

# El Niño and dry season rainfall influence hostplant phenology and an annual butterfly migration from Neotropical wet to dry forests

ROBERT B. SRYGLEY\*, ROBERT DUDLEY\*†, EVANDRO G. OLIVEIRA‡, RAFAEL AIZPRÚAS<sup>§</sup>, NICOLE Z. PELAEZ¶<sup>2</sup> and ANDRE J. RIVEROS||<sup>3</sup>

\*Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apdo. 0843-03092, Balboa, Republic of Panama, †Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA, ‡Faculdade de Ciências Biológicas e Saúde, Centro Universitário UNA – Campus Guajajaras, Rua Guajajaras 175, 30180-100 – Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil, §Departamento de Botánica, Universidad de Panamá, República de Panamá, ¶Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de Los Andes, Carrera 1A No. 18A-10, Bogotá, Colombia, ||Departamento de Biología, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Apdo. 14490, Bogotá, Colombia

## Abstract

We censused butterflies flying across the Panama Canal at Barro Colorado Island (BCI) for 16 years and butterfly hostplants for 8 years to address the question: What environmental factors influence the timing and magnitude of migrating *Aphrissa statira* butterflies? The peak migration date was earlier when the wet season began earlier and when soil moisture content in the dry season preceding the migration was higher. The peak migration date was also positively associated with peak leaf flushing of one hostplant (*Callichlamys latifolia*) but not another (*Xylophragma seemannianum*). The quantity of migrants was correlated with the El Niño Southern Oscillation, which influenced April soil moisture on BCI and total rainfall in the dry season. Both hostplant species responded to El Niño with greater leaf flushing, and the number of adults deriving from or laying eggs on those new leaves was greatest during El Niño years. The year 1993 was exceptional in that the number of butterflies migrating was lower than predicted by the El Niño event, yet the dry season was unusually wet for an El Niño year as well. Thus, dry season rainfall appears to be a primary driver of larval food production and population outbreaks for *A. statira*. Understanding how global climate cycles and local weather influence tropical insect migrations improves the predictability of ecological effects of climate change.

**Keywords:** climate change, El Niño, ENSO, insect flight, insect migration, migratory behavior, resource limitation, tropical rainforest

Received 12 March 2009 and accepted 24 April 2009

## Introduction

Butterfly flight activity has provided important evidence of global climate change (Parmesan, 2006). Climate is a primary factor driving the advance of the first flight of

butterflies in central California (Forister & Shapiro, 2003) and butterfly migrations to the British Isles (Sparks *et al.*, 2005). Climate change is likely to impact tropical biota, as well; and yet, we know practically nothing about the environmental factors driving the long-distance migration of tropical butterflies. This in part is due to the lack of long-term data sets on migrating species in tropical climates (e.g., Haber & Stevenson, 2004).


The roles of biotic and abiotic factors in regulating insect populations are often nonadditively complex. El Niño may be an exception. The global climate cycle characterized as the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) influences global patterns of primary productivity (Behrenfeld *et al.*, 2001; Stenseth *et al.*, 2002; Holmgren *et al.*, 2006; McPhaden *et al.*, 2006). For example, a warm

Correspondence: Present address: Robert B. Srygley, USDA-ARS-NPARRL, 1500 N. Central Ave., Sidney, MT 59270, USA, fax +1 406 433 5038, e-mail: robert.srygley@ars.usda.gov

<sup>1</sup>Present address: Flora Tropical, S.A., Apdo. 0819-07769, República de Panamá.

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Calle 84 # 7 – 25 Int. 102, Bogotá, Colombia.

<sup>3</sup>Present address: Center for Insect Science and Division of Neurobiology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.

	G C B	1 9 8 6	B	Dispatch: 5.6.09	Journal: GCB	CE:
	Journal Name	Manuscript No.		Author Received:	No. of pages: 10	PE: xx

tropical Pacific Ocean surface is associated with increased rainfall in deserts and tropical seasonally dry forests worldwide, resulting in seed germination and plant growth. The effects of increased primary productivity cascade upward into higher trophic levels resulting in periodic outbreaks of herbivorous species (Jaksic, 2001; Holmgren *et al.*, 2006). A number of researchers have hypothesized a link between ENSO, primary productivity, and insect migrations in deserts and tropical seasonally dry forests (see Vandenbosch, 2003). Here, we designate this link between ENSO and insect migrations: the El Niño Migration Hypothesis.

