; upper lip on level of pupil. Maxillary $3\frac{3}{8}$ in head, reaching front of eye. Color pale, a very bright silvery band along sides, about as wide as eye. (MEEK.)

⁵ The types of *Cyprinella umbrosa* have 32 to 35 scales in the lateral line. They are doubtless identical with the prior-named *bubalinus*.

⁶ The types of *C. gunnisoni* seem to be the young of *N. bubalinus*. Lat. 1. 34.

⁷ *Cyprinella beckwithi* seems to be identical with *C. umbrosa*; the differences noticeable seem to be due to the small size of the specimen of *C. beckwithi* examined. Scales 7-34-4. (MEEK.)

Name.	Identification.
† <i>Cyprinella suavis</i> ¹	? <i>Notropis lutrensis</i> .
<i>Cyprinella lepida</i>	<i>Notropis</i> sp.
<i>Cyprinella notata</i> ²	<i>Notropis notatus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Cyprinella whipplei</i> ³	<i>Notropis whipplei</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Cyprinella macrostoma</i> ⁴	<i>Notropis macrostomus</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Cyprinella venusta</i> ⁵	<i>Notropis venustus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Cyprinella texana</i> ⁶	? <i>Notropis notatus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Cyprinella luxiloides</i>	? <i>Notropis macrostomus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Cyprinella lugubris</i>	? <i>Notropis macrostomus</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Cyprinella ludibunda</i> ⁷	<i>Notropis ludibundus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Moniana lutrensis</i> , ⁸ (B. & G.)	<i>Notropis lutrensis</i> , (B. & G.).
<i>Moniana leonina</i>	<i>Notropis leoninus</i> , (Grd.).

¹ Two very small specimens of *Cyprinella suavis* are in the museum of the Academy. Head $4\frac{1}{2}$ in length; depth $3\frac{1}{2}$; eye 4 in head; snout rather pointed; maxillary scarcely reaching eye; 4 in head. Body elliptical, rather deep. D. 8. A. 9. Scales 5-32-3; 15 before dorsal. (MEEK.) This description indicates *Notropis lutrensis*.

² The specimens which we suppose to be types of *Cyprinella notata* consist of one *Notropis lutrensis* and two of another species, closely allied to *N. cercostigma*, but with larger scales (lat. l. 34) and faint caudal spot. The latter species may retain the name of *Notropis notatus*.

³ *Cyprinella whipplei*, Girard, 1856 = *Cyprinella analostana*, Girard, 1859.

⁴ *Cyprinella macrostoma* is apparently a valid species. The description in the Synopsis is from the type.

⁵ Two types of *Cyprinella venusta* (140 S. I.; Rio Sabinal) are in the museum of the Academy. These have head 4 in length; depth $3\frac{3}{4}$; scales 5-31-3; 15 before dorsal. Teeth 4-4. Eye $3\frac{3}{4}$ in head; snout 4. D. 7. A. 8. Maxillary reaching front of eye, $3\frac{3}{4}$ in head. Mouth very oblique; jaws subequal. Scales larger than in most related species. Brownish above, with a silvery reflection along sides. A round black spot at base of caudal as large as eye.

This species is distinct from *C. texana*. The eye is smaller, the mouth more oblique, and the snout more pointed in *C. venusta* than in *C. texana*. The caudal spot is much more distinct in the former than in the latter. (MEEK.)

From the above description it would appear that this species is distinct from the common *Notropis cercostigma* (= *Luxilus chickasawensis*, Hay = *Cliola urostigma*, Jordan & Meek), with which species I had recently identified Girard's description. *N. stigmaturus*, Jordan = *Cyprinella calliura*, Jordan, is very close to *N. cercostigma*, differing chiefly in the smaller scales (44 instead of 38).

⁶ One specimen of *Cyprinella texana* (128 S. I., from Rio Salado) is in the museum of the Academy.

Head 4; depth $3\frac{3}{4}$. D. 7, A. 8. Scales 5-35-3. Teeth 4-4. Eye 3 in head; snout $3\frac{1}{2}$; 15 scales before dorsal. Mouth small, little oblique; the snout blunt, projecting. Maxillary $3\frac{3}{4}$ in head, not quite reaching front of orbit. Mouth more inferior than is shown in Girard's figure; the snout more blunt. Caudal spot faint. (MEEK.)

