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Abstract

1. Despite its broad implications for community structure and dynamics, we lack a clear understanding of how forest productivity is partitioned among tree species. As leaf mass per unit of standing biomass declines with tree size, species achieving larger stature should show lower relative productivity as compared to smaller stature species. However, many observations indicate large-stature species grow faster than small-stature species. In this study, we address this apparent paradox, and clarify interspecific trade-offs between turnover rates and maximum size in terms of forest-level productivity and biomass storage.

2. We examined data from the 1990 and 2000 surveys of the Pasoh 50-ha plot of Malaysian rain forest. In these data, individual stems ≥1 cm stem diameter (dbh) have been identified, marked, measured and mapped. We applied site-specific equations to estimate tree biomass from dbh. We estimated species-level productivity and loss rates that are less influenced by census interval-related effects and biases.

3. Among 390 abundant tree species, species with high stand-level biomass were predominantly those large-stature species where individuals could achieve large sizes. We found that relative (= per-species-biomass) productivity and loss rate, per-capita recruitment and mortality of species were all negatively correlated to species biomass and maximum size, but not to species abundance.

4. Large-stature species grew faster than small-stature species at the same tree sizes up to 36 cm dbh. However, the relative growth of large species at their maximum size markedly declined. As a result, tree-level relative growth at maximum size and species-level relative productivity decreased with species-level biomass.
INTRODUCTION

The diversity of life histories found within mixed species communities influences coexistence and ecosystem properties (Falster, Brännström, Westoby, & Dieckmann, 2017; Hooper et al., 2005; Liang, Zhou, Tobin, McGuire, & Reich, 2015). Previous studies have found that net primary productivity is correlated with community species diversity at the level of forest stands (Chisholm et al., 2013; Jucker et al., 2016; Mori, 2018). However, it remains unclear how stand-level productivity is partitioned among co-occurring tree species with differing life histories.

Past studies of stand productivity have various shortcomings. Most have neglected spatial variation and differences among species (Kira & Shidei, 1967; Malhi et al., 2004; Phillips et al., 1998). When studies have examined interspecific variation, they have typically neglected stand-level processes and focused on selected, for example, common species (Condit, Sukumar, Hubbell, & Foster, 1998; Kohyama, Suzuki, Partomihardjo, Yamada, & Kubo, 2003; Poorter et al., 2008). Furthermore, conventional estimates of net primary production by tree growth (Clark et al., 2001; Kira & Shidei, 1967; Ohtsuka et al., 2005)—biomass gained through growth of surviving stems and by new recruits divided by the census interval—are problematic for a number of reasons including their inability to account for productivity during inter-census intervals (Malhi et al., 2004; Talbot et al., 2014). To remedy these shortcomings, we developed a method for estimating instantaneous rates of production and loss that is less impacted by census interval effects and biases (see Kohyama, Kohyama, & Sheil, 2019). In addition, by defining stand-level production as the sum of species-level production, biases due to ignoring interspecific heterogeneity are avoided. Using this new approach, we clarify how forest production is partitioned among tree species.

If the largest tree sizes in a population represent the population-level biomass, then a pattern similar to stand-level biomass distributions should arise (Bastin et al., 2018; Lutz et al., 2018; Silk et al., 2013): large-stature species have higher species biomass as compared to small-stature species. We further expected that the relative growth and mortality of individual trees at the species maximum size would represent species-level relative productivity and loss, respectively (Figure 1). Therefore, it is necessary to relate species-level biomass turnover to species demography as measured by tree-size dependent growth and mortality.

Species-level biomass turnover (i.e. per-biomass relative rate of production and loss) is either negatively, positively or not related to species biomass in a community. The negative turnover-biomass correlation could be expected from the following assumptions. The relative growth of individual forest trees generally decreases with increasing size in any species population (Iida et al., 2014; Kohyama, Potts, Kohyama, Abd Rahman, & Ashton, 2015). This trend likely

\[
\frac{\text{[Species biomass]}}{\text{[Species productivity]}} \propto \frac{\text{[Species-largest tree size]}}{\text{[Growth at species-largest size]}}
\]

(a) Common growth trajectory (b) Species-specific growth trajectory

**FIGURE 1** Assumed link between species-level biomass productivity, and size-dependent tree growth trajectory. Circles indicate species maximum individual tree values for large-, intermediate- and small-stature species. (a) Forest-wide decline of relative growth with tree size predicts a negative relationship between relative productivity and biomass. (b) Interspecific differentiation in growth curves may bring about an increasing relationship between relative productivity and biomass.
reflects the reduced ratio of photosynthetic leaves, and thus available energy capture, in relation to total tree biomass (Enquist & Niklas, 2002; Poorter et al., 2012, 2015). Assuming that the decline of relative growth with tree size is similar among species with varied maximum sizes then large-stature species should show lower relative growth at maximum tree size and species-level relative productivity (Figure 1a). Tree mortality at maximum size and species-level relative loss would also be lower in large-stature species than in small-stature species (Iida et al., 2014; Kohyama et al., 2015). However, at the stand-scale, larger (and taller) trees capture a greater share of available light than smaller (and shorter) trees, which may compensate for the cost of achieving large tree size.

