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As a result of a revision of the genus Parapagurus Smith, three genera are proposed: Parapagurus sensu stricto, Strobopagurus gen. nov., and Sympagurus Smith reinstated. Diagnoses of the thrce genera are given, and a number of structures are described. A key to aid in the identification of the genera of the family is presented. All taxa heretofore assigned to Parapagurus are rcassigned. Four species of Parapagurus and six of Sympagurus occur in the western Atlantic, including a new specics, Sympagurus acinops. All western Atlantic species are redescribed. Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol) is a senior homonym of the eastern Pacific Parapagurus abyssorum Henderson, and a new name, Parapagurus holthuisi, is given to Henderson's taxon. The subspecies of Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith and Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards) are elevated to specific rank. Sympagurus arcuatus A. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, is a junior synonym of Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson). The treatment of each species includes a synonymy, illustrations, comments on symbiotic associations, and a distributional map. Kcys to aid in the identification of the western Atlantic species are presented. The morphological variation of the western Atlantic species of Sympagurus is described.
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## INTRODUCTION

Hcrmit crabs of the genus Parapagurus form one of the most conspicuous groups of organisms on the continental slope ( $200-3000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) of every major ocean. However, they range from depths of 55 m to 5000 m . Species of Parapagurus have frequently been depicted as typical inhabitants of the deepsea (e.g., Filhol, 1885a,b; Bruun, 1956; Menzies et al., 1973). Several species have attracted the attention of zoologists since early times because of the striking symbiotic relationship that they have developed with members of the Anthozoa (actinians and zoanthids). Despite the ubiquity and intriguing aspects of the biology of these crabs, knowledge of most of the taxa is poor.

As now constituted, Parapagurus contains the majority of the species of the family Parapaguridae. Three monotypic genera are also included in this family and are represented by the highly specialized forms Probeebei mirabilis Boone, Tylaspis anomala Henderson, and Typhlopagurus foresti De Saint Laurent. The intcrpretation of species of Parapagurus has long presented a problem for carcinologists who have considered that species of this genus exhibit a broad range of morphological variation. For example, in the case of Parapagurus pilosimanus, the type of the genus, this presumed variability led investigators to describe a number of infraspecific taxa. Many of these, however, were inadequately described, and as a result their taxonomic status has remained confused and thcir relationships obscure.

The taxonomic confusion that has existed among taxa of Parapagurus can be attributed, at least in part, to the use of inadequate diagnostic characters. A survey of the characters uscd in the diagnoses of the various species and subspecies clearly indicates the tendency to assign great diagnostic importance to characters derived from the right cheliped. Recent studies, however, have shown that the right cheliped in several paguroid genera is greatly influenced by growth, sexual dimorphism, type of shelter used, and environmental conditions (e.g., McLaughlin, 1974; Lemaitrc et al., 1982; McLaughlin \& Haig, 1984; Blackstonc, 1985). Within species of Parapagurus, the right cheliped has also been shown to be similarly affected (Lemaitre, 1986), and, as a result, considerable interspecific, as well as intraspecific, overlap occurs in the range
of characters. The fact that many Parapagurus taxa have been defined primarily by characters of this appendage makes specific and/or subspecific interpretation difficult or impossible.

The initial objective of this investigation was to review the genus Parapagurus and to redescribe the western Atlantic species. As previously defined, the genus contained a morphologically diverse group of species and subspecies adapted to a variety of ecological conditions and shelters. A review of the taxa on a world-wide basis quickly showed the heterogeneity of Parapagurus, and the need for its revision. As a result of this revision, two groups of species have been found to be sufficiently distinct from all others to warrant assignment to separate genera. The genus Parapagurus is herein restricted to one of these groups, formed by the eleven species of the $P$. pilosimanus complex (cf. Lemaitre, 1986), four of which occur in the western Atlantic. A second group is formed by three species assigned to Strobopagurus gen. nov., of which none have been found in the western Atlantic. The genus Sympagurus is reinstated and broadly defined to include the remaining taxa (twenty-nine species and three subspecies), although it is recognized that they still represent a morphologically diverse assemblage. Six species of Sympagurus occur in the western Atlantic.

During the course of this study two new species have been discovered, Parapagurus alaminos Lemaitre, 1986, and Sympagurus acinops spec. nov., and a number of taxonomic problems have been clarified. Parapagurus abyssorum Henderson, has been found to be a junior homonym of the Atlantic Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol); therefore a new name, P. holthuisi, is given to Henderson's taxon. $P$. scaber Henderson, is a junior synomym of Filhol's $P$. abyssorum. The subspecies proposed by De Saint Laurent (1972) for $P$. pilosimanus Smith, and Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards), are elevated to specific rank. The distribution of Parapagurus pilosimanus has been found to be restricted to the Atlantic. In addition, Sympagurus arcuatus A. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier is a junior synonym of $S$. gracilis (Henderson).

The availability of a vast amount of material of several western Atlantic species has been extremely useful to the present study. Detailed examination of this material has made it possible to: 1 . evaluate the range of morphological variation in these species, 2 . identify reliable diagnostic characters, and 3. provide an indication of the ranges of variation that can be generally expected in species of Parapagurus and Sympagurus. The morphological variation observed in the four species of Parapagurus were described by Lemaitre (1986); thercfore, only the variation in the species of Sympagurus is included here.

The inclusion of a section entitled "Morphological Considerations" is necessary to clarify terminology and to describe scveral unique or diagnostically
important structures. The "Systematic Account" includes: 1. a key to the gcnera of the family Parapaguridae, 2. diagnoses of Parapagurus, Strobopagurus gen. nov., and Sympagurus, 3. keys to aid in the identification of the ten western Atlantic species, and 4. a complete synonymy of each of these. Because of the inadequacy of previous descriptions, it has been necessary to include redescriptions of the western Atlantic species [except for Parapagurus alaminos, recently described by Lemaitre (1986), for which only a diagnosis is given]. Accompanying the redescriptions are detailed illustrations of the species, distributional maps, and brief notes on the symbiotic associations.

## HISTORICAL RESUMÉ

Smith (1879) described Parapagurus on the basis of the trichobranchiae of P. pilosimanus Smith. Subsequently (1882), he considered the trichobranchiae of this species to be unique among hermit crabs and proposed the monotypic family Parapaguridae for this genus. Shortly thereafter, Smith (1883) described another monotypic genus, Sympagurus, for Sympagurus pictus Smith. He noted that Sympagurus was very similar to Parapagurus, except that the former had phyllobranchiae. In his description of Sympagurus, and in subsequent reports of $S$. pictus, Smith did not indicate the familial placement of Sympagurus (cf. Smith, 1883, 1884, 1886). Trichobranchiae were later found to be present in other paguroid genera and Henderson (1888) proposed two divisions for paguroids based on branchial structure: Laminibranchiata for those forms with phyllobranchiae (Coenobitoidae and Paguridae), and Fibribranchiata for those forms with trichobranchiae. Henderson expanded the Parapaguridae to include all genera with trichobranchiae, i.e. Parapagurus, Pagurodes Henderson, Paguropsis Henderson, and Pylocheles A. Milne Edwards. Henderson's parapagurids werc united only by gill structure and by their deep-water habitat.

Henderson's use of gill structure and arrangement as a primary character for systematic grouping was criticized by Bouvier (1891a) who cited examples of several closely rclated gencra with different types of gills. He suggested that in paguroids the change from trichobranchiae to phyllobranchiae had occurred progressively and independently in various groups. In Bouvier's view, it was not natural to separatc Parapagurus from Sympagurus based on the type of branchiac. Despite Bouvier's criticism, Ortmann (1892) continued to use the Parapaguridae for those forms with trichobranchiae, and added another genus, Cheiroplatea Bate, to the family. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1893, and subsequent publications), disagreed with the conccpts of the family Para-
paguridae as defined by Smith (1882) and Henderson (1888), and placed Parapagurus, as well as Sympagurus, in the family Paguridae. Although at that time carcinologists agreed on the lack of significance of branchial structure at the familial level, the use of branchial structure at the generic level to separate Parapagurus from Sympagurus was continued (Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893, 1894a, 1897. 1899, 1900; Alcock, 1901. 1905; Bouvier, 1922, 1940; Melin, 1939; Thompson, 1943). However, to Balss (1912), the separation of the two genera seemed unsustainable and he formally placed Sympagurus in synonymy with Parapagurus. Since then, most carcinologists (e.g., Terao, 1913; Forcst, 1955; De Saint Laurent, 1972) have accepted this latter arrangement, except Edmondson (1925), who described a species under Sympagurus. A specimen in poor condition reported by Rathbun (1919) as "Sympagurus sp." is not a parapagurid and represents an undetermined species of Iridopagurus De Saint Laurent-Dechancé.

The family Parapaguridae was reinstated and redefined by Dc Saint Laurent (1972) based on larval and adult morphology. In addition to Parapagurus (including Sympagurus), De Saint Laurent included three monotypic genera in the family, Tylaspis Henderson, Probeebei Boone, and a new genus described as Typhlopagurus. Previously, Tylaspis had been placed in the Paguridae (Henderson, 1888). Probeebei was originally described as a primitive macruran by Boone (1926a,b) for which she proposed the family Probeebeidae. Subsequently, in his redescription of Probeebei mirabilis, Wolff (1961a,b) showed that the species was really a hermit crab and placed it in the Paguridac.

In her reinstatement of the family Parapaguridae, De Saint Laurent (1972) broadly dcfined the genus Parapagurus and included twenty-eight species and thirteen subspecies. Since that time, five new species have been described (De Saint Laurent, 1973; Kensley, 1973; Macpherson, 1983b, 1984; Lemaitre, 1986).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Primary sources of specimens and types used in this study have been the collections of: National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D. C. (USNM); Rosensticl School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami (UMML); Texas A\&M University, Collegc Station, Texas (TAMU); Rijskmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden (RMNH); British Museum (Natural History), London (BM); Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University ( MCZ ); Musée Ocćanographique, Monaco (MO); and Museum National d'Histoire Naturellc, Paris (MNHNP). Additional
material was provided by the following museums and institutions: Instituto de Investigaciones Pesqueras de Barcelona (IIPB); LGL Ecological Research Associates, Bryan, Texas; and South African Museum, Capetown (SAM). A total of 4513 specimens was examined, part of which was used by Lemaitre (1986). The material used by Lemaitre is not listed here. All specimens have been returned to the museums or institutions of origin. For the sake of brevity, full station data are not included under "Material examined", but can be obtained from the "Appendix" or the information accompanying the specimens. The number of specimens examined of each species is indicated by geographic area, from north to south, and the place of deposition indicated in parenthesis. The abbreviation SL indicates shield length (to the nearest 0.1 mm ), measured from the tip of the rostrum to the midpoint of the posterior margin of the shield.

## MORPHOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

In general, the terminology for morphological structures of species of Parapagurus, Strobopagurus gen. nov., and Sympagurus, conforms with that of other paguroids as described by McLaughlin $(1974,1980)$. However, several unique or diagnostically important structures that have not been adequately described previously, require clarification.

The presence of two median unpaired spines on the epistomial region (fig. 1A) in species of the Parapaguridae is unique among the Paguroidea [except for Parapylocheles scorpio Alcock, (De Saint Laurent, 1972)]. One of these spines, located on the center of the epistome, is not always present. De Saint Laurent (1972:98) called this spine the "épine interantennulaire"; however, the term "epistomial spine" is more indicative of its location and this term is adopted here. A labral spine is formed by an anterior extension of the labrum and is invariably present in all parapagurids. In some species the labrum is separated from the epistome by a suture, whereas in others the labrum is fused to the epistome.

Four types of branchiae are present in the species: 1. trichobranchiae, a series of four filamentous, often flattened branches arranged along the axis (fig. 2L,M), 2. phyllobranchiae, a series of paired, flattened branches along the axis (fig. 2 H ). 3. intermediate branchiae between types 1 and 2, and consisting of series of paired flattened branches distally divided (fig. 2I, J,K), and 4. vestigial branchiae, represented by small buds (fig. 2G).

The second abdominal somite and the pleopods are diagnostically important. The left pleuron of the second abdominal somite is unique in that it tcrminates ventrally in a small subtriangular lobe (fig. 1B). The male first


Fig 1, diagrammatic parapagurid, depicting terminology used in lext. A, shield and cephalic appendages (fronlal view); B, male abdomen (dorsal view).
pleopods are unsegmented, consisting of a basal, slender portion, and a distal lobe that varies in shape (fig. 2A,D). A short exopod on the first pleopod has been reported only in one species (Sympagurus sinensis De Saint Laurent, 1972). The second pleopods are each divided into two segments: a basal, slender segment, often with a rudimentary exopod, and a distal segment varying in shape, armature and setation (fig. 2B,C,E,F). Females typically lack first pleopods. However, in some normal (non-parasitized) females, paired first pleopods are often represented by small buds. Paired second pleopods, the right being vestigial, have been observed in females of all but one species (Sympagurus ruticheles A. Milne Edwards).

In many species, numerous low, blister-like tubercles may be present on the shield, posterior carapace, second to fifth pereopods, abdominal somites,


Fig. 2, diagrammatic male left first and second pleopods, left fifth pereopod, and branchiae (transverse section), depicting terminology used in text. A-C, Parapagurus Smith: A, first plcopod (mesial view); B, second pleopod (posterior view); C, same (anterior view). D-F, Strobopagurus gen. nov.: D, first pleopod (mesial view); E, sccond picopod (posterior view); F, same (anterior view). G, fifth pereopod and side of last thoracic somite. H-M, types of branchiae: H, phyllobranchia; 1-K, intermediate branchiac; L, M, trichobranchiae.
uropods, telson, and male second pleopods. The shape of the tubercles varies from subcircular to ovate, and often one or more are partially fused.

## SYSTEMATIC ACCOUNT

Key to the genera of the family Parapaguridae

1. Corncae present ..... 2

- Corneae absent .................... Typhlopagurus De Saint Laurent

2. Rostrum short, not excecding eye-stalks ............................. 3

- Rostrum long, exceeding eye-stalks ................ Probeebei Boone

3. Ocular acicles present ................................................. . 4

- Ocular acicles absent ............................. Tylaspis Henderson

4. Trichobranchiae; lacking vestigial pleurobranchiae; corneae not strongly dilated; antennal peduncles and acicles distinctly ovcrreaching eye-stalks; palm of right cheliped rounded mesially and laterally; males with well developed first and second pleopods (fig. 2B,C) ... Parapagurus Smith

- One or more characters not as above .................................. 5

5. Shield distinctly broader than long; corneae strongly dilated; lacking epistomial spine; dactyls of ambulatory legs straight or nearly so; males with first pleopod lacking short exopod; second pleopod with short exopod and strongly twisted distal segment (fig. 2E,F)

Strobopagurus gen. nov.

- One or more characters not as above ............ Sympagurus Smith


## Parapagurus Smith, 1879

Parapagurus Smith, 1879: 50. Type species by monolypy: Parapagurus pilosimanus Smilh, 1879; 51. Gender: maseuline. - De Saint Laurent, 1972:101 (in part).

Diagnosis. - Shield usually well calcified; lateral projections unarmed; ventrolateral margins of shield unarmed. Corneae not strongly dilated. Antennal peduncles and acicles distinctly overreaching eye-stalks. Fourth scgment of antennal peduncle unarmed. Epistomial spine, when present, short and straight. Eleven pairs of trichobranchiae (lacking vestigial branchiae on last thoracic somite). Right cheliped elongate; palm rounded mosially and laterally. Left cheliped well calcified. Ambulatory legs long, dactyls curved. Second abdominal somite with left pleuron terminating ventrally in small subtriangular lobe. Males with well developed paired first and second pleopods (fig. 2A-C); first pleopods with elongate subconical or subtubular distal lobe; second pleopods with distal segment twisted.
The following species arc assigned to Parapagurus Smith: Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith, P. abyssorum (Filhol), P. alaminos Lemaitre, P. andersoni Henderson, $P$. andreui Macpherson, $P$. benedicti De Saint Laurent, $P$. bouvieri Stebbing, P. holthuisi nom. nov., P. latimanus Henderson, P. microps De Saint Laurent, and P. nudus (A. Milne Edwards).

Distribution. - World-wide.
Remarks. - Although Smith (1879) defined Parapagurus particularly on the trichobranchiae of $P$. pilosimanus, he did include a number of additional
possible characters. These were: reduced eyes, length of antennules and antennae, narrowness of sternum between the second and third pereopods, and males with well developed paired first and second pleopods. As more specimens of $P$. pilosimanus were collected, and new species of Parapagurus were described, the definition of the genus was expanded (Smith, 1883; Henderson, 1888; Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893, 1894a; Alcock, 1905). Among the characters added by these authors were: lack of paired pleopods in females, unpaired left gonopore in females, and the lack of a flagellum on the exopod of the first maxilliped. Following Balss' (1912) unification of Parapagurus and Sympagurus, Parapagurus was expanded even more to accomodate those species with phyllobranchiae (e.g., Barnard, 1950; Forest, 1955; De Saint Laurent, 1972; McLaughlin, 1974). De Saint Laurent (1972) tentatively divided the species of Parapagurus into three informal groups: pilosimanus, dimorphus, and gracilipes. However, the division was based on characters of the right cheliped, and her groups are of unclear phylogenetic significance.

A re-examination of the characters previously used in the diagnosis of the genus has shown that most are not of diagnostic significance at the generic level. Rather, the concurrent presence of several characters must be used to define the genus. The species of Parapagurus exhibit the following characters: 1. trichobranchiate gills, 2. corneae not strongly dilated, 3. antennal peduncles and acicles distinctly overreaching the eye-stalks, 4. palm of right cheliped rounded laterally and mesially, and 5. well-developed, paired first and second pleopods in malcs. The species of this genus have the deepest bathymetric distributions within the family.

## Key to the western Atlantic species of Parapagurus Smith

1. Mesial and lateral faces of meri, carpi, and propodi of ambulatory legs armed with small spines . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . P. abyssorum (Filhol)

- Mesial and lateral faces of meri, carpi, and propodi of ambulatory legs unarmed

2
2. Telson with symmetrical lobes; scales of propodal rasp of fourth pereopod conical
P. pilosimanus Smith

- Telson with asymmetrical lobes; scales of propodal rasp of fourth pereopod ovate 3

3. Carpus of left cheliped armed with small spines or tubercles dorsally (more numerous in malcs than in females); terminal margin of telson armed with long spines P. alaminos Lemaitre

- Carpus of left cheliped unarmed or at most with few small tubercles (males and femalcs); terminal margin of telson armed with short spines P. nudus (A. Milne Edwards)


## Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith, 1879

(figs. 3, 4, 5A, 6, 39A.B)

Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith, 1879: 51 (type locality: off the coast of Nova Scotia); 1881: 428; 1882: 20. pl. 2, figs. 4-4d: Verrill. 1882a: 137: 1883: 61, pl. 8, fig. 5; Smith, 1883: 33, pl. 5. figs. 2-2a, 4-4b. 5. pl. 6, figs. 1-4a, not figs. 3. 3a (see remarks); 1884: 354 (in part; see remarks); Verrill, 1885: 535. pl.8. fig. 28 (in part; see remarks); Smith, 1886: 607 (in part; see remarks); Pocock, 1889: 430; Bourne, 1890: 316: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1892a: 1 (in part: see remarks): 1893: 28: 1894a: 64, pl. 9. figs. 1-17; 1894b:319; Stebbing, 1893: 167; Bouvier, 1896: 128, fig. 10; Calman. 1896: 2; Henderson, 1896: 530; Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1899: 54; 190): 187. pl. 24, figs. $1-3$ (in part), not pl. 6. fig. 2 [ = Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol. 1885a); see remarks]; Whitcaves, 1901: 259; Hansen, 1908: 29; Fowler, 1912: 581: Selbie, 1921: 8, pl. 7, figs. 1-6: Bouvier, 1922: 20: 1940: 128, fig. 85; Rabaud, 1941: 263; Gordan, 1956: 338 (in part; see remarks): Wolff, 1961b: 16; Lemaitre, 1986: 529, figs. IC,D, 3A-E, 4C, D, 5E,F, 6I, J, 7C.G. 8H. 9F-H.
Eupagurus Jacobii A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 42; 1882: 42; Smith, 1882: 20: Cuénot, 1892: 56.
Pagurus pilimanus : Milne Edwards. 1884a: 17b: 1884b: 27; Perrier, 1886: 301, fig. 219: Bouvier, 1888: 246; Cuénot, 1892: 56. [not Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880); see remarks].
Eupagurus jacobii : Henderson, 1888: 86; 1896: 530.
Parapagurus abyssorum Henderson, 1888: 87 (in part). ?not pl. 9. fig. 2. [not Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol,1885a); see remarks].
Parapagurus abyssorum : Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893:31; Bouvicr, 1891b: 81; Murray, 1895: 237 (in part); Henderson, 1896: 530 (in part); Bouvier, 1907: 62. [not Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol.1885a)].
?Parapagurus pilosimanus: Haddon \& Shackleton, 1891: 642; Jourdan. 1891: 270; Alcock, 1905: 99 (in part); Przibram, 1905: 197; Doflein. 1914: 269; Williamson, 1915: 479; Carlgren, 1923: 271: Balss. 1924: 766, fig. 23: 1927: 968, fig. 1070; Boas, 1926: 4; Carlgren, 1928b: 167, fig. 2; Perez, 1934: 20; Forest. 1954: 167: 1955: 100; Springer \& Bullis, 1956: 16; Forest, 1958: 99; Füller. 1958: 164, fig. 92; Pike \& Williamson, 1958: 2, figs. 1-3; 1960: 539; Forest. 1961: 231; Russell, 1962: 24: Williamson, 1964; De Saint Laurent-Dechancé, 1964: 5, fig. 23: Bullis \& Thompson, 1965: 9; Ross. 1967: 306; Williamson \& von Levetzow, 1967: 184; Rowe \& Menzies, 1968: 549; Zariquiey-Alvarez, 1968: 252; Pilgrim, 1973: 391; Menzies, George, \& Rowe, 1973: 100, fig.4-21; Doumene, 1975: 163; George, 1976: 83; 1979: 61, fig. 3; 1981: 286; Hazlett, 1981: 4; Takeda, 1983: 105, textfig.; Knott \& Wendt, 1985: 48. (see remarks).
?Sympagurus Grimaldii A. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1897: 133; 1898: 1246; 1899: 57. pl. 4, figs. 1-5. (see remarks).
?Sympagurus grimaldii: Alcock, 1905: 173; Gordan, 1956: 342.
?Parapagurus sp. 1: De Saint Laurent-Dechancé, 1964: 5. figs. 1, 6, 8, 9. 10. (see remarks).
?Pagurus pilosimanus: Caullery, 1896: 386; 1922: 9; 1952: 9; Williamson. 1915: 479; 1964: 479. (see remarks).
?Species S. A. 2: Williamson \& von Levetzow. 1967: 185, fig. 3h-k. (see remarks).
Parapagurus pilosimanus pilosimanus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 1 (in part; see remarks); McLaughlin, 1974: 377: Türkay, 1976: 25: Ingle, 1985: 764; De Saint Laurent. 1985: 475.

Parapagurus pilosimanus nudus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 2 (in part; see remarks).
?not Parapagurus pilosimanus: Aleoek, 1901: 204: Porter, 19)6: 29; Balss, 1912: 96, pl. 11, figs. 1-6: 1913: 50: Terao, 1913: 385: Laurie. 1926: 160; Moore, 1932: 298: Yokoya. 1933: 79: Makarov, 1938: 223, fig. 74; Thompson. 1943: 412: Vinogradov, 1950: 230; Haig. 1955: 17; Moore \& Kruse, 1956: 15: Makarov, 1962: 142, fig. 74: Austin, 1985: 642. (sec remarks).
not Parapagurus pilosimanus: Barnard. 1950: 450, fig. 83a,b ( = Parapagurus bouvieri Stebbing. 1910): Kensley, 1974: 65 ( = Parapagurus bouvieri Stebbing. 1910).
not Parapagurus pilossimanus: Verrill, 1928: 16 (misspelling of $P$. pilosimanus) $(=$ Sympagurus pictus Smith. 1883).


