found a part of a lemma from which the summit had been cut and mistook it for a palea, while the true palea split to the base in dissection was mistaken for lodicules.

We have not seen Lechler's no. 599, referred to by Bentham as possibly the staminate form of *Aciachne*. Growing with *Aciachne* at Cerro de Pasco, Peru, Professor Hitchcock found over-mature plants of *Dissanthelium calycinum* (Presl) Hitchc., which agree fairly well with Bentham's observations on Lechler's no. 599 and would appear to be the same species, though the glumes are abruptly pointed, not obtuse as in *Aciachne*.

Baillon in a note⁴ on the 1-flowered inflorescence of *Aciachne* refers to it as "polygame-dioique." His description of palea and lodicules ("glumelle interieure" and "glumellules") seems to be drawn from Bentham's illustration, but he found three stamens and an ovary with plumose styles, evidently in a young spikelet. He also observes a caryopsis but does not mention the included stamens. Pilger mentions⁵ *Aciachne* in a paper on monoecious and dioecious grasses.

ZOOLOGY.—Snails of the genus Succinea from the Maritime Province of Sibera. T. D. A. COCKERELL, University of Colorado. (Communicated by Paul Bartsch).

Dr. Leopold v. Schrenck, in his account of the mollusca of the Amur region of Siberia, listed ostensibly one species of Succinea, namely S. putris Linnaeus. However, he divided this into forma ventricosior (S. amphibia Draparnaud) and forma gracilior (S. pfeifferi Rossmaessler), and if his identifications were correct, he had not only two species but two subgenera. Westerlund described two varieties of S. putris from Siberia, namely variety firma Westerlund, above whitish, beneath amber-color, whorls 4, length 16-17 mm., width 9-10 mm. (Ins. Briakowskij, n. lat 70°, 39'), and variety hazayana Westerlund, red yellow, whorls 4-4.5, length 19.5-22 mm., width 9-10 mm. (Tunguska N. lat. 61°). Succinea oblonga var. agonostoma Kuester is said by Westerlund to occur in Germany, Sweden, and Siberia. The variety elongata Westerlund is synonymous with it. Succinea chrysis Westerlund is found, accord-

⁴ Bull. Soc. Linn. Paris 2: 1034. 1892

⁵ Bot. Jahrb. Engler **34**: 386. 1904.

¹ Reisen und Forchungen im Amurlande in den Jahren 1854-1856. St. Petersburg, 1859-1867.

ing to Dall,² from Greenland to Bering Strait and on the opposite (Asiatic) shore of the Strait.

The division of Succinea into subgenera has led to differences of opinion, and has perhaps been overdone, as Dall has suggested. Nevertheless, there are certainly two groups among the commoner Palearctic and Nearctic species, namely Succinea Draparnaud, proper (type S. putris), and Amphibina Hartmann (type S. pfeifferi). Not only do these differ in the appearance of the shell, but Succinea proper has the jaw ribbed, which is not the case in Amphibina. The two types of jaw are well illustrated by W. G. Binney³ (S. totteniana Morse and S. avara Say) and by Moquin-Tandon.⁴ Lucena Oken, originally based on S. putris, is Succinea proper as here understood. Oxyloma Westerlund, containing S. dunkeri Zelebor from Dobrudscha and S. hungarica Hazay from Hungary, is probably not to be separated from Amphibina. Both these species are so close to S. elegans Risso, which belongs to Amphibina, that good authorities have regarded them as subspecies of it.

In the Maritime Province of Siberia, during the summer of 1923, I found two kinds of Succinea, both of the typical subgenus, and closely related to S. putris. When I collected them, as they climbed the damp herbage during wet weather, they struck me as being decidedly different from S. putris, a species very familiar to me in England. Even in England, however, S. putris is variable, and from various parts of Europe numerous varieties have been described by Moquin-Tandon, Hazav, Baudon, Clessin, Colbeau, Bourguignat, Picard, Paulucci, Westerlund, and Pascal. Since there is so much similiarity in the shells of related forms of Succinea, it is quite possible that anatomical studies will show several of these "varieties" to be distinct species, but others are certainly phases without even racial significance. Germain⁵ does not hesitate to treat S. charpentieri Dumont and Mortillet and S. milneedwardsi Bourguignat, regarded as mere varieties of S. putris by Westerlund, as perfectly distinct species. Owing to the uncertainty surrounding the whole subject, I present the Siberian forms as subspecies of S. putris, a course which at any rate calls attention to their obvious affinities. Should they hereafter be separated specifically, they will probably rank as forms of a single species, yet racially distinct, as the characters were quite uniform (except for the usual individual variation in shape) in each locality.

² Alaska (Harriman Expedition) 13: 59. 1905.

² The terrestrial air-breathing mollusks of the United States 5: 415. 1878.

⁴ Hist. Nat, Mollusq. Ter. & Fluv. France 3: pl. 7, 1855.

⁵ Mollusques de la France 2: 225, 1913.

Succinea putris olgae, new subspecies.

