











506.73

## SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY BULLETIN 40

## HANDBOOK OF AMERICAN INDIAN LANGUAGES


BY FRANZ BOAS

PART 2

WITH ILLUSTRATIVE SKETCHES

By EDWARD SAPIR, LEO J. FRACHTENBERG,

AND WALDEMAR BOGORAS



WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
1922

# 

#### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION,
BUREAU OF AMERICAN ETHNOLOGY,
Washington, D. C., February 20, 1911.

Sir: I have the honor to submit for publication, subject to your approval, as Bulletin 40, Part 2, of this Bureau, the manuscript of a portion of the Handbook of American Indian Languages, prepared under the editorial supervision of Dr. Franz Boas.

Yours, respectfully,

F. W. Hodge, Ethnologist in Charge.

Dr. Charles D. Walcott, Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution.



#### CONTENTS

The Takelma language of southwestern Oregon, by Edward Sapir	Page 1
Coos, by Leo J. Frachtenberg	297
Siuslawan (Lower Umpqua), by Leo J. Frachtenberg	431
Chukchee, by Waldemar Bogoras	631

v



### THE TAKELMA LANGUAGE OF SOUTH-WESTERN OREGON

вч

EDWARD SAPIR



#### CONTENTS

	Page
1. Introduction	7
§ 2–24. Phonology	8
§ 2. Introductory	8
§§ 3–11. Vowels	10
§ 3. General remarks	10
§ 4. System of vowels	10
§ 5. Stress and pitch-accent	15
§§ 6–11. Vocalic processes	22
§ 6. Vowel hiatus	22
§ 7. Dissimilation of <i>u</i>	24
§ 8. <i>I</i> - umlaut	24
§ 9. K-sounds preceded by u-vowels	27
§ 10. Inorganic a	28
§ 11. Simplification of double diphthongs	29
§§ 12–24. Consonants	31
§ 12. System of consonants	31
§ 13. Final consonants.	35
§§ 14–17. Consonant combinations.	36
§ 14. General remarks	36
§ 15. Initial combinations.	36
§ 16. Final combinations.	38
§ 17. Medial combinations	39
§ 18–24. Consonant processes.	41
§ 18. Dropping of final consonants	41
§ 19. Simplification of doubled consonants	42
§ 19. Consequents before a	
§ 20. Consonants before x	44
§ 21. Dissimilation of <i>n</i> to <i>l</i> and <i>m</i>	45
§ 22. Catch dissimilation.	47
§ 23. Influence of place and kind of accent on manner of articula-	40
tion	48
§ 24. Inorganic h	51
§ 25–115. Morphology	52
§ 25. Introductory	52
§§ 26–32. Grammatical processes	55
§ 26. General remarks	55
§ 27. Prefixation	55
§ 28. Suffixation.	56
§ 29. Infixation	56
§ 30. Reduplication	57
§ 31. Vowel-ablaut	59
§ 32. Consonant-ablaut	62
§§ 33–83. I. The verb	63
§ 33. Introductory	63
§§ 34–38. 1. Verbal prefixes	64
§ 34. General remarks	64
§ 35. Incorporated nouns.	66
§ 36. Body-part prefixes	72
§ 37. Local prefixes	86
§ 38. Instrumental wa	91

§ 25–115. Morphology—Continued.	
§§ 33–83. I. The verb—Continued.	Page
§§ 39, 40. 2. Formation of verb-stems	92
§ 39. General remarks	92
§ 40. Types of stem-formation	95
§§ 41–58. 3. Verbal suffixes of derivation	117
§ 41. General remarks	117
§ 42. Petrified suffixes	118
§ 43. Frequentatives and usitatives	127
§§ 44–51. Transitive suffixes	135
§ 44. General remarks.	135
§ 45. Causative -(a) n	135
§ 46. Comitative -(a) gw	137
§ 47. Indirective -d- (-s-)	141
§ 48. Indirective (a') ld	143
§ 49. Indirective -(a') md	144
§ 50. Indirective -(a)n (an)- "for".	145
§ 51. Indirect reflexive -gwa	148
§ 52–57. Intransitive suffixes.	149
§ 52. General remarks.	150
§ 53. Active intransitive -xa	
§ 54. Reflexive -gwi	152
§ 55. Reciprocal -x}-an	152
	750
§ 56. Non-agentive -x	153
§ 57. Positional -ī i	155
§ 58. Impersonal -iau-	156
§§ 59–67. 4. Temporal-modal and pronominal elements	157
§ 59. Introductory	157
§ 60. Intransitives, class I	160
§ 61. Intransitives, class II	164
§§ 62–66. Transitives, class III	167
§ 62. General remarks	167
§ 63. Transitive subject pronouns	170
§ 64. Connecting -x- and -i-	172
§ 65. Forms without connecting vowel	177
§ 66. Passives	180
§ 67. Verbs of mixed class, class IV	181
§§ 68–72. 5. Auxiliary and subordinating forms	184
§ 68. Periphrastic futures	184
§ 69. Periphrastic phrases in $na(g)$ - "do, act"	186
§ 70. Subordinating forms	189
§ 71. Conditionals	196
§ 72. Uses of potential and inferential	199
§§ 73–83. 6. Nominal and adjectival derivatives	201
§ 73. Introductory	201
§ 74. Infinitives	201
§§ 75–78. Participles	204
§ 75. General remarks	204
§ 76. Active participle in -t'	204
§ 77. Passive participle in -(a)k'w, -i'k'w	205
§ 78. Passive participle in -xap' (-sap')	207
§§ 79–82. Nouns of agency	208
§ 79. Introductory	208
§ 80. Nouns of agency in $-(a')^{\varepsilon_8}$	208

5

§§ 25–115. Morphology—Continued.	
§§ 33–83. I. The verb—Continued.	Page
§§ 73–83. 6. Nominal and adjectival derivatives—Continued.	
§§ 79–82. Nouns of agency—Continued.	
§ 81. Nouns of agency in $-s\bar{\imath}i$ , $-s\bar{\alpha}a$	209
§ 82. Nouns of agency in -xi	210
§ 83. Forms in -i'ya	210
§§ 84–102. II. The noun	210
§ 84. Introductory	210
§§ 85, 86. 1. Nominal stems	214
§ 85. General remarks	214
§ 86. Types of stem formation	215
§§ 87, 88. 2. Noun derivation	221
§ 87. Derivative suffixes	221
§ 88. Compounds	225
§ 89. 3. Noun-characteristics and pre-pronominal -x	227
§§ 90–93. 4. Possessive suffixes	231
§ 90. General remarks	231
§ 91. Terms of relationship	232
§ 92. Schemes II and III.	235
§ 93. Possessives with pre-positives	237
§§ 94–96. 5. Local phrases	241
§ 94. General remarks	241
§ 95. Pre-positives	242
§ 96. Postpositions	243
§§ 97–102. 6. Post-nominal elements	246
§ 97. General remarks	246
§ 98. Exclusive -t'a	246
§ 99. Plural -t'an (-han, -k!an)	247
§ 100. Dual -dīl	249
§ 101wi's every	249
§ 102. Deictic -€a\	250
§§ 103–105. III. The pronoun	251
§ 103. Independent personal pronouns	251
§ 104. Demonstrative pronouns and adverbs	252
§ 105. Interrogative and indefinite pronouns.	254
§§ 106–109. IV. The adjective	255
§ 106. General remarks	255
§ 107. Adjectival prefixes	256
§ 108. Adjectival derivative suffixes	258
§ 109. Plural formations	262
§§ 110, 111. V. Numerals	264
§ 110. Cardinals	264
§ 111. Numeral adverbs	266
§§ 112–114. VI. Adverbs and particles	267
§ 112. Adverbial suffixes	267
§ 113. Simple adverbs	270
§ 114. Particles.	272
§ 115. VII. Interjections	278
§ 116. Conclusion.	281
Appendix A: 1. Comparative table of pronominal forms	284
2. Scheme of seven voices in six tense-modes	285
3. Forms of $na(g)$ -"say, do"	286
Appendix B: Specimen texts with analysis	291



## THE TAKELMA LANGUAGE OF SOUTHWESTERN OREGON

BY EDWARD SAPIR

#### § 1. INTRODUCTION

The language treated in the following pages was spoken in the southwestern part of what is now the state of Oregon, along the middle portion of Rogue river and certain of its tributaries. It, together with an upland dialect of which but a few words were obtained, forms the Takilman stock of Powell. The form "Takelma" of the word is practically identical with the native name of the tribe,  $D\bar{a}^a gelma'^{\varepsilon}n$  those dwelling along the river (see below, § 87, 4); there seems to be no good reason for departing from it in favor of Powell's variant form.

The linguistic material on which this account of the Takelma language is based consists of a series of myth and other texts, published by the University of Pennsylvania (Sapir, Takelma Texts, Anthropological Publications of the University Museum, vol. 11, no. 1, Philadelphia, 1909), together with a mass of grammatical material (forms and sentences) obtained in connection with the texts. series of eleven short medicine formulas or charms have been published with interlinear and free translation in the Journal of American Folk-Lore (xx, 35-40). A vocabulary of Takelma verb, noun, and adjective stems, together with a certain number of derivatives, will be found at the end of the "Takelma Texts." Some manuscript notes on Takelma, collected in the summer of 1904 by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, for the Bureau of American Ethnology, have been kindly put at my disposal by the Bureau; though these consist mainly of lexical material, they have been found useful on one or two points. References like 125.3 refer to page and line of my Takelma Texts. Those in parentheses refer to forms analogous to 'the ones discussed

The author's material was gathered at the Siletz reservation of Oregon during a stay of a month and a half in the summer of 1906, also under the direction of the Bureau of American Ethnology. My informant was Mrs. Frances Johnson, an elderly full-blood Takelma woman. Her native place was the village of Dak'ts!asiñ or Daldani'k', on Jump-off-Joe creek (Dīp!ōlts!i'lda), a northern affluent of Rogue river, her mother having come from a village on the upper course of Cow creek (Hagwāl). Despite her imperfect command of the English language, she was found an exceptionally intelligent and good-humored informant, without which qualities the following study would have been far more imperfect than it necessarily must be under even the very best of circumstances.

In conclusion I must thank Prof. Franz Boas for his valuable advice in regard to several points of method and for his active interest in the progress of the work. It is due largely to him that I was encouraged to depart from the ordinary rut of grammatical description and to arrange and interpret the facts in a manner that seemed most in accordance with the spirit of the Takelma language itself.<sup>1</sup>

#### PHONOLOGY (§§ 2-24)

#### § 2. Introductory

In its general phonetic character, at least as regards relative harshness or smoothness of acoustic effect, Takelma will probably be found to occupy a position about midway between the characteristically rough languages of the Columbia valley and the North Californian and Oregon coast (Chinookan, Salish, Alsea, Coos, Athapascan, Yurok) on the one hand, and the relatively euphonious languages of the Sacramento valley (Maidu, Yana, Wintun) on the other, inclining rather to the latter than to the former.

From the former group it differs chiefly in the absence of voiceless l-sounds  $(L, l,^2 L!)$  and of velar stops (q, q, q!); from the latter,

<sup>1</sup> What little has been learned of the ethnology of the Takelma Indians will be found incorporated in two articles written by the author and entitled Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in American Anthropologist, n. s., ix, 251-275; and Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in Journal of American Folk-Lore, xx, 33-49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the myths, *l* is freely prefixed to any word spoken by the bear. Its uneuphonious character is evidently intended to match the coarseness of the bear, and for this quasi-rhetorical purpose it was doubtless derisively borrowed from the neighboring Athapascan languages, in which it occurs with great frequency. The prefixed sibilant *s* serves in a similar way as a sort of sneezing adjunct to indicate the speech of the coyote. *Gwi'di* where *s* says the ordinary mortal; *lgwi'di*, the bear; *sywi'di*, the coyote.

in the occurrence of relatively more complex consonantic clusters, though these are of strictly limited possibilities, and hardly to be considered as difficult in themselves.

Like the languages of the latter group, Takelma possesses clearcut vowels, and abounds, besides, in long vowels and diphthongs; these, together with a system of syllabic pitch-accent, give the Takelma language a decidedly musical character, marred only to some extent by the profusion of disturbing catches. The line of cleavage between Takelma and the neighboring dialects of the Athapascan stock (Upper Umpqua, Applegate Creek, Galice Creek, Chasta Costa) is thus not only morphologically but also phonetically distinct, despite resemblances in the manner of articulation of some of the vowels and consonants. Chasta Costa, formerly spoken on the lower course of Rogue river, possesses all the voiceless l-sounds above referred to; a peculiar illusive q!, the fortis character of which is hardly as prominent as in Chinook; a voiced guttural spirant γ, as in North German Tage; the sonants or weak surds dj and z (rarely); a voiceless interdental spirant c and its corresponding fortis tcl; and a very frequently occurring & vowel, as in English HUT. All of these are absent from Takelma, which, in turn, has a complete labial series (b, p', p!, m), whereas Chasta Costa has only the nasal m (labial stops occur apparently only in borrowed words,  $b\bar{o}ci'$  cat < pussy). The fortis k!, common in Takelma, seems in the Chasta Costa to be replaced by q!; the Takelma vowel ü, found also in California, is absent from Chasta Costa; r is foreign to either, though found in Galice Creek and Shasta. Perhaps the greatest point of phonetic difference, however, between the Takelma and Chasta Costa languages lies in the peculiar long (doubled) consonants of the latter, while Takelma regularly simplifies consonant geminations that would theoretically appear in the building of words. Not enough of the Shasta has been published to enable one to form an estimate of the degree of phonetic similarity that obtains between it and Takelma, but the differences can hardly be as pronounced as those that have just been found to exist in the case of the latter and Chasta Costa.

This preliminary survey seemed necessary in order to show, as far as the scanty means at present at our disposal would allow, the phonetic affiliations of Takelma. Attention will now be directed to the sounds themselves.

#### Vowels (§§ 3-11)

#### § 3. General Remarks

The simple vowels appear, quantitatively considered, in two forms, short and long, or, to adopt a not inappropriate term, pseudodiphthongal. By this is meant that a long vowel normally consists of the corresponding short vowel, though generally of greater quantity, plus a slight parasitic rearticulation of the same vowel (indicated by a small superior letter), the whole giving the effect of a diphthong without material change of vowel-quality in the course of production. The term PSEUDO-DIPHTHONG is the more justified in that the long vowel has the same absolute quantity, and experiences the same accentual and syllabic treatment, as the true diphthong, consisting of short vowel + i, u, l, m, or n. If the short vowel be given a unitary quantitative value of 1, the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) and ordinary diphthong will have an approximate value of 2; while the long diphthong, consisting of long vowel +i, u, l, m, or n, will be assigned a value of 3. The liquid (1) and the nasals (m and n) are best considered as forming, parallel to the semi-vowels y (i) and w (u), diphthongs with preceding vowels, inasmuch as the combinations thus entered on are treated, similarly to i- and u- diphthongs, as phonetic units for the purposes of pitchaccent and grammatic processes. As a preliminary example serving to justify this treatment, it may be noted that the verb-stem bilw-, bilu- Jump becomes bilau- with inorganic a under exactly the same phonetic conditions as those which make of the stem k!emn- MAKE k!eman-. We thus have, for instance:

bilwa's jumper; bila'uk' he jumped k!emna's maker; k!ema'nk' he made it

From this and numberless other examples it follows that au and an, similarly ai, al, and am, belong, from a strictly Takelma point of view, to the same series of phonetic elements; similarly for e, i, o, and  $\ddot{u}$  diphthongs.

#### § 4. System of Vowels

The three quantitative stages outlined above are presented for the various vowels and diphthong-forming elements in the following table:

I. Short.	II. Long.	Short diphthong.				III. Long diphthong.					
a	$\bar{a}^a, (\bar{a})$	ai,	au,	al,	am,	an	āi,	āu,		$\bar{a}^{am}$ ,	
e i	$e^{\epsilon}, (\dot{\epsilon})$ $\bar{\imath}i, (\bar{\imath})$	ei,	eu, $iu$ ,	el, il,	em, im,	en in	èi,	èu, ĩu,		e em īim,	
o, (u)	ōu, (ō)	oi,	ou, (ōu)	ol, (ul)	om, (um)	on (un)	ōi,	$\bar{o}^u(w),$	ōul,	ōum,	ōun
$\bar{u}$	ũu, (ũ)	ui,	$\bar{u}w$ , $(\bar{u}u)$	$\bar{u}l,$	ūm,	$\bar{u}n$	ūi,	$\bar{u}^u(w),$	$\bar{u}ul$ ,	$\bar{u}^{u}m$ ,	ūun
ü	üü, (Ü)	üi,	$\ddot{u}w$ , $(\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}})$	ül,	$\ddot{u}m,$	ửn	ūi,	üü(w),	üül,	üüm,	üün

It is to be understood, of course, that, under proper syllabic conditions, i and u may respectively appear in semivocalic form as y and w; thus  $\bar{o}^u$  and  $\bar{u}^u$  appear as  $\bar{o}w$  and  $\bar{u}w$  when followed by vowels; e. g., in  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}^u$ - throw away,  $\bar{u}w$  and  $\bar{u}^u$  are equivalent elements forming a reduplicated complex entirely analogous to -elel- in helelsing. Similarly ai, au,  $\bar{a}i$ , and  $\bar{a}u$  may appear as ay, aw,  $\bar{a}^ay$ , and  $\bar{a}^aw$ ; and correspondingly for the other vowels. Indeed, one of the best criteria for the determination of the length of the first element of a diphthong is to obtain it in such form as would cause the second element (i or u) to become semi-vocalic, for then the first vowel will adopt the form of a short vowel or pseudo-diphthong, as the case may be. The following phonetic (not morphologic) proportions will make this clearer:

 $biliut'e^{\varepsilon}$  I jump: biliwa't' you jump= $he^{e^{\varepsilon}}$ iu he went away from him:  $he^{e^{\varepsilon}}$ i'wi' $^{\varepsilon}$ n I went away from him

gaik' he ate it:  $gayawa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ate it =  $g\bar{a}ik$ ' he grew:  $g\bar{a}^{a}ya'^{\varepsilon}t$ ' he will grow

gayau he ate it: gayaw $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ate it = hant'gāu over land: Latg'ā $^{a}$ w $a'^{\varepsilon}$  one from Lat'gāu [uplands]

Sometimes, though not commonly, a diphthong may appear in the same word either with a semivowel or vowel as its second element, according to whether it is or is not followed by a connecting inorganic a. A good example of such a doublet is  $haye^ewa'xd\bar{a}^ada$  or  $hay\`euxd\bar{a}^ada$  in his returning (verb stem  $y\`eu$ -,  $ye^ew$ - return). It is acoustically difficult to distinguish sharply between the long vowel or pseudo-diphthong  $\bar{o}^u$  and the u-diphthongs of o (both ou and  $\bar{o}u$  are often heard as  $\bar{o}^u$ ), yet there is no doubt that there is an organic difference between  $\bar{o}^u$ , as long vowel to o, and  $\bar{o}^u=ou$ ,  $\bar{o}u$ . Thus, in  $loh\bar{o}^una'^en$  I cause him to die, and lohona'n I shall cause him to die,  $\bar{o}^u$  and o are related as long and short vowel in parallel

fashion to the  $\bar{a}^u$  and a of  $y\bar{a}^a na't'$  you went, and  $yanada'^{\varepsilon}$  you will go. On the other hand, the  $\bar{o}^u$  of  $p'\bar{o}^up'au$ - (a orist stem) blow is organically a diphthong  $(\bar{o}u)$ , the  $\bar{o}^u$  of the first syllable being related to the au of the second as the iu of k'iuk'au- (verb stem) brandish is to its au. Similarly, the  $-\bar{o}^u$ - of  $s\cdot\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}k'\hat{o}p'$ - (verb stem) jump is organic shortened au, related to the au- of the arist stem au- of au- of au- of au- of au- of the arist stem au- of au- of au- of au- of the arist stem au- of au- of au- of au- of the arist stem au- of au- of au- of au- or au- of the arist stem of au- or of au- or of au- of au- or of au- or of au- or of au- or of au

Examples of unrelated stems and words differing only in the length of the vowel or diphthong are not rare, and serve as internal evidence of the correctness, from a native point of view, of the vowel classification made:

gai- eat, but  $g\bar{a}i$ - grow verb-prefix  $d\bar{a}^a$ - ear, but da- mouth  $w\bar{a}^axa$  his younger brother, but wa'xa at them

It may happen that two distinct forms of the same word differ only in vocalic quantity;  $y\bar{a}^ada'^{\epsilon}t'$  HE WILL SWIM,  $yada'^{\epsilon}t'$  HE SWIMS.

It is, naturally enough, not to be supposed that the long vowels and diphthongs always appear in exactly the same quantity. Speed of utterance and, to some extent, withdrawal of the stress-accent, tend to reduce the absolute quantities of the vowels, so that a normally long vowel can become short, or at least lose its parasitic attachment. In the case of the i- and u- diphthongs, such a quantitative reduction means that the two vowels forming the diphthong more completely lose their separate individuality and melt into one. Quantitative reduction is apt to occur particularly before a glottal catch; in the diphthongs the catch follows so rapidly upon the second. element (i or u) that one can easily be in doubt as to whether a full i- or u- vowel is pronounced, or whether this second vowel appears rather as a palatal or labial articulation of the catch itself. practice has been adopted of writing such diphthongs with a superior i or u before the catch:  $a^{i\varepsilon}$ ,  $a^{u\varepsilon}$ ,  $e^{u\varepsilon}$ , and similarly for the rest. When, however, in the course of word-formation, this catch drops off, the i or u that has been swallowed up, as it were, in the catch reasserts itself, and we get such pairs of forms as:

 $naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he said; but  $naga'ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he said  $sgele'^{u\varepsilon}$  he shouted; but  $sgele'uda^{\varepsilon}$  when he shouted

On the other hand, vowels naturally short sometimes become long when dwelt upon for rhetorical emphasis. Thus ga that sometimes appears as  $g\bar{a}^a$ :

 $g\bar{a}^a$  loho't'e e in that case I shall die  $q\bar{a}'^a$   $ga^{\varepsilon}a'$ l for that reason

As regards the pronunciation of the vowels themselves, little need be said. The a is of the same quality as the short a of German Mann, while the long  $\bar{a}^a$  (barring the parasitic element) corresponds to the a of Hahn.

A labial coloring of the a (i. e.,  $\hat{o}$  as in German voll) frequently occurs before and after  $k^{\iota_w}$ :

 $g\bar{u}h\hat{o}k^{'w}$  planted, sown  $\bar{\iota}k'w\bar{a}'^{a}k'w\hat{o}k'$  he woke him up

But there were also heard:

sēk'ak'w shot malāk'wa he told him

The e is an open sound, as in the English Let; it is so open, indeed, as to verge, particularly after y, toward a. Also the long vowel  $e^e$  is very open in quality, being pronounced approximately like the ei of English their (but of course without the r- vanish) or the  $\hat{e}$  of French fret;  $e^e$ , though unprovided with the mark of length, will be always understood as denoting the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) corresponding to the short e; while  $\hat{e}$  will be employed, wherever necessary, for the long vowel without the parasitic -e. The close  $\bar{e}$ , as in German Reh, does not seem to occur in Takelma, although it was sometimes heard for i; in the words  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}^i$  he became,  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}^i$  am you became, and other related forms,  $\bar{e}$  was generally heard, and may be justified, though there can be small doubt that it is morphologically identical with the  $\bar{\imath}^i$  of certain other verbs.

The i is of about the same quality as in English HIT, while the long  $\bar{\imath}^i$  is closer, corresponding to the ea of English BEAT. Several monosyllables, however, in -i, such as gwi where, di interrogative particle, should be pronounced with a close though short vowel (cf. French fini). This closer pronunciation of the short vowel may be explained by supposing that gwi, di, and other such words are rapid pronunciations of  $gwi^i$ ,  $di^i$ , and the others; and indeed the texts sometimes show such longer forms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The word yewe' if HE RETURNED, e. g., was long heard as yawe' if, but such forms as  $y \ge u$  RETURN! show this to have been an auditory error.

The o is a close vowel, as in German sohn, as far as the quality is concerned, but with the short quantity of the o of voll. This closeness of pronunciation of the o readily explains its very frequent interchange with u:

*īts*:!o'p'al sharp-clawed dets:!ugu't' sharp-pointed

and also the u- quality of the parasitic element in the long close vowel  $\bar{o}^u$ . The short open  $\hat{o}$ , as in German voll, never occurs as a primary vowel, but is practically always a labialized variant of a. Thus in Takelma, contrary to the parallelism one ordinarily expects to find in vocalic systems, e- vowels are open in quality, while o- vowels are close.

The vowel  $\bar{u}$  is close, as in the English word RUDE, the long mark over the u being here used to indicate closeness of quality rather than length of quantity. The  $\ddot{u}$  is not identical with the German  $\ddot{u}$ , but is somewhat more obscure in quality and wavers (to an unIndian ear) between the German short  $\ddot{u}$  of MÜTZE and u of MUSS; sometimes it was even heard with the approximate quality of the short  $\ddot{o}$  of GÖTZ. The long  $\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}$  is, in the same way, not exactly equivalent to the long  $\ddot{u}$  of the German süss, but tends in the direction of  $\ddot{u}^{u}$ , with which it frequently varies in the texts. It is somewhat doubtful how far the two vowels  $\ddot{u}$  and  $\ddot{u}$  are to be considered separate and distinct; it is quite possible that they should be looked upon as auditory variants of one sound. Before or after y or w,  $\ddot{u}$  is apt to be heard as  $\ddot{u}$ , ——  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}$  THEY RAN AWAY,  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}s$ . HE LAUGHED,  $\bar{v}g\bar{u}y\bar{u}g\bar{v}'^{i}si$ , HE KEEPS NUDGING ME, —— otherwise often as u.

The only short vowel not provided for in the table is  $\hat{a}$  (as in English sun), which, however, has no separate individuality of its own, but is simply a variant form of a, heard chiefly before m:

 $he^{e\varepsilon}ile'me^{\varepsilon}x\hat{u}m$  he killed us off (for -am)  $x\hat{u}m$  in water (for xam)

The absence of the obscure vowel E of indeterminate quality is noteworthy as showing indirectly the clear-cut vocalic character of Takelma speech. Only in a very few cases was the E heard, and in the majority of these it was not a reduced vowel, but an intrusive sound between m and s:

dak't'be'esk't'bagames he tied his hair up into top-knot (in place of -ams).

Even here it may really have been the strongly sonantic quality of the m in contrast to the voiceless s that produced the acoustic effect of an obscure vowel. The exact pronunciation of the diphthongs will be better understood when we consider the subject of pitch-accent.

#### § 5. Stress and Pitch-Accent

Inasmuch as pitch and stress accent are phonetic phenomena that affect more particularly the vowels and diphthongs, it seems advisable to consider the subject here and to let the treatment of the consonants follow. As in many Indian languages, the stress-accent of any particular word in Takelma is not so inseparably associated with any particular syllable but that the same word, especially if consisting of more than two syllables, may appear with the main stress-accent now on one, now on the other syllable. In the uninterrupted flow of the sentence it becomes often difficult to decide which syllable of a word should be assigned the stress-accent. Often, if the word bears no particular logical or rhythmic emphasis, one does best to regard it as entirely without accent and as standing in a proclitic or enclitic relation to a following or preceding word of greater emphasis. This is naturally chiefly the case with adverbs (such as  $he^{\epsilon}ne$  then) and conjunctive particles (such as  $qan\bar{e}hi^{\varepsilon}$  and then;  $aqas^{i\varepsilon}$  and so, but THEN); though it not infrequently happens that the major part of a clause will thus be strung along without decided stress-accent until some emphatic noun or verb-form is reached. Thus the following passage occurs in one of the myths:

ganēhi<sup>e</sup> dewenxa lā<sup>o</sup>lē hono<sup>e</sup> p'ele'xa<sup>e</sup>, literally translated, And then to-morrow (next day) it became, again they went out to war

All that precedes the main verb-form p'ele'xa $^{\varepsilon}$  they went out to war is relatively unimportant, and hence is hurried over without anywhere receiving marked stress.

Nevertheless a fully accented word is normally stressed on some particular syllable; it may even happen that two forms differ merely in the place of accent:

naga'-ida' when he said, but naga-ida' when you said


The important point to observe, however, is that when a particular syllable does receive the stress (and after all most words are normally

accented on some one syllable), it takes on one of two or three musical inflections:

- (1) A simple pitch distinctly higher than the normal pitch of unstressed speech (2).
- (2) A rising inflection that starts at, or a trifle above, the normal pitch, and gradually slides up to the same higher pitch referred to above  $(\simeq)$ .
- (3) A falling inflection that starts at, or generally somewhat higher than, the raised pitch of (1) and (2), and gradually slides down to fall either in the same or immediately following syllable, to a pitch somewhat lower than the normal (4).

The "raised" pitch (>) is employed only in the case of final short vowels or shortened diphthongs (i. e., diphthongs that, owing to speed of utterance, are pronounced so rapidly as to have a quantitative value hardly greater than that of short vowels; also secondary diphthongs involving an inorganic a); if a short vowel spoken on a raised pitch be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable (as will always happen, if it is not the final vowel of the word), there will evidently ensue a fall in pitch in the unaccented syllable, and the general acoustic effect of the two syllables will be equivalent to a "falling" inflection (4) within one syllable; i. e. (if — be employed to denote an unaccented syllable),  $(\dot{z}) + - = (\dot{z})$ . The following illustration will make this clearer: YOU SANG is regularly accented helela't', the a' being sung on an interval of a (minor, sometimes even major) third above the two unaccented e-vowels. The acoustic effect to an American ear is very much the same as that of a curt query requiring a positive or negative answer, did he go? where the i of did and e of he correspond in pitch to the two e's of the Takelma word, while the o of go is equivalent to the Takelma a'. The Takelma word, of course, has no interrogative connotation. If, now, we wish to make a question out of helela't', we add the interrogative particle di, and obtain the form helela't'idi did he sing? (The i is a weak vowel inserted to keep the t and d apart.) Here the a' has about the same pitch as in the preceding word, but the & sinks to about the level of the evowels, and the di is pronounced approximately a third below the normal level. The Takelma interrogative form thus bears an acoustic resemblance to a rapid English reply: so HE DID GO, the o of so and

e of HE corresponding in pitch to the unaccented e-vowels of the Takelma, the i of DID resembling in its rise above the normal pitch the a', and the o of GO sinking like the i of the interrogative particle. If the normal level of speech be set at A, the two forms just considered may be musically, naturally with very greatly exaggerated tonal effect, represented as follows:



The "rising" pitch ( $\simeq$ ) is found only on long vowels and short or long diphthongs. The rising pitch is for a long vowel or diphthong what the raised pitch is for a short vowel or shortened diphthong; the essential difference between the two being that in the latter case the accented vowel is sung on a single tone reached without an intermediate slur from the lower level, whereas in the case of the rising pitch the affected vowel or diphthong changes in pitch in the course of pronunciation; the first part of the long vowel and the first vowel of the diphthong are sung on a tone intermediate between the normal level and the raised pitch, while the parasitic element of the long vowel and the second vowel (i or u) of the diphthong are hit by the raised tone itself. It is easy to understand that in rapid pronunciation the intermediate tone of the first part of the long vowel or diphthong would be hurried over and sometimes dropped altogether; this means that a long vowel or diphthong with rising pitch  $(\tilde{a}, a\tilde{\imath})$  becomes a short vowel or shortened diphthong with raised pitch (a', a'i).2 Diphthongs consisting of a short vowel +l, m, or n, and provided with a rising pitch, ought, in strict analogy, to appear as  $a\tilde{n}$ ,  $a\tilde{l}$ ,  $a\tilde{m}$ ; and so on for the other vowels. This is doubtless the correct representation, and such forms as:

nañk' he will say, do gwalt' wind dasmayañ he smiled wulx enemy, Shasta

were actually heard, the liquid or nasal being distinctly higher in pitch than the preceding vowel. In the majority of cases, however,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is curious that the effect to our ears of the Takelma declarative helela't' is of an interrogative DID YOU SING, while conversely the effect of an interrogative helela't'idi is that of a declarative YOU DID SING.

This is entirely accidental in so far as a rise in pitch has nothing to do in Takelma with an interrogation.  $^2A$  vowel marked with the accent  $\simeq$  is necessarily long, so that the mark of length and the parasitic vowel can be conveniently omitted.

these diphthongs were heard, if not always pronounced, as shortened diphthongs with raised pitch (a'n, a'l, a'm). The acoustic effect of a syllable with raising pitch followed by an unaccented syllable is necessarily different from that of a syllable with falling pitch (-1), or of a syllable with raised pitch followed by an unaccented syllable, because of the steady rise in pitch before the succeeding fall. The tendency at first is naturally to hear the combination -2 as -2, and to make no distinction in accent between  $yewe'ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he returned and  $yewe^{\varepsilon}$  i returned; but variations in the recorded texts between the rising and falling pitch in one and the same form are in every case faults of perception, and not true variations at all. The words  $t!om\tilde{o}m$  he killed him and  $yawait'e^{\varepsilon}$  i spoke may be approximately represented in musical form as follows:



The falling pitch (-) affects both long and short vowels as well as diphthongs, its essential characteristic being, as already defined, a steady fall from a tone higher than the normal level. The peak of the falling inflection may coincide in absolute pitch with that of the rising inflection, though it is often somewhat higher, say an interval of a fourth above the ordinary level. The base (lowest tone) of the fall is not assignable to any definite relative pitch, the gamut run through by the voice depending largely upon the character of the syllable. If the accent hits a long vowel or diphthong not immediately followed by a catch, the base will, generally speaking, coincide with the normal level, or lie somewhat below it. If the long vowel or diphthong be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable, the base is apt to strike this unaccented syllable at an interval of about a third below the level. If the vowel or diphthong be immediately followed by a catch, the fall in pitch will be rapidly checked, and the whole extent of the fall limited to perhaps not more than a semitone. As soon, however, as the catch is removed (as often happens on the addition to the form of certain grammatical elements), the fall runs through its usual gamut. The words

k'wede'i his name yewe'ida<sup>s</sup> when he returned yewe'i<sup>s</sup> he returned

will serve to illustrate the character of the falling pitch.



The pronunciation of the diphthongs is now easily understood A shortened diphthong (a'i, a'i) sounds to an American ear like an indivisible entity, very much like ai and au in high and how; a diphthong with falling pitch (a'i) is naturally apt to be heard as two distinct vowels, so that one is easily led to write naga'-ida' instead of naga'ida' when he said; a diphthong with rising pitch (aī) is heard either as a pure diphthong or as two distinct vowels, according to the speed of utterance or the accidents of perception. All these interpretations, however, are merely matters of perception by an American ear and have in themselves no objective value. It would be quite misleading, for instance, to treat Takelma diphthongs as "pure" and "impure," no regard being had to pitch, for such a classification is merely a secondary consequence of the accentual phenomena we have just considered.

One other point in regard to the diphthongs should be noted. It is important to distinguish between organic diphthongs, in which each element of the diphthong has a distinct radical or etymological value, and secondary diphthongs, arising from an i, u, l, m, or n with prefixed inorganic a. The secondary diphthongs (ai, au, al, am, an), being etymologically single vowels or semivowels, are always unitonal in character; they can have the raised, not the rising accent. Contrast the inorganic au of

 $bila`uk` (=*bilw`k`, ^1 not *bilaŭk`)$  he jumped; cf.  $bilwa'^{\varepsilon}s$  jumper with the organic au of

gayaŭ he ate it; cf. gayaw $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ate it Contrast similarly the inorganic an of

k!ema'nk' (=\*k!emn'k', not \* $k!ema\tilde{n}k'$ ) he made it; cf.  $k!emna'^{\varepsilon}s$  maker

with the organic am of

dasmayam he smiled; cf. dasmayama'⁵n I smiled

Phonetically such secondary diphthongs are hardly different from shortened organic diphthongs; etymologically and, in consequence, in morphologic treatment, the line of difference is sharply drawn.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-existent or theoretically reconstructed forms are indicated by a prefixed asterisk.

It was said that any particular syllable, if accented, necessarily receives a definite pitch-inflection. If it is furthermore pointed out that distinct words and forms may differ merely in the character of the accent, and that definite grammatical forms are associated with definite accentual forms, it becomes evident that pitch-accent has a not unimportant bearing on morphology. Examples of words differing only in the pitch-accent are:

```
se' el black paint, writing; sēl kingfisher
lā'ap' leaves; (1) lāp' he carried it on his back, (2) lāp' become (so and so)!
sā'at' his discharge of wind; sāt' mash it!
wilī'i his house; wilī house, for instance, in dak'wilī on top of the house
he' el song; hēl sing it!
```

Indeed, neither vowel-quantity, accent, nor the catch can be considered negligible factors in Takelma phonology, as shown by the following:

```
waya' knife waya'^a his knife waya'^\varepsilon he sleeps way\tilde{a}n he put him to sleep k!w\tilde{a}^\varepsilon ya' (=k!w\tilde{a}\tilde{z}^\varepsilon a') just grass
```

It is impossible to give any simple rule for the determination of the proper accent of all words. What has been ascertained in regard to the accent of certain forms or types of words in large part seems to be of a grammatic, not purely phonetic, character, and hence will most naturally receive treatment when the forms themselves are discussed. Here it will suffice to give as illustrations of the morphologic value of accent a few of the cases:

- (1) Perhaps the most comprehensive generalization that can be made in regard to the employment of accents is that a catch requires the falling pitch-accent on an immediately preceding stressed syllable, as comes out most clearly in forms where the catch has been secondarily removed. Some of the forms affected are:
- (a) The first person singular subject third person object agrist of the transitive verb, as in:

```
t!omoma'en I kill him
t!omoma'ndae as I killed him
```

(b) The third person agrist of all intransitive verbs that take the catch as the characteristic element of this person and tense, as in:

 $ya'^{\varepsilon}$  he went  $y\bar{a}'^{a}da^{\varepsilon}$  when he went

(c) The second person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for this person and number is  $-\varepsilon t$ , as in:

 $t!\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}t'$  your husband  $ela'^{\varepsilon}t'$  your tongue

#### Contrast:

t!īt'k' my husband ela't'k' my tongue

There are but few exceptions to this rule. A certain not very numerous class of transitive verbs, that will later occupy us in the treatment of the verb, show a long vowel with rising pitch before a catch in the first person singular subject third person object agrist, as in:

 $k!em\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  I make it  $d\tilde{\imath}t!\ddot{u}g\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon}n$  I wear it

The very isolation of these forms argues powerfully for the general correctness of the rule.

(2) The first person singular subject third person object future, and the third person agrist passive always follow the accent of 1a:

 $d\bar{o}^u ma'n$  I shall kill him t!omoma'n he was killed

#### Contrast:

 $x\bar{o}^u ma'n$  he dried it

Like  $k!em\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  in accent we have also:

klemen it was made

(3) The first person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for that person and number is -t'k' shows a raised or rising pitch, according to whether the accented vowel is short or long (or diphthongal):

k'wedeït'k' my name p!ãnt'k' my liver t!ibagwa'nt'k' my pancreas

#### Contrast:

k'wede'i his name
p!a'ant' his liver
t!ibaqwa'n his pancreas

(4) The verbal suffix -ald- takes the falling pitch:

sgelewa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I shouted to him sgelewa'lt<sup>\*</sup> he shouted to him

#### Contrast:

gwalt' wind

Many more such rules could be given, but these will suffice at present to show what is meant by the "fixity" of certain types of accent in morphological classes.

This fixity of accent seems to require a slight qualification. A tendency is observable to end up a sentence with the raised pitch, so that a syllable normally provided with a falling pitch-accent may sometimes, though by no means always, assume a raised accent, if it is the last syllable of the sentence. The most probable explanation of this phenomenon is that the voice of a Takelma speaker seeks its rest in a rise, not, as is the habit in English as spoken in America, in a fall.<sup>1</sup>

#### Vocalic Processes (§§ 6-11)

#### § 6. VOWEL HIATUS

There is never in Takelma the slightest tendency to avoid the coming together of two vowels by elision of one of the vowels or contraction of the two. So carefully, indeed, is each vowel kept intact that the hiatus is frequently strengthened by the insertion of a catch. If the words ya'p!a man and  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  not, for instance, should come together in that order in the course of the sentence, the two a-vowels would not coalesce into one long vowel, but would be separated by an inorganic (i. e., not morphologically essential) catch  $yap!a^{\varepsilon}a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ . The same thing happens when two verbal prefixes, the first ending in and the second beginning with a vowel, come together. Thus:

de- in front

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - between, in two

 $+\bar{\imath}$ - with hand

generally appear as:

 $de^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}$ -

 $x\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}$ -

respectively. The deictic element -a', used to emphasize preceding

<sup>1</sup> Those familiar with Indogermanic phonology will have noticed that my use of the symbols ( $^{2}$ ), ( $^{2}$ ), and ( $^{2}$ ) has been largely determined by the method adopted in linguistic works for the representation of the syllabic putch-accents of Lithuanian; the main departures being the use of the ( $^{2}$ ) on short as well as on long vowels and the assignment of a different meaning to the ( $^{2}$ ).

nouns, pronouns, and adverbs, is regularly separated from a preceding vowel by the catch:

 $ma'^{\varepsilon}a$ ' but you, you truly  $b\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}a$ ' nowadays indeed

If a diphthong in i or u precedes a catch followed by a vowel, the i or u often appears as y or w after the catch:

```
k!w\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}ya' just grass (= k!w\tilde{a}\bar{\imath}+-a')
\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}ya' just they (= \bar{a}i- they +-a')
ha^{\epsilon}w\bar{\imath}- (= ha-u- under +\bar{\imath}- with hand)
```

If the second of two syntactically closely connected words begins with a semivowel (w or y) and the first ends in a vowel, a catch is generally heard to separate the two, in other words the semivowel is treated as a vowel. Examples are:

```
ge^{\epsilon} w\tilde{o}k' (=ge' + w\tilde{o}k') there he arrived be^{\epsilon\epsilon} w\bar{a}^a d\bar{\imath}'^i (=be^\epsilon + w\bar{a}^a d\bar{\imath}'^i) day its-body = all day long ge^\epsilon y\bar{a}'^a hi (=ge + y\bar{a}'^a hi) just there indeed
```

Such cases are of course not to be confounded with examples like:

```
me^{\varepsilon}w\tilde{o}k' He arrived here, and me^{\varepsilon}y\tilde{e}\tilde{u} come here!
```

in which the catch is organic, being an integral part of the adverb  $me^{\epsilon}$  HITHER; contrast:

```
me^{\varepsilon}gini'^{\varepsilon}k' He came here, with ge\ gini'^{\varepsilon}k' He went there.
```

The same phonetic rule applies even more commonly when the first element is a noun or verb prefix:

ha<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>winī'ida inside of him; but habe  ${}^{\epsilon}$ bini' at noon de  ${}^{\epsilon}$ wiliwia'u ${}^{\epsilon}$  they shouted; but dexebe' ${}^{\epsilon}$ n he said so abai ${}^{\epsilon}$ wa ${}^{\epsilon}$ yew ${}^{\epsilon}$ nhi he returned inside with him; but abaigini' ${}^{\epsilon}$ k' he went inside

wi<sup>ɛ</sup>wã my younger brother; but wiha'm my father

It is interesting to note that the catch is generally found also when the first element ends in l, m, or n, these consonants, as has been already seen, being closely allied to the semivowels in phonetic treatment:

```
al<sup>\epsilon</sup>w\bar{a}^adid\bar{\epsilon} to my body; but als \bar{o}^u ma'l to the mountain al<sup>\epsilon</sup>yowo'^{\epsilon} he looked; but als \bar{i}'^ik' he saw him b\bar{a}^age'l<sup>\epsilon</sup>yo he lay belly up; but gelk!iyi'\epsilonk' he turned to face him gwen \epsilonwat'geits!ik'wa his (head) lay next to it; but gwen liwila'^{u\epsilon} he looked back
```

 $yiwin^{\varepsilon} w \hat{o}'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (=yiwin speech + $w\hat{o}'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  without without speech

It goes without saying that the catch separates elements ending in l, m, or n from such as begin with a vowel:

s·in<sup>ɛ</sup>īlats!agi'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I touch his nose al<sup>ɛ</sup>īt'baga't'bak' he struck them

#### § 7. DISSIMILATION OF u

A diphthong in u tends, by an easily understood dissimilatory process, to drop the u before a labial suffix  $(-gw-, -p', -ba^{\varepsilon})$ . Thus we have:

 $wahawax\bar{\imath}^igwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I rot with it, for  $*xiugwa'^{\epsilon}n$ 

### Compare:

hawaxi'uɛ he rots wahawaxiwigwa'n I shall rot with it

# Similarly:

bilīk'w he jumped having it, for \*bilīūk'w (stem bilīu-) wilīk'w he proceeded with it, for \*wilīūk'w (stem wilīu-)

Observe that, while the diphthong iu is monophthongized, the original quantity is kept, i being compensatively lengthened to  $\bar{\imath}^i$ . In the various forms of the verb  $y \hat{e}u$ - return, such dissimilation, wherever possible, regularly takes place:

 $y\tilde{e}k'^w$  he returned with it, for  $*y\tilde{e}\tilde{u}k'^w (=y\tilde{e}\tilde{u}-gw-k')$   $me^{\varepsilon}y\tilde{e}p'$  come back! (pl.), but sing.  $me^{\varepsilon}y\tilde{e}\tilde{u}$   $ye^{\varepsilon}ba'^{\varepsilon}$  let us return! for  $*y\tilde{e}uba'^{\varepsilon}$ 

It is interesting to note how this u- dissimilation is directly responsible for a number of homonyms:

yēk'w bite him!
(al)yēp' show it to him!

A similar dissimilation of an -u- after a long vowel has in all probability taken place in the reduplicating verb  $l\bar{a}^a liwi'^{\epsilon}n$  1 call him by name ( $le^{\epsilon}la'usi$  he calls me by name) from \*  $l\bar{a}uliwi'^{\epsilon}n$  (\*  $l\dot{e}ula'usi$ ).

#### § 8. I- UMLAUT

Probably the most far-reaching phonetic law touching the Takelma vowels is an assimilatory process that can be appropriately termed "i- umlaut." Briefly stated, the process is a regressive assimilation of a non-radical -a- to an -i-, caused by an -i- (- $\bar{\imath}^i$ -) in an immediately following suffixed syllable, whether the -i- causing the umlaut is an original -i-, or itself umlauted from an original -a-; the -i- of the

pronominal endings -bi- thee, -si- he to me, -xi- he me, fails to cause umlaut, nor does the law operate when the -i- is immediately preceded by an inorganic h. The following forms will make the applicability of the rule somewhat clearer:

wak!ayayini'en I caused him to grow with it (but k!ayayana'en I caused him to grow, with preserved -a-, because of following -a'en, not -i'en)

wak!eyeya'nxi he caused me to grow with it wak!ayaya'nxbi<sup>e</sup>n I caused thee to grow with it īyulu'yili<sup>e</sup>n I rub it (from -yali<sup>e</sup>n) īyulu'yalhi he rubs it

It should be carefully noted that this *i*- umlaut never operates on a radical or stem-vowel, a fact that incidentally proves helpful at times in determining how much of a phonetic complex belongs to the stem, and how much is to be considered as belonging to the grammatical apparatus following the stem. In:

 $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it to him (from  $-awi'^{\epsilon}n$ ; cf.  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it to you)

the -a- following the g is shown to be not a part of the aoristic stem  $w\bar{a}^ag$ - by the i- umlaut that it may undergo; on the other hand, the corresponding future shows an un-umlauted -a-:

wagawi'n I shall bring it to him

so that the future stem must be set down as waga-, as is confirmed by certain other considerations.

It would take us too far afield to enumerate all the possible cases in which *i*- umlaut takes place; nevertheless, it is a phenomenon of such frequent recurrence that some of the more common possibilities should be listed, if only for purposes of further illustration:

(1) It is caused by the a oristic verb suffix  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - denoting position:

 $s \cdot as \cdot in\bar{\imath}$  he stands (cf.  $s \cdot a's \cdot ant \cdot \bar{a}^a$  he will stand)  $t!obig\bar{\imath}$  he lies as if dead (cf. future  $t!obaga'sd\bar{a}^a$ )

(2) By an element -i- characteristic of certain nouns, that is added to the absolute form of the noun before the possessive pronominal endings:

 $b\bar{u}^ubini't'k'$  my arm (cf.  $b\bar{u}^uba'n$  arm) t'ga'lt'gilixdek' my belly (for \* t'galt'gali-)

(3) By the common verbal "instrumental" vowel -i-, which, for one reason or another, replaces the normal pre-pronominal element

-a-, and often serves to give the verb an instrumental force. This instrumental -i- may work its influence on a great number of preceding elements containing -a-, among which are:

(a) The -a- that regularly replaces the stem-vowel in the second member of a duplicated verb:

al<sup>e</sup>īt'baga't'bigi<sup>e</sup>n I beat him (cf. -t'baga't'bak' he beat him) ts·!ele'ts·!ili<sup>e</sup>n I rattle it (cf. ts·!ele'ts·!alhi he rattles it) īsmili'smili<sup>e</sup>n I swing it (cf. īsmi'lsmal swing it!)

(b) The causative element -an-:

 $wap!\bar{a}^agini'^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to swim with it (cf.  $p!\bar{a}^agana'^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to swim)

#### See above:

wak!ayayini'<sup>ε</sup>n I cause him to grow

(c) The element -an- added to transitive stems to express the idea of for, in behalf of:

wat!omomini'en I kill it for him with it (cf. t!omomana'en I kill it for him)

- (d) The pronominal element -am-, first personal plural object:  $alx\bar{\imath}'^iximi^{\varepsilon}s$  one who sees us (cf.  $alx\bar{\imath}'^ixam$  he sees us)
- 4. By the suffixed local element  $-d\bar{\imath}^i$  on top of added to the demonstrative pronoun ga that to form a general local postposition:

 $gid\bar{\imath}^i$  on top of it, over (so and so)

Compare the similarly formed:

gada'k' above gada'l among

and others.

5. By the pronominal element -ig- (-ik'), first personal plural subject intransitive:

t!omoxinik' we kill each other (cf. t!omoxaen they kill each other)
daxinigam we shall find each other (cf. daxanet' they will find each other)

This list might be greatly extended if desired, and indeed numerous other examples will meet us in the morphology. Examples of a double and treble *i*- umlaut are:

lohō<sup>u</sup>ninini'<sup>ε</sup>n I caused him to die (i. e., killed him) for him (cf. lohō<sup>u</sup>nana'nhi he killed him for him)

 $\bar{\imath}k!\bar{u}mininini'nk'$  he will fix it for him (compare  $\bar{\imath}k!\bar{u}^uma'n$  he fixed it)

The semivowel corresponding to i, namely y, is also capable, under analogous circumstances, of causing the i- umlaut of a preceding non-radical a. Examples are:

```
daxoyo'xiya^{\varepsilon}n (=-xaya^{\varepsilon}n) I scare them around; daxoyo'xi (=-xiy =-xay) he scares them around al^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}t'ge'it'giyak'^{w} (=-t'gay-) rolled up alh\bar{\imath}y\bar{\imath}'h\bar{\imath}'x (=-hiyx=-hayx) he used to hunt saniya' (=sanaya') to fight him d\tilde{o}^{u}mk'wiya (=-k'waya) to kill him; and numerous other infinitives in -k'wiya (=-k'waya)
```

### § 9. K-SOUNDS PRECEDED BY U-VOWELS

An u-vowel  $(o, u, \ddot{u}, \text{ and diphthongs in } -u)$  immediately preceding a k-sound (i. e., g, k, k, k, x) introduces after the latter a parasitic -w-, which, when itself followed by a vowel, unites with the k-sound to form a consonant-cluster (gw, k'w, k!w, xw), but appears, when standing after a (word or syllabic) final k, as a voiceless -w. The introduction of the excrescent w simply means, of course, that the labial rounding of the u-vowel lingers on after the articulation of the k-sound, a phonetic tendency encouraged by the fact that the production of the guttural consonant does not, as in the labials and dentals, necessitate a readjustment of the lips. A few examples will illustrate the phonetic process:

```
gelgulugwa'en I desire it
gelgulu'k'w he desires it (contrast gelgula'k' he desired it, without
the labial affection of the -k' because of the replacement of the
-u- by an -a-)
güxwī'i his heart
düügwi't'gwa her dress
dūk'w woman's garment
yõuk!wāa his bones
```

As also in the upper Chinook dialects (Wasco, Wishram), where exactly the same process occurs, the w- infection is often very slight, and particularly before u- vowels the -w- is, if not entirely absent, at least barely audible:

```
yok!wōya'ɛn I know it
yo'k'yan I shall know it
```

In one very common word the catch seems to be treated as a k- sound in reference to a preceding u when itself followed by an  $-\bar{\imath}$ :

```
s \cdot u^{\epsilon} wil\bar{\imath} he sits; but s \cdot u'^{\epsilon} alt' \bar{a}^a he will sit
```

The first form was, for some reason or other, often heard, perhaps misheard, as  $s i^{\varepsilon} u l \bar{\imath}$ .

#### § 10. INORGANIC a

It frequently happens in the formation of words that a vowel present in some other form of the stem will drop out, or, more accurately expressed, has never been inserted. Consonant-combinations sometimes then result which are either quite impossible in Takelma phonetics, or at any rate are limited in their occurrence to certain grammatical forms, so that the introduction of an "inorganic" -a-, serving to limber up the consonant-cluster, as it were, becomes necessary. Ordinarily this -a- is inserted after the first consonant; in certain cases, after the two consonants forming the cluster. The theoretical future of gini'k'de<sup>e</sup> I go somewhere should be, for example, \*gink'de<sup>e</sup>; but, instead of this somewhat difficult form, we really get gina'k'de<sup>e</sup>. That the -a'- is here really inorganic, and not a characteristic of the future stem, as was at first believed, is clearly shown by the imperative gi'nk' (all imperatives are formed from the future stem). Similarly:

k!iya'k'dee I shall go, come; aorist, k!iyi'k'dee

alxik!a'lhik' (= theoretical \*alxik!lik') he kept looking at him; aorist first person alxik!īlhisn I keep looking at him

k!ema'n make it! (=theoretical \*k!emn); cf. k!emna'n I shall make it

bai vive out sickness!; aorist, -yew vn he drove out sickness

sgela'ut'e I shall shout (=theoretic \*sgelwt'e'); aorist second person, sgelewa't' you shouted

As an example of an inorganic -a- following a consonantic cluster may be given:

wisma't'e' I shall move (stem wism-); aorist, wits !imt'e' I moved¹
The exact nature of the processes involved in the various forms given will be better understood when stem-formation is discussed. Here

<sup>1</sup> Such an -a may stand as an absolute final; e.g., ba-imasga' START IN SINGING! (stem masg-), aorist third person, -mats!a'k'. The form masga' well illustrates the inherent difficulty of delimiting the range of a phonetic law without comparative or older historical material to aid in determining what is due to regular phonetic development, and what is formed on the analogy of other forms. The final cluster -sk' does occur in Takelma; e.g., dink!a'sk' (long object) lay stretched out; so that a phonetic irregularity must exist in one of the two forms. Either we should have \*ma'sk', or else \*dink!asa'k' or \*dink!asga' is to be expected. On closer examination it is found that the -k' in forms like dink!a'sk' is a grammatical element added on to the future stem dink!as-; whereas in masga' the -g- belongs in all probability to the stem, and is no added suffix; at least is not felt as such. It seems evident, then, that the quasi-mechanical juxtaposition of grammatical elements does not entirely follow the same phonetic lines as organic sound-complexes.

it will suffice to say that there are three distinct sorts of inorganic or secondary a- vowels: the regular inorganic a first illustrated above, inserted between two consonants that would theoretically form a cluster; the post-consonantal constant a of certain stems (such as wism-above) that would otherwise end in more or less impracticable consonant clusters (this -a appears as -i under circumstances to be discussed below); and a connecting a employed to join consonantal suffixes to preceding consonants (such suffixes are generally directly added to preceding vowels or diphthongs). The varying treatment accorded these different secondary a vowels will become clearer in the morphology.

#### § 11. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE DIPHTHONGS

By a double diphthong is meant a syllable consisting of an ordinary diphthong (long or short) followed by a semivowel (y, w) or by l, m, or n. Such double diphthongs are, for instance, aiw,  $\bar{a}iw$ , auy,  $\bar{a}uy$ , ain,  $\bar{a}in$ , alw,  $\bar{a}^a lw$ ; those with initial short vowel, like ain, have, like the long diphthongs (e. g.  $\bar{a}^a n$ ), a quantitative value of 3 morae, while those with initial long vowel, like  $\bar{a}in$ , have a quantitative value of 4 morae and may be termed over-long diphthongs. Double diphthongs may theoretically arise when, for some reason or other, a connecting or inorganic a fails to lighten the heavy syllable by reducing it to two (see particularly § 65 for a well-defined class of such cases). Double diphthongs, however, are nearly always avoided in Takelma; there is evidently a rhythmic feeling here brought into play, a dislike of heavy syllables containing three qualitatively distinct sonantic elements.

In consequence of this, double diphthongs are regularly simplified by the loss of either the second or third element of the diphthong; in other words, they are quantitatively reduced by one mora (the simple double diphthongs now have a value of 2 morae, the overlong diphthongs 3 morae like ordinary long diphthongs), while qualitivetatly they now involve only two sonantic elements. An exception seems to be afforded by double diphthongs in -uy (e. g. -auy), which become dissyllabic by vocalizing the y to i, in other words, -auy becomes -awi:

ts/awi'k' he ran fast; cf. ts/a-uya'es fast runner, ts/awaya't' (aorist) you ran fast yawi't'ee I shall talk; cf. yawaya't' (aorist) you talked

The -awi- (= theoretic -awy-) of these forms is related to the -awayof the agrist as the -ilw- of bilwa's jumper to the -iliw- of the agrist biliwa't' YOU JUMPED.

Such double diphthongs as end in -w (e. g. -aiw,  $-\bar{a}^a lw$ ) simply lose the -w:

 $qa\bar{\imath}$  eat it! (=\* $qa\bar{\imath}w$ );  $ga\bar{\imath}k$ ' he ate it (=\* $ga\bar{\imath}wk$ '); compare qa-iwa'n I shall eat it

Other examples of this loss of w are given in § 18, 2. All other double diphthongs are simplified by the loss of the second vowel (i, u)or consonant (l, m, n); a glottal catch, if present after the second vowel or consonant, is always preserved in the simplified form of the double diphthong. Examples of simplified double diphthongs with initial short vowel are:

gelhewe'ha<sup>ε</sup>n (=\*-hau<sup>ε</sup>n) I think; compare gelhewe'hau he thinks imi'ha<sup>e</sup>n (=\*-ham<sup>e</sup>n) I sent him; compare imi'ham he sent him  $mo'lo^{\varepsilon}ma^{\varepsilon}n$  (=\* $mal^{\varepsilon}n$ ) I stir it up;  $mo'l^{\varepsilon}man$  (=\*-maln) I shall stir it up; compare parallel forms with connecting a: mo'lomalaen, mo'lemalan, and third person agrist mo'lemal

 $m\bar{a}^a n m a'^{\epsilon} n$  (=\*-man^{\epsilon} n) I count them; compare  $dam\bar{a}^a n m in i'^{\epsilon} n$ (umlauted from -man- $i'^{\varepsilon}n$ ) I counted them up

 $k! \operatorname{em} xa't'e^{e} = *k! \operatorname{emn} xa't'e^{e}$  I shall make; compare  $k! \operatorname{emn} a'^{\varepsilon}s$ maker and k!ema'n make it! (with inorganic a because accent is not thrown forward)

Examples of simplified over-long diphthongs are:

 $d\bar{a}^{a}ldi'n$  (=\* $d\bar{a}ildi'n$ ) I shall go to him for food; compare dāīt'e E I shall go for food

 $e\tilde{\imath}$  t' $q\tilde{e}lx\tilde{\imath}^i$  (=\*t' $q\tilde{e}\tilde{i}lx\tilde{\imath}^i$ ) wagon (literally, rolling canoe); compare  $t'qe^{e}va'lx$  it rolls

 $dat!ag\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}n$  (=\* $t!ag\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}n$ ) I build a fire; compare  $dat!ag\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}$  he builds a fire

 $k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n \ (=*k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n)$  I make it; compare  $k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n$  he makes it oyōen (=\*oyōnen) I give it; compare third person oyōn he gives it

In the inferential, less frequently passive participle and imperative, forms of the verb, double diphthongs, except those ending in w, generally fail to be simplified. If coming immediately before the inferential -k'- the double diphthong is preserved, for what reason is not evident (perhaps by analogy to other non-aorist forms in which the last element of the double diphthong belongs to the following syllable):

ts: !aimk' (but also ts: !ayàm k') he hid it; compare ts: !a-ima'n I shall hide it

oınk' he gave it; compare oina'n I shall give it

If the inferential -k'- does not immediately follow, an inorganic a seems to be regularly inserted between the second and third elements of the diphthong:

gelts 'aya'mxamk 'nas since he concealed it from us Examples of other than inferential forms with unsimplified double diphthong are:

ts'!aīmhak'whidden oīn give it! (yet ts'!aya'm hide it! with inorganic a)

# Consonants (§§ 12-24)

# § 12. System of Consonants

The Takelma consonant system is represented in the following table:

	Aspirated tenuis.	Voiceless media.	Fortis.	Spirant.	Lateral.	Nasal.
				v. unv.		
Labial	p	b	p!	w - 'w		m
Dental	t*	d	t!		ľ	n
Sibilant			ts!, ts:!	3, 3°		
Palatal				y	(1)	
Guttural	k*	g	k!	x		
Faucal			ε	h		

The spirants have been divided into two groups, those on the left-hand side of the column (labeled v.) being voiced, while those on the right-hand side (labeled unv.) are unvoiced. The rarely occurring palatal lateral l (see § 2, footnote) is also voiceless. Every one of the consonants tabulated may occur initially, except the voiceless labial spirant -'w, which occurs only with l at the end of a syllable. Properly speaking, -l should be considered the syllabic final of the labialized guttural series (l w, l w); a consideration of the consonant-clusters allowed in Takelma shows that these labialized consonants must be looked upon as phonetic units. The catch (l as organic consonant is found only medially and finally; the l only

initially. In regard to the pronunciation of the various consonants, w, s, y, h, l, m, and n do not differ materially from the corresponding sounds in English.

The first two series of stops—tenuis (p', t', k') and media (b, d, q) do not exactly correspond to the surd and sonant stops of English or French. The aspirated tenues are, as their name implies, voiceless stops whose release is accompanied by an appreciable expulsion of breath. The voiceless mediae are also stops without voiced articulation; but they differ from the true tenues in the absence of aspiration and in the considerably weaker stress of articulation. Inasmuch as our English mediae combine sonancy with comparatively weak stress of articulation, while the tenues are at the same time unvoiced and pronounced with decided stress, it is apparent that a series of consonants which, like the Takelma voiceless mediae, combine weak stress with lack of voice will tend to be perceived by an American ear sometimes (particularly when initial) as surds, at other times (particularly between vowels) as sonants. On the other hand, the aspirated tenues will be regularly heard as ordinary surd-stops, so that an untrained American ear is apt to combine an uncalled-for differentiation with a disturbing lack of differentiation. While the Takelma tenuis and media are to a large extent morphologically equivalent consonants with manner of articulation determined by certain largely mechanical rules of position, yet in a considerable number of cases (notably as initials) they are to be rigidly kept apart etymologically. Words and stems which differ only in regard to the weak or strong stress and the absence or presence of aspiration of a stop, can be found in great number:

 $d\bar{a}^a n$ - ear; t' $\bar{a}^a n$  squirrel  $b\bar{o}^u$  now; p' $\bar{o}^u$ - to blow ga that; k'a what  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - on top; t' $\bar{\imath}^i$ - to drift  $b\bar{o}^u d$ - to pull out hair; p' $\bar{o}^u d$ - to mix  $d\bar{a}^a g$ - to build fire;  $d\bar{a}^a g$ - to find; t' $\bar{a}^a g$ -to cry gai- to eat; k'ai- thing, what a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These two series of stops are not at all peculiar to Takelma. As far as could be ascertained, the same division is found also in the neighboring Chasta Costa, a good example of how a fundamental method of phonetic attack may be uniformly spread over an area in which far-reaching phonetic differences of detail are found and morphologic traits vary widely. The same series of stops are found also in Yana, in northern California. Farther to the east the two series are apparently found, besides a series of true sonant stops, in Ponca and Omaha (J. O. Dorsey's p, t, k, and  $d, i, \eta$ ). The Iroquois also (as could be tested by an opportunity to hear Mohawk) are, as regards the manner of articulating the two series, absolutely in accord with the Takelma. A more accurate phonetic knowledge of other languages would doubtless show a wide distribution in America of the voiceless media.

The fortes  $(p!, t!, k!, ts! [=ts\cdot !],$  and  $^{\varepsilon}$ , which has been put in the same series because of its intimate phonetic and morphologic relation to the other consonants) are pronounced with the characteristic snatched or crackly effect (more or less decided stress of articulation of voiceless stop followed by explosion and momentary hiatus) prevalent on the Pacific coast. From the point of view of Takelma, p!, t!, and k! are in a way equivalent to  $p^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $t^{\varepsilon}$ , and  $k^{\varepsilon}$ , respectively, or rather to  $b^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $d^{\varepsilon}$ , and  $g^{\varepsilon}$ , for the fortes can never be aspirated. In some cases it was found difficult to tell whether a fortis, or a voiceless stop followed by a glottal stricture, was really heard:

```
yap!a' and yap^{\varepsilon}a' man g\bar{a}'p!ini' and g\bar{a}'p^{\varepsilon}ini' two
```

In fact, a final tenuis + a catch inserted, as between vowels, to prevent phonetic amalgamation, regularly become, at least as far as acoustic effect is concerned, the homogranic fortis:

 $\bar{a}k!a$ ' he indeed ( $=\bar{a}k$ ' he + deictic  $^{\varepsilon}a$ '; cf.  $ma'^{\varepsilon}a$ ' you indeed)  $s\tilde{a}k!e\tilde{\imath}t$ ' you shot him ( $=s\tilde{a}k$ ' he shot him +( $^{\varepsilon}$ ) $e\tilde{\imath}t$ ' you are)  $m\tilde{a}p!a$ ' just you[pl.] ( $=m\tilde{a}p$ ' you[pl.] +  $^{\varepsilon}a$ ')

Nevertheless,  $p^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $t^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $k^{\varepsilon}$  are by no means phonetically identical with p!, t!, k!; in Yana, for instance, the two series are etymologically, as well as phonetically, distinct. One difference between the two may be the greater stress of articulation that has been often held to be the main characteristic of the fortes, but another factor, at least as far as Takelma (also Yana) is concerned, is probably of greater moment. This has regard to the duration of the glottal closure. In the case of  $p^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $t^{\varepsilon}$ , and  $k^{\varepsilon}$  the glottis is closed immediately upon release of the stop-contact for p, t, and k. In the case of p!, t!, and k! the glottis is closed just before or simultaneously with the moment of consonant contact, is held closed during the full extent of the consonant articulation, and is not opened until after the consonant release; the fortis p!, e. g., may be symbolically represented as  ${}^{\varepsilon}p^{\varepsilon}$  (or  ${}^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon}$ , better as  $\epsilon b \epsilon$ , i. e., a labial unaspirated stop immersed in a glottal catch). As the glottis is closed throughout the whole extent of the fortis articulation, no breath can escape through it; hence a fortis consonant is necessarily unaspirated. This explains why fortes are so apt to be misheard as voiceless mediae or even voiced mediae rather than as aspirated tenues (p!, e. g., will be often misheard as b rather than p). The cracked effect of the fortes, sometimes quite incorrectly 3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-3 § 12

referred to as a click, is due to the sudden opening of the closed chamber formed between the closed glottis and the point of consonant contact (compare the sound produced by the sudden withdrawal of a stopper from a closed bottle); the hiatus generally heard between a fortis and a following vowel is simply the interval of time elapsing between the consonant release and the release of the glottal closure.¹ That the fortis consonant really does involve an initial glottal catch is abundantly illustrated in the author's manuscript material by such writings as:

 $\begin{array}{l} d\ddot{u}l\ddot{\bar{u}}'^{\varepsilon}t!ili^{\varepsilon}n=d\ddot{u}l\ddot{\bar{u}}'t!ili^{\varepsilon}n \text{ I stuff it} \\ d\ddot{u}'l^{\varepsilon}t!ilin=d\ddot{u}'lt!ilin \text{ I shall stuff it} \\ leme'^{\varepsilon}k!ia-uda^{\varepsilon}=leme'k!ia-uda^{\varepsilon} \text{ as they go off} \end{array}$ 

Many facts of a phonetic and morphological character will meet us later on that serve to confirm the correctness of the phonetic analysis given (see §13, end; also §§ 30,4; 40,6; 40,13a, p. 113; 40,13b). Here it is enough to point out that p!, t!, k!,  $ts \cdot l$  are etymologically related to b, d, g, s as are  $i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $u^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $i^{\varepsilon}$  on to i,  $i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $i^{\varepsilon}$ , i

There is no tenuis or media affricative  $(ts-dz;ts\cdot,te-dz\cdot,dj)$  corresponding in Takelma to the fortis ts!,  $ts\cdot!$ , though it seems possible that it originally existed but developed to x (cf.  $yegw\tilde{e}xi$  they bite me [upper Takelma yegwe'tci];  $ts\cdot!i'xi$  dog [from original \* $ts\cdot!its\cdot i$ ?]). Morphologically ts!,  $ts\cdot!$  stand in the same relation to s,  $s\cdot$  that p!, t!, and k! stand in to b, d, g. For example,

Aorist stems:

t!omom- kill,  $p!\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}g$ - start (war, basket), k!olol- dig—are related to their corresponding

Future stems:

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ -,  $b\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}g$ -,  $g\bar{o}^u l$ -,—as are the

Aorist stems:

ts:!adad- mash, ts:!elel- paint—to their corresponding

Future stems:

s·āad-, s·eel-

Of the other consonants, only x, -`w, and s, s call for remark. x is equivalent to the ch of German dach, though generally pronounced further forward (x). It frequently has a w tinge, even when no u-vowel or diphthong precedes, particularly before i; examples are  $h\bar{a}'px^wi$  child and  $hax^wiya'$  (ordinarily haxiya') in the water. -k`w,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doctor Goddard writes me that an examination of tracings made on the Rousselot machine leads to substantially the same phonetic interpretation of the fortes as has been given above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, American Anthropologist, n. s., IX, 257.

in which combination alone, as we have seen, -'w occurs, is the aspirated tenuis k' followed by a voiceless labial continuant approximately equivalent to the wh of English which, more nearly to the sound made in blowing out a candle. s is the ordinary English s as in Sell; while s is employed to represent a sibilant about midway in place of articulation between s and c (= sh in English shell), the fortes ts! and ts! corresponding, respectively, in place of articulation to s and s. The two sounds s and s have been put together, as it is hardly probable that they represent morphologically distinct sounds, but seem rather to be the limits of a normal range of variation (both sal- with foot and s-al-, e. g., were heard). The only distinction in use that can be made out is that s occurs more frequently before and after consonants and after e:

s·a's·ant'e<sup>e</sup> I shall stand
ogu's·i he gave it to me, but ogu'sbi he gave it to you
lōus·ī'i his plaything 110.6
īlasgi'n I shall touch it
le<sup>e</sup>psi' feathers
yōls steel-head salmon
ha-uhana'<sup>e</sup>s it stopped (raining)

### § 13. Final Consonants

By a "final" consonant will always be meant one that stands at the end of a syllable, whether the syllable be the last in the word or not. Such a final position may be taken only by the aspirated tenues, the voiceless spirants, the catch, the liquid (l), and the nasals, not by the voiceless mediae, fortes, and semivowels (y and w); h occurs as a final only very rarely:

la'h excrement

lohlaha'nk' he always caused them to die

A final semivowel unites with the preceding vowel to form a diphthong:

 $gaya\tilde{u}$  he ate it (cf.  $gayawa'^{\epsilon}n$  I ate it)  $g\bar{a}\tilde{\imath}$  grow! (cf.  $g\bar{a}^aya'^{\epsilon}t'$  he will grow)

A final voiceless media always turns into the corresponding aspirated surd; so that in the various forms of one stem a constant alternation between the two manners of articulation is brought about:

 $se^eba'^en$  I roasted it;  $s\tilde{e}p'$  he roasted it  $xebe'^en$  he did it;  $x\tilde{e}p'ga^e$  I did it

xuduma'lda<sup>e</sup>n I whistle to him; xuduma'lt', xuduma'lt'gwa he whistles to him

t!ayaga'en I found it; t!aya'k' he found it, dak'nae since he found it

§ 13

A final fortis also becomes the corresponding aspirated surd (-ts! becoming - ${}^{\epsilon}s$ ), but with a preceding catch by way of compensation for the loss of the fortis character of the consonant. This process is readily understood by a reference to the phonetic analysis of the fortes given above ( $\S$  12). Final p!, for instance, really  ${}^{\epsilon}b({}^{\epsilon})$ , is treated in absolutely parallel fashion to a final b; the final media implied in the p! must become an aspirated surd (this means, of course, that the glottal closure is released at the same time as the stop, not subsequently, as in the ordinary fortis), but the glottal attack of the  ${}^{\epsilon}b$  still remains. Examples are:

wasgā'p!in I shall make it tight; wasgā'ep' make it tight k'ap!a'k'ap'naen I throw them under (fire, earth); future, k'aep'-k'a'p'nan

 $b\bar{a}^a x \bar{o}' t' an$  I shall win over him;  $b\bar{a}^a x \bar{o}' t'$  win over him!  $b\bar{a}^a x \bar{o}' t' ga^a$  I won over him

 $alx\bar{\imath}'k!in$  I shall see him;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}k'$  see him! (contrast  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{i}gi^{\epsilon}n$  I saw him;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{i}k'$  he saw him)

ha<sup>e</sup>wīha'nts!in I shall cause it to stop (raining); ha<sup>e</sup>wīha'n<sup>e</sup>s make it stop raining!

 $n\bar{o}'ts!at'gwan$  next door to each other;  $n\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s$  next door  $ha^{\varepsilon}mi'ts!adan\ t!eimi'^{\varepsilon}s$  six times 100;  $ha^{\varepsilon}mi'^{\varepsilon}s$  six

# Consonant Combinations (§§ 14-17) § 14. GENERAL REMARKS

Not all consonant combinations are allowable in Takelma, a certain limited number of possibilities occurring initially, while a larger number occur as finals. Medial combinations, as we shall see (§17), are simply combinations of syllabic final consonants or permissible consonant combinations and syllabic initial consonants or permissible consonant combinations.

# § 15. INITIAL COMBINATIONS

If, as seems necessary, we regard gw as a single labialized consonant, the general rule obtains that no combinations of three or more consonants can stand at the beginning of a word or syllable. The following table shows all the initial combinations of two consonants possible in Takelma, the first members of the various combinations being disposed in vertical columns and the second members, with which the first combine, being given in horizontal lines. Examples fill the spaces thus mapped out. Inasmuch as the mediae and fortes,

the liquid, nasals, semivowels, and h never appear, or with very few exceptions, as the first members of initial combinations, it was not considered necessary to provide for them in the horizontal row. Similarly the tenues and fortes never occur as second members of initial combinations. A dash denotes non-occurrence.

	$p^*$	t*	k*	8	x
b		<i>t'bāag-</i> hit		sbīn beaver	?
d			_	s·dō'i s·dagwa- put on style	xdeīt' flute
g	_	t'geib- roll	_	sgi'si coyote	
gw		t'gwa' thunder		sgwini' raccoon	
$\left\{\begin{array}{c} s \\ x \end{array}\right\}$					
ı				?	xliwi war feathers
$\overline{m}$	_	t'mila'px smooth		sma-im- smile	?
$\overline{n}$			_	s·nã mamma!	xni`k` acorn mush
y			_		
w		t'wap!at'wap'- blink	[k'wāagw- awaken]	swat'g- pursue	?

It will be noticed that only t' (p' and k' were given mainly for contrast) and the two voiceless spirants s and x combine with following consonants (k'w- is not to be analyzed into k'+w, but is to be regarded as a single consonant, as also gw- and k!w-, both of which frequently occur as initials); furthermore that s, x, and y never combine with preceding consonants. The general law of initial combination is thus found to be: tenuis (t') or voiceless spirant (s, x) + media (b, d, g) or voiced continuant (l, m, n, w).\(^1\) Of the combinations above tabulated, only t'b- t'g-, sb-, sg-, and perhaps sgw- and sw-, can be considered as at all common, t'm-, t'w-, sd-, sn-, xd-, xl-, and xn- being very rare. sl-, sb-, xm-, and xw- have not been found, but the analogy of xl- for the first, and of sb-, sm-, and sw-for the others, make it barely possible that they exist, though rarely; there may, however, be a distinct feeling against the combination x+labial (b, m, w).

Only two cases have been found of fortis or media + consonant:

t!wep!e't!wapx they fly about without lighting; future  $dwep'-dwa'pxd\bar{a}^a$ 

#### § 16. FINAL COMBINATIONS

Final consonant combinations are limited in possibility of occurrence by the fact that only aspirated tenues and voiceless spirants (p', t', k', k'', s, and x) can stand as absolute finals after other consonants. The following table will give examples of all final combinations of two or three consonants that have been discovered in the available material.

	p*	t*	k'	1	m	n	8	x
$p^*$		eīt'p' ye are		bēlp' swan		s·a's·anp' stand!(pl.)		
t'		_		sgelewa'lt' he shouted to him	ts!elela'mt' he paints it	p!ā'ant'his liver	_	
k'	xēp'k' he did it	p'ima't'k' my sal- mon	_	a'lk' silver-side salmon	xa'mk' grizz- ly bear	dōuma'nk' he will kill him	mīla'sk' heloved her	k'wā′a⁵xk' he's awake
k'w			_	t'gwe'lk' w rat	?	yānk'w he took it along	_	
$p^{i}k^{i}$	_		_	s·u'ealp'k' he sat		se'nsanp'k' he whooped		
t'k'	_		_	dōuma'lt'k' my testicles	xāala'mt'k' my urine	bilga'nt'k' my breast		
8	la'ps blanket		_	bīls moss	$g ilde{u}$ ms blind	p!e'ns squirrel	_	
	t'geya'px round		_	t'geeya'lx it	ya'mx grease	bānx hun- ger	_	_
xk*	des īpxk' it	_	_	gü'lk!alxk' it was blazing	dats·!ā'mxk' it hurt	ūgwa'nxk' he drank		
px	_		_	sgīilpx warm your back!	_	?		_

No examples of  $-mk'^w$  and -npx have been found, but the analogy of -lpx makes the existence of the latter of these almost certain (l and n are throughout parallel in treatment); the former (because of the double labial; cf. the absence of -mp') is much less probable, despite the analogy of  $-lk'^w$  and  $-nk'^w$ . It is possible also that -lsk', -msk', and -nsk' exist, though their occurrence can hardly be frequent. Of final clusters of four consonants -nt'p'k' has been found in  $s\cdot a's\cdot ant'p'k'$  HE stood, but there can be small doubt that the -t- is merely a dental tenuis glide inserted in passing from the dental nasal to the labial tenuis; compare the morphologically analogous form se'nsanp'k' HE whooped. However, the combinations -lpxk' and -npxk' (if -npx exists), though not found in the available material, very probably ought to be listed, as they would naturally be the terminations of morphologically necessary forms (cf.  $des\cdot ipxk'$ ). Most, if not all, of

the preceding final combinations may furthermore be complicated by the addition of  $^{\epsilon}$ , which is inserted before the first tenuis or voiceless spirant of the group, i. e., after a possible liquid or nasal:

 $\bar{u}'^{i\varepsilon}s\cdot k$  he laughed  $k!o'^{\varepsilon}px$  dust, ashes.  $ts\cdot !u'n^{\varepsilon}s$  (deerskin) cap

As compared to the initial combinations, the table of final clusters seems to present a larger number of possibilities. It is significant, however, that only those that consist of l, m, or n + single consonantcan ever be looked upon as integral portions of the stem (such as xa'mk' and t'qwe'lk'w); while those that end in -s can always be suspected of containing either the verbal suffix -s (=t+x), or the noun and adjective forming element -s. All other combinations are the result of the addition of one or more grammatical elements to the stem (e. g.,  $s \cdot u'^{\varepsilon} alp'k' = s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} al + p' + k'$ ). Further investigation shows that only two of the combinations, -t'p' (second personal plural subject aorist) and -t'k' (first personal singular possessive) are suffixal units; though -t'p' might be ultimately analyzed into -t' (second personal singular subject agrist) + -p. It is interesting to note that these clusters are at the same time the only ones, except t'gw-, allowed initially, t'b- and t'g-. The constitution of the Takelma word-stem may thus be formulated as

tenuis (or voiceless spirant) + media (or voiced continuant) + vowel (or diphthong) + liquid or nasal + stop (fortis or media—tenuis),

any or all of the members of which skeleton may be absent except the vowel; h may also be found before the vowel.

#### § 17. MEDIAL COMBINATIONS

A medial combination consists simply of a syllabically final combination or single consonant + an initial combination or single consonant, so that theoretically a very large number of such medial combinations may occur. Quite a large number do indeed occur, yet there is no morphologic opportunity for many of them, such as k'-l, np'-m, and numerous others. Examples of medial combinations are:

 $t!omoma'n-ma^{\varepsilon}$  when he was killed  $h\tilde{e}lk'-na^{\varepsilon}$  when he sang  $dak'-t'g\bar{u}'^uba^{\varepsilon}n$  I put hollowed object (like hat) on top (as on head)

The occurrence of such clusters as -k'n- must not for a moment be interpreted as a contradiction of the non-occurrence of the same clusters initially or finally, as they are not, syllabically speaking, clusters at all. Had such combinations as, say, -t'gn- (in which -t' would be the final of one syllable and gn- the initial of the next) occurred, we should be justified in speaking of an inconsistency in the treatment of clusters; but the significant thing is, that such clusters are never found. A Takelma word can thus ordinarily be cut up into a definite number of syllables:

```
ga\bar{\imath}k'na^{\epsilon} when he ate it (=ga\bar{\imath}k'-na^{\epsilon})
yo'k'yan I shall know it (=yo'k'-yan)
```

but these syllables have only a phonetic, not necessarily a morphologic value (e. g., the morphologic division of the preceding forms is respectively gai-k'- $na^{\varepsilon}$  and yok'y-an). The theory of syllabification implied by the phonetic structure of a Takelma word is therefore at complete variance with that found in the neighboring Athapascan dialects, in which the well-defined syllable has at least a relative morphologic value, the stem normally consisting of a distinct syllable in itself.

One important phonetic adjustment touching the medial combination of consonants should be noted. If the first syllable ends in a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd, the following syllable, as far as initial stops are concerned, will begin with a media (instead of aspirated surd) or aspirated surd + media; i. e., for a cluster of stops in medial position, the last can be a media only, while the others are aspirated surds. As also in the case of single consonants, this adjustment often brings about a variation in the manner of articulation of the final consonant in the cluster, according to whether its position in the word is medial or final. Thus we have:

 $x\tilde{e}p$ ' $ga^{\varepsilon}$  I did it;  $x\tilde{e}p$ 'k' he did it

Contrast, with constant -k'-:

 $alx\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}k'a^{\varepsilon}$  I saw it;  $alx\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}k'^{1}$  he saw it

the -g- of the first form and the -k' of the second being the same morphological element; the -p' of both forms is the syllabically final b of the stem  $xe^{c}b$ - po, so that  $x\bar{e}p'ga^{e}$  stands for a theoretical  $*x\bar{e}bk'a^{e}$ , a phonetically impossible form. Other examples are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This form is distinct from alxi'k' look at it!, quoted before. The imperative theoretically = \*alxi'k! the text form = \*alxi'k!k'.

ga-iwa't'ba<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ye shall eat it; gayawa't'p' ye ate it di'n<sup>\varepsilon</sup>xga<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I (as long object) was stretching out; di'n<sup>\varepsilon</sup>xk' long object was stretching

# Consonant Processes (§§ 18-24)

#### § 18. DROPPING OF FINAL CONSONANTS

There is a good deal to indicate that the comparatively limited number of possible final consonant-clusters is not a primary condition, but has been brought about by the dropping of a number of consonants that originally stood at the end.

1. The most important case is the loss of every final -t' that stood after a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd. Its former presence in such words can be safely inferred, either from morphologically parallel forms, or from other forms of the same stem where the phonetic conditions were such as to preserve the dental. Thus gwidi'k'w he there is an older reduplicated \*gwidi'k'wt' (= gwid-i-gwd-), as proven by the corresponding form for the first person, gwidi'k'wdaen itherew it and gwidi'k'dagwa he therew him (122.13). Similarly all participles showing the bare verb stem are found to be phonetically such as not to permit of a final -t', and are therefore historically identical with the other participial forms that show the -t':

```
s\tilde{a}k' shooting (=*s\tilde{a}k't')

d\tilde{o}x gathering (=*d\tilde{o}xt')

ha-t!\tilde{u}lk' following in path (=*t!\tilde{u}lk't')

sana'p' fighting (=*sana'p't')
```

# Compare:

yana't' going loho't' dead sebe't' roasting dõmt' having killed se'nsant' whooping yi'lt' copulating with

The combinations  $-k'^wt'k'$  ( $-k'^wt'g$ -) and  $-k'^wt'x$ -, however, seem to lose, not the -t'-, but the  $-k'^w$ -, whereupon -t'k' (-t'g-) remains, while -t'x- regularly becomes -s- (see § 20, 2):

 $he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}gwida't'k'$  (=\*gwida'k'wt'-k', inferential of gwidik'wd-) he lost it  $he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}gwida't'ga^{\varepsilon}$  (=\*gwida'k'wt'- $ga^{\varepsilon}$ ) I lost it  $xamgwidi'sgwide^{\varepsilon}$  (=\*gwidi'k'wt'-x-gwi- or possibly \*gwidi'k'wt'-gwi-) I drown myself

2. Somewhat less transparent is the former existence of a -w after consonants. The following examples have been found in the material at disposal:

 $l\tilde{a}l$  she twined basket (=\* $l\tilde{a}lw$ ); cf.  $l\bar{a}^a lwa'^e n$  I twine it (that -w really belongs to the stem is shown by the forms  $l\bar{a}^a wa' n$  I shall twine it;  $l\tilde{e}\tilde{u}xi$  twine it for me!)

 $k!e\tilde{l}$  basket bucket (=\* $k!e\tilde{l}w$ ); cf.  $k!elw\tilde{i}'i$  her bucket

 $k'a\bar{l}$  penis (=\* $k'a\bar{l}w$ ); cf.  $k'alw\bar{l}'^i$  his penis.

 $sgel\bar{e}l^{\varepsilon}$  (=\* $sgel\bar{e}l^{\varepsilon}w$ ) he keeps shouting; cf. sgelewa't' you shout,  $sgelwa'lt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I shall keep shouting

alsgālk'a<sup>ɛ</sup> (=\*sgālwk'a<sup>ɛ</sup>) I turned my head to one side to look at him; cf. alsgā<sup>a</sup>lwi'n I shall turn my head to look at him

alsgelēlxi (=\*sgelēlwxi) he keeps turning his head to one side to look at me; cf. alsgalāaliwi'sn I keep turning my head to look at him, future alsgalwalwi'n

This process, as further shown by cases like  $ga\bar{\imath}$  EAT IT! (=\* $ga\bar{\imath}w$ ), is really a special case of the simplification of double diphthongs (see § 11). Perhaps such "dissimilated" cases as  $l\bar{a}^a$ - and  $le^e$ - (for  $l\bar{a}u$ - and  $l\hat{e}u$ -), see § 7, really belong here.

Other consonants have doubtless dropped off under similar conditions, but the internal evidence of such a phenomenon is not as satisfactory as in the two cases listed. The loss of a final -n is probable in such forms as  $\bar{\imath}hegwe'hak'^w$  He works, cf.  $\bar{\imath}hegwe'hak'^wna^{\epsilon}n$  I work, and  $\bar{\imath}hegwe'hak'^wnana'k'$  we work. Certain verb-forms would be satisfactorily explained as originally reduplicated like  $gwidi'k'^w$ , if we could suppose the loss of certain final consonants:

 $gini'^{\epsilon}k'$  he went somewheres  $(=?*gin-i'-^{\epsilon}k'n)$   $gelgulu'k'^{w}$  he desired it  $(=?*-gul-u'-k'^{w}l)$ 

In the case of these examples, however, such a loss of consonants is entirely hypothetical.<sup>1</sup>

### § 19. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE CONSONANTS

Morphologically doubled consonants occur very frequently in Takelma, but phonetically such theoretic doublings are simplified into single consonants; i. e., k'+g become k' or g, and correspondingly for other consonants. If one of the consonants is a fortis, the simplified result will be a fortis or aspirated surd with preceding catch, according to the phonetic circumstances of the case. If one of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Many of the doubtful cases would perhaps be cleared up if material were available from the upper dialect, as it shows final clusters that would not be tolerated in the dialect treated in this paper; e. g. k'\u00fc'\u00fcna'ks't' relatives (cf. Takelma k'winazd\u00e4my kin).

k-consonants is labialized, the resulting k-sound preserves the labial affection. Examples of consonant simplification are:

mo't'ek' my son-in-law (=mo't'-+-dek')  $l\tilde{a}k'w\hat{o}k'$  he gave him to eat  $(=l\tilde{a}g-+-k'w\hat{o}k')$ 

 $dek!iya'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  if it goes on  $(=dek!iya'g-+-k'i^{\varepsilon})$ 

 $l\bar{\imath}^i gwa'n$  I shall fetch them home (=  $l\bar{\imath}^i g$ - + -gwan); cf. aorist  $ligigwa'^{\varepsilon}n$ 

 $d\bar{\imath}^i h i l a' k' w e m \bar{\epsilon}^\epsilon n$  I make him glad (=  $h i l a' k'^w$  glad +  $k! e m \bar{\epsilon}^\epsilon n$  I make him)

A good example of three k-sounds simplifying to one is:

```
gin\tilde{a}k'wi^{\varepsilon} if he comes (=gin\tilde{a}g-k'^{w}-k'i^{\varepsilon})
```

The interrogative element di never unites with the -t' of a second person singular agrist, but each dental preserves its individuality, a light i being inserted to keep the two apart:

xemela't'idi do you wish to eat? (= xemela't'+di)

The operation of various phonetic processes of simplification often brings about a considerable number of homonymous forms. One example will serve for many. From the verb-stem  $s\bar{a}^a g$ - shoot are derived:

- 1. Imperative sãk' shoot it!
- 2. Potential  $s\tilde{a}k$  he can, might shoot it
- 3. Participle  $s\tilde{a}k'$  shooting (=\* $s\tilde{a}k't'$ )
- 4. Inferential  $s\tilde{a}k$ ' so he shot it  $(=*s\tilde{a}g-k')$

The corresponding forms of the stem *yana*- go will bring home the fact that we are here really dealing with morphologically distinct formations:

- 1. yana' go!
- 2.  $yana'^{\epsilon}$  he would have gone
- 3. yana't' going
- 4. yana'k' so he went

Another simplification of consonant groups may be mentioned here. When standing immediately after a stop, an organic, etymologically significant h loses its individuality as such and unites with a preceding media or aspirated tenuis to form an aspirated tenuis, with a preceding fortis to form an aspirated tenuis preceded by a glottal catch (in the latter case the fortis, being a syllabic final, cannot preserve its original form). Thus, for the k- series, g or k + h becomes k + h

(see § 23) the contraction product k or k w may itself become g or gw, so that all trace of the original h seems to be lost. Examples for the k- sounds are:

t'gunũk'  $i^{\varepsilon}$  (=t'gunũk' + quotative - $hi^{\varepsilon}$ ) it became warm, it is said  $nagan\bar{a}'^a k' i^{\varepsilon}$  (= $nagan\bar{a}'^a \epsilon k'$  + quotative - $hi^{\varepsilon}$ ; see § 22) he always said, it is said

gwen-he'k'wāagw- (=reduplicated he'gw-hāagw-) relate; with accent thrown forward gwen-hegwā'agw-an-i- (=hegw-hā'agw-); compare, with preserved h, gwen-hegwe'hagw-an-i tell to

 $s \cdot o'wo^{\varepsilon}k' \hat{o}p'$  (= $s \cdot o'wo^{\varepsilon}k' - hap' = *s \cdot o'wok! - hap'$ ) he jumps ( $\hat{o} = wa$ ; see § 9) he jumps; compare  $s \cdot owo'k! ana^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to jump

Similarly, d or t'+h becomes t', t! (or  ${}^{\varepsilon}t'$ ) +h becomes  ${}^{\varepsilon}t'$ ; b or p'+h becomes p', p! (or  ${}^{\varepsilon}p'$ ) +h becomes  ${}^{\varepsilon}p'$ :

 $gana't'i \ (=gana't' + emphatic -hi)$  of just that sort

yo't'i (=yo't' being + emphatic -hi) alive; compare plural yot'i'hi

 $he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}sg\bar{u}'^{u\varepsilon}t'\hat{o}k'^{w}$  (= $sg\bar{u}'^{u}t!$ - $hak'^{w}$ ) cut away; compare  $he^{\epsilon\varepsilon}sg\bar{o}'^{u}t!$ an I shall cut it away

s and x also generally contract with h to s and x, e. g.:  $n\bar{o}^u s \cdot i'^{\varepsilon} (= n\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon} s \cdot + -hi^{\varepsilon})$  next door, it is said.

#### § 20. CONSONANTS BEFORE x

No stopped consonant or spirant may stand before x, except p. The dentals, guttural stops, and sibilants all simplify with x into single sounds; the fortes (including ts!) following the example of the ordinary stops and of the s, but leaving a trace in the vicarious  $^{\varepsilon}$ .

1. All k- sounds (k', g, k!, k'w, gw, k!w) simply disappear before x without leaving any trace of their former existence, except in so far as k! and k!w remain as  $\varepsilon$ ; if x is followed by a vowel, the w of the labialized k-sounds unites with x to form xw:

 $alx\bar{\imath}'^ixi$  he saw me  $(=al-x\bar{\imath}'^ig-xi)$ ; cf.  $alx\bar{\imath}'^igi^{\varepsilon}n$  I saw him

 $k`w\bar{a}'^axde^\varepsilon$ I awoke (= $k`w\bar{a}'^agw-x-de^\varepsilon$ ); cf.  $\bar{\imath}k`w\bar{a}'^agwi^\varepsilon n$ I woke him up

 $gelgulu'xbi^{\epsilon}n$  I like you (=-gulu'gw-x- $bi^{\epsilon}n$ ); cf. - $gulugwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I like him

 $b\bar{a}^a dini'^{\epsilon}x$  (clouds) spread out on high ( =-dini'k!-x); cf.  $di'nik!a^{\epsilon}n$  I stretch it out

 $l\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}xwa$ ' to trap  $(=l\bar{u}k!^{w}-xa')$ ; cf. lo'k!wan I shall trap (deer)  $y\bar{e}xwink'$   $(=y\bar{e}gw-xink')$  he will bite me; but  $y\bar{e}xda^{\varepsilon}$   $(=y\bar{e}gw-x-da^{\varepsilon})$  you will bite me

2. tx always simplifies to s, t!x to  ${}^{s}s$ . Whether the combination tx really spontaneously developed into s it is naturally impossible to say; all that can safely be stated is that, where we should by morphologic analogy expect t+x, this combination as such never appears, but is replaced by s. Examples are numerous:

 $lebe'sa^{\varepsilon}$  she sews (=lebe't- $xa^{\varepsilon}$ ); cf., for -t' of stem, lebe't' she sewed it, for suffix - $xa^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $lobo'xa^{\varepsilon}$  she pounds

sgelewa'lsi he shouts to me (=sgelewa'ld-xi); cf. sgelewa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I shout to him

 $d\bar{a}^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}bodoba'sa^{\epsilon}n$  they pull out each other's hair, with reduplicated stem bodobad-+x-

 $x\bar{a}^at'be'^{\epsilon}k't'bagams$  it is all tied together (=-t'bagamt-x); cf.  $x\bar{a}^at'b\bar{a}'^agamda^\epsilon n$  I tie it together

 $hansg\bar{o}'^{u\bar{s}}$  he cut across, lay over (road) (=- $sg\bar{o}'^{u}t!$ -x); cf.  $hansg\bar{o}'^{u}t!$ an I shall cut it across

This change of tx to s is brought about constantly in the course of word-formation, and will be incidentally exemplified more than once in the morphology.

3. sx simplifies to s, ts!x ( $= \varepsilon sx$ ) to  $\varepsilon s$ . Examples are:

 $yimi's \cdot a^{\varepsilon}$  he dreams (= $yimi's \cdot -xa^{\varepsilon}$ , with suffix  $-xa^{\varepsilon}$  as in  $lobo'xa^{\varepsilon}$  above

ha-uhana' s it stopped (raining) (=\*-hana' sx, stem hanats!-+-x)

#### § 21. DISSIMILATION OF n TO / AND m

If a (generally) final n of a stem is immediately followed, or, less commonly, preceded by, a suffix containing a nasal, it dissimilates to l. The following examples have been found:

yalalana't' you lost it (cf. yalnanada' you will lose it, with n preserved because it forms a consonant-cluster with l)

ha- $gw\bar{a}^al$ -a'm in the road (cf.  $gw\tilde{a}n$  road)

 $D\bar{\imath}dala'm$  Grant's Pass (probably = over  $[d\bar{\imath}-]$  the rocks [da'n])

 $x\bar{a}^a la'mt'k'$  my urine;  $xala'xamt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I urinate (cf.  $x\tilde{a}n$  urine)

ba-is·in-xi'lik!wi<sup>e</sup>n I blow my nose, with l due to -n of prefix s·in- nose (cf. xīn mucus)

s·inp'i'l<sup>\varepsilon</sup>s flat-nosed, alongside of s·inp'i'n<sup>\varepsilon</sup>s

The possibility of a doublet in the last example shows that the prefix  $s \cdot in$ - is not as thoroughly amalgamated with the rest of the word as are the suffixes; probably, also, the analogy of forms in  $-p'in^{\varepsilon}s$  with other prefixes not containing an n would tend to restore an anomalous-sounding  $s \cdot inp'i'l^{\varepsilon}s$  to  $-p'i'n^{\varepsilon}s$ .

A suffixed -(a)n dissimilates to -(a)l because of a preceding m in the stem:

s·imi'l dew (cf. such nouns as p!iyi'n deer) dak'-s· $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  on the mountain (s· $o\tilde{m}$  mountain)  $d\bar{o}^u ma'lt'k'$  my testicles ( $d\bar{o}^u m$  testicles)

With these compare:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts! $\bar{a}^a$ wa'n by the ocean (ts! $\bar{a}\tilde{u}$  deep water)

In  $x\bar{a}^a$ -gulma'n among oaks, the l immediately preceding the m seems to have prevented the dissimilation of the -an to -al.

It is practically certain that the -am of hagwā'ala'm, Dīdala'm, and  $x\bar{a}^a la'mt'k'$  is at bottom phonetically as well as functionally identical with the suffix -an (-al), seen in  $x\bar{a}^a$ -qulma'n (qulu'm oak) and dak' $s \cdot \bar{o}^u m a' l$ , and rests on a second dissimilation of the nasal lingual (n) of the suffix to a labial nasal (m), because of the lingual (l) of the stem. The history of a word like  $haqw\bar{a}^a la$ 'm is in that event as follows: An original \* $hagw\bar{a}^ana$ 'n in the road (stem  $gw\bar{a}^an$ -+ nominal characteristic -an) becomes first \*haqwāala'n by the dissimilation of the first n because of the following n, then  $hagw\bar{a}^a la'm$  by the dissimi lation of this second n because of the preceding l. Similarly  $D\bar{\imath}dala$ 'm and  $x\bar{a}^a la'mt'k'$  would go back to \* $D\bar{\imath}dana'n$  and \* $x\bar{a}^a na'nt'k'$  respectively; with the second form compare the reduplicated verb xala'xam-(=\*xanaxan-) URINATE. The probability of such a dissimilation of n to m is greatly strengthened by the fact that nearly all nouns with an evidently suffixal noun-forming element -(a)m have an l in the stem as compared to an -(a)n of nouns not so affected. Contrast:

 $he^e$ la'm board (cf.  $d\bar{\imath}^i he' liya$ <br/>sleeping on wooden platform)daga'n turtlegela'm riverwigīn red liza<br/>ts!ela'm hail (cf. stem ts!el-<br/>rattle)p!iyi'n deer<br/>shown by  $\bar{\jmath}$ <br/> $y\bar{u}t!$ u'n whit<br/> $u'yidi^e$ n I e<br/> $y\bar{u}'xg$ an trout<br/>habila'm empty $y\bar{u}'xg$ an trout<br/> $xd\tilde{a}$ n eel (cf. h

lap'am frog

wigīn red lizard

p!iyi'n deer (-n here as suffix
shown by p!iya'x fawn)

yūt!u'n white duck (cf. yut!u'yidi<sup>c</sup>n I eat it greedily)

 $y\bar{u}'xg$ an trout  $xd\tilde{a}$ n eel (cf.  $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $xd\bar{a}'^{a}xdagwa^{\varepsilon}n$ I throw something slippery far away)

 $w\bar{o}^u p!$ un- eyebrows

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No other example of final -lm is known, so that this form was probably misheard for ts- $l\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}$ -m (cf. gulu-m oak).

<sup>§ 21</sup> 

yulu'm eagle (also yula'm is<br/>found) $d\bar{a}^a$ - n- ear<br/>found)gulu'm oak<br/> $k'\ddot{u}$ lum fish (sp.?)bebe'n rushes<br/>ga'k!an house ladder<br/>gwit!in- wrist

It should not be concealed that a few words (such as hülün ocean, t!aga'm LAKE, and yuk!um-a- BONES) do not seem to conform to the phonetic law implied by the table; but more exact knowledge of the etymology of these and similar words would doubtless show such disagreement to be but apparent. It is probable that in delga'n-BUTTOCKS, bilga'n- BREAST, and do'lk'in-i- ANUS, the g, (k') immediately following upon the l prevented the expected dissimilation of n to m; in le'k'wan- ANUS the dissimilation was perhaps thwarted by a counter-tendency to dissimilate the two labials (k'w and m) that would thus result. \*yalan-an-lose (tr.), dissimilated, as we have seen, to yalal-an-, fails to be further dissimilated to \*yalalam- because, doubtless, there is a feeling against the obscuring of the phonetic form of the causative suffix -an-. The great probability of the existence of a dissimilatory tendency involving the change of n to m is clinched by the form do'lk'im-i- anus alongside of do'lk'in-i-.

A dissimilation of an original l to n (the reverse of the process first described), because of an l in the stem, is found in

 $yil\bar{\imath}^i nma'^{\epsilon}n$  I keep asking for it (= original \* $yil\bar{\imath}^i lma'^{\epsilon}n$  [l inserted as repetition of stem -l- in iterative formation from  $yilima'^{\epsilon}n$  I ask him])

le ba'nxde I am carrying (object not specified) (= original \*le ba'lxde ); cf. identical suffix -al-x-, e. g., gayawa'lxde I eat.

In  $\bar{u}^u gwa'nxde^{\epsilon}$  I drink (stem  $\bar{u}gw$ -); it hardly seems plausible that -an-x- is at all morphologically different from the -al (-an) -x- of these words, yet no satisfactory reason can be given here for a change of the l to n.

#### § 22. CATCH DISSIMILATION

If to a form with a glottal catch in the last syllable is added a syntactic (conjunctive) element, itself containing a catch, the first catch is lost, but without involving a change in the character of the pitch-accent; the loss of the catch is frequently accompanied by a lengthening of the preceding vowel (or rather, in many cases, a restoration of the original length). This phonetic process finds its most frequent

application in the subordinate form of the third person agrist intransitive:

```
y\bar{a}'^ada^{\varepsilon} when he went (cf. ya'^{\varepsilon} he went) gin\bar{\imath}'^ik'da^{\varepsilon} when he went to (cf. gini'^{\varepsilon}k' he went to) yawa'ida^{\varepsilon} when he spoke (cf. yawa'^{i\varepsilon} he spoke) loho'ida^{\varepsilon} when he died (cf. loho'^{i\varepsilon} he died)
```

The connectives  $-hi^{\varepsilon}$  IT is said, and  $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  but, and are, in regard to this process, parallel to the  $-da^{\varepsilon}$  of the preceding forms:

```
naga'ihi^{\varepsilon} he said, it is said (cf. naga'^{i\varepsilon} he said) n\bar{o}^{us}\cdot i'^{\varepsilon} but, so (he went) next door (cf. n\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s\cdot next door). a'n\bar{\imath}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon} but not (cf. a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} not) {}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s\cdot is\cdot i^{\varepsilon} but no matter how (often) (cf. {}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s\cdot i^{\varepsilon} even if) dal^{\varepsilon}w\bar{\imath}'^{i}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon} but some (cf. dal^{\varepsilon}wi^{\varepsilon} sometimes; -w\bar{\imath}'^{i}s\cdot i^{\varepsilon} is related to -wi^{\varepsilon} as is y\bar{a}'^{a}da^{\varepsilon} to ya'^{\varepsilon})
```

# § 23. INFLUENCE OF PLACE AND KIND OF ACCENT ON MANNER OF ARTICULATION

The general phonetic rule may be laid down that an aspirated surd, when not immediately followed by another consonant, can, with comparatively few exceptions, be found as such medially only when the accent immediately precedes, provided that no consonant (except in certain circumstances l, m, and n) intervene between the accented vowel and the aspirated surd; under other conditions it appears as a media. This phonetic limitation naturally brings about a constant interchange between the aspirated surd and the corresponding media in morphologically identical elements. Thus we have as doublets -da and -t a, third person possessive pronoun of certain nouns:

```
bēmt'ā<sup>a</sup> his stick

se' elt'ā<sup>a</sup> his writing

wila'ut'ā<sup>a</sup> his arrow

ga'lt'ā<sup>a</sup> his bow

mo't'ā<sup>a</sup> his son-in-law; but

da'qaxda his head
```

and numerous other nouns with -x. This consonant in itself, as we have seen, demands a following media. Another pair of doublets is  $-de^{\varepsilon}$  and  $-t'e^{\varepsilon}$ , first person singular subject intransitive agric ( $-de^{\varepsilon}$  and  $-t'e^{\varepsilon}$  to correspond in future):

```
p'ele'xade I go to fight; p'elxa't'e I shall go to war y \tilde{a} n t'e I go; y a n a' t'e I shall go n a g a \tilde{\imath} t'e I say; n a' t'e I shall say
```

BOAS]

but:

wits:!īsmade I keep moving; future wits:!e'smade (contrast wits:!im̃t'e I move and wisma't'e I shall move)

Other examples of interchange are:

 $sg\bar{o}^ut^*sga't^*i$  he cut them to pieces;  $sg\bar{o}'^ut^*sgidi^{\varepsilon}n$  I cut them to pieces

 $ts \cdot ! \ddot{u}m\ddot{u}mt'a^{\varepsilon}n$  I boil it,  $s \cdot \ddot{u}mt'an$  I shall boil it (stem  $s \cdot \ddot{u}^{u}m - t'a - )$ ;  $s \cdot omoda'^{\varepsilon}n$  I boil it,  $s \cdot omda'n$  I shall boil it (evidently related stem  $s \cdot om - d - )$ 

 $s \cdot as \cdot in \tilde{\imath} p'ik'$  we stand;  $e^e bi'k'$  we are

This phonetic rule must not be understood to mean that a media can never appear under the conditions given for the occurrence of a surd. The various grammatical elements involved are not all on one line. It seems necessary to assume that some contain a surd as the primary form of their consonant, while others contain an organic media. The more or less mechanical changes in manner of articulation, already treated of, have had the effect, however, of so inextricably interlocking the aspirated surds and mediae in medial and final positions that it becomes difficult to tell in many cases which manner of articulation should be considered the primary form of the consonant. Some of the medially occurring elements with primary tenuis are:

-t'a, third person possessive

-t'a, exclusive (as in k!wa'lt'a young, not old; younger one)

 $-t^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ , first person intransitive agrist (future,  $-t^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ )

-t'ek', first person singular possessive (as in ga'lt'ek' my bow)

Such elements show an aspirated consonant whether the preceding accent be rising or falling; e. g.,  $b\tilde{e}mt$ 'a like he' elt'a. Some of those with primary media are:

-da, third person possessive with preceding preposition (corresponding not to first person -t'ek', -dek', but to - $d\bar{e}$ )

-a'ld- and -a'md- indirect object

- $da^{\varepsilon}$ , subordinating element

This second set regularly keep the media whether the accent immediately precedes or not. The first two of these generally, if not always, require the preceding accent to be a falling one:

dak'wilī''ida on his house  $hat'g\bar{a}'^ada$  in his country  $x\bar{a}^asa'lda$  between his toes  $x\bar{a}^aha'mda$  on his back 3045°—Bull. 40, pt 2—12——4

hawa'nda under him sgelewa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I shout to him ts!elela'mda<sup>e</sup>n I paint it

The third retains its primary character as media when the preceding verb form has the falling accent:

 $yewe'ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he returned  $naga'-ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he said  $baxa'mda^{\varepsilon}$  when he came  $hele'lda^{\varepsilon}$  when he sang  $xebe'nda^{\varepsilon}$  when he did it

On the other hand it appears as an aspirate tenuis when preceded by the rising accent:

 $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  as it became  $s \cdot as \cdot in\bar{\imath}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  when he stood

The rule first given, when interpreted in the light of a reconstructed historical development, would then mean that a rising accent preserved an immediately following aspirated surd (including always those cases in which  $\hat{l}$ , m, or n intervened), and caused the change of a media to an aspirated surd; while a falling accent preserved a similarly situated media or aspirated surd in its original form. That the change in the phonetic circumstances defined of an original media to an aspirated surd is indeed conditioned by a preceding rising accent, is further indicated by such rather uncommon forms as hadedil-t a everywheres. Here the -t is evidently the same as the -da of hawili'ida in his house, and the difference in manner of articulation is doubtless in direct relation to the difference of accent.

A modification of the general phonetic rule as first given remains to be mentioned. After l, m, or n an original aspirated tenuis retains its aspiration even if the accent falls on the preceding syllable but one; also after a short vowel preceded by l, m, or n, provided the accented vowel is short. Examples are:

alwe'k!alt'e' I shall shine; alwe'k!alp'igam we shall shine; alwe'-k!alk'wa to shine

 $k'e'p'alt'e^e$  I shall be absent; k'e'p'alk'wa to be absent  $w\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'hamt'e^e$  I have menstrual courses for the first time  $xala'xamt'e^e$  I urinate

i'mhamk'am he was sent off (i is short, though close in quality; contrast  $d\tilde{o}mhigam$  he was killed)

īmi'hamk'wit' he sent himself

ts·!ümü'ts·!amt'a<sup>e</sup>n I always boil it (cf. s·omoda'<sup>e</sup>n I boil it) s·a's.ant'e<sup>e</sup> I shall stand; s·a's·anp'igam we shall stand; s·a's·ank'wa to stand

sene'sant'e<sup>e</sup> I whoop; se'nsant'e<sup>e</sup> I shall whoop de<sup>e</sup>īwī'<sup>i</sup>gank'wide<sup>e</sup> I spread (it) out for myself dasga'lit'ā<sup>a</sup> (grain) will lie scattered about

With  $-t'\bar{a}^a$  and  $-t'e^{\varepsilon}$  above contrast the morphologically identical elements  $-d\bar{a}^a$  and  $-de^{\varepsilon}$  of the following examples, in which the same accentual condition prevails but with a consonant other than l, m, or n preceding the affected dental:

t'ge'its:!idāa (round object) will lie (there)
s:u'k'didāa (string) will lie curled up
dak't'ek!e'xade I smoke (but future -xa't'e because of immediately preceding accent)

### § 24. INORGANIC h

Whenever two morphologically distinct vowels come together within the word (verbal prefixes and postposed particles, such as deictic -a', are not considered as integral parts of the word), the first (accented) vowel is separated from the second by an "inorganic" -h-:

 $\bar{\imath}t!ana'hi^{\varepsilon}n$  I hold it (aorist stem t!ana + instrumental -i-), but future  $\bar{\imath}t!ani'n$  (stem t!an-)

dak'-da-hala'hin I shall answer him (future stem hala- + instrumental -i-), but aorist dak'-da- $h\bar{a}^a li'^\varepsilon n$  (stem  $h\bar{a}^a l$ -)

This inorganic h is found also immediately following an m, n, or l preceded by the accent:

 $way\tilde{a}nha^{\varepsilon}n$  I put him to sleep (cf. same form with change of accent  $wa-y\bar{a}^ana'^{\varepsilon}n$ )

 $d\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}ag\tilde{a}nhi^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to hear about it (cf. -agani'^{\varepsilon}n I hear it)  $liw\bar{\imath}lhaut'e^{\varepsilon}$  I kept looking (cf.  $liwila'ut'e^{\varepsilon}$  I looked)

xa-it'  $g\bar{\imath}'lt' ga'lhi$  he broke it in two (cf. with identical -i- suffix  $x\bar{a}^a$  salt' gwi'lt' gwili he broke [somebody's arm] by stepping)  $\bar{\imath}'mhamk'am$  he was sent off (also in a orist stem  $\bar{\imath}miham$ -)  $wad\bar{\imath}omhik'$  he killed him with it (stem  $d\bar{\imath}o^um$ - + -i-)

It will be observed that the insertion of the h is practically the same phonetic phenomenon as the occurrence of an aspirated tenuis instead of a media after an accented vowel. The vowel, nasal, or liquid may appropriately enough be considered as having become aspirated under the influence of the accent, just as in the case of the mediae.

# **MORPHOLOGY** (§§ 25–114)

### § 25. Introductory

Takelma conforms to the supposedly typical morphology of American languages in that it is thoroughly incorporating, both as regards the pronominal, and, though somewhat less evidently, the nominal object. If by "polysynthetic" is merely meant the introduction into the verb-complex of ideas generally expressed by independent elements (adverbs or the like), then Takelma is also polysynthetic, yet only moderately so as compared with such extreme examples of the type as Eskimo or Kwakiutl. The degree of intimacy with which the pronominal objective elements on the one hand, and the nominal objective and polysynthetic (instrumental and local) elements on the other, are combined with the internal verb-structure is decidedly different. The former combine as suffixes to form an indissoluble part, as it were, of the verb-form, the subjective elements of the transitive verb, though in themselves absolutely without independent existence, being secondarily attached to the stem already provided with its pronominal object. The latter vary in degree of independence; they are strung along as prefixes to the verb, but form no integral part of its structure, and may, as far as grammatical coherence is concerned, fall away entirely.

The polysynthetic character of the Takelma verb (and by discussing the verb we touch, as so frequently in America, upon the most vital element of the sentence) seems, then, a comparatively accidental, superimposed feature. To use the term "polysynthetic" as a catchword for the peculiar character of Takelma, as of many another American language, hardly hits the core of the matter. On the other hand, the term "incorporation," though generally of more value as a classificatory label than "polysynthesis," conveys information rather as to the treatment of a special, if important, set of concepts, than as to the general character of the process of form-building.

If we study the manner in which the stem unites in Takelma with derivative and grammatical elements to form the word, and the vocalic and consonantic changes that the stem itself undergoes for grammatical purposes, we shall hardly be able to find a tangible difference in general method, however much the details may vary, between Takelma and languages that have been dignified by the name "inflectional." It is generally said, in defining inflection, that languages of the inflectional as contrasted with those of the agglutinative type make use of words of indivisible psychic value, in which the stem and the various grammatical elements have entirely lost their single individualities, but have "chemically" (!) coalesced into a single formunit; in other words, the word is not a mere mosaic of phonetic materials, of which each is the necessary symbol of some special concept (stem) or logical category (grammatical element).

In support of the actual existence of this admired lack of a one-to-one correspondence between a grammatical category and its phonetic expression is often quoted the multiplicity of elements that serve to symbolize the same concept; e. g., Lat.  $-\bar{\imath}$ , ae, -a,  $-\bar{e}s$ ,  $-\bar{u}s$ , all indicate that the idea of a plurality of subjects is to be associated with the concrete idea given by the main body of the words to which they are attached. Furthermore, variability of the stem or base itself is frequently adduced as a proof of its lack of even a relative degree of individuality apart from the forms from which by analysis it has been abstracted; e. g., German bind-, band-, bund-, bänd-, bünd-. These two characteristics are very far indeed from constituting anything like a definition of inflection, but they are often referred to as peculiar to it, and hence may well serve us as approximate tests.

As regards the first test, we find that just such a multiplicity of phonetic symbols for the same, or approximately the same, concept, is characteristic of Takelma. The idea of possession of an object by a person or thing other than the speaker or person addressed is expressed by -xa, -a, -da (-t'a), -t', or -, all of which are best rendered by His, Her, its, their (the ideas of gender and number do not here enter as requiring grammatical expression). Similarly, the idea of the person speaking as subject of the action or state predicated by the main body of the verb is expressed by the various elements -t'e'e' (-de'), -t'e' (-de'), -t'e' (-de'), -t'e' is confined to the acrist of intransitive verbs; -t'e' is future intransitive; -t' is a acrist transitive; -t is future transitive; and -t'a' is used in all inferential forms, whether transitive or intransitive.

As for the second test, it soon appears that the Takelma stem may undergo even more far-reaching changes than we are accustomed to in German or Greek. As examples may serve:

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ -,  $d\ddot{u}^u m$ -, t!omom-  $(t!om\bar{o}^u$ -),  $t!\ddot{u}m\ddot{u}^u$ - kill  $n\bar{a}^a g$ -,  $ne^e$ -, naga-, nege- say to

The first form in each of these sets is the verb-stem, properly speaking, and is used in the formation of all but the aorist forms. The second is employed in non-aorist forms when the incorporated object of the verb is a first person singular, and in several derivative formations. The third is characteristic of the aorist. The fourth is used in the aorist under the same conditions as determine the use of the second form of the stem in other groups of forms. It needs but a moment's thought to bring home the general psychic identity of such stem-variability and the "ablaut" of many German verbs, or the Latin stem-variation in present and perfect:

frang-:  $fr\bar{e}g$ - break da-: ded- give

If the typical verb (and, for that matter, noun) form of Takelma is thus found to be a firm phonetic and psychic unit, and to be characterized by some of the supposed earmarks of inflection, what is left but to frankly call the language "inflectional"? "Polysynthetic" and "incorporative" are not in the slightest degree terms that exclude such a designation, for they have reference rather to the detailed treatment of certain groups of concepts than to morphologic method. Everything depends on the point of view. If chief stress for purposes of classification is laid on the relative importance and fulness of the verb, Takelma is polysynthetic; if the criterion of classification be taken to be whether the verb takes the pronominal object within its structure or not, it is incorporating; if, finally, stress be laid on the general method of building up the word from smaller elements, it is Not that Takelma is in the least thereby relegated to a peculiar or in any way exceptional position. A more objective, unhampered study of languages spoken in various parts of the world will undoubtedly reveal a far wider prevalence than has been generally admitted of the inflectional type. The error, however, must not be made of taking such comparatively trivial characteristics as sex gender, or the presence of cases, as criteria of inflection. Inflection has reference to method, not to subject-matter.

### Grammatical Processes (§§ 26-32)

### § 26. General Remarks

There are four processes employed in Takelma for purposes of grammatical modification and word-formation: affixation (pre-, in-, and suffixation), reduplication, vocalic change (ablaut), and consonant change (consonant ablaut). Pitch-accent is of grammatical importance, but is most probably a product of purely phonetic causes. Of the processes mentioned, suffixation is by far the most important, while the presence of infixation will have to be allowed or denied according to the definition given of it.

# § 27. Prefixation

Prefixation is either of the loose polysynthetic type already referred to, or of the more firmly knit inflective type. Loose prefixation is extremely common, nominal objects, instruments, and local ideas of one kind or another finding admittance into the word-complex, as we have seen, in this manner. Examples of such loose prefixation are:

gwen-sa'l-yowos he looked back (gwen- in back; al- is difficult to define, but can perhaps be best described as indicative of action away from one's self, here with clear implication of sight directed outward; yowo's he was, can be used as independent word)

s·in-ī-lats!agi'sn I touched his nose (s·in- nose; ī- with hand; lats!agi'sn I touched him, as independent word)

gwent'ge'm black necked (gwen- nape, neck; t'ge'm black)

The first example shows best the general character of loose prefixation. The prefixed elements gwen-, al-, sin-, and \(\tilde{\ell}\)- have no separate existence as such, yet in themselves directly convey, except perhaps al-, a larger, more definitely apperceived, share of meaning than falls to the lot of most purely grammatical elements. In dealing with such elements as these, we are indeed on the borderland between independent word and affix. The contrast between them and grammatical suffixes comes out strongest in the fact that they may be entirely omitted without destroying the reality of the rest of the word, while the attempt to extract any of the other elements leaves an unmeaning remainder. At the same time, the first example well illustrates the point that they are not so loosely attached but that they may entirely alter the concrete meaning of the word. Prefixation of the inflective type is very rare. There is only one

such prefix that occurs with considerable frequency, wi-, first person singular possessive of nouns of relationship:

wiha'm my father hami'<sup>\varepsilon</sup>t' your father

# § 28. Suffixation

Suffixation is the normal method employed in building up actual forms of nouns and verbs from stems. The suffixes in themselves have for the most part very little individuality, some of them being hardly evident at all except to the minute linguistic analyst. notions they convey are partly derivational of one kind or other. In the verb they express such ideas as those of position, reciprocal action, causation, frequentative action, reflexive action, spontaneous activity, action directed to some one, action done in behalf of some one. From the verb-stem such adjectival and nominal derivations as participles, infinitives, or abstract nouns of action, and nouns of agent are formed by suffixation. In the noun itself various suffixed elements appear whose concrete meaning is practically nil. Other suffixes are formal in the narrower sense of the word. They express pronominal elements for subject and object in the verb, for the possessor in the noun, modal elements in the verb. Thus a word like t!omoxinik' we kill one another contains, besides the agrist stem  $t!om\bar{o}$ - (formed from  $d\bar{o}^u m$ -), the suffixed elements -x- (expressing general idea of relation between subject and object), -in- umlauted from -an- (element denoting reciprocal action [-x-in-= EACH OTHER, ONE ANOTHER]), and -ik' (first personal plural subject intransitive aorist). As an example of suffixation in the noun may be given t!ibaqwa'n-t'k' MY PANCREAS. This form contains, besides the stem tliba-, the suffixed elements -gw- (of no ascertainable concrete significance, but employed to form several body-part nouns; e. g., t!iba'k'w PANCREAS 47.17), -an- (apparently meaningless in itself and appearing suffixed to many nouns when they are provided with possessive endings), and -t'k' (first personal singular possessive).

# § 29. Infixation

Infixation, or what superficially appears to be such, is found only in the formation of certain agrist stems and frequentatives. Thus the agrist stem mats!ag- (from masg- PUT) shows an intrusive or §§ 28-29

infixed -a- between the s (strengthened to ts!) and g of the stem. Similarly the agrist stem wits:!im- (from wism- move) shows an infixed i. Infixation in frequentative forms is illustrated by:

yonoina'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I always sing (aorist stem yonon-)
ts!aya'k' he used to shoot them (cf. ts!aya'k' he shot them)

On examination it is found that the infixed element is invariably a repetition of part of the phonetic material given by the stem. Thus the infixed -a- and -i- of mats!ag- and wits'!im- are repetitions of the -a- and -i- of the stems masg- and wism-; the infixed -i- of yonoin- and ts!ayaig- are similarly repetitions of the y- of yonon and -y- of ts!ayag-. It seems advisable, therefore, to consider all cases of infixation rather as stem-amplifications related to reduplication. An infixed element may itself be augmented by a second infixation. Thus we have:

Verb stem	Aorist stem	Frequentative		
hemg- take out	hemeg-	$heme^{e}mg$ -		
ts!a-im- hide	ts!ayam-	ts!aya-im-		
masg- put	mats!ag-	$mats!ar{a}^asg$ -		
<i>yawī</i> - talk	yawa-i-	$yar{a}wa$ - $iy$ -		
baxm- come	baxam-	$bax\bar{a}^axm$ -		

# § 30. Reduplication

Reduplication is used in Takelma as a grammatical process with surprising frequency, probably as frequently as in the Salish languages. The most interesting point in connection with it is probably the fact that the reduplicating increment follows the base, never, as in most languages (Salish, Kwakiutl, Indo-Germanic), precedes it. It is, like the infixation spoken of above, employed partly in the formation of the aorist, partly to express frequentative or usitative action. Some nouns show reduplicated stems, though, as a process, reduplication is not nearly as important in the noun as in the verb. Some verbs, including a number that do not seem to imply a necessary repetitive action, are apparently never found in unreduplicated form. Four main types of reduplication, with various subtypes, occur:

1. A partial reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the vowel and final consonant of the stem:

aorist helel- (from  $he^e l$ - sing) aorist t!omom- (from  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - kill)

The reduplicated vowel is lengthened in certain forms, e. g.,  $hele^{\epsilon}l$ ,  $t!om\bar{o}^{u}m$ .

1 a. A subtype of 1 is illustrated by such forms as exhibit an unreduplicated consonant after the reduplicated portion of the word, the second vowel in such cases being generally long

aorist ts:!ümüümt'a- (from s:üümt'a- boil)

usitative aorist  $t!\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}\ddot{u}lg$ - (from verb stem  $t!\ddot{u}\ddot{u}lg$ -, aorist  $t!\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}g$ follow trail)

usitative aorist  $gin\bar{\imath}^i ng$ - (from verb stem ging-, aorist ginig- go to; ging-, ginig- itself is probably reduplicated from gin-)

2. A complete reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the entire base with a change of the stem-vowel to a:

aorist  $t!\grave{e}ut!au$ - (from  $t!\grave{e}u$ - play shinny) aorist bot'bad- (from  $b\bar{o}^ud$ - pull out one's hair) aorist  $b\bar{a}^a$ - sal- xo(x)xag come to a stand (pl.); aorist sal-xog- $\bar{v}^i$ stand (pl.)

3. A complete reduplication, as in 2, with the addition of a connecting vowel repeated from the vowel of the stem:

aorist yuluyal- (cf. verb stem yulyal- rub)

aorist frequentative hogohag- keep running (from  $h\bar{o}^u g$ - run)

aorist frequentative s'wilis'wal- tear to pieces; verb stem s'wil-s'wal- (from aorist s'wīils'wal- tear; verb stem s'wīil-)

If the stem ends in a fortis consonant, the reduplicating syllable regularly shows the corresponding media (or aspirated tenuis):

sgot!osgad- cut to pieces (from verb stem  $sg\bar{o}^ut!$ -, aorist  $sg\bar{o}^ud$ - cut)

3 a. A subgroup of 3 is formed by some verbs that leave out the -a-of the reduplicating syllable:

gwidik'wd- throw (base gwid-)

4. An irregular reduplication, consisting of a repetition of the vowel of the stem followed by  $-(\epsilon)a$ - + the last and first (or third) consonants of the stem in that order:

frequentative a rist t!omoamd-, as though instead of \*t!omo-t!am-; cf. non-a rist  $d\bar{o}^u m dam$ - (from a rist t!omom- kill)

frequentative a orist  $k!eme^{\epsilon}amg$ - (from k!eme-n- make; verb stem k!em-n-)

frequentative a orist  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}^{\epsilon}aug$ -, as though instead of \* $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}p!aug$ (from a orist  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}k!$ - name)

It will be noticed that verbs of this type of reduplication all begin with fortis consonants. The glottal catch is best considered a partial representative of the initial fortis; in cases like k!eme<sup>e</sup>amg- an original

-k!am (i. e., - $\epsilon gam$ ) may be conceived of as undergoing partial metathesis to - $\epsilon amg$ .

Other rarer reduplications or stem-amplifications occur, and will be treated in speaking of aorist formations and frequentatives.

## § 31. Vowel-Ablant

Vowel-ablaut consists of the palatalization of non-palatal stem-vowels in certain forms. Only o and a (with corresponding long vowels and diphthongs) are affected; they become respectively  $\ddot{u}$  ( $\bar{u}$ ) and e. In sharp contradistinction to the i- umlaut of an original a to i, this ablaut affects only the radical portion of the word, and thus serves as a further criterion to identify the stem. Thus we have  $we^e ga'si$  He brought it to me (from stem  $w\bar{a}^a g$ -, as shown also by  $w\bar{a}^a g$ - $iwi'^e n$  i brought it to him), but wege'sink' He will bring it to me (from stem waga-, as shown also by waga-wi'n i'll bring it to him), both i- umlaut and stem-ablaut serving in these cases to help analyze out the stems. Vowel-ablaut occurs in the following cases:

1. Whenever the object of the transitive verb or subject of the passive is the first person singular:

mele'xi he told it to me 172.17, but mala'xbi\*n I told it to you (162.6)

nege's i he said to me 186.22, but naga'sam he said to us (178.12) dũmxina I shall be slain (192.11), but dõmxbina you will be slain (178.15)

gel-lūhūigwa'si he avenges me, but -lohoigwa'en I avenge him (148.3)

Not infrequently vowel-ablaut in such cases is directly responsible for the existence of homonyms, as in yeweyagwa'si HE TALKS ABOUT ME (from yaway-talk), and yeweyagwa'si HE RETURNS WITH ME (from yewei-return).

2. With the passive participal endings -ak'w, -ik'w:

wase<sup>e</sup> $gi'k'^w$  wherewith it is shot (from  $s\bar{a}^ag$ - shoot)  $me'xak'^w$  having father (from ma'xa his father)

 $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\iota}$ - $d\bar{u}xik^{`w}de\bar{k}^{`}$  my gathered ones (= I have been gathering them) (from  $d\bar{o}^ux$ - gather)

 $dal^{\varepsilon}$ -wa- $p'\bar{u}'t!ik'^{w}$  mixed with (from  $p'\bar{o}t!$ - mix) 178.5

3. In some verbs that have the peculiar intransitive-forming suffix -x-, by no means in all:

 $geyewa'lxde^{\epsilon}$  I eat (136.15) (cf.  $gayawa'^{\epsilon}n$  I eat it 30.11)  $le^{\epsilon}ba'nx$  he carries 178.6 (stem  $l\bar{a}^ab$ -)

 $d\bar{\imath}d\bar{a}^at'be'^{\,\epsilon\epsilon}k't'bcg$ -ams (= -amtx) they had their hair tied on sides of head (from base  $t'b\bar{a}^ag$ -) 142.17; cf. - $t'b\bar{a}'^ag$ amd $a^{\epsilon}n$  I tie his hair (27.1)

No satisfactory reason can be given why most verbs in -x- do not show this stem-palatalization. It is quite possible that its occurrence is confined to a restricted number of such verbs; at any rate, there is some limitation in its employment, which the material at hand has not been found extensive enough to define.

4. In nouns ending in -x-ap (-s-ap = -t-x-ap), probably derived from such verbs in -x- as were referred to under 3:

```
x\bar{a}^a l e'^e s a p' belt (cf. x\bar{a}^a l \bar{a}'^a d a^e n I put it about my waist)
h a l \bar{u}'^{u\varepsilon} x \hat{o} p' (= -x^w a p') shirt (cf. h a l \bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon} k' she put on [her dress])
```

5. In verbs provided with the suffix -xa-, which serves to relieve transitive verbs of the necessity of expressing the object:

```
l\ddot{u}'^{\varepsilon}xwagwadinin (= l\ddot{u}k!-xa-) I'll trap for him (stem l\ddot{o}k!^{w}-) \bar{l}l\ddot{u}'pxagwank' she shall pound with (stone pestle) (cf. lobo'p' she pounds them)
```

 $k!ede\bar{\imath}xade^{\varepsilon}$  I was out picking (cf.  $k!ad\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}n$  I pick them,  $k!ad\bar{a}\bar{\imath}$  he picks them)

ts!eye'mxade<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I hide things (cf. ts!ayama'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I hide it)

6. In reflexive verbs ending in -gwi- or -k'wa- (-gwa-):

 $k!\bar{c}t'gw\bar{v}^ip'$  pick them for yourself! (stem  $k!\bar{a}^ad$ -) alts! $ey\bar{e}k'wit'$  he washed himself with it (cf. alts! $ay\bar{a}p'$  he washed his own face)

 $\bar{\imath}lets!\bar{e}k'wide^{\varepsilon}$  I touch myself (cf.  $\bar{\imath}lats!agi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I touch him)  $k!ed\hat{e}\bar{\imath}k'wa^{\varepsilon}n$  I pick them for myself (aorist stem  $k!ad\bar{a}i$ -)  $aln\bar{u}'uk'wa$  he painted his own face.(stem  $n\bar{o}^ugw$ -)

Yet many, perhaps most, reflexive verbs fail to show the palatal ablaut:

p!agānk'wit' he bathed himself
t'gwāa'xa'nt'gwide' I shall tattoo myself (but lū'ugwant'gwide' I
trap deer for myself)
xāa-sgō'ut'gwide' I cut myself
īgaxaga'xqwa'n I scratch myself

We have here the same difficulty as in 3. Evidently some factor or factors enter into the use of the ablaut that it has not been founp possible to determine.

7. Other cases undoubtedly occur, but there are not enough of them in the material gathered to allow of the setting up of further groups. All that can be done with those cases that do not fall within the first six groups is to list them as miscellaneous cases. Such are:

gwel-leīsde<sup>e</sup> I shall be lame (cf. gwel-la'is k!emna'n I shall make him lame

 $le^e psi$ ' wing (if derived, as seems probable, from stem  $l\bar{a}^a b$ - carry)  $t!emeya'nwia^{u\varepsilon}$  people go along to see her married 178.1 (cf.  $t!amayana'^{\varepsilon}n$  I take her somewheres to get her married [148.5])

Palatal ablaut, it should be noted, does not affect the -a- of the second member of reduplicated verbs:

t'gā°lt'ga'l it bounced from her 140.8 t'ge°ltg'a'lsi it bounced from me

The connecting vowel, however, of verbs reduplicated according to the third type always follows the stem-vowel:

 $dak'da-hele'halxade^{\varepsilon}$  I am accustomed to answer (stem  $-h\bar{a}^al$ -)

It is difficult to find a very tangible psychic connection between the various cases that require the use of the palatal ablaut, nor is there the slightest indication that a phonetic cause lies at the bottom of the phenomenon. If we disregard the first group of cases, we shall find that they have this in common, they are all or nearly all intransitives derived from transitives by means of certain voice-forming elements (-x-, -xa-, -gwi-, -k'wa-), or else nominal passives or derivatives of such intransitives (-ak'w, -x-ap'); -k'wa-, it is true, takes transitive pronominal forms; but it is logically intransitive in character in that it indicates action in reference to something belonging to the subject. The only trait that can be found in common to the first group and the remaining is that the action may be looked upon as self-centered; just as, e. g., a form in -xa- denotes that the (logically) transitive action is not conceived of as directed toward some definite outside object, but is held within the sphere of the person of central interest (the subject), so, also, in a form with incorporated first person singular object, the action may be readily conceived of as taking place within the sphere of the person of central interest from the point of view of the speaker. No difficulty will be found in making this interpretation fit the other cases, though it is not conversely true that all forms implying self-centered action undergo palatalization. The explanation offered may be considered too vague to be convincing; but no better can be offered. In any event, the palatal ablaut will be explained as the symbolic expression of some general mental attitude rather than of a clear-cut grammatical concept.

Besides these regular interchanges of non-palatal and palatalized vowels, there are a number of cases of words showing differing vowels, but whose genetic relationship seems evident. These vocalic variations have not been brought into the form of a rule; the number of examples is small and the process apparently touches rather the lexical material than the morphology. Variations of this character between a and e are:

gala-b- $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I twist it;  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ -wa-gele-g- $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I drill for fire with it (88.12),  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}al$ -gelegal- $a'mda^{\varepsilon}n$  I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -dala-g-a'md $a^{\epsilon}n$  I pierce his ear (22.1);  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dele-b-i' $\epsilon n$  I stick it through his ear

la" excrement 122.2; le'-k'w-an-t'k' my anus

Variations between o(u) and  $\ddot{u}$  are:

s·omoda'en I boil it (58.10); ts·!ümũmt'aen I boil it (170.17) xuma' food 54.4; xümü'k'dee I am sated (130.18)

An a—ü variation is seen in:

hau-hana's it stopped (raining) 196.8; p!ai-hunū'us he shrank 33.16

Variations between a and i are:

yawaīt'e<sup>e</sup> I talk (132.3); yiwiya'ut'e<sup>e</sup> I keep talking, I converse (194.5); yiwin talking, (power of) speech 138.4

laba'n I shall carry it (124.5); libin news (what is carried about from mouth to mouth[?]) 194.9

Of o(u)-e variations there have been found:

lohoĩt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I die 184.18; leheĩt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I drift dead ashore (75.5)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -huk!u'hak'n $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I breathe;  $x\bar{a}^a$ -hege'hak'n $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I breathe (79.2)  $t!os\cdot\bar{o}'^{u}$  little 180.20; al- $t!e^{\circ}s\cdot\bar{i}$ 't' little-eyed 94.3

An e-i variation is found in the probably related:

 $p! e y \bar{e} n t' e^{\varepsilon}$  I lie 71.5 (future  $p! \dot{e}' t' e^{\varepsilon}$  [146.9]);  $gwen-p! i y i' n k' w a^{\varepsilon} n$  I lie on pillow (future  $gwen-p! \bar{i} k' w a n$ )

t'geeya'lx it rolls; a'l-t'gī'ya'lx tears rolled from (his) eyes 138.25

## § 32. Consonant-Ablaut

Consonant-ablaut, ordinarily a rare method of word-formation, plays a rather important part in the tense-formation (a orist and non-aorist) of many verbs. The variation is in every case one between fortis and non-fortis; i. e., between p!, t!, k!, ts!, and b, d, g, s, respectively. Three main types of grammatical consonant change are to be recognized:

1. An initial fortis in the agrist as opposed to an initial media in non-agrist forms:

aorist k!olol- (stem  $g\bar{o}^ul$ - dig) aorist t!ebe- (stem  $de^eb$ - arise) aorist t!ayag- (stem  $d\bar{a}^ag$ - find)

2. A medial fortis followed by a vowel in the agrist as opposed to a medial tenuis followed by a consonant in non-agrist forms:

aorist lop!od- (stem lop'd- rain, snow, or hail) aorist lats!ag- (stem lasg- touch)

3. A medial media in the agrist as opposed to a medial fortis in the remaining forms:

aorist  $n\bar{u}^u d$ - (stem  $n\bar{u}^u t!$ - drown) aorist  $w\bar{i}^i g$ - (stem  $w\bar{i}k!$ - spread)

Needless to say, this consonant-ablaut has absolutely nothing to do with the various mechanical consonant-changes dealt with in the phonology.

A few examples of consonant-ablaut not connected with regular grammatical changes have also been found:

s·omod- boil; ts·!ümüümt'a- boil

hau-gwen-yut!uyad-i- swallow down greedily (like duck or hog) 126.10; hau-gwen-yunu<sup>e</sup>yan-i- dit.

The second example illustrates an interchange not of fortis and non-fortis (for  $n^{\varepsilon}$  is related to n as is t! to d), but of non-nasal stop and nasal.

## I. The Verb (§§ 33-83)

## § 33. Introductory

The verb is by far the most important part of the Takelma sentence, and as such it will be treated before the independent pronoun, noun, or adjective. A general idea of the make-up of the typical verb-form will have been gained from the general remarks on morphology; nevertheless the following formula will be found useful by way of restatement:

Loosely attached prefixes + verb-stem (or a rist stem derived from verb-stem) + derivational suffixes + formal elements (chiefly pronominal) + syntactic element.

This skeleton will at the same time serve to suggest an order of treatment of the various factors entering into verb morphology.

Before taking up the purely formal or relational elements, it seems best to get an idea of the main body or core of the word to which these relational elements are attached. The prefixes, though not entering into the vital grammatical structure of the verb, are important for the part they play in giving the whole verb-form its exact material content. They may, therefore, with advantage be taken up first.

## 1. Verbal Prefixes (§ § 34-38)

#### § 34. GENERAL REMARKS

Verbal prefixes may be classified into four groups when regard is mainly had to their function as determined largely by position with respect to other prefixes: incorporated objects, adverbial (including local) elements, incorporated instrumentals, and connective and modal particles. These various prefixes are simply strung along as particles in the same order in which they have been listed. Inasmuch as the exact function of a prefix is to a considerable extent determined by its position, it follows that the same prefix, phonetically speaking, may appear with slightly variant meanings according as it is to be interpreted as an object, local element, or instrument. Thus the prefix  $\bar{\imath}$ - always has reference to the hand or to both hands; but the exact nature of the reference depends partly on the form of the verb and partly on the position of the prefix itself, so that  $\bar{\imath}$ - may be translated, according to the circumstances of the case, as

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $n\bar{o}^{\prime}u\bar{k}^{\prime}wa^{\varepsilon}n$  I warm my hands

WITH THE HAND:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ oudini' $\bar{\imath}$ n I hunt for it with the hand (= I am feeling around for it)

IN THE HAND:

p'im-ī-hōugwagwa'en I run with salmon in my hand

In the first of these three examples the  $\bar{\imath}$ - as object precedes the incorporated instrumental  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  fire, so that the form means literally  $\imath$  warm my hands with fire. In the third form the  $\bar{\imath}$  as local element follows the incorporated object p'im salmon. Such a triplicate use is found only in the case of incorporated nouns, particularly such as refer to parts of the body. These incorporated elements are to be kept distinct from certain other elements that are used in an

adverbial sense only, and regularly occupy the second position. The line between these two sets of prefixes is, however, difficult to draw when it comes to considering the place to be assigned to some of the prefixed elements. It is doubtful whether we are fully justified in making absolutely strict distinctions between the various uses of the body-part prefixes; at any rate, it is certainly preferable, from a native point of view, to translate the three examples of  $\bar{\imath}$ - incorporation given above as:

I-hand-fire-warm (-as-regards-myself)

I-hand-hunt-for-it

I-salmon-hand-run-with

leaving in each case the exact delimitation in meaning of the element hand to be gathered from the general nature of the form. The following examples will render the matter of position and function of the various prefixes somewhat clearer:

Object.	Locative adverb.	Instrument.	Modal.	Verb proper.
bēm- sticks	wa- together	€ī- hand		t!oxo'xien I gather (them) (=I gather sticks together)
	hees- away	wa- with it		wāagiwi'n she is bought (=she is brought with it) 176.17
gwan-road	ha-in		yaxa- continuously	t!ülüülga'ɛn I follow (it) (=I keep following the trail)
dan- rocks	<i>bãa</i> ₌ up	¢ī- hand		sget!e'sgidien I lifted (them) (=I lifted up the rocks)
	han- across	waya- knife		swilswa'lhi he tore him (=he tore himopen with a knife)73.3
	dak'- above	da- mouth	wala'sina-truly	hāali'nda <sup>e</sup> I answering him (= I did answer him)
	xa- between, in two	ī- hand	mī'i€wa- probably	sgī'ibien I cut him (=I'll probably cut him through) 31.13

If two adverbial (local) elements are used, the body-part prefix follows that which is primarily adverbial in character; thus:

ba-ide'  $^{\epsilon}didi'nik!at'$  did you stretch it out? (=ba-i-out+de-lip, in front + di interrogative particle + di'nik!at' you stretched it)

In general it may be said that instances of a body-part prefix preceding a primarily adverbial element (like ba-i-,  $b\bar{a}^a$ -,  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -, and others) are rare or entirely lacking.

From what has been said it might seem that the connective and modal elements (like yaxa,  $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\bar{\nu}}wa$ , and di) are more closely associated with the verb form than are the other elements, yet this is only apparently the case. Properly speaking all these modal elements are post-positives that normally attach themselves to the first word of

the sentence, no matter what part the word plays in the sentence. Thus in a form like  $me'^{\epsilon}$ -di-giniga't' did you come? (=  $me^{\epsilon}$ -Hither + di- interrogative particle + giniga't' you went to), the modal (interrogative) element di regularly stands nearest the verb; but as soon as another word is introduced before the verb, the interrogative particle shoves back a step, and we have a form of sentence like, e. g.,  $hoida'^{\epsilon}s$  di  $me'^{\epsilon}giniga't'$  did you come as singer, i. e., to sing? From this it becomes fairly evident that the di in the first example is not properly a verbal prefix at all, but merely a post-positive particle depending upon the preceding  $me'^{\epsilon}$ , in the same way that, in the second example, it depends upon the noun  $hoida'^{\epsilon}s$  singer. This inference is clinched by a form like giniga't'idi did you go (somewhere)? for here the di is evidently an enclitic element, not a prefix.

In sharp contradistinction to such movability, the body-part and adverbial prefixes occupy rigidly fixed positions before the verb; they therefore belong to a class quite distinct from the modal particles. These latter are verbal prefixes only in so far as their post-positive tendency may force them to become embedded in the verb-complex, in which case they seem to cut loose the incorporated object, adverbial prefix, and instrumental element from the verb. Diagrammatically the last form tabulated may be represented by  $xa-\bar{\imath}-[m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}wa]-sg\bar{\imath}'^{i}b^{i\varepsilon}n$ . We may then dismiss the modal elements from our consideration of verbal prefixes, to return to them when speaking of connective and adverbial particles.

#### § 35. INCORPORATED NOUNS

It may seem strange at first sight to interpret in the examples given above such elements as  $b\bar{e}m$  sticks,  $gw\bar{a}n$  road, and da'n rocks as incorporated objects, when they occur as absolute nouns in that form as well, though a faint suggestion of incorporation is given by  $gw\bar{a}n$ -ha-yaxa-t! $iliv^{\bar{u}}lga'^{\bar{e}}n$  i keep following the trail, in that the modal post-positive yaxa follows not  $gw\bar{a}n$ , but rather ha-, as though the direct object were not quite felt to be an element independent of the verb. Without laying particular stress on this latter point, there are, it would seem, good reasons for considering the nouns referred to as incorporated, though in any event the incorporation must be called a loose one, and not at all comparable with the Iroquois usage.

1. In the first place it is evident from such examples as  $\bar{\imath}-p!\bar{\imath}^i-n\bar{\wp}'^uk^*wa^{\bar{\imath}}n$  I warm my hands and han-waya-swilswa'lhi he tore him open with a knife, that nouns (in these cases  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  fire and waya knife) occur as incorporated instrumentals, for such elements as  $\bar{\imath}$ -and han- can not possibly be isolated from the verb (han- does not occur as independent adverb, but only as prefix;  $\bar{\imath}$ - is inconceivable as independent noun); furthermore, if, in the forms just quoted,  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  and waya be looked upon as absolutely independent nouns, they lose all semblance of grammatical form, there being, indeed, nothing but a definite position in a verb-complex that could here suggest the notion of instrumentality. It is also possible to isolate waya, but that would involve considerable readjustment of the verbal structure. To be stamped as an instrumental, waya must in that case be followed by a postposition wa with, so that the sentence then reads, han-swilswa'lhi wa'ya wa' (the phrase wa'ya wa' may also precede).

If we wish to incorporate the instrumental idea into the verb, and yet keep the noun outside of the verb-structure, we may let the wa, which seems properly to denote with it, occupy the place of the incorporated waya, which, as an appositive of wa, then either precedes or follows the verb-form, wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi, or han-wa-swilswa'lhi waya' he-across-with-it-tore-him (it, i. e.), the-knife. This construction is identical with the well-known appositional structure of Nahua or Chinook (e. g., i-it-killed the-dog), except that the incorporated element is here instrumental and not objective in character. The noun and its representative can not both be incorporated in the verb, such a form as han-waya-wa-swilswa'lhi, for instance, being quite impossible.

It becomes clear, therefore, that an incorporated instrumental noun like wa'ya is quite analogous to an instrumental bodypart prefix like  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, with the difference that wa'ya may be isolated in that form, while  $\bar{\imath}$ - must, when isolated, be provided with a possessive pronominal element. The form  $han-\bar{\imath}$ -swilswa'lhi i tore him open with my hand is strictly analogous to han-waya-swilswa'lhi; the sentence  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}xde'k'$  han-wa-swilswa'lhi my-hand i-across-with-it-tore-him corresponds to wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi; and, finally, han-swilswa'lhi  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}xde'k'$  wa' i-across-tore-him my-hand with (-it) is parallel to han-swilswa'lhi wa'ya wa'. Whatever is true morphologically of  $\bar{\imath}$ - must be true of wa'ya; the evident

incorporation of  $\bar{\imath}$ - involves the incorporation of wa'ya in the analogous form.

As the incorporation of the noun as an instrument seems a rather important trait of Takelma, a number of further examples may be given:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $be^e$ - $n\bar{o}'uk'wa^{\varepsilon}n$  I warm my back in (really = with) the sun ( $be^e$  sun); cf. 188.20

he  $^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ -xi-le'me $^{\epsilon}$ k'i he destroyed them with water (xi water) he  $^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ -p! $\bar{\imath}^i$ -leme' $^{\epsilon}$ k'i he destroyed them with fire (p! $\bar{\imath}^i$  fire) 98.12 xa-dan-t'g $\bar{\imath}^i$ lt'ga'lhi he broke it with a rock (dan rock) 24.4 gwen-waya-sg $\bar{o}'^u$ t'i he cut their necks off with his knife (way $\bar{a}'^a$  wa' with his knife, apart from verb-structure) 144.5, 22

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -be  ${}^em$ - $k!w\tilde{o}^ut'k!widi^{\epsilon}n$  I broke it with a stick (be  ${}^em$  stick)  $d\bar{a}^a$ -he  ${}^el$ -yebebi'  ${}^en$  I sing for him, literally, I engage (?) his ears

with song (he el song; al-yebeb-i- show to)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $m\bar{u}^u gal$ -lewe' $^\epsilon liwi^\epsilon n$  I shake my ears with twisted shells (attached to them) (t' $m\bar{u}^u gal$  twisted shell) 122.1

dī-k'al-p'ili'p'ilien I squash them with my penis (k'al penis) 73.14 de-ye't'-baxamagwana'k' we came crying, literally, we came having (our) mouths with tears (yet' tears)

yap!a-dauyā<sup>a</sup>-ts!aya'k'i he shot people with his shaman's spirit (dauyā'ak'wda his shaman-spirit, apart from verb-structure); cf. 164.14

All these, except the last, begin with elements  $(x\bar{a}^a$ -,  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -, gwen-,  $d\bar{a}^a$ -,  $d\bar{v}^i$ -, de) that can not be isolated from the verb.

Instrumentals, whether nouns or body-part prefixes, can occur only in transitive verbs. The forms noxwa' yana-wa-lobobi'en I POUND ACORNS WITH A PESTLE and noxwa'-ī-loboxaqwa'en i pound WITH A PESTLE, as compared with  $l\bar{o}b\bar{o}'xade^{\varepsilon}$  I Pound, will serve to illustrate this. The first sentence reads, when literally translated, PESTLE (noxwa') I-ACORNS (yana') -WITH-IT-POUND. The logical instrument (noxwa') stands outside the verb-complex and is in apposition with its incorporated instrumental representative (wa-), yana' being the direct (incorporated) object. The form lobo'xades I POUND is made intransitive by the element -xa- (hence the change in pronominal form from transitive  $-\epsilon n$  to intransitive  $-de^{\epsilon}$ ), and allows of no instrumental modification; a form like \(\bar{v}\)-lobo'xade\(^{\varepsilon}\) could hardly mean I POUND WITH THE HAND; at most it could signify I POUND IN THE HAND. If we wish, however, to express the logical instrument in some manner, and yet neglect to specify the object, we must get around the difficulty by making a secondary transitive of

the intransitive in -xa. This is done by the suffixed element -gwHAVING, ATTENDED BY. The grammatical object of a transitive verb
in -gw- is never the logical object of the action, but always dependent
upon the comitative idea introduced by this suffix. Hence the second form is not provided with a true instrumental (WITH A PESTLE),
but takes the logical instrument (noxwa) as a direct object, while
the  $\bar{\imath}$ - is best rendered by in the hand; to translate literally, the
form really means I POUND HAVING A PESTLE IN THE HAND.

It sometimes happens that a verb form has two instrumentals, one, generally  $\bar{\imath}$ - with the hand, expressing indefinite or remote instrumentality, the second, a noun or demonstrative, expressing the actual instrument by means of which the action is accomplished. In such cases the second instrument is expressed outside of the verb-complex, but may be represented in the verb by the incorporated wa with it following the first instrumental element ( $\bar{\imath}$ -). Examples of such double instrumentals are:

gwalt'  $b\bar{a}^a$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -wa- $x\bar{o}'^u$ t'i wind he-up-hand-with-it-caused-them-to-fall, i. e., he caused them to fall by means of a wind (that he made go up) 168.2

ga <sup>\$\vec{\vec{v}}\-\cdot\ wa-molo\(^{\vec{v}}\ ma'lhi\) that she-hand-with-it-stirs-it-up, i. e., she stirs it up with that (incidentally, of course, she uses her hand too) 170.16</sup>

dan (object) k!ama (instr.) p!ai-ɛī-wa-sgā'ak'sgigiɛn rocks tongs down-hand-with-it-pick-up, i. e., I pick up the rocks with the tongs (and put them) down

2. The noun as instrument has been shown to act in a manner entirely analogous to the instrumental body-part prefix. The latter can, without phonetic change, become the direct object of the verb by occupying the proper position:

 $s \cdot in - \bar{\imath} - lats! agi'^{\varepsilon} n$  I touched his nose with my hand ( $s \cdot in$ -nose) but, theoretically at least,

ī-s·in-lats!agi'en I touched his hand with my nose

If we bear in mind that such elements as  $s \cdot in$ - and  $\bar{\imath}$ - are really nothing but nouns in their stem form (with possessive pronoun:  $s \cdot in - \bar{\imath} - x - da$  his nose;  $\bar{\imath}' - \bar{\imath} - x - da$  his hand), the parallelism with such nounobjects as  $b\bar{e}m$  and  $gw\bar{a}n$  (see examples on p. 65) becomes complete. The fact that they may occur independently, while  $s \cdot in$ - and  $\bar{\imath}$ -never do, is really irrelevant to the argument, as a body-part noun must necessarily be associated with some definite person. Entirely

analogous to the nominal elements  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -x- and  $-\bar{u}$ -x- of s- $in\bar{\imath}xda$  and  $\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}xda$  is, e. g., the -am- of  $gw\bar{a}^al$ -a'm-t'k' My road. Just as they drop off when the body-part nouns are incorporated, whether as object or instrument, into the verb, so, also, the -am- of  $gw\bar{a}^al$ -am- $(=gw\bar{a}^an$ -an-) drops off when the noun is used without pronominal or prepositional modification. That the -am- has nothing per se to do with the pronominal affix, but is really a noun-forming element added to the stem, is proven by forms like ha- $gw\bar{a}^ala$ 'm in the road. Thus:

object  $b\bar{e}m$ , in  $b\bar{e}m$ - $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{i}$ -t! $oxo'xi^{\epsilon}n$  I gather sticks, is related to object s-in-, in s-in- $\bar{i}$ -lats! $aqi'^{\epsilon}n$  I touch his nose, as

instrument  $b\tilde{e}m$ , in  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $be^em$ - $k!w\tilde{o}^ut'k!widi^en$  I broke it with a stick, to

instrument  $s \cdot in$ -, in  $s \cdot in$ - $t!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I find it with my nose (=I smell it)

In view of the complete parallelism of noun and body-part element and the transparent incorporation of the noun as instrument, nothing remains but to look upon the simple noun without pronominal affixes, when placed immediately before the local and instrumental prefixes of the verb, as itself a loosely incorporated object. Examples of noun-objects in such form and position are to be found in great number; in fact, the regularity with which the object is put before the verb, as contrasted with the freely movable subject, argues further for the close relation of the noun-object to the verb.

A few further examples of incorporated noun-objects are given by way of illustration:

he el-gel-gulugwa' n I desire to sing (literally, I-song-breast-desire; he el song)

 $he^{\epsilon}l$ -yununa'  $\epsilon n$  I sing a song (106.7)

wili-wa-ī-t!a'nida<sup>ε</sup> you shall keep house (literally, you-house-together-hand-will-hold; wili house) 28.13

abai<sup>e</sup> xuma-k!emna'<sup>e</sup>s cook (literally, in-the-house food-maker; xuma food) 54.3

wai-s·ügü's·üxgwa<sup>e</sup>n I am sleepy (literally, I-sleep-am-confused?-having; wai sleep)

 $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ -da- $t!ag\bar{a}\bar{\imath}$  he built a fire ( $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$  fire) 96.17

 $p!\bar{i}$ - $b\bar{a}^a$ - $y\bar{a}nk$ 'w he picked up the fire (literally, he-fire-up-went-having) 96.25

xi- $\varepsilon \bar{u}gwa'nk'$  he will drink water (xi water) 162.17

s·ĩx-ligi'k' w he brought home venison (s·ĩx venison) 134.4

In none of these would the placing of the object after the verbform be at all idiomatic; in some (as in  $he^{\epsilon}l$ -gel- $gulugwa'^{\epsilon}n$  and wai- $s\cdot\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}'s\cdot\ddot{u}xgwa^{\epsilon}n$ ) it would be quite inconceivable. The incorporation
must be considered particularly strong in those cases in which the
object is what might be called a root-noun identical in form with a
verb-stem of corresponding significance:

wai<sup>1</sup> sleep, to sleep he<sup>e</sup>l- song, to sing se<sup>e</sup>l- black paint, to paint

likewise where the object gives special color to the verb, determining the concrete significance of the form, as in  $xuma-k!emna'^{\varepsilon}s$  and  $wili-wa-\bar{\imath}-t!a'nida^{\varepsilon}$ .

3. Besides being used as instrumentals and direct objects, a few incorporated nouns are found employed in set phrases, apparently as subjects. Such are:

 $b\bar{a}^a$ - $be^e$ - $k!iy\bar{\imath}'^ik'da^e$  forenoon (literally, up-sun-going, or when-it-goes) ( $b\bar{a}^a$ - is never used as independent adverb, so that  $be^e$ -sun must here be considered part of the verb-complex)

 $n\bar{o}^u$ -be e-k!iyī'ik'da afternoon (literally, down-river [i. e., west]-sun-going)

mot'-wō'k' as son-in-law he visits wife's parents (= mot'- son-in-law + wō'k', probably identical with wōk' he arrived) 17.13, in which mot'- must be considered an integral part of the verb, because unprovided with pronominal affix (cf. mo't'ā<sup>a</sup> his son-in-law), and, further, because the whole form may be accompanied by a non-incorporated subject (e. g., bo'mxi mot'wō'k' Otter visited his wife's parents, literally, something like: Otter son-in-law-arrived)

4. Several verb-forms seem to show an incorporated noun forming a local phrase with an immediately preceding local prefix; in such cases the whole phrase must be considered an incorporated unit, its lack of independence being evidenced either by the fact that it is itself preceded by a non-independent verbal prefix, or else differs in phonetic form from the corresponding independent local phrase. Examples are:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts·!elei-sgalawi'<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> I looked at them out of the corners of my eyes (literally, I-alongside-eye-looked-at-them)<sup>2</sup>; cf.  $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts·!e-leidē alongside my eyes

§ 35

 $<sup>^1</sup>wai$ - indeed could not be obtained as an independent noun, its existence as substantive being inferred from forms such as that cited above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>It may be, however, that this form is to be interpreted as I-ASIDE- (WITH-THE-) EYE-LOOKED-AT-THEM, ts·lelei- being in that case an incorporated instrumental noun.

ha-t' $g\bar{a}^a$ -gwidi'k' where threw it into the open (literally, he-in-earth-threw-it); cf. ha-t' $g\bar{a}\bar{u}$  in the earth

ba-i-dak'-wili- $t!\bar{a}^adi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I ran out of the house (ba-i- out, adverbial prefix + dak'- on top of + wili house) 24.13; cf. dak'-wili on top of the house

ha-yau-t'ge'nets!a<sup>ɛ</sup>n I put it about my waist (literally, I-in [under?]-rib-put-it-about); ef. ha-yawadē inside my ribs

Such verbs with incorporated local phrases are naturally not to be confused with cases in which a local prefix is followed by an incorporated (instrumental) noun with which it is not, however, directly connected. Thus the ha- of ha- $tg\bar{a}^a$ - $gwidi'k'^w$  is not directly comparable to the ha- of a form like:

 $ha-p!\bar{\imath}^i-ts\cdot!\ddot{u}'l\ddot{u}k!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I set it on fire  $(p!\bar{\imath}^i$  with fire) 73.9

Here ha- $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - cannot be rendered in the fire.

Some verb-forms show an evidently incorporated noun that has so thoroughly amalgamated with the stem that it is difficult to make out its exact share in the building up of the material content of the verb. For example:

 $s \cdot omlohoya'lda^{\varepsilon}n$  I doctor him as  $s \cdot omloho'lxa^{\varepsilon}s$ 

doubtless contains the incorporated noun s'om mountain; but the implied allusion is not at all evident, except in so far as the protecting spirits of the s'omloho'lxas are largely mountain-spirits. The verb itself is probably a derivative of the verb-stem loho- die (aorist lohoi-).

#### §36. BODY-PART PREFIXES

Having disposed of the modal prefixes, which on analysis turned out to be verbal prefixes only in appearance, and of incorporated nouns, which one would hardly be inclined to term prefixes in the narrower sense of the term, there remain for our consideration two important sets of genuine prefixes, body-part elements and adverbial, chiefly local, prefixes. The former will be taken up first. By "body-part prefix" is not meant any body-part noun in its incorporated form (many of these, such as to !elei- eye, t!iba- pancreas, not differing morphologically from ordinary incorporated nouns), but only certain etymologically important monosyllabic elements that are used to indicate in a more general way what body-part is concerned in a particular action, and which may be regarded as in some degree verbal classifiers. With the exception of  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand and s-in- nose, classed with the rest

because of their very extended use, they differ fundamentally from other body-part nouns in that they have, besides their literal, also a more formal, local value; in this capacity they are regularly employed, also, as the first element of noun and pronoun local phrases, and, some of them, as the second element of local postpositions. In the following list the second column gives the literal body-part significance; the third, the generalized local meaning; the fourth, the corresponding independent noun (in a few cases, it will be observed, there is no such corresponding noun); and the fifth column, an example of a local phrase:

Prefix.	Body.	Local.	Noun.	Phrase.
dak'-	head	over, above	da'g-ax- dek' my head	dak'-wili over the house
∫da-, de-	mouth, lips		dex-dck*	
de-		in front		dēt' gwa in front of himself
dāa-	ear	alongside	dāa- n- x- de`k*	dāa-gela`m along the river
s·in-	nose		s·in-īi-x-de`k'	
gwen-	neck, nape	in back,behind	[bo'k' dan-x- de'k']	gwen-t'gāŭon east side of the land
ī-	hand		ī-ū-x- de`k'	
xāa-	back, waist	between,in two	xāa-ha`m-t'k'	xāa- gweldē between my legs
$d\bar{\imath}$ i-	back	on top of		dīi-īūdē over my hand
gel-	breast	facing	gel-x-dek', [bilg-an-x-de'k']	gelde facing, in front of me
$d\tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon_{+}}$	anus	in rear	[delg- a'n- t'k']	dīs-t'gāŭ on west side of the
ha-	woman's pri- vate parts	in	haũ-x-dek'	ha-xiya' in the water
gwcl-	leg	under	gwēl-x-dek'	gwel-xiya`under water
la-	belly		?lāa- excrement	$La-t^*g\bar{a}\tilde{u}$ Uplands (=? front of the country)
sal-	foot	down, below	sal-x-de`k*	,
al-	eye, face	to. at	[ts:! eleī-t'k' my eye]	$al$ -s. $\bar{o}^u ma$ 'l to the mountain
			[li'ugw-ax-dek' my face	
$d\bar{\imath}i^{\varepsilon}al$ -	forehead (= above eye)		$d\overline{\imath}i^{\epsilon}a$ `l-t' $k$ °	$dar{\imath}i^{arepsilon}a'lda$ at his forehead
gwenha-u-	nape (=neck under)		gwenha-u-x-de`k`	gwenha-udē at my nape

The last two are evidently compounded; the first of  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - above and al- eye, face, the second of gwen-neck and probably adverbial prefix ha-u- under. The noun hau-x- woman's private parts may possibly be connected with this prefix ha-u-, though, in view of the fact that ha- appears as the incorporated form of the noun, it seems more probable that the resemblance in form and meaning is accidental. It is possible that other rarer body-part prefixes occur, but those listed are all that have been found.

In not a few cases, where the body-part prefix evidently has neither objective nor instrumental meaning, it may yet be difficult to see a clearly local idea involved. This is apt to be the case particularly

with many intransitive verbs, in which the share of meaning contributed by the body-part prefix is apparent enough but where the logical (syntactic) relation of its content to that of the verb proper is hardly capable of precise definition. Thus, from  $yowo'^{\varepsilon}$  He is are formed by means of body-part prefixes:

 $al^{-\varepsilon}yowo'^{\varepsilon}$ he-eye-is, i. e., he looks 62.6  $d\bar{a}^{a-\varepsilon}yowo'^{\varepsilon}$ he-ear-is, i. e., he listens, pays attention 96.9  $b\bar{a}^{a}-gel^{-\varepsilon}yowo'^{\varepsilon}$ he-up-breast-is, i. e., he lies belly up 140.5

In these cases it is obviously impossible, yowo- being an intransitive verb not implying activity, to translate al-,  $d\bar{a}^a$ -, and gel- as instrumentals (with the eye, ear, breast); nor is there any clear idea of location expressed, though such translations as at the eye, ear, breast would perhaps not be too far fetched. In many verbs the body-part prefix has hardly any recognizable meaning, but seems necessary for idiomatic reasons. In a few cases prefixes seem to interchange without perceptible change of meaning, e. g., al- and dak in:

al $d\bar{e}mxigam$  we shall assemble (186.7) dak' $d\bar{e}mxia^{u\bar{e}}t$ ' people (indef.) will assemble (136.11)

Where two body-part prefixes occur in a verb form, they may either both retain their original concrete significance, the first prefix being generally construed as object, the second as instrument (e. g., s·al-ɛ̃ī-lats!agi'ɛn i-foot-hand-touch-him, i. e., i touch his foot with MY hand); or the first prefix may have its secondary local significance, while the second is instrumental in force (e. g., de-ɛ̃ī-wī'igiɛ̃n i-front-hand-spread-it, i. e., i spread it out); or both prefixes may have secondary local or indefinite significance (e. g., gwel-ge'l-ɛ̃yowoɛ̃ he-leg-breast-is, i. e., he faces away from him); rarely do we find that two body-part prefixes are concrete in significance and absolutely coordinated at the same time (see footnote to 12 below).

To illustrate the various uses of the body-part prefixes it seems preferable to cite examples under each separate prefix rather than to group them under such morphologic headings as objective, instrumental, and local, as by the former method the range of usage taken up by the various prefixes is more clearly demonstrated. The examples are in each case divided into two groups: (à) literal signification (objective, instrumental, or local) and (b) general adverbial (local) signification.

#### 1. dak'-

## (a) HEAD, WITH HEAD, IN HEAD:

dak' $ts/ay\tilde{a}p'de^{\varepsilon}$  I washed my head (literally, I washed in my head

dak't'bā'agamt' he tied together (their head hair) 27.1

dak'īlats!agi'ɛn I touched top of his head

dak'hagāīt'e<sup>e</sup> I felt thrill in my head (as when sudden cold tremor goes through one)

aldak'sāamsa'm he bumped (with) his head against it 79.7 dak'k'iwi'k'auk'waan I brandish it over my head

### (b) ON TOP OF, ABOVE:

dak't'gū'ubasn I put rounded scooped-out object (like hat or canoe) on top (of head) (61.9)

dak't'ek!e'xade<sup>e</sup> I smoke (literally, I raise [sc., tobaccosmoke] over [one's head]) (96.23)

dak'limīmxgwat' it (i. e., tree) falls on you (108.12)

dak'wāaga'ɛn I finish it (literally, I bring it on top) (110.17) wili dak'yāangwa'ɛn I pass house (?literally, I go with house above me) (150.8)

dak' $dah\bar{a}^a li'^{\epsilon}n$  I answer him (61.6; 180.18)

dak't!emēxik' we assembled together (43.9; 136.11)

dak'hene eda' en I wait for him

The last three or four examples can hardly be said to show a transparent use of dak'-. Evidently the meaning of the prefix has become merged in the general verbal content, becoming unrecognizable as such; cf. under in English understand, underso.

### 2. da-, de-

It seems possible that we have here two distinct prefixes to begin with, da- inside of mouth (cf. dats!ayāp' he washed his mouth) and de- lips (cf. deets!ayāp' he washed his lips and noun dee-x- lips), from the second of which developed the general local significance of in front; contrast also hada't'-gwa in his own mouth with dēt'gwa in front of himself. The strict delimitation of the two, however, is made difficult by the fact that da-, alone in this respect among non-radical verbal elements, undergoes palatal ablaut (thus becoming de-) whenever the stem shows a palatal vowel, whether primary or itself due to ablaut; observe also the stem-change from da- to de- in hada't'gwa 170.2 and hadedē in my mouth. These

apparently secondary de- prefixes will be listed together with and immediately following the da- prefixes, while the true, chiefly local, de-, (da)- prefixes will be put by themselves.

( $a^1$ ) da-, (de-) mouth, in mouth, with mouth, lips, teeth, tongue:

|da<sup>c</sup>ogoīhi he gave him to eat (lit., he mouth-gave him) (186.25) |de<sup>c</sup>üqü's i he gave me to eat 186.2

dat!aya'iɛ he went to get something to eat 75.9

 $dada'k'd\bar{a}^ak'$  sharpen your teeth! 126.18; 128.23

dats!ala'ts!ilien I chew it

aldat!ele't!ili<sup>ε</sup>n I lick it

dalats!agi'en I taste it (literally, I mouth-touch it)

aldap'õp'iwien I blow at it (194.1)

da $dama'^{\varepsilon}x$  he was out of wind 26.5

dasmayama'en I smile

 $hada^{\epsilon}yowo'^{u}da^{\epsilon}$  (creek) going into (river) (literally, in-mouthbeing)

 $\{dal\tilde{o}^ul^{\varepsilon} \text{ he lied (literally, he mouth-played) } 110.23; 156.14 \ | del\tilde{u}nhixi \text{ he lied to me}$ 

dayuwo's he suddenly stopped (singing, talking) (literally, he mouth-started, as in fright) 138.23

 $\int dak' dah \bar{a}^a li'^{\epsilon} n$  I answer him (180.18)

 $dak'deh\tilde{e}lsi$  he answers me

 $(a^2)$ :

he edele'lek!i in I finished (story, talking) 50.4

delümü'sgade<sup>e</sup> I tell truth (184.3)

dexebena't' you said it (literally, you mouth-did it) 14.10; 15.6 aldets'!ü'lük!i<sup>ɛ</sup>n I suck it

dedets:!ü'lük!i<sup>e</sup>n I kiss her (first de- as object, her lips; second de- as instrument, with my lips)

dehememi'en I taste it (cf. \(\bar{\bar{\chi}}\)-hemem- wrestle)

ba-idehenena't' you are through eating (literally, you are out-mouth-done) (136.16)

deligia'ldaen I fetch it for him to eat (130.9)

dehe'yek!i<sup>ε</sup>n I left food over

da- can not stand before  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, because of the palatal timbre of the latter. Examples of  $de^{\bar{\imath}}\bar{\imath}$ -:

de [īda'mk!ink' it will get choked

de  $\bar{i}$  lats!  $agi'^{\epsilon}n$  I touched his mouth (de - da- as object;  $\bar{i}$ - as instrument. Contrast above da-lats!  $agi'^{\epsilon}n$  I tasted it, with da- as instrument)

Similarly other palatal non-radical elements cause a change of da- to de-:

de-his-gulu-gwa' $^{\varepsilon}$ n I want it in my mouth (=I desire to eat [his=trying])

(b)  $de_{-}(da_{-})$  in front, ahead, at door of house:

de ip'owo'k' he bent it

bāade'eyeweya'k'w he started traveling again (literally, he up-ahead-went-again-with it) 22.4; 24.9; 25.6

dewiliwa'lsi she is fighting me 27.3

deegwidi'k'w he stuck (threw) it into (fire) 27.8

dek'iwi'k'auk'wa<sup>e</sup>n I brandish it before my face (172.12)

gasa'lhi de'hits!āaga's fast stepper (literally, quickly aheadstepper)

ba-ide di'nixia they marched by in regular order (literally, they out-ahead-stretched) 144.14

 $\mathrm{d}\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}w\bar{\imath}'^{i}gi^{\varepsilon}n$  I spread it out (120.1)

 $t'g\bar{a}^a$  de'hi  $k!iya'k'i^\varepsilon$  if the world goes on (literally, world ahead-goes-if) 146.4

damats!a'k' he put it point foremost (into their eyes) 27.8

As in the case of dak'-, so also here, not a few forms occur in which the meaning of the prefix da-, de- is far from being clearly in evidence:

dat!agã\*n I build a fire (96.17)
{aldatc!u'lūu\*k' he caught fire 98.3
{aldetc!ü'lūu\*xi I caught fire degülü'k!alx it glows (142.1); 188.15
aldat'guyū'i\*si (fire) blisters my face (25.11)
de\*īt'a'mak!i\*n I put out the fire dat'ama'\*x the fire goes out dat!abaga'\*n I finish it (176.6)
dasgayana'\*n I lie down

As the first seven of these examples show, da-, de- sometimes imply a (probably secondary) reference to fire.

#### 3. dāa-

(a) EAR, WITH EAR (referring to hearing), IN EAR, CHEEK, SIDES OF HEAD:

dāats!ayāp' he washed his ear dāsīts!ama'k' he squeezed his ears dāsīlats!agi'sn I touched his ear, cheek dāsasagani'sn I heard it (55.3; 108.16) dāsadāsgi'sn I am able to hear it (literally, I can ear-find it) (100.12)

§ 36

 $d\bar{a}^a le^e lagwa'^{\epsilon} n$  I listen to him (55.1; 96.2; 146.5)

dāats:!ēmxde I hear big noise 90.21

 $an\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} ge \, d\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon} yowo^{\varepsilon}$  he did not listen to it (literally, he not there ear-was) 96.9

dāasgek!eīhasn I kept listening (102.3)

dā yehèī he went where he heard (noise of people singing or gambling) 106.10.

dāadele'p'i he stuck it across his ear

dāadalaga'mt' he made holes in his ears

 $d\bar{\imath}^i d\bar{a}^a t^* b e'^{e\bar{\epsilon}} k^* t^* b agams$  they had their hair tied on sides of head  $(d\bar{\imath}^i d\bar{a}^a$ - probably as incorporated phrase, over ears) 142.17  $d\bar{a}^{\bar{\epsilon}} b o' t' b i d i^{\bar{\epsilon}} n$  I pull out his hair (from side of head) (194.7)

### (b) ALONG, ON SIDE:

wi'laū dā awat' bā' agamdinaε arrows shall be tied along (their length) with it (i. e., sinew) 28.1

### 4. s·in- nose, in nose, with nose:

s·in<sup>ε</sup>īgile'<sup>ε</sup>sgwa he scratched his own nose 14.11; 15.7 s·int!ayagi'<sup>ε</sup>n I smell it (literally, I nose-find it) (160.20) s·indalaga'mt' he made holes in septum (cf. under dā<sup>a</sup>-) 22.1 s·inlō'<sup>u</sup>k'i he stuck it into nose s·inde<sup>e</sup>le' p' gwa he stuck it up into his own nose s·ingeya'n he turned away his nose s·inyuwo'<sup>ε</sup>s he dodged with his nose (as when fly lights; cf. under da-)

s·int'ūwūk'de<sup>e</sup> I feel warm in my nose s·inxi'nī'xanp'de<sup>e</sup> I sniff s·inwilī'ik'ap'de<sup>e</sup> I blow my nose als·inlō'uxa<sup>e</sup>n they meet each other (24.12)

#### 5. gwen-

#### (a) NECK:

gwensgō'udaɛn I cut his neck (144.2, 3, 5, 22) gwents!ayaga'ɛn I washed his neck ha-ugwenyunu'ɛyiniɛn I swallow it greedily (cf. 126.10) gwenlō'uk'i he stuck it in his throat (cf. under s·in-) 25.4 gwenɛīlats!agi'ɛn I touched back of his neck gwenwayanagānhi he swung his knife over their necks 144.2

## (b) BACK, BEHIND:

gwe'n<sup>ε</sup>alyowo<sup>ε</sup> he looked back gwenyewēt'e<sup>ε</sup> I went back (152.13; 188.19) gwe'nliwila<sup>uε</sup> he looks back (on his tracks) 59.14; 94.9 gwenhegwā'agwanhi he related it to him 17.11

In gwena-ia'es Good singer, the part played by the prefix is not clear.

#### 6. $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, in hand, with hand

No body-part prefix, except perhaps al-, is used with such frequency as  $\bar{\imath}$ -, the scrupulousness with which verbs implying action with the hand incorporate it seeming at times almost pedantic. Only a small selection out of the great number of occurrences need here be given:

īts!ayãp' he washed his hand  $\bar{1}p!\bar{i}^{i}n\bar{o}'^{u}k'wa^{\varepsilon}n$  I warm my hands wila'u 'ihoyodagwa' in I dance with arrow in hand nãx Thele lagwa' n I sing with pipe in hand  $\bar{i}q\bar{i}'^{i}na$  he took it 15.1; 31.8; 44.8; 47.9  $ik'w\bar{a}'^aqwi^{\varepsilon}n$  I woke him up 16.4  $\bar{i}gaxagixi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I scratch him īgis·igis·i'<sup>ε</sup>n I tickle him īhegwe'hak'wnaen I am working  $xa^{\varepsilon}$ īts:!iwi't' he split it open 26.6 iheme'm he wrestled with him 26.11; 27.10,11  $\bar{i}yon\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}k'$  he pulled it  $\bar{i}guyu'^{\varepsilon}k'$  she pushed her 55.14 s·elēk'w īlū'pxaqwank' she shall pound with acorn pestle 55.9  $he^{e\varepsilon}$  ileme'  $\varepsilon k$ ' he killed them off 55.1; 144.6.  $it!a'ut!iwi^{\epsilon}n$  I caught hold of her (29.12; 140.15) īt'wīiyili'<sup>ε</sup>n I make it whirl up al i julu vili in I rub it īt'qwanye'eqit' you enslaved her 16.14

In some cases one does not easily see the necessity for its use:  $w\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}t'ge'ye^{\varepsilon}xi$  they are round about me (48.5)  $al\bar{\imath}wul\bar{\imath}'^{u\varepsilon}xbi$  he ran away from you

## 7. $x\bar{a}^{a}$ -, (xa-)

(a) BACK, WAIST:

xā\*ts!ayāp' he washed his back  $p!\bar{\imath}^i$  xā\*dat'guy $\bar{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}$ sgwa his back got blistered 25.11 xā\* $\bar{\imath}^i$ lats!agi'\*n I touched his back xā\* $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ n $\bar{\jmath}'^i$ uk'wa he warmed his back 188.20 xā\* $\bar{\imath}^i$ lā'da\*n I put (belt) about my waist

xāadant'gīilt'ga'lhi he broke it with rock 24.4 xāat'be'ek't'bagams it is all tied together 27.13 xāasalt'gwe'lt'gwili he broke it by stepping on it 31.4, 5 xāabeemk!õut'k!idien I broke it with stick

In xahege'hak'na<sup>e</sup>n i breathe (79.2) and xahuk!u'hak'na<sup>e</sup>n i breathe, the xa- may refer to the heaving motion up from the waist.

### 8. dīi-

#### (a) BACK:

The local uses of  $x\bar{a}^a$ - and  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - (in Middle, between, and above, respectively) would indicate that, in their more literal signification, they refer respectively to the lower back about the waist and the upper back, though no direct information was obtained of the distinction.

 $d\bar{\imath}^i ts! ay\tilde{a}p$  he washed himself in back of body  $d\bar{\imath}^i h\tilde{a}x$  his back is burning  $d\bar{\imath}^i t' b\bar{\sigma}^u k! a' lx de^\varepsilon$  I have warts on my back 102.20  $d\bar{\imath}^i d\bar{u}^u gwa'nk'$  she will wear it (i. e., skirt) 55.9

### (b) ABOVE, ON TOP:

dī<sup>i</sup>he'liya sleeping on board platform 13.2 dīdā<sup>a</sup>t'bā'<sup>a</sup>gamt'gwide<sup>ɛ</sup> I tie my hair on sides of my head (see under dā<sup>a</sup>-) (140.11; 142.17) dī<sup>i</sup><sup>ɛ</sup>algelegala'mda<sup>ɛ</sup>n I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2) dī<sup>e</sup>uyu'ts!amda<sup>ɛ</sup>n I fool him (aorist uyuts!- laugh) dī<sup>i</sup>hinxō'<sup>u</sup>gi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I scare him dī<sup>i</sup>mãs (earth) is lit up (78.1) dī<sup>i</sup>hili<sup>i</sup>gwa'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I am glad 22.2

 $d\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - is used in quite a number of verbs of mashing or squeezing, the primary idea being probably that of pressing down on top of something:

dī'p'ili'p'ili'n I squash (yellow-jackets) (74.3); contrast gel-bēm-p'ili'p'ili'n I whip him on his breast (literally, I-breast-stick-whip-him) (cf. 76.1, 2, 3)

dī<sup>i</sup>t!iyī<sup>i</sup>si'<sup>ε</sup>n I mash them

ba-idīgwibī'ik'wap' it popped all around 27.14

dī't'gumu't'gimiën I squeezed and cracked many insects (such as fleas)

In many cases, as in some of the forms given above, the primary signification of  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - is greatly obscured. It is not at all certain but that we are at times (as in  $d\bar{\imath}^\epsilon uyu'ts!amda^\epsilon n$ ) dealing really with the phonetically similar prefix  $d\bar{\imath}^\epsilon$ - rear.

### 9. gel-

(a) BREAST, WITH BREAST (mental activities):

gelts!ayāp' he washed his breast gelsīlats!agi'sn I touched his breast

 $b\bar{a}^a$ ge'l $^{\varepsilon}yo$  lie down with belly up! (lit., up-belly-be!), 140.4 gel $guluqwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I desire, want it 32.5, 6, 7

gelhewe'hau he thought 44.11; 124.3; 142.20

gellohoigwa'<sup>e</sup>n I avenge him (apparently=I breast-die-with him) (146.8; 148.3)

gelt!aya'k' they thought of it (see under s-in- and  $d\bar{a}^a$ ) 152.10 gel $yal\tilde{a}xaldi^{\varepsilon}n$  I forgot him (lit., I breast-lost him) (77.10) gelts-!aya'mxamk' she hid (certain facts) from us 158.7 geldulu'k' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I am getting lazy

gelheye'x he is stingy (literally, he breast-leaves-remaining = keeps surplus to himself) 196.8

## (b) FACING:

gelt!ana'hi she pushed him (?literally, she held him [away] facing her)¹ (25.10)

gelwayan he slept with her (literally, he caused her to sleep facing him) 26.4; (108.3; 190.2)

wa't'gwan gel<sup>e</sup>yowo'<sup>e</sup> they faced each other (literally, to each other they breast-were) 26.15

gelk!iyi'& he turned around so as to face him 170.2

### 10. dīε-

## (a) ANUS:

 $di^{\epsilon}ts!ay\tilde{a}p'$  he washed his anus  $ba-idi^{\epsilon}t'gats!a't'gisi^{\epsilon}n$  I stick out my anus (164.19; 166.1)  $di^{\epsilon}h\tilde{a}x$  his anus is burning 94.13

dī<sup>e</sup>hagāīt'e<sup>e</sup> I feel ticklish in my anus (as though expecting to be kicked) (cf. under dak'-) 166.1

 $di^{\epsilon}x\bar{o}'^{u}s$  (food) is spilling out from his anus, (acorns) spill out from hopper 94.2, 4, 5

## (b) IN REAR, BEHIND:

dī<sup>ɛ</sup>salyomo'hin I shall catch up with him in running be e dī'ɛk!iyi'ɛk' afternoon came (lit., sun went in rear) (124.15) daɛo'l dīɛhiwiliūt'eɛ I ran close behind

As happens more or less frequently with all body-part prefixes, the primary meaning, at least in English translation, of  $d\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ -seems lost sight of at times:

 $abaid\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}yow\bar{o}'^{u}da^{\epsilon}$  coming into house to fight (abai- into house;  $yow\bar{o}'^{u}da^{\epsilon}$  being) 24.14

<sup>1</sup> Though perhaps better SHE HELD HIM WITH HER BREAST, taking gcl- as instrument.

p/a-idī·hana'·s it stopped (wind, rain, snow, hail) 152.16 In a number of verbs dī·- expresses: felling, digging under, or erecting a tree or stick, the fundamental notion being probably that of activity at the butt end of a long object:

• di<sup>e</sup>sgot!õlha bēm he was always cutting down trees 108.8 di<sup>e</sup>k!olola'n (tree) was dug under 48.5 di<sup>e</sup>sgoūyū'uk!in (tree) was made to fall by being dug under

48.7, 8, 12
p!a-idī<sup>e</sup>lō'<sup>u</sup>gwa<sup>e</sup>n I make (stick, pestle) stand up (by placing it on its butt end) (116.18; 176.1, 2)

p!a-idī<sup>e</sup>sqimi'sqam they set (house posts) down into ground

#### 11. ha-

(a) WOMAN'S PRIVATE PARTS:
hats!ayãp' she washed her private parts
hats!agi'ta he touched her private parts
hatswesga'hak'w she spread apart her legs 26.4

(b) IN:

(dãnxdagwa) hats!ayãk' he washed inside (of his ear)
(dēxda) halō'uk'i he stuck it into (his mouth)
(s·inīxda) hadele'p'i he stuck it up into (his nose)
halohōn he caught them in trap (literally, he caused them
to die in) (100.8)

 $(gw\tilde{a}n)$  hat!ülügwa' $^{\varepsilon}n$  I follow in (trail) (96.8,9)

 $hal\bar{o}'^u\bar{k}'$  she put on (her dress), they put on (their skins, garments) 160.6

ha $\bar{\imath}h\ddot{u}'l\bar{u}^uhal$  they skinned them 160.5 haya-ut'ge'nets! $a^{\bar{\imath}}n$  I put on (my vest)

As the last examples show ha-sometimes conveys the special notion of putting on or taking off a skin or garment.

## 12. gwel-

- (a) LEG, IN LEG, WITH LEG: gwelts!ayãp' he washed his legs gwelle'yeesde I am lame gwellō'uek'w put on (your leggings)! gwelε̄iwi'en I beat him in running (lit., I-leg-left-him) (184.14) gwelsalt!eyēsnaen I have no fat in my legs and feet 102.22
- (b) UNDER, AWAY FROM VIEW:
   gwelmats!a'k' they put (food) away (sc., under platforms)
   124.22; (132.8)
   gwelge'l<sup>ε</sup>yowō<sup>u</sup>da<sup>ε</sup> he having his back to him (literally, facing him away from view) 122.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This form is an excellent example of the rather uncommon coordinate use of two body-part prefixes (gwel- LEG and sal- FOOT).

<sup>§ 36</sup> 

#### 13. la-

(a) FRONT OF BODY (probably belly as contrasted with gel-BREAST):

lats!ayãp' he washed himself in front of body

(b) BURST, RIP OPEN:

 $lat'b\bar{a}'^ax$  it burst 24.17

lasalt bā'agien I burst it with my feet (140.22)

la wayat bā'agi n I rip it open with knife (waya knife, as incorporated instrument)

#### 14. sal-

(a) FOOT, WITH FOOT:

sal $lats lagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I stepped on it (instrument sal-: I foot-touched it) (196.18)

sal $\bar{\imath}$ lats! $agi'^{\bar{\imath}}n$  I touched his foot (object sal-; instrument  $\bar{\imath}$ -) sal $ts!ay\bar{a}p'$  he washed his feet

salxugī they are standing 63.2

 $he^{\epsilon \epsilon}$ salt'gũn kick him off! (24.17)

alsalt' $b\bar{a}'^a k'$  he kicked him 86.16,17,18

gelbam salgwi't'gwat' kick it way up!

salyuwo's he suddenly lifted up his foot (as when frightened) (cf. under da- and s-in-)

sal $p!\bar{\imath}^i n\bar{o}'^u k'w a^{\varepsilon} n$  I warmed my feet

## 15. al- face, with eye, to, at

This is in all respects the most difficult prefix in regard to the satisfactory determination of its exact meaning. In a large number of cases it seems to involve the idea of sight, not infrequently adding that concept to a form which does not in itself convey any such implication. In most of the verbforms, however, many of which have already been given under other prefixes, the al-seems to have no definitely ascertainable signification at all. In some cases it may be considered merely as an empty element serving as a support for a post-positive modal particle. For example:

al-his-gulugwa'en I am desirous of something

where his trying can not occupy an initial position

al-di-yok!oya't' did you know him?

Here alyok!oya't' in itself hardly differs in content from yok!oya't' YOU KNEW HIM. The most satisfactory definition

that can be given of al- in its more general and indefinite use is that it conveys the idea of motion out from the sphere of the person concerned, whether the motion be directed toward some definite goal (object) or not; an approximate translation in such cases would be to, at. The correctness of this interpretation is borne out by the fact that al- at times replaces a more definite local phrase, as though it were a substitute for it, of the same general formal but weaker material content.

 $w\bar{a}^a da \ l\bar{o}^u gwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  to-him I-thrust-it, where  $w\bar{a}^a da$  definitely expresses a local pronominal idea to, at him.

### Compare:

al $l\bar{o}'^ugwi^{\varepsilon}n$  I stretched it out to him

where the exact local definition of the action is not so clearly expressed; the direct object of the verb being here not the object thrust, but the person aimed at, while the indirectness of the action is interpreted by means of al- as an adverbial or local modification of the verbal content. The change of vowel in the ending, a—i, is closely connected, as we shall later see, with this change of "face" in the verb. The first form may be literally translated as TO-HIM I-IT-THRUST; the second, as I-HIM-TO-THRUST (IT). Similarly, in aleilats!agi'en I Touched HIS BODY, the al- is probably best considered as a general directive prefix replacing the more special prefixes (such as sal-, sin-, and so on) that indicate the particular part of the body affected, or, as one might put it, the exact limit of motion. The use of al- in local phrases shows clearly its general local significance: als  $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  AT, TO THE MOUNTAIN;  $qa^{\varepsilon}a'l$  to that, as postposition equivalent to to, for, from.

## (a) FACE, EYE:

al $^{\varepsilon}\bar{o}^{u}dini'^{\varepsilon}n$  I look around for him (cf.  $\bar{o}^{u}da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I hunt for him) (92.27)

• al $x\bar{\imath}'^ig^{i\epsilon}n$  I see, look at him (- $x\bar{\imath}^ig$ - never occurs alone) 186.7; 188.11.

algaya'n he turned his face alyebebi'en I showed it to him (77.8) alyowōt'e I looked (cf. yowōt'e I was) (64.3) alts!ayaga'en I washed his face (64.5)  $m\bar{a}nx$  al $n\bar{u}'uk$ 'wa he painted his (own) face alt!aya'k' he found, discovered it (literally, he eye-found it; cf. under s·in-, dāa-, and gel-) 47.10; 92.27; 194.13 alsaalāaliuvi'sa I looked at them (moving head slightly to

alsgalāaliwi'en I looked at them (moving head slightly to side)

alt' $b\bar{o}^u k! a' lx de^{\varepsilon}$  I have pimples on my face (cf. 102.20) alt'wap!a't'wap'na^{\varepsilon}n I blink with my eyes 102.20

alwe'k!alaen I shine

 $x\bar{a}^{\epsilon}a'lt'anahi$  they watched it (literally, they-between-eyeheld it;  $x\bar{a}$ - $^{\epsilon}al$  as incorporated local phrase[?]) 136.8

### (b) TO, AT:

It is at least possible, if not very probable, that al- to, at, and al- eye, face, are two entirely distinct prefixes. As many preceding examples have incidentally illustrated the local use of al-, only a few more need be given:

alp'oūp'auhi he blew on it 15.1 alhūyūxde<sup>ɛ</sup> I go hunting (42.1; 58.14; 70.2; 126.21) algesegasa'lt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> I was washing alheme'k' they met him 24.11 al<sup>ɛ</sup>īxlep!e'xlap' he mashed it up into dough-like mass 94.11 al<sup>ɛ</sup>īts'!ō'udi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I touch, reach it alse' eqi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I bowed to him (172.10)

### 16. $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}al$ - forehead:

dī<sup>ic</sup>alts!ayāp' he washed his forehead dī<sup>ic</sup>algelegala'ms he tied his hair up into top-knot 172.2 dī<sup>c</sup>alk'ā'ap'gwa he put (dust) on his forehead 136.28

## 17. gwenha-u- NAPE:

gwenha'-uts!ayaga<sup>e</sup>n I shoot off nape of neck gwenha-ut'be' eqams he has his hair tied in back of his head

It will have been noticed that several of the body-part prefixes have developed special uses that almost entitle them, at times, to being considered verbal in function. Thus  $x\bar{a}^{a}$ - BACK, BETWEEN has been seen to develop, from its latter local use, the more strictly verbal one of cutting, splitting, breaking, or rending in two; the ideas of BETWEEN and of DIVISION IN TWO are naturally closely associated. The specialized semiverbal uses of some of the prefixes may be thus listed:

da-, de- activity in reference to fire (burn, set on fire, glow)

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - rend in two (cut, split, break)

 $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - crushing activity (mash, squeeze)

 $d\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ - fell, erect (long object)

ha- dress, undress

la- burst, rip open al- look, see

The resemblance between this use of the Takelma body-part prefixes and the Siouan use of verb prefixes denoting instrumental activities (e. g., Ponka ba- by pressing with the hand, ma- by cutting, ¢a-with the mouth, by blowing) is not far to seek, although in Takelma the development seems most plausibly explained from the local, rather than the instrumental, force of the prefixes. Neither the employment of Takelma body-part nor of Siouan instrumental prefixes with verb stems is in any morphologic respect comparable to the peculiar composition of initial and second-position verb stems characteristic of Algonkin and Yana. The same general psychic tendency toward the logical analysis of an apparently simple activity into its component elements, however, seems evident in the former as well as in the latter languages.

#### § 37. LOCAL PREFIXES

The purely local prefixes, those that are not in any way associated with parts of the body, are to be divided into two groups:

- (1) Such as are used also in the formation of noun and pronoun local phrases or of postpositions, these being in that regard closely allied to the body-part prefixes in their more general local use; and
- (2) Such as are employed strictly as verbal prefixes, and are incapable of entering into combination with denominating elements. The following table gives all the common prefixes of both groups, examples of noun or pronoun local phrases being added in the last column:

Prefix.	Translation.	Local phrase.
han-	across, through	hanwarga'n across the creek
ha-u-	under, down	hawande under me
$he^{e^{\varepsilon}}$ -	away, off	hees so ouma'l beyond the mountain
dal-	away into brush, among, between	dan gada'l among rocks
hāεya-	on both sides	$h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}yad\tilde{e}$ on both sides of, around me
hāa€-	yonder, far off	
me <sup>ε</sup> −	hither	
wī-	around	
hawi-	in front, still	
wa-	together	
bāa-	up	
ba-i-	out, out of house	
p!a-i-	down	
aba-i-	in house, into house	
bam-	up into air	
xam-	in river	

Of these, the first five belong to the first group, the last nine to the second. The position of  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$  and  $me^{\varepsilon}$  is somewhat doubtful; but the fairly evident etymological connection of the former with  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}ya$ and the correlative relation in form and meaning between  $me^{\epsilon}$  and heee, make it probable that they are to be classed with the first group. While some of these prefixes (such as dal- and han-) are inconceivable as separate adverbial elements, others (particularly aba-i, which is apparently composed of demonstrative element a-THIS + ba-i) are on the border-land between true prefix and independent adverb.  $me^{\varepsilon}$  and  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ , though they are never used alone, stand in close etymological relation to a number of local adverbs (such as emee HERE and ge THERE), which also, though not so rigidly as to justify their being termed prefixes, tend to stand before the verb. The difference between local prefix and adverb is one of degree rather than of fundamental morphologic traits; in any case, it is rather artificial to draw the line between  $me^{\epsilon}$  in such forms as  $me^{\varepsilon}y\tilde{e}\tilde{u}$  come back! and ge in, e. g.,  $ge^{\varepsilon}yowo'^{\varepsilon}$  there it is. Sometimes, though not frequently, two local prefixes, neither of them a body-part element, occur in a single verb form. See, e. g., p!ai-hau- under 2 below, also  $abai-b\bar{a}^{a}$ - 62.1.

## 1. han- through, across:

hanyada't'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I swim across hangwidi'k'<sup>w</sup> he threw it across 120.22 han<sup>\varepsilon</sup>wa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>alx\varepsilon'ik' he looked through it hanyewe'<sup>i\varepsilon</sup> he went back across 178.16 gw\varepsilon^n-hansg\varepsilon'usde<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I lie stretched across the trail (literally, I-road-across-cut) (148.8)

## 2. ha-u- under, down:

ha-ugwenyut!u'yidi\*n I swallow it down greedily, making grunting noise (126.10)
ha-usāk'\*u he paddled him down river (bā\*a- up river)
ha-uyowo't'e\* I sweat (literally, I-under-am)
ei p!a-iha'-ut'gū\*upx canoe upset 60.8
ha-uhana'\*s it stopped (raining) 196.8

## 3. $he^{e\varepsilon}$ off, away:

he  $^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}leme'^{\varepsilon}k'$  he killed them off 14.13; 110.21; 144.6 he  $^{\varepsilon}sg\bar{\sigma}'uda^{\varepsilon}n$  I cut it off (44.4); 72.10; (92.14,16) he  $^{\varepsilon}gwidi'k'w$  he threw it away he  $^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}uk'wa$  he went away from him (23.12; 146.18) he  $^{\varepsilon}salt'g\bar{\imath}nt'gini^{\varepsilon}n$  I kick him off (24.17)

he<sup>es</sup> $\bar{\imath}h\bar{u}'lup!i^sn$  I beat off bark (with stick) he<sup>es</sup> $\bar{\imath}k'ap!a'k'ibi^sn$  I chipped them off (92.3) he<sup>es</sup> $w\bar{a}^aga'^sn$  I buy it (literally, I carry it off) (176.17) he<sup>es</sup> $t'guy\bar{u}'^{is}$ s it is blistered

## 4. dal- into brush, among:

dal $yewe'^{i\varepsilon}$  he ran off into brush 14.6; 110.10 dal $gwidi'k'^w$  he threw it into brush dal $p'\bar{o}'^udi^{\varepsilon}n$  I mix it with it (178.5) dal $xabili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he jumped between them 106.20

## 5. $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}ya$ - on both sides:

hā'yagini''k' they passed each other hā'yawat!emēxiau'' they assemble coming from both sides 144.23

#### 6. $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ far off:

hā'aɛ́yeweiɛ́ they returned going far off 146.22; (47.4; 188.1) hāʿɛ́xdā'axdagwaɛ̃n I threw something slippery way off

This prefix is evidently identical with the demonstrative stem  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$  seen, e. g., in  $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ga$  that one yonder.

#### 7. $me^{\varepsilon}$ - HITHER:

me<sup>e</sup>gini'<sup>e</sup>k' he came here 146.24 (ge gini'<sup>e</sup>k' he went there 77.7) ha'nme<sup>e</sup>gini<sup>e</sup>k' they come from across (note two local prefixes; hangini<sup>e</sup>k' they go across)

me<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>yè $\bar{u}$  come back! (yè $\bar{u}$  return!) (23.11,12,13,14; 96.5); 59.5 me<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> $hiwili'u^{\epsilon}$  he came running this way

me<sup>e</sup>bēp'xip' come (pl.) and chop for me! 90.16

#### 8. wī- AROUND:

 $w\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}\bar{\imath}t'ge'ye^{\epsilon}xi$  they are surrounding me (48.13; 190.14)  $w\bar{\imath}t'ge'ye^{\epsilon\epsilon}k'i$  they put it round about 176.14

## 9. hawi- in front, still:

{hawiyānt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I go in front hawiyana'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> front dancer hawibaxa'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> still they come, they keep coming 146.1  $b\tilde{o}^u$  hawidegü'lk!alxdā<sup>a</sup> after a while it will blaze up ( $b\tilde{o}^u$  = now)

#### 10. wa- together:

wak!oyõxinik' we go together
wasīts:!o'msk' squeeze (your legs) together! (26.5)
bāawawilīk' he traveled up along (river) (literally, he went up
having it together with him) 21.14
wayānk' he followed him (literally, he went having him together
with him) 23.11

wat!emēxia<sup>u $\varepsilon$ </sup> they are assembling together (110.3); 144.23 wa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>t!oxo'xi he gathered them together 112.6

wat!ilîk'ni she gave them one each 130.4

wāahimi't' he talked to him 59.16; 63.10

da'gaxdek' wasalt'geye't'giyisn I tied it about my head (literally, my-head I-together-to-surround-it)

p!āas wak!eewa'lxqwa snow is whirling around

Sometimes wa- seems to indicate simultaneity of activity, as in:

walā<sup>a</sup>la'uhi she kept twining basket (while talking) 61.5

In many cases the adverbial meaning of wa- is hardly apparent, and one is sometimes in doubt whether to look upon it as the prefix here discussed or to identify it with the instrumental element wa-with, with it; the two may indeed be at bottom identical.

## 11. $b\bar{a}^a$ - UP (55.16; 59.10; 60.11; 63.6,12):

 $b\bar{a}^a dini'^{\epsilon}x$  (clouds) were spread out in long strips (literally, they stretched up) 13.3

 $b\bar{a}^{a}t!ebe't'e^{\varepsilon}$  I get up 186.14; (196.1)

bāawadawaya'k'w he flies up with it

 $b\bar{a}^a y \tilde{a} n k^{\prime w}$  he picked it up 15.9; 24.3; 59.15

k!iyīix bāawõk' smoke comes out (literally, up-arrives) 29.3

 $(d\tilde{a}nxda)$  ba<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> algwili's he turned up (his ear)

(dak'wilī) bāagini'ēk' he went up (on top of house) 30.6

 $b\bar{a}^a s \cdot \bar{a}' \in s$  stand up!

bāayewe'is he got better (literally, he-up-returned) (15.2)

bāahawa'ek' she dipped up (water)

## 12. ba-i- out, out of house, out of water to land, from PLAIN TO MOUNTAIN:

ba-iyewe'is they went out again

ba-ixodo'xat' she took off (her garment) 13.4

ba-isili'xqwa he lands with (boat) 13.5

ba-isãk'w he came to land

ba-i<sup>\$\varepsilon a'lyowo\$\varepsilon\$ he looked outside</sup>

ba-ihimima' En I drive him out

ba-i qwidi'k' he threw it out 92.15,16; (haxiya'dat') ba-iqwidi'k' w he threw it (from in the water) on to land (31.2)

ba-ibiliwa't' you jumped out of house 24.15; (46.6)

(hadedē) ba-iyeweyini'en I took it out (of my mouth) (literally, I-out-caused-it-to-return)

ba-idehenena't' you are through eating (literally, you-out-mouthare-finished) (132.14)

ba-it!ixi'xi he pulled (guts) out 92.17

 $(dak's \cdot \bar{o}^u ma'l)$  ba-iw $\bar{o}k'$  he got up (on the mountain) 124.4; (60.9)

In certain idiomatic turns the primary signification of ba-i- is as good as lost:

(heel-)ba-imats!a'k' he began to sing (lit., he-song-out-put) 102.17 ba-ik!iyi'ɛk' he comes 92.1, 2; 156.24; 168.13

## 13. *p!a-i-* DOWN:

 $p!ai^{\varepsilon}it!ana'hi^{\varepsilon}n$  I held him down p!a-igwidi'k'w he threw it down p!a-iwaya' he went to lie down, to sleep (lit., he down-slept) 25.9 p!a-ilohoīt'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I fell down (literally, I down-died) p!a-iyewe'ie (arrow) fell down back 22.5; 48.14 p!a-i<sup>\$\varepsilon a'</sup>lyowo<sup>\$\varepsilon \text{ he looked down 26.14}</sup> p!aiyowo' they sat down (literally, they down-were) 56.2 p!a-isqaya'pxde<sup>e</sup> I lay down

## 14. aba-i- in house, into house

It would perhaps be best to consider this an independent adverb (demonstrative pronoun a- This + ba-i-, formed analogously to  $eme^{\varepsilon}$  HERE [= demonstrative adverb e- HERE +  $me^{\varepsilon}$ ]); its correlative relation to ba-i- makes it seem advisable to give examples of its occurrence, here:

abai $qini'^{\epsilon}k'$  he went inside 25.8; 27.7,13; 64.3 abai $hiwili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he ran inside 16.12 aba-i $w\tilde{o}k$ ' they went into house 29.6; (44.7); 160.19 aba-iyow $\tilde{o}t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I stay at home abaits! $\bar{a}^a k$ 'ts! $a'^{\epsilon} k$ ' he stepped into house 31.3

#### 15. bam- up into air

This prefix occurs often with preposed elements gel- or dīi- as gelbam- or dīibam-, which would seem to mean respectively WITH BELLY SIDE UP and WITH BACK SIDE UP, OF IN FRONT OF and DIRECTLY OVER one:

bamgwidi'k'w he threw it up gelbamqwidi'k'w he threw it up dîibamqwidi'k'w he threw it up gelbam $s\tilde{a}k^{\prime w}$  he shot it up 22.5 gelbam<sup>e</sup>a'lyowo<sup>e</sup> he looked up gelba'ms'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>ulī he was sitting up (in tree) 48.7

16. xam- in river, into water, from mountain to plain:

xamalts!ayap' he washed himself in river xamqwidi'k'w he threw it into river (33.6); 108.5  $xamhiwili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he ran to river 29.13; 94.16 xa'mhilap'iauk' they became in river (=were drowned) 166.16 xam<sup>e</sup>a'lyowo<sup>e</sup> he looked down from top of mountain 124.4 (contrast p!ai<sup>e</sup>a'lyowo<sup>e</sup> he looked down from ground 26.14)

#### § 38. INSTRUMENTAL wa-

It is somewhat difficult to classify this prefix, as it does not belong either to the body-part or the purely local group. Strictly speaking it should be considered the incorporated form of the demonstrative pronoun in its instrumental function. As was seen above, it may represent an instrumental noun, but, while the noun may itself be incorporated to denote the instrument, this is not the case with the demonstrative pronoun. For example:

ga wede yap!a-wa-dõmhiga $^{\varepsilon}$  that not I-people-with-shall-kill (=I shall not kill people therewith)

In other words, it would seem likely that such a form as ga  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him with that is related to an  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him with it as, e. g., xi  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him with water, to the form  $alxits!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I water-wash him, i. e., the wa-in  $al^{\varepsilon}wats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  is to be regarded as an incorporated ga that, it (such forms as  $*algats!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  have never been found to occur). It will be noticed that the verb-forms with incorporated wa- are normally characterized by a suffixed -i- or -hi-; as soon, however, as the verb loses its instrumental "face," this -i- is replaced by the normal -a-. Thus:

wilau wats!ayagi'en arrow I-shoot -him-with-it (with incorporated wa-, wila'u arrow being outside the verb-structure and in apposition with wa-)

but:

ts!ayaga'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> wi'lau wa' I-shoot-him arrow with (in which also wastands outside the verb-complex, acting as an instrumental postposition to wila'u)

Examples of instrumental wa- are:

(salxdek')sal<sup>e</sup>walats!agi'<sup>e</sup>n I touched him with my foot (literally, my-foot I-foot-with-it-touched-him)

 $(x\bar{\imath}^i)$ wa $^{\varepsilon}\bar{u}^u gwa'nhi$  I drink (water) with it

(yap!a) wat!omomi' $^{\epsilon}n$  I kill (people) with it (but yap!a t!omoma' $^{\epsilon}n$  I kill people)

alwats!eyēk'wide I washed myself with it

ga his dōumia gelwagulugwi'en I try to kill him with that (literally, that trying killing-him I-with-desire-it)

seel-wats!elelamdaen I write with it

 $(\bar{\imath}\bar{u}xde'k')$ wagaya- $iwi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to eat with (my hands)

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Aorist ts/ayag- 8H00T and aorist ts/ayag- WASH are only apparently identical, being respectively formed from stems  $s\bar{a}ag$ - and  $ts/a\bar{a}ig$ -.

(p'im)wasana'hink' they will spear (salmon) with it 28.15 (cf. sana'nk' they will spear it)

Although, as was suggested before, the prefix wa- as instrument may be ultimately identical with the adverbial wa- together (the concepts of doing something with, by means of it and doing something together with it are not very far removed), the two can not be regarded as convertible elements. This is clearly brought out in such forms as  $b\tilde{e}m$  wa $\tilde{e}i$ wat!oxo'xi $\tilde{e}n$  i picked them together with stick. Literally translated, this sentence reads, stick itogether-hand-with-it-picked-them; the first wa- is the adverbial prefix;  $\tilde{i}$ -, the general instrumental idea conveyed by the character of the verb (gather with one's hands); and the second wa-, the incorporated representative of the more specific instrument  $b\tilde{e}m$  stick. If preferred,  $\tilde{i}$ - may be interpreted, though less probably, as a local element ( $-\tilde{i}wa$ - = with it in hand).

# 2. Formation of Verb-Stems (§§ 39, 40)

#### § 39. GENERAL REMARKS

By a verb-stem will be here understood not so much the simplest possible form in which a verb appears after being stripped of all its prefixes, personal elements, tense-forming elements, and derivative suffixes, but rather the constant portion of the verb in all tense and mode forms except the aorist. The verb-stem thus defined will in the majority of cases coincide with the base or root, i. e., the simplest form at which it is possible to arrive, but not always. Generally speaking, the aorist is characterized by an enlargement of the base that we shall term "aorist stem," the other tense-modes showing this base in clearer form; in a minority of cases, however, it is the aorist stem that seems to coincide with the base, while the verb-stem is an amplification of it. Examples will serve to render these remarks somewhat clearer:

Aorist stem	Verb-stem	Probable base
t!omom-	dōum-	dōum- kill
naga-	nāag-	$n\bar{a}ag$ -( $nag$ -) say to
hāal-	hala-	hāal- answer
ōud-	odo-	ōud- hunt for
lohoi-	loho-	loh- die
yuluyal-	yulyal-	yul- rub

By far the larger number of verbal bases are monosyllabic. Where the simplest radical element that can be analyzed out remains dissyllabic (as in dawi- fly, agan-perceive, yimi-lend), the probability is always very great that we have to reckon either with amplifications of the base, or with suffixes that have become so thoroughly amalgamated with the base as to be incapable of separation from it even in formal analysis; in some cases the dissyllabic character of the verb-stem is due to a secondary phonetic reason (thus dawi- is for dawy-, cf. dauy-; while in agan- the second a is inorganic, the real stem thus being \*agn-). Most bases end either in a vowel or, more frequently, in a single consonant; such as end in two consonants (as yalg- dive, somd-boil, bilw- jump) may often be plausibly suspected of containing a petrified suffixed element.

The few examples of verb and agrist stems already given suffice to indicate the lack of simple, thorough-going regularity in the formation of the agrist stem from the base. Given the verb-stem, it is possible only in the minority of cases to foretell the exact form of the aorist stem. Thus, if  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - had followed the analogy of the phonetically parallel  $n\bar{a}^a g$ -, we should have in the agrist not tlomom-, but domo-; similarly, the phonetic similarity of odo- and loho- would lead us to expect an agrist stem  $l\bar{o}^uh$ -, and not lohoi-, for the latter. Nor is it safe to guess the form of the verb-stem from a given agrist stem. Thus, while the agrist lohoi- corresponds to a verb-stem loho-, yewei- corresponds to yèu- RETURN; nagai-, to na- SAY, DO; and k!emèi-, to k!emn- DO, MAKE. Mere phonetic form has, indeed, comparatively little to do with determining the relation of the two stems. This is clearly evidenced by the following cases of homonymous but etymologically distinct bases with corresponding agrist stems.

Verb base	Meaning	Aorist stem
heem-	1. mock	hemeham-
necm-	2. wrestle	hemem-
h.com.	1. work	hegwehagw-
heegw-	2. relate	hegw(h)āagw-, hegwe- hagw-
_	1. be finished	henen-
heen-	2. wait for	henee-
	1. find	t!ayag-
dāag-	2. build fire	t!agāi-

The signification of the verb-stem gives almost no information as to the form of the aorist stem, the various types of aorist formation being each exemplified by a heterogeneous array of verbs, as far as any discernible similarity of meaning is concerned. It is true that, in a comparatively few cases, certain types of aorist formation can be shown to be characteristic of intransitive verbs; but in these the formation of the aorist stem involves the addition of a distinct phonetic element that has every appearance of being a worn-down suffix.

Not the least remarkable feature of tense-formation lies in the fact that the most frequently used of the tense-modes, the aorist (equivalent to immediate future, present, and past), generally shows the derived or amplified form of the base; while the far less important tense-modes, the future, inferential, potential, and present and future imperatives employ the generally more fundamental verb-stem. In its naked form the aorist stem appears as the third person subject third person object aorist transitive. For example:

 $t!om\tilde{o}m$  he killed him naga he said to him  $-h\tilde{a}l$  he answered him  $\bar{o}'ut$  he hunted for him

The bare verb-stem appears as the second person singular (third person object) present imperative intransitive and transitive. For example:

dõum kill him!
odo' hunt for him!
na' say! do!

and as the first element of the periphrastic future, that will later receive treatment.

In striking contrast to the extensive use in Athapascan of distinct and unrelated stems for the singular and plural, only a very few such cases have been discovered in Takelma; and even in these the singular stem may, it seems, also be used in the plural.

Sing. verb-stem	Pl. verb-stem	Sing. form	Pl. form
ṣ·as·- stand	sal-xogw-	$s$ - $as$ - $in\bar{i}$ he stands $b\bar{a}a$ - $s\bar{a}asa$ - $s\bar{a}asa$ - $s\bar{a}se$ - $s\bar{a}s$ - $sa$	sal-xogwī they stand bāasal-xoʻxiginak' (= xog-xag-) we come to a stand
s·u <sup>ε</sup> al-sit	al-xalīi	$\begin{array}{c} s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} w i \overline{l} \overline{u}' e^{\varepsilon} \ (= s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} a \overline{u} -) \\ \text{I am seated} \end{array}$	al-xalīyana'k' we are seated

It is interesting to observe that, while stand and sit are intransitive in the singular, the plural stems  $sal-xog^w$ - and  $al-xal\bar{\imath}^i$ - make transitive forms with a third personal object (-ana'k') first person plural agrist transitive, -i'k' intransitive; cf. t!omomana'k' we kill him, but  $s\cdot as\cdot in\bar{\imath}p'ik'$  we stand and  $s\cdot u^swil\bar{\imath}p'ik'$  we are seated, dwell, stay).

The great majority of verb-stems are either necessarily transitive or intransitive, or are made such by appropriate suffixes. Only a few cases occur of verbs that are both transitive and intransitive, the respective forms being kept distinct only by the varying pronominal suffixes. Such are:

 $moy\bar{u}gw$ -a'n- $t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I am spoiled, and  $moy\bar{u}gw$ -an- $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I spoil him  $lig\bar{\imath}$ -n- $t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I rest, and  $lig\bar{\imath}$ i-n- $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I rest him

 $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}$  they ran away in flight, and  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}$  he sowed, threw them about

Certain forms are alike for both transitive and intransitive; e. g., second person plural subject:  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}wa't'p'$ .

# § 40. TYPES OF STEM-FORMATION

In looking over the many examples of verb and corresponding aorist stems obtained, it was found possible to make out sixteen types of stem-relations. Of this large number of types about half are of frequent occurrence, while of each of the rest but few examples have been found. It is not claimed for a moment that all of these types should be regarded as being exactly on a par, but merely that they have the value of forming a convenient systematization of the somewhat bewildering mass of methods of radical or base changes encountered. It is very probable that some of these are ramifications of others, while some types show more or less petrified suffixes that for some reason or other became specialized in certain tenses. As comparative linguistic material is entirely lacking, however, we can not make a genetic classification of types; a purely descriptive classification must suffice.

In the following table of types of stem-formation, c means consonant; v, vowel; c!, the fortis correspondent of c;  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and so on, other consonants;  $v^v$  denotes pseudo-diphthong; other letters are to be literally interpreted.

Table of Types of Stem-Formation

Type No.	Formula verb-stem	Formula aorist stem	Example verb-stem	Example aorist stem
1	v+c	vv+c	ob- dig up	ōub-
2	v+(c)	v+c+v	yo- be	yowo-
3	$v+c+c_1$	$v+c!+v+c_1$	{ üits!- laugh   masg- put	üyüts!- mats!ag-
4a	vv+c	v+c+v+i	t'āag-ery	t'agai-
4b	v+c+v	v+c+v+i	loho- die	lohoi-
5	v+c+v	vv+c	yana- go	yāan-
6	vv+c!	vv+c	p'ōt!- mix	p'ōud-
7a	$c+vv+c_1$	$c!+v+c_1+v$	deeb- arise	t!ebe-
7 <i>b</i>	$c+vv+c_1$	$c!+v+c_1+\bar{v}+i$	dūugw- wear	t!ūgūi-
8	$c+vv+c_1$	$c! + v + c_1 + v + c_1$	gōul- dig	k!olol-
9	$c+vv+c_1$	$c!+v+y+v+c_1$	däag- find	t!ayag-
10a	$c+v(+c_1)$	$c+v+c(+c_1)$	lõu- play	lõul-
10b	$c+v+c_1$	$c+v+c_1+c(+v)$	sana- fight	saans-
11	$c+v+c_1+c$	$c+v+c_1+v+c$	yawy-talk	yawai-
12	$c+vv+c_1$	$c + vv + c_1 + c + a + c_1$	t!èu- play shinny	t!èut!au-
13a	$c+v+c_1+c+a+c_1$	$c+v+c_1+v+c+a+c_1$	sensan- whoop	senesan-
13b	$c+v+c_1+c_2+a+c_1$	$c+v+c_1+v+c_2+a+c_1$	dült/al- stuff with	dülüt!al-
13c		$c+v+c_1+v+c+c_1$		lobolb- be accustomed to pound (also lobolab-)
14	v+c	v+c+v+n	zeeb- do	xeben-
(15a	_	-īi	s-as-an-stand	s·as·inīi-)
(15b	-as	-īi	dink!as- lie spread out	dink!īi-)
(16	$v+c+c_1+i$	$v+c+v+c_1$	k!alsi - be lean	k!alas-)

Not all forms find an exact parallel in one of the sixteen types here listed. There is a considerable number of more or less isolated cases left, particularly of frequentative or usitative forms, that it is difficult to classify; but on closer examination some at least of these are seen to be secondary developments. Verb-stem al-sqalwal(w)-KEEP LOOKING BY TURNING HEAD SLIGHTLY TO SIDE, as compared to aorist stem al- $sgal\bar{a}^al(aw)$ -, looks anomalous because of its apparently inserted first -w-; but these two forms become explicable as frequentative developments, according to Type 8, of their corresponding simplexes, verb-stem al-sgalw- look by turning head to side and aorist stem al-sgalaw-. It will be convenient to dispose of such anomalous and difficult cases under such headings as allow them to appear as at least comparatively regular formations. It should not be supposed that a particular verb-stem always and necessarily involves a fixed agrist stem in all possible derivations of the verb, though in probably the larger number of cases such a fixed parallelism may be traced. As examples of the occurrence of more than one aorist stem to match a verb-stem may be mentioned:

verb-stem  $-x\bar{\imath}k!$ - see; aorist Type 6  $-x\bar{\imath}^ig$ - and Type 2  $-x\bar{\imath}k!i$ -xasee (without object)

verb-stem yèu- return; aorist intransitive Type 4 yewei-, causative Type 2 yewe<sup>e</sup>-n-, and, according to Type 8, yewew-ald-go back for some one

There are few if any verbs whose verb and a orist stems absolutely coincide. If in nothing else the two differ at least in the quantity of the stem vowel, the aorist stem always tending to show a long vowel. In some cases the two (dissyllabic) stems seem identical in phonetic form because of the persistence of an inorganic a in the second syllable of the verb-stem and the presence of a repeated radical a in the second syllable of the aorist stem. Sometimes only certain of the forms built on the verb-stem exhibit the inorganic a; in such cases the secondary character of the a is directly proven by the forms that lack it. A case in point is:

aorist stem ts:!ayam- hide; verb-stem ts:!ay[a]¹m- and ts:!a-im-Other verbs, however, are phonetically so constituted as to require the presence of the inorganic a in all forms derived from the verbstem. Such are:

aorist stem agan- feel, hear; verb-stem ag[a]n-aorist stem p!ahan- be ripe, done; verb stem p!ah[a]n-

Under such circumstances ambiguous forms may result; e. g.,  $wa^s$ agani't' may be construed either as an aorist (YOU FELT IT) or as a potential (YOU WOULD FEEL IT) derived from the stem ag[a]n. But evidence is not lacking even in these cases to prove the inorganic character of the second a in the non-aorist forms. One test has been already referred to in another connection—the incapability of a secondary diphthong (a diphthong involving an inorganic a) to have a rising accent. Thus:

aorist  $d\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ agañ (-aga'n) he heard it; but imperative  $d\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ ag[a']n hear it!

A second test is the failure of inorganic a to become ablauted to e. Thus:

aorist p!ehen-a'nxi he causes me to be done; but future p!eh[a]n-a'nxink' he will cause me to be done

The various types of stem-formation will now be taken up in the order of their occurrence in the table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Brackets indicate an inorganic element.

Type 1. Verb-stem v+c; aorist  $v^v+c$ . In this type are embraced partly monosyllabic and partly dissyllabic verb-stems that either seem to undergo no change at all in the aorist or merely lengthen the stem-vowel. The number of verbs that follow the type does not seem to be very great. Examples:

Verb-stem

woga'êt' he will arrive (196.20)
oba'n I shall dig it up
yi'lt' copulating 86.5

ūgwa'n I shall drink it (162.17)
hogwana'n I shall make him run
(138.2)
hinêx-nīwa'ês coward 76.5;
(160.19)
wīt'ee I shall travel (178.11)
ttīla'mxadee I shall go fishing
yimi'hin I shall lend it to him
(98.14)
hūli'nt'ee I shall be tired out
hagaīt'ee I shall have a cold thrill
lohona'n I shall cause him to die

Aorist stem

wõuk' he arrived 47.15

ōuba'ɛn I dug it up (48.7)

yīila'ɛn I copulated with her 26.3

ūugwa'ɛn I drank it 186.3

hōugwana'ɛn I made him run

(79.2)

hinɛx-nīiwa'ɛn I was afraid (17.7)

wīt'e<sup>e</sup> I traveled (90.1)

t!ī'la'mxade<sup>e</sup> I went fishing
yi'miya'<sup>e</sup>n I lend it to him
(98.15)
hū'li'nt'e<sup>e</sup> I was tired out (102.1)
hagāīt'e<sup>e</sup> I had a cold thrill 166.1
lohō''na'<sup>e</sup>n I caused him to die
(100.8)

al-geyana'<sup>e</sup>n I turned my face

al-ge'yande E I shall turn my face

As regards the accent of the stem syllable, the examples show that, whenever accented, it takes the rising pitch when long, the raised pitch when short (and final). Compare further:

 $\tilde{o}^{u}p$ ' he dug it up 124.5, 12  $\tilde{u}k$ ' w he drank it 162.20

hin ex-nī û he was afraid al-geya'n he turned his face

Type 2. Verb-stem v+c; aorist v+c+v. If, as seems probable, the second consonant of verbal bases ending in two consonants is in many cases really a petrified suffix, a very large proportion of those verbs that might be listed under Type 3 really belong here, thus making Type 2 probably the most numerously represented of all types. In some forms it is possible to detect the derivative character of the second consonant by a comparison of etymologically related forms that lack it; e. g., in ts:telm- rattle (aorist ts:telem-), the teles-tel

of Type 2 suffer no change in this respect; e. g., verb-stem wism-MOVE has agrist according to Type 3, wits: !im-, as contrasted with verb-stem t'qism- get green with agrist of Type 2 t'qisim- (t'qismshould therefore be analyzed as base t'gis- + suffix -m-). This criterion enables us to pick out an otherwise unsuspected suffix in verbs like t!ap'q-finish, aorist t!abaq- (not Type 3, \*t!ap!aq-), but can be applied only where the first consonant of the verb-stem is s, b, d, or g. A more general phonetic test would seem to be the position occupied by the inorganic vowel -a-. In those cases in which we have most reason to consider the second consonant as part of the base, this -a- follows the cluster as "constant" a; while otherwise, and indeed in the majority of cases, it is inserted between the two consonants: wisma't'ee I SHALL MOVE (base wism-), but t'gisa'mt'ee I (AS PLANT) SHALL GET GREEN. An application of these various criteria, were sufficient material at hand, would probably show that but a comparatively small number of verbs follow Type 3. Examples of verbs of Type 2 are:

#### Verb-stem

ī-t!ani'n I shall hold him (28.11) wa-k!ō"ya'n I shall go with him

o'sbin (= ? ok-s-) I shall give it to you (178.15)

oina'n I shall give it yãlxaldan I shall lose it (188.18) vo't'e' I shall be (33.10)

nāk'ink' he will say to him (94,16)

da-sgāīpxde<sup>e</sup> I shall lie down t'ū"ga'<sup>e</sup>t' it will get hot s'omda'n I shall cook it

#### Aorist stem

 $\bar{\imath}$ -t!ana' $hi^{\varepsilon}n$  I held him 73.16 wa-k!oyõ $^{\varepsilon}n$  I went with him (33.15)

ogu'sbien I gave it to you 23.3

oyona''n I gave it (180.20) yalãxalda''n I lost it (77.10) yowôt'e' I was (42.1) naga' he said to him 180.7

da-sgaya' $pxde^{\varepsilon}$  I am lying down t'ūwŭ' $^{\varepsilon}k$ ' it got hot 94.15 s·omo $da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I cooked it (58.10)

Examples illustrating the intrusive -a- are:

#### Verb-stem

bila'ut'ee I shall jump (160.17) mīlada'n I shall love her k!iya'k'dee I shall come 196.1 gina'k'dee I shall go somewhere 14.3 dūwa'k'dee I shall be good

#### Aorist stem

biliut' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I jumped¹ (45.14) mīlī $^{i}da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I love her -k!iyi'k' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I came (156.24) gini'k' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I went somewhere 21.10 dūwūk' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I was good (146.7) Verb-stem

xuma'k' de E I shall be satiated

wiya'k'de' I shall groan
xuda'mt'e' I shall whistle
ts'!ela'mt'e' I shall rattle
ts'!us.a'mt'e' I shall make whistling noise by drawing in
breath between teeth and
lower lip
lī'ga'nt'e' I shall rest
yala'nt'e' I shall be lost (cf. 14.3)

Aorist stem

xumü'k' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I was satiated (130.18)

wiyi'k'de<sup>e</sup> I groaned (192.11) xudum̃t'e<sup>e</sup> I whistled (33.16) ts:!elem̃t'e<sup>e</sup> I rattled (102.13) ts:!us:um̃t'e<sup>e</sup> I made whistling noise (78.9,10,12)

ligint' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I rested (79.2,4) yala $\tilde{n}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I am lost (note difference in accent between aorist and future)

It is to be understood, of course, that this -a- is in no sense a characterizing future or non-aorist element, as, when the phonetic conditions allow, it drops out altogether. This takes place when the consonant following the intrusive -a- is itself followed by a vowel. Thus the second person singular future  $(-ada'^{\varepsilon})$  of some of the verbs listed has no -a-:  $bilwada'^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $gingada'^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $d\bar{u}^u gada'^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $w\bar{\imath}^i gada'^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $yalnada'^{\varepsilon}$ . Similarly the simple stem xud- whistle appears in xut' $ma'^{\varepsilon}s$  whistler.

In regard to vocalic quantity it will be observed that the verbs of this type divide themselves into two classes—those with short verbstem vowel (such as t!an-, og-, s·om-d-, gin-g-, yal-n-) and those with long verb-stem vowel  $(k!\bar{o}^uy$ -,  $y\tilde{a}l$ -x-ald-,  $l\bar{i}^ig$ -[a]n-,  $t'\bar{u}^u$ -g-,  $m\bar{i}l$ -[a]d-). The first and second stem vowels of the aorist of verbs of the first class are regularly both short (t!ana-, ogo-, s·omo-d-, gini-g-, yala-n-); the agrists of the second class seem generally to have a short first but long second vowel ( $k!oy\bar{o}^u$ -,  $yal\bar{a}^a$ -x-ald,  $lig\bar{\imath}^i$ -n-,  $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}^u$ -g-,  $m\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}^i$ -d-). The verb  $n\bar{a}^a q$ - (a orist naga-) say to and perhaps a few others ( $sg\bar{a}i$ p-x-, aorist sqaya-p-x-; al-ts!āi-q- wash aorist al-ts!aya-g-; but al-ts!āi-p'- WASH ONESELF, aorist al-ts!ayāa-p'-) do not follow this rule. Of the verb yo- (aorist yowo-) forms of both accent classes are found  $(y\tilde{o}t'e^e)$  as well as  $yo't'e^e$ ,  $yowo't'e^e$  as well as  $yow\tilde{o}t'e^e$ ), and indeed a lengthening of the second vowel of aorists of the first class seems to occur with considerable frequency. The rising for long and the raised for final short stem vowels seem to be the normal accents for verbs of Type 2, whether the stress falls on the first or second (in agrists) vowel. If, however, the accented vowel is followed by a

glottal catch or fortis consonant the accent, as generally in such a case, is a falling one. Thus:

s·ō'uɛk'ôp'deɛ I shall jump (148.8)) s·owō'uɛk'ôp'deɛ I jump (48.15; 49.1) Such forms as wa-k!oyoɛn are only apparently opposed to the rule (see § 65).

Type 3. Verb-stem  $v + c + c_1$ ; aorist  $v + c! + v + c_1$ . The most satisfactory test of a verb of this type is the intervocalic fortis consonant of the aorist stem as contrasted with the corresponding non-fortis consonant of the verb-stem. As only the minority of base-final consonant-clusters begin with a consonant that is capable of being changed to a fortis, there are in the material available only a few verbs to which the test can be applied. Those showing an intervocalic fortis (changed from non-fortis) in the aorist stem are:

#### Verb-stem

ī-lasgi'n I shall touch it masga'n I shall put it (102.15) wismada'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> you will move yo'k'yan I shall know it (162.6) lop'dia'u<sup>\varepsilon</sup>t' it will rain

#### Apriet storr

 $\bar{\imath}$ -lats!ag $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I touched it mats!ag $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I put it 74.13 wits:!ima't' you moved 148.16 yok!oy $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I knew it 50.5 lop!od $ia'^{u\varepsilon}$  it rained 152.11

In other verbs of this type the only characteristic of the aorist stem is the repetition between the consonants of the cluster of the stem-vowel. The following verb-forms exemplify this group, with the reservation that if in any case the second consonant of the cluster be really a suffix, the form should be assigned to Type 2.

#### Verb-stem

t!amyana'n I shall go to get her married (150.5,19) ts!a-uya's fast runner 138.2  $d\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\mathbf{u}}'$ its:!amt' fool him! baxma't'e $^{\epsilon 1}$  (= baxm-) I shall come ga-iwa'n I shall eat it 128.18 moigwana'n I shall spoil it

yo'u<sup>ε</sup>snan Ishall scare him (186.10)

malgini'n I shall tell him
ba-i-xilgwi'n I shall snatch it
out

#### A opint atom

t!amayana'ɛn I went to get her married (148.5)
ts!awaīt'eɛ I ran fast
dīɛˈüyü'ts·!amdaɛn I fooled him
baxam̄t'eɛ I came (114.16)
gayawa'ɛn I ate it 30.11
moyūgwana'ɛn I spoiled it
(31.12)
yowo'ɛsnaɛn I scared him

yowo'snasn I scared him (186.10) malagini'sn I told him (30.15)

malagini' $^{\epsilon}n$  I told him (30.15) ba-i-xiligwi' $^{\epsilon}n$  I snatched it out (33.4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This verb clearly belongs to Type 3 because of constant -a-following -xm-. Had it belonged to Type 2 it would have assumed the form \*baxa'mt'ee.

Verb-stem

gwel-leīs $de^e$  I shall be lame (dawīt' $e^e$  I shall fly (166.18)

da-uya′⁵s flyer

ba-i-hemga'n I shall take (food) out (16.10)

han-gī'lba'n I shall put (beam) across

ba-i-k!āalsi'n I shall take it out

p'elga'n I shall go to war against them (124.19)

yamda'n I shall ask him (70.6) yi'ms'aldan I shall dream about

him ha-u-ha'n  $\epsilon sd\bar{a}^a$  it will stop (rain-

ing) (198.9)

Aorist stem

gwel-le'ye $^{\circ}$ s $de^{\varepsilon}$  I am lame dawa $it'e^{\varepsilon}$  I flew (166.18)

ba-i-hemeg $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I took (food) out (58.9; 118.12)

han-giliba'<sup>€</sup>n I put (beam) across (176.3)

ba-i-k!ala'si $^{\varepsilon}n$  I took it out (25.4)

p'elega'en I went to war against them (110.4)

yamada'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I asked him (56.3) yimi's alda<sup>ɛ</sup>n I dreamed about him 186.3

ha-u-hana'<sup>ε</sup>s it stopped (raining) 196.8

yōuga'n I shall marry her (192.16) yowoga'<sup>\varepsilon</sup>n I married her (43.3)

As long as the first consonant of the cluster is a semivowel (w, y) or a liquid or nasal (l, m, n), the question as to whether the verb belongs to Type 2 or Type 3 is a purely etymological or historical one. Descriptively it makes no difference whether a form like  $p'elega'^{\varepsilon}n$  I WENT TO WAR AGAINST THEM is derived from p'eleg- by the insertion of the stem-vowel -e- between l and g (Type 3), or from p'el-g-by the addition of the -e- to a base p'el- (Type 2). From a purely descriptive point of view, then, the most typical agrist formation in Takelma may be said to be characterized by the repetition of the stem-vowel immediately after the first consonant following the stem-vowel.

From the point of view of vocalic quantity the verbs of Type 3 fall into the same two classes as those of Type 2—such as have a short vowel in the stem (t!amy-, ts!awy-, malg-, p'elg-, hants!-) and such as have a long vowel ( $\bar{u}its$ ·!-,  $g\bar{v}^ilb$ -,  $k!\bar{a}^als$ ), these latter being apparently much less numerous than in Type 2. The quantity of both the stem vowels of the aorist is regularly short, even when the verb-stem vowel is long (gilib-, k!alas-); only rarely is the second vowel of the aorist stem long (leyees-,  $\ddot{u}y\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}ts$ ·!-). The accent of stressed stem vowels follows the same rules as in the case of verbs of Type 3 ( $dowa\bar{\imath}t^ie^\varepsilon$ , han-gili'p' with rising or raised pitch; but  $hana'^\varepsilon s$ ,  $he'^{i\varepsilon}x$ - $d\bar{u}^a$  HE WILL BE LEFT OVER,  $\ddot{u}y\ddot{u}'^{\dot{u}\varepsilon}s$ · $de^\varepsilon$  1 LAUGH, with falling accent because of the glottal catch).

Type 4. Verb-stem  $v^v + c(+v)$ ; aorist v + c + v + i. Verbs of this type are intransitive, the -i-, though confined to the aorist, being evidently in some way connected with the intransitive character. That it is really a derivative element characteristic of the aorist is shown by its conduct in transitive forms derived from the intransitive. In the causative in -n- it drops out:

t'agā $^ana'^{\epsilon}n$  I make him cry while in certain other transitive derivatives it is preserved:

t'agayagwa'<sup>ε</sup>n I cry having it

The contradiction in treatment is here only apparent, as the absence or presence of the -i- would seem to depend not so much on the transitive or intransitive form of the verb as on whether the action expressed by the verb is logically transitive or not (in a causative the action is necessarily directed toward an object, in a comitative the formal object is not concerned in the action of the verb at all). Types 4a and 4b may properly be considered subclasses of Types 2 and 1 respectively, though it should be noted that the -i- occurs nowhere except in one special tense—the aorist. Examples of Type 4a are:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem	
yè'ūt'e' I shall return (92.24)	yeweĩ $t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I returned (58.9,13)	
p!āk'dee I shall bathe (58.5; 118.7)	p!agaĩ $t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I bathed 58.2	
t'āk'dee I shall cry (29.11)	t'agaĩt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I cried (29.13; 62.2)	
na't'ee (irregular) Ishall say, do 196.5	naga $\tilde{t}$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ Isaid, did 126.3;180.1	

Even less numerous are the examples of 4b that have been found:

Verb-stem

loho't' dead (98.10; 170.1; 186.21) lohoīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I died 184.18

lehe't' drifting dead to land lehe'i<sup>ε</sup> he drifted dead to land

75.5

The agrist of verbs of Type 4 regularly have the rising accent on the *i*-dipthong formed by the repeated stem vowel and the *i*-suffix. The stressed stem-vowel of forms built on the verb-stem regularly has the rising (4a) or raised accent (second vowel of 4b). na-, which is irregular also in other respects, has a short vowel in the verb-stem and takes the raised accent in non-agrist forms under appropriate conditions (na't' saying; na' say it!).

Type 5. Verb-stem v+c+v; aorist  $v^v+c$ . This type of verb is morphologically very difficult to understand, as it is in effect the very opposite of Type 2. Morphologically yana- go: t!an- hold =  $y\bar{a}^a n$ -:

t!ana-; but phonetically the proportion would gain in symmetry by reversing the positions of its first and third terms. Examples are:

wāagiwi'en I brought it to him [wagawi'n I shall bring it to him (45.6)(176.17)wege'sink' he will bring it to me weega'si he brought it to me (194.11)yana't'e e I shall go 14.3 yãn $t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I went 14.7  $h\tilde{a}xde^{\varepsilon}$  I burnt (98.1,4) haxa't'e I shall burn (92,29) dak'-da-hala'hin I shall answer dak'-da-hāali'ɛn I answered him him (122.4; 146.14; 180.18) laba' carry it! (70.5); 192.8 lap' he carried it 160.9 sagwa' paddle it! 112.3,9  $s\bar{a}^a gwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I paddled it (14.6) wede'k'ink' he will take it from wet'qi he took it from him 16.13; him (16.10,11; 17.10,11) (76.1)leeba'en I picked and ate it 94.5,12 lebe'n I shall pick it up and eat it sebe'n I shall roast it (44.6)  $se^{e}ba'^{\varepsilon}n$  I roasted it (118.10) hees-īūs·i he went away from me  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -īwi'xink' he will go away from me (184.14,15)hawax-xiwi't'e I shall rot (194.8) hawax-xīū $t^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ I am rotting (100.1) odo'n I shall hunt for it (116.7,11)  $\bar{o}^{u}da'^{\varepsilon}n$  I hunted for it (13.9) woo'nk' he will go to get it (162.8) wõult' he went to get it 160.4 p'ōyamdaen I smoked them out p'uyumda'n I shall smoke them (76.11)yomo'n I shall catch up with him yōumīy $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I caught up with him (final  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - of a rist stem unex-(46.7; 136.12,13)plained) (140.14)

The two stem vowels of the verb-stem are always short in quantity, the second regularly having the raised accent (imperatives yana, lebe, odo, woo). The long stem vowel of the aorist, when stressed, takes the rising accent. To this latter rule there is one curious exception. The verb odo- hunt for always has the falling accent on the  $\bar{o}^u$  of the aorist ( $\bar{o}'^u t'$  he hunted for it 13.9; 88.8, never \* $\bar{o}^u t'$ ), but the non-aorist forms follow in everything the analogy of other verbs of this type. This anomaly is quite unexplained. Can it be that a leveling out of two originally distinct paradigms has taken place (\* $\bar{o}^u d$ -, odo- of Type 5 and  $\bar{o}'^u d$ -, \* $\bar{o}'^u t'$ - of Type 6)?

Type 6. Verb-stem  $v^{(v)}+c!$ ; agrist  $v^v+c$ . Most of the verbs that follow this type have as second consonant in the agrist one capable of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such forms as *lebe'n*, with falling accent on the second vowel, are only apparently opposed to this rule, as in these cases the falling accent regularly goes with the personal ending -n. Practically all violations of the accent rules found in the examples are of this merely apparent character and will be readily explained away when the subject of personal endings is considered.

becoming a fortis; such as do not, introduce a catch before the second consonant in non-aorist forms. There seem to be no primarily intransitive verbs of this type. Examples of the type are:

Verb-stem [ī-k'wā'ak!win I shall wake him up k'wā'aɛxde e I shall wakeup (190.5)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -lā't!an I shall put it about my waist la-\(\varepsilon\)i-t'b\(\varepsilon'\)k!in I shall burst it (118.5)wa-sgā'p!in I shall make it tight  $al-x\bar{\imath}'k!in$  I shall see him (146.21)  $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -w $\bar{\imath}'$ k!in I shall spread it out (120.1)dak'-t'e'ek!in I shall give him to smoke (170.13)  $b\bar{a}^a$ -xō't!an I shall win over him (170.9)al-lō'k!wan I shall thrust it dal-p'ō't!in I shall mix it (178.5)  $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{\mathbf{u}}'$ t!in I shall drown him

de-bü'k !in I shall fill it  $\bar{i}'$ -g $\bar{i}$ -na take it! (102.14)

Aorist sten

*ī*-k'wā'agwi<sup>e</sup>n I woke him up 16.4; (75.6)

k'wā'a $xde^{\varepsilon}$  I woke up (16.3, 5)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -lā'a $da^{\varepsilon}n$  I put it about my waist

la- $\varepsilon$ ī-t'bā'agi $\varepsilon n$  I burst it (24.17)

wa-sgā'a $bi^en$ I made it tight(140.6) al-xī'i $gi^en$  I saw him 188.9  $de^e$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -wī'i $gi^en$  I spread it out

dak'-t'e'eg $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I gave him to smoke

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -xō'ud $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I won over him (168.5)

al- $l\bar{o}'^{u}gwa^{\varepsilon}n$  I thrust it (152.19) dal- $p'\bar{o}'^{u}di^{\varepsilon}n$  I mixed it

 $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $n\bar{u}'udi^{\varepsilon}n$  I drowned him (118.9)

de-bü'üg $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I filled it (140.3)  $\bar{\imath}$ -g $\bar{\imath}$ 'na he took it 15.1; 45.13

Despite the change of the second consonant from fortis to nonfortis, it is not certain that it is always an integral part of the stem: in  $de-b\ddot{u}'\ddot{u}g\dot{i}^{\varepsilon}n$  the g(k!) seems to be a verbifying suffix (cf.  $de-b\ddot{u}'\varepsilon$ FULL as adjective). The accent of the base of verbs of Type 6 differs materially from that of verbs of types heretofore discussed. The normal pitch-accent of most verb-bases is the rising tone for long, the raised for final short, vowels, unless a catch immediately follows. Thus in Type 5 dak'-da-hal he answered him; Type 2 naga' he SAID TO HIM; but with catch Type 4 naga'ie HE SAID. The verbs, however, of Type 6, as will have been noticed, all have the falling accent in both agrist and non-agrist forms. This variation from the accentual norm becomes intelligible if we remember that a fortis is the equivalent of a catch+a media; e. g., alxī/k!in I SHALL SEE HIM;  $alx\bar{i}'^{\varepsilon}k'$  SEE HIM! As the catch tends to bring about a falling accent before it, the falling accent peculiar to verbs of Type 6 may plausibly be ascribed to the fortis (i. e., glottal catch) quality of the final consonant of the stem. Compare also, in Type 3, he'ik!in

I SHALL LEAVE IT OVER. The retention of the falling accent in the aorist, although the presumable cause of it has been removed, is an example of form-parallelism, and argues, at least in verbs of this type, for the secondary origin of the aorist stem. The relation between  $x\bar{o}'t!an$  and  $x\bar{o}'^uda^en$  is, then, the same as that which obtains between  $yowo'^e$  HE WAS and  $yow\bar{o}'^uda^e$  WHEN HE WAS 79.7.

The organic character of the fortis consonant of verbs of this type is still further evidenced by many derivative forms (iteratives, continuatives, -xa- forms used to imply lack of object) which are regularly derived from the verb-stem, not the agrist stem, even in their agrist forms. Thus from  $sg\bar{o}'ut!$ - 45.10 (agrist  $sg\bar{o}'ud$ - 72.10) cut are derived the derivative agrists  $sgot!o'.sgade^{\varepsilon}$  I cut frequentatively (62.1),  $sgot!\bar{o}l$ - $ha^{\varepsilon}n$  I keep cutting it (108.8),  $sg\ddot{u}t!\ddot{u}'xade^{\varepsilon}$  I cut (without object) (92.2). Parallel forms are derived from most other verbs of this type, such as  $x\bar{v}'ik!$ -,  $l\bar{o}'uk!$ -,  $sg\bar{v}'ip!$ - cut,  $sge'^{\varepsilon}t!$ - lift up. A few verbs of Type 6, however, form the agrists of these derivatives from the agrist stems of the simple verbs. Such forms are the frequentatives t'baga't'bag- 14.12 (from t'ba'ak!- 136.20) and sege'sag- 172.10 (from  $se'^{\varepsilon}k!$ - Nod to, open door 138.18).

Type 7. Verb-stem  $c+v^v+c_1$ ; aorist  $c!+v+c_1+v(+i)$ . The second sub-group (7b) of this sparsely represented type of verbs is apparently related to the first (7a) as are verbs of Type 4a to those of Type 2. It is very improbable, however, that the characteristic -i- element of the aorist is morphologically the same in both Type 4 and Type 7b, as verbs of the latter type are clearly transitive, while in Type 4 the -i- was found to be a clearly intransitivizing element. A further difference between the two types lies in the marked length of the repeated vowel in verbs of Type 7b. This vocalic length is perhaps responsible for the loss of the -i- in certain forms; e. g.,  $d\bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u}g\bar{u}\bar{\imath}$  HE WORE IT, but  $d\bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u}g\bar{u}^{\bar{\imath}}$ n I WORE IT. (See § 65.)

Of Type 7a only the following examples have been found:

Verb-stem

 $b\tilde{a}^a$ -dep' $de^e$  I shall arise 196.3 wa-dilnhin I shall distribute them

dwe 'p'dwa' p $xd\bar{a}^a$  they will fly without lighting

Aorist stem

bāa-t!ebe't'e<sup>ɛ</sup> I arose 186.14 wa-t!ilīk'ni<sup>ɛ</sup>n I have distributed them (130.4)

t!wep!e' t!wapx they flew with out lighting

The last example follows also Types 6 and 13a.

To Type 7b belong:

Verb-stem

da-dāk' build a fire!  $d\bar{\imath}$ -düügwa'nk' she will wear it 55.9 t'gwāaxa'nt'gwidee I shall tattoo myself

k!āada'nk' he will pick them (116.17)

Aorist stem

da-t!agāī he built a fire 96.17  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t!üg $\bar{\bar{u}}$ î she wore it 96.16 t'gwaxāīk'wide<sup>ε</sup> I tattooed my-

k!adāī he picked them swadāī he beat him in gambling

The last three verbs happen to have stems beginning with a consonant or consonant-combination that does not allow of development into a fortis, so that there is no initial modification in the aorist. other transitive verbs have agrist stems like those of type 7b, but form their non-agrist forms according to other models, as the agrists k!emèi- MAKE (only with third personal object; otherwise k!eme(e)-n-, corresponding verb-stem k!em-n- of Type 2) and yehèi- HEAR SINGING FAR AWAY (verb-stem yehīi-). In both agrist and non-agrist forms the stem vowel or long i-diphthong, when stressed, bears the rising or raised accent ( $k!\tilde{a}t'$  PICK THEM!  $b\bar{a}^a-t!ebe't'$  HE AROSE).

Type 8. Verb-stem  $c+v^v+c_1$ ; a orist  $c!+v+c_1+v+c_1$ . The a orist stem of this type is characterized by reduplication of Type 1 (see § 30) combined, wherever possible, with change to fortis of the initial consonant. Examples are:

gāīt'e I shall grow (77.9) gōuda'n I shall bury him (118.3) gōula'n I shall dig it gūuwa'n I shall plant it (94.10) dōuma'n I shall kill him (178.14) wa<sup>ε</sup>-ī-dōxin I shall gather them

ba-i-dīxin I shall pull (guts) out

dāala'n I shall crack it deegwa'ldan I shall watch for him (116.20; 126.20)  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -de<sup>e</sup>mi'n I shall gather them (for war)  $b\bar{a}^aba'n$  I shall chop it (90.16) dī-büügwa'n I shall start (war, basket) (110.21; 170.10) s·āada'n I shall mash it

Aorist stem

k!ayaît' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I grew (77.9)  $k! ododa'^{\epsilon}n$  I buried him (96.16)  $k!olola'^{\epsilon}n$  I dug it 73.10,14 k!ūwūwa'⁵n I planted it (132.10) t!omoma'<sup>ε</sup>n I killed him 71.7  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t!oxo'x $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I gathered them (112.6,11; 192.4) ba-i-t!ixi'xi<sup>ε</sup>n I pulled (guts) out (92.17)

t!alal $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I cracked it  $t!egwegwa'lda^{\varepsilon}n$  I watched for him (118.2; 158.12)

 $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t!eme'm he gathered them (for war) 110.3 p!ababa'en I chopped it (90.11)  $d\bar{\imath}$ -p!ügügw $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I started it

ts·!adad $a'^{\epsilon}n$  I mashed it (130.23)

#### Verb-stem s·timt'an I shall boil it (170.16) ts·!üm $\tilde{u}$ mt' $a^{\varepsilon}n$ I boiled it (170.17) $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -s· $\bar{\imath}$ bin I shall close door $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -ts·!ibibi' $^{\varepsilon}n$ I closed door (90.4)yeegwa'n I shall bite him (88.2) yegwegw $a^{\prime \varepsilon}n$ I bit him (88.3) $loboba'^{\epsilon}n$ I pounded them lōuba'n I shall pound them (16.9)(16.6)limi'<sup>ε</sup>m tree fell (108.11) $l\bar{i}^i m a'^{\epsilon} t'$ tree will fall (108.12) helelt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sang (104.2, 5, 6) hēlt'ee I shall sing (106.15)

In the transitive verbs of this type the repeated consonant of the aorist is found only when the object is of the third person; otherwise it is dropped, with lengthening of the preceding vowel. Thus:

 $t!omo\widetilde{m}$  he killed him 16.15; but  $t!om\widetilde{o}xbi^{\varepsilon}n$  he killed you (cf. 178.12) Before certain intransitivizing derivative suffixes, particularly -x-(see §56) and -xa- (see §53), the same loss of the repeated consonant of the aorist stem is to be noted. Thus:

p!aba'p' he chopped it 90.11; but  $p!ebe'xa^{\varepsilon}$  he chopped 55.6  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $t!eme\tilde{m}$  he gathered them together; but dak'- $t!em\tilde{e}x$  they are gathered together 43.9; 136.11

With -x- the preceding vowel is lengthened, with -xa- it remains short. The second consonant of the stems of verbs of Type 8 never involves a radical glottal catch, hence the falling accent is never found on either the first or second stem vowel.

Type 9. Verb-stem  $c+v^v+c_1$ ; a orist  $c!+v+y+v+c_1$ . This type is not at all a common one. It differs from Type 7a in that the added vowel (in every case a, as far as the material goes) is put before the last consonant of the base, the y serving perhaps merely to connect the stem -a- and added -a-.

Of Type 9, examples are:

# Verb-stem $d\bar{a}^a g a' n \text{ I shall find it (110.15)}$ $s\bar{a}^a g a' n \text{ I shall shoot him}$ $da \text{-} d\bar{a}\bar{\imath}t'e^e \text{ (-} d\bar{a}^a y \text{-) I shall go to}$ get something to eat (33.9) $da \text{-} d\bar{a}^a l di' n \text{ (=} d\bar{a}i l d \text{-, see § 11) I}$ shall go to get it to eat (33.9)

#### Aorist stem

t!ayaga'en I found it (27.12) ts!ayaga'en I shot him (45.13) da-t!ayaīt'e I went to get something to eat (75.9) da-t!ayaldi'en (=t!ayaild-, see § 11) I went to get it to eat (76.9)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This verb might be considered as entirely parallel to  $g\bar{a}^{ay}$ - (aorist k/ayai-) of Type 8. The derivative in -ld-, however, seems to prove it to be of Type 9; the -ld- forms, if belonging to Type 8, would probably appear as \*da-dā\*ya'ldin, \*da-tlayaya'ldi\*n.

Type 10. Verb-stem  $c + v \ (+c) \ (+c_1)$ ; aorist  $c + v + \begin{cases} c \ (+c_1) \\ c_1 \ + c \end{cases} (+v)$ .

This type embraces the few verbs that form their agrist stem by merely repeating the initial consonant of the verb-stem. Of 10a, that is, those that introduce the initial consonant immediately after the stem-vowel, there have been found:

The last verb differs from the others in that it repeats in the agrist both the consonant and the vowel of the verb-stem; it is the only verb known which shows perfect duplication of the verb-stem (assuming the suffixed character of the -k!-).¹ Perhaps -lek!- is misheard for -lelk!-.

The only certain example of 10 b is:

Verb-stem Aorist stem sana' spear it! (33.9) sãns he speared it (110.20)

The verb-stem here is of Type 5. The simple base (san-) is best seen in the fully reduplicated  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'n$ - $sinia^{u\varepsilon}$  they are fighting each other 23.14. An additional of Type 10 b is probably also:

ha-u-gwen-yut!i'hi (=\*yut!y-[h]i)
he gobbled it down (cf. frequentative yut!uyad-)

See also aorist  $y\bar{o}^u m\bar{v}^i$ - under Type 5. Stems of this type are more frequent among nouns than verbs, e. g.,  $be\bar{l}p^*$  swan (see § 86, 5).

Type 11. Verb-stem  $c+v+c_1+c_2$  aorist  $c+v+c_1+v+c_2$ . Verbs belonging to this type differ in the aorist from those of the preceding type in that they introduce before the repeated initial consonant also the vowel of the stem, thus approaching in form the more fully reduplicating Type 13. Only a few examples of the type occur:

Verb-stem Aorist stem loma'lt' $e^e$  (a is inorganic) I lomõlt' $e^e$  I choked shall choke xalx $a'mt'e^e$  I shall urinate (cf. xala'x $amt'e^e$  I urinated  $a^a$ 

<sup>2</sup> This verb is better considered as belonging to Type 13a, xalxam- and xalaxam- being respectively dissimilated from \*xanxan- and \*xanaxan- (see §21).

§ 40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are many apparently perfect duplications of verb-stems in -a-, but the -a- of the second member is never a repetition of the stem-vowel. See Type 12.

yawī't'e'e I shall talk (cf. base yiw- talk) (126.2)

 $b\bar{a}^{\epsilon}$ -al-mo'l $^{\epsilon}$ man I shall turn things over (base  $mol^{\epsilon}$ -)  $d\bar{a}^{a}$ -ye'h $\bar{i}$ <sup>i</sup>n I shall go to where singing is heard

yawa $\tilde{t}$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I talked (30.4; 126.2)

da-bo'k!op' $na^{\epsilon}n$  I made bubbles (base  $b\bar{o}k!$ -) 102.22

 $b\bar{a}^{\epsilon}$ -al-mo'lo $^{\epsilon}$ m $a^{\epsilon}n$  I turned things over

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehèi he went where there was singing (see Type 7b) 106.10

legwel $a'mda^{\varepsilon}n$  I suck it out of it (186.18)

lā amala' n I quarrel with him (27.2)

It is quite possible that many verbs whose verb-stem ends in a consonant identical with their initial consonant (and that one would be inclined to list under Type 2) really belong to Type 11. In such cases as:

ging- go somewhere (aorist ginig-) k!iy[a]g- go, come (aorist k!iyig-) gel-gul[a]g- desire (aorist- gulug-)

it is not easy to decide whether the final -g- is a suffixed element, as in many verbs of Type 2, or a repetition of the initial consonant of the base. As to the genesis of the form in verbs of Type 11, it seems clear that it is only a secondary development of the far more richly represented Type 13. This is indicated by the existence of second forms of Type 13 alongside those of Type 11:

da-bok!oba'k`na<sup>e</sup>n I make bubbles yiwiya'ut'e<sup>e</sup> I talk (148.9) mo'lo<sup>e</sup>mala<sup>e</sup>n I turn things over (170.16)

A form like  $mo'lo^{\varepsilon}mat'$  YOU TURNED THINGS OVER may go back to a \* $mo'lo^{\varepsilon}mlat'$  (Type 13b), itself a reduced form of the fully reduplicating  $mo'lo^{\varepsilon}malat'$ ; but see § 65.

