OCCURRENCE OF LARVAL *ILLEX ILLECEBRUS* AND OTHER YOUNG CEPHALOPODS IN THE SLOPE WATER/GULF STREAM INTERFACE
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Abstract.—Specimens and data suggest that larval short-finned squid, *Illex illecebrus*, may concentrate in water where density (ρ) is approximately 26.7, indicating that spawning occurs in the subsurface interface where Slope Water mixes with the Gulf Stream. Because these water masses occur year-round within the range of *I. illecebrus*, spawning may be a prolonged or progressive process along the shoreward edge of the Gulf Stream. The distribution of other species indicates mesoscale spatial separation of young cephalopods in the vicinity of the Gulf Stream.

Among many unanswered questions in cephalopod ecology, two currently are receiving much attention: (1) what are the season and site(s) of spawning for the commercially important species *Illex illecebrus* and (2) what factors in the early life history of the species are crucial to its survival and recruitment to the fishery?

A multinational effort was organized by Canada, Japan, and the United States to attempt to answer these questions. Intensive directed sampling for the early stages of *I. illecebrus* was conducted during February and March 1982 on cruise 8201 of the Japanese Research Vessel *Kaiyo-Maru*. Personnel from all three sponsoring nations participated in the two-part cruise.

The area sampled was centered on the Gulf Stream east of New England and south of Nova Scotia. During the second leg of the cruise, from which our specimens were obtained, the Gulf Stream was meandering through the sampling area. Thus, the 40 stations for which we have data include water masses characteristic of Boreal Slope Water, the Gulf Stream, and the Sargasso Sea.

Materials and Methods

Sampling was done primarily with oblique tows. A model 1271 open bongo-net system with a 61 cm diameter mouth opening and 0.5 mm mesh was used to sample between the surface and 200 m depth. Sampling between the surface and 1000 m depth was done with an open midwater trawl (KMT; 100 m long, 18 mm mesh cod-end), and a rectangular midwater trawl (KYMT; 3 x 3 m mouth opening, 17 m long, 1.7 mm mesh cod-end). Data on hydrographic conditions were collected using expendable bathythermographs and multiple bottle casts for conductivity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen.

The biological samples were sorted at sea and field identifications were attempted for larval and juvenile *Illex*, sometimes under rather severe weather conditions. Preserved specimens then were divided among the representatives of the participating countries: 50% to the Japanese, 25% to the Canadian, and 25% to the U.S. participants.

Results

The material available for analysis consisted of 66 specimens that were identified to 18 taxa. Young *Illex* (we believe *I. illecebrus*; see Vecchione 1979) was the most abundant cephalopod collected (Table 1). The material also included several other taxa for which our current knowledge of early
life history is even more limited. These taxa include young *Gonatus fabricii*, as well as several other ommastrephids, onychoteuthids, enoploteuthids, cranchiids, and octopodids.

Station locations and isotherms at the surface and at 200 m depth are shown in Fig. 1. These depths were selected because they represent the sampling envelope of the oblique bongo tows. The temperature structure shows the turbulent nature of the current in the sampling area, as is typical of the transition zone between the Gulf Stream and the North Atlantic Drift. It also shows the substantial variability in thermal structure within the sampled depth range. Distribution of the five most abundant taxa is shown also in Fig. 1. Based on this limited subset of the complete set of data, we see indications of mesoscale spatial segregation among these taxa. Whereas *Gonatus fabricii* was collected only along the Slope-Water edge of the system, *Illex illecebrosus* and the unidentified octopods were concentrated along the central axis of the system, and *Leachia* sp. and *Ommastrephes* sp. appeared to be concentrated on the Sargasso Sea side of the system.

The six stations at which *Illex* were collected included a broad range of surface temperatures (Fig. 2A). Inferences on the distribution of young *Illex* based only on surface conditions where oblique tows collected specimens would indicate eurytopic habitat requirements, from 8°C to nearly 20°C. However, based on our earlier work with sampling programs from shelf and slope waters of the western North Atlantic (Roper and Lu 1979, Vecchione 1979), we have reason to believe that larval *Illex illecebrosus* are concentrated deeper in the water column. At approximately 100 m depth all of the temperature sections begin to converge and by 150 m the temperatures concentrate around 13°~18°C. If we assume, based on earlier studies, that this is close to the depth at which most of the *Illex* were collected, then we have reason to point out strong similarities among all of these stations.

The temperatures and salinities of these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>No. of specimens</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Illex</em> sp. (cf. <em>illecebrosus</em>)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octopodidae</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gonatus fabricii</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ommastrephes</em> sp.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leachia</em> sp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pterygioteuthis</em> sp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Abralia</em> sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Octopoteuthis</em> sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Teuthowenia</em> megalops</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pyroteuthis margaretiifera</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Onychoteuthis banksii</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Onyia caribae</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ctenopteryx</em> sicula</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brachoteuthis</em> sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unid. oegopsid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Abraliopsis</em> sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Histoteuthis</em> sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ornithoteuthis</em> antillarum *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66 specimens</td>
<td>18 taxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents 25% of total collected; see text.

**Table 1.—Ranking by abundance of cephalopod specimens from Leg II of *Kaiyo-Maru* cruise 8201.**
stations at 150 and 200 m depths are shown in Fig. 2B. These waters are approximately isopycnal at $\sigma_t$ of about 26.7, an indication of subsurface mixing. The isopycnal mixing extends between what Wright and Parker (1976) refer to as the “slope-water thermostat” and the Gulf Stream. Some captures are in the discrete water types and some are in the intermediate water; the aggregate of the captures is what would be expected in subsurface mixing between two water types because it extends along isopycnal lines. Based on these indications, we believe that hatching probably occurs in subsurface waters at the interface between the Slope Water and the Gulf Stream. Since both of these water masses occur within the distributional range of *I. illecebrosus* throughout the year, these data may also indicate a prolonged spawning season along the shoreward edge of the Gulf Stream over a very extensive latitudinal range.

