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# STUDIES in central american picture-Writing. 

By EdWARDS. Holden.

I.

Since 1876 I have been familiar with the works of Mr. Joirn L. StepHENS on the antiquities of Yucatan, and from time to time I have read works on kindred subjects with ever inereasing interest and curiosity in regard to the meaning of the hieroglyphie inseriptions on the stones and tablets of Copan, Palenque, and other ruins of Central Ameriea. In August, 1880, I determined to see how far the prineiples whieh are suecessful when applied to ordinary cipher-wtiting would earry one in the inseriptions of Yueatan. The difference between an ordinary eiphermessage and these inseriptions is not so marked as might at first sight appear. The underlying principles of deeiphering are quite the same in the two eases.

The ehief diffieulty in the Yueatee inseriptions is our laek of any definite knowledge of the nature of the records of the aborigines. The patient researehes of our arehæologists have reeovered but very little of their manners and habits, and one has eonstantly to avoid the tempting suggestions of an imagination whieh has been formed by modern influenees, and to endeavor to keep free from every snggestion not inherent in the stones themselves. I say the stones, for I have only used the Maya manuseripts ineidentally. They do not possess, to me, the same interest, and I think it may eertainly be said that all of them are younger than the Palenque tablets, and far younger than the inseriptions at Copan.

I therefore determined to apply the ordinary prineiples of deeiphering, without any bias, to the Yucatee inscriptions, and to go as far as I could certainly. Arrived at the point where demonstration ceased, it would be my duty to stop. For, while even the eonjectures of a mind perfeetly trained in arehæologie researeh are valuable and may subsequently prove to be quite right, my laek of familiarity with historieal works forced me to keep within narrow and safe limits.

My programme at beginning was, first, to seo if the inseriptions at Copan and Palenque were writton in the same tongue. When I say "to see," I mean to definitely prove the faet, and so in other eases; second, to see how the tablets were to be read. That is, in horizontal lines, are
they to be read from right to left, or the reverse? In vertieal columns, are they to be read up or down? Third, to see whether they were phonetic eharacters, or merely ideographic, or a mixture of the two -rebus-like, in fact.

If the characters turned out to be purely phonetic, I had determined to stop at this point, since I had not the time to learn the Maya language, and again because I utterly and totally distrusted the methods which, up to this time, have been applied by Brasseur de Bourbourg and others who start, and must start, from the misleading and unlueky aiphabet handed down by Landa. I believe that legacy to have been a positive misfortune, and I believe any proeess of the kind attempted by Brasseur de Bourbourg (for example, in his essay on the MS. Troano) to be extremely dangerous and difficult in application, and to require a degree of scientific caution almost unique.

Dr. Harrison Allen, in his paper, "The Life Form in Art," in the Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, is the only investigator who has applied this method to Central American remains with success, so it seems to me ; and even here errors have oceurred.

The process I allude to is something like the following: A set of eharacters, say the alplabet of LaNDA, is takell as a starting point. The cariants of these are formed. Then the basis of the investigation is ready. From this, the interpretation follows by identifieations of eaelı new charaeter with one of the standard set or with one of its variants. Theoretically, there is no objection to this procedure. Practieally, also, there is no objection if the work is done strietly in the order named. In fact, however, the list of variants is filled out not before the work is begm, but during its progress, and in such a way as to satisfy the necessities of the interpreter in carrying out some preconceived idea. With a suffieient latitude in the choice of variauts any MS. can reeeive any interpretation. For example, the MS. Troano, which a casual examination leads me to think is a ritual, and an account of the adrentures of several Maya gods, is interpreted by Brasseur de Bourbourg as a record of mighty geologic ehanges. It is next to impossible to avoid errors of this nature at least, and in fact they have not been avoided, so far as I know, except by Dr. Allen in the paper cited.
I, personally, have chosen the stones and not the manuseripts for study largely because variants do not exist in the same liberal degree in the stone inscriptions as they have been supposed to exist in the manuscripts.

At any one ruin the charaeters for the same idea are alike, and alike to a marvelous degree. At another ruin the type is just a little different, but the fidelity to this type is equally great. Synonyms exist; thatis, the same idea may be given by two or more utterly different signs. But a given sign is made in a fixed and definite way. Finally the MSS. are, I think, later than the stones. Henee the root of the matter is the interpretation of the stones, or not so mueh their full interprctation as the discovery of a method of interpretation, which shall be sure.

Suppose, for example, that we know the meaning of a dozen eharacters only, and the way a half dozen of these are joined together in a sentenee. The method by which these were obtained will serve to add others to the list, and progress depends in such a case only on our kuowledge of the people who wrote, and of the snbjects upon whiel they were writing. Such knowledge and erudition belongs to the archæologists by profession. A step that might take me a year to accomplish might be made in an instant by one to whom the Maya and Aztec mythology was familiar, if he were proceeding according to a sound method. At the present time we know nothing of the meaning of any of the Maya hieroglyphs.

It will, therefore, be my object to go as far in the subject as I can proceed with certainty, cvery step being demonstrated so that not only the arehrologist but any intelligent person can follow. As soon as the border-land is reached in which proof disappears and opinion is the only guide, the seareh must be abandoned except by those whose eultivated and scientific opinions are based on knowledge far more profound and various than I ean pretend or hope to have.

If I do not here push moy own conelusions to their farthest limit, it must not be assumed that I do not see, at least in some eases, the direction in which they lead. Rather, let this reticence be ascribed to a desire to lay the fonudations of a new structure firmly, to prescribe the method of building whieh my experience has shown to be adequate and necessary, and to leare to those abler than myself the erection of the superstructure. If my methods and conclusions are correet (and I have no donbts on this point, since each one has been reached in various ways and tested by a multiplicity of criteria) there is a great future to these researches. It is not to be forgotten that here we have no Rosetta stone to act at once as key and eriterion, and that instead of the accurate deseriptions of the Egyptian hieroglyphies which were handed down by the Greek cotemporaries of the sculptors of these inseriptions, we have ouly the erude and brutal chronieles of an ignorant Spanish soldiery, or the bigoted accounts of an unenlightened priesthood. To Cortez and his companions a memorandum that it took one humdred men all day to throw the idols into the sea was all-sufficient. To the Spanish priests the burning of all manuseripts was praiseworthy, since those differing from Holy Writ were noxions and those agreeing with it superfluous. It is only to the patient labor of the Maya sculptor who daily carved the symbols of his belief and creed upou enduring stone, and to the luxuriant growths of semi-tropical forests which concealed even these from the passing Spanish adventurer, that we owe the preservation of the memorials of past beliefs and vanished histories.
Not the least of the pleasures of such researches as these comes from the recollection that they sindicate the patience and skill of forgotten men, and make their efforts not quite useless. It was no rude savage that carved the Palenque cross ; and if we can discover what his efforts 14 A E
meant, his labor and his learning have not been all in vain. It will bo one more proof that human effort, eren misdirected, is not lost, but that it comes, later or earlier, " to forward the general deed of man."

## II.

## MATERIALS FOR THE PRESENT INVESTIGATION.

My examination of the worlis of Mr. J. L. Stephens has convinced me that in every respect his is the most trustworthy work on the hieroglyphs of Central America. The intrinsic evidence to this effect is very strong, but when I first became familiar with the works of WaLDECK I found so many points of difference that my faith was for a time shaken, and I came to the conclusion that while the existing representations might suffice for the study of the general forms of statues, tablets, and buildings, ret they were not sufficiently accurate in detail to serve as a basis for the deciphering I had in mind. I am happy to bear witness, however, that STEPHENs's work is undoubtedly amply adequate to the purpose, and this fact I have laborionsly verified by a comparison of it with various representations, as those of DEsAIX and others, and also with a few photographs. The drawings of WALDESK are very beautiful. and artistic, but either the artist himself or his lithoglaphers have taken singular liberties in the published designs. STEPHENs's work is not only accurate, but it contains sufficient material for my purpose orer 1,500 scparate hieroglyphs), and, therefore, I have based my study exclusively upon his earliest work, "Ineidents of Travel in Central Ameriea, Chiupas, and Tucatan," 2 vols., Svo. New York, 1842 (twelfth edition). I have incidentally consulted the works on the sulbject contained in the Library of Congress, particularly those of Brasseur de Bourbourg, Kingsborough, Waldeck, and others, but, as I have said, the two rolnumes above named contain all the the material I have been able to utilize, and much more which is still under examination.

One fact which makes the examination of the Central American antiquities easier than it otherwise would be, has not, I think, been snfficiently dwelt upon by former writers. This is the remarkable faithfulness of the artists and scuptors of these statues and inscriptions to a standard. Thus, at Copan, wherever the same kind of hieroglyph is to be represented, it will be found that the liman face or other objcet employed is almost identically the same in expression and character, wherever it is found. The same characters at different parts of a tablet do not differ more than the same letters of the alphabet in two fonts of type.

At Palenque the type (font) changes, but the adherence to this is equally or almost equally rigid. It is to be presumed that in this latter
ease, where work was done both in stone and stuceo, the nature of the matcrial affected the portraiture more or less.

The stone statues at Copan, for example, could not all have been done by the same artist, nor at the same time. I hare elsemhere shown that two of these statues are absolutely identical. How was this accomplished? Was one stone taken to the foot of the other aud eut by it as a pattern? This is unlikely, especialiy as in the ease mentioned the scale of the two statues is quite different. I think it far more likely that each was cut from a drawing, or series of drawings, which must have been preserved bs priestly authority. The work at any one place must have required many years, and could not hare been done by a single man; nor is it probable that it was all doue in one generation. Separate hieroglyphs must have been preserved in the same way. It is this rigid adherence to a type, and the banishment of artistie faney, whielı will allow of progress in the deciphering of the inseriptions or the comparisou of the statues. Line after line, ormament after ornament, is repeated with utter fidelity. The reason of this is not far to seek. This, however, is not the place to explain it, but rather to take adrantage of the fact itself. We may fairly say that were it not so, and with our present data, all adrances would be tenfold more diffienlt.

## III.

## SYSTEM OF NOMENCTATURE.

It is impossible withont a special and expensive font of type to refer pictorially to each claracter, and therefore some system of nomenclature must be adopted. The one [ employ I eould now slightly impore, but it has been used and results have been obtained by it. It is sufficient for the purpose, and I will, therefure, retain it rather than to run the risk of errors by changing it to a more perfect system. I have numbered the plates in Stephens's Central America according to the following scheme:

ENGRAVLNGS OF VOLUME I.
Page.
Stone Statue, front view, I hare called Plate I ....................................... Frontispiece.

Plan of Copan, Platu IIf . ... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $13: 3$




Stone Idol, Plate V.... ............. ..................................................................... . . . . . 140
Tablet of Mieroglyphics, Plate $\mathrm{V}^{\text {a }}$.................................................................... . . . 141
No. 1, Sides of Altar, Platu V I ....... ....... .... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14 .