In reality, there is interspecific variation in size-dependent growth of trees. Observations in tropical forests suggest that species with larger stature typically grow faster, have lower mortality and recruit less frequently as compared to species with smaller stature (Iida et al., 2014; King, Davies, & Noor, 2006; King, Wright, & Connell, 2006; Kohyama et al., 2003, 2015; Lieberman, Lieberman, Hartshorn, & Peralta, 1985; Manokaran & Kochummen, 1987; Poorter et al., 2008; Rüger et al., 2018). Smaller stature species compensate for slow growth by maturing sooner and recruiting more frequently. Theoretically, lower mortality-to-growth ratios over a tree size range results in larger maximum tree sizes in stable populations (Kohyama et al., 2015). Sillett et al. (2010) and Stephenson et al. (2014) suggest that absolute (not relative) productivity of forest trees steadily increases with tree size; though the evidence remains ambiguous (Ligot et al., 2018; Sheil et al., 2017). In addition, mortality does not simply decline with tree size, but shows a minimum among mid-sized trees, with increasing rates of both smaller and larger stems (Coomes & Allen, 2007; Iida et al., 2014; King, Davies, et al., 2006; King, Wright, et al., 2006; Kohyama et al., 2015; Rüger, Huth, Hubbell, & Condit, 2011). We wish to examine how tree mortality at species maximum size relates to total species biomass. Therefore, it remains possible that species-level biomass turnover (relative productivity and loss) is positively related to species biomass (Figure 1b).

To guide our work, we propose the following four complementary hypotheses: (1) In a mixed-species stand, species that achieve large sizes (i.e. in which some individuals achieve large diameters and stature) have higher species-population biomass than smaller sized species (n.b. this is not a truism: it would be false if small-stature species were sufficiently common and large-stature species sufficiently rare), (2) species population-wide relative production rates are lower for higher biomass species; (3) low species-level relative productivity for high-biomass species is explained by large-stature species possessing a lower proportion of photosynthetic leaves to wood mass than small-stature species and (4) despite the fact that individual trees of large sized species grow faster than those of small sized species at equivalent individual stem sizes, marked reduction of tree-level relative growth at large tree sizes brings about lower species-level relative productivity for large-stature, high-biomass species.

To test these hypotheses, we examined data from the Pasoh 50-ha forest plot in Peninsular Malaysia. We estimated and compared the biomass turnover (productivity and loss) and abundance turnover (recruitment and mortality) of 390 co-occurring tree species. From repeated tree inventory data, we generated ‘identity-free’ data, in which we replaced the species identity and spatial position of a stem with another stem of similar diameter. Comparing results of observed and identity-free communities allowed us to disentangle species properties from effects of forest-wide tree size dependence. We also quantified stem size dependence of individual growth and mortality for every species, to relate biomass turnover by ontogeny and life history. We examined the generality of our results by analysing data from four other old-growth forests.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Pasoh plot data

We used data from the 50-ha forest dynamics plot (2°59′N, 102°18′E) located in an intact lowland mixed dipterocarp forest in the Pasoh Forest Reserve, Negeri Sembilan, Peninsular Malaysia, where tree stems ≥1.0 cm in diameter at breast height (hereafter, dbh) have been identified, tagged and their dbh measured to the nearest 0.1 cm, since 1986 (Condit et al., 1999; Davies, Noor, LaFrankie, & Ashton, 2003; Manokaran & LaFrankie, 1990). We used data from two censuses (~1990 and ~2000). For individual trees, the time interval varied from 10.02 to 11.25 years. Biomass estimates were determined using allometric equations (Niiyama et al., 2010) calibrated using destructive sampling at Pasoh in 2004–2005; these equations estimate tree height (m), leaf mass and stem plus branch mass, and coarse root mass (Mg oven dry mass) from dbh (cm). We estimated leaf mass and above-ground mass (i.e. leaf mass plus stem-branch mass) of every tree in two censuses using these in situ equations. These equations disregard interspecific differences though we note that the allometries of tree height versus dbh in the Pasoh plot are not significantly different among the most abundant 200 tree species, and across maximum species sizes (Iida et al., 2011). We examined the effect of interspecific variation in stem wood density using the Global Wood Density Database (Zanne et al., 2009). Large declines in measured dbh between censuses, where the change in log dbh between the two censuses was smaller than –0.1 (or more than c. 10% reduction), were considered as the death of one stem and recruitment of a new stem by regrowth (Kohyama et al., 2015).

To disentangle the effects of species-specific demographic properties and response to local site conditions from effects of forest-wide average tree-size dependence, we generated an ‘identity-free’ dataset, in which demography is independent of species identity and location (Figure 2). To generate the identity-free data, we first sorted the trees in the observed data in order of their dbh in the 1990 census (tied values were randomized), and swapped species identity and location between every neighbouring pair of odd- and even-number trees in the sorted data, leaving other tree measures (dbh in two censuses and time interval) unchanged. By using this procedure, each identity-free ‘species’ population possesses a tree-size distribution similar to that observed, but growth, survival and
dynamics of abundance $N$, we employed the same formulation as Equation 1:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = (r - m)N,$$

(2)

where $r$ and $m$ are instantaneous per-capita recruitment and mortality, respectively (Kohyama, Kohyama, & Shell, 2018). If the time interval between two censuses is identical at $T$ (year) across all trees, we obtain turnover estimates as: $p = \ln(B_T/B_0)/T$, $l = \ln(B_T/B_0)/T$, $r = \ln(N_T/N_S)/T$ and $m = \ln(N_T/N_S)/T$ (Kohyama et al., 2018, 2019). However, because census duration varies among trees in the Pasoh plot data, we employed the following implicit equations of turnover obtained by time integration of Equations 1 and 2 from $t = 0$ to $T$ for every tree $i$,

$$\sum_i W_i \exp(-pT_i) = \sum_i s_i W_0 i,$$

(3)

$$\sum_i W_0 i \exp(-mT_i) = \sum_i s_i W_0 i,$$

(4)

$$\sum_i (1 - d) \exp(-rT_i) = \sum_i s_i i,$$

(5)

$$\sum_i (s_i + d) \exp(-mT_i) = \sum_i s_i i,$$

(6)

