Small-Scale Fragmentation Effects on Local Genetic Diversity in Two Phyllostomid Bats with Different Dispersal Abilities in Panama
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ABSTRACT

Habitat fragmentation is one of the greatest threats to biodiversity. Despite their importance for conservation, the genetic consequences of small-scale habitat fragmentation for bat populations are largely unknown. In this study, we linked genetic with ecological and demographic data to assess the effects of habitat fragmentation on two species of phyllostomid bats (Uroderma bilobatum and Carollia perspicillata) that differ in their dispersal abilities and demographic response to fragmentation. We hypothesized that population differentiation and the effect of habitat fragmentation on levels of genetic diversity will be a function of the species’ mobility. We sequenced mtDNA from 232 bats caught on 11 islands in Gatún Lake, Panamá, isolated from the mainland for ca. 90 yr and in adjacent, continuous forest on the mainland. Populations of both species showed significant genetic differentiation (FST). Consistent with our prediction, population subdivision was lower in the highly mobile U. bilobatum (FST = 0.01) compared to the less vagile C. perspicillata (FST = 0.06), and only the latter species showed a pattern indicative of isolation by distance and, in addition, an effect of fragmentation. Genetic erosion as a result of fragmentation was also only detectable in the less mobile species, C. perspicillata, where haplotype diversity was lower in island compared to mainland populations. Our results suggest that some Neotropical bat species are prone to loss of genetic variation in response to anthropogenic small-scale habitat fragmentation. In this context, our findings point toward mobility as a good predictor of a species’ vulnerability to fragmentation and altered population genetic structure.
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THE CORRELATED PROCESSES OF HABITAT LOSS AND FRAGMENTATION constitute the greatest threat to biodiversity worldwide (e.g., Diamond 1984). In tropical regions habitat loss due to deforestation is particularly severe and conversion of native forests into human-modified landscapes continues unabated (Whitmore 1997, Wright 2005), resulting in widespread habitat fragmentation (Wade et al. 2003). Loss of suitable habitat and fragmentation make populations more vulnerable to environmental, genetic, and demographic threats because they reduce their size and confine the remaining subpopulations to isolated patches (Lande 1993). This results in a loss of overall genetic diversity and an increase in genetic differentiation among populations due to genetic drift and reduced gene flow (Frankham 1996, Dudash & Fenster 2000, Lindenmayer & Peacock 2000).

Like many other groups of animals, bats are at risk from habitat destruction and fragmentation (Racey & Entwistle 2003), especially in tropical lowland forests where they are particularly species rich. Tropical bats are among the ecologically most diverse mammals present in local communities, filling pivotal roles as pollinators, seed dispersers, and predators (Kalko 1998, Patterson et al. 2003). Recent molecular studies have provided a wealth of new insights with respect to population structuring in migratory versus non-migratory species, social organization, and effects of geographical barriers on gene flow (reviewed in Burland & Worthington Wilmer 2001). At macro-geographic scales it has been shown that, with few exceptions (e.g., Miller-Butterworth et al. 2003), migratory bat species typically exhibit low levels of genetic differentiation, indicating high levels of gene flow among populations (Wilkinson & Fleming 1996, Petit & Mayer 1999, Russell et al. 2005). In contrast, for nonmigratory species several studies have demonstrated considerable genetic population structuring (Burland et al. 1999, Kerth et al. 2000, Rossiter et al. 2000). Pronounced population divergence and isolation by distance in nonmigratory bat species may be the result of a variety of factors, including limited dispersal ability (Burland et al. 1999, Entwistle et al. 2000), social factors (Kerth et al. 2002), geographical barriers to gene flow (Castella et al. 2000, Carstens et al. 2004), and historical events (Ditchfield 2000, Burland & Worthington Wilmer 2001).

Dispersal is a fundamental life-history trait affecting gene flow, and dispersal ability has been demonstrated to be negatively correlated with genetic population structure across a range of taxonomic groups (Waser & Strobeck 1998, Bohonak 1999). At the genetic level, one could assume that bats may be relatively unaffected by local-scale habitat fragmentation because their ability to fly may allow them to move relatively easily between habitat patches. A recent study on the temperate Bechstein’s bat (Kerth & Petit 2005), however, showed that forest fragmentation can have a considerable effect on the genetic population structure of bats as it creates a sex-specific barrier to gene flow, impeding colonization of empty patches by females.