In many tropical wet forests, reduced cloud cover and rainfall and greater solar radiation and temperature are associated with El Niño years (Kiladis & Diaz, 1989). For example on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) in central Panama, Wright & Calderón (2006) found an increase in solar radiation, higher temperatures and a reduction in precipitation during El Niño events, and the opposite effects during La Niña events. Plants appear to respond favorably to increased sunlight and higher temperatures in El Niño years. Although limited in scope, annual tree ring data from central Panama indicate that El Niño events may enhance tree growth (see discussion in Wright & Calderón, 2006). Enhanced plant productivity with El Niño is also observed in measures of reproduction: flower and seed production, although extreme El Niño events may be detrimental to reproduction (Wright & Calderón, 2006). Given this response in productivity, the El Niño Migration Hypothesis may also apply to tropical wet forests.

For 16 years near BCI, we have censused migratory butterflies flying over the Panama Canal at a point in a migratory flyway from the Atlantic (Caribbean) coast to the Pacific coast of the Republic of Panama (Srygley *et al.*, 1996). The butterfly migrations across the isthmus differ from those frequently studied in which insects move away from dry or cold environments (e.g., Larsen, 1976; Brower, 1995; Haber & Stevenson, 2004). Instead, these Neotropical migrations occur near the beginning of the wet season. Seasonal insect migrations are often resource based with spatial and temporal distribution of food supply being the main selective force governing the migratory phenology, rate and direction (e.g., Rainey, 1951; Southwood, 1977; Van Schaik *et al.*, 1993; Loxdale & Lushai, 1999; Dingle & Drake, 2007). Tropical butterfly migrations may follow a gradient of environmental productivity, which in turn is governed by the spatio-temporal distribution of rainfall. In this paper, we address the question: What environmental factors determine the timing and magnitude of migrants crossing the Panama Canal? We evaluate the effect of the ENSO climate cycle on hostplant phenology and a butterfly migration in a Neotropical wet forest.

## Materials and methods

### *Study organisms and study site*

Annually from mid-May to mid-July, *Aphrissa statira* Cramer (Pieridae) migrates directionally across the Panama Canal near BCI (9°10'N, 79°51'W), a nature reserve and biological field station administered by the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI). The migratory flyway extends from the Atlantic coastal wet forest to the Pacific coastal dry forest, and the predominant migratory direction at this time of year is south–southwest (Srygley *et al.*, 1996; Oliveira *et al.*, 1998). *A. statira* maintain their migratory direction with a time-compensated sun compass and possess sophisticated mechanisms to maintain their course and reduce the energetic cost while migrating (reviewed in Srygley & Dudley, 2008). As adults, *A. statira* feed on flower nectar, and female *A. statira* lay eggs on lianas in the family Bignoniaceae, including *Callichlamys latifolia* (Rich.) K. Schum. and *Xylophragma seemannianum* (Kuntze) Sandwith. The latter is a new hostplant record (R. B. Srygley & R. Aizprúa, unpublished data). Both hostplant species range from Mexico to Brazil (Flora of the Venezuelan Guayana online at <http://www.mobot.org>).

The isthmus of Panama is characterized by two coastal lowlands separated by a central mountain range stretching east–west along the length of the country. This range falls to near sea level (ca. 200 m) where the migratory flyway occurs in the region of the Panama Canal. Annual rainfall declines from the Atlantic to Pacific coasts. On average, Fort Sherman on the Atlantic coast receives 3020 mm of rain per year, BCI receives 2620 mm, Gamboa in central Panama receives 2230 mm, and Panama City on the Pacific coast receives 1850 mm. Across the isthmus, a distinct dry season, during which rainfall is only one-tenth the annual precipitation (300 mm on the Atlantic and Gamboa, 285 mm on BCI, and 140 mm in Panama City), occurs from mid-December through the end of April. The rainfall gradient influences the proportion of evergreen vs. deciduous trees in the forests across the isthmus.