We solved Equations 3–6 using the Newton–Raphson method (cf. Kohyama et al., 2018; Kubo, Kohyama, Potts, & Ashton, 2000). We note that these descriptive estimates of turnover are prone to estimation errors. Per-capita vital rate estimates ($r, m$) are influenced by the count of states, that is, survival, death and recruitment (Kohyama et al., 2018) and per-biomass rates ($p, l$) are influenced by those events as well as measurement errors of dbh. In the next section we introduce statistical models to quantify dbh-dependent growth and mortality of each species population by the combination of community-wide model parameters and species-specific deviation from those (as random effect). We compare ($p, l$) and these statistical estimates of growth and mortality at the largest population tree size.

We quantified period-mean population biomass $B$ (Mg/ha) and in tree density or abundance $N$ (ha$^{-1}$) for each species population as follows. We denoted the individual-tree above-ground oven-dry biomass (Mg) of a tree $i$ in the first and second census by $W_0 i$ and $W_1 i$, respectively. If a tree $i$ was recorded in the first census but then died before the second, we set $W_1 i = 0$; else if a tree $i$ recruited and was recorded only in the second census, $W_0 i = 0$. We denoted the state of survival $s_i$, and that of death $d_i$, such that $s_i = 1$ only if a tree $i$ was alive in both censuses (otherwise $s_i = 0$), and that $d_i = 1$ only if $i$ died during censuses. The third state, that a tree $i$ recruited, is thus $1 - s_i - d_i$. Above-ground biomass of a species population at the first and second census are $B_0 = \sum_i W_0 i/A$ and $B_1 = \sum_i W_1 i/A$ (Mg/ha) for all $i$s, respectively, and the first census biomass for trees that survived until second census is $B_0 s = \sum_i s_i W_0 i/A$, where $A$ (ha) is the horizontal land area of plot (or subplot). Tree density, or abundance of species in the two censuses are $N_0 = \sum_i (s_i + d_i)/A$ and $N_1 = \sum_i (1 - d_i)/A(\text{ha}^{-1})$, respectively, and that survived over two censuses is $N_S = \sum_i s_i i/A$.

We estimated the instantaneous production and loss of biomass $B$ of each species based on a ‘continuous-time’ model,

$$\frac{dB}{dt} = (p - l)B,$$

(1)

where $p$ (year$^{-1}$) is relative (= per-biomass) production, or relative productivity, by tree growth including recruits’ ingrowth, and $l$ (year$^{-1}$) is relative loss by tree mortality (see Kohyama et al., 2019). For the recruitment are independent of species identity and site (e.g. topography, soil, neighbours and shade).

We examined variation in turnovers for biomass and tree density among species populations in the entire 50-ha plot and in two hundred 50-by-50 m 0.25-ha subplots, for both observed and identity-free data. We selected species populations with 100 or more surviving trees ($\geq$1 cm dbh) in the 50-ha plot, and those $\geq$20 trees in each 0.25 ha subplot. We also generated an aggregated population consisting of all unselected species, but included it only when we estimated forest-level turnover.

We quantified turnover in biomass $B$ (Mg/ha) and in tree density or abundance $N$ (ha$^{-1}$) for each species population as follows. We denoted the individual-tree above-ground oven-dry biomass (Mg) of a tree $i$ in the first and second census by $W_0 i$ and $W_1 i$, respectively. If a tree $i$ was recorded in the first census but then died before the second, we set $W_1 i = 0$; else if a tree $i$ recruited and was recorded only in the second census, $W_0 i = 0$. We denoted the state of survival $s_i$, and that of death $d_i$, such that $s_i = 1$ only if a tree $i$ was alive in both censuses (otherwise $s_i = 0$), and that $d_i = 1$ only if $i$ died during censuses. The third state, that a tree $i$ recruited, is thus $1 - s_i - d_i$. Above-ground biomass of a species population at the first and second census are $B_0 = \sum_i W_0 i/A$ and $B_1 = \sum_i W_1 i/A$ (Mg/ha) for all $i$s, respectively, and the first census biomass for trees that survived until second census is $B_0 s = \sum_i s_i W_0 i/A$, where $A$ (ha) is the horizontal land area of plot (or subplot). Tree density, or abundance of species in the two censuses are $N_0 = \sum_i (s_i + d_i)/A$ and $N_1 = \sum_i (1 - d_i)/A(\text{ha}^{-1})$, respectively, and that survived over two censuses is $N_S = \sum_i s_i i/A$.

We estimated the instantaneous production and loss of biomass $B$ of each species based on a ‘continuous-time’ model,

$$\frac{dB}{dt} = (p - l)B,$$

(1)

where $p$ (year$^{-1}$) is relative (= per-biomass) production, or relative productivity, by tree growth including recruits’ ingrowth, and $l$ (year$^{-1}$) is relative loss by tree mortality (see Kohyama et al., 2019). For the
production by tree growth and recruitment, $P_{\text{plot}}$ and absolute loss by tree death $L_{\text{plot}}$ are:

$$P_{\text{plot}} = \sum_k pB_k, \text{ and } L_{\text{plot}} = \sum_k lB_k.$$  

(Kohyama et al., 2019).