Type 12. Verb-stem  $c+v^v+c_1$ ; aorist  $c+v^v+c_1+c+a+c_1$ . Verbs of this type form their aorist by reduplicating the verb-stem according to Type 2 (see § 30); the a of the second syllable of the aorist stem is regularly umlauted to i by an i of the following syllable (see § 8, 3a). Morphologically such aorist stems are practically identical with the verb-stems of Type 13a, though no further deductions can be drawn from this fact. Contrary to what one might expect, most verbs of the type show no marked iterative or frequentative signifi-

# cation. Examples of this rather frequently recurring type are:

Verb-stem

sana'n¹ I shall fight him (28.15)

he'' -sal-t'gū''n' I shall kick it

off

t!èūt'e' I shall play shinny

ī-t!ā'' wi'n I shall catch him (33.8)

bā'' -dī'ga'n I shall make it stand

up

he'' -swīlxk' it is torn

ts!ā'' aga'' t' he will step

dā' -ī-bō'' di'n I shall pull out his

hair

bā-ī-sgā'' agi'n I shall pick it up

lā<sup>a</sup>wi'n I shall call him by name

#### Aorist stem

sā \*nsa'nt'e \* I was fighting 184.13  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -sal-t'gūnt'gin $i\varepsilon n$  I kicked it off (24.17)

t!èut!a'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I played shinny (47.7)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -t!āūt!iwi $^{\epsilon}n$  I caught him 33.4  $b\bar{a}^a$ -dīk'dag $a^{\epsilon}n$  I made it stand up (59.10)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -s·wils·wili $^{\varepsilon}n$  I tore it (73.3) ts! $\bar{a}^{a}$ k'ts! $a'^{\varepsilon}$ k' he stepped 32.9  $d\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -bōt'bid $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I pulled out his hair (194.7)

bā-ī-sgãk'sgigi⁵n I picked him up (32.12)

lā<sup>a</sup>liw $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I called him by name (for  $l\bar{a}^{a}$ -= $l\bar{a}u$ - see § 7) (116.3)

There is a tendency to prevent a long u-diphthong of the first syllable of the aorist stem from standing immediately before a diphthong-forming semivowel or consonant (y, w, l, m, n) of the second syllable. In such cases the u is either lost, as in the last example above (dissimilation is also a possible explanation) or a connecting -i- is introduced between the u, which now becomes w, and the following consonant. Examples are:

Verb-stem

Aorist stem

lèūxink' he will call me by name leewila'usi 2 he calls me by name 59.7

līū $t'e^{\epsilon}$  I shall look (142.18) liwila'u $t'e^{\epsilon}$  I look (59.14)

The stem vowel of verbs of Type 12 is regularly long, and, when stressed, as it generally is in a rist forms, receives the rising accent. The a of the second syllable of the a rist stem is stressed only when forming a secondary diphthong with a following repeated radical element, in which case it receives a falling  $(l\bar{a}^a la'uhi \text{ He Called Him})$  or raised accent  $(he^{e\varepsilon} - sal - t'g\bar{u}^unt'ga'n)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The various forms of this verb seem to be made up of three distinct stems. The non-aorist forms of both transitive and intransitive ( $sana'p'de^{\epsilon}I$  shall fight) employ a stem (sana-) of Type 5. Most aorist forms, including the reciprocal aorist, use the stem  $s\bar{a}ansan-$  of Type 12 (seensa'nsi he fights ME;  $s\bar{a}ansa'nsinik'$  we fight each other). The stem  $s\bar{a}ans-$  of Type 10b is probably limited to such transitive forms of the aorist as have a third person object ( $s\bar{a}ansa'en$  I fight him;  $s\bar{a}ns$  he fought him).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Parallel form, perhaps with iterative significance, to leela'usi, § 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This verb has a short i in the first syllable of the agrist, so that, as far as the agrist stem is concerned, it seems to belong to Type 13a. Perhaps it is best considered a verb of mixed type (13a in agrist, 12 in non-agrist).

§ 40

Type 13. Verb-stem  $c+v+c_1+c+a+c_1$ ; aorist  $c+v+c_1+v+c+a+c_1$ . For *i*- umlaut of the *a* see § 8, 3a. This type embraces a very large number of verbs, chiefly of iterative, usitative, or intensive signification. Of these, some are the iterative or usitative derivatives of simpler verbs; others, again, are hardly found in simpler form, the action they express being of a necessarily repetitive character (e. g., RUB, RATTLE, CHEW); in still others the repetitive idea is not strongly marked or is even absent. Of Type 13a, which covers practically the whole number of type-cases, examples will be given under the characteristic stem-vowels.

### Verb-stem

(1) a:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -gaxgixi'n I shall scratch him da-ts!a'lts!ilin I shall chew it  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -k'a' $^{\varepsilon}$ p'k'ibin I shall chip them off

(2) e:

ī-ts·!e'lts·!ilin I shall rattle it ī-he<sup>e</sup>gwa'k'<sup>w</sup>nan (see § 19) I shall work

al-gesgasa'lt'e' I shall be washing

se'nsant'e I shall whoop hemhama'nk' he will imitate him

(3) o (u):

dīi-t'gumt'ga'm squeeze and crack (insects)!

ī-yulya'l rub it!

al-p!īi-ts·!u'lts·!alhip' do ye put it on fire!

(4) *i*:

ī-smīlsmilin I shall swing it ī-s·wi'ls·wilin I shall tear it to pieces

ts:!i'nts:!anxdee I shall be angry

ī-s·i'ls·alhi distribute it!

de-k'iŭk'auk'wan I shall brandish it before my face (172.11)

yiwiyawa's one who talks 148.18

Aorist stem

ī-gaxagixi'en I scratched him da-ts!ala'ts!ilien I chewed it heeel-ī-k'ap!a'k'ibien I chipped them off (118.11; 120.16)

ī-ts·!ele'ts·!ili<sup>e</sup>n I rattled it ī-hegwe'hak'<sup>w</sup>na<sup>e</sup>n I worked

 $al\text{-}\mathrm{gesegas}a'lt'e^{\varepsilon}\,\mathbf{I}$  was washing

sene'sant'e<sup>e</sup> I whooped (180.15) heme'ham he imitated him 24.4, 8

dī<sup>i</sup>-t'gumu'tg'imi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I squeezed and cracked (insects) ī-yulu'yili<sup>ɛ</sup>n I rubbed it al-p!ī<sup>i</sup>-ts:!ulu'ts:!ili<sup>ɛ</sup>n I put it on fire (152,20)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -smili'smil $i^s n$  I swung it (72.10)  $\bar{\imath}$ -s wili's wil $i^s n$  I tore it to pieces

ts·!inī'its·!an $xde^{\varepsilon}$  I was angry (24.16; 148.15)

ī-s·ili's·alhi he distributed it 31.1 de-k'iwi'k'auk'wa'n I brandished it before my face (172.12)

yiwiya'<sup>ue</sup> he talks, makes a sound 148.9

The verb-stem of the last example seems at first sight identical with the aorist stem, but the second i is to be explained as a connective element similar to the i of  $le^{\epsilon}wilau$ - above (see under Type 12);  $viwiyawa'^{\epsilon}s$  is thus developed from a theoretical  $*viwyawa'^{\epsilon}s$ .

The verb  $k'a^{\varepsilon}p'k'ab$ - above illustrates a slightly divergent subtype of Type 13a. If the final consonant of the stem is a fortis, it appears as a non-fortis (voiceless media or aspirated surd according to the phonetic circumstances) when repeated. This phenomenon is best explained as an example of catch dissimilation; \*k'ap!ak'ap!-, i. e.,  $k'a^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon}ak'a^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon}$ - is dissimilated to  $k'a^{\varepsilon}b^{\varepsilon}ak'ab$ -, k'ap!ak'ab- (see § 22). In non-aorist forms, where the fortis becomes a syllabic final, it naturally gives way to the equivalent catch aspirated surd. Further examples of this subtype are:

Verb-stem

 $\bar{\imath}$ -sgō'ɛ't'sgidin I shall cut them one after another (21.2,4) ha-u-gwen-yu'ɛ't'yidin I shall gobble them all down xa- $\bar{\imath}$ -sgī'ɛ'p'sgibin I shall cut them through (21.2)  $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'eɛ'k't'a' $xd\bar{a}^a$  (=-ta'g-x-) they will all bob up ba-i-diɛ-t'ga'ɛst'gā'ss stick out your anus! 164,19; 166,1,6

Aorist stem

*ī*-sgot!o'sgid*i*<sup>ε</sup>*n* I cut them one after another (144.2,3)

ha-u-gwen-yut!u'yidi<sup>e</sup>n I gobbled them all down (126.10) xa-<sup>e</sup>i-sgip!i'sgibi<sup>e</sup>n I cut them through (22.9; 138.7)

 $bar{a}^a$ -t'ek!e't'ax they all bobbed up

ba-i-di<sup>e</sup>-t'gats!a't'gisi<sup>e</sup>n I stuck out my anus (166.8)

In regard to vocalic quantity it will be noticed that both the stem vowel and the repeated vowel are generally short. Comparatively few cases are found with long stem-vowel in non-aorist forms (he<sup>c</sup>-gwagw-, swī<sup>i</sup>lswal-, sgōu<sup>ɛ</sup>t'sgad-). Indeed the shortness of the vowel of the verb-stem is about the only mark of difference between verb-stems of Type 13 and aorist stems of Type 12. Thus:

\$\bar{\bar{\epsilon}} i-s\cdot wi'ls\cdot wal (non-a orist of Type 13) tear it to pieces!; but \$\bar{\epsilon} -s\cdot w\bar{\epsilon} l -s\cdot

A few verbs allow the repeated vowel, particularly in third personal forms, to be long; when stressed, as it generally is, it has a falling accent. Besides  $ts \cdot !in\bar{\imath}'its \cdot !anx$ - (also  $ts \cdot !i'n\bar{\imath}'ts \cdot !anx$ - or  $ts \cdot !i'nits \cdot !anx$ -190.19), may be mentioned:

gwen-hegwe'ehagwanhi he related it to him 57.9; cf. 59.6 p!ülü'üp!alhi they marched in single file 192.3

In non-aorist forms the vowel, if long and stressed, takes the rising accent; before a glottal catch, however, we regularly have the

falling accent  $(sg\tilde{o}'^{u\varepsilon}t'sgad-, sg\tilde{\iota}'^{i\varepsilon}p'sgab-)$ . In the agrist the stress generally falls on the repeated vowel.

Only two verbs have been found that at first sight conform to Type 13 b. They are:

Verb-stem

Aorist stem

 $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -ge'uk!iwin I shall tie (a  $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -gewe'k!iwi $^{\varepsilon}n$  I tied it bowsalmon) bowstring-fashion string-fashion (cf. 88.5) d $\bar{u}$ 'lt!ilin I shall stuff them into it (122.19; 138.17)

This curious type of verb is easily explained if we assume that the bases are not gew- and  $d\ddot{u}l$ -, respectively, but  $geu^{\varepsilon}$ - and  $d\ddot{u}l^{\varepsilon}$ -. They are, then, strictly comparable to verbs like sgot!osgad- discussed above; instead of having a fortis consonant, i. e., a stop with glottal closure, as the final consonant of the base, they have a semi-vowel or diphthong-forming consonant (w, y, l, m, n) as the base final. The verb and aorist stems of  $geu^{\varepsilon}$ - and  $d\ddot{u}l^{\varepsilon}$ -, formed according to Type 13 a, are theoretically  $*gew^{\varepsilon}gau^{\varepsilon}$ -,  $*gewe^{\varepsilon}gau^{\varepsilon}$ - and  $*d\ddot{u}l^{\varepsilon}dal^{\varepsilon}$ -,  $*d\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}^{\varepsilon}dal^{\varepsilon}$ -, respectively. Allowing, as in the case of the forms like k`ap!ak`ab-discussed above, for catch dissimilation, these forms are seen to be phonetically equivalent to geuk!au-, gewek!au- and  $d\ddot{u}lt!al$ -,  $d\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}t!al$ -, respectively (see § 12). If the initial consonant of the verb happens not to be a media, then there is no opportunity for the development of a fortis in the second syllable of the verb-stem. It is clear, then, that the following verbs are further examples of Type 13 b:

Verb-stem  $b\bar{a}^a$ - $\epsilon al$ -mo'l $\epsilon$ malan I shall turn things over  $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $m\bar{u}^ugal$ -le' $u^\epsilon$ liwin I shall shake shells in my ears ha-u-gwen-yu'n $\epsilon$ yinin I shall gobble them down

Aorist stem  $b\, \tilde{a}^{\,a\, -\, arepsilon} a\, l\, -\, \mathrm{m}\, \mathrm{o}' l\, \mathrm{o}^{\, arepsilon} \, \mathrm{m}\, \mathrm{a} l\, a^{\, arepsilon} n \, \, \, \mathrm{I} \, \, \mathrm{turn}$ 

things over

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $m\bar{u}^u gal$ -lewe' $^{\epsilon}$ liwi $^{\epsilon}n$  I shook shells in my ears 122.2

ha-u-gwen-yunu'eyinien I gobbled them down (cf. yut!uyad-above)

The stem syllable of verbs of Type 13 b, when bearing the stress, naturally have the falling accent.

Examples of Type 13 c are not common and have also by-forms of Type 13 a:

Verb-stem

Aorist stem

gwida'k'wdan I shall throw it gwidi'k'wda $^{\varepsilon}n$  I threw it (122.13); (a inorganic) ef.  $\bar{\imath}$ -gwidigwidi' $^{\varepsilon}n$  (108.21) lobo'lp' $na^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to pound them; ef. lobo'lap' $na^{\varepsilon}n$  (57.14)

It is very probable that the -a- in the second member of reduplicated stems (Types 12 and 13) is the inorganic -a- we have already met with. Its persistence, even in cases where the otherwise resulting phonetic combination is a possible one, may be ascribed to the analogic influence of the probably larger number of cases where its presence is phonetically necessary.

Type 14. Verb-stem v+c; aorist v+c+v+n. The -n of the few verbs that make up this class is probably a petrified derivative element, yet it must be considered as characteristic of the aorist stem in an even more formal sense than, for example, the aoristic -i- of Type 4. The only examples that have been found are:

The last verb seems to insert a -y- in the aorist, between the -e- of the verb-stem and that of the aoristic addition, in the manner of verbs of Type 9b. In regard to vocalic quantity these verbs differ among themselves. The verb-stem of all but wai- is long in vocalism. The first vowel of the aorist stem is short in every case, the repeated vowel is sometimes short (xeben-, p!iyin-), sometimes long ( $way\bar{a}^an$ -)  $p!eye^en$ -. The stressed stem vowel bears a rising accent.

The -n of  $way\bar{a}^a n$ - and  $p!eye^e n$ - is eclipsed before a catch in the third person:

waya'<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> he slept 152.22; 154.6 p!eye'<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> he was lying down 49.5 but:

 $xebe'^{\varepsilon}n$  he did it 78.9; 118.14

The loss of the -n takes place also in the third person agrist of  $y\bar{a}^a n$ -go (Type 5). Thus:

 $ya'^{\epsilon}$  he went 15.3,11; 59.1; 92.26

subordinate form  $y\bar{a}'^ada^{\varepsilon}$  58.8 and (rarely)  $y\bar{a}'^anda^{\varepsilon}$  when he went.

Type 15. Verb-stem  $\{\begin{array}{c} -\\ -\\ -\\ as \end{array}\}$ ; a orist stem  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ . The ending  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -, found in a considerable number of verbs of position, is not, properly speaking, a stem-forming element at all, as shown by the fact that

suffixed elements may intervene between it and the base; yet, being wanting in the non-aorist forms of many verbs, it has something of the appearance of such. The non-aoristic -as- of a few verbs has absolutely no appreciable derivative force, and may be regarded as a purely formal element characterizing the non-aorist forms of the verb. As examples of Type 15 a may be given:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
s·a's·ant'e' I shall stand (cf.	s·as·inī $t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand (34.1; 77.9)
23.6)	
s·u'alt'e I shall sit (55.11;	s·u <sup><math>\epsilon</math></sup> wilīt' $e^{\epsilon}$ I sat (21.1; 178.21)
186.21)	
k'e'p'alt'e' I shall be long ab-	k'ebil $it'e^{\varepsilon}$ I was long absent
sent	(124.20)
lãp'de I shall become (92.11;	$l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\imath} t' e^{\varepsilon}$ I became (see also
166.14)	Type 10a) 186.19
· ·	

Of examples of Type 15b may be mentioned:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
dink!a's $d\bar{a}^a$ it will lie stretched	dink!ī it lies stretched out
out t!obaga's $d\bar{a}^a$ he will lie like one dead (148.8)	t!obigī he lay like one dead

This non-agristic -as- seems to occur also in:

da-sma-ima'sde I shall smile da-smayañ he smiled which otherwise belongs to Type 2 or 3 (if the second -m- is part of the base).

Type 16. Verb-stem  $v+c+c_1+i$ ; aorist  $v+c+v+c_1$ . This type embraces only an inconsiderable number of verbs. They are:

Verb-stem	Aorist stem
dī-k!a'lside I shall be lean in	$d ilde{\imath}$ -k!ala's $na^{arepsilon}n$ I am lean in my
my rump	rump 102.22
gwel-sal-t!e'iside I shall be	$gwel$ -sal-t!eyēs $na^{\varepsilon}n$ I have no
lean in legs and feet	flesh on my legs and feet
	102.22

Several verbs of position that show an  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - in the aorist show an -i-in non-aorist forms. Whether this -i- is merely a shortened form of the aoristic  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -, or identical with the non-aoristic -i- of verbs of Type 16, is doubtful; but, in view of the absence of the  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - in non-aoristic forms of verbs of Type 15, the latter alternative seems more probable. Such verbs are:

Verb-stem da-sga'lit' $\bar{a}^a$  it will lie scattered
about
p'ildi't' $\bar{a}^a$  flat thing will lie
t'ge'its:!i $d\bar{a}^a$  round thing will lie
s'eini't' $\bar{a}^a$  it will lie with opens'einī it.

s·eini't'āa it will lie with opening on top (like box) s·u'k'didāa it will lie curled up wī'ek'didāa it will lie heaped about  $da ext{-sgal}$ it lies scattered about

p'ildī flat thing lies t'geits'!ī round thing lies (138.24)

s·einī it lies with opening on top s·ugwidī it lies curled up

 $wi^{\epsilon}k'did\bar{a}^a$  it will lie heaped wik!idi.it lies heaped about about Of similar appearance, though the aorist (not the future) is transi-

 $dar{a}^a$ -sge'k!it' $e^e$  I shall listen

tive in form, is:

 $dar{a}^a$ -sgek!iy $a'^{arepsilon}n$  I listened (third person  $dar{a}^a$ -sgek!i 102.8)

In speaking of verbs of Types 15 and 16, the terms verb-stem and aorist stem are used in a purely relative sense, the portions of the listed forms printed in Roman characters not being really on a par with those similarly marked in the first fourteen classes. These last two types have significance as such only in so far as certain elements of an essentially derivative character  $(-\bar{\imath}^i-, -i-, -as-)$  are at the same time formal means of distinguishing aorist from non-aorist forms. It is not difficult to show that in several cases these elements are themselves preceded by non-radical elements.

One or two agrists have been found in the material obtained that can not be well classified under any of the sixteen types illustrated above. They are:

gwen-  $xoxog[w]a'^{\epsilon}n$  I string (salmon) together (=fully reduplicated xogxog-; otherwise to be analyzed as xoxo-g- of Type 10 a) 74.14

sal-s'āaxs'īx he slid

This latter verb with its mysterious  $\tilde{\imath}^i$  in the repeated syllable is absolutely without known parallel. Irregular is also the defective verb ei- BE (see §60, fourth footnote).

# 3. Verbal Suffixes of Derivation (§§ 41-58) §41. GENERAL REMARKS

Although the absolute number of non-pronominal suffixes in the verb is considerable (almost or quite thirty), the number of those that have a well-defined, more or less transparent signification is not large (hardly more than a dozen or so) when compared with what

one is accustomed to in certain other American languages. Of these, barely one or two (a frequentative and a comitative) can be said to convey anything like a material notion, the rest being of the more or less formal or relational character met with in suffixes of inflective languages—intransitivizing elements, causative, reflexive, passive, reciprocal, and others of less easily described signification. Those suffixes that have no clearly defined value may be put in a class by themselves as "petrified" suffixes, the justification for such a classification being purely descriptive; genetically they probably form a heterogeneous group.

## § 42. PETRIFIED SUFFIXES

In speaking of verbs of Types 2 and 3, it was pointed out that in a large number of cases certain consonants that one would naturally be inclined to consider part of the verb-stem could be shown by more careful analysis to be really of a suffixal character. The criteria for such a suffix are partly, as was there indicated, the existence of evidently related forms in which the consonant is lacking, partly certain phonetic features. In a considerable number of cases different suffixes are found joined to the same verbal base, yet hardly ever determining so specific a meaning that their primary signification can be detected. The following examples,

t'geits·!ī something round lies (138.24) t'geyeba'ɛn I roll it t'gee'ya'lxdeɛ I run around al-t'geye't'giyaɛn I tie it around (my head) 188.5 wīɛ-ō-t'geye'ek!in he is surrounded on all sides 48.13

evidently all contain the same radical element or base (t'gey-), which has reference to circular action or position. The suffixes  $-ts\cdot !-$ , -b-, and  $-k\cdot !-$ , however, can not be shown to be directly responsible for the specific meanings of the different forms, these being determined chiefly, it would seem, by the succeeding suffixes, the prefixes, and the general form (transitive or intransitive) of the verb. Similarly, the forms  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -sgaya'  $pxde^{\varepsilon}$  I LIE down, da-sgaya- $na'^{\varepsilon}n$  I LIE down, and possibly also da-sgalī IT LIES SCATTERED ABOUT (LIKE GRAIN), contain the same radical element (sga[y]-); but, as in the examples first cited, the abstracted suffixes -p-, -n-, and -l-, refuse to yield anything tangible. The stems galb- Twist and gelg- Twirl fire-drill are very probably related, though neither

the difference in vowel nor the use of different consonants can be explained. The same difficulty is met with in  $di'nik! \, a^{\varepsilon}n$  i stretched it out (62.1) and  $b\bar{a}^a-din\bar{\imath}'it! a^{\varepsilon}n$  i hung them on line (59.9). In some cases a difference of suffix is associated with a difference of direction of verbal action, transitive and intransitive. Thus we have:

al-ts!ayaga'en I wash him (64.5): al-ts!ayãp'de I wash myself (not reflexive in form)

 $p!alaga'^{\epsilon}n$  I relate a myth to him: p!ala'p' $de^{\epsilon}$  I relate a myth  $ts!ayama'^{\epsilon}n$  I hide it (124.23):  $ts!ay\tilde{a}$ p' $de^{\epsilon}$  I hide

The various petrified suffixes found will be listed with examples under each.

1. -b-. There seem to be two quite distinct -b- suffixes, one characteristic of transitives, the other of a certain group of intransitives. Examples of transitive -b- are:

t'geyeba' $\epsilon n$  I roll it (base t'gey-), with secondarily intransitive derivative:

al-t'geya'px it is round (literally, it rolls)

he<sup>ee</sup>-sgaya'pxde<sup>e</sup> I lie down (derived, like al-t'geya'px, from some such transitive as \*he<sup>ee</sup>-sgayaba'en I lay it down flat, that, however, does not happen to occur in the material at hand)

 $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -gene'p'gwa he lay curled up like dog (also - $gene\bar{u}k$ 'wa)  $galaba'^{\epsilon}n$  I twist it by rolling (cf. gelg- twirl fire-drill)

 $sg\bar{\imath}lpx$  warm your back! (seems to imply  $*sg\bar{\imath}^ilba'n$  I shall warm his back) (25.8, 9)

All intransitives in -b- (-p'-), whether or not secondarily derived from transitives, belong to that class of verbs to be later discussed as Intransitive Verbs, Class II. Among those with primarily intransitive -p'- are:

al-ts!ayãp'de<sup>ε</sup> I washed my face

ts!ayap'de I hid

p!ala'p'de I tell a myth

 $s \cdot in - xin \tilde{\imath} xan p \cdot de^{\varepsilon} I$  sniff (cf.  $x \tilde{\imath} n$  mucus)

s·as·a'nhap'de I stand around (not trying to help anyone) (cf s·a's·ant'e I shall stand)  $^{\circ}$ 

 $s \cdot in - wi' l \bar{\imath} i k' a p' d e^{\varepsilon}$  I blow my nose

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -s·o' $w\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}k$ 'ap' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I jump up (48.15; 49.1)

A number of Class II intransitive verbs show a suffixed -p'- in all forms but the aorist. It is not possible to say whether this -p'- is morphologically identical with the -p'- of verbs like

 $ts!ay\tilde{a}p'de^{\varepsilon}$  or not, but such seems likely. Intransitives with non-aoristic -p'- are:

 $l\bar{a}$ p' $de^e$  I shall become (92.11) (aorist  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\imath} t'e^e$ ) 186.19 sana'p' $de^e$  I shall fight (aorist  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'nt'e^e$  [184.13])  $tg\bar{\imath}np'de^e$  I shall be cold (aorist  $t'gun\bar{\imath}k'de^e$  [90.3])

Finally, all Class II intransitives have a -p'- before the formal elements in the first person plural and impersonal of the agrist and future and in the imperative and inferential modes:

s·as·inīp'ik' we stand s·a's·anp'ia<sup>uɛ</sup>t' they (indef.) will stand s·a's·anp' stand! s·a's·anp'anp' do ye stand! s·a's·anp'gaɛ̄m stand! (future) s·a's·anp'k' he stood, it seems

There is small doubt, however, that this -p'- is quite distinct from the non-aoristic -p'- of verbs like  $l\tilde{a}p'de^e$ , which occurs in the entire future. A form like  $l\tilde{a}p'$  become! is in that event perhaps to be analyzed as  $l\tilde{a}^a-p'-p'$ , the first -p'- being the non-aoristic element found also in  $l\tilde{a}p'de^e$ , while the second -p'- is identical with the imperative-inferential -p'- of  $s\cdot a's\cdot anp'$ . This analysis is purely theoretical, however, as contraction to a single -p'- is unavoidable in any case.

- 2. -p!-. This consonant is evidently a suffixed element in:  $ha^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-h\ddot{u}'l\ddot{u}p!i^{\varepsilon}n \text{ I skinned them (cf. }ha^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-h\ddot{u}'l\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}hal \text{ they skinned}$ them all 160.5)
- 3. -m-. Apparently as transitive element -m- appears in:

  ts!ayama'^{\epsilon}n I hide it (124.23) (cf. ts!ayama'^{\epsilon}de^{\epsilon} I hide [24.2])

As intransitive suffix it appears in:

t'gisi'<sup>¢</sup>m it gets green xudum̄t'e<sup>¢</sup> I whistle (base xud-; related to xdeīt' flute [?]) (33.16) ts·!us·um̄t'e<sup>¢</sup> I make noise by drawing in breath between teeth and lower lip (78.9,10,12; 79.1,3,5; 96.9,10,12)

It may not be altogether accidental that the latter two verbs both express the making of a noise. This idea is found expressed also in:

 $ts\cdot!ele\tilde{\mathbf{m}}t'e^{\varepsilon}$ I rattle (102.13) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}\text{-}ts\cdot!ele'ts\cdot!ili^{\varepsilon}n$ I rattle it)

but the -m- of this verb may be really an older -n- dissimilated to -m- because of the preceding -l-. The -m- corresponds to an evidently identical suffixed -am- of the related noun ts·lela'm HAIL 152.12,16.

4. -d-, -t'- seems to be found only with transitive verbs:

 $w\bar{a}^a himida'^{\epsilon}n$  I speak to him (but with unexpressed object  $w\bar{a}^a himi'xade^{\epsilon}$  I was talking [to somebody]) (59.16; 63.10)

dak'-hene eda' en I wait for him (cf. hene'xade I wait)

 $k!\bar{u}y\bar{u}mida'^{\varepsilon}n$  I call his name from distance, greet him (198.11) (probably derivative of  $k!\bar{u}'yam$  friend! 31.6, 8)

s·omoda'en I cook it (58.10) (cf. s·ümü'xadee I cook)

ts:!ümümt'a<sup>e</sup>n I cook it (170.17,19); future s:ūmt'an <sup>1</sup> (170.16) (ef. s:ümxi' stirring paddle 170.14)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -min $\bar{i}$ k' $da^{\epsilon}n$  I taught him; future  $d\bar{a}^a$ -mi $\bar{n}$ t'an

lawadana'€n I hurt him (186.12)

yamada'en I ask him (70.6; 74.10; 120.16)

wiyimada'en I "wish" to him, work supernatural power on him (57.1)

 $m\bar{\imath}l\bar{\imath}^i\mathrm{d}a'^\varepsilon n$  I love her

 $xa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -ts'! $iw\bar{\imath}$ 't' he split it (26.6) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ -ts'! $iw\bar{\imath}$ 'ts'!au he split it up)

It will be noticed that most of the verbs listed imply, not direct physical action, but rather the direction of one's thought or words toward another person. It is therefore highly probable that the -d- (except possibly in s·omd- cook) is identical with the -d- implied in the -s·- (= -tx-) of the indirect object (§ 47). Unlike the -d- here discussed, however, the -s·- of the indirect object can be used only if the indirect object is not of the third person. It is clear that -d- is not really quite in line with the other suffixes that we have termed "petrified," this being shown, among other things, by the fact that it may be preceded by other suffixes, as in  $d\bar{a}^a$ -min $\bar{\imath}$ -k'- $da^{\varepsilon}n$ .

Evidently quite distinct from this indirective -d- suffix is the -(a)d- suffix of a few intransitive class II verbs in which the -d- is followed by -īi- in aorist, -i- in non-aorist forms (see § 40, 16). This aoristic -ad- appears always umlauted to -id-.

 $cugwid\bar{\imath}^i$ , non-aorist cuk'di- lie curled up  $w\bar{\imath}k!id\bar{\imath}^i$ , non-aorist  $w\bar{\imath}^ik'di$ - lie heaped about  $t'gup!id\bar{\imath}$  (box, canoe) lies bottom side up

5. -t!-. This consonant has been found as an evident suffix in:

 $b\bar{a}^a$ - $di'n\bar{\imath}t!ana^{\epsilon}n$  I strung (dentalia) on line (59.9) (cf. dink!-stretch out)

t'gemzt!iaue it gets dark 188.14 (cf. t'geemt'ga'mx it is quite dark [cf. 196.7]; alt'ge'm black 162.4; [196.6])

§ 42

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ s·om-d- and s· $\ddot{u}$ im-t'a- are parallel forms of one verb that seem to be used with no difference in meaning, though their aorist stems are formed according to different types.

6. -g-, -k'-. As in the case of -b-, it seems advisable to recognize two distinct-g- suffixes, the one appearing as a transitivizing element, the other as a verb-making element added on to nouns or adjectives. Examples of its transitive use are:

 $p!alaga'^{\varepsilon}n$  I tell him a myth

al- $ts!ayaga'^{\varepsilon}n$  I wash him (64.5)

 $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ -wa-gelegi' $\varepsilon$ n I drill for fire with it (88.12)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -k!us·gi'xink' he will pinch me (116.8,12) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ -k!us· $\bar{u}'k!$ <sup>w</sup>as·i he always pinches me)

da-t! $abaga'^{\varepsilon}n$  I finish it (61.8; 176.6)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -dalaga' $mda^\epsilon n$  I put holes in his ear (22.1) (cf.  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dele'p'i he stuck it across his ear)

 $swad\tilde{a}t$ 'g $a^{\epsilon}n$  I run after him (59.13; 75.3; 120.19, 20)

Examples of its use in adjectival intransitives are:

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}k'$  he feels hot, it is hot 94.15 (cf.  $t'\bar{u}$  hot 57.15)

 $d\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}$ k' it is good, he does right 180.11 (cf.  $d\bar{u}$  good, beautiful 58.7,8)

 $t'gun\bar{u}k'de^{\varepsilon}$  I feel cold (90.3) (cf.  $t'g\bar{u}np'ia^{u\varepsilon}t'$  it will be cold)

xuma'k'de<sup>e</sup> I shall be full, satiated (128.11) (cf. xu'ma food 54.4 and s · īx-xu'm dried venison 43.12,13)

gel-dulu'k' $de^{\varepsilon}$  I am lazy

Further examples of -k'- that are difficult to classify are:

de-lümü'sgade<sup>ε</sup> I tell the truth (184.3)

s:in-wilīk'ap'dam you blow your nose

yala'k'de I dive (connected with yal- lose [?]) (60.10,11; 61.11)

In wa-t!ilīk'niɛn i gave each one (130.4) (future wa-dīlnhin) and in the morphologically analogous dāa-minīk'daɛn i taught him (future dāamiñt'an), the -k'- is confined to the aorist. In wēt'gi he took it from him 16.13, the -g- is found only in the third personal object of the various tense-modes (wēt'gin it was taken from him 13.11; wede'k'ink' he will take it from him (17.10,11). All other forms of the aorist stem weed- (verbstem wede-) lack it:

wēsi (from \*wēt'si) he took it from me (17.3) wede'sbink' he will take it from you (16.10,11)

7. -k!--, -k!w-. These elements seem to be characteristic of transitives. Examples are:

wī-ī-t'ge'ye-k!in he is surrounded on all sides (transitives and passives are closely related) 48.5,13; (176.14)

al-p!īi-ts:/u'luk!iin I burn it (73.9,12; 96.26) (cf. al-p!īi-ts:/u'l-ts:/alhip' do ye burn it! 198.10)

 $d\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $sg\ddot{u}'y\ddot{u}$ k! $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I make it fall (48.7,8,12)

he ec-de-le'lek!ien I finish talking 50.4

 $di'nik!a^{\epsilon}n$  I stretch it out (see under suffix -t!-) (59.9; 62.1)

 $he'yek!i^{\epsilon}n$  I left it over (61.7; 196.8)

 $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{u}$ k! $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I name him (158.5) (cf.  $p!\bar{u}'w\bar{u}p!ausi$  he keeps calling me)

ts:!ini'ek' he pinched it 31.1; (32.7)

ba-i-yunu'k!ien I pull it out forcibly

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{i}$ - $le'mek!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I killed them off (14.13; 43.1; 108.20)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -go'yok! $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I pushed him (49.2) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ -goyogiyi' $^{\varepsilon}n$  I kept pushing him)

ba-i-s·in-xi'lik!wi<sup>ɛ</sup>n I blow my nose (cf. xīn mucus)

p!a-i-t'gwili'k!wana<sup>e</sup>n I spill (water, blood) (58.1; 72.8) (cf. t'gwilī'it'gwal<sup>e</sup> it keeps dropping)

-k!- seems to occur also in the perhaps only secondarily intransitive:  $b\bar{a}^a$ -s·ow $\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}$ k'ap'd $e^{\varepsilon}$  (=-s·ow $\bar{o}'^{u}$ k!-hap'-) I jump up (48.15; 49.1) (cf. s·o'w $\bar{o}^u$ s·a $^{u\varepsilon}$  he keeps jumping [112.5,10])

8. -ts:!-. Only in a very few cases is this suffixed consonant met with: t'qeits:!i round thing lies (138.24)

dī-t'gumu'to!i<sup>e</sup>n I squeeze and crack it (cf. dī-t'gumu't'gimi<sup>e</sup>n I squeeze and crack many insects)

 $yow\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}$ s he starts 186.10;  $yow\bar{o}'^{u}$ ts! $ana^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to start ha-yau-t'qe'nets! $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I put it about my waist

ha<sup>e</sup>w-ī-ha'nats!i<sup>e</sup>n I made it stop (raining) (152.16)

Judging from these few examples,  $-ts\cdot!$ - is characteristic, like -b-, -g-, -p!-, -k!-, and -t!-, of transitive verbs; t'geits $\cdot!$ î is probably related to a transitive \*t'ge'yets $\cdot!$ a $^{\varepsilon}n$ , as is dink!î it lies stretched out to di'nik! $a^{\varepsilon}n$ .

-s- occurs as an evident suffix in:

 $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - $t!\bar{\imath}^isi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I mashed them (cf.  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - $t!iy\bar{\imath}'t!iya^{\varepsilon}n$  I mashed them one after another)

9. -(a)l. This suffix includes both intransitives and transitives:

al-gesegasa'lt'e I was washing

 $k'ebil\tilde{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I was long absent (124.20)

 $s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} w i l \tilde{t}' e^{\varepsilon} I \text{ sit } (21.1); 72.9; (178.21)$ 

yamlît'e I look pretty ([?] = fat, sleek; cf. ya'mx fat, grease 54.5)

al-we'k!alaen I shine (126.3; 128.14)

ī-t'wīiyili'<sup>ε</sup>n I make it whirl up

ī-k!e ewili'€n I whirl it around

ī-t'ge eyili'€n I roll it around

al-t'gīiya'lx (tears) roll down his face 138.25

 $b\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t' $gw\bar{a}^a l$ a'lx (children) run about

k'ewe'k'awa<sup>ɛ</sup>l he barks

de-gülü'k!alx it was blazing 188.15

The idea of unbroken continuity is fairly evidently shown by these examples to be connected with the suffix -(a)l.

10. -(n)n. Quite a number of intransitives are found that have this element, to which no particular meaning can be assigned. Such are:

 $s \cdot as \cdot inīt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I stand (34.1; 77.9; 144.14,17)

moyūgwa'nt'e I'm spoiled

 $h\ddot{u}^{i}$ li'nt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I am tired (102.1) (cf.  $h\ddot{u}$ l $\ddot{u}'$ hilint' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I used to be tired [48.11])

ligīnt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I am resting (100.14) (cf. ligilaga'nt' he kept resting 102.1)

In a large number of transitives a suffixed -n- is also found, without its being clearly possible to identify it either with the causative -n- or the indirect objective -n(an)- for:

lawadana'en I hurt him (186.12)

ts:!ibina'en I make a speech to him (146.11; 178.11)

 $wa-t!il\bar{\imath}k$ 'n $i^{\varepsilon}n$  I gave each one (130.4)

k!emna'n I shall make it (28.2,13,14) (aorist without object k!eme'nxa<sup>\varepsilon</sup> he makes)

 $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{u}^{u}gwini^{\epsilon}n$  I drink it with it  $(\bar{u}^{u}gwa^{\epsilon}nxde^{\epsilon}$  I drink)

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $w\bar{a}^ag$ ini'n she is bought with it

The last two examples are rather different in character from the others. See § 64.

- 11. -w-. Two apparently quite distinct -w-suffixes must be taken account of.
  - (1) A suffixed -w- is found to characterize in all forms a group of intransitives belonging to Type 2; it is only in certain derivative forms that the -w- is lacking, and thereby possibly shown to be a non-radical element:

hiwiliūt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I ran to (24.1), but hiwilīlt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I used to run to sgele
üt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I shouted (196.1), but sgelēlt' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I kept shouting (59.3)

Examples of this group of verbs are:

sgele'us he shouted 59.4; 90.8
hiwili'us he ran to 47.1; 70.7

bili'us he jumped 48.9; 58.3
de-wiliwa'ldas I fight him (derivative of intransitive)(27.3)
hili'us he climbed (77.8)

sgelwa'et' he will shout
hiwilwa'et' he will run to
(136.21)
bilwa'et' he will jump (160.16)
de-wilwa'ldan I shall fight him
(33.2,3)

hilwa'et' he will climb

¹Still, in these frequentative (usitative) forms the absence of the -w- may be accounted for by supposing that it dropped off as a syllabic final after a consonant (see § 18). Then sgelēlt'e<sup>e</sup> is for an older\*sgelēlw'e<sup>e</sup>. This supposition is greatly strengthened by the future sgelwa'lt'e<sup>e</sup>1'LL KEEP SHOUTING (cf. sgelwada'<sup>e</sup> YOU WILL SHOUT).

In non-acristic forms the phonetic conditions may, as usual, necessitate an inorganic -a-:

ge wila'u run there! (29.10) sgela'ut'e' I shall shout bila'ut'e' I shall jump (160.17)

- In these cases the evidence for the suffixal character of the -w- is rather slim. In one verb, however, it has a clearly intransitivizing influence:
  - t!emeyana'ue (second a inor- : t!amayana'en I take her to her ganic) he goes with woman husband (148.5) to see her married 148.6
  - t!emeya'nwia<sup>uε</sup> they (indef.) go with her to see her married 178.1
- (2) -w- (-aw- after a consonant in the aorist) is characteristic of all tense-modes but, in some cases, the present imperative and inferential (probably for phonetic reasons, see §§ 11 and 18) of a number of transitive verbs, provided the object is of the third person. Such verbs are:
  - gayawa'<sup>e</sup>n I eat it 30.11 (gayaŭ he ate it 54.5); future ga-iwa'n 128.18; noun of agent ga-iwa'<sup>e</sup>s eater (of it) 94.3; but imperative gaī eat it! 32.4; gaīk' he ate it (inferential) 142.19
  - al-sgalawi'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I turn my head to look at him; future  $sg\bar{a}^a lwi'n$ ; part. sgala'uk' (-a'- is inorganic) 144.17; but  $sg\bar{a}lk'a^{\varepsilon}$  I looked at him turning my head (inferential)
  - al-sgalāaliwi'an (Type 8) I keep turning my head to look at him; future sgalwalwi'n; but sgelēlxi he keeps turning his head to look at me
  - ba-i-de-ye<sup>e</sup>giwida'<sup>ε</sup> you will drive (sickness) out of (body) 198.4,5; imperative -ye<sup>e</sup>ga'u
  - $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I brought it to him (176.17); future wagawi'n; but  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^{\varepsilon}n$  I brought it to you (194.11)
  - $l\bar{a}^a l a' u h i$  he caused them to become ( $l\bar{a}^a l$  become) 43.1
- It is very likely that the absence of the -w- is conditioned, at least in certain forms, rather by phonetic than by morphologic motives (gaī from \* gaīw; sgālk'aē from \*sgālwk'aē). This is rendered plausible by a form like ga-iwawa'lsbink' they will Always eat you 26.8 (repetition of -w- in frequentative as in al-sgalwalwi'n), in which the object is not of the third person. The -w- seems to have been retained here because of the following yowel. The form wāaga'ēn i brought it (110.17) as com-

pared with  $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  i brought it to him (future waga'n: wagawi'n) suggests that the signification of the -w- in transitive verbs is to indicate the indirect object, at least for the third person. It is, however, almost certainly accidental that  $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^{\epsilon}n$  stands by the side of  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^{\epsilon}n$  with -s- to indicate the indirect object. That -w- is not the morphologic equivalent of -s- is evidenced by the fact that it stands also by the side of the transitive connective consonant -x- (cf. al- $sgalawi'^{\epsilon}n$ : al- $sgala'xbi^{\epsilon}n$  i turn my head to look at you). It must be confessed that after all no very distinct signification can be attached to either the intransitive or transitive -w-.

12. Constant -a. A number of verbs whose stem (including petrified suffix) ends in two consonants add to this stem an -a that appears in all their forms, even though the consonant combination is one that may stand in a final position (cf. footnote, § 10). No reason can be assigned for the retention of the -a in all forms, except the ruling analogy of the aorist; in this tense-mode the -a is in all probability directly due to the consonant-cluster, as the aorist verb-forms to be presently given differ in this very respect from the aorist forms of other stems ending in two consonants (e. g., non-aorist s'\vec{w}\vec{w}mt'a- boll with constant -a-, though ending in a finally permissible consonant-cluster, because of aorist ts'\vec{v}m\vec{w}mt'a-; contrast non-aorist s'omd- boll without -a- because of aorist s'omod-). The following are examples of verbs of the character described:

swadāt'ga he followed him 75.3 mats!āsga he always put it 132.9 ts:!ümūmt'a he boils it 30.2 dā²-minīk'da he taught him Non-aorist

swa't'ga follow him!

masga' put it! 104.5

s·ūmt'a boil it!

dāa-miñt'a teach him! (contrast wāahīmt' talk to him!

with aorist -himid-)

If the verb is instrumental in vocalism (see § 64), the constant a is replaced by the instrumental i. Thus:

ī-k!os·õs·gi he keeps pinching him

That this constant -a is felt to be somewhat different in character from ordinary inorganic or connective -a- (as in  $ts \cdot lela'mt'e^*$  or  $w\bar{a}^a ga'sbi^e n$ ) is shown by the fact that it is changed to -i- when-

ever the object is not of the third person, in reciprocals, in reflexives, and in verbs with non-agentive -x-:

swedēt'gixi he followed me

dāa-minīk'dixbi he taught you

 $yow\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}snixbi^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause you to start (but parallel  $yow\bar{o}'^{u}ts!anxbi^{\varepsilon}n$  with connecting a)

 $way\tilde{a}nhixbi^{\varepsilon}n$  I put you to sleep;  $wa\tilde{\imath}nhixigam$  I was put to sleep

*ī-k!üs-ūs-gixi* he keeps pinching me; *ī-k!üs-gi'xink*' he will pinch me

*ī-t!ene'hisdam* you hold me 86.13,14.

ī-lasgi'xant'p' touch one another!

ī-lesgi'k'wit' touching himself

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek!ēlhix $de^{\epsilon}$  I keep bobbing up (60.11,13,14)

# § 43. FREQUENTATIVES AND USITATIVES

Frequentatives, continuatives, and usitatives are formed from simpler verb forms in great part by various methods of repetition of all or part of the phonetic material of the stem, to a somewhat less extent by means of suffixation. In many repetitive forms a distinct tendency to use a long vowel provided with a rising pitch-accent is observable. As it has not been found feasible to draw anything like sharp lines between the exact significations of the various repetitive forms, it seems best to dispose of the material from a purely formal point of view rather than to attempt to classify it rigidly into frequentatives, iteratives, usitatives, and continuatives. The methods of forming repetitives will be taken up in order.

1. Type 13 of Stem-Formation. It was remarked before that most verbs of this type normally employed in that form are such as to imply a repetition of the action they express. The type may, moreover, be freely formed from bases implying non-repetitive action whenever it is desired to convey a general frequentative or usitative meaning. The frequentative idea may have reference to the repetition of the act itself (iterative or usitative) or to the plurality of the transitive object or intransitive subject affected (distributive); any sharp characterization of the manner of the frequentative action in each case is, however, doubtless artificial apart from the context. The following examples of repetitive with corresponding non-repetitive forms will illustrate the general frequentative force:

Non-repetitive verb-stem

lebe-pick up and eat (seeds)

loho-n- cause to die

wog- arrive

[t!oxox- (aorist) gather

 $d\bar{o}^u x$ - (non-aorist)

hen-d- wait for

odo- hunt for

og- give to

dōum- kill

 $w\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - go, travel

 $p!\bar{a}^ag$ - swim ts:!iu-d- split

sgīp!- cut

hül-p!- skin, peel off bark

hog- run

 $he^{\epsilon}l$ - sing al- $h\bar{u}i$ -x- hunt

Repetitive

le' ep'lap' (non-aorist) pick and eat many (seeds)! 34.2 loho'lahanaen I used to kill

them

 $wogowa'^{\varepsilon}k'$  many arrived 112.2  $(wa^{\varepsilon}-\bar{t}-t!oxo't!ixi^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to

gather them

 $wa^{\epsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d\bar{o}xda'xk'$  they have been gathering them (inferential)

hene'handaen I always used to wait for him

 $odo'^{\epsilon}at'$  she always hunted for them 116.6

ogo'<sup>€</sup>ak'i he always gave them 112.17

 $d\bar{v}^u m da' m \bar{k}'$  he used to kill them (inferential) 25.1; 27.15

 $wiyiwit'e^{\epsilon}$  I used to go (there) (96.1)

 $p!aga'p!a^{\varepsilon}k'$  he used to swim  $xa^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-ts\cdot !iw\bar{\imath}'its\cdot !au$  he split it to pieces

sgī<sup>i€</sup>p'sga'p'gam they had been all cut up (21.2; 138.7)

he e - ī-hü'lühal he kept peeling off bark (160.5)

hogo'hak'de I am always running

hele'hal's he used to sing al-h $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'h\bar{i}'x$  he always hunted (- $h\bar{i}'$ -=-hay-, §8) 86.1

It will be observed that the repetitive form is, on the whole, built up on the verbal base, not the verb or agrist stem. Thus, e. g., the verb-stems lebe- and loho- do not enter into the formation of the frequentatives at all, which are formed, according to Type 13a, directly from the simple bases leb- (verb-stem leep'lab-, agrist lebelab-) and loh- (verb-stem lohlah-, agrist loholah-). Similarly, a form like p!aga'p!aek shows no trace of the agrist stem p!agai-of the simplex; verbs of Type 6 generally show the fortis consonant of the base in all forms of the frequentative (see §40, 6): sgot!o'sgidien is cut it to pieces (144.2) (cf. sgō'udaen i cut it 72.10, base sgōt!-

45.10). Suffixes with no distinct derivative signification drop off in the frequentative (cf. ts:!iu-d- and hül-p!- above, also §42 passim), but, if they are functional elements, are put after the reduplicated complex (cf. loho-n- and hen-d- above); frequentatives thus become, as was indicated in the treatment of petrified suffixes, criteria for the determination of the simple base. Some verbs, however, retain a petrified suffix in the frequentative without apparent reason: ts:!ümümt'a he boils it; ts:!ümü'ts:!amt'a he always boils it.

The only use made of the aorist stem in the formation of frequentatives is in the case of such forms as have an initial fortis in the aorist as against a media in the verb-stem, mainly verbs of Type 8. The aorist of the corresponding frequentative also shows the initial fortis, but is not otherwise influenced by the form of the aorist stem of its simplex; e. g., aorist of simplex, t!oxox, but of frequentative, t!ox-o-t!ax- with retained t!-. Such verbs as aorist t!oxot!ax, non-aorist  $d\bar{o}^uxdax$ -, are to be considered as of mixed type (in this case partly 8, partly 13a).

Verbs like  $odo^ead$ - and  $ogo^eag$ - with a secondarily developed glottal catch in the aorist (see §6) seem to retain this catch in non-aorist forms, a stop+the catch resulting in a fortis:

aorist ogo'eag- always give to; non-aorist o'k![w]ag-

A small sub-class is formed by those frequentatives that omit the -a- of the repeated base (Type 13c). Such are:

Verb-stem

wa-yanagwa'n I shall run after him

waīt'e<sup>e</sup> I shall sleep (71.15; 142.14)

 $he^{\rho}$ -yo $^{u}$ n $\alpha'$  $^{n}$  I shall sing a song (106.7)

waga'n I shall bring it

Repetitive

wa-yana-in $agwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to run after him

wayaŭhi $de^{\varepsilon}$  I used to sleep (-h- conditioned by accent) yonoin $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I always sing it

wagao'k'na<sup>e</sup>n I used to bring it (?=\*wagawg-, but see 4, footnote) (45.6)

A very peculiar type of frequentative formation is illustrated by:

loha'lhik' (a' is inorganic) they used to die (inferential) (168.9); aorist stem doubtless loholhi-

derived from a orist lohoi- die, non-aorist loho- (contrast a orist loho-lah-an-, non-aorist lohlah-an in the causative). The otherwise purely a oristic -i- of Type 4 is here dragged into the non-aorist forms.

3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-9

2. Type 4 of Reduplication. This method of forming the frequentative seems to be but a variant of the first (the repeated initial consonant coming last instead of immediately after the connecting vowel, or the initial consonant not being repeated at all if there is a petrified suffix), and is found in only a few verbs, where it takes the place of the first method. A glottal catch generally separates the repeated vowel of the stem from the immediately following a. Examples are:

Aorist stem Repetitive k!eme'<sup>ε</sup>amga<sup>ε</sup>n I always make  $k!eme \begin{Bmatrix} -n-\\ -i- \end{Bmatrix}$ make it (instead of \*k!eme' $k!ama^{\varepsilon}n)$  (77.5);  $k!em^{\varepsilon}a'mk'$  $(=-\epsilon amg-k')$  he used to make it (inferential) 122.18  $t!omo'amda^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to kill t!omom- kill them (instead of \*t!omo' $t!ama^{\epsilon}n)$  (13.10; 54.3)  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}auga^{\varepsilon}n$  I used to throw  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}w$ - throw away (pl. obj.) them away (instead of  $*k!\bar{u}$  $w\bar{u}'k!awa^{\epsilon}n)$  (134.6) p!ūwū'ea-ugaen I keep calling  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}$ -k!- call, name his name (100.21) (instead of \* $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'p!auk!a^{\varepsilon}n$ ; cf.  $p!\bar{u}'$  $w\bar{u}p!ausi$  he keeps calling me by name) de-ts:!inī'anx he always died de-ts: $lini^{\varepsilon}$ -x-(=ts:lini-k!-x-) die (instead of \*ts:!inī'ts:!anx) 74.7 *leme-k!*- take along (cf. 108.10) leme'amk' he used to take (everything) \*leme'lamk') (instead of

If the initial consonant is a fortis, it becomes a media when repeated, as illustrated in the first three examples. This may be explained by catch dissimilation (see §22)—e. g., a theoretical  $*k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}au^{\varepsilon}k'$  (from  $*k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'k!au$ ) is dissimilated to  $k!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}auk'$ . Similarly a theoretical  $*p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}au^{\varepsilon}k'$  (from  $*p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'p!au^{\varepsilon}k'$ ) is dissimilated to  $p!\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}auk'$ . The non-aorist frequentative forms of these verbs sometimes follow the first method of formation (cf.  $d\bar{o}^u mda'mk'$  under method 1), sometimes the second (as  $k!em^{\varepsilon}amg$ -).

3.  $c+v+c_1+v+c$ . The few verbs that belong here differ from the preceding in that they repeat only the initial consonant after the repeated stem-vowel (Type 11). An example is:

Aorist stem  $dar{\imath}$ - $t!ar{u}gar{u}i$ - wear

Repetitive

 $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{u}g\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}t'$  he keeps wearing it, used to wear it

As in the first method, so also in the second and third, non-radical functionless elements of the simplex disappear in the frequentative. Thus the suffixed -i- of  $k!em\tilde{e}\bar{\imath}$  HE MADE IT and -n- of  $k!eme'nxa^{\varepsilon}$  HE MAKES, also the agrist characteristic of  $d\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{u}g\bar{u}\bar{\imath}$  HE WORE IT, are not found in their corresponding frequentative forms.

4.  $v+c+v^v+c$ . The large number of verbs whose frequentatives follow this formula (1a of types of reduplication) always have another consonant, whether part of the stem or a petrified suffix, after the nonfortis repeated consonant characterizing the frequentative, so that the appearance at least of infixation is often produced. Externally, frequentatives of this type resemble aorists of verbs of Type 8, but differ from them in the consistent length of the repeated vowel. In signification these verbs are generally continuative or usitative rather than properly frequentative or iterative. As examples may be given:

k!os·o-g- pinch
himi-d- talk to
baxam- come
t!ülü-g- follow
al-sgal-aw- turn head to look at
gaya-w- eat hene-d- wait for
p!alag- tell a myth
hem-g- take out
$\bar{u}y\bar{u}^{\epsilon}s$ laugh
ts!ayag- shoot
yilim- ask for

Aorist stem

$i-k!os \cdot \tilde{o}s \cdot g i$ he is always pinch-
ing him
$war{a}^a$ - $himar{\imath}^imda'^arepsilon_n$ I used to talk
to him
$bax\tilde{a}xmia^{u\varepsilon}$ they keep coming
(194.13)
ha-t!ülüülga' <sup>ε</sup> n I keep follow-
ing in (trail)
al-sgalāaliwi'em I keep turning
my head to look at them
gayāiwa'⁵n I used to eat it
heneenda'en I keep waiting
for him
$p!al\bar{a}^alga'n$ the myth is always
told
$ba$ -i-heme $^emga'^{\varepsilon}n$ I always
took them out
$\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}\bar{\iota}^{i}s\cdot de^{\epsilon}$ (dissimilated from
* $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}s$ '-[?]) I keeplaugh-
ing
ts!ayaīk' he used to shoot them
154.14
yilīinma'⁵n I keep asking for
it (see § 21)
§ 43

Repetitive

Aorist stem	Repetitive
ts!aya-m- hide	ts!aya-ima' <sup>ε</sup> n I always hide it
	(134.8)
gini-g go to	ginink' they went there one
	after another 46.11
mats!ag- put	mats!ãsga they always put it
	away 132.9
wits:!im- move	wits∙!ĩsmade <sup>ε</sup> I keep moving
sgelew- shout	$sgel\tilde{e}lt^{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep
	shouting (59.3)
hiwiliw- run to	$hiwilīlt'e^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep
	running

The verb *yewei*- RETURN seems to form its frequentative according to method 4, but with added *-g-*:

yewè'ok' he used to come back 47.4; 116.2; yewèoga't' you used to come back; yewèo'k'de<sup>e</sup>, yewèūk'de<sup>e1</sup> I used to come back

There is not enough material available to determine in every case the non-agristic forms of the frequentatives of this group. As a general rule, however, it seems that the non-aoristic stem of the frequentative is formed by repeating a consonant or semi-vowel, but in such a manner as to indicate the non-aoristic simplex back of it. Thus the frequentative of the inferential ts:!aimk' He Hid it is ts:!a-imīk' He WAS ALWAYS HIDING IT; of bil[a]uk' HE JUMPED 160.17 it is bilwalk' (?=\*bilwàlwk') They always Jumped 160.16. From gaīk' (inferential) HE ATE IT 142.19 is formed gayaîk' (if really inferential in form; perhaps third person subject agrist gayaig- in contrast to -gayāiw of other persons, see above) HE USED TO EAT IT 54.6, which, though resembling the agrist in the repetition of the stem-vowel, differs from it, probably for phonetic reasons, in the absence of the -w-. The form wits:!è'smade HE WILL KEEP MOVING, given as the future of wits: !īsmade , can not, for want of parallel forms, be accounted for. From  $sq\bar{a}^{a}lw$ . non-aorist of sgalaw-, is formed the frequentative sgalw-alw- (perhaps according to Type 8, lw-being a consonatic unit).

5. Vowel lengthening. Many verbs, particularly such as belong to Type 2, obtain a usitative signification by merely lengthening the short repeated vowel of the stem, this vowel, when stressed, assuming the falling accent. Examples of this simple process are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is not at all certain that the -o- (-u-) of these forms really represents the -w- of the stem. It is quite probable that there is a distinct type of frequentative in repeated vowel+-og-, in which case wagao'-k'na<sup>c</sup>n I USED TO BRING IT (see above under 1) would be another example.

Simplex

yimi's a he dreams lük!ü'xa he sets traps geyewa'lxde da ba-ik!iyi'k when

I ate he came

k'ewe'k'awa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>l he barks

Repetitive

yimī'is'a<sup>\varepsilon</sup> he is always dreaming lük!ū'uxa<sup>\varepsilon</sup> he used to set traps geye<sup>\varepsilon</sup> wa'lxde<sup>\varepsilon</sup> da<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ba-i-k!iyī'<sup>i\varepsilon</sup> whenever I used to eat he came

k'ewe'ek'awael he is always barking

As the last example shows, by this method verbs which are already frequentative in form can be made to take on a usitative meaning.

6.  $\tilde{v}+(c+)$  ha. The accented vowel  $(\tilde{v})$  of frequentatives conforming to this formula is either the second vowel of the stem of the simplex or the repeated vowel of the stem not found in the simplex, and is followed by the last consonant (semi-vowel) of such verb-stems as end in two consonants. The forms that belong to this group seem in some cases to have rather a continuative than iterative force. Examples are:

Simplex

Repetitive

lohon he caused them to die lohonha he keeps killing them (100.8)

liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$  I looked (59.14)  $w\tilde{o}^u lt'$  she went for (wood) (nonaorist woo-) (162.8); 186.6  $d\bar{a}^a$ -sqek! $\bar{\imath}$  he listened 102.8

wo<sup>c</sup>õ<sup>u</sup>ha she used to go for wood 43.15; 158.18 dā<sup>a</sup>-saekteāha he listened around

liwilhaut'e I kept looking (144.19)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -agani'en I heard it (55.3)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -sgek!eiha he listened around 102.3  $d\bar{a}^a$ -agānhi $^\epsilon$ n I used to hear about

 $s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} wil\overline{\imath}$  he sits, stays 21.1

it  $[s \cdot \bar{u}'^{\epsilon} alh a^{\epsilon}]$  they always stayed (to-

{ gether) 112.2 |s·ū'ealhibik' we always stay together

 $s \cdot as \cdot in \tilde{\iota} t' e^{\varepsilon} I \text{ stand } (34.1)$ 

 $s \cdot as \cdot a' n hap' de^{\varepsilon}$  I stand around

The last two examples do not show a rising pitch-accent, because the vowel (-a-) preceding the -l- and -n- respectively is inorganic and therefore incapable of carrying a rising or raised accent (cf. as parallel bila'ut'e' is shall jump, not \*bilaūt'e', because of inorganic -a-). They also illustrate the loss in the frequentative of a non-radical element ( $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -) of the simplex; in  $s \cdot \bar{u}'^i$  alhae the loss of the  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -involves also the transfer of the verb to the first class of intransitives (second person singular, Class I,  $s \cdot \bar{u}'^i$  alhae you stay around; Class I,  $s \cdot u^i$  wil $\bar{\imath}^i$  am you sit).

7.  $\tilde{v} + lha$ . It is very probable that the verbs that belong here contain the continuative -l- treated under the head of petrified suffixes (see § 42, 9). The formula may then be considered morphologically identical with that listed as method 5, except that the continuative -l- is introduced before the -ha. Examples of this group are:

Repetitive Aorist (or verb) stem wa-ɛi-t!oxolhien I always gather t!oxox- gather them  $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'ek! $\bar{e}lhixia^{u\varepsilon}$ thev all emerged 60.11  $(b\bar{a}^a-t'ek!-x \text{ emerge})$ bāa-t'ek!ēlhixde<sup>ε</sup> I keep emerging (60.14) $xa^{-\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}$ - $sgip!\imath lhi$  he cut them all  $(sg\bar{\imath}p!-cut)$ through 26.11  $xa^{-\epsilon}\bar{\imath}-y\bar{a}^a-k!od\tilde{\imath}lhi$  he always just k!ot'k!ad- break broke them in two 29.1 al-xik!īlhi<sup>ε</sup>n I used to see him  $(al-x\bar{\imath}k!-see)$ gwidīlha he kept throwing it qwidi(k'wd)- throw (164.11)lok!õlha he was always trapping (lok!- trap) them 78.4; 100.4

The non-aoristic forms of these frequentatives dispense with the repeated vowel  $(\tilde{v})$  characteristic of the aorist, so that the introduction of an inorganic -a'- is necessitated:

gwida'lhan I shall keep throwing it al-xik!a'lhik' I used to see him (inferential)

The remarks made under method 1 in regard to the formation of frequentatives directly from the verb-stem rather than the aorist stem apply also here ( $sgot!\tilde{o}lha$  108.8 from verb-stem  $sg\bar{o}t!$ - cur, aorist  $sg\bar{o}^ud$ -, like sgot!o'sgat').

8. v+w+v+lha. Only two verbs have been found that follow this very irregular formula for the frequentative:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Simplex} & \text{Repetitive} \\ l\tilde{a}p' \text{ become! 25.2} \\ l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}' \text{ it became 22.7} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} lawa'lhip' \text{ always become! (78.5)} \\ dah\tilde{o}xa \ lawa'lhida^\epsilon \text{ whenever it} \\ \text{ became evening 44.1; 78.6} \\ ligigwa'^\epsilon n \ \ I \ \text{ fetch (game)} \\ \text{ home (70.3,5; 164.4)} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} liwi'lhagwa^\epsilon n \ \ I \ \text{ always come} \\ \text{ home with (game) (136.2)} \end{array}$ 

The latter of these shows at the same time an unaccountable loss of the -g- of the stem; the future of the simplex,  $l\bar{\iota}^i gwa'n$ , probably does not exhibit an absolute loss of the -g-, but rather a contraction of  $l\bar{\iota}^i g$ -gw- to  $l\bar{\iota}^i gw$ -.

### TRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 44-51)

# § 44. General Remarks

Under this head may be conveniently listed a number of suffixes that either transitivize intransitives (causative, comitative, indirective -amd-, -ald-) or are characteristic of transitive verbs (indirective -s- = -tx- -t

# § 45. Causative -(a)n-

Causatives are formed from intransitives by the addition of -nto the intransitive form, minus, of course, its formal pronominal elements. If the final sound preceding the -n- is a vowel, the suffix can be directly appended, the vowel being generally lengthened; a final consonant (or semivowel), however, generally, though not always, requires a connective -a- (-i when umlauted) between it and the suffix; doublets (with and without connective -a-) sometimes occur, the combination of consonant + -n- then taking a constant -a (-i) after it. If the accented vowel  $(\tilde{v})$  of the agricultary precedes the -nin all forms, an inorganic -h- must be introduced, the combination -nh- then necessitating a following constant -a; doublets, conditioned by the position of the accent, here also occur. Certain suffixed elements (-i-, -vi-) characteristic of intransitives drop off before the causative -n-, yet in some forms they are retained; intransitivizing elements naturally remain, for without them the verb would itself be transitive and incapable of becoming a causative. The agrist and nonaorist forms of the causative, with the qualification just made, are built up on the corresponding tense-mode forms of the primitive verb. Examples of causative -(a)n- are:

Intransitive

yelnada'<sup>ε</sup> you will be lost (a palatalized by preceding y to -e-) 14.3 yowo'<sup>ε</sup> he is 21.1

Causative

 $yalnanada'^{\varepsilon}$  you will lose it

bā-ī-yowoni'-n I woke him up (literally, I caused him to be up with my hand) 16.4

§§ 44-45

Intransitive

Causative

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}k'$  he is hot 94.15 ba-i-biliwa't you ran out 24.15

 $h\tilde{a}x$  it burns 94.18

 $t'aga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he cries 62.2

| hoyo'et' he dances 46.12 | hoida'et' he will dance

 $y\bar{a}^a n$ - go (aorist)

yana- go (non-aorist)

hene's they were used up 184.6 yowo's he started, was startled 186.10

 $y\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}sd\bar{a}^a$  he will start 186.10

 $t!obig ilde{\imath}$  he lies like dead

 $t!obaga'sd\bar{a}^a$  he will lie like dead (148.8)

s-as-in $\tilde{\imath}$  he stands 144.14

 $s \cdot a' s \cdot ant \dot{a}^a$  he will stand

de-gülü'k!alx it blazes 188.15

 $p'ele'xa^{\varepsilon}$  he goes to war 126.13

dak'- $lim \tilde{\imath} m x g w a^{\varepsilon}$  (tree) falls on him (108.12)

ba-i-yowona'en I miss him in shooting (?=I cause him to be out) (138.5)

|ba-i-yow $\tilde{o}$ nha $^{\epsilon}n$ 

t'ūwūgana'en I make him hot ba-i-biliwana't' he ran him out {hãxna he burned it 98.8

 $\hbar \tilde{a} x$ ank'wa he burned him up 27.16

\$\int t'ag\bar{a}^a na'^\varepsilon I make him cry \\ t'eg\bar{e}nxi he makes me cry

hoyodana'en I make him dance hoidana'n I shall make him dance

 $\begin{cases} y\bar{a}^a n \text{a'n he made him go; } y\bar{a}^a - \\ n \text{an} a'^{\epsilon} n \text{ I made him go} \end{cases}$ 

 $y\tilde{a}$ nha (= \* $y\tilde{a}n$ -nha) he made him go;  $y\tilde{a}$ nha n I made him go.

yanā<sup>a</sup>na'n¹ I shall cause him to go

 $\bar{\imath}$ -henenini' $\bar{\imath}$ n I used them up  $\begin{cases} y\bar{o}w\bar{o}'^{u}ts! \mathrm{an}xbi^{\varepsilon}n \ \mathrm{I \ startled \ you} \\ yow\bar{o}'^{u\varepsilon}\mathrm{sni}xbi^{\varepsilon}n \ \mathrm{(for \ change \ of \ }a \\ \mathrm{to \ }i \mathrm{\ see \ \$ \ }42, 12) \end{cases}$ 

 $\begin{cases} y\bar{o}'^u ts! \text{an} an \text{ I shall startle him} \\ y\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon} \text{sna} n \end{cases}$ 

t!obigīnha<sup>e</sup>n I make him lie like dead

t!obaga'snan I shall make him lie like dead

 $\begin{cases} s \cdot as \cdot in \tilde{\imath} n \text{ha}^{\epsilon} n & \text{I} \text{ make him} \\ \text{stand} \end{cases}$ 

l*s∙as*∙ãnha<sup>ε</sup>n

s:a's:anhan I shall make him stand

de-gülü'k!alxna $^{\epsilon}n$  I make fire blaze

p'ele'xana<sup>e</sup>n I make him go to war

dak'-limīmxgwadini<sup>e</sup>n I chop (tree) on to him

<sup>1</sup> Also yana'k'nan I SHALL MAKE HIM GO, with inserted and unexplained suffix -k'-.

Intransitive Causative

yewe'<sup>iɛ</sup> he returned 49.10;  $b\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{i}$ -yewēn he cured him (lit-88.5 erally, he caused him with his hand to return up) 15.2

The causative in -vnha- is sometimes usitative in meaning:

lohonha he used to kill them; lohon he killed them 142.9

Examples occur of transitives in -n- formed from intransitives in which no causative notion can be detected:

da- $l\tilde{o}$ nha $^{\epsilon}n$  I lied to him; de- $l\tilde{u}$ nhixi he lied to me (intransitive da- $l\tilde{o}$ t' $e^{\epsilon}$  I shall lie [110.23])

gel-wayā°na'°n I slept with her (26.4); gel-wa-ina'n I shall sleep with her (108.3) (intransitive wayãnt'e° I sleep [188.22]; waīt'e° I shall sleep [188.20]); but wayãnha°n I cause him to sleep (162.1); waīnhan I shall cause him to sleep, waīnha put him to sleep! 106.4,8

The connective a of the causative suffix -an- in the aorist is treated differently from the a of the non-aorist forms in so far as in the former case the -an- diphthong, when stressed, receives a raised accent, while in the latter the a, as a strictly inorganic element, takes the falling accent. Thus:

 $h\bar{o}^u gw$ a'n he made him run he  $(yew\tilde{e}$ n he caused him to return) ye  $(p!ag\tilde{a}$ n he bathed him [186.25]) p

hogwa'n make him run!  $ye^{\epsilon}wa'$ n make him return!  $p!\bar{a}^{a}ga'$ n bathe him! 186.24

In other words, the phonetic relation between a rist and non-a rist illustrated by several verb types (e. g., agan - : ag[a]n -) is reflected also in the causative suffix (-an - : -[a]n -). The same is true of other -[a]n -suffixes not causative in signification (see § 42, 10):

Aorist

Non-aorist

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^uma$ 'n he fixed it 150.13 ( $k!em\bar{e}nxbi^en$  I make you 27.9)

*ī-k!ūma'n* fix it! *k!ema'n* make it! 186.24

# § 46. Comitative -(a) gw

Comitatives, i. e., transitive forms with the general meaning of to do some action (expressed by verb-stem) together with, attended by, having something (expressed by object of verb), may be formed only from intransitives by the suffix -gw- (final -k'\*, rarely -k'wa in monosyllables); after a consonant (including semivowel) a connective -a- appears before the -gw-, though in a few cases (as in a orist  $y\bar{a}^an$ - go) the -gw- is directly appended. Dissyllabic stems ending in vowel +-g- or -w- often add the comitative -gw- directly, in

which case the preceding vowel is generally lengthened; doublets, however, are sometimes found with connecting a. The second vowel of aorist stems is apt to be lengthened in comitative forms, yet not as consistently as in the case of causatives. Differing in this respect from the causative -n-, the comitative suffix does not require the loss of a final aoristic intransitive element (e. g., -i-). From aorist lohoidie are formed lohou-n-cause to die, but lohoy-agw-die together with. The reason seems clear. While the action of a causative verb is logically transitive, that of a comitative is really intransitive, and the verb is only formally transitive. In the former case the subject of the verb does not undergo the action that would be expressed by the intransitive stem (lohoi-); in the latter it does. Examples of the comitative are:

Intransitive  $\{y\bar{a}^a n\text{- go (aorist)}\}$   $\{yana\text{- (non-aorist)}\}$   $\{ligi\text{- come home from hunt (aorist)}\}$   $\{l\bar{i}^ig\text{- (non-aorist)}\}$   $\{gini(g)\text{- go to}\}$ 

dal-yewey- run away

 $w\bar{\imath}^i$ - travel

 $l\bar{o}^u l$ - play

daway- fly

henen- use up, be satiated yewey- return

yaway- talk

{heel- sing (non-aorist) | helel- (aorist) | 46 Comitative

yãnk'w he takes it along (lit., he goes having it) 17.13

yanagwa'nk' he will take it along [ligi'k'\* he fetched game home 70.3

 $l\bar{\imath}^i gwa'nk'$  (= $l\bar{\imath}^i g - gwa'nk'$ ) he will fetch game home (130.6)

ginīigwa'en I take it to (31.11); also giniyagwa'en (13.12); future ginagwa'n (= ginaggwa'n with inorganic a because of preceding n) (146.6) dal-yeweya'k'w he ran away

with it

wīk'wa he travels around with it 14.2

 $l\bar{o}^u lagwa'^{\epsilon}n$  I play with him (124.14)

bāa-wa-dawaya'k'w he flies with it

henenagwa'<sup>e</sup>n I eat it all (43.12) yeweyagwa'<sup>e</sup>n I fetch them back (30.1; 47.13)

yawayagwa'<sup>e</sup>n I talk about it (lit., I talk having it)108.12 [nãx-ī-he<sup>e</sup>lagwa'n I shall sing

with pipe in hand

ī-heleelagwa'en I sing with it in hand

### Intransitive

t!obagas- lie like dead (non-aorist)  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}^ss$ - laugh baxam- come

biliw- fight, jump

### Comitative

nãx-da-t!obaga'sgwank' he lies like dead with pipe in mouth  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}s$ ·gwa'n I laugh at him da-yawīx baxama'k' they came talking (literally, mouth-talking they-camewith) 126.2 [lõux biliwagwana'k' we play at

\$\langle l\tilde{o}^u x \text{ biliwagwana'k' we play at fighting (literally, play wefight-having)}

wa- $bil\bar{\imath}^i$ gw $a'^{\varepsilon}n$  I jump having it  $(=*biliugwa'^{\varepsilon}n$ , see §7)

If the object of the comitative verb is other than a third person, the suffix -gw- is followed by the indirective -d-, which does not ordinarily appear as such, but unites with the immediately following transitive connective -x- to form -s-; a connective -a- is inserted between the -gw- and the -s-, so that the whole comitative suffix for a first or second personal object is -(a)gwas-. Examples are:

ūyū'εs·gwasi he laughs at me henenagwa'sam he ate us up (192.15) bā-wa-dawiyagwa'sbink' he will fly up with you

The form -gwad- of the comitative suffix appears as such preceding -in- (umlauted from -an-) in the third personal object of indirect form forms built up on intransitive verbs derived from transitives:

 $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xag$ wad $ini^{\varepsilon}n$  I trap for him (probably = I cause [-in] him to be having [-gwad-] [some one] to trap [ $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}$ -xa-] [for him]); but  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xag$ wasi he traps for me

p'ele'xagwadinien I go to war for him; but p'ele'xagwasi he goes to war for me

It is highly probable, however, that in such cases the -gwad- is to be definitely analyzed into a comitative element -gwa- + an indirective element -d- (-t'-) To, for; this seems to be pointed out by the fact that when the for - object becomes identical with the subject, i. e., when the verb becomes an indirect reflexive (for one's self), the -d-immediately precedes the regular reflexive suffix -gwi-, leaving the causative suffix -(a)n- between it and the comitative suffix -gw-:

 $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xagwant'gwide^{\epsilon}$  I trap for myself (probably = I cause [-an-] myself [-gwi-] to be having [-gw-] [some one] to trap [ $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}$ -xa-] for [-t'-] [me])

Comitatives in -gw- are formed not only from intransitivized transitives in -xa- (e. g.,  $\bar{\imath}$ -lübü'xak'\* she pounds with it in hand [55.10]; 56.1), but also from non-agentive intransitives in -x- (see below, § 56). Examples are:

Non-agentive

 $sg\bar{o}'^u sde^{\epsilon}$  (=  $sg\bar{o}'^u d$ -x- $de^{\epsilon}$ ) I cut (without implied object), am across (148.8)

he<sup>e</sup>-me<sup>e</sup>-t'bō'uk't'bax he lay down with his arms folded, lay rolled up and put away (cf. he<sup>e</sup>-me<sup>e</sup>-t'bō'uk't'baga<sup>e</sup>n I roll it up and put it away)

t'geeya'lx it runs around, rolls

ba-i-s·ili'x he landed

Comitative

 $sg\bar{o}'^u sgwa^{\varepsilon}n$  I got tired <sup>1</sup> of it (21.6)

he<sup>ee</sup>-wa-t'bōuk't'ba'xgwa he lay down with it clasped in his arms 154.6

wa-t'ge°ya'lxgwa°n I roll with it wa-i-s'ügü's'üxgwa°n I am sleepy (literally, something like: I am confused having sleep)

ba-i-s·ili'xgwa he landed with (his canoe) 13.5

The obverse, as it were, of these transitive forms in -x-gwa-, is given by certain rather curious Class I intransitive forms in -x-gwa- built up on intransitive, not, like normal -x- derivatives, on transitive stems; they may be literally translated as to be with (or having) (something) doing or being. Thus from the intransitive agrist dak'-limim- (tree) falls on top of is formed the intransitive dak'-limīmxgwade<sup>e</sup> it falls on top of me (108.12), in which the logical subject (tree) becomes an implied object, while the real object or goal of motion (me) is treated as the grammatical subject. The form quoted would have to be literally translated as I am with (or having) (it) falling on top of (me). I (as tree) fall having it, together with it would probably be something like \*dak'-limīmgwade<sup>e</sup> are doubtless:

hewe'hōxgwade<sup>e</sup> I yawn (literally, I am having —[?])
yele'<sup>e</sup>sgwade<sup>e</sup> (= yelet!-x-gwa-) I am sweating (literally, I am
—having it, i. e., perspiration [?])

With such an interpretation, the form dak'-limimxgwadinien I CHOP IT ON TO HIM becomes readily intelligible as a causative built

 $<sup>1</sup> sg\bar{o}'usde^{\varepsilon}$  and  $sg\bar{o}'usgwa^{\varepsilon}n$  are morphologically quite clearly related, though in signification the latter form has widely departed from what must have been its primary meaning.

up on an intransitive in -xgwa-; literally translated it would read I cause (-in) him to be with (-gwad-) (it) falling ( $lim\bar{\imath}^im$ -x-) on top of (dak'-) (him). This chimes in well with the interpretation given above of the really very perplexing "for" forms in -gwadin- and -gwant'gwi.

As will have been noticed from some of the examples already given (yawayagw- talk about,  $\bar{u}y\bar{u}^{i\varepsilon}s$ -gwa- laugh at,  $sg\bar{o}^usgwa$ - be tired of, henenagw- consume), the primarily comitative meaning of the -gw- suffix is sometimes greatly obscured, at times practically lost. Other examples illustrating this weakening of the fundamental signification are:

Intransitive
hoyod- dance
$b\bar{a}^a$ - $y\bar{a}^a n$ - go up
ba-i-ginig- go out to, come
xeben- do (so)

# hoyod-agw- dance (a particular kind of) dance 100.15; 102.9 $b\bar{a}^a$ - $y\bar{a}^a$ n-gw- pick up 24.3; 59.15 ba-i- $gin\bar{\imath}^i$ -gw- take out (no leg motion necessarily implied) $xebe^ey$ -agw- $^1$ hurt, destroy 136.23

# § 47. Indirective -d-(-s-)

The -d- of the indirect object never appears in its naked form (except, as we have seen, in certain forms in -gwad-; see also under -d- in petrified suffixes), but always combined into -s- with the following element -x- that serves to bind pronominal objects of the first and second persons to the verb-stem with its derivative suffixes (see §64). The indirect object of the third person is not normally expressed by this -d-, but, like an ordinary direct third personal object, is left unexpressed, the general character of the verb being impliedly indirective. As a matter of fact, an incorporated pronominal indirect object is used only when the direct object is of the third person, never of the first or second; and, since the pronominal object of the third person is never expressed in the verb, this means that what is translated as the indirect object is in reality morphologically the direct object of the verb. The indirective idea is merely a derivative development; or, more correctly, certain transitive verbs with indirective "face" require an -s- (=-d-+-x-) instead of -x- with an incorporated object of the first or second person. I GIVE IT TO HIM is, then, really rendered in Takelma by I-HIM-GIVE; I GIVE IT TO YOU, by I-

<sup>1</sup> For the change of non-causative -n- to -y- (-i-) cf. k/emèi- and k/emeen- MAKE.

YOU-GIVE; I GIVE HIM FOOD, by I-HIM-FOOD-GIVE, in which the logically indirect object HIM must be looked upon as the direct object of the verbal complex food-give (food, not being a pronominal object, is loosely incorporated as a prefix in the verb); I GIVE YOU FOOD, by I-YOU-FOOD-GIVE, the pronominal combination I YOU being expressed at the end of the verb-complex in the same form as in a simple transitive like I-YOU-SEE, except that it is preceded by -sinstead of -x-; such combinations as I GIVE YOU TO HIM, ME and HE GIVES ME TO YOU, HIM can not be expressed by one verb-form. these latter cases the grammatical object of the verb is no longer indirectly affected by the action; hence another, though probably etymologically related, verb-stem is employed, while the indirect object is expressed by a local phrase outside the verb: I GIVE YOU TO HIM (=I-YOU-GIVE [not indirective "face"] HIM-TO), -x-, not -s-, preceding the combination I YOU. The idea of TO in intransitives like GO. RUN, and so on, is regularly expressed by such an extra-verbal local phrase. Many verbs that, from our point of view, seem ordinary transitives, are in Takelma provided with the indirective -s-. Examples illustrating the use of this -s- are:

ogoyi'<sup>ε</sup>n¹ I give it to him 180.11 o'k'in (170.13; 180.9,16) ogu'sbi⁵n I give it to you 23.3 o'sbin (178.15) (oyonxbien I give you) (oīnxbin I shall give you)  $w\tilde{e}t'qi^{\varepsilon}n$  (for -q- see §42, 5) I wede'k'in (17.10,11) took it from him 76.1  $w\bar{e}sbi^{\epsilon}n$  I took it from you (17.3) wede'sbin (16.10,11) $(al-da-p)^{\circ} \tilde{o}^{u} p^{\circ} iw i^{\varepsilon} n \text{ I blew at it } (15.1)$  $al-da-p'ap'ausbi^{\epsilon}n$  I blew at you wāaqiwi'en I brought it to him wagawi'n I shall bring it to (for -w- see §42, 11) (176.17) waaga'sam² he brought it to us wege'sink' he will bring it to me (194.11)eiyi'En I hurt him  $e is bi^{\epsilon} n$  I hurt you gayaũ he ate him 54.5 ga-iwa'nk' 130.5 gaīsbink' he will eat you 26.8 Jayaũsbi<sup>€</sup>n I ate you [al-yebebi' n I showed it to him (77.8) al-yebi'n I shall show it to him lal-yebe'psbi<sup>€</sup>n I showed it to you al-yepsi show it to me!

<sup>2</sup> With connecting a before s. In o'sbin above -g- + -s-gives -s-, but \*wēsdam (= weeg-sdam) would become confused with wēsdam (= weed-sdam) you took it from ME.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The -y- is peculiar to agrist forms of this verb with a third personal object (ogoyi't' you to him; ogoāhi he to him 122.11) and to the third personal passive agrist (ogoyi'n he was given it 15.2)

Some verbs that belong here show the -s- only in the aorist, other forms having only -x-. Examples are:

Future  $he^{e\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}^iwi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I went away from  $he^{e\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}wi'n$ him 23.12 he e ε-īūsbi ε I went away from he e ε-īwi'xbink' you (184.14,15) yīimīsbiin I lent it to you 98.15 yimi'xi lend it to me! 98.14, 21  $\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{a}^awi'n$  (33.8) (ī-t!aūt!iwien I catch him 33.4 *ī-t!āū*xbink' (140.15) lī-t!aut!a'usbi he caught you  $n\bar{a}^a q i' n$  (15.15; 196.20)  $(naga'^{\epsilon}n \text{ I said to him } 72.9)$ lnaga'sbi<sup>€</sup>n I said to you 108.4  $n\tilde{a}xbin$  (60.3)  $(dak'-da-h\bar{a}^ali'^{\epsilon}n \text{ I answered him})$ dak'-da-hala'hin (61.6)dak'-da-hālsbi€n I answered you dak'-da-hala'xbin (134.20) $(s\bar{a}^a nsa'^{\epsilon}n \text{ I fight him } (110.20))$ sana'n (28.15; 33.9) lsāansa'nsbi€n I fight you sana'xbin

## § 48. Indirective -(a')/d-

This suffix is probably composed of the continuative -l- (see § 42, 9) and the indirective -d-, though, unlike the latter suffix, it is always employed to transitivize intransitives, a characteristic intransitive element of the agrist (e.g., -i-) regularly remaining. After vowels, the suffix appears simply as -ld-; after consonants and semivowels, a connective -a- is generally introduced, which, when accented, receives a falling pitch. The general idea conveyed by the suffix is that of purposive action toward some person or object, so that it may be conveniently translated by moving at or toward, in order to reach, GOING TO GET. Examples of its use are:

hiliūt'e T climb

yada't'e I swim (yadad-)

 $bili'^{u\varepsilon}$  he jumped 32.13; 78.11

 $(da-t!aya'^{i\varepsilon})$  they went to get (something) to eat 75.9

 $da-d\bar{a}^aya'^{\epsilon}t'$  (future) (33.9)  $sgele'^{u\varepsilon}$  he shouted 59.4; 90.8 hiliwa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I climb for it (77.8)  $uadada' lda^{\epsilon}n$  I swim for him (to save him from drowning) yededa'lsi he swims for me  $biliwa'lsa^{\epsilon}n$  they fought (literally, they jumped at, for each other) 27.4

da-t!ayaldi' $^{\varepsilon}n$  I went to get it to eat; da-t!ayalt' he went to get it to eat (ā shows by its accent that it is part of stem) 76.9

da- $d\bar{a}^a$ ldi'n (future) (33.9) sgelewa'lt' he shouted to, for him 59.4; (94.1)

§ 48

wiliw- go, run

 $xudu'^{\epsilon}m$  he whistled

ligi'k'w hefetched home (game) 70.3; 128.12; ligi'ε he came home (with game) 124.22

[wiliwa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I go and show it to him | de-wiliwa'lda<sup>e</sup>n I fight him (27.3) xuduma'lda<sup>e</sup>n I whistled to him (33.16)

de-ligia'lt' he fetched it for him to eat 126.9; 130.9

yonoba'lt' they held nets waiting for fish 32,1

In  $w\tilde{o}^u lt$  He went after it 29.12 the -ld- is confined to the agrist; non-agrist forms have the stem woo- without suffix: woo'n i shall go after it (162.8,10).

 $\S$  49. Indirective -(a')md-

There hardly seems to be any significant difference between this and the preceding suffix, except that the indirective force of -(a')md-seems in many cases to be much less clear and that it may be appended to transitive as well as to intransitive stems. It is quite probable that in some of the examples the -m- of the suffix is really the dissimilated product of an original -l- because of an -l- of the stem (see § 21); yet this explanation could not be made to apply to all the cases. Those forms that contain a radical -l- are given first:

Simple form

 $t!\bar{\imath}^i$ la'md $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I fish for(salmon)  $ts\cdot!ele$ la'md $a^{\varepsilon}n$  I paint him (=I put paint— $s\cdot e'\cdot e$ l—on to him)  $s\cdot in-delega'$ msdam you put holes in

my nose 22.2 malaga'ms $bi^{\epsilon}n$  I am jealous of you

malagia'u<sup>ε</sup> they are jealous (cf. malag-, malagan- tell)
yala'k'de<sup>ε</sup> I dive (61.8)
(lagag- feed)
legwe'l he sucked it (186.18)
(geleg- twirl)

ūyūts!- laugh
ya'mt' ask him! 70.6

k!emen- make

dak'-t' $g\bar{u}'^uba^{\varepsilon}n$  I put (hat-like object) over as covering

yalaga'mda<sup>e</sup>n I dive for it (60.10) lagaga'mda<sup>e</sup>n I paid him (184.17) legwela'mda<sup>e</sup>n I sucked it out of him dī<sup>ie</sup>-al-gelegala'mda<sup>e</sup>n I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.3) dī<sup>e</sup>-ūyū'ts!amda<sup>e</sup>n I fool him yamda'mt'(go and) ask of him!74.10

yamda'mt'(go and) ask of him!74.10 p'õyamda<sup>e</sup>n I smoke them out (76.11)

 $b\bar{a}^a$ -k!emena'md $a^e$ n I make him ready to go (76.13)

dak'- $t'g\bar{u}'^ub$ amt' she covered it (basket) over 61.9

§ 49

### § 50. Indirective -(a)n(an)- "for"

From transitives, never from intransitives, are formed verbs in -(a)n or -(a)nan- (the first -a- is the connective vowel already spoken of) signifying to do (the act expressed by the verb-stem) for, in BEHALF OF (the object of the verb). No rule can be given as to when -(a)n- or -(a)nan- is to be used, the two suffixes being frequently found to interchange in the same form. It is not likely that -(a) nanis a mere duplication of the simpler -(a)n, as no other case of suffixreduplication could be shown to exist in Takelma, but rather a compound suffix consisting of two distinct elements that happen to be homonymous. Neither of the -(a)n- elements in-(a)nan-, however, can be identified with either the causative -(a)n- or the petrified -(a)nof certain transitive verbs (see § 42,10), for the full -(a)nan- suffix is found suffixed to them (e. g., lohōuninini'en I killed him for HIM [ = I CAUSED HIM TO DIE FOR HIM]). As in the case of the ordinary indirect object-suffix -s-, only the third person (and that, as far as the pronoun is concerned, by implication) is tolerated as the logical object, the grammatical object being always the person in whose behalf the action is done. If the formal (i. e., indirect) object of the verb is of the third person, the -(a)n- or -(a)nan- is nearly always followed by the "instrumental" i (see § 64), an umlaut of the suffix to -(i)n- or -(i)nin- necessarily resulting (see § 8, 3c). The longer form of the suffix -(a)nan is apt to be limited to the agrist forms with third personal object; non-aorist forms and aorist forms with first or second personal object generally have the shorter form of the suffix, -(a)n. What was said above of a phonetic character in regard to the causative -(a)n- applies also here. Examples are:

T	ra	73	c	i	÷	ñ	3.7	0

 $wa^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t! $ox\tilde{o}xi^{\epsilon}n$  I gather them (192.4)

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^u ma$ 'n he fixed it (150.13; 186.16,18)

### Indirective

 $\begin{cases} wa^{arepsilon} ilde{\imath} - i - t! ox \tilde{o}x ext{in} i^{arepsilon} n & ext{I gather} \ ext{them for him} \end{cases}$ 

 $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{u}$ x $\bar{u}$ xanxi he gathers them for me

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^u min$ inin $i'^{\varepsilon}n$  I fixed it for

*ī-k!ūmin*inini'nk' he will fix it for him

 $\{\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^u man \text{an}'xi \text{ he fixed it for } me \}$ 

[ī-k!ūmana'nhi fix it for him!

§ 50

Transitive

 $l\bar{a}^aba'^{\epsilon}n$  I carry it (178.4,5,6)

 $\bar{o}'^u g a^{\varepsilon} n$  I trap them (78.5)

[k!adāi- pick (aorist)

 $|k!\bar{a}^a d$ - pick (non-aorist)

 $de^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $w\bar{\imath}'^{i}gi^{\epsilon}n$  I spread it out (120.1)

k!emen- make

limimana'<sup>€</sup>n I fell tree (cause it to fall) (108.11)

 $loh\bar{o}^u na'^{\varepsilon}n$  I cause him to die (142.9)

 $d\delta^u mk'wank'$  he will kill him (116.18)

 $s\bar{a}^a gwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I paddle it (60.1; 112.9)

p!ahanana'en I cause it to be cooked, done

Indirective

 $\int l\bar{a}^a b i n i n'^{\epsilon} n I$  carry it for him  $\int l\bar{a}^a b a' n h a^{\epsilon} n$ 

 $le^{e}ba'nxi$  he carries it for me  $\int l\bar{o}^{u}ginini'^{e}n$  I trap them for him

 $l_{l\bar{o}'^{u}g\mathrm{in}i^{\varepsilon}n}$ 

(p!iyin)  $l\bar{u}'^ug$ anxi he traps (deer) for me

 $l\bar{o}'k!$ inin I shall trap them for him

 $\begin{cases} k! a day \text{in} i'^{\epsilon} n & \text{I pick them for} \\ \text{him} \end{cases}$ 

 $k!ad\bar{a}\tilde{\imath}hini^{\varepsilon}n$ 

k!edeya'nxi he picks them for him

 $k/\bar{a}^a dinini'n$  I shall pick them for him

 $de^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $w\bar{\imath}'^i g$ anxi he spreads it out for me

 $\begin{cases} k!emenini'^{\epsilon}n & \text{I} \text{ make it for} \\ \text{him} \end{cases}$ 

k!emnini'n I shall make it for him

limiminini'<sup>ε</sup>n I fell it for him

 $\begin{array}{c|c} [lohar{o}^u n \mathrm{inin} i'^{\epsilon} n \ \mathrm{I} \ \mathrm{killed} \ \mathrm{him} \ \mathrm{for} \\ \mathrm{him} \end{array}$ 

 $loh \bar{o}^u n$ ana'nhi he killed him for him

 $l\bar{u}h\bar{u}^u na'nxi$  he killed him for me

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ ana'nk'wank' he will kill him for him

han-seegwa'nsin I am paddled across (literally, it, i. e., canoe, is paddled across for me)

 $p!ahayinini'^{\varepsilon}n^{1}$  I make it done for him

A number of transitive verbs in -(a)n(an)- in which the for (in behalf of) idea is not clearly apparent nevertheless doubtless belong here. Such are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the change of suffixed n to y see § 46, second footnote.

malagana'nhi he told him 30.15 (mala'xbi he told you [162.6])

It not infrequently happens in verbs where the logical relation existing between the subject and a first or second personal object can hardly be other than an indirect one, that the for idea is expressed by means of the simple transitive form with -x- or -s- instead of the more explicit indirective -(a)n(an)-, as shown in the following examples:

k!edersi he picks them for me (literally, he picks to me, along-side of k!edeya'nxi he picks them for me)<sup>1</sup>

me<sup>e</sup>bēp'xip' come and chop out (a hole) for me (to enable me to get out) (literally, come and chop me!) 90.16

gel-ts!eye'mxi he hid it from me (158.7); but gel-ts!ayamini'en I hid it from him

The idea of doing something for some one when the action is an intransitive one can not be expressed in the verb itself, so that periphrases of one kind or another are resorted to; e. g., I go for him is expressed by I go, he having sent me. In verbs that are intransitive only in form, but logically still transitive, that is, in transitive verbs with unexpressed object, the for idea is expressed by the complex suffix -gwa'dan- (with first or second personal object -gwas-), the analysis of which has been attempted above (see § 46). Thus we have  $(p!iyin) l\bar{o}'^ugin(in)i^en$  I trap (deer) for him built up on a transitive in both form and meaning (i. e.,  $l\bar{o}'^uga^en$ ), but  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xagwa-dini^en$  I trap for him built up on a formal intransitive  $(l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'xag^e)$ . The idea of for, in behalf of one's self is rendered in transitive verbs by adding to the indirective suffix -(a)n(an)- the regular reflexive suffix -k'wi- (-gwi-):

dōumana'nk'widāa he will kill them for himself
t!ūmāk'wank'wide I kill them for myself
de -ī-wī'igank'wide I spread it out for myself
han-segwa'nk'wide I paddle myself across, really, I paddle (canoe)
across for myself

¹There must be a difference in signification, however, between k!edèisi and k!edeya'nxi. The former probably means "he picks them for me, i. e., in order to give them to me;" the latter "he picks them in my behalf (perhaps because I am sick and can not do so myself.)" Compare also deeise'exi He opened the door for me (i. e., in order to let me in) (63.12) with deeise'eganxi He opened the door on My behalf (perhaps because I was unable to do so myself).

In intransitive verbs with implied transitive force a -t'- is inserted between the indirective -(a)n(an)- and the reflexive -qwi-:

lük!ü'xagwant'gwit' he traps for himself

Also this form in -gwant'gwi- was explained above.

### § 51. Indirect Reflexive -gwa-

By indirect reflexive is here meant action in reference to something belonging to one's self, not action in behalf of one's self. From the latter idea (expressed, as we have seen, by -[a]n[an]k'wi- and -[a]n[an]-t'gwi-) the indirect reflexive in -gwa- differs in being always found in a transitive setting; from the comitative -(a)gw(a)- it differs phonetically in being formed only from transitive verbs with expressed object and in the constancy of the final -a- (third person aorist -k'wa, not -k'w). Examples of its use are:

s·in-ɛ̃ī-tˈgili'ɛ̃sgwa¹ he scratched his own nose 14.11; 15.7

 $m\tilde{a}nx$  al- $n\tilde{u}'u$ k'wa (=gw-k'wa) he painted his own face (cf.  $n\bar{o}w'gw$ - $i^en$  I paint it)

*ī-gaxaga*'xgwa<sup>ε</sup>n I scratch myself, i. e., my own (cf. *ī-gaxagixi*'<sup>ε</sup>n I scratch him)

ī- $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $n\bar{o}'^u$ k'wa warm your nands! (188.20) (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ - $p!\bar{\imath}^i$ - $n\bar{o}'^u$ k'wi\*n I warm his hands)

s·in- $de^e le' p$  gwa he stuck it into his own nose (cf.  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dele' p he pierced his — another's — ear)

bīls <sup>\varepsilon</sup> \( \varepsilon\_{\sigma}' \) lk'wa<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I covered my hands with moss

gwen-p!iyi'nk'wa he lies on pillow (probably = he causes his neck to lie) <sup>2</sup>

k!edè7k'wa<sup>e</sup>n I pick them for myself (literally, I pick my own) de-k'iūk'auk'wak' he brandished it before his face 172.11

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^uma'n$ k'wa he prepared himself, got ready 172.2 (cf.  $\bar{\imath}$ - $k!\bar{u}^u$ -ma'n he fixed it, got it ready 114.7)

It will be noticed that whenever what in English we are accustomed to consider a direct reflexive is really such only in form, not in fact, the Takelmaidiom requires the indirect -k'wa- form, not the direct reflexive in -gwi-. Thus, i see or scratch myself is not logically a reflexive in the same sense as I kill, drown, or hang myself, the former involving strictly action on what belongs to the subject, not on the subject itself: I see or scratch my own (flesh). Still such distinctions can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The object, generally a body-part, to which the action refers is printed in Roman characters. 
<sup>2</sup> p!iyin-connected with -p!eyen-lie?

hardly be insisted upon; much depends on idiomatic usage. The indirect reflexive suffix, it would seem, is employed only when the direct object is incorporated in the verb; if the direct object is taken out of the verb-complex and provided with a possessive pronoun, all ambiguity as to the relation between subject and object is removed and the -gwa- falls out. Thus we have  $d\bar{a}^a - de^e le' p$  gwa he pierced his own ear with indirect reflexive -gwa- to show the possession of the object  $(d\bar{a}^a$ - ear) by the subject;  $d\bar{a}^a de le' p$  would mean he pierced another's ear. The former sentence can also be expressed more analytically by  $d\tilde{a}nxdagwa\ hadele'p$  in would then have reference to the piercing of another's ear. In other words, the reflexive idea is expressed in the verb or in the noun according to whether the latter is incorporated or independent.

# INTRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 52-57)

### § 52. General Remarks

Under this head are included such suffixes as intransitivize a transitive verb by removing the object (-xa-), transferring the object from without to within the sphere of the subject (reflexive, reciprocal), or changing the character of the action altogether (non-agentive, positional). The passive intransitivizes by removing, not the object, but the subject, the former remaining in exactly the same form in which we find it in the corresponding transitive; the voice is characterized by peculiar suffixes that differ for the various tense-modes, and which, following as they do the pronominal elements of the verb, will receive appropriate treatment in discussing the purely formal verbal elements. The normal transitive, its ancillary passive, the active intransitive (-xa-), the reflexive, the reciprocal, the non-agentive, and the positional may be looked upon as the seven voices of a transitive verb, of which only the first five (possibly also the sixth), however, can be freely formed from any transitive stem. Of the seven voices, the first two are provided with a distinct set of pronominal object (and transitive subject) suffixes; the third and the fifth, with Class I intransitive subjects; the remaining, with Class II intransitive subjects.

Before giving examples of the intransitive suffixes, it may be useful to rapidly follow out a particular transitive stem (dink!- stretch out [= base din-+ transitive petrified suffix-k!-]) in its various voices. First

of all, we may form an ordinary active transitive verb with expressed object by attaching to the verb or agrist stem the appropriate pronominal suffixes: ba-i-de-di'nik!aen i stretch it out (like a rubber BAND or the like) (62.1). Secondly, from this may be formed a passive by the addition to the stem (dinik!-) of the pronominal object and characteristic passive suffix: ba-i-de-di'nik!an it is or was (actively) STRETCHED OUT. Thirdly, the transitive stem may be made intransitive by a failure to specify the object: ba-i-de-di'niexadee I STRETCH (SOMETHING) OUT. Fourthly, a direct reflexive is formed by the suffix -qwi-: ba-i-de-di'ni\(\epsilon\)k'wide\(\epsilon\) I (actually, if such were possible) STRETCH MYSELF OUT, in as literal a sense as in, e.g., I KILL MYSELF. Fifthly, the transitive form may be made reciprocal by the compound suffix -x-(or -s-)an-: ba-i-de-di'niexaen they (actively and literally) STRETCH ONE ANOTHER OUT. Sixthly, the non-agentive voice is formed by a suffixed -x-: ba-i-de-dini'ex it stretches out (144.14), in the sense in which a sore might be supposed to spread, without volition and without apparent agency; this particular form is idiomatically employed to refer to the stretching out, advancing, marching, of a single column, the figure here being evidently that of a long stringlike line moving out without distinctly sensed agency. Similarly,  $b\bar{a}^a$ -dini' $^{\varepsilon}x$  (Clouds) spread up in long strips 13.3 are not actively spread out by some one, do not spread out some unexpressed object, are not conceived of as actually spreading themselves out, and are not conceived of as being in the static, purely positional condition of lying extended. Seventhly, the last, positional voice is expressed by an aoristic -vi-, non-aoristic -as-: dink! It lies spread out, referring to a long string or other elongated body extended on the ground; future dink!a'sdāa. A synopsis for the second person singular (and reciprocal plural) of dink!-(dinik!-) SPREAD of the seven voices in the six tense-modes is given in Appendix A. The intransitive suffixes will now be taken up in order.

### § 53. Active Intransitive -xa-

The -a- of this suffix is a constant element except before a personal ending beginning with a vowel: p'ele'xik' we go to fight. Like other non-radical -a- vowels it may be unlauted to i:  $s'om-l\ddot{u}-h\bar{u}xiya^{u\varepsilon}$  they (indef.) operate as  $s'omloho'lxa^{\varepsilon}s$  (class of medicine men) 172.14. The final consonant of the aorist stem of verbs of Type

8 falls out before the -xa-, also an indirective d (including the -d- of -[a]md-, [a]ld; a final radical -d-, however, unites with -xa- to form -sa-). Verbs of Type 5 employ not the agrist, but the verb-stem, in the agrist of the -xa- derivative (cf. the parallel phenomenon in the formation of the frequentative, § 43, 1 and 6; for exceptions see § 40, 5), inserting the repeated stem-vowel between the fortis consonant of the stem and the suffix; -xa- derivatives of Type 5 verbs thus belong to Type 2. For the vocalism of the stem of -xa- forms. see § 31, 5. Verbs in -xa- of Types 2 and 3 regularly have a short second stem vowel, even if the quantity in the primitive verb is long; this short vowel may, however, be secondarily lengthened, with falling accent, to express a frequentative idea. In non-aorist forms the stress tends to fall on the -xa-. Verbs in -xa- can be formed, of course, only from transitives, and, although in form they are strictly intransitive, they always logically imply an object. Examples of -xa- are:

 $l\bar{u}b\bar{u}'$ xa $^{\epsilon}$  she pounded 16.9;  $\bar{\imath}$ - $l\bar{u}'p$ xagwank' she will pound having it (pestle) 55.10 (aorist transitive lobo'p' she pounded them 16.9)

 $t! \bar{\imath}^i la' m \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a} de^{\varepsilon}$  I went fishing  $(t! \bar{\imath}^i la' m da^{\varepsilon} n$  I fished for them)

 $k!\bar{a}^awa'n$ xa $^\epsilon$  she sifts 57.15 ( $k!\bar{a}^awa'nda^\epsilon n$  I sift acorn meal [16.10]) dak'-t'ek!e'xa $^\epsilon$  he smokes 96.23 (Type 5 dak'-t'e' $^egi^\epsilon n$  I give him to smoke [170.13])

p!ebe'xa<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> he beat off (bark) 55.6 (p!abab- chop [90.11])

lebe'sa $de^{\varepsilon}$  I sew ( $lebeda'^{\varepsilon}n$  I sew it)

 $sg\bar{u}t!\bar{u}'$ xa $^{\varepsilon}$  he is cutting 92.2 (Type 5 aorist  $sg\bar{o}^{u}d$ - 72.10)

al-xik!i'xa $^{\epsilon}$  he looked around 102.12 (Type 5 aorist al- $xi^{i}g$ - 124.8)  $l\ddot{u}k!\ddot{u}'$ xa $^{\epsilon}$  he traps (Type 5 aorist  $l\ddot{o}^{u}g^{v}$ - 78.5); future  $l\ddot{u}'^{\epsilon}x^{w}agwa-dinin$  I shall trap for him

 $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi' xa $de^\epsilon$  I was talking to somebody ( $w\bar{a}^a$ - $himida'^\epsilon n$  I talked to him [59,16])

dak'-da-hele'halxa $de^{\epsilon}$  I always answer (dak'-da- $h\bar{a}^a li'^{\epsilon} n$  I answer him [146.14])

dak'-hene'xa<sup>e</sup> he waits; future dak'-henxa't'e<sup>e</sup> I shall wait (dak'-hene<sup>e</sup>da'<sup>e</sup>n I wait for him)

 $yimi's a^{\epsilon}(=-s\cdot -xa^{\epsilon})$  he dreams; future  $yims\cdot a't'e^{\epsilon}$ ; imperative  $yims\cdot a'$ 

In  $k!eme'nxade^{\epsilon}$  I was making, working (future  $k!emxa't'e^{\epsilon}$ ) the loss of the -n- in the non-agrist forms (cf. k!emna'n I shall make IT [28.14]) may be due to a purely phonetic cause (see § 11)

# § 54. Reflexive -gwi-

The final consonant of the aorist stem of some verbs of Type 8 is eclipsed, with lengthening of preceding vowel, also before the reflexive -gwi- (see § 40, 8), in the case of others it is preserved. Where the -gwi- reflexive is derived from indirect transitives in -d- (-amd-, -gwadan-), there is often practically no difference in signification between it and the indirect reflexive -gwa-. Examples of -gwi- are:

tłomōk'wide<sup>ɛ</sup> I kill myself (from tłomom-)
al-yebe'p'gwit' he showed himself (yebeb-)
al-xī'ik'wit' he looked at himself
p!agānk'wide<sup>ɛ</sup> I bathed (literally, I caused myself to bathe;
cf. p!agāna'ɛn I bathe him)
seela'mt'gwide<sup>ɛ</sup> I shall paint myself (seela'mdan I shall paint him)
t'gwaxāīk'wide<sup>ɛ</sup> I tattooed myself (t'gwaxāī he tattooed him)
t'gwāna'axa'nt'gwide<sup>ɛ</sup> I shall tattoo myself (= for myself)
ī-gis·iga's·gwide<sup>ɛ</sup> I tickle myself
al-wa-ts!eyēk'wide<sup>ɛ</sup> I washed myself with it
dān-delega'mt'gwide<sup>ɛ</sup> (= dān-dele'p'gwaen) I pierce my ears
(yūk') k!emēnk'wit' they made themselves (strong) 27.12
xuma ogoīk'wide<sup>ɛ</sup> I give food to myself (= I food-give myself)
ī-lesgi'k'wide<sup>ɛ</sup> I shall touch myself

Before the imperative endings -p, -p anp the reflexive suffix becomes lengthened to  $-qw\bar{v}^t$ :

k!ēt'gwī'p'¹ pick them for yourself!

deegwa'lt'gwī'p'anp' take care of yourselves! 126.20; (128.24)

The reflexive of naga- say to is irregular in that is is formed not from the transitive stem, but from the corresponding intransitive nagai-say: nagaik'wit' he said to himself 104.1 (cf. nagaik'wa, §62).

§ 55. Reciprocal 
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -x \\ -s \end{array} \right\}$$
 -an-

The -x- and -s- preceding the characteristic reciprocal -an- (umlauted -in-) suffix are nothing but the connective consonant of direct and indirect transitive verbs respectively, the choice in the reciprocal form between the two depending entirely upon which is used in the corresponding simple transitive. A difference, however, in the use of this -x- (-s-) between the transitive and reciprocal is found in so far as in the latter it appears with a third as well as first and second

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indirect reflexive (for oneself) in signification, though without indirective suffix of any kind. The form is thus analogous to such as  $k!ed\grave{e}$  is mentioned above (see §59). That the reflexive action is thought of as indirective in character seems to be indicated by the ablaut of the stem  $(k!\bar{a}ad-)$ ; see §31, 6.

personal object. The phonetic form of what precedes the -x- (-s-) is the same as in the transitive from which the reciprocal is derived. The reciprocal element -an- is the only one of the verbal suffixes that is placed between the connecting -x- and the personal endings, so that it may rightly be looked upon as in a way equivalent to the incorporated objective pronouns. Examples of -x-an- are:

 $k!oy\tilde{o}xinik$ ' we go together, accompany one another (33.15)

t!è $\tilde{u}$ xin $iba^{\varepsilon}ni$  let us play shinny!

ī-lats!a'xinik' we touch one another

al-s·in- $l\bar{o}'^u$ xa<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup>n they meet each other (literally, they thrust noses to one another)

 $t!om\tilde{o}$ xa<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup>n they kill one another (33.10)

gel-wayãnxa<sup>e</sup>n they were sleeping together (literally, they caused each other to sleep facing each other) 190.2

al-xī'ixasn they looked at each other

Examples of -s-an-, i. e., of indirect reciprocals, are:

 $naga'sa^{\varepsilon}n$  they said to each other 31.9 (cf.  $naga'sbi^{\varepsilon}n$  I said to you [100.1]); future  $n\tilde{a}xan^{\varepsilon}t'$  (cf.  $n\tilde{a}xbin$  [60.3])

 $s\bar{a}^a nsa'nsa^{\epsilon}n$  they fight one another (23.14; 184.13) (cf.  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'ns-bi^{\epsilon}n$ ); future  $sana'xan^{\epsilon}t$  (23.15) (cf. sana'xbin)

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$ s'a $^{\varepsilon}$ n they went away from one another (cf.  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$ s $bi^{\varepsilon}n$  [184.14]); future  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}wi'$ xan $^{\varepsilon}t'$  (cf.  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}wi'$ xbin)

 $l\bar{a}^a ma' l sa^{\epsilon} n$  they quarreled with each other 27.2; 86.10

 $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi'sa'n they talked to one another 124.14(cf.  $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi's $bi^{\varepsilon}n$ )

 $l\tilde{o}^u gwa'$ s in $iba^\varepsilon$  let us play 32.5 (cf.  $l\tilde{o}^u gwa'$ sbin future)

 $t!\ddot{u}'lt!als\cdot \mathrm{in}iba^{\varepsilon}$ let us play at gambling-sticks  $(t!\ddot{u}'l)$ 31.9

al-sege'sak'sinik' we keep nodding to one another; segk'sa'k'-sank' they nodded to one another (inferential) 172.10(but unreduplicated al-seggeninik' we nodded to each other)

# § 56. Non-agentive -x-

The difference in signification between the non-agentive -x- and the intransitive -xa- may be well brought out by a comparison with the distinctly double signification of English intransitively used transitives. If such a transitive word as split be relieved of its object, it may be employed in two quite distinct senses, either to indicate the same sort of action that is expressed by the transitive, but without explicit direction (as, the carpenter can split, i. e., can split beams, boards); or to indicate a spontaneous non-volitional activity resulting in a static condition identical with that induced by the corresponding transitive action (as, the beams, boards, split, i. e., spontaneously

### Transitive

ī-k'wā'agwiɛn I awakened him
16.4 (future ī-k'wā'k!win)
leme'ɛk' they took them along
144.17
ī-t'geeyili'ɛn I roll it
de-ts:!ibi'p' he closed door
p!a-i-ha-u-t'gū'up' he upset it
waɛ-ī-t!eme'm he assembled them
110.3

dī-sgü'yük!i<sup>c</sup>n I knock it down (48.7,8) ī-gwidigwa't'i he threw them (108.21; 138.3)

ha<sup>ε</sup>w-ī-ha'nats!i<sup>ε</sup>n I made it stop

 $\bar{\imath}$ -smili'smili $\bar{\imath}$ n I swing it  $b\bar{a}^a$ -t'e' egi $\bar{\imath}$ n I lift it up (Type 5)

### Non-agentive

k' $w\bar{a}'^a x de^{\epsilon}$  I awoke (16.3) (future k' $w\bar{a}'^{a\epsilon} x de^{\epsilon}$  [190.5])  $leme'^{\epsilon} x$  they all went 136.7

t'ge<sup>e</sup>ya'lx it rolls de-ts'!ibi'x (door) shut p!a-i-ha-u-t'gū'<sup>u</sup>px it upset 60.8 wa-t!emēxia<sup>uε</sup> people assembled 144.23

ha-u-hana' $\epsilon_s(=-a'ts!x)$ it stopped (152.15; 198.9)

dī-sgü'<sup>iε</sup>xk' it fell (nobody pushing) (59.11; 62.1)

hüülü'nk'wa (tiredness) gwidigwa's (= -a'tx) he was plumb tired out (probably = he tottered with tiredness) 120.12

smili'smalxde<sup>e</sup> I swing <sup>1</sup> (73.2) bā<sup>a</sup>-t'ek!e't'ax it bobs up and down (60.11,13,14)

In some verbs -alx- (= continuative -al- + non-agentive -x-) seems to be quite equivalent to the intransitive -xa-:

 $geyewa'|xde^{\varepsilon}$  I am eating (31.3) (but, hortatory,  $ge\bar{\imath}xaba^{\varepsilon}$  let us eat)  $le^{\varepsilon}ba'nxde^{\varepsilon}$  I carry (178.6)  $(l\bar{a}^aba'^{\varepsilon}n$  I carry it [178.3,4])  $\bar{u}^uqwa'nxde^{\varepsilon}$  I drink (see § 21).

The non-agentive character of verbs in -x- may be reflected in transitives (causatives) derived from them, in that in such causatives

It may not be uninteresting to note, as throwing light on the native feeling for -x-, that this form sounded somewhat queer to Mrs. Johnson, for, as she intimated, one can't very well be swinging without either actively swinging one's self or being swung by some one.

the subject is not thought of as being the direct cause of the state or activity predicated, but is rather considered as indirectly responsible for it. Thus, from the aorist stem t'gwilik!w-  $(t'gwili'^{\epsilon}-x)$  water, blood drops, drips 58.1) are formed:

p!a-i-t'gwili'k!wana<sup>e</sup>n I (voluntarily) drop, spill it p!a-i-t'gwili'<sup>e</sup>xna<sup>e</sup>n I have it drop (unavoidably), spill it (72.8,16)

### § 57. Positional-7i-

As we have already seen (§ 40, 15), this suffix, though of clearly derivational character, is generally, probably always, confined to the aorist. A positional verb in  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - may be defined as expressing the state or condition resulting from the completed action of a transitive or non-agentive; e. g.,  $p!a-i-ha-u-t^igup!id\bar{\imath}$  IT (BOX-LIKE OBJECT) LIES UPSIDE DOWN is a verb expressing the result of the action defined in  $p!a-i-ha-u-t^ig\bar{u}'^uba^en$  I upset it and  $p!a-i-ha-u-t^ig\bar{u}'^upx$  IT upset 60.8. From one point of view the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ - serves to mark off a class of purely positional verbs, a different verb-stem being used for each general form-category of the object described. Such verbs of position are:

dink!ī long, stretched out object lies (transitive aorist dinik!-)
t'geits'!ī round object lies (138.24) (t'geyets'!-)
p'ildī flat object lies
t!obigī corpse, dead-looking body lies
s'einī box-like object with opening on top lies
p!a-i-ha-u-t'gup!idī box-like object with opening below lies
(t'gūub-)
s'ugwidī curled-up object (like bundle of rope) lies
da-sgalī scattered objects (like grain on floor) lie
wīk!idī several objects heaped together lie (wīig-)
s'as'inī erect object is, he stands 34.1; 45.12; 77.9
s'wilī sitting object (person) is, he sits, dwells 21.1; 57.2
k'ebilī absent object is, he is long absent 124.20

Not so clearly positional are:

 $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\imath}$  (generally heard as  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{e}$ ) it becomes 33.17; 45.3 yamli he looks pretty

Of these verbs those that are directly derived from transitives, it will be observed, use in the agrist the verb-stem, not the agrist stem, of their simplex (thus dink!-, not dinik!-). The derivational -(a)d-(see § 42, 4) that seems to characterize a number of positional verbs can not be explained.

Certain Takelma place-names in  $-\bar{\imath}$  (or  $-\bar{\imath}-k'$ , -i'-k' with suffix -k' characteristic of geographical names) can hardly be otherwise explained than as positional verbs in  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -, derived from nouns and provided with local prefixes defining the position of the noun. Such are:

 $Di^{\varepsilon}$ - $dan\tilde{\imath}$  Table Rock (probably = rock [da'n] is [ $-\tilde{\imath}$ ] west [ $di^{\varepsilon}$ -]); west of the rock would be  $di^{\varepsilon}$ -dana' (cf. dana't'k' my rock) Dak'-t' $gam\tilde{\imath}$ -k' (cf. Dak'-t'gamiya' $\varepsilon$  person from D.) (= place where [-k'] elks [t'ga'm] are [ $\tilde{\imath}$ ] above, on top [dak'-]) Dal-dani'k' (cf. Dal-daniya' $\varepsilon$  one from D.) (= place where [-k'-]

in brush, away from creek [dal-] is [-ī] rock [da'n])

han-xilm ghost land (= across river[han-] are [-i] ghosts[xila'm]) de-di'w near the falls of Rogue River (=in front [-de-] are [-i] falls [dii0])

### § 58. IMPERSONAL -iau-

Verging toward the purely formal (pronominal) elements of the verb is the suffix -iau-. Forms in -iau- are intransitive, and may be formed from all intransitives and all transitives with incorporated pronominal object, the function of the suffix being to give an indefinite, generalized collective, or impersonal, signification (cf. German MAN, French on) to the always third personal pronominal (Class I intransitive) subject. Examples are:

yāania'uē people go 58.14; 152.5
waē-ī-t!emēxiauē people assemble 144.23
eēbia'uē people are 192.7 (cf.
eēbi'k' we are 180.13)
ts!āū yōuya'uk' there was (inferential) deep water (cf. 188.14)
sāansa'nsiniauē fighting is going on 23.14
dõmxbiyauēt' people will kill you (intransitive; but transitive with definite third personal subject dõmxbink' they will

kill you) (33.10)

future yanaya'<sup>uɛ</sup>t' future wa<sup>ɛ</sup>-ī-dēmxia<sup>uɛ</sup>t'

future sana'xiniauet'

In particular, states of the weather or season, necessarily involving indefiniteness of subject, are referred to by forms provided with the indefinite suffix -iau-. Examples are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This example is due to Mr. H. H. St. Clair 2d, from whose Manuscript Notes on Takelma it was taken. It is there written Di'tanī'.

lop!odia'us it is raining, hailing, or snowing 90.1; 152.11 (but definitely nox lop!o't' it rains 90.1; (198.9); ts:!elam lop!o't' it hails; p!ā'as lop!o't' it snows 90.2; 196.7)

lep'niya'uk' it has gotten to be winter

samgia'uɛt' it will be summer (92.9)

samgiaugulugwa'n it is about to be summer (literally, it is summer-intended, see § 68) (cf. 48.13)

 $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}g$ ia'<sup>uɛ</sup> it is hot (i. e., it is hot weather; but  $t'\bar{u}w\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}k'$  it, some object, is hot [25.10]; 94.15)

 $we'^e g$ ia-u $da^e$  when it is daybreak 73.6; 126.13

# 4. Temporal-Modal and Pronominal Elements (§§ 59-67) § 59. INTRODUCTORY

Every Takelma verb except, so far as known, the defective copula ett'e I AM, has forms of six tense-modes—aorist, future, potential, inferential, present imperative, and future imperative. Of these, all but the agrist, which is built up on a derived agrist stem, are formed from the verb-stem. A special tense or mode sign, apart from the peculiar stem of the aorist, is found in none of the tense-modes except the inferential, which, in all the voices, is throughout characterized by a -k'-(-g-) following the objective, but preceding the subjective, pronominal elements. Each of the tense-modes except the potential, which uses the personal endings of the aorist, is, however, characterized by its own set of pronominal endings. It is for this very reason that it has seemed best to use the term tense-modes for the various modes and tenses, instead of attempting a necessarily artificial classification into tenses (aorist and future) and modes (indicative, potential, imperative, and inferential), the method of distinguishing the latter being fundamentally the same as that employed to form the former, i. e., the use of special pronominal schemes.

The purely temporal idea is only slightly developed in the verb. The aorist does duty for the preterite (including the narrative past), the present, and the immediate future, as in NOW I SHALL GO; while the future is employed to refer to future time distinctly set off from the present, as in I SHALL GO THIS EVENING, TO-MORROW. A similar distinction between the immediate and more remote future is made in the imperative. The present imperative expresses a command which, it is intended, is to pass into more or less immediate fulfillment, as in GO AWAY! while the command expressed by the future

imperative is not to be carried out until some stated or implied point of time definitely removed from the immediate present, as in come TO-MORROW!, GIVE HER TO EAT (when she recovers). The uses of the potential and inferential will be best illustrated by examples given after the forms themselves have been tabulated. In a general way the potential implies the ability to do a thing, or the possibility of the occurrence of a certain action or condition (I CAN, COULD GO if I care, cared to), and thus is appropriately used in the apodosis of an unfulfilled or contrary-to-fact condition; it is also regularly employed in the expression of the negative imperative (prohibitive). The peculiar form of the potential (verb-stem with a orist pronoun endings) seems in a measure to reflect its modal signification, the identity of its stem with that of the future indicating apparently the lack of fulfillment of the action, while the aoristic pronominal elements may be interpreted as expressing the certainty of such fulfillment under the expressed or implied circumstances by the person referred to.

The inferential implies that the action expressed by the verb is not directly known or stated on the authority of the speaker, but is only inferred from the circumstances of the case or rests on the authority of one other than the speaker. Thus, if I say the bear killed the man, and wish to state the event as a mere matter of fact, the truth of which is directly known from my own or another's experience, the aorist form would normally be employed:

mena' (bear) yap!a (man) t!omõk'wa (it killed him)

If I wish, however, to imply that it is not definitely known from unmistakable evidence that the event really took place, or that it is inferred from certain facts (such as the finding of the man's corpse or the presence of a bear's footprints in the neighborhood of the house), or that the statement is not made on my own authority, the inferential would be employed:

mena' yap!a dõmk'wak' it seems that the bear killed the man; the bear must have, evidently has, killed the man

Inasmuch as mythical narration is necessarily told on hearsay, one would expect the regular use of the inferential in the myths; yet, in the great majority of cases, the aorist was employed, either because the constant use of the relatively uncommon inferential forms would have been felt as intrusive and laborious, or because the events related in the myths are to be looked upon as objectively certain.

The inferential is also regularly employed in expressing the negative future.

Not only do the pronominal elements vary for the different tensemodes, but they change also for the two main classes of intransitive verbs and for the transitive (subject and object), except that in the present imperative and inferential no such class-differences are discernible, though even in these the characteristic -p'- of Class II intransitives brings about a striking formal, if not strictly personal, difference. We thus have the following eleven pronominal schemes to deal with:

Aorist subject intransitive I. Aorist subject intransitive II. Aorist subject transitive. Future subject intransitive I. Future subject intransitive II. Future subject transitive.

Inferential subject.

Present imperative subject.

Future imperative subject intransitive I and transitive.

Future imperative subject intransitive II.

Object transitive (and subject passive).

The transitive objects are alike for all tense-modes, except that the combination of the first person singular object and second person singular or plural subject (i. e., THOU or YE ME) always agrees with the corresponding subject form of intransitive II. Not all the personal forms in these schemes stand alone, there being a number of intercrossings between the schemes of the three classes of verbs. total number of personal endings is furthermore greatly lessened by the absence of a dual and the lack of a distinct plural form for the third person. The third person subject is positively characterized by a distinct personal ending only in the aorist subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive II, and the future subject transitive; as object, it is never characterized at all, except in so far as the third person object, when referring to human beings, is optionally indicated by a special suffix -k'wa-(-gwa-). In all other cases the third person is negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending. The second singular subject of the present imperative is similarly negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending, though the -p of the present imperative intransitive II superficially contradicts this statement (see § 61).

The pronominal schemes, with illustrative paradigms, will now be taken up according to the verb-classes.

# § 60. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS I

This class embraces most of the intransitives of the language, particularly those of active significance (e.g., come, go, run, dance, play, sing, die, shout, jump, yet also such as be, sleep), verbs in -xa-, indefinites in -iau-, and reciprocals. The tense-modes of such verbs have the following characteristic subjective personal endings:

	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present lmperative	Future imperative
Singular: First person Second person Third person	-t'e <sup>ε</sup> , -āe <sup>ε</sup> -(a')t' -ε	-t'ee, -dee -(a)da'e -(a')et'	-k'-a <sup>£1</sup> -k' <sup>£</sup> eīt' -'k'		-(a')€k*
Plural: First person Second person	-l'k' -(a')t'p'	$-(i)ga'm$ $-(a')t'ba^{\varepsilon}$	-k'-ana'k' -k' 'eeīt' p	$\begin{cases} -(a)ba'^{\epsilon} \\ -(a^{\circ})np^{\circ} \\ -p^{\circ} \end{cases}$	?

<sup>1</sup>It is possible that this suffix is really  $-k'a^en$ : -n after a catch is practically without sonority, and very easily missed by the car. The first person singular and plural inferential endings are then both transitives in form (cf.  $-a^en$  and  $-ana^ek$  as first person singular and plural subject of transitives); the third person is without ending in both. The ending  $-k'-a^en$  is made particularly likely by the subordinate in  $-k'-a'n-da^e$  (see § 70).

The imperative is necessarily lacking in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural in  $-(a)ba'^{\varepsilon}$  of the present imperative is used as a hortatory: yanaba' LET US GO! 158.11; (cf. 168.11). This  $-(a)ba'^{\epsilon}$  is not infrequently followed by emphasizing particles: -ni'(e.g.,  $yub\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ni$ ) LET US BE! [cf. 158.8]); -hi (e.g.,  $ye^{\varepsilon}ba'^{\varepsilon}hi$  LET US RE-TURN! 63.1; see § 114, 2), or -ha'n (e. g.,  $ya'naba^{\epsilon}ha'n$  LET US GO 64.1). the last of these being clearly identical with the nominal plural element -han (see § 99); -nihan is also found (ya'nabāaeniha'n let us all GO, PRAY! [cf. 150.24; 152.6]). No true future hortatory and second person plural imperative seem to exist; for the latter, the ordinary indicative form in -t' $ba^{\varepsilon}$  ( $-daba^{\varepsilon}$  in the other classes) was always given. The connective -a- is used with most of the consonantal endings, as indicated in the table, when the preceding part of the word ends in a consonant, otherwise the ending is directly attached; in the reciprocal -t'p', - $\varepsilon t$ ', and -t'ba $\varepsilon$  are directly added to the suffix -an-. Before the only vocalic ending, -i'k', a glide -y- is introduced if the preceding sound is a vowel (e. g., al-yowoyi'k' we look). In the first person plural of the future -iga'm (-aorist -ig-+-a'm; cf. -da'm in possessive

pronouns, §§ 91-3) is used after consonants, -ga'm after vowels. The first form of the second person plural imperative (-a'np') is used to follow most consonants (-'np') to follow a "constant" -a- of the stem), -'p' being found only after vowels and probably m and n (e. g., yu'p' BE YE!; yana'p' GO YE!).

In regard to the etymology of the endings, it is clear that the second person plural agrist is derived from the corresponding singular form by the addition of a characteristic -p' (cf. the imperative), that the second persons of the future are differentiated from the agrist forms by an added  $-a^{\epsilon}$ , and that the first person singular future is identical with the corresponding form in the agrist, except for the lack of a catch. The second persons of the inferential are periphrastic forms, consisting of the third personal form in -k' (modesign, not personal ending) plus  $e\bar{\imath}t$ ' thou art,  $e\bar{\imath}t$ ' p' ye are.

As paradigmatic examples are chosen a stem ending in a vowel (aorist yowo- be), one ending in a consonant (aorist baxam- come), a reciprocal (aorist  $s\bar{a}^a nsan-san$ - fight with one another), and an indefinite in -iau- (aorist  $t^*\bar{u}w\bar{u}$ -g-iau- be hot).

	A	0	R	Ι	S	Т	
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Singular: First person Second person Third person  Plural: First person Second person	yowo't'e I run yowo's yowo's yowo't'p'	bazami'es I come bazama't' baza'em  bazami'k' bazama't'p'	sāansa'nsain they fight sāansa'nsinik' sāansa'nsant'p'	t'ūwūgia′u⁵ it is hot
		FUTUR	Ξ	
(D) ( ) )	yu't'e• yuda'• yu'et' yuga'm yu't'ba•	baxma't'ee baxmada't baxma'et'  baxmaga'm baxma't'bas	1 33	t'ūugia'uɛt'
		POTENTI.	AL,	
Singular:		0		
*	.   yu't'e⁵	baxma't`eε		
Second person .	.   yu't'	baxma't'		
Third person .	$yu'^{\varepsilon}$	baxma'€	sana'xa∈n	t'ūugia'us
Plural:				
•	. yuwi`k`	baxmi'k'	sana'zinik'	
Second person .	.   yu't'p'	baxma't'p'	sana'xant'p'	

### INFERENTIAL

Singular:					
First person		yu′k`aε	baxma'k'a <sup>¢</sup>		
Second person		yu'k!eīt' 1	baxma'k!eīt'		
Third person		yu`k`	baxma`k`	sana'xank'	t'ūugiau'k'
Plural:					
First person		yu'k'ana'k'	baxma'k'ana'k'	sana'xank'ana'k'	
Second person		yu'kleît'p'	baxma'kleît'p'	sana'xankleīt'p'	

 $1-k^{\epsilon}+\epsilon=k!$  See § 12.

### PRESENT IMPERATIVE

Singular: Second person Plural:	yu'	baxma`		
First person Second person	yuba's yu`p`	baxmaba'e baxma'np'	sana'xinibas 1 (?)sana'xananp'	•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The -i- of -iba<sup>e</sup> evidently corresponds to the -i- in the first person plural aorist -ik', future -igam, but appears, so far as known, only in the reciprocal, and, of course, in such cases as require connective -i- instead of -a- (see below, § 64): ha<sup>e</sup>w-ī-k!emniba'<sup>e</sup> LET US SWEAT, with -i- because of instrumental ī-.

### FUTURE IMPERATIVE

Singular: Second person	yu'€k'	baxma'ek'	
•			

A few intransitives of this class add the consonantal pronominal endings directly to the final semi-vowel (-y-) of the stem, instead of employing the connective vowel -a-. Such are:

eĩt' 1 thou art 108.2, eĩt' p' ye are 14.10 (contrast yeweya't' thou returnest [58.13], but yeweĩt' e<sup>ε</sup> I return [188.4] like eĩt' e<sup>ε</sup> I am 198.2)

nagaīt' thou sayest 56.5, nagaīt' p' ye say 170.4 (contrast t'agaya't' thou criest, but t'agaīt' e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I cry [180.5] like nagaīt' e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I say 180.1)

To this somewhat irregular group of verbs belongs probably also  $l\bar{o}^u$ -PLAY, though, not ending in a semi-vowel in either the verb or a rist stem, it shows no forms directly comparable to those just given; its third person a rist, however, shows a rising accent before the catch:  $l\tilde{o}^u l^{\varepsilon_2} 70.4$  (not  $*l\bar{o}'u l^{\varepsilon}$ ), a phenomenon that seems connected (see below, § 65) with the lack of a connecting vowel before the personal endings.

A few stray verbs, otherwise following the normal scheme of intransitive Class I endings, seem to lack a catch in the third person aorist:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This verb is defective, having only the three forms given above, the first person plural  $e \epsilon b i' k'$  180.13, and the (cf. class II) indefinite  $e \epsilon b i a' u^{\epsilon}$  192.7, the latter two with loss of i and intrusive -b-. The third person and the non-aerist forms are supplied by yo-BE.

 $<sup>^2 \</sup>simeq l^e$  appears also in certain usitatives:  $hiwilil^e$  HE USED TO RUN,  $sgelel^e$  HE KEPT SHOUTING, in which the rising accent is probably radical (see § 43, 4); these forms, furthermore, have lost a w, § 18 (cf.  $hiwilill^e c^e$  I RUN,  $sgelel^e l^e$  I SHOUT).

lop!o't' it rains 90.1, 2 (yet lop!oda't' you are raining 198.9;
lop'da'εt' it will rain; lop'da'x to rain, § 74, 1)
hãx it burns 98.1 (yet haxa'εt' it will burn)

Several intransitive Class I usitatives seem to lack the catch of the third person agrist also:

ginīnk' he always went to 46.11 (from gini'ɛk' he went to) witc!īsma he keeps moving (from witc!i'ɛm he moves 148.12) yewèo'k' he is wont to return 47.4; 116.2 (yet yewèoga't' you are wont to return)

No explanation can be given of this irregularity.

The inferential endings, as has been already remarked, are identical for all classes of verbs, so that the following applies to Class II intransitives and to transitives as well as to Class I intransitives. The mode-sign -k is added directly to the final vowel or consonant of the verb-stem (or stem with its added derivative and pronominal object suffixes) without connecting a. All combinations of consonants are here allowed that are at all possible as syllabically final clusters (see § 16); indeed some of the final consonant clusters, as -sk, -p'k', -np'k', -lp'k', hardly occur, if at all, outside the inferential. If the resulting consonant combination would be phonetically impossible an inorganic a is introduced between the two consonants that precede the inferential -k'; secondary diphthongs with raised accent may thus arise:

k!ema'nk' he made it (verb-stem k!emn-)
bila'uk' he jumped 160.17 (verb-stem bilw-)

Double diphthongs are often allowed to stand unaltered before -k' (e.g.,  $o\bar{\imath}nk$ 'he gave them; also imperative  $o\bar{\imath}n$  give them!); sometimes doublets, with double diphthong or with inorganic a, are found (e. g.,  $ts!a\bar{\imath}mk$ ' or ts!aya'mk' he hid it; also passive participle  $ts!a\bar{\imath}mhak$ 'w hidden, but ts!aya'm hide it! ts!eya'mxi hide me! ts!aya'mxamk' he hid us [158.7]). With a final -g- or -gw- the inferential -k' unites to form -k' or -k'w, but with lengthening of the preceding vowel; -k!-+k' becomes -k'. Examples are:

 $he^e n\tilde{a}k'^w$  (=-a'gw-k') he consumed them (cf. 48.10); but  $he^e na'k'^w$  consume them!

 $wa-yan\tilde{a}k^{*w}$  (= $yana^*-gw-k^*$ ) he ran after them 98.10; but  $wa-yana^*k^{*w}$  run after them!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This form can not possibly have been misheard for  $*lop!o^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{E}'}}$ , the form to be expected, as the subordinate is  $lop!\delta'^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{E}'}}$ , not  $*lop!\delta'^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{E}'}}$ , which would be required by a  $*lop!o^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{E}'}}$  (see § 70).

 $y\tilde{o}^{u}k'^{w}$  (= yogw-k') she married him 192.16  $he^{\varepsilon}$ - $\tilde{i}$ - $le'm^{\varepsilon}k'$  (= lemk!-k') he destroyed them (146.20); 154.11; also imperative (= \*lemk!)

### § 61. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS II

Most verbs of Class II intransitives, unlike those that are most typical of Class I, are derived from transitives, the majority of examples falling under the heads of non-agentives in -x, reflexives in -y, positionals in  $-\bar{v}$ , and verbs with intransitivizing -p either in all their tense-modes or in all but the agrist (see § 42, 1). Besides these main groups there are a straggling number of not easily classified verbs that also show the peculiarities of the class; such are:

```
sene'sant'e<sup>ε</sup> I whoop (110.20; 180.15)

wīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I go about (90.1; 92.29; 122.23)

ligīnt'e<sup>ε</sup> I rest (48.11; 79.2, 4; 102.1)

hü<sup>ū</sup>li'nt'e<sup>ε</sup> I am tired (48.4, 11; 102.1, 8; 120.11)
```

In a rough way the main characteristic of Class II intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, is that they denote conditions and processes, while Class I intransitives are in great part verbs of action. Following is the scheme of subjective pronominal endings characteristic of Class II:

	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:					
First person .	$-t$ ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ , $-de^{\varepsilon}$	t'ee, -dee	(-p`)-ga€		
Second person .	-t'am, -dam	$-t^{*}a^{\varepsilon}$ , $-da^{\varepsilon}$	(-p')-k' <sup>ε</sup> eīt'	(-p') .	$(-p')$ - $ga^{\varepsilon}m$
Third person .	\{-t`\}	-t'āa, -dāa	(-p*)-k*		
Plural:	ļ`, '				
First person .	(-p')-ik'	(-p`)-igam	(-p')-g-ana'k'	(-p')-aba€	
Second person.	-t'ap', -dap'	-t'aba <sup>ε</sup> , -daba <sup>ε</sup>	(-p')-k' εεῖt'p'	(-p')-anp'	

In comparing these endings with those of Class I intransitives, it is seen that the characteristic peculiarities of Class II intransitives are: the -am of the second person singular agrist and future imperative (-t'am[=-t'+-am], -ga^sm[?=-\epsilon k'+-am]); the -a- between the -t'- and the -p'- (-b-) in the second person plural agrist and future; the lack of a catch in the third person agrist; the ending -t' $\bar{a}^a$  of the third person future; and the presence of a -p'- (-b-) in the first person plural agrist and future and in the inferential, present imperative, and future imperative forms. The last feature is, however, absent in the non-agentive -x- verbs and in the future of reflexives. The labial in

the first person plural of the aorist and future is evidently connected with the -b- of  $e^ebi'k'$  we are (see § 60, fourth footnote); the parallelism is made complete by the fact that impersonal forms in -iau-derived from Class II intransitives (except non-agentives) show a -p'-before the suffix, analogously to  $e^ebia'^{u\varepsilon}$ :

sene'sanp'iaus there is whooping, se'nsanp'iaust there will be whooping

In the third person of the aorist, positionals in  $-\bar{\imath}^i$ -, non-agentives, and verbs in -p'- and other consonants (except n and probably l, m) lack a positive ending, while reflexives and most of the miscellaneous verbs (ending in a vowel or n, l, and m) show a final -t'. There is every reason to believe that the absence of a -t' in the former group of forms is due to phonetic conditions that brought about its loss (see § 18).

AORIST.

Singular:				
First person .	hana'εsdeε I stop	lets!ēk'wides I touch	s·as·inīt'es I stand	wīt'es I go about
		myself		
Second person	hana'esdam	lets!ēk'widam	s-as-inīt'am	wit'am
Third person	hana'€s	lets!ēk'wit'	s-as-inī	wīt'
Plural:				
First person .	hana'∈sik'	lets!ēk'wibik'	s·as·inīp'ik'	wīp'ik'
Second person	hana'€sdap'	lets!ēk'widap'	s-as-inīt'ap'	wit'ap'
	1	FUTURE	1	-
Singular:				
First person .	ha'nsdee	lesgi'k'widee	s-a's-ant'e	wît'e•
Second person	ha'nesdae	lesgi'k'widae	s.a's.ant.ae	wīt'as
Third person .	ha'nesdãa	lesgi'k'widāa	s'a's'ant'ā2	wit'ā
Plural:	nw n oate	regin waa-	sasunta-	wit a-
First person .	ha'nesigam	lesgi'k'wigam	s·a's·anp'igam	wīp'igam
Second person	ha'nesdabae	lesgi'k'widabas	s.a's.ant'abae	wit'abas
	I	POTENTIAL.	l	1
	1	1		
Singular:				
First person .	ha'n⁵sde <sup>ε</sup>	lesgi'k'wides	s-a's-ant'es	wît'e⁵
Second person	ha'nesdam	lesgi'k widam	s-a's-ant'am	wīt'am
Third person .	ha'n∈s	lesgi'k'wit'	s'a's ant' (?)	wīt'
Plural:				
. First person .	ha'nɛsik*	lesgi'k'wibik'	s·a's·anp'ik'	wīp'ik'
Second person	ha'nesdap'	lesgi'k'widap'	s·a's·ant'ap'	wît'ap'

### INFERENTIAL

Singular:				
First person .	ha'nesgae	lesgi'k`wip`ga€	s-a's-anp'gae	wĩp'ga <sup>ε</sup>
Second person	ha'neskleît'	lesgi'k'wip'k!eīt'	s·a's·anp'kleīt'	wīp'k!eĩt'
Third person .	ha'nsk'	lesgi'k'wip'k'	s·a's·anp'k'	wîp'k'
Plural:				
First person .	ha'nsgana`k`	lesgi'k'wip'gana'k'	s-a's-anp'gana'k'	wîp'gana'k'
Second person	ha'nësk!eīt'p'	lesgi'k'wip'k!eīt'p'	s·a's·anp'k!eīt'p'	wîp'k!eĩt'p'
		PRESENT IMPERAT	CIVE	
Singular:				
Second person	$ha'n^{\varepsilon}s$	lesgi'k`wīip`	s·a's·anp'	wīp'
Plural:		coogr w w v · p	o a o anp	w.p
First person .	ha'nesabae	lesgi'k'wīip'aba€	s-a's-anp'abas	wīp'abas
Second person	ha'nesanp'	lesgi'k'wīip'anp'	s·a's·anp'anp'	wîp'anp'
	!	FUTURE IMPERAT	IVE	1
	1	TOTOKE IMIEKAI	1	1
Singular:				
Second person	ha'nesgaem	lesgi'k'wīip'ga€m	s·a′s·anp'ga€m	wĩp'ga¢m

Those verbs of this class that are characterized, either throughout their forms or in all non-aorist forms, by a suffixed p have this element coalesce with the -p of the first person plural, inferential, and imperative, but with lengthening of an immediately preceding vowel. In the imperative this lengthened vowel seems to take on a falling accent:

p!alā'ap' tell a myth! (cf. p!ala'p'dee I shall tell a myth, with inorganic second a)

sanā'ap' fight! (cf. sana'p'dee I shall fight, with radical second a)

The verb wog- arrive is peculiar in that the arrist is formed after the manner of Class II verbs ( $w\tilde{o}k'$  He arrives 47.15;  $w\tilde{o}k'dam$  you arrive), while the non-arrist forms belong to Class I (e. g.,  $woga'^{\varepsilon}t'$  He will arrive). It is further noteworthy that many, perhaps most, Class II intransitives form their usitative and frequentative forms according to Class I. Examples, showing the third person arrist catch, are:

 $s \cdot \bar{u}' \cdot alha^{\varepsilon}$  they always dwell 112.2 (from  $s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} wil\bar{\iota}$  21.1; but first person plural  $s \cdot \bar{u}' \cdot alhibik$ ); contrast Class II  $s \cdot as \cdot a' \cdot nhap$  he keeps standing (from  $s \cdot as \cdot in\bar{\iota}$  34.1)

 $wogowa'^{\varepsilon}k'$  they keep arriving 112.2 (from  $w\tilde{o}k'$ )

 $s \cdot o' w \bar{o}^u s \cdot a^{u\varepsilon}$  they keep jumping (112.5,10) (from  $s \cdot ow \bar{o}' u^{\varepsilon} k' a p'$  48.15)

Several non-agentives in -x- drop the -x- and become Class I intransitives in the frequentative:

 $p!a-i-t'gwil\bar{\iota}'it'gwal^{\varepsilon}$  (water) keeps dripping down (cf.  $p!a-i-t'gwil\bar{\iota}'^{i\varepsilon}x$  it drips down 58.1)

 $\begin{cases} x\bar{a}^a - sgot!o'sga^{\varepsilon}t' \text{ it breaks to pieces 62.1 (cf. } x\bar{a}^a - sg\bar{o}'^us = -sg\bar{o}^ud - x \text{ it breaks [61.13])} \end{cases}$ 

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{o}'^{u\bar{e}t}$ ' $sgada^{\bar{e}t}$ ' it will break to pieces (cf.  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{o}'^{u\bar{e}s}da$  it will break [148.8])

### TRANSITIVES, CLASS III (§§ 62-66)

#### § 62. General Remarks

The subject pronominal elements of the transitive verb combine with the objective elements to form rather closely welded compound endings, yet hardly ever so that the two can not separately be recognized as such; the order of composition is in every case pronominal object + subject. It is only in the combinations thou or ye —— ME that such composition does not take place; in these the first person singular object is, properly speaking, not expressed at all, except in so far as the stem undergoes palatalization if possible (see § 31, 1), while the second person subject assumes the form in which it is found in Class II of intransitive verbs. The pronominal objects are decidedly a more integral part of the verb-form than the subjects, for not only do they precede these, but in passives, periphrastic futures, nouns of agency, and infinitives they are found unaccompanied by them. For example:

dõmxbina<sup>e</sup> you will be killed (178.15) dõmxbigulu'k'<sup>w</sup> he will kill you dõmxbi<sup>e</sup>s one who kills you dõmxbiya to kill you

are analogous, as far as the incorporated pronominal object (-bi-) is concerned, to:

dõmxbink' he will kill you; t!omõxbi⁵n I kill you

The pronominal objects are found in all the tense-modes, as far as the meaning of these permits, and are entirely distinct from all the subjective elements, except that the ending of the second person plural coincides with one form of the second person singular present imperative of the intransitive, -anp'. These elements are:

Singular: First person, -xi (with third subjective); second person, -bi; third person, -—; third person (human), -k'wa. Plural: First person, -am; second person, -anp' (-anb-).

§ 62

It does not seem that -k'wa-, which is optionally used as the third personal object when reference is distinctly had to a human being (or to a mythical animal conceived of as a human being), can be combined with other than a third personal subject (at least no other examples have been found); nor can it be used as an indirect object if the verb already contains among its prefixes an incorporated indirect object. These restrictions on the use of -k'wa- enable us effectually to distinguish it from the indirect reflexive -k'wa- which has already been discussed, this element normally requiring an incorporated object prefixed to the verb. Examples of the objective -k'wa- are:

t!omōk'wa¹ it killed him 15.16; 28.11

hees -īūk'wa he went away from him

hāxank'wa he burnt him 27.16

sāansa'nk'wa he fought with him 28.10

nagaīk'wa he said to him 152.3 (with very puzzling intransitive

-i-; contrast naga' he said to him)

wēt' gigwa she took (it) away from him (49.6)

lāk'wak' (inferential) he gave him to eat

In several respects this -k'wa differs fundamentally from the other object suffixes. It allows no connective -x- to stand before it (see § 64); the indirective -d- of -a'ld- (see § 48) drops out before it:

gayawa'lk'wa he ate him; cf. gayawa'lsbi he ate you (26.8) and, differing in this respect from the suffixless third person object, it allows no instrumental i to stand before it (see § 64):

ī-t!ana'hagwa he held him (25.10); cf. ī-t!ana'hi he held it 27.4 dak'-da-hālk'wa he answered him 180.18; cf. dak'-da-hāa'li'an I answered him (146.14)

It is thus evident that forms with suffixed -k'wa approximate intransitives in form (cf. nagaīk'wa above). With a stem-final g, gw the suffix unites to form -k'wa, the preceding vowel being lengthened and receiving a rising accent; with a stem-final k! it unites to form -k'wa, the preceding vowel being lengthened with falling accent. Examples are:

t!ayāk'wa he found him 71.14; cf. t!aya'k' he found it 43.4; 134.17 malāk'wa he told him 22.8; (72.14); cf. malagana'nhi he told it to him (see § 50) 30.15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The final consonant of the agristic stem of Type 8 verbs is regularly lost before -k'wa.

 $da-k!os\cdot\tilde{o}^uk'wa$  they bit him 74.5 (aorist stem  $-k!os\cdot og$ -)  $he^{e\varepsilon}-\tilde{\iota}leme'e\varepsilon k'wa$  he destroyed them (50.2); cf.  $he^{e\varepsilon}-\tilde{\iota}leme'k!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I destroyed them (110.2)

 $m\ddot{u}l'\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}\varepsilon}k'wa$  he swallowed him 72,16; cf.  $m\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'k!a^{\varepsilon}n$  I swallowed him (73.1)

Verbs that have a suffixed comitative -(a)gwa- show, in combination with the objective -k'wa-, a probably dissimilated suffix -gik'wa (-gigwa), the connecting a preceding this compound suffix being of course umlauted to i:

xebeyigi'k'wa he hurt him (cf. xebeyagwa'en I hurt him [136.23]) ūyū'iesgigwa he laughed at him 27.5 (cf. ūyū'esgwaen I laugh at him [71.7])

It is rather interesting to observe how the objective -k'wa- may serve to remove some of the ambiguities that are apt to arise in Takelma in the use of the third person. HE GAVE IT TO HIM is expressed in the inferential by the forms o'k'ik' and o'k'igwak', the latter of which necessarily refers to a human indirect object. If a noun or independent pronoun be put before these apparently synonymous forms, sentences are framed of quite divergent signification. In the first sentence (noun +o'k'ik') the prefixed noun would naturally be taken as the object (direct or indirect) of the verb (e.g., ne'k'di o'k'ik, HE WHO-GAVE IT? [ = TO WHOM DID HE GIVE IT?]); in the second (noun + o'k'igwak'), as subject, a doubly expressed object being inadmissible (e. g., ne'k'di o'k'igwak' who gave it to him?). To whom DID HE BRING IT? with incorporated object ne'k'di reads ne'k'di  $me^{\varepsilon}$ - $w\tilde{a}k'$  literally, he-who-hither-brought-it? Who brought it TO HIM? with subject ne'k'di reads (as inferential form) ne'k'di wagawo'k'wak' (-o- unexplained). HE FOUND THE ANTS is expressed by t!ibis·īi t!aya'k', but the ants found him by t!ibis·īi t!ayāk'wa. The usage illustrated may be stated thus: whenever the third personal object refers to a human being and the subject is expressed as a noun, suffixed -k'wa must be used to indicate the object; if it is not used, the expressed noun will most naturally be construed as the object of the verb. An effective means is thus present in Takelma for the distinction of a personal subject and object.

## § 63. Transitive Subject Pronouns

The various tense-modal schemes of subject pronouns in the transitive verb are as follows:

	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:					
First person .	 $-(a')^{\varepsilon}n$	-(a')n	-k*-a€		
	(-(a')t'	$-(a)da^{\varepsilon}$			$(-(a')^{\varepsilon}k^{\epsilon}$
Second person	 -dam (1st sing.	- $da^{\varepsilon}$ (1st sing. obj.)	}-k' <sup>ε</sup> eīt'		-ga <sup>ε</sup> m (1st sing
Third person .	( obj.)	-(a`)nk*	-k*		(obj.)
Plural:		-(u jiik			
First person .	 -(a)nak	-(a)naga'm	k'-anak'	-(a) ba'€	
	(-(a)t'p'	$-(a')t'ba^{\varepsilon}$	h	(~n'	
Second person	 -dap' (1st sing.	- $(a')t'ba^{\varepsilon}$ - $daba^{\varepsilon}$ (1st sing. obj.)	-k' ceit'p'	\[ \{ -(a)np' \]	

Setting aside the peculiar second personal subject first personal singular object terminations, it will be observed that the subjective forms of the transitive are identical with those of the intransitive (Class I) except in the first person singular and plural agrist and future, and in the third person agrist and future. The loss in the future of the catch of the first person singular agrist ( $-t'e^e$ :  $t'e^e = -e^n$ : -n) and the addition in the future of -am to the first person plural agrist (-ik': -igam = -nak': -nagam) are quite parallel phenomena. It will be observed also that the first person plural, probably also singular, agrist of the transitive, is in form identical, except for the mode-sign -k'-, with the corresponding form of the inferential, so that one is justified in suspecting this tense-mode to consist, morphologically speaking, of transitive forms with third personal object (see § 60, first footnote).

The forms of  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - (a orist t!omom-) KILL will show the method of combining subjective and objective pronominal elements.

	AORIST									
	Objective									
Subjective	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural					
Singula: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per. Plural: 1st per. 2d per.	t!ümüxdam t!ümüxi t!ümüxdap*	t/omōxbi <sup>e</sup> n t/omōxbi t/omōxbinak <sup>e</sup>	t!omoma'sn t!omoma't' t!omom t!omomana'k' t!omomana't'p'	t!omōzimit' t!omōzam t!omōzimit'p'	t!omōzanba <sup>c</sup> n t!omōzanp' 1 t!omōzanbana'k'					

<sup>1</sup> Not to be confused with t!omoxant'p' YE ARE KILLING EACH OTHER!

#### FUTURE

	Objective									
Subjective	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural					
Singular: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per. Plural: 1st per.	dũmxdas dũmxiuk'	dõmxbin dõmxbink' dõmxbinagam	dōuma'n dōumada'e dōuma'nk' dōumanaga'ın	dŏmximida⁴ dŏmxamank'	dömxanban dömxanbank' dömxambanagam					
2d per.	dũmxdaba€		dōuma't'ba€	dõmximit'ba€1						
		PRESENT	IMPERATIVE							
Singular: 2d per. Plural: 1st per. 2d per.	dümxi dümxipʻ		dõum  dõumaba' <sup>€</sup> dõump' (al-xī'- k!anp' see him!)	dõmxam dõmxamp'i						
FUTURE IMPERATIVE										
Singular: 2d per.	$d ilde{u}m x$ g $\mathbf{a}^{arepsilon}$ m		dōuma'€k'	? 2						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These forms were not actually obtained, but can hardly be considered as doubtful.

It is not necessary to give the transitive potential and inferential forms, as the former can be easily constructed by substituting in the future forms the agrist endings for those of the future:

 $d\tilde{u}mxi$  he would kill me  $d\tilde{o}^{u}ma'^{\epsilon}n$  I should, could kill him  $d\tilde{o}^{u}m$  he would, could kill him

The inferential forms can be built up from the corresponding future forms by substituting for the subject endings of the latter those given in the table for the inferential mode:

dūmxik' he killed me
dõmxamk!eīt' you killed us
dõmk'a' I killed him
dõmxanp'gana'k' we killed you

The only point to which attention need be called in the agrist and future forms is the use of a connecting vowel -i- instead of -a- when the first personal plural object (-am-) is combined with a second singular or plural subject (-it', -it'p', -idae, -it'bae); this -i- naturally

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Probably expressed by simple future domaimidas.

carries the umlaut of -am- to -im- with it, but -am- reappears when -i- drops out, cf. inferential domxamk!eit. With the -i- of these forms compare the -i- of the first person plural intransitives -ik', -iga'm, -iba<sup>e</sup> (§ 60 and § 60, second footnote).

#### § 64. Connecting -x- and -i-

It will have been observed that in all forms but those provided with a third personal object the endings are not directly added to the stem, but are joined to it by a connecting consonant -x- (amalgamating with preceding -t- to -s:-). This element we have seen to be identical with the -x- (-s-) of reciprocal forms; and there is a possibility of its being related to the -xa- of active intransitive verbs, hardly, however, to the non-agentive -x-. Though it appears as a purely formal, apparently meaningless element, its original function must have been to indicate the objective relation in which the immediately following pronominal suffix stands to the verb. From this point of view it is absent in a third personal object form simply because there is no expressed pronominal element for it to objectivize, as it were. The final agristic consonant of Type 8 verbs regularly disappears before the connecting -x-, so that its retention becomes a probably secondary mark of a third personal pronominal object. The fact that the third personal objective element -k'wa- (-gwa-) does not tolerate a preceding connective -x- puts it in a class by itself, affiliating it to some extent with the derivational suffixes of the verb.

There are, comparatively speaking, few transitive stems ending in a vowel, so that it does not often happen that the subjective personal endings, the third personal object being unexpressed, are directly attached to the verb or agrist stem, as in:

naga'εn I say to him 72.9, cf. naga' he said to him 92.24
sebe'n I shall roast it (44.6); future imperative odo'εk' hunt for him! (116.7)

Ordinarily forms involving the third personal object require a connecting vowel between the stem and the pronominal suffix. Not all verbs, however, show the purely non-significant -a- of, e. g., tlomoma'en, but have a to a large extent probably functional -i-. This -i- occurs first of all in all third personal object forms of verbs that have an instrumental prefix:

 $ts!ayaga'^{\varepsilon}n$  I shoot him (192.10), but wa- $ts!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I shoot (him) with it

ī-lats!agi't' you touched it

The greater number of cases will probably be found to come under this head, so that the -i- may be conveniently termed INSTRUMENTAL -i-. Not all forms with -i-, by any means, can be explained, however, as instrumental in force. A great many verbs, many of them characterized by the directive prefix al- (see § 36, 15), require an -i-as their regular connecting vowel:

lagagi'<sup>ε</sup>n I gave him to eat (30.12) lā<sup>a</sup>liwi'<sup>ε</sup>n I call him by name (116.17)

 $l\bar{o}^u ginini'^{\epsilon}n$  I trap them for him (and most other for-indirectives in -anan-)

Examples of -i-verbs with indirect object are:

ogoyi'en I give it to him 180.11 (contrast oyona'en I gave it [180.20])  $w\bar{a}^a giwi'^e n$  I brought it to him (176.17) (contrast  $w\bar{a}^a ga'^e n$  I brought it [162.13])

A number of verbs have -a- in the aorist, but -i- in all other tensemodes:

 $y\bar{\imath}^i miya'^{\epsilon}n$  I lend it to him, but yimi'hin I shall lend it to him  $naga'^{\epsilon}n$  I said to him (second -a- part of stem) 72.9, but  $n\bar{a}^agi'n$  I shall say to him;  $n\bar{a}^agi'^{\epsilon}k'$  say to him! (future) 196.20;  $n\bar{a}k'ik'$  he said to him (inferential) 94.16; 170.9; 172.12

The general significance of -i- seems not unlike that of the prefixed directive al-, though the application of the former element is very much wider; i. e., it refers to action directed toward some person or object distinctly outside the sphere of the subject. Hence the -i- is never found used together with the indirect reflexive -k'wa-, even though this suffix is accompanied by an instrumental prefix:

 $x\bar{a}^a - p!\bar{i} - n\bar{o}'u\bar{k}'wa^{\epsilon}n$  I warm my own back (188.20)

In a few cases the applicability of the action of the verb can be shifted from the sphere of the subject to that of another person or thing by a mere change of the connective -a- to -i-, without the added use of prefix or suffix:

 $x\bar{a}^a\text{-}l\bar{a}'^at!an$  I shall put it about my waist, but  $x\bar{a}^a\text{-}l\bar{a}'^at!in$  I shall put it about his waist

In the form of the third personal subject with third personal object of the aorist, the imperative with third personal object, and the inferential with third personal object, the -i- generally appears as a suffixed -hi- (-i-), incapable of causing umlaut:

malagana'nhi he told him 30.15, but  $malagini'^{\epsilon}n$  I told him (172.1)  $wa-t!om\tilde{o}mhi$  he killed him with it

 $(\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^u manana'nhi)$  he fixed it for him

ī-k!ūmana'nhi fix it for him!

*ī-k!ūmana'nhik*' he fixed it for him (infer.), but *ī-k!ūmininini'nk*' he will fix it for him

It should be noted, however, that many verbs with characteristic -i- either may or regularly do leave out the final -'i:

 $alx\bar{\imath}'^i k'$  he saw him 124.6, 8 (cf.  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^i g^{i\varepsilon}n$  I saw him, 188.11)<sup>1</sup>  $\bar{\imath}-lats!a'k'$  he touched him (cf.  $\bar{\imath}-lats!agi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I touched him)  $ba^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-ye^{\varepsilon}wa'n$  revive him! (15.2)(cf.  $ba^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-yewe^{\varepsilon}ni'^{\varepsilon}n$  I revived him)  $[he^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-lele'^{\varepsilon}k'$  he let him go (13.6) (cf.  $he^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-le'lek!i^{\varepsilon}n$  I let him go [50.4])

 $he^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $le'l'^{\varepsilon}k'$  let him go! 182.15 (cf.  $he^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -le'lk!in I shall let him go) ba-i-di- $t'ga'^{\varepsilon}st'g\bar{a}^{a}s$  stick out your anus! 164.19; 166.6 (cf. ba-i-di- $t'gats!a't'gisi^{\varepsilon}n$  I stuck out my anus [166.8])

*ī-k!ūuma*'n he prepared it 190.22 (cf. *ī-k!ūumini'*<sup>ε</sup>n I prepared it)

It must be confessed that it has not been found possible to find a simple rule that would enable one to tell whether an *i*-verb does or does not keep a final -*hi* (-'*i*). Certain verbs, even though without instrumental signification, show an -*i*- (or -*hi*-) in all forms with third personal object. Such are:

aorist ogoy- give to (ogoīhi he gave it to him 156.20) aorist weet-g- take away from (wēt gi he took it from him, 16.13) aorist lagag- feed (laga'k'i he gave him to eat 30.12; lāk'i give him to eat! lāk'igana'k we seem to have given him to eat)

and indirective verbs in -anan-. Irregularities of an unaccountable character occur. Thus we have:

 $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$  he left him (cf.  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}^iwi^{\epsilon}n$  I left him); but imperative  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}wi'hi$  leave him! (not \*- $\bar{\imath}wi'$ , as we might expect)

In many cases the loss or retention of the final -hi seems directly connected with syntactic considerations. A large class of verbs with instrumental prefix (generally i-) drop the final -hi, presumably because the instrumentality is only indefinitely referred to (cf. § 35, 1). Examples of such have been given above. As soon, however, as the instrument is explicitly referred to, as when an instrumental noun is incorporated in or precedes the verb, the -hi is restored. Thus:

I The -i- of these verbs regularly disappears, not only here but in every form in which the normal connecting vowel -a- fails to appear in other verbs:  $al-\bar{x}^pe^k$  (inferential) HE SAW HIM (\*al-xik!-lk' like  $d\bar{o}mk$ ' HE KILLED HIM), homonymous with  $al-x\bar{x}^re^k$  (imperative) SEE HIM! (= $*alx\bar{x}^rk$ !). As soon, however, as the verb becomes distinctly instrumental in force, the -i- is a constant element:  $al-wa-\bar{x}^rk$ !ik' (inferential) HE SAW IT WITH IT.

 $la^{-\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}-t'b\bar{a}'^ak'$  he burst it (cf.  $-t'b\bar{a}'^agi^{\varepsilon}n$  I burst it)

[\(\bar{\text{i-s}}\) wili's wal he tore it to pieces (cf. -s \(\bar{\text{wili's}}\) wili\(\bar{\text{w}}\) in I tore it to pieces)

 $\bar{\imath}$ -s·wi'ls·wal tear it to pieces!  $\bar{\imath}$ -s·wiils·wa'l he tore it (once)

*ī-heme'm* he wrestled with him 22.10 (cf. -hememi'en I wrestled with him

despite the prefixed -ī-; but:

la-waya-t'bā'ak'i he burst it with a knife

han-waya-s·wils·wa'lhi tear it through in pieces with a knife! (73.3)

Similarly:

 $b\bar{a}$ - $\bar{\epsilon}_{\bar{i}}$ - $sg\bar{a}^ak$ 'sga'k' he picked him up 31.11 (cf.  $-sg\tilde{a}k$ ' $sgigi^{\bar{\epsilon}}n$  I picked him up)

but:

 $k!a'm\bar{a}^a\ dan\ b\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{a}^ak$ 'sga'k'i tongs rocks he-picked-them-up-with (=he picked up rocks with tongs) 170.17

despite the lack of an instrumental prefix in the verb. Explicit instrumentality, however, can hardly be the most fundamental function of the -hi. It seems that whenever a transitive verb that primarily takes but one object is made to take a second (generally instrumental or indirective in character) the instrumental -i- (with retained -hi) is employed. Thus:

ma'xla k!ūwũ he threw dust

but:

ma'xla ealk!ūwūhi dust he-threw-it-at-him (perhaps best translated as he-bethrew-him-with-dust) cf. 184.5

where the logically direct object is ma'xla, while the logically indirect, perhaps grammatically direct, object is implied by the final -hi and the prefix al-. Similarly, in:

k'o<sup>c</sup>px bababa't'i wā<sup>a</sup>di'xda ashes he-clapped-them-over his-body (perhaps best rendered by: he-beclapped-his-body-with-ashes) 182.9

the logically direct object is  $k'o^{\varepsilon}px$ , the logically indirect object, hisbody, seems to be implied by the -'i. This interpretation of the -hi as being dependent upon the presence of two explicit objects is confirmed by the fact that most, if not all, simple verbs that regularly retain it (such as GIVE TO, SAY TO in non-aorist forms, BRING TO, verbs in -anan-) logically demand two objects.

As soon as the verb ceases to be transitive (or passive) in form or when the third personal object is the personal -k'wa, the instrumental -i- disappears:

gel-yalā'axalt'gwit' he forgot himself 77.10 (cf. gel-yalā'axaldien 1 forgot him)

ogoīk'wa he gave it to him 96.18 (cf. ogoīhi he gave it to him 188.12) It is possible that in wēt'gigwa he took it from him the -gi- is a peculiar suffix not compounded of petrified -g- (see § 42, 6) and instrumental -i-; contrast ī-t!ana'hi he held it with ī-t!ana'hagwa he held him. Any ordinary transitive verb may lose its object and take a new instrumental object, whereupon the instrumental -i-becomes necessary. Examples of such instrumentalized transitives are:

 $ga'l^{\varepsilon}wa-ts!ayagi'^{\varepsilon}n$  bow I-with-shoot-it (cf.  $ts!ayaga'^{\varepsilon}n$  I shoot him)  $wa^{-\varepsilon}\bar{u}^{u}gwi'^{\varepsilon}n$  I drink with it (cf.  $\bar{u}^{u}gwa'^{\varepsilon}n$  I drink it)

If, however, it is desired to keep the old object as well as the new instrumental object, a suffix -an- seems necessary. Thus:

 $yap!a\ wa-s\bar{a}^aginina'^{\varepsilon}$  people they-will-be-shot-with-it  $x\bar{\imath}'^i\ wa-\varepsilon\bar{u}^ugwini'^{\varepsilon}n$  water I-drink-it-with-it

It is not clear whether or not this -an- is related to either of the -an-elements of -anan- (§ 50).

A final -'i is kept phonetically distinct in that it does not unite with a preceding fortis, but allows the fortis to be treated as a syllabic final, i. e., to become <sup>e</sup> + aspirated surd:

he e - ī-le'me k'i he killed them off, but -le'mek!i n I killed them off

Forms without connective vowel whose stem ends in a vowel, and yet (as instrumentals or otherwise) require an -i-, simply insert this element (under proper phonetic conditions as -hi-) before the modal and personal suffixes:

wa-woo'hin I shall go to get it with it (contrast woo'n I shall go to get it)

*ī-t!ana'hi⁵n* I hold it; *ī-t!ana'hi* he holds it 27.4

di-s·al-yomo'hin I shall run behind and catch up with him; di-s·al-yomo'hi catch up with him! (contrast yomo'n I shall catch up with him)

wa-sana'hink' they will spear them with them 28.15 (verb-stem sana-)

A constant -a- used to support a preceding consonant combination is, in -i- verbs, colored to -i-:

ī-lasgi' touch him! (cf. masga' put it! [104.8])

It is remarkable that several verbs with instrumental vocalism lose the -i- and substitute the ordinary connective -a- in the frequentative. Such are:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -go'yok!i $^{\epsilon}n$  I nudge him;  $\bar{\imath}$ -goyogiya' $^{\epsilon}n$  I keep pushing him  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\bar{\imath}$ 'si' $^{\epsilon}n$  I crush it;  $d\bar{\imath}$ -t!iy $\bar{\imath}$ 't!iya $^{\epsilon}n$  I keep crushing them

It can hardly be accidental that in both these cases the loss of the -i- is accompanied by the loss of a petrified consonant (-k!-, -s-).

The following scheme of the instrumental forms of  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - KILL (third personal object) will best illustrate the phonetic behavior of -i-:

	Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:						
First person	t!omomi'€n	dõumi'n	dōumi′€n	dŏmhigae		
Second person	t!omomi't	dōumida'€	dōumi`t*	dŏmhik!eīt'	$dar{o}m$ hi	dōmhi*k'
Third person	t!om&mhi	dōumi'nk'	dõmhi	dŏmhik*		
Plural:						
First person	t!omomina'k'	dõuminaga'm	döumina'k'	dõmhigana'k'	<i>dōm</i> hiba⁴	
Second person	t!omomi't'p'	dōumi't'ba€	dōumi't'p'	dőmhik!eit'p'	dőmhip'	

§ 65. Forms Without Connecting Vowel

A considerable number of transitive verbs whose agrist stem ends in a long diphthong with rising pitch (long vowel+semivowel, nasal, or liquid) treat this diphthong as a vocalic unit, i. e., do not allow the second element of the diphthong to become semivocalic and thus capable of being followed by a connective -a- before the personal endings (cf. intransitive forms like eī-t', § 60). If such a long diphthong is final, or precedes a consonant (like -t') that is itself incapable of entering into diphthongal combination with a preceding vowel, no difficulty arises. If, however, the long diphthong precedes an -n-(in such endings as  $-\epsilon n$ , -n, -nak), which, as has been seen, is phonetically on a line with the semivowels y(i) and w(u), a long double diphthong (long vowel + semivowel, nasal, or liquid +n of time-value 4) results. Such a diphthong can not be tolerated, but must be reduced to an ordinary long diphthong of time-value 3 by the loss of the second element (semivowel, nasal, or liquid) of the diphthong of the stem (see § 11). Thus the coexistence of such apparently contradictory forms as  $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehèit you go where there is singing and  $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehēn (with passive -n) it was gone where there was singing (from \*yehèīn) can be explained by a simple consideration of syllabic

weight. The rising pitch-accent, it should be noted, is always preserved as an integral element of the diphthong, even though a  $-\epsilon n$  follow, so that the first personal singular subject third personal object of such verbs  $(-\tilde{v}^{\epsilon}n)$  stands in sharp contrast to the corresponding form of the great mass of transitive verbs  $(-v'^{\epsilon}n)$ . The first person plural subject third person object and the third personal passive are always parallel in form to the first person singular subject third person object in  $-\epsilon n$  ( $k!ad\tilde{a}^a na'k'$  and  $k!ad\tilde{a}n$  like  $k!ad\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}n$ ). Examples of transitives with a orist stems ending in long diphthongs not followed by connective -a- are:

t'gwaxã<sup>e</sup>n I tattoo him
dī-t!ügũ̄en I wear it
| dāa-yehēen I go where there is
singing
| dāa-yehēn (third person passive)
| dāa-yeheena'k' (first person
| plural)
| k!adãen I picked them up
| da-t!agãen I built a fire
| swadãn (passive) they got
| beaten in gambling
| oyōen I give it (= \*oyōnen)

t'gwaxāīt' you tattoo him

 $d\bar{\imath}$ -t! $\ddot{u}q\ddot{\bar{u}}$ î he wears it 96.16

:  $d\bar{a}^a$ -yehèit' you go where there is singing (106.10)

k!adāī he picked them up
da-t!aqāī he built a fire 88.12;

06.17

: swadāīsa<sup>e</sup>n they are gambling

with one another

but also  $oyona'^{\epsilon}n$  with connecting -a- $k!em\bar{e}^{\epsilon}n$  I did it 74.13 : k!en

: k!emèi he did it 92.22; 144.6; 176.1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 14

In a orist k!emèi- MAKE the -i-, actually or impliedly, appears only when the object is of the third person (singular first, k!emē<sup>e</sup>n; second, k!emèīt'; third, k!emèī; plural first, k!eme<sup>e</sup>na'k'; second, k!emèīt'p'); all other a oristic and all non-a oristic forms replace the -i- by a -n-:

k!emēnxbi<sup>€</sup>n I make you 27.9

k!emēnxaen they make one another; future k!emna'nk' he will make it 28.14

A few reduplicated transitives ending, in both aorist and verb-stems, in a short diphthong (-al-, -am-, -an-, -aw-), lack a connective -a-

<sup>11</sup>t may be noted in passing that the Takelma reduction of an over-long diphthong  $(in to e^{\epsilon n})$  offers in some respects a remarkable parallel to the reduction of an Indo-Germanic long diphthong to a simple long vowel before certain consonants, chiefly m (e.g., Indo-Germanic \* $d_i \tilde{e}_{ij} s = \text{Skr. } dydw^s$ , Gk.  $Z_{e^{ij}} c$ , with preserved  $-i_e$  because followed by  $-i_e$ , a consonant not capable of entering into diphthongal combination; but Indo-Germanic acc. \* $d_i \tilde{e}_{ij} m = \text{Ved. Skr. } dydm$ , Hom. Gk.  $Z_i v$  with lost  $-i_e$  because followed by -m, a consonant capable of entering into diphthongal combination). I do not wish to imply, however, that the accent of forms like  $y e h \tilde{e}^{\epsilon n}$  is, as in  $d_i \tilde{e}_{ij} m$ , the compensating result of contraction.

before the personal endings, so that a loss of the final consonant (-l-, -m-, -n-, -w-) takes place in third personal objective forms before a consonantal personal ending. Such verbs are:

heme'ham he mocked him  $heme'ha^{\epsilon}n \text{ I mocked him } (= :$  $-ham^{\varepsilon}n$ 24.4, 5, 8; 182.6, 7  $\bar{\imath}mi'ha^{\varepsilon}n$  I sent him  $(=-am^{\varepsilon}n)$ : imi'hamsin I was sent (43.2)  $(gel-hewe'ha^{\varepsilon}n^{-1} I think (=-au^{\varepsilon}n) :$ gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11; gel-hewe'hat' you think 142.20 p!a-i-di<sup>e</sup>-sgimi'sga<sup>e</sup>n <sup>2</sup> I set them  $p!a-i-di^{\epsilon}-sqimi'sqam$  he set in ground  $(=-am^{\epsilon}n)$ them in ground  $(b\bar{a}^{-\epsilon}al-mo'lo^{\epsilon}ma^{\epsilon}n \text{ I turned them } : b\bar{a}^{-\epsilon}al-mo'lo^{\epsilon}mal \text{ he}$ over  $(=-al^{\varepsilon}n)$ them over (170,16)  $b\bar{a}$ - $\epsilon al$ - $mo'l^{\epsilon}man$  I shall turn them over (=-aln) $s\bar{a}^a nsa'^{\epsilon} n$  I fight him  $(=-an^{\epsilon}n)$  :  $s\bar{a}^a nsa'n$  he fights him (28.10) (but also  $s\tilde{a}ns$ , see § 40, 10b) I count them : da- $m\bar{a}^a nmini'^{\epsilon}n$  I count them  $m\bar{a}^a n m a'^{\varepsilon} n$ up (156.14) (but also  $m\tilde{a}n =$  $(=-an^{\varepsilon}n)$ \* $m\tilde{a}nm$  he counted them 78.8; 100.8)

How explain the genesis of these two sets of contract verb forms, and how explain the existence of doublets like mo'lo maen and mo'lo mala n, mo'lo mat' and mo'lo malat', oyo n and oyona n, sa ansa'n and sans? The most plausible explanation that can be offered is that originally the personal endings were added directly to the stem and that later a connecting -a- developed whenever the preceding consonant or the personal ending was not of a character to form a diphthong. Hence the original paradigms may have been:

First person .						$oy\tilde{o}^{\varepsilon}n$	$mo'lo^{\varepsilon}ma^{\varepsilon}n$
Second person						oyona't'	mo'loemala't'
Third person							$mo'lo^{\varepsilon}mal$
which were then lev	elec	d out	t t	0:			

oyona' $^{\epsilon}n \mid mo'lo^{\epsilon}mala^{\epsilon}n$ mo'lo emala't'  $mo'lo^{\varepsilon}mal$ 

because of the analogy of a vast number of verbs with connecting -a- in both first and second persons, e. g., ts!ayaga'en, ts!ayaga't'. Forms like mo'lo mat', sā nsa't', would arise from leveling to the first

<sup>2</sup> The form sgimi'sga<sup>e</sup>n is interesting as a test case of these contract verb forms. The stem must be sgimisgam-; it can not be sgimisg-, as sg-could hardly be treated as a repeated initial consonant. No cases

are known of initial consonant clusters treated as phonetic units.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This verb is transitive only in form, intransitive in meaning. The true transitive (THINK OF) employs the full stem hewehaw- with connective -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: gel-hewe'hiwish is a subject of the full stem -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: gel-hewe'hiwish is a subject of the full stem -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: -i- for third personal object, and -s- for other objects: -i- for othI THINK OF HIM; gel-hewe'hausdam YOU THINK OF ME.

person by the analogy of such forms as  $t!omoma'^{\epsilon}n$ ,  $t!omoma'^{\epsilon}n$ . The third person generally brings out the original diphthong, yet sometimes the analogy set by the first person seems to be carried over to the third person (e. g.,  $s\tilde{a}ns$  beside  $s\bar{a}^ansa'n$ ), as well as to the third person passive and first person plural subject transitive. Such forms as  $oy\tilde{o}^{\epsilon}n$  are best considered as survivals of an older "athematic" type of forms, later put on the wane by the spread of the "thematic" type with connecting -a- (e. g.,  $gayawa'^{\epsilon}n$ , not  $*gay\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}n$  from  $*gaya\tilde{u}^{\epsilon}n$ ). Owing to the fact that the operation of phonetic laws gave rise to various paradigmatic irregularities in the "athematic" forms, these sank into the background. They are now represented by aorists of Type 2 verbs like  $naga'^{-\epsilon}n$  I say to him and  $wa-k!oy\tilde{o}^{-\epsilon}n$  I go with him, non-aorist forms of Type 5 verbs (e. g., odo'-n), and such isolated irregularities as intransitive  $e\tilde{\imath}$ -t' and  $naga\tilde{\imath}$ -t' (contrast yewey-a't' and t'agaya't') and transitive contract verbs like  $k!ad\tilde{a}^{\epsilon}n$  and  $s\bar{a}^ansa'^{\epsilon}n$ .

#### § 66. Passives

Passives, which occur in Takelma texts with great frequency, must be looked upon as amplifications of transitive forms with third personal subject. Every such transitive form may be converted into a passive by the omission of the transitive subject and the addition of elements characteristic of that voice; the pronominal object of the transitive becomes the logical, not formal, subject of the passive (passives, properly speaking, have no subject). The passive suffixes referred to are -(a)n for the aorist,  $-(a)na^{\varepsilon}$  for the future, and -am for the inferential. Imperatives were not obtained, nor is it certain that they exist. Following are the passive forms of  $d\bar{o}^u m$ -, instrumental forms being put in parentheses:

	Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential
Singular:				
First person	t!üműxin	dũmxina€	dũmxin	dũmxigam
Second person	t!omõxbin	dõmxbina€	dõmxbin	dõmxbigam
Third person	t!omoma'n (t!omomi'n)	$d\bar{o}umana'^{\varepsilon}$ $(d\bar{o}umina'^{\varepsilon})$	dōuma'n (dōumi'n)	dõmk'am (dõmhigam)
Plural:				
First person Second person	t!omõximin t!omõxanban	dõmximina€ dõmxanbana€	dõmximin dõmxanban	dõmxamk'am dõmxanp'gam

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some verbs whose a orist stem ends in a vowel take a constant -a- with preceding inorganie h instead of adding the personal endings directly. Such a verb is \(\bar{\ell}\ell-lana\)—Hold; the constant -a- or -i- of forms like \(\bar{\ell}\ell-lana'\)hagwa, \(\bar{\ell}\ell-lene'\)hi-s dam is perhaps due to the analogy of the instrumental -i- of forms like \(\bar{\ell}\ell-lana'\)hi'n.

The connective -a-, it will be observed, is replaced by -i- when the formal object is the first person plural (-am-); compare the entirely analogous phenomenon in the second personal subjective first personal plural objective forms of the transitive (§ 63). It is curious that the third person aorist of the passive can in every single case be mechanically formed with perfect safety by simply removing the catch from the first personal singular subjective third personal objective of the transitive; the falling accent (rising accent for verbs like  $k!em\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}n$ ) remains unchanged:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -t!a'ut!iwi $^{\epsilon}$ n I caught him :  $\bar{\imath}$ -t!a'ut!iwin he was caught 29.12  $naga'^{\epsilon}$ n I said to him 72.7, 9 : naga'n he was spoken to 102.16  $k!em\bar{e}^{\epsilon}$ n I made it 74.13 :  $k!em\bar{e}$ n it was made 13.12 178.12

It is hardly possible that a genetic relation exists between the two forms, though a mechanical association is not psychologically incredible.

Not only morphologically, but also syntactically, are passives closely related to transitive forms. It is the logical unexpressed subject of a passive sentence, not the grammatical subject (logical and formal object), that is referred to by the reflexive possessive in -gwa (see §§ 91, 92). Thus:

dīk!olola'n t'gā'ap'dagwan wa' he-was-dug-up their-own-horns (not his-own-horns) with (in other words, they dug him up with their own horns) 48.5

There is no real way of expressing the agent of a passive construction. The commonest method is to use a periphrasis with  $xebe'^e n$  HE DID so. Thus:

et salk!omo'k!imin p!iyin xebe'en canoe it-was-kicked-to-pieces deer they-did-so (in other words, the canoe was kicked to pieces by the deer) 114.5

#### § 67. VERBS OF MIXED CLASS, CLASS IV

A fairly considerable number of verbs are made up of forms that belong partly to Class I or Class II intransitives, partly to the transitives. These may be conveniently grouped together as Class IV, but are again to be subdivided into three groups. A few instransitive verbs showing forms of both Class I and II have been already spoken of (pp. 162-3, 166).

1. Probably the larger number is taken up by Type 13 verbs in -n-, all the forms of which are transitives except those with second person singular or plural subject. These latter are forms of Class II (i. e., aorist singular -dam, plural -dap'; future singular -dae, plural

 $-daba^{\varepsilon}$ ). The -n- appears only in the first person singular and plural (aorist  $-na^{\varepsilon}n$  and -nana'k'), yet its absence in the other persons may, though not probably, be due to a secondary loss induced by the phonetic conditions. The forms, though in part morphologically transitive (and, for some of the verbs, apparently so in meaning), are in effect intransitive. The object, as far as the signification of the verb allows one to grant its existence, is always a pronominally unexpressed third person, and the instrumental -i- can not be used before the personal endings. Among these semitransitives in -n- are:

```
[gwen-sgut!u'sgat'nafn I cut necks gwen-sgut!u'sgat' he cut necks 144.2 (cf. transitive instrumentals gwen-waya-sgut!u'sgidifn, gwen-waya-sgut!u'sgat' i 144.3)
[da-bok!oba'k'nafn I make bubbles (or da-bok!o'p'nafn 102.22)
[da-bok!o'p'dam you make bubbles bā-a-xada'xat'nafn I hang them up in row
[lobola'p'nafn I used to pound them (57.14) (or lobo'lp'nafn)
[lobo'lp'dam you used to pound them
[\bar{z}-lay\bar{a}'ak'nafn I coil a basket 122.2
[\bar{z}-lay\bar{a}'ak' she coils a basket
k!ada'k!at'nafn I used to pick them up (116.11)
da-dagada'k'nafn I sharpen my teeth (126.18)
\bar{u}g\bar{u}'^{\bar{z}}ak'nafn I always drink it
wagao'k'nafn I always bring it 43.16; 45.6)
```

Morphologically identical with these, yet with no trace of transitive signification, are:

```
ī-hegwe'hak' wna en I am working
{xa-hege'hak' na en I breathe (78.12; 79.1, 2, 4)
{xa-huk!u'hak' na en (third person xa-huk!u'hak')
{al-t'wap!a't'wap' na en I blink with my eyes 102.20
{al-t'wap!a't'wap' dam you blink with your eyes}
```

The following forms of  $\bar{\imath}$ -hegwehagw- (verb-stem  $\bar{\imath}$ -hegwagw- [ = -hegwhagw-]) work will serve to illustrate the -n- formation:

		Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present imperative
Si	ngular:				
	1st per.	hegwe'hak'wnaen	he egwa'k' wnan	heegwa'k'wae (=-kw'- k'ae)	
	2d per.	hegwe'hak'wdam	heegwa'k'wdae	heegwa'k!wei't'	he'k'wāak'w
	3d per.	hegwe'hak'w	[?]	heegwa'k'w	
Pl	ural:				
	1st per.	hegwe'hak'wnana'k'	heegwa'k'wnanagam	heegwa'k'wana'k'	hegwa'k'wabae
	2d per.	hegwe'hak'wdap'	heegwa'k'wdabae	heegwa'k!weit'p'	he'k'wāagwa'np'

<sup>2.</sup> Practically a sub-group of the preceding set of verbs is formed by a very few verbs that have their aorist like  $\bar{\imath}$ -hegwe'hak' una $\bar{\imath}$ n, § 67

but their non-aorist forms like Class II intransitives. They evidently waver between Class II, to which they seem properly to belong, and the semi-transitive -n- forms. Such are:

It may be observed that the existence of a form like \*gwel-sal-t!eī-sinan was denied, so that we are not here dealing with a mere mistaken mixture of distinct, though in meaning identical, verbs.

3. The most curious set of verbs belonging to Class IV is formed by a small number of intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, with a thoroughly transitive aorist, but with non-aorist forms belonging entirely to Class II. This is the only group of verbs in which a difference in tense is associated with a radical difference in class. Examples are:

 $\begin{cases} d\bar{a}^a - sgek!iya'^{\epsilon} \text{n I listened} &: \text{ future } d\bar{a}^a - sge'k!i\text{t'ee} \\ d\bar{a}^a - sgek!iya\text{'t' you listened} \\ d\bar{a}^a - sgek!\bar{\imath} \text{ he listened } 102.8 \end{cases}$   $\begin{cases} al-we'k!ala^{\epsilon} \text{n I shine} &: \text{ future } al-we'k!al\text{t'ee} \\ al-we'k!al\text{at' you shine} \\ al-we'k!al\text{ana'k' we shine} &: \text{ future } al-we'k!al\text{p'igam (third person inferential} \\ al-we'- al-$ 

person inferential al-uk!alp'k')

al-geyana'en I turn away : future al-ge'yandee my face

 $\begin{bmatrix} da\text{-}smayama'^{\epsilon}n\\ da\text{-}smaya\widetilde{m}ha^{\epsilon}n \end{bmatrix} \text{I smile} \qquad : \text{ future } da\text{-}sma\text{-}ima's\text{de}^{\epsilon}$ 

da-smayam he smiles da-smayamana'k' we smile

To these should probably be added also da- $sgayana'^{\epsilon}n$  I lie down (3d da- $sgaya\tilde{n}$ ), though no future was obtained. Here again it may be noted that the existence of \*da-sma-ima'n as a possible (and indeed to be expected) future of da-smay $ama'^{\epsilon}n$  was denied.

<sup>1</sup> There are in Takelma also a number of logically intransitive verbs with transitive forms throughout all the tense-modes: al-xaliyana'k' We are seated (56.2; 150.20); passive al-xaliya'n people are seated 152.18. Similar is sal-xagui they stand; cf. also gel-hewe'hau he thinks, p. 179, note 1. As these, however, have nothing to mark them off morphologically from ordinary transitives, they give no occasion for special treatment. It is probable that in them the action is conceived of as directed toward some implied third personal object.

## 5. Auxiliary and Subordinating Forms (§§ 68-72) § 68. Periphrastic futures

Periphrastic future forms are brought about by prefixing to the third personal (unexpressed) objective forms of the aorist stem -gulugw- desire, intend the verb-stem (if transitive, with its appended pronominal object) of the verb whose future tense is desired. The pronominal subject of such a form is given by the transitive subject pronoun of the second element (-gulugw-) of the compound; while the object of the whole form, if the verb is transitive, is coincident with the incorporated pronominal object of the first element. The form of the verb-stem preceding the -gulugw- suffix is identical with the form it takes in the inferential. Thus:

ba-i-hema'k'ulu'k'w he will take it out (cf. inferential ba-i-he-ma'k' = -hemg-k'), but imperative ba-i-he'mk' 16.10

but, without inorganic a:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $\hbar\bar{e}mgulu'k'^w$  he will wrestle with him (cf. inferential  $\hbar\bar{e}mk'$ ) Indeed, it is quite likely that the main verb is used in the inferential form, the -k' of the inferential amalgamating with the g- of  $-gulug^w$ -to form g or k'. This seems to be proved by the form:

loho'k'-di-gulugwa't' do you intend to die? (di= interrogative particle)

Morphologically the verb-stem with its incorporated object must itself be considered as a verb-noun incorporated as a prefix in the verb- $gulug^w$ - and replacing the prefix gel- breast of gel- $gulugwa'^\varepsilon n$  i desire it 32.5, 6, 7. Alongside, e. g., of the ordinary future form  $d\bar{o}^u m a' n$  i shall kill him may be used the periphrastic  $d\bar{o}^u m$ - $gulugwa'^\varepsilon n$  literally, i kill (him)-desire, intend. This latter form is not by any means a mere desiderative (i desire to kill him would be expressed by  $d\bar{o}^u mia'$  gel- $gulugwa'^\varepsilon n$  [= to-kill-him i-it-desire]), but a purely formal future. Similarly,  $d\bar{u}mxi$ - $gulu'k'^w$  is used alongside of the simpler  $d\bar{u}mxink'$  he will kill me. As a matter of fact the third personal subjective future in  $-gulu'k'^w$  is used about as frequently as the regular paradigmatic forms heretofore given:

yana'-k'ulu'k'w he will go (128.9) sana'p'-gulu'k'w he will fight (cf. 48.10) yomo'k'wagulu'k'w she was about to catch up with him 140.18 alxī'ɛxbi-gulu'k'w he will see you The reason is obvious. The normal futures  $(yana'^{\varepsilon}t')$  He will go;  $sana'p'd\bar{a}^a$ ;  $alx\bar{i}'^{\varepsilon}xbink'$ ) imply a bald certainty, as it were, of the future action of a third person, a certainty that is not in ordinary life generally justifiable. The periphrastic forms, on the other hand, have a less rigid tone about them, and seem often to have a slight intentive force: He intends, is about to go. The difference between the two futures may perhaps be brought out by a comparison with the English I shall kill him  $(=d\bar{o}^u ma'n)$  and I'm going to kill him  $(d\bar{o}^u m-gulugwa'^{\varepsilon}n)$ .

Though a form like dumxi-gulu'k'w HE WILL KILL ME is in a way analogous to sin-ī-lets!e'xi he touches my nose, the incorporated object dumxi- KILL-ME of the former being parallel to s'in- nose of the latter, there is an important difference between the two in that the object of the periphrastic future is always associated with the logically  $(d\bar{o}^u m$ -), not formally  $(-gulug^v$ -), main verb. This difference may be graphically expressed as follows: HE-[KILL-ME]-INTENDS-IT, but HE-[NOSE-HAND]-TOUCHES-ME; strict analogy with the latter form would require \*doum-gülü'xi he-[kill]-intends-ME, a type of form that is not found. It is not necessary to give a paradigm of periphrastic future forms, as any desired form can be readily constructed from what has already been said. The incorporated pronominal object is always independent of the subject-suffix, so that you will kill me, for example, is rendered by dümxi-quluqwa't', the ordinary YOU—ME forms (singular -dam, plural -dap') finding no place here.

Inasmuch as all active periphrastic futures are transitive in form, passive futures of the same type (all ending in -gulugwa'n) can be formed from all verbs, whether transitive or intransitive. When formed from transitive stems, these forms are equivalent to the normal future passives in  $-(a)na^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $d\bar{o}^u m$ -gulugwa'n he will, is about to, is going to be killed  $d\tilde{u}mxi$ -gulugwa'n I am to be killed, it is intended to kill me

As the intransitive stem in the periphrastic future is never accompanied by pronominal affixes, there is only one passive future form that can be constructed from an intransitive verb. This form always refers to the third person, generally to the intended or imminent action of a group of people:

hoida-gulugwa'n (verb-stem hoid- + inorganic -a-) there will be dancing

§ 68

 $l\bar{o}^u$ -gulugwa'n people are going to play (literally, it is playintended)

The passive future in -gulugwa'n can also be used with the indefinite form in -iau-:

sana'xiniau-gulugwa'n it is intended, about to be that people fight one another; there will be fighting

The extreme of abstract expression seems to be reached in such not uncommon forms as:

we'egiau-gulugwa'n it was going to be daylight (literally, it was being-daylight intended) 48.13

As the suffixed pronominal objects of reciprocal forms are intransitive in character, the first element of a periphrastic future of the reciprocal must show an incorporated intransitive pronoun, but of aorist, not future form:

 $\bar{\imath}$ -di-lasgi'xant' p'-gulugwa't' p' are you going to touch one another? (aorist  $\bar{\imath}$ -lats!a'xant' p'; future  $\bar{\imath}$ -lasgi'xant' ba $^{\varepsilon}$ )

#### § 69. PERIPHRASTIC PHRASES IN na(g)- DO, ACT

The verbal base  $na(g)^1$  (intransitive na-; transitive  $n\bar{a}^ag$ -) has hitherto been translated as say (intransitive), say to (transitive). This, however, is only a specialized meaning of the constantly recurring base, its more general signification being do, act, be in motion indefinitely. It is really never used alone, but is regularly accompanied by some preceding word or phrase with which it is connected in a periphrastic construction; the na(g)- form playing the part of an auxiliary. As a verb of saying, na(g)- is regularly preceded by a quotation, or else some word or phrase, generally a demonstrative pronoun, grammatically summarizing the quotation. Properly speaking, then, a sentence like I shall go, he said (to me) (= $yana't'e^e$  [ga]  $naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  [or nege's:]) is rendered in Takelma by I shall go (that) he did (or he did to me), in which the quotation  $yana't'e^e$  I shall go, or else its representative ga that, is incorporated as prefix in the general verb of action.

The most interesting point in connection with periphrastic phrases in na(g)- is the use of a number of invariable, generally monosyllabic, verbal bases as incorporated prefixes. The main idea, logically speaking, of the phrase is expressed in the prefix, the na(g)-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Most of its forms, as far as known, are listed, for convenience of reference, in Appendix A, pp. 286-90. It will be seen to be irregular in several respects. Examples of its forms are to be found in great number in "Takelma Texts."

element serving merely to give it grammatical form. This usage is identical with that so frequently employed in Chinookan dialects, where significant uninflected particles are joined into periphrastic constructions with some form of the verb-stem -x- do, Make, become (e. g., Wasco lq!u'b itciux he cut it [literally, cut he-it-made]), except that in Takelma the particles are identical with the bases of normally formed verbs. It is not known how many such verb-particles there are, or even whether they are at all numerous. The few examples obtained are:

```
na<sup>$\varepsilon$</sup> do (cf. na't'e^* I shall say, do)
s'as' come to a stand (cf. s'as'in\varepsilon he stands 144.14)
s'il paddle canoe (cf. ei-ba-i-s'ili'xgwa he landed with his canoe
13.5)
t'gel^$\varepsilon$ fall, drop
ts'!el rattle (cf. ts'ele'^*m it rattles 102.13)
t'b\varepsilon'ux\text{ make a racket (cf. t'b\varepsilon'uxde^* I make a noise)}
liw\varepsilon'a'\text{ look (cf. liwila'ut'e^* I looked [60.7])}
le'yas\text{ lame (cf. gwel-le'ye^*sde^* I am lame)}
p'i'was\text{ jumping lightly (cf. p'iwits!ana'^*n I make it bounce)}
we'k!alk'\text{ shining (cf. al-we'k!ala^*n I shine)}
sgala'uk'\text{ look moving one's head to side (cf. al-sgalawi'n I shall look at him moving my head to side)}
```

The last two are evidently representatives of a whole class of quasi-adverbial -k'-derivatives from verb-stems, and, though syntactically similar to the rest, hardly belong to them morphologically. The -k' of these invariable verb-derivatives can hardly be identified with the inferential -k', as it is treated differently. Thus:

we'k!al-k' shining 126.3; 128.14, but inferential al-we'k!al-p'-k' (Class IV, 3) he shone

Most frequently employed of those listed is  $na^{\epsilon}$ , which is in all probability nothing but the base na- Do, to forms of which it is itself prefixed; its function is to make of the base na(g)- a pure verb of action or motion in contradistinction to the use of the latter as a verb of saying:

ga-nāk'i say that to him! 55.8, but ga-naɛnāk'i do that to him! 182.4; 184.4
ga-naga'iɛ he said that 72.12, but ga-naɛnaga'iɛ he did that 58.3
gwalt' a-naɛna'ɛt' the wind will blow as it is blowing now (literally, wind [gwalt'] this [a-]-do [naɛ]-act-will [na'ɛt']) (152.8)
ga-naɛne'x thus, in that way (literally, that do-acting, doing) 71.6;
110.21; but ga-ne'x that saying, to say that 184.10

§ 69

#### Examples of the other elements are:

did) 13.5

s'as'-naga'is he came to a stand 22.6; 31.14, 15; 55.12; 96.23

s'as'-nāa'gi'n I shall bring him to a halt (literally, I shall s'as'-do to him)

liwā'a-nagaīt'es I looked (55.6; 78.10, 13; 79.5)

t'gel's-nagaīt'es I fell, dropped down

t'gel's nagasnā'ask' he always fell down 62.8

tsle'l naga'is (bones)-rattled (literally, they did tslel) 79.8

t'bō'ux naga' they made a racket so as to be heard by them 192.9

we'k!alk'-naga'is he shines

sgala'uk'-naganā'aɛk' he looked continually moving his head from

ei-s'i'l-naga'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> he paddled his canoe (literally, he canoe-paddle-

side to side 144.14, 17  $gw\bar{e}lxd\bar{a}^a le'yas-na'k'$  his leg was laming 160.17  $p'i'was-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he jumped up lightly 48.8

Syntactically analogous to these are the frequent examples of postpositions (see § 96), adverbs, and local phrases prefixed to forms of the undefined verb of action na(g)-, the exact sense in which the latter is to be taken being determined by the particular circumstances of the locution. Examples are:

gada'k'- $naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  they passed over it (literally, thereon they did) 190.21

ganau-nagana'  $^{\varepsilon}k$ ' he went from one (trap) to another (literally, therein he kept doing) 78.5

hawi-nāk'i tell him to wait! (literally, still do to him!)

 $hagw\bar{a}^ala$ 'm (in the road)  $-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (he did) (= he traveled in the road)

haxiya' (in the water)  $-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (= he went by water)

dak'-s' $in\bar{\imath}'^ida$  (over his nose)  $-nab\bar{a}'^{a\bar{\imath}}ha'n$  (let us do) (= let us flock of crows] pass over him!) 144.11

 $da'k'd\bar{a}^ada$  (over him) -na'' (do!) (= pass over him!)

dak'-yawadē (over my ribs) -naga'iɛ (=he passed by me)

ge (there)  $-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  (= they passed there) 144.18

he<sup>eε</sup>-wila'mxa-hi (beyond Mount Wila'mxa) -nãk' w (do having it!) (= proceed with it to beyond Mount Wila'mxa!) 196.14

These examples serve to indicate, at the same time, that the particles above mentioned stand in an adverbial relation to the na(g)- form:

s·as· $-naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he come-to-a-stand-did, like  $ge\ naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he there-did Compare the similar parallelism in Wasco of:

<sup>1</sup>s-il has been found as a prefix also in the comitative ei-s-il-yāangwa'en I come in a canoe (literally, 1-canoe-paddling-go-having).

 $k!wa'c\ gali'xux$  afraid he-made-himself (= he became afraid) (see "Wishram Texts," 152.9)

 $kw\hat{o}'ba\ gali'xux$  there he-made-himself ( = he got to be there, came there)

Here may also be mentioned the use of verb-stems prefixed to the forms of k!emn- make and  $n\bar{a}^ag$ - say to. Such locutions are causative in signification, but probably differ from formal causatives in that the activity of the subject is more clearly defined. Examples are:

wede wo'k' k!emna't' do not let him arrive! (literally, not arrive make-him!)

wo'k' k!emana'nxi let me come! (literally, arrive make-me!)
gwel-leīs k!emna'n I shall make him lame (literally, be-lame
I-shall-make-him)

yana nãk'i let him go (literally, go say-to-him)

The forms involving k!emen- are quite similar morphologically to periphrastic futures in  $-gulug^w$ -, the main point of difference being that, while k!emen- occurs as independent verb,  $-gulug^w$ - is never found without a prefix. The forms involving  $n\bar{a}^ag$ - are probably best considered as consisting of an imperative followed by a quotative verb form. Thus  $yana \ n\tilde{a}k'i$  is perhaps best rendered as "Go!" say it to him! The form  $hoida-yo'k'ya^es$  (hoid- dance + connective -a-) one who knows how to dance suggests that similar compound verbs can be formed from yok'y- know.

## § 70. SUBORDINATING FORMS

A number of syntactic suffixes are found in Takelma, which, when appended to a verbal form, serve to give it a subordinate or dependent value. Such subordinate forms bear a temporal, causal, conditional, or relative relation to the main verb of the sentence, but are often best translated simply as participles. Four such subordinating suffixes have been found:

 $-da^{\varepsilon}(-t'a^{\varepsilon})$ , serving to subordinate the active forms of the agrist.

- $ma^{\varepsilon}$ , subordinating those of the passive agrist.

 $-na^{\varepsilon}$ , subordinating all inferential forms in -k. Periphrastic inferential forms in  $e\overline{\imath}t$  and  $e\overline{\imath}t$  are treated like a orists, the form-giving elements of such periphrases being indeed nothing but the second person singular and plural a orist of ei- BE.

 $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (- $gi^{\varepsilon}$ ), appended directly to the non-aorist stem, forming dependent clauses of unfulfilled action, its most frequent use being

Pl

Impersonal . . .

the formation of conditions. Before examples are given of subordinate constructions, a few remarks on the subordinate forms themselves will be in place.

The aoristic  $-da^{\epsilon}$ - forms of an intransitive verb like  $h\bar{o}g^{w}$ - Run are: Singular:

	Independent	Subordinate
First person.	$har{o}'k'de^arepsilon$ I run	$h\bar{o}'k'de^eda^{\varepsilon}$ when I ran,
		I running
Second person	hōgwa't'	$har{o}gwada'^{arepsilon}$
Third person	$h\bar{o}'^{\epsilon}k'$	$har{o}'k$ ʻ $da^arepsilon$
lural:		
First person.	$har{o}gwi$ ' $k$ '	$har{o}gwiga'm$
Second person	•	$h \bar{o} a w a' t b a^{\varepsilon}$

 $h\bar{o}awia'$ -uda $^{\varepsilon}$ 

 $h\bar{o}qwia'^{u\varepsilon}$ 

Of these forms, that of the first person plural in -a'm is identical, as far as the suffix is concerned, with the future form of the corresponding person and number. The example given above  $(\hbar\bar{o}-gwiga'm)$  was found used quite analogously to the more transparently subordinate forms of the other persons  $(alx\bar{\imath}'ixam\ \hbar\bar{o}gwiga'm$  He saw us run, like  $alx\bar{\imath}'ixi\ \hbar\bar{o}'k'de^eda^e$  He saw me run); the form of the stem is all that keeps apart the future and the subordinate aorist of the first person plural (thus hogwiga'm we shall run with short o). No form in  $-i'k'da^e$ , such as might perhaps be expected, was found. The catch of the first and third person singular of class I verbs disappears before the  $-da^e$  (see § 22). The falling accent of the stem, however, remains, and the quantity of the stressed vowel is lengthened unless followed by a diphthong-forming element. Thus:

 $y\bar{a}'^ada^\varepsilon$  when he went 58.8 ( $ya'^\varepsilon$  he went 96.8); cf. 188.17 ba-i-k! $iy\bar{\imath}'^i\bar{k}'da^\varepsilon$  when he came (ba-i-k! $iy\bar{\imath}'^\varepsilon\bar{k}'$  he came 156.24)  $yawa'ida^\varepsilon$  as they were talking 130.13 ( $yawa'^{i\varepsilon}$  they talked)  $xebe'nda^\varepsilon$  when he did so 142.10 ( $xebe'^\varepsilon n$  he did so 118.14)

The subordinate form of the third person agrist of class II intransitives ends in  $-t^*a^{\varepsilon}$  if the immediately preceding vowel has a rising accent. Thus:

s'as'inīt'a<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> when he stood (s'as'inī he stood 120.12)  $lop!\tilde{o}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  when it rained (lop!o't' it rained 90.1)

In the second person singular the personal -t and the -d- of the subordinating suffix amalgamate to -d-. The subordinate second person plural in -t  $ba^{\varepsilon}$  is not improbably simply formed on the analogy of the corresponding singular form in  $-da^{\varepsilon}$ , the normal difference

between the singular and plural of the second person consisting simply of the added -b- (-p') of the latter; similarly, e- $ida'^{\varepsilon}$  when thou art and  $e\overline{\imath}t'ba^{\varepsilon}$  when ye are. Judging by the analogy of the subordinates of transitive forms in -dam and -dap' the subordinate forms of the second persons of class II intransitives end in  $-t'a^{\varepsilon}$  ( $-da^{\varepsilon}$ ) and  $-t'aba^{\varepsilon}$  ( $-daba^{\varepsilon}$ ):

s·as·inīt'a<sup>e</sup> when you stood (s·as·inīt'am you stood) s·as·inīt'ba<sup>e</sup> when ye stood (s·as·inīt'ap' ye stood)

Note the ambiguity of the form s as intt a $^{\varepsilon}$  when he or you stood; compare the similar ambiguity in naga'- $ida^{\varepsilon}$  when he said and naga-ida' when you said 130.14; 132.23.

The transitive subordinates of the aorist are also characterized by a suffixed  $-da^{\varepsilon}$ , except that forms with a third personal subject invariably substitute  $-(a)na'^{\varepsilon}$  ( $-ina'^{\varepsilon}$  with first person plural object), and that the personal endings -dam (thou—me) and -dap' (ye—me) become simply  $-da^{\varepsilon}$  and  $-daba^{\varepsilon}$  respectively. The latter forms are thus distinguished from non-subordinate futures merely by the aoristic stem ( $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{i}xda^{\varepsilon}$  when you saw me, but  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}xda^{\varepsilon}$  you will see me). Analogously to what we have seen to take place in the intransitive,  $-t^{\varepsilon}p'$  becomes  $-t^{\varepsilon}ba^{\varepsilon}$ . The subordinate aorists of t!omom- kill are:

	Objective									
Subjective	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural					
Singular:										
1st per.		t!omoxbindae (t!omoxbien)	t!omoma'nda <sup>ε</sup> (t!omoma' <sup>ε</sup> n)		t!omoxanbandae (t!omoxanbaen)					
2d per.	t!üműxda <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!üműxdam)		t!omomada's (t!omoma't')	t!omõximida <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!omõximit')						
3d per.	t!üműxina <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!üműxi)	t!omoxbinae (t!omoxbi)	t!omomana's (t!omōm)	t!omoximinas (t!omoxam)	t!omoxanbanae (t!omoxanp*)					
Plural:	(**************************************	(	(**************************************	(**************************************	(cromozanp)					
1st per.		t!omoxbinagam (t!omoxbinak')	t!omomanaga'm (t!omomana'k')		t!omõxanbanagam (t!omõxanbanak')					
2d per.	t!ümüxdaba <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!ümüxdap')		t!omoma't'bas (t!omoma't'p')	t!omõximit'ba <sup>ɛ</sup> (t!omõximit'p')						

The forms with first personal plural subject (-na'k') and second personal object were not obtained, but the corresponding forms in -iga'm (first person plural intransitive) and -anaga'm (first person plural subject third person object) leave no doubt as to their correctness. These forms differ from ordinary futures of the same

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The corresponding non-subordinate forms are given in parentheses.

number and person only in the use of the aorist stem. Only very few examples of subordinate -anaga'm have been found:

aga'hi ligigwanaga'm just-these which-we-brought-home 134.18; contrast līigwanaga'm we shall bring them home yewē xebeeyagwanaga'm if we should slay him (literally, perhaps that-we-slay-him) 136.23; contrast xeebagwanaga'm we shall slay him

The use of the agrist stem in the subordinate, it will be observed, is also the only characteristic that serves to keep distinct the third personal subjective subordinates and the future forms of the passive:

al- $x\bar{\imath}'^ixbina^\varepsilon$  when he saw you, but al- $x\bar{\imath}'^\varepsilon xbina^\varepsilon$  you will be seen It may be noted that the third personal subjective aorist forms of the transitive may be mechanically formed, like the passives of the same tense, from the first person singular subject third person object aorist by merely dropping the glottal catch of the latter form and adding  $-a^\varepsilon$ . Thus:

gel-hewe'hana<sup>e</sup> when he thought 45.2; 142.10, 13, 16 (cf. gel-hewe'ha<sup>e</sup>n I thought); but gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11

The subordinate of the form with personal object -k' va is formed by adding  $-na^{\varepsilon}$ :

malāk'wana<sup>e</sup> when he told him 72.14 (malāk'wa he told him 142.4)

The aorist passive subordinates cause no trouble whatever, the characteristic  $-ma^{\varepsilon}$  being in every case simply appended to the final -n of the passive form:

 $t!omoma'nma^{\varepsilon}$  when he was killed 146.22 (from t!omoma'n he was killed 148.3)

t!omõxanbanma<sup>ε</sup> when you (plural) were killed

The complete subordinate inferential paradigm is rather motley in appearance;  $-na^{\varepsilon}$  is suffixed to the third personal subject in -k:

 $p!\tilde{a}k'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he bathed  $laba'k'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he carried it 126.5  $ga\bar{\imath}k'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he ate it  $d\tilde{u}mxik'na^{\varepsilon}$  when he killed me

The first person singular in  $-k^*a^{\varepsilon}(n)$  becomes  $-k^*anda^{\varepsilon}$ ; the first person plural subordinate was not obtained, but doubtless has  $-k^*anaga'm$  as ending. The subordinate of the passive in  $-k^*am$  is regularly formed by the addition of  $-na^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $gaik^*amna^{\epsilon}$  when it was eaten  $d\tilde{o}mxamk^*amna^{\epsilon}$  when we were killed

The periphrastic forms in  $e\bar{\imath}t'$  and  $e\bar{\imath}t'p'$  become  $-k'+eida'^{\varepsilon}$  and  $e\bar{\imath}t'ba^{\varepsilon}$  in the subordinate; e. g.,  $w\bar{a}^ah\bar{\imath}^imt'k!eida'^{\varepsilon}$  when you answered him. The active inferential subordinates of  $d\bar{o}^um$ - with third personal object thus are:

Singular:

First person,  $d\tilde{o}mk$ 'and $a^{\varepsilon}$ Second person,  $do^{u}mk!eida'^{\varepsilon}$ 

Plural:

First person,  $d\tilde{o}mk'anaga'm$ Second person,  $d\tilde{o}^umk!e\tilde{\imath}t'ba^{\varepsilon}$ Third person,  $d\tilde{o}mk'na^{\varepsilon}$ ; personal,  $d\tilde{o}mk'wak'na^{\varepsilon}$ 

Impersonal doumiank'nas

The subordinating element  $-na^{\varepsilon}$  also makes a subordinate clause out of a -t' participle (see §76):

gwi na't'na<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ga<sup>\varepsilon</sup> a'ldi naga'n how-he-looked (gwi na't' how-looking) that all he-was-called 60.5; (cf. 78.3) yap!a ga na't'na<sup>\varepsilon</sup> that number of people 110.15

Also adjectives and local phrases may be turned into subordinate clauses by the suffixing of  $-na^{\epsilon}$ :

 $xilam-na'^{\varepsilon}$  when she was sick 188.10  $aga\ d\tilde{o}^{u}k'$   $gwelda-na'^{\varepsilon}$  this log under-it when (= while he was under this log) 190.20

Examples will now be given of constructions illustrating the use of subordinate forms. It is artificial, from a rigidly native point of view, to speak of causal, temporal, relative, and other uses of the subordinate; yet an arrangement of Takelma examples from the view-point of English syntax has the advantage of bringing out more clearly the range of possibility in the use of subordinates. The subordinate clause may be directly attached to the rest of the sentence, or, if its temporal, causal, or other significance needs to be clearly brought out, it may be introduced by a relative adverb or pronoun (where, when, how, who). Both constructions are sometimes possible; e. g., a sentence like I do not know who killed him may be rendered either by not i-it-know who he-him-killing or not i-whom-know he-him-killing. Subordinate constructions with causal signification are:

ts·!olx (1)  $\ddot{u}$ 's·i (2) t! $\ddot{u}$  $m\tilde{u}$ xda<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> (3) give me (2) dentalia (1), for you have struck me (3) (cf. 15.8)

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1) gel- $g\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'xi$  (2)  $gayawa'nda^{\varepsilon}$  (3) he does not (1) like me (2), because I ate it (3)

- $g\bar{u}xde^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $gayawana'^{\varepsilon}$  (2) gayo' (3) yap!a (4)  $ald\bar{\imath}$  (5)  $he^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-leme'k!it'$  (6) you killed off (6) all (5) the people (4), because shamans (3) ate (2) your wife (1) 146.11
- $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $ya'^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $g\bar{\imath}^{i}$  (3)  $me^{\varepsilon}-w\bar{\imath}^{u}k'de^{\varepsilon}da^{\varepsilon}$  (4)  $ga^{\varepsilon}a'l$  (5) he did not (1) go (2), because I (3) came (4);  $ga^{\varepsilon}a'l$  (on account of, for) is employed to render preceding subordinate unambiguously causal
- $a'n\tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $s\cdot in-ho'k'wal$  (2)  $yu'k'na^{\varepsilon}$  (3) ga (4)  $ga^{\varepsilon}al$  (5)  $sb\tilde{\imath}n^{\varepsilon}a$  (6) xa'm-hi (7)  $l\tilde{a}p'k'$  (8) not (1) being (3) nose-holed (2), for (5) that (4) (reason) Beaver (6) got to be (8) under water (7) 166.18

#### A temporal signification is found in:

- $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ -yewe' ie (1) aldīl (2) t!omoma'nma $^{\varepsilon}$  (3) they all (2) returned far off (1), after (many of them) had been slain (3) 146.22
- goyo (1) gel-lohoigwa'nma<br/>e (2) when shamans (1) are avenged (2) 148.2
- ba-i-k!iyi'  $\epsilon k'$  (1) p'im (2)  $gayawa'nda^{\epsilon}$  (3) he came (1) when I was eating (3) salmon (2)
- $al-x\bar{\imath}'^igi^{\varepsilon}n$  (1)  $gwi^{\varepsilon}ne$  (2)  $y\bar{a}'^ada^{\varepsilon}$  (3) I saw him (1) when (2) he went (3)

Relative clauses of one kind and another, including indirect questions, are illustrated in:

- $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1) nek' (2)  $yok!oya'^{\varepsilon}n$  (3)  $lege'xina^{\varepsilon}$  (4) I do not (1) know (3) who (2) gave me to eat (4) (literally, not I-whom-know he-giving-me-to-eat)
- $yok!oya'^{\varepsilon}n$  (1) nek (2)  $laga'ximina^{\varepsilon}$  (3) I know (1) who (2) gave us to eat (3)
- $m\tilde{a}n$  (1) mi'xal (2) ha- $loh\bar{o}^u nana'^{\varepsilon}$  (3) he counted (1) how many (2) he had trapped (3) 100.8
- $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $yok!\bar{o}\bar{\imath}$  (2) gwi (3)  $giniyagwa'nma^{\varepsilon}$  (4) he did not (1) know (2) where (3) she had been taken to (4) 13.12
- ga'hi (1)  $d\tilde{u}k'$  (2)  $d\tilde{\imath}-t!\tilde{u}g\tilde{u}\tilde{\imath}$  (3)  $wa-k!ododi'nma^{\varepsilon}$  (4) they wore (3) the same (1) garments (2) with which they had been buried (4) 96.16
- $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (1)  $na^{\varepsilon}naga\bar{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}da^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $na^{\varepsilon}na'^{\varepsilon}k'$  (3) do (future imperative) (3) what I (1) am doing (2)
- $\bar{\imath}$ -k'we'  $^e$ xi (1) ulum (2) wa $\bar{\imath}$ k'anda $^e$  (3) they awoke me (1) who (or while, when I) before (2) was sleeping (3) 74.5; 75.6

Purpose may be implied by the subordinate in:

p'im (1)  $gayawana'^{\epsilon}$  (2) laga'k'i (3) he gave them (3) salmon (1) to eat (2) 30.11

The subordinate serves very frequently as a clause of indirect discourse after such verbs as know, see, discover. With a regular \$ 70

verb of saying, such as na(g)-, it is nearly always necessary to report the exact words of the speaker.

al-xī'igiɛn (1) xebeyigi'k'wanaɛ (2) I saw him (1) hurt him (2) yok!oya'ɛn (1) p'im (2) gaīk'naɛ (3) I know (1) that he has been eating (3) salmon (2) (literally, I-know-him salmon he-having-eaten)

al-xi'ixi (1)  $t!om\tilde{o}xanbanda^{\varepsilon}$  (2) he saw me (1) strike you (pl.) (2)  $al-xi'igi^{\varepsilon}n$  (1)  $dal-yewe'ida^{\varepsilon}$  (2) I saw him (1) run away (2)

Not infrequently an adverb is to be considered the main predicate, particularly when supported by the unanalyzable but probably verbal form  $wala'^{\varepsilon}si(na^{\varepsilon})$ , while the main verb follows as a subordinate clause. Compare such English turns as it is here that I saw him, instead of here I saw him:

 $eme^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $wala'^{\varepsilon}si$  (2)  $e\tilde{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}da^{\varepsilon}$  (3) I am (3) right (2) here (1) (literally, here it-is really [?] that-I-am)

eme<sup>ε</sup> (1) wala'<sup>ε</sup>si (2) eida'<sup>ε</sup> (3) you are (3) right (2) here (1)

mī<sup>i</sup> (1) wala'<sup>ɛ</sup>si (2) ī-k!ūmanana'nhik'na<sup>ɛ</sup> (3) he had already fixed it for him (literally, already (1) it-was-really (2) that-he-had-fixed-it-for-him (3))

Examples of subordidates depending on predicatively used adverbs without wala'si are:

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $wan\bar{a}$  (2)  $eme^{\varepsilon}$  (3)  $n\dot{e}'ida^{\varepsilon}$  (4) [it is] not (1) even (2) here (3) that they did (4) (probably=even they did not get here) 61.3

 $hop!\dot{e}'^{\varepsilon}n$  (1)  $p!\bar{a}'^{a}s$  (2) hi's (3)  $lop!\tilde{o}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  (4) it used to snow long ago (long ago [1] that snow [2] almost [3] stormed [4])

alī (1)  $he^{\epsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ -leme' $k!inda^{\epsilon}$  (2) [it is] right here (1) that I destroy them (2) 108.20

An example of a subordinate depending on a demonstrative pronoun is:

 $\bar{\imath}'daga$  (1) yap!a (2)  $s*as*in\bar{\imath}t'a^{\varepsilon}$  (3) that man is standing (literally, [it is] that [1] man [2] that is standing [3])

The form  $wala'^{\varepsilon}sina^{\varepsilon}$  is in all probability a third personal agrist transitive subordinate form in  $-na^{\varepsilon}$ , as is shown by its use as a substantive verb for the third person when following an adverb, apparently to supply the lack of a third person in the regular substantive verb ei:

 $eme^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $wala^{\varepsilon}sina^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $\bar{a}'k!a$  (3) he (3) is right (2) here (1) (literally, something like: [it is] here that-it-really-is he)

ge (1) wala''s ina' (2) he is over there (literally, [it is] there [1] that-he-really-is [2])

§ 70

Most astonishing is the use of wala'es inae as a modal prefix of a subordinate verb (of the movable class treated above, see § 34) to assert the truth of an action in the manner of our English DID in sentences like HE DID GO. Thus, from dak'-da-hālsbi HE ANSWERED You, is formed the emphatic dak'-da-wala' sina hālsbina HE DID ANSWER YOU. The only analysis of this form that seems possible is to consider the verbal prefixes dak'-da- as a predicative adverb upon which  $wala'^{\varepsilon}sina^{\varepsilon}$  is syntactically dependent, the main verb  $-h\tilde{a}lsbina^{\varepsilon}$ itself depending as a subordinate clause on its modal prefix. The fact that dak'-da- has as good as no concrete independent existence as adverb, but is idiomatically used with the verbal base hal- to make up the idea of ANSWER, is really no reason for rejecting this analysis, strange as it may appear, for the mere grammatical form of a sentence need have no immediate connection with its logical dismemberment. The above form might be literally translated as (IT IS) Above (dak'-) with-his-mouth (da-) that-it-really-is that-he-ANSWERED-YOU.

#### § 71. CONDITIONALS

Conditionals differ from other subordinate forms in that they are derived, not from the full verb-form with its subject-affix, but, if intransitive, directly from the verb-stem; if transitive, from the verbstem with incorporated pronominal object. In other words, the conditional suffix  $-k^{\epsilon}i^{\epsilon}$  (- $gi^{\epsilon}$ ) is added to the same phonetic verbal units as appear in the inferential before the characteristic -k', and in the periphrastic future before the second element -gulugw-. The phonetic and to some extent psychologic similarity between the inferential (e. g., dumxik' he evidently struck me) and the conditional (e. g., dū̃mxigiε if he strikes, had struck me) makes it not improbable that the latter is a derivative in  $-i^{\varepsilon}$  of the third personal subjective form in -k' of the latter. The conditional, differing again from other subordinates in this respect, shows no variation for pronominal subjects, the first and second personal subjective forms being periphrastically expressed by the addition to the conditional of the third personal subjective of the appropriate forms of ei- BE. From verb-stem yana- go, for example, are derived:

Singular:

First person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> ett'e<sup>\varepsilon</sup> Second person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> third person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup>

Plural:

First person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> e<sup>\varepsilon</sup>bi'k'
Second person, yana'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> e<sup>\varepsilon</sup>ti'p'

Impersonal:  $yanayaŭk'i^{\varepsilon}$ 

The conditional is used not merely, as its name implies, to express the protasis of a condition, but as the general subordinate form of unrealized activity; as such it may often be translated as a temporal or relative clause, an introductory adverb or relative pronoun serving to give it the desired shade of meaning. Examples of its use other than as a conditional, in the strict sense of the word, are:

 $yok!oya'^{\epsilon}n$  (1) nek' (2)  $l\tilde{a}xbigi^{\epsilon}$  (3) I know (1) who (2) will give you to eat (3)

dewe'nxa (1)  $al-x\bar{\imath}'k!in$  (2)  $gwi^{\epsilon}ne$  (3)  $yana'k'i^{\epsilon}$  (4) I shall see him (2) to-morrow (1), when (3) he goes (4)

 $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\epsilon}xink'$  (1)  $gwi^{\epsilon}ne$  (2)  $yana'k'i^{\epsilon}$   $e\bar{\imath}t'e^{\epsilon}$  (3) he will see me (1)

when (2) I go (3)

gwen-t'gāa-bo'k'danda (1) ts·!ō'ut!igie (2) yā'a (3) heene (4) yā'a (5) xeebagwa'n (6) just (3) when they touch (2) the eastern extremity of the earth (1), just (5) then (4) I shall destroy them (6) 144.15

It has a comparative signification (as though) in:

 $p!i^i$  (1) de- $g\ddot{u}'k!alxgi^{\epsilon}$  (2)  $na^{\epsilon}naga'^{i\epsilon}$  (3) it was (3) as though fire (1) were glowing (2) 142.1

Conditional sentences are of two types:

- (1) Simple, referring to action of which, though unfulfilled, there yet remains the possibility of fulfillment.
- (2) Contrary to fact, the hypothetical activity being beyond the possibility of fulfillment.

Both types of condition require the conditional form in the protasis, but differ in the apodosis. The apodosis of a simple conditional sentence contains always a future form (or inferential, if the apodosis is negative), that of a contrary-to-fact condition, a potential. Examples of simple conditions are:

ga (1)  $na^{\varepsilon}n\tilde{a}k'i^{\varepsilon}$   $e\tilde{\imath}t'$  (2)  $haxada'^{\varepsilon}$  (3) if you do (2) that (1), you'll get burnt (3)

 $\bar{a}k^{\epsilon}(1) yana'k'i^{\epsilon}(2) g\bar{\imath}^{i}(3) hono^{\epsilon}(4) yana't'e^{\epsilon}(5)$  if he (1) goes (2),

I (3) go (5) too (4)

wede (1)  $yana'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $g\bar{\imath}^{i}$  (3)  $hono^{\varepsilon}$  (4) wede (5)  $yana'k'a^{\varepsilon}$  (6) if he does not (1) go (2), I (3) won't (5) go (6) either (4)

gwalt' (1) mahai (2) wo'k'i $^{\varepsilon}$  (3) ga (4)  $n\bar{a}^{a}gi'^{\varepsilon}k'$  (5) if a great (2) wind (1) arrives (3), say (5) that! (4) 196.19,

The apodosis of such conditions is sometimes introduced by the demonstrative pronoun ga that, which may be rendered in such cases by then, in that case:

aga (1)  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $sg\bar{o}'^usgi^\varepsilon$  (2) ga (3)  $loho't'e^\varepsilon$  (4) if this (1) string parts (2), in that case (3) I shall be dead (4) 59.10, (11)

Of this type are also all general conditions referring to customary action that is to take place in time to come, such as are often introduced in English by words like WHENEVER, WHEREVER, and so on. Examples of such general conditions are:

wi'lau (1) k!emniyaūk'i<sup>ɛ</sup> (2) wa-t'bā'agamdina<sup>ɛ</sup> (3) whenever people will make (2) arrows (1), they (arrows) will be backed (literally, tied) with it (3) (with sinew) 28.2

 $w\bar{a}^a d\bar{\imath}'^i$  (1)  $d\bar{u}$  (2) ba-i- $gin\bar{a}k'wi^{\varepsilon}$  <sup>2</sup> (3) goyo' (4)  $he^{\varepsilon}ne$  (5)  $d\bar{o}^u$ - $mana'^{\varepsilon}$  (6) whenever a shaman (4) goes out with <sup>3</sup> (3) one
whose body (1) is good (2), then (5) he shall be slain (6) 146.6 goyo (1) gel- $lohogwia\bar{u}k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $he^{\varepsilon}ne$  (3)  $y\bar{a}'^as\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  (4) yap!a (5)

gama'xdi (6)  $p!\grave{e}'^{\epsilon}t'$  (7) whenever one takes vengeance for (2) a shaman (1), just (4) then (3) ordinary (6) people (5) will lie (7) (i. e., be slain) 146.8

wede (1)  $hono^{\varepsilon}$  (2) ne'k' (3)  $al-x\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}k'wak'$  (4) yap!a (5)  $loho'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (6) no (1) one (3) will see him (4) again (2), when a person (5) dies (6) 98.10

 $gana^{\varepsilon}ne'x$  (1)  $yo'^{\varepsilon}t'$  (2) yap!a (3)  $g\bar{a}\bar{\imath}k'i^{\varepsilon}$  (4) thus (1) it shall be (2) as people (3) grow, multiply (4) 146.15

Examples of contrary-to-fact conditions are:

aldī (1)  $yuk'ya'k'i^{\varepsilon}$   $e\overline{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  (2)  $mala'xbi^{\varepsilon}n$  (3) if I knew (2) all (1), I should tell it to you (3) 162.5

nek (1) yo'k  $i^{\varepsilon}$  (2) dak  $-l\bar{\imath}mxgwa^{\varepsilon}$  (3) if it were (2) anyone else (1), it (tree) would have fallen on him (3) 108.11, 13

 $\bar{\imath}'daga$  (1) ge (2) yu'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$  (3) wede (4)  $d\bar{o}^u ma'^{\varepsilon}n$  (5) if that one (1) had been (3) there (2), I should not (4) have killed him (5)

 $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (1) ge (2)  $yu'k'i^{\varepsilon}e\tilde{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  (3)  $b\tilde{o}^u$  (4)  $yana'^{\varepsilon}$  (5) haga' (6) if I (1) were (3) there (2), he would have gone (5) in that event (4)

In the last example, haga' is a demonstrative adverb serving to summarize the protasis, being about equivalent to our in that event, under those circumstances. This word may be the adverbialized

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> General conditions, however, that apply to past time, or that have application without reference to time-limit, are constructed by the use of the subordinate for the protasis, and agrist for the apodosis, both verbs being, if possible, frequentative or continuative in form:  $ts^*[ixi(1) k^*ewe' \epsilon k^*awalda^e(2) he^ene(3) yap!a(4) al-t!ayalk^*(5)$  whenever the dog (1) barked (2), then (3) he found (5) a person (4).

 $<sup>^{2}=-</sup>gin\tilde{a}k^{\epsilon}w+-k^{\epsilon}i^{\epsilon}.$ 

<sup>8</sup> Causes the death of.

form of the demonstrative pronoun  $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ga$  that one; it is used also with persons other than the third:

yana't'e haga' I should have gone in that event

#### § 72. USES OF POTENTIAL AND INFERENTIAL

The potential and inferential modes differ from the aorist in the negative particle with which they may be combined. An indicative non-future statement, such as is expressed by the aorist, is negatived, without change of the verb-form, by means of the negative adverb  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ :

 $y\tilde{a}nt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I went;  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$   $y\tilde{a}nt'e^{\varepsilon}$  I did not go

An imperative or future form, however, can not be directly negatived, but must be expressed by the potential and inferential respectively, the non-acristic negative adverb *wede* being prefixed. Thus we have:

## Negative future:

yana''t' he will go : wede yana'k' he will not go yana'd''e you will go : wede yana'k'eīt' you will not go yana't'e' I shall go : wede yana'k'a' I shall not go dõmxbin I shall kill you : wede dõmxbiga' I shall not kill you (cf. 178.15)

 $d\bar{o}^u ma'nk'$  he will kill him : wede (1) ne'k' (2) yap!a (3)

gama'xdi (4)  $d\tilde{o}^umk'$  (5) no (1) one (2) will slay (5) a person (3) who is no shaman

(4) 146.16

Negative imperative:

yana' go! (sing.) : wede yana't' do not go!

yana'np' go! (pl.) : wede yana't'p' do not go! (156.9)  $d\bar{o}^u m$  kill him! : wede  $d\bar{o}^u m$ a't' do not kill him! : wede ga  $na^{\varepsilon}na$ 't do not do that! : wede ga  $na^{\varepsilon}na$ 't' do not do that!

The particle wede is used with the inferential and potential, not only to form the negative future and imperative, but in all cases in which these modes are negatived, e. g., wede  $d\bar{o}^u ma'^{\varepsilon}n$  I should not have killed him, I would not kill him. There is thus no morphologic distinction between a prohibitive do not go! and a second person subject negative apodosis of a contrary-to-fact condition, you would not have gone. It is probably not a mere accident that the negative particle wede is phonetically identical with the verb-stem wede-take away. This plausible etymology of wede suggests that the origin of

the negative future and imperative constructions lies in such periphrastic sentences as:

Remove (all thought from your mind) that I (inferentially) go (i. e., I shall not go)

Remove (all thought from your mind) that you might, would go (i. e., do not go!)

The inferential, as we have seen above (see § 59), is used primarily to indicate that the action is not directly known through personal experience. An excellent example of how such a shade of meaning can be imparted even to a form of the first person singular was given in § 70; s'eī'-k'we' exi ulum waīk'andae they woke me up while i WAS SLEEPING! 74.5 In the myth from which this sentence is taken, Coyote is represented as suffering death in the attempt to carry out one of his foolish pranks. Ants, however, sting him back into life; whereupon Coyote, instead of being duly grateful, angrily exclaims as above, assuming, to save his self-esteem, that he has really only been taking an intentional nap. The inferential form waik and  $a^{\varepsilon}$ is used in preference to the matter-of-fact agrist wayant'e dae I SLEEPING, because of the implied inference, I WASN'T DEAD, AFTER ALL, ELSE HOW COULD THEY WAKE ME? I WAS REALLY SLEEPING, MUST HAVE BEEN SLEEPING. Closely akin to this primary use of the inferential is its frequent use in rhetorical questions of anger, surprise, wonder, and discovery of fact after ignorance of it for some time. Examples from the myths, where the context gives them the necessary psychological setting, are:

geme'<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup>di (1) g\bar{\varepsilon}^{i} (2) waya\bar{x}agwat' (3) yu'k'a^{\varepsilon} (4) how (1) should I (2) be (4) daughter-in-lawed (3) (i. e., how do I come to have any daugher-in-law?) 56.10 I didn't know that you, my son, were married!

 $g\bar{\imath}^i$  (1) di (2)  $ha'mi^{\varepsilon}t'ban$  (3)  $d\tilde{o}^umk'a^{\varepsilon}$  (4) did I (1) kill (4) your father (3) ? (2) 158.2

s:-gwi  $d\bar{\imath}'$  (1) le'mk!iauk' (2) where (1) have they all gone (2), any way? 90.25, 27 says Coyote, looking in vain for help

 $\bar{o}+(1)$   $m\bar{i}^i$  (2) di' (3) s'amgia'uk' (4) Oh! (1) has it gotten to be summer (4) already (2)? (3) says Coyote, after a winter's sleep in a tree-trunk 92.9

ga (1) di' (2)  $x\bar{e}p'k'$  (3) ga (4) di' (5)  $g\bar{u}^uxde'k'$  (6)  $ga\bar{\imath}k'$  (7) so it is those (1) that did it (3) ? (2) those (4) that ate (7) my wife (6) ? (5) 142.18

- e'me<sup>\varepsilon</sup> (1) daba'<sup>\varepsilon</sup>x (2) di (3) <sup>\varepsilon</sup>eī<sup>\varepsilon</sup>a (4) yu'k' (5) are (5) canoes (4) (to be found) only (2) here (1) ? (3) 114.7 (i. e., why do you bother me about ferrying you across, when there are plenty of canoes elsewhere?)
- ga (1) di' (2) p!ā'ant' (3) gaīk'a<sup>ɛ</sup> (4) so that (1) was their livers (3) that I ate (4) ? (2) 120.14 says Grizzly Bear, who imagined she had eaten not her children's, but Black Bear's children's, livers, on discovering her mistake

A peculiar Takelma idiom is the interrogative use of  $gwi^{\epsilon}ne$  when, how long followed by wede and the inferential, to denote a series of repetitions or an unbroken continuity of action. Examples are:

gwi<sup>e</sup>ne (1) di' (2) wede (3) waik' (4) he kept on sleeping (literally, when [1] did he not [3] sleep [4] ?[2]) 142.11; 152.24 gwi<sup>e</sup>ne' (1) di (2) wede (3) ho'k' (4) he ran and ran (literally, how long [1] did he not [3] run [4] ? [2]) 78.14.

gwīene (1) di' (2) wede (3) dāk'am (4) he kept on being found, they always stumbled upon him again (literally, when [1] was he not [3] found [4] ? [2]) 110.15

Similar psychologically is the non-negative future in:

ge'me<sup>e</sup>di (1) hono<sup>e</sup> (2) al-dā<sup>a</sup>gi'nk' (3) they never found him again (lit., when [1] will they find him [3] again?[2]) 190.25

# 6. Nominal and Adjectival Derivatives (§§ 73-83)

#### § 73. INTRODUCTORY

Although such derivatives from the verb-stem as infinitives and nouns of agency should logically be treated under the denominating rather than the predicative forms of speech, they are in Takelma, as in most other languages, so closely connected as regards morphology with the latter, that it is much more convenient to treat them immediately after the predicative verb-forms. The number of nominal and adjectival forms derived from the Takelma verb-stem is not very large, comprising infinitives or verbal nouns of action, active and passive participles, nouns of agency, and a few other forms whose function is somewhat less transparent. The use made of them, however, is rather considerable, and they not infrequently play an important part in the expression of subordinate verbal ideas.

#### § 74. INFINITIVES

Infinitives, or, as they are perhaps better termed, verbal nouns, may be formed from all verbs by the addition of certain suffixes to the stem or stem + pronominal object, if the verb form is transitive.

Inasmuch as infinitives, being nothing but nouns in form, may take possessive affixes, forms may easily result that combine a transitive object and a possessive pronoun; e. g.,  $d\tilde{o}mxbiyat'k'$  my (-t'k' scheme III § 92) KILLING YOU (-bi-), FOR ME TO KILL YOU (cf.  $y\tilde{e}xbiyaxdek'$  my biting YOU 116.9; -x-dek' scheme II § 92). The classification of verbs into classes is reflected also in the infinitive forms, each of the three main classes being distinguished by a special infinitive suffix. The suffixes are:

Intransitive I -(a')x. Intransitive II -k'wa (-gwa). Transitive -ia (-ya).

The peculiar sub-classes that were grouped together as Class IV all form their infinitives in -k'wa (-gwa). Besides these three main suffixes, -(d)epx- (-apx-) with possessive suffixes is employed to form infinitives from reflexives in -gwi-, while active intransitives in -xa-form their infinitives by employing the bare stem-form with verbal derivative -xa. Infinitives in -xa'k'wa also occur. The infinitive often shows the stem in a purer form than the non-aorist finite forms; in particular the non-aoristic -p'- of Class II intransitive verbs regularly disappears before the -gwa of the infinitive.

Examples of infinitives are:

#### 1. From Class I intransitives:

waīxde<sup>e</sup> your sleeping bā<sup>a</sup>-dawi'x to fly up hogwa'x to run t!e<sup>e</sup>wa'x to play shinny

ne'x saying 108.16; 184.10

yana'x to go
hoida'x to dance  $l\tilde{o}^u x$  to play 31.7  $na^{\varepsilon}ne'x$  doing 94.10; 72.4;
148.13
gina'x to go (176.8) (from simple base gin-; contrast third person future ging-a'\vert^c)

Stems ending in long diphthongs either take -x or -ax. Thus we have either  $ha-y\hat{e}\bar{u}-x-d\bar{a}^ada$  or  $ha-ye^ew-a'x-d\bar{a}^ada$  in their returning 124.15.

## 2. From Class II intransitives:

k'wā'aɛxgwa to wake up (intransitive) geiwa'lxgwa to eat lãk'wa to become p!ala'k'wa to tell a myth

t'gēlxgwa to run around, roll

ba-i-di'n<sup>e</sup>xgwa to march s'a's'ank'wa to stand sana'k'wa to fight

### 3. From Class IV verbs:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $he^e gwa'k'wa$  (=  $-he^e g^w hag^w$ -k'wa) to work

al-we'k!alk'wa to shine da-bo°k'ba'xgwa to bubble

under water (observe verbsuffix -x- of infinitive; but da-bok!oba'k'na<sup>e</sup>n I make bubbles)

4. From -xa- verbs:

 $l\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}xwa'$  (= $l\bar{u}k!$ -xa') to trap

p'e'lxa to go to war (but also p'elxa'k'wa¹)

#### 5. From reflexives:

t'gwāaxa'nt'gwidepxdagwa to tattoo himself lū'exagwant'gwiapxde'k' to trap see'la'mt'gwidepxdek' to paint myself han-see'gwa'nt'gwiapxdek' to

paddle myself across

for myself

From non-reflexive verbs are derived:

ga-iwiapxde'k' my eating

 $w\bar{u}xiapxd\bar{u}^a$  his coming to get me

### 6. From transitives:

p!ala'xbiya to tell you a myth

ī-gaxga'xgwia to scratch one's self
ī-gi's·gis·ia² to tickle him wayanagwia' to run after him lōuqwia' to play with it

 $\begin{cases} \bar{\imath}-k'w\bar{a}'^ak!wia \text{ to wake him} \\ \bar{\imath}-k'we' \stackrel{e\varepsilon}{i}xiya \text{ to wake me (164.20)} \end{cases}$  $d\bar{a}^a$ -agania' to hear about it  $wa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $d\tilde{o}xia$  to gather them

 $d\tilde{o}mk$ 'wia $^3$  to kill him ouns of action is illustrated in the

The syntactical usage of verbal nouns of action is illustrated in the following examples:

hūli'nk'wat'k' k!emna'nk' he will make me tired (literally, my-tiredness he-will-make-it)

 $t!om\tilde{o}x\bar{a}^ada$   $wiyina'^{\epsilon}n$  I help him kill (literally, his-killing [no object] I-aid-it)

ho'gwax gel-gulugwa'en I like to run (lit., running I-like-it) (196.8) a'nīe yok!ōī nexde'k' he does not know what I said (literally, not he-knows-it my-saying)

xi-\$\sigma ga\$a'l in order to drink water (literally, water-drinking for)

ba-i-k!iyi'ek' al-xī'exbiya gaea'l he came to see you (literally, hecame seeing-you for)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Infinitives in -k'wa seem sometimes to be formed from other Class I intransitives, e. g., wisma'k'wa to move; haxa'k'wāa to burn (also haxa'zgwāa).

<sup>2</sup> Umlauted from \*ī-gi's gas ia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>-k'wi- here represents objective -k'wa- umlauted by infinitive ending -(y)a (see § 8). Similarly  $s'\bar{u}mt'ia$  to Boll IT 170.16 from -t'aya.

The normal method of expressing purpose, as the last two examples show, is by the use of an infinitive followed by the general locative postposition  $ga^{\epsilon}a^{\gamma}l$  to, at. for. The infinitive, as its inclusion of the object shows, preserves its verbal character almost completely, and may itself govern another infinitive:

k!emnia' al-we'k!alk'wāa to make it shine (literally, to-make-it its-shining)

Not a few infinitives have become more or less specialized as regular nouns, though it is extremely doubtful if the transparently verbal origin of such nouns is ever lost sight of. Such nouns are:

p!ala'k'wa myth 50.4; 172.17

t'geemt'ga'mxgwa darkness gina'x passage-way 176.9

ye'l'sgwix sweat (cf. ye'l'sgwade' I shall sweat [140.1]) ts:!ip'na'x speech, oration (cf: ts:!i'p'nan I shall make a speech to them [146.11]) sana'k'wa fight, battle ts:!e°ma'x noise (cf. dāa-ts!ēmxde<sup>e</sup> I hear a big noise 90.21)

#### PARTICIPLES (§§ 75-78)

### § 75. General Remarks

Participles are either active or passive, and may be formed with considerable freedom from all verbs. They have not been found with incorporated pronominal objects, the active participles being more adjectival than verbal in character, while the passives naturally hardly allow of their incorporation. The passive participle is often provided with possessive affixes that correspond to the transitive subjects of the finite verb; the active participle, on the other hand, undergoes no modification for person, but, like any adjective, is brought in connection with a particular person by the forms of the copula ei- BE.

## § 76. Active Participle in -t'

This participle is formed by simply appending a -t', one of the characteristic adjectival suffixes, to the verb-stem. Inferential and imperative -p'- of Class II intransitives disappears before this element (e. g., se'nsant' whooping), but not the non-aoristic -p'-, which is characteristic (see § 42, 1) of some of the verbs of the same class; e. g., sana'p' fighting (from \*sana'p't'). Participles in -t' never denote particular action, but regularly indicate that the action predi-

cated of a person is one that in a way marks him off from others, and that may serve as a characteristic attribute. Not infrequently, therefore, a -t'- participle has the value of a noun of agency; the fact, however, that it never appears with pronominal elements, but is always treated as an adjective, demonstrates its attributive, non-substantival character. It is possible to use it with a preceding nominal object, so that sentences may result that seem to predicate a single act definitely placed in time; yet an attributive shade of meaning always remains. For example, wihin domt' ett'e (literally, MY-MOTHER HAV-ING-KILLED I-AM) and wihin t!omoma' on both mean I KILLED MY MOTHER, but with a difference. The latter sentence simply states the fact, the emphasis being on the act itself; the former sentence, on the other hand, centers in the description of the subject as a matricide, I AM ONE WHO HAS KILLED HIS MOTHER. The latter sentence might be a reply to a query like WHAT DID YOU DO? the former, to WHO ARE YOU?

Examples of -t' participles are:

gwi-na't' how constituted, of what kind? (gwi-[how, where] + na't' [from na- do, act]) 14.4, 9, 10; 15.6 ga-na't' of that kind, so in appearance 63.12; 192.7

want' k!emeen I make him old (cf. wunant'e I grow old)

t'qāa haxa't' burnt field (not passive, but really=field that has at one time burned) 92.29

hēlt' eīt'e I know how to sing (literally, singing I am)

yap!a lohont' ett'e I have killed (many) people (literally, people causing [or having caused]-to-die I am)

loho't' having died, dead 148.13

hawa'x-xiwi't' (it is) rotting

xuda'mt' eīt'e<sup>ε</sup> I am whistler

ni'xa yi'lt' having copulated with his mother (insulting epithet applied to Coyote) 86.5, 6, 16

Examples of participles with lost -t' have been given above (see § 18).

§ 77. Passive Participle in -(a)k'w, -i'k'w

Nominal participial forms in -k'w of passive signification can be freely formed from all transitive verb-stems, the stem invariably undergoing palatalization (see § 31). The suffix -k'w ordinarily requires a preceding connective -a- replaced, as usual, by an instrumental -i- in such passive participles as are derived from verb-forms themselves provided with -i-. Participles in -ak'v tend to be accented on the syllable immediately preceding the suffix, in which case an inorganic -h- generally appears before the -a-; -hak'w is also regularly used with preceding fortis (see § 19). It is not unlikely that the suffix is organically -hak'w, the -ha- implying continuity (see § 43, 5). Instrumental passives in -ik'w, on the other hand, are generally accented, with raised pitch, on the -i- of the suffix. For example, dumhak'w (ALWAYS) KILLED OF STRUCK PERSON, but  $wa-d\bar{u}^u mi'k'^w$  thing with which one KILLS (literally, KILLED-WITH thing). Inasmuch as -k'w- participles, differing in this respect from active participles in -t', are distinctly nominal in character, they may be provided with possessive suffixes; e. g., dũmhak'w-dek' MY STRUCK ONE. Forms thus arise which, like -t'-participles supplemented by forms of ei-BE, have independent predicative force. What we have seen to apply to -t'-participles, however, in regard to particularity of action, applies with equal if not greater force to predicatively used passives in -k'w. While a sentence like ī'daga t!omoma'n (domk'am) that one was slain, with finite passive, implies the fulfillment of a single act, a sentence whose predicate is supplied by a passive participle (like  $i'daga\ d\tilde{u}mhak'^{u}$  that one is [REGULARLY] SLAIN, STRUCK) necessarily refers to habitual or regularly continued activity: ī'daga dūmhak'wde'k' THAT ONE IS MY (REGU-LARLY) STRUCK ONE thus approaches in signification the finite frequentative  $\bar{\imath}'daga$   $t!omo'amda^{\varepsilon}n$  that one I (always) strike, but differs radically in signification from both  $\bar{\imath}'daga$  the the temperature of the t I KILLED THAT ONE and  $i'daga\ d\~omt'\ e\~it'e^\varepsilon$  I am one that has killed THAT ONE.

Examples of  $-k^{\prime w}$ - participles are:

gwen-sg $\bar{u}'^{u\bar{\varepsilon}}t'\hat{o}$ k'\* (those) with their necks cut off (21.2, 4, 5)  $xa-\bar{\imath}-sg\bar{\imath}'^{i\bar{\varepsilon}}p'sgibi$ k'\* (bodies) cut in two 21.2; 22.3 ( $m\bar{\imath}^i$ ) gela'p'ak'\* something which is (already) twisted  $g\bar{\imath}ha$ k'\*  $na^{\varepsilon}ne'x$  like something planted, sown  $wa^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d\bar{\imath}xi$ k'\* dek' I have been gathering them (literally, my

gathered ones)  $dal^{\varepsilon}$ -wa-p' $\ddot{u}'t'!ik$ 'w (manzanita) mixed with (sugar-pine nuts) 178.5 t' $\tilde{a}n$  t' $gw\bar{\imath}l$   $g\bar{u}t$ ' $\delta$ k'w $d\bar{a}^a$  squirrel has been burying ( $g\bar{o}^ud$ -) hazel-

nuts (literally, squirrel hazel-nuts [are] his-buried-ones)<sup>2</sup>  $s\tilde{e}k'ak'''de'k'$  I (always) shoot  $(s\tilde{a}^ag)$  him (literally, my shot one)  $m\bar{l}la'shak'''dek'$  I love her (literally, my loved one)

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Cf.  $galaba'^{\epsilon}n$  I twist it; -a'- above is inorganic, hence unpalatalized to - $\epsilon$ -.

 $<sup>^2</sup>t'gwil$  (HAZEL-NUTS) is the grammatical subject;  $g\tilde{u}t'\delta k'wd\tilde{u}^a$  predicates the subject;  $t'\tilde{u}n$  (SQUIRREL) is outside the main core of the sentence, being merely in apposition with the incorporated  $-d\tilde{u}^a$  (HIS) of the nominal predicate.

BOAS ]

As the last example shows, the indirective -s- of verbs with indirect object is preserved in -hak'w participles (contrast  $m\bar{\imath}la't'-k'$  He LOVED HER [inferential]).

Participles of instrumental signification in -i'k''' are freely employed to make up instrumental nouns, such as names of implements. Examples are:

```
douk'-sqū'ut!ik'w log-cut-with (=saw)
se'l-wa-se'la'mdik'w black paint (writing)-therewith-painted
(written) (=pencil)
\[\bar{v}\]-smi'lsmilik'w (thing) swung (=swing)
\[d\bar{u}k'''-wa-sq\bar{u}t'!ik''w\] dress-therewith-cut (=scissors)
\[k'!w\bar{u}\bar{v}\]-sq\bar{e}k'sgigik''w\] grass-up-pitched-with (=pitchfork)
\[yap!a-wa-d\bar{o}umi'k''w\] people-therewith-killed, e. g., arrow, gun
\[da^{\bar{e}}ma'xau\] al^{\bar{e}}-wa-x\bar{i}'ik'ik''w\] far therewith-seen, e. g., telescope
\[milmili'k''w\] something to stir (mush) up with
```

It is interesting to note that forms in  $-k^{*u}$  may be formed from the third person possessive of nouns, chiefly terms of relationship. These are shown by the palatalized form of the stem to be morphologically identical with passive participles in  $-k^{*u}$ . Examples are:

Noun	Participle			
<i>ts</i> : !ele'i his eye 86.7, 9	ts:!ele'ik'w eye-having 27.9			
<i>ni'xa</i> his mother 17.11; 126.7	ni'xak'w he has a mother			
ma'xa his father 17.12; 126.6	me'xak'w he has a father			
$k'a^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ik!\bar{\imath}^i$ his woman (178.8)	k'eiɛlè'p'ik!ik'w he has a wife			
	142.6			
$t!\bar{\imath}^{i\bar{\epsilon}}l\bar{a}'p'ik!\bar{\imath}^i$ her husband 46.1	t!īiɛlè'p'ik!ik'w she has a hus-			
	band			

Such forms in -k may well be compared to English adjectives of participial form in -ed; e. g., Left-Handed, four-cornered. They may be further adjectivalized by the addition of -at (see below, § 108); e. g., me'xagwat father-having.

### § 78. Passive Participles in -xap' (-sap')

Less common than passive participles in  $-(a)k^{*w}$  are certain forms in  $-xap^{*}$  (- $sap^{*}$ ), which, like the former, show a palatalized form of the stem, and seem to be identical in function with them. Like  $-k^{*w}$ - participles, again, they may be provided with possessive pronominal suffixes, though these belong to another scheme of endings:

gel- $g\ddot{u}la'k'ak'w$ -de'k' my liked one, I like him (= gel- $g\ddot{u}la'xab$ -at'k') gel- $g\ddot{u}la'k'ak'w$ -da they like him (= gel- $g\ddot{u}la'xap'$ )

Forms in -xap are in particular use as names of articles of clothing. Examples are:

gwen-wī'iɛxap' handkerchief, neckerchief 188.5 (cf. gwen-wī'ik!an I shall wind it about my neck)

dak'- $w\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}$ xap' something wound about one's head

 $x\bar{a}^a-le'$  esap' (=-t!-xap') belt (cf.  $x\bar{a}^a-l\bar{a}'^at!an$  I shall put it about my waist)

gwen-p!īxap' pillow (cf. gwen-p!īk'wan I shall lie on pillow) ha-lū'uzxap' shirt (cf. ha-lō'uk!win I shall put on shirt)

ha-ya-u-t'ge'nɛ'sap' (=-ts!-xap') vest (cf. ha-ya-u-t'ge'nts!an I shall put it about my middle, ribs)

sqe' e xap' man's hat

### NOUNS OF AGENCY (§§ 79-82)

#### § 79. Introductory

Four suffixes have been found that are employed to form nouns of agency from verb-stems,  $-{}^{\varepsilon}s$ ,  $-s\bar{a}^a$ ,  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , and -xi. The first of these is more strictly verbal in character than the other three, being capable, unlike these, of incorporating the pronominal object.  $-s\bar{a}^a$  and  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , probably genetically related suffixes, are used apparently only with intransitive stems (including, however, such as are partly transitive in form, i. e., that belong to Class IV).  $-{}^{\varepsilon}s$  and -xi are used with both transitive and intransitive stems.

## $\S$ 80. Nouns of Agency in $-(\alpha')^{\varepsilon}s$

This suffix is used to form agentives with more freedom than the others seem to be. The ending  $-\varepsilon s$  is added directly to the verb-stem, with connective -a'- (instrumental -i-) if phonetically necessary. No examples have been found of agentives in  $-\varepsilon s$  from intransitives of Class II. Examples are (49.4; 60.10):

hoida'<sup>ε</sup>s dancer

 $he^{\epsilon}la'^{\epsilon}s$  singer  $p!\bar{a}^aga'^{\epsilon}s$  bather

yāada'€s swimmer

ts!a- $uya'^{\varepsilon}s$  fast runner 138.2 ei- $s\bar{a}^agwa'^{\varepsilon}s$  canoe paddler

 $h\bar{a}pxi$ - $t'\bar{a}^aga'^{\epsilon}s$  child-crier (= cry-baby)

xut'ma'εs whistler

 $k'aiwi'^{\varepsilon}$   $wa^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d\tilde{o}xi^{\varepsilon}s$  one who gathers everything

xuma-k!emna's food - maker (= cook) 54.4

dõmxbi<sup>e</sup>s one who kills you mala'ximi<sup>e</sup>s one who tells us

The last two examples show incorporated pronominal objects; the first personal plural object -am- is, as usual, followed by the connec-

tive -i<sup>2</sup>. The strongly verbal coloring of the agentive in -<sup>e</sup>s is perhaps best indicated by its employment as a final clause. Examples of this use are:

 $ba\hbox{-}i\hbox{-}k!iyi'k\hbox{'}de^\varepsilon$   $al\hbox{-}x\bar\imath'^{i\varepsilon}xbi^\varepsilon\!s$  I came to see you (literally, as one-seeing-you)

 $me^{\varepsilon}$ - $gini'^{\varepsilon}k'$  al- $x\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}xi^{\varepsilon}s$  he came to see me

hoida's di me'-giniga't' did you come to dance? (i. e., as dancer) a'nī' me'-gini'k'de' lõu's. I did not come to play, as player 31.6 (cf. § 74 for another method of expressing this idea)

### § 81. Nouns of Agency in $-s\tilde{i}i$ , $-s\tilde{a}a$

These, as already observed, are less distinctly verbal in force than the preceding. Some verbs have agentives in both  $-\varepsilon s$  and  $-s\bar{a}^a$ ; e. g.,  $he^{\varepsilon}la'^{\varepsilon}s$  and  $h\bar{e}ls\bar{a}^a$  singer. Not infrequently there is a distinct feeling of disparagement in a  $-s\bar{a}^a$ - agentive as compared with one in  $-\varepsilon s$ ; e. g.,  $hog^wa'^{\varepsilon}s$  good runner, but  $ho'k's\bar{a}^a$  one who always runs (because of fear). Both of these suffixes are added directly to the stem without connecting vowel. If stressed, they have the falling accent.  $-s\bar{a}^a$  is the regular agentive ending of Class II intransitives; -p'- is or is not retained before it under the same conditions as in the case of the participial -t' (see § 76).

