

Paleoclimate ocean conditions shaped the evolution of corals and their skeletons through deep time

Andrea M. Quattrini*^{1,2}, Estefanía Rodríguez³, Brant C. Faircloth⁴, Peter F. Cowman⁵, Mercer R. Brugler^{3,6}, Gabriela A. Farfan⁷, Michael E. Hellberg⁴, Marcelo V. Kitahara^{8,9}, Cheryl L. Morrison¹⁰, David A. Paz-García¹¹, James D. Reimer^{12,13}, and Catherine S. McFadden¹

¹ Harvey Mudd College, Biology Department, 1250 N. Dartmouth St., Claremont, CA 91711 USA

² Department of Invertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC 20560 USA

³ Division of Invertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York NY 10024, USA

⁴ Department of Biological Sciences and Museum of Natural Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

⁵ ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811 Australia

⁶ Department of Natural Sciences, University of South Carolina Beaufort, Beaufort SC 29902 USA

⁷ Department of Mineral Sciences, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC 20560 USA

⁸ Institute of Marine Science, Federal University of São Paulo, Santos, SP 11070-100 Brazil

⁹ Centre for Marine Biology, University of São Paulo, São Sebastião, SP 11612-109 Brazil

¹⁰ US Geological Survey, Leetown Science Center, Kearneysville, WV 25430, USA

¹¹ CONACyT-Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR). Laboratorio de Necton y Ecología de Arrecifes. Calle IPN 195, Col. Playa Palo de Santa Rita Sur, 23096 La Paz, B.C.S., México

¹² Molecular Invertebrate Systematics and Ecology Laboratory, Department of Marine Science, Chemistry, and Biology, Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus, 1 Senbaru, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0212, Japan

¹³ Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, 1 Senbaru, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0212, Japan

Abstract

Identifying how past environmental conditions shaped the evolution of corals and their skeletal traits provides a framework for predicting their persistence and that of their non-calcifying relatives under impending global warming and ocean acidification. Here, we show that ocean geochemistry, particularly aragonite-calcite seas, drives patterns of morphological evolution in anthozoans (corals, sea anemones) by examining skeletal traits in the context of a robust, time-calibrated phylogeny. The lability of skeletal composition among octocorals suggests a greater ability to adapt to changes in ocean chemistry compared to the homogeneity of the aragonitic skeleton of scleractinian corals. Pulses of diversification in anthozoans follow mass extinctions and reef crises, with sea anemones and proteinaceous corals filling empty niches as tropical reef-builders went extinct. Changing environmental conditions will likely diminish aragonitic reef-building scleractinians, but the evolutionary history of the Anthozoa suggests other groups will persist and diversify in their wake.

Main

Corals engineer entire reef-based ecosystems from shallow waters to the deep sea by their ability to form colonies and precipitate calcium carbonate (CaCO_3) skeletons. However, rapidly increasing levels of atmospheric CO_2 are acidifying and warming the world's oceans¹, suppressing calcification and growth rates of corals²⁻⁴ while leading to net dissolution of calcified skeletons⁵⁻⁶, thereby causing mass mortality and threatening the structure and diversity of reef ecosystems worldwide⁷⁻⁸. Investigating the evolutionary history of corals and their relatives can illuminate how past climatic and geochemical changes shaped the persistence and evolution of this group, and thus inform predictions of the fate of coral reef ecosystems.

Corals and sea anemones comprise the class Anthozoa, a metazoan lineage that diversified throughout the Phanerozoic (541 MY to present), an eon marked by strong fluctuations in ocean geochemistry, sea surface temperatures, and atmospheric CO_2 ⁸. Throughout geologic time, ocean chemical conditions have cycled between aragonite and calcite seas, driven primarily by fluctuating Mg:Ca ratios. High Mg:Ca ratios (above ~2 molar Mg:Ca) favor the precipitation of aragonite and high-Mg calcite (HMC) while low Mg:Ca ratios (below ~ 2 molar Mg:Ca) favor the precipitation of low-Mg calcite (LMC)⁹⁻¹¹. If ocean geochemistry was important in the evolution of different anthozoan skeletal types (Supplementary Table 1, Extended Data 1), we expect aragonitic reef-building corals (scleractinians) to have evolved when Mg:Ca ratios were high (aragonite seas) while we expect other anthozoans (e.g., sea anemones, black corals, octocorals) with different skeletal types (e.g., none, proteinaceous, calcitic) to have evolved during periods not conducive to aragonite precipitation (calcite seas).

In addition to oscillations in ocean geochemistry, sea-surface temperature and atmospheric CO_2 fluctuated throughout geologic time⁸. Global warming and ocean acidification

(OA) events have been indicated as drivers in at least two of the five mass extinctions and two additional reef crises [i.e., global declines in coral and other (e.g., algal, sponge) reef builders], since the Ordovician (488-444 MYA)¹². OA disproportionately impacts aragonitic and HMC-precipitating organisms, since these CaCO₃ forms have a higher measured apparent solubility constant in seawater than LMC^{13,14}. In fact, coral reefs were most vulnerable to extinction under rapid increases in temperature and CO₂ combined with declines in aragonite saturation⁸. The loss of corals and other reef builders during these reef crises could have driven increases in the diversification rates of non-aragonitic or even non-calcifying anthozoans (e.g., proteinaceous corals or sea anemones) as these more resilient¹⁵ species invaded empty, shallow-water niches caused by the extinction of reef builders. For example, anemones and octocorals can overgrow coral reefs following a reduction in live coral cover¹⁶⁻¹⁷ and can dominate areas with naturally high pCO₂ conditions¹⁸. Identifying the changes in environmental conditions that shaped diversification of calcified and non-calcified anthozoans throughout deep time provides a framework to understand evolutionary persistence of corals and their relatives following impending global ocean change.