Fig. 3, Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith. A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, left chela and carpus; C, right chela and carpus; D, Ieft second pereopod (mesial view); E, left third pereopod (mesial view); F, propodus and dactyl of left fourth pereopod (lateral view); G, propodus and dactyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view); H, telson (dorsal view); 1, denuded antennal acicles (dorsal view); J, denuded antennal acicles (dorsal view). Scales equal 10 mm (A-E, I), and 5 mm (F-H, J). A-I: holotype, USNM 21413. J: $\wp(S L=9.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, northwestern Atlantic, Advance II station 78-1-9, USNM.

Type material. - Hololype: $\sigma^{\prime}(\mathrm{SL}=13.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Guy Cunningham, $42^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 06^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 450 \mathrm{~m}$, USNM 21413; Syntype of Eupagurus jacobii A. Milne Edwards, 1880: $¢(\mathrm{SL}=3.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), MCZ 2577.

Material examined. - In addition to the material reported by Lemaitre (1986: 529), the following has been examined from the eastern Atlantic: $20^{\circ} \sigma^{\circ}, 599$, Madeira (RMNH); $110^{\circ} 0^{\circ}, 109 ¢$. Selvagens Islands (RMNH): $10^{\circ}$. Canary Islands (RMNH).

Deseription. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum rounded, slightly overreaching lateral projections, with mid-dorsal ridge. Anterior margin weakly concave. Lateral projections broadly rounded. Anterolateral margins
sloping. Posterior margin broadly rounded. Dorsal surface usually well calcified, with longitudinal row of short setae on each side of midline and short transverse row of setae near each posterolateral angle. Anterolateral margin of branchiostegite unarmed.

Ocular peduncles less than half length of shield, with longitudinal row of setae dorsally; ventrobasal portion of peduncle inflated. Ocular acicles subtriangular, usually terminating in strong simple spine, occasionally bifid; mesial margins convex, lateral margins sloping; separated basally by approximately basal width of one acicle.

Antennular peduncles slender, long, exceeding distal margin of corneae by slightly less than entire length of penultimate segment, with scattered setae. Ultimate segment about twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with simple to multifid ventromesial distal spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with distal subrectangular lobe armed with one spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles exceeding distal margin of corneae by entire length, or more, of ultimate segment. Flagellum distinctly overreaching right cheliped, with scattered setae less than onc flagellar article in length proximally, naked distally. Third to fifth segments with scattered setae. Third segment with strong, ventromesial, distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral distal angle produced, terminating in strong simple to multifid spine; mesial margin convex, with small tubercle or spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment with small tubercle on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with one or more small spines. Antennal acicles nearly straight in dorsal view, distinctly overreaching distal margin of corneae; mesial margin setose, unarmed or with one to six small tubercles or spines on proximal half.

Mouth parts as figured (fig. 4A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistomial spine usually absent.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, each usually with carpus and chela covered with densc simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped elongate, proportions influenced by size and sexual dimorphism. Fingers each terminating in calcareous claw, with numerous tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces; cutting edges each with irregularly sized calcareous teeth; cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small corneous spines. Dactyl set at oblique angle to palm; with irregular rows of small tubercles and spines mesially. Palm with dorsal surface with numcrous small tubercles or spines; ventral surface usually unarmed. Carpus with numerous small tubercles or spines on all surfaces (less numerous mesially and ventrally). Merus with scattered small tubercles dorsally and laterally; mesial face smooth; ventromesial margin with row of tubercles. Ischium with scattered small tubercles on lateral face; ventromesial margin with row of tubercles; dorsal margin usually with bilobed protuberance. Coxa commonly with small tubercles on ventroproximal angle, and


Fig. 4, Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith. $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(S L=12.8 \mathrm{~mm})$, norhtwestern Atlantic, Gilliss station 75-8-36, USNM: A-G, mouth parts (left, internal view): A, third maxilliped; B, second maxilliped, $C$, first maxilliped; $D$, maxilla; $E$, maxillule; $F$, endopod of same; $G$, mandible; $H$, branchia (transverse section). Scales equal $3 \mathrm{~mm}(A-E, G), 1 \mathrm{~mm}(F)$, and $2 \mathrm{~mm}(H)$.
with ventromesial row of setae.
Left cheliped slender. Fingers each terminating in small corneous claw; dorsal and ventral surfaces with tufts of setae; cutting edges each with row of small corneous teeth. Palm usually smooth, sometimes with row of small tubercles on dorsolateral, dorsomedian, and dorsomesial faces. Carpus and merus subtriangular. Carpus usually with scattered small tubercles or spines dorsally. Merus unarmed dorsally, or with few tubercles; ventromesial margin
with row of tubercles; ventral surface with small tubercles. Ischium with ventromesial row of small tubercles. Coxa with ventromesial row of long setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left; slender, long, exceeding right cheliped; ischium, merus, carpus, and propodus, each with unarmed mesial and lateral faces, and short setae on dorsal margins. Dactyls subequal to combined Iength of carpi and propodi, most strongly curved in distal half; with dorsodistal and dorsomesial distal row of long setae, and ventromesial row of corneous spinules. Carpus with small dorsodistal spine. Meri of second pereopods each with ventral row of small tubercles; meri of third pereopods unarmed. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, armed with small subterminal spine.
Fourth pereopod with dactyl subtriangular, shorter than length of propodal rasp, terminating in corneous claw; with ventrolateral row of small corneous spines; propodal rasp with two to three irregular rows of conical scales (individuals $\mathrm{SL}<4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ often with ovate scales). Propodal rasp of fifth percopod forming subtriangular area less than half the length of propodus.

Exopod of left uropod elongate, anterior margin broadly rounded. Telson with terminal margin usually evenly convex, entirely armed with small corneous spines; commonly with very small V -shaped sinus separating generally symmetrical lobes.

Males with paired first and second pleopods well developed. Females usually lacking first pleopods, occasionally with paired or unpaired (left or right) rudimentary first pleopods.
Size. $-O^{\prime} O^{\prime}, \mathrm{SL}=2.8-16.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ ㅇ ¢, \mathrm{SL}=2.7-13.8 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ ㅇ ¢ ~($ ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=4.9-14.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Usually found living in shelters formed by a species of Epizoanthus. Large individuals of $P$. pilosimanus commonly inhabit large colonies of this zoanthid (fig. 5A).

Distribution. - North Atlantic: from southwest of Iceland and the Faeroe Islands (Hansen, 1908) to west of Ireland. Western Atlantic: from off Nova Scotia to Guyana. Eastern Atlantic: from the Bay of Biscay to the Gulf of Guinea. South Atlantic: Tristan da Cunha (Henderson, 1888). Depth range: from 102 m to 3864 m ; most frequently found in depths of 400 m to 1400 m .

Affinities. - Among the species of Parapagurus Smith from the western Atlantic, this species is most closely allied to $P$. nudus and $P$. alaminos. $P$. pilosimanus can be distinguished from these two species primarily by the shape and armature of the telson, the shape of the scales of the propodal rasp of the fourth pereopod, and the armature of the antennal acicles. The frequency of occurrence of the epistomial spine can also be uscd as an additional character to scparate $P$. pilosimanus from $P$. nudus and $P$. alaminos. The spinc is usually absent in $P$. pilosimanus, whereas the spine in the the other two species is


Fig. 5, examples of anthozoan symbionts of species of Parapagurus Smith. A, Epizoanthus spec. ( $P$. pilosimanus Smith); B, actinian on gastropod shell [ $P$. nudus (A. Milne Edwards)]; C, same; D, actinian, cut in half $[P$. abyssorum (Filhol)], shown); E, actinian (left), pseudo-shell (center and right) $[P$. abyssorum (Filhol)]. Scales equal $10 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C})$, and 20 mm (D, E).


Fig. 6, map showing distribution of Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith [hatched area: based on material examined; cross-hatched area: based on Selbie (1921); stars; based on Hansen (1908); circled stars: based on Henderson (1888)].
usually present [see variations in Lemaitre (1986)].
Remarks. - Smith (1879) described this species from one male specimen, but subsequently reported numerous specimens from the northeastern coast of the United States (Smith, 1881, 1882, 1883, 1884, 1886). An examination of most of Smith's material as well as numerous additional specimens collected since then, has made it possible to clearly diagnose his taxon. [In Smith's (1883) plate 6 , the legends for figures 2-2a, and figures 3, 3a, were inverted]. It is clear that Smith and other carcinologists confounded several species under the name Parapagurus pilosimanus. My examination of Smith's material has revealed that it represents his $P$. pilosimanus for the most part, except for some of the material reported in 1884 and 1886 , which also includes specimens of $P$. nudus, and $P$. abyssorum (Filhol). The anthozoans found living symbiotically with Smith's (1884) specimens were reported by Verrill (1885) in association with $P$. pilosimanus. Verrill also confounded Smith's taxon with $P$. nudus and $P$. abyssorum.

In a narrative of the Talisman expeditions to the eastern Atlantic, Milne Edwards (1884a, 1884b) cited Pagurus pilimanus, and subsequently Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1900) included the name in the synonymy of Parapagurus
pilosimanus. Clearly, Milne Edwards' citation referred to Parapagurus pilosimanus, and not to Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards).

Henderson (1888) described $P$. abyssorum from specimens collected in both the Atlantic and Indo-West-Pacific regions. He also described a variety, $P$. abyssorum var. scabra, for a female specimen from the Atlantic that differed from his typical P. abyssorum. Because Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1892a) believed that Smith's $P$. pilosimanus was a highly variable cosmopolitan species they considered Henderson's taxa to be only variants of Smith's taxon. However, in order to include those representatives of Henderson's taxa that occurred at great depths ( $3650-4060 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and which differed significantly from his taxon, they retained Henderson's (1888) abyssorum as a variety. In her subdivision of $P$. pilosimanus, De Saint Laurent (1972), not realizing that $P$. abyssorum (Filhol) was an available name, reinstated Henderson's varicty scaber, and ranked both scaber and abyssorum sensu Henderson as subspecies of $P$. pilosimanus. As previously noted, Henderson's $P$. scaber is a junior synonym of $P$. abyssorum (Filhol). Henderson's $P$. abyssorum is a junior homonym of Filhol's taxon, and as such is a preoccupied name; therefore, Henderson's taxon requires a new name. Henderson's Atlantic material is referrable to $P$. pilosimanus Smith; however, his illustration (pl.9, fig. 6) does not appear to represent this species.

References to Parapagurus pilosimanus are found not only in the systematic literature, but also in studies of comparative anatomy (Pilgrim, 1973), anthozoan systematics and symbiotic relationships [Jourdan, 1891; Caullcry, 1896, 1922, 1952 (as Pagurus pilosimanus); Doflein, 1914; Carlgren, 1923. 1928b; Balss, 1924; Füller, 1958; Ross, 1967; Doumenc, 1975], fecal pellets (Moore, 1932; Moore \& Kruse, 1956), and ecology (Menzics et al., 1973). Since it is impossible to determine the identities of the specimens reported by these authors, the specimens are questionably assigned to $P$. pilosimanus Smith.

Among the many samples examincd here from throughout the world's occans, $P$. pilosimanus Smith has not been found outside the Atlantic. Thus the species is hercin considered to be restricted to this occan. Several authors have reported or cited this species from oceans other than the Atlantic (Alcock, 1901; Porter, 1906; Balss, 1912, 1913; Tcrao, 1913; Laurie, 1926; Verrill, 1928; Yokoya, 1933; Makarov, 1938, 1962; Thompson, 1943; Vinogradov, 1950; Haig. 1955; Gordan, 1956; Russell, 1962; Menzies et al., 1973; Austin, 1985). The descriptions or comments included by these authors are insufficient to establish the identities of their materials, and the specimens have not been available for examination.

A number of authors have suggested that some zoeac collected from the plankton are of $P$. pilosimanus [Williamson, 1915, 1964 (as Pagurus pil-
osimanus); Pike \& Williamson, 1958, 1960; Williamson \& von Levetzow, 1967 (as Species S. A. 2); De Saint Laurent-Dechancé, 1964 (as Parapagurus sp. 1)]. However, the complete larval development of any species of Parapagurus is unknown. Therefore, assignment of these planktonic zoeae to particular species is speculative.

Sympagurus grimaldii was described by Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1897) from a small male from the Azores. This same specimen was illustrated and used in subsequent reports of this taxon by Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1898, 1899), and listed by Alcock (1905) and Gordan (1956). Milne Edwards \& Bouvier's illustrations could represent $P$. pilosimanus Smith, $P$. nudus, or $P$. alaminos. Because Milne Edwards \& Bouvier's specimen has not been available for examination, it is questionably considered conspecific with P. pilosimanus Smith.

As previously mentioned, De Saint Laurent (1972) tentatively divided $P$. pilosimanus into seven subspecies, three of which occurred in the Atlantic. The cxamination of the material used by De Saint Laurent has shown that she confounded three species, $P$. pilosimanus Smith, $P$. nudus, and $P$. alaminos, under $P$. pilosimanus pilosimanus and $P$. p. nudus.

Parapagurus alaminos Lemaitre, 1986
(figs. 7, 8, 9)

Parapagurus pilosimanus pilosimanus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 1 (in part; see $P$. pilosimanus and P. mudus).
Parapagurus pilosimanus nudus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 2 (in part; sec $P$. pilosimanus and $P$. nudus).
Parapagurus alaminos Lemaitre, 1986: 527, figs. IE,F, 2F-j, 4E-H,K, 5C.D, 6D-F, 7A, E, 8D,E, 9D.E (type locality: Alaminos station 71-8-75).

Type material. - Holotype: $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=10.3 \mathrm{~mm})$, Alaminos station $71-8-75,20^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 20^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. $1307 \mathrm{~m}, 15$ Aug 1971, USNM 228518.

Material examined. - In addition to the matcrial reported by Lemaitre (1986: 527), the following has been examined from the castern Atlantic: $10 \sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} .8$ 早早, Canary Islands (RMNH).

Diagnosis. - Shield usually as broad as long; rostrum rounded, with middorsal ridge; anterior margins weakly concave; lateral projections broadly rounded. Anterodistal margin of branchiostegite unarmed. Ocular peduncles less than half the length of shield. Antennal peduncles exceeding distal margin of corneac by nearly entire length of ultimate segment. Antennal acicles nearly straight in dorsal view, armcd with six to twclve small spines mesially. Mouth parts as figured (fig. 8A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistomial spine usually present. Dorsal face of carpus of left cheliped armed with irregular rows of small spines or tubercles (less numcrous


Fig. 7, Parapagurus alaminos Lemaitre. A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, right second percopod (lateral view); C, right third pereopod (lateral view); D, dactyl of right second pereopod (mesial view): E. dactyl of right third percopod (mesial view); F, right third pereopod (lateral view); G, right second pereopod (lateral view). Scate equals 5 mm . A: $0^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\mathrm{SL}=9.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Bahamas, Columbus Isclin station 14, UMML. B-E: $甲(S L=5.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Gulf of Mexico, Alaminos station 71-8-47, TAMU, F, G: $O^{\prime \prime}(S L=6.6 \mathrm{~mm})$, Gulf of Mexico, Alaminos station 72-A13-27, TAMU.
in fermales). Merus, carpus, and propodus of ambulatory legs unarmed except for dorsodistal spine on carpus. Propodal rasp of fourth pereopod with one to three rows of ovate scales. Propodal rasp of fifth pereopod forming subtriangular area about half length of propodus or less. Exopod of left uropod elongate, anterior margin broadly rounded. Telson with shallow, broad sinus separating asymmetrical lobes: terminal margin armed with strong corneous spines.


Fig. 8, Parapagurus alaminos Lemaitre. O" (SL=7.9 mm), Gulf of Mexico, Oregon station 2574, USNM: A-G. mouth parts (left, internal view): A, third maxilliped; B, second maxilliped; C, first maxilliped; $D$, maxilla; E , maxillule; F , endopod of same; G , mandible; H , branchia (Iransverse section). Scales equal $2 \mathrm{~mm}(A-E, G)$, and $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(F, H)$.

Size. - $\mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O}^{n}, \mathrm{SL}=2.7-10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; 아아, $\mathrm{SL}=2.1-8.7 \mathrm{~mm}$; 우아 (ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=2.9-9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Most frequently found living without symbionts. However, one or more anthozoan polyps (actinians or zoanthids) are often found attached to the shells.

Distribution. - Western Atlantic: from off the coast of North Carolina to the southern Caribbean. Eastern Atlantic: from the Azores and Canary Islands to the Gulf of Guinea. Depth range: from 850 m to 3360 m ; most frequently found in depths of 1200 m to 1800 m .


Fig. 9, map showing distribution of Parapagurus alaminos Lemaitre (hatched area).

Affinities. - This species is most closely related to $P$. nudus. Males of $P$. alaminos are immediately distinguished from males of $P$. nudus by the presence, in the former, of numerous small, sharp tubercles on the dorsal surface of the carpus of the left cheliped. In contrast, the carpus in $P$. nudus is unarmed, or at most bears only a few small tubercles. Females of $P$. alaminos with a weakly armed carpus, are sometimes hard to distinguish from females of P. nudus, particularly if only small specimens are available [see variations in Lemaitre (1986)]. In these cases, other characters must be taken into consideration, such as the shape of exopod of the left uropod, armature of telson, and the number of rows of scales on the propodal rasp of the fourth pereopod.

Parapagurus nudus (A. Milne Edwards, 1891)
(figs. 5B, C. 10, 11, 12)

Parapagurus pilosimanus: Smith, 1884: 354 (in part); 1886: 607 (in part).
Sympagurus nudus A. Milne Edwards, 1891: 131 (type Iocality: SW of Flores, Azores, L'Hiron-


Fig. 10, Parapagurus nudus (A Milne Edwards). $\sigma^{\circ \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), northwestern Atlantie, Advance 11 station 78-1-9, USNM: A, shield and cephalic appendages; $B$, denuded antennal aeieles of same specimen (dorsal view); C. dactyl of right third pereopod (mesial view); D. left seeond pereopod (lateral view): E. left third pereopod (lateral view); $F$, propodus and daetyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view); $G$, exopod of left uropod (dorsal view). Scales equal 2 mm (A-C. G), $4 \mathrm{~mm}(D, E)$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(F)$.
delle stalion 213); Bouvier, 1891a: 402; Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 59; 1894a: 67, pl. 10, figs. 15-26; 1897: 133; 1899: 55; Alcock, 1905: 173; Terao, 1913: 382; Gordan, 1956: 342.
Parapagurus pilosimanus pilosimanus : De Saint Laurent, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 1 (in part; see remarks).
Parapagurus pilosimanus nudus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 2 (in part; see remarks);
Türkay, 1976: 31, fig. 14; De Saint Laurent, 1985: 475.
?Parapagurus nudus: Doumenc, 1975: 163. (see remarks).
Parapagurus nudus: Lemailre, 1986: 533, figs. 1A,B, 2A-E, 4A,B, 5A,B, 6G,H, 7B,F, 8A-C, 9 A,B.
Type material. - Holotype: $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(S L=3.3 \mathrm{~mm})$, L'Hirondelle station $213,39^{\circ} 22.48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 45.30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, 1384 m, 2 Aug 1888, MO.

Material examined. - In addition to the material reported by Lemaitre (1986: 533), the following has been examined from the eastern Atlantic: $160^{\prime \prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime}, 169 \%$, Madeira (RMNH): 18 O'On $^{\prime} 11$ 옹. Selvagens Islands (RMNH): 3 오오, Canary Islands (RMNH).

Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum rounded, slightly overreaching lateral projections, with mid-dorsal ridge. Anterior margin weakly concave. Lateral projections broadly rounded. Anterolateral margins sloping. Posterior margin broadly rounded. Dorsal surface usually well calcified, with longitudinal row of short setae on each side of midline, and short transverse row of setae near each posterolateral anglc. Anterodistal margin of branchiostegite unarmed.

Ocular peduncles about half the length of shield or less, with dorsal longitudinal row of setae; peduncles inflated basally. Ocular acicles subtriangular, termınating in strong, occasionally bifid spine; mesial margins convex, lateral margins sloping; separated basally by about basal width of one acicle.

Antennular peduncles slender, long, exceeding distal margin of corneae by cntire length or more of ultimate segment. Ultimate and penultimate segments with scattered setae. Ultimate segment nearly twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with ventromesial, distal spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with distal, subrectangular lobe armed with one or more small spines, and one spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles exceeding distal margin of corneae by half or more of Iength of ultimate scgment. Flagcllum distinctly overreaching right cheliped, with setae lessíthan one to three flagellar articles in length. Third to fifth segments with scattefed setae. Third segment with strong often multifid ventromesial distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral distal angle produced, terminating in strong multifid spine; mesial margin with small tubercle or spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment occasionally with small tubercle on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with row of small tubcrelcs. Antennal acicles nearly straight in dorsal view, exceeding distal margin of corneae by one-third or more the length of the acicle; mesial margin setose, usually armed with four to eleven small spines.

Mouth parts as figured (fig. 11A-G). Stcrnitc of third maxilliped with spine


Fig 11, Parapagurus nudus (A. Milne Edwards). $\sigma^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{SL}=7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), northwcstern Atlantic, Advance II station 78-1-9, USNM: A-G, mouth parts (left, internal view): A, third maxilliped; B, second maxilliped; $C$, first maxilliped; $D$, maxilla; $E$, maxilule; $F$, endopod of same; $G$, mandible; $H$, branchia (transverse scction). Scales equal $2 \mathrm{~mm}(A \cdot E, G), 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(F)$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(H)$.
on each side of midline. Epistomial spine usually present.
Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, each with carpus and chela covered with moderately dense, simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped elongate, strongly influenced in proportions by size and sexual dimorphism. Fingers each terminating in small corneous claw; with numerous tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces; cutting edges each with irregularly sized calcareous teeth;
cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small corneous spines. Dactyl set at oblique angle to palm; with dorsomesial and mesial row of small tubercles. Palm with numerous, closely spaccd tubercles on dorsal surfacc, tubercles often less numerous medially; mesial face rounded; ventral surface with scattered, small tubercles. Carpus with numerous small tubercles, less numerous on ventral surface. Merus with numerous tubercles dorsally, and ventromesial row of sharp tubercles or spines. Ischium occasionally with ventromesial row of tubercles; dorsal margin often with bilobed protuberance. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.

Left cheliped slender. Fingers each terminating in small corneous claw, with dorsal and ventral surfaces with scattered tufts of setae; cutting edges each with row of small corneous spines. Palm usually unarmed except for dorsomesial and dorsolateral row of small tubercles or spines. Carpus and merus subtriangular. Carpus usually unarmed, or at most with few, small tubercles dorsally. Merus and ischium unarmed. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, slender, long, distinctly overreaching right cheliped. Ischium, merus, carpus, and propodus each with unarmed mesial and lateral faces, and row of short sctae on dorsal margins. Dactyl less than twicc as long as propodus, more strongly curved on distal onethird; with dorsodistal and dorsomesial, distal row of long setae, and ventromesial row of corncous spinulcs. Carpus with simple or bifid, dorsodistal spine. Coxa of second pereopod with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, with small, subterminal spine.