We are not aware of any study assessing the effects of forest fragmentation on genetic variation in tropical bats on a microgeographic scale despite the profound impact habitat
fragmentation is likely to have on the genetic structure and persistence of populations and the importance of forests for bats. The relatively few studies assessing the genetic population structure of tropical bats mainly investigated patterns over larger spatial scales (Wilkinson & Fleming 1996, Ditchfield 2000, Carstens et al. 2004, Roberts 2006) or examined the genetic structure of social groups, in particular levels of colony relatedness and differentiation (McCracken & Bradbury 1977, Wilkinson 1985, Dechmann et al. 2007).

Mark–recapture data and radio tracking studies indicate that Neotropical bat species differ widely in their mobility (e.g., Bernard & Fenton 2003, Albrecht et al. 2007, Bonaccorso et al. 2007, Meyer et al. 2008). We expect that species exhibiting different mobility during nightly foraging activities should also differ in their dispersal abilities when leaving their natal group. Consequently, Neotropical bats may evince different levels of genetic population structuring, as has been demonstrated for two species of nectar-feeding bats (Newton et al. 2003).

In this study, we linked demographic with genetic data to explore the consequences of small-scale habitat fragmentation for two syntopic species of phyllostomid bats that differ in their dispersal abilities. The two species (U. bilobatum and C. perspicillata) were sampled as part of a comprehensive project investigating fragmentation effects on Neotropical bats within a landscape of small forested islands in Gatún Lake, an artificial reservoir in central Panamá (Meyer et al. 2008; Meyer & Kalko 2008, in press). These islands offer unique advantages for studying the influence of fragmentation on bat population genetic structure. First, the exact date of their origin and their history in terms of land use are known. Second, the matrix around the islands (water) differs drastically from the forest habitat on the islands, enhancing the effective isolation of the habitat patches (Ricketts 2001). Comparatively resistant matrix types, such as water, are likely to result in particularly low levels of gene flow, leading to genetic erosion in isolated populations. This scenario is reinforced by the fact that some bat species are known to be reluctant to fly over open bodies of water (e.g., Albrecht et al. 2007).

We assessed genetic diversity and differentiation within and among island and mainland populations of the two phyllostomid bat species using mitochondrial DNA. Our aim was to infer levels of population connectedness in a landscape that had been fragmented at 90 yr ago as a result of human activities. In a first step, we tested the hypothesis that genetic differentiation within and between mainland and island populations will be a function of geographical distance (isolation by distance) and/or fragmentation and that such an effect will be lower for the species with high vagility (U. bilobatum) than for the less mobile species (C. perspicillata). In a second step, we tested whether island populations differed from mainland populations with respect to genetic diversity.

METHODS

STUDY AREA.—In 1914, the impoundment of the Chagres River in central Panamá as part of the construction of the Panama Canal led to the creation of a large artificial reservoir, Gatún Lake. Lake formation isolated numerous former hilltops, resulting in over 200 forested islands ranging in size from < 1 ha to the 1560 ha Barro Colorado Island (BCI) (Adler & Seamon 1991). Together with five adjacent mainland peninsulas, BCI forms the 5400-ha Barro Colorado Nature Monument (BCNM). The BCNM is contiguous with Soberanía National Park, 22,000 ha of forest stretching along the eastern side of the canal (Fig. 1). Forests in the Panama Canal

FIGURE 1. Map of the study area in the Panama Canal area in central Panama (inset). Study islands in Gátun Lake and continuous forest sites on the peninsulas Bohio, Gigante, and Peña Blanca within the BCNM are highlighted in black.
corridor are classified as semi-deciduous, lowland tropical moist forest (Holdridge 1967). The study area experiences a strongly seasonal climate with a long rainy season punctuated by a severe dry season typically lasting from mid-December to April or May (Windsor 1990). Strong and persistent dry-season trade winds have a major impact on forest structure and dynamics particularly on exposed islands where forest is less diverse in tree species composition, typically shorter in stature and large trees are often scarce (Leigh et al. 1993).