Here, we examined whether fluctuations in aragonite-calcite seas promoted the gain or loss of different skeletal features in anthozoans. To test this hypothesis, we constructed a time-calibrated phylogeny of 234 anthozoans, with representatives from all orders and most families (Supplementary Table 2), using 1,729 loci captured with a targeted-enrichment approach (Supplementary Table 3). With this phylogeny, we also tested whether diversification rates increased following shifts between aragonite and calcite seas or after ocean warming and OA events and whether rate increases were more apparent in non-calcifying anthozoans during times when calcification would have been difficult.

Time-Calibrated Phylogeny

Our phylogeny unequivocally supports the Precambrian origin of Anthozoa and the reciprocal monophyly of subclasses Hexacorallia and Octocorallia (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 4, Extended Data 2). Both the ASTRAL species tree (Extended Data 3) and concatenated maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenies were congruent at deep nodes in the phylogeny, generally with strong support (>95% b.s. or p.p., Fig. 1, Supplementary File 1). Within Hexacorallia, we recovered monophyly of each order [Ceriantharia (tube anemones), Zoantharia (colonial anemones), Actiniaria (true sea anemones), Antipatharia (black corals), Corallimorpharia (naked corals, mushroom anemones), Scleractinia (stony corals), plus the enigmatic anemone-like *Relicanthus*], with Ceriantharia sister to all other hexacorals, similar to other phylogenomic studies¹⁹⁻²⁰, but in contrast to work based on mitogenomes²¹. Relationships within Octocorallia (sea fans, soft corals, sea pens) are largely congruent with those obtained from analyses of mtDNA²² or nuclear rDNA²³, but with strong support for the deeper nodes in both major clades, almost all of which have lacked significant support in previous studies. Robust age estimates and strong support for phylogenetic relationships within and among these morphologically diverse clades now enable a more thorough examination of skeletal character evolution across deep time.

Skeletal Trait Evolution

Multiple gains and/or losses of different skeletal traits were apparent across Anthozoa, a clade whose most recent common ancestor lacked a skeleton (Fig. 1). Within Hexacorallia, the ancestral character state was also no skeleton. Skeletons of aragonite (Scleractinia) and scleroprotein (Antipatharia) were each gained once, with no reconstructed losses. The naked

coral hypothesis that suggests corallimorpharians are derived from scleractinians by skeletal loss²⁴ was not supported, similar to another phylogenomic study²⁰ (Fig. 1).

In contrast to Hexacorallia, Octocorallia exhibits many different skeletal forms that have been gained and lost multiple times (Fig. 1). Most octocorals have sclerites—free skeletal elements of HMC that are embedded within the tissue—regardless of whether or not they have calcified or proteinaceous axes. Sclerites are present in early diverging lineages of both major clades of octocorals, although they have been lost several times (i.e., those octocorals with “none”, Fig. 1). Skeletal axes comprised primarily of solid HMC (e.g., suborder Calcaxonia) or of protein (e.g., suborder Holaxonia) have rarely been gained but have frequently been lost, while axes of unconsolidated or consolidated sclerites (“Scleraxonia”) have been gained multiple times in both major lineages (Fig. 1). Aragonite has also been gained at least twice in octocorals: in the order Helioporacea, which has a massive aragonitic skeleton analogous to Scleractinia, and in the family Primnoidae, whose central axes are composed of aragonite (Fig. 1). Aragonite can also occur in the holdfasts of octocorals or embedded within a proteinaceous axis²⁵. The evolutionary lability of skeletal composition and form within the Octocorallia suggests a greater ability to adapt readily to changes in ocean chemistry over deep time compared to the homogeneous, aragonitic Scleractinia.

The origin and evolution of different skeletal features coincide predominantly with periods of aragonite or calcite seas. The crown groups of hexacorals that lack skeletons, including Actiniaria, Ceriantharia, and Zoantharia, originated primarily during calcite seas of the early to mid-Paleozoic, as evidenced by mean ages (Supplementary Table 4) and the majority of the age distributions (Fig. 2a). During this time, calcite seas also corresponded with high levels of atmospheric CO₂ that perhaps posed challenges for calcification (Fig. 2).

Octocorals with only free HMC sclerites and those with both sclerites and highly calcified axes of HMC also arose during calcite seas and high CO₂ conditions of the early- to mid-Paleozoic (Fig. 2b). The evolution of calcitic skeletons under these conditions suggests strong biological control over calcification. Indeed, experimental studies have shown that octocorals can calcify under high pCO₂ conditions¹⁸ and that their tissue protects them from OA²⁶. Although extant octocorals precipitate HMC, which is favored during high Mg:Ca ratios, it is also possible that HMC formed during the low Mg:Ca ratios²⁷ of the past. Moreover, extant organisms that precipitate HMC have been shown experimentally to switch to LMC in calcite seas²⁸, suggesting groups that evolved to precipitate LMC during past calcite seas could have facultatively switched to precipitate the HMC polymorph under present-day aragonite seas. In addition, the aragonite-producing Helioporaceae evolved during the late Jurassic-early Cretaceous, a time period characterized by calcite seas. Their skeletonins (proteins associated with skeletonization) are more similar to those of other HMC-secreting octocorals than to the aragonitic scleractinians²⁹, perhaps reflecting a later transition from precipitation of HMC to aragonite as sea states changed. Extant helioporaceans still produce sclerites of HMC in addition to a massive framework of aragonite. This ability to calcify under high pCO₂ conditions, calcify a variety of skeletal types and/or to facultatively switch between various CaCO₃ polymorphs endows octocorals with the capacity to adapt to ocean chemical changes.