Gigutic Head, Plate VHI ....... ........................................................................ . . . . . 143
No. 1, Stono Idd, front view, Plate IX ..... 149No. 2, Stone Idol, back view, Plate X
I50Idol half buried, Plato XI
I5INo. 1, Idol, Plato XII
I52No. 2, Idol, Plate XIII
I52No. 1, Idol, Plate XIV
153
No. 2, Idol, Plate XV. ..... I53
Idol and Altar, Plato XVI ..... I54
Fallen Idol, Plato XVII ..... I55
No. 1, Idel, frout viem, Plate XVIII ..... I56
No. 2, Idol, back view, Plate XIX ..... 156
No. 3, Idol, side view, Plate XX ..... I56
Fallen Idol, Plato $\mathrm{XX}^{8}$ ..... I57
Circular Altar, Plato XX ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ..... 157
No. I, Stone Idol, front view, Plate XXI ..... 158
No. 2, Stone Idol, back view, Plate XXII ..... 158
No. 3, Stone Idol, side viow, Plate XXIII ..... 158
Great Square of Antigua Guatimala, Plate XXIII ${ }^{\text {B }}$ ..... 266
Profile of Nicaragua Canal, Plate XXIII ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ..... 41:
ENGRAVINGG OF VULUME II.
Stone Tiblet, Plato XXIV Frontispicce. ..... riece.
Idol at Quirigua, Plate XXV ..... I21
Idol at Quirigua, Plate XXVI ..... I22
Santa Cruz del Quiché, Plate XXVII ..... I7I
Plaee of Sacrifice, Plate XXVIII ..... IS 4
Figures found at Santa Cruz del Quiché, Plato XXIX ..... I85
Plaza of Quezaltenango, Plato XXX ..... 204
Vases found at Gucguetenango, Plate XXXI ..... 231
Ocosingo, Plate XXXII ..... 259
Palace at Palcnque, Plate XXXIII ..... 309
Plan of Palaco, Plate XXXIV ..... 310
Stucco Figmro on Picr, Plate XXXV ..... 31I
Front Corridor of Palace, Plate XXXVI ..... 3I3
No. 1, Court-yard of Palace, Plate XXXVIII ..... 314
No. 2, Colossal Bas-relicfs in Stone, Plato XXXIX ..... 314
East side of Court-yard, Plate XXXVII ..... 314
No. I, Bas-relief in Stncco, Plate XL. ..... 316
No. 2, Bas-relief in Stucco, Plate XLI ..... 316
No. 3, Bas-relief in Stucco, Plate XLII ..... 316
Oral Bas-relief in Stone, Plate XLIII ..... 318
Bas-relief in Stucco, Plate XLIV ..... 319
General Plan of Palenquo, Plate XLV ..... 337
Casa No. 1 in Ruius, Plato XLVI ..... 338
Casa No. 1 restored, Plato XLVII ..... 339
No. 1, Bas-relief in Stucco, Plate XLVIII ..... 340
No. : 2 , Bas-relicf iu Stucen, Plate XLIX ..... 340
No. 3, Bas-relief in Stucco, Plate L ..... 340
No. 4, Bas-relief in Stucco, Plato LI ..... 340
No. I, 'l'ablet of Hieroglyphics, Plate LII ..... $34:$
No. : , Tablot of Hierorlyphics, Plate LiII ..... 342
Tablet on inder Wall, Plate LIV ..... 343
Casa di Piedras, No. 2, Plate LV ..... 3.4
Tablet ou back Wall of Altar, Casa No. 2, Plate LVI ..... 345
Stone Statue, Plate LVII ..... 349
Casa No. 3, Plate LVIII ..... 350
Front Corridor, Plate LIX ..... 351
No. 1, Bas-reliefs in Front of Altar, Plato LX. ..... 35.3
No. 2, Bas-reliefs in Front of Altar, Plato LXI ..... 353
Adoratorio or Altar, Plate LXII ..... 354
Casa No. 4, Plate LXIII ..... 355
House of the Dwarf, Plate LXIV ..... 420
Casa del Gobernador, Plate LXV ..... 428
Sculptured Front of Casa del Gobernador, Plato LXVI ..... 44:3
Egyptian Mieroglyphics, Plate LXVIII ..... 441
Top of Altar at Copan, Plato LXVIII $=\mathrm{V}^{\text {a }}$ ..... 454
Mexican Hieroglyphical Writing, Plate LXIX ..... 454

In each plate I have numbered the hieroglyphs, giving each one its own number. Thus the hieroglyphs of the Copan altar (rol. i, p. 141) which I have called plate $V^{3}$, are numbered from 1 to 36 according to this seheme-

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |

And the right hand side of the Palenque Cross tablet, as given by Rau in his memoir published by the Smithsonian Institution (1880), has the numbers-

| 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 |
| 2040 | 2011 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 |
| 2050 | 2051 | 2052 | 2053 | 2054 | 2055 |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 3080 | 3081 | 3082 | 3083 | 3084 | 3085 |

These are consecutive with the numbers which I have attached to the left-hand side, as given by Stephens. Whenever I have stated any results here, I have also given the means by which any one can number a copy of Stephens's work in the way which I have adopted, and thus the means of testing my conclusions is in the hands of every one who desires to do so.

In eases where only a part of a hieroglyphie is referred to, I have placed its number in a parenthesis, as 1826 see ( 122 ), by whiel I mean that the character 1826 is to be eompared with a part of the charaeter 122. The adrantages of this system are many: for example; a memorandum can easily be taken that two hieroglyphs are alike, thus $2072=2020$ and $2073=2021$. Henee the pair $2020-2021$, read horizoutally, oceurs again at the point 2072-2073, etc. Horizontal pairs will be known by their numbers being consecutive, as $2020-2021$; vertical pairs will usually be known by their numbers differing by 10 . Thus, $2075-2085$ are one above the other.

This method of uaming the chiffres, then, is a quick aud safe oue, and we shall see that it lends itself to the uses required of it.

I add here the scheme according to which the principal plates at Palenque have been numbered.

PLATE XXIV (left-hand side).

| $\int S^{35} 1800$ | $\operatorname{See}^{37} 1800$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \text { See } 1806 \end{gathered}$ | 39 | 94 | 96 | 98100 | 102 | 104 | 106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 95 | 97 | $99=127101$ | 103 | 105 | 107 |
| $43=1810$ | $43^{a}=46^{*}$ | 44 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & \text { See } 91 \end{aligned}$ |
| $46=1810$ | $46^{2}=43^{3}$ | 47 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  | 50 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | $520=1520\}$ | 53 | 54 |  | In the middle of the plate at the top. |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | $56=1540 ?$ | ${ }^{5 \pi}$ | 58 |  |  | 109 | 11.5 |  |  |
| 59 | 60 | 61 | $62=589$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ \text { See } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | 116 |  |  |
| 63 | 64 | 65 ! | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { See } 2025 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 111 | 117 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { See } 1911 \end{gathered}$ | 68 | 69 | ${ }^{70}$ |  |  | 112 | 115 |  |  |
| $\text { See } 2020$ | $72=251$ | 33 | 74 |  |  | 113 | 118 |  |  |
| 75 | $76=67$ | 7 | 78 |  |  | 114 | 120 |  |  |
| 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | 84 | 85 | $86=569$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86* | s6* | 87 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PLATE XXIV (riglt-hand side).

| ${ }^{121} \text { See } 74,86^{*}$ | $122=862$ | $123=8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124=55 \\ & \text { Sce } 61,1822 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | $\begin{gathered} 126! \\ S e e ~ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127=90 \\ \text { See } 1940 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { See } \begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{X} \\ & (44), 64 \end{aligned}$ |
| 129 | 130 | $131=147$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ \text { See } 50,58,62 \end{gathered}$ |
| 133 | 134 | 135 | $136=471$ |
| 137 | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ \text { See } 39,91 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{139}{\text { See } 1811}$ | 110 |
| 141 | $\begin{gathered} 142 \S \\ \text { See } 54 \end{gathered}$ | 143 | $\begin{gathered} 111 \\ \text { Soe } 50,58,62,132 \end{gathered}$ |
| 145 | 146 | ${ }_{S e e} 147=131$ | 115 |
| 149 | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ \text { See } 56,1882 \end{gathered}$ | 151 | 152 |
| 153 | $\stackrel{151}{S e e 53}$ | $\stackrel{155}{\text { See } 50,58,132}$ | 156 |
| 157* | ${ }_{\text {See } 68}^{158}$ | ${ }_{S e e}^{159}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1160 \\ \text { See } 466^{a}, 49^{\mathrm{a}}, 52^{\mathrm{a}}, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 161=50 \\ \text { See } 58,62,132 \end{array}$ | $\frac{162}{\text { See } 56,73,1882}$ | $+163=1986$ | $\begin{gathered} 1111 \\ \text { See } \overline{5}, 62 \end{gathered}$ |
| 16.3 | $\begin{gathered} 166 \mathrm{i} \\ \text { See } 8 \mathrm{I} \text { ? } \end{gathered}$ | 167 | 165 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1169 \\ \text { See } 68 ? \end{gathered}$ | 170 | 171 | 172 |
| 173 | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ \text { See } 67,76,90,1910 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105 \\ \text { See } 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 i \pi \\ & \text { Sce } 120 \end{aligned}$ |
| 177 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1is } \\ & \text { See } 43^{a} \end{aligned}$ | 174 | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & \text { See } 50,58,62 \end{aligned}$ |
| 181 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathbf{1 2} \\ \text { See } 57,163,1936 \end{gathered}$ | 183 | 151 |
|  |  |  | 185 |

* Possibly Ymix-a Maya day.

Possibly Chuen-a Maya day; meaning "a board," "a tree."
Possibly Ahau-a Maya dav; meaning "king."
SPossibly Ezanab-a Maya day.