Further examples of agentives in  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$  and  $-s\bar{a}^a$  are:

*ī-heegwa'k*'wsī' worker

da- $l\tilde{o}$ si liar (but non-disparaging  $l\tilde{o}^{u\varepsilon}$ s player)

 $\bar{u}'^{i\varepsilon}$ s· $\bar{i}$  (= $\bar{u}'^{i\varepsilon}$ s·-s· $\bar{i}$ )  $k!em\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  I make him laugh (literally, laugher)

 $\int al - t' w \bar{a}^a p' t' w a' p' s \bar{\imath}^i$  blinker

 $al-t'w\bar{a}^ap't'wa'p's\bar{a}^a$ 

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $w\bar{\imath}s\bar{a}^a$  go-between (settler of feud) 178.11

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -p!iya  $w\bar{\imath}s\bar{a}^a$  one going, dancing by side of fire (=medicineman)

 $yims \cdot \bar{a}'^a (= yims \cdot - s \cdot \bar{a}'^a)$  dreamer (= medicine-man)

waīsā<sup>a</sup> big sleeper

eseũsāa big sneezer

se'nsansāa one knowing how to whoop

sana'p'sāa one knowing how to fight

s·a's·ansāa one always standing

 $s \cdot \bar{u}'^{\epsilon} a l s \bar{a}^a$  one always sitting

 $n\bar{o}ts!adam\ yu's\bar{a}^a\ e^{\epsilon}bik'$  we are neighbors (literally, neighboring-to-us being [stem yu-] we-are)

 $t!obaga's\bar{\mathbf{a}}^a$  (= -a's-sā^a) eīt' you are always lying like dead

A few nouns in  $-s\bar{\imath}^i$ , in which an agentive meaning can not well be detected, nevertheless doubtless belong here:  $l\bar{\imath}^u s i$  plaything

(110.6,11) (cf. verb-stem  $l\bar{o}^u$ - PLAY); less evidently,  $le^e psi'$  FEATHER 28.2;  $ala'ks\bar{\imath}^i$  HIS TAIL (86.21, 23)

### § 82. Nouns of Agency in -xi

Only a few verbal derivatives in -xi have been obtained. They are:  $al-h\bar{u}y\bar{u}xi$  (= -x-xi) hunter

 $ye^{\epsilon}$ xi' needle, awl (literally [?], biter [cf. verb-stem  $ye^{\epsilon}g^{w}$ - bite]) 122.8

gel-dula'xī¹ eīt'eɛ I am lazy, one who is lazy gel-he'iɛxi stingy (cf. verb-stem  $he^{i\varepsilon}x$ - be left over)  $s \cdot \ddot{u}mx$ i' paddle stirrer (cf.  $s \cdot \ddot{u}\ddot{u}m$ -t'a- boil) (170.16) eī t'gēlxī¹ wagon (literally, canoe one-that-rolls)

### § 83. FORMS IN -i'ya

Two or three isolated verb-forms in  $-i'ya^1$  have been found that appear to be of a passive participial character. There are not enough such forms available, however, to enable one to form an idea of their function. The few examples are:

 $t'g\bar{a}^a$  (1) haxani'ya (2)  $m\bar{\imath}^i$  (3) al-t!aya'k' (4) then (3) he discovered (4) a burnt-down (2) field (1) 92.26

yap!a (1)  $d\bar{o}^um{\rm i}'{\rm ya}$  (2)  $^\varepsilon al\hbox{-}t!aya'k'$  (3) he discovered (3) killed (2) people (1)

Both of these forms in -i'ya, it will be observed, are derived from transitive stems (haxani'ya from causative haxa-n- cause to burn, burn), and would seem to be best interpreted as attributive passives corresponding to the attributive actives in -t'. To these forms belongs probably also:

dī<sup>i</sup>-he'liya (1) wa-iwī'<sup>i</sup> (2) girl (2) who sleeps on a raised board platform (1) (literally, perhaps, up-boarded girl [cf. he la'm board]) 13.2

## II. The Noun (§§ 84-102)

## § 84. Introductory

Despite the double-faced character of some of the nominal derivatives of the verb-stem (e. g., the passive participles), there is formally in Takelma a sharp line of demarcation between denominating and predicative elements of speech. This is evidenced partly by the distinct sets of pronominal suffixes peculiar to noun and verb, partly by certain nominal elements appearing before the possessive affixes and serving, perhaps, to distinctly substantivize the stem. Only a

small number of stems have been found that can, without the aid of nominal (or verbal) derivative elements, be used as both nouns and verbs. Such are:

se' el black paint, writing
he' el song 106.7; (164.16)
liw-ā'a naga'iɛ he looked (perhaps = his-look he-did) 55.6
dūk' w shirt 96.16
t'ü'l gambling-sticks in grassgame
xle' eɛ p' dough-like mass of
camass or fat
xãn urine

verb
se °l-a'md-a°n I paint it
hēl sing! (170.12)
liwila'u-t'e° I looked (152.17)
(imperative līū 14.11; [60.2])
dī-dūk'w wear it! (55.9; 96.16)
t!ü'lt!al-siniba° let us gamble
at grass-game 31.9
ī-xlep!e'xlib-i°n I mash it into
dough (94.11)
xala'xam-t'e° I urinate

A number of cases have been found of stem + suffix serving as noun and verb (e. g.,  $w\ddot{u}^{\dot{u}}lha'm$  menstrual "round" dance 100.10, 16:  $w\ddot{u}^{\dot{u}}lha'mt'e^{e}$  is shall have first courses 162.7, 8); but in these it is probable that the verb is a secondary derivative of the noun. Even in the first two examples given above, a difference in pitch-accent serves to distinguish the noun from the verb-stem:  $h\bar{e}l$ -gulu'k' he will sing, but he' el gel-gulu'k' hie likes, desires, a song. The use of a stem as both noun and verb in the same sentence may lead to such cognate accusative constructions as the English to live a life, dream a dream:

 $se'e^l-se^ela'msi$  write to me!  $d\bar{u}^u gw\bar{u}'^i d\bar{\iota}-d\bar{u}^u gwa'nk'$  she shall wear her skirt 55.9

If we analyze noun forms like t!ibagwa'nt'k' MY PANCREAS and  $d\bar{a}^a nxde'k'$  MY EAR, we find it necessary to consider five more or less distinct elements that go to make up a noun with possessive suffix, though all of these but the radical portion of the word may be absent.

First of all we have the stem  $(t!iba-; d\bar{a}^a-)$  which may or may not be similar in form to a verbal base, and which occurs either as an absolute noun unprovided with a pronominal suffix (body-part nouns and terms of relationship, however, do not ordinarily appear in their naked stem-form), or as an incorporated noun; e. g.,  $t!iba-w\bar{e}sin$  I AM PANCREAS-DEPRIVED, MY PANCREAS HAS BEEN TAKEN FROM ME.

Appended to the stem are the purely derivational or formative elements of the noun. Takelma is characterized rather by a paucity than an abundance of such elements, a very large proportion of its nouns being primitive, i. e., non-derivative, in character. Of the two nouns that we have chosen as types  $d\bar{a}^a nx de'k'$  shows no formative element in the proper sense of the word, while the -gw- of t!iba-gwa'nt'k' is such an element (cf. from stem  $l\bar{\imath}u$ - look liu-gw-ax-de'k' MY FACE).

More characteristic of the Takelma noun than derivational suffixes is a group of elements that are never found in the absolute form of the noun, but attach themselves to it on the addition of a pronominal suffix or local pre-positive. The -n- and -(a)n- of  $d\bar{a}^a nx de'k'$  and t!ibaqwa'nt'k', respectively, are elements of this kind (cf. ha-da-n-de IN MY EAR; ha-t!ibagw-an-de in my pancreas), also the -a- of dana't'k' MY ROCK (cf. ha-dan-a' IN THE ROCK [from da'n rock]), and the -u of ha-t' $g\bar{a}\bar{u}$  in the earth 33.7 (from t' $g\tilde{a}$  earth). The function of these elements, if they have any and are not merely older formative suffixes that have become crystallized in definite forms of the noun, is not at all clear. They are certainly not mere connective elements serving as supports for the grammatical suffixes following, as in that event it would be difficult to understand their occurrence as absolute finals in nouns provided with pre-positives; nor can they be plausibly explained as old case-endings whose former existence as such was conditioned by the preceding pre-positive, but which now have entirely lost their original significance, for they are never dependent on the pre-positive itself, but vary solely with the noun-stem:

ha-dan-a' in the rock;  $d\bar{a}^a$ -dan-a' beside the rock; dal-dan-a' among the rocks; dan-a'-t'k' my rock; dak'-dan-a- $d\bar{e}$  over my rock (with constant -a- from da'n rock 16.12)

 $ha\text{-}gw\bar{a}^al\text{-}a$ 'm in the road 62.6;  $d\bar{a}^a\text{-}gw\bar{a}^al\text{-}a$ 'm along the road;  $gw\bar{a}^al\text{-}a$ 'm-t'k' my road (96.8); dak'- $gw\bar{a}^al\text{-}am\text{-}d\tilde{e}$  over my road (48.6, 8) (with constant -am- from  $gw\tilde{a}n$  road 148.7)

For want of a better term to describe them, these apparently non-significant elements will be referred to as noun-characteristics. Not all nouns have such characteristics:

ha-gela'm in the river (from gela'm river 21.14) as opposed to  $x\bar{a}^a$ -gulm-a'n among oaks (from gulu'm oak 22.10, 11)

Whether such nouns were always without them, or really preserve them, but in a phonetically amalgamated form, it is, of course, impossible to decide without other than internal evidence.

A fourth nominal element, the pre-pronominal -x-, is found in a large number of nouns, including such as possess also a characteristic

(e. g.,  $d\bar{a}^a$ -n-x-de'k') and such as are not provided with that element (e. g., sal-x-de'k' MY FOOT); a large number, on the other hand, both of those that have a characteristic (e. g., t!ibagw-a'n-t'k') and of those that lack it (e. g.,  $b\bar{e}m$ -t' $\bar{a}^a$  HIS STICK) do without the -x-. A considerable number of nouns may either have it between the characteristic and the pronominal ending or append the personal endings directly to the characteristic, no difference in signification resulting. In such doublets, however, the pronominal suffixes belong to different schemes:

bilg-an-x-de'k' and bilg-a'n-t'k' my breast seens-i-x-da' and seens-i'-t' your hair  $w\bar{a}^ad$ -i'-x-da (92.24) and  $w\bar{a}^ad$ -i' his body 146.6

The characteristic -a- never tolerates a following -x-. Where doublets occur, these two elements seem to be mutually equivalent: ey-a'-t'k' (112.6) and ei-x-de'k' MY CANOE (from eī CANOE 114.3). Such doublets, together with the fact that nothing ever intervenes between it and the personal suffix, make it possible that this -x- is a connective element somewhat similar in function to, and perhaps ultimately identical with, the connective -x- of transitive verbs. This, however, is confessedly mere speculation. What chiefly militates against its interpretation as a merely connective element is the fact of its occurrence as a word-final in phrases in which no possessive element is found:

 $dagax \ wo'k'i^{\epsilon}$  head without  $ha-d\bar{a}^a-n-x \ molhi't'$  in-ear red (i. e., red-eared) 14.4; 15.13

If the local phrase involves a personal pronominal element, the -x-disappears:

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -n-x-de'k' my ear, but ha-da-n-d $\bar{e}$  in my ear

This treatment marks it off sharply from the noun-characteristics.

Fifthly and lastly, in the integral structure of the noun, comes the possessive pronominal suffix (the first person singular of terms of relationship, however, is a prefixed *wi-*). The following tabulated summary shows the range of occurrence of the various elements of the noun:

- 1. Stem. Occurs as absolute noun  $(gw\tilde{a}n)$ , or incorporated in verb  $(d\bar{a}^a$ -).
- 2. **Derivative element.** Occurs as ending of absolute form of noun whose stem appears only in incorporation: t!iba'-k'v pancreas.

- 3. Noun characteristic. Occurs with all increments of absolute form of noun; i. e., with pronominal suffix  $(gw\bar{a}^a l a'm t'k')$ , with pre-positive  $(ha gw\bar{a}^a l a'm)$ , and with pre-positive and pronominal element  $(ha gw\bar{a}^a l am d\bar{e})$ .
- 4. **Pre-pronominal -x-.** Occurs with pronominal suffix  $(d\bar{a}^a-n-x-de'k')$  and pre-positive  $(ha-d\bar{a}^a-n-x)$ , but never with pre-positive and pronominal element.
- 5. **Pronominal suffix.** Occurs in two distinct forms: one for nouns without pre-positives  $(d\bar{a}^a-n-x-de^ik^i)$ , and one for nouns accompanied by pre-positive  $(ha-da-n-d\bar{e})$ .

A tabulated analysis of a few typical words follows:

Stem	Derivative	Character- istic	Pre-pro- nominal	Pronominal	Meaning
(ha-) wax1		g-a'n			in the creek
le'-	k'w-	an-		t'k'	my anus
da-uyā'a-	k'w			de`k`	my medicine-spirit
$d\bar{a}a$		n-	x-	de`k`	my ear
bo'k'd-	an		x-	de`k`	my neck
k'a iε₌	lā'p'ak!-	i-		t'k'	my woman
lōu−	8.1			t'k'	my plaything
sge'e€-	xab	a-		t'k'	my hat
li'u-	gw-		ax-	de` k`	my face
xāa-		ha'm-		da	on his back
ts·!e'k'ts·!ig-		i-	x-	de`k`	my backbone
(ha-) yaw-		a-		• dē	in my ribs
$d\bar{o}um$		a'l-		t'k'	my testicles
$x\tilde{a}^{a}l$ - $(x\tilde{a}n.)$		a'm-		t'k'	my urine
ī-		ũ-	x-	de`k`	my hand
(ha⊊-) ĩ-		ū-		$d ilde{e}$	in my hand

A point (.) shows the absolute form of the word.

## 1. Nominal Stems (§§ 85, 86) §85. GENERAL REMARKS

The stem is in a very large number of cases parallel in form to that of a verbal base (e. g., with da'n rock, s'o $\widetilde{m}$  mountain,  $m\tilde{e}x$  crane, cf. t!an- hold, s'om- boil,  $he^em$ - wrestle). An extensive number of noun-stems, however, are apparently amplifications of a simpler monosyllabic base, and have all the outward appearance of an aorist stem in the verb. It becomes, then, not only possible, but fundamentally important, to classify noun-stems into types that seem, and ultimately doubtless are, entirely analogous in form to corresponding verbal types. The noun-stem wili- house, for example, can be conceived of as formed from a base wil- in the same manner

as the agriculture as formed from the verb-stem  $n\bar{a}^aq$ - say to some ONE. Similarly, the noun yele'x BURDEN-BASKET is phonetically related to a hypothetical base \*yelx-, as is the aorist leme-k!- to the non-aorist lem-k!-. A small number of nouns appear in two forms, one corresponding to the agrist stem, the other to the verb-stem of a verb: gulu'm OAK, but with characteristic -(a)n-: gulm-an-(the nonaorist gula'm with inorganic -a- also occurs). Similarly, yulu'm and yula'm EAGLE. In such variable nouns we have a complete morphologic analogy to Type 2 (or 3)) verbs like a rist xudum- whistle, verb-stem xut'm- (with inorganic -a-: xudam-). In both qulu'm and xudum- the -m- is almost certainly a suffixed element. It must be carefully noted, however, that, while in the verb we very often have both the agrist stem and the base (as verb-stem) in actual existence, in the case of nouns we rarely can go beyond the stem as revealed in an absolute or incorporated form. It is true that sometimes a hypothetical noun-base phonetically coincides with a verbal base, but only in the minority of cases can the two be satisfactorily connected. Thus, yut!-, abstracted from  $y\bar{u}t!u$ 'n duck, is very probably identical with the yut!- of a rist yut!uyad- swallow greedily like hog or DUCK. On the other hand, little is gained by comparing the yul- of yulu'm EAGLE with the yul- of a orist yuluyal- RUB; the p!iy- of p!i'yin DEER and p!i'yax FAWN with the agrist -p!iyin-(k'wa-) LIE ON PILLOW (cf. gwen-p!īxap' PILLOW), unless the deer was so called, for reasons of name-taboo, because its skin was used for the making of pillows (or, more naturally, the reverse); the way- of waya' KNIFE with way- sleep; or the noun-stem yaw- RIB (occurring as ya-u- when incorporated) with the verb-stem yaw- (yiw-) TALK. It is not justifiable to say that noun-stems of apparently non-primitive form are necessarily amplified from the bases that seem to lie back of them (e. g., wili- from wil-; yulu-m from yul-), but merely that there is a strong tendency in Takelma for the formation in the noun of certain typical sound-groups analogous to those found in the verb.

### § 86. TYPES OF STEM FORMATION

Though it is probably impossible to duplicate all the various types of aorist and verb stem found in the verb, most of those that are at all frequent occur also in the noun.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Improbable, however, if agrist *pleyen*- Lie and *pliyin-k'wa*- Lie on pillow are radically connected (see § 31).

1. The most characteristic type of noun-stem in Takelma is the monosyllabic group of consonant (less frequently consonant-cluster) + vowel (or diphthong) + consonant (less frequently cluster). This type may be considered as corresponding to the normal monosyllabic verb-stem. Out of a very large number of such primitive, underived noun-stems are taken a selection of examples.

Occurring as naked stems only when incorporated:

s·in- nose  $d\bar{a}^a$ - ear gel- breast gwen- neck dag- head s·al- foot

gwel- leg yaw- rib  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand  $x\bar{a}^a$ - back  $de^e$ - lips, mouth ha- woman's private parts

## Occurring as absolute nouns:

 $n\tilde{o}x$  rain 90.1 p!ī fire 62.10; 78.13 bē sun 54.3; 122.15; 160.20 bēm tree, stick 25.5; 48.7 xi\ water 15.1; 57.14  $t'q\tilde{a}$  land 49.12; 73.9 t'qwa' thunder 55.8  $p!\bar{a}'^a s$  snow 90.2, 3; 152.16 p'i'm salmon 17.12; 30.10  $l\tilde{a}n$  salmon-net 31.2; 33.4 mãl salmon-spear shaft 28.7 t'gwa'n slave 13.12 gwan trail 148.7 būs fly dēl yellow-jacket 73.7, 10  $m\tilde{e}x$  crane 13.1 xe'm raven 162.8, 12 s·ēm duck 55.2; 166.10 sēl kingfisher mēl crow 144.9; 162.7 yãk'w wildcat 42.1; 46.9 xa'mk' grizzly bear 106.14 dip' camass 108.18; 124.12 k!wāī grass 31.8 hīx roasted camass 178.4  $\bar{o}'^u p'$  tobacco 194.1 k!wal pitch 88.13; 158.9 yūp' woman's basket-cap 178.3 mo'x grouse t'gwe'lk'w rat (sp.?) t'ī'is gopher 78.4, 7 sbīn beaver 112.1; 166.12 s·ūx bird 22.4; 166.10 da'n rock 13.6; 16.12  $l\bar{a}'^a p'$  leaves s·īx venison 16.6; 55.1 xīn mucus la" excrement 122.2 t'qa'm elk 158.4; 196.6  $t!\tilde{a}k$  mussel 26.7  $b\tilde{o}^u n$  acorn-hopper xo' fir 24.10; 54.6 hülk' panther 42.1 bīk'w skunk 164.2 t' $\tilde{a}n$  squirrel 94.2, 4 s.om mountain 43.6  $x\tilde{a}n$  urine  $d\tilde{o}^{u}m$  testicles 130.20  $d\tilde{o}^u m$  spider  $h\bar{o}\bar{u}$  jack-rabbit 108.8  $qa'l^{\varepsilon}$  bow  $h\bar{a}i$  cloud 13.3  $b\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$  grasshopper 92.28, 29 xni'k' acorn dough 16.12  $q\bar{u}\bar{\imath}$  thick brush 71.1 t'qwīl hazelnut 116.5, 11, 14 Occurring generally with possessive suffix:

```
w\bar{a}^a d- body 92 24; 130.24;
ma-1
ham-}father 17.12; 70.7; 158.3
                                            146.6
                                         x\bar{u}^{u}l- brains

\frac{ni}{hin}
 mother 17.9; 76.10, 13;
                                         seen-skin
                                         delg- buttocks 45.9; 72.10;
                                           94.15
g\bar{u}^ux-wife 13.2; 45.3; 64.5; 142.12
                                         bilg- breast
t/īi- male, husband 45.14; 126.14
                                         k'\bar{u}^u b- hair 24.8; 162.4
n\bar{\imath}^i- teats 30.14 (ni) found as
                                         a-is:- property 23.2; 154.13
  absolute form 130.9)
p!\bar{a}^a n- liver 120.15 (p!\bar{a}n found
  as absolute form 57.9, 13)
```

These lists might be very greatly increased if desired. It will be noticed that a considerable number of the nouns given are such as are generally apt to be derivative or non-primitive in morphology.

In regard to accent monosyllabic nouns naturally divide themselves into two classes:—those with rising or raised accent, embracing the great majority of examples, and those with falling accent. Of the latter type a certain number owe their accent to a glottal catch of the stem. Besides  $ga'l^{\varepsilon}$ , already given above, may be cited:

```
t'go'^{i\varepsilon} leggings k!a'l^{\varepsilon}s sinew 27.13; (28.1) p!e'^{e}l^{\varepsilon} basket-plate 168.15 k'o'^{\varepsilon}x tar-weed seeds 26.15
```

These offer no special difficulty. There is a fairly considerable number of monosyllabic nouns, however, in which the falling accent can not be so explained, but appears to be inherently characteristic of the nouns. Besides  $\bar{o}'^u p'$ ,  $p!\bar{a}'^a s$ ,  $t'\bar{\imath}'^i s$ , and  $l\bar{a}'^a p'$ , may be mentioned:

```
ne'el song 106.7t!e'ek'^w yellowhammer 90.18;194.15se'el black paint, writingt'be'ek'^w shinny-ballge'et' xerophyllum tenaxa'lk' silver-side salmonye'et' tearsp!e'es (with derivative -s? see § 87,w\bar{a}'^as bush (sp.?) 25.128) flat rock on which acorns arepounded 74.13; 75.2; 118.17
```

For two of these nouns ( $he^{\epsilon}l$  and  $se^{\epsilon}l$ ) the etymology is obvious. They are derived from the verb-stems  $he^{\epsilon}l$ - sing and  $se^{\epsilon}l$ -(amd-) Paint; it may well be that the falling accent here characterizes substantives of passive force (that which is sung, painted). Possibly  $l\bar{a}'^ap'$  and  $\bar{o}'^up'$  are to be similarly explained as meaning those that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Most nouns of relationship show monosyllabic stems; none can be shown to be derivative in character.

ARE CARRIED (BY BRANCHES) and THAT WHICH IS DUG UP<sup>1</sup> (cf. aorist stems  $l\bar{a}^ab$ - carry and  $\bar{o}^ub$ - dig up).

2. A very considerable number of noun-stems repeat the vowel of the base, corresponding to a rist stems of Type 2 verbs. Such are:

wi'li house 13.1; 14.8; 192.6 ts'!i'xi dog moxo' buzzard 105.23 sgi'si coyote 13.1; 70.1; 108.1 sgwini' raccoon k!a'ma spit for roasting 170.17 yap!a' person 14.12; 96.2; 128.2 yana' acorn 15.16; 16.9; 58.9

gwit!i-(n)- wrist k'aba- son 23.2; 128.5; 138.14 xaga- maternal aunt xli'wi war-feathers 110.18 waya`knife73.3;144.20;172.12 goyo`shaman47.11;142.7;188.7 wōup!u-(n)- eyebrows

With probably derivative final consonant are:

lege'm- kidney lap'ãm frog 102.10; 196.3 yulu'm eagle 77.2; 122.15;164.8 yulu'm oak 22.10 k'ülũm fish (sp.?) loxo'm manzanita 126.17; 178.5

loxo'm manzanita 126.17; 178

yūt/u'n white duck 55.5

p!i'yin deer 17.1; 42.2; 54.2

ga'k!an ladder 176.8

daga'n turtle
ts:!axā'an blue-striped lizard
wigīn red lizard
li'bin news 108.20; 194.9,
yi'win speech 126.10; 136.12
ts:!amāl mouse 102.10; 104.9;
142.4
s:imi'l dew
(k!el)mehel-ī'i basket for cooking 178.4

Here again it will be observed that the rising or raised accent is the normal one for the second syllable of the stem. But here also a well-defined, if less numerous, group of noun-stems is found in which the repeated long vowel bears a falling accent. Examples are:

 $t^{c}gwal\bar{a}'^{a}$  hooting owl 194.9  $h\bar{u}^{u}s^{c}\bar{u}'^{u}$  chicken-hawk 142.6

s- $\ddot{u}h\bar{u}'^{u}$  quail 70.2, 5; 71.4

t!ibis ī'i ant 74.4; 75.5 da-uyā'a shaman's spirit (? from dawy- fly) 164.14 mayā'a-k'w- orphan 154.5

Compare also  $t!on\bar{o}'^us$  below (Type 3);  $ts!li\bar{l}'ik!$ - and  $t'bele'^{\varepsilon}s$  (Type 3) owe their falling accent to the presence of a glottal catch.

Very remarkable is the stem formation of the noun  $t!\ddot{u}x\ddot{u}'i$  driftwood 75.5. It is evidently formed from the verb-stem  $d\bar{o}^ux$ - (a rist stem t!oxox-) gather (wood) according to a rists of Type 7b, at the same time with vowel ablaut (cf. theoretic  $t!\ddot{u}x\ddot{u}$ -xi he gathers Me) and falling accent, perhaps to give passive signification (see § 86, 1); its etymologic meaning would then be that which is gathered. No other noun of similar stem formation has been found.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{If}$  this etymology of  $\bar{o}'^up'$  is correct, Pit River  $\bar{o}p'$  tobacco must be borrowed from Takelma.

3. It is not strictly possible to separate noun-stems corresponding to acrists of verbal Type 2 from those that are to be compared with acrists of Type 3. The doubt that we found to exist in the verb as to the radical or suffixal character of certain consonants is present also in regard to the final consonant of many dissyllabic nouns. The following nouns with repeated vowel show final consonants that are not thought to be elements of derivation. If this view is correct, they are to be compared with Type 3 acrist stems.

libīs crawfish 30.2

nihwi'k'w black bear 116.1;

118.1

ts·!ilī'ik!- elbow

s·idib-i- (house) wall 176.4, 9

lep!ēs cat-tail rushes

t'bele'ēs pine-nuts

t!ewēx flea

s·elēk'w pestle 56.1

s·ülūk' cricket

t!onō'us·humming-bird (perhaps with derivative -s)

ü'lük!- hair 27.1; 140.6; 158.1 deges¹- sifting basket-pan 196.13 k!aba's porcupine-quills t'gwaya'm lark 22.1; 160.3 hülün ocean 60.8; 154.14 oho'p' black shells (sp.?) 55.9 mot!o'p' seed-beater yuk!um- salmon-tail 198.9 dugu'm baby 126.9

4. Analogous to a rist stems of Type 4 verbs (e. g., yewei-) are a few nouns with repeated vowel and following -i- to form a diphthong. Of such nouns have been found:

ts·!elei- eye 27.8; 86.7; 92.20 k·wedei- name 100.21 k!elei- bark 54.6 k!oloī storage basket 61.5; da-k!olo'i-da-x- cheek maha'i (adjective) large 196.10 (cf. plural mahmī 130.4 for base)

That the final -i- of these nouns is not an added characteristic, but an integral part of the noun-stem, is proven by the facts that no examples have been found of vowels followed by noun-characteristic -i- (ordinarily -n- or -m- is employed), and that ts:!elei- has been found incorporated in that form.

5. A few nouns are found that show a repeated initial consonant; they may be compared to Type 10 aorist stems. Examples are:

seens- hair 136.28 (cf. seenskin) lüül- throat 25.2 (? cf. aorist lomol choke) bo'p' alder (94.17)

ts:!u'n's (ts:!unts:!-) deerskin cap embroidered with woodpecker-scalps

[BULL. 40

```
      su\tilde{n}s thick, deep (of snow) 90.3
      ts \cdot !e'n^s s \cdot (ts \cdot !ents \cdot !-) wild-rose berry 92.23

      bebe'-n rushes
      b\tilde{a}p' seeds (sp.?) (34.1; 79.9; 94.19)

      b\tilde{u}^ub-a'n arm 23.2, 4; (172.4)
      ts \cdot !e'n^s s \cdot (ts \cdot !ents \cdot !-) wild-rose berry 92.23

      tsp' seeds (sp.?) (34.1; 79.9; 94.19)

      ts \cdot !a'i^s s \cdot 1 bluejay (onomatopoetic) 22.14; 102.10; 166.11

      tsp' seeds (sp.?) (34.1; 79.9; 94.19)

      tsp' seeds (sp.?) (172.4)
```

Here may also be mentioned  $k!a'mak!\bar{a}^a$  his tongs (also  $k!a'm\bar{a}^a$ ).

6. Reduplicated nouns are not frequent in Takelma, particularly when one considers the great importance of reduplication as a grammatical device in the verb. Examples corresponding in form to Type 12 aorists (i. e., with -a- [umlauted to -i-] in second member) are:

```
ts·!e'k'ts·!ig-i-backbone 112.4;
t'qwi'nt'qwin-i- shoulder (also
  t'gw\bar{\imath}'^{i}nt'gw-\dot{\imath}-)
                                             198.6
                                          gi'xgap' medicine, poison
gelga'l fabulous serpent (cf.
                                             (irreg.) 188.12
   aorist gelegal-amd- tie hair
   into top-knot 172.3)
s\bar{\imath}^i nsa'n decrepit old woman
                                          gwi'sgwas chipmunk
                                          p'\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}t'p'id-i-salmon-liver (with
y\bar{u}k'ya'k'w-a (place name)
                                             dissimilated catch) 120.19,20
  188.13
                                          b\tilde{o}^u t'bid-i-orphans (also b\tilde{o}t'ba)
t'ga'lt'gil-i- belly
```

Also  $wa\text{-}iw\overline{\imath}'^i$  GIRL 55.7; 96.23 doubtless belongs here; the  $-w\overline{\imath}'^i$  of the second syllable represents a theoretic -wi'y, umlauted from -wa'y, the falling accent being due to the inorganic character of the repeated a. A very few nouns repeat only the first consonant and add a, leaving the final consonant unreduplicated. Such are:

```
ba'k'b\bar{a}^a red-headed woodpecker (onomatopoetic) 92.2, 6 ha'_{\bullet}k'\bar{a}^a (=*hak!-h\bar{a}^a) goose 102.10; 106.2, 5 b\tilde{o}t'b\bar{a}^a orphan 122.1, 5
```

A few nouns, chiefly names of animals, show complete duplication of the radical element without change of the stem-vowel to -a- in the second member. This type of reduplication is practically entirely absent in the verb. Examples are:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textit{ts:!} e'^{\varepsilon} \textit{ts:!} e^{\varepsilon} \text{ small bird (sp.?)} & \textit{al-k!} ok! o'k' \text{ (adj.) ugly-faced} \\ & 60.5 \\ \textit{dalda'l dragon-fly 21.1; 28.6} & \textit{bobo'p' screech-owl 194.1} \\ \textit{p'ab\bar{a}'} \textit{a'p' manzanita-flour} & \textit{t'ga'nt'gan fly (upper dialect)} \end{array}
```

Even all of these are not certain. Those with radical -a- might just as well have been classified with the preceding group (thus

¹That -\$s is felt to be equivalent to -ts !! is shown by Bluejay's song: ts !la'its !\(\bar{t}\)-\(\bar{a}\) gwa'tca gwatca 104.7.

² bel- is felt as the base of this word, cf. Swan's song beleld\(\bar{o}\)+ wa'inha 104.15, which shows reduplication of bel- like agrist helel- of hel- SING.

dalda'l may be very plausibly connected with a orist t!alat!al-from t!alat-, non-a orist  $d\bar{a}^aldal$  from  $d\bar{a}^al$ - crack); while  $p'ab\bar{a}'^ap'$  and bobo'p' may, though improbably, show Type 1 reduplication  $(p'ab-\bar{a}^ab$ - like p!ab-ab- chop). This latter type of reduplication seems, however, to be as good as absent in the noun (but cf.  $sgw\hat{o}gw\hat{o}'k'^w$  robin; mele'lx burnt-down field 92.27 may be morphologically verbal, as shown by its probably non-agentive -x). The fullest type of reduplication, that found exemplified in the aorists of Type 13 verbs, has not been met with in a single noun.

# 2. Noun Derivation (§§ 87, 88) § 87. DERIVATIVE SUFFIXES

The number of derivative suffixes found in the noun, excluding those more or less freely employed to form nominal derivatives from the verb-stem, are remarkably few in number, and, for the most part, limited in their range of application. This paucity of live word-forming suffixes is, of course, due to a great extent, to the large number of nominal stems in the language. The necessity of using such suffixes is thus greatly reduced. The various derivational affixes found in the Takelma noun will be listed below with illustrative examples.

1.  $t'(\alpha)$ -. This is the only derivational prefix, excluding of course such considerably individualized elements as the body-part prefixes of the verb, found in Takelma. It is employed to form the words for the female relationships corresponding to ELDER BROTHER and YOUNGER BROTHER.

wãxa his younger brother 54.1, 5 t'awãxa his younger sister 55.2 wi-cobī my elder brother 46.10 wi-t'obī my elder sister (55.14)

2.  $-l\bar{a}'p'a(k!-)$ . This suffix is found only in a number of nouns denoting ranks or conditions of persons; hence it is not improbable that it was originally a separate word meaning something like Person, People. That it is itself a stem, not a mere suffix, is shown by its ability to undergo ablaut (for- $l\hat{e}'p'i$ -see § 77). -k!- is added to it in forms with possessive or plural affix. For example, from  $t!\bar{\iota}^{i\epsilon}l\bar{a}'p'a$  178.7 Male, Husband are formed  $t!\bar{\iota}^{i\epsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ik!it'k'$  my Husband (142.7) and  $t!\bar{\iota}^{i\epsilon}l\bar{a}'p'ak!an$  Husbands, Men (130.1, 7). The fact that the stem preceding  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  appears also as a separate word or with other elements indicates that words containing  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  may be best considered as compounds.

Examples are:

 $t!\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon} l\bar{\mathtt{a}}' \mathrm{p'a}$ male, husband 178.7 (cf.  $t!\bar{\imath}^{i-}$ husband, male)

 $k'a^{i\varepsilon}$ lā p'a woman 25 9, 12; 108.4, 5 (cf.  $k'a^{i\varepsilon}s \cdot o'k'da$  girl who has already had courses)

mologolā'p'a old woman 26.14, 16; 56.3 (cf. mologo'l old woman 168.12; 170.10)

 $b\tilde{o}^u t' b\bar{a}^a l\bar{a}' p' a k! an$  orphans (cf.  $b\tilde{o}t' ba$  orphan and  $b\tilde{o}^u t' bid-i-t' k'$  my orphaned children)

 $lomt!\bar{\imath}^i l\bar{a}'$ p'ak!an old men 128.11; 130.1 (cf.  $lomt!\bar{\imath}'^i$  old man 24.11; 126.19)

os·ō<sup>u</sup>lā′p'a poor people

3. -k'. A number of place-names with suffixed -k' have been found:

La'mhik' Klamath river

Sbīnk' Applegate creek (cf. sbīn beaver)

Gwen-p'uñk' village name 114.14 (cf. p'u'n rotten 140.21)

Ha-t!õnk' village name

Dak'-t'gamīk' village name (cf. t'ga'm elk)

Gel-yãlk' village name 112.13; 114.8 (cf. yãl pine)

Somolu'k' i village name

Dal-dani'k' village name (cf. da'n rock)

4.  $-a'^{\epsilon}(n)$ . Nouns denoting Person coming from are formed by adding this suffix to the place-name, with loss of derivative -k'. Examples are:

Ha- $gw\bar{a}^a la'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Ha-gwãl, Cow creek

Lamhīiya'<sup>ε</sup> person from La'mhik', Klamath river

 $Sb\bar{\imath}^i n a'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Sbĩnk', Applegate creek

Dal-sa'lsana<sup>€</sup> person from Dal-salsañ, Illinois river

 $D\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ - $l\bar{o}miya'^{\varepsilon}$  person from  $D\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ - $l\bar{o}m\bar{\imath}$ 

Gwen-p'u'na<sup>ε</sup> person from Gwen-p'uñk'

Dal-daniya'<sup>ε</sup> person from Dal-dani'k'

S·omola' person from S·omolu'k' (see footnote)

 $Ha-t!\bar{o}^u n \hat{a'}^{\varepsilon}$  person from Ha-t!õnk'

 $La\text{-}t'g\bar{a}^awa'^\varepsilon$ person from La-t'gāū, uplands 192.14

Dak'-t'gamiya'<sup>ε</sup> person from Dak'-t'gamīk'

Ha-t' $\bar{\imath}ila'^{\varepsilon}$  person from Ha-t' $\bar{\imath}l$ 

*Gel-yāala'*<sup>ε</sup> person from Gel-yãlk'

 $\begin{cases} Dak'$ -ts! $\bar{a}^awana'^{\varepsilon}$  person from dak'-ts! $\bar{a}^awa'n$ , i. e., above the lakes (= Klamath Indian)

Dak'-ts!āamala'e

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The -u'- of this word is doubtless merely the pitch-accentual peak of the -l-, the -u- resonance of the liquid being due to the preceding -o-. The word is thus to be more correctly written as Somolk (similarly, wulx enemy was often heard as wulu'x), as implied by  $S \cdot omola'^c$  one from Somolk. In that event  $s \cdot omol$ - is very probably a frequentative in v+l (see § 43, 6) from  $s \cdot om$  Mountain, and the place-name means very mountainous region.

Dā<sup>a</sup>-gelma'<sup>ε</sup>n person from Dā<sup>a</sup>-gela'm, Rogue river (= Takelma Indian)

Dī-dalama'en person from Dīdalam, Grant's Pass

Judging from the material at hand, it seems that  $-a^{\prime \varepsilon}n$  is used only when the place-name ends in -m, though the ease with which  $-a^{\epsilon}n$ may be heard as  $-a'^{\varepsilon}$  (see first footnote § 60) detracts from the certainty of this generalization.

5. -que-. This element occurs as a suffix in a number of terms relating to parts of the body. Examples are:

t!iba'k'w pancreas 47.17; t!ibagw-a'n-t'k' my pancreas (47.5, 6, 7, 13) (incorporated *t!iba*- 46.1, 9)

 $li'ugw-ax-de\bar{k}$ ' my face (cf. verb-stem  $l\bar{\imath}u$ - look)

da€madagw-a'n-t'k' my shoulder

da-uyā'ak'w-dek' my medicine-spirit (incorporated da-uyā'a-164.14)

le'k'w-an-t'k' my rectum (cf. la'' excrement 122.2) ma'p!agw-a-t'k' my shoulder-blade

6. -(a)n-(a-m-,-l-). There are so many nouns which in their absolute form end in -(a)n or its phonetic derivatives -(a)m- and -(a)l-(see § 21) that there is absolutely no doubt of its suffixal character, despite the impossibility of ascribing to it any definite functional value and the small number of cases in which the stem occurs without it. The examples that most clearly indicate its non-radical character will be conveniently listed here:

he ela'm board 176.5 (cf. dī-he'liya sleeping on board platform 13.2) ts·!ela'm hail 152.12, 16 (cf. verb-stem ts·!el- rattle) p!i'yin deer 13.10; 42.2 (cf. p!i'yax fawn 13.11; 49.11) yi'win speech 126.10; 138.4 (cf. verb-stem yiw- talk) li'bin news 194.9 (? cf. verb-stem laba- carry)  $y\bar{u}t!u$ 'n white duck 55.5 (cf. verb-stem yut!- eat greedily) do'lk'am-a- anus (also do'lk'-i- as myth form 106.4, 8) \ do'lk'im-i-. | do'lk'in-i- 106.6, 9

 $xd\tilde{a}$ n eel (cf. reduplicated  $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - $xd\bar{a}'^{a}xdagwa^{\varepsilon}n$  I throw away something slippery, nastily wet [49.7])

s'ugwa'n root basket 124.5 (cf. s'ugwidī it lies curled up like bundled roots or strings)

dan ye'ewald-in-i' rocks returning-to-them, myth name of Otter 160.10, 13 (cf. verb-stem yeew-ald- return to)

Other examples, etymologically untransparent, will be found listed in § 21. The difference between this derivational -n (-m) and noun-characteristic -n- (-m) lies in the fact that the former is a necessary part of the absolute form of the word, while the latter appears only with grammatical increments. Thus the -am of  $he^{\epsilon}la'm$  board can not be identified with the -am of ha- $gw\bar{a}^ala'm$  in the road, as  $gw\bar{a}^ala'm$  has no independent existence. The exact morphologic correspondent of  $gw\bar{a}^al$ -am- is  $he^{\epsilon}lam$ -a- (e. g.,  $he^{\epsilon}lam$ -a'-t'k' my board). A doubt as to the character of the -n- can be had only in words that never, or at least not normally, occur without possessive suffix:

lege'm-t'k' my kidneys  $w\bar{o}^up!u$ 'n-t'k' my eyebrows <sup>1</sup>

7. -a. There are a rather large number of dissyllabic nouns or noun-stems with final -a, in which this element is to outward appearance an integral part of the radical portion of the word. The number of instances in which it occurs, however, is considerable enough to lead one to suspect its derivational character, though it can be analyzed out in an even smaller number of cases than the suffix -n above discussed. The most convincing proof of the existence of a suffix -a is given by the word xu'ma food, dry food, 54.4; 188.1, a derivative of the adjective xu'm dry 168.15 (e. g., p'im xu'm dried salmon; cf. also xümü'k'de<sup>e</sup> I AM SATED [132.1]). Other possible examples of its occurrence are:

yola' fox (? cf. verb-stem yul- rub) 70.1, 4, 5; 78.2, 3, 9

```
mena' bear 72.3; 73.2, 3, 4, 5; 106.7, 10

p!elda' slug 105.25

noxwa' small pestle

t'e'lma small pestle 62.1; 116.18, 19; 118.2

ma'xla dust 172.3; 184.5, 9

k!eda' grass for string (sp.?)

t!ela' shinny-stick (? cf. verb-stem t!èu- play shinny)

t!ela' louse (? cf. verb base t!el- lick) 116.3, 6, 7, 8, 11

t!iba- pancreas 46.1, 9; 49.7

ela- tongue (characteristic -a-?)

dola' old tree 24.1

yana' oak 22.11; 168.1, 2, 3, 6, 7 (cf. yangwa's oak sp.; with

-gwas cf. perhaps al-gwa's-i- yellow)
```

It is of course possible that some of the dissyllabic nouns in -a listed above (§ 86, 2) as showing a repeated vowel (e. g., ya'p!a) really belong here.

<sup>1</sup> These seem to be parallel to gwitti n-t'k' MY WRIST, in which -n-, inasmuch as it acts as the equivalent of the characteristic  $-\bar{u}$ - (cf.  $gwitti\bar{u}xde$ 'k' MY WRIST with  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}xde$ 'k' MY HAND), is itself best considered characteristic element.

8. -s. This element is in all probability a derivational suffix in a fairly considerable number of words, as indicated particularly by the fact of its frequent occurrence after a consonant. Examples are:

 $p!e'^e$ s mortar-stone fastened in ground (cf. verb-stem  $p!\hat{e}$ - lie) 74.13; 120.17

la'ps blanket (? cf. base lab- carry on shoulder) 98.14, 15, 19, 21 p!e'ns squirrel

gums (adj.) blind 26.14 (? cf. gomha'k' v rabbit)

 $b\tilde{e}ls$  moccasin

k!u's worm (? cf. verb-stem  $g\bar{o}^u$ l-, aorist k!olol- dig)

yõls steel-head salmon (? cf. yola' fox)

bīls moss 43.16; 44.1; 47.15

bami's sky 79.7 (cf. verb-prefix bam- up)

bāls (adj.) long 14.5; 15.12, 15 (? cf. da-balni'-xa [adv.] long time)

Also some of the dissyllabic nouns in -s with repeated vowel listed above (§ 86, 3) may belong to this set.

A few other stray elements of a derivational aspect have been found. Such are:

-ax in p!i'yax fawn 13.11; 16.8; 17.1, 2 (cf. p!i'yin deer)

- $xi^1$  in bomxi' otter 13.5; 17.13; 154.13; 156.14;  $\bar{u}'^{\varepsilon}xi$  seed-pouch;  $h\bar{a}^apxi$ ' child 13.8, 13 (cf.  $h\tilde{a}p'da$  his child 98.13 and  $h\bar{a}^ap'$ -incorporated in  $h\bar{a}^ap'$ - $k!emna'^{\varepsilon}s$  Children-maker 172.15)

pluralic -x- in hãpxda his children 16.3; 118.1, 14

-x- varies with -s- in adjective  $h\tilde{a}psdi$  small;  $h\bar{a}^apxi'$  hapsdi little children 30.12

A large number of dissyllabic and polysyllabic nouns still remain that are not capable of being grouped under any of the preceding heads, and whose analysis is altogether obscure:

 $b\tilde{a}xdis$  wolf 13.1; 16.10; 17.10

domxa'u Chinook salmon

uīk'a't' red deer

*yiba'xam* small skunk

bixa'l moon 196.1

k!a'nak!as basket cup (probably reduplicated and with derivative -s)

§ 88. COMPOUNDS

Of compounds in the narrower sense of the word there are very few in Takelma. Outside of personal words in  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$ , which we have suspected of being such, there have been found:

lomt!ī'i old man 24.11, 12; 126.19 (cf. t!īi- male)

 $k`a^{i\varepsilon}s`o'k`da$  girl who has had courses (cf.  $k`a^{i\varepsilon}l\bar{a}'p`a$  woman)

Independent nouns may, however, be juxtaposed without change of form to make up a descriptive term, the qualifying noun preceding:

hapxi-t!ī'it'ā'a child male-person (=boy) 14.1, 6; 17.3, 6; 156.10 hapxi-wa-iwī'i child female-person (=girl) 29.7; 30.1; 71.3 hapxi-t'ā'aga's child crier (=cry-baby) da'n mologo'l rock old-woman 170.10, 15, 20; 172.1 dan hapxi-t!ī'it'ā'a rock boy 17.8 dan wī'ilī'i his rock knife 142.20 gwa's wili brush house (for summer use) 176.14 yāx wili graveyard house 14.8, 9; 15.5, 6 wilī he'la'm house boards 176.5 xamk' wa-iwī'i grizzly-bear girl 124.10; 130.6, 7, 26 mena dap!ā'la-ut'an bear youths 130.11 yap!a goyo' Indian doctor 188.12

Examples of compounds in which the first element is modified by a numeral or adjective are:

wili  $ha^{\varepsilon_1}go'$  yap!a' house nine people (= people of nine houses) 150.16

yap!a <sup>ɛ</sup>alt'gu'<sup>iɛ</sup>s· goyo' person white doctor (= white doctor) 188.11

A certain number of objects are described, not by a single word, but by a descriptive phrase consisting of a noun followed by an adjective, participle, or another noun provided with a third personal possessive suffix. In the latter case the suffix does not properly indicate a possessive relation, but generally a part of the whole or the fabric made of the material referred to by the first noun. Such are:

lasgu'm-īūxgwa't' snake handed (=lizard) 196.4
t'gwīl ts:'lī'ik'da hazel its-meat (=hazel-nut)
t'gwa he'lamā'a thunder its-board (=lumber) 55.8, 10
p!iyin sge'e'xabāa deer its-hat (not deer's hat, but hat of deerskin)
p!iyin ts:'lu'nts:'lī' deer its-cap-embroidered-with woodpeckerscalps
k'ai mologolā'p'axdāa what its-woman (=what kind of woman?)
122.3

wi'li gwala' houses many (=village) ts:!i'xi maha'i dog big (=horse)

p'im s'inīxdc salmon its-nose (=swallow) (perhaps so called because the spring run of salmon is heralded by the coming of swallows)

mena' <sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> alt'guna'px bear +? (=dormouse [?])

xi'lam sebe't' dead-people roasting (= bug [sp.?]) 98.13, 15

p'un-yi'lt' rotten copulating-with (=Oregon pheasant)

## § 89. 3. Noun-Characteristics and Pre-Pronominal -x-

As noun-characteristics are used four elements: -(a)n (including -am and -al), -a-, -i-, and -u-. Although each noun, in so far as it has any noun-characteristic, is found, as a rule, to use only one of these elements, no rule can be given as to which of them is to be appended to any given noun. Nouns in suffixed -(a)n, or -(a)m, for example, are found with characteristic -i-  $(b\bar{u}^ubin-i$ - [from  $b\bar{u}^u-ba$ 'n ARM]), -a-  $(he^elam-a$ - [from  $he^ela$ 'm BOARD]), -(a)n (gulm-an- [from gula'm OAK]), and without characteristic (bo'k'dan-x-dek' MY NECK [from bo'k'dan 15.12, 15]).

1. -(a)n. Examples of this characteristic element are:

qwit!i-n- wrist (cf. variant qwit!ī-ū-)

*t!ibagw*-an- pancreas 45.15; 46.5 (absolute *t!iba'k'w* 47.17)

da<sup>€</sup>madaqw-an- shoulder

lek'w-an- rectum

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -n-x- ear 14.4; 15.13 (incorporated  $d\bar{a}^a$ -)

 $ts/\bar{a}^a w$ -an-lake, deep water 59.16 (absolute  $ts/\bar{a}\tilde{u}$  162.9; 166.15)

gulm-an- oak (absolute gula'm)

bob-in- alder 94.17 (absolute bo'p')

Its phonetic reflexes -al and -am occur in:

 $s \cdot \bar{o}^u m$ -al- mountain 124.2; 152.2 (absolute  $s \cdot o \tilde{m}$  43.6; 122.16)

 $d\tilde{o}^u m$ -al- testicles 130.8 (absolute  $d\tilde{o}^u m$  130.20)

 $ts!\bar{a}^am$ -al- (in Dak'- $ts!\bar{a}^amala'^{\varepsilon}$  Klamath Indian, parallel to Dak'- $ts!\bar{a}^awana'^{\varepsilon}$ )

 $gw\bar{a}^a\bar{l}$ -am- trail 48.6, 8; 96.8, 9 (absolute  $gw\tilde{a}n$  148.7)

 $x\bar{a}^a\bar{l}$ -am- urine (absolute  $x\tilde{a}n$ )

-am- is also found, though without apparent phonetic reason, in  $x\bar{a}^a$ -ham- back (incorporated  $x\bar{a}^a$ -). Certain nouns add -g- before taking -an- as their characteristic:

wax-gan- creek (absolute wa'x)

del-gan-(x-) anus 45.9; 72.10; 94.15

bil-gan-(x-) breast

gel-gan- breast (cf. variant gel-x-)

2. -a-. More frequently occurring than -(a)n- is -a-, examples of which are:

dana- rock (absolute da'n 17.8; dal-am- as possible variant in place-name  $D\bar{\imath}$ -dala'm over the rocks [?])

ey-a- canoe 112.6; 114.5, 13; 156.2 (cf. variant ei-x-)

t'qwan-a- slave (absolute t'qwa'n 13.12)

heelam-a- board 55.8, 10 (absolute heela'm 176.5)

 $y\bar{o}^{u}k!w$ -a- bone 186.1; 196.17 (absolute  $y\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}k^{\epsilon}w$ )

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  This word happened to occur with following emphatic  $y\bar{a}'a$ , so that it is probably umlauted from bob-an-

```
p'im-a- salmon 31.1; 32.4 (absolute p'i'm 30.10, 11; 31.3.)
    do'lk'am-a- rectum (cf. variant do'lk'im-i-)
    ma'p!agw-a- shoulder blade (absolute ma'p!ak'w)
    yaw-a-rib 194.10 (incorporated ya-u-)
    xiy-a- water 58.6; 156.19; 162.13 (absolute xi' 162.7, 8, 14)
    p!iy-a- fire 118.4; 168.19 (absolute p!ī 88.12, 13; 96.17)
All nouns in -xab- take -a- as their characteristic, e. g., sge' eexab-a-t'k'
MY HAT (from sqe' es xap' HAT)
  3. -i-. Examples of nouns with -i- as their characteristic are:
    d\bar{u}^u qw-i- shirt 13.4; 96.26; 192.4 (absolute d\bar{u}k^{*w} 96.16)
    b\bar{u}^ubin-i- arm 31.4; 172.4, 5, 6 (absolute b\bar{u}^uba'n 23.2, 4, 9)
    t'qwi'nt'gwin-i- shoulder
    ts:!uqul-i- rope (cf. absolute ts:!ūk')
    k'\tilde{u}^{u}b-i- hair, skin 24.8; 160.6
    ülük!-i- hair 27.1, 4; 126.11; 136.20; 158.1; 188.4, 5; 194.7.
    k!alts!-i- sinew 28.1 (absolute k!a'l^{\varepsilon}s 27.13)
    b\bar{a}^ab-i- seeds (sp.?) 34.1; 79.9; 94.19 (absolute b\tilde{a}p)
    k!elw-i- basket bucket 170.14, 16, 18, 19 (absolute k!e'l 186.17)
    m\bar{a}^a l-i- spear-shaft 156.1 (absolute m\tilde{a}l 28.7, 9, 10)
    d\bar{u}^u l-i- spear-point (absolute d\bar{u}l 28.8, 9; 156.19, 20)
    l\ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}}l-i-(x-) throat 25.2
    m\bar{u}^u l-i- lungs
     t!eqilix-i- skull 174.3
     t'qalt'qil-i-(x-) belly
    ts·!ek'ts·!ig-i-(x-) backbone 112.4
     ham-i- father 158.3 (e. g., ham-i'-t' your father, but wi-ha'm my
       father 138.19)
A number of terms of relationship show an -i- not only in the second
person singular and plural and first person plural but also, unlike
ham-i- father, in the first person singular, while the third person in
-xa(-a) and the vocative (nearly always in -\tilde{a}) lack it. They are:
     wi-k'abaı̃ my son (23.2, 3)
                                    :
                                        k'aba'-xa his son 138.16
    [wi^{\epsilon}-ob] my elder brother
                                        o'p-xa his elder brother 48.3; 62.2
                                     :
       (46.10)
    wi-t'obī my elder sister
                                        t'o'p-xa his elder sister 55.14; 56.6
                                        k!a's-a his maternal grandparent
     wi-k!a'si my maternal
                                            16.1, 2; (154.18)
       grandparent 14.2; (15.12)
     wi-xdāĭ my paternal uncle
                                         xd\tilde{a}-xa his paternal uncle
                                         ha's-a his maternal uncle
     wi-hasi' my maternal uncle
     wi-t'adi' my paternal aunt
                                         t'a'd-a his paternal aunt (63.9;
                                           77.14)
       22.14
                                        xaqa'-xa his maternal aunt
     wi-xagaī my maternal aunt
                                         ts!a'-xa her brother's child; his
     wi-ts!ai my (woman's)
```

sister's child

brother's child 22.1; 23.8, 10; my (man's) sister's child 148.19; 150.4

Still other terms of relationship have an -i- in all forms but the vocative. It is probable, though not quite so certain for these nouns, that the -i- is not a part of the stem, but, as in the preceding group, an added characteristic element. Such nouns are:

gamdi'-xa his paternal grandparent (170.21; 188.13)
siwi'-xa her sister's child; his
brother's child
wak'di'-xa his mother's brother's son 77.6; 88.14; (188.9)
t!omxi'-xa¹ his wife's parent
lamts!i'-xa her brother's wife
yidi'-xa her husband's sister
nanbi'-xa his brother's wife;
his wife's sister
ximni'-xa his relative by marriage after linking member
has died

gamdã
siwã
wak'dã 77.4
t!omxã
lamts!ã
yidã
nanbã

Vocative

 $ximn\tilde{a}$ 

The -i- has been found in the vocative before the -ā (but only as a myth-form) in obiyã o elder brother! 59.3; 62.4 (alongside of obā), so that it is probable that the vocative -ā is not a mere transformation of a characteristic vowel, but a distinct element that is normally directly appended to the stem. Other examples of myth vocatives in -ā appended to characteristic -i- are ts!ayā o nephew! 23.1 (beside ts!ā) and wô'k'dia' o cousin! 88.14, 15 (beside wak'dā). The stem ham- with its characteristic -i- is used as the vocative: hamī o father! 70.5; 71.7; also o son! Quite unexplained is the not otherwise occurring -i- in the vocative of mot'- son-in-law: mot'ia' 166.6, 7. As already noted (see § 88, 2), nouns in -lā'p'a regularly take an -i- after the added-k!-of possessive forms:-lā'p'ik!-i-.

4. -u-. Only a few nouns have been found to contain this element as their characteristic. They are:

ī-ū-x- hand 58.2; 86.13 (incorporated ī-)
gwit!ī-ū-x- wrist ² (cf. variant gwit!i-n-)
ha-u-x- woman's private parts 108.4; 130.8 (incorporated ha-)
t'gā-u- earth, land 55.3, 4; 56.4 (absolute t'gā 73.9, 11, 13)
-t!omxa'u wife's parent (cf. t!omxi'xa his wife's parent 154.16;
164.19; see footnote, sub 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first person singular shows -u as characteristic: wi-t/omxa'u.

 $<sup>{}^2</sup>$ It is highly probable that this word has been influenced in its form by  $i\bar{u}x$ - HAND, which it resembles in meaning, if it is not indeed a compound of it.

The pre-pronominal element -x- is in some words appended directly to the stem or stem + derivational suffix; in others, to one of the noun-characteristics -(a)n, -i, and -u (never -a). A considerable number of words may or may not have the -x- after their characteristic; a few show variation between -a- and -x-; and but a very small number have -x- with or without preceding characteristic (e. g., gel-x-, gel-gan-, and gel-gan-x- breast). Examples of -x- without preceding characteristic are:

```
dag-ax- head ¹ 90.12, 13; 116.8; 188.4, 5 (incorporated dak'-) sal-x- foot 120.18 (incorporated sal-) gwel-x- leg 15.15; 86.18; 122.10; 160.17 (incorporated form gwel-) dee-x- lips (incorporated dee-) 186.18 gwen-ha-u-x- nape (incorporated gwen-ha-u-) ei-x- canoe (absolute eī) dīemo-x- hips (incorporated dīemo-) liugw-ax- face bok'dan-x- neck (absolute bo'k'dan) hāen-x-² brothers 136.7
```

Rather more common than nouns of this type seem to be examples of -x- with preceding characteristic, such as have been already given in treating of the noun-characteristics. A few bodypart nouns in -x- seem to be formed from local third personal possessive forms (-da); e. g.,  $d\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}alda - x - dek'$  my forehead from  $d\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}alda$  at his forehead (but also  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}a'l - t'k'$  with first personal singular possessive ending directly added to stem or incorporated form  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}al$ -); da-k!olo'ida-x-dek' my cheek is evidently quite parallel in formation. Body-part nouns with pre-pronominal -x- end in this element when, as sometimes happens, they occur absolutely (neither incorporated nor provided with personal endings). Examples of such forms follow:

haūx woman's private parts 130.19 da'gax head yū'k!alx teeth 57.4 dayawa'nt!ixi ēūx other hand 86.13 gwelx dayawa'nt!ixi other leg 86.18

<sup>1-</sup>ax- contains inorganic -a-, and is not to be analyzed as characteristic -a- + -x- (parallel to -i- + -x-). This is shown by forms in which -x- regularly disappears; e. g.,  $dak'-d\tilde{e}$  over ME (not \*dag-a-d\tilde{e} as parallel to -s-in-i-d\tilde{e}).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Perhaps with pluralic -x- as in haap-x- children, p. 225.

## 4. Possessive Suffixes (§§ 90-93)

#### § 90. GENERAL REMARKS

The possessive suffixes appended to the noun embrace elements for the first and second persons singular and plural and for the third person; the form expressing the latter is capable of further amplification by the addition of an element indicating the identity of the possessor with the subject of the clause (corresponding to Latin suus as contrasted with  $\bar{e}ius$ ). This element may be further extended to express plurality. Altogether four distinct though genetically related series of possessive pronominal affixes are found, of which three are used to express simple ownership of the noun modified; the fourth is used only with nouns preceded by pre-positives and with local adverbial stems. The former set includes a special scheme for most terms of relationship, and two other schemes for the great mass of nouns, that seem to be fundamentally identical and to have become differentiated for phonetic reasons. None of these four pronominal schemes is identical with either the objective or any of the subjective series found in the verb, though the pronominal forms used with prepositives are very nearly coincident with the subjective forms found in the future of Class II intransitives:

ha-wilidē in my house, like s-a's-ant'e I shall stand ha-wilē'ida in his house, like s-a's-ant'ā he will stand

The following table gives the four possessive schemes, together with the suffixes of Class II future intransitives, for comparison:

	Terms of relation-	Scheme II	Scheme III	With pre- positives	Future in- transitives 1I
Singular:					
First person	wi-	-dek	-`t'k'	-de	-dee
Second person	-'€t*	-deε	-'¢t'	-da€	-da€
Third person	-xa, -a	-da	-', -'t'	-'da	-da
Plural:					
First person	-da'm	-da'm	-da'm	-da'm	-(p')igam
Second person	-£t'ban	-daba∈n	-'€t'ban	$\begin{cases} -daba^{\varepsilon}n \\ -'^{\varepsilon}t'b\dot{a}n \end{cases}$	$\left. ight\}$ - $daba^{arepsilon}$
Singular reflexive:					
Third person	-xagwa, -agwa	-dagwa	-'t'gwa	-'dagwa -'t`gwa	
Plural reflexive: Third person	-xagwan, -agwan	-dagwan	-'t'gwan	{-'dagwan  -'t*gwan	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A complete comparative table of all pronominal forms is given in Appendix A.

It will be observed that the main difference between the last two schemes lies in the first person plural; the first scheme is entirely peculiar in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural possessive suffix (-da'm) resembles the endings of the subjective future of the same person (-iga'm, -anaga'm) in the falling accent; evidently there is a primary element -a'm back of these various endings which has amalgamated with other suffixes. As seen from the table, reflexive suffixes exist only for the third person. The plural reflexive in -gwan has often reciprocal significance:

wu'lxdagwan their own enemies (=they are enemies)

The suffixes of the first and second person plural may also have reciprocal significance:

wulxda'm eebi'k' we are enemies (lit., our enemies we are) cf. 180.13

#### § 91. TERMS OF RELATIONSHIP

ham- (ma-) father, hin- (ni-) mother, k!as- maternal grandparent, and beyan- daughter may be taken as types of the nouns that form this group.<sup>1</sup>

Singular:				
First person	wiha'm	wihi`n	wik!asi\	wibeya'n
Second person	hami'et'	hi'net'	k!asi'€t`	beya'net'
Third person	ma'xa	ni'xa	k!a'sa	beya'n
Plural:				
First person	hamida'm	hinda'm	k!asida'm	beyanda'm
Second person	hami' <sup>ε</sup> t'ban	hi'net'ban	k!asi'et'ban	beya'net'ban
Singular reflexive:				
Third person	ma'xagwa	ni'xagwa	k!a'sagwa	beya'nt'gwa
Plural reflexive:				
Third person	ma'xagwan	ni'xagwan	k!a'sagwan	beya'nt'gwan
Vocative	ha mī	$\begin{cases} hind\tilde{e} \\ [s \cdot n\tilde{a}] \end{cases}$	k!asã	$[[hind ilde{\epsilon}]s \cdot n ilde{a}]$

The first two of these are peculiar in that they each show a double stem; the first form (ham-, hin-) is used in the first and second persons, the second (ma-, ni-) in the third person. Despite the phonetically symmetrical proportion ham-:ma-=hin-:ni-, the two words are not quite parallel in form throughout, in that hin- does not show the characteristic -i- found in certain of the forms of ham-.

<sup>1</sup> Out of thirty-two terms of relationship (tabulated with first person singular, third person, and vocative in American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, pp. 268, 269) that were obtained, twenty-eight belong here.

Of the other words belonging to this group, only that for friend shows, or seems to show, a double stem:  $wik!\bar{u}^uya'm$  my friend and  $k!\bar{u}'yam$  o friend! 31.6, 8; 32.4, 6 but  $k!\bar{u}^uya'pxa$  his friend 190.2, 4 and  $k!\bar{u}yaba'^{\varepsilon}t'$  (with inorganic rather than characteristic a) your friend 198.2. Irregular is also  $wi\text{-}k!\bar{o}^uxa'$  my son's wife's parents:  $k!\bar{o}^uxa'm\text{-}xa$  his son's wife's parents 178.9, in which we have either to reckon with a double stem, or else to consider the -m- of the latter form a noun-characteristic. Other terms of relationship which, like hin-, append all the personal endings without at the same time employing a characteristic are:

 $w\bar{a}^a$  - younger brother 42.1; 64.4 (also  $t^iaw\bar{a}^a$  - younger sister 58.1, 5; 188.10)

k!e\*b- husband's parent

wayau- daughter-in-law ([?] formed according to verb-type 11 from way- sleep) 56.8, 9

 $s \cdot iy\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}p$ '- woman's sister's husband or husband's brother  $hasd^{-1}$  man's sister's husband or wife's brother 152.22

 $k!\bar{u}ya \begin{Bmatrix} m-\\ b- \end{Bmatrix}$  friend 180.13; 196.19; 198.2

beyan- daughter 13.2; 70.1, 4; 118.1, 4 belongs, morphologically speaking, to the terms of relationship only because of its first personal singular form; all its other forms (the vocatives really belong to hin-) are built up according to Scheme III.

As far as known, only terms of relationship possess vocative forms, though their absence can not be positively asserted for other types of nouns. The great majority of these vocatives end in  $-\tilde{a}$ , which, as in  $w\tilde{a}$  o younger brother! may be the lengthened form with rising accent of the final vowel of the stem, or, as in  $k!as\tilde{a}$  o grandmother! 16.3, 5, 6; 17.2; 154.18 added to the stem, generally with loss of the characteristic -i-, wherever found. wayau- and  $s\cdot iy\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}p'$ -, both of which lack a characteristic element, employ as vocative the stem with rising accent on the a- vowel:  $waya\bar{u}$  o daughter-in-law! and  $s\cdot iy\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon}p'$  o brother-in-law! (said by woman). This method of forming the vocative is in form practically equivalent to the addition of  $-\tilde{a}$ .  $s\cdot n\tilde{a}^{z}$  mamma! and  $haik!\tilde{a}$  o wife! husband! are vocatives without corresponding noun-stems provided with pronominal suffixes. beyan-daughter and k'aba- son, on the other hand, have no vocative

<sup>1</sup> wiha'st' MY WIFE'S BROTHER is the only Takelma word known that terminates in -st'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Inasmuch as there is hardly another occurrence of  $s \cdot n$ - in Takelma, it is perhaps not too far-fetched to analyze  $s \cdot n\tilde{a}$  into  $s \cdot -$  (cf. second footnote, p. 8)  $+ n\tilde{a}$  (vocative of ni- in ni'xa HIS MOTHER).

derived from the same stem, but employ the vocative form of MOTHER and FATHER respectively. Of other vocatives,  $k!\bar{u}'yam^{-1}$  o friend! 31.6, 8; 32.4, 6 is the bare stem;  $ham\bar{\imath}$  70.5; 71.7, the stem with added characteristic -i-;  $hind\bar{e}$  o Mother! daughter! 56.7; 76.10, 13; 186.14 is quite peculiar in that it makes use of the first personal singular ending (- $d\bar{e}$ ) peculiar to nouns with possessive suffix and preceding pre-positive. Only two other instances of a nominal use of - $d\bar{e}$  without pre-positive or local adverb have been found:  $mo't'e^e$  My son-in-law! (as vocative) 164.19; and  $k'wi'naxd\bar{e}$  My folks, relations, which otherwise follows Scheme II (e. g., third person  $k'wi'naxd\bar{a}^a$ ).

The normal pronominal suffix of the third person is -xa; -a is found in only four cases, k!a'sa his maternal grandparent, ha'sa his maternal uncle, t'a'da his paternal aunt, and ha'sda his brotheringlated from \*k!a'sxa and \*ha'sxa (see § 20, 3): \*t'adxa and \*hasdxa, however, should have become \*t'a'sa and \*ha'sa respectively. The analogy of the first two, which were felt to be equivalent to stem + -a, on the one side, and that of the related forms in -d-(e. g.,  $t'ad\tilde{a}$  and  $hasd\tilde{a}$ ) on the other, made it possible for t'a'da and ha'sda to replace \*t'a'sa and \*ha'sa, the more so that a necessary distinction in form was thus preserved between ha'sa his maternal uncle and ha'sda (instead of \*ha'sa) his brother-in-law.

The difference in signification between the third personal forms in -xa and -xagwa (similarly for the other pronominal schemes) will be readily understood from what has already been said, and need not be enlarged upon:

ma'xa  $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi't' he spoke to his (some one else's) father ma'xagwa  $w\bar{a}^a$ -himi't' he spoke to his own father

There is small doubt that this -gwa is identical with the indirect reflexive -gwa of transitive verbs with incorporated object. Forms in -gwan seem to refer to the plurality of either possessor or object possessed:

k'aba'xagwan their own son or his (her) own sons exdagwan their own canoe or his own canoes

The final -n of these forms is the indefinite plural -an discussed below (§ 99). Plural (?) -gwan is found also in verb forms (144.12; 150.24).

 $<sup>^1</sup>k/\bar{u}yam$ - is perhaps derived, by derivational suffix -(a)m, from verb-stem  $k/\bar{v}uy$ - go together with one.

#### § 92. SCHEMES II AND III

As examples may be taken dagax- HEAD, which follows Scheme II, and wili- HOUSE, dana- ROCK, t!ibagwan- LIVER, and  $x\bar{a}^aham$ - BACK, which follow Scheme III.

		1	1	1	1
Singular:					
1st person	da'gaxdek'	wili't'k'	dana't'k'	t!ibagwa`nt`k`	xāaha`mt`k`
2d person	$da'gaxde^{\varepsilon}$	wili'st	dana'st'	t!ibagwa'n <sup>ε</sup> t*	xāaha'm <sup>ε</sup> t°
3d person	da'gaxda	wilī'i	danā'a	t!ibagwa'n	xāaha'm
Plural:					
1st person	da'gaxdam	wilida'm	danada'm	t!ibagwa'ndam	xāaha'mdam
2d person	$daga'xdaba^{\varepsilon}n$	wili'st'ban	dana'st'ban	t!ibagwa'nst'ban	xāaha'mɛt'ban
Singular reflex-					
ive:					
3d person	daga'xdagwa	wili't'gwa •	dana't'gwa	t!ibagwa'nt`gwa	xāaha'mt'gwa
Plural reflex-					
ive:					
3d person	daga'xdagwan	wili't'gwan	dana't'gwan	t!ibagwa'nt'gwan	xāaha'mt'gwan

A third person plural -dan also occurs, as in dūmhak'wdan his slain ones or their slain one 180.2.

Scheme II is followed by the large class of nouns that have a prepronominal -x-, besides a considerable number of nouns that add the endings directly to the stem. Noun-characteristics may not take the endings of Scheme II unless followed by a -x- (thus -a'nt'k' and -anxde'k'; -i't'k' and -ixde'k'). Examples of Scheme II nouns without preceding -x- are:

```
a-is·de'k' my property (though -s·- may be secondarily derived from -s·x- or -tx-) 23.2, 3; 154.18, 19, 20; 158.4

mo't'ek' my son-in-law (152.9) (incorporated mot'-)

se'elt'ek' my writing, paint (absolute se'el)

he'elt'ek' my song (164.16; 182.6) (absolute he'el 106.7)

ts·!ī'ik'dek' my meat (44.3, 6; 170.6)

wila'ut'ek' my arrow (45.13; 154.18) (absolute wila'u 22.5; 28.1, 2; 77.5)

ga'lt'ek' my bow (154.19; 190.22) (absolute ga'le)

la'psdek' my blanket (absolute la'ps 98.14, 15, 19, 21)

ts·!ixi-maha'it'ek' my horse (absolute ts·!i'xi-maha'i)
```

Scheme III is followed by all nouns that have a characteristic immediately preceding the personal suffix or, in nearly all cases, whose stem, or stem + derivative suffix, ends in -a- (e. g., t!ela't'k' MY SHINNY-STICK [from t!ela']), -i-, -ei- (e. g., ts'!eleīt'k' MY EYE [from ts'!elei-]), -n (e. g., sēnt'k' MY SKIN), -m, or -l¹ (e. g., dīiāa'lt'k'

§ 92

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In most, if not all, cases the -n, -m, or -l is a non-radical element. It is not quite clear in how far stems ending in these vowels and consonants follow Scheme II or Scheme III.

MY FOREHEAD [from  $d\tilde{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}al$ -]). The third person is, at least superficially, without ending in all nouns of this group whose pre-pronominal form is not monosyllabic. The third personal form is characterized by a falling accent on the final syllable, -a- and -i-being lengthened to  $-\bar{a}'^a$  and  $-\bar{\imath}'^i$  respectively. Other forms are:

```
ts \cdot lele'i his eye 27.8; 86.7, 9; (cf. 54.6) d\bar{o}^u ma'l his testicles 130.8; 136.5 x\bar{a}^a la'm his urine gwit!i'n his wrist
```

There is no doubt, however, that these forms without ending originally had a final -t, as indicated by the analogy of third personal forms in -da in Scheme II, and as proved by the preservation of the -t- before the reflexive suffix -gwa and in monosyllabic forms:

```
p!\bar{a}'^ant his liver 120.2, 15 n\bar{v}'^it her teats 30.14; 32.7 t!\bar{v}'^it her husband (17.13) s\bar{a}'^at his discharge of wind 166.8
```

Though the conditions for the loss of a final -t are not fully understood, purely phonetic processes having been evidently largely intercrossed by analogic leveling, it is evident that the proportion  $wil\bar{t}'i$  his house:  $n\bar{t}'it'$  her teats =  $s\cdot as\cdot in\bar{t}$  he stands:  $w\bar{t}t'$  he travels about represents a by no means accidental phonetic and morphologic correspondence between noun and verb (Class II intransitives). The falling pitch is peculiar to the noun as contrasted with the verbform (cf. he' cl song, but  $h\bar{c}l$  sing!). Monosyllabic stems of Scheme III seem to have a rising accent before -t'gwa as well as in the first person. Thus:

```
lãt'gwa his own excrement 77.1
t!īt'gwa her own husband (despite t!ī'it') 45.14; (59.16; 60.2);
128.22
```

Nouns with characteristic -i- prefer the parallel form in -i'-x-dagwa to that in -i'-t'gwa. Thus:

```
b\bar{u}^ubini'xdagwa his own arm, rather than b\bar{u}^ubini't'gwa, despite b\bar{u}^ubini't'k' MY ARM
```

The limitation of each of the two schemes to certain definite phonetically determined groups of nouns (though some probably merely apparent contradictions, such as  $ga'l^{-1}t'ek'$  my bow and  $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}a'l^{-t}k'$ 

<sup>1-</sup>t'k' always requires preceding rising or raised accent. As gal- now seems to be inseparably connected with a falling accent (very likely because of the catch in its absolute form), it is, after all, probably a phonetic reason that causes it to follow Scheme II rather than III.

MY FOREHEAD, occur), together with the evident if not entirely symmetrical parallelism between the suffixes of both, make it practically certain that they are differentiated, owing to phonetic causes, from a single scheme. The -a- of -da (-dagwa) and -daba<sup>e</sup>n (as contrasted with -t' and -et'ban) may be inorganic in origin, and intended to support phonetically difficult consonant combinations:

 $g\bar{u}xda$  his wife (from \* $g\bar{u}x$ -t') 13.2; 43.15; 49.6, like  $\bar{\imath}$ -lasga' touch it (from stem lasg-)

The -e-, however, of -dek 32.6 and  $-de^{\varepsilon}$  31.1; 59.3 can not be thus explained. It is not improbable that part of the endings of Scheme III are due to a loss of an originally present vowel, so that the primary scheme of pronominal suffixes may have been something like:

Singular: First person,  $-d-e^{\epsilon}$ ; second person,  $-d-e^{\epsilon}$ ; third person, -t. Reflexive: Third person, -t. Plural: First person, -d-a'm; second person, -t.

It can hardly be entirely accidental that all the suffixes are characterized by a dental stop; perhaps an amalgamation has taken place between the original pronominal elements and an old, formerly significant nominal element -d-.

### § 93. POSSESSIVES WITH PRE-POSITIVES

As examples of possessive affixes attached to nouns with prepositives and to local elements may be taken dak'- over,  $wa^{-1}$  to, haw-an- under, and ha- $^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$ - in hand.

		1	I	
Singular:				
First person	dak'dē over me	wadē to me	hawandë under me	$ha^{\varepsilon}$ ī $\bar{u}d\tilde{e}$ in my hand
Second person	dãk'da€	wada′ε	hawanda'€	$ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}da^{\varepsilon}$
Third person	da'k'dāada	wā'ada	hawa'nda	$\hbar a^{arepsilon} ar{\imath}' ar{u} da$
Plural:				
First person	dak'da'm	wada'm	hawanda'm	$ha^arepsilon ar{u}da'm$
Second person	$da'k'daba^{\varepsilon}n$	wā'aɛt`ban	hawa'net'ban	$ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}^{\varepsilon}t'ban$
Singular reflexive:				
Third person	da'k'dagwa	wa't'gwa	hawa'nt'gwa	$ha^{arepsilon}ar{\imath}'ar{u}t^{arepsilon}gwa$
Plural reflexive:				
Third person	da'k'dagwan	wa't'gwan	hawa'nt`gwan	ha <sup>ɛ</sup> ī'ūt`gwan

The apparently double ending  $-d\bar{a}^a da$  of the third person of dak'is not entirely isolated (cf.  $ha-ye^ewa'x-d\bar{a}^a da$  in their time of returning;  $he'^{e\varepsilon}-d\bar{a}^a da$  beyond him), but can not be explained. The use of

It is possible that this wa- is etymologically identical with the verbal prefix wa- together. The forms of wa- given above are regularly used when reference is had to persons, the postposition  $ga^{a}a^{b}$  being employed in connection with things:  $w\bar{a}^{a}ada$   $gini'^{c}k'$  HE WENT to HIM (56.11); 148.6; s-om  $ga^{a}a^{b}l$   $gini'^{c}k'$  HE WENT to the mountain (43.6).

-dagwa and -daba<sup>e</sup>n on the one hand, and of -t'gwa and -et'ban on the other, is determined by the same phonetic conditions as differentiate Schemes II and III. A third personal plural in -t'an (apparently =-d+-han) is also found: de' et'an in front of them 190.13 (but de' eda before him 59.14);  $x\bar{a}^a$ -s·ogwī'it'an between them (see below, p. 240);  $w\bar{a}'$  at an to them 160.15. A form in -xa seems also to occur with third personal plural signification: wa'xa ts·!inī'its·!anx he got angry at them;  $diha\bar{u}xa$  after them, behind their backs 132.13.

The number of local elements that directly take on possessive suffixes seems fairly considerable, and includes both such as are body-part and local prefixes in the verb (e. g., dak'-) and such as are used in the verb only as local prefixes (e. g., wa-, dal-); a few seem not to be found as verbal prefixes. Not all adverbially used verbal prefixes, however, can be inflected in the manner of dak' $d\bar{e}$  and  $wad\bar{e}$  (e. g., no \*hadē can be formed from ha-). A number of body-part and local stems take on a noun-characteristic:

haw-an- under (from ha-u-)  $x\bar{a}^a$ -ham- $d\bar{e}^i$  about my waist (from  $x\bar{a}^a$ -)

The local elements that have been found capable of being followed by pronominal affixes are:

dak'dē over me (56.9; 110.18); 186.4, 5 wadē to me (56.15; 60.1; 63.14; 88.13; 150.18; 194.1)  $x\bar{a}^ahamd\bar{e}$  about my waist gwelda' under it 190.17 gwe'nda (in Gwenda yu's $\bar{a}^a$  = being at its nape, i. e., east of it)  $d\bar{z}'^ida$  close in back of him, at his anus 138.2 dindē behind me (?=verb-prefix  $d\bar{z}^\epsilon$ - anus, behind+noun-characteristic -n-) (86.9; 138.3; 170.1)

hawandē under me (71.1, 5, 12) geldē in front of me, for (in behalf of) me dedē in front of me (59.14; 124.20)  $h\bar{u}^{\epsilon}yad\bar{e}$  around me

 $he^{ie\epsilon}d\bar{a}^ada$  beyond him 148.9

ha'nda across, through it

da'lt'gwan among themselves 98.2

gwen-ha-udē at my nape; gwen-haūt'gwa in back of his own neck 75.2

*di-ha-udē* after I went away, behind my back (132.10; 186.8; 192.4)

It is only the different schemes of personal endings that, at least in part, keep distinct the noun  $x\bar{a}aham$ BACK and the local element  $x\bar{a}aham$ - on BACK, ABOUT WAIST:  $x\bar{a}aha'm$  HIS BACK, but  $x\bar{a}aha'mda$  on HIS
BACK, AT HIS WAIST;  $x\bar{a}aha'mdam$  our BACKS and on our BACKS.

 $d\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}$ -a'lda over his eyes, on his forehead (172.3)  $n\bar{o}'ts!adam$  neighboring us (= stem  $n\bar{o}ts!$ - next door + nouncharacteristic -a-) (98.13)

When used as local pre-positives with nouns, these local stems drop their characteristic affixes, and thus appear in the same form in which they are found in the verb (e. g.,  $x\bar{a}^a$ -gweld $\bar{e}$  between My legs), except that ha-u- under as pre-positive adds an -a-: hawa- (e. g., hawa-sald $\bar{e}$  under My feet). The various pre-positives found pre-fixed to nouns with possessive suffixes are:

ha- in hawa- under dak'- over  $d\bar{\imath}^i$ - above  $d\bar{a}^a$ - alongside al- to, at de-, da- in front of  $x\bar{a}^a$ - between, in middle of gwen- at nape, east of  $d\bar{\imath}^e$ - at rear end, west of dal- away from han- across (?) gel- facing gwel- under, down from

The noun itself, as has already been seen, appears with its characteristic.  $t'g\tilde{a}$  Earth, however, perhaps for some unknown phonetic reason, does not retain its characteristic -u- before the possessive suffixes (ha- $t'g\bar{a}\tilde{u}$  in the country 33.7, but ha- $t'g\bar{a}^ad\tilde{e}$  in My country 194.4) Examples of forms of the type  $ha^{\varepsilon}\tilde{v}\bar{u}d\tilde{e}$  in My hand are:

ha-dī't'gwa in back of him, in his anus (incorporated dī'-) 94.11
dā'-yawadē' aside from me (literally, alongside my ribs)
dak'-s'aldē on top of my feet 198.6; (cf. 44.8)
hawa-lü''lidē under my throat
dak'-s'inī'ida over his nose 144.11
al-guxwida'm wōk' we have enough of it (literally, to-our-hearts
it-has-arrived) 128.1
ha-wilidē in my house (64.2; 88.18; 120.14)
ha-ye'waxdē in my returning (= when I return) (124.15)
dī-delga'nt'gwa behind himself, at his own anus (72.10)
al-wā'di't'gwan at one another (literally, to each other's bodies;
wā'd-i- body) (96.22; 146.2; 190.19)

<sup>1</sup> Also dal-yawade ASIDE FROM ME (with verb of throwing) (=literally, AWAY FROM MY RIBS).

ha-sa'lda (thinking) of her (literally, in her footsteps) 142.13  $d\bar{\imath}^i\text{-}dand\bar{\epsilon}$  over my ear  $d\bar{\imath}^i\text{-}ts\cdot!eleid\bar{\epsilon}$  over my eyes  $ha\text{-}ded\bar{\epsilon}$  in my mouth (170.2; 182.17)  $gwen\text{-}bok'dand\bar{\epsilon}$  at my nape  $x\bar{a}^a\text{-}s\cdot inid\bar{\epsilon}$  resting on my nose (like spectacles)  $gwel\text{-}^\epsilon w\bar{a}^adid\bar{\epsilon}$  down from my body 198.4

Several such forms with apparently simple local signification contain after the pre-positive a noun stem not otherwise found:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -s·ogwida'm between us ha-ɛ̃winidē inside of me (73.1; 92.17) di- $b\bar{o}^u$ widē at my side da-ɛ̃oldidē close to me (124.9) (cf. adverb da-ɛ̃o'l near by 102.6)

Such a non-independent noun is probably also ha-u- in gwen-ha-u- and di-ha-u-, both of which were listed above as simple local elements.

Instances also occur, though far less frequently, of pre-positives with two nouns or noun and adjective; the first noun generally stands in a genitive relation to the second (cf., § 88, the order in juxtaposed nouns), while the second noun is followed by the third personal possessive -da. Such are:

gwen-t'gāa-bo'k'dan-da at nape of earth's neck (= east) 79.6; 102.4

 $d\bar{\imath}$ -t' $g\bar{a}^a$ - $yu'k!um\bar{a}^a$ -da at rear of earth's tail (= west) 146.1; 198.9 ha-t' $g\bar{a}^a$ - $yaw\bar{a}'^a$ -da in earth's rib (= north) (cf. 194.9)

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -xi-ts:!ek'ts:!igī'i-da alongside water's backbone (= not far from shore)

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -xi-ts·!ek'ts·!igī''da in middle of water's backbone (= equally distant from either shore) 112.4

 $\dot{H}a$ - $y\bar{a}^a l$ - $b\bar{a}' ls$ - $da^1$  in its long (i. e., tall) ( $b\tilde{a}ls$ ) pines ( $y\tilde{a}l$ ) (= placename) 114.9

 $D\bar{\imath}$ -p!ol-ts!i'l-da over  $(d\bar{\imath}^i)$  its red (ts!il) bed (p!ol ditch) (= Jump-off Joe creek)

Al-dan-k!olo'i-da<sup>1</sup> to its rock (da'n) basket ( $k!olo\tilde{\imath}$ ) (= name of mountain)

Rather difficult of explanation is de-de-wili'-da door, at door of house 63.11; 77.15; 176.6, which is perhaps to be literally rendered in front of (first de-) house (wili) its (-da) mouth (second de-) (i. e., in front of doorway). The difficulty with this explanation is that it necessitates the interpretation of the second noun as a genitive in relation to the first.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Observe falling accent despite rising accent (bāls, k!oloī) of independent noun. -da with pre-positives, whether with intervening noun or noun and adjective, consistently demands a falling accent before it.

# 5. Local Phrases (§§ 94-96)

### § 94. GENERAL REMARKS

Local phrases without possessive pronouns (i. e., of the type in the house, across the river) may be constructed in three ways.

A local element with third personal possessive suffix may be used to define the position, the noun itself appearing in its absolute form as an appositive of the incorporated pronominal suffix:

da'n gwelda' rock under-it (i. e., under the rock) da'n handa through the rock dan hā'asyāada around the rock dan dasoldī'ida near the rock dan ge'lda in front of the rock dan di'nda behind the rock

There is observable here, as also in the method nearly always employed to express the objective and genitive relations, the strong tendency characteristic of Takelma and other American languages to make the personal pronominal affixes serve a purely formal purpose as substitutes for syntactic and local cases.

The second and perhaps somewhat more common method used to build up a local phrase is to prefix to the noun a pre-positive, the noun itself appearing in the form it assumes before the addition of the normal pronominal suffixes (Schemes II and III). Thus some of the preceding local phrases might have been expressed as:

gwel-dana' under the rock han-dana' through the rock hā'a' ya-dana' around the rock gel-dana' in front of the rock dī' dana' behind the rock

These forms have at first blush the appearance of prepositions followed by a local case of the noun, but we have already seen this explanation to be inadmissible.

A third and very frequent form of local phrase is the absolute noun followed by a postposition. The chief difference between this and the preceding method is the very considerable amount of individual freedom that the postposition possesses as contrasted with the rigidly incorporated pre-positive. The majority of the postpositions consist of a pre-positive preceded by the general demonstrative ga-that. da'n gada'k' over the rock is thus really to be analyzed as rock that-over, an appositional type of local

phrase closely akin in spirit to that first mentioned:  $dan \ da'k' d\bar{a}^a da$  rock over-it. dak'-dana', according to the second method, is also possible.

§ 95. PRE-POSITIVES

The pre-positives employed before nouns without possessive suffixes are identical with those already enumerated (§ 94) as occurring with nouns with possessives, except that hawa-under seems to be replaced by gwel-. It is doubtful also if  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -beyond (also hanacross?) can occur with nouns followed by possessive affixes. Examples of pre-positives in local phrases are:

han-gela'm across the river han-waxga'n across the creek han-p!iya' across the fire 168.19 ha'-waxqa'n in the creek ha-xiya' in the water 58.6; 60.3; 61.11; 63.16 ha-bini' in the middle 176.15 (cf. de-bi'n first, last 150.15) ha-p!ola' in the ditch ha- $qw\bar{a}^a la$ 'm in the road 62.6; 158.19 ha-s·ugwañ in the basket (cf. 124.18)  $xa'-s\cdot\bar{o}^u ma'l$  halfway up the mountain  $x\bar{a}^a$ -qulma'n among oaks  $x\bar{a}^a$ -xo  $(y\bar{a}'^a)$  (right) among firs (cf. 94.17) qwel-xi'ya under water 156.19 qwel-t' $q\bar{a}\bar{u}$  down to the ground 176.8  $d\bar{a}^a$ -ts! $\bar{a}^a$ wa'n by the ocean 59.16  $d\bar{a}^a$ -t' $q\bar{a}\bar{u}$  alongside the field qwen-t'qāū east of the field 55.4; 56.4 gwen-waxga'n east along the creek Gwen-p'u $\tilde{n}k'$  place-name (=east of rotten [p'u'n]) 114.14 de-wilt in front of the house (= out of doors) 70.4  $dak'-s\cdot\bar{o}^u ma'l$  on top of the mountain 188.15 dak'-wilī over the house 59.2; 140.5 dak'-p!iya' over the fire 24.6, 7  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -s· $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  beyond the mountain 124.2; 196.13 al-s $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  at, to the mountain 136.22; 152.8; 192.5, 7, 8  $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ya-p!iya'$  on both sides of the fire 176.12  $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ya$ -s· $\bar{o}^{u}ma'l$  on both sides of the mountain 152.2 di-t' $q\bar{a}\bar{u}$  west of the field 55.3  $d\bar{\imath}$ -waxga'n some distance west along the creek  $d\bar{\imath}$ -s· $\bar{o}^u ma'l$  at foot ([?] = in rear) of the mountain  $D\bar{\imath}^{i_1}$ -dala'm place-name (= over the rock[?]) Gel-yalk' place-name (= abreast of pines) 112.13

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps really Die-dala'm West of the Rock (?).

A few cases of compound pre-positives occur:

ha-gwel-p!iya' under the ashes (literally, in-under-the-fire) 118.4

ha-gwel-xiya' at bottom of the water 60.12, 14 ha-gwel-t'ge'emt'gam down in dark places 196.7

An example of a pre-positive with a noun ending in pre-pronominal -x is afforded by  $ha-d\bar{a}^anx$  molhi't' in-ear red 14.4; 15.13; 88.2 (alongside of  $d\bar{a}^a molhi$ 't' red-eared 15.12; 86.6). It is somewhat doubtful, because of a paucity of illustrative material, whether local phrases with final pre-pronominal -x can be freely used.

### § 96. POSTPOSITIONS

Not all pre-positives can be suffixed to the demonstrative ga- to form postpositions; e. g., no \*gaha', \*gaha'n, \*gagwe'l are found in Takelma. Very few other words (adverbs) are found in which what are normally pre-positives occupy the second place:  $me'^{\epsilon}al$  toward this direction 58.9; ye'k'dal in the brush 71.3. Instead of -ha in, -na'u is used, an element that seems restricted to the postposition gana'u in. The ga-postpositions that have been found are:

gada'k' on 48.15; 49.1 gid $\bar{\imath}^i$  (= ga-d $\bar{\imath}^i$ ) on, over 49.12 gid $\bar{\imath}^i$  (= ga-d $\bar{\imath}^i$ ) in back gana'u in 47.2; 61.13; 64.4; 110.9 gada'l among 94.12 ga $\bar{\imath}^a$ 'l to, for, at, from 43.6; 44.4; 55.6; 58.11 gad $\bar{\imath}^a$  by, along 60.1 gax $\bar{\imath}^a$  between gede in front (?) 28.8, 9

# and possibly:

gasal in adverb gasa'lhi quickly 28.10; 29.14; 160.1

# Examples of their use are:

he-went) 106.13

wi'li gada'k' on top of the house 14.9; 15.5
da'n gada'k' on the rock
t'gā^a gidī upon the land 49.12
p!īi gada'l in between the fire 94.12
da'n gada'l among rocks
da'n gadā alongside the rocks (cf. 60.1)
wüülham-hoidigwia gadā^a gini'sk' he went right by where there
was round-dancing (literally, menstruation-dancing-with by

et gana'u in the canoe 96.24; 112.3

```
dola' gana'u in the old tree 24.1

wa-iwī'i't'a*gaʿa'l to the female 15.14

ga' gaʿa'l for that reason 50.2; 124.6; 146.20, 21; 188.6; 194.11

bixal wiʿin-wi'ʿ gaʿal ya'ʿ he goes every month (literally, month different-every at he-goes)

da'n gaxā̄a between the rocks

dīū gede' right at the falls 33.13

Yūk'ya'k'wa gede¹ right by Yūk'ya'k'wa 188.17
```

Postpositions may be freely used with nouns provided with a possessive suffix; e. g., ela't'k' gada'k' on my tongue; wilī'i gana'u in his house, cf. 194.7. There is no ascertainable difference in signification between such phrases and the corresponding pre-positive forms, dak'-eladē and ha-wilī'ida. Sometimes a postposition takes in a group of words, in which case it may be enclitically appended to the first:

 $k!iy\bar{\imath}'x\ gan\ au\ ba-igina'xd\bar{a}^a$  smoke in its-going-out (=[hole] in which smoke is to go out) 176.7

Although local phrases involving a postposition are always pronounced as one phonetic unit, and the postpositions have become, psychologically speaking, so obscured in etymology as to allow of their being preceded by the demonstrative with which they are themselves compounded (cf. ga ga<sup>e</sup>a'l above), they have enough individuality to render them capable of being used quasi-adverbially without a preceding noun:

gada'k' s·u<sup>ɛ</sup>wilīt'e<sup>ɛ</sup> I sat on him

```
gadak' ts!āak'ts!a'k'de I step on top of it (148.17)
gidīi gaīxgwa thereon eating (=table)
gidīi-hi closer and closer (literally, right in back)
gadāa yeweya'k'w he got even with him (literally, alongside hereturned-having-him) 17.5
mãl yaxa aba'i dūl gede' salmon-spear-shaft only in-house, spear-
point thereby 28.7, 9
gīi gana'u I am inside
ga'nau naga'ie wili't'k' he went through my house (literally, in
he-did my-house [for naga'ie see § 69]) cf. 78.5
```

Other postpositions than those compounded with ga- are:

 $da^{\varepsilon}o'l$  near (cf.  $da^{\varepsilon}ol$ - as pre-positive in  $da^{\varepsilon}oldid\bar{e}$  near me):  $wili't'k' da^{\varepsilon}o'l$  near my house

wa with (also as incorporated instrumental wa-, § 38) 25.5; 47.5

<sup>1</sup> Yuk'ya'k'wa gada was said to be preferable, whence it seems possible that gcde is not really equivalent to ga that + de- in front, but is palatalized as adverb (see below, § 104) from  $gad\bar{a}a$ .

<sup>\$ 96</sup> 

ha-bini' in the middle: wili ha'-bini' in the middle of the house; ha-be'-bini' noon (literally, in-sun [=day]-middle) 126.21; 186.8

-di's away: eme'<sup>e</sup>dis away from here; dedewilī'<sup>i</sup>dadi's (? outside of) the door 176.6

It is peculiar that mountain-names generally have a prefix al- and a suffix -dis:

al-dauyā'ak'wa-dis (cf. dauyā'ak'w supernatural helper) 172.1 al-wila'mxa-dis al-sawēnt'a-dis

That both al- and -dis are felt not to be integral parts of these mountain-names is shown by such forms as  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -wild'mxa beyond Alwila'mxadis 196.14 and al-dauyā'ak'w. In all probability they are to be explained as local phrases, AT, TO (al-) . . . DISTANT (-dis), descriptive of some natural peculiarity or resident supernatural being.

Differing apparently from other postpositions in that it requires the preceding noun to appear in its pre-pronominal form (i. e., with final -x if it is provided with it in Scheme II forms) is  $wa'k'i^{\varepsilon}$  without, which would thus seem to occupy a position intermediate between the other postpositions and the pre-positives. Examples are:

ts lelei wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> without eyes 26.14; 27.6 dagax wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> without head yūk!alx wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> without teeth 57.4 nixa wa'k'i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> motherless

As shown by the last example, terms of relationship whose third personal possessive suffix is -xa (-a) use the third personal form as the equivalent of the pre-pronominal form of other nouns (cf. also § 108, 6), a fact that casts a doubt on the strictly personal character of the -xa suffix. No third personal idea is possible, e. g., in maxa  $wa'k'i^c$  eit'e<sup>c</sup> I AM FATHERLESS.  $wak'i^c$  is undoubtedly related to wa with; the  $-k'i^c$  may be identical with the conditional particle (see § 71).

On the border-line between loosely used preposition and independent adverb are nogwa below, down river from (? =  $n\tilde{o}^u$  down river + demonstrative ga that) : nogwa wilī below the house 76.7; and hinwa above, up river from (cf. hina up river) : hi'nwa wilī above the house 77.1.

\$ 96

¹ Properly speaking, ha-bini' is a pre-positive phrase from noun-stem bin- (cf. de-bin first, last, and [?] bilgan-x- breast[? = middle part of body-front]) with characteristic -i-. bee-bin- sun's middle is compounded like, e.g., t'gāa- bok'dan- earth's neck above (§ 93).

# 6. Post-nominal Elements (§§ 97-102)

### § 97. GENERAL REMARKS

Under the head of post-nominal elements are included a small group of suffixes which, though altogether without the distinct individuality characteristic of local postpositions, are appended to the fully formed noun, pronoun, or adjective, in some cases also adverb, serving in one way or another to limit or extend the range of application of one of these denominating or qualifying terms. The line of demarcation between these post-nominal elements and the more freely movable modal particles discussed below (§ 114) is not very easy to draw; the most convenient criterion of classification is the inability of what we have termed Post-NOMINAL elements to attach themselves to verb-forms.

### § 98. EXCLUSIVE -t'a

The suffix -t'a is freely appended to nouns and adjectives, less frequently to pronouns, in order to specify which one out of a number is meant; the implication is always that the particular person, object, or quality mentioned is selected out of a number of alternative and mutually exclusive possibilities. When used with adjectives -t'a has sometimes the appearance of forming the comparative or superlative; e. g., aga (1)  $t!os \cdot \bar{o}'^ut'a$  (2) this (1) is smaller (2), but such an interpretation hardly hits the truth of the matter. The sentence just quoted really signifies this is small (not large like that). As a matter of fact, -t'a is rather idiomatic in its use, and not susceptible of adequate translation into English, the closest rendering being generally a dwelling of the voice on the corresponding English word. The following examples illustrate its range of usage:

hapxit!ī'it'a child male (not female) (i. e., boy) 14.1; 156.8

wa-iwī'it'a gasal yewe'is the-woman to he-turned (i. e., he now proceeded to look at the woman, after having examined her husband) 15.14

maha'it'a a'nī<sup>\varepsilon</sup> gwī na<sup>\varepsilon</sup>naga'<sup>i\varepsilon</sup> the-big (brother) not in-any-way he-did (i. e., the older brother did nothing at all, while his younger brother got into trouble) 23.6; (58.3)

aga wãxat'a xebe'en this his-younger-brother did-it (not he him-self)

k!wa'lt'a younger one 24.1; 58.6

§§ 97-98

 $\bar{a}'k$ 'da dūt'a gī^i-s·i^\varepsilon ī'lts!ak'^w eīt'e^\varepsilonhe (āk') (is) handsome (dū) I-but ugly I-am

*ii's*·*i* nãxdek al-ts!i'lt ā give-me my-pipe red-one (implying others of different color)

waga't'āa di which one?

aga t!os·ō'ut`a ī'daga yaxa maha'it`a this (is) small, that but large (cf 128.7)

 $\bar{v}'daga \ s \cdot \bar{o}^{u\varepsilon} \ maha'it$  a that-one (is) altogether-big (=that one is biggest)

It seems that, wherever possible, -t'a keeps its t' intact. To prevent its becoming -da (as in  $\bar{a}'k'\hat{d}a$  above) an inorganic a seems to be added in:

k!ulsa't'ā\* soft 57.9 (cf. k!u'ls worm; more probably directly from k!ulsa't' 130.22)

### § 99. PLURAL (-t'an, -han, -k!an)

As a rule, it is not considered necessary in Takelma to specify the singularity or plurality of an object, the context generally serving to remove the resulting ambiguity. In this respect Takelma resembles many other American languages. The element -(a)n, however, is not infrequently employed to form a plural, but this plural is of rather indefinite application when the noun is supplied with a third personal possessive suffix (compare what was said above, § 91, in regard to -qwan). The fact that the plurality implied by the suffix may have reference to either the object possessed or to the possessor or to both (e.g., beya'nhan his daughters or their daughter, THEIR DAUGHTERS) makes it very probable that we are here dealing, not with the simple idea of plurality, but rather with that of reciprocity. It is probably not accidental that the plural -(a)n agrees phonetically with the reciprocal element -an- found in the verb. no case is the plural suffix necessary in order to give a word its full syntactic form; it is always appended to the absolute noun or to the noun with its full complement of characteristic and pronominal affix.

The simple form -(a)n of the suffix appears only in the third personal reflexive possessive -gwa-n (see § 91) and, apparently, the third personal possessive -t an of pre-positive local phrases (see p. 238). Many absolute nouns ending in a vowel, or in l, m, or n, also nouns with personal affixes (including pre-positives with possessive suffixes) other than that of the third person, take the form -han of the plural

suffix; the -h- may be a phonetically conditioned rather than morphologically significant element. Examples are:

sīnsan decrepit old woman
ts'!i'xi dog
ya'p!a person 176.1, 12
eī canoe 13.5; 112.3, 5
wik!ūuya'm my friend
wits'!aī my nephew 22.1
bõut'bidit'k' my orphan child
nō'ts!adē neighboring to me
hindē O mother! 186.14

sīnsanhan
ts:'ixi'han
yap!a'han 32.4
eīhan
wik!ū"yû'mhan
wits:'aīhan 23.8, 10; 150.4
bõ"t'bidit'k'han
nō'ts!ade\*han

hindēhan O mothers! 76.10, 13

A large number of chiefly personal words and all nouns provided with a possessive suffix of the third person take -t'an as the plural suffix; the -t'an of local adverbs or nouns with pre-positives has been explained as composed of the third personal suffix -t' and the pluralizing element -han:  $n\bar{o}'ts!\bar{a}^at'an$  his neighbors. In some cases, as in  $wa-w\bar{v}'it'an$  girls 55.16; 106.17, -t'an may be explained as composed of the exclusive -t'a discussed above and the plural -n. The fact, however, that -t'an may itself be appended both to this exclusive -t'a and to the full third personal form of nouns not provided with a pre-positive makes it evident that the -t'a- of the plural suffix -t'an is an element distinct from either the exclusive -t'a or third personal -t'.  $-t'\bar{a}^at'a-n$  is perhaps etymologically as well as phonetically parallel to the unexplained  $-d\bar{a}^ada$  of  $da'k'd\bar{a}^ada$  over him (see §93). Examples of -t'an are:

Plural  $lomt!\bar{\imath}'^i$  old man 112.3, 9; 114.10;  $lomt!\bar{\imath}'^i$ t'an 126.19 mologo'l old woman 168.11; mologo'lt'an 170.10  $wa-iw\bar{\imath}'^{i}$  girl 124.5, 10 wa- $iw\bar{\imath}'^i$ t'an 55.16; 60.2; 106,17  $\bar{a}'i-hi'$  just they (cf. 49.11; 138.11)  $\bar{a}'it$  an they ts:!ixi-maha'i horse ts:!ixi-maha'it'an  $l\bar{o}^u s\bar{\imath}'^i$  his plaything 110.6, 11  $l\bar{o}^{u}s\bar{\imath}'^{i}$ t'an  $m\bar{o}'^u t \bar{a}^a$  his son-in-law  $m\bar{o}'^u t'\bar{a}^a t'$ an  $_{
m their}$ husband<sup>1</sup> 150.22; 152.4, 9 t!ela' louse (116.3, 6) t!elā'at'an  $hapxi-t!\bar{i}'it'\bar{a}^a$  boy 14.6; 156.8, 10  $hapxi-t!\bar{\imath}'it'\bar{a}^a$ t'an 160.14  $(dap!\bar{a}'la-u \text{ youth } 132.13; 190.2)$  $dap!\bar{a}'la$ -ut'an 132.12 lbala'u young bala'ut'an  $w\bar{o}^u n\bar{a}'k'^w$  old 57.1; 168.2  $w\bar{o}^u n\bar{a}' k'^w dan$ 

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  mot's seems to indicate not only the daughter's husband, but also, in perhaps a looser sense, the relatives gained by marriage of the sister.

<sup>\$ 99</sup> 

The plural form -k!an is appended to nouns in  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  and to the third personal -xa(-a) of terms of relationship. As -k!-1 is appended to nouns in  $-l\bar{a}'p'a$  also before the characteristic -i- followed by a possessive suffix, it is clear that -k!an is a compound suffix consisting of an unexplained -k!- and the plural element -(a)n. Examples of -k!an are:

t!īilā'p'ak!an men 128.11; 130.1, 7, 25; 132.17
k'ailā'p'ak!an women 184.13
mologolā'p'ak!an old women 57.14; 128.3, 10 (also mologo'lt'an)
o'pxak!an her elder brothers 124.16, 20; 134.8; 138.7
k'aba'xak!an his, their sons 132.10; 156.14
ma'xak!an their father 130.19, 21; 132.12
t'awāxak!an their younger sister 148.5
k!a'sak!an their maternal grandmother 154.13; 156.8, 15, 18, 21

### § 100. DUAL -dī/

The suffix -dil(-di'l) is appended to a noun or pronoun to indicate the duality of its occurrence, or to restrict its naturally indefinite or plural application to two. It is not a true dual in the ordinary sense of the word, but indicates rather that the person or object indicated by the noun to which it is suffixed is accompanied by another person or object of the same kind, or by a person or object mentioned before or after; in the latter case it is equivalent to AND connecting two denominating terms. Examples illustrating its use are:

 $g\bar{o}^u m d\bar{i}$  we two (restricted from  $g\bar{o}^u m$  we)

gadīl gō<sup>u</sup>m īhēmxinigam we two, that one and I, will wrestle (literally, that-one-and-another [namely, I] we we-shall-wrestle) 30.5

 $sgi'sid\bar{i}$ l two coyotes (literally, coyote-and-another [coyote])  $w\tilde{a}xad\bar{i}$ l two brothers (lit., [he] and his younger brother) 26.12  $sgisi\ ni'xad\bar{i}$ l Coyote and his mother 54.2

The element  $-d\bar{\imath}l$  doubtless occurs as an adjective stem meaning ALL, EVERY, in  $ald\bar{\imath}l$  ALL 134.4 (often heard also as  $ald\bar{\imath}$  47.9; 110.16; 188.1);  $haded\bar{\imath}lt'a$  EVERYWHERE 43.6; 92.29; and  $hat'g\bar{a}^ad\bar{\imath}lt'a$  IN EVERY LAND 122.20.

§ 101. -wi'<sup>ε</sup> every

This element is freely appended to nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, but has no independent existence of its own. Examples are:

 $be^{\epsilon}$ wi'<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> every day (literally, every sun) 42.1; 158.17  $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}n$ wi<sup> $\epsilon$ </sup> every night ( $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}n$ ,  $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}ne$ ' night, at night)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It was found extremely difficult, despite repeated trials, for some reason or other, to decide as to whether -k!- or -g- was pronounced. -k!i- and -k!an may thus be really -gi- and -gan.

 $bixal\ wi^{\epsilon}inwi'^{\epsilon}\ ba-i-wili'^{u\epsilon}\ month\ comes\ after\ month\ (literally,\ moon\ different-each\ out-goes)$ 

gwel- $^{\varepsilon}w\tilde{a}k'wi$ wi $^{\varepsilon}$  every morning (gwel- $^{\varepsilon}w\tilde{a}k'wi^{\varepsilon}$  morning 44.1)  $da-h\bar{o}^{u}xa$ wi $'^{\varepsilon}$  every evening

ha-be e-biniwi'ε every noon

k'aiwi'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> everything, something (k'a-, k'ai- what, thing) 180.5, 6 ada't'wi<sup>\varepsilon</sup> everywhere, to each 30.12; 74.2; 120.13

As illustrated by k'aiwi' $^{\epsilon}$ , the primary meaning of  $-wi^{\epsilon}$  is not so much every as that it refers the preceding noun or adverb to a series. It thus conveys the idea of some in:

 $dal^{\varepsilon}$ wi' $^{\varepsilon}$  sometimes, in regard to some 57.12  $x\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}ne$ wi' $^{\varepsilon}$  sometimes 132.25

With pronouns it means too, as well as others:

 $g\bar{\imath}^i$ wi'<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> I too  $m\bar{a}^a$ wi'<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> you too 58.5

Like -dil,  $-wi^{\varepsilon}$  may be explained as a stereotyped adjectival stem that has developed into a quasi-formal element. This seems to be indicated by the derivative  $wi^{\varepsilon}i'n$  EVERY, DIFFERENT 49.1; 160.20; 188.12.

### § 102. DEICTIC -Eal

It is quite likely that the deictic -<sup>s</sup>a' is etymologically identical with the demonstrative stem a- this, though no other case has been found in which this stem follows the main noun or other word it qualifies. It differs from the exclusive -t'a in being less distinctly a part of the whole word and in having a considerably stronger contrastive force. Unlike -t'a, it may be suffixed to adverbs as well as to words of a more strictly denominative character. Examples of its occurrence are extremely numerous, but only a very few of these need be given to illustrate its deictic character:

 $ma^{\epsilon}$ a' you ([I am ——,] but you ——) 26.3; 56.5; (cf. 49.8, 13)  $maha'i^{\epsilon}$ a' big indeed

ga<sup>e</sup>a' ge wilī'<sup>i</sup> that one's house is there (literally, that-one there his-house [that house yonder belongs to that fellow Coyote, not to Panther, whom we are seeking]) 55.4; cf. 196.19

 $b\bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}$ a' but nowadays (so it was in former days, but now things have changed) 50.1; 194.5

ge'-hi  $g\bar{v}^{i\varepsilon}$ a'  $yok!oya'^{\varepsilon}n$  that-far I-for-my-part know-it (others may know more) 49.13; 154.7

p'i'mea' gayaŭ he ate salmon (nothing else.

# III. The Pronoun (§§ 103–105)

# § 103. Independent Personal Pronouns

The independent personal pronouns of Takelma, differing in this respect from what is found to be true of most American languages, show not the slightest etymological relationship to any of the various pronominal series found incorporated in noun and verb, except in so far as the second person plural is formed from the second person singular by the addition of the element -p' that we have found to be characteristic of every second person plural in the language. The forms, which may be used both as subjects and objects, are as follows:

Singular: First person,  $g\bar{\imath}$  56.10; 122.8; second person, ma' ( $m\bar{a}^a$ ) 26.7; 98.8; third person,  $\tilde{a}k'$  27.5; 156.12. Plural: First person,  $g\tilde{o}^u m$  30.5; 150.16; second person,  $m\tilde{a}p'$ ; third person  $\bar{a}i$  49.11; xilamana' 27.10; 56.1

Of the two third personal plural pronouns,  $\bar{a}i$  is found most frequently used with post-positive elements; e. g.,  $\bar{a}y\bar{a}'^a$  just they (=  $\bar{a}i\ y\bar{a}'^a$ ) 160.6;  $\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ya'$  they (=  $\bar{a}i$ - $^{\varepsilon}a'$ ) 49.11. When unaccompanied by one of these, it is generally pluralized:  $\bar{a}'it'an$  (see § 99). The second, xilamana', despite its four syllables, has not in the slightest yielded to analysis. It seems to be but little used in normal speech or narrative.

All the pronouns may be emphasized by the addition of  $-wi^{\varepsilon}$  (see §101), the deictic  $-^{\varepsilon}a$ ' (see §102), or the post-positive particles  $y\bar{a}'^a$  and enclitic -hi and  $-s'i^{\varepsilon}$  (see § 114, 1, 2, 4):

```
may\bar{a}'^a just you 196.2 ma'hi you yourself \bar{a}ihi' they themselves 104.13 (cf. 152.20) g\bar{\imath}^i s \cdot i'^\epsilon I in my turn 47.14; 188.8; (cf. 61.9)
```

A series of pronouns denoting the isolation of the person is formed by the addition of  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  or  $-da'^{\varepsilon}xi$  (=  $-da^{\varepsilon}x + -hi$ ) to the forms given above:

```
g\bar{\imath}^i da'^{\epsilon}x(i) only I m\bar{a}^a da'^{\epsilon}x(i) you alone \tilde{a}k'da^{\epsilon}x(i) all by himself 61.7; 90.1; 142.20; 144.6 g\bar{o}^u m da'^{\epsilon}x(i) we alone m\tilde{a}p'da^{\epsilon}x(i) you people alone \bar{a}ida'^{\epsilon}x(i) they alone 138.11
```

The third personal pronouns are not infrequently used with preceding demonstratives:

 $h\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}ga$  (or  $\bar{\imath}'daga$ )  $\tilde{a}k'da^{\varepsilon}x$  that one by himself ( $\tilde{a}k'$  used here apparently as a peg for the suffixed element  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  by one's self)  $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$  and  $\bar{\imath}da^{\varepsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$  those people

 $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - and  $\bar{\imath}da$ -, it should be noted, are demonstrative stems that occur only when compounded with other elements.

The independent possessive pronouns (IT IS) MINE, THINE, HIS, OURS, YOURS, are expressed by the possessive forms of the substantival stem ais:- having, belonging, property: a-is·de'k' IT IS MINE 23.2; 154.18, 19, 20; a-is·de'<sup>\varepsilon</sup> Yours; a'-is·da HIS 23.2, 3; (156.7) and so on. These forms, though strictly nominal in morphology, have really no greater concreteness of force than the English translations MINE, THINE, and so on.

## § 104. Demonstrative Pronouns and Adverbs

Four demonstrative stems, used both attributively and substantively, are found: a-, ga,  $\bar{\imath}da$ -, and  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ -. Of these only ga that occurs commonly as an independent word; the rest, as the first elements of composite forms. The demonstratives as actually found are:

Indefinite. ga that 60.5; 61.2; 110.4; 194.4, 5 Near first. a'ga this 44.9; 186.4;  $al\bar{\imath}$  this here 110.2; 188.20 Near second.  $\bar{\imath}'daga$  that 116.22;  $\bar{\imath}dal\bar{\imath}$  that there 55.16 Near third.  $h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ga$  that yonder 186.5;  $h\bar{a}^{\varepsilon}l\bar{\imath}$  that over there

a- has been found also as correlative to ga- with the forms of na(g)DO, SAY:

ana<sup>e</sup>ne'x like this 176.13 (ga-na<sup>e</sup>ne'x that way, thus 114.17; 122.20)

 $ana^{\epsilon}na'^{\epsilon}t'$  it will be as it is now cf. 152.8  $(ga-na^{\epsilon}na'^{\epsilon}t')$  it will be that way)

perhaps also in:

 $ada't'wi^{\varepsilon}$  everywhere (= ada't 'this way, hither [see § 112, 1] + - $wi'^{\varepsilon}$  every) 30.12; 74.2; 120.13

 $\bar{\imath}da$ - (independently 46.5; 47.5; 192.6) seems to be itself a compound element, its first syllable being perhaps identifiable with  $\bar{\imath}$ - hand.  $\bar{\imath}da^{\epsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$  and  $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}\bar{a}'it'an$ , referred to above, are in effect the substantive plurals of  $\bar{\imath}'daga$  and  $h\bar{a}'^{a\epsilon}ga$ .  $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ - as demonstrative pronoun is doubtless identical with the local  $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ - yonder, beyond, found as a prefix in the verb.

By far the most commonly used of the demonstratives is that of indefinite reference, ga. It is used as an anaphoric pronoun to refer to both things and persons of either number, also to summarize a preceding phrase or statement. Not infrequently the translation that or those is too definite; a word of weaker force, like it, better serves the purpose. The association of  $\tilde{\imath}'daga$  and  $h\tilde{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ga$  with spacial positions corresponding to the second and third persons respectively does not seem to be at all strong, and it is perhaps more accurate to render them as that right around there and that yonder. Differing fundamentally in this respect from adjectives, demonstrative pronouns regularly precede the noun or other substantive element they modify:

a'ga sgi'si this coyote 108.1  $i'daga \ yap!a'$  that person  $ga \ ^{\varepsilon}ald\bar{\imath}l$  all that, all of those 47.12

A demonstrative pronoun may modify a noun that is part of a local phrase:

ī'daga hees souma'l beyond that mountain 122.22; 124.1

Corresponding to the four demonstrative pronoun-stems are four demonstrative adverb-stems, derived from the former by a change of the vowel -a- to -e-: e-, ge,  $\bar{\imath}de$ -, and  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -. Just as ga that was found to be the only demonstrative freely used as an independent pronoun, so ge there, alone of the four adverbial stems, occurs outside of compounds. e-,  $\bar{\imath}de$ -, and  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ -, however, are never compounded with ge, as are a-,  $\bar{\imath}da$ -, and  $h\bar{a}^{a\varepsilon}$ - with its pronominal correspondent ga; a fifth adverbial stem of demonstrative force,  $me^{\varepsilon}$  (Hither as verbal prefix), takes its place. The actual demonstrative adverbs thus are:

Indefinite. ge there 64.6; 77.9; 194.11 Near first.  $eme'^{\varepsilon}$  here 112.12, 13; 194.4;  $me^{\varepsilon}$ - hither Near second.  $\bar{v}'deme^{\varepsilon}$  right around there 46.15 Near third.  $he'^{\varepsilon}me^{\varepsilon}$  yonder 31.13

Of these,  $me^{\varepsilon}$ , the correlative of  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ , can be used independently when followed by the local  $-al: me'^{\varepsilon}al$  on this side, hitherwards 58.9; 160.4.  $he^{e\varepsilon}$ - AWAY, besides frequently occurring as a verbal prefix, is found as a component of various adverbs:

 $he^{\epsilon}dada'^{\epsilon}$ ,  $he^{\epsilon}da'^{\epsilon}$  over there, away from here, off 46.8; 194.10  $he'^{\epsilon}ne'$  then, at that time 120.2; 146.6; 162.3  $he'^{\epsilon}da't'$  on that side, toward yonder

 $me^{\epsilon}$ - can be used also with the adverb ge of indefinite reference preceding; the compound, followed by di, is employed in an interrogative sense:  $geme'^{\epsilon}di$  where? when? 56.10; 100.16; 190.25. The idea of direction in the demonstrative adverbs seems less strong than that of position:  $he'^{\epsilon\epsilon}me^{\epsilon}$  baxa'^{\epsilon}m he comes from over there, as well as  $he'^{\epsilon\epsilon}me^{\epsilon}$  gini'^{\epsilon}k' he goes overthere.  $me^{\epsilon}$ - and  $he^{\epsilon\epsilon}$ -( $h\bar{a}^{a\epsilon}$ -), however, often necessarily convey the notions of toward and away from the speaker:  $me'^{\epsilon}$ -yewe<sup>i $\epsilon$ </sup>  $h\bar{a}'^{a\epsilon}$ -yewe<sup>i $\epsilon$ </sup> he came and went back and forth.

Demonstrative adverbs may take the restrictive suffix  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  or  $-daba'^{\varepsilon}x$  (cf.  $-da^{\varepsilon}x$  with personal pronouns, §103):

 $eme^{\varepsilon}da'^{\varepsilon}x$  114.4, 5  $eme^{\varepsilon}daba'^{\varepsilon}x$  114.14 here alone

# § 105. Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns

As independent words, the interrogative and indefinite stems occur with adverbs or adverbial particles, being found in their bare form only when incorporated. The same stems are used for both interrogative and indefinite purposes, a distinction being made between persons and things:

nek' who? some one 86.2, 23; 108.11 k'ai what? something 86.5; 122.3; 128.8

As independent adverb also PERHAPS:

 $k'ai t! \ddot{u}m\ddot{u}xi$  perhaps he'll strike me 23.3

As interrogatives, these stems are always followed by the interrogative enclitic particle di, k'ai always appearing as k'a- when di immediately follows:

ne'k'-di who? 46.15; 86.4; 142.9 k'a'-di what? 47.9; 60.11; 86.8

 $k'a'i \dots di$  occurs with post-positive  $ga^{\epsilon}a'l$ :

k'a'i ga<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup>al di' what for ? why ? 71.15; 86.14; 98.8

As indefinites, they are often followed by the composite particle  $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} w a' k' di$ :

nek'-s·i<sup>ɛ</sup>wa'k'di I don't know who, somebody 22.8 k'ai-s·i<sup>ɛ</sup>wa'k'di I don't know what, something 96.10

As negative indefinites, nek and k'ai are preceded by the negative adverb  $a'n\tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  or wede, according to the tense-mode of the verb (see § 72):

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  ne'k' nobody 63.4; 90.8, 25  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  k'a'i nothing 58.14; 61.6; 128.23  $we'de\ nek'$   $\bar{u}'s'ik'$  nobody will give it to me (cf. 98.10)  $we'de\ k'ai\ \bar{u}'s'dam$  do not give me anything

With the post-nominal  $-wi'^{\varepsilon}$  every, k'ai forms  $k'aiwi'^{\varepsilon}$  everything, something. No such form as  $*nek'wi'^{\varepsilon}$ , however, occurs, its place being taken by  $ald\bar{\imath}l$ ,  $ald\bar{\imath}$  all, everybody. In general, it may be said that k'ai has more of an independent substantival character than nek'; it corresponds to the English thing in its more indefinite sense, e. g., k'a'i gwala many things, everything 96.15; 102.11; 108.8

The adverbial correspondent of k is gwi how? Where? 46.2; 78.5. In itself gwi is quite indefinite in signification and is as such often used with the forms of na(g)- do, act 47.11; 55.7:

gwi'di nagaīt' how are you doing? (e. g., where are you going?) 86.17; (138.25)

As interrogative, it is followed by di:

gwi'di how? where? 44.5; 70.6; 73.9; 190.10

as indefinite, by  $-s i^{\epsilon} wa'k'di$  (cf. 190.4):

gwis'i<sup>e</sup>wa'k'di in some way, somewhere 54.7; 96.8; 120.21 (also gwi'hap' somewhere)

as negative indefinite, it is preceded by  $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  or wede:

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} gw\bar{\imath}^{i}$  in no way, nowhere 23.6; 62.11; 192.14  $we'de \ gwi \ na't'$  do not go anywhere!

As indefinite relative is used gwi/ha wheresoever 140.9, 13, 15, 19.

# IV. The Adjective (§§ 106–109)

# § 106. General Remarks

Adjectives can not in Takelma without further ado be classed as nouns or verbs, as they have certain characteristics that mark them off more or less clearly from both; such are their distinctly adjectival suffixes and their peculiar method of forming the plural. In some respects they closely approach the verb, as in the fact that they are frequently preceded by body-part prefixes, also in the amplification of the stem in the plural in ways analogous to what we have found in the verb. They differ, however, from verbal forms in that they can not be predicatively used (except that the simple form of the adjective may be predicatively understood for an implied third person), nor provided with the pronominal suffixes peculiar to the verb;

a first or second personal relation is brought about by the use of appropriate forms of the copula ei-BE. They agree with the noun and pronoun in being frequently followed by the distinctly denominative exclusive suffix -t'a (see § 98) and in the fact that, when forming part of a descriptive noun, they may take the personal endings peculiar to the noun:

ts:!ixi-maha'it'ek' dog-big-my (=my horse)

As adjectives pure and simple, however, they are never found with the possessive suffixes peculiar to the noun; e. g., no such form as \*maha'it'ek' alone ever occurs. It thus appears that the adjective occupies a position midway between the noun and the verb, yet with characteristics peculiar to itself. The most marked syntactic feature of the adjective is that, unlike a qualifying noun, it always follows the modified noun, even when incorporated with it (see § 93). Examples are:

 $wa-iw\bar{\imath}'^i$   $d\bar{u}$  girl pretty 55.7; 124.5 yap!a  $dald\bar{\imath}'$  person wild 22.14  $sg\bar{\imath}'s\bar{\imath}$   $da-sga'x\bar{\imath}i'$  Coyote sharp-snouted 86.3, 20; 88.1, 11 p'im xu'm yele'x  $deb\bar{u}'^e$  salmon dry burden-basket full (=burden-basket full of dry salmon) 75.10

Rarely does it happen that the adjective precedes, in which case it is to be predicatively understood:

gwa'la yap!a' many (were) the people 180.16 (but ya'p!a gwala' people many 194.10)

Even when predicatively used, however, the adjective regularly follows the noun it qualifies. Other denominating words or phrases than adjectives are now and then used to predicate a statement or command:

 $y\bar{u}'k!alx$  (1) wa'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$  (2), ga (3)  $ga^{\varepsilon}al$  (4) deligia'lt'i (5)  $gw\tilde{a}s$  (6) [as they were] without (2) teeth (1), for (4) that (3) [reason] they brought them as food (5) intestines (6) 130.22  $masi'^{\varepsilon}$  (1)  $al-n\bar{a}^ana'^{\varepsilon}n$  (2)  $naga-ida'^{\varepsilon}$  (3) [do] you in your turn (1)

[dive], since you said (3) "I can get close to him" (2) 61.9

# § 107. Adjectival Prefixes

Probably all the body-part prefixes and also a number of the purely local elements are found as prefixes in the adjective. The material at hand is not large enough to enable one to follow out the prefixes of the adjective as satisfactorily as those of the verb; but

there is no reason to believe that there is any tangible difference of usage between the two sets. Examples of prefixes in the adjective are:

### 1. dak'-.

dak'-maha'i big on top dak'- $d\bar{u}'l^{\epsilon}s$  big-headed

### 2. dāa-.

 $d\bar{a}^a$ -molhi't' red-eared 14.4; 15.12; 96.13  $d\bar{a}^a$ -ho'k'wal with holes in ear 166.13, 19  $d\bar{a}^a$ -maha'i big-cheeked

### 3. s·in-.

s in-ho'k'wal with holes in nose 166.13, 18 s in- $h\ddot{u}'s$  gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6 s in- $p'i'l^{\varepsilon}s$  flat-nosed

### 4. de-.

de-ts'! $\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}$ 't', de-ts'! $\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}$ 'u sharp-pointed 74.13; 126.18 de-t' $\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}$ 'ep' dull de-ewini't' proceeding, reaching to 50.4

### 5. da-.

da-sga'xi(t') long-mouthed 15.13; 86.3; 88.1, 11 da-sguli' short 33.17 da-ho'k'wal holed 176.7 da-maha'i big-holed 92.4 da- $tlos\cdot\bar{o}'^u$  small-holed

# 6. gwen-.

gwen-*xdi'l<sup>s</sup>s* slim-necked gwen-*t'ge'm* black-necked 196.6

### 7. 7-.

 $\bar{i}$ -ts'!o'p'al sharp-clawed 14.4; 15.13; 86.3  $\bar{i}$ - $ge'wa^{\varepsilon}x$  crooked-handed  $\bar{i}$ -k!ok!o'k' ugly-handed

#### 8. xāa-.

xā<sup>a</sup>-maha'i big-waisted, wide xā<sup>a</sup>-xdi'l<sup>e</sup>s slim-waisted, notched 71.15; 75.6

### 9. dīi-.

dīi-k!ēlix conceited

### 10. dī€-.

dī<sup>e</sup>-maha'i big below, big behind 3045°—Bull. 40, pt 2—12——17

di<sup>ε</sup>-k!a`ls lean in rump

### 11. gwel-.

ha-gwel-bila'm empty underneath, like table (cf. ha-bila'm empty)
gwel-ho'k'wal holed underneath 43, 9.

### 12. ha-.

ha-bila'm empty (literally, having nothing inside, cf. bila'm having nothing 43.6, 8, 14)

### 13. sal-.

sal-t!a'i narrow sal-ts:!una'px straight

# 14. al-. (Referring to colors and appearances)

al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162. 4
al-ts:!i'l red
al-t'gu''i\*s' white 55.2; 188.11
al-sgenhi't' black 92.19
al-gwa'si yellow
al-t'gisa'mt' green (participle of t'gisi'\*m it gets green)
al-k!iyī'x-nat' blue (literally, smoke-doing or being)
al-k!ok!o'k' ugly-faced 47.2; 60.5
al-t!e\*s:i't' little-eyed 94.3; (94.6, 14)
al-t'geya'px round
al-t'mila'px smooth

### 15. han-.

han-hogwa'l with hole running through 56.9, 10

A few cases have been found of adjectives with preceding nouns in such form as they assume with pre-positive and possessive suffix:

da'k!oloi-ts'!il red-cheeked gwit!īū-t!a'i slim-wristed

An example of an adjective preceded by two body-part prefixes has already been given (ha-gwel-bila'm). Here both prefixes are coordinate in function (cf. ha-gwel-p!iya', § 95). In:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -sal-gwa'si between-claws-yellow (myth name of Sparrow-Hawk) 166.2

the two body-part prefixes are equivalent to an incorporated local phrase (cf. § 35, 4)

# § 108. Adjectival Derivative Suffixes

A considerable number of adjectives are primitive in form, i. e., not capable of being derived from simpler nominal or verbal stems. Such are:

```
ho's au getting older
maha'i big 23.1; 74.15; 146.3
bus wiped out, destroyed, used up 42.2; 140.19
d\bar{u} good, beautiful 55.7; 58.7; 124.4; 146.6
t'ū hot 57.15; 186.25
p'u'n rotten 140.21
yo't'i alive ([?] yo't' being + enclitic -hi) (128.16)
```

and many others. A very large number, however, are provided with derivative suffixes, some of which are characteristic of adjectives per se, while others serve to convert nouns and pre-positive phrases into adjectives. Some adjectival stems seem capable of being used either with or without a suffix (cf. da-sga'xi and de-ts:!ügü't' above, § 107):

maha'i and maha'it' big al-qwa'si and al-qwa'sit' yellow

1. -(i)t'. Probably the most characteristic of all adjectival suffixes is -(i)t', all -t' participles (see § 76) properly belonging here. Non-participial examples are:

```
al-gwa'sit' yellow
al-sgenhi't' black 92.19
al-t!ees:i't' little-eyed 94.3
(?) ha'nt' half ([?] cf. han-through) 146.22; 154.9; 192.7
t!oīt' one-horned 46.7; 47.7; 49.3.
d\bar{a}^a-molhi't' red-eared 14.4; 15.12; 88.2; 96.13
de-ts: !üqü't' sharp-pointed 126.18
k!ulsa't' soft (food) (cf. k!u'ls worm) 130.22
p!ala'k'wa-qoyō'ut' eīt'e<sup>e</sup> I am story-doctor (cf. qoyo' shaman)
```

2. -al. Examples of adjectives with this suffix are:

```
ī-ts: !o' p'al sharp-clawed 14.4; 86.3 (cf. de-ts: !üqü't' sharp-pointed;
  for -p'-: -q- cf. § 42, 1, 6)
t!\bar{\imath}'t'al thin
(?) d\tilde{e}hal five ([?] = being in front 2) 150.19, 20; 182.21
s·in-ho'k'wal with holes in nose 166.13, 18; (56.9; 166.19; 176.7)
s·in-hü's·gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6
h\bar{\imath}'p al flat
(mi'xal how much, how many (used interrogatively and relatively)
   100.8: 182.13
mixa'lha numerous, in great numbers 92.28; 94.1
```

<sup>1</sup> A few adjectives in -am (=-an) are distinctly nominal in appearance; bila'm HAVING NOTHING; xila'm SICK (but also as noun, DEAD PERSON, GHOST). It hardly seems possible to separate these from nouns like heela'm BOARD; ts:!ela'm HAIL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, p. 266.

3. -di. A few adjectives have been found with this suffixed element: hapsdi' little 192.6;  $h\bar{a}'p'$ di 24.12; 60.15; 61.5 (cf.  $h\bar{a}^apxi'$  child

128.16)
yap!a daldi' wild man (cf. dal- in the brush) 22.14
gama'xdi raw 94.3, 6; 144.5; 182.4
gweldi' finished (cf. gwel- leg) 34.1; 79.8; 94.18

4. -ts!- (- $^cs$ ). In a small number of adjectives this element is doubtless to be considered a suffix:

ī'lts!ak' bad, ugly 182.1; 186.22; 198.4 (cf. pl. īlea'lsak' s) s·in-p'i'les flat-nosed xā-xdi'les slim-waisted 71.15; 75.6 (cf. inferential passive xā-ī-xdi'lxdalk' am they have been notched in several places)

A few adjectives in -s, evidently morphologically connected with the scattering nouns in -s, also occur:

gūms blind 26.14 bāls long 14.5; 33.16; 158.1 s·uñs· thick 90.3

5. -(a)x. This suffix disappears in the plural (see below, § 109), so that no room is left for doubt as to its non-radical character. Whether it is to be identified with the non-agentive -x of the verb is somewhat uncertain, but that such is the case is by no means improbable; in some cases, indeed, the adjective in -x is connected with a verb in -x. The -a'px of some of the examples is without doubt composed of the petrified -b- found in a number of verbs (see § 42, 1) and the adjectival (or non-agentive) -x.

al-t'geya'px round (cf. al-t'geye'px it rolls) sal-ts'!una'px straight da-ts'!\(\tilde{a}mx\) sick 90.12, 13, 21; 92.5; 150.16 al-t'mila'px smooth da-p'o'a\(^{\varepsilon}x\) crooked (cf. p'owo'\(^{\varepsilon}x\) it bends) \(\tilde{\varepsilon}\) i-ge'wa\(^{\varepsilon}x\) crooked-handed

More transparently derivational in character than any of those listed above are the following adjectival suffixes:

6. -gwat' having. Adjectival forms in -gwa't' are derived partly by the addition of the adjectival suffix -(a)t' to third personal reflexive possessive forms in -'t'gwa (-xagwa), or to palatalized passive participial forms in -'k'w, themselves derived from nouns (see § 77), partly by the addition of -gwa't' to nouns in

their pre-pronominal form (-x). The fact that these various -gwa't' forms, despite their at least apparent diversity of origin, clearly form a unit as regards signification, suggests an ultimate identity of the noun reflexive -gwa (and therefore verbal indirect reflexive -gwa-) with the passive participial -k'w. The -gwa- of forms in -x--gwat' is not quite clear, but is perhaps to be identified with the comitative -gwa- of the verb. An adjective like  $y\bar{u}'k!al$ -x--gwat' teeth-having presents a parallelism to a verbal participle like dak'-lim-x--gwa- $de^e$  i am with it falling over one (from a orist dak'-lim- $\bar{u}m$ -x--gwa- $de^e$  i am with it falling over me, see § 46) that is suggestive of morphologic identity. Examples of -gwa't' adjectives are:

waya'uxagwat' having daughter-in-law 56.10 (cf. waya'uxagwa her own daughter-in-law)

t'gwana't'gwat' slave-having (cf. t'gwana't'gwa his own slave)
Da-t'ān-elā'a't'gwat' Squirrel-Tongued (literally, in-mouth squirrel
his-tongue having [name of Coyote's daughter]) 70.6; 72.4;
75.11

ni'xagwat' mother-having (cf. ni'xak'w mothered)
me'xagwat' father-having (cf. me'xak'w fathered)
k'eielè'p'igigwat' wife-having (cf. k'eielè'p'igik'w wived 142.6)
gūuxgwa't' wife-having 128.4 (cf. gūu-x-de'k' my wife 142.9)
dagaxgwa't' head-having (cf. da'g-ax-dek' my head 90.13)
ts:!u'lxgwat' having Indian money (cf. ts:!u'lx Indian money
14.13)

A form with -gwat and the copula ei- (for persons other than the third) takes the place in Takelma of the verb have:

ts:!u'lxgwat'  $e\overline{\imath}t'e^{\varepsilon}$  I have money (literally money-having or moneyed I-am

ts:!ulx-gwa't' he has money

Aside from the fact that it has greater individuality as a distinct phonetic unit, the post-positive  $wa'k'^{i\varepsilon}$  without is the morphologic correlative of -gwat' HAVING:

dagax wa'k'i<sup>ɛ</sup> eīt' head without you-are da'gaxgwat' eīt' head-having you-are

Similarly:

nixa wa'k'i<sup>e</sup> eīt'e<sup>e</sup> mother without I-am ni'xagwat' eīt'e<sup>e</sup> mother-having I-am

<sup>1</sup> The fact that this form has a body-part prefix (da- mouth) seems to imply its verbal (participial) character. -t'gwat' in it, and forms like it, may have to be analyzed, not as -t'gwa his own+-t', but rather as -t' his+-gwa- having+-t'. In other words, from a noun-phrase t'ān clā'a (older clā'at') squirrel his. Tongue may be theoretically formed a comitative intransitive with prefix: \*da-t'ān-clā'at'-gwade' i am having squirrel's tongue in my mouth, of which the text-form is the participle. This explanation has the advantage over the one given above of putting forms in -'t'gwat' and -xgwat' on one line; cf. also 73.15.

7. -imik!i. A few adjectives have been found ending in this suffix formed from temporal adverbs:

 $hop!\bar{e}^{\varepsilon}nimik!i$  (men) of long ago 168.1 ( $hop!\bar{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  long ago 58.4, 7, 11)  $b\bar{o}^{u\bar{s}}$ i'mik!i (people) of nowadays ( $b\tilde{o}^u$  now 188.8; 194.5)

8. -(i)k!i. This suffix, evidently closely related to the preceding one, forms adjectives (with the signification of BELONGING TO, ALWAYS BEING) from local phrases. Examples are:

ha-wilī'yik!i belonging to good folks, not "common" (from ha-wilī in the house)

 $x\bar{a}^a$ - $b\bar{e}m$ ik!i<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> being between sticks

ha-bami'sik!is dwelling in air

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -da'nik!i belonging between rocks (e. g., crawfish)

dak'-p!i'yak!i<sup>\varepsilon</sup> staying always over the fire

ha-p!i'yak!i<sup>ε</sup> belonging to fire

9.  $-\varepsilon xi$ . A few adjectival forms in  $-\varepsilon xi$ , formed from local phrases, seem to have a force entirely coincident with adjectives in-(i)k!i:

 $ha-p!i'ya^{\epsilon}xi$  belonging to fire

ha-xi'ya<sup>\varepsilon</sup>xi mink (literally, always staying in the water [from ha-xiya' in the water 33.4])

10. - vivia. This suffix seems to be used interchangeably with -(i)k!i and  $-\varepsilon xi$ . Examples are:

ha-bami'sa<sup>ε</sup>ī'ixi<sup>ε</sup> belonging to the air, sky  $x\bar{a}^a$ - $da'ni^{\epsilon}\bar{i}'^i$ xi $^{\epsilon}$  belonging between rocks ha-wili $^{\varepsilon}i'i$ xi belonging to the house ha-xi'ya i'xi belonging to the water ha-p!iya<sup>e</sup>ī'ixi belonging to fire

The following forms in  $-\varepsilon i i x i$ , not derived from local phrases, doubtless belong with these:

 $ge^{\epsilon}$ i'ixi belonging there 160.24

goyo<sup>ε</sup>ī'ixi belonging to shamans (used to mean: capable of wishing ill, supernaturally doing harm, to shamans) 170.11

# § 109. Plural Formations

A few adjectives form their plural or frequentative by reduplication:

Singular  $de-b\ddot{u}'^{\ddot{u}\varepsilon}$  full 49.14; .116.5

ī'lts!ak'w bad 182.1; 198.4

maha'i large 23.1; 74.15

 $de-b\ddot{u}^{\varepsilon}ba'x$  (dissimilated from  $-b\ddot{u}^{\varepsilon}ba^{\varepsilon}x$ ) 122.17

īl<sup>ɛ</sup>a'lsak'w (dissimilated from  $\bar{\imath}l^{\varepsilon}alts!-)$ 

mahmī 32.15; 49.10; 130.4

§ 109

§ 109

Of these, the first two are clearly verbal in type. The probably non-agentive -x of de-bü'ba'x (also singular de-bü'ūɛx from \*de-bü'ūk!-x [cf. de-bū'ūk!in i shall fill it]) and the apparently passive participial -ak'w of ī'lts!ak'w strongly suggest that the first two of these adjectives are really adjectivally specialized verb-forms. mahmī is altogether irregular in type of reduplication. t!os·ō'u little 56.15; 74.16 forms its plural by the repetition of the second consonant after the repeated vowel of the singular: dak!oloi-t!os·ū's·gwat' he has small cheeks. In regard to t'ūt' 170.18, the plural of t'ū hot 57.15, it is not certain whether the -t' is the repeated initial consonant, or the -t' characteristic of other adjective plurals.

Most adjectives form their plural by repeating after the medial consonant the vowel of the stem, where possible, and adding to the amplified stem the element -it' (probably from -hit', as shown by its treatment with preceding fortis), or, after vowels, -t'it'; a final non-radical -(a)x disappears in the plural. ho's au Getting Bigger (with inorganic -a-) forms its plural by the repetition of the stem-vowel alone, hos  $\tilde{o}^u$  156.11; 158.11; similar is  $du^e\tilde{u}$  58.10 which seems to be the plural of  $d\tilde{u}$  pretty 58.8. yo't'i ([?] yot'-hi) alive forms the plural yot'i'hi ([?] yot'i-hi) 128.16. Examples of the peculiarly adjectival plural in -(t')it' are:

Singular Plural al-t'geya'px round al-t'geye'p'it' al-t'mila'px smooth al-t'mili'p'it' sal-ts:!una'px straight sal-ts:!u'nup'it' sal-t!a'i narrow sal-t!a'yat'it' da-p'o'a $\varepsilon x$  crooked (= -ak!-x) gwit'-p'o'ock'it' crookedarmed ī-ge'we eek'it' *ī-ge'wa<sup>ε</sup>x* crooked-handed (= -ak!-x; cf. aorist gewek!aw- carry [salmon] bowfashion) de-ts:!ügü't' sharp-pointed 126.18 de-ts:!ügühit` de-t' $\ddot{u}l\ddot{u}'^{\varepsilon}p'$  dull de-t'ülü'ɛp'it' da'k!oloi-ts:!i'lit'it' he has red al-ts:/i'l red cheeks al-t'gu'i $\varepsilon$ s white 55.2; 188.11 da'k!oloi-t`guyu<sup>ε</sup>s'it` he has white cheeks al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162.4 da'k!oloi-t'ge'met'it' he has black cheeks  $b\tilde{a}ls \log 14.5$ ; 15.12, 15  $s in \tilde{\imath} x d\bar{a}^a t'an b\bar{a}^a la' sit'$ noses are long

That these plurals are really frequentative or distributive in force is illustrated by such forms as  $da'k!oloi-ts\cdot !i'lit'it'$  RED-CHEEKED, which has reference not necessarily to a plurality of persons affected, but to the frequency of occurrence of the quality predicated, i. e., to the redness of both cheeks.

# V. Numerals (§§ 110, 111)

## § 110. Cardinals

Cardinals Adverbs 1.  $m\tilde{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}sga^{\varepsilon}13.2;\ 192.8;\ m\tilde{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}s$   $mii^{\tilde{u}\varepsilon}xda$ 'n once 182.20; 188.13

 $q\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m\hat{u}n$  twice

ha e īmi'ts!ada'n

ha<sup>ε</sup>īgā'<sup>ε</sup>mada'n

 $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}a\bar{o}^{u}aada'n$ 

gamga'man

 $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}xinda$ 'n

ixdīlda'n

dehaldan.

xi'nt'

188.9

2.  $\begin{cases} g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m \ 22.7; \ 110.11 \\ g\bar{a}'p!ini^{`1} 55.7,12; \ 116.1 \end{cases}$ 

3. xi'bini` 150.8

4. gamga'm 148.5; 184.17

5. dēhal 150.19, 20; 182.21

6.  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}m\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}s$  150.12

7.  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$ 

8.  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}xi$ 'n

9. haεīgo' 150.14

10. i'xdīl 13.1; 150.5; 182.22

11.  $i'xd\bar{\imath}l \ m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}sga^{\varepsilon} \ gada'k'$  ten one on-top-of

12. i'xdīl gā'⁵m gada`k`

20. yap!ami'εs 182.23

30. xi'n ixdīl

40. gamga'mûn ixdī'l

50. dēhaldan ixdī'l

60. ha<sup>ɛ</sup>īmi'ts!adan ixdī'l

70. ha<sup>ε</sup>īgā' <sup>ε</sup>madan ixdī'l

80.  $ha^{\varepsilon}$ īxi'ndan ixdī'l

90. haʻʻigogada'n ixdi`l

100. t!eimi'es 23.2, 4, 9, 12, 13

200.  $g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m\hat{u}n\ t!eimi'^{\varepsilon}s$ 

300.  $xin\ t!eimi'^{\varepsilon}s$ 

400. gamga'mûn t!eimi's

1,000. i'xdīldan t!eimi'⁵s

 $2,000.\ yap!ami'ts!adan\ t!eimi'^es$ 

 $m\tilde{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}sga^{\varepsilon}$  is the usual uncompounded form of one. In compounds the simpler form  $m\tilde{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}s$  (stem  $m\tilde{\imath}ts!$ -) occurs as the second element:

 $ha^{\epsilon i}mi'^{\epsilon s}$  six (= one [finger] in the hand)  $yap!ami'^{\epsilon s}$  twenty (= one man)

¹ Often heard as  $g\bar{a}'p^{\epsilon}ini$  55.2, 5.

 $t!eimi'^{\varepsilon}s$  one hundred (probably = one male  $[t!\bar{\imath}^{i}-]$ )  $me^{\varepsilon}l$   $t'g\bar{a}^{a}-mi'^{\varepsilon}s$  crows earth-one (=land packed full of crows) 144.9, 11, 12, 13  $de^{\varepsilon}m\bar{\imath}'^{\varepsilon}s$  in-front-one (=marching in single file)  $almi'^{\varepsilon}s$  all together 92.23, 24; 190.17

Of the two forms for two,  $q\bar{a}'p!ini'$  seems to be the more frequently used, though no difference of signification or usage can be traced. gā'p!ini' two and xi'bini' three are evident compounds of the simpler  $q\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$  and xi'n (seen in  $ha^{\varepsilon_{\overline{i}}}xi'n$  eight) and an element -bini' that is perhaps identical with -bini' of ha'-bini' in the MIDDLE. gamga'm four is evidently reduplicated from  $g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$  two, the falling accent of the second syllable being probably due to the former presence of the catch of the simplex. An attempt has been made<sup>1</sup> to explain dehal five as an adjectival form in -al derived from dee-IN FRONT. The numerals SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, and NINE are best considered as morphologically verbs provided with the compound prefix  $ha^{\epsilon_{\bar{i}}}$ - in the hand (see § 35, 4), and thus strictly signifying one (FINGER) IS IN THE HAND; TWO, THREE, FOUR (FINGERS) ARE IN THE HAND. No explanation can be given of -go' in hasīgo' NINE, except that it may be an older stem for FOUR, later replaced, for one reason or another, by the composite gamga'm two + two.  $i'xd\bar{\imath}l$  ten is best explained as compounded of  $\bar{\imath}$ -x- hand (but why not  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}x$ - as in  $\bar{\imath}\bar{u}x$ -de'k' MY HAND?) and the dual -d $\bar{\imath}$ 'l, and as being thus equivalent to TWO HANDS.

It thus seems probable that there are only three simple numeral stems in Takelma,  $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\bar{\epsilon}}s$  one,  $g\bar{a}'^{\epsilon}m$  two, and xi'n three. All the rest are either evident derivations from these, or else  $(d\bar{\epsilon}hal)$  probably and  $i'xd\bar{\imath}l$  certainly) descriptive of certain finger-positions. While the origin of the Takelma system may be tertiary or quinary (if -go' is the original stem for four and  $d\bar{\epsilon}hal$  is a primary element), the decimal feeling that runs through it is evidenced both by the break at ten and by the arrangement of the numerals beyond ten.

The teens are expressed by Ten one above (i. e., ten over one), Ten Two above; and so on.  $ga^sa'l$  thereto may be used instead of gada'k' over. Twenty is one man, i. e., both hands and feet. One hundred can be plausibly explained as equivalent to one male person.<sup>2</sup> The other tens, i. e., thirty to ninety inclusive, are expressed by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> American Anthropologist, loc. cit., where five is explained as being in front, on the basis of the method of fingering used by the Takelma in counting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Loc. cit.

multiplication, the appropriate numeral adverb preceding the word for ten.  $xi'n\,ixd\bar{\imath}l$  THIRTY, however, uses the original cardinal xin, instead of the numeral adverb xint'. The hundreds (including two hundred and one thousand) are similarly expressed as multiplications of one hundred ( $t!eimi'^es$ ), the numeral adverbs (xin instead of xi'nt' in three hundred) preceding  $t!eimi'^es$ . Numerals above one thousand (=10×100) can hardly have been in much use among the Takelma, but can be expressed, if desired, by prefixing the numeral adverbs derived from the tens to  $t!eimi'^es$ ; e. g.,  $d\bar{\imath}haldan\,ixd\bar{\imath}ldan\,t!eimi'^es$   $5\times10\times100=5,000$ .

As far as the syntactic treatment of cardinal numerals is concerned, it should be noted that the plural of the noun modified is never employed with any of them:

wa-iwī'i gāp!ini girl two (i. e., two girls) 55.2, 5, 7, 12 (wa-iwī'i-t'an girls 56.11)

mologolā' p'a gā' p!ini old-woman two 26.14 (mologolā' p'ak!an old women 138.10)

 $h\bar{a}'p'da~g\bar{a}'p!ini$  his child two 154.17 ( $h\bar{a}'pxda$  his children)

Like adjectives, attributive numerals regularly follow the noun.

## § 111. Numeral Adverbs

The numeral adverbs denoting so and so many times are derived from the corresponding cardinals by suffixing -an (often weakened to  $-\hat{u}n$ ) to  $g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$  two and its derivative gamga'm four; -t, to xin three; -da'n, to other numerals (-ada'n), to those ending in  $-\varepsilon m$  and  $-ts! - \varepsilon s$ .  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{i}g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$  seven and  $ha^{\varepsilon}\bar{i}xi'n$  fight, it will be observed, do not follow  $g\bar{a}'^{\varepsilon}m$  and xin in the formation of their numeral adverbs, but add -(a)da'n.

It is not impossible that  $m\ddot{u}^{i\bar{\nu}}x$ - in  $m\ddot{u}^{i\bar{\nu}}xda^{\prime}n$  once is genetically related and perhaps dialectically equivalent to  $m\bar{\imath}^{i\bar{\nu}}s$ -, but no known grammatic or phonetic process of Takelma enables one to connect them.  $ha^{\bar{\nu}}\bar{\imath}g\bar{\sigma}^{u}qada^{\prime}n$  nine times seems to insert a -ga- between the cardinal and the adverbial suffix -dan. The most plausible explanation of the form is its interpretation as nine ( $ha^{\bar{\nu}}\bar{\imath}go^{\prime}$ ) that (ga) number-of-times (-da'n), the demonstrative serving as a peg to hang the suffix on.

From the numeral adverbs are derived, by prefixing ha- in, a further series with the signification of in so and so many places:

ha-gā'smûn in two places ha-gamgama'n 176.2, 3 in four places ha-hasīgōugada'n in nine places Cardinals with prefixed ha- are also found, apparently with an approximative force, e. g., ha-dēhal ABOUT FIVE 194.2.

No series of ordinal numerals could be obtained, and the probability is strong that such a series does not exist. debi'n occurs as first (e. g., wili debi'n-hi first house), but may also mean last 49.2; 150.15, a contradiction that, in view of the probable etymology of the word, is only apparent. debi'n is evidently related to ha-bini' in the middle, and therefore signifies something like in front of the middle; i. e., at either end of a series, a meaning that comports very well with the renderings of both first and last. It is thus evident that no true ordinal exists for even the first numeral.

# VI. Adverbs and Particles (§§ 112-114)

A very large number of adverbs and particles (some of them simple stems, others transparent derivatives, while a great many others still are quite impervious to analysis) are found in Takelma, and, particularly the particles, seem to be of considerable importance in an idiomatically constructed sentence. A few specifically adverbial suffixes are discernible, but a large number of unanalyzable though clearly non-primitive adverbs remain; it is probable that many of these are crystallized noun or verb forms now used in a specialized adverbial sense.

# § 112. Adverbial Suffixes

Perhaps the most transparent of all is:

1. -da't'. This element is freely added to personal and demonstrative pronouns, adverbs or verbal prefixes, and local phrases, to impart the idea of direction from or to, more frequently the former. Examples of its occurrence are:

gī'da't' in my direction (gī I)

wadēdat' from my side (wadē to me)

ada't' on, to this side 112.17; 144.2

ī'dada't' in that direction, from that side (īda- that)

hā'aēda't' from yonder (hāaē- that yonder)

gwi'dat' in which direction? 190.18 (gwi how? where?)

geda't' from there 144.8

eme'ēdat' from here

me'ēda't' hitherwards 32.10, 11; 55.3 (meē- hither)

he'ēdat' thitherwards (heē- away)

nōu'da't' from down river 23.9 (nōu down river)

handa't' (going) across (han-across) 30.4; 31.16  $h\bar{a}^a nda$ da't' from across (the river) (ha'nda across it) 112.17; 114.17 habamda't' from above (ha- in + bam- up) haxiya'dat' from water on to land (ha-xiya' in the water) dak'-wilī'dat' from on top of the house (dak'-wilī over the house) 27.5; 62.5

gwen-t' $g\bar{a}^a$ - bo'k'dandada't' from the east (gwen-t' $g\bar{a}^a$ -bo'k'danda east) 144.23; (cf. 146.1)

More special in use of -dat' are:

honõxdat' last year (honõx some time ago) dewe'nxada't' day after to-morrow (dewe'nxa to-morrow) dee'da't' first, before others 110.5

2. -xa. A fairly considerable number of adverbs, chiefly temporal in signification, are found to end in this element. Such are:

 $h\bar{o}^{u}$ xa' yesterday 76.9; 98.21  $da-h\bar{o}^{u}$ xa' this evening 13.3; 16.15; 63.8; 78.4 dabalni'xa for a long time (cf.  $b\bar{a}l$ -s long and lep'ni'xa in winter) 54.4; 108.16 ya'xa continually, only, indeed (cf. post-positive  $y\bar{a}'^{a}$  just) 54.5;

63.3; 78.10 dewe'nxa to-morrow 77.14; 112.15; 130.17; 194.1

dap!a'xa toward daylight, dawn 45.4

de'exa henceforth (cf. de- in front of) 196.5

sama'xa in summer (cf. sa'ma summer 188.13; verb-stem sam-gbe summer 92.9) 162.16; 176.13, 15

lep'ni'xa in winter 162.20; 176.15

 $d\hat{e}$ -bixi'msa ([?]=-t-xa) in spring ([?] cf. bi'xal moon)

da- $y\bar{o}^u ga'm$ xa in autumn 186.3

ts:!i's:a ([?]=-t-xa) at night 182.20

xamī'ixa by the ocean (cf. xam- into water) 21.1; 55.1

(?)  $b\bar{o}^u$ - $n\bar{e}$ xa- $da^e$  soon, immediately (cf.  $b\tilde{o}^u$  now and  $ne^e$  well! or  $na^{-1}$  do) 90.10; 108.2

(?)  $da^{\varepsilon}ma'$  xaufar away (for  $da^{\varepsilon}$ - cf.  $da^{\varepsilon}$ -o'l near) 14.3; 188.21; 190.6

In tep'ni'x 90.6, a doublet of tep'ni'xa, -xa appears shortened to -x; this -x may be found also in  $hon\tilde{o}x$  some time ago (cf.  $hono'^{\varepsilon}$  again). Here perhaps belongs also da-yawa'nt!i-xi (adjectival?) IN HALF, on one side (of two) 94.3.

It will be noticed that a number of these adverbs are provided with the prefix da- (de- before palatal vowels, cf. § 36, 2), the application of which, however, in their case, can not be explained.

3. -ne'. A number of adverbs, chiefly those of demonstrative signification, assume a temporal meaning on the addition of -ne', a

catch intervening between the suffix and the stem. Etymologically -ne may be identical with the hortatory particle ne well, let (us) ——.

Adverb Temporal  $he^{\epsilon}$  ne' then, at that time 45.6;  $he^{e\epsilon}$ - there yonder 49.14  $ge^{\varepsilon}$ ne' so long 92.10; 198.9 ge there 14.3; 15.5, 12  $me^{\varepsilon}$ - hither  $me^{\varepsilon}$ nee at this time 24.14 (cf. also  $ma^{\varepsilon}nai$  around this time 178.4)  $eme^{\varepsilon}$ ne  $(y\bar{a}'^a-hi)$  (right) here ([?]=  $e'me^{\epsilon}$  here 31.3; 192.9 now) 190,23 gwi'<sup>ε</sup>ne some time (elapsed), how qwi how? 46.2; 78.5 long? 44.2; 48.9; 148.7

To this set probably belong also:

 $x\bar{u}^{\epsilon}$ n,  $x\bar{u}'^{\epsilon}$ ne' at night, night 45.3; 46.12; 48.10; 160.22  $b\bar{e}^{\epsilon}$ n by day 166.2 (cf.  $b\bar{e}$  sun, day)  $hop!\bar{e}^{\epsilon}$ n long ago 58.4; 86.7, 9; 192.15; 194.4  $x\bar{a}^{\epsilon}$ ne $wi'^{\epsilon}$  sometimes 132.25  $b\bar{o}^{u}$ nē now, yet 130.23 (cf.  $b\bar{o}^{u}$  now)

 $\tilde{\imath}'de^{\varepsilon}ne$ , which the parallelism of the other forms in -ne with de, monstrative stems leads one to expect, does not happen to occurbut probably exists. Curiously enough,  $he'^{\varepsilon}ne$  not infrequently may be translated as LIKE, particularly with preceding k'ai (§ 105):

 $k'a'i\ he^{\epsilon}ne\ b\bar{\epsilon}m$  something like wood 186.11  $k'ai\ gwala\ he'^{\epsilon}ne$  like various things 196.3

A number of other adverbial suffixes probably occur, but the examples are not numerous enough for their certain determination. Among them is -ada:

 $n\bar{o}^u gwada$ ' some distance down river 54.2 (cf.  $n\tilde{o}^u$  down river and  $n\bar{o}^u gwa$ ' down river from 75.14)

hinwada' some distance up river 56.4; 100.18; 102.4 (cf. hina'u up river and hinwa' up river from 77.1)

ha'nt'ada across the river 98.5; 192.3; (cf. ha'nt' across, in half) Several adverbs are found to end in  $-(da)da^{\epsilon}$ , perhaps to be identified with the  $-da^{\epsilon}$  of subordinate verb-forms:

 $b\bar{o}^u$ - $n\bar{e}xada^{\epsilon}$  immediately 90.10, 12; 108.2  $he^{\epsilon}(da)da'^{\epsilon}$  away from here 92.5; 172.5; 194.10; 196.11

gwel-ewâk'wie Early in the morning 44.1; 63.9; 77.14; 190.1 seems to be a specialized verb-form in  $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  if, whenever. It is possible that there is an adverbial -t' suffix:

gwe'nt' in back, behind 94.15 ha'nt' across, in half 146.22; 154.9; 192.7 It may be that this -t' has regularly dropped off when final in polysyllables:

 $da^{\varepsilon}o'l$  near 100.15; but  $da^{\varepsilon}o'lt'i$  (=  $da^{\varepsilon}o'l[t']+-hi$ ) 136.7

# § 113. Simple Adverbs

The simple adverbs that are closely associated with demonstrative stems have been already discussed (§ 104). A number of others, partly simple stems and partly unanalyzable derivatives, are listed here, such as have been already listed under adverbial suffixes not being repeated.

### 1. Local adverbs:

 $n\tilde{o}^{u}$  down river 17.9; 63.1; 124.15  $n\tilde{o}'^{u\varepsilon}s$ : next door ([?] related to  $n\tilde{o}^{u}$ ) 17.4; 188.2 hina'u up river ([?] compounded with  $n\tilde{o}^{u}$ ) 22.7; 23.1; 61.13; 192.14  $da^{\varepsilon}-o'l$  near (cf. -t', § 112, and see § 93) 100.15; 102.6; 126.2  $dihau(y\bar{a}'^{a})$  last of all (see § 93) 120.18  $g\bar{v}'^{i\varepsilon}wa$  far off 48.8; 192.1 aba'i in the house (cf. § 37, 14) 28.8; 43.13; 140.5  $h\bar{a}'^{a\varepsilon}ya'$  on both sides, mutually (cf. § 37, 5) 172.10; 176.6

### 2. Temporal adverbs:

 $b\tilde{o}^{u}$  now, to-day 49.13; 50.1; 56.11; 61.11 ha'wi still, yet (cf. § 37, 9) 78.1; 126.21; 192.8; 198.11  $b\tilde{o}^{u}n\tilde{e}\ hawi$   $ha'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne'$  soon 128.18  $ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne'$  soon 128.18  $ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne'$  soon 128.18  $ba'wi\ b\tilde{o}^{u}ne'$  how formerly, up to now 43.11; 63.1; 71.15; 166.2 bemdi' when ? 132.24;  $a'n\tilde{v}^{e}\ hem$  never  $m\tilde{v}^{i}$  now, already (often proclitic to following word) 22.4; 63.1; 190.9

 $gan\bar{e}$  then, and then (often used merely to introduce new statement) 47.14; 63.1, 2, 16

A noteworthy idiomatic construction of adverbs or phrases of temporal signification is their use as quasi-substantives with forms of  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\imath}^i$ - become. Compare such English substantivized temporal phrases as AFTERNOON. Examples are:

sama'xa lãp'k' in-summer it-has-become 92.11
hayeewa'xdāa'da lāalē' in-their-returning it-became (=it became
time for them to return) 124.15

 $hab\bar{e}bini\ diha'$ -uda  $l\bar{a}^a l\bar{\iota} t'a^{\varepsilon}$  noon after-it when-it-became (= when it was afternoon) 186.8

# 3. Negative and affirmative adverbs:

hīt' no 134.19, 21

ha'-u yes 24.13; 64.1; 170.12

 $a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  not (with aorist) 23.3, 6; 64.3; 78.1

a'ndi not? 56.10; 90.26 (e.g., a'ndi k'ai are there not any?) 56.8  $n\bar{\imath}^i$  not? (with following subordinate): s- $n\bar{\imath}'^i$  naga'sbinda<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> didn't I tell you? 136.10

naga-di' do (you) not? 116.12

wede not (with inferential and potential) 25.13; 122.22, 23

### 4. Modal adverbs:

hono' (rarely heard as hono 14.8; this is very likely its original form, cf. - n for - ne, 112, 3) again, too, also 22.4; 58.5; 134.1

ganga only 54.4; 94.5; ganga'-hi anyhow 94.8; 142.13; ganga-s· $i'^{\varepsilon}$  just so, for fun

wana' even 47.10; 61.3; 71.8; 76.4; 186.2

 $yax\bar{a}'^awa$  however (cf. yaxa, § 114, 9; for -wa cf.  $g\bar{\imath}'^{i\epsilon}wa$ , § 113, 1) 72.11; 74.15

ha'ga explanatory particle used with inferential 28.10; 45.11 (e. g., ga haga  $wa'la^{\varepsilon}$  yu'k' so that one was really he 170.8)

nak!a' in every way, of all sorts (e.g., k'adi' nak!a ea'nī īgī'inan what kind was not taken?, i. e., every kind was taken 60.11)

yewē perhaps 136.23; 180.8; 196.18

 $s \cdot o^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $s \cdot \bar{o}^{u\varepsilon}$  perfectly, well 136.20; 166.1 (e. g.,  $s \cdot o'^{\varepsilon}$   $de^{\varepsilon}gwa'lt'gw\bar{\imath}^{i}p'$  take good care of yourself! 128.24)

amadi'(s·i<sup>ɛ</sup>) would that! 142.10 (e. g., amadi's·i<sup>ɛ</sup> t!omoma'<sup>ɛ</sup>n I wish I could kill him; amadi loho'<sup>iɛ</sup> would that he died! 196.2) wi'sa<sup>ɛ</sup>m (cf. wis, § 114, 8) I wonder if 150.2, 3 (e. g., mī<sup>i</sup> wi'sa<sup>ɛ</sup>m ya'<sup>ɛ</sup> I wonder if he went already)

It is a characteristic trait of Takelma, as of many other American languages, that such purely modal ideas as the optative (would that!) and dubitative (I wonder IF) are expressed by independent adverbs without modification of the indicative verb-form (cf. further wifobiha'n ye wa'et wi'saem my-elder-brothers they-will-return I-wonder-IF 150.2, 3).

Several of the adverbs listed above can be used relatively with subordinates, in which use they may be looked upon as conjunctive adverbs:

 $b\bar{o}^u$ -gwan<sup>1</sup> (1)  $y\bar{a}^a$ nia'-uda<sup> $\varepsilon$ </sup> (2) bai-yeweya'k'w (3) as soon as (1) they went (2), she took him out again (3) 128.20

yewē (1)  $xebe^{\epsilon}yagwanaga'm$  (2) yewē (3)  $w\bar{a}'^ada$  (4)  $hiwili'^{u\epsilon}$  (5) perhaps (1) that we destroy him (2), perhaps (3) he runs (5)

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Probably compounded of  $b\tilde{o}^{u}$  now and gan(i) now, then, and then.

to her (4) (=should we destroy him, perhaps he would run to her)

waya' (1) he ne' (2) de-k'iwi'k'auk'wanma (3) ga (4) na nãk'ik' (5) just as (2) a knife (1) is brandished (3), that (4) he did with it (5) 172.12 (cf. he ne' in its meaning of LIKE, §112, 3)

## § 114. Particles

By particles are nere meant certain uninflected elements that have little or no meaning of their own, but that serve either to connect clauses or to color by some modal modification the word to which they are attached. They are never met with at the beginning of a clause or sentence, but occur only postpositively, generally as enclitics. Some of the elements listed above as modal adverbs (§ 113, 4) might also be considered as syntactic particles (e. g., wana, ha'ga, nak!a', which never stand at the beginning of a clause); these, however, show no tendency to be drawn into the verb-complex. Whenever particles qualify the clause as a whole, rather than any particular word in the clause, they tend to occupy the second place in the sentence, a tendency that, as we have seen (p. 65), causes them often to be inserted, but not organically incorporated, into the verb-complex. The most frequently occurring particles are those listed below:

1.  $y\bar{a}'''$  Just. This element is not dissimilar in meaning to the post-nominal emphasizing - $^{\epsilon}a'$  (§ 102), but differs from it in that it may be embedded in the verb-form:

 $\bar{\imath}$ - $y\bar{a}'^a$ - $sge^e t'sga't'$  he just twisted it to one side 31.5

It only rarely follows a verb-form, however, showing a strong tendency to attach itself to denominating terms. Though serving generally to emphasize the preceding word, it does not seem to involve, like  $-\epsilon a$ , the idea of a contrast:

 $x\bar{a}^a$ -xo  $y\bar{a}'^a$  right among firs (cf. 94.17)  $he^\epsilon ne\ y\bar{a}'^a$  just then, then indeed 63.13; 128.22; 188.1, 18  $d\bar{o}^u mxbin\ y\bar{a}'^a$  I shall just kill you 178.15

It has at times a comparative force:

 $g\bar{\imath}^i y\bar{a}'^a na^{\epsilon}nada'^{\epsilon}$  you will be, act, just like me (cf. 196.2)

2. hi. This constantly occurring enclitic is somewhat difficult to define. With personal pronouns it is used as an emphatic particle:

ma' hi you yourself (cf. 104.13; 152.20

Similarly with demonstratives:

ga' hi just that, the same 64.6; 96.16; 144.3; 190.21

In such cases it is rather difficult to draw the line between it and  $y\bar{a}'^{a}$ , to which it may be appended:

 $ga y\bar{a}'^a hi gwelda'$  just under that 190.17  $han-y\bar{a}'^a-hi b\bar{a}^a-t'e'^ex$  just across the river she emerged 58.3

As emphasizing particle it may even be appended to subordinate verb forms and to local phrases:

yãnt'eedae hi' just as I went (cf. 138.23; 152.5, 7) diha-udē hi' right behind me, as soon as I had gone

It may be enclitically attached to other particles,  $y\bar{a}'^a-hi$  192.1 being a particularly frequent combination:

 $g\bar{\imath}^i yaxa'$ -hi I, however, indeed 71.8

Its signification is not always, however, so specific nor its force so strong. All that can be said of it in many cases is that it mildly calls attention to the preceding word without, however, specially emphasizing it; often its force is practically nil. This lack of definite signification is well illustrated in the following lullaby, in the second line of which it serves merely to preserve the rhythm -'-:

mo'xo wa'inhā buzzard, put him to sleep! s·ī'mhi wa'inhā (?) put him to sleep! p'e'lda wa'inhā slug, put him to sleep!

The most important syntactic function of hi is to make a verbal prefix an independent word, and thus take it out of its proper place in the verb:

de'-hi ahead (from de- in front) 33.15; 64.3; 196.1; 198.12 ha'n-hi ei- $s\tilde{a}k$ 'w across he-canoe-paddled but:

ei-han-sãk'w he-canoe-across-paddled 112.9, 18; 114.11 where han-, as an incorporated local prefix, takes its place after the object  $e\bar{\imath}$ . A number of adverbs always appear with suffixed hi; e. g., gasa'lhi quickly 16.10. Like  $-{}^{\varepsilon}a'$ , from which it differs, however, in its far greater mobility, hi is never found appended to non-subordinate predicative forms. With hi must not be confused:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The various shades of emphasis contributed by  $z^a$ ,  $y\bar{a}'a$ , hi, and  $s^*\bar{w}$ , respectively, are well illustrated in  $ma^{\bar{v}a}$ ' you, but you (as contrasted with others);  $may\bar{a}'a$  Just you, you indeed (simple emphasis without necessary contrast); ma'hi you yourself;  $mas^*i'^z$  and you, you in your turn (108.13)

3. - $hi^{\bar{i}}$ . This particle is found appended most frequently to introductory words in the sentence, such as  $m\bar{\imath}^i$ ,  $gan\bar{e}$ , and other adverbs, and to verb-forms:

 $m\bar{\imath}^i$ - $h\dot{\imath}^\varepsilon$  t' $aga'^{i\varepsilon}$  then he returned 62.2; (cf. 188.15)  $gan\bar{\epsilon}$ - $h\dot{\imath}^\varepsilon$  aba-i- $gin\dot{\imath}'^\varepsilon k'$  and then he went into the house 55.16 naga'-i- $h\dot{\imath}^\varepsilon$  =  $naga'^{i\varepsilon}$  he said +  $-h\dot{\imath}^\varepsilon$  (see § 22) 22.6; 57.1; 128.15; 192.9

As no definite meaning can be assigned to it, and as it is found only in myth narration, it is highly probable that it is to be interpreted as a quotative:

ga naga'sa<sup>e</sup>n-hi<sup>e</sup> that they said to each other, it is said 27.1, 3; 31.9

- $hi^{\varepsilon}$  is also found attached to a verbal prefix (22.1; 140.8, 22, 23). 4. - $s\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  AND, BUT. This is one of the most frequently occurring par-

ticles in Takelma narration, its main function being to bind together two clauses or sentences, particularly when a contrast is involved. It is found appended to nouns or pronouns as deictic or connective suffix:

 $\tilde{a}ks~i^{\varepsilon}$ he in his turn 61.11; (cf. 47.14; 104.8, 13) hūlk' sgi'sidi'l mēxs i^{\varepsilon} Panther and Coyote, also Crane

An example of its use as sentence connector is:

- ga nagañhan ha-t'gāadē hop!ēɛn, bōu-s·i'ɛ eme'ɛ a'nīɛ ga naga'n that used-to-be-said in-my-country long-ago, now-but here not that is-said 194.4; (cf. 60.9; 118.3; 122.17)
- -s·i<sup>ɛ</sup> is particularly frequently suffixed to the demonstratives ga that and aga this, gas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> and agas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> serving to connect two sentences, the second of which is the temporal or logical resultant or antithesis of the second. Both of the connected or contrasted sentences may be introduced by gas·i<sup>ɛ</sup>, agas·i<sup>ɛ</sup>, or by a word with enclitically attached -s·i<sup>ɛ</sup>. In an antithesis agas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> seems to introduce the nearer, while gas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> is used to refer to the remoter act. Examples showing the usage of gas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> and agas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> are:

gas·i'<sup>ɛ</sup> de<sup>e</sup>l ha-de-dīlt'a dī-būmā'ak' (I smoked them out), andthen (or so-that) yellow-jackets everywhere swarmed 73.10 k'aiwi'<sup>ɛ</sup> t!omoma'nda<sup>ɛ</sup> gas·i'<sup>ɛ</sup> gayawa't'p' something I-having-

killed-it, thereupon you-ate-it 90.8

gas·i'<sup>ɛ</sup> gūxda hülü<sup>ū</sup>n wa-iwī'<sup>i</sup> t!omxi'xas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> aba'i on-one-hand hiswife (was a) sea woman, her-mother-in-law-but (lived) in-thehouse 154.15

- agas·i<sup>ɛ</sup> yõ<sup>u</sup>k!wat'k' yā'a xu'ma-s·i<sup>ɛ</sup> a'nī<sup>ɛ</sup> de<sup>ɛ</sup>ügü's·i now my-bones just (I was) (i. e., I was reduced to a skeleton), food-and not she-gave-me-to-eat 186.1
- agas i a'nī mī' wa al-t!eye'xi naga'i yulum a' aga's i xamk' waiwī' mī al-t!ayāk'wa on-one-hand "Not probably she-has-discovered-me," he-said Eagle-for-his-part, but Grizzly-Bear girl now she-had-discovered him 124,9
- $gas i^{\varepsilon}$  and  $agas i^{\varepsilon}$  as syntactic elements are not to be confused with the demonstratives ga and aga to which a connective  $-s i^{\varepsilon}$  happens to be attached. This is shown by:
  - ga-s·i' $\epsilon$  ga $\epsilon$ al that-so for (= so for that reason)

where  $ga^{\varepsilon}al$  is a postposition to ga. There is nothing to prevent post-nominal -s' $i^{\varepsilon}$  from appearing in the same clause:

 $aga's i^{\varepsilon} m\tilde{\epsilon} ls i^{\varepsilon}$  but Crow-in-her-turn 162.14

- When suffixed to the otherwise non-occurring demonstrative  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}$ (perhaps contained in  $\bar{\imath}da$  that) it has a concessive force,
  DESPITE, ALTHOUGH, EVEN IF 60.1:
  - eī's·i-hi s·om ga-al ha-de-dīlt'a wīt a'nī-al-t!aya'k' p!iyi'n although-indeed mountain to everywhere he-went, not he-found deer 43.6
  - $i's.i^\varepsilon\,ts!aya`k`\,a'n\bar{\imath}^\varepsilon\,t!om\~om\,g\~uxdagwa$ although he-shot-at-her, not he-killed-her his-own-wife 140.17
    - - $hi^{\varepsilon}$  (see no. 3) or connective - $s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  may be added to  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ , the resulting forms, with eatch dissimilation (see § 22), being  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s \cdot ihi^{\varepsilon}$  and  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}'s \cdot is \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  47.11; 148.12. When combined with the idea of unfulfilled action, the concessive  ${}^{\varepsilon}\bar{\imath}s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  is supplemented by the conditional form in  $-k'i^{\varepsilon}$  of the verb:
  - <sup>ε</sup>ī's·i'ε k'a'i gwala nāxbiyauk'iε, wede ge lī'wa't' even-though things many they-should-say-to-you (i. e., even though they call you names), not there look! 60.3

Compounded with  $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$  is the indefinite particle:

- 5. -s·i<sup>s</sup>wa'k'di 64.5. When appended to interrogatives, this particle brings about the corresponding indefinite meaning (see § 105), but it has also a more general syntactic usage, in which capacity it may be translated as PERCHANCE, IT SEEMS, PROBABLY:
  - ma's·i<sup>e</sup>wak'di henenagwa't' perhaps (or probably) you ate it all up 26.17

The uncompounded wak'di also occurs:

ulu'm  $w \hat{o}' k' di \; k' ai \; n \tilde{a} k' am$  formerly I-guess something it-was said to him 166.1

ga wa'k'di hogwa' sdāa that-one, it-seems, (was) their-runner 49.3

Similar in signification is:

- 6.  $m\bar{\imath}^{i\varepsilon}wa$  probably, perhaps 45.8; 63.15. This enclitic has a considerable tendency to apparently be incorporated in the verb:
  - $\bar{\imath}$ - $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}wa$ - $t!\bar{a}\bar{u}t!iwin$  maybe he was caught ( $\bar{\imath}$ - $t!\bar{a}\bar{u}t!iwin$  he was caught)
  - $xa^{\varepsilon}$ - $\bar{\imath}$ - $m\bar{\imath}'^{i\varepsilon}wa$ - $sg\bar{\imath}'^{i}b^{i\varepsilon}n$   $m\ddot{u}^{u\varepsilon}xda$ 'n hi I'll-probably-cut-him-in-two once just 31.13
- 7. his,  $h\bar{\iota}^i s$  nearly, almost, trying 44.7; 56.14. This element implies that the action which was done or attempted failed of success:
  - mī<sup>i</sup> hono<sup>c</sup> t!omõk'wa-his mãl then also he-killed-him nearly spear-shaft (personified), i. e., spear-shaft almost managed to kill him, as he had killed others 28.11; (cf. 188.20)

A frequent Takelma idiom is the use of hi's with a form of the verb of saying na(g)- to imply a thought or intention on the part of the subject of the na(g)- form that fails to be realized:

"ha-xiya' mī wa sgā' at ap de "naga' te-hi's "in-the-water probably I-shall-jump," he thought (but he really fell among alderbushes and was killed) 94.17

Sometimes his seems to have a usitative signification; probably the main point implied is that an act once habitual has ceased to be so:

dak-his-t'ek!e'exade<sup>\varepsilon</sup> I used to smoke (but no longer do)

- 8. wis,  $w\bar{v}s$  it seems, doubtless. This particle is used to indicate a likely inference. Examples are:
  - mīi-wis dap°ā'la-u moyūgwana'n now-it-seems youth he's-to-bespoiled (seeing that he's to wrestle with a hitherto invincible one) 31.12
  - $m\bar{\imath}^i \ w\bar{\imath}'^i s \ \tilde{a}kla \ tlomoma'n$  now apparently he-for-his-part he-hasbeen-killed (seeing that he does not return) 88.9,(6)
- 9. yaxa continually, only. The translation given for yaxa is really somewhat too strong and definite, its force being often so weak as hardly to allow of an adequate rendering into English. It

often does not seem to imply more than simple existence or action unaccompanied and undisturbed. It is found often with the scarcely translatable adverb ganga only, in which case the idea of unvaried continuance comes out rather strongly, e. g.:

ga'-hi yaxa ganga naga'i<sup>ɛ</sup> that-indeed continually only he-said (i. e., he always kept saying that) 24.15

From ganga it differs in the fact that it is often attracted into the verb-complex:

- ganga ge'l-yaxa-hewe'hau only he-is-continually-thinking (i. e., he is always thinking) (cf. 128.18; 146.15)
- 10.  $wala'^{\varepsilon}(sina^{\varepsilon})$  really, come to find out 45.11; 170.8. As indicated in the translation,  $wala'^{\varepsilon}$  indicates the more or less unexpected resolution of a doubt or state of ignorance:
  - ga haga wala' wili wa -ī-t!a'nik' that-one so really house he-keptit (i. e., it was Spear-shaft himself who kept house, no one else) 28.10

Certain usages of  $wala'^{\varepsilon}si(na^{\varepsilon})$ , evidently an amplification of  $wala'^{\varepsilon}$ , have been already discussed (§ 70).

11. di interrogative. The interrogative enclitic is consistently used in all cases where an interrogative shade of meaning is present, whether as applying to a particular word, such as an interrogative pronoun or adverb, or to the whole sentence. Its use in indirect questions is frequent:

 $m\tilde{a}n\ t'\tilde{\imath}'^{i}s\ mixal\ di'\ t!omomana'^{\varepsilon}$  he-counted gophers how-many had-been-killed

The use of the interrogative is often merely rhetorical, implying an emphatic negative:

k'a-di' ma wili wa<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-\varepsilon-t!a'nida^\varepsilon literally, what you house you-will-keep? (= you shall not keep house) 27.16; (cf. 33.1; 47.9)

Ordinarily di occupies the second place in the sentence, less frequently the third:

 $y\bar{u}'k!alxde^{\varepsilon}$   $m\bar{\imath}^i$  di'  ${}^{\varepsilon}a'n\bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$  k'a'i your-teeth now (inter.) not any (i. e., have you no teeth?) 128.23

Besides these syntactically and modally important enclitic particles, there are a few proclitics of lesser significance. Among these are to be included  $m\bar{\imath}^i$  now and  $gan\bar{e}$  then, and then, which, though they have been included among the temporal adverbs and may

indeed, at times, convey a definite temporal idea, are generally weak unaccented introducers of a clause, and have little determinable force:

ganē  $ya'^{\epsilon}$  then he went 92.26; 118.19; 152.7  $m\bar{\imath}^{i} loho'^{i\epsilon}$  then he died 71.13; 98.19; 122.13

The proclitic  $ne^e$  well! is used chiefly as introductory to a hortatory statement:

ne<sup>e</sup> gō<sup>u</sup>m-s·i'<sup>e</sup> dak'-s·inī'ida nabā'a<sup>e</sup>ha'n let us-in-our-turn overhis-nose let-us-do (i. e., let us pass over him!) 144.11 ne<sup>e</sup> t!omoma'<sup>e</sup>n let me kill him. (cf. 96.4)

# § 115. VII. Interjections

Of interjections and other words of an emotional character there are quite a number in Takelma. Some of them, while in no sense of definite grammatical form, are based on noun or verb stems. Not a few involve sounds otherwise foreign to the language (e. g., nasalized vowels [expressed by n],  $\ddot{a}$  as in English BAT,  $\hat{a}$  as in sAW, dj as in JUDGE, voiceless palatal l [written l], final fortis consonant); prolongation of vowels and consonants (expressed by +) and repetition of elements are frequently used.

The material obtained may be classified as follows:

## 1. Particles of Address:

ama" come on! 96.24

hene' away from here! get away! 148.8, 10, 11, 13, 14

dit'gwālam O yes! (with idea of pity) 29.13; dit'gwā'aɛlam wiewā my poor younger brother! 64.4

ha-i' used by men in talking to each other

ha'ik!ā' used by women in talking to each other (cf. ha-ik!ã wife! husband!)

# 2. Simple Interjections (expressing fundamental emotions):

 $\bar{a}+$  surprise, generally joyful; weeping 28.5; 58.2; 150.2

 $\check{a}$ ;  $\check{a}$ ;  $\check{\epsilon}\check{a}$ ;  $\check{\epsilon}\check{a}$ ; sudden surprise at new turn; sudden resolve 28.6; 29.7; 55.7; 78.9

a's sudden halt at perceiving something not noticed before 26.12 o' doubt, caution 136.23

 $\bar{o}+$  sudden recollection; admiration, wonderment; call 92.9; 138.19; 188.17, 19

 $\hat{a}$  + fear, wonder 17.3

 $\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon}$ ;  $\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon}$  displeasure 27.16; 32.9; 33.6; 122.12

\*è; hè+ (both hoarsely whispered) used by mythological characters (crane, snake) on being roused to attention 122.10; 148.17, 18

```
h\bar{e}+; \bar{e}+ call 59.2; 73.7; 75.10; 76.8
     εen'; εen disapproval, "what's up?", sarcasm 28.11; 32.10
     \varepsilon_{E^n} protest 112.6, 11; 114.3, 6, 13; \varepsilon_{E'^n}, \varepsilon_{E'^n} decided displeasure
       198.2
     he^n scorn, threat 140.9; 152.14
     en' sniffing suspiciously 160.20
     E^{n'} E^{n'} E^{n'} smelling suspiciously 124.23
     dja' disapproval, warning 156.18
     m+m+ gentle warning, pity 29.8; 31.11, 14
    hm + hm + reviving hope (?) 32.3
    w\ddot{a} + w\ddot{a} + (loudly whispered) cry for help 29.12
    ha-i alas! 62.4, 7
    A^{n} + groan 182.11
    ho^{\prime\epsilon} (hoarsely whispered) on being wounded 190.24
     h\hat{a}' h\hat{a} h\hat{a} groans on being wounded 192.10
    he' he he he laughter 118.22; 120.6
  Those that follow have a prefixed s'- frequently used by Coyote.
       They are probably characteristic of this character (see also
       71.14; 90.12).
    s^{\epsilon}e'hehehe derisive laughter 71.7; 72.11; 73.15; 74.15
    s'be'p' sharp anger 86.6, 22, 24
    s \cdot b e' + u call for some one to come 92.1
    c^{\epsilon}a'i say there, you! 92.18, 21
    s \cdot q\bar{a} + \text{sorrow } 100.3
3. Set Calls (including cries in formulas and myths):
    p'\ddot{a} + (loudly whispered) war-whoop 190.15
    b\ddot{a} + b\ddot{a} + (loudly whispered and held out long) war-whoop
    136.26 bä wä' äu wä' äu . . . . . (loudly whispered) war-who op
     110.19 gwä' lä lä lä lä (loudly whispered) war-whoop on slaying
       one of enemy
    wâ wâ wâ cry to urge on deer to corral
    b\bar{o} + yelling at appearance of new moon 196.5
    h\ddot{a}+; b\ddot{a}+ (both loudly whispered) urging on to run 46.5, 7; 47.6;
       48.1, 3, 9; 49.3
    h^{w} + blowing before exercising supernatural power 96.19, 20, 22;
       198.7
    p'+ blowing in exercising supernatural power 77.9
    p'^w + blowing water on person to resuscitate him 170.3
    he blowing preparatory to medicine-formula addressed to wind
       198.4
    do' do do do do do cry (of ghosts) on catching fire 98.4 (cf. Yana du'
       du \ du \ du' \ du \ du)
```

 $xim\bar{\imath}' + ximi$  cry of rolling skull 174.5, 6

 $\bar{o}'+da\;da\;da\;da\;da\;cry$  of people running away from rolling skull 174.9, 10

do'lhi dolhi' taunt (of Pitch to Coyote) 86.2, 8, 10, 17, 21, 23; 88. 1, 2

da'ldalwaya da ldalwaya da'ldalwaya formula for catching crawfish (explained in myth as derived from dalda'l dragon-fly) 29.14, 16

wi'lik!isi "cut off!" (cf.  $w\bar{\imath}il\bar{\imath}'^i$  his stone knife 142.21) Chicken-Hawk's cry for revenge 144.1

 $sgilbib\bar{\imath}' + ix$  "come warm yourself!" 25.7 (cf.  $sgili'pxde^{\varepsilon}$  I warm myself 25.8)

gewe'ek!ewee (cf. gewe'k!iwien I hold [salmon] bow-fashion) said by Pitch when Coyote is stuck to him 88.5, 9, 11, 12

p!idi-l-p'ā'ē't'p'idit'k'''O my liver!'' (cf. p'ā'e't'p'id-i-salmon liver) cry of Grizzly Bear on finding she has eaten her children's livers 120.19, 20

The last three show very irregular types of reduplication, not otherwise found.

## 4. Animal Cries and Imitative Sounds:

wa'yanī cry of Jack-Rabbit 108.9, 14, 17

(s·)ha'u, ha'u cry of Grizzly Bear 106.12, 19; 140.12

 $w\bar{a}' + u$  (hoarse) death-cry of Grizzly Bear woman 142.3

 $h\hat{a}^u$  Bear's cry 72.15

p!āk' p!āk' "bathe! bathe!" supposed cry of crow

bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' sound made by Woodpecker 90.11; 92.2 (cf. ba'k'bāa' red-headed woodpecker 92.2)

p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au sound made by Yellowhammer 90.19

bum + bum + noise made by rolling skull 174.4

tc!e'lelelele (whispered) sound of rattling dentalia 156.24 (cf. aorist stem tc!elem-rattle)

t'ul t'ul t'ul noise made by Rock Boy in walking over graveyard house 14.8

dEm + dEm + dEm + noise of men fighting 24.1

xa'-u (whispered) noise of crackling hair as it burns 24.8

t'gi'l imitating sound of something breaking 24.4 (cf. xa-dā<sup>a</sup>n-t'gil-t'ga'lhi he broke it in two with rock 24.4)

t'ut' t'ut' t'ut' noise of pounding acorns 26.12

bak! "pop!" stick stuck into eye 27.8

 $hu^n$  + confused noise of people talking far off 190.7

k!i'didididi sound of men wrestling 32.14

### 5. Song Burdens:

wa'yawene lō'uwana medicine-man's dance 46.14 wainhā round dance; lullaby (cf. waīnha put him to sleep!) 104.15; 106.4, 8; 105 note k!i'xinhi round dance (said by Frog) 102.18

co'cu co'cu round dance (said by Frog) 102.23

gwa'tca gwatca round dance (said by Bluejay) 104.7

tc!a'itc!īā round dance (play on tc!a'ic bluejay) 104.7

be'bebinibī'a round dance (said by Mouse; play on bebe'n rushes)

104.10

beleldō round dance (play on belp' swan) 104.15

bi'gi bi'gi bi'gī + Skunk's medicine-man's dance ([?] play on bīk'w skunk) 164.18, 22; 166.5

hâ'cgwatci hâ'cgwatci said by somloho'lxacs in doctoring

## § 116. CONCLUSION

The salient morphologic characteristics of Takelma may be summed up in the words inflective and incorporating, the chief stress being laid on either epithet according as one attaches greater importance to the general method employed in the formation of words and forms and their resulting inner coherence and unity, or to the particular grammatical treatment of a special, though for many American languages important, syntactic relation, the object. Outside of most prefixed elements and a small number of the post-nominal suffixes, neither of which enter organically into the inner structure of the word-form, the Takelma word is a firmly knit morphologic unit built up of a radical base or stem and one or more affixed (generally suffixed) elements of almost entirely formal, not material, signification.

It would be interesting to compare the structure of Takelma with that of the neighboring languages; but a lack, at the time of writing, of published material on the Kalapuya, Coos, Shasta, Achomawi, and Karok makes it necessary to dispense with such comparison. With the Athapascan dialects of southwest Oregon, the speakers of which were in close cultural contact with the Takelmas, practically no agreements of detail are traceable. Both Takelma and Athapascan make a very extended idiomatic use of a rather large number of verbal prefixes, but the resemblance is probably not a farreaching one. While the Athapascan prefixes are etymologically distinct from the main body of lexical material and have reference chiefly to position and modes of motion, a very considerable number of the Takelma prefixes are intimately associated, etymologically and functionally, with parts of the body. In the verb the two languages agree in the incorporation of the pronominal subject and

object, but here again the resemblance is only superficial. In Athapascan the pronominal elements are phonetically closely combined with the verbal prefixes and stand apart from the following verb-stem, which never, or very rarely, loses its monosyllabic individuality. In Takelma the pronominal elements, together with the derivative affixes, enter into very close combination with the preceding verb-stem, but stand severely aloof from the verbal prefixes. The radical phonetic changes which the verb-stem undergoes for tense in both languages is perhaps the most striking resemblance between the two; but even in this regard they differ widely as to the methods employed. Neither the very extended use of reduplication in Takelma, nor the frequent use in Athapascan of distinct verb-stems for the singular and plural, is shared by the other. Add to this the fact that the phonetic systems of Athapascan and Takelma are more greatly divergent than would naturally be expected of neighboring languages, and it becomes clear that the opinion that has generally been held, though based on practically no evidence, in regard to the entirely distinct characteristics of the two linguistic stocks, is thoroughly justified.

The entire lack of nominal cases in Takelma and the lack of pronominal incorporation in Klamath indicate at the outset the fundamental morphologic difference between these stocks. In so far as nominal cases and lack of pronominal incorporation are made the chief morphologic criteria of the central Californian group of linguistic families, as represented, say, by Maidu and Yokuts, absolutely no resemblance is discernible between those languages and Takelma. As far, then, as available linguistic material gives opportunity for judgment, Takelma stands entirely isolated among its neighbors.

In some respects Takelma is typically American, in so far as it is possible at all to speak of typical American linguistic characteristics. Some of the more important of these typical or at any rate wide-spread American traits, that are found in Takelma, are: the incorporation of the pronominal (and nominal) object in the verb; the incorporation of the possessive pronouns in the noun; the closer association with the verb-form of the object than the subject; the inclusion of a considerable number of instrumental and local modifications in the verb-complex; the weak development of differences of tense in the verb and of number in the verb and noun; and the impossibility of drawing a sharp line between mode and tense.

Of the more special grammatical characteristics, some of which are nearly unparalleled in those languages of North America that have been adequately studied, are: a system of pitch-accent of fairly considerable, though probably etymologically secondary, formal significance; a strong tendency in the verb, noun, adjective, and adverb toward the formation of dissyllabic stems with repeated vowel (e.g., aorist stem yowo- be; verb-stem loho- die; noun moxo' buzzard; adjective hos·õu [plural] GETTING BIG; adverb olo'm FORMERLY); a very considerable use of end reduplication, initial reduplication being entirely absent; the employment of consonant and vowel changes as a grammatical process; the use in verbs, nouns, and adjectives of prefixed elements, identical with body-part noun stems, that have reference now to parts of the body, now to purely local relations; the complicated and often irregular modifications of a verbal base for the formation of the most generalized tense, the aorist; the great differentiation of pronominal schemes according to syntactic relation, class of verb or noun, and tense-mode, despite the comparatively small number of persons (only five-two singular, two plural, and one indifferent); the entire lack in the noun and pronoun of cases (the subjective and objective are made unnecessary by the pronominal and nominal incorporation characteristic of the verb; the possessive, by the formal use of possessive pronoun affixes; and the local cases, by the extended use of pre-positives and postpositions); the existence in the noun of characteristic suffixes that appear only with prepositives and possessive affixes; the fair amount of distinctness that the adjective possesses as contrasted with both verb and noun; the use of a decimal system of numeration, tertiary or quinary in origin; and a rather efficient though simple syntactic apparatus of subordinating elements and well-modulated enclitic particles. Altogether Takelma has a great deal that is distinct and apparently even isolated about it. Though typical in its most fundamental features, it may, when more is known of American languages as a whole, have to be considered a very specialized type.

APPENDIX A

1. Comparative Table of Pronominal Forms

		Singular		•	Plural
	First person	Second person	Third person	First person	Seeond person
Aor. subj. intr. I	-t'cē	-(a')t'	4	-17k*	-(a')t'p'
	-1, ee	-t'am	-,-t.	-(p'-)ik'	-t'ap'
Ent. subj. intr. I	-t.ce	-(a)da'ê	$-(a')^{\varepsilon}t^{\circ}$	-(i)ga'm	$-(a)t^{\prime}ba^{\epsilon}$
	-1, ce	$-t^*a^{\varepsilon}$	-t'āa	-(p'-)igam	-t'abae
Pr. inper.				$-(a)ba^{\varepsilon}$	-(a')np', -'p'
Fut, imper, intr. I and trans		$-(a')^{\epsilon}k'$			
Fut, imper, intr. II		$-(p^{\cdot}-)ga^{\epsilon}m$			
	$-(a')^{\varepsilon}n$	-(a')t'	Manuscrient III	-(a)nak	-(a')t'p'
	-(a')n̈́	-(a)da'e	-(a')nk'	-(a)nagam	$-(a)t^{\prime}ba^{\epsilon}$
	-kae	-k' eeīt'	~k*	-k'-anak'	-k, eett'p'
	-xi	-bi	1	-am	-anp,
	2p-	-dae	-da	-da'm	-dabaen, -'et'ban
Poss. relationship	wi-	,72,-	-xu, -a	-da'm	-'et'ban
	-dck*	-dee	-dāa	-du'm	$-daba^{\epsilon}n$
Poss.III	-4.k°	,73,-	-, -, -, 1	-da'm	-'etban
Independent pronouns	gīi	ma	āak* (pl. āi)	$g_{\delta nm}$	māap

2. Scheme of 7 Voices in 6 Tense-Modes (2d per. sing. of dink!- Spread)

Future imperative	di'nk!aek'	di'nzrask' di'nsk'wip'gasm	$di^*n^{\epsilon}xga^{\epsilon}m$	
Present imperative	di'nek'	di'neta di'nek'wīip'	$di'n^{\epsilon}x$	
Potential	di'nk!at'	di'nexat' di'nek'widam	di'nexant'p' di'nexdam	dink!a'sdam
Inferential	di'nek' ecit' di'nerhioam	di'nek'wip'k' eeit'	di'nek'ank' ecit'p' di'nerk' ecit'	di'nklask' teit'
Future	dink!ada'e dinerbina'e	dinexada'e di'nek'widae	di'nsrant'bas di'nsrdas	dink!a'sda <sup>e</sup>
Aorist	di'nik/at' di'nierbin	di'niek'widam	di'nierant'p' di'nierdam	dinksit'am
	Trans. (2d per. subj.)	Act. intr. Reflexive	Recipr. (pl.)	Positional

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

## A. Intransitive

	Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per.	nagaīt' e <sup>ç</sup> nagaīt' naga' i <sup>ç</sup>	na't'ee nada's na'st'	na't' eε na't' na'ε	na'k'a <sup>ɛ</sup> na'k!ēt na'k'	na'	na'ek'
Plural: 1st per. 2d per. Imper.	nagayi'k' nagaït'p' neeye'= (sub- ordinate neye'eda=or nè'ida=)	naga'm na't'bas nesyaŭk'is (conditional)	(?)nayi'k' na't'p'	na'k'ana'k' na'k!elt'p'	nabā'aɛ(ha`n) na`np`	

## FREQUENTATIVE

	Aorist	Future	Inferential	Present imperative	Future imperative
Singular:					
1st per	$naga^{\varepsilon}na'k'de^{\varepsilon}$	nañt'ee	nañk'a <sup>ε</sup>		
2d per	naga€nigi`t`	nanada's 1	nañk!eĩt'	nañha	nañha€k'
3d per	nagaɛnā'aɛk'	nana'st' 1	nañk'2		
Plural:					
1st per	naga∈nigi`k`	nanaga'm 1	nañk'ana'k'	nanaba'ε	
2d per	nagaenigi't'p'	nana't'baɛ 1	nañk!eīt'p'	nañhanp'	
Imper.	neenia'uɛ				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These forms are to be carefully distinguished from  $na^{\varepsilon}$ - $nada'^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $na^{\varepsilon}$ - $na'^{\varepsilon}t'$ , and so forth (see §69). It is of course possible to have also  $na^{\varepsilon}$ - $nant'e^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $na^{\varepsilon}$ - $nanada'^{\varepsilon}$ , and so forth.

## B. Transitive

#### Aorist

		Object												
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural									
Singular: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per. Plural:	nege's·dam nege's·i	naga'sbi≅n naga'sbi	naga'=n naga't' naga`	naga'simit' naga'sam	naga'sanba <sup>e</sup> n naga'sanp'									
1st per. 2d per.	nege's dap'	nagasbina`k`	nagana'k' naga't'p'	naga'simit'p'	naga'sanbana`k`									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Also  $na\bar{n}kal$  is found, so that it is probable that doublets exist for other non-aorist forms, e. g.,  $na\bar{n}kada^e$ ,  $na\bar{n}kaba^e$ .

# 3. Forms of $n\alpha(g)$ - SAY, DO

## B. Transitive—Continued

#### Future

			Euture		
			Object		
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural
Singular:					
1st per.		nãxbin	nāagi'n		nãxanban
2d per.	$n\tilde{e}xda^{arepsilon}$		nãk'ida⁵	$n\tilde{a}ximida^{\varepsilon}$	
3d per.	nēxink'	nãxbink'	nãk'ink'	nãxamank'	nãxanbank'
Plural:					
1st per.		nãxbinagam	nāaginaga'm		nãxanbanagam
2d per.	$n \tilde{e} x da b a \varepsilon$		nāagi't`ba€	nãximit'ba€	
Imper. condit.	nēxiauk'i€	nãxbiauk'i≤			
		In	ferential	'	I
Cin mulant					
Singular: 1st per.		märhiaes	māli igas		
2d per.	nēxik!eīt'	nãxbiga <sup>ε</sup>	nãk'igαε nãk'ik!ett'	nãxamk!elt'	nãxanp`ga€
3d per.	nēxik'	nãxbik'	nāk'ik'	naxamk'en	m~nanm'h!
Plural:	nearn	Maroin	nun in	narams	nãxanp'k'
1st per.		nãxbigana`k`	nãk'igana'k'		mä vann'aanalk'
2d per.	nēxik!eīt'p'	nazotyana k	nāk'ik!eīt'p'	nãxamk!eīt'p'	nāxanp'gana'k'
	<u>                                     </u>	l P	otential	1	
		1			
Singular:					
1st per.		nãxbi∈n	nāagi'∈n		nãxanba∈n
2d per.	nēxdam		nãk'it'	nãximit'	
3d per.	nēxi	nãxbi	nãk'i	nāxam	nãxanp'
Plural:		~			
Ist per.		nãxbinak'	nãk'inak'	~	nãxanbana'k'
2d per.	nēxdap'		nãk'it' p'	nãximit'p'	i
		Presen	t Imperative		
Singular:					
2d per.	nēxi		nãk'i	nāxam	
Plural:	, act		nun i	nazam	
1st per.			$n\tilde{a}k'iba^{\varepsilon}$		
2d per.	nēxip'		nāk'ip'	nāxamp'	
		Future	Imperative		
Cincular					
Singular: 2d per.	nēxgc≈m		nāagi'∈k°		
	.,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

## B. Transitive—Continued

## Passive

			Aorist	Future	Potential	Inferential
Singular: 1st per. 2d per. 3d per.			nege's·in naga'sbin naga'n	nēxinas nāxbinas nāagina's	nēzin nāzbin nāk'in	nēzigam nāzbigam nāk'am
Plurai: 1st per. 2d per.			naga'simin naga'sanban	nāximinas nāxanbanas	nāximin nāxanban	nāxamk'am nāxanp'gam

## FREQUENTATIVE

#### Aorist

	Object										
Subject	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural						
Singular: 1st per 2d per 3d per	negeñs·dam negeñs·i	nagañsbi€n nagañsbi	nagañhaen nagañhat' nagañha	nagañsimit' nagañsam	nagañsanba <sup>e</sup> n nagañsanp'						
1st per 2d per	negeñs·dap`	nagañsbinak'	nagañhanak' nagañhat'p'	nagañsimit'p'	nagañsanbana'k						

#### Future

Singular:						
1st per.			nãnsbin	nãnhan		nänsanban
2d per.		nēns•da€		nãnhada€	$n\tilde{a}nsimida^{\varepsilon}$	
3d per.		nens ink	nãnsbink'	nãnhank'	nãnsamank'	nãnsanbank'
Plural:						
1st per.			nānsbinagam	nãnhanagam		nansanbanagan
2d per.		nēnsdaba€		nãnhat'bas	nãnsimit'ba€	

#### Passive

									Aorist	Future
Singular:										
1st per.									negeñs·in	$n \tilde{\epsilon} n s \cdot i n a^{arepsilon}$
2d per.									nagañsbin	nãnsbina€
3d per.									nagañhan	$n\tilde{a}nhana^{arepsilon}$
Plural:										
1st per.	,								nagañsimin	$n\tilde{a}nsimina^{arepsilon}$
2d per.									nagañsanban	nãnsanbana€

# 3. Forms of na(g)- SAY, DO

#### C. Causative in -n-1

#### Aorist

		Object		
First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural
	nagānxbien	nagāana'en		nagānxanbaen
negẽnxdam		nagāana't' (nagāani't')	nagānximit`	
negēnxi	nagānxbi	nagān (nagānhi)	nagãnxam	nagānxanp'
		,,,,,,		
	nagānxbinak'	nagāanana'k' (nagāanina'k')		nagānxanbana'k'
negēnxdapʻ		nagāana't'p' (nagāani't'p')	nagānximit'p'	
	singular negēnzdam negēnzi	singular singular  nagānxbien  negēnzdam  negēnxi nagānxbi  nagānxbinak*	First person singular  nagānxbien  nagāana'en (nagāani'en)² negēnxdam  nagānxbi  nagānxbi  nagānrbi  nagānni'  (nagāni't)  nagān  (nagānhi)  nagānxbinak'  nagāanana'k' (nagāanina'k')  nagāana't'p'	First person singular  Second person magāana'en (nagāana'en) (nagāana't' (nagāana't' (nagāana't') nagān nagānxbit nagānxbi nagān (nagāana't') nagān (nagāana't) nagān nagānxam (nagānhi) nagān nagānxbinak' nagāanana'k' (nagāanina'k') nagāana't'p' nagānximit'p'

#### Future

Singular: 1st per		nãnxbin	nāana'n (nāani'n)		nãnxanban
2d per	nēnxda <sup>ε</sup>		nãanada's 8 (nāanida's)	nã nximida e	
3d per	nẽnxink'	nãnxbink'	nāana'nk' (nāani'nk')	nãnxamank'	nãnxanbank'
Plural:					
1st per		nānxbinagam	nāananaga'm		nãnxanbanagam
2d per	nẽnxdabae		(nāaninaga'm) nāana't'bas (nāani't'bas)	nānximit'ba <sup>e</sup>	-1-4-1

#### Passive

									Aorist Future	
Singular: 1st per. 2d per.									negēnxin nēnxina: nagānxbin nānxbina:	
3d per. Plural: 1st per. 2d per.			•			•	•		nagāana'n (nagāani'n) nāanana's (nāanina's)  nagānximin nānximinas nagānxanban nānxanbanas	)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Though these forms are simply derivatives of intransitive aorist naga(i)-, verb-stem na-, they have been listed here because of their great similarity to transitive frequentatives, with which they might be easily confused. In the aorist, the two sets of forms differ in the length of the second (repeated) vowel, in the connecting consonant, and to some extent in the place of the accent, though this is probably a minor consideration. In the future, they differ in the connecting consonant and partly again in the place of the accent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Forms in parentheses are instrumental.

<sup>3</sup> Imperative (sing. subj. and third person object): nanha.

<sup>3045°-</sup>Bull. 40, pt 2-12-19

## 3. Forms of na(g) - SAY, DO

## D. Reciprocal Forms

									Aorist	Future
2d per.	٠								naga'sinik' naga'sant'p' naga'sasn (frequentative nagañ- sasn)	näxinigam näxant'ba <sup>ç</sup> näxan <sup>ç</sup> t'

#### E. Nominal Derivatives

#### INFINITIVES

Intransitive: ne'x

			Object		
	First person singular	Second person singular	Third person	First person plural	Second person plural
Transitive	nēxiya	nãxbiya	nāagia`	nãximia	nãxanbia

#### PARTICIPLE

Active: na't'

Other forms derived from verb-stem na(g)- than those given above are of course found, but are easily formed on evident analogies. Observe, however, intransitive aorist stem nagai- in transitive derivatives nagaik'wa he said to him (personal) and nagaik'wit' he said to himself. Comitatives in -(a)gw- are not listed because their formation offers no difficulty; e. g., second person singular present imperative  $n\bar{a}k$ 'w do so and so having it! It is possible that  $b\bar{o}^u$ - $n\bar{e}xada^e$  immediately is nothing but adverb  $b\bar{o}^u$  now + subordinating form \* $n\bar{e}xada^e$  of -xa- derivative from  $n\bar{a}^ag$ - with regular palatal ablaut (see §31,5); literally it would then mean something like when it is becoming (doing) now.

### APPENDIX B

## THE ORIGIN OF DEATH

xi'lam 1 sebe't'2 hãp'da 3 loho'k'. 4 sgi'sidī'l 5 nō'ts!at'gwan 6 neighboring each other

yu'k'. 7 ga-s'i<sup>ε</sup>8 nãk'ik': 9 "laps 10 yimi'xi 11 hãp'dek' 12 loho'ida<sup>ε</sup>, 13 they were. And that he said to "Blanket lend it to me my child since it died, him:

1 zi'lam. Used indifferently for SICK, DEAD (as noun), and GHOST. -am (=-an) is probably noun-forming suffix with inorganic -a- (cf. han-zilmī abode of GHOSTS, literally, ACROSS-RIVER ARE GHOSTS as verb with positional-ī). As base is left zil- or zin- (-n- of radical syllable dissimilates to -l- before nasal suffix); zi'lam from \*zin-an or \*zil-an. This zin-is perhaps etymologically identical with zin mucus (verb-base zin-sniff).

<sup>2</sup> sebe't'. Participle in -t' of verb seeba'en Type 5 I ROAST IT; aorist stem seeb-, verb-stem sebe-. ROAST-ING-DEAD-PEOPLE is Takelma name for species of black long-legged bug. He is supposed to be so called because responsible for death, as told in this myth.

\*\hat{hap}'da. Base  $h\bar{a}^ap'$ - SMALL, CHILD (cf. hap-s-di' SMALL). This is one of those comparatively few nouns that add possessive pronominal suffixes of Scheme II directly to stem. With suffixed ([?] pre-pronominal) -x-it becomes plural in signification:  $h\bar{a}pxda$  HIS CHILDREN. This sort of plural formation stands, as far as known, entirely isolated in Takelma. In its absolute form  $h\bar{a}^ap'$ - takes on derivative suffix -xi,  $h\bar{a}pxi'$  CHILD.

4 loho'k'. Third personal inferential of verb lohoit'e Type 4b I DIE; aorist stem lohoi-, verb-stem loho-. -k' inferential element. Inferential mode used because statement is here not made on personal authority, but only as tradition or hearsay. According to this, all myth narrative should employ inferential forms instead of aorist. This myth employs partly inferentials and partly aorists; but in most other myths aorists are regularly employed, probably because they are more familiar forms, and perhaps, also, because myths may be looked upon as well-authenticated fact.

\*  $sgi'sid\bar{\imath}'l$ . sgi'si coyote, formed by repetition of base-vowel according to Type 2.  $-d\bar{\imath}'l$  is dual suffix  $sgi'sid\bar{\imath}'l$  by itself might mean two coyotes, but  $-d\bar{\imath}'l$  is never properly dual in signification, meaning rather

HE (indicated by preceding noun) AND SOME ONE ELSE (indicated by context).

<sup>6</sup> $n\ddot{o}'ts'at'gwan$ . From local adverbial stem  $n\ddot{o}ts'$ - NEXT DOOR, NEIGHBORING; it is formed by addition of characteristic-a- and third personal plural reflexive pronominal suffix -t'gwan (= -t'-[third person]+-gwa-[reflexive] + -n [plural]). First person singular  $n\ddot{o}ts'ad\ddot{e}'$ ; second person singular  $n\ddot{o}ts'ad\ddot{a}'^{\epsilon}$ .

7 yu'k'. Third personal inferential of verb yowo't'e Type 2 I AM; aorist stem yowo-, verb-stem yo-

(yu-). -k' inferential element as in loho'k'. Corresponding aorist, yowo'c.

\* gas: if. ga is general demonstrative THAT, here serving to anticipate quotation: "laps (2) . . . yimi'xi-(3)." -s: if as general connective indicates sequence of nāk'ik' upon loho'k' (1).

 $^{0}$   $n\ddot{a}k'ik'$ . Third personal inferential of verb  $naga'^{c}n$  Type 2 I SAY TO HIM; agrist stem naga-, verb-stem  $n\ddot{a}ag$ -. Corresponding agrist, naga'. Non-agristic forms of this transitive verb show instrumental -i- (see § 64).

10 laps. Noun of uncertain etymology, perhaps from base lab- CARRY ON ONE'S BACK. -s nominal derivative suffix of no known definite signification.

In yimi'xi. Present imperative second person singular subject, first person singular object (-xi) of verb yiimiya'en Type 1 i Lend it to him; aorist stem  $y\bar{\imath}im\bar{\imath}i$ -, verb-stem yimi-. Non-aoristic forms show instrumental -i- as in  $n\bar{a}k'ik'$ ; e. g., yimi'hin i shall lend it to him.

 $^{12}h\tilde{a}p'dek'$ . See  $h\tilde{a}p'da(1)$ . -de'k' first person singular possessive pronominal suffix according to Scheme II.

13 loho'ida<sup>e</sup>. Subordinate form, with causal signification, of loho'i<sup>e</sup> HE DED. Aorist stem lohoi--verb-stem loho-+ intransitive element-i- characteristic of aorist of Type 4; -<sup>e</sup>, third personal aorist subject intransitive Class I, dissimilated because of catch in subordinating suffix -da<sup>e</sup>. Syntactically loho'ida<sup>e</sup> is subordinated to yimi'xi.

"Inaga'-ihie, = naga'-ie HE SAID+ quotative enciltic -hie, naga'-ie third person aorist of irregular verb nagait'ee Type 4a i SAY; aorist stem nagai-, verb-stem na. Both transitive and intransitive forms of na(g)-sAY incorporate object of thing said; ga in gas-ie (2) is incorporated as direct object in nak-ik' (it would be theoretically more correct to write ga [-s-ie]-nāk-ik'); while quotation "laps... yimi'xi" is syntactically direct object of naga'-ihie which, as such, it precedes. ga-nāk-ik' anticipates "laps... yimi'xi" naga'-ihie, Observe use of aorist instead of inferential from naga'-ihie on.

15 a'nve. Negative particle with following agrist. True negative future would be wede yimi'hizbigas.

gwidi'-s'ie 17 νο'εt' 18 xila'm 1 yèūk'i<sup>ε</sup>,"19 naga'-ihie 14 vī¹mīsbi⁵n ¹6 they will be dead people if they return?" I lend it to you he said, it is said, for where k!odo't' 22 sgi'si. 5 nōus i 20 yewe'i 21 xilam 1 sebe't'. 2 hãp'dagwa 23 Coyote. And next door he returned Roasting-Dead-People. He buried it his own child ganēhi<sup>ε 25</sup> mīihie 28 dabalni'xa 28 lāalē\.27 sgi'si5 loho′ida€.24 hãp'da³ who had died. And then, it long time it became. Now, it is Coyote said, is said,

xi'lam 1 lāalē'.27 mīi28 loho'ie.29 mīi28 nō'ues 20 gini'ek' 30 xilam¹ sebet'2 it became. Now it died. Now next door he went Roasting-Dead-People hāap'de'k' 12 loho'ida ." 13-" k'adi' 32 5 wā'ada. 31 "laps 10 yimi'xi 11 lend it to me "Blanket my child since it died."--- "What to him. nagaīt'," 33 sebe't' 2 ga8 naga'ie.14 "hōuxaea\34 xilam 1 ma<sup>e</sup>a 35 you said?" Roasting-Dead-People he said. " Last time vou

16 yīimīsbien. First person singular subject (-en) second personal singular object (-bi-) of verb yīimiya'en (see yimi'xi above). -s- indirect object used only in acrist of this verb, elsewhere -z-; e. g., future yimi'xbin I shall lendit to you. Acrist is used because idea of futurity is here immediate; i. e., time of action is not put definitely forward.

11 gwidi'-s-i<sup>c</sup>. gwi- general interrogative and indefinite adverb where? somewhere. di interrogative enclitic serving to give gwi- distinct interrogative signification. -s-i<sup>c</sup> has here slight causal tinge: for where would they all be, if they returned?

is yo'et'. Third personal future of verb  $yowo't'e^e$  I AM (see yu'k' above). -et' third personal subject future intransitive Class I.

19 yèūk'i. Third personal conditional (-k'i') of verb yeweil'e Type 4a 1 return; aorist stem yewei, verb-stem yèu- (ye'u-).

 $^{20}n\ddot{o}'us^{i}\dot{e}_{\cdot} = n\ddot{o}'u\dot{e}s'$  (stem  $n\ddot{o}ts!$ - NEXT DOOR) + connective -s· $i\dot{e}_{\cdot}$   $n\ddot{o}'u\dot{e}s$  may best be considered as local adverbial prefix to  $yewe'i\dot{e}_{\cdot}$ 

"1 yewe'is. Third person agrist of verb yeweit'e' (see  $y \tilde{v} \tilde{u} k' i \tilde{v}$  above (-i and -s as in loho'is and naga'is above) "2 k/odo't'. Third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb  $k/ododa' \tilde{v} n$  Type 8 1 Bury him agrist stem k/ododa, verb-stem  $g \tilde{o} u d - \tilde{v} \tilde{u} d - \tilde{v} \tilde{u} d - \tilde{v} \tilde{u} d - \tilde{u}$ 

<sup>23</sup> hāp'dagwa. See hāp'da (1). -gwa reflexive suffix. k!odo't' hāp'da would have meant HE (Roasting-Dead-People) BURIED HIS (COyote's) CHILD.

24 loho'ida\*. In this case subordinate form serves merely to explain hāp'dagwa, and may thus be rendered as relative, who had died.

is ganêhir.  $\Rightarrow$  ganê and then (compound of demonstrative ga), used to introduce new turn in narrative, + quotative  $-hi^{\epsilon}$ .

26 dabalni'za. Temporal adverb LONG TIME. Like many other adverbs, it is difficult of satisfactory analysis. da- is local body-part prefix, as in several other temporal adverbs; but its application here is quite obscure. bal- radical element, cf. adjective bāl-s LONG. -za adverbial (chiefly temporal) suffix-ni- = ? (cf. lep'ni'za WINTER).

<sup>27</sup>  $l\bar{a}al\bar{e}$ . Third person agrist intransitive Class II of verb  $l\bar{a}al\bar{u}'e^{\epsilon}$  Types 10a and 15a I BECOME; agrist stem  $l\bar{a}al\bar{e}$ , verb-stem  $l\bar{a}a-p'$ .  $-\bar{e}$ .  $-\bar{e}$ .  $-\bar{e}$  in positional verbs. Corresponding inferential  $l\bar{a}p'k'$ .

28  $m\bar{\imath}ihi\bar{\imath}e$ .  $=m\bar{\imath}i$  weak temporal adverb now, then, serving generally to introduce new statement, + quotative  $-hi\bar{\imath}e$ .

29 toho'i\*. See loho'ida\* (2).

 $^{\infty}$  gini'ek'. Third person a orist of verb gini'k' de^z Type 2 I GO (somewhere); a orist stem ging-, verb-stem ging-, ginag- (present imperative gink'; future gina'k' de^z).  $^{-\varepsilon}$  third person a orist intransitive Class I. Inasmuch as forms occur derived from base gin-(e. g., reduplicated giniginia'u^z), -g- must be considered as either petrified suffix, or as trace of older reduplication with vanished vowel in second member: gin-i-g- from (?) gin-i-gn-. ginig- can be used only with expressed goal of motion (in this case  $n\delta^{-u}$ s' and  $u\delta^{-u}$ ad). He went without expressed goal would have been  $ya^{e_z}$ . Similarly: baxam- COME,  $m\epsilon^{e_z}$ ginig- COME HERE;  $b\delta gw$ - RUN, hiwiliw- RUN (somewhere);  $s\cdot ow\delta^{-u}$ s' JUMP, biliw- JUMP AT.

n  $w\bar{a}'ada$ . Formed, like  $n\bar{o}'ts/at'gwan$  (1), by addition of third personal pronominal suffix -'da to local stem wa-; first person  $wad\bar{c}$ . These forms are regularly used when motion to some person or persons is meant: if goal of motion is non-personal, postposition  $ga^ca'l$  to,  $A\tau$  is employed.

\*\*2k'adi'. k'a (before di, otherwise k'ai) is substantival indefinite and interrogative stem (THING), WHAT, corresponding to adverbial gwi-(4). di serves also here to give k'a distinct interrogative force.

is nagait'. Second person singular agrist of verb nagait'e (see naga'-ihi above). This is one of those few intransitives that take personal endings directly after stem ending in semi-vowel (nagay-), without connective -a- (see § 65 end).

\*\* $\hbar \delta u x a^* a^*$ . =  $\hbar \delta u x a^*$  YESTERDAY, (here more indefinitely as) LAST TIME, FORMERLY + deictic - $\epsilon a^*$ . - $\epsilon a$  is adverbial (temporal) suffix (cf. dabalni'xa above). - $\epsilon a^*$  serves to contrast LAST TIME with NOW.

\*\*  $ma^{\epsilon}a$ . = ma second person singular independent personal pronoun + deictic  $e^{\epsilon}a$ , which here contrasts you (as former object of supplication) with 1 (as present object of supplication).

ga 36 that 'yapla 39
'People nege's dam 37 'laps 10 vimi'xi', 11 naga'sbinda :: 38 Blanket lend it to me' you said to me when I said to you: yèūk'i<sup>c</sup>.' <sup>19</sup> mī<sup>128</sup> hawa'xi<sup>uc 40</sup> hā<sup>a</sup>p'de'k','' <sup>12</sup> naga'-ihi<sup>c</sup> 14 fthey return?' Now it is rotting my child,'' he said, it is said, gwidī'117 vo'et' 18 where they will be if they return?' Now it is rotting yewe'is.21 "sgā41 +" xilam¹ sebe't'.2 nō'us i 20 sgisi5 t'aga'if.42 ga8 Roasting-Dead-People. he cried. That And next Coyote he returned. door

ga<sup>ε</sup>al <sup>43</sup> bou <sup>44</sup> εa'nī ε15 yapla <sup>39</sup> yewe' iε 21 loho'ida ε. 13 because of nowadays not people they return when they die.

86 ga. Anticipates quotation "yap!a (10) . . . yèūk'i (11)."

#### [Translation]

The child of Roasting-dead-people died. He and Coyote were neighbors to each other. Thereupon he said to him, "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died. Lend me a blanket," said Roasting-dead-people. "I'll not lend you a blanket, for where are they going to be, if dead people come back?" said Coyote. And next door returned Roasting-dead-people, and buried his child that had died.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now Coyote's child became sick and died. Now next door he went to Roasting-dead-people. "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died."—"What did you say?" Roasting-dead-people said that. "Yesterday indeed when I did say to you, 'Lend me a blanket,' you, for your part, did say that to me, 'Where will the people be, if they return?' Now my child is rotting," said Roasting-dead-people. So next door Coyote returned. "Sgā+!" he cried. For that reason people do not nowadays return when they die.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> nege's'dam. Second personal singular subject, first personal singular object (-dam) of verb naga'en (see nāk ik' above). nege- shows palatal ablaut characteristic of forms with first person singular object.
-3'- indirect object in aorist only, elsewhere -x-; e. g., nēxdae you will say to me. Direct object is ga.

<sup>88</sup> naga'sbinda<sup>e</sup>. Subordinate form, with temporal force, of naga'sbi<sup>e</sup>n I SAY TO YOU. naga'sbi<sup>e</sup>n = aorist stem naga- + indirect object -s- + second personal singular object -bi- + first personal singular subject -<sup>e</sup>n. naga'sbinda<sup>e</sup> is subordinated to main verb nege's'dam; its direct object is quotation ''laps yimi'xi'' (10).

<sup>\*\*</sup> yapla. Noun formed apparently by repetition of base vowel according to Type 2. It is employed for People in general without regard to sex.

<sup>40</sup> hawa'xiw. Third person agrist intransitive Class I of verb hawaxiwte Type 5 1 AM ROTTING; agrist stem xiw-, verb-stem xiwi-. This verb is evidently compounded of hawa'x MATTER, PUS and verbal base xiu-, whose exact meaning can not be determined, as it has not been found alone.

<sup>4</sup> sgā+. Words spoken by Coyote often begin with s-, which has in itself no grammatical significance.

42 t aga'i<sup>©</sup> Third person agrist intransitive Class I of verb t'agait'e<sup>©</sup> Type 4a I CRY; agrist stem t'agai-, verb-stem t'āag-. -i<sup>©</sup> as in yewe'i<sup>©</sup>, loho'i<sup>©</sup>, and naga'i<sup>©</sup> above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>  $ga^{\varepsilon}a^{\gamma}l$ . Postposition to, At. on Account of, used with preceding demonstrative ga;  $ga ga^{\varepsilon}a^{\gamma}l$ =therefore.  $ga^{\varepsilon}a^{\gamma}l$  is itself compounded of demonstrative ga and local element al At. to.

<sup>&</sup>quot; $\delta \tilde{v}_{u}$ . Temporal adverb now, to-day. First of  $\tilde{v}_{u}$  not intended merely to keep up distinct hiatus between final  $\tilde{v}_{u}$  and initial  $a_{v}$ .

several

## HOW A TAKELMA HOUSE WAS BUILT

yap!a¹ wi'lī¹² k!emèĩ.³ bēm⁴ pla-idī°lō'uk',⁵ eme'es 'ie 6 hono e7 la-idī°lō'uk', hey set it down, and here again p!a-idī°lō'uk', he'eme e8 hono'e p!a-idī°lō'uk', hagamgama'n 9 p!a-idī°lō'uk' they set it down, yonder again they set it down, in four places they set them down. he'ene lo hono hangili'p' la gada'k' la hagamgama'n, gada'k's 'i² la hagamgama'n, aross on top thereof also hono they place (beams) aross

mūexda'nhi 14 hangili'p'. heene yā'as'ie 15 wi'li s'idibī' 16 k!emèī; they place they place Then and just house its wall they make it;

5 heene gada'k's'ie matsla'k' wili heela'm, then and on top thereof they put them house boards. Thereof they put them house boards. They gada'k's 'ie matsla'k' wili heela'm, the boards boards they put them house boards.

klemèi. ganë <sup>21</sup> dak'da't' <sup>22</sup> datlaba'k', <sup>23</sup> hā'<sup>c</sup>ya <sup>24</sup> datlaba'k'. ganë they make them. And then from on top they finish it, on both sides they finish it. And then

dedewilī'idadi's <sup>25</sup> k!emèī dak'dat's 'i'<sup>e 26</sup> daho'k'wal <sup>27</sup> k!emèī k!iyī'x <sup>28</sup>
door they make it, and from on top holed they make it smoke

gana'u <sup>29</sup> ba-i-gina'xdā<sup>2, 30</sup> ganēs 'i<sup>e 31</sup> ga'k!an <sup>32</sup> k!emèī, xā'īsgip!i'therein its going out. And then ladder they make it, they notch it in

1 See note 39 of first text; § 86, 2. yapla is to be understood as subject of all following finite verb forms.

2 § 86, 2; quantity of final vowel varies between i and ii. Directly precedes verb as object.

\* Third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb klemeen Type 3 1 make it; §§ 63; 65.

1 § 86, 1; object of following verb.

6 p/a-i- DOWN § 37, 13;  $d\bar{\imath}^{\epsilon}$ - § 36, 10.  $l\bar{o}'^{u}k'$  third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb  $l\bar{o}'^{u}gwa^{\epsilon}n$  Type 6 I SET IT; §§ 63; 40, 6.

6 eme'e HERE § 104; -8'ie enclitic particle § 114, 4.

7 Modal adverb § 113, 4.

8 § 104.

9 Numeral adverb from gamga'm FOUR § 111.

10 Temporal adverb § 113, 3.

"I han- ACROSS § 37, 1. -gili'p' third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb -giliba'en Type 3; §§ 63; 40, 3.

12 Postposition with force of independent local adverb § 96.

13 See note 12; -s'i § 114, 4.

14 müutzda'n numeral adverb once § 111; -hi enclitic particle § 114, 2.

15 yā'a post-positive particle JUST § 114, 1; -8-iº § 114, 4.

16 s idib- (House) wall § 86, 3; -ī'i third personal possessive form of noun-characteristic -i- §§ 89,3; 92 III. House its-wall is regular periphrasis for House's wall.

17 Third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb mats/aga/\*n Type 3 I PUT IT; §§ 63; 40, 3.

18 Noun stem heel- with nominal suffix -am dissimilated from -an §§ 87, 6; 21. willi heela'm is compound noun § 88.

19 § 86, 1. Predicate appostive to heela'm: THEY MAKE THOSE BOARDS OUT OF SUGAR-PINE.

20 Demonstrative pronoun of indifferent number modifying heela'm § 104.

<sup>21</sup> Temporal or connective adverb compounded of demonstrative ga and element -ni (?= $ne\epsilon$ ) of unknown meaning §§ 113, 2; 114 end.

22 Adverb in -dat' from local element dak'- ABOVE § 112, 1.

<sup>23</sup> da-§36, 2 end; -t/aba/k' third personal subject, third personal object agrist of verb -t/abaga'\*n Type 3 I FINISH IT; §§ 63; 40,3.

24 Local adverb § 113, 1.

<sup>25</sup> dedewili''da door, local phrase with pre-positive de- in Front of and third personal possessive suffix -da § 93 end. -da's postposition § 96 of unclear meaning here.

26 See note 22; -s·i § 114, 4.

27 da- §107, 5; -ho'k'wal adjective with suffix -al § 108, 2.

28 & 86, 3,

29 Postposition with k!iyī'x ba-igina'xdāa § 96.

30 Third personal possessive form in -dāa of infinitive ba-igina'x. ba-i- out § 37, 12; gin- verb stem Type 2 or 11 go to § 40, 2, 11; -ax infinitive suffix of intransitive verbs of class I § 74, 1.

21 See note 21; -s'i\* § 114, 4.

<sup>32 § 86, 2;</sup> suffix -n, §§ 21; 87, 6.

sgap', 33 gwelt'gāū 34 gina'x 35 klemèī; wili s'idibī'is'is' 36 klemèī. ganē places, down to the earth going they make house its wall and they make it.

dat!aba'k' ha'īt'b\u00fc'xt'bixik'w.37 gan\u00ed lep!\u00eds s\u00e38 hah\u00fc\u00fc\u00fc\u00fc'\u00e4k'i,\u00e39 gana't'\u00e40 then mats they spread them ont inside,

gidī 41 alxalī 42 yap!a'; p!ī 43 yogā 44 has s out inside,
thereon they sit people; fire its place in the center, so that they being seated
hā'eya-p!iya'.48 ganaene'x 49 hop!è'en 50 yap!aea 51 wi'lī'; 52 lep'ni'xa 53
on both sides of the fire.

wili<sup>71</sup> 52 gana't<sup>53</sup>. sama'xas'i<sup>6</sup> 54 ana<sup>6</sup>ne'x<sup>55</sup> alxalī, a'nī<sup>6</sup> 56 wi'li gana'u. 57 5 their of that But in summer in this way they sit, not house therein house kind.

p!īi fire gwa's . 58 gas is vogā'a yaxa 59 wit'ge'yeek'i,60 wili k!emèī they set it around, so that its place they make it Brush house just gana nat' nex sama'xa alxalī, anī lep'ni'xa nat' 62 wi'li gana'u. habini'.61 in the middle. In that way in summer they dwell, not in winter like house therein.

34 Local phrase with pre-positive gwel DOWN TO § 95 and noun-characteristic -u § 89, 4; t'ga § 86, 1.

85 See note 30; infinitive used as noun § 74 end.

\*\*See note 16; -s- $i\epsilon$  § 114, 4. s- $i\epsilon$  is appended to s- $idib\bar{i}'i$  rather than wili, as will s- $idib\bar{i}'i$  is taken as unit. \*\*I ha- IN § 36, 11 b; - $\bar{i}$ - instrumental § 36, 6;  $ha\epsilon\bar{i}$ - § 6. - $i'b\bar{u}x'biz$ -ik'w passive participle with instrumental -i- in -ik'w § 77 from verb -i'boxo'bax- Type 13a, verb stem -i'boxt'bax-; -i'box- ablauted to - $i'b\bar{u}x$ - § 31, 2; -i'bax- umlauted to -i'bix- § 8, 3a.

38 § 86, 3.

39 ha- in § 36, 11b. -hūwū'uk'i = -hūwū'uk!-hi § 19 end; third personal subject agrist of instrumental verb -huwū'uk!i\*n Type 3 I SPREAD (MAT) OUT § 64.

"Compounded of demonstrative ga THAT and na't' participle in -t' § 76 of verb nagai- Type 4 a Do, BE, verb stem na-; see Appendix A.

41 Postposition § 96; gi- umlauted from ga- § 8, 4.

\*\* al- § 36, 15b, here with uncertain force; -rali third personal subject, third personal object agrist Type 1 in form, though intransitive in meaning § 67 footnote.

43 § 86, 1.

"Third personal possessive of noun yog- (?) § 86, 1 with noun-characteristic -a § 92 III. FIRE ITS-PLACE is regular pariphrasis for FIRE'S PLACE.

45 Local phrase with pre-positive ha-IN; -8.5u §86, 1 does not seem otherwise to occur.

46 Connective compounded of demonstrative ga that and enclitic particle -8: if § 114,4.

47 Subordinate form of alxali, note 42; § 70 (see transitive paradigm).

48 Local phrase with pre-positive  $h\bar{a}^{c}ya$ - on both sides of and noun-characteristic -a § 95; -p/iy-a\from p/i fire.

<sup>19</sup> Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative ga THAT and na\*ne'x infinitive of verb na\*nagai-, verb stem na\*na- §§ 69; 74, 1; Appendix A.

50 Temporal adverb in -n § 112, 3.

51 yap!a see note 1; -fa deictic post-nominal element § 102 (people of long ago contrasted with those of to-day).

62 wi'lli or will's third personal pronominal form § 92 III of noun wi'll house see note 2. People theirhouse regular periphrasis for People's House. Observe that predicate verb (third personal agrist of to be) is not expressed in this Sentence.

63 Temporal adverb in -xa § 112, 2.

64 sama'ra cf. note 53; -s'ie § 114, 4.

<sup>65</sup> Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative stem a- THIS § 104 and  $na^{\epsilon}ne^{\lambda}x$  see note 49.

56 Negative adverb of aorist § 113, 3.

57 Postposition with willi § 96.

58 § 86, 1. gwa's wili brush house form compound noun § 88.

69 Particle in -xa §§ 112, 2; 114, 9.

60 wi- § 37, 8. -t'ge'ye- $\epsilon k'$ i = -t'geye- $\epsilon k'$ -hi § 19 end; third personal subject, third personal object aorist of instrumental verb -t'ge'ye- $\epsilon k'$ ! Further around § 64; -k!- petrified suffix § 42, 7.

<sup>61</sup> Local adverb with pre-positive ha- IN § 95, noun stem -bin- not freely occurring § 86, 1, and noun-characteristic -i § 89, 3.

62 Participle in -t' § 76; see note 40.

 $<sup>33 \,</sup> x\bar{a}$ - § 36, 7b;  $-\bar{i}$ - instrumental §36, 6;  $x\bar{a}^{\epsilon\bar{i}}$ - with  $\epsilon$  to mark hiatus § 6. -sgip/isgap' third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -sgip/isgibi $\epsilon$ n Type 13a I CUT IT UP TO PIECES iterative of verb -sgi $\ell$ 'ibi $\epsilon$ n Type 6; §§ 63; 40,13; 43,1.

#### [Translation]

The people are making a house. A post they set in the ground, and here again they set one in the ground, yonder again they set one in the ground, in four places they set them in the ground. Then also they place beams across on top in four places, and above (these) they put one across just once. And just then they make the house wall; and then on top they place the house boards, those they make out of sugar-pine lumber. Then they finish it on top, on either side they finish it. Then they make the door, and on top they make a hole for the going out of the smoke. And then they make a ladder, they notch out (a pole), for going down to the floor they make it; and the house wall they make.


Then they finish it, all cleaned inside. Now rush mats they spread out inside, on such the people sit. The fireplace is in the center, so that they are seated on either side of the fire. In that way, indeed, was the house of the people long ago; in winter their house was such. But in summer they were sitting like now, not in the house. Just a brush shelter they placed around, so that the fireplace they made in the middle. Thus they dwelt in summer, not as in winter in a house.

<sup>1</sup> We were sitting out in the open when this text was dictated.

# COOS

BY

LEO J. FRACHTENBERG



# CONTENTS

	rage
Introduction	303
1. Distribution and history	305
§ 2–14. Phonology	306
§ 2. Vowels	306
§ 3. Consonants	306
§ 4. Sound groupings	307
§ 5. Accent	309
§§ 6–14. Phonetic laws	310
§ 6. Introductory	310
§§ 7–11. Vocalic processes	310
§ 7. Vocalic harmony	310
§ 8. Consonantization of <i>i</i> - and <i>u</i> - diphthongs	312
§ 9. Contraction	313
§ 10. Hiatus	314
§ 11. Processes due to change from terminal to medial position	315
§§ 12–14. Consonantic processes	316
§ 12. Types of consonantic processes	316
§ 13. Consonantic euphony	316
§ 14. Simplification of doubled consonants	317
15. Grammatical processes	317
16. Ideas expressed by grammatical processes	318
§ 17–95. Morphology	319
§§ 17–24. Prefixes	319
§ 17. The articles $l_E$ and $h_E$	319
§ 18. The personal pronouns	321
§ 19. Inchoative qa-	322
§ 20. Privative k·/ä	323
§ 21. Adverbial n-	323
§ 22. Locative <i>x</i>	323
§ 23. Discriminative x-	324
§ 24. Modal and instrumental x-	325
§§ 25–80. Suffixes	326
§ 25. General remarks	326
§§ 26–55. Verbal suffixes	328
§§ 26–27. Transitive suffixes	328
§ 26. Transitive -t, -ts	328
§ 27. Causative -iyat	331
§§ 28–31. Intransitive suffixes	332
§ 28. Intransitive -aai	332
§ 29. Reciprocal -meu	332

§§ 17–95. Morphology—Continued	Page
§§ 25–80. Suffixes—Continued	
§§ 26-55. Verbal suffixes—Continued	
§§ 28–31. Intransitive suffixes—Continued	200
§ 30. Suffixes defining the subject $-qEm$ , $-xEm$ ; $-\tilde{u}$ ; $-\tilde{e}m$	332
§ 31. Neutral - <i>i</i> , - <i>ē i</i>	334
§§ 32–35. Semi-temporal suffixes	335
§ 32. Inchoative -iwe	335
§ 33. Frequentatives $-\bar{e}^{i}wa(t) - \bar{o}^{u}wa(t)$	336
§ 34. Frequentative causative -aē iwat	337
§ 35. Transitionals -iye, -nts, -u	338
§§ 36–43. Modal suffixes	340
§ 36. Modal -tc	340
§ 37. Distributives $-n\bar{e}^i$ , $-n\bar{i}$ ; $-\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ ; $-\bar{a}\bar{y}am$ ; $-waq$	341
§§ 38–42. The passive voice	343
§ 38. Present passive -ū	343
§ 39. Past passive $-\bar{a}yu$ , $-\bar{e}iyu$ , $-\bar{i}yu$	344
§ 40. Passive - <i>īyeqEm</i>	344
§ 41. Causative passive -eet, -et; -îyEm	345
§ 42. The passive participle $-\bar{a}\bar{y}a^{u}$	347
§ 43. The imperative	347
§§ 44–45. Verbalizing suffixes	349
§ 44. Auxiliary -e (-a)	349
§ 45. Verbal -enī	349
§§ 46–50. Pronominal suffixes	350
§ 46. Transitive subject and object pronouns	350
§ 47. Transitive verbs in -āya	352
§ 48. Subject and object pronouns of verbs in $-\bar{a}ya$	354
§ 49. Transitive verbs in -a	354
§ 50. Verbs in -anāya with direct and indirect object pronoun.	355
§\$ 51–54. Plural formations	356
§ 51. General remarks	356
§ 52. Reflexive plural -û.	357
§ 53. Causative passive plural -iyEm	358
§ 54. Direct plural object -itEx	358
	359
§ 55. Miscellaneous suffixes	360
§§ 56-80. Nominal suffixes	360
§§ 56–65. General nominalizing suffixes	360
§ 56. Nominal -is.	
§ 57. Nouns of quality in -Es, -tEs, -ents	361
§ 58. Nouns of location in -Em	362
§ 59. Verbal abstract -āwas, -nē iwas	362
§ 60. Verbal nouns in -ōnis, -sī	363
§ 61. Nouns of quantity in-in-	364
§ 62. Nouns of agency in -ayawa, -eyäwe, -īyawa	364
§ 63. Nominalizing suffix indicating place, -is	365
§ 64. Nominalizing suffix indicating locality, -ume	365
§ 65. Terms of relationship in -ätc (-atc)	365
§ 66. Suffixes -ēx, -īyex, īyetex	367
§§ 67–70. Adverbial suffixes	367
§ 67. Local and modal -ē itc, -ītc	367
§ 68. Local suffix, indicating motion, -etc	369
§ 69. Local -ewîtc	370
8 70 Instrumental Eta	370

§§ 17–95. Morphology—Continued	Page
§§ 25–80. Suffixes—Continued & 56–80. Nominal suffixes—Continued	
33 00 00. Hominat ballines continued	071
§ 71. Superlative -eyîm § 72. Distributive -fnī	371 371
§ 73. Interrogative -ū	372
§§ 74-77. Numeral suffixes.	372
§ 74. Ordinal -is	372
§ 75. Multiplicative -en	373
§ 76. Ordinal-multiplicative -entcis.	373
§ 77. Distributive -hîña	374
§§ 78-79. Plural formations	374
§ 78. Irregular plurals	374
§ 79. Plural of terms of relationship, -iyas	375
§ 80. Minor suffixes	375
§§ 81–83. Reduplication	377
§ 81. Introductory	377
§ 82. Initial reduplication	377
§ 83. Final reduplication	380
§§ 84–85. Phonetic changes	382
§ 84. Vocalic changes	382
§ 85. Consonantic changes	383
§§ 86–95. Syntactic particles	383
§ 86. Introductory	383
§ 87. Temporal particles	383
§ 88. Particles denoting degrees of certainty and knowledge	385
§ 89. Particles denoting connection with previously expressed ideas	389
§ 90. Particles denoting emotional states	389
§ 91. Particles denoting the conditional	391
§ 92. Exhortative particles.	392
§ 93. Particles denoting emphasis.	393
§ 94. Restrictive particles	394
§ 95. The interrogative particle i	394
\$\$ 96-100. The pronoun	395
§ 96. The independent personal pronouns	395
§§ 97–98. The possessive pronouns. § 97. The sign of possession, $\hat{u}$ .	396 396
§ 98. The possessive pronouns proper.	398
§ 99. The reflexive pronouns.	400
§ 100. The demonstrative pronouns.	400
§§ 101–102. The numeral	403
§ 101. The cardinals	403
§ 102. The decimal system	404
§§ 103–106. The adverb	404
§ 103. Introductory	404
§ 104. Local adverbs and phrases.	405
§ 105. Temporal adverbs	405
§ 106. Modal adverbs	406
§§ 107–112. Particles	407
§ 107. Introductory	407
§ 108. Pronominal particles	407
§ 109. Numeral particles	409
§ 110. Conjunctions	409
§ 111. Interjections	410
§ 112. Miscellaneous particles	410

		Page
§ 113.	The stem itse'ts	411
§ 114.	Verbs as adjectives	412
§ 115.	Nouns as qualifiers	412
§ 116.	Vocabulary	412
§ 117.	Structure of sentences	414
§ 118.	Idiomatic expressions	415
Texts	•	

## INTRODUCTION

The material on which this account of the Coos language is based was collected at the Siletz reservation, Oregon, during the summer of 1909. I obtained nineteen complete myths and other texts with interlinear translations, and linguistic material consisting chiefly of forms, phrases, and sentences. I have also had at my disposal a number of texts and grammatical notes collected by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, during the summer of 1903, which were of great assistance on many points.

This material was obtained chiefly from James Buchanan and Frank Drew, both of whom proved to be intelligent and reliable informants. To the former especially I am indebted for the complete and rich collection of myths and texts, while the latter was my chief source of information on points of grammar and lexicography. Frank Drew's untiring efforts and almost perfect command of English made him a very valuable interpreter, in spite of the fact that this advantage was offset in a great many cases by his knowledge of the Hanis and Miluk dialects of the Coos, and by his inability to draw a dividing-line between the two dialects. Hence his information was very often contradictory, and showed many discrepancies; but, on the whole, he was found trustworthy and reliable.

In conclusion I wish to express my deep gratitude to my teacher, Professor Franz Boas, for the many valuable suggestions made in connection with this work, and for the keen and unceasing interest which he has taken in me during the many years of our acquaintance. It was at his suggestion that this work was undertaken; and its completion is due mainly to the efforts and encouragement received from him. He it was who first imbued me with an enthusiasm for the primitive languages of the North American continent, and the debt which I owe him in this and in a great many other respects will be of everlasting duration.

Columbia University, April, 1910.



# COOS

## By Leo J. Frachtenberg

# § 1. DISTRIBUTION AND HISTORY

The Kusan stock embraces a number of closely related dialects that were spoken by the people inhabiting (until 1857) Coos bay and the region along the Coos river. Their neighbors were Siuslauan,1 Kalapuyan, and Athapascan tribes. On the north they came in contact with the Umpqua 1 Indians, on the east they bordered on the Kalapuya, while on the south they were contiguous to the Rogue river tribes, especially the Coquelle.<sup>2</sup> In 1857, when the Rogue river war broke out, the United States Government, acting in self-defence, removed the Coos Indians to Port Umpqua. Four years later they were again transferred to the Yahatc reservation, where they remained until 1876. On the 26th day of April, 1876, Yahatc was thrown open to white settlers, and the Indians of that reservation were asked to move to Siletz; but the Coos Indians, tired of the tutelage of the United States Indian agents, refused to conform with the order, and emigrated in a body to the mouth of the Siuslaw river, where the majority of them are still living.

Of the two principal dialects, Hanis and Miluk,<sup>3</sup> the latter is now practically extinct; while the former is still spoken by about thirty individuals, whose number is steadily decreasing. As far as can be judged from the scanty notes on Miluk collected by Mr. St. Clair in 1903, this dialect exhibits only in a most general way the characteristic traits of the Kusan stock. Otherwise it is vastly different from Hanis in etymological and even lexicographical respects.

The name "Coos" is of native origin. It is derived from the reduplicated stem ku'kwis south, which appears very often in phrases like xkukwis sume from where south is,  $k\bar{u}sem\bar{i}'tcite$  southwards, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Erroneously classified by Powell as part of the Yakonan family. My recent investigations show Siuslaw to form an independent linguistic group consisting of two distinct dialects,—Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw. A grammatical sketch of the former dialect will be found in this volume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An Athapascan tribe living on the upper course of the Coquelle river.

<sup>\*</sup>Spoken on the lower part of the Coquelle river, and commonly called Lower Coquelle.

The Coos call their own language  $h\bar{a}'n\hat{\imath}s$   $L/\bar{e}'y\hat{\imath}s$  the hans tongue. The present work deals with this dialect only, as sufficient material could not be obtained for the purpose of writing a grammar of the Miluk dialect.

Texts of myths and tales were collected by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, and by the author of the present sketch, and were published by Columbia University. All references accompanying examples refer to page and line of that publication.

# PHONOLOGY (§§ 2-14)

## § 2. Vowels

The phonetic system of Coos is rich and fully developed. Clusters of consonants occur very frequently, but are void of difficult complications. The vowels show a high degree of variability, and occur in short and long quantities. The obscure vowel  $\varepsilon$  is very frequent, and seems to be related to short  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Resonance vowels occur very often, and are indicated in this work by superior vowels. The diphthongs are quite variable. Long  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  is not a pure vowel, but glides from  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  to  $\bar{\imath}$ ; it can hardly be distinguished from long  $\bar{\imath}$ , to which it seems to be closely related. In the same manner long  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  glides from  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  to  $\bar{\imath}$ , and was heard often as a long  $\bar{\imath}$ -vowel.

The following may be said to be the Coos system of vowels and diphthongs:

			Vow	els				Semi-vowels	Diphthon	gs
		$\boldsymbol{E}$								
$\alpha$	e	¥	i	î	0	u	û	w, y	$ai, a^u,$	$e^u$
$\bar{a}$	$\ddot{a}$	ē	ī		ō	$\bar{u}$			$ar{e}^t$	$\bar{o}^u$

Short e is pronounced like e in the English word Helmet, while the umlauted  $\ddot{a}$  corresponds to the open e-vowel in German wählen. It very often occurs as the umlauted form of long  $\bar{a}$ .  $\hat{i}$  represents the short y-vowel so commonly found in the Slavic languages; while a indicates exceedingly short, almost obscure a.  $\bar{a}$  can not occur after the palatal surd a and fortis a.

# § 3. Consonants

The consonantic system of Coos is characterized by the prevalence of the sounds of the k and l series, by the frequent occurrence of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Coos Texts, Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, vol. 1.

aspiration, by the abundance of long (double) consonants l,  $\overline{m}$ ,  $\overline{n}$ , and  $\overline{y}$ , and by the semi-vocalic treatment of the nasals m, n, and of the lateral sounds (indicated in this sketch by a circle under the consonant). Surds and sonants were not always pronounced distinctly, especially in the alveolar series. No aspirated consonants were found besides the aspirated t and k. The fortis is pronounced with moderate airpressure and glottal and nasal closure.

The system of consonants may be represented as follows:

	Sonant	Surd	Fortis	Spirant	Nasal
Velar	(g ?)	q	q!	$\gamma, x$	_
Palatal				v) –	-
Anterior palatal	$g^{\centerdot}$	k.	k·!	$x^{\bullet}$	4101
Alveolar	d	t, t	t!	s, c	$n, \bar{n}$
Affricative	(dz?), dj	ts, tc	ts!, tc!	700 - 11	- 0
Labial	Ъ	p	p!		$m, \overline{m}$
Lateral	Ļ	L	L!	$l, \bar{l}, \bar{t}$	-
Glottal stop	ε	_	-	-	-
Aspiration	-	-		x x•	-
10	$y, \bar{y}$		h	rv	

The glottal stop, when not inherent in the stem, may occur independently only before l, m, n, and w. It always disappears before velar and palatal sounds. The aspiration is always accompanied by a stricture corresponding to the quality of the vowel preceding it. After a, o, and u (and u diphthongs) it is of a guttural character; while when following e, i-vowels, or the i-diphthongs, it becomes palatal. It disappears before a following w or y.

