NOTES ON SOME FLORIDA FISHES.

By G. BROWN GOODE and TARLETON H. BEAN.

At various times, in the publications of the United States National Museum and elsewhere, the validity of some species of Florida fishes described by us has been called in question by Professors Jordan and Gilbert, and several of our names have been referred to the synonymy of older species without adequate show of proof. In a preceding paper Professor Jordan reiterates some of these statements, and we now feel called upon to give our reasons for adhering to the names proposed by us. The fishes immediately concerning us at present are the following: Lutjanus stearnsii, Lutjanus blackfordii, and Caulolatilus microps. In addition to these three, we have studied Sparus pagrus and Xyrichthys "lineatus," upon which we have some remarks to make.

1. Lutjanus stearnsii Goode & Bean.

Lutjanus stearnsii Goode & Bean, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., I, 1878, p. 179; Jordan & Gilbert, Syn. Fish., N. A., 1883, p. 549.

Lutjanus caballerote Poey (specimen in U. S. National Museum, number 9862).

?? Anthias eaballerote Schneider, Bloch Syst. Ichth., 1801, p. 310.

We have been aware for some time that the species of Lutjanus called stearnsii by us occurs in the West Indies, and upon comparison of our type with an example of caballerote, as determined by Poey, we find that the two are identical. We cannot understand, however, the apparent ease with which Schneider's description has been interpreted; to us it is completely useless for the purposes of identification. We prefer to use the name stearnsii for the present, and until one of the older names, eynodon or griseus, is demonstrated as applying to our species.

The example received from Professor Poey, measurements of which are given farther on, exhibits the following among other characters:

There are only eight developed gill-rakers on the first arch, one above and seven below the angle; the longest is one-half as long as the eye. There are seven rows of scales on the cheeks. The single patch of lingual teeth is twice as long as it is broad. The vomerines are in a triangular patch on the head, with a long, narrow backward extension. The palatines are in a broad band. The scales extend upon the membranes of the dorsal, anal, and caudal fins for about one-half their height, or rather more on the caudal. There are two very strong eanines in the upper jaw, and two much smaller ones between these and the symphysis. The mandible is without enlarged canines.

The edge of the spinons dorsal membrane is black. The caudal has a narrow black margin. The included portion of the maxilla is brown. The scales of the body below the lateral line have median golden stripes, as in some species of Mugil.

Measurements.

Species, LUTJANUS CABALLEROTE Poey.

urrent number of specimen		9862. Cuba.	
	Milli- meters.	100ths. of length.	
Extreme length without caudal Length to end of middle caudal rays Body:	242 293		
Greatest height Height at ventrals Least height of tail	82 82 31	34 34 12. 4-	
Head: Greatest length Length of longest gill-raker Width of interorbital area	90 9 19	37	
Length of snout Length of operculum Length of maxillary Length of upper jaw Length of mandible	27 27 31 36 43	11 11 12. 4 15 17. 8	
Distance from shout to orbit. Diameter of orbit. Dorsal (spinous): Distance from shout. Length of base.	32 20 100 69	13 8 41. 3 28. 5	
Length of first spine Length of second spine Length of fourth spine (longest) Length of last spine		12. 4	
Dorsal (soft): Length of base Length of first ray Length of longest ray	52 23+ 32	13	
Length of last ray Anal: Distance from snont. Length of base	18 173 35	7. 4 71. 5 14. 5	
Length of first spine Length of second spine Length of third spine Length of first ray Length of longest ray (second) Length of last ray	9 22 21 33 37 21	9 8.7 13 15 8.7	
Candal: Length of middle rays. Length of external rays Pectoral:	51 57	21 23. 5-	
Distance from snout Length Ventral:	84 61	34. 7 25	
Distance from shout Length Branchiostegals Dorsal Anai Peetoral Ventral Number of scales in lateral line. Number of transverse rows above lateral line Number of transverse rows below lateral line	94 48 VII X, 14 III, 8 i, 16 I, 5 47 7 14	39 20	

2. Lutjanus blackfordii Goode & Bean.

Lutjanus blackfordii Goode & Bean, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mns., I, 1878, p. 176, (full description of adult); II, 1879, pp. 137, 138 (characters and measurements of young); Goode, Game Fishes N. A., 1878, p. 16, with colored plate. Jordan & Gilbert, Syn. Fish. N. A., 1883, p. 549.

Lutjanus campeachianus Jordan & Gilbert, I. e., p. 971 (not Mesoprion campeachanus Poey, Mem. Cub., II, 1860, p. 149); Jordan, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mns., VII, 1884, p. 35.