Rugosans and tabulates—two groups of extinct hexacorals with calcitic skeletons—first appeared in the fossil record during the Ordovician, a time of calcite seas³⁰. Scleractinia, which includes all extant stony corals, originated at the transition between calcite to aragonite seas (383 MYA, CI: 324-447 MYA; Supplementary Table 4), but both crown clades of scleractinians (“robust” and “complex”, Fig. 1, Fig. 2a) originated during the aragonite seas of the

Carboniferous, when temperature and CO₂ also decreased (332-357 MYA; Supplementary Table 4). Scleractinians have persisted throughout the Mesozoic and Cenozoic eras, which have included intervals of calcite sea conditions unfavorable for the precipitation of aragonite. Survival of these scleractinian lineages during calcite seas may have been mediated by strong physiological and/or physicochemical control over the process of calcification³⁰⁻³², slower growth rates³³ or the ability to precipitate calcite in low Mg:Ca seas³⁴, and/or the potential for aragonite biomineralization in warm-water environments (>20°C)⁹. Nevertheless, the evolution of aragonite skeletons in hexacorals during a time of aragonite sea conditions was a key innovation that, combined with coloniality and photosynthetic symbionts, enabled reef building and the evolutionary success of Scleractinia.

Diversification Rates Across Deep Time

The diversification rates of anthozoans (see also Supplementary File 1) responded to mass extinctions and reef crises (Extended Data 4a, AIC=4773.9). Diversification rates increased following four of the five mass extinction events (late Devonian [374.5 MYA], Permian-Triassic [251 MYA], end-Triassic [199.6 MYA], and Cretaceous-Paleogene [65.5 MYA]), the first three of which were also reef crises (Fig. 2, Extended Data 4). Diversification rates also increased following two additional reef crises (early Jurassic [183 MYA] and Paleocene-Eocene [55.8 MYA]) that disproportionately impacted reef-builders¹². OA and/or global warming caused all reef crises, except for the late Devonian extinction, which was driven by widespread anoxia¹². Increased diversification rates in anthozoans were perhaps due to expansion into new ecological niches³⁵ following extinction events and reef crises. The fossil record indicates that the majority

of reef building corals went extinct during the end-Triassic event^{12,36-37}. Notably, it has been hypothesized that Helioporacea might fill empty niches following the demise of scleractinians³⁸, and indeed, this clade evolved following the end Triassic and early Jurassic reef crises.

Aragonite-calcite sea changes were less important than mass extinctions and reef crises in driving diversification rates of Anthozoa (Extended Data 4b, AIC = 4774.9). Although net diversification rates increased at a few times when ocean chemical conditions changed (Devonian-Carboniferous [358 MYA], end-Triassic, and Paleogene-Neogene [40 MYA] boundaries), mass extinctions and reef crises better explain the patterns observed (Extended Data 4). Devonian-Carboniferous and end-Triassic rate shifts coincide not only with aragonite-calcite sea transitions, but also with mass extinction events that occurred concurrently. A pronounced increase in diversification rate at the Pg-Ng boundary, however, is associated with a change from calcite to aragonite seas and decreased ocean temperatures (Fig. 2, Extended Data 4). This change may have enabled extant scleractinians to diversify during conditions that were suitable to calcification.

Increased diversification rates were more apparent in some clades of anthozoans than others (Fig. 3). In particular, rates of diversification were increased in “robust” Scleractinia as compared to “complex” Scleractinia (Fig. 3). Notably, “robust” corals have different biomineralization enzymes and transporters compared to “complex” corals³⁹, promoting calcification in waters undersaturated with aragonite, such as the deep sea. The early-diverging lineage (Micrabaciidae) of robust corals (Fig. 1) occurs in deep waters (>200 m)⁴⁰ and many other robust corals build reefs in deep waters at or near the aragonite saturation horizon⁴¹. During reef crises (particularly at the end-Triassic extinction event), tropical taxa suffered more than temperate ones^{12,36-37}, but robust corals might have survived in deep water and/or high latitude

refugia³⁶ and rapidly diversified into shallower waters, including the Atlantic Ocean as it began to form.

Increased diversification rates were also evident in several groups of anthozoans that exhibit little to no calcification (Fig. 3). Rates of diversification increased in antipatharian black corals and holaxonian octocorals, which both have flexible proteinaceous skeletons with little to no calcitic material, one clade of alcyoniin soft corals, and in one group of actiniarian anemones that is primarily found in the shallow, tropical Atlantic. As evidenced by experimental studies^{15,18} these groups of anthozoans likely had higher adaptive capacity for OA, warming temperatures, and calcite seas, and perhaps rapidly diversified following the extinction of scleractinians and other reef builders during reef crises.