```
nha^{w'x}ts I make it 10.4 nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat I have it 18.4 nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat nha^{u
```

# § 4. Sound Groupings

As has been stated before, clusters of consonants are extensive, but present few complications. Whenever difficulties arise in pronouncing them, there is a strong tendency, inherent in the language, to simplify them. Thus, combinations of more than two consonants are rare, except in cases where one of the component elements (frequently the middle consonant) is m, n, or one of the lateral series. Such combinations are made possible through the semi-vocalic character of these consonants. I have also found xpq, xex.

helq- to arrive	halqtsou'wat she would bring it
a'lgas fear 66.4	to him 72.8  agalqsîtōu'wat he scared him
de'msît prairie 22.12	92.20  demste'te through a prairie 22.11

In the same manner initial clusters, of which m, n, or l is the first element, are syllabified by vocalization of the first consonant either initially or terminally. A similar process takes place in clusters consisting of two consonants that belong to the same group.

The only consonantic combinations that are inadmissible are those of a t, ts or s+m or n.

Terminal clusters of three consonants are admissible only in cases where one of the component elements is a consonant easily subject to vocalization (a lateral, m or n).

l'nq 7.5	qa'mlt 102.16
yîxa'ntcnts 60.3	tqa'nıts 28.1

Terminal clusters of two consonants are confined to the combinations of m+t, m+s, m+x; n+alveolar or affricative, n+k; n+t; l+alveolar or affricative (excepting l+n), l+m; l+t and l+tc. All other combinations are inadmissible (see §11).

The following examples of terminal sound groupings may be given:

L!ē'x·sîmt 74.19	$\bar{\imath}^i lt$ 7.8
hatā'yîms 20.14	mîlt! 76.12
$y\hat{\imath}'xumx\ 122.22$	$tc\hat{\imath}ls$
k:/înt 5.2	$be'^ildj$
xwändj 6.8	he'wîlts 140.14
k!wînts 96.11	tc!îltc! 26.26
Lowe'entc 6.1	tk·elm 136.7 (St. Clair)
denk: 82.9	xalt 10.9
hanı 7.1	qe'ltc 6.4 .

An exceptional instance of a usually inadmissible sound grouping was found in xyî' helq 20.21.

All inadmissible terminal clusters are avoided through the insertion of a (weak) vowel between the two final consonants.

demsthelq-Lhînpmîlxalq-+-s (§ 25) wînq-+-s (§ 25)

de'msît prairie 22.12 he'laq he arrived 20.18 Lhî'\overline{n}ap he went through 22.11 mî'lax lunch 28.15 a'lqas fear 66.4 wî'nqas mat, spider 58.5

Inadmissible medial clusters are avoided through the insertion of a weak vowel or vowels:

winq-+-xem helq-+-xemlnq-+-a wîna'qaxem it is spread out 32.14 hela'qaxem it is the end 44.14 ûx lna'qa they two went down 8.4

## § 5. Accent

With the exception of the monosyllabic particles, that are either enclitic or proclitic, each word in Coos has its stress accent, designated by the acute mark (') or by the rising tone rendered here by ~. The former accent is not inseparably associated with any particular syllable of a word. It may, especially in cases of polysyllabic stems, be shifted freely from one syllable to another, although it is very possible that this apparent shifting of accent may be largely due to the rapidity with which the words in question were pronounced by the natives. The circumflex accent appears mostly on the last syllable, and may best be compared with the intonation given to the word so in the English interrogative sentence Is that so?

The accent very often modifies the syllable on which it falls by lending a specific coloring to the vowel, or by making it appear with a long quantity. This is especially the case in syllables with the obscure vowel, which, under the influence of accent, may be changed to an a or an e.

A very peculiar use of the accent is found in connection with the verbal stem  $he\bar{l}aq$ . This stem expresses two different ideas, that are distinguished by means of the two kinds of accent. When occurring with the stress accent ('),  $he'\bar{l}aq$  denotes to get, to arrive; while  $he\bar{l}aq$  with the rising tone of  $\tilde{a}$  expresses the idea to climb up.

# Phonetic Laws (§§ 6-14)

## § 6. Introductory

The phonetic laws are quite complex, and in a number of instances show such appalling irregularities that they defy all attempts at systematization. This is especially true of the contraction of two or more vowels into one, and of the law of hiatus. Broadly speaking, the phonetic processes may be said to be due to contact phenomena and, in rare instances, to the effects of accent.

# Vocalic Processes (§§ 7-11)

The processes treated in this division may be classified as follows:

- (1) Vocalic Harmony.
- (2) Consonantization of i- and u- diphthongs.
- (3) Contraction.
- (4) Hiatus.
- (5) Processes due to change from terminal to medial position.

## § 7. VOCALIC HARMONY

The most important phonetic law in the Coos language is the law of vocalic harmony. This tendency towards euphony is so strongly developed in the language, that it may safely be said to be one of its chief characteristics. Its purpose is to bridge over as much as possible the difficulties that would arise in trying to pronounce in quick succession syllables with vowels of widely different qualities. The process may be of a retrogressive or progressive character; that is to say, the suffix may change the quality of the stem-vowel, or vice versa. Only the vowels of the a- and e- series are affected by this phenomenon, which is not always purely phonetic.

The following suffixes cause a change from a to  $\ddot{a}$ , a process called the *i*-unlaut:

-ī neutral § 31 -īt pronominal § 46 -īye transitional § 35

nhā'wîts I make it grow ntsxaū'wat I kill him 26.22 nhā'k!"tîts I draw it up hä'wī he grew up 64.24

ntsxewe'īl she kills me 24.14

l häk!utī'ye they were drawn

up 30.1

A change of a-vowels into e-vowels due to other causes is effected by the pronominal suffixes  $-\bar{u}$  (§ 46),  $-\bar{e}m$  (§ 30), and by the imperative  $-\bar{e}$  (§ 43).

nhā'k!"utîts I draw it up k!a'wat he pecks at it 20.14 kwaā'nīya he knows it 26.18 pa'yat he shouted 32.1 tsxa"- to kill nhä'k!"utîtsū he draws me up nk'e'wîtū he pecks at me kwee'nīyēm they know it 24.22 L pēī'tE you must shout 32.2 tsxe'we kill him! 68.3

The following suffixes change the e-vowels of the stem into  $\alpha$ -vowels:

- $\bar{a}m\hat{\imath}$ , - $\bar{a}\hat{\imath}s$  pronominal § 46 - $\bar{a}yam$  distributive § 37 - $an\bar{a}ya$  § 50

tcîne'henī he is thinking 24.13, 14 he'wes a lie

x·ne'et it is on top 10.1 k!le'es black

 $x\ddot{a}'n\hat{\imath}s$  sick 42.18

prpä'wîs hat 136.14

e<sup>t</sup>tcînahanā'mî I am thinking of you

e hawasanā'îs you are lying to me

nx·naatā'ya I am riding (a horse)
k!laā'yam blackish (black here
and there)

xā'nanā'ya he made him feel sorry 42.18

pıpā'wîsanāya he made a hat out of it

[Note.—The suffix  $-an\bar{a}ya$  is composed of  $-en\bar{\imath} + -\bar{a}ya$ . The long  $\bar{a}$  of  $-\bar{a}ya$  affects the e of  $-en\bar{\imath}$ , and the compound suffix changes the quality of the stem-vowel.]

Here may also belong the qualitative change of  $y\hat{i}x\bar{e}^{i'}$  one and  $y\hat{u}'xw\ddot{a}$  two into  $y\hat{i}xah\hat{i}'\bar{n}a$  one each and  $y\hat{u}xwah\hat{i}'\bar{n}a$  two each (see p. 374), and changes like —

îs we'länī hanı we two fight will 116.11 (wîl- to fight) qamelänī'we he commenced to swim around (mîl- to swim)

[Compare also the change of the possessive pronoun  $l\ddot{a}$ ,  $l\bar{\imath}ye$ , into la,  $l\bar{\imath}ya$ , when preceding stems with a-vowels (see § 98).]

Progressive assimilation occurs very frequently, and affects almost all suffixes that have e-vowels. The following suffixes change their e-vowels under the influence of an a-vowel of the stem:

-e auxiliary §44

-enī verbal §45

-īye transitional §35

-etc adverbial §68

-īyawa nominal §62

nwî'tîne with blood it is (wîtîn blood) 20.6

îl ntc!wä'le they with fire are (tc!wä'l fire) 42.12

hätct!enī'yeqEm the story is being told (hä'tcît! story) 44.14, 15

îc hewese'nī you two are lying 28.13, 14 (he'wes lie)

qalîmī'ye morning it got 20.4 (qalîm- morning)

 $\bar{a}'yu \hat{\imath}x\cdot\bar{\imath}'ye$  surely a canoe it was (îx: canoe) 126.10

prairie he went 22.11 (de'msît prairie)

yîxä'wexetc la into the house he went (yîxä'wex house) 28.10, 11

 $la^u nk!\bar{a}'ha$  they with ropes are  $(k/\bar{a} \text{ rope}) 46.9$ 

nmî'laqa with an arrow he is (mî'lag arrow) 20.18

îl qanatcanī'waq they began to make fun (qa'natc joke) 50.12

ît kwā'xalanī they are making bows  $(kw\bar{a}'xal\ a\ bow)$ 

nhainahā'ya I active became (hai'na active)

ndowayaha'ya I happened to want it ( $d\bar{o}wa$ - to desire)

demste'tc Lhî'nap through a tek!wî'l xā'apatc he dove into the water  $(x\bar{a}'^ap$  water) 26.27

> L!tā'atc tsxawī'yat on the ground he put it down (L!ta earth, ground) 36.20, 21

The same progressive assimilation may have taken place in the change of the transitive suffix  $-\bar{e}^i wat$  into  $-\bar{o}^u wat$  (see p. 337) whenever suffixed to stems ending in u-diphthongs.

 $t^{E}kw\bar{\imath}L\bar{e}^{i}wat$  he is following  $v_{t}sxa\bar{\imath}'wat$  I kill him ( $tsxa^{u}$ -to kill) him 22.2 26.22

 $ntcintcine^{i}wat$  I am thinking  $e^{\epsilon}wilou^{i}wat$  you are looking for it (wîl-to look for something) 54.3 (of him)

Another assimilatory process of this type is the change of the particle  $\hat{\imath}l$  into el (hel) after a preceding n or L (see p. 388).

 $l_{E'} \gamma \bar{\imath} \, \hat{\imath} l \, \text{good, indeed 5.3}$ in hel not so! 42.23  $lE'\gamma\bar{\imath}$   $y\bar{\imath}'$  Lel good it would be indeed 70.5

In spite of this great tendency towards euphony, numerous instances will be found showing an absolute lack of vocalic harmony. Whether these cases are the result of imperfect perception, due to the rapid flow of speech or to other causes, cannot be ascertained with any degree of certainty.

#### § 8. CONSONANTIZATION OF I- AND U- DIPHTHONGS

The i and u of diphthongs are always changed into the semi-vocalic consonants y and w when they are followed by another vowel.

The only exception to this rule occurs in cases where the diphthong is contracted with the following vowel (see § 9).

pentō'wai whale 30.10 pentō'wayetc a whale with 88.30 ûx tîla'qai they two are living he'laq le mä tîla'qayetc he came to the people (who) lived (there) 24.1 36.12, 13 nt! Ecî' tauwe le mî' laq flint points  $t!^E c \hat{\imath}' t a^u$  flint point have the arrows 62.27 Lōwā'was food 22.14  $L\bar{o}^{u}$ - to eat xL!ts xwî'luxwītc she hit him over  $xwi'lux^u$  head 30.14 the head 66.5, 6 kwîs let us two 26.15  $k^u$  perhaps + îs we two

#### § 9. CONTRACTION

In Coos the contraction of two vowels immediately following each other is so uncertain that it is difficult to formulate any rule that would cover all irregularities. The main difficulty lies in the fact that contraction of vowels, and hiatus, seem constantly to interfere with each other. The following rules may be said to apply in all cases:

(1) Two vowels belonging to the u-series are contracted into a long  $\bar{u}$ .  $xtc\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{u}+\bar{u}\iota$   $xtc\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{\imath}\iota$  how would (it be) 5.2  $y\imath ku+\bar{\imath}\iota$   $y\imath k\bar{\imath}\iota$  perhaps it would (be) 17.7

(2) Two long  $\bar{\imath}$ -vowels are contracted into a long  $\bar{\imath}$ .

 $h\ddot{a}'k''^{u}t\bar{\imath} + \bar{\imath}ye$  ither harden harden

(3) Long  $\bar{e}$  or  $\bar{\imath}$  are contracted with a following  $\bar{a}$  into long  $\bar{a}$  or  $\bar{e}$ .

- $en\bar{i}+-\bar{a}ya$  - $an\bar{a}ya$  (see § 50)  $p \perp p \ddot{a}' w \hat{i} s e n \bar{i}$  he is making a  $p \perp p \bar{a} w \hat{i} s a' n \bar{a} y a$  he is making a hat out of it - $n\bar{e}^i + -\bar{a} w a s$  (see § 59)

(4) Vowels of very short quantities are usually contracted with the following vowels of longer quantities, regardless of quality. The quality of the longer vowel predominates in such amalgamations. In the process of contraction, an h preceding the second vowel disappears.

 $c^E + han L$  can a particle denoting certain expectation (see § 90) tsî + han L tsan L only then shall . . . 78.15

An exception to this rule is found in the case of the u-vowels, which change a following h into a w.

 $y\bar{u} + he$  yuwe' whenever 16.6  $ts\bar{o}$  then tsowe' as soon as 52.14

An interesting case of contraction is presented by the amalgamation of the personal pronouns and the negative particle  $\bar{\imath}n$ .

n I +  $\bar{\imath}n$  not is contracted into  $n\bar{\imath}$ .  $e^{\epsilon}$  Thou +  $\bar{\imath}n$  not is contracted into  $\bar{\epsilon}n$ .  $xw\hat{\imath}n$  we two +  $\bar{\imath}n$  not is contracted into  $xw\hat{\imath}^{i}n$ .  $l\hat{\imath}n$  we +  $\bar{\imath}n$  not is contracted into  $l\hat{\imath}^{i}n$ .  $c\hat{\imath}n$  you +  $\bar{\imath}n$  not is contracted into  $c\hat{\imath}^{i}n$ .

 $n\bar{\imath}$   $tc\bar{\imath}tc$   $la^u$   $tsxa\bar{\imath}'wat$  not I how that one (to) kill it 62.21  $\bar{\imath}n$  han L  $d\bar{\imath}'l$  you not will (be) something 10.5  $xwi^in$   $kwa\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}ya$  we two not know it 120.23  $li^in$  can L  $xtc\bar{\imath}tc$  sqats we (can) not seize her 56.18 .  $ci^in$   $k\cdot ell\bar{\imath}^iwat$  you not forget it 40.18

Following are examples of uncontracted negative forms:

 $\hat{u}x$   $\bar{i}n$   $kwa\bar{a}'n\bar{i}ya$  they two (did) not know it 22.9, 10  $\hat{i}t$   $\bar{i}n$  k· $\hat{i}t\bar{o}'w\hat{i}t$  they (did) not see it 32.3

### § 10. HIATUS

The same uncertainty that exists in the case of contraction of vowels is found in the law of hiatus. Broadly speaking, it may be said that the coming-together of two vowels of like quantities and qualities is avoided by means of infixing a weak h between them. Two vowels of dissimilar quantities and qualities are kept apart by means of the accent.

Examples of insertion of h:

 $kwa\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}ya + -\bar{a}ya$  $kwa\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}yah\bar{a}'ya$  (they) came to know it 102.29  $nneh\bar{\imath}'ye$  I came to be (the one)  $n'ne + -\bar{\imath}ye$ sīl'nēhī'ye joined together it be $s\bar{\imath}_L'n\bar{e}^i + -\bar{\imath}_{Ye}$ came 13.4 ts!xa + -ants!xa'ha le kwā'xal (covered) with skin is the bow 62.27, 28  $h\bar{u}^u m\ddot{a}'k$ ·e+-e $\hat{u}x nh\bar{u}^u m\ddot{a}'k'ehe$  they two with wives are 42.15  $helm\bar{\imath} + -\hat{\imath}s$ helmī'hîs next day 6.7

Examples of division by means of accent:

 $lE + -\hat{\imath}tc$  $L!t\bar{a} + -atc$  xle'îtc L!äts with it he spoke 16.2 L!ta'atc lemī'yat into the ground he stuck it 64.1

### § 11. PROCESSES DUE TO CHANGE FROM TERMINAL TO MEDIAL POSITION

Terminal consonantic clusters are avoided by inserting a weak vowel between two consonants standing in final position (see § 4). But as soon as a suffix is added to a stem thus expanded, changing the cluster from a terminal to medial position, the inserted vowel is dropped, and the consonants are combined into a cluster.

mî'tax lunch 28.15 mîlxa'nem lunch make me 114.5 demstete Lhî'nap to the prairie de'msît prairie 22.12 he came 22.11  $Lh\hat{\imath}'\bar{n}ap$  he went through 22.11 ûx Lhînpī'ye they two through 112.1 ûx alqsā'ya they two are afraid of a'lgas fear 66.4 it 7.5 hätct!enī'yeqem a story is being hä'tcît! story 20.2 told 44.14. 15 tcî'ltsExem he was astonished 128. tcî'lats he was astonished 22,28 kwā'xal bow 60.14 ûx nkwā'xla they two have bows mî'lat he swam 30.7  $m\hat{i}'lt^Eqem$  he swam (out) 100.16

On the whole, Coos shows a marked tendency toward clustering of consonants in medial position. Thus, when a suffix beginning with a long vowel is added to a stem that has already been amplified by means of a suffix whose initial vowel is weak, the vowel of the first suffix is dropped, and its consonants are combined with the final consonants of the stem into a cluster.

hä' zätc elder brother 72.27 e'kuläte father 20.25  $la'^x L \hat{i}s$  mud 52.10 nhūu'mîsîts I marry her

härtei'yas elder brothers ekultcī'yas fathers xillsa' Etc with mud 52.13  $h\bar{u}^u m\hat{s}ts\bar{o}^{u'}wat$  he married 26.14

This change from a terminal to a medial position effects sometimes the dropping of a whole syllable.

72.1

mîrkwi'yätc younger brother ît mîrkwi'tcînī they are younger brothers (mutually) 84.20

*îluwe'\*tcîs* heart 5.3

*îlū'tcîsītc lō'qutats* in his heart she was boiling 108.27

pīī'yat he took him home 30.13

xwîn e<sup>e</sup>pīītā'mî hanı we two thee take home will 126.19, 20

Another effect due to this law is the weakening of the vowel of the syllable immediately preceding the suffix. This change takes place regularly when two or more suffixes have been added to one and the same stem.

through  $h\bar{u}^u m\hat{s}t\bar{s}\bar{o}^{u'}wat$  he takes him through  $h\bar{u}^u m\hat{s}t\bar{s}\bar{o}^{u'}wat$  he is marrying them 26.14

nıhînptsō'wîtū he takes me through e<sup>ε</sup>hū<sup>u</sup>mîstsōwîtā'mî hanı I marry thee will 184.6

## Consonantic Processes (§§ 12-14)

### § 12. TYPES OF CONSONANTIC PROCESSES

Consonantic changes are few in number, and due to contact phenomena. The following are the processes affecting consonants:

- (1) Consonantic euphony.
- (2) Simplification of doubled consonants.

### § 13. CONSONANTIC EUPHONY

This law affects the palatal sounds only, and results from a strong tendency, inherent in the language, to assimilate, whenever possible, the consonants of the k-series to the character of the preceding or following vowels. As a consequence of this tendency, i-vowels are invariably followed or preceded by the anterior palatals, while u-vowels change a following palatal into a k-sound with a u-tinge (a labialized k).

lā'nîk river 14.6
wîx ī'lîs food 14.7
tahā'lîk quiver 66.26
w nek hair 50.3
y îmg î'mîs rain

 $ts\ddot{a}'yux^u$  small 20.5  $m\ddot{a}'luk^u$  paint 10.2  $xw\hat{s}'lux^u$  head 30.14  $m\bar{e}l\ddot{a}'kuk^u$  salmon heart 34.25  $q\bar{o}^us$  all 9.3

Instances are not lacking where actual palatalization has taken place, or where an anterior k has been changed into a palatal k so as to conform to the character of the vowel following it.

k!a'lat he shouted 36.7

qak·elenī'we û mēn they began to shout 24.22

k!a'wat he pecks at it 20.9

k·!e'wîtēm some one is pecking

§§ 12-13

ha'kat he crawled 32.12tka'lmîts he sinks itk!xa'ye'es he is talkingto him 30.23

 $pk\bar{a}k$ · grandfather 28.19  $tah\bar{a}'l\hat{\imath}k$ · quiver 66.26  $ax\cdot\bar{\imath}'axatc$  uncle  $k^{u}m\bar{a}'x$ · horn 86.25

xha'k- $\hat{x}tc$  crawlingly 32.10  $t^{\underline{x}}k$ - $e'lm\hat{x}xem$  (a) deep place 84.24 k-k-e'lm ye'es talk to me

pkā'katc grandfather 30.6 tahā'līkatc into the quiver 116.19 axā'x' uncle 34.9 nkumā'xa it has a horn 88.7

The only cases of consonantic assimilation that occur in Coos are the changes of sonants into surds, under the influence of a following surd.

ya'bas maggots 40.12

xya'bas yaptî'tsa lä . . . maggots ate up his . . . (literally, maggoted his . . . ) 40.6

 $b\hat{\imath}sk\cdot e'tc\,y\hat{\imath}'xumx$  he had it (the  $p^Es\hat{\imath}'k\cdot \bar{a}'tsem$  a cup give me 68.17 water) in a cup 128.25

### § 14. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLED CONSONANTS

Doubled consonants are simplified in consequence of the tendency to avoid the clustering of too many consonants. The process consists in the simplification of a long (doubled) consonant, when followed by another consonant. Owing to the fact that only l, m, n, and y appear in doubled (long) quantities, they are the only consonants that are affected by this law.

mîlat he swam 30.7 tcî'lats he was astonished 22.28

Lh?' nap he went through 22.11
nmä' henet it is (crowded) with
people 20.1

 $m\hat{v}'lt^Eqem$  he swam (out) 100.16  $tc\hat{v}'lts^Exem$  he was astonished 128.

*Lhînptsōu'wat* he took him through *xmä'hentīte* like a person 30.22, 23

# § 15. GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES

All grammatical categories and syntactic relations in Coos are expressed by means of one of the five following processes:

- (1) Prefixation.
- (2) Suffixation.
- (3) Reduplication.
- (4) Syntactic particles.
- (5) Phonetic changes.

The number of prefixes is very small, and by far the majority of grammatical ideas are expressed by means of suffixes and syntactic particles. Reduplication, although frequently resorted to, is used to express only a limited number of categories; while the phonetic changes are very rare, and exhibit a decidedly petrified character.

## § 16. IDEAS EXPRESSED BY GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES

All stems seem to be neutral, and their nominal or verbal character depends chiefly upon the suffixes with which they are used. Consequently two different suffixes—one of a verbal and the other of a nominal character—may be added to the same stem, nominalizing or verbalizing it, according to the requirements of the occasion. In the following pages a distinction is made between verbal and nominal stems, which is based solely upon the sense in which the stem is used.

All prefixes express ideas of an adverbial character.

By far the majority of verbal suffixes indicate ideas of action and such concepts as involve a change of the subject or object of the verb. Hence ideas indicating causation, reciprocity, reflexive action, the passive voice, the imperative, etc., are expressed by means of suffixes. The pronouns denoting both subject and object of an action are indicated by suffixes. Only semi-temporal ideas, such as the inchoative, frequentative, and transitional stages, are expressed by means of suffixes; while the true temporal concepts are indicated by syntactic particles. Instrumentality and agency are also indicated by suffixes.

All local relations are expressed by nominal suffixes. Abstract concepts are formed by means of suffixes.

Ideas of plurality are very little developed, and, with the exception of a few suffixes, are expressed by different verbal and nominal stems. Distributive plurality occurs very often, especially in the verb, and is indicated by suffixes or by reduplication. Reduplication expresses, furthermore, continuation, duration, and repetition of action.

A great variety of concepts are expressed by syntactic particles, especially ideas relating to emotional states and to degrees of certainty.

In the pronoun, three persons, and a singular, dual, and plural, are distinguished. Grammatical gender does not exist. The first person dual has two distinct forms,—one indicating the inclusive (I AND THOU) and the other the exclusive (I AND HE).

The demonstrative pronoun shows a variety of forms, but does not distinguish sharply between nearness or remoteness in relation to the three pronominal persons.

The numeral is very well developed, exhibiting special forms for the ordinal, multiplicative, and the distributive, which are indicated by means of suffixes.

The syntactic structure of the Coos sentence is very simple, and is characterized by the facility with which the different parts of speech may shift their position without changing in the least the meaning of the sentence. Incorporation and compound words are entirely absent, and the various parts of speech are easily recognizable through their suffixes.

# **MORPHOLOGY** (§§ 17–95)

## Prefixes (§§ 17-24)

The number of prefixes is small. Three of the six prefixes found in this language — namely, the local, discriminative, and modal x- must have originally expressed one general idea incorporating these three concepts, because the phonetic resemblance between these suffixes is too perfect to be a mere coincidence. In addition to these prefixes, the article and the personal pronouns may be treated in this chapter, as they are loosely prefixed to the nominal (or verbal) stems, and in a great many cases form a phonetic unit with the words that follow them.

# § 17. The Articles le and he

The article  $l_E$ , or  $h_E$ , is used in the singular and plural alike, and may denote a definite or indefinite object. The definite article indicates an object that actually exists or that is intimately known to the speaker. No fixed rules can be given for the occurrence of the two different forms  $l_E$  and  $h_E$ , but the following general principle may be said to hold good:  $h_E$  tends to occur at the beginning of a sentence and after words ending in vowels, dentals, and sibilants; while  $l_E$  occurs in all other cases.

he hatā'yîms (1) mîx sō'wē' (2) k!a'wat (3) he to'qmas (4) the woodpecker (4) is pecking at (3) the lucky (2) money (1) 20.15 hî'nī stō"q le dī'lōl there stood the young man 22.27 wändj teîne'henī he dī'lōl thus was thinking the young man 24.13, 14

e'nek' le L!tā sticking out was the earth 6.7

The article very often performs the function of the personal pronoun of the third person singular, and in such cases is to be rendered by HE, SHE, OT IT.

he'īt le he'laq le wî'nqas û temî'snätc (in order) to gamble he arrived, the spider's grandson 66.20, 21
he tsū'tsū he was killed 96.14

The article has a general nominalizing function, and when prefixed to adverbs, adjectives, etc., gives them the force of nouns.

 $h_E g\bar{o}^u s d\bar{i}^l k'y_E ai's tsxaw\bar{i}'yat$  everything separately he put down 48.18, 19

 $g\bar{o}^{u}s$   $d\bar{\imath}^{i}l$   $lai'^{x}$ -tset hex  $kwi'na^{u}tc$  (of) everything was started the appearance (i. e., everything began to have its present appearance) 12.7

he qa'ıtes the length

mā îl lε ehe'ntc mä γā'lanī surely, (whatever) the far-off people were talking 66.13

 $la^u$  he'î lau kwî' let le  $e^{\epsilon}k^{\cdot}\hat{v}'L\bar{o}^uts$  that (was) their sweat-house, which you found 62.25

n'ne īte le e dōwāyextā'îs qawwa I am the one whom you wanted last night 50.25, 26

In some instances the article is prefixed to the personal pronoun of the third person singular for the sake of emphasis.

ta le'xä lau qats î'nīex and he, he was just alone 68.2 ta le î'lxä lau penzō'wai îl zōwē'wat and they, they whale are eating 130.13

It is also prefixed for the same purpose to the demonstrative pronoun  $la^{u}$ .

 $l E l a^u \ qal. | \bar{a} xex. \bar{i}'we$  these began to flop around 17.6  $qantc \ l E l a^u \ l a \bar{a}' \bar{y} am$  wherever these went 22.17, 18

In certain local phrases the article prefixed to the whole and followed by the local term very often expresses local relation.

he de'msît ntceene'nîs hau tilëqts $\bar{u}$  at the edge (of) the prairie they sat down 22.15

he tskwa'xlîs nhal! stō'waq at the lower part (of) the fir-tree he stood up 26.17

(For the article as a possessive prefix, see § 98.)

# § 18. The Personal Pronouns

The following are the personal pronouns in Coos:

Singular	1st person	
Dual	Inclusive	
Plural	1st person        lin-         2d person        cîn-         3d person        il-	

There is no special form for the third person singular, which is expressed by the mere stem or by the article.

he'mîs dī'l ņk'îlō'wît big something I saw 62.21
tsî e'qa'qat merely you are sleeping 68.19
ā'yu tō'hîts indeed! he hit it 13.3
îs alî'canī hanı we (two) will play 38.11
mä xwîn wutxaī'yat a man we (two) brought home 128.8
tsō îc le'yī now you two (are) well 120.20
ā'yu ûx lēān surely they two went down into the water 54.16
lîn pī'x pī hanı we will go home 120.21
cîn sqats hanı te tc!wäl you will seize that fire 40.18, 19
asō' tcī îl wu'txe again here they returned 30.5

The second persons dual and plural for the imperative form of intransitive verbs are  $\hat{\imath}ce^{\epsilon}$  and  $\hat{cine^{\epsilon}}$  respectively, instead of  $\hat{\imath}c$  and  $\hat{cin}$ .

 $\hat{c}c^{\varepsilon}$   $st\bar{c}^{u}q$  you two stand up! 120.15  $ts\hat{c}'x^{*}t\bar{i}$   $\hat{c}c^{\varepsilon}$   $dj\bar{i}$  here you two come! 82.13  $\hat{c}ine^{\varepsilon}$   $t\bar{c}^{u}q$  you get up! 30.19

# But compare—

îc hemī'yE you two lay him bare! 24.10

tēi îc q!mî'tsE this you two eat! 120.16

tcī cîn L!eī'yE teņ k·e'la there you put this my hand! 80.19

The pronoun of the third person plural (îl) very often precedes the article or the possessive pronoun of the third person singular in order to emphasize the idea of plurality.

gōus dīt lau to!lēt wat, ît le mēlä'kuku, ît le ptsä, ît le mî'luxas everything he is drying,—the salmon hearts, the gills, the tails 34.25, 26

xle'îtc lîplī'yap lä ä, îl lä k'e'la, îl la kxla with it she painted their faces, their hands, their feet 122.7

The numerical particle  $\bar{\imath}'k'\bar{\imath}$  both very often precedes the dual pronouns in order to emphasize the idea of duality.

tsō ī'k·ī qaxa'ntc ûx x·î'ntset now both (of them) got on top 14.1

In the same way the particle  $g\bar{o}^{u_8}$  all is placed before the plural forms in order to bring out the idea of plurality.

lau gōus wändj îl L!ä'xem these all that way are talking 50.9, 10

As has been remarked before, the pronouns are loosely prefixed enclitics. They form no integral part of the word, although with a few exceptions they precede immediately the noun or verb to which they belong. They are always placed before the prefixes enumerated in §§ 19-24.

 $\bar{\imath}'k\bar{\imath}\hat{\imath}x nkw\bar{a}'x\iota a$  both of these have bows (literally, both they two [are] with bows) 12.9

tsō nqalōwī'we now I commence to eat

îc xqantcū'wîs you two from what place (are)? 126.14

îl k: !äxā'ap they have no water (literally, they [are] without water) 38.2

The personal pronouns are contracted with the negative particle  $\bar{\imath}n$  into  $n\bar{\imath}$  1 Not,  $\bar{\imath}n$  thou not, etc. (see § 9). The prefixed personal pronouns are also used in the formation of transitive subject and object pronouns (see § 46).

# § 19. Inchoative qa-

This prefix denotes the commencement of an action. The verb to which it is prefixed takes, with a few exceptions, the suffixes -*iwe* or -*iye* (see §§ 32, 35).

ā' ȳu qatōwī'we indeed (she) commenced to eat 24.11 qatcînehenī'we (he) began to think 20.7 ûx qawelänī'we they two commenced to fight tsō ûx qayuwatī'ye now they two commenced to travel 12.6 qamîlī'ye (he) commenced to swim 30.3

When prefixed to an impersonal verb or to a noun with a verbal force, the suffix is omitted.

 $l\ddot{a}$   $L!ah\bar{a}'was$   $la^u$   $qa'xt\bar{o}^u$  her garments (these) commenced to get stiff 110.3

qayîxumatā'îs (he) commenced to travel around (literally, [he] commenced the traveling) 32.10

## § 20. Privative kulä-

It has the same function as the English suffix -Less. With the possessive pronoun, it expresses ABSENCE (p. 399).

îł k·!ätc!wä'l they (have) no fire 38.1

k·!ä'tetc mî'lat (she) swam around naked (lit., without clothes) 86.1 k·!ähuwā'was mîtsī'ltī'ye suddenly she became pregnant (literally, without delay she became pregnant) 10.7

## § 21. Adverbial n-

This prefix may be rendered by IN, AT, TO, ON, WITH. When preceded by the article or those pronouns that end in a vowel, it is suffixed to them, and the unit thus obtained is loosely prefixed to the noun. The same rule applies to the discriminative and modal x-.

aî'wît le mä nı!tā'yas he killed (all) the people in the village 112.9, 10

ā'yu yu'kwe län yîxä'wex surely he came ashore at his house (and not lä nyîxä'wex) 36.6

 $Low\hat{i}'tat\ he\ d\bar{i}'l\bar{b}l\ l\ddot{a}l\ nm\bar{i}'k'e$  ran the young man to that basket 28.27

nxala'wîs lau he'laq with heat she arrived 24.9

n- in the sense of with very often exercises the function of our auxiliary verb to have, to be. In such cases the noun to which it is prefixed takes the verbal suffix -e or  $-\alpha$  (see § 44).

nwî'tîne lä kuhä'yeq his excrements are bloody (literally, with blood [are] his excrements) 20.6, 7

îs nhūmä'k·ehe we two have wives (literally, we two with wives are) 10.9

 $n\bar{a}^a nt \ m\ddot{a} \ la^u \ tc! p\bar{a}' \bar{y} a^u \ nk! \bar{a}' ha$  many people have braided ropes (literally, many people those braided with ropes are) 46.8, 9

 $ntc.'a'ha\ d\bar{\imath}'l$  animals (lit., with "walkers" something [that is]) 46.1

# § 22. Locative x-

The prefix x- signifies from.

 $xqantc\ la^u\ s\bar{\imath}'^x\cdot t^Etsa$  from where that one scented it 22.24  $xqat\ tqan{\it L}ts$  from below he strikes it 28.1

When prefixed to nouns, the nouns usually take the adverbial suffix  $-\bar{e}^i tc$  IN (see § 67).

xkwîle' Lē'tc ndjī I came from the sweat-house (literally, from in the sweat-house I came)

In some cases the nouns take, instead of the suffix  $-\bar{e}^i tc$ , the adverbial prefix n- (see § 21).

ha'lkwît he k!ā häx nk!wî'nts he took the rope off his neck 98.23 (literally, he took off the rope his from on neck)

# § 23. Discriminative x-

The prefix x- occurs very often with the subject of transitive verbs, and denotes the performer of the action. (For x- preceded by the article or pronoun, see § 21.)

kā s tō'hîts hex dī'lōl almost hit it the young man 20.20, 21 kwî'la ha''\*ts lex mîtcı'tsînäte ice made the father-in-law 26.27,28 xyî'xē dä'mîl la ha'lqait one man to him came 15.5 īn kwaā'nīya lex wî'nqas hū''mîk not knew it the Spider-Old-Woman 58.9, 10

x- is always prefixed to the subject of the sentence when the sentence contains both subject and object, or when the person spoken to may be in doubt as to which noun is the subject of the sentence.

hūumîstsōu'wat lex dī'lōt le yû'xwä hūumä'k'e married the young man the two women 26.14

 $k \cdot \hat{\imath} l \bar{\jmath}' w \hat{\imath} t h_E w \hat{\imath} x \cdot \bar{\imath}' l \hat{\imath} s l_E x h \bar{u}^{u'} m \hat{\imath} s$  saw the food the woman 64.16, 17  $k \cdot \hat{\imath} l \bar{\jmath}' w \hat{\imath} t l_{E x} d \bar{a}' m \hat{\imath} t l_E x \bar{a}' n \hat{\imath} s$  saw the husband the sick (man) 128.11, 12

sqa'tshäl hūu'mîk' lex swal seized that old woman grizzly bear 102.21, 22

x- is never omitted as a prefix when the subject of the sentence is an animal, an inanimate object, or any part of speech other than a noun.

 $xcx\cdot\hat{n}ml\ nk\cdot\hat{n}l\bar{o}'w\hat{n}t\bar{u}$  the bear saw me (but  $cx\cdot\hat{n}ml\ nk\cdot\hat{n}l\bar{o}'w\hat{n}t$  I saw the bear)

xya'bas yaptî'tsa lä pî'lîk'îs maggots ate up his anus 40.6, 7 ak'a'nak' he'ilta hex x'ōwā'yas sticking out is (the) tongue the snake 42.1, 2

xqaine' Es  $k\bar{a}^a$ s  $tsxa\bar{u}'wat$  cold (weather) nearly killed him 32.7  $xw\hat{i}t$   $n_it\bar{o}'h\hat{i}ts\bar{u}$  some one hit me

nī kwaā'nīya xwît I don't know who (it is)

 $x\hat{n}'n\bar{\imath}_{EX}$   $x^*L.'\bar{o}'w\hat{\imath}t$   $L\bar{o}p\hat{\imath}'tetc$  alone (they) got into (the) basket 34.19, 20

xlalau lõuxtā'ya that is the one (who) watched it 94.6

īn le' yī xkwî' nautc it does not look good (literally, not good [the manner of] looking 34.18

xnāant lau lōuxlōu'wax many (persons) her were clubbing 80.4, 5

x- is always prefixed to the vocative cases of nouns when they are used with the possessive pronouns. This is due to the desire on the part of the speaker to avoid ambiguity or obscurity of meaning.

tā'ī nex hū"mîs halloo, my wife! 54.2

edjī nex dä'mîl you come, my husband! 70.16

edīouxtīyextā'îs hant nex cī't!ā you shall take care of me, oh, my pet! 86.20.21

 $e^{\epsilon}dj\bar{\imath}$  nex temä'mîs you come, my grandsons 82.12, 13  $e^{\epsilon}L\bar{\imath}^{u}k^{u}$  nex  $k!\bar{\imath}'la$  sit down, my father

While the vocative cases (especially for nouns expressing terms of relationship) have special forms, the omission of the discriminative prefix could nevertheless obscure the meaning of the sentence, as the possessive pronoun coincides with the form for the personal pronoun.

Thus, if in the sentence  $e^{\varepsilon}L\bar{o}^{\upsilon}k^{\upsilon}$  nex  $k/\bar{o}'la$ , the nex  $k/\bar{o}'la$  were deprived of its discriminative prefix, it might mean you sit down. I (am the) father. Since, however, the action is to be performed by the person addressed (in this particular instance, "the father"), it is discriminated by the prefix x-. Such an ambiguity can not occur in sentences where the vocative is used without the possessive pronoun, where the prefix is consequently omitted.

 $e^{\epsilon_L \bar{\rho}^u k^u} p k \bar{a}' k$  you sit down, grandfather! 108.14  $m \hat{\imath} k x a' n \epsilon_R \perp \bar{u}' m \bar{a}$  make me (necessarily) lunch, grandmother! 114.5

# § 24. Modal and Instrumental x-

This prefix may be best translated by IN THE MANNER OF. Its function is the same as that of our English suffix -LY. There is an etymological relation between this suffix and the discriminative and locative x-, although I was unable to ascertain its exact nature. The suffix -tc is frequently added to stems preceded by the modal prefix -x (see § 36).

\*\*xL\bar{o}we'ente k!wî'nts\* entirely L\bar{o}we'ente Lowî'tat all (seals) ran he swallowed her 102.23 (into the water) 56.9, 10

\*\*xte\bar{o}'tc\bar{u} e^\bar{v}a'lal how are 'you? te\bar{o}'tc\bar{u} ye^\bar{v} \bar{l}uwe'xte\bar{o}s\$ what do you (literally, in what way you do?) 36.13

\*\*L\bar{o}we'ente Lowî'tat all (seals) ran (into the water) 56.9, 10

\*\*te\bar{o}'tc\bar{u} ye^\bar{v} \bar{l}uwe'xte\bar{o}s\$ what do you heart?) 6.9; 7.1

xqa'lyeqēitc îl kwîna'ēiwat as salmon they look upon it (literally, in the manner of salmon they see it [qa'lyeq salmon]) 130.14

xpīye'etc qatnuwänī'we backwards she commenced to pull them (literally, in the manner of going home [pī'\*pī he goes home]) 80.8, 9

 $\bar{\imath}n~x\bar{a}'yuw\bar{\imath}tc~\bar{a}'tsa$  a small amount she gave her (literally, not in the manner of enough [ $\bar{a}'yu$  sure enough]) 64.21

nā'wîts hex t!Ecî'tc I finished shoving (literally, I finished in the manner of . . .)

This prefix is used frequently to express the idea of instrumentality. The noun is then usually followed by the adverbial suffix -etc (see § 70). The idea of instrumentality is here so closely interwoven with that of modality, that the instrumental use of a modal prefix is very natural.

k!wînt xmî'laqzte he shot at him with an arrow (literally, he shot at him he manner of an arrow) 22.16 paā'hīt he lō'pît xqa'lyeqete full (was) the basket with salmon 36.1 lex tsņna'hete l!ä'ts with the thunder language he spoke 18.9 xmīk'e'ete tōwîtînī'ye by means of a basket he was dropped down 28.9, 10

### Suffixes (§§ 25-80)

# § 25. General Remarks

The number of suffixes in Coos is quite small when contrasted with the numerous suffixes found in some of the neighboring languages. This number appears even smaller when we take into consideration the compound suffixes that consist of two, and in some cases of three, independent suffixes. A still more sweeping reduction may be obtained through an etymological comparison between the different suffixes. There can be little doubt that if the language, in its present status, would lend itself to an etymological analysis, many suffixes, apparently different in character and even in form, could be shown to be derived from one common base. Thus it is safe to say that the suffix -t primarily had a general verbal character, and that all the other suffixes ending in -t are derived from this original form. This assertion is substantiated by the fact that the present transitive suffix -ts is added to a number of stems that have already been verbalized by the general verbal -t suffix, and that the causative passive suffix -et is always preceded by the transitive -t or -ts (see § 26).

In the same manner it may be said that -s was the general suffix indicating nouns, and that all nominal suffixes ending in -s eventually go back to this nominal suffix.

This theory of a close etymological connection between the different suffixes is practically proven by a comparison of the various adverbial suffixes ending in -tc. Such a comparison will show that all these suffixes must have been derived from one universal form, which may be reconstructed as \*tc. Furthermore, all the suffixes expressing distribution have the element n- in common, which consequently may be regarded as the original suffix conveying the idea of distributive plurality; the more so, as in the following instances n- actually denotes distribution.

 $k \cdot e' la$  hand 48.17

 $dj\bar{\imath}$  it came 52.8 k:tsas ashes

 $\hat{cine}^{\varepsilon} t\hat{i}'k'$ E you stand! 122.10

k·e'lnate ltō" x·îts he rubbed her in his hands (literally, with each of his hands he rubbed her) 108.20, 21

 $le\ dj\hat{\imath}'\bar{n}\bar{\imath}t$  they came (singly) 52.17  $k\cdot\hat{\imath}ts\hat{\imath}'snetc\ l\bar{e}p\hat{\imath}'t\hat{\imath}t$  tet with ashes he marked himself [all over] 28.16

tsel'nē ûx tî'kîne side by side they two were standing 62.22

There also seems to be an etymological connection between the suffix denoting neutral verbs and the suffixes expressing the passive voice, although in this case the relation is not as transparent as in the instances mentioned above; and there may have also existed an original relation between the verbal suffixes that end in  $-\bar{u}$ .

The following list will serve to illustrate better the theory set forth in the preceding pages. The forms marked with an asterisk (\*) represent the reconstructed original suffixes, while the other forms indicate the suffixes as they appear to-day.

#### VERBAL SUFFIXES

\*-t general verbal
\*-t transitive
-ts transitive
-et causative passive
-et causative passive
-\bar{\bar{\capacite}}\_i \bar{\capacite}^i neutral
-\bar{\capacite}\_{\cupu\_i} \bar{\capacite}\_{\cupu\_i} u\_i \bar{\capacite}\_{\cupu\_i} u\_j \bar{\capacite}\_{\cupu\_i} u\_j \bar{\cupu}\_{\cupu\_i} u\_j \bar{\cupu\_i} u\_j \bar{\cupu}\_{\cupu\_i} u\_j

-ayu,  $-e^{i}yu$ , -iyu passive  $-\bar{a}ya^{u}$  passive participle  $-\bar{i}yawa$  (?) agency

\*-n general distributive

 $-n\bar{e}^i$ ,  $-n\bar{\imath}$  distributive

-änī distributive

-înī distributive

-hîna distributive

\*-u modal (?)

-u transitional-ū present passive

-ū transitive subject and object pronoun

 $-\bar{u}$  reflexive plural

#### NOMINAL SUFFIXES

\*-s general nominal

-îs nominal

-Es, -tes abstract

-enîs abstract

-āwas abstract

-nēiwas abstract

 $-\bar{o}^u n \hat{\imath} s$  verbal noun

-sī verbal noun

-îs local

-îs ordinal

\*-tc general adverbial

-tc modal verbal

-ätc (?) suffix of relationship

-etc local

-ēite, -īte local and modal nominal

-ewîte local

-Etc instrumental

All suffixes may be classified into two large groups as verbal and nominal suffixes; that is to say, as suffixes that either verbalize or nominalize a given neutral stem. I have included adverbial suffixes in the latter group, on account of the intimate relation between nominal and adverbial forms.

# Verbal Suffixes (§§ 26-55)

### TRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 26-27)

§ 26. Transitive -t, -ts

-t. This suffix may have been originally the verbal suffix par excellence. It points out not only the active, transitive idea, but also presence of the object of a transitive action. It has frequently a causative meaning. It transforms impersonal or passive verbs into transitives, and verbalizes any other part of speech. It is usually suffixed to the bare verbal stems whenever these end in a vowel, nasal (m, n), or lateral; in all other cases it is preceded by a or i, making the suffix -at or i. No phonetic rule has been discovered that will show when -at or it ought to be used. It may, however, be suggested that -at denotes transitive actions not yet completed, while it designates a finished, transitive action. These connectives disappear when other suffixes are added to the transitive it.

 $np^{E}c\hat{\imath}'t$  I blow it away

 $nk!^{u'x}w\hat{\imath}t$  1 lose it

nw pît I burned it
nqa'ltcît I slacken it
tcī L!kwît läl hūumî'k·ca there
covered (them) that old woman (with blankets) 82.14
§ 26

 $p^{E}c\bar{i}$  hE  $d\bar{i}'l\bar{o}l$  blew away the young man 26.21  $k!u^{x}w\bar{i}'$   $le'\hat{u}x$   $d\ddot{u}'m\hat{i}l$  got lost their

(dual) husband 22.9

x pī it burned down 58.12 xqeilte slowly 17.7

L!kwī blanket 84.8

xā'apatc Lōwa'hait into the water she runs 56.8

e<sup>ɛ</sup>lō'kwît hanLawe you will make lightning 18.7

lau ganō'tca l'nuwît le ā'la that one outside (it) pulled, the child 11.1, 2

Lowa'hai le dī'lot ran the young man 78.27

lō'waku lightning 18.5

l'nuwī very much 98.28

il nya'alt I am talking about wändi yā'lanī thus they are talking 56.18

gamlt he bit her 100.16

yî'xen L!x·īint once she examined it 86.18

 $k!w\bar{a}^a nt$  he heard it 24.8

 $n_L/n\bar{o}^u t$  he  $tc/\hat{i}'lE$  I opened the door 74.9

gai'cītc hau îl yū'wîlt into small pieces that thing they divided it 130,26

nha'mut I float it

mu'xwît la kxla she felt for nmu'xwat I am feeling it her foot 80.21

ntc!pît I braided a rope wî'luwît he tsetse'kwîn looked for the cane 28.18

ntc!pat I am braiding a rope he nwî'lat I am looking (around)

au'gat he k!ulā'was he took off the shirt 78.11, 12

There are a few stems denoting intransitive ideas that occur with this suffix.

pî'nat le we'hel shaking was the stomach 58.24 kwîlat (the bow) was bent 64.3

This suffix has the same function as the previously discussed -t. Not the slightest difference could be detected in the use of these two phonetically different suffixes.

-ts is either suffixed directly to stems ending in a vowel, nasal (m, n), or a lateral, or it is connected with the stem by means of a or  $\hat{i}$ . The only phonetic law that I was able to observe in reference to the two connecting vowels, is that î can never serve as a connective between the suffix -ts and a verbal stem ending in the velar surd q.

ntsōu'xLts I greased it ngai'nts I cool it nxaî'lts I made him warm the spruce-tree  $L/k \cdot \hat{\imath} ts$  she poured it 102.12  $L/k \cdot \hat{\imath}'$  it spilled 172.14

tsōwe'xL grease 122.6 ngai'na I am cold xaî'la she became heated 108.26 nhā'wîts le tcîcī'mîl I grew hä'wī he tcîcī'mîl (it) grew up, the spruce-tree

 $np\bar{o}^{u'}kw\hat{\imath}ts$  I made him a slave  $p\bar{o}^{u'}kw\hat{\imath}s$  slave

 $nh\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{\imath}\hat{\imath}\hat{\imath}ts$  I marry (her) tc!wä'letc tsī'x'îts in the fire he held him down 106.5

in i'lxats not he looked at it 40.17

 $\hat{u}x$  la'ats  $l_E$   $h\bar{u}^u m\ddot{a}'k'e$  they two went over (the water) the women 128.4

np!ī'xats I scatter it

 $p\bar{o}kw\hat{i}'ln\bar{e}^i$   $t\hat{i}'lqats$  opposite one another he set them down 112.12

nlta'ts I am painting it

 $h\bar{u}^{u\prime}m\hat{\imath}s$  woman 70.3 *tsîx* here 106.8

 $ts\bar{o} \ e^{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} lx$  now you look 17.3

la he went (intransitive) 22.18

gōus qante lau p!ī'yex everywhere it is scattered 46.16

îl tîla'qai they were living (literally, sitting) 84.20

nltî'ts I painted it

There are a few stems that, in spite of this transitive suffix, are sometimes translated as intransitive verbs.

in Lowa'kats she was not home (literally, not she was sitting)  $(z\bar{o}^u k^u$ - to sit [down]) 58.7

kat' E'mîs qa'lyeq la'ats län Lō'pît five salmon got into his basket 34.23 (but k!ā hant ye n k!wî'nts nla'ats a rope I'll put around thv neck 94.12)

 $p\bar{a}^a ts t = q^E ma' t \hat{i}s$  full (is) that fish-basket 36.7 (but  $p\bar{a}^a ts l = y\hat{i}x\ddot{a}'w Ex$  $l = x t c / l \bar{a}' \bar{y} a^u$  q a' l y e q = t c he filled the house with dried salmon 36.3, 4)

gōus mî'lätc he qa'yauts he always becomes afraid (of it) 126.1

That the transitive -t was originally a general verbal suffix, may best be demonstrated by the circumstance that in a number of instances neutral stems are verbalized by means of the suffix -ts, after they had previously been changed into verbs by means of the -t suffix. double verbalization may be explained as due to the fact that the verbal function of the -t suffix was so conventionalized that it had become entirely forgotten.

 $mu'xw\hat{\imath}t$  she felt for it 80.21

nyû'xwît I rub it

ûx în nî'x îtem those two no one touched 122.25 nha'k!wat I draw it up lî'cat he L!tā shaking is the nlî'ctîts I shake it earth 16.2

e muxtîtsā'mî han I want to feel of you 108.18

îl yû'xtîts he'îltet they rub themselves 52.13

nî'x tîts he touched him 106.20

nha'k!"tîts I draw it up

### § 27. Causative -iyat

This suffix is always added to the bare stem of intransitive or neutral verbs. Stems ending in the palatal surd k or palatal spirant x palatalize these final consonants (see § 13).

 $\bar{a}'yu p\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}'yat h\ddot{a}l t\bar{o}'m\hat{\imath}z$  indeed,  $p\bar{\imath}'x\cdot p\bar{\imath}$  he went home 56.11 he took home that old man 30.13

 $L/t\bar{a}'atc$   $tsxaw\bar{v}'yat$  on the  $tsx\bar{u}$  he lies 20.12 ground he laid (them) down 36, 20, 21

nkwîlī'yat te k!wä'sîs I roll kwîl<sup>E</sup>lä'nī le baltī'mîs continually that ball

rolling is the ocean 6.2  $L/\bar{e}^i tc$  he went out 20.4

 $\bar{a}'yu$  L! $tc\bar{\imath}'yat$   $h_E$   $\bar{a}'la$  surely he took out the child 12.1

*la* he went 22.18

 $d\bar{\imath}^i l \, n l a \bar{\imath}' y a t$  something I start

lîn helaqaī'yat we took him up helaq he climbed up 13.10

There is practically no difference between this causative suffix and the transitive -ts, except for the fact that -ts seems to be regularly suffixed to stems ending in velar or palatal consonants. There is only one verbal stem ending in a velar surd (q) that takes the causative suffix - vat; namely, the stem helaq- to climb. This stem infixes an a between its final consonant and the causative suffix, as shown by the last example above.

The reason why the causative -iyat is suffixed to this stem, and not the transitive -ts, may lie in the fact that there are two stems helaqdifferentiated by accent only (see § 5); namely, he'lag to ARRIVE, and helaq to CLIMB UP.

Since the transitive -ts has been suffixed to he'laq to Arrive (compare hatāyîms halqtsōu'wat she brought the money 78.13, 14), the causative -iyat may have been suffixed to helaq to climb because confusion is thus avoided.

When followed by the pronominal suffixes, -*īyat* is contracted with them into  $-it\bar{a}'m\hat{\imath}$ ,  $-it\bar{a}'\hat{\imath}s$ ,  $-i't\bar{u}$ , and i'ta (see §§ 9, 11).

xwîn e pītā mî hanz we two will take you home 126.19, 20 e tsxawītā'îs you laid me down nhelaqa'ītū he took me up

### INTRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 28-31)

### § 28. Intransitive -aai

This suffix signifies that a verb usually transitive is without an object. It is consequently employed in the formation of intransitive verbs. With the exception of one or two sporadic instances, it is always suffixed to the reduplicated form of the verbal stem, thus denoting a repetitive action.

Lga'ai läl tō'mîl he believes that, old man 28.16

ī'nta dī't hexwînne'îtc yōyō'waai bad something with us is stopping 24.3

yuwe' yî'mat ha<sup>u</sup> gō<sup>u</sup>s mî'lätc lōk<sup>u</sup>lō'kwaai whenever he twinkles (his eyes), there always is lightning 16.6, 7 (lō'wak<sup>u</sup> lightning)

gōus mî'lätc tsesla'qaai le'îl slaqa'ēwat she bathed him 60.6 kwe'nel always bathing was their sister 84.21, 22

ak/a'laai  $l_E$   $h\bar{u}^u/m\hat{\imath}s$  shout- k/a'lat he shouted 36.7 ing is the woman 56.5

sîtsa'ataai he lät hūumî'k'ca sa'at murder-dance she was usually dancing the murder-dance, that old woman 116.26, 27

## § 29. Reciprocal meu

- $me^u$  is usually preceded by the transitive suffix -t or -ts. Owing to the fact that the consonantic combination of t or ts + m is not permissible, this suffix appears as - $Eme^u$  (see § 4).

îl sqa'tsemeu they seize one another

ā'yu ûx haītî'temeu surely they two gambled together 38.23

îl tsī'xtsemeu le nou'sk'îlī hatā'yîms they divided among themselves the Giant-Woman's money 80.29; 82.1

îł ī'nīye kweenī'yexteme<sup>u</sup> they no longer know one another 46.9 ûx wî'leme<sup>u</sup> they two fight (together) 48.16

# § 30. Suffixes Defining the Subject: qEm, $\neg x_Em$ ; $\bar{u}$ ; $\neg \bar{e}m$

-qEm (-xEm). This suffix serves a double purpose. The stem to which this suffix is added must have a singular subject. There is another suffix,  $-\bar{u}$ , which expresses the same idea for plural subjects. This suffix will be treated in § 52 (p. 357).

(1) When preceded by the transitive suffix -t or -ts, it assumes a reflexive character, indicating that the subject of the action is at the same time its object.

hän k'e'la la'atsxem le x'ōwā'yas into her hand came the snake (literally, put herself in) 86.4

Compare mīk·e'etc nla'ats le x·ōwā'yas into the basket I put

yuwe  $h\bar{\imath}^{i}$ me alîcan $\bar{\imath}'$ waq la $^u$  tc $\bar{\imath}$  he  $\bar{e}^{i}$ k $^t$ t $^E$ xEm whenever children played, she there would go among them (literally, put herself among them) 70.19, 20

tqā'lîsetc panā'qtsxem in the sun he is warming himself 32.8 tsxā'yat Lōu'qtsxem in the morning he got up (literally, got himself up) 34.22

ntc!ou'tsxem hant I will go to bed (literally, I lay myself down

Compare  $tc\bar{i}$  if  $tc/\bar{o}^u$  there they went to bed 50.12

dī'lōlntsqem häl tō'mîl is making himself young that old man 22.7 yîqantce wîtc tctcî'tsqem back she drew (herself) 64.29, 30

tsō the tgem now it rested 88.16

tsō L!ha'tsqEm lE hūu'mîs then dressed (herself) the woman 86.6 Compare n<sub>L</sub>!ha'ts l<sub>E</sub> ā'la I dress the child  $h\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}\ t^Ek\cdot e'lm\hat{\imath}tsq_{EM}$  there it let itself down 90.6

(2) When suffixed to the bare verbal stem, especially to intransitive stems or to stems expressing motion, it conveys the idea to be in a POSITION, TO BE IN A CONDITION, TO BE IN THE ACT OF. For this lastnamed purpose the suffix -xem is mostly used.

wä'ndj L!ä'xEm that way he ā'yu L!äts indeed he spoke 16.2 is talking 15.8,9

ai'wa īn kwī'lExem still not kwīilt he bends it 62.29 bent (it is) 62.29

tsemī'x exem k!wî'nts le k!ā'hat the neck is fastened with a rope (literally, fastened condition, neck, with a rope) 92.4

 $tc\bar{\imath}$  he  $ts\hat{\imath}m\hat{\imath}x\cdot t\bar{\imath}u'$  wat l  $\epsilon$  i x there (they) fastened the canoes 46.6, 7

 $\hbar \hat{i}' n \bar{i} k^{u} \bar{e}^{i'} k$  Exem there he may be among them 94.28

hats kwa ū'yu lau wînā'qaxem just like a rainbow (it is) spread out 32.14

tsō be'ltcExem now he is warming his back 32.18

īn tc!le'xem te lā'nîk not in a dry condition is that river 14.6 (tc!lîs dry 166.2)

tsō le'tîx he'lkwexem now from there she came out 108.28 (ha'lkwît she took it out 60.1)

hats he'nīhen tī'wîxem î lau tsxū just many times it coiled up as it lay 88.1

In some instances the suffix -xem is used to express the place of a certain action. This use of the suffix is in perfect accordance with its general function of indicating the condition, or position of an occurrence.

gante le te!we'xem where the \$\frac{2}{l} te!\bar{o}^u\$ they went to bed 50.12 bed was (literally, sleepingplace or place of lying down) 86.7

 $h\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath} t^E k \cdot e' lm \hat{\imath}_{xEm}$  there was  $\bar{\imath}_n t^E k \cdot e lm$  it did not sink 136.7 a deep place (literally, the place of sinking something into the water) 84.24

ci' yitcxem a circle (literally, nci yitctou'wat I surround it it is clear around [it]) (See also § 40.)

-ēm. This suffix indicates that an indefinite person, unknown to the speaker, is the subject of an action. It is always added to stems expressing transitive ideas, or to stems that have already been verbalized by means of the transitive suffixes -t or -ts (see § 26). The pronominal objects of actions performed by an indefinite subject are expressed by prefixing the personal pronouns (see § 18) to the verb.

 $kwa\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}ya$  he knows it 26.19

nîxt- touch

latsā'ya he goes after it 94.7

 $h\bar{a}'k''$ t- to draw up

ûx kwee'nīyēm those two somebody knows 19.10

ûx īn nî'x îtem those two not somebody touched 122.25

łatsōtēm somebody went after it

hä'k!wîtēm somebody draws him up 92.9

### § 31. Neutral -ī, -ēiff

 $-\bar{\imath}$   $(-\bar{e}^i)$  is employed in the formation of neutral verbs. It changes the a-vowels of the stem to e (see § 7).

 $y\bar{o}'q\bar{e} l k\bar{a}'w\hat{\imath}l$  it split, the bas-  $ax y\bar{o}'qat$  they two split it 7.9 ket 8.1

 $k\bar{a}^a s \, kwa \, tc! h\bar{e}^i$  almost as if it went out (the light) 128.19

Lowe'entc x'ti the whole thing (wholly) slid down 26.19

tc!hats he put it out 128.26

nx tît I slide it down

§ 31

kwa kwî'nîs  $p^E c\bar{\imath} l E d\bar{\imath}' l \bar{\imath} l$  like  $np^E c\hat{\imath}' t$  I blow it away (a) feather blew away the young man 26.21

 $g\bar{o}^{us}$   $d\bar{v}^{t}$   $h\ddot{a}'w\bar{v}$  everything  $v_{t}h\bar{a}'w\hat{v}^{t}$  I grow it grew up 9.3, 4

x pī le yîxä'wex it burned x pî'tsī débris 58.19 down, the house 58.12, 13

wîtcwehe'xtcī la ā'la it took waha'xtcas sickness sick, his child 42.17

e<sup>e</sup>pî'ctcī hanı you will get pî'ctcîts tet he warmed himself 32.8 warm 100.27

In a few instances verbs having this suffix were rendered by the passive voice, which may have been due to the fact that my informant could not express in English the intransitive neutral idea implied in the suffix.

ā'yu hä'k'.utī xqa'wax indeed, nhā'k'.utîts I draw it up he was drawn up from above 98.2

 $ma^{wu}xa'h\bar{\imath}$   $\hat{\imath}$   $xw\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}ux^u$  was mau'xat he chewed him up 68.10 chewed up his head 124.3

 $k!u'^xw\bar{\imath}$  lv  $h\bar{\imath}u'm\hat{\imath}s$  was lost  $k!u'^xw\hat{\imath}t$  he lost it the woman 54.19

### SEMI-TEMPORAL SUFFIXES (§§ 32-35)

#### § 32. Inchoative -ive

- $\bar{\imath}ive$  indicates the commencement of an action, and is suffixed to verbal stems expressing active or transitive ideas. If the stem to which it is to be suffixed does not express such an idea, it is preceded by the verbal  $-en\bar{\imath}$  (§ 45), but never by -t or -ts. It may also be preceded by the distributive  $-\ddot{a}n\bar{\imath}$  (see § 37). The verbal stem must always be preceded by the prefix qa (see § 19).

ā'yu qatōwē'we indeed (she) begins to eat 24.11

tsō hant qacEalctē'we now (he) will begin to work 26.18

qalnē'we (he) commenced to hunt 106.16

îl qaskveyänē'we they begin to talk (among themselves) 66.21

qatcînehenē'we (he) began to think 20.7

qax·întetänē'we (he) began to jump about 102.15

qak·elänē'we û mēn began to shout at each other, the people (literally, mutually) 24.22

qamelänē'we (he) began to swim around 176.16

### § 33. Frequentatives $-\bar{e}iva(t)$ , $-\bar{o}uva(t)$

 $-\bar{e}^{i}wa(t)$  indicates repetition, frequency or duration of action. The verbal stem to which it is suffixed is very often reduplicated, thus bringing out more clearly the frequentative idea. It is added to stems regardless of whether they express real transitive actions or not.

 $tkw\bar{\iota}L\bar{e}^{i}wat$  to to'qmas he is  $\eta t^{E}kw\bar{\iota}Lts$  I followed him following that woodpecker 22.2

asō' īlnē'wat again he is setting the basket 34.23

xqa'wax lau kwîna'ēiwat from above these are looking at it 6.4

 $g\bar{o}^{u}s\,d\bar{\imath}^{i}l\,aiw\bar{e}^{i}wat$  everything he is killing 68.23

ā'yu xwändj hauwē'vat surely that way he has been doing it 92.8

tcī îl k:îx:L!ōwē'wat le hī'me there they are putting in the children 52.9

 $Lehe^{u'}n\bar{e}^i la^u h\hat{i}th\bar{i}t\bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat$  side by side she put them down 60.4

he was trying the weight 78.18

nīlnts I set the basket

ge'ltc ûx kwî'nait down they two looked 6.4

ai'wît he killed (them all) 112.9

yîxä'wex hauxts a house he built 32.18

 $nx \cdot L \cdot \bar{o}^u t$  I put it in

 $la^{u}$   $h\hat{i}'t\tilde{o}^{u}ts$  she put them down 60.4

k:/înk:/înēi'wat he pär!ä'ye ûx k:/înt they two try it 7.4

This suffix appears sometimes as -īwat. For an explanation of this seeming irregularity, see § 2.

informing him 20.25

with a club

ûx kwîskwī'wat they two are \( \bar{v} skwī'wat \) hanz I will inform him 74.4

 $nx_{L}/nwat$  I am hitting him  $x_{L}/ts$  he hit her with a club 64.28

Instead of an initial reduplication, the verbal stem very often appears with a reduplication of the final consonant, denoting continuity and distribution of action (see § 83).

nt! cîcī'wat I am shoving it t!cîts he shoved it 32.24 (back and forth)

îl pîctcatcī' wat they are warm- pî'ctcîts tet he warmed himself ing (themselves singly) 32.8

 $tc\bar{\imath}\ ts\hat{\imath}x\cdot\hat{\imath}x\cdot\hat{\imath}'wat$  there he was holding him (for a long time) 104.15, 16 ( $ts\hat{\imath}x\cdot$  here 24.4)

 $-\bar{o}^u wa(t)$  exercises the same function as  $-\bar{e}^i wat$ . The only difference between the two suffixes is, that  $-\bar{o}^u wat$  is added to the verbs already amplified by the transitive suffixes -t or -ts, while  $-\bar{e}^i wat$  can be suffixed only to the stem.

tsō ûx thwīrtsōu'wat now they two thwīrē'wat he follows him 22.2 follow him 9.9

tc!e'etc  $h\bar{\iota}t!^E ts\bar{o}^w wat$   $h_E$   $p_{En}$   $h\bar{\iota}'yet!$  he came ashore 32.5  $L\bar{o}'wai$  ashore it brought a whale 88.22, 23

tcī halqtsōwwat there she is bring- he'luq he arrived 22.22 ing it to him 72.8

aqalqsîtōwwat he is frightening a'lqas fear 66.4 him frequently 100.24

In a few cases  $-\bar{o}^u wat$  is suffixed to the verbal stem.

ītîslō" wat le tē L!tā he recognizes this (here) land 30.28 k!wenî'ya" nwîlo" wat food I am looking for 54.4 ntsxaū' wat hanı I will kill him 26.22

The suffixation of  $-\bar{o}^u wat$  instead of  $-\bar{e}^i wat$  in these instances may have been caused by the law of euphony, as these stems end in a u-diphthong. Thus, the stem of  $tsxa\bar{u}'wat$  is  $tsxa^u$ -, as shown by the form  $tsxaw\bar{\imath}'yat$  (36.21) He laid him down, consisting of the stem  $tsxa^u$ - and the causative suffix  $-\bar{\imath}yat$ .

Whenever the pronouns expressing both subject and object are suffixed to verbs ending in  $-\bar{c}^u wat$ , this suffix changes to  $-\bar{c}^u w\hat{\imath}t$  (see § 11).

e<sup>¢</sup>hū<sup>u</sup>mîstsōwîtā'mî hanı I will marry you 184.6 ņhalqtsō<sup>u</sup>'wîtū he brought me frequently

## $\S$ 34. Frequentative Causative $-a\bar{e}^{i}wat$

There can be little doubt that the  $-\bar{e}^i wat$  in  $-a\bar{e}^i wat$  is identical with the frequentative suffix  $-\bar{e}^i wat$ , discussed on p. 336. Owing to the fact that a number of verbal stems ending in a take the suffix  $-\bar{e}^i wat$ , there is a good deal of confusion between these two suffixes.

 $x \bar{a}^a p \; la^u \; laa' \bar{e}^i wat \; {
m water \; car-} \; la \; {
m he \; went \; 22.18}$  ried them away 46.16, 17

 $\hat{c}$  sinal  $\hat{c}$  ivat you two are hid-  $\hat{u}x$  sinil  $\hat{c}$  they two hide him ing him 24.11 24.9 law to  $\hat{c}$  ivat he (on) that  $\hat{c}$  ivat he (on) that  $\hat{c}$  ivat  $\hat{c}$  ivat he (on) that  $\hat{c}$  ivat  $\hat{c}$  ivat he (on) that  $\hat{c}$  ivat  $\hat$ 

lau tc!îcîla'ēiwat he (on) that (they) are sitting usually (literally, they caused it to be a mat) 38.3

Compare, on the other hand,

xqa'wax ûx kwîna'ē'wat from kwîna- to look above they two are looking at it 6.9

§ 35. Transitionals -iye, -nts, -u

- $\bar{\imath}ye$  indicates a transitional stage,—a change from one state into another, that has already taken place. It is suffixed mostly to nouns and particles, although frequently it is found added to verbs. It may best be rendered by IT BECAME, IT GOT, IT TURNED OUT TO BE, or by the passive voice. Stems ending in a vowel other than i insert an h between the final vowel and the suffix (see § 10), while stems ending in -i contract this vowel with the following -i of the suffix into a long  $\bar{\imath}$  (see § 9). When suffixed to a stem that has an a-vowel, it changes into -aya (see § 7).

demste'te ûx  $Lh\hat{n}p\bar{v}'ye$  they two came through a prairie (literally, through a prairie they two went through, it got) 112.1 ( $Lh\hat{v}'\bar{n}ap$  he went through 22.11)

kwîna'ē'watī'ye he began to look at him

lîn kwîne'welī'ye we became poor 28.21

 $tslîm\bar{\imath}'ye\ c^{E}$  it got summer, indeed (tslîm summer 162.20) 30.20

 $\bar{a}'yu~\hat{\imath}'x\bar{\imath}ye$  surely it was a canoe ( $\hat{\imath}x$  canoe 44.20) 126.10

yîxe'n qalîmī'ye one morning (literally, once morning it got) 20.4 îl he'tīye they became rich 84.17

ņhai'nahā'ya I became active (ņhai'na I am active)

 $qa^{u}wah\bar{a}'ya$  in the evening (literally, whenever evening it got)  $(qa^{u'}wa \text{ evening } 50.26)$  82.7

 $s\bar{\imath}\iota n\bar{e}^ih\bar{\imath}'ye\ le'\hat{\imath}\iota x\ m\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}aq$  joined  $le'\hat{\imath}\iota x\ m\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}aq\ s\bar{\imath}\iota'n\bar{e}^i$  their (dual) together became their two arrows joined together are rows 13.4

 $\hat{\imath}l\ h\ddot{a}'k!^ut\bar{\imath}'ye$  they were drawn  $\hat{\imath}l\ h\ddot{a}'k!^ut\bar{\imath}$  they are (being) drawn up 30.1

tsō cîl xwändjī'ye now surely it was that way (literally, that way it turned out to be) 8.2

nnehī'ye lau lō I became the owner of that thing (literally, me it became [to whom] that belongs)

 $go^us\bar{\imath}'ye\ la^u\ tsxa\bar{\imath}'wat$  all (of them) he killed 68.9  $\bar{\imath}'yu\ c\hat{\imath}l\ \bar{\imath}n\ d\bar{\imath}'l\bar{\imath}'ye$  surely, indeed, nothing it turned out to be  $ts\bar{o}\ la^u\ \hat{\imath}l\ kwa\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}yah\bar{a}'ya$  now they came to know it 92.14

When suffixed to the negative particle  $\bar{\imath}n$ , or to the contracted forms of  $\bar{\imath}n$  + the personal pronouns (see § 9), it forms new particles,  $\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}ye$ ,  $n\bar{\imath}'ye$ ,  $\bar{e}n\bar{\imath}ye$ , etc., which were always rendered by no longer, I no longer, thou no longer, etc.

îl ī'nīye kwaā'nīya they no longer know it 50.18, 19
nī'ye ņxä'nîs I am no longer sick
ēnīye hanı dī'l you will no longer (be) something 104.1

It appears as a suffix to the stem  $he'n\bar{\imath}$ -, forming a compound  $he'n\bar{\imath}ye$  A WHILE, LONG TIME.

he'nīhen tī'wîxem many times it coiled 88.1 (-en multiplicative suffix [see § 75]).

he'nīye ûx we'länī a long time they two fought (together) 132.8  $\bar{i}n$  he'nīye xä'nîs la  $\bar{a}$ 'la not very long sick (was) his child 42.17, 18

It takes the place of the inchoative suffix  $-\bar{\imath}we$  (see § 32) in verbs not expressing a transitive, active idea, or not transitivized by the transitive suffix  $-e'n\bar{\imath}$ . (See also § 19.)

qamîlī'ye (he) commenced to swim 30.3 ûx qayuwatī'ye they two commenced to travel 12.6

-nts conveys an active transitional idea. The difference between this suffix and -vye lies in the fact that the change indicated by the latter came about without any apparent active cause; while -nts expresses a change from one state into another, that presupposes a subject of the action. It is hence best rendered by to Change one into.

ntō'mîlnts nte't I into an old man change myself

dī'lōlntsqem lät tō'mîr he is making himself young that old man 22.7

le hī'me û lā'mak tsî la" yîxa'ntcņts (of) the children the
bones only she gathered up
(literally, she changed into
one) 60.3

 $t\bar{o}'m\hat{\imath}_L$  old man 22.7

 $d\tilde{\imath}'l\tilde{o}l$  a young man 22.11

yîxe'ntce together, one by one 64.8, 9

-*u* indicates a change from one state into another, that has not yet been completed. It is often preceded by the transitive -*t*.

 $he'\overline{m}$ îstu le yîxä'wex getting bigger is the house 34.14  $n\bar{a}'^a ntu$  le mä multiplying are

the people 12.4

îc teltă'mîltu hanz you two will get strong 120.17, 18 qai'cu it is getting small  $he\overline{m}'$ îs big 14.5

nāant many 44.18

dä'mît (strong) man 14.7

qaic small, a chunk 128.29

### MODAL SUFFIXES (§§ 36-43)

### $\S$ 36. Modal -tc

This suffix appears in four different forms, as -îtc, -utc, -tc, and -eetc.1

-îtc is added to verbal stems ending in a consonant, except m, n, and any of the laterals; -utc is suffixed to stems ending in vowels; -tc is suffixed to stems ending in laterals; and -eetc, to stems ending in m or n. This suffix is always added to the bare stem. There can be little doubt that this suffix is identical with the adverbial suffix -tc (see § 25); the more so, as it implies, to a great extent, an adverbially modal idea. The Coos expresses by its means our participial ideas. The verb taking this suffix is usually preceded by the discriminative and modal prefix x- (see §§ 23, 24).

qawîlaï'we xha'k'îtc (he) commences to look around crawling (literally, in the manner of crawling; hak- to crawl) 32.10

nlaī'yat hex nî'x'îtc I commenced to touch it (literally, I commenced in the manner of touching)

 $ts^{\mathbf{z}}xa^{\mathbf{u}\prime}tc\ \hat{u}\ d\bar{o}w\bar{a}^{\prime}ya$  to kill they want him 66.22

īn lε'γī xkwî'na<sup>u</sup>tc it does not look good (literally, not good as to the manner of looking) 34.18

nā'wîts hex tcî'cutc I finished splitting (ntcîcue''wat I am splitting it)

nā'wîts hex heme'etc I stopped bringing it out (nhamī'yat I brought it out)

qai'nîs wîne'etc LEān into the water wading out she goes (literally, she goes down into the water in the manner of wading; nwî'nat I am wading out) 58.2

§ 36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>[This is obviously the adverbial -tc, and might have been discussed with §§ 67-70.—ED.]

This suffix is often used in certain phrases to express abstract ideas. Thus, for instance, the Coos will express our sentence I AM GET-TING HUNGRY by I AM GOING INTO HUNGER. (See § 118.)

lgate nla I am getting hungry (nlga I am hungry) pl!îtc nla I am getting heavy

§ 37. Distributives -nēi, -nī; -änī; -āyam; -waq

 $-n\bar{e}^i$ ,  $-n\bar{\iota}$ , indicate distribution of an intransitive action. They are suffixed to intransitive verbs. Related to this suffix is the distributive  $-\hat{i}n\bar{i}$  (§ 72).

 $kat' E'mîs tkwī L'nē^i lE djî' \overline{n}īt$ five (winds) following each other (they) keep on coming (singly) 52.17

 $tsel'n\bar{e}^i \hat{u}x t\hat{i}'k \cdot \hat{i}ne \text{ side by side}$ they two were standing 62. 22

 $le'\hat{u}x \, m\hat{i}'laq \, s\bar{\imath}\iota' n\bar{e}^i \, their \, (dual)$ arrows are joined together (literally, one after the other) 13.7

 $k!\bar{a}'\gamma en\bar{\imath}$   $he'\hat{\imath}ax$   $xw\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}ux^u$   $\hat{\imath}ax$ L!ē'x · sîmt against each other with their two heads resting they two go to bed 72.14

pōkwî'lnēi Lōwaka'ēiwat opposite one another (they) were sitting 120.4, 5

hîtcō'nīhī'ye û mēn were assembled people, came together people 46.1

tkwīl -to follow

tsel- (?)

sīz- to join together

k!āy- (?)

pukul- across

hītc- (?)

 $-\ddot{a}n\bar{\imath}$  is suffixed to stems expressing transitive ideas. It is often accompanied by duplication of the final stem-consonant (see § 83).

îl tsa'k'înä'nī they help one another (mutually)

 $il L/x \cdot in\ddot{a}'n\bar{i}$  they examine  $L/x \cdot in$ -to examine one another

îł tsakukwä'nī they continually ntskwîts I speared him spear one another

îł tqanılä'nī they mutually strike one another

tsak'în- to help

tqa'nıts he struck it 28.1

 ₩ !wanxuxä'nī they mutually k!wa'nxat he cut his hair cut one another's hair

This suffix often changes the quality of the vowel of the stem to which it is suffixed (see § 7).

ā'yu ît qaheyänī'we surely they began to gamble 66.25 ha'yat he gambled 66.15

îl tsxewä'nī they kill one another

 $tsxa\bar{u}'wat$  he killed (them) 68.9

ûx we<sup>ε</sup>länī they two fight 106.13

wîl- to fight

When suffixed to intransitive verbs or to verbs expressing motion, it denotes an idea that may best be rendered by BACK AND FORTH, TO AND FRO, UP AND DOWN, etc. It is hardly necessary to dwell upon the close relationship that exists between the idea of mutuality and the idea expressed by these phrases.

tsō'nō kwîl<sup>E</sup>lä'nī le baltī'mîs both ways is rolling the ocean 6.2

kwîl- to roll

 $qai'n\hat{\imath}s$   $la^u$   $yaq^Eq\ddot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  away from the shore they run continually 36.18, 19

yeq he runs away 182.27

 $h\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}$   $sqaill\ddot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$   $l^E$   $w\bar{a}'wa$ there is going back and forth (through his fingers) the little girl 108.21

sqailēi'was the space between two fingers

nı!ēitcîtcä'nī I keep on going out and coming in

 $L/\bar{e}^i tc$  he went out 20.4

 $nst\bar{o}waq^Eq\ddot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  I keep on rising and sitting down

stō'waq he stood up 20.7

tīyetä'nī le wî'ngas hūw'mîk continually looking for some supply was the Spider-Old-Woman 60.12

 $-\bar{a}\bar{y}am$  is suffixed to intransitive verbs and to adjectives only. Its exact function is obscure. With verbs, it invariably denotes an action performed by more than one subject; while when suffixed to adjectives, it seems to convey the idea of the English suffix -ISH. Most likely it has a distributive character, which the informant, not well versed in the English language, could not bring out.

yû'xwä hūumä'k'e djînā'yam k'îlō'wît two women coming (towards him) he saw 126.13, 14

ā'yu kwī'yat ît taā'yam surely now they were walking (singly) 32.7

 $ts\bar{o}^ut$  x' $\iota\hat{n}m\bar{a}'\bar{y}am$   $x\bar{a}^{a'}petc$  he washed it with luke-warm water 120.9, 10

 $xqa\bar{a}'\bar{y}am$  whitish, gray (literally, white here and there; xqa's white)

-waq. I am not quite sure whether this suffix really expresses distribution. All attempts to explain it have proved unsuccessful. It is suffixed to verbal stems, and may be preceded by the suffix -enī (see § 45). The best explanation that may be offered is that it implies a continual action performed by more than one subject, although instances have been found where the action was performed by a single subject.

 $ts\bar{o}~\hat{\it il}~qanatcan\bar{\it i'waq}~$  now they make fun (of one another) (qa'natc~ joke) 50.12

yuwe'  $h\bar{\imath}^{\nu}$ me alîcan $\bar{\imath}'$ waq whenever children played (together?) 70.19

cîma'ēwaq le cī't!ā dragging (them singly?) was the pet 88.7

## Compare also the nouns

sıtsā'waq a whale (?) 28.7 qalētā'waq ferry-men 140.15

### The Passive Voice (§§ 38-42)

### § 38. Present Passive -ū

This suffix expresses the present tense of the passive voice. It is suffixed directly to the verbal stem with initial reduplication (see § 82).

usō' tcī tetī'k!ū le tc!î'le again tī'k!wîts he shut (the door) 74.6 there is shut the door 74.27

qaic a piece 128.29

gōus qante lau qeqai'cū lä wî'tîn in all directions that is

being clubbed his blood 10.5, 6

x·î/x·întū le tc!wäl is being x·întī/yat he runs with it 42.5 taken away quickly the fire 42.5

 $c E c \bar{u}' L \bar{u} l e' \hat{u} y \hat{u} z \hat{u}' w E x$  fire is  $c \bar{u} L$ - to burn being set to their house 58.11, 12

By adding to this suffix the transitional  $-\bar{\imath}ye$  (see § 35), the past passive is obtained. The initial  $\bar{\imath}$  of  $-\bar{\imath}ye$  is contracted with the  $-\bar{\imath}u$  into a long  $\bar{\imath}u$  (see § 9).

q eqaic ū'ye lä îluwe' tcîs it was beaten to pieces, her heart 76.8 mä pepîls ū'ye the person was torn to pieces 48.16 (pîls- to crush)

### § 39. Past Passive -āyu, -ēiyu, -īyu

These suffixes are added directly to the verbal stem, which is invariably reduplicated. Stems ending in w, l, m, and n, immediately preceding these suffixes, appear with a glottal stop, no matter whether the stop is inherent in the stem or not (see §§ 81-82).

 $m\ddot{a} q E s q \bar{a}' y u$  the person was s q a' t s he seized it 68.8 seized 10.4

îl aiai wāyu they were killed aiwît he killed them all 68.11

qaxı!ī'yu he was struck 96.14  $x_L/ts$  he hit her 64.29  $ts\bar{o}\,k\cdot\hat{i}x\cdot t\bar{i}'yu\,l\,e\,m\,\hat{i}x\cdot s\bar{o}'w\bar{e}\,ia'l\,e\,c$ nx·tî'ts I slide it down now was slid down the lucky stake 94.3

kwîlkwe<sup>e</sup>'lēyu le hatā'yîms a'lec was rolled down the

money stake 92.11 ā'yu kwîlkwā'yu surely it was

cut off 76.15

ered up 84.16 out

nkwîlī'yat I roll it down

nıkwa'at I cut it off

 $y = xy \hat{i} x e n t c e^{\epsilon} n \bar{e}^{i} y u$  it was gath-  $y \hat{i} x a' n t c n t s$  she gathered up 60.3

hemhe mē'yu it was brought hemī'yat she took it out 62.23

### § 40. Passive -iyeqem

This suffix is composed of the transitional -*īye* (see § 35) and the generic -qem (see § 30). It serves a triple purpose, according to the manner in which it is suffixed to the verbal stem.

(1) When suffixed to the bare stem, it expresses a verbal conception of a continued character, which may best be rendered by the passive voice. This rendering is due largely to the fact that the -īye-element of the suffix predominates in these cases.

 $l\bar{o}^{ux}t$ - to watch kwîna- to see

wîl- to look for

tqantts he strikes it 28.1

lowîti'yeqem he is watched 40.26

lîn kwînā'yeqem hanı we shall be seen 30.23, 24

gōus gantc wîlī'yeqem everywhere she is looked for 56.1, 2

 $t^{E}qan L \bar{t}' yeq Em xwa' lwaly Etc$  she is continually struck with a knife 80.5

The verbal stem is often reduplicated in order to bring out more clearly the passive idea and the idea of continuation (see § 82).

Lōu- to buy  $\hat{i} la^u L\bar{o}^u u\bar{v}' yeq m le'\hat{i} lw \hat{i} v \hat{i}' l\hat{i} s$ when that was being bought their food 88.13, 14  $\hat{m}$   $\hat{i}$   $\hat{i}$ 

tinually 80.6

(2) When preceded by the transitive suffix -t (see § 26), it denotes an intransitive action, of which the person spoken of is the object. Hence it was sometimes rendered by the reflexive.

î ûx Lldjītī'yeqEm when they two are fighting 122.25  $ts\bar{o}\ s\bar{o}w$ îtī'yeqEm hE qā'yîs now it is changing, the weather  $ts\bar{o}\ l\bar{o}w$ îtī'yeqEm now he took care of himself 66.3

(3) When preceded by the verbal suffix  $-en\bar{\imath}$  (see § 45), it denotes a continued action, the subject of which is not intimately known to the speaker.

hä'tcît! story 20.1

skw- to inform, to tell

wändj hätet!enī'yeqEm that way they are telling the story44.14,15 lau skweyenī'yeqEm lE te!wät they are talking about the fire 38.5, 6

# § 41. Causative Passive -eet, -et; -YyEm

-eet expresses the passive voice of causative concepts. It is suffixed to the verbal stem. The object that is caused to perform the action is always in the singular. The suffix -\(\bar{i}y\)em is used for plural objects (see § 53). This suffix may best be rendered by TO BE CAUSED TO. When suffixed to stems with a-vowels, it changes to -aat (see § 7).

 $qa'wax \ L/e'et le' \hat{u}x e'k^u L \ddot{a}tc$  high up was their (dual) father (literally, was caused to be high up; L/a- to be in an upright position) 22.1

k·ele'  $\iota$ îsīte sine' et he dī' lōt in a corner hidden was the young man (literally, was caused to be hidden; sin- to hide) 24.12

 $q\bar{a}'y$ îsetc  $ts^Ene'et$  le  $k'.\bar{a}$  to the sky was stretched out the rope (tsn- to stretch) 28.20

xaya'nī la k'îlō'wît tana'at old dog-salmon only he saw washed ashore (penlō'wai ta'ntan whale came ashore) 130.3 ā'yu tc!île'et te tc!wäl surely it was burning, that fire (literally, was caused to burn; ntc!îlō'yat I kindle the fire) 38.8 l!nōwa'at nk'î'lō"ts le tc!î'le open I found the door (literally, caused to be open I found the door; l!nō"- to open) x'ne'et he is on top (nx'înō'yat I put it on top) 10.1 nla'at I was carried away (literally, caused to go; nla I go)

In certain instances this passive causative idea is not so apparent, owing, perhaps, to the fact that the verbal stem can not be analyzed.

ā'yu L!le'et surely he kept his eyes shut 17.3
wändj Lōwe'et telînne'îtc that way it is eaten among us 130.11
î'nīex hewe'et Lōwa'kats alone it was supposed she lived 60.10.11

-et. This suffix is always preceded by the transitive -t or -ts. Under the influence of the  $\alpha$ -vowels of the stem, it changes to -at (see § 7).

 $g\bar{o}^us$   $d\bar{\imath}^i\bar{t}$   $tai'^x$ -tset everything was started (literally, caused to go [start];  $\eta ta$  I go) 12.7

xāap he'mtset water was laid bare 42.8

yuwe'  $q^E t \bar{c}^{w'} t set \ he' lakwetc$  whenever it got caught on a limb (literally, was caused to hang on a limb) 46.24

tsä'yuxwīte pî'lstat to pieces (the tree) was smashed (literally, was caused to smash to pieces) 124.14

hēihats L!nōwtat le tc!î'le suddenly came open a door (literally, was caused to open [itself]) 62.5

 $tc!e'etc\ st\bar{o}^{u'}qtset\ h\ddot{a}l\ t\bar{o}'m\hat{\imath}\iota$  ashore was put that old man (literally, caused to stand [up] on the shore) 32.4, 5

In certain cases the passive idea is hardly recognizable.

g·î'mtset it commenced to rain 42.9 e<sup>e</sup>ha'k<sup>u</sup>tat you were left 62.20 qa'lyeq ha'ltsat salmon came into the river 34.13 Lay Eta't he became hungry 32.9

Low?'tat she ran (literally, was caused to run [?]) 56.9  $la^u hanl \hat{u}x c^Ea'lctet$  these two shall work 68.26

the young man 78.27 xwändj c<sup>E</sup>a'lcît lex îloxqai'n that way doctors him the medicine-man (literally, works on him) 128.16, 17

## § 42. The Past Participle -āyau

The past participle is formed by means of the suffix  $-\bar{a}\bar{y}a^u$  added directly to the transitive or intransitive stems.

 $tc!p\bar{a}'\bar{y}a^u$   $k/\bar{a}$  braided ropes atc!pat I braid it atc.2  $tt\bar{a}'\bar{y}a^u\ddot{a}$  a painted face 10.3 atc?pat I paint it  $atc?t\bar{a}'\bar{y}a^u\ddot{a}$  a painted face 10.3 atc?t I paint it atc?t I split it split pitch-wood she lighted them 84.1 atc.t atc.t

#### § 43. The Imperative

The imperative of transitive verbs is expressed by means of suffixes added directly to the verbal stem, or, more frequently, following the transitive suffixes. Intransitive verbs, with the exception of a few stems indicating motion or ideas like to hear, to listen, have no special suffixes. The imperative of such verbs is brought out by the (prefixed) pronouns of the second persons singular, dual or plural.

xle'îtc e<sup>ɛ</sup>t'äts with it speak! 16.5 e<sup>ɛ</sup>t<sup>E</sup>qa wake up! 68.18 cîne<sup>ɛ</sup> tō<sup>u</sup>q you (pl.) get up! 30.19 e<sup>ɛ</sup>alî'canī you play! 60.21

The following are the imperative suffixes in Coos:

-E. It follows the transitive suffixes -t, -ts, and expresses, beside the imperative idea, the presence of the object of the verb. The causative verbs in  $-\bar{\imath}yat$ , and frequentatives in  $-\bar{\imath}^iwat$  and  $-\bar{o}^uwat$ , lose their final (transitive) -t when followed by the imperative suffix. It very often changes the broad a-vowels of the stem into e-vowels (see § 7).

k!wî'nte shoot it 13.3

 $\hat{cin}$  sîtsî''nte le wî'nqas  $h\bar{u}^{u\prime}m\hat{\imath}k$ you go and see the Old-Spider-Woman! 64.12, 13

 $t\bar{e}^i$  îc  $q!m\hat{i}'tsE$  this you two eat! 120.16.

îc hemī'ye you two bring him out! (literally, cause him to come out!) 24.10

Lō nî'cîtc xāap hau'wE in it a little water have! 68.17, 18

24.5, 6

ic ha<sup>u</sup>wē<sup>i'</sup>wat you two have
him 128.9

 $-\tilde{e}n$  expresses, besides the imperative, the absence of the object of the action.

tōhîtsēn you must hit!
tōwēn eat! 28.26
g·ī/kwa qai'nas îc t'ailtsān a little closer to the fire you two dance! 82.19

-Ex, -Eq, suffixed to a few stems, expressing motion, or ideas like to HEAR, TO CLOSE ONE'S EYES, etc.

e<sup>c</sup>k!ā'yex temî'sī listen, O grandson! 114.7 tsîx·L tc!ō<sup>u'</sup>wex here you must lie down! 126.20, 21 L!le'x L shut your eyes! 16.9 cîn la'ex you (pl.) go! 30.23 hamīL lhe'teq (you) may take a rest!

 $-\bar{\imath}t$  suffixed to verbs that are transitivized by means of the transitive suffix  $-\bar{a}ya$  (see § 47).

 $l\bar{a}'ts\bar{\imath}t\ e^{\epsilon}pk\bar{a}k$  go and get your grandfather! 28.19 get him 20.14, 15  $l\bar{o}^{u'x}t\bar{\imath}t\ ye^{\epsilon}tet$  watch yourself!  $l\bar{o}^{ux}t\bar{a}'ya$  he watches it 92.3 74.3  $l\bar{o}w\bar{a}'\bar{\imath}t\ don't$  desire it!  $l\bar{o}w\bar{a}'ya$  he wants it 92.12

-Em expresses, besides the direct object, the indirect object of the first person. It is hence suffixed to verbal stems expressing ideas like to give, to make. It is highly probable that this suffix may be an abbreviated form of the pronominal -āmî (see § 46).

p<sup>E</sup>sî'k· ā'tsem a cup give me! 68.17 tsä'yux<sup>u</sup> kwā'xal e<sup>e</sup>ha<sup>u'x</sup>tsem a small bow make me! 60.14, 15 mîlxa'nem l ū'mā lunch make me, you must, O grandmother! (mî'lax lunch) 114.5

-Eîs. This suffix expresses a command involving the second person as the actor, and the first person as the object of the action. From a purely morphological standpoint, it is a modified form of the pronominal suffix -āîs (see § 46).

ten nqatqai'ı yîxuxwe'îs by this my belt you hold me! 54.12 tcī lae'îs teka'xtsī there take me, O granddaughter! 80.14

Compare e<sup>ɛ</sup>tsak·întā'îs hanz you shall help me 80.16

In addition to these suffixes, the Coos language very often emphasizes the imperative idea by means of the particle  $\iota$  (see § 92).

### VERBALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 44-45)

## $\S$ 44. Auxiliary -e (-a)

This suffix exercises the function of our auxiliary verb to BE. The noun to which it is suffixed invariably takes the adverbial prefix n- with (see § 21). The phrase thus obtained expresses the idea to have. This suffix is always changed to -a whenever added to a stem having an a-vowel (see § 7).

nt! Ecî' tauwe le mî' laq flint points have the arrows (literally, with flint points [are] the arrows; t! Ecî' tau flint point) 62.27

 $nw\hat{i}'t\hat{i}ne\ l\ddot{a}\ k^uh\ddot{a}'yeq$  bloody are his excrements  $(w\hat{i}'t\hat{i}n\ blood)$  20.6, 7  $nk^um\bar{a}'xa\ lE\ c\bar{i}'t'\cdot\bar{a}$  horns had the pet (literally, with horns was the pet;  $k^um\bar{a}'x$  horn) 88.7, 8

 $n\bar{a}^a nt \ m\ddot{a} \ tc! p\bar{a}' \bar{y} a^a \ nk! \bar{a}' ha$  many people have braided ropes (literally, braided with ropes [are];  $k! \bar{a}$  rope) 46.8, 9

It very often transforms nouns into intransitive verbs without the aid of the prefix n-. In such cases the -a form of this suffix is mostly used.

xba'ltîdj lau k!wîsî'sa from the west it blew (k!wä'sîs wind) 52.4. 5 nkwaatî'sa I dream (kwaa'tîs a dream)

 $xc^{i\prime}y^{i}t\hat{c}itc\ la^{u}\ xl\bar{\imath}'sa$  clear around him (he put) slime ( $xl\bar{\imath}s$  slime) 128.18

#### § 45. Verbal -enī

This suffix expresses the idea to do, to make something. It is usually suffixed to nouns and to verbal stems that do not imply an

active, transitive action. This suffix is changed to -anī whenever added to stems having an a-vowel (see § 7).

nplpäwîse'nī I am making a hat (plpä'wîs hat)
nyîxä'wexenī I am making a house (yîxä'wex house)
nkwā'xalanī I am making a bow (kwā'xal bow)
efleqauvîya'tanī you tell a story (leqauvîya'tas story) 38.13, 14
nhätcît!e'nī I tell a story (hä'tcît! story)
wändj tcînehe'nī that way he is thinking (tcîn[e]- to think) 40.14, 15
tsî ku îc hewese'nī merely perhaps you two are lying (he'wes a lie)
28.13, 14

îł alî'canï they play (a'lec toy) 30.25

qawenîse'nī l'nuwī he got mad very much 98.28

 $\hat{i}l \ \gamma \bar{a}' lan\bar{\imath}$  they were saying 76.17;  $tE \ h\bar{a}' n\hat{\imath}s \ \gamma \bar{a}' la \ m\ddot{a}$  those (who) talk Coos (literally, those  $h\bar{a}' n\hat{\imath}s$  [Coos] talker-people) 50.3

 $xw\ddot{a}ndje'n\bar{\imath}$  that way she was doing it  $(xw\ddot{a}ndj$  that way [modal]) 164.6

### PRONOMINAL SUFFIXES (§§ 46-50)

### § 46. Transitive Subject and Object Pronouns

The Coos pronouns expressing both subject and object of a transitive verb are, morphologically speaking, suffixes added to verbal stems, or to stems that have been verbalized by means of some transitive suffix. The transitive suffixes may, however, be omitted, as the mere addition of these pronominal suffixes is sufficient to transform an intransitive stem into a transitive verb. These suffixes occur in four different forms, expressing the first, second, and third person as subject, and the first and second persons as object, of the action, regardless of number.

First person subject—second person object (sing., dual, plural)  $-\bar{a}m\hat{i}$ Second person subject—first person object (sing., dual, plural)  $-\bar{a}\hat{i}s$ Third person subject  $-\bar{u}$ ,  $-\bar{i}d$ 

Third person object—first, second, third person subject, no suffix.

Since these suffixes are frequently preceded by the emphatic or abbreviated forms of the personal pronouns (see §§ 18, 96), the phonetic unit expressing the combined pronouns may be said to consist of the following elements:

- (1) Personal pronoun for the subject.
- (2) Personal pronoun for the object.

- (3) Verbal stem.
- (4) Suffixed form of the combined pronoun.

The following peculiarities in the manner of expressing the transitive subject and object pronouns are noteworthy:

- (1) The forms having the third persons as object indicate the subject by the pronouns n for the first persons, e for the second (see § 18), regardless of number.
- (2) The form expressing the second singular subject and the first singular object uses for its pronominal prefix the second singular  $e^{\epsilon}$ .
- (3) All other forms indicate the object by prefixing the personal pronouns according to number.
- (4) The pronominal prefixes expressing the subject occur in singular form regardless of the actual number that is to be indicated (see § 96).

The following is a complete table showing the formation of the combined pronouns for the different persons:

Me Thee	I, We Two, We	Thou, Ye Two, Ye	He, They T	Fwo, They $n - \overline{\imath}l$ $e^{\varepsilon} - \overline{\imath}l$
Him ——————	<i>n</i>	€		
Us (Incl) (Dual)		_	îs-ū	<i>îs-īt</i>
Us (Excl) (Dual)		xwîn-āîs	xwin-ū	xwin- $it$
You (Dual)	îcāmî		îc-ũ	îc -īl
Them (Dual)	ūx ņ	ûx e <sup>€</sup>	ûx	ûx -
Us		lîn-āis	lîn -ū	lîn -īl
You	cîn-āmî		cîn -ū	cîn -īl
Them	îl n	îl e <sup>€</sup>	îl	îł -

The personal pronouns are usually omitted for singular subjects. They always occur, however, when the subject is dual or plural.

nī'xkan wändj e<sup>c</sup>ī'ltā'mî I that way told you 17.2
e<sup>e</sup>muxtîtsā'mî I (want to) feel of you 72.10
e<sup>c</sup>laā'mî I take you along
ntō'hîts I hit it 64.5
e<sup>e</sup>tō'hîts you hit it 20.19
tō'hîts he hit him (or it) 20.19
ntō'hîtsū me he hit
e<sup>e</sup>tō'hîtsū thee he hit
eîn kwîna'īl you he sees
xwîn e<sup>e</sup>pīītā'mî hanz we two thee will take home 126.19, 20
lîn e<sup>e</sup>sîtsī'ntā'mî we thee (came to) see 130.19, 20

The personal pronouns for the objective third persons dual and plural always precede the subjective pronouns.

ûx ntō'hîts them two I hit

îl e tô' hîts them you hit

The suffixes for the combined pronouns are added either directly to the bare verbal stem or to the verb amplified by the transitive -t and -ts. This double system of adding the suffixes for the combined pronouns to the verb serves as a means of differentiating the duration of the action indicated by the verb. The bare verbal stem amplified by the pronominal suffixes denotes an action that has been performed more than once, or that has not yet been completed; while the verbs to which the pronominal suffixes are added after the transitive suffixes indicate actions that have been performed only once, or that are completed. The same purpose is served by the double forms of the combined pronoun having the third person as its subject. The suffix -īl is always added to the verbal stem; while  $-\bar{u}$  is suffixed to the stem, in addition to the transitive suffixes. It must be understood, however, that this interpretation of the double system of adding the combined pronominal suffixes does not apply to each individual case. Verbs with the pronominal suffixes added to the bare stem are frequently employed to denote past, completed actions, and vice versâ.

nE'xkan e'wîlā'mî I am looking for you
e'wîwīnā'mî I am cheating
you
e'k!wînā'îs you were shooting
at me
e'sqā'îs you were seizing me
nk!wî'nīl he was shooting at
me

eswîluwîtā'mî I have looked for you
eswîintsā'mî I have cheated you
esk!wîntā'îs you took a shot at me
essqatsā'îs you seized me
nk!wî'ntū he shot at me

The imperative transitive pronouns have been described in § 43. They are -*em* TO ME, -*eîs* ME.

# $\S$ 47. Transitive Verbs in $-\bar{a}ya$

Language in general has a number of verbal ideas, which, strictly speaking, do not imply any actions on the part of the subject; or denote actions, that, while intransitive, may be performed for the benefit of or in connection with a certain given object. Verbs like to know, to understand, to desire, to believe, to watch, to be afraid, etc.,

express ideas that are not real actions, but which may be used as such in connection with some object. Thus, I may know him, understand them, desire it, believe her, watch myself, etc. On the other hand, verbs like to go, to run away, etc., express intransitive actions that may be performed in connection with a given object. Thus it is possible to go to him, to run away from me, etc.

Coos treats the stems expressing such ideas as intransitive verbs, which do not take any of the transitive suffixes; but since these intransitive verbs may, without the aid of any additional grammatical device, become transitive, and imply the existence of an object (which is usually that of the third person), there is a special suffix  $-\bar{a}ya$  which indicates the (mental) process described above. This suffix, always added to the bare verbal stem, denotes an intransitive action that has become transitive by being used in connection with the third person object. It may therefore be called the "pronominal suffix," expressing, besides the subject, the third person object of an intransitive verb.

ûxalqsā'ya they two are afraid of it 7.5 ndōwā'ya I want her 70.6

 $n E q \bar{a}' y a$  he ran away from it 42.4

 $nx \cdot na^a t\bar{a}'ya$  I am riding (a horse)

ıqā'ya lex swāl believed it the grizzly bear 94.25 mîtsîsī'ya she knows it 60.1

*īn kwaā'nīya* (they) did not know it 86.12

 $lats\bar{a}'ya$  he went after it 94.7  $l\bar{o}^{ux}t\bar{a}'ya$  he watched it 94.6  $latallow{a}latallow{b$ 

occupy the country 44.21

a'lqas fear 66.4

tsîx·tī' dō'wa wu'txe tī'ye pū'yatc here wants to come back thy uncle 122.15 neq he ran away 100.16

x'ne'et he is on top 10.1

Lq- to believe

mî'tsîs wise 132.6 kwaān- to know

la he went 22.18  $lo^{ux}t$ - to watch  $e^{\epsilon} L\bar{o}^{u}k^{u}$  you sit down! 38.22

The plurality of the object is expressed by the affixed numerical particle  $h = \overline{m}a$  ALL (§ 109), or by the separate suffix  $-\overline{\imath}tex$  (§ 54).

ņlōuxtā'ya he'ma I watch them all

The imperative of this form has the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}t$  (see § 43).

3045°-Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-23

### $\S$ 48. Subject and Object Pronouns of Verbs in $-\bar{a}ya$

The corresponding suffixes for the above discussed verbs, expressing, besides the object, also the subject of the first, second, or third person, are etymologically related to the suffixes treated on p. 351. They appear, however, in such changed form, that they require separate discussion. These forms are —

	I, We Two, We	Thou, Ye Two, Ye	He, They Two, They
Me Thee Him etc.	- e <sup>e</sup> -yExtāmî -	e <sup>€</sup> -yExtāîs - -	ŋ-yExtü e <sup>€</sup> -yExtü —

They are suffixed directly to the verbal stem.

 $e^{\epsilon}d\bar{o}w\bar{a}'yext\bar{a}'m\hat{\imath}$  thee I want  $e^{\epsilon}l\bar{o}^xt\bar{\imath}yext\bar{a}'m\hat{\imath}$  of thee I take care  $e^{\epsilon}l\bar{o}^xt\bar{\imath}yext\bar{a}'\hat{\imath}s$  you take care of me 86.20  $\eta kwee'n\bar{\imath}yext\bar{\imath}u$  me he knows  $e^{\epsilon}d\bar{o}w\bar{a}'yext\bar{\imath}u$  thee he wants

The etymology of the first element in these suffixes (-yext-) is quite obscure. It may be suggested that -yex- is the adjectival suffix (see § 66), and -t the transitive (see § 26), although we are no longer able to understand the psychological principles underlying this peculiar formation.

#### § 49. Transitive Verbs in -a

This suffix is preceded by the transitive suffixes. Its function is varied. It may have expressed originally the indirect object; but verbal ideas requiring both a direct and an indirect object are very few in number in the Coos language, and the functional scope of this suffix is much wider now, permitting its use for other purposes. Thus it is very frequently suffixed to transitive verbs where the object of the action is actually expressed, and not merely understood; and it is often, but not as a rule, used as a suffix denoting plurality of the object. The most plausible suggestion that can be offered in explanation of this suffix is that it may denote an action performed upon an object that possesses another object. At any rate, there can be no doubt that the predominating function of this suffix is that of a special characterization of the pronominal object.

nmîlxa'na I made lunch for him

 $\bar{a}'tsa$  he gave it to him 28.7

mî'lax lunch 28.15

 $\bar{a}ts$ - to give

 $tetc\ c^E alcta' texa$  clothes he made for (his child) 108.5  $kw \hat{n} \bar{n}' was\ s\bar{\imath}'^x \cdot t^E tsa$  smoke he scented 22.23

kwā'xaz haw'xtsa le temî'snätc a bow she made for her grandson 112.25, 26

ā'yu L!ha'tsa lä tetc surely (he) put on his clothes 28.23
kāas yî'xē pe'nzta le tsî'yen nearly he tore off one handle 30.4
pīī'ta le mä ai'wît he took to his house the people (pl.) whom he killed 112.11

e wutxa ta lī'ye ū'māc ûx pkāk you (should) take home, thy grandmother them two (and) grandfather 68.26

îl nî'l<sup>E</sup>xtsa at them I looked nsqa'tsa le quwai's I seized the boards

lk!wa yîxu'xwa fern roots she had 64.14, 15

wutxaī'yat lä pkā'katc he brought home his grandfather 70.2

nî'lxats I looked at him
nsqats le quwai's I seized the
board
hî'nī yîxuxwē''wat there he
was holding it 64.3, 4

Compare, on the other hand,

tc/wä'letc t/cî'tsa into the fire he shoved it (no object is actually expressed here) 32.26 tc!wä'letc t!cîts into the fire he shoved it 32.24

or

 $y\hat{u}'xw\ddot{a}$  wutxa $\bar{\imath}'yat$  he  $tc\bar{o}'xtc\bar{o}x$  two he brought home the rabbits  $p\bar{o}kw\hat{\imath}'ln\bar{e}^i$   $t\hat{\imath}'lqats$  opposite each other he set (them) down 112.12

 $\S$  50. Verbs in  $-anar{a}ya$  with Direct and Indirect Object Pronoun

This suffix is composed of two suffixes,  $-en\bar{\imath}$  (see § 45) and  $-\bar{a}ya$  (see § 47). The broad a-vowel of the second suffix effects the retrogressive assimilation of the  $-en\bar{\imath}$  into  $-an\bar{\imath}$ , and the final vowel of  $-en\bar{\imath}$  coalesces with the initial of  $-\bar{a}ya$  into a long  $\bar{a}$  (see § 7). It may best be rendered by to do, to make something out of something.

nkwāxalanā'ya I am making a bow out of it (kwā'xal bow)
nyîxäwexanā'ya I build houses out of it (yîxä'wex house)
lau k!wenîyawanā'ya le qa'lyeq he is making a supply out of the salmon (k!we'nîyau supply) 34.24

yanıawe  $d\bar{\imath}^i t$   $e^{\epsilon} q a^u wen \hat{\imath} s a' n \bar{a} y a$  whenever something you will get mad at  $(q a^u wen \hat{\imath} s e' n \bar{\imath}$  he got mad) 16.4

 $la^u \ han \ e^{\epsilon} l' nuw an \bar a' y a$  at that thing you shall pull ( $l' nuw \bar\imath$  very) 72.2

 $\hat{\imath}l~wa'lwalan\bar{a}'ya~$  they (would) make knives out of it (wa'lwal knife)  $136.14,\,15$ 

The a-vowels of this suffix very often change the e-vowels of the stem to which they are suffixed into an a (see § 7).

 $x\bar{a}'nan\bar{a}'ya$  he made him feel sorry for it ( $x\ddot{a}'n\hat{s}$  sick) 42.18  $pp\bar{a}'w\hat{s}an\bar{a}'ya$  I made a hat out of it ( $pp\bar{a}'w\hat{s}$  hat)

Whenever suffixed to reduplicated stems, this suffix is changed to  $-\bar{o}n\bar{a}ya$ .

 $aqa'lqs\bar{o}n\bar{a}'ya\ la\ \bar{a}'la$  he became a fraid of his child (a'lqas fear)  $28.24,\,25$ 

 $m\hat{\imath}tsma'ts\bar{o}n\bar{a}'ya$  lex  $d\bar{\imath}'l\bar{o}l$  he became acquainted with him, the young man  $(m\hat{\imath}'ts\hat{\imath}s$  wise) 116.1

 $qai^x \cdot qa'y\bar{o}n\bar{a}'ya$  he became afraid of it  $(nqa'ya^uts\ I\ am\ frightened\ [I\ fear])\ 42.3$ 

# PLURAL FORMATIONS (§§ 51-54)

### § 51. General Remarks

The question of plurality, as exhibited in the verbs, is, comparatively speaking, a complicated matter. The chief difficulty arises from the fact that Coos accords a different treatment to transitive and intransitive verbs, and that the phenomena connected with plural formation are by no means of a uniform character. As in most other American languages, the Coos intransitive verbs express plurality of subject, while stems expressing transitive concepts distinguish between actions relating to a singular object and those relating to plural objects.

As a rule, plurality of the subject of verbal ideas is not indicated. One and the same stem is used in the singular and plural alike. There are, however, a few verbal concepts that express such a plurality by means of different stems. While this question ought to be more properly treated under the heading "Vocabulary," it may nevertheless be found useful to give here a few examples of such different stems.

Singular	Plural	
$\bar{\imath}ts\bar{e}m~26.20$	$ne'ts\bar{\imath}$ 74.1	to do
$\hat{yixu'\overline{m}e}$ 10.3	yuwī'tît, yuwat- 12.6	to travel
$tsx\bar{u}$ 28.12	ha'yatî 58.19, 20	to lie
qa'qal~40.2	$ts \hat{\imath}' m s \hat{\imath} m t \ 74.1$	to sleep
$xne^{x} \cdot t - 74.30$	xwailt- $22.17$	to fly, to jump
$leqa^{u'}we~42.18$	e'qe 84.14	to die
L!ä-, 14.6	$\gamma \bar{a}' la$ - 50.3	to speak
Lōwa'kats, 38.10	tîla'qai 36.11, kwee'tî 50.7	to sit, to live

On the other hand, there are a few stems that seem to express singularity or plurality of subject by means of a grammatical process the history of which is not clear. This process may be said to consist in the change of the vowel connecting the suffix with the stem.

. Singular
e <sup>e</sup> tc!a'at you walk 120.18
nxa'yat I am whittling
nt'a'lats I dance
nwî'nat I wade out

?lural
?l tc!a'īt they walk
?l wa'yīt they are whittling
?ce\* t'a'līt you two dance 82.18
?l wi'nīt they wade out

This process is the more puzzling, as it also seems to be used for the purpose of distinguishing between duration of action (see § 26). It is quite conceivable that there may be an etymological relation between these two phenomena, and that the phonetic similarity exhibited by them is more than accidental.

#### $\S$ 52. Reflexive Plural $-\bar{u}$

In a number of cases intransitive verbs indicate plurality of subject by means of a suffix which is phonetically different from the suffix expressing the corresponding singular idea. This is especially true in the case of the suffix  $-q_{EM}$ ,  $-x_{EM}$  (see § 30). This suffix is applied to singular subjects only, while the same idea for plural subjects is expressed by means of the suffix  $-\bar{u}$ , which is always preceded by the transitive -t or -ts.

Singular		
łhe' tqem	it is resting 88.16	
nwe'lextx	vem I went to bed	

 $nl\bar{o}^{u'}k^{u}tsxem$  I sat down  $nkwe'et^{E}tsxem$  I settled down nsln'txem I hide myself

îl lhe'tū they are resting îce we'lextū you two go to bed 82.13

 $t\hat{i}'\hat{l}^Eqts\bar{u}$  (they) sat down 22.15  $\hat{i}l\,kwe'et^Ets\bar{u}$  they settled down 48.5  $\hat{i}l\,sun't\bar{u}$  they hide themselves

#### § 53. Causative Passive Plural $-\bar{i}yEm$

The same principle is applied to intransitive verbs expressing passive causative ideas. Singular subjects are expressed by means of the suffix -eet (see p. 345), while plurality of the subject is indicated by the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}y$ Em. The most perplexing problem connected with this suffix is the fact that its initial  $\bar{\imath}$  disappears before u-diphthongs without changing the u of the diphthong into a consonantic w (see § 8).

sLn-	Singular	Plural
$\hat{u}x s \ln i'y at$ they two	sine'et 24.12	$s_L n \bar{\imath}' y_E m$
hide him (caus.)		
24.9		
x·En-		
$nx\cdot n\bar{n}'yat$ I put it	x'ne'et 10.1	$x$ · $n\bar{\imath}'y$ E $m$
on top		
$l_{E}m$ -		
<i>ûx lemī'yat</i> they two set it up 8.10	leme'et 90.18	lem <b>ī'y∓</b> m
$q^E to^u$ -		
$nq^E t \bar{o}w \bar{v}'yat$ I hang it up	$q^E t \bar{o} w e' e t$ 46.27	$q^E t \bar{o}^{u'} y_{EM}$ 84.15
$(x)n\bar{o}'we$ , right 44.9	$nar{o}we'et$	$n\bar{o}^{u\prime}y$ EM $44.22$
il L!a- to be on something il L!aīyat they put it on 80.20	L!e'et 22.1	L!eī'yEm 144.4

## § 54. Direct Plural Object -itex

The idea of plurality of objects in transitive verbs is not clearly developed. The treatment accorded to the different stems is so irregular that no definite rules can be formulated. The majority of stems make no distinction between singular and plural objects, and occur in one form only. Other stems have different forms for the singular and plural; e. g.,  $tsxa^u$ - to kill one, aiw- to kill many, ta- to put in one,  $x^*L/\bar{o}^u$ - to put in many, etc.; while a number of stems seem to express plurality of object by means of the affixed numeral particle  $he\bar{m}a$  (see § 109), or by means of the suffix  $-\bar{i}tex$ .

This suffix expresses the plural third person object, and may be added directly to the verbal stem, or after the transitive suffixes -t, -ts.

xwî'tsxūt cîma'ītex (many) deer he pulled 88.12
ā'yu îl Lana'ītex surely they headed them off 56.16
hats Lōwe'ente lau laaī'tex just all (wholly) she dragged them 80.9
\$\$ 53-54

#### § 55. MISCELLANEOUS SUFFIXES

While the functions of the verbal suffixes discussed in the preceding pages are clear, and could be described fairly accurately, there are a few others that appear only now and then, and express ideas of a varying character. It is possible that these suffixes may represent the petrified remnants of grammatical formations that have become obliterated in the course of time. The following is a list of these suffixes:

-a. This suffix seems to express in a number of instances our infinitive idea.

Inē'vat xwî'tsxūt he is habitually hunting deer

ln'ta e'he le dä'mîl hunting (had) gone the man 108.9

helmī'hîs asō' la ln'ta next dayagain he went hunting 110.10

him (caus.) 60.6

 $sLaqa'\bar{e}^iwat$  she is bathing  $y\hat{i}xe'n sLa'qa la$  once bathing she went (literally, to bathe) 84.24

In a few cases it has been found suffixed to neutral stems, and seems to denote impersonal actions.

 $l\bar{o}q^{u_{-}}$  to boil

loqu'qwa le seal! was boiling the pitch 102.11

 $kwi\bar{n}\bar{a}'was$  smoke 22.23

It is possible that this suffix may have the identical function with the  $-\alpha$  (or -e) suffixed to the modal adverbs (see § 106), and it may

 $\bar{\imath}n \; kw\hat{\imath}'\bar{n}a$  not it smokes 110.14

-e. I am at a loss to detect the exact nature of this suffix and its etymological connection with any of the other suffixes. In the few instances in which it occurs, it was rendered by the passive, or else as an abstract verbal noun.

consequently be related to the auxiliary -e (see § 44).

 $k\cdot\hat{\imath}t\bar{o}'w\hat{\imath}t$  she saw him 54.2

 $k \cdot \hat{\imath}' L \bar{o}^u ts$  he found it 32.10

hä'wī he grew up 64.12

k!a'lat he shouted (at it) 36.7

 $m\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}at$  he swam 30.7

 $\bar{a}'yu \ k \cdot \hat{\imath} l \bar{o}'we \ \hat{\imath} \ la^u \ dj \bar{\imath}$  surely it was seen as it was coming 52.7, 8 lä îx. k.i Le her canoe was found

54.19

la û hawwe it grew up (literally, goes its growth) 20.16

ä'wī nk'e'le I quit shouting (literally, the shouts)

 $\ddot{a}'w\bar{\imath} e^{\varepsilon}m\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}e$  stop swimming (literally, finish your swimming)

-anu. This suffix occurs in two instances only, and expresses in both of them the infinitive. It seems to be related to the verbal suffix  $-en\bar{\imath}$  (see § 45).

yu'wel a pack 70.22

a'lec toy 92.10

la<sup>u</sup> yu<sup>wi</sup>Le'nu he (went out in order) to pack (enu > anu [see § 7])
162.25
mä he'laq teī alî'canu people came

there (in order) to play 90.26

-um occurs very rarely, and seems to denote the absence of the object of an action.

q!mîts he eats it 32.9

 $L\bar{o}^{u}$ - to eat 17.2

hats han  $e^{\xi}q/a'mtsam$  just will you eat 42.23, 24  $la^u ts\hat{i}x$  he  $L\bar{o}'w\hat{i}yam$  she usually here eats 24.4, 5

## Nominal Suffixes (§§ 56-80)

## GENERAL NOMINALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 56-65)

§ 56. Nominal -is

This suffix may be said to have a general nominalizing function. It is found suffixed to a great number of stems, and expresses general nominal ideas, including many of our adjectival terms. For a discussion of its etymological nature, see General Remarks, § 25.

 $h\ddot{a}'w\bar{\imath}$  he grew up 64.12  $st\bar{o}^uq$  he stood 20.4

L'äts he spoke 16.2

îluwe'\*tcîs heart 5.3 wîx•ī'lîs food 14.7

hele'yîs salmon-roe 34.27  $h\bar{u}^{u'}m$ îs woman 24.6

baltī'mîs ocean 6.2

 $p\hat{i}'l\hat{i}k\cdot\hat{i}s$  anus 40.7  $p\bar{o}^{u'}kw\hat{i}s$  slave

prpä'wîs hat 136.14

tama'lîs custom, fashion 19.8

 $tq\bar{a}'l\hat{\imath}s$  sun 24.2

 $s\hat{i}k \cdot e'x \cdot k\hat{i}s$  shield 28.7

tskwa'xlîs fir-tree 9.2

tceene'nîs edge 22.15

 $g \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{l} \bar{o}' m \hat{\imath} s$  breakers 8.1

hä'wîs ready 5.4

stōwa'qwîs wall 90.18. L!ē'yîs language 16.1

k·ele' Lîs corner 58.13

 $k \cdot \hat{\imath} \bar{n} a' w \hat{\imath} s$  laziness 34.17

 $(k \cdot \hat{\imath}' n w \hat{\imath} s \text{ lazy})$ 

kwäye'îs ridge, mountain 22.13

kwä'sîs ball 38.19

kwî'nîs feather 26.21

k!wä'sîs wind 22.11

 $q\bar{a}'y\hat{\imath}s$  day, sky, world 6.1

 $qai'n\hat{\imath}s$  mouth of river 58.1  $q^Ema't\hat{\imath}s$  fish-basket 36.7

xala'wîs heat 24.9

 $la'^x$ Lîs mud 52.10

ltce'îs ocean beach 7.11

Lva'lîs sand beach 58.1

 $he'\overline{m}\hat{i}s$  large 14.5  $h\bar{u}'w\hat{i}s$  poor 42.5  $p\underline{\iota}.'\hat{i}s$  heavy  $m\hat{i}'ts\hat{i}s$  wise 132.6  $t^{E}qa^{i'}\underline{\iota}\hat{i}s$  solid 7.6  $tc.'\hat{i}c\hat{i}'l\hat{i}s$  sweet 32.27  $tc.'l\hat{i}s$  dry 166.2

k·î'nwîs lazy kat' E'mîs five 5.4 x·î'lwîs deep xa'lwîs hot 24.6 xä'nîs sick 42.18 Le'mîs raw 32.23

## § 57. Nouns of Quality in -Es, -tEs; -enîs

-Es, -tEs. This suffix changes adjectives (or adverbs) into abstract nouns. No explanation can be given for the phonetic difference between the two suffixes.

 $he'\overline{m}$ îs big 14.5

hats kwa x\*nek\* hemî'stes he x\*ōwā'yas the snake was just as big as a hair (literally, just like a hair [is] the size [of] the snake) 86.2

 $n\bar{a}^a nt$  much, many 50.13

in kwee'nīyēm ītse'ts he'îl nāa'ntes
no one knew how many they
were (literally, not knew they
how [was] their quantity) 78.2
xqaine'es kāas tsxaū'wat cold

nqai'na I am cold

nearly killed him 32.7

tau henī'yees nyîxu'me (for) such length of time I travel 26.9

 $he'n\bar{\imath}ye$  a while 38.15

hethe'tees wealth

hethe'te rich 26.2 paa- to fill 15.7

la û paa'wes he wāap the water reached its full mark (literally, goes its fullness [of] the water) 44.19

e'hente far 26.23 qaz long k'le'es black 162.13 qat below 36.11 ehe'ntces distance 52.16
qa'ttes length
k'le'estes black color
qa'tes, the lower part, half 16.10

-enîs transforms adjectives expressing sensations and emotions into abstract nouns.

cîn lqa you are hungry 70.12

tē xwîn ā' ya laqe'nîs these we two died from hunger (literally, these we two [are] hunger-dead) 36.13, 14

qau'net he got angry 32.25

qauwe'nîs anger, wrath 16.4

### § 58. Nouns of Location in -Em

This suffix expresses the abstract conception of a local idea. It is suffixed to adverbs only, and is (with one exception) preceded by the adverbial suffix -tc. It may best be rendered by THE PART OF, THE SIDE OF.

lexa'tca kwî'nait inside he looked 62.6

lexa' term hant nqa'qal in the inside (part of my eye) will I sleep 40.2

he yîxä' wex lexa' teem of the house the inside (part)

yîqantce'wîtc backwards 32.13

pentō'wai yîqa'ntcem djī a whale behind it was coming 88.22

i'la before, first 56.9

îl k'îlō'wît īla'hatcem djī they saw it in front coming 88.5 le'wî û īla'hatcem dōwā'ya lä e<sup>e</sup>-

gat below 36.11

näte he liked his mother best (literally, it is [as] his first[-ness] he likes his mother) 120.19, 20 xwändj yā'lanī le mä qa'tem tîla'qai that way are talking the people (who on the) lower

part (of the river) live 66.12

#### § 59. Verbal Abstract -āwas, -nēiwas

- $\bar{a}was$  changes the verb into a noun. It expresses the abstract concept of a verbal idea. If the verb expresses an active, transitive idea, it is suffixed to the bare stem, while in intransitive verbs it is preceded by the intransitive suffix -en $\bar{\imath}$  (see p. 349). In such cases the final vowel of the transitive suffix disappears, and the  $\alpha$ -vowel of - $\bar{a}was$  effects the retrogressive assimilation of the stem-vowels and suffix-vowels (see § 7).

 $c^E a' l c t e t$  he is working 22.26

0.0

 $L\bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i\prime}wat$  she is eating 24.5, 6

nı!hats I put it on îs alî'canī we two play 38.11

e'qe dead 42.19 ha'yat he gambled 66.15 §§ 58–59 %  $la^u\ddot{a}'w\bar{\imath}~c^Ealct\bar{a}'was$  when he quit (the) work 34.6, 7

 $\ddot{a}'w\bar{\imath}$  û  $z\bar{o}w\bar{a}'was$  she finished (her) food 24.13

lä L!ahā'was her clothes 110.3 alîcanā'was lîn ha<sup>ux</sup>ts a game we (should) arrange 90.14

aqanā'was funeral hayanā'was Indian game

In one instance this suffix has been changed to -āwal.

 $qa'ya^uts$  he is scared 126.1

was sticking in a crack 62.8)

in yū dīt qayawā'waz hardly anything can scare him (literally, not very something scaring [to him] 40.24; qayawā'waz a thing that scares)

 $-n\bar{e}^{i}was$ . Composed of the distributive  $-n\bar{e}^{i}$  (see § 37) and the nominal  $-\bar{a}was$ . Hence it expresses an abstract concept that has a distributive character.

häqtsä' nlaxanē' was Lōwa' kats she was sitting between his teeth (literally, his teeth in the [mutual] between[-ness]) 102.18 sōwe't laxanē' was between the fingers 108.21 sqailnē' was the space between the fingers, a crack (sqai' LEXEM it

### § 60. Verbal Nouns in -onîs, -sī

-ōnîs. This suffix indicates that something has become the object of a certain action. It may best be rendered by WHAT BECAME THE OBJECT OF. Either it is suffixed to the verbal stem directly, or it is preceded by the transitive suffixes -t, -ts (see § 26).

îl yā'lanī they are talking 90.16 lau îl yaaltō'nîs they begin to talk about it (literally, this they

about it (literally, this they [have as their] object of speech)

76.22

nk·î'tîts I cut it

k-îtîtsō'nîs la kxla she commenced to cut her foot (literally, object of cutting her foot [became] 80.21)

 $L\bar{o}^{u}$ - to eat 17.2

la<sup>u</sup> Lōū'nîs î ha'k îtc la<sup>u</sup> yîxu'me this became his food while he walked crawling (literally, that object of eating [it became] while crawling that one traveled) 32.11

ā'tsa he gave it to him 34.10 atsō'nîs gift 188.26

 $-s\bar{\imath}$  is used in the formation of nouns from verbal stems. The best rendering that can be given for this suffix is the Ruins, the remnants of.

ai'wît he killed them 68.11

 $x \cdot p\bar{\imath}$  it burned down 58.12

îs ai'wîtsī ītE we two (are the) remnants of the slaughter 62.18 qawîlaî'we le yîxä'wex nx pî'tsī she commenced to look around

(of) the house the débris 58.18, 19

It is very likely that the following example may belong here:

32.11

k·îtsî'mîs, k·îtsîmä'mîs half he k·îtsî'mîsī ai'wît half of them he killed (literally, the remaining half he killed) 112.10

## § 61. Nouns of Quantity in -în

This suffix occurs in a few instances only. It is added to stems expressing adjectival ideas, and may be translated by PIECE, PORTION.

 $tc\ddot{a}'yux^u$  small 42.6

qaic small 128.29

e'hentc far 26.23

 $\bar{\imath}'k\cdot\bar{\imath}$  tcä'yuxwîn  $\bar{a}'$ tsa (to) both a small portion he gave 120.17 qaicî'nîs ûx yū'wiyū in a small place they two are stopping 6.3 nā'yîm ehentcesî'nēitc djî'nīt because quite far apart it keeps coming (literally, because distance-portion-modality, [they] are coming [singly]) 52.18

# § 62. Nouns of Agency in -ayawa, -eyäwe, -īyawa

These suffixes indicate the performer of an action. The -eyäwe form is added to stems with e-vowels (see § 7). Since the informant was frequently at a loss how to express in English the idea conveyed by this suffix, he invariably translated it by to go and (perform the action in question).

t'a'lats he dances  $L/x \cdot \bar{\imath}^i nt$  he examined it 32.23

 $n\hat{\imath}'k\cdot\hat{\imath}n \mod 102.2$ 

 $m\hat{\imath}'laq$  arrow 12.10

 $L\bar{o}^{u}$  to eat 17.2

t'alīya'wa a dancer L!x'īnīya'wa examiner

mī'lan nnîk'îneyä'we permit me to get some wood (literally, let me wood-getter be) 102. 1

îs mîlaqayä'we we two go and get arrows (literally, [we two are]

arrow-makers) 160.6, 7 nlowiya'wa I am an eater

nte'xtîts Lowiya'wa I go in and eat 168.2, 3

## § 63. Nominalizing Suffix Indicating Place, -îs

It is never suffixed to verbal stems.

$qa'ntc\bar{u}$ where? 94.25	ic xqantcū'wîs from where are you two? (literally, your two selves' whence place) 126.14
le'lex medicine	win telveyawe wis we two have been after medicine (literally, our two selves' medicine-makers place) 126.15
tsä'yux <sup>u</sup> small 20.5	tsäyuxwî'nîs enī'k'exem le L!tā on a small place is sticking out the land 44.26
qaic small 128.29	$qaic\hat{v}'n\hat{s}~\hat{u}x~y\bar{u}'^{wi}y\bar{u}~{ m on}~{ m (some)}~{ m small}$ place they two are stopping 6.3

### § 64. Nominalizing Suffix Indicating Locality, -ume

It is added to nominal (or ac

7 -21	
$k\bar{u}^u s$ south	xkukwî'sume hī'yet! he came ashore
	on the south side (literally,
	from where south is, he came
	ashore)
tse'tîx· over here	tsetî'x'ume Lō heu'heu hau'we here
	on this side make a knot! (liter-
	ally, where this is, on it, a knot
	make) 92.7, 8
$xwî'lux^u$ head 30.14	xwîluxu'me where the head is
	146.26

## § 65. Terms of Relationship in -atc (-atc)

Terms of relationship appear with the suffix -atc or -atc (see § 7), except in the vocative case, where the stem alone is used. A few nouns exhibit in the vocative case an entirely different stem, while others occur in the vocative form only.

The phenomenon so characteristic of many American and other languages, whereby the different sexes use separate terms for the purpose of denoting corresponding degrees of relationship, is not found in Coos. This may in part be due to the fact that the language does not differentiate in any respect whatsoever between the two sexes, and that grammatical gender is a concept entirely unknown to the Coos mind. On the other hand, Coos has one trait in common

with some of the languages of the neighboring tribes, namely, in so far as two different stems are used to denote the same degree of relationship by marriage. One is employed as long as the intermediary person is living, while the second is used after the death of that person.

The following table shows the nouns expressing the different degrees of relationship:

English	Coos	Vocative case
Father	e'kuLätc	k!ō'la!
Mother	$\epsilon^{arepsilon}n\ddot{a}tc$	$n\bar{\imath}'k!a!$
Son	(?)	Lowa!
Daughter	kwayā'cîtc	kwā'ya!
Older brother	hä'Lätc	häLi!
Younger brother	mîLkwī'yatc	mā'Lîk!
Older sister	henî'kun <b>ä</b> tc	he'nîkwî!
Younger sister	kwīya'xLtc	kwe'ēL!
Grandfather	pkā'katc	pkā'k·!
Grandmother	ūmā'catc, ū'māc	ū'mā!
Grandson	temî'snătc, temî'sîn	temî'sī! (sing.)
		temä'mîs! (pl.)
Granddaughter	tek: îtsî'nätc	teka'xtsī!
Paternal uncle	pū'yatc, pīis	pī'sī!
Maternal uncle	ax·ī'axatc	axa'x:!
Paternal aunt	$\bar{a}'tatc$	$\bar{a}'at!$
Maternal aunt	xukwî'nätc	kwä'kwī!
Father-in-law	mîtcL'tsînätc	ya'k'! (?)
Mother-in-law	qalî'ksätc	kwa'lik!
Son-in-law	mî'nkatc	(?)
Daughter-in-law	mEtū <sup>ε</sup> nätc ¹	(?)
Brother-in-law	ha'lîk!	hal!
Sister-in-law	kwī'hatc	kwī'hai!
Relative, by marriage, after	xa'yusLätc	(?)
death of person whose mar-		
riage established the relation-		
ship.		
Nephew (son of sister)	tewî'tätc	teu!
Nephew (son of brother)	(?)	kwîne'wîL! (?)
		nexleu! (?)
Niece (daughter of sister)	upxanā'catc	(?)
Niece (daughter of brother)	(?)	pEkwî'nLī!

1 Alsea mastun.

Besides the above-enumerated terms of kinship, there are two stems that are used as such, although they do not, strictly speaking, denote any degree of relationship. One of these is the term sla'atc (vocative sla), employed by the Coos in addressing a male member of the tribe, and even a stranger; and the other is xwil, used in the same way in addressing females.

In one instance the term  $kwe'n\bar{e}L$  is employed to denote sister, without mentioning the rank of her birth. All attempts to obtain the corresponding term for BROTHER have proved unsuccessful.

### § 66. SUFFIXES $-\bar{e}x$ , $-\bar{i}yEx$ , $-\bar{i}yetEx$

These three suffixes, occurring in a few instances only, seem to express the idea Pertaining to. They are suffixed to nominal and to adverbial stems.

beildj north

yî'qantc behind

L'anqa'lu winter (?) 162.20
qa'xan- up 14.1

 $q\bar{a}'y\hat{\imath}s$  sky 6.1

le lā'mak lala te bîldjī'yex the bones those (are) the Umpqua Indians (literally, the Northern Indians) 50.5, 6
yîqa'ntcîmēx mä the last generation 9.6
L'a'nēx qa'lyeq new salmon 36.25
qa'lēx old 38.18
qaxanī'yetex mä from above the people 150.5
qāyîsa'yex mä the sky-people

## ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES (§§ 67-70)

## § 67. Local and Modal -ēite, -īte

This suffix indicates rest, and was rendered by IN, AT, ON, UNDER. It is added to nouns and (very rarely) to verbs. For the parallel occurrence of  $-\bar{e}^i tc$  and  $-\bar{i}tc$ , see § 2. (See note to § 36.)

yîxä'wex house 22.25

L!tā country 30.28

he'wîlts road 138.17

quwai's board 52.14

xāap water 6.9

îx canoe 44.20

kwî'let sweat-house 62.25

yîxä'wexēite Lōwa'kats in the house he is sitting
yEai' L!tā'īte ŋītse'ts in another country I stay 26.8, 9
hewî'ltsīte stōuq on the road he stood 36.16
î lau quwai'sīte teîleîle'et while she under the board was 58.25
xāu' pīte djī û mî'le in the water it was swimming 88.21
asî' L î'xīte ûx telōwī'yat in the middle (of the) canoe they two laid him down 126.23

kwîle' zē'te tsxū läl tō'mîz in the sweat-house lay that old man 28.11, 12

tîla'qai (many) live 36.11

hî'nī lōwa'kats le tīt le mä xqat tîla'qayītc there lived the kinsmen (among) the people (who) below lived 60.11

By prefixing to the noun the local prefix x- (see § 22), and by suffixing to it the suffix  $-\bar{e}^i tc$ ,  $-\bar{\imath} tc$ , the idea from is expressed.

 $q\bar{a}'y\hat{\imath}s$  sky 6.1 xqa'yîsītc he'laq from the sky he came xyEai' L!tā'ītc from another coun- $L/t\bar{a}$  country 30.28 try 26.6 xyû'xwä mä L!ōxk·înēi'wat xpqai'pqai' back 82.13  $h\bar{\imath}tc$  two men were supporting him from the back 40.9

When preceded by the discriminative x- (see § 23), this suffix assumes a modal significance, exercising the same function as the English adverbial suffix -LY or the word LIKE.

nmä'henet it is populated 12.4 hats kwa xmähe'ntītc stō'waq just like a person he stood up 114.23, 24

xmähe'ntītc k'îlō'wît le mä like persons she saw the beings (look) 54.18

 $q\bar{o}^{u}s\ d\bar{\imath}^{i}l\ \bar{\imath}n\ x\bar{a}'yuw\bar{\imath}tc\ tsxa\bar{u}'wat\ he$ killed a little of everything (literally, [of] everything not enough-ly he killed) 64.19, 20

xqa'lyeqeitc îl kwîna'eiwat salmon they look upon it 130.14 xta'nuxwītc Lōwa'kats sideways he

was sitting 38.10

xyî'xēitc dä'mîl xyî'xēitc he'îl  $h\bar{u}^u m\ddot{a}'k'e$  each man has one wife (literally, one [modal] man, one [modal] their wives) 48.5

The prefix may sometimes be omitted, as shown by the following examples:

gante where 8.8

 $y\hat{i}x\bar{e}^{i\prime}$  one 5.5

qaic small 128.29

 $\bar{a}'yu$  sure enough 7.4

qa'lyeq salmon 34.14

 $ts\ddot{a}'yux^u$  small 20.5

yî'kwanı qantcī'te ten la perhaps shall which way this I go 100.18 gai'cīte hau ît yū'wîlt into small pieces they divided it 130.26 tsä'yuxwītc pî'lstat to pieces it was

smashed 124.14

Owing to its modal significance, this suffix expresses the idea of our collective numerals in twos, in threes, when added to the cardinal numerals.

 $g\bar{o}^{u}s\ qa'ntc\bar{\imath}tc\ xy\hat{\imath}xw\ddot{a}'h\bar{e}^{i}tc\ \hat{\imath}t\ la$  everywhere in pairs they went 48.8  $xy\hat{\imath}pse'n\bar{e}^{i}tc$  in threes  $(y\hat{\imath}'psen\ three)$ 

## § 68. Local Suffix, Indicating Motion, -etc

The suffix -etc indicates motion, action, and may be rendered by AT, IN, THROUGH, ON, INTO.

tcîcī'mîl spruce-tree 20.5	L!aī'yat lɛ tsä'yux <sup>u</sup> tcîcī'mîletc he put it on the small spruce-tree 20.8
$de'ms\hat{\imath}t$ prairie 22.12	le'γī demste'tc Lhî'āap a good prairie through he goes 22.11
yîxä'wEx house 22.25	yîxä'wexetc la into the house he went 28.10, 11 yîxä'wexetc djī to the house he came
telwäl fire 38.8	telwä'lete tleîts into the fire he
10:10 to 11 to 50.0	shoved it 32.24
ä face 10.3	$kwîna'\bar{e}^iwat\ddot{a}'hetc$ he is looking at (his) face

When suffixed to a stem with an a-vowel, the suffix is changed to -atc (see § 7).

$x\bar{a}^a p$ water 6.9	$t^{E}k!w\hat{\imath}l\ x\bar{a}^{a\prime}patc$ into the water he
	dove 26.27
L!tā' ground 6.7	L!tā'atc lemī'yat on the ground he
	put it <b>64.</b> 1

In some cases it may be suffixed to verbs.

tîla'qai (many) live 36.11	tcī he'laq læ mä tîla'qayetc there he arrived, where the people were living 36.12, 13
$st\bar{o}^uq$ he stands 20.4	tsō le mä qat stōw qete he laq now to the person (that) below stood he came 92, 4, 5
alî'canī (they) play 94.8	he'laq le mä alîcanī'waqatc he came to the people (that) were playing 98, 14, 15

#### § 69. Local -ewitc

The local suffix -ewîtc is rendered by Towards.

bîldje'wîtc qai'cît to the north he beildj north scattered 48.24  $\bar{e}'$  gatce aside 26.20 ēgatce'wîtc kwîlkwe<sup>e</sup>lē'yu to one side he was rolled 94.19,20 yî'qantc behind yîqantce'wîtc îlx backwards he looked 32.13 qai'tsōwîtc îl te'xtîts (inside) they gaits inside 140.24 entered 22.29 yîxä'wex house 22.25 yîxäwexe'wîtc nla towards the house I am going

#### § 70. Instrumental -Etc

It expresses our ideas with, against. When suffixed to a stem with an a-vowel, it is pronounced more like -atc; while, if suffixed to a stem with an e-vowel, it invariably sounded like -etc. When the instrumental idea with is to be expressed, the stem to which this suffix is added is very often preceded by the prefix x- (see § 24).

> $m\bar{a}'lukwetc lt\bar{a}'\bar{\gamma}a^u l\ddot{a} \ddot{a} \text{ red paint}$ with was painted his face 10.2, 3

he is warming himself 32.8

x·ne'x tîts tc!île'hetc she jumped against the door 76.2

îx canoe 44.20	mä xîx E'tc yîxu'me people in canoes travel (literally, with ca-
	noes) 90.3
tc/îltc/ hammer 26.26	tqanıts tc/î'ltc/etc le kwî'la" he struck with a hammer the ice 28.1,2
$m\hat{\imath}x\cdot s\bar{o}'w\bar{e}^i$ lucky 20.14	hatā'yîms mîx'sō'wete alî'canī û mēn lucky money with they are playing 94.27
<i>q!e'lē</i> pitch 82.23	q!ele yetc lau pāats with pitch it was full 74.25
mī'k⁺e basket 28.27	wnīk·e' etc tōwî'tînīye in a basket he was dropped down (literally, with a basket) 28.9,10
$q\bar{a}'y\hat{\imath}s$ sky 6.1	qā'yîsetc tskwī against the sky it struck 22.4
$tq\bar{a}'l\hat{\imath}s$ sun 24.2	tqā'lîsetc panā'qtsxem in the sun

§§ 69-70

tc!î'lE door 62.5

mā'luku paint

In the following instance the suffix is changed, without any apparent cause, to -yetc.

wa'lwal knife 78.11

 $t^{E}qan L \bar{t}' yeq Em \ xwa' lwaly Etc$  they hit her with a knife 80.5

In another instance it occurs as  $-a^utc$ .

 $g\bar{o}^{us} d\bar{\imath}^{il} ts\ddot{a}y\ddot{a}'neha^{u}tc\,nL!pe'ne\,d\bar{\imath}^{il}$  with all kinds of small birds 46.2

When suffixed to the article or to the personal pronouns, this suffix is changed to -îtc.

n'ne I 50.25 nne'îtc he'laq with (or to) me he

came  $e^{\epsilon}ne$  thou 15.7  $ye^{\epsilon}ne'\hat{\imath}tc$  with, to thee 18.11  $x\ddot{a}$  he 15.10  $hex\ddot{a}'\hat{\imath}tc$  with, to her 86.3  $xw\hat{\imath}n$  we two  $hexw\hat{\imath}nne'\hat{\imath}tc$  with, to us two 24.3

## § 71. SUPERLATIVE -eyim

This suffix indicates great quantity or quality. It corresponds to our superlative.

 $ts\ddot{a}'yux^u$  small 20.5 hE  $ts\ddot{a}yuxwe'y\hat{n}m$   $\bar{a}'la$  the smallest child

 $he'\overline{m}$ îs big 14.5  $he'\overline{m}$ îse'yîm yîx $\ddot{a}'$ wex the biggest house

It is added mostly to terms of relationship that denote either a younger or an elder member of the family. In such cases it implies that the member spoken of is the younger (or elder) in a family consisting of more than two members of the same degree of kinship.

henî'kunätc elder sister wändj L!äts he henîkuntce'yîm (out of two) 50.8 that way spoke the eldest sister 126.16

#### § 72. DISTRIBUTIVE -înī

 $-\hat{\imath}n\bar{\imath}$  is suffixed to nouns of relationship only, and expresses a degree of mutual kinship. It is etymologically related to the verbal distributives  $-n\bar{e}^i$ ,  $-\ddot{a}n\bar{\imath}$  (see §§ 25, 37).

 $h\ddot{a}' \iota \ddot{a}tc$  elder brother 72.27  $l\hat{i}n \ h\ddot{a}\iota tc\hat{i}'n\bar{\imath}$  we are brothers mutually

mîlkwī'yätc younger brother kat' E'mîs îl mîlkwī'tcînī five they 72.1 (are) brothers (mutually) 90.8

\$\$ 71-72

#### $\S$ 73. INTERROGATIVE $-\overline{u}$

It is added only to the particles  $tc\bar{\imath}tc$ , qantc,  $m\hat{\imath}'l\ddot{a}tc$ ,  $d\bar{\imath}'l$ ,  $w\hat{\imath}t$ ,  $\bar{\imath}tc$ , to the adverb  $n\hat{\imath}'c\hat{\imath}tc$ , and to the stem  $\bar{\imath}tse'ts$  (see pp. 406, 407, 408, 411).

tcī'tcū xa'lal û mēn what are they doing? 92.18

xtcī'tcū teņ xä'nîs how is it that I am sick?

la² qa'ntcū la that one where did he go? 94.25

mî'lätcū hanı e²wu'txe when will you return? 28.3,4

dī'ltū he te e²wîlō² wat what usually are you looking for? 54.3

dī'ltce'tcū hanı teîs k'.'înt with what shall we two try it? 7.1, 2

(dī'ltce'tcū = dī'l+-tc+-etc+.-ū (see §§ 108, 25, 70, 11)

xwî'tū tsī'x'tī'yat who did it?

ī'tcū e²dōwō'ya e'xkan which one do you want? 50.17

îl nî'ctcū how many are they? (literally, [are] they a few?)

ītse'tsū hemī'stes tī'ye yîxä'wex how big is your house? (literally, how [the] largeness [size] of your house?)

### NUMERAL SUFFIXES (§§ 74-77)

#### § 74. Ordinal -is

The ordinal numerals are formed by adding to the cardinals (see § 101) the suffix -îs. The first two numerals are irregular, especially the ordinal for one. The adverbial stem īla AHEAD, the temporal adverb yuwînt before, or the same adverb with the adjectival ending -īyex, are used in lieu of the missing regular ordinal numeral for one. The ordinal for two is formed by adding the suffix -îs to the adverb asō AGAIN.

ī'la, yuwî'nt, yuwî'ntīyex first asō'wîs second yîpse'nîs third hecl''lîs fourth kat'e'mîsîs fifth

hēi xä ī'la zowî'tat she first ran (literally, ahead) 56.9
leņ yuwî'nt hū"mîs my first wife (literally, my wife [whom I had]
before)

 $len as\bar{o}'w\hat{i}s h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{i}s$  my second wife Compare also  $helm\bar{i}'h\hat{i}s$  next day  $(he'lm\bar{i}$  to-morrow 162.9) 6.7

Of an obscure composition is the indefinite ordinal  $ts\bar{\imath}'w\hat{\imath}s$  the last. Its first component can not be explained, while the ending is plainly the ordinal suffix  $\hat{\imath}s$ .

 $ts\bar{o}\ cku\ ts\bar{\imath}'w\hat{\imath}s$  now (this) must (have been) the last one 120.1  $\S\S\ 73-74$ 

#### § 75. Multiplicative -en

The multiplicative numerals are formed by adding to the cardinals the suffix -en times.

- 1. yîxe'n
- 2.  $ts\bar{o}^uxe'n$
- 3. yîpse'nen
- 4. hecli'len
- 5. kat' E'mîsen

- 6. yīxē' wîegen
- 7. yûxwä'wîegen
- 8. yīxei'ahälen
- 9. yûxwä'ahälen
- 10. Lep!qa'nîen

The numeral for Twice is irregular. It seems to be composed of the conjunction  $ts\bar{o}$  now, of the inclusive personal pronoun  $\hat{u}x$ , and of the multiplicative suffix -en.

yîxe'n sla'qa la once bathing she went 84.24

tsōuxe'n hant nwu'txe in two days will I return (literally, twice) 28.4

kat' E'mîsen qa'xantc x'ne'x'tîts five times upwards (they) jumped 76.4

tsō ku kwa nîctce'n qalîmī'ye then, perhaps, in a few days . . . (literally, now, perhaps, it seems, a few times, morning it got) 56.21

To this group belongs also the indefinite weste'n so many times, formed from the stem wes so many.

hîs weste'n tsîx ta hîs weste'n yeai' L!tā'īte ņītse'ts I stay here just as long as in the other country (literally, also so many times here, and also so many times in another country, I stay) 26.8, 9

### § 76. Ordinal-Multiplicative -enteis

The ordinal-multiplicative numerals, expressed in English by AT THE FIRST TIME, AT THE SECOND TIME, are formed by means of the compound suffix -enteîs. This suffix consists of the multiplicative -en (see above), of the modal -tc (see § 36), and of the ordinal suffix -îs (see § 74).

xyīxē<sup>i</sup>'wîeqe'ntcîs L!ē<sup>i</sup>tc lä îluwe'xtcîs at the sixth time went out her heart 76.6, 7

xkat' E'mîse'ntcîs at the fifth time

The ordinal suffix -is may be omitted, as shown in the following example:

hect' Lente qalîmī'ye la latā'ya lä sla'ate on the fourth day he went to his cousin (literally, four times [at] morning it got . . . ) 42.20, 21

#### § 77. Distributive -hina

Distributive numerals in the sense of one Each, one apiece, are formed by adding to the cardinal numerals the suffix  $-h\hat{n}a$  (see General Remarks, pp. 326, 327). The first two numerals,  $y\hat{n}x\hat{e}^i$  and  $y\hat{n}xw\hat{a}$ , change their final vowels into a before adding the suffix. This change may be due to purely phonetic causes (see § 7). The numeral for three,  $y\hat{n}'psen$ , drops its final n before taking the suffix.

 $yixahi'\overline{n}a$  one each  $yixwahi'\overline{n}a$  two each  $yipsehi'\overline{n}a$  three each  $hecl^i Lhi'\overline{n}a$  four each  $kat' Emîshi'\overline{n}a$  five each

\$\$ 77-78

gōus yîxahî'āa ît nhūumä'k·e le wî'nqas û hī'me all of the Spider's children have wives each (literally, all, one apiece, they with wives [are], the Spider's children) 58.9

yîxahî'na he'îs mî'laq we two have one arrow apiece

# PLURAL FORMATIONS (§§ 78-79)

## § 78. Irregular Plurals

The majority of nominal stems have the same forms in singular and plural. There are, however, a number of nouns and adjectives that show in the plural a formation which is distinct from the singular form. This formation is based upon two grammatical processes, suffixation and phonetic change, and may be said to be of a petrified character. It is impossible to describe, or even suggest, the processes that may have taken place in this formation; hence no attempt will be made to discuss them in detail.

The following is a list of nominal stems that occur in two distinct forms, — one for the singular, and the other for the plural:

Singular	Plural	
$\bar{a}'la~10.8$	$har{\imath}^{i\prime}me~20.3$	child
$h\bar{u}^{u\prime}m$ îs 24.6	$h\bar{u}^u m\ddot{a}' k$ e 20.3	woman
$tar{o}'m\hat{\imath}$ L $20.2$	$t$ E $\overline{m}\ddot{a}'$ Le $24.1$	old man
$d\ddot{a}'m\hat{\imath}l$ 14.7	$t\hat{\imath}'m\hat{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$ 56.18	man
mä 10.1	$m ilde{e}n~24.22$	human being
k·nes	$k$ •e $n\bar{e}'yese$ 30.16	hunchback
$ts\ddot{a}'yux^u~20.5$	tsäyä'ne 48.7	small
tce'xet	$tce'n \hat{\imath} xet~46.19$	short
qaL	kale'mka~134.25	tall
alî'mag 112.27	alî'maqa 44.20	big
$tc\bar{\imath}tc10.9$	$t$ î $t$ c $\ddot{a}'$ $ne~46.3$	kind, manner

This distinction is not consistently carried out. Cases where the singular form is applied to denote plural concepts are quite numerous. This phenomenon is very natural, since in place of the idea of plurality we find rather the idea of distribution developed in Coos.

### § 79. Plural of Terms of Relationship, -iyas

The only substantives that form a plural by means of a specific plural suffix are the terms of relationship. The suffix employed for this purpose (-vyas) may be added directly to the stem, or may be preceded by the suffix of relationship, -ätc (-atc) (see pp. 365, 366).

kwīya'\*Ltc younger sister 50.14 hä' Lätc older brother 72.27

 $e'k^{u}L\ddot{a}tc$  father 20.13  $e^{\varepsilon'}$ nätc mother 68.16

meanī'yas parents 86.12 kwīlteī'yas younger sisters 82.14 hälteī'yas, hälī'yas older brothers 130.23 $ek^{u} Ltc\bar{\imath}'yas$ ,  $ek^{u} L\bar{\imath}'yas$  fathers  $e^{\varepsilon}ntc\bar{\imath}'yas$  mothers

This suffix may be present in the stem L!tā'yas VILLAGE, derived from  $L/t\bar{a}$  EARTH, GROUND, COUNTRY. The initial  $\bar{\imath}$  of the suffix would amalgamate with the final  $\bar{a}$  of the stem into  $\bar{a}$  (see § 9), and the noun would express a collective plural.

#### § 80. MINOR SUFFIXES

Besides the suffixes discussed in the preceding pages, Coos has a few suffixes of obscure function, that occur sporadically only, and that are confined to certain given stems. These suffixes are as follows:

-i occurs in one or two instances, and is rendered by AND ALL.

with arrow he is 20.18

 $l\bar{a}'mak$  bones 40.12

mî'laq arrow 12.10; nmî'laqa hemī'yat le mä û kwā'xal nmî'laqai she took out a person's bow and arrow and all 62.23

ntext ta nlā'mak'i with flesh and bones and all

-ca is suffixed to the noun  $h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{\imath}k$  old woman. It was explained to me as having an endearing character, but instances are not lacking where the suffix is used in a derogatory sense.

wändj L!ä'xEm lE hūumî'k'ca thus talking is the (dear) old woman 82.19, 20

Leant tc! wäle'  $tc l_E h \bar{u}^u m \hat{i}' k \cdot ca$  (she) threw it into the fire, the (bad) old woman (the Giant-Woman)

-āyîms occurs in three instances, and seems to have a nominalizing character.

tc!hats he put it out (the light) 128,16

 $k''!dtc!h\bar{a}'y\hat{\imath}ms\;la^u\;tc!\hat{\imath}le'et\;$ it (the fire) is burning continually (literally, without [being] put out it is caused to burn) 40.25, 26 tîtcā'yîms ndōwā'ya to come in I

îce tîtc! you two come in! 82.14

(should) like hatā'yîms money 20.15

hethe'te rich 26.2

 $-\bar{\imath}yaL$ ,  $-\bar{a}yaL$ , are suffixed to a few verbal stems, and seem to denote the performer of an action.

*In*- to hunt 24.26 alî'canī he plays  $L\bar{o}^{u}$  to eat

lnī'yal mä a hunter alîcanī'yaz a player Lōwī'yaL a person that eats qacqayā'yal a shadow (?) 104.9

 $-\bar{\imath}ye$ ,  $-\ddot{a}ye$ . This suffix is added to a number of stems expressing adjectival ideas. It is idiomatically employed in the formation of comparison (see p. 417), and in some instances it is used to indicate plurality of adjectival concepts. When used for the purpose of expressing comparison, it seems to have a nominalizing function.

pr/îs heavy

yū kwa pär!ä'ye xkwî'nautc they (pl.) look very heavy (literally, much as if weight [according to] appearance) 64.8

x·î'lwîs deep

asî'ı la û x'îluwī'ye lex ya'bas the maggots go halfway deep (literally, middle, goes its depth [of] the maggots) 40.12

xū'us light

hîs xä ta he'ûx xwä'wīye le e ene they two are as light as you (literally, also he and their two light weight [as] yours)

Singular Plural päl!ä'ye  $p_L!\hat{\imath}s$ heavy mî'tsîs 128.20 mätsä'ye wise  $x\bar{u}'us$ xwäwī'ye light

 $-y \hat{\imath} y a$  is suffixed in one or two instances to local adverbs, giving them an adjectival coloring, as it were.

 $\hbar \hat{\imath}' n \bar{\imath}$  there 5.2

hînī'yîya mä dīil lau mî'tsîs from there the people something know 128.19, 20 tsî he'îl tama'lîs hînī'yîya mä just their fashion (of the) people from

The function of this suffix may best be compared to that of the German suffix -ige in phrases like—

there 130.8, 9

der heutige Tag this day die dortigen Einwohner the inhabitants from there

- $\bar{\imath}$  has been found suffixed to the article only. It seems to express the idea of instrumentality, although this idea may be due to the prefixed instrumental n-.

lE it, he, the 5.1

nle'  $h\bar{\imath}$  ta with it he went 42:8 nle'  $h\bar{\imath}$  wu'txe with it she returned 70.23

The infixed h is due to hiatus (§ 10).

# Reduplication (§§ 81-83)

## § 81. Introductory

Reduplication as a means of forming grammatical processes is resorted to frequently in Coos. The reduplication may be either initial or final. Initial reduplication affects the consonant, vowel, or whole syllable. It consists in the repetition of the weakened vowel or consonant of the stem, or in the duplication of the first stemsyllable. The connecting vowel between two reduplicated consonants is the obscure *E*-vowel; but, owing to the great tendency of Coos towards euphony, this obscure vowel is frequently affected by the stem-vowel (see § 7). Final duplication is always consonantic, and consists in the repetition of the final consonant by means of a connecting obscure vowel, which very often changes its quality in accordance with the stem-vowel preceding it, or with the vowel of the suffix that follows it (see § 7).

The grammatical use of reduplication is confined chiefly to the verb.

# § 82. Initial Reduplication

Initial reduplication expresses, in connection with the proper verbal suffixes, intensity of action, repetition, duration, and customary action. It is employed, furthermore, in the formation of the passive

voice. Syllabic reduplication is used very often in addition to a phonetic device (see § 84) for the purpose of forming a number of verbs expressing transitive ideas of continuous duration. These verbs do not then require any of the transitive suffixes. This latter application may be of a later, secondary origin.

Examples of reduplication of initial sound, or of initial consonant and following vowel:

 $w\bar{\imath}^{i}n$ - to cheat gaic small 128.29

ai'wît (he) killed them 124.4 pîls- to tear up  $t\bar{\imath}^w$ - to coil  $L\bar{o}^{u}$ - to buy

 $e^{\epsilon}w\hat{\imath}w\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}'m\hat{\imath}$  I am cheating you qeqai'cū lä wî'tîn clubbed (into pieces) is his blood 10.6 ît aiai⁵wā'yu they were killed 58.8 pepîlsū'ye he was torn up 48.16 ntîtīwē'wat I am coiling it Lou Lou vi yearm it is being bought 88.13, 14

Examples of syllabic duplication:

tcîne'henī he is thinking 24.13,

cîm- to attract

ītîslōu'wat he recognized it 30.28

 $\hat{u}x \, h\hat{i}'t\bar{o}^uts$  they two put it down 7.4

 $l\bar{e}^ip$ - to paint

 $L\bar{o}^ux$ - to hit

 $p\bar{o}^{u'}kw\hat{i}s$  slave

 $w\bar{e}\iota$ - to twist

 $s\bar{\imath}'x$  îts he shook it off 42.3

ēn hanı tcîntcînā'îs you sha'n't think of me 88.29

cîmcîma'ēiwaq it was attracting by means of its breath 88.25

 $\hat{\imath}t\bar{\imath}'t\hat{\imath}s\hat{\imath}'l\bar{u}$  (she) is being recognized

 $la^{u}$   $h\hat{\imath}th\bar{\imath}t\bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i}wat$  these he is putting down 34.8

xle'îtc lîplī'yap lä ä with it she painted their faces 122.6

xnāant lau lõuxlõu'wax many that one were hitting 80.4, 5

 $m\ddot{a} \ p\bar{o}^{u}kp\bar{o}^{u'}wak^{u}$  people she was enslaving 70.15

xqe'iltc wîlwē'yal slowly she is twisting him 60.7

vsîx·sī'yax· I am shaking it off

Owing to the fact that reduplication and duplication are based upon the principle of consonantic or stem weakening, the repeated element occurs very often in a changed form. The following rules have been observed in this respect:

(1) The semi-vocalic y reduplicates into a long  $\bar{\imath}$ .

vîxe'ntce together 64.8

īyîxantcū'ye it was gathered up yatē'wat he is coaxing him wändj it i'yatū thus they were coaxed 98.4, 5

(2) The spirant x in consonantic combinations, when reduplicated, becomes k. In the same manner alveolar s becomes the affricative ts.

26.25

 $x \cdot t\bar{\imath}$  it slid down 26.19

yîxe'n sla'qa la once to bathe she went 84.24

 $st\bar{o}^uq$  he stood 20.4

 $\hat{s} x \cdot L \cdot \bar{o}^u t$  we two put it in  $\hat{s} k \cdot \hat{s} x \cdot L \cdot \bar{o} w \bar{e}^i w a t$  they are putting them in frequently 52.9  $k \cdot \hat{\imath} x \cdot t \hat{\imath}' y u$  it was slid down 94.5

tsîsLa'qaai she was bathing 84.21

tsestōqēi'yu he was made to stand on his feet

(3) The reduplication of the fortis palatal k! consists in the mere amplification of the consonant by means of a prefixed a-vowel.

k!a'lat he shouted 36.7

 $ak!a'laai l = h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{\imath}s$  shouting is the woman 56.5

(4) Combinations of two or more consonants, of which a velar, a palatal, a nasal (m, n), an h or l, form the second element, reduplicate the second consonant. The lateral (l) is in such cases preceded by a vowel, since initial combinations of l+velar are impossible.

164.22

tsxawī'yat he put it down

Lkwa'at he cut it off sqats he seized it 36.20

Livant he threw it 42.10

a'lgas fear 66.4

L!ha'tsa he put on 28.23 xmenī'yat he tipped it over 46.26

q!mîts she ate it 24.16  $x^E a l \bar{\imath}' y a t$  he hugged him 116.4

Compare also—

laî'xwît she jabbed him 112.17  $L!n\bar{o}^u t$  he opens (the door)

skwī'wat he informed him wändj kwîskwī'wat that way he is informing her 60.19

> xetsxawē'vat he is putting it down

> kwîrkwā'yu it was cut off 76.14  $m\ddot{a}$   $q = sq\bar{a}'yu$  the person was seized 10.4

> xar!xanēi'wat he is throwing it frequently

> aqa'lqsona'ya he became afraid of him 28.24, 25

 $hal!h\bar{a}'yu$  it was put on

mexmenē'' wat he is tipping it over

 $mEq!m\bar{\imath}'yu$  it is eaten 142.6 elxe<sup>ε</sup>lē<sup>i'</sup>yu he was hugged

 $\hat{\imath} l E x \bar{u}' y e$  he was jabbed nol!nowe'wat he is constantly opening (the door)

(5) Syllables ending in an m, n, l + consonant omit the m, n, and l in the repeated syllable.

kwîlt- to roar qals- to cut

kwîtkwî'ltaai it is roaring 114.6 qasqa'lsaai he is cutting

x·ne'x tîts he jumped 32.4 mî'ntcîts she asked him 62.15	x'îtx'î'ntaai he is jumping mîtcmî'ntcī'yeqEm he is asked 70.9
$ha^{u}mx$ - to dress hides	<i>âx ha<sup>u</sup>xha<sup>u</sup>ma<sup>u</sup>x</i> they two are dressing hides 68.27, 28
<i>dä'mît</i> man 14.7	îc teltä'mîltu you two (will) get strong 120.17, 18
k!wanxu- to cut (the hair)	k!wa'xk!wanax he is cutting his hair
tsîlk· to tie a knot	tsî'k tsîlak he is tying a knot
sîlp- to comb (hair)	sî'psîlap he is combing (his hair)

A number of stems occur in parallel forms showing both consonantic reduplication and syllabic duplication.

yîxe'ntce together 64.8	<i>īyîxantce⁵nē''yu</i> it was gathered up
	yɛxyîxentce <sup>ɛ</sup> 'nē <sup>i</sup> yu it was gathered up 84.16
x·ne'et he is on top 10.1	$x \cdot \hat{i} n x \cdot \hat{i} n \bar{e}^{i \prime} wat$ he is putting it on top $x \cdot \hat{i}' x \cdot \hat{i} n t \bar{u}$ it is being put on top
<i>mînte</i> - to ask	mîtemî'nate she is asking 80.12 memînteŭ'ye he was asked
cūrts he set afire	cîlcū'laai it is burning cecū'lū fire was set to 58.11

# § 83. Final Reduplication

Final reduplication is used for the purpose of expressing distribution, mutuality, and, in intransitive verbs, an action that is performed now and then (see § 37). It is also employed as a means of forming neutral verbs that indicate actions of long incessant duration.

yeq he went away	$qai'n\hat{i}s$ $la^u$ $yaq^Eq\ddot{a}'n\bar{i}$ from the shore they are running away (one after the other, singly) 36.18,19
$s\bar{o}^{ux}t$ - to trade	is sōuxtîtä'nī hanı we two will trade (mutually) 16.7, 8
$h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{\imath}s$ woman 26.7	$\hat{n}\bar{n}\bar{e}xa'\bar{n}\bar{a}\ la^u\ h\bar{u}^um\hat{s}\hat{s}\hat{s}\ddot{a}'n\bar{\iota}$ themselves they marry 12.5
$st\bar{o}^{u'}waq$ he stood 20.7	stōwa'qɛqänī he is continually standing up and sitting down
$kw\hat{\imath}l\bar{\imath}'yat$ he rolls it	$kwîl^E l\ddot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$ $l_E$ $balt\bar{\imath}'m\hat{\imath}s$ rolling is the ocean 6.2
kw <sup>a</sup> a'tîs dream 98.7	$la^u kw\bar{a}^a t^E s\hat{i}s\ddot{a}'n\bar{i}$ he is constantly dreaming (literally, now and then) 72.1

lk!wī te xāap runs down the water 16.9
x\*pī le yîxä'wex it burned down, the house 58.12, 13
wu'txe he came back 28.9

 $k!^{ux}w\bar{v}'$  le  $h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{i}s$  the woman was lost 54.19 neq he ran away 100.16  $lk!wa'k^u t \in x\bar{a}^a p$  is continually running down the water 17.4

x·pa'ap le yîxä'wex burning (down) is the house

wutxa'xa te'îs hī"me came back (one by one) our (dual) children 44.7

hen pıpä'wîs k!u'waxu my hat got lost (impersonal)

îl neqa'qa they ran away (severally)

There are a number of stems expressing verbal, nominal, and adjectival ideas, that appear invariably in reduplicated or doubled form. Some of these expressions are onomatopoetic in character; others may have been borrowed from the neighboring languages; while still others may be new formations, necessitated by the introduction of new ideas and concepts through the contact of the Coos with the white people. (See also § 116.)

The following is a partial list of such stems:

e'qeq killing spot 80.14
(compare e'qe- to die)
yî'myîm eyelash (compare
yîm- to twinkle)

yîm- to twinkle)
wa'lwal knife 78.11

ha'x hax wagon (compare ha'x - to drag) hethe' te rich 26.2 he'' he'' knot 92.8

 $p\bar{u}^{u'}xpux$  a spout 30.25  $m\bar{u}s'm\bar{u}s^1$  cow

 $p\bar{u}'sp\bar{u}s^1$  cat

ta<sup>u'</sup>ta<sup>u</sup> basket 112.4 tsetse'kwîn cane 28.18 tselî'mtselîm button  $l\hat{v}'pl\hat{v}p$  white man's paint (compare  $l\bar{e}^ip$ - to paint)  $te\bar{o}'xte\bar{o}x$  rabbit 60.23

g·îmg·î'mîs rain (compare g·î'mīt it rains)
k·î'nk·în stick

k'îsk'a'sîz fish-hawk
ku'kum raven
qatqai'z belt 28.7 (compare tqaizto put a belt on)
qa'lqal digging-stick 26.17
x'î'nx'în saddle (compare

x'ne'et it is on top)
xa' Lxat ax (compare Lxat- to chop)
xwa' lxwal eye 40.1
xwî' tsxūt deer 64.19

ta'ntan to come ashore (whale) 128.28  $p\bar{\imath}'^{x}\cdot p\bar{\imath}$  to go home 28.3  $y\bar{u}'y\bar{u}$  to stop (while traveling) 5.2

## Phonetic Changes (§§ 84-85)

Grammatical processes by means of phonetic changes are few in number, and not clearly developed. The phonetic change may be of a vocalic or consonantic character.

# § 84. Vocalic Changes

Vocalic change is confined to the verb, and consists in the amplification of the stem by means of a vowel (usually the a-vowel), or in the modification of the vowel connecting a suffix with a stem. Stem amplification is employed for the purpose of forming active or transitive verbs from verbal stems, and of denoting duration of action. The latter application occurs in verbs that have already been transitivized by means of some transitive suffix. The stem is frequently duplicated before amplification is applied to it (see §§ 82, 83). another explanation of this phenomenon see §§ 4, 11.

tkwīl- to follow

tcîn<sub>L</sub>- to reach

 $st\bar{o}^uq$  he stood 20.4

 $\hat{u}x \ y\bar{u}'y\bar{u}$  they two stopped (for a moment) 5.2  $k/a^u$ - to peck

sîlp- to comb one's hair mîntc- to ask

wīl- to twist

īn tcītc tkwī'yaz (they) can not follow him

yîxä'wexetc tcî'nal lä kumā'x. to (the roof of) the house reached its horn 86.25, 26

nhal! sto'waq at the foot of the tree he stood 26.17

 $\hat{u}x y \bar{u}'^{wi}y \bar{u}$  they two stopped (for a long time) 5.5

k:îlō'wît k!a'wat he saw him (in the act of) pecking at it 20.9

sî'psîlap he is combing his hair wändj mîtcmî'natc that way she is asking 80.12

xqe'ltc wīlwē'yal slowly she is twisting him 60.7

Modification of a connecting vowel, whenever it occurs, is employed for the purpose of indicating duration of action. As this phenomenon has been discussed more fully in connection with the transitive suffixes -t and -ts, the reader is referred to the chapters dealing with those suffixes (see § 26 and also p. 357), in order to avoid repetition.

nmu'xwît I felt it nltîts I painted it

 $\hat{u}x\,l\hat{i}'c\hat{i}t$  they two shake it 13.8  $l\hat{i}'cat\,h\,E\,L!t\bar{a}$  (he) is shaking the earth continually 16.2 nmu'xwat I am feeling it nltats I am painting it

## § 85. Consonantic Changes

The application of consonantic changes as a means of forming grammatical processes is a very peculiar phenomenon, characteristic of the Coos language. Its use is confined to a very few instances; and the process, while to all appearances consisting in the hardening of the final consonant, is of such a petrified nature that it is no longer possible to analyze it. It occurs only in a few nouns of relationship, and its significance may be said to be endearing and diminutive. The following examples of consonantic change have been found:

 $kw\bar{e}'^{i}s$  a young woman 86.1  $h\bar{u}^{w'}m\hat{i}s$  woman 24.6

 $d\ddot{a}'m\hat{\imath}l$  man 14.7  $d\ddot{\imath}'l\bar{\imath}l$  young man 22.6

kwē'îk· a young girl 12.2
hūw'mîk· old woman (used in the same sense as we use our phrase MY DEAR OLD WIFE) 58.5
tō'mîl old man 20.2
dī'lōl young boy 60.2

#### Syntactic Particles (§§ 86-95)

## § 86. Introductory

By syntactic particles is meant here the great number of enclitic and proclitic expletives that are employed in Coos as a means of expressing grammatical categories and syntactic relations. They cover a wide range of ideas, and refer more properly to the whole sentence than to any specific part of it. With the exception of two particles, none of them are capable of composition; that is to say, they can not be used with any suffix or prefix, although two or even three particles may be combined into one. Such combined particles usually retain the functions of each of the component elements. All syntactic particles are freely movable, and may be shifted from one position to another without affecting the sense of the sentence.

# § 87. Temporal Particles

1. han about to. It denotes actions that will take place in the immediate future. Its position is freely movable, and it may be placed before or after the verb.

 $ts\bar{o}\ han\ kw\bar{i}lt\ he\ k'\hat{\imath}ts\hat{\imath}m\ddot{a}'m\hat{\imath}s$  now he was about to bend the half 62.29

 $x^E a l \bar{i}' y a t \ han \ h_E \ d \bar{i}' l \bar{o} l$  he is about to hug the young man 114.26.

2. hanL shall, will. It is regularly used to denote a future action, and it is the sign of the future. It either precedes or follows the verb.

neņ pkā'katc hanı ņk'îtō'wît I will see my grandfather gōus dīit hanı hä'wī everything will grow 9.3 cîn sqats hanı te tc!wät you shall seize that yonder fire 40.18, 19 îs alî'canī hanı we two will play 38.11 īn le'yī hanı not good will (it be)

3. Eît intend, about to. It gives the sentence the force of a periphrastic future. It either precedes or follows the verb.

î qante rît e<sup>t</sup>la when anywhere you intend to go 15.3 î dī<sup>i</sup>l îl Lōwē<sup>i</sup>'wat rît when something they intend to eat 38.2 qaik<sup>u</sup> ûx wutxa'xa rît te'îs hī<sup>i</sup>'me I thought that they two should come back, those our (dual) children 44.7

4.  $n\bar{\imath}k/wa$  used to (be). It denotes an action that took place long ago. It is often used as a sign of the past tense. In such cases it is always preceded by the particle he usually (see below), and it follows the verb which is used in its repetitive form.

tē nīk!wa ye ne nā'hîn this used (to be) your shinny club 38.16 xā p nlu'qwît nīk!wa water I used to boil nwîwī'naai he nīk!wa I used to cheat nsî'psîlap he nx ne'k nīk!wa I used to comb my hair

By suffixing to  $n\bar{\imath}k!wa$  the obsolete suffix  $-l\bar{\imath}$ , the temporal adverb  $n\bar{\imath}k!wa'l\bar{\imath}$  YESTERDAY is obtained.

 $n\bar{\imath}k!w\bar{a}'l\bar{\imath}$   $nqa'l\bar{a}$  yesterday I crossed (the river)  $h\bar{\imath}''m\hat{\imath}s$   $he'l\bar{a}q$  le  $n\bar{\imath}k!wa'l\bar{\imath}$  a woman arrived yesterday 142.10

5. he usually, frequently, habitually, denotes an action that is performed very frequently. The particle either precedes or follows the verb. The verb is very often used in the repetitive form, whenever possible.

gōus mî'lätc he L'ä'xɛm always usually he is talking 15.4 temä' Le mä lau tc'lĉcîla' ē'wat he old people on that sit habitually 38.3

When following the future particle hank, or its potential form yank (see p. 391), he coalesces with them into hankawe and yankawe respectively.

yanıawe dīit e<sup>e</sup>qa<sup>u</sup>wenîsa'nāya, hanıawe xle'îtc t'nuwī e<sup>e</sup>ı'.'ä'xɛm whenever you will get mad at something, you will talk with it

loud (literally, if shall usually something you get angry at it shall usually with it hard you be talking) 16.3, 4

yanıawe xqante mä hū'yam, lelau hanıawe e'kwā'nanā'ya whenever a person gets ready to come from somewhere, this you shall usually tell (literally, if shall usually from where a person get ready [to come] this shall usually you tell it) 19.3, 4

The particle he amalgamates with the adverb  $y\bar{u}$  very into a temporal adverb, yuwe whenever.

 $xa'lw\hat{i}s$  he yuwe  $la^u$   $y\hat{i}xu'\overline{m}e$  warm usually (it is) whenever that one travels 24.6

yuwe yî'mat ha<sup>u</sup> gō<sup>u</sup>s mî'läte lōk<sup>u</sup>lō'kwaai whenever he twinkles (his eyes), it is always lightning 16.6, 7

The same process may have taken place in the rare adverb towe when. The first component may be a stem, to-, while the second element is the particle he. The example given below will substantiate this assertion. We have here a complex of two sentences stating a fact of frequent occurrence. In the first sentence the repetitive particle occurs clearly, while it seems to be missing in the subordinate sentence. And since, according to the examples given above, all the components of a complex of sentences must show the particle he, it is safe to assume that the frequentative particle is one of the two elements in towe. The example follows:

xyEai'  $L/t\bar{a}'\bar{\imath}tc$  he  $\hat{\imath}x$  yîxu' $\bar{m}e$  towe  $h\bar{\imath}u''m\hat{\imath}s$   $h\bar{\imath}k/a'mt\bar{\imath}ye$  from another country usually they two travel when(ever) a woman gets her monthly courses 26.6, 7

# § 88. Particles Denoting Degrees of Certainty and Knowledge

6. kwa IT SEEMS, AS IF, LIKE, KIND OF, denotes an object or an action the quantity or quality of which is not intimately known to the speaker.

hats kwa tō'hîts just as if he hit it

 $k\bar{a}^as$  kwa lî'cat he  $\iota!t\bar{a}$  almost as if he shook the earth 16.2

hats kwa  $\bar{u}'yu$  wîna'qaxem läl  $\iota \bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i'}wat$  just like a rainbow is spread out that (which) he was eating 32.14

 $hats kwa nt\bar{o}'m\hat{n}L$  just like an old man I (am)

7. yîku, ku maybe, perhaps, i guess. Both forms appear without any apparent distinction. This particle may apply to any part of speech in a sentence, and its position is freely movable. It has a

3045°-Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-25

dubitative character. It expresses the possibility of a certain action taking or having taken place, and at the same time doubts the certainty of its occurrence.

 $\hbar \hat{\imath}' n \bar{\imath} \ k^u \ \bar{e}' k$  Exem  $l \bar{\imath}' y e \ \hbar \ddot{a}' L \ddot{a} t c$  there perhaps amongst (them) is your elder brother 94.28

 $ts\tilde{i}$   $k^u$   $\hat{i}c$  hewese'  $n\bar{i}$  merely perhaps you two are lying 28.13, 14 La'  $\gamma Etat$   $k^u$  (she) may get hungry 64.15  $e^{\epsilon}x\ddot{a}'$   $n\tilde{i}s$   $k^u$  maybe (that) you are sick

This particle is very often followed by the negation  $\bar{\imath}n$  not.

 $y\hat{\imath}ku\ \bar{\imath}n\ x\ddot{a}'n\hat{\imath}s$  he is probably sick (literally, maybe [or maybe] not he is sick)

· When followed by the future particle hant, it amalgamates with it into yîkwant or kwant (see §§ 8, 9), and it is translated by (1) WONDER WHETHER, (1) SUPPOSE IF.

 $n\bar{\imath}$  kwanı  $\bar{a}'\bar{y}a$   $\bar{\eta}q\bar{a}'ya$  won't I loose my breath? (literally, not I perhaps will [be] gone my breath) 54.13, 14

lau nxL!ts kwanL suppose I hit that one with a club (literally, that one I hit it with a club perhaps shall) 124.16

yîkwanı dî'ltē ņlōwē'wat I wonder what I shall eat (literally, maybe will that there I eat it) 32.19, 20

It is contracted with the following  $\bar{u}_L$  into  $y\hat{i}k\bar{u}_L$ ,  $k\bar{u}_L$  (see § 9 and p. 391).

 $y\hat{\imath}k\bar{u}_L\,\bar{\imath}n\,l_E'\gamma\bar{\imath}$  perhaps that will be good (literally, perhaps would [be] that not good)

yîkūl xtcīte yūl lîm nhauxts I wonder how it would be if I should make a dam (literally, perhaps would [be] how, if should a dam I make) 34.16

īn kūr qaic haw pît teņ xmî'nkatc could not my son-in-law cut off a chunk? (literally, not perhaps would a chunk cut off this my son-in-law) 128.29

When followed by the particle *îl* surely (see p. 388), it is contracted with it into *yîkwîl* or *kwîl* (see § 8), and lends to a statement a high degree of probability.

 $qa'wax\ kwîl\ l\bar{\imath}'ye\ h\ddot{a}'$  Lätc above may (be) surely your elder brother 96.4, 5

The particle  $y\hat{\imath}ku$ ,  $k^u$ , appears sometimes as  $y\hat{\imath}kwa$ ,  $y\hat{\imath}kwe$ , or kwe. The reason for this phonetic change could not be found.

yîkwa qante la where may it have gone? (literally, perhaps somewhere it went?) 88.3

- yîkwe dī'l te ņk'îlō'wît what may it be that I see? (literally, perhaps something this I see it) 108.11
- kwa kwe yū īn ā'yu L sla? (I) wonder if it is not so, cousin? (literally, as if perhaps very not surely [it] must be, O cousin!) 38.21
- 8. hakwal, kwal. A compound particle having the same significance as kwa. It consists of the unexplained prefix ha- (which seems to occur also in hamīr, see p. 392), the particle kwa, and the abbreviated form of  $d\bar{\imath}l$  (see p. 407).
  - hakwal x îā' yam le lî'kwît kind of reddish (were) the feathers 20.10 k!wāant hakwal qa'luxtat he heard some kind of a noise (literally, he heard as if a noise were made) 60.29
- 9. *qen* denotes suspicion. It is very difficult to render it in English otherwise than by a whole sentence.
  - kwa qen dit l'i'meq she suspected some scent (literally, as if, suspicion, something [a] smell) 24.10
  - kwa qen mä îc sına' e'wat it seems as if you two are hiding a person (literally, as if, suspicion, a person you two are hiding) 24.11
- 10. qaiku expresses a supposition on the part of the speaker. It was invariably rendered by 1 thought. Its first component can not be analyzed, while the second is clearly the particle  $k^u$ .
  - qaiku ûx wutxa'xa Eît te'îs hī'me I thought they two were going to come back, these our two children 44.7
  - qaiku īn îl ye<sup>e</sup>ne<sup>w</sup> lō I thought not surely (this was) your property 112.7
- 11. quint. Neither of the two elements of this particle can be analyzed. It indicates that a certain fact came suddenly into one's recollection, and may best be translated by oh, I recollect, I remember. It is usually amplified by the particle L (see p. 392), which either follows it immediately or else is placed at the very end of the sentence.
  - qainī L nwa'wala û qāyîs he recollected that this was a spider (literally, recollection, must be, with [its] spider, world) 30.3
  - qainī ku ntō'we û qā'yîs L he came to remember that there was such a thing (literally, recollection, perhaps, with such a thing, the world, must [be]) 32.9
- 12. natsī. It is used by the speaker for the purpose of expressing doubt. It was rendered by I роивт.

 $nats\bar{\imath}~xd\bar{\imath}^il~la^u~\iota\bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i\prime}wat$  I doubt (whether) some one (will) eat it 36.9

natsī xtcītc lîn sqats (we) doubt (whether) we (shall) catch it 56.19, 20

13. hen hearsay. It denotes that a certain occurrence or fact is known to the speaker from hearsay only. It may best be translated by I was told, It is said.

hä'wī hēn le wî'nqas û temî'snäte grew up the Spider's grandson, it is said 66.11, 12

 $penl\bar{o}'wai\ h\tilde{e}n\ ta'ntan$  whales are reported to (have) come a shore 128.28

 $ye^{\epsilon}ne^{u}$  ½'le hẽn lau ṇai'wît your enemies (as I heard you say) those I killed 110.16, 17

14.  $\hat{\imath}l$  surely, certainly, confirms a statement, and gives it the appearance of certainty. It is often used in apposition to  $\hbar\tilde{\imath}n$ , whenever the speaker wishes to imply that he himself was a witness of a certain occurrence. It denotes knowledge by experience, and may be translated by I SAW IT. It either follows or precedes that part of a sentence which it is to specify more clearly.

15. **cku** indicates knowledge by evidence. It is used whenever the speaker wishes to state a fact that occurred beyond doubt, but whose causes are not known to him. It is composed of  $c^E$  (see p. 389) and  $k^u$ . It may be rendered by IT MUST HAVE BEEN THAT.

yŵ'xwä cku hū<sup>u</sup>mä'k·e yu'kwe two women must have gone ashore 126.11, 12 (the speaker knows this fact to be true by examining the tracks on the sand beach)

hats cku kwa xmä lau tcī hîthītōwē' wat just it must be as if a person that thing there put it 112.2 (the evidence of this fact was the finding of the object in question)

# § 89. Particles Denoting Connection with Previously Expressed Ideas

16. yîqax, yîqa. The exact significance of this particle is not clear. It was rendered by STILL, ANYWAY, AT ANY RATE, NEVERTHELESS, RIGHT AWAY, JUST. In some cases it denotes a continual action.

yîqa īn tō'hîts he to'qmas still not he hit the woodpecker 22.5 yîqa hanı tsîx e<sup>s</sup>hak<sup>u</sup>tō''wat tī'ye îx at any rate, you will here leave your canoe 54.10, 11

yîqax hanı nla right away I am going

mā yû'xwä mä ta, yîqa ît tsxaū'wat even if two persons go, nevertheless they kill them 90.10

hats yîqa xqa'wax ûx kwîna'ē'wat just continually from above they two look at it 6.9

#### 17. qats however, nevertheless, notwithstanding.

xqa'wax hä'k!wîtēm, la<sup>u</sup> qats kwa ā'yu Lōwa'hai qa'xantc from above, some one pulled him, however, it seemed as if he surely ran upwards (by himself) 92.9, 10

qats kwîlkwā'yu, hats leqau'we le ā'la nevertheless it was cut off (and) it just died, the child 76.15, 16

#### 18. $m\bar{a}$ but, even if, really.

 $m\bar{a}$  yû'xwä mä la, yîqa îl  $tsxa\bar{u}'wat$  even if two persons go, nevertheless they kill them 90.10

ma yanıawe tî'mîlī dī'l e'tō'hîts, yîqa hanıawe la<sup>u</sup> e'tsxaū'wat even if strong something you will strike, still you will kill it 124.11, 12

 $mar{a}$  with the negative particle  $ar{\imath}n$  is rendered by not at all.

mā īn mä kwaā'nīya, mā wändj L!ä'xīm not at all people he saw, nevertheless that way he was talking (making believe that he saw them) 30.27

# 19. nā, nāyim because.

e<sup>e</sup>alqsîtā'mî, nāyîm wändj e<sup>e</sup>L!ä'xEm you scare me, because that way you are talking 110.15, 16

 $n\bar{a}$   $\bar{a}'yu$  qa'lyeq ha'ltsat because surely salmon (will) come into the river 36.26

## § 90. Particles Denoting Emotional States

20.  $c^E$  expresses slight surprise at a state of affairs that has come into existence contrary to one's expectations.

 $h\bar{u}^w m\hat{i}s\ c^E\ la\ \bar{a}'la$  a female (was) his child (a boy was expected in this case) 108.6 §§ 89–90

 $d\vec{a}'m\hat{\imath}t \ c^E \ \vec{a}'yu$  a man (it was) surely  $tsl\hat{\imath}m\bar{\imath}'ye \ c^E$  summer it got 30.20

 $ts\bar{o} \ c^E \ Lq!$  now it was cooked 34.2

 $y\bar{u}$   $c^E$  Le  $e^{\epsilon}k!a'lat$  too loud you shout (literally, very contrary to my expectations you shout [the speaker ordered the whale to shout loud, but he did not expect such a noise; hence the use of  $c^E$  in this sentence]) 36.15

hä'wī c<sup>E</sup> le wî'nqas û temî'snätc grown up (has) the Spider's grandson (this statement was made by a person who believed the boy to have been dead) 64.24, 25

 $c^E$  is combined with the future particle hanL into canL, and with the potential  $\bar{u}_L$  into  $c\bar{u}_L$  (see § 9). These new particles express expectation that will certainly be fulfilled, and may be translated by I HOPE, IT OUGHT.

e<sup>\$lE'</sup>\varpsi canL you will be all right (I hope) 124.14

nī canı tcīte xa'ltīl (I hope) he won't do anything to me (literally, not to me, it ought, what he does) 116.2

yū cūl nk·!äk·îna'wîs yūl nlî'mlet I ought to get very tired, if I keep on spearing (literally, very much, it ought to be, I without laziness, if should I spear it) 34.17

 $le'\gamma\bar{\imath}$   $c\bar{u}$ L  $\hat{\imath}$   $la^u$   $\bar{\imath}n$   $kw\hat{\imath}$ L $kw\bar{a}'yu$  good it might have been if that one not had been cut off 76.16

lau cūl nî'cîte îs pīī'yat (of) that a little we two ought to take home 112.3

 $c^E$  is frequently prefixed to the demonstrative pronoun  $t_E$ , forming a new particle  $ct_E$  or  $ct_a$ . This particle often follows the interrogative forms of  $tc\bar{\imath}tc$ ,  $d\bar{\imath}'l$ , and  $w\hat{\imath}t$  (see pp. 407, 411), giving the interrogation a tinge of surprise, as it were.

e wî tū cta who are you? (literally, you, who is it?)

dī'lū cta te nk'îlō'wît what do I see? (literally, what is it that I see?) 106.16, 17

xtcī'tcū cta te lau īn L!nōu'tat why does it not come open? (literally, why is it that that one not comes open?) 76.4

21. cil indeed. Composed of  $c^E$  and il. It has retained the significance of both of its component elements. It consequently denotes a fact known by actual experience, at the occurrence of which the speaker is surprised, as it came into existence contrary to his expectations.

hîs cîl e<sup>e</sup>ne ye<sup>e</sup> mē<sup>i</sup>lä'kuk<sup>u</sup> la û x·na'at also indeed, thou, O heart of salmon! runnest? 36.19, 20

tsō cîl xwändjī'ye now, indeed, that way it is 8.2 e ne cîl you it is, indeed 10.3

This particle occurs frequently with the transitional suffix -iye (see § 35).

 $hi'n\bar{\imath}$   $c\hat{\imath}l\bar{\imath}'ye$   $m\bar{a}ndj$   $k!w\bar{a}^ant$  there, indeed, already he felt it 32.16, 17

22. hîte indicates surprise. The native Coos is unable to render it.

Its meaning was deduced from the sense of the sentences in which it occurred.

hēihats dä'mît k'îtō'wît tsxū hîtc len henî'kunätc suddenly a man she saw lying with her elder sister 50.22, 23 mä hem'tset hîtc a person was laid bare 58.22

## § 91. Particles Denoting the Conditional

23.  $\bar{u}L$  would, should. It puts the sentence in which it occurs in a potential mode. It may either precede or follow the verb to which it belongs.

kat' E'mîsen qalîmī' ye ūl wu'twe teņ ā'la in five days, if should return my child 42.22, 23

lau ūl nk·îlō'wît alî'canī û mēn (I) should be the one to see them play, if— 92.16

nk·î'ıōuts ūl I should find it if—

 $xtc\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{\imath}\iota$  how would it be if— 5.2 (contracted from  $xtc\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{\imath}\iota+\bar{\imath}\iota$ ; see § 9).

- 24.  $y\bar{u}_L$  if—should, if—would. It gives the sentence a conditional tinge. It occurs usually in the subordinate sentence whenever  $\bar{u}_L$  has been used in the co-ordinate sentence, although it is frequently used independently of  $\bar{u}_L$ . It always precedes the verb.
  - $xtc\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{u}_L y\bar{u}_L \hat{\imath}s s\bar{o}^xt\hat{\imath}t\ddot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  how would it be if we two should trade? 15.6
  - yūr kwîna'ē'wat lau īn ūr aiai'wā'yu le hī'me if she had seen it, they not would have been killed, the children 58.10, 11
  - $l E' \gamma \bar{\imath} y \bar{u} L \eta n L \hat{\imath}' m e \text{ good (would it be)}$  if I should have a fish-trap 34.19
- 25. yanL is expresses the conditional in the present or future tense. It usually precedes the verb, and it is used in subordinate sentences in apposition to hanL. It also occurs independently of hanL. Since the native Coos does not distinguish between the conditional present and future tenses, yanL is used to express also the present conditional.
  - îl nī hanı kwîna'īl, yanı ņc<sup>E</sup>a'lctet they will not see me, if I [will] work 128.23, 24

yanı ēn dōwā'ya xwändj, yîxē' dī'l hanı e mîtsmîtstā'mî if you don't want it that way, one thing I will teach you 124.7, 8

. . .  $yanl\ yeai'\ l/t\bar{a}'atc\ \hat{\imath}s\ he'laq$  when in another country we two shall arrive 28.23

nk: !înt yanıel I guess, I will try, surely (literally, if I shall try, surely; yanıel=yanı+îl; see § 7)

#### § 92. Exhortative Particles

26. L MUST, NECESSARILY. It signifies that a certain state of affairs or an action must take place. It has therefore the force of an emphatic imperative. It is placed either before or after the verb (or noun), no matter whether the verb is used in its imperative form or not.

 $ttcîla'aîs\ L\ cîn\ la'Ex$  close to the shore you (must) go 30.23  $qa'xantc\ L\ pE\bar{\imath}'tE$  loud you (must) shout (literally, shout upwards) 30.26

īn  $\iota$  tcītc xa'lt $\iota$  te $\iota$  dä'mîl don't you do anything to my husband (literally, not [must], manner, do it, [to] that my husband) 26.15 cîne $\iota$  trīla'qai  $\iota$  you must stay (here)

e<sup>ɛ</sup>lɛqa<sup>u</sup>wîya'tanī 1 you (must) tell a story 38.13, 14

 $l\bar{o}$  L  $\bar{u}$ L  $le'\gamma\bar{\imath}$  this must be good (literally, that thing, necessarily, should be good) 40.25

27. hamīl, mīl, īl. The exact function of this particle defies all attempts at an explanation. It was usually translated by LET ME, I SHOULD LIKE TO, BETTER (IT WILL BE, IF), whenever it referred to the speaker. When referring to the person spoken to or spoken of, it was rendered by BETTER, YOU MAY, PLEASE, A WHILE.

hamīl ņkwîna'ē'wat I should like to look at him
mīl dîltē' tō'hîts better hit this one 124.15
hamīl e'ne xle'îte e'k'!î'ntqem you may with it try 92.1
hamīl e'l'äts please, speak 16.2
mīl halt! e'ne xle'îte e'l'äts now you with it speak (a while) 16.5
īl hanl xteīte xa'tal? what (would be) better to do? 86.10

In examining these sentences one must arrive at the conclusion that  $ham\bar{\imath}\iota$  (or  $m\bar{\imath}\iota$ ) is of an exhortative character. By its means the speaker either asks permission of the imaginary person spoken to, to perform a certain action, or he conveys a polite command to the person spoken to. In both cases the granting of the desire is a foregone conclusion.

 $ham\bar{\imath}_L$  and  $m\bar{\imath}_L$  are contracted with the periphrastic han into  $ham\bar{\imath}_Lan$  and  $m\bar{\imath}_Lan$ , adding to the particle a future significance.

hamīlan ņl!ēitc let me go out 28.26 hamīlan nî'k'în ņwîlōw'wat let me look for wood 102.3 mīlan emuxtîtsā'mî permit me to feel of you 72.17

28. kwîs let us two. This particle is composed of the particle ku perhaps and of the inclusive form of the personal pronoun is we two. Its function is that of an imperative for the inclusive. The verb, which it always precedes, takes the imperative suffixes.

kwîs Lxa'tE let us two chop wood 26.15, 16 kwîs tse'mtîtse te tahā'lîk' let us two loosen that quiver 122.27

29. kwin Let us (all) exercises the function of the imperative for the first person plural. The first component is, beyond doubt, the particle  $k^u$  perhaps. The second element can be no other than the personal pronoun for the first person plural lin. The contraction of  $k^u + lin$  into kwin may have been effected by the analogy of  $k^u + is$  into kwis.

kwîn Le tsxe'we let us kill him quickly 68.3 kwîn sqa'tse let us seize it

## § 93. Particles Denoting Emphasis

30.  $h\bar{e}^{i}$ . By its means the Coos emphasizes any part of speech. It usually precedes the word to be emphasized.

hēi yū xtcä'yuxu mä a very insignificant man (literally, emphasis, very small man) 42.6

 $h\bar{e}^i$   $x\ddot{a}$   $\bar{i}'la$   $low\hat{i}'tat$   $x\bar{a}'^apatc$  she first ran into the water 56.9  $h\bar{e}^i$   $c\hat{i}l$   $kw\bar{e}^ik$   $\bar{i}'ye$  surely, indeed, it was a girl 12.1, 2

Whenever  $h\bar{e}^i$  precedes the conjunction hats, it forms a new particle, which is rendered by SUDDENLY.

 $h\bar{e}^i hats\ m\ddot{a}\ k\cdot\hat{\imath}l\bar{o}'w\hat{\imath}t$  suddenly a person she saw 54.2  $h\bar{e}^i hats\ {\it L}!n\bar{o}^{u'}tat\ l\it{E}\ tc!\hat{\imath}'l\it{E}$  suddenly came open the door 62.5

31.  $h\bar{e}^ikwa\bar{\imath}n$  exceedingly (like the English colloquial AWFULLY). This particle consists of the following three independent and separable components:  $h\bar{e}^i$ , kwa, and  $\bar{\imath}n$ . Literally translated, the particle means verily, it seems not. Since the phrase is used as a sort of an exclamation with an interrogative character, it may best be compared to our English exclamation isn't this a fine day! which really means this is a fine day.

 $h\bar{e}^ikwa\bar{\imath}n\ le'\gamma\bar{\imath}\ \hat{\imath}\ \hat{\imath}luwe'^xtc\hat{\imath}s$  she was awfully glad (literally, what, as if not her heart good?) 64.9, 10

hēikwaīn xhū'wîs mä a very poor man (literally, what, as if not a poor man?) 42.5

hēikwa ît īn dōwā'ya they liked him very much (literally, what, as if they not liked him?) 24.29

32.  $\overline{i}tE$  is used in direct discourse only. It always follows the word that is to be emphasized.

n'ne īte le e<sup>e</sup>dōwāyextā'îs qa<sup>u</sup>'wa I am (emphatic) the one you wanted (last) night 50.25, 26

 $e^{\varepsilon}h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{\imath}s\;\bar{\imath}tE'$  you will (be) a woman (emphatic) 24.20

 $t\bar{e}^i$   $\bar{\imath}tE$   $kw\bar{a}'xal$   $l\bar{\imath}'ye$   $e'k^ul\bar{a}tc$  this (emphatic) (is) the bow (of) thy father 62.24

qa'lyeq īte īn īte pentō'wai it is salmon, not whale (literally, salmon [emphasis], not [emphasis] whale) 130.12, 13

#### § 94. Restrictive Particles

33. La only. It limits the action to a certain object. It always follows the word so limited.

la<sup>u</sup> La īn tcītc xalt (to) that only not anything he did 68.13 wa'lwal La ā'tsɛm a knife only give me 80.14, 15 wändj La ûx kwee'nīyēm that way only people know them two 19.10

34.  $ts\hat{\imath}$  simply, merely, just. It has a slight restrictive character.

 $ts\hat{\imath}~e^{\varepsilon}qa'qat$ you were merely sleeping 68.19

yîxe'n qalîmī'ye tsî īn dī'l one morning, it was simply gone (literally, once, morning it got, simply, not something) 88.3

tsî contracts with the following hanz into tsanz (see § 9).

tsanı e<sup>e</sup>tā'tcînts only then shall you have it 78.15

# § 95. The Interrogative Particle 1

35. 7. This particle, exercising the function of our sign of interrogation, is used only in sentences that have no other interrogation. It is usually placed at the end of the sentence.

ā'yu e\(\hat{i}\)loxqai'n\(\hat{s}\)\ \bar{\ta}\ surely (art) thou a doctor\(\hat{1}\) 10.4

\tag{ts}\(\hat{x}\)\ \tau \ta \(\hat{i}\) did they two go (by) here\(\hat{9}\) 96.18, 19

\(\epsilon^{\epsilon}k\)\(\hat{n}\)\ a'\(\bar{t}\)\ atc have you seen my elder brothers\(\hat{9}\)

96.18

When preceded by the particle han,  $\bar{\imath}$  is rendered by MAY 1?  $nq!m\hat{\imath}ts$  han  $\bar{\imath}$  may I eat it? §§ 94-95

# THE PRONOUN (§§ 96-100)

## § 96. The Independent Personal Pronouns

Coos has two sets of independent personal pronouns, formed from two different stems.

The first of these two sets is formed from the stem -xkan for the first and second persons, and -xka for the third person, to which are prefixed the personal pronouns (see § 18), giving the following series:

Singular	St person	nE'xkan e'xkan xä'kä
Dual	Inclusive Exclusive	
Plural	St person	linnE'xkan cine'xkan ilxä'kä

The obscure vowel in  $n \mathbf{z}' x k a n$  is due to the law of consonantic clusters (see § 4).

For the dropping of the glottal stop, inherent in the second person singular, see § 3.

The peculiar vowels in the third person singular may be the combined effect of accent and of the dropping of the final n.

It will be seen from this table that the singular forms are the basis for the corresponding dual and plural forms. Thus, the inclusive is formed by combining the inclusive pronoun  $\hat{\imath}s$  with the singular for the first person n E'xkan; the second person dual is composed of the personal pronoun for the second person dual  $\hat{\imath}c$ , and the singular for the second person e'xkan; etc.

These pronouns have the force of a whole sentence, and may be translated by I (THOU, HE . . . ) AM THE ONE, WHO ——

nE'xkan hanz lau nx întī'yat te xāap I will be the one to run away with that water 40.20, 21

hîs hanı e'xkan yîxē'' e k!wînt also thou shalt be the one to shoot one (arrow) 13.1

That the dual and plural forms of this set are not felt to be integral units, and may easily be separated according to their component elements, is best shown by the following example:

 $ts\bar{o}$  hanz ne'xkan  $xw\hat{i}n$   $e^{\epsilon}\bar{i}'lt\bar{a}'m\hat{i}$  now will we two tell thee 126.21, 22 (ne'xkan  $xw\hat{i}n$  instead of  $xw\hat{i}nne'xkan)$ 

This use of the singular pronouns in place of the plural has been referred to in § 46.

The second set of independent personal pronouns may be called the "verbal set." These pronouns are formed by prefixing the personal pronouns p,  $e^e$ , etc., to the stem -ne, which seems to have a verbal significance. The pronouns thus obtained may be translated by it is i, it is thou, etc.

The third persons singular, dual, and plural have no special forms in this set; but they are replaced by  $x\ddot{a}$ ,  $\hat{u}xx\ddot{a}$ ,  $\hat{u}x\ddot{a}$ , forms related to  $x\ddot{a}'k\ddot{a}$ ,  $\hat{u}xx\ddot{a}'k\ddot{a}$ , and  $\hat{u}x\ddot{a}'k\ddot{a}$ .

The series follows.

Singular	1st person	n'ne e⁵ne xä
Dual	Inclusive	i'cne
Plural	1st person	lîn'ne cîn'ne ll'xä

hîs hant n'ne tcī nla I too will go there 94.22 halt! e'ne  $tsîx \cdot e^s st\bar{o}^u q$  now it is thy turn to stand here 64.32 hîs  $x\ddot{a}$   $c^E a' let et$  she too is working 22.26, 27

The Possessive Pronouns (§§ 97-98)

§ 97. The Sign of Possession, û

The idea of possession is expressed in Coos by means of the possessive particle  $\hat{u}$ , which follows the term expressing the possessor, and precedes that indicating the possessed object. The possessor is not infrequently preceded by the article.

 $k/we'he \hat{u} \ l^{i}n\bar{e}k^* \ k^*\hat{\imath}\iota\bar{o}'^{wi}tsa$  leaves of a willow he found 30.17, 18  $hE \ h\ddot{a}'tc\hat{\imath}t/\hat{u} \ \ddot{a}'la \ x^*\hat{\imath}'ntset$  Heteit's child got on top 24.23

 $\hat{u}x \ lem \bar{i}'yat \ lem \hat{u}'ye \ \hat{u} \ kw \ddot{a}'x^u$  they two set up the eagle's feathers 8.10

xwändj û lự'nas hư tsä' yuxu lā'nîk' such (was) the name of the small river 46.10, 11

The possessive sign very frequently takes the place of the possessive pronoun for the third persons singular and plural.

le'γī û îluwe'\*teîs he was glad (literally, good his heart) 32.5

 $\bar{a}'\bar{y}a$  cku û  $q\bar{a}'ya$  she must have lost her breath (literally, gone must be her breath) 58.24, 25

la û haw we le tcîcī' mîl the spruce-tree is growing (literally, goes its growth, the spruce-tree) 20.16

 $la~\hat{u}~paa'wes~le~x\bar{a}^ap$  the water is filling up (literally, goes its fullness, the water) 44.17

 $\ddot{a}'w\bar{\imath}~\hat{u}~\bar{\iota}\bar{o}w\bar{a}'was$  she finished eating (literally, it ended, her food) 24.13

he e'stîs mä alî'maqa û îx some people had large canoes (literally, some people, large their canoes) 44.20

 $y\hat{u}xw\ddot{a}'$   $\hat{u}$   $h\bar{u}^um\ddot{a}'k^*e$  he has two wives (literally, two [are] his wives) 20.3

djī û x·na'at lɛ nōusk·î'lī the Big Woman came quickly (literally, comes her quickness, the Big Woman) 78.26

The possessive sign is employed in impersonal sentences, where the subject of the sentence is  $q\bar{a}'y\hat{i}s$  world or  $m\bar{e}n$  people. In these cases the subject is placed at the end of the sentence, and the possessive sign is affixed to the possessed object, immediately preceding the subject. The sentences are rendered by there was, they are.

k·!äL!tā' û qā'yîs there was no land (literally, without [its] land the world) 5.5; 6.1

īn tc!le'xEm û qā'yîs there was no low tide (literally, not [has] its dry condition [the] world) 15.8

nwa'wala û  $q\tilde{a}'y\hat{i}s$  there was a spider (literally, with its spider [is] the world) 30.3

qaicî'nîs kwee'tî û mēn people were living in a small place (literally, in a small place their living [place have] people) 50.7

tcī ti'k-îne û mēn there they were standing (literally, there their standing [place, severally have] people) 74.28

# § 98. The Possessive Pronouns Proper

The possessive pronouns proper are formed by prefixing to the personal pronouns p,  $e^{\epsilon}$ , etc., the article lE or hE, or the demonstrative pronoun tE. These forms may be regarded as loose prefixes.

Singular	1st person	heņ leņ lī'ye lī'ya hā lä, la	ten tī'ye tä (?)
Dual	Inclusive Exclusive 2d person 3d person	he'is le'is he'xwîn le'xwîn he'îc le'îc he'ûx le'ûx	te'îs te'xwîn te'îc te'ûx
Plural	1st person	he'lin te'lin he'cin le'cin he'il le'il	te'lîn te'cîn te'îl

The second person singular  $l\bar{\imath}'ye$  has resulted from the combination  $lE + e^{\epsilon}$ . This phonetic irregularity remains unexplained. The forms  $l\bar{\imath}'ya$  and la occur before nouns having a-vowels (see § 7).

 $\bar{a}'yu$   $\hat{cil\bar{i}}'ye$   $he\bar{v}$   $kw^aa't\hat{i}s$  surely, true came my dream 100.14  $la^u$   $kw\hat{i}na'\bar{e}^iwat$   $l\bar{i}'ye$   $\hat{i}luwe'^xtc\hat{i}s$  that one is looking into thy heart 14.8

 $p\bar{\imath}''nts\ l\bar{\imath}'ya\ kxla$  bend thy foot 120.13

hän ye'es lau L!k'îts into his mouth she poured it 102.12

lau hanz he'îs kata'lîs these shall be our two subjects 124.6

 $halt!y\bar{u}$   $n\bar{a}^ant$   $he'l\hat{n}n$   $c^Ealct\bar{a}'was$  too great (is) our work 68.27

Lōwa'kats he'îl eenäte living is their mother 84.21

lä L!ahā'was her clothes 110.3

Lowa'kats la ā'la his child remained 110.10

 $x\ddot{a}'n\hat{\imath}s$  le'xwîn e'kuzäte siek is our (dual) father 126.18, 19

îc la'tsīt le'îc e' kulate you two go and get your (dual) father 20.13 ûx kwîskwī'wat le'ûx e' kulate they two were informing their (dual) father 20.25

l<sup>E</sup>yuwî'ltE le'cîn sō'wel! wiggle your fingers! 122.8

ntsxaū'wat hanı ten mî'nkatc I will kill that my son-in-law 26.22 tī'yex e'kuzätc hanı lau k'î'ızōuts tī'ye îx thy father will find thy canoe 54.11

A peculiar form of the possessive pronoun for the first person singular is the frequently occurring nep. This form may be explained as a reduplicated stem, in which the first n is, so to speak, the article for the first person singular, formed in analogy to  $l_E$  or  $h_E$ .

 $nen pk\bar{a}'katc\ hanl\ nk'îl\bar{o}'wît\ my\ grandfather\ I\ shall\ see$   $aiai^ew\bar{a}'yu\ nen\ h\bar{\imath}^{i'}me\ killed\ were\ (all)\ my\ children\ 62.18$ 

The personal pronouns without prefixes are often employed as possessive pronouns. In such cases the second person singular  $e^{\epsilon}$  occurs as  $ye^{\epsilon}$ .

ī'tcū ņdä'mîl? which one (is) my husband? 80.3
ā'yā nqā'ya I am out of breath (literally, dead my breath) 66.27
nāant hanz ye² zōwā'was you will have much to eat (literally, much will [be] your food) 54.6

k!ā hanı yen k!wînts nla'ats a rope around thy neck I'll put 94.12

In two instances the possessive pronoun of the third person singular is amplified by the addition of the possessive sign.

le'γī hä û îluwe'xtcîs he is good-natured (literally, good [is] his heart)
dzū'lī lä û kwī'yōs a fur-seal (as) his dog 132.2

A possessive pronoun expressing absence is formed by prefixing to the personal pronouns the prefix  $k \cdot / \ddot{a}$ . The form for the first person singular only could be obtained in this series.

 $n\bar{\imath}$   $kw\hat{\imath}skw\bar{\imath}'\bar{\imath}t$  tex k'.'a,  $\bar{u}'m\bar{a}$  not me informed that my (absent) grandmother 62.12

Besides these pronouns, there is another series of independent possessive pronouns. They are formed by prefixing to the verbal form of the personal pronouns n'ne,  $e^ene$ , etc., the article hE or lE, or the demonstrative tE, and by suffixing the possessive sign  $\hat{u}$ .

Singular	1st person	hen'neu ye <sup>ı</sup> neu' hexäu'
Dual	Inclusive Exclusive	heisneu' hexwin'neu heicneu' heûxxäu'
Plural	1st person	helin'neu hecin'neu hellxäu'

The second person singular shows a phonetic irregularity which I am at a loss to explain.

These pronouns are independent, and have a verbal significance. They may be rendered by it is mine, it is thine, etc.

[BULL, 40

heņ'ne<sup>u</sup>  $l\bar{o}$  te qe'mä my property is that camas 112.6,7 e<sup>e</sup>heņ'ne<sup>u</sup>  $\bar{\iota}$ 'le you (are) my enemy 118.3  $ye^e ne^u$   $p\bar{\imath}^i l$   $L!a'n\bar{e}x$  thy cradle is new 38.17  $hex\ddot{a}^{u'}$   $l\bar{o}$   $h\bar{e}n$  it is his property (it is said) 116.21, 22

#### § 99. The Reflexive Pronouns

The reflexive pronouns are formed by prefixing the possessive pronouns to the stem tet body. The possessive pronominal prefixes for the first and second persons singular are  $\eta$ - and  $ye^{\epsilon}$ - respectively. The third person singular has no pronominal prefix. The rest is regular.

Singular	1st person	ņtet ye⁵tet tet
Dual	Inclusive	he'istet he'xwintet he'ictet he'ûxtet
Plural	1st person	he'lîntet he'cîntet he'îltet

ntō'hîts ntet I hit myself lō"xtīt ye tet watch thyself 74.3 wändj pî'ctcîts tet thus he warmed himself 32.8 nx L!x'î'nx'ît he'nxtet they two examine themselves 84.3 îl yu'xtîts he'îltet they rubbed themselves 52.13

The particle  $\hat{i}'n\bar{\imath}_{EX}$  alone is not infrequently placed before the verb (see § 108), and emphasizes the subject.

xî'nīex ntō'hîts ntet alone I hit myself

## § 100. The Demonstrative Pronouns

The demonstrative pronouns exhibit a variety of forms. Attempts have been made to discover whether the different forms may not indicate position from the standpoint of the speaker; but they have proved unsuccessful, owing to the fact that this idea does not seem to be clearly developed in Coos. Only the first two pronouns seem to accentuate this distinction. The following demonstrative stems have been found.

tē<sup>i</sup> denotes an object that is near to the speaker, and may be translated by THIS HERE. It always precedes the object to which it refers.

 $t\bar{e}^i$  hant  $t\bar{o}'h\hat{\imath}ts$  this here he shall hit 20.14  $t\bar{e}^i$   $e^ep\bar{a}^ats$  this here you fill up 78.12

It is frequently employed as an adverb in the sense of HERE.

 $t\bar{e}^i n y \hat{i} x u' \bar{m} e$  here I travel 26.9  $n t\bar{e}^i h \ddot{a} \iota^i$  I (am) here, O elder brother! 72.26.

tE indicates an object that is away from the speaker, and may be rendered by THAT THERE. It usually precedes the object.

tkwīlē'wat te to'qmas he is following that (there) woodpecker 22.2 ax k-îlō'wît te l!tā they two saw that (there) land 6.5 dī'ltee'te te nl!aqa'ē'wat with what (shall) I point my finger (at)

Tittee to te nr.! aqa' e wat with what (shall) I point my finger (at this one (there?) 40.24

te often exercises the function assigned in English to the conjunction THAT.

 $xtc\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{u}$  te  $g\bar{o}^us$   $m\hat{\imath}'l\ddot{a}tc$   $e^{\epsilon}y\hat{\imath}xu'\bar{m}e$  why (is it) that always you travel? 48.14

xtcī'tcū tī wändj e tīltā'îs why (is it) that thus you tell it to me?

(For  $t_E$  as a prefix in possessive pronouns, see § 98. See also under  $la^u$  below, and  $lew\hat{\imath}$ , p. 402.)

dîltë'. A compound pronoun composed of the indefinite particle  $d\bar{\imath}^i l$  something (see p. 407) and the demonstrative  $t\bar{\imath}^i$  this here. It may be translated by this here.

dîltē' kulī'yex this stone here 124.16, 17

dîlte'. A compound of dīil something (see p. 407) and tE that there. It is usually translated by that there.

dîlte' te kulī'yex that stone yonder dîlte' mä the person yonder

la", ha". This pronoun has the force of a whole sentence. It applies to both subject and object, and it is used in singular and in plural alike. It invariably precedes the subject or object to which it refers. It may be translated by HE, THAT IS THE ONE; HE IT IS.

yîxe'n qalîmī'ye la<sup>u</sup> L!ē<sup>i</sup>tc häl tō'mîL one morning that one went out, (namely) that old man 20.4.

xqantc  $la^u$   $s\bar{\imath}^{tx}$   $t^E tsa$   $la^u$   $tc\bar{\imath}$  la from where he (was the one to) scent it, there he (was the one to) go 22.24

lau lä xwî'luxu ba'nxutat that (was the one) his head became bald 30.14

lau temä'ze mä lau telîcîla'ēiwat he it is the old people (it is they who) sit (on) that, usually 38.3

lau in lau i'lxats he did not look at it (literally, he was the one, not, it was the thing, he looked at it) 40.8

 $la^u \ han \ la \ c^E a' l c tet$  it is they two (who) shall work 68.26  $k \cdot \hat{i} da' m \hat{i} n a te$   $ha^u \ x \cdot L / \hat{i} t$  into the bowl she put it 102.6, 7

 $la^u$  and  $ha^u$  are frequently emphasized by the prefixed article or by the demonstrative pronoun tE.

 $l_E$   $l\bar{a}'mak$ ,  $lala^u$   $t_E$   $b\hat{\imath}ldj\bar{\imath}'y_{EX}$  the bones, those are the Umpqua Indians 50.5, 6

lala<sup>u</sup> he Lōwē<sup>i</sup> wat that's what she usually eats 24.5, 6 tela<sup>u</sup> nha<sup>ux</sup>ts te L!tā I am the one who made that land 10.3, 4

In composite sentences having one and the same subject,  $la^u$  and  $ha^u$  are used in the subordinate sentence to avoid the repetition of the subject.

 $kw\hat{\imath}\overline{n}a'was\ s\bar{\imath}'^x\cdot t^Etsa\ (l_E\ d\bar{\imath}'l\bar{o}l)\ \hat{\imath}\ la^u\ h\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}\ st\bar{o}^uq$  smoke scented (the young man) as he stood there 22.23, 24

xā'nanā'ya la ā'la î lau leqau'we his child made him feel sorry, when it died 42.18, 19

*lewî*, a demonstrative pronoun with verbal force. It is invariably followed by the article or by the demonstrative pronoun tE; and it is sometimes, for the sake of emphasis, preceded by  $la^u$ . It may be translated by IT IS, THAT IS.

lewî le  $e\bar{n}i'k$  exem that is it, sticking out 46.11  $h\bar{e}^i$  cîl lewî'ye le tc.'î'le surely, indeed, it was a door 72.25

 $l\ddot{a}l$ ,  $h\ddot{a}l$ , a demonstrative pronoun used for subject and object, singular and plural. It precedes the subject or object. It denotes objects that have been previously mentioned. It is composed of the article  $l_E$ ,  $h_E$ , and of the abbreviated form of the particle  $d\bar{\imath}^i l$  something (see p. 407).

qa'nōtc stōuq läl tō'mîr outside stood that old man 20.4, 5 wändj r!äts läl hūu'mîr thus spoke that old woman 102.10 asō' sqats häl hūu'mîr lex swal again seized that old woman the grizzly bear 102.21, 22

 $\hat{u}x$  neqa'qa  $h\ddot{a}l$   $te\overline{m}\ddot{a}'$  te they two ran away, those old people 24.12, 13

häl and läl have a nominalizing function, and often take the place of our relative pronouns.

hats kwa lau  $\bar{u}'yu$  wîna'qaxem läl L $\bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i}'wat$  just like a rainbow was spread out (that thing) which he was eating 32.14

tcī'tcū tɛ lau xtōus häl eɛL!aha'ē'wat why (is it) that that thing stiff (is) which you have on 110.4, 5

to has a nominal force, and denotes THAT KIND, SUCH A THING. It always precedes the object.

 $\bar{a}'yu\ l\bar{o}\ k\cdot\hat{i}' L\bar{o}^u ts\ hE\ pa'xw\hat{\imath}ya$  surely, that kind he found, the manzanita berries 32.10, 11

 $ts\bar{o} \ \bar{a}'yu \ l\bar{o} \ ha^{ux}ts$  now surely, that thing she made 60.16

When preceded by a possessive pronoun,  $t\bar{o}$  expresses the idea of property.

hen'neu lo te qe'mä that camas belongs to me 112.6, 7

Lō has a local meaning, and may be translated by in it, on it. It always follows the object to which it refers.

 $p^{E'}s\hat{\imath}k^*\bar{a}'ts_{E'}$   $L\bar{o}$   $n\hat{\imath}'c\hat{\imath}tc$   $x\bar{a}^ap$   $ha^{u'}w_E$  a cup give me, in it a little water have 68.17, 18

tsetî'x'ume Lō heu'heu hau'we! on this side make a knot (literally, where this side is, on it a knot make) 92.7, 8

k: 'an MY ABSENT. The prefix of this possessive pronoun may be regarded as a demonstrative pronoun (see pp. 323, 399).

# THE NUMERAL (§§ 101-102) § 101. The Cardinals

yîxē<sup>i</sup>
 yûxwä'
 yî'psen
 he'cLiL
 kat`E'mîs
 yîxē'vîeq
 yûxwä'wîeq
 yîxē'ahäl
 yûxwä'ahäl

10. Lep!qa'nī
 11. Lep!qa'nī yîxē'û'qtsī
 12. Lep!qa'nī yûxwäû'qtsī

20. yûxwä'ka
30. yîpsE'nka
40. hecli'lka
50. kat'E'mîska
60. yîxē'vîeqka
70. yûxwä'wîeqka
80. yîxē'ahälka
90. yûxwä'ahälka
100. yîxē' nî'kîn

111.  $y\hat{i}x\bar{e}^{i'}$   $n\hat{i}'k\cdot\hat{i}n$   $lep!qa'n\bar{i}$   $y\hat{i}x\bar{e}^{i}\hat{u}'qts\bar{i}$ 

The Coos numeral system is of a quinary origin, and, strictly speaking, there are only five simple numeral stems; namely, those for the first five numerals. The numerals for SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, and NINE are compounds, the second elements of which can not be explained. In the same manner the numeral for TEN defies all attempts at analysis.

Besides the cardinals, Coos exhibits special forms for the ordinal, multiplicative, and distributive numerals, formed by means of adding certain numeral suffixes to the cardinal numerals (see §§ 74–77).

The collective numerals expressed in English by the phrases in twos, in threes, etc., are formed in Coos by means of suffixing to the numerals for two, three, etc., the adverbial suffix  $-\bar{e}^i tc$  (see § 67).

yûxwä'hēite lau hîthītōwēi'wat in pairs he is putting them down 34.7, 8

 $xy\hat{\imath}pse'n\bar{e}^itc$  in threes

The collective numeral for one,  $\hat{yixe'ntce}$ , shows a peculiar formation. It consists of the cardinal  $\hat{yixe'}$ , the distributive suffix -n (see pp. 327, 341), the modal suffix -tc (see pp. 327, 340, 369), and the suffix -e (see p. 359).

yîxe'ntce sqats together he seized them 64.8, 9
yîxe'ntce ît nL!tā'yas together they (live) in (one) village 122.18.

#### § 102. The Decimal System

The units exceeding multiples of ten have forms exemplified by TEN (TWENTY) ONE OVER. Thus  $Lep!qa'n\bar{\imath}$   $y\hat{\imath}x\bar{\imath}^i\hat{\imath}'q'ts\bar{\imath}$  eleven literally means ten one over, etc. The "tens" are formed by means of suffixing to the numerals from one to ten (exclusive) the suffix -ka. The numeral for one hundred, translated literally, means one stick, which indicates that the Coos may have used counting-sticks for the purpose of counting up to one hundred. Two hundred would mean two sticks, etc. The numeral one thousand does not seem to have been used at all. There is no special stem for it. The natives to-day form this numeral by adding the noun  $n\hat{\imath}'k\cdot\hat{\imath}n$  stick to the numeral stem for ten, expressing one thousand by the phrase ten sticks.

# THE ADVERB (§§ 103-106)

## § 103. Introductory

The dividing-line between adverbs and particles can not always be drawn very definitely. This is especially true in the case of the three particles expressing locality, time, and modality (see § 112). Adverbs express local, temporal, and modal ideas. A few of them may be said to express local phrases. In a number of cases two adverbs have been combined for the purpose of indicating a new adverbial concept, which is nothing more than an amplification of the ideas conduced by each of the two separate component elements. Some of the local adverbs seem to distinguish slightly between the idea of locality that is near the first, second, or third person; although

I am somewhat doubtful on that point, owing to the fact that this idea is hardly recognizable in the demonstrative pronouns.

The great majority of modal adverbs occur with the adverbial suffix of modality -tc (see §§ 25, 36), and are often preceded by the modal prefix x- (see § 24). It is conceivable that this suffix may have been originally adverbial par excellence, and that it gradually became confined to adverbs expressing mode and manner. This opinion may be substantiated by the fact that the adverbial suffix -tc, when added to nouns, expresses other adverbial ideas besides those of modality. It is also suffixed to a number of stems expressing local phrases.

The following is a complete list of adverbs that have been found in Coos:

#### § 104. Local Adverbs and Phrases

asî'ı between, halfway 5.1 ē'qatce to one side 42.3 ī'la before, ahead, in front yî'helq close by 60.21  $y\hat{\imath}qa't\bar{e}^i$  close there (?) 90.23 yîqa'ltsîx close here 104.12 yîqai'nī so far, right here 14.4  $h\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}$  there 5.2  $t\bar{\imath}^u$  over there 90.21 tsîx here 24.4  $ts\hat{\imath}'x^{\cdot}t\tilde{\imath}$  over here 13.5 tse'tîx over here  $tc\bar{\imath}$  there 7.4 tc!e'etc back in the woods 88.11 qaya'atc, qa'tîtc down the stream 24.24; 54.1

qa'wax high up 8.11
qai'nas close to the fire 82.19
qaî'nîs away from the shore 36.18
qaits inside the house 140.24
qat below 36.11
qapu'kul the other side, across
140.18
qa'xan up 34.4
qal down, below, under 116.9
xtse'tîx' from here 136.3
xqa'wax from above 6.4
xqa'lîn from under 90.4
xle'tîx', le'tîx' from there 12.2;
78.28
ltcîla'ais close to the shore 30.23

# § 105. Temporal Adverbs

 $as\bar{o}'$  again 6.1 ai'wa still, yet 7.6 yuwe whenever  $(y\bar{u}+he)$  [see § 9]) 24.4 yuwi'nt before 178.25  $hats^Ey\bar{u}$  always  $(hats+y\bar{u})$  [see § 110]) halt! now 15.6  $m\bar{a}ndj$  already (used for the purpose of expressing the past tense) 20.1

 $tE'\overline{m}a$  at the same time 17.3  $t\overline{\imath}'^x \cdot tse$  to-day 19.9  $kw\overline{\imath}'yal$  now 9.1  $l^Eai'wa$  while (lE+aiwa; the article is prefixed here for the sake of emphasis)

L!ha'waîs near, close to 50.20

#### § 106. Modal Adverbs

 $\bar{a}'yu$  sure, enough 16.2 xwe'lîxetc in a stooping position  $y\bar{u}$  very, very much 11.5 118.15  $halt!y\bar{u}$   $(halt! + y\bar{u})$  too xpīye'etc homewards 42.7 txa'nuxwītc sideways 38.10 44.18. xtema'atc crossways 64.28 wändj, xwändj thus, that way xnō'we right 44.9 68.16; 6.8 pe'lukwīte entirely 130.7 xcî'yîtcītc clear around it 128.18 xqe'iltc slowly 60.7  $ta^u$ , ta so, such 52.16 xLa'qatc belly up and mouth open  $n\bar{a}^a nt$  much, many 44.18 102.11 nî'cîtc a few, a little 68.17 xLeye'entc truly 148.1  $ts\bar{o}'n\bar{o}$  both ways 6.2 xLowe'entc wholly 44.17 tsqe'yîxetc edgeways łai'sama quickly, hurriedly 30.1  $g \cdot \hat{\imath}, g \cdot \hat{\imath}' kwa$  a little 36.6; t'nuwī very, very much 15.6 28.10  $L^E pe'xetc$  belly side down 58.14  $k\bar{a}^{as}$  almost 20.19 Lowe'entc entirely 30.11.  $x^{u}$ ,  $y\hat{u}x$ ,  $y\hat{u}xt\hat{i}'k\hat{i}$  hardly 28.17

A number of purely local adverbs occur with the modal suffix, implying the modal character of a local idea.

qa'xantc upwards (literally, in the manner of up) 14.1 qa'nōtc outside 20.4 qettc downwards 6.4 yî'qantc backwards le'xatc inside 62.8 e'hentc far off (compare e'he he was gone 108.9) 26.23 qa'tîtc down stream 54.1 teqai'tc up stream 160.15

The temporal phrase  $xtem\overline{\imath}'towete$  from that time on 42.12 may also belong here, although the original stem is no longer recognizable.

Whenever these modalized local adverbs are used in connection with verbs expressing motion or active ideas, they take the verbal suffix -e (see § 55).

e'hente stōuq far off he stood 26.23 qa'nōtelîn tsxū outside we lay 50.10 qelte ûx îlx down they two looked 14.2

 in e<sup>e</sup> ehe'ntce yîxu'me not you far away go 112.24 qanō'tcaît L!ēitc outside they went 50.11

qe'ltce tsî'x:tī he'laq down right here it came 13.5

## **PARTICLES** (§§ 107-112)

#### § 107. Introductory

No formal distinction can be made between the stems that were termed "syntactic particles" (see §§ 86-95), and the words treated in the following chapters. Both exhibit practically the same phonetic structure. There is, however, a vast difference between these two sets of words, which asserts itself in the grammatical use to which they are applied, and in the morphological treatment that is accorded to them. None of the syntactic particles can be clearly and definitely rendered when used independently; or, in other words, the syntactic particles are capable of expressing concepts only in a complex of words. On the other hand, all particles proper express definite ideas, regardless of whether they are used independently or not. However, the most important point of distinction between syntactic particles and particles proper lies in the fact that the latter are capable of word composition. Hence all grammatical processes may be applied to them; and, as a matter of fact, the majority of them occur with a number of nominal and verbal suffixes.

#### § 108. Pronominal Particles

By means of these particles Coos expresses the ideas conveyed by our indefinite, interrogative, and relative pronouns. The following particles are employed for this purpose:

wît somebody is applied to persons only. It often exercises the function of a relative pronoun, and is then translated by who.

*īn xwît la<sup>u</sup> k·îtī'wîta* nobody that one can overtake 92.21, 22 kwaā'nīya wît läł hū''mîk she knew who it was that old woman 102.20

dvil something is applied to objects other than persons. It always follows the object to which it belongs.

 $he'\overline{m}$ îs  $d\bar{\imath}$  $\bar{\imath}$ uk: $\bar{\imath}$  $\bar{\imath}$ o' $u\hat{\imath}$ t big something I saw 62.21

gōus dīil hanı hä'wī everything will grow (literally, all something will grow) 9.3

yû'xwä dīil ņk·îlō'wît two things I saw 112.26, 27

ntc!a'ha dīt tcī he'laq animals arrived there (literally, something [that is] with legs [walkers] arrived there) 46.1, 2

nL!pe'ne dī't tcī he'laq birds arrived there (literally, something [that is] with wings arrived there) 46.2, 3

 $d\tilde{\imath}^{i}t$  is very often abbreviated to t.

k!wenî'yaul nwîlōu'wat for some food I am looking

(See also under lät, hät, p. 402.)

By suffixing the interrogative suffix  $-\bar{u}$  (see § 73) to  $d\bar{\imath}^i l$  and  $w\hat{\imath}t$ , two interrogative pronouns are obtained that may be rendered by What and Who respectively (see also p. 390).

 $d\bar{\imath}^{i'}l\bar{\imath}$  he  $t \in e^{\epsilon}w\hat{\imath}l\bar{\imath}^{u'}wat$  what are you continually looking for? 54.3  $xw\hat{\imath}'t\bar{\imath}$   $ts\bar{\imath}^{i}x^{*}t\bar{\imath}'yat$  who did it?

wîctce' takes the place of our interrogative pronoun. It always stands at the beginning of the sentence, and may be rendered by WHICH ONE.

wîctce' e<sup>e</sup>dōwā'ya which one do you want? 50.16

*ītc* which occurs very rarely. It may be said to exercise the function of our relative pronoun.

 $\overline{\imath}tc~y\overline{u}~he'\overline{m}\widehat{\imath}s$  whichever is the biggest (literally, which [is] very big) 30.21

ītc he ng!e'iltse whichever had a handkerchief 70.19

*i'nīex* alone. This particle exercises the function of the reflexive pronoun in intransitive sentences. It is usually placed at the beginning of the sentence, and precedes the verb. It is then rendered by myself, thyself, etc. (see also p. 400).

 $x\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}_{EX} la^u L^E\tilde{a}n$  alone they went down into the water 36.18  $\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}_{EX} n_c^E a'lctet$  alone I work, I myself work  $\hat{\imath}_{N\bar{\imath}_{EX}} L\bar{\imath}_{DW}a'kats$  alone he lived 106.24

This particle occurs sometimes as  $\hat{i}n\bar{i}exa'\bar{m}a$  or  $\hat{i}n\bar{i}exa'\bar{n}a$ . These forms frequently precede verbs having reciprocal suffixes.

 $\hat{n}n\bar{\imath}Exa'\bar{n}\bar{a}\ la^u\ h\bar{u}^um\hat{\imath}s\hat{\imath}s\ddot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  they marry one another 12.5  $\hat{n}n\bar{\imath}Exa'\bar{m}a\ \hat{u}x\ \gamma\bar{a}'lan\bar{\imath}$  they two speak to each other  $\hat{n}n\bar{\imath}Exa'\bar{n}\bar{a}\ l\hat{\imath}n\ t\bar{o}^us\hat{\imath}s\dot{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  we are hitting one another

When used in connection with possessive pronouns,  $i'n\bar{\imath}_{EX}$  assumes the function of a reflexive possessive pronoun, and may be rendered by MY (THY) OWN.

 $x\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}_{Ex}$   $\eta ha^{ux}ts$   $\eta y\hat{\imath}x\ddot{a}'wex$  I build my own house  $x\hat{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}_{Exa}'\overline{m}a$   $\eta ha^{ux}ts$   $\eta y\hat{\imath}x\ddot{a}'wex$  I build my own house

#### § 109. Numeral Particles

 $\overline{\imath}'k\cdot\overline{\imath}$  BOTH,  $g\overline{o}^us$  ALL,  $hE'\overline{m}a$  ALL,  $denk\cdot$  EACH, EVERY, and yEai' ANOTHER, may be called numeral particles.  $hE'\overline{m}a$  is used to indicate plurality of the object, and immediately follows the verb, while  $g\overline{o}^us$  precedes the verb and usually denotes plurality of the subject (see § 18).

gōus wändj îl L!ä'xem they all that way talk 50.9, 10 xgōus mä lau kwaā'nīyahā'ya all people came to know it 102.29 vkiti'wîta he'ma I overtook them all alqsā'ya he'mā he is afraid of them all denk· k!wī'lîs every night 82.9 halt! yeai' x'ne'x'tîts qa'xantc now another one jumped upwards

76.3, 4
halt! yeai' mä Lowî'tat now another man runs 78.28

 $\bar{\imath}'k\cdot\bar{\imath}$  expresses the idea of duality in both subject and object of the sentence.

 $\bar{\imath}'k\cdot\bar{\imath}\ t\bar{o}'h\hat{\imath}ts$  he hit both of them 114.4  $e'qe\ \bar{\imath}'k\cdot\bar{\imath}$  dead (are) both 120.5  $\bar{\imath}k\cdot\bar{\imath}\ \hat{\imath}x\ tc/a'at$  both walked 120.19

## § 110. Conjunctions

Coos has a number of stems that must be classed as conjunctions. The following may be regarded as such:

hîs also ta and

hats just  $ts\bar{o}$  now, then

î when, as, since, while

 $h\hat{\imath}s$  and ta serve as copulas between nouns and sentences.

 $h\hat{i}s x\ddot{a} c^{E}a'lctet$  also she is working 22.26, 27

kwaā'nīyahā'ya läx hä' Lätc hîs läx e<sup>e</sup>nätc hîs läx e'kuLätc (they) came to know it, her elder brother, also her mother, also her father 86.22, 23

sqats ta tc!wäle'tc L!xant he caught and into the fire he threw him 104.15

î connects subordinate clauses with the principal clause.

 $\bar{a}'\bar{y}a$  û îluwe'\*tcîs î lau lk!wa'ku le x $\bar{a}^ap$  he was tired (waiting), while it was running down, the water 17.3, 4

lagtsōwwat î djī he waited, as he came 118.9, 10

î la<sup>u</sup> sqats la<sup>u</sup> xähī'ye la<sup>u</sup> lō when one seizes it, it belongs to him (literally, when that one seizes it, that one becomes he [to whom] that thing belongs) 92.22

- hats serves to introduce a new idea. It was conventionally rendered by JUST, although it hardly conveys the idea expressed by our English word.
  - Lga'at î te!wä'lete kwî'nait. Hats kwa mî<sup>ɛ</sup>la le wā'wa î la<sup>u</sup> xai'la he opened his mouth, as into the fire he looked. Just like a liver the little girl as she became warm 108.24, 25
  - ā'yu l'nuwī tc!îlī'yat he tc!wäl. Hats yî'qax qa'qal le swal surely, she built a big fire. Just right away fell asleep the bear 100.27, 28
  - hats... hats is usually rendered by as soon as. Hats prefixed to the adverb  $y\bar{u}$  very forms a new adverb,  $hats^Ey\bar{u}$ , which was invariably rendered by always (see § 105).
- tsō indicates a syntactic division with a continuation of the same thought. It was translated by Now.
  - "hamī' lan nî'k:în nwîlō" wat" wandj l!ä'xem le hū" mîk:. Tsō ā'yu tsä'yux" mī'k:e sqats "(please) for wood I will look," thus said the old woman. Now, surely, a small basket she took 102.3, 4, 8
  - mîtsîsî'ya läl hūw'mîk' lex swal, tsō asō' sqats häl hūw'mîk' lex swal knew that old woman the bear, now again he seized that old woman, the bear 102.21, 22
  - tsō e<sup>ɛ</sup>īiltā'mî tsō hanı e<sup>ɛ</sup>îlx when I tell you, then you shall look (literally, now I tell it to you, now shall you look) 17.2, 3

# § 111. Interjections

 $\bar{a}'nta$  LOOK, BEHOLD! It is always placed at the beginning of the sentence.

 $\bar{a}'nta\ t\bar{e}^i\ t\bar{\imath}'ye\ m\hat{\imath}'\bar{l}aq$  look! here (are) your arrows! 22.28  $\bar{a}'nta\ k\cdot\hat{\imath}l\bar{o}'w\hat{\imath}tE$  behold, see it! 94.25

 $t\bar{a}'\bar{\imath}$  the greeting formula of the Coos. It was rendered by HALLOO.

 $t\bar{a}'\bar{\imath} sla'$  halloo, cousin! 44.3  $t\bar{a}'\bar{\imath} n_{Ex} \bar{a}'la$  halloo, my child! 28.21

#### § 112. Miscellaneous Particles

*īn* NOT, a particle of negation. The particle of affirmation is *En*. This is, however, rarely used, being supplanted by the syntactic particle il surely (see p. 388).

 $\bar{\imath}n \ k \cdot \hat{\imath}' \iota \bar{\imath}^u ts$  he did not find it 22.18, 19  $\hat{\imath}x \ \bar{\imath}n \ kwa\bar{a}' n\bar{\imath}ya$  they two did not know it 22.9, 10 (See also § 9.)

§§ 111-112

qantc Place, Where.

qante  $lela^u la\bar{a}' \bar{y}am$ ,  $la^u h\hat{i}s x\ddot{a} tc\bar{\imath} la$  wherever they went, he also there went 22.17, 18

nkwaā'nīya gante I know where (it is) 80.14

gōus gante everywhere 46.22

īn qantc k·î' Lōuts nowhere he found it

 $m\hat{v}'l\ddot{a}tc$  TIME. It is used mostly in connection with the numerical particle  $q\bar{o}^u s$ , and is then rendered by ALWAYS.

qōus mî'lätc L!ä'xEm alwayş he is talking 14.5, 6

mî'lätcū hanı e'wu'txe when will you return? (literally, time, question, shall, you come back) 28.3, 4

tcītc manner, kind, way, mode (see also p. 390).

 $g\bar{o}^{u}s$   $tc\bar{\iota}tc$   $\hat{\imath}t$   $al\hat{\imath}'can\bar{\imath}$  all kinds of (games) they are playing 30.25  $tc\bar{\iota}tc$  he  $t\bar{o}w\bar{e}^{i}wat$  whatever he is eating (habitually)

*îl în tcītc tsxaū'wat* they can not kill her (literally, they [have] no way [to] kill her) 80.24

ā'watu whether or not. This particle is very rarely used.

 $\bar{a}'watu~ndj\bar{i}~{\rm I}$  may or may not come  $\bar{a}'watu~\bar{i}n~ts\hat{i}'x't\bar{i}~he'\bar{l}aq~{\rm (they)}$  may or may not come here 90.15

## § 113. The Stem ītse'ts

Morphologically speaking, it is a verbal stem *īts*-, transitivized by means of the suffix -ts, but its application covers such a wide range of different ideas that each of them will have to be enumerated separately.

(1) It is used as an expletive particle with a significance that adapts itself to the sense of the sentence.

*īn kwee'nīyēm ītse'ts he'ît nāa'ntes* no one knew how many they were (literally, they [indefinite] not know it, *what* [was] their number) 78.2

yEai' L!tā'ītc nītse'ts in another country I stay 26.8, 9

xtcī'tcū ītse'ts he nōusk'î'lī what is the matter with the Big Woman 72.28

 $\bar{\imath}tse'ts\ y\hat{\imath}'k^u\ \hat{\imath}l\ la^u\ hen\bar{\imath}'yees\ h\hat{\imath}n\bar{\imath}'\ L\bar{o}wa'kats$  he may have been sitting there for a long time 40.14

kwaā'nīya xtcītc hanī le ītsēm he knew what was going to happen (the -ēm in ītsēm is the indefinite subject suffix [§ 30]) 26.19, 20 ûx īn kwaā'nīya qantc hau ītsēm they two did not know where he was 22.9, 10

ēn hanı tcītc ītsēm to you nothing will happen 66.5

(2) When the transitive suffixes, other than -ts, are added to it, its significance is clearly verbal.

yî'kwanı xtcītc nītsîtsī'wat I wonder what I shall do with it 86.8 yî'kwanı xtcītc xwîn e<sup>s</sup>ītsîtsā'mî I wonder what we two shall do with you, how we two shall keep you 24.3, 4

xtcī'tcū e<sup>c</sup>ītsîtōu'wat tī'ye wîx·ī'lîs how did you get that your food? 64.17, 18

 $\bar{\imath}n~kwee'n\bar{\imath}y\bar{\epsilon}m~xtc\bar{\imath}tc~\hat{\imath}l~\bar{\imath}'tset\bar{u}$  no one knew what became of them 52.1, 2

#### § 114. Verbs as Adjectives

The use of verbs as adjectives is confined to a few sporadic instances. These verbs are, as a rule, intransitive, although they occur with the transitive suffix -t. (See also § 117.)

 $lkwî'l\bar{\imath}t\ ha^u\ y\hat{\imath}xu'\overline{m}e$  she travels blazing (red-hot) ( $lkw\hat{\imath}l$ - to burn) 24.18, 19

lkwî'līt tsaxa'lîsete lau lō'qutîts by means of red-hot pebbles she boiled it 102.6

Whether the phrases  $pa\bar{a}'h\bar{\imath}t$  le  $y\hat{\imath}x\ddot{a}'wex$  the house is full,  $g\cdot\hat{\imath}mg\cdot\hat{\imath}'\bar{m}\bar{\imath}t$  it is raining, belong here, is a problem which is hard to decide, although the psychological relation between these examples and those quoted above is not inconceivable.

# § 115. Nouns as Qualifiers

Substantives are often used to qualify other nouns. In such cases the qualifying noun always precedes the qualified substantive, and both nouns retain their nominal character.

 $d\bar{\imath}'l\bar{o}_L \; \bar{a}'la$  a young boy (literally, a young male child) 60.2

hūw mîk mä ιōwa kats there lived an old woman (literally, an old female being) 100.20, 21

 $t\bar{o}'m\hat{\imath}_L$   $d\ddot{a}'m\hat{\imath}_L$   $tsx\bar{u}$  an old man lay (literally, an old male man) 50.21

tsäyä'ne tî'mîlī le'ûx hī'me their (dual) little children were boys (literally, little male children) 42.16

# § 116. Vocabulary

All Coos stems are either monosyllabic or polysyllabic (mostly bisyllabic). Monosyllabic stems consist of a vowel followed by one or two consonants, of one or two consonants followed by a vowel, or of consonants, vowel, and consonants. Some of the bisyllabic stems that are found in the language have been expanded by means of grammatical processes (see §§ 4, 84).

## Examples of monosyllabic stems:

 $ai^w$ - to kill (many) 58.8

  $a^w$ - to quit 14.4

  $\bar{e}^ik$ - to be among 46.13

 ilx- to look 14.2

  $\bar{i}^il$ - to tell 7.8

  $\bar{i}ln$ - to set up 34.23

  $ha^i$ - to gamble 38.23

  $h\bar{u}$ - to be ready 19.3

  $p\bar{a}^a$ - to fill 15.7

 sqa- to seize 10.4

 tqa- to believe 28.13

  $tsxa^u$ - to kill (one) 14.7

  $y\bar{e}q$ - to split in two 7.3

  $w\hat{i}n$ - to wade 58.2

hak- to crawl 32.10
haup- to tear off 58.14
pîn- to shake 58.24
mîl- to swim 24.27
text- to enter 22.29
tcîl- to be ashamed
k!al- to shout 24.22
wînq- to weave, to pile 18.1
mînte- to ask 62.15
tsîmx- to fasten 46.7
k·îmst- to pick 17.1
tkwīl- to follow 9.9
tqanl- to strike 28.1
tqa'l- to put a belt on 28.22

## Examples of polysyllabic stems:

e'he to be gone 38.15  $y\hat{i}'xux^u$ - to have, to carry 54.12 wu'txe to come back 28.4  $ha'k^ut$ - to leave 30.8  $s\hat{i}ts\bar{i}i$ - to go and see 9.7  $k^*\hat{i}'t\bar{o}u$ - to see 6.5 kwî'na- to look 6.4 ak'a'nak' to stick out 42.1 ītîsîl- to recognize 30.28 yîxu'me to travel 10.3 tî'k'îne to stand 62.22

With the exception of the terms of relationship, the nouns indicating parts of the body, and all other words of a denominative character, the Coos stems are neutral and receive their nominal or verbal character through the suffixes.

 $st\bar{o}^uq$ - to stand 20.4  $\iota$ .' $\ddot{a}$ - to speak 9.3  $\iota$ .'ha- to put on 28.22  $l\bar{o}'wak^u$  lightning 18.5 stōwa'qwîs wall 90.18 L'ē'yîs language 14.5 L'ahā'was clothes 110.3 lō'kwît it lightens 18.8

In a few instances nouns have been formed by reduplication or duplication of a neutral stem.

tqair- to put around 28.22 tcōu- to jump txat- to chop wood 26.16  $p\bar{u}x^u$ - to spout  $l\bar{e}^ip$ - to paint x- $\hat{i}n$ - to be on top  $y\hat{i}m$ - to twinkle

qa'tqair belt 28. 22
tcō'xtcōx rabbit 60. 23
xa'rxat ax
pūu'xpūxu a spout 30. 25
li'plîp paint
x'i'nx'în saddle
yî'myîm eyelash

#### § 117. Structure of Sentences

The structure of the Coos sentence is very simple, owing chiefly to the fact that in the absence of incorporation, subjects, objects, and predicates are expressed by means of independent words. No strict rules can be laid down for the consecutive order in which the different parts of a sentence occur. It may, however, be said in a most general way, that all adverbial ideas precede the verb, and that the subject of the sentence tends to appear at the very end, especially in subordinate clauses. The object may either precede the verb or follow it.

kwîle' lē'te tsxū läl tō'mîl in the sweat-house was resting that old man 28.11, 12

yîxä'wexetc la le hūw'mîs into the house went the woman

lex tsnna'hetc L'äts le mā'qaL with the thunder-language spoke the crow

xwändj û lņ'nas he tsä'yuxu lā'nîk: this is the name (of) the small river 46.10, 11

sqats le  $h\bar{u}^wm\hat{s}$  lex  $sw\bar{a}l$  seized the woman the grizzly bear 102.21, 22

 $m\ddot{a}$   $xw\hat{i}n$   $wutxa\bar{i}'yat$  a person we two brought home 128.8, 9  $n\bar{t}\bar{o}'h\hat{i}ts$  l E  $d\bar{i}'l\bar{o}t$  I hit the young man

Nominal attribute complements precede the noun. When following the noun, they assume a predicative function.

 $ts\ddot{a}'yux^u\, t\bar{a}'n\hat{\imath}k\cdot a$  small river  $t\bar{a}'n\hat{\imath}k\cdot ts\ddot{a}'yux^u$  the river is small  $he'\overline{m}\hat{\imath}s\,y\hat{\imath}x\ddot{a}'wex$  the big house  $y\hat{\imath}x\ddot{a}'wex\,he'\overline{m}\hat{\imath}s$  the house is big  $x\ddot{a}'n\hat{\imath}s\,m\ddot{a}\,a$  sick person  $m\ddot{a}\,x\ddot{a}'n\hat{\imath}s$  the person is sick

No formal distinction is made between coordinate and subordinate clauses, nor is the succession of the parts of speech changed in different types of sentences. Subordinate clauses may precede the principal clauses whenever the occasion requires it. Subordinate clauses are distinguished by means of conjunctions that are placed at the beginning.

 $k\cdot\hat{\imath}' L\bar{\imath}^u ts$  le  $g E' m\ddot{a}$  lex  $d\bar{\imath}' l\bar{\imath} l$   $\hat{\imath}$   $la^u$   $h\hat{\imath}' n\bar{\imath}$   $he' \bar{l}aq$  the young man found the kamass when he arrived there

*î*  $la^u tsx\bar{u} le h\bar{u}^{u'}m\hat{i}s k'\hat{i}l\bar{o}'w\hat{i}t le y\bar{u}'m\bar{i}$  as the woman lay (there) she saw the stars

## § 118. Idiomatic Expressions

An exhaustive discussion of the Coos idiomatic expressions is limited a priori by the scope of the present work. Consequently only the most salient features of this phase of the language will be pointed out in this chapter.

Perhaps the most striking examples of idiomatic phraseology are found in the manner of expressing verbal concepts, like it grows, it fills up, it runs, etc. These ideas are expressed in Coos by means of a phrase which consists of the verbal stem to go or to run and of the abstract derivative of the particular verbal concept preceded by the sign of possession  $\hat{u}$  (see § 97).

 $h\ddot{a}'w\bar{\imath}$  he grew up 64.12

 $x \cdot \hat{\imath}' lw \hat{\imath}s$  deep

paa- to fill

 $x \cdot \hat{n}$ - to run

 $m\hat{i}\bar{l}$ - to swim

ham *L*- to float

tree grew up (literally, goes its growth [of] the spruce-tree) 20.16

la û hawwe le'ûx hä'wîs L!tā their (dual) ready land began to grow (literally, goes its growth [of] their [dual] ready land) S.10, 11

asî'ı la û x'îluwī'ye lex ya'bas the maggots went halfway deep (literally, halfway went its depth [of] the maggots) 40.12

 $la~\hat{u}~paa'wes~le~x\bar{a}^ap$  the water is filling up (literally, goes its full [mark of] the water) 44.17

nle' hī la û x·na'at with it he ran (literally, with it went his swiftness) 42.8

la û x na'at he cx iml the bear
ran (literally, went his quickness [of] the bear)

djī û mû'le [it] swam [towards her] (literally, came its swimming [motion of]) 86.3

la û hamlalā'was läl tsä'yûxu l!tā that small piece of land kept floating (literally, went its [conception of] floating [of] that small place) 46.10 Another idiomatic expression worth while mentioning is the manner in which our terms there is, they are, are expressed. The Coos subject of such a sentence is either the noun  $q\bar{a}'y\hat{i}s$  world or  $m\tilde{e}n$  people, which are invariably preceded by the sign of possession (see § 97).

tc!l- to be dry

tc! $l\bar{\imath}$  û  $q\bar{a}'y\hat{\imath}s$  there was low tide (literally, dry its [condition of the] world) 18.6

 $n\hat{\imath}'k\hat{\imath}n$  wood, tree 26.25

in te!le'xEm û qā'yîs there is no low tide (literally, not dry its [condition of the] world) 15.8

wa'waz spider

k·/ünî'k·în û qā'yîs there were no trees (literally, without trees its [appearance of the] world) 8.7,8

 $t\bar{o}$  that thing 32.10

nwa'wala û qā'yîs there was a spider (literally, with spider its [condition of the] world) 30.3

kwee'tî many live

nlō'we û qō'yîs there was such a thing (literally, with that thing [was as] its [asset the] world) 32.9

k!al- to shout

qaicî'nîs kwee'tî û mēn they were living in a small place (literally, a small place [had as] their living [place the] people) 50.7

tî'k:îne many stand

qak·elenī'we û mēn they began to shout (literally, began their shouting [act, of the] people) 24.22

teī tî'k'îne û mēn they were standing there (literally, there [the] standing [place was of] people) 74.28

To the same group of idiomatic expressions belong phrases like I (THOU, HE . . .) AM GETTING HUNGRY, I (THOU, HE . . .) AM GETTING HEAVY, etc. The verb of such phrases in Coos is always the stem la to go, which is preceded by the attributive complement amplified by means of the modal suffix -tc (see § 36). Consequently such a phrase, literally translated, means into a state of . . . I (THOU, HE . . .) go.

lqa- to be hungrypr/- to be heavy§ 118

lqate nla I am getting hungry pr./īte la he is getting heavy

A very peculiar expression, though by no means confined to Coos alone, is the manner of forming sentences that have dual subjects. Such sentences present two possibilities. Either both subjects are actually expressed, or only one is indicated while the other is understood.

1. In sentences where one subject is understood, duality of subject is indicated in Coos by using the verb in its dual form, followed immediately by the (expressed) subject.

yîxä'wexetc ûx wu'txe hät tō'mîz into the house they two returned (the whale and) that old man 30.15, 16

 $ts\bar{o}$   $\bar{a}'yu$   $tc\bar{\imath}$   $\hat{u}x$  ta, te  $\bar{u}m\bar{a}'cate$  now, surely, there they two went (he and) the grandmother 66.19

yî'xen qalîmī'ye tsî l'nta ûx la lä huwmîs one morning just hunting they two went (he and) his wife 110.26

ā'yu tcī ûx la le tek îtsî'nätc surely, there they two went (she and) the granddaughter 80.15, 16

2. If both subjects are expressed, it will be found that, in addition to the dual form of the verb, the dual pronoun is placed before either one or both subjects.

hî'nī hanı ûx tîla'qai le ū'māc ûx pkāk there shall they two live (namely) the grandmother (and the) grandfather 68.28

wändj La ûx kwee'nīyēm te ûx tsņ'na ûx mā'qaL thus only they two are known, that Thunder (and) Crow 19.10, 11

In a few instances a similar treatment has been found in sentences with plural subjects.

yîxä'wexetc ît ta le dä'mît into the house they went (the two women and) the man 128.7

tsî îl huwe'ītsēm le huw'mîs just they got ready (he and) the (two) women 130.17, 18

The last idiomatic formation worth mentioning here is the manner of expressing comparison of adjectives in accordance with the three degrees,—the positive, the comparative, and the superlative.

A comparative statement in the positive degree is expressed by means of a whole sentence in which the adjective is treated as a noun appearing with the nominal suffixes -es, -tes (see § 57), or  $-\bar{\imath}ye$ ,  $-\bar{\imath}ye$  (see p. 376), and is placed between the subject and object with which it is compared. The sentence is invariably introduced by means of the conjunction  $\hbar \hat{\imath}s$  also (see § 110); and its comparative character is

that ocean

further indicated by the use of the modal adverb ta,  $ta^u$ , so, such (see § 106), which immediately follows the subject of the sentence.

hîs p'ne ta phethe'tees te e<sup>e</sup>ne I am as rich as you are (literally, also I such I [have] wealth [as] this you)
hîs p'ne ta pxä'nîses le e<sup>e</sup>ne I am as sick as you are
hîs p'ne ta<sup>u</sup> ple' yītes te e<sup>e</sup>ne I am as good as you are
hîs p'ne ta<sup>u</sup> phe'mîstes le e<sup>e</sup>ne I am as tall as you are
hîs xä ta xwä'wīye le e<sup>e</sup>ne he is as light as you are
hîs xä ta<sup>u</sup> päl.'ä'ye le e<sup>e</sup>ne he is as heavy as you are
hîs te lā'nîk ta<sup>u</sup> x·îluwī'ye te baltī'mîs that river is as deep as

In many instances the abstract noun expressing the adjective concept is repeated after the object, in which case the object (and also the subject) assumes the function of a possessive pronoun (for pronominal subjects and objects) or of a genitive case (for nominal objects and subjects).

hîs n'ne ta nqaine' es lī'ye qaine' es I am as cold as you are (literally, also [of] me such [is] my cold [condition as is] your cold [condition])

hîs e<sup>e</sup>ne ta ye<sup>e</sup>ne<sup>u'</sup> q!āna'tes te heņ'ne<sup>u</sup> q!āna'tes you are as young as I am (literally, also [of] thee such [is] thy youth [as is] that my youth)

The comparative degree is expressed by means of a sentence in which the adjective is used in its simple form, while the object is indicated by the use of the instrumental suffix -*Etc* (see § 70). There is a marked tendency to place the object at the beginning of the sentence.

ye<sup>e</sup>ne'îtc nle'yī I am better than you are (literally [as compared], with you I [am] good)

hexä'îtc nle'yī I am better than he is nne'îtc e<sup>e</sup>qal you are taller than I am xä nne'îtc tsä'yux<sup>u</sup> he is smaller than I am xwîn tî'mîtī y<sup>e</sup>ne'îtc we two are stronger than you are

The superlative degree may be expressed in two ways. Either the numeral particle  $g\bar{o}^u s$  all (see § 109), amplified by means of the adverbial suffix -*etc* (see § 70), is placed before the simple form of the adjective; or else the nominalized adverb  $\bar{\imath}la'hatcem$  (see §§ 58, 104) is used for that purpose.

 $xg\bar{o}^{u'}s\hat{\imath}tc\ nl_{E'}\gamma\bar{\imath}$  I am the best of all (for the use of the prefix x-see § 24)

teņ tē ka'pō xgōu'sîtc pl!îs this here is my heaviest coat xä īla'hatcem he'mîs hethe'te he is the biggest chief xä īla'hatcem tō'mîl mä l!ta'yasītc he is the oldest man in the village

#### TEXTS

#### ORIGIN OF DEATH

he. <sup>7</sup> Ī'k · ī <sup>6</sup> tsävä'ne <sup>8</sup> le'ûx <sup>9</sup> tî'mîlī <sup>10</sup> hī'me. <sup>11</sup> Yî'xen 12 qalîmī'ye 13 their (dual) male beings children. small morning it got wîtcwehe'xtcī 15 la 16 ā'la. tsî 14 In 17 he'nīve 18 xä'nîs 19 la 16 ā'la. sick it is his child. Not a long time sick his child.

Tsî <sup>14</sup> hats <sup>20</sup> leqa<sup>u</sup>'we <sup>21</sup> la <sup>16</sup> ā'la. Xānanā' ya <sup>22</sup> la <sup>16</sup> ā'la, î <sup>23</sup> la <sup>u 3</sup> Merely just died his child. Sorry (it) makes his child, when that him (feel)

1 Personal pronoun 3d person dual (§ 18).

<sup>2</sup> sla- cousin; -atc suffix of relationship (§ 65); - $in\bar{i}$  distributive (§§ 72, 11, 7).

<sup>3</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 100).

Personal pronoun 3d person plural (§ 18).

<sup>6</sup> Plural stem (§ 51).

<sup>6</sup> Numeral particle (§ 109).

7 n- WITH (§ 21); hūumäke WIVES (§ 78); -e auxiliary (§§ 44, 10, 7).

8 Plural formation (§ 78).

9 Possessive pronoun 3d person dual (§ 98).

10 Plural formation (§§ 78, 115).

II Plural formation (§ 78).

12 yîxēi ONE (§ 101); -en multiplicative (§ 75).

13 qalim- MORNING; -iye transitional (§ 35).

14 Restrictive particle (§ 94).

15 wîtcwahaztc- sick; -î neutral intransitive suffix (§§ 31, 7)

16 Possessive pronoun 3d person singular (§§ 98, 7).

17 Particle of negation (§ 112).

18 henī- A WHILE; -īye transitional (§§ 35, 9).

19 xän- sick; -is nominal (§ 56).

20 Conjunction (§ 110).

21 Singular stem (§ 51).

22 xän-sick; -anāya direct and indirect object pronoun (§§ 50, 7).

<sup>28</sup> Conjunction when, as, since, while (§ 110).

24 Conjunction (§ 110).

<sup>25</sup> eqe DEAD; -anāya direct and indirect object pronoun (§§ 50, 7).

28 helmī to-morrow; -is ordinal (§§ 74, 10).

27 Lou- TO EAT; -am (§ 55).

28 maha- to watch; -ēiwat frequentative (§ 33).

- 29 Definite article (§ 17).
- 30 he'cLiL FOUR; -entcis ordinal multiplicative (§ 76).

31 la- To Go; -t transitive (§ 26); -āya non-active object pronoun (§ 47).

- <sup>32</sup> Personal pronoun 2d person singular (§ 18). <sup>33</sup> tcine- TO THINK, -enī verbal (§ § 45, 10).
- 34 Interjection (§ 111).
- 35 Vocative (§ 65).
- 36 x- modal (§ 24); tcītc particle (§ 112); -ū interrogative (§ 73).

ye<sup>\$ 37</sup> îluwe'\*tcîs, <sup>38</sup> kat'E'mîsen <sup>39</sup> qalîmī'ye <sup>13</sup> ūL <sup>40</sup> wu'txe ten <sup>41</sup> ā'la." morning it gets should return that my child." five times heart, hel 44 sla. 35 Hats 20 e 532 q!a'mtsam! 45 Wändj 42 L!ä'xEm.43 "Īn 17 talking " Not surely cousin. Just thon Thus condition. ye<sup>ε 37</sup> îluwe'xtcîs."38 Wändj42 īilt.47 Lau 3 hanL46 nî'wets That happy will (be) thy heart." Thus (he) told one it to him. qats 48 ī'nīve 49 tcītc42 îluwe'xtcîs.38 wändj42  $Ts\bar{o}^{24}$ î 50 Hats 20 thus manner his Just Now ŝtill no more heart. "E<sup>ε 32</sup> Lalahā'mî<sup>51</sup> hanLel."52  $\bar{\mathrm{A}}' \mathrm{yu}^{53}$ īn 17 tcîne'henī.33 Vũ 54 "Thee get even with, thinking (he) is. shall surely." Surely not very 1—thee la 16 he'nīye 18  $\bar{a}'vu^{53}$ wîtcwehe'xtcī 15 ā'la. Īn 17 he'nīve <sup>18</sup> long time surely sick it is his child. Not long time Hēikwaīnta56 xä'nîs.19 Māndi 55 legau'wE.21 û 50 îluwe'xtcîs,38 (it) died. Very bad Already his heart ĵ 23 Ā'vu 53 cîlī've 57 la 16 ā'la. lau,3 legau'we 21 IE 29 wändj42 died when that his child. Surely indeed he thus it was one dōwā'ya 58 wants it  $Ts\bar{o}^{24}$ Llä'xEm,43 î 23 lau 3 wu'txela 16 ā'la. ã'vu 53 that (to) return his child. Now surely talking when condition one tcī59 "Tā'ī34 Ā'vu 53 cũL 60 Eît 62 sla! 35 wutxa'xa 61 ła. (to) return singly "Halloo, about to there (he) cousin! Surely ought went. hanL46 te'îs 63 te'îs 63 ā'la. Kat'E'mîsen 39 qalîmī'ye 13 ûx1 wu'txe this our child. Five times morning it gets thev return shall these our (dual) (dual) two "Īn17 hel 44 sla! 35 ā'la." Wändj42 71]t. 47 Hats 20 hanL46 children." (he) told "Not surely cousin! Just it to him. ye<sup>ε 37</sup> îluwe'xtcîs." 38  $e^{\varepsilon 32}$  q!a'mtsam! 45 Laus nî'wets hanı.46 Wändj42 heart." Thus thou eat! That happy will (be) thy one "Qaiku 64 wutxa'xa 61 Eît 62 te'îs 63 ûx1 hīi'me.11 ta 65 L!ä'xEm.43 talking "(I) thought they return singly about to these our children, and condition.

<sup>37</sup> Possessive pronoun 2d person singular (§ 98).

<sup>28</sup> iluwertc- HEART (?); -is nominal (§ 56).

<sup>39</sup> kat E'mis Four; -en multiplicative (§ 75).

<sup>40</sup> Syntactic particle denoting the optative (§ 91).

<sup>41</sup> Possessive pronoun 1st person singular (§ 98).

<sup>42</sup> Modal adverb (§ 106).

<sup>43</sup>L!ä- TO SPEAK; -xEm generic (§ 30).

<sup>44</sup> Syntactic particle denoting degree of certainty (§§ 88, 7).

<sup>45</sup> q/m- TO EAT; -ts transitive (§ 26); -am (§§ 55, 11).

<sup>46</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 87).

<sup>47</sup> Til- TO TELL TO; -t transitive (§ 26).

<sup>48</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 89).

<sup>49</sup> în NOT; -īye transitional (§ 35).

<sup>50</sup> Sign of possession (§ 97).

<sup>51</sup> Lata- TO GET EVEN WITH; -āmi transitive subject and object pronoun I—THEE (§§ 46, 10).

<sup>52</sup> hanl shall; il surely (§§ 87, 88, 7).

<sup>63</sup> Modal adverb (§ 106).

<sup>54</sup> Modal adverb (§ 106).

<sup>55</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 105).

<sup>56</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 93); ta so [literally, VERILY, NOT SO] (§ 106).

<sup>57</sup> cit syntactic particle (§ 90); -iye transitional (§ 35).

 $<sup>^{68}</sup>$   $d\bar{o}w$ - to Wish, to Desire;  $-\hat{a}ya$  non-active object pronoun (§ 47).

<sup>69</sup> Local adverb (§ 104).

<sup>60</sup> Syntactic particle (§§ 90, 91).

<sup>61</sup> Reduplicated stem wutte TO COME BACK (§ 83).

<sup>62</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 87).

<sup>63</sup> Possessive pronoun inclusive, dual (§ 98).

<sup>64</sup> Syntactic particle denoting degree of knowledge (§ 88).

<sup>65</sup> Conjunction (§ 110).

Now

 $\begin{array}{cccc} d\bar{o}w\bar{a}'ya^{58} & xw\ddot{a}ndj.^{67} & H\bar{e}^{i\,68} & hanL^{46} & y\hat{i}qa^{69} & \bar{l}n^{17} \\ didst want & thus. & (Emphatic) & shall & still & not \end{array}$ gats 48 lau 3 ēn 66 (Emphatic) shall that thou however not thing wûtxa'xa 61 Eît 62 yanLawe 70 mä lEqa"wE, 21 nāyîm 71 en 66 dowa'ya 58 return singly going to whenever beings die, because thou not didst want Wändi 42 Right when indeed Thus to, thou-me.' tcîne'henī.33 lElau 3 wändj 42 īilt.47 Kat'E'mîsen 39  $M\bar{a}^{75}$  xnōwe  $^{72}$ (he) told it However that's thinking (he) is. (the thing) to him. qalîmī'ye¹³ ūL⁴⁰ wutxa'xa⁶¹ Eît,⁶² yūL७⁶ xwandj⁶⊓ L!ats.⊓ Le'yī ūL,⁴⁰ thus speak. should return singly morning it going Good would should gets yūl. 76 kat E'mîsen 39 qalîmī'ye 13 wutxa'xa 61 Eît 62 hE 29 mä leqau'we. 21 if five times morning it return singly inten-the people die. inten- the people (who) should gets tion  $Ts\bar{o}^{24}$ yîqai'nī<sup>78</sup> hela'qaxem.<sup>79</sup> Wändj<sup>42</sup> Thus hätct!enī'yeqem.80 it got (the story). the story is being told.

#### [Translation]

Once upon a time there were two cousins. They lived together. They were both married, and each had a little boy. One morning one of the boys became sick. He was not sick long before he died. The father felt sorry when the child died. Then they buried it.

The next day he (the father of the dead boy) could not eat. He was merely looking at the dead child. On the fourth day he went to his cousin. "Halloo, cousin! What do you think? Should my child return after five days?"-"Oh, no, cousin!" answered the other one. "You simply eat, and you will feel happy." He did not know what to answer. He was merely thinking to himself, "I will certainly get even with you."

After a short time the other man's child became sick. It was not ill very long before it died. The father was very much grieved when his child died. He therefore went to his neighbor and said to him, "Halloo, cousin! I think our two children ought to return. They ought to come back after five days." But the other man answered,

<sup>66</sup> ε THOU (§ 18); in NOT (§ 112, 9).

<sup>67</sup> x- modal (§ 24); wändj THUS (§ 106).

<sup>68</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 93).

<sup>69</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 89).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> yanl if (future)(§ 91); he customarily (§ 87).

n Syntactic particle (§ 89).

<sup>72</sup> x- modal (§ 24); nowe ALL RIGHT.

<sup>73</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 100).

<sup>74</sup> vil- TO TELL, -t transitive (§ 26); -ais transitive subject and object pronoun (§ 46).

<sup>75</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 89).

<sup>76</sup> Particle denoting the optative (§ 91).

<sup>77</sup> L/ä- TO SPEAK; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>78</sup> Adverb (§ 104).

<sup>79</sup> helaq to Arrive; -xem generic (§§ 30 4 11).

<sup>80</sup> hätcît! story; -enī verbal (§§ 45, 11); -īyeqEm passive (§ 40).

"Oh, no, cousin! You just eat and you will feel happy again. I had intended that our dead children should come back, but you did not wish it that way. And now, whenever people die, they will not come back, because you objected to it. You were right when you spoke against it."

He was justified in thus addressing him. People would have come back after five days if he had originally consented to it. It would have been good if the dead people could come back. Here the story ends. In this manner people relate this story.

#### THE THEFT OF FIRE AND WATER

```
tE2
   {
m Nm\ddot{a}'hear{n}et^1}
                                    L!tā.
                                                G\bar{o}^{u}s^{3}
                                                              tcītc 4
                                                                            łî′mx·nēi 5
                                                                                                 mä.
    With people it
                           that
                                    land.
                                                   AII
                                                               kinds
                                                                            mixed up (they
                                                                                                 (the)
   (mutually) was
                          there
                                                                           were) mutually
                                                                                               beings.
                             Îł6
                                                            78
                                                                    dīił9
       k'!ätc!wäł.7
                                       k·!äxāap.7
                                                                               îł <sup>6</sup>
                                                                                     Lōwēi'wat10
                            They
                                       without water.
                                                          When something they
They
         without fire.
                                                                                      eat frequently
Eît.11
          lau 2
                              L!pēqaqa'ēiwat.12
                                                             Xle'îtc 13
                      11 B
                                                                              t'a'lats.14
                                                                                               Lauz
intend,
           that
                      they
                               in the arm-pits to be, cause it frequently.
                                                            With it with
                                                                            (they) dance.
                                                                                                Those
           thing
temä'le 15
                         la<sup>u 2</sup>
                                   tc!îcîla'ē'wat 16
                                                          he.11
                                                                        Tsō8
                                                                                          pî'ctcī.17
                mä
                                                                                  he<sup>11</sup>
               people
                          that
                                   cause it to be under-
                                                          custom-
                                                                        Now
                                                                                 custom-
                                                                                             warm it
                         thing
                                    neath, frequently
                                                           arily.
                                                                                  arily
tsō8
        he 11
                 lau2
                         q!mîts.18
                                                        qa'lyeq L!'le,
                                                                                         he 11

m Yuwe^{19}
                                                                               la<sup>u 2</sup>
                                                                                                 îł6
                                            Whenever
       custom-
                 that
                           (they) eat.
                                                          salmon
                                                                     comes
                                                                              they are
                                                                                        usually they
         arily
                 thing
                                                                      out,
                                                                             the ones
LtL!ēi'yat.20
                                       yuxtîk·21
                                                                                               Lauz
                     Wändj<sup>21</sup>
                                                          he'îl 22
                                                                        Lōwā'was.23
 scoop it out.
                      That way
                                           barely
                                                           their
                                                                              food.
                                                                                                That
                                                                                               thing
                                                                      ūL,27
skweyenī'yeqem 24 le 25
                                                   "Xtcī'tcū26
                                                                              yūL27
                                                                                        lauz
                                                                                                lîn 28
                                      te!wäł.
                                                                      would
    it is talked about
                                                        "How
                                                                                 if
                                                                                         that
                                        fire.
                                                                      it be,
                                                                               should
                                                                                        thing
```

<sup>1</sup>n- adverbial (§ 21);  $m\ddot{a}$  PEOPLE; -e auxiliary (§§ 44,10); -n distributive (§§ 37,25); -t transitive (§§ 26,4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 100).

Numeral particle (§ 109).

<sup>4</sup> Particle (§ 112).

blimx - TO MIX; -nēi distributive (§ 37).

<sup>6</sup> Personal pronoun 3d person plural (§ 18)

<sup>7</sup>k./ä- privative (§ 20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Conjunction (§ 110).

Pronominal particle (§ 108).

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>L\bar{o}u$ - TO EAT;  $-\bar{e}iwat$  frequentative (§§ 33,8).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Syntactic particle (§ 87).

<sup>12</sup>L/pēq- TO BE IN ARM-PITS; -aēiwat frequentative causative (§ 34); see also reduplication (§ 83).

<sup>13</sup>x-instrumental (§ 24); le article (§ 17); -etc instrumental (§ 70).

<sup>14</sup> t'al- TO DANCE; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>15</sup> Plural formation (§ 78).

<sup>16</sup>tc/îcîl MAT; -aēiwat frequentative causative (§ 34).

<sup>17</sup> pîctc- to be warm; -ī neutral intransitive (§ 31).

<sup>18</sup> q!m- TO EAT; -ts transitive (§ 26).

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>y\bar{u}$  VERY (§ 106); he CUSTOMARILY (§ 87); see also § 9.

<sup>20</sup>LtL!- TO SCOOP OUT; -iyat causative (§§ 27, 2).

<sup>21</sup> Modal adverb (§ 106).

<sup>22</sup> Possessive pronoun 3d person plural (§ 98).

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>L\ddot{o}u$ - To EAT;  $-\ddot{a}was$  verbal abstract (§§ 59, 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> skw- to talk about; -enī verbal (§ 45); - $\bar{\imath}$  yeqem passive (§§ 40,9).

<sup>25</sup> Article (§ 17)

<sup>26</sup>x-modal (§ 24); tcītc MANNER (§ 112); -ū interrogative (§ 73).

<sup>27</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 91).

<sup>23</sup> Personal pronoun 1st person plural (§ 18).

łatā'va?"29-"Tcī30  $Ts\bar{o}^8$ ā'yu21 tcī30 î}6 hanL 31  $h^{28}$ ła." ła. "There go." go to it?"shall Now there they went. we surely îł6  $\bar{A}'yu^{21}$ Ā'yu 21 he'laq. tcī30 tE2 tc!wäł, tc!îla'at32 î8 **î**}6 Surely there they arrived. Surely to burn it is that fire, when they caused there

xāap. te'xtîts.33 Hats8 yîqax 34 k'îlō'wît35 IE 25 Lōwa'kats 36 entered. Just right away (he) saw it water. the tcī30 Xta'nuxwītc 38 LE 25 ha'lgait.37 "Tā'ī39 mä Lōwa'kats.36 "Halloo, the person (he) came to. Sideways there (he) was sitting. hanL."31 Ts 40 alî'canī41 Hats<sup>8</sup> kwa 42 ĩn 4 sla! k!ayaha'ēiwat.43 shall." cousin! We play Just as if not (he) hears it. two

Xpekwî'lteume<sup>44</sup> Lōwa'kats. 36 Tsō 8 he'nīye, 45 tsō 8 î'lxats. 46 Now (after) a while now (he) ooked at him.

"Qanîya'ta47 e<sup>ε 48</sup> hen'ne<sup>u 49</sup> sla hîtc 50 cante?" 51 Wändj<sup>21</sup> L!äts.52 (?) " thou my cousin (surprise) Stranger Thus (he) spoke. L. " 54 "Mā 34 cku 42 e 6 48 hen 49 "E<sup>\$48</sup> legauwîya'tanī<sup>53</sup> nīla'hatcem 55 must." it must thou my story tell "But at priority be

asō'59 "Tā'ī39 Tsō8 te'xtîts.33 sla! Ānta<sup>39</sup> tē<sup>12</sup> nī'k!wa<sup>31</sup> ye<sup>e</sup>ne<sup>u 56</sup> Now again (he) entered. "Halloo, cousin!" this Look used (to be) thv here

pīił. TE2 ye<sup>€</sup>ne<sup>u 56</sup> pī<sup>i</sup>ł lau2 L!a'nex.60 Indian Indian That thy that (is) new. cradle. there cradle one tē12 qa'lēx 61; ta8 nī'k!wa31 ve<sup>€</sup>ne<sup>u 56</sup> tē 12 nau'hîn. ta8 nī'k!wa31 (is) old; and this used (to be) thy shinny-club, and this used (to be) here here

<sup>29</sup> la- to Go; -t transitive (§ 26); -āya non-active object pronoun (§ 47).

<sup>80</sup> Local adverb (§ 104).

<sup>\$1</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 87).

<sup>82</sup> tc/il- TO BURN; -eet causative passive (§§ 41,7).

<sup>33</sup> text- TO ENTER; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>34</sup>Syntactic particle (§ 89).

<sup>35</sup>k-îlōu- to see; -t transitive (§§ 26, 8).

<sup>26</sup>Lõuku- TO SIT; -ts transitive (§§ 26,11).

<sup>37</sup> hclq- to Arrive; -t transitive (§§ 26,7,11).

<sup>88</sup> x- modal (§ 24); tanuxu- SIDE; -ītc modal (§§ 67,8).

<sup>39</sup> Interjection (§ 111).

<sup>40</sup> Personal pronoun inclusive, dual (§ 18).

<sup>41</sup> alec Toy; -enī verbal (§§ 45,7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>Syntactic particle (§ 88).

<sup>43</sup>k!ayaha- TO HEAR; -ēiwat frequentative (§ 33).

<sup>44</sup>x- locative (§ 22); pEkwil- opposite; -tc adverbial (§§ 25, 104); -ume nominalizing (§ 64).

<sup>45</sup>henī- A WHILE; -īye transitional (§§ 35,9).

<sup>46</sup> îlx- TO LOOK; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>47</sup> qanîya'ta belonging to a different tribe, a stranger.

<sup>48</sup> Personal pronoun 2d person singular (§ 18).

<sup>49</sup> Possessive pronoun 1st person singular (§ 98).

<sup>50</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 90).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>Can not be analyzed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>L!ά- το speak; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>53</sup> lequuwîyatas story (compare lequuwe to DIE); ·enī verbal (§§ 45,7).

<sup>54</sup>Syntactic particle (§ 92).

 $<sup>^{55}</sup>n$ - adverbial (§ 21);  $\bar{\imath}la$  BEFORE (§ 104); -tc adverbial (§§ 25, 103, 10, 7); -Em adverbial abstract (§ 58).

<sup>56</sup> Possessive pronoun 2d person singular (§§ 18,98).

 $<sup>^{57}</sup>hau$ - TO GROW; -c (§ 80); see also §§ 8, 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> qanō- OUTSIDE; -tc adverbial (§§ 25, 104); -a directive (§ 55).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup>Temporal adverb (§ 105).

 $<sup>^{60}</sup>L/an$ - NEW;  $-\bar{e}x$  adjectival (§ 66).

<sup>61</sup> qal- OLD (compare qalu WINTER); -ēx adjectival (§ 66).