This species is perhaps identical with *N. notatus*. It can hardly be the young of *N. cercostigma*.

⁷ One specimen of *Cyprinella ludibunda*, $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches long (S. I., 132, from Cottonwood Creek), is in the museum of the Academy.

Head 4; depth $4\frac{1}{4}$. Eye 3 in head; snout 4. D. 8, A. 7. Scales 4-31-3; 13 before dorsal. Mouth oblique; maxillary $3\frac{3}{4}$ in head, its tip reaching front of eye. First rays of dorsal reaching beyond last when depressed. Scales dusky above.

⁸ *Moniana lutrensis*, B. & G. = *Moniana pulchella*, Grd. = *Moniana gracilis*, Grd. = ? *Moniana latabilis*, Grd. = *Moniana couchi*, Grd. = ? *Cyprinella suavis*, Grd. = *Moniana gibbosa*, Grd. = *Moniana jugalis*, Cope = *Hypsilepis iris*, Cope = *Cyprinella billingsiana*, Cope = *Cyprinella forbesi*, Jor.

Name.	Identification.
* <i>Moniana deliciosa</i> ¹	<i>Notropis deliciosus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Moniana proserpina</i> ²	<i>Notropis proserpina</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Moniana aurata</i> ³	<i>Notropis proserpina</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Moniana complanata</i>	<i>Notropis leoninus</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Moniana lætabilis</i> ⁴	<i>Notropis lutrensis</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Moniana pulchella</i> ⁵	<i>Notropis lutrensis</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Moniana frigida</i> ⁶	<i>Notropis leoninus</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Moniana couchi</i> ⁷	<i>Notropis lutrensis</i> , (B. & G.).
† <i>Moniana rutila</i> ⁷	<i>Notropis lutrensis</i> , (B. & G.).
* <i>Moniana nitida</i> ⁸	<i>Notropis nitidus</i> , (Grd.).
† <i>Moniana formosa</i> ⁹	<i>Notropis formosus</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Moniana gracilis</i> ⁷	<i>Notropis lutrensis</i> , (B. & G.).

¹ *Moniana deliciosa*, Grd., seems to be identical with *Hybopsis missouriensis*, Cope. *Notropis stramineus*, Cope, seems to be a variety of the same species, with the scales slightly larger, on an average. *Hybopsis longiceps*, Cope, and *H. volucella*, Cope, are but slightly different, and are all probably varieties of *N. deliciosus*.

² One of the types of *Moniana proserpina* (117 S. I., Devil's River, Texas) is in the museum of the Academy.

Length 2 inches. Head $3\frac{1}{2}$; depth 4. D. 7., A. 7. Fourteen scales before dorsal. Scales 6-35-3. Eye 4 in head; snout blunt, $3\frac{1}{2}$ in head; mouth subinferior, nearly horizontal; upper on level of lower edge of orbit. Top of head rather convex, with a slight median crest. Body rather robust; the ventral outline nearly straight; the back elevated. Color brownish above, rather silvery on sides and below, but with no evident silvery lateral band. A blackish metallic band made up of dark punctulations running from upper edge of preopercle to upper edge of base of caudal.

This is identical with the type of *Moniana aurata* (118 S. I., from Piedrapainte, N. Mex.). (MEEK.)

³ The specimens of *Moniana aurata* are tuberculate males, apparently of the same species as the types of *Moniana proserpina*. Compared with *N. lutrensis*, *N. proserpina* has the mouth smaller and more inferior, the snout and head heavier and more obtuse, and the body rather less deep. The males show a dusky humeral bar, as in *M. lutrensis*, and a faint, dusky, horizontal stripe.

⁴ *Moniana lætabilis* (S. I., 120, Hurrah Creek, $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches long) seems to be indistinguishable from the young of *N. lutrensis*. Head 4 in length; depth $3\frac{1}{2}$. Scales 6-35-3; sixteen scales before dorsal. Eye $3\frac{1}{2}$ in head. Mouth very oblique; maxillary reaching front of eye. (MEEK.)

