Ancient death-grip leaf scars reveal ant–fungal parasitism

David P. Hughes, Torsten Wappler and Conrad C. Labandeira

Biol. Lett. published online 18 August 2010
doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2010.0521

References

This article cites 14 articles
http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/early/2010/08/16/rsbl.2010.0521.full.html
#ref-list-1

P<P

Published online 18 August 2010 in advance of the print journal.

Subject collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- behaviour (1412 articles)
- palaeontology (87 articles)
- evolution (1923 articles)

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click here

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To subscribe to Biol. Lett. go to: http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions

This journal is © 2010 The Royal Society
Ancient death-grip leaf scars reveal ant–fungal parasitism
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Parasites commonly manipulate host behaviour, and among the most dramatic examples are diverse fungi that cause insects to die attached to leaves. This death-grip behaviour functions to place insects in an ideal location for spore dispersal from a dead body following host death. Fossil leaves record many aspects of insect behaviour (feeding, galls, leaf mining) but to date there are no known examples of behavioural manipulation. Here, we document, to our knowledge, the first example of the stereotypical death grip from 48 Ma leaves of Messel, Germany, indicating the antiquity of this behaviour. As well as probably being the first example of behavioural manipulation in the fossil record, these data support a biogeographical parallelism between mid Eocene northern Europe and recent southeast Asia.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Many parasites have evolved the ability to manipulate host behaviour in ways that promote parasite fitness [1]. One of the most impressive examples of adaptive manipulation of host behaviour is the death grip of ants infected by the fungus *Ophiocordyceps unilateralis*. In this system, ants attach to major leaf veins along their abaxial surface by their mandibles before being killed by a fungal parasite. This fungus rapidly grows from the post-mortem ant carcass [4]. For the leaf that becomes a platform for this manipulation, the relevant observation is that infected ants almost always (98%) bite into major leaf veins on the abaxial surface.

Unusual insect behaviour and its consequences occasionally are preserved in the fossil record, but previously have not implicated parasites as agents of behavioural modification. Many fungi manipulate insects to bite leaves in a similar manner to *O. unilateralis* [5] and so this type of manipulation is a probable candidate to be captured in the fossil record through the examination of fossil leaves. Here, we report physical evidence for ‘death grip’ scars on damaged leaf tissue, induced by stereotypical control of ant behaviour by fungal infection. The stereotyped pattern of leaf damage left by the ant death grip is a crucial part of the fungal life cycle and provides evidence for the presence of this spectacular association in subtropical forests surrounding Lake Messel 48 Ma. It also indicates that the fossil record of plant–insect associations can cascade up and down three levels.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Fossil samples

The Messel Pit (Grube Messel) fossil site is located on the eastern side of the Rhine Rift Valley in Hesse, Germany, about 8 km north-east of the town of Darmstadt (49°55′ N, 8°49′ E). The site is famous for the exceptional preservation of its fossils and was therefore declared an UNESCO Natural Heritage Site in 1995. Currently, it is a large pit with a diameter of about 0.7 × 1.0 km. The former lake basin is supposed to have had a diameter of about 1.5 km and initially was up to 300–400 m in depth [6,7]. The water body of the former lake was meromictic through chemical and thermal stratification. The sedimentary basin fill is known as the Messel formation. It is comprised of mostly laminated claystone sediments (‘oilshale’) and is more than 140 m thick, representing approximately 1 Myr of deposition [6]. Radiometric dating of basalt fragments underlying the fossiliferous sediments indicates that the deposits have an age of 47.8 ± 0.2 Myr [8]. The oilshale sediments are richly fossiliferous, and have yielded a highly diverse flora and fauna. Insects are among the most frequently found fossils, documenting a very diverse, mostly terrestrial fauna [9]. The examined specimen (SM.B.Me 10167) is housed in the Messel plant collection of the Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, Germany. Examination was made with a Leica MZ12.5 stereomicroscope.

(b) Modern samples

Workers of the ant *C. leonardi* killed by *O. unilateralis* were collected from the underside of leaves in the Peninsula Khao Chong Botanic Garden, ca 20 km east of Trang in southern Thailand (7°32′49.50′′ N, 99°47′14.73′′ E). Collections took place during 2007. A section of the leaf to which the ant was attached was cut off and the leaf and ant–fungus were oven dried at 55°C for 12 h and then placed individually in dry sealed boxes to prevent decomposition.