STUDY SPECIES.—Choice of the two focal species was mainly based on their abundance on the study islands to obtain appropriate sample sizes and on availability of quantitative data with regard to dispersal abilities. Based on these criteria, we selected U. bilobatum and C. perspicillata for our analysis. Both species are forest-dwelling bats comparable in size (17 and 18 g, respectively) adapted to forage in cluttered situations but otherwise differ from one another in a range of ecological attributes. In previous studies (Meyer in press), however, U. bilobatum was rare on the mainland but increased substantially in abundance on the islands. C. perspicillata was a common understory bat regularly captured in mainland forest but was lower in abundance on the islands.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the two species differ in their dispersal ability. Mark–recapture data indicate that U. bilobatum and C. perspicillata both fly over open water (Meyer & Kalko in press), however, U. bilobatum clearly is the more mobile of the two species. Its mean recapture distances are significantly larger than in C. perspicillata, which appears to be more reluctant to cross water (Table 1). Differential mobility in the two species at least partly reflects differences in their main diet. Uroderma bilobatum mostly eats fruits of figs (Ficus sp.) in the canopy. Fig trees produce large fruit crops but ripen asynchronously and hence constitute an ephemeral resource in space and time (Fleming 1988, Thies & Kalko 2004, Bonaccorso et al. 2007), and therefore have much smaller area requirements. Carollia perspicillata is an important seed disperser of pioneer plants throughout lowland Neotropical forests (Fleming 1988).

Limited foraging ranges and lower vagility in C. perspicillata compared to U. bilobatum are further supported by ecomorphological evidence as the two species differ significantly in aspect ratio and wing loading (Table 1; see also Meyer & Kalko 2008). Bats characterized by high wing loading and aspect ratio, such as U. bilobatum, are typically fast and efficient flyers while those with shorter and broader wings, such as C. perspicillata, have higher maneuverability in cluttered habitats but increased costs for long-distance flights (Norberg & Rayner 1987). Overall, this translates into a higher extinction risk (Jones et al. 2003, Safi & Kerth 2004).

SAMPLING PROCEDURE.—Genetic samples were obtained from bats captured at the same sites used for the demographic study (Fig. 1; Meyer & Kalko in press), 11 islands differing in size (2.5–50 ha) and degree of geographic isolation from the nearest mainland (0.02–3.4 km) as well as three mainland peninsulas within the BCNM (Bohio, Gigante, and Peña Blanca). For our analysis, we assumed that mainland populations were representative of prefragmentation populations and provide the source pool for rescuing or replacing island populations (sensu Brown & Kodric-Brown 1977) that had been reduced to low numbers or locally went extinct following inundation. Bats were captured with mist nets. Upon capture, individuals were identified, weighed, sexed, and individually marked with ball-chain necklaces prior to release (for details see Meyer & Kalko 2008). Wing tissue samples were collected using 3-mm diameter biopsy punches (Stiefel Laboratories Inc, Germany) following Worthington Wilmer and Barratt (1996). Biopsies were stored in 96 percent ethanol (VWR International Ltd., UK) until DNA extraction. Tissue samples were obtained from a total of 232 bats; the number of individuals sampled per species and the sampling locations are given in Table 2.

DNA EXTRACTION, AMPLIFICATION, AND SEQUENCING.—We extracted DNA from wing tissue samples using a salt-chloroform method (Müllenbach et al. 1989). Double-stranded mitochondrial

---

**TABLE 1.** Comparison of the movement capabilities of the two study species of bat (U. bilobatum and C. perspicillata) based on mark–recapture and wing morphological data. Statistical differences between species were assessed with a two-sample permutation test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Uroderma bilobatum</th>
<th>Carollia perspicillata</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recapturesa</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>2134</td>
<td>1654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total recaptured</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Same-site</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extra-site</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean distance (km) ± SD (max)</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.98 (3.51)</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.60 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing morphologyb</td>
<td>Aspect ratio ± SD (N)</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.26 (9)</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.29 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative wing loading ± SD (N)</td>
<td>21.52 ± 2.44 (9)</td>
<td>19.93 ± 1.72 (21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThis study, Kalko et al. 1996; E. Kalko, pers. obs.