Our working hypothesis is that the southwesterly directional migration is a result of loss of larval resources in the evergreen Atlantic coastal forest due to overcast skies in the wet season (i.e., light limitation hypothesis, Van Schaik *et al.*, 1993). The deciduous forest of the Pacific coast provides a suitable destination because new growth begins with the onset of wet season and continues to provide a predictable larval resource due to the greater availability of sunlight (Srygley & Oliveira, 2001). Interestingly, *Aphrissa* butterflies migrate northeasterly in September–October toward the evergreen forest before the end of the wet season in December. This behavior may have evolved

due to a seasonal reduction in new leaf production in the deciduous forest as dry season approaches and leaf-flushing events in the wet forest during short dry periods in September–October. Furthermore, the wet forest provides a humid refuge to maintain the population during the dry season (Srygley, 2001). Because of logistic difficulties, we lack hostplant data for *A. statira* at the origin and destination coastal sites, but we present hostplant data from the isthmus to associate leaf-flushing phenology with global and local climate.

#### Counts of migratory butterflies

During each migratory season, we censused the number of butterflies that flew across two 300 m transects over Lake Gatún (an artificial lake flooded in 1914 to form a large part of the Panama Canal). We conducted each census from a boat tied to a stump (9°10.622'N, 79°49.873'W) at the southwest apex of the two transects. Looking north for 1 min between stump and the western promontory of Buena Vista Peninsula (9°10.709'N, 79°50.001'W), a person recorded the species of butterflies

that crossed the transect, the number of each species flying west, and the number flying east. Looking east for 1 min between stump and a southern promontory of Buena Vista Peninsula (9°10.714'N, 79°50.727'W), a person recorded the species of butterflies crossing the transect, the number of each species flying south, and the number flying north. Following these counts, we collected environmental data, including air temperature, wind speed and direction, the sun's visibility, and the proportion of sky covered by clouds. Then we counted the number of butterflies a second time for each transect. An entire census required approximately 5 min when two persons were in the boat and a couple of minutes longer if only one person collected data.

In this paper, we focus on the greatest migratory activity of *Aphrissa* butterflies over the course of the migratory months (Fig. 1, hereafter referred to as the migratory peak). We pooled data for *A. statira* and *Aphrissa boisduvalii* Felder because it is difficult to distinguish these species when they are flying freely across the transect lines. Capturing individual butterflies in 7 years between 1994 and 2005, a strong linear




Fig. 1 Observed maximum number per minute of migrating *Aphrissa* butterflies flying south or west across either of two 300 m transects on Lake Gatún, Panama during April–July of 1997–2006. For the migration phenology for 1991–1996, see fig. 1 in Oliveira *et al.* (1998).

relationship between the number of *A. statira* and the total number captured each year ( $R^2 = 0.997$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ) permits us to reliably estimate that  $89 \pm 2\%$  of the butterflies are *A. statira*. Daily migratory activity patterns generally peak between 10:00 and 12:00 h (see fig. 3 in Oliveira *et al.*, 1998). Because it was not always practical to conduct a census each hour of each day during the migratory season, we sometimes conducted a single census, or more often, two censuses 1 h apart near mid-morning (approximately 10:30–11:30 h) when counts were typically greatest. From the results for a season, we determined the date on which we saw the maximum number of *Aphrissa* butterflies flying across either one of the two transects in the prevailing migratory direction (maximum number of butterflies per minute per 300 m). We call this date the ‘migration peak’ and we call the maximum number of butterflies counted in this peak the ‘maximum migratory rate’. When the maximum migratory rate fell on more than one date, we called the earlier one the migration peak.

#### Onset of wet season

We regressed the migration peak on the end of dry season (here referred to as the onset of wet season), a date designated each year by an algorithm created by the Panama Canal Commission and subsequently used by the Meteorological and Hydrological Branch of the Autoridad del Canal de Panama (ACP). The algorithm tracks 11 variables (see [http://striweb.si.edu/esp/physical\\_monitoring/summary\\_seasons.htm](http://striweb.si.edu/esp/physical_monitoring/summary_seasons.htm) for more information). There are no scientific publications justifying its use; however, the need for operators of the Panama Canal to be able to predict over the long term the timing and length of the distinct dry season for a transport system that requires fresh water to operate is obvious. Thus, we assumed that the method employed was a reasonable attempt by those that devised it. Moreover, we benefited from an objective measure of the onset of wet season rather than using our own subjective measurement. The ACP also marks the date that dry season begins each year from which we calculated the length of dry season in days.