When we described the Red Snapper as a new species under the name Lutjanus blackfordii we were in possession of all the information concern-

ing Poey's campeachianus that was then available, and no one has, since that time, added anything but conjecture upon the relation of the Gulf form to the original of Poey's description. Indeed it is by no means certain that the type of that description is in existence. There is some ground for the belief that the specimen now purporting to be the basis of Poey's account is a later, erroneous identification of the Red Snapper. Any one who will compare our measurements of Lutjanus blackfordii on page 179 of Vol. I and 138 of Vol. II of the Proceedings above referred to with the description of L. campeachianus will observe the important discrepancies between our fish and that of Poey.

It will be found that the eye of *L. campeachianus* is very much larger, and that the scales above the lateral line are much more numerous than in *L. blackfordii*. We are not concerned with Poey's recent interpretation of the Red Snapper, and we do not consider that this should be allowed to enter into the the discussion. In *Lutjanus blackfordii* we have a species fully described and accurately figured. It is quite as impossible to reconcile our species with the description of *L. campeachianus* now as it was six years ago, and we cannot see the supposed necessity of uniting the two on the basis of our present knowledge.

3. Caulolatilus microps Goode & Bean.

The following notes were obtained from an example of *C. chrysops* in the British Museum:

The length of the longest gill-raker is 4½ millimeters. The opercular spine is short, but sharp. The preoperculum is finely denticulated on its posterior margin. The black axillary spot is not quite so long as the pupil. The twenty-first ray of the dorsal is somewhat produced, as well as the twentieth anal ray; and these rays are only once divided and not twice, like all the others. If the scales be counted obliquely upward and forward from the anal origin to the lateral line, we shall find 31 or 32 rows; if counted upward and backward, 28.

The most important differences between *C. microps* and *C. chrysops* will be observed in (1) the length of the snout, (2) the length of the dorsal spines and rays, (3) the length of the longest anal rays, (4) the length of the paired fins, and (5) the number of scales in the lateral line. We cannot attribute these discrepancies to a difference in age, and we believe that nothing is to be gained by attempting to estimate the relations of the species by an examination of the literature alone. It will be best to consider *microps* as an established species until its claim to distinctness can be more successfully controverted.

An examination of the table of measurements which follows will show the relations of the West Indian and Gulf forms under discussion. We believe that three clearly marked species are indicated.

Measurements of species of Caulolatilus.

	C. microps, 20971. Pensacola, Fla.		C. chrysops (Brit.Mus.). Barbadoes.		C. cyanops, 4750. Cuba.	
	Milli- meters.	100ths of length.	Milli- meters.	100ths of length.	Milli- meters.	100ths of length.
Length to origin of middle candal	200		000		000	
Body:	620		290		330	
Greatest height		. 28		28. 6		24.3
Greatest width Height at ventrals		14. 5 28				$\frac{12}{24.3}$
Least height of tail		8				7
Length of caudal peduncle		10				11
Head: Greatest length		28		28.6		28
Distance from snout to nape		20		13. 4		15
Greatest width		14		12.4		13. 5
Width of interorbital area		7		8.6		8, 5
Length of snout Length of maxillary		14 12. 5		8 *10.7		10 10. 3
Length of mandible		13		12.4		12.5
Distance from snout to center						
of orbit Diameter of orbit		14. 7 4. 8		6. 9		11 7. 5
Dorsal (spinous):		4.0		0. 9		1. 0
Distance from snout		34		32.4		32. 5
Length of base		12. 5		13. 4		13
Length of first spine Length of second spine				5 6. 9		5 6
Length of last spine		F		10		9. 5
Dorsal (soft):						
Length of base		44.5		48.6		46
Length of first ray Length of longest ray		7 8. 5		11 16		10. 5 13
Length of tast ray		4.5		5		5
Anal:						
Distance from snout Length of base		55 35, 5		54. 5 39. 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	51. 5 37. 5
Length of first spine		30.0		39. (*3
Length of first rav.		6		7. 6		7. 5
Length of longest ray		8. 5		12.4		12
Length of last ray		4.5		4.8		5
Length of middle rays		11, 5		14.8		11
Length of external rays	,	16		22. 7		17. 5
Pectoral: Distance from snout		30. 5		28		27. 5
Length		23		27. 6		26
Ventral:						
Distance from snout				32		31
Length Branchiostegals	VI	14		17	VI	16
Dorsal	VII, 25		VII, 23		V11, 24	
Anal.	I, 23		1, 22		I, 22	
Peetoral	i, 16				i, 15	
Number of scales in lateral line	Ab't 120		$\frac{1,5}{100}$		I, 5 108	
Number of transverse rows above	1117 0 120		100		100	
_lateral line	13		11		10	
Number of transverse rows below lateral line	35		32		25	
Number of gill-rakers	66		19		40	

^{*} This means the upper jaw; the maxilla alone is 9.6.