PLATE LII.

| 200 | 201 | 202 | 203 | 204 | 205 | 206 | 207 | 208 | 209 | $\} \text { Line } 1 .$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | 211 | 212 |  | 214 | 215 | 216 | 217 | 218 | $\begin{gathered} 219 \\ \text { See } 2020 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ \text { See } 2030 \end{gathered}$ | 221 | $\begin{gathered} 222 \\ \text { See } 2060 \end{gathered}$ | 223 2 | $224=2060$ | 225 | 226 | 227 | 228 | $\begin{gathered} 229 \\ \text { See 1811-2 } \end{gathered}$ | Line 2. |
| $\begin{gathered} 230 \\ \text { Sce } 1822 \end{gathered}$ | 231 | 232 |  | 234 | 235 | 236 | 287 | 238 | 239 |  |
| 240 | 2412 | $242=2020$ | $243=1051$ | 1244 | 245 | 246 | 247 | 248 | 249 | -ines |
| 250 | 251 | $\begin{gathered} 252 \\ \text { See } 214 \end{gathered}$ |  | 254 | 255 | 256 | 257 | 255 | $259=1448$ |  |
| 260 | 261 | 262 | 263 | $\begin{gathered} 26! \\ \text { See } 2020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 265 \\ \text { See } 2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 266 \\ \text { Sce } 2022 \end{gathered}$ | 267 | 268 | 269 | $\} \operatorname{Lin} 04$. |
| 270 | 271 |  |  | $274=244$ | 275 | 276 | 277 | $\begin{gathered} 278 \\ \text { See } 204 \end{gathered}$ | 278 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \backslash 0 \\ \text { See } 1820 \end{gathered}$ | $2 \$ 1=72$ | 282 | 283 | 284 | $2 \$ 5$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \$ 6 \\ \text { See } 385 \end{gathered}$ | 287 | 288 |  | $\}$ Line 5. |
| 290 |  |  |  | 294 | 295 | 296 | 297 | 298 | 299 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ \text { See } 203 \end{gathered}$ | 301 | 302 | $\mathbf{3 0 3}=360$ | 304 | 305 | 306 | 307 |  |  | Line 6. |
| 310 | 311 |  |  | 314 | 315 | 316 | 317 | 315 | 319 |  |
| 320 | 321 | 322 | 823 <br> See 203 | $\begin{gathered} 321=1824 \\ \text { See } 204 \end{gathered}$ | 825 <br> See 285 | $\begin{gathered} 326 \\ \text { See } 305 \end{gathered}$ | 327 | 328 | 329 | Line 7. |
| :330 | 331 | 332 See 200 |  | 38.4 | 335 | 336 | 337 | 338 | 339 |  |
| 340 | 311 | $\begin{gathered} 342 \\ \text { See } 209 \end{gathered}$ | 343 | 344 <br> See 322 | 345 | 346 | 347 | 348 | 349 | \} Line 8. |
| 350 | 351 | 352 |  | $\text { See } \begin{gathered} 354 \\ 267,298 \end{gathered}$ | 355 | $\begin{gathered} 354=1822 \\ \text { See } 230 \end{gathered}$ | 357 | 358 | 359 |  |
| $360=308$ | 361 | 362 | 363 | 364 | 365 | $\begin{gathered} 306 \\ \text { See } 351 \end{gathered}$ | 367 <br> See 303, | 368 | 369 | $\}$ Lino 9. |
| 370 | 371 |  |  |  | 375 | 376 | 377 | 378 | 379 |  |
| 380 | 381 | 352 | 333 | 38.1 | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ \text { See } 286, \\ 1822 \end{gathered}$ | 386 | 387 | 388 | 389 | \} Line 10. |
| 390 | 391 | 392 |  | 394 | $3 \% 5$ | 306 | 397 | 395 | 399 |  |
| 400 | 401 | $\begin{gathered} 402 \\ \text { See } 326 \end{gathered}$ | $403=\begin{aligned} & 360 \\ & 367\end{aligned}$ | 7 404 | 405 | 406 | $\begin{gathered} 407 \\ \text { See } 360 \end{gathered}$ | 405 | 400 | \} Line 11. |
| $\begin{gathered} 410 \\ \text { See } 326 \end{gathered}$ | 411 | 412 |  | 414 | 415 | $\begin{gathered} 416 \\ \text { See } 324 \end{gathered}$ | 417 | 418 | 419 |  |
| 420 | 421 | 422 | 423 | 424 | 425 | $\begin{gathered} 426 \\ \text { See } 324 \end{gathered}$ | 427 |  |  | Line 12. |
| 430 |  | 432 |  | 434 | 435 | 486 | 437 | 438 | 483 |  |

plate liif.
[The upper left-land square is No . 500 , the apper right is 519 , the lower left- hand is 720 , the lower right is 739 . All the squares from 500 to 508,520 to 528 ,
530 to 538 , etc., up to 720 to $i 28$, are obliterated (and their numbers omitted here) except a fow.]

plate hiv.

PLATE LVI (left-hand side-Palenquo Cross).

| 1500 | 1501 | $\begin{gathered} 1502 \\ \text { See } 163,175 \end{gathered}$ | 1503 | 1504 |  |  | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1505 \\ & \text { See } 155 \end{aligned}$ | 1506 | $\begin{gathered} 1507 \\ \text { See } 138 \end{gathered}$ | 1505 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1966 |
| $* * T 10$ | $\underbrace{141}_{\text {See } 139,179}$ | $\operatorname{Sel}^{1512}(1852)$ | $\text { See } \stackrel{13131,146}{1513}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { Sce126,127, } 176 \end{gathered}$ | 1515 | 1516 |  |  |  |  | 1967 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1820 \\ & \text { See } 101 \end{aligned}$ | 1521 | $\underset{\text { Sce } 124}{124}$ | 152, | 1524 | 1595 | $\stackrel{1826}{\text { See }} 122,160$ |  |  |  |  | 1965 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1530=1520 \\ \text { See } 161 \end{gathered}$ | 1531 | $\begin{aligned} & 19302 \\ & \text { Sce } 120,124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1533 \\ \text { See } 121 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { See } 163}{1534}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1835 \\ \text { See } 182 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1536 \\ \text { See } 123 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 1969 |
| 1510 | $15+1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1512 \\ & \text { See } 1835 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1813 \\ \text { See } 124,1836 \end{gathered}$ | 1514 | $\begin{gathered} 154 \overline{0}=1622 \\ \text { Sce } 124 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1546}{\text { See } 179}$ |  |  |  |  | 1970 |
| 1550 | 1531 | 1552 | 1553 <br> See 122 | $1554=1506$ | 1555 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1560 | 1561 | $\begin{gathered} 18662 \\ \text { See } 126,127 \end{gathered}$ | 1563 | 1564 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 5 6 . 5}=\mathbf{2 0 2 1} \\ \text { See } 144 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1866 \\ \text { See } 136 ?, 1848 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 9 7 0}=\mathbf{1} \mathbf{5} 0 \\ \text { See } 160,161 \end{gathered}$ | 1571 | $\begin{gathered} 15: 2=1542 ? \\ \text { See } 182 \end{gathered}$ | $1573=1503$ | 15.4 | 155 | 1576 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1550 | 1581 | $\begin{gathered} 1892 \\ \text { See } 150,162 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {See } 1254}^{1553}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1884=1834 \\ & \text { See } 163,182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \times 95, \\ & \text { See } 132,144 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {See } 180,158}^{1890}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sce 13011, } 1477 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15!b 2 \\ S e e \\ 132 ? \end{gathered}$ | 1593 | $\begin{gathered} 1594=1822 \\ \text { Sce } 124 \end{gathered}$ | $1595$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1400 \\ \text { Sce } 146 \end{gathered}$ | 1901 | 1902 | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{1903} \\ & \text { See } 157: 182 \end{aligned}$ | 1901 | $1905=1503$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1971 \\ \text { See } 1802 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1910 \\ & \operatorname{Sec} 174 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1911 \\ \text { See } 174 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1913 \\ \text { Sce } 141 \end{gathered}$ | $1913=154$ | 1914 | 1915 |  |  |  |  |  | 1972 |
| 1920 | 1921 | $\begin{gathered} 11922 \\ \text { See } 123 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1023 \\ S e e 124 \end{gathered}$ | 1924 | 1935 |  |  |  |  |  | 1973 |
| 1930 | 1931 | $1932=1511-2$ ? | 1933 | 1934 | $\begin{gathered} 1935=1554 \\ \text { See } 182 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1975 |  |  |  | 1974 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1940=16 \cdot 2 \cdot \\ & \text { Sice } 120,1107 \end{aligned}$ | 1911 | 1912 | 1943 | $\overbrace{\substack{\text { See } 1: 3}}^{2422}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1915=1923 \\ & \text { Sec } 194 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1950 \\ & S e e 164 \end{aligned}$ | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]CENTRAL AMERICAN PICTURE-WRITING.

PLATE LVI (right-hand side-Palenque Cross).


[^1]
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## IV.

## IN WHAT ORDER ARE THE MYEROGLYPHYCS READ?

Before any advance ean be made in the deciphering of the hieroglyphic inscriptions, it is necessary to know in what direetions, along what lines or eolumns, the verbal sense proeeeds.

All the inseriptions that I know of are in rectangular figures. At Copan they are usually in squares. At Palenque the longest inscriptions are in rectangles. At Palenque again, there are some eases where there is a single lorizontal line of hieroglyphs over a pietorial tablet. Here clearly the only question is, do the characters proceed from left to right, or from right to left? In other eases as in the tablet of the cross, there are rertical columns. The question here is, shall we read up or down?

Now, the hieroglyphs must be phonetie or pietorial, or a mixture of the two. If they are phonetic, it will take more than one symbol to make a word, and we shall have groups of like characters when the same word is written in two places. If the signs are pietorial, the same thing will follow; that is, we shall have groups recurring when the same idea recurs. Further, we know that the subjects treated of in these tablets must be eomparatively simple, and that names, as of gods, kings, ete., must necessarily reeur.

The names, then, will be the first words deeiphered. At present no single name is known. These eonsiderations, together with our system of nomenclature, will enable us to take some steps.

Take, for example, the right-hand side of the Palenque cross tablet as given by Rau. See our figure 48 , which is Plate LVI of Stepmens (rol. ii, p. 345 ), with the addition of the part now in the National Museum at Washington.

Our system of numbering is here

| 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 3080 | 3081 | 3082 | 3083 | 3084 | 3085 |

Now pick out the duplicate hieroglyphs in this; that is, run throngh the tablet, and wherever 2020 occurs erase the number which fills the place and write in 2020. Do the same for 2021,2022 , ete., down to 3084. The result will be as follows:

RIGHT-HAND SIDE OF PALENQUE CROSS TABLET (HAU).

| 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2030 | 20.1 | 2032 | 20:3 | 20:3 | 2083 |
| 2040 | 2011 | 2042 | 2025 | 2020 | 2021 |
| 2050 | 2051 | 2034 | 2053 | 2051 | 2055 |
| 2053 | 2061 | 2002 | 2063 | 2061 | 2065 |
| 2070 | 2071 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022? | 2024? |
| 20.53 | 2020 | 2052 | 2083 | 2025 | 203: |
| 2021 | 2091 | 2002 | 202.) | 2091 | 2005 |
| 3000 | 2023 | 2031 | 205\% | 2083 | 3005 |
| 3010 | 2083 | 3012 | 2024 | 3014 | 20.1 |
| 2053 | 3021 | 202: | 2020 | 3024 | 2021 |
| 2024 | 2025 | 2021 | 3033 | 2025 | 2034* |
| 20.33* | 3021 | 3012 | 3043 | 2035 | 3045 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3050 \\ \text { See } 2083 \end{gathered}$ | 2053 | 20.5 | 2034 | 3054 | 3055 |
| 2021 | $20 \geq 0$ | 2035 | 3063 | 2021 | 2025 |
| 2021 | 20:1 | 2020 | 2021 | 2035 | 301.) |
| 3080 | 3081 | 2091 | 2093 | 20:0 | 2021 |

14 cases of horizontal pairs; 4 cases of vertical pairs; 102 characters in all, of which 51 ap. pear more than once, so that there are bit 51 independent hieroglyphs.

Here the first two lines are unchanged. In the third line we find that 2043 is the same as $2025,2044=2020,2045=2021$, and so on, and we write the smallest number in each casc.

After this is done, eonneet like paiss by braces whencerer they are consecutive, either vertical or horizontal. Take the pair 2020 and 2021 for example; 2020 oecurs eight times in the tablet, viz, as 2020,2044 , $207 \because, 2051,3023,3061,3072,3054$. In fire out of the eight cases, it is followed by 2021 , viz, as $2021,2045,2073,3073,3055$.