DNA from the control region (d-loop) was amplified from total cellular DNA using the polymerase chain reaction with one primer pair (primer E: 5′-CCT GAA GTA GGA ACC AGA TG-3′, Wilkinson & Chapman 1991; and primer P*: 5′-CCC CAC CAT CAA CCA AAG CTG A-3′, Wilkinson et al. 1997). To obtain sequences of about 340 bp in length, 20–50 ng of mtDNA was amplified using 1× AmpliMix buffer (Microsynth; including 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.2 mM dNTP mix), 0.5 units taq polymerase (Pharmacia), and 0.24 μM of each primer. All ingredients are given in final concentrations. Total reaction volume was 25.0 μL. A PTC-200 thermocycler (MJ Research) was programmed to perform 31 cycles of 94°C/30 sec, 55°C/45 sec, 72°C/60 sec after an initial 94°C/4 min step and followed by 72°C/20 min. We tested 5 μL of the PCR-product on a 1.4 percent agarose gel (1 h: 4.5 V/cm) stained with ethidium bromide. PCR products were purified using the ExoSAP-IT USB Corporation purification kit (37°C/15 min and 80°C/20 min). As a previous study on another phyllostomid bat species had confirmed the reliability of sequencing this part of the d-loop (Dechmann et al. 2007), we sequenced all samples in only one direction (P*) using the ABI prism Big Dye Terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystems). We ran the resulting PCR products on an ABI Prism 3730 48 capillary sequencer. Data were exported with Sequencing Analysis 3.4 (Applied Biosystems) and sequences were aligned and edited with Sequencher 4.1 (Gene Codes Corp).

DATA ANALYSIS.—We counted the number of haplotypes (sequences differing by their number and/or pattern of variable sites) per sampled site to quantify the genetic structure of local bat populations. The overall population structure analyses were based on an estimate of $F_{ST}$ as implemented in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet 1995). We used a log-likelihood $G$-test to test for population differentiation, not assuming random mating (Goudet et al. 1996). To test for isolation by distance and the effect of fragmentation, we performed partial Mantel-tests. We compared matrices of pairwise $F_{ST}$-values to matrices coding for the geographical distances and fragmentation between the sampling sites (islands were coded as fragments [1], mainland as continuous habitat [0]; compare Kerth & Petit 2005). Based on the haplotype frequencies, we also estimated local haplotype diversity, which is defined as the likelihood that two individuals randomly chosen from a population carry different haplotypes. We then compared haplotype diversities for each species between islands and mainland sites, using a randomization test, to investigate whether island populations differed in genetic diversity from mainland populations. Only populations with at least five sampled individuals were included in calculations of $F_{ST}$-values and haplotype diversities. All calculations were performed using FSTAT 2.9.3. Two-sided significance levels were estimated through 10,000 permutations.

To quantify genetic distances between sequences we calculated mean pairwise differences for each species, using Arlequin 2.0 (Schneider et al. 2000). Deletion and transition weights were set to 1 and we allowed for 5 percent of missing data. Indels were coded as point mutations.

RESULTS

URODERMA BILOBATUM.—Among the 151 bats sequenced for 337 bp we detected 35 variable sites, resulting in a total of 43 haplotypes. The mean ($±$ SD) number of pairwise distances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Isla...</th>
<th>Uroderma bilobatum</th>
<th>Carollia perspicillata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Island</td>
<td>Size (ha)</td>
<td>Distance from mainland (m)</td>
<td>N bats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cacao</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicha</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guacha</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanabano</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guava</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leon</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mona Grita</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pato Horqueta</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piña*</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tres Almendras</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gigante</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peña Blanca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

between haplotypes was 4.5 ± 2.3 (range: 1–11). On average, each type was present at 2.4 locations. Haplotypes were not equally distributed among the sampled sites. The same haplotype was found in a maximum of 11 sites and 23 of the 43 types were found only in a single site. The total population (N = 12 sites with at least five bats sampled) showed a low $F_{ST}$-value (0.01) but was significantly differentiated ($G$-test, $P < 0.05$). The differentiation was very similar among the three mainland sites and across the nine islands ($F_{ST}$: 0.01 vs. 0.01; $P = 0.98$) and we found neither a significant effect of isolation by distance ($P = 0.89$) nor of fragmentation ($P = 0.86$).