#### Global climate

As an indicator of ENSO, we used the sea-surface temperature (SST) anomaly from Niño region 3.4 published by the Climate Prediction Center of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (<http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/data/indices/>). Region 3.4 is located between latitudes  $5^\circ\text{N}$ – $5^\circ\text{S}$  and longitudes  $170^\circ$ – $120^\circ\text{W}$ . The Climate Prediction Center characterizes the SST in this region as critical to characterizing ENSO.

The SST anomaly is a departure from the adjusted optimum interpolation analysis (measured in  $^\circ\text{C}$ , Reynolds *et al.*, 2002). Information on the base periods used to derive the anomaly may be found on the Climate Prediction Center website (<http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/data/indices/Readme.index.shtml>). We divided the year into four calendar quarters. We used stepwise regression analysis to evaluate relationships between the maximum migratory rate and the mean SST anomalies for calendar quarters 3 and 4 in the year before the migration rate and calendar quarters 1 and 2 in the same year as the migration rate.

#### Local climate

As an index of the water available for plant productivity, we used April soil moisture at 30–40 cm depth on BCI. We focused on the month of April because female *Aphrissa* butterflies may be laying eggs on newly flushed leaves. Their offspring will hatch and feed to grow into the migratory generation. Expressed in percent soil wet weight, deep soil moisture was measured by the Terrestrial-Environmental Science Program of the STRI. It is measured gravimetrically from 2.5-cm soil cores collected every 2 weeks at 10 sites in the Lutz watershed. Further details may be found on the STRI website (<http://striweb.si.edu/esp/>). We also totaled the rainfall in the BCI laboratory clearing for January through April as a measure of dry season rainfall. These data also came from the Terrestrial-Environmental Science Program of STRI.


As a measure of sunlight available for butterfly thermoregulation and plant productivity, we used total solar radiation measured by a LiCor Pyranometer, and the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) measured by the Terrestrial-Environmental Science Program above the forest canopy (at the top of Lutz Tower: 42–48 m). We calculated the average daily solar radiation from January through April of each year. January 1991 was excluded because both the pyranometer and the PAR were not functional for the majority of the month, and 1997 lacks PAR values because the data were not recorded for most of February and all of April.

#### Hostplants

We monitored new leaf flushing by *C. latifolia* on BCI and along Pipeline Road, approximately 4 km north of Gamboa (for a map of the migratory flyway indicating BCI and Gamboa, see Srygley *et al.*, 1996). Most plants extended up the forest edge to the canopy. With binoculars, we observed 10 branches for each plant and counted the number that were flushing new leaves. *A. statira* butterflies are not active in the forest interior, and so the proportion is a relative assessment of the availability of








**Fig. 3** The date that the migratory rate of *Aphrissa* butterflies peaked each year was positively associated with (a) the onset of wet season for the same year (data courtesy of the Panama Canal Authority) and negatively associated with (b) deep soil moisture on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) in April. Dates are graphed as days relative to the end of April, which is near to the 100-year average for the onset of wet season (May 4). Open triangles indicate El Niño years; closed triangles, La Niña years; open circles, neither El Niño nor La Niña years.

autocorrelations indicate that associating maximum migratory rate of butterflies with the ENSO 3.4 SST in the second quarter may also indicate a much more general association with the ENSO event that spans from the latter quarter of the previous calendar year to the first or second quarter of the year of interest. Across the course of this study, the mean ENSO 3.4 SST anomaly in the second quarter of the year (April–June) was 0.23. With warm SST anomalies ( $>0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  above average), the years 1991, 1992, 1993, 1997, and 2002 are classified as El Niño events (1997 was a very strong event). Defining La Niña events as a cold SST anomaly ( $<0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  below average), the year 1999 was a strong event and 2000 a weak one.

The maximum migratory rate declined exponentially with increased deep soil moisture on BCI in April (Fig. 5a,  $F_{1,14} = 6.95$ ,  $P = 0.020$ ). The maximum migratory rate also declined exponentially with total dry season precipitation (Fig. 5b,  $F_{1,14} = 5.18$ ,  $P = 0.039$ ), which in turn declined significantly with the ENSO 3.4 SST in the second quarter ( $F_{1,14} = 5.43$ ,  $P = 0.035$ ). The ENSO 3.4 SST was not significantly related to solar radiation in the dry season (Pyranometer:  $F_{1,14} = 0.09$ ,  $P = 0.765$ ; PAR:  $F_{1,13} = 0.50$ ,  $P = 0.494$ ). Total solar radiation did not significantly effect the maximum migratory rate (Pyranometer:  $F_{1,14} = 0.58$ ,  $P = 0.459$ ), but PAR did tend to have an effect on the maximum migratory rate ( $F_{1,13} = 4.04$ ,  $P = 0.066$ ).