It is clear this is not the result of accident. The pair 2020 and 2021 means something, and when the two charaeters occur together they uust he read together. There is no point of punctuation betreen them. We
also learn that they are not inseparable. 2020 will make sense with 2082,3024 , and 3062 . Here it looks as if the writing unst be read in lines horizontally. We do not know yet in which direction.

We must examine other cases. This is to be noticed: If the reading is in horizontal lines from left to right, then the progress is from top to bottom in columns, as the ease of 3035 and 3040 shows. This oceurs at the end of a line, and the eorresponding ehiffre required to make the pair is at the other end of the next line. I have marked this ease with asterisks. If we must read in the lines from right to left we must necessarily read in eolumns from bottom to top. Thus the lines are conneeted.
A similar proeess with all the other tablets in Steprens leads to the conclusion that the reading is in lines horizontally and in columns vertically. The eases 1835-'45, 1885-95, 1914-"t, and 1936-'46 should, however, be examined. We have now to deeide at whieh end of the lines to begin. The reasons given by Mr. Bancroft (Native Races, vol. ii, p. 7S2) appeared to me sufficient to decide the question before I was aequainted with his statement of them.

Therefore, the sum total of our present data, examined by a rational method, leads to the eonelusion, so far as we ean know from these data, that the verbal sense proceeded in lines from left to right, in columns from top to bottom; just as the present page is written, in faet.

For the present, the introduction of the method here indieaterl is the important step. It has, as jet, been applied only to the plates of Stepilens' work. The definite conclusion should be made to rest on all possible data, some of whieh is not at my disposition at present. Tablets exist in great numbers at other points besides Palenque, and for the final conelusion these must also be consulted. If each one is examined in the way I have indieated, it will yield a eertain answer. The direction of reading for that plate can be thus determined. At Palenque the progress is in the order I have indicated.

## V.

## THE CAIID-CATALOGUE OF IHEIROGLIPHS.

It has already been explaned how a system of nomenelature was gradually formed. As I have said, this is not perfect, lut it is sufficiently simple and full for the pupose. By it, every plate in Sxepinens work receives a number and every hieroglyh in each plate is likemise numbered.

This was first done in my private copy of the work. I then proenred another copy and duplicated these numbers both for plates and single chiffres. The plates of this eops were then eut ul into single hiero-
glyphs and each single hieroglyph was mounted on a library eard, as follows:


The cards were 6.5 by, 4.5 inches. The chiffre was pasted on, in the center of the top space. Its number and the plate from which it came were placed as in the ent. The numbers of hieroglyphs whieh resombled the one in question could be written on the right half of the eard, and the numbers corresponding to different recurrences of this hieroglyph oceupied the left half.

All this part of the work was most faithfully and intelligently performed for me by Miss Mary Lociwood, to whom I desire to express the full amount of my obligations. A mistake in any part wonld have been fatal. But no mistakes oecurred.

These cards could now be arranged in any way I saw fit. The simple chiffres, for example, could be placed so as to bring like ones together. A compound hieroglyph could be placed among simple ones agreeing with any one of its components, and so on.

The expense of forming this eard eatalogue of about 1,500 single hieroglyphs was borne by the Ethnological Burean of the Smithsonian Institution, and the catalogue is the property of that bureau, forming only one of its many rich collectious of Ameriean pieture-writings.

## VI.

## COMPARISON OF PLATES I AND IV (COPAN).

In examining the various statues at Copan, as given by Stepriens, one uaturally looks for points of striking resemblanee or striking difference. Where all is unknown, even the smallest sign is examined, in the lope that it may prove a clue. The Plate I, Fig. 49, has a twisted knot (the "square knot" of sailors) of cords over its head, and above this is a chiffre composed of ellipses, and above this a aain a sign like a sea-shell. A natural suggestion was that these might be the signs for the name of the personage depicted in Plate I. If this is so and we should find the same sign elsewhere in councetion with a figure, we should expeet to find this second figure like the first in every partieular. This would be


Fig. 4!, -Statue at Copan.


Fig. 50.-Statue at Copan.
a rigid test of the theory. After looking througli the Palenque scries, and finding no similar figure and sign, I examined the Copan series, and in Plate IV, our Fig. j0, I found the same signs exactly ; i. e., the knot and the two chifires.

At first sight there is only the most gencral resemblance between the personages represented in the two plates; as Steprens says in his original account of them, they are "in many respeets similar." If he had known them to be the same, he would not hare wasted his time in drawing them. The scale of the two drawings and of the two statues is different; but the two personages are the same identieally. Figure for figure, ornament for ornament, they eorrespond. It is mnneeessary to give the minute comparison here in words. It can be made by any one from the two plates herewitli. Take any part of Plate I, find the eorresponding part of Plate IV, and whether it is human feature or senlptured ornament the two will be found to be the same.

Take the middle face depending from the belt in each plate. The earrings are the same; the ornament below the elin, the knot above the head, the complicated beadwork on each side of this face, all are the same. The bracelets of the right arms of the main figures liave eaeli the forked serpent tongue, and the left arm braeelets are ornamented alike. The crosses with beads almost inclosed in the right hands are alike; the clliptic ornaments above each wrist, the knots and chiffies orer the serpent masks which sumonnt the faces, all are the same. In the steel plates given by Stepmens there are even more eoindences to be seen than in the excellent wood-ents here given, which have been eopied from them.

Here, then, is an important fact. The theory that the chiffre over the forehead is charaeteristic, though it is not definitively proved, receives strong confirmation. The parts which lave been lost by the cffects of time on one statue ean be supplied from the other. Better than all, we gain a test of the minuteness witlowhich the sculptors workod, and an idea of how close the adherence to a type was required to be. Granting once that the two personages are the same (a fact about whieli I coneeive there can be no possible doubt, since the chances in favor are litterally thousands to one), we learn what lieense was allowed, and what synonyms in stone might be employed. Thus, the ormament suspended from the neek in Plate IV is clearly a tiger's skull. That from the neek of Plate I has been shown to be the derived form of a skull by Dr. Harrison Allev,* and we now know that this common form relates not to the human skull, as Dr. Allen lias supposed, but to that of the tiger. We shall fimd this figure often repeated, and the identification is of importance. This is a ease in regard to synonyms. The kind of symbolism so ably treated by Dr. Allen is well exemplified in the conrentional sign for the crotalus jaw at the mouth of the mask over the head of eael figure. This is again found on the body of the suake in

[^2]Plate LX, and in other places. Other important questions ean be settled by eomparison of the two plates. For example, at Palenque we often find a sign composed of a half ellipse, inside of whieh bars are drawn. I shall elsewhere show that there is reason to believe the ellipse is to represent the coneave of the sky, its diameter to be the level earth, and in some eases at least the bars to be the deseending and fertilizing rain. The bars are sometimes two, three, and sometimes four in number. Are these variants of a single sign, or are they synonyms? Before the diseovery of the identity of the personages in these two plates, this question could not be answered. Now we ean say that they are not synonyms, or at least that they must be eonsidered separately. To show this, examine the bands just above the wristlets of the two figures. Over the left hands of the figures the bars are two in number; over the right hands there are four. This exaet similarity is not aceidental; there is a meaning in it, and we must seareh for its explanation elsewhere, but we now have a valuable test of what needs to be regarded, and of what, on the other hand, may be passed over as aceidental or unimportant.

Oneother case nceds mentioning here, as it will be of future use. From the waist of each figure depend nine oval solids, six being hatched over like pine eones and the three central ones laving two ovals, one within the other, engraved on them. In Plate IV the inuer ovals are all on the right-hand side of the outer ovals. Would they mean the same if they were on the left-hand side? Plate I enables us to say that they wonld, since one of these inmer orals has been put by the artist on that side by aecident or by an allowed eaprice. It is by furnishing us with tests and eriteria like these that the proof of the identity of these two plates is immediately important. In other ways, too, the proof is raluable and interesting, but me need not diseuss them at this time.

These statues, then, are to us a dictionary of synonyms in stone-a test of the degree of adherence to a prototype which was exacted, and a eriterion of the kind of minor differences which mnst be noticed in any rigid study.

I have not insisted more on the resemblanees, sinee the accompanying figures present a demonstration. Let those who wish to verify these resemblanees compare minutely the ornaments above the knees of the two figures, those about the waists, above the heads, and the square knots, ete., ete.
VII.

## ARE THE MIEROGLYPIS OF COPAN AND PALENQUE IDENTICAL?

One of the first questions to be settled is whether the same system of writing was employed at Palenque and at Copan. Before any study of the meanings of the separate chiffres ean be made, we must have onn material properly assorted, and must not include in the figures we are examining for the detection of a clue, any which may belong to a system possibly very different.

The opinion of Stephens and of later writers is confirmed by my comparison of the Palenque and the Copan series; that is, it beeomes evident that the latter series is far the older.

In Niearagua and Copan the statues of gods were placed at the foot of the pyramid; farther north, as at Palenque, they were placed in temples at the summit. Sueh differenees show a marked change in customs, and must have required much time for their aeeomplishment. In this time did the pieture-writing ehange, or, indeed, was it ever identieal?

To settle the question whether they were written on the same system, I give here the results of a rapid survey of the eard-catalogue of hieroglyphs. A more minute examination is not necessary, as the present one is quite sufficient to show that the system employed at the two places was the same in its general character and almost identical even in details. The practical result of this conelusion is that similar characters of the Copan and Palenque series may be used interchangeable.

A detailed study of the undoubted synonyms of the two places will afford mueh light on the manner in whieh these eharacters were gradually evolced. This is not the place for sueh a study, but it is interesting to remark how, even in un-
mistakable synonyms, the Palenque charaeter is always the most conventional, the least pictorial; that is, the latest.
Examples of this are No. 7, Fig. 51.-Synonomous hieroglyphs from Copan Plate $V^{3}$, and No. 1969, Plate
No. $7=$

 and Palenque.
LVI. The maskin protile which forms the left-hand edge of No. 7 seems to have been conventionalized into the two hooks and the ball, whieh have the same place in No. 1969.
The larger of these two was eut on stone, the smaller in stucco.
The mask has beeu changed into the ball and hooks; the angular nose ornament into a single ball, easier to make and quite as significant to the Maya priest. But to us the older (Copan) figure is infinitely more signifieant. The curious rows of little balls which are often placed at
the left-hand edge of the various ehiffres are also conventions for older forms. It is to be noted that these balls always oceur on the left hand of the hieroglyphs, except in one casc, the chiffre 1975 in the Palenque cross tablet, on which the left-hand acolyte stands.

The conclusion that the two series are both written on the same system, and that like chiffres oceurring at the two places are synonyms, will, I think, be sufficiently evident to any one who will himself examine the following cases. It is the nature of the agreements which proves the thesis, and not the number of eases here cited. The reader will remember that the Copan series comprises Plates I to XXIII, inelusive; the Palenque series, Plate XXTV and higher numbers.

The sign of the group of Mexiean gods who relate to hell, $i$. e., a circle with a central dot, and with four small segments eut ont at four equally distant points of its circumference, is found in No. 4291, Plate XXII, and in many of the Palenque plates, as Plate LVI, Nos. 2090, 2073, 2045 , 2021, etc. In both places this sign is worn by hmman figures just below the ear.