Haplotype diversity was lower in the island populations (0.92) than in the mainland populations (0.96), however, this difference was not significant ($P = 0.11$; Fig. 2).

**Carollia perspicillata.**—We detected 51 variable sites among the 81 bats sequenced for 337 bp, resulting in a total of 41 haplotypes. The mean number of pairwise distances between haplotypes (11.1 ± 5.4; range: 1–26) was higher than in *U. bilobatum*. The different haplotypes were rather equally distributed among the sampled sites. On average, each type was present in 1.5 locations. The same haplotype was found in a maximum of four sites and 29 of the 41 haplotypes were found only in a single site. The population (N = 8 sites) was significantly differentiated ($F_{ST} = 0.06, P < 0.02$), with island populations being significantly more differentiated ($F_{ST} = 0.10$) than mainland populations ($F_{ST} = 0.00; P < 0.02$). Moreover, we found a significant effect of both, isolation by distance ($P < 0.05$) and fragmentation ($P < 0.02$). Finally, island populations had significantly lower haplotype diversities (0.86) than mainland populations (0.97; $P < 0.02$; Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The idea that population structure in bats may be associated with species’ intrinsic characteristics such as mobility, reflected by wing morphology, was first discussed for the nonmigratory long-eared bat, *Plecotus auritus* (Burland et al. 1999, Entwistle et al. 2000). Since then evidence for it has been found in three migratory bats (*M. bechsteinii*, *C. perspicillata*). A strong correlation of such an effect was also evident for the reduction of genetic diversity on islands (Fig. 2). Although haplotype diversity on islands compared to continuous forest was also somewhat lower in *U. bilobatum*, this effect was only significant in the less mobile *C. perspicillata*. Moreover, only in *C. perspicillata* did we detect significantly higher levels of population structuring among island compared to mainland populations. Together with the observed lower genetic diversities in island than in mainland populations (Fig. 2), this finding is suggestive of an isolation effect caused by the formation of the islands. We are aware that our sample size for *C. perspicillata* is small due to the low number of captures of this species on the

The interpretation of more restricted inter-island dispersal in *C. perspicillata* compared to *U. bilobatum* is supported by the significant pattern of isolation by distance observed only in the former species.

While significant population differentiation and genetic isolation by distance has been documented for several tropical bat species at larger geographic scales (Maharadatunkamsi et al. 2000, Newton et al. 2003), to our knowledge, only two studies on the temperate zone bats, *P. auritus* (Burland et al. 1999) and *M. bechsteinii* (Kerth & Petit 2005), have described isolation by distance and significant population differentiation at a microgeographical scale comparable to that of our study. For other groups of vertebrates such as birds (Bates 2002, Brown et al. 2004) and small nonvolant mammals (Gaines et al. 1997), studies of habitat fragmentation have also mainly focused on geographic areas much larger than the scale of our study, typically reporting significant levels of population subdivision. However, some recent studies on small mammals (Lindemayer & Peakall 2000, Mossman & Waser 2001), discovered significant interpopulation differentiation at a scale comparable to our study.

Levels of population genetic differentiation in our study species were proportionate to the respective species’ dispersal ability and such an effect was also evident for the reduction of genetic diversity on islands (Fig. 2). Although haplotype diversity on islands compared to continuous forest was also somewhat lower in *U. bilobatum*, this effect was only significant in the less mobile *C. perspicillata*. Moreover, only in *C. perspicillata* did we detect significantly higher levels of population structuring among island compared to mainland populations. Together with the observed lower genetic diversities in island than in mainland populations (Fig. 2), this finding is suggestive of an isolation effect caused by the formation of the islands. We are aware that our sample size for *C. perspicillata* is small due to the low number of captures of this species on the

![FIGURE 2. Comparison of haplotype diversities (mean ± SD) between mainland (full bars) and island (striped bars) populations for the two study species. Significant differences (randomization test) are indicated by an asterisk (*P < 0.05, ns = nonsignificant).*](image)
islands and that our results should therefore be interpreted with caution. Nonetheless, the genetic effects observed in *C. perspicillata* follow a clear trend and appear strong enough that they are likely to hold also with larger sample sizes for this species. This is suggested by very similar haplotype diversities observed in better-sampled island populations such as the one on Trinidad (*N* = 12) compared to islands like Guacha for which sample sizes were low (*N* = 6; Table 2). It is further supported by a general lack of a significant correlation between haplotype diversity and sample size (*r* = 0.036, *P* = 0.93).