Niiyama, Ripin, Yasuda, Sato, and Shari (2019) compiled the records of monthly rate of fine litter fall, that is, leaves, reproductive organs, twigs and bark, etc., in 100 traps of 50 m$^2$ in total, over 25 years in the Pasoh forest. We employed the records of the 8 years from June 1992 to May 2000, the period overlapped with the examined two tree censuses. By denoting the absolute rate of fine litter fall $F$ (Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$), and assuming no loss between production and fall of these fine parts, we obtained an estimate of above-ground net primary production NPP to be:

$$\text{NPP} = P_{\text{plot}} + F.$$  

2.2 Stem-size dependence of individual growth and mortality

We used the plot-wide Bayesian procedure (Kohyama et al., 2015) to estimate tree-size-dependent growth for each tree of species. We fitted a curve relating relative rate of biomass increase of a surviving tree $i$ of period-mean biomass $W = (W_t - W_0)/\text{ln}(W_f/W_0)$ (cf. Equations 6 and 7), $g(W) = \text{ln}(W_f/W_0)/T_i$ (year$^{-1}$), with respect to period-mean dbh $D$ (cm) using,

$$g(W) = aD^b\text{exp}(cD) = a[f(W)]^b\text{exp}[f(W)],$$  

where $D = f(W)$ is the reverse function of the set of allometric functions by Niiyama et al. (2010). We determined a nearly perfect approximation $f(W) = 22.4W^{0.326}\text{exp}\{0.283W^{0.202}\}$. We approximated among-tree variation in $g(W)$ at any given biomass by exponential distribution, and accounted for dbh measurement error. Similarly, we fitted a curve relating tree mortality of a tree $i$ at $W = W_{gr} \mu(W) = \text{ln}[(s_i + d)/s_i]/T_i$, using the formula as Equation 9, where the probability $d/s_i$ followed Bernoulli distribution. We set all ($a, b, c$)'s of $g(W)$ and $\mu(W)$ for each species to the plot-wide value and a species-specific parameter. Treatment of measurement error and model-parameter priors follows those in Kohyama et al. (2015).

2.3 Other plot data

We performed similar analyses using data from four other old-growth mixed forests. Serimbu plots (two plots, 1 ha each and combined in the analysis) are located in an intact lowland mixed dipterocarp forest (0°45’N, 110°06’E) in West Kalimantan (Kohyama et al., 2003). We used censuses from 1992 and 1995 (3 years). We also analysed data from Ulu Gadut old-growth foothill dipterocarp forest in West Sumatra (Pinang Pinang plot of 1 ha at 0°55’S, 100°30’E). Here we used censuses from 1984 to 2004 (Kohyama et al., 2019). We also analysed data from old growth warm-temperate rain forest using 1998 and 2008 plot census data from the Segire and Koyohji basin (30°20’N, 130°50’E; 0.89 ha in total area) in Yakushima Island, southern Japan (Kohyama, 1993). Data for intact cool-temperate mixed deciduous forest ($42°37’N, 141°36’E$) was drawn from the 4-ha plot located in Tomakomai, Hokkaido (Kohyama et al., 2019). We used censuses in 1996 and 2006 (10 years). In all plots, we selected species with ≥6 trees surviving over the period with ≥5 cm dbh (but ≥8 cm for Ulu Gadut). We used plot-specific tree height versus dbh allometry, and biomass equations of Niiyama et al. (2010) for the two Indonesian rain forests, Ishihara et al. (2015) for warm-temperate rain forests on Yakushima, and the site-specific ones for Tomakomai (Kohyama et al., 2019).

2.4 Statistical analysis

We fitted species-level relative productivity $p$ (and other turnover rates, $l, r$ and $m$) to population biomass $B$ (and $W_{\text{max}}$ and $N$), using an Equation 9 type model

$$p = aB^b\text{exp}(cB),$$  

which fits log-nonlinear $p$–$B$ relationship with parameters ($a, b, c$). We tested whether the reduced log–log linear, power function model, $p = aB^b$, was a better model than the full model of Equation 10. For subplot-nested model, we described local (= per-subplot) species-level relative productivity, $p$ (year$^{-1}$), as a function of local species biomass $B$ (Mg/ha) and species-sum subplot-level biomass $B_{\text{subplot}}$ (Mg/ha),

$$p = aB^b\text{exp}(cB)B_{\text{subplot}}^d.$$  

with four parameters ($a, b, c, d$) to be estimated. To relate population-level leaf mass $B_l$ (Mg/ha) to species above-ground biomass $B$ (cf. our Hypothesis 4), Equation 10 or simpler power function is not applicable because $B_l$ should be smaller than $B$. We therefore used the following allocation equation

$$B_l = a’c’B/(a’ + c’B^{b’}),$$  

where (a’, b’, c’) are parameters (a’ and c’ are positive). Leaf mass ratio $B_l/B$ is c’ for $B$ to be close to zero, and is approximately a power function of $B^{b’}$ for infinite $B$. We ran linear and nonlinear regressions on log-transformed Equations 10–12, based on the examination of residual distributions (Xiao, White, Hooten, & Durham, 2011), and applied model selection by AIC. We used R 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017) for all calculation and analysis, and JAGS 4.3.0 (Pullmer, 2017) for the MCMC simulation of estimating Equation 9 parameters, of which R code is in the Dryad repository for Kohyama et al. (2015): https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.bb460. The Pasoh datasets of 1990 and 2000 plot inventories for observed and identity-free community, and R code to obtain species-specific structural data ($B, B_l, W_{\text{max}}, N$) and turnover rates ($p, l, r, m$) from these datasets are provided in the GitHub/Zenodo repository for this paper: https://github.com/kohyamat/p-B (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3966750).
3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Interspecific variation in turnover