Discussion and Recommendations

Prior to O’Dor and Durward’s (1979) demonstration that *Illex illecebrosus* spawns pelagic egg masses, it was assumed that *Illex* probably spawned demersally on the continental slope (Roper and Lu 1979, Vecchione 1979). The concept that an obligate relationship exists between the Gulf Stream and the early life history of *Illex* is now gaining support (Coelho 1985, O’Dor and
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Fig. 2. A, Temperature profiles at KAIYO-MARU stations where Illex illecebrosus larvae were captured (station numbers indicated); B, T-S diagram of KAIYO-MARU stations where Illex illecebrosus larvae were captured with notation of water-mass type (cruise 8201, Feb-Mar 1982).

Balch 1985, Rowell et al. 1985, Hatanaka et al. 1985). Additionally, our data from this cruise and unpublished observations from other cruises (Vecchione et al. 1986) indicate that similar relationships may exist for other cephalopod species.

Hypotheses are now being proposed to explain this relationship for Illex illecebrosus, but conclusive tests of these hypotheses are very difficult because of problems with sampling and taxonomy. Not only has it so far been impossible to collect Illex eggs in the field (O’Dor and Balch 1985) and difficult to collect planktonic young, but specific identification of the young must be inferred from adult distributions. South of Cape Hatteras such identifications are confounded by the presence of a complex of three species (Illex illecebrosus, Illex coindetii, and I. oxygonius) which even as adults are very similar morphologically. Thus, distributional patterns of larvae collected on cruises in or near the area of species overlap (e.g., Rowell et al. 1983) may result from spawning by one or more of these species.

Often, inferences on larval ecology have been based on surface conditions at stations where the larvae have been collected in oblique subsurface tows. This practice is particularly faulty for a species like Illex illecebrosus for which data on vertical distribution are extremely limited. For instance, assumptions like that of Rowell et al. (1983) that “it is likely that the majority [of Illex larvae] were taken in the upper 50 m” of oblique tows between the surface and 200–300 m depth are not supported by data. Observations on Illex illecebrosus egg masses by O’Dor and Balch (1985) indicate that the egg masses in nature are probably suspended in midwater at about the depth of the pycnocline (about 150 m in our samples). Furthermore, O’Dor et al. (1986) have shown that laboratory-hatched Illex illecebrosus larvae avoid the surface but are capable of diel vertical migration from mesopelagic depths. This is consistent with the very limited observations by Hatanaka et al. (1985) of a diel shift in the depth of maximum abundance of larval Illex.

An analysis of the distribution of Illex illecebrosus collected off Nova Scotia during KAIYO-MARU cruise 8201 has been compiled by Hatanaka et al. (1985). This report presumably was based on field-log entries of identifications for all specimens, since several specimens remained in our possession as a result of subdividing the catch upon completion of the cruise. During the first leg of the cruise, discrete-depth bongo tows were attempted at four stations. These attempts were successful at only one station in collecting a complete set of samples (from several depth strata between the surface and 200 m depth). Subsequently, discrete-depth sampling was abandoned. Inferences by Hatanaka et al. (1985) on Illex larval distri-
bution were therefore based on physical conditions in the 0–100 m depth range at oblique-tow stations (however, their very limited discrete-depth data indicated that larval *Illex* may be concentrated at 100 m or greater depth during the daytime). Inferences by Hatanaka et al. (1985) about the relationship between *Illex* larval distribution and the boundary between the Gulf Stream and Slope Water do not contradict our impressions which are based on reexamination of a subset of the collections, but we do feel, however, that some deficiencies in the data must be pointed out so that similar problems can be avoided in the future.

Although we are able to speculate about the larval occurrence and distribution of *Illex illecebrosus* and other species, a more precisely defined sampling program probably would have provided sufficient data to test our hypothesis. To achieve this, we recommend several changes in future sampling programs. First, once the general area and season of larval abundance have been determined for the target species, discrete-depth sampling should be considered a requirement for the study of early life history. Such a sampling regime can be accomplished either with bongo systems or with multiple opening/closing systems such as the MOCNESS Multiple Opening-Closing Net and Environmental Sensing System (Weibe et al. 1976). If time and funding constraints are imposed on the number of samples that can be collected and processed, then the number of stations occupied should be reduced to ensure that discrete-depth sampling can be accomplished. Second, definitive sorting and verification of species-level identifications should not be attempted at sea. Our cross-checks of the field logs against subsequent laboratory identifications indicate that several of the field identifications were incorrect. Even under the best of conditions use of a microscope at sea is difficult. Hatchlings of only about 2 mm or less in length are easily overlooked sloshing about in a petri dish of plankton; furthermore, the minute taxonomic characters required for identification are difficult to distinguish, let alone confirm. Thus, we cannot be confident enough in the shared, complete set of field-log entries of identifications to infer distribution and abundance based on all specimens collected but subdivided prior to verification of identifications.

A complete set of samples from this cruise, examined by a specialist in cephalopod systematics, would have been of considerable value in fulfilling the goals of this study. An additional benefit of onshore examination of all specimens by a single specialist is that the distribution of non-target species, such as *Gonatus fabricii*, could confidently be assessed along with the distribution of larval *Illex*.

We very strongly support international collaborative studies and coauthorship as an effective, efficient means of helping to answer many of the important questions we face in biological oceanography, fisheries biology, and systematics. To help achieve these ends, we recommend that future collections be identified and analyzed at one institution, prior to dividing the material among participating organizations. This will ensure consistency of analysis and interpretation without diminishing the collaborative effort.
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