The same sign oceurs as an important part of No. 4271, Plate XXII, and No. 4118, Plate XIII (Copan), and No. 2064, Plate LVI (Palenque), ete.

No. 7, Plate Vá, and No. 1969, Plate LVI, I regard as absolntely identical. These are both hmman figures. No. 12, Plate $\mathrm{V}^{2}$, and No. 637, Plate LIII, are probably the same. These probably represent or relate to the long-nosed divinity, Yacateuctli, the Mexican god of commerce, etc., or rather to his Maya representatire.

The sign of Tlaloc, or rather the family of Tlalocs, the gods of rain, floods, and waters, is an eye (or sometimes a mouth), around which there is a double line drawn. I take No. 26, Plate $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{a}}$, of the Copan series, and Nos. 154 and 165, Plate XXIV, to be corresponding references to members of this family. No. 4, Plate $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{a}}$, and No. 155 also correspond.

No. 4242 , Plate XXII, is probably related to No. 53 , Plate XXIV and its congeners.

Nos. 14 and 34 , Plate $V^{a}$, are clearly related to No. 900 , Plate LIV, Nos. 127 and 176, Plate XXIV, No. 3010, Plate LVI, and many others.

Plate $\mathrm{III}^{2}$ of Copan is evidently identically the same as the No. 75 of the Palenque Plate No. XXIV.
The right half of No. 27, Plate $V^{a}$, is the same as the right half of Nos. 3020,3040 , and many others of Plate LVI.

No. 17, Plate $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{a}}$, is related to No. 2051, Plate LVI, and many others like it.

The major part of No. 4105, Plate XIII, is the same as No. 124, Plate XXIV, etc.

It is not necessary to add a greater number of examples here. The eardcatalogue which I have mentioned enables me to at once pick out all the cases of which the above are specimens, taken just as they fell under my eye in rapidly turning over the eards. They therefore represent the


Fig. 52.-Yueatec Stone.
average agreement, neither more nor less. Taken together they show that the same sigus were used at Copan and at Palenque. As the same symbols used at both places oceur in like positions in regard to the hmman face, ete., I conelude that not only were the same signs used at both places, but that these signs had the same meaning; i. e., were truly synonyms. In future I slall regard this as demonstrated.

## VIII.

## hUITZILOPOCHTLI (MEXICAN GOD OF WAR), TEOYAOMIgUI (MEXICAN gODDESS OF DEATH), MCLANTECUTLI (MEXICAN GOD OF HELL), AND TLALOC (MENICAN RAINGOD), CONSIDERED IN RELATION TO CENTRAL AMERICan divinities.

In the Congrès des Américanistes, session de Luxembourg, vol. ii, p. 283 , is a report of a memoir of Dr. Lemans, entitled "Description de quelques antiquités amérieanes conservées dans le Missée royal néerlandais d’antiquités ì Leide." On page 299 we find-
M. G.-H.-Band, de Aruheim, a eu la bonto do we confier quolques antiquités provenaut des anciens habitants du Yucatan et do l'Amérique Centrale, arec autorisation d'en faire prendre des fac-similes pour lo Musée, ce rui me permet do les faire connaitro anx membres du Congrès. Elles ont été trourees cnfonics à une graudo profondour dans le sol, lors do la coustruction d'un canal, vers la rivière Gracioza, près do San lilippo, sur la froutièro du Honduras britanniquo et de la républiquo do Guatónala par M. S.-A.-van Braam, ingéniour néerlandais au service do la Gnatémala-Company.

From the maps given in Stieler's Hand-Atlas and in Bancroft's Native Races of the Paeifie States I find that these relies were found 308 miles from Uxmal, 207 miles from Palenque, 92 miles from Copau, and 655 miles from the eity of Mexieo, the distances being in a straight line from place to plaee.
The one of these objects with which we are now concerned is figured in Plate (63) of the work quoted, and is reproduced here as Fig. 52.
Dr. Leenans refers to a similarity between this figure and others in Stephens' Travels in Central Ameriea, but gives no general comparison.
I wish to direct attention to some of the points of this cht. The ehiffre or symbol of the principal figure is, perhaps, represented in his belt, and is a St. Andrew's eross, with a eirele at each end of it. Inside the large eircle is a smaller one. It may be said, in passing, that the eross probably relates to the air and the eirele to the sun.
The main figure has two hands folded against his breast. Two other arms are extended, one in front, the other behind, which carry two birds. Lach arm has a bratelet. This second pair of lands is not described by Dr. Leemans. The two birds are exact duplicates, exeept that the eye of one is shut, of the other open. Just above the bill of each bird is something which might be taken as a second bill (which probably is not,
howerer), and ou this and on the back of each bird are five spines or claws. The corresponding claws are curved and shaped alike in the two sets. The birds are fastened to the neck of the person represented by two ornaments, which are alike, and which seem to be the usual hieroglyph of the crotalus jaw. These jaws are placed similarly with respect to each bird. In Kingsborough's Mexican Antiquities, vol. I, Plate X, we find the parrot as the sign of Tonatimu, the sun, and in Plate XXV with Naolin, the san. On a level with the nose of the principal figure are two symbols, one in front and one behind, cach inclosing a St. Andrew's cross, and surmounted by what seems to be a flaming fire. It is probably the chiffre of the wind, as the cross is of the rain. Below the rear one of these is a head with protruding tongue (the sign of Quetzalcoatl); below the other a hieroglyph (perhaps a bearded face). Each of these is upborne by a hand. It is to be noticed, also, that these last arms have bracelets different from the pair on the breast.
In passing, it may be notel that the head in rear is under a cross, and has on its chicek the symbol $U$. These are the symbols of the lefthand figure in the Palenque cross tablet.

The head hanging from the rear of the belt has an open eye (like that of the principal figure), and above it is a crotalus mask, with open eye, and teeth, and forked fangs. The principal figure wears over his head a mask, with open mouth, and with tusks, and above this mask is the eagle's head. This eagle is a sign of Tlaloc, at least in Yucatan. In Mexico the eagle was part of the insignia of Temzeatlipoca, "the devil," who orerthrew the gool Quetzalcoatl and reintroduced human sacrifice.
The characteristics of the principal figure, 63 , are then briefly as fullows:
I. His chiffre is an air-cross with the sun-circle.
II. He has four hands.
III. He bears two birds as a symbol.
IV. The claws or spikes on the backs of these are siguificant.
V. The mask with tusks over the head.
VI. The head worn at the belt.
VII. The captive trodlden under foot.
VIII. The chain from the belt attached to a kind of ornament or symbol.
IX. The twisted flames (?) or winds (?) on each side of the figure.
X. His association with Quetzalcoatl or Cuculkan, as shown by the mouth with protruding tongne, and with Tlaloc or Tetzcatlipoca, as shown by the eagle's head.

We may note here for reference the signification of one of the hieroglyphis in the right-hand half of Fig. 52, i.e., in that half which contains only writing. The topmost chiffre is undoubtedly the name, or part of the name, of the principal figure represented in the other laalf. It is in pure picture-writing; that is, it expresses the sum of his attributes.

It has the crotalns mask, with nose oruament, which he wears over his face; then the eross, with the "five feathers" of Mexico, and the sum symbol. These are in the middle of the chiffre. Below these the oval may be, and probably is, heaven, with the rain descending and producing trom the surface of the earth (the long axis of the ellipse), the seed, of which three grains are depieted.

We know by the oeenrence of the hieroglyphs on the reverse side of the stone that this is not of Aztee sculptnce. These symbols are of the same sort as those at Copan, Palenque, ete., and I shall show later that some of them oceur in the Paleuque tablets. Hence, we know this engrariug to be Yncatee and not Aztee in its origin. If it had been seulptured on one side only, and these hieroglyphs omitted, I an satisfied that the faets whieh I shall point out in the uext paragraphs would have led to the conclusion that this stone was Mexiean in its origin. Fortunately the native artist had the time to seulpture the Yucatec hieroglyphs, which are the proof of its true origin. It was not dropped by a traveling Aztee; it was made by a Yueatec.

In passing, it may be saiu that the upper left-hand, hieroglyph of Plate XIII most probably repeats this name.

I eollect from the third volume of Bancroft's Native Races, chapter viii, sueh descriptions of Huitzilopochtli as he was represented among the Mexieans as will be of use to us in our eomparisons. No display of learning in giving the referenees to the original works is neeessary here, since Mr. Bancroft has plaeed all these in order aud culled them for a use like the present. It will suffice once for all to refer the eritical reader to this volume, and to express the highest sense of obligation to $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$. Banceofl's compilation, which renders a survey of the chatacteristic features of the American divinities casy.

In Mexieo, then, this god had, among other symbols, "five balls of feathers arranged in the form of a cross." This was in reference to the mysterious conception of his mother through the powers of the air. The upper hieroglyph in Fig, 52, and one of the lower ones, eontain this sign: "In his right hand he had an azured staff cutte in fashion of a waving snake." (See Plate LNI of Stephens.) "Joining to the temple of this idol there was a pieee of less work, where there was another idol they called Thaloc. These two idolls were alwayes together, for that they held them as companions aud of equal power."

To his temple "there were foure gates," in allusion to the form of the cross. The temple was surrounded by rows of skulls (as at Copan) and the temple itself was upou a high pyramid. Solis says the war god sat "on a throne supported by a blue globe. From this, supposed to represent the heavens, projected four staves with serpents' heads. (SeePlate XXIV, Stepfexs.) "The image bore on its head a birl of rrought plumes," "its right hand rested upou a crooked serpent." "Upon the left arm was a buckler bearing five white plums arranged in form of a cross." SAmAGUN deseribes his deviee as a dragon's head, "frightful in the extreme, and easting fire out of his mouth."

Herrara deseribes Huitzilopochtli and Tezcatlipoca together, and says they were "beset with pieees of gold wronght like birds, beasts, and fishes." "For collars, they had ten hearts of men," "and in their necks Death painted."

Torquenada derives the name of the war god in two ways. According to some it is composed of two words, one signifying "a linmming bird" and the other "a sorcerer that spits fire." Otherss way that the last worl means "the left hand," so that the whole name wond mean "the shining feathered left hand." "This god it was that led out the Mexicans from their own land and brought them into Anathae." Besides his regular statne, set up, in Mexien, "there was anothre monewer every year, made of different kinds of grains and seeds, moistemed with the blood of ehidren." This was in allusion to the natner side of the god, as fully explained by MÜllen (Amerieunische Urreligionen).

No deseription will give a better idna of the general features of this gorl than the following euts from Baxcroft's Natice Ruces, which are copied from Leon v GAMa, Las Dos Piedrus, ete. Figs. 53 and it are


Fic. 54.-HuTrZiLorotirtil (side).
the war god himself; Fig. 55 is the back of the former statue on a larger seale; Fig. 56 is the god of hell, and was engraved on the bottom of the bloek.

These three were a trunty well nigh inseparable. It has been donbted whether they were not different attribntes of the same personage. In the natnal eourse of things the primitive idea would beeome differentiated into its parts, and in process of time the most importaut of the parts would each receive a separate pietorial representation.