In summary, we show that evolutionary diversification of anthozoans and their skeletal attributes shifted across geologic time in response to paleoclimate conditions. Aragonite skeletons biomineralized by scleractinians arose during aragonite seas whereas non-calcifying and primarily calcitic anthozoans evolved during calcite sea intervals with high levels of atmospheric CO₂. Increased diversification rates were largely coupled with mass extinction events and reef crises, known to cause major restructuring of the biosphere. Certain anthozoan groups, in particular robust corals, the non-skeletonized actiniarian anemones, and antipatharians and octocorals with largely proteinaceous skeletons, fared better during and immediately following these events compared to the reef-building, tropical scleractinians perhaps due to higher adaptive capacity in response to environmental change coupled with new ecological opportunities. In fact, we are currently witnessing phase shifts to octocoral-dominated communities where scleractinians have declined⁴², as octocorals appear to have greater resiliency to ongoing

environmental change^{16,18}. Projected global ocean change will undoubtedly impact coral ecosystem functioning and biodiversity, particularly reef-building scleractinians, but these lessons from the deep past suggest that other anthozoan-dominated communities will persist and prosper in their place.

Methods

Library Preparation and Target Enrichment

DNA was extracted from specimens collected worldwide from 1991 to 2018 (Supplementary Table 2) using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit, Qiagen Genra Kit, or a CTAB extraction protocol (for older or degraded samples). For black corals and a few scleractinians, a Qiagen DNeasy Pro Power Clean kit was used to remove PCR inhibitors. Samples with high molecular weight DNA were sheared to a target size range of 400-800 bp using sonication (Q800R QSonica Inc. Sonicator). A total of 250 ng at a concentration of 10 ng/μL per sample was used in library preparation. Small DNA fragments were removed from each sample using a 3:1 generic SPRI substitute bead cleanup. DNA was re-suspended in 25 μL of nuclease-free water. Library preparation (Kapa Biosystems) was conducted with a Kapa Hyper Prep protocol using universal Y-yoke oligonucleotide adapters and custom iTru dual-indexed primers. Five to eight libraries were pooled at equimolar ratios to a total of 500 ng per pool for target enrichment. The anthozoan-v1 bait set⁴³ or hexacoral-v2-scleractinian bait set⁴⁴ was used to enrich ultraconserved elements (UCEs) and exons. Baits were diluted to 1/2 (250 ng) of the standard (500 ng) MyBaits concentration. Enriched libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 (150bp PE reads, two lanes). Additional library preparation and target enrichment details can be found in Quattrini et al.⁴³ and Cowman et al.⁴⁴.

Bioinformatic Analyses

De-multiplexed Illumina reads were processed using PHYLUCE⁴⁵ following the workflow in the online tutorial (<http://phyluce.readthedocs.io/en/latest/tutorial-one.html/>), with a few modifications (see Quattrini et al.⁴³). Briefly, the reads were trimmed using the Illumiprocessor wrapper program⁴⁵ with default values and then assembled using SPAdes v 3.1⁴⁶ (with the `--careful` and `--cov-cutoff 2` parameters). At this stage, we included 21 whole and partial genomes in the analysis, including four medusozoan outgroup taxa (Supplementary Table 2). *Phyluce_assembly_match_contigs_to_probes* was used to match baits to contigs at a minimum coverage and minimum identity of 70%. Loci (Extended Data 5) were then extracted using *phyluce_assembly_get_fastas_from_match_counts* and aligned with default parameters using *phyluce_align_seqcap_align*. Loci were internally trimmed with GBlocks using *phyluce_align_get_gblocks_trimmed_alignments_from_untrimmed* (`--b1 0.5, --b2-0.5, --b3 10, --b4 5`). Data matrices of locus alignments were created using *phyluce_align_get_only_loci_with_min_taxa*, in which each locus had either 50% or 75% species occupancy. Data matrices were also constructed for hexacorals and octacorals only, with six outgroup taxa in each data matrix. Locus alignments were concatenated using *phyluce_align_format_nexus_files_for_raxml*.

Phylogenetic Analyses

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using maximum likelihood (ML), Bayesian, and species tree methods. ML inference was conducted on both 50% and 75% concatenated data matrices in RAxMLv8⁴⁷, using rapid bootstrapping, which allows for a complete analysis (20

ML searches and 200 bootstraps, GTRGAMMA model) in one step. ML analyses were also conducted on 50% and 75% data matrices of hexacorals and octacorals only, with six outgroups in each analysis (outgroup species were chosen to maximize loci retained in analysis). Locus data were partitioned using PartitionFinder⁴⁸, using a GTRGAMMA model and linked branch lengths, with an AICc model selection criterion. A partitioned analysis on concatenated data was conducted using rapid bootstrapping (GTRGAMMA model) in RAxML v 8 on the CIPRES portal. Bayesian analysis was conducted on both 50% and 75% data matrices using ExaBayes⁴⁹ (100M and 10M generations, respectively, four chains, 25% burnin). The species tree analysis was conducted using ASTRAL III⁵⁰. First, 220 gene trees (75% species occupancy alignments) were constructed using rapid bootstrapping in RAxML v 8 (GTRGAMMA model). Trees were then concatenated into one file and TreeShrink⁵¹ was used to remove long branches from gene trees. Finally, branches with low bootstrap support (<30%) were removed using a newick utility (nw_ed⁵²) prior to input in ASTRAL III.