Fourth pereopod with dactyl subtriangular, shorter than length of propodal rasp, tcrminating in corneous claw, with ventrolateral row of small corncous spines; propodal rasp with single row of ovate scales distally, and one to three rows of ovate scalcs proximally. Propodal rasp of fifth pereopod forming subtriangular area less than half the length of propodus.

Exopod of left uropod usually broad, often subsemicircular; width frequently more than half length. Telson with terminal margin weakly divided into unequal lobes by shallow, broad sinus and with short, corneous spines.

Male with paired first and second pleopods well developed. Female lacking first pleopods.

Size. $-\sigma^{*}, \mathrm{SL}=2.2-8.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ Q 9 . S L=2.6-7.1 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~$ Q 9 (ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=3.2-10.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Usually found living without symbionts. However, it is not uncommon to find one or more anthozoan polyps (actinians or zoanthids), attached to the shell (fig. 5B,C).

Distribution. - Wcstern Atlantic: from off Nantucket Island to Guyana. Eastern Atlantic: from the Azores and Canary Islands to the Gulf of Guinea.


Fig. 12, map showing distribulion of Parapagurus nudus (A. Milne Edwards), and Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol) (solid circles: P. nudus; open circles: P. abyssorum).

Depth range: from 630 m to 3864 m ; most frequently found in depths of 2400 m to 3600 m .

Affinities. - This species is most elosely related to $P$. alaminos. The most reliable character that ean be used to separate the two species is the armature of earpus of the left ehcliped [sec variations in Lemaitre (1986)].

Remarks. - Milne Edwards (1891) described Sympagurus nudus from a male specimen from the Azores. He placed this taxon in Sympagurus because, in his opinion, the branchiae were not as fully divided as in typieal triehobranchiate species. Milne Edwards' speeimen is a small individual, and contrary to his interpretation, the branchiae of the specimen are trichobranchiae. Therefore this taxon is retained in Parapagurus Smith.

In her division of $P$. pilosimanus, Dc Saint Laurent (1972) considercd Milne Edwards' taxon as a subspecies. However, as mentioned in the remarks under $P$. pilosimanus, shc confounded three spccies ( $P$. nudus, P. alaminos, and $P$. pilosimanus), under the names $P$. p. pilosimanus and P. p. nudus.

In a study of abyssal actinians, Doumenc (1975) mentioned P. nudus without providing any information that would enable determination of the identity of his material. His material, therefore, can only be questionably assigned to this species.

Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol, 1885a)
(figs. 5D, E, 12, 13, 14)
Parapagurus pilosimanus: Smi1h, 1884: 354 (in part; sce P. pilosimanus); Smi1h, 1886: 607 (in part; sec $P$. pilosimanus).
Pagurus abyssorum Filhol, 1885a: 132, fig. 1 [1ype locality: apparenly Talisman station 148 (sec remarks)|; 1885b: 131, fig. 41. [not Parajagurus abyssorum Henderson, 1888 ( $=$ Parapagurus holthuisi nom. nov.; see remarks)]
Parapagurus abyssorum var.scabra Henderson, 1888: 89, pl. 9, fig. 3 (type locality: Challenger slation 68): Murray, 1895: 257; Alcock. 1905: 172: Gordan, 1956: 338.
?Parapagurus abyssorum: Wood-Mason \& Alcock. 1891: 199; Alcock, 1894: 242. (see remarks). Parapagurus scabra: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1892: 13.
Parapagurus pilosimanus Var. Scabra: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1892a: 2.
Parapagurus pilosimanus var. abyssorum: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1899: 55, pl. 1, fig. 1; 1900: 191, pl. 24, figs. 4-6; Alcock, 1905: 172: Gordan. 1956: 338.
Parapagurus pilosimanus var. Abyssorum: Nobre. 1931: 201. fig. 110; 1936: 126, fig. 103.
Parapagurus pilosimanus scaber: De Saint Lauren1, 1972: 102, pl. 1, fig. 3; 1985: 475.
Parapagurus scaber: Lemailre, 1986: 533, figs. 1G.H, 3F-J, 41.J, 5G,H, 6A-C,K,L, 7D,H,1, 8F.G.9C.

Type matcrial. - Holoyype of Pagurus abyssorum Filhol: $¢$ figured by Filhol (1885a: 132. fig. 1), apparenlly from Talisman station $148,42^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 21^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 4010 \mathrm{~m}, 24$ Aug 1883: Hololype of Parapagurus abyssorum var.scabra Henderson: $9(\mathrm{SL}=11.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Challenger station 68 , $38^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 39^{\circ} 19^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 3915 \mathrm{~m}, 24$ Jun 1873, BM 1888: 33.
Material examined. - [sec Lemaitre (1986: 533. under Parapagurus scaber Henderson].
Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum rounded or subtriangular, slightly overreaching lateral projections, with mid-dorsal ridge. Anterior margins concave, Lateral projections subtriangular or broadly rounded. Anterolateral margins sloping. Posterior margin broadly rounded. Dorsal surface well calcified, with longitudinal row of short setae on each side of midline. Anterodistal margin of branchiostegite with one or more small spines.

Ocular peduncles less than half the length of shield, with dorsal longitudinal row of setae and often with one to two small spines dorsally; peduneles inflated basally. Ocular acicles subtriangular, usually terminating in simple strong spine; mesial margins convex, lateral margins sloping; separated basally by about basal width of one acicle.

Antennular peduncles slender, long, exceeding distal margin of corneae by nearly entire length of penultimate segment, with scattered setae. Ultimate


Fig. 13, Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol). $q(\mathrm{SL}=11.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), North Allantic, Challenger station 68, BM 1888:33: A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, left chela and carpus; C, right chela and carpus; D, right chela (mesial view); E, right second pereopod (lateral view); F, right third pereopod (lateral view); G, propodus and dactyl of left fourth pereopod (lateral view); H, propodus and dactyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view). Scales equal 5 mm (A-F), and 3 mm (G, H).
segment less than twice as long as penultimate, frequently with dorsal row of one to four small spines. Basal segment with ventromesial, distal spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with distal, subrectangular lobe armed with one or more small spines, and one spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles exceeding distal margin of corneae by nearly entire length of ultimate segment. Flagellum disctinctly overreaching right cheliped,


Fig. 14, Parapagurus abyssorum (Filhol). $\sigma^{\circ}(\mathrm{SL}=8.3 \mathrm{~mm})$, northwestern Atlantic, Albatross station 2037. USNM 168478: A-G, mouth parts (left. internal view): A, third maxilliped; B, second maxilliped; $C$, first maxilliped; $D$, maxilla; $E$, maxilule; $F$, endopod of same; $G$, mandible; H. branchia (transverse section). Scales equal $2 \mathrm{~mm}(A-E, G)$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(F, H)$.
with scattered setae about one flagellar article in length or less. Fifth segment with lateral row of long setae. Fourth segment with scattered setae. Third segment with strong, ventromesial, distal, simple or multifid spine. Second
segment with dorsolateral, distal angle produced, terminating in strong, multifid spine; mesial margin convex, with one or more small spines at dorsodistal angle; lateral face with one to two small spines. First segment with small spine on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with row of one or more small spines. Antennal acicles slender, nearly straight in dorsal view, distinctly overreaching distal margin of corneae; mesial margin setose, armed with five to twenty-five spines.

Mouth parts as figured (fig. 14A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistomial spine usually absent.

Chelipeds dissimilar, each with carpus and chela covered with moderately dense, simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped elongate, proportions affected by size and sexual dimorphism. Fingers each with tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces, terminating in acute corneous claw, frequently crossed when closed; cutting edges each with two strong calcareous teeth; cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small corneous spines. Dactyl set at oblique angle to palm; with row of tubercles and spines on mesial face proximally. Fixed finger with scattered tubercles on lateral face. Palm with numerous small tubercles or spines on dorsal surface; mesial and lateral face rounded, with irregular rows of small tubercles or spines; ventral surface with scattered tubercles. Carpus with numerous tubercles or spines on dorsal, mesial, and lateral faces; dorsomesial margin often produced distally. Merus with numerous small tubercles dorsally and ventrally; mesial face unarmed except for ventromesial row of tubercles. Ischium usually with two tubercles on dorsal margin; ventromesial margin with row of tubercles. Coxa with several small tubercles or spines ventrally and ventromesial row of setae.

Left cheliped slender. Fingers with scattered tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces, each terminating in small corneous claw; cutting edges each with small calcareous teeth and row of small corneous teeth. Dactyl with scattered tubercles on dorsolateral face proximally. Palm with irregular rows of small spines on dorsal, mesial and lateral faces. Carpus and merus subtriangular. Carpus with tubercles or spines on lateral and dorsal faces; dorsodistal margin with row of small spines; ventral surface with scattered tubercles. Merus with scattered tubercles and ventromesial row of small spines. Ischium with row of small tubercles or spines on dorsal and mesial faces. Coxa with several small tubercles or spines on ventral face and ventromesial row of setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, slender, long, overreaching right cheliped by at least half length of dactyls. Dactyl less than twice as long as propodus, more strongly curved on distal one-third; with dorsal row of small spines; with dorsodistal and dorsomesial distal row of moderately long setae, and ventromesial row of well spaced corneous spinules. Merus, carpus, and
propodus each armed on mcsial and lateral faces with numerous small tubercles or spines, and with dorsal row of small spines. Propodus also with ventral row of small spines proximally. Carpus with dorsodistal simple to multifid spine. Mcrus also with ventral row of small spines. Ischium with one or more dorsodistal spines and row of small spines ventrally. Coxa of second and third pereopods each with small spines or tubercles ventromesially. Anterior lobe of sternitc of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, armed with one to five small subterminal spines.

Fourth pcreopod with dactyl subtriangular, shorter than length of propodal rasp, tcrminating in corneous claw, with ventrolateral row of small corneous spines; propodal rasp with one to three irregular rows of lanceolate scales. Propodal rasp of fifth pereopod forming subtriangular area distinctly less than half the length of propodus.

Exopod of left uropod elongate, anterior margin broadly rounded or often subsemicircular. Telson with terminal margin separated into subequal lobes by shallow sinus; armed with short corneous spines.

Male with paired first and second pleopods well developed. Distal segment of second pleopod frequently with longitudinal row of long setae on posterior face. Femalc usually lacking first pleopods, occasionally with paired or unpaired rudimentary first pleopods.

Size. $-O^{\prime} O^{\prime}, S L=7.4-15.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ ¢ q, S L=7.3-13.5 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ q 9$ (ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=8.0-13.8 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Usually found living in shelters formed by colonics of Epizoanthus species. The colonies associated with $P$. scaber frequently have coarse surfaces. The coarseness of the surface results from the incorporation by the polyp of sand grains into its walls. P. abyssorum is also sometimes associated with actinians (fig. 5D,E).

Distribution. - North Atlantic, including northeastern coast of United States. Eastern Atlantic: from the Azores to Cape Verde Islands. Depth range: from 2500 m to 4360 m ; most frequently found in depths of 3800 m to 4200 m .

Affinities. - This species can be immediately distinguished from other Atlantic specics of Parapagurus by the armature of the ambulatory legs and anterior margin of the branchiostegite. It appears that $P$. abyssorum is most closely related to the eastern Pacific specics $P$. holthuisi nom. nov. and $P$. microps, which also have a somewhat similar ornamentation on the ambulatory legs.

Remarks. - Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1900) included in their synonymy of Parapagurus pilosimanus var. abyssorum, a name mentioned by Filhol (1885b) as Pagurus abyssorum. From the description and information given by these authors, it is clear that they were not referring to Hendcrson's (1888)
eastern Pacific taxon, Parapagurus abyssorum, a junior homonym of $P$. abyssorum (Filhol). Because Henderson's taxon is a preoccupied name it has been given a new name, $P$. holthuisi (see remarks under $P$. pilosimanus). As previously indicated, $P$. abyssorum (Filhol) is the senior synonym of $P$. scaber Henderson. Although Filhol (1885b: 131, fig.41) did not include sufficient information on his taxon, it has recently been discovered that in an earlier publication (1885a) this same figure was accompanied by an indication, namcly it was proposed "in association with an illustration of the taxon being named" [Art. 12 (b) 7, of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature]. Thus, Filhol's name is available and is the senior synonym for this taxon. The female used by Filhol (1885a) in his description of Pagurus abyssorum appears to be from Talisman station 148, as this station is listed by Sanderson Smith (1889: 985). Considerable confusion about station data of the Talisman exists. For example, in an hectographed list of the stations for the 1883 cruise, Milne Edwards lists only 141 stations; his station 134 is equivalent to Sanderson Smith's station 147. Sanderson Smith's station 148 does not appear in Milne Edwards' list. It is probable that Milne Edwards' station 134 is a combination of Sanderson Smith's stations 147 and 148 , which differ in position and depth, but are otherwise very similar (L. B. Holthuis, personal communication). The holotype of Pagurus abyssorum Filhol, is the figured spccimen from Talisman station 148 (sensu Smith), or station 134 (sensu Milne Edwards). It is probable that onc of the two female specimens (USNM 22915) in the National Museum of Natural History is Filhol's type; however, it is impossible to determine which specimen was used by Filhol. Thus, the holotype of Pagurus abyssorum Filhol, 1885a, must be considered (by monotypy) the specimen depicted in his figure 1.

Wood-Mason \& Alcock (1891), and Alcock (1894), reported Parapagurus abyssorum from the Indian Ocean. From the information provided by these authors it is not possible to establish the identity of the material. It is unlikely, however, that it represents $P$. abyssorum (Filhol); therefore, it is questionably assigned to this species.

## Strobopagurus gen. nov.

Parapagurus: Dc Saint Laurent, 1972: 101 (in part).

Diagnosis. - Shield distinctly broader than long, weakly calcified; lateral projections unarmed; ventrolateral margins unarmed. Eye-stalks stout. Corneae strongly dilated. Antennal peduncles and acicles overreaching eyestalks. Fourth segment of antennal peduncle unarmed. Epistomial spine ab-
sent. Eleven pairs of phyllobranchiae or intermediate branchiae. Right cheliped elongate, often slender; palm rounded mesially, with weakly to well delimited dorsolateral margin. Left cheliped usually weakly calcified on merus, carpus, and often proximal portion of palm. Ambulatory legs moderately long, dactyls straight or nearly so. Second abdominal somite with left pleuron terminating ventrally in small subtriangular lobe. Males with well developed paired first and second pleopods (fig. 2D-F); first pleopods with short, broad subtriangular distal lobe; second pleopods with rudimentary exopod and strongly twisted distal segment.

The following species are assigned to Strobopagurus gen. nov.: Parapagurus gracilipes (A. Milne Edwards), P. kilburni Kensley, and P. sibogae De Saint Laurent.

Distribution. - Eastern Atlantic and Indo-West Pacific.
Etymology. - Strobopagurus is from the Greek strobos, meaning anything twisted, and refers to the twisted condition of the second pleopods in malcs. Gender: masculine.

Rcmarks. - Species of Strobopagurus are set apart from those of Parapagurus and Sympagurus by the characteristics of the shield, eye-stalks, right cheliped, ambulatory legs, and in males, the first and second pleopods.

Typc species. - Sympagurus gracilipes A. Milne Edwards, 1891: 132.

Sympagurus Smith, 1883, reinstated
Sympagurus Smith, 1883: 37. Type species by monotypy: Sympagurus pictus Smith, 1883: 37. Gender: masculine.
Parapagurus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 101 (in part).

Diagnosis. - Shield weakly or well calcified; lateral projections unarmed or with small spine or tubercle; ventrolateral margins of shield frequently with small spine. Corneae reduced or dilated. Fourth segment of antennal peduncle unarmed or with dorsolateral distal spine. Epistomial spine, when present, straight or curved upwardly. Eleven or twelve pairs of branchiae (trichobranchiae, phyllobranchiae or intermediate branchiae; twelfth pair vestigial on last thoracic somite). Right cheliped elongate; palm and fixed finger rounded laterally or with well delimited dorsolateral margin. Left chcliped with merus and carpus frequently weakly calcified. Ambulatory legs moderately long, with curved dactyls. Second abdominal somite with left pleuron terminating ventrally in small subtriangular lobe. Males with or without paired first pleopods; second paired pleopods present, variable.

Sympagurus acinops spec. nov.
Sympagurus acurus acutus (De Saint Laurent. 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus a. bicarinatus (De Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus a. hirsutus (De Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus affinis (Hendcrson, 1888) comb. nov.
Sympagurus africanus (De Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards. 1880)
Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson, 1888) comb. nov.
Sympagurus indicus (Alcock, 1905) comb. nov.
Sympagurus boletifer (Dc Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus brevipes (De Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus chuni (Balss, 1911) comb. nov.
Sympagurus curvispina (De Saint Laurent, 1974) comb. nov.
Sympagurus dimorphus (Studer, 1883) comb. nov.
Sympagurus diogenes Whitelcgge, 19(0)
Sympagurus dofleini (Balss, 1912) comb. nov.
Sympagurus hatgue (De Saint Lauren1, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus hobbiti (Macpherson, 1983b) comb. nov.
Sympagurus macrocerus (Forest, 1955) comb. nov.
Sympagurus minutus (Henderson, 1896) comb. nov.
Sympagurus monstrosus (Alcock, 1894)
Sympagurus orientalis (Dc Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus pacificus (Edmondson, 1925)
Sympagurus pictus Smith, 1883
Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
Sympagurus planimanus (De Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus rugasus (De Sainı Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus ruticheles A. Milne Edwards, 1891
Sympagurus sinensis (De Saint Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Sympagurus spinimanus (Balss, 1911) comb. nov.
Sympagurus trispinosus (Balss. 1911) comb. nov.
Sympagurus tuberculosus (De Sainı Laurent, 1972) comb. nov.
Table 1. List of species and subspecies assigned to Sympagurus Smilh, 1883.
The species and subspecies of Sympagurus are listed in Table 1. Distribution. - World-wide.
Remarks. - As defined in this paper, Sympagurus contains twenty-nine species and three subspecies. Three of De Saint Laurent's subspecies are elevated to specific rank; these are: S. bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards), S. gracilis (Henderson), and $S$. indicus (Alcock). Six species occur in the wcstern Atlantic: $S$. pictus Smith, $S$. acinops spec. nov., S. bicristatus, S. gracilis, $S$. dimorphus (Studer). and S. pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards).

Key to the western Atlantic species of Sympagurus
2. Right chela rounded dorsolaterally .................................... . . 3

- Right chela with well-delimited, dorsolateral margin ............... . 4

3. Dactyl of fourth pcreopod distinctly longer than propodal rasp
S. pictus Smith

- Dactyl of fourth pereopod distinctly shorter than propodal rasp S. pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards)

4. Vestigial pleurobranchiae present; gills trichobranchiate


- Vestigial pleurobranchiae absent; gills phyllobranchiate ............. 5

5. Antennal acicles with mesial spines set at $45^{\circ}$ angle to longitudinal axis of acicle; ventromesial face of right chela rounded
S. gracilis (Henderson)

- Antennal acicles with mesial spines set at $90^{\circ}$ angle to longitudinal axis of aciclc, at least distally; ventromesial face of right chela with well delimited margin
S. bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards)

> Sympagurus pictus Smith, 1883
> (figs. $15-17,18 \mathrm{~B}, 19.39 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, 40 \mathrm{D}$ )

Eupagurus pilimanus A. Milnc Edwards, 1880: 43 (in part). (sec remarks)
Parapagurus sp. nov.: Verrill, 1882b: 225.
Sympagurus pictus Smith, 1883: 37 (in part), pl. 5. figs. 3.3a. 5-8, not figs. 2.2a [= Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith, 1879] (type locality restricted by lectotype selection: off Martha's Vincyard. U. S. Fish Commission slation 924); Verrill, 1883: 50, pl. 8, fig. 4; Smith, 1884: 354, pl. 4, fig. 3; Verrill, 1885: 554; Smith, 1886: 615; Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 60; 1894a: 67; 1897: 133; 1899: 56; Alcock. 1915: 172; Fowler, 1912: 582: Edmondson. 1925: 29; Gordan, 1956: 342; Bullis \& Thompson. 1965: 10.
Eupagurus (Sympagurus) pilimanus: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 63. [not Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milnc Edwards, 1880)]
Parapagurus pictus: Balss, 1924: 767: Gordan, 1956: 338; Füller, 1958: 164, fig. 100; De Saint Laurent. 1972: 104.
Parapagurus pilimanus: Takeda. 1983: 104, 1exıfig. [not Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)]
not Parapagurus pictus: Hazlett. 1966: 88 [= Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)].
Type material. - Lectotype (here sclected): $\sigma^{\prime}(\mathrm{SL}=9.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). U. S. Fish Commission station 924. $3957.30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 7046^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 288 \mathrm{~m} .16$ July 1881 . USNM 39980.

Matcrial cxamined. - Western Atlantic: 5 O'O゙. 5 우. northwestern Atlantic (USNM): 159 $\sigma^{\prime \prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime} .563$ 웅. Gulf of Mexico (TAMU. UMML, USNM): $24 \sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime} .18$ 우. Caribbean Sea and southwestern Atlantic (RMNH, UMML, USNM).

Description. - Shield distinctly broader than long; in small specimens ( $\mathrm{SL}<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) about as broad as long. Rostrum subtriangular, reaching beyond lateral projections, with mid-dorsal ridge. Anterior margin weakly concave. Lateral projections broadly rounded, frequently terminating in small


Fig. 15. Sympagurus pictus Smith. A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, left chela and carpus; C, right chela of lemale; $D$, right chela and carpus of male; $E$, right chela and carpus of female; $F$, telson (dorsal view). Scalcs cqual $5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E})$, and $3 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}) . \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}: 母(\mathrm{SL}=10.5$ mm ), Gulf of Mexico, Alaminos station 71-7. TAMU. B, D: lectotype, USNM 39980. C, paralectotype, northwcstern Atlantic, U.S. Fish Commission station 924, USNM.
tubercle. Anterolateral margins sloping. Posterior margin broadly rounded. Dorsal surface usually naked and weakly calcified on median region (see


Fig. 16, Sympagurus pictus Smith. $q(S L=10.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, Gulf of Mexico, Alaminos station 71-7-56, TAMU: A-G, mouth parts (Icft, interal vicw): A, third maxilliped; B, second maxilliped; C, first maxilliped; $D$, maxilla; E, maxillule; $F$, endopod of same; $G$, mandible; $H$, branchia (transverse section). Scales equal $5 \mathrm{~mm}(A \cdot E, G)$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(F, H)$.
variations). Anterodistal margin of branchiostegite unarmed.
Ocular peduncles more than half the length of shield, weakly calcified on mesial and lateral faces, with dorsal longitudinal row of setae. Corneae strongly dilated. Ocular acicles subtriangular, terminating in strong spine, separated basally by about the basal width of one acicle; mesial margins convex, lateral margins sloping.

Antennular peduncles slender, short, exceeding distal margin of corneae by
less than length of ultimate segment. Ultimate segment naked, lcss than twice as long as penultimate. Penultimate segment with scattered setae. Basal segment with strong ventromesial spine, simple or bifid; lateral face with unarmed, subrectangular, distal lobe, and one spine proximally.
Antennal peduncles usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae. Flagellum naked, distinctly overreaching right cheliped. Fifth to third segments with scattered setae. Third segment also with strong ventromesial distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral distal angle produed, terminating in strong, multifid spine; mesial margin convex, with small spine or tubercle at dorsodistal angle. First segment unarmed; ventromesial angle produced, with row of small spines or tubercles. Antennal acicles sinuous in dorsal view, not exceeding distal margin of corneae; mesial margins setose, with small tubercles or spines.