By referring back a few pages the reader will find smmmarized the priucipal characteristics of the Central American figme represented in Fig. 5 . He will also have notieed the remarkable agrement between the attributes of this figure and


Fig, orio-Huitzilopochtli (hatk).

Fig. 57.-Adoratorio.
those contained in the euts or in the deseriptions of the Mexiean gods. Thus-
I. The symbol of both was the eross.
II. Fig. 52 and Fig. 55 each have four hands.*
III. Both have hirds as symbols.

It is diffieult to regard the bird of Fig. 52 as a humming bird, as it more resembles the parrot, whieh, as is well known, was a symbol of some of the Central Ameriean gods. Its oceurrenee here in comection with the four arms fixes it, however, as the bird symbol of Huitzilopochtli. In the Mfs. Troano, plate axxi (lower right-hand figure), we find this same personage with his tivo parrots, along with Tlaloc, the god of rain.
IV. The elaws of the Mexiean statne may be symbolized by the spikes on the baek of the birds in Fig. 52, but these latter appear to me to relate rather to the fangs and teeth of the various erotalus heads of the statues.
V. The mask, with tusks, of Fig. 52 , is the same as that at the top of Fig. 55, where we see that they represent the teeth of a serpent, and not the tusks of an animal. This is shorn by the forked tongue beneath. The three groups of four dots eael on Huitzilopochtli's statue are references to his relationship with Tlaloc.

With these main and striking duplieations, and with other minor and corrolorative resemblanees, whiel the reader ean see for himself, there is no doubt but that the tro figures, Mexiean and Yucatee, relate to the same personage. The Yueatee figure eombines several of the attributes of the various members of the Mexiean trinity named above, but we should not be smrprised at this, for, as has been said, some writers consider that this trinity was one only of attributes and not of persons.

What has been given above is suffieient to show that the personage represented in Fig .52 is the Yueatee equivalent of Huitzilopocitili, and has relations to his trinity named at the head of this section, and also to the family of Tlaloc. I am not aware that the relationship of the Yueatec and Aztee gods has been so directly shown, on evidenee alnost purely pietorial, and therefore free from a certain kind of bias.

If the eonelusions above stated are true, there will be many eorroborations of them, and the most prominent of these I proceed to give, as it infolves the explanation of one of the most important tablets of Palenque, parts of which are shown in Plates XXIV, LX, LXI, and LXII, rol. ii, of Stepiens.

Plate LXII, Fig. 57, represents the "Adoratorio or Alta Casa, No. 3 " of Palenque. This is nothing else than the temple of the god Hurrzilopocitlit and of his equal, Tlaloc. The god of war is shown on a larger seale in Plate LNI, Fig. 58, while Tlaloc is given in Plate LX, Fig. 59, and the tablet inside the temple in Plate XXIV, Fig. 60. The

[^3]resemblances of Plate XXIV and of the Palenque cross.tablet and their meanings will be considered further on.
Returning to Plate LXII, the symbols of the roof and cornice refer to these tro divinities. The faces at the ends of the cornice, with the double lines for eye and month, are unmistakable Tlalod signs. The association of the two gods in one temple, as at Mesico, is a strong corroboration.
Let us now take Plate LXI, Fig. 5S, which represents Huitzilopocitli, or rather, the Yueatec equivalent of this Aztee god. I shall refer to him by the Aztee appelation, but I shall in future write it in italies; and in general the Yucatec equivalents of Aztee personages in italics, and the Aztec names in small capitals.

Compare Fig. 52 and the Plate LXI (Fig. 58). As the two plates are before the reader, I need only point out the main resemblances, and, what is more important, the differences.
The sandals, the belt, its front pendant, the bracelets, the neek ormament, the helmet, should be examined. The four hands of Fig. 52 are not in LXI, nor the parrots; but if we refer to Kingsborough, Vol. II, Plates 6 and 7 of the Laud manuscript, we shall find figures of Huttzilopocntle with a parrot, and of Tlalod with the stork with a fish in its mouth, as in the head-dress here. The prostrate figure of Fig. 52 is here led by a chain. At Labphak (Bancroft, Vol. iv., p. 201), he is held aloft in the air, and he is on what may be a sacrificial joke. The Tlutoe cagle is in the head of the staff carried in the hand. This eagle is found in the second line from the bottom of Fig. 52 , we may remark in passing. Notice also the crescent moon in the ornament back of the shoulders of the personage of Fig. 58. The twisted cords which form the bottom of this ornament are in the hieroglyph No. 37, Plate XXIV (Fig. 60).
Turning now to Plate LX (Fig. 59).
This I take to be the sorcerer Tlaloc. He is blowing the wind from his mouth; he has the eagle in his head-dress, the jaw with grimders, the peculiar eje, the four Tlaloc dots over his ear and on it, the snake between his legs, curved in the form of a yoke (this is known to be a serpent by the consentional erotalus signs of jaw and rattles on it in nine places), the four Tlaloc dots again in his head-dress, ete. He has a leopard skin on his back (the tiger was the earth in Mesico) and his naked feet have peculiar anklets which should be noticed.
Although I am deferring the examination of the hieroglyphs to a later sectien, the chiffre 3201 should be noticed. It is the Tlalod eye again, and 3203 is the chiffic of the Mexican gods of hell.
In passing I may just refer the reader to I'. 164, Vol. ii, of Stephens' book on Yucatan, where a figure occurring at Labphax is given. This I take to be the same as Huitailopochtli of Plate LXI. Also in the MS. Irouno, published by Brasseur de Bourbourg, a figute in Plate XXV and in other plates sits on a hieroglyph like 3201, and is


Fig. 58.-Maya War God.


Fifr. 59.-Maya Rain Goml.


alenque.


Tlaloc. This is known by the head-dress, the teeth, the air-trumpet, the serpent symbol, etc. In Plates XXVIII, XXXI, and XXXIII of the same work Hutrzilopochili and Tlaloc are represented together, in various adrentures.

In Plate LX (Fig. 59) notiee also the chiffre on the tassels before and behind the main personage.

Now turn to the Plate XXIV (Fig. 60), whieh is the main objeet in the "Adoratorio" (Fig. 57), where the human figures serve as flankers.

First examine the eargatides who support the central structure. These are Tlulocs. Each has an eagle over his face, is elothed in leopard skin, has the eharaeteristie eye and teeth, and the wristlets of Plate LX (Fig. 59).

A vertieal line through the eenter of Plate XXIV (Fig. 60) would separate the figures and ornaments into two gronps. These groups are very similar, but never identieal, and this holds good down to the minutest partienlars and is not the result of aeeident. One side (the right-hind) belongs to Tlaloc, the other to Huitzilopochtti.

The right-hand priest (let us call him, simply for a name and not to eommit onrselves to a theory) has the sandals of Plate LXI; the lefthand priest the anklets of Plate LX.

The beast ou which the first stands and the man who supports the other are both marked with the tassel symbol of Plate LX. There is a certain rude resemblance between the supplementary head of this beast and the peudant in front of the belt of Fig. 5 . Four of these beasts supply rain to the eartl with Tlaloc in Plate-XXVI of the MS. Tromno. The infunt offered by the right-hand priest has the tico curls on his forehead whieh was a neeessary mark of the victims for Tlaloc's sacrifices. The center of the whole plate is a horrid mask with an open mouth. Behind this are two staves with different ornaments erossed in the form of the air-eross. On either hand of this the ornaments are different thongh similar.

A eurions resemblance may be traced between the positions, etce, of these two staves and those of the figure on p. 563 , vol. is, of Baycroft's Native Races, which is a Mexiean stone. Again, this latter figure has at its upper right-hand eorner a erouching animal (?) very similar to the gateway ornament given in the same volume, p. 321. This last is at Palenque. I quote these two examples in passing simply to reinforee the idea of similarity between the saered sculptures of Yueatan and Mexieo.

I take it that the examination of which I have sketehed the details will have left no doubt but that the personage of Fig. 52 is truly Iuitzilopochtli, the Yueatee representative of Hutrzilopocirtli ; that Plate LXI (Fig. 58) is the same personage; that Plate LXX (Fig. 59) represents Tlaloc; and that Plate XXIV (Fig. 60) is a tablet relating to the serviee of these two gods.

I have previonsly shown that the Palenque hieroglyphs are read in
order from left to right. We should naturally expeet, then, that the sign for Tlaloc or for Huitailopochtli would ocenpy the upper left-hand corner of Plate XXIV. In faet it does, and I was led to this discorery in the way I have indicated.
No. 37 is the Palenque manner of writing the top sign of Fig. 52. I shall eall the signs of Fig. $52 a, b, c$, ete., in order downwards.

The eronching face in a oceupies the lower central part of No. 37. Notice also that this face oeenrs below the small eross in the detaehed ornament to the left of the central mask of Fig. 60. The creseent moon of Plate LXI (Fig. 58) is on its eheek; back of this is the sun-sign; the cross of $a$ is just above its eye; the three signs for the celestial coneave are at the top of 37 , crossed with rain bands; the three seeds (?) are below these. The feathers are in the lower right-hand two-thirds. This is the sign or part of the sign for Huitzilopochtli. If a Maya Indian had seen either of these signs a few centuries ago, he would have had the suceessive ideas-a war-god, with a feather-symbol, related to sun and moon, to fertiliziug rain and inflnenees, to clouds and seed; that is Huitzilopochtli, the companion of Tlaloc. Or if he had seen the upper left-hand symbol of the Palenque cross tablet (1800), he would have had related ideas, and so on.

What I have previonsly said about the faithfuluess with whieh the Yncatee artist adhered to his prototypes in signs is perfeetly trne, althongh apparently partly contradicted by the identification I have just made. When a given attribute of a god (or other personage) was to be depicted, the chiffres expressing this were marvellously alike. Witness the chiffres Nos. $2090,2073,2021,-2045,3055,3073,3070,3032$ of the Palenque cross tablet. But directly afterwards some other attribute is to be brought ont, and the chiffre changes; thus the hieroglyph 1009 of Plate LIV, or 265 , Plate LII, has the same protruding tongue as 2021 , etc., and is tho same personage, but the style is quite changed. In Fig. 52, Inuitzilopochtli is the war-god, in Plate XXIV he is the rain-god's companion; and while every attribute is acconnted for, prominenee is given to the special ones worshipped or celebrated. Scores of instances of this have arisen in the eourse of iny examination.

Again, we mast remember that this was no sonrce of ambignity to the Yucatecs, however much it may be to ns. Eaeh one of them, and specially each offeiating priest, was entirely familiar with every attribute of every god of the Yucatee pantheon. The sign of the attribnte brought the idea of the power of the god in that special direction; the full idea of his divinity was the integral of all these special ideas. The linuts were heaven and earth.

This, then, is the first step. I consider that it is seemely based, and that we may safely say that in proper names, at least, a kind of picture writing was used which was not phonetie.

From this point we may go on. I must again remark that great familiarity with the literature of the Aztees and Yueatecs is needed-a fanil-
iarity to whieh I personally cannot pertend-and that it is elear that the method to reaeh its full suceess must be applied by a true seholar in this speeial field.
IX.