Divergence Time Estimation

Divergence dating was conducted in BEAST2 v 2.5⁵³ on CIPRES. Six fossil calibration points (Supplementary Table 5) were selected for dating the anthozoan phylogeny after careful consideration to confirm that morphological characters of fossils unequivocally matched extant lineages. The fossil record for octacorals is particularly sparse, and most fossil taxa have been identified from sclerites alone, which are known to exhibit homoplasy. We used only fossils whose identity had been validated from diagnostic morphological characters other than sclerites. We also included a calibration at the root of the phylogeny for the earliest confirmed Cambrian fossil of a cnidarian (Supplementary Table 5). Exponential priors were used for calibration points

following⁵⁴ with minimum age constraints set as the offset values and mean values set as 10% of the offsets (except that the Keratoisidinae node was given a mean value of 20% of the offset and the root was given a mean value of 11% of the offset to capture potentially older ages found in previous studies). A relaxed clock model, with a lognormal distribution on the `uclid.mean` (initial 0.0002, 0-infinity bounds, following⁵⁵) and uniform distribution on the `uclid.stdev` (initial 0.1, 0-1 bounds), was used. A Birth-Death tree prior was also used, with uniform priors on the birth rate (initial 1.0, 0-1000 bounds) and death rate (initial 0.5, 0-1 bounds); results were compared to a Yule model (Supplemental File 1). Following Oliveros et al.⁵⁶, we used a fixed topology (Fig. 1) in BEAST2. Although the topologies were congruent at all deeper nodes in the tree, we used the RAxML topology from the 50% data matrix rather than the ASTRAL species tree or the 75% RAxML tree because of higher support for the majority of internal nodes. This topology was first time-calibrated with the above fossils (no root calibration) using a penalized likelihood method⁵⁷ in the R package *ape*. We also included only 25 loci in the BEAST2 analysis (as per Oliveros et al.⁵⁶) that were determined to be clock-like, with properties of moderate tree lengths and topological similarity to the species tree, as determined using *SortaDate*⁵⁸. Locus data were partitioned so that a GTRGAMMA model (initial 1.0, 0 to infinity bounds) was applied to each locus. Three separate runs of 250M generations were conducted. Log and tree files from each run were combined in *LogCombiner*⁵³, with a 10% burnin. The combined log file was assessed for convergence of parameter values and age estimates by inspecting traces and effective sample sizes in *Tracer* v.1.7⁵⁹. *TreeAnnotator*⁵³ was then used to produce a maximum clade credibility tree. An analysis (250M generations) was also conducted without data by Sampling from the Prior, in order to ensure that the results were driven by the data and not solely by the prior information⁶⁰. All BEAST2 analyses were conducted on CIPRES.

The combined tree file was resampled to randomly select 13,508 trees to obtain the 95% highest posterior distribution of node ages for particular clades. Clades for which 95% HPDs were calculated included all hexacoral orders, as they were monophyletic and each represented by one skeletal type. Because of widespread polyphyly and paraphyly of currently-recognized orders and suborders of octocorals, HPDs of node ages were calculated for monophyletic clades represented by ancestral skeletal types of >75% posterior probability (see Fig. 2). These analyses, along with plotting of the posterior distributions, were conducted in R using an R script (J. Schenk, <https://github.com/johnjschenk/Rcode/blob/master/NodeAgeDensity.R>).

Ancestral State Reconstruction

Ancestral states of skeletal type were calculated using stochastic character mapping, which samples ancestral states from their posterior probability distribution⁶¹. Posterior probabilities were generated from 100 stochastic character maps for each trait using the `make.simmap` function in the R package *phytools*⁶². Using *phytools*, one stochastic character map for skeletal traits (Fig. 1) was plotted on the time-calibrated phylogeny along with the posterior probabilities (pie charts) at each node.

Diversification Rate Analyses

Episodic diversification rates were calculated in RevBayes version 1.03⁶³ to determine if diversification rates shifted across environmental conditions. Diversification rates were calculated between mass extinction events and reef crises and between shifts in aragonite and calcite sea intervals. A uniform taxon sampling strategy was used, with incomplete taxon sampling accounted for by dividing the number of tips by the total number of anthozoan species

(*rho*). Two MCMC runs were conducted for 500K generations (tuning interval=200); trace files were examined for convergence in Tracer v 1.7⁵⁹. To determine if one model of episodic rate diversification was preferred over another, we calculated Akaike Information Criterion for MCMC samples using the R package *geiger*⁶⁴. Net diversification rates (speciation rates minus extinction rates) were plotted using the *RevGadgets* function (<https://github.com/revbayes/RevGadgets>) in R with the weighted average rate computed in each of 100 time intervals. Branch-specific diversification rates⁶⁵ were also calculated to determine if branch rates (k=8 discrete branch rate categories) varied across the phylogeny. The phylogeny was pruned to one species per genus (except for the *Alcyonium* spp., which should be considered separate genera). Extinction rate was kept constant and a uniform incomplete taxon strategy was adopted as described above. Two MCMC runs were conducted for 2,500 generations; trace files were examined for convergence in Tracer v 1.7⁵⁹. More details can be found in Supplementary File 1.

References

1. IPCC, *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds Solomon S. et al.), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK and New York (2007).
2. Kleypas, J. A., et al. Geochemical consequences of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide on coral reefs. *Science* **284**(5411), 118–120 (1999).
3. De'ath, G., Lough, J. M. & Fabricius, K. E. Declining coral calcification on the Great Barrier Reef. *Science* **323**(5910), 116–119 (2009).