Shell 19 to 21.6 mm. long, 11 to 11.7 mm. wide; aperture 14 to 16 mm. long, 9.5 mm. wide; general form of S. putris, size like variety hazayana Westerlund, but broader and much paler, dullish pale horn color, with moderate spire. Jaw about as wide as long (1.7 mm), ferruginous, the accessory plate usually longer than broad, thus longer in proportion to its width than in S. putris; ends (lateral lobes) distinctly broader than in S. putris; ribs low and broad, three or five; median inferior projection well developed. Lingual membrane with the teeth (centrals and laterals) only about half as long as the basal plate, the mesocone broad and very obtuse, the ectocones poorly developed; marginals dagger-shaped, curved, with a single rudimentary ectocone.

Olga, Siberia, July 13, on hill above the village (type locality); also (immature specimens) near the Kudia River, July. This is doubtless the shell which A. Adams reported as S. putris from Olga Bay and Vladimir Bay. Type.—Cat. No. 360790 U.S. N. M.

Succinea putris mera, new subspecies.

Shell 20 mm. long, 11.7 wide; aperture 16 mm. long, nearly 9 wide; general form of a very broad S. putris, but very thin, strongly reddish moderately shining. Jaw as in the last, except that the accessory plate is broader; lingual membrane as in the last. Animal in life very pale translucent yellowish; tentacles grey above, abruptly contracted near end.

Ókeanskaja, Siberia, July and August, abundant close to the railway station. Type.—Cat. No. 360791 U.S. N. M.

The substantial identity in jaw and lingual membrane indicates that these forms, although locally constant in their color-differences, are conspecific. Succinea lauta Gould was identified by A. Adams in his collections from Vladimir Bay. Succinea lauta was described by Gould as found on shrubbery at Hakodate, which is in northern Japan nearly opposite Vladivostok. It was said to be a very large, thin shell, most like S. obliqua Say. Dr. Bartsch has kindly sent me a specimen, and it is certainly another member of the S. putris group, differing very little from typical S. putris as figured by Moquin-Tandon and Baudon. The longer spire and generally more fusiform shape distinguish it from the above-described Siberian shells, but whether it agrees with them in dental and jaw characters remains to be determined. The Japanese S. horticola Reinhardt, from Matsuvama, also sent by Dr. Bartsch, is an entirely different shell, apparently referable to Amphibina, although Pilsbry seems to think otherwise. The Japanese S. hirasei Pilsbry is an Amphibina. I do not believe any of these Japanese species occur in Siberia. The record of Adams may be safely set aside, as it has been shown in several other cases that he made serious errors in locality or identity.

Succinea ogasawarae Pilsbry and S. punctulispira Pilsbry, from the Bonin Islands, are much smaller than my Siberian shells; the former is a curious shell, with the spire reduced to a mere papilla. The Chinese S. chinensis Pfeiffer and S. gimlettei Jones and Preston are less than 10 mm. long, and appear to belong to Amphibina.

The American forms most nearly allied to *S. putris*, namely *S. ovalis* Say (obliqua Say) and *S. totteniana* Morse (now usually considered a race or variety of ovalis), differ conspicuously from my Siberian species in the jaw, which has no salient median inferior projection, and equally in the teeth, the pointed mesocones being much longer, while the marginals have two little cusps. There is thus no possibility of specific identity.

A remarkable thing about the genus Succinea is the presence of species on remote islands, such as the Hawaiian Islands (numerous species), Galapagos Islands Cocos Island, Clarion Island, Sokotra, etc. Darwin thought that the young might be carried on the feet of birds. Lyell thought that the eggs might be carried among the feathers of water-fowl.

ENTOMOLOGY.—Notes on Grylloblatta with description of a new species. A. N. Caudell, National Museum.

The examination of additional specimens of the *Grylloblatta* found in California, as recently announced by the writer and of a topotypic nymph of the Canadian species *campodeiformis* Walker, makes it advisable to describe the specimens from California as belonging to a distinct species. Dr. E. M. Walker has kindly examined the holotype of the Californian form and pronounces it unquestionably distinct from the Canadian species. It is therefore here described as:

Grylloblatta barberi, new species.

The type of this species is the large male nymph discussed in the aforementioned article. In general appearance it is very like the Canadian campodeiformis but structurally it differs from that species as follows: The antennae are composed of a greater number of segments, their number ranging from 35 to 40 while the maximum number noted in the related species is 29 in the adult, a nymph of that form before the writer having 25. The antennae are also decidedly longer than in the Canadian form, as shown by measurements given below. The posterior femora are, as noted in the previous article, longer than in campodeiformis, the appended comparative measurements being illustrative. The transverse sulcus near the anterior margin of the pronotal disk is sinuate in all specimens seen while in campodeiformis it is straight as shown by Walker's description and illustrated in the immature specimen of that species examined. Thus the characters mentioned as differential in the former article appear to be constant and specific except for the fact that the posterior margin of the pronotal disk is obtuseangulate in the nymphs of both species, thus being a nymphal character. The cerci are more tapering and considerably longer than in campodeiformis and the large nymph selected as holotype, which is very probably in the last

¹ Can. Ent. **55**: 148-150. 1923.