The ant was gently removed from the leaf using forceps and the leaf tissue damage was assessed using a standard dissecting scope and imaged using a JVC KYF70B digital camera mounted on a Leica MZ12.5 stereomicroscope. Because of the inherent problem of extremely shallow depth of field in microphotography, images are composites between 15 and 35 individual shots taken at different focal points. These images are then combined using the AUTO-MONTAGE software package from Synoptocopy.

3. RESULTS

A unique fossil example of this association, indicating fungal manipulation of an ant host’s mouthparts, was found on a single leaf of the dicotyledonous plant host *Byttneriptosis daphnogenes* (Etinghausen) Kvaček
Figure 1. (a) A nearly complete fossil leaf (SM.B.Me 10167) from Messel with 29 ant death-grip scars centred on 11 secondary veins (rectangular templates). (b) Overlay drawing and enlargement of three of four scars of (a) showing shape, callused periphery and relationship of secondary to tertiary venation. (c) Enlargement of upper–right scar in (b), showing dumbbell-shaped hole and central concavity of callused tissue. (d) Additional but narrower scar with less pronounced dumbbell. (e) Detail of a scar from the fossil leaf at (a), showing unaffected leaf tissue (L); callused region showing hyperplasic cell files radiating from central cut (H); texturally distinct fungal infection of callused tissue seen on the surface (S); and central cut area (C). (f) Modern plant specimen [4], showing a mature O. unilateralis stroma issuing from the head of a dead C. leonardi whose mandibles are attached to the lower surface of a major vein. (g) Modern ant death-grip scar from a primary–secondary vein axil, showing the medial vein, dumbbell-shaped hole, callus rim and hyphae from later fungal colonization. (h) Overlay drawing of a second, modern leaf specimen with a death-grip scar at secondary and tertiary axil; other holes may be aborted mandibular punctures. (i) Photo showing same features in (h). Scale bars: solid bar, 1 cm; stippled bar, 1 mm; slashed bar, 0.1 mm.
et Wilde comb. nov. (Malvaceae; figure 1a) from Messel in north-central Germany [10]. It was initially considered an example of vein-cutting behaviour involved in latex draining, a common modern behaviour resulting in damage from herbivorous insects [11]. This hypothesis was discounted because the leaf bore different, dumbbell-shaped mandible cut marks and lacked the distinctive snips that would result from vein-cutting behaviour. Also, the host-plant taxa are latex-bearing lineages.

The close modern parallel for this distinctive type of leaf damage is the death grip of some fungus-infected carpenter ants. In the best-documented interaction (figure 1e), the fungus _O. unilateralis_ adaptively manipulates worker ants of _C. leonardi_ to bite along major veins of leaves in Thai tropical forests [4]. The effect on the leaf of this death-grip behaviour is a pair of widened mandibular puncture marks surrounded by callused tissue that occur on each side of a primary or secondary vein (figure 1f–h). Importantly, bites left by the ant on the leaf blade are distinctive and centred on major veins; the choice of leaf-host taxon by the ant is non-selective and based overwhelmingly on environmental cues of favourable temperature and humidity for growth of its fungal parasitoid near the forest floor [4].

Manipulation of worker ant behaviour by the fungus _O. unilateralis_ is host specific and occurs only on three genera in the tribe Camponotini [12]. Most hosts occur in the hyperdiverse genus _Camponotus_. Although _Camponotus_ fossils are not known from Messel, this genus is found in Eocene Baltic amber, also from Germany, and in coeval Rovno amber from Ukraine [13], and a geochronologically more close (Middle Eocene) deposit (albeit in the USA, [14]) suggesting that this genus may also have occurred in the Messel palaeoecosystem.

### 4. DISCUSSION

Evidence for insect–fungal–plant associations heretofore have been absent from fossil compressions, principally because such an association would rely on detection not from the separate insect and fungus fossils, but rather preservation of a distinctive association evidenced by insect damage on fossil leaves. Another, albeit undocumented, example is a galled Patagonian leaf taxon with insect galls that contain several _Ophiocordyceps_-infected ants. _PLoS ONE_ 4, e4835. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.004835)