Our choice of genetic marker (mtDNA) does not allow us to explore the potential reasons for the indicated fragmentation effect but our data are consistent with at least two scenarios: (1) Temporal population reductions of prelake populations shortly after the fragmentation event may have resulted in reduced genetic variability in the surviving island populations because of genetic drift; (2) founder effects due to the colonization of islands, where no prelake populations had survived, by a limited number of individuals would also explain lower genetic diversities in island populations. A follow-up study using genetic markers with a higher temporal resolution, such as nuclear microsatellites, and preferably involving larger sample sizes could provide more insight concerning the question of what caused the observed lower genetic diversity on islands. Moreover, the use of microsatellites, which are biparentally inherited, would give a more complete and unbiased view of gene flow compared to mtDNA, which due to its maternal inheritance, has the limitation to provide only information on female-mediated gene flow.

It is increasingly being advocated to jointly consider genetic and demographic data to fully unravel species’ responses to fragmentation (Lindennmayer & Peakall 2000, Srikwan & Woodruff 2002, Tallmon *et al.* 2002). Srikwan and Woodruff (2000), studying genetic erosion in nonvolant small mammal populations on landbridge islands in Thailand, reported genetic erosion for a species that was favored by fragmentation and showed no signs of demographic decline. Although not significant, we also found slightly reduced haplotype diversity in island compared to mainland populations for *U. bilobatum*, a species that increased in abundance following fragmentation. Significant loss of genetic diversity was, however, most evident in *C. perspicillata*, which was characterized by a negative demographic response to fragmentation in our study system (Meyer *et al.* 2008). Interestingly, higher abundances for this species are observed in fragmented landscapes where forest remnants are surrounded by forest regrowth (*Piper, Vismia*) that provides additional food resources (*e.g.*, Faria 2006).

Our findings therefore suggest that, in fragmented landscapes with a high degree of fragment to matrix contrast like in our study (land to water), bat species with limited dispersal capacity like *C. perspicillata* and that evince a pronounced demographic decline may experience a considerable loss of genetic diversity. Although this remains to be tested in future studies, similar effects could also be envisaged for systems with a terrestrial but similarly high-contrast matrix as in our study, for instance in fragmented landscapes where small forest fragments are embedded in a matrix of crop monocultures (*e.g.*, soy, corn, sugarcane) or vast stretches of pasture without any shrubs or trees, situations that are becoming increasingly common in many tropical regions. Because neither of these types of matrix offers bats any resources or shelter, they come close to the characteristics of a water matrix, particularly in terms of their effective isolation. From a conservation viewpoint, negative genetic and demographic effects in such terrestrial high-contrast systems could be mitigated through management efforts that promote vegetation regrowth along forest edges. This would decrease the effective isolation of habitat remnants, enhance landscape connectivity, and ensure maintenance of species numbers and also continued functioning of the ecosystem services provided by bats, in particular seed dispersal (Meyer *et al.* 2008).

In conclusion, we found that in spite of their mobility, differences in vagility among species may render less mobile species of Neotropical bats susceptible to loss of genetic variation in response to habitat fragmentation already on a local scale. Such genetic erosion can apparently manifest itself even after fairly short periods of time following fragmentation. In accordance with previous findings from comparative studies (*e.g.*, Safi & Kerth 2004), our results suggest that a bat species’ dispersal ability can serve as a good predictor of fragmentation-related genetic effects and population genetic differentiation. Further comparative studies across a range of bat species focusing on local geographic scales are undoubtedly needed to broaden our knowledge as to the genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation for bat populations and are a prerequisite for the formulation of broad-scale conservation strategies for bats.
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