Mouth parts as figured (fig. 16A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on cach side of midline. Epistome usually unarmed or occasionally with one to two straight spines.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar. Right cheliped elongate, usually densely covered with simple and plumose sctac, proportions and armaturc strongly affected by size and sexual dimorphism (see variations, p. 77). Fingers terminating in corneous claws usually crossing when closed; dorsal and ventral surfaces with scattered tufts of setae; cutting edges each with several unequal calcareous teeth. Dactyl set at oblique angle to palm; cutting edge with distal row of small corneous teeth; mesial face armed with weak to strong spines. Palm with two dorsomedian rows of small spines; mesial and lateral faces rounded, with weak to strong spines; ventral surface usually unarmed. Carpus with numerous tubercles or spines dorsally; mesial face strongly sloping, with ventromesial row of tubercles or spines; lateral face gradually sloping, with scattered small tubercles. Merus with ventromesial row of tubercles or spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of long setae.

Left cheliped slender, usually well calcified, covered with moderately dense, simple and plumose setae. Fingers terminating in corneous claws, dorsal and ventral surfaces with scattered tufts of setae; cutting edges each with row of small calcareous teeth and row of small corneous teeth. Dactyl unarmed. Carpus and merus subtriangular. Carpus with row of weak to strong spines dorsally; with small spine on distal margin laterally. Merus with row of small tubercles dorsally, and row of small spines ventromesially. Ischium with row of small spincs ventromesially. Coxa with ventromesial row of long setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, usually overreaching right cheliped. Dactyl shorter than length of propodus, weakly curved on distal onethird, nearly straight proximally, terminating in corneous claw; with dorsodistal row of long setae, and several short rows of long setae on dorsomesial distal


Fig. 17, Sympagurus pictus Smith. A, left second pereopod (mesial view); B, left third percopod (mesial view); C, denuded propodus and dactyl of left fourth pereopod (lateral view, female); D, propodus and dactyl of left fourth pereopod (lateral view, male); E, propodus and dactyl of left Citth pereopod (lateral view, same male); F, propodus and dactyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view); G, male left first plcopod (mesial view); H, malc left second pleopod (anterior view); I, same (lateral view); J, exopod of Icft uropod (dorsal view). Scales equal $5 \mathrm{~mm}(A, B)$, and 3 mm (C.J). A-C: $¢(S L=10.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, Gulf of Mexico, Alaminos station 71-7.56, TAMU. D, E, J: paralcctotype, USNM 7306. F: leciotype, USNM 39980. G-I: O' $^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=15.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Gulf of Mexico. Alaminos station 71-7-56. TAMU.
margin. Ischium, merus, carpus, and propodus, each with unarmed mesial and lateral faces. Propodus also with dorsal row of short setae. Carpus with small dorsodistal spine. Ischium and merus of sccond pereopods also each with ventral row of small tubercles or spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods weakly convex, setose, unarmed.

Fourth percopod with elongate dactyl distinctly longer than propodal rasp; dactyl with subterminal small corneous claw ventrally in large specimens; small specimens ( $\mathrm{SL}<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with dactyl subequal to length of propodal rasp and with terminal corneous claw (sec variations, $\mathbf{p}$. 77); propodal rasp with two to three usually irregular rows of ovate scales. Fifth pereopod with propodal


Fig. 18, cxamples of anthozoan symbionts of species of Sympagurus Smith. A, actinians on gastropod shells occupied by S. dimorphus (Studer); B, actinian (upper: polyp with oral portion facing down; lower: polyp with lateral wall cut longitudinally to show interior of cavity used by $S$. pictus Smith; C, Epizoanthus spec. [S. dimorphus (Studer)]; D, same. Scales equal 20 mm (A, B), and $10 \mathrm{~mm}(C, D)$.
rasp forming subtriangular area extending beyond midlength of propodus.
Twelve pairs of branchiae: eleven pairs of phyllobranchiae or intermediate branchiae, and one pair of vestigial pleurobranchiae; small individuals ( $\mathrm{SL}<5.0$ ) often lacking vestigial pleurobranchiae (see variations, p. 77).
Exopod of left uropod longer than broad, anterior margin broadly rounded. Telson with terminal margin separated into unequal lobes by broad, shallow, U-shaped sinus; armed with short corneous spines.

Male with paired first and second pleopods; distal lobe of first pleopod with weakly concave mesial face; second pleopod with spatulate distal segment, frequently with rudimentary exopod; small specimens (SL $<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) usually with first pleopods rudimentary or lacking, and poorly developed second pleopods. Female frequently with paired or unpaired rudimentary first pleopods; with vestigial second right pleopod.

Size. $-G^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O}^{7}, \mathrm{SL}=3.8-17.3 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ ㅇ ㅠ, \mathrm{SL}=3.5-11.2 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ ㅇ ㅇ$ (ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=8.4-14.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Coloration (from Smith, 1883: 39). - "In life the front part of the carapax is orange-red, bordered with white along the margin. The eye-stalks and the peduncles of the antennulae and antennae are white, except the underside of the eye-stalks, which are vermilion. The flagella of the antennulae and antennae are pale orange. A large spot of vermilion covers nearly the whole of the outer surface and extends over upon the inferior edge of the meri of the ambulatory legs, and the inferior edges of the carpi and propodi and the tips of the dactyli are marked with the same color, while the rest of the surface is white. The posterior part of the carapax and the abdomen are translucent whitish specked above with orange red, and the telson and uropods are similarly but more thickly specked with the same color. The eyes are black."

Symbiotic associations. - S. pictus is almost exclusively found living symbiotically with a large actinian that serves as shelter for the hermit crab (fig. 18B). The actinian produces a soft chitinous pseudo-shell or carcinoecium (Carlgren, 1928a,b), that covers the interior walls of the shelter. Verrill (1882b) described the symbiotic actinian as Urticina consors; subsequently (1928), he transferred the actinian to the genus Sagartia. Among the numerous specimens examined of Sympagurus pictus, only three small specimens ( $\mathrm{SL}=3.3-4.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were not found inhabiting the cavity formed by this actinian.

Distribution. - Western Atlantic: from off Long Island on the northeastern coast of the United States to off French Guiana on the northeastern coast of South America. Depth range: from 180 m to 2322 m ; most frequently found in depths of 200 m to 800 m .

Affinities. - This species appears to be most closely related to $S$. pilimanus. Adult specimens of the two differ considerably and are easily separated by the shape of the shield, armature and proportions of the right cheliped, shape and


Fig. 19, map showing distribution of Sympagurus pictus Smith (hatched area).
length of the dactyl of the fourth pereopod, and the presence, in $S$. pictus, of vestigial pleurobranchiae. In full-grown specimens of $S$. pictus, the right cheliped is elongate (particularly in males) and armed with a few, weak spines, whereas in S. pilimanus the right cheliped is massive and armed with strong spines (figs. 15D,E, 20C-E). In contrast, small specimens (SL $<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of $S$. pictus and specimens of $S$. pilimanus of comparable size, are very similar and often hard to distinguish. Because small specimens of $S$. pictus often lack vestigial pleurobranchiae, they can easily be confused with $S$. pilimanus. This similarity is particularly evident in the right cheliped (figs. $15 \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{C}$ ); the similarity, however, disappears entirely with growth. Small specimens of the two species can be separated by the presence in $S$. pictus of a nearly straight dactyl on the fourth pereopod, a weakly asymmetrical telson, and the short rows of setae on the dorsomesial face of the dactyls of the ambulatory legs.
Remarks. - Milne Edwards' (1880) description of Eupagurus pilimanus was based on three specimens from the Antilles. Subsequently, Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1893) placed this taxon in Sympagurus; they considered,
however, that one of the specimens represented a young $S$. pictus. Although this specimen was not examined, it is clear from their description that the identification was correct. The remaining two specimens were examined and represent $S$. pilimanus. Milne Edwards' (1880) confusion of the two species can be attributed to the similarity exhibited by small individuals of the two species (see above: affinities).

Smith (1883) did not select a holotype for $S$. pictus. All of Smith's material has been examined and does represent $S$. pictus. However, because of Milne Edwards' (1880) confusion of this species with S. pilimanus, and the problems that might originate because of the similarities discussed above between $S$. pictus and $S$. pilimanus, a lectotype is here selected for $S$. pictus.

Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880)
(figs. 20-23)
Eupagurus pilimanus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 43 (in part; type locality restricted by lectotype selection: Guadeloupe, Blake station 167).
Sympagurus pilimanus: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 63, pl. 5, figs. 8-20; Henderson, 1896: 534; Milnc Edwards \& Bouvier, 1897: 133; 1899: 56; Alcock, 1905: 173; Edmondson, 1925: 29; Gordan, 1956: 342; Bullis \& Thompson, 1965: 10.
Parapagurus pictus: Hazlett, 1966: 88 (not Sympagurus pictus Smith, 1883; see remarks).
Parapagurus pilimanus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 105.
not Pagurus pilimanus: A. Milne Edwards. 1884a: 176; 1884b: 27; Perrier, 1886: 301, fig. 219;
Bouvier, 1888: 246; Cuénot, 1892: 56. (= Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith, 1879)
not Parapagurus pilimanus: Takeda, 1983: 104, textfig. (= Sympagurus pictus Smith, 1883)
Type material. - Lectotype (here selected): $\sigma^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{SL}=9.4 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ ), Blake station $167,1609.40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61$ $29.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 315 \mathrm{~m}, 29$ Jan 1879, MCZ 4013.
Matcrial examined. - Western Atlantic: $1 \sigma^{\circ}$. Bermuda (UMML); 27 O' $^{\circ}, 20 \% q$, Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida (UMML, USNM): $21 \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime} O^{\prime \prime}, 34$ 웅, Caribbean Sea and southwestern Atlantic (UMML, USNM, MCZ)

Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum rounded, slightly in advance of lateral projections; with long, broad, often subdivided middorsal ridge. Anterior margins weakly concave. Lateral projections broadly rounded. Anterolateral margins sloping. Lateral and posterior margins broadly rounded. Dorsal surface weakly calcified medially on posterior half, usually with numerous low blister like tubercles; with short oblique row of setae on each side of rostral ridge. Ventrolateral margins unarmed. Anterodistal margin of branchiostegite unarmed. Posterior carapace with numerous low blister like tubercles.

Ocular peduncles more than half length of shield, weakly calcified on lateral and mesial faces, with dorsal longitudinal row of setae. Corneae dilated. Ocular acicles subtriangular, terminating in strong spine; mesial margins


Fig. 20, Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards). Lectotype, MCZ 4013: A, shield and cephalic appendages; B , left chela and carpus; C , right chela and carpus; D , right chela (mesial view); $E$, right chela (lateral view); $F$, telson (dorsal view). Scales equal 5 mm (A-E), and 3 mm (F).
convex, lateral margins sloping; separated basally by less than basal width of one acicle.
Antennular peduncles slender, short, exceeding distal margin of corneae by less than length of ultimate segment. Ultimate segment less than twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with strong ventromesial distal spine; lateral


Fig. 21, Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards). $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=8.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), SIrails of Florida, Gerda slation 929, UMML 32:4418: A-G, mouth parls (lef1, inleral view): A, 1hird maxilliped; B, second maxilliped; C, firs1 maxilliped; D, maxilla; E, maxillule; F, endopod of same; G, mandible; H, branchia (transverse seciion). Scales equal 2 mm (A-E, G), $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~F})$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{H})$.
face with subrectangular distal lobe with small spine, and one spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae. Flagellum distinctly overreaching right cheliped; with series of two to three
long setae placed on about every ten to twenty articles, each seta being five to six flagellar articles in length. Fifth segment with row of setae mesially. Fourth segment with small spine on dorsolateral, distal angle. Third segment with strong, ventromesial, distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral, distal angle produced, terminating in strong spine reaching to about midlength of antennal acicle; mesial margin convex, with small spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment with small spine on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with row of small spines. Antennal acicles sinuous in dorsal view, usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae; mesial margin setose, with three to four strong spines.
Mouth parts as figured (fig. 21A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistome unarmed.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, covered with moderately dense or dense simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped massive. Fingers weakly curved ventromesially, each terminating in corneous claw; dorsal and ventral surface with tufts of setae; cutting edges each with several irregularly sized, calcareous teeth; cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small, corneous spines. Dactyl set at oblique angle to palm; with irregular rows of strong spines on mesial face. Palm with several irregular rows of weak or strong spines on dorsal surface; mesial face rounded, with irregular rows of weak or strong spines; ventromesial face with small tubercles or spines; lateral face rounded, with weak or strong spines; ventral surface unarmed or with scattered small tubercles. Carpus with irregular rows of strong spines dorsally; ventromesial and ventrolateral margins with row of spines or tubercles. Merus and ischium each with ventromesial row of strong spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.

Left cheliped slender, well calcified, except occasionally on dorsolateral face of carpus. Fingers unarmed, terminating in corneous claw, with tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces; cutting edges each with row of small corneous teeth. Palm unarmed, except for proximal dorsomedian spine and lateral spine on proximal margin. Carpus and merus subtriangular. Carpus with three or more strong spines dorsally, and small spine laterally on distal margin. Merus with ventromesial and ventrolateral row of spines. Ischium with two small spines on ventromesial, distal angle. Coxa with ventromesial row of long setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, exceeding right cheliped by less than half the length of dactyl. Dactyl shorter than length of propodus, strongly curved on distal one-third, terminating in corneous claw; with dorsal row of long setae, and dorsomesial row or short rows of long setae; ventromesial margin with row of weak or strong spinules (see variations, p. 77). Ischium, merus, carpus, and propodus, each with unarmed mesial and lateral faces, and scattered low, blister-like tubercles. Carpi with one to two (rarely three) spines


Fig. 22, Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards). A, right second pereopod (lateral view); B, right third pereopod (lateral view); C, dactyl of left third pereopod (mesial view); D, dactyl of left second pereopod (mesial view); E, dactyl of left second pereopod (mesial view); F, dactyl of left third pereopod (mesial view); G, left fourth pereopod (lateral view); H, propodus and dactyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view); 1, exopod and endopod of left uropod (dorsal view); J, male left first pleopod (mesial view); K, distal segment of male left second pleopod (posterior view); $\mathbf{L}$, male second pleopod (anterior view). Scales equal 5 mm (A-F), 3 mm (G-I), and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(J-L) . A-D$, G-J: lectotype, MCZ 4013. E, F: $¢(S L=5.9 \mathrm{~mm})$, Caribbean Sea, UMML 32:4414. K, L: O' (SL $^{\prime}$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Straits of Florida, Gerda station 929, UMML 32:4418.
on dorsodistal angle. Meri of second pereopods each with ventral row of small spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, armed with strong subterminal spine.

Fourth pereopod with dactyl shorter than length of propodal rasp, terminating in slender, often strongly curved, corneous claw; propodal rasp with two to three regular or irregular rows of subcircular or ovate scales; merus, carpus, and propodus each with scattered low, blister-like tubercles on lateral faces. Fifth pereopod with propodal rasp forming subrectangular area extending to midlength of propodus, or beyond it; lateral face of propodus usually with low, blister-like tubercles.

## Eleven pairs of phyllobranchiae.

Uropods and telson with low, blister-like tubercles on dorsal surfaces; exopod of left uropod subtriangular. Telson with terminal margin separated by small, V-shaped sinus into strongly unequal lobes; with weak and strong, often ventrally curved, corneous spines.

Male with paired first and second pleopods; distal lobe of first pleopod with concave mesial face; second pleopod frequently with rudimentary exopod; small individuals ( $\mathrm{SL}<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) commonly with rudimentary first pleopods and poorly developed second pleopods. Female lacking first pleopods; with vestigial second right pleopod.

Size. $-O^{\pi} O^{\prime}, \mathrm{SL}=2.7-14.0 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ q 9, \mathrm{SL}=3.2-11.8 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~$ ㅇㅇ (ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=4.7-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Usually found inhabiting gastropod shells without symbionts.

Distribution. - Western Atlantic: from Bermuda, the Bahamas and Straits of Florida to the southern Caribbean. Depth range: from 36 m to 2034 m ; most


Fig. 23, map showing distribution of Sympagurus pilimanus (A. Milne Edwards) (hatched area).
frequently found in depths of 200 m to 600 m .
Affinities. - $S$. pilimanus is most closely allied to $S$. pictus. The relationship between the two species is inferred from the close similarity observed between small individuals of $S$. pictus and specimens of $S$. pilimanus of comparable size (see under $S$. pictus: affinities).
Remarks. - As mentioned in the remarks under $S$. pictus, Milne Edwards (1880) confounded $S$. pilimanus with $S$. pictus. Because of the possibility of confusion of the two species a lectotype is selected for this species.

In a study of behaviour of deep-water hermit crabs, Hazlett (1966) included observations on a species listed as Parapagurus pictus. Examination of Hazlett's specimens has shown that they actually are $S$. pilimanus.

Sympagurus acinops spec. nov.
(figs. 24-27)

> Type material. - Holotype: $O^{\prime} \sigma^{*}(S L=5.0 \mathrm{~mm})$, USNM 228519 ; type locality: Tongue of the Ocean (Bahama Islands), Columbus Iselin stalion $356,2423.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 7725.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1561 \mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{Aug}$ 1975.
> Paratypes. - $60 \circ^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}, 29 \$ \%$. Tongue of the Ocean, Bahama Islands (RMNH, UMML, USNM).

Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum slightly overreaching lateral projections. Anterior margins weakly concave. Lateral projections rounded. Anterolateral margins sloping. Lateral and posterior margins rounded. Dorsal surface often with several small regions of weak calcification; with longitudinal row of short setae on each side of midline, and transverse row of setae near each posterolateral angle. Ventrolateral margins usually with small spine. Anterodistal margin of branchiostegites unarmed. Posterior carapace with numerous small, low tubercles.

Ocular peduncles about half the length of shield, with dorsal, longitudinal row of setae, and usually weakly calcified on ventral surface; peduncles inflated ventrobasally. Corneae reduced, subconical, terminating in blunt to sharp, distal tip. Ocular acicles subtriangular, terminating in strong spine, separated basally by less than basal width of one acicle; mesial margins convex, lateral margins sloping.

Antennular peduncles long, exceeding distal margin of corneae by half or more than half the length of penultimate segment; with scattered setae. Ultimate segment about twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with strong, ventromesial, simple or bifid spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with subrectangular, distal lobe, armed with one or more small spines, and one spine proximally.


Fig. 24, Sympagurus acinops spec. nov. $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(S L=4.7 \mathrm{~mm})$, Columbus Iselin station 161 , USNM: A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, eye-stalk (lateral view); C, right chela and carpus (dorsal view); D, same chela (mesial view); E, same chela (lateral view); F, left chela and carpus. Scales equal 2 mm (A. C-F), and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(B)$.

Antennal peduncles exceeding distal margin of corneae by slightly less than length of ultimate segment. Flagellum distinctly overreaching right cheliped,


Fig. 25, Sympagurus acinops spec. nov. Ơ $^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$, Columbus Iselin station 406 , USNM: A-G, mouth parts (left, internal view): A, third maxilliped; B, second maxilliped; C, first maxilliped; D, maxilla; E, maxillule; F, endopod of same; G, mandible; H, branchia (transverse section). I, left antennule (mesial view). Scales equal 2 mm (A, B, I), 1 mm (C-E, G), and 0.5 mm (F, H).
bearing numerous setae one to four flagellar articles in length. Fifth segment with scattered setae. Fourth segment unarmed. Third segment with strong, ventromesial, distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral, distal angle produced, terminating in strong spine; mesial margin convex, with small spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment with one to two small spines on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with one to two spines. Antennal acicles curved outwardly, exceeding distal margin of corneae by about half the length of acicle; mesial margin setose, with four to nine strong spines.
Mouth parts as figured (fig. 25A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistomial spine, when present, short and straight.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, covered with moderately dense simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped massive. Fingers strongly curved ventromesially, terminating in calcareous or corneous claws; dorsal and ventral surface with tufts of setae. Dactyl set at strongly oblique angle to palm; with dorsomesial row of strong spines; cutting edge with three large, unequal, calcareous teeth, and distal row of small, corneous teeth; ventromesial face moderately concave. Fixed finger broad at base, cutting edge with two large, unequal, calcareous teeth. Palm unarmed dorsally, except for few small median spines proximally; dorsomesial margin well delimited with row of strong spines; ventromesial face rounded, with small tubercles; palm and fixed finger with well delimited dorsolateral margin, armed with strong spines; ventral surface with scattered, small tubercles. Carpus with tubercles dorsally, often with dorsomedian row of small spines; mesial face strongly sloping; dorsodistal margin with transverse row of small spines. Merus subtriangular in cross-section; dorsal surface with scattered, small tubercles; ventromesial angle rounded distally, with row of spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of long setae.

Left cheliped slender, well calcified. Fingers terminating in sharp corneous claws, with tufts of setae; cutting edges each with row of small corneous teeth. Palm unarmed except for dorsomesial row of small tubercles. Ischium, merus, and carpus, slender, subtriangular in cross-section. Carpus with long setae dorsally; with strong dorsodistal spine and small lateral spine on distal margin. Coxa with ventromesial row of long setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, overreaching right cheliped by about one-fourth the length of dactyls. Ischium, merus, carpus, and propodus, each with unarmed, mesial and lateral faces, dorsal row of long setae, and scattered setae on ventral margin. Dactyl about twice as long as propodus, more strongly curved distally than proximally, terminating in sharp corneous claw; with dorsodistal and dorsomesial distal row of long setae, and ventromesial row of widely spaced spinules. Carpus with small, dorsodistal spine. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, with small subterminal spine.
Fourth pereopod with subtriangular dactyl shorter than length of propodal rasp, terminating in corneous claw, with ventrolateral row of small, corneous spines; propodal rasp with row of ovate scales.

Fifth pereopod with dactyl usually overreaching fixed finger, with row of small scales on outer surface; propodal rasp forming subtriangular area extending to about midlength of propodus.
Twelve pairs of branchiae: eleven pairs of trichobranchiae and one pair of vestigial pleurobranchiae.

Exopod of left uropod longer than broad, anterior margin broadly rounded.


Fig. 26, Sympagurus acinops spec. nov. $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(S L=4.7 \mathrm{~mm})$, Columbus Iselin station 161, USNM: A, left second pereopod (lateral view); B, dactyl of same (mesial view); C, left third pereopod (lateral view); D, dactyl of same (mesial view); E, propodus and dactyl of fourth left pereopod (lateral view): F, propodus and dactyl of fifth left pereopod (lateral view); G, telson (dorsal view); H, exopod of left uropod (dorsal view); I, left first pleopod (mesial view); J, left second pleopod (anterior view). Scales equal 2 mm (A-D), 1 mm (E-H), and $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J})$.

Telson with small, low tubercles dorsally; with moderately deep V-shaped sinus separating asymmetrical lobes; terminal margin armed with weak and strong, usually curved, corneous spines.


Fig. 27, map showing distribution of Sympagurus acinops spec. nov. (hatched area).
Male with paired, well developed first and second pleopods. Distal lobe of first pleopod elongate, subcylindrical, with row of setae on anteromesial and posteromesial margins. Distal segment of second pleopod twisted near tip; posterior face often with low tubercles. Female lacking first pleopods.

Size. - $O^{\prime \prime} O^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{SL}=3.0-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; 우아, $\mathrm{SL}=3.3-5.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; 우오 (ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=3.2-4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Usually found living without symbionts. Occasionally, individuals are found inhabiting shells with one or more small anthozoan polyps (actinians or zoanthids) attached.

Distribution. - Western Atlantic; known only from the Tongue of the Ocean, Bahama Islands. Depth range: from 1246 m to 2537 m ; most frequently found in depths of 1300 m to 1400 m ..

Etymology. - The specific name is derived from the Greek akis meaning point, and ops meaning eye, and refers to the shape of the corneae.