4. Cantin, N. E., Cohen, A. L., Karneckas, K. B., Tarrant, A.M. & McCorkle, D.C. Ocean warming slows coral growth in the central Red Sea. *Science* **329**(5989), 322–325 (2010).
5. Kleypas, J. A. & Yates, K. K. Coral reefs and ocean acidification. *Oceanography* **22**(4), 108–117 (2009).
6. Eyre, B. D. et al. Coral reefs will transition to net dissolving before end of century. *Science* **359**(6378), 908–911 (2018).
7. Carpenter, K. E. et al. One-third of reef-building corals face elevated extinction risk from climate change and local impacts. *Science* **321**(5888), 560–563 (2008).
8. Pandolfi, J. M., Connolly, S. R., Marshall, D. J. & Cohen A. L. Projecting coral reef futures under global warming and ocean acidification. *Science* **333**(6041), 418–422 (2011).
9. Balthasar, U. & Cusack, M. Aragonite-calcite seas - Quantifying the gray area. *Geology* **43**(2), 99–102 (2015).
10. De Choudens-Sanchez, V. & Gonzalez, L. A. Calcite and aragonite precipitation under controlled instantaneous supersaturation: elucidating the role of CaCO₃ saturation state and Mg/Ca ratio on calcium carbonate polymorphism. *J. Sediment. Res.* **79**(6), 363–376 (2009).
11. Ries, J. B. Geological and experimental evidence for secular variation in seawater Mg/Ca (calcite-aragonite seas) and its effects on marine biological calcification. *Biogeosciences* **7**(9), 2795–2849 (2010).
12. Kiessling, W. & Simpson, C. On the potential for ocean acidification to be a general cause of ancient reef crises. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**(1), 56–67, (2011).
13. Morse, J. W., Mucci, A. & Millero, F. J. The solubility of calcite and aragonite in seawater of 35‰ salinity at 25 C and atmospheric pressure. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **44**(1), 85–94 (1980).

14. Morse, J. W., Andersson, A. J., & Mackenzie, F. T. Initial responses of carbonate-rich shelf sediments to rising atmospheric pCO₂ and “ocean acidification”: Role of high Mg-calcites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **23**, 5814–5830 (2006)
15. Suggett, D. J. et al. Sea anemones may thrive in a high CO₂ world. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**(10), 3015–3025 (2012).
16. Tsounis, G., & Edmunds, P.J. Three decades of coral reef community dynamics in St. John, USVI: a contrast of scleractinians and octocorals. *Ecosphere* **8**(1), e01646 (2017).
17. Tkachenko, K. S., Wu, B. J., Fang, L. S., & Fan, T. Y. Dynamics of a coral reef community after mass mortality of branching *Acropora* corals and an outbreak of anemones. *Mar. Biol.*, **151**(1), 185-194 (2007).
18. Inoue, S., Kayanne, H., Yamamoto, S., & Kurihara, H. Spatial community shift from hard to soft corals in acidified water. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**(7), 683-687 (2013).
19. Zapata, F. et al. Phylogenomic analyses support traditional relationships within Cnidaria. *PloS One* **10**(10), (2015).
20. Kayal, E. et al. Phylogenomics provides a robust topology of the major cnidarian lineages and insights on the origins of key organismal traits. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **18**(1), 68 (2018).
21. Xiao, M. et al. Mitogenomics suggests a sister relationship of *Relicanthus daphneae* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Hexacorallia: incerti ordinis) with Actiniaria. *Sci. Rep.* **9**(1), 1–10 (2019).
22. McFadden, C. S., France, S. C., Sánchez, J. A. & Alderslade, P.A. molecular phylogenetic analysis of the Octocorallia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) based on mitochondrial protein-coding sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **41**(3), 513–527 (2006).

23. Berntson, E. A., Bayer, F. M., McArthur, A. G., & France, S. C. Phylogenetic relationships within the Octocorallia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) based on nuclear 18S rRNA sequences. *Mar. Biol.*, **138**(2), 235-246 (2001).
24. Medina, M., Collins, A. G., Takaoka, T. L., Kuehl, J. V. & Boore, J. L. Naked corals: skeleton loss in Scleractinia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**(24), 9096–9100 (2006).
25. Bayer, F. M., & Macintyre, I. G. The mineral component of the axis and holdfast of some gorgonacean octocorals (Coelenterata: Anthozoa), with special reference to the family Gorgoniidae. *Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash.* 103(1), 205–228 (2001).
26. Gabay, Y., Fine, M., Barkay, Z. & Benayahu, Y. Octocoral tissue provides protection from declining oceanic pH. *PloS One* **9**(4), e91553 (2014).
27. Purgstaller, B., Mavromatis, V., Immenhauser, A. & Dietzel, M. Transformation of Mg-bearing amorphous calcium carbonate to Mg-calcite—In situ monitoring. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **174**, 180–195 (2016).
28. Ries, J. B. Effect of ambient Mg/Ca ratio on Mg fractionation in calcareous marine invertebrates: A record of the oceanic Mg/Ca ratio over the Phanerozoic. *Geology* **32**(11), 981–984 (2004).
29. Conci, N., Wörheide, G. & Vargas, S. New non-bilaterian transcriptomes provide novel insights into the evolution of coral skeletomes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**(11), 3068–3081 (2019).
30. Drake, J. L. et al. How corals made rocks through the ages. *Glob. Change Biol.* **26**(1), 31 (2019).
31. Tambutté, S. et al. Coral biomineralization: from the gene to the environment. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **408**(1–2), 58–78 (2011).