```
tē12
hen'neu49 nau'hîn,
                               ta8
                                              nī'k!wa³¹
                                                               yeeneuse kwä'sîs,62
                                                                                                     tē12
                                                                                              ta8
              shinny-club,
                                      this
                                              used (to be)
     mv
                              and
                                                                  thv
                                                                                 ball.
                                                                                              and
                                                                                                     this
                                                                                                      here
nī'k!wa³¹ heņ'neu⁴9 kwä'sîs.62
                                                               veeneuse kwä'sîs.62
                                               L!a'nēx 60
                                                                                              Qa'lēx 61
used (to be)
                    ́шу
                                  ball.
                                                  New (is)
                                                                    thy
                                                                                   ball.
                                                                                                Old (is)
tē<sup>12</sup> heņ'ne<sup>u49</sup> kwä'sîs. 62
                                                                yū<sup>21</sup> Īn<sup>4</sup>
                                                                                           \mathbf{L}^{54}
                                                                                                   sla."
                                          Kwa<sup>42</sup> kwe<sup>42</sup>
                                                                                ā'vu 21
this
            mv
                           ball.
                                            Asif
                                                      perhaps
                                                                         not
                                                                                           must cousin."
                                                                 very
                                                                                 surely
here
                                                                                           (be)
Tc\bar{1}^{30}
         hîtōutsa'texa.63
                                    Ā'yu 21
                                                  k'îlō'wît.35
                                                                      "Ā'vu<sup>21</sup>
                                                                                      cîlī've 64
                                                                                                     sla.
There (he) put them down for
                                     Surely
                                                   (he) saw it.
                                                                        "Surely
                                                                                         indeed
                                                                                                    cousin
                   him.
                                                                                          it is
                                        {
m \widehat{I}s}^{\,40}
\mathrm{Tc}\bar{\imath}^{\,30}
         îl 65
                   e<sup>€ 48</sup> Lōuku.
                                               alî'canī 41 hant."31
                                                                                 Tsō8 a'vu 21 ûx 66
                                                                shall."
There
         surely thou sit down.
                                        We
                                                                                  Now
                                                   play
                                                                                                     they
                                                                                          surely
                                        two
                                                                                                     two
haītî'tEmeu.67
                         "Yî'kwanL 68
                                                                                      Llaqa'ē'wat,71
                                                   dīilteE'tc 69
                                                                         ten 70
                                                                                       point my finger at him frequently,
                      "Perhaps shall
gamble together.
                                                     something
                                                                         that I
                                                        with
                                 le 25 na'mExqa?"
                                                                                           "Yî'kūL 74
       lau 2
                  L!'tEta 72
                                                                   Tcîne'henī.73
                                                                                            "Perhaps
        that
                puts (his) hands the
                                                                   (He) is thinking.
when
                                              players?
               behind (his) back
        one
                                                                                             would be
                    (one of)
                yūL 27
                                                                       ņ 77
xteīte 75
                             wî'vetc
                                                                                 X'L!owa'eiwat? 78
                                              nxwa'lxwal 76
                            a piece of
abalone shell
 in the
               if would
                                                    in eye
                                                                                   cause it to be inside?
 manner
                                n 77 qa'qal.
                                                    Cîn 80
Lexa'tcem 79 hanL 31
                                                             L!ōxk'înā'îs 81 hanL,31 yanL 27
                                        sleep.
                                                              support you-me
                                                                                    shall,
 Inside, the part
                     shall
                                                      You
                                                                                                  if shall.
                            Wändj 21 L!ä'xEm.82
      L!'tEta." 72
                                                               Wändj <sup>21</sup> īilt <sup>83</sup> le <sup>25</sup> ma'nat.
     put (my hands)
behind (my) back."
                                  Thus
                                                 talking,
                                                                    Thus (he) told the
                                                                                                 crowd.
                                              condition
                                                                               it to
\bar{\mathrm{A}}'yu <sup>21</sup> yîqa'x <sup>34</sup>
                          wändj.21
                                            Tsö<sup>8</sup>
                                                      ā'yu 21
                                                                     Llaqa'ē'wat, 71
                                                                                              î8
                                                                                                     lau 2
                                                                  (he) points (the) finger when at him, frequently
 Surely
                just
                            that way.
                                             Now
                                                        surely
                                                                                                    that
                                                                                                     one
                 Ā'yu<sup>21</sup> yûxwä' <sup>109</sup> mä L!ōxk'înē' wat, <sup>84</sup>
                                                                               Teī'teū 85 cE 86
                                                                                                    dīił 9
L!tE'ta.72
  puts (his)
                  Surely
                              two
                                          persons support him steadily.
                                                                                   How
                                                                                              sur-
                                                                                                    thinge
hands behind
                                                                                             prise
 (his) back.
              Xya'bas ^{88}yaptî'tsa ^{89}lä ^{90}pî'lîk îs, ^{91}lä ^{90}ye'es, lä ^{90}teūł, lä ^{90}
ītsēm:87
                 Maggots
happened.
                                 ate up
                                                his
                                                          anus.
                                                                      his
                                                                              face,
                                                                                      his
                                                                                             nose,
                                                                                                     his
  62 kwäs-?; -is nominal (§ 56).
  83 hîtōu- TO PUT DOWN; -ts transitive (§ 26); -tex direct object pronoun plural (§ 54); -a indirect object
pronoun (§ 49; see also § 7).
  64cîl syntactic particle (§ 90); -īye transitional (§ 35).
  65 Syntactic particle (§ 88).
  66 Personal pronoun 3d person dual (§ 18).
  67 hai- TO GAMBLE; -t transitive (§ 26); -t transitive (§ 26); -meu reciprocal (§ 29; see also § 4).
  68 yîku syntactic particle (§ 88); hanl shall (§§ 87, 8, 9).
  <sup>69</sup> d\bar{\imath}i something (§ 108); -tc adverbial (§ 25); -Etc instrumental (§ 70).
  70 Personal pronoun 1st person singular (§§ 18, 98).
  71 L!aqa- TO POINT AT; -ēiwat frequentative (§ 33).
  72 L!tEta TO PUT ONE'S HAND BEHIND THE BACK (during a game).
  73 tcîn- TO THINK; -enî verbal (§§ 45, 10).
  74 yîku PERHAPS (§ 88); ūL WOULD BE (§§ 91, 9).
  75 x- modal (§ 24); tcītc particle (§ 112).
  <sup>76</sup> n- adverbial (§ 21); xwalxwal EYE (§§ 83, 116).
  <sup>17</sup> Personal pronoun 1st person singular (§ 18).
  ^{78}x^{2}L/\bar{v}u- TO BE INSIDE (§ 54); -a\bar{e}iwat frequentative causative (§§ 34, 8).
  79 lexate INSIDE (§ 104); -Em adverbial abstract (§ 58).
  80 Personal pronoun 2d person plural (§ 18).
  81 Llūxk in- To STEADY, To SUPPORT; -āis transitive, subject and object pronoun THOU-ME (§ 46).
  82 L!ü- TO TALK; -xEm generic suffix (§ 30).
  83 7il- TO SAY TO; -t transitive (§ 26).
```

83 x- discriminative (§ 23); yabas MAGGOT.  $^{89}yab$ - MAGGOT; -t transitive (§ 26); -ts transitive (§§ 26, 25); -a indirect object pronoun (§ 49). 90 Possessive pronoun 3d person singular (§ 98).

91 pîlîk:- ANUS; -îs nominal (§ 56).

84 L!oxk:in- TO SUPPORT; -ēiwat frequentative (§ 33). 85 tcītc particle (§ 112); -ū interrogative (§ 73). 88 Syntactic particle denoting surprise (§ 90).

87  $\bar{i}ts$ - TO DO, TO BE (§ 113);  $-\tilde{e}m$  suffix defining the subject (§ 30).

Hîs 8 Tnłhenī'yees 92 xya'bas 88 q!mîts. 18 Lau 2 Tn 4 lau 2 kuha'nas. (in) no time maggots That not that Also ate him. ears. one thing

yî'qa 34 tcī 30 Lōwa'kats. 36 (he) sat. Xyûxwä' 93 Hats 8 î'lxats.46 mä continually Two (at) looked. Just persons

xpqai'hītc. 94 Wî'yax x'L!ōwa'ē'wat 78 from (the) back. Abalone shell (he) caused to be län 95 L!ōxk'înē'wat84 support him steadily his in inside

xwa'lxwal. ā'vu 21 surely eye. kwî'nait.96 Hats 8 Surprise Just looked at it.

 $\ddot{a}'$ mak Lōwa'kats. 26 Asî'ı. 30 la û 98 x îluwī'ye 99 lex 100 ya'bas, î 8 bones sitting. Haliway goes its growth (of) the maggots, when lā'mak' Lōwa'kats.36  $m la^{u\,2}$  xya'bas  $^{85}$  Lōwei'wat. $^{10}$  Ttse'ts  $^{101}$  yîku  $^{65}$  îl  $^{65}$  la  $^{u\,2}$  henī'yeEs  $^{92}$  that the maggots eat him continually. May be surely he for some time

hî'nī 30 Lōwa'kats. 36 Tsō 8 wändj 21 tcîne'henī. 73 "YîkwanL 68 dīltcE'tc 69 Now thus thinking. "Perhaps shall something there (he) sat. with

ten 70 L!aqa'ē'wat?" 71 point my finger at him frequently?" Just he becomes

yūL<sup>27</sup> xle'îte<sup>13</sup> n<sup>77</sup> L!aqa'ēiwat."<sup>71</sup> Wändj<sup>21</sup> tcîne'henī.<sup>73</sup> if would with it point my fingers at him frequently." Yî'qa 34 In 4 Still not

 $Y\hat{1}'qa^{34}$   $\bar{1}n^4$ Still not together. ever

ellē<sup>1</sup>/wat. <sup>106</sup> Cîn <sup>80</sup> sqats hanl <sup>91</sup> tE <sup>2</sup> tc!wäł, yanl <sup>27</sup> forget it. You grab shall that fire, if shall î'lxats.46 ('Cîin 105 k ellēi'wat.106 (he) looked "You not at it. there

xā<sup>a</sup>p cîn <sup>80</sup> x întī'ta <sup>108</sup> hant." <sup>31</sup> water you cause it to run shall."

Wändj<sup>21</sup> L!ä'xem.<sup>82</sup> Yîxē<sup>i'109</sup> mä wändj<sup>21</sup> L!ä'xem.<sup>82</sup> "Ne'xkan<sup>110</sup>
That way talking, One person that way talking, "I talking, condition. condition.

<sup>927</sup>n negation (§ 112); l abbreviated form of dīil (§ 108); henīye A WHILE; -Es noun of quality (§ 57).

<sup>93</sup> x- discriminative (§ 23);  $y\hat{u}'xw\ddot{a}$  TWO (§ 101).

 $<sup>^{94}</sup>x$ - from (§ 22); pqai back;  $-\bar{\imath}tc$  local suffix (§§ 67, 10).

<sup>95</sup>  $l\ddot{a}$  possessive pronoun 3d person singular (§ 98); n- adverbial (§ 21).

<sup>96</sup> kwîna- TO LOOK; -t transitive (§ 26).

<sup>97</sup> x·L!- TO BE INSIDE (§ 54); - $\overline{\imath}ye$  transitional (§ 35).

<sup>98</sup> Sign of possession (§ 97).

<sup>99</sup> x-îlu- DEEP; -iye nominal suffix (§§ 80,8).

<sup>100</sup> lE article (§ 17); x-discriminative (§ 23).

<sup>101</sup> See § 113.

<sup>102</sup> kwa as if (§ 88); hanl shall (§§ 87, 9).

<sup>103</sup> qayau- TO BE AFRAID; -iye transitional (§§ 35, 8)

<sup>104</sup>  $s\bar{\imath}L$ - TO JOIN;  $-n\bar{e}i$  distributive (§ 37).

<sup>105</sup> cîn personal pronoun, 2d person plural (§ 96); în NOT (see § 9).

<sup>106</sup> k·el- TO FORGET; -ēiwat frequentative (§ 33); see § 83.

<sup>107</sup> tq- TO WIN; -ts transitive (§ 26).

 $<sup>^{108}</sup>$  x·Ent- to Run;  $-\bar{\imath}yat$  causative (§ 27); -a indirect object pronoun (§§ 49, 11).

<sup>109</sup> Cardinal numeral (§ 101).

<sup>110</sup> Personal pronoun 1st person singular (§ 96).

III x. Ent- TO RUN; -Tyat eausative (§ 27).

```
Tsō8 xwändj21 Iilt.83
                                                      "Kwī'val 112 halt! 113 e e ne 114 he 11
tE2 tc!wäł."
that
        fire."
                     Now
                                         (he) told
                                                           "Now
                                                                          now
                                                                                     thou custom-
                                 thus
                                           it to
there
                                                                                              arily
                                           him.
L!tE'ta."72 Wändj21 Tilt.83 "Yî'kwanL68 dTiltcE'tc 69 tEn70 L!aga'ēiwat?"71
                                        "Perhaps shall
                                                                         this
                                                                                point the finger at him continually?"
put (thy)
hands behind
                    Thus
                           (he) told
                                                          something
                                                                        here I
                              iť to
                                                             with
                              him.
(thy) back."
                                   yū 21
                 yū 21
                                                                                            lE'vī,
                          dīił9
                                           qayawā'wal. 115
                                                                   Lo 116 L117
                                                                                   \bar{\mathrm{u}}\mathrm{L}^{27}
"Hats In4
                                    very
                                                  scaring.
                                                                    That
                                                                            neces-
                                                                                    would
                                                                                              good,
                          some-
  "Just
            not
                   very
                                                                                      be
                                                                    thing
                                                                            sarily
                          thing
                                    n 77
I
           x'ōwā'yasetc 118
                                            L!aqa'ē'wat."71
                                                                    K·!ätc!hā'vîms 119
VūL<sup>27</sup>
                                            point (my) finger at him continually."
  if
                snake with
                                                                     Without dying down
                                                                                            thatone
should
                                                                             Łōwîtī'yeqEm. 122
(He) is watching
himself.
tc!îla'at.120
                 Tsō 8 ā'yu 21 x ō wā'yasetc 118 L!a'qat. 121
                                                            (he) pointed (the) finger at him.
                                         snake with
to burn, it is
                  Now
                           surely
   caused.
X·ōwā'yas
                  hän 123
                               djî'letc
                                            xa'lEmats.124
                                                                  Hats 125
                                                                               kwa 42
                                                                                           xteite 7
                                             wraps around.
                                                                              looks like something
    Snake
                  his at
                                thighs
                                                                    Just
                          ai'wa 126
ītsēm.87
               M\bar{a}^{34}
                                         īn4
                                                 k'îlō'wît.35
                                                                    Hän 123
                                                                                   we'hel
                                                                                                lau2
                                                  (he) sees it.
                                                                     His to
                                                                                    waist
                                                                                                that
happened
               How-
                             still
                                         not
                ever
                                                                                                one
            le^{25}
                                                                                       ł'nuwît.127
                                        Hän 123
                                                              la<sup>u 2</sup>
                                                                         kwa 42
he'laq
                     x'öwä'yas.
                                                      ye'es
arrived
                         snake.
                                                      mouth that one
                                                                           asif
                                                                                        threatens
                                                                                          (to go).
                                                                   Hats8
                                                                               han 130
Ak'a'nak 128
                      he'ilta
                               hex 129
                                               x'ōwā'yas.
                                                                                             kwa 42
                                  the
                                                   snake.
                                                                     Just
                                                                                will
                                                                                             as if
   Sticks out
                   (the) tongue
                                                                     Qaix qa'yōnā'ya,131 î8
hän 123
                    lau 2
                                           le^{25}
                                                   x'ōwā'yas.
                           te'xtîts 33
           tcūł
                                                                       Afraid, (it) made him, when
his in
            nose that one
                               enter
                                                             Neqā'ya. 134
        k'îlō'wît.35
lauz
                          Sī'x 'îts 132
                                           ē'aatce. 133
                                                                                 L!xanā'vēm 135
                                                                                 Throw (indefinite)
that
          (he) saw it.
                           (He) shook it
                                           one side to.
                                                              (He) ran away
                                                                                (People shout at him)
                                                                 from it.
one
                                off
                                  le^{25}
                                                                              xhū'wîs 138
ve'es.
            \mathrm{X}ʻî^{\prime}xʻîn\mathrm{t}ar{\mathrm{u}} 136
                                           tc!wäł.
                                                         Hē<sup>i</sup>kwaīn <sup>137</sup>
                                                                                                mä
```

fire.

te!wäł.

Very

Hē<sup>i</sup>vū <sup>139</sup>

Very

DOOL

mä

person

(he is)

xtcä'yuxu 138

small

person

(is)

lau 2

the

one

x'întī'yat'''

(to) run, causes

mouth.

lau2

the

one

(It) is being taken

away quickly

the

 $1e^{25}$ 

the

<sup>112</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 105).

<sup>113</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 105).

<sup>114</sup> Personal pronoun 2d person singular (§ 96).

<sup>115</sup> qayau- to fear; -āwal nominal suffix (§ 59).

<sup>116</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 100).

<sup>117</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 92).

<sup>118</sup>x·owayas SNAKE; -Etc instrumental (§ 70).

<sup>119</sup> k:  $\ddot{a}$ - privative (§ 20); tc/ha- to extinguish;  $-\ddot{a}y \hat{i}ms$  nominal (§ 80).

<sup>120</sup> tc!îl- TO BURN; -aat passive causative (§§ 41, 7).

<sup>121</sup> L/aga- TO POINT AT WITH ONE'S FINGER; -t transitive (§ 26).

<sup>122</sup> touxt- TO WATCH; -iyeqEm passive (§§ 40, 3, 11).

<sup>123</sup> hd possessive pronoun 3d person singular (§ 98); n-adverbial (§ 21).

<sup>124</sup> xalm- TO WRAP AROUND; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>125</sup> Conjunction (§ 110).

<sup>126</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 105).

<sup>127</sup> linuwi very, modal adverb (§ 106); -t transitive (§ 26).

<sup>128</sup> ak'ank - TO STICK OUT (§ 4).

<sup>129</sup> hE article (§ 17); x-discriminative (§ 23).

<sup>130</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 87).

<sup>131</sup> qayau- TO SCARE; -anāya direct and indirect object pronoun (§§ 50, 3, 82).

<sup>132</sup> six - TO SHAKE OFF; -ts transitive (§ 26).

<sup>133</sup> Local adverb (§§ 104, 103, 55).

<sup>134</sup> nEq to RUN AWAY; -āya non-active object pronoun (§ 47).

<sup>135</sup> L'. zan- TO THROW;  $-\bar{a}ya$  (§ 47);  $-\bar{e}m$  suffix defining the subject (§§ 30, 9).

 $<sup>^{136}</sup>x$ ·Ent- to RUN;  $-\bar{u}$  present passive (§§ 38, 82).

<sup>137</sup> Syntactic particle (§ 93).

<sup>138</sup> x- discriminative (§ 23).

 $<sup>^{139}</sup>h\bar{e}$  syntactic particle (§ 93);  $y\bar{u}$  VERY, modal adverb (§ 106).

kwee'nīvēm. 165

know it (indefinite).

164 Syntactic particle (§ 94).

 $166 \ddot{a}w$ - TO FINISH, TO END; -xEm generic (§ 30).

Xpīye'etc 141 Lowa'hait 142 t!kwî'tsa 140  $1\mathrm{E}^{25}$  $he^{25}$ mēn.143 xāap. kicks it the water. In the manner of run the people. going home Nle'hī146 ha<sup>u 2</sup> lexalxā'yu<sup>145</sup> he<sup>25</sup> Kuha'nasatc 144 te!wäł. ła û 98 was put in goes his fire. With it Ear in that one the ha'kwal 148 he'mtset, 149 î8 x'na'at.147  ${
m LE}^{\,25}$ lau 2 t!kwî'tsa. 140  $x\bar{a}^ap$ when quickness (he runs). that The water as if (to) lay bare, kicked it. caused, it was, g'î'mtset 151 Māndi 150 le'tsîx'. 152 Kumene'îletc 153 hats8 L!xa'na 154 Already Just (to) rain caused right here. Brush into (he) threw it, it was lau 2  $le^{25}$ te!wäł. K!we'hetc 155 L!xant.156 Hats<sup>8</sup> L!xant.156 Willow into that threw it. Just (he) threw it, the fire. one asō' 150 māndi 150 łkwî'lītu. 157 · Tsō 8 **î**} 6 wu'txe tsî'x'tī. 158 already to blaze, it begins. Now again they returned here. nte!wä'łe.161 towe 162 Xtemī'towetc 159 ]Eî} 160 Xtemī'towetc 159 From that time on with fire are. From that time on usually g'î'mīt.163 towe 162 La 164 g'î'mīt.163 Lau2 xwändj 21 Xwändi 21 (is) thus (the manner how) That way That (it) rains. usually (it) rains. only

end, condition.
[Translation]

ä'wîxem.166

The earth was full of people. All kinds of people lived in a mixed-up fashion. They had no fire or water. Whenever they wanted to eat, they would put the food under their arms (in order to heat it). They would dance with it, or the old people would sit on it. And when the food became warm, then they would eat it. Whenever salmon came ashore, they used to scoop it out.

165 kwaan- TO KNOW; -āya non-active object pronoun (§ 47); -ēm suffix defining the subject (§§ 30, 7).

```
140 t/kw- TO KICK; -ts transitive (§ 26); -a indirect object pronoun (§ 49).
141 x- modal (§ 24); pīx - TO GO HOME; -eetc modal (§ 36; also § 3).
142 Lowahai- TO RUN; -t transitive (§ 26).
143 Plural formation (§ 78).
144 kuha'āas EAR; -etc local (§§ 68, 7).
<sup>145</sup> lax- to be inside (singular object); -\bar{a}yu past passive (§§ 39, 83, 54).
146 n- adverbial (§ 21); -le article (§ 17); -ī instrumental (§§ 80, 10).
147 See § 118.
148 Syntactic particle (§ 88).
149 hem- to Lay open; -ts transitive (§ 26); -et causative passive (§ 41).
150 Temporal adverb (§ 105).
161 g'îm- TO RAIN; -ts transitive (§ 26); -et causative passive (§ 41).
152 lE article (§ 17); tsîx HERE, local adverb (§ 104).
153 kumene'îl BRUSH; -etc local (§ 68).
154L!xan- TO THROW; -a indirect object pronoun (§ 49).
155 k!wehe- WILLOW; -etc local (§§ 68, 9).
156L/xan- TO THROW; -t transitive (§ 26).
157 lkwîl- TO BLAZE; -t transitive; -u transitional (§§ 35, 114).
158 Local adverb (§ 104).
159 x- FROM, locative (§ 22); temītowetc (see § 106).
160 lE article (§ 17); îl personal pronoun 3d person plural (§ 96).
161 n- WITH, instrumental (§ 21); tc/wäł FIRE; -e auxiliary (§ 44).
162 See § 87.
^{163}gîm- to rain; -t transitional (§§ 26, 114).
```

tcī 30

there

Tsō8

Now

In this manner they had hardly any food. They were all the time talking about fire. "How would it be if we should go after fire?"-"Let us go." They went. When they arrived, they found the fire burning; and one of them saw the water. The chief of the people (to whom they came) was sitting indoors. He was sitting sideways. "Halloo, cousin!" said the earth-chief. "Let us gamble (for the fire and water)!" The sky-chief acted as if he did not hear. The earthchief sat down opposite him. After a short time the sky-chief looked up and said, "You belong to a different tribe, so in what way are you my cousin? You must tell a story." But the earth-chief answered, "You are older than I," and he went out. After a while he came back and said, "Halloo, cousin! Look! this here is your Indian cradle. Your Indian cradle is new, while mine is old. And this here is your shinny-club,2 while that there is my shinny-club.2 This is your ball,2 and that one is my ball.2 Your ball 2 is new, but mine is old. Is it not so?" Then he put all these things before him. The skychief looked at them, and said, "Indeed, it is so, O cousin! Sit down here, we will gamble."

They began to play. The earth-chief thought to himself, "With what shall I point my finger at the player who puts his hand behind his back? Suppose I put a piece of abalone shell into my eye? I will sleep in the inside part of my eye." Then he said to his followers, "You shall support me when I put my hands behind my back;" and what he demanded was done.

Then he pointed his finger at him (the sky-chief) when he put his hand behind his back. Two men were supporting him. Thus things happened. Maggots began to eat up his (the sky-chief's) anus, his face, his nose, his ears. Soon the maggots ate him up; but he did not notice it. He kept on sitting there. Two men were still supporting him from the back. He had an abalone shell in his eye, and was sleeping in that inside part. Now it seemed as if the sky-chief were looking at it. To his surprise, he saw an abalone shell in the other man's eye. By this time only bones had remained of him, for

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Cradle" or "bed" is a piece of canvas (in former days tanned hide) spread on the ground and stretched by means of pegs or nails, before which the player participating in the so-called "game of guessing" was squatting, while mixing the sticks in his hands, which were held behind his back. Upon receiving the guessing-signal from a player of the opposite side, the sticks were thrown on the "cradle," usually one by one, while the marked stick was laid bare.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The informant was mistaken in the use of these terms. "Club" and "ball" are used in a game of shinny, while the game played by the two chiefs was the favorite game of "guessing."

the maggots had eaten up almost half of his body. The earth-chief was sitting there for a while, and began to think, "With what shall I point my finger at him? It seems that I ought to point at him with some very terrible thing." The sky-chief still did not look at the maggots. Only his bones, joined together, were sitting there. Still he did not look.

Now the earth-chief said to his people, "Don't forget to seize the fire as soon as we win the game.—And you take hold of the water." One of his men said, "I will run away with the water, and you ought to run with the fire." The earth-chief said to the head man of the sky-people, "Now it is your turn to put your hands behind your back." All the time he was thinking to himself, "With what shall I point my finger at him? It seems that nothing terrifies him. It will be very good if I point at him with a snake."

In the mean time the fire kept on burning. He then pointed at him with a snake. But he (the sky-chief) was on the lookout. The snake coiled around his thigh. Still he did not mind it. It crawled up to his waist and threatened to go into his mouth, all the while sticking out its tongue. Soon it seemed as if it were about to enter his nose. The sky-chief became afraid when he saw this. He shook off the snake and ran away. People were shouting at him.

The earth people quickly seized the fire. A very poor man ran away with the fire, while a little man kicked the water. They were running homewards. The man put the fire into his ear while running. As soon as the water was spilled, it began to rain. The fire was thrown into some willow-brush, and soon began to blaze. Thus they returned. From that time on, people have had fire; and from that time on, it has rained. Thus only the story is known. This is the end of it.



# SIUSLAWAN (LOWER UMPQUA)

BY

LEO J. FRACHTENBERG



## CONTENTS

	Page
ntroduction	437
1. Distribution and history	441
§ 2–17. Phonology	443
§ 2. Vowels	443
§ 3. Consonants	444
§ 4. Sound groupings	445
§ 5. Accent	447
§ 6. Phonetic laws	447
§§ 7–12. Vocalic processes	448
§ 7. Diphthongization of $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{u}$ .	448
§ 8. Consonantization of <i>i</i> - and <i>u</i> -	449
§ 9. Contraction	450
§ 10. Vocalic hiatus	452
§ 11. Vocalic harmony	452
§ 12. Effects of accent	452
§§ 13–17. Consonantic processes	454
§ 13. Consonantic metathesis.	454
§ 14. Consonantic euphony	455
§ 15. Simplification of double consonants	455
§ 16. Modifications of t and k.	456
§ 17. Minor consonantic changes	458
18. Grammatical processes	459
19. Ideas expressed by grammatical processes	459
§ 20–136. Morphology	461
	461
§§ 20–21. Prefixes.	
§ 20. Prefix of relationship m-	461
§ 21. Discriminative q- (qa-)	462
§ 22–105. Suffixes	463
§ 22. General remarks.	463
§§ 23–81. Verbal suffixes	465
§ 23. Introductory	465
§§ 24–26. Pronominal suffixes.	467
§ 24. The subjective pronouns	467
§ 25. The objective pronouns	472
§ 26. Position of pronouns in verbs accompanied by adverbial	
forms	479
§§ 27–48. Objective forms.	480
§ 27. Introductory	480
§§ 28-31. Indicative suffixes denoting personal interrelations.	481
§ 28. Direct object of third person $-\bar{u}n$ $(-a\bar{u}n)$	481
§ 29. Direct object of first and second persons $-\bar{u}ts$ ( $-\alpha^{\bar{u}}ts$ ).	482
§ 30. Indirect object of third person $-\bar{u}x(-a\bar{u}x)$	483
§ 31. Indirect object of first and second persons -Emts	483
3045°—Rull 40 pt 2—12——28	

§ 20–136. Morphology—Continued	Pag
§ 22-105. Suffixes—Continued	3
§§ 23–81. Verbal suffixes—Continued	
§§ 27—48. Objective forms—Continued	
§§ 32-37. Indicative suffixes expressing possessive interrela-	
tions between object and subject	48
§ 32. Introductory	48
§ 33. Suffix indicating that the object forms an insepa-	
rable part of the subject $-itx$ $(-a^{i}tx)$ , $-tx$	48
§ 34. Suffix denoting that the object is possessed by the	
subject, but separable from it $-utsm$ - $(-autsm$ - $)$	48
§ 35. Suffix indicating that the object is possessed by a	20
third person object $-\bar{u}l$ ( $-a\bar{u}l$ )	48
§ 36. Suffix expressing an object possessed by a first or	10
second person object $-\bar{u}lts$ ( $-a\bar{u}lts$ )	49
§ 37. Suffixes denoting possessive interrelations for tenses	20
other than the present $-isit\hat{i}$ , $-avit\hat{i}$ , $-yaxa^it\hat{i}$	49
§§ 38–39. Passive suffixes indicating pronominal and posses-	-10
sive interrelations	49
§ 38. Passive suffixes for verbs requiring in the active a	10
double object $-\bar{\imath}mE$ , $-\bar{\imath}mE$ $(-a\bar{\imath}mE)$	49
§ 39. Passive suffixes denoting possessive relations of the	40
subject -ūltx, -xamltx	49
	49
\$\\$ 40-48. Imperative forms denoting pronominal and possessive interrelations	40
sive interrelations	49
§ 40. Introductory	49
§ 41. Exhortative suffixes expressing the direct object of	401
the third person $-y\bar{u}n$ , $-i\bar{v}y\bar{u}n$ , $-i\bar{n}i$	49'
§ 42. Imperative suffix expressing the direct object of	4.00
the first person $-its(-aits)$ .	499
§ 43. Imperative suffix indicating the indirect object of	
the third person $-y\bar{u}x$	500
§ 44. Imperative suffix denoting the indirect object of	F 0
the first person -imts	50.
§ 45. Imperative suffix denoting that the object is pos-	F0:
sessed by a third person -il	501
§ 46. Imperative suffix indicating that the object is pos-	~ ~
sessed by a first person -ilts	50:
§ 47. Imperative suffix expressing possessive interrela-	
tions between object and subject -tex	503
§ 48. Exhortative suffix expressing possessive interrela-	
tions between object and subject $-itsmE$ ( $-a^{it}tsmE$ ).	504
§§ 49–64. Modal suffixes	504
§ 49. Introductory	504
§ 50. Reciprocal $-naw(a)$ , $-muxu$ -	508
§ 51. Distributive - <i>it'ax</i>	507
§ 52. Tentative -tc'	508
§ 53. Negative $-il$ $(-a^{il})$	508
§§ 54-59. Modal elements of the passive voice	509
§ 54. Introductory	509
§ 55. Present passive -xam	509
§ 56. Future passives in $-atam$ , $-\bar{\iota}$ $(-a^{\bar{\iota}})$ , $-aa^u$	510
§ 57. Past passive -xamyax	512
§ 58. Passive verbs in $-\bar{u}tn$ - $(-a\bar{u}tn$ -), $-\bar{u}$ ' $nE$ $(-a\bar{u}$ ' $nE$ )	512
§ 59. Durative passives in -īsūtn- (-īsū'nE) -ūsn	514

§ 20–136. Morphology—Continued	Page
§ 22–105. Suffixes—Continued	
§§ 23–81. Verbal suffixes—Continued	
§§ 49–64. Modal suffixes—Continued	
§§ 60–64. Modal elements of the imperative and exhortative	
modes	516
§ 60. Introductory	516
§ 61. Imperative suffix for intransitive verbs -Em	516
§ 62. Imperative suffix for transitive verbs -is (-ais)	517
§ 63. Intransitive exhortative -īxmî (-aīxmî)	518
§ 64. Exhortative -l	519
§§ 65–74. Temporal suffixes	520
§ 65. Introductory	520
§§ 66–70. Semi-temporal suffixes	520
§ 66. Inchoative -st.	520
§ 67. Terminative $-ixa^i$ ( $-a^{\bar{i}}xa^i$ )	521
§ 68. Frequentatives $-at\hbar$ , $-itx$ ( $-a^{i}tx$ )	522
§ 69. Duratives $-is$ $(-a^{\bar{i}}s)$ , $-\bar{u}s$	524
§ 70. Intentionals -awax, -awūn	526
§§ 71–74. True temporal suffixes	527
§ 71. Introductory	527
§ 72. Present -t	527
§ 73. Future -tūx	528
§ 74. Past -yax	529
§ 75–77. Verbalizing suffixes. § 75. Verbalizing $-a^i$ , $-\tilde{u}^i$	531 531
§ 76. Auxiliary -s, -t	532
§ 77. Suffix transitivizing verbs that express natural phe-	002
nomena - L /	533
§§ 78–80. Plural formations	534
§ 78. Introductory	534
§ 79. Plural $-\bar{u}^u$ , $-\bar{u}^{wi}$	535
§ 80. Plural -tx	537
§ 81. Irregular suffixes -n (-in), -myax (-m)	538
§§ 82–105. Nominal suffixes	539
§ 82. Introductory	539
§ 83. Diminutive -isk'in	539
§ S4. Augmentative -îl·mä	540
§§ 85–87. Case-endings	540
§ 85. Introductory	540
§ 86. The locative case $-a$ , $-\bar{u}s$	541
§ 87. The relative or genitive case -Eml, -Em	544
§ 88. The possessive suffixes	545
§ 89–96. Adverbial suffixes	549
§ 89. Introductory	549
§ 90. Local suffix indicating motion -tc	549
§ 91. Local suffix indicating rest $-\bar{u}$ ( $-a\bar{u}$ )	551
§ 92. Local suffix $-ix$ $(-a^{\bar{i}}x, -yax)$	552
§ 93. Local suffixes -ya, -nE	553
§ 94. Adverbial suffixes indicating modality $-itc$ $(-a^{\bar{\imath}}tc)$ , $-'na$ .	554
§ 95. Adverbial suffixes indicating time -tita, -ita	556
§ 96. Modal adverbs in -a	557
§§ 97–105. General nominalizing suffixes.	557
§ 97. Nominal $-\bar{u}^u$ $(-a^{\bar{u}})$ , $-\bar{u}^{wi}$ .	557
95. Nominal -1 (-at)	559

§ 20–136. Morphology—Continued	Page
§ 22–105. Suffixes—Continued	
§§ 82–105. Nominal suffixes—Continued	
§§ 97–105. General nominalizing suffixes—Continued	
§ 99. Nouns of quality in $-t'\bar{u}^u$ $(-t'\bar{u}^{wi})$	560
§ 100. Nouns of agency in $-ya^{u}x$ , $-il$ $(-a^{i}l)$ , $-t!$ , $-t!w^{i}$	561
§ 101. Nouns in -ax	562
§ 102. Nouns in $-\bar{u}n\hat{i}$ ( $-a\bar{u}n\hat{i}$ )	563
§ 103. Nominalizing suffix indicating place $-a^{\varepsilon}m\bar{u}$	563
§ 104. Adjectives in -t	564
§ 105. Irregular suffixes -Em, -īsî, -wî, -yūwî, -īwî	564
§§ 106–109. Reduplication	566
§ 106. Introductory	566
§ 107. Duplication of the initial syllable	567
§ 108. Duplication of final consonants	567
§ 109. Duplication of stems	569
§§ 110–112. Vocalic changes	569
§ 110. Introductory	569
§ 111. The discriminative case	570
§ 112. Intensity and duration of action	572
§§ 113–115. The pronoun	575
§ 113. The independent personal pronouns.	575
§ 114. The possessive pronouns	577
§ 115. The demonstrative pronouns	579
§§ 116–117. The numeral	586
§ 116. The cardinals	586
§ 117. The decimal system	587
§§ 118–121. The adverb	588
§ 118. Introductory	588
§ 119. Local adverbs and phrases.	588
§ 120. Temporal adverbs	589
§ 121. Modal adverbs	589
§§ 122–133. Particles	589
§ 122. Introductory	589
§ 123. Pronominal particles	590
§ 124. Numeral particles	591
§ 125. Conjunctions	591
§ 126. Temporal particles	593
§ 127. Particles denoting degrees of certainty and emotional states	594
§ 128. Particles denoting connection with previously expressed ideas.	596
§ 129. Exhortative particles	597
§ 130. Restrictive particles.	598
§ 131. Miscellaneous particles	598
§ 132. The suffixed particle $-\bar{u}$ ( $-a\bar{u}$ )	601
§ 133. The stem <i>L</i> / <i>a</i> ′ <i>ai</i>	602
§ 134. Nouns and verbs as qualifiers	603
§ 135. Particles as verbs	604
§ 136. The conditional clause	604
137. Vocabulary	606
138. Structure of sentences	607
139. Idiomatic expressions	608
Cexts.	611
. CAUS.	311

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1884 J. Owen Dorsey spent a month at the Siletz reservation, Oregon, collecting short vocabularies of the Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua, as well as of other languages. Prior to Dorsey's investigations the linguistic position of Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua was a debated question. Some investigators believed that these two dialects belonged to the Yakonan family; while others, notably Latham and Gatschet, held them to form a distinct stock, although they observed marked agreement with some features of the Yakonan. After a superficial investigation, lasting less than a month, Dorsey came to the conclusion that Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua were dialects belonging to the Yakonan stock. This assertion was repeated by J. W. Powell in his "Indian Linguistic Families" (Seventh Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology, p. 134), and was held to be correct by all subsequent students of American Indian languages. This view, however, is not in harmony with my own investigations. A closer study of Alsea (one of the Yakonan dialects) on the one hand, and of Lower Umpqua on the other, proves conclusively that Siuslaw and Lower Umpqua form a distinct family, which I propose to call the Siuslawan linguistic stock.1 The term "Siuslaw" was given preference over "Umpqua" or "Lower Umpqua," in order to avoid the ambiguity of meaning which might arise from the fact that we have become accustomed to call the Athapascan dialect, spoken on the upper course of the Umpqua river, the "Upper Umpqua."

The material on which the following sketch is based was collected, under the joint auspices of the Bureau of American Ethnology and of Columbia University, on the Siletz reservation, Oregon, during the months of March, April, and May, 1911.

My principal informant was Louisa Smith, a Lower Umpqua Indian over 70 years of age. Her advanced years, her absolute lack of knowledge of the English language, her ill health, and, above all, the fact that prior to my arrival on the reservation she had

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is not at all impossible that this stock, the Yakonan, Kusan, and perhaps the Kalapuyan, may eventually prove to be genetically related. Their affinities are so remote, however, that I prefer to take a conservative position, and to treat them for the time being as independent stocks.

not used her native tongue for a considerable period, rendered her a poor, though willing informant. In the course of this investigation it was therefore necessary to employ such additional informants and interpreters as were available. By far the most important of these was William Smith, an Alsea Indian and the husband of Louisa, who had spent his childhood among the Siuslaw Indians, from whom he had gained a fairly good knowledge of their language. But he, too, was far from being an ideal informant. His command of English was imperfect, his degree of intelligence rather limited, his pronunciation of Lower Umpqua was affected by Alsea phonetics, and he was only too often unable to keep apart the Siuslaw, Lower Umpqua, and Alsea forms of a given word. However, in spite of these deficiencies, his services proved highly valuable, because, having previously assisted me in my work on the Alsea language, he knew more or less what was wanted of him. other informants were Spencer Scott, a son of Louisa; Louis Smith, a full-blooded Lower Umpqua Indian; and Hank Johnson, the son of a Lower Umpqua father and of an Alsea mother. The three last mentioned were, comparatively speaking, young men, whose knowledge of Lower Umpqua was imperfect and rather vague. They were employed solely for the purpose of settling questions that pertained to phonetics, and of disentangling the frequent difficulties that were involved in the collection and translation of texts; and if I add that throughout the progress of this work, Louisa Smith was suffering from a severe ear-ache (which at times rendered her absolutely deaf), that William Smith had to undergo frequent surgical operations because of a poisoned finger, and that my other informants could give me only part of their time, I shall have mentioned all the difficulties under which the following material was collected. Should this sketch, therefore, be found deficient in completeness of treatment and clearness of interpretation, it will have to be accounted for by the extraordinary circumstances under which the work was conducted.

But if the actual work involved in this investigation was rather trying and tiresome, there were other features connected with it that rendered it pleasant and enjoyable. These features consist of the many courtesies and helpful assistance received from the inhabitants of Siletz; and it is a great source of pleasure to me to record my deep gratitude to these kind friends. My greatest obligations are due to

Superintendent and Mrs. Knott C. Egbert, to the former for his untiring efforts to assist me, both officially and personally, in whatever way he could, and to the latter for the motherly care with which she attended to my personal wants throughout my stay at the reservation. My sincere thanks are also due to Dr. Maximilian F. Clausius, the physician of the Siletz agency, for the numerous tokens of friendship received at his hand.

Columbia University,

September, 1911.



# SIUSLAWAN (LOWER UMPQUA)

By Leo J. Frachtenberg

#### § 1. DISTRIBUTION AND HISTORY

The Siuslawan stock embraces two closely related dialects—Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw—that were spoken by the people living on the lower courses of the Umpqua and Siuslaw rivers, in the southern part of Oregon. Their northern neighbors were the Alsea Indians 1 (whom they called  $Han\bar{\imath}'s\ h\bar{\imath}tc^2$ ), on the east they came in contact with the Kalapuva (chiefly the Yonkalla tribe, known to them as the  $Qa^{i}xqax$ ), and on the south they were contiguous to the Coos ( $Q\bar{u}'yax$ ). The territory of the Lower Umpqua was bounded on the north by Five Mile lake, on the south by Ten Mile lake, while on the east they claimed the whole region adjoining the Umpqua river as far as Scottsburg. The possessions of the Siuslaw Indians extended as far south as Five Mile lake, on the north they bordered on the Yahach river, and eastwards they extended as far as Mapleton. Thus it may safely be assumed that these two dialects were spoken in the western parts of what are known today as Lane and Douglas counties. No information pertaining to the previous strength of these two tribes could be obtained. Their numbers have been so greatly reduced, that, besides the four individuals who served as my informants, and the two or three Siuslaw Indians said to be living near Florence, Lane county, there are no other members living; and since these people no longer converse in their native tongue, the Siuslaw family may be looked upon as an extinct linguistic stock.

<sup>1</sup> One of the two members of the Yakonan family.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For explanation of alphabet see pp. 443, 444.

The Lower Umpqua call themselves  $Q\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}tc$ , and refer to their language as  $Q\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}tcax$  wa'as. These terms are of native origin, and are formed from the stem  $q\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}$  or  $q\bar{o}'\bar{\imath}$  south. The Alsea called them  $Tkulma^{\bar{\imath}}k$ , and they were known to the Coos as  $B\hat{\imath}ldj\bar{\imath}'yex$ , i. e. Northern Indians. The Siuslaw refer to themselves as  $C\bar{a}'yucla$ , and were called  $C\bar{a}'y\bar{u}cle$  by the Coos and Qwas or Kwas by the Alsea Indians. The etymology of these names could not be ascertained.

Judging from the scanty notes on Siuslaw obtained by Dorsey and myself, the differences between this dialect and Lower Umpqua were very slight and of a purely phonetic and lexicographic character. No distinct morphological formations were found. The chief phonetic feature that seems to separate these two dialects is the change of a Lower Umpqua n into l in Siuslaw.

Lower Umpqua	Siuslaw
$p\bar{a}'n\bar{u}$	$p\bar{a}'l\cdot\bar{u}$ well, spring 76.12
qanī'nal 19.6	qalī'nal knife 50.19
qa'nnî	$qa'ln\hat{\imath}$ (D.) face
$tsn\bar{a}'w\hat{\imath}$	tsla'we (D.) bone
łkwa'nug <sup>u</sup>	$lkwa'luk^u$ (D.) hat

The lexicographical differences cover a limited number of stems and words, of which only a few examples may be quoted here.

Lower Umpqua	Siuslaw
$l\bar{a}'n$ - 23.7	ltcîn- to call by name
$\hat{xp}$ -	yîq!au- to split (pitch wood)
$L\bar{i}'\bar{u}$ - 8.3	xumc-to come, to approach 23.2
$t.'\bar{a}mc~40.19$	$t!\hat{\imath}'lm\hat{\imath}s$ (D.) child
$xw\bar{a}'ka~29.5$	qamî'ıîs (D.) head
$l\bar{\imath}'t'/a^{\bar{\imath}}$ 34.23	$w\hat{\imath}ts!\bar{u}'we$ (D.) food
$k!w\bar{\imath}'y\bar{o}s^2$	$cqa'xtc^3$ dog
kō'tan 4 34.10	$ta^{u'}w_{EX}$ (D.) $^{5}$ horse

Texts of myths and tales in the Lower Umpqua dialect were collected by the author, and were published by Columbia University.<sup>6</sup> All references accompanying examples refer to page and line of that publication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Words marked (D.) are quoted from Dorsey's manuscripts in possession of the Bureau of American Ethnology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Coos kwī'yos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Apparently related to Alsea tcqënx.

<sup>4</sup> Chinook jargon.

<sup>6</sup> Related to Alsea t!awā'yū.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Lower Umpqua Texts, Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, vol. 4.

 $<sup>\</sup>S 1$ 

### PHONOLOGY (§§ 2–17)

#### § 2. Vowels

The vowels have short and long quantities. Resonance vowels, marked here by superior vowels, are employed often, as is also the obscure vowel E, which seems to be related to short a. In some instances, due to contact phenomena, the obscure vowel partakes of the quality of a short o, and is represented here by o. The open e vowel appears to be lacking, while the long  $\bar{e}$  frequently glides from  $\bar{e}$  to  $\bar{\imath}$ and resembles a long ī. Significant pitch appears in a few cases (see p. 447).

The  $a^i$ - and  $a^u$  diphthongs occur in two distinct forms, one with the initial element short or long  $(a^i, a^u, \bar{a}^i, \bar{a}^u)$ , and the other with the first element short and the second long ( $a^i$  and  $a^i$ ). The latter two forms are closely related to the long  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $\bar{u}$  with which they constantly interchange. This interchange usually takes place after a, h, m, n, q, x, and l, although numerous instances will be found where the substitution of  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  and  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  for  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $\bar{\imath}$  respectively has taken place after vowels and consonants other than those enumerated, or where the interchange does not occur at all.

Examples of interchange between  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$ :

 $\hat{n}_{q}/a'\bar{\imath} \ 30.23$  $m\hat{\imath}ta'\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}n$  $\hat{si'}nx\bar{\imath}t$  46.18

 $t\bar{\imath}'k^{\rm E}nx$  here thou 56.19

hatc'ī'xam he was asked 66.16 tsī'k!yan hī'sītī ha' I am very glad 25.8

 $\hat{i}nq/a'a^{\bar{i}}$  river 30.20 $qam\hat{\imath}la'a^{\bar{\imath}}t^{\bar{\imath}}n$  my mother 100.12  $c\hat{\imath}'nxa^{\bar{\imath}}t$  he thinks 90.15  $ta^{i}k^{E}ns$  here we two (incl.) 56.6

skwaha<sup>v</sup>xam it is placed (in)  $ta^{\bar{\imath}\prime}k^{E}ns$   $aya'qa^{\bar{\imath}}t\bar{\imath}$   $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  here we two (incl.) shall leave our canoe 56.5

Examples of interchange between  $\bar{u}$  and  $a^{\bar{u}}$ :

 $waa'\bar{u}n$  7.4 waxa'yūtsme he gave him

his . . . 76.9 k!îm $\bar{u}_L^{i'}L\bar{u}_N$ 

hiyatsî'tsūn he put it on 11.8  $p\hat{\imath}lq^uts\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  made of raccoon (hides) 70.23, 24

kā'lūtūn I tire him out

 $y\bar{a}k!\hat{\imath}sk'\hat{\imath}n\bar{u}'$  L!aya' on a small place 38.19

 $\bar{a}qa'qa\bar{u}n$  he took it off 13.1 8.6

40.22

hamxaū'nî made of tied (grass)

k!îmaūLi'Lūn I am hitting him

 $waa'a^{\bar{u}}n$  he says to him 20.7

tkwīha'haūtsmɛ he buried his . . .

 $k\bar{a}'la^{\bar{u}}t\hat{i}n$  I am tired

mīk!a" L!aya' in a bad place 12.10; 13.1

The Siuslaw<sup>1</sup> system of vowels and diphthongs may be represented as follows:

The umlauted  $\ddot{a}$  occurs rarely, and is pronounced like  $\ddot{a}$  in German wählen;  $\hat{a}$  is pronounced like the Slavic short y-vowel; and a indicates very short quantity.

#### § 3. Consonants

The consonantic system deviates in a great many respects from those of the neighboring tribes. Its chief characteristics are the total absence of the anterior palatal series  $(g^*, k^*, k^*.', x^*)$ ; the absence of all sonants excepting d; the presence of a palatal lateral  $(l^*)$ ; and, above all, the occurrence of a double series of glottalized explosives differing in the quality and amount of stress employed in their production. The real explosives are followed in this sketch by the sign of exclamation (!), while the glottalized stops of ordinary strength will be found accompanied by the apostrophe ('). The latter seem to be confined to the consonants of the dental series and to k. The surds t and k occur also as aspirated consonants.

The following table illustrates the Siuslaw consonantic system:

	Sonant	Surd	Fortis	Aspirated	Spirant	Nasal
Velar		q	q!	-	x	_
Palatal		$\tilde{k}(w)$	$\tilde{k}$ !(w)	k	_	_
Alveolar	<i>. d</i>	t	t!, t'	$t^{\mathfrak{c}}$	s, c	n
Affricative	. –	ts, tc	ts!, tc!	-	-	-
			ts', tc'			
Labial		p	$p!\left(?\right)$	_	-	m
Lateral		L	$_{L}!$		$l, l^{.}, l$	-
Glottal stop	- ε					
Aspiration	•					
•	y	h	$w h^u$			

The palatal l is pronounced like l in the English word lure. The glottal stop occurs seldom, and seems to be associated with the explosive character of the consonants following it, although I did not succeed in verifying this connection definitely. The aspiration corre-

<sup>1</sup> Whenever the term "Siuslaw" is used, it is to be understood as referring to the whole group, and not to the dialect only.

sponds to the character of the vowels and consonants that precede or follow it: that is to say, after palatal vowels it is of a palatal character; while before the vowels a, o, and u, and before velar consonants, it becomes guttural. When followed by a vowel, it is changed into an h.

 $t\bar{u}$ 'tc- to spear 62.2  $tuhatca'y\bar{u}n$  he spears it  $qaq\bar{u}$ 'n- to hear 30.18  $qa'q^uhant\bar{u}n$  he heard it 36.23  $s\bar{v}$  to grow (intr.) 98.10  $s\bar{v}h\bar{v}$ ' $tc\hat{v}n$   $x\hat{v}ntyax$  I began to grow up 100.17  $qn\bar{u}$ '- to find  $qn\bar{u}'h\bar{u}n$  (they two) found it 56.9 wa'' $t\bar{u}x$  again shall . . . 11.2  $waha'h\bar{u}n$   $h^iyats\hat{v}$ ' $ts\bar{u}n$  again he put it on 12.1

In some instances the aspiration results from the dropping of a t before a following n (see §§ 16, 58, 59).

## § 4. Sound Groupings

Clusters of two consonants are admissible, except w+any consonant other than n. Whenever a w is followed by a consonant other than n, it changes into a voiceless w, represented here by hu. Clusters of three or more consonants may occur medially or finally, provided a nasal or lateral forms the initial sound of such groupings.

When, owing to grammatical processes, three consonants that can not form a cluster come into contact, an obscure or weak vowel (mostly E, a, or  $\hat{\imath}$ ) is inserted between two of the three consonants, thus facilitating the pronunciation of the cluster.

A similar insertion takes place in initial clusters beginning with m or n, and between two consonants belonging to the same series. The latter rule applies to clusters in initial, medial, and final position.

Examples of clusters consisting of w + consonant:

 $a^i t c n a w$ - to trade mutually +  $a^i t c n a'^{hu} t \bar{u} x t s$  you two will trade mutually +  $c \bar{u} \bar{u} x + -t s$  trade mutually +  $c \bar{u} \bar{u} n a w$ - to hit mutually +  $c \bar{u} n a w$  to ther!  $c \bar{u} n a w$  other!

Examples of avoidance of clusters in initial position:

m- (prefix of relationship)  $m\hat{\imath}t\hat{a}$  father 54.22 +ta father m- (prefix of relationship)  $m\hat{\imath}t\hat{a}$  mother 54.23 +ta mother

#### Examples of avoidance of clusters in medial position:

$$winx$$
- (to be afraid)  $+-nawa^ux$   $win^x x na'wa^ux$  they two were afraid of each other 86.1,2  $q\bar{a}tx$ - (to cry)  $+-t\bar{u}x$  .  $q\bar{a}'tx^at\bar{u}x$  he will cry

#### Examples of avoidance of clusters in final position:

$$\begin{array}{lll} qatc\hat{\imath}n\bar{\imath}'tx & \text{(to keep on going)} & qatc\hat{\imath}n\bar{\imath}'txan \text{ I keep on going} \\ & + \cdot n & \\ qa^ix & \text{(night)} + -nx & qa^ix^Enx & \text{(at) night thou } . & . \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ ta^ik & \text{(here)} + -ns & ta^{\bar{\imath}'}k^Ens \text{ here we two (incl.)} 56.6 \\ ha^iq & \text{(ashore)} + -nxan & ha^iq^Enxan & \text{ashore we (excl.)} \\ & & & & & \\ hatc^*a'y\bar{u}n & \text{(he asked him)} & hatc^*a'y\bar{u}natc^{\hat{\imath}} \text{ ye ask her } 74.10 \\ & & & + \cdot tc^{\hat{\imath}} \\ te\bar{\imath}n - & \text{(to come back)} + -nx & tc\bar{\imath}'nanx \text{ they came back } 72.23 \\ \end{array}$$

Examples of avoidance of clusters of consonants belonging to the same series:

$$\begin{array}{lll} kum \hat{\imath}'ntc \; (\text{not}) \; + -tc & kum \hat{\imath}'ntc^{\scriptscriptstyle E}tc \; \text{not his } 92.15 \\ ants \; (\text{that one}) \; + c\bar{a}'ya & ants^{\scriptscriptstyle E} \; c\bar{a}'ya \; \text{that penis} \\ p\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}ta'wax \; (\text{he intends to hunt}) & p\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}ta'wax^{\scriptscriptstyle U}x\hat{\imath}n \; \text{we two (excl.)} \\ + -x\hat{\imath}n & \text{intend to go hunting } 54.22 \\ t\bar{\imath}t! \; - \; (\text{to eat}) \; + -t\bar{\imath}x & t\hat{\imath}'t!^{i}t\bar{\imath}x \; (\text{you}) \; \text{will eat } 50.2 \\ tc\hat{\imath}nt \; (\text{how much}) \; + t_{EX} & tc\hat{\imath}nt^{\scriptscriptstyle E} \; t_{EX} \; \text{suppose } 38.20,21 \\ s^{\scriptscriptstyle E}a^{i}t \; (\text{such}) \; + \iota'a'^{ai} & s^{\scriptscriptstyle E}a^{i}t^{\scriptscriptstyle E} \; \iota'a'^{ai} \; \text{such a place } 15.1 \end{array}$$

## Examples of clusters permissible in medial or final position:

Final	Medial
tsînq!t poor 16.10	tsî'nq!tanx you are poor
lakwa'ūltx (their) was taken away 50.22	lakwa'ūltxan my was taken
lokwī'xamltx his was taken away from him 54.14	lakwī'xamltxaux their two were taken away from them

The only consonantic cluster that does not seem to be permissible is the grouping of nx+k. Whenever these three consonants would appear together in the above-named order, the x is always changed into a.

$$ts^{i}ya'_{L}/\bar{\imath}nx$$
 (you will be shot)  $ts^{i}ya'_{L}/\bar{\imath}na k^{u}n\hat{a}$  you might get shot shot  $k^{u}w\bar{a}''n\bar{\imath}nx$  (they will be beaten)  $t^{u}w\bar{a}''n\bar{\imath}na k^{u}n\hat{a}$  they may be beaten

An exception to this rule is found in the following sentence:  $l^ikwa'y\bar{u}nanx\ k^u\ l^i\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  you may get (some) salmon 48.18

In like manner the combination  $nx + \bar{u}$  is changed into  $a^{\bar{u}}$  (see § 132).

 $ya'qu'y\bar{u}'nanx$  (thou art seen)  $yaqu'y\bar{u}'nana^{\bar{u}}$  thou art seen  $+-\bar{u}$  (- $a^{\bar{u}}$ )

#### § 5. Accent

Siuslaw exhibits a stress accent, represented here by the acute mark ('); and a pitch accent, designated by the mark ('). Only a limited number of enclitic and proclitic particles show no accent whatsoever. The pitch accent occurs mostly in monosyllabic words that have a short vowel, and lends to the syllable a sharp, abrupt intonation. Both accents are freely shifted from one syllable to another. It seems, however, to be a fixed rule that in the past tense the accent is placed on the first syllable, and that the locative case-endings and the adverbial suffixes must be accented.

 $ha^iqa'q$  he goes ashore 58.17

 $qa^ix\hat{\imath}'x$  it gets dark 64.19  $t^owatc\hat{\imath}'tc\bar{\imath}na^ux$  they two are spearing it 56.15, 16 ts!aln pitch 26.6  $l\bar{\imath}'t!a^{\bar{\imath}}$  food 34.23  $lqa^{i''}t\bar{\imath}$  log 32.21

pk'î'tī lake 62.18 sī'xaī canoe 56.5  $qa'x\hat{u}n$  above, up 34.21 sEa'tsa thus 8.7 yaA'tSk'în very small 36.23

ha'qîqyax (having) come ashore 56.13
qa'vxîxyax it became dark 34.4
towa'tcîtcyaxa<sup>ū</sup>n I have been
spearing it 66.17
ts!îlna' (locative case) 94.18
līt!aya' (locative case) 13.7
lqatūwīyū's (locative case)
88.16
pk'ītīyū's (locative case) 34.11
sexa<sup>ū'</sup>tc into the canoe 34.5
qaxûntcī'tc upwards
s<sup>ɛ</sup>atsī'tc in that manner 8.1
yāk!îsk'înū' in a very small

. . . 38.19

### § 6. Phonetic Laws

In both dialects a number of phonetic laws are found which affect both vowels and consonants. All phonetic processes are due either to contact phenomena or to the effects of accent. They may be summarized as follows:

#### Vocalic Processes:

- (1) Diphthongization of  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $\bar{u}$ .
- (2) Consonantization of i- and u-.

- (3) Contraction.
- (4) Vocalic hiatus.
- (5) Vocalic harmony.
- (6) Effects of accent.

#### Consonantic Processes:

- (1) Consonantic metathesis.
- (2) Consonantic euphony.
- (3) Simplification of double consonants.
- (4) Modifications of t and k.
- (5) Minor consonantic changes.

#### §§ 7–12. Vocalic Processes

### § 7. Diphthongization of I and U

This is by far the most important phonetic change, owing to the fact that it gives rise to a double form of stems that contain these vowels, and because it is employed in certain grammatical processes (see §§ 111, 112). The principle may be described as follows: For the purpose of expressing (in nouns) the discriminative case and (in verbs) intensity or duration of action, long  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $\bar{u}$  are changed into ya and wa respectively.

Examples of diphthongization of  $\bar{\imath}$ :

 $h\bar{\imath}na'y\bar{u}n$  he brings him 23.2

 $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'xam$  it is put on 11.8

 $\bar{\imath} lq a^{i\prime}$  he digs 84.2

*cītx*- to flop

 $ya'q^uh\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}nx$  thou shalt see 36.25

"lenx k!î'nk'īt they went to look for 60.5

*Qa'aitcīx* along the North Fork 32.19

 $h^i y a' n y \bar{u} t s a n x$  I'll take thee along 58.6

 $h^{i}yats\hat{\imath}'ts\bar{u}n$  he is putting it on 11.8

a'ntsux ya'lqa<sup>ū</sup>n those two (who) are digging (a hole) 84.5 e<sup>i</sup>yatx it flops around 36.23

yoqu'ya'wax he intended to see

k!înk'ya'wax(I) intend to go and look for 60.5

qau'xûnyax along the sky 32.19

## Examples of diphthongization of $\bar{u}$ :

qū'nī'xamīmɛ it was poured
into his . . . 29.2

 $L!x\bar{u}'x\bar{u}^u n$  he knows it 40.16

qwa'  $ny\bar{u}x$  pour it into his . . . 29.2

kumî'ntewax te'q L!xu'waxu not they two anything knew it 54.16  $lak\bar{u}'k\bar{u}n$  he takes it  $t\bar{u}'tca'y\bar{u}n$  he spears it 64.12

 $ula^ux$   $tk\bar{u}ma'y\bar{u}n$  they two made a dam 48.8

ū'ltī snow 76.10

pεkū'ya xāι!a'' ι!a'a' people
make shinny-sticks 78.5

lakwa'kūun he took it 64.10 towatci'toūnaux they two are spearing it 56.15, 16

"lns tkwa'mīsūn we two (incl.) will keep on making dams 48.14

walt it snows

a'ntsux pākwa'wax those two (who) are about to play . . . shinny 78.10, 11

Owing to the interchange between  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  and  $\bar{u}$  and  $a^{\bar{u}}$  (see § 2), these diphthongs are subject to the same amplification.

 $h\bar{\imath}'q/a^{\bar{\imath}}t$  he started 22.6  $meq/a^{i}tx$  they dance 72.13

 $q\bar{a}'tk\hat{n}$  te  $a^iqa'qa^{\bar{u}}ts$  (from) here he left me 60.4  $ka^{\bar{u}}s\hat{n}'s$  he keeps on following 92.7 hāq!ya'a<sup>u</sup> it will be started 32.1meq!ya'wax (I) intend to dance72.12

 $ta^{i}k^{E}ns$   $aya'qy\bar{u}n$  here we two (incl.) will leave it 56.16, 17  $k^{i}was^{i}y\bar{u}'tsana^{\bar{u}}$  you will follow me 92.3

The change of  $\bar{\imath}$  into ya often takes place in the third person singular, which ends in  $-\bar{\imath}$  (see p. 468).

Lī'wat!în I come frequently

cî'nxyat!în I am thinking

ha'kwat!în I fall frequently

xî'l'xcîn I work

peli'tcin I (am) ahead  $ya'q^uhin$  I look si'nxin I want

Lī'wat.'ī 68.5, (Lī'wat.'ya) he came frequently

(cî'nixyat!ī), cî'nixyat!ya 17.6 he is thinking

(ha'kwat!ī), ha'kwat!ya 90.12 it falls continually

xî'l'xcī 50.9, (xî'l'xcya) he was working

 $pel\bar{i}'tcya$  he was first 48.11  $ya'q^{u'}ya$  he looked 70.16  $s\hat{i}'n^ixya$  he desires

## § 8. Consonantization of i- and u-

The i- and u- elements of the diphthongs are changed into the semi-vocalic consonants y and w whenever they are followed by vowels of different qualities. This law affects also the simple short or long i- and u- vowels.

Consonantization of i-:

 $p\hat{\imath}tca^{i\prime}$  (he goes over)  $+ -a^{u}x$   $l\bar{\imath}'t'.a^{\bar{\imath}}$  (food) + -a

 $k\bar{u}^{i}$  (not) +  $-a^{u}x$   $qn\bar{u}h\bar{u}^{i}$ '- (he finds) +  $-a^{i}$  $texm\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  (male) + -a

 $x\hat{\imath}l\cdot xc\bar{\imath}$ - (to work) +  $-a^i$   $t.'\bar{\imath}$  (bear) +  $-\bar{u}n\hat{\imath}$  $s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - (to desire) +  $-\bar{u}n$ 

Consonantization of u-:

 $L\bar{\imath}ya'a^u$  (fire) + -a + -tc

 $wil\bar{u}$ - (to affirm) + -axam  $xa'\bar{u}$  (he died) + -il $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}$  (two) + - $a^ux$  pîtca'ya''x they two go over 88.15 yā'xatc'īstēnx līt!aya' for food you will always try to look 13.7 kū'ya''x not they two . . . 98.11 qnūhū'yūn (they) found it 60.7 la'kukyax texmū'nya she took a mortal man 60.23 xîl'xcya'' (they two) worked 48.10 tlīyū'nî made of bear (hides) 70.24 sî'n'xyūn I want it 15.8

ha'qmas Līya'watc alongside of the fire 25.4, 5 wīlwa'xam he was assured 30.11 kumî'ntc xa'wīl not he dies 15.8 xā'ts!wa'x two of them 40.18

A peculiar case of consonantization seems to have taken place in the objective case  $tc\bar{\imath}'wa$  32.20, formed from the noun  $tc\bar{\imath}$  water 36.20.

#### § 9. Contraction

Contraction of two or three vowels following in immediate succession does not seem to be of regular occurrence, and there are no fixed rules governing this process. The following usages may, however, be stated to prevail:

(1) Short or long i or u following a vowel of different quality form diphthongs.

$$a^{i} < a + i \qquad u^{i} < u + i$$

$$a^{u} < a + u$$

The combination i+u, however, does not form a diphthong (see § 10).

 $tem\bar{u}'$ - (to assemble) +  $-\bar{\iota}tc$   $tem\bar{u}^{i'}tc$   $x\hat{\iota}nt$  (they) assembled 30.15, 16 qa'ntcya (from where) +  $-\bar{\iota}tc$   $qantcya^{i'}tc$  from where  $qatc\bar{u}$ - (to drink) +  $-\bar{\iota}txa^{\bar{u}}n$   $qatc\bar{u}^{i'}txa^{\bar{u}}n$  (they) drink (from) it 76.12

(2) A short vowel preceding another short vowel or a diphthong is contracted with the following vowel into a short or long vowel or into a diphthong.

 $a'tsa^ux$  thus they two . . . a'tsa (thus)  $+-a^{u}x$ waana'wa<sup>u</sup>x they two talk to each waana'wa (to talk to each other)  $+ -a^{u}x$ other 10.4  $s^{E}\dot{a}$  (this)  $+-a^{i}xa^{u}x$  $s^{E}a^{\bar{\imath}'}xa^{u}x$  on this they two . . . 88.18  $x\bar{a}'ts.'\bar{u}$  (two)  $+-a^{u}x$  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}x$  they two . . .  $yalqa'a^{\bar{u}}$  (a hole)  $+-\bar{u}n$  $ya'lqa^{\bar{u}}n$  (they) dig holes 84.5  $a^{u'}tc\bar{\imath}sa^{u}x \ yuwa^{i'}$  camas they two  $a^{u'}tc\bar{\imath}s\hat{\imath}$  (camas)  $+-a^{u}x$ dig 96.18

(3) The obscure vowel E is contracted with all vowels preceding it into a vowel of a clear quality.

 $ha\bar{u}$ - (to quit) + -Em  $ha'\bar{u}m$  quit!  $n\grave{a}$  (I) + -Eml  $nam^{E}l$  of me 20.6  $s^{E}a^{i'}na$  (him) + -Eml  $s^{E}a^{i}na'ml$  of him An exception is wa- (to speak) + -Em wa'am speak!

(4) Two long vowels of similar qualities immediately following each other are contracted into one long vowel.

pEku- (to play shinny) +  $-\bar{u}s$   $pEk\bar{u}'us$  (locative case) 78.18

A peculiar case of contraction has apparently taken place in the genitive case  $lql\bar{a}n\bar{u}^{i\prime}ml$  of Hides 102.1, composed of  $lql\bar{a}'n\bar{u}$  Hide, and -*eml*, the genitive case-ending (see § 87).

Another process of contraction takes place whenever a personal pronoun (see § 24) is added to the suffix -yaxs, which expresses the past durative tense (see p. 526). In such cases the suffix -yaxs is invariably contracted into  $-\bar{\imath}xs$ . Attention may be called to the fact that in this case we are dealing with a process that is of a character opposite to the diphthongization of  $-\bar{\imath}$ , which has been discussed in § 7.

 $a^{u}s$ - to sleep 24.1  $a^{u}s\bar{\imath}xs\hat{\imath}n$  I have been sleeping, instead of  $a^{u}syaxs\hat{\imath}n$   $qatc\bar{\imath}u$ - to drink 76.13  $qa'tcwa^{\bar{\imath}}xs\hat{\imath}n$  I have been drinking, instead of  $qa'tc\bar{\imath}yaxs\hat{\imath}n$   $pek\bar{\imath}u'$ - to play shinny 9.4  $pa'ku^{\bar{\imath}}xsanx$  you have been playing shinny, instead of pa'kuyaxsanx  $l\bar{\imath}t'$ - to eat 13.10  $l\bar{\imath}t'$ -  $l\bar{\imath}xs$  he has been eating, instead of  $l\bar{\imath}t'$ -  $l\bar{\imath}yaxs$ 

#### § 10. Vocalic Hiatus

In cases where contraction has not taken place, two vowels occurring in immediate succession are separated by means of an inserted h or by means of the accent. No definite rules could be found that would show under what circumstances either of these processes may be employed. Separation of two vowels by means of an inserted h occurs more regularly than separation by means of accent.

 $h\bar{\imath}'q!a$  (dentalia shells)  $+ -a^{\bar{u}}n\hat{\imath}$   $h\bar{\imath}q!aha^{\bar{u}'}n\hat{\imath}$  consisting of dentalia shells 70.6  $Lxa\bar{u}'$  (pole)  $+ -\bar{\imath}nE$   $Lxa^{u'}h\bar{\imath}nE$  with a spear (in his hand) 64.11  $mEkl\bar{\imath}'$  (mother-in-law)  $+ -\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}n$   $mEkl\bar{\imath}'h\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}n$  my mother-in-law  $lt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  (salmon) + -anx  $lt'\bar{\imath}a^{i'}anx\ xaya^{i'}$  salmon they catch 82.13, 14  $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (he came)  $+ -\bar{\imath}n$   $L\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}u^{\bar{\imath}}n$  he arrived 16.3

#### § 11. Vocalic Harmony

The tendency towards vocalic euphony is so inconsistent in Siuslaw, that one is almost tempted to deny the presence of such a process. The two examples I have been able to find are extremely unsatisfactory and do not permit the formulation of any clearly defined rules.

 $ha^{i\prime}m\bar{u}t$  (all) + -Eml  $ha^{i}m\bar{u}t\bar{u}'ml$  of all  $qa'x\hat{u}n$  high up, above 34.21  $qa''x\hat{u}n$  on top 32.19

## § 12. Effects of Accent

Besides the frequent tendency to lengthen the vowel of the syllable on which it falls, or to lend to it a clear quality, the loss of accent shortens or obscures the quantity of the stem-vowel as soon as it is shifted to one of the suffixed syllables. This law appears with such regular frequency as to make it a characteristic trait of Siuslaw phonology.

While examples covering the whole vocalic system could not be obtained, the following rules seem to prevail:

- (1) The a-, i-, and u- vowels of the stem, when they lose their accent, are changed into open i (written here  $\hat{\imath}$ ) or obscure vowels whenever they precede or follow non-labilized consonants.
- (2) These vowels are changed—for the sake of harmonization—into short u whenever they appear before or after labialized consonants or w.

(3) The unaccented diphthongs lose the second element, especially in cases where the stem-vowel is followed by the accented verbalizing suffixes  $-a^i$  and  $-\bar{u}^i$  (see § 75).

Examples showing the change of a-, i-, and u- vowels before or after non-labialized consonants:

 $m\bar{a}'t\bar{\imath}$ dam 48.10

ts!aln pitch 26.6
maatc it lay 32.22

yax- to see 34.4 tcīn (they) came back 7.7 tsīt!i' arrow 50.11

 $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  boat 56.5  $sm\bar{u}t'$ - to end 20.5  $h\bar{u}^un$ - to be dark 34.8, 9  $s\bar{u}n$ - to dive 64.21  $m\hat{\imath}t\bar{\imath}'y\bar{u}'^u$  the art of making dams 48.11

meti'txaux they two always made dams 50.12, 13

ts/îlna'tc with pitch 24.1
mîtcū'wi many were lying 36.27
metca'wanx they intended to lie

down 38.23  $y\hat{\imath}xa'y\bar{u}n$  he saw it 58.13

 $tcen\bar{\imath}'tc$   $x\hat{\imath}nt$  he went back 58.15, 16

 $ts\hat{\imath}_L/a^{i\prime}$  he shot 50.20

tsîl./i'tc by means of an arrow 15.8  $sexa^{\bar{u}'}tc$  into (a) boat 34.5

 $sexa^{i}$  to into (a) boat seximal seximal it ends 14.6  $hw\hat{n}\bar{u}^{i}$  it is dark  $s\hat{n}\bar{u}^{i}$  he dives

Change of a-, i- (and u-) vowels before or after labialized consonants or w:

mā'q<sup>u</sup>L crow 34.23 ya'wīsūn (you) will pick 36.18 \$\hat{u}qwa'^atem\text{ trunk of a tree}\$ 92.5, 6 mī'k'utūx he will cut muqwa'ıEm of crow 34.21
yuwa'' he digs 96.18
ûlqūtmī'aux qaa'' into the stem they two went 92.6
mukwa'' he cuts

### Treatment of diphthongs:

 $xa^{i}tc$ - to roast (meat) 90.8  $p^{a}a^{i}ln$ - to hunt 15.3

 $a^{u}s$ - to sleep 23.9  $tc/ha^{u}c$ - to be glad 23.3  $q\bar{u}^{i}t'$ - to dream 68.21

 $xatca^{i'}$  he roasts (meat)  $u\bar{t}^{E}nx \quad paln\bar{\imath}'tx$  they are hunting 82.16, 17  $as\bar{u}^{i'}$  he sleeps 70.2  $te!hac\bar{u}^{i'}$  he is glad

 $q\bar{u}t'a^{i'}$  he dreams

Shortening of the stem-vowel frequently takes place after the suffixation of an additional syllable, regardless of whether the accent had been shifted or not.

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  much, many 8.5  $ya'xt\bar{u}x$  (ye two) will multiply 32.6  $yxa^{i'}tc^{w}ax$   $x\hat{v}'nt\bar{v}s$  they (dual) continually multiply 98.12  $t!\hat{a}mc$  infant 40.19  $t!\hat{v}'mct!^{i}t\bar{u}x$  (they) will raise children 32.3  $tc\hat{v}mtca'm\hat{v}$  ax 27.10  $tc\hat{v}mtc\hat{v}mya$  (locative case) 29.1

In a few instances accent and suffixation have caused the loss or addition of a vowel, and hence that of an extra syllable.

qīūtcū'nî woman 30.21 mît!a'sk'în step-father waa''muxu (they two) talk to each other 10.7

 $qay\bar{u}'^{wi}nts$  stone

qīūtena<sup>i</sup> (when) he marries 76.8

mît!a'sk'nī'tîn my step-father100.5

waa'yɛmxust (they two) begin to
talk to each other 56.4

waa<sup>i</sup> mxustx (they) began to talk
to each other 64.20, 21

quy<sup>u</sup>na'tsite upon the rock 62.11

#### §§ 13-17. Consonantic Processes

### § 13. Consonantic Metathesis

This change affects mostly the subjective suffix for the third person dual  $-a^ux$  (see § 24), and (very seldom) the consonantic combination n+s or n+ts.

In the first instance  $-a^u x$  is transposed into -wax (contracted sometimes into -ux) or whenever it is added to stems or words that precede the verbal expression (see § 26). This transposition never takes place when the pronoun is suffixed to the verb.

 $ts \hat{\imath} m \text{ (always)} + -a^u x \qquad ts \hat{\imath} ' m^w ax \text{ always they two} \dots$  50.10  $p = n\hat{\imath}' s \text{ (skunk)} + -a^u x \qquad ants \quad p = n\hat{\imath}' s^w ax \text{ those two skunks}$  88.6, 7  $ants \quad (\text{that one}) + -a^u x \qquad a'ntsux \text{ those two } 52.3, 5$   $s^x ats \bar{\imath}' tc \quad (\text{thus}) + -a^u x \qquad s^x ats \bar{\imath}' tc^w ax \text{ thus they two } 50.15, 16$   $ul \quad (\text{and, then}) + -a^u x \qquad u'l^w ax \text{ and they two}$   $an't s\hat{\imath} tc \quad (\text{this his}) + -a^u x \qquad a'nts\hat{\imath} tcx^u \text{ these their two } 50.4$ 

This transposition is seldom absent; and parallel forms, like  $a'ntsa^ux$  and a'ntsux 50.12,  $st\bar{\imath}'ma^ux$  50.21, and  $st\bar{\imath}'m^wax$  52.20, are extremely rare. As a matter of fact, the tendency towards the metathesis of  $-a^ux$  is so great that it takes place even in cases where  $-a^ux$  is suffixed to stems ending in a vowel.

 $qwoa'txa^{\bar{\imath}}$  (beaver) +  $-a^ux$   $qwoa'txa^{\bar{\imath}w}ax$  they two (he and) beaver 52.4  $ts\hat{\imath}m\hat{\imath}'l\dot{\cdot}\ddot{a}$  (muskrat) +  $-a^ux$   $ts\hat{\imath}m\hat{\imath}l\dot{\cdot}a'wax$  they two (he and) muskrat 54.19

The transposition of n+s and ts actually occurs in a few instances only, although I have no doubt that under more favorable conditions a greater number of cases could have been collected (see also p. 599).

ants . . .  $ha^iqa^{i'}$  . . . when  $tsa'na^{\bar{u}}$   $\iota\bar{\iota}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}x$  when it will come he comes ashore 82.5 (this way) 62.21, 22 . . . ants  $t\bar{k}wa'myax$  when it  $tsa'ntc\hat{\iota}$  if you . . . 74.8 closed up 78.3  $k\bar{u}^i$  nats if not . . . 29.7

## § 14. Consonantic Euphony

This law requires that the consonants of the k-series should correspond to the quality of the vowel preceding or following it. Hence all velar and palatal k-sounds following a u-vowel become labialized. Owing to the fact that Siuslaw does not possess anterior palatal sounds, harmonization of consonants does not take place after or before i-vowels.

 $lk!an\bar{u}'k^u$  screech owl 86.1  $tcu'x^us$  vulva 90.16  $q\bar{o}'x^um$  off shore 34.6 cuqwa'an roast 90.12  $q\bar{o}'q^u$  knee

 $lkwa'nuq^u$  hat  $t`a'nt\bar{u}q!w\bar{\imath}$  moccasins  $ts!\bar{u}'xw\bar{\imath}$  spoon  $k!u^xw\hat{\imath}na^{\bar{\imath}\prime}$  ice appears 76.13  $c\bar{u}'kwa$  sugar  $^1$ 

# § 15. Simplification of Double Consonants

Double consonants, when not kept apart by means of an inserted weak vowel (see § 4), are usually simplified. This process especially takes place between two t and n sounds, in which case the repeated consonant is dropped. This phonetic law is of great importance; and it should always be borne in mind, because it affects the subjective suffix for the first person singular -n, when following the transitive form in  $-\bar{u}n$ . In such cases the subjective pronoun is invariably dropped; and since the third person singular has no distinct suffix, it becomes at times rather difficult to comprehend by which of these two persons a given action is performed (§§ 24, 28).

hatca't (tall, long)  $+-t'\bar{u}$   $y\hat{\imath}kt$  (big)  $+-t'\bar{u}^{wi}$  wan (now) +-nxan  $s\hat{\imath}'n^ixy\bar{u}n$  (he wants it) +-n  $anxa'xa^{\bar{u}}n$  (he gives it up) +-n  $m\hat{\imath}'ttc\hat{\imath}st$  (he begins to burn) + tx  $y\bar{u}k!\bar{\imath}'tc$  (in pieces) +-yax+-xam

ha'tct'ū'u a long (time) 48.2
yîkt'ū'wi large size
wa'nxan now we (excl.) 30.13
sî'nixyūn I want it 30.4
anxa'xaūn I give him up 60.11
mî'ltcîstx Laa' his mouth begins to burn 29.3
yāk!ītcya'xam into pieces it
was cut 29.4

likwa'yūnanx you get it 48.18L!wā'nīsūnanx you keep on telling him 17.2

# § 16. Modifications of t and k

Siuslaw seems to have a tendency to avoid as much as possible the clusters tn and kn. Since the phonetic character of certain suffixes causes t and n to come into contact frequently, there are many cases of sound shiftings due to the influence of n upon the preceding t. Combinations of this kind are the passive suffixes -ūtnE and  $-is\bar{u}tnE$  (see §§ 58, 59). In these cases the t closure is not formed, but replaced by a free emission of breath, thereby changing these suffixes into  $-\bar{u}'n_E$  and  $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}'n_E$  respectively. It is not inconceivable that this process may have a dialectic significance, differentiating the Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw dialects, because it was noticed that William Smith (who spoke the latter dialect) never used the forms -ūtne and -īsūtne; while his wife 1 (a Lower Umpqua Indian) invariably hesitated to acknowledge the correctness of the use of -ū'ne and -īsū'ne. But as I had no other means of verifying this possibility, I thought it advisable to discuss this change as a consonantic process. The dialectic function of the process under discussion may be borne out further by the fact that in a good many instances these two suffixes occur in parallel forms.

waa'' he says 8.9  $waa'y\bar{u}tnE$  20.6  $s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5  $s\hat{\imath}'n^ixy\bar{u}tnE$  18.4

 $waa'y\bar{u}$ ' $n_E$  he is told 72.3  $s\hat{n}'n'xy\bar{u}'n_E$  it is de-

sired 20.4

1 See Introduction.

hate' - to ask 66.16	hatc'a'yūtne 68.3	hate $ay\bar{u}''nE$ he is asked 66.23
$xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5	$xn\bar{\imath}'^wn\bar{u}tn$ E 62.9	$xn\bar{\imath}'^wn\bar{u}$ 'n <sub>E</sub> it is done
waai' he says 8.9	$waa^{i\prime}s\bar{u}tne~24.3$	waa <sup>ī</sup> 'sū'nɛ he is con-
·		tinually told 23.10
$L\bar{\iota}'\bar{u}$ - to come 8.3	$L/\bar{\imath}_L/w\bar{\imath}'s\bar{u}tne$ 26.2	Lī'wīsū'nE he is con-
		tinually approached
		26.6
galx- to count 8.5	$qa' L x \bar{u} t n E 62.8$	qa'lxīsū'nE (they) are
•		continually counted
		62.11
k!ahai he invites	tanx k!aha'yūtne th	is one you are invited
	24.3	·
$t\bar{u}$ ' $tca^{i\prime}$ he spears	$t\bar{u}$ ' $tca'y\bar{u}tn_E$ it is spea	ared 8.7
62.2		
hakwai' he drops	hakwa'yū'nE it is thro	own 8.7
$tq\bar{u}l\bar{u}^{i'}$ he shouts	tqūlū'yū'nE he is shou	ated at 78.3
92.6		
halî'ta they shout	thatī'sū'nE he is con	ntinually shouted at
13.11	14.2	
<i>cîl</i> •x- to move 27.3	cî'l xīsūtne he is cont	tinually shaken 27.2
$h^{i}yats$ - to put on	$h^i y a' t s \bar{\imath} s \bar{u} t n_E$ it is con	tinually put on 11.7
11.8		

The verbal suffix -t expressing periphrastically the idea to have, to be with something (see § 76), is very often dropped when followed by the subjective pronouns that begin with n (see § 24; see also § 88).

```
atsī'tcītîn ha' thus I think
s̄satsī'tcīn ha' thus I think 21.7
na'm¤lītîn wa'as my language
36.13
L'a'ītanxan our residence na'm¤līn wa'as my language
100.3
```

 $h\bar{\imath}^{i\prime}s\bar{\imath}nxan$   $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$  good (was) our house 100.13

The same tendency of dropping a consonant prevails in clusters consisting of k+n.