Affinities. - $S$. acinops spec. nov. is unique among the western Atlantic species in having reduced corneae that terminate in a blunt to sharp tip. $S$. minutus, from the Indo-West Pacific, has similarly shaped corneae; however, these species differ significantly in other characters, such as the shape of the epistomial spine, the telson, the exopod of the left uropod, and the branchiae. The similarity of the corneae does not necessarily indicate phylogenetic relationships between the species, as reduced eyes are more probably an ecological adaptation.

# Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards, 1880) <br> (figs. 28-31, 40A, B) 

Eupagurus bicristatus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 43 (in part; type locality: off Frederickstadt, Blake station 136); Bouvier, 1891a: 402; Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 154, pl. 11, figs. 11, 12; 1894a: pl. 11, legends for figs. 1-15 (see remarks).
Eupagurus? bicristatus: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 155, pl. 11, legends for figs. 11, 12 (see remarks).
Sympagurus bicristatus: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1892b: 205; 1894a: 69, pl. 11, figs. 1-15; Bouvier, 1896: 128, fig. 11; Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1897: 133; 1899: 56; 1900: 196; Alcock, 1905: 105; Przibram, 1905: 197; Bouvier, 1922: 21; Edmondson, 1925: 28; Bouvier, 1940: 129, fig. 86; Forest, 1954: 163; Gordan, 1956: 341; Forest, 1958: 99.
Parapagurus bicristatus: Carlgren, 1928a: 193; 1928b: 168; Forest, 1955: 100; Gordan, 1956: 338; Forest, 1958: 97; Ross, 1967: 306; Forest \& De Saint Laurent, 1968: 115; Zariquiey-Alvarez, 1968: 252; De Saint Laurent, 1973: 791; Doumenc, 1975: 163.
Sympagurus bicristatus: Nobre, 1931: 203, fig. 111; 1936: 120, fig. 104.
?Species N. 3: Pike \& Williamson, 1960: 540. (see remarks).
?Sympagurus bicristatus: Pike \& Williamson, 1960: 540 (see remarks).
Parapagurus bicristatus bicristatus: De Saint Laurent, 1972: 112; Türkay, 1976: 31; Macpherson, 1983b: 476; Ingle, 1985: 764.
not Parapagurus (Sympagurus) bicristatus: Balss, 1911: 4 ( $=$ Sympagurus indicus Alcock, 1905).
not Parapagurus bicristatus: Balss, 1912: 98, figs. 6, 7 ( $=$ Sympagurus indicus Alcock, 1905); Forest, 1961: 231 [= Sympagurus africanus (De Saint Laurent, 1972)].
not Sympagurus bicristatus: Thompson, 1943: 418 ( $=$ Sympagurus indicus Alcock, 1905).
Type material. - Holotype: $\sigma^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{SL}=3.2 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ ), Blake station $136,17^{\circ} 43.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 64^{\circ} 55.50^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 907 \mathrm{~m}$, 6 Jan 1879, MCZ 4039.

Material examined. - Western Atlantic: $10^{\prime \prime}$, Gulf of Mexico (USNM); $110^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}, 299$, Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida (UMML); $80^{\circ} 0^{\circ}, 499$, Caribbean Sea and southwestern Atlantic (UMML). Eastern Atlantic: $10^{\prime \prime}, 3 q \nsubseteq$ Canary Islands (RMNH); $4 \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}, 59 q$, Cape Verde Islands (RMNH, USNM).

Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum broadly rounded, often obsolete, with broad, mid-dorsal ridge. Anterior margins concave. Lateral projections subtriangular, slightly in advance of rostrum, each frequently terminating in small spine. Anterolateral margins sloping. Lateral and posterior margins broadly rounded. Dorsal surface usually weakly calcified on half or more of surface (see variations, p. 77), with short, oblique row of setae on each side of rostral ridge. Ventrolateral margins with small spine. Anterodistal margins of branchiostegite unarmed.

Ocular peduncles usually more than half the length of shield, weakly calcified on mesial and lateral faces, with dorsal, longitudinal row of setae. Corneae dilated. Ocular acicles subtriangular, terminating in strong spine; mesial margins straight, lateral margins sloping; separated basally by less than basal width of one acicle.

Antennular peduncles slender, short, usually exceeding distal margin of corneae by entire length of ultimate segment, with scattered setae. Ultimate segment about twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with strong,


Fig. 28, Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards). A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, left chela and carpus; C, right chela (lateral view); D, right chela and carpus of female; E, right chela and carpus of male; F , left second pereopod (lateral view); G , dactyl of same (mesial view); H , left third pereopod (lateral view); I, dactyl of same (mesial view); J, propodus and dactyl of left fourth pereopod (lateral view): K, propodus and dactyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view): Scales equal $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}), 2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I})$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~K}) . \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}: \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{7}(\mathrm{SL}=3.1 \mathrm{~mm})$, Straits of Florida, Bellows station 78-5, USNM. D: $¢(S L=1.9 \mathrm{~mm})$, Straits of Florida, Bellows station $78-5$. USNM. F-I: $\mathrm{O}^{7}$ (SL $=3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Caribbean Sea, Pillsbury 589, UMML 32:4607. J, K: $O^{\text {T }}(\mathrm{SL}=4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Gulf of Mexico, LGL Ecological Research Associates station C-3-225, USNM.


Fig. 29, Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards). A, right antennal peduncle (lateral view); B-G, mouth parts (left, internal view): B, third maxilliped; C, second maxilliped; D, first maxilliped; E , maxilla; F , maxillule; G , mandible; H, branchia (transverse section). Scales equal 1 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}), 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H})$, and $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{E}) . \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$ (SL $=3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Caribbean Sea, Pillsbury station 589, UMML 32:4607. B, G: $\mathcal{C l}^{\prime \prime}(S L=2.8 \mathrm{~mm})$, eastern Atlantic, Talisman station 111, USNM 22917.
ventromesial, distal spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with subrectangular, distal lobe armed with one or more spines, and one spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae. Flagellum distinctly overreaching right cheliped, with numerous setae less
than one to three flagellar articles in length. Fifth segment with row of long setae laterally. Fourth segment with small spine on dorsolateral distal angle. Third segment with strong, ventromesial, distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral, distal, angle produced, terminating in strong, simple or multifid spine usually overreaching midlength of antennal acicle; mesial margin convex, with small spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment with one to two small spines or tubercles on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with row of small spines. Antennal acicles nearly straight in dorsal view, usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae; mesial margin setose, with ten to fourteen spines, each spine set at approximately $90^{\circ}$ angle to longitudinal axis of acicle (at least distally).
Mouth parts as figured (fig. 29B-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistomial spine present, slender, strongly curved upward.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, often with iridescent areas (preserved specimens), with surfaces covered with moderately dense simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped elongate, proportions and armature strongly influenced by size and sexual dimorphism (see variations, p. 77). Fingers strongly curved ventromesially, terminating in corneous claws; cutting edges each with several irregular-sized, calcareous teeth; cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small corneous teeth. Dactyl set at strongly oblique angle to palm; with scattered, small tubercles or spines and tufts of setae on dorsal surface; with spines on well delimited dorsomesial margin; ventromesial face concave. Fixed finger broad at base, with tufts of setae on dorsal surface. Palm with scattered, srnall tubercles or spines dorsally; mesial face strongly concave, expanded distally (more so in large males; see variations, p. 77), unarmed or with scattered, small tubercles; dorsomesial and ventromesial margins well delimited, with row of spines or tubercles; dorsolateral margin well delimited, with row of spines; ventral surface unarmed or with scattered, small tubercles. Carpus with numerous, small tubercles or spines on dorsal surface; with ventromesial distal row of tubercles or spines. Merus with small tubercles on dorsal and ventral surfaces; mesial face unarmed except for ventrodistal row of spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.
Left cheliped slender. Fingers terminating in corneous claw, with tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces; cutting edges each with row of small corneous teeth. Dactyl unarmed or with few small tubercles on dorsal surface proximally. Palm unarmed or with dorsomedian row of small tubercles. Merus and carpus subtriangular. Carpus weakly calcified on lateral face; with row of well spaced, small tubercles on dorsal margin, and dorsodistal spine; distal margin often with small spine laterally. Merus weakly calcified on lateral face, with ventrodistal row of small tubercles. Ischium unarmed. Coxa with ven-
tromesial row of setae.
Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, usually equalling or slightly overreaching right cheliped. Dactyl shorter than length of propodus, evenly curved throughout, terminating in corneous claw; with dorsal and dorsomesial distal row of long setae, and ventromesial row of strong spinules. Ischium, merus, carpus and propodus, each with unarmed, mesial and lateral faces, and scattered setae on dorsal margins. Carpus with small dorsodistal spine. Meri of second pereopods with one to four small spines on ventral margins distally; ventral margins of third unarmed. Ischium unarmed. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, armed with subterminal spine.


Fig. 30, Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards). A, male sternum and part of abdomen (ventral view); B, male right second pleopod (anterior view); C, male left second pleopod (anterior view); D, right second pleopod of same (lateral view); E, exopod of left uropod (dorsal view); F , telson (dorsal view). Scales equal $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~A}), 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (B-D), and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}) . \mathrm{A}: \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ (SL $=4.4 \mathrm{~mm})$, Straits of Florida, Gerda station 815, UMML 32:4610. B: O' $^{7}(\mathrm{SL}=2.6 \mathrm{~mm})$, Straits of Florida, Bellows station 78-3, USNM. C, D: O" $^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=3.0 \mathrm{~mm})$, Caribbean Sea, Pillsbury 589, UMML 32:4607. E, F: $\sigma^{7}(S L=3.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Straits of Florida, Bellows station 78-5, USNM.

Fourth pereopod with dactyl shorter than length of propodal rasp, terminating in corneous claw, with ventrolateral row of small, corneous spines; propodal rasp with one row of ovate scales distally, often with one to two rows of ovate scales on proximal one-fourth. Fifth pereopod with dactyl often overreaching fixed finger and row of small scales on outer surface; propodal rasp forming subtriangular area extending to or beyond midlength of propodus.
Eleven pairs of phyllobranchiae.
Exopod of left uropod longer than broad, anterior margin broadly rounded. Telson with terminal margin separated by shallow, V-shaped sinus into unequal lobes; armed with weak and strong, ventrally curved, corneous spines.

Male usually with paired first and second pleopods poorly developed (see variations, p. 77). Female lacking first pleopods, with vestigial second right pleopod.
Size. - ƠO゙, SL=1.3-4.4 mm; 우아, SL=1.8-3.2 mm; 아워 (ovigerous), SL=1.9-2.2 mm.

Symbiotic associations. - Usually found living in gastropod shells with one


Fig. 31, map showing distribution of Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards) (solid circles).
or more anthozoan polyps (actinians or zoanthids) attached.
Distribution. - Western Atlantic: from the Straits of Florida and the Gulf of Mexico to off the coast of Maranhao, Brazil, on the northeastern coast of South America. Eastern Atlantic: Canary Islands and Cape Verde Islands. Depth range: from 270 m to 1070 m ; most frequently found in depths of 400 m to 800 m .

Affinities. - This species is most closely related to $S$. gracilis. The two species can be distinguished on the basis of the mesial face of the right chela, the armature of antennal acicles, and in the case of males, the first and second pleopods (see under $S$. gracilis: affinities).

Remarks. - Following Milne Edwards' (1880) description of Eupagurus bicristatus, Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1892b) transferred this taxon to Sympagurus. However, in 1893, they again included Milne Edwards' taxon in Eupagurus, although they did question its generic placement in their discussion as well as in the legends for plate 11, figures 11, 12. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1894a) definitely placed Milne Edwards' taxon in Sympagurus, although the name $E$. bicristatus was retained in the legend for plate 11, figures 1-15.
In a larval study, Pike \& Williamson (1960) described zoeae of a species listed as "Species N. 3", and suggested that they might belong to $S$. bicristatus. Because the complete larval developmnent of parapagurid species is unknown, assignment of these zoeae to this species is questionable.

De Saint Laurent (1972) proposed three subspecies of P. bicristatus: P. b. bicristatus, P. b. gracilis, and P.b. indicus. The distinction between the typical form and the other two subspecies was based on the presence or absence of paired pleopods in the males. The study of a large series of specimens assigned to these subspecies has revealed that other differences also exist among them, and that they are distinct species. The three subspecies are here elevated to specific rank. Two of the species occur in the Atlantic, $S$. bicristatus and $S$. gracilis, and can be distinguished by the armature of the mesial face of the right chela and antennal acicles, and in the case of males, by the first and sccond pleopods. Contrary to De Saint Laurent's statement as to the absence of paired pleopods in males of the typical form, these can be present in $S$. bicristatus (see variations, p. 77). The third species, S. indicus, presumably occurs in the Indo-West Pacific.

Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson, 1888) comb. nov. (figs. 32-35, 40C)

Eupagurus bicristatus A. Milne Edwards, 1880: 43 (in part; see remarks).
Parapagurus gracilis Henderson, 1888: 92, pl. 10, fig. 3 (type locality: off Pernambuco, Brazil,


Fig. 32, Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson) comb. nov. A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, right chela and carpus of female; C, right chela and carpus of mate; $D$, right chela of male (lateral view); $E$, right chela and carpus of same; $F$, left chela and carpus; $G$, telson (dorsal view). Scales equal 3 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{F}), 2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}), 4 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E})$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{G}) . \mathrm{A}: \mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=5.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, Straits of Florida, Bellows station 78-8, USNM. B: $甲(S L=2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Caribbean Sea, Pillsbury station 610, UMML 32:4600. C: $\sigma^{7}(S L=2.2 \mathrm{~mm})$, southwestern Atlantic, Oregon station 4226, UMML 32:4538. D-G: $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}(S L=4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$, Caribbean Sea, Oregon station 4423, UMML 32:4591.

Challenger station 122); Murray, 1895: 359; Henderson, 1896: 534; Alcock, 1905: 172; Gordan, 1956: 338; Fores1 \& De Sainı Lauren1, 1968: 114; Coelho \& Araujo-Ramos, 1972: 163.
Eupagurus? bicristatus: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 155. (sec remarks).
Sympagurus arcuatus A. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893: 67, pl. 5, figs. 21-28 (type localily: St. Lucia, Blake stalion 218); Henderson, 1896: 534; Milne Edwards \& Bouvicr, 1897: 133; 1899: 56; Alcock, 1905: 104; Edmondson, 1925: 28; Hale, 1941: 279; Gordan, 1956: 341; Bullis \& Thompson, 1965: 10.
Parapagurus arcuatus: Balss, 1912: 89; De Sainı Laurent, 1972: 108.
Pylopagurus exquisitus Boone, 1927:71, fig. 14 (1ype locality: Pawnee I, north of Glover Reef, off the coasl of Bnilish Honduras, 871 m ); Gordan, 1956: 340. (see remarks).
Parapagurus bicristatus gracilis: De Sainı Laurent, 1972: 112; Coelho \& Borges Brantes dos Santos, 1980: 143.

Type malerial. - Lectolype (here designaled): $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=2.8 \mathrm{~mm})$, Challcngcr siation $122,09^{\circ} 05^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $34^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 630 \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{Sep}$ 1883, BMNH 1888: 33; Holotype of Sympagurus arcuatus A. Milne Edwards \& Bouvicr: $\ell ᄋ\left(S L=2.6 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ ), Blake station $218,13^{\circ} 49.12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 04.40^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 295 \mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{Feb}$ 1879, MCZ 6330.
Malerial examined. - Weslern Atlantic: 48 O' $^{\prime \prime}, 33$ 옹, Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida (MCZ, UMML, USNM); 26 O'O $^{\prime \prime}, 17$ ㅇㅇ, Caribbean Sea and southwesiern Allanlic (BM, MCZ, . UMML). Easicrn Atlantic: $10^{\circ}$, Gulf of Guinea (UMML).

Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum broadly rounded, often obsolete, with broad, mid-dorsal ridge. Anterior margins concave. Lateral projections subtriangular, slightly in advance of rostrum, each frequently terminating in small spine. Anterolateral margins sloping. Lateral and posterior margins broadly rounded. Dorsal surface usually weakly calcified on half or more of surface, with short oblique row of setae on each side of rostral ridge. Ventrolateral margins with small spine. Anterolateral margin of branchiostegite unarmed.

Ocular peduncles usually more than half length of shield, weakly calcified on mesial and lateral faces, with dorsal longitudinal row of setae. Corneae dilated. Ocular acicles subtriangular, terminating in strong spine; mesial margins straight, lateral margins sloping, separated basally by less than basal width of one acicle.

Antennular peduncles slender, short, usually exceeding distal margin of corneae by nearly entire length of ultimate segment, with scattered setae. Ultimate segment about twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with strong, ventromesial distal spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with subrectangular, distal lobe armed with one to two small spines, and one spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae. Flagellum distinctly overreaching right cheliped; with series of two to three long setae four to eight flagellar articles in length every ten to twenty articles. Fifth and fourth segments with scattered setae. Fourth segment with small spine on dorsolateral, distal angle. Third segment with strong ventromesial distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral, distal angle produced, termi-


Fig. 33. Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson) comb. nov. A-G, mouth parts (left, internal view): A, third maxilliped; $B$, second maxilliped; $C$, first maxilliped; $D$, maxilla; $E$, maxillule; $F$, endopod of same; G, mandible; H, branchia (transverse section); I, right antennal peduncle (lateral view). Scales equal $2 \mathrm{~mm}(A, B), 1 \mathrm{~mm}(C-E, G, I)$, and $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(F, H) . A-H: O^{\prime \prime}(S L=5.4 \mathrm{~mm})$, Straits of Florida, Bellows station 78-4, USNM. I: lectotype, BM 1888:33.
nating in strong, simple or multifid, spine reaching to about midength of antennal acicle; mesial margin convex, with small spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment with small spine on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with row of small spines. Antennal acicles weakly curved in dorsal view, usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae; mesial margin setose, with seven to eleven spines each set at approximately $45^{\circ}$ angle to longitudinal axis of acicle.

Mouth parts as figured (fig. 33A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine
on each side of midline. Epistomial spine invariably present, slender, strongly curved upward.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, often with iridescent areas (preserved specimens), with surfaces covered with moderately dense, simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped elongate, proportions and armature strongly affected by size and sexual dimorphism (see variations, p. 77). Fingers strongly curved ventromesially, terminating in corneous claws; cutting edges each with several irregular-sized, calcareous teeth; cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small, corneous teeth. Dactyl set at strongly oblique angle to palm, unarmed dorsally or with with scattered, small tubercles and tufts of setae; with spines on well delimited, dorsomesial margin; ventromesial face concave. Fixed finger broad at base, with tufts of setae on dorsal surface. Palm with irregular or regular, median rows of scattered, small tubercles on dorsal surface; mesial face strongly sloping, with small tubercles; dorsomesial margin well delimited, with row of tubercles or spines; ventromesial face rounded; dorsolateral margin well delimited, with row of spines; ventral surface with scattered, small tubercles. Carpus with numerous, small tubercles or spines on dorsal surface, with ventromesial, distal row of tubercles or spines. Merus with small tubercles on dorsal, lateral, and ventral surfaces; mesial face unarmed, with ventrodistal row of strong tubercles or spines. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.

Left cheliped slender. Fingers terminating in corneous claws, with tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces; cutting edges each with row of small corneous teeth. Dactyl unarmed or with few small tubercles on dorsal surface proximally. Palm unarmed or with dorsomedian row of small tubercles or spines. Merus and carpus subtriangular. Carpus weakly calcified on lateral face, frequently with small, sharp tubercles on dorsal margin, with dorsodistal spine; distal margin often with small spine laterally. Merus weakly calcified on lateral face, with ventrodistal row of small tubercles. Ischium unarmed. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.

Ambulatory legs similar from right to left, usually equalling or slightly overreaching right cheliped. Dactyl shorter than length of propodus, evenly curved throughout, terminating in corneous claw; with dorsal and dorsomesial distal row of long setae, and ventromesial row of weak spinules. Ischium, merus, carpus and propodus, each with unarmed, mesial and lateral faces, and scattered setae on dorsal margins. Carpus with small, dorsodistal spine. Meri of second pereopods also usually with row of small, setose tubercles or spines on dorsal margin, and one to four small spines on ventral margins distally. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, with one to two subterminal spines.

Fourth pereopod with dactyl shorter than length of propodal rasp, terminating in corneous claw, with ventrolateral row of small, corneous spines; propo-


Fig. 34, Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson) comb. nov. A, left second pereopod (lateral view); B, dactyl of same (mesial view); C, left third pereopod (lateral view); D, dactyl of same (mesial view); E, propodus and dactyl of left fourth pereopod (lateral view); F, propodus and dactyl of left fifth pereopod (lateral view); G, male left first pleopod (mesial view); H, male left second pleopod (anterior view); I, exopod of left uropod (dorsal view). Scales equal 3 mm (A-D), $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}), 0.5$ $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H})$, and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{I}) . \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{F}: O^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{SL}=4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$, Caribbean Sea, Oregon station 4423, UMML 32:4591. G, H: $0^{\prime \prime}(S L=5.5 \mathrm{~mm})$, southwestern Atlantic, Oregon station 4226, UMML 32:4538.
dal rasp with one row of ovate scales distally, with one to two rows of ovate scales on proximal one-fourth. Fifth pereopod with dactyl often overreaching fixed finger, with row of small scales on outer surface; propodal rasp forming subtriangular area extending to about midlength or more of propodus.

Eleven pairs of phyllobranchiae.
Exopod of left uropod longer than broad, anterior margin broadly rounded. Telson with terminal margin separated by V-shaped sinus into strongly unequal lobes; armed with weak and strong, ventrally curved, corneous spines.

Male with paired first and second pleopods, small individuals (SL<1.6 mm)


Fig. 35, map showing distribution of Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson) (hatched area).
often lacking first pleopods (see variations); mesial face of first pleopod weakly concave; distal segment of second pleopod spatulate. Female lacking first pleopods, with vestigial second right pleopod.
Size. - O"O゙, SL=1.8-5.5 mm; 아아, SL=1.0-4.0 mm; 앙(ovigerous), $\mathrm{SL}=1.7-4.4 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Symbiotic associations. - Usually found living in gastropod shells with one or more anthozoan polyps (actinians or zoanthids) attached.
Distribution. - Western Atlantic: from the Straits of Florida to off Pernambuco, Brazil. Eastern Atlantic: Gulf of Guinea. Depth range: from 146 m to 634 m ; most frequently found in depths of 200 m to 600 m .

Affinities. - S. gracilis is most closely related to $S$. bicristatus. The two species can be distinguished by the characteristics of the right chela, the armature of the antennal acicles, and in males the first and second pleopods. In S. gracilis, the ventromesial face of the right chela is rounded, and the spines on the antennal acicles are each set at a $45^{\circ}$ angle with the longitudinal axis of the acicle. In contrast, $S$. bicristatus has a right chela with a well delimited,
ventromesial margin, and the spines on the antennal acicle are each set at $90^{\circ}$ angle with the longitudinal axis of the acicle. The first and second pleopods in males of $S$. gracilis are more developed than in males of $S$. bicristatus (see variations, p. 77). With these exceptions, the two species are very similar, sharing, ainong others, the following characters: shape and pattern of calcification of shield, an upwardly curved epistomial spine, presence of a spine on the fourth antennal segment, and phyllobranchiae.
Remarks. - Of the two specimens used by Milne Edwards (1880) for his description of Eupagurus bicristatus, one was later considered to represent a new species which Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1893) described as Sympagurus arcuatus. They indicated the transfer of the specimen to the new taxon in a footnote and cited Milne Edwards' taxon as Eupagurus? bicristatus. Examination of this specimen showed that it is conspecific with $S$. gracilis, thereby making $S$. arcuatus a junior synonym of $S$. gracilis.