There were 390 species with 100 or more surviving individuals, $\Sigma_i s_i \geq 100$ (out of 808 recorded species in total). These 390 species comprised 94.7% of the total tree density ($N_{\text{plot}} = 6,155.6/\text{ha}$), and 90.3% of total biomass ($B_{\text{plot}} = 467.8 \text{ Mg/ha}$) in the Pasoh 50-ha plot. The three species with the highest biomass were all dipterocarps which could reach the canopy: *Neobalanocarpus heimii* (B = 21.9 Mg/ha), *Shorea leprosula* (21.7 Mg/ha) and *S. maxwelliana* (19.8 Mg/ha). The species with the least biomass were small-stature shrubs—*Tetradisina porosa* (0.000886 Mg/ha) and *Semecarpus curtisii* (0.00152 Mg/ha). Therefore, biomass per species spanned four orders of magnitude.

Plot-scale species biomass $B$ was relatively well predicted by powers of standardized maximum tree mass $W_{\text{max}}$ and tree density $N$ as $B = 0.0781W_{\text{max}}^{0.824}N^{0.912} (R^2 = 0.971, W_{\text{max}} \Delta R^2 = 0.902$ and $N \Delta R^2 = 0.202$).

Observed species turnover varied 10-fold or more among the 390 species. Relative production $p$ ranged from 0.0098 to 0.0638/year (95% range) and the median was 0.0262/year. Turnover in species biomass ($p$, $l$) and stem density ($r$, $m$) were all positively correlated; correlation coefficients ($R$'s) were ranging from 0.300 to 0.570 (Table S1). Thus, species with high-biomass turnover showed high stem turnover. In contrast, in the identity-free data (where we recorded 395 ‘species’ ≥100 survived trees), most of these correlations were markedly lower. Their correlation coefficients ranged from 0.069 to 0.375 (Table S1).

The relative production $p$ and species biomass $B$ appear inversely related among the 390 species. The power function model, $p = aB^b$, was selected by fitting Equation 10, that is, $c = 0$ (Figures 3a and 4a). The power exponent $b$ for $p$ on $B$ in observed community was $-0.128$ (with 95% confidence interval, henceforth ‘CI’, of $-0.150$ and $-0.105$, Figure 4a). The aggregated population of the rarer 418 species (accounting for 5.3% of plot total biomass) showed a relative productivity of $p = 0.0232/\text{year}$, which is located above the regression line for the other, more abundant, 390 species (Figure 3a). In the identity-free community, $p-B$ exponent was $-0.218$ with CI $[-0.239, −0.197]$, which was significantly more negative than that in the observed community (Figures 3b and 4a). For the observed data, relative biomass loss $l$ decreased with $B$ (Figure 3c), and the exponent $-0.106$ was not significantly different from the $p-B$ exponent at $-0.128$ (Figure 4a). Therefore, the net rate of biomass change, $p-l$ (year$^{-2}$), indicated by coloured dots in Figure 3, showed no clear dependence on species biomass (Figure 3a,c). The sum of rare species showed a higher biomass loss rate than did the highest biomass species.

FIGURE 3 Interspecific relationship of per species biomass turnover to biomass in the Pasoh data. (a) Relative production $p$ among observed 390 species; (b) relative production among 395 identity-free species; (c) relative loss $l$ among observed species; and (d) relative loss among identity-free species. Dot colours correspond to decreasing (blue) to increasing (orange) biomass in net change rate, $p-l$. Regression using a power function with 95% confidence interval is shown, and estimated exponent, or log–log slope, is stated in each panel. The black square indicates the aggregated data for the combined rare species.
species (Figure 3c). In contrast for the identity-free data, the $l-B$ exponent was not different from zero (Figure 3d) and thus was distinct from the $p-B$ exponent (Figure 4a). As a result, the $B$ values of the identity-free ‘species’ with smaller $B$ tended to increase ($p - l > 0$), while the values for those ‘species’ with larger $B$ were more likely to decline (Figure 3b,d).

Per-capita recruitment $r$ and mortality $m$ also decreased with species biomass $B$ in observed data (Figure 4a). The power exponent of $r$ on species biomass $B$ was estimated as $0.154 < 0.01$, while the values for those ‘species’ with larger $B$ were more likely to decline (Figure 3b,d).
3.2 | Linkage with population-level leaf mass ratio

Among species, population-level leaf mass ratio, \( LMR = B_I/B_l \), exhibited a negative correlation with above-ground biomass \( B \) in both the observed and identity-free community, which was approximated using Equation 12 (Figure 5a,b). Per-leaf mass productivity, \( p/LMR = P/B_l \text{ (year}^{-1}\text{)} \) increased with species biomass in observed and identity-free forests (Figure 5c,d) though the slope was significantly less for the latter.