32. Sevilgen, D. S. et al. Full in vivo characterization of carbonate chemistry at the site of calcification in corals. *Sci. Adv.* **5**(1), eaau7447 (2019).
33. Higuchi, T., Shirai, K., Mezaki, T. & Yuyama, I. Temperature dependence of aragonite and calcite skeleton formation by a scleractinian coral in low mMg/Ca seawater. *Geology* **45**(12), 1087–1090 (2017).
34. Higuchi, T. et al. Biotic control of skeletal growth by scleractinian corals in aragonite–calcite seas. *PLoS One* **9**, no. 3 (2014).
35. Prada, C. et al. Empty niches after extinctions increase population sizes of modern corals. *Current Biology* **26** (23), 3190-3194 (2016).
36. Stanley, G. D., Shepherd, H. M. E. & Robinson, A. J. Paleoecological Response of Corals to the End-Triassic Mass Extinction: An Integrational Analysis. *J. Int. Earth Sci.* **29**(4), 879–885 (2018).
37. Kiessling, W., & Aberhan, M. Environmental determinants of marine benthic biodiversity dynamics through Triassic–Jurassic time. *Paleobiology* **33**(30), 414–434 (2007).
38. Richards, Z. T. et al. Integrated evidence reveals a new species in the ancient blue coral genus *Heliopora* (Octocorallia). *Sci. Rep.*, **8**(1), 1-14 (2018).
39. Bhattacharya, D. et al. Comparative genomics explains the evolutionary success of reef-forming corals. *Elife* **5**, e13288 (2016).
40. Squires, D. F. The evolution of the deep-sea coral family Micrabaciidae. *Stud. Trop. Oceanog.* **5**, 502–510 (1967).
41. Guinotte, J. M. et al. Will human-induced changes in seawater chemistry alter the distribution of deep-sea scleractinian corals?. *Front. Ecol. Envir.*, **4**(3), 141-146 (2006).

42. Edmunds, P. J., & Lasker, H. R. Cryptic regime shift in benthic community structure on shallow reefs in St. John, US Virgin Islands. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **559**, 1–12 (2016).

Methods References

43. Quattrini, A. M. et al. Universal target-enrichment baits for anthozoan (Cnidaria) phylogenomics: New approaches to long-standing problems. *Mol. Ecol. Resour* **18**(2), 281–295 (2018).

44. Cowman, P.F. et al. An enhanced target-enrichment bait set for Hexacorallia provides phylogenomic resolution of the staghorn corals (Acroporidae) and close relatives. *bioRxiv*, <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.25.965517v1> (2020).

45. Faircloth, B. C. PHYLUCE is a software package for the analysis of conserved genomic loci. *Bioinformatics* **32**(5), 786–788 (2016).

46. Bankevich, A. et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**(5), 455–477 (2012).

47. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**(9) 1312–1313 (2014).

48. Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S. Y. W & Guindon, S. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**(6), 1695–1701 (2012).

49. Aberer, A. J., Kobert, K. & Stamatakis, A. ExaBayes: massively parallel Bayesian tree inference for the whole-genome era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31**(10), 2553–2556 (2014).

50. Zhang, C., Rabiee, M., Sayyari, E. & Mirarab, S. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**(6), 153 (2018).
51. Mai, U. & Mirarab, S. TreeShrink: fast and accurate detection of outlier long branches in collections of phylogenetic trees. *BMC Genomics* **19**(5), 272 (2018).
52. Junier, T. & Zdobnov, E. M. The Newick utilities: high-throughput phylogenetic tree processing in the UNIX shell. *Bioinformatics* **26**(13), 1669–1670 (2010).
53. Bouckaert, R. et al. BEAST2 2.5: An advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **15**(4), e1006650 (2019).
54. Ho, S. Y. & Phillips, M. J. Accounting for calibration uncertainty in phylogenetic estimation of evolutionary divergence times. *Syst. Biol.*, **58**(3), 367-380 (2009).
55. Stolarski, J., et al. The ancient evolutionary origins of Scleractinia revealed by azooxanthellate corals. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **11**(1), 316 (2011).
56. Oliveros, C. H. et al. Earth history and the passerine superradiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **116**(16), 7916–7925 (2019).
57. Sanderson, M. J. Estimating absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence times: a penalized likelihood approach. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **19**(1), 101–109 (2002).
58. Smith, S. A., Brown, J. W. & Walker, J. F. So many genes, so little time: a practical approach to divergence-time estimation in the genomic era. *PLoS One* **13**(5), e0197433 (2018).
59. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A. J., Xie, D., Baele, G., & Suchard, M. A. Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. *Syst. Biol.*, **67**(5), 901, (2018).
60. Brown, J. W. & Smith, S. A. The past sure is tense: On interpreting phylogenetic divergence time estimates. *Syst. Biol.* **67**(2), 340–353 (2018).

61. Huelsenbeck, JP, Nielsen, R. & Bollback, J. P. Stochastic mapping of morphological characters. *Syst. Biol.* **52**(2), 131–158 (2003).
62. Revell, L. J. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **3**(2), 217-223 (2012).
63. Höhna, S. et al. (2016). RevBayes: Bayesian phylogenetic inference using graphical models and an interactive model-specification language. *Syst. Biol.* **65**(4), 726–736.
64. Harmon, L. J., Weir, J. T., Brock, C. D., Glor, R. E. & Challenger, W. GEIGER: investigating evolutionary radiations. *Bioinformatics* **24**(1), 129–131 (2008).
65. Höhna S. et al. Bayesian Approach for Estimating Branch-Specific Speciation and Extinction Rates. bioRxiv. [10.1101/555805](https://doi.org/10.1101/555805) (2019)