#### Hostplant new leaf phenology

For *X. seemannianum*, the mean proportion of branches producing new leaves declined significantly with rainfall in the dry season (measured locally in Gamboa, Fig. 6a,  $F_{1,6} = 7.70$ ,  $P = 0.032$ ) and increased signifi-



**Fig. 4** The log-transformed maximum migratory rate for *Aphrissa* butterflies relative to the average sea-surface temperature (SST) anomaly measured in Niño region 3.4 in the second quarter of the year (data courtesy of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration). Symbols explained in Fig. 3 legend.

cantly with the ENSO index in the second quarter (Fig. 6b,  $F_{1,6} = 9.26$ ,  $P = 0.023$ ). It was not significantly related to total solar radiation or PAR in the dry season or in April ( $P > 0.34$  for all four tests). For *C. latifolia*, the mean proportion of branches producing new leaves tended to decrease with rainfall on BCI (Fig. 6c,




Fig. 5 (a) The maximum migratory rate for *Aphrissa* butterflies declined logarithmically with deep soil moisture on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) in April, and (b) the total rainfall during the dry season. Symbols explained in Fig. 3 legend.

$F_{1,6} = 3.77$ ,  $P = 0.100$ ), but it was not significantly associated with the ENSO 3.4 SST (Fig. 6d,  $F_{1,6} = 2.02$ ,  $P = 0.205$ ). It too was not significantly related to solar radiation in the dry season or in April ( $P > 0.34$  for all tests).

The maximum migration rate of *Aphrissa* was not related to the peak amount of new leaf production in *Callichlamys* ( $F_{1,6} = 0.31$ ,  $P = 0.60$ ), but it tended to increase with the peak amount of new leaf production in *Xylophragma* ( $F_{1,6} = 3.59$ ,  $P = 0.107$ ). The *Aphrissa* migration peaked approximately 22 days after *Callichlamys* peaked flushing ( $F_{1,6} = 6.65$ ,  $y = 22 + 0.37x$ ,  $P = 0.042$ ), whereas it was not related to the peak flushing of *Xylophragma* ( $F_{1,5} = 0.00$ ,  $P = 0.99$ ).

## Discussion


The migration of *Aphrissa* butterflies across the Panama Canal peaked approximately 4 weeks after the onset of wet season. *A. statira* grew from egg to adult in approximately 22 days when reared in the laboratory (R. B. Srygley, unpublished data). From these observations, we hypothesize that in the first 4 or 5 days following the initiation of wet season, females lay eggs on newly flushed leaves. These eggs grow into a new generation of adults that migrate from the wetter evergreen Atlantic coastal forests to the drier deciduous forests on the Pacific coast.

The maximum migratory rate was strongly influenced by the ENSO. The maximum migratory rate was greatest during drier El Niño events and least during wetter La Niña events. The ENSO climate is known to affect herbivore populations in subtropical desert and seasonally dry grasslands and scrub forests. Some of the best documented are the increases in rodent populations as a result of increased rainfall during El

Niño years causing a pulse of plant productivity in scrub and desert communities of western South America (Meserve *et al.*, 2003) and western North America (Polis *et al.*, 1997; Holmgren *et al.*, 2006). Painted-lady butterflies *Vanessa cardui* also migrate in greater numbers following rainy El Niño events in the western United States (Vandenbosch, 2003). Thus the typical scenario in these dry regions is a pulse in plant productivity positively affecting herbivore populations. However in the case of the rodents, Meserve *et al.* (2003) conclude that control shifts from bottom-up in dry years when plant resources are limited to top-down in wetter years marked by greater primary productivity. Similarly, breeding success of rufous-crowned sparrows in California scrub habitat was also subject to control shifting from bottom-up during dry, resource-limited La Niña to top-down during wet El Niño (Morrison & Bolger, 2002). In the Mediterranean climate of California, increased rainfall suppresses herbivory by the ghost moth *Hepialis californicus* because wet soils favor the nematodes that regulate the moths (Preisser & Strong, 2004). A variety of ecological effects may thus derive from systematic changes in rainfall.