3.3 | Linkage with individual tree growth and mortality

Tree relative growth rate, \( g(W) \), decreased with tree mass \( W \) (Figure 6a). Species with large standardized maximum mass \( W_{\text{max}} \) tended to grow faster than species with small \( W_{\text{max}} \) at the same reference sizes up to 36 cm dbh (at 5% significance level). By contrast, relative growth at maximum size, \( g(W_{\text{max}}) \), was significantly negatively correlated with \( W_{\text{max}} \) among species (Figure 6a), because \( g(W) \) sharply decreased around \( W_{\text{max}} \) for most species. Individual tree mortality \( \mu(W) \) was typically lower at intermediate tree sizes for most species (Figure 6b). Tree-size-dependent mortality \( \mu(W) \) was negatively correlated with species \( W_{\text{max}} \) over reference tree sizes up to 64 cm dbh, and so was \( \mu(W_{\text{max}}) \) with \( W_{\text{max}} \) (Figure 6b, significant at 5%). Population-level relative productivity \( p \) was closely positively correlated to individual tree relative growth at \( W_{\text{max}} \), \( g(W_{\text{max}}) \) (Figure 6c, \( R^2 = 0.813 \) in log-log linear regression). Similarly, the population-level relative loss \( l \) was positively correlated with tree mortality at \( W_{\text{max}} \), \( \mu(W_{\text{max}}) \) (Figure 6d, \( R^2 = 0.592 \)).

3.4 | Productivity dependence on subplot-by-species structure

To evaluate spatial variation in the interspecific productivity–biomass relationship, we calculated the biomass and relative production of local species populations in two hundred 0.25-ha subplots. Selected

---

**FIGURE 6** Interspecific variation in tree-level relative growth and mortality among observed 390 species in the Pasoh plot data. (a) Relative growth \( g(W) \) versus tree mass \( W \); and (b) tree mortality \( \mu(W) \) versus tree mass, fitted by Equation 9. Among-species regression of \( g(W_{\text{max}}) \) and \( \mu(W_{\text{max}}) \) on \( W_{\text{max}} \) (open circles) are indicated by coloured lines with 95% confidence interval. (c) Plot of species-level relative production \( p \) versus maximum tree mass relative growth, \( g(W_{\text{max}}) \); (d) Plot of species-level relative biomass loss \( l \) versus maximum tree size mortality, \( \mu(W_{\text{max}}) \). Coloured lines in (c) and (d) show power function fits (with 95% confidence interval, see inset for exponent values).
Among local species, observed

\[ \begin{align*} \text{Relative production rate } & \sim \frac{B_{\text{subplot}} \geq 95\%}{5\% \leq B_{\text{subplot}} < 95\%} \\ & \sim B_{\text{subplot}} \leq 5\% \end{align*} \]

Local species biomass \( B \) (Mg/ha) vs. Relative production rate \( p \) (year\(^{-1}\)).

\( p \) at 97.5\% \( B_{\text{subplot}} \), \( p \) at 2.5\% \( B_{\text{subplot}} \).

For low \( B_{\text{subplot}} \), there is a positive relationship between \( p \) and \( B \), whereas for high \( B_{\text{subplot}} \), there is a negative relationship.

\( B_{\text{subplot}} \geq 95\% \) ~ \( p \) independent of \( B_{\text{subplot}} \) effect.

\( B_{\text{subplot}} \leq 5\% \) ~ local species populations at this quarter hectare scale showed a broader scatter when \( p \) was plotted against local species biomass \( B \) (Figure 7a) than for the full 50 ha (Figure 3a). Among these populations, \( p \) on local species biomass \( B \) exhibited an upward convex curve on a log–log scale, and observed \( p \) on \( B \) relationships for these subplots with stand-level biomass \( B_{\text{subplot}} \) supported the full model of Equation 11 with model parameters \( b, c \) and \( d \) all being negative (Figure 7a).

In contrast, identity-free local ‘species’ populations showed less variation in \( p \) on \( B \) relationship and supported a reduced model of Equation 11 without \( B_{\text{subplot}} \) dependence, that is, parameter \( d = 0 \) (Figure 7b).

### 3.5 | Plot-level net primary productivity

Estimated species-sum absolute productivity for the Pasoh plot \( P_{\text{plot}} \) was 10.0 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), with a period-mean plot biomass \( B_{\text{plot}} \) of 468 Mg/ha. The rate of fine litter fall \( F \) was 6.49 ± 1.35 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) (of which leaf litter was 69%). The estimated plot-scale net primary production (NPP) was 16.5 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\), of which fine litter comprised 39%. Table S2 summarizes the different values estimated using conventional approaches that ignore interspecific variation.

### 3.6 | Interspecific productivity variation in other forests

Analysis of repeated census data from four other old-growth forests showed that relative productivity \( p \) declined with species biomass \( B \) (Figure S2). Despite the difference in plot biomass and productivity among forests, the distribution of species on the \( (p, B) \) coordinates did not show clear separation between those forests.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

We examined how constituent tree species populations with varied structural properties and demographic characteristics contribute to stand-level properties of net primary productivity in species-rich forests. We found that for the 390 most abundant species in the Pasoh 50-ha plot, species with larger population-level biomass also tended to be those with larger maximum individual tree biomass (cf. Hypothesis 1). Species-level relative production \( p \) was lower when species above-ground biomass \( B \) was higher and vice versa (cf. Hypothesis 2, Figure 3a). We also found that the sum of rarer species (<100 stems per plot) showed higher productivity compared to high-biomass species (Figure 3a). The proportion of leaf mass in above-ground biomass was lower among populations with higher species overall biomass (cf. Hypothesis 3, Figure 5a). A negative \( p-B \) relationship reflects that larger stemmed species typically possess lower relative growth at their largest stem sizes than smaller stemmed species (cf. Hypothesis 4; Figure 6a). Comparable relationships were observed in four other old-growth forests suggesting similar processes.