De Saint Laurent (1972) synonymized, without comment, Pylopagurus exquisitus Boone with Parapagurus arcuatus. Although it has not been possible to examine Boone's material, it is clear, from her description and illustration, that De Saint Laurent was correct in her synonymy. Boone's taxon, therefore, is also a junior synonym of $S$. gracilis.
Henderson's (1888) description of $P$. gracilis was based on two small males from off Pernambuco, Brazil. The two syntypes were examined. Because of the poor condition of one of them, and the resulting potential for confusion with other similar species, a lectotype is here selected for Sympagurus gracilis.

Sympagurus dimorphus (Studer, 1883) comb. nov. (figs. $36-38,40 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}$ )

[^0]?Species S.A. 1: Williamson \& von Levetzow, 1967: 181, figs. 2a-m, 3a-g (see remarks).
?Parapagurus dimorphus: Williamson \& von Levetzow, 1967:184 (see remarks)
?not Parapagurus dimorphus: Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893:32 (see remarks).
Type material. - Syntypes: not examined, Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin.

Material examined. - Atlantic: 48 O' $^{\prime \prime}, 19$ 웅, southwestern and southeastern Atlantic


Fig. 36, Sympagurus dimorphus (Studer) comb. nov. $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ (SL $=10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), Drake Passage, Eltanin station 740, USNM 155045: A, shield and cephalic appendages; B, left chela and carpus; C, right second pereopod (lateral view); D, right third pereopod (lateral view); E, dactyl of second pereopod (mesial view); F, dactyl of third pereopod (mesial view); G, propodus and dactyl of right fourth pereopod (lateral view); H, propodus and dactyl of right fifth pereopod (lateral view); I, exopod of left uropod (dorsal view); J, telson (dorsal view); K, left first pleopod (mesial view); L, left second pleopod (anterior view); M, right antennal peducle (lateral view). Scales equal 5 mm (A-F), 2 mm (G-J), and $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{M})$.
(IIPB, MNHNP, SAM, USNM); 11 specimens (dry), off South Africa (SAM). Pacific: 4 ƠO", 11 웅, southeastern Pacific (USNM).

Description. - Shield usually as broad as long. Rostrum rounded, with broad mid-clorsal ridge. Anterior margins concave. Lateral projections subtriangular, with small, terminal spine. Anterolateral margins sloping. Lateral margins nearly straight, posterior margin broadly rounded. Dorsal surface often weakly calcified on medial region, with scattered setae, frequently with numerous low, blister-like tubercles. Anterodistal margin of branchiostegite unarmed. Posterior carapace with numerous low blister-like tubercles.
Ocular peduncles usually more than half the length of shield, with dorsal, longitudinal row of setae. Corneae dilated. Ocular acicles subtriangular, terminating in strong, occasionally bifid, spine; mesial margins straight, lateral margins sloping; separated basally by less than basal width of one acicle.

Antennular peduncles slender, short, distinctly exceeding distal margin of corneae. Ultimate and penultimate segments with scattered setae. Ultimate segment nearly twice as long as penultimate. Basal segment with strong, ventromesial distal spine; mesial face unarmed; lateral face with subrectangular distal lobe with small spine, and one strong spine proximally.

Antennal peduncles usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae. Flagellum distinctly overreaching right cheliped, bearing numerous setae one to two flagellar articles in length. Fifth segment with scattered setae. Fourth segment with small spine on dorsolateral distal angle. Third segment with strong ventromesial distal spine. Second segment with dorsolateral, distal angle produced, terminating in strong, multifid spine usually reaching to about midlength of antennal acicle; mesial margin convex, with small spine at dorsodistal angle. First segment with one to two small spines on lateral face distally; ventromesial angle produced, with row of small spines. Antennal acicles sinuous in dorsal view, usually not exceeding distal margin of corneae; mesial margin setose, with thirteen to nineteen strong spines.

Mouth parts as figured (fig. 37A-G). Sternite of third maxilliped with spine on each side of midline. Epistomial spine, when present, short and straight.

Chelipeds markedly dissimilar, covered with moderately dense, simple and plumose setae. Right cheliped massive, proportions and armature strongly influenced by size and sexual dimorphism (see variations, p. 77). Fingers strongly curved ventromesially, terminating in corneous claws; cutting edges each with several irregular-sized calcareous teeth; cutting edge of dactyl also with distal row of small, corneous teeth. Dactyl set at strongly oblique angle to palm; with numerous tufts of setae and small spines or tubercles on dorsal surface; dorsomesial margin well delimited, with row of spines; ventromesial face concave. Fixed finger broad at base, with numerous tufts of setae and

ventral surfaces; mesial face unarmed; ventrolateral and ventromesial margins each with row of tubercles or spines. Ischium with ventromesial row of small tubercles. Coxa often with ventrodistal row of spines, and ventromesial row of setae.

Left cheliped slender, well calcified. Fingers with tufts of setae on dorsal and ventral surfaces, terminating in corneous claws; cutting edges each with row of small corneous teeth; cutting edge of fixed finger also with row of small calcareous teeth. Dactyl unarmed or with proximal row of tubercles dorsally. Palm with dorsomesial, dorsolateral, and often dorsomedian rows of small tubercles or spines. Carpus with row of small spines on dorsal margin; dorsodistal margin with one to two spines on lateral angle; with scattered, small tubercles on ventral surface. Merus with ventrolateral and ventromesial row of small tubercles or spines. Ischium often with ventromesial row of small tubercles. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae.

Ambulatory legs usually overreaching right cheliped by about one-fourth the length of dactyl, generally similar from right to left; armature of meri, carpi, and propodi, frequently more developed on right than left. Dactyl shorter than length of propodus, evenly curved throughout, terminating in corneous claw; with dorsal row of short setae, with several short rows of short setae dorsomesially, and ventromesial row of usually strong spinules (see variations, p. 77). Propodus usually with row of small spines dorsally. Carpus with row of spines on dorsal margin. Merus usually with ventrolateral row of small spines distally, and row of small spines dorsally. Ischium of second pereopod with small dorsodistal spine. Ischium of third pereopod unarmed. Coxa with ventromesial row of setae. Anterior lobe of sternite of third pereopods subsemicircular, setose, usually with one to three subterminal spines.

Fourth pereopod with dactyl shorter than length of propodal rasp, terminating in corneous claw, with ventrolateral row of small corneous spines; propodal rasp with three to five regular or irregular rows of ovate scales; merus, carpus and propodus each usually with scattered low, blister-like tubercles on lateral faces. Fifth pereopod with dactyl usually overreaching fixed finger, with row of small scales on outer surface; propodal rasp forming subtriangular area extending to about midlength of propodus; merus and carpus each frequently with scattered low, blister-like tubercles on lateral faces.

Twelve pairs of branchiae: eleven pairs of trichobranchiae, and one pair of vestigial pleurobranchiae.

Exopod of left uropod longer than broad, anterior margin broadly rounded. Telson frequently with scattered low, blister-like tubercles dorsally; terminal margin separated by shallow, broad, U-shaped sinus into unequal lobes; armed with short corneous spines.


Fig. 38, map showing distribution of Sympagurus dimorphus (Studer). Solid circles: based on material examined; stars: based on Henderson (1888), Balss (1912), Hale (1941), Probert et al. (1979), Schembri (1982), Schembri and McLeay (1983), and Mcpherson (1983a).

Male with paired first and second pleopods; distal lobe of first pleopod with moderately concave, mesial face; distal segment of second pleopod spatulate, basal segment occasionally with short exopod. Female usually lacking first pleopods, occasionally with pair of rudimentary first pleopods (see variations, p. 77); with vestigial second right pleopod.
 $\mathrm{SL}=7.1-9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Symbiotic associations. - Specimens of S. dimorphus from the southwestern Atlantic have been found inhabiting gastropod shells with one or more anthozoan polyps (actinians or zoanthids) attached to the shells (fig. 18A). Specimens from the eastern Atlantic are commonly found living in colonies of Epizoanthus species (fig. 18C,D).
Distribution. - Southern hemisphere between latitudes $25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $57^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Depth range: from 146 m to 603 m ; most frequently found in depths of 200 m to 600 m .

Affinities. - S. dimorphus shares a number of characters with other species of Sympagurus from the western Atlantic. The presence of vestigial pleurobranchiae is shared with $S$. pictus and $S$. acinops spec. nov., and the presence of trichobranchiae is shared with $S$. acinops. Development of first and second pleopods in males of $S$. dimorphus is similar to that of $S$. pilimanus
and $S$. acinops. The general shape of the right cheliped is similar in $S$. dimorphus, $S$. acinops, $S$. bicristatus, and $S$. gracilis (e.g., the well delimited, dorsomesial and dorsolateral margins of the chela). Several other species of Sympagurus from the Indo-West Pacific also have a right cheliped similar to that found in $S$. dimorphus.

Remarks. - Since Studer's description, this species has been reported numerous times from the southern hemisphere in the Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific Oceans. The only southwestern Atlantic material known is that reported by Forest \& De Saint Laurent (1968), and Scelzo (1973).

Milne Edwards \& Bouvier (1893) questionably referred a specimen in poor condition collected off Grenada, in the Caribbean Sea, to Parapagurus dimorphus. The specimen was unavailable for examination, thus it has not been possible to determine its identity. S. dimorphus is not known at present from the northern hemisphere, and it is unlikely that Milne Edwards \& Bouvier's specimen represents this species. The specimen is here questionably considered conspecific with $S$. dimorphus.
Forest \& De Saint Laurent (1968) suggested that P. brevimanus Balss, and Hale's (1941) two subspecies of Sympagurus arcuatus (S. a. johnstoni, and $S$. a. mawsoni), were conspecific with P. dimorphus. Subsequently, De Saint Laurent (1972) questionably placed the taxa of Balss and Hale in synonymy with $P$. dimorphus. I have not examined Balss' and Hale's specimens, but from their descriptions and figures it would appear that their materials do represent S. dimorphus.

Williamson \& von Levetzow (1967) indicated that the larvae of "Species S.A. 1" is most likely of Parapagurus dimorphus. De Saint Laurent (in Williamson \& von Levetzow, 1967), concluded that her Parapagurus sp. 2 was the same as "Species S. A. 1". As previously mentioned, the larval development of parapagurid species is not known, and any attempt to identify the species to which the larvae belong is speculative.

## MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATIONS IN WESTERN ATLANTIC SPECIES OF SYMPAGURUS

[The following observations apply only to the species of Sympagurus. A description of the morphological variation in western Atlantic species of Parapagurus can be found in Lemaitre (1986)].

Degree of calcification of the shield. - Various degrees of calcification have been observed. As pointed out by Lemaitre (1986), calcification can be severely altered during the preservation process, thus the possibility exists that the patterns described are artifacts of preservation. Various degrees of cal-
cification were found, however, in specimens from the same samples and from a variety of sources. Therefore, such patterns may represent a character that is inherent to the species. Because the calcification process of the cuticle occurs progressively during the molt cycle, it is possible that some factor may alter the process.
The surface of the shield is frequently weakly calcified in the median region. The size and frequency of occurrence of a weakly calcified region varies within species. In S. bicristatus and S. gracilis, the surface is almost always weakly calcified on more than half the surface (figs. 28A, 32A). Individuals of $S$. pictus, S. pilimanus, and $S$. dimorphus usually have less than half of the surface weakly calcified; in some cases, however, the entire surface is well calcified. Similar patterns of calcification have been observed in species of this genus from other areas.
Antennal peduncles. - The peduncles do not usually exceed the distal margin of the corneae in $S$. pictus, S. pilimanus, S. bicristatus, and S. gracilis. In $S$. dimorphus, however, the peduncle may exceed the corneae. In this species, the length by which the peduncle exceeds the corneae increases with growth. In small to medium sized individuals ( $\mathrm{SL}<8.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of $S$. dimorphus, the peduncle usually does not exceed the corneae, whereas in large individuals the peduncle may exceed the corneae by as much as one-fourth the length of the ultimate segment. In $S$. acinops, the peduncle exceeds the corneae by nearly the entire length of the ultimate segment.
Epistomial Spine. - The occurrence and shape of the spine can vary in the species. In S. pictus, S. dimorphus, and S. acinops, a short straight spine is frequently present. The epistome in $S$. pilimanus is unarmed. In S. gracilis and $S$. bicristatus, the epistomial spine is invariably present in the form of a slender, upwardly curved spine; occasionally, however, specimens are found with a reduced epistomial spine, apparently the result of wear or injury.
Pilosity of the right cheliped. - Simple and plumose setae are present in the segments of the cheliped. In S. pictus, in particular, setation is very dense and can completely hide the armature of the cheliped like in Parapagurus pilosimanus (fig. 39). In other species of Sympagurus, setation is never quite as dense as in S. pictus.

Armature. - Variations in armature are largest in $S$. pictus. Small individuals ( $\mathrm{SL}<4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of this species have numerous spines on the dorsal surface of the carpus and chela. With growth, the armature is reduced to scattered, small tubercles or spines. This reduction in armature is more pronounced in males than in females (fig. $15 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}$ ). Similar variations in armature, although less pronounced, occur in $S$. bicristatus and $S$. gracilis (figs. 28D, E, 32B-E). The shape of the mesial face of the chela in $S$. bicristatus varies with size and sex. The development of the distal portion of the mesial face can form a wing like


Fig. 39, right cheliped of female (B, D, setae removed). A, B, Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith; C, D, Sympagurus pictus Smith. Scales equal $5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$, and 10 mm (C, D).
expansion in large ( $\mathrm{SL}<4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) males (fig. $40 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ), which commonly have sharper spines on the margins of the chela than females. In S. dimorphus, the number of tubercles or spines on the cheliped increases with size (fig. 40E-H). The armature of the cheliped remains similar with growth in S. pilimanus and S. acinops.

Carpus and chela of right cheliped. - In S. gracilis and S. dimorphus, the shape of the dorsolateral margin of the chela can change from evenly convex or subsemicircular in females and males of similar size, to straight in large males (figs. 32B, C, E, 40E,G).


Fig. 40, right eheliped of male. A, B, Sympagurus bicristatus (A. Milne Edwards): A, dorsal view ( $\mathrm{SL}=4.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) B, same, mesial view. C, Sympagurus gracilis (Henderson), mesial view of ehela ( $\mathrm{SL}=5.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). D , Sympagurus pictus Smith, mesial view of chela and carpus ( $\mathrm{SL}=15.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); E-H, Sympagurus dimorphus (Studer): $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{O}^{7}$ (SL $=10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, USNM 155045); F, mesial view of same; $G, O^{7}(S L=16.3 \mathrm{~mm})$, MNHNP $2496 ; \mathrm{H}$, mesial view of same. Seales equal $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}), 5$ $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{D}), 4 \mathrm{~mm}(E, F)$, and $10 \mathrm{~mm}(G, H)$.

Carpus of left cheliped. - The carpus is frequently weakly calcified on the lateral face, and in some cases entirely.

Dactyl of ambulatory legs (second and third pereopods). - In most species the relative length of the dactyl tends to increase with growth, and variations in armature are slight. In some species, however, changes in the characteristics of the dactyl are more pronounced. In $S$. pilimanus and $S$. dimorphus, for example, the number and strength of the spinules on the ventral margins of the dactyls can vary from a row of small, well spaced spinules (fig. 22C,D) to a row of strong spinules (figs. 22E,F, 36E,F).
Fourth pereopod. - In most species the dactyl is subtriangular and shorter than the length of the propodal rasp. In S. pictus, however, the shape and relative length of the dactyl changes dramatically with growth. In small specimens ( $\mathrm{SL}<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) the dactyl is subtriangular, subequal in length to the propodal rasp, and terminates in a distal claw. With growth, the dactyl becomes much longer than the propodal rasp and the claw is shifted to a subterminal position and is substantially reduced in size (fig. 17C,D).

The number of rows of scales on the propodal rasp can vary intraspecifically. The number of rows on the proximal one-third of the rasp is usually greater than the number on the distal two-thirds. The number of distal rows often can aid in identification.

Vestigial pleurobranchiae. - Vestigial pleurobranchiae are present on the last thoracic somite in $S$. acinops, S. dimorphus, and S. pictus. Small individuals ( $\mathrm{SL}<5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of $S$. pictus often lack the vestigial branchiae; however, the branchiae invariably appear with growth.

First and second pleopods. - In some species, the degree of development of the first and second pleopods in males varies considerably with growth. The first pleopods in young individuals may be lacking or present only in a rudimentary form. In males of S. bicristatus, paired first pleopods never develop as fully as in other species of the genus; they are, at most, represented by a short bud (fig. 30A). The second pleopods in young individuals usually are poorly developed. Young males of $S$. bicristatus may have second pleopods that are unequally sized, and often one of the pleopods is unsegmented (fig. 30C,D). Although second pleopods in species of Sympagurus usually lack an exopod, a short exopod is often present in males of $S$. pictus and S. dimorphus. Though females typically lack first pleopods, it is not uncommon to find female specimens of $S$. pictus and $S$. dimorphus with paired or unpaired rudimentary first pleopods. In females of all species, the second left pleopod has crossed rami, and a vestigial second right pleopod is present.
Third to fifth pleopods. - Males have unpaired third to fifth left pleopods each with unequal rami. Females have unpaired third to fourth pleopods each with crossed rami; the rami of the unpaired fifth pleopod are unequal and not crossed.

Terminal margin of telson. - The lobes of the terminal margin of the telson
are separated by a V-shaped or U-shaped sinus. The lobes are strongly asymmetrical in $S$. pilimanus and $S$. gracilis, but weakly to moderately asymmetrical in the remaining species. In S. acinops, S. bicristatus, S. gracilis, and $S$. pilimanus, the armature of the left lobe usually includes several strong, ventrally curved spines.

## DISCUSSION

As discussed by Lemaitre (1986), the systematic confusion that has long existed among the taxa of Parapagurus (sensu De Saint Laurent) has resulted largely from the lack of understanding of the morphological variation exhibited by the species, and from the use of characters strongly affected by such variation (e.g., characters derived from the right cheliped). Several new or relatively new characters in structures such as the branchiostegite, antennal acicle, left cheliped, propodal rasp of fourth pereopod, exopod of left uropod, and telson, were shown by Lemaitre to be essential in distinguishing the four western Atlantic species of Parapagurus Smith. In reinstating Sympagurus and studying the western Atlantic species of this genus, several characters have proved diagnostic. These characters should also be useful in the evaluation of Sympagurus species from other regions. A list of these characters is included in Table 2. In species of Sympagurus, the right cheliped is also affected in its proportions and armature by size and sexual dimorphism. The diagnostic use of characters of this appendage in species of this genus is limited. However, in species such as $S$. bicristatus and $S$. gracilis, characters of the right cheliped (e.g., shape and armature of the right chela), do have diagnostic importance.

The genus Sympagurus, as defined in this paper, includes more than half of the species in the family Parapaguridae, most of which are distributed in the Indo-Pacific region. The majority of the Indo-Pacific species, however, is poorly known and it appears that the genus may still be heterogeneous. At least one character, viz., the presence of a curved epistomial spine, may be of phylogenetic significance in evaluating this genus. A curved epistomial spine is present in at least nine species: $S$. africanus, $S$. bicristatus, $S$. boletifer, $S$. gracilis, S. indicus, S. minutus, S. monstrosus, S. orientalis, and S. haigae. However, in order to ascertain any relationship among these species, other characters must be investigated as well.

As mentioned in the section on morphological variation, the development of the first and second pleopods can vary greatly with growth in males of some of the western Atlantic species of Sympagurus. In males of species from other regions, the first pleopods may be lacking and the second pleopods may only be developed, at most, to a rudimentary form (e.g., S. hobbiti and $S$.

| Structure | Character |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shield | 1. degree of calcification <br> 2. shape of rostrum, lateral projections, and posterior margin |
|  | 3. armature of ventrolateral margins of shield <br> 4. presence of blister-like tubercles |
| Ocular Peduncles and Acicles | 5. degree of calcification of ocular peduncles <br> 6. size and shape of corneae |
| 7. shape and armature of ocular acicle |  |

Table 2. Characters for diagnostic evaluation of species of Sympagurus Smith, 1883.
ruticheles). It appears that the evolutionary development or loss of the first and second pleopods in males is still at an incomplete stage in some species of this genus.

Several species of Sympagurus from the western Atlantic as well as from other regions have a pair of vestigial pleurobranchiae. This type of branchiae, which has also been reported by De Saint Laurent (1972), is unique among paguroids.

The presence of vestigial or rudimentary first pleopods in females has not been previously reported in species of Parapagurus or Sympagurus. The
occurrence of these pleopods in females of some species might be interpreted as a possible case of protandry. The first pleopods presumably would represent evidence of a previous male stage. However, other types of evidence usually found in protandric decapod crustaceans have not been observed (e.g., strong deviations from a $1: 1$ sex ratio; smaller size classes dominated by males and larger size classes dominated by females). Protandry is not known to occur in paguroids. Rather, the presence of first pleopods in females of some species appears to be another case of intraspecific variation in the occurrence of these pleopods. A similar intraspecific variation of pleopods has been reported for species of Tomopagurus A. Milne Edwards \& Bouvier, 1893, by McLaughlin (1981).

A vestigial second right pleopod has been observed in females of the majority of species of Sympagurus. Females of one eastern Atlantic species, $S$. ruticheles, lack the second right pleopod. In female specimens of some species, the second right pleopod is often absent, although a scar is visible on the normal place of attachment. It thus appears that this pleopod may easily become detached (accidentally?).