## THALOC, OR HIS MAYA REPRESENTATIVE.

Although there is no personage of all the Maya pantheon more easy to reeognize in the form of a statue than Tlaloc, there is great diffieulty in being eertain of all the hieroglyphs whieh relate to him. There is every reason to believe that in Yucatan, as in Mexieo, there was a family of rain-gods, Tlalocs, and the distingnishing signs of the several members are almost impossible of separation, so long as we know so little of the special funetions of eaeh member of this family.
In Yueatam, as in Mexieo, Tlaloc's main sign was a donble line about the eye or month, or abont both ; and further, some of the Tlalocs, at least, were bearded.*

Cukulcan was also bearded, but we have separated out in the next seetion the chiffres, or eertainly most of them, that relate to him. Those that are left remain to be distributed among the family of rain-gods; and this, as I have said, ean only be done imperfeetly, on aceount of onr slight knowledge of the eharaeter of these gods.

If we examine the plates given by Stephevs, we shall find many pietorial allusions to Tlaloc. These are often used as mere ornaments or embellishments, as in borders, ete., and probably served only to notify, in a general way, the faet of the relationship of the personage repre. sented, to this family, and probably not to eonvey any speeific meaning.
Thus, in Plate XXXV of Stephevs' work the upper left-hand ornament of the border is a head of Tlaloc with double lines about eye and mouth, and this ornament is repeated in a different form at the lower right-hand eorner of the border just baek of the right hand of the sitting figure, and also in the base of the border below the feet of the prineipal figure.

Plate XLVIII (of Stephens') is probably Chalchinuitlicue (that is, the Yneatee equivalent of that goddess), who was the sister of Tlaloc. His sign oeenrs in the upper left-hand eorner of the border, and in Plate XLIX the same sign oceurs in a eorresponding position.

Plate XXIV (our Fig. 60) is full of Tlaloc signs. The bottom of the tablet has a hieroglyph, 93 (Tuitzilopochtli), at one end and 185 (Tlaloc) at the other. The leopard skin, eagle, and the erouehing tiger (?) under the feet of the priest of Tlaloc (the right-hand fignre) are all given. The iufant (?) offered by this priest has two loeks of eurled hair at its forehead, as was preseribed for ehildren offered to this gorl.

[^4]In Plate LVI (our Fig. 48) the mask at the foot of the cross is a human mask, and not a serpent mask, as has been ingeniously proved by Dr. Harrison Allen in his paper so often quoted. It is the mask of Tlaloc, as shown by the teeth and eorroborated (not proved) by the way in which the eje is cxpressed. The eurved hook within the eyeball here, as in 185, stands for the air-the wind-of which Tlaloc was also god. The Mexicans had a similar sign for breath, message.

The chiffre 1975, on which Huitzilopochtli's priest is standing, I believe to be the synonym of 185 in Plate XXIV. Just in front of Tlaloc's priest is a saerificial yoke (?), at the top of which is a face, with the eye of the Tlatocs, and various decorations. This faee is to be fonnd also at the lower left-hand corucr of Plate XLI (of Stephens'), and also (?) in the same position in Plate NLII (of Stephens'). These will serve as subjects for further study.

Notiee in Plate LVI (our Fig. 48) how the ornaments in corresponding positions on cither side of the eentral line are similar, set never the same. A careful stady of these pairs will show how the two gods celebrated, differed. A large part, at least, of the attribntes of each god is recorded in this riay by antithesis. I have not made enough progress in this direction to make the very few conelusions of which I am eertain worth reeording. The general fact of such an antithesis is obvious when onee it is pointed oat, and it is in just such paths as this that adrances must be looked for.

I have just mentioned, in this rapid surver of the piates of vol. ii of Stepmens' work, the principal pictorial signs relating to Tlaloc. There are a number almost equally well marked in vol. i, in Plates VII, IX, X, NIII, and XV, but they necd not be described. Those who are especially interested cau find them for themselves.
The following bricf aecount and plate of a Tlaloc inscription at Kabah will be useful for future use, and is the more interesting as it is eomparatively unknown.

## INSCRIPTION AT TABAH (Fucatan).

This litherto unpublished inscription on a roek at Kabah is given in Archices paléographiques, vol. i, part ii, Plate 20. It deserves attention on account of its resemblanees, but still more on aeeount of its differcnces, with eertain other Yueatee glyphs.

We may first compare it with the Plate LX of Stephens (our Fig. 59).
The head-dress in Plate 20 is quite simple, and presents no resemblauce to the elaborate gear of Plate LX, in which the ornament of a leaf (?), or more probably feather, cross-hatched at the end and divided symmetrically by a stem (?) or quill about which four dots are plaeed, seems charaeteristic.

Possibly, and only possibly, the square in the rear of the head of Plate 20 , which has two eross-hatchings, may refer to the elaborate cross-latchings in Plate LX. The four dots are found twiee, onee in
front and once in rear of the figure. The heads of the two figures have only one resemblance, but this is a very important one. The tusks belong to Huifzilopochtli and to his trinity, and specially to Tlaloc, his companion.

Both Plate 20 and LX have the serpent wand or yoke clearly expressed. In LXX the serpent is decorated with crotalus heads; in 20 bs images of the sun (?), as in the Ferjavary MS. (Kingsborought). The front apron or ornament of Plate 20 is of snake skin, ornamented with sun-symbols. Comparing Plate 20 with Fig. 52 (antc), we find quite other resemblances. The head-dress of 20 is the same as the projecting arm of the head-dress of Fig. 52 ; and the tusks are found in the helmet or mask of Fig. 52.
These and other resemblances show the Kabah inseription to be a Tlaloc. It is interesting specially on account of its hieroglyphs, which I hope to examine subsequently. The style of this writing appears to be late, and may serve as a connecting link between the stones and the manuscripts, and it is noteworthy that even the style of the drawing itself seems to be in the manner of the Mexican MS. of Laud, rather than in that of the Palenque stone tablets.
From the card catalogue I select the following chiffres as appertaining to the family of the Tlalocs. As I have said, these must for the present remain in a group, unseparated. Future studies will be necessary to discriminate between the special signs which relate to special members of the family. The chiffres are Nos. $3200 ; 1864 ; 1403 ; 811 ; 110$ ? ? 1943 ? ; 4114 ??; $b ?$; 1893 (bearded faces, or faces with teeth very prominent); 166 ? ; 4??; 807?; 62?; 155?; 26; 154?; 165?; 164?; 805; 4109; 1915? ; 675??; 633 ?? (distinguished by the characteristic eye of the Tlalocs).
Here, again, the writing is ideographic, and not phonetic.
X.

## CUKULCAN OR QUETZALCOATE.

The character 2021 occurs many times in Plate LVI (Fig. 48), and occasionally else where. The personage represented is distinguished by having a protruding tongue, and was theretore at once suspected to be Quetzalcoatl. (See Bancroft's Native Races, vol. iii, p. 280.) The protruding tongue is probably a reference to his introduction of the sacrificial acts performed by wounding that member.

The rest of the sign I suppose to be the rebus of his name, "Snakeplumage "; the part cross-hatched being "snake," the feather-like ornament at the upper left-land corner being "plumage." It is necessary, however, to prove this before accepting the theory. To do this I had recourse to Plates I and IV (Figs. 49, 50), my dictionary of synonyms.

This cross-hatching oeeurs in Plate I. In the six tassels below the waist, where the eross-hatehing might indieate the serpent skin, notiee the ends of the tassels; these are in a seroll-like form, and as if rolled or coiled up. In Plate IV they are the same, naturally. So far there is but little light.

In Plate IV, just above eaeh wrist, is a sign eomposed of ellipse and bars; a little above eaeh of these signs, among eoils whieh may be serpent coils, and on the horizontal line througli the top of the neeklaee pendant, are two surfaees eross-hatehed all over. What do these mean? Referring to Plate I, we find, in exaetly the same relative situation, the forked tongue and the rattles of the erotalus. These are, then, synonyms, and the gucss is eonfirmed. The eross-hatehing means serpent-skin. Is this always so? We must examine other plates to deeide.

The same ornament is found in Plates LX, XIV, XVI, XVIII, XLX, XX, XXI, XXXV (of STEPHENS'), but its situation does not allow us to gain any additional light.

In Plate XII (STEPHENs') none of the ornaments below the belt will help, us. At the level of the mouth are four patehes of it. Take the upper right-hand one of these. Immediately to its right is a serpent's head; below the enrve and above the frog's (?) head are the rattles. Here is another confirmation. In Plate XVIII I refer the eross-hatehing to the jaw of the crocodile. In Plate XXII I have numbered the chiffres as follows:

| 4201 | 4202 | 4203 | 4204. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4211 | 4212 | 4213 | 4214. |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 4311 | 4312 | 4313 | 4314. |

4204 has the eross-hatehing at its top, and to its left in 4203 is the ser. pent's liead. The same is true in 4233-4. In 4264 we liave the same symbol that we are trying to interpret; it is in its perfeet form here and in No. 1865 of the Palenque series. In the earyatides of Plate XXIV (Fig. 60) the eross-hatehing is ineluded in the spots of the leopard's skin; in the ornaments at the base, in and near the masks whieh they are supporting, it is again serpent skin. Take the lower mask; its jaws, forkedtongue, and teeth prove it to be a serpent-mask, as well as the ornament just above it. In Plate LX (Fig. 59) it is to be notieed that the leopard spots are not eross-hatehed, but that this ornament is given at the lower end of the leopard robe, whieh ends moreover in a erotalus tongue marked with the sign of the jaw (near the top of this ornament) and of the rattles (near the bottom). This again eonfirms the theory of the rebus meaning of the eross-hatehing. In Plate XXIV (Fig. 60) the erosshatehing on the leopard spots probably is meant to add the serpent attribute to the leopard symbol, and not simply to denote the latter.

Thus an examination of the whole of the material available, shows that the preceding half of the hieroglyph 2021 and its eongeners is nothing
but the rebus for Quetzalcoatl, or rather for Cukulcan, the Maya name for this god. Brassleur de Bourbourg, as quoted in BanCroft's Native Ruccs, vol. ii, p. 699, foot note, says Cukulcan, eomes from kuk or liukul, a bird, whieh appears to be the same as the quetzal, and from can, serpent; so that Cukulcan in Maya is the same as Quetzalcoatl in Aztee. It is to be noticed how cheeks on the aecuracy of any deciphering of hieroglyphs oceur at every point, if we will only use them.

The Maya equivalents of Huitzilopochtli and Tlaloc are undoubtedly buried in the chiffirs already deeiphered, but we have no means of getting their names in Maya from the rebus of the chiffres.

In the eases of these two gods we got the chiffre, and the rebns is still to seek. In the ease of Quetzalcoatl or CuKulcan, the rebus was the means of getting the name; and if the names of this divinity had not bcen equivalent in the two tongues, our results would have led us to the (almost absurd) eonclusion that a god of certain attributes was ealled by his Aztee name in the Maya nations.