⁵ *Moniana pulchella*, Grd., is unquestionably identical with *N. lutrensis*. We have compared the types with those of the latter, and with the types of *M. jugalis*, Cope, as well as with numerous specimens collected by Jordan & Gilbert in the streams about Fort Smith.

⁶ The types of *Moniana frigida* differ from *N. lutrensis* chiefly in the larger number of scales (37) in the lateral line. The body is also rather more elongate than usual in *N. lutrensis*. I think that *leonina* and *complanata* will be found to be identical with *M. frigida*, but I am unable to find the types of either.

⁷ The types of *Moniana couchi*, *rutila*, and *gracilis* are all small fishes, mostly in poor condition. They are indistinguishable from the young of *lutrensis*. (MEEK.) Specimens of *gracilis* are also in the National Museum. They seem a little slenderer than the average *lutrensis*, but probably all belong to that species.

⁸ *Moniana nitida* seems to be a valid species allied to *Notropis deliciosus*. A description will be given elsewhere.

⁹ *Moniana formosa* (114 S. I., Rio Mimbres) seems to be distinct from all of the other species. Head $3\frac{1}{2}$; depth $3\frac{1}{2}$. D. 8. A. 8. Scales 7-43-4; 23 scales before dorsal.

Name.	Identification.
Moniana gibbosa	Notropis lutrensis, (B. & G.).
Moniana tristis	Notropis sp.
* Richardsonius lateralis	Richardsonius lateralis, (Grd.).
* Luxilus occidentalis, (B. & G.) ¹	Luxilinus occidentalis, (B. & G.).
* Luxilus leptosomus ²	Notemigonus chrysoleucus.
Luxilus seco ³	Notemigonus? chrysoleucus.
† Luxilus lucidus ⁴	Notropis umbratilis, (Grd.).
* Semotilus macrocephalus	Semotilus atromaculatus, (Mitch.).
* Semotilus speciosus	Semotilus atromaculatus, (Mitch.).
† Tiaroga cobitis ⁵	Tiaroga cobitis, (Grd.).
Gila (species) ⁶	Gila (species).
Tigoma (species) ⁶	Squalius (species).
Cheonda (species) ⁶	Squalius (species).

Eye $3\frac{1}{2}$ in head, slightly longer than snout. Snout formed as in *N. lutrensis*; the mouth oblique. Maxillary $3\frac{1}{2}$ in head, reaching past front of orbit. Form fairly represented in Girard's figure, but the caudal peduncle rather more attenuate.

Some blackish dots along the posterior margin of each scale; a dusky band mixed with silvery along sides. A brownish spot as large as pupil on base of caudal. Teeth 4-4.

¹*Luxilinus*, Jordan; type *Luxilinus occidentalis*, B. & G. This genus is allied to *Notemigonus*, differing in having the belly nowhere carinated, the ventral line behind ventral fins being covered with ordinary imbricated scales. Teeth 5-5, with entire edges and strong grinding surface. Gill-rakers slender, of moderate length. Intestine short, but more elongate than in *Notropis*.

²The type of *Luxilus leptosomus* is in bad condition. It is not evidently different from *Notemigonus chrysoleucus*.

³The type of *Luxilus seco* (in the Academy at Philadelphia) is a young *Notemigonus* not evidently different from *N. chrysoleucus*. It has A. 13 or 14; lat. l. 55; teeth 5-5 mouth very small; lateral line decurved; a dusky spot at base of caudal.

⁴Two specimens (56 S. L., $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches long) of *Luxilus lucidus*, Girard, are in the museum of the Academy. Head 4; depth $3\frac{3}{4}$. D. 8; A. 11. Scales 7-40-3; 25 scales before dorsal. Teeth 2, 4-4, 2, with oblique grinding surface. Ventral line covered with scales. Eye = snout, $3\frac{3}{8}$ in head. Insertion of dorsal midway between base of caudal, a point just behind tip of snout. Lateral line decurved. Scales before dorsal much crowded. Mouth oblique, the maxillary reaching front of orbit, $3\frac{1}{8}$ in head. Base of anal $1\frac{1}{2}$ in head. (MEEK.)

This species is apparently identical with *Notropis umbratilis*, described from the same region.