The phylogenetic analysis of the species and genera of the family Parapaguridae is hindered by the lack of knowledge of the species from regions other than the western Atlantic. With the present information on the western Atlantic species, however, an evaluation of the remaining species should now be possible. Only when all species become known in sufficient detail will it be possible to investigate their phylogenetic relationships.
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## APPENDIX

The following list contains the data for the stations from which specimens were collected. The information has been extracted from published accounts or official reports on the cruises and expeditions, and from museum or institution files. In cases where a depth range was given, the greatest depth is listed. The species found at each station are indicated by a number under the last column: 1, Parapagurus pilosimanus; 2, P. alaminos; 3, P. nudus; 4, P. abyssorum; 5, Sympagurus pictus; 6, S. pilimanus; 7, S. acinops spec. nov.; 8, S. bicristatus; 9, S. gracilis; 10, S. dimorphus

| Station | Position | Depth (m) | Date | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaminos |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gulf of Mexico: |  |  |  |
| 68-3-3B | $25^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3600 | 15 Apr 1968 | 3 |
| 68-7-3C | $2^{7} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 41.5$ 'W | 2700 | 27 Jul 1968 | 2 |
| 68-7-15D | $29^{\circ} 10.31 \mathrm{~N}, 87{ }^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1080 | 9 Aug 1968 | 1,2 |
| 68-7-13A | $29^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1044 | 7 Aug 1968 | 1 |
| 68-13-1 | $25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 07.3 \mathrm{~W}$ | 864 | 12 Nov 1968 | 2 |
| 68-13-11 | $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 95^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1350 | 17 Nov 1968 | 2 |
| 68-13-12A | $25^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 95^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1296 | 17 Nov 1968 | 1,2 |
| 69-11-4 | $27^{\circ} 24.9{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 44.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 990 | 7 Aug 1969 | 2 |
| 69-11-39 | $19^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1368 | 15 Aug 1969 | 1 |
| 69-11-74 | $21^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 41.5 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1260 | 22 Aug 1969 | 2 |
| 69-11-78 | $21^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 23 Aug 1969 | 1,5 |
| 69-11-86 | $21^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1062 | 25 Aug 1969 | 1,2 |
| 69-13-28 | $23^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3186 | 11 Oct 1969 | 3 |
| 69-13-29 | $25^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3177 | 12 Oct 1969 | 3 |
| 69-13-37 | $26^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2952 | 13 Oct 1969 | 3 |
| 69-13-44 | $28^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 740 | 15 Oct 1969 | 1 |
| 70-10-58 | $5^{5} 91.3$ ' N, $86^{\circ} 06.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3195 | 30 Jul 1970 | 3 |
| 71-7-7 | $26^{\circ} 26.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 864 | 14 Jul 1971 | 1 |
| 71-7-10 | $26^{\circ} 32.9$ N, $96^{\circ} 06.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 922 | 14 Jul 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-7-11 | $26^{\circ} 32.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 13.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 626 | 15 Jul 1971 | 5 |
| 71-7-38 | $27^{\circ} 35.6$ N, $92^{\circ} 58.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 547 | 21 Jul 1971 | 5 |
| 71-7-42 | $27^{\circ} 30.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 49.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 922 | 22 Jul 1971 | 1 |
| 71-7-43 | $27^{\prime 2} 27.8{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1818 | 22 Jul 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-7-47 | $27^{\circ} 32.3$ N, $92^{\circ} 47.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 864 | 22 Jul 1971 | 5 |
| 71-7-49 | $27^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 922 | 23 Jul 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-7-56 | $27^{\circ} 35.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 93^{\circ} 01{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 529 | 24 Jul 1971 | 5 |
| 71-7-57 | $26^{\circ} 55.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 57.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1215 | 24 Jul 1971 | 1 |
| 71-8-8 | $26^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 43.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2023 | 30 Jul 1971 | 2 |
| 71-8-10 | $26^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 48.3{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2043 | 30 Jul 1971 | 2 |
| 71-8-13 | $25^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 93^{\circ} 15.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3213 | 31 Jul 1971 | 3 |
| 71-8-24 | $23^{3} 56.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 684 | 3 Aug 1971 | 5 |
| 71-8-29 | $23^{\circ} 54.7$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 59.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 922 | 4 Aug 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-8-30 | $23^{\circ} 49$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 53.9^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 1417 | 4 Aug 1971 | 2 |
| 71-8-36 | $23^{\circ} 35.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 25.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2117 | 5 Aug 1971 | 2 |
| 71-8-40 | $21^{\circ} 16.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 51.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 558 | 7 Aug 1971 | 5 |
| 71-8-47 | $21^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 54.6^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 922 | 7-8 Aug 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-8-50 | $21^{\circ} 23.7$ N, $96^{\circ} 41.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1197 | 8-9 Aug 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-8-57 | $21^{\circ} 30.3$ N, $96^{\circ} 11.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2209 | 9 Aug 1971 | 1,2 |
| 71-8-60 | $19^{\circ} 00.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 95^{\circ} 11.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1116 | 12-13 Aug 1971 | 1 |
| 71-8-67 | $19^{\circ} 37.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 39.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 502 | 13 Aug 1971 | 5 |


| $71-8-75$ | $20^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1307 | 15 Aug 1971 | $1,2,3$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $72-13-17$ | $23^{\circ} 27.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 12.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 666 | 11 Jul 1972 | 5 |
| $72-13-19$ | $23^{\circ} 32.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 03.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 774 | 11 Jul 1972 | 1 |
| $72-13-23$ | $23^{\circ} 17.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 02.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1062 | 12 Jul 1972 | 1,2 |
| $72-13-27$ | $23^{\circ} 36.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 55.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1417 | 12 Jul 1972 | 1,2 |
| $72-13-32$ | $26^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 47.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1746 | 13 Jul 1972 | 2 |
| $72-13-39$ | $27^{\circ} 26.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 07.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1260 | 14 Jul 1972 | $1,2,5$ |
| $72-13-49$ | $27^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 49.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 630 | 16 Jul 1972 | 1,5 |
| $72-13-51$ | $26^{\circ} 55.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 95^{\circ} 10.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1377 | 17 Jul 1972 | 1,2 |
| $72-13-53$ | $27^{\circ} 24.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 56.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1143 | 17 Jul 1972 | 1,2 |
| $73-2-8$ | $27^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 94^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 869 | 3 Feb 1973 | 1 |

Advance II
Northwestern Atlantic:

78-1-9
78-1-11
78-1-13
78-1-14
$38^{\circ} 04.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 26.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ $37^{\circ} 43.37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 29.04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 3850 4086 $37^{\circ} 46.17$ 'N, $70^{\circ} 27.87^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ 3920
$38^{\circ} 00.02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 29.73^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$

Albatross
Northwestern Atlantic:
2036
2037
2038
2089
2097
2174
2186
2187
2212
2226
2429
2568
2626
2628
2658
2659
2660
2674
2675
2676
2677
2678
2713

2376
2383
2385
2392
2394

| $38^{\circ} 52.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 24.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3123 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $38^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 23.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3116 |
| $38^{\circ} 30.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 08.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3659 |
| $39^{\circ} 58.50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 39.40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 302 |
| $37^{\circ} 56.20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 57.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3451 |
| $38^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 72^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2869 |
| $39^{\circ} 52.15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 55.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 635 |
| $39^{\circ} 49.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 71^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, | 756 |
| $39^{\circ} 59.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 30.55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 770 |
| $37^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 71^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3681 |
| $42^{\circ} 55.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 50^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 848 |
| $39^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3206 |
| $32^{\circ} 27.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 20.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 635 |
| $32^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 55.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 950 |
| $28^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 925 |
| $28^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 916 |
| $28^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 907 |
| $32^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 569 |
| $32^{\circ} 32.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 589 |
| $32^{\circ} 39 ' \mathrm{~N}, 77^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 733 |
| $32^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 50.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 860 |
| $32^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 40.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1316 |
| $38^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 08.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3346 |

Gulf of Mexico:
$29^{\circ} 03.15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 583$
$28^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 2126$
$28^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$28^{\circ} 47.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$28^{\circ} 38.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$

1314
1303
756

| 24 Jun 1978 | 1,3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26 Jun 1978 | 4 |
| 27 Jun 1978 | 4 |
| 27 Jun 1978 | $1,3,4$ |


|  | Bahama Islands: <br> 2655 |  |  |  |  |  | $27^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 07.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 608 | 2 May 1886 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caribbean Sea: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2125 | $11^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 09.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 374 | 18 Feb 1884 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Atlantis |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | North Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |
| $152-8$ | $47^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 36^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4140 | 2 Aug 1948 | 4 |  |
|  | Eastern Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |
| $152-11$ | $42^{\circ} 53.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1296 | 10 Aug 1948 | 1 |  |

## Bellows

Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida:

| $78-1$ | $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 362 | 4 May 1978 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $78-2$ | $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 498 | 14 May 1978 | 9 |
| $78-3$ | $24^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 10{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 462 | 14 May 1978 | 8,9 |
| $78-5$ | $24^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 453 | 14 May 1978 | $6,8,9$ |
| $78-8$ | $23^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 453 | 15 May 1978 | 6,9 |
| $80-3$ | $24^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 443 | 19 May 1980 | 9 |

Benguela expeditions of the Instituto de Investigaciones Pesqueras de Barcelona, Spain
Southeastern Atlantic (Benguela I):
P. 7 - - 11 Nov 197910

Southeastern Atlantic (Benguela IV):

| P-118 | $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 13^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 410 | 22 Apr 1981 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P-121 | $24^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 13^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 410 | 23 Apr 1981 | 10 |
| P-123 | $25^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 13^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 527 | 23 Apr 1981 | 10 |
| P-124 | $25^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 13^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 403 | 23 Apr 1981 | 10 |
| P-129 | $26^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 13^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 531 | 24 Apr 1981 | 10 |
| P-139 | $28^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 14^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 439 | 26 Apr 1981 | 10 |


| P-29 | . $28^{\circ} 10.8{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 14^{\circ} 31.2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 439 | 13 Jul 1985 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-33 | $29^{\circ} 07.6{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 14^{\circ} 34.1^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 282 | 14 Jul 1985 | 10 |
| P-34 | $29^{\circ} 17.5$ S, $14^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 249 | 14 Jul 1985 | 10 |
| P-35 | $29^{\circ} 41.9{ }^{\text {S }}$, $14^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 425 | 15 Jul 1985 | 10 |
| P-36 | $29^{\circ} 37.8^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 14^{\circ} 55.2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 285 | 15 Jul 1985 | 10 |
| P-67 | $23^{\circ} 28.2$ S, $13^{\circ} 05.5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 421 | 23 Jul 1985 | 10 |
|  | Blake |  |  |  |
|  | Northwestern Atlantic: |  |  |  |
| 148 | $17^{\circ} 17.12{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ} 46.43$ ' W | 374 | 14 Jan 1879 | 6 |
| 167 | $16^{\circ} 09.40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 29.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 315 | 29 Jan 1879 | 6 |
| 309 | $40^{\circ} 11.40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 547 | 30 Jun 1880 | 1 |

Caribbean Sea and southwestern Atlantic:

| 192 | $15^{\circ} 17.20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 24.22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 248 | 30 Jan 1879 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 210 | $14^{\circ} 29.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 05.47^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 343 | 12 Feb 1879 | 9 |
| 218 | $13^{\circ} 49.12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 04.40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 295 | 15 Feb 1879 | 9 |
|  | Straits of Florida: |  |  |  |
|  | $24^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 412 | --1877 | 9 |

Calypso
Southwestern Atlantic:
$37^{\circ} 24.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 54^{\circ} 56^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
132
$37^{\circ} 35$ 'S, $54^{\circ} 53.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
270
$29 \mathrm{Dec} 1961 \quad 10$ 29 Dec $1961 \quad 10$

Challenger
Southwestern Atlantic:
$09^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 34^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
630
Southeastern Atlantic:
$35^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 18^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$
270
18 Dec 18739
Columbus Iselin
Northwestern Atlantic:

73-10-44 73-10-45 78-2-2
78-2-14
78-2-23
8007-62
8007-63
8007-64
8007-70
$36^{\circ} 40.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
335
390
$28^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$38^{\circ} 37.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 08.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
1106
3464
4243
$29^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
918
$28^{\circ} 06{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
1093
$28^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 1086$
$27^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
1171
Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida:

| 5 | $25^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 549 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 8 | $24^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 23.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1380 |
| 9 | $23^{\circ} 51.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 05.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1318 |
| 10 | $23^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1300 |
| 12 | $23^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1290 |
| 13 | $23^{\circ} 25.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 500 |
| 14 | $23^{\circ} 35.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 11.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1246 |
| 19 | $24^{\circ} 02.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1335 |
| 21 | $24^{\circ} 26.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 24.75^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1545 |
| 30 | $25^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 50.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2542 |
| $7203-32$ | $25^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3017 |
| $7203-33$ | $25^{\circ} 26.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 20.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1780 |
| 40 | $23^{\circ} 46.75^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 58.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1307 |
| 42 | $23^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1280 |
| 43 | $23^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1317 |
| 44 | $23^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1280 |
| 47 | $23^{\circ} 400^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 07.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1322 |


| 4 Jun 1973 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 Jun 1973 | 5 |
| 19 Feb 1978 | 1 |
| 19 Feb 1978 | 1,3 |
| 3 Mar 1978 | 4 |
| 21 Sep 1980 | 1 |
| 21 Sep 1980 | 1 |
| 21 Sep 1980 | 1,2 |
| 24 Sep 1980 | 1,2 |


| 2 Jul 1972 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 Jul 1972 | 7 |
| 4 Jul 1972 | 2,7 |
| 4 Jul 1972 | 2 |
| 4 Jul 1972 | 2,7 |
| 4 Jul 1972 | 2 |
| 5 Jul 1972 | 2,7 |
| 5 Jul 1972 | 7 |
| 6 Jul 1972 | 2 |
| 7 Jul 1972 | 3 |
| 8 Jul 1972 | 1 |
| 8-9 Jul 1972 | 1 |
| 22 Feb 1973 | 2 |
| 23 Feb 1973 | 2,7 |
| 23 Feb 1973 | 2,7 |
| 23 Feb 1973 | 7 |
| 24 Feb 1973 | 2 |

LEMAITRE: PARAPAGURUS

| 52 | $23^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 850 | 25 Feb 1973 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | $23^{\circ} 53.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 10.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1298 | 26 Feb 1973 | 2,7 |
| 57 | $24^{\circ} 03.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 23.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1400 | 27 Feb 1973 | 2,7 |
| 58 | $24^{\circ} 14.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1390 | 27 Feb 1973 | 2,7 |
| 60 | $24^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 25.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1527 | 28 Feb 1973 | 7 |
| 61 | $24^{\circ} 26.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1463 | 28 Feb 1973 | 2,7 |
| 66 | $24^{\circ} 43.75^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 35.7{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1545 | 2 Mar 1973 | 2 |
| 71 | $24^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 59.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1682 | 7 Mar 1973 | 2 |
| 78 | $24^{\circ} 24.7{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 11.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1683 | 6 Mar 1973 | 2 |
| 79 | $23^{\circ} 50.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1271 | 8 Mar 1973 | 2,7 |
| 80 | $23^{\circ} 52.7$ N, $77^{\circ} 01.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1280 | 8 Mar 1973 | 2 |
| 106 | $23^{\circ} 51.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 54.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1311 | 21 Sep 1973 | 2,7 |
| 110 | $23^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 01.7{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1360 | 22 Sep 1973 | 2,7 |
| 111 | $23^{\circ} 36.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 13.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1342 | 22 Sep 1973 | 7 |
| 113 | $23^{\circ} 41.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 06.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1368 | 23 Sep 1973 | 7 |
| 116 | $23^{\circ} 29.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 56.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1297 | 23 Sep 1973 | 2 |
| 123 | $24^{\circ} 11.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1385 | 24 Sep 1973 | 2 |
| 140 | $26^{\circ} 24.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 35.7{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 738 | 28 Sep 1974 | 1 |
| 154 | $23^{\circ} 32.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 46.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1311 | 4 Feb 1974 | 2,7 |
| 156 | $23^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1334 | 5 Feb 1974 | 2,7 |
| 161 | $23^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 06.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1370 | 6 Feb 1974 | 2,7 |
| 163 | $23^{\circ} 32.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77{ }^{\circ} 09.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1342 | 6 Feb 1974 | 2,7 |
| 164 | $23^{\circ} 44.7$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1372 | 6 Feb 1974 | 2,7 |
| 165 | $24^{\circ} 02.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77{ }^{\circ} 22.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1426 | 6-7 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 167 | $23^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1523 | 7 Feb 1974 | 2,7 |
| 176 | $24^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 29.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1632 | 10 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 178 | $24^{\circ} 15.5$ N ${ }^{\prime} 76^{\circ} 06.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1790 | 10 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 183 | $23^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 38.9{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1814 | 11 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 186 | $23^{\circ} 45.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 41.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1853 | 12 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 187 | $233^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1880 | 12 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 191 | $24^{\circ} 09.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1840 | 13 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 192 | $24^{\circ} 20.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 59.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1760 | 13 Feb 1974 | 2 |
| 247 | $24^{\circ} 38.6$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 32.5$ ' W | 1732 | 30 Oct 1974 | 2 |
| 249 | $23^{\circ} 47.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 03^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 1372 | 31 Oct 1974 | 7 |
| 250 | $23^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 52.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1311 | 31 Oct 1974 | 2 |
| 252 | $23^{\circ} 38.7$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 45 .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1332 | 1 Nov 1974 | 2,7 |
| 264 | $23^{\circ} 53.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 08.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1335 | 3 Nov 1974 | 7 |
| 271 | $24^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1502 | 4 Nov 1974 | 7 |
| 272 | $24^{\circ} 04.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 22.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1445 | 4 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 274 | $24^{\circ} 31.75{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1701 | 6-7 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 275 | $24^{\circ} 38.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1630 | 7 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 277 | $24^{\circ} 14.2{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1794 | 7 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 278 | $23^{\circ} 56.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 58.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1779 | 8 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 279 | $23^{\circ} 49.75^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 49.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1853 | 8 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 280 | $23^{\circ} 51.75^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 16.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2347 | 8 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 282 | $23^{\circ} 59.7$ N, $75^{\circ} 46.75$ ' W | 1908 | 9 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 284 | $23^{\circ} 56.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 59.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1781 | 9 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 285 | $24^{\circ} 15.25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 54.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1767 | 9 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 286 | $24^{\circ} 09.7$ N, $75^{\circ} 54.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1842 | 10 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 287 | $24^{\circ} 21.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1741 | 10 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 288 | $25^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 42.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2830 | 11 Nov 1974 | 2 |
| 289 | $26^{\circ} 10.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 58.7{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 351 | 11 Nov 1974 | 6 |
| 299 | $24^{\circ} 39.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 957 | 2 Apr 1975 | 2 |
| 303 | $23^{\circ} 56.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1390 | 4 Apr 1975 | 7 |


| 304 | $23^{\circ} 48.5$ N, $77^{\circ} 05.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1366 | 4 Apr 1975 | 2,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 306 | $24^{\circ} 06.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 17.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1379 | 4-5 Apr 1975 | 7 |
| 311 | $23^{\circ} 37.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 14.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1353 | 6 Apr 1975 | 2,7 |
| 312 | $23^{\circ} 35.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 10.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1348 | 6 Apr 1975 | 2,7 |
| 315 | $24^{\circ} 30.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 22.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1517 | 7 Apr 1975 | 2,7 |
| 319 | $23^{\circ} 33.3$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 44.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1295 | 8 Apr 1975 | 2,7 |
| 324 | $23^{\circ} 31.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 56.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1333 | 9 Apr 1975 | 2 |
| 326 | $23^{\circ} 51.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1383 | 9-10 Apr 1975 | 2,7 |
| 333 | $24^{\circ} 23.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 07.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1767 | 12 Apr 1975 | 3 |
| 334 | $24^{\circ} 15.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 06.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1789 | 13 Apr 1975 | 2 |
| 340 | $24^{\circ} 19.75^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 59.75^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1746 | 15 Apr 1975 | 2 |
| 344 | $23^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 27.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2178 | 16 Apr 1975 | 2 |
| 345 | $23^{\circ} 48.9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 14.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2360 | 16 Apr 1975 | 2 |
| 350 | $24^{\circ} 02.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 23.5$ 'W | 1426 | 4 Apr 1975 | 7 |
| 353 | $25^{\circ} 15.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 39.3{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2977 | 21 Apr 1975 | 1,3 |
| 356 | $24^{\circ} 23.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 25.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1561 | 20 Aug 1975 | 2,7 |
| 363 | $23^{\circ} 51.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 54.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1324 | 22 Aug 1975 | 2,7 |
| 367 | $23^{\circ} 51.25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 53.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1324 | 22-23 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 368 | $23^{\circ} 42.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1352 | 23 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 375 | $25^{\circ} 16.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 7737.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3050 | 24 Aug 1975 | 1 |
| 376 | $23^{\circ} 55.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 58.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1767 | 26 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 377 | $23^{\circ} 59.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1917 | 27 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 378 | $24^{\circ} 21.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 03.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1746 | 26-27 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 379 | $24^{\circ} 32.75^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 17.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1645 | 27 Aug 1975 | 3 |
| 380 | $24^{\circ} 38.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 25.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1635 | 27 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 381 | $24^{\circ} 23.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 09.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1758 | 27 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 382 | $23^{\circ} 56.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 00.75^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1763 | 28 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 383 | $23^{\circ} 49.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 49.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1844 | 28 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 384 | $24^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 54.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1844 | 28 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 385 | $24^{\circ} 13.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 06.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1792 | 28-29 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 388 | $23^{\circ} 56{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 31.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2133 | 29 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 392 | $22^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2259 | 31 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 393 | $22^{\circ} 15.16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 05.75^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2624 | 31 Aug 1975 | 2 |
| 395 | $22^{\circ} 00.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 04.25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2681 | 1 Sep 1975 | 1 |
| 403 | $23^{\circ} 56.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 26.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2171 | 5 Sep 1975 | 2 |
| 406 | $23^{\circ} 59.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 22.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1408 | 28 Feb 1976 | 2,7 |
| 410 | $23^{\circ} 30.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1323 | 29 Feb 1976 | 2 |
| 420 | $24^{\circ} 00.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 10.75^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 474 | 2 Mar 1976 | 9 |
| 425 | $25^{\circ} 16.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 51.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2537 | 3-4 Mar 1976 | 7 |
| 434 | $23^{\circ} 40.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 16.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1337 | 8 Mar 1976 | 2 |
| C001 | $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2451 | 29 Aug 1980 | 1 |
| 8007-8 | $24^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1787 | 2 Sep 1980 | 2 |
| D004 | $21^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2728 | 16 Nov 1981 | 1 |
| D005 | $22^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2728 | 16 Nov 1981 | 1,3 |
| D007 | $21^{\circ} 50$ N, $74^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2758 | 17 Nov 1981 | 1,3 |
| D010 | $21^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2703 | 18 Nov 1981 | 1 |

Combat
Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida:

| 3 Feb 1957 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23 Jul 1957 | 6 |
| 25 Jul 1957 | 1 |

## Eastward

|  | Northwestern Atlantic: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7558 | $33^{\circ} 15.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3420 | 17 Jun 1967 | 1,3 |
| 67-41-8226 | $33^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 25.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | - | 6 Oct 1967 | 1,3 |
| 67-41-8228 | $34^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2930 | 6 Oct 1967 | 1 |
| 67-41 | $34^{\circ} 03.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2930 | 6 Oct 1967 | 3 |
| 73-1-59 | $34^{\circ} 03.2$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 550 | 29 Apr 1973 | 1 |
| 74-2-6 | $35^{\circ} 23.2$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 43.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2105 | 16 Apr 1974 | 3 |
| 74-2-28 | $37^{\circ} 07.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 19.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1607 | 18 Apr 1974 | 1 |
| 75-6-22 | $36^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 32.7$ ' W | 2937 | 25 Jul 1975 | 1,3 |
| 75-6-29 | $38^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 72^{\circ} 44.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1275 | 28 Jul 1975 | 1 |
|  | Straits of Florida: |  |  |  |
| 26538 | $27^{\circ} 12.6{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 13.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 420 | 29 Mar 1975 | 6 |

## Eltanin

Southwestern Atlantic:
$56^{\circ} 06.07^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 66^{\circ} 19.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
549

Southeastern Pacific:
$46^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 83^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
298
$43^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 97^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 146$
$54^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 129^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 549$
18 Sep 1963
10

Fish Hawk
Straits of Florida:
7286
$24^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 47.45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
239
Gerda
Northwestern Atlantic:

181
182
403
670
$27^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$28^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida:
17
136
158
220
223
233
234
235
288
299
301
366
370
$25^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$24^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$26^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$24^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$24^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$25^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$25^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
25 ${ }^{\circ} 46.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 531$
$24^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$26^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$\begin{array}{lr}24^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W} & 709 \\ 23^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W} & 1281\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}24^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W} & 709 \\ 23^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W} & 1281\end{array}$

751
540
320
915
439
474
595
641
648
2 Jul $1963 \quad 1$
2 Jul 1963 1
20 Sep 19641
18 Jul $1965 \quad 1$

30 May $1962 \quad 1$
21-22 Jun 19631
25 Jun 19639
22 Jan 19649
23 Jan $1964 \quad 1$
30 Jan $1964 \quad 9$
30 Jan 19646
30 Jan 19649
3 Apr 19648
5 Apr 19648
5 Apr $1964 \quad 8$
$15 \operatorname{Sep} 1964 \quad 1$