In addition to influencing the maximum migratory rate, we assume that ENSO affects the population sizes of migratory butterflies at the Atlantic coastal source and the Pacific destination of this migration. Populations of other lepidopteran species in Panama erupted during the strong El Niño event of 1997–1998 (e.g., in the Pacific coastal dry forest, Van Bael *et al.*, 2004; and the Atlantic coastal wet forest, J. Wright, unpublished data).

There are a number of mechanisms that could lead to an outbreak in the *Aphrissa* populations in El Niño years and a decline in La Niña years. El Niño years are associated with increased temperatures, increased solar



**Fig. 6** The maximum proportion of *Xylophragma* branches flushing new leaves (a) declined with total local rainfall during the dry season and (b) increased with the sea-surface temperature (SST) anomaly for region 3.4 in the second quarter. The maximum proportion of *Calliichlamys* branches flushing new leaves tended to have the same relationships as *Xylophragma* with (c) dry season rainfall and (d) the SST anomaly. Symbols explained in Fig. 3 legend.

radiation, and decreased rainfall on BCI (Wright & Calderón, 2006). *X. seemannianum*, the bignoniaceous liana that is a host for *A. statira* responded to the decreased rainfall during the dry season and dry April soils associated with El Niño with an increase in leaf flushing. *C. latifolia*, the other known host for *A. statira*, had its greatest leaf production during intermediate years of moderate dry season rainfall. Measuring seed production, Wright & Calderón (2006) found that *Calliichlamys* and other lianas responded favorably to El Niño years as long as they did not result in extreme droughts. Given the general effect of water stress on plant productivity in tropical wet forest (e.g., Engelbrecht & Kursar, 2003), it is surprising that the lianas respond so favorably to dry soils.

We propose two hypotheses to explain the increase in plant productivity with El Niño years in this tropical wet forest. First, the host lianas may produce new leaves more synchronously at the onset of wet seasons that end droughts associated with El Niño years. Our observations do not support this hypothesis. In 3 out of 7 years, *Xylophragma* peaked before the wet season began. Factors timing leaf production in these host lianas are not known, and threshold levels of drought can synchronize flowering and enhance seed set in some tropical forest plants (Alvim, 1960).

Second, greater availability of light for photosynthesis during El Niño relative to La Niña years may promote the production of new leaves. This hypothesis is supported by observations that solar radiation limits



photosynthetic activity in Neotropical wet forest (reviewed in Van Schaik *et al.*, 1993), and experimental addition of radiation to a Pacific coastal forest during the wet season resulted in increased tree growth and reproduction (Graham *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, Amazonian rainforests produce and drop leaves in anticipation of seasonal changes in solar radiation (Myneni *et al.*, 2006). Although dry season sunlight measured above the canopy on BCI during the period of our study was unaffected by El Niño (but see Wright & Calderón, 2006), drought may cause big trees to lose leaves and the thinned canopy may allow more sunlight onto the host lianas. On BCI, understory *Connarus turczaninowii* lianas also responded positively to the duration of the dry season (Aide & Zimmerman, 1990), which the authors postulate is due to greater canopy tree leaf loss during El Niño years reducing competition for water and light in the understory.

For this study, we did not measure hostplant quality or predation pressure, both of which may contribute to population outbreaks. As a result of poor defense in drought years, hostplants might also suffer greater herbivore pressure and the insects might survive better during development. Because of increased solar radiation, El Niño years might be favorable for herbivore development, or adults might be more active to find food plants or oviposit on larval resources. El Niño might also result in a decrease in the natural enemies of herbivores, resulting in an ecological release of the lepidopteran populations (e.g., Preisser & Strong, 2004). Thus our demonstration that outbreaks in migratory populations of *Aphrissa* butterflies is associated with increased plant productivity in Panama does not preclude potential roles of plant defenses and top-down pressure from parasitoids and predators in regulating migratory populations (Stireman *et al.*, 2005).

In Panama, an El Niño year is typically characterized by drier than normal conditions, and the *Aphrissa* butterflies respond with an outbreak in the migratory population. The El Niño year of 1993 was a notable exception. The rate of butterflies migrating was much lower than predicted by its ENSO index (Fig. 4). However, in Panama the dry season of 1993 was unusually wet for an El Niño year. When deep soil moisture in April is the predictor, the maximum migratory rate for 1993 falls in line (Fig. 5a). Thus, both global and local environmental factors influenced the number of migrants traversing the Panama Canal.