The variation in per-species turnover in biomass (relative productivity and loss) and abundance (per-capita recruitment and mortality) was positively correlated in the Pasoh Forest. Species turnover in biomass and abundance were negatively related to species biomass \( B \) and maximum tree mass \( W_{\text{max}} \) (Figure 4a,b), suggesting that...
interspecific variation in B is maintained over time. In contrast, in
the identity-free community, the relationship between \( p-B \) was
negative while \( l-B \) was neutral (Figures 3b,d and 4a), thus ‘species’
biomass changes with time. Our results appear consistent with pat-
tterns reported in other old-growth tropical forests. For example,
Nascimento et al. (2005) noted that abundance turnover rates (re-
cruitment and mortality) were negatively correlated with mean tree
size among 95 Amazonian tree species.

In some respects, the patterns we found among co-occurring
tree populations in species-rich forests resemble those seen among
dominant life forms across various biomes, where populations of
organisms that reach larger dimensions have slower turnover than
those with smaller body size (Brown, Gillooly, Allen, Savage, &
West, 2004; Niklas & Enquist, 2001; Price, Gillooly, Allen, Weitz, &
Niklas, 2010). Within-community trade-off between turnover and
adult stature would partly reflect general life-history constraints
shown by these studies. In addition, within-community interspecific
productivity partitioning is also regulated by interactions among
individual-stems in the shared habitat. For example, we found
small-stature species with low B show lower productivity per unit
leaf mass than large-stature species (Figure 5c). This is presumably
because small species typically have less access to light on average.
Our examination of the variation in production among subplot-scale
species populations suggests that species productivity \( p \) in a local
subplot is negatively correlated to both local species-level biomass
and species-sum subplot-level biomass (Figure 7a), but not in identity-
free forest where variation in demography is (we presume) inde-
pendent of local stand conditions (Figure 7b). Higher \( p \) at the same
species biomass in less-crowded local stands indicates that the pro-
ductivity of species populations is regulated by local-scale available
resources, such as light.

Our study clarifies why large species tend to show low rel-
ative productivity regardless of the well-established fact that
trees of larger stature species tend to grow faster than those of
smaller stature species over a range of reference stem diameters
(Iida et al., 2014; King, Davies, et al., 2006; King, Wright, et al.,
2006; Kohyama et al., 2003, 2015; Lieberman et al., 1985; Poorter
et al., 2008; Rüger et al., 2018). The higher growth observed for
larger stature species likely reflects that their juveniles tend to
appear in less shaded conditions than stems of smaller stature
species (Sheil, Salim, Chave, Vanclay, & Hawthorne, 2006), and
that shorter species favour higher reproductive allocation rather
than vegetative growth at the same stem sizes (King, Wright, et al.,
2006; Kohyama et al., 2003). By comparing species at \( W_{\text{max}} \),
we obtained contrasting patterns of interspecific variation. Because
of the generally marked reduction of relative growth observed
at large stem sizes, larger sized species also experienced lower
\( g(W_{\text{max}}) \) than smaller sized species (Figure 6a). In contrast, stem
mortality of larger sized species was low at the same stem sizes,
and their \( \mu(W_{\text{max}}) \) compared to smaller sized species was gener-
ally lower, even though mortality tended to increase when species
approached their largest stem sizes (Figure 6b). Fast growth and
generally low mortality (at most sizes) for juveniles of large-stature
species result in a low mortality-to-growth ratio, which allows a
species population to reach larger maximum sizes (Kohyama et al.,
2015). However, close to their maximum size, larger sized
species show slower relative growth and survive longer than
smaller sized species, resulting in similar negative power expon-
ents between \( p-B \) and \( l-B \) relationship in the observed (but not in
the identity-free) community (Figures 3 and 6).

The variation in demographic characteristics among coexisting
tree species has been related to horizontal and vertical aspects of
forest structure. Variation in understorey light enhances inter-
specific differentiation in juvenile growth, survival and shade-
tolerance sometimes called ‘the growth-survival trade-off’ (Rees,
Condit, Crawley, Pacala, & Tilman, 2001; Wright et al., 2010; Zhu
et al., 2018). In contrast, in terms of the vertical structure of the for-
est canopy, we observe interspecific differentiation between short
stature species exhibiting high reproductive allocation for frequent
recruitment and tall stature species showing high allocation to ve-
egetative growth for height gain (and delayed reproduction), which is
called ‘the recruitment versus stature trade-off’ (Falster et al., 2017;
Kohyama, 1993; Kohyama & Takada, 2009; Rüger et al., 2018). Our
results indicate that this trade-off between turnover and adult stature
underlies the relationship between relative productivity and
standing biomass. To generalize, we see that large-stature, high-
biomass species show slower turnover—that is lower recruitment,
relative growth and mortality—than small-stature species. We note
that the greater range of species sizes that can occur in taller forests,
and the associated diversity of size-dependent species behaviours
this permits, explains why taller forests tend to be both more pro-
ductive, and richer in species, than otherwise comparable forests
of smaller stature (Duivenvoorden, 1996; Huston, 1994; Sheil &
Bongers, 2020).

Improved methods that avoid major biases offer new insights
that were not previously available. For example, we can now rec-
ognize the contribution that small-stature tree species make to
both diversity and stand dynamics. High tree species diversity
in tropical forests is known to be largely related to the diversity
and persistence of small-sized species (King, Wright, et al., 2006;
Niklas, Midgley, & Rand, 2003). We observed that the abundance
of small-sized species with high relative productivity collectively
contributes to high tropical forest net primary productivity—a con-
tribution which was previously unnoticed due to the biases in pre-
vious ‘conventional’ production estimates (Kohyama et al., 2019).