```
ta^ak (this here) +-nx tanx this one thou 20.6 ta^ak (this here) +-nxan ta'nxan these ones we . . . 25.3
```

The dropping of k in these instances may also be explained as having resulted from the abbreviation of  $ta^ak$  into tE (see § 115); the more so, as an analogous case is furnished by the local adverb

 $st\bar{\imath}mk$  THERE, which usually loses its k before all following subjective suffixes (see § 119).

 $st\bar{\imath}mk$  (there) 30.18 +-nx  $st\bar{\imath}'m^{\underline{\imath}}nx$  there they . . . 32.3  $st\bar{\imath}mkts$  (there you two) 32.12  $st\bar{\imath}mts$  there you two . . . 32.6  $st\bar{\imath}'mtc\hat{\imath}$  there you (pl.) 32.8

## § 17. Minor Consonantic Changes

In this section those changes affecting the consonants will be discussed, for which not enough examples could be found to permit the formulation of clearly defined rules.

Here belongs in first place the apparent change of a sonant into a fortis in initial reduplication, a process exemplified by only three cases.

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to come 9.2  $L/\bar{\imath}L/w\bar{\imath}'s\bar{u}tn_E$  he is continually approached 26.2  $L/\bar{\imath}L/wa'xam$  he is approached 16.3  $t = m\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3  $t = m\bar{u}'xam$  people assemble about him (passive) 23.3

Another sporadic change is that of q and q! into k before the suffix of place  $-a^{\epsilon}m\bar{u}$  (see § 103).

 $yaq^{u^*}$ - to look 9.1  $y\hat{i}kya^{\varepsilon}m\bar{u}$  a place from where one can see, a vantage point  $ma'q/\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7  $mEkya^{\varepsilon}m\bar{u}$  a dance hall

Compare, however, on the other hand,  $yaq^{u'}ya'waxan$  I intend to look 25.8,9

mi'nq!yem buy a woman!

A third doubtful process consists in the change which the modal adverb  $k\bar{u}^i$   $xyal^ix$  almost, nearly (see § 121), undergoes whenever used with the subjective pronouns for the second person singular or third person plural (see § 24). In such cases the form obtained is always  $kw\bar{\imath}'n^Ex$   $yal^ix$  thou almost, they almost, which may be explained as a result of a simplification from  $k\bar{u}^i+-nx+xyal^ix$  (see § 15).

 $k\bar{u}^i xyal \cdot x sn\bar{u}'t'a$  it almost is  $kw\bar{v}'n^{\underline{x}}x yal \cdot x k\bar{u}'na'^w\bar{u}n$  you almost the end 10.9, 11.1 beat him

kwīnx yal'x lī'wīl they had almost arrived 66.25

### § 18. GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES

All grammatical categories and syntactic relations are expressed in Siuslaw by one of the following four processes:

- (1) Prefixation.
- (2) Suffixation.
- (3) Reduplication.
- (4) Phonetic changes.

Prefixation as a means of expressing grammatical categories is resorted to in only two instances. Almost all grammatical ideas are expressed by means of suffixes. A singular trait of the suffixes in Siuslaw is presented by the fact that the adverbial suffixes are added to the locative form of the noun and must precede the pronominal suffixes. Reduplication is practically confined to the formation of intensive and durative actions; while phonetic changes are employed for the purpose of forming the discriminative case and of expressing duration and intensity of action.

#### § 19. IDEAS EXPRESSED BY GRAMMATICAL PROCESSES

By far the majority of stems that constitute the Siuslaw vocabulary are neutral, receiving their respective nominal or verbal significance from the functional character of the suffix that is added to them. All stems expressing our adjectival ideas are in reality intransitive verbs.

Of the two prefixes employed as a means of expressing grammatical categories, one indicates relationship, while the other points out the performer of an action.

The suffixes are overwhelmingly verbal in character; that is to say, they indicate ideas of action and kindred conceptions. Hence they are employed for the purpose of expressing activity, causation, reciprocity, the passive voice, the imperative and exhortative modes, etc. The pronouns denoting both subject and object of an action are indicated by suffixes, as are also the possessive relations that may exist between the object of a sentence and its subject. All temporal ideas are conveyed by means of suffixes, and Siuslaw shows a remarkable development of this category, having distinct suffixes that express inception, termination, frequency, duration, intention of performing an action, as well as the present, future, and past tenses. Other ideas that are expressed by means of verbal suffixes are mainly

modal in character, indicating distribution, negation, location of action, and the attempt to perform a given act.

Nominal suffixes are, comparatively speaking, few in number, and express chiefly adverbial ideas, such as local relationships and instrumentality. They are used, furthermore, for the purpose of forming abstract concepts, diminutive and augmentative nouns, and also express cases of nouns.

Ideas of plurality are hardly developed; for, with the exception of two suffixes that express plurality of the subject of the sentence, Siuslaw has no other grammatical means of indicating plurality of action or of nominal concepts. Distinct verbal and nominal stems for singular and plural subjects or objects, such as are employed in other languages, do not exist. Plurality of subject and object is sometimes indicated by particles.

Reduplication expresses primarily repetition and duration of action; while phonetic changes serve the purpose of denoting the performer and intensity of action.

The grammatical function of particles covers a wide range of ideas, pertaining chiefly to the verb. Some express finality of action, sources of knowledge, emotional states, connection with previously expressed ideas, others have an exhortative and restrictive significance.

In the pronoun, three persons, and a singular, dual, and plural, are distinguished. Grammatical gender does not exist. The first person dual has two distinct forms,— one indicating the inclusive (I AND THOU), and the other the exclusive (I AND HE). In like manner the first person plural shows two separate forms,—one expressing the inclusive (I AND YE), and the other the exclusive (I AND THEY).

The demonstrative pronoun, while showing a variety of forms, does not accentuate visibility or invisibility, presence or absence, and nearness or remoteness, in relation to the three pronominal persons.

The numeral is poorly developed, exhibiting forms for the cardinals only. Means of forming the other numerals do not exist. They are expressed mostly by the cardinals. The ordinals are sometimes indicated by means of an adverbial suffix.

The syntactic structure of the sentence presents no complications. The different parts of speech may shift their position freely without affecting the meaning of the sentence. Nominal incorporation and

words that are compounds of independent stems do not exist, and words denoting nominal or verbal ideas can be easily recognized through the character of their suffixes.

# **MORPHOLOGY** (§§ 20–136)

#### Prefixes (§§ 20-21)

Siuslaw has only two prefixes,—a fact that stands out most conspicuously when we consider the large number of prefixes that are found in some of the languages spoken by the neighboring tribes. Of these two prefixes, one is employed for the purpose of denoting nouns of relationship, while the other forms the discriminative case of nouns and pronouns.

# § 20. Prefix of Relationship m-

This prefix is found in a limited number of terms of relationship. All these terms occur also in Alsea, and it is quite conceivable that they represent loan-words assimilated by means of this prefix. By far the majority of nouns expressing degrees of relationship occur without the prefix m. Owing to the fact that Siuslaw does not permit an m to appear in initial consonantic clusters, the prefix is often changed into  $m\hat{\imath}$ - (see § 4).

The following is a complete list of all terms employed in Siuslaw for the purpose of denoting the different degrees of relationship.

English	Siuslaw
Father	mîtà ²
Mother	$m\hat{\imath}l\grave{a}^{3}$
Elder brother	$mar{a}t.'ar{\imath}'$ 4
Younger brother	$m^{m{u}}ar{u}'sar{k}^{m{u}}$ 5
Elder sister	$m\hat{i}sar{i}'a^{ar{i}}$ 6
Younger sister	$m\hat{i}ctcar{i}'^i$
Grandfather	<i>LîpL</i> , <i>LîpL'mä</i> (see § 84)
Grandmother	kamı, kamı'mä (see § 84)
Grandson	$\ell \bar{\imath} m \bar{\imath}' s k' \hat{\imath} n \text{ (see § 83)}$
Granddaughter	$ltekar{o}'n$
Paternal uncle, stepfather	<i>mît!a'sk</i> ' <i>în</i> (see § 83)
Maternal uncle	$t!\bar{a}'^as\hat{\imath}ts!\hat{\imath}^{\imath}$
Paternal and maternal aunt	$k ar{u}' l a$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See p. 437, note 1.

 $<sup>\</sup>dot{a}$  Alsea  $t\bar{a}^{\varepsilon a}$ .

<sup>8</sup> Alsea līti.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Alsea hā<sup>ɛ</sup>t!.
<sup>5</sup> Alsea mū'tsīk'.

<sup>Alsea sa<sup>c</sup>a.
Alsea t!ā'atsa.</sup> 

Parent-in-law mekłī' 1 Son-in-law  $m\bar{u}'n(\bar{\imath})^2$ Daughter-in-law te'mxan (?) Brother-in-law, sister-in-law  $t\bar{a}'maxt^3$ Stepmother mîlask'î'l·mä (sec §§ 83, 84) Stepbrother  $m\bar{u}^u s k u' l \cdot m\ddot{a}$  (see § 84) Stepsister (?) Nephew (son of brother)  $t\bar{\imath}p$ Nephew (son of sister); step $t!\bar{a}^{t}t^{4}$ Niece (daughter of brother)  $l\hat{n}'pxan$  (?)<sup>5</sup> tīnī 6 Niece (daughter of sister); stepdaughter (?) Term of relationship, by mar $xay\bar{u}'sL^7$ riage, after the death of the person that caused this kinship

In addition to these terms of kinship, I have obtained a few other stems, whose exact rendering did not seem to be very clear in the minds of my informants. Thus, William Smith maintained that  $q/a^is\hat{n}'nt\tilde{n}$  denoted elder sister; while Louisa Smith thought she remembered that  $taq/\tilde{n}'w\hat{n}$  signified brother-in-law. Other terms that may belong here are the nouns  $tem\bar{a}'n\tilde{\imath}$  (rendered by my interpreter by cousin), that seemed to be used in addressing a non-related member of the tribe;  $ts'il'm\bar{u}'t$  friend, referring to a person outside the consanguinity and affinity group;  $ts\hat{n}'mqma$  people, folks; and te'q relative (see § 123).

# § 21. Discriminative q- (qa-)

This prefix is added to all terms of relationship and to all independent pronouns for the first and second persons, whenever they are the subject of a transitive action or whenever the presence of both a nominal subject and object in one and the same sentence necessitates the discrimination of the subject. The discriminative case of nouns

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alsea mak·l.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alsea mūn.

<sup>3</sup> Alsea temat SISTER-IN-LAW.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Likewise so by Dorsey for "nephew." The use of this term for "stepson" contradicts the term for "stepfather."

<sup>6</sup> Frequently rendered cousin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The same contradiction as mentioned in note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Coos xa'yusLätc.

<sup>2</sup> Alsea qa'sint.

<sup>§ 21</sup> 

other than terms of relationship is formed by means of an internal phonetic change (see § 111). The same case for the independent personal pronouns for the third person will be found discussed in § 113 (pp. 575 et seq.). The rules of consonantic clusters change this prefix frequently into qa- (see § 4).

mîtà father 54.22

 $m^u \bar{u}' s k^u$  younger brother 56.6

mîlà mother 54.23

nà I 21.8

na'han I 40.14 $n\bar{\imath}x^ats$  thou 50.16

 $na^{u'}x\hat{u}n$  we two (excl.) 36.15

na'nxan we (excl.)

watc who, somebody 10.1

qamîta'te wî'lteîstūn her father sent her 92.20

\*\*l wàn waha' ha<sup>n</sup>n qa' msk\*\*tc now again (said to him) his younger brother 56.20, 21

a'laq qīūtcî'l'mä ta'yūn qamîla'a'tîn one old woman kept (in
her house) my mother 100.12
tsī'k!yanx qnà sî'n'xyūts very
much thee I like 22.7

L!xū'yūn qna'han I know it 19.9 hī'sanx mā'nīsūts qnī'xats well thou shalt always take care of me 22.2, 3

"lnx qnī'x" ts xnī'" nīsūn and you will continually do it 98.10 qna'xûn LElū'yūts we two (excl.)

hit thee  $qna'nxan \ ya'q^uh\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}ts$  we (excl.) will watch thee 72.6

 $qwatc \ L!x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$  he who knows it 44.8

kumî'ntc\*nt quàtc kū' 'nīsūts not us (excl.) anybody will ever beat 72.17

# Suffixes (§§ 22-105)

# § 22. General Remarks

Besides the few ideas that are conveyed by means of other grammatical processes (such as prefixation, reduplication, etc.), Siuslaw employs suffixation as a means of forming practically all of its morphological and syntactic categories. These suffixes are either simple or they are compounded of two or more distinct formative elements. The compound suffixes usually have the cumulative significance of their separate component parts. In many cases, owing to far-reaching

phonetic changes, the derivation of the compound suffixes can not be given with certainty.

From a functional point of view all suffixes may be divided into a verbal and a non-verbal group; the former used in the formation of verbal ideas, the latter employed for the purpose of conveying grammatical concepts of a nominal, adjectival, or adverbial character. In one or two instances we do find a suffix denoting both verbal and nominal ideas. This is especially true of the suffix  $-\bar{u}^u$ ,  $-\bar{u}^{ui}$ , which may indicate an act performed by several subjects, or else the abstract concept of that action (see §§ 79, 97); and of the auxiliary -t, which is also employed in the formation of a number of words denoting adjectival ideas. (See §§ 76, 104.) While it might have been more proper to discuss such suffixes in a separate chapter as "Neutral Suffixes," practical considerations have induced me to treat them in accordance with their functional values, notwithstanding the fact that this treatment entails some repetition.

The majority of Siuslaw stems are neutral, and receive their respective nominal or verbal meaning from the nature of the suffix that is added to them. There are, however, a few stems denoting adverbial ideas that can under no circumstances be amplified by nominal suffixes. Furthermore, it seems to be a general rule that nominalizing suffixes can not be added to a stem that has already been verbalized by some verbal suffix; while numerous instances will be found where a stem originally developed as a verbal idea, and nominalized by means of suffixes, can again be verbalized by adding to the derivative noun an additional verbal suffix.

The following examples will serve to illustrate the three possibilities that prevail in the derivation of verbs and nouns.

# (1) NEUTRAL STEMS:

Stem.	Verb	Noun
tsīl!- to shoot 8.6	$ts\hat{\imath}_L/a^{i\prime}$ he shoots 10.3	<i>tsī'l.'ī</i> arrow 50.7
$t\bar{t}'$ - to eat 13.10	$l^i t' a^{i\prime}$ he eats 44.19	$l\bar{\imath}'t/a^{\bar{\imath}}$ food 34.23
$h\bar{\imath}ts$ - to live	$h^{i}yats\bar{u}^{\prime wi}$ they live	$h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ house 25.2
$\bar{u}lt$ - to snow	walt it snows	$\bar{u}'lt\bar{\imath} \text{ snow } 76.10$
$tsxa^{i}$ - to shine (?)	tsxaya'' L!a'a' day	tsxayū'wi day, sun
	breaks 50.3	7.3
<i>īlq</i> - to dig 80.6	a'ntsux ya'lqa <sup>ū</sup> n they	yatqa'a* hole (in the
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	twodig (the ground)	ground) 84.6
	84.5	-

# (2) ADVERBIAL PARTICLES:

sea usa unus 8.4		· ·	yax for a long time
			thus 11.3, 4 $\alpha x$ thus it was done
		32.16	
waha' again 19.5			qa'mskutc again (said
		to him) l	nis younger brother
		56.21	
			$m^{u}qwa'$ LEm $tc$ $wa'as$
			again (talk) Crow's
		language	38.8, 9 <sup>1</sup>
Nouns:	Noun		Verb
$q\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}tc$ female	$q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$	woman	$q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tcna^{i\prime}$ (when)

(3)52.1730.21he marries 76.8 pīctc-?  $p\bar{\imath}ctcem$ pîctcîma'' (when) summer 46.11 it gets summer  $s^Ea^ina'mltc$  wa'as waa- to speak 7.1 wa'as language 34.21  $wa^{a'}syaxa^{\bar{u}}n$  his language he spoke 36.14

# $Verbal\ Suffixes\ (\S\S\ 23-81)$

#### § 23. INTRODUCTORY

The study of the verbal suffixes of Siuslaw brings out a strong tendency to phonetic amalgamation between different groups of suffixes, by which the component elements are often obscured. For this reason the question of an ultimate relationship between many of the suffixes that occur in Siuslaw can not be ascertained as easily as might seem at first sight, owing chiefly to the fact that in most of the compound suffixes the originally separate elements have undergone considerable phonetic changes and have become to a large extent petrified. However, a careful examination of the phonetic composition of those suffixes that convey kindred psychological and gram matical concepts will show that certain phonetic elements of a given suffix may have served originally to conduce one leading idea, and have amalgamated, in the course of time, with other suffixes, thereby showing a genetic relationship between many of the verbal suffixes.

Thus,  $-\bar{u}$  may have had primarily a transitive indicative function occurring in the suffixes  $-\bar{u}n$  (see § 28),  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see § 29),  $-\bar{u}x$  (see § 30), etc. In like manner, -ts- may have been the proto-suffix that indicated pronominal relations between subject and object, being present in suffixes like  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see § 29), -Emts (see § 31),  $-\bar{u}tsm$ - (see § 34),  $-\bar{u}tts$  (see § 36),  $-\bar{t}ts$  (see § 42), etc.; and -l- seems to have been originally a modal suffix, denoting chiefly the possession of the object of the verb by another person or thing, because it is found in suffixes like  $-\bar{u}t$  (see § 35),  $-\bar{u}tts$  (see § 36),  $-\bar{t}t$  (see § 45),  $-\bar{t}tts$  (see § 46), etc. To all appearances  $-\bar{t}$  must have been an independent suffix implying a command, for it enters into composition with imperative and exhortative suffixes like  $-\bar{t}s$  (see § 62),  $-\bar{t}ts$  (see § 42),  $-\bar{t}mts$  (see § 44),  $-\bar{t}t$  (see § 45),  $-\bar{t}tts$  (see § 46),  $-\bar{t}xm\hat{t}$  (see § 47),  $-\bar{t}tts$  (see § 48),  $-\bar{t}tts$  (see § 49),  $-\bar{t}tts$  (see § 41), etc.; and -tc was undoubtedly the general adverbial suffix.

The following table will best illustrate the plausibility of relationships between some of the suffixes that occur in Siuslaw. The forms marked with an asterisk (\*) represent the probable original suffix, while the other forms indicate the suffixes as they appear today.

\*- $\bar{u}$  indicative

 $-\bar{u}n$  direct object of third person (see § 28)

-ūts direct object of first and second persons (see § 29)

 $-\bar{u}x$  indirect object of third person (see § 30)

-ūtsm object possessed by subject, but separable from it (see § 34)

-ūl object possessed by a third person object (see § 35)

 -ūlts object possessed by a first or second person object (see § 36)

 $-y\bar{u}n$ ,  $-\bar{\iota}^w y\bar{u}n$  exhortative (see § 41)

 $-a^w \bar{u}n$  intentional (see § 70)

\*-ts pronominal relations between subject and object -ūts direct object of first and second persons (see § 29)

-Emts indirect object of first and second persons (see § 31)

-ūtsm object possessed by subject, but separable from it (see § 34) -ūtts object possessed by a first or second person object (see § 36)

-īts imperative with direct object of the first person (see § 42)

-īmts imperative with indirect object of the first person (see § 44)
-īlts imperative with object pos-

sessed by a first person (see § 46) -tsx imperative expressing posses-

sive interrelations between object and subject (see § 47)

-ītsme exhortative expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject (see § 48)

\*-ī imperative

 $-\bar{\imath}^w y \bar{u} n$ ,  $-\bar{\imath} n \bar{\imath}$  exhortative with direct object of the third person (see § 41)

-īts imperative with the direct object of the first person (see § 42)

- -īmts imperative with indirect object of the first person (see
- -īl imperative denoting that object is possessed by a third person (see § 45)
- -īlts imperative denoting that object is possessed by a first person (see § 46)
- -ītsmE exhortative with possessive interrelations between object and subject (see § 48)
- -īs imperative for transitive verbs (see § 62)
- -īxmî intransitive exhortative (see § 63)

- \*-l possessive interrelations between object and subject
- $-\bar{u}l$  object possessed by a third person object (see § 35)
- -ūlts object possessed by a first or second person object (see § 36)
- -ūltx, -xamltx passive with possessive relations of subject (see § 39)
- -īt imperative denoting that object is possessed by a third person (see § 45)
- -*īlts* imperative denoting object is possessed by a first person (see § 46)
- -? (?) exhortative (see § 64)
- \*-tc adverbial
- -tc' tentative (see § 52)
- -tc local (see § 90)
- -ītc modal (see § 94)

In discussing these suffixes it seems convenient to begin with the group that appears in the sentence in terminal position and proceed backwards with our analysis. According to this treatment, we may distinguish-

- (1) Pronominal suffixes.
- (2) Objective forms.
- (3) Modal suffixes.
- (4) Temporal suffixes.
- (5) Verbalizing suffixes.
- (6) Plural formations. (7) Irregular suffixes.

# PRONOMINAL SUFFIXES (§§ 24-26)

# § 24. The Subjective Pronouns

The pronouns denoting the subjects of an action, transitive and intransitive, as well as pronominal objects, are expressed by means of suffixes that invariably stand in terminal position. The third person singular has no distinct form. The first persons dual and plural have distinct forms for the inclusive and exclusive. The same pronouns are used for all modes and voices. In the imperative the second person singular is omitted.

The following table will serve to illustrate what may be called the fundamental type of the subjective pronouns:

	ngular	Dual	Plural
1st person sing	-n	-ns	$-n\overline{t}$
2d person	nx	-ts	$-tc\hat{\imath}$
3d person	-	$-a^{u}x$	-nx
Exclusive du. and pl		$-a^{u}x\hat{u}n$ , $-ax\hat{u}n$	-nxan

It would seem that the exclusive forms are derived from the third persons dual and plural and the first person.

These suffixes appear also in the independent personal pronouns (see §113). The suffix for the first person singular, -n, disappears regularly after the transitive  $-\bar{u}n$  (see § 15), and the confusion that might arise from the fact that the transitive form for the third person singular ends in  $-\bar{u}n$  also, is avoided by accentuation of the first person singular as the subject of an action by the additional use of the independent pronoun that either precedes or follows the verb.

The second person singular and the third person plural happen to consist of the same phonetic elements, -nx. Ambiguity of meaning in both forms is avoided by addition of the independent personal pronouns. The suffix for the third person dual undergoes frequent changes, which have been fully discussed in § 13.

The rules regulating consonantic clusters require the insertion of an obscure (or weak) vowel between stems ending in a consonant and any of the subjective suffixes that begin with a consonant (see § 4).

According to the manner in which the subjective pronouns are added to a given verbal stem, the verbs may be divided into the five following distinct groups:

- (1) Verbs that add the pronominal suffixes directly to the stem or that take them after the verbalizing suffixes  $-a^i$  and  $-\bar{u}^i$ .
  - (2) Verbs that end in  $-\bar{\imath}$ .

- (3) Certain verbs that end in x.
- (4) Verbs that express the third person singular by means of stem amplification (see § 112).
  - (5) Verbs that end in -a.

The first group presents no difficulties whatsoever. The subjective pronouns are added directly to the stem or else follow the verbalizing suffixes  $-a^i$  and  $-\bar{u}^i$  (see § 75).

A number of verbs seem to end in  $-\bar{\imath}$ , which undergoes a phonetic change whenever the pronominal suffixes are added to it. Thus, it is shortened when followed by the pronoun for the first person singular, and it undergoes the process of diphthongization (see § 7) whenever a pronoun for any of the other persons is added to it. Whenever the third person singular is to be expressed, the verb appears with  $-\bar{\imath}$ , which is often diphthongized into -ya. Verbs that take the tentative suffix -tc (see § 52) and the frequentative -at! $\bar{\imath}$  (see § 68) are treated similarly.

A peculiar treatment is accorded to certain verbs that end in x. Here belong only such verbs as have been amplified by means of the modal suffix  $-it^2ax$  (see § 51) and of the temporal suffixes -awax,  $-t\bar{u}x$ , and -yax (see §§ 70, 73, 74). These suffixes do not change their phonetic composition when followed by the pronouns for the first person singular and second persons dual and plural. However, as soon as the subjective pronouns for any of the other persons are added to them, the final x disappears. An exception to this rule is offered by the future  $-t\bar{u}x$  (see § 73) when followed by the pronoun for the third person dual. In this case the final x is always retained. Whether the disappearance of the x is due to contraction or to other causes, can not be said with any degree of certainty.

The last two groups comprise verbs the stems of which undergo a process of amplification whenever the third person singular is to be expressed. Verbs belonging to the fourth group show an internal change of the stem, while those of the fifth group add an  $\alpha$  to the bare stem. A full discussion of the phonetic character of these two processes will be found in § 112, p. 574.

In accordance with these five types of verbs, the following tabular arrangement of the pronominal suffixes may be presented:

	1st type	2d type	3d type	4th type	5th type
Singular  Dual (incl.)  Plural (incl.) .	-n	-în	-xan	-n	-n
	-ns	-yans	-ns	-ns	-ns
	-nl	-yanî	-nl	-nl	-nl
Singular  2d person Dual  Plural	-nx	-yanx	-nx	-nx	-nx
	-ts	-yats	-xts	-ts	-ts
	-tcî	-yatcî	-xtcî	-tcî	-tcî
Singular  Dual  Plural	—, -ai, -ūi -aux -nx	-ī, -ya -yaux -yanx	-x -xaux,-aux -nx	{Amplified} stem } -aux -nx	-a -aux -nx
Exclusive Plural	-auxûn	-yavxûn	-auxûn	-auxûn	-auxûn
	-axûn	-yaxûn	-axûn	-axûn	-axûn
	-nxan	-yanxan	-nxan	-nxan	-nxan

(1) Pronominal suffixes added directly to the stem or following the verbalizing  $-a^i$  and  $-\bar{u}^i$ :

wînx- to be afraid 17.6
waa- to speak 7. 1
wînx- to be afraid 17.6
lnauw- to be rich 76.3
lqaq- to pass wind 86.7
tsînq!- to be poor 16.10
lit!ai he eats 46.5
tsînq!- to be poor 16.10

 $tc\bar{i}n$ - to come back skwa'- to stand 10.9  $tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8  $sm\bar{u}t$ '- to end 8.8  $qa'tc^int$  he goes 12.  $x\hat{i}nt$ - to start 23.1  $ts\hat{i}nq$ /- to be poor 16.10

yuwa'' he gets pitch 96.18

 $n_E q \bar{u}^{i\prime} t x$ - to be cold

 $w\hat{\imath}'nx\hat{\imath}n$  I was afraid 58.22 waai'n I say wî'nxîns we two (incl.) are afraid *lnawwant* we (incl.) are rich lga'ganx thou passest wind 86.14 tsî'nq!ats you two are poor lit!a'yats you two eat tsî'ng!atcî you are poor tsînq! he is poor  $tc\bar{\imath}n$  he returned 7.7 skwahai he stands 14.4  $tq\bar{u}l\bar{u}^{i'}$  he shouted 92.6  $smît'\bar{u}^{i'}$  it ends 14.6  $qa'tc^inta^ux$  they two go 23.1 xî'ntanx they started 88.20  $ts\hat{\imath}'nq!a^{u}x\hat{\imath}n$  we two (excl.) are poor  $yuwa'ya^ux\hat{u}n$  we two (excl.) will

 $n E q \bar{u}^{i} t x a n x a n$  we (excl.) are cold

get pitch 94.17, 18

76.20

#### (2) Pronouns added to verbs that end in i:

 $x\hat{\imath}'l\cdot xc\bar{\imath}$ - to work 50.3

 $\hat{si'nxi}$ - to desire 18.5

 $w\hat{\imath}'nk\bar{\imath}$ - to work 50.6

xî'l'xcī- to work 50.3

xî'l'xcyans we two (incl.) work
xî'l'xcyans we (incl.) work
xî'l'xcyant we (incl.) work
xî'n'xyanx (if) you desire 44.6
xî'n'xyats you two desire
wî'nkyatcî you are working
wî'nkī he is working
xî'l'xcī (xî'l'xcya) he is working
50.9
xî'l'xcyaux they two work
xî'l'xcyaux they work
xî'l'xcyauxîn we two (excl.) are
working
xî'l'xcyanxan we (excl.) are work-

# (3) Pronouns added to certain verbs that end in x:

 $qatc^{E}n$ - to go, to start 8.2  $\bar{a}q$ - to run away 52.10

 $L\bar{i}'\bar{u}$ - to come 8.3  $\bar{a}q$ - to run away 52.10

xwīl!- to return 12.6

 $h\bar{u}tc$ - to play 8.8  $z\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to come 8.3  $ta^i$  it lives 32.21  $m\bar{i}k\bar{u}'$ - to cut 82.14  $tem\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3  $z\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to approach 8.3  $\bar{u}q$ - to run away 88.3

 $ta^i$  it lives 32.21  $t\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to approach 8.3

 $\bar{a}q$ - to run away 88.3

 qa'tcintūxan I shall go 22.2
 āqa'waxan I intend to run away 90.21

\[ \bar{t}\bar{v}yaxan \text{ I came} \]
\[ \bar{a}'qt\bar{u}ns \text{ we two (incl.) shall run} \]
\[ away 92.2 \]

āqa'wans we two (incl.) intend to run away 90.23

xwī'L!tūnt we (incl.) shall return 60.9

xwī' L!yanl we (incl.) have returned hū'tctūnl we (incl.) shall play 7.2 Līwa'wanx you intend to come 25.8 ta'yanx thou didst live mī'kutūxts you two will cut 90.5 temū'tūxtcî you shall assemble 30.7 Lī'ūtūx he will come 8.9 āna'wax he intends to run away

āqa'wax he intends to run away 86.15

tai'yax (if) he lives 44.12
zīū'tūxa<sup>u</sup>x they two will come
zī'ūya<sup>u</sup>x they two came
āqa'wa<sup>u</sup>x they two intend to run
away 86.18

 $\bar{a}q$ - to run away 88.3

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to approach 8.3

 $\bar{a}qa'wa^ux\hat{u}n$  we two (excl.) intend to run away

 $L\bar{l}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}nxan$  we (excl.) will come 30.11

Lī'ūyanxan we (excl.) have come

### (4) Amplification of stem:

 $\bar{\imath}lq$ - to dig 80.6  $c\bar{\imath}tx$ - to flop  $ha^{u}$ - to be ready 8.10  $\iota l\bar{\imath}n$ - to tell 16.9

yalq (they two) dig 84.7 ciyatx (they) flop (around) 36.23 ha'wa it is ready 23.10 L!waan he relates 16.6

#### (5) Verbs that end in -a:

 $ha\bar{u}'$ - to quit 11.4 wa- to speak 7.1  $qa'tc^in$ - to go 12.1  $w\bar{t}w$ - to affirm 17.7 ha'wa it is ready 23.10 waa' he said 12.10 qa'tc\*na he goes 36.1 wīlwa' he affirms 58.9

#### § 25. The Objective Pronouns

The same forms as those discussed in § 24 are used to express the pronominal objects. In these terms the verbal stem is followed by an objective element, which in most cases is followed first by the pronominal object, then by the pronominal subject. In all cases where this composition would bring two consonants into contact they are separated by a weak vowel ( $\alpha$  or  $\hat{\imath}$ ).

The objective elements here referred to are  $-\bar{u}n$ , which expresses the relation to the third person object, and  $-\bar{u}ts$ , which indicates the relation to the first and second persons. These will be treated more fully in §§ 27–29.

In all forms that express a relation of a second person subject or of an exclusive subject to a singular pronominal object, the latter is omitted, and the pronominal subject follows directly the objective element before referred to. Perfect clearness is attained here, since the objective element defines the person of the object. Thus the forms thou, ye two, ye, acting upon either first or second person, can refer only to the first person; I and he, and I and they, only to the second, for otherwise they would be reflexives. In the combination I—thee the subject is omitted. In the combinations I—him, I—them two, I—them, the subject pronoun -n seems to have been contracted with the n of the objective element (see § 15); while in they—me the order of subject and object is reversed.

These phenomena may be indicated in the following tabular form:

#### I. OBJECTIVE FORMS FOLLOWED BY SUBJECT

Т	hird pers	on object		First a	nd second	l persons ob	jects
	Subj	ects			Sub	jects	
Singula	r	Dual	Plural	Singula	ar	Dual	Plural
Inclusive . Exclusive . 2d person . 3d person .	-  ūnanx ūn	-ūnans -ūnauxûn -ūnats -ūnaux	-ūnant -ūnanxan -ūnatci -ūnanx	Inclusive . Exclusive . 2d person . 3d person .	- -ûtsanx -	- -ūtsauxún -ūtsats -	- -ūtsanxan -ūtsatcī -

#### II. SUBJECT OMITTED

I-THEE -- - ūtsanx.

#### III. INVERSION OF SUBJECT AND OBJECT

THEY-ME- -ūtsanxîn.

#### IV. SEQUENCE: OBJECT-SUBJECT

All dual and plural objects; all third person subjects (except THEY-ME).

The following table may serve to illustrate more fully the forms that are used in Siuslaw to express relations between subject and object. Suffixes marked with an asterisk (\*) are forms reconstructed by analogy.

		Sing	ULAR	
		I	Thou	Не
Sin- gular	Me	- -ūtsanx -ūn	-ūtsanx - -ūnanx	-ūtsîn -ūtsanz -ūn
Dual	Inclusive Exclusive You	- -ūtsatsîn { -ūnauxîn { -ūn		-ūtsans -ūtsauzūn -ūtsats -ūnauz -ūn
Plural	Inclusive Exclusive You	- -ūtsatcîn { -ūnanxîn { -ūn	*-ūtsanzananz  -ūnanzanz -ūnanz	-ūtsani -ūtsanzan -ūtsatci -ūnanz -ūn

			DUAL		
		Inclusive	Exclusive	Ye	They
. 5	Ме	_	-	-ūtsats	-ūtsînaux
Sin- gular	Thee	-	-ūtsauxûn	-	*-ūtsanxaux
ab	Him	-ūnans	-ūnauxûn	-ūnats	-ūnaux
	Inclusive	_	-	-	-ūtsansaux
	Exclusive	-	-	-ūtsauxūnats	*-ūtsauxûnaux
Dual	You	-	-ūtsatsauxûn	-	*-ūtsatsaux
А	Them	-unauxans	-ũnauxauxûn	-ūnauxats	-
	Them	-ūnans	-ūnauxûn	-ūnats	-
	Inclusive	_	_	-	*-ūtsanlaux
-	Exclusive	_	_	-ūtsanxanats	-ūtsanxanaux
Plural	You	_	-ūtsatcyaxûn	_	-ūtsatcyaux
F]	mi.	- unanxans	-ūnanxauxūn	-ūnanxats	-ūnanxaux
- 1	Them	-ūnans	-ūnauxūn	-ūnats	-ūnaux
			PLURAL		
		Inclusive	PLURAL Exclusive	You	They
- 1	Ме	Inclusive		-ūtsatcî	They -ūtsanxin
Sin-		Inclusive	Exclusive	-	-ūtsanxîn
Sin- gular	Me Thee Him	Inclusive		-ūtsatcî	
Sin- gular	Thee Him	-	Exclusive - -ūtsanzan	-ūtsatcî } -ūtsinatcî }	-ūtsanxîn *-ūtsanxanx -ūnanx
	Thee	-	Exclusive - -ūtsanzan	-ūtsatcî } -ūtsinatcî }	-ūtsanxîn *-ūtsanxanx
	Thee Him Inclusive	-	Exclusive - -ūtsanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî } -unatcî	-ūtsanxin *-ūtsanxanx -ūnanx *-ūtsansanx
Dual Sin- gular	Thee	-	Exclusive ūtsanzan -ūnanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî } -unatcî	-ūtsanzin *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsanzanz
	Thee	- -ŭnanl - -	Exclusive ūtsanzan -ūnanzanūnanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî } -unatcî  *-ūtsauxûnatcî	-ūtsanzin *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsauzūnanz *-ūtsatsanz
	Thee	ūnanl 	Exclusive  - ūtsanzan  - ūnanzan  - unanzan  - unanzan  - unanzan  - ūnanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî } -unatcî - *-ūtsauxûnatcî - -ūnauxatcî	-ūtsanzin *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsauzūnanz *-ūtsatsanz -ūnauzanz
Dual	Thee  Him  Inclusive  Exclusive  You  Them	ūnanl 	Exclusive  - ūtsanzan  - ūnanzan  - unanzan  - unanzan  - unanzan  - ūnanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî } -unatcî - *-ūtsauxûnatcî - -ūnauxatcî	-ūtsanzin *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsanzanz *-ūtsanzunanz *-ūtsatsanz -ūnanz -ūnanz
Dual	Thee Him	ūnanl 	Exclusive  - ūtsanzan  - ūnanzan  - unanzan  - unanzan  - unanzan  - ūnanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî -ūnatcî *-ūtsauxûnatcî -ūnauxatci -ūnatcî	-ūtsanzin *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz *-ūtsansanz *-ūtsanzûnanz *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz -ūnanz
	Thee Him	ūnanl 	Exclusive ūtsanzan -ūnanzanitsatsanzan -ūnavzanzan -ūnanzan	{-ūtsatcî -ūtsînatcî -ūnatcî *-ūtsauxûnatcî -ūnauxatci -ūnatcî	-ūtsanzin *-ūtsanzanz -ūnanz *-ūtsanzanz *-ūtsavzūnanz *-ūtsatsanz -ūnavzanz -ūnanz -ūtsantanz *-ūtsantanz

While all these forms may actually appear suffixed to the verb, there prevails a tendency (discussed on p. 479) to suffix the subjective pronouns to adverbial terms preceding the verb rather than to the verb itself. This transposition of the suffixes for the subject of the action considerably lessens the syllabic quantity of the whole verbal expression.

The pronoun I-thee coincides phonetically with the form for  $_{\text{THOU-ME}}$ ; and in order to avoid ambiguity of meaning, the subjects

of these combinations may be indicated by means of the discriminative forms of the independent personal pronouns (see §§ 21, 113).

All forms having a third person as the object do not, as a rule, indicate the number of the subject. This is rather done by means of the numeral  $w\bar{a}'ts/\bar{u}$  two for the dual, and the numeral particle  $ha^{i'}m\bar{u}t$  ALL for the plural.

The difficulty arising from the fact that the suffix  $-\bar{u}nanx$  may express thou-him, etc., and they-him, etc., is bridged over by the additional use of the independent pronouns for thou and they (see § 113). This rule applies to all cases, so that it may be stated that, whenever, by some process of contraction, simplification, or abbreviation, two or more suffixes expressing identical relations be tween subject and object are phonetically alike, their subjects are indicated by the use of the independent pronominal forms. Thus, for instance, the form  $-\bar{u}tsanx$  may express I-thee, thou-me, and he-thee. These are usually distinguished by means of the pronouns  $qn\hat{a}$  I,  $qn\bar{v}x^ats$  thou, and  $s^a\hat{b}x$  he (see § 113), that are placed before or after the verb, denoting that the first, second, or third person respectively is the subject of the action.

The third person singular has no subjective element, owing to the fact that Siuslaw has no distinct form for that pronoun (see § 24).

sî'nxī- to desire 18.5

waai' he says 19.3

likwai he gets, he takes 82.6

hīn- to take along 9.5

teag- to spear 68.18

yaqu'- to look, to watch 9.1

yax- to see 34.4  $xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 9.7

 $t = m\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3

sî'nixyūtsanx qnà hūtca'wax I want thee to have fun 21.6

 $s^{E}ats\bar{v}'tc^{E}nx$  waa'y $\bar{u}ts$  (when) thus thee I tell 36.19

 $s^{E}a'tsanx tunx \ l^{i}kwa'y \tilde{u}ts \ qn \tilde{a}$  that's why I (came to) get thee 21.3

hiya'nyūtsanæ hītsi'stcīn I'll take thee into my house 58.6

 $ya^{\epsilon}k^{u}sin$   $tc\bar{a}qa'qa^{\bar{u}}n$  a seal I was spearing 68.8

ya'qu'yūtsats qnà I will look at you two

 $y\hat{\imath}xa'y\bar{\imath}na^ux\hat{\imath}n$   $qn\lambda$  I see them two  $s^Ea'tsa^ux\hat{\imath}n$   $xn\bar{\imath}yun\bar{\imath}'^wy\bar{\imath}un$  thus to them two I will do it 88.17

kumî'nte<sup>E</sup>tcî nîctcī'te ta'tcî temū'ūts not you in vain these you I assembled 30.18, 19  $s^{E}a'tsa$  thus 8.7

 $waa^{i'}$  he says 8.9

 $t = m\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3  $t q \bar{u} l$ - to shout 52.8

 $m\bar{a}n$ - to take care of 38.13

 $L!wa^an$ - to tell 16.5

 $LE l\bar{u}^{i'}$  he is hitting

 $yaq^{u}$  to look 9.1

waa'- to speak 7.1

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 9.5

 $L/x\bar{u}$ - to know 19.9 yax- to see 20.10

skwa'- to stand 10.9 yax- to see 20.10  $LE t \bar{u}^i$  he hits

yax- to see 20.10

xîntm- to travel 13.3

 $k\bar{u}$ 'n- to beat 78.18

 $s^{\underline{s}}atsa'\bar{u}tsatc\hat{\imath}$  thus I (do it) for you 32.14

 $ha^{i\prime}m\bar{u}t^{i}nxan\ waa'y\bar{u}n$  (to) all them I tell it

temū'ūnanxîn I assemble them
tqūlū'yūtsanx qnī'xats thou art
shouting at me

hī'sanx mā'nīsūts qnī'xats well thou shalt always take care of me 22.2, 3

L!wā'nīsūnanx s\*atsī'te thou wilt keep on telling him thus 17.2

lna'tînx Lelū'yūtsauxûn always thou art hitting us two (excl.)

 $Lel\bar{u}'y\bar{u}nanx\ t\bar{u}'a^ux\ x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}$  thou art hitting those two

 $ya'q^uh\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}tsanxan\ h\bar{\imath}'^isa$  thou shalt always watch us (excl.) well 70.14, 15

ya'qu'yūnanx qnī'xats thou wilt look at them

waa'a<sup>ū</sup>tsîn he told me 58.18 atsī'tcîn waa'a<sup>ū</sup>ts thus me he told 58.20

 $ul^{i}n$   $s^{\underline{e}}as$   $h\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}xa^{\bar{\imath}}ts$   $qa^{i}ha'ntc$  and me he took way off 66.18

L!xū'yūtsanx sĒàs thee he knows tcī'kĒnx yîxa'yūts mā'qūL ulĒnx wa'aīsūts tsîm wherever thee sees Crow, to thee he will keep on talking always 38.16, 17

 $skwaha'ha^{\bar{u}}n$   $s^{\bar{x}}as$  he set it up  $y\hat{n}xa'y\bar{u}n$  he sees it 70.2

LE $l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsans\ s^{E}as$  he is hitting us two (incl.)

yîxa'yūtsauxûn he is looking at us two (excl.)

 $ula^ux$   $x\hat{v}'ntm\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}n$  he takes them two along 92.16

kumî'ntc<sup>E</sup>nt qwàtc kū''nīsūts not us (incl.) any one will ever beat 72.17 yaqu'- to look 9.1

 $L\bar{\iota}'\bar{u}$ - to come 9.2

 $LEl\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he hits

 $xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 9.7

 $LEl\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he hits

 $xa\bar{u}'$  he died 40.21

 $LE l\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he hits

ya'qu'yūtsanxan s\*às he looks at us (excl.)

 $h^i y a' t c^{\text{E}} n x a n \text{ L} / \tilde{\imath}' \text{L} / \tilde{\imath} t s$  people us (excl.) came (to see) 100.8

Lelū'yūtsatcî he is hitting you sea'sūtsatcî Lelū'yūts he is hitting you

 $L\bar{e}l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}nanx\,s^{\bar{e}}$  he is hitting them  $s^{\bar{e}}$  às  $ha^{i\prime}m\bar{u}t\,L\bar{e}l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$  he hits all

 $xn\bar{\imath}^w n\bar{\imath}'^w y \bar{u} ns$  10.5 (abbreviated from  $xn\bar{\imath}^w n\bar{\imath}'^w y \bar{u} nans$ ) we two (incl.) will do it

 $LEl\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsa^ux\hat{u}n$  we two (excl.) are hitting thee

 $qna'x\bar{u}n$  Lel $\bar{u}'y\bar{u}ts$  we two (excl.) are hitting thee

xaū'na<sup>u</sup>xûn ants mī'k!a hītc we two (excl.) killed that bad man 96.8.9

 $qna^{u'}x\hat{u}n$  Lel $\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsats$  we two (excl.) are hitting you two

qna'xûn Lelū'yūn we two (excl.) are hitting him

LElū'yūnauxûn tū'aux xā'ts!ū we two (excl.) are hitting those two qna'xûn LElū'yūtsatcî we two (excl.) are hitting you two

qna'xûn ulxûn lelū'yūn tū'a l!a'a' we two (excl.) are hitting those (many)

 $Let\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsats\ qn\bar{v}'x^ats$  you two are hitting me

LE lū'yūnats you two are hitting him

 $qn\bar{v}'x^ats$  Le $l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsa^ux\hat{u}n$  you two are hitting us two (excl.)

LE $l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}nats$   $t\bar{u}'a^ux$   $x\bar{a}'ts.'\bar{u}$  you two are hitting those two

qnī'xtsets ha''mūt'nxan lelū'yūts you two are hiting us (excl.) all lelū'yūnats ha''mūt you two are hitting (them) all

 $s^{E}a's^{w}ax$  LE $l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}ts\hat{i}n$  they two are hitting me

ya'x- to see 20.10

 $qn\bar{u}$ '- to find  $LEl\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he hits

*L!xmīyai'* he kills

k!a'- to invite 16.3

hate'- to ask 66.16

yaqu'- to look 9.1

 $s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5

 $Lx\bar{u}\bar{\imath}'$ - to dry 60.19

 $LE l\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he hits

anx- to give up 54.12

 $yaq^{u^*}$ - to look 9.1

hatc'- to ask 66.16

yaqu'- to look 9.1

waai he says 19.3

 $y\hat{\imath}xa'y\bar{u}na^ux$  they two saw him 62.20, 21

 $ula^u x q n\bar{u}'h\bar{u}n$  they two find it 56.9  $s^E a' s^w ax \ LE l\bar{u}' y \bar{u} t s ans$  they two are hitting us two (incl.)

 $s^{E}a's^{w}ax$  LE $l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsanxan$  tney two are hitting us two (excl.)

tūa'swax lelū'yūtsatcî those two are hitting you two

 $t\bar{u}a's^wax$   $LE\bar{t}\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$   $ha^{i\prime}m\bar{u}t$  those two are hitting (them) all

L!xmīya'yūnanł we (incl.) will kill him 28.3

 $qn anl L!xm \bar{y}a'y \bar{u}n t \bar{u}'anx$  we (incl.) will kill those (all)

 $s^{E}a'tsanxan \ k.'aha'y\bar{u}ts$  that's why we (excl.) invite thee 24.10

a'tsanxan te hatc'a'yūts qnà that's why we (excl.) ask thee 74.15

 $qna'nxan ya'q^uh\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}ts$  we (excl.) will continually watch thee 72.6

 $s\hat{\imath}'n^{i}xy\bar{u}nanxan$   $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}x$  we (excl.) want him to come 17.2, 3

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}nxan\ tt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}\ Lxuy\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n\ lots$  we (excl.) salmon dry it

qna'nxan LE $l\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsats$  we (excl.) are hitting you two

qna'nxan lelū'yūn tū'a<sup>u</sup>x xā'ts!ū we (excl.) are hitting those two qna'nxan lelū'yūtsatcî we (excl.) are hitting you (pl.)

 $ha^{i'}m\bar{u}t^{i}nxan$   $Lel\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$   $qn\dot{a}$  we (excl.) are hitting (them) all

a'nxaūtsatcî you (shall) let me alone 27.5

yaq<sup>u</sup>'yī'<sup>w</sup>yūtsatcî haya'mūt you all shall look at me 72.11, 12

hatc'a'yūnatcî you (shall) ask her 74.10

ya'qu''yūtsauxûn qnī'xtsetcî you are looking at us (excl.)

atsī'tc<sup>E</sup>nxan waa'yūts thus they told me 46.20, 21

 $s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5

 $ts^{\prime i}ha^{\prime}y\bar{u}n$  he kills it 46.5, 6

L!waan- to tell 16.5

L!xma'yanxîn sî'nixyūts (to) kill me they want 21.9

ts'iha'yūnanx ants lî'mna'q they kill that elk 82.17, 18

 $t\bar{u}a's^{\mathbf{z}}nx$   $\mathbf{L}'\bar{o}na'y\bar{u}tsanl$  these told us (incl.)

#### § 26. Position of Pronouns in Verbs Accompanied by Adverbial Forms

As has been stated before (see p. 474), the pronominal suffixes stand in terminal position, and theoretically are added to the verb; but whenever an adjective, an adverb, or a particle precedes the verb, the pronouns are preferably suffixed to these and precede the verbal expression. The verb appears in all such cases in what may be called the fundamental type (see pp. 470, 474).

nî'ctcîm because 18.8

kumî'nte not 12.2

taik here

 $sqa^{i}k$  there 14.6

 $s^{E}ats\bar{\imath}'tc$  thus 8.1

hai'na different 58.9

 $y\bar{a}^{a\prime}xa^{i}$  much 8.5

 $tc\bar{\imath}k$  where 34.2

ul and, then 7.4

nî'ctcîmîn meq.'ya'wax because I intend to dance 72.12

*kumî'ntc<sup>ɛ</sup>nx plna<sup>i'</sup>* not you are sick 86.14

ta<sup>i</sup>'k<sup>E</sup>ns aya'qa<sup>i</sup>tī tE sī'xa<sup>i</sup> here we two (incl.) will leave this (our) canoe 56.5

sqa<sup>ī</sup>kts qa'tc<sup>ɛ</sup>ntūx, sqa<sup>ī</sup>kts t!îmct!itūx there you two shall go, there you two shall raise children 32.5

s<sup>z</sup>atsī'tc<sup>w</sup>ax waana'wa thus they two speak to each other 10.1, 2 ha'nant hū'tctūx differently we (incl.) will play 11.2

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}nxan\ h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}^{i'}$  lots (of games) we (excl.) play 70.19

tcī'ktcî hūtcū'', s\*atsa'tcî xnī'wnīs where (ever) you play, thus you will keep on doing it 72.20, 21 "lnx wàn tcī'n then they finally

returned 60.10, 11

The same tendency to suffix the subjective pronouns to adverbial expressions that precede the verb is shown even in cases where a verbal expression is preceded by a nominal subject or object.

 $h^iya'tc$  people 60.25

L!owa'x messenger 7.7

 $h^i y a' t c^E n x l \bar{\imath}' t / \bar{\imath} s \bar{u} t s t x \bar{u}$  people thee will eat just 13.10

 $L^owa'x^Enxan$   $t \in L\bar{\iota}\bar{\iota}\bar{\iota}'$  (as) messengers we (excl.) these come 30.6, 7

 $ilqwa^{a'}tEm$  root, alder tree  $ilq\bar{u}tm\bar{u}$  92.5, 6 two e  $ya^{\epsilon}k^{u}s$  seal 62.4  $yEk\bar{u}'s^{E}$  spean $qa^{i}x$  night 40.14  $qa^{i'}x^{E}n\bar{u}$ 

ilqūtmī'aux qaai' an alder tree they two entered 92.6

yekū'sēnx tū'tcai' sea-lions they spear 62.2

qai'xēnx a'l'dū ya'quhītūx(at) night likewise you will watch 70.18, 19

# OBJECTIVE FORMS (§§ 27-48)

#### § 27. Introductory

In sentences containing subject and object the interrelation between them is expressed with great definiteness by means of suffixes that precede the subjective and objective pronouns. My original intention was to treat these suffixes as pronominal elements; but the chief objection to such a treatment lies in the fact that the pronouns, subjective and objective, are repeated after them. Hence it was found advisable to treat them as objective elements. In the expression of the relations a distinction is made between third person objects on the one hand, and first and second persons on the other. Furthermore, the indirect object is distinguished from the direct object, and the same classification of persons is found. The possessive relations between the subject and the two objects are also expressed with great clearness; and, finally, a sharp line of demarcation is drawn between the indicative, imperative, and passive modes.

It would seem that the following table represents all the suffixes belonging to this group:

INDICATIVE			Імрі	ERATIVE	PASSIVE
	"	Personal	Interrelations		
Object	1st & 2d per.	3d per.	1st per.	3d per.	
Direct	-ūts	-ūn	-īts	-yūn, -īnī	
Indirect	-Emts	-ūx	-īmts	-īwyūn -yūx	-īmE, -ūmE
		Po	ossessive Interre	elations	
Forms of possession					
Not own .	-ũlts	-ū <b>l</b>	-īlts	-71	-ũltx
Own insep Own sep	-ītx, - -ūtsm		1	itsx itsm	-xamltx

Some of these forms are applicable to the present tense only, showing different suffixes in other tenses. Thus, an entirely divergent treatment is accorded to the suffixes denoting possessive interrelations for the durative, intentional, and past tenses (see § 37).

For the purpose of greater clearness, these forms have been subdivided into the following four groups:

- (1) Indicative forms denoting personal interrelations.
- (2) Indicative forms expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject.
- (3) Passive suffixes indicating pronominal and possessive interrelations.
- (4) Imperative forms denoting pronominal and possessive interrelations.

Indicative Suffixes Denoting Personal Interrelations (§§ 28-31)

§ 28. Direct Object of Third Person -un (-aun)

This suffix transforms nouns into verbs, transitivizes all verbs expressing intransitive actions, and changes a transitive idea into a causative concept. In all these cases the object must be a third person. All stems ending in *i*-diphthongs change the *i* of the diphthong into *y* before adding the transitive suffix (see § 8). This suffix immediately precedes the subjective pronouns, and hence invariably follows the tense signs. For the interchange between  $-\bar{u}n$  and  $-a^{\bar{u}}n$  see § 2.

 $k!u^xw^2na^{i\prime}$  ice appears 76.13

 $t_E k'.\bar{a}'k_L'$  trap 100.4  $yalqa'a^{\bar{a}}$  hole 84.6

 $s^{\underline{s}}a'tsa$  thus 8.7  $h\bar{\imath}^{i'}sa$  well 12.2  $w\hat{\imath}nx$  he is afraid 17.6

cîl'x it shook 36.10 maltc- to burn 25.2

 $xa\bar{u}'$  he died 40.21  $ma^atc$  it lay 32.20

 $k/u^x w \bar{v}' n \bar{u} n L/a'^{ai}$  ice he made all over 94.2, 3  $t E k / \bar{u}' k L / \bar{u} n$  he sets traps

a'ntsux ya'lqa<sup>ū</sup>n those two (who) dig holes 84.5

 $s^{\underline{x}}aisa'\bar{u}n$  thus (he does it)  $h\bar{\imath}sa'\bar{u}n$  he cures him

 $w\hat{\imath}'nxa^{\bar{u}}n$  she was afraid of him 86.1

cî'l'xūn she shook him 58.4

 $ma'ltc\bar{u}^u n$   $L\bar{\imath}ya'wa$  he made a fire 94.23

 $xa\bar{u}'\bar{u}n$  he killed him 96.13  $qa^{u}x ma'tc\bar{u}n$  on top (they) put it 80.9

3045°—Bull. 40, pt 2—16——31

 $xn\bar{\imath}'^w n_E$  (they two) do 48.12 L!waan he tells 16.5

waa' he says 12.10  $waa^i$  he said 8.9  $l^it!a^{i\prime}$  he eats 44.19  $y\hat{i}xa^{i\prime}$  (they) look 66.6  $t\tilde{u}$ ' $tca^{i\prime}$  (they) spear 62.2

 $ta^i$  it sits 32.21

 $qn\bar{u}h\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he finds  $tq\bar{u}l\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he shouted 92.6  $ya'q^uha^{\bar{\imath}}t$  he looked 25.3  $ula^ux \ w\bar{\imath}'l\bar{u}t$  they two affirmed 90.6 wa'ayax he spoke  $x\hat{\imath}'ntm^{i}yax$  he traveled

xî'ntmīs (you) will continually travel 13.3  $wa'a^{\bar{\imath}}s$  he says continually 26.8

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (they) came 9.3  $xa\bar{u}'$  he died 40.21

 $y\hat{\imath}xa^{i\prime}$  he sees

hatc'- to ask 66.16

 $xn\bar{\imath}'^w n\bar{u}n$  he did it 94.14 ułaux L!wau'nūn they two told her 96.10

 $waa'a^{\bar{u}}n$  he said to him 20.7  $waa'y\bar{u}n$  he told him 36.26  $l^i t' a' y \bar{u} n$  he devoured him 15.2 ullin llin vlash $u\bar{t}_{nx} t\bar{u}'tca'y\bar{u}n$  they spear (them) 62.5

ta'yūn qamîla'a'tîn my mother kept her 100.12

 $tE'q qn\bar{u}h\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$  something he finds tqūlū'yūn he shouts at him  $ya'q^uha^it\bar{u}n$  (I) look at them 25.5, 6 uł mā'que wī'lūtūn Crow answered him 36.6, 7  $wa^{a'}yaxa^{\bar{u}}n$  he spoke to him 36.11

 $u\bar{t} x\hat{v}'ntm^iyaxa^{\bar{u}}n$  he took (them) along 92.13

qnī'xtsenx xî'ntmīsūn always carry it 14.3 wa'aīsūn (you) keep on telling him

19.5  $L\bar{\imath}\bar{u}'\bar{u}n$  he got (there) 16.3

 $xa\bar{u}'na^ux\hat{u}n$  we two (excl.) killed him 96.8, 9

 $y\hat{\imath}xa'y\bar{u}na^{u}x$  they two see it 62.20,

hatc'a'yūnatcî you ask her 74.10

# § 29. Direct Object of First and Second Persons -ūts (-aūts)

This suffix indicates that an action has been performed upon a first or second person as object. The person of the actor is expressed by suffixing to -ūts the corresponding subjective pronouns (see § 24). use corresponds to that of  $-\bar{u}n$  for the third person object.

An explanation for the interchange between  $-\bar{u}ts$  and  $-a\bar{u}ts$  will be found in § 2. This suffix follows all other verbal suffixes excepting, of course, the subjective pronouns. The  $\bar{u}$  unquestionably denotes the indicative mode, and is identical with the  $\bar{u}$  in  $-\bar{u}n$ ,  $-\bar{u}x$ ,  $-\bar{u}lts$ ,  $-\bar{u}l$ , etc. (see §§ 23, 28, 30, 35, 36).

This suffix has been referred to in § 25, where a tabular presentation of the different combined subject and object pronouns will be found.

 $s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5 sî'nixyūtsanx qnà hūtca'wax I want you to have fun 21.6 ya'qu'yūtsats qnà I will look at yaqu'- to look 9.1 you two hī'sanx mā'nīsūts well thou shalt  $m\bar{a}n$ - to take care 38.13 always take care of me 22.2  $ya'q^uh\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}tsanxan$   $h\bar{\imath}'^isa$  thou yaqu'- to look 9.1 shalt always watch us (excl.) well 70.14, 15 waa'aūtsîn he told me 58.18 waa'- to speak 7.1  $tc\bar{\imath}'k^{E}nx\,y\hat{\imath}xa'y\bar{\imath}ts\,m\bar{a}'q^{u}L$  where $y\bar{a}x$ - to see 13.7 ever Crow sees thee 38.16, 17

For further examples see § 25.

#### § 30. Indirect Object of Third Person -ūx (-aūx)

Each language has a number of verbal expressions that require the presence of a direct and indirect object. Such verbs are, as a rule, distinguished from other stems by means of some grammatical contrivance. Siuslaw uses for that purpose the suffix  $-\bar{u}x$  added to the bare stem. This suffix, however, is used only when the third person (singular, dual or plural) is the indirect object of the sentence. As soon as the first or second person becomes the indirect object, another suffix, -Emts, is used (see § 31).

The pronoun expressing the subject of the action always follows the suffix  $-\bar{u}x$ .

waxav- reduplicated stem of wax- to give 18.5

hamts- to dip out

h<sup>i</sup>yatsî'ts- reduplicated form of hīts-, h<sup>i</sup>yats- to put on, to wear 11.8

 $lak^{u}$ - to take, to fetch 7.5

hamx- to tie 8.6.

 $u\bar{t}$  waxa'x $a\bar{u}x$  ants  $m\hat{i}'n^ixw\bar{i}$  then he gave him that lightning 38.2 (for  $\bar{u}x = a^{\bar{u}}x$  see § 2)

 $s^{\underline{x}}$ às  $ha'mts\bar{u}x$  he dipped it out for him 46.6

hiyatsî'tsūxan I put it on him

lakwa'kūxan I took it away from

 $hamx\hat{\imath}'x\bar{u}x$  he tied it on him

#### § 31. Indirect Object of First and Second Persons -Emts

This suffix is used only with verbal stems that require a direct and indirect object. The direct object expressed by this suffix is always the third person, while the indirect object must be either a first or

a second person, regardless of number. The suffix expressing the same idea with the third person as the indirect object has been discussed in § 30. The pronominal suffixes denoting the subject of the action and its relation to the direct object are the same as those used in connection with the suffix  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see § 29). The verbal stem to which this suffix is added has frequently terminal reduplication.

hamx- to tie 8.6 wax- to give 18.2	hamxî'xEmtsanx I tie it on thee qna'hamtsEnx wā'xaī'sEmts to thee I will keep on giving it 44.15 wāxa'xEmtsanxîn they gave it to
$h\bar{\imath}tsa^{i\prime}$ he put it on	me $h\bar{\imath}tsa'yemtsanx \ qn\bar{\imath}x^ats$ you put it on me $s^{\underline{\imath}}a's\hat{\imath}n \ h^iyats\hat{\imath}'tsemts$ he put it on
$a^iq$ - to leave 56.5	me $s^{\underline{\varepsilon}}a's^{\underline{\varepsilon}}nx$ $h\bar{\imath}tsa'yemts$ he put it on thee $a^{i}qa'qemts\hat{\imath}n$ he left it to me
wax- to give 18.2	wāxa'xemtsanx tā'la he gives thee money

Indicative Suffixes Expressing Possessive Interrelations Between Object and Subject (§§ 32-37)

#### § 32. Introductory

The phenomenon of expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject of a sentence through the medium of distinct suffixes is by no means of uncommon occurrence in the American Indian languages. From a logical point of view such a formation is perfectly justifiable, and may be said to have its origin in the actual difference that exists between the concept of an act performed upon a given object and the conveying of the same act performed upon an object that stands in some relation to the subject of the sentence. Thus the English sentence I whip my horse states a fact that is fundamentally different from the sentence I whip the horse, in so far as it expresses, besides the act performed by the subject upon the object, also the possessive relation that exists between object and subject. In the Indo-European languages, in which each idea maintains an independent position in a complex of grammatical concepts, such

relations are indicated by means of independent words, as a rule possessive pronouns; but in Siuslaw these relations are relegated to the verb, and consequently we find them conveyed by means of certain suffixes that are added to stems denoting verbal ideas.

The possessive relations that may exist between object and subject of a sentence are of a threefold nature. The object may form an inseparable part of the subject (I wash MY face); the object may be separably connected with the subject (I lose MY knife); or the object may stand in a possessive relation to another object (I lose his knife). Siuslaw distinguishes clearly between these three types of relationship, and expresses each of them by means of a distinct suffix.

# § 33. Suffix Indicating that the Object Forms an Inseparable Part of the Subject -ītx (-altx), -tx

This suffix indicates that the object of the sentence is inseparably connected with the subject. Hence all stems expressing an action performed by the speaker upon any part of his own body (and even upon his name) occur with these suffixes. Now and then they will be found added to stems denoting actions that do not necessarily involve an integral part of the subject as its recipient. All such formations must be looked upon as ungrammatical; that is to say, as due either to analogy or to an unintentional mistake on the part of the informant.<sup>1</sup>

The verbal ideas which are expressed in this manner need not always be transitive in our sense of the word. They may, and as a matter of fact they do, denote conditions and states in which an inte gral part of the subject may find itself. Such expressions are possible, because to the mind of the Siuslaw they convey transitive ideas. Thus the sentence I am sorry expresses, according to our interpretation, an intransitive idea. The Siuslaw treats it as a transitive sentence, and expresses it by saying I make my mind sick. In the same manner Siuslaw conceives of our expressions my hair burned, his child died, it is cold, etc., as transitive sentences, and renders them by (I) burned my hair, (he) caused his child to die, the earth makes its body cold, etc.

No specific reason can be given for the occurrence of the parallel forms -itx and -tx, nor has any distinction been detected in the use of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> My informant made such mistakes rather frequently, but corrected them promptly whenever her attention was called to them.

the two forms. It seems, however, that -tx tends to appear after other suffixes, while  $-\bar{\imath}tx$  is added to bare stems.

This suffix must not be confounded with the frequentative -itx (see § 68). -itx interchanges frequently with  $-a^itx$ . For an explanation of this interchange see § 2.

kuts- to paint lk!- to open (mouth) 28.2

skwa'- to stand 14.4

 $k/u^x w \hat{n}$ - ice 76.11

pln- to be sick 40.21

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  much 8.5

tcanhatī- to club

tīn- to boil, to be ripe 98.7

hamx- to tie 8.6

mî'ttcîst he commenced to burn 29.3

haw- to end, to make 14.6

kutsa<sup>i</sup>txan qa'nnî I paint my face kk!a<sup>i</sup>tx Laa' he opened his mouth 96.1

hai'mūt\*nx lai'qat skwahaī'tx xwākī' they all had feathers on their heads (literally, all they, feathers to stand caused on their heads 10.9

k!u\*wîna\*'tx L!a'a' ice appeared (literally, ice made on its body, the earth) 76.10

plna<sup>†</sup>tx ha<sup>†</sup> they were sorry (literally, sick they made their minds) 15.4

yā'xa<sup>i</sup>txan ha<sup>i</sup> I am crafty (literally, much I have in my mind) 20.7

tcanhatī'mxutxa<sup>u</sup>x q<sup>u</sup>lī'mt ants penî's they two were clubbing each other's anus, those skunks 86.9

tīntx ha¹ his heart cooked 96.9, 10 ha'm¹xtxan hī'qū¹ I tie my hair mî'ttcîstx ha¹'mūt hī'qū¹ his hair began to burn (literally, it began to burn on him his all, hair) 29.4

ha''na haū'tx ha' his mind had become different (literally, different on him it had made itself, his mind) 60.21

In the following examples, terms of relationship are treated as inseparable parts of the subject:

pln- to be sick 40.21

plaantx ants t!āmc (he) got sick his boy 40.20

$s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5	sî'nxītx ants t!āme xwī'z!tūxte he wanted that his child should come back (literally, he wanted his, that child, return shall his) 42.5, 6
waa'- to say 7.1	s*atsī'te*ax waa*'tx ants mîlā thus their (dual) mother told them (literally, thus their two, told, that mother) 54.23
hant'- to call	$ha'nt'\bar{\imath}tx\ m\bar{a}t'\bar{\imath}i'$ he called his elder brother 58.16
$xa\bar{u}'$ he died 40.21	te'q <sup>E</sup> nx xawa <sup>I</sup> 'tx (when) their relatives died (literally, relatives they, die theirs) 68.13
waa'- to say 7.1	s <sup>z</sup> atsī'tc wa'a <sup>ī</sup> tx ants Lxa <sup>u</sup> 'yax thus he said to that his friend 42.7, 8

# § 34. Suffix Denoting that the Object is Possessed by the Subject, but Separable from it ·ūtsm- (-aūtsm-)

This suffix seems to be a compound consisting of two separate suffixes,  $-\bar{u}ts$ - and -m. While the original function of the second element is unknown, the first component is undoubtedly the suffix expressing the direct object of the first and second persons (see § 29 and also § 23).

It expresses a transitive action whose recipient is possessed by the subject without forming an integral part of it. Terms of relationship, and all concrete nouns, excepting those nominal stems that denote parts of the body, are thus considered; but, owing to frequent errors on the part of the informant, this suffix will be found used also in connection with objects expressing parts of the body. All subjective pronouns are added to this suffix by means of a connecting weak vowel, as a result of the law regulating the use of consonantic clusters (see § 4); and, as the third person singular has no distinct form, this suffix appears in final position as  $-\bar{u}tsm_E$ . The  $\bar{u}$  of this suffix often interchanges with the diphthong  $a^{\bar{u}}$  (see § 2). The suffix follows the tense signs, and is frequently added to reduplicated stems.

$la'k^{u_{-}}$ to take, to get 7.5	$l\bar{a}kwa'k\bar{u}tsm\hat{\imath}n$ $k^{\scriptscriptstyle E}\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}$ I take my bucket
$qn\bar{u}$ '- to find 56.9	qnū'hūtsmîn qal·tc I found my knife
<i>L!xma</i> <sup>₹</sup> ′- to kill 15.3	$L!xma^{ij}yar{u}tsmanx  m^uar{u}'sk^u$ you killed your younger brother

 $lak^{u}$ - to take 7.5

L!ōx- to send 16.10

 $waa^{i'}$  he says 8.9

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (they) come 9.3

waa - to speak 8.1

mî'îtcîst he begins to burn 29.3

 $x\hat{\imath}'l$ · $xc\bar{\imath}$ - to work 50.9

 $l\bar{a}kwa'k\bar{u}tsm_E$   $k^E\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  she took her bucket 90.21

L!ōxa'xaūtsmE hītc he sent his people 30.1, 2

atsī'tc waa'yūtsme qī'ūtc thus he said to his wife 48.17

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$  te  $l\bar{\imath}'t'.la^{\bar{\imath}}$   $\iota\bar{\imath}w\bar{\imath}'w\bar{\imath}tsmE$  lots (of) this (their) food (they) are bringing 100.9, 10

Līū'ūtsme hītsî'stc she came to her (own) house 58.7, 8

waa'a<sup>ū</sup>tsme ants L!a'<sup>ai</sup> hītc he said to his many people 7.1

ul q!a'īl mî'ltcîstūtsme then her pitch began to burn 90.22

xîl·xcī'yūtsmaux a'ntsztewax mā'tī they two worked at their (dual) dams 48.10

In the following instances this suffix has been used in connection with nouns that form an integral part of the subject:

 $t!_{E}mx^{u}$ - to cut

 $p\bar{a}x$ - to close (eyes) 36.16

 $ya'q^uha^it$  he looked 58.1

wî'ltcîst he begins to send

płn- to be sick 40.21

 $m\hat{\imath}nx^u$ - to lighten 38.5

 $tc\bar{\imath}'t'\bar{\imath}$  wind

 $^{ul^{E}nx}$  t'  $Emx\bar{u}'y\bar{u}tsmE$   $h\bar{v}'q\bar{u}^{i}$  then they cut their hair 68.14

 $paxa'x\bar{u}tsm_E$   $k\bar{o}px$  he shut his eyes 36.20

yo'quha'tū"'tsme kōpx he opened his eyes (literally, he looked with his eyes) 36.20

wî'ttcîstū'tsme wa'as he began sending his message (word) 92.19

planya''tîstūtsme ha'tc he was sorry (literally, he begins to make sick, his mind) 40.21

"" wàn mî'nxa" tā'tsme L'a'ai now he made lightning (literally, then finally caused to lighten her body, the world) 38.6

tcīt'a't'ūtsme L!a'ai ants tsxu'nplī Tsxunplī made a wind (literally, caused to blow his world, that Tsxunplī) 94.6, 7 § 35. Suffix Indicating that the Object is Possessed by a Third Person Object -ūl (-aūl)

This suffix expresses an act performed upon an object that forms an integral part of or that is separably connected with another object. Hence it indicates the possessive relation that exists between two objects as seen by the subject of the sentence. The possessor of the object of the action must be the third person, regardless of number. If, however, it is absolutely necessary to indicate the number of the possessor, this is accomplished by means of suffixing to the possessed object the possessive suffixes for the third person singular, dual or plural (see § 88). It is noteworthy that the possessed object appears in the absolutive form, and not with the locative case endings, as might be expected. The pronominal suffixes expressing the subject of the action follow the suffix -ūl; and as this suffix ends in a consonant, and some of the subjective pronouns begin with a consonant, the pronouns are frequently preceded by a connecting, weak vowel (see § 4). There exists undoubtedly an etymological connection between the  $\bar{u}$ of this suffix and the  $\bar{u}$  of the direct object of the third person  $-\bar{u}n$ (see §§ 23, 28). For the  $\bar{u}$  of  $-\bar{u}l$  the diphthong  $a^{\bar{u}}$  is quite frequently substituted. This interchange has been discussed in § 2.

 $si'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5 hamx- to tie 8.6 yax- to see 34.4

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2

 $ya'q^{u'}$ - to see 23.9

yax- to see 34.4

yaak!- small 36.23

haw- to end, to make 14.6

 $lak^u$ - to take 7.5

sî'niwyūln hītsī'i I like his house ha'mxaūln teīr I tie his hands yīxa'yūlanx mîtà you see his father

hīna'yūlanx L!xmī'tī you took his bow along

\*\*l ya'q\*\*\(\si\)\vec{u}l m\(\hat{v}'ck'la^\vec{t}\) and he saw her vulva (bad thing) 90.10

yāxî'xūlaux tcu'xus he saw their (dual) vulvas 90.15

yāk!ī'tcitūl xwā'ka she cut his head into pieces 96.11

 $ha^{i'}na \ ha\bar{u}'\bar{u}l \ ha^i$  different she made his mind 58.9

t!ī'yai lakwa'kūl ants māt!ī' bear had seized that his older brother 58.16 qwa''n- to pour 29.2

hate'- to ask 66.16

"lwàn qwa' 'nūl Laaya' tc\*tc (they two) now pour it into his mouth 96.7

"ltcî hatc'a'yūl ha' you ask her (literally, and you ask about her mind [opinion]) 74.8

§ 36. Suffix Expressing an Object Possessed by a First or Second Person Object -ūlts (-aūlts)

This suffix has the same function as -ūl, but differs from it in so far as the possessor of the object must be either a first or a second person. The number of the possessor, when required, is indicated by the possessive suffixes added to the possessed object (see § 88). Owing to the variability of the person of the possessor, this suffix conveys, besides the idea of a possessive relation between two objects, also the connection that exists between subject and object. Hence it assumes a function, limited in scope, but similar in character to the suffix for the combined subject and object pronouns. This functional similarity is indicated even in the phonetic composition of the suffix. -ūlts is undoubtedly a compound suffix consisting of the previously discussed  $-\bar{u}t$  and of the suffix for the subject and object pronoun  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see § 29). It is not inconceivable that the original form may have been -ūlūts, contracted later on into- ūlts. A comparison of the Siuslaw transitive indicative suffixes shows that the majority of them have the  $\bar{u}$ in common. Hence it may be claimed that the  $\bar{u}$  originally conveved the idea of a transitive indicative action (see § 23); and as the  $\bar{u}$  was already present in the first element of this suffix (- $\bar{u}\bar{t}$ ), it may have been omitted as superfluous in the second part.

Owing to this additional function of this suffix as a medium of expressing subject and object pronouns, the subjective pronouns are added to it in a method similar to the one employed in the suffixation of the subjective pronouns to the suffix  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see § 25). After certain consonants this suffix is changed into  $-a^{\bar{u}}ts$  (see § 2).

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2

yax- to see 34.4

 $L/x(\bar{u})$ - to know 40.16

 $h\bar{\imath}na'y\bar{\imath}ltsanx \, \iota !xm\bar{\imath}'t\bar{\imath} \, \mathbf{I}$ take along thy bow

yîxa'yūltsanx qa'nnî I look at thy

L!xū'yūtsanx mîtà qnà I knov thy father wax- to give 18.2

L!xmaī- to kill 15.3

tsxan- to comb

 $lak^{u}$  to take 7.5

 $L/x(\bar{u})$ - to know 40.16

yax- to see 34.4

waxa'yūltsanx tā'kîn mî'nixwī I will give thee my lightning 38.1, 2

L!xmaī'yūltsanx muū'sku qnīxats you killed my younger brother tsxana'yūltsanx hī'qū' you combed my hair

likwa'yūltsîn ants qalitc he took that my knife

L!xu'yūltsîn mîtà he knows my father

s<sup>z</sup>às *L!xū'yūltsanx mîtà* he knows thy father

Eàs yîxa'yūltsanx qa'nnî he looks at thy face

# § 37. Suffixes Denoting Possessive Interrelations for Tenses other than the Present -isitî, -awītî, -yaxa<sup>1</sup>tî

When possessive interrelations that occur in tenses other than the present are to be expressed, the Siuslaw language resorts to an interesting form of composition of suffixes. Thus the durative suffix  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69), the intentional (see § 70), and the past -yax (see § 74), are combined with the possessive suffix  $-\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}$  (see § 88), forming new compound suffixes  $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}$ ,  $-a^w\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}$ , and  $-yaxa^{\bar{\imath}}t\hat{\imath}$ , that indicate semi-reflexive actions performed constantly, or about to be performed, or performed long ago. In these new suffixes no sharp line of demarcation is drawn between objects that are inseparably connected with the subject, and objects that are possessed by the subject.

 $ya^{a'}k!$ - small 36.23

yāk!īs he is constantly (getting) small

haw- to finish 14.6  $ha^{u'}w\bar{\imath}s$  he makes continually

kwī'tcî yā'k!īsītī ha' don't ye be downhearted! (literally, not you small always make your mind) 66.5

<sup>u</sup>l<sup>E</sup>nx kumî'ntc atsī'tc ha<sup>u</sup>'wīsītī ha<sup>i</sup> and you don't believe it thus (literally, and you, not thus, make continually your mind) 46.24

qa'xantc hau'wīsītī ha¹ downward make continually your hearts 8.10 hīn- to take along 23.2

 $h\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}s$  he always takes along

 $xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5  $xn\bar{\imath}'^w n\bar{\imath}s$  (we) always do it 72.15

hamx- to tie 8.6 hamxaw- to intend to tie

 $p\bar{a}x$ - to shut (eye) 36.16  $paxa^w$ - to be about to close

 $y\bar{a}'xatc'$ - to try to look 13.7  $y\bar{a}xatc'a^w$ - to intend to try to look

tquyaw- to intend to boil

hamx- to tie 8.6 ha'mxyax he tied pāx- to close 36.16 pā'xyax he closed nīxats ulenx qanī'nal hī'nīsītī you will take along your knife (literally, you, and you, knife, take along will always yours) 50.16, 17

 ${}^{u}l^{E}nl~xn\bar{\imath}'^{w}n\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$  still we will keep on doing our . . . 72.17

 $hamxa'^w\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}n\ h\bar{\imath}'q\bar{u}^i$  I intend tying my hair

 $p\bar{a}xa'^w\bar{\imath}t^2n\ k\bar{o}px$  I intend to close my eyes

yāxatc'a"wītîn t'āmc k'' lū I intend to try to look for my boy tomorrow 60.1, 2

*ul⁵ns tquyd'wītī* we will cook (our camas) 98.3

 $ha'mxyaxa^{\bar{\imath}}t\bar{\imath}\;h\bar{\imath}'q\bar{u}^{i}\;\mathrm{he}\;\mathrm{tied}\;\mathrm{his}\;\mathrm{hair}$ 

pā'xyaxa<sup>ī</sup>tîn kōpx I closed my eyes

A similar process is resorted to whenever the prohibitive mode (see § 40) of an action denoting that the object is possessed by the subject is to be expressed. In such cases the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69) is combined with the possessive  $-\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}$ - (see § 88), and the whole verb is preceded by the negative particle  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'nte$  not (see § 131).

tsxanu- to comb

łk!a- to open 28.2

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2

haw- to finish, to work 14.6

 $kw\bar{\imath}nx$   $tsxa'nw\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$   $h\bar{\imath}'q\bar{u}^i$  don't comb thy hair!

kūits lk!a aisītī μαα don't you (pl.) open your mouths!

hwīnx hī'nīsītī sī'xa<sup>ī</sup> don't take thy canoe along!

kumî'ntc<sup>e</sup>tcî qa'xante ha<sup>w</sup>wīsītī ha<sup>i</sup> don't ye be continually downhearted (literally, not ye, downwards, make continually your, hearts) 8.10 Passive Suffixes Indicating Pronominal and Possessive Interrelations
(§§ 38-39)

 $\S$  38. Passive Suffixes for Verbs Requiring in the Active a Double Object -ime, -ume (-aume)

- $\bar{\imath}m_{E}$ . This suffix invariably follows the verbalizing  $-\bar{\imath}$  or  $-a^{i}$  (see § 75), and seems to express the passive voice of verbs that require in the active the presence of a double (direct and indirect) object, although it will be found suffixed to verbal stems that do not necessarily require such a double object. Whenever the subjective pronouns are added to it, the obscure E of this suffix is changed into a weak a or  $\hat{\imath}$ . The form  $-\bar{\imath}m_{E}$  occurs in terminal position only. This suffix follows all temporal suffixes.

wax- to give 18.2

hīts- to put on 11.10 haw- to end, to make, 14.6 waxa'yīmanx qanī'nal it (will) be given to you, (a) big knife 19.6 hī'q!a waxa'yūsīmɛ a'ntsɛtc mîtà dentalia shells are usually given to him, to that her father 74.19

hītsa'yīmîn it is put on me txūnx hī'sa hawa'yīmɛ ha' they are just good-minded toward thee (literally, just thee well it is made towards, mind) 21.1

In two instances this suffix has been added to a stem without the aid of the verbalizing  $-\bar{\imath}$  (- $a^i$ ).

 $ha'\bar{u}s$  easy

atsī'tc ha'ūsīme thus it was agreed upon (literally, thus it was [made] easy) 24.1

haw- to finish, to end 14.6

ha'ūsīme ants ts!aln ready (made for him is) that pitch 26.5, 6

This suffix may be preceded—for the sake of emphasizing its passive function—by the present passive -xam (see § 55). In such cases the verbalizing suffix is omitted.

hīts- to put on 11.8

qū'n- to pour

 $\bar{a}q$ - to take off 13.1

waa' ants hītsī'xamīme said that one on whom it was put on 11.10 whom qū'nī'xamīme and now it was poured down into his . . . . 29.2

aqai'xamīme it was taken off him

§ 38

- $\bar{u}m_E$  has the same function as  $-\bar{\imath}m_E$ , and is used in connection with similar verbal stems. It differs from it only in so far as it is added directly to the stem. An explanation of the parallel occurrence of  $-\bar{u}m_E$  and  $-a^{\bar{u}}m_E$  has been given in § 2.

wax- to give 18.2

 $p\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to be noisy 36.24

te"qîn waxa<sup>w</sup>me what do you give me (literally, something to me, it is given?) 18.2

qanī'nal waxa<sup>ū</sup>'manx a big knife is given to you 21.4

wat yā wat te'q wt pī wme they made noise with everything (literally, although many things [they have], still it is made noise with) 29.1

## § 39. Passive Suffixes Denoting Possessive Relations of the Subject -ūltx, -xamltx

These suffixes express, besides the passive voice, also the fact that the recipient of the action is either possessed or forms an integral part of a given object.

- $\bar{u}ltx$  seems to be composed of the suffix  $-\bar{u}l$ , which indicates that the object forms an integral part of or is possessed by another object (see § 35), and of the suffix -tx, denoting that the object is an integral part of the subject (see § 33). If this is the origin of the compound suffix, the amalgamation of these two independent suffixes into one new formative element that expresses the passive voice, and at the same time contains the idea of a possessive relation between object (grammatical subject) and object, presents a problem that must remain unexplained. The person of the possessed subject is indicated by the suffixed subjective pronouns (see § 24). The stem to which this suffix is added occurs frequently in an amplified form (see § 112). Stems ending in i (short or long) change it into y before adding the passive suffix (see § 8).

 $lak^{u}$ - to take, to get 7.5

kumî'ntcwax lakwa'ūltx ants qī'ūtc not their (dual) were taken, those wives 50.22

takwa'ūttxa<sup>u</sup>x ta'tc<sup>w</sup>ax qī'ūtc taken away were these their (dual) wives 52.3, 4

$t\bar{u}$ '- (also $t!\bar{u}$ ') to buy 74.8	kumî'ntc <sup>z</sup> nx txū t!ūha'ūltxanx t!āmc not for nothing will they buy your child (literally, not [of] thee just bought [will be] thy child) 74.5
$x\bar{a}_{L}$ !- to make 50.8	sînixyū'u xāL!a'ūltx they try to find some remedy (literally, they desire [that] made [cured] be his mind) 15.5 hūya'ūltx hai his mind will be made different 19.2
$s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5	sî'nixyūltxanx t!āmc thy child is desired (asked for) 74.4
$ts\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to scorch	"la"x tsîna'xiyūltxq" Lī'mt and their (dual) anus [will] be scorched 88.7
hatc'- to ask 66.16	$ha'tc'yaxa^{ij}tx$ $ha^{ij}$ ants $q\bar{\imath}u\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'n\hat{\imath}$ (when) asked was her opinion, that woman 74.16 $(-a^{ij}tx = -\bar{\imath}utx$ see § 2)

In many instances this suffix is preceded by the verbalizing  $-a^i$  (see §§ 75, 8).

skwaha <sup>v</sup> he stands 14.4	$t   skwaha'y\bar{u}ttx   teqy\bar{u}^{tu}$ then is stood up its (of the house) frame 80.7
<i>tkwi</i> to bury 80.10	tkwīha'yūltx qaw <sup>u</sup> ntī'yūwītc ants hītsī' <sup>i</sup> dirt is put on both sides (of) that house 80.10, 11
hate'- to ask 66.16	of) that one is asked his opinion 74.4, 5
$waa^{i'}$ he says 8.9	waa'yūltxan mîtà my father is spoken to

-xamltx is undoubtedly composed of the suffix for the present passive -xam (see § 55), of the abbreviated  $-\bar{u}l$  (see § 35), and of the suffix -tx (see § 33). When it is remembered that this suffix can be added only to verbs that require a double object, the amalgamation of these three independent formative elements into one suffix for the purpose of expressing the passive voice of an act whose recipient (grammatical subject) stands in some possessive relation to one of

the three persons (speaker, person spoken to, or person spoken of), becomes at once apparent.

The use of this suffix may be illustrated by an example. The verb to put on requires a double object, because it implies the idea to put something on somebody, or vice versâ. Hence Siuslaw renders the English sentence his hat was put on (really, his hat was put on him) by a complex consisting of the verbal stem and the compound suffix -xamltx. In this suffix the first element, -xam, indicates that the action is passive (performed by somebody upon the recipient); the second element, -l-, denotes that the direct object (in this case the noun hat) is possessed by the recipient of the action; while the last element, -tx (which when used alone indicates that the object forms an integral part of the subject), serves to bring out the idea that the action is performed upon the indirect object (on him) which (in this case) can no be separated from the (logical) subject (his hat).

The persons that are implied in the possessive relations as indicated by this suffix are expressed by means of the subjective pronouns added to it (see § 24). Since the first element of this compound suffix is the present passive -xam, the manner in which it is added to the verbal stem is similar to that employed in the suffixation of -xam (see § 55).

 $\bar{a}q$ - to take off 13.1

 $h\bar{\imath}ts$ - to put on 11.8

t!Emxu- to cut off

 $lak^{u}$ - to take (away) 7.5

aqai'xamltxan lkwa'nuqu taken off
(me) is my hat

hītsī'xamltxan lkwa'nuqu put on (me) is my hat

t! Emxwa'xamltxanx tcīl cut off (thee) was thy hand

s<sup>z</sup>atsī'tc<sup>w</sup>ax waa'xam a'ntsux lokwī'xamltx qī'ūtc thus were told those two from whom the wives were taken away (literally, thus they two were told, those two [of] whom taken away were [those their dual] wives) 54.14

Imperative Forms Denoting Pronominal and Possessive Interrelations (§§ 40-48)

#### § 40. Introductory

In the following sections there will be discussed suffixes that express not only the imperative mode, but also the exhortative.

Besides separate suffixes indicating the imperative of intransitive and transitive verbs (see §§ 61, 62), Siuslaw shows distinct suffixes that express the pronominal and possessive interrelations between subject and object.

Another interesting feature that may be noted in connection with the formation of the imperative mode is the presence of a distinct negative form of the imperative or prohibitive mode, and the manner in which it is expressed. Generally speaking, the durative suffix -7s (see § 69), used in connection with the subjective pronouns for the second persons (see § 24), and in addition to the particle of negation (see § 131), expresses the prohibitive mode. This idiomatic expression may be justified by the fact that a prohibitive command addressed to the second person has much in common with the negative form of a durative action performed by the same person.

Owing to the fact that the imperative suffixes express other categories than a command, the prohibitive form of the imperative referring to such categories is expressed by adding to the durative -7s the respective suffixes that denote the non-imperative idea (see §§ 29, 30, 33, 35, 36, 37). Examples of the prohibitive mode and a detailed description of its formation will be found in §§ 60-62, 42-46.

## § 41. Exhortative Suffixes Expressing the Direct Object of the Third Person -yūn, -īwyūn, -īnī

These three suffixes express an admonition to perform an action having a third (not mentioned) person as its object. The difference between  $-y\bar{u}n$  and  $-\bar{\iota}^w y\bar{u}n$  could not be traced to any particular cause, owing chiefly to the fact that the latter form occurs very seldom. The informant always rendered the first two suffixes by a transitive future, and they seem to have been employed quite extensively in this secondary function.

 $-y\bar{u}n$  is suffixed to verbs expressing transitive ideas only, and the stem to which it is added always occurs in an amplified form (see §§ 7, 112).

 $a^iq$ - to leave 56.6

L!ōx- to send 16.10

ta"/k\*ns aya'qyūn tr lt"ī'a" here we two (incl.) will leave this salmon (literally, let us two leave)

L. owa'xyūn hīte I will send these people (literally, let me send) 30.19

anx- to give up 60.11	kumî'ntcînî ana'xyūn not we (incl.) will give it up (literally, don't
<i>L!xmaī'</i> - to kill 15.3	let us two) 16.8  L!xmīya'yūnant we (incl.) will kill
	him (literally, let us kill him)
	28.3
$l^it$ !- to eat 15.2	$kum\hat{i}'ntc  \bar{t}\bar{i}'t'  \bar{i}yun$ not he will eat it (literally, don't let him eat it)
	34.22
hamx- to tie 8.6	$hama'xy\bar{u}n$ he will tie it (literally,
	let him tie it)

 $-\bar{\imath}^w y \bar{u} n$  exercises apparently the same function as the first suffix, but does not necessarily require amplification of the stem to which it is added.

$xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 11.11	$xn\bar{\imath}^wn\bar{\imath}'^wy\bar{u}ns$ we two (incl.) will do it (literally, let us two doit) 10.5
	xnīyunī'wyūn I will do it (literally,
qatcin- to go 12.1	let me do it) qatcînī'wyūn I will make him go
$kwa^{hu}n$ - to bend down 13.5	(literally, let me make him go) $k\bar{u}'n\bar{l}'wy\bar{u}n$ I will bend it down

In an analogous manner Siuslaw seems to have formed an exhortative suffix expressing the direct object of the first person. This is done by substituting -ts (see § 23) for the -n. As but few examples of this formation were obtained, a full discussion is impossible. The examples follow.

$yaq^{u^*}$ - to look, to see 25.3	$yaq^{u^*}y\bar{\imath}'^wyutsatc\hat{\imath}$ ye look at me 72.11, 12
$L!x\bar{u}$ - to know 40.7	$L/x^uwa'x^uy\bar{u}tsa'tc\hat{\imath}$ ye shall know me 30.17
$ka^{\bar{u}}$ s- to follow 92.7	$k^i was^i y \bar{u}' tsana^{\bar{u}}$ you shall follow me 92.3
hīn- to take along 9.5	h <sup>t</sup> ya'nyūtsanx I will take you along 58.6

 $-\bar{\imath}n\bar{\imath}$  is suffixed to transitive verbs, and is always used in connection with the exhortative particle  $qa^{i\bar{\imath}}$  (see § 129). The subjective pronouns for the first and third persons as the performers of the action are always added to the particle (see § 26). This suffix appears frequently as  $-a^{\bar{\imath}}n\bar{\imath}$  (see § 2).

$lak^u$ - to take, to seize 7.5	$qa^{i'}la^{u}x\ lakw\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}$ let them two take (them)! 52.12, 13
waa'- to speak 7.1	$qa^{i}l waa^{\bar{\imath}'}n\bar{\imath}$ let him speak to him!
$tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8	$qa^{i'}l^{E}ns \ tq\bar{u}l\bar{v}'n\bar{\imath}$ let us two (incl.) shout at him!
hīts- to put on 11.8	$qa^{i'}l^{E}nxh^{i}yats\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}$ let them put it on!
$t\bar{u}$ 'n- to invite 16.2	$qa^{i'}l^{\underline{\nu}}n\overline{t}\ t\overline{u}$ ' $n\overline{\imath}'n\overline{\imath}$ let us (incl.) invite

## § 42. Imperative Suffix Expressing the Direct Object of the First Person -īts (-alts)

This suffix is added directly to the stem, and commands the person addressed (subject) to perform an act upon an object which must be one of the first persons. The -ts of this suffix is undoubtedly identical with the -ts found in all suffixes that express first and second persons objects (see §§ 23, 29, 34, 36). The combined pronominal forms that are added to this suffix can be only those indicating the second persons as the subject and the first persons as the object of the action (see table, pp. 473, 474). In this connection the following peculiarities may be noted:

- (1) The singular subject is not expressed, being understood in the command.
- (2) Dual and plural objects are not expressed in the suffixes, but are indicated by means of the independent personal pronouns for the first persons.
- (3) For a singular object the subjective pronoun for the first singular (-n) is added to the imperative suffix.
- (4) For dual and plural subjects the subjective pronouns for these persons are added to the imperative  $-\bar{\imath}ts$ .

The following table will best serve to illustrate these four rules:

	Thou	Ye	You
Me	-ītsîn	-ītsats	-ītsatcī
Us two (exclusive)	-ītsauxûn	$-\bar{\imath} t s a t s$	-ītsatcī
Us (exclusive)	-ītsanxan	-ītsats	-ītsatc <b>i</b>

The subjective pronouns beginning with a consonant are added by means of a weak a-vowel (see §§ 4, 24).

This imperative suffix occurs often as  $-a^i ts$  (see § 2).

waa'- to speak 7.1

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2 L!wan- to tell 7.3

 $yaq^{u'}$ - to look 23.9  $a^iq$ - to leave 56.5

wa'aītsîn tā'kîn wa'as speak to me (with) this my language! 36.10 hī'nītsîn take me along!

L!wā'nītsîn tell me!

L!wā'nītsanxan tell us (excl.)!

ya'quhītsats tĒ nà look ye at me!

a''qaītsatcî you leave me!

The prohibitive form is expressed by combining the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  with the objective form  $-\bar{u}ts$  and by placing the particle of negation  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$ , before the verbal expression (see §§ 69, 29, 60). The pronominal suffixes are those used to express the second person as the subject, and the first person as the object, of an action (see § 24 and table, pp. 473, 474).

hīn- to take along 23.2

kwīnx hī'nīsūts don't take me along!

kwī'nxan hī'nīsūts don't take us (excl.) along!

 $qn^{u^{\circ}}$ - to find 34.12

kwīnx qnū''wīsūts don't find me!

## § 43. Imperative Suffix Indicating the Indirect Object of the Third Person -yūx

This suffix is etymologically related to the suffix  $-\bar{u}x$  discussed in § 30. It is added to verbs requiring the presence of a direct and indirect object, and it expresses a command that involves the third person (singular, dual and plural) as the recipient of the action.

wax- to give 18.2

 $q\bar{u}$ 'n- to pour 29.2

hīts- to put on 11.8 hamx- to tie 8.6

wa'xyūx give it to him!
wa'xyūxanx give it to them!
qwa'`nyūx Laaya'te pour it down
into his mouth! 29.2
hiya'tsyūx put it on him!
ha'mxyūx tie it on him!

The prohibitive mode is obtained by combining the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69) with the suffix  $-\bar{u}x$  (see § 30) and by placing the particle  $k\bar{u}^i$  or  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$  (see § 131), before the verbal expression.

wax- to give 18.2

hīts- to put on 11.8

 $q\bar{u}$ 'n- to pour 29.2

kwīnx wa'xa<sup>ī</sup>sūx don't give it to him!

kwīnx hiya'tsīsūx don't put it on him!

kumî'ntc<sup>z</sup>nx qwa''nīsūx don't pour it (into his mouth)!

#### § 44. Imperative Suffix Denoting the Indirect Object of the First Person -imts

This suffix expresses a command to perform an act the indirect recipient of which is the first person. It is etymologically related to the imperative suffix -\tilde{\tau}ts (see \§ 42) and to the objective form -\textit{Emts} (see \§ 31), being composed of the initial element of the former suffix and of the whole of the latter formation (see \§ 23). The method of adding the pronominal forms to this suffix is identical with the method discussed on pp. 472-475.

wax- to give 18.2

 $h\bar{\imath}ts$ - to put on 11.8 hamx- to tie 8.6

wā'xīmtsîn give it to me!
wā'xīmtsanxan give it to us!
hi'ya'tsīmtsîn put it on me!
ha'mxīmtsatcî you (pl.) tie it on me!

The suffixed particle  $-\bar{u}$  (see § 132) is frequently added to this combined suffix. In such cases it denotes an act performed near the speaker.

xwīl!- to return 12.6 hamx- to tie 8.6

xwīr!ī'mtsînū give it back to me! hamxī'mtsînū tie it on me!

The prohibitive mode is expressed by combining the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69) with the suffix -*emts* (see § 31 and also § 40).

wax- to give 18.2

kwīnx wa'xaīs Emts don't give it to me!

 $h\bar{\imath}ts$ - to put on 11.8

kwīnx hiya'tsīsemts nàtc don't put it on me!

# § 45. Imperative Suffix Denoting that the Object is Possessed by a Third Person -īl.

This suffix indicates that the possessor of the recipient of the action is the third person singular. Duality and plurality of the possessor is expressed by suffixing the subjective pronouns for the third persons dual and plural (see § 24) to the possessed object (see § 35). This suffix is added directly to the stem, and is related (phonetically and etymologically) to the suffix  $-\bar{u}l$ , indicating that the object is possessed by a third person object (see §§ 23, 35). Duality and plurality of the subject of the action are expressed by adding the subjective pronouns -ts and  $-tc\hat{v}$  (see § 24) to the suffix  $-\bar{v}l$ ; and as these pronouns begin with

a consonant, they are merged with the imperative suffix by means of a weak a-vowel (see § 4).

$y\bar{u}^{wi}$ L!- to break 94.4	$yar{u}'$ ı. $'ar{\imath}l$ $qal$ $\cdot tc$ break his knife!
tsxanu- to comb	$tsxa'nw\bar{i}l\ h\bar{i}'q\bar{u}^i$ comb his hair!
hīn- to take along 23.2	$h\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}\bar{t}$ $L/xm\bar{\imath}'t\bar{\imath}$ take along his bow!
$l\bar{a}n$ - to call 23.7	$l\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}l$ $l\bar{\imath}'ntc^wax$ call their (dual)
	names!
hamx- to tie 8.6	ha'mxīl tcī' Ltcinx tie their hands!
	hamxī'lats tcīl you two tie his hands!
$t/E'mx\bar{u}$ - to cut 48.12	$t/\text{Em}x\bar{u}^{i}/\text{latc}\hat{\imath} \ xw\bar{a}'ka \text{ you cut (off)}$ his head!

The prohibitive mode is expressed by combining the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69) with the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}l$  (see § 35) and by placing the negation  $k\bar{\imath}u^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'nte$  not before the verb (see § 40).

$y\bar{u}^{wi}$ L!- to break 94.4	kwīnx yū'ı./īsūł qal·tc don't break
	his knife!
hamx- to tie 8.6	kumî'ntcinx ha'mxīsūl tcīl don't
	tie his hands!
tsxanu- to comb	$kw\bar{\imath}nx$ $tsxa'nw\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}l$ $h\bar{\imath}'q\bar{u}^i$ don't
	comb his hair!

## § 46. Imperative Suffix Indicating that the Object is Possessed by a First Person -ilts

It expresses a command to perform an action, whose recipient is either possessed or forms an integral part of the first person. It is related to the imperative  $-\bar{\imath}ts$  (see § 42) and to the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}ts$  discussed in § 36. The combined pronominal forms that are added to this suffix for the purpose of indicating the number of subject and possessor are identical with those discussed on pp. 472–475.

$x\bar{a}_L$ !- to make 50.8	xā'ı!īltsîn qal·tc fix my knife!
xamı- to wash	xa'mıīltsîn qa'nnî wash my face!
$h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2	$h\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}ltsatc\hat{\imath}s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$ you take my canoe
	along!
hamx- to tie 8.6	ha'mxīltsanxan tcīl tie our (excl.)
	hands!

The prohibitive form is obtained by combining the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69) with the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}lts$  (see § 36). The negative particle  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$  not must precede the verb, while the pronouns expressing

BOAS]

the person spoken to may be suffixed either to the negation or to the combined suffix (see §§ 40, 26).

tsxanu- to comb

hamx- to tie 8.6

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2

 $kw\bar{\imath}nx$   $tsxa'nw\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}lts$   $h\bar{\imath}'q\bar{u}^i$  don't comb my hair!

kumî'ntcEts ha'mxīsūlts tcīl don't you two tie my hands!

kwī'tcî hī'nīsūlts L!xmī'tī don't you take along my bow!

## § 47. Imperative Suffix Expressing Possessive Interrelations between Object and Subject -tsx

In the imperative the suffix -tsx is used for expressing possessive interrelations between object and subject in both cases, when the object forms an integral part of the subject and when it is only separably connected with it. Considering that actions involved in such a command presuppose the presence of a pronominal subject and object, it is not improbable that the suffix -tsx may be related to the suffixes  $-\bar{u}ts$ and -itx (see §§ 23, 29, 33). For subjects other than the second person singular, the different subjective pronouns are added to -tsx (see §§ 24, 4).

 $h\hat{n}'n^{\epsilon}k!y$  to rain 78.1

tsxanu- to comb lk/a'a- to open 28.2  $lak^{u}$ - to take 7.5 L!ōx- to send 16.10  $p\bar{a}x$ - to close 36.16  $m\hat{n}nx^u$ - to lighten 38.5

 $a^itc$ - to trade 36.4

 $lak^{u}$ - to get 7.5

 $h\hat{\imath}'n^{\varepsilon}k/y$ - to rain 78.1

hî'n k!ītsx L!a'ai cause (thy) rain to come down! 76.18  $tsxa'nutsx h\bar{\iota}'q\bar{u}^i$  comb thy hair! lk!a'atsx Laa' open thy mouth! ła'kutsx kºā'nī get thy basket! L!oxtsx hitc send thy man! pāxtsx kopx shut thy eyes! mî'nxutsx L!a'ai make lightning!

38.5 aitcna'hutsxans let us two (incl). trade!

ła'kutszats qī'ūtc you two take your wives! 52.17

hî'nêk!ītsxats L!a'ai you two cause your rain to descend 76.19 hîn<sup>ɛ</sup>k!ī'tsxatcî L!a'ai you fellows

make rain!

For the formation of the prohibitive mode see § 37.

# § 48. Exhortative Suffix Expressing Possessive Interrelations Between Object and Subject-itsmE (-altsmE)

This suffix may be called the imperativized form of the suffix  $-\bar{u}tsm$  denoting that the object is separably connected with the subject (see §§ 23,34). It expresses, however, possessive relations between subject and object regardless of the kind of possession, and is used only in connection with the particle  $qa^il$  (see § 129). By its means Siuslaw expresses a desire addressed to the first and third persons that a certain act be performed upon an object that either forms an integral part of or else is separably connected with the third person. All subjective pronouns are added to the particle  $qa^il$  (see §§ 24, 26). Siuslaw has no distinct suffixes for the purpose of expressing possessive relations with the first or second persons as the possessor, or relations between subject and object. For the interchange between  $-\bar{\imath}tsmE$  and  $-a^{\bar{\imath}}tsmE$  see § 2.

 $p\bar{a}x$ - to close 36.16  $qa^il\ paxa^{\bar{\imath}'}tsmE\ k\bar{o}px$  let him shut his eyes!  $x\bar{a}\iota.'$ - to build 50.8  $qa^{i'}l^Ens\ x\bar{a}\iota.'\bar{\imath}'tsmE\ h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$  let us two (incl.) fix his house!  $qa^{i'}lnx\ xam\iota\bar{\imath}'tsmE\ qa'nn\hat{\imath}$  let them wash their faces!  $h\bar{\imath}ts$ - to put on 11.8  $qa^{i'}la^ux\ h^iyats\bar{\imath}'tsmE\ lkwanu'q^u$  let them two put on their (dual) hats!

## MODAL SUFFIXES (§§ 49-64)

#### § 49. Introductory

In the succeeding chapters will be discussed, besides the suffixes that indicate the passive voice and the imperative and exhortative modes, also the formative elements expressing such concepts as reciprocality, distribution, and tentative and negative actions. A separate section might have been devoted here to a discussion of the formative elements  $-\bar{u}$  and  $-\bar{\iota}$ , the former expressing the indicative and the latter indicating the imperative mode. Since, however, these two elements never occur alone, and since they have been fully discussed in connection with other suffixes (see §§ 23, 28, 29, 30, 34, 35, 36, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 48), it has been thought advisable to call attention here to their modal functions, but not to treat them separately.

### § 50. Reciprocal -naw(a), - $mux^{u}$ -

-naw(a) precedes all other suffixes, and is followed by the subjective pronouns. Owing to the fact that Siuslaw does not permit clusters of w+ any consonant (excepting n), the w of this suffix changes into a voiceless w (written here hu) before all consonants except n (see § 4). For that reason the reciprocal -naw(a), when followed by the present -t (see § 72), the future  $-t\bar{u}x$  (see § 73), or by the imperative -tm (see § 61), is heard as  $-na^{hu}t$ ,  $-na^{hu}t\bar{u}x$ , and  $-na^{hu}m$  respectively.

The stem to which this suffix is added is not infrequently followed by the reflexive particle ts'îms (see § 123). The full form -nawa is added when the suffix stands in final position; that is to say, when it expresses the subjective pronoun for the third person singular (see § 24).

Lōl- to hit

Lōlna'wans we two (incl.) hit each other

Lōlna'wa<sup>u</sup>xûn ts'îms we two (excl.) hit each other

Lōlna'wats ts'îms you two hit each other

 $w \hat{n}^E x n a' w a^u x$  they two were afraid of each other 86.1, 2

waana'wa<sup>u</sup>x they two talk to each other 10.4

s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tc<sup>w</sup>ax waana'wa thus they two speak to each other 10.1, 2 waana'wīsa<sup>u</sup>x ants m<sup>a</sup>ā'tī they two keep on talking to each other,

those chiefs 78.8, 9

a'tsanl kumî'ntc mī'k!a'na sîn'ana'wīs thus we (incl.) won't try to abuse one another (literally, thus we not badly will desire [to abuse] one another continually) 78.12, 13

wîn<sup>E</sup>xna'wanxan ts'îms we (excl.) are afraid of one another.

tqūlna'wanx they shout at one another.

 $a^i t c n a'^{hu} t \bar{u} x^{\epsilon} n s$  we two (incl.) will trade 36.7

 ${}^{u}la^{u}x$   $a^{i}tcna'ha^{u}t$  then they two traded 36.7

wînx- to be afraid 17.6

waa'- to speak 7.1

 $\hat{si'nxi}$  to desire 18.5

wînx- to fear 17.6

 $tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8

aitc- to trade 36.4

$z\bar{o}\bar{t}$ - to hit	Lõlna'hutūxts you two will hit each
	other
	<i>Lōlna'humats</i> you two hit each other!
$tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8	$tq\bar{u}lna'^{hv}t\bar{u}nxan$ we (excl.) will shout at one another
$yaq^{u^*}$ - to look 23.9	yaq <sup>u</sup> hīna' <sup>hu</sup> matcî look you at one another!
waa'- to speak 7.1	waana'hutxanx wa'as they speak one another's language

In two instances this suffix is followed by the verbalizing  $-a^i$  implying the commencement of a reciprocal action. For an explanation of this inchoative idea see § 75.

is inchoactive facts see 3 .c.	
waa'- to speak 7.1	atsī'tc*ax waanawai' thus they two
	(begin to) talk to each other 78.13
$k\bar{u}$ 'n- to beat 72.17	$ul^{E}nx$ $wan$ $k\bar{u}$ $ina'wa^{i}$ now they
	(begin to) beat one another 80.1.

In a few instances this suffix is used to express distribution of action.

$t'$ $E'mx\bar{u}^u$ - to cut 48.12	$ult_{l,l} t / l m x^u n a' w \overline{u}^u n$ he cut it into pieces (literally, he cut it here
<i>łqu'nw</i> î knot	and there) 52.23, 24 $lqunw\hat{n}a'^{hu}t\bar{u}n y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$ he made
1	lots of knots (literally, he made many knots here and there)
$s\bar{u}'qu$ - to join 80.9	$s\bar{u}q^una'^{hu}t\bar{u}n$ he joined it together
гāpq- (?) 80.15	$L\bar{a}pqana'^{hu}t\bar{u}n$ he put them side by side
$\bar{a}q$ - to take off 13.1	$\bar{a}qna'^{hu}t\bar{u}n$ he took it apart.

- $mux^u$ - has the same function as the preceding -naw(a), but is employed less often, and seems to be confined to a limited number of stems. This suffix is frequently affected by the shifting of the accent (see § 12).

8 12).	
$waa^{i\prime}$ he says 8.9	waa''muxwa''x they two talk to each other 10.6
	$s^{E}ats\bar{\imath}'tca^{u}x$ $waa^{i}mux^{u}$ thus they
	two talk to each other 10.6, 7
	atsī'tcwax waa'yemxust thus they
	began to talk to each other 56.4
	$waa'y = mx^u sta^u x$ they two began to
	talk to each other 48.13

tcanhatī- to club

 $teanhat\bar{\imath}'mxutxa^ux$   $q^u \iota \bar{\imath}'mt$  ants penî's they two were clubbing each other's anus, those skunks

 $k\hat{\imath}ma^{\bar{u}}L!$ - to hit

kîmaū'ı!muxwanx they hit one another

#### § 51. Distributive -it'ax

This suffix expresses the distributive of intransitive verbs. Owing to the fact that most nouns, even without the aid of any specific device, may have the function of intransitive verbs, this suffix will be found add fre

equently changed into $a^i$ (see § 2	
The form - <i>īt'ax</i> followed by certa peculiar law of contraction (see §	ain subjective pronouns is subject to § 24).
<i>k!în</i> - to hear 70.5	k!inai't'axte wa'as xā'ts!ū înq!a'-ai two rivers will have one language (literally, hear mutually their language [the people of] two rivers) 32.6, 7
sūqu- to join	$s\bar{u}'q\bar{u}^it'ax$ ants $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ $x\bar{a}_L!\bar{\imath}'y\bar{u}sn_E$ adjoining these houses are built 80.9, 10.
Lāpq- (?)	alqa'tc L!aya' ul cīnax hītsī'i xā- L!a'yū'nE Lā'pqaīt'ax on one place three houses are built side by side 80.14, 15
nīcte- to fight	nī'ctcat'a <sup>u</sup> x sî'n <sup>i</sup> xyūn (to) fight mutually they two want (with them) 52.2
$m^u \bar{u}' s k^u$ younger brother 56.6	$m\bar{a}'skw\bar{\imath}t'a^ux$ $x\bar{a}'ts!^uwa^ux$ younger brothers mutually they two (were) 40.18 $n\bar{\imath}'ctcat'a^ux$ , $m\bar{a}'skw\bar{\imath}t'a^ux = n\bar{\imath}'ct-cat'axa^ux$ , $m\bar{a}skw\bar{\imath}t'axa^ux$ (see § 24)
mîctcī'i younger sister 40.2	ma'ctcīt'anxan (=ma'ctcīt'axan- xan) sisters mutually we (excl.) are

#### § 52. Tentative -tc'

This suffix indicates an attempt on the part of the subject to perform a certain action, and may best be rendered by to attempt, to try...

The native Siuslaw, unable to express its exact meaning, rendered it by various phrases, chiefly by sentences like to do something slowly, to "kind of"..., etc. Verbal stems ending in a consonant insert a weak vowel between its final sound and the suffix (see § 4). In terminal position this suffix appears as -tc'ya (see § 24).

yax- to see 34.4	stī'm <sup>E</sup> nx yāxatc'a'wax there they intend to try to look 60.7
	yā'xatc'īst <sup>E</sup> nx līt!aya' you (will) try to begin to look for food 13.7 yāxatc'a"'wītîn t!āmc I intend try-
	ing to look for my boy 60.1, 2
txat- to run 12.3	Lxa'tate'îst k!ēxū'tc L!aya'tc he begins to attempt to run everywhere 13.8, 9
kū'n- to beat 72.17	ul sea tsī'k!ya kū'nū'tswa that one very (hard) tries to beat (them) 78.18
$t/\bar{u}ha'$ - to sell, to buy 74.5	t!ūhatc'ī'ntxaux (they two) try to sell their (dual) many (hides)
<i>līt!</i> - to eat 13.10	łī't!atc'în I eat slowly
mīx- to swim	mī'xatc'ya he is "kind of" swim- ming

#### § 53. Negative -il $(-a^{i}l)$

This suffix expresses negation of action, and is used with intransitive verbs only. Negation of transitive verbs by means of a special suffix is not exhibited. The verbal stem to which this suffix is added must be preceded by the negative particles  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{i}'ntc$  not (see § 131). An explanation of the parallel occurrence of -il and  $-a^il$  is given in § 2.

$a^{u}s$ - to sleep 23.9	$kum\hat{\imath}'ntc^{\mathbf{z}}nxan\ a^{u'}s\bar{\imath}l\ \text{not we (excl.)}$
	sleep 70.19
<i>xîntm</i> - to travel 12.10	$kum\hat{\imath}'ntc\ n\bar{\imath}'k!a\ x\hat{\imath}'ntm\bar{\imath}l\ not\ alone$
	he traveled 94.11
$c\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to think	kumî'nte nîcteī'te cî'nxīt not (of)
	anything he was thinking 60.
	20, 21
$c\hat{\imath}'l\cdot x$ - to move, to shake 27.2	$k\bar{u}^i c\hat{\imath}' l \cdot x\bar{\imath} l$ not he moved 27.2, 3

§§ 52-53

 $w\bar{\imath}lw$ - to affirm, to answer 17.7 $k\bar{u}^i\,y\bar{a}'tsa\,w\bar{\imath}'lw\bar{\imath}l$  not (for) a long time he answered 74.4 $ta^i$ - to live 32.21 $sq\bar{u}'ma\,^ul\,kuml'ntc\,ta'\bar{\imath}l\,\,lnq/a'\bar{\imath}tc$  pelican did not live in the bay 44.1slnq!- to be hungry 44.11 $uln\,kuml'ntc\,sl'nq/a\bar{\imath}l\,\,I\,\,(am)$  not hungry 44.15, 16 $xa\bar{u}$ - to die 40.21 $kuml'ntc\,xa'w\bar{\imath}l\,\,he\,\,does\,\,not\,\,die\,\,15.8$ 

Modal Elements of the Passive Voice (§§ 54-59)

#### § 54. Introductory

Siuslaw employs a great number of suffixes for the purpose of expressing the passive voice. Many of these suffixes express, besides the passive idea, some other grammatical category, and according to this secondary function they may be divided into the following classes:

- (1) Pure passive suffixes.
- (2) Suffixes conveying the passive voice and temporal categories.
- (3) Passive suffixes indicating pronominal and possessive interrelations.

The suffixes of the last category have been fully discussed in §§ 38 and 39.

#### § 55. Present Passive -xam

It expresses the present tense of the passive voice, and may be added directly to the stem or may be preceded by the verbalizing suffix  $-a^i$  (-i) (see §§ 75, 2). In the latter case it conveys an inchoative passive idea. In narratives this suffix assumes the function of an historic present. Stems ending in a consonant insert a weak vowel between their final sound and the suffix (see § 4).

L'owa'x- to send 7.7 "l wàn L!ōxa'xam then finally he was sent 16.10 qaa- to enter 44.4 sexa<sup>v</sup>tc qaa'xam into a canoe it was put in 34.5 waa'xam s atsī'tc he was told thus waa'- to speak 7.1 witw- to affirm 17.7 wīlwa'xam he was answered "yes" 30.11 skwaha'xam ants xaitca'aū placed skwa'- to stand 10.9 was that roast (in the fire) 90.9 hate'- to ask 66.16 "l hatc'ī'xam he was asked 66.16  $lak^u$ - to get, to take 7.5 tcîmtca'mî łokwī'xam an ax was seized 27.10

In two instances the verbal stem, to which this suffix is added, has been reduplicated (see § 107).

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  he comes 9.3  $u\bar{t}$   $wan L/\bar{\imath}L!wa'xam$  finally he was approached 16.3  $tem\bar{u}$ - to assemble 7.3 t!emt!ma'xam wan they come to see him now (literally, he is assembled about, now) 23.3, 4

For forms in -xamltx, expressing passives with indirect object, the grammatical subject being the property of the indirect object, see § 39.

#### § 56. Future Passives in -atam, -ī (-a¹), -aau

These suffixes indicate the future tense of the passive voice. No explanation for the occurrence of the variety of forms can be given. Similarly, all attempts to correlate these different suffixes with certain stems have been without results.

-atam is added directly to stems. Stems ending in a-vowels contract this vowel with the initial a of the suffix (see § 9). Final  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $\bar{u}$  of the stem are diphthongized into ya and wa respectively before the addition of the suffix (see § 7).

By adding to -atam the objective form  $-\bar{u}n$  (see § 28) a compound suffix  $-atam\bar{u}n$  is obtained which exercises the function of a causative passive for the future tense. No examples of this formation have been found in the texts.

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2  $h\bar{\imath}na'tam\bar{\imath}n$  he will cause him to be taken along  $skwaha'tam\bar{\imath}n$  I will cause him to be placed  $skwaha'tam\bar{\imath}n = skwaha'tam\bar{\imath}n\hat{\imath}n$  (see § 15)

 $t\bar{u}$ 'n- to invite 16.2

tū'na'tamūn I will cause him to be invited

- $\bar{\imath}$  (- $a^{\bar{\imath}}$ ). This suffix must not be confounded with the nominalizing suffix of identical phonetic value. The stem to which it is added invariably undergoes a phonetic change, which may be called stem-amplification (see § 112). An explanation for the parallel occurrence of - $\bar{\imath}$  and - $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  is found in § 2.

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 23.2  $k\bar{\imath}u$ 'n- to beat 72.17  $z\bar{\imath}l$ - to hit hakw- to fall 8.7

hiya'nīn I shall be taken along
kuwa''nīn I shall be beaten
Lowa'līnx you will get hit
ulaux teī'wate hakwa'aī they two into the water will be thrown
88.7, 8

ana'x- to give up 16.8

nīctx k<sup>u</sup> a'naxa<sup>ī</sup> suppose he be given up 64.26 L'x<sup>u</sup>wa'xwīn I shall be known

 $L/x\bar{u}x^u$ - reduplicated form of  $L/x\bar{u}$ - to know 40.16

- $aa^n$  occurs more frequently than the two previously discussed suffixes, and is added to the bare stem. Stems ending in a contract their final vowel with the initial a of the suffix (see § 9). Sometimes, but not as a rule, the stem is amplified before adding the future passive  $-aa^n$ . This suffix usually requires the accent.

 $xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5

L!xmaī'- to kill 15.3

 $t\bar{u}$ 'n- to invite

 $ma'q/\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7

L!ōx- to send 16.10

 $xa\bar{u}$ - to die 40.21

 $h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 9.5

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i \quad h\bar{u}tca^{\bar{i}'} \quad xn\bar{\imath}^w na'a^u \quad \text{much}$  playing will be done 9.6, 7

"In kumî'ntc sî'n'xyūn L!xmaya'a"
I not want it (that) he shall be killed 15.8, 16.2

 $s^{\underline{\epsilon}}a'tsa$   $t\bar{u}'na'a^{\underline{u}}$  thus he will be invited 16.2

atsī'tc waa'xam meq!ēina'a" thus it was said, "A dance will be arranged for him" 19.1, 2

cî'nixyat!ya ants hītc L!ōxa'a" was thinking that man (who) was going to be sent 19.8, 9

sî'nixyū'nɛ xawa'au it was desired (that) he be killed 24.1

 $wan h\bar{n}na'a^u$  now he will be taken along 25.1

 $t\bar{u}$ 'tc- to spear 62.2  $lak^u$ - to get 7.5

 $xa\bar{u}'$  he died 40.21

towatca'a" it will be speared 62.8 kumî'ntc\*nx txū lakwa'a", tūha'-a"nx yūa'xa not for nothing they will get you, they will buy you big (literally, not you just taken will be, bought you will be much) 74.16, 17

xauwī'xamyax he was killed 29.6

#### § 57. Past Passive -xamyax

This suffix is (loosely) composed of the present passive -xam (see § 55) and of the suffix for the past tense -yax (see § 74).

$qn\bar{u}$ to find 56.9	tîmna''q qnu'wa'xamyax elk was found 34.12, 13
łak <sup>u</sup> - to seize 7.5	ants hītc lōkwī'xamyax that man (who) was seized 60.12
$s^{E}a'tsa$ thus 11.10	$s^{E}ats\overline{i}'xamyax$ thus it was (done) 32.16
$h\bar{\imath}q$ !- to start 15.1	s <sup>z</sup> a'tsa hīq!a'xamyax thus it was started 32.16

That the composition of this suffix is felt to be rather loose may be best inferred from the fact that the sign of the past (-yax) may precede the passive suffix -xam, as is shown in the following instances:

cî'nxī- to think 60.21	$c\hat{n}'n^i xyaxam\ s^{\it E}ats\bar{\imath}'tc\ { m itwasthought}$ thus $27.6$
$h\bar{u}^{i}$ - (?) to lose	$h\bar{u}^{i}'yaxan$ (I) got lost 68.2
yāk!ī'tc- in pieces 96.11	yāk!ītcya'xam xwā'katc into pieces was (cut) his head 29.4, 5
$tc!ha^uc$ - to be glad 27.1	tc!haucya'xam wàn gladness was felt now 23.3

In all these instances the suffix -yaxam has resulted from an original -yaxam (see § 15).

## § 58. Passive Verbs in -ūtn- (-aūtn-), -ū'ne (-aū'ne)

These suffixes are extensively employed in the formation of the passive voice; alone they do not express any particular tense. They may be added either directly to the stem, or to the stem verbalized by means of the suffix  $-a^i$  (see § 75). The subjective suffixes are added to these suffixes by means of a weak vowel (see § 4); but since the third person singular has no distinct form, and as clusters of

consonants in final position are inadmissible, the form of this suffix in terminal position is always  $-\bar{u}tn_E$  ( $-a^{\bar{u}}tn_E$ ).

The form  $-\bar{u}$ ' $n_E$  has resulted from the change of the t of  $-\bar{u}tn$ - to a weak aspiration (see § 16). The interchange between  $\bar{u}$  and  $a^{\bar{u}}$  has been discussed in § 2.

qalx- to count 8.5	ul qa'ıxūtne then it was counted
$xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5	$s^E a' tsa \ xn \bar{\imath}'^w n \bar{u} t n_E $ thus it was done 62.9
waa- to speak 7.1	kumî'ntc nîctcī'tc waa'aūtne noth-
	ing was said 18.3
$gatc\bar{u}^itx$ he drinks	$p\bar{a}'l\cdot\bar{u}$ $qatc\bar{u}''txa^{\bar{u}}tn_E$ (from the) well it is drunk 76.12
thatī'tx- to shout continually	thatī'txaūtne he is continually
11.10	shouted at
waa <sup>i</sup> ' he says 8.9	atsī'tcin waa'yūtnɛ thus I am told 20.6
$t\bar{u}$ ' $tca^{i\prime}$ he spears $62.2$	$t\bar{u}$ ' $tca'y\bar{u}tn_E$ it is speared 8.7
$x\bar{a}_L/a^{i'}$ he makes 50.8	$ts\bar{\imath}'_{L}!\bar{\imath}$ $L!a'^{ai}$ $u\bar{l}$ $x\bar{a}_{L}!a'y\bar{u}tn_{E}$ many arrows are mode 78.6
$xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5	$s^E a' tsa \ xn\bar{\imath}'^w n\bar{u}' n_E$ thus it is done $74.2$
mîlte- to burn 26.9	ma'ltcū'ne ants hītsī'i a fire was built (in) that house 25.2
$waa^{i'}$ he says 8.9	seatsī'tc waa'yū'ne ants hītc thus
$x\bar{a}_L/a^{i'}$ he makes 50.8	was told that man 30.2, 3  k!īx te'q xāl!a'yū'ne everything is made 78.5, 6
<i>k!a</i> '- to invite 16.3	k!aha'yū'nîn I am invited 17.9
	k!aha'yū'nanx thou art invited 16.3
	k!aha'yū'natcî you are invited
$s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to desire 18.5	
	wanted (to) come 19.7, 8
L!ōnītx- to tell continually	atsī'tc L!ōnī'txaŭ'nE thus it is frequently said 16.9

When preceded by the sign of the past tense, -yax (see § 74), these suffixes denote the passive voice of the past tense.

hate'- to ask 66.16 atsī'te waa' ants ha'te'yaxa<sup>‡</sup>'tne thus said that one (who) was asked 66.24, 25 haw- to finish 14.6

. . . ants hītsī'i hauwai'styaxaūtne (when) that house began to be finished

#### § 59. Durative Passives in -īsūtn- (-īsū'nE), -ūsn-

 $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}tn$ -  $(-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}'nE)$ . This suffix is composed of the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69) and of the passive  $-\bar{u}tn$ - (see § 58). It denotes a passive action of long duration. Owing to its durative character, the verbal stem to which this suffix is added is frequently amplified (see § 112) or duplicated (see § 107).  $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}tn$ - interchanges constantly with  $-a^{\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}tn}$ - (see § 2, 69). The subjective pronouns are added by means of a weak vowel. In final position it occurs as  $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}tnE$ , because a final cluster of t+n is inadmissible (see § 4). The change of the t to a weak aspiration in  $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}'nE$  has been fully discussed in § 16 (see also § 58).

$t\bar{a}n$ - to call by name	ta' nisutne ants hitc he is constantly called, that man 23.7
<i>cîl·x-</i> to shake 27.3	cî'l·xīsūtne he is constantly shaken 27.2
waa'- to speak 7.1	$ats\bar{\iota}'tc\ wa'a^{\bar{\imath}}s\bar{\iota}'nE$ thus he is always told 24.2
hīts- to put on 11.8	$h^i y a' t s \bar{\imath} s \bar{u} t n E$ it is frequently put on 11.7
$L\bar{l}'\bar{u}$ (they) come 9.3	L!īL!wī'sūtnE he is being approached 26.2
$yaq^{u}$ - to look 23.9	$ya'q^uh\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}`n_E$ he is continually watched 26.1
qalx- to count 8.5	qa'ıxīsū'ne it is being counted 62.11
waa'- to speak 7.1	atsī'tc waaī'sū'nE thus he is being told 23.10
hal- to shout 13.11	thatī'sū'ne he is continually shouted at 14.2
$L!x\bar{u}$ - to know 40.16	$k\bar{u}^i$ $\iota!x\bar{u}'x\bar{u}^is\bar{u}^c$ ne $tca\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'tc$ ants $x\hat{\imath}nt$ not it was known where that one went 64.15, 16

 $-\bar{u}sn$ - is a combined suffix. Its first element is undoubtedly the durative  $-\bar{u}s$  (see § 69); while the second component seems to represent an abbreviated form of the passive suffix  $-\bar{u}tn$ -, discussed in § 58. It indicates a passive action of long duration or frequent occurrence, and may best be rendered by IT WOULD . . .

This suffix is always added to the verbal stem by means of the verbalizing  $-a^i$  (changed into  $-\bar{\imath}$ ; see § 75). Both  $-\bar{\imath}$  and  $-a^i$  are subject to consonantization before the initial vowel of the passive suffix, so that this suffix invariably occurs as  $-\bar{\imath}\nu\bar{\mu}sn$ - or  $-a\nu\bar{\mu}sn$ - (see § 8). In a few instances it appears as  $-\bar{\imath}^i\nu\bar{\mu}sn$ - (see § 2). The subjective pronouns beginning with a consonant are added to this suffix by means of a weak vowel; and as a third person singular has no special form, and since a terminal cluster of s+n is inadmissible, these suffixes in terminal position always appear as  $-\bar{\imath}sn\bar{\imath}s$ ,  $-\bar{\imath}y\bar{\imath}sn\bar{\imath}s$  or  $-ay\bar{\imath}sn\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 4).

tqūl- to shout 52.8	tqūlī'yūsne ants tcîxnī'ne he is always shouted at, that raccoon 76.16, 17
hal- to shout 13.11	thati'yūsne he would be shouted at 70.22
waa'- to speak 7.1	atsī'tc waa'yūsnɛ thus he would be told 24.7
<i>lān</i> - to call 23.7	\$\langle \tanat / \tau' y \tilde u s \tan 17 \tan 18 \tag{6.17, 18}
$t\bar{u}$ '- to buy 74.17	$t\bar{u}ha'y\bar{u}sn_E$ she would be bought $\cdot$ 74.18, 19
$xn\bar{\imath}^wn$ - to do 10.15	$s^{E}a'tsa \ xn\bar{\imath}^{w}n\bar{\imath}'y\bar{u}sn_{E} \text{ thus it would}$ be done 76.5
<i>īlq</i> - to dig 84.2	ûlqē''yūsne ants L!a'ai dug would be the ground 80.6
$x\bar{a}$ L!- to make 50.8	$x\bar{a}_L!\bar{i}'y\bar{u}sn_E$ ants $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ made is that house 80.13

In one instance this suffix has been added to a verbal stem by means of the verbalizing  $-\bar{u}^i$  (see § 75).

 $tc\hat{\imath}n^u$ - to pack

tcînwū'yūsnɛ ul qatcɛnī'yūsnɛ they pack it and go (literally, it is packed and carried off) 100.20

In another instance the suffix appears as -wūsne.

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (they) come 9.3

L!mî'kcū Līwī'wūsnE flounder is brought continually 100.10

This occurrence of the w before  $-\bar{u}sn_E$  may be explained as due to retrogressive assimilation; that is to say, the original y has been changed into w to agree in character with the w of the stem  $L\bar{\iota}wa^{i'}$  HE COMES.

Modal Elements of the Imperative and Exhortative Modes (§§ 60-64)

#### § 60. Introductory

Attention has been called in § 40 to the variety of suffixes that are employed in Siuslaw for the purpose of expressing the imperative mode. By far the majority of these suffixes indicate, besides the imperative idea, also pronominal and possessive interrelations between subject and object. These have been treated as primarily objective forms, and have been fully discussed in §§ 40–48. In the following sections only such suffixes will be discussed the primary functions of which are those of an imperative mode.

Siuslaw makes a clear distinction between a true imperative, a prohibitive, and an exhortative mode, and expresses these three varieties by means of distinct formative elements.

The difference between the ideas expressed by the imperative and exhortative is one of degree rather than of contents. The imperative expresses a command more or less peremptory; while the exhortative conveys an admonition, a wish. Furthermore, the exhortative rarely applies to the second person as the subject of the action. All exhortative expressions are preceded by the particle  $qa^il$  (see § 129) and are rendered by Let Me, Him . . . , Permit Me to . . . , May 1 . . . , etc

### § 61. Imperative Suffix for Intransitive Verbs -Em

This suffix is added to intransitive verbs only, regardless of whether they express a real active idea or a mere condition. It is suffixed directly to the verbal stem; and when added to stems that end in a vowel, the obscure E of the suffix is contracted with the vowel of the stem. In such contractions the quality and quantity of the stem-vowel usually predominate (see § 9). The second person singular is not expressed. The imperative for the second persons dual and plural is obtained by suffixing to E the subjective pronouns E and E respectively (see § 24). These pronouns are added by means of a weak E-vowel (see § 4).

 $l\bar{\imath}t!$ - to eat 13.10  $kw\bar{\imath}s$ - to wake up 40.9 waa'- to speak 7.1  $qatc^{\bar{\imath}}n$ - to go 8.2  $ma'q!\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7 §§ 60–61

lī/t/Em eat! 40.26
kwī/sɛm wake up! 58.5
wa'am speak!
qa'tcnɛm go!
maq!yɛm dance!

.6

haw- to quit, to end 14.
qatc <sup>E</sup> n- to go 8.2
$tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8
$q\bar{a}tx$ - to ery 58.15
xalin- to climb up 12.4

ha'ūm quit!
qa'tcnemats you two go!
tqū'lemats you two shout!
qā'txematcî you cry!
xa'lnematcî you climb up!

In negative sentences the imperative suffix -Em is replaced by the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69). The whole phrase is preceded by the particle of negation  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$  not (see § 131), to which are added the subjective pronouns for the second persons (see §§ 24, 26).

<i>xîntm</i> - to travel 12.10	$kw\bar{\imath}'nx~x\hat{\imath}'ntm\bar{\imath}s~ ext{don't travel!}$
$a^{u}s$ - to sleep 24.1	$kw\bar{\imath}nx \ a^{u'}s\bar{\imath}s \ don't \ sleep! \ 23.9$
qaihainte far 10.3	kwīnx qaiha'ntcīs don't (go) far away! 56.21
waa'- to speak 7.1	$kw\bar{\imath}nx$ $s^{\mathtt{E}}ats\bar{\imath}'tc$ $wa'a^{\bar{\imath}}s$ don't thus say! 50.1
qatc <sup>E</sup> n- to go 8.2	$k\bar{u}^i ts \ qa'tc^{x}n\bar{\imath}s$ don't you two go! 54.23, 56.1
$ma'q/\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7	kumî'ntc <sup>e</sup> tcî ma'q!īs don't ye

By suffixing to the imperative the subjective pronouns for the first persons dual and plural (see § 24), an exhortative mode for these persons is obtained.

tca'xumans let us two (incl.) go!
58.5
$t\bar{t}'t'$ Emans let us two (incl.) eat!
$l\bar{\imath}'t'$ Eman $l$ let us (incl.) eat!
na'lemanl let us (incl.) start!

§ 62. Imperative Suffix for Transitive Verbs -īs (-ais)

This suffix expresses an imperative transitive idea. It must not be confused with the durative suffix  $-\bar{\imath}s$  (see § 69), the phonetic resemblance between these two suffixes being purely accidental. It must be borne in mind that the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$  indicates an intransitive action, and is made transitive by the addition of the transitive  $-\bar{\imath}n$  (see § 28).

The student is easily apt to confuse these two suffixes, because in the prohibitive mode the transitivized durative  $-\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}n$  (see p. 518) is used; but this use is perfectly logical, since a transitive prohibitive is intimately connected with the idea of a (negated) action of long duration performed by a second person as subject.

The following table may best serve to distinguish at a glance between the different suffixes in -is that occur in Siuslaw:

Not related	- $\bar{\imath}s$ transitive imperative - $\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}n$ transitive prohibitive	-īs intransitive durative -īsūn transitive durative
-------------	---	--

The imperative for the second persons dual und plural is not often expressed by suffixing to  $-\bar{\imath}s$  the subjective pronouns -ts and  $-tc\hat{\imath}$  respectively (see § 24), because the subjective pronouns are usually suffixed to attributes and particles that precede the verbal term (see § 26). The interchange between  $-\bar{\imath}$  and  $-a^{\bar{\imath}}$  has been discussed in § 2.

_	
waa'- to talk 7.1	$wa'a^{\bar{\imath}}s$ talk to him! 76.18
L!wan- to tell 7.3	$L/w\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}s$ tell him! 30.13
	hī'satcî L!wā'nīs well you tell
	(them)! 30.3
$t\bar{u}$ ' $tc$ - to spear $62.2$	t°wa'tcīs spear it! 64.2
hīn- to take along 9.5	$h\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}s$ take it along!
skwa'- to stand 10.9	$skwa'ha^{\bar{\imath}}s$ set it up!
hamx- to tie 8.6	ha'mxīs tie it!
$qatc^{\mathbb{E}}n$ - to go 8.2	qa'tc <sup>E</sup> nīsats you two make him go!
<i>līt!</i> - to eat 13.10	$ar{l}ar{\imath}'t'$

In negative sentences the imperative suffix is replaced by the transitive form of the durative  $-is\bar{u}n$  (see § 69). The verb is preceded by the negative particle  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{i}'ntc$ , used in connection with the subjective pronouns for the second persons (see §§ 131, 40).

L!wan- to tell 7.3	kwī'nx L!wā'nīsūn don't you tell
	him! 17.1, 2
<i>qatc</i> <sup>E</sup> n- to go 8.2	$kw\bar{\imath}nx~qa'tc^{\underline{\imath}}n\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}n~{ m don't}$ you make him go!
hīn- to take along 9.5	kumî'ntcinx hī'nīsūn don't you take it along!

The exhortative for the first persons is formed by adding to  $-\bar{\imath}s$  the subjective pronouns for these persons (see § 24).

$lak^u$ - to take 7.5	ła'kwīsans let us two (incl.) take it!
haw- to quit, to stop 14.6	ha'wīsanl let us (incl.) stop it!

#### § 63. Intransitive Exhortative -īxmî (-a<sup>f</sup>xmî)

This suffix expresses an admonition, addressed to a first or third person, to perform an action that has no object. The verb must be preceded by the exhortative particle  $qa^{i}l$  (see § 129), and the subjective

pronouns indicating the subject of the action are added to this particle and never to the exhortative suffix (see § 26).

The reasons for the interchange between  $-\bar{\imath}xm\hat{\imath}$  and  $-a^{\bar{\imath}}xm\hat{\imath}$  are discussed in § 2.

qatc <sup>E</sup> n- to go 8.2	$qa^{i}l \ qatc^{\mathbf{z}}n\bar{\imath}'xm\hat{\imath} \ \text{let him go!}$
$a^u$ s- to sleep 23.9	$qa^iln \ a^us\bar{\imath}'xm\hat{\imath} \ \mathrm{let} \ \mathrm{me} \ \mathrm{sleep}!$
waa'- to speak 7.1	$qa^{i'}l^{E}ns \ waa^{\bar{\imath}'}xm\hat{\imath} \ { m let} \ { m us} \ { m two} \ ({ m incl.})$
	speak!
$ma'q!^{i\bar{\imath}}$ to dance 28.7	$qa^{i'}l^{\mathbf{z}}nxanmaq!ar{\imath}'xmar{\imath}\mathbf{let}\mathbf{us}(\mathbf{excl.})$
	dance!
hal- to shout 13.11	$qa^{i'}l^{E}nl$ $hal\bar{\imath}'xm\hat{\imath}$ let us (incl.)
	shout!
$qatc\bar{u}$ - to drink $76.12$	$qa^{i'}l^{E}nx \ qatc \bar{u}^{i'}xm\hat{\imath}$ let them drink!
$\bar{l}\bar{n}t$ !- to eat 13.10	$qa^{i}l\ l\bar{\imath}t/\bar{\imath}'xm\hat{\imath}$ let him eat!

In one single instance the exhortative for a second person (singular) occurs. The suffix is followed by the future passive  $-\bar{\imath}$  (see § 56), and the exhortative particle is missing.

malte- to burn 25.2

mîlteï'xmīnx you may get burned (literally, to burn [exhortative, future passive] thou) 26.9

#### § 64. Exhortative -1

This suffix admonishes the speaker to perform an act, the object of which must be one of the second persons, and may best be rendered into English by LET ME, THEE.... The object of the action is expressed by adding the subjective pronouns to this suffix (see § 24) by means of a weak a-vowel (see § 4). Singular subjects are not expressed phonetically; duality or plurality of subject is indicated by means of the independent personal pronouns (see § 113). The particle  $k^u$  (see § 127) frequently follows these exhortative forms, and, when preceding a form with the second person singular as the object (-lanx), it changes the final x into a (see § 4).

L! $w\bar{a}n$ - to tell 17.1 L! $w\bar{a}'nlanx$  let me tell thee! L $\bar{o}l$ - to hit L $\bar{o}l$ ='lats let me hit you two! hatc'- to ask 66.16 hu'tc'latcî let me ask you! L! $w\bar{a}n$ - to tell 17.1 L! $w\bar{a}'nlanak^u$  let me tell thee!  $< L!w\bar{a}'nlanx k^u$ 

For other devices employed in Siuslaw for the purpose of expressing the exhortative mode, see § 129.

#### TEMPORAL SUFFIXES (§§ 65-74)

#### § 65. Introductory

Siuslaw shows a rich development of the category of time, and employs a variety of suffixes for the purpose of denoting the different tenses of actions and conditions. The simple form of the verb has an indefinite character and is used to denote past and present occurrences, but otherwise the temporal classification is strictly adhered to.

All temporal suffixes may be divided into semi-temporal and true temporal suffixes. Primarily, each of these suffixes expresses the tense of an intransitive action only; but by suffixing to the tense sign transitive suffixes, such as  $-\bar{u}n$ ,  $-\bar{u}ts$ , etc., the same idea of time for transitive occurrences is obtained. The only exceptions are found in the intentional and future tenses, which show two separate forms—one for intransitive verbs and the other for transitive actions (see §§ 41, 70, 73).

## Semi-temporal Suffixes (§§ 66-70)

#### § 66. Inchoative -st

This suffix denotes the commencement of an action, and assumes in some instances a transitional significance. Stems ending in a consonant insert a weak vowel between the final consonant and the initial element of the suffix (see § 4). When it is desired to express the inchoative tense of a transitive action, the transitive  $-\bar{u}n$  or any of the other transitive forms is added to the suffix (see §§ 27 et seq.).

qwaxtc- to go towards 62.8.

malté- to burn 25.2 L!xatate'- to attempt to run

 $qa^{i}n^{u}$  to be tired

 $q\bar{a}tx$ - to cry 58.15

wîltc- to send

*matc*- to lie 38.21

"I qwa'xtcîst tcī'watc and she began to go towards the water 90.22 mî'ltcîst he began to burn 29.3

Lxa'tatc'îst k!ēxū'tc L!aya'tc he begins to attempt to run in all directions 13.8, 9

 $qa^{i'}n\bar{u}st\ a'nts^{\underline{u}}tc\ m^{\underline{u}}\bar{u}'sk^{\underline{u}}$  he began to get tired, his younger brother 58.11

ulaux stīm qa'txast and they two there began to cry 58.17

qamîta'tc wî'ltcîstūn her father (discriminative) began to send her 92,20

 $s^{\underline{z}}ats\overline{i}'tc$   $m\hat{i}'tc\hat{i}st\overline{u}n'$ ... thus he began to fell ... 94.7, 8

§§ 65-66

 $ha'n\hat{n}\bar{\imath}t'$ - to believe 78.1, 2 ut wan  $ha'n^{\bar{\imath}}n\bar{\imath}'t'$   $\hat{\imath}st\bar{u}n$  and finally she began to believe him 46.3

In a few instances this suffix will be found added to a stem after the same has been verbalized by means of the suffix  $-a^i$  (see § 75).

<i>xîntm</i> - to travel about 12.10	k!ēxū'tc L!aya'tc ulenx xî'ntmaist everywhere they began to travel about 72.20 shaitū'nî pelī'tc xî'ntmaistūn the
wusī- to be sleepy	big one first he began to take along 92.18  wusya'a'st ants mī'k!a hīte began to feel sleepy that bad man 26.
$l\bar{\imath}t'$ - to eat 13.10	1, 2 $wusya'a^ist\hat{\imath}n$ I begin to feel sleepy 26.8 $w\bar{a}'nw\hat{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}t'.\bar{\imath}'st\bar{u}n$ already he (had) commenced to devour him 94.19 $(\bar{\imath}=a^i$ see § 2)

It sometimes follows the other true temporal suffixes, lending to the inchoative action a definite tense.

planya<sup>i</sup>t- to be sorry (present planya<sup>i</sup>tîstūtsme ha<sup>i</sup>tc he began to feel sorry for his (boy) 40.21 maltc- to burn 25.2 "l maltcī'ūst he will begin to build a fire 90.6

In a number of cases this suffix expresses an adjectival idea.

ptn- to be sick $40.21$	ants ptnast he (who) begins to get
	sick, he (who) is sick: hence the
	sick (man) 86.15
$y\hat{\imath}'g/a^u$ - to split	$y\hat{\imath}'q/a^ust\ q/a'\bar{\imath}\bar{\imath}$ pitch (that) begins
	to split, split pitch
haw- to finish 14.6	hauwai'st finished
	tsîma'st any kind of a place (sic)
	66.6

#### § 67. Terminative -īxai (-alxai)

This suffix expresses termination of an action. The stem to which it is suffixed must be preceded by some form of the verb  $ha\bar{u}$ - to end, to finish. For the interchange between  $-\bar{\imath}xa^i$  and  $-a^{\bar{\imath}}xa^i$  see § 2.

pîtc- to go over 88.15	$ha\bar{u}'\bar{u}n \ p\hat{\imath}tca^{\bar{\imath}\prime}xa^i \ I \ quit going over$ (logs)
<i>qātx</i> - to ery 58.15	$ha\bar{u}'\bar{u}n qatxa^{\bar{\imath}\prime}xa^i$ I quit crying
walt- to snow	$ha\bar{u}'tx \ walt\bar{\iota}'xa^i$ it stopped snowing
hal- to shout 13.11	$ha\bar{u}'txan\ hala^{\bar{\imath}'}xa^i$ I stopped shouting
hamx- to tie 8.6	$ha\bar{u}'\bar{l}n \ hamx\bar{\imath}'xa^i$ I quit tying his
<i>ln</i> - to call	$ha\bar{u}'ln\ lna^{i\prime}xa^{i}\ l\bar{n}ntc$ I quit calling his name
waa'- to talk 7.1	haū'ln waaī'xai I quit talking to him

It seems that the terminative suffix is frequently subject to the law of vocalic harmony, in spite of the fact that Siuslaw makes but little attempt at the harmonization of its vowels (see § 11). I have found a few examples showing that the initial vowel of the suffix has been assimilated to the quality of the vowel of the stem. Whether this rule applies to all cases could not be determined with any degree of certainty.

$x\bar{u}n$ - to snore 27.9	$ha\bar{u}'txan x\bar{u}n\bar{u}''xa^i \text{ (and not } x\bar{u}na^{i'}\text{-}$
	$xa^i$ ) I quit snoring
hūn- it gets dark 34.8	$ha\bar{u}'txh\bar{u}n\bar{u}^{i'}xa^{i}{\scriptscriptstyle L!}a'^{ai}$ (and not $h\bar{u}$ -
	na <sup>i</sup> 'xa <sup>i</sup> ) it stopped getting dark
$t = m\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3	$ha\bar{u}'tx \ tema^uya^{u'}xa^i \ h\bar{\iota}t\bar{u}'tc$ (and
	not $t = ma^{\bar{u}} y a^{\bar{i}'} x a^i$ ) he quit as-
	sembling (the) people

#### § 68. Frequentatives -at!ī, -ītx (-a<sup>1</sup>tx)

-at! $\bar{\imath}$  denotes frequency of action, and may best be rendered by FREQUENTLY, ALWAYS. In the first person singular the final long vowel of this suffix is shortened (see § 24). In terminal position the suffix -at! $\bar{\imath}$  is often changed into -at!ya (see §§ 7, 24).

$c\hat{n}'nx\bar{\imath}$ - to think 60.21	cî'nixyat!ya he is always thinking 12.4
hakw- to fall 8.7	ha'kwat!ya it always falls down 90.12
<i>qatc</i> <sup>E</sup> n− to go 8.2	qa'tcinat!ya he frequently goes 14.5
nakwa <sup>i</sup> - to be poor	nākwa'yatyanxan we (excl.) are always poor 76.19

 $t\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to come 9.2  $n\hat{\imath}'ctc\hat{\imath}m \ sqa^{\bar{\imath}}k \ t\bar{\imath}'wat!\bar{\imath}$  because there he came frequently 68.4, 5  $ta^i$ - to live 16.2  $p\bar{\imath}''ts\hat{\imath}s \ ta'yat!\bar{\imath}$  in the ocean he always lived 44.18 qaa'- to enter 34.5  $n\hat{\imath}ctc\bar{\imath}'tcanx \ tanx \ k\bar{u}^i \ qaa't!\bar{\imath} \ \hat{\imath}n$ -  $q!a'a^{\bar{\imath}}tc$  why do you, this one, not frequently come into the river? 44.3, 4

In one instance this suffix occurs as  $-t/\bar{\imath}$ .

k/ap- low tide 36.18  $t \in k/apa^{i'}t/\bar{\imath}$   $\hat{\imath}nq/a'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  (so that) always dry (may be) this river 38.2

When frequency of action in transitive verbs is to be expressed, the transitive suffixes are added to the frequentative  $-at/\bar{\imath}$ . This suffix amalgamates with the transitive  $-\bar{u}n$  into  $-at/y\bar{u}n$  (see § 8).

cî'nxī- to think 60.21

cîn' $xyat!y\bar{u}n$  mîta' $\bar{i}n$  I am always thinking of my father

cîl'x- to shake 27.2

cî'l' $xyat!y\bar{u}n$  qnà I always shake it z
planya'' he is sorry z-z

 $-\bar{\imath}tx$  has the same function as  $-at/\bar{\imath}$ , and was invariably rendered by constantly, always. It is usually preceded or followed by the temporal adverb  $ln\grave{a}t$  always (see § 120). The phonetic resemblance between this suffix and the objective  $-\bar{\imath}tx$  (see § 33) I believe to be purely accidental. This suffix occurs often as  $-a^{\bar{\imath}}tx$  (see § 2).

 $qatc^{\mathbf{E}}n$ - to go 8.2  $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{u}x$   $\iota.!\bar{o}na^{i'}$  a'ntsux  $qatc^{\mathbf{E}}n\bar{\imath}'tx$  much they two talk, those two (who) keep on going 56.7  $p^{a}a^{i'}\iota n$ - to hunt 15.3  $ts\hat{\imath}'mqmatc$   $ul^{\mathbf{E}}nx$   $pa\iota n\bar{\imath}'tx$  some of them are constantly hunting 82.16, 17  $ma'q!\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7  $meq!a^{i'}tx$  he always dances 86.2

xîl·xcī- to work 48.10	xî'l'xcītxa <sup>u</sup> x a'ntsîtcx <sup>u</sup> mā'tī they two were constantly fixing those their (dual) dams 50.3, 4
sî'nxī- to desire 18.5	sî'nxītx tete mîctcī'i he always wants that her younger sister 92.13, 14
$t\bar{a}qn$ - to be full 60.19	taqanī'tx hītū'stc it is always full of people 70.3, 4
yîxum- to watch	yîxumī' txana <sup>u</sup> x they two were constantly watching him 94.1
qatc <sup>r</sup> n- to go 8.2	qatcînī'txa <sup>ū</sup> n lnàt I always make him go

In a few instances, especially value $tilde{til$	when following other suffixes, the initial $\tilde{\imath}$ .
$hawa^{i\prime}$ it ends 14.6	hawa''stx ants Līya'wa he begins to finish (kindling) that fire (hawa''stx < hawa''st + -tx, see § 15) 90.7, 8
t/ūhatc'īn- to try to sell severally (?)	yaxa <sup>v</sup> /txa <sup>u</sup> x ta'tc <sup>w</sup> ax lq/ā'nū <sup>u</sup> la <sup>u</sup> x t/ūhatc'v̄'ntxa <sup>u</sup> x (when) they begin to multiply (have much) these their (dual) hides, then they two constantly tried to sell them 100.19
$y \bar{u}_L / -$ to break	$y\bar{u}^{wi}_{L}/a'tx \ qa^{u}x\hat{u}n\bar{u}'$ it constantly broke on the top 94.4

These three examples may also be explained as demonstrating the application of the pronominal suffix -ītx (see § 33).

#### § 69. Duratives -īs (-aīs), -ūs

Duration of action is expressed in Siuslaw by means of the suffix -s, which, however, never occurs alone. It invariably enters into composition with other suffixes, such as the suffix for the past tense, for the passive voice, etc., or it is preceded by either  $\bar{\imath}$  or  $\bar{u}$ . It is not inconceivable that this durative -s may be related to the auxiliary -s (see § 76). The difference between -is and -is seems to be of a true temporal nature.

 $-\bar{\imath}s$  (- $\alpha^{\bar{\imath}}s$ ) denotes duration, continuation of action of a clearly marked future significance, and, owing to this future character, it is employed extensively in the formation of the imperative mode (see §§ 60, 62). Transitive verbs add  $-\bar{u}n$  or its equivalents (see § 28) to the durative  $-\bar{\imath}s$ . For the interchange between  $-\bar{\imath}s$  and  $-\alpha^{\bar{\imath}}s$  see § 2.

	o v
xînt- to travel 23.1	tcī'wans xî'ntīs to the water we two (incl.) will keep on traveling 92.9
$ta^{i}$ - to live, to stay 16.2	stīm ta'īs there he kept on staying 70.12
$ma'q.'\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7	wa <sup>1</sup> yā'tsa <sup>u</sup> ln mā'q!īs even for a long time I still keep on dancing 72.10
$xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5	$s^{E}a'tsant$ $xn\bar{\imath}'^{w}n\bar{\imath}s$ thus we (incl.) will do every time 72.14, 15
waa'- to speak 7. 1	atsī'te wa'aīs ants hīte thus kept on saying the man 25.9
skwa <sup>-</sup> - to stand 10.9	skwa' ha <sup>i</sup> s ants hīte continually standing is that man 64.11
$xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5	qnī'xts*nx xnī'wnīsūn you will continually do it 70.11, 12
waa'- to speak 7. 1	atsī'te wa'āsūn thus he kept on saying to him 64.14
$tk\bar{u}m$ - to make a dam 48.8	ulns tkwa'mīsūn and we two (incl.) still will keep on making dams 48.14
qalx- to count 62.8	$qa'$ L $x\bar{e}s\bar{u}n$ ants $tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$ (they) keep on counting those days 8.5
To it and worth to stone that have been markelized by many	

 $-\bar{u}s$  is suffixed mostly to stems that have been verbalized by means of the suffix  $-a^i$  (see § 75), and expresses a continuative action performed in the present tense. It applies to transitive verbs having a third person object. Examples for similar forms with a second person object were not obtained.

rson object were not obtained	dies for similar forms with a second
$ilqa^{i'}$ he digs 84. 2	a'ntsux îlqa'yūs ants L!a'ai those two (who) continually dig that ground
(L!xmay- to kill 16.1	$uls_{\bar{x}} = uls_{\bar{x}} = uls$
$\{L/xmay$ - to kill 16.1 $\{l\bar{t}t/-\text{ to eat }13.10\}$	he would kill and devour him 15.3, 4
$tk\bar{u}m$ - to make a dam 48.8	tcī'kwax tkwamī'yūs L!a'ai where- ever they two were making dams 52.24
$L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ (they) come 9.3	$ula^{u}x lt^{i}\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}} L!\bar{\imath}L!w\bar{\imath}'y\bar{u}s$ to them two salmon continually came 98.16
$ha^uwa^ina^i$ - to finish	hauvainī'yūsaux wàn they two finish it finally 84.6, 7
	§ 69

yax- to see 20.10

 $qn^{u}$  w to find 34.12

 $y\bar{a}x\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}s^in$   $t_E$   $s\bar{\imath}'xa^i$  (whenever) I saw that canoe (coming) 100.8, 9  $u\bar{\iota}_E^E nx$   $qn\bar{u}`w\bar{\imath}'w\bar{u}s$   $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$   $h\bar{\imath}tc\bar{u}'w^i$  they would find lots of people  $(qn\bar{u}`w\bar{\imath}'w\bar{u}s < qn\bar{u}w\bar{\imath}'y\bar{u}s)$  66.22

By suffixing the durative -s to the sign for the past tense, -yax (see § 74) a compound suffix -yaxs is obtained which denotes an action of long duration performed in the past. This suffix is often contracted into  $-\bar{\imath}xs$  (see § 9).

 $a^u$ s- to sleep 24.1

gatcuw- to drink 76.11

 $l\bar{t}t'$ - to eat 13.10  $p_E k\bar{u}'^u$ - to play shinny 9.4

 $a^{u'}syaxsîn$ ,  $a^{u'}s\bar{\imath}xs\hat{\imath}n$  I had been sleeping

qa'tcwa<sup>†</sup>xs, qa'tcwayaxs he had been drinking

 $t\bar{\imath}'t'.yaxs\hat{\imath}n$  I have been eating  $p\bar{a}'k\bar{u}^ixs\hat{\imath}n$ ,  $p\bar{a}'k^uyaxs\hat{\imath}n$  I have been playing shinny

#### § 70. Intentionals -awax, -awun

-awax. This suffix indicates intention to perform a certain action. Hence it was usually rendered by I (THOU)... AM ABOUT TO, I (THOU)... AM GOING TO, I (THOU)... WILL, I (THOU)... WANT TO. It is used with intransitive verbs only; and it is contracted with the subjective pronouns, for persons other than the first person singular and the second dual and plural, into -awanx, -awans, -awaux, -awant, -awanxan, and -awanx (see § 24).

 $\bar{a}q$ - to run away 52.10

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (they) come 9.3  $yaq^{u'}$ - to look 23.9

qaqū'n- to listen

 $h\bar{u}tc$ - to play, to have fun 7.2

 $p\bar{\imath}\bar{u}\bar{t}$ - to hunt

 $m\bar{\imath}k^{u}$  to cut 90.5

āqa'waxan I intend to run away 90.21

ants płna'st uł cî'nixyat!ya āqa'wax that siek (man) always thought of running away 86.15

Līwa'wanx you intend to come 25.8 yoqu'ya'wax he intended to see 70.8 yaqu'ya'wanxan we (excl.) are going to see

qaqū'na'wax L!a'ai they were going to listen 30.18

 $h\bar{u}'tcawans$  we two (incl.) are going to play 10.5

pīūla'waxuxûn we two (excl.) intend to go hunting 54.22
mīkwa'waxts you two will eut

§ 70

$xa^itc$ - to roast 90.9	"la"x xa"tca"wa"x and they two finally intend roasting 90.8
$L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ (they) come 9.3	Līwa' want wan we (incl.) are about to arrive now 66.1
$tem\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3 $ma^atc$ it lay 32.22	temūa'waxtcî you will assemble metca'wanx they intended to lie

 $-a^w\bar{u}n$ . This suffix expresses the same idea as -awax, from which it differs in so far only as it implies a transitive action that has a third person as its object. It is probable that by some process of contraction this suffix represents an abbreviation from an original  $-awaxa^{\bar{u}}n$  or  $-awax\bar{u}n$ .

$h\bar{\imath}n$ - to take along 9.5	hīna'wūn ants plna'st she intends taking along that sick (man) 88.1, 2
waa'- to speak 7.1	" \[ \frac{ul}{v} waa' w \bar{u} n \] ants h \( \bar{v} t c \) L! \( a'^{ai} \) and he  was about to talk to these people
laku- to take, to get 7.5	$y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$ $t.'\bar{a}mc$ $lakwa'^w\bar{u}n$ many children he wants to have (to get)
$tem\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3	temūa'wūn ants L!a'ai hīte many people are about to assemble 30.8
$yaq^{u^{\epsilon}}$ - to look 23.9	sqā'tmaux yoqu''ya'wūn from there they two intended to watch 62.18, 19

# True Temporal Suffixes (§§ 71-74)

# § 71. Introductory

Siuslaw distinguishes between three true temporal categories, namely, present, future, and past. Excepting for the first of these, which is used to denote present and past, this differentiation is clearly marked and strictly adhered to.

### § 72. Present -t

It denotes an action performed at the present time. Stems ending in a vowel lengthen the vowel before adding the suffix -t; stems ending in a t insert an obscure (or weak) vowel between their final consonant and the suffix (see § 4). Transitive present actions are expressed by adding to the -t the transitive suffixes  $-\bar{u}n$  and  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see §§ 28, 29).

wīlw- to affirm 30.11
hīq!- to start 15.1
sî'nxī- to desire 11.7
wa'sɛsī- to be angry
tea'xw- to turn back it

tca'xu- to turn back 58.5  $qatc^{E}n$ - to go 8.2

xaln- to climb up 62.7  $qa^iha'ntc$  way off 10.3

maltc- to burn 25.2

 $qaq\bar{u}$ 'n- to listen 30.18

 $l\bar{\imath}t'$ - to eat 13.10  $yaq^{u'}$ - to see 23.9

 $w\bar{\imath}lw$ - to affirm, to agree 30.11

 ${}^{u}la^{u}x \ wan \ wi'l\bar{u}t$  they two finally affirmed 90.6

 $s^{z}ats\overline{i}'tc$  waa'  $^{u}l$   $h\overline{i}'q!a^{\overline{i}}t$  thus he talks and starts (off) 22.5, 6

sî'nxīt tāqa''na he wants something 18.5

wa'sısīt ants tsîmî'l'ä was angry that muskrat 52.17

 $ultiple tea'xa^{\bar{u}}t$  he turns back 16.5  $qa'tc^{i}nt$  he goes 12.9  $qa'tc^{i}nta^{u}x$  they two are going 23.1

 $tx\bar{u}$   $xa'l^int$  he just climbs up 12.4  $qa^iha'ntctanl$  wan we (incl.) have come far now 66.3, 4

ha''mūt ma'ltc't everything burned (down) 34.18

 $qa'q^u hant\bar{u}n$   $p\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$   $h\bar{\imath}tc$  he heard (make) noise (the) people 36.23, 24

 $t\bar{t}'t'^{E}t\bar{u}n$  he ate him (up) 94.19  $yo'q^{u}ha^{i}t\bar{u}n$  ants  $\hat{n}q/a'a^{\bar{i}}$  he looked at that river 36.21, 22

 $ul m\bar{a}'q^u L w\bar{i}'l\bar{u}t\bar{u}n$  then Crow agreed to it 36.6, 7

### § 73. Future -tūx

This suffix is added to intransitive stems only, and it denotes an action that is to take place in the future. Stems ending in a vowel lengthen the same before adding this suffix. When added to stems that end in a t, an obscure (or weak) vowel is inserted between the final t of the stem and the initial consonant of the ending (see § 4).

Whenever  $-t\bar{u}x$  is to be followed by the subjective pronouns for the second person singular, inclusive and exclusive dual and plural, and the third person plural, it is contracted with them into  $-t\bar{u}nx$ ,  $-t\bar{u}ns$ ,  $-ta^ux\hat{u}n$  (?),  $-t\bar{u}nl$ ,  $-t\bar{u}nxan$ , and  $-t\bar{u}nx$  respectively (see § 24). The transitive future is rendered by means of the suffixes  $-y\bar{u}n$ ,  $-\bar{\iota}^wy\bar{u}n$  (see § 41).

qatc<sup>E</sup>n- to go 8.2

L!wān- to tell, to relate 17.1

qa'tc ntūxan I will go 22.2 qa'tcintūnx thou shalt go 22.2 L!wā'ntūnx thou shalt tell 30.12 L!wa'ntūxtcî you will tell 7.3  $sm\bar{u}t$ '- to end 9.1  $L\bar{t}'\bar{u}$ - to come 9.2, 3

qwaxtc- to go down to the river 48.18 hūtc- to play 7.2

 $\bar{a}q$ - to run away 52.10

sînq!- to be hungry 44.11

 $m\bar{\imath}k^{u}$ - to cut

 $xa\bar{u}'$  he died 40.21  $xw\bar{\imath}\iota$ .'- to turn back 12.6

 $k/\hat{n}k$ 'y- to look for 16.1

 $sm\bar{u}'t'^{E}t\bar{u}x$  it will end 20.5  $t\bar{t}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}x$  he will come 9.2

 $t\bar{t}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}nxan$  we (excl.) will come 30.11

 $n\bar{\imath}'k'.an\bar{t}$   $qwa'xtc^it\bar{u}x$  alone we (incl.) will go down 62.14  $h\bar{u}'tct\bar{u}ns$  we shall play 10.6

 $h\bar{u}'tct\bar{u}nl$  we (incl.) shall play 7.2  $\bar{a}'qt\bar{u}ns$  we two (incl.) will run away 92.2

sî'nq!tauxûn we two (excl.) will be hungry

 $m\bar{\imath}'k^{u}t\bar{u}xts$   $lt'\bar{\imath}aya'$  you two will cut salmon 90.5

xa'ūtūxaux they two will die 88.7 xwī'L!tūnt we (incl.) will turn back 60.9

 $tqa^uw\bar{\imath}'tc^ins$   $k!\hat{\imath}'nk'\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}x$  upstream we two will look for . . . 56.17

### § 74. Past -yax

This suffix expresses an act performed long ago. The idea of a past transitive action is conveyed by suffixing to -yax the transitive  $-\bar{u}n$  and  $-\bar{u}ts$  (see §§ 28, 29, 2). It is subject to contraction whenever followed by the subjective pronouns for the second person singular, inclusive and exclusive dual, third dual, inclusive and exclusive plural, and third plural (see § 24). The contracted forms for these persons are -yanx, -yans,  $-ya^ux\hat{u}n$ ,  $-ya^ux$ , -yant, -yanxan, and -yanx. This suffix always requires that the accent be placed on the first syllable of the word.

 $q\bar{u}^it$ '- to dream

 $tk\bar{u}m$ - to close 48.8

Līha- to pass by 80.12

 $ta^{i}$ - to live 16.2

xînt- to start 20.3

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (they) come 9.3

 $h\bar{\imath}tc$   $q\bar{\imath}i't'yax$  a person dreamt 68.21

ants thwa'myax (when) it closed 78.3

Qa'a' cīx Lī'hayax along North Fork it passed by 32.19

 $m^{x}y\bar{o}^{\varepsilon}k^{u}s$   $ta^{i}'yax$   $\iota'.aya'$  in the beginning (they) lived in a place 82.11, 12

uln sīhī'tc xî'ntyax (when) I began to grow up (literally, then I [into] growing started) 100.18
Lī'ūyans we two (incl.) came

§ 74

hūtc- to play 7.2	$h\bar{u}'tcyans$ (when) we two (incl.) play 78.9
	$h\bar{u}'tcyant$ (when) we (incl.) play 78.13
$L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ (they) came 9.3	$L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}yanxan$ we (excl.) came
xîntm- to travel 12.10	"l xî'ntmiyaxa"n and he took (them) along 92.13
sî'nxī- to desire 18.5	$tc\hat{\imath}na'ta^u s\hat{\imath}'n^i xyaxa^{\bar{\imath}n}$ whoever desired it 11.6, 7
hīn- to take along 9.5	$qa^iha'ntc$ $h\bar{\imath}'nyaxa^{\bar{\imath}}n$ $ya^ck^us$ way off took him seal 68.17, 18
waa'- to speak 7.1	$s^{\underline{x}}ats\overline{i}tc$ $wa^{a'}yaxa^{\overline{u}}n$ thus he told him 36.11
$L/w\bar{a}n$ - to relate 17.1	$s^{x}ats\bar{i}'tc\ L'wa^{a'}nyaxa^{\bar{u}}n$ thus he related to him 38.8

The past suffix is frequently added to a duplicated stem, denoting a past action of long-continued duration (see § 108).

$l\bar{a}'k^ukyax\ h\bar{t}t\bar{u}'tc\ texm\bar{u}'nya$ she
was taking a male person
$s^{E}a'tsa \ h\bar{\imath}'q!aq!yax$ thus it started
15.1
$tc\bar{\imath}'wane  ha^{i\prime}q\hat{\imath}qyax  \text{from the}$
water ashore it had come 56.13
$qa^{i'}x\hat{i}xyax \ t \in L!a'^{ai}$ it was getting
dark 34.4
$t^owa'tc\hat{\imath}tcyaxa^{\bar{u}}n$ te $ya^{\varepsilon}k^{u}s$ I have
been spearing this seal 66.17

In a few instances it has been found following the present -t, although for what purpose could not be ascertained.

	$h\bar{\imath}q$ !- to start 22.6	$h\bar{\imath}'q!a^{\bar{\imath}}t$ 22.6	$a'ntsux h\bar{\imath}'q!a^ityax p^ukwa^{\bar{\imath}'}t$
			those two who had started
			to play shinny 78.15
	t!îmct!i- to raise	$t!\hat{\imath}'mct!\bar{\imath}t$	$ula^ux$ $wan$ $t!î'mct!ītyax$
	children 30.23		then they two finally
			raised children
	wīlw- to affirm	$w\bar{\imath}'l\bar{u}t$ 90.6	wī'lūtyaxan I agreed
	30.11		
	gatc <sup>E</sup> n- to go 8.2	$qa'tc^int \ 12.1$	qa'tcintyaxan I went away
	$ma^atc$ - to lie 32.22	$m_{\it E'}tc\hat{\imath}t$	$m\hat{\imath}'tc^{i}tyaxa^{\bar{u}}n$ L! $ay\bar{u}'stc$ I
			laid it down on the ground
	$xa^itc$ - to roast 90.8	$xa^{i\prime}tc\hat{\imath}t$	$xa^{i'}tc\hat{i}tyaxa^{\bar{u}}n$ he roasted it
	74		
3	14		

(For the idiomatic use of the past suffix in conditional clauses see § 136.)

VERBALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 75-77)

§ 75. Verbalizing  $-a^i$ ,  $-\overline{u}^i$ 

While the majority of Siuslaw stems do not require the addition of a specific verbal suffix in order to convey a general verbal idea, these two suffixes have been found added to a large number of neutral stems, especially in the present tense. They may therefore be explained as verbalizing a neutral stem and as expressing an intransitive action of present occurrence. They are frequently used to denote an action performed by the third person singular, for which person Siuslaw has no distinct suffix (see § 24). There can be no doubt, however, that these suffixes are identical with the Alsea inchoative -aai,  $-\bar{u}i$ , and that  $-a^i$  bears some relation to the Coos intransitive -aai. While no difference in the use of these two suffixes could be detected, it was observed that  $-\bar{u}^i$  is never added to stems that end in a q, p, or in a.

pln- to be sick 15.4  $h\bar{u}tc$ - to play 7.2 waa'- to speak, to say 7.1  $l\bar{t}t'$ - to eat 13.10  $ha^iq$ - shore 44.7 yax- to see, to look 20.10 skwa'- to stand 10.9  $sm\bar{u}t'$ - to finish, to end 11.1  $a^us$ - to dream, to sleep, 23.9 xxas- to fly, to jump  $tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8  $s\bar{u}n$ - to dive 64.21

 $plna^{i\prime}$  he was sick 40.21  $h\bar{u}tca^{i\prime}$  72.6,  $h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  23.8 he plays  $waa^{i\prime}$  he says 8.9  $l^it.'a^{i\prime}$  he eats 46.12  $ha^iqa^{i\prime}$  he comes ashore 82.5  $y\hat{i}xa^{i\prime}$  he looks 66.6  $skwaha^{i\prime}$  he stands 14.4  $sm\hat{i}t^i\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  it ends 14.6  $as\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he dreams 68.22  $Lxas\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he jumps  $tq\bar{u}l\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he shouted 92.6  $s\hat{i}n\bar{u}^{i\prime}$  he dives

That these suffixes are not essentially necessary for the purpose of expressing a verbal idea, but that, like their Alsea equivalents, they may have originally conveyed inchoative ideas, is best shown by the fact that all such verbalized forms are parallel to bare stem-forms. In all such cases the amplified form seems to denote inception and (at times) finality of action.

 $wan \ w\bar{\imath} twa'$  now he affirms 58.9  $wan \ w\bar{\imath} twa''$  he begins to affirm 17.7  $v\bar{\imath} tc\bar{\imath} n$  and he came back 7.7  $tc\hat{\imath} na''$  he came back 68.16

$L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ (they) arrive 9.3	tcî'ntau hītc līwai' whatever per-
$x\bar{a}\bar{u}'$ he died 40.21	son came 24.7 $xawa^{i'}$ $h\bar{t}tc$ (when a) person dies
atsī'tc L!waan thus he tells	42.11 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{u}x$ $L.'\bar{o}na^{i'}$ much they two
$58.22$ $a'nts^{E}nx \ xn\bar{\imath}'^{w}n_{E} \text{ those (who)}$	begin to talk 56.7 $s^E a' t s a n x \ x n \bar{\imath}^w n a^{i'}$ thus they begin
do it 78.20	to do (it) 78.19
tai he is sitting, he lives 16.2	tqawwite tayai upstream (they) commence to live 82.12, 13
kumî'ntc yax not (he) sees 34.4	yîxa <sup>i</sup> wàn (they) commence to look 66.9
"Inx haū' they quit 11.4	sqa <sup>†</sup> k wàn hawa <sup>i'</sup> here finally it ends 14.6
"t wàn skwaha' now he stands (up) 28.8	skwaha'' he stands 14.4
"I waa' then he says 11.2	$waa^{i'}$ he says 8.9 $sm\hat{\imath}t'\bar{u}^{i'}$ it ends 14.6
$sm\tilde{u}'t'a$ it ends 11.1	8///// W 16 enus 14.0

§ 76. Auxiliary -s, -t

These suffixes express our ideas to have, to be with. A peculiarity that remains unexplained is the fact that they are always added to the locative noun-forms that end in -a or  $-\bar{u}s$  (see § 86).

-s is always added to the locative form ending in -a, and never to the  $-\tilde{u}s$  form, which may be due to phonetic causes. The use of this suffix is rather restricted. It is not inconceivable that it may be related to the durative  $-\tilde{\iota}s$  (see § 69).

Absolutive Locative	Auxiliary
$ts\bar{\imath}'\iota.'\bar{\imath}$ arrow 50.14 $ts\bar{\imath}\iota.'ya'$ 50.9 $na'.$	han "In tsīl! iya's I will
h	ave an arrow 50.16
qal·tc knife qal·tcya' qa'e	l·tcyas he has a knife
$\overline{lkwa'n\hat{\imath}}$ pipe $\overline{lkwa'nya}$ $\overline{lkw}$	a'nyasîn I am with a
p	pipe
, $lqa^{i'c}t\bar{u} \log 32.21$ $lqa't^uwa$ $lqa'$	't <sup>u</sup> was he has a stick

-t occurs very often, and is added to all forms of the locative case. It can never be confused with the sign of the present tense -t, because it is invariably preceded by the locative forms in -a or  $-\bar{u}s$ , while the suffix for the present tense follows vowels and consonants other than a or s (see § 72).

4.5	1 HANDBOOK OF	INDIAN LANGUAGES	—SIUSLAWAN 000
	Absolutive	Locative	Auxiliary
	<i>qīūtcū'nî</i> woman	$q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'nya$ 76.7	$q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}nya't$ he has a
	30.21		wife 48.8
	$k\bar{o}'tan$ horse 34.9	kōtana'	kumî'ntc kōtana't not
			they had horses
			100.20, 102.1
	t'îx tooth	$t'\hat{\imath}xa'$	$t'\hat{\imath}xa't$ $c\bar{a}'ya$ teeth has
	47 .447 2.1 4.0 0	47 .417	(his) penis 90.19
	yîktî'l·ma big 40.6	yîktî'l <b>`</b> ma	yîktî'l·mat cā'ya he has
	7-1.1.7.6. 1.01.00	7-11 140 #	a big penis 92.1
	$l\bar{\imath}'t!/a^{\bar{\imath}}$ food 34.23	līt!aya' 13.7	kumî'ntc līt!aya't (they)
	77-1 ~ 1 1 1	77-1	had no food 34.10
	$kl\bar{\imath}'n\bar{u}$ ladder	kłī'nwa	klī'nwat ants hītsī'i a
			ladder has that house
	, t	4=i/ 10 F	80.12
	$t_E$ something 13.2.	$t\bar{a}qa^{i\prime}na$ 18.5	$ha^{i'}m\bar{u}t kum\hat{i}'ntc t\bar{a}qa^{i'}-$ $nat^{i}tc h\bar{i}'q\bar{u}^{i} they all$
	15.2,		had no hair (literally,
			all not with something
			is their hair) 68.12
	$s\bar{\imath}xa^{\bar{\imath}}$ canoe 56.5	sexa <sup>ū</sup> ′ 48.18	sexa <sup>u'</sup> tîn I have a canoe
	$tc\bar{\imath}'t/\bar{\imath}$ wind	$tc\bar{\imath}t/y\bar{u}'s$	$kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$ $tc\bar{\imath}t!y\bar{u}'st$
	ccc of o wind	0000; y u s	(there) was no wind
	mîtà father 54.22	mîta'yūs	mîta'yūst he has a father
		mîła'yūs	mîta'yūst he has a
	mua modect 51.25	mina g ao	mother
	$lga^{i'}t\bar{u}$ log, stick	<i>laatūvīvīvī's</i> 88.16	łgatūwī'yūst he has a
	32.21	t fact as it is good a contract	stick
	*	hītsî's 48.7	hītsî'st he has a house
		$L\hat{\imath}mst\bar{\imath}'s$	$tc\bar{\imath}k$ ants $\iota\hat{\imath}m\hat{\imath}'st\bar{\imath}st$
	1		L!a'ai where (there
			was) that green place
			34.2, 3
			and the same of th

# § 77. Suffix Transitivizing Verbs that Express Natural Phenomena -L!

A suffix with a similar function is, as far as my knowledge goes, to be found in but one other American Indian language; namely, in Alsea. This suffix is added exclusively to stems expressing meteorological phenomena, such as it snows, it rains, the wind blows, night approaches, etc.; and it signifies that such an occurrence, otherwise impersonal, has become transitivized by receiving the third person singular as the object of the action. Its function may best be compared with our English idiomatic expression rain, snow overtakes

HIM, NIGHT COMES UPON HIM, etc. By adding to -L! the subjective pronouns for the first and second persons (see § 24), the same expressions with these persons as objects are obtained. This suffix always follows the tense signs, and immediately precedes the pronominal suffixes.

gaix night, darkness 38.21 ulxûn stīmk qai'xtūx1! us two (excl.) there night will overtake 94.18  $tc\bar{\imath}'t'\bar{\imath}$  wind  $tc\bar{\imath}'t'\bar{\imath}_{L}!$  a storm overtook him tsxaya'' day breaks 50.3 tsxaya''L!aux (when) day came upon them two 48.9 k/ap- low tide 36.18  $k/a'pt\bar{u}xl'$  low tide will overtake (them) 36.18  $\bar{u}'lt\bar{\imath}$  snow 76.10 wa'ltetūxl!în snow will overtake hî'nek!ītil!anx rain pours down  $h\hat{\imath}' n^{\varepsilon} k \bar{\imath} t$  it rains

It is not inconceivable that this suffix may represent an abbreviation of the stem  $L/a'^{ai}$  PLACE, WORLD, UNIVERSE (see § 133), which the Siuslaw always employs whenever he wants to express a natural phenomenon.

upon them

 $tsxaya^{i'}$   $L/a'^{ai}$  day breaks 50.3  $h\hat{v}'n^{\epsilon}k'ya$   $L/a'^{ai}$  it rains 78.1  $k'uw\hat{v}na^{i'}$   $L/a'^{ai}$  (there was) ice all over 76.11  $qa^{i'}x\hat{v}xyax$  t  $L/a'^{ai}$  it got dark 34.4

# PLURAL FORMATIONS (§§ 78-80)

§ 78. Introductory

The idea of plurality in verbal expressions may refer either to the subject or object of the action. In most American Indian languages that have developed such a category, and that indicate it by means of some grammatical device, plurality of subject is exhibited in intransitive verbs, while plurality of object is found in transitive verbs. Such plurality does not necessarily coincide with our definition of this term. It may, and as a matter of fact it does, in the majority of cases, denote what we commonly call distribution or collectivity. Thus the Siuslaw idea of plurality is of a purely collective character, and seems to have been confined to the subject of intransitive verbs only. Even the contrivance so frequently employed by other American Indian languages, of differentiating singularity and plurality of objects by

means of two separate verbal stems—one for singular and the other for plural objects—is not found in Siuslaw.¹ One and the same verbal stem is used in all cases; and when it becomes necessary to indicate that there are more than one recipient of a transitive action, this is accomplished by the use of the numeral particle  $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  (see § 139) or of the stem  $L!a'^{ai}$  (see § 133), as may be seen from the following examples:

yuwa'yūn ants q!a'īl he gathered pitch

yîxa'y $\bar{u}$ n  $h\bar{\iota}$ tc I saw a person

wa'aūtsme ants hūtc he said to his man
L!ōxa'xaūtsme hūtc he sent his

L!ōxa'xaūtsmE hītc he sent his man yuwa'yūn yūa'xa' ants q!a'ūl (they) gathered lots (of) that pitch 88.5, 6

 $y\hat{\imath}xa'y\bar{u}n\ y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i\ h\bar{\imath}tc\ I\ saw\ many$  people

waa'aūtsme ants L!a'ai hītc he said to all (of) his people 7.1

L!ōxa'xaūtsme hītc L!a'ai he sent many people 30.1, 2

But if Siuslaw does not employ a distinct grammatical process for the purpose of pointing out plurality of objects of transitive actions, it has developed devices to indicate collectivity of subjects of intransitive verbs. For that purpose it uses, besides the numeral particle  $y\bar{a}^a'xa^i$  (see § 139) and the stem  $L!a'^{ai}$  (see § 133), two suffixes  $(-\bar{u}^u$  and -tx) that are added directly to the verbal stem. These suffixes are always added to verbal stems that denote an intransitive act, and their functions may best be compared to the functions exercised by the French on or German man in sentences like on dit and man sagt.

### § 79. Plural $-\overline{u}u$ , $-\overline{u}wi$

This suffix expresses an action that is performed collectively by more than one subject. Etymologically it is the same suffix as the verbal abstract of identical phonetic composition (see § 97), and the use of one and the same suffix in two functions apparently so different may be explained as due to the fact that there exists an intimate psychological connection between an abstract verbal idea and the concept of the same act performed in general.<sup>2</sup> The following example, taken

<sup>1</sup> I have found only one case of such a differentiation. I was told that the stem qaa- to enter, to put in, refers to singular objects, while the stem <code>Lxaa-</code> can be used with plural objects only. But as this information was conveyed to me after much deliberation and upon my own suggestion, I am inclined to doubt the correctness of this interpretation. It is rather probable that these two stems are synonymes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The same phenomenon occurs in Dakota.

at random, will serve to illustrate the comparison more clearly. The Siuslaw word xîl·xcū'wi (stem xîl·xcī- TO WORK) may have two distinct meanings. When used nominally (as a verbal abstract), it may best be rendered by THE CONCEPT OF WORKING, WORK; when used verbally, it is to be translated by to WORK IN GENERAL, ALL (MANY) WORK. This psychological connection between such terms as WORK and to work generally, collectively, may have led to the use of one and the same suffix in a nominal and verbal capacity (see § 22). This suffix is added directly to the verbal stem, and its double form may be due to rapidity of speech rather than to any phonetic causes. It is frequently preceded by the temporal suffixes, especially the present -t (see § 72), and it was always rendered by THEY . . . The subject of the action is usually emphasized by the use of the numeral particles  $ha^{i\prime}m\bar{u}t$  ALL,  $y\bar{a}^{a\prime}xa^{i}$  MANY (see § 124), and of the stem  $L/a'^{ai}$ (see § 133). The particle either precedes or follows the verb. This suffix requires the accent.

 $t = m \bar{u}'$  to assemble 7.3  $h \bar{u} t c$  to play 7.2  $p = k \bar{u}'$  to play shinny 9.4

 $h^{i}yats$ - to live

hal- to shout 13.11

 $ma'q/\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7  $xn\bar{\imath}^w n$ - to do 10.5

 $m\bar{\imath}k^{u}$ - to cut 90.5

qatc<sup>E</sup>n- to go 8.2 tsîl:- to shoot 10.3

 $ma^atc$ - to lay 32.22

 $t_{Em\bar{u}'^u}$  they came together 30.16  $h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'^u$   $L!a'^{ai}$  they play 8.8

 $p_E k \bar{u}'^{wi}$   $L!a'^{ai}$  they play shinny 70.10

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i \ h^iyats\bar{u}'^{wi}$  lots (of people)

hatū'u ants hīte L!a'ai shout collectively, those people 70.9

 $m Eq! y \bar{u}^{'u} L! a^{'ai}$  they dance 28.8  $s^z a' tsa \ xn \bar{\imath}^w n \bar{u}^{'u} \ ants \ L! a'^{ai}$  thus do it collectively, those people 70.22, 23

qīūtcū'nî L!a'ai ul mīkū'u lt'īa'a'
many women cut salmon 82.14
qatc\*natū'u they walk about 34.19
tsīL!atū'u yāa'xa' L!a'ai they are
shooting 8.6

ya'q'a'yūn ants lī't!aī mîtcū'wi
L!a'ai he saw that food lying
(around in great quantities)
36.26, 27

Owing to the frequent interchange between the  $\bar{u}$ -vowel and the diphthong  $a^{\bar{u}}$  (see § 2), this suffix occurs often as  $-aa^{\bar{u}}$ ,  $-aa^{\bar{u}wi}$ .

skwa'- to stand 10.9

 $h\bar{\imath}q!$ - to start 15.1

sEa'tsa thus 11.10

k!înk'- to go and see 16.1

stīm skwahaūwi L!a'ai there they are standing (collectively) 28.9

sza'tsa hīq!yaū'wi ants L!a'ai thus they (will) start

 $s^{E}atsa^{\bar{a}'wi}$  to  $h\bar{i}tc$  L!a'ai thus (they do it) these people

k!înk'ya'a<sup>ū</sup> nî'ctca te ta<sup>i</sup> many (were) going to see how this (one was) living

# § 80. Plural -tx

This suffix exercises the same function as the preceding  $-\bar{u}^u$ , differing from it in so far only as its subjects must be human beings. It is added either to the bare stem or to the stem verbalized by means of the suffixes  $-a^i$ ,  $-\bar{u}^i$  (see § 75), or it follows any of the temporal suffixes. The function of this suffix as a personal plural is substantiated by the fact that the verb to which it is added must be followed by the collective forms of  $h\bar{\iota}tc$  person,  $h\bar{\iota}tc\bar{u}^{u'}$ ,  $h\bar{\iota}tc\bar{u}'^{ui}$  (see § 97). Whenever this suffix is added to a stem that has been verbalized by means of the suffixes  $-a^i$ ,  $-\bar{u}^i$ , it coincides in phonetic structure with the temporal and objective form  $-\bar{\iota}tx$  (see §§ 33, 68). But the following collective  $h\bar{\iota}tc\bar{u}'^u$  differentiates these two forms. Stems ending in an alveolar or affricative add this suffix by means of a weak a-vowel (see § 4). This suffix is always rendered by THEY, PEOPLE.

 $tem\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3

s<sup>E</sup>a'tsa thus 11.10

 $h\bar{u}tc$ - to play 7.2

waa'- to talk 7.1

qatcEn- to go 8.2

*tai*- to live 16.2

 $^{ul}$   $wan tem \bar{u}'tx h \bar{v}tc \bar{u}'^{u}$  finally the people assembled 7.6

 $t_{EM}^{u}wa^{i'}tx$   $h\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'^{wi}$   $sqa^{\bar{\imath}}k$  people assembled there 66.15

uł wàn szatsa'tx hītcū'wi now they (began to do it) thus 7.5, 6

"" wàn hūtca'tx hītcū'" now they (commence to) play 9.3

ul wàn waa'tx  $h\bar{\imath}tc\bar{u}'^u$  then finally people said 16.1

atsī'tc waai'mxustx hītcū'u thus they began to talk to each other 64, 20, 21

"l wàn qa'tcintx finally they went 16.2

ha''mūt tqau'wīte taya''tx hīteū'wi all up-stream they lived 82.13  $p_E k \bar{u}'^{u_-}$  to play shinny 9.4

hal- to shout

pākwa''tx hītcū'' te L'a'a' these people play shinny 78.7 halî'tx hītcū'' people shout 13.11

# § 81. IRREGULAR SUFFIXES -n $(-\bar{\imath}n)$ , -myax (-m)

Here belong two suffixes whose exact function and etymology can no longer be analyzed. It is even impossible to tell whether they represent petrified formative elements, or elements of an exceedingly restricted scope, which may be responsible for their sporadic appearance.

The first of these suffixes to be discussed here is the suffix -n- or  $-\bar{\imath}n$ -. It never occurs independently, being always followed by another verbal suffix, such as the transitive  $-\bar{\imath}n$  (see § 28), the temporal (see §§ 65–74) and the passive suffixes (see §§ 38, 39, 54–59). It seems to be related to the reciprocal -naw (a), and its function may be characterized as expressing a transitive action involving reciprocality or mutuality.

 $t!\bar{u}'hatc'$ - to try to sell

 $ma'q/\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7

mînq!- to buy (in exchange for a slave) (?)

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$ - to come 9.3

 $t/\bar{u}hatc'\bar{v}'n\bar{u}n^{1}$  I try to sell it  $ula^{u}x$   $t/\bar{u}hat'c\bar{v}'ntxa^{u}x^{1}$  they two

try to sell their (hides) 100.19

maq!ēna'wūn I will cure him (literally, dance for him)

 $meq/\bar{e}^i na'a^u$  a dance will be arranged for him 19.2

s<sup>E</sup>à ata's ants ma'q!īnūtnE (for) him only this dance is arranged 28.7

\*\*l mî'nq!īnū'nE tsxax\*\* she is bought in exchange for a slave 76.3

 $L\bar{\imath}\bar{u}na^{u'w}ya^{u}x^{2}$  (when) they two come together 46.7

The other irregular suffix is -m, which, however, occurs by itself in only one instance. It is usually followed by the suffix for the past tense -yax (see § 74), and expresses in such cases an action that almost took place. It was invariably rendered by ALMOST, VERY NEAR.

<sup>1</sup> The use of this suffix may be justified here by the fact that the idea to sell requires a seller and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The n is used here because the action involves two persons—one that comes, and another that is approached.

 $x \hat{i} n t$  he goes, he travels 20.3  $p \bar{i}'' t s \hat{i} s x \hat{i}' n t m a$  in the ocean he travels (around ?) 44.1, 2 hakw- to fall 8.7 ha'kumyaxan I almost fell down  $a^u s$ - to sleep 24.1  $a^u s m^i y a x a n$  I very nearly fell asleep  $q a t c^E n$ - to go 8.2  $q a' t c n^i m y a x a n$  I very nearly went  $k \bar{u}' n$ - to beat 72.17  $k \bar{u}'' n a m y a x a^{\bar{u}} n$  I almost beat him  $q \bar{a} t x$ - to cry 58.15  $q \bar{a}' t x^E m y a x$  he very nearly cried

# Nominal Suffixes (§§ 82-105) § 82. INTRODUCTORY

The number of nominal suffixes found in Siuslaw is, comparatively speaking, rather small, and the ideas they express do not differ materially from the ideas conveyed by the nominal suffixes of the neighboring languages. There is, however, one striking exception, for among the neighboring languages (Coos and Alsea) Siuslaw alone possesses nominal cases. Another interesting feature of the Siuslaw nominal suffixes is the large number of suffixed formative elements that require the accent, and their phonetic strength (see § 12).

### § 83. DIMINUTIVE -îsk'în

This suffix conveys our diminutive idea, and may be added to stems that express nominal and adjectival concepts. Under the influence of the consonant preceding it, it may be changed into  $-ask'în.^1$  When added to stems that end in a vowel, the vowel of the suffix is contracted with the final vowel of the stem (see § 9). When followed by the augmentative  $-îl·m\ddot{a}$ , the -în- element of this suffix disappears (see § 84). This suffix requires the accent.

t/āmc infant 40.19

t/āmc infant 40.19

t/āmcî'sk'în a little boy 94.16

tt'ī'a' fish 56.1

tt'ī'sk'în  $\iota l a'^{ai}$  many small fish 46.6, 7  $q\bar{\iota}u\bar{\iota}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\iota}$  woman 30.21  $m\hat{\iota}t\hat{\iota}$  father 54.22  $m\hat{\iota}t'a'sk'n\bar{\iota}'t\hat{\iota}$  my step-father (literally, my little father) 100.3, 4 t''pxan niece (?) 92.17  $t''pxan'sk'\hat{\iota}ntc^wax$  they two (were) his little nieces 92.15, 16  $k\bar{o}'tan$  horse 34.9  $t''amcî'sk'\hat{\iota}n$  a small horse, a pony

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Owing to the fact that most of the texts and examples were obtained from William Smith, an Alsea Indian (see p. 438), whose native tongue has no true alveolar spirants (s, c), this suffix appears frequently in the texts as -3ck'in.

 $L/m\bar{a}k'$ - short  $y\bar{a}k$ - small 29.4 xyal: x almost, very nearly 11.1

hī'catca a while

L/māk'î'sk'în very short 50.18

yaa'k!î'sk'în very small 36.23

xyal'xî'sk'în qa'tcint qaiha'ntc he

went a little ways (literally, almost, a little, he goes, far) 12.1

hīcatca'sk'în a little while 64.8

# § 84. AUGMENTATIVE -îl·mä

-îl·mä expresses the idea of largeness, and, in terms of relationship, that of AGE; and it may be suffixed to stems expressing, besides nominal, also adjectival ideas. When added to stems that end in a lateral, the lateral of the suffix disappears in accordance with the law of simplification of consonants (see § 15). This suffix requires the accent.

 $q\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}tc$  woman 48.17  $\iota\hat{\imath}p\iota$ - grandfather  $kam\iota$  grandmother 96.22

t!āmc infant 40.19

 $t_E x^a m$  strong 10.1

 $pen \hat{\imath}'s$  skunk 86.1  $y\hat{\imath}kt$  big 48.8

qīūtcî'l·mä old woman 94.22
LîpL'mä grandfather
waaī'tx ants kamL'matc she said
to that her grandmother 96.21
t!āmcî'l·mä old infant, hence
young (man) 54.22
texmî'l·mä very strong (man),
hence old (man) 40.10
penîsî'l·mä a large skunk
yîktî'l·ma very big 40.6

The diminutive suffix is not infrequently added to the augmentative for the purpose of mitigating the impression made by the augmentative, and *vice versâ*.

t!āmc infant 40.19

mîlà mother 54.23

t/āmcîl·ma'sk'în little big infant, hence little boy 94.20 mîlask'î'l·mä¹ step-mother (literally, little old mother)

# CASE-ENDINGS (§§ 85-87)

# § 85. Introductory

Unlike the languages spoken by the neighboring tribes, Siuslaw shows a rich development of nominal cases. Two of these, the genitive or relative case and the locative, are formed by means of separate suffixes, while the discriminative case is formed by means of a vocalic change (see § 111). In addition to these distinct case-endings,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The contraction of  $milask'i'l'm\ddot{a}$  from  $milask'i'l'm\ddot{a}''m\ddot{a}''m\ddot{a}''$  may be explained as due to the assimilation of n to l following the contraction of the vowels.

<sup>§§ 84-85</sup> 

there exists a great number of nominalizing suffixes indicating nominal ideas of an absolutive (nominative) form; so that the Siuslaw noun may be said to show four possible cases,—the nominative or absolutive case, the discriminative, the genitive or relative case, and the locative, which has an extended meaning. In discussing these case-endings it will be found preferable to begin with the locative case, because of the important position it occupies in the language.

# § 86. The Locative Case -a, $-\bar{u}s$

These two suffixes indicated originally local ideas of rest, and, as such, are best rendered by our local adverbs on, in, at, to, etc. It would seem, however, that this primary function was extended so that these suffixes may also mark the noun as the object of an action, thereby exercising the function of an accusative case-ending. The use of these suffixes for the purpose of expressing objects of action and the adverbial idea of rest may be explained by the intimate psychological connection that exists between these two apparently distinct concepts. The following example will serve to illustrate this connection. The sentence I cut salmon may, and as a matter of fact does, denote the idea I cut on the salmon.

The correctness of this interpretation is furthermore brought out by the fact that the verb, upon which these suffixes are dependent, can under no circumstance appear in transitive form. Should, however, such a verb appear with a transitive suffix, the noun will then occur in the absolutive form; and, since confusion might arise as to the identity of the subject and object of the action, the subject of the action is always discriminated (see §§ 21, 111).

The importance of these two suffixes as formative elements may be deduced from the fact that they enter into the formation of the forms expressing our periphrastic conjugation to have, to be with (see § 76) and that the adverbial suffixes (see § 90, 91, 93) can be added only to nouns that occur with these locative endings.

-a expresses, besides the nominal object of an action, also the local idea of rest. There is a tendency to have the accent fall upon this suffix.

 $lt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  fish 56.1

 $L\bar{\imath}ya'a^{\bar{u}}$  fire 25.5 ts/aln pitch 26.6

mī'kutūxts lt'īaya' you two shall cut salmon 90.5

ha''qmas Līya'wa near the fire 26.1 yuwa'ya''xûn yā''a'a' ts!'îlna' we two (will) get much pitch 94.17, 18

 $q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  woman 30.21

 $p = k \bar{u}'^u$  to play shinny 9.4

 $tc\bar{\imath}$  water 36.20

L!a'ai ground, place 7.1

 $k\bar{o}'tan$  horse 34.9

t'îx tooth  $tc\bar{\imath}$  water 36.20

 $tc\hat{i}mtca'm\hat{i}$  ax 27.10

 $\hbar \bar{\imath} tc \, s\hat{\imath}' n^i xya \, q \bar{\imath} \bar{u} tc \bar{u}' nya$  (a) person wants a woman 76.7

 $p_E k \bar{u}' y a x \bar{a}_L ! a^{i'}_L ! a'^{ai}$  many shinny sticks (they) make 78.5

 $tc\bar{\imath}'wa\ ma^atc\ ants$  . . . in the water lay that . . . 32.22

tcī'wa ul k!uxwîna' on the water ice appeared 76.13

 $m\bar{\imath}k/a^{\bar{\imath}\prime}$  L/aya' in a bad place 12.10; 13.1

kumî'nte kōtana't not they had horses 100.20; 102.1

t'îxa't (it) has teeth 90.19

"la"x tcī'watc hakwa'a" they two into the water thrown will be 88.7, 8

tcī'wane ha''qîqyax from the water (it) came ashore 56.13

teîmtea'myate xawa'a<sup>u</sup> with an ax (he) killed will be 28.1

 $-\bar{u}s$ . Like the preceding -a, it is employed for the purpose of forming the locative case of nouns and of expressing the local idea of rest. It is suffixed to nouns in  $-\bar{u}$  (see § 97) and in  $-\bar{\imath}$  (see § 98). When added to nouns in  $-\bar{\imath}$ , the  $-\bar{\imath}$  of the noun is consonantized, so that the suffix appears to be  $-\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}s$  (see § 8); while, when suffixed to nouns in  $-\bar{u}$ , the  $-\bar{u}$  of the suffix is contracted with the  $\bar{u}$  of the noun (see § 9).

 $k!u^xw\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}$  ice

 $pk'\bar{\imath}'t\bar{\imath}$  lake 62.18

 $ts\bar{o}'t\bar{\imath}$  sand beach

 $p_E k \bar{u}'^u$  shinny game

 $h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'^{wi}$  fun 8.5

u'mtī thunder

 $qa^{u}xa^{\bar{\imath}'}x \, k/u^{x}w\hat{\imath}n\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}'s$  on top of the ice 76.14, 15

tsî'sqan pk'ītīyū's temū'yax deer at (the) lake assembled 34.11.

ta'īs tsîtīyū's (you) will keep on living on the sand beach 46.15

s<sup>E</sup>a ku nū'tswa pekū'us L!aya' he always beats (people) at shinny 78.18, 19

a'l'tūtūnx hūtcū"stcthou also shalt come to the fun 22.8

 $uml\bar{i}y\bar{u}'stc\ \bar{\iota}\bar{\imath}\bar{u}'$  to thunder (it) came 36.8, 9

A number of nouns undergo unexplained phonetic changes whenever the locative suffix is added, while others employ an abbreviated form of this case-ending. Since no fixed rules can be given that will cover each of these cases, it will be best to tabulate all such nouns, giving their absolutive and locative forms. These nouns are as follows:

Absolutive case	Locative case
$m\hat{\imath}s\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$ elder sister 90.23	$m\hat{s}a'y\bar{u}s$ 40.12, 13
<i>mîctcī'i</i> younger sister 40.2	$m\hat{i}ctca'yar{u}s$
mîtà father 54.22	$m\hat{\imath}ta'y\bar{u}s$
mîlà mother 54.23	$m\hat{\imath}la'y\bar{u}s$
L!a'ai earth, many 7.1	$L!ay\bar{u}'s~76.10$
$\ell q a^{i'} t \bar{u} \log 32.21$	łqatūwīyū's 88.16
$a^{u'}tc\bar{\imath}s\hat{\imath}$ camas 96.20	$\bar{a^u}tc\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}'s$ 98.11, 12
$h\bar{\imath}tc$ person 15.2	$h\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}'s~66.14$
sî'maxu landing-place	$\hat{sima'x^us}$ 48.21
tsehau'ya grass 8.6	$tseha^{u\prime}ya^{ar{\imath}}s$
$ya^{\bar{u}'}xa$ fern-root 80.18	$ya^{\bar{u}'}xa^{u}s$
$h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ house 25.2	$h\bar{\imath}ts\hat{\imath}'s$ 58.8

In many cases one and the same noun shows in its locative forms both case-endings, as may be seen from the following examples:

L!a'ai ground, many 7.1	$L/aya'$ 13.1 and $L/ay\bar{u}'s$ 76.10
$a^{u'}tc\bar{\imath}s\hat{\imath}$ camas 96.20	$a^{u'}tc\bar{\imath}sya$ and $a^{u}tc\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}'s$ 98.11, 12
$h\bar{\imath}tc$ person 7.1	$h\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}'s$ 66.14 and $h\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}'tc$ 7.5

A few nouns appear with locative case-endings that seem to bear no relation to the suffixes -a,  $-\bar{u}s$ . The following have been found:

Absolutive	Locative
$s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$ boat 56.5	$sexa^{\bar{u}'_1} 48.18$
	sexa <sup>u</sup> 'tc qaa'xam into a canoe it was put 34.5
hamī''tcī whale 82.5	$hamar{\imath}tcar{u}'$
	hai'mūt hamītcū' likwai' all (some) whale got 82.6
îlqwaa'tem alder tree 92.5, 6	$\hat{i} \hat{l} q u t m \bar{i}'$
*	$\hat{i} \bar{l} q \bar{u} t m \bar{i}' a^u x q a a^{i'}$ an alder tree they
	two entered 92.6
$xw\bar{a}'ka$ head 29.5	$xwar{a}kar{\imath}'$
	tai'qat skwahai'tx xwākī' feathers (they) placed on their heads 10.9
$Lxa\bar{u}'$ spear 64.7	$Lxa^{u'}h\bar{\imath}$ 64.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The locative form  $sexa\bar{u}'$  may be explained as a noun with the local suffix of rest used as the object of an action (see § 91).

$h\bar{\imath}tc$ person 7.1	$har{\imath}tar{u}'tc$
•	$\hbar a' k^u t' w \hat{\imath} h \bar{\imath} t \bar{u}' t c$ a sheriff 7.5
$m\bar{a}'q^{u_L}$ crow 34.23	$m^{u}qwa'$ ı 34.21
$qay\bar{u}'^{wi}nts$ stone	qayuna'ts~62.7
$q^u \iota \bar{\imath}' mt$ anus 86.9	$q^{m{u}_L} \hat{\imath} m ar{\imath}' t$
$ya^{\epsilon}k^{u}s$ seal 62.4	$y_E k ar u's$ 62.2
Laa' mouth 28.2	Laaya' 29.2, 96.7

Nouns that end in the augmentative suffix  $-il \cdot m\ddot{a}$  (see § 84) change the final  $\ddot{a}$  into a clear a-vowel whenever the locative is to be expressed.

yîktî'l`ma very big 40.6	$y\hat{\imath}kt\hat{\imath}'l$ ·mat $c\bar{a}'ya$ he has a big penis
qīūtcî'l·mä old woman 96.15	92.1 qīūtcî'l·matc to the old woman 94.16

In a few instances the locative suffix -a has the function of an adverbial suffix of instrumentality.

tcimtca'mi ax 27.10	"ta" x wàn tcimtci mya qa' tc nt and
	they two now an ax take along
	(literally, with an ax go) 96.10,
	11
	skwahaū'wi L!a'ai hai'mūt ants tcî-
	mtcî'mya they are standing, all
	those who have axes 28.9; 29.1

### § 87. The Relative or Genitive Case -Emit, -Em

These suffixes have the function of the Indo-European genitive case-endings.

-Eml is suffixed to the absolutive form of the noun; and when added to nouns that end in a long vowel, its obscure E is contracted with the long vowel of the noun and disappears (see § 9). The noun to which this suffix is added is always the object of the action.

tt v a salmon 56.1	ttraye me txa n samon's tracks
$lq/\bar{a}'n\bar{u}$ hide 100.15	lq!ānūi'ml¹ yîxī'i many hides (lit-
_	erally, of hides a multitude) 102.
	1, 2
$t/\bar{\imath}, t/\bar{\imath}'ya^i$ bear 56.11; 58.14	$t/\bar{\imath}yay_{E'}m\bar{t}\ txa^{i}n^{\epsilon}$ bear tracks 56.10
pî'lquts raccoon	$p\hat{\imath} tq^u ts E'm t lq! \bar{a}'n \bar{u}$ raccoon-hide
$t_{EXM}\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$ man 30.21	$t = x m \bar{u}' n y = m \hat{t} L / x m \bar{i}' t \bar{i}$ a man's bow

This suffix may be added to pronouns and particles, as may be seen from the following examples:

 $n\grave{a}$  I 21.8  $n\^{v}ctc\^{n}^{E}nx$   $na'm^{E}\overline{t}$   $t_{E}`q$  because thou (art) of me (a) relative 21.5  $ha^{i'}m\bar{u}t$  all 10.9  $ha^{i}m\bar{u}t\~{u}'ml^{1}$   $m^{a}\bar{a}'t\bar{\iota}$  of all (the)chief

This suffix is also employed in the formation of the independent possessive pronouns (see § 114).

-Em differs from the preceding -Eml in so far as it can be added only to the locative form of the noun, and that in the few examples that were obtained it denotes the subject of an action.

Absolutive	Objective .	Relative
$m\bar{a}'q^u$ L crow 34.23	$m^{u}qwa'$ L	muqwa'lem wa'as Crow's
		language 34.21.
$u'ml\bar{\imath}$ thunder	$um t ar{\imath}' y ar{u} s$	umlī'yūsem wa'as Thun-
		der's language 36.8
<i>hītc</i> a person 7.1	$har{\imath}tar{u}'tc$ 7.5	$h\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}'tcem$ $L!xm\bar{\imath}'t\bar{\imath}$ (an) In-
		dian's bow
$h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ house 25.2	$h\bar{\imath}ts\hat{\imath}'s$ 58.8	$h\bar{\imath}ts\hat{\imath}'sem\ teqy\bar{u}'^{wi}$ of house
		(the) frame

When followed by other suffixes, the obscure *E* of -*Em* drops out, and the consonants are combined into a cluster.

Absolutive	Objective	Relative
mîlà mother 54.23	$m\hat{\imath}la'yar{u}s$	mîla'yūsmītîn mîtà of my
		mother (her) father; my
		grandfather
$m\bar{a}t/\bar{\imath}'$ elder brother	māt!ī'yūs	$m\bar{a}t/\bar{\imath}'y\bar{u}sm\bar{\imath}t\hat{\imath}n$ $t/\bar{a}mc$ my
58.11		elder brother's boy

#### § 88. THE POSSESSIVE SUFFIXES

Possessive relations of the noun are expressed in Siuslaw by means of the suffix  $-\bar{\imath}$  that is followed by the subjective pronouns (see § 24). Possession for the third person singular is expressed by the suffix -tc added to the noun without the aid of the sign of possession,  $-\bar{\imath}$ . Possession for the third persons dual and plural is indicated by adding the subjective pronouns  $-a^ux$  and -nx to the suffix -tc. Thus it would seem that Siuslaw employs two distinct suffixes for the purpose of expressing possession:  $-\bar{\imath}$  used for the first and second persons, and -tc for the third persons.

The possessive suffixes are verbalized by adding the auxiliary suffix -t (see § 76) to the sign of possession; so that Siuslaw may be said to possess two sets of possessive suffixes,—one purely nominal set and one with a verbal significance. In the latter set the suffixes for the third persons are missing.

All possessive suffixes stand in terminal position following even the case-endings and the adverbial suffixes.

The following table will serve to illustrate the formation of the possessive suffixes:

		Nominal	Verbal
Singular	1st person	-īn -īnx -tc	-ītin -ītinx -
Dual	Inclusive	−īns −īxûn −īts −tcwax	−ītins −ītavxûn −ītits −
Plural	Inclusive Exclusive 2d person 3d person	$-\overline{\imath}nt$ $-\overline{\imath}nxan$ $-\overline{\imath}tci$ $-tc^Enx$	−īttnī −ītinxan −ītītcī −

The pronominal suffix for the exclusive dual  $-a^ux\hat{u}n$ ,  $-ax\hat{u}n$ , has been abbreviated here to  $-x\hat{u}n$ . This abbreviation may be the result of contraction. The  $\bar{\imath}$  of the possessive suffixes appears frequently as a diphthong  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  (see § 2). The possessive suffixes follow all other nominal suffixes.

 $ts'\hat{\imath}l\cdot m\bar{u}'t$  friend 23.4  $m\hat{\imath}t\grave{a}$  father 54.22

 $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$  house 25.2

 $l\bar{i}n$  name 13.10

 $txa^in^{\varepsilon}$  track, path 56.10

 $m\hat{i}s\bar{i}'a^{\bar{i}}$  elder sister 90.23 wa'as language 34.21

 $ts'\hat{\imath}l\cdot m\bar{u}'t\bar{\imath}n$  my friend 36.15

mîta'a<sup>†</sup>tîn . . . mîla'a<sup>†</sup>tîn my father . . . my mother (literally,
I have a father . . . a mother)
100.1

tca'xumans hītsî'stcīn let us two go back to my house! 58.5

 $t\bar{t}'tc^{E}t$   $t\bar{t}'n\bar{\imath}nx$  cougar (will be) thy name 13.5, 6

 $ma^atc\ txa^in\bar{\imath}'tc\bar{\imath}nx$  it lies in thy path 48.22

 $m\hat{\imath}s\hat{\imath}'a\hat{\imath}tc$  her elder sister 40.11  $s^{\varepsilon}ats\hat{\imath}'tc$  wa'astc thus he said (literally, thus his language) 40.26

*t!āmc* child 40.19

 $k\bar{o}px$  eye 36.16  $tc\bar{\imath}\iota$  hand 50.18  $m\hat{\imath}t\hat{a}$  father 54.22  $xw\bar{a}'ka$  head 29.5  $m^a\bar{a}'t\bar{\imath}$  chief 11.2

 $xu'nha^{\dagger}$  a bet 78.15

t/āmcīns tcī'ntūx our (dual incl.)
boys will return 42.7
kōpxa¹'xūn our (dual excl.) eyes
tcī' tīts your (dual) hands
mîta' tcwax their (dual) father 52.8
xwā' ka¹nl our (incl.) heads
maātī'nxan our (excl.) chief
maātī'tcî your chief
xu'nha¹tcɛnx their bets 70.7

The possessive suffixes may be added to particles and attributive elements that precede the noun. This is due to a tendency inherent in the language to keep the principal parts of speech free from all pronominal elements, and which finds its counterpart in the tendency to add all subjective suffixes to the adverbs that precede the verb instead of to the verbal stem (see § 26).

In many instances the independent possessive pronouns (see § 114) are used in addition to the possessive suffixes. This is done for the sake of emphasis; and in all such cases the suffixes are added to the independent pronouns, and not to the nominal stem.

s atsi'tc thus 8.1

nà I 21.8

nîctcī'tc how, manner 36.4

hīs good 38.21

sEa'tsa thus 11.10

 $s^{E}a^{i\prime}na$  he, that one 15.4

nà I 21.8

 $k\bar{\imath}x^{E}s$  ten 8.1

hīs good 38.21

s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tcīn ha<sup>i</sup> thus I think (literally, thus my mind) 21.7

wa'a<sup>ī</sup>s<sup>ɛ</sup>nx na'm<sup>ɛ</sup>lītîn wa'as you will continually speak my language 36.13

nîctcī'tcīnx ha¹ how (is) thy mind 40.3

hī'sīnx ha' haū'tūx you will feel better (literally, good thy heart will become)

 $s^{\underline{\varepsilon}}a'tsatc$   $n\hat{\epsilon}ctc\hat{\epsilon}ma^{\underline{\varepsilon}}m\bar{u}$  thus (is) his custom 38.16

s<sup>z</sup>a<sup>i</sup>na'mltc wa'as wa<sup>a</sup>'syaxa<sup>ū</sup>n his language he spoke 36.14

 $na'm^{E}l\bar{\imath}ns$   $k\bar{o}'tan$  our (incl. dual) horses

kī'xēstowax haū'yax t'āmc they two had ten children (literally, ten their two, had become, children) 60.16, 17

hī'isīnī ha' we are glad (literally, good our [incl.] heart) 72.18

hīs good 38.21	$h\bar{\imath}^{i}$ sīnxan $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^{i}$ good (was) our
	(excl.) house 100.13
nà I 21.8	na'mºlīnxan te'q our (excl.) rela-
	tive 102.5
ants that there 7.1	$t!\bar{\imath}'ya^i\ lakwa'k\bar{u}^un\ a'nts\hat{\imath}n\ m\bar{a}t!\bar{\imath}'$
	(a) bear caught that there my
	elder brother 58.18

Nominal possessive suffixes are added to verbal stems in many cases when the object stands in some possessive relation to the subject of the sentence (see § 33). Siuslaw uses for that purpose the verbal set of possessive suffixes (see table on p. 546); and, since the pronouns indicating the subject of the action are added to particles and attributive elements preceding the verb (see § 26), these suffixes occur mostly in terminal position.

$a^iq$ - to leave	$ta^{\bar{\imath}\imath}k^{\underline{\imath}}ns \ aya'qa^{\bar{\imath}}t\bar{\imath} \ t_{\underline{\imath}} \ s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}} \ \text{here we}$
	two (incl.) shall leave our canoe
	56.5
$ha\bar{u}$ - to become	hai'nanx haū'tūxaītī hai different
	will become thy mind 60.14
$y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$ much 8.5	$s^{E}a'tsan \ ulin \ y\bar{a}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}t\bar{\imath} \ ha^{\bar{\imath}} \ that's \ why$
	I (know) much (in) my mind 20.9
$har{\imath}s$ well 38.21	tsī'k!yanxan hī'sītī ha' we (excl.)
	are very glad 24.5, 6
waa'- to speak 7.1	atsī'tcenx wa'aīsītī tsî'mqma thus
	you shall tell your people 78.10
$y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$ much, many 8.5	$ul^{\epsilon}nx y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}tc l\bar{i}'t'la^{\bar{i}}$ they have
, ,	much food (literally, and they
	much their food) 80.17
$Lx\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}s$ dry 60.19	$Lx\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}stc^{E}nx$ ants $lt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$ dry (is) that
<u> </u>	their salmon 80.17, 18
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

The possessive suffixes are sometimes added to the verbal stem, especially the suffix for the third person singular.

$xw\bar{\imath}'_{L}/t\bar{u}x$ be will return	sî'nxītx ants t!āmc xwī'L!tūxtc he wanted his boy to come back (literally, he desired his, that boy,
	shall come back, his) 42.5, 6
waa <sup>i</sup> he says 8.9	kumî'ntc wa'aitc ants qaslī'ū not she said (to) that her husband
$L/x\bar{u}x^u$ - reduplicated form of $L/x\bar{u}$ - to know 40.16	$k\bar{u}^i L/x\bar{u}'x^utc$ hat not he knew his mind 58.4

The subjective pronouns may at times perform the function of the possessive suffixes. This is especially true in the case of the pronoun for the first person singular when used in connection with a demonstrative pronoun.

 $t\bar{a}^a k$  this here 32.13

ants that there 7.1

 $t/\bar{\imath}'ya^i \, lakwa'k\bar{u}^u n \, t\bar{a}'k\hat{\imath}n \, t/\bar{a}mc$  (a) bear caught this my boy 60.9, 10  $wa'a^{\bar{\imath}}ts\hat{\imath}n \, t\bar{a}'k\hat{\imath}n \, wa'as$  speak to me (with) this my language 36.10  $t/\bar{\imath}'ya^i \, lakwa'k\bar{u}^u n \, a'nts\hat{\imath}n \, m\bar{a}t/\bar{\imath}'$ 

!ī'ya' lakwa'kū'n a'ntsîn māt!ī'
(a) bear caught that there my elder brother 58.18

### ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES (§§ 89-96)

# § 89. Introductory

Siuslaw expresses all adverbial relations derived from nouns by means of suffixes, that precede even the pronominal suffixes. Of these, the local suffixes indicating motion and rest, and the local suffix expressing the ablative idea from, can be added only to the locative forms of the noun (see § 86). It is rather interesting to note that there is no special suffix denoting instrumentality. This idea is either expressed by means of the locative -a (see § 86), or it is conveyed through the medium of the local suffix of motion -tc (see § 90) and of the local -ya (see § 93), or it may be contained in the suffix of modality  $-\bar{\imath}tc$  (see § 94). All these ideas are so closely interwoven with that of instrumentality, that the instrumental use of elements denoting primarily objects, motion, and modality, presents no difficulty whatsoever.

# $\S$ 90. Local Suffix Indicating Motion -tc

It is added to the locative forms of the noun (see § 86), and may be best rendered by TO, INTO, AT, ON, UPON, TOWARDS.

*tcī* water 64.24

"la"x tcī'watc hakwa'a<sup>ī</sup> and they two into the water will be thrown 88.7, 8

 $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$  house 25.2

"lnx wàn tcī'n hītsî'stc they now returned into the house 60.10,

 $m\hat{\imath}s\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  elder sister 90.23

wî'ltcîstūn mîsa'yūstc he sent her to her elder sister 92.20

\$\$ 89-90

$L/a'^{ai}$ ground 76.10	L!ayū'stc to the ground 94.8
$s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$ canoe 56.5	sexa <sup>u</sup> 'te qaa'xam into a canoe were put 34.5
$pk$ ' $\bar{i}'t\bar{i}$ lake 62.18	$p\overline{k'}itiy\overline{u'}stc$ $tem\overline{u'}yax$ at the lake (they) came together 34.13, 14
qīūtcî'l·mä old woman 94.22	Līū'waux qīūtcî'l·ma'tc they two came to an old woman 94.16
<i>qayū'wints</i> rock	xalnai qayuna'tsitc (one) climbs up the rock 62.7
$m\bar{a}'q^u$ L crow 34.23	$L\bar{v}\bar{u}'$ $m^uqwa'L^itc$ he came to Crow 36.3
$k/\bar{\imath}x$ $L/a'^{ai}$ everywhere	$k/\bar{e}x\bar{u}'tc$ $L/aya'tc$ $waa'\bar{u}n$ everywhere he said 7.2

Local adverbs and stems denoting local phrases are not considered as nouns. Hence they can have no locative forms, and the adverbial suffixes are added directly to such words.

$ha^iq$ shore 44.7	yîxa'' ha'qtc (they) looked ashore
	66.6
$ln\bar{u}$ outside 38.23	$ul^{x}nx ln\bar{u}'tc \ L\bar{\iota}ha'$ and they outside
	went 38.23
$q\bar{o}'x^um$ away from shore 34.6	qō'xumte hai'mūt qwa'xteist out into the water all went 34.15
ga'xûn up, above 34.21	qa'xûntc hakwa'yū'nE upwards it
	is thrown 8.7
$qa^ih\bar{a}'n$ far 56.8	$qa^iha'ntc \ tsîl!a^{i'}$ he shot far 10.3

In like manner the local suffix is added to the independent pronouns; and all such pronouns, when followed by this suffix, have the function of objective pronouns (see § 113).

J I	( 0 )	
nà I 21.8		trmū'tūxtcî nàtc you shall come to
		me 72.11
$n\bar{\imath}x^ats$ thou		$kum\hat{\imath}'ntc\ h\bar{\imath}^{i\prime}sa\ n\bar{\imath}'x^atc\ not\ good\ (it$
		is) on you 12.5

In a few instances the local suffix -tc has been found added to the absolutive form of nouns. This ungrammatical suffixation may be due either to imperfect perception on my part, or to errors on the part of the informant. The instances referred to are as follows:

paa <sup>ū</sup> /wî sand beach	paaū'wītcīx qatc*natū'* along the
$2k/\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$ mouth of the river	sand beach they walked 34.14 $t\bar{t}u'wanx tk/\bar{t}'a\bar{t}tc$ they came to the
are a mouth of the river	mouth of the river 66.11

 $\hat{i}nq/a'\bar{i}$  river, creek 30.23  $u\bar{i}a^ux$   $L\bar{i}u'$   $\hat{i}nq/a'\bar{i}tc$  and they two came to a creek 56.4

In many instances the locative form of a noun or pronoun followed by the local suffix of motion -tc indicates the idea of instrumentality.

$tc\bar{\imath}$ water 64.24	$h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^it\bar{a}'qn\hat{\imath}stc\bar{\imath}wa'tc$ the house (is) full of water
$h\bar{\imath}tc$ person 7.1	taqanī'tx hītū'stc it was always full of people 70.3, 4
$tc\hat{\imath}mtca'm\hat{\imath}$ ax 27.10	teîmtea'myate xawa'a" with an ax
$l\bar{\imath}'t!a^{\bar{\imath}}$ food 34.6	he will be killed 28.1 $t\bar{a}'qn\hat{s}\ \bar{t}\bar{\imath}t'aya'tc\ ants\ h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ full  with food (was) that house 54.5
ts!aln pitch 26.6	sî'nixyū'ne ts!îlna'tc xawa'a <sup>u</sup> it was desired (that) with pitch he should be killed 24.1
$s^{\mathbf{z}}\hat{a}$ that one 10.1	$s^{x}a^{i}na'tc\ xawa'a^{u}$ with that (thing) he will be killed 26.6

### § 91. Local Suffix Indicating Rest $-\bar{u}$ ( $-a\bar{u}$ )

This suffix is added to such stems as are not considered nominal, and hence can not express the local idea of rest by means of the locative -a or -ūs (see § 86). It is consequently suffixed to adjectives which are really intransitive verbs—and it performs for such terms the additional function of a locative case-ending. The only noun to which this suffix has been found added in its local and objective meaning is the stem  $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  canoe (see § 86). This apparently exceptional use of the local suffix  $-\bar{u}$  in connection with a noun may be due to the fact that the informant, unable to recall a single instance of the noun  $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  in its proper objective form  $(s\bar{\imath}xaya'?)$ , and not conscious of the grammatical processes of her language, has endeavored to form the objective case according to her own idea. The idea implied by this suffix may be rendered by IN, AT, ON. The interchange between  $-\bar{u}$ and  $-a^{\bar{u}}$  has been discussed in § 2.

mv k.a bad 14.7	L'aya' how (can) always travel a person in a bad place? 12.10;
k!īx each, every 24.4	txū'nx k!ēxū' 1!aya' xî'ntmīs just
	you everywhere will continually travel 13.6, 7

 $k/\bar{\imath}x$  each, every 24.4  $s^{\underline{\imath}}a^{i}t^{\underline{\imath}}$  such, in that manner 15.1  $ya^{a'}k/\hat{\imath}'sk'\hat{\imath}n$  very small 36.23  $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  boat 56.5

k!ēxū' L!aya' ul sēaitē on each place such (was the world) 14.6; 15.1 ulēnx sqaīk lit!a'' sēaitū' and they eat on such (a place) 62.5, 6 yāk!îsk'înū' L!aya' ul tīyū'wi on a very small place they lived 38.19 lqa'' tū txū maatc ants sexaū' sticks merely were lying in that boat 48.20, 21

Instances where this suffix has the function of a locative case-ending may be given as follows:

 $k/\bar{\imath}x$  each, every 24.4  $m\bar{\imath}'k/a$  bad 14.6  $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  canoe 56.5

k/ē'xū'te L!aya'te L!ōxa'xaūtsme to each place he sent his . . .30.1 Lī'ū mī'k!aūte L!aya'te he came to a bad place sexaū'n sî'nixya a canoe I want sexaū'te qaa'xam into a canoe were put 34.5

# § 92. Local Suffix -ix $(-a^{\overline{i}}x, -yax)$

This suffix is used chiefly in connection with verbs of motion, and is added to nouns, adjectives, and adverbs. Its function may best be compared to the function performed by our adverbs on, over, along, when used in connection with verbs of motion. The long  $\bar{\imath}$  of the suffix is often changed into  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  (see § 2) or diphthongized into ya (see § 7).

Qa'a'tc a tributary of the Siuslaw river called at present North Fork
paawwî sand beach
ha'q shore 44.7
qaux high, up 80.9
hīs well, straight 38.21
qauxûn sky

Qa'a'tcīx petī'tc līha'yax along North Fork at first it passed 32.19
paaw'wītcīx qatcenatū'u along (its) sand beach they walked 34.14
ha'qaīxanl tca'xwītūx along the shore we will go back 66.12, 13
qauxaī'x k'uxwînīyū's on top of the ice 76.14, 15
teī'watc hī'saīx līū' to the water on (a) straight (line) it was coming 32.20
qau'xûnyax xînt along the sky it

traveled 32.19

teī'kyax līhai' te hīte whereon climb up people 80.13

 $tc\bar{\imath}k$  where 34.2

In one instance this suffix is added to a demonstrative pronoun.

 $s^{E}\hat{a}$  that one 10.1

 $s^{E}a^{\bar{i}'}xa^{u}x$   $p\hat{i}tca^{i'}$  over that one they two stepped SS.18

### § 93. Local Suffixes -ya, -nE

-ya is added to those locative forms of the personal pronouns and nouns that end in an alveolar or affricative consonant (t, s, tc) and to adverbs the final consonant of which belongs to the same series.

$q^u \iota \bar{\imath}' mt$ anus 86.9	qulîmī'tyatc līha' from his anus
	he came out 94.20
pī'tsîs ocean (locative form)	$p\bar{\imath}'ts\hat{\imath}sya\ ha^iqa^{i\prime}$ from the ocean he
44.1	came ashore 82.4
$q\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}tc$ Umpqua river	$q\bar{u}'\bar{\imath}tcyaa^{\bar{u}}$ from the Umpaua river
	(they came) 100.15
$h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$ house 25.2	hītsî'sya from the house
nà I 21.8	na'tcya from me
$ha^iq$ shore 44.7	ha''qa'tcya go away from the fire!
1	(literally, what is shore like from
	it you go away) 26.7
qantc where	$qa'ntcyanx \ L\bar{\imath}\bar{u}'$ from where (dost)
	thou come 66.16
,	

- $n_E$  is suffixed to nouns and to personal pronouns whose locative forms end in a vowel (see § 86), and to such stems as form the locative cases by means of the local suffix of rest - $\bar{u}$  (see § 91).

$k/\bar{\imath}x \; L/a'^{ai}$ every place	$k!\bar{e}x\bar{u}'n_{E}L!aya'n_{E}$ from each place,
	from everywhere 8.2
<i>tcī</i> water 64.24	$tc\bar{\imath}'wan E ha^{i\prime}q\hat{\imath}qyax$ from the water
	he came ashore 56.13
$xw\bar{a}'ka$ head 29.5	$xw\bar{a}k\bar{\imath}'nE$ from the head
$s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$ canoe 56.5	$s_{EX}a^{\bar{u}\prime}n_{E}$ from the canoe

These local suffixes are frequently used as implying the idea of instrumentality.

qal·tc knife	L!xmaī'yūn qa'l·tcya he killed him with a knife (literally, from a
	knife)
teīl hand 50.18	$tc\bar{\imath}'$ in $\bar{\imath}$ $tc\bar{\imath}'$ in with the hand he struck him
$xxa\bar{u}'$ spear 64.7	xa <sup>u</sup> /hīne ants hīte skwaha <sup>i</sup> with a spear (in his hand) that person stood up 64.11, 12

# § 94. Adverbial Suffixes Indicating Modality -ītc (-aītc), -'na

- $\bar{\imath}tc$ . This suffix has both a nominal and a verbal function. As a nominal suffix it signifies LIKE. It is found suffixed to a number of modal adverbs (see § 121), and it invariably requires the accent. The interchange between the long  $\bar{\imath}$  and the diphthong  $a^{\bar{\imath}}$  has been discussed in § 2.

$ckar{o}$ ʻ $tc$ hill $46.10$	qa'xûnte qa'teint ekō'teī'te he went up a hill (literally, upwards he goes, hill-like) 12.9
$txa^in^\epsilon$ tracks, road 56.10	tcīk ants lqa''tū Lowa'' txa'nī'te wherever that tree falls across the road (literally, road-like) 84.2, 3
$al^aq$ one 18.7	a'lqa <sup>†</sup> tcîn L!xū'yūn qnà half I know it (literally, one [half] like I know it) 92.12
s <sup>E</sup> a'tsa thus 11.10	$waa'xam \ s^{\text{\tiny E}}ats\overline{\imath}'tc$ he was told thus 8.1
n''ctca something, how 16.2	kwī'nx nîctcī'te L!wā'nīsūn don't you tell him anything 17.1, 2

My informant frequently rendered this suffix by the phrase what you would call a . . . , some kind of . . . , especially in cases where the noun employed did not convey the exact idea that was wanted.

$m^a \bar{a}' t \bar{\imath}$ chief 10.2	m <sup>a</sup> ā'tīte te qwo'txa <sup>ī</sup> beaver (was) (what you would eall a) chief 50.6, 7
$mar{a}tar{\imath}'yar{u}^{wi}$ chief, general	s <sup>E</sup> atsī'tc waa' ants mātī'wītc ants sī'xa <sup>ī</sup> thus said that (what you would call) captain (of) that boat 64.26; 66.1
łna <sup>u'wi</sup> rich man 86.4	lna"'wīte ants hīte (what you would call a) chief (was) that man 76.3
$t_{Eqy}\bar{u}'^u$ frame 80.7	$teqy\bar{u}'w\bar{\iota}tc$ (what you would call a) frame
$lk/\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$ mouth (of river)	**R!\bar{\bar{\pi}} a^\bar{\pi} w\bar{\pi} te ants pk'\bar{\pi}'t\bar{\pi} (something like the) mouth (of) that lake

When added to adverbs that convey local ideas, it must be preceded by the local suffix of motion -tc (see § 90).

$sqa^{i}k$ there 14.6	$sqa^{\bar{i}}ktc\bar{i}'tc$ $qa'tc^{i}nt\bar{u}x$ there (they)
	will go 30.22
qanîs- down	qanîstcī'tc txū slōxu'xu down sim-
	ply he went (slid) 12.6
$tqa^{u}w\bar{\imath}'$ - up-stream 56.8	$qa'tc^{E}nt tqa^{u}w\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'tc$ he went up-
	stroom 58 19

This modal suffix may also express the idea of instrumentality, as will be seen from the following examples:

<i>tsī'ı.</i> / <i>ī</i> arrow 50.7	$kum\hat{\imath}'ntc\;xa'w\bar{\imath}l\;ts\hat{\imath}_{L}!\bar{\imath}'tc\;\mathrm{not\;he\;can}$
	die through (literally, with) an
	arrow 15.8
$tsax^u$ slave 76.3	$t\bar{u}ha'ha^{\bar{u}}n\ tsexw\bar{\imath}'tc$ he bought her
	in exchange for a slave

When added to verbal stems,  $-\bar{\imath}tc$  is almost invariably followed or preceded by the verbs  $x\hat{\imath}nt$ - to go, to start, and  $\hbar\bar{\imath}q$ !- to start, to begin; and the idea conveyed by such a phrase may best be compared with our English sentences I go into a state of . . ., I start . . . -Ly. The Siuslaw informant, unable to express this native phrase in English, usually rendered it by I, thou, he almost. . . .

Y. The Siuslaw informant, unable to express this native phrase in	
nglish, usually rendered it by I,	THOU, HE ALMOST
tcīin- to go home, to return 12.10	qātx teenī'te xînt he cried as he went home (literally, he cries when homewards he starts) 58.15, 16
$t = m\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3	$tem\bar{u}^{i}$ te $x$ înt $L/\alpha'^{ai}$ people came together (literally, into a state
$\iota \bar{\imath}' \bar{u}$ - to arrive 9.2	of coming together go many) 30.15, 16 Līwī'tewax wàn xînt they two are
20 0 0 01110 0,2	almost home (literally, in the manner of arriving they two finally go) 23.1
tcax <sup>u</sup> - to go back, to return	$k\bar{\imath}'x^{\rm E}s$ $tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$ $a'nts\hat{\imath}n$ $tc=xv\bar{\imath}'tc$
30.14	xînt for ten days I was going back (literally, ten days this I returningly went) 66.20, 21
$xaar{u}'$ he died 40.21	xEwī'toenx hī'q!ya (when) you are near death (literally, [when] in

34.25

the manner of dying you start)

k!ap- low tide 36.18

sī'- to grow 98.10

"l k!apī'te xîntî't ants teī and the water began to get low (literally, into a state of low tide went that water) 36.20

sīhī'tcîn xî'ntyax (when) I began to grow up (literally, [when] into a state of growing I went) 100.17

In one instance this suffix occurs as  $-a^{\bar{a}}tc$ , and is preceded by the stem *qaten*- to go.

*yax*- to see 20.10

ul qa'tc<sup>E</sup>nt yExa<sup>\bar{u}</sup>'tc a'nts<sup>\bar{i}</sup>tc tcm\bar{a}'n\bar{i} he went to see his cousin 40.24

The verbs  $\hat{xint}$  and  $\hat{hiq}$  may be omitted, as is shown in the following example:

 $tc\bar{a}q$ - to spear 68.8

qaiha'ntc hī'nyaxaūn yaikus tcaqaī'tc the seal took him way off as he speared him (literally, way off took him, seal, spearingly) 68.17, 18

-'na is added to adjectives only, and expresses an idea similar to that of the English suffix -Ly.

 $m\bar{\imath}'k!a$  bad 14.7

kwī'nx L'wā'nīsūn mī'k/a'na don't tell it to him badly 17.1, 2 kumî'ntc mī'k!a'na sînixna'wīs not

badly (we shall) keep on think-

*t!ī'sa* grease

ing of each other 78.12, 13
nî'ctcîm t!ī'sa'nanx līt!a'wax because greasy (things) they are going to eat 82.8

# § 95. Adverbial Suffixes Indicating Time -tita, -ita

These suffixes are added to nouns that indicate division of time, and to verbs expressing celestial phenomena, and they may best be rendered by TOWARDS, WHEN THE TIME OF . . . COMES. Both suffixes require the accent.

pīctcem summer 46.11

pîctcemtīta' ulenx sqaîk taya' towards summer (hence, in the spring-time) they there live 62.2, 3

q!  $exa^uy\bar{u}'w\hat{\imath}$  salmon season

 $q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}'n_{Em}$  winter 80.18, 19  $h\hat{\imath}'n^{\epsilon}k.'\bar{\imath}$ - to rain 76.18  $qa^{i}x$  night 38.21  $n\hat{\imath}ctc\hat{\imath}nwa^{i'}$  spring comes

q!exa"yu'witīta' "ltqa"'wītc taya' when salmon-time comes (they) up-stream live \$2.12, 13 qīū'nemtīta' towards winter hînek!īta' in the rainy season qa'xīta' towards night-time nîctcînawīta' "la"x sea'tsa xnī'"ne towards spring-time they two thus do it 98.5

### § 96. Modal Adverbs in -a

This suffix may be called the suffix of modality par excellence. By its means all stems expressing adjectival ideas, and all particles, are transformed into adverbs. Many of these stems (amplified by means of the modal suffix -a) do not occur in their original form, being used adverbially only. All such stems are denoted here by an asterisk (\*). Whether this suffix may not be ultimately related to the locative -a (see § 86) is a debatable question.

kumî'ntc hīi'sa nàtc not well (it is) hīs good 38.21 on me 12.2  $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  near 40.12Līū'wa kūnà îng!a'ītc te tai near, perhaps, the creek, these live 66.7, 8  $h\bar{u}'tct\bar{u}n\dot{s}$   $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa$  we shall play a  $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  much, many 8.5 great deal 10.6 yîkt big, large 48.8 qanîstcī'tc īlqa'yūsne yî'kta verv deep it would be dug (literally, down-like it is dug largely) \*Lîmq- quick Lî'mqan tcī'ntūx right away I shall return 56.22 hai'na differently 58.9 \*hain- different \* $n\bar{\imath}k$ /- alone  $n\bar{\imath}'k/a$  alone 94.11 sEa'tsa thus, in that manner 18.4 \*s ats- thus \*tsīk!- much, very tsī'k!ya very, very much 13.9

# GENERAL NOMINALIZING SUFFIXES (§§ 97-105)

§ 97. Nominal  $-\overline{u}^u$   $(-a\overline{u})$ ,  $-\overline{u}^{wi}$ 

This suffix conveys a general nominal idea, changing any neutral stem into a noun, and is employed extensively in the formation of verbal abstract nouns. It is also used to express collectivity of action,

an application that is in perfect harmony with its nominal character, as has been explained in § 78. The forms  $-\bar{u}^u$  and  $-\bar{u}^{vi}$  may be explained as due to imperfect perception on my part, while the double occurrence of  $-\bar{u}^u$  and  $-a^{\bar{u}}$  is caused by the phonetic relation that exists between the  $\bar{u}$  and the diphthong  $a^{\bar{u}}$  (see § 2).

 $h\bar{u}tc$ - to play 7.2  $tem\bar{u}'$ - to gather 7.3

pain- to hunt 82.17

 $x\hat{\imath}l\cdot xc\bar{\imath}$ - to work 48.10

 $s\bar{\imath}$ - to grow 98.10

xîntm- to travel 12.10

yalq- to dig 84.5  $xa^{i}tc$ - to roast 90.8  $anx\bar{\imath}$ - to sing

hūtcū'wi, hūtcū'u fun, 8.5; 16.6

tcīk ants L!a'ai temuū'u where

(there is) that big assembly 88.3

qwà'tc L!xū'yūn palnū'wi (he) who

knows (the art of) hunting 82.18

tsī'k!ya L!xū'yūn xîl'xcyū'u very

(well) he knows (the art of)

working 52.22, 23

s²a'tsatc sī'ya'aū such (was) her

growth 98.6

Lxatū'wi a race 78.18

wi'nxanx tcī'wa xîntmū'wi thou art

afraid to go to the ocean (literally, thou fearest to water the

journey)

 $yalga'a^{\bar{u}}$  a hole 84.6

 $xa^{i}tca'a^{\bar{u}}$  roast 90.9

 $anxy\bar{u}'^{wi}$  a song

This suffix is found in a great number of nouns whose original stems can no longer be analyzed. The following list may be given:

hawvī'yū shaft
paawvî sand beach 34.14
pahū'wi codfish
pā'l·ū spring, well 76.12
ma'tcū bed (place of lying?)
ma'ltcū chimney, stove (place of burning?)
teqyū'u frame (of a house)
80.7
tūqya'au p the river 32.22
t'ū'nîxyū pocket
nîctcîmaemū custom, fashion
36.28
cîmī'ttxū upper lip
tsxayū'wi day, sun, weather 8.1

temîlqū'wi ring (temîlq finger)
kutsū'wi saliva
kū'cū hog (from French through
medium of the Chinook jargon)
kū''tcîyū sea-otter
kmū'kū pipe-stem
kcîkyū'wi wall
klī'nū ladder, stairway
kwīnī'ntxū throat
k!a'l'apū navel
qasıī'ū husband 48.20
qa'wa'aŭ bay, down-stream 80.6
qa'lī'ū bark 90.8
q!exa'yu'wī salmon-time 82.12
lna''wi rich man, chief 86.4

 $lk^wuna'ats\bar{u}$  live-coals  $lqa^{i'}t\bar{u}$  tree, log 32.21  $lq/\bar{a}'n\bar{u}$  hide, skin 100.15

 $L\bar{\imath}ya'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  fire 25.5  $Lxa\bar{\imath}'$  pole, spear 64.7  $L'm\hat{\imath}'kc\bar{\imath}$  flounder 100.10

When added to the numeral particle  $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$  much, many, it denotes the idea expressed by a noun of quality. This particle is to all appearances a stem amplified by means of the nominal suffix  $-a^i$  (see § 98); and since two nominal suffixes of a similar function can not be added to one and the same stem, the  $-a^i$  disappears, and the suffix  $-a^{\bar{u}}$  is added to the bare stem  $y\bar{a}x$ .

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  much, many 8.5

tcî'nt<sup>E</sup>te ya'xa<sup>ū</sup> xalna<sup>i</sup>' how many had climbed up (literally, what their number climbed up) 62.11 tcî'nt<sup>E</sup>te ya'xa<sup>ū</sup> tE'qte ants qūūtcū'nî as many relatives as that woman had (literally, how much their number [of] her relatives [of] that woman) 76.1

Lxa<sup>i</sup>'p<sup>i</sup>ste ya'xa<sup>ū</sup> five their number

### § 98. Nominal $-\bar{\imath}$ $(-a\bar{\imath})$

This suffix is found in a large number of nouns expressing a variety of concepts. It occurs with nouns indicating instrumentality, with verbal abstract nouns, with nouns of relationship, with terms designating animals, with stems expressing natural objects, etc. It is not altogether inconceivable that this nominal formative element may be identical with the verbalizing suffix  $-a^i$  (see § 75), even though its nominalizing function can no longer be explained in a majority of cases. In many instances the original stem to which this suffix has been added does not occur in its independent form. The substitution of the diphthong  $-a^i$  for the long  $\bar{\imath}$  has been discussed in § 2.

 $pEk\bar{u}'$ - to play shinny 9.4  $ts\bar{\iota}\iota$ !- to shoot 8.6  $m\hat{\iota}nx^u$ - to lighten 38.5  $w\hat{\iota}'nk\bar{\iota}$ - to work 50.6  $ta^i$  he sits 16.2

pā'kwī shinny stick tsī' L!ī arrow 50.7 mî'ni kwī lightning 38.2 wî'nakī work tī' taī chair  $l\bar{\imath}'t'$ - to eat 13.10  $h\bar{u}'tc$ - to play 7.2

aswît'ī' blanket  $\hat{\imath}_{nq}/a'a^{\bar{\imath}}$ ,  $\hat{\imath}_{nq}/a'\bar{\imath}$ , river 30.20,  $um \bar{t}i'$  thunder 36.8  $\bar{u}'lt\bar{\imath}$  snow 76.10 hamī'ctcī whale 82.4 ha'kwī mussels 82.2  $h\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  clouds  $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$  house 25.2 hai heart, mind 8.9  $pk'\bar{\imath}'t\bar{\imath}$  lake 62.18 mektī' father-in-law  $ma'\bar{\imath}$  kidney  $m^a\bar{a}'t\bar{\imath}$  chief 10.2  $m\bar{a}'t\bar{\imath}$  dam 48.10  $m\bar{a}t/\bar{\imath}'$  elder brother 58.11  $m\hat{\imath}s\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  elder sister 90.23  $m\hat{\imath}ctc\bar{\imath}'^{i}$  younger sister 40.2 mî'ck'la something bad, vulva 26.5  $tq\bar{a}'t\bar{\imath}$  hook

 $l\bar{\imath}'t!a^{\bar{\imath}}$  food 34.23  $h\bar{u}tca^{\bar{\imath}'}$  fun 10.5

 $s\bar{\imath}'xa^{\bar{\imath}}$  canoe 56.5 tsalī'swalī beads (?) tsîtī'i sand beach tsō'tī waves, breakers tsxu'nplī coyote 88.9  $tc\bar{\imath}$  water 36.20 $tc\bar{\imath}'t!\bar{\imath}$  wind ts!ū'xwī spoon  $k^{E}\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}$  basket 90.21  $qa'x\bar{\imath}$  chicken-hawk  $q\bar{a}'w\bar{\imath}$  blood  $q^u n \hat{\imath} t \bar{\imath}'^i$  perforation in the ear qwunaxī'i cheek qwo'txa<sup>†</sup> beaver 48.6 q!a'tctī cedar  $lt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  salmon 56.1  $tq!\bar{a}'s\bar{\imath}$  eel L!a'ai ground, world, earth, place, many 7.2 L!ī'nī floor  $L!xm\bar{\imath}'t\bar{\imath}$  bow

When added to stems that express adjectival ideas, this suffix forms nouns of quality.

hīs good 38.21 yîkt big 48.8

tqu'nī smoke

yāx- much, many 8.5

 $h\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}'^{i}$  goodness  $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'sem\ y\hat{\imath}kt\bar{\imath}'^{i}$  of the house the large (size)

lq/ānū'ml yîxī'i of hides a great number 102.1, 2

# $\S$ 99. Nouns of Quality in $-t'\overline{u}u$ $(-t'\overline{u}wi)$

There can be little doubt that the vocalic elements of this suffix are identical with the nominalizing suffix discussed in § 97. The etymology of the initial consonantic element is obscure. This suffix is added to adjectives and adverbs only. Owing to the fact that a number of adjectives end in -t (see § 104) and that double consonants are invariably simplified, these adjectives drop their final consonant before adding the suffix (see § 15).

hatca't long 76.1

łnauwi rich 86.4 yîkt large 48.8 qa'xûn high 8.7 qain- deep hīs good 38.21 *qaiha'n*- far 10.3

tcî'nt tc ha'tct' ū'u for a long time (literally, how much its long period) 48.2  $lna^uw\hat{\imath}t'\bar{u}'wi$  wealth  $y\hat{\imath}kt\hat{\imath}\bar{u}'^{wi}$  large size qaxûnt'ū'wi height qaint'ū'wi depth

hīst'ū'wi kindness

qaihant'u'u distance

§ 100. Nouns of Agency in  $-ya^{u}x$ , -it  $(-a^{i}t)$ , -t!,  $-t!w^{i}$ 

Nomina actoris are formed by means of the following suffixes:

 $-ya^{u}x$  This suffix seems to have been used frequently.

 $lak^u$ - to fetch, to catch 7.5

 $x\bar{u}^u n$ - to snore 27.9 la'wat!- to gamble ln- to call (?)  $tem\bar{u}'$ - to gather 7.3 ła'kukyaux sheriff (literally, catcher [of people])  $x\bar{u}'nya^ux$  a snorer ła'wat!yaux a gambler lna'lyaux an interpreter  $tema^{u'}ya^{u}x$  a person who assembles (people) 30.2

-il (- $a^{i}l$ ). This suffix is easily confounded with the verbal negative suffix of similar phonetic structure (see § 53); but this similarity is purely accidental.

 $w\hat{\imath}'nk\bar{\imath}$ - to work

 $x\hat{\imath}l\cdot xc\bar{\imath}'$ - to work 48.10  $x\hat{i}ntm$ - to travel 12.10 waa'- to speak 7.1  $pEk\bar{u}'$ - to play shinny 9.4

 $s^{E}a$   $ts\bar{i}'k!ya$   $w\hat{i}'nk\bar{i}l$  he (is a) very (good) worker 50.5, 6 xî'l:xcīl a workingman xî'ntmīl a traveler  $wa'a^{i}l$  a speaker pa'kwīł a shinny player

It is quite possible that this suffix may have some connection with the initial element of the suffix for nouns of quality,  $-t'\bar{u}^u$  (see § 99).

 $L/w\hat{n}$  to tell 8.2 tsīl!- to shoot 8.6

yuw- to pick, to dig 96.18

pain- to hunt 82.17 t!āmc child, infant 40.20 L!want! an informant tsīl!t! a marksman  $y\bar{u}'ya^{\bar{u}}t!$  a person who picks (berries [reduplicated stem]) par'nt! a hunter t!îmct! one who raises children 30.23

§ 100

 $-t/w\hat{\imath}$  seems to be another form of the preceding suffix.

 $lak^{u}$ - to fetch

...  $tE la'k^ut/w\hat{\imath} h\bar{\imath}t\bar{u}'tc$  this gatherer of the people 7.5  $la'k^ut/w\hat{\imath}$  a fetcher 22.9

### § 101. Nouns in -ax

This suffix is used for the purpose of forming nouns from verbal stems, adverbs, and stems denoting geographical terms. When added to verbs or to adverbs, it is best rendered by Person, People; while when used in connection with geographical terms, it denotes a tribal name and may be translated by INHABITING, BELONGING TO.

 $xa\bar{u}'$  he died 40.21

 $L/\bar{o}x$ - to send 16.10

 $a^{u'}st\bar{u}x$  he will sleep 27.7

wā'nwîts long ago 14.7

nîctcîma<sup>e</sup>mū custom, fashion 36.28

 $pel\bar{i}'tc$  first 32.19  $lxa^{wi}$ -?  $q\bar{u}^{i}$ -,  $q\bar{u}'\bar{i}tc$  south

 $qpa^{i}$ - north  $qa^{i}xq$ - east  $p\bar{\imath}'\dot{\imath}ts\hat{\imath}s$  ocean 44.1  $ck\bar{o}\dot{\imath}tc$  mountain 46.10

 $k\bar{u}^i$   $n\grave{a}ts$   $x\bar{a}'wa^axa^{\bar{u}}tn\varepsilon$  if he had not been killed (literally, not had he been a person [who was] killed) 29.7

tcīn ants hītc L!ºwa'x returned this human messenger (literally, returned that person [who was] sent) 7.7

(L!ºwa'x instead of L!ºwa'xax, see § 24)

sî'nixyū'ne ts!îlna'tc xawa'au austūxax it was desired (that) with pitch killed shall be the person (who) will sleep 24.1

nî'ctcîm s<sup>z</sup>a'tsa wā'nwîtsax because thus (did it) the old-timers (literally, [people belonging to] long ago) 68.13

s<sup>E</sup>atsī to wā' nwîtsax nîctcîma<sup>E</sup>mwax thus (was) the custom of the oldtimers (literally, thus [of people of] long ago the [things pertaining to their] customs) 76.6, 7

pɛlī'tcax a first settler

Lxa"yax the other one, friend 42.8

qū'yax, qū'ītcax an Umpqua Indian

(literally, a person inhabiting
the south)

qpa'yax an Alsea man qa'yax a Kalapuya Indian pī''tsîsax inhabitants of the ocean ckō''tcītcax a mountaineer

#### § 102. Nouns in $-\overline{u}n\hat{\imath}$ $(-a\overline{u}n\hat{\imath})$

This suffix is added to adjectives, a few adverbs used in an adjectival sense, and to nouns. It has a double function. When added to adjectives or to adverbs, it transforms them into nouns, just as any adjective is transformed into a noun by adding one to it (compare our phrases the big one, the good one, etc.). When used with other nouns, this suffix has an adjectival character, which may be best rendered by MADE OF, COMPOSED OF.

texem strong 10.1

 $Lxa^{u}/yax$  other 42.8  $y\hat{i}kt$  big 48.8  $s^{h}a^{i}t$  large  $y\bar{a}k!$ - small 38.19  $l\hat{i}mn\bar{i}'tc$  behind 86.11

 $h\bar{v}'q/a$  beads, Indian money, dentalia shells 74.19

 $p\hat{\imath}'lq^uts$  coon

 $t/\bar{\imath}$  bear 12.4

 $k/\bar{\imath}x$  tz'q everything 24.4

ła'qłaq boards

 $texm\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  the strong one, a man 30.21

 $Lxa^{u}yaxa^{\bar{u}'}n\hat{\imath}$  the other one 86.18  $y\hat{\imath}kt\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  the big one  $s^{h}a^{i}t\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  the larger one 92.18  $t\bar{u}\ y\bar{a}k!a^{\bar{u}'}n\hat{\imath}$  that small one 88.12  $l\hat{\imath}mn\bar{\imath}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  mîctc $\bar{\imath}'^{i}$  the youngest

hīq!ahaū'nî consisting of dentalia shells 78.14

sister 40.2

 $p\hat{\imath}lq^uts\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$   $tah\bar{a}'n\hat{\imath}k$  made of raccoon (-hide) quivers 70.23, 24

 $t/\bar{i}y\bar{u}'n\hat{i}$   $tah\bar{a}'n\hat{i}k$  made of bear (-skin) quivers 70.24

k!ēxū'nî tɛ'qaū'nî hūtcaī' composed of every sort (of) fun 10.5 laqlaqaū'nītc hītsī'i made of some

kinds of boards the house 80.7

This suffix may be added to verbal stems provided the verb has been changed into an attribute of a following noun.

hamx- to tie

ul hamxau'nî ants tsehau'ya and that made of tied grass . . . 8.6

#### $\S$ 103. Nominalizing Suffix Indicating Place $-a^{arepsilon}m\overline{u}$

This suffix indicates the place where a certain action is performed. When added to stems ending in a velar or palatal consonant, it appears as  $-ya^{\varepsilon}m\bar{u}$ , and changes the final velar of the stem (q, q!) into a palatal k (see § 17). After all other consonants it occurs as  $-a^{\varepsilon}m\bar{u}$ . The short u-vowels following velar and palatal consonants disappear before this suffix. It is possible that the final  $\bar{u}$  of the suffix may be related to the general nominalizing suffix  $-\bar{u}$  discussed in § 94 (see § 23).

ma'q'.  $\bar{\imath}$ - to dance 28.7  $ya'q^{u'}$ - to see 23.9  $p \in k\bar{u}'$ - to play shinny 9.4

nīctcat!- to fight
tūhatc'- to try to buy
Lxat- to run 12.3

mekya<sup>e</sup>mū a daņce-hall
yekya<sup>e</sup>mū a vantage point
pekya<sup>e</sup>mū a place where shinny is
played, ball-grounds
nīctcat!a<sup>e</sup>mū battlefield
tūhatc'a<sup>e</sup>mū a store
Lxata<sup>e</sup>mū track (literally, a place
where people run)
nîctcîma<sup>e</sup>mū custom, fashion 29.9

#### § 104. Adjectives in -t

Siuslaw has no true adjectives. All stems denoting adjectival ideas are intransitive verbs, and may be used as such, as may be seen from the following examples:

 $m\bar{\imath}'k!a\;h\bar{\imath}tc$ that bad man 23.2,3  $ts\bar{\imath}'k!ya\;m\bar{\imath}'k!a\;$  very bad it was 14.7

hatca't hī'q!a long (strings of) dentalia shells 76.1

hatca't ants lqai''tū there was a tall tree 92.21

Owing to this verbal significance, the Siuslaw adjective shows no special suffixes. A few stems denoting adjectival concepts appear in duplicated form, mostly those expressing color (see § 109). There will be found, however, a number of words expressing attributive ideas that end in -t. Whether this consonant is related to the auxiliary -t (see § 76) or whether it may be looked upon as a true adjectival suffix, is a question open to discussion. The following is a list of such adjectives:

yîkt big, large 48.8
hawā'tsît new
hatca't long, tall 76.1
hīxt wild
pāala'st² spotted
pînî'lt sharp
mɛkct fat 90.16
tîmsqayā't bitter, sour
tînt ripe
tqatīya't dear, expensive

t'xulī't straight
shait big, old 92.18
tsînq!t poor 16.10
tsîtt thick
kî'k'īt heavy 11.9
k!wī'act proud
qucī'ct thin
tqut red
L!āqt wet 56.13
L!nuwā'tît deep

§ 105. Irregular Suffixes -Em,  $-is\hat{\imath}$ ,  $-w\hat{\imath}$ ,  $-y\bar{u}w\hat{\imath}$ ,  $-iw\hat{\imath}$ 

These suffixes occur very seldom, and, while their function is to all appearances nominal, it can not be explained accurately.

<sup>1</sup> See also § 124.

<sup>2</sup> Dorsey: p'āl-last gray.

- Em occurs with a few nouns.

 $2qwa^{a'}tem$  root, alder tree 92.5, 6  $p\bar{v}'ctcem$  summer 98.8

 $tsam \hat{v}' tsem$  chin  $q \bar{v} \bar{u}' nem$  winter 80.19 xa'' tsem woman's basket

-7sî seems to denote an abstract idea.

pln- to be sick 40.21 qaix dark, night 38.21 hwu'nhwun black nî'ctca (?) how 16.2 nîctcînwai' spring comes płnī'sî sickness, cough qa'xī'sî darkness, night hō'nīsî dusk nî'ctcīsî arrival (?) 40.16 nîctcanū'wīsî year 92.12

The following nouns have analogous form:

 $a^{u'}tc\bar{\imath}\hat{\imath}$  camas 96.20  $t\bar{\imath}'xtsn\bar{\imath}\hat{\imath}$  small-pox

*L!ntī'`tcīsî* crawfish

The nouns  $tswa's\hat{\imath}$  frost and  $\iota^uwa's\hat{\imath}$  nose may also belong here.

- $w\hat{\imath}$  is found in a small number of nouns.

sî'na<sup>u</sup>wî grouse tsna'wî bone  $k!\bar{o}^{o'}xw\hat{\imath}$  gnat  $q^{u}h\bar{a}'qw\hat{\imath}$  broom

In a few instances this suffix seems to form nouns of agency, and may be related to the suffixes discussed in §§ 97 and 99.

tsxan- to comb one's hair  $qato\bar{u}^i$ - to drink 76.12

tsxa'nwî a comb
qatcwî'wî a person who waters
animals (?)
cūxwa'wî a driver (?)

 $c^u x \bar{u}$ - to drive away, to scare 56.11  $\bar{u}q$ - to dig 80.6

îlqa'wî one who digs holes

 $-y\bar{u}w\hat{\imath}$ ,  $-\bar{\imath}w\hat{\imath}$ . These two suffixes have a peculiar function. They seem to denote the nominal object of an action performed by a noun of agency (see § 100). The most puzzling phenomenon connected with their function is the fact that they can be added only to the discriminative form of a noun (see § 111), which seems to stand in direct contradiction to its objective significance, because the discriminative case points to the noun as the subject of the action.

Absolutive penî's skunk 86.1

Discriminative pena's 86.7

Objective

tsīl!t! penasyū'wî a

skunk-shooter

Absolutive	Discriminative	Objective
hītc person 7.1	$h^{i}ya'tc$ 13.10; 15.2	$ts\bar{\imath}_L!t!$ $h^iyatc\bar{u}'w\hat{\imath}$ a
		man-killer
		tema <sup>ū</sup> 'ya <sup>u</sup> x hyatcī'wî a
		gatherer of people
swał grizzly bear	$sw\bar{a}l~15.2$	tsīl!t! swālyū'wî a
		grizzly-shooter
(?) huckleberries	t E' x y a	$la'k^ut!w\hat{\imath}$ $taxy\bar{u}'w\hat{\imath}$ a
		picker of huckle-
		berries
qwo'txa <sup>†</sup> beaver	$qwoa'txa^{\bar{\imath}}$ 52.4	tsīl!t! qwoatxī'wî a
48.6		beaver-killer

Another nominalizing suffix that seems to be confined to one stem only is -as in the noun wa'as language, word, message 34.21, formed from the verbal stem waa- to speak, to talk.

# Reduplication (§§ 106–109) § 106. Introductory

Reduplication as a factor in the formation of grammatical categories and processes does not play as important a rôle in Siuslaw as in many other American Indian languages.

Considered from a purely phonetic point of view, the process of reduplication may affect a single sound, a syllable, or the whole word, while from the standpoint of position of the reduplicated elements it may be either initial or final. In accordance with these processes, a given language may show the following possible forms of reduplication: Vocalic or consonantic initial reduplication; consonantic final reduplication, commonly called final reduplication; syllabic reduplication, usually referred to as doubling or reduplication of the syllable; and word-reduplication, better known as repetition of the stem.

Of the forms of reduplication known actually to occur in the American Indian languages, Siuslaw shows only duplication of the (first) syllable, duplication of the final consonant, and repetition of the stem. Syllabic duplication occurs rather seldom, final duplication is resorted to frequently, while repetition of the stem plays a not unimportant part in the formation of words.

Reduplication is confined chiefly to the verb; its use for expressing distribution—a phenomenon commonly found in American Indian languages—is entirely unknown to Siuslaw, which employs this process solely for the purpose of denoting repetition or duration of action.

## § 107. Duplication of the Initial Syllable

This process occurs in a few sporadic instances only. The repeated syllable occurs in its full form, the original syllable losing its vocalic elements. Initial stops of both the original and repeated syllables are usually changed into fortis (see § 17).

 $t = m\bar{u}'$ - to assemble 7.3

 $L\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}$  (they) come 9.3

tai- to sit, to live 16.2

hal- to shout 13.11

yuw- to pick 96.18

t/Emt/ma'xam wan they come to see him (literally, he is assembled about) 23.3

L/īL/wa'xam he is approached 16.3 L/īL/wī'sūtnɛ he is continually approached 26.2

 $s^E a' t s a n x t E L! \bar{u}' L! \bar{u} t \bar{u} t s$  that's why I came (to see) you 21.6, 7

hiya'tcn xan L!ī'L!ūts people us came (to see) repeatedly 100.8 ants Tsxuna'pıī t!ī't!yūn (that) on

which Coyote was sitting 94.6 lhali'yūsne he would be shouted

at 70.22 (this form may be explained as derived from an original halhalī'yūsnɛ)

 $lhal\bar{\imath}'txa^{\bar{u}'}n_E$  he is continually shouted at 11.10  $y\bar{u}'ya^{\bar{u}w}t'$  one who picks

# § 108. Duplication of Final Consonants

This process is employed extensively, and consists in the repetition of the final consonant with insertion of a weak  $\alpha$ - or  $\hat{\imath}$ - vowel. In many instances the quality of the connecting vowel is affected by the vowel of the stem. This is especially true in cases where the stem ends in a u-vowel, after which the connective vowel is assimilated and becomes a weak u. The short vowel of the stem is not infrequently changed into a long vowel. This duplication plays an important part in the formation of the past tense (see § 74), and, in addition to denoting frequency and duration of action, it seems to be capable of expressing commencement, especially of intransitive actions.

 $a^us$ - to sleep 23.9 qax dark 38.21

a<sup>u'</sup>sî's he began to sleep 26.9 qa<sup>i</sup>xî'x wā'nwîts it got dark long ago 64.19 *xînt*- to go 20.3

 $s L \bar{o} x^u$ - to go down

 $ha^iq$  shore 44.7

logw- to boil 96.1

 $m\bar{\imath}'k!a$  bad 14.7

 $sm\bar{u}t'$ - to end 11.1  $tc\bar{\iota}t'$ - to blow 94.5  $h\bar{u}tc$ - to play 7.2

k!ap- low water 36.18 xwīl!- to go back 42.6

nat- to start

 $h\bar{\imath}'q$ !- to start 22.6

 $tak^u$ - to take 7.5

xumc- to come, to approach

 $h\bar{\imath}ts$ - to put on 11.8

 $t\bar{u}$ 'tc- to spear 62.2

tcaq- to spear 68.18

*yax*- to see 20.10

 $qn\bar{u}$ '- to find 56.9

\*\*l wàn xîntî't he kept on going now 56.23

ul  $sL\bar{o}xu'x^u$   $w\bar{\iota}L!a'xL!$  he came down again 12.6

haiqa'q wan he then went ashore 58.17

 ${}^{u}l tx\bar{u} l\bar{o}qwa'q^{u}$  and just he was boiling 96.7, 8

mīk!a'k! ants tsxayū'wi began to get rough that weather 64.15

 $wan \ sm\bar{u}t'a't'$  it ends finally 9.1  $tc\bar{u}t'a't'$  the wind blew 94.5

 $ut^{E}nx \bar{a}_{L} h\bar{u}tca'tc$  and they now began to play 72.23, 24

 $k!\bar{a}p\hat{\imath}'p$  low water (comes)

xwīL!a'L! wàn he finally came back 12.7

 $sq\bar{a}'t_{Em}\,n\bar{a}l\hat{i}'l$  he started from there 68.10

 $s^{\text{\tiny E}}a'tsa\ h\bar{\imath}'q!aq!yax$  thus it began 15.1

 $ula^ux lakwa'k\bar{u}^un$  they two took (them) away 52.16

ła'kukyax she took 60.23

xumca'caux wàn they two are approaching now 23.2

 $h^i yatsî'ts\bar{u}n$  ants  $la^{i'}qat$  he is putting that feather on 11.8

t°watcî'tcūna<sup>u</sup>x they two began to spear it 56.15, 16

 $t^owa'tc\hat{\imath}tcyaxa^{\bar{u}}n$  I have been spearing it 66.17

 $ula^u x t caqa' q a^{\bar{u}} n$  and they two began to spear it 56.19

 ${}^{u}la^{u}x \ yax\hat{\imath}'x\bar{u}n$  they two saw it 56.15

 $uln qn\bar{u}h\bar{u}'h\bar{u}^un$  I am finding it

A very interesting case of duplication applied to formative elements is presented by the nominal suffix -ax. This suffix signifies PEOPLE, BELONGING TO, and, when added to the adverb  $w\bar{a}'nw\hat{\imath}ts$  long ago, it was invariably rendered by old-timers (see § 101). Whenever the speaker wants to imply the intensive idea PEOPLE OF VERY LONG AGO, he usually repeats this suffix.

wā'nwîtsax old-timer 68.13

wā'nwîtsaxax people of long, long ago 29.9

 $s^{\underline{x}}a'tsa$   $xn\bar{\imath}w'n\bar{u}tn\underline{x}$   $w\bar{a}'nw\hat{\imath}tsaxax$  thus it was done (by) people of long, long ago 62.9

wā'nwîtsaxax nîctcîma<sup>e</sup>mū (of) old, old-timers their custom 68.19

Similarly the modal-*itc* (see § 94) is found repeated in a few instances.

 $tc\bar{a}$  where 34.4

tcaītcī'tc nī'ctūx where he will go 64,20

## § 109. Duplication of Stems

While this process is, strictly speaking, of a lexicographical character, and as such ought to be treated more properly under the heading "Vocabulary" (see § 137), it will nevertheless be found useful to give here a list of doubled stems. Barring a few nouns, most of these terms are adjectives denoting color and quality.

hwu'nhwun black
pxū''pxū' sorrel, yellow
tu'ktuk deaf
'n'k!'nk! soft
ki'k'īt heavy 11.9
pūna'pūna' gopher, mole
96.19
mū'smūs cow¹
t!'a'l't!'al' tongue
tsîyî'ktsîyî'k wagon¹

qa'sqas stiff, hard qu'LquL white 40.10,11 qtsî'nqtsîn blue, green xu'sxus naked lîma'tîm blind tsînī'Ltsînī'L² little beaver (?) 50.15

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{tcîmtca'mî} \ \text{ax} \ \ 27.10 \\ q^u la^i L' q^u la^i L \ \ \text{otter} \ \ \text{from ocean} \ \ (?) \\ laq laq \ \ \text{board} \ \ 80.7 \end{array}$ 

# Vocalic Changes (§§ 110-112)

## § 110. Introductory

Siuslaw expresses two distinct grammatical categories by means of vocalic change. Of these two categories, one is nominal, while the other has a strictly verbal character pertaining to intensity and frequency of action. When applied to nouns, vocalic change expresses the discriminative case.

#### § 111. The Discriminative Case

The discriminative case is that form of the noun which singles it out as the performer of an action directed upon an object; i. e., it designates the nominal subject in sentences containing pronominal or nominal object.

The discriminative form of pronouns and of nouns of relationship is expressed by means of the prefix q- (see § 21). All other nouns express the discriminative form by means of a vocalic change that varies according to the quantity of the stem-vowel, and in polysyllabic stems according to the quantity of the vowel of the accented syllable. The following rules may be said to apply in all cases:

1. The discriminative form of nouns the stem-vowel of which is a long  $\bar{\imath}$  or  $\bar{u}$  is obtained through the diphthongization of these vowels into ya and wa respectively (see § 7). For purely physiological reasons a weak vowel corresponding to the quality of the diphthongized vowel is inserted between the diphthong and its preceding consonant.

hīte person, people 7.1	$ult ya'qu'y\bar{u}n  h^iya'tc  \text{and people}$ looked on 70.4
$l\bar{\imath}'tc^{x}t$ cougar 13.3	$\ell^i y a' t c^i t \ h^i y a t s \hat{\imath}' t s \bar{u} n$ Cougar put it on 13.4
$m\bar{\imath}'k!a$ bad 14.7	m'ya'k!a h'ya'tc l'tla'yūn a bad person devoured him 15.2
$\hbar \bar{\imath} q^u$ wild-cat 34.17	$h^{i}yats\hat{\imath}'ts\bar{u}n \ h^{i}ya'q^{u}$ Wild-Cat put it on 11.11
lk!anū'ku screech-owl 86.1	tsī'k!ya wi'nxa <sup>ū</sup> n ants peni's lk!- an <sup>u</sup> wa'k <sup>u</sup> Screech-Owl feared that Skunk very much 86.3 hīna' <sup>w</sup> ūn ants plna'st lk!an <sup>u</sup> wa'k <sup>u</sup> Screech-Owl intended to take along that sick man 88.1, 2
$q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$ woman 30.21	$c\hat{\imath}'l$ $x\bar{u}n$ $q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc^uwa'n\hat{\imath}$ (a) woman shook him 58.4
$t = x m \bar{u}' n \hat{\imath} \mod 30.21$	$w\tilde{\imath}'l\bar{u}n$ $texm^uwa'n\hat{\imath}$ (the) man agreed with her 58.7
$tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$ sun, day 8.1	mîtkwī' tūtsîn tsxay <sup>u</sup> wa'wî (the) Sun had pity on me 72.14

Somewhat irregular discriminative forms are shown by the nouns  $t/\bar{\iota}$  grizzly bear and  $q\bar{\iota}'\bar{u}tc$  wife, which occur as  $t/\bar{\iota}ya^{i'}$  and  $qa'y\bar{u}tc$  respectively.

 $t/\bar{\imath}$  grizzly bear 12.4  $t/\bar{\imath}ya^{\imath \prime}$   $h^{\imath}yats\hat{\imath}'ts\bar{u}n$  Grizzly Bear put it on 12.3  $q\bar{\imath}'\bar{u}tc$  wife 48.17  $ma^atc$   $qa'y\bar{u}tc^{\bar{\nu}}tc$  (he and) his wife had lain 60.13

2. Nouns with short stem-vowels, or with short vowels in the accented syllable, change these vowels into an a in their discriminative forms. Short a-vowels of the stem are lengthened into  $\bar{a}$ .

<i>penî's</i> skunk 86.1	l'na <sup>u'wi</sup> hīte ul lqaqa <sup>v</sup> txa <sup>ū</sup> n pena's (at) a rich man he always broke his wind, (namely) Skunk 86.6, 7
tsî'sqan deer 13.9	$h^{i}yatsî'ts\bar{u}n\ tsa'sq\hat{\imath}n$ Deer put it on 13.8
$qwo'txa^{\bar{\imath}}$ beaver $48.6$	a'tsa ul kumî'ntc sî'nixyūn qwoa't- xa ta ants quillite that's why not liked Beaver that Otter 54.8, 9
pîlq <sup>u</sup> ts raccoon 70.23, 24	$pa'lq^uts$ $h^iyatsî'ts\bar{u}n$ Raccoon put it on
$q/a'xa^uxt$ wolf 13.2	$q/\bar{a}'xa^uxt\ h^iyats\hat{\imath}'ts\bar{u}n$ Wolf put it on 12.8
swał grizzły bear	$sw\bar{a}l$ $l^it/a'y\bar{u}n$ Grizzly Bear devoured them 15.2
sqūma' pelican 44.1	waa'a <sup>ū</sup> n sqūmā' ants lq!al·ō'mä said Pelican to Sea-Gull 44.17

3. Stems containing diphthongs, or stems whose accented syllables end in the diphthong  $a^i$ , add a short a to the diphthong for the purpose of forming the discriminative case.

$ha^{i\prime}mar{u}t$ all 9.5	$haya'm\bar{u}t\ h^iya'tc\ {\scriptstyle L!}x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$ all people know it $60.24,\ 25$
	yaqu'yī'wyūtsatcî haya'mūt you all shall look at me 72.11, 12
hai'na different 58.9	haya'na hiyatsî'ts $\bar{u}n$ another (one) put it on 12.8

4. Polysyllabic stems whose accented syllable ends in a consonant and is followed by a syllable beginning with a consonant form the discriminative case by inserting a short a between these two consonants.

tsxu'nplī coyote 88.9	ants Tsxuna'pıī t!ī't!yūn that (on
The second second	which) he was sitting, (namely)
	Coyote 94.6
texmî'l·mä old people 58.25	$texmîl\cdot a'm$ î $\iota!x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$ an old man
	knew it 76.15, 16

um'łī thunder

qīūtcî'l·mä old woman 96.15

 $tc\hat{\imath}'nta^u$  which one 90.1

s atsī'tc waa'a uma'lī thus said to him Thunder 36.9
qīūtcîl'a'mî ta'yūn ants tsī'ı'.'ī the

old woman kept that arrow 96.2  $tc\hat{\imath}na'ta^u$   $s\hat{\imath}'n^ixyaxa^{\bar{\imath}}n$  ants . . . whoever wanted that . . .

11.6, 7

# § 112. Intensity and Duration of Action

Vocalic change as a means of expressing intensive and durative actions is of a twofold character. The change consists either in the diphthongization of the long  $\bar{\imath}$ - and  $\bar{u}$ - vowels of the stem (see § 7), or in stem-amplification. In both cases the underlying principle may be described as the change of a monosyllabic root into a stem having two syllables.

Diphthongization is applied to those stems only whose vowels are either long  $\bar{\imath}$  or  $\bar{u}$ . A verbal stem with a diphthongized vowel expresses durative actions only in connection with other proper devices, such as the temporal suffixes or duplication of final consonants (see §§ 41, 56, 69, 108). Owing to the fact that certain temporal suffixes—notably the inchoative, the frequentative, the durative, the present, the future, and the imperative—imply to a certain extent intensive actions, or actions that are being performed continually, the suffixes for these tenses are frequently found added to the verbal stem whose vowel has been diphthongized, while all other tenses are formed from the simple root.

L!on- to tell 16.9

kū'n- to bend down

 $tk\bar{u}m$ - to close, to shut 48.8

 $t\bar{u}$ 'tc- to spear 62.2

 $q\bar{u}$ 'n- to pour 29.2

 $L/\bar{o}x$ - to send 16.10

 $s^{z}ats\overline{i}'tc$   $L!wa^{a}n$  thus he was speaking 16.6

\*\*I txū kwa'hunt and (they) would just bend down 11.9

"lkwah" na't!îst and he would continually lower his head 13.5

"Ins tkwa'mīsūn and we two shall keep on making dams (literally, closing [the river]) 48.14

towa'tcīs wàn spear it now! 64.2 towatcî'tcūnaux they two are

spearing it 56.15, 16

qwa''ny $\bar{u}x$  pour it into his . . . ! 29.2

19.2

L!owa'xyūn (I) shall keep on sending (them) 30.19

 $L/x\bar{u}$ - to know 19.9  $L/x^uwa'x^uy\bar{u}tsa'tc\hat{\iota}$  you shall know me 30.17  $lak^u$ - to take, to get 7.5  $wan \ lakwa'k\bar{u}^u n$  now (they two) were taking them 52.16  $h\bar{\iota}ts$ - to put on 11.8  $c\bar{\iota}tx$ - to flop  $c^iyatx$  it is flopping 36.23  $\bar{\iota}tqa^{i\prime}$  he digs 84.2  $ya'lqa^{\bar{u}}n$  (they two) are digging (holes) 84.5  $ts\bar{\iota}ts$ - to shoot 8.6  $ts^iyat$ - to shoot

Intensity and duration of action of verbal stems whose root-vowels are vowels of quantities and qualities other than  $\bar{\imath}$  and  $\bar{u}$  are expressed by means of amplification of the root by the insertion of a weak vowel between its two final consonants. This process occurs in a few rare instances.

anx- to give up 60.11kumi'ntcînt  $ana'xy\bar{u}n$  not we shall<br/>give it up 16.8hamx- to tie 8.6 $k!^{E'}L\bar{u}n$   $hama'xy\bar{u}n$  tomorrow I<br/>will tie it up $xn\bar{v}n$ - to do 10.5 $s^{E}a'tsa^{u}x\hat{u}n$   $xn\bar{v}yun\bar{v}'y\bar{u}n$  thus to<br/>them two I will do it 88.14, 15<br/> $s^{E}a'tsa^{u}x\hat{u}n$   $xn\bar{v}yuna'v\bar{u}n$  thus to<br/>them two I intend doing it

Another example of stem-amplification for the purpose of expressing duration of action is furnished by the stem  $a^iq$ - to leave, which is changed into ayaq-.

tai'k ns aya'qyūn here we two (incl.) will leave it 56.16, 17

Stem-amplification may have also caused the change of the root  $L!xma^i$ - to kill into  $L!xm\bar{\imath}ya^i$ -.

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$  hītc  $L!xm\bar{\imath}ya'y\bar{u}n$  ants  $Sw\bar{a}l$  many people he is killing, that Grizzly Bear 94.9

L!xmīya'yūnanł we (incl.) are going to kill him 28.3

Siuslaw possesses a number of stems that occur in such double forms, and I give here a few of the most important.

 $L/\bar{o}n$ - 16.9
  $L/wa^an$ - to tell 16.6

  $k\bar{u}'n$   $kwa^hun$ - to lower one's head 11.9

  $tk\bar{u}m$ - 48.8
 tkwam- to close, to shut 48.14

  $t\bar{u}'tc$ - 62.2
  $t^owatc$ - to spear 56.15

  $q\bar{u}'n$ - 29.2
 qwa'n- to pour 29.2

L./ōx- 16.10	L!owax- to send 7.7
$k^u ts$ -	kwats- to paint one's face
Lō <b>ł-</b>	Lowal- to strike
$ka^{\bar{u}}$ s- 92.7	$k^i was$ - to follow 92.3
ūlt- 76.10	walt- to snow
$lak^{u}$ - 7.5	lakwa'- to take, to get, to fetch
	52.16
$xa\bar{u}'$ - 40.21	xawa'- to die 15.5
$haar{u}'$ - 11.4	ha'wa- to be ready 23.10
t!ū'- 74.5	$t/\bar{u}ha'$ - to buy 74.5
$t!_E'mx\bar{u}^u$ - 48.12	t!Emxwa- to cut into pieces
$w\bar{\imath}'l\bar{u}$ - 58.7	wīlwa'- to agree 30.11
yax- 40.11	<i>ya'xa</i> - to see 20.10
$h\bar{\imath}ts$ 11.8	$h^{i}yats$ - to put on 11.7
$h\bar{\imath}n$ - 9.5	$h^{i}yan$ - to take along
īlq- 80.6	yalq- to dig 84.5
tsīl!- 8.6	ts'yar!- to shoot
$q/ar{u}^i p$ -	q!uyap- to twinkle 36.14
cītx- 36.23	$c^iyatx$ - to flop 36.23
<i>līt!</i> - 13.10	liyat!- to eat
$tcar{\imath}^in$ - 12.10	tciyan- to come back
$a^iq$ -	aya'q- to leave 56.5
hamx- 8.6	hamax- to tie
anx- 60.11	ana'x- to give up 16.8
$xn\bar{\imath}^wn$ - 10.5	<i>xnīyun</i> - to do 88.14, 15
$L!x\bar{u}$ - 19.9	$L!x^uwa$ - to know 30.17
$L!xma^{\bar{\imath}}$ -	$L!xm\bar{\imath}ya^i$ - to kill 28.3

Amplification of the stem seems to have been used in a few instances for the purpose of expressing intransitive actions performed by the third person singular. It will be remembered that this person has no special suffix, the same being understood in the stem or in the verbal suffixes. In some cases, however, Siuslaw adds a weak a to the stem, provided the same is not followed by any of the subjective suffixes (see § 24).

$ha\bar{u}'$ to quit, to be ready 28.2	wā'nwîts ha'wa long ago it (was) ready 23.10
$xa\bar{u}'$ - to die 22.5	txūn xa'wa sî'nixyūtnɛ just I to die am wanted 20.8, 9
yax- to see 40.11	txūnx ya'xa sî'nixyūtnz merely thou to (be) see(n) art wanted 20.10

 $t!\bar{u}$ '- to buy

waa'- to speak 7.1

tsa'ntcî tū'ha sî'nixyūn if you to buy want her 74.8 "I waa' and he said 12.10

In one instance the quality of this weak vowel has been assimilated to that of the stem-vowel.

 $tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 92.6

 $m\hat{\imath}ta'tc^wax$  ants  $tq\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}t'$  waa' their (dual) father, that one shouted (and) said ( $tq\bar{\imath}t't\bar{\imath}t$  instead of  $tq\bar{\imath}t'ta$ ) 52.8

# The Pronoun (§§ 113–115)

# § 113. The Independent Personal Pronouns

The independent personal pronouns occur primarily in two forms, according to whether they are used as subjects or objects of an action; but, owing to the fact that from the subjective pronouns there is obtained by means of the prefix q- (see § 21) a discriminative form, the independent personal pronouns may be said to have three distinct forms—the discriminative, subjective, and objective or locative sets. Both the discriminative and subjective pronouns refer to the subject of the sentence, differing, however, in so far as the former applies to subjects of transitive actions, while the latter is used mostly in connection with intransitive verbs. The discriminative form, moreover, is employed whenever the sentence absolutely requires that subjectivity of action be indicated (see §§ 21, 111). To be sure, cases where the subjective pronouns are used with transitive verbs are by no means rare.

Siuslaw, like so many other Indian languages, has no distinct pronoun for the third person singular, this person being supplied by the demonstrative pronouns  $s^{E}\dot{a}$ ,  $s^{E}a^{i}na$ ,  $s^{E}\dot{a}s$  (see § 115). The first person dual has two separate forms, one for the inclusive (I and thou), and the other for the exclusive (I and he). Similarly, in the first person plural are distinguished the inclusive (I and ye) and exclusive (I and they).

These pronouns perform the function of a whole sentence, and may be rendered by I, thou, HE, etc., AM THE ONE WHO. . . .

The tabular presentation of the independent personal pronouns is as follows:

		Subjective	Objective	Discriminative
Singular	(1st person 2d person	na'han, nà nīxats s <sup>E</sup> à	nàtc nīxatc s <sup>E</sup> a i'na, s <sup>E</sup> a i'natc	qna'han, qnà qnīxats s <sup>E</sup> às
Dual	[Inclusive Exclusive	nans nau'xún nīxats s <sup>E</sup> a'wax, s <sup>E</sup> aux	na'tc <sup>E</sup> ns na'tcauxûn nī'xtc <sup>E</sup> ts s <sup>E</sup> aina'tcaux	qnans qna'xûn qnīxats s <sup>E</sup> a'saux
Plural	Inclusive Exclusive 2d person 3d person	$nant$ $na'nxan$ $n\bar{\imath}'x^ats^Etc\hat{\imath}$ $s^Ednx$	na'tc int na'tc inxan nī'xtc <sup>E</sup> tcî s <sup>E</sup> a ina'tcinx	qnanl qna'nxan qnī'xats <sup>E</sup> tci s <sup>E</sup> 'as <sup>E</sup> nx

This table shows that the independent pronouns are derived from two stems— $n\hat{a}$  for the first persons, and  $n\bar{\imath}x$  or  $n\bar{\imath}xts$  for the second persons; the first singular and all dual and plural persons being obtained by suffixing the subjective pronouns for these persons (see § 24) to the singular forms. Thus the inclusive and exclusive dual nans and  $nax\hat{\imath}n$  are composed of the first person singular  $n\hat{a}$  and of the subjective suffixes -ns and  $-x\hat{\imath}n$ . In like manner the inclusive and exclusive plural nant and na'nxan consist of  $n\hat{a}+-nt$  and  $n\hat{a}'+-nxan$  respectively.

The second person dual  $n\bar{\imath}x^ats$  is abbreviated from an original  $n\bar{\imath}'xts^xts$ . This abbreviation is due to simplification of double consonants (see § 15), causing a phonetic similarity between the pronouns for the second person singular and dual. In order to avoid possible confusion, duality of subject is indicated by suffixing to the verb the subjective pronouns for the second person dual. The second person plural is regular, consisting of the singular form for the second person plus the subjective suffix plural for that person.

The third persons dual and plural are obtained by adding the subjective pronouns for these persons to the subjective form of the demonstrative pronoun  $s^{E}\hat{a}$ .

The objective forms of the personal pronouns—that is to say, those forms that are used as objects of a sentence—are formed by adding to the subjective pronouns the local suffix indicating motion -tc (see § 90). The form for the second person singular is the result of an abbreviation from an original  $n\bar{\imath}'xts^{\bar{\imath}}tc$  caused perhaps by a reduction of the cluster of final consonants.

It will be noticed that the subjective suffixes employed in the formation of the corresponding dual and plural persons are added after the adverbial -tc, a trait which Siuslaw has in common with the Alsea language. The objective pronouns for the third persons have as their basis the corresponding forms of the demonstrative pronoun.

For the sake of emphasis the subjective suffixed pronouns are sometimes used in addition to the independent forms.

Examples of subjective pronouns:

na'han "ln tsīL!' ya's I have an arrow (literally, I am the one who [I] is arrow-having) 50.16

a'tsan te nà L'ōxa'xam that's why this I was sent 21.8
na'han a'nts<sup>E</sup>nx sî'n<sup>i</sup>xyūts I am that one whom you wanted 40.14
kumî'ntcîn nà nîctcī'tc wa'a'l not I anything will say (literally, not
I, I am the one who anything will say) 74.9

k!îxai' nà alone (was) I 100.3

nīxats ulenx qanī'nal hī'nīsītī you'll take along your knife (literally, you are the one, you, knife take along will, yours) 50.16, 17

 $n\bar{\imath}x^ats\ l^it/a^{i'}$  you are eating  $ul\ s^z\grave{\alpha}\ p \epsilon l\bar{\imath}'tc^it\bar{\imath}u$  and he will be first 10.1  $nans\ h\bar{\imath}'sa$  we two (incl.) are well  $na^u'x\hat{\imath}u\ x\bar{\imath}'ts/\bar{\imath}u$  we two (excl.) are two 36.15  $s^za^ux\ ata's\ L!x\bar{\imath}'y\bar{\imath}u$  they two only knew it 98.9  $s^z\grave{\alpha}nx\ ts\bar{\imath}'k!ya\ L!x\bar{\imath}'y\bar{\imath}u$  they very (well) know it 72.1, 2

Examples of objective pronouns:

kumî'ntc hī'sa nàtc it is not good for me 12.2

"lnx nàtc tī'wīs then you shall come to me 44.6

kumî'ntc hī'sa nī'x²tc it (does) not (look) good on you 12.5

kumî'ntc na'tc²ns sî'nixya te qīūtcū'nî not us two (incl.) like
these women 52.13

Examples of objective and discriminative pronouns for the third persons will be found under "Demonstrative Pronouns" (see § 115), while the discriminative pronouns for the first and second persons have been illustrated in § 21.

## § 114. The Possessive Pronouns

The independent possessive pronouns are compound forms consisting of the following three separate elements: the independent personal pronoun (see § 113), the relative case-ending -*eml* (see § 87),

and the sign of possession  $-\bar{\imath}$  (see § 88). The sign of possession is not present in forms that express the third persons as the possessor. To these compound forms are added the suffixed subjective pronouns (see § 24) for the purpose of indicating the person of the possessor. The suffixed pronouns, to be sure, agree always with the independent pronouns that form the initial elements of the compound. The following peculiarities will be observed in connection with the pronominal forms that enter into the composition of the independent possessive pronouns:

- 1. For the first and second persons (singular, dual and plural) the subjective forms of the independent pronoun are used. The stems  $n\dot{a}$  and  $n\bar{\imath}x$  are employed for that purpose.
- 2. For the third person (singular, dual and plural) the objective form of the independent pronoun  $(s^Ea^{i\prime}na)$  is used.
- 3. Singularity, duality, or plurality of the person is expressed, not in the initial pronominal element, but in the suffixed subjective pronoun. Consequently the initial element remains unchanged for all numbers.

Owing to the fact that Siuslaw has no distinct subjective suffix for the third person singular, the suffix -tc is added without the aid of the sign of possession  $-\bar{\iota}$ . Duality and plurality of the third person are indicated by adding to -tc the subjective suffixes  $-a^ux$  and -nx respectively.

In § 88 the fact has been mentioned that possessive phrases are verbalized by adding the auxiliary suffix -t (see § 76) to the sign of possession. This -t often figures in the composition of the independent possessive pronouns, especially those for the first and second persons.

The following table shows the independent possessive pronouns:

Singular	1st person 2d person	$na'm^E l in$ , $na'm^E l i t in$ $nl'xam l inx$ , $nl'xam l i t inx$ $s^E a i na'm l t c$ , $s^E a i na'm l$
Dual	Inclusive Exclusive	
Plural	Inclusive Exclusive 2d person	$na'm^E linl, na'm^E lit int \\ na'm^E linxan, na'm^E lit inxan \\ ni'xam litci, ni'xam lite tet \\ s^E a ina'm lten^E x$

It will be noticed that the obscure E of the relative suffix -Eml has been contracted with the preceding vowels of  $n\dot{a}$  and  $s^{E}a^{i\prime}na$  into a clear a-vowel (see § 9). The weak vowel in  $na'm^{E}l\bar{n}n$ ,  $na'm^{E}l\bar{n}ns$ , etc., is due to the law of sound-groupings (see § 4).

The third person singular often loses its distinct suffix for that person (-tc). This loss is due to the fact that the form  $s^{E}a^{i}na'ml$  is in itself capable of expressing a possessive idea that has the third person as its possessor.

These possessive pronouns have the force of a whole sentence, and may be properly translated by it is mine, it is thine, etc. They are frequently used for the sake of emphasis in addition to the possessive suffixes that are added to nouns, and in such cases invariably precede the nominal concept.

wa'a<sup>†</sup>s<sup>\*</sup>nx na'm<sup>\*</sup>l<sup>†</sup>tîn wa'as you shall continually speak (with) my language 36.13 na'mElīn q!a'īl my pitch, this is my pitch na'm trîn lkwa'nuqu this is my hat na'm<sup>E</sup>tīn mîtà (he) is my father nī'xamlīnx kō'tan your horse nī'xamlīnx mîlà (she is) your mother s<sup>z</sup>a<sup>i</sup>na'młtc wa'as wa<sup>a</sup>'syaxa<sup>ū</sup>n his language he had spoken 36.14 s aina'm tc Laa' his mouth s aina'm kō'tan his horse na'm<sup>E</sup>līns kō'tan our (dual, incl.) horses na'm trīl our (dual, excl.) hands nī'xamlīts kwīyō's your (dual) dog s aina'm tc ax kō'tan their (dual) horse na'm\*līnl kō'tan our (plural, incl.) horses na'm\*tīnxan te'q our (plural, excl.) relative 102.5 nī'xamlītcî te'q your (plural) relatives s<sup>E</sup>a<sup>i</sup>na'mltc<sup>i</sup>nx qal·tc their (plural) knives

## § 115. The Demonstrative Pronouns

Although Siuslaw has a number of stems that are used as demonstrative pronouns, there could not be detected in them such categories as visibility or invisibility, presence or absence, nearness to or remoteness from the speaker. It is true that in some instances the informant would render a certain demonstrative pronoun as indicating nearness or remoteness; but this rendering was invariably caused by

the leading character of my questions, and never appeared spontaneously.

The demonstrative pronouns, however, present another striking feature that is not commonly found in the American Indian languages. This feature consists in the fact that some of them occur in two distinct forms, one being used with subjects of the sentence, while the other is applied to objects only. This fact serves as another instance illustrating the extent to which the category of subjectivity and objectivity permeates this language.

The following demonstrative pronouns have been found in Siuslaw:

 $t\bar{a}^a k$  has been invariably rendered by This, and in some instances by HERE. It may be used in connection with subjects and objects alike. Duality and plurality of subjects and objects are indicated by the suffixation of the subjective pronouns  $-a^u x$  and -nx respectively (see § 24).

tāak penî's this skunk
tāak texmū'nî this man
tsī'k!ya hīs te'q tā'kîn lakwa'kūn (a) very good thing this here I have obtained 72.15, 16
L!owa'xan tā'kîn līū' as a messenger here I come 17.6, 7
tāa'kwax qa'tcintūx these two will go 32.10, 11
tāa'kinx texmū'nî these men

tE applies to subjects and objects. There can be no doubt that it is an abbreviated form of the demonstrative pronoun  $t\bar{a}^ak$  (see above). It was usually rendered by this or the. When followed by the subjective pronouns (see § 24), the obscure vowel assumes a clear tinge and appears as a distinct a-vowel.

ul meq!ai'tx hai'qmas līya'wa te lk!anū'ku and she danced near the fire, this Screech-Owl 86.11, 12
līha'yax te līya'aū it passed (by), this fire 32.19
tī'kin te tai this here is my house (literally, here I, this one, live) 58.8
sēa'tsa hī'teēte nîcteîmaëmu te tlī that's why hear acts like a per-

sza'tsa hī'tcztc nîctcîma'mu te tlī that's why bear acts like a person (literally, thus [of a] person his fashion [has] the bear) 60.26 wî'nxaūn te penî's she was afraid of this skunk 86.1 hīna'yūn te mī'kla hītc he took along this bad man 23.2, 3 nī'ctcanx tanx yāa'xai qātx why do you cry much (literally, how [is

it that] you this, much cry) 94.16, 17

sza'tsa tanx sî'nixyūtne that's why this you are wanted 18.4 lakwa'ūltxaux ta'tcwax qī'ūtc taux tsîmî'l'ä qwoa'txaūwax taken away (were) these their (dual) wives, (namely of) them two, Beaver and Muskrat 52.3, 4

. . . .  $ta'nxan \ h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}^{i'}$  . . . . (as) these we (here) play 70.12

In some instances this pronoun may have a verbal force, and is then best rendered by This who. . . .

s<sup>E</sup>a'tsa lit!a'' tE ta'yax thus ate those who lived (there) 82.12

 $s^E \tilde{a} s$  is used with subjects of transitive verbs only, and seems to have a distinct discriminative character. In this capacity it exercises the function of the missing independent pronoun for the third person (see § 113). It may either precede or follow the verb, although there is a prevailing tendency to place it at the end of the sentence. It may be translated by this or he.

ul lit!a'yūn sεàs and he devours him 94.10
mī'k!a tε'q xaū'ūn sεàs bad something this (one) had killed 96.12,
13

 $s^{\underline{z}}$ às  $qata'y\bar{u}n$  ants  $lxa\bar{u}'$  he hooks that spear 64.7  $s^{\underline{z}}a's^{\underline{z}}nt$   $k!^{i}xa'y\bar{u}ts$  he killed us 28.3

 $s^{E}\hat{\alpha}$  refers to subjects of both transitive and intransitive verbs. The difference between this pronoun and the above discussed  $s^{E}\hat{\alpha}s$  lies in the strictly discriminative character of the latter. It may best be rendered by this, he, and is mostly employed as a personal pronoun for the third person singular (see § 113). Duality and plurality of the subject are indicated by suffixing to  $s^{E}\hat{\alpha}$  the subjective pronouns  $-a^{U}x$  and -nx respectively (see § 24).

seà texmū'nî this man

14- 1681 23

 ${}^u t t \hat{s} \hat{i} m s^{\epsilon} \hat{a} y a' q^{u'} y \bar{u} n$  always he sees it 68.22

 $^{u\bar{t}}$   $s^{\bar{c}}$   $a_{\bar{c}}$   $a_{\bar{c}}$ 

 $s^{E}a^{u}x$  ata's  $L!x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$   $l\bar{\imath}'t!a^{\bar{\imath}}$  these two only know (where) food (is) 98.9

 $s^{\underline{z}}$ ànx  $ts\bar{\imath}'k!ya$ ı!x<br/>ū'yūn  $h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'^u$  these very (well) know (how to) play<br/> 72.1,~2

In four instances this pronoun has been used as referring to objects. I believe this use to be the result of erroneous application on the part of the informant. The examples follow.

s<sup>E</sup>à L!xū'yūn lk!an<sup>u</sup>wa'k<sup>u</sup> him she knows, Screech-Owl 86.7
s<sup>E</sup>à <sup>u</sup>ln qī'ūtc hawa'yūn that one I (will my) wife make 90.1, 2
s<sup>E</sup>à ata's ants ma'q!īnūtnE (for) him only the dance was arranged 28.7

towa'tcīs wàn sēà yîktî'l'mä spear now that big (one)! 64.2

 $s^Ea^{i\prime}na$  refers to objects only, and serves as the objective form of the missing personal pronoun for the third person (see § 113). Hence it may be rendered by this, that, him. By adding the subjective suffixes to it (see § 24), the dual and plural persons for this pronoun are obtained.

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$   $h\bar{\imath}tc$   $plna^{\bar{\imath}}tx$   $ha^i$   $s^{z}a^{i'}na$  many people were sorry for that 15.4

kumî'ntcîn nà nîctcī'te wa'a $\bar{i}l$  pel $\bar{i}'$ te s $^{\epsilon}a^{i'}$ na not I anything will say first (without) her 74.9

s<sup>E</sup>a'tsa<sup>u</sup>x <sup>u</sup>t kumî'ntc tE'q s<sup>E</sup>a''na<sup>u</sup>x that's why they two (cared) nothing about them two 54.11, 12

 $t\bar{u}$ ,  $t\bar{u}'a$ , a demonstrative pronoun that may best be rendered by THAT ONE. It denotes subjects and objects alike. A comparison between this pronoun and the previously discussed  $s^{\bar{x}}\hat{a}$  suggests that the initial elements t and s may be petrified prefixes having the function of demonstrative pronouns. This assertion receives further substantiation from the fact that Siuslaw forms, in analogy to  $s^{\bar{x}}\hat{a}s$ , a discriminative pronoun  $t\bar{u}'as$ , and that it has two other demonstrative stems whose initial elements are t- and s- respectively. These pronouns are  $t\bar{u}'a^it$  that kind and  $s^{\bar{x}}a^it$  this kind, and they may be explained as being composed of t-  $(t\bar{u}$ -)+- $a^it$  and s-+- $a^it$ . The function of the second element can not be explained. The t- occurs, furthermore, independently as  $t_E$  (see p. 580).

The pronoun  $t\bar{u}$ ,  $t\bar{u}'a$ , occurs also in dual and plural forms, obtained by adding the subjective suffixes  $-a^ux$  and -nx (see § 24) to it.

tū yāk!aū'nî qīūtcū'nî that small(est) woman 88.12
kumî'ntc hīs tū texmū'nî not good (is) that man 90.23; 92.1
tū'a texmū'nî that man
qna'nxan lelū'yūn tū'aux xā'ts!ū we (incl.) are hitting those two
tū'anx texmū'nî those men
lelū'yūtsîn tū'as that one is hitting me
tū'ai't that (is the) kind 102.2
kumî'ntc hīs nàte te sēait lla'ai not good (is for) me this kind (of
a) place 44.4, 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The s as a demonstrative element has been also found in Alsea.

 $s^{E}a^{i}t^{E}$   $L!a'^{ai}$  such (a) world 15.1

 $wa^i y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i t_E h\bar{\iota}tc$ ,  $s^E a^i t\bar{\iota}u' s\hat{\imath}' n^i xya$  although many (are) these people, that kind (of a thing every one) likes 102.2, 3

ants is the only pronoun that may be said to contain a locative force. It is invariably used in connection with objects that are away from the speaker, and may be rendered by that one. It may refer to subject and object, and is used in the singular, dual, and plural, although in most cases duality and plurality are accentuated by suffixing the respective subjective pronouns  $-a^u x$  and -nx (see § 24). This pronoun may also have a verbal force, and is then best rendered by that one who..., those who... It always precedes the noun.

hamxa<sup>ū</sup>'nî ants tseha<sup>u</sup>'ya that tied (up) grass 8.6

sukwī'te tsînq!t ants hīte very poor (was) that person 16.10; 17.1 ants qaix last night (literally, that night) 40.14

lk!anuwa'ku wî'nxaun ants penî's Screech-Owl was afraid of that Skunk 86.5

ants  $lqa^{i'}t\bar{u}$  ants  $Tsxuna'p\bar{\iota}\bar{\iota}$   $t/\bar{\iota}'t/y\bar{u}n$  that tree on which  $Tsxunp\bar{\iota}\bar{\iota}$  (Coyote) was sitting 94.6

xaū'nauxûn ants mī'k!a hītċ we two killed that bad person 96.8, 9 lakwa'kūun ants qīūtcū'nî antsux tsînī'ttsînī't those two otters took away those women 52.16

ants L!a'ai hītc those many people 7.1

ants pekū'wi those who play 70.6, 7

atsī'te waa'xam ants hīte tea'xa<sup>ū</sup>t thus was told that man who was going back 30.13, 14

 $lk!an\bar{u}'k^u$   $ya'q^u$ 'y $\bar{u}n$  a'ntsux  $meq!a^{\bar{i}'}tx$  Screech-Owl watched those two who kept on dancing 86.8

s<sup>E</sup>a'tsa xnī'wnīs a'nts<sup>E</sup>nx pukwa'' thus keep on doing those who play shinny 78.17

In a number of instances two demonstrative pronouns are used, following each other in immediate succession. This is done primarily for the sake of emphasis. In such sentences the second demonstrative stem may be rendered by a relative pronoun.

 $ha^{i'}$ nate  $a^{i'}$ sxa  $l\bar{\imath}'t'.la^{\bar{\imath}}$  te  $s^{\underline{\imath}}$ à  $q^{u}_{L}/\bar{\imath}$ te that otter is eating a different food (literally, different her, also, food, [of] this here sea-otter) 54.7, 8

ul seà te t!āmcî'sk'în and this here (is) the little boy 94.16

 ${}^u\!l$  waa'xam ants  $s^{\scriptscriptstyle E}\!a$   $qa'tc^int\bar ux$  and was told that man who will go 16.7

kumî'ntewax sî'nixyūn Lī'ū ta'īs ants szà they two don't want to stay near here (literally, not they two, want it, near [to] keep on staying, that one here)

Parallel to these forms are the indefinite, interrogative, and reflexive pronouns. The following have been observed:

wate. It has the function of an interrogative, relative, and indefinite pronoun, and applies to animate beings only. When used in an interrogative sense, it is best rendered by who, while as an indefinite pronoun, it is to be translated by somebody. The interrogative character of this particle can be recognized only by the interrogative tone of the sentence in which it occurs.

wátc<sup>i</sup>tc kō'tan whose horse (is it)?
wàtc xa'lntūx somebody will climb up
watc tɛ'xamtc ha<sup>i</sup> (he) who strong (is) his heart 10.1
wùtc L!xū'yūn Lxatū'w<sup>i</sup> (he) who knows (the art of) running 78.18

tE'q is used as an interrogative and indefinite pronoun, and applies to animals and inanimate objects only. It may best be rendered by WHAT OF SOMETHING.

te'q what (is it)?

ha''mūt te'q everything 9.5

tsī'k!ya hīs te'q (a) very good thing 72.15, 16

kumî'nte'nx te'q you (will be) nothing 13.2

ats te'q waxa'yexayîm when something will be given to him 18.5

"I sēa'tsa te'q qnuhū'yūn that's why something he finds

In a few instances te'q has been rendered by Relative. This free rendering is perfectly justifiable, because in the instances quoted te'q implies the idea of Being something to the person spoken to or spoken of.

na'm\*līnx .te'q you (are) my relative (literally, my something you [are]) 20.6

ts'îmstc te'q ants lq!al·ō'mä her own relative (was) that pelican (literally, her own something) 46.1

An objective form of this particle has been found in one instance.  $te'qa^una'nl\ la'kw\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}n$  something we (incl.) will always get 72.17,18  $t\bar{a}qa^{\nu}na$  is the regular objective form of te'q, and occurs frequently.

§ 115

 $kum\hat{\imath}'ntcx\hat{u}n$   $t\bar{a}qa^{i'}na$   $w\hat{\imath}'nx$  not we two (excl.) anything fear 94.17  $s\hat{\imath}'nx\bar{\imath}t$   $t\bar{a}qa^{i'}na$  he wants something 18.5

wa'sLisyanx tāqai'na (when) you get mad at anything 36.11, 12 wa'aīsEnx tāqai'na (when) you will say something 38.4

Another objective form of this particle may be the form  $t\bar{a}'qan$ , occurring in one single instance.

tā'qan tex tcaītcī'tc xî'ntmīs why do you want to go anywhere (literally, for something, perhaps, somewhere [you] keep on going) 48.1, 2

teînt, teînta", serves primarily as an interrogative pronoun, in which case it is rendered by which one? Its scope, however, has been widened, permitting its use as a relative pronoun and in some instances as a numeral adverb. In the latter sense the form teînt is invariably used. It is then translated by whoever, whatever, or by how much, how many?

 $tcl'nta^u n \ tex \ l^i kwa' y \bar{u} n$  which one I (wonder) shall I take? 88.20; 90.1

tcî'ntaunx sî'nixyūn which one do you want? 40.4, 5

tcî'ntau nîctcai' ants hītc whatever does that man 70.22

tcî'ntau hītc Līwa' whatever person came (here) 24.7

 $tc\hat{\imath}'nta^u y\hat{\imath}'kt^itc...$  whosoever... is big 90.1

tcînt hītc qa'ntcya Līwa'wax whatever person from somewhere is going to come 38.10, 11

tcî'ntīnx hī'q!a how many shells have you? (literally, how many thy dentalia shells?)

tcînt kō'tan how many horses?

. . .  $t\hat{cint}\ tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$  . . . on such a day (literally, [on] whatever [a] day) 7.3

ts'îms has the function of a reflexive pronoun, and is best rendered by (I) myself, (thou) thyself, etc., or, when used with nouns, by (MY) own, (thy) own, etc.

ts'îms s<sup>e</sup>atsī'tc cî'nixyat!ya to himself thus he always thinks 88.11 Lelū'yūn ts'îms I hit myself

ts'îmstc te'q ants lq!al·ō'mä ants sqūmā' her own relative that Pelican (is of) that Sea-Gull 46.1, 2

 $L/xma\bar{i}'y\bar{u}tsm\hat{i}n$   $ts'\hat{i}ms$   $m^u\bar{u}'sk^u$  I killed my own brother

qa'w"ntî, qa'w"ntītc, imparts the idea of reciprocality, and is best rendered by EACH OTHER, MUTUALLY. The difference between the two parallel forms lies in the fact that the latter has been amplified by means of the modal suffix -ītc (see § 94).

uł k!īx te'q skwaha'yūsne qa'wîntī everything was placed on both sides 80.8

 $qaw^unt\overline{\imath}'tc^wax\ w\hat{\imath}n^xxna'wa$  each other they two feared 86.2  $qa'w^unt\hat{\imath}$  on both sides

# The Numeral (§§ 116-117)

# § 116. The Cardinals

1.  $al^aq$  18.7

2.  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}$  30.23

3.  $c\bar{\imath}'n^ax$  62.12

4. xā'ts!ūn 40.23

5. Lxai'pis 72.8

6. qa'tīmx

7.  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u} \ qt\bar{a}'max$ 

8. cī'nax qtā'max

9.  $a'l^aqxa^ut$ 

10. kīxēs 8.1

11.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{\mathbf{E}}s^{\mathbf{u}}l^{\mathbf{u}}a'l^{\mathbf{a}}q$ 

12.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{\mathbf{E}}s^{\mathbf{u}}l x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}$ 

· 13.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{\mathbf{E}}s^{u}l c\bar{\imath}'n^{a}x$ 

14.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{E}s^{u}lx\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}ntc\,a^{i}xw\hat{\imath}'yu$  100.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{E}s\,k\bar{\imath}xe'st\hat{\imath}m$ 

16.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{E}s$  ul  $qa't\bar{\imath}mx$ 

17.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{\underline{\imath}}s^{\underline{\imath}}l^{\underline{\imath}}x\bar{a}'ts.'\bar{u}qt\bar{a}'max$ 

18.  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{E}s^{u}l c\bar{\imath}'n^{a}x qt\bar{a}'max$ 

19. kī'x s ut kumî'ntc alaq qai'nat

20.  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}\ k\bar{\imath}xe'st\hat{\imath}m$ 

21. xā'ts!ū kīxe'stîm ul alaq

30.  $c\bar{\imath}'n^ax\ k\bar{\imath}xe'st\hat{\imath}m$ 

40. xā'ts!ūn kīxe'stîm

50. Lxa''p's kīxe'stîm

60. qa'tīmx kīxe'stîm

70.  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}\ qt\bar{a}'max\ k\bar{v}xe'st\hat{v}m$ 

80. cī'nax qtā'max kīxe'stîm

90.  $a'l^aqxa^ut\ qt\bar{a}'max\ k\bar{\imath}xe'st\hat{\imath}m$ 

14.  $ki' x^2s^2 + xa$  is an ica away ya 100.  $ki' x^2s$  ki' xe so im15.  $k\bar{\imath}' x^2s^2 + ki' x^2 +$ 

By origin the Siuslaw numeral system is probably quinary, although there seem to be only four simple numeral stems; namely, those for one, two, three, and five. The numeral  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}n$  four is to all appearances a plural form of  $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}$  two. The numeral  $qa't\bar{u}mx$  six could not be analyzed. It is not improbable, however, that it may signify one (finger) up, in which event seven could be explained as denoting two (fingers) up, while eight could be rendered by three (fingers) up. In spite of incessant attempts, the numeral for nine could not be analyzed. Its probable rendering may be suggested as one (lacking to) ten. The numerals for fourteen and fifteen may be translated as by ten and four its addition and ten and five its addition respectively. The exact rendering of nineteen is obscure, while twenty evidently denotes two times ten, etc.

Siuslaw does not possess the series of ordinal numerals. These and the numeral adverbs, such as the multiplicative numerals, are expressed idiomatically by means of adverbs or adverbial suffixes. The adverbs  $p = t \bar{t}' t c$  ahead and  $t l m \bar{n}' t c$  behind (see § 119) are very often used as ordinal numerals for the first two numbers.

penî's pelī'tc ul lk!anū'ku lîmnī'tc Skunk (doctored) first, and Screech-Owl second 86.11

 $s^h a^i t \bar{u}' n \hat{i} p \epsilon l \bar{i}' t c x \hat{i}' n t m a^i s t \bar{u} n$  the biggest one first he took along 92.18

 $Qa'a^itc\bar{\imath}x$   $pel\bar{\imath}'tc$   $l\bar{\imath}ha'yax$  te  $l\bar{\imath}ya'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  along North Fork at first it came, this fire 32.19

Multiplicative numerals are sometimes formed by adding to the cardinals the modal suffix -*ītc* (see § 94).

xāts/ūwī'tcîn yîxa'yūn twice I saw him a'lqa<sup>ī</sup>tcîn L!xū'yūn qnà once I knew it 92.12

Ordinal numerals in the sense of AT THE FIRST, SECOND, etc., are sometimes formed by suffixing to the cardinals the suffix  $-a't\bar{u}$ .

 $alqa''t\bar{u}\ tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$  on the first day, in one day  $x\bar{a}ts/\bar{u}w\bar{a}''t\bar{u}\ tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$  on the second day, in two days  $x\bar{a}ts/\bar{u}na''t\bar{u}\ tsxay\bar{u}'^{wi}$  on the fourth day, in four days

The suffix for the numeral five appears in a somewhat changed form. Instead of the expected  $-a't\bar{u}$ , this numeral takes the suffixes  $-ta't\bar{u}$ ,  $-tya't\bar{u}$ . The suggestion may be offered that the inital t- of these suffixes is the adjectival suffix -t (see § 104), and the  $-a't\bar{u}$  the regular modal suffix. Of course, this does not explain the occurrence of the semi-vowel y in  $-tya't\bar{u}$ .

 $t/\bar{a}mc\bar{n}s$   $tc\bar{\iota}'nt\bar{\iota}x$   $\iota xa^ip\hat{\imath}st\bar{a}''t\bar{\iota}t$   $tsxay\bar{\iota}'w^i$  our (dual, incl.) boys will return in five days 42.7

Lxa<sup>i</sup>p<sup>i</sup>stya''tū <sup>u</sup>l wàn tcī<sup>i</sup>n hītsî'stc on the fifth day he finally came home 72.9

 $tc\bar{\imath}'nt\bar{\imath}x$   $\iota xa^ip\hat{\imath}stya'$ 'tu  $tsxay\bar{\imath}'^{wi}$  he will come back in five days 40.25, 26

Two stems,  $k!^{i}x$  and  $hai'm\bar{u}t$ , are used as definite numerals. The former is best rendered by EACH, EVERY; while the latter, to all appearances an adjective in -t (see § 104), is best translated by ALL.

 $k!\bar{\imath}x$  te'q everything 24.4

texmū'nîtcwax ants t!āmc k!īx they two had each a boy (literally, males their two, those boys, each) 40.19

ha''mūt ma'ltc't ants Lîmna''q all elks got burned 34.18, 19 ha''mūt qa'tc'nt sqa'ktc''tc all go there 23.6

# § 117. The Decimal System

The units exceeding multiples of ten are expressed by forms whose exact rendering would be ten (twenty) and one (two) as, for instance,  $k\bar{\imath}'x^{z}s^{u}l$   $\alpha'l^{a}q$  ten and one, etc. The "tens" are formed by means of

the suffix  $-t\hat{\iota}m$ , that is added to the cardinal numerals for Ten. The numeral thus amplified is preceded by the cardinals from Two to Ten (inclusive). Thus Twenty, literally translated, means Two Times Ten, Thirty signifies three times Ten, and one hundred denotes Ten Times Ten. The numeral for Thousand was, naturally enough, never used. The informant invariably gave the English equivalent for it.

## The Adverb (§§ 118-121)

## § 118. Introductory

Siuslaw has, comparatively speaking, a small number of adverbial stems. These express ideas of a local, temporal, and modal character. A few of them are compounds,—that is to say, they consist of two or more adverbs that occur independently also,—while others occur with the adverbial suffixes whose function is always in harmony with the ideas expressed by the bare stem. Thus a few adverbs indicating local ideas appear with the local suffix -te (see § 90), while most of the modal adverbs take the suffixes of modality -\tilde{\tau}te or -a (see § 94 and 96).

It is quite conceivable that the final k in the local adverbs  $t\bar{\imath}k$ ,  $st\bar{\imath}mk$ , and  $sqa^{\bar{\imath}}k$ , may imply some local idea, especially in view of the fact that both  $st\bar{\imath}m$  and  $st\bar{\imath}mk$  occur.

A very important law applying to local adverbs (and phrases) is the fact that, whenever they are used in connection with nouns, the nouns invariably take the locative case-endings (see § 86).

## § 119. Local Adverbs and Phrases

 $a'mha^itx$  in the middle  $ha^iq$  ashore 44.7  $ha^{i\prime}qmas$  alongside, near 25.4  $ha^uw\bar{\imath}'s$  beyond  $p E l\bar{\imath}'tc$  ahead, first 32.19  $m^E y \bar{o}^E k^u s$  in the beginning 82.11  $t\bar{\imath}u\bar{\imath}'ts^1$  here 17.3  $t\bar{\imath}k$ ,  $ta^{\bar{\imath}}k$  here 56.5, 19

 $t\bar{u}t\bar{t}'m$  there 72.3  $t\bar{u}qa'tme$  over there, across  $t\bar{u}qya'a^{\bar{u}^2}$  up-stream 32.22  $qa't\bar{t}tc^3$  across the river, opposite 80.16  $q\bar{a}'tk\hat{r}$  from here 60.4  $qa'xantc^4$  under, down, below 8.10  $qa'x\hat{u}n$ ,  $qa^{u'}x\hat{u}n^5$  high up, above, on 8.7; 34.21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Probably related to the Coos tîu over there.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alsea to'qwī.

<sup>3</sup> Coos qu'tîte DOWN THE STREAM.

Related to Alsea që'xan UNDER, BELOW.

<sup>5</sup> Coos gazan- UP.

 $qa^{u}x^{1}$  on top 76.14  $qa^{i}u'te^{2}$  below, down the stream 62.17, 18  $qa^{i}wa'a^{\bar{a}}$  below, down stream 80.6  $tqa^{u}w\bar{\imath}', tqa^{u'}w\bar{\imath}tc$  up-stream 56.8, 12  $st\bar{\imath}m, st\bar{\imath}mk$  there 30.23; 32.12  $sq\bar{a}'tem$  from there 34.3  $sqa^{\bar{\imath}}k, sq\bar{\imath}k$  there 14.6

 $qa^ih\bar{a}'n$  56.8,  $qa^iha'ntc$  far 10.3; 56.5 qan,  $qan\hat{s}stc\bar{t}'tc$  down, below 12.6  $q\bar{o}'x^um$  off shore, out in the water 34.6  $qts\bar{\iota}$  inside  $l\hat{\iota}mn\bar{\iota}'tc$  behind, after, second 86.11  $ln\bar{u}$  outside 38.23  $L\bar{\iota}'\bar{u}$  near (used also as a verb in the sense to come, to approach)

## § 120. Temporal Adverbs

ats³ at that time, when 16.8
a''lal then, afterwards 34.3
h\bar{l}'nak!' right away 20.1
w\bar{a}'nw\hat{l}ts long ago, already
14.7
w\bar{l}'y\bar{u} still, yet
y\bar{u}'tsa a long time 11.3
ta'l\bar{l}ts after a while 50.2
til awhile

tsā'nxa'ts yesterday
tsîm always 15.5
ts'ū'xtīts early in the morning 40.9
tcī'kyac L!a'a' sometimes 100.7
kū'yā'tsac L!a'a'4 after a while,
soon 7.7
k!isā't today 38.16
k!''Lū'5 tomorrow 60.2
lnàt always 13.3

Lî'mqa quick, right away 19.6

## § 121. Modal Adverbs

a'tsa,  $ats\overline{\imath}'tc$  thus 15.5; 11.2  $h\overline{\imath}'catca$  a little  $y\overline{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  much, many 8.5  $yux^{u}$  too much 12.2  $t\widehat{\imath}'mva$  together 40.18  $n\widehat{\imath}ctcama^{i'}nat^{i}E$  differently 9.3, 4

 $s^{\underline{s}}a'tsa,^{\underline{s}}$   $s^{\underline{s}}ats\bar{\imath}'tc$  thus 8.2, 7  $s^{\underline{u}}kw\bar{\imath}'tc$  very, very much 16.10  $c\hat{\imath}'ntcata$  in a circle  $ts\bar{\imath}'k!ya$  very, very much 13.9  $xyal^{\underline{\iota}}x$ ,  $k\bar{u}^{\underline{\iota}}$   $xyal^{\underline{\iota}}x$  almost, very nearly 11.1; 10.9, 11.1

## Particles (§§ 122-133)

## § 122. Introductory

Siuslaw has a great number of particles which serve to define more clearly a certain part of speech or even a whole sentence. Their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alsea qaux HIGH.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Possibly related to Coos qaya'atc DOWN THE STREAM.

<sup>3</sup>See § 136.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A compound adverb consisting of the negation  $k\bar{u}^i$  Not, the adverb  $y\bar{a}'tsa$  A long time, amplified by the obscure suffix -c, and of the stem  $L!a'a^i$  (see § 133).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>By prefixing to this adverb the demonstrative pronoun ants, Siuslaw forms a compound adverb ants  $k!^{E'}L\bar{u}$ , which is best rendered by YESTERDAY.

<sup>6</sup> See § 125.

meaning was deduced mostly from the sense of the sentence in which they occurred. These stems are either monosyllabic (in which case they may be enclitic or proclitic) or they consist of two or more syllables. A limited number seems to be composed of two or more originally independent particles. As a rule, particles are not capable of word-formation—that is to say, they can not be amplified by means of any of the grammatical processes, such as prefixation, suffixation, etc. But owing to the fact that Siuslaw shows a tendency to keep the verbal stem free from all subjective suffixes, these suffixes are preferably added to the particles that precede the verb (see § 26). Some of these particles seem to be in reality verbal stems, but do not convey a clear verbal idea unless used in conjunction with a proper verbal suffix (see § 135).

In accordance with their syntactic function, the particles may be conveniently subdivided into the following categories:

- (1) Pronominal particles.
- (2) Numeral particles.
- (3) Conjunctions.
- (4) Temporal particles.
- (5) Particles denoting degrees of certainty.
- (6) Particles indicating connection with previously expressed ideas.
- (7) Exhortative particles.
- (8) Restrictive particles.
- (9) Miscellaneous particles.
- (10) Suffixed particle  $-\bar{u}$  (- $a^{\bar{u}}$ ).
- (11) The stem L!a'ai.

## § 123. Pronominal Particles

The pronominal forms treated in § 115 are used sometimes without formative prefixes, and appear then like true particles. The following are particularly used in this manner:

 $t\bar{a}^a k$  this, here  $t_E$  this  $t\bar{u}$  that ants that one wate who, some one

te'q what, something
tcînt, tcî'nta" which one, whoever, whatever, how much,
how many
ts'îms (reflexive) self
qa'w"ntî mutually

Related to  $tc\hat{\imath}nt$  are the particles  $tc\bar{\imath}k$  where, and  $tc\bar{a}$ ,  $tca\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'tc$  where to.

 $tc\bar{\imath}k$ , a local particle denoting REST. It may be used indicatively and in an interrogatory sense. It is best rendered by WHERE.

 $tc\bar{\imath}k$   $s^{\bar{\imath}}a^{i}na'ml$   $k\bar{\imath}o'tan$  where is his horse ?  $tc\bar{\imath}k$   $qn\bar{\imath}uh\bar{\imath}u'y\bar{\imath}u$   $h\bar{\imath}tc$  where (ever) he finds a person 94.9, 10  $k\bar{\imath}u^{i}$   $tc\bar{\imath}k$  nowhere 56.11  $tc\bar{\imath}k$  ants  $k'\bar{\imath}alat\bar{\imath}u'$  where that fun (is) 88.2  $tc\bar{\imath}k$  ants  $y\hat{\imath}kt\hat{\imath}'l'm\ddot{\imath}$   $lqa^{i''}t\bar{\imath}$  where that big log (is) 88.17, 18

teā, teaīteī/te, a local particle indicating motion. It is used in an interrogative and indicative significance, and is best rendered by where (to). The form teaīteī/te may be explained as caused by the double suffixation of the adverbial suffix -īte (see §§ 90, 94). Such double adding of a suffix occurs in only one other instance; namely, in the case of the nominal suffix -ax (see § 101).

kumî'ntc tcā yax nowhere (anything to) see 34.4
kumî'ntcxûn qaiha'ntc tcā nî'ctcīs not we two (excl.) far somewhere will go 56.2
... tcān te līū' ... where this I arrived 66.19

 $tca\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'tc \ L\bar{o}^{\varepsilon}L \ n\bar{\imath}'ct\bar{u}x$  (I) wonder where he will go 64.20  $tca\bar{\imath}tc\bar{\imath}'tc \ qa'tc^{i}ntyax$  he went somewhere

## § 124. Numeral Particles

Here belong the following stems:  $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$  many (see also § 12), te'mxut,  $ts\hat{v}'nexma$ ,  $ts\hat{v}'n\bar{v}xt$  half, and  $k^Ea^{\bar{v}}t$  how many. The particles serving as fractional numerals invariably follow the noun they define, while the two other numeral particles may either precede or follow it.

yîxa'yūn yāa'xai hītc I saw many people

te'mxut tā'la half a dollar

 $h\bar{\imath}'tc^{\bar{\imath}}tc$   $ts\hat{\imath}'nexma$  ants  $t.'\bar{\imath}$  that bear is half a person (literally [a] person [is] his [one] half, that bear) 60.16

hīte tsî'nīxt ants t!ī half human (is) that bear 60.22

These forms might also be considered as adjectives. It will be noted that most of them end in the adjectival suffix -t (see § 104).

# § 125. Conjunctions

Only three particles were found that may be properly said to have the function of our conjunctions. These particles are  $a'l\cdot d\bar{u}$ ,  $a^{i'}sxa$ , and  $u\bar{l}$ .

§§ 124-125

 $a'l\cdot d\bar{u}$  refers to nouns only, and its function is of an inclusive character, indicating that the defined noun is included in the action. It always follows the noun and is best rendered by LIKEWISE. It is frequently used as a verb (see § 135).

"  $lt/\bar{\imath} \ a'l\cdot d\bar{u} \ ma'ltc^it$  Bear likewise got burned 34.16  $lt\bar{\imath}' q^u \ a'l\cdot d\bar{u} \ ml'ltc likewise$  burned 34.17  $lt\bar{\imath}' a^u x a^u x \ a'l\cdot d\bar{u} \ l^it/a'y\bar{u}n$  fern-roots they two likewise eat 98.15  $lt\bar{\imath}' a^{u'} x^x n x \ a'l\cdot d\bar{u} \ y a' q^u l l \bar{u} x \ at$  night you likewise shall watch 70.18,

ai'sxa serves the same purpose as the preceding  $a'l\cdot d\bar{u}$ , but may either precede or follow the noun to which it refers. It is best rendered by ALSO, TOO.

 $a'l^aq$   $texm\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$   ${}^ul$   $a'l^aq$   $q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$   ${}^ul$   $a^{i'}sxa$   $sqa^{\bar{\imath}}ktc\bar{\imath}'tc$   $qa'tc^int\bar{u}x$  one man and one woman too will go there 30.21, 22

 $ha^{i'}natc\ a^{i'}sxa\ l\bar{\imath}'t'.la^{\bar{\imath}}$  her food belonged to some one else (literally, different her, also, food) 54.7

"I has various functions. Its chief function is that of a copula between nouns and sentences, and in that case is best rendered by AND. Its position is free, although it tends to follow the noun and to precede the verb.

a'laq texmū'nî ul a'laq qīūtcū'nî one man and one woman 30.21, 22 mîta'a<sup>ī</sup>tîn ul mîla'a<sup>ī</sup>tîn my father and my mother penî's pelī'tc ul lk!anū'ku lîmnī'tc Skunk (doctored) first, and Screech-Owl second 86.11

 $s^{\underline{z}}ats\overline{\imath}'tc$  waa',  ${}^{u}l$   $h\overline{\imath}'q'.a^{\overline{\imath}}t$  thus he said and started 22.5, 6  $ta^{i}$   ${}^{u}l$   $l^{i}t'.a^{i'}$  he sits and eats

It serves, furthermore, to introduce a new idea, in which case its functional character may best be compared to that of our syntactic period. Its exact rendering is a rather difficult matter, unless the arbitrary THEN be excepted.

L!xū'yūn mī'k!a tsī'k!ya. L!xū'yūn hī'sa tk!anuwa'ku ants penî's. £nau'wi hītcut lqaqaī'txaūn pena's she knew him (to be) very bad. Screech-Owl knew that Skunk very well. At a rich man Skunk was breaking his wind 86.5, 6, 7

s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tc waa' ants lk!anū'k<sup>u</sup>. Ants plna'st <sup>u</sup>l cî'n<sup>i</sup>xyat!ya āqa'wax. <sup>u</sup>l s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tc waa' ants lk!anū'k<sup>u</sup>. Thus said that Screech-Owl. Then that sick man thought of running away. Then thus said that Screech-Owl 86.14, 15, 16

Finally, it may denote a connection with a previously expressed idea, especially when used in conjunction with the particle  $wa^{i}$  (see § 128).

wa<sup>†</sup> yîkt ants hītsī'<sup>†</sup>, <sup>‡</sup> tā'qnîs hītū'stc although big (is) that house, still (it is) full (of) people 25.2, 3

wał teī'wa majate ants lqa'''tū, alt mîltea' 'although in the water lay those logs, nevertheless (they) began to burn 32.22

 $wa^i y \bar{a}^{a'} x a^i h \bar{\iota} tc$ ,  $ul ha^{i'} m \bar{u} t s^{\bar{\iota}} as l^i t l a' y \bar{u} n$  although many (were) the people, still he devoured (them) all 94.10, 11

This subordinate function, as it were, is particularly brought out when  ${}^ul$  is followed or preceded by the modal adverb a'tsa,  $s^{\underline{z}}a'tsa$  thus (see § 121). This phrase is invariably rendered by that is why.

a'tsa "l wàn temū'tx hītcū'" that is why now people assemble 15.5, 6 a'tsan "ln kumî'ntc sî'n'xyūn that is why I don't want it 15.8 sēa'tsa "l kumî'ntc nī'k!a xî'ntmīl hītc that was why not alone traveled a person 94.11

 $ul\ s^{\underline{\nu}}a'tsa\ ul\ haya'm\bar{u}t\ h^{\underline{i}}y\grave{a}tc\ \underline{\iota}\,!x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$  and this is why all people know it

#### § 126. Temporal Particles

While Siuslaw employs distinct suffixes for the purpose of expressing the different tenses in the verb, it has a few particles that are used to define more clearly the time, duration, or occurrence of a certain action. These are used mostly in conjunction with the proper temporal suffixes. The following particles serve this purpose:

 $\bar{a}L$  denotes commencement of an action, and has been rendered rather freely by Now.

 $\bar{a}' \tan l\bar{\imath}t'a'wax$  now I commence to eat  $\bar{a}_L s\bar{\imath}_L a'wax$  now he commences to swim  $^{u}l^{E}nx \ \bar{a}_L \ h\bar{u}tca'tc$  now they began to play 72.23, 24

wàn indicates finality, completion of action. It either precedes or follows the verb. The informant invariably rendered it by NOW, THEN, but the most proper rendering would be FINALLY.

uł wàn tcīin he finally returned 68.12
āqa'qa'ux wàn they two finally ran away 92.5
wàn smūt'a't' finally it ends 9.1
sqa'k' wàn hawa'' there finally it ends 14.6

wa', waha', expresses repetition of action, and is best rendered by AGAIN. It rarely occurs as an independent particle, being mostly used as a verb (see § 135). The explanation for the occurrence of the double form has been given in § 3.

qa'te<sup>i</sup>nt ants hīte waha' that man went again 19.5 k!înk'ya'waxan waha'wax I will look again 56.20

\*ul wàn waha' ha<sup>a</sup>n qa'msk\*utc finally again (said) to him his younger brother 56.20, 21

"ln kumî'nte xwī'ı!tūx wa''tūx I will not go back again 46.8 waha'' xalna'' ants ya''ku's again climb up those seals 62.10

*tīyax*- indicates short duration of action. It always occurs in verbal form (see § 135), and is best rendered by A WHILE.

lī'yaxem qa'qu'nem! listen a while! lī'yaxaxyaxan au'sîsyax I slept a while līyaxa'waxan ausa'wax I intend to sleep a while 27.5, 6

#### § 127. Particles Denoting Degrees of Certainty and Emotional States

a'ck!atî indicates a supposition on the part of the speaker, and is best rendered by PERHAPS, (I) THOUGHT. It consists of two etymologically obscure stems, a'ck!a and tî. The subjective pronouns, when added to this particle, are always suffixed to the initial element, and never to tî. It is invariably placed at the beginning of the sentence.

a'ck!ant lî xaū' (I) thought you (had) died 68.14, 15 a'ck!atî atsī'tc xwīL!a'wax ants t!ā'mcīns (I) thought thus were going to return our (dual, incl.) boys 42.9, 10 a'ck!atî qa'tcint he went (away) perhaps

ha'nhan emphasizes a statement as having actually occurred. Hence it is rendered by INDEED, TO BE SURE. It precedes the verb.

<sup>ul</sup> wàn ha'nhan s<sup>E</sup>atsa'tx hītcū'<sup>u</sup> now, indeed, thus people play 7.4
<sup>ul</sup> wàn ha'nhan Līū'wanx hītsî'stc finally, sure enough, they were coming to different houses 30.6

hank! "KIND OF," LIKE, has a double function. When used with verbs, it implies that the action is not intimately known to the speaker. When referring to nouns (objects), it expresses a comparison between the defined noun and one already known to the speaker. It always precedes the noun or verb.

hank! tcīktc hai he is in a way glad (literally, "kind of" somewhere his mind?) 70.15
hank! wî'nxitx hai he is rather afraid

hank! hī'tc<sup>E</sup>tc nîctcîma<sup>E</sup>mū te qwo'txa<sup>I</sup> the beaver acts like a person (literally, like a person his actions [of] this beaver) 54.11 hank! hītc (he is) like (an) Indian 102.5

tex (I) wonder, suppose (if), (I) don't know. This particle has a dubitative character, expressing doubt on the part of the speaker as to the possibility or advisability of a certain action. It may refer to any part of the sentence, but must always precede the verb.

 $tc\hat{\imath}'nta^un\ tex\ l^ikwa'y\bar{u}n$  I wonder which one (shall) I take 88.20; 90.1

nî'ctcī tex xî'ntmīs hītc (I) wonder how (a) person (can) keep on traveling

nî'ctcan tex nîctca'wax (I doubt whether we) shall accomplish (anything) 60.9

nî'ctcanî tex xawa'ūn (I) wonder how we (incl.) can kill him 15.7

kil. This particle occurs in the texts only once; but, judging from the examples obtained in conversation, it seems to express agreeable surprise.

 $h\bar{\imath}^{i\prime}san~k\hat{\imath}l~w \\ an~waa'y \\ \bar{u}ts$ well he told me (I was agreeabıy surprised) 46.18

tai kît wàn he is here (literally, he stays, surprise)

**k** (I) MAY, PERHAPS. This is a dubitative particle, occurring also in Coos, and denoting possibility of action. Owing to its dubitative character, it has often an interrogative significance.

 $n\bar{\imath}'ctca~k^u$  what is the matter? (literally, how, perhaps . . .) 90.12  $k!\hat{\imath}nk'ya'waxan~tqa^uw\bar{\imath}'tc~k^u~waha'wax$  I may look again up-stream 56.20

 $n\bar{\imath}'ctxan\ k^u\ a'nts\hat{\imath}n\ m\bar{a}t!\bar{\imath}'\ tE\ k\bar{u}^i\ tc\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}l$  what may (be the cause that) that my elder brother, this here, not comes back? 58.11, 12  $l^ikwa'y\bar{\imath}nanx\ k^u\ tt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}$  you may get salmon 48.18

 $k^u n \dot{\alpha}$ , a compound particle, consisting of the preceding one and of the particle of interrogation  $n \dot{\alpha}$  (see § 131). Its significance is dubitative, and it may be rendered by IT SEEMS, PERHAPS, MAYBE, (I) GUESS. Its position is freely movable.

 $w an k^u n a t \bar{a}' k \hat{n} s^z a t \bar{s} i' t c a^u s i' s$  now it seems, this I thus dream 70.1  $y \bar{a}^{a'} x a^i t t^i \bar{\imath}' a^{\bar{\imath}} t q a^u w \bar{\imath}' k^u n a$  much salmon may be up-stream 56.8

sràs kunà cuxū'yūn he, I guess, drove it away 56.11  $lakwa'k\bar{u}^u n k^u n \dot{a}$  he took him (away), perhaps 58.14 kumî'ntc kunà seatsī'tc not thus (it is), I guess 21.10

xī has the same function as the previously discussed hank! (see It may best be rendered by (IT) LOOKS LIKE, AS IF.

 $x\bar{a}'ts!\bar{u}$   $x\bar{i}$   $h\bar{i}tc$  te  $k\hat{i}'nna$  (it) looks as if two people here were talking  $plna^{\bar{\imath}}tx \ x\bar{\imath}$  (it) looks as if he were sick tqala<sup>v</sup>txan xī I feel rather warm

 $L\bar{o}^{\varepsilon}L$  (I) wonder, (I) don't know. It either precedes or else follows the verb.

tcaītcī'tc Lō<sup>ɛ</sup>L nī'ctūx (I) wonder where (he will) go 64.20  $tc\bar{a} \ L\bar{o}^{\epsilon}L \ L\bar{i}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}x$  (I) wonder where he will stop (arrive) 64.24  $plna^{i'} L\bar{o}^{\varepsilon}L$  (I) wonder whether he is sick

## § 128. Particles Denoting Connection with Previously Expressed Ideas

Siuslaw has only two particles that serve this purpose. These are nî'ctcîm and wai.

nî'ctcîm indicates causality, and is best rendered by BECAUSE.

- . . .  $n\hat{\imath}'ctc\hat{\imath}m \ sqa^{\bar{\imath}}k \ \iota\bar{\imath}'wat/\bar{\imath}$  . . . because there he frequently came 68.4, 5
- . . .  $n\hat{i}'ctc\hat{i}m\ s^{E}\dot{a}s\ k!^{i}xa'y\bar{u}n\ tE\ h\bar{i}tc$  . . . because he made disappear these people 18.8
- . . . nî'ctcîmîn meq!ya'wax . . . because I intend to dance 72.12
- . . . nî'ctîm<sup>E</sup>nx nam<sup>E</sup>l tE'q . . . because you are my relative 21.5

wat is best rendered by although, even, in spite of. It may refer to the sentence as a whole or to any of its parts. The complex of ideas dependent upon  $wa^{i}$  is invariably introduced by the conjunction ul (see § 125).

cuqwa'an hawa'yūn, wa' cā'yatc he passes it as roast, although his penis [it was] (literally, roast he makes it) 90.13

nî'ctcîm sqaîk Lī'wat!ī, wai yā'tsa, because there he frequently came every time (literally, because there he came frequently, even for a long time) 68.4, 5

wał mī/k!au L!aya' uł Lxata' even on a bad place he runs 14.1 wai yîkt ants hītsī'i ut tā'qnîs hītū'stc although big (was) that house, nevertheless full (it was of) people 25.2, 3

wai qaix, ul xînt in spite of (the fact that it was) night, (they) kept on going 64.24

wa<sup>i</sup> tɛ'q mī'k!a <sup>u</sup>l l<sup>i</sup>t!a'yūn s<sup>ɛ</sup>às even (if it is) something bad still she eats it 44.20

#### § 129. Exhortative Particles

qail expresses a polite command addressed to the first and third persons. It is hence employed in the formation of the exhortative mode. The verb usually occurs with exhortative suffixes (see §§ 41, 48, 63, 64), although instances of idiomatic expressions are not lacking where these suffixes have been omitted (see § 139). This particle is best rendered by LET (ME, HIM, US, etc.).

 $qa^il$   $qatc^in\bar{\imath}'xm\hat{\imath}$  let him go!  $qa^ila^ux$   $lakw\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}$  let them two seize (them)! 52.12, 13  $qa^iln$   $x\bar{a}_L!\bar{\imath}'tsm_E$   $h\bar{\imath}ts\bar{\imath}'^i$  let me fix his house!  $qa^il$  wàn  $a^{u'}st\bar{u}x$  let him sleep now! 27.8

 $tc\bar{u}$  serves to emphasize the imperative and exhortative modes. It invariably follows the verb, which must occur in either of these two forms. It can not be translated easily. In some instances the informant rendered it by TRY TO.

qaqū''nem tcū listen now!

tī't!emans tcū let us (incl. dual) eat!

qa'txem tcū cry!

aw'sem tcū try to sleep!

 $tEm \hat{u}$  indicates a polite command addressed to any person. The informant rendered it by it is better to. . . Although it usually followed verbs having imperative suffixes, I was able to obtain examples showing the use of this particle in conjunction with verbal expressions of a non-imperative character.

qwa''nyūx temà' Laaya'te better pour it into his mouth! 29.2 aw'sem temà' (you had) better sleep! temà' wa'tūx it is better (that) he should talk

ak"ha'n is apparently a compound particle, whose component elements can no longer be analyzed. It has an emphatic character, implying that a certain command addressed to the second person must be obeyed. It is best rendered by Must, NECESSARILY.

 $l\bar{\imath}'t'$ ! Em  $ak^uha'n$  you must eat!  $\underline{\iota}'w\bar{a}'n\bar{\imath}s$   $ak^uha'n$  you must tell him!  $\underline{\iota}'l\bar{\imath}'l\bar{\imath}s$   $ak^uha'n$  you must hit him!

#### § 130. Restrictive Particles

atu's limits the action to only one object, and is to be rendered by only, merely. It usually follows the restricted object.

 $lqa'qa^{\bar{\imath}}nx$  ata's your wind only (is sick) 86.16, 17  $p\bar{a}'l\cdot\bar{u}$  ata's  $qatc\bar{u}^{i'}txa^{\bar{u}}tnE$  from (one) well only it is being drunk (plural) 76.12  $sqa^{\bar{\imath}}k$  wàn ata's  $hawa^{i'}$  only there now it ends 29.7

 $sqa^{i}k$  wàn ata's hawa' only there now it ends 29.7  $s^{E}$ às ata's  $L!x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$  he only knows it 44.8

 $ha^{i\prime}ts\overline{\imath}$  has a restrictive function, and is best rendered by NOTHING BUT.

hīq/aha<sup>ū</sup>/nî ants xu'nha<sup>i</sup> ha<sup>i</sup>/tsī nothing but dentalia shells these (people) bet 78.14
ha<sup>i</sup>/tsīn kō'tan vîxa'yūn nothing but horses I saw

 $tx\bar{u}$  MERELY, ONLY, JUST. It refers mostly to the verb, and may either precede or follow it.

txū xyal·xî'sk'în qa'tc'nt just a little ways he went 12.1
txū lī'tc̄t lī'nīnx just Cougar (will be) thy name 13.5, 6
xauwiyai' txū hīcatca'sk'în he merely came out for a little while 64.8
lī't!em txū just eat! 40.26; 42.1
cî'nixyat!ya txū he was only continually thinking 42.2
kumî'nte txū qīūtcūnya't hīte not for nothing a person gets a wife
(literally, not just a woman has [gets a] person) 74.1

# § 131. Miscellaneous Particles

 $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$ , no, not. These are two etymologically related stems that are used as particles of negation. The final tc in  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$  is the adverbial suffix (see §§ 23, 94)

 $k\bar{u}^i$   $c\hat{c}'l$ : $x\bar{\imath}l$  he did not move 27.2, 3  $k\bar{u}^i$   $n\hat{\imath}'ctca$   $n\bar{\imath}'ctc\bar{u}tn_E$  nothing could be done to him 94.12, 13  $k\bar{u}^i y\bar{a}'tsac_L!a'^{ai}$  not long then . . . 7.7  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$   $h\bar{\imath}'sa$  not good (it is) 12.2  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$   $l\bar{\imath}t'aya't$  ants  $k\bar{o}'tan$  not food had the horses 34.10

When followed by the subjective pronouns (see § 24),  $k\bar{u}^i$  is contracted into  $kw\bar{\imath}$ . This contraction is not based on any distinct phonetic law, but is the result of rapidity of speech.

 $kw\bar{\imath}'ya^ux\ ya'xa^{\bar{\imath}l}\ m\hat{\imath}'ck'la^{\bar{\imath}}$  not he saw their (dual) vulvas 90.3  $kw\bar{\imath}nx\ y\bar{\imath}'tsa\ s^{\underline{\imath}a'}ts^{\underline{\imath}}yax$  not they long (did) thus 11.3, 4 §§ 130–131

In certain cases the negated verb takes, beside the negative particle, the distinct suffix of negation -il (see § 53).

 $ha^{\bar{u}}$ ,  $h\bar{a}'n\hat{\imath}k$ , YES, ALL RIGHT, are used as particles of affirmation.

 $ha^{\bar{u}}$  yes, all right 21.8  $h\bar{a}'n\hat{\imath}k$  yes

 $ha^{\bar{u}}$   $L\hat{u}'mqan$   $tc\bar{u}'nt\bar{u}x$  all right, I'll come back right away 56.21, 22  $ha^{\bar{u}}$  wa'nxan  $hatc'a'^w\bar{u}n$  yes, now we (excl.) shall ask her 74.12

nà serves as a particle of interrogation, and refers to the sentence as a whole. Its phonetic similarity to the independent personal pronoun for the first person singular (see § 24) is merely accidental.

nîctcī'tcîn tex nà wa'a<sup>i</sup>s I wonder what shall I say? 74.7 plna<sup>i</sup> nà is he sick? pākwa'wanx nà are you going to play shinny?

 $a^{\overline{u}}$ ,  $h\overline{e}$ , have an exclamatory character, and may be called interjections.

a<sup>ū</sup>, nîctcī'te pla<sup>a</sup>n nà waha' what! is he sick again?
hē, kumî'nte hī'isa nī'xate Hey! it (does) not (look) well on you
13.5

 $ka't\bar{\imath}$ ,  $kat\bar{\imath}'xt\hat{\imath}$ , an emphatic particle. It never occurs alone, being always preceded by the negation  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$  (see p. 598), and is then best rendered by NOT AT ALL.

 $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc\ kat\bar{\imath}'\ xa''w\bar{\imath}l$  not at all he came out (from water) 64.7, 8  $k\bar{u}^i\ kat\bar{\imath}'xt\hat{\imath}\ \iota!xma^i\ ants\ ya^ik^us$  he did not entirely kill that seal 64.12, 13

 $k\bar{u}^i$   $kat\bar{\imath}'xt\hat{\imath}$   $xa^u'w\bar{\imath}t$  not again he floated up 64.16, 17

mintc, a temporal particle indicating time in general. It is rendered by when, sometimes. The final tc is the adverbial suffix par excellence (see § 23).

mînte L!aya' some time mînte  $L\bar{o}^{\varepsilon}L$   $L\bar{\iota}'\bar{u}t\bar{u}x$  (I) wonder when he will arrive mî'nte inx tea'xa $\bar{u}tyax$  when did you go home?

tsan, ants,  $k\bar{u}^i$  nats. These three particles are etymologically related. The last one is composed of the particle of negation  $k\bar{u}^i$  not and of nats. The forms ants and nats resulted from the law of consonantic metathesis (see §13); ants is easily confused with the demonstrative pronoun of similar phonetic structure (see § 115).

These particles serve to introduce conditional clauses, and are best rendered by IF, SINCE.  $k\bar{u}^i$  nàts is rendered by IF NOT (see also § 136).

 $tsa'ntc\hat{\imath} t\bar{u}'ha s\hat{\imath}'n^ixy\bar{u}n$  . . . if you want to buy her . . . 74.8 tsa'nteî sî'nixyaxa<sup>ū</sup>n, "lteî hate'a'yūn since you want her, (go and) ask her 74.10, 11

yā'a'xai hītc tem'wai' sqa'k, ants haiqai' ants hamī'tcī many people assembled there, when (if) those whales come ashore \$2.21, 22 . . . ants thwa'myax ants înq!a'a when (ice) closed up that river

Whenever the subordinate clause is introduced by the negative  $k\bar{u}^i$ nàts, the co-ordinate sentence that follows must be preceded by the particle nàts.

 $k\bar{u}^i$  nàts  $x\bar{a}'wa^axa^{\bar{u}}tn_E$ , ul nàts  $ts\bar{t}'k!ya$   $m\bar{t}'k!a$  L!a'ai if he had not been killed, it would have been a very bad country 29.7, 8 kū nàts lī'ūyax, uln nàts nakwa'yatītī ha if he had not come, I should have been sorry

 $n\hat{\imath}'ctca$ ,  $n\bar{\imath}'ctca$ ,  $n\bar{\imath}ctx$ . These three forms are undoubtedly etymologically related. Their primary function can not be easily defined, owing to the fact that they are used for the purpose of expressing grammatical concepts of a varying character. The most frequent uses made of these particles are those of an interrogative and indefinite pronoun. The function of an interrogative pronoun is chiefly confined to the form nî'ctca when followed by the demonstrative pronoun te (see § 115), while it serves as an indefinite pronoun whenever it is preceded by the negative particle  $k\bar{u}^i$ ,  $kum\hat{\imath}'ntc$  not. nî'ctca is frequently amplified by means of the modal suffix -ītc (see \$ 94).

 $n\bar{\imath}'ctca\ k^u\ te\ cuqwa'an\ te\ ha'kwat!ya$  what may (be the reason that) this roast here continually falls down? 90.12

nī'ctcanx tanx yāa'xai qātx why do you (this one) cry (so) much? 94.16, 17

nî'ctcan tex nîctca'wax I doubt whether (we) shall accomplish anything 60.9

nî'ctcanî tex xawa'ūn how can we kill him? 15.7

...  $n\hat{v}'$ etea  $t \in ta^i$ ... how this one was living 16.2

 $k\bar{u}^i \, n\hat{i}'ctca \, n\bar{i}'ctc\bar{u}tn_E$  nothing could be done (to stop) him 94.12, 13

 $k\bar{u}^i n\hat{i}'ctca qa'tc^w\bar{i}l$  not able to get a drink 76.11

 $k\bar{u}^i \, n\hat{i}'ctca \, \bar{l}a'kw\bar{i}l \, l\bar{i}t'laya'$  she could not get food 96.16, 17  $n\hat{i}ctc\bar{i}'tc^{E}tc\hat{i}$  te  $tem^{u}wa'tam$ ... why you have been gathered 30.17 kumî'nte nîcteī'te cî'nxīl he thinks of nothing (else) 60.20, 21 kumî'nte\*tcî nîcteī'te ta'teî temū'ūts not for nothing did I assemble you (here) 30.18, 19

 $n\bar{\imath}ctx$  occurs in two instances only, and to all appearances has an interrogative significance.

nī'ctxan ku a'ntsîn māt!ī' te kū' tcī'nīl what may (be the reason that) my elder brother here does not come back? 58.11, 12 nīctx ku a'naxa<sup>ī</sup> how (would it be if) he were given up? 64.26

In a great many cases  $n\hat{\imath}'ctca$  and  $n\bar{\imath}'ctca$  are used as verbs with a significance that adapts itself to the sense of the sentence (see § 135). The particles are then verbalized by means of some of the verbal suffixes.

kū nî'ctca nī'ctcūtne nothing could be done (to stop) him 94.12, 13 kū nî'ctca tcaītcī'tc nî'ctcīt not can anywhere (they) go 76.14 kumî'ntcxûn nî'ctcīs not we two (excl.) will keep on going 56.2 nî'ctcan tex nîctca'wax I doubt whether (we) are going to do (anything) 60.9

nī'ctcat'aux sî'n¹xyūn to fight mutually they two want (it) 52.2

In one instance the addition of a nominal suffix has transformed  $n\hat{v}'$  etca into a noun.

kumî'nte qwate L!xū'xun nî'eteate ants nî'eteīsî no one knows what happened to them (literally, how their arrival) 40.15, 16

# $\S$ 132. The Suffixed Particle - $\ddot{u}$ (- $a^{\ddot{u}}$ )

It indicates an action, transitive or intransitive, that is performed near the speaker, and may be added to stems other than verbal. It always stands in final position as a loose suffix. Since similar formative elements expressing other locative categories were not found in Siuslaw, and in view of the fact that Alsea employs, besides this suffix, many other suffixes denoting location of action, I am inclined to believe that this element represents a formative element borrowed from Alsea. The Siuslaw render it by HERE, THIS WAY. A peculiar phonetic law seems to be intimately connected with this particle. When following the consonantic cluster nx, it causes the dropping of the x (see § 4). The interchange between  $\bar{u}$  and  $a^{\bar{u}}$  has been discussed in § 2.

 $ka^{\bar{u}}s = \text{to follow } 92.7$ 

 $qa^{u'}x\hat{u}n$  above 80.12

 $k^i was^i y \bar{u}' tsana^{\bar{u}}$  you will overtake me 92.3

 $y\bar{u}^{wi} L'a'tx \ qa^u x\hat{u}n\bar{u}'$  it broke on top 94.4

qa'tcîntūx he will come xwī't!em come back!
tīū'ūnanx they come (trans.)

 $x\hat{\imath}'ntanx$  they travel 88.20

ya'qu'y $\bar{u}$ 'nanx thou art seen  $qa^ih\bar{a}'n$  from afar 56.8  $sq\bar{a}'tem$  from there 34.3

qa'tcintūxaū nàtche will come to me xwīl'Emaū come back this way!
Līū'ūnanaū tcī'wa'nE they come out from the water
xî'ntanaū tî'mwa they travel this way together

ya'qu'yū'nanaū thou art seen here qaihā'hanaū tīū' he came from afar sqā'tmanū tsīt.'a't.'āun I shoot at him from there

## § 133: The Stem L!a'ai

The original function of this stem is that of a noun denoting PLACE, COUNTRY, GROUND, WORLD, and it occurs in this function in a great many instances. Its locative form is L!aya' or  $L!ay\bar{u}'s$  (see § 86).

 $m\bar{\imath}'k!a\ {\it L}!a'^{ai}$ a bad world 29.8  $y\bar{a}k!\hat{\imath}sk'\hat{\imath}n\bar{u}'\ {\it L}!aya'\ ^ul\ t\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}'^{wi}$  on a small place they were living 38.19

In most cases, however, it is used with a significance which, while intimately connected with its original meaning, seems to lend to it a peculiar function. Thus it is employed in the formation of verbs expressing meteorological phenomena, and serves as the (impersonal) subject of such verbs.

mî'tcîstūn L'ayū'stc he made (them) fall to the ground 94.7, 8

hî'n<sup>e</sup>k!ya L!a'ai it rained 78.1
k!u<sup>x</sup>wînai' L!a'ai ice (appeared) all over 76.11
qai'xîxyax te L!a'ai it got dark 34.4
nā'qutyax L!a'ai it got cold 76.10, 11
hū<sup>u'</sup>nyax L!a'ai it was dark (foggy) 34.8, 9
kumî'nte wī' Līl ants L!a'ai there was no low tide 34.22
qūūnɛmai' L!a'ai (when) winter begins 78.5

From the Siuslaw point of view this application of  $L!a'^{ai}$  is perfectly justifiable, because to his mind verbs expressing natural phenomena represent real actions performed by the universe as a personified subject. Consequently he renders our neutral phrases it rains, etc., by the world rains, etc., using the noun  $L!a'^{ai}$  as the general subject of the action.

As a further consequence of this general significance,  $L/a'^{ai}$  is used to denote plurality of subjects and objects, especially in cases where the verb is used in its singular form (see §§ 78, 79, 139).

 $t/\bar{a}mc\hat{i}'l\dot{m}\ddot{a}$  L/a'ai all the children 34.6, 7  $q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  L/a'ai many women 82.14

sexa<sup>u</sup>'tc qaa'xam ants L!a'ai te'q into the canoe were put many things 34.5

meteī'tete xwā'ka ants L!a'ai one-sided their heads (of) those (people) 70.5, 6

 $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i xu'nha^i L!a'^{ai}$  they bet a great deal 70.6  $h\bar{\imath}q!aha^{\bar{\imath}\imath'}n^{\hat{\imath}} L!a'^{ai}$  many dentalia shells 70.6

tsī'k!ya mī'k!a wā'nwîts L!a'ai very bad (things existed) long ago 14.7

 $st\bar{\imath}m \ L/a'^{ai} \ ma'q/\bar{\imath}s$  there they keep on dancing 29.3  $waa'a^{\bar{\imath}tsm_{\bar{B}}} \ ants \ L/a'^{ai} \ h\bar{\imath}tc$  he said to all his people 7.1  $pEk\bar{\imath}u'^{u} \ L/a'^{ai}$  they play shinny 9.4  $L/\bar{o}xa'xa^{\bar{\imath}tsm_{\bar{B}}} \ h\bar{\imath}tc \ L/a'^{ai}$  he sent all his people 30.1, 2  $k/u^{x}w\bar{\imath}'n\bar{\imath}n \ L/a'^{ai}$  he made ice all over 94.2, 3  $tc\bar{\imath}t'a't'\bar{\imath}n \ L/a'^{ai}$  he caused the wind to blow all over 94.5

This stem occurs also as a suffix. In such cases it is abbreviated into -L! (see § 77).

## § 134. Nouns and Verbs as Qualifiers

Siuslaw has no means of indicating by a grammatical device the sex of a given noun; that is to say, it does not exhibit grammatical gender. Hence, whenever it is desired to distinguish between the male and the female of a species, the nouns  $texm\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  man and  $q\bar{\imath}\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}$  woman are used as qualifying a given appellative term. The qualifying noun either precedes or follows the qualified term.

qīūtcū'nî kwī'yōs a female dog
texmū'nî kō'tan a male horse, stallion
tsî'sqan qīūtcū'nî a female deer, doe
ta'kukyax hītū'tc texmū'nya she took a male person 60.23
texmū'nîtcwax ants t!āmc k!īx they two had boys each (literally,
male their [dual] those infants each [are]) 40.19

Not infrequently verbs are used to qualify the actions implied by another verbal stem. The qualifier has then the function of a modal adverb, and its significance may best be compared to that of our adverbs ending in -Ly. The position of the qualifier is freely movable.

" sloxu'x" xwīl!a'l! so down(-wardly) he came back (literally, he slid down and came back) 12.6

xawa'' hītc ul kumî'ntc tcī'nīl xwī'L!īl (when) a person dies, (he will) not come back (by way of) return(-ing) (literally, not he comes back [and] returns) 42.11

 $m\hat{\imath}ta'tc^wax$  ants  $tq\bar{\imath}ul\bar{\imath}u'$  waa' their (dual) father, that one, shouted, saying (literally, shouted [and] said) 52.8

## § 135. Particles as Verbs

The frequent use of particles as verbs constitutes a characteristic feature of Siuslaw that is chiefly due to the fact that the majority of stems are neutral, deriving their nominal or verbal significance from the nature of the suffix that is added to them (see § 22). Consequently any particle (or adverb) may serve as a verb when occurring with the proper verbalizing suffixes, mostly the pronominal and temporal elements.

$ha^iq$ shore (§ 119)	ha''qîqyax it was (coming) ashore 56.13
<i>s</i> <sup>E</sup> <i>a'tsa</i> thus (§ 121)	$y\bar{a}'tsa\ s^{z}a'ts^{z}yax$ for a long time thus they (did) 11.3, 4
$y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$ many (§ 124)	stīmts ya'xtūx there you two will multiply 32.6
$a'l\cdot d\bar{u}$ likewise (§ 125)	al·twa'wanx also you (come) 16.4 a'l·tūtūnx hūtcūw'stc also you will (have) fun 22.8 ulaux al·twa' hītū'stc they two again were among people 98.17, 18
waʻ, waha' again (§ 126)	"l wàn waha' ha <sup>u</sup> n qa' msk <sup>u</sup> tc finally again (said to him) his younger brother 56.20, 21 wa'' tūnx m <sup>u</sup> qwa' Lemtc wa' as you will again (talk with) Crow's language 38.8, 9
lī'yax- a while (§ 126)	līyaxa'waxan a <sup>u</sup> sa'wax a little while I intend (doing it), (namely to) sleep 27.5, 6
nî'ctca (§ 131)	tcî'ntau nîcteai' ants hīte whatever does a man 70.22 kumî'ntexûn nî'eteīs not we two (excl.) will keep on (going) 56.2

## § 136. The Conditional Clause

The rendering of the conditional clause in Siuslaw is accomplished in so many different ways, that it was thought best, for the sake of §§ 135-136

conciseness, to devote a separate section to this subject. The usual procedure is to introduce a conditional clause by means of the temporal adverb ats at that time, when (see § 120), or by means of either of these three related particles: tsan,  $k\bar{u}^i$  nats, ants (see § 131).

There are, however, other ways of expressing a conditional clause that are resorted to more frequently than the process just mentioned. Of these, the use of the past tense as conveying conditionality is of an exceedingly frequent occurrence, and is due to the participial function that is assigned by the Siuslaw to that tense (see § 74). In such cases the conditional clause tends to precede the sentence expressing the co-ordinate thought, although instances of a reversed order are by no means rare. The verb of the co-ordinate clause takes usually (but not as a rule) the durative suffix (see § 69).

tcī'kɛnx ya'xyaxaūn hītc, ulɛnx L!wa'nīsūn if somewhere you see a person, you will tell of it (literally, having seen . . . ) 38.12, 13 wa'sLisyanx tāqai'na, ulɛnx tsī'k!ya qau'xûn wa'aīs if you get mad at anything, you very loud will always talk (literally, having become mad . . . ) 36.11, 12

Lī'wayanx înq!a'ītc,  ${}^{u}l^{E}nx$   $qn\bar{u}'w\bar{\imath}'w\bar{u}s$  whenever they came into a river, they would find (literally, having come . . .) 66.21, 22  ${}^{L\bar{\iota}\bar{u}na^{u'w}ya^{u}x}$ ,  ${}^{u}l$   ${}^{E}ats\bar{\imath}'tc$   $waa'y\bar{u}n$  when they two came together, then thus she said 46.7

înq!a'ītc hītc tai'yax, ul yāa'xai sînq! if in the ocean a man lives, (very) much he is hungry 44.12, 13

tsī'k!ya hīs atsī'te waa'yax very good (it would have been) if thus he had said 42.13

The conditional clause is also expressed by the use of the future tense.

sî'nixyū'ne ts!îlna'te xawa'au, au'stūxax it was desired (that) with an arrow he (should) be killed, if he should (be a) sleep(er) 24.1 tsī'k!ya hīs t!ā'mcīns tcī'ntūx very good (would it be) if our children (dual incl.) should come back 42.6, 7

hawa''tūx te tsī' L./ī, "lens tsīL!a'tētūx when finished will (be) these arrows, then we two (incl.) will shoot 50.14

 $s\bar{\imath}''t\bar{u}nx$ ,  $ulnx\ qn\bar{\imath}'x^ats\ xn\bar{\imath}'^wn\bar{\imath}s\bar{u}n$  when (if) you will grow up, then you will do it 98.10

The conditional clause may also be expressed by the verb in its present tense.

sî'nixyanx līt!aya', ulnx nàtc Lī'wīs if you want food, then you will always come to me 44.6

te'q xawa'' pī''tsîs, ut seàs tit!a'yūn hai'qyax if something dies in the ocean, he eats it (it) having come ashore 44.19, 20

wīla' L!a'ai ut ha'qmas tcī'wa xî'ntme when the water is low, alongside of the beach he travels 46.16

tcīn hītsî'stc ants qwo'txaī, atsī'tc waa'yūtsme qī'ūtc when he gets home, that Beaver, thus he says to his wife 48.17

## § 137. VOCABULARY

All Siuslaw words may be divided into two distinct classes, those of a denominating character and neutral stems. To the former belong all nouns of relationship, terms denoting parts of the body, animal names, words expressing natural objects, etc. These nouns never consist of more than three syllables. By far the greater part of the vocabulary consists of neutral stems, whose nominal or verbal function depends solely upon the sense in which they are used in a sentence and upon the functional value of the suffix with which they occur (see § 22). These stems are mostly monosyllabic, and consist of a vowel and consonant, of a consonant or consonantic cluster followed by a vowel, or (in most cases) of a consonant vowel and consonant.

 $a^{u}s$ - to sleep 24.1  $\bar{a}q$ - to take off 13.1  $a^iq$ - to leave anax- to give up 16.8  $\bar{a}q$ - to go away 52.10  $a^itc$ - to trade 36.4 *īl.*!- to break 94.4

wa- to speak 7.1  $ta^{i}$ - to sit, to live 16.2  $s\bar{i}$ '- to grow 98.10  $m_{Eq}$ !- to dance 19.2

 $x\bar{a}_{L}$ !- to do, to make 50.8 yax- to see 20.10

wînx- to be afraid 17.6

 $L/w\bar{a}n$ - to tell 17.1 qatcen- to go 8.2

As examples of bisyllabic stems, the following may be given:

qua- to enter 34.5

 $x \hat{i} n t m$ - to travel 12.10

 $tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout 52.8  $\hat{cil}$ :x- to shake 27.2

lk!a- to open (one's mouth) 28.2

 $xa\bar{u}$ - to die 16.8

 $wasL^{i}s$ - to be angry 36.11, 12  $tem\bar{u}$ - to assemble 7.3 qaqū'n- to listen sînxi- to desire 11.7

 $k/\bar{a}'la^u$  to be tired 36.21  $x\hat{\imath}l\cdot xc\bar{\imath}$  to work 48.10

 $ha'n^{E}n\bar{\imath}t!$  to believe 46.3

Onomatopoetic expressions are exceedingly rare, being confined to three animal names and one verbal stem.

 $m\hat{\imath}'tcm\hat{\imath}tc$  grouse (probably called so from its cry  $m\hat{\imath}t-m\hat{\imath}t$ )  $p\bar{u}puh\bar{u}'n\hat{\imath}k'$  owl  $q\bar{o}'q\bar{o}q$  swan (white)  $x\bar{u}n$ - to snore  $ulvar{t}var{t}u$ n now he snores 27.9

- Court was to HOW HO SHOT

A few terms appear in a reduplicated form (see § 109).

## § 138. STRUCTURE OF SENTENCES

The absence of nominal incorporation and polysynthesis as grammatical devices renders the Siuslaw sentence subject to easy analysis, and prevents the many complications that are met with in many other American languages. Each part of the sentence—such as subject, nominal object, predicate, and attribute—is expressed by means of a phonetically independent word. The successive order in which these parts of a sentence are arranged is arbitrary and exempt from any well-defined rules. The subject may be placed at the beginning or at the end of the sentence, usage favoring its occurrence at the very end, especially in cases where the sentence contains a nominal subject and object.

lk!anū'ku ul meq!ai'tx hai'qmas līya'wa Screech-Owl was continually dancing alongside of the fire 86.2, 3

 $lk!an^uwa'k^u$   $w\hat{\imath}'nxa^{\bar{u}}n$  ants  $p{\it En\hat{\imath}'s}$  Screech-Owl fears that Skunk 86.5

tsī'k!ya wî'nxa<sup>ū</sup>n ants penî's lk!an<sup>u</sup>wa'k<sup>u</sup> very much is afraid of that Skunk, Screech-Owl 86.3

pîtca'ya<sup>u</sup>x lqatūwīyū's ants qīūtcū'nî they two go over logs, these women 88.15, 16

Nominal objects may either precede or follow the subject of the sentence.

 $h\bar{\imath}na'^w\bar{\imath}n$  ants  $plna'st\ lk.'an^uwa'k^u$  she intends to take along that sick man, Screech-Owl 88.1, 2

 $waa'a^{\bar{u}}n \ sq\bar{u}m\bar{a}' \ ants \ tq!al\cdot\bar{o}'m\ddot{a}$  said Pelican to that Sea-Gull 44.17 Of a similar free position are those parts of the sentence that express adverbial ideas. They may precede or follow the verb.

 ${}^u \! l a^u \! x \; t c \bar{\imath}' w a t c \; h a k w a' a^{\bar{\imath}}$  they two into the water will be thrown 88.7, 8

 $xa'l^int\ qa'x\hat{u}ntc\ lqat\bar{u}w\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}'stc$  he climbs up on a tree 12.4  $y\bar{a}k'l^isk'l^in\bar{u}'\ _L'aya'\ _{w}^{w}l\ t\bar{\imath}y\bar{u}'^{wi}$  on a small place they live 38.19  $l^ikwa'y\bar{u}nanx\ k^u\ lt'\bar{\imath}'a^{\bar{\imath}}\ _{SEXa}\bar{u}'$  you may get salmon in the boat 48.18

Nominal and adverbial attributive complements may precede or follow the noun or verb, excepting the demonstrative pronouns ants, te (see § 115), which are usually placed immediately before the noun. Owing to the fact that all adjectives are intransitive verbs, they seldom refer to the noun, and are freely movable.

yāa'xai hītc plnaītx hai many people were sorry 15.4  $y\hat{i}xa'y\bar{u}n\ h\bar{i}tc\ y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^{i}$  he saw many people wî'nxa<sup>ū</sup>n tsī'k!ya te penî's she was very much afraid of Skunk 86.1 tsī'k!yaux xaū' sî'nixyūn very much they two wanted him to die 86.19

yuwa'yūn yāa'xai ants q!a'īt they collected lots of that pitch 88.5, 6 Lxauyaxaū'nî ants penî's that other skunk 86.18, 19 yîkt ants hītsī'i big (is) that house 25.2

hī'tc<sup>E</sup>tc nîctcîma<sup>©</sup>mu te t/ī a person's fashion (has) this Bear 60.26

The same freedom of order as is exhibited by the different parts of the sentence is found in the relative position of coordinate and subordinate sentences. Subordinate clauses are usually introduced by particles, and they may precede or follow the principal clause.

wai tcī'wa maatc ants lqai''tū, ul mîltcai' although in the water lay those logs, still (they) burned 32.22

nî'ctcîm sqaîk Lī'wat!ī, wai yā'tsa because there he came frequently, even for a long time 68.4, 5

yā'a'xai hītc, ut temuwai' sqaik, ants haiqai' ants hamī'tcī many people assemble there, when those whales come ashore 82.21, 22 te'q xawa'' pī''tsîs, ut seàs tit!a'yūn hai'qyax when something dies in the ocean, he eats it after it has come ashore 44.19, 20

# § 139. IDIOMATIC EXPRESSIONS

Here belongs in first place the manner of expressing comparison of adjectives. The comparative degree is expressed by using the objective form of the pronoun (or noun) for the compared object, which is invariably placed at the end of the sentence. In some cases the idea of comparison is brought out more forcibly by the adverb peti'tc AHEAD, FIRST, following or preceding the object.

 $s^{E} \hat{a} h \bar{i} s n \hat{a} t c$  he is better than I (am)  $na'han h\bar{\imath}'sa n\bar{\imath}'x^atc$  I am better than you (are) yîktî'l·män s<sup>e</sup>ai'na pelī'tc I am taller than he (is)  $y\hat{\imath}kt \ s^{E}\hat{\alpha} \ pEl\bar{\imath}'tc \ na'tc^{E}nl$  he is taller than we (are) § 139

The superlative is expressed in the same manner, although the augmentative or diminutive suffixes (see §§ 83, 84) or the suffix  $-\bar{u}n\hat{\imath}$  (see §§ 102) are preferably used to indicate the superlative degree.

 $l'na^{uwi}$   $s^{\underline{\nu}}\hat{a}$   $na'tc^{\underline{\nu}}nxan$  he is (the) richest of us all  $s^{\underline{\nu}}\hat{a}$   $y\bar{a}k!\hat{i}'sk'\hat{i}n$   $texm\bar{u}'n\hat{i}$  he is the smallest man na'han  $y\hat{i}kt\hat{i}'l\cdot m\ddot{a}$  I am the tallest  $s^{\underline{\nu}}\hat{a}$   $y\hat{i}kt\bar{u}'n\hat{i}$  that biggest one  $t\bar{u}$   $y\bar{a}k!a^{\bar{u}'}n\hat{i}$  that smallest one 88.12

A very important example of idiomatic phraseology is the (colloquial) use of the singular number for the plural. It will be remembered that Siuslaw has only two suffixes expressing plurality, neither of which is used consistently (see §§ 79, 80). In many cases the adverb  $y\bar{a}^{a'}xa^i$  much, many (see § 121), the numeral particle  $ha^{i'}m\bar{u}t$  all (see § 124) or the stem  $L/a'^{ai}$  place, world (see § 133), is employed for the purpose of denoting plural subjects and objects, and, while these stems are at times used in conjunction with one of the plural suffixes, they more frequently express plurality without the aid of these suffixes; that is to say, the verb is more often used in the singular form.

yāa'xai L!a'ai hīte yîxa'yūn he saw many people 70.2
yāa'xai hīte plnaītx hai many people were sorry 15.4
hai'mūt . . . līkwai' all get it 82.6
lai'qat skwahaī'tx xwākī' ants L!a'ai feathers have on their heads
those people 10.9

Very often, however, the singular number has a plural function, even without the aid of any of these particles, as may be seen from the following examples:

s̄a'tsa t̄it!a' tɛ ta'yax thus eat those who lived here 82.12

"t tqa" w̄tc taya' they lived up stream 82.12, 13

"t tɛm" wai' sqāk they assemble there 82.21, 22

c̄i'naxte ya'xaā ants yaʿkus three were the seals (literally, three his number, that seal) 62.16, 17

xā'ts!ā h̄tc t̄lqa' two people dig 84.2

sî'nixyān tq!ā'nā they wanted (to buy) hides 100.15

h̄q!ahaā'nâ ants xu'nhai hai'tsī nothing but dentalia shells these (people) bet 78.14

Another peculiar idiomatic expression is found in the manner of expressing an act performed by two subjects, both of whom are mentioned. This is usually done by adding the subjective pronoun for the third person dual  $-a^ux$  (see § 24) to one of the subjects, using the other in its absolutive form. The noun taking the pronominal suffix occurs invariably in its discriminative form (see § 111). It is not absolutely necessary that these two subjects should follow each other in immediate succession.

s<sup>E</sup>a'tsate nîetcîma<sup>e</sup>mū te sqūma' wā'nwîts lq!al·ōa'ma<sup>u</sup>x thus was long ago the custom of pelican and sea-gull (literally, thus his custom, [of] this pelican long ago, [of] sea-gull, [of] them two) 48.4, 5

qwo'txa<sup>ī</sup> tsîmîl·a'wa<sup>u</sup>x ta<sup>i</sup> beaver and muskrat lived 48.6

s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tc<sup>w</sup>ax halk! mā'q<sup>u</sup>L te uma'lī<sup>w</sup>ax thus is told the story of Crow and Thunder (literally, thus their two, story, Crow [of] this [and] this Thunder [of them two] 38.18

qīūtcî'l·mä ltekowa'ntewax tai tî'mwa an old woman and her grandchild lived together (literally, old woman, her grandchild, they two, lived together) 96.15

\*\*la\*\* x stīm qo'txast ants texmū'nî qayū'tc\*tc\*\* ax they two there commenced to cry that man and his wife (literally, they two, there, commenced to cry, that man, his wife, they two) 58.17, 18
\*Lxa\*\*yaxa\*\*/nî ants penî's tsî'k!ya\*\*x xa\*\*u' sî'n\*\*xy\*ūn ants plnast (he and) that other skunk very much they two wanted (that) that sick man (should) die 86.18, 19

An idiomatic expression of irregular occurrence is the formation of the imperative mode of a verb that is preceded by the stem  $ha\bar{u}$ - to stop. Such a phrase consists of the imperative form of the verb to stop followed by the demonstrative pronoun  $s^{\underline{v}}\dot{a}$ , and of the past tense of the verbal stem that expresses the prohibited action.

 $ha'\bar{u}m\ s^{z}anx\ q\bar{a}'txyax$  quit crying! (literally, stop, this one you [who] has been crying)

ha'ūm sēanx tsī'L!yax stop shooting! ha'ūm sēanx qā'Lxyax stop counting!

The verb expressing the prohibited action may sometimes occur without the suffix for the past tense.

 $ha'\bar{u}matc\hat{i}$   $s^{\underline{x}}a'tc\hat{i}$  waana'wa stop talking to one another!  $ha'\bar{u}m$   $s^{\underline{x}}anx$   $c^{\underline{u}}x\bar{u}'y\bar{u}n$   $t \in k\bar{o}'tan$  stop scaring these horses!

As the last instance of idiomatic phraseology may be mentioned the use of the durative as a negative imperative, a use that has been fully discussed in §§ 40, 60, and 61.

#### TEXTS

### THE DEATH OF GRIZZLY BEAR<sup>1</sup>

Wā'nwîts.<sup>2</sup> Tsī'k!ya<sup>3</sup> mī'k!a wā'nwîts<sup>2</sup> L!a'ai.<sup>4</sup> K!ēxū'5 L!ava'6 bad long ago world. Verv Each on place on Long ago. ul7 sEait8 L!a'ai.4 SEa'tsa3 hī'q!aq!yax9 wā'nwîts.2 Miya'k!a10 hiya'tc11 it had started long ago. then such world. Thus Bad lit!a'yūn.12 L. la. ai 4 Swāł<sup>13</sup> łitla'yūn<sup>12</sup> hīte wā'nwîts.2 Hitc devoured people devoured him. Grizzly long ago. many Person paai'Ln14 gatcinai',15 SEAS16 u} L!xmaī'vūs<sup>17</sup> līt!ī'vūs.18 to hunt goes, then he would kill him and would devour him.

Yāa'xai19 hīte plnaī'tx 20  $ha^{i}$ s<sup>E</sup>a<sup>i</sup>'na.<sup>21</sup> uł temū'tx <sup>22</sup> hītcū'u, 23 persons sorry their hearts for that. Then assemble (pl.) SînExyū'u 24 xāL!a'ūltx.25  $Tsîm^{26}$ xawa'au.27 A'tsa 28 wàn 29 Always Desire (pl.) be fixed his killed That's why now (disposition). shall be.

hītcū'wi.30 u} mātīyū'u 32 waai'tx 31 temū'tx22  $te^{33}$ Lin'ai 4 chiefs (of) assemble (pl.) people, say continually Then this hai "Pła'ntxan 34 xawa'ūn ?37 tsī'k!ya.3 Nî'ctcanł35 tex 36 u} "Sorry our How we doubt kill him? hearts very. For

<sup>2</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 120).

3 Modal adverb (§ 121).

4 See § 133.

5 k/ $\bar{i}x$  EACH, EVERY (§§ 124, 2);  $-\bar{u}$  local suffix of rest (§ 91).

6 L!a'ai particle (§ 133); -a locative case (§§ 86, 8).

7 Conjunction (§ 125).

8 Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

9 hīq!- TO START, TO COMMENCE (§ 108); -yax past tense (§ 74).

10 Discriminative form of  $m\bar{\imath}'k!a$  (§ 111).

11 Discriminative form of hitc Person (§ 111).

12 litt- TO EAT (§ 12); -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūn direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

13 Discriminative form of swat GRIZZLY BEAR (§ 111).

14 Transposed from palnai' (§ 14); paln- to HUNT; -ai verbalizing (§ 75).

15 qaten- TO GO, TO START; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 136).

16 Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

<sup>17</sup> L!xmai- TO KILL; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 9, 2); - $\bar{u}$ s durative (§§ 69, 8).

<sup>18</sup>  $l\bar{u}t'$ - to EAT; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 2); - $\bar{u}$ s durative (§§ 69, 8).

19 Modal adverb (§ 121).

20 pln- to BE SICK; -aitx suffix indicating that object forms au inseparable part of the subject (§ 33).

21 Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

 $^{22}$  temū- to assemble; -tx plural (§ 80).

23 hitc PERSON; -ūu plural (§ 79).

24 sînxī- to Want, to desire; -ūu plural (§§ 79, 8)

25 xāl!- TO MAKE, TO FIX; -ūltc passive (§ 39).

26 Temporal adverb (§ 120).

<sup>27</sup>  $xa\bar{u}$ - TO DIE; -aau future passive (§§ 56, 8).

28 Modal adverb (§ 121); a'tsa ut for that reason (§ 125).

29 Temporal particle (§ 126).

20 hitc Person; -ūwi plural (§ 79).

31 wax- to speak;  $-a^{\overline{\imath}}tx$  frequentative (§§ 68, 9).

32 maā'tī CHIEF (§ 98); -ūu plural (§§ 79, 8).

38 Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

34 Abbreviated; for pla'ntxanxan; pln- to be sick (§ 112); -tx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (§ 33); -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

85 nî'ctea particle (§ 131); -nl inclusive plural (§ 24).

56 Particle (§ 127).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Leo J. Frachtenberg, Lower Umpqua Texts, Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, Vol. IV, pp. 15 et seq.

<sup>37</sup> xaū- to die (§ 112); -ūn direct object of third person (§ 28).

kumî'ntc38 xa'wīł39 tsîL!ī'tc.40 A'tsan 41 ułn 42 kumî'nte 38 not he die not arrow through. Thus I so 1 L!xmaya'au."44 tsîL!ĩ'tc40 uł wan 29 sî'nixyūn 43 waa'tx 45 hītcū'u.23 want it arrow with killed he shall be." Then finally say (pl.) people, tE 33 k!înk'va'au 46 tai.48 SEa'tsa 3 tū'na'au.49 nî'etea 47 wàn29 it will be gone this Thus he will be how lives. Then now and seen invited. k!înk't'ū'wi.51 u} qa'tcintx 50 wàn 29 L!īL!wa'xam.52 Līū'ūn.53 searchers. Then now he is approached. He got there. go (pl.) "K!aha'yū'nanx 54 al'twa'wanx 55 hūteūu'ste 56 L!aya'te." 57 Kumî'nte 38 "Invited art thou, also about thou fun to place to." uł tca'xaūt59 uł a'mhatc 58 ha<sup>1</sup>, teīin. ants 60 hitc. ul sEatsī'te 61 willing his mind. goes back and goes home So that man. And L!waan.62 "Kumî'nte<sup>38</sup> a'mhate<sup>58</sup> hai." SEatsī'tc 61 L!waan 62 ants 60 relates. "Not willing his mind." Thus relates that temū'uwi 64 u} hitc. Yāa' xa 1 19 hūtcū'u 63 Lla'ai 4 ants 60 waa'xam<sup>65</sup> Much fun they (of) that assembly. Then ants 60 SEà 66 ga'teintūx. 67 "Kumî'nteînl 68 ana'xyūn.69 Ats70 "Not we that go will. give it up will. When who xa'ūtūx.71 ulnl<sup>72</sup> ana'xyūn." <sup>99</sup> Atsī'tc<sup>73</sup> L!ōnī'txa<sup>ū</sup>'nE.<sup>74</sup> theu we give it up will." he die will. Thus it is repeatedly said.

<sup>88</sup> Particle of negation (§ 131).

<sup>39</sup> xaū- TO DIE; -īl negative (§§ 53, 8).

<sup>40</sup> tsī'L!ī ARROW (§ 98); -ītc adverbial (§§ 94, 9, 12).

<sup>41</sup> a'tsa Thus (§ 121); -n 1st person singular (§ 24).

<sup>42</sup> ul Then (§ 125); -n 1st person singular (§ 24). a'tsan uln for that reason i (§ 125). Singular instead of plural (§ 139). Should have been a'tsanxan ulenxan.

43 sînxī- TO DESIRE (§ 4); -ān direct object of third person (§§ 24, 28, 8).

<sup>44</sup> L!xmai- TO KILL; -aau future passive (§§ 56, 8).

<sup>45</sup> waa- TO SAY; -tx plural (§ 80).

<sup>48</sup> k!înk'î- to go and look; -aau future passive (§§ 56, 8).

<sup>47</sup> Particle (§ 131).

<sup>48</sup> t- (?) TO LIVE, TO RESIDE; -ai verbalizing (§ 75).

<sup>49</sup> tū'n- TO INVITE; -aau future passive (§ 56).

<sup>50</sup> gaten- TO START, TO GO (§ 4); -tx plural (§ 80)

<sup>51</sup> k/ink'i- to go and look; -t'ūwi nominal (§ 99).

<sup>52</sup> Līū- TO COME, TO APPROACH (§§ 107, 112); -xam present passive (§§ 55).

<sup>53</sup> Līū- TO ARRIVE, TO COME; -ūn direct object of third person (§§ 28, 10).

<sup>64</sup> k/a'- to invite; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 3); -ū'nE passive (§§ 58, 8); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4). 55 Contracted; for al-twa'waxanx(§ 9); a'l-d\(\vec{u}\) LIKEWISE (\(\vec{s}\) 125, 135); -awax intentional (\(\vec{s}\) 70, 8); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>  $h\bar{u}tc\bar{u}'u$  FUN (§ 97);  $-\bar{u}s$  locative case (§§ 86, 9); -tc local (§ 90).

<sup>57</sup> L!a'ai GROUND (§ 133); -a locative case (§§ 86, 8); -tc local (§ 90).

<sup>58</sup> a'mha WILLING; -tc possessive 3d person singular (§ 88).

<sup>59</sup>  $tcax\bar{u}$ - to turn back; -t present (§§ 72, 2).

<sup>60</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

o s $^Ea'tsa$  thus (§ 121):  $-\bar{\imath}tc$  modal (§§ 94, 9).

<sup>62</sup> L!on- TO RELATE (§ 112).

<sup>63</sup>  $h\bar{u}tc$ - TO PLAY;  $-\bar{u}u$  nominal (§ 97).

<sup>64</sup>  $t = m\bar{u}$ - TO ASSEMBLE;  $-\bar{u}wi$  nominal (§§ 97, 9).

<sup>65</sup> waa- to speak, to say; -xam present passive (§ 55).

<sup>86</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

<sup>67</sup> qaten- то бо (§ 4); -tūx future (§ 73).

<sup>68</sup> kumî'ntc Not (§ 131); -nl inclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>69</sup> anx- to give up; -yūn exhortative with direct object of third person (§§ 41, 112).

<sup>70</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 120).

<sup>71</sup> xaū- to DIE; -tūx future (§ 73).

<sup>72</sup> ul THEN (§ 125); -nl inclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>73</sup> a'tsa THUS (§ 121): -ītc modal (§§ 94, 9).

<sup>74</sup> L!on- to tell, to say; -itx frequentative (§ 68); -au ne passive (§ 58).

L!ōxa'xam<sup>75</sup> waa'xam<sup>65</sup> ants<sup>60</sup> hīte. Sukwī'te<sup>76</sup> tsîng!t<sup>77</sup> u} wan 29 Then finally is sent is told that man. Very ants60 hīte L!oxa'xam.75 "Hī'sanx78 L!wa'nīsūn.79 Kwīnx80 nîcteī'te81 that man who is sent. "Well thou shalt tell him. Not thou what-like sanxan<sup>84</sup> Lī'ūtūx<sup>85</sup> tīū'ts.<sup>86</sup> Tsī'k!yanxan<sup>87</sup> sî'nixyūn<sup>43</sup> hūtea'a". '88 come shall here. Very we want it (had).' Atsī'tc<sup>E</sup>nx<sup>89</sup> L!wā'nīsūn.<sup>79</sup> Kwīnx<sup>80</sup> L!wā'nīsūn<sup>79</sup> mī'k!a'na.82 Thus thou shalt tell him. Not thou shalt tell him  $7\bar{a}^a x a^i t e^{90}$  ha<sup>1</sup>,  $ts\bar{i}'k!ya^3$  m $\bar{i}'k!a$ ." u<sup>1</sup> wàn <sup>29</sup> qa'te<sup>i</sup>nt <sup>91</sup> ants <sup>60</sup> Much his mind, very bad." so finally starts that Yāa'xaitc 90 Wînx tsī'k!ya.3 "L!owa'xan 92 tā'kîn 93 Līū'." u} hītc. very. He fears "Messenger I this I come." Then now wīlwa $^{i'}$ .94 "Nîctcī'teanx $^{95}$  wa $^{a'}$ yaxa $^{\bar{u}}$ ts $^{96}$  ants $^{60}$  Lī' $\bar{u}$ yax?"97—he assents. "What-like thee told he-thee that (who) came?"— "Kumî'nte<sup>38</sup> nîcteī'te<sup>81</sup> wa'a<sup>ī</sup>l. <sup>96</sup> Txūn <sup>99</sup> L!ōna'yūtne <sup>100</sup> s<sup>e</sup>atsī'te: <sup>61</sup> what-like he said. Just I am told 'k!aha'yū'nîn.' Atsī'teîn' L!ōna'yūts. 'Tsī'k!yanx' sî'nixyūn' sî'nixyūn' want it

<sup>75</sup> L!ox- TO SEND; -xam present passive (§§ 55, 4).

<sup>78</sup> Modal adverb (§§ 121, 94).

<sup>77</sup> tsînq!- TO BE POOR; -t nominal (§ 104).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> hīis GOOD; -a modal (§ 96); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> L!ōn- TO TELL (§ 112); -īs durative (§ 69); -ūn direct object of third person (§ 28).

<sup>80</sup>  $k\bar{u}i$  NOT (§ 131); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>81</sup> nî'ctca WHAT (§ 131); -ītc modal (§§ 94, 9).

<sup>82</sup> mī'k!a BAD (§ 96): -'na modal (§ 94).

<sup>83</sup> L/on- to tell (§ 112); -is durative (§ 69); -ūn direct object of third person (§ 28); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>84</sup> sinxi- to desire; -utsanxan direct object of first and second persons we-thee (§§ 29, 8, and Table, p. 473).

<sup>85</sup>  $L\bar{\imath}\bar{u}$ - TO COME;  $-t\bar{u}x$  future (§ 73).

<sup>86</sup> Local adverb (§ 119).

<sup>87</sup> tsī/k/ya very (§ 121); -nxan exclusive plural (§ 24).

<sup>88</sup> hūtc- to play, to have fun; -aau future passive (§ 56).

<sup>89</sup> atsī'tc THUS (§§ 121, 94); -nx 2nd person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>90</sup> yāa'xai MUCH (§ 121); -tc possessive 3rd person singular (§ 88).

<sup>91</sup> gaten- TO START (§ 4); -t present (§ 72).

<sup>92</sup> Contracted; for L'o'waxaxan (§ 24); L'ox- To SEND (§ 112); -ax nominal (§ 101); -n 1st person singular

<sup>93</sup> tāak THIS (§ 115); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>94</sup> wilū- to affirm, to agree, to assent; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8).

<sup>95</sup> nî'ctca WHAT (§ 131); -ītc modal (§§ 94, 9); -nx 2nd person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>96</sup> waa- To SAY; -yax past (§ 74); -auts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29).

<sup>97</sup> Lîŭ- TO COME; -yax past (§ 74).

<sup>98</sup> waa- to say; -at negative (§§ 53, 9).

<sup>99</sup> txū JUST (§ 130); -n 1st person singular (§ 24).

<sup>100</sup> L/on- TO TELL; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūtnE passive (§§ 58, 8).

<sup>101</sup> k/a'- TO INVITE; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 3); -\bar{u}'nE passive (§§ 58, 8); -n 1st person singular (§ 24).

<sup>102</sup> atsitc THUS (§§ 121, 94); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>103</sup> L/on- to tell; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūts direct object of first person and second persons (§§ 29, 8, and Table, p. 480).

<sup>104</sup> tsī/k!ya VERY (§ 121); -nx 3d person plural (§ 24).

```
Līwa'wax, 105 Seatsī'tcîn 106 L!owa'x 107 tā'kîn 93
                                                            Līū'.'"
                                                                       SEatsi'te 61
intend to come
                    Thus I
                                   messenger
                                                   this I
                                                             come.""
    (thou).
waa'. ^{108} ''TE''qîn ^{100} waxa^{\bar{u}}'nnE ^{110} he says. "Something I be given
                                               tā'kîn 98
                                                            k!aha'vū'nE?"111—
he says.
                                                this I (who)
                                                                 am invited ?"--
                                waa'aūtne. 112 Txū 113
"Kumîn'nte<sup>38</sup>
                  nîctcī'tc81
                                                                       hūtcū'u114
                                                             wàn 7
                  anything
                                    is said.
                                                   Just
                                                             now
L!a'ai.4 SEa'tsa3 tanx 115 sî'nExyūtne 116 Lī'ūtūx." 85— "SEatsī'tcEnx 117
           Thus
                                art wanted
                                                 come shall."-
                                                                   "Thus thou
                   this thou
L!wa'nīs, 118 'Sî'nxīt 119 tāgai'na. 120 Ats 70 te'g 128 waxa'y exayîm, 121 u
                          something. When something
                                                           be given to him, then
 shalt tell,
              'He wants
                        SEatsī'tcEnx 117
                                           waa'yūts."122
wàn 29
          Lī'ūtūx.'85
          he come will.'
                           Thus thee
                                             tells he-thee."
                                        a'laq 123
                              ants 60
  u}
       sEatsī'tc 61
                      waa'
                                                  hītc.
                                                           "Txū 113 vāa'xaitc 90
                                                           "Just
                             that
 Then
          thus
                      says
                                         one
                                                   man.
                                                                       much his
                    sî'nixya, 124 nî'ctcîm 125
                                                  SEAS 16
                                                             k!1xa'yūn 126
hai.
      SEatsī'tc 61
                                                                              tE 33
mind.
         Thus
                      he desires,
                                     because
                                                                 kills
                                                    he
                                                                              these
hīte. A'tsa ul 28 yāa'xaite 90 hai."
                                             Atsī'tc 73 waa'
                                                                   ants 60
                                                                             hitc.
                    much his
                                  mind."
                                               Thus
people. That's why
                                                          SAYS
"Atsī'te 73 waa'xam. 65 'Meg!ēina'au. 127 Hūva'ūltx 128 hal.
"Thus
              he is told,
                              'It will be dauced
                                                  Changed his
                                                                 mind.
                                   for him.
                                                    (will be)
planyai't!yūn<sup>129</sup> haitc.'<sup>130</sup> S<sup>e</sup>atsī'tc 61
                                                   waai', 108
                                                                           tE'q 120
                                                               'Ats<sup>70</sup>
sorry continually for
                      mind his.'
                                      Thus
                                                    he says.
                                                                'When
                                                                          something
       him
wā'xyaxaūme,131
                            Lī'ūtūx.''85
                                           SEatsī'teîn 106 waa'aūts." 132
                     u}
  bo given to him,
                    then
                            he come will.'
                                               Thus me
                                                               tells he-me."
```

<sup>105</sup> Līū- TO COME; -awax intentional (§§ 70, 8).

 $<sup>106</sup> s^E atsi'tc$  THUS (§§ 121, 94); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>107</sup> Contracted; for L!owa'xax (§ 24); L!ox- TO SEND (§ 112); -ax nominal (§ 101).

<sup>108</sup> waa- to say; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 9).

<sup>109</sup> te'q pronominal particle (§ 123); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>110</sup> wax- TO GIVE; -aumE passive (§ 38).

<sup>111</sup> k/a'- TO INVITE (§ 3); -a' verbalizing (§ 75); - $\bar{u}$ 'nE passive (§§ 58, 8).

<sup>112</sup> waa- TO SAY; -aūtnE passive (§ 58).

<sup>113</sup> Restrictive particle (§ 130).

<sup>114</sup> hutc- TO HAVE FUN; -uu plural (§ 79).

<sup>115</sup> tāak THIS (§ 115); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 16).

<sup>116</sup> sînxî- to desire; -ūtne passive (§§ 58, 8).

<sup>117</sup>  $sEats\bar{i}'tc$  THUS (§§ 121, 94); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>118</sup> L!on- TO RELATE (§ 112); -īs durative (§ 69).

<sup>119</sup> sînxī- to desire; -a: verbalizing (§§ 75, 2); -t present (§ 72).

<sup>120</sup> Pronominal particle (§ 123).

<sup>121</sup> Mis-heard for wā'xyaxaīmE; wāx- to give; -yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 136); -aīmE passive (§ 38).

<sup>122</sup> wax- TO SAY; -at verbalizing (§§ 75, 9); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p. 466, § 8).

<sup>123</sup> Numeral (§ 116).

<sup>124</sup> sînxī- to desire (§§ 112, 8).

<sup>125</sup> Particle (§ 128).

<sup>126</sup> k!ix- TO DISAPPEAR; -a; verbalizing (§ 75); -ūn direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>127</sup> maq!- To DANCE; -in verbal (§§ 81, 2); -aau passive (§ 56).

<sup>128</sup> huya- to change; -ūltx passive (§ 39).

<sup>129</sup> Contracted; for planyaiya't!yūn; pln- to be sorry (§ 112); -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8); -at/ī frequentative (§ 68); -un direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>130</sup> hai- MIND, HEART (§ 98); -tc possessive 3d person singular (§§ 88, 139).

<sup>131</sup> wax- TO GIVE; -yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 135), -aum E passive (§ 38).

<sup>132</sup> waa- to say; -aūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29 and Table, p. 480).

ga'teint 91 ants 60 hite waha'. 133 "SEatsi'teEnx 117 u} wàn 29 again. "Thus thou Then now starts that man wa'a<sup>1</sup>sūn. 134 'Waxa'yīmanx 135 qanī'nał.' Atsī'tcEnx89 L!ona'yūn.136 shalt tell him. 'Is given to thee knife.' Thus thou tell him. qa'te'ntūx,67 k!aha'yū'nanx.54 Hūteū'wi 114 'Lî'mqanx 137 Lla'ai 4 start shalt, invited art thou. Play 'Quick thou many yāa'xai.19 Sî'nixyū'nanx138 Lī'ūtūx.'85 Atsī'tcEnx89 L!ona'yun." 136 much. Wanted art thou come shall.' Thus thou tell him." "Qna'han141 Cî'nixyat!ya 139 hītc L!ōxa'au.140 waa'yūn.142 ants 60 that Thinks continually man (who) sent will be. say to him. gna'han 141 nîcteī'tc<sup>81</sup> waa'yūn,<sup>142</sup> what-like say to him, uł hī'nak!i 144 L!xū'yūn143 Know it I say to him, and right away ga'teEnt 91 ants 60 hitc. "Qna'han 141 qa'tcEntūx." 67 u} wàn 29 that he start will." Then now goes man. waa'yūn, 142 u} hī'nak!i 144 ga'teEntūx."67 say to him, and right away he start will." Atsī'te 73 cî'nixyat!ya 139 ants 60 hīte. ul xînt ul Līū'. Līū'ūn. 53
Thus thinks continually that man. So he goes and arrives. He comes to him. "Llowa'xan 93 tā'kîn 93 Līū'. Tsī'k!yanx 145 sî'n xyū'n E 146 Līwa'wax. 105 " Messenger I this I come. Very thou art wanted intend to come. Kūi vā'tsac L!a'ai147 uł smū't'Etūx 148 ants 60 L!a'ai 4 hūtcū'u, 63 then end will that Pretty soon big

am told. Mine thou relative. Thus I What manner thou a'mhaitī152 hai?" tanx 115 kū 151 Atsī'tc73 waa'a<sup>ū</sup>n. 153 "Yāa'this thou not willing (thy) mind?" Thus he says to him. xa<sup>1</sup>txan<sup>154</sup> ha'<sup>1</sup>. S<sup>E</sup>a'tsan<sup>155</sup> tE<sup>33</sup> kumî'ntc<sup>38</sup> a'mha<sup>1</sup>tī<sup>152</sup> ha<sup>1</sup>. Txūn99 (think in my) mind. Thus I this not willing (my) \_mind. Just I

tE'q. 120

Nîctcī'tcanx 95

Atsī'tcîn 102 waa'yūtne. 149 Na'm finx 150

<sup>133</sup> Temporal particle (§ 126).

<sup>134</sup> wag- to say; -a7s durative (§§ 69, 9); -\(\bar{u}\)n direct object of third person (§ 28).

<sup>135</sup> wax- to give; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -īme passive (§§ 38, 8); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>136</sup> L!on- TO RELATE; -a; verbalizing (§ 75); -ūn direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>137</sup> Li'mqa RIGHT AWAY (§§ 120, 96); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>138</sup> sînxī- to desire; -ū'ne passive (§§ 58, 8); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>139</sup> cînxī- TO THINK (§ 4); -at/ī frequentative (§§ 68, 8, 7).

<sup>140</sup> L!ox- TO SEND; -aau future passive (§ 56).

<sup>141</sup> q- discriminative (§ 21); na'han personal pronoun 1st singular (§ 113).

<sup>142</sup> waa- To SAY; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūn direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> L!xu- TO KNOW;  $-\bar{u}i$  verbalizing (§§ 76, 9);  $-\bar{u}n$  direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>144</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 120).

<sup>145</sup> tsī/k/ya VERY (§§ 121, 96); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>146</sup> sînxī- to desire (§ 4); -ū'ne passive (§§ 58, 8).

<sup>147</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 120).

<sup>148</sup> smūt'- to end, to finish;  $-t\bar{u}x$  future (§§ 73, 4).

<sup>149</sup> waa- to say; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūtnE passive (§§ 58, 8).

<sup>1:0</sup> Contracted; for  $na'm^E l \bar{\imath} n^E nx$  (§ 15); na I (§ 113); -Eml relative (§§ 87, 9);  $-\bar{\imath} n$  possessive 1st singular (§ 88); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>151</sup> Particle of negation (§ 131).

<sup>152</sup> a'mha WILLING; -aītī possessive (§§ 88, 9).

<sup>153</sup> waa- to SAY; -aun direct object of third person (§ 28).

<sup>154</sup> yāv'xai MUCH (§ 121); -tx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (§ 33), -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>155</sup>  $s^Ea'tsa$  Thus (§ 121); -n 1st person singular (§ 24).

kunà 156 xa'wa 157 sî'ni xyūtne. 116 Sea'tsan 155 uln 158 yā'xa 1tī 150 hal." perhaps to die am wanted. Thus I and I much (think mind."-Te''q<sup>E</sup>nx<sup>165</sup> waxa<sup>ū</sup>'me. <sup>110</sup> S<sup>E</sup>a'tsanx<sup>162</sup> te<sup>33</sup> k!aha'yū'ne. <sup>111</sup> Something thee it is given. Thus thou this art invited. SEatsī'relative, Thus Lî'mqa<sup>168</sup> Līū'. Qanī'nał waxa<sup>ū</sup>'manx.<sup>169</sup> S<sup>E</sup>a'-quickly come. Knife is given to thee. Thus tā'kîn 93 teîn 100 this I k!aha'yū'nE,111 sEa'tsa 3 tā'kîn 93 Līū', tsanx 162 tanx 115 art invited, this thou this I thus come, because  $m^{E}nx^{170}$   $na'm^{E}l^{171}$   $te'q.^{120}$   $S^{E}a'tsanx^{162}$ te<sup>33</sup> Līū'ūts<sup>172</sup> qnà.166 this relative. Thus thee come I-thee thou me of Sî'nixyūtsanx 173 qnà 166 hūtea'wax. 174 SEa'tsanx 162 tE 33 Llī'L!ūtūts. 175 I intend to play. Want I-thee Thus thou this approach I-thee. kumî'ntc 38 kunà 156 te q 120 SEatsī'tcīn 176 ha¹: mī'k!a'na 82 not perhaps something Thus my mind, nīxatc. 177 A'tsan 41 tE 33 nà 178 L! ōxa'xam." 75 — "Haū! 179 Tsī'k! yanx 146 Thus I this I am sent." — "Yes! Very thou L!xma'yanxîn 180 sî'n  $^{i}$ xyūts. 181 S $^{E}$ a'tsan 155 k $\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{i}$  151 a'mha $^{\bar{i}}$ t $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$  152 mī'k!a. Kill they me not want he-me. Thus I ha<sup>1</sup>." — "Kumî'ntc<sup>38</sup> kunà <sup>156</sup> s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tc. <sup>61</sup> S<sup>E</sup>a'tsan <sup>155</sup> tā'kîn <sup>93</sup> nà <sup>178</sup> perhaps "Not Thus I this I thus.

<sup>156</sup> Dubitative particle (§ 127).

<sup>157</sup> xaū- TO DIE (§ 112).

<sup>158</sup> ul THEN (§ 125); -n 1st person singular (§ 24); sEa'tsa ul THAT'S WHY.

<sup>159</sup> yāa'xa i MUCH (§ 121); -aītī possessive (§§ 88, 9).

<sup>160</sup> txū Just (§ 130); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>161</sup> yax- TO SEE (§ 112).

<sup>162</sup> sEa'tsa THUS (§ 121); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>163</sup> hīis GOOD; -a modal (§ 96),

<sup>164</sup> haū- to make, to finish; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8); -īme passive (§§ 38, 8).

<sup>165</sup> tE'q SOMETHING (§ 123); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>166</sup> lakū- to take, to fetch (§ 12); -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p. 480 and § 8).

 $<sup>^{167}</sup>$  q-discriminative (§ 21); nà personal pronoun 1st singular (§ 113).

<sup>168</sup> Modal adverb (§§ 121, 96).

<sup>169</sup> wax- TO GIVE; -aume passive (§ 38); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

<sup>170</sup> nî'ctcîm BECAUSE (§ 128); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>171</sup> nà personal pronoun 1st singular (§ 113); -Eml relative (§§ 87, 9).

<sup>172</sup> Līū- TO COME; -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p 480, § 10).

<sup>173</sup> sinxi- to desire; -ūtsanx direct object of first and second persons I-thee (§ 29, Table, p. 473, § 8).

<sup>174</sup> hūtc- TO PLAY; -awax intentional (§ 70).

<sup>175</sup> Līū- TO APPROACH (§ 107); -t present (§ 72); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29 and

 $<sup>^{176}\,</sup>s^Eats\bar{\imath}'tc$  thus (§§ 121, 94);  $-\bar{\imath}n$  possessive 1st singular (§ 88).

<sup>177</sup> Objective form of personal pronoun 2d singular (§ 113).

<sup>178</sup> Personal pronoun 1st singular (§ 113).

<sup>179</sup> Particle of affirmation (§ 131).

<sup>180</sup> L/xmi- TO KILL; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -nx 3d person plural (§ 24); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4). 181 sinxi- to desire; -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§§ 29, 8, Table, p. 480).

Llōxa'xam. 75 Seatsī'te61 nàts. 182 kūi 151 nàts. 182 nà 178 Llō'xvaxaū'ne. 183 Thus if not, not conditional I had been sent.

thou-me

qnī'xats."187

Kumî'ntc<sup>38</sup> kunà <sup>156</sup> te'q <sup>120</sup> mī'k!a'na <sup>82</sup> te <sup>33</sup> hūtcū'u <sup>63</sup> L!a'ai."4 perhaps something bad-ly this fun "Haū, 179 qa'tcintūxan 185 wàn. 29 Kumî'ntc 38 wàn 29 te'q, 120 xaū'-

now something, die start will I now. Not wàn 29 s<sup>E</sup>atsī'tc. 61 Qa'tcintūnx? 1184 Atsī'tc. 73 waa'aūn. 153 "Tsī'k!-

Go wilt thou?" Thus he tells him. thus. 

waa'un. 192 "Qa'tcintuxan 185 wan." 29 ut wan 29 qa'tcint. 91 Cî'nixhe tells him. "Go will I now." Then finally he starts. Keeps

vat!īs 193 ants 60 hīte la'kut!wî. 194 Seatsī'te 61 cî'nixvat!īs. 193 on thinking that man fetcher. Thus he thinks continually.

waa'xam. 65 "Xumca'caux 198 wàn. 29 Hīna'yūn 199 wàn 29 te 33 mī'k!a are told. "Come they two now. He brings him now this bad returns.

"Yerythou good this thou comest, friend. Hū'tctūnl 202 yāa'xa." 203

<sup>182</sup> Particle (§ 131).

<sup>183</sup> L!ōx- TO SEND; -yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 136); -aū'nE passive (§ 58).

<sup>184</sup> Contracted; for qa'tcEntāxanx (§ 24); qatcn- то go (§ 4); -tāx future (§ 73); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>186</sup> qatcn- To Go (§ 4);  $-t\bar{u}x$  future (§ 73); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>186</sup> mān- to watch; -īs durative (§ 69); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p. 480).

<sup>187</sup> q-discriminative (§ 21); nīxats personal pronoun 2d singular (§ 113).

<sup>188</sup> atsī'tc THUS (§§ 121, 94); -īn possessive 1st singular (§ 88).

<sup>189</sup>  $xa\bar{u}$ - To DIE;  $-t\bar{u}x$  future denoting conditionality (§§ 73, 136); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>190</sup>  $\hbar \bar{i}q'$ - TO START, TO COMMENCE; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 9); -t present (§ 72).

<sup>191</sup> Contracted; for a'l'tūtūxanx (§ 24); a'l'dū Likewise (§§ 125, 135); -tūx future (§ 73); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>192</sup> waa- To SAY; -ūn direct object of third person (§ 28).

<sup>193</sup> cinxī- to think (§ 4); -at/ī frequentative (§§ 68, 8); -īs durative (§§ 69, 9).

<sup>194</sup> laku- TO TAKE, TO FETCH; -t/wi nominal (§ 100).

<sup>195</sup> gaten- TO GO (§ 4); -t present (§ 72); -aux 3d person dual (§ 24).

<sup>196</sup> Līū- TO APPROACH; -ītc modal (§§ 94, 8); -wax transposed for -aux 3d dual (§§ 24, 13)

<sup>197</sup> ut THEN (§ 125); -aux 3d dual (§ 24).

<sup>198</sup> xumc- TO APPROACH, TO COME (§ 108); -aux 3d dual (§ 24).

<sup>199</sup> hīn- TO TAKE ALONG; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūn direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>200</sup> Abbreviated; for tc/haucyaxxam (§15); tc/hacu- To FEEL GLAD (§ 12); -yax past (§ 74); -xam present passive (§§ 55, 15).

<sup>201</sup> tEmū- TO ASSEMBLE (§ 107); -xam present passive (§ 55).

<sup>202</sup> Contracted from hū'tctūxanl (§ 24); hūtc- TO PLAY; -tūx future (§ 73); -nl inclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>203</sup> yāax- MUCH; -a modal (§ 96).

Atsī'te 73 waa' ants 60 hīte. Temū'tx 22 hīteū' u 23 ants 60 Lla'ai, 4 Wal 204 says that man. Assemble (pl.) people those many. yāa'xai19 ants 60 hīte, ut hai'mūt205 qa'teint91 sqaikteī'te,206 ants 60 L!a'ai,4 go now there to, manner, that crowd. those people, still all  $L\bar{a}'n\bar{s}\bar{u}tne^{207}$  ants  $^{60}$  hīte. "Tsī'k!ya³ hīs  $tanx^{115}$   $L\bar{i}\bar{u}'$ . Yā $^{a'}$ xanxan $^{208}$ Is called con-"Very good this thou comest. that man, tinually hūtcūi', 209 Hū'tctūns." 210\_" Haŭ!" 179 SEatsī'tc 61 waa' ants 60 hitc. Play will we two." — "All right!" Thus says that "Ya'quhītūnx, 211 kwīnx 80 au'sīs. 212 Yāa'xanxan 208 hūtcūi'." 209 Atsī'tc 73 "Look shalt thou, not thou shalt sleep. Much we play." waai'sū'nE 213 ants 60 hīte. Wā'nwîts<sup>2</sup> ha'wa.<sup>214</sup> Sî'nixyū'nE 146 repeatedly told that man. Long ago it is ready. It is desired ts!îlna'tc 215 xawa'au, 27 au'stūxax. 216 Atsī'tc 73 ha'ūsīme. 217 "Ts'îl'mū't, pitch with killed he shall when sleeper he Thus it is agreed. "Friend. be, will be. kwīnx 80 an'sīs. 212 Hū'tetūns." 210 Atsī'te 73 wa'aīsū'ne. 213 "Sea'tsanx 162 Play will we two." Thus he is repeatedly told. not thou sleep contanx 115 k!aha'vūtne." 218 Seatsī'te 61 waai'sūtne. 219 "Yāa'xai 19 L!a'ai 4 he is told continuart invited." Thus "Many ally. nîctcamai'nat'ū'wi 220 ants 60 tī yū'wi 221 hūtcū'wi 222 K!īx 223 tE'q 120 hūtcal' 224 (of) inhabitants games. Each those thing uł Fnx 225 yîxa'yūn. 226 SEa'tsanx 162 tanx 115 klaha'yū'nE. 111 Tsī'k!yand thou Thus thou this thou seest it. art invited. anxan 87 hī'sītī 227 hai. Kumî'nte 38 te'q 120 mī'k!a'na."82 Atsī'te 73 good is (our) heart, Not something badly." wa'aīsū'ne. 218 Teî'ntau 228 hīte Līwai', 229 ul atsī'te 73 waa'yūsne. 230 he is repeatedly told. Whatever person comes, he frequently was told (by him).