In the Meteorological Research Institute model to predict climate change, ENSO becomes more extreme in its peaks with an overall warming of the mean SST; however, this is not true for the Geophysical Fluid Dynamical Laboratory model (Yeh & Kirtman, 2007). Thus, extreme El Niño and La Niña years may become

more frequent. Other tropical rainforest Lepidoptera appear to have a similar response to rainfall as the *Aphrissa* butterflies in Panama (e.g., Van Bael *et al.*, 2004; Kunte, 2005). If this phenomenon extends beyond Lepidoptera, then insect outbreaks during El Niño and population crashes during La Niña may become more frequent. Over the past century, the numbers of Lepidoptera migrating to Britain were associated with higher temperatures in the migratory route in phase with the North Atlantic Oscillation (Sparks *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, a long-term increase in the number of migrants may be linked to global warming (Sparks *et al.*, 2007).

The El Niño migration syndrome may apply to desert, savannah, and tropical wet forest (e.g., Vandenberg, 2003), but the mechanisms may differ. In El Niño years, rainfall in deserts and savannah tends to increase, while it declines in tropical wet forests (see fig. 1 in Holmgren *et al.*, 2006). However in all three ecotypes, hostplants respond by producing more leaves, enhancing migratory butterfly populations.

### Acknowledgements

A Senior Postdoctoral Fellowship to R. B. S. from the Smithsonian Institution and grants from the National Geographic Society Committee for Research and Exploration supported the research. The Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente (ANAM) granted permission to conduct the research in Panama. Hydrological and radiation data were provided by the Terrestrial-Environmental Science Program of the STRI. Season begin and end dates were provided by the Meteorological and Hydrological Branch of the Panama Canal Authority (ACP). For presentational purposes, data were modified from their original form. We thank the meteorologists and their parent institutions for their long-term commitment to these essential programs. We also thank S. Paton for numerous discussions and assistance with BCI climate and its effects, and Joe Wright and Egbert Leigh for commenting on the manuscript.