Acknowledgements

NSF #1457817 and #1457581 provided funding to CSM and ER and ARC DECRA (DE170100516) provided funding to PFC. A few specimens were collected during the R/V Atlantis DEEPSEARCH cruise (E. Cordes, chief scientist), which was funded by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Environmental Studies Program, Washington, DC under Contract Number M17PC00009 and on the R/V Celtic Explorer supported by the Marine Institute's Shiptime Programme (L. Allcock, chief scientist). A. Pentico, F. Guitierrez, S. Goldman, S. Moaleman, and M. Taylor helped with lab work. N. Bezio created outlined illustrations and A. Siqueira helped create the tip density plot. C. Oliveros, B. Smith, and S. Ho provided guidance in divergence dating analyses. We thank A. Collins, L. Dueñas, D. Erwin, V. Gonzalez, G. Sahwell and S. Tweedt for helpful discussions and J. McCormack and

W. Tsai for use of the sonicator at Occidental College. T. Bridge, M. Daly, M. Taylor, C. Prada, and J. Sánchez provided specimens. Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Author contributions

CSM, ER, and AMQ conceived and designed this study. AMQ, CSM, ER, MRB, MEH, DAPG conducted molecular lab work. AMQ conducted bioinformatic and phylogenetic analyses with guidance from BCF. DAPG and PFC helped with figure preparation. AMQ, ER, and CSM wrote the manuscript. MEH contributed substantial improvements to the text. PFC contributed text to the supplementary file. All authors aided in interpretation of results, edited a draft of the manuscript, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests

Materials and Correspondence

quatrinia@si.edu

Data availability

Raw Data: SRA Genbank SUB3122367, BioSample #SAMN07774920-4952, 13244867-5050

Anthozoan bait set: Data Dryad Entry <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.36n40>

Alignment and tree files, BEAST2 xml and result files: figshare

<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12363953>

Code Availability

Code is included on figshare <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12363953>

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Phylogeny of Anthozoa with ancestral character states of skeletal types. Subclasses are color coded (dark grey bars=Octocorallia, light grey bars=Hexacorallia) and orders are indicated (Ce=Ceriantharia, Co=Corallimorpharia, He=Helioporacea, Pe=Pennatulacea, R=*Relicanthus*). Medusozoan (Me) outgroup is indicated in white. Topology was produced from RAxML analysis of a 50% data matrix (933 loci, 278,819 bp). Bootstrap support was > 95% at nodes in one or both of the phylogenies constructed with the 50% or 75% data matrices, unless indicated (b.s. support from 50/75% data matrices).

Figure 2. Timing and origin of anthozoans and their skeletal features across time and paleoclimate ocean conditions. 95% highest posterior densities for ages of a) crown groups within Hexacorallia and b) crown groups within Octocorallia. Crown groups of Octocorallia correspond loosely to subordinal clades defined by skeletal composition, represented here by ancestral skeletal types with >75% posterior probability (see Fig. 1). Colors of ancestral skeletal types: black=unknown, none=grey, aragonite=blue (Scleractinia, Helioporacea), proteinaceous=pink (Antipatharia), yellow=HMC calcite axes (Calcaxonia), orange=free HMC sclerites only (Alcyoniina, Stolonifera), purple=proteinaceous axis with HMC sclerites (Holaxonia), dark green= unconsolidated HMC axes (Scleraxonia), light green=consolidated

HMC axes (Scleraxonia). R&C=Robust and Complex Scleractinia. Aragonite and calcite sea conditions follow ^{8,9}. CO₂ and temperature curves are from ⁸ and reprinted with permission from AAAS.

Figure 3. Branch specific diversification rates across Anthozoa. Mass extinctions (dotted line) and reef crises (*) shown. Density distribution of net diversification rates estimated at all the tips across the dated phylogeny included in upper left.

Extended Data

Extended Data 1. *Acropora* sp. (Scleractinia stony coral), *Javania* sp. (Scleractinia solitary stony coral), *Corynactis annulata* (Corallimorpharia naked coral), *Telopathes magna* (Antipatharia, black coral); Hexacorals middle row, left to right: *Relicanthus* cf. *daphneae* (Actiniaria, anemone), Actiniidae sp. (Actiniaria, anemone), *Zoanthus sansibaricus* (Zoantharia, colonial anemone), Ceriantharia (Ceriantharia, tube anemone); Octocorals bottom row, left to right: *Plexaurella nutans* and *Gorgonia ventalina* (Alcyonacea, holaxonian gorgonians), *Anthomastus* sp. (Alcyonacea, soft coral), Keratoisidinae (Alcyonacea, calcaxonian gorgonian), *Virgularia* cf. *gustaviana* (Pennatulacea, sea pen). Photographs taken by C.S. McFadden, J. D. Reimer, or courtesy of the NOAA Okeanos Explorer Program.

Extended Data 2. BEAST2 dated phylogeny with 95% highest posterior densities (blue bars) of node ages. Red circles denote fossil calibration points.

Extended Data 3. ASTRAL III species tree with posterior probabilities calculated in ASTRAL.

Extended Data 4. Net diversification rates (speciation minus extinction) across deep time. A) calculated between mass extinction events (solid lines) and reef crises (*), and B) between aragonite-calcite sea intervals (dotted lines).

Extended Data 5. Number of loci recovered for each species in anthozoan sub-classes and class Medusozoa. *=loci extracted from genomes

Supplementary Information is available for this paper

Supplementary File 1. Results and Discussion, Supplementary Tables 1, 4-5

Supplementary Table 2. Specimen collection information with associated skeletal traits.

Supplementary Table 3. Read and locus summary statistics.

Reprints and permissions information are available at www.nature.com/reprints