16 Sep 19642

| 374 | $23^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 37{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1241 | 17 Sep 1964 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 432 | $24^{\circ} 18{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | - | 28 Nov 1964 | 9 |
| 437 | $24^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 384 | 28 Nov 1964 | 9 |
| 448 | $23^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1148 | 1 Dec 1964 | 1 |
| 465 | $24^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 403 | 25 Jan 1965 | 9 |
| 482 | $24^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 210 | 26 Jan 1965 | 6 |
| 507 | $25^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 384 | 2 Mar 1965 | 6 |
| 524 | $26^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 715 | 3 Mar 1965 | 6 |
| 525 | $26^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 403 | 3 Mar 1965 | 6 |
| 526 | $26^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 403 | 3 Mar 1965 | 6 |
| 580 | $24^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 146 | 14 Apr 1965 | 9 |
| 637 | $26^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 201 | 30 Jun 1965 | 6 |
| 658 | $27^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 320 | 16 Jul 1965 | 6 |
| 698 | $10^{\circ} 45.5$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ} 02.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 85 | 22 Jul 1965 | 6 |
| 711 | $26^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 851 | 23 Jul 1965 | 1 |
| 715 | $26^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 549 | 2 Aug 1965 | 9 |
| 721 | $26^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 79^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 494 | 3 Aug 1965 | 9 |
| 722 | $26^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 393 | 3 Aug 1965 | 6 |
| 798 | $26^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 17.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 403 | 12 Sep 1966 | 6 |
| 801 | $26^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 452 | 12 Sep 1966 | 9 |
| 806 | $26^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 549 | 13 Sep 1966 | 9 |
| 815 | $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 618 | 22 Jun 1967 | 8 |
| 819 | $23^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 494 | 22 Jun 1967 | 6 |
| 835 | $24^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 198 | 11 Jul 1967 | 6 |
| 846 | $25^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 194 | 2 Aug 1967 | 9 |
| 864 | $24^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 171 | 29 Aug 1967 | 6 |
| 867 | $24^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 792 | 30 Aug 1967 | 1 |
| 870 | $24^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 794 | 30 Aug 1967 | 1 |
| 876 | $24^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 261 | 31 Aug 1967 | 6 |
| 909 | $26^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 441 | 25 Sep 1967 | 6 |
| 929 | $26^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 414 | 29 Sep 1967 | 6 |
| 935 | $27^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 459 | 30 Sep 1967 | 6 |
| 954 | $21^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 306 | 28 Jan 1968 | 6 |
| 960 | $23^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1670 | 31 Jan 1968 | 1,2 |
| 961 | $23^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1656 | 31 Jan 1968 | 2 |
| 963 | $23^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1431 | 1 Feb 1968 | 2 |
| 967 | $24^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 495 | 2 Feb 1968 | 9 |
| 968 | $24^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 430 | 2 Feb 1968 | 9 |
| 972 | $24^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 227 | 3 Feb 1968 | 9 |
| 1083 | $24^{\circ} 18.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 167 | 26 Apr 1969 | 6 |
| 1102 | $24^{\circ} 15.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 284 | 29 Apr 1969 | 9 |
| 1312 | $26^{\circ} 38.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} \mathrm{O} .5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 527 | 31 Mar 1971 | 6 |
|  | Caribbean Sea: |  |  |  |
| 878 | $21^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | - | 7.8 Sep 1967 | 9 |
| 893 | $21^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 320 | 10 Sep 1967 | 6 |
| 894 | $21^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 203 | 10 Sep 1967 | 6 |
| 897 | $20^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 288 | 10 Sep 1967 | 6 |
| 951 | $21^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 302 | 28 Jan 1968 | 6 |
| Gloucester Fisheries |  |  |  |  |
| 212 | Off Massachusetts | - | - | 1 |

James M. Gilliss
$74-4-2$
$74-4-85$
$74-4-105$
$75-8-4$
$75-8-27$
$75-8-36$
$75-8-79$
$76-1-28$
$76-1-54$
$06^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
John Elliott Pillsbury
Northwestern Atlantic:
$31^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 2340$
29 Jul $1964 \quad 1,3$

Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida:

| $23^{\circ} 28.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1737 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21^{\circ} 19.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 45.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2532 |

Caribbean Sea and southwestern Atlantic:

| (19952 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 35.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1774 |
| :---: | :---: |
| (19055'N, $79^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2050 |
| (190 $13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 362 |
| (19051'N, 76 $6^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2950 |
| (19552'N, $76^{\circ} 10.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 434 |
| (19050.5 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 788^{\circ} 39.3$ ' W | 1836 |
| $10^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 27 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1215 |
| $69^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 7697 \mathrm{~W}$ | 531 |
| $09^{\circ} 00.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 25.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1152 |
| $09^{\circ} 00.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 342 |
| $09^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 13.8{ }^{\text {W }} \mathrm{W}$ | 673 |
| $09^{\circ} 10.1{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 55.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 947 |
| $11^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ} 07.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 598 |
| $21^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 484 |
| $21^{\circ} 07$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 202 |
| $18^{\circ} 50.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 31.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 707 |
| $18^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 639 |
| $18^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 774 |
| $17^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 8738.5^{\text {W }} \mathrm{W}$ | 324 |
| $07^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1026 |


| $36^{\circ} 00.5$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 45.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 410 | 11 Oct 1974 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $36^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 21.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1823 | 19 Nov 1974 | 1 |
| $37^{\circ} 05.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 23.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1520 | 24 Nov 1974 | 1 |
| $33^{\circ} 33.4{ }^{\text {N }}$, $76^{\circ} 03.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 980 | 7 Sep 1975 | 1 |
| $37^{\circ} 09.9$ 'N, $74^{\circ} 24.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1287 | 12 Dec 1975 | 1 |
| $36^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 72^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3083 | 15 Sep 1975 | 1,3 |
| $36^{\circ} 44.6{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 102 | 1 Sep 1975 | 1 |
| $37^{\circ} 01.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 13.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1916 | 24 Jan 1976 | 1 |
| $36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2000 | 28 Jan 1976 | 1 |
| Straits of Florida: |  |  |  |
| $24^{\circ} 12.11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 52.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 867 | 27 Jul 1975 | 1 |
| Caribbean Sea: |  |  |  |
| $12^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3365 | 2 Aug 1972 | 3 |
| Eastern Atlantic: |  |  |  |
| $06^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3446 | 19 Aug 1973 | 1,3 |

6


| 681 | $08^{\circ} 11.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 56^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2691 | 14 Jul 1968 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 776 | $12^{\circ} 13.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 72^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 400 | 29 Jul 1968 | 9 |
| 847 | $11^{\circ} 37.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 59.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 905 | 2 Jul 1969 | 1 |
| 876 | $13^{\circ} 13.9{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 04.7{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 257 | 6 Jul 1969 | 9 |
| 1178 | $19^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1769 | 30 Jun 1970 | 2 |
| 1181 | $18^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 2506 | 1 Jul 1970 | 2 |
| 1224 | $17^{\circ} 31.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 49.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 893 | 6 Jul 1970 | 1 |
| 1354 | $14^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 263 | 31 Jan 1971 | 6 |
| 1444 | $22^{\circ} 31.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 23.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2450 | 24 Jul 1971 | 2 |

51
53
74

Eastern Atlantic:

| $04^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 05^{\circ} 01$ 'W | 369 | 31 May 1964 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $04^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 04^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1553 | 31 May 1964 | 2 |
| $04^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 09^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 4 Jun 1964 | 1,3 |

LGL Ecological Research Associates
Gulf of Mexico:

| C2-194 | $27^{\circ} 53.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 90^{\circ} 05.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 786 | 27 Nov 1983 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C3-225 | $27^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 90^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 850 | 27 Nov 1983 | 2,8 |
| W1-2022 | $27^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 9^{\circ} 33.6^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 342 | 4 Apr 1984 | ,8 |
| W4-2103 | $26^{\circ} 44.4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 93^{\circ} 18.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1454 | Apr 1984 | 2 |
| W5-2125 | $26^{\circ} 17.1{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 93^{\circ} 21.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2322 | 10 Apr 1984 | 5 |
| C2-2256 | $27^{\circ} 54.4{ }^{\text {N }}$, $90^{\circ} 06{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{W}$ | 603 | 12 Apr 1984 | 1,5 |
| E4-2429 | $28^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 35.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1170 | 18 Apr 1984 | 1 |

Johnson Smithsonian Deep-Sea Expedition
Caribbean Sea:
$100 \quad 18^{\circ} 40.15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 64^{\circ} 50.15^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 270 \quad 4$ Mar $1933 \quad 6$
Michael Sars
Eastern Atlantic:
$34^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 33^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad$ - $\quad 9$ Jun $1910 \quad 1$
Oregon and Oregon II
Northwestern Atlantic:
10660

127
163
307
319
382
482
516
1303
1424
1507
1564
2202
$36^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
468
23 Jul $1969 \quad 1$
Gulf of Mexico:
$29^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
23 Sep 19505
$27^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
464
$29^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
823
28 Nov 19505
22 Apr 19515
28 Apr 1951 1,5
21 Jun 19515
7 Sep $1951 \quad 5$
1 Apr 19525
26 May 19552
23 Sep $1955 \quad 1$
6 May $1956 \quad 5$
22 Jun 19565
26 Jun $1958 \quad 1$

| 2574 | $26^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2610 | 28 Jul 1959 | 2,3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3650 | $29^{\circ} 12.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 360 | 25 Jul 1962 | 5 |
| 3652 | $29^{\circ} 12.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 56.5{ }^{\text {W }} \mathrm{W}$ | 450 | 25 Jul 1962 | 5 |
| 3654 | $29^{\circ} 08.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 00.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 738 | 25 Jul 1962 | 1 |
| 3657 | $29^{\circ} 06.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 57^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 990 | 26 Jul 1962 | 1 |
| 3658 | $28^{\circ} 56.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 19.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1350 | 27 Jul 1962 | 1 |
| 3660 | $29^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 27 Jul 1962 | 1 |
| 3662 | $29^{\circ} 10.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1008 | 28 Jul 1962 | 1 |
| 3663 | $28^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1575 | 28 Jul 1962 | 1,2 |
| 3664 | $28^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1800 | 28 Jul 1972 | 1 |
| 3666 | $28^{\circ} 48.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 888^{\circ} 24.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1170 | 29 Jul 1972 | 1,2 |
| 3679 | $29^{\circ} 14.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 49.5{ }^{\text {\% W }}$ | 405 | 7 Aug 1962 | 5 |
| 4566 | $23^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1260 | 6 Dec 1963 | 2 |
| 4567 | $23^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1080 | 7 Dec 1963 | 2 |
| 4571 | $23^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 7 Dec 1963 | 1 |
| 4701 | $27^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 90^{\circ} 32.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 900 | 22 Feb 1964 | 1 |
| 4703 | $27^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 90^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 22 Feb 1964 | 5 |
| 4709 | $27^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 91^{\circ} 18.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 23 Feb 1964 | 5 |
| 4713 | $27^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 900 | 24 Feb 1964 | 1 |
| 10329 | $29^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 403 | 15 Jan 1969 | 5 |
| 10388 | $29^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 432 | 25 Feb 1969 | 5 |
| 10636 | $28^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 661 | 20 Jun 1969 | 1 |
| 10641 | $29^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 414 | 22 Jun 1969 | 5 |
| 10874 | $28^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1098 | 15 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 10875 | $28^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1188 | 15 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 10876 | $28^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1440 | 15 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 10877 | $28^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1620 | 16 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 10878 | $28^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1800 | 16 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 10879 | $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 963 | 19 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 10895 | $28^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 88^{\circ} 27{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1269 | 27 Jan 1970 | 1 |
| 11193 | $29^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 47{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 486 | 29 Aug 1970 | 5 |
| 11204 | $29^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 1 Sep 1970 | 5 |
| 11552 | $28^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 89^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 594 | 27 Sep 1971 | 1,5 |
| Caribbean Sea and southwestern Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |
| 1890 | $16^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 180 | 24 Aug 1957 | 5 |
| 1900 | $10^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 82^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2034 | 8 Sep 1957 | 6 |
| 2011 | $07^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 7 Nov 1957 | 5 |
| 2030 | $07^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 52^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 10 Nov 1957 | 1 |
| 2627 | $18^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 65^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 216 | 29 Sep 1959 | 6 |
| 2648 | $18^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 67^{\circ} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 360 | 6 Oct 1959 | 6 |
| 2771 | $11^{\circ} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ} 27$ W | 396 | 15 Apr 1960 | 5,9 |
| 3549 | $17^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 306 | 16 Oct 1962 | 6 |
| 3583 | $09^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 504 | 25 May 1962 | 5 |
| 3584 | $00^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 360 | 25 May 1962 | 9 |
| 3601 | $09^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 31 May 1962 | 1 |
| 3627 | $16^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 360 | 17 Jun 1962 | 6 |
| 3637 | $17^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 87^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 306 | 10 Jun 1962 | 6 |
| 4226 | $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 44^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 270 | 9 Mar 1963 | 8,9 |
| 4293 | $07^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 52^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 21 Mar 1963 | 1,5 |
| 4294 | $07^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 21 Mar 1963 | 1,5 |
| 4296 | $07^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 900 | 22 Mar 1963 | 1 |
| 4299 | $07^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 23 Mar 1963 | 1 |
| 4398 | $12^{\prime 2} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 198 | 26 Sep 1963 | 9 |


| 4407 | $11^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 414 | 27 Sep 1963 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4412 | $11^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 3 Oct 1963 | 1 |
| 4415 | $12^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 810 | 3 Oct 1963 | 1 |
| 4423 | $11^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 342 | 5 Oct 1963 | 9 |
| 4428 | $11^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 6 Oct 1963 | 1 |
| 4842 | $11^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 504 | 17 May 1964 | 5 |
| 4911 | $11^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 73^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 342 | 31 May 1964 | 6 |
| 4941 | $20^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 86^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 198 | 12 Jun 1964 | 6 |
| 5028 | $11^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 432 | 22 Sep 1964 | 5 |
| 5624 | $10^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 66^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 101 | 25 Sep 1965 | 6 |
| 5638 | $11^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 450 | 30 Sep 1965 | 5 |
| 5929 | $15^{\circ} 39{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 639 | 5 Mar 1966 | 1 |
| 5930 | $15^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 07$ 'W | 796 | 5 Mar 1966 | 1 |
| 5956 | $13^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 225 | 10 Mar 1966 | 6 |
| 6697 | $17^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 828 | 18 May 1967 | 1 |
| 6699 | $17^{\circ} 38.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 333 | 19 May 1967 | 6 |
| 6703 | $16^{\circ} 53{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 61^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 828 | 21 May 1967 | 1 |
| 6706 | $17^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 62^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 270 | 22 May 1967 | 6 |
| 6715 | $18^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 234 | 30 May 1967 | 6 |
| 10491 | $10^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 60^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 639 | 25 Apr 1969 | 1 |
| 10492 | $10^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 59^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 657 | 25 Apr 1969 | 1 |
| 10602 | $07^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 538 | 10 May 1969 | 5 |
| 10604 | $07^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 10 May 1969 | 1 |
| 10607 | $07^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 770 | 11 May 1969 | 1 |
| 10610 | $07^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 12 May 1969 | 1 |
| 10611 | $07^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 52^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 756 | 12 May 1969 | 1 |
| 10614 | $07^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 52^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 657 | 13 May 1969 | 5 |
| 10615 | $07^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 711 | 13 May 1969 | 1 |
| 10618 | $07^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 810 | 15 May 1969 | 1 |
| 10799 | $07^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 716 | 17 Nov 1969 | 1 |
| 10823 | 07940'N, $53{ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 540 | 27 Nov 1969 | 5 |
| 10834 | $18^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 677 | 6 Dec 1969 | 1 |
| 10847 | $18^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 648 | 10 Dec 1969 | 1 |
| 11276 | $11^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 810 | 14 Nov 1970 | 5 |
|  | Panulinus II |  |  |  |
|  | Bermuda: |  |  |  |
| - | $32^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 64^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 730 | 24 Sep 1974 | 6 |
|  | Pieter Faure |  |  |  |
|  | Off South Africa: |  |  |  |
| 1879 | Cape St. Blaize, N. by E. 73 miles | 225 | - | 10 |
|  | Silver Bay |  |  |  |
|  | Northwestern Atlantic: |  |  |  |
| 446 | $28^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 78^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1062 | 10 Jun 1958 | 1 |
|  | Gulf of Mexico: |  |  |  |
| 1196 | $24^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 83^{\circ} 21.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 720 | 8 Jun 1959 | 1 |

Bahama Islands and Straits of Florida:
-

| $24^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 81^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 225 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 80^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 360 |
| $26^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 11.5{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 360 |
| $23^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 79^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 585 |

Snellius expedition 1966
Southwestern Atlantic:
$07^{\circ} 26.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 56^{\circ} 21.8^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
410
5 May $1966 \quad 5$
Talisman
Eastern Atlantic:

| $22^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 17^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 930 | 12 Jul 1883 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Cape Verde Islands | 580 | - | 8 |
| $42^{\circ} 19{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4100 | 24 Aug 1883 | 4 |

Tydeman
(Rockall cruise; and Cancap: II-VI expeditions of the Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden, The Netherlands; cf. van der Land, 1987)

West of Ireland (Rockall cruise):
6
2.006
2.020
2.026
2.027
2.067
2.087
2.090
2.112
2.133
2.135
2.148
$53^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
2880
10 Jun 1977
Canary Islands (Cancap II):
$27^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 2050$
$27^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
2200
$27^{\circ} 56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
1540
$27^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 14^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 07^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$27^{\circ} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
Madeira and Mauritania (Cancap III):
$32^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
3120
3065
3010
$322^{\circ}$ 'N, $16^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$29^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$29^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 53^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
$29^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
$29^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 46^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
3550
Selvagens and Canary Islands (Cancap IV):
$28^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 13^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 1352$
$28^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 13^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W} \quad 1315$
$28^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 13^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W} 1345$
$28^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 13{ }^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
1335

| 28 Oct 1960 | 6,9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 Nov 1960 | 6 |
| 9 Nov 1960 | 6 |
| 8 Nov 1961 | 9 |

8 Nov $1961 \quad 9$

| 360 | 9 Nov 1960 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 Nov 1961 | 9 |  |



Aug 188

| 4.066 | $28^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 13^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1070 | 20 May 1980 | 1.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.107 | $30^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2500 | 26-27 May 1980 | 3 |
| 4.126 | $28^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3590 | 29-30 May 1980 | 1 |
| 4.132 | $28^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3360 | 30 May 1980 | 1,2 |
| 4.154 | $28^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3300 | 3 Jun 1980 | 3 |
| 4.166 | $29^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3570 | 6 Jun 1980 | 1,3 |
| 4.180 | $32^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3499 | 9-10 Jun 1980 | 1,3 |
| Azores (Cancap V): |  |  |  |  |
| 5.001 | $38^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3150 | 23 May 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.003 | $38^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2850 | 24 May 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.004 | $38^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3100 | 24 May 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.005 | $37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2050 | 24 May 1981 | 3 |
| 5.024 | $37^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2120 | 28 May 1981 | 2 |
| 5.042 | $36^{\circ} 50{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2950 | 28 May 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.043 | $36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2950 | 29 May 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.048 | $36^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2480 | 29 May 1981 | 3 |
| 5.049 | $36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2450 | 30 May 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.090 | $38^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 28^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1350 | 2 Jun 1981 | 1,3 |
| 5.183 | $39^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 30^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1890 | 12 Jun 1981 | 3 |
| Cape Verde Islands (Cancap VI): |  |  |  |  |
| 6.046 | $14^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3550 | 10 Jun 1982 | 3 |
| 6.053 | $14^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3850 | 10-11 Jun 1982 | 4 |
| 6.055 | $15^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2220 | 11 Jun 1982 | 2.3 |
| 6.092 | $16^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3250 | 14-15 Jun 1982 | 1,3 |
| 6.122 | $17^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 646 | 18 Jun 1982 | 8 |

## U. S. Fish Commission

Northwestern Atlantic:

212
880
894
895
898
924
939
947
994
1029
1124

| $42^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | - | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 454 | 13 Sep 1880 | 1 |
| $39^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 58.30{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 657 | 2 Oct 1880 | 1 |
| $39^{\circ} 56.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 59.45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 428 | 2 Oct 1880 | 5 |
| Off mouth of Chesapeake Bay | 540 | 1880 | 1 |
| $39^{\circ} 57.30 \mathrm{~N}, 70^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 288 | 16 Jul 1881 | 5 |
| $39^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 50.30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 464 | 4 Aug 1880 | 5 |
| $39^{\circ} 56.30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 71^{\circ} 13.30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 562 | 9 Aug 1881 | 1 |
| $39^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 71^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 662 | 8 Sep 1881 | 1 |
| - | - | 14 Sep 1881 | 1 |
| $40^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 54 \times$ | 1152 | 26 Aug 1882 | 1 |

Miscellaneous stations
Western Atlantic:

| Western Dry Rocks, Florida | 259 | 1916 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tortugas, Florida; coll.: Darby | - | 1934 | 1 |

25 mi south of Loggerhead Key,
Tortugas, Florida; coll.: Darby 54
coll.: Schmitt
Jul 1936
1
1939
1

| Tortugas, Florida; coll.: Schmitt | 509 | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North American Basin, off North Carolina | 2500 | - | 2 |
| Bahama Islands; coll.: Sulak and Camey | - | - | 2 |
| Barbados; coll.: Lewis | - | - | 9 |
| Eastern Atlantic: |  |  |  |
| $45^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 02^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | - | 21 Dec 1972 | 1 |
| Southeastern Atlantic: |  |  |  |
| $25^{\circ} 52 \mathrm{~S}, 13^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 340 | - | 10 |
| $29^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 14^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 310 | - | 10 |
| WNW of Cape Columbine | 396 | 1959 | 10 |
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[^0]:    Eupagurus dimorphus Studer, 1883: 24, figs. 11, 12 (type locality: off Cape of Good Hope, South Africa, S.M.S. Gazelle, $34^{\circ} 13.6^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 15^{\circ} 00.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 211 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Alcock, 1905: 172.
    Parapagurus dimorphus: Henderson, 1888: 86, pl. 10, fig. 1; Murray, 1895: 395; Alcock, 1905: 172; Stebbing, 1910: 356; Balss. 1912: 97; Carlgren, 1923: 265, pl. 1, figs. 1, 13, 14, pl. 2, fig. 10 ; Balss, 1924: 768: Barnard, 1950: 452, fig. 83c, d; Haig, 1955: 18; Gordan, 1956: 338; Füller, 1958: 164; Forest \& De Saint Laurent, 1968: 115, pl. 1, figs. 5, 6; Coelho \& Araujo-Ramos, 1972: 164; De Saint Laurent, 1972: 108; Scelzo, 1973: 166; De Saint Laurent, 1973: 791, fig. 6; Hand, 1975 513; Probert, Batham, \& Wilson, 1979:381; Coelho \& Borges Brantos dos Santos, 1980: 143; Kensley, 1981: 33; Schembri, 1982: 860; Macpherson, 1983a: 12; 1983b: 472; Schembri \& McLay, 1983: 28, fig. 6a,b.
    Parapagurus brevimanus: Balss, $1911: 4$, fig. 5; 1912: 100, fig. 9; Forest \& De Saint Laurent, 1968: 116; De Saint Laurent, 1973: 791. (see remarks)
    Sympagurus arcuatus johnstoni Hale, 1941: 279, fig. 13a-d; Gordan, 1956: 341; Forest \& De Saint Laurent, 1968: 116. (see remarks).
    Sympagurus arcuatus mawsoni Hale, 1941: 280, fig. 14a-c; Gordan, 1956: 341; Forest \& De Saint Laurent, 1968: 116. (see remarks).
    ?Parapagurus sp. 2: De Saint Laurent-Dechancé, 1964: 15, figs. 2, 7, 11-19 (see remarks).