### References

- Aide TM, Zimmerman JK (1990) Patterns of insect herbivory, growth, and survivorship in juveniles of a Neotropical liana. *Ecology*, **71**, 1412–1421.
- Alvim PdeT (1960) Moisture stress as a requirement for flowering of coffee. *Science*, **132**, 354.
- Behrenfeld MJ, Randerson JT, McClain CR *et al.* (2001) Biospheric primary production during an ENSO transition. *Science*, **291**, 2594–2597.
- Brower LP (1995) Understanding and misunderstanding the migration of the monarch butterfly (Nymphalidae) in North America. *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society*, **49**, 304–385.
- Dingle H, Drake VA (2007) What is migration? *Bioscience*, **57**, 113–121.
- Engelbrecht BMJ, Kursar TA (2003) Comparative drought-resistance of seedlings of 28 species of co-occurring tropical woody plants. *Oecologia*, **136**, 383–393.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- Forister ML, Shapiro AM (2003) Climatic trends and advancing spring flight of butterflies in lowland California. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 1130–1135.
- Graham EA, Mulkey SS, Kitajima K, Phillips NG, Wright SJ (2003) Cloud cover limits net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and growth of a rainforest tree during tropical rainy seasons. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **100**, 572–576.
- Haber WA, Stevenson RD (2004) Diversity, migration, and conservation of butterflies in northern Costa Rica. In: *Biodiversity Conservation in Costa Rica: Learning the Lessons in a Seasonal Dry Forest* (eds Frankie G, Mata A, Vinson S), pp. 99–115. University of California Press, Los Angeles, CA.
- Holmgren M, Stapp P, Dickman CR *et al.* (2006) Extreme climatic events shape arid and semiarid ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **4**, 87–95.
- Jaksic FM (2001) Ecological effects of El Niño in terrestrial ecosystems of western South America. *Ecography*, **24**, 241–250.
- Kiladis GN, Diaz HF (1989) Global climatic anomalies associated with extremes in the Southern Oscillation. *Journal of Climate*, **2**, 1069–1090.
- Kunte K (2005) Species compositions, sex ratios, and movement patterns in danaine butterfly migrations in southern India. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, **102**, 280–286.
- Larsen TB (1976) The importance of migration to the butterfly faunas of Lebanon, East Jordan, and Egypt. *Notulae Entomologicae*, **56**, 73–83.
- Loxdale HD, Lushai G (1999) Slaves of the environment: the movement of herbivorous insects in relation to their ecology and genotype. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B*, **354**, 1479–1495.
- McPhaden MJ, Zebiak SE, Glantz MH (2006) ENSO as an integrating concept in Earth science. *Science*, **314**, 1740–1745.
- Meserve PL, Kelt DA, Milstead WB, Gutiérrez JR (2003) Thirteen years of shifting top-down and bottom-up control. *Bioscience*, **53**, 633–646.
- Morrison SA, Bolger DT (2002) Variation in a sparrow's reproductive success with rainfall: food and predator-mediated processes. *Oecologia*, **133**, 315–324.
- Myneni RB, Yang W, Nemani RR *et al.* (2006) Large seasonal swings in leaf area of Amazon rainforests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **104**, 4820–4823.
- Oliveira EG, Srygley RB, Dudley R (1998) Do Neotropical migrant butterflies navigate using a solar compass? *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **201**, 3317–3331.
- Parmesan C (2006) Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **37**, 637–669.
- Polis GA, Hurd SD, Jackson CT, Sánchez Piñero F (1997) El Niño effects on the dynamics and control of an island ecosystem in the Gulf of California. *Ecology*, **78**, 1884–1897.
- Preisser EL, Strong DR (2004) Climate affects predator control of an herbivore outbreak. *American Naturalist*, **163**, 754–762.
- Rainey RC (1951) Weather and the movements of locusts swarms: a new hypothesis. *Nature*, **168**, 1057–1060.
- Reynolds RW, Rayner NA, Smith TM, Stokes DC, Wang W (2002) An improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate. *Journal of Climate*, **15**, 1609–1625.
- Sparks TH, Dennis RLH, Croxton PJ, Cade M (2007) Increased migration of Lepidoptera linked to climate change. *European Journal of Entomology*, **104**, 139–143.
- Sparks TH, Roy DB, Dennis RLH (2005) The influence of temperature on the migration of Lepidoptera into Britain. *Global Change Biology*, **11**, 507–514.
- Southwood TRE (1977) Habitat: the templet for ecological strategies? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **46**, 337–365.
- Srygley RB (2001) Compensation for fluctuations in crosswind drift without stationary landmarks in butterflies migrating over seas. *Animal Behaviour*, **61**, 191–203.
- Srygley RB, Dudley R (2008) Optimal strategies for insects migrating in the flight boundary layer: mechanisms and consequences. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **48**, 119–133.
- Srygley RB, Dudley R, Oliveira EG (1996) Wind drift compensation, flyways, and conservation of diurnal, migrant Neotropical Lepidoptera. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London B*, **263**, 1351–1357.
- Srygley RB, Oliveira EG (2001) Sun compass and wind drift compensation in migrating butterflies. *Journal of Navigation*, **54**, 405–417.
- Stenseth NC, Mysterud A, Ottersen G, Hurrell JW, Chan K-S, Lima M (2002) Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. *Science*, **297**, 1292–1296.
- Stireman JO, Dyer LA, Janzen DH *et al.* (2005) Climatic unpredictability and parasitism of caterpillars: implications of global warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **102**, 17384–17387.
- Van Bael SA, Aiello A, Valderrama A, Medianero E, Samaniego M, Wright SJ (2004) General herbivore outbreak following an El Niño-related drought in a lowland Panamanian forest. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **20**, 625–633.
- Vandenbosch R (2003) Fluctuations of *Vanessa cardui* butterfly abundance with El Niño and Pacific Decadal Oscillation climatic variables. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 785–790.
- Van Schaik CP, Terborgh JW, Wright SJ (1993) The phenology of tropical forests: adaptive significance and consequences for primary consumers. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **24**, 353–377.
- Wright SJ, Calderón O (2006) Seasonal, El Niño and longer term changes in flower and seed production in a moist tropical forest. *Ecological Letters*, **9**, 35–44.
- Yeh SW, Kirtman BP (2007) ENSO amplitude changes due to climate change projections in different coupled models. *Journal of Climate*, **20**, 203–217.