4. Xyrichthys psittacus (L.) Goode & Bean.

Coryphana psittacus Linné, Syst. Nat., ed. xii, 1766, p. 448. Coryphana lineata Gmelin, Syst. Nat.

The type of Coryphæna psittacus, labeled by Linné, and marked No. 20 (evidently the No. 20 referred to on page 313, Correspondence with Linné by Garden, as a fish of surpassing beauty), is the species which we have for some time known as Xyrichthys lineatus. Linné's descrip-

tion agrees fully with this example except in the count of the dorsal, which, for some unknown reason, is $\frac{9}{29}$ instead of $\frac{9}{22}$, as Linné would have made it. All the other fin-rays are correctly given.

The length of the type to the caudal base is 151 millimeters, and the characters are as follows: D. IX, 12; A. III, 12, the last of the dorsal and anal rays double; V. 6; P. 11; C. 14; scales 2 above lateral line; tubes about 24 in all.

The lateral line is interrupted under the 10th ray of the dorsal; the accessory line begins on the median line, under the end of the upper lateral line, and consists of five short tubes.

The height is one-third of the length to caudal base; the head one-fourth. The eye is about equal in length to the upper jaw, and is placed at the top of the head.

Cat. Fish. Brit. Mus., IV, 225), but we must now find another name for the species to which the Linnæan name has been wrongly applied.

5. Sparus pagrus Linné.

Pagrus argenteus Goode & Bean, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., II, 1879, p. 133. Sparus pagrus Jordan & Gilbert, Syn. Fish. N. A., 1883, p. 556.

We have again examined the Gulf Porgee, and compared it directly with a specimen of about equal size which was recently obtained from Leghorn. Although there is some difference in the general appearance of the two forms, we cannot distingush them as separate species. The life colors we have not observed, but so far as the condition of the two in spirits is concerned we believe that the subjoined table of measurements, together with the remarks now to follow, will substantiate our original statement of the identity of the two.

The example from Leghorn has 17 gill-rakers on the first arch, 9 of which are below the angle; it has 7 rows of scales on the cheeks; 4 canines in the front of the upper jaw; 6 in the front of the lower jaw; 2 rows of large molars in the upper jaw, and a short, imperfect inner row, consisting of a few small molars developed only anteriorly; 2 rows of molars in the lower jaw, with an accessory inner row of minute ones similar to those in the upper jaw.

The Pensacola specimen also has 17 gill-rakers on the first arch, 8 to 9 of them below the angle.

It seems almost unnecessary to add more than to call attention to the close correspondence in the measurements of the two individuals which we have recently compared.

Measurements.

Species, Sparus pagrus Linné.

Current number of specimen Locality	Leghorn,	21339. Pensa- cola, Fla.
	Milli- meters.	Milli- meters.
Length to end of middle caudal rays Length to origin of middle caudle rays. Body:	3S2 340	390 346
Greatest height Greatest width Height at ventrals Least height of tail Length of candal peduncle Head:	53 133 38	133 5.6 133 34 52
Greatest length Distance from snout to nape Greatest width Height of preorbital Width of interorbital area Length of snout Length of operculum Length of upper jaw Length of mandible Distance from snout to orbit Diameter of eye Dorsal (spinous):	53 54 33 34 36 30 45	112 50 57 35 32 43 30 45 45 54 26
Distance from snout. Length of base. Length of longest spine (4th) Length of first spine. Length of second spine Length of third spine	37	145 109 43+ 20 26+ 37+
Dorsal (soft): Length of base Length of first ray Length of longest ray Length of last ray	3 <u>4</u> 40	64 29 39 39
Anal: Distance from snout Length of base Length of first spine Length of second spine Length of third spine Length of first ray Length of longest ray. Length of last ray	60 15 31 31+	226 63 12 26 27 30+ 35 35
Candal: Length of middle rays Length of external rays	42 88	44 85
Pectoral: Distance from snout Length	110 126	115 122
Ventral: Distance from snont Length Length of appendage Dorsal Anal. Pectoral Ventral. Number of scales in lateral line. Number of transverse rows above lateral line Number of transverse rows below lateral line Number of rows on cheeks. Number of gill-rakers.	74 23 XII, 10 III, 7 ii, 13 I, 5 56 7	127 72 24 XII, 10 III, 8 ii, 14 I, 5 56 7 15 7