Thus every eorreet conelusion confirms every former one and is a basis for subsequent progress. The results of this analysis are that the Maya god Cukulcan is named in each one of the following chiffres, viz: Nos. $1009,265,2090,2073,2021,3085,2045,3073,3070,3032,1865,265,265$ ?, 4291? 73?? I give the numbers in the order in whieh they are arranged in the card-eatalogne. There is, of eourse, a reason for this order.

Bancroft, vol. iii, p. 268, says of Quetzalcoatl that "his symbols were the bird, the serpent, the cross, and the flint, representing the clouds, the lightning, the four winds, and the thunderbolt."

We shall find all of his titles except one, the bird, in what follows. We must notice here that in the chiffre 2021 and its congeners the bird appears directly over the head of CuKuloan. It is plainly shown in the heliotype which aceompanies Professor Rau's work on the Palenque eross, though not so well in our Fig. 48.
In what has gone before, we have seen that the characters 2021, 2045, $2073,3073,3085,265$, ete., present the portrait and the rebus of Curulcan. It will not be forgotten that in the examination of the question as to the order in whieh the stone inseriptions were read we found a number of pairs in Plate LVI, Fig. 48; the characters 2021, ete., being one member of each. The other members of the pairs in the Plate LVI were $2020,2044,2072,3072,3084$, etc. $264-265$ is another example of the same pair elsewhere.

I hoped to find that the name Cukulcan, or 2021, was assoeiated in these pairs with some adjective or verb, and therefore examined the other members of the pair.

In a ease like this the card-catalogue is of great assistance; for example, I wish to examine here the chiffres Nos. 2020, 2044, 2072, 3072, 3084 , etc. In the eatalogue their eards oceur in the same compartment, arranged so that two carls that are exaetly alike are contiguous.

We can often know that two ehiffres are alike when one is in a far better state of preservation than the other. Henee we may select for study that one in which the lines and figures are best preserved; or from sevcral characters known to be alike, and of whieh no one is entirely perfeet, we may construet with aceuraey the type upon whieh they were founded. In this ease the hieroglyph 2020 is well preserved (see the right-hand side of Plate LVI, Fig. 48, the upper left-hand glyph). It consists of a human hand, with the symbol of the sun in it; above this is a sign similar to that of the Maya day Imix ; above this again, in miniature, is the rebus "snake plumage" or Cukulean; and to the left of the hieroglyph are some eurved lines not yet understood. No. 2003 of the same plate is also well preserved. It has the hand as in 2020, the rebus also, and the sign for Imix is slightly different, being modified with a sign like the top of a cross, the symbol of the four vinds. The symbol Ymix may be seen, by a reference to Plate XXVII (lower half) of the MS. Troano, to relate to the rain. The figmre of that plate is pouring rain upon the earth from the oritiees represented by Imix. The eross of the four winds is still more plain in Nos. 2072, 3084, and 3072.

The part of this symbol 2020 and its synonyms whieh eonsists of curred lines oeeupsing the left hand one-third of the whole chiffice oceurs only in this set of characters, and thus I eannot say eertainly what this partieular part of the hieroglyph means; but if the reader will glance back orer the last one hundred lines he will find that these ehiffres contain the rebus CUKULOAN, the sign of a human hand, of the sum, of the rain, and of the four winds.

In Bancroft's Native Races, vol. iii, elapter vii, we find that the titles of Quetzalcoatl (Cukuldan) were the air, the rattlesnake, the rumbler (in allusion to thunder), the strong hand, the lord of the four winds. The bird symbol exists in 2021 , ete. Now in 2020 and its eongeners we have found every one of these titles, save only that relating to the thunder. And we have found a meaning for every part of the hieroglyph 2020 save only one, viz, the left-hand one-third, eonsisting of eoneentrie half ellipses or eireles. It may be said to be quite probable that the unexplained part of the sign ( 2020 ) eorresponds to the unused title, "the rumbler." But it is not rigorously proved, although very probable. The thunder would be well represented by repeating the sign for sky or hearen. This mueh seems to me eertain. The sigu is but another summing up of the attributes and titles of CUKULCAN. 2021 gave his portrait, his bird symbol, made allusion to his institution of the saerifice of wounding the tongue, and spelled out his name in rebus eharacters. 2020 repeats his name as a rebus and adds the titles of lord of the four winds, of the sun, of rain, of the strong hand, etc. It is lis biography, as it were.

In this comection, a passing reference to the charaeters 1810, ete., 1820 , ete., 1830 , ete., 1840 , ete., 1850 , ete., of the left-hand side of Plate LVI should be made. Among these, all the titles named above are to be foumd. These are suitable subjects for fiture sturly.

We now see why the pair 2020, 2021 oceurs so many times in Plate LVI, and agaiu as 264,265 , ete. The right-hand half of this tablet has much to say of Cukulcan, and whencver his name is mentioned a brief list of his titles aceompanies it. Although it is disappointing to find both members of this well-marked pair to be proper names, jet it is gratifying to see that the theory of pairs, on whieh the proof of the order in which the tablets are to be read must rest, has reeeived such unexpected confirmation.

To conclude the seareh for the hieroglyphs of Cukulcan's name, it will be necessary to colleet all those faces with "round beards" (see Bancroft's Native Raees, vol. iii, p. 250). Tlaloc was also bearded, but all the historians refer to Quetzalcoatl as above eited. I refer hieroglyphis Nos. 658,651 ?, 650 ?, and 249 ? to this eategory.

Perhaps also the sign No. 153 is the sign of Quetzalcoatl, as something very similar to it is given as his sign in the Codex Telleriano Remersis, Kingsborough, vol. i, Plates I, II, and V (Plate I the best), where he wears it at his waist.
In Plate LNIII of Stephens (rol. ii) is a small figure of Cukulcan which he calls "Bas Relief on Tablet." Waldeck gives a muth larger drawing (incorreet, however, in many details), in whieh the figure, the "Bean Relief," is seen to wear braeclets high np on the arm. This was a distinguishing sign of Quetzalcoatl (see Bancroft's Native Races, vol. iii, pp. 249 and 250 ), and this figure probably is a representation of the Maya divinity. He is on a stool with tigers for supports. The tiger belongs to the attributes whieh he had in common with Tlaloc, and we sec again the intimate comeetion of these divinities-a connection often pointed out by Brasseur de Bourbourg.

This is the third proper name which has been deciphered. All of them have been pure pieture-writiug, except in so fir as their rebus charater may make them in a sense phonetic.

## XI.

## COMPARISON OF THE SIGNS OF TIE MAYA MONTHS (LANDA) WITH THE TABLETS.

We have a set of sigms for Maya months and days handed down to us by Landa along with his phonetic alphabet. A priori these are more likely to represent the primitive forms as carved in stone than are the alphabetie hieroglyphs, which may well have been invented by the Spaniards to assist the natives to memorize religious formulæ.*

[^5]Brasseur de Bourbourg has analyzed the signs for the day and month in his publication on the MS. Troano, and the strongest arguments which can be given for their phonetic origin are given by him.

I have made a sct of MS. copies of these signs and included them in my card-eataloguc, and have carefully compared them with the tablets XXIV and LVI. My results are as follows:

## Plate XXIV (our Fig. 60).

No. 42 is the Maya month Pop, beginuing July 16.
No. 54 is Zip??, beginning August 25.
No. 47 is Tzoz??, beginning Septcmber 14.
No. 57 is Tzec?, beginning October 4.
No. 44-45 is Mol?, beginning Deccmber 3.
No. 39 is Yax, Zac, or Ceh, beginning January 12, Febrnary 1, February 21 , respcetively.

## Plate LVI (our Fig. 48).

| No. 1804 is Uo???? | No. 1807 is Mol? |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. 1901 is Zip???? | No. 1855 is Yax, Zac, or Ceh. |
| No. 1816 is Tzoz?? | No. 1844 is Mac? |
| No. 1814 is Tzce? |  |

The only sign about which there is little or no doubt is No. 42, which seems pretty certainly to be the sign of the Maya month Pop, which began July 16.

No. 39, just above it, scems also to be one of the months Yax, Zac, or Cch, which began on January 12, Fcbruary 1, and Fcbrnary 21, respeetively. Which one of these it corresponds to must be settled by other means than a dircet comparison. The signs given by LaNDA for these threc months all contain the same radieal as No. 39, but it is impossible to decide with entirc certainty to which it corrcsponds. It, however, most nearly resembles the sign for Zac (February 1); and it is noteworthy that it was preciscly in this month that the greatest feast of Tlaloc took place,* and its presence in this tablet, which relates to Tlaloc, is especially interesting.

In conneetion with the eounting of time, a reference to the bottom part of the chiffre 3000 of the Palcnque cross tablet should be made. This is a linot ticd up in a string or scarf; and we know this to have been the method of cxpressing the cxpiration and completion of a cycle of years. It occurs just above the symbol 3010, the chiffre for a metal.

An cxamination of the original stonc in the National Museum, Washington, which is now in progress, has already convinced me that the methods which I have described in the preceding pages promise other interesting confirmations of the resnlts I have reached. For the time,

[^6]I must leave the matter in its present statc. I think I am justified in my confidence that suitable methods of procedure have bcen laid down, and that certain important results have already been reached.

I do not belicve that the conclusions stated will be clanged, but I am confident that a rich reward will be found by any competent person who will continue the study of these stones. The proper names now known will serve as points of departure, and it is probable that some rescarch will give us the signs for rerbs or adjectives connected with them.

It is an immense step to lave rid ourselves of the phonetic or aphabetie idea, and to have foumd the manner in which the Maya mind represented attributes and ideas. Their method was that of all nations at the origin of written language; that is, pure picture-writing. At Copan this is found in its carliest state; at Paleuque it was already highly conventionalized. The step from the Palenque character to that used in the Kabah inseription is apparently not greater than the step from the latter to the various mauseripts. Au important researeh would be the application of the methods so ably applicd by Dr. Allen to tracing the evolution of the latter characters from their carlier forms. In this way it will be possible to extend our present knowledge matcrially.


[^0]:    * At and after this place, in rertical columns, 1810-1-2, 1820-1-2, 1830-1-2, 1840-1-2, and 1860-1-2 may be taken as 2 or 3 symbols. I have assumed them to be 3 .

[^1]:    *These four each side of the main stem of the cross. $1976=$ Ezanab-a Maya day

[^2]:    ${ }^{*}$ The Life Form in Art, Trinus. Amer. Phil. Soc., vol. xv, 1873, 1. 325. 15 A E

[^3]:    * lirom Kingsborough, vol. i, plate 43, it appears that Tlacli Tonatio may have had four hauds. His name meant (i) Let there be light.

[^4]:    * See Kingsborough, vol. ii, Plate I, of the Laud MS.

[^5]:    * Since this was writton I have seen a paper by Dr. Valentini, "The Landa aphabet a Spanish fabrication" (read befere the American Antirquarian Seciety, April 28 , 1880), and the conelusions of that paper seem to me to be undonbtedly eorrect. They are the same as those just given, but while my own were reached by a stmity of the stones and in the cemrse of a general oxamination, Dr. Valentini has addressed himself snecessfnlly to the solution of a special problem.

[^6]:    *See Brasseur de Bourbourg, Histoire du Mexique, vol. i, p. 328.