```
204 Particle (§ 128).
```

<sup>205</sup> Numeral particle (§ 124).

<sup>206</sup> sqa7k THERE (§ 119); -tc local of motion (§ 90); -7tc modal (§ 94).

<sup>207</sup> In- TO CALL BY NAME; -īsūtnE durative passive (§ 59).

<sup>208</sup> yācx- MUCH; -a modal (§ 96); -nxan exclusive plural (§ 24).

 $<sup>^{209}</sup>$   $h\bar{u}tc$ - TO PLAY, TO HAVE FUN;  $-\bar{u}i$  verbalizing (§ 75).

<sup>210</sup> Contracted; for hū'tctūxans (§ 24); hūtc- TO PLAY; -tūx future (§ 73); -ns inclusive dual (§§ 24, 4). 211 Contracted; for ya'quhttūxanx (§ 24); yaqu'- TO LOOK (§ 3); -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 2); -tūx future (§ 73); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>212</sup> aus- TO SLEEP (§ 12); -īs durative (§ 69).

<sup>213</sup> waa- TO SAY; -aīsū'nE durative passive (§§ 59, 9).

 $<sup>^{214}\,</sup>haar{u}$ - to make, to have ready (§ 112).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup>ts!aln PITCH; -a locative case (§§ 86, 12); -tc adverbial (§ 90).

<sup>216</sup> aus- TO SLEEP (§ 12); -tūx future denoting conditionality (§§ 73, 136); -ax nominal (§ 101).

 $<sup>^{217}</sup>ha\bar{u}$  YES (§ 131);  $-\bar{u}s$  durative (§§ 69, 9);  $-\bar{\iota}mE$  passive (§ 38).

 $<sup>^{218}</sup>k!a$ -TO INVITE; (§ 3); -ai verbalizing (§ 75);  $-\bar{u}tnE$  passive (§§ 58, 8).

<sup>219</sup> waa- to speak; -aisūtne durative passive (§§ 59, 9). 220 nictcama i'nat'- DIFFERENT; -ūwi plural (§ 79).

<sup>221</sup> tai- TO LIVE (§ 2); -ūwi nominal (§§ 97, 8).

<sup>222</sup> hūtc- to play, to have fun; -ūwi nominal (§ 97).

<sup>223</sup> Numeral particle (§ 124).

<sup>224</sup> hate- to have fun; -ai nominal (§ 98).

<sup>225</sup> ut THEN (§ 125); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

 $<sup>^{226}</sup>yax$ - To SEE (§ 12); -ai verbalizing (§ 75);  $-\bar{u}n$  direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).

<sup>227</sup> his GOOD; -iti possessive (§ 88).

<sup>228</sup> pronominal particle (§ 123).

<sup>229</sup> Līū- TO COME; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8).

<sup>230</sup> waa- to say; -a! verbalizing (§ 75); -usnE durative passive (§§ 59, 8).

"Tsī'k!va3 hīs tanx115 Līū', ts'îl'mū't. Wal204 vā'tsa 231 ants 60 that "Very good this thou come, friend. Although long time L!a'ai, 4 ul xnī'wnīs. 232 Ya'quhītūnx." 211 ul seatsī'tc 61 wa'aīsū'ne. 213 crowd, still does continually. Look shalt thou." Then thus he is told repeatedly. "SEa'tsanxan<sup>233</sup> k!aha'yūts.<sup>234</sup> Yāa'xa<sup>i19</sup> hūtcū'<sup>wi</sup>, 63 Wàn<sup>29</sup> hīna'a<sup>u</sup>, 225 Finally he taken fun." "Thus we invite we-thee. Much tcīk 236 ants 60 hūteū'u 63 L!a'ai. 4 Sgaīk 237 hīna'au. 235 Ma'ltcū'nE 238 where that fun great. There he taken Fire is made (in) will be. ants 60 hītsī'i,239 ants 60 hītsī'i, 239 Wai 204 vîkt 240 ս} tā'qnîs house, house. Although big that still full ya'quhait 243 ants 60 hītū'stc.241 Stīm<sup>242</sup> hīte. "Tī'kEnxan 244 that man. "Here we people with. There looks ta'nxan<sup>245</sup> hūtcū<sup>i</sup>', <sup>209</sup> ta'nxan<sup>245</sup> k!aha'yūts<sup>234</sup> qnà." 167 Hai'qmas 246 these we invite we-thee these we play, I." Alongside tī'xam 247 Līva'watc.<sup>248</sup> Q!a'īł ants<sup>60</sup> Līva'a<sup>ū</sup>.<sup>249</sup> "Yaxa" witc 250 fire at. Pitch that fire. "Multitude kind of L!a'ai4 hīte va'quhaitūn." 251 Atsī'te 73 cî'nixvat!īs 103 ants 60 hīte. look at now I." Thus keeps on thinking that many people Ma'lteu'ne 238 ants 60 hītsī'i. 239 "Kwīnx 80 au'sīs 212 ts'îl mū't. Atsī'te 73 "Not thou always sleep, friend. Fire is built (in) that house. ta'nxan<sup>245</sup> waa'yūts<sup>122</sup> qnà <sup>167</sup> Līwa'wanx." <sup>252</sup>— "Haū! <sup>179</sup> tsī'k!yan <sup>253</sup> tell we-thee I intend to come thou."— "All right! hī'sītī 227 haì. Yaqu'ya'waxan 254 hūtcūu'stc 56 Llava'." 255 Atsī'tc 73 wa'a s 256 good (my) mind. Intend to look I fun at great at." Thus says continually

man.

ants 60 hīte. Hai'qmas 246 tī'xam 247 Līya'wa. 257 Ya'quhīsū'n E. 258

he is seated

fire. He is continually watched.

Close by

that

<sup>231</sup> Temporal adverb (§ 120).

<sup>232</sup> xnīwn- TO DO; -īs durative (§ 69).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup>  $s^Ea'tsa$ - THUS (§§ 121, 96); -nxan exclusive plural (§ 24).

<sup>234</sup> k/a'- TO INVITE (§ 3); -a: verbalizing (§ 75); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p.480, § 8).

<sup>235</sup> hīn- to take along; -aau future passive (§ 56).

<sup>236</sup> Particle (§ 131).

<sup>237</sup> Local adverb (§ 119).

<sup>238</sup> maltc- TO BURN: -ū'nE passive (§ 58).

<sup>239</sup> See § 98.

<sup>240</sup> See § 104.

<sup>241</sup> hītū's locative form of hītc (§ 86); -tc adverbial (§ 90).

<sup>242</sup> Local adverb (§ 119).

<sup>243</sup> yaqu'- TO LOOK (§ 3); -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 9); -t present (§ 72).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup>  $t\bar{t}k$  HERE (§ 119); -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup>  $t\bar{a}ak$  THIS (§ 115); -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 16). 246 Local adverb (§ 119).

<sup>247</sup> tai TO SIT (§ 2); -xam present passive (§ 55).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup>  $L\bar{\imath}ya'a\bar{\imath}$  FIRE (§ 97); -a locative case (§§ 86,8); -tc local (§ 90).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup> See § 97

<sup>250</sup> yax- MANY; -aūwi nominal (§ 97); -ītc modal (§§ 94, 9).

 $<sup>^{251}</sup>yaq^{u^*}$ - To LOOK; (§3); -a; verbalizing (§75); -t present (§72); - $\bar{u}n$  direct object of third person (§28). 252 Contracted from Līwa'waxanx (§ 24); Līū- TO COME; -awax intentional (§§ 70, 8); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>253</sup> tsī/k!ya VERY (§ 121); -n 1st person singular (§ 24).

<sup>254</sup> yaqu'- TO LOOK (§ 3); -awax intentional (§§ 70, 8); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>255</sup> L!a'ai partiele (§ 133); -a locative case (§§ 86, 8).

<sup>256</sup> waa- TO SAY; -aīs durative (§§ 69, 9).

<sup>257</sup>  $L\bar{\imath}ya'a\bar{\imath}$  FIRE (§ 97); -a locative case (§§ 86, 8). 258 yaqu'- TO LOOK (§ 3); - $\bar{\imath}s\bar{\imath}'nE$  durative passive (§§ 59, 9).

```
Wusya'a'st<sup>259</sup> ants<sup>60</sup> mī'k!a hītc. L!īL!wī'sūtne<sup>260</sup> wàn.<sup>29</sup> "Kwīnx<sup>80</sup>
 Begins to feel that bad man. He is continually now. "Not thou
    sleepy
                                                   approached
Thus we
                                                                              tell we-thee
Līwa'wanx. ^{252} Kwīnx ^{80} au'sīs, ^{212} ya'quhīs ^{8}nx. ^{261} intend to come thou. Not thou sleep always, watch always thou.
                                                                                Atsī'tc<sup>73</sup>
tanx 115 k!aha'yū'nE. 111 Hī's Enxan 263 hawa I'tx 264 hal." Wusya'a ist 259
this thou art invited. Good we make our heart." Begins to feel sleepy
ants 60 mî'ck'la<sup>1</sup>.265 Ya'q<sup>u</sup>hīsu'ne.258 Ha'ūsīme 260 ants 60 ts!ain. that bad man. He is constantly watched. Is made ready for him that pitch.
approached by
"Shore-like from, friend, thou mayest get burned."

Atsī'te 73 wa'a¹sū'n E. 213 wa'a¹sū'n E. 213 he is constantly told.
"Kwīnx 80 au'sīs 212 ts'îl mū't."—"Wusya'aistîn." 272 Meq!yū'u 269
                                    friend." - "Begin to feel sleepy I." Dance (pl.)
  "Not thou always sleep
Lla'ai. 4 Ausî's. 273 "Hai'qaīteya, 270 mîlteī'xmīnx." 271 Telhau'eīsūtne. 274
 many. He sleeps. "Shore-like from, mayest get burned Gladness is constantly
                                                   thou."
S^{\rm E}a'tsa^3 - xawa'a^{\rm u}.^{27} - Wusya'a^{\rm i}st^{259} - wan.^{29} - Ats\bar{\imath}'tc^{73} - wa'a^{\bar{\imath}}s\bar{u}tnE,^{219} \\ {\rm Thus} - & {\rm killed\ he\ will\ be.\ He\ begins\ to\ sleep - finally,} - & {\rm Thus} - {\rm he\ is\ constantly\ told,} \\ \end{array}
cî'l·xīsūtne. 275 "Hai'qaīteya, 270 mîlteī'xmīnx." 271 Kūi 151 cî'l·xīl. 276
  he is shaken con- "Shore-like from, mayest get burned Not he moves not.
                                                    thou."
uł wan 29 qaa'xam 277 ants 60 L! iyaxaū'wi 278 ts!ałn. Meg!yū'u 269
               is brought in that boiled
So finally
                                                                   pitch.
                                                                                Dance (pl.)
 .!a'ai.4 '' Ha^{i\prime}qa^{i}teya^{270} ts'\hat{i}l\cdot m\bar{u}'t.'' K\bar{u}^{i\,151} kw\bar{i}'s\bar{i}l,^{279} ts\bar{i}'k!ya^3 many. "Shore-like from friend." Not wakes up not, very
ausî's. 273 Atsī'te 73 waa'. "A'nxaūtsateî. 280 Łīyaxa'waxan 281 ausa'wax." 282
             Thus be says. "Leave alone you-me. A while intend I sleep intend."
```

```
259 wusī- to feel sleepy; -a: verbalizing (§ 75); -st inchoative (§ 66).
```

<sup>260</sup> Līū- TO APPROACH (§ 107); -īsūtnE durative passive (§§ 59, 8).

<sup>261</sup> yaqu' - TO LOOK (§ 3); -īs durative (§ 63); -nx 2d person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>262</sup> a'tsa THUS (§ 121); -nxan exclusive plural (§ 24).

<sup>263</sup> hīis GOOD; -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>264</sup> haū-to Make; -aītx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (§§ 33,8). 265 See § 98.

<sup>266</sup> ha'ūs READY, DONE; -īme passive (§ 38).

 $<sup>^{267}</sup>$  s<sup>E</sup>a i'na HE, THAT ONE (§ 115); -tc adverbial (§ 90).

<sup>258</sup> Līu- TO APPROACH; -īsū'nE durative passive (§§ 59, 8).

 $<sup>269</sup> maq/\bar{\imath}$ - TO DANCE;  $-\bar{u}u$  nominal (§§ 97, 8).

<sup>270</sup> haiq shore (§ 119); -aītc modal (§ 94); -ya local (§ 93).

<sup>271</sup> maltc- To Burn (§ 12); -īxmî intransitive exhortative (§ 63); -ī future passive (§§ 56, 9); -nx 2d person singular (§ 24).

 $<sup>^{272}</sup>wusi$ - to feel sleepy; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -st inchoative (§ 66); -n 1st person singular (§ 24, 4). 273 asu- TO SLEEP (§§ 12, 108).

<sup>274</sup>tc/hacu- TO BE GLAD (§ 12); -īsūtnE durative passive (§ 59).

<sup>275</sup> cîl·x- to shake, to move; -īsūtne durative passive (§ 59).

<sup>276</sup> cîl·x- to move, to shake; -īl negative (§ 53).

<sup>277</sup> qaa- to enter; -xam present passive (§ 55).

 $<sup>278</sup>L/\bar{i}x^{\mu}$ - TO BOIL (§§ 112, 7);  $-a\bar{u}w^{i}$  nominal (§§ 97, 9).

<sup>279</sup> kwis- to WAKE UP; -il negative (§ 53).

<sup>280</sup> anx- to LET ALONE; -autsate: direct object of first and second persons YOU-Me (§ 29, Table, p. 473, and

<sup>281</sup> līyax- A WHILE (§§ 126, 135); -awax intentional (§ 70); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>282</sup> asu- TO SLEEP (§ 12); -awax intentional (§ 70).

wàn 29 cî'nixyaxam 283 sEatsī'tc: 61 "Qail 284 wan 20 au'stūx,"285 it was thought Then now thus: "Let now he sleep shall." ants 60 ts!aln L!iyaxaū'wi.278 Atsī'te 73 waa'xam, 65 "Qaīl 284 Ła'qwīs 286 Boils conthat pitch boiled. Thus it is said, tinually wàn 29 au'stūx.<sup>285</sup> Hai'qaīteya,<sup>270</sup> ts'îl'mū't." Kūi 151 cî'l·xīł. 276 he sleep shall. Shore, manner, from, friend." Not he moves not. Then wan 29 xūun. now he snores. Ha<sup>ī</sup>/mūt<sup>205</sup> L!a'ai 4 te'q 120 łokwī'xam. 287 Tcîmtca'mî 288 łokwī'xam. 287 many something is seized. Axes are seized. Kā'stitūx,289 uł txū 113 teîmtca'myate 290 xawa'au. 27 uł wan 29 Get up will he, then just ax with killed he will be. And now he snores. Lk!a'atc291 Laa' xiiun. uł wan 29 haū'tx 292 hītcī/u,23 mouth he snores. Then finally quit (pl.) people. tcīmā'nīsū'nE. 293 'L!xmīya'yūnanł. 294 SEa'sEnł 295 k!ixa'yūts,296 he is watched "Kill him will we. us kills he-us, He constantly. s<sup>E</sup>a'tsanl <sup>297</sup> L!xmīya'yūn." <sup>298</sup> Łokwī'xam <sup>287</sup> wàn <sup>29</sup> ants <sup>60</sup> L!'yaxaū'wi. <sup>278</sup> kill will him." Is seized that boiled (pitch). now "Hai'qaitcva270 mîłtcī'xmīnx."271 Kumî'ntc38 cî'l xīł.276 ts'îl'mū't,

ata's 301

only

is placed

"Shore-like, from

ants 60 L!a'al. 4 SEà 66

many.

wan 29

xwākī'tc 299

He

head on

mayest get burned thou."

that

ants 60

that

(one)

tī'xam 247 ants 60 L!iyaxaū'wi.278

Not

boiled (pitch).

mag!ī'nūtnE.302

it is danced for.

he moves not.

Tc!haucii'wi 300

Glad are (pl.)

Hūva'ūłtx 128

Is changed on

him his

those

friend,

<sup>283</sup> cînxī- to think (§ 4); -yax past (§§ 74, 8); -xam present passive (§§ 55, 15).

<sup>284</sup> Exhortative particle (§ 129).

<sup>285</sup> asu- TO SLEEP (§ 12);  $-t\bar{u}x$  future (§ 73).

<sup>286</sup>  $laq^{u}$ - TO BOIL;  $-\bar{\imath}s$  durative (§§ 69, 8).

<sup>287</sup> Instead of lakwi'xam (§§ 11, 14); laku- to take; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 2); -xam present passive (§ 55).

<sup>288</sup> See § 109.

<sup>289</sup> kast- to GET UP; -tūx future denoting conditionality (§§ 73, 136, 4).

<sup>290</sup> tcîmtca'mî AX (§ 109); -a locative case (§§ 86, 8); -tc adverbial (§ 90).

<sup>291</sup> lk!aa- to open one's mouth; -tc possessive 3d singular (§ 88).

<sup>292</sup> haū- TO QUIT; -tx plural (§ 80).

<sup>293</sup> tcīmān- to WATCH; -īsū'nE durative passive (§ 59).

<sup>254</sup> L!xmī- TO KILL; -a: verbalizing (§§ 75, 8); -yūn exhortative with direct object of third person (§§ 41, 8); -nl inclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

 $<sup>^{295}</sup> s^{E} a's$  HE (§ 115); -nt inclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>296</sup> k/ix- TO DISAPPEAR; -ai verbalizing (§ 75); -ūts direct object of first and second persons (§ 29, Table, p. 480, and § 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup>  $s^Ea'tsa$  THUS (§§ 121, 96); -nl inclusive plural (§ 24).

<sup>298</sup> L!xmī- TO KILL; -a: verbalizing (§§ 75, 8); -yūn exhortative with direct object of third person

<sup>299</sup> xwakī' locative form of xwā'ka HEAD (§ 86); -tc local (§ 90).

<sup>800</sup> tc/hacu- TO FEEL GLAD (§ 12); -ūwi plural (§ 79).

<sup>801</sup> Restrictive particle (§ 130).

<sup>802</sup> maq/ī- To DANCE; -īn verbal (§§ 81, 9); -ūtnE passive (§ 58).

sEa'tsa.3 SEa'tsa ants 60 meg!yū'u 269 L!a'ai. 4 uł wàn 29 skwaha'. 303 dance (pl.) many. Then finally he stands up. mind thus. Thus those L!ivaxaū'wi.278 uł stīm 242 skwahaū'wi304 L!a'ai4 ants 60 Łokwī'xam 287 that boiled (pitch). And there stand (pl.) Is seized tcîmtcî'mya.305 Wal 204 yāa'xai 19 hai'mūt 205 ants 60 tE'q,120 axes with (are). something, still Although much pī'ūmE.306 u kumî'nte 38 kwī'sīł. 279 "Qwa"nyūx 307 he wakes up not. noise is made Still not "Pour it it is better with it. Laaya'tc!" 309 u} qū'nī'xamīmE.310  $Tx\bar{u}^{_{113}}$ wàn 29 mî'ltcîstx311 Laa'. it is poured into (his mouth). Just begins to burn mouth into!" So now mouth. L!a'ai 4 ants 60 Stīm 242 ma'q!īs.312 Mî'ltcîst 313 Mî'ltcîstx<sup>311</sup> hītc. keep on dancing. Begins to burn many that man. Begins to burn his Stīm 242 wàn 29 yāk lī'tcyaxam 314 hai'mūt 205 hī'qūi. xwā'katc315 There finally was cut into pieces head his я11 hair. teîmtea'myate.290 Stīm 242 tqū'nīs316 ants 60 mī'k!a hītc. Xauwi'-There diffuses smoke that bad man. Killed ax with. constantly tE33 xamyax317 mī'k!a hitc. this bad person. ata's 301 hawai'.318 Kūi 151 nàts 182 Sqa<sup>1</sup>k<sup>237</sup> wàn 29 s<sup>E</sup>a'tsa<sup>3</sup> only it ends. Not if There now thus xa'waaxautne,319 uł nàts 182 mī'k la Lla'ai 4 SEa'tsa3 tsī'k!ya³ very he had been killed, then conditional bad world. ata's 301 xauwī'xamyax 317 SEatsī'tc 61 wàn 29 mī'k!a hītc. Thus was killed bad man. only finally smît'ū1',322 nîctcîma<sup>e</sup>mū.<sup>321</sup> Sqa<sup>ī</sup>k <sup>237</sup> wàn 29 wā'nwîtsaxax<sup>320</sup> old-timers (of) custom. There finally it ends.

<sup>303</sup> skwa\*- TO STAND (§§ 3, 112).

<sup>304</sup> skwa'- TO STAND (§ 3); -aūwi plural (§ 79).

<sup>305</sup> tcimtca'mî AX (§ 109); -a locative case (§§ 86, 12, 8).

 $<sup>^{306}\,</sup>p\bar{\imath}\bar{u}\text{-}$  to make noise;  $\text{-}\bar{u}\text{me}$  passive (§§ 38, 9).

 $g_{\bar{q}\bar{u}}$ 'n- to Pour (§§ 7, 112); -y $\bar{u}x$  imperative with indirect object of third person (§ 43).

<sup>208</sup> Exhortative particle (§ 129).

<sup>309</sup> Laaya' locative form of Laa' MOUTH (§ 86); -tc local (§ 90).

<sup>310</sup>  $q\ddot{u}$ 'n- TO POUR; - $\ddot{i}$  (-ai) verbalizing (§§ 75, 2); -xam present passive (§ 55); - $\ddot{i}$ mE passive (§ 38). 311 Contracted from mi'ltctstEtx (§ 15); maltc- TO BURN (§ 3); -st inchoative (§§ 66, 4); -tx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (§ 33).

 $<sup>312</sup> maq/\bar{\imath}$ - to dance;  $-\bar{\imath}$ s durative (§§ 69, 9).

<sup>313</sup> maltc- TO BURN (§ 3); -st inchoative (§§ 66, 4).

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  Contracted from  $y\bar{a}k/i'teyaxxam$  (§ 15);  $y\bar{a}k!$  - small; -itc modal (§ 94); -yax past (§ 74); -xam present passive (§§ 55, 57).

<sup>315</sup> xwā'ka HEAD; -tc possessive 3d singular (§ 88).

 $<sup>^{</sup>z_{16}}tq\bar{\imath}n\bar{\imath}'i$  smoke (§ 98); - $\bar{\imath}s$  durative (§§ 69, 9).

<sup>317</sup>  $xa\bar{u}$ - to die; -a: verbalizing (§§ 75, 2, 8, 11); -xamyax past passive (§ 57).

 $<sup>^{318}</sup>$   $ha\bar{u}\text{-}$  to end, to finish; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>519</sup> Evidently for xa'ūyaxaūtnE; xaū- to DIE; yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 136); -aūtnE passive (§ 58).

 $<sup>^{820}</sup>$   $w\bar{a}'nwits$  long ago (§ 120); -ax nominal (§§ 101, 108).

<sup>\$21</sup> See § 103.

<sup>222</sup> smūt'- to end, to finish (§ 12);  $-\bar{u}^i$  verbalizing (§ 75).

#### [Translation]

(It happened) long ago. The world was very bad long ago. Everywhere it was so, and this was the cause of it: A bad person was devouring (the people). Grizzly Bear was devouring them long ago. Whenever a man went out hunting, he would kill and devour him. Many people felt sorry because of that. So one day the people came together and tried to devise some remedy. (They all agreed that Grizzly) must be killed. For that reason they came together. Then the chiefs of that region said, "We feel very sorry, but how are we going to kill him? He can not be killed by means of arrows: hence we don't want to kill him with an arrow." Then finally someone suggested to go and see how Grizzly lived, and to invite him (to come to the meeting-place). So one man went in search of him. And (when the messenger) came to Grizzly's residence, (he said,) "You, too, are invited to come to the play-grounds." But Grizzly Bear was not willing to go: hence the messenger went back, and, upon returning, related thus: "He does not want (to come)." (In the mean while) the people who had assembled had lots of fun. (Then after a while another messenger was sent), and the man who was about to go was told thus: "We won't give up. When he is dead, then we will give up." Thus it was repeatedly asserted.

Then finally the man was ordered to go. He was a very poor man. "Speak to him carefully, don't tell him anything bad. Tell him thus: 'We want you to come here. We are going to have lots of fun.' Thus you shall tell him. Don't tell him anything bad. He is shrewd and very bad." Then that man started out, thinking (a great deal) to himself, for he was very much afraid (of Grizzly). (And when he came to Grizzly, he said,) "I come here as a messenger." (He then told him his mission and departed. Not long afterwards Grizzly's friends came to visit him and inquired about the messenger's mission). One of them said, "What did the man tell you who came (here)?"-"He said nothing (of importance). I was simply informed that I am invited (to some games). Thus he told me: 'People want you to come very much. For that purpose I came here as a messenger." (After a while another messenger was sent to Grizzly, requesting him to come at once.) Then (Grizzly) said thus (to the messenger): "Will anything be given to me, if I come?"-"Nothing was said (about that). People

are just playing, and that's why you are invited to come." (Then Grizzly said), "You tell them thus: 'He wants something. If something be given to him, then he will come.' Thus he says to you."

(The messenger went back to his people and told them what Grizzly said). And he (furthermore) said, "He is shrewd. He thinks (of not coming), because he has killed (so many) people. That's why he is shrewd." Thus the messenger said. "He was (evidently) told (by some friend) that a dance had been arranged for the purpose of changing his (mean) disposition, and that everybody dislikes him. That's why he replied, 'If something be given to him, then he will come.' That's why he told me (so)."

Then another messenger went to Grizzly. "You tell him thus: 'A knife will be given to you.' Thus tell him. 'You shall start right away, you are invited to come. Many people are playing (there), and it is desirable that you should come.' Thus you tell him." And that messenger kept on thinking, "I will speak to him. I know what to tell him, so that he will start right away." Then the messenger started. "I will speak to him, and he will start right away." Thus he was thinking as he kept on going. Finally he came to (Grizzly, and said), "A messenger I come. You are wanted very much. Pretty soon the games will come to an end, and for that reason I was told (to come here). You are my relative. Why don't you want to go?" And (Grizzly) answered him thus: "I am wise, that's why I don't want to go. It seems to me that I am simply wanted (there) to be killed. That's why I am wise."-"Not so, they want you to see (the fun). For that purpose (only) you are wanted. Their intentions toward you are good. A present will be given to you. For that reason you are invited. You are my relative, hence I (came to) fetch you. That's why I came quickly. A knife will be given to you, because you are invited. I came right away, since you are my relative. The reason why I came to you is because I want you to have some fun. That's why I came to you. I don't think that anything bad will happen to you. That's why I was sent." (And Grizzly answered,) "Yes, you are a bad man. They want to kill me, that's why I don't want (to go)."—"I don't think (it will be) thus. (Not) for that purpose I was sent. If it were as you say, I should not have been sent. Will you go now?"-"I shall go. You will have to take good care of me." And (the messenger) said thus to (Grizzly): "All right, I don't think that anything bad will happen (to you) on the part of those who play (there)."—"All right, I will go. I don't care, even if I die." Thus said (Grizzly) as he started. "I don't think (it will be) as (bad as you imagine). Are you coming?" Thus said (the messenger) to him. "I should very much like to have you, too, at these games." Finally (Grizzly) said, "I will go." So he started. And the man who came to fetch him was thinking continually. He was thinking thus.

Now they two kept on going; and when they were almost there, the two (chiefs) were told, "They two are coming. He is bringing that bad man." So everybody was glad; and when he arrived, people assembled about him. "It's very good that you came, O friend! We shall have a great deal of fun." Thus everybody said (to him). Many people assembled (around him). Although there were many of them, still they all went there (to Grizzly), shouting, "It's very good that you came. We will play a great deal. We two will play." (Then Grizzly would say,) "All right."—"You shall watch (us). You sha'n't sleep. We will play a great deal." Thus he was constantly told. (Everything) had been made ready long ago. It had been decided to kill him with pitch during his sleep. Thus it had been agreed upon. "Friend, don't sleep! we two will play." Thus people kept on telling him. "For that reason you were invited." Thus he was told. "People who live here know different kinds of games, and you will witness all kinds of fun. For that purpose you have been invited. We are well disposed (towards you). No mishap will befall you." Thus he was constantly told. Whoever came in would tell him thus. "It's very good that you came, O friend! You will see, they will play for a long time." And he would (also) be told, "That's why we invited you. There is going to be a great deal of fun."

At last he was taken to the play-grounds. A fire was started in the house, which, although very large, was nevertheless full of people. Grizzly Bear was looking there. "Here we play, those who have invited you." He was seated near the fire, which consisted of pitch. "It seems to me I see (too) many people." Thus Grizzly was thinking. And the fire in the house kept burning. "Don't sleep, O friend! (Not) for that purpose we asked you to come (here)."—"All

<sup>3045°--</sup>Bull. 40, pt 2-12---40

right! I am glad. I intend to watch the fun." Thus Grizzly was saying, seated close to the fire. He was constantly watched.

(After a while) he began to feel sleepy. Then people kept on approaching him, (saying,) "Don't sleep, look on! For that purpose we invited you. We have abandoned all our hatred." (Again) he began to feel sleepy, (and again) he was constantly watched. The pitch with which he was going to be killed was made ready; while many dancers went to him, (saying,) "Move away from the fire, you may get burned, friend!" Thus they were telling him. "Don't sleep, friend!"-"I feel sleepy." People kept on dancing, while he began to fall asleep. "Move away from the fire, you may get burned!" Everybody was glad, because he was going to be killed. At last he began to sleep. Then people kept on shaking him, saying to him thus: "Move away from the fire, you may get burned!" But he did not move. So the boiling pitch was brought in, while the people kept on dancing (and saying), "Move away from the fire, friend!" But he did not get up. He was very sleepy, and (merely) said, "Leave me alone! I intend to sleep a while." So the people thought thus: "Let him sleep." And while the pitch kept on boiling, they said, "Let him sleep. Move away from the fire, O friend!" But he did not move, and (soon) commenced to snore.

Then people took hold of all kinds of things. They seized axes, (because it had been decided that as soon as) he should wake up, they would kill him with an ax. He was snoring, keeping his mouth wide open. Then the people got ready. They watched him closely. "We will kill him, because he has killed (so many of) us." Then the boiling pitch was seized, (and one man shouted,) "Move away from the fire, friend, you may get burned!" But he did not move. Then they held the boiling pitch over his head, and everybody was glad, for the dance had been arranged with the purpose in view of getting rid of (the consequences of) his mean disposition. For that purpose so many people had been dancing. Finally (one man) stood up and took hold of the boiling pitch. And around Grizzly there were standing many armed with axes. They made noise with all kinds of implements, but he did not wake up. (Then one man said,) "Better pour it into his mouth!" So it was poured into his mouth, which began to burn (right away). And the people kept on dancing,

(as Grizzly Bear) was consumed (gradually) by the fire. His hair got burned, and then his head was cut into pieces with an ax. And while suffering death, he was constantly diffusing smoke.

Here (the story) ends. If (Grizzly Bear) had not been killed, this would have been a very bad place. Thus that man was killed. Such was the custom of people living long ago. Here at last it ends.

#### INVOCATION OF RAIN<sup>1</sup>

K!uxwînai'tx2 L!a'ai.3 Yāa'xai ū'ltī4 L!ayū's. 5 Nā'qutyax 6 Ice (has on) its (body) world. Much snow ground on. Cold became L!a'ai.3 L!a'ai,3 k!uxwînai'7 Kūi 8 nî'etea qa'tewīl 10 ants 11 Lla'ai.3 ice has universe, world. Not drink not that how Pā'l·ū 12 ata's 13 ul 14 qatcūi'txaūtne.15 Haya'mūt16 hiyàtc17 it is drunk from. people only then All Wai'19 vāa'xai hīte, uli sqaik 20 qateūi'tx.21 txaūn. 18 Teī'wa<sup>22</sup> many people, still there Although drink (pl.). tcaītcī'tc23 k!uxwînai'.7 Kū18 nî'ctcīl 24 ants 11 tīvū'wi.25 nî'etca 9 Not where manner ice appears. manner go not those inhabitants. L!a'ai 3 Qauxai'x 26 k!uxwînīyū's27 gatc<sup>E</sup>natū'u 28 ants 11 u] 14 hītc ice on many. go (pl.) those people wàn 29 texmîl a'mî 30 L!xū'yūn 31 ants 11 wā'nwîtsaxax 32 nîctcîma mū. 33 people old know it that long ago people custom.

<sup>2</sup> k/wzwin- ICE (§ 12); -aitz suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of the subject (§ 33).

- 3 Particle (§ 133).
- 4 See § 98.
- 5 L!a'al GROUND (§ 133); -ūs locative case (§§ 86, 9, 8).
- 6 naqut- TO BE COLD; -yax past (§ 74).
- 1 k!uxwīn- ICE (§ 12); -ai verbalizing (§ 75).
- 8 Particle of negation (§ 131).
- 9 Particle (§ 131).
- 10 qatcũ- to drink; -îl negative (§§ 53, 8).
- 11 Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).
- 12 See § 97.
- 13 Restrictive particle (§ 130).
- 14 Conjunction (§ 125).
- $^{15}$  qatcũ- to drink; -ũi verbalizing (§§ 75, 9); -tx plural (§ 80); -aūtne passive (§ 58).
- <sup>16</sup> Discriminative form of  $hai'm\bar{u}t$  ALL (§§ 111, 124).
- 17 Discriminative form of hitc person (§§ 111, 7).
- 18 qatcu- to drink; -ui verbalizing (§ 75); -tx plural (§ 80); -aun direct object of third person (§ 28).
- Particle (§ 128).
   Local adverb (§ 119).
- 21 qatcū- to Drink; -ūi verbalizing (§§ 75, 9); -tx plural (§ 80).
- 22 tcī WATER (§ 88); -α locative case (§§ 86, 8).
- 23 Particle (§§ 131, 94, 108).
- 24 nl'ctca manner (§§ 131, 135); -72 negative (§§ 53, 9).
- 25 tai-,  $t\bar{\imath}$  to Live (§ 2);  $-\bar{u}wi$  nominal (§§ 97, 8).
- 26 qaux HIGH, TOP (§ 119); -aīx local (§ 92).
- 27 k/uzwī'nī ICE (§§ 98, 12); -ūs locative case (§§ 86, 8).
- <sup>28</sup> qaten- to go (§ 4); -t present (§§ 72, 4); - $\bar{u}^u$  plural (§ 79).
- 29 Temporal particle (§ 126).
- 30 Discriminative form of texmi'l·mä (§ 111); texam strong; -il·mä augmentative (§ 84).
- $^{51}$  L/xu- to know;  $-\bar{u}i$  verbalizing (§§ 75, 9);  $-\bar{u}n$  direct object of third person (§§ 28, 8).
- <sup>82</sup> wā'nwits LONG AGO (§ 119); -ax nominal (§§ 101, 108).
- 83 See § 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Leo J. Frachtenberg, Lower Umpqua Texts (Columbia University Contributions to Anthropology, vol. 1v, pp. 76 et seq.)

u} 14 tqūlī'vūsne 34 ants 11 teîxnī'ne, uł 14 tqūlī'yūsne 34 a'l dū 35 ants 11 Then is shouted at is shouted at that Raccoon, and constantly constantly tsxu'nplī.36 Łānat!ī'vūsne,37 "Tcîxnī'nE, tcîxnī'ne. hî'n<sup>e</sup>k!ītsx<sup>38</sup> Coyote. He is called constantly, "Raccoon. Raccoon. to rain cause thy Lla'ai |3 Wa'ais39 tE 40 mö'luptsînī'sla!41 Hî'nek!ītsxats42 T. 19/ai 13 world! Tell to this Coyote! To rain cause ye two world! yāa'xa." 45 Nākwa'yatyanxan,43 neqūi'txanxan44 u} 14 wàn <sup>29</sup> Poor cold our (bodies have) we muchly." we Then now "Tcîxnī'nE, łānat!ī'yūsne:37 Lla'ai|3 tcîxnī'nE. hî'n<sup>€</sup>k!ītsx<sup>38</sup> he is called continually: "Raccoon, Raccoon, to rain cause thy world! Mō'luptsînī'sla,41 hî'n<sup>e</sup>k!ītsxats<sup>42</sup> L!a'ai! "3 u}14 wàn 29 hî'nek!vai 46 Coyote, to rain cause ye two world!" Then finally begins to rain your Tila'ai 3 Haya'mūt16 hivāte 17 ha'nînīt!ūn.47 SEa'tsa 48 ulaux 49 people and they universe. believe it. Thus t.wo u} 14 wan 29 hînek!ya'tx50 SEa'tsa 48 tqūlī'yūsne,34 L!a'ai. u} 14 are shouted at then finally causes to rain world. Thus then continually, its (body) ants 52 taūłū''nE.51 ants 11 înq!a'a1.54 tkwa'myax<sup>53</sup> it is shouted, when closes up that river. Sqaik 20 hawai', 55 Smît'ūi' 56 wàn 29 wàn 29 sqaik.20 Tā/kîn 57 It ends it ends. finally. there. This I

L!xū'yūn.31 know it.

<sup>34</sup>  $tq\bar{u}l$ - to shout; -ai (-7) verbalizing (§§ 75, 2);  $-\bar{u}snE$  durative passive (§§ 59, 8).

<sup>35</sup> Conjunction (§ 125).

<sup>36</sup> See § 98.

<sup>37</sup> In- TO CALL; -at!ī frequentative (§ 68); -ūsnE durative passive (§§ 59, 8).

<sup>38</sup> hînek!î- to RAIN; -ai (-i) verbalizing (§§ 75, 2, 9); -tsx imperative (§ 47).

<sup>39</sup> waa- to speak; -ais transitive imperative (§§ 62, 9).

<sup>40</sup> Demonstrative pronoun (§ 115).

<sup>41</sup> Alsea term for COYOTE.

<sup>42</sup> hînek. 7- TO RAIN; -ai (-i) verbalizing (§§ 75, 9, 2); -tsx imperative (§ 47); -ts 2d person dual (§§ 24, 4)

<sup>43</sup> nākwa'yat- to be poor; -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 4, 8).

<sup>44</sup> Contracted from nequtü ''txanxan (§ 15); naqut- TO BE COLD (§ 12); -ū; verbalizing (§ 75); -tx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable part of subject (§ 23); -nxan exclusive plural (§§ 24, 4).

<sup>45</sup> yāax- MUCH; -a modal (§ 96).

<sup>46</sup>  $\hbar \hat{\imath} n^{\varepsilon} k! \bar{\imath}$ - TO RAIN; -a i verbalizing (§§ 75, 8).

<sup>47</sup> hanînît!- to believe; -ūn direct object of third person (§ 28).

<sup>48</sup> Modal adverb (§§ 121, 96).

<sup>49</sup> ul THEN (§ 125); -aux 3d person dual (§ 24).

 $<sup>\</sup>omega$  hinek/i- to rain; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8); -tx suffix indicating that object forms an inseparable, part of subject (§ 33).

<sup>51</sup>  $tq\bar{u}l$ - TO SHOUT;  $-\bar{u}'nE$  passive (§ 58).

<sup>52</sup> Particle (§ 131).

 $<sup>^{53}</sup>$   $tk\bar{u}m$ - To shut, to close (§§ 7, 112); -yax past denoting conditionality (§§ 74, 136).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> See § 98.

 $<sup>^{55}</sup>$  haū- to END; -ai verbalizing (§§ 75, 8).

<sup>56</sup>  $sm\bar{u}t$ '- TO END (§ 12);  $-\bar{u}i$  verbalizing (§ 75).

 $t^{ij}$   $t\bar{a}^{\alpha}k$  This (§§ 115, 12); -n 1st person singular (§§ 24, 4).

#### [Translation]

(When in former days the) ground was covered with ice, much snow (lay) on the ground, and it became very cold, then the people had no way of drinking (water freely). From one well only could they drink, and all people drank from it. Although many were the people, still they all drank there. (And when) ice began to appear on the water (of the rivers), then all inhabitants could not go anywhere. They were forced to go along the surface of the ice. Then (at such times there would always be some) old man who knew that (ancient) custom of the people of long ago. (He would then tell it to his people.) And Raccoon would be invoked, and Coyote likewise would be invoked. He would be called by name, "Raccoon, Raccoon, cause thy rain (to flow)! Speak to Coyote! Cause ye two your rain (to flow)! We are in straits, we are very cold." Then (once more Raccoon) would be invoked, "Raccoon, Raccoon, cause thy rain (to flow)! (You and) Coyote cause ye your (dual) rain (to flow)!" Then at last it would rain. All people believed in (the efficacy of this formula). For that reason they two would be invoked, (until) it would commence to rain. Thus people were shouting whenever (ice) closed up the rivers.

Now there it ends. It is the finish. (Thus) I know it.



# CHUKCHEE

вч

WALDEMAR BOGORAS



# CONTENTS

Editor's preface	637
Introduction	639
§§ 1–24. Phonetics	643
§§ 1–13. Chukchee	643
§ 1. Vowe's	643
§ 2. Consonants	644
§ 3. Vocalic ablaut	646
§ 4. Initial and terminal consonants.	651
§ 5. Medial consonantic clusters	652
§ 6. Vocalic contraction	653
§ 7. Medial consonantic processes	653
§ 8. Auxiliary vowels	657
§ 9. a, w	658
§ 10. Intervocalic elision	659
§ 11. Phonetic influences between words	659
§ 12. Initial consonautic clusters	660
§ 13. Pronunciation of men and women	665
§§ 14–18. Koryak	666
§ 14. Vowels	666
§ 15. Consonants	667
§ 16. Comparison with Chukchee	667
§ 17. Vocalic ablaut	671
§ 18. Other phonetic processes	672
§§ 19–23. Kamchadal	675
§ 19. Vowels	675
§ 20. Consonants	676
§ 21. Comparison with Chukchee and Koryak	677
§ 22. Vocalic ablaut	678
§ 23. Other phonetic processes	679
§ 24. Accent	680
§§ 25–129. Morphology	681
§ 25. Morphological processes	681
§ 26. Comparison of dialects	684
§§ 27–55. Nouns	686
§ 27. General remarks	686
§§ 28–32. The absolute form	687
	687
	688
	691
	692
§ 32. Special forms	693

Page.

#### CONTENTS

	Page.
§§ 33–35. Dual and plural	694
§ 33. General remarks	694
§ 34. Plural of common nouns	694
§ 35. Plural of personal nouns	696
§ 36. Exclamatory form of nouns	696
§ 37. Subjective forms	697
§§ 38–39. Locative form	698
§ 38. Common nouns	698
§ 39. Personal nouns	701
§§ 40–43. Allative and ablative	702
§ 40. Allative of common nouns, Chukchee and Koryak	702
§ 41. Allative of personal nouns	703
§ 42. Ablative in $-g \c u \c p \c u$	704
§ 43. Post-positions in $-nk$ , $-nq$ , $-\tilde{n}q$	705
§ 44. Postpositions of plurals of personal nouns.	706
§§ 45–50. Forms in – <i>in</i>	707
§ 45. General remarks	707
§ 46. Suffix – <i>in</i>	708
§ 47. Suffix – kin.	711
§ 48. Suffix – <i>lin</i>	712
§ 49. Suffix –qin	713
§ 50. Kamchadal suffixes	714
§§ 51–55. Suffixes in − <i>I</i> ( <i>n</i> )	714
§ 51. General remarks	714
§ 52. Suffixes -lh- and -liñ-	715
§ 53. Suffixes – <i>čh</i> – and – <i>črn</i> –	716
§ 54. Suffixes -ltn (-leen, -čeen)	717
§ 55. Suffix –č <i>in</i> – (–č <i>ę</i> <sup>\$</sup> n)	718
§§ 56–60. Pronouns	719
§ 56. Personal pronoun.	719
§§ 57–58. Demonstrative and interrogative pronouns	723
§ 57. Particles and absolute forms	723
§ 58. Plural and post-positional forms	725
§ 59. Indefinite pronoun rqq.	729
§ 60. Other indefinite pronouns	731
§§ 61–82. The predicate	733
§ 61. Introductory remarks	733
§ 62. Structure of the intransitive verb	735
§ 63. Structure of the transitive verb	736
§§ 64–66. The forms of the intransitive verb.	738
§ 64. Chukchee	738
§ 65. Koryak	739
§ 66. Kamchadal	740
§§ 67-71. The forms of the transitive verb	741
§ 67. Chukchee	741
§ 68. Koryak, Kamenskoye	742
\$\$ 69–71. Kamchadal	744
§ 69. Types of transitive verb	744
§ 70. Type I	744
§ 71. Type II	746
§ 72. Phonetic changes of verbal suffixes	748

#### CONTENTS

	Page.
§§ 73-74. Predicative form of nominalized verb	758
§ 73. Forms derived from intransitive verb	758
§ 74. Forms derived from transitive verb	760
§§ 75-81. Notes on certain verbs	762
§ 75. To BE- <i>it</i>	762
\$ 76. To raw to	
§ 76. To LIVE -tra	763
§ 77. To become -nel.	764
§ 78. To take or have some one as $-l\tilde{n}$ .	765
§ 79. To make some one something -rtč	765
§ 80. To have some one for something $-rt$	765
§ 81. Notes on certain Kamchadal verbs	766
§ 82. Predicative forms of pronouns and of numerals	769
§§ 83–90. Syntactic use of tenses and modes.	770
§ 83. Declarative mode	770
§ 84. Tenses	772
§ 85. The subjunctive	773
§ 86. The imperative	
\$ 07 Derived forms	774
§ 87. Derived forms	774
§ 88. Nominalized verb (a)	776
§ 89. Nominalized verb $(b)$	778
§ 90. Negative forms	779
§§ 91–95. Syntactic use of nominal forms	779
§ 91. The absolute form	779
§ 92. Modalis	780
§ 93. Locative, Allative, and ablative.	782
§ 94. Designative	783
§ 95. Verbal nouns	784
§ 96–121. Composition	783
\$ 06 Introductory remarks	
§ 96. Introductory remarks	788
§§ 97–112. Suffixes	789
§§ 97–105. Nominal suffixes of nouns	789
§ 97. Nouns in -in and -n.	789
§ 98. Augmentative and diminutive	789
§ 99. Collectives	792
§ 100. Comitatives	793
§ 101. Locatives.	794
§ 102. Similarity	798
§ 103. Purpose	798
§ 104. Possession	800
§ 105. Miscellaneous	801
§§ 106–109. Nominal suffixes of verbs	801
§ 106. Abstract noun	801
§ 107. Passive participle	803
§ 108. Instrumentality	804
§ 109. Place	805
§§ 110–111. Verbal suffixes	805
§ 110. Adverbial suffixes	805
§ 111. Verbal suffixes of nouns	811
§ 112. Adjectives and adverbs	813
§ 113. Prefixes	815
§ 114. Inclusive affixes	819

#### CONTENTS

	Page.
§§ 115–121. Word composition	826
§ 115. Introductory remarks	826
§ 116. Attributive composition	827
§ 117. Incorporation of nouns	830
§ 118. Composition of verbal stems.	832
§ 119. Adverbial composition	832
§ 120. Multiple composition	833
§ 121. Composition, Kamchadal	833
§ 122. Consonantic shift	834
§§ 123–124. Numerals	837
§ 123. Introductory remarks	837
§ 124. Cardinal numbers and derivatives.	840
§§ 125-131. Adverbs	842
§ 125. Modal adverbs	842
§ 126. Locative adverbs	844
§ 127. Temporal adverbs	845
§ 128. Miscellaneous adverbs and conjunctions, Chukchee.	849
§ 129. Miscellaneous adverbs and conjunctions, Koryak	875
§ 130. Kamchadal conjunctions	881
§ 131. Negative adverbs.	882
§ 132. Interjections	884
§ 133. Euphemism	888
§ 134. New words	889
Texts.	891
Chukchee	891
Koryak	898

#### EDITOR'S PREFACE

The following grammatical sketch of the Chukchee group of languages is based on a manuscript by Mr. Bogoras, in which all the main facts presented here are contained. Since the principal object of the series of sketches presented in this Handbook is an elucidation of the grammatical categories found in the present condition of each language treated, I thought it best to re-arrange the material on the basis of an analytical study. I am therefore responsible for the essential form of arrangement and presentation here given. re-arrangement was, however, made in consultation with Mr. Bogoras, the final form being given to the description of the grammar in accordance with his criticisms and suggestions. The references to the Chukchee and Koryak Texts have also been added by me in order to prove the statements contained in the grammar. These also were revised, supplemented, and corrected by Mr. Bogoras. have added sample texts with explanatory notes. These have also been revised by Mr. Bogoras.

It seemed important to add the Chukchee to the sketches contained in the Handbook, because it proves conclusively that those features which are most characteristic of many American languages are found also on the Asiatic continent. It seemed essential, furthermore, to present material for determining the position of the Eskimo language in relation to all its neighbors.

The war has delayed the publication of this work beyond expectation, and the final revision had to be made by the editor.

FRANZ BOAS.

NEW YORK, December, 1921.

637



#### CHUKCHEE

By Waldemar Bogoras

#### INTRODUCTION

The material for the following study was collected by me in 1895-97, when I was a member of the Sibiryakov Expedition of the Russian Imperial Geographical Society; and in 1900-01, when I was engaged in anthropological researches for the Jesup North Pacific Expedition of the American Museum of Natural History.

The group of languages treated in this sketch includes the Chukchee, the Koryak, and the Kamchadal. Of these, the first two are closely related, while the Kamchadal shows markedly divergent forms. Its phonetics are more complicated than those of the other two languages, and it seems to have preserved some ancient traits. Its morphology, however, is obscured by the recent process of Russianization, which has had a marked influence upon the language of the people.

Since I spent several years among the Chukchee on the Kolyma and Anadyr, and attained full command of the language in a practical manner, my Chukchee material is much fuller and also more accurate than that collected in the other languages. The work on the Chukchee is also facilitated by the fact that the language has no dialects, the dialect of the maritime Chukchee of the Pacific coast being almost identical with that of the reindeer-breeders of the Kolyma river.

Besides grammatical and lexicographic data, I have collected a large number of texts. I have also collected texts from the Asiatic Eskimo, with literal translation into Chukchee, made by natives and carefully revised with their aid, as a means of avoiding inexactness in the translation of the Eskimo material.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Some of these have been published in my paper, "The Eskimo of Siberia" (Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, vol. VIII, part III). Leyden, E. J. Brill, 1913.

My work on the Koryak was done during the months from December, 1900, to March, 1901. While Mr. Waldemar Jochelson studied the ethnology of the Koryak on behalf of the Jesup Expedition, the morphological study of the language was assigned to me on account of my familiarity with the Chukchee. I left the Anadyr in November, 1900, joined Mr. Jochelson at Kamenskoye, and spent about a month with him. From there I proceeded to Kamchatka and studied the Kamchatka Koryak and the Kamchadal. On account of the necessity of devoting some time to the Eskimo of Indian Point, I could not devote more time to the study of these dialects.

The Koryak is spoken in a number of dialects, which may be classed in two groups, the western and the eastern. The western group includes the maritime villages on Penshina Bay of the Sea of Okhotsk,¹ some of which are the largest of the Koryak settlements, and the reindeer breeders on the rivers flowing into the Pacific Ocean. Here belong, for instance, the villages of Qa'yılın, Čimi'tqa, and Po'qač.² The eastern group includes all the maritime Koryak of Kamchatka and the villages of the Pacific shore, mainly around Alutor Bay. The Kerek may form a third group, which, although situated farthest to the east, is more closely related to the western branch.

I shall call the western group "Koryak I;" the eastern group "Koryak II." Since the majority of the former group are reindeer breeders who live north from the maritime villages, and, along the northern border of the country, come into contact with the Chukchee, I have elsewhere called the Koryak I the northern group; the Koryak II, the southern group.

The bulk of my Koryak material and all the texts are principally from the village Kamenskoye on Penshina bay, and also from Paren, 50 miles farther to the west. I have marked this material, respectively, "Kor. Kam." and "Kor. Par." All words and forms marked simply "Kor." are common to the various dialects. The chief difference between the dialects of Kamenskoye and Paren—both members of the eastern branch—lies in the rules governing the harmony of vowels. My material on the Koryak of Kamehatka is not extensive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See W. Jochelson, The Koryak (Ibid., vol. vI), pp. 437 et seq. Leyden, E. J. Brill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ibid., p. 440. See also map at end of volumes VI and VII of the Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition.

The Kamchadal material that I have been able to collect is not very full. The study of this dialect is at present very difficult on account of its corruption by the introduction of Russian elements.

In Krasheninnikoff's time there were three dialects of the Kamchadal-a southern, an eastern (spoken on the Kamchatka river), and a western. The first two are extinct, the language of the natives having been replaced by Russian. The eastern dialect is spoken in 13 villages on the coast of the Sea of Okhotsk. The largest of these is Kharghiusova (Kamchadal, Plo'xon), where I stayed 20 days. Another dialect is spoken in the village Sedanka, on the upper course of the Tighil river. Apart from phonetic differences, the chief features of this dialect are due to a strong Koryak influence. This, however, is also quite strong in the dialect of the Sea of Okhotsk. During the last 50 years, Koryak reindeer breeders have been living on the tundras of the eastern part of Kanıchatka. The Kamchadal visit them, and purchase from them reindeer meat and skins for clothing. These Korvak are not Christianized, and speak only their own language. Thus it happens that the Kamchadal of the eastern shore, as far south as the village Kol, speak more or less the western Koryak dialect (I); and that among the half-Russianized Kamchadal, remnants of Koryak have almost completely replaced the old, native Kamchadal. In a few folk stories, fragments of which I was able to collect, the Kamchadal names have been forgotten, and Korvak names have taken their places. Sometimes it is not easy to determine whether we are dealing with Kamchadal or with Koryak terms. In the Sedanka dialect the influence of Koryak is felt even more markedly. The people are in the habit of using whole Koryak sentences, or begin a sentence in Kamchadal and end in Koryak. The dialect that has influenced the Sedanka people is the Kamchatka Koryak II. Besides, there is a strong intrusion of Russian into both dialects. The Kamchadal has lost many of its numerals, several pronouns, and a considerable number of nouns and adjectives, all of which have been replaced by Russian terms. These have not been assimilated so as to conform with the morphology of Kamchadal, but remain unaltered. A Russianization may also be observed in the grammatical structure.

Nevertheless the Russian spoken by the Russianized natives of Kamchatka also bears evidence of the influence of the Kamchadal.

¹ Sedanka Kamchadal gʻava'telkal they perished (-lk inchoative in Koryak II, -ñivo in Koryak I) sęqt'titi he will freeze to death (se-future prefix Koryak II, ye-in Koryak I).

<sup>3045°-</sup>Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-41

The Russian suffixes for case and gender do not occur, and all nouns and adjectives are used in the nominative singular masculine. All vowels are strongly marked as long, short, or obscure.

In the following study I have confined myself to the main points of the morphology. The description is based mainly on Chukchee and on a comparison of Chukchee and the western Koryak of Kamenskoye. Kamchadal has been utilized only so far as to indicate the peculiar characteristics of this dialect.

Notes on the Koryak are indicated by a single, those on the Kamchadal by a double marginal vertical rule. Examples without reference are taken from field notes.

All references for the Chukchee indicated by page and line (for instance, 21.3) are to my Chukchee texts contained in the Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition; those marked R, followed by page and line (for instance, R 23.5) are to my collection of texts published by the Russian Imperial Academy of Sciences. All references to Koryak (marked, for instance, Kor. 27.6) are to my Koryak Texts published by the American Ethnological Society. The following previous publications on this family of languages may be mentioned:

- L. Radloff, Ueber die Sprache der Tschuktschen (Memoirs of the Imperial Academy of Science, St. Petersburg, 1861, Series VII, vol. III, No. 10).
- В. Г. Богоразъ, Образцы матеріаловъ поиэченію чукотскаго дзыкаи фольклора, собраниыхь въ Колымскомъ округѣ. Оттискъ изъ Извѣстій Императорской Академіи Наукъ Т. Х. No. 3 (Мартъ 1899).
- [Waldemar Bogoras, Sample Text for the Study of the Chukchee Language and Folk-Lore, collected in the Kolyma District. Reprint from the Memoirs of the Imperial Academy of Sciences, vol. x, no. 3 (March, 1899).]
- Матеріалы по изученію чукотскаго языка и фольклора, собранние въ Колымскомъ округь. Изданіе Императорской Академіи Наукъ. В. 1. С.-Петербургь 1900.
- [Materials for the Study of the Chukchee Language and Folk-Lore, collected in the Kolyma District, Part 1. Imperial Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, 1900.
- Chukchee Mythology (Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, vol. vIII, Part 1). Leyden, E. J. Brill, 1910.
- Koryak Texts (Publications of the American Ethnological Society, vol. v). Leyden, E. J. Brill, 1914.
- Ignacy Radlinsky, Ze zbiorow Prof. B. Dybowskiego. Słowniki Nazzeczy Ludów Kamczackich, 5 parts, Krákow, 1891-94.
- С. Крашенинниковъ Описаніе земли Камчатки. С.-Петербургъ 1819. Т. 1. 11.
  [S. Krasheninnikoff, Description of the Land Kamchatka, vols. 1 and 11. St. Petersburg, 1819.]
- В. Н. Тюшовъ, По хзападному берегу Камчатки, С. П. Б., 1906.
- [W. N. Tushoff, Along the Western Shore of Kamchatka. St. Petersburg, 1906.]

## PHONETICS (§§ 1-24)

## Chukchee (§§ 1-13)

#### § 1. Vowels

The vowels of the Chukchee language may be divided into three classes:

(1) Weak vowels: i i e ä u

(2) Strong vowels: ê a \theta o

(3) Neutral vowels: I E A ŭ

The vowels of the first and second classes are always long.

i, e, u, have their continental values.

- ä is a long obscure vowel, in rest position of all the muscles of the oral cavity, posterior nares closed, teeth and lips slightly opened.
- \*i is a glide from e to i, with long, accented i. It is always combined with a glottal stop.
- $\hat{e}$  is the open e of hell, but long.
- a has its continental value.
- o like o in nor.
- $\theta$  a u with very slight rounding of lips, with the acoustic effect of a sound between o and u.
- I, E, A, obscure, short vowels corresponding to the respective long vowels.
- й an i with rounded lips, short; somewhat like the Russian ы.

Unusual length or shortness of vowels is indicated by the macron and breve respectively  $(\bar{a}, \check{a})$ .

Diphthongs are formed by the combination of any of the vowels with following i and u:

ai like i in hide.

au like ow in how.

ei like ei in vein.

eu like eu in Italian leucojo.

oi like oi in choice.

The i and u of diphthongs belong to the neutral vowels. Combinations of the vowels with the weak vowels i and u do not form diphthongs.

The i and u of true diphthongs must be considered as voiced consonants, because, in all intervocalic positions where they are not lost, and in proper position before certain consonants, they have consonantic character; and because they often modify following consonants in the manner of the preceding consonants y and w (see §§ 5, 9).

Generally the accent of diphthongs is on the first vowel, although it is often placed on the second vowel.

qailo'qım indeed ŭpau'ma while drinking

When the diphthong is followed by a consonantic cluster, the terminal vocalic sound of the diphthong is lengthened. This gives the effect of an accent on the first vowel.

ŭpa'urkin thou drinkest

Before vowels, the u of the diphthong becomes w.

nıpa'w-ê-ŭm I am drinking (stem ŭpau)

Note.—In many cases i neutral, which does not form diphthongs, originates from contraction of yi (see § 10).

Doubled vowels are also of frequent occurrence, particularly

ii in ti'rkiir (male pronunciation 1) sun
ee in e'ek lamp
uu in intu'ulpir son-in-law
êê in êê'thăpă from the skin intended for clothing
aa in pa'arkin thou ceasest
oo in ro'olqal food
ii in taiñi'irgin sinful action

After the loss of  $\ell$ , y, or g, between two vowels (see § 10), clusters of three repetitions of the same vowel may appear.

 $m_{I}ya'aa^{\epsilon}k < m_{I}ya'aga^{\epsilon}k$  I will use

A comparison with Koryak suggests that whenever two vowels appear in contact, an elision of a consonant has occurred. Examples of this are given in § 16, no. 18, p. 670. It would seem that in all these cases the Chukchee has the tendency to assimilate the vowels (see § 13, p. 665).

§ 2. Consonants

	St	ор	Affric	ative	Nas	al			Latera	1	
						<i>a</i> ,	Contin- ued.	Affr	icative	Contin-	Trill
	Surd	Sonant	Surd	Sonant	Sonant	Sura		Surd	Sonant	ued	
Labial	p	_	-		m	_	v	_	_	_	-
Alveolar .	t	- [d]	(š), č	3	n	n	-	L	Ļ	l	r, ř
Palatalized alveolar	t·	-[d·]	č•	j.	n·	_	8.	_	_	_	_
Palatal	k, wkw 1	_	_	-	ñ	ñ	_	_	-	_	
Velar	q	g g(u,o)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Glottal	-		- 0	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_

h, w, y

<sup>1</sup> See § 13.

<sup>2</sup> Written kw before and after u.

p, m, as in English.

v bilabial.

t as in English.

& like z in German Zeit, used only in female pronunciation.

č like English ch in choice.

j like English j in joy.

n as in English.

z stop produced by the tip of the tongue touching the upper alveoli, back of the tongue pressed against the hard palate, and sudden lateral release with slightly continued stricture.

L like L, but sonant.

l as in German.

r as in French (hard trill, roue).

ř dental r with weak trill.

 $t^{\cdot}, d^{\cdot}, s^{\cdot}, \check{j}^{\cdot}, n^{\cdot}$ , the corresponding consonants strongly palatalized, similar to ty, dy, sy,  $\check{j}y$ , ny.

¿ strongly palatalized, intermediate between t and ¿, but weaker than either.

k as in English.

wkw labialized k.

 $\tilde{n}$  like n in singing. Voiceless  $\tilde{n}$  is always terminal, and appears after terminal  $i, \epsilon$ .

q, g velars corresponding to k and g; g in this combination g(u, o) is often labialized.

h, w, y, consonantic, as in English. Initial w is sometimes pronounced nasally, as in wo'tgan this one. In my Russian publications I have indicated this nasalization; but it has not been indicated here, since it is not morphologically significant, and seems to be a characteristic feature of the sound, which appears, however, of varying strength.

d and d, which are bracketed in the table of consonants, appear only as the development of a strong palatalization of n, as in

 $ta'nd \cdot an < ta'n-yan$  a good one

In only one case is initial d found,—di'ndin fire (from stem yin; compare  $gayi'nla^{\epsilon}n$  the one that has fire). The reduplicated form yinyin changes to  $yind\cdot in$ , from which develops—by assimilation,  $d\cdot ind\cdot in;$  and by intensification of the obscure vowel, di'ndin.

Note.—Examples of the importance of the glottal stops are—

i<sup>e</sup>rrrkin he comes across
re<sup>e</sup>tirkin he rejoices
yo<sup>e</sup>rkin thou overtakest
ye<sup>e</sup>tirkin the sky becomes
overcast
če<sup>e</sup>če<sup>e</sup> cold

e<sup>e</sup>če' pŭrkin it shows itself

i'rırkın he hits
re'tırkın he brings
yo'rkın thou puttest in
ye'tırkın thou comest

če'če lengthwise eče'pŭrkm it grows damp The consonants l and  $\check{c}$  are intimately related, and frequently replace each other, sometimes with a slight change of meaning (see § 122).

vêtča'rkın and vêla'rkın (from vêt-la'rkın, see § 7, no. 17, p. 654), he stands

vilu<sup>e</sup>'ttım and viču<sup>e</sup>'ttım (from stem vilu- ear) ear-bone čei'wurkın he walks; lei'wurkın he wanders about lä<sup>e</sup>'leñ winter; čä<sup>e</sup>'čeñ cold

Initial  $t_I$  is sometimes replaced by  $\tilde{c}_I$ .

tili-tto'oča >čičičo'oča in front of the entrance

Note.—In words borrowed from the Russian, the following substitutions occur:

For Russian  $\delta$  (b), Chukchee v is substituted.

For Russian  $\Phi(f)$ , Chukchee p or g is substituted.

For Russian x, Chukchee k or q is substituted.

For Russian c, m (s, sh), Chukchee č is substituted.

For Russian c(s), Chukchee t is substituted.

#### Examples:

Russian
ба (storehouse)
онька (Athanasius)
цька (Teddy)
аръ (sugar)
ь (salt)
дне (middle)

# § 3. Vocalic Ablant

The vowels have been classified in three groups,—weak, strong, and neutral. The weak ones are indicated by A, the strong ones by A word, simple or compound, must contain only strong vowels and neutrals, or only weak vowels and neutrals, or only vowels of one of the three classes. When, in composition, weak vowels and strong vowels come together in the same word, the former are changed by the ablaut into strong vowels.

e and i into ê e and i into a u into o or o

The sound a differs in origin, therefore, from a, the latter being the ablaut of a or a. This process is not confined to preceding or following vowels, but pervades the whole word. Elements containing only weak vowels are combined without ablaut. The same is true of elements containing either neutral vowels alone or neutral and weak

vowels. A polysyllabic stem which contains a single strong vowe must have all its vowels strong.

Examples of such compositions are—

Weak vowels or weak vowel and neutral vowel:

pi'ri-rkm he takes

teñ-teki'chm good meat

mei'ñi-ti'mkitim great

hummock 145.1

iul-u'ttuut long wood

äqäini-tvi'rkin the weather grows warm piñe'pi snowstorm nu'nun blade of knife

Ablaut of weak vowel and strong vowel:

pệrệ'yo (from pịrị-yo) taken
pệña';pŭ (from pịnẹ-ṣpŭ) from a snowstorm
nọnơ'špụ (from nự'nự-ṣpŭ) from the blade of knife
aqainệ'nma (from äqäinit-ma) while the weather is growing
warm
awkwa'tiñok (from ewkwet-ño) at the beginning of leaving
eu'lu-wa'lat (from iul-vala) long knives 15.2

tañ-maiñi-mệ'mil good, big seal gilệ'-aqa'ñ-qor greedy¹ right-hand driving-reindeer

There are a number of words with neutral, probably auxiliary vowels (see § 8), which produce the ablaut, as tim to kill; and quite a number of suffixes of the same phonetic character that have the same effect. In these cases it is therefore conceivable either that a strong vowel has been lost or that the phonetic effect is primarily due to other reasons. I give here a list of strong stems of this character: <sup>2</sup>

Im rising of water
In glue
Iprin first dawn
Iprin first d

wurg dwarf birch
wukw stone 68.36
pitv doubled
piħl (-mħil) news 78.4
pilil ripples, to bubble 41.1
pilvint iron, metal
pilm darkness produced by a
storm
pilhirr flat, flattened 84.25
pặg float of sealskin
pägl large, round, wooden
bowl
ming hand
mil nimble

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Greedy for urine given in a small vessel. See W. Bogoras, The Chukchee (The Jesup North Pacific Expedition, VII, 85).

Forms preceded by a hyphen are those in which stems appear when in medial position (see § 12)

 $mili\bar{n}$  five 107.23 ril (-1) to enter the sleepingtim (-nm) to kill 23.5 room timg (-mg) to choke rilh finger 22.7, 47.2 timli to get near to 44.1 rir to hunt down; (rir[-rri] to tinp to stab UNTIE has a weak stem) til door rirrit sinew-thread tirk testicle rig hair tuw (-tvu) word, promise 49.6 riggit to be too narrow, to *-tkim* to crouch have no room. čimy bitter ruv (-nv) to scrape; (ruv čuw bruise [-nv] to push off is a weak *lip* neck (bone) stem) lim something kept in re--rkipl (kipl) to strike 45.12 serve, spare material kit hard ligăp deadfall (trap) kitči to hatch (eggs)  $lu^{\epsilon}w$  to vanquish kilt middle part of sternum  $-lpin\check{r}$  ( $pin\check{r}$ ) to give kirg dry -lpil (pil) to drink kirgil fibrous rithil bridge of nose. git thin, sparse rinn tusk, antler R 3.31 git lake gilh skin rinnim gums qiml (kiml 33.12) marrow ring shy rin'n to whittle (moving knife  $\tilde{n}_{In}$  stanchion of sledge toward body)  $\tilde{n}_{I}l$  smoke R 32.38 nirkil shame.

Prefixes of the same character are—

ım- all

kit- (-gti-) much, strongly

There are also a number of suffixes with neutral vowel, that are strong:

```
-gti, -gti, -wti, allative (§ 41)
-tpŭ, -gpŭ, -gupŭ, ablative (§ 42)
-th(m), -tm(m), substantival suffix (§ 52)
-ch(m), -cm(m), substantival suffix (§ 53)
-tm, -tm, augmentative (§ 98, 1)
-girg(m) verbal noun (§ 106, 44)
-tkm surface (§ 101, 19)
-s·q, -s·qän over, top of (§ 101, 20)
-nv, -n, place of (§ 109, No. 50)
```

Still other suffixes are strong because they have strong vowels:

```
-(1)na allative of personal nouns (§ 41)

-nao ablative, adverbial (§ 43)

-go augmentative, (§ 98, 3)

-lantin -lantin space of (see § 101, 2)
```

-lqanın, -lqanın, space of, (see § 101, 20.)

```
-liño diminutive (§ 98, 7)

ga—ma comitative (§ 100, 15)

-mači comitative (§ 100, 17)

-qač, -qal, by the side of (§ 101, 26)

-yanv, -yan, provided with (§ 104, 38)

-yočh, -oočh, receptacle (§ 105, 40)

-yo passive participle (§ 107, 47)

ñño, -ño. inchoative (§ 110, 63)

-čhat, verbal suffix expressing contempt (§ 110, 66)
```

In the following sketch the symbols and have been used wherever clearness seemed to require the exact statement of the character of the vowels. Wherever the character of the vowel is irrelevant or the changes due to harmony of vowels are obvious, the symbols have been omitted.

In a few words, i is apparently a neutral vowel; as in

```
y \not a' t i r g i n (stem y \not e' t) the act of coming k \not a \tilde{n} k \not a' \dot{e} i r g i n (stem k e n k e l-) the act of descending
```

In these cases the i has originated through palatalization of the preceding consonant and the elision of g, which, after t and h has hanged to h (see §§ 7 and 10).

```
ya'tirgin<ya't·-hirgin<ya't-qirgin
kanka'čirgin<kanka'č·-hirgin<kanka'č-girgin
```

In pronunciation,  $\underline{e}$ , and  $\underline{\hat{e}}$ ,  $\hat{e}$ , differ very little. The pronunciation of the last two is, of course, identical. The manner in which the ablaut occurs with  $\underline{e}$ , while it is absent in  $\hat{e}$ , demonstrates, however, their etymological difference.

```
elere'rkm he feels dull alara'ma while feeling dull (stem elere)

mêrêmêr tear

mêrê'gtz to a tear (stem mêrê)

piñe' pil snowstorm

pêna-zpŭ from a snowstorm (stem piñe)

kêto'rkm (stem kêto) he remembers
```

In most cases  $\ddot{a}$  precedes or follows q, or is followed by the glottal stop  $^{\epsilon}$ , which has probably originated through a loss of qe With few exceptions,  $\ddot{a}$  is a weak vowel.

```
    \[
    \alpha^{\epsilon}q\alpha' - m\bar{\chi}'mil\] bad water, brandy
    \[
    \alpha^{\epsilon'}q\alpha lp\epsilon\] quick! hurry!
    \[
    q\alphai\bar{\chi}'\famma\] fawn
    \[
    \alpha^{\epsilon}lq\epsilon'\famma\] nail
    \[
    \alpha^{\epsilon}m\chi'lin\] workingman
```

This  $\ddot{a}$  is probably developed from  $\underline{e}$  under the influence of the following glottal stop.

In several suffixes  $\ddot{a}$  appears without connection with q or  $\epsilon$ .

vilu'tä by means of an ear vala'ta by means of a knife

In a few cases  $\ddot{a}$  belongs to the group of strong vowels, and is probably derived from  $\hat{g}$  under the influence of the glottal stop.

ä<sup>ε</sup>lg' day

ä ttw i'-ñan interjection, WHAT DO YOU CALL IT!

In several compounds  $\ddot{a}$  appears as connecting vowel instead of r. This happens also generally before or after q. The sound of  $\ddot{a}$  in these cases is short, and it belongs to the neutral vowels.

In the words  $a^{\epsilon'}ttin$  dog,  $a^{\epsilon'}ttim$  bone, the  $a^{\epsilon}$  replaces the weak  $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$ , and is therefore also weak.

u of diphthongs is generally a nequivalent of w, vocalized when preceding a consonant. Therefore it is neutral, even if the accent is on the first part of the diphthong, which increases the vocalic character of the u.

tạikạulệ' pử from the wrestler ử pử urkin he drinks

In other cases u is by origin vocalic, and therefore changes to o or  $\theta$ .

i'uerkm it thaws

êo<sup>€</sup>ma while thawing

but consonantie

i'urkın he speaks ệu'ma while speaking

This  $i'u^{\epsilon}$  may be explained as originating from  $i'yu^{\epsilon}$ , where, according to the rule, the intervocalic y dropped out, strengthening at the same time i to i.

Consonantic w, especially when initial, requires a u preceding it. This u, which is simply a strong glottal intonation, is neutral, and drops out after prefixes.

uwi'rkın he cooks čuwi'rkın he cuts it off

luwa'urkin he can not

iwệ'ma while cooking
 cuwệ'ma while cutting
 ge'cvilin he cut it
 galvaulện being unable to do something

Russian loan-words also conform to the rules of vocalic harmony.

vi'lken fork (Kor. Kam. vi'lka); stem vi'lke; Russian вилка

ču'men bag (Kor. Kam. ču'ma); stem čume; Russian cyma

koma'k paper; stem komak; Russian бумага

mu'lemul soap (Kor. Kam. mu'la); stem mule; local Russian муло, instead of мыло

yeku'tılın Yakut; stem yekut; Russian Якутъ

pręką'čik commercial agent (Kor. preka'ssek); Russian прикащикъ (Kor. Kam. čai'n'ek) teakettle;¹ Russian чайникъ

Compositions conform to the rules of harmony, with very few exceptions. The particles ELO'n and  $\bar{n}an$  enter into close combination with other particles without affecting their vowels:  $emilo'n < emi_i ELO'n$  where is he?  $eu\bar{n}a'n < eun \bar{n}an$  so then R41.96. The former compound may even form an augmentative  $emi_i conginin$ ? where is he then? 43.6.

In piče g-tuwa rkin thou takest off the boots, the second part alone has the ablaut.

In ¿¿q-a'mniñen in different directions, both parts have the ablaut, while the weak forms ¿¡q and emninin would be expected.

The separate words of the sentence are not affected by these rules.

## § 4. Initial and Terminal Consonants

All sounds occur in initial position, except the consonants  $\iota$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\jmath}$ , which are not found in uncompounded stems, but seem to be due throughout to assimilation (see § 7).

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{L} < t + l & & & & \\ \textbf{L} < t + l & & & \\ \textbf{T} < d + l & & & \\ \end{array}$$

All sounds occur as terminals except

$$\boldsymbol{L}, \ \boldsymbol{L}, \ t^{\cdot}, \ [d], \ [d^{\cdot}], \ \boldsymbol{\check{c}}^{\cdot}, \ \boldsymbol{\check{\jmath}}, \ \boldsymbol{\check{\jmath}}^{\cdot}, \ wkw, \ \boldsymbol{\check{r}}$$
 $v, \ h$ 

I presume the absence of the former group is due to the fact that they are by origin double consonants (see § 5).

Voiceless n and  $\tilde{n}$  appear only as terminal sounds after l and E.

No clusters of more than two consonants occur. Terminal consonantic clusters are not admissible, and are broken either by the introduction of an obscure vowel or by being placed in medial position by the addition of a terminal obscure vowel. It is important to note that the glottal stop does not count as a consonant in these clusters. It always follows a long vowel.

Terminal  $\tilde{n}$ , particularly after i, becomes voiceless, and hence very weak.

 $ke\tilde{n}u'ne\tilde{n}$  staff ends in voiceless  $\tilde{n}$ , but in the plural  $ke\tilde{n}u'ne\tilde{n}it$  the  $\tilde{n}$  is voiced

This may account for the slight nasal character of unaccented terminal r.

## § 5. Medial Consonantic Clusters

The following consonants never appear in clusters:

$$L, L, t^{*,1}[d], [d^{*}],^{2} \&^{*}, \check{\jmath}, \check{\jmath}^{*}, wkw$$

This proves again that all of these must be considered as double consonants (see § 4).

Besides this, the following do not occur as the first member of a medial cluster:

$$k,^{3}q,^{4}\check{r}, h.$$

The medial cluster tr occurs in some derivations of the loan-word  $tr\hat{c}'n$  non (Russian cpeake).

gatrê'n nonta'len they went to Sredne Kolymsk

The following do not occur as second member of a cluster:

The consonantic medial clusters that have been found are contained in the following table, in which dashes indicate inadmissible clusters.

	р	t	k	q		č	m	n	ñ	v	l	r, ř	y	w	h
	pp	pt	pk	pq	pg	рč		_	_		pl	pr	py		
t		tt	tk	tq		tč	-	_	_	tv	-	(tr) -	ty	tw	th
g		gŧ		gq	99	gč	_	gn	_	_	gl	gr	gу	_	
		εt	€k	$\epsilon_q$	€g		εm	$\epsilon_n$	$\epsilon_{\tilde{n}}$		٤٦	e <sub>T</sub>			
č	_		-	_		čč	_	_		čv	<b>—</b>				čh
8.	8.D		s·k	$s \cdot q$				s.u							
m	mp	mt	mk	mq	mg	тč	mm	mn	$m \tilde{n}$		ml	$m\tau$	my	mw	
n	np	nt	nk	nq		nč	nm	nn	_	nv	nl	(nr)nř	ny		
n·	n·p		$n \cdot k$	$n \cdot q$	n·g		n· $m$		n·ñ	$n \cdot v$					
ħ	-	_	ñk	$\tilde{n}q$	ñg	_		<b>-</b>	กิกิ	-	-		_	-	
	lp	lt	lk	lq	_		lm			lv	_		ly	lw	lh
r		_	rk		rg	-				rv	-	rr	_	rw	
v	yp	_			yg		ym	_	$y\tilde{n}$		_				
w		wt	(wk)	wq	wg		wm	wn		-		wr	-	ww	- 1

<sup>1</sup> Except t.h.

Except kk, and in one case kr, which is probably an affricative q.

<sup>3</sup> Except nd.

<sup>4</sup> Except qq.

## § 6. Vocalic Contraction

When sounds that form inadmissible combinations come into contact through composition, phonetic changes occur.

(1) Of two weak or strong vowels in contact, the first one is elided.

 $qa \mathbf{L} - aa' \check{c}\hat{e}k < qa \mathbf{L}\hat{e}' - aa' \check{c}\hat{e}k$  lazy boy  $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}q - u'ttuut < \ddot{a}^{\epsilon}q\ddot{a} - u'ttuut$  bad wood

(2) Obscure  $I, E, \check{u}, A, \ddot{a}, a^{\varepsilon}$ , following another vowel are elided. The glottal stop is always retained.

 $a\tilde{n}qa-nna'n < a\tilde{n}qa'-Enna'n$  sea-fish  $gapau'l\hat{e}n < ga-\tilde{u}pa'ul\hat{e}n$  he drank  $\check{c}e'r\check{c}^{i}l < \check{c}e'ri-\check{a}^{e'}Lel$  muddy snow  $\tilde{n}eu^{e'}ttin < \tilde{n}e'u-a^{e'}ttin$  female dog

## § 7. Medial Consonantic Processes

When two consonants come into contact, certain changes occur. The consonants given on the left-hand side form, when followed by the consonants at the head of the columns, the following combinations:

		p	m	v	าบ	t	n	č	у	r	l	k	ñ	q	g
p	forms with						mn						тñ		
v	forms with											wkw			
w	forms with			wkw	wkw				wg						$\{ \begin{matrix} wkw \\ wg \end{matrix}$
t	forms with		nm				nn		č*	rr	L		$n \cdot \vec{n}$		$\left\{egin{array}{l} th \\ ty \end{array} ight.$
n	forms with								nd:	(nr (nř			$n$ $\cdot \tilde{n}$		n·g
č	forms with	8.0	$_{nm}^{\{s\cdot m}$			} tt	${s \cdot n \choose n n}$			}r <b>r</b>	L	s·k	čħ	8·q	čh
y	forms with					gi	qn	gč		gr	gl				
r	forms with					tt	nn	tč	č*		$\begin{cases} L \\ L \end{cases}$				
l	forms with							$\left\{ _{ly}^{}\right\}$	}	rr	{Ļ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓		lh	$\left\{egin{array}{l} lq \\ s\cdot q \end{array} ight.$	lh ly
$^{k}$	form with	wp	wm	wkw	wkw	qt	qn		99	gr	ql	gk	$ _{\tilde{n}\tilde{n}}^{g\tilde{n}}$	qq	wkw gg
ñ	forms with	mp	wm	mv	mw	nt	qn	nč	nd	nr nř	nl				
q	forms with	· p	€m	$\epsilon_v$	ew.	et.	$\epsilon_n$	eč i	ty	eř	eĮ.	ek.	eñ	(*q)	eg .

These changes may be summarized in part as follows:

- (1) Voiceless labial and dental stops before nasals become nasals.
- (2) k and g before labials become w; with v and w, they form a labialized k.
  - (3) k, g, and y before dentals become g.

- (4) q before consonants becomes  $\epsilon$ ; only qq occurs.
- (5)  $\tilde{n}$  before labials becomes m; before dentals, n.
- (6)  $\check{c}$  before labials, palatals, and n, becomes s. When l replaces  $\check{c}$ , it is treated in the same manner.
  - (7) Dentals before palatals are palatalized.
- (8) w with following v, w, and sometimes also with g, forms labialized k.
  - (9) y following v, w, and g, becomes g.
  - (10) t ( $\check{c}$ ), and r with following y, form  $\check{c}$ :
  - (11) l with following y forms j, or ly.
  - (12) t,  $\check{c}$ , and (r) with following r form rr.
  - (13) (t),  $\check{c}$ , and r with following t form tt.
  - (14)  $\tilde{n}$  with following n and  $\tilde{n}$  forms gn and  $g\tilde{n}$ .
  - (15) n and  $\tilde{n}$  with following y form  $n\dot{d}$ .
  - (16) n and  $\tilde{n}$  with following r form often  $n\tilde{r}$ .
- (17) t,  $\check{c}$ , r, and l with following l form  $\iota$ . The last two with following l also form  $\iota$ .
  - (18) l with following r forms rr.
  - (19) l with following  $\tilde{n}$  forms lh.
  - (20) r with following n forms nn.
  - (21) r with following č forms tč.
  - (22) k with following k and q form gk and gq.
  - (23) k and g with following  $\tilde{n}$  form  $\tilde{n}\tilde{n}$ .
  - (24) k with following g forms gg; with following gu, wkw (u).
  - (25) g with following q forms qq.
  - (26)  $\dot{l}$  and t with following g form lh, ly, and th, ty.

## Examples:

- pn > mn (1) qemne'lin whetted qe-pne'-lin valamna'lin < vala-pna'lin the knife-whetter 44.4
- $pn > m\tilde{n}$  (1)  $pn > m\tilde{n}$  (1) pn >
- tm > nm (1)  $min_i'nmik < min_i't^*-mik$  let us be! 57.1  $nii'lq\ddot{q}n^*-m\dot{u}r\dot{i} < ni$ - $yi'lq\ddot{q}t^*-m\dot{u}r\dot{i}$  we slept  $n\dot{q}'nmu\dot{q}^*n < n\dot{q}$ -tmu- $\ddot{q}^*n$  they killed it 8.2  $mn\dot{q}'wkw\dot{q}nmik < mn\cdot\dot{q}'wkw\dot{q}t^*-mik$  let us go away! 17.8
- tn > nn (1)  $e^{i'minnin} < e^{i'mit-nin}$  he took it 117.9
- $t\tilde{n} > n \cdot \tilde{n}$  (1, 7)  $r \cdot \tilde{q} i' l q \ddot{a} n \cdot \tilde{n}_{I} t < r \cdot \tilde{q} \cdot y_{I}' l q \ddot{a} t' \tilde{n}_{I} t$  they will sleep  $q \cdot \tilde{q} \cdot$
- kp > wp (2)  $m\check{u}wpe'nvel < m\check{u}kpe'nvel$  many two-year-old reindeer-bucks

§7

s] HANDBOO	K OF INDIAN LANGUAGES—CHUKCHEE 655
km > vom(2)	mŭwmo'oqor < mŭk-mo'oqor many pack-rein- deer
kv > wkw(2)	prčawkwa' glrñm < prča'k-va' glrñm boot-grass (i. e., grass insole)
kw > wkw (2)	mŭwkwĝ't hau < mŭk-wĝ't haw (too) many words
gp > wp (2)	$\hat{\varrho}w$ - $p\hat{\varrho}rq'rkm$ < $\hat{\varrho}g$ - $p\hat{\varrho}rq'rkm$ he looks wolf-like
gm > wm (2)	ele'w-mi'tqämit < ele'g-mi'tqämit sweet blubber (honey)
	$\check{c}\hat{\varrho}'wmak < \check{c}\hat{\varrho}g-mak \text{ egg-shell}$
gv > wkw (2)	ala'wkwa'nau < ala'g-va'nau chewing-gum (lit. sweet gum)
gw > wkw (2)	alawkwê't·hau < alag-wê't·hau sweet talk
kt > gt (3)	uwi'g timnê'n < uwi'k timnê'n she killed herself 72.27
kn > gn (3)	mŭg-ne'nnet < mŭk-ne'nnet many otter (skins)
kr > gr (3)	$m \check{u} g - r e^{\epsilon'} w < m \check{u} k - r e^{\epsilon'} w$ many whales
kl > gl (3)	mŭg lį glįg <mŭk-lį eggs<="" glįg="" many="" td=""></mŭk-lį>
yt > gt (3)	čąg-tai'očhin < cai-tai'oč-hin tea-bag
yn > gn (3)	ma'gnı < mai'-nı property piled up outside of house.
	$\tilde{n}$ e'gnı $< \tilde{n}$ ei'-nı mountain
$y\dot{c} > g\dot{c}$ (3)	vę g-čų rmin < ve i-čų rmin grass border
yr > gr (3)	va <sup>e</sup> gran <va<sup>e1-ran grass house</va<sup>
yl > gl (3)	$v\tilde{a}^{\epsilon'}gl_{\tilde{n}}$ in $< va^{\epsilon'}I$ - $l_{\tilde{n}}$ in grass
q before conso-	narkoʻgupu < nerkuq-gupu from the swan
$nants > \epsilon (4)$	$a^{\epsilon}n\hat{\varrho}'pu < a'qn-\hat{\varrho}p\ddot{u}$ from the fish-hook
	maemelipä <mäqmelipä arrow="" from="" geelikelin<ge-qliket-lin="" has="" he="" married<="" td="" the=""></mäqmelipä>
A few stems, whe vels become subject	en preceding consonants, change $q$ to $^{\epsilon}$ , and their ct to ablaut.
	$te^{\epsilon} < tiq$ to cast metal
	yee < yiq quick
	on of a some ome ll

mee < mig small

For instance: ti'qirkin he casts metal tee'nin he has cast it

 $\tilde{n}p > mp$  (5) tampêra'ê < tañ-pêra'ê he appeared well gempe'lin < ge-npe'-lin they landed 12.9

 $\tilde{n}v > mv$  (5) tam-va'ırgın < tañ-va'ırgın good being, good state of things

 $\tilde{n}w > mw$  (5) tam-wañê'ırgın < tañ-wañê'ırgın good work ranto'aet < ra-nto'-aet they went out 56.8  $\tilde{n}t > nt$ tan-čai < tañ-čai good tea  $\tilde{n}\tilde{c} > n\tilde{c}$ 

$\tilde{n}y > ny$	tele'n-ye'p < tele'n-ye'p long time ago
$\tilde{n}r > n\tilde{r}$	tele'n-remkin < tele'n-re'mkin ancient people
	tan-řa'n < tañ-ra'n a good house; but tan-
	roolgal < tañ-ro'olgal good food
~1 \ m1	ten-le'ut < teñ-le'ut good, clever head
$\tilde{n}l > nl$	
$\epsilon p > s \cdot p \ (6)$	$mas \cdot -pa'a\hat{e}^{\varepsilon} < ma\hat{c} \cdot -pa'a\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$ he seemed to cease
$m > s \cdot m $ (6)	mes - kirpi's - mič < mes - kirpi'č - mič of about the
	size of a cake of brick tea; but also mes-
	kįrpį'n-mįč
$\check{c}n > s \cdot n $ (6)	mes ni'mpäqin < meč-ni'mpäqin somewhat slow;
	but also menni'mpäqin
$\check{c}k > s \cdot k$ (6)	kirpi's kin < kirpi'čkin belonging to a cake of
	brick-tea; but from va' Engač There is derived
	the adjective vaenqa'tkên
$\epsilon q > s \cdot q$ (6)	kirpi's qäi < kirpi' č-qäi small piece of brick-tea
cq > s q (0)	(see 29.8)
$n\tilde{n} > n \cdot \tilde{n} $ (7)	qun·ñe'ekik < qun-ñe'ekik single daughter (see,
	however, qun-ne'ekik 29.8)
$ng > n \cdot g$ (7)	qon-gitka'ta < qon-gitka'ta one-legged
	- wu's quus < wu'lquul darkness
places a č	
wv > wkw (8)	raanawkwa'zrgzn < raa'naw-ra'zrgzn straight act-
(0)	ing
	lau'lawkwa' įrga < lau'lau'-va' įrga by mischiev-
	ous being 117.21
ww > wkw (8)	ñawkwanê' irgin < ñaw-wanê' irgin female work
wg > wkw (8)	timara'wkwa <sup>e</sup> k <timarau'-ga<sup>ek I quarreled</timarau'-ga<sup>
(0)	eime'wkwije eime'u-gië it approached 9.12
vy > vg (9)	nuvgệ'ntoqệnat <n-vyi-nto-qingt breath<="" td="" their=""></n-vyi-nto-qingt>
	went out 34.6
wy > wg (9)	awgo'lıka < ä-wyol-kä without assistant 124.5
	ña'wgêl<ñaw-yêl female cousin
gy > gg (9)	<i>êgga'ılhın</i> < <i>ê</i> <sup>€</sup> <i>g-ya'ılhın</i> wolf's paw
• • • •	$a \perp a' g g a n < a \perp a' g y a n$ the sweet one
$ty > \check{c}$ (10)	ŷr'lqat an < ŷr'lqat yan the sleeper
$ry > \check{c} \cdot (10)$	ko'č'o < ko'r-yo the one bought
	s a'lkıj'o < a'lkilyo the one recognized. The unal-
unaltered (11)	tered form occurs also.
tr > rr (12)	gir-ra'ttam < git-ra'ttam thin curried reindeer-
07/77 (12)	skin
$\check{c}r > rr$ (12)	$marra' E \tilde{n} k_I < ma \check{c} - ra' E \tilde{n} k_i$ somewhat back of you
$\dot{c}t > tt \ (13)$	mat-torê'tu-wa'lın < mač-torê'tu-wa'lınsomewhat
	crazy
	ma'nengat-taee < ma'nengat-taee in what direc-
	tion he moved on
7	

rt > tt (13)	tut-tei'kık < tur-tei'kık newly made
$\tilde{n}n > gn$ (14)	teg-ne'lhin < teñ-ne'lhin good skin
$\tilde{n}\tilde{n} > g\tilde{n} $ (14)	teg-ne'us'qät < ten-ne'us'qät good woman 62.13
$ny > nd \cdot (15)$	mindi'lq\u00e4nmik < minyi'lq\u00e4nmik let us go to sleep!
	$\ddot{a}^{\epsilon'} n di l h \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} n < \ddot{a}' n y_I l h \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} n$ let us give it to him!
$\tilde{n}y > \tilde{n}d$ · (15)	tand a'n < tañ-ya'n a good one
$nr > n\check{r} (16)$	$\tilde{n}u'n\tilde{r}i < \tilde{n}u'n\tilde{r}i$ there (to the right or to the left side from the speaker)
$tl > \mathbf{z} $ (17)	ge'lqärin < ge'-lqät-lin he departed
El>L (17)	maru maru marun - va'lin - mat-lu'm mun-va'lın some- what lazy
rl > L (17)	$tu \iota u^{\varepsilon} k < tu r \cdot lu^{\varepsilon} k$ just on seeing it
ll > L (17)	$\hat{a^{\epsilon}}t\hat{to'}o_{La'}\hat{ut} < \hat{a^{\epsilon}}tto'o_{La'}ut$ front head (the star Arcturus)
	gene' Lin < ge-ne'l-lin he has become 116.21
lr > rr (18)	girgo'r-ra'mkin < girgo'l-ra'mkin "upper people" (i. e., the beings supposed to live in the world above)
$l\tilde{n} > lh$ (19)	ge'lhılin>ge'lñılin (auxiliary verb, active)
rn > nn(20)	tun-ne'lhin < tur-ne'lhin new skin
$r \dot{c} > t \dot{c} (20)$	tệt-čại < ter-čại new tea
` '	walka'tčiñin < walka'r-čiñin the jaw-bone house
	59.8
kk > gk (22)	mŭg-kuke'ñ1 < mŭk-kuke'ñ1 numerous kettles, a number of kettles
$kq > gq \ (22)$	mŭg-qora'ñi < mŭk-qora'ñi a number of reindeer
$k\tilde{n} > \tilde{n}\tilde{n}$ (23)	pê'êčvañ-ña'lvŭl < pe'êčvak-ña'lvul one-year reindeer-herd
$g\tilde{n} > \tilde{n}\tilde{n}$ (23)	čêñ-ñito'rkin < čêg-ñito'rkin egg goes out; i. e., young bird hatches
kg > wkw (24)	mŭkwui'gun <mŭk-gui'gun block-houses<="" many="" td=""></mŭk-gui'gun>
kg > gg (24)	mŭg-ga'le <mŭk-ga'le birds<="" many="" td=""></mŭk-ga'le>
gq > qq (only in	eieqqäi <eieg-qai but="" eieg-quli'qul<="" little="" td="" wolf;=""></eieg-qai>
suffixes) (25)	wolf's voice
lg > ly (26)	$ne'lyi^{\varepsilon}$ it became 9.11
tg > ty (26)	$ri'ty\ddot{a}^{arepsilon}$ thou shalt be

## § 8. Auxiliary Vowels

(1) When clusters of more than two consonants are formed by composition, the clusters are broken up by an auxiliary vowel, ordinarily *i*.

Before w, v, the auxiliary vowel is u.

Before or after a p which forms part of a consonantic cluster, the auxiliary vowel is  $\check{u}$ .

Before or after q, the auxiliary vowel is  $\ddot{a}$ .

tt'mk-1-le'ut (tt'mk1lgi-le'ut R 278) hummock-head i'tt-1-p1lv1'nt1n precious metal (i. e., gold) gêl-1'-tk1n-1-k on the top of the sea-ice 9.1

get-1-tkm-1-k on the top of the sea-ice 9.1

ña'lvŭl-i-čhm the herd 79.6, see also ña'lvîlîčhm 32.11

eleu't-1-kä without head 47.8 (< e-lewt-kä)

*i'tč-u-wil* precious ware

ệul-u-wa'lat long knives 15.2 (< iwl+valat)

n-1'-np-ŭ-qin old one

n-ŭ-plu'qin small one 10.2

lêlanpma'čhäqai eyes (had) the small old man

*n-i'tč-ä-qin* heavy, dear

(2) Consonants that can not form clusters—like L, L, wkw, d, d, t,  $\dot{c}$ ,  $\dot{j}$ ,  $\dot{j}$ —take also auxiliary vowels when in contact with other consonants.

mu'limul blood 117.12

ti'l-i-til the entrance 105.15

mingi'limin hand 57.10

ga'lilên he entered into the sleeping-room 109.22

ge'wkulin they have tied him up 20.10 (< ge-wkut-lin)

(3) When two consonants forming a cluster come to stand in final position, an auxiliary vowel is introduced.

pi'ñil news
pŭ'kil big bowl
evi'rit dresses 7.8

In some cases, however, there is a terminal obscure vowel, which is derived from an older, stronger vowel.

ga'le bird (stem galha)
ri'rki walrus (stem rirka)
ve'le raven (stem velve)
ki'rni buck, male (stem kirne)

§ 9. u, w

Short, obscure u may change to w or v.

ty'urkın thou sayest ty'wtuw word
ru'urkın thou splittest ru'wgo the split one
ru'urkın thou scrapest ru'wgo the scraped
one

ru'urkın thou displacest ru'wgo displaced

rg'orkin thou pluckest rg'wgo plucked

ga'tvılên he has said
ga'rvılên he has split
ga'nvilên he has
scraped

ge'nyılin he has displaced

geigo'lên he has plucked

## § 10. Intervocalic Elision

(1) Intervocalic w, y, (i), and g are either much weakened or drop out altogether. This happens particularly when the vowels preceding and following these sounds are alike. After an elision, the two vowels are often assimilated.

ne'ekik < new-ekik daughter
gaala'lên < gagala'lên he has passed by
gaa'lhilên < gaya'lhilên he has moved away
muwa'qoa'k < muwa'qoga'k let me sit down!
ya'ilhin and ya'gilhin foot
miti'nmuut < mit-i-tmŭ-git we killed thee 10.12
pe'gtu-u'rgirgin < pe'gti-wu'rgirgin runner-noise 32.10
čime'erkin < čime'tirkin thou creakest

(2) i+y followed by a vowel, and y+i preceded by e and i, form neutral i (see § 2, p. 644). The preceding i is assimilated by this neutral i.

ge'itin < ge'yıtin given
tio'lhın < tıyo'lhın vein
qiq'lhın and qıyq'lhın heel
ge'i'lqätin < ge-yı'lqätin he slept
mi'ilhıt < mı'yılhıt Î will give thee

## § 11. Phonetic Influences between Words

The changes described in §§ 6-10 occur not only in word composition, but also between the end and beginning of words that form parts of a syntactic unit.

gŭmni'n ewkwewkwala'ê' < gŭmni'n e'wkwew gala'ê' my left-hand driving-reindeer passed by

 $ya^{\epsilon'}ran\ mu'ri\ nan\cdot\tilde{n}\hat{e}nai'p\check{u}-m\theta'r\hat{e} < ya^{\epsilon'}rat\ mu'ri\ we\ grew\ too\ angry\ (\hat{y}a^{\epsilon'}rat\ too\ much;\ mu'ri\ we;\ an\cdot\tilde{n}\hat{e}nai'p\check{u}\ to\ become\ angry)$ 

Enne'n'  $\check{u}m \; \ddot{a}^{\epsilon}lqe'm \; \tilde{n}_{i}t_{0}' \not\in {}^{\epsilon}<\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}lqe'p \; \tilde{n}_{i}to' \partial^{\epsilon} \; a \; nail \; went \; out \; (enne'n' one; \; \ddot{a}^{\epsilon}lqe'p \; nail; \; nt_{0}, -\tilde{n}_{i}t_{0} \; to \; go \; out; \; -\dot{i}^{\epsilon} \; 3d \; per. \; sing.)$ 

nı'mnımığ:-a'lhıtya<sup>e</sup>t<nı'mnımıt ya'lhıtyä<sup>e</sup>t the neighboring camp moved away

Sometimes  $\tilde{n}$  or t is inserted between two vowels—one terminal, the next initial—that come together in a sentence.

tele'g-vi<sup>e</sup>'tä-ñ-i'irkın gradually dying he is

Such insertions, as well as the assimilation of sounds belonging to different words, are used with a great deal of freedom.

§§10,11

## § 12. Initial Consonantic Clusters

I have found the following initial consonantic clusters:

Initial		S	econd	sound		
sound	č	m	n	ñ	r	1
p	pě		pn	$p\bar{n}$	pr	pl
t			tn	$t \tilde{n}$	tr	
k		km			kr	kl
q				$q\tilde{n}$	qr	ql
g					gr	
m			mn	mñ	mr	ml
n					nr	
ñ						ñl

It appears from this table that the stops and nasals, with following nasal r and l, are the only admissible classes of initial clusters, and not all the combinations of these are found. The combination pt seems exceptional in this series. Combinations which occur in initial but not in medial position are printed in italics.

#### Examples:

pr interjection 88.17 pre'rem meat pudding plägi that is all 107.21 pla'kıllın boot pčegtuwa'rkin thou takest off the boots pne'rkin thou whettest it pňo'rkin thou imbibest tni'rkin thou sewest tñairgê'ti to the dawn 135.16 trava'aa<sup>e</sup>n shall I use it? 93.19 trennike'wkwä<sup>e</sup>n I shall do to it 99.10 kmi'ñägäi small son 126.11 kri'tkin upper course of a river kloka'lhın a kind of berry qra'qu to the disowning 94.30 gres qi'wkwi<sup>€</sup> git enter! 102.35 qla'ulqai little man 9.6  $qro'\hat{e}^{\epsilon}$  she brought forth 104.8 mle'rkin thou breakest mñe-eñe'ñilin sacrificing-shaman 42.5 mne'wkwenmik let us go away! 17.8 mra'gtia<sup>e</sup>k I shall go home 99.2  $mra'yo^{\epsilon}\tilde{n}_{I}n$  shall we visit him?

nre'q-i-qit what dost thou want? 125.6 ħle'n ħlet flame
qñaunra' gtatyê take wife home 115.8

Since many stems consist of consonantic clusters that are not admissible either medially or initially, a great number of very curious phonetic changes of stems occur, either by consonantic assimilation or dissimilation, or by the insertion of auxiliary vowels. Since these changes are not so frequent in Koryak, the latter dialect often shows the original form of the stem, which can not be recognized from the Chukchee forms alone. I will give here examples of a series of phonetic changes of this type.

(1) Medial modifications.

	nitial cluster of sten	as
Initial form	Probable stem	Medial form
pn	*pn	mn
tn	*tn	nn
$t \tilde{n}$	*tñ	n·ñ
km	*km	wm
ql	*al	E]

(2) In the second group the stem, when in initial position, loses the first sound of the consonantic cluster.

Initial form	Probable stem	Medial form
k	*rk	rk
p	*lp	lp
k	*lk	lk
q	*lq	lq
l	*11	til
k and lik	*tk	tık
w	*wkw	(wkw)
v	*tv	(tv)

(3) In a few cases a substitution of sounds occurs, partly due to the phonetic laws described before.

Initial	Probable stem	Medial
r	*r	n¹
g	*g	h, y

<sup>1</sup> Not in all cases.

(4) In a great many cases an auxiliary vowel is introduced between the members of the cluster.

Initial	Probable stem	le stem Medial	
or and pir	*pr	pr	
p k	*pk	pk	
$p_{I\tilde{n}}$	*pñ	$m\tilde{n}$	
and tuw	*tv	tv	
tItt	*tt	tt	
k and tik	*tk	tk	
til	*tl	l	
kit	*kt	gt	
yIt	*yt	gt	
kIy	*ky	99	
tIm	*tm	nm	
gIt	*gt	gt	
$m \breve{u} k$	*mk	mk	
$\tilde{n}$ Ip	*ñp	mp	
$\tilde{n}$ It	*ñt	nt	
$\tilde{n}o$	*ñv	mg	
rig	*rg	rg	
gIl	*91	gl	
tr or rir	*79	rr	
qIy	*qy	$\epsilon_y$	
$v\breve{u}(i)$	*1'y	vg	
wiy	*wy	wg	
lil	*//	L	
yu	*yg	ĭg	
čuw	*čv	čv	
$li\tilde{n}$	*lñ	lh	

*pne	pnę'rkin thou	ninemne'qin she whetted it 44.4
	whettest it	
*tni	tni'rkın thou sew-	ge'nnilin he sewed
	est it	•
$^*t ilde{n}i$	<i>tñi'urkın</i> thou	gen·ñiu'lin he sent it
	sendest it	Ť
$*kmi\~{n}et$	kmiñe'tırkın she	gewmi'ñezin she brought forth
	brings forth	
gli'kkin	twenty (lit., that	e <sup>ɛ</sup> likkeu'kĕlin nineteen (lit., one
1	of a man)	lacking to a man)
*rkile or	kıle'nnin he fol-	gerkele'lin she followed him 37.1
\$	lowed them 50.8	*
*rkur	ku'rırkın thou	ge'rkuĻin he bought
	buyest it	_
*rkipl	$k_{I'} p l_{I} n \hat{e} n$ she	ga'rkıplılên he had struck 86.7
	struck her 86.5	
*lpı $n$ ř	pi'nřirkin thou	galpı'nřılên he gave
*	givest to him	nilni'nřigênat they gave them 14.3

Stem

Stem		
*lpinit or		nelpini'tyä <sup>e</sup> n they bound him 8.1
*lpinit	bindest him	
*lqät	$q\ddot{a}'tyi^{\varepsilon}$ he left 100.16	ge'lqäzin he left 59.1
*lqäin	qäineu'nin he shot at it 78.13	nɪlqäineu'nin they shot 78.10
*tku	ku'rkin thou consumest it	ge'tkulin he consumed it 7.2
*wkut	wuti'lhin tying stick 104.24	ge'wkuzin they had tied him 20.10
*tva	va'rkin he is 125.2	qatva'rkın stay! 57.3
*tvêtča	vêtča'rkın he	gatvê'tčalên he stood
000000	stands	Ş 00 000000 110 0000 u
	wêtča'lın standing 48.3	nıtvê'tčaqên he stood 48.1
*pr	$p_{I'}rg\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n$ thou hast	ge'prilin plucked out
•	plucked it	, 1 ·
	pri'rkin he tears out	ne'priä <sup>€</sup> n they tore off 30.7
*pkir	$p \check{u} k i' r g \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} t$ they came $64.2$	ge'pkitin he came 8.6
*pñlo	pinlo'nên he asked him 80.3	namñilo'a <sup>e</sup> n they asked him 66.24
*tvu	tu'wnện she promised it 49.6	ga'tvulện he promised 101.21
*ttu	tittu'rkin he blows	gettu'lin he blowed
*tle	tile'ä <sup>e</sup> t they moved 64.9	minle git let me move thee! 89.7
$*tk\hat{e}$	tıkê'rkın thou smellest of	ga'tkêlên he smelled
*yto	yıto'nên he pulls it out 45.2	gagto'lên he had been pulled out 42.8
*kyeu	kıye'wkwi <sup>e</sup> he awoke 55.8	geggeu'lin he awoke 55.3
* <i>t</i> m	tımnê'n he killed him 43.11	na'nmua <sup>e</sup> n they killed him 8.2
*gtin		nı'gtinqin he is pretty
* <i>mk</i>	mŭ'kičin more nu- merous 12.3	nŭ'mkäqin numerous 12.7
$*\tilde{n}pe$	$\tilde{n}ipe'\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t$ they came ashore 7.8	gempe'lin they landed 12.9
$* ilde{n}to$	$\tilde{n}$ ito' $e^{\epsilon}$ he went out $56.4$	<i>ganto'lên</i> he has gone out 8.4 §12

Stem		
$* ilde{n}t$	$\tilde{n}_I t_I' r k_I n$ it detaches itself	ge'ntɪlɪn it has detached itself
$* ilde{n}vo$	$\tilde{n}o'orkin$ he begins	gamge'lên he has begun
*rg	ri'gırkın he digs	ge'rgilin he has dug out
* <b>g</b> ′lo	gilo'lên the one sor- rowing 27.12	niglo'qên she sorrowed 27.10
*rr		nerri'net they were untied 63.11
*rrl	rırrı'lırkın heputs down	gerri'zin he has put down
	rırrı'lnin he let him go 121.33	nerri'lhäen they set him free 8.2
*vya	vŭia'arkın he lets go (an animal)	gavga'lên he has let go
*wyo	$w_{I}'yowi$ sling	gawgo'ta with a sling
*llep	lile'pgi <sup>e</sup> he looked 7.6	gäle'pgi' look! 79.11 (stem lile eye; -p to put on)
*y <b>g</b> u	yu'urkın thou bitest it	ge'igulin he has bitten it
* <b>č</b> vi	čuwi'pit piece cut off 72.19	nine'čviqin they cut it 72.18
$^*l ilde{n}$	l'inrkm he has him as	ti'lhığıt I have you as 15.8

The change from initial r to medial n occurs only in transitive verbs:

re'urkın thou pier- geneu'lin he pierced cest it
ru'rkın thou eatest genu'lin he ate
it
riñe'rkın he flies geni'ñelin he flew

but riñe'rkın he flies geri'ñelin he flew

Initial  $t_I$  is sometimes replaced by  $\check{c}_I$  (see § 2, p. 646).  $\check{c}_I'\check{c}_I-\check{c}_O'o\check{c}_O$  before the entrance (lit., entrance before), instead of  $t_I'_{LI}-t_O'o\check{c}_O$ .

In a number of cases stems seem to be reduplicated when initial, and lose this reduplication in medial position.

me'rinre slownl'nreqin the slow one (stem nre)yara'ni housegara'len having a house (stem ra)yoro'ni sleeping-roomgaro'len having a sleeping-room(stem ro)

Perhaps the initial y of the last two examples is derived from r, as in Koryak it replaces r.

Irregular is-

i<sup>e</sup>rerkin he arranges a reindeer driving-match. geri<sup>e</sup>'lelin he has arranged a reindeer driving-match

When a stem consisting of a consonantic cluster stands alone, auxiliary vowels are introduced after the initial and before the terminal consonant.

 $pi'\tilde{n}il$  news (stem  $p\tilde{n}l$ ) ku'kil one-eyed man (stem kkl)

# § 13. Pronunciation of Men and Women

The pronunciation of the women differs from that of the men. Women generally substitute  $\delta$  for  $\delta$  and r, particularly after weak vowels. They also substitute  $\delta$  for rk and  $\delta h$ . The sounds  $\delta$  and r are quite frequent; so that the speech of women, with its ever-recurring  $\delta$ , sounds quite peculiar, and is not easily understood by an inexperienced ear. Women are quite able to pronounce  $\delta$  and  $\delta$ , and when quoting the words of a man,—as, for instance, in tales,—use these sounds. In ordinary conversation, however, the pronunciation of men is considered as unbecoming a woman.

# Examples are—

Men's pronunciation	Women's pronunciation		
ra'mkıčhın	sa'mkı $ssin$	people	
Pa'rkala	Pa'šš $ala$	by Parkal	
čŭmħa'ta	§ $oldsymbol{\check{u}}moldsymbol{\check{n}}a'ta$	by a buck	
$\check{C}aivu'urgin$	$\check{S}aivu'u$ š $oldsymbol{\check{s}}$ I $n$	(a name)	

The men, particularly in the Kolyma district, drop intervocalic consonants, principally n and t. In this case the two adjoining vowels are assimilated.

nıtva'qaat < nıtva'qênst gei'mizeet < gei'mizinet ti'rkiir < ti'rkıtir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An example of woman's pronunciation is given in my Chukchee Mythology (Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, VIII, pp. 144, 145); and more fully in my Chukchee Materials pp. 121-126, Nos. 26, 27, 28.

It would seem that this process of elimination of intervocalic consonants has been very important in the development of the present form of the Chukchee (see § 10).

Among the maritime Chukchee, the men use both the fuller and shorter forms. Among all the branches of the tribe, women use only the fuller forms.

## Koryak (§§ 14-18)

## § 14. Vowels

The system of vowels of the Koryak is considerably reduced. Corresponding to the Chukchee, we may distinguish three classes of vowels:

- (1) Weak vowels i  $\ddot{a}$  u (2) Strong vowels e o,  $\theta$
- (3) Neutral vowels  $\left\{\begin{array}{cccc} & a & & a \\ I & E & a & \check{u} \end{array}\right.$

In this series,  $\theta$  and  $\ddot{u}$  are rare

θ is generally replaced by ο

 $\check{u}$  is generally replaced by  $\iota$  or a

A comparison of the table of Koryak vowels with that of the Chukchee vowels shows that the glide  $e_i$  is missing, e has taken the place of  $\hat{e}$ , and  $\alpha$  neutral that of e weak and  $\alpha$  strong (see § 3).

Diphthongs formed with terminal i and u occur, but the u of the Chukchee is often replaced by w or v.

Kor. Kam. apa'vekin Chukchee ŭpa'urkin

In the dialect of the Kerek, i often replaces strong e, and is a strong vowel.

Kor. Kam. Kerek Chukchee  $me'\ mil$  thong-seal mi'mil thong-seal  $m\hat{e}'mil$  spotted seal  $ta\tilde{n}\tilde{n}e'ti$   $ta\tilde{n}\tilde{n}t'$  to a  $ta\tilde{n}\tilde{n}im$ 

I have observed that the Asiatic Eskimo, when speaking Chukchee, also have a tendency to replace  $\hat{e}$  by i. They say—

mi'mil instead of Chukchee  $m\hat{e}'mil$  spotted seal tirga'arkin instead of Chukchee  $t\hat{e}rga'arkin$  he cries

I do not know whether this peculiarity of the Eskimo is related to that of the Kerek.

#### § 15. Consonants

	Stop		Affricative		Nasal		Contin-	Lateral	Trill
	Surd	Sonant	Surd	Sonant	Surd	Sonant	ued	Daterar	A 1 1 1
Labial	p	_	_	_		m	v	_	-
Alveolar	t	-	_		_	n	s, c	(L L) ! l	_
Palatalized alveolar	t·	d·	_	-	-	n·	8°, č	_	_
Palatal	k	_	_		_	ñ	-	_	-
Velar	q	g	_	-		_	x	_	
Glottal	_	ε .	_	-	_		-		_

h, w, y,

## § 16. Comparison with Chukchee

The principal differences between this system and that of the Chukchee are found in the series of affricatives, laterals, and trills. The laterals and trills are absent in the Koryak of Kamenskove.

(1)  $\dot{c}$  is often replaced by c (in Kor. II, pronounced like English sh).

Koryak II oia'kocik Kor. 96.22 (Chukchee uwa<sup>e</sup>qoče'gtı Kor. 95.6)

ora'cek Kor. 102.17 (Koryak I oya'ček Kor. 101.1)

- (2)  $\delta$  and j are replaced by a strong and long yy. ko'yyon the one bought (Chukchee ko'j'o)
- (3) As in Chukchee, l is closely related to  $\ell$ , s, s, (see § 2).

la'xlañ winter

če'xčex cold gayı'sqata sleep! Kor. 31.8 tıyayı'lqatıñ I will sleep Kor. 31.8 pipi'kalñin mouse Kor. 58.7 pipi'kča-ñaw Mouse-Woman Kor.

vaļvi'mtīlaenañ to Raven- va'čvī-ña'ut Raven-Woman Kor. Man Kor. 12.4 18.4

Correspondences of Koryak ! and Chukchee č, s, s, and vice versâ, are also not rare.

Koryak yalqı'wikin he entered Kor. 13.9 (Chukchee resqi'wkwi<sup>\varepsilon</sup>

vos qe'tr to darkness Kor. 57.6 (Chukchee wu'lqık 126.1)

(4) Koryak ! is pronounced almost like Polish ! (Russian 1), the tip of the tongue touching the upper teeth, the posterior part of the back of the tongue being depressed at the same time. The tip of the tongue is a little farther back than in the corresponding Polish sound. This sound may be recognized even preceding an i.

gavi 'yalin he has died (Chukchee gevi 'lin)

The ordinary post-alveolar l is also found.

ñaw'anpil little woman. milya'qpil small shell Kor. 23.8

- (5) The Chukchee L and L are replaced by a sonant sound produced by contact between the tip of the tongue and the upper teeth and between the back of the tongue and the palate. The sound is continued, and accompanied by a slight trill of the back of the tongue. Although this sound replaces both z and z of Chukchee, I have retained for it the second Chukchee symbol, L.
- (6) The Chukchee r is replaced in Koryak I by y, which, with preceding vowels, forms diphthongs. 1

The y of the Koryak is always pronounced with a raising of the tip of the tongue, which gives it a somewhat sibilant, strongly aspirated effect. In Paren it sounds sometimes almost like č.

Chukchee

Kor. Kam.  $koi'\tilde{n}in$  $koi'\tilde{n}in$  cup va'ykın Kor. 13.10 va'rkin there is nito'ykin Kor. 12.5 nito'rkin he goes out resqi'wkwi<sup>e</sup> 11.2, 19.3 he entered yalqı'wikin Kor. 13.9 ya'qıykın Kor. 66.14 re'gärkin 18.6 what has happened to you?  $ra'gti\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$  he goes home 122.7 tiyayai'tiñ I'll go home Kor. 30.5 ye'lı Kor. 60.1., 64.14 če'lı there če'lviñnin he will vanquish him; ya'lviñnen but ya'lvunnen Kor. 92.20

In a number of cases r is replaced by s, s, t, or  $\xi$ .

Koryak Chukchee gayı'ččalin Kor. 17.3 geyirre' in 96.21 it was full ga'ččilin Kor. 15.10 ge'lhilin 64.4 he had him for gregolai'tr to a high place girgo'lqên 124.1 from above Kor. 20.1 načnin Kor. 60.9 na'rgin 49.7 outside gapi's qalin Kor. 84.11 gepi'rqilin she fell down yı'ssık Kor. 39.2 ri'rik to untie something q1'ssa Kor. 18.7 gir thou ina'ssınañ Kor. 24.10 ine'rrine marline spike, awl (instrument to untie with) wu'ssiñ Kor. 30.3 wŭ'rrı on the back  $-g_It\tilde{n}(In)$ -girg(in) abstract noun palqa'thitnin palqa'tirgin old age

I have written the i corresponding to Chukchee diphthongs with i, while for the sound corresponding to r I have retained y.

The sound r appears in Koryak I folk-lore as characteristic of several monsters and evil spirits. It is also used in Russian loanwords.

ka'rman pocket (from карманъ)
preka'ssek commercial agent (from прикащикъ)
ča'qar sugar (from сахаръ)

In the last of these the r is palatalized.

(7) In Koryak II, r is used in the same way as in Chukchee, and also sometimes replaces the l of Koryak I.

ka'mak-ru (village Rekı'nnok) ka'mak-lu (Kamenskoye) small image of a guardian

In other cases r is replaced by t, s,  $\check{c}$ , as in Koryak I.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam. V	oyampolka, Kamchatka
ti'rkıtir sun	ti'ykıtiy Kor. 19.3	$ti'tk_Itit$
<i>e'ñer</i> star	$a' \tilde{n} a y$	$e'  ilde{n} e r$
mu'ri we	mu'yi (dual)	mu'ri (dual)

(8) The Chukchee  $\check{r}$  is replaced by y or by palatalization of the preceding consonant in Koryak I, by t in Koryak II.

Koryak I, gape'nyılen he attacked him. Kor. 96.8 (Chukchee pê'nřinên Kor. 95.10, Koryak II, gape'ntilen, Kor. 96.26)

(9) In the dental series, s appears chiefly in place of Chukchee r;  $\dot{c}$  sometimes replaces y;  $t\dot{c}$  often replaces  $t\dot{k}$ .

```
missaa'lomi we shall hear (Chukchee mirraa'lomi) či'liil tongue Kor. 56.4 (Chukchee yi'liil 7.10) gitča't legs Kor. 57.2 (Chukchee gitka't 51.4) qilu'tču drum! Kor. 59.4 (Chukchee qilu'tkui<sup>e</sup>)
```

(10) y often replaces Chukchee g.

yıkča'vekın he makes haste (Chukchee gaiča'urkın) tayyeñıvo'ykın he began to cough Kor. 84.20 (Chukchee têggı 102.35)

(11) v often replaces Chukchee w. Initial v is much more frequent than initial w.

vaļo'm Kor. 55.7 (Chukchee walo'm 32.7) to hear.

(12) d of the Kamenskoye dialect is analogous to the same sound in Chukchee, and appears after palatalized n.

Kor. Kam.  $\tilde{n}aw$ -i'nd- $ula^{\epsilon}n < \tilde{n}aw$ -i-nyu- $la^{\epsilon}n$  the one serving for a wife (stems  $\tilde{n}aw$  woman; nyu to watch the herd) Chukchee  $\tilde{n}eund$ - $u'lin < \tilde{n}eu$ -nyu-lin (stems  $\tilde{n}ew$ , -nyu [riu])

The Paren n in this position is simply palatalized, and we have the corresponding word  $newi'nn \cdot ula^{\epsilon}n$ .

In the same way,—

Kamenskoye  $\tilde{n}a'nd'en$ , or even  $\tilde{n}a'n\check{j}en$  (from  $\tilde{n}a'nyen$ ) Paren  $\tilde{n}a'n'en$  that one

(13) h is almost a velar continuant, and after consonants sounds similar to g.

palqa'theñin and palqa'tgeñin qiya'thi Kor. 21.10 come! (Chukchee qäye'tyi\* 15.11)

(14) wg or  $g^u$  (labialized g) replaces Chukchee labialized k, (wkw).

yiwqıči'ta Kor. 32.1 iwkuči'tä 37.3 drinking gawgu'rin Kor. 23.4 ga'wkurin 20.10 they tied him qakya'wqi Kor. 28.9 qäqqe'wkwi\* 75.31 wake up!

(15) x often replaces Chukchee q.

(16) In place of the glottal stop of Chukchee, when due to the elision of q, the older q is often retained or replaced by x.

če'xčex cold (Chukchee čä<sup>ɛ</sup>'čeñ)
ma'qmit arrows (dual) (Chukchee mä<sup>ɛ</sup>'mit, plural)

The glottal stop of Koryak is always stronger than the corresponding sound of Chukchee, and has a tendency to lengthen the preceding vowel.

 $y\bar{o}^{\epsilon\prime}ekin$  he overtakes (Chukchee  $yo^{\epsilon\prime}rkin$ )

- (17) 'indicates a pause (glottal stop), which does not occur in Chukchee. *upin'ali'nin* he kicked him
- (18) The marked tendency of Chukchee to lose intervocalic consonants like y, g, and w a tendency which in the men's speech affects also n and t is absent in Koryak; and consequently many fuller forms occur which presumably explain the frequent vocalic clusters of Chukchee. In all those cases in which the Chukchee loses intervocalic consonants, these are found in Koryak.

Kor. Kam.  $ty\bar{o}^{\epsilon}ga^{\epsilon}an$  I overtook him (Chukchee  $tiyo^{\epsilon}a^{\epsilon}n$ )

Other words that retain no trace of the intervocalic consonant in Chukchee have it in Koryak.

vai'am river (Chukchee ve'em)
yawa'ykın he uses it (Chukchee ya'arkın)
a<sup>ɛ</sup>'la<sup>ɛ</sup>l axe (Chukchee a<sup>ɛ</sup>'al, but also a<sup>ɛ</sup>lha'ttı, a<sup>ɛ</sup>l-ga'ttı, ga'ttı
hatchet)
uyıĕvat to play Kor. 32 7 kchee uuĕvet 43.3)

These older forms are even more pronounced in Paren.

Kor. Paren ei'ek lamp Intu'welpiy son-in-law

Kor. Kam. a'ak Intu'ulpiy Chukchee e'ek Intu'ulpir

The Chukchee cluster lh is replaced by  $l\tilde{n}$  in Kamenskoye.

Kor. Kam. ke'nmiļām root (Chukchee kê'nmilhin)

## § 17. Vocalic Ablaut

The range of the ablaut is more restricted in the Koryak of Kamenskoye than in Chukchee.

i changes into e,

u changes into o;

gi'wlinat they said Kor. 21.2 gewñrvg'len he said Kor. 14.4 nu'tanut country, land ya'nya-nota'lo foreigners

but a as ablaut of e does not occur, both sounds being represented by a neutral a. The Chukchee  $\hat{e}$  is replaced by e, the same sound that represents the ablaut of i.

The neutral a is exemplified in the following words of the Kamenskoye dialect:

Kor. Kam.

kali'ykin (stem kali)

nu'tanut land (stem nuta)

a'kkat sons (stem a'kka)

aima'wikin thou approachest (stem eime'urkin (stem eimeu)

aimaw)

kama'ña dish (stem kama) keme'ni (stem keme)

Since a is neutral, these stems are also combined with weak vowels. For instance,

i'tči-kama'ña heavy dish (from itči heavy, dear)

There are, however, cases in which the a represents the type a, which requires the ablaut,

¿'vɪḷ-ta̞'mta̞m goitre, long tumor (stems i̞'vɪḷ long, ta̞'mta̞m tumor); Chukchee ta̞'mta̞m

qata'p-e'mat load of food for winter use Kor. 86.17 (stems qatap fish for winter use; imt load); Chukchee qata'p-ê'mıt

An example of the occurrence of e, corresponding to Chukchee  $\hat{e}$ , is—

mg'ygmgy tear (stem mgyg); Chukchee  $m\hat{g}'r\hat{g}m\hat{g}r$  (stem  $m\hat{g}r\hat{g}$ ) gape'nyilen she attacked her, Kor. 96.8 (stem pgny); Chukchee  $p\hat{g}'nrin\hat{g}n$  Kor. 95.10 (stem  $p\hat{g}nr)$ 

Since the vowel-pair  $\dot{q} - \dot{q}$ , and the vowels  $\hat{q}$  and  $\dot{q}$  of Chukchee, are much more common than the  $\dot{i}$  and  $\dot{q}$  groups, the ablaut is not as striking a feature of Koryak as it is of Chukchee.

In the Kamenskoye dialect the ablaut of i and u is not as rigidly required as in Chukchee. Particularly in word composition the weak vowels often remain uninfluenced by the strong vowels with which they come into contact. We find, for instance,—

napela'-mu'yu instead of napela'-mo'yo we are left.

The weak i of Chukchee, which is due to the contraction of  $th_I$  and  $\check{c}h_I$  into ti and  $\check{c}i$ , does not occur, since the consonantic cluster remains unchanged.

 $palqa'thit\tilde{n}in$  or  $palqathe'\tilde{n}in$  old age (compare Chukchee palqa'tirgin < palqa'thirgin)

Initial u inserted before w, labialized k (wkw), and y, occurs here as in Chukchee, and is neutral.

Koryak Chukchee uwa'tikIn he kisses (stem uwat) ukwe'erkIn (stem ukwet)  $uy\ddot{a}^{e'}qu\check{c}$  husband (stem  $uya^{e'}qu\check{c}$ )  $uw\ddot{a}^{e'}qu\check{c}$  (stem  $uw\ddot{a}^{e'}qu\check{c}$ )

Several dialects of both groups of the Koryak have retained the vowels  $\xi$  and  $\hat{\xi}$ . These have the ablaut analogous to that of the Chukchee.

Kor. Kamenskoye gatai'kilin (stem taiki) Kor. Paren getei'kilin (stem teiki) Chukchee getei'kilin (stem teiki)

# § 18. Other Phonetic Processes

Lack of Vocalic Contraction.—When two vowels come together, contraction rarely occurs.

Chukchee Kor. Kam.  $a \tilde{n} q a' - n n a' n < a \tilde{n} q a' - e n n a' n$   $a \tilde{n} q a' - e n n a' n$  sea-fish  $\tilde{n} e u^{\epsilon'} t t i n < \tilde{n} e u - a^{\epsilon'} t t i n$   $\tilde{n} a w - a^{\epsilon'} t t i n$  she-dog

Medial Consonantic Processes.—The alveolars t and  $\check{c}$  are not palatalized by following g or h (see § 7, 26).

Chukchee Koryak palqa'ti-rgin < palqat-girgin palqath-e'- $\tilde{n}in$  old age  $\tilde{n}$  generally remains unchanged before other consonants.

tam-pera'rkın < tañ-pera'rkın

qinere'mpei<sup>e</sup> take meat out of kettle for me (stem mpe)

Koryak

tañ-peye'ykin he looks well

kokañpalai'ke they take meat

out of kettle Kor. 27.5

k before other consonants occurs.

Chukchee  $nigt\ddot{a}q\hat{e}n < ni < \dot{k}t$ - $q\hat{e}n$ 

Koryak n*ıktä'qe*n hard

The medial clusters km,  $p\tilde{n}$ , pn, which are absent in Chukchee, occur in Koryak.

 $\underset{gewmi\tilde{n}e'}{\operatorname{Lin}} < \underset{ge-kmi\tilde{n}el-lin}{\operatorname{Chukchee}}$ 

gakmi'ñalin she brought forth

amñilka < a-pñl-ka namñila' tinat 78.4 < na-pñlatinat

apñiļka no news
gapñiļañvo'ļenau they told
about Kor. 26.1

Auxiliary Vowels.—The most frequent auxiliary vowel is i; but a, which replaces Chukchee  $\ddot{a}$ , also occurs.

Chukchee
yara'ñi
nitčäqin

Koryak yaya'ña house ni'tčaqin heavy

The terminal vowel i in Koryak often assumes a more perceptible nasalisation than the corresponding Chukchee sound (see § 2, p. 645).

ee'tı and ee'tıñ to the sky Kor. 14.9, 10.

(Compare Chukchee añqa-čormê'tı to the seashore 67.17)

Initial Consonantic Clusters.—I have found the following initial clusters in the Koryak of Kamenskoye:

Initial		s	econd	sound			
sound	č	m	n	ñ	y	!	v
p	рč		pn	$p\tilde{n}$	py	pl	
t			tn	tñ	ty	·	tv
k		km			ky	kl.	
q					qy	ql	
9							
m						m!	
n						nl	
ñ							

It will be seen that this table agrees well with the corresponding table in Chukchee (r being throughout replaced by y), except that tv occurs, which is impossible in Chukchee.

Kor. Kam.

tvi'tikin he stands

čottai'nik-tve'tekin he stands
on the outer part of the
house Kor. 43.5

gatvi'lin he stood
3045°—Bull. 40, pt. 2—12——43

Chukchee  $v \hat{e} t \check{c}' a' r k in$  (stem  $-t v \hat{e} t \check{c} a$ )  $gat v \hat{e}' t \check{c} a l \hat{e} n$ 

However, va'ykin Kor. 13.10 < tva-ykin loses its initial t.

The changes that occur in consonantic stems in medial and initial position are quite analogous to those of the Chukchee, except that k appears with following consonant in initial position. Other differences are shown in the following table:

Cł	nukchee		1	Koryak	
Initial	Stem	Medial	Initial	Stem	Medial
pn	*pn	mn	pn	* pn	pn
km	* km	wm	km	* km	km
k or tik	* tk	tIk	k or tik	* tk	tč
k	* rk	rk	k	* y k	yk
q	* lq	lq	q	* lq	lg
v or tuw	* tv	tv	v or tv	* tv	tv
$p$ I $\tilde{n}$	* pñ	mñ	pIñ	* pñ	$p\bar{n}$
ћо	* ñv	mg	ñIv	* ñ v	nv
rIg	* rg	rg	sh or yig	*sh	sh
			[ yIy	* yy	yy
tr or rir	*rr	rr	yiss	* 88	88
vŭ (i)	* vy	vg	vIy	* vy	vy
yu	* 49	19	yIg	* Ĭg	19

#### Examples:

pna'ikin he whets
tni'ikin thou sewest it
tñi'vikin thou sendest it
kmiña'tikin she brings forth

ku'yikin thou buyest it pinye'kin thou givest to him qati' you left Kor. 18.5 ku'ikin thou consumest it ki'plik striking Kor. 62.4

va'ikın he is vañvo'ykın he begins to stay Kor. 13.6 tvi'tikın he stands tve'tık to stretch Kor. 38.8

pyr'ĭkın thou tearest it out piñlo'ĭkın thou askest him tıttu'ĭkın he blows tıla'ĭkın he moves

tike'ĭkin thou smellest of

gapna'lin he has whetted
ganni'lin he has sewed it
ganñiu'lin he has sent it
gakmi'ñalin she has brought
forth

gaïku'ylin he has bought it galpı'nyelen he has given ga'lqaLin he has left Kor. 17.3 ga'tčulin he has consumed it qaykıpla'gıtča strike him! Kor.

ga'tvalen he has been
gatvañvo'lenau they began to
stay Kor. 23.1
ga'tvilin he stood
ga'tvelen they stretched it Kor.
38.8

gapyı'lin he has torn it out gapñılo'len he has asked gettu'lin he has blown gala'lin he has moved Kor. 14.9

ga'tčeļen he smelled of

yıto'ĭkın he pulls out tıme'kın thou killest it

 $\tilde{n}_{I}to'yk_{I}n$  he goes out  $\tilde{n}_{I}vo'ik_{I}n$  he begins

yigi'kin or shi'kin he digs out yi'yikin thou untiest it yi'ssik to untie Kor. 39.2

yıssı'likın thou puttest down vıya'tekın he lets go (an animal)

vuyalanñivo'ykin a snowstorm set in Kor. 13.10 yigu'ikin thou bitest it ya'wikin thou piercest it yu'kka to eat Kor. 57.1 yu'ikin he eats yiña'ikin he flees

*lelapītčoñvo'ykīn* he looks up Kor. 42.8

Irregular is-

iya<sup>ɛ</sup>'ĭkɪn he arranges a reindeer driving-match

gaĭto'len he has pulled out ga'nmɪlen he has killed it Kor. 43.6

ganto'len he went out Kor. 48.6ganvo'lên he has begun Kor. 48.3

48.3
ga'shilin he has dug out
gayyı'lin he has untied it
nassi'ñvogŭm they are untying
me Kor. 39.3
gassı'lin he has put down

gassı'lin he has put down gavya'len he has let go

gawya'lyolen there was a snowstorm Kor. 13.1 gaigu'lin he has bitten gana'wlin he has pierced it ganu'linat they have eaten (transitive) Kor. 57.2 gayı'ñalin he has fled (intransitive) aqarapñıvo'ykın he looks bad

gali'ya<sup>e</sup>lin he has arranged a

reindeer driving-match

Kor. 13.8

Dropping of Suffixes.—It may be mentioned here that all dialects of the Koryak tend to drop the last syllables or sounds—mostly suffixes—when these are not accented

Kor. Kam. mini'lqanmik or mini'lqat (Chukchee mini'lqänmik) let us go!

Kor. Kam. *qaa'rin*, Kor. II (village Qare'ñin) *qeye'rin* or *geye'ri* (Chukchee *ge'erin*), he has come

Kor. Kam. vi'tvitpili, Kor. II (village Voyampolka) vi'tvitpi, small seal

## Kamchadal (§§ 19–23) § 19. Vowels

- (1) Weak vowels  $\ddot{\imath}$   $\dot{\imath}$  e u  $\ddot{u}$   $\ddot{E}$
- (2) Strong vowels  $\ddot{e}$   $\hat{e}$  a o  $\ddot{o}$   $\ddot{o}$  v
- (3) Neutral vowels  $\ddot{I} = I \ddot{\ddot{u}} A \ddot{u}$

The symbols designate the same sounds as those in Chukchee.

- I almost like a diphthong ie, long; a glide from long i to long o.
- ë like English a in make, long, lips wide apart, corners of mouth much retracted.
- E French eu in beurre.
- ö German ö in öffnen.
- ü French u in lune, but harder; more like the Yakut ÿ.
- ŏ English short o in not.
- v English u in hut.
- ŭ as in Chukchee.
- <sup>a</sup>, <sup>o</sup>, <sup>u</sup> indicate the resonance of the respective vowels; for instance, in  $k!tx^al$   $k\check{o}ju'i^{\varepsilon}n$ .

Unusual length and shortness are expressed by the macron and breve respectively.

## § 20. Consonants

		Stop		Affri	cative	Nasal	Conf	inued	Lateral	Trail!
	Surd	Fortis	Sonant	Surd	Fortis	Ivasai	Surd	Sonant	Dateral	1111
Labial	p	<i>p!</i>				m	f	v		
Alveolar	t					n	8	z	11	r
				č	č!		c	j		
Palatized alveolar.				—		n·	s·			
Anterior palatal							x.			
Palatal	k	k!	i			ñ				
Velar	q	q!	9	) — i			x			
Glottal			ε, '	i						

w, y, h

- f is rather rare; for instance, in flič a fish of the genus Coregonus.
- x German ch in Bach.
- x. German ch in ich.
- j French j in jour, but with a weak preceding trill, somewhat like Polish rz in rzeka.
- z sonant s, as in French rose.
- ! as in Koryak.
- w, y, always consonantic.
- <sup>e</sup>, ', glottal stops, the former only after short vowels, the latter after consonants, as in vi'!'vi!.
- $l', \, c', \, n'', \, are \, pronounced \, with \, strong \, initial \, aspiration.$  §20

j, c, č, are often pronounced with the tip of the tongue in dental position, so that they attain a lisping character,—

```
j between z and z c between s and s č between s and s
```

I am inclined to attribute this mannerism, which is affected by many individuals, to the influence of the speech of the Russian creoles and half-bloods, who have this peculiarity in the whole area between the Kolyma and the Sea of Okhotsk. In Krasheninnikoff's records there are only slight indications of this tendency.

It may be, however, that some of the older dialects had this tendency. Thus Krasheninnikoff writes (in my transcription)—

```
cemt (Western dialect)
semt (Southern dialect) } earth, ground
```

At present in the western dialect, the only one surviving, the word is pronounced both *cimt* and *simt*.

## § 21. Comparison with Chukchee and Koryak

(1) Chukchee r, Koryak I i,  $\check{c}$ , s, or t, is replaced in most cases by j,

Chukchee	Kamchadal	
git, gir	kr $'ja$	thou
mu'ri	mu'ia	we

(2) Chukchee and Koryak g is replaced by k or x.

Chukchee	Koryak	Kamchadal	
gŭ $m$	gŭ $m$	ki'mma	I
ġi'ňɪngi	yig1'ng1n	$xi'l_{I}g_{I} ilde{n}$	fish-net

(3) Initial g of the comitative and verbal prefix (see §§ 48, 64, 66) is replaced by k!.

Chukchee	Koryak	Kamchadal	
geñe'wänä	$ga\~na'wana$	$k! \tilde{n}e'\check{c}um$	with a wife
genu'lin	ganu'lin	$k!nu'k$ ı $ ilde{n}in$	he has eaten

(4) Chukchee *gw* (Koryak *gv*) is replaced by *xv*. *xo'xval* thence

(5) Chukchee and Koryak w and v are replaced by hv.

Chukchee	Koryak	Kamchadal		
watta'p	vata'p	$hvata'p \check{c}$	reindeer-moss	
$va'l_E$	va'la	$hval\check{c}$	knife	
wi'ut	viut	hivt	whalebone	
$vi'n \cdot vI$	vi'nva	hvi'nve	secretly	
			§2	21

(6) The glottal stop following the initial vowel of Chukchee and Koryak is often replaced by x or k preceding the vowel.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	
$e_{i}^{\epsilon_{\prime}}tin$	$i^{\epsilon\prime}nn$ $in$	xei'ten	neck
$e_i^{\epsilon_i'}n_I$	$i^{\varepsilon\prime}g_{I}r,\ e^{\varepsilon}g_{I}'l\tilde{n}_{I}n$	xei'hin1	wolf
$\ddot{a}^{arepsilon\prime}$ Le $l$	$a^{\varepsilon'} a^{\varepsilon} $	ko'lol	snow
$e^{\varepsilon'}le^{\varepsilon}l$	$ala^{\epsilon\prime}al$	$ke^{\varepsilon'}lal$	excrement

(7) Chukchee L and L are replaced by tl and tč.

Chukchee	Kamchadal	
$tile'\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}k$	$t\check{c}ek$	I entered
	tle	there!

(8) In Kamchadal, l,  $\check{c}$ , c, and j often replace one another (see § 2, p. 646).

*čhijč* thou art

txejm I strike him

l'ič thou wert

txlin I struck him

(9) In the Sedanka dialect, c changes to j; s changes to z; and sometimes k, k! change to q, q!

Examples:

Codenka dialogt	
	they live
zönk	into the wood
zla-tomx	brother
gŭni'ñ	one
q!ö'lkıñin	he has come
	zļa–tomx q <b>ŭ</b> ni'ñ

(10) In the Sedanka dialect there is also a tendency to drop the last syllables of suffixes. Not as many auxiliary vowels occur as in other dialects, and of double consonants one is always omitted.

Okhotsk dialect	Sedanka dialect	
$a'tinreve{u}m$	a'tnom	village
te'vsxicjk	te'vsxis	I ascend
kr'mma	krma'	I

(11) Instead of the pure n, we find an n with somewhat lateral pronunciation.

Okhotsk dialect Sedanka dialect E'nu  $E'^lnu$  then

# § 22. Vocalic Ablaut

In Kamchadal the ablaut affects almost all the vowels, which are much more numerous than those of either Chukchee or Koryak.

į changes to į.	u changes to o.
i changes to ê or a.	ÿ changes to ÿ.
e changes to a.	į changes to į or ų.

Examples:

ki'stenk at the house

sünk in the wood

txi ink by them

kg pr pnk in the trough

kữ/x·ệnk in the river kukệ'-hữmnin he cooked it  $k \acute{e}' s t a n k$  to the house (stem  $k \dot{i} s t$  house)

sönk to the wood (stem sün)
txa'ank to them (stem tx)
kŏ'px'ŏnk to the trough (stem
kɛpx')

kë/x ank to the river (stem kix) koka jo-humnên he began to cook

îit

The obscure vowels I, E, A,  $\check{u}$ , are neutral, as are also e,  $\alpha$ ,  $\check{o}$ . In this respect Kamchadal differs from Chukchee, in which dialect vowels that are hard or weak never appear as neutral.

In Kamchadal the initial vowels of suffixes, and auxiliary vowels, are also subject to the ablaut, their form being determined by the vocalic character of the stem, which is generally monosyllabic. Thus a system develops which is somewhat similar to the vocalic harmony of the Ural Altaic languages.

ki'stenk at the house kŏ'l'xonk at the lake (stem kŏl'x)  $k \hat{e}' s t g n k$  to the house (stem  $k \dot{p} s t g n k$ )  $k \dot{p}' p x \cdot \dot{p} n k$  at the trough  $k \dot{p}' p x \cdot \dot{p} n k$  to the trough (stem  $k \dot{p} p x \cdot \dot{p} n k$ ).

 $tis\ddot{u}n\ddot{u}lo'tijk$  I always live in the woods (ti I;  $s\ddot{u}n$  wood;  $\ddot{u}$  auxiliary vowel; lo to live; t always; -jk I)

## § 23. Other Phonetic Processes

Consonantic Clusters.—In Kamchadal consonantic clusters are of frequent occurrence. I have found, for instance, ktxt, txcj, txcjh, txctx, ntxcjh,  $k!lk\tilde{n}$ .

Sometimes auxiliary vowels are inserted, or some of the consonants have a decided vocalic resonance, but more often the clusters are free from vocalic elements. The peculiar consonantic character of pronunciation may be observed also among the Russianized Kamchadal; and the natives are taunted by the Russian creoles, and even in the intercourse of various villages, on account of this peculiarity of their speech. Nevertheless not all consonantic clusters are admissible.

!l changes to nl.

ko'lol (absolute form), kolo'nl' < kolol-l' (instrumental), snow  $e'lher\tilde{n}$  (absolute form), inl < il-l (instrumental), ear (pl.  $i^el$ )

Note, however,

lŭl (absolute form), lŭle'l' < lŭl-l' (instrumental), eye

Auxiliary Vowels.—Auxiliary vowels are introduced to avoid consonantic clusters originating by composition, although the corresponding clusters may be admissible in the stem itself. All neutral vowels perform this function. Although t is more frequent than all the others,  $\check{u}$ , E, e, (a), are also found rather often.

lŭle'l' < lŭl-l' eye tveta'tijk < tveta't-jk I work

Initial Clusters.—The prefix k! is omitted before k and k!

k!ö'lkıñin he has come

The prefix k before initial k and k! changes to x.

xke'jxčik accept him

The prefix t of the first person singular is dropped before verbal stems with initial t.

txlin < ttxlin I struck him

The prefix t of the first person singular changes to  $\check{c}$  before verbal stems with initial  $\check{c}_I$  or  $\check{c}_I$ .

 $k_{\text{I}}'mma\ \check{c}_{\text{I}}\check{c}i'ni\tilde{n}_{\text{I}}jk < t\text{-}\check{c}ini\tilde{n}\text{-}jk\ \text{I}\ \text{sew}$ 

Compare also

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	
$e^{m{arepsilon}'}tqi ilde{n}$	a'ččiñ	$e'\check{c}kel\ddot{a}x$	the bad one
wuwčêlka'lhın	$\check{c}et\check{c}e'l\~{n}in$	či'xčax	shrew

Stems with the initial clusters lk and rk, when appearing at the beginning of a word, add a preceding vowel.

i'lkarujc < lk-r-jc (Chukchee qätı'rkın < lqät-rkın) he leaves

In other cases the Chukchee l or r of these clusters is replaced by  $\check{c}$  and c respectively.

ckļa'ujk < ckļau-jk (Chukchee kıla'urkın < rkılau-rkın) he runs

# § 24. Accent

In all three languages the accent usually recedes to the beginning of the word, even as far as the fourth or fifth syllable from the end.

Chukchee pa'riliñin shoulder-blade

Kor. Kam. niqe'shiqenat those two that have been bought

Kamchadal k!ta'tılkajukñan they began to perform the ceremonial BOAS

To give emphasis to the word, the accent may be thrown upon the last syllable, the vowel of which then changes to o.

Chukchee tipênřirko'n < tipê'nřirkin) Kor. Kam. tipenn'eko'n < tipe'nn'ekin | I attack him Kamchadal tipencijo'n < tipe'ncijin

#### MORPHOLOGY (§§ 25–129.)

## §25. Morphological Processes

The Chukchee group of languages uses a great variety of morphological processes for expressing grammatical relations. The unity of the syntactic group which forms a close unit is maintained by a law of vocalic harmony which requires that if one vowel of the unit is strong, all the others, that may be either weak or strong, must also take the strong form. This law does not act in any particular direction; but whenever a strong vowel appears in any part of the word, it strengthens all the other preceding and following vowels. In the present condition of the language, this law is not quite strictly confined to certain vowels; but a few stems and endings that have no vocalic element except auxiliary vowels are always strong. It may be, of course, that here strong vocalic elements have been lost.

Stems appear almost always with morphological affixes. Only particles and a number of nouns occur as independent members of the sentence in the form of the simple stem, their independence being indicated by their failure to modify their weak vowels in conformity with the strong vowels of those words with which they are most closely associated. The general occurrence of nominal affixes, and the restriction of stem forms occurring independently to certain phonetic types of nouns, make it plausible that we are dealing here also with a loss of older affixes. If this view should be correct, there would be no forms of nouns or verbs and related classes of words without affixes. Either the stems consist of consonantic clusters or they are monosyllabic or polysyllabic. Only predicative stems consist of consonantic clusters. Denominative stems have fuller phonetic values. In all polysyllabic stems a certain symmetry of form is required by the laws of vocalic harmony; so that in the same stem we find, besides neutral vowels, only strong vowels or only weak vowels.

Owing to the inadmissibility of extended consonantic clusters, and to the avoidance of initial consonantic clusters, stems undergo important changes due to the insertion of auxiliary vowels, to elision of consonants, or modification of consonants, according to the position and connections of the stems in the word.

Composition of stems is of extended use; and we find many types of composition of denominative, predicative, and of denominative with predicative stems, which form firm units. Owing to the significance of some of these stems, they never appear outside of such compounds, and therefore take on the aspects of elements that are no longer free, although their phonetic character and general appearance are such that they might appear as independent elements. Certain particles are also incorporated in the word complex. The stems which are united in such synthetic groups influence one another according to the laws of vocalic harmony and by contact phenomena, which often modify the terminal sound of the first member of a compound, and the initial sound of the following member, or cause the introduction of auxiliary vowels. Although ordinarily these compounds originate by a simple juxtaposition of stems, there are cases in which certain formative elements may be recognized.

The function of a simple or compound nominal or verbal unit in the sentence is further determined by reduplication, prefixes, and suffixes.

Reduplication is confined to denominating concepts, and is of peculiar character, the first part of the stem being repeated at the close of the stem as far as the first consonant following the first vowel. This gives the impression of a partially suppressed repetition of the stem: for instance, stem *orgo* SLEDGE, reduplicated *orgo-or*.

Both prefixes and suffixes are numerous. The same phonetic laws that cause a differentiation of the forms of the stem cause differentiation in the manner of joining affixes to the stems or to the compounds. In some cases a distinction between compounds and words with affixes is difficult to draw, neither is it possible to carry through a rigid distinction between nominal and verbal affixes. There is great freedom in the use of stems for either predicative or denominative purposes.

In the Chukchee language nominal concepts are classified as common nouns and proper names. A nominal singular and plural occur, but in Koryak we find besides these a dual. As in many American languages, the relation between subject and predicate is conceived differently in the case of the transitive and of the intransitive verb.

The relation between noun and verb is expressed by inflection of the noun. A subjective form of the noun expresses the subject of the transitive verb and an absolute form designates the subject of the intransitive and the object of the transitive verb. The subjective form is primarily instrumental. It expresses also the object which is used in the performance of an action as: COOKING (WITH) MEAT. It would seem that the transitive verb has primarily a passive significance, but this view does not satisfactorily explain many of the forms.

Locative ideas—in, at, towards, from—are expressed by means of nominal postpositions. These are given extended meanings and are applied to express a variety of relations between verb and indirect object. The genetive relation is not ordinarily expressed by postpositions and is not analogous to a case form, but is rather expressed by derivatives which signify, Pertaining to, Belonging to. These elements are even added to the personal pronoun to express possessive relations. The characteristic American incorporated possessive pronoun is not found. Demonstrative ideas are expressed with great nicety particularly in the Chukchee dialect. The syntactic forms of the personal demonstrative and indefinite pronouns are analogous to the corresponding forms of proper names.

In the predicate are expressed singular and plural, (in Koryak also dual), tense and modality. There is no distinction made between inclusive and exclusive first person plural. Declarative and interrogative have the same forms. Among the tenses only the future is derived from the verb theme in a manner analogous to the formation of modes. A continuative is expressed by a derived form, the verbal theme being expanded by the suffix-irkin. Other temporal concepts are expressed by nominal derivatives, and temporal subordination is often expressed by syntactic forms of the verbal noun. Other modes are a subjunctive, expressing conditional and other subordinate clauses—which, however, is very rarely used,—an exhortative and an imperative.

The verb complex consists of pronominal prefixes which enter into combination with temporal and modal prefixes. These are followed

by the verbal theme which takes additional temporal and modal suffixes. The end of the verbal complex is a pronominal suffix. In the transitive verb, the pronominal prefix designates the subject, the pronominal suffix the object. There is a strong tendency to express the predicate in the form of a predicating noun analogous to a relative clause. For instance, instead of I KILL THE REINDEER, the Chukchee will say, THE REINDEER ARE THE ONES WHOM I KILLED. These forms receive a treatment different from that of the true verb.

Stems may be developed by affixing subordinate elements. There are a number of attributive elements of this class such as large, small, numerous. Furthermore, we find locative terms such as, what is on, with, on top of, near, inside of something else and also, what is similar to, what is used for, what is provided with something, a receptable for something. Nominal forms derived from verbs are abstract nouns, results of actions, instruments. The verb is developed by adverbial suffixes expressing for instance, reciprocity, a desiderative, single action, intensity, beginning, duration, causation, negation and also ideas like, to feel like something or the bad temper of the speaker. Verbs derived from nouns are to bring, take off, look for, consume something. Prefixes are quite numerous and are largely of an attributive or adverbial character as, a little, quite, all, entirely, merely, somewhat, truly, not.

Furthermore, words may be compounded quite freely, adverbs with verbs, verbal stems among themselves, nouns among themselves. Nouns are also incorporated in the verbal complex, both as the subject of the intransitive verb and the object of the transitive verb. Such incorporated themes are used both for habitual and single actions.

## § 26. Comparison of Dialects

The chief differences between Chukchee and Koryak lie in the lesser amount of consonantic decay of stems in Koryak, the modification of stems due to phonetic processes being considerably less extended in the latter dialect; in the lesser extent of the occurrence of the ablaut in the Koryak; and in the substitution of other consonants for the Chukchee r, which process is more pronounced in Koryak I than in Koryak II. Besides this, Koryak I is characterized by the restriction of the forms of the Chukchee plural to the dual, while a distinct form

is used by all the Koryak dialects, even those that have no dual for expressing the plural.

Chukchee and Koryak are so much alike, that the languages, are mutually intelligible at least in part. On the northern border of the Koryak territory a considerable amount of lexicographic borrowing may be noticed, which extends even as far as the Anadyr country. Thus we find—

Kolyma Chukchee tegge'ñirkin he desires Anadyr Chukchee tegge'ñirkin or gaima'tirkin Koryak, Kamenskoye tajja'ñikin or gaima'tekin

Of these words, the first one is common to Chukchee and Koryak, while the second is Koryak and is borrowed from them by the Anadyr Chukchee.

Kolyma Chukchee wêtha'urkin he speaks
Anadyr Chukchee wêtha'urkin and vanava'tirkin
Koryak, Kamenskoye vetha'vekin and vanava'tekin

The lexical differences between Koryak and Chukchee are considerable. Still certain Chukchee words that do not occur in the Kamenskoye dialect re-appear in other dialects, some even in remote villages in the valleys of Kamchatka.

	AGAIN	NEGATION (refusal)	WHALE
Chukchee	$l \breve{u}' m \widetilde{n} a$	$qar \hat{e}' m$	$re^{\epsilon}w$
Koryak, Kamenskoye	gŭ $'mla$	qaye'm	$yu'\tilde{n}i$ (stem $yu\tilde{n}yu$ )
Koryak II Qare'ñin .	$i'n$ n $_Ik$	i'hut	$yu'\tilde{n}i$ (stem $yu\tilde{n}yu$ )
Koryak II, Lesna			
(Kamchatka)	l1g1'mmen	qate'mmI	
Kerek	<del></del>		$ya^{\varepsilon}w$
Kamchadal			$yu'\tilde{n}yu$ (stem $yu\tilde{n}yu$ )

On the whole, however, all branches of the Koryak, even in their most distinct dialects,—like those of the Kerek near Cape Anannon on Bering Sea, and of Voyampolka on the Sea of Okhotsk,—are much more closely related among themselves than to the Chukchee.

In the pronunciation of men of the Kolyma district many intervocalic consonants are dropped (see § 13). This is not so common among the men of the Anadyr Chukchee, who use both the fuller forms and those with dropped consonants. Among the Kolyma people the difference between the pronunciation of men and that of women is so regular that the use of the fuller forms by the eastern people lays them open to ridicule as using the speech of women.

§26

## Nouns (§§ 27-55).

## § 27. General Remarks

The noun appears in a number of forms and with a number of suffixes, the interpretation of which is not easy. A few of these have clearly purely syntactic meaning, while others appear rather as postpositions which are somewhat loosely connected with the noun. Some elements of this group seem to form compound nouns, while I suspect that others may have a verbal character.

The forms which are clearly syntactic are-

- (1) The absolute form, which expresses the subject of the intransitive verb, and the object of the transitive verb.
  - (2) The absolute form, plural.
- (3) The subjective form, which expresses the subject of the transitive verb, and the instrument with which an action is performed. In several cases our indirect object appears as direct object, while our direct object appears as instrument, somewhat as in the two expressions I GIVE IT TO HIM and I BESTOW HIM WITH IT. In Kamchadal this form is not used for the subject of the transitive verb, but the locative-possessive. In Koryak sometimes the one form is used, sometimes the other.
- (4) The locative possessive expresses the place where an event happens or where an action is performed. With terms designating living beings it expresses possession.

Suffixes which express the allative and ablative form a second group. These are not so distinctively syntactic forms, but give the impression of post-positions, particularly since they appear sometimes in composition with syntactic forms of the first group.

A third class, quite distinct from the first two in form as well as in function, comprises derivations of nouns and verbs which express what belongs to, what pertains to, that which has the quality of something, the possessor of, the measure of being in a certain condition. These are frequently used to express the relations between two nouns or between an adjective and a noun.

The fourth class expresses mainly various types of emphatic forms of the noun.

We shall first take up the syntactic forms.

## The Absolute Form (§§ 28-32).

#### § 28. ABSOLUTE FORM EXPRESSED BY STEM

The absolute form of the noun serves to express the subject of the intransitive verb and the object of the transitive verb. It shows a great variety of formations.

The absolute form is expressed by the nominal stem. This form can occur only in those cases in which the terminal sound is a vowel or a single consonant. Since no ending occurs, the stem has no ablaut. Examples are—

(1) Stems with terminal vowels:

kitve'yu old walrus 8.12, 14 ɛɛa' mother 30.6 qe'li cap lg'lg penis 45.1

 $r\dot{l}'\mu$  carcass 65.14  $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}s^{*}qe'ku$  a barren doe 97.17  $ve\tilde{n}ke'n\check{r}u$  a mother doe

(2) Stems or compounds with single terminal consonant (including diphthongs in i and u). To this class belong words ending in y, w, p, m, t, n, k,  $\check{c}$ , r, q, l.

ELQ'qai little mother 35.5 ñi'ngai little child 37.14 mi'rgew a suit of armor 116.24 rec'w whale 73.4 inpiñe'w old woman 19.5 tinu'p blue fox 96.17 re'lup quid ve'em river 37.3 (Koryak ve'yem, va'yam Kor. 17.6, according to dialect) rêt trail 37.1 le'ut head 44.11 (Koryak la'wut Kor. 82.11) ñe'wän wife 36.3 (Koryak ña'wan)Ai'wan the Ai'wan 7.1 na'ngan belly 43.9 uwä<sup>e</sup>'quč husband 105.12 ke'per wolverene 78.2, qe'per 92.21 (ke'perä 78.11) (Koryak qapay) kri'mqor three-year-old doe 117.9 wañqa's qor two-year-old doe

117.10

 $\hat{e}'lhar$  polar fox 92.19 intu'ulpir son-in-law 80.6 u'nel thong-seal 70.7 pe'nvel two-year-old 117.12 mê'mil seal 96.4 (Koryak me'mil Kor. 90.6) lŭ'mñil story 61.5 pe'kul butcher-knife (Kor. pa'qu! Kor. 78.23)  $\tilde{n}e'lvul$  herd 49.3 qe'ptiril backbone 51.3 gla'ul man 43.1 (Kor. gla'wul Kor. 17.4) uwi'k body 35.11 (Kor. 32.5) ka'mak evil spirit 61.6 (Kor. 35.5)ai'mak carcass 81.17 ginni'k game 84.28 (Koryak gi'ynik Kor. 61.8) e'ek lamp 68.12, 106.18 pu'req white whale 96.9 o'Lag sea-lion 65.16

(3) Stems ending in two consonants generally insert a vowel in the terminal consonatic cluster.

qe'pil football (stem qepl); (Kor. Kam. qa'pil; Kor. Par. qepil)  $m\ddot{a}^{e'}qim$  arrow 75.23  $lo'\tilde{n}il$  walrus-blubber 47.4  $pi'\tilde{n}il$  tidings 61.5  $\check{c}e'\tilde{n}il$  trunk 96.3

#### § 29. REDUPLICATED FORMS

Some stems are reduplicated.

(1) Monosyllabic stems are doubled. When the contact between the last consonant of the repeated word and of the stem form an inadmissible cluster, the usual changes occur.

nssible cluster	, the usual ci	langes occur.
	Stem	Reduplicated absolute form
	nim	ni'mnim settlement 7.7
	$k\hat{e}r$	kê'rkêr combination-suit 37.8
	yI $n$	di'ndin¹ fire 39.11
	$e^{arepsilon}l$	$e^{\varepsilon'}le^{\varepsilon}l$ excrement 80.11; $(e^{\varepsilon'}lu$ 81.12)
	lig	li'glig (Kor. lɪglɪg) egg
	rig	<i>rı'grig</i> hair
	om	o'mom (Kor. o'mom) heat
	$li ilde{n}$	$li'\tilde{n}li$ heart (see § 31, 3)
	$po\tilde{n}$	po'mpo fly agaric (see §31, 3)
	tuw	tu'wtuw word
	oč	o'čoč chief
	$\check{c}ot$	čo'tčot bag-pillow 29.5
	$w \check{u} t$	wŭ'twŭt leaf
	gil	gilgil sea ice 8.14
	*	(eie'tit Anser segetum)
Koryak:		
	ki <b>!</b>	ki'lkil navel string Kor. 63.10
	pip	pi'pip  comb Kor.  78.9
	vit	vi'tvit ringed seal Kor. 17.12
	nai	ñai'ñai mountain Kor. 42.2
	$w_{I}y$	wi'yiwi breath Kor. 33.8

(2) Stems ending in a consonantic cluster always insert an auxiliary vowel (§ 8), and therefore appear in dissyllabic form. The reduplication consists in the repetition of the beginning of the word at the

Enn

end, including the initial consonant, vowel, and the first consonant following the first vowel.

```
Stem
                                       Reduplicated absolute form
pilh
                                    pi'lhipil famine
                                    qê'rgiqêr light
qêrg
tirk
                                    ti'rkitir sun
têrg
                                    tê'rgitêr crying 20.12
                                    ti'rgitir meat 48.8
tirg
                                    tu'mgitum companion 103.35
tumg
                                    mı'tkämıt blubber 47.4
mitk
                                    ye'lkıyel pudding Kor. 34.2
(Kor.) ye'lk
mul 19.3
                                    mu'rumul 25.3 blood
                                    wi'lquul 22.7 coal (Kor. Kam.
*wilg
                                      wă'lkuul, cf. Kor. 31.9)
                                    qê'rgiqêr light (Kor. Kam.
*qêrg (Kor. Kam. qesh)
                                      qe'shiqes)
viyil (Kor. vyil)
                                    vi'yilviyil image (vi'yilviyil
                                       Kor. 32.3)
```

A number of words of this group, particularly those beginning with a vowel, repeat the stem vowel before the repeated syllable.

```
Reduplicated absolute form
   Stem
                                       o'rgoor sledge
  org
  omk
                                       o'mkoom 79.5 willow
  wus'q (Kor. Kam. vus'q)
                                       wu's quus (Kor.
                                                            vu's quvus
                                         cf. Kor. 57.6) darkness
  el
                                       e'leel
                                              summer (Kor. Kam.
                                         a'laal
                                       i'liil rain(Kor. Kam. mu'gamuq)
  il
                                        y\ddot{a}q\bar{a}'q
  yäq
                                                   nose
                                       yäga'ag
  (yil) yi'liil language 7.10
                                       yr'riir a full one 86.29
  yir
Related to this group are—
  eiv
                                       ei'veei part of meat given to
                                         neighbors, alms (Kor. Kam.
                                         ai'vaai cf. Kor. 63.12)
```

(3) Some bases which end in inadmissible sound-clusters have initial or terminal reduplication, and insert auxiliary vowels.

 $Enn\bar{e}'n$  fish (Kor. Kam.  $Enn\bar{a}'n$ )

(4) Dissyllabic words repeat the first syllable at the end of the word:

```
Reduplicated absolute form
 Stem
mêrê
                                             m\hat{e}'r\hat{e}m\hat{e}r tears 116.8
                                            qo^{\epsilon}l\hat{e}'qo^{\epsilon}l snuff 41.4
go lê 41.5
yile (see 90.2)
                                            yi'leil marmot 89.33
yili (Kor. čil)
                                            yi'liil tongue 48.8 (Kor. či'liil
                                                Kor. 56.4)
                                            quli'qul voice 44.7
quli
nute
                                             nu'tenut land
                                            yı'lqäil sleep (Kor. Kam. yı'l-
yi'lq\ddot{a}(t) (Kor. Kam. yilqa[t])
                                                qayıl)
```

## Koryak:

$m_I'tqa$	mɪ'tqamɪt oil Kor. 90.17
qanga	qa'ngaqan fire Kor. 30.8
ki'lka	ki'lkakil shell-fish Kor. 70.2

(5) Some polysyllabic words double the whole word.

ene'nenen southeast wind

In Kamchadal analogous forms are derived principally from adjective stems:

```
o'mlax warm o'mom heat a'tx^alax bright a'txatx light txu'nl\ddot{a}x dark txu'ntxun darkness tpilhe'tijk I suffer from hunger
```

Other Kamchadal forms of duplication and reduplication for the absolute forms are:

```
ču'xčux rain (stem čux)
pa'lapal leaf (stem pal)
kö'mloköm marrow (Chukchee kı'mıl; Kor. Kam. kı'mıl)
lu'ñuluñulč heart (Chukchee li'ñli; Kor. li'ñliñ)
```

Note 1.—A number of stems which in Koryak form their absolute form by duplication have different forms in Chukchee.

```
Chukchee Kor. Kam. go'pki elk ve'pkavep ri'rki walrus yi'ykayik
```

Presumably the Koryak has retained here the older forms.

Note 2.—In a few cases the reduplicated or doubled form is used not only in the absolute form, but also with other suffixes and in composition.

kê'rkêr combination-suit (stem kêr); Kor. I key'key (stem key); kêrkê'rgŭpŭ and kê'rgŭpŭ from the combination-suit; kê'rkêrık in the combination-suit

ña'wkêr woman's suit

nimni'mgŭpŭ from the settlement 10.12

gêlgêli'tkinik on the ice fields 7.3; gêli'tkinik on the sea-ice 9.2 mêmli'tkinik on top of the water 9.3

Note 3.—It is not impossible that the forms

 $yara'\tilde{n}_{I}$  house  $yoro'\tilde{n}_{I}$  sleeping-room (see § 30) ya'rar drum

contain reduplicated stems in which the initial r has changed to y.

#### § 30. SUFFIXES -n, $-\tilde{n}I$

Stems ending in a vowel take the suffixes, in Chukchee -n,  $-\tilde{n}i$ , in Koryak  $-\tilde{n}e$ ,  $-\tilde{n}a$ , according to dialect.

*Iu'metun* name of a spirit 22.6

kuke'ñi kettle (ku'kek 75.13); Kor. Kam. kuka'ña; Kor. Par. kuke'ñe

yoro'ñi sleeping-room 107.9

yara'ñı house 7.8; 30.11 (Kor. yaya'ña Kor. 22.4)

keme'ñs dish 86.23; 87.31, 33 (kama'gts to a dish 88.24) (Kor.

kama'ñi Kor. 64.3)

qora'ñi reindeer 51.6

ripe'ñi stone hammer 77.13, 16 (Kor. yipa'ña Kor. 43.2)

 $ei^{\epsilon\prime}n_I$  wolf 78.2, 96.28

ŏpa'ñı broth (Kor. ipa'ña Kor. 28.6)

Stems ending in two consonants, or in consonants that can not form clusters with the terminal n, take the ending -n with a connective vowel, i, E; after q the connective vowel is  $\ddot{a}$  (Kor. Kam. a).

poi'gin spear 97.27 (poi'ge 117.29) (Kor. poi'gin)
na'ngan belly 43.9 (Kor. Par. na'ngān)
riggo'lgin cellar 36.8 (riggolgê'ti to the cellar 36.10)
re'mkin people 8.8, 10 (re'mku 107.20) (Kor. ya'mkin Kor. 39.7)
tu'mgin companion 38.12 (tu'mgä 37.7)
gi'thin lake 37.4 (gî'thik in a lake 37.5)
ŭpa'lhin tallow 87.4 (ŭpa'lha 86.23)
gi'lhin skin 23.9
gêla'rgin gray fox 96.14
e'čin fat (Kor. a'čin Kor. 15.4)
Eli'gin father 73.10 (stem 1)

```
ke'ñičvin boy 11.7
kopa'lhin walrus-blubber 12.6 (kopa'lha 14.11)
yi'lgin month 7.2
ae'ttin dog 135.20 (ae'ttu 135.20) (Kor. ae'ttaen Kor. 48.8)
keiñin brown bear 78.3 (keiñu 136.20) (Kor. Kam. kai'ñin)
rêţoi'ñin big old carcass 136.19
kokai'ñin big kettle 33.10
i'rin fur shirt 83.24 (i'ru 116.26)
wu'kwun stone (stem wukw R 3.19) (Kor. vu'gvin)
ñi'lhin thong 41.10 (Kor. ñi'ļñin Kor. 40.5, 8)
```

To this group belong the endings -lhin,  $-y\tilde{n}in$ ,  $-\check{c}hin$ , -girgin, -yirin -lin (see § § 52; 53; 98; 1,99,8; 106, 44)

#### § 31. ABSOLUTE FORM WITH LOSS OF PHONETIC ELEMENTS

(1) Stems ending in a vowel weaken their terminal vowel or lose it entirely. Those ending in  $\underline{e}$  often change it to I slightly nasalized.

```
va'le knife 15.13; 16.4; 43.7 (stem va'la)
rı'rkı walrus 8.5
ke'le an evil spirit 61.6
čū'mñı buck (stem čumña)
krımı'nı three-year-old buck 117.11
u'mkı bear 110.11
wi'ur scraping board (stem wiuri)
e'wič small bag (stem ewiču)
```

In case the loss of terminal vowel results in an inadmissible terminal cluster, auxiliary vowels are introduced:

```
e'kık son (stem ekke)
e'rım, e'rem chief (stem erme)
ku'kıl one-eyed (stem kuwle <*kukle)
lu'kıl driving-reindeer, not properly broken in; (stem luwle
<*lukle?)
```

(2) Stems ending in -nv lose their terminal v.

```
ê'wgan incantation 129.18 (stem êwganv)
ê'tin master 122.38 (stem êtinv)
```

(3) Stems ending in  $\tilde{n}$  with preceding vowel drop the terminal  $\tilde{n}$  or at least reduce its pronunciation to a voiceless  $\tilde{n}$ . This occurs particularly in Chukchee.

Chukchee Kor. Kam. *êna'nvina* scraper (stem *êna'n*ena'nvina (stem enanvinañ)  $vina'\tilde{n}$ ) kenu'ne staff (stem kenu'nen) 101.9  $li'\tilde{n}li$  heart (stem  $li\tilde{n}$ ) li'ñliñ po'mpo mushroom (stem poñ)  $po^{\varepsilon\prime}npo^{\varepsilon}n$ pi'mpi powder (stem  $pi\tilde{n}$ )

(4) A number of stems with consonantic ending have a double form of the stem, one ending with the consonant, another one ending in a, e, or i, which are suffixed to the stem. The absolute form is the stem form without terminal vowel.

Kor, Kam.

Ai'wan an Asiatic Eskimo (stems ai'wan and aiwana) Intu'ulpir son-in-law (stems intuulpir and intuulpire)  $uw\ddot{a}^{\epsilon\prime}qu\check{c}$  husband (stems  $uw\ddot{a}^{\epsilon\prime}qu\check{c}$  and  $uw\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}qu\check{c}i$ ) ilir island (stems ilir and iliri)

(5) Irregular forms are—

Chukchee  $e^{i\epsilon'}n_I$  wolf (stem  $[l].e^{i\epsilon}g$ )  $i^{\varepsilon'}y_{I}y$  (stem  $i^{\varepsilon}y$ ) eietin neck (stem eienn)  $i^{\epsilon}'nnsin$  (stem  $[l]i^{\epsilon}nn, i^{\epsilon}nn$ ) ELU'ê nephew (stem ELUWGO) ILO'yo (stem ILOY) intê' daughter-in-law (stem intiyo) a'kan fishhook (stem  $a^{\epsilon}n < *aqn?$ ) ga'le bird (stem galha) ve'le raven (stem velve)  $e^{i\varepsilon'}tit$  anser segetum (stem  $e^{i\varepsilon}tu$ ) tu'mgin stranger (stem tumŭk); compare, however, the reduplicated form tu'mgitum companion formed from tu'mgin (in compounds -tu'mgin, as yiče'mit-tu'mgin brother) tu'mŭk serves also as possessive form.

## § 32 SPECIAL FORMS

A number of pronouns form the absolute form in a special manner.

(1) Personal pronouns.

gŭm I (Kor. gŭmma; Kamch. kı'mma) git thou (Kor. gi'ssa; Kamch. ki'ja)

- (2) The personal pronoun Ena'n (Kor. E'nnu; Kamch. Ena') HE is formed from the stem en-.
- (3) The personal pronouns of the plural are formed with the suffix -i. In Koryak the dual has the suffix -i; the plural, -u. In Kamchadal we find -a for the first and second persons.

mu'ri (Kor. dual mu'yi, pl. mu'yu; Kamch. mu'ja) we (stem murg[Kor.  $mu\check{e}h$ -, Kamch. mijg-])

tu'ri (Kor. dual tu'yi, pl. tu'yu; Kameh. tu'ja) ye (stem turg- [Kor.  $tu\check{c}h$ -, Kameh. tijg-])

E'rri (Kor. dual a'čći, pl. a'čču; Kamch. 1tx) they (stem Erg- [Kor. ačh-, Kamch. tx-])

- (4) Interrogative personal pronoun.

  me'ñin who (stem mik-) (Kor. ma'ki [stem mik])
- (5) Indefinite pronoun.

rä<sup>e</sup>nut what (stem req) (Kor. yı'nna [stem yaq])
ni'rkıñut a certain one (stem nirke) (Kor. ni'yka, ni'ykıñvut [stem niyka])

ni'kiñut a certain thing (stem nike)

## Dual and Plural (§§ 33-35).

#### § 33. GENERAL REMARKS

Chukchee, Koryak II, and Kamchadal have only two numbers; while Koryak I has also a dual, which corresponds in form to the plural of the Chukchee. The plural of the Koryak, both I and II, presents a set of distinct forms.

#### § 34. PLURAL OF COMMON NOUNS

The plural of common nouns occurs only in the absolute form. In Chukchee it is formed by the suffix -t. Stems ending in l, r, n,  $\ell$ , y, t, take  $-t_l^i$  instead.

lile't eyes e'kket sons

qu'tti the others 115.17 qla'ultê men 121.9 yičemre'tti brothers 64.3 ñe'wänti women 50.4, 6 ñeus'qä'tti women 112.5 pe'kulti butcher-knives 84.21 ñi'ngäiti children 112.10, 15; 113.12

ñi'nqägti 51.10

a<sup>ε</sup>'ttīqägti pups 122.18

inpiñe'wqägti little old women
45.1

le'utti heads 86.8

Words which have a double stem form (see § 31, 4), have also double forms in the plural.

Ai'wan an Asiatic Eskimo (stems aiwan, aiwana); plural ai'wantê, ai'wanat

uwä<br/>  $^\epsilon qu$ č husband (stems uwä<br/>  $^\epsilon qu$ č, uwä<br/>  $^\epsilon qu$ či); plural uwä<br/>  $^\epsilon qu$ tti, uwä<br/>  $^\epsilon qu$ čit

i'lir island (stems ilir, iliri); plural ili'tti, i'lirit

#### Koryak:

The dual of Koryak I has the same suffix.

lila't two eyes

qo'yat two reindeer (Chukchee qa'at reindeer)

vai'amst two rivers (vai'amti Kor. 17.1, Chukchee ve'emst rivers)

The plural is formed in many Koryak dialects by -u after terminal consonants, -wqi, -vvi (according to dialect), after terminal vowel.

qla'wulu men Kor. 44.3

ña'witqatu women Kor. 44.2

qai-pipi'kalñu little mice Kor. 25.6

ñawa'kku daughters Kor. 27.1

a'gimu bags Kor. 28.5

kmi'ñu children Kor. 44.7

vai'amu rivers (stem vaiam)

lila'wgi eyes

mımlu'wgi lice Kor. 25.4

imčanaļa'wge ermines Kor. 66.18

qoya'wge reindeer (stem qoya; qoya'we Kor. 22.4)

qapa'au wolverenes (<qapay-u) Kor. 12.7

u'kkamau vessels Kor. 28.5

ki'plau mortars Kor. 51.5 (kipla'wi Kor. 53.8)

#### Kamchadal:

The plural suffix of Kamchadal is  $-(I)^{\varepsilon}n$ .

 $u^{\epsilon}h$  tree  $kocx \operatorname{dog} kist$  house

 $u^{\epsilon'}h_I^{\epsilon}n$  trees  $kcxo^{\epsilon}n$  dogs  $ki'sti^{\epsilon}n$  houses

Stems ending in n or l take the glottal stop before the terminal consonant, and take no ending, but may modify the last vowel of the stem.

lŭl eye

 $l \check{u}^{\varepsilon} l$  eyes

këli'lan spotted seal me'mil ground-seal

këli'li<sup>e</sup>n spotted seals me'mi<sup>e</sup>l ground-seals

In the material collected by Dybowsky<sup>1</sup> in southern Kamchatka, t and d occur as plural endings.

iauin ear kosch dog uan stone ivut ears
kosgut dogs
uad stones

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Słowniki Narzeczy Ludow Kamczackich Rozprawe Widziału filologicznego Akademii Ume jętnóści w Krakowie, 1892, vol. xvii, pp. 107, 113, 120.

The Kamchadal dialect of Sedanka also has the ending -t.

veta'tılan workman sü'nkil the one who flies veta'tılat workmen sü'nkilat those who fly

This can not be due to the influence of the neighboring Koryak II, which has no dual, and uses only the u ending of the plural.

#### § 35. PLURAL OF PERSONAL NOUNS.

-(I)nti(Kor. Kam. the same) [-(I)n+ti; for -(I)n see § 39], expresses a group of people belonging to and including a person of the name to which the suffix is added. In Koryak Kamenskoye the ending designates two persons only. This form is also used with the interrogative pronoun.

Ye'tılınti Yetılın and bis family (Kor. Ačče'pınınti) Ačče'pın and his wife ñe'wänti their wives mi'kinti (Kor. Kam. ma'kinti) who? (see p. 726)

## Koryak Kamenskoye:

Vaļvīmtīļa<sup>e</sup>'ninti Raven-Man and his wife Kor. 12.1 Yini'a-ña'wgutinti Yini'a-ñawgut and her husband Kor. 19.5

A group of more than two is expressed in Koryak Kamenskoye by the plural ending -wgi, but also by -inu.

Aččepina'wge Ačče'pin and his family.

Quyqinn'aqu'wgi Big-Raven and his people Kor. 39.10

Amamqu'tinu Ememqut's people Kor. 43.7

pipi'kča-ña'wgutinu mouse-women Kor. 23.3

## § 36. Exclamatory Form of Nouns

Nouns may be given an exclamatory form by transferring the accent to the end of the stem, especially with the last word of the sentence.

kimilhi'n worms 39.3

When the accentuation is stronger, the last vowel is changed to o. In this case, proper names lose their suffixes, and have the accent on the last vowel of the stem.

Yeto'l

O Ye'tılın!

remkilo'n a guest! 111.19

Quto'w

O Qutu'wgi!

## Koryak:

miko'n vannılño'n! whose tooth Kor. 34.4 ñawako'k! daughter! Kor. 22.7 tılago'n! I found! Kor. 24.1

§§35-36

In some cases, when the noun ends in a vowel, an -7 is added, and the accent thrown upon the end of the word.

Araroi' Upenkei' R 72.15 Mitei' 83.12 Kor. 37. 2 Kor. Quae' / Kor. 74.29

Kor. *Quqe'!* Kor. 74.29 Kor. *Yiñei'* Kor. 88.1

also glei

O Ara'ro!

O Upe'nke!

O Miti!

O Quyqinn'a'qu

O Yini'aña'wgut

O man! (from qlik, which otherwise is used only in compounds)

#### § 37. Subjective Form

- $\underline{e}$ , - $t\underline{\ddot{q}}$ ,  $\underline{\ddot{q}}$  (Chukchee). Instrumental; used in place of object when the verb is intransitive (e. g., she cooked with meat = she cooked meat); subject of transitive verb.<sup>1</sup>

(a) After terminal vowel -tä:

ekke'tä by the son 18.9 temu'netä with shell-fish 9.8 uwäe'qučitä i'unin the husband told her lile'tä with an eye

vala'ta with knives 16.4
rı'rkata by walrus 9.9; 10.6
tar-qa'ata qe'rkuLin bought with
how many reindeer

(b) After terminal consonant -\(\bar{a}\):

eñe'ñilä by a shaman 7.5;
14.12; 15.9

wu'lqä by darkness 18.12

žii'gä by the father 18.4

rä'yipä with a drill 8.1; 11.2

yl'lqä by sleep 10.6, 7

ae'ttwilä by the boat's crew
10.9; 12.4

re'rilä by the bow-man 10.10

eñe'ñä with the spirits 16.3

evirä clothing (obj.) 13.6

u'ttä with wood

Ell'gä re'nnin the father brought it

poi'ga with a spear 12.9

kopa'lha with walrus-blubber 14.11

Aiwhuyanpına'cha by an old

St. Lawrence man 13.9

Eiwhue'lä by the St. Lawrence

people 11.10; 12.3, 11; 17.1

(c) After terminal consonant -e. This e may be part of the stem that drops out on the absolute form.

e'če uwi'i<sup>ε</sup> with fat she cooked (i. e., she cooked fat)

(d) After terminal n often, after r sometimes,  $-\underline{\epsilon}t\ddot{a}$ . Words of this group are those with double-stem forms § 31.4

qêlêtkına'ta along the ice-top 13.7
rımne'tä and rı'mnä with the inner skin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For proper names, see § 39. Compare nominal forms of verbs, No. 3, § 64.

aiwana'ta the Aiwan 46.6; 49.2 Intu'ulpiretä by the son-in-law 80.22 and Intu'ulpirä

 $-t\alpha$ , -a (Kor. Kam). Instrumental and subject of transitive verbs (as in Chukchėe).

lila'ta with an eye
u'tta with the wood
a''!a'ta with excrement Kor. 12.5
čake'ta by the sister Kor. 18.10
ñi'!ña with a line Kor. 41.3
yiċa'myi-tu'mga by the brother Kor. 20.6
ña'wıtqata by the woman Kor. 21.5
ya''mka by the people Kor. 39.7
yı'pna with the inner skin Kor. 48.8

With these endings are also found, formed from locatives (see §§ 38, 58) —

Kor, Kam,

by which place

by that place (midway)

by this place

by that place

at night

at mid-day

minke'tä minka'ta wutke'tä wutča'ta En ke'tä Enka'tavä'añkata vaieña'ta nıki'tä 12.9; 14.10 nıki'ta  $qine't-a^{\varepsilon}lo'$ gino't-a<sup>ε</sup>lo' ñunge'tä there, by itself no'tingata there, behind the speaker ño'onkata there, farther on ñenke'tä there, far off Here belong also the Chukchee forms ñunge'tä there, by itself

ñunqe'tä there, by itself ño'tīñqata there, behind speaker ño'onqanata there, farther on ñenke'tä there, far off

-l' Kamchadal. Instrumental.

Chukchee

 $u^{\epsilon}l'$  with wood (from  $u^{\epsilon}h$  wood) lule'l' with the eye (stem lul)

# Locative Form (§§ 38-39)

§ 38. COMMON NOUNS

-(i)k, -kI, -qI (Koryak the same) expresses the locative. ve'emīk nītvo'qên he lives on the river

ELa'qī nītvo'qên he lives with the mother

nu'tek (Kor. nu'tak) on the land

The forms  $-k\underline{i}$  and  $-q\underline{i}$ , also  $-ek\underline{i}$  and  $-eq\underline{i}$  are used after some stems, but no definite rule in regard to their use can be laid down.

gử/mữk and gử/muqǐ in my possession yo'oqǐ in the wind (from yo'o wind)
ELa'qī at the mother's (from ELa' mother)

ħelvŭle'kĭ at the herd (from ħe'lvŭl herd)

vêli'tko-laula'kı at the merchant's (from vêlitko-la'ul merchant)

Stems with the terminal clusters lh, čh, th, rg, ng may drop the terminal sound in the locative:

pi'lhın throat gı'thın lake mı'ngılıñın hand pi'lik in the throat gi'tik at the lake mi'nik at the hand

The forms pi'lhik, gi'thik, mi'ngik, however, are also in use.

Verbal nouns with the suffix -qyrq(in) (§ 106.44) have in the locative -inkior -rik:

kañka'čirgin descent titta'tirgin climbing up kañka'čirinki and kañka'čirik titta'tirinki and titta'tirik

Note.—These two forms appear with distinctive meaning in the locative of gito'lhin side:

gito'liñki on the side of the mountain gito'lhik on the side of a person

This suffix is often weakened to -g, or even disappears entirely. Thus we find nu'tek, nu'teg, and nu'te in the country; ya'rak and ya'ra at home; the k may also be replaced by i. The leu'ti on the head 44.5;  $a'\bar{n}qa-\check{e}o'rmi$  on the seashore 12.4

walqa'rık in the jawbone house, 44.14
nute's qak on the ground, 15.5
rag-čo'rmık on the house border, 12.12
a'ñqak on the sea, 13.3; Kor. 25.7
gi'lgilık on the sea ice, 13.3
tu'wkık on the ice-floe, 13.3
tı'mkık on a hummock, 62.7
qä'čekıčhık on a thong of young walrus-hide, 62.8
lile'k (Kor. lila'k) in the eye

## Koryak:

va'amik in the river Kor. 32. 1, 2 či'čhiñik in the armpits Kor. 18.9 ya'yak in the house Kor. 19.9 ulgu'vik in the cache Kor. 80.10 yaqa'lik in the porch Kor. 80.13 i'ya\*q in the sky Kor. 19.3 qas wuqe'ñki at the foot of the sto

qas wuge nkr at the foot of the stone-pine bushes Kor. 21.7

With nouns designating animate beings, the suffix -k expresses the possessor.

e'kkek va'rkın (Kor. Kam., a'kkak va'ykın) it is the son's ınaa'lık va'rkın in the neighbor's (house) he is 19.2 ge'mge-ni'kek whosoever 20.7

Kor. a'al tu'yık va'ykın have you an axe? Kor. 63.5

Kor. Tike'nviyik va'ykin With-Smell-Pusher-Away hasit Kor. 63.4

Personal pronouns also have this ending, while proper names and personal demonstrative pronouns have the ending  $-(i)n\ddot{a}$  (see § 41).

The personal pronoun is used with the ending -k, particularly when the noun to which it is attached with possessive significance has a suffix  $(-t\ddot{a}, -qti, \text{ etc.})$ , while in the absolute form the suffix -in belonging to or made of is used (see § 46 and also § 47). In similar cases nouns designating animate beings are often used with the ending -k.

gŭmŭ'k e'kkeg ñalvŭlê' pŭ qäi'mithin take from my son's herd gŭmŭ'k akka'î pŭ from my son (gŭm I; -k possessive; ekke son; -i pŭ from [§ 42])

Enr'g-nu'tek ne'rmeqin ke'lz in his own country the kele is strong 123.25

 $m\theta'r\hat{e}g$ -rak in our houses 84.16

Kor. mama'nak tetei'trñ on mamma's needle Kor. 25.2 Kor. Miti'nak čai'učhu into Miti's work-bag Kor. 38.4.

## Here belong—

wu'tku (Kor. wu'tčuk) here E'n'ki (Kor. ä'nki, Kamchadal E'nki) there va'äñki (Kor. vai'eñ) there (midway to) ño'onki there (farther on) ra'äñki there (behind the person addressed) ño'tiñki, ño'tiñqi, there (behind the speaker) ñu'nki (Kamchadal ño'nke) (aside by itself) mi'ñki (Kor. mi'nki) where ñe'n'ku there (far off)

All these form allative, ablative, and instrumental, see § 58.

-nk (Kamchadal); after terminal n, -k, also in some other cases. Locative, and subject of transitive verbs.

lŭ'lenk on the eye

ci'mtenk on the land.

txu'ntxunk in the darkness
(from txu'ntxun)
a'ttnŭnk and a'ttnŭk in the

a'tınŭnk and a'tınŭk in the village (from atınŭm)

With nouns designating animate objects, the suffix -nk designates the possessor.

p!i'č!ink čhi'zkinin it is the son's

The suffixes expressing directions to and from of the Kamchadal also contain the ending -nk, while in Chukchee and Koryak they are formed by the endings  $-gt_l$ , and  $-g\mathring{u}p\mathring{u}$  (see §§ 40–43). The distinct origin of these elements may still be recognized in Kamchadal by the fact that the termination for toward always, that for from generally, causes ablaut, while the -nk of the locative is neutral. For direction from we find, for instance—

kist house

kix river

txu'ntxun darkness

a'tınŭm village

ki'stenk in or from the house
kê'stank to the house
ki'x`enk in or on the river
kê'x`ank to or from the river
txu'ntxunk in the darkness
txo'ntxonk to the darkness
a'tınŭnk or a'tınŭk in, to, or
from the village

These forms may be related to the possessive form of the Koryak proper names (see § 39).

## § 39. PERSONAL NOUNS

 $-(I)n\ddot{a}$ . Subjective and possessive of proper names of persons and of a few appellative nouns.

Ye'tılınä Yetılın's

a'têna father's (a'te father, in the language of children)

apai'ñina grandfather's (apai'ñin < epe-yñin GRANDFATHER, in the language of children)

epeqä'yınä grandmother's (epe'qäi<epe-qäi GRANDMOTHER, in the language of children)

tumgi'inä friend's (tumgi'ninä, in the pronunciation of women) Îelpuñe'nä loë'o things seen by Telpuñe R 379, no. 142 title Tño'tirgina ti'lqätyä<sup>ɛ</sup>k I go to Tño'tirgin 120.36

ni'rke- a certain one, qut another one (§ 60), all personal demonstratives and interrogatives (§ 58) have the same forms.

-(I)nak (Kor. Kam.). Probably formed from the suffix -(I)na and the possessive -k.

Miti'nak Miti's Kor. 15.11 Přěi'qalaenak Bird-Man Kor. 16.4 Ačěe'pınak Ačče'pın's wu'tininak this one's mi'kinak who Kor. 12.7 Note.—The subjective of the personal pronoun in -nan may be related to this form. The possessive form of these pronouns, however, is formed in -n (see § 56)

## Allative and Ablative (§§ 40-43.)

## § 40. ALLATIVE OF COMMON NOUNS, CHUKCHEE AND KORYAK

 $-qt_{\mathcal{S}}^{I}$ ,  $-\hat{c}t_{\mathcal{S}}^{I}$ ,  $-wt_{\mathcal{S}}^{I}$  (Chukchee);  $-itI(\tilde{n})$ ,  $-etI(\tilde{n})$  (Koryak), expresses the direction to, also the indirect object, on account of, for the benefit of.

In Chukchee - $gt_l$  is used after vowels, except o; - $\hat{e}t_l$  after consonants; - $wt_l$ , after o.

Examples of -gti after vowels:

qaa'gtį tr'lqätyä<sup>e</sup>k I went to the reindeer añqa'gtį eiñe'utkui<sup>e</sup> he called to the sea 8.5; also 49.5; 25.5 anganyača'gt to the seaside 49.6 nota'gt; to the country 51.2 čaučuwa'gtį to the reindeer-breeder 48.9 yara'gt to the house 105.27 lêla'gt to the eye a la-qopla gt, on an excrement-pile 45.5 kala'gt to a kele 97.12 girgola'gti upward 16.5 girgogča'gti upward 47.4 anvê'nauka'gtı to an unbroken one 50.12 (ä-kä not) ta'lva-pa'lko-vê<sup>e'</sup>gtı to one merely dying of old age 21.7 akka'gtı tre'tyäen I brought it for the son qaa'gti on account of the reindeer 48.12 uwaqočê'gtį on account of the husband 48.12

## Examples of -êti after consonants:

kaltê'tş to the bottom 9.7
naranêntitko'ñiñoñin notas'qê'tş it shall be thrown on the ground
25.3; also 16.7
mêmlê'tş to the water 48.5
ra²ulê'tş to the whaler 46.5
a²qa'kamaanvê'tş to the owners of bad dishes 96.7
rimnê'ti to the inner skin
ñočê'ti to the poor ones 96.26
ELigê'ti qäti' he went to the father 109.3
yê'čamêt-to'mgêti qäti' he went to the brothers 110.1
tñairgê'ti to the dawn 41.7
yê'lhê'ti to the moon 41.11

```
pênyolhê'tı on to the hearth 32.7
gıno'nêtı to the middle 10.7; 16.8
Ergıp-ya'lhêtı on account of the bright moon 14.11
```

Examples of -wti, -uti, after o

qaaračikou'ti under the sledge-cover 110.8 yorou'ti to the sleeping-room 39.10 mêmličikou'ti into the water 17.4

-ItI, -etI (Koryak)

-tti used after all vowels.

yaya'iti to the house (yaite'ti verbal, from yaite'kin Kor. 17.3) yoyo'iti to the sleeping-room

*lela'iti* to the eye

gičgolai'tı to the upper part Kor. 20.1 yınoi'tı to the rear storeroom Kor. 35.6

-eti after consonants.

yıpnê'tı to the inner skin

oļhīwe'tīñ to the cache Kor. 36.3

ymootñe'ti into the vent-hole Kor. 43.3

Here belong the allatives of the locative demonstratives and interrogatives, which take -ri in Chukchee.

	Chukchee	Koryak Kamchadel
whither	$mi' \tilde{n} kri$	menkei'tı ma'nke
hither		wotčai'tı
thither	$E \tilde{n} k r i$	. {änkai'tıñ {enkai'tı Kor. 17.2
thither (midway)	va'änřê	vaieñai't1
thither	ñe'nři	ñankai'tı

#### § 41. ALLATIVE OF PERSONAL NOUNS

-(I)na to, towards. Used only with proper names, personal demonstratives, and with a few appellative nouns.

Ya'tılına to Yetil in

a'têna to father (a'te father, in the language of children)

apai'ñına to grandfather (apai'ñin [<epe-yñın], GRANDFATHER in the language of children)

apaqa'yına to grandmother (epe'qäi [<epe-qäi] GRANDMOTHER in the language of children)

temgê'êna to the friend (te'mgınına, in the pronunciation of women)

wo'tqanêna (Kor. Kam. wo'tenena) to this one mê'kêna (Kor. Kam. me'kena) to whom

-(I) $na(\tilde{n})$  (Kor. Kam.) Towards, to. Used only with proper names. Pronouns belonging to this group have na like the corresponding Chukchee form.

 $A\check{c}\check{c}e'pina(\tilde{n})$  to  $A\check{c}\check{c}epina$ Mete'na to Miti Kor. 43.2

The related suffix,  $-(t)\tilde{n}$  or  $-na(\tilde{n})$ , may be used with a few appellative nouns;  $-\tilde{t}tt$  (see § 40) occurs as well.

ta'tañ or ta'tanañ to father; ta'tana Kor. 74.15 (ta'ta father, in the language of children); but iṭai'ti to the mother

## § 42. ABLATIVE IN -gŭpŭ

- $\Vec{v}p\Vec{w}$ , - $\Vec{g}'p\Vec{w}$ , - $\Vec{g}\Vec{w}$  $\Vec{w}$  (Chukchee) from, out of, across, along. - $\Vec{v}$  $\Vec{p}$  $\Vec{w}$  with stems ending in a vowel.

lêla'ĭpŭ from the eye
Roltannênai'pŭ from Rulte'nnin 124.8 (see § 31, 4)
qaai'pŭ lei'wulin along the
reindeer (herd) the walking
one

narginoi'py from outside 12.10 (see § 31, 4; of nargino'lin that staying in the outer tent)

qolê-notai'pu from another land 14.12; 113.11; 136.21 notai'pŭ nīlei'vuqinet they walked along the (open) land 17.9 pottiñai'pŭ by the holes 47.2 añqañqačai'pŭ from the seaside 49.8 (see § 31, 4) qaačikoi'pŭ from the herd 51.2 pagtalkoi'pŭ along the crevices

pagtalkoi'pŭ along the crevices 22.6 čottagničikoi'pŭ from the outer tent 131.5

êučai'pŭ from below 131.5
En·kêĕīkoī'pŭ from there (inside)
131.12

-gặpặ mostly with stems ending in a single consonant.

va'amgŭpŭ from the river nımnı'mgŭpŭ nı'pkir-mu'ri we came from the settlement 10.12 pêpê'ggŭpŭ by the ankle 50.11

-êpŭ mostly with stems ending in two consonants.

orgê'pu from the sledge
lautê'pǔ ki'plinên he struck him across the head (see 8.1)
ronmê'pǔ from under the outer tent-cover 12.9
yikirgê'pu across its mouth 115.1

čot-tagnê'pǔ from the outer tent
gamga-va'irgê'pǔ among all beings 22.2
ranmê'pǔ from the border of the house 130.16
êpi'nmêpǔ from under the wall 130.16

-e'pu (only in Koryak II, in a number of dialects; for instance, in the village of Ki'čhin in Kamchatka).

nute'pu qalai'vulin he walked along the open land

#### $\S$ 43. POST-POSITIONS IN -nk, -nq, -nq, -nq, -(n)qo, -nqorI

-ñqo (Koryak I) from, out of (not with the meaning across, Along).

leļa'ñqo from the eye
ega'ñko from heaven Kor. 33.4
kipla'gigiñko out of the bottom of the mortar Kor. 53.3
menka'ñqo (mañe'nko Kor. 33.7) whence
wotča'ñqo from here
ñanka'ñqo thence
ña'nakañqo Kor. 42.3
änka'nqo from there
vai'eñqo from there (not very far)

-nqo, -nqo'rI (Chukchee) from, not free; only in the following adverbs:

mê'nqo and mêñqo'rı whence (mê'ñko 113.19)
ño'onqo and ño'oñqo'rı from there (far off) (ño'onko 76.5; 131.8)
va'enqo va'äñqo and va'änqorı from there (not very far)
ño'tenqo and ño'tıñqorı from behind the speaker
ra'enqo from behind the person addressed
ñu'nqu and ñunqu'ri from there
e'ñqo, 86.18 en'qo'ro 65.18 and enqo'rı from there 125.3;
wo'tqo, wotqoro 124.10 and wotqo'rı from here
(ña'nqo means, however, simply Here)
ña'nko 12.7 From this is formed the ablative ñan'koi'pŭ.
ña'nıko there Kor. 32.1
qoro' COME HERE! (Kor. qoyo is probably the exclamatory form

for  $\tilde{n}a'nqori$  Hither. The latter form is rarely used. Kor. Kam. qo'yin Hither is perhaps the ablative of the same form. qoro'  $\tilde{n}a'nko$  then come here! R 73.76 qo'ro 101.3

-nk (Kamchadal). Used in most oblique cases. Since all Kamchadal stems end in consonants, this suffix requires a connecting vowel which corresponds in character to the vowel of the stem.

i, i, e u, ü, E, are found in this position.

The allative always has the strong form of the connecting vowel. The suffix often takes the termination -e.

sün the wood
sünk from the wood
sö'nke to the wood
küx the sea
kü'xenk from the sea
kë'xanke to the sea
3045°—Bull. 40, pt. 2—12——45

lŭl the eye
lŭ'lank from the eye
lŭ'lank to the eye
E'nki there
ño'nke there, thus
ma'nke whence, whither, how
§ 43

## § 44. Post-positions of Plurals of Personal Nouns

The plurals of personal nouns form their locative, allative, ablative (§§ 39, 41), and possessives (p. 709) by adding the stem of the pronoun (i)rg they (Kor. Kam. [i]y) to the stem. The allative and ablative forms differ, however, somewhat, from the forms of the independent pronoun.

## stem (1)rg they

	` ' '	
	Independent pro	
absolute	E'rrI	personal noun.
allative	Erika'gti	Erik
ablative	erikai pŭ	· —ı'rgŭpŭ
qla'ul man		qlaulı'rgŭpŭ from the people
ora'wêlan per	son	orawêra'rgên belonging to men
Tiña'p (a nam	ne)	Tiña'perik with Tiña'p and his family
		(locative and allative)
		Tīña' pīrgên belonging to Tiña'p's fam-
		ily, belonging to Tiña'p 1

#### Kor. Kam.:

Pipi'kča-ña'wgut Mouse-Woman	Pipi'kča-ña'wgutiyik by Mouse-
•	Women Kor. 31.1
Annimaya't Frost-Man	Annimaya'tiyik by those with
,	the Frost-Man Kor. 38.9
Ai'ginvi With-Odor - Pushing -	Aiginvi'yikiñ to the people of
Åway	With-Odor-Pushing-Away
J.	Kor. 63.6
Quyqinn aqu Big-Raven	Qoyqınn'aqoyıkai'ti to the Big-
	Raven's people Kor. 19.9;
	35.6

The k in the suffixes of these forms is evidently related to the k which appears in the allative and ablative of the independent pronoun derived from the stem (i)rg (Chukchee), as given in § 56.

Miti's hin belonging to Miti Kor, 28.7 Quyqınn aqu'čhin belonging to Big-Raven Kor. 28.7

Here Koryak s.h and čh are analogous to Chukchee rg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In cases of this kind the plural is often used to refer to the person himself.

### §§ 45-50. Form in -in

#### § 45. GENERAL REMARKS

A considerable number of forms ending in in occur, which are seminominal in character. I have found—

Chukchee	Koryak	Kamchadal	
-in	-in	-in	possessive
-kin	-kin	-in, -n	pertaining to
$-l\hat{i}n$	$-\dot{l}\hat{a}^{arepsilon}n$	^ ·	measure of a quality
$n_I$ — $q$ i $n$	$n_I$ —' $q$ i $n$		quality of
q <b>e</b> $-l$ <b>i</b> $n$	ga—ļ <b>i</b> n		possessor of

All of these form their plural and post-positional forms by adding the vowel e before the affix added to -in. For example:

					Chukchee	Koryak Kam.
Absolute .			•		$n_I m e' l q i n$	$n_I m a' l q i n$
Subjective					nımelqine'tä	nımalgina'ta
Locative .					nıme'lqinek	nıma'lqinak
Plural-Dual					nıme'lginet 1	nıma'lqinat
Plural						nıma'lqinaw

On the whole, forms of this type with post-positions are rare.

mi'ñkri-va'lıt ple'kıt tegge'ñu nıne'lgığıt? Nıme'yıñqinet mei'mitinet. How do you want your boots? I want large ones
(mi'ñkri how; va'lıt being, pl. (§ 54); ple'kıt boots pl.; teggeñ
desire; -u serving for; nı- prefix of nominalized verb [§ 73];
-nelg to have; -gıt thou; nı—qinet nominalized form of verb,
pl.; me'iñ large, m- 1st per. exhortative; eimit to take;
-net [I]— them, exhortative)

To the question  $r\ddot{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}$ - $n\dot{e}'lh\ddot{a}$   $g\dot{e}rku\dot{\iota}\dot{n}$ ? With what kind of skins has it been bought? ( $r\dot{e}q$  what; ne'lhin skin; - $\ddot{a}$  instrumental;  $ge-l\dot{\iota}n$  nominalized verb [§ 73]; -rkur to buy) one may answer—

nitenqine'tä with good ones (ni-qin nominalized verb; ni-qinetä instrumental of this form; ten good);

but it is better to avoid the nominalized form with suffix, and to say, ten-ne'lhä with a good skin

em-te'n ñila nike'i the sportful people teased him (em-mere; te'n ñila subjective form of te'n ñilin sportful [the corresponding verb with the suffix -eu is ten ñe'urkin to laugh]; nike'i indefinite pronominal verb, nike'rkin to do something)

These forms, however, have definite, augmentative, and diminutive forms.

teñ good nite'ngin definite form nitangê $na'\check{c}hin$  (see § 53)  $te'\tilde{n}$ ıčın (see § 55) augmentative form tañiči'yñin (see § 98, no. 1) augmentative  $ta'\tilde{n}um$ -va'lin (see §76) form ta'ñum-vali'yñin diminutive form  $tand \cdot ya'n (see §104.38)$ tand 'ya' nvuqai

In Koryak these forms are not found, as a rule.

#### § 46. SUFFIX -in.

-in (Kor. -in; Kamchadal -in) expresses material of which an object is made, and possession.

(a) Material.

y'ttin wooden (Kor. y'ttin)
gg'lgện йт çvi'rīt bird dresses 7.8
gg'lhện i'rīn bird clothes 14.3
gg'rện ng'lhịn reindeer-skins 14.4
gelge'lin gla'ul man of excrement 39.6
yarg'ñı wy'kwên house of stone 92.5
kg'nện made of horse (hair) (stem kg'nệ from Russian конь)
r't'grīgện made of hair

Koryak:

kuka'kin gatai'kilin it is made of a kettle Kor. 78.1 mi'mčin (made) of a louse Kor. 78.1

The same idea is also expressed by composition.

ga'lga-na'lhm bird-skin u'ttī-yu'ñī wooden whale Kor. 40.9

(b) Possessive. Used only in absolute form.

e'kkin the son's (Kor. Kam. a'kkin)

(Kamchadal i'cxin the father's)

qọ'rện the reindeer's (Kor. Kam. qo'yen; Kamchadal k!o'jan) cạu'cuwên ñe'wän the reindeer-breeder's wife 48.6

e'kkin yoro'ñi the son's sleeping-room 53.8

inping'čhệch Eli'ginện yoro'ñi the old man's, the father's sleeping-room 53.9

tụ'mgin stranger's (see p. 689) 53.9 grạ'wệtện aimaki'yñin a man's big body 90.14 ñaus qa'tčiñinện Ela' the woman's father 85.22
ñe'ekkin ya'nra yorg'ñi daughter's separate sleeping-room 28.3
ñe'ekkin čo'tčot the daughter's bag pillow 29.4
org'wêlên ga'mga-te'čirgin man's every source of illness 24.3
ke'le-ñe'us qatin kê'rkêr the combination-suit of the kele-woman
85.33

qla'ulqaiện i'rın the man's suit 85.35 ñinqa'yin evi'rın the child's clothes 25.8 Ku'urkılin e'kık Ku'urkıl's son 79.23 Tño'tirgınên Tño'tirgın's 120.16 Umqäqäi'in U'mqäqäi's 63.12

### Koryak:

tami'nñı-qla'wulen ñawa'kak an artisan's daughter Kor. 24.10 awa'ñı-ña'win ñawa'kak the daughter of a seamstress Kor. 25.2 tu'mgınau kawa'ssočhu other people's wallets Kor. 46.1 qo'yen qıtča'lñın reindeer-leg Kor. 53.3

Proper names form their possessives of this type also with the suffix -(I)n, especially when the terminal sound of the stem is a vowel.

A'nna (a name)
Qutu'wgi (a name)
Aiñanwa't (a name)
Upe'nken belonging
to Upenke R72.13
Ñiro'nên belonging
to Ñiro'n R377,

141 title.

A'nnan belonging to A'nna
Qutu'wgin belonging to Qutu'wgi
Aiñanwa'tın and Aiñanwa'tên belonging
to Aiña'nwat.

In Koryak the suffix -in, characteristic for the postpositional forms of proper names, is sometimes inserted before the possessive suffix -in.

Amamqu'tınin ña'wıtqat Ememqut's woman Kor. 45.1. Quyqinn aqu'nin ñawa'kak Brig Raven's daughter Kor. 76.14

The plural takes the regular plural ending  $-\underline{e}t$  (Kor. Kam. -at dual, -au plural, Kamchadal  $-e'^{\varepsilon}n$  instead of -in)

e'kkinet those of the son (Kor. Kam. a'kkinat dual, a'kkinau pl.) (Kamchadal  $i'cx\bar{e}^{\varepsilon}n$  those of the father)

Often, however, the singular is used instead of the plural.

The possessive forms of proper names have no plural.

The possessive pronoun is evidently based on this suffix. It has, however, somewhat irregular forms.

		kchee	., Ко	or. Kam.	Kamo	chadal
		Poss. Pron.	Per. Pron.	Poss. Pron.	Per. Pron.	Poss. Pron.
1st per. sing.	. <i>g</i> ŭm	gŭ $m$ $n$ $i'$ $n$	gŭmma	gŭ $m$ $n$ $i'$ $n$	$k_{I'mma}$	$k_{I}ma'n$
2d per. sing.	$g_I t$	$g_{I}ni'n$	$g_{I'}ssa$	$g_{I}ni'n$	$k_{I'ja}$	$k_I n i' n$
3d per. sing.	· Ena'n	Eni'n	E'nnu	ani'n	Ena'	Ena'n
1st per. pl	. mu'ri	mu'rgin	mu'yu	mu'čhin	mu'ja	mı'jgin
2d per. pl	. tu'ri	tu'rgin	tu'yu	tu'čhin	tu'ja	tı'jhin
3d per. pl	. E'rri	E'rgin	a'čču	a'čhin	ıtx	txi'in

The Koryak dual has no possessive forms.

Plural and dual are formed in the same way as in all attributive terms in -in:—

Chukchee Kor. Kam. Kamchadal  $gumni'nat \text{ (dual)} \ kima^{\epsilon} n \text{ (pl.)} \ gumni'nau \text{ (pl.)}$ 

From these possessives, forms with suffixes originate.

gŭmnine'tä (Kor. Kam. gŭmnina'ta) with mine.

It is, however, more customary to use the personal pronoun with the suffix instead.

gomokai' pŭ qäi'mityin τακΕ ΙΤ FROM ΜΕ! (instead of τακΕ ΙΤ FROM MINE) (gomokaï pŭ see § 56; q—gin imperative; eimit to take)

Demonstrative pronouns form two possessive forms:

wo'tqanwo'tqanenbelongingthis(men's pronunciation wo'tqäen and wo'tqäenen)to thisenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nbelongingthatenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'nenqa'n

The forms in —*Enin* may be considered as compounded with the possessive of the third person singular personal pronoun Eni'n, so that they would be parallel to the plural forms of the demonstrative possessives discussed in § 58, p.729:  $wo'tqanErg\hat{e}n$  (man's pronunciation  $wo'tq\ddot{a}Erg\hat{e}n$ ) and  $E'nqanErg\hat{e}n$  (man's pronunciation  $E'nq\ddot{a}Erg\hat{e}n$ ).

The possessives of proper names in Koryak are formed in the same manner; as

Quyqınnaqu'nin ñawa'kak Big-Raven's daughter Kor. 76.14. Amamqu'tınin ña'wıtqat Ememqut's woman Kor. 45.1.

Kamchadal uses the suffixes with the possessive pronoun quite frequently.

kima'nl'inl' with my ears (kiman my; -l' instrumental; in ear) mi'nenl' x'va'nl' with which knife ? i'kninl' kcx'ol' with other dogs

#### § 47. SUFFIX -kin

-kin (Kor. Kam.-kin; Kamchadal-in,-n) PERTAINING TO. This suffix is added to all kinds of stems,—nominal, pronominal, verbal, and adverbial.

 $a\tilde{n}qa'k\hat{e}n$  of the sea 69.9 (Kor. Kam.  $a\tilde{n}qa'q\hat{e}n$  Kor. 76.17) tele'nkin pertaining to the remote past (tele'n-yep long ago); Kor. Kam. ankiye'pkin (stem anki-ye'p) Erga'tkin pertaining to to-morrow (Kor. Kam. miti'wkin) pi'lhikin pertaining to the throat 9.3 a<sup>e</sup>ttwile'kin pertaining to the people of the boats 11.9; 12.1  $a^{\epsilon'}ttwukin$  pertaining to the boat 14.6 ge'ptikin pertaining to the back 16.10 tile'kin pertaining to motion 16.10 mê'mlikên pertaining to water 25.6 kele'kin pertaining to spirits 104.26 g'rgukện pertaining to a sledge 62.11 qọi mạ-rọ kện pertaining to the rear sleeping-room 55.8 nute's qäkin tı'mkıllın a ground hummock 62.5 telenye'pkin belonging to olden times 61.5 mệnkọ' kên whence belonging? 113.20 wañê'ken working, referring to work (from wañê) yılqä'tkin referring to sleep

Forms with post-positions are rare.

girgolkêna'ta by the one belonging above 126.6

The possessive of the personal and of some demonstrative and interrogative pronouns, with the suffix -k i n (Kor. Kam. -k i n) expresses that pertaining to—

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	
mur1ke'kin	muyka'kin (dual	)	one being with us,
			one of ours one of our country
	mučka'kin (pl)	_	lone of our country
tite'kin	tita'kin	ite'an, ite'nan	from what time being
$mi ilde{n}ke'kin \ m ilde{e} ilde{n}ko'k ilde{e}n \ \}$	miñkakin Kor. 66.11	mi'nein	from where being, belonging
.7 .7 .	47.4		to what country
wutke'kin	$wut\check{c}a'kin$	ta'nin	belonging here
	minka'kinau		whose? Kor. 60.4
	ya'qkinau		of what kind (pl.)
			Kor. 64.14
	$\tilde{n}anka'kenat$	<del></del>	the two belonging
			there Kor. 70.22
			§47

Here belong also the following Chukchee forms:

En·ke'kin belonging there
ñen·ku'kin belonging there (farther on)
raenqa'kên belonging there (not very far, midway to)
vaenqa'kên belonging there (behind the person addressed)
ñotinga'kên belonging there (behind the speaker)

Such Koryak forms as  $minka'kila^{\epsilon}n$  belonging to what country (Kor. 40.7),  $ganka'kila^{\epsilon}n$  belonging to that country (Kor. 40.7), combine two suffixes, -kin and  $-la^{\epsilon}n$ , and refer to persons.

Temporal adverbs also take this suffix.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	
i'gitkin	$a'\check{c}h_Ikin$	what belongs to the
•	•	present
tite'kin	tita'kin	belonging to which
		time

Numeral predicates with the ending -kin express ordinal numbers.

milinkau'kên or milinka'ulin the fifth

#### § 48. SUFFIX -lin

-lin (Kor. Kam. - $la^{\epsilon}n$ ) (oblique cases formed from -l, Kor. -l) expresses the measure of a quality.

minke'mil qe'tvulin what likeness strong? (i. e., how strong?); Kor. Kam. menke'mič qa'tvula<sup>e</sup>n; Kor. Par. menke'mis<sup>\*</sup> qe'tvula<sup>e</sup>n

en·ke'mil gitte'piliŭm that likeness I am sensible (i. e., I am so sensible) (en·ke that; -iŭm [§73])

With the prefix ge- it indicates the possessor of an object.

qe—lin (Chukchee), qa—lin (Kor. Kam). This is identical with the verbal forms given in § 73. It expresses possession.

ga-qa'a-lên (Kor. Kam. ga-qoya'-lên) he who has reindeer g-ekke'-lîn (Kor. Kam. g-akka'-lîn) he who has sons garai'-git thou who hast a home 89.7 (see § 73). ga-pêla'-i-găm I have left ga-qaa'-i-qăm I who have reindeer. Korvak:

| gavagınña'len with nails Kor. 24.2 | gaṇa'lin with eyes Kor. 24.2 §48

#### § 49. SUFFIX -qin

n(I)—qin and -lIn (Chukchee), n(I)—qin (Kor. Kam.), are added to stems, most of which express a quality. Many of these are also bases of intransitive and transitive verbs which are formed with the suffixes -eu or -et (see p. 810). Some verbs, however, are formed without these suffixes.

The attributive terms in n(t)—qin are identical in form with the verbal mode in n(t)—qin, discussed in § 73. When the verb has no verbifying suffix -eu or -et, the verbal form and the attributive term are the same, and the verbal form seems to assume nominal functions. It may even take post-positions.

Examples of stems that are verbified by means of the suffixes -eu or-et:

Stems 
$$t\hat{e}rg$$
-;  $t\hat{e}rgat$  to weep  $n_{I}$ - $t\hat{e}'r$ - $a$ - $q\hat{e}n$  or  $te'rg$ - $i$ - $lin$  } tearful Stem  $k_{I}m$ -;  $k_{I}m\underline{e}'u$  (Kor. Kam.  $k_{I}ma'w$ -  $[ik_{I}n]$ ) slow  $n_{I}$ - $k_{I}i'm$ - $a$ - $qin$   $n_{I}$ - $k_{I}i'm$ - $a$ - $qin$  } slow-going Stem  $ay_{I}lh$ -;  $ay_{I}lhay$  (Kor. afraid  $n$ - $ay_{I}l$ - $a$ - $q\hat{e}n$  } fearful  $n$ - $ay_{I}l$ - $a$ - $q\hat{e}n$  }

Examples of words that take no verbifying suffix:

Stem  $\tilde{n}o$ -; ni- $\tilde{n}o'$ - $q\hat{e}n$  poor, needy Stem tam- $p\hat{e}ra$ ; ni-tam- $p\hat{e}ra'$   $q\hat{e}n$  pretty

A number of words expressing qualities do not take the forms in  $n_I - q_I n$ .

ŭpli'li (stem ŭplil); (Kor. Kam. ipli'li [stem iplil]), yellowish
e<sup>ε</sup>'tqiñ (stem ē<sup>ε</sup>'tqiñ and ǟqǟ); (Kor. Kam. a<sup>ε</sup>'tčiñ [stem a<sup>ε</sup>'tča];
Kor. Par. e<sup>ε</sup>'tqeñ [stem ǟqā̄]); bad
gŭmni'n qa'at e<sup>ε</sup>'tqiñit my reindeer are bad

also uwe'li (stem uwele) and nu'uqin (stem  $uu^i$ ) Kor. Kam. nu'qin [stem u]), black

When used in nominal form, such adjectives take the usual suffixes.

 $e^{\epsilon t} tqi\tilde{n}$  a bad one

e<sup>e</sup>tqî'ñıčın or äqä'čın a worse one

a<sup>e</sup>tqê'ñıñ-va'lın or aqa'm-va'lın a bad or worse one

a<sup>e</sup>tqênd·ya'n or aqaya'n one who is bad

Examples of forms in -lin are given in § 54. For other adjective forms see §76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This stem consists of two consonants uu < ww which form a vocalic unit.

#### § 50. KAMCHADAL SUFFIXES

-lax, -lax, is added to stems expressing qualities: ö'm-lax (from öm) deep (cf. Chukchee num-qin broad)

iuläx (from iul) long (ef. Chukehee n-iu'l-ä-qin o'lo-lax (from olo) small.

The plural of these is formed with the usual suffix -(1)en ololax-1en ki'st1ene small little houses.

In post-positional forms the attribute forms a compound with the noun:

o'lolax-kê'stčanke to the small houses.

Several adjectival forms borrowed from Russian and Koryak II are also used.

vo'stroi vvalč, ni'ruqin vvalč a sharp knife.

nvê'thaqên u<sup>e</sup>h a straight tree.

Here vo'stroi is Russian, ni'ruqin and nvê''thaqên are Koryak II. The last forms the Kamchadal plural nvê'thaļaen ue'hren.

k!—in (-ffan) corresponds to the Chukchee and Koryak forms in n(i)—qin (§ 49), and is used with verbal themes expressing qualities as well as with intransitive verbs.

k!-ni'ta-in (Chukchee ni-gite'p-qin) clever

k!-nu'-in (from nu to eat) voracious

k!-vêta't- an (from vêtat to work) laborious

k!-kIñin seems to correspond to the Chukchee and Koryak forms in  $g_{\xi}$ —lin (§ 48), and is used with intransitive verbs.

k!-nu'-kıñin (from nu to eat) the one who ate

Both of these suffixes are also used with the transitive verb, k!—in with verbs of Type I (see § 70, p. 744), k!—krnin with verbs of Type II (see § 71, p. 746). These forms have a passive meaning.

-kil', pl. - $kil'a^{\varepsilon}n$ , forms the personal noun of intransitive verbs. nu'kil', pl.  $nu'kil'a^{\varepsilon}n$ , the one who is eating

vêta'tkal', pl. vêtatkal'a<sup>e</sup>n, the one who busies himself colkêl', pl. colkêl'a<sup>e</sup>n, the one who lies down

With transitive verbs it expresses the same idea.

txlkil' the one who beats ke'jkil' the one who keeps

Suffixes in -I(n) §§ 51-55

### § 51. GENERAL REMARKS

A considerable number of nominal suffixes have the termination -n in the absolute form. Some of these occur only in the absolute form.

-lhin (Kor. -lñin)

-liñin, - iliñin (Kor. -liñin)

-čhin (Kor. -čñin)

§§ 50, 51

```
-čįñin (Kor. -čñin)
-įñin augmentative (§ 98, No. 1)
-gįrgin (§ 106, No. 44) (Kor. -geñin, -gitñin -gičñin) abstract noun
-čin
-lin
-tkin (Kor. Kam.-tčîn) surface
```

### § 52. SUFFIXES -lh- and -lin-

-lh(In) (Kor. -lnIn), the absolute form of a suffix -lh-, which occurs with great frequency as the absolute form of certain words. In most cases it is not retained with other suffixes, although cases of its retention are also numerous.

```
of its retention are also numerous.

lêla'lhin eye (stem lile)

ti'mkilhin (and ti'mkitim) hummock 79.2 (stem timk 62.7; but

timkilhê'ti 62.5)

mêlota'lhin hare 78.24 (stem milute 78.15)

rêqoqa'lhin fox 78.3 (stem riquie 78.12)

wu'kwulhin stone 35.11 (stem wukw 35.11)

ki'milhin worm 37.3 (stem kim 36.11)

tamona'lhin a bivalve shell 9.7 (stem temune 9.8)

Koryak:

| Ida'lain eye | Kor 49.5
```

| lela'lħin eye Kor. 49.5 | gitča'lħin leg Kor. 53.3 | pipi'kalħin mouse Kor. 58.7 | va'nnilħin tooth Kor. 34.3,4

 $-l_{\vec{k}}\tilde{n}(In)$  (Kor.  $-l_{\vec{k}}\tilde{n}[In]$ , sometimes  $-ILI\tilde{n}[In]$  is used in the same way as the preceding suffix.

 $r \overset{\circ}{\alpha} \overset{\circ}{\gamma} g l_{ij} \tilde{n}_{II}$  (stem  $v e^{\varepsilon} g$ ) (Kor. Kam.  $v \overset{\circ}{\alpha} \overset{\circ}{\gamma} - l_{l} \tilde{n}_{II}$  [stem  $v \overset{\circ}{\alpha} \overset{\circ}{\gamma} y$ ]) grass It is not always easy to determine whether the -lh belongs to the stem or not.

```
ŭpa'lhın tallow 86.23 (ŭpa'lha 87.4)
kopa'lhın walrus-blubber 12.6 (kopa'lha 14.11)
rêpa'lhın walrus-hide 13.13
pênyo'lhın hearth 31.13
ñawgo'lhın old woman 39.5; 40.1
vamılqa'lhın lip 14.5
pênaka'lhın tassel 16.10
auta'lhın obsidian scraper 39.12
pêrka'lhın bowlder 129.6
rı'lhı' Lıñın and rêlılıñın wing (stem rılh, ril) 15.2
```

Of these, the first five stems retain the suffix  $l_h^b$  with post-positions. The primary stem, however, is without this suffix: for instance,

¹ The text has kimilit'n because emphasis is laid on the word which is the last in the sentence. If there had been more stress this form would have been kimilho'n (see § 36). § 52

pệnyơ'lhịn hearth (stem pin, absolute form pi'mpi powder, ashes)

The following have weak vowels, and it may be assumed that the lh belongs to the stem.

pi'lhin throat (stem pilh); (Kor. Kam. pi'lhin [stem pilh]). Locative: pi'lhik', pi'lik; (Kor. Kam. pi'lhik) ñi'lhin thong 48.4 ne'lhin skin 7.9

### § 53. SUFFIXES -ch- and -ciñ-

- čh(In), -čIñ(In) (Kor. -čỹ[In], č[ñ[In]; -tỹ[In]; -s ỹ[In], — according to dialect). This suffix seems to express an emphatic form. Sometimes it corresponds to the definite article or designates an object as referred to before. In other cases it might be translated as a particular one, in contradistinction to other objects of the same or other classes. Some words seem to have the suffix throughout.

Etymologically it may be related to the suffix -lh, since  $\check{c}$  and l (Kor.  $\check{c}$  and l) replace each other frequently (see § 122).

vala'chin knife (stem vala, absolute va'lE); Kor. Kam.  $vala'-c\tilde{n}in$  (stem vala, absolute va'la)

ra'mkıčhin people (stem remk, absolute re'mkın); Kor. ya'mkıčñın (stem yamk, absolute ya'mkın)

rl'gichin the aforesaid father 19.11

ord'wêlachin the aforesaid man 18.11

pênyo'lhichin the aforesaid hearth 32.9

yê'lichin the aforesaid tongue 40.10, 12

lêla'lhichin the aforesaid eye 106.19

qulo-a''ttichin a particular kind of dog 121.11

kala'chin a particular river 40.12

lolo'chin a particular penis 26.8

ña'lvălichin a particular kind of herd 79.6

#### Koryak:

qoqlo'wičñin hole Kor. 15.8 lawtiki'lĕičñin head-hand Kor. 17.12

### $-\check{c}I\tilde{n}$ (In).

ñawa'nčiñin a particular wife 38.4 ñaws'qa'tčiñin the aforesaid woman 39.7 pako'lčiñin a particular kind of woman's knife 44.3, 5

Note 1.—A number of stems end in  $\check{c}h$ , and are not related to this class.

tại ochichin the bag mentioned before (stem teiuch, absolute tại uchin); Kor. Kam. cai ochic in (stem caiuch, absolute cai uchin) 5 53

Note 2.—In words which have the absolute form in  $-l\hbar$ ,  $-l\hbar$ , the suffix  $-k\hbar$ ,  $-k\hbar$ , may be added to the stem or to the suffixes  $-l\hbar$ ,  $-l\hbar$ .

lệla'lhướn 106.19, or lệla'čhựn eye (stem lịle, absolute lệla'lhưn); Kor. Kam. lelalhyčñun or lela'čñun, (stem lịla, absolute lela'lhưn)

### § 54. SUFFIXES -li- ( $-le^{\epsilon}n$ , $-\check{\epsilon}e^{\epsilon}n$ )

-lI-, -leen, (Kor. Kam. -laen, -[a]]aen, -[i]]aen are similar to the participle of the intransitive verb. As suffixes of substantives, they indicate a person related in some more or less direct way to the object.

After stems with terminal vowel -lin is used; after the terminal consonant of a stem (except l, r, n, and t) the auxiliary vowel i is inserted before -lin. After terminal l, r, n, and t, the suffix  $-le^e n$  is used, which forms with terminal l or r the ending  $-le^e n$ , with terminal t the ending  $-le^e n$ . With names this ending expresses a person acting (?).

Chukenee	Kor. Kam.	
$r_i'$ ien $(\langle r_i l + -l e^{\epsilon} n; \text{ base } r_i l)$	$yi'$ Ļ $a^{\varepsilon}n$ (base	winged
$r$ e $l_{I'}$ L $i$ $ ilde{n}in$	$yil)$ $yel_{I'}l\~{n}in$	wing
$\tilde{n}$ aw- $k\hat{e}$ Ļ $a$ e $n$ $(< k\hat{e}r$ - $le$ e $n)$	$\tilde{n}aw$ - $ke'$ ĭ $la^{arepsilon}n$	clad in woman's dress
$ya'a$ L $a^{\epsilon}n$ $(< ya'al$ - $la^{\epsilon}n)$	ya'a <b>.</b> ia€n	that in the rear
ve'emilin	$vaya'mIla^{\epsilon}n$	River man
$a'\tilde{n}qal_{I}n$	$a'\tilde{n}qala^{\varepsilon}n$	Maritime man
ňa'čhila genpelqu'wlin		by a left-handed man
		was he vanquished

Numeral terms with the ending -lin express ordinal numbers.

milinka'ulin or milinkau'kên the fifth

With intransitive verbs this suffix forms the expression the one who —.

ipa'ulin the one who drinks (stem ipau to drink) (Kor.  $apa'ula^{\epsilon}n$  [stem apau])

Here belong also

e'čelin the one who is fat (Kor. Kam. gača'lin) gai'mičilin the rich one 1

Plural, dual, and oblique cases are formed like those of the adjective in -lin (§ 49).

Verbal stems terminating in l and r are contracted with this suffix, and form  $-Le^{\epsilon}n$ .

 $u\tilde{n}e'$  $Le^{\epsilon}n < u\tilde{n}el$ -lin wood-carrier 27.5 te' $Le^{\epsilon}n < tel$ -lin the suffering one 34.7  $rilt\hat{e}'$  $La^{\epsilon}n$  one who is lying there 28.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See §§48, 49. The two examples here given have no corresponding forms in n(I)-qin.

 $i^{\varepsilon}L_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}n < i^{\varepsilon}r$ -lin the one who crosses over  $\alpha^{\varepsilon}ttool_{\varepsilon}^{2}tI$   $qi'mkwi^{\varepsilon}$  say to the one in front!

In Koryak the corresponding forms are not contracted.

 $te' La^{\epsilon}n$  the suffering one  $e^{\epsilon t}y la^{\epsilon}n$  the one crossing over

In Koryak the same suffix is used with transitive verbs to express the actor.

 $pela'la^{\epsilon}n$  the one who leaves

In Chukchee the same form, when derived from transitive verbs, requires the prefix ing- or the suffix -tku.

 $\hat{p}\hat{p}\hat{l}g'lIn$  the one who leaves

In some cases both forms in -lin and in -kin (see § 47) are used indiscriminately.

 $\tilde{n}a'\check{c}h_{I}l_{I}n$  (Kor. Kam.  $\tilde{n}a'\check{c}hala^{\epsilon}n$ ) or  $\tilde{n}a'\check{c}\epsilon n\cdot k\hat{e}n$  that to the left  $mra'l_{I}n$  (Kor. Kam.  $mya'l_{I}a^{\epsilon}n$ ) or  $mra'k\hat{e}n$  that to the right

Similar forms in  $-la^{\epsilon}n$  occur in Kamchadal. These seem to be due however, to the influence of the Koryak.

 $ki'stila^{\epsilon}n$  and ki'stiin that of the house  $atino'la^{\epsilon}n$  and atino'an that of the village

### § 55. SUFFIX $-\check{c}in-(-\check{c}e^{\varepsilon}n)$

- $\check{e}$ In (- $\check{e}$  $\check{e}$ <sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>n) (Kor. Kam. - $\check{e}$ a $^{\epsilon}$ n, Kor. Par. -sa $^{\epsilon}$ n) is used principally to express the comparative. The form - $\check{e}$  $\check{e}$ <sup> $\epsilon$ </sup>n is used after the single terminal consonants n, r, l. With this ending, the object of the comparison assumes the locative form.

 $me'l\check{c}e^{\varepsilon}n$  the better one (Kor. Kam.  $ma'l\check{c}a^{\varepsilon}n$ )

 $mei\tilde{n}_I\check{c}_{In}$  the larger one (Kor. Kam.  $mai'\tilde{n}_I\check{c}a^{\epsilon}n$ ; Kor. Par.  $mei'\tilde{n}_Isa^{\epsilon}n$ )

ia'm mı'kıčın ine'ilirkın ta'aq, mei'ñıčın ŭm qine'ilhi<sup>ɛ</sup> why do you give me the smaller bundle of tobacco? Give me the larger one (ia'm why; mk large; ine-yıl-ı-rkın you give me [§ 67]; ta'aq tobacco; me'ı'ñ large; ûm particle expresssing slight emphasis; q-ine-yıl-gi<sup>ɛ</sup> give me! [§ 67]); (Kor. Kam. me'nqanqač ıplu'ča<sup>ɛ</sup>n ine'yılı ta'waq, maiñıča<sup>ɛ</sup>n qine'yıl; Kor. a<sup>ɛ</sup>'ččiñıča<sup>ɛ</sup>n the worst Kor. 30.7)

ga'mga-qla'ulık qe'tvüčiйm I am stronger than all others (gemgeevery; qla'ul man; -k locative; qe'tvu strong; -iŭm [§ 73]); Kor. Kam. ga'mga-qla'wulak ına'n qa'tvučegŭm)

It would seem as if the older meaning of this form were related to -čhin the particular one. We find, for instance,

 $\underline{e}'rm\underline{e}\check{c}in$  the strong man (stem  $\underline{e}rm\underline{e}$ )  $\tilde{n}\varrho'\check{c}in$  the poor man (stem  $\tilde{n}\varrho$ )

This ending has oblique cases:

The personal propounce are

ya<sup>ɛ</sup>¢ċ'pŭ qäċvi'gın čımqŭk cut off some rawer part (ya<sup>ɛ</sup> raw; -êpu from; qä-ċvi-gın [stem ċvi] to cut [§ 67]; čı'mqŭk some)
armačċ'tı to the strong man
armačċ'pŭ from the strong man

The ending appears also in composition without formative endings.  $l'npi\check{c}$ - $akka\check{i}'p\check{u}$  from the elder son (np old; ekke son)

The subjective form of the third person pronoun combined with the suffix - $\check{c}in$  or va'lin (Kor. Kam. - $\check{c}a^en$  or  $i'ta!a^en$ ) expresses our superlative.

Ena'n mai'ñičin (Kor. Kam. ina'n-mai'ñiča<sup>e</sup>n)
Ena'n-ma'yinku-wa'lin (Kor. Kam. ina'n-ma'yiñkiñ-}the largest one i'tala<sup>e</sup>n)

-čei (Kamchadal) expresses the emphatic comparative form of the adjective, and replaces the ending -lax. As in Chukchee and Koryak, the object of comparison is expressed in the locative form.

kı'mma kını'nk činıñčei' I am prettier than you (kımma' I; kını'nk on thee; činı'ñläx pretty)

## Pronouns (§§ 56-60).

### § 56. Personal Pronouns

TH	e pe	rsonai pron	ouns are —	
		Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal
I .		. дйт	gй $mma, g$ й $m$	$k_{I'}mma$
		$g_{I}t$	$gi'ssa,^1gi$	$k_I'ja$
		. Ena'n 2	a'nnu	$Enar{a}'$
we .		. mu'ri	· {mu'yi (dual) {mu'yu (plural)	mu'ja
ye .	•	. tur'i	$\begin{cases} tu'yi \text{ (dual)} \\ tu'yu \text{ (plural)} \end{cases}$	tu'ja
they		. E'rri	[a'čči (dual) a'čču (plural)	Itx

From these absolute forms, forms analogous to those of the noun are derived. The locative, subjective, and possessive are derived from the stems; while the forms in -gti, -ipu, of Chukchee, require the suffix ka after the pronominal stem. Thus we find the following forms:

The Koryak of Paren has gttëa, although ordinarily të is characteristic of Kamenskoye, ss of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The particle ELO'n is also used in the absolute form of the pronoun. Otherwise its meaning is generally weakly concessive, like that of German doch.

§ 56

CHUKCHEE

				-		
		Singular			Plural	
	1st person	2d person	3d person	1st person	2d person	3d person
Absolute	gum  guma'k, guma'g  guma'k, guma'n guma'n gumaka'gu gumaka'gu gumaka'pū	gtl, gtr gbnrk, ginl'g ginu'n ginu'n gini'n ginika'gti ginika'fu	Ena'n En1'k, En1'q En1'k1 Ena'n Enika'qti Enika'pŭ	mu'ri mu'riki mo'gina'n mo'rgin mo'cka'gii morcka'gii	tu'rî tu'rîk, tu'rîg tu'rîkî tergîn terêga'gii terêkai'pŭ	E'rri E'rik, E'rig E'riki E'rgin Erika'gii Erikai'pü

-1	
2	
N	
**	
_	
Ų,	
4	

·	en'imma	ar'ssa, oi	E'nnu	mu'yi mu'yu	tu'yi tu'yu	a'čči a'čču
Absolute					-froject	4122/10
Locative	oŭ'mIk	gı'nık	I'nIk	mu'ylk	wife mi	
Subjective	oŭmna'n	olna'n	Ina'n	močhIna'n	točhīna'n	ach Ina'n
· · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	almi'm	ani'n	mu'čhIn	tu'čhin	a'čhin
Possessive	gumnt	i mili				1 2
	(oŭmkai'tī	oinkai'ti	Inkai'ti	moikai'tI, močaitI	toikai'ti, točkai'ti	accarti
Allative	gŭ'mkīñ	gı'nkıñ	I'nkīñ	moi'kiñ, mo'čin	toi'kiñ, to'čiñ	a'ččīñ
Ablative	gŭmka'ñgo	gınka'ñqo	Inka'ñqo	moika'ñqo, močka'ñqo	toika'ñqo, točka'nqo	ačka'ngo, ača'ngo

		Singular			Plural	
	1st person	2d person	3d person	1st person	2d person	3d person
	kı'nma	kr'ja	Enā'	mu'ja	tu'ja	Itx
	kImma'nk	kını'nk	Ena'nk	mijgi'nk	ttjgi'nk	txi'ink
	kimilu'n	kılu'n	xunä'a	mjilu'n	tjilu'n	tlun
Possessive	kIma'n	kını'n	Ena'n	m1'/jgin	tr'jin	txi'in
:	kImma'nke	kIna'nke	Ena'nke	mijga'nke	tijgo'nke	txa'anke
Ablative	kImma'nk	kını'nk	Ena'nki	miżgi'nk	tījgi'nkī	txi'ink

In Chukchee and Koryak there is also a form expressing the aequalis i. e. similar to, of the same size as, according to the wants of.—
They are generally used with this suffix— $m_i \ell$ ,— $m_i \ell$  (§ 102, 30).

		Chukchee	Koryak
similar to	me	$g \ddot{u}' m u w$	$g reve{u}' m u w$
similar to	thee	$g_{I'n_{I}w}$	$g_{I'}n_{I}w$
similar to	him	E'nIW	$\dot{a}'n_Iw$
similar to	us	mu'ruw	)
similar to	you	tu'ruw	not known
similar to	them	E'rIW	

In both Chukchee and Koryak the plural forms of the first and second persons are often used in place of the singular, without, however, conveying the idea of respect.

amto', geyr'lqät-tu'ri well, have you slept? (singular or plural)

In Koryak the dual and plural forms are not sharply distinguished. In Chukchee the plural subjective forms are, in the pronunciation of men, as follows:

mergäa'n, tergäa'n, ergäa'n

In several dialects of Korak II the following forms of the third person plural occur:

Absolute Ethu Locative Ethik Subjective Ethina'n

The Kamchadal forms in *itx*, and the Chuckchee forms derived from *erg.*, are evidently related to this series.

In both Chukchee and Koryak of Kamenskoye the subjective form is used in some compounds.

gŭmna'n čini't myself (Ch. and Kor. Kam.)

In other cases the possessive forms are used:

gŭmnin čini'tkin (Kor. gŭmni'n čini'nkin) my own.

The idea of Self, however, is expressed differently in oblique cases.

kata'm-gomo ka'gtı (Chukchee) just to me (i. e., to myself) čini't uwi'k ga'nmılên he killed himself, (lit. his own body; uwi'k body)

Kor.  $u'wik \ qnu'\bar{n}von$  he consumed himself (literally, his body) Kor. 56.10.

Kor. gitča't uwi'kinat ganu'linat he consumed his own legs, lit. legs body belonging to he consumed them Kor. 57.2

The term uwi'kin belonging to the body is thus used to express own.

We find, however, in Koryak, the pronoun also used in oblique cases to express own:

gŭ'mkiñ čini'nkina with my own.

Personal pronouns have also definite, augmentative and diminutive forms, which take the suffix -onaioth following the possessive form of the pronoun.

gŭmŭk-onaiolh-ičh-ê-ŭm big I

These forms are used in jesting, in children's play, etc.

# Demonstrative and Interrogative (Indefinite) Pronouns (§§ 57-58)

### § 57. PARTICLES AND ABSOLUTE FORMS

The idea of position is expressed with great nicety, and in Chukchee there are nine terms expressing the position of an object in relation to the speaker. In Koryak there are only five, and in Kamchadal I have found only two. The exact relation to the speaker is not quite clear in all of these. In Chukchee the independent form of all of these is formed by the suffix -qan (with n belonging to the suffix); only one has the ending -qin. In Koryak a few have the corresponding endings -kin, -qen, -qala'ken.

	Dantislas		Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	
	Particles	Stem	Independent form	Kor. Kam.	Kamenadai	
this	vai	wu't-	wg'tqqn, 65.22; 137.1; 133.4	\{\begin{aligned} \wu'\text{ssin} \\ \wu'\text{tcin} \text{ (Paren)} \end{aligned}	$nu^{\varepsilon}$ , $ti^{\varepsilon}n$	
that	{ñan }Engan	$En\cdot \tilde{n}$	Enqa'n 115.21; 71.13, 29; 63.7, 10	ña'nyen	hë'nñIn	
that yonder	ñoon	ñg'gn-	ng'gnqan 70.22; also as adverb	Enka'kin		
that yonder		ña'an-, less frequent-	ña'anqan			
that there (not very far)	nan	ly ñg'n-	ña'nqan 133.3			
there (quite far) that there (midway to some other object)	gan    vai	vg'En-	ga'nqan <b>6</b> 3.13 va'Enqan 121.24	va'yenqen		
that behind the person addressed	rai	ra'En-,ra'En	ra'Enqan			
that behind the person speaking	$\left.\right\}$ ño'tı	ño'tiñ 70.21	ño'tInqan	notInqala'ken		
that apart from the speaker	$\{\bar{n}un$	ñự'n-	ñu'nqin 137.3			
who, somebody		(mik-)	me'āin 11.4		min-	

It may be noted that all demonstratives, except wut-,  $En\tilde{n}e$ -, and  $\tilde{n}e'tt\tilde{n}$ , end in -n which remains in all forms.

The demonstrative stems have strong vowels, except wut-,  $En^*\tilde{n}$ -, and  $\tilde{n}un$ . The last of these is treated more frequently as an unchangeable stem; for instance,—

ñu'nin-notai'pu from that land,—

although the two vowels u and i belong to the weak, changeable group.

When the demonstratives enter into composition, they take the ending -in, except  $\tilde{n}o't_I\tilde{n}$ . The same ending is found in the interrogative  $me'\tilde{n}in$ , which, according to the forms with suffixes, must be derived from a stem mik- (see §58, p. 1726). These forms appear in adjectival form in oblique cases.

wo'tin-notanqa'tkên that one belonging to this country 7.1 wo'tin-irgiro'k that (morning) dawn 10.3 wu'tin-nu'tek (Kor. Kam. wu'tin-nu'tak) in that country mañê'n-notai'pŭ (Kor. Kam. ma'ñen-nota'ñqo) from what country. Kor. ma'ñin-ni'kli-ye'lkiyel which stone-pine nut pudding? Kor. 34.2

Kor.  $ma'\tilde{n}in$ -qai- $\tilde{n}a'wis$ -qatik to which small woman? Kor. 34.5

For greater emphasis the independent, absolute forms of the demonstrative may be used with the corresponding particle, as given on p. 723, or with repetition of independent form, connected by the particle  $\check{u}m$  (see also p. 726).

ño'onqan ŭm ñoon Enga'n ŭm Enga'n 130.9, etc.

The particles are, however, used also independently or combined with various other forms.

rai 61.8 vai 61.9; 62.7; 63.6; 66.30, 35; 71.15; 76.25, 30 ñan 71.3, 16; 62.4, 8; 65.1; 66.32 ña'an 63.13 ño'on 64.1 wot 81.12 wô'tên-rai 29.1
ELO'n ŭm vai 66.29
ELO'n vai 67.33
vai ŭm ña'n(i) 131.3, 10
e'nme ñan 66.32
Enqa'n ŭm vai 130.7
wo'tqanm ŭm vai 45.12
vai ñan 62.9
wu'tku-m vai 120.11

Note.—The Koryak form in -qala'ken given in the preceding table of demonstratives is derived from the post-position -qal, -qae (Chukchee -qal, -qae) close to, by the side of. The Koryak suffix -qala'ken cor-

responds to the Chukchee form -qutkên, which is used to form a great many derivatives. The following forms derived from demonstrative pronouns may serve as examples:—

Adverbial form va' enqač (Kor. Kam. va' yeñqa!) by the side, half-way

Independent form vaɛnqa'tkɛ̂n (Kor. Kam. vayeñqala'ken)
Adverbial form wo'tênqae (Kor. Kam. vo'teñqal) here
Independent form wotinqa'tkên 14.2 (Kor. Kam. voteñqala'ken) wotqanr'rgŭpŭ (pronunciation of men wotqae'rgŭpŭ) from those

### § 58. PLURAL AND POST-POSITIONAL FORMS

Plural and suffix forms are derived from the forms in -qan adding the  $-\underline{e}$  (Kor. Kam. -a) to the terminal n that is found in all words with terminal n of the stem (§§ 31, 4; 34). For personal forms the connective vowel is i.

As examples may serve,—

	Chukchee	Kor. Kam.
Absolute	wo,'tqan	wu'ssin Kor. 49.9
Plural (Dual Kor.)	wo'tqanat1	wu'tissat
Plural (Koryak)		wu'tissau Kor. 32.
Subjective, not personal	wotqana'ta	wutissa'ta
Subjective, personal	wo'tqanêna 2	wu'tininak
Locative, not personal	wo'tqanak	wu'tissak
Allative, not personal	wotqana'gtı	wotessal'ti
Allative, personal ,	wo'tqqnenz 2	wo'tenena
Ablative, not personal	wotqanai'pŭ	wotessa'ñqo
Ablative, personal	wotqanai'pŭ	wotenena'ñqo

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pronunciation of men wo'tquat.

Also enqa'nat 49.5; 53.10; 96.6; enqaa't those 62.10; enqa'nêna by that one 44.8; wo'tqana this time 76.18

### Koryak:

na'nyen that one (absolute) Kor. 17.5, 9; 51.2, 5
na'nyeu (pl.) Kor. 21.1; 44.6; 62.4; na'nyau 25.6, 9; 42.4
na'nyenata (subjective, not personal) Kor. 43.5
na'nenenak (subjective, personal) Kor. 34.11; na'nyenena Kor. 76.16

The plural of the demonstrative is used in nominal, adjectival, and predicative expressions.

Enqa'nat qäni'ntiñinet throw away those! 49.5 wo'qaat qänu'utki eat these! 33.12 Enqa'at qa'at those reindeer Kor. Kam. ña'nyenau a<sup>e</sup>'ttu those dogs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pronunciation of men wo'tqaEna.

In some cases the singular form is used when we should expect the plural:

Enqa'n gittile'ti nine'l-i-ŭm there I give to those who are hungry 96.24 (cf. 96.9, 12, 17).

Enqa'n orawêĻat these men 63.5

Enqu'n Umqäqäi'ınti these people of Umqäqäi 63.10 Erqu'n ñi'räq ora'wêtat these two men 7.10

The corresponding forms of the personal interrogative who, somebody, and of the Kamchadal impersonal interrogative, are—

	Chukchce	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	Kamchadal	
			wно	WHAT	
Absolute	me'ñin	ma'ki Kor.17.6	k!e	(min)	
Plural (Dual Koryak)	mi'kinti	ma'kinti	$k!e^{\varepsilon}n$	$mi'ni^{\epsilon}n$	
Plural (Koryak)		maku'wgi	_		
Subjective ,	mi'kin <b>ä</b>	mi'kinak Kor.	k!ink	mi'nenl'	
Allative	mê'kêna	me'kena	k!a'nke	mê'nank	
Ablative	mêkênai'pŭ	mekena'ñqo	k!ink	mi'nenk	

### Examples:

me'ñin ŭm Ela' who is (your) mother? 113.14

mi'kin yaarkınê'tkı kanči'irgın whose lullaby are you singing?

mi'kinä ganto'lên by whom born? 142.1

In Kamchadal the form corresponding to the stem mik- signifies the inanimate interrogative.

Nominal forms of the plural, when appearing with suffixes, have, instead of the regular plural, forms compounded with the third person plural personal pronoun (see p. 706).

In Chukchee we find also ma'kirgin, pl.  $ma'kirgint\hat{e}$ , whose house's, whose family's; related to the Koryak stem mak-, and formed with the stem -erg of the personal pronoun third person plural (see § 44).

These particles doubled, and connected by  $\check{u}m$ , are also used as exclamations.

ñan ŭm ñan! you there! ña'an ŭm ñan 95. 35 yonder vai ŭm vai! halfway there! ñoon ŭm ñoon! far off there!

They occur in the same way with interrogative pronouns.

me'ñin ñan ye'tırkın? who comes there?
räë'nun ñot wurre'erkın? what is visible behind there?
mi'ñkri rai ne'lhië? how then became he? 29.7

 $mi'\tilde{n}kri\ \tilde{n}ot\ a^{\epsilon}qa-ras\cdot q\hat{e}'um-va'lit?$  why! those are bad ones to § 58 pass! 130.3

Demonstrative and interrogative adverbs are derived from the particle stems by means of the locative endings. From these are derived others by means of nominal suffixes (see examples below).

	Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal
here	wu'tku 7.5 E'n·k1 119.31	wu'tčuk, ä'nki ña'nko, ña'nako, ñe'- ntko	nux, te'a E'nki, x·u, (xo'xval) therefrom)
there (midway to some object). there (behind the person ad-	va'änkı	vai'eñ	
dressed)	ra'äñkı		
there (behind the speaker)	ño'tıñkı		
there (away from the speaker) .	ñu'nkI		
where	mi'ñki, me'ñki 12.2	mi'ñki, Kor. 20.1	ma, mas

In Chukchee two forms in -qan are also used as adverbs.

there (some distance away). ño'ongan there (far away) . . . .  $g\bar{a}'nqan$ 

Derived from demonstrative elements are also—

Chukchee Kor. Kam. Kamchadal  $En \cdot \tilde{n}i'n$  63.13;  $En \tilde{n} \bar{a}'an$  Kor.13.1,  $\tilde{n}o'nke$ thus 65.22 10

Adverbs with suffixes derived from the locative forms are the following:

	не	RE	TH	ERE	WHERE			
	Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	
Stem Instrumental	wut wutke'tä	wutč wutča'ta	En Enkc'tä	ñan, än ñ a nka'ta, änka'ta	mik miñke'tā	mik minka'ta	ma 	
Allative	_	wotčai't1	Eñkri	ñankai't1, änkai't1ñ	miñkri 60.6, 61.8	menkei't1	ma'nke	
Ablative .	wo'tqor1	wotča'ñqo	E'ñ q o , Eñqo'ri, Eñqo'ro 65.24	ñanka'ñqo, ünka'ñqo	mê'ñqo 60.5, 11; 71.26; 72.12 mêñ- qo'rī	menka'ñqo	ma'nke	

Also in the same way Chukchee va'änkata, va'änrê, va'änqo or va'äñgori; Koryak vaieña'ta, vaieñai'ti, vai'eñgo from stem vai.

### Examples:

wo'tko from here 43.1; wo'tgo En'go'ro thence 49.2; 65.18, 24 121.20; 131.14; wu'tqu here En ke'ggi thither 71.23 73.14 En·ke'čiku in there 73.20

En'no't 64.7; 66.3; 72.6 En'qe'kin one from there 67.3 En'nata'l from that time on, after that 64.19; 65.31

ño'onřê thither 76.20  $\tilde{n}a'nko$  hither 137.13

### Koryak:

wŭ'tču this time Kor. 41.2 ña'nko there Kor. 41.6 ñe'nako there Kor. 19.11 ña'niko there Kor. 32.1; see Kor. 62.7 ñanikai'tiñ thither Kor. 36.5 ña'nakanqo from that one Kor. 42.3 E'nke here (vocative form) Kor. 13.7; 58.7

Enka'ta at that place Kor. 21.8, 9

Enkai'ts to that place Kor. 17.2; 19.1

meñkeito' whither (vocative form § 36) Kor. 64.21

mañe'ngo whence Kor. 60.10

The forms  $mi'\tilde{n}kri$  (Chukchee),  $me'\tilde{n}ka\tilde{n}$  (Kor. Kam.), ma'nke (Kamchadal), also signify how.

Derivatives with suffixes are—

 $m\hat{e}\tilde{n}ko'k\hat{e}nat$  where are you from 65.10

miñke'-mil 66.34 menke'mič, mañr'nn ač (Kor. 66.1) men ke'mič, also lact (Kamchadal)

to what degree, in what manner

Demonstrative elements with the verbal noun va'lin (Kor. Kam.  $i'tala^{\epsilon}n$ ) the one who is—, are also used to express demonstrative terms.

 $En^*\tilde{n}i'n$ -va'lin 128.24 (Chukchee),  $Enna^{\epsilon'}an$   $i'tala^{\epsilon}n$  (Kor. Kam.), one being thus; i. e., such a one

Enño't-va'lın (Chukchee) being near here thus; i. e., such a one nearer to the speaker than the preceding

En·nu-wu'lin such a one (expressing reproach)

 $En\bar{n}u'-wa'l\hat{e}-git$  such a one art thou 21.11

mi'ñkri-va'lin (Chukchee 14.4), me'ñkañ itala<sup>e</sup>n (Kor. Kam.) what kind of (also in oblique cases)

In Kamchadal only a few forms of the demonstrative survive, and these take the nominal suffixes.

	THIS	THIS HERE	WHICH, WHAT
Absolute	$n u^{\varepsilon}$	$ti^{\epsilon}n < ti^{\epsilon}nu$	min( <minu)< td=""></minu)<>
Plural			mi'ni <sup>ε</sup> n
Possessive	nuehe'nk	ti <sup>ε</sup> ′nuhenk	mi'nenk
Subjective	nu <sup>ε</sup> he′nk	ti <sup>ε</sup> 'nuhenk	mi'nenk
Instrumental	nus'hel	tis'nuhel'	mi'nenl'
Allative	noεha'nk	têsnohank	mệ'nank
Ablative	nu€he'nk	ti <sup>ε</sup> 'nuhenk	mi'nenk

Most of the other forms are replaced by the corresponding Russian forms, which are usually taken in the nominative singular masculine; such as e'koi (экой), edakoi (эдакой).

As in Chukchee and Koryak, the interrogative appears in synthetical form  $m_i'n_{in}$ , which corresponds to Chukchee  $m_{in}'n_{in}$  (see p. 726.)

mê'nan-ktxoj-qol which road along?

but the oblique cases are also used in attributive form.

mi'nenl' hvanl' ckan with which knife have you made it?

From the demonstrative and interrogative pronouns verbal forms are derived in the same manner as from nouns. I give here a number of examples. The verbal forms will be found discussed in § 82.

Enqanai'-git this art thou 20.7.

wotqanai'-gum this am I 43.5, 121.14

wotqana'-me'rê here we are 69.5

mi'k-i-ŭm who am I

mi'k-i-git who art thou; mi'k-i-or 127.11

mi'w-mu'ri who are we

*mi'g-tu'ri* who are ye 120.9

Kor. Kam. wutınnalai-güm this am I Kor. 22.1

#### Possessives:

Eni'n his 17.13

Enqa'nen of this one 50.10

mi'kin whose (possessor sing., object possessed sing. and pl.); Kor. Kam. mi'kin, dual mi'kinat, pl. mikina'wgi (possessor sing.; object possessed sing., dual, pl.), vocative miko'n (§ 36) Kor. 34.4

mi'kirgin (possessor pl., object possessed sing.), mi'kirginet (object possessed pl.), whose; Kor. Kam. mi'kičhin, dual mi'kičhinat, pl. mi'kičhinau (§ 34); Kamchadal k!en, pl. k!esn

### § 59. Indefinite Pronoun räq

The non-personal interrogative and indefinite pronoun is, Chukchee  $r\ddot{a}q$ ; Kor. Kam. ya(q), yax; Kor. II ta(q); Kamehadal seq.

The following are the forms with post-positions:

								Chukchee	Kor. Kam
Stem				١.				räq	yaq
Absolute								rä <sup>ε</sup> 'nut	yI'nna
Plural (dual Kor.) .							٠.	rä'€nuti rä <sup>ε</sup> 'nutet¹	ya'qat
Plural Kor								_	yaqu'wgi
Instrumental								re'qä rä⁵nute'tä	ya'qa
Locative								re'qäk rä <sup>ɛ</sup> nutek	ya'qak
Allative								raqê'tı ra <sup>ɛ</sup> nota'gtı <sup>2</sup>	yaqe'tI
Ablative								ra'qŭpŭ   ra'notai'pŭ 3	yaq1'ñqo
Designative (see § 94)								re'qu	ya'qu
Comitative (see § 100)								garae'ma	gaya'qa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Men's pronunciation rä'eneet.

The set of forms derived from  $r\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}nut$ , except the plural, are not often used.

v'me-rä<sup>ε</sup>'nut whatsoever; i. e., of every kind 13.13; 133.18
rä<sup>ε</sup>'nut what? objeet 29.1, subjeet 111.4; something obj. 29.5
rä<sup>ε</sup>'nut йm what was it then? 34.1
rä<sup>ε</sup>'nut йm qine'ilhi<sup>ε</sup> give me something
rä<sup>ε</sup>'nutet whatever kind of things obj. 32.5; intr. subj. 58.2
reqä by what means? 22.1; 23.5; 14.2
re'qä what? 34.8, 9; whatever 32.5
ra'qa-ñot with what there 139.8
re'qäk at what? 26.1
re<sup>ε</sup>'qu how 17.5, 7; why 23.1
Kor. Kam. ya'qa with what Kor. 46.9
Kor. Kam. ya'qkın-ki what for Kor. 26.10

Kor. Kam. ya'qin-yaq what then? Kor. 45.9

These forms are also used in composition:

ra<sup>\varepsilon</sup>-qa'at (Chukehee), yax-qoya'wge (Kor. Kam.), seq-ko'j\varepsilon^n (Kamehadal), what kind of reindeer r\varepsilon q-a^\varepsilon'tt\varepsilon ge'e\varepsilon in (Chukehee) with what kind of dogs has he come? ra^\varepsilon'-nr'mnim what settlement, obj. 33.7 ra^\varepsilon-pr'\varepsilon il what tidings? 11.2 r\varepsilon^\varepsilon nota'\varepsilon hit what kind are 14.3

### Korvak I:

ya'qlau what are they doing Kor. 24.5 yaqlaikme'tik what are you (pl.) doing? Kor. 24.8

Koryak II has the same forms as Koryak Kamenskoye, derived from the stem *taq*.

Verbs derived from these stems are used with great frequency (see § 82); for instance,—

re'qarkın (Chukehee), ya'qıykın Kor. 28.10 (Kor. Kam.), ta'qatkın (Kor. II) what do you want? what are you doing?

re<sup>ɛ</sup>'', i<sup>ɛ</sup> what is the matter 19.11

re'qärkın what is the matter with thee 18.9

re'q-i-gıt what do you want? 18.12

rıraqa'unvo what for? 19.1, 6

re'qälıt which ones 139.9

re'qäl-i-gıt what do you want? 22.8

nre'q-i-gıt what are you doing? 33.1

Kor. Kam. niya'qi-qi what are you doing? Kor. 39.5

Kamchadal has another form for WHAT, SOMETHING, evidently corresponding to the demonstrative in Enk-.

Absolute . . . . E'nkaInstrumental . . . E'nkal'Locative . . . Enka'nkAllative . . . . Enka'nkAblative . . . . Enka'nk

Verbs derived from this stem are formed as in Chukchee and Koryak.

enka'nejč what are you doing?

Under Russian influence, these forms are going out of use, and are being replaced by post-positional forms and verbs.

Enka'nke k'öjč why, or for what do you come?

### § 60. Other Indefinite Pronouns

1. The stem nirk- (Kor. Kam. niyk-) expresses a certain well-known person, the one we think of, the one referred to; nik- a certain well-known thing or act we think of, or referred to.

These form post-positional forms analogous to demonstrative pro-

#### PERSONAL

Chukchee

Kor. Kam.

Absolute													ni'rkIñut	ni'yka, ni'ykıñvut
Plural (dual Koryak)													ni'rkenti	ni'ykanti
Plural Koryak	•	 ٠	•	•	٠				•	٠	٠	٠	_	niyka'wgi, ni'ykau Kor 50.4
Subjective													ni'rkenä	ni'ykanak
Allative	•.												nê'rkana	ne'ykana
Ablative	_												nêrkai'pŭ	neyka'ñqo
Designative (see § 94)												٠	nirke'nu	niyka'nu
						N	ON-	PF	ers	108	ĪΑ	L		
Absolute													ni'kIñut	
Absolute														
Plural													ni'ket	
Plural Subjective		 											ni'ket nike'tä	
Plural Subjective Locative		 											ni'ket nike'tä ni'kek	
Plural Subjective	•	   						•					ni'ket nike'tä ni'kek nêka'gt1	
Plural		   											ni'ket nike'tä ni'kek nêka'gtI nêka''pŭ (oanêka'ma	
Plural Subjective Locative Allative		   											ni'ket nike'tä ni'kek nêka'gtI nêka''pŭ (oanêka'ma	

### Examples:

ni'rkeñut a certain one 90.20; 119.12 ni'kek somewhere 12.12

Also derived forms, as

ni'rken (Kor. Kam. niyka'nen) belonging to the one referred to or thought of

ni'kin belonging to the thing referred to or thought of 20.8 ai've nêrkai'pŭ qora'ñı tei'mityä<sup>s</sup>n yesterday I took reindeer from the man we are thinking of

Verbal forms are also derived from this pronoun; for instance,—

nike'rkin (Kor. Kam. nika'ykin) he does the thing referred to or thought of

rınike'urkin whatsoever shall be 21.10

Kor. Kam. mnikak I'll do something Kor. 42.1

Kor. Kam. nekañvo'ykin he did something Kor. 51.9

In Kamchadal, sxu'zijč you do a certain thing is used in the same way.

2. qol (Chukchee), qolla' (Kor. Kam.), k!ola' (Kamchadal) other.

In Chukchee the synthetic stem quli is used throughout with non-personal nouns. It is also used in adverbial form in temporal adverbs.

quli'-nikek afterwards (=at another certain one) qolê't-a\*lo' some future day (=in the other day) gol yara'čhin a house 86.17 qol yi'lgin another month 7.2

Post-positional forms occur only with personal nouns, while in Koryak these are used for all kinds of nouns.

	Chukchee—Personal	1	Kamchadal	
	Chukenee—Personal	Personal	Non-personal	Kamenadai
Absolute	qgl qu'tti  quti'(n)inä 1 quti'(n)inä 1 qoté'(n)êna 1 qoté(n)ênai'pŭ 1 quti'(n)inu 1	quti'ninak quti'ninak	qoļļa' qu'tti qu'tčau qutinina'ta quti'ninak qote'nInañ qotenIna'ñqo qutinina'nu	k!ola' k!ola'nk k!ola'nk k!ola'nk

<sup>1</sup> Without n in men's pronunciation.

qol Ell'gin another father, a certain father 107.22 qol ŭm na'nmirkin they kill the other one 8.1 (see also 8.12; 15.6; 14.9; 17.1)

qu'tti others (subj. intr.) 12.5 qutti'inä by one of them 8.11 (see also 7.4; 15.3), on one of them 8.13

Kor. Kam. qo'lla another one Kor. 24.9

### Synthetic forms:

qolê-notai'pu from another land 14.12 qolê-ra' gti to another house 12.11 qolê-tke' unvuk on another sleeping 13.5

3. ¿lvé (Chukchee), aļva (Kor. Kam.), êknên (Kamchadal), отнек, occur in synthetic form as given here, and in the forms—

elve'lin (Chukchee), 117.7, elve'linet 113.3, alva'lın (Kor. Kam.) Kor. 76.19.

ček-a'lvam-va'lın how differently it is Kor. 80.9 (Kor. Kam). a'lva tıtva'ñvok I was in a different way Kor. 18.6

4. A number of prefixed particles express also ideas related to the indefinite pronoun (see § 113, nos. 6, 7, 14, 24):

*im-* all *gemge-* every *em-* mere *ter-* how much

Most of the interrogative and indefinite pronouns take the definite, augmentative, and diminutive forms, the same as nouns, and some of these are used with great frequency.

mañêna'chin that one, who is he (from me'ñin who)
rä'nutqäi (from rä'nut what) or
rä'qqäi
ya'xpil (Kor. Kam.)
qoṇai'ñin another big one
qu'ṇeqäi another little one

### The Predicate (§§ 61-82)

### § 61. Introductory Remarks

The predicate appears in two distinct forms, according to the character of the word forming the predicate. The first class is formed by verbs; the latter, by nominal terms which are used as predicate. While all verbs may appear in nominalized forms, and therefore may take the form of the noun as predicate, nouns can not readily be transformed into verbs—except by the use of verbalizing suffixes, which give the compound stem a verbal character. Thus we find that true verbal forms are confined to verbal stems, to the numerals (except one), and

to the indefinite (or interrogative) pronouns, which may be used as nouns as well as verbs.

The structure of the first class of predicative forms is quite complex. We have to distinguish between intransitive and transitive verbs. The following structural elements may be recognized. We have—

- I. Intransitive verbs:
  - 1. Pronominal prefix.
  - 2. Temporal or modal prefix.
  - 3. Verbal theme.

Future

- 4. Temporal or modal suffix.
- 5. Pronominal suffix.

- II. Transitive verbs:
  - 1. Pronominal subjective prefix.
  - 2. Temporal or modal prefix.
  - 3. Verbal theme.
  - 4. Temporal or modal suffix.
  - 5. Pronominal objective suffix.

the suffix  $\hat{n}(t)$ 

The following simple modes and tenses may be distinguished:

Indicative . . . . without prefix, no suffix
Subjunctive:

(a) Exhortative . . with the prefix n(i) the suffix gi(b) Subjunctive . . with the prefix giImperative . . . with the prefix gi

with the prefix re

Besides these, there is a peculiar series of derived modes in -*irkin* (Koryak I -*iykin*, -*ikin*; Koryak II -*itkin*, Kamehadal -*jk*), the pronominal endings of which differ from the ordinary forms, many of them being dropped. In some cases the Koryak drops the terminal -*in*, as is done in all forms in Kamehadal.

The second class, predicative nominal terms, consists either of nouns or of verbal stems, which are nominalized by certain prefixes, and which take suffixes expressing the terminal relations. The simple nominalized forms are used as predicative terms of the third person. These have been discussed before. They are the nominalized forms in -in, -kin, -lin, n(i)-qin (§§ 45-49). In the first and second persons singular these take a suffix -i-, which may be derived from the verb  $-it^{-1}$  to be. In the first and second persons plural the nominalized form appears in composition with the personal pronouns  $muri_{u}$  we, and  $turi_{u}$  you; so that the whole complex represents in the same way a nominal form with predicative function, as in the third persons. The nominalized form has no true tenses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>I consider this unlikely, since in Koryak the t should be preserved, although in Chukchee it might disappear according to the phonetic laws governing the pronunciation of men. Mr. Bogoras points out that the t can not be an auxiliary vowel, since this would have to be t. — F. Boas.

#### § 62. Structure of the Intransitive Verb

- 1. The pronominal prefixes of the intransitive verb are confined to the first person, singular and plural: t- for the singular, mt- for the plural. The m of the plural may perhaps be related to the same element in muri we, while the t of singular and plural may be the same. The element mt- conveys the idea of plurality of the first person with such energy, that, in Koryak at least, the suffix -mik, which repeats the same idea, may be omitted; the same omission occurs rarely in Chukchee.
- 2. The temporal and modal elements enter into close relation with the pronominal prefixes. Most of these follow the ordinary phonetic laws. Thus

```
t+r\mathbf{e} becomes tr\mathbf{e}-
mt+r\mathbf{e} becomes mirr\mathbf{e}-
mt+\mathbf{e} becomes min(\mathbf{e})
```

The last of these is not quite regular, since  $mit(i)^c$  would also seem to be possible. The forms of the exhortative can not be explained by phonetic laws. Here we find that the expected

```
t+n becomes m

mt+n becomes min
```

In the subjunctive (b), when the verb begins with a vowel, the auxiliary vowel disappears, and the glottal stop follows the initial vowel of the stem. This occurs both in Chukchee and Koryak:

```
tu^{\epsilon}w_{i}^{\prime}\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k (stem uw_{i}) I should cook
```

3. The verbal themes may be simple or compound. The former undergo peculiar phonetic changes according to their position, the forms in initial position differing from those found in medial position. This subject has been discussed in § 7 and § 12. A number of formations, however, are irregular, and not due to the action of phonetic laws.