Viewed in their totality, our results show how demographic dif-
fferences among species contribute to general properties of eco-
system functioning and biodiversity maintenance. Small-stature,
low-biomass species contribute to high forest net primary produc-
tivity and enhance ecosystem resilience through rapid biomass turn-
over and replacement via high per-capita recruitment and relative
productivity. In contrast, large-stature species contribute to the
persistence of large biomass storage by their longevity (low mortal-
ity). The mass ratio hypothesis posits that species-level biomass in
a plant community is proportional to species’ absolute (not relative)
rates of primary production and nutrient supply to soil heterotroph,
and thus high-biomass species disproportionately control ecosystem functioning (Grime, 1998). Studies that consider the role of functional diversity have suggested that ecosystem properties predominantly reflect the characteristics of higher biomass species (Finegan et al., 2015; Fotis et al., 2018; Prado-Junior et al., 2016). In contrast, our study implies that the contribution of biodiversity to ecosystem functioning is more complex and multidimensional with different populations making distinct and disproportionate contributions to different ecosystem properties. For example, ecosystem resilience and biomass recovery depend disproportionately on species with rapid turnover while standing biomass and total carbon sequestration depend disproportionately on species with slow turnover.
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Table S1. Interspecific correlation coefficient matrix between structure-turnover variables in observed (upper triangular, open cells) and identity-free populations (lower triangular, shaded cells) for log-log linear regression in the Pasoh plot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ln B</th>
<th>0.877</th>
<th>0.264</th>
<th>−0.492</th>
<th>−0.229</th>
<th>−0.277</th>
<th>−0.242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln B</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>−0.201</td>
<td>−0.533</td>
<td>−0.324</td>
<td>−0.358</td>
<td>−0.304</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln W_{max}</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>−0.206</td>
<td>ln N</td>
<td>(0.044)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln p</td>
<td>−0.719</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln I</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>−0.020</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>(0.093)</td>
<td>ln r</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln r</td>
<td>−0.256</td>
<td>−0.335</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>(0.069)</td>
<td>ln m</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln m</td>
<td>−0.344</td>
<td>−0.448</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation coefficients in parentheses are not significant at 5% level.
**Table S2.** Estimates of net primary production rate by tree growth, $P_{\text{plot}}$, in the Pasoh plot during 1990 and 2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>$P_{\text{plot}}$ (Mg ha^{-1} year^{-1})</th>
<th>%-bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Instantaneous estimates</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Subplot-by-species structured</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Species structured</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Homogeneity approximation</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>-4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Simple estimates</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ingrowth included</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>-11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Threshold mass removed</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>-12.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Kohyama, Kohyama and Sheil (2019) for the detail of production rate estimates.*
Figure S1. The influence of pioneer species, and the effect of interspecific variation in wood density on the relationship between relative production and biomass among species in the Pasoh plot. (a) Plot of pioneers (orange) versus non-pioneers (blue) among 390 observed species, the two groups were significantly different by AIC model selection. (b) Biomass estimate $B$ with plot-wide common allometry (gray) compared with species-specific biomass estimate $B_{WD}$, weighted by species-specific wood density $\rho$ (g cm$^{-3}$) for 210 species that appear both in our 390 species and in Global wood density database (Zanne et al., 2009). Species-specific biomass is $B_{WD} = B_L + \rho(B - B_L)/[\text{mean } \rho$ for 210 spp.]. Power-function model parameters were not significantly different between $p-B$ and $p-B_{WD}$ model.
Figure S2. Interspecific relationship of relative productivity on biomass for plots in four old-growth mixed forests. (a) and (b) are tropical rain forests, (c) for warm-temperate evergreen rain forest, and (d) for cool-temperate mixed deciduous broadleaved forest with evergreen conifer *Picea jezoensis*. Blue circles indicate abundant species ($\geq 6$ survivors), and blue curves with 95% confidence interval show power function fit. Species with the largest biomass were (a) *Dryobalanops beccarii*, (b) *Swintonia schwenkii*, (c) *Distylium racemosum*, and (d) *Acer mono*. Gray dots and lines show the patterns for Pasoh species ($\geq 5$ cm dbh; $\geq 100$ trees). Plot-level biomass $B_{\text{plot}}$ and absolute production by tree growth $P_{\text{plot}}$ are shown in each panel; those in the Pasoh plot were $B_{\text{plot}} = 462$ Mg ha$^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{plot}} = 9.88$ Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$. 

- (a) Serimbu TRF, West Kalimantan 
  $B_{\text{plot}} = 851$ Mg ha$^{-1}$, exponent $= -0.237$  
  $P_{\text{plot}} = 8.46$ Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ 

- (b) Ulu Gadut TRF, West Sumatra 
  $B_{\text{plot}} = 546$ Mg ha$^{-1}$, exponent $= -0.293$  
  $P_{\text{plot}} = 13.5$ Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ 

- (c) Yakushima WRF, southern Japan 
  $B_{\text{plot}} = 300$ Mg ha$^{-1}$, exponent $= -0.283$  
  $P_{\text{plot}} = 3.58$ Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ 

- (d) Tomakomai CDF, northern Japan 
  $B_{\text{plot}} = 157$ Mg ha$^{-1}$, exponent $= -0.118$  
  $P_{\text{plot}} = 2.24$ Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$