⁵A type of *Tiaroga cobitis*, in the Philadelphia Academy, has been examined by me. The genus is evidently a valid one, and very distinct from *Notropis*, approaching most nearly to *Rhinichthys*. The following are my notes on the specimen examined:

Body decidedly elongate, loach-like, subfusiform, little compressed, covered with minute scales. Head small, subconical, depressed. Mouth very small, terminal, oblique, without barbels, the maxillary 4 in head, not reaching nearly to eye. Pre-maxillary not protractile. Lips fleshy. Lower jaw included. Eye moderate, placed high, nearly midway in head, its length $3\frac{3}{8}$ in head. Isthmus very wide. Ventrals slightly in advance of dorsal. Fins all high, the first (rudimentary) ray of dorsal somewhat enlarged. Pectorals reaching ventrals; ventrals past front of anal. Head $4\frac{3}{8}$ in length: depth 6. D. 8, A. 7. Lat. l. 60 to 70 (not to be counted exactly). Teeth apparently 1, 4-4, 1, extremely small, apparently without grinding surface.

⁶I here omit all reference to the species of *Gila*, "*Tigoma*," and "*Cheonda*," not having yet re-examined Girard's types, and having therefore nothing to add to the account given in the Synopsis.

Name.	Identification.
* <i>Siboma crassicauda</i> , (B. & G.) ¹	<i>Squalius crassicauda</i> , (B. & G.).
<i>Siboma atraria</i> ²	<i>Squalius atrarius</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Ptychocheilus rapax</i> ³	<i>Ptychochilus rapax</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Ptychocheilus lucius</i>	<i>Ptychochilus lucius</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Ptychocheilus vorax</i>	<i>Gila grahami</i> , (B. & G.).
<i>Hybognathus regius</i>	<i>Hybognathus nuchalis regia</i> , (Grd.).
* <i>Hudsonius fluviatilis</i> ⁴	<i>Notropis hudsonius</i> , (Clinton).
<i>Hudsonius amarus</i> ⁵	<i>Notropis hudsonius amarus</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Hybopsis winchelli</i>	<i>Hybopsis amblops</i> , (Raf.).
<i>Clinostomus funduloides</i>	<i>Squalius funduloides</i> , (Grd.).
<i>Clinostomus affinis</i>	<i>Squalius vandoisulus</i> , (C. & V.).
<i>Clinostomus carolinus</i>	? <i>Squalius vandoisulus</i> , (C. & V.).
<i>Alburnus lepidulus</i>	<i>Notropis</i> sp.
<i>Plargyrus argentatus</i>	<i>Notropis megalops</i> , (Raf.).
<i>Ceratichthys leptocephalus</i>	<i>Hybopsis</i> sp.
<i>Nocomis bellicus</i>	<i>Hybopsis biguttatus</i> , (Kirt.).

¹ This is *Leuciscus gibbosus*, Ayres, as stated in the Synopsis, p. 240. The name *Leuciscus gibbosus* is, however, preoccupied by Storer, 1845. The appropriate specific name *crassicauda* should therefore be restored.

² It is probable that *Tigoma squamata*, Gill, and *Squalius rhomaleus*, Jordan & Gilbert, are both based on specimens of *Siboma atraria*, Girard.

³ The only tangible character by which I can separate the type of *Ptychochilus rapax* from the ordinary *Pt. oregonensis* is this: the scales before the dorsal fin in *rapax* are much smaller than in *oregonensis*, there being about 49 scales in the former and 42 in the latter on the median line before the dorsal.

⁴ The specimen from Lake Superior described by me (Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., X., 60, 1877) as *Luxilus selene*, is identical with the types of *Hudsonius fluviatilis*.

⁵ The only character by which I can separate *N. amarus* from *N. hudsonius* is the number of the teeth, 1, 4-4, 0, or 1 in *amarus*; 2, 4-4, 1 in *hudsonius*. All specimens examined from Pennsylvania, New York, and the Great Lakes are *hudsonius*; those from Virginia to Georgia seem to be *amarus*. I cannot distinguish from *amarus* either the types of *Alburnops salदानus*, Jordan & Brayton, or those of *Hudsonius euryopa*, Bean. *Hybopsis storerianus*, confounded with *N. amarus* by several writers, is a different fish, belonging to another genus (= *Ceratichthys lucens*, Jordan).

INDIANA UNIVERSITY, April 23, 1885.