IDENTITY OF PALAEONYMPHA AVINOFFI SCHAUSS, A SATYRINE BUTTERFLY FROM CHINA (LEPIDOPTERA, NYMPHALIDAE)
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Abstract Identity of the Chinese satyrine butterfly Palaeonympha avinoffi Schaus, 1927 has been uncertain since its description. The holotype is illustrated, and reasons are presented for considering it to represent the same species as Sinonympha amena Lee, 1974, new synonym. Sinonympha avinoffi is a new combination. The systematic placement of Sinonympha is yet uncertain.
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Identity of P. avinoffi, a Satyrine Butterfly from China

William Schaus (1859-1942) described more than 300 species of Neotropical butterflies over a period of about 40 years beginning in 1890 (references in Lamás 2008). Near the end of his career, however, he altered his geographical focus to name one butterfly from China, the satyrine Palaeonympha avinoffi (Nympalidae). This species was described from a female (wing expanse 38 mm) collected by D. C. Graham in "Mowchow, Szechuen" at 3,000 ft. in July (no year given) (Schaus, 1927). A second female with a slightly different wing pattern was also noted. Palaeonympha avinoffi was not illustrated; hence its identity has remained uncertain for more than 80 years.

The Schaus collection is deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA (USNM), but no types of Palaeonympha avinoffi were segregated in the type collection. We looked for a type of Palaeonympha avinoffi in the general USNM Lepidoptera collection using the text from the original description.

There are two females and one male of Palaeonympha avinoffi in the USNM collection. One female and one male are labeled "Mowchow, Szechuen, China / July / 915 m / DC Graham Collector", but only the female has type labels (Cat. No. 33125) (Fig. 1). A second female is labeled "MieChow, 65 mi NW Chengtu 5,000 ft / DC Graham Collector". The description in Schaus (1927) of the second female with a 42 mm wing expanse refers, as best we can tell, to the male from Mowchow, not the female from MieChow (wing expanse 37 mm). For this reason, we consider the female from Mowchow to be the holotype fixed by original designation (Fig. 1). We do not know the meaning of the number "193" on the label of the second "female".
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Fig. 1. Dorsal (left) and ventral wing surfaces of the holotype of Palaeonympha avinoffi Schaus (wing span 38 mm) with data labels.

The reason why Schaus (1927) described P. avinoffi in Palaeonympha Butler, 1871 was unstated. As currently understood, Palaeonympha is monobasic, with a single species (P. opalinus Butler, 1871), occurring in China and Taiwan. Palaeonympha is regarded as the only Old World member of subtribe Euphychiina (Peña et al., 2006).

Lee (1974) described a new satyrine genus and species, Sinonympha amena, from "Tsa-Ku-Lao (Lihhsien), Szechuan" by comparison with Cosmonympha occiput (Fabricius). He illustrated wing pattern variation and the female foreleg, which he called...
"unarticulated". The wing pattern of the holotype of *P. aviniffi* falls within the range of variation documented by Lee (1974). Based on this evidence, *Sinaymphyla aviniffi* is a new combination, and *S. amuren* is a new junior synonym of *S. aviniffi*.

The female foreleg illustration in Lee (1974) is problematic. We presume that the word "unarticulated" refers to fused tarsomeres, but the tarsus in Lee's illustration has articulated segments. Female foreleg structure is highly variable among satyrines (Miller, 1968), and foretarsus segmentation may be intraspecifically variable in the non-walking legs of butterflies (Robbins, 1988). For these reasons, the significance of the female foreleg structure illustrated by Lee (1974) is unclear.

The reason why Lee (1974) compared *Sinaymphyla* with *Coenonympha* Hübner, 1819 was unstated. *Sinaymphyla* is currently "placed" in the subtribe Coenonymphina of the tribe Satyrini (Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) (Bozano, 2002; Kodandaramaiah & Wahlberg, 2009). Hopefully, *Sinaymphyla* will eventually be combined with at least one other genus because it is philosophically questionable whether monotypic genera are falsifiable scientific hypotheses and because the information content of monotypic generic names is redundant (Farris, 1976).
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