```
qämi-plitku eating finishing (stem qämi, from qamitva)
tara'ñga<sup>e</sup>t they built a house (from teiki to make, yara house)
kinmi'rkin he kills children (kmiñin timirkin)
kuwi'rkin he has dead children (kmiñin, vi<sup>e</sup>rkin)
```

The vocalic elements of prefixes, personal and modal, are modified by the vowels of the stem (see § 3).

The terminal phonetic character of the stem also influences the temporal, modal, and the pronominal suffixes (see § 72).

- 4. The temporal and modal suffixes have been mentioned before. Through contraction between them and the pronominal suffixes originate forms the historical development of which is not by any means clear. It would seem that there is also a suffix  $-q\dot{\chi}$  which appears in many forms, and does not seem to form part of the pronominal element. This, however, has undergone so many changes that its character and function are not clear.
- 5. The pronominal suffixes do not show a very close relation to the personal pronoun, and, furthermore, are somewhat differentiated in different modes of the verb. A comparison of the various forms suggests the following as the essential elements of the suffixed pronominal verbal forms:

#### INTRANSITIVE

Ι.		-k	we	-mk
thou		3	ye	-tk
he.		—	$_{ m they}$	-t

It may be that the m and t of the first and second persons plural are related to muri and turi, which may contain the same endings as erri (see pp. 706, 719, 726). The second person singular is quite doubtful; but it is conceivable that it may contain by origin a form in -gi related to the pronoun git. In the intransitive verb the second and third persons singular are, in their present forms, identical. The third person plural has clearly the element t, which is not the same as the t of the second person plural.

### § 63. Structure of the Transitive Verb

The structure of the transitive verb is, on the whole, analogous to that of the intransitive.

1. For the first persons singular and plural, the same pronominal prefixes as in the intransitive appear, as subjects. The transitive forms of the third person, singular and plural, have the prefix  $n_{\xi}$ . The clearness of the picture is obscured by the fact that the transitive forms

```
THOU—US; YE—ME, US and THOU, YE, HE—ME
```

do not exist, and generalized intransitive forms are used in their place. These are formed with the prefix ing or with the suffix -tky (see p. 819, no. 28; p. 808, no. 67). It is possible that the peculiar form YE—IIIM, THEM has the same origin (see p. 809). I presume this

form has originated from  $-tk\dot{u}-tik$  and is parallel to  $-tk\dot{u}\dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$  thou—us. The g of the intransitive endings disappears in the series of forms thou—us because its position is intervocalic; for instance—

 $-tku-gi^{\epsilon}$  becomes  $-tkui^{\epsilon}$ 

- 2. The temporal and modal prefixes are the same as those of the intransitive.
  - 3. The stems are treated like those of the intransitive verb.
- 4. The temporal and modal suffixes enter into compound forms with the pronominal suffixes. The intransitive g is apparently absent, owing to its frequent intervocalic position.
- 5. The analogy between the transitive pronominal suffixes and the intransitive suffixes is fairly clear, if we consider only those forms which have true pronominal suffixes. We find then the object

-git thee -mik us -tik you

which evidently correspond to the subjects of the intransitive verb. The correspondence is strict for the two plural pronouns:  $-q_{1}t$  may be the older form of the second person intransitive pronoun  $-q_{2}$  (pp. 719 et seq.; p. 710).

The third person object shows forms in -n which recall the nominal forms in -in (§§ 45-49), and, like these forms, form their plurals in -et. In a way these forms seem related to the nominal predicate. To the same group belongs the form in -im they—me, which contains the pronoun gim, like the nominal forms.

Attention may be called to the fact that the number of the pronominal suffix, which designates the object, is naturally determined by the number of the object.

qa'at tıpê'lanat (Kor. Kam. qoya'wge tıpe'lanau) I left the reindeer For the first person object the intransitive form with ine- is used. räë'nutqäi gine'ilä give me something

The Koryak forms resemble the Chukchee forms. The Koryak dual corresponds to the Chukchee plural. The plural  $-l\alpha$ - of the Koryak is always placed immediately following the stem. It indicates plurality of subject or object, but occurs once only in each form, even if both subject and object are plural.

Certain verbal stems may be used both as transitive and as intransitive, generally with a slight change in meaning.

3d pl. . .

Other forms .

-įñIt

tuwalo'mŭrkin I know, hear, obey (intransitive) tuwalo'mŭrkinegit I know thee (transitive) tuwa'lomgä<sup>ɛ</sup>k I heard tuwa'lomga<sup>ɛ</sup>n I knew him

### The Forms of the Intransitive Verb (§§ 64-66)

#### § 64. CHUKCHEE

#### PRINCIPAL MODES

			Subjunctive			
Person	Past I		Prefixes	a	Imperative	Future
		(a)	(b)	Suffixes		
2d pl 3d pl	—tīk —gặ⁵t	* n (I,u,ŭ)	$n (I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \check{u}^{\varepsilon}) $ $n (I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \check{u}^{\varepsilon})$	-tik -net	q (1,ä,a)—gitik	rej—ñItIk rej—ñIt
2d, 3d sing	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -gi^{\varepsilon} \\ -i \end{array} \right  $	$\left.\begin{array}{c} * \\ n \ (I,u,\check{u}) \end{array}\right\}$	$n \ (I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \check{u}^{\varepsilon})$	$   \left\{     \begin{array}{l}     -g\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}n \\     -In   \end{array}   \right\} $	q(I,ä,a**)—q!	r <u>ç</u> { —gäe —I
1st sing	$\left  ti \begin{cases} -g\ddot{q}^{\epsilon}k \\ -ik \end{cases} \right $	m1	$t (I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \check{u}^{\varepsilon})$	$ \begin{vmatrix} -g\ddot{q}^{\varepsilon}k \\ -Ik \end{vmatrix} $		$tre \begin{cases} -g\ddot{q}^{\epsilon} \\ -I \end{cases}$
1st pl	mit—mik	min d person.	$min (I^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \check{u}^{\varepsilon})$	-m1k	7000	mIrre-gä
D			kin (PREFIXES A	** No 3d pe S IN PRINC		
2d pl	-įtīk	_		-įtīk	-itik	-intik

\*t takes the place of final n: Irkit.

The prefix t- of the first person singular appears without auxiliary vowel when it forms an admissible cluster with the initial sound of the verbal theme.

The derived form -rkin is used after vowels. After terminal consonants an auxiliary i is inserted between stem and suffix:

qamı'tva-rkın he eats walo'm-ı-rkın he knows

#### NOMINAL FORMS

	I	II
1	−ê'tī	
2	—Ik,-I	
3	$-(t)\ddot{a}$	ge—(t) ä
4	-ma	
5	-ma'či	

#### § 65. KORYAK

#### PRINCIPAL MODES

#### INTRANSITIVE VERB

		Subjunctive					
Person	Past I	Prefixes.		Suffixes.	Impera- tive	Future	Present indefi- nite
		(a)	(b)	Sumxes.			
2d dual	-tik	*	nae	-tik	q-(g1)t1k	ya—ñɪtɪk	kų-***
2d pl	-ļa'tīk	*	nat	-latik	q-iatik	ya—lantık	kų-
3d dual	-jai or -jage	n(I) $n(I)$	na <sup>e</sup>	-nat		ya—ñi ya—lañe	kų-
2d, 3d sing	_i	*n	$na^{\varepsilon}$	—In	q**-(gi)	ya—I	kų-
1st sing	tı—ık	mI	tae	-ık		tya—I	tIkų-
1st dual	mIt-mIk	mIn	mIna <sup>ε</sup>	-m1k		mIssa—mIk	mItku-
1st pl	mit-lamik	min	mInae	-! $a(mIk)$		mIssa—ļa(mIk)	mItkų-

<sup>()</sup> May be omitted. \*\*\* Also qu. This form does not exist in Koryak II.

DERIVED MODES IN -Irkin (PREFIXES AS IN PRINCIPAL MODES)

2d dual	-ikInitIk	-ikinitik	-ikInitIk	-intik
2d pl	-laikinetik	-ļaĭīne'tīk*	-ļaškinetik	- ļaīkinentik
3d dual	—įkI	-ikInat		—ĭkɪn⁺ñi
3d pl	-ļaīke	-įkInįnau**		-laikineñe
1st, 2d, 3d sing.;1std ual	-įkin	—įkin	-ikin	-ikin
1st pl	-ļaikin	-ļaikInemIk*		-ļaīkīnimīk

<sup>\*</sup>Subjunctive (b) has la-t instead of la. \*\*Subjunctive (b) has au instead of lau.

The prefix t<sub>1</sub>- of the first person singular appears without auxiliary vowel whenever it forms an admissible cluster with the first sound of the verb.

The ending -ikin (Koryak I) of the derived forms is used mostly after stems ending in a single consonant, as walo'm-ekin HE KNOWS. After terminal vowel the i changes to a neutral i, as va-ikin HE is. In many cases, however, the i is also weakened to i or y after a terminal consonant and an auxiliary I is inserted preceding it, as in ya'qıykın what art thou! Kor. 29.1; i'tiykin art thou! Kor. 29.2

<sup>\*</sup> No 2d person.

<sup>\*\*</sup> No 3d person.

NO	OMU	NA L	FORI	MS

	(a)	(b)	
1 2 3	} — <i>1k</i>	00-0	
2 3 4 5	—mači	ga—a	

### § 66. KAMCHADAL

INTRANSITIVE VERB

	Though T	Subjun	Impera-	
Person	Past I	(a) Exhortative	(b) Conditional	tíve
2d sing	−č		$k! = \begin{cases} \tilde{c}nI^{\varepsilon}n \text{ or } \\ un \end{cases}$	k—xč k—jcx
2d pl	cx		k!-cx	k— $jcx$
3d pl	$- igl\{ egin{array}{c} ar{c} &  ext{in or} \ u^{arepsilon} &  ext{n} \ \end{array} igr]$	$xan-$ $\begin{cases} \check{c}\bar{n}I^{\varepsilon}n \text{ or } \\ un \end{cases}$	k!—un	
3d sing	—č	$xan = \begin{cases} \check{c}\bar{n}I^{\epsilon}n \text{ or } \\ un \end{cases}$	k!—un	
1st sing	$t = \begin{cases} k \text{ or } \\ k \text{ič} n \end{cases}$	$m = \begin{cases} k \text{ or } \\ k i \check{c} i n \end{cases}$	tk!k	
1st pl	$n-\begin{cases} k \text{ or } \\ k i \check{e} i n \end{cases}$	$mIn = \begin{cases} k \text{ or } \\ kI\check{c}In \end{cases}$	nk!k	

The subjunctive (b) of modern Kamchadal takes in all forms the terminal particle -bi, which is the Russian conjunction 6bi.

tk!nukbi if I eat.

The future is compounded with the terminal verb  $\delta l$ ,  $(\delta)$  to desire, which may form modes and tenses like the others; the present, with the terminal verb (or suffix) j. The third person plural of this form is  $-j\check{e}in$  or  $ji^{e}n$ .

The numerous Kamchadal verbs ending in -l change this to -c in the derived present. This occurs both in intransitive and transitive verbs (see § 122).

tīlk I left tñüklk I slept tcolk I lay ticjk I leave tñükcjk I sleep tcō'locjk I lie

VERBAL NOUN

1 2	$-k\delta j^1$
3 4	k!-enk

tujuk nu'koj I began eat-beginning; i. e., I began to eat (t- I; uju to begin; -k I; nu to eat)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the inchoative terminal verb (see p. 808, no. 63). The verbal noun never appears without it.

Object me . . .

Other forms . .

(ine)-

### The Forms of the Transitive Verb (§§ 67-71)

#### § 67. CHUKCHEE

#### Transitive Suffixes

#### TRANSITIVE FORMS

	Firs	T AND SE	COND	PERSON OBJ	ECT8		
Object				ndicative I; bjunctive I	Impera- tive II	Future III	Derived Modes in -1rkin IV
(1) thee			gIt tIk mIk			-gIt -ntIk -mIk	-igit -itik -imik
		THIRD	PERSO	ON FORMS			
(i) him (except he, ye—him)			net -9		-gIn -gInet	-ñin -ñinet -ñinin -ñininet	(no ending) -et -in
		INTRAN	SITIV	E FORMS			
	)bject		indica- tive I	Subjunc- tive Ia	Impera- tive II	Future III	Derived Modes in -IrkIn IV
(9) ye—me, us 1		gi <sup>e</sup> lik tki	-ä <sup>e</sup> n -tIk -tkI	-gi <sup>e</sup> -tik -gitki	-gä <sup>ɛ</sup> -ntık -ñıtkı	(no ending) -itik -itki	
		_	_	pronomina			
(11) they—me <sup>2</sup>		-1	йт	-ŭm	_	-йт	-ium
	²See §	Transit	tive	akes the pro Prefixes FORMS	efix ne		
Subject	Subject Indicative I		ubjun	ctive I a	Im	perative II	Future III
		(a)		(b)			
I	t(1)- m1t- ne-	mI- mI <b>n</b> - ä <sup>e</sup> n-		tI <sup>ε</sup> - mIni <sup>ε</sup> - näni <sup>ε</sup> -		_	tre- mirre- nere-
		INTRAN	SITIV	E FORMS			

(niene)-

·nIE-

(nine)-

nI-

q-ine

q-

(ring)-

rę

The form  $-gi^{\epsilon}$  (I7; II7) is rarely abbreviated to - $\epsilon$ .  $q\hat{e}nap\hat{e}la\hat{e}^{\epsilon}$  and  $q\hat{e}nap\hat{e}lai'$  leave me!

This shortening is quite frequent in Koryak I (see below).

#### NOMINAL FORMS

	I	II
I 2 3 4 5	-*gtţ -k -tä -mg -mgčt	og—tā

Besides this there are a number of impersonal forms.

Future	$ \begin{array}{c c} re - \tilde{n} \\ nI - a^{\varepsilon}n \end{array} $
pl Exhortative, derived	nI-nat
sing pl	nI—rkIn nI—rkInat

#### § 68. KORYAK, KAMENSKOYE

#### Transitive Suffixes

# TRANSITIVE FORMS FIRST AND SECOND PERSON OBJECTS

Object	Indicative I; Subjunctive Ia	Imperative II	Future III	Derived Modes in -ykin IV
(1) thee	g <sup>†1</sup> -([a] tIk -([a] mIk		-g! $-(la)ntIk$ $-(la)mIk$	$ \begin{array}{c c} -igi \\ -(la)-itik \\ -(la)-imik \end{array} $
	THIRD PERSON	FORMS.		
(4) him (except he, ye—him) (5) them dual (except he, ye—them) (5') them pl.(except he, ye—them) . (6) he, they—him, them	$-ga^{\varepsilon}n^{2}$ $-nat$ $-nau$ $-nin$	—gIn —gInat —gInau ——	—ñ1n −ñnat −ñnau −ñnin	no ending -inat -inau -(in)
1	INTRANSITIVE	FORMS		
Object	Indicative I; Subjunctive Ia	Imperative II	Future III	Derived Modes in ykin IV
(7) he—me			no ending no ending -(!a)ntik -(!a)mik -(!a)nītča	no ending no ending -(!a)-itik -(!a)-imik -(!a)-itča

#### NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORM

(11) they—me	—gйт <sup>4</sup>	_	—дйт	igŭm
love thee love			him 1	

1 we—thee -lage

² we—him -laga⁵n

In the derived modes, la occurs in the same places as in the simple modes, but preceding -ikin.

The suffixes -gi and  $-ga^{\varepsilon}n$  (I 8, 4; II 8) of this series are often contracted to -i and -n. The former is similar to an intransitive form.

qenapeļa'e<sup>e</sup> and qenapeļai' leave me!

In Chukchee these forms are quite rare (see p. 741)

#### PREFIXES

	Indicative	Subju	nctive	Imperative	Future
	I	I (a) Exhort.	I (b) Subj.		III
I	· ·	mI-	tae-		tya-
thou, ye, he—me	mIt- ina-	mIn- nina-	mInne- naeina-	qina -	mIssa- yına-
they, he—thee, you, us thou, ye—us	na-	$a^{\varepsilon}n$ -	nana€-		naya-
he—him, them thou, ye—him, them	no prefix	nI-	$na^{\varepsilon}$ -	qa-	ya-

The second indefinite of Koryak has the prefix  $q\dot{u}$ -,  $k\dot{u}$ - (k- before vowels) and the future endings, except that

he, thou-me has the ending  $-\tilde{n}$ 

I, he-you (dual, pl.) has the ending -ñtik

#### NOMINAL FORMS

	I	11
1 2 3	-k -k	
4 5	missing -mači	ga—ta

As in Chukchee there occur also a number of impersonal forms.

				_	
Future					yañ
Exhortation,	sing .				nı-aen
	Dual .				nI-nat
Exhortation, p	eriod, pl.				nI-nou
	Sing.	٠	٠	٠	nI—ĭkIn
	Dual				nI—ĭkInat
					nı—ĭkınau

### KAMCHADAL (§§ 69-71)

### 3 69. Types of Transitive Verb

The Kamchadal transitive verb shows peculiarities of structure similar to those of the Chukchee and Koryak. Only the forms with the objects thee, you, us, are formed with the pronominal forms corresponding to the intransitive suffixes. The combination YEus is here also excepted, although no indication of a change of the verb into an intransitive form by means of a special suffix is found. Instead of that, the forms thou, YE—ME have the ending -mink, which does not occur in the intransitive verb, but seems to correspond to -mik we of Chukchee-Koryak. It may be mentioned here again that in Koryak this ending tends to be dropped. In the Kamchadal forms here discussed it may express the intransitive first person plural, as though we had, for instance, instead of THOU LEAVEST ME, WE PART. When used for the singular THOU-ME, the ending is often pronounced  $-mi\tilde{n}$ , which may be an older form. The form YE-ME, US takes, in addition to -mink, the ending -cx YE, which corresponds to the intransitive subject. In agreement with the nominal forms, the third person plural object has - en. The nominal-predicative form is used here for both singular and plural of the third person with the object ME.

The forms of a second type of conjugation are not quite so clear.

§ 70. Type I

Transitive Suffixes

TRANSITIVE FORMS

Object	Indicative	Subjunctive	Imperative	Present
thee	-hin  he, -fn	}-hin		hIn he, they,-n
you us (except ye—us)	-cxIn -mIñk	-cxIn -mIñk	-m1ñk	-cxIn -mIñk

#### THIRD PERSON FORMS

Object	Indicative	Subjunctive	Imperative	Present
him (except he, they, ye—him).	-n	-n	-x	-n
them (except he, they, ye—them)	-€n	-£n	-xIn	-£-n
he, they—him	-nin	-nin		-nin
he, they—them	-ni <sup>ε</sup> n	-nien		-ni <sup>e</sup> n

#### INTRANSITIVE FORMS

41	 			
thou-me	 -mink	_	-miñk	$\cdot mI\tilde{p}k$
ye-me,us			-m1ñkcx	-mIñkcx
ye—him	 -cxIn	—	-cx	-cxIn
yc-them	 -cxI <sup>ε</sup> n		-cxI <sup>€</sup> n	-cxien
				- CW2 10

#### NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORMS

he—me	-humni'n	humni'n	_	humni'n
they—me	-humni'n	hum <b>n</b> i'n	_	humni'n

#### PREFIXES

		Su	ıbj	ect			Indicative	Subjunctive	Imperative	Present
Ι							t-	n-		t-
we							n-	mIn or x an-		n-
he								x·an		
they .							ăn-	x·an		ăn-
thou, y	е								k-	

A comparison between this table and the one on p. 740 shows that all the prefixes, except *ăn*- of the third person plural, are the same as those of the intransitive verbs.

An example of this type of verb is the stem txl- (present txc-) to beat. In verbs beginning with t, the prefix t of the first person singular is dropped.

Indicative forms have the theme txli-.

Subjunctive forms have the theme txli-.

Present forms have the theme txcj(i)- with auxiliary vowel i before terminal n and before glottal stop.

## Indicative:

txli'hın I beat thee
txli'n he beat thee
txlihŭmni'n he beat me
txli'mıñk you beat me, us; he beat us
ăntxli'cxın they beat you
ntxlın we beat him
ăntxli'nin they beat him

## Subjunctive:

mtxli'hin let me beat thee x'antxli'nin let him beat him x'antxli'miñk let him, them, beat us x'antxlihŭmni'n let him, them, beat me mintxli'exin let us beat you

# Imperative:

ktvli'miñk beat thou me, us ktvlimi'ñkev beat ye me, us ktvliz beat him ktvliev beat ye him

### Present:

Object

txcjhin I am beating thee ntxcjhin we are beating thee txcjin thou art beating them txcjnin he is beating him antxcjcxin they are beating you ntxcjin we are beating him

### § 71. Type II

TRANSITIVE SU FIXES
TRANSITIVE FORMS

Subjunctive

Imperative

Indicative

thee	Object	Indicativo	Sasjanonic	Important	110000110
you	thee	)-	-xkIn		-xkIn
THIRD-PERSON FORMS  I—him	you	J-	-xkIsxIn	_	-xkIsxIn
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	us (except ye—us)	120	-xkm1ñk	$-xkm$ I $\tilde{n}k$	-xkm1ñk
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Т	HIRD-PERSON FO	RMS		
we—him $-\bar{n}In$	I—him	1)		_	
we—him $-\bar{n}In$ $-\bar{n}Ien$	thou—him	-čiñin		-xč1k	-ñIn
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	we-him	-ñ <i>In</i>	1)		-ñIn
thou—them $-\delta I \bar{n} I^{\varepsilon} n$	I—them	l)			
we—them $-nI^{e}n$ {- $kI\bar{e}I^{e}n$ } - $kI\bar{e}I^{e}n$	thou—them ,	-čIñI <sup>ε</sup> n		1	
He—Hill	we—them		$\left\{-kI\check{c}I^{\varepsilon}n\right\}$		
thou him -Innin -Innin -Innin					
they—tim	they—him	-Iñnin	-1กิกไก		
he—them   $-\check{c}I\bar{n}ni^{\varepsilon}n$   $-\check{c}I\bar{n}ni^{\varepsilon}n$   $-ni^{\varepsilon}n$				1	
they—them $-i\tilde{n}ni^{\epsilon}n$ $-i\tilde{n}nI^{\epsilon}n$ $-I\tilde{n}ni^{\epsilon}n$	they—them	-เกิกเะก	-iñnI⁵n		-Innien

#### INTRANSITIVE FORMS

Object	Indicative	Subjunctive	Imperative	Present
thou—me	-xkmIñk		-xkmIñk	-xkm1nk
ye—me, us	-xkimiñkex -čexiñin		-xkmIñkex -čexIñIn	-xkmIñkc: -cxIñIn
	$-\check{c}cxI\tilde{n}I^{\varepsilon}n$		-čcxIñI <sup>€</sup> n	$-cxI\tilde{n}I^{\varepsilon}n$

#### NOMINAL PREDICATIVE FORMS

he, they-me	-xkumni'n	-xkumni'n	 -xkumni'n

Evidently these forms are closely related to those of Type I, but the symmetry is disturbed by a number of peculiar contractions, some of which seem to be due to misunderstandings. The prefixes are the same as those of Type I.

As an example may be given forms of the stem kej to accept.

Indicative and subjunctive have the theme kej-.

Present has the theme kejij-.

### Indicative:

tke'jxkin I accepted thee ke'jčiñin he accepted thee änke'jxkimiñk they accepted us nke'jñin we accepted him tke'jñi'n or tke'jkiči'n I accepted them ke'jčexiñi'n ye accepted them

# Subjunctive:

mke'jxkın let me accept thee x·ankejxkŭmni'n let him accept me mınke'jñı'n or mınke'jkıčı'n let us accept them x·anke'jxkın let him, them, accept thee

# Imperative:

xkejxčt'k accept him
xkejxčki'n or xkejxčñi'n accept them (k before k changes to x)
xkejxkmi'ñk accept me, us
xkejxkmi'ñkcx accept ye me, us
xke'jčcxiñin accept ye him
xke'jčcxiñi'n accept ye them

#### Present:

tkejijxki'sxin I am accepting you  $nke'jij\tilde{n}in$  we are accepting him  $\check{a}nke'j\cdot ij\cdot i\tilde{n}nin$  they are accepting him  $ke'jijni^sn$  he is accepting them  $ke'jij\tilde{n}i^sn$  thou art accepting him, them

The nominal forms of these two types are-

	r	уре	I		Type II
$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right\}$	•		•	-ic, -il	$-\epsilon x \epsilon$
$\frac{1}{3}$				-êka (rare)	-čxeka (rare)

As in the intransitive verb, the future is expressed by the present of the desiderative.

txlaxin I shall beat thee tkeja'xkin I shall accept thee txlalin I shall beat him  $tkejal\tilde{n}in$  or  $tkejalki\tilde{c}in$  I shall accept him

The two types of conjugation depend upon suffixes which precede the pronominal elements. Some verbal stems are used with and without these suffixes, with a modification of meaning.

tëxli'jin (Type I) I take away my boots tëxli'jñin (Type II) I take away something from the table

The loss of modes in Kamchadal may be due to Russian influence. There are a number of Kamchadal forms, evidently remains of older forms, which resemble the Chukchee even more closely than the forms just described. Thus we find—

Kamchadal	Chukchee	
jiljin	yi'lirkin	thou givest him
jı'lıjhŭm	ne'y1lhŭm	they gave me
(ă)njı'ljımŭk	ne'yılmık	they gave us

# § 72. Examples of Verbal Suffixes

#### CHUKCHEE

The phonetic rules discussed in §§ 1-23 bring about frequent changes in the verbal suffixes. As a matter of convenience I will summarize here the most common modifications, a few of which can not be explained by the general phonetic laws.

1. Verbal stems terminating in a vowel add the verbal suffix without auxiliary vowel. Whenever the initial g of the suffix stands in intervocalic position, it is either dropped or pronounced very weakly.

```
telere'\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}k < t\text{-}elere'\text{-}g\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}k I felt lonesome nayo'' \tilde{n}\tilde{n}\varrho\dot{\hat{e}}^{\varepsilon} < n\underline{\hat{e}}\text{-}y\varrho^{\varepsilon}\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\varrho\text{-}g\dot{\hat{e}}^{\varepsilon}he began to be overtaken 10.7
```

In stems ending in a double vowel this may lead to trivocalic clusters, which are never contracted.

```
t_{I}pa'aa^{\epsilon}k < t_{I}-pa'a_{I}-q\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k I ceased 21.1 t_{I}ya'aa^{\epsilon}k < t_{I}-ya'a_{I}-q\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k I used §72
```

2. When stems ending in consonants would form consonantic clusters of more than two consonants, when combined with suffixes, an auxiliary vowel is inserted before the suffix.

pê'nřinên < pênr-nin he attacks him tei'kinin < teik-nin he made it qêna'nműê' < qêna-tṃ-gi<sup>ɛ</sup> kill me!

3. In a few cases auxiliary vowels are also introduced when two consonants come into contact that would form inadmissible clusters.

pegtime'tılın < pegti-met-lın hauling a sledge 15.3

Among the types of assimilation of sounds may be mentioned —

4. Stems with terminal u diphthong transform the combination uq into wkw. The following auxiliary vowel is u.

tıma'rawkwa<sup>ɛ</sup>k < tı-ma'rau-gä<sup>ɛ</sup>k I quarreled. tımara'wkut < tı-marau-gıt İ blamed thee i'wkwi<sup>ɛ</sup> < iu-gi<sup>ɛ</sup> he spoke 8.14 res'qi'wki<sup>ɛ</sup> < res'qiu-gi<sup>ɛ</sup> he entered 11.2

When the diphthong is accented, and followed by a consonant with which w would form an admissible cluster, the u has a vocalic character.

mara'urkin he quarrels

With those stems in which u is by origin a weak vowel or an unchangeable vowel, the g of the suffix, being an intervocalic sound, drops out.

\( \frac{i}{u} \tilde{u} \tilde{k} in \) he rows (perhaps from \( \frac{i}{y} \tilde{u} \))
\( \frac{i}{e} \) u \( \tilde{k} in \) I shook
\( \frac{i}{u} \tilde{u} \)
\( \frac{i}{u} \)
\(

5. Stems ending in t change the initial g of suffixes into y.

 $ewkwe'tyi^{\epsilon} < ewkwet-gi^{\epsilon}$  he left 8.7  $trye'ty\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k < tr-yet-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k$  I came 124.11  $tewkwe'ty\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k < tr-ewkwe't-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k$  I left

6. Stems ending in l change the initial g of suffixes into y or h.

 $u\tilde{n}e'ly\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t < u\tilde{n}el-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t$  they gathered fuel 30.6  $ne'ly\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t < nel-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t$  it became 12.2  $qu\tilde{n}e'lhi^{\epsilon} < q-u\tilde{n}el-gi^{\epsilon}$  gather fuel! 27.1 mi'ilhit < mi-yil-git let me give thee 121.24

7. Stems ending in l, r,  $\check{c}$ , t, with following l, form  $\iota$  or  $\iota$ .

 $g\dot{\chi}^{\epsilon'}L\dot{\eta}n < g\dot{\chi}^{\epsilon}r-l\dot{\chi}n$  he has gone across  $gene'Lin < g\dot{q}-nel-lin$  he became 10.8

ge'lqäLin < ge-lqät-lin he left 59.1 gakr'tımalên < ga-kr'tımat-lên he had his hand extended 47.6

8. Terminal  $\tilde{n}$  of the stem before l changes to n.  $gataaronl\hat{e}n < ga-taaro\tilde{n}-l\hat{e}n$  he has brought sacrifice

9. In the pronunciation of men, among the Reindeer Chukchee, t and n between vowels are dropped, and the vowels are assimilated to aa, ee, ii, and after preceding q to äe.

ewkwe'erkın<ewkwe't-ı-rkın he leaves gênatva'ıaat<ge-ine-tvat-linet they promised 71.4 (see § 73) ninenlipe'tqäet<n-ine-r-lip-et-qinet he broke them 20.11

I give here a series of examples of the forms described before.

#### INTRANSITIVE VERB

Past I: 2d pl. pilntigättik you appeared 74.21 pŭki'rgä<sup>e</sup>t they came 64.2 3d pl. tara'nga<sup>e</sup>t they pitched a tent 56.9  $\tilde{n}ipe'\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t < \tilde{n}ipe-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t$  they came ashore 7.8  $tile'\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t < tile-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t$  they walked 64.9  $qxmi'tvaa^{\epsilon}t < qami-tva-ga^{\epsilon}t$  they ate 87.32 yılqä'tyä<sup>e</sup>t < yılqät-gä<sup>e</sup>t they slept 8.4  $ye'tyi^{\epsilon} < yet-gi^{\epsilon}$  thou hast come 37.6 2d sing. qäti' thou art going 82.23  $gitte'wkwi^{\epsilon} < gitteu-gi^{\epsilon}$  thou art hungry 9.13 e'gripgi<sup>€</sup> she felt pain 63.8 3d sing.  $i^{\epsilon\prime}rgi^{\epsilon}$  he crossed over 13.13  $p \check{u} k i' r_I$  he came 90.26  $\tilde{n}a'wting\hat{e}^{\epsilon}$  he married 58.8  $p\check{u}ki'rg\hat{i}^{\epsilon}$  he arrived 57.8; 58.1 lile'pgi he looked 7.6 kệ′rgŭpgê⁵ she dressed up 52.9  $ei\tilde{n}e'utkui^{\epsilon} < ei\tilde{n}e'u-tku-gi^{\epsilon}$  he called 8.5  $wa'q\theta\hat{e}^{\epsilon} < waq\theta - g\hat{e}^{\epsilon}$  he sat down 15.7  $eu\tilde{n}o'\hat{e}^{\varepsilon} < iu-\tilde{n}\tilde{n}o-gi^{\varepsilon}$  he began to say 117.25  $ra'gti\hat{e}^{\epsilon} < ragti-gi^{\epsilon}$  he came home 122.7  $ewkwe'tyi^{\varepsilon} < ewkwet-gi^{\varepsilon}$  he left 8.7  $t \hat{e} r g a' t y \hat{e}^{\epsilon} < t \hat{e} r g - \epsilon t - g \dot{e}^{\epsilon}$  he cried 7.6  $kiye'wkwi^{\epsilon} < kiyeu-gi^{\epsilon}$  he awoke 9.4 ki'wkwi<sup>\varepsilon</sup> < kiu-gi<sup>\varepsilon</sup> he passed a night 8.4 notas qa'wkwê < nute-s qeu-gi land approached 8.8 te'gripgä<sup>€</sup>k I felt pain 101.17 1st sing.  $tiye'ty\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k < t-y_{\epsilon}t-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k$  I came 124.11 teiu'ä<sup>e</sup>k < t-eiu-gä<sup>e</sup>k I revived 83.14

 $tilva'wkwa^{\epsilon}k < t-lvau-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}k$  I could not 16.9

1st pl. mityi'greumik we are thirsty 71.14 mitvi<sup>ei</sup>mik we died 64.15

## Subjunctive (a):

3d pl.  $n_{ij} l q \ddot{a}' t_{inet} < n_{ij} l q \ddot{a} t_{i-net}$  let them sleep 3d sing.  $n_{i'} l q \ddot{a} t_{i'} \ddot{a} c_{i'} < n_{i'} l q \ddot{a} t_{i'} \ddot{a} c_{i'} < n_{i'} l q \ddot{a} t_{i'} \ddot{a} c_{i'}$  let him go! 13.12

nīča'atvaa<sup>e</sup>n < n-čaa-tva-gä<sup>e</sup>n let her be a castaway 39.3

 $n_{IYI}'lq\ddot{a}ty\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n < n_{-YI}lq\ddot{a}t_{-}g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n$  let him sleep 9.1

1st sing.  $m_i l_i m_i l_i a_i a_i n_i n_i - g \ddot{a}^i k$  let me obey 21.10  $m_i ' l_i g \ddot{a}^i k < m_i - l_i g \ddot{a}^i k$  let me go 125.5

muanla'a<sup>e</sup>k<m<sub>I</sub>-anla-gä<sup>e</sup>k I may ask (for help) 135.19

 $m_{Ine'ety\ddot{a}^ck} < m_{I-neet-g\ddot{a}^ck}$  let me turn black 23.6  $m_{Ine'wkwen-I}k < m_{I-ewkwet-m_I}k$  let us go away 17.8

1st pl. mne'wkwen ik<mn-ewkwet-mik let us go away 17.8

minuñe'lmik let us gather fuel 30.6

minra'gtimŭk let us go home 126.4

mra'gtiaεk let me go home 99.2

mita'aqeaεk let me smoke 99.26

# Subjunctive (b):

 $nr^{\epsilon}tva'nat$  if they had stayed 68.27  $nu^{\epsilon}wi'\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n < nr^{\epsilon}-vi^{\epsilon}-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n$  she would die 37.12

## Imperative:

quwi<sup>e</sup>'tık die ye! 64.16 qäle'tık walk ye! 65.29

 $\ddot{q}\ddot{a}m\tilde{n}i'i^{\epsilon} < q-m\tilde{n}i-qi^{\epsilon}$  celebrate the thanksgiving ceremonial 60.5

 $qanto' < q-nto-gi^{\epsilon}$  come out! 26.3  $qagno'pg\hat{\epsilon}^{\epsilon}$  sit with head bent down! 32.4

### Future:

2d pl.  $revi^{\epsilon}'ntik$  ye will die 64.20

3d pl. re'pkirgä they will come 10.5 (sing. used as plural)

2d sing.  $re\check{c}ipe'\dot{t}y\ddot{a}^{\epsilon} < re\check{c}ip-et-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$  thou wilt dive 114.22

 $revi^{\epsilon'}i^{\epsilon} < revi^{\epsilon} - gi^{\epsilon}$  thou wilt die 65.6 (cf. 21.12  $revi^{\epsilon'}\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$  37.8)

 $ra'tvaa^{\epsilon} < r\underline{\epsilon} - tva^{\epsilon} - g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$  thou wilt live 108.25

3d sing.  $rem\tilde{n}i'\ddot{a}^{\epsilon} < re-m\tilde{n}i$ - $q\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$  he will celebrate a thanksgiving ceremonial 118.12

 $ratopa'wkwa^{\epsilon} < r\underline{\epsilon} - t_0pau-q\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$  she will be pregnant 104.5  $rara'l\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}tya^{\epsilon} < r\underline{\epsilon} - r_0l\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}\hat{c}\hat{t} - q\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}$  he will slide down 114.15  $reurre'tyl^{\epsilon} < re-urr-et-gl^{\epsilon}$  it will appear 119.10

1st sing.  $trara'gtia^{\epsilon} < t$ -re-ragti-gä $^{\epsilon}$  I shall go home 99.14  $trevi^{\epsilon'}\ddot{a}^{\epsilon} < t$ -re- $vi^{\epsilon}$ -gä $^{\epsilon}$  I shall die 108.1

trene'lhä<sup>e</sup><t-re-nel-gä<sup>e</sup> I shall turn to 24.12 1st pl. mırreyı'lgätyä<sup>e</sup><mırre-yılg-ät-gä<sup>e</sup> we shall sleep 9.3 Derived Modes in -irkin.

### Past I:

inenreqeurkini'tik < ine-r-req-eu-rkin-itik what are you doing with me 10.10

ewkwe'erkit < ewkwet-irkit they leave 13.6

mñi'rkit they celebrate the thanksgiving ceremonial 67.29

pilqä'erkin he dived 9.7

va'rkin he is 19.2

riqamitva'urkinên he was made to eat

kime'urkin thou causest delay 18.6

re'qärkin how art thou? 18.9

tinqäe'rkin I refuse 19.7

mittegimi'n'ñirkin we suffer 32.2

mitteñiċe'erkin we feel merry 69.8

minqami'tvarkin let us eat 65.4

qatva'rkin stay! 57.3; 67.23

remeiñe'erkin he will grow up° 21.7

### Koryak:

### Past I:

3d pl. is him lavai' ñalai they shout aloud and dance Kor. 24.6

2d sing. i'yi thou hittest Kor. 26.1 i'ti thou wert Kor. 16.3

> ya'ti thou camest Kor. 68.12 qati' you went away Kor. 18.5

3d sing. vannınta'tı she lost a tooth Kor. 34.1 a'wyeñvoi he begins to eat Kor. 20.7

 $vi^{\epsilon\prime}qi$  he is dead Kor. 22.1

1st sing.  $tivi^{i}yak$  I died Kor. 84.14

titva'ñvok I began to be Kor. 18.6 tuva'nnintatik I lost a tooth Kor. 33.1

tapka'vık I could not Kor. 35.2

ti'yak I hit Kor. 26.2

tına<sup>є</sup>'lık I remained Kor. 16.2

1st dual. *mitquqita't* we are hungry Kor. 74.17 Subjunctive (a):

2d sing. nina<sup>e</sup>'lin may it become Kor.20.2

3d sing. ne'wnīvon he would begin to say Kor. 27.6

1st sing. milqa'tik let me go! Kor. 33.10

mas hi'ntilik I'll walk along the shore Kor. 82.19 minan ačo'mik let us try the divining-stone! Kor.

80.20

1st dual mini'lgat let us go! Kor. 22.5 (see § 62,1)

mına'wyi let us eat! Kor. 28.9

1st pl. minilqala'mik let us go! Kor. 28.5; 62.6
mino'yičvala let us play! Kor. 32.7
minikya'wla let us get up! Kor. 39.4.
mina'wyela let us eat! Kor. 27.7
minno'tantala let us go for a walk! Kor. 86.8.

Subjunctive (b):

 $nr^{\epsilon}tva^{\epsilon\prime}an$  it should be Kor. 34.12  $nani^{\epsilon\prime}win$  one could say Kor. 24.10  $ti^{\epsilon\prime}wik$  I should say 45.9

Imperative:

sing. quvr<sup>e</sup>yas qi'wgi die! Kor. 35.1 qa'lqathi go away! Kor. 35.3 qımla'we dance! Kor. 37.6 qamla'wge dance! Kor. 45.9 qıta'pañ cook soup! Kor. 42.10 qawas vu'qi look in! Kor. 27.3

dual qamalitva thitik make it better! Kor. 13.2 qanto tik go out! Kor. 74.15

qıyai'tıtık go ye two home Kor. 21.1 qi'thıtık be ye two! Kor. 21.2

pl. qawas vila'tik look ye in! Kor. 27.1 qikyawla'tik awake ye! Kor. 39.3

qaivilala'tik carry ye meat as a present! Kor. 63.12

qalqala'tık go away! Kor. 14.7

Future:

3d pl. yewñivola'ñe they shall tell Kor. 22.5 1st sing. tyavie'yañ I shall die Kor. 33.1 tiyayai'tiñ I will go home Kor. 30.5 1st pl. missavie'yala we shall die Kor. 16.9

Derived modes in -1ykin:

2d pl. yaqlaikine'tik what are you doing? Kor. 24.8

3d dual vai'ke they two are Kor. 48.7 3d pl. kiya'wlaike they awoke Kor. 12.6

vañvolai'ke they lived Kor. 43.7; 45.5; 62.7; 12.6.

kokaivilai'ke they are cooking Kor. 27.4 Enkayalai'ke they are snoring Kor. 28.4

3d sing. *lelapītčoñvo'ykīn* he looks up Kor. 42.8 *kaña'tīykīn* he is fishing Kor. 45.1 *va'ykīn* he lives Kor. 18.4

1st sing. tigitta'tiykin I am hungry Kor. 35.5

tıyañlanñıvo'ykın I shall feel smoky Kor. 37.10

1st pl. mititvañvoļai'kin we remain Kor. 17.11 §72 3045°—Bull. 40, pt. 2—12——48

Subjunctive:

1st sing. mañinmila'tiykin I should feel elated Kor. 84.17

Imperative:

2d sing. qiwiykin-i'-qi say! Kor. 25.4

#### TRANSITIVE VERB

#### Transitive Forms

FIRST AND SECOND PERSON FORMS

## Past I and subjunctive:

ti'lhi-git I have thee for something 15.8 (I 1\*) ne'nti-git they bid thee 19.5 (I 1) minlete'ttik let us carry you away! 74.15 (I 2) ne'ntitik he bid you 74.24 (I 2) nayo''mŭk they visit us 34.6 (I 3) nantimla'nmik they press on us 63.9 (I 3)

### Future:

nara'nmŭgit they will kill thee 37.10 (III 1) nara'nmŭntik it will kill you 70.12 (III 2)

### Derived modes:

nayoërkin-ê-git they visit thee 52.4 (IV 1) nanmirkinêrmik let them kill us! 67.33 (IV 3)

#### THIRD-PERSON FORMS

# Past I and subjunctive:

 $tre^{\epsilon \prime}ty\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n$  I brought it 20.1 (I 4)  $t_I^{\epsilon}lh_I'\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n$  if I should do for it 38.12 (Ia 4)  $m_I l u^{\epsilon} \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} n$  let me see it 19.5; 20.2 (Ia 4)  $m_{I}p\hat{e}'n\check{r}_{I}a^{\epsilon}n$  let me catch him 66.16 (Ia 4)  $m_I t l u^{\epsilon} \ddot{a}^{\epsilon} n$  we saw it 33.7 (I 4) napêla'a<sup>e</sup>n they left it 30.12 (I 4) mınpê'laa<sup>e</sup>n let us leave him 29.11 (I 4) gina'n li'ngä<sup>e</sup>n thou hast put it 38.11 (I 4) tule tinet thou hast stolen them 18.1 (I 5) nenu'net they ate it 14.8 (I 5)  $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}nlu^{\epsilon'}net$  they might see it 62.1 (Ia 5) yopa'nnên he visited him 7.4 (I 6)  $lu^{\varepsilon'}nin$  he saw it 18.11 (I 6)  $n_I^{\epsilon} y o^{\epsilon'} n \hat{e} n$  they would visit it 53.1 (Ia 6) timnê'nat he killed them 34.1 (I 6') pinlo'nênat he asked them 13.9 (I 6') iu'ninet he said to them 8.10 (I 6')

<sup>\*</sup> Numbers in parenthese refer to the table of forms on pp. 741-743.

§72

### Imperative:

qägti'gin fetch it! 30.9 (II 4) qärri'lhin put it down 40.6 (II 4) qai'pŭgun put it on! 16.6; 37.8 (II 4) qätei'kiginet make them! 49.4 (II 5) qre'tinet fetch them 73.11 (II 5)

#### Future:

tre'ntiñin I will manage him 67.22 (III 4)
mirraio<sup>e</sup>'ñin we shall see him 66.30 (III 4)
mirri'wkut-hit we shall bind thee 23.8 (III 1)
repli'tkuñinet thou wilt finish them 49.5 (III 5)
ra'nmugnên he will kill him 37.14 (III 6)

### Derived modes:

qoi'pitkoi'vŭrkin thrust it in all! 72.24 (IV 4) tilhi'rkinet I do them 29.2; 30.5 (IV 5) nata'rkinat they left them 68.17 (IV 5) timi'rkinên he kills him 23.5 (IV 6) te'grirkinin he threw him 10.10 (IV 6) timi'rkinênat they kill them 44.8 (IV 6') nelu'rkin they saw it 7.8 (IV 4)

### Intransitive Forms.

## Past I, and derived form:

ine'lhii<sup>¢</sup> thou hast for me 25.1 (I 8)
gina'n inelu<sup>¢</sup>'i<sup>¢</sup> thou hast seen me 22.10 (I 8)
ênapêlarkinê'tik ye are leaving me 10.5 (IV 9)
inenreqeurkini'tik what are you doing to me? 10.10 (IV 9)
inentE'e'urkin thou causest me pain 31.11 (IV 8)
mitiwku'tirkin-i-git we bind thee (IV 1)

# Imperative:

qine'ilhi<sup>e</sup> give me! 15.12 (II 8)
qênata'gê<sup>e</sup> move to me! 37.10 (II 8)
qênankêrgipa'tyê<sup>e</sup> dress me! 48.9 (II 8)
qigite'tkui<sup>e</sup> look at us! 35.7 (II 8)
qeiñe'tkutik carry ye us away! 74.12 (II 9)
qinerri'lhitik (qinerri'ltik 23.7) let ye me go! 24.1 (II 9)
qênagta'tyitik haul ye me up! 67.8 (II 9)
qinelu<sup>e</sup>'tik look ye at me! 70.31 (II 9)
qaivalponaurkinê'tki hit ye them on the head! 69.32 (IV 10)
qänu'utki eat ye it! 14.7; 33.12 (II 10)
qata'yitki pass it! 70.10 (II 10)
qata'Lin<sub>y</sub>itki answer ye them! 11.11 (II 10)
qänınle'wkutki light ye them 68.13 (II 10)

Future:

raala'ñitki ye will pass it 64.20 (III 10) relu<sup>e</sup>'ñitki ye will see it 64.21 (III 10)

#### Nominal Predicative Forms

napêla'-ŭm they left me 31.9 (I 11)
nančaatwa'wkŭm they cast me off 31.10 (I 11)
nanlımalawa't-ê-ŭm they make me obey 21.3 (I 11)
For examples of verbal nouns, see § 95.

#### KORYAK

1. Stems with terminal vowel form a diphthong with the ending -ykin of the derived forms.

 $t_I$ -tva'i $k_In < t_I$ -tva'- $yk_In$  I am  $t_I$ - $\check{c}v\check{i}'$ i $k_In < t_I$ - $\check{c}v\check{i}'$ - $yk_In$  I cut va'y $k_In$  he lives Kor. 18.4

2. The g of the suffix is never dropped.

tı-čvi'-gän I eut off

3. Stems with terminal consonant have for the derived forms in  $-yk_{II}$  the form  $-ik_{II}$ , an auxiliary vowel being introduced on account of the formation of a triconsonantic cluster.

tıvalo'mekin (Chukchee tuwalo'mirkin)<ti-valom-ykin I hear tapatekin (Chukchee tapatirkin)<t-ŭpat-ykin I cook tivvikin (Chukchee tiurkin)<t-iv-ykin I say

4. Terminal v of the stem (which corresponds to Chukchee u) is not regularly assimilated by the initial g of the suffix.

*ti′vgä⁵k* I said

In other cases vg is changed to wg, which corresponds to the Chukchee wkw.

tyi'wgi (Chukchee tri'wkut) < t-y-iv-gi (Chukchee < t-r-iu-git)
I shall say to you  $quvi^syas\cdot qi'wgi$  die! Kor. 35.1

- Terminal t does not influence the g of the suffix.
   pelga'tgi he grew old
- 6. Stems in terminal  $\tilde{t}$  of Koryak I, which correspond to stems in r of the Chukchee, form the derived modes in  $\tilde{t}$  in (Chukchee -rirkin).

Kamenskoye tį<sup>ε</sup>′yįkın Chukchee ti<sup>e</sup>rirkin I cross over

7. Terminal t before l either forms the Koryak analogue of L or drops out.

gapa' ien < ga-pat-len he has cooked gap E'lqalin < ga-p E'lqat-lin he has grown old

# Examples:

tiyanu'wgi I shall swallow thee Kor. 78.18; 84.24 (I 1) miti'mtingi I shall carry thee Kor. 21.4 (Ia 1) mininyai'tala-ge let us take thee home Kor. 33.3 (Ia 1) a<sup>e</sup>ntai'kı-gi one should make thee Kor. 38.4 (Ia 1) naļnīļaikīne'tīk they do to you Kor. 64.17 (IV 2) minyai'tatik I'll carry you two home Inc. 4 line 6, p. 63<sup>1</sup>(Ia 2) nenenela'mik he appears to us Kor. 29.9 (I 3) nana<sup>e</sup>yıva'wmık he is reproaching us Kor. 74.19 (I 3) nalñilaikine'mik they do us Kor. 64.16, 62.5 (IV 3)  $lu^{\epsilon'}wa^{\epsilon}n$  thou sawest it Kor. 23.8 (I 4)  $m_{I}yo^{\epsilon}'ogan$  let me visit him Kor. 20.7 (Ia 4)  $mitla^{\epsilon\prime}wla^{\epsilon}n$  we found it Kor. 26.9 (I 4)  $q_{I}ya^{\epsilon'}thin$  bring it here! Kor. 29.4 (II 4) minu'mkawin I will lay it aside Kor. 49.10 (I 4) tiyai'liñin I'll give him Kor. 12.3; 76.17 (III 4) tiyanñawtiña'nñin I'll give you your wife Kor. 13.3 (III 4) ya'nmıñın vou will kill it Kor. 76.7 (III 4) natalaikine'mik it has caught us Kor. 66.7 (IV 3) tr'nmin I killed him Kor. 20.5 (I 4)  $lu^{\varepsilon'}nin$  she found it Kor. 24.3 (I 6) tai'kmin he made them Kor. 20.9 (I 6)  $nayo^{\epsilon}$  on au ve visit them Kor. 24.7 (I 10) qutei'kıñınau you are making them Kor. 50.7 (I 10) qupka'wñunenau it could not do them Kor. 40.2 (I 6') nayo nayo kinenau they visit them Kor. 61.8 (IV 5') yıleñvo'ykınen she turns him Kor. 19.2 (IV 6) yawa'ykinen she has him for Kor. 22.6 (IV 6) ina'nui he swallowed me Kor. 84.13 (I 7) tenanikyo'nñivoi he wants to awaken us Kor. 39.4 (I 7) ninanuva<sup>\epsilon</sup> an let him swallow me! Kor. 84.15 (Ia 7) yena'nmi she will kill us Kor. 96.14 (III 8) qina'nu swallow me! Kor 84.22 (II 8) qinanu'wqi swallow me! Kor. 84.24 (II 8) qenanyaikıni'gi cheer me up! Kor. 84.27 (II 8) qinamlıla'tık ye louse me! Kor. 24.9 (II 9) qinatinunla'tık prepare ye provisions for me Kor. 13.4 (II 9) qwuĻa'gītča tie ye her! Kor. 23.4 (II 10)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Waldemar Jochelson, The Koryak. Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, Vol. VI.

qi'wgutča tell ye him! Kor. 74.20 (II 10)
qıyoʻola'gıtča visit ye her! Kor. 23.7 (II 10)
nıyanñepñıvoi'gŭm they will keep me back Kor. 60.5 (I 11)
naya'nuw-gŭm they will eat me Kor. 78.21 (I 11)
For examples of verbal nouns, see § 95.

# §§ 73-74. Predicative Form of Nominalized Verb

## § 73. FORMS DERIVED FROM INTRANSITIVE VERB

Nouns, adjectives, and pronouns are combined with the suffixed personal pronouns of the first and second persons, and thus express the idea to be——. Verbal stems are nominalized in the same manner. In the third person such verbs take the affixes—

- 1. sing.  $n_{I}$ - $qin_{et}$  one who is in a condition or performs an action
- 2. sing. ge-lin one who has attained a condition or who has pl. ge-linet performed an action

The second form may also be used with nouns, and expresses one who has ———. In the singular a connective i occurs between the verbal stem and the suffixed pronoun. In the first person singular of verbs ending in a consonant the connective i forms a syllable and the initial g of the suffix  $g \check{u} m$  drops out. In Koryak, on the other hand, it is retained. When the stem ends in a vowel, the i forms a diphthong with it and the g of  $g \check{u} m$  is retained. The following table illustrates these forms.

		Prefix		Suffix			
	N	Nominalized Verbs		Noun	Nominal	ominalized Verbs	
	Noun	(a)	(b)	Noun	(a)	(b)	
3d sing				-et,-t,-ti	-qin -qinet	lin -linet	
1st sing	_	nI-	gê-	-	-i-ŭm -igIt		
1st pl					-mųri -tųri		

### KORYAK

	Prefix				Suffix	
	Noun	Nominali	zed Verb	Nous	Nomina	lized Verbs
	noun	(a)	(b)	Noun	(a)	(b)
3d sing	•			-at,-t,-ti -au, u, -wwi,-wgi	-qin -qinat -qinau	-lin -linat -linau
1st sing		nI			-igŭm -igi -muyi -muyu, m -tuyi -tuyu, tuy	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The contracted forms mu and tu do not change their vowels in harmony with hard vowels of the stem.

## Examples:

### Nouns:

1st sing. o'rgukäl-ê-ŭm I am one who has no sledge 78.6.

 $ke'lei-(g)\check{u}m < kele-i-g\check{u}m$  I am a kele  $gla'ul-\hat{e}-\check{u}m$  I am a man 116.32

2d sing.  $ne'us \cdot q\ddot{u}t$ -i- $q_{I}r$  thou art a woman 136.15. ke'lei- $q_{I}t$  thou art a kele 15.11

1st pl. ili'  $L\ddot{a}$ -mu'ri we are islanders 11.11.

# Nominalized verbs (a):

3d sing. nignopitva'qên he was one who remained crouching 7.4.

nine'lqin he is one who becomes a -- 8.7.

3d pl. nimitva'qênat they were those who lived in a camp 13.3.

1st sing.  $n\iota' lq\ddot{a}t$ -i-um I am one who was there 66.36.  $nq^{\epsilon}laioi'gu$ m < n- $q^{\epsilon}laio$ -i-(g)um I defecated 76.5

2d sing. wu'tku nītvai'-gir you are one who stays here 7.5.

nīne'l-i-git you are one who becomes a — 10.11.

1st pl.  $n_I'pkir$ -muri we are those who came 11.1.

2d pl.:  $me'\tilde{n}k_I ni't$ -turi where are you? 12.2.

# Nominalized verbs (b):

3d sing. ganto'lên he was one who had gone out 8.4. ge'tkulin he was one who had spent time 7.2.

3d pl. gi'ulinet they were those who had said 11.11. gene' Linet they were those who had become — 9.4.

1st sing. gene'l-i-m I am the one who has become a — 17.6.  $gel_{\mathbf{e}}$   $r_{\mathbf{e}}$  i'-g m m m m I was feeling dull

1st pl. ge'lhi-muri we were the ones to whom it happened 65.11. §72

### Koryak:

Nouns:

1st sing. qla'wul-e-gum I am a man Kor. 22.1.

1st pl.  $kmi'\tilde{n}i$ -mu'yi we are children Kor. 70.20.

Nominalized verbs (a):

3d sing.  $na^{\epsilon}\check{c}a\tilde{n}voqen$  he was the one who was urinating Kor. 14.2.

niqahaiañvoqen he was the one who began to cry aloud Kor. 78.10.

3d du. nalñiqa'tvuqinet they were the ones who were quite successful Kor. 88.21.

3d pl. nanyamča'čaqenau they are those that taste of fat Kor. 25.5.

1st sing. nanñičvina'w-gŭm I am one who is getting angry Kor. 31.2.

2d sing. nita'witkiñi-gi you are one who is doing mischief Kor. 82.9.

Nominalized verbs (b):

3d sing. gaya'lqıwlin he was the one who had entered Kor. 14.1.

3d du. gata'wanlenat they were the ones who had moved on Kor. 19.9.

3d pl. gaqi'tilinau they were the ones who were frozen Kor. 14.2.

1st sing. gatuyıkmiña't-i-qum I am the one who has given birth to a child Kor. 64.12.

1st pl.  $gano^{\epsilon}/l-mu'yu$  we are the ones who have become — Kor. 37.4.

2d sing. galu'tai-gi you are the one who has urinated Kor. 66.6.

### § 74. FORMS DERIVED FROM TRANSITIVE VERB

The nominalized form of the transitive verb has in the n(i)-form throughout the prefix ine-, which makes the verbal theme passive.

	Prefix		(4)	(b)	
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(0)	
3d sing	n(I)	99	(ine)—qin (ine)—qinet (ine)—i-güm (ine)—i-git (ine)—muri (ine)—turi	(ine)—tin (ine)—tinet —i-(g) um (ine)—tgit —myri (ine)—tyri	-tkų-igIt -tkų-turi

#### KORYAK

	Prefi		
	(a)	(b)	(b)
3d sing		ina-qin	-lin
3d dual		ina-qinat	-linat
3d pl		ina-qinau	-linau
1st sing		іпа їдйт	-igŭm
2d sing	n(i) $g$	a ina—ĭgɪt	-ĭgɪt
1st dual		ina-muyu	-muyu
1st pl		ina-tuyu	-tuyu
2d dual		ina-muyi	-muyi
2d pl		ina-tuyi	-tuyi

In meaning this form agrees with the intransitive nominalized verb. It may be translated THE ONE WHOM I —, etc.

ELO'n nênapêla'igŭm he is the one whom I leave git nênapêlai'gŭm you are the one whom I leave qa'at ninei'mitiŭm the reindeer are the ones whom I take nêna'nmê-git they are the ones whom you kill 23.4

Accordingly, when the object of the verb is in the plural, the nominal third person appears also in the plural.

The third person plural subject occurs also without ine- and has active sense.

qa'at nīpệla'gệnat the reindeer are the ones whom they leave (or, perhaps, the reindeer are in the condition of being left).

qa'at ninei'mitqinet the reindeer are the ones whom he takes nı'nmuqên ora'wêṇan Eiwhue'lä the St. Lawrence people were the ones who killed the men 12.11

pipe'kilkin nêna'nmŭqên a mouse was killed by him 89.24 ni'uqinet qu'tti several were the ones who said to them 59.2 nini'uqinet they were told by him 73.13

ti'lik neime'nqäet they were those who were approaching (to) the entrance (intransitive) 103.1

 $nineimeu'qin\ wa'lqar$  he was one who approached the house 57.6

tayo'lhitnệ<br/>naimệ' qênat he was one who hung up the needle<br/>cases 82.10

The nominalized transitive verb in ge- has two forms—one the passive, meaning I, the one who has been—, etc.; the other active, derived from those forms of the transitive verb which are replaced by intransitive forms (see p. 741), except -tki.

The third person, with or without-ine-may be used in an active or passive sense.

§74

gapê'lalên he was leaving him, or he was left genlete'n-muri he was the one who took us away 74.23 geniggewgu'ulinet he was the one who awakened them 12.12

# Examples, Koryak:

- (a) nenaaiñawñivo'qen she was the one who called him Kor. 74.29 nenanuñvo'qenau she was the one who ate them Kor. 59.9 ninataikiñvo'qenau she was the one who made them Kor. 59.5 nassi'ñvo-găm they are the ones who are untying me Kor. 39.3 nenemeye'ye-qe art thou the one who is seeking it? Kor. 49.9 nenavo<sup>e'</sup>ñvo-mu'yu we are those who find them Kor. 59.9
- (b) gêwñivo'lenat they were the two whom he told Kor. 13.2 ga'nmilenau they were the ones whom they had killed Kor. 12.8 ganta'witkiñau-mu'yi we are the ones whom they have defiled Kor. 29.6

ganta'witkıñaw-i-g-i thou art the one whom they have defiled Kor. 31.1

# §§ 75-81. Notes on Certain Verbs

§ 75. To be, -it

The Chukchee verbal stem -it, Kor. Kam. -it, expresses the idea to be. In the pronounciation of men the t is lost in Chukchee in intervocalic position. The women say instead of i'ırkın of the men i'tiššın. In other words with terminal t of stem they may drop it, as in yılqaeššın he sleeps.

i'ırkın, Kor. Kam. iti'ykin he is me'ñkı nit-turi? where are you? mi'ñkri ni'tqin? how was he? 17.12

It is used with the verbal noun in  $-t\ddot{a}$ , and with the noun in -nu (see § 95, p. 784, § 103, no. 34).

čaučuwa'-va'rat čı'mqŭg viri'tä-ñ i'irkın the Reindeer Chukchee people are partly self-destroying

Ta'n·ñičhit ŭm em-vi<sup>e</sup>'tä ni'tqinet the Russians are just dying leñ-qami'tvata i'tyi<sup>e</sup> he could not eat 80.7

agamı'tvaka qi'tyitik don't ye eat (of it) 64.19

e'rmu ti'tyä<sup>e</sup>k I was a chief (literally, I was what serves as a chief) gai'mıčılə tri'tyä<sup>e</sup> I am going to be rich

garêmêna'ne ri'tyä<sup>e</sup> thou shalt not be it 23.6

*lr'ê-ñarau'tile mɪni'nmɪk* (<mɪn-it-mɪk) let us really try to get wives 57.1

*êna'nmıču i'tkäl-i-ŭm* I am not a murderer 24.8

It seems possible that the element *i* in the nominalized verbs is derived from this stem (see note 1 p. 734).

nu-wa'lom-ê-um I am hearing nı-yı'lqät-i-ŭm I am sleeping

### § 76. TO LIVE, TO BE -tva

This stem occurs both in Chukchee and Koryak. It expresses a longer duration than *it*.

äqäliñe'tä ti'tyä<sup>c</sup>k I was in fear
em-äqäliñe'tä titva'a<sup>c</sup>k I was continually just in fear
va'rkın (Chukchee), va'ykın (Kor. Kam.) he lives
awgo'lıka tıtva'rkın I remain without an assistant 124.5
ni'mnim vai čt'mčeqäi va'rkın a settlement then quite near is 7.7
i'lukä qatva'rkın remain without motion! 57.3
mi'ñkri mıtıtva'rkın how shall I be? 124.3
em-nu'ñıčın mi'ñkri nı'tvaqên how are those from the mainland?
13.9

wu'tku nitvai'gir you stay here 7.5

It is used as a synthetic element in many verbs.

ratva'rkın (<ra-tva) he house-lives (i. e., he is at home)

oratva'rkin (< ora-tva) he stays long

waqotva'lık (waqo-tva) he (remained) seated 102.24

a'nqak nımıtva'qênat (<nim-tva settlement remains) they lived on the sea 13.3

nuwkotītva'qên (<wkot-tva) he was tied gawkotītva'ta being tied 122.24

gamitva' to eat

êulêtê'l-va'lın being of elongated form 91.15

In Koryak the stem it occurs much more frequently than tva in independent form.

En'\(\tilde{n}i'n\) va'lın (Chukchee), En\(\tilde{n}\) \(\tilde{a}'\) an i'tala\(\tilde{e}\) n (Kor. Kam.) one being thus

Still in compounds the stem tva occurs with great frequency.

vaha'le-tva'ykin (Kor. Kam.) he is seated

Some stems when combined with va'lin do not take the *ablaut:* minkri-va'lin of what kind

me'čen ku-wa'lê-ŭm I am a fairly good one

A number of stems expressing qualities form adjectival forms by composition with -tva- (it- Koryak), in the form va'lın (i'taḷa<sup>e</sup>n Koryak) (see p. 814). §76

koulo'qu-wı'lın (stem koulo'qı) round wı'čhıñ-vı'lın (sten wı'čhıň) flat

Koryak:

qo'loñ-i'talx<sup>s</sup>n (stem qo'loñ) round vičhiyiñ-i'tala<sup>s</sup>n (stem vičhiyiñ) flat.

In all these cases the stem takes the suffix— $(i)\tilde{n}$ , which in some positions undergoes phonetic modifications; as  $ta'\tilde{n}um-va'lin$  a good one, from  $ta'\tilde{n}i\tilde{n}-va'lin$ .

The stem in composition with va'lin may also take postpositions.  $\hat{e}'mpum-va'lin$  or  $\hat{e}mpa'qu-wa'lin$  (stem imp) the one who is downcast

vičha'qu-wa'lin flat

This form frequently expresses the comparative:

qa'tvum-va'lın (stem qetv) the stronger one
Kor. qa'tvıñ-i'tala<sup>e</sup>n (stem qatv) the stronger one
ga'mga-qla'ulı'k qa'tvum-va'l-ê-ŭm I am stronger than all (the
other) men

gŭm gmi'k mai' eñku-wa'l-ê-ŭm I am greater than you 92.11

The allative with va'lın signifies possession of a quality to a slight degree.

čêutê'tu-wa'lın (stem čiut) somewhat low tañê'tu-wa'lın (stem teñ) somewhat good, moderately good

## § 77. TO BECOME net

The stem Chukchee  $n \not e l$ , Kor. Kam. n a l is used much more frequently in Chukchee than in Koryak. It is combined with the noun in -n u (see § 103, no. 34).

gŭ'mŭk êmño'l-to'mgo qine'lhi<sup>ε</sup> become ye what serves as my spleen companions i (i. e., became ye my friends)
rirka'no nine'ligit you have become a walrus 10.11 (also 10.8)
ginni'ku ne'lyä<sup>ε</sup>t they became the quarry 12.2
ñe'us 'qätu gene' Ļin he became a woman 116.21
mi'mlu gene' Ļin it became water 101.27
a<sup>ε</sup>qa'-rkila gene'liŭm I became one to be pursued hard 17.6
em-ginu'n-niki'tä ne'lyi<sup>ε</sup> it came to be just midnight 9.11
girgo'l gene' Ļinet they came to be high 9.4
a<sup>ε</sup>tto'oča nine'lqin he came to be in front 8.7
ne'lirkin (Chuckee), na'likin (Kor. Kam.) he becomes, turns into

The corresponding Koryak stem is used but rarely.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See The Chukchee, Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, Vol. VII, p. 563, Note 2. §77

### § 78. TO TAKE OR HAVE SOME ONE AS — lñ

The Chukchee stem  $l\tilde{n}$  (medial lh), Kor. Kam.  $l\tilde{n}$ , signifies to take or have some one as something. The direct object is in the absolute form; the indirect object, in -nu (see § 103, no. 34).

lt'ñirkin (Chukchee), liñiykin (Kor. Kam.) you take him for gitta'p-qla'ulo mi'lhigit let me take you for a clever man giu ni'lhäqinet ne'lhit as unknown ones they had their skins (i. e., they did not know them)

pu'relu nalhīñō'a' n they began to have him for a slave 8.2 va'lat rī'lho nine'lhäqin he has knives as wings 15.2 wi'yolu qinelhī'rkīn have me as a servant 95.7 leule'wu ine'lhī' he has me as something to be wronged 25.1

With nouns expressing emotions this verb is used throughout as indirect object, to express emotional conditions.

yei'veču liñirkin (Chukchee), yaira'ču liñi'ykin (Kor. Kam.) as one serving as (an object of) compassion you have him te'ññu liñirkin (Chukchee), ta'ññu liñi'ykin (Kor. Kam.) as one serving as laughing-stock you have him re'qä leule'wu ge'lhiŭm what made me a laughing-stock? 117.19 pegči'ñu ti'lhigit I have thee as an object of interest 15.8 pegči'ñu ine'lhii<sup>©</sup> you have meddling interest in me 22.9; also 15.8

### § 79. TO MAKE SOME ONE SOMETHING rtc

The stem, Chukchee  $rt\check{c}$  (medial  $t\check{c}$ ), Kor. Kam.  $yt\check{c}$  (medial  $t\check{c}$ ), Kor. Par. yss (medial ss), signifies to make something into something. The direct object is in the absolute form; the indirect object, in -nu (see § 103, no. 34).

rītči'rkīn (Chukchee), yītči'ykīn (Kor. Kam.), yīssi'ykīn (Kor. Par.) you make him into

Enqa'n vai rawku'tčiñīn moo-qa'ano mītči'rkīn that here doe, one serving as sledge train reindeer I shall make her elqu'tkä rītčinin he made him not standing 115.4
eñi'ngäikä nere'tčīmžk they will make us childless 39.4

## $\S$ 80. TO HAVE SOME ONE FOR SOMETHING rt

pai'wake ri'tčinin he rejects it 136.28

The stem, Chukchee rt (medial nt), Kor. Kam. yt (medial nt) takes the direct object in the absolute case, the indirect object with the ending -nu.

ekke'nu tı'ntıä<sup>s</sup>n Ri'nto I have Ri'nto as what serves as a son

It is often combined with the verbal noun of transitive verbs in  $-t\ddot{a}$  to express the same idea, thus forming a periphrastic expression. The verb rt is referred directly to the object of the transitive verb, to the nominal form of which it is joined.

gămna'n čini't lu<sup>ɛ</sup>'tä trenti'ñinet qa'at myself as something to look on I shall have the reindeer (i. e. I myself shall look on the reindeer)

luñ-lu<sup>e</sup> tä nı'ntäqinet not having seen they had them (i. e., they had not seen them) 11.9

riti'rkin (Chukchee), yiti'ykin (Kor. Kam.) you have him for en ñe' gina'n enne'kä qänti'ginet do not you carry them out 88.3 imgêta'ta nine'nti-ŭm I have them to look after 92.36

tule'tä nine'ntı-ŭm ora'wêLat I also treat the people as something to steal (i. e., I can steal people) 93.14

gına'n tule'tä nine'ntı-gıt you steal them 93.15 em-gınři'tä nine'ntı-gıt you lay in ambush for them 93.21

#### § 81. NOTES ON CERTAIN KAMCHADAL VERBS

The special verbs discussed in the preceding pages are represented in Kamchadal by a number of very irregular forms of a number of evidently related stems:  $\check{ch}$ , ck, for the present or derived forms; l', lh, lk, for the indicative and exhortative. The forms with k correspond, on the whole, to the transitive forms of the paradigm on pp. 744–745, although not all the forms can be interpreted in this manner. The derived form of the intransitive form is defective, only the second person singular and the third person plural being found. The verb, when relating to objects or animals (i. e., not to persons), has forms which recall the transitive forms. Their use corresponds to the use of the Chukchee stem tva.

Kamchadal	Chukchee	
kıma'nk čhi'jın	$g \ddot{u}' m_I k \ v a' r k_I n$	it is (belongs) to me
kıma'n l'in	gŭmni'n va'ê <sup>€</sup>	it was mine

Both constructions, with the locative-possessor's and with the possessive cases, are found.

The personal form is transitive, but has peculiar endings.

tcki'nin p!e'ki I am to him (as) a son

### VERBAL STEM čh, ck, l', lh, lk

#### PRESENT

	T. 4	Non-personal	Personal		
*	Intransitive TO BE	TO ME IT IS, etc.	TO BE TO HIM  (A8) A—	TO BE TO THEM (AS) A—	
1st sing		kIma'n(k) čhi'jIn	tcki'nin	tcki'pnin	
2d sing	čhijč	kIni'n(k) čhi'jIn	cki'nin	cki'pnin	
3d sing		Ena'n(k) čhi'jkinen	c'in	cki'pnin	
1st pl		mI'jhin(k) čhi'jIn	ncki'nin	ncki'pnin	
2d pl		tI'jhin(k) čhi'jkIsxin	c'isx	c'isx	
3d pl	čhijci <sup>e</sup> n	txi'in(k) čhi'jkipnin	c'in	cki'pnin	
		PAST			
1st sing	t ℓ i k	kIma'n(k) l'in	tlki'nin	tlki'pnin	

	tľ ik	kIma'n(k) l'in	tlki'nin	tlki'pnin
	ľič	kIni'n(k) lhin	lki'nin	lki'pnin
	ľič	Ena'n(k) l'i'nin	lhin	lki'pnin
	nlik	mI'jhin(k) l'in	nlki'nin	nlki'pnin
	l'icx	tI'jhin(k) lkI'sxIn	l'isx	l'isx
	l'či <sup>ε</sup> n	txi'in(k) l'ki'pnin	/hin	lki'pnin
		l'ič l'ič nl'ik l'icx	l'ič kini'n(k) lhin l'ič Ena'n(k) l'i'nin nl'ik mi'jhin(k) l'i'n l'icx ti'jhin(k) lki'sxin	l'ic

#### EXHORTATIVE (ALSO FUTURE)

	1	1	1	
1st sing	mľik	kIma'n(k) xanli'hIn	mlki'nin	mlki'pnin
2d sing	kľixč		klki'nin	klki'pnin
3d sing	x·anl'i'hIn	Eno'n(k) x'anlki'nin	x·anlhi'n	x·anlki'pnin
1st pl	mInIl'k		mInlki'nin	mInlki'pnin
2d pl	klicx		kl' isx	kľ isx
3d pl	x anl i'hIn	txi'in(k) x anlki'pnin	x·anlhi'n	x·anlki'pnin

#### VERBAL STEM le

The auxiliary verb *le* TO BECOME has also an intransitive and a personal transitive form, like the last stem.

tlejk I become something tle'jkipnin I become something for them

#### VERBAL STEM SI

The stem s<sub>I</sub> to be lacks the present, but has otherwise regular intransitive forms.

sıč you were

## VERBAL STEM is, il

The stem is, il corresponds to the Chukchee  $l\bar{n}$ , and rt. It is used often with the nominalized verb 2, 3 (see p. 748). With the intransitive verb it has intransitive forms, while the corresponding Chukchee verbs are always transitive. §81

xë k!ö'lki milk not coming I will be

älxtalka tīsjhin I like thee (älxtalka modalis of liking; (t- I; īs stem; -j- present; -hin thee); compare Chukchee älhutilhirkini' git (älhu as object of liking; t- I; lh- to have for—; -rkin present; -igit thee)

t'a'mal mī'lin I will kill him (l'a'mal to killing; m- let me; īl stem; -in him); compare Chukchee am-tma' mī'ntiä (am-merely; tm- to kill; -a modalis; m- let me; -nt medial stem;

 $-\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}n$  him)

### VERBAL STEM issI

This stem corresponds to Chukchee tč-, Kor. Kam. ssi-, and expresses nearly the same idea as the last verb.

qam ke'jkek ti'ssıhın I do not accept you (qam not; kej to accept; -kek negative ending; t- I; issı stem; -hın thee) compare Chukchee ehn-ei'mitkä tı'tčıgıt (ehn—kä negation; ei'mit to take; t- I; tč stem; -gıt thee)

### VERBAL STEM tel

The stem tel has a meaning similar to the last two, but expresses prolonged action. It follows Type II of the transitive verbs.

xtel tite' lijñin I came to fear him (xtel fear; t- I; tel stem; -j-present; -ñin [I]—him)

#### THE PERSONAL TRANSITIVE FORMS.

A number of intransitive verbs have forms analogous to the personal transitive of the auxiliary verb (p. 767), which are used to express relations to a personal object.

tvetatkoju'jkıpnên I am busying myself on their behalf (t- I; vetat to be busy; -koju inchoative; -j- present; -kıpnên see

p. 767.

i<sup>e</sup>sx txi'in no'nul' intilitköjujkipni'n they always bring food to their father (i<sup>e</sup>sx father; txi'in their; no'nul' modalis, with food; intil to bring; -t durative; -kŏju inchoative; -j-present; kipnin as before)

## The Chukchee sentence

tu'mgɪtum e'če muwi'ä<sup>ɛ</sup>n I will cook fat for my companion (tu'mgɪtum absolute form, companion; e'če modalis; with fat; m-let me; uwi to cook; -ä<sup>ɛ</sup>n him) is quite comparable to this (see p. 741).

## § 82. Predicative Forms of Pronouns and of Numerals

Indefinite (interrogative) pronouns and numerals are frequently used in predicative form, and take all verbal forms. They may also take verbal affixes, but of these only a few are in frequent use.

Chukchee	Koryak Kamenskoye	
req	aq 1	WHAT
$re'q\ddot{a}rk_{I}n (req + rk_{I}n)$		
	ya'qıykın Kor. 28.10	want?
rıreqe'urqin (rı-eu	y1yaqa'wik1n	what do you make
to cause)		him do?
raqiñg'rkın (-ññg to	yaqñ1vo'ĭk1n	what do you begin
begin)		to want? (expres-
		sive of annoyance)
raqıčña'tırkın (-čñat	yaqıčña' tekın	what do you want?
annoyance)		(expression of
		strong annoy-
		ance)
nike n	ika Kor. 80.9	SOMETHING
nike'rk1 $n$	nika'ĭkın	yon do a certain
		thing
rınike'urkın	nika'ĭkɪn	you make him do a
		certain thing
$ ilde{n}ireq$ $ ilde{n}$	iyeq	TWO
ñireqe'urkın	ñiyeq1'wikin	you are the second
mı'lıñêñ	$m_{I'}$ li $\tilde{n}$ e $n$	FIVE
milinkau'kin	m1L1nka'wekin	you are the fifth
Here belongs also		
terke'urkin	$ta^{\epsilon}ika'wikin$	what number in the
		series are you?
Korvak:		22200 ttt 0 J 2 4 t

# Koryak:

ya'qlau what are they doing? Kor. 24.5 yaqlaikine'tik what are you doing Kor. 24.8 gaya'qlinat what happened to them Kor. 30.9 niya'qi-gi what is the matter with thee Kor. 39.5

The predicative numerals are freely compounded with other verbs. gumni'n e'kik kitu'r mingitka'wkwê (Chukchee) my son last year ten reached (gumni'n my; e'kik son; kitu'r last year; mingit ten -keu verbal suffix of numerals; gie 3d sing.)

kiu'kı tımılınka'wkwa'k I staved there five nights (kiu'kı passing nights; t- I; mılın five; -keu verbal suffix of numerals; -gä'k

1st sing.)

#### KAMCHADAL

The indefinite (interrogative) pronouns of Kamchadal occur also in predicative form. At present only a few forms of the present tense are used.

Enka'nejč what are you (sing.) doing?

Enka'nejcx what are you (pl.) doing?

lajč how are you (sing.)?

la'jčr<sup>e</sup>n how are they?

sxuzijč you (sing.) do a certain thing

nu<sup>e</sup>n sxu'sijčin Čija'l-ku'txa<sup>e</sup>n there the people of Čija'l-kutx

live(nu<sup>e</sup>n there; sxu'sijčin they do a certain thing; -a<sup>e</sup>n plural)

The use of pronouns or pronominal adverbs is much more common, perhaps due to Russian influence.

Enka'nkê k'öjë for what do you come? laet cunljë how do you live?

Sentences which contain the verbalized and the nonverbal pronoun also occur, and are probably the result of a mixture of Kamchadal and Russian syntax.

Enka'j kımma te'nıjın what now have I done to him? E'nkaj E'nin what now has he done to him? E'nkaj Enk!ö'löiñın why did you (sing.) come to him?

We find even the following compound of the pronoun with allative post-position and verb:

Enkank!ö'lčiñin = Enka'nke k!ö'lčiñin (cf § 59, p. 731)

There are also two demonstrative verbs:

tea here te'a-sijk here I am

hei look here! he'yısıjk here I am (close to the

person addressed)

Both contain the auxiliary verb si (see p. 767).

# §§ 83-90. Syntactic Use of Tenses and Modes

# § 83. Declarative Mode

Declarative forms of the simple, derived, and nominalized forms are used to express the predicate in declarative and interrogative sentences.

# Simple forms:

*ñawanê'tı i'wkwi*<sup>ɛ</sup> he said to his wife 83.23 gı'thın lu<sup>ɛ</sup>'nin he saw a lake 37.4

ñi'nqäi ra'nmŭgnên she will kill the child 37.14 ralaulawa'tıñoa<sup>e</sup> thou wilt do wrong 21.5 kuwi'čın tre<sup>e</sup>'tyä<sup>e</sup>n I brought children's death 20.1

### Derived forms:

mič imgumge'erkin we are terrified 63.4 tinqäe'rkin I refuse 19.7 či'mquk pêla'arkin some are leaving 8.9

### Nominalized forms:

nägsälile'tqin re'mkın the people were at war 97.23 nre's qiuqin ñe'us qät the woman entered 63.3 têq-a'lvam-va'lı-te'rê ye are quite strange 63.4 evi'rä getule' Leet they have stolen clothing 13.6 elere' is dost thou feel lonesome? 96.2

# Examples of interrogative sentences are-

## Simple forms:

eñeñitvi'i<sup>e</sup> hast thou become a shaman? 18.4 mê'nko pi'ntiqättik whence did ye appear? 74.21 mi'ñki-m ra'tvaa<sup>e</sup> where wilt thou live? 108.25

### Derived forms:

re'qärkın what are you? 18.9 re'qä tımı'rkınên what has killed him? 23.5

## Nominalized forms:

mi'ñkri gewkwe't-i-git where have you gone? mi'ñkri ni'tvaqên how is he? 13.10 re'q-i-git what do you want? 18.12 gei'čemit-tu'mgi-gir hast thou brothers? 99.18

# Koryak:

# Simple forms:

ñawa'kak naya'tın they brought the daughter Kor. 86.20
tapka'vık o!ñaqa'tik I could not strangle myself Kor. 35.2
tiyayı'!qatıñ I shall sleep Kor. 31.8
tıqa'payuk I killed a wolverene Kor. 59.1
Miti'nak ena'nme, enapa'te Miti has killed me and cooked me Kor. 96.7

### Derived forms:

tigitta'tiykin I am hungry Kor. 35.5

enña an Amamqu'tinu vañvoļai'ke thus Eine'mqut and his people were living Kor. 45.5

penye'kinen talai'vik he rushed at it to strike it Kor. 53.3

mīlu'ykīninau she was looking for lice Kor. 59.4
peļhanñīvoļai'ke they began to have nothing to eat Kor. 95.17

Nominalized forms:

va<sup>ε</sup>'yuk gayo<sup>ε</sup>'o<u>l</u>en vai'amn'aqu then they found a large river Kor. 21.3

gaļa<sup>e</sup>wkali'lin wŭ'ļka they painted her face with coal Kor. 31.9 gaqqaika'makata gana<sup>e</sup>'l-mu'yu we came to be with (to have) a small kamak Kor. 37.4

*ña'cñin nenanyopanñivo'qenau* outside they were hung up Kor. 60.9

atau' ña'no enñae'an nitva'ñvoqen that one was living thus Kor. 61.3

ña'no nitinma'tqen that one is telling lies Kor. 62.3

In the indefinite nominalized predicate the subject pronoun may be repeated to emphasize the question.

geet-tu'ri tu'ri have you come?

Impersonal verbs do not differ from the ordinary intransitive verbs.

ile'erkın (Kor. Kam. muqa'tikın; Kamchadal čxu'jın or čxujč) it is raining

 $ile'tyi^{\varepsilon}$  (Kor. Kam.  $muqa'thi^{\varepsilon}$ ; Kamchadal  $\check{c}xun$ ) it has been raining

lä<sup>ε</sup>leuru'i<sup>ε</sup> winter came 14.9

čêlhiro'ê<sup>ε</sup> it becomes red 23.9

## § 84. Tenses

Tenses are not clearly distinguished. The declarative form of the verb, unless modified by the future prefix, is used to express a past action, although cases occur in which only a present can be meant.

tıqêwgañño'a<sup>ç</sup>k I begin to be called 94.31

In Koryak the declarative form is rarely used in narrative, while it is in common use in direct discourse.

mai, ya'ti halloo, have you come? Kor. 68.12 Vaļvī'mtīļa<sup>e</sup>n tī'nmīn I killed Raven-Men Kor. 20.5

In Chukchee its use in narrative is very common.

e'nmen  $n_i k i' r u i^{\varepsilon}$  then night came 36.12  $l u' u r w \hat{\epsilon} t h a u' n \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon}$  then he began to speak 31.11

The derivative is generally used to express a present continued action, but it occurs also frequently in narrative. This use is more frequent in Koryak than in Chuckchee (see § 87).

The nominalized verb (a) expresses a continuative regardless of time. When coordinated with another verb it expresses contempo§84

raneity (see § 88). The nominalized verb (b) is used commonly in narrative to express the progress of an action. When coordinated with another verb, it expresses an antecedent (see § 88).

The future is formed by the prefix re- and the correlative pronominal forms. Quite commonly the future is given the form of an exhortative.

# § 85. The Subjunctive

The subjunctive (a) and (b) are, the former an exhortative form, the latter the form used in conditional and other subordinate clauses. The former is frequently used for expressing the future, particularly when it implies the idea of intention.

## Subjunctive (a):

nuwa'lomga<sup>ɛ</sup>n let him know
va'nıvan nuwa'lomga<sup>ɛ</sup>n he would not hear anything
mewkwe'tyä<sup>ɛ</sup>k let me depart 17.10
mılımala'ññoa<sup>ɛ</sup>k let me begin to obey 21.4
mınranto'mık let us leave the town 56.8
nıyı'lqätyä<sup>ɛ</sup>n let him sleep 9.1
niĕa'atvaa<sup>ɛ</sup>n let her be cast away 39.3
mi'ilhır let me give thee 15.13
mımata'gır let me marry thee 77.1
mınlete'ttik let us carry you away 74.15
ñıro'q ya'rat va'nêvan ä<sup>ɛ</sup>nlu<sup>ɛ</sup>'net three houses, not at all they could see them 61.10

 $\tilde{n}euwi'rit\ a^{\epsilon}nei'mity\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}n$  she would take the soul of the woman 37.11

# Koryak:

minyaitila'mik let us go home! Kor. 26.8
nayanva'nñinin let them skin it! Kor. 26.10
minilqala'mik let us go! Kor. 28.5
mikiplis qewla'tik I will stun them with blows Kor. 29.7
ya'qu-yak quwai'matin a<sup>e</sup>ntai'ki-qi into what desirest thou one should make thee? Kor. 38.4

Subjunctive (b) does not appear very often in the texts.

e'ur ɛn·ñi'n ni 'tyä n, qora'ñı mını 'yılhıt if you were like this, we should give you reindeer

Enqa'n nr<sup>ε</sup>gite'nin, nu<sup>ε</sup>wi'ä<sup>ε</sup>n if she should look upon that one, she would die 37.12

ia'm leule'wu tı<sup>ɛ</sup>lhı'ä<sup>ɛ</sup>n why should I harm her? 38.12 va'nɛvan nute's 'qän nı<sup>ɛ</sup>yo<sup>ɛ</sup>'nên he would not at all reach the ground 52.12 §85 Enga'n ora'wêLat ê'čča nı tva'nat, nı čvitkui'vunet viu'ta if the men had stayed on the surface, the whalebone would have cut them down 68.26 (Enga'n THAT; ora'wêLan MAN; -tva TO REMAIN;  $ni^{\epsilon}$ -for full form  $nani^{\epsilon}$ -;  $\check{\epsilon}vi$ - to cut; -tku suffix all;  $-\check{\imath}v$  suffix GREAT QUANTITY; viut WHALEBONE; -a subjective)

čitê'un im gămna'n wu'tku tienři'qäen if only I could keep it R 45.21

čitê'un kınta'ırga memilqa'a neena'lpinřiee if only good luck would give me seals R 46.42

čitê'un vê wgênto'ê in order that he should give up his breath

ekeña'n gŭmna'n tı pi'reä I wish I would (rather) take it

## Korvak:

 $me'\tilde{n}qa\tilde{n}$   $nr^{\epsilon}tva^{\epsilon'}an$  how could she be? Kor. 34.12  $| nani^{\varepsilon}'win \text{ one might say Kor. } 25.2$ 

# § 86. The Imperative

The imperative expresses command, but also the idea of obligatory future.

nota's qêti qäčipe'tyi into the ground plunge! 17.2 qineti'ñui<sup>€</sup> haul me up! 131.22 ñe'lvŭl qagtı'gıtkı bring ye the herd! 129.19 qınilhe'tyitki lower ye me! 131.15

# Koryak:

appa', qakya'wgi grandfather, get up! Kor. 31.9 quwa'ñilat open your mouth! Kor. 34.7 qa'lqathi go away! Kor. 35.3 ne'nako qıyo'' oge čača'me then you will find an old woman Kor 51.1

Quyqınn aqu'nak qıyaipıla'tık live ye with Big-Raven! Kor. 62.2 qanto'tık go ye outside! Kor. 74.12

qi'wgutča tell ye him! 74.20

# § 87. Derived Forms

The derived forms express continued action. For this reason they are found most frequently in direct discourse when a continuous condition is described.

ia'm têrga'arkın why art thou weeping? 48.12 qum ñe'uk tile'rkin I am walking about to get a wife 57.2 mithitte'urkin we are hungry 70.24 kele'tä nayoë'rkın-ê-gıt the kele are visiting thee 52.4 \$\$86,87

Koryak-

mitipa<sup>ɛ</sup>lai'kinen we are thirsty Kor. 16.9 yaqlaikine'tik what are you doing? Kor. 24.8 kokaivilai'ke they are cooking it Kor. 27.4 tita<sup>ɛ</sup>'liykin I am feeling unwell Kor. 84.26

In Chukchee the derived forms are not often used in narrative as an historical present, while in Koryak this use is quite common.

temyu'nırkın ela' she was deceiving her mother 29.2

pŭkirgi<sup>e</sup>. Aiwana'čhin ŭm ničvi'tkurkin re<sup>e</sup>w. He arrived. The Aiwan was cutting up the whale 46.10

e'nmen yê'gıčhın rınřı'rkınin he was carrying about a walrus penis 67.10

See also 8.1, 9; 9.7, 8; 16.3

qolê-tke'unvuk ewkwe'erkit, evi'rä getule'leet. E'nmen ewkwe'tyä<sup>e</sup>t during another night they were about to leave, having stolen clothing. Then they left (qul another; tkiu to pass the night; -nv place of [§ 109, 50], -k locative; ewkwet to leave; evirit clothes; -ä subjective [§ 37]; tulet to steal; getule'leet < ge-tulet-linet) 13.5

### Koryak-

gaimanñivo'ykin he had a desire Kor. 12.2

ļŭmneua'ykin she was following Kor. 23.3

vañvolai'ke they were beginning (and continued) to live Kor. 43.7

nanoñvo'ykınenau they were beginning to consume them Kor. 42.7

This form is used even when it is difficult to conceive of the action as continued:

ñito'ykin he went out Kor. 12.5; 72.15

ñivo'ykin she began Kor. 72.16

yalqı'wikin he entered Kor. 13.9

newnivo'ykinenat they began to say to both of them Kor. 12.7

A habitual action is expressed in the following example:

ča'mañ enqa'nêna ginnig-gili'liqä'gti timi'rkinênat also by this one are the little game-procurers killed (i. e. he is in the habit of killing the hunters) 44.8

With the imperative the derived forms express a continued condition, or a repeated action.

ilu'kä qatva'rkın stay without motion! 57.3

qaivalponaurkınê'tkı you will hit (the children) upon the head 69.32

quwalo'mırkın listen! 32.1

Koryak-

aqalhai'aka qiti'ykin-i'-qi do not cry! (not be without crying)
Kor. 37.1

The derived forms in the future are sometimes used to express a remote future.

miqami'tvaa\*k I shall eat now miqami'tvarkin I shall eat later on (perhaps to-morrow) inenreqeurkini'tik what are you going to do with me? 10.10 ti'gtirkin I am going to bring it 57.4

Koryak-

tryanto'ykın I shall go out Kor. 14.5 tryanlannıvo'ykın I shall begin to feel smoky Kor. 37.10

In some cases it seems to express a repeated or customary action.

trêrêvilitku'ñırkın I shall (occupy myself with) selling R. 46.43

The exhortative of the derived forms is used like the future.

minqami'tvarkın let us continue to eat! 65.4

miniwkurkıni'git let us tie thee! 20.9

## § 88 Nominalized Verb (a)

The nominalized form (a) of the verb, ne—qin expresses the condition of an object or a person, or the condition of performing an action. Its use is not confined to intransitive verbs which in this form often have the meaning of an attributive term (see p. 713), but it is also used with transitive verbs. When the noun to which the nominalized verb stands in an attributive relation is the subject of a clause, the nominalized verb often indicates that the two verbs express contemporaneous conditions or actions and may be translated by the conjunction while. Examples of the attributive use of this form have been given on p. 713. Additional examples are the following:

u'nel va'rkın nıme'yɛñqin (there) is a large thong-seal 70.7 nıču'uqin kê'rgäqai a bright little hole 74.2,3

Koryak:

nepplu'qin a small one Kor. 15.2 gatai'kılin nıma'yıñqin he made it (one that is) large Kor. 15.4 nıma'yınqin ñai'ñai the largest mountain Kor. 42.2

Examples in which the form (a) has a predicative meaning are more numerous.

qača'ken lee'qač nu'uqin, qol ŭm nıče'loqên lee'qač one-half was black, the other half was red 88.15,16

niu'läqin it was long 91.24

yara'ñı nıte'ñqin the house is good 92.9

mi'nkri nı'tvaqên how (of what kind) are they 13.10

wu'tku nitvai'-gir you are staying here 7.5

nıggi'nqin merêqa'gtı they are eager for us 8.9

inpinači'yñin neiñe'tqin the old man was the one who called out 86.13

ninei'mitqin u'nelti he was taking thong-seals 67.18

## Koryak:

nılhıkyu'qin it is watchful Kor. 39.9

nıma'lqin it is good Kor. 64.24

nanničvina'w-gum I am angry Kor. 31.2

nitin puvaqa'tqen she is one who is striding and pecking Kor-47.11

Tanno nigala'qenau the Chukchee were the ones who passed by Kor. 66,12.

# Examples of relative clauses:

unpıñawqa'gčının . . . pako'lčıñın nine'nřaqin it was an old woman . . . who was carrying a butcher knife 85.20,21

gıt kele'tü nayoʻ'ikın-ê-gıt you are one who is being visited by the kelet 52.4

yara'qai . . . kele'tä nêna'yoeqên it was a small house . . . which was visited by the kelet 51.9

# Koryak:

veļr'ļāu nenataikīāvo'qenau (those are) thimbles that had been made Kor. 60.8,9

Miti'nak nenaaiñawñīvo'qen; e'wañ it was Miti who called him she said Kor. 74.29

niqalhai'aqen he was the one who was crying Kor. 37.1 ninnipāivo'qen they were the ones who began to keep it Kor.

41.9

# Examples of temporal coordination:

ti'ttil nênarkipčeu'qên, E'nk'i ne'wän ure'wkwi<sup>e</sup> (at the time) when he pushed the entrance, then the wife appeared 53.5, 6 qän'vér ni'nqäi nitê'rgilatqên, qän've'r i'wkwi<sup>e</sup> uwa<sup>e</sup>'quč when the child cried, the husband said 38.3

qaia'qañ e'ur neimeu'qin, êwkurga nıgınteu'qin whenever he was coming near, again he fled (was in flight) 66.14

nstinpsče'tqênat . . . En'qa'm . . . qolê-ra'gti qä'tyä<sup>e</sup>t while they were stabbing them . . . then . . . to another house he went 12.9, 10

## Koryak:

nenavo no neuvyu e e e e ganu'linau when we find them they have been eaten Kor. 59.9, 10

mı'mla nınu'qen, qalñe-key gate'wlalen when a louse bit (ate) her, then they shook her combination suit Kor. 76.4,5

On the whole the forms in ne—qin are used much more frequently in Chukchee than in Koryak, in which dialect the progress of the narrative is more prominent.

## § 89. Nominalized Verb (b)

The nominalized verb (b) ga—lin expresses a completed transition and may often be translated by to have become.

 $ya'rat\ qa\~no'twe^{\epsilon}len$  she was one who had (become poor), R 45.22  $\~no'\~c$ -e-um gene'l-i-um poor (I) I had become R 45.28

## Koryak:

gama'lalin it had grown better, Kor. 14.11 gaqi'tilinau they had became frozen Kor. 14.3

In narrative it expresses the progress of action, but apparently not with the same intensity as the verbal forms.

gûmni'n pe'nin-ne'w gêwie'lin my former wife died R. 45.29. yičemre'tti gettwile' Linet a company of brothers went to sea in a boat 64.3

e'ur girgironta'ıên aë'ttwilä then day broke while the boat's crew was approaching 10.9

# Koryak:

va<sup>e</sup>'yak gaya'lqıwlin afterwards he entered Kor. 14.1 ga'lganın he went Kor. 16.3

In a number of cases it clearly expresses temporal sequence.

 $e'nmen~gequ'pq\ddot{a}nterin~i'wkwi^{\varepsilon}$  after she was quite starved she said 39.1

Ai'wan-a'kkata ñi'lhın gečheiulu'ulin a'ñqa-čo'rmı, ɛnřa'q ŭm ñi'lhın getiñus qiče' Lin e'ur ŭm nêchêtau'qên mêmlê'tı the Aiwan's son had hidden a thong on the sea-shore, then (when) he had tugged the thong, then he made him fall into the water 48.3-5

gitte'ulit ŭm ñan, ki'pu-ri'ļu nelue'äen after they had become hungry they saw a whale carcass 65.1

gaa'lomlê'n, i'wkwi' after he had heard it he said 56.12 ganto's qêulên e'ur ɛnqa'n . . . ninerkile'qin after he had rushed out he was being pursued 57.11

miti'w gečha' ien enka'ta tılai'vıkın ñe' ia next day, after it had dawned, at that place a herd was walking Kor. 21.8

With nouns the form ga—lin expresses possession (see p. 712.)

## § 0. Negative Forms

Negative forms are partly expressed by adverbs with the ordinary forms of the verb, partly by the derivative in  $\underline{e}-k\ddot{a}$ , which is either nominal or forms nominal predicative terms. The particles which may take the ordinary verbal forms are—

va'nêvan not at all (see p. 882) qa'rêm always with the future or exhortative (see p. 882) ča'mam always with the future (see p. 883)

See also e'Le, en'ñe, ui'ñä (p. 883).

The forms in  $\xi$ — $k\ddot{a}$  and in egn- will be found discussed on pp. 818, 821 et seq.

In Kamchadal the negative is expressed by the derivative in  $x \cdot \ddot{e} - ki$  for intransitive verbs,  $x \cdot \ddot{e} - kic$  (see p. 826) for transitive verbs. These are nominal in character. Predicative terms are formed by means of auxiliary verbs.

x'ënu'ki ml'ik I will not eat x'ënu'ki ksi'x¢ do not eat!

# §§ 91-94. Syntactic Use of Nominal Forms

# § 91. The Absolute Form

The absolute form of the noun and pronoun is used to express the subject of the intransitive verb, and the object of the transitive verb. Independent pronouns may be added to the verb in this form for the purpose of emphasis.

Subject of intransitive verb:

yaai'pŭ ye'tyi<sup>ɛ</sup> ri'rkı from afar a walrus came 8.5 kitve'yu i'wkwi<sup>ɛ</sup> the old walrus spoke 8.14 mu'ri . . . mirreyi'lqätyä<sup>ɛ</sup> we shall sleep 9.3 rirkanpına'chin pilqäe'rkin the old walrus dived 9.6 re'mkin ni'lqätyä<sup>ɛ</sup>n the people shall go 13.12 i<sup>ɛ</sup>'rqi<sup>ɛ</sup> re'mkin the people crossed over 13.13 ri'rkı qe'pkiṇin a walrus arrived 8.6 nite'rmeĕinqin ramkı'yñın the great people are doing acts of violence 11.3

§§ 90, 91

atta<sup>e</sup>yol-ya<sup>e</sup>'mkıñ galai'vıñvolen people (from) down the coast walked about Kor. 41.1

Ama'mqut e'wañ Eme'mqut said Kor. 40.7

 $\it l'npi\mbox{-}q\mbox{|}a'wu\mbox{|} gew \mbox{$\tilde{n}$}\mbox{$ivo'$|} ien$  the old man said Kor. 47.1

ñalvıla'n aqu . . . gaļañvo'ykın a great herd began to pass Kor. 51.9

ña'wis qat va'ykin a woman was there Kor. 52.1

# Object of transitive verb:

u'ttuut tičvi'ä<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> I cut wood
yo'nên lauti'yñin she pushed the big head into it 28.6
uwi'lkan gätei'kigin make a woodpile! 31.12
wŭ'rgirgin walo'miñonên she heard a noise 32.10
kokui'ñin yire'nnin she filled the kettle 33.10
ñeuwi'rit a<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> nei'mityä<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> she would take the woman's soul 37.11
mač-êwga'n titvu'rkin I tell it as an incantation 39.13
u'ttuut ne'npää<sup>\$\varepsilon\$</sup> they stuck a stick into the ground 40.9

### Koryak:

tiyoʻan i'npi-qla'wul I found an old man Kor. 52.4 gaqa'yičulin loʻlqal he chopped up the face Kor. 53.6 qai-mi'mič gayoʻolen she put into it a small louse Kor. 55.1 či'lil čvitču'ykinin he cut the tongue Kor. 56.4 eni'n kmi'nipil gaqulumti'lin he carries his son Kor. 57.9

The absolute form is also used for the indirect object.

tu'mgıtum muwi'än let me cook for (my) friend gŭmni'n e'kik keli'tulä mı'lpınřıga<sup>e</sup>n I will give money to my son

# § 92. The Subjective

The subjective expresses the subject of transitive verbs.

wo'tqan ñan a'ačêk eni'k-eli'gä ki'plinên the father struck this young man (wo'tqan this; ñan here; a'ačêk youth; eni'k subjective third person singular pronoun; eli'gin father; rkpl to strike; -nin he—him)

ımılo re'mkä napê'laa<sup>ş</sup>n nı'mnım the whole people left the camp čo'urgın tılo'mnên kele'tä the kele opened the door-flap 106.16

kitve yuta i'unin the old walrus said to him 8.7

Aiwhuyanpına'čha pınlo'nênat a St. Lawrence old man asked them 13.9

Ta'n·ña gaio<sup>e</sup>'laat the Ta'n·ñit attacked them 97.25 morgina'n mo'rêg-ra'k ñe'wänti gi'wä we in our houses to our wives say 84.16

atta yol-ya mka gava' lomlen the people down the coast heard it Kor. 39.7

Amamqu'tınak u'ttı-yu'ñı gatai'kılin Eme'mqut made a wooden whale Kor. 40.8

iμα<sup>ε</sup>'ga ini'wi mother told me Kor. 46.1

an a nak ini'wi grandmother told me Kor. 46.2

*i'npi-qla'wula gai'liLin ki'plau* the old man gave him mortars Kor. 51.5

kmi'ña gama'talen the son married her Kor. 80.1

mi'mla ninuqin the louse ate her Kor. 76.4.

gŭmna'n ñawa'kak tıyai'lıñın I will give (him) the daughter Kor. 12.3

In passive constructions with -ine, the actor is expressed by the subjective form.

Ta'n·ña nini'uqin she was told by the Ta'n·ñit 98.8

The subjective is used with some transitive verbs to express the object with which something is done to some one. In these cases the person to which something is done is given the absolute form. Such verbs are -(l)pinř to give, o'nti to refuse.

gŭmni'n e'kık keli'tulä mı'lpınřıga<sup>ɛ</sup>n I presented my son with money

teki'čhä qêna'lpınřıgê I present thee with meat

This form is especially used when an intransitive verb is made transitive

tu'mgıtum e'če muwi'ä<sup>e</sup>n I shall cook for (my) companion (with) fat

qla'ulqai rıqamıtva'urkınên tenm'netü he made the little man eat (with) a shellfish 9.8

In Kamchadal also the subjective form is used with transitive verbs to express the object that is used in the performance of the action expressed by the verb.

he'ulil' xkoka-ju'jcx (with) a fish-head cook! compare Chukchee E'nnī-leu'tä quwi'tīk

The subjective is used to express instrumentality and modality.

añqa'ta leule'wu ge'lhi-mu'ri by the sea we were badly treated 65.27

genilule'ññilin keñuneñe'tü he moved it with the staff 101.8

ê'tin yiki'rga pi'rinin it took its master with the mouth 104.33

qrilu'tkui vala'ta move about with the knives! 16.4

mu' Lä gakañoi'pūlên with blood he is besmeared 19.3

 $va^{\epsilon\prime}ga$   $tyan\check{c}ima'wiikini\tilde{n}in$  I shall tear him with (my) nails Kor. 84.16

tui- $\tilde{n}i'$ [ $\tilde{n}a$  qata'kyılin they throw (it) with the harpoon line Kor. 41.3

ma'qmita tuva'nnıntatık I lost a tooth by means of an arrow Kor. 33.1

a<sup>ɛ</sup>la'ta awyeñvo'ykın he eats (with) excrements Kor. 12.5 ai'kıpa gapı'wyalin she threw about (with) fly-eggs Kor. 45.2 vala'ta gaqa'yıčulin he chopped it up with a knife Kor. 53.6 vai'čita qatha'ai they two went on foot Kor. 22.8

## § 93. Locative, Allative, and Ablative.

The locative expresses the place where something is or happens.

čotta'gnīk in the outer tent 52.7

ro'čen ki nitva' gên it stays on the other shore 52.11

ênno'tkınık tara'ngaet they pitched their tent on the slope of a hill 56.9

Telqä'pık . . . geke'ñılıt in the Telqä'p country they were driving reindeer 61.8

em-ñi'lhin nuwotītva'qên tī'mkīk only the thong remained tied to the hummock 62.7

ñe'wänik pêla'nên he left him with the wife 105.7 re'mkik oratva'ê he stayed long with the people 54.2 gini'k čauču ge'eĻin a reindeer-breeder came to thee 46.11

# Koryak:

i'ya<sup>s</sup>g ga'plin to the sky it was fastened Kor. 19.3 gŭmni'n ya'yak vaḷai'ke my things are in the house Kor. 19.9 gŭ'mma a'ñqak ti'yak I hit (on) the sea Kor. 26.2 ña'nıko va'amık yiwgıči'ta there in the river have a drink! Kor. 32.1

gaļa'lin va'amik he arrived at the river Kor. 32.2 gawga'len ena'tīk he was caught in the snare Kor. 36.6

# The allative expresses—

1. The direction toward.

a<sup>e</sup>qa'-kamaanvê'tı nine'il-i-ŭm I give them to the possessors of bad dishes 96.7

kala'gtı qaiñe'utkurkın call to your kele 102.5

kala'gtı nıpênřičê'tqên it rushed at the kele 104.25

čei'vutkui<sup>e</sup> nimnime'ti he went to a camp 105.5

notas qačikou'ti ničipe'tqin he dives into the interior of the surface of the ground 131.7

Tñairgê'ti, girgola'gti nuwêthau'qên he talks to the Dawn, the One on High 135.16

ga'lqaṇin e<sup>e</sup>e'tı he went to the sky Kor. 14.9
e<sup>e</sup>e'tıñ gani'nlalin he threw it to the sky Kor. 14.10; 15.7
qalte'nnın ganqu'lin yayačıkoi'tıñ the stopper was thrust out
into the house-interior Kor. 15.2
panenai'tıñ gayi'nalin to the same place he flew Kor. 15.5
yaite'tı ga'lqaṇin he went to the house Kor. 17.3
gata'wanlenat Qoyqınn'aqoyıkai'tı they moved to Big-Raven's
Kor. 19.9

### 2. For, on account of.

qaa'gtr gilo'lên sorrowful on account of the reindeer 48.12
uwaqočê'gtr gilo'rkin do you sorrow on account of the husband
48.12

nılvau'qên Ergip-ya'lhêti he was tired on account of the bright moon 14.11

The allative is often used to express the indirect object, corresponding to our dative, even if in the incorporated pronoun the direct object is used.

gŭ'muk-akka' gtı keli'tulti mi'ilinet I will give moneys to my son. The ablative expresses the direction from; also along.

qêti-notas qê'pŭ nipiu'riqin from the frozen ground he emerged 102,18

qolê'-notai'pŭ nua'lomgaen I heard it far and wide 104.14 têrkıra'ırgêpŭ nıye'tqin he came from sunset 105.14 yoročıkoi'pŭ nuwa'lomgên he heard from the sleeping-room 106.13 êučai'pŭ mīñño'aen let us begin from below 131.5 pêpêggŭpŭ nei'mityäen they took him by the ankle 35.3 pottinai'pŭ eimi'nnin he took it by the holes 47.2

# Koryak:

ega'ñko nalqaine'w-gŭm from the sky have I been shot Kor. 33.4

kıpla'gıgiñko gače'pñıtolen out of the mortar it peeped Kor. 53.3 mañe'nqo yathae'an? nuta'nqo. Whence did you bring her? from the country Kor. 60.10

# §§ 94. Designative.

On account of its nominal character I have not included in the list of post-positional suffixes the element -nu (see § 103.34), which, however, is used syntactically very much like the suffixes treated in the last section.

§ 94

 $Tuaro'\tilde{n}$ -  $Va'irgu\ \check{u}m\ q\ddot{a}n've'r$  he became Sacrifice-Being thus 41.9  $Vai'rgu\ ne'\underline{l}e^{\epsilon}n$  (destined) to (be) a "Being" he became 41.10  $yara'n\theta\ ne'lyi^{\epsilon}$  it became a house 43.5

rırıra'nnên . . . taikaus qıo'lvu he spread it for a place to wrestle 47.4

wiyolo milhigit let me have thee as assistant 124.2 gaqanqa'ano niya'anat let him use them for driving reindeer 124.8

# Koryak:

maļ·i<sup>ɛ</sup>'yu nīna<sup>ɛ</sup>'līn a good sky let it become! Kor. 20.2 ya'qu mīntaikīļa'-gi into what shall we make thee? Kor. 37.9 kmēña'tīnvu no'tañ nīlai'-gām for delivery in the country I went away Kor. 60.6

i'ssu gana'elinau they became dresses Kor. 60.10 a'nku naļñīļaikīne'mīk we are rejected (put to refusal) Kor. 62.5

### § 95. Verbal Nouns

As stated under the sections dealing with various post-positions nominalized verbs appear often with these endings. Following is the series of forms observed.

	Chukchee	Koryak Kamenskoye
Allative	-&tI -(1)k, -k(1) -t¾, -ä	-(I)k, -(ä)k, -ka, kka -ta, -a
Comitative I	-ma	-mačI

Among these forms, the last one does not correspond to a nominal post-position; the Comitative I is analogous to the nominal suffix, which, however, has the prefix ga-, which is absent in the verbal noun.

#### ALLATIVE

In Chukchee the allative of the verbal noun is used with verbs expressing attempt, desire, preparation.

awkwatê'ti tigaiča'urkin I make haste to depart (ewkwet to leave; t-I; gaičau to hasten; -rkin present)

 $le^{\epsilon} u't_{I} \dot{l}ile'pg^{i\epsilon}$  he looked to see;  $(lu^{\epsilon})$  to see; lile eyes; -p to put on;  $-g^{i\epsilon}$  he)

nen ni'uäen takêčhê'tı they sent him to get provisions 66.32

The Koryak uses the locative instead.

| penye'kınen talai'vık he rushes to strike it Kor. 53.3 § 95.

# It expresses temporal coordination:

kıle'nin e'ur vai têrgatê'tı he pursued, however, while he (the pursuer) was crying 57.9

e'ur enqa'n têrgatê'tı ni'nerkile'qin while he was crying he pursued him 57.11

gevin·vuten·ñe'ulin ñıtou'tı he laughed secretly as he went out 71.30

nītou'tī ki'tkit nīten·new-i-ŭm as I was going out I laughed a little 72.13

a'un-trpaiñou'tr niču'uqin kê'rgäqai wurre'tyi<sup>ɛ</sup> girqo'l while she was singing, a little hole appeared above 74.2

le'utti ñıtou'tı ri'nřıninet he carried the heads going out 86.8 a'un-wêthawê'tı . . . while they were talking, he . . . 100.9

### LOCATIVE

In Chukchee and Koryak the locative seems to signify AT THE PLACE, AT THE TIME.

qaiñe'i yı'lqaññok roar at the time of beginning to sleep 10.6 geri'ñelin pukê'rıñok he flew up when (the other one) arrived 15.4 pŭkê'rıñok rıčıpeu'nin when he arrived, (the other one) made him dive 19.12

awkwa'tıñok nımeiñeu ga'tvŭlên aë'ttın when he left, he promised to sacrifice a dog 101.21

a'mkın-wolqatvê'ññok every time when it began to be evening 104.12

 $qaa'gti qa'tik aa'laka i'tyi^{\epsilon}$  he had no knife while going to the reindeer (qaa' reindeer; -gti to; qat to go; a--ka without; va'la knife; it- to be;  $-gi^{\epsilon}$  he)

e'mkın -kiyeu'kı nênaio'gên whenever she awoke, she shoved it in 29.2

qemi'-plı'tkuk at the time of having finished eating 33.11 Koryak.

gas·s·alvıye'lin vr<sup>e</sup>ya'tvık she remained all day, being dead Kor.

The stems lvau not to be able,  $nk\ddot{a}$  to refuse, always govern the verbal locative:

 $lu^{\epsilon}k$  nilva'w- $\hat{e}$ - $\check{u}m$  I could not be seen 22.9

nênalwau'qên lu<sup>e</sup>k he could not find her 38.7

ŭpau'kı tılva'urkın I can not drink (ŭpau' to drink; t- I; lvau to be unable; -rkın present)

qäi'rık tılwa'urkın İ can not seek for her 38.8

vele'rkılek luva'wkwê he could not pursue him 15.6

3045°-Bull. 40, pt. 2-12-50

taq-aimê'tik pinle'tke mitilva'urkin we can not divine how to get provisions 101.13

pintiqä'tik nilvau'qên he could not disengage himself 101.34 qlike'tik ni'nkäqin she refused to marry 26.1 ninkä'tqinet pŭki'rik they refused to come 106.3 qlike'tik aa'lomkĕlên not (listening) consenting to marry 26.2

In Koryak the verbs  $\tilde{n}vo$  to begin, p!i to finish, nkau to cease, pkau not to be able, always govern the verbal locative.

gañvo'len gıya'pčak she began to sing Kor. 16.10 gañvo'len čilala'tık it began to bubble Kor. 17.2 gañvo'len ñawa'kak kitai'ñak they began to scold the daughter Kor. 17.8

gañvo'len tenma'witčuk she began to prepare Kor. 18.3 gana'nkaulen tınala<sup>ɛ</sup>'tık they ceased to carry it out Kor. 41.8 ganka'wlinau tula'tık they stopped to steal Kor. 41.9 quqka'wñunenau yanıkya'wñak it could not awaken them Kor.

tapka'vık olinaqa'tık I could not strangle myself Kor. 35.2 napkawñıvo'ykın tula'tık they could not steal it Kor. 39.8 tawi'tkınık gava'nnıntalen when pilfering she lost a tooth Kor. 34.3

gana<sup>ɛ</sup>'linau pa'yittok they came to be eating blackberries Kor. 41.6 gaplı'tčulin kukai'vık she finished cooking Kor. 51.2 gañvo'lenau yu'kka he began to eat them Kor. 57.1

It serves also as iterative of numeral verbs.

Chukchee  $\tilde{n}ireqeu'k_I$  the second time  $\tilde{n}iroqau'k_I$  the third time

In Koryak it is also used in those cases in which the Chukchee uses the allative.

vaļo'mik tigaima'tekin I want to know (vaļo'm to know; t- I; gaimat to want; -ekin present)

#### THE SUBJECTIVE

The subjective is used to express an adverbial idea.

wa'qeta tuwañe'rkin I work sitting (wa'qe to sit; t- I; wañe to work; -rkin present)

am-ıpa'wa nıtvai'gŭm I was just drinking (am- merely; ŭpau to drink; nı- indefinite tense; tva to live, be; -igŭm I)

lun -iwkuči'tä i'tyie not drinking she was (i. e. she did not drink)

luñ-i'rä i'tyiε not crossing it was 41.5

luñ-lu<sup>e</sup>'tä nı'ntäqinet not seen they had them 11.9 wêtha'wa qäntı'gın speaking do to her (i. e. speak to her!) 29.12 li'en äq-eiñe'wa quli'tä only badly crying (and) sounding 57.6

The analogy between this form and the nominal subjective appears very closely in those cases where the adverbial idea expresses instrumentality.

tačai'wêwa lautr'yām ninemmuteu'gin by means of striking he made the big head swollen 48.10
etti-kīpče'wa by striking with a stick 48.10

The verbal noun in  $q\not\in -t\ddot{q}$  is often used both in Chukchee and in Koryak as an imperative.

ganto'ta, gi'wä go out and say! gi'wä say! 21.11 gaa'neta he shall go first! 84.13

In other cases it has the meaning of a present.

mergina'n gi'wä we are wont to say 84.16

# Koryak:

gayı's qata sleep! Kor. 31.8 gaļa'xtata wu'tčau, gā<sup>c</sup>a'nñīvota take these along, haul them away! Kor. 51.6

The corresponding forms of the transitive verb occur in the past, future, exhortative, and in the derived present and exhortative (see § 68, p. 741). They are also used impersonally.

amto', mi'ñkri re'ntin, ra'nmiñ well, how will it be done? will there be killing?

ga'nma killed

rä'nut gelu'tä whatever seen

tu'mgıtum ra 'nutqäiä qa'lpın 'ra give something to (your) companion

### COMITATIVE I AND II

These forms express an action done while the subject of the sentence performed another action. Comitative I is used when the subject of both actions is the same; Comitative II, when the two subjects are distinct.

Examples of comitative I:

têrga'nma ninegepčiygi'ugin weeping she kicked it 31.8
uwê'ma takımla'gnênat when cooking she prepared marrow for
them 33.11

gr'nmıl yı'lkama qarêtêlai'gŭm recently, while I was sleeping, I dreamed it (gr'nmıl recently; yılqa to sleep; ga—igŭm I; rêtêla to dream)

kıye'wkwi<sup>©</sup> ĉaamya'ma he awoke while they (he himself and his dog) were galloping about 104.36

Examples of Comitative II:

*ipau'mači equ'likä* while (the others) are drinking, be silent (*ŭpau* to drink; *e—kä* negative; *quli* noise)

Nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, when used in verbal forms, may have the Comitative II, which is used when the subject is the same as well as when two distinct subjects are concerned.

miñke'kin lu<sup>ɛ</sup>'ä<sup>ɛ</sup>n ñaus gatıma'čı when you have found this, bring a woman 99.23

equ'likä rolma'čı be silent, since you are weak (e—kä negation; quli noise; rol weak)

minke'kin poi'gın lu<sup>ɛ</sup>'ä<sup>ɛ</sup>n naus qatıma'čı where did you find the spear being a woman 99.22.

#### KAMCHADAL

nıkêmačı at night 56.8

Kamchadal has only two forms.

 $-k\check{o}j$  (intransitive); -c, -l (transitive) and k!—enk

The former is simply the inchoative of the verb, which is used as a noun in absolute form.

qc'čel' nu'kŏj enough to eat tu'tun txlil I could not beat him

In the negative form the ending -koj is not used.

xë nu'ki it is impossible to eat (xë-ki negative, p. 826)

xë txle'kic it is impossible to beat him (xë-kic negative of transitive verb, see p. 826)

xë nu'ölki it is impossible to eat (-ŏl to desire, p. 808, no. 64.)

The second form expresses an action done at the same time with the one expressed by the predicate of the sentence. It is derived from the k!- prefix of the corresponding form of the noun, and the suffix of the possessive.

k!-nu'enk qam qe'lkek while eating I do not talk (nu to eat; qam not)

## §§ 96-129. COMPOSITION

# § 96. Introductory Remarks

We have seen that in the formation of grammatical forms both prefixes and suffixes occur. Their use is much more extended, and they § 96. serve to express a great many modifications or amplifications of the meaning of the stem. It is difficult to draw a sharp line between the grammatical endings and those that add new significance to the word. From a purely morphological point of view the two classes merge into each other; and neither can a sharp line be drawn between the nominal post-positions treated before, and others of similar meaning, like -nu (p. 798, no. 34), -mil (p. 798, no. 30), -in (see below); nor can the nominalizing endings in -in and -n be sharply separated from other, analogous forms. For this reason I repeat the nominal endings here in their proper places with reference to the sections in which they are more fully treated.

Neither is the line of demarcation clear between affixes and compounds of independent elements. This appears most clearly in those cases in which the same element may appear either as a prefix or as a suffix, like  $q\ddot{a}i$  and  $m\dot{a}l$ ; and also in those cases in which an element appears rarely alone. The line of demarcation between particle and incorporated adnominal or adverbial element is indicated through the occurrence or non-occurrence of vocalic harmony in the group.

The use of affixes is very extended, and a series of prefixes as well as of suffixes may appear combined.

qamitva-čhat-ı-ño'-rkın he begins to gobble down ru-wake-s-qê-čhat-a'u-rkın he makes him sit down once with great force.

§§ 97-112. Suffixes

§§ 97-109. Nominal Suffixes §§ 97-105. DERIVED FROM NOUNS

§ 97. Nouns in -in and -n

These have been discussed in §§ 45-49, pp. 707-713, and in §§ 51-55, pp. 714-719. Here belong also the nominalized verbs (a) and (b), which have been discussed in §§ 73, 74, pp. 758-762.

# § 98. Augmentative and Diminutive

1. - ¿ñ-, subjective - ¡ñ In, augmentative. The suffix forms plural and oblique cases regularly. 1

va'le knife a''ttın dog

re'mkin people 13.10

vala''ñin large knife

a'tti'yñin large dog

a'ttiyñ'' pu from the large dog

ramk''yñin big people 11.3

Âiwhuyanpinach'' yñin old big

St. Lawrence man 13.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Bogoras thinks that this suffix may be related to  $m_{\tilde{e}}i\tilde{n}$ . This does not seem quite probable, because the vowel e of this word is weak.—F.B. §§ 97,98.

Some words do not take the suffix - \( \)i\( \)i\( \), but use the definite form in its place.

ñe'wän womanKor. Kam. ieñui'ñınbig nose Kor. 72.12

nawa'nen the woman, the large woman

2.  $-n \cdot a' k u$ ,  $-n \cdot a q u$  augmentative (Kor. Kam.).

3. -go Augmentative.

nawgo'lhın the big wife 39.5; 40.1 pêtti-walkac olhê'tı to the big old jaw-bone house 59.8

4. -qāi diminutive. Plural, oblique cases, and definite, are formed from this freely. This is evidently related to the stem qāiu small. It may also precede the noun, and be used in both positions at the same time. When preceding the noun it means the young of an animal; compare also gāin fawn; qe'uïqei fledgeling.

kuké-qäi a little kettle
gi'lgi-qäi a small skin 45.6
qla'ul-qāi a small man
wa'lqara-qāi small jaw-bone house 44.13
inpi'ñé'w'qäyik to the little old woman 45.2
ñi'nqäi child 42.8
ñinqäitile'ki to those with children 20.7
inpiñawqa'göiñin the small woman
qäi-u'nel young thong-seal 70.26
gäi-a\*ttigäi pup
gäi-i-li\*gäi cub of wolf

qai (Koryak) is used only as incorporated adjective. Its use is very frequent.

qai-qla'wul-pel a very small man qai'-ña'wis'qat little woman Kor. 33.10 qai-ka'mak little kamak Kor. 38.9 qai-pipi'kalnu little mice Kor. 25.6 qai-ka'mak-pel little kamak Kor. 37.2

-ai small and miserable (Kamchadal).

ki'stai a miserable little house (kist house)

kcxai a miserable little dog (kocx dog)

5. -pil DEAR LITTLE.

ekke'pil sonny tu'mgäpil dear little friend

# Koryak:

-pil (Kor. Kam.) dual and pl. -pilaq (with the endings -t and u); -pi (Kor. II),—express the DIMINUTIVE.

ñawa'n-pil small woman (Kor. Kam.)

ñawan-pila'qıt two small women (Kor. Kam.)

ñawan-pila'qu small women (Kor. Kam.)

qla'wul-pel small man

milya'qpil a little shell Kor. 23.8

va'gɪlʌnpel a small nail Kor. 23.7

vi'tvitpil a little ringed seal Kor. 24.4

-pilin (Kor. Kam.)—the last suffix -pil with the additional suffix -in—conveys the sense of ENDEARMENT.

atta'piliñ doggy

vai'ampilıñ a little river Kor. 17.2

iluε piliñ little (shaman's) wand Kor. 27.7

ñi'lñipiliñ little thong Kor. 39.4

6.  $-\check{c}ux$ ,  $-\check{c}x$ , -cx diminutive (Kamchadal). The diminutive of the plural is formed by the suffix  $-\check{c}$  added to the plural form.

	Singular	Diminutive	Plural	Diminutive
dog	kocx	ko'cxčax	kcxo <sup>e</sup> n	kcxo <sup>e</sup> nč
game	hu'rnik	hu'rnikčax	hu'rnIkI <sup>e</sup> n	hu'rnIkI <sup>e</sup> nč
village	a'tInŭm	a'tīnocx	a'tino <sup>e</sup> n	a'tIno <sup>e</sup> nč

To intensify the degree of diminution, this suffix may be used in a doubled form.

atıno'cxıčax a very small village a'tınocxanč very small villages

7. - $li\tilde{n}_{o}$  Tiny. It always precedes the diminutive  $-q\ddot{a}i$  (No. 4 of this section), and intensifies the idea of smallness.

qaa-lıño'-qai tiny reindeer ñawan-lıño'-qai tiny woman

#### § 99. Collectives

8. -yirin or -yIrIn a company; (Kor. Kam. -yIssan) the stem of the noun yi'riir or yi'riir FULLNESS, CONTENTS,

newü'nyirin a company of women ra'yırın a houseful 45.13

Kor. Kam. nımyı'ssa'n people of a village Kor. 70.9

Compare walqa'cıriir a jaw-bone-house-ful 54.13.

9. -giniw group of (Kor. Kam. -giniu).

rąę́/nę̂u (Kor. Kam. rą-gé/nēw) group of houses (i. e., village) yarą́/-gê/nēw a group of houses 111.15 u'mqä-gi'niw a set of polar bears 113.29 yi'čemit-tu'mgɪ-gi'niw a set of brother-companions 113.28 uwi'ritqäi-gi'niw a lot of little souls 122.31 Kor. Kam. qaña'tɪla-gi'niw a lot fishing with drag-nets Kor. 70.10

10. -ril (Kor. Kam. -yil) set, collection (used only for inanimate objects).

 $o'rgur\hat{e}l$  a caravan of sledges  $a^{\varepsilon'}mril$  (Kor.  $a^{\varepsilon'}m-yil$ ) a set of bones,  $i.\ e.$  a skeleton Koryak mu'u-yil a line of sledges, a caravan Kor. 78.5

11. -ret set, litter (Kor. Kam. -yat).

va'rat (Kor. Kam. va'yat) a group of beings (i. e., family group) yičemre'tti a set of brothers 64.3 ple'gret a pair of boots li'liret a pair of mittens

12. -tku indefinite collective.

ne'lhitkun all kinds of skins
gi'nnikitkun various kinds of game
orawêla'tken men living in various places, people

This suffix is also used with adjectives and pronouns:

mainiya'nitkon everything big

rä nutetkun (rä neetkun) all kinds of things

čiñe'waq panřa'tkeqaia tei'mityä<sup>e</sup>n somehow with all kinds of small leg-skins I bought it (i. e. I succeeded in buying it with a small number of leg-skins, i. e., cheaply).

13. -mk numerous (Kor. Kam. -mk) is used to express plurality.

yara'mkın (Kor. Kam. yaya'mkın) a cluster of houses (collective)

rırka'mkıčhın several walrus 102.17.

a<sup>e</sup>ttwili'mkičhin the great assembly of boatmen 11.5

Compare the stem mk in the independent forms  $m\check{u}k\imath\check{c}\imath'y\~{n}\imath n$  the more numerous ones 11.7  $m\check{u}'k\imath\check{c}\imath n$  more numerous 12.3  $n\check{u}'mk\ddot{a}qin$  numerous 12.7

and in the compound form

mŭg-gitka'k with many legs 119.9

14. -ffrg, the stem of the third person plural pronoun ε'rr1, serves to form the plural of proper names and of some other words designating persons, when these appear with the suffixes -gŭpŭ and -k and with the particle re'en TOGETHER (p. 794, no. 18). (See § 44, p. 706.)

qlauli'rgŭpŭ < qlaul-irg-gŭpŭ from the men qla'ulirik < qla'ul-irg-k by the men, with the men qla'ulirig-re'en < qla'ul-irg-k-re'en with the men

The possessive form E'rgin is used in the same manner.

orawêla'rgên that belonging to the (human) people

### § 100. Comitatives

15. qa—ma comitative (Kor. Kam. awun—ma) not used with names of persons, for which re'en is used.

galêla'ma (Kor. Kam. a'wunlela'ma) with the eye go'rguma with the sledge 15.4
gata'ttrwalma with the splinters of thigh-bone 33.11
game'rma with blood 43.8
gañênqai'ma with children 50.6
gapro'rma with the aorta R 2.2
gaê'twuma with the boat 71.4
galau'tma with the head; i. e., the whole body 137.8
Kor. Kam. awun-qama'ma with the dish 64.7
Kor. Kam. a'wun-e'ñvelma with the nostrils

Compare the nominal derivatives of verbs, in -ma (§64, p. 738; § 95, p. 787).

k!—m (Kamchadal). Comitative. k!lŭ'lŭm with the eye

16.  $q\underline{e}$ — $(t)\underline{a}$  comitative (Kor. Kam. qa—[t]a); not used with names of persons, for which re'en (p. 794, no. 18) is used. (Compare § 37, p. 697.)

gelile'tä (Kor. Kam. galila'ta) with the eye inpina'thin geñe'wänä an old man with his wife 28.1 (subject) ELI'git geñe'wänä the fathers with the wife, i. e. the parents 28.4 (subject); 39.11; 33.9

Kor. Kam. gaqqaika'makata with a small spirit Kor. 37.3

Kor. Kam. ga'ttata with a hatchet Kor. 56.3

Kor. Kam. gaqla'wula with her husband Kor. 68.7

### Verbal:

ne'us qät genutegči'tä, notai'pŭ gelei'vä the woman while walking in the wilderness, while walking in the country, she—28.5

notai' pŭ gelei' vä ñe' usqät, vai ELa'—while the woman was walking in the country, her mother—29.4

En qam Ell'hin gette'tä gi'wä—then the father with sudden doing, with saying—29.11

- 17. -mačI verbal noun expressing meanwhile (Kor. Kam. -ma'čI) (see pp. 738, 788.)
- 18. -re'en added to the locative, Together. It is used principally with nouns designating persons, and replaces the comitative. Its vowel does not form an ablaut.

gămu'g re'en together with me Omru'wgêna-re'en together with Omru'wgê tu'mug-re'en with the strangers 59.1 ni'lhi-re'en together with the thong 44.12

### § 101. Locatives

19. -tkin surface (Kor. Kam. -tkin, -tčin); used chiefly in oblique cases.

orgu'tkınık on the sledge
orgutkını'ta along the surface of the sledge
uwêkê'tkınık on the body 8.11
gêli'tkınık on the sea-ice 9.1, 2
gêlgêli'tkınık on the sea-ice 7.3, 5
kano'tkıngupu on the crown of the head 8.2
mêmli'tkınık on the water 9.3
čo'tıtkınık on the top of the pillow 44.2

In the absolute form the suffix designates THE POINT OF.

yäqa'tkın point of nose rıčhı'tkın finger-tip (stem rılh) yêčı'tkıčhın tip of tongue 40.4 (stem yil)

# Koryak:

va'gıtčınu yu'kka eating points of nails Kor. 57.1 o'pıtčınık on the point of a beam Kor. 72.13

20. -s·q- absolute form -s·q\dankan; -\dankanq absolute form—\dankanq aqan top of; over, on top of (Kor. Kam. - \lankanq, absolute form -\lankanq an)
§ 101

etti's 'qän tree-top
etti's 'qä'k on the top of the tree
tili's 'qäk over the door
gi'this 'qä-notai'nin lake-top-big-land, i. e., the land over (near)
the lake 144.3
the's 'qä-re'mkin people of top of dawn R 2.11
nute's 'qäk on top of ground, i. e., on the ground 98.24

# Koryak:

na'nkalqak the top of it Kor. 78.15 va'yamılqak on top of river Kor. 25.8 wu'qwulqak on top of pebbles Kor. 25.8 yas'qalkai'tıñ (ya-s'qa-lqa-ệtı) to the house top Kor. 36.1 ya's'qalqak on the house top Kor. 84.12 wapıs'qalqak on top of slime Kor. 25.7

21. -gi, -giñ the base of; in oblique cases, under

utti'qiñ base of the tree
ettiqe'ngupu from under the tree
uttiqi'nki under the tree
cothe'nki under the pillow (<cot-qin-ki)
nute's qaqi'nki underground
notas qaye'ngupu from underground 143.6

# Koryak:

e'n'mıqenka under a cliff Kor. 13.6 qas'wuqe'nkı at the foot of the stone-pines Kor. 21.7 plakqene'tın into the bottom of the boots Kor. 14.2, 6 qankaqene'tı into the bottom of that one Kor. 40.9 atvıqene'tı into the bottom of the boat Kor. 41.5

22. -qqit toward; not in oblique cases qñqq'qqệt toward the sea uttr'qqit toward the wood yaka'qqệt noseward 45.2
tilê'qqê toward the entrance 62.9
e'ekeggit toward the lamp R 2.6

23. -yi'wkwi (absolute form -yi'wkwin) the space along —.

añqayê'wkwên the space along the sea a'ñqa-čormiyê'wkwi along the seashore 66.12 añqayêwkwê'ta along the sea, on the sea notas qačiyeuê'ki along underground 44.12

I have found also the form-

rečê'wkwä<ret-yệ'wkwệ along the tracks (See rêč'êu'kı 106.24.) 24. - $\check{e}iku$  (Chukchee, Kor. Kam.) Inside of; also with neutral u.

u'tĕıku in the wood
uttı'ĕıku within the tree
yara'ĕıku or ra'ĕıku within the house
kegri'ĕıku inside of palm 20.3
kona'rgıĕıku inside of leg of breeches 28.6
wus'qŭ'mĕıku in the dark 34.5
yılhı'ĕıku in the moon 41.8
ple'kıĕıku in a boot 43.4

This suffix appears often combined with locative elements.

o'nmičikou'ti (Kor. Kam. aninkačiko'řtí from aninka-čiku) inward, into the inside o'nmičiko'řpŭ from within êričikou'ti into the clothes 32.4 dinčikou'ti into the fire 31.13 yoročikou'ti into the sleeping-room 28.7; also 28.8, 35.3 yikirgičikou'ti into the mouth 50.3 quačikoi'pŭ from the reindeer-herd 51.2 mêmličikou'ti into the water 17.4 notas qačikoi'pŭ from underground 18.7 notas qačikoi'pŭ from underground 44.12

### Koryak:

wus qu'mčiku in the dark Kor. 16.10, 17.5 yayačikoi'tiñ into the house Kor. 15.2 qaya'čiku in a covered sledge Kor. 52.1 aia'čiku in the storehouse Kor. 55.5

The Kamchadal čäck inside corresponds to Chukchee čikų. It is used as an independent adverb.

ci'mtenk čäck in the ground, inside.

Here may belong also Kamchadal čacxe' INWARD.

25. -liku among the multitude, one of the suffixes of plurality.

qaa'lıku among the reindeer uttı'-lıku among the trees murı'g-lıku among us

26.  $-qa\check{c}$ , -qaI by the side of (Kor. Kam. -qaI)  $-qa\check{c}I$  near, close to (Kor. Kam.  $-qa\check{c}a$ )

qa'ptenqač by the side of the back 11.8 ya'alıñqač by the rear side 12.3 ti'linqač by the side of the entrance 53.3 qıtka'ñqač (Kor. Kam. qıtka'ñqal) at the feet me'rıqqač (Kor. Kam. me'rıqqal) by our side qınıkqa'č by thy side 9.3

gitkaqa'či near the foot meriqqa'či near us Nota'rmenqača near Notarmen 121.10 ra-gro'lminqal from the rear side of the house 51.10

A great number of adverbs are derived from this suffix.

wo'tıñqač (Kor. Kam. wo'teñqal) on this side va'eñqač (Kor. Kam. vaieñqal) halfway on this side

All forms with -qač (Kor. Kam. -qal) may also form oblique cases.

meriqqačai' pŭ (Ch.) from our side

mørīqqačai'pň (Ch.) from our side
Kor. Kam. woteñqaļai'tī to this side
añqañqača'gtī to the seaside 49.6
añqañqačai'pň from the seaside 49.8
ya'alinqačai'pň from the rear side 12.4
yarau'-liha'nlinqač-va'līn being from the other side of the houses 11.7

qača'kên the other one of a pair qača'kênata with the other hand 20.5

With the adjective suffix -kin they form adjectives which are in frequent use.

møriqqa'tkên being at my side wo'tinqa'tkên being from this side 14.2 Kor. Kam. wo'teñqala'ken being at this side

### Kamchadal:

qo'liñ near to, close by, corresponds to Chukchee qa'ĕı, Kor. Kam. qa'ĕa. It is also treated as an independent adverb. kı'mank qo'liñ (Chukchee gŭmu'k-qa'ĕı) near me

27. -tul part of, piece of (Kor. Kam. -tul).

menigi'tul (Kor. Kam. manigi'tol) a piece of calico qa'atol (Kor. Kam. qoya'tol) a piece of reindeer (meat) mi'mlitulqäi a little particle of water 134.17 teki'čhitulqäi a little piece of meat 134.31 Kor. Kam. nelhinolvii'tola piece of reindeer mane Kor.

Kor. Kam. pêlhmolñi'tola piece of reindeer mane Kor. 92.11

The Kamchadal uses a separate noun for expressing this idea.

a'ncĕax txa'ltxalın a small piece of meat (anc- piece; -ĕax small;

txa'ltxalın [adjective] of meat)

28. - $k\bar{\imath}t$  (Kamchadal) instead, in place of.

vi'le-kīt in place of payment

29. -xol (Kamchadal) Along.

 $c\hat{e}mt$ - $x\delta l$  along the ground (stem cimt ground)  $\hat{e}^{\epsilon}$ - $x\delta l$  along the water (stem  $i^{\epsilon}$  water)  $\hat{k}txg'j$ - $x\delta l$  along the road

### § 102. Similarity

30. -mil in the size of, at the distance of (Kor. Kam. -mič -mis). (Compare § 113.10,11); also § 105, 42 -mič which is a variant of this stem.)

ñei'mil as far as the mountain
miñke'mil (Kor. Kam. menke'mis) of what size? how much?
94.32

Eri'wmil like them 14.9
muru'wmil like us 10.6; 16.7
gumu'wmil like me 16.13
utte'mil size of a tree 20.2
rorg'mêl size of reindeer-fly 23.3
grawêlg'-mêl like men 64.11
rrrkg'mêl like a walrus 10.8; 12.1
qaq'mêl size of a reindeer 122.23

Possessive forms with the suffix—kin added to the suffix—mil are gumuwmi'tkin according to my wants muruwmi'tkin according to our wants

31. -wurrin similar to, like.

pin-wurrin flour (literally CINDER-LIKE) See Ena'n čini't wu'rri nitqin thus she was 26.9

- 32.  $-w\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}t$  similar to.
- 33. -čhįčä similar to (Kor. Kam. -čhįna).

qäčiki'čhệča (Kor. Kam. qla'wuliche'na) similar to a man (i. e., transformed shaman¹)

### § 103. Purpose

34. -nu, -u material for; what serves as something; serving a purpose; serving as something.

ple'ku qäi'mit·kın take it for boots (i. e., to make boots of it)

This suffix is used with various verbs to express the idea to make something out of, to consider as something, to become something.

ekke'nu mi'lhigit let me have thee as a son

<sup>1</sup> qäčik or qlik is an obsolete form for qla'ul MAN.

-nu after stems ending in a vowel.

lile'nu serving as an eye rırkg'nə as a walrus 10.8

gaqanqa'ang for a driving reindeer 124.8

varêmêna'nê ri'tyä<sup>e</sup> you will not be the one to serve this purpose 23.6

ko'ñkoñ-ra'no serving as a ball-shaped house 130.22

ke'ñičvinu that which serves as a bay 133.4, 9

a<sup>ε</sup>qa'-gê'lčīne that which serves as a bad ice-floe 133.10

ter-irga't-palo<sup>e</sup>ta'ne what serves as a beaver that has just shed hair 137.2

-u after stems ending in a consonant.

ñe'wänu for a wife

taikaus qio'lvu for a place to wrestle 47.4

*Iumetu'nu ri'tyä*<sup>ε</sup> you will be for me like Iumetun 23.7

 $kei'\tilde{n}u$  what represents a bear 136.20

qoramře'tile to be used as herdsmen 50.9

 $\overline{l}e^{\epsilon\prime}nve$  for looking on 19.2; 23.1

riraga'unve what for? 19.1

ênagya'gtačho va'ırgo what serves as life-giving being 21.6 wı'yolo for assistant 124.2, 4

# Koryak Kamenskoye:

-nu, -u. The use of this suffix is the s me as in Chukchee.

*lila'nu* as an eye

akka'nu as a son

ña'wanu as a wife

qoia'no as a reindeer

tomñena'ñu as a cover for the roof-hole Kor. 37.9

kulipčina'ňu as a vent-hole plug Kor. 38.1

qangekiplena'ñu as a means of striking the fire Kor. 30.7

ya'qu into what Kor. 38.4

čai'učhu into a working bag Kor. 38.4

35. -ki (ka) (Kamchadal) corresponds to -nu, -u (No. 34) of Chukchee.

p!i'ki as a son

ni'ki as a wife

ole'naka as a reindeer (ole'n from Russian олень; the old Kamchadal word koj is also still in use)

*lŭle'ki* as an eye

36. -sx (Kamchadal) is synonymous with the last, but is less frequent.

 $p!\ddot{e}sx$  as a son  $\tilde{n}esx$  as a wife

37. -lqäl destined for —, material for —, (Kor. Kam. -lqal).

This suffix implies the future.

ple'kılqül (Kor. Kam. pla'kılqal) material for boots
uwä''qucılqül bridegroom to be, destined to be a husband
ELa'lqül stepmother, serving as a mother
Kor. Kam. ñawa'nılqal bride to be, destined to be a wife
lu''lqül (Kor. Kam. lo'''lqal Kor. 53.5) a face (perhaps; something destined to be looked on) 88.14
rěpa'lhılqül destined to be a dried walrus hide 46.11

With verbal stem, in most cases with the passive participle -(y)o:

tai'kıyolqül material (for work)

rırrê'lyolqül destined to be put down R 2.5

yımê'yolqül destined to be hung R 2.6

ro'olqül food R 44.11

malê'chılqul means of getting well 135.10

tımyo'lqul (Kor. Kam. tımyo'lqul) destined to be killed (epithet used like scoundrel)

### § 104. Possession

- 38. -yanv-, absolute form yan provided with (Kor. Kam. yanv-, absolute form yana)
  - (a) As a nominal suffix, yanv means PROVIDED WITH.

    ¿a'g-gan (Kor. Kam. ¿ai-ya'na) one who has tea, rich in tea
    qa'a-yan (Kor. Kam. qoya-ya'na) one who has reindeer
    tañ-kamaanvê'ti to those provided with good dishes (tɨn good;
    keme dish) 92.21
  - (b) With intransitive verbs it indicates the person who performs an act once or habitually.

ŭpa'w-gan (Kor. Kam. apaw-ya'na) the one who drinks qamı'twa-yan (Kor. Kam. awye-ya'na) the one who eats

(c) With transitive verbs it indicates the object of the action, and has a passive meaning.

yı'l-yan (Kor. Kam. yıl-ya'na) what has been given ro'mkaw-gan (Kor. Kam. yomkaw-ya'na) what has been hidden

(d) With adjectives it indicates a person having a certain quality.

qatvu-ya'n the one who has strength

maini-ya'n that which is big

a'tqend'an (Kor. Kam. a''ččen-ya'na) the one who is bad

Oblique cases are derived from this form. In Koryak these forms are not of frequent occurrence.

maiñiya'nvuk at the big one (Koryak the same) inpiya'nvut the older ones 108.12

39.  $-lq(\ddot{a}n)$  abounding in (Kor. Kam.  $-lq[\ddot{a}n]$ )

mi'mlılqün (Kor. Kam. mi'mlılqün) place abounding in water wata'pılqün (Kor. Kam. wata'pılqun) place abounding in reindeer-moss

#### § 105. Miscellaneous

40. -yočh, -gočh receptacle (Kor. Kam. -yočh); perhaps from the verbal stem yo- to put into, yo'rkin (Kor. Kam. yo'ykin) HE puts into.

mitqo'očhin (Kor. Kam. mitqi'yočhin) blubber-bag (stem mitqi blubber)

tai'očh<sub>I</sub>-poka'tk<sub>I</sub>n<sub>I</sub>k in bottom of bag 29.3 Kor. Kam. kawa'ssočhu for wallets Kor. 46.2

41. -nit a space of time (Kor. Kam. -nit).

 $a^{\epsilon}l_{\varrho}^{\prime}\tilde{n}_{\ell}$  the whole day 21.1 (stem  $a^{\epsilon}l_{\varrho}$  day)

(Kor. Kam.  $qi'wi\tilde{n}it$ ) the whole length of the year (from qiwik [only in the locative] in the year)

42. -mič a certain amount, with nominal and pronominal stems indicating persons; also with verbal stems (compare § 102, 30 to which the suffix is clearly related).

qäineu'mič at the distance of a shot

gŭmu'wmič as much as I need
gŭmuwmi'tkin it is as much as I need (i. e., I have nothing to
spare)

43. -kwu, -wkw- protector, avertor

muču'kwun shirt made of calico (lit. louse-avertor, because the Chukchee think that the shirt is worn to collect lice from the body).

tainikwut charm-strings (lit. misfortune avertor)

## §§ 106-109. DERIVED FROM VERBS

### § 106. Abstract Nouns

44. -gīrgīn. If the base contains an l, it is often changed to č. Abstract noun; cause, source, object of an action (Kor. Kam. -geñin, -gitñin; Kor. Par. -geñin, -gičñin).

Note that the initial g follows the phonetic rules § 7.

t + gı>ti; č + qı>či; u + gı>wkw; u, o + qı>ou
qalhılo'urqêqıt you are source of sorrow 20.7
palqa'tirqın (from pelqat) old age (Kor. Kam. palqathe'nın or
palqa'thıtnın [from palqat])

3045—Bull. 40, pt. 2—12——51

§ 105, 106

```
pêrê'ırgın the place which he had taken 23.9

te'lhırgın or te'čirgın 24.3 (from tel) illness, pain, cause of pain
yaiva'cirgın (from yeivec) (Kor. Kam. yaiva'chıtıını [from
yai'vac]) compassion, cause or object of compassion
limvitte' irgın object of pity 11.3

wă'rgırgın noise 32.10

vê'irgin death 22.1

vê'irge-git thou art source of death 22.7

ginta'wkurge'git (from ginteu) (Kor. Kam. ginta'whitnege) thou
art the cause of my flight (i. e., you have frightened me)

te'cirgi-te're (from tel) ye are the source of my pain (i. e., you
have hurt me) (Kor. Kam. te'chitne-to'o)

yê'mgumgi'irgin object of fear 29.8

aña'cirgê-git thou art source of trouble 21.2; 23.11

Koryak:
```

vantige'ñin dawn Kor. 18.1 vetke'gičñin annoyance Kor. 20.9

This suffix may be added either to the simple verbal stem or to the verbal stem with added suffixes. The latter form expresses more particularly the process of an action. The former is sometimes used to express the object or the source of the action.

ñirkila'tirgin the feeling of shame ñirki'ċirgin the object of shame wŭ'rgirgičhin noise 15.1 am-viyê'irgä only by breathing 24.4

With the stem tva to be, this suffix expresses the idea of QUALITY.

Yai'vač-va'rrgin quality, substance of compassion; Merciful Being

(Kor. Kam. vage'ñin or va'gitñin) being, mode of life, substance, deity

With adjective bases this suffix also expresses qualities.

```
atqê'ñggrgin (from e'tqiñ) (Kor. Kam. a^{\epsilon}tqe'ngitñin [from a'ěčiň or a^{\epsilon'}tqiñ]) badness, spite êučy'rgin (from iu'l) length inpu'yrgin (from inp[\check{u}]) old age
```

With substantives it expresses the condition or state of the object.  $a^{\epsilon\prime}mgirgin$  (from  $a^{\epsilon\prime}ttim$  bone) condition of the bones (i. e.,

etti'irgin (from u'ttuut wood) degree of woodiness

of the body)

The range of abstract nouns compounded with these nominal suffixes is quite considerable, and these are in common use.

va'ırgın (Kor. Kam. vage'ñın) being, substance, custom, benevolent being, deity

yai'vač-va'ırgın (Kor. Kam. yai'vač-vage'ñın) compassion-being, merciful deity

a'ñañ-va'irgin (Kor. Kam. a'ñañ-vage'ñin) shaman's spirit deity tam-va'ırgın goodness, condition of goodness

taiñi'irgin (Kor. Kam. taiñige'ñin) sin qas mu'urgin (Kor. Kam.) misfortune

There are also a number of concrete nouns which are formed with this suffix:

yıkı'rgın (Kor. Kam. čıkı'tñın Kor. 56.8) mouth Kor. Kam. pča'ggītnīī (pļak boot) boot-string Kor. 59.3

45. -j, -l (Kamchadal) form abstract nouns of simpler and more limited sense than those of Chukchee and Koryak. This suffix is probably identical with the c, l, of the transitive verbal noun I (p. 748) which has the sense of the infinitive.

co'nlej life ča'kalej song o'jilaj blow noj¹ food pilhetej hunger pê'lhetel and famine pi'lhipil

tcuncjk I live tčakacjk I sing tujiljin I strike him tnujk I eat tpilhe'tijk I suffer from hunger

46. -nŭ, absolute form -nŭm (Kamchadal). Abstract noun.

| no'nŭm (stem nu) food hê'lnŭm (stem hil) drink conlinăm (stem cunc, cunl) life

## § 107. Passive Participle

47. -yg (Kor. -yg, absolute form -ygn) expresses the passive parti-CIPLE; (in Koryak with the meaning of the future). It forms plural, dual, and oblique cases like all substantives.

pêla'yo the one who is left (Kor. Kam. pelayon the one to be left)

In Chukchee the suffix is contracted with terminal consonants, and elided after vowels, according to the phonetic rules given in §§ 6–10.

Chukchee

Kor. Kam.

tại'kiọ < tại'ki-yọ the one made tai'kiyọn that to be made yi' L g < yi' l - yg the one given

yi'lyon that to be given

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This word is applied almost exclusively to dried fish as the food par excellence.

Chukchee

Kor. Kam.

ipa'wgo < ipa'w-yo that which apa'vyon that which is to be has been drunk</li>
 ko'j'o < kor-yo that which has ko'ryon that to be bought</li>

ko' j·o < kor-yo that which has been bought yito'ot born ones 42.7 oraio' činat born ones 21.2 a'n·ñêne li'nyo made to be the object of anger 42.3

timyo' one killed 43.8

ripalqa'wqo one drowned 49.9

Note.—Several transitive verbs with the prefix  $e^{m}$ -mere, entire, and the suffix -lin, express the passive participle, the same as -yo.

em-re'tilin (stem ret to buy) what has been brought; or  $ra'\check{\jmath} \cdot o$  or  $am-ra'\check{\jmath} \cdot o$  all that has been brought

(-yanv, see § 104, No. 38.)

### § 108. Instrumentality

48.  $-ine\tilde{n}$ , suffixed to verbal stems, expresses instrument (Kor. Kam.  $-ina\tilde{n}$ ).

 $t\hat{\varrho}w\hat{\varrho}'na\tilde{n}$  (stem  $t\hat{\varrho}u$ ) (Kor. Kam.  $t\varrho w\varrho'na\tilde{n}$  [stem  $t\varrho w$ ]) paddle, oar 73.11

qeli'nen (stem qeli to paint, engrave, write); (Kor. Kam. qaliči'nen [stem qaličit]): pen

tei'kineñ (K. K. inataiki'nañ) instrument (for work)

wañê'nañ instrument for work

lê'ê-têwênaña'ta with a genuine paddle 31.4

(Kor. Kam. tomñena'ñu as cover for roof-hole Kor. 37.9)

me stems use with this suffix the prefix ine- (Kor. Kam. ina-) See pp. 736, 819, no. 28

 $\hat{\varrho}$ na'nv $\hat{\varrho}$ nañ (stem nv [initial ruu]; tinvi'rkin I scrape); (Kor. Kam.  $\hat{\varrho}$ na'nv $\hat{\varrho}$ nañ [stem nv;  $tinv\hat{\varrho}'kin$  I scrape]) scraper

49. -ičh instrument (Kor. Kam. -itn).

gitte'wičhin (stem gitteu to wipe, -in absolute form); (Kor. Kam. gitta'witñin [stem gittaw]) wiping-cloth

uneči'čhin thong of thong-seal hide 102.13, 30 (from unel thong seal)

mêmičê'čhin thong of seal-hide 134.31 (from memil seal) Kor. Kam. yinootñe'nqo from the vent-hole Kor. 54.7

#### § 109. Place

50. -nv PLACE OF (absolute form -n) (Kor. Kam. -nv [absolute form -nu]).

van (stem tva-); (Kor. Kam. va'na [dual, plural, va'nvit]) place of being

waketva'n (stems wake and tva); (Kor. Kam. vagalitva'na [stems vaga'li and tva]) place of sitting

notagčenve'pu while walking in the wilderness 29.4

ralqa'ñınvuk on the house-site 31.6

ralêya'n sliding-place 114.16

tıla'n (Kor. Kam. tıla'n) place of moving, trail

tıla'nvun place of trail 36.12

tıla'nvuk on place of traveling 43.1

taikaus qio'lvu for a place to wrestle 47.4

oočvê'nvıpŭ (better oočvı'nvıpŭ) from the playground 74.17

oočvi'nvik on the playground 74.18

oočvinvê'ti to the playground 74.20

It also expresses an action in progress. In this case it appears generally with the designative suffix—u.

êtinva'tinvo tiye'tyä\*k I came to get the position of house-master R 287, footnote 1.

yaqqai' ûm yaqta'lınvə tıye'tyä\*k did I come for the sake of living? R 239, footnote 2.

riraga'unve for what purpose ? 19.1

# Koryak:

kmeña'tınvu nılai'-găm I came away to bear children Kor. 60.6

kıplo'nvu for the purpose of striking them Kor. 31.3

# §§ 110-111. Verbal Suffixes

# § 110. ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES

51. -wulh I expresses reciprocity (Kor. Kam. -viļāi).

 $p\hat{e}n\check{r}uu'lhirkit$  (stem  $p\hat{e}n\check{r}i$  to attack wrestling) (Kor. Kam.  $penn^*i'vi[\check{n}i\check{k}it$  [stem  $penn^*]$ , dual) they close for wrestling  $lu^{\epsilon'}ulhirkit$  (stem  $lu^{\epsilon}$  to see) (Kor. Kam.  $lu^{\epsilon'}vi[\check{n}ikit$  [stem  $lu^{\epsilon}]$ ) they see each other, they meet

gale colhiočina'-me'rê we have seen each other 121.15

52. -s·qiu expresses an action performed once only (Kor. Kam. -s·qiw).

yetis qi'urkin (Kor. Kam. yatis qi'wikin) he comes once timis qe'urkin (Kor. Kam. timis qe'wekin) he kills once

§§ 109, 110

taikaus qio'lvu for a place to wrestle once 47.4 qäniggeus qiwkutki make them wake up all at once 56.3 ganto's qêulên rushed out 57.11

# Koryak:

mınčıčatıs·qiwnau I'll look at them once Kor. 33.10 quvı<sup>ɛ</sup>yas·qi'wqi go and die! Kor. 35.1 gawyis·qi'wa eat! Kor. 36.1 mıntu'las·qewlan let us steal it! Kor. 39.1 myalitčus·qi'wak I will slide down-hill Kor. 42.1

Also in the form—iqiu gatomñaiqi'wlinat they stopped the smoke-hole Kor. 57.7

53. -let expresses a frequentative, durative, or more generally intensity of action (Kor. Kam. -lat, less frequently -čat).

\$\tilde{n}it\llogla'tirkin\$ (Kor. Kam. \$\tilde{n}it\llogla't\llogkin\$) he goes out often \$timila'tirkin\$ (Kor. Kam. \$timila't\llogkin\$) he kills many \$ninletele't\llogin\$ it flashed out always 32.8 qulile'tyi\tilde{g}\$ gave voice repeatedly 33.1; 55.8 \$niqulile't\llogin\$ they are noisy 60.9 \$nit\llog'rgilat\llogin\$ he cries aloud 38.3 \$niteple\tilde{n}\tilde{n}ile't\llogin\$ she made many boots for him 112.24 (stem \$plekboots; \$te\theta \tilde{n}\$ to make [\frac{8}{2}\$ 113, 2, p. 821])

## Koryak:

gañvo'len čilala'tik it began to bubble Kor. 17.2 yiykula'ti you were soft Kor. 26.7 galalanñıvo'ykın she passed by often Kor. 84.19 nıqulila'tqin he sang vigorously Kor. 68.17

54. -yw(u), -yv(u) expresses a frequentative (Kor. Kam. -yvi), tala'iwurkin (Kor. Kam. tala'ivekin) he strikes many times

ninemilki'ywunin let it bite him! 104.29 Kor. Kam gaitoi'vilenau she brought forth many Kor. 44.7

55. -t (Kamchadal) expresses the durative.

ti'ntili<sup>e</sup>tijin I bring it always (t- I; intili<sup>e</sup> to bring; -t always; -i auxiliary vowel; -jin I it)

56. -čęt weakens the intensity of the action, a LITTLE, RARELY.

ñitoča'tirkin or ñitoča'arkin he goes out rarely

ten'ñeuče'tirkin or ten'ñeuče'erkin he laughs on the sly

marauča'arkin he fights rarely

pênřiča'arkin he wrestles rarely

minpo'ntočêta let us eat liver! 43.7

maraučêtino'ê he began to chide 56.1

- 57 čir expresses a frequentative or intensive.

  ten neuči'rırkın he laughs all the time
  walomčê'rırkın he gathers news continually
  maraučê'êrkın he fights always
  pênřičê'êrkın he rushes at him
  - Kor. Kam. qulumtičitalat they carry something large on their shoulders (qulu large; imti to carry) Kor. 57.9
- 58. -ntet indicates increased action, often with somewhat altered meaning; and with intransitive meaning (Kor. Kam. -ntat).

čuwi'rkin (Kor. Kam. čvi'ikın) thou cuttest it

ta'tikin it is cut through in

turvinte'tırkın (Kor. Kam. evinta'tikin it is cut through in several places); it is divided into several parts

rg'orkin (Kor. Kam. čho'ikin) he tears out hair irgiro'k at dawn 10.4 qu'pqälin lean 80.5 roonta'arkın (Kor. Kam. čhonta'tekın) he becomes bald gırgıronta'ıên dawn came 10.9 gequpqünte'ıin she has been quite starved

59. -s·qi·čet—a compound suffix formed of -s·qi single action, -čet homologous to -let intensive action—expresses an action performed suddenly with great force and rapidity.

qu'tirkin he stands up qutis qiče'tirkin he jumps up ñito'rkin he goes out ñitos qeca'tirkin he rushes out ganto's qacalen he rushed out 57.11 gape'nris qicalen he rushed on 44.4 getiñus qiče'lin he gave a sudden tug 48.4 gereli's qičelin she suddenly pushed it in 89.4

60. -ala (Kamchadal) weakens the intensity of the action.

| tnu'alajk I eat but little (t I; nu to eat; -jk I) | the'lalajk I drink but little (t I; hel to drink)

61.  $-q\ddot{a}et$ , with verbs, expresses ENDEARMENT and DIMINUTION; evidently related to  $-q\ddot{a}i$  (§ 98,4).

ma'ñên-notai'pŭ yetqäeti from what country hast thou come, my dear?

62. -keu, with transitive verbs, gives them a PASSIVE meaning, and conveys the idea of DERISION of the subject.

kêma'wkurgêum re'tkewiŭm I am a source of delay, my humble self has been brought here

valo'mkaute'rê, equ'lika they will hear your despised self, do not make a noise

63. -\vec{n}v\_o, -\vec{n}o expresses the inchoative (Kor. Kam. -\vec{n}v\_o; Kamchadal -kgju, -kgj, -kju, -ju). Since these elements occur independently, the forms are in reality compound verbs. The independent stem in initial position is \vec{n}oo, in medial position -mgo, (Kor. Kam. \vec{n}vo-, Kamchadal uju-)

ño'orkın (Kor. Kam. ñıvo'ikın, Kamchadal ujujk) he begins

In all three dialects the idea of the beginning of an action is expressed with precision, and the inchoative forms are therefore very common.

yilqaññ g'rkin (Kor. Kam. yilqannivo'ĭkin, Kamchadal  $ñ\ddot{u}kci-k\dot{j}u'jk$ ) he goes to sleep

tipaiña'ññoê he begins to sing 59.9

 $n_{Im}\tilde{n}\ell'\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\varrho\hat{e}$  he begins to take part in the thanksgiving ceremonial 59.3

gaplitko'ñño'lênat they begin to finish 30.12

### Koryak:

gewñivo'lenau they began to say Kor. 22.7 ga<sup>s</sup>a'nñivota haul them away! Kor. 51.6 gepiñvolai'ke they began to go upstream Kor. 61.7

64. - $\check{o}l$ , - $\check{o}$  (Kamchadal), with transitive verbs -al, -a, expresses the desiderative. The same form is used to express the future.  $t\tilde{n}\ddot{u}kc\iota\bar{\jmath}lk\atop t\tilde{n}\ddot{u}kc\iota\bar{\jmath}lk$  (stem  $\tilde{n}\ddot{u}kc$ ) I wish to sleep, I am going to sleep

tce'jajk (stem tce'j; I leave tce'jijk) I wish to leave

65. -vato (Kamchadal) expresses intention to act, and beginning of an action.

### tillekva/tojin (stem ilek) I am going to have a look at him

từ lễt kvạ' tọj in (stem ử lễk) I am going to have a look at him thọ' vạt ọj k (stem nự to eat) I am going to eat

66. -čhat expresses anger of the speaker. (Kor. Kam. -čñat) qamītvačha'tīrkīn or qamītvačha'arkīn confound him! he eats pīntīgaačha'tya<sup>e</sup>n the bad one appeared 27.3 garaqēcha' lên what has the bad one done 31.9 vê'čha'tyê<sup>e</sup> the bad one perished 43.11; 44.5 tīmī'čhannên he killed the bad one 44.5 am-ravê<sup>e</sup>čha'n na naličha'tyê<sup>e</sup> you bad one want to die 65.23 Kor. Kam. ñītočña'tēkīn he lumbers forth

67. -tku. This suffix has been discussed on p. 736 (Kor. Kam. -tču). pêla'tkolīt those who had left her 33.8

This suffix also transforms transitive verbs into intransitive verbs. The subject is then placed in the absolute form; the object, in the possessive form. These forms, however, are used only with personal pronouns.

gŭmu'kı gıt pêla'tkerkın you leave me

The suffix -tku (Kor. Kam. -tču) also indicates prolonged or increased action.

vili'urkin (Kor. Kam. vili'vikin he makes peace with) he buys vili'tkurkın (Kor. Kam. vilitčuřkın) he trades geilitkoi'vulin u'kkäm they distributed vessels 14.1 timitkoi'vuk slaughtering 49.3

timi'tkonênat he killed all 61.4; 112.3

minranmŭtko'ñinat let us slay them all 101.19

ve<sup>e</sup>tkoča'a<sup>e</sup>t they all died 112.2

timi'tkorkin (Kor. Kam. timi'tčořkin) he kills many

### Koryak:

gačvi'tčulinau they are all cut entirely Kor. 47.7 gaplitču'linau they finished it Kor. 50.1 *lelapitčoñvo'ykin* he looks up Kor. 42.8 gaĻapītčoñvo'len she looked around Kor. 44.9 yenotčoñvo'ykın he is eating Kor. 13.6 gaqannıtčonvo'len she was jealous Kor. 96.1

Paren qigitetkin'gin look at it! Kor. 101.11

tigiļnu-ču'ču-naw-i-um snow-shoc-strings-verily-eating-woman am I (tigi'lñın snowshoe-string; -u to consume -ču'ču [< tkutku] verily; ñaw women) Kor. 59.7

The suffix -tku is always used in the transitive verb to indicate the forms thou—us; ye—me, us (see § 63). It gives the verb a generalized form. For instance:

pêla'tkeê thou leavest a number (meaning us) pêla'tketik ye leave a number (meaning me or us)

The element inê- has the same sense, but the two are never used together (see § 113, 28).

68. -tvi to attain a certain quality, to become (Kor. Kam. -tvi).  $u^{\varepsilon}mitvi'rkin$  (stem  $u^{\varepsilon}m$ ) (Kor. Kam. umitvi'kin [stem um]) he becomes broad.

gititvê'rkin (stem git) (Kor. Kam. gititve'ikin) he becomes thin eñe'ñetvii he acquired shamanistic power 19.12; 18.4

ene'nītvi-turi you acquired shamanistic power 18.3

nŭmqitvi'qin it diminished 20.2, 4

nŭplu<sup>e</sup>tvi'qin it becomes small 20.3 wulqätvi'i<sup>€</sup> it grew dark 54.9

Kor. Kam. qamalitva'thitik cause it to become better Kor. 13.2 Kor. Kam. vieya'tvik to fainting Kor. 64.9

69. -čet with adjectives: TO FEEL—(Kor. Kam. -čat).

mitteñiče'erkin we feel good 69.8

teñice tirkin (teñ good) (Kor. Kam. tañica tikin) he feels good  $tani\tilde{c}e'ting\tilde{e}^{\epsilon}$  he began to feel well 33.5

omiče'tirkin (Kor. Kam. omiča'tekin) he feels warm

70. -ew, -et, are often added to the stem, but the meaning of these suffixes is not clear.

eime'u to approach eiñeu to call ureu to appear 53.6 eimet to take eiñet to roar ureut

omau to get warm ulveu to remain motionless 37.2 yıgreu thirsty wêthau to talk puulgeu to float ptkeu to hit marau to quarrel meteu to be unable teikeu to wrestle

gi'tteu hungry gittekau guide ginteu to flee

lpuuret to exchange

teñ ñeu to laugh tumgeu to become friendly numekeu to gather notas qau land approaches limala'u to obey lvau unable lgäineu to shoot

ewkwet to depart eret to fall ergewet to be submerged 17.4 viret full yopat to visit

kryeu to be awake kimeu to cause delay

yuulet alive wêttat to tear with antlers ventet to be open

korgau to be glad

vinřet to help pelgäntet to return pêkagtat to fall down peñet tired

yılhau fear terkeu to be a certain num-

tautauat to bark têrgat to ery tulet to steal čipet to plunge

ber on a series Possibly related to the preceding is nI-eu (Kor. Kam.-au) adverbial suffix. The Koryak form is not used very frequently (see p. 842).

nime'leu gatva'ê be kind (to us)! a common form of prayer nite'leu tirkipli'aen I struck him painfully (tel to suffer, to have pain)

niglau qatva'rkin be sorrowful! (glo sorrowful, here con-

tracted with au; tva to be)

nime'leu well (mel good); (Kor. Kam. nima'leu [mal good]) ni'tčeu heavily (itč heavy); (Kor. Kam. ni'tčau)

Some adverbs are formed in an irregular manner.

 $a^{\epsilon'}tq\hat{e}uma$  (from  $e'tqi\tilde{n}$  bad; stem  $\ddot{q}q\ddot{q}'$  R) 62.72)

Kor. Kam. a'tčiñau (from a'tčiñ bad; stem}badly

Kamchadal  $h\ddot{a}'q\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}$  (from  $e'\dot{c}!kelax$  bad)

§ 110

me'čɛn·kı (Chukchee meč < mel good; ɛn·kı thus) well  $\imath'na^{\varepsilon}$  (Koryak ni'naqin quick) quickly

71. - ru forms the inchative of impersonal verbs expressing phenomena of nature (Kor. Kam. - yu).

ile'erkin it is raining

ilirų'rkin it is beginning to rain

yoʻa'arkın(Kor. Kam.yoyoa'tekın) the wind is blowing yogro'rkin (Kor. Kam. yoyoyo'ekin) the wind begins to blow

lä\*lenru'i\* winter came 14.9
aivê'čirok in the evening 26.3
irgiro'ññok (stem irg 27.13) at the beginning of dawn 26.9
la\*la\*la\*'nroma at the beginning of cold 33.6
githaro'k in the beginning of the autumn 33.6
irgiro'ka not dawning 56.9
iliru'i\* it begins to rain 116.8
ga\*ligtiygiro'lên the snow began to drift 94.28

The same suffix is used with stems of different character.

*čêlhiro'ê* it becomes red 23.9 nitêrgiro'qên he began to cry 55.3

Koryak:

gawyalyo'len a snowstorm set in Kor. 15.1 laqlañyo'ykin winter came Kor. 72.5 piña'tikın it is snowing peñayo'ĕkın it is beginning to snow

72. -ru is used also to express GREAT NUMBER. This suffix is different from the preceding.

qärru'ä<sup>e</sup>t they came in great numbers 67.16 waqero'a<sup>e</sup>t they were sitting in great numbers 68.29

Kor. Kam. gawya'lyolen a strong snowstorm came Kor. 15.1 laqlanyo'ykin winter came strongly Kor. 72.5 (see above).

### § 111. DERIVED FROM NOUNS

73. -ñIta TO FETCH (Kor. Kam. the same).

rañ-ñita'rkin what are you going to fetch? why do you come? añañalinta'lit shaman fetchers 45.7

Koryak:

| yax-ñita'ykin what are you going to fetch?

§111

74. -tuwe, -tv to take off (clothing) (Kor. Kam. -tIva).

kệ'ttuwạ' ệ he took off his clothes 109.15
kệttuwạ' nnện he undressed her 50.11
ničvituve' qin he took off his outer coat 57.3
wutt' čhitvuê he took off his overcoat 35.5
mêrêgtuwa'ê he brushed away the tears 49.9
ninečingetuwe' qin (n-ine-činke-tuwe-qin, činke saliva) he removes saliva 134.27

# Koryak:

nimeyeyitva'qen he brushed off the tears Kor. 36.10 gatamtıva'len he spit out bones Kor. 56.8 pčai-tıvai' he took off his boots

- 75. ip (-êp, -qŭp) to put on clothing; -ip, after vowels; êp after consonants; -qŭp, after diphthongs ending in 1 and in a few other cases (compare the ablative -ipŭ § 42, p. 704) tiqalêi' pŭa<sup>e</sup>k < t(1) -qeli -ip, -(ŭ) -ä<sup>e</sup>k I put on my cap têrê pŭa<sup>e</sup>k < t -ir -êp, -(ឃ) -ä<sup>e</sup>k I put on my fur-shirt tikonai' gŭp ga<sup>e</sup>k < t(1) -konai-gŭp-gä<sup>e</sup>k I put on my breeches kêrê gŭpgê<sup>e</sup> < kêr-gŭp-gi<sup>e</sup> she put on her dress 52.9
- 76. -qili- TO SEARCH FOR (as in hunting) (Kor. Kam. the same).

  kulte'-ili'rkit they are looking for thong-seal sole-hide
  qinni'g-gili'liqäqti little game-procurers 44.8
  piliqili'lit food-procurers 44.9
  qinni'g-qili'lit game-procurers 44.9
- 77. -u to consume, to eat (Kor. Kam. -u) (perhaps related to the verb nu [initial nu], Kor. Kam. u [initial u]).

Ennurkin (Kor. Kam. Ennu'ikin) he eats fish ponto'rkin (Kor. Kam. ponto'ikin) he eats liver kimlu'ä<sup>e</sup>t they ate marrow 33.12 minpo'ntočĉta let us eat a little liver 43.7 nipo'ntoqên he ate liver 43.9 qaponto'tik eat liver! 64.21

also

gamêmele'lên he caught a seal 43.2

# Koryak:

mtasttayı'pnula we eat inner skin of dog Kor. 48.9 tıya'yilku I'll eat pudding Kor. 30.2 also tıqa'payuk I got a wolverene Kor. 59.1

# § 112. Adjective and Adverb

### ADJECTIVE (Kamchadal)

Adjectives are formed with the suffix-

78.  $-l\ddot{a}x$  (sometimes  $-l\dot{a}x$ )

ö'mlax deep (cf. Ch. um broad)
iu'lax long (cf. Ch. iul long)
o'lolax small

The plural is formed with the usual suffix  $-(i)^{\epsilon}n$ .

o'lolaxi\(^{\epsilon}n\) ki'sti\(^{\epsilon}n\) small houses (diminutive form)

This usage differs from that of Chukchee and Koryak, where the plural attribute is used in synthetic form.

qai-yaraqa'gtê (Chukchee) small houses

In forms with post-positions the adjective in -lax is placed before the noun.

o'lolax-kê'stčanke to the small house (diminutive allative)

It seems not unlikely that the synthetic use of attributive stems has disappeared under Russian influence. Russian and Koryak adjectives are often used by the Kamchadal, in their foreign form, almost without change.

niru'qin xva'lč a sharp knife (niru'qin is Koryak) n'mi'tqin k!ča'mjanl' a wary man (n'mi'tqin is Koryak) nve'thaqen u<sup>ɛ</sup>h a straight tree (nve'thaqen is Koryak) nve'thala<sup>ɛ</sup>n u<sup>ɛ</sup>' hr<sup>ɛ</sup>n straight trees (nve'thala<sup>ɛ</sup>n is a Koryak form)

vo'stroi xvalč a sharp knife (vo'stroi is Russian)

There is no phonetic assimilation of any of these adjectives.

A few predicative forms correspond to the Chukchee-Koryak forms in ni-qin.

k!ni'tain the clever one (from ni'ta sense, wit); cf. ni-gitte'p-qin (Chukchee) the clever one.

79. -q, -aq, are sometimes found with attributive stems. These forms are generally compounded with verbs. This form is probably identical with the locative form of the stem.

wičha'qu-wa'lin the flat one koulo'qu-wa'lin the round one êmpa'qu-wa'lin the downcast one

koulo'qı qätei'kıgın (Kor. Kam. qo'loñ qatai'kıgın) make it round em elvula'q re'mkın ralai'vŭññoê only in a different manner people shall begin to walk about 86.14 §112

80.  $-y \underline{u} t$  distributive numbers (K. K.  $-y \underline{u} t$  dual,  $-y \underline{u} w \underline{g} I$  pl.)

(See also § 123, p. 839)

O	K		
CHUKCHEE	Dual	Plural	
Enne'nyut	Ena'nyut	Ennanyu'wgI	one each
ñire'yut	ñiye'yut	ñiyeyu'wg1	two each
ñĬro'yot	ñlyo'yot	ñIyoyo'wgI	three each
ñfra'yot	ñaya'yot	ñayayo'wgI	four each
mILI'nyot	mIĻI'nyot	mILInyo'wgI	five each
mIngI'tjot	mIngI'yot	mIngIyo'wgI	ten each

The Chukchee distributives have also the prefix em- (see § 113, no. 7, p. 816; § 123, p. 839).

em-ħire'yuta qanpıtvaarke'etkı just two each make it double (the clothing)

These forms take post-positions, definite, augmentative, and diminutive forms.

Ennanyou'tı to one each Ennanyoi'pŭ from one each

81.  $-\check{c}\underline{e}$ ,  $-\check{c}\underline{\check{a}}$  numeral adverbs (Kor. Kam.  $-\check{c}a$ ).

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	
qune'čä ¹	Enna'nča, qu'n·ač ¹Kor.	once
	53.2	
$ ilde{n}ire'\check{c}\ddot{a}$	ñiye'ča	twice
ñĭro'ča	ñiyo'ča	three times
$\tilde{n}$ ĭ $ra$ 'č $a$ 12.8	ñaya'ča	four times
$m_{ILI}'n\check{c}a$	miĻe'nča	five times
$mingi't\check{c}a$	$mingi't\check{c}a$	ten times

Kor. Kam. exune'če all the time Kor. 92.19

82. -(I) $\tilde{n}$  (Chukchee and Koryak) is a suffix which is often added to the stems of adjectives when compounded, in Chukchee with the form va'lin (< tva+lin) The one who is, in Koryak with  $i'tala^{\epsilon}n$  ( $it + la^{\epsilon}n.^{2}$ ) (see p. 764)

In Chukchee the  $\tilde{n}$  before v generally changes to m. In other cases the suffix is dropped entirely. The connective vowel then changes to u before the v, which in turn changes to w.

qa'tvum-va'lın (Kor. Kam. qa'tvuñ-j'tala<sup>e</sup>n) being strong ta'ñum-va'lın or ta'ñu-wa'lın (Kor. Kam. ta'ñıñ-j'tala<sup>e</sup>n) being good

<sup>1</sup> Derived from qun single.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The corresponding Chukchee form i'tilin the one who is is not used in compounds of this type.

A number of predicative stems do not form the nominal form in -lin (§ 54, p. 717), but always use the form in - $(i)\tilde{n}$  compounded with valin.

 $w_l$ 'čhlm- $v_q$ 'lln (Kor. Kam.  $v_l$ 'čhlyll-l'tallaln) the flat one l'llm the one downcast

Kor. Kam.  $qo'lo\tilde{n}$ -ital $a^{\varepsilon}n$  the round one

These Chukchee forms may also take the ending -q or -aq (see this section, No. 79). The compounds with  $v_{\theta}^{a}/lin$ , when referred to a locative case, express the comparative. They are used frequently in this connection.

ga'mga-qla'ulık qa'tvum-va'lèŭm I am stronger than everybody (gemge- every; qla'ul man; -k possessive; qetv strong; -i- um I [§ 73, p. 758])

§ 113. Prefixes

### 1. êto- a little.

êto'-qaia'qañ a little afterwards 45.11, 136.24 (Eto' 51.4)  $ma\mathring{e}$ -êto'pêl a little better 135.7

2. e'mkIn- every.

*q'mkın-aivêčhê'tı* every evening 28.9 *e'mkın-kıyeu'kı* at every awaking 29.2

3. tIlv- QUITE.

tilv-am-qina'n quite you only 30.4 tilv-a'minan quite alone 31.6, 13; 58.9 tilv-ui'ñä quite nothing 56.4; 60.1

4. tinki—just is used less frequently, generally with a deprecatory meaning.

tınk-am-gămna'n just I only tınk-ui'ñä just nothing tınk-ui'ñä ränut he has nothing at all R 63.88 tınk-a'tgêuma quite badly (see § 125, p. 842)

5. pič- only, merely.

6. Im- (Kor. Kam. Im Iñ-, Kamchadal m Ini'l) ALL.

*i'me-rä<sup>e</sup>'nut* all kinds 111.28 *i'mu-gɪnni'kä* all kinds of game 128.9

# Koryak

Imi-pla'ku all boots
I'miñ noo'wge all the boiled meat Kor. 28.6
I'miñ qai-vai'amti all little rivers Kor. 17.1

The form *imilo'* 28.9 occurs as a particle, and independently with noun and without; *imi'lin* takes the same kinds of forms as nouns in *-lin* (see p. 717). §113

The Kamchadal form mini'l forms—

|| Allative minela'nke

Allative, possessive, instrumental mini'link, less often miel.

7. em-MERE (Kor. Kam. am-, Kamchadal em-). The prefix is always used with Chukchee distributive numbers.

em-ñe'us qätti (Kor. Kam. a m-ña'wis qatu, Kamchadal êm-ñi'm cxr n) mere women
em-a ttim mere bones 35.5
em-mu' litä all with blood 40.10
em-ñe'n i all these 41.10
em-nu' n i t those from the mainland 64.12; 65.26
ciq-em-nu' n qi far inland 114.25
em qinu'n-niki'tä midnight 9.11
am-non e't j just inland 67.19; 114.24
am-qina'n only thou 30.3
am-taaro' n a with all kinds of sacrifices 41.9
am-rav e'cha'n n a merely to die 65.23
am-ya'ata only by using it 143.3

### Korvak:

am-čerepro'nau entirely silver Kor. 22.10 am-ma'kil-ñe'eta only with two diaper-strings Kor. 23.5 am-ma'na just in different directions Kor. 25.6

8. pli- (with nouns) EVERY.

gaplıkoi'ñılên every one has a tea-cup nıplıtañte'nmŭqên they were applying everything 41.3

- 9.  $me^{\varepsilon}$ -, miq- small.
- 10. meč- somewhat.

 $met\cdot ki'it$  somehow 40.7  $me\check{c}$ -telenye'pkin somewhat of old 61.5  $ma\check{c}$ -ya'a far enough 62.12  $ma\check{c}$ - $\hat{c}wga'n$  as an incantation 39.13  $me\check{c}$ - $\hat{a}^{e'}q\ddot{a}lpe$  somewhat quick 45.10

11. mel- like (Kor. Kam. mal-).

mel-uwä<sup>e</sup>'quč it seems like a husband 49.9

12. mite- ACTUALLY.

mite'-vilin actually dead
mite'-ginni'k actually game 84.28

13. timñe'- any (Kor. pala').

 $tim\tilde{n}e'$ - $me'\tilde{n}in$  whosoever  $tim\tilde{n}e'$ - $r\ddot{a}^{e'}nut$  whatsoever

tŭmñ-alva'lag wheresoever 24.11 tử mnê-mê mlikên ginnik any kind of water game 25.6 tımñ-añqa'gtı somewhere to seaward 13.1

14. ter- How Many (Kor. Kam.  $ta^{\varepsilon}y$ -).

tar-qa'ata ewkwe'tyie with how many reindeer did he drive away?

15.  $\check{c}iq$  - excessively.

cêgi-ya'a too far

čę̂q-a'lvam-va'lag how very strange! 76.5; 63.4

čiq-em-nu'nqi far inland 114.25

čêq-a'lvam va'lın being very strange 29.8; 38.8; 63.4, 6; 86.27 čiq-etuwä'k all at once 43.10

16. čhi- HARDLY, always used with the negative (probably from gički, as in nigi'čkiqin rare).

ačhêgamitvaka almost nothing eaten, hardly anything eaten

17. *II*- (only with certain pronouns and pronominal adverbs) EVERY. lı-mê'nkı everywhere li-mê'nko from everywhere

18. *lii-*, *lhi-*, *lli-*, *lhi-* TRULY (Kor. Kam. *ligi-*, *lhi-*).

li'i-ten-evi'rälin really well closed 33.3

li'ê-taničê'tinoê she began to feel truly well 33.5

nılhınŭ'mkäqin really quite numerous 111.16

lê'ê-têwênaña'ta with a genuine paddle 31.4

l'ê-ñarau'tile really wife seeking 57.1

li'i-i'ppe quite truly 57.2

Kor. Kam. nilheni'ktagen a very hard one

19. pIl- (Kamchadal) quickly.

|| xpil-nu'xč you eat quickly

20.  $x \cdot i$  (Kamchadal) quite, very.

 $\parallel x \cdot \hat{e} - plox$  very large

| x'i-čini'ñläx very pretty, very good

21. *lhi-*, *l'ii* (Kamchadal) actually, truly.

| t-l'i-tpr'lijk I really shake myself (i. e., I can shake myself properly)

22. kit- (after prefixes -gti-) very (Kor. Kam. kit- [after prefixes -ktI-]).

nigtilaulau'gên he mocked much 143.1; 144.4 gagtan ñinai pălên she was very angry 89.3

gagtı-palka' La<sup>e</sup>n very decrepit 111.26

qaqtıqamı'tvatık eat ye enough! 65.16

When this prefix is used with the nominalized verb in  $n_I$ —qin,  $k_{\bar{l}}t$  either precedes the prefix  $n_I$ -, or the  $n_I$ - may be repeated initially

kım-nımai'enqên or nıgtı-nımai'enqên it is quite large

23. qun- single (Kor. Kam. qun-).

qon-mi'nga with a single hand 67.19
qon-qa'a with a single reindeer
qon-ra'lin with a single house 34.1
qona'činkina with nine (i. e. with a single [finger remaining]
behind) 147.1

24. gemge- every (Kor. Kam. ga'mga-).

ge'mge-ni'kin every kind of game 41.11 ge'mge-ni'kin everybody 66.28 gu'mga-nı'mgŭpŭ from every settlement 36.1 ge'mge-nute'qin from every land 11.5

Koryak:

ga'mga-qai-ña'wis qat every little woman Kor. 34.9 ga'mga-olgiwe'tiñ to every cache Kor. 66.17

25. pala- (Koryak) any (Chukchee  $tIm\tilde{n}'e$ - (see No. 13, p. 816) ). | pala'-ma'ki whosoever

| paḥa'-ma'ki whosoever | paḥa'-yı'nna whatever

26. Iun- negative particle, always used with nominal forms of the verb. There is no corresponding form in either Koryak or Kamchadal.

luñ-i'rä not crossing 41.5
luñ-iwkuċi'tä not drinking 37.3
luñ-res qi'wäi'tyät they did not want to enter 115.19
luñ-lut'tä not seen 11.9
tegge'ñu luñ-i'lhilm has no desire 93.32
luñ-čei'vä without walking
loñ-êna'tvata without promises 101.23
loñ-ipa'ulm not drinking
loñ-wa'loma not heeding 21.13

With the auxiliary verb -nt- (initial rit-), it is the usual form of expressing the negative of the transitive verb.

luñ-lu<sup>ɛ</sup>'tä tı'ntıgıt I had thee an unseen one (i. e., I did not see thee)

27. egn-sometimes replaces the negative particles ui'ñä, e'le, and en'ñe'.

agn-aqami'tvaka do not eat!
agn-a'nmŭka without killing R 44.11

28. ine- transforms transitive verbs into intransitives, either without other change of meaning or with the significance to do on Behalf of one's self. The object, when retained, is expressed in the locative.

tinenlete'erkin ki'mitik I take the load away for myself (t- I; nlete to take away; -rkin present; ki'mit- load)

The use of ine- in the transitive verb has been discussed in § 63, p. 736.

## Examples are:

ênapêla'ê thou leavest some one (namely, me) ênapêla'tık ye leave some one (namely, me)

See, also, § 110, 67.

29. *inen*- Transitive (see  $te-\tilde{n}(t)$ , § 114, 2, p. 821).

30. [a]k- (Kamchadal) ноw ману, some; used independently in the plural.

### § 114. Inclusive Affixes

# 1. To cause to.

(a) With intransitive verbs.

Chukchee. r(i) - u (after terminal vowel) r(i) - eu (after terminal consonant) r(i) - et (after terminal u diphthong v(i) - et (after terminal u diphthong v(i) - et (after terminal u diphthong v(i) - et (after terminal u diphthong

After verbal prefixes, the r(i), y(i), changes to n(i).

rı-qamıtva'-u-rkın-en he was made to eat 9.8 (from qamıtva)
rı-tel-e'u-rkın you cause to be unwell (from tel)
rı-nelkiwe'-n-nin he was made to sit on it 8.11
rı-qq-eu'-nin he awakened him 7.5
nı-nto-w'-nên he made him go out 60.3
rı-pıntık-eu'-nin he made it appear 9.8
qa-n-êčhêt-au'-lên he made it jump off 47.7
rıyırrau'nênat they caused them to be anointed 74.33
ineqäli'keukı (we) induced her to marry 26.5
qanto'mqaulên has been created 42.1
anıntoña'tkelên she does not make it go out 54.6
qanıntoña'tyê cause him to go out! 54.7

Koryak:

y-awy-a't-ikin you cause to eat (from awyi)
yı-tal-a'w-ikin\* you cause to be unwell (from tal; ta-l-i'-ikin
you are unwell)
yıyıqıčha'wik tickling (him) Kor. 18.9
qinathıleu' make it warm! Kor. 29.3
qanva'kyıntat tear him up Kor. 30.7
tenanıkyo'nnıvoi it begins to awaken us Kor. 39.4
ganıpqa'wlenau he made them climb up Kor. 43.4
ganvaqyila'wlen she made him stand with legs apart Kor. 80.20

(b) With transitive verbs.

Chukchee Kor. Kam.  $r(i) - \tilde{n} \underline{e} t$  y(i) - w, v  $ri-k\hat{e}to-\tilde{n}a't-i-rkin$  you re- yi-keto-v-e'kin you remind him mind him (from  $k\hat{e}to$  to (from keto) remember)

(c) A number of verbs have no suffixes, but only the prefix r(t)-Kor. Kam. y[t]-)

r-ere'erkin you cause it to fall down (from ere'e) ra'tvunên she carried it in 28.7 reimeu'ninet it approached them 41.4 rintininet she threw them out 87.30

Kor. Kam. yı-kima'w-ikm you detain him (from kimaw to be

(d)  $\Lambda$  number of intransitive verbs belonging to group (a) become transitive.

ru-wêthaw-a't-z-rkin you speak to him (from wêthau to speak) ru-wêt·hawau'nên it talked to her 32.3

In Kamchadal two prefixes are found, n- and lin-. Of these, the former corresponds to the Chukchee-Koryak forms—

t-i-n-ki'le-j-m I surround him (from kile; t-ki'le-jk I turn around)

t-li'-nu-j-m I feed him (from nu to eat; t-nu-jk I eat)

t-lin-hi'l-i-j-m I give him to drink (from hil; t-hi'l-i-jk I drink)

t-o-n-cl-i'-j-m I cause him to lie down (from cl; t-col-o-jk I lie down)

Note.—Certain verbs may be used both intransitive and transitive:

tŭpa'urkın I drink ňi'räq ča'gtê napa'unea they have drunk two pieces of bark tea ñe'us·qät gi'ulin the woman said 98.7 Ta'n·ña g.i'ulin the Tan·ñit told him 98.5

2.  $te-\tilde{n}(I)$  to make something (Kor. Kam.  $ta-\tilde{n}[I]$ ). This may be related to the verb teiki (Kor. Kam. taiki) to make.

tirvu'ñırkın he makes sharp things, i. e., arms (stem irv); Kor. Kam. tisvı'ñikın

nitepleññile'tqin she made boots for him 112.24 (stem plekboots; -let frequentative [§ 110.53])

When this prefix is used with verbs, the additional prefix inen- (Kor. Kam. inan-) is generally inserted. It indicates the transitive. The meaning of the compound is causative.

tinenye'nñirkin (Kor. Kam. tinanya'nñikin) you make him come

tênantemgr'ñirkin you cause it to create itself, and from this the noun Tênanto'mgrñ¹ (Kor. Kam. Tenanto'mvrñ) one who causes things to create themselves (i. e., Ĉreator)

tệnanyi'lñ-ora'wêṭan a person who causes one to give (i. e. beggar)

3. re— $\tilde{n}(I)$  expresses the desiderative (Kor. Kam. ya— $\tilde{n}[I]$ ). The prefix and suffix of these forms are identical with those of the future, but the suffix is placed immediately following the stem and is itself followed by the suffixes belonging to the tenses.

rapa'wñirkin (Kor. Kam. yapa'wñekin) he desires to drink (stem: Ch. ŭpau, Kor. Kam. apaw)

rerku'rñirkin (stem rkur) (Kor. Kam. yaĭku'yñikin [stem ĭkuy]) he desires to buy

ranto'ñiñoi he wanted to come out 83.10 (stem nto to come out; -ñño to begin)

 $rav\hat{\ell}^{\epsilon}\check{c}ha'n\cdot\tilde{n}a$  do you want to die? 67.1 (stem  $vi^{\epsilon}$  to die; - $\check{c}hat$  [§ 110.66])

 $nire'vi^{\varepsilon} \tilde{n}qin$  he wants to die 99.27

nerelu<sup>e</sup>ñirkin-i-git they want to see thee 19.6

## Koryak:

tryayı'latıñ I want to sleep Kor. 30.3

tiyayai'tiñ I want to go home Kor. 30.5

ganka'wlinau ya's qannık they ceased to wish to go Kor. 58.2

4.  $\not e - k \not i$ ,  $\not e - k \not a$  (Kor. Kam.  $a - k \not i$ , a - k a; Kor. Par.,  $e - k \not i$ , a - k e; Kamchadal -k i -k,  $-(n)k \not i n$ , n k a n) NEGATION, expressing WITHOUT -.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This form is different from the form for he creates them. The "Creator" is therefore, even in grammatical form, only a "Weltgestalter."

The compounds formed with  $\not\in -k \not$  are nominal. They are formed from both nominal and verbal basis.

anvênauka'gtı gewku'zin he tied her to an unbroken (reindeer) 50.12 (nvineu to break a reindeer; -gtı allative [§ 40]; ge—lin [§ 74]; wkut to tie)

elile'ki eyeless aa'lakê a person without knife

eni'nniki nameless one (=fourth finger)

## Koryak:

| a'xgike kuma'ti the hairless one grew angry Kor. 24.8

The compounds formed with  $\underline{e}$ — $k\ddot{a}$  are used as complements of the verb.

equ'likä qäne'lhitik make yourselves voiceless 60.10
i'mlikä titva'a'k I was without water
akê'rika ne'lyi' it became lightless 94.11
êñi'nqäikä nere'tčimŭk we shall be made childless 39.4
res qi'wkwi' a'kêrka he entered without clothing 35.10
a'kêrka ñan ra'gtiê he came home without clothing 35.10
aiwa'nka mitine'l we came to be without an Aiwan 47.12
aqami'tvaka titva'ak not eating I was
awaêtkinka not saying anything 26.6
e' Le e'lqätä not going 46.8
aurrikê'qti not appearing 66.10
aa'lomka heedless 67.9
e' Le eu'rretkä not appearing 62.1

akêrkıtvı<sup>ɛ</sup>'at they took off clothes (they became without clothing) 47.5

e'gripgi<sup>e</sup> awgêntoya'nvuka she felt pain the breathless one 63.8 (e'grip to feel pain;  $-gi^{\epsilon}$  [§ 64]; wgi- breath;  $\tilde{n}ito$  to go out;

-yanv verbal noun [§104.38])

eqäññe'tkä gene'Lin he had become without moaning (i.e., he had ceased moaning) 34.7

et E'lkä nine'lqin he came to be without suffering 25.11

### Koryak:

akmi'ñıka gi'ninat childless they were Kor. 43.8
aqalhai'aka qitr'ykın-i'-gi not crying be! Kor. 37.1
akle'woka tına''lık without bread I remained Kor. 16.2
ava'leika yana'la'ntık you will be without blubber Kor. 80.13
gümna'n ui'ña yı'nna ei'lıka tı'ntıqa'n (Chukchee gümna'n
e'le rä''nut e'ilkä tı'ntıä'n) I not anything (not) given I had to
him

In some cases, particularly with  $ui'\tilde{n}\ddot{a}$  nothing, there is nothing, the forms in -ka appear apparently predicative, presumably with §114

omission of a predicate of existence. More frequently the forms in -kälin are used as predicative forms (see p. 824)

ui'ñä aa'raka nothing, houseless (i. e., there was nothing, not [even] a house) 31.7

ui'ñä eleu'tıkä nothing, headless (i. e., there was nothing, not [even] a head) 47.8

ui'ñä epi'ñkä (Kor. Par. e' Le epi'ñke) there is no powder

### Koryak:

ui'na ana'wtɪnka he had no wife Kor. 50.5 ui'na a'nvɪlka he did not stop Kor. 51.8

ui'ña ava'leika? is there no blubber? Kor. 80.12

ui'ña kama'kanu ana<sup>e</sup>'ka (I) did not become a kamak Kor. 88.10 ui'ña ane'lhiyipnuka (we) do not eat inner skin Kor. 49.1

Transitive verbs, when adding  $\not\in -k\ddot{\alpha}$  to the stem, have a passive meaning; with the prefix *ine*-placed immediately preceding the stem, they have active meaning.

#### Passive:

anıntoña'tka rı'tırkın you make him one who is not caused to go out (i. e., you do not make him go out) 54.10

evegr'tkukä têu'lanên he shook what was not dug out with the nails 47.2

enħi'ukä mr'nr'ntmet let us have them not sent over (i. e. I wish we had not sent them) 58.2

e'Le enue'ka not being eaten 48.8

alo ka'gtı va'l E-ŭm I am not seen 22.10

elue kä not seen ones 62.1

e'ze a'lomka it was not heard 60.10

# Koryak:

| uiña i'wka ga'ntılen he was not told so Kor. 62.3

### Active:

ena'nmūka rine'ntii<sup>e</sup> thou wilt be one who does not kill 99.9 inenvente'tkäl-i-git thou art one who has not caused it to be open 88.27

inenu'käli-muri we are those who do not consume it 35.1 gŭnna'n ênalwau'kĕl-ê-ŭm I am not unable to do it 92.30 inelu<sup>e</sup>'kälinet he has not seen them 70.33 inegite'kälin, e'Le she does not look at me 88.31

The form  $e-k\ddot{a}$  is always used for the negative imperative, with the particle  $en \cdot \tilde{n}e'$ .

en·ñe' eze'pkä do not look 32.6 en·ñe' inegite'kä do not look at her 37.9 en·ñē' ai'pŭka do not put it on 37.8 en·ñe' aa'qeka do not sit down 37.13

en·ñe' a'tvitkoka do not tell 66.29

en·ne' aqamı'tvaka qi'tyitik do not be without eating 64.19 (without verb 65.30)

en·ñe' rirowa'ta ata'ka qanti'gitki do not pass it at a distance 70.9

en·ñe' gi'inu e'lhıkä do not attock it 70.14

en'ñe' êna'nmŭka don't kill me! 103.30

 $en\cdot\tilde{n}e'$  ineqe'plukä do not kick me! 31.12 (31.11 is the same form without  $en\cdot\tilde{n}e'$ )

### Koryak:

kıtta' atawaļñıļa'ka do not look back! Kor. 51.6 kıtt-a'wyika qi'thi<sup>ɛ</sup> do not eat!

#### Kamchadal:

|| jak-nu'kek (ksixc) do not eating (be)!

Without  $en \cdot \tilde{n}e'$ , we find—

atê'rgatka do not cry! 7.6 ineqe'plukä do not kick me! 31.11

### Koryak:

| annuwai'ka do not leave anything! Kor. 46.2

Here also the auxiliary verb is usually omitted.

Apparently in the form of an adjective, we find-

na'qam ŭm e'un aqora'ınrêtka Ai'wan then, however, the Aiwan, careless of the reindeer, . . . 48.6

qora'ñi envineukä yilhe'nnin he attached an unbroken reindeer 50.11

Derived from the negative suffix  $-k\ddot{a}$  are  $-k\check{a}l\dot{i}n$ ,  $-k\ddot{a}l\dot{i}n$  (Kor. Kam.  $-k\check{a}la^{\epsilon}n$ ), formed with the suffix  $-l\dot{i}n$  (see §§ 48, 73, 74). This form, in accordance with the character of  $-l\dot{i}n$ , is more markedly predicative.

imlı'kĕlin he is waterless

## Koryak Kamenskoye:

wotta'kin ake'ykıla<sup>e</sup>n that one had no cloths Kor. 78.14

### Kamchadal:

ilčilkin without tongue qaqe'kan without nose ki'mma qam ni'kin I am not wifeless

The verbal character appears most clearly with pronouns of the first and second person.

 $ant_0'k$ ěl- $\hat{e}$ -git you do not go out 54.10  $aa'l_0mk$ ěl- $\hat{e}$ -git you do not hear 54.11 \$114

alıma'lkăl-ệ-gıt you do not obey 54.11 e'Le êna'nmıču i'tkäl-i-ŭm I do not become a murderer 24.8 e'Le enpılku'wkäl-i-ŭm I am not vanquished 15.9 inenvente'tkäl-i-gıt thou art one who has not caused it to be open 88.27

gŭmna'n ênalwau'kĔl-ê-ŭm I am not unable 92.30 eiwule'tkɛlɪ-mu'ri we do not know it 34.8 inenu'käli-muri we do not eat 35.1 e' Le aqamı'tvakäl-ê-ŭm I did not eat

### Koryak Kamenskoye:

ui'ña awyikalai'gŭm I did not eat, but ui'ña a'wyika ti'tīk not eating I was
ui'ña api'ñkälaigŭm I am without powder

#### Kamchadal:

| qam nu'kek tsik not eating I was | qam nuke'ñkin (ki'mma) I did not eat

# Examples of verbal forms of the third person are—

e'le alimalau'kelên he is one who does not heed 15.12 aqla'ulkelên she was without a man 28.2 amata'kelên she was unmarried 28.2 aa'lomkelên she did not listen 26.2; 54.7; 56.2 tit evi' kälin re'mkin formerly people were death-less 42.2 enra'q um ela' evi' kälin now the mother was immortal 41.12 e'le anto'kelên she did not go out 54.9 (without e'le 54.5) va'nêvan anto'kelên not at all she went out 54.8 emite't-um atao'nkelên tê'rgilin since she did not touch the crying one 56.6

va'nêvan çu'rrekĕlin it does not appear at all 62.2 eres qiu'kälin Ena'n čini't he himself did not want to enter 103.17

emītkätvu'kēlin the blubber was not scraped off 47.1 nene'negāi anīntoña'tkēlên she did not cause the child to go out 54.6

aa'lomkelênat they did not listen 13.5 eyr'lqakelinet they were not sleeping 34.3 inelue'kälinet he has not seen them 70.33 inegite'kälin she did not look at me 88.31

A few constructions of  $-k\ddot{a}lin$  with  $ui'\tilde{n}\ddot{a}$  seem quite analogous to forms in  $-k\ddot{a}$  with this particle (see p. 823).

ui'ñä aa'lomkĕlên they do not listen 56.2 ui'ñä akĝ'rıkälên there was nothing, without light 40.9  $Enqa'n\ ui'\tilde{n}\ddot{a}\ \check{c}it\ e\tilde{n}e'\tilde{n}k\ddot{a}lin$  that one was nothing, before not with guardian spirits 60.1

Kor. ui'ña ama'yıñkälę-i-găm I am not large

Decidedly nominal is-

elile'kĕläqägti little eyeless ones 45.1

In Kamchadal the adjective suffix -lax (§112, 78) before the negative changes to  $-l\ddot{\imath}x$ .

ki'mma qam ululi'xkin I am not small

Kamchadal  $x \cdot \ddot{e} - ki$  with intransitive verbs,  $x \cdot \ddot{e} - kic$  with transitive verbs, form the negative. These are nominal forms, which are given predicative forms by means of auxiliary verbs (see p. 779).

x- $\ddot{e}nu'ki$  impossible to eat x- $\ddot{e}txlekic$  impossible to beat him

 $x \cdot \ddot{e}$  is presumably of the same origin as the particle  $x \cdot \ddot{e}nc$ .

## §§ 115-121. Word-composition

# § 115. Introductory Remarks

Stems may be compounded in such a manner that one stem which qualifies another is placed before it. The two stems together form a unit which takes morphological affixes as a whole—prefixes preceding the first stem, suffixes following the second stem. The first stem, therefore, always terminates without morphological suffixes, the second one begins without morphological prefixes. If in the complex of stems a strong vowel or syllable occurs, the whole complex takes the ablaut.

 $m \dot{a} i \tilde{n} - \dot{a}' \dot{c}_I - k \dot{a} l \dot{e}' l_I - \dot{c} \ddot{u}' m \tilde{n}_I$  (Kor. Kam.  $m a i \tilde{n} - a' \dot{c}_I - k a l e' l_I - \dot{c} \ddot{u}' m \tilde{n}_A$ ) a big fat speckled buck

Each stem may retain the word-forming suffixes or prefixes enumerated in §§ 97-114.

Composition is used particularly for the following purposes.

- 1. When the second stem is a noun, the first element is an attribute of the second.
- 2. When the second element is a verb, the first element is an adverbial qualifier of the second. Here belongs particularly the case that when the first stem is a noun, the second a verb, the former is the object of the latter.

## § 116. Attributive Composition

Attributive composition of two nouns is used when the first noun expresses the particular species of the class expressed by the second noun. These are used in the absolute form as well as with postpositions.

1. The first element expresses the particular species of the class expressed by the second term.

```
ga'lga-na'lhin bird-skin 7.9
rı'rka-ka'la walrus spirit 8.4
pa'nvar-rı'rkat two-year-old walrus 8.10
rı'rka-npına'čhın walrus old man 9.6
aiwhua'-npına'čhäqai Eiwhue old man 11.10
eiwhue'-ora'wêLan Eiwhue person 12.4
eiwhue'-ñe'ut Eiwhue woman 12.5
wo'lqı-vairgê'ti to the Darkness-Being 18.11
ora'wêr-ra'mka by human people 21.8
a'nga-va'irgin sea-being 25.4
ke'lE-ñe'wän kele wife 38.11
a^{\epsilon}l-qla'ul excrement man 39.9
poig-o'ttoot (Kor. Kam. poig-o'ttoot) spear wood
pilvi'nti-pna'wkun iron file
ra^{\varepsilon'}-pi'\tilde{n}il (Kor. Kam. yaq-pi'\tilde{n}il or yaqa'-p\tilde{n}il) what news 11.2
lile'-ču'rmitä on the sight border (= just out of sight) 11.8
ra'g-čo'rmik on the house border 12.12
```

## Koryak:

nawa'kak daughter Kor. 12.4

pilvi'nti-yi'nnala<sup>e</sup>n with iron antlers Kor. 21.8

yi'lhiliu finger-gloves Kor. 22.2

lawti-ki'lĕiĕñin head-band Kor. 17.12

vai-ki'ltipiliñ little grass-bundle Kor. 27.8

The following special cases deserve mention:

The words qlqul (Kor. Kam. qlq'wul) Man,  $\tilde{n}qw$  (Kor. Kam.  $\tilde{n}aw$ ) woman, are used to express the idea of the *nomen actoris*, and are compounded with verbs as well as with nouns. Thus we find—

 $tu'l_{I}$ - $\tilde{n}$ ew (Kor. Kam.  $tu'l_{I}$ - $\tilde{n}$ aw) stealing-woman (=female thief)  $v_{I'}n\cdot v_{I}$ - $\tilde{n}$ aw (Kor. Kam.  $v_{I'}n\cdot v_{U}$ - $\tilde{n}$ aw) secretly-acting woman (=female lover)

Kor. Kam. tala'-qla'wul striking-man (= blacksmith) Compounded with a noun is  $p_llv_l'nt_l-qla'ul$  iron-man (= blacksmith) The stems qlaul and qlik (Koryak qlik) in first position express also MALE.

qla'ul-keiñin (Kor. Kam. qlik-kai'ñin) male bear

For most animals the word  $\check{c}\check{u}'m\tilde{n}a$  (Kor. Kam.  $\check{c}\check{u}'m\tilde{n}a$ ) is used to express the male.

čumña-rı'rkı male walrus

Kor. Kam. čŭmña'-me'miļ male thong-seal

For females the stem  $\tilde{n}ew$  (Kor. Kam.  $\tilde{n}aw$ ) is used.

ñe-e'kik (Kor. Kam. ñaw-a'kik) daughter 28.2
ñew-kei'ñin (Kor. Kam. ñaw-kai'ñin) she-bear

ñeuwi'rit female soul 37.11

The Koryak word  $mtala^{\varepsilon}n$  (Kamchadal mtilx) is a contraction of  $oya'mtavila^{\varepsilon}n$  person (q!a'wu! in Koryak desi ates a male adult person), and means literally the one who walks openly, and is meant to designate man as walking visibly, while the spirits walk about invisibly. The Chukchee has the corresponding word  $ora'w\hat{e}lan$ , which has the same derivation. Compositions with  $-mtala^{\varepsilon}n$  are applied to a number of mythical personages.

Enni'-mtala<sup>e</sup>n Fish-Man, Fish-Woman Valvi'-mtala<sup>e</sup>n Raven-Man

The Chukchee use in these compositions the element qlaul.

E'nnı-qla'ul Fish-Man E'nnı-ñew Fish-Woman

The Kamchadal forms in -mtilx are probably borrowed from the Koryak.

Eli'he-mtilx (Koryak  $ilve'-mtala^{\varepsilon}n$ ) Wild-Reindeer-Man  $t\hat{e}pa'-mtalx$  (Koryak  $kitepa'-mtala^{\varepsilon}n$ ) Wild-Sheep-Man

- 2. In nouns with suffixes, composition is used to express a number of relations.
- (a) The material of which an object is made.

rä kupri tä ti mn n with what kind of a net did he kill it? (räq what; kupre net; tim to kill)

ko'nê-kupre'tü ti'mnên he killed it with a net of horse-hair (ko'nê horse [from Russian конъ])

(b) The idea pertaining to.

tala'n-ramkê'pŭ tuwa'lomga<sup>e</sup>n I heard it from people of past times (telenyep long ago; remk- people; walom to hear)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Koryak have also the term oya'mya for PERSON, which is supposed to be used by the hostile spirits only, and designates man as the game pursued by the spirits. In Chukchee myths the term ora'wêr-va'rat BEINGS WALKING OPENLY (=MANKIND) is used

(c) Parts of a whole.

ya'al-gitka'ta geggil-ge'ptilin he has kicked him with the heel of the hind-foot (ya'al hind; gitka foot; -ggil heel)

(d) Possession.

gŭmŭ'k e'kke-ñalvŭlê'pŭ qäimi'tyın take it from my son's herd (gŭmŭk my [possessive]; e'kke son; ñelvŭl herd; -gŭpŭ from [§ 42, p. 704])

Note.—In Koryak the possessor may take the same suffixes as belong to the possessed object. This seems to be always the case in the locative.

gumi'k kme'ñiñgo ñalvila'ngo qakmi'tin take it from my son's herd

3. An intransitive verb (adjective) may be combined with a nominal stem so that it qualifies the latter. These compositions are used particularly in oblique cases.

iul-u'ttä (Kor. Kam. iwl-u'tta) with a long stick meini-lile't (Kor. Kam. maini-lila't) big eyes Kamchadal plčx-ki'-stenk in the large house tañ-qlaul, pl. tañ-qla'ultê (Kor. Kam. malqla'wul, dual mal-qla'wulte) good man ta'ñ-ŭm-va'lın geod one maiñu-wa'l a large knife 16.1  $p\ddot{u}'gli$ -lauti' $y\tilde{n}in$  big bare head 27.13  $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}q\ddot{a}'$ -ke'le- $\tilde{n}e'$ us ' $q\ddot{a}t$  bad kele woman 37.11  $\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}q\ddot{a}$ -gre'pq $\ddot{a}i$  bad little song 59.5 teg-ñe'us qät a nice woman 62.13 ñito'-čŭ'mñi a shy buck 49.5 korga'-ča'ut a lively man 40.3 lii-teñ-evi'rälin really good cloths having 33.3  $r_{Ig}$ - $a^{\varepsilon}$ 'ttin a shaggy dog 72.28  $\hat{e}lh$ -u'kwut a flat stone (= anvil) 77.12 yıtko'mk-u'kwun divining-stone 101.3

## Koryak:

E'nnu mal-ña'witkata this is a good woman Kor. 19.1 maļ-qļa'wuļ a good man Kor. 19.10  $ta\bar{n}$ - $i^{\epsilon\prime}yu$  to (be) a good sky Kor. 20.2 ka'li-qa'nyan ornamented (spotted) palate Kor. 20.2 qai-ña'wis qat little woman Kor. 25.1 qai-ka'mak little kamak Kor. 35.5 maļ-kal-yekoi'gu-waļ knife with well ornamented handle Kor. 46.8. 4. When the theme of a transitive verb appears as the first part of a compound, it has a passive meaning:

teik-evi'rın (ready) made clothing 86.22 a<sup>ɛ</sup>'tti-yño-kamaanvê'tı to (by) dog-sniffed-(at)-dishes 96.10 tot-tai'ka-kamaanvê'tı to newly made dishes 96.18 ter-ıgto'-qaie'ne to a newly born fawn 129.13

# § 117. Incorporation of Noun

A nominal stem may be incorporated in the verbal complex, and then forms a unit with the verbal stem which it precedes. The incorporated noun may express the subject of intransitive verbs, the object or instrument with transitive verbs.

(a) Intransitive verbs which incorporate an inanimate noun as subject express a verbal concept relating to a person.

uwi'k pli'tkurkin the body becomes ready but twuwi'k-ŭ-pči'tkurkin I become body-ready (i.e., I am grown up)

va'lī ñīto'rkīn (Kor. Kam. va'ļa ñīto'ykīn) the knife comes out but vala-nto'rkīn (Kor. Kam. vaļa-nto'ykīn) he is knife-coming-out (i. e., he draws his knife)

nuwgê ntoqên he is one whose breath goes out 126.9 awgêntoya'nvuka he is without breath going out 63.8 niqolênto'a<sup>5</sup>n his voice goes out 127.8

(b) Verbs with incorporated nominal object. It is hardly feasible to draw a sharp line of demarcation between verbs with incorporated object and the verbal suffixes which form derivatives of nouns (§ 111, Nos. 73-77). These are -nita to fetch, -tuwe to take off, ip to put on -qili to search for, -u to consume, to eat. Owing to their meaning, these would hardly be expected to occur without object, and they are always suffixed to it—or the object is always incorporated with them. In the texts the incorporated object is used most frequently in phrases in which the action is performed habitually on a certain object, although incorporated forms that express single actions that are not performed habitually are not absent. On the whole, this process does not appear very frequently in the texts.

tiqaanma'tirkin (Kor. Kam. tiqoyanma'tekin) or I slaughter reintinmi'rkin qa'at (Kor. Kam. tinme'kin qoya'wge) deer qêna-takê'čhi-lpi'nřigê me meat give! gŭmni'n e'kik qü-kalê'tel-lpi'nři-gin my son money-give him! u'tti-mle'rkin (Kor. Kam. u-mla'ykin) he breaks a stick

rı'lhı-čvi'rkın (Kor. Kam. yı'lhı-čvi'ykın) he cuts a finger kale'-ĭpŭrkin (Kor. Kam. pañka-ĭpe'kɪn) he puts on a cap qaa-nma'arkın (Kor. Kam. qoya-nma'tekin) he slaughters reindeer tikoiñinto'rkin I take out glasses tileu'tipi'gtirkin I have a headache geleu'tılvilin he cut off her head 86.7 nılautıpa'tqên she boiled heads 43.12 načipa'tinat they boiled fat 14.7 nênavêripa'tgên he put cloths on him 127.1 minpêčarê'ra let us search for food 119.18 valamna'lın knife-whetter (vala knife) 44.4 niqua'nmatgên he slaughtered reindeer 48.8, 11 niquimeviriu'qin he turned the upper part of his trousers outside (qu'yim upper part of trousers; viriu to turn out) 46.7 geleutirgi'tkutä scratching the head 126.7 nênavêruwanla' qên he asks for clothing 126.10  $k\hat{e}'rg\check{u}pg\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$  he put on the dress 52.9 qärê'thăpgê follow the trail! 52.8  $t_{ILI}$ - $lo^{\epsilon}/k$  looking for the entrance 131.1 nitilarê'rgên searching for the entrance 131.1 qñaunra'gtatyê take your wife home! 115.8 va'la-rı'nřa knife holding 106.13 gina'n inenmuzigrele't-i-git thou art the cause of blood-vomiting 93.11

omqa'- $p\hat{e}n\check{r}a'ty\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$  they attacked the bears 115.12

## Koryak:

gayuñyupe'nyɪlenau they attacked the whale Kor. 41.3 gaqoleya'wage (qole voice; ɪya'wa to use) use your voice! Kor. 48.7 qangekɪplena'ñu (to be used) to strike the fire with Kor. 30.7 gavannıntalen she lost a tooth (va'nnılñın tooth) Kor. 32.8

The attributes of the object may be included in the compound.

tı-maiñi-lau'ti-pı'gtırkın (Kor. Kam. tı-mai 'ñı-la'wtı-pı'ktikın) I much head suffer

Verbs with incorporated object are intransitive. They may be made transitive, however, when they are referred to a new object.

qaanma'arkın he slaughters reindeer qaanmı'rkın he slaughters reindeer for him tırı'lhıčvi'gıt (Kor. Kam. tıyı'lhıčvi'gi) I finger-cut thee (i. e., I cut your finger)

In a number of Koryak examples verbs with incorporated object appear as elements of incorporated complexes. In these cases they are always treated as intransitive verbs.

 $q_{Im-a^e'}$ ļu-ču'ču- $\bar{n}aw$ -i- $\bar{u}m$  hard-excrement-eating-woman am I Kor. 47.4

tigi'l  $\hbar u$ - $\hbar a'w$ -iy- $\mu m$  snowshoe-strings-eating-woman am I Kor. 47.4

## Koryak:

| čiļinmiļuļa'tikin he licked with the tongue Kor. 56.3

## § 118. Composition of Verbal Stems

Compounds consisting of two verbal stems are quite common. In all of these the first stem appears as qualifier of the second stem.

tê'rgi-pli'tke finished crying 27.11
a'un-rê'ña-tıla'gtı with easy flying motion 16.8
nu-waqe-tva'qênat sitting they were 62.9
qämi-pli'tkuk (Kor. Kam. a'wyi-plitčuk) eating finishing (i. e. after the meal) 33.11 contains the stem of the compound verb qamı-tva to eat.
vi'yi-tiui'wunin breathing he drew them in 61.4

### Koryak:

ga-mlawa-nka'w-len she ceased to dance Kor. 48.6 g-awya'-nkaw-len he refused to eat Kor. 51.3 gen ačiačat-paa-ñvo'-lenat to send them away ceased began they Kor. 72.2

# § 119. Adverbial Composition

Intransitive verbs are combined with verbal stems in the same manner as they are with nouns, and then assume adverbial functions. Stems expressing modality, quality, quantity, appear frequently in this position. The forms are quite analogous to those treated in § 116.3.

ine-teñ-inpi'lkuum thou hast well vanquished me 17.7
qa-tan-yoro-tukwa't-yê arrange the sleeping-room well 58.6
tur-qi'tilin newly frozen 13.7
tur-ure'tilin newly born 21.6
ter-kalêñño'qênat newly adorned ones 29.1
liê-ñarau'tile truly wife-seeking 57.1
aëqa'-rkila badly pursued 17.6
tur-ewkwe'tyië he departed just now
ti-teñ-yilqä'tyäëk (Kor. Kam. ti-maļ-yilqa'tik) I slept well
§§118, 119

### Koryak:

aqaṇapñivo'ykin looks badly Kor. 13.8
ga-aqai'paṇen it fitted badly Kor. 34.9
ga-qayičhilanñivo'len it began to be a little light Kor. 18.1
ga-qa'yi-čulin he chopped it small Kor. 53.6
tañ-a'wyeñvoi he began to eat well Kor. 20.7
ga-mal-inai'vulen he bit well Kor. 41.4
ga-mal-hinta'wlen he fled well Kor. 41.7
ga-tuyi-kmiña't-i-gum I have recently given birth Kor. 64.13

Verbal nouns are treated in the same way.

 $a'ri\tilde{c}h_{I}$ - $tva'rk_{I}n$  (Kor. Kam.  $a'y_{I}t\tilde{n}_{I}$ - $tva'yk_{I}n$ ) you are lying on the side

§ 120. Multiple Composition

Compound terms may include more than two elements of the classes described in the preceding sections.

 $\ddot{a}^\epsilon q \ddot{a}'^\epsilon - l \ddot{u}' m \tilde{n}_I - \tilde{n}e' us \cdot q \ddot{a}t$  (Kor. Kam.  $aqa' - l \ddot{u}' m \tilde{n}_a - \tilde{n}a' w_I s \cdot q at$ ) a bad, lazy woman

čaučuwa'-gai'miči-lau'lčiñin reindeer-breeder-rich-man R59.4. tañ-ĉ'tči-tĉñ-poi'gin a good, heavy ice-spear

tı-mei'ñı-leu'ti-piqtırkın (Kor. Kam. tı-maiñı-lau'tı-pı'ktikın) I greatly head am aching

qine-či'in-mi'mlı-i'lhi<sup>€</sup> give me warm water!

iču-wgi-ne'lırkın heavily breathing he becomes (i. e. he sighs)

nêl-êp-rılhı'lıñın thimble-put-on-finger, the second finger

t- $uw\ddot{a}^{e}$ ' $qu\ddot{c}i$ - $lq\ddot{a}r$ -re'thit I husband-destined for brought to thee (i. e. I brought you a suitor)

Other examples have been given before.

# § 121. Composition in Kamchadal

The composition of words in Kamchadal is quite similar to that of Chukchee and Koryak.

ke'lı-yu'nyučx (Chukchee keli'lı-re<sup>e</sup>w) spotted whale

However, the collected texts show that the use of compounds is much more restricted. Besides, constructions are found that do not agree with the synthetic method of Chukchee and Koryak.

 $\tilde{n}i'mcx'in\ p!\ddot{n}e'$  child being a woman (i. e., daughter) (Chukchee  $\tilde{n}ee'k_Ik$ , Kor. Kam.  $\tilde{n}aw-a'kak$  woman-child)

In Kamchadal ololaxien ki'stienë small little houses the adjective remains an independent word, as is indicated by its being in the plural form.

3045°-Bull. 40, pt 2-12-53

### § 122. Consonantic Shifts

It has been stated before that the consonants l and  $\check{c}$  are closely related. A comparison of the parallel forms in l and  $\check{c}$  show that the former sound applies often to generalized terms and continued actions, while the latter expresses the special term and single momentary action. This explanation applies well enough in some of the following examples, but not by any means in all of them.

It would seem as though this process were no longer free. Still, a few times I heard the change introduced as though it were still functional:

palomte'lirkin and pačomte'lirkin he listened

The following examples will illustrate the differences in meaning of the parallel forms:

leivu, čeivu to WALK

#### l forms:

ga'mga-notai'pŭ nılei'vuqinet they traveled through every country 17.9

gamga-vairgê'pŭ nilei'vuqin he traveled to every being 18.5

nute's qäk pagtalkoi' pŭ nilei'vuqin he traveled through the clefts of the ground 22.6

yei'velqäi ku'likä ralai'viññoi an orphan child shall (from now on) travel alone 24.10

keimi'tılä lei'vuk rä<sup>e</sup>'nutqäiti qe'ilä to traveling shaman small things must be given 25.9

gumna'n atča'ta lei'wukin mi'ilhir' 'give you the means of traveling secretly 93.4

atča'ta qälei'wui<sup>ɛ</sup> walk about in secret! 93.5

notai'pu lei'wulst lue'ninet he saw them walking about in the country 113.11

ia'm nılei'vutku-i-gır why don't thou wander about (all the time)? 87.18

#### č forms:

lautītkīna'ta čei'wutkui<sup>ɛ</sup> he walked (for a little while) on the heads 8.6

kıta'm mıčei'vutkuä<sup>e</sup>k let me go 79.27; 80.10

qla'ul pŭki'rgi\* čeivutkulin a man arrived walking 86.26

i. e., tomorrow I shall not go 87.9

ne'me čei'vutkui again he went 87.25; 88.1

ne'me čvei'vutkurkın again he was going (for a short while and once only) 88.7

luwi (initial), lvi (medial); čuwi (initial), čvi (medial) to cut

#### l forms:

geleu'tılvilin the head was cut off 86.7

#### č forms:

nečvitkui'vuä<sup>e</sup>n they cut it off 27.3

nine'čviqin, nine'nuqin he cut it and ate it 43.10 (see also 72.18) ničvi'tkurkin re'w he was cutting the whale (when the other arrived 46.10)

lêla'lhıčhın gečvi'lin he cut the eye 106.19 gınonê'tı čuwi'nin he cut it in the middle 109.33

kıle (initial), rkıle (medial); kıč (initial), rkıče (medial) to follow a<sup>e</sup>qa'-rkıla difficult to be pursued 17.6 kıleu' mılva'wkwa<sup>e</sup>n I should not be able to follow 17.5 kıla'wkê<sup>e</sup> she followed 31.2 (here a single act) kıle'nin he gave pursuit to him 57.8

#### č form:

kıčauča'tyê he ran off quickly 57.5

kipl (initial), rkipl (medial); kipč (initial), rkipč (medial) to strike l forms:

 $k l' p l l n \hat{e} n$  ŭm l e u' t l k he struck it on the head (as he was accustomed to do) 110.26

ga'rkıplılên he struck her (until she let go) 31.4

#### č forms:

E'nikit kipči'tkonên suddenly he struck it 35.11 nineninnuteu'qin otti-kipčê'wa he makes it swollen by striking with sticks 48.10

nênarkıpčeu'qin he gave it a push 53.5

lilep (initial), Lep (medial); čičep (initial), čep (medial)

#### l forms:

lile'pgi<sup>e</sup> she looked up 7.6; 79.11; see also 107.14 qäze'pgi<sup>e</sup> look up! 79.11; see also 107.14 lile'pŭrkınahe lookson

#### č form:

čiče pgi they looked about 86.22 ričiče urkin he inspects talaiwu, tačaiwu to strike

arwu, tacarwu to strike nitalai'wuqên they strike him 59.7 natačai'wuan they struck him once 59.5

# pli, pči to finish

uwi'k pli'tkurkin his body becomes ready tuwi'k-i-pči'tkurkin I become ready-bodies, i. e., grown up

-lqiu verbal suffix expressing requested action; -s·qiu verbal suffix expressing single action

l form:

nitule'lqiuqinet they would come to steal 13.4

& (s) forms:

ganto's qêulên he rushed out 57.11 qänıggeus qi'wkutkı go and wake them up at once 56.3

-liku among a number; -čiku inside

l form:

utti'liku among the trees

č forms:

ple'kıčıku in a boot 43.4 wus'qŭ'mčıku in the darkness 34.5

-qal, -qač by the side of

ragro'lminqal from the rear side of the house 51.10 ginikqa'č by thy side 9.3

-lqän, -s'qän TOP 1

l form:

koivi'lgan top of glacier 91.16

č form:

gı'thıs qän surface of lake 144.3 nute's qän surface of ground 98.24

mel-, meč like to

l form:

mel-uwä<sup>ε</sup>'quč it seems my husband 49.9

č forms:

 $me\ddot{c}$ - $\ddot{a}^{e'}$  $q\ddot{a}lpe$  somewhat quick 45.10  $ma\ddot{c}$ - $\hat{e}to'$  $p\hat{e}l$  somewhat a little better 135.7

A number of nouns show generally the l forms, but have in cases when parts of the object or special forms of the object are named  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  forms.

yê'ličhin tongue 40.10 ri'lhin fingers (qlik) man

u'nel thongseal

mêmil seal

yêči'tkičhin tip of tongue 40.4 ričhi'tkin finger-tips qäčiki'čhệča the man transformed (similar to a man) <sup>2</sup> uneči'čhin thong of thongseal

skin 102.13, 30

mêmičê'čhin thong of seal skin
134.31

<sup>1</sup> See also -lqan abounding in (§104.39).

<sup>3</sup> See Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition, Vol. VII, p. 449.

<sup>§122 .</sup> 

muli blood

gamočê'pilên full of dried blood 68.2

Also:

tel sick lä<sup>e</sup>'læ winter

te'čirgin disease 133.7 čäε'čε cold

To this group may be added, as also differing in regard to the specific character of the term:

 $lu^{\epsilon}rkin$  he sees

ču<sup>e</sup>-tu'mgɪn or lu<sup>e</sup>-tu'mgɪn old acquaintance (= seeing companion)

lêlê'lhin mitten

čê-mingi'liñin glove (= mitten hand)

*li'glig* egg

 $\check{c}_{I}g\cdot I'$ -ttim egg-shell (=egg-bone)

vệlộ'lhịn ear

vilu'-ttım or viču'-ttım auricular bone

vilu'ptirkin he marks the ear (of the reindeer)

eviču'ptīki (reindeer) without ear-mark

Attention may also be called to the relation between the nominal endings -ċhin and -lhin, which have been treated in §§52, 53, and which may also be considered from this point of view, -lhin being used in nouns with indefinite meaning, -ċhin in those indicating particular representation of the class of object.

In other cases the forms in l and  $\check{c}$ , while related, do not differ in their more or less specific character, but in other ways:

qulile'erkin he cries

qučiče'erkin he shouts, makes a noise

gemle'lin it is broken ŭmı'lın ä<sup>ɛ</sup>lo'nêt the whole day gemče'tkulin broken to pieces ŭmi'či-čo'nêt or ŭmi'č-ä<sup>c</sup>čo'nêt a long time

añqa'lı-ra'mkın maritime people

añqa'čı-ra'mkın reindeer-breeders who come in summer to the seashore

li'ñılın the hearty one, avenger (from li'nlin heart, liñıle'erkın he avenges) čiñe'erkın he yearns for something

§§ 123–124. Numerals

# § 123. Introductory Remarks

The system of numbers is derived from manual concepts. Even the expression to count really means to finger (Chukchee ri'lhirkin, Kor. Kam. yilñe'kin, He counts [from stem rilh-, Kor. Kam. yilñ, fin§123

GER]). In a number of cases the relations between the numerals and manual concepts can easily be given.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.		From stem
mı'lıñên	$m$ ı $'$ Ļı $\widetilde{n}$ $\hat{e}n$	five	ming HAND (con-
			tracted from the
			absolute form
am-ñıro'otkên		ainh4	mingi'liñin)
ani-miro otken		eight	am-ñiro'kên just
			THE THIRD (i. e.,
			of the second
1000			hand)
qon•a'čıñkên	qonya'ačıñın	nine	qon-ya'ači (Kor.
			Kam. qon ya'wači
			probably one be-
			HIND i. e., one fin-
			ger left over)
mıngı'tkên	mıngı'tčên	ten	BELONGING TO THE
mingi onem	mingi von	·	
			HANDS, refers evi-
			dently to the com-
			pletion of the
			count on two
			hands
kılhı'nkên		fifteen	may be derived
			from stem gitka'lh
			FOOT, referring to
			the five toes of
			the first foot,
			added to the ten
			fingers
qli'kkin belong-	alik	twenty	a man, refers to all
	Jim	OM GIIO'A	
ING TO A MAN			the fingers and
			toes. The form
			qlik is obsolete in
			both languages.
_			

Larger numbers are composed with qli'kkin or with the ordinary modern word qla'ul (Kor. Kam. qla'wul) MAN.

The term qliq-qli'kkit or qli'kkin qla'ul four hundred is the highest term of the older Chukchee numeration. Every number higher than four hundred is called giyeu'-te'gin limit of knowledge. In modern times this term, under Russian influence, has been applied to express the idea of one thousand. This recalls the old Russian term for ten thousand that (Greek μύριας), which literally signifies darkness.

In Chukchee, 11, 12, 13, etc., contain the particle pa'rol (also pronounced  $pa'ro\ell$ ) besides. This element, however, may be omitted. It is not used in Koryak. The numbers 9, 14, 19, 99, are negative verbal forms containing the negative prefix and suffix  $e-k\ell ln$  (see § 114, 4).

amıngıtkau'kĕlên not being the tenth akılhınkau'kĕlên not being the fifteenth, etc.

When used as nouns, all numerals may take post-positions. When numerals stand with nouns with post-positions, they form compounds with the nouns for which the stems without affixes are used.

mingit-kalê'tela tačiñi-pli'tkea\*k I pay my debt with ten rubles paper money (mingit ten; kalê'tol scratched one; -a instrumental; t- I; ačiñ debt; plitko to finish)

Numerals are also compounded with personal pronouns.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	
$ \tilde{n}ire-mu'ri $	$\hbar i' y e  ext{-} m u' y i$	we two
ñiro'-more	$\tilde{n}_{I}yo'$ - $mu'yu$	we three
ñi're-tu'ri	ñi'ye-tu'yi	ye two
ñire'rgeri	ñiye'čhei'tī	they two

Numeral adverbs are formed with the suffix,  $-\check{c}\underline{e}$ ,  $-\check{c}\underline{a}$  (Kor. Kam.  $-\check{c}a$ ) (see § 112, 81), from the stems of the cardinal numbers, except  $q\underline{u}n\underline{e}'\check{c}\underline{a}$  once (Kor.  $qu'n\cdot a\check{c}$  Kor. 53.2), which is derived from  $q\underline{u}n$  single.

ñĭra'ča giwi'kinek on passing the year a fourth time 12.8

Distributives are formed with the suffix -yut; (Kor. Kam. -yut [dual], -yu'wgi [pl.], see § 112, 80), from the stems of the cardinal numbers. In Chukchee they have also the prefix em- Just (§ 113, 7).

Ordinals are expressed by the verbalized numerals, except one.

ñireqe'urkın (Kor. Kam. ñiyeqı'wikın) he is double, he is the second

Collective forms are derived from the numerals with the suffix,  $-nle\bar{n}$  (Kor. Kam.  $-la\bar{n}$ ) (see § 124, p. 841).

The Kamchadal numerals have almost been lost, and their place has been taken by Russian numerals. Only the first four numerals are still in use, side by side with their Russian equivalents. The word  $l\ddot{u}ne'jin$  HE counts is also derived from the stem  $l\ddot{u}x$  finger (absolute form  $l\ddot{u}xl\ddot{u}x\dot{c}$ ).

Numerals	Cardinal	Iterative	Ordinal
1	köni'ñ	qun	
2	kasx, ka'cıx	ntel	nte'lñin
3	čok	čol	čo'laña
4	čak	čal	ča'laña

ka'cıx kcxo<sup>e</sup>n two dogs ka'cxa<sup>e</sup>n lı<sup>e</sup>l two mittens čo'ka<sup>e</sup>n kcxo<sup>e</sup>n three dogs

Kamchadal qun may be compared with Kor. Kam. qun single. Kamchadal čok may be compared with Kor. Kam. ñiyo'x three. Kamchadal čak may be compared with Kor. Paren ñiya'x four. (perhaps from an older form ñiča'x)

### § 124. Cardinal Numbers and Other Derivatives

	Chukchee	Koryak Kamenskoye	Koryak Paren
1	Enne'n', Enne'-	Enna'n	Enne'n.
	$n \cdot \check{c}e^{\varepsilon}n$		
2	$\tilde{n}i'r\ddot{a}q$	$\tilde{n}i'yax$	$\tilde{n}i'\check{c}ax$
3	$\tilde{n}$ ĭ $ro'q$	ñĭyo'x	$\tilde{n}$ iyo' $x$
4	$\tilde{n}$ ĭ $ra'q$	$\tilde{n}a'yax$	$\tilde{n}_{I}ya'x$
5	mı' lıñên	mı'Lıñen	mı'Lıñen
6	Enna'n mi'liñên	Enna'n-m1'L1ñen	
7	ñêra'-m1'LIñên	ña'a-m1'L1ñen	
8	$am$ - $\tilde{n}$ iro'ot $k\hat{e}n$	ñĭyo'-mı'Ļıñen	
	(qon•a'čıñkên		
9	{amingitkau'k Ĕ-	qonya'ačıñın	
	lên .		
10	$m_{I}ng_{I}'tk\hat{e}n$	mıngı'tčen	mIngI't $k$ e $n$
11	mingi'tkên mingi'tik en-	mingi'tik enna'n	· I
	$ne'n \cdot pa'rol$		
12	mıngı'tık ñi'rä	mingi'tik ñi'yax	
	$p\dot{a}'rol$		
13	mingi'tik ñiro'	mingi'tik ñiyo'x	
	$p\dot{a}'rol$		
	(mingi'tik ñira'		
14	pa'rol akılhınk au'k ĕ-	mıngı'tık ña'yax	
	\akilhinkau'k \beta-	mirigi vin von gan	
	lên		
15	kılhı'nkên	mıngı'tık mı'tıñen	
8124			

```
Chukchee.
```

Koryak Kamenskove.

16 kilhi'nikenne'n. mingi'tik Enna'n mi'pa'rol Liñen kilhi'nik ñĭra' 19 mıngı'tık qonya'ačıñın

elikkeu'kĕlin 20 qli'kkin

qli'kkik Enne'n 21

qlik

pa'rol 30 qli'kkig mingi'-

tkên pa'rol

[qli'kik mingi'tčen lñiyo'x mi'ngitu (ni'yax qlı'kıt

ñi'räq-qli'kkin 40

lña'yax mi'ngitu

ñi'räq-qli'kkıg 50 mıngı'tkên pa'rol

 $\{\tilde{n}i'yax \quad q[i'kit]\}$ e'n·kimıngı'tčen mı'Lıñen mı'ngıtu

ñiro'q-qle'kkên 60

 $\tilde{n}_{I}yo'x ql_{I}'ku$ Enna'n miliñen mi'n-

 $g_I t u$ [ña'yax qlı'ku

80 ñira'g-qle'kkên ħĭyo'x mi'liñen mi'ngitu

99 amilinglêkkau'kělên

100 milinglê'kkên

(miliñen gli'ku mingi'tčen mi'ngitu mıngı'tčen qlı'ku

200 mingitqlê'kkên qlig-qli'kkin 400

qlik-qli'ku

Numerals are verbalized by the suffix -eu (Kor. Kam. -aw, -(1)w).

Chukchee nirege'urkin

Kor. Kam. niyeqi'wikin

he is double, he is the

ñiroga'urkin

ñiyoqa'wekin

second he is threefold, he is the third

- $nle\tilde{n}$  (Kor. Kam. - $la\tilde{n}$ ) with numerals form collective terms.

Chukchee Enne'nleñ ñire'nleñ ñiro'nlañ ñĭra'nlañ

Kor. Kam. Enna'nlañ ñiya'qlañ ñiyo'qlañ ñaya'qlañ

a single one two together three together

four together

## § 125-131. Adverbs.

### § 125. MODAL ADVERBS.

Modal adverbs are formed by means of the inclusive affix n(i)-eu, (Kor. Kam. n(i)-au) (see p. 810.) These forms are parallel to the adjective form n(i)-qin (see § 49).

nıme'leu well (Kor. Kam. nıma'lau) stem Ch. mel (Kor. Kam mal) nıme'leu qatva'ê be kind (to us)! a common form of prayer.
nımei'neu ga'tvŭlên a<sup>e</sup>'ttın he made a great promise, a dog 101.21
nŭ'mkeu ki'wkiw ni'nelqin the nights passed (there) became many 108.8 (ki'wkiw is sing.; nŭ'mkeu adverb).

These forms however are not used very frequently, especially in Koryak. In most cases they are replaced by adverbial composition (see § 119, p. 832).

Kamchadal -q designates adverbs.

ömq deeply (adjective ö'mläx deep)
me'čaq far (adjective me'čalax distant)
k!i'jhiq shallowly (adjective k!i'jhilax shallow)

Note.—I have found a few forms in Kamchadal which correspond to Koryak forms:

n'mi'ta (Kor. Kam. n'mi'tau) warily (adjective n'mi'tqin)  $nu'ra^{\varepsilon}$  far.

Other adverbs of modality are derived from verbal stems in an irregular manner.

a'tqêuma (Kor. Kam. atčiñau regular) badly R 62.72 (stem ä<sup>e</sup>qä, Kor. Kam. a<sup>e</sup>qa; adjective form e'tqin, Kor. Kam. a'tčīň) me'čɛn·ki (meč<mel good; ɛn·ki there) well 67.22

me'čen ku-wa'l-ê-ŭm I am a fairly good one 114.34. Here meč does not assume ablaut (see p. 763)

me'rinře slowly (stem-nř; adjective form nı'nřäqin slow)

A number of synthetical bases are used as adverbs, either without any change or mostly with added -i, -qi, -aki,  $-\hat{e}ti$  which are locative and and allative suffixes (see § 95). The same bases are used also with va'lin (Kor. Kam.  $i'tala^en$ ), (see § 76).

As adverbs they always have the ablaut, those without suffix as as well as those with the suffix -i, -qi, although the locative generally is used without ablaut.

Adverbs without suffixes are -

o'ra openly 121.30; stem ure (Kor. Kam. o'yañ) (see p. 862.) oma'ka (Kor. Kam. oma'ka Kor. 61.2) together; stem umeke

yê'ta in readiness 105.20, slowly 64.17 stem yite a'lva (Kor. Kam. a'lvañ) wrongly, go away! Kor. 37.5 stem elve (Kor. Kam. alva)

With suffix -kI, -qI

tê'kı of cylindrical form; stem tik.

koulo'qı (Kor. Kam. ko'lon) round; stem kuwl.

 $a'rk_1\check{c}_1$  (Kor. Kam.  $ayk_1\check{c}_a$ ) aslant, stem  $ar\hat{k}_1\check{c}$  (Kor. Kam.  $ayk_1\check{c}_a$ )  $a'rk_1\check{c}_1$   $qata'\hat{e}$  (Kor. Kam.  $ay'k_1\check{c}_a$   $qata'wa\check{n}$ ) more aslant!

 $v\hat{e}'t$ ı truly 120.24 ( $v\hat{e}'t\hat{e}$  107.8); stem  $v\hat{e}th$ 

vê'tirê straight (irregular); stem vêth (adjective form nuwê'thäqên) gê'me without my knowledge; ge'mu 103.5 (Kor. Kam. a'mu Kor. 55.3). This form is designative; stem -(t)hêm not to know.

 $rath\hat{e}ma'un$  without my knowledge 11.9. The affix re-eu is causative.

gêwê'tı without my knowledge 120.37; stem -(t)hiu not to know; allative.

pulhirra'ki flatly; stem pilhirri

apaqa'LI(ñ) (Kor. Kam. apaqa'či) face downward; stem apaqaLI.

pi'tvi, pitva'ki double; stem pitv

 $\hat{e}'mp\check{u}(\tilde{n})$ ,  $\hat{e}mpa'k_I$  downcast; stem  $\hat{i}mp$ 

tı'mla, tımla'kı close to; stem tıml

yı'čhı(ñ), yıčha'kı uninterrupted, stem yıčh and several others.

Those with the suffix  $-\hat{e}t_I$ ,  $-\hat{q}t_I$  express a diminished intensity of the adverbial term:

čeutê'tı somewhat low; stem čiut qalê'gtı somewhat lazy; stem qäli yergê'tı somewhat foolish; stem yürg ¹ qêwrêgtı somewhat hasty; stem qiwri tañê'tı somewhat better; stem teñ

All these forms combined with -va'lın (Kor. Kam i'talan) are commonly used to express the absolute form of the adjective.

In Chukchee some of these adverbs may form with the prefix  $ti'\bar{n}ki$  quite (§ 113, 4) a kind of superlative.

tīħk-a'tqêuma quite badly tīħk-ä<sup>e</sup>'qälpe with great hurry tīħki-me'rinře quite slowly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also yorgêtu-wa'lıčhın a foolish one 65.3.

Some others may form dimunitives, as kitkinn'u'qäi very little 118.6 qaiaqan'e'qai a trifle more 106.6. čr'mčeqäi very near 100.15 vi'n'veqäi very cautiously 106.16.

### § 126. LOCATIVE ADVERBS.

For demonstrative adverbs see § 57.

Chukchee.	Koryak Kam.
či'mčE 103.9 near	čei'm1k
ya'a 113.20 far	ya'wak
ya'al, ya'ačı 119.29 in the rear	ya'wal
atto'ol in the front, earlier; atto'oča 8.7 in the front, down the coast	attas'yol Kor. 39.7
g1rgo'l 68.35; g1rgo'gča 123.7 above	gičho'l, gičho'ča
iu'til below	i'wtIl
ê'uča-êučai' pŭ from below 131.5	• 411:
mra' on the right hand	mya'
ña'ěEn·ki, ñač(h)-e'n·ki; stem ñačh, on the left side	
we can ki, mack)-c it ki, stem mach, on the left side	načn
ro'čEn·kī 52.11; stem ročh¹, on the other shore	yočne'tI; stem yočn
Na'rgIn; stem Na'rgIn outside	ña's hin Kor. 64.8
o'nmi inside	•
onmičzkoi'pŭ from within 59.9	
e'mi where (is it) 81.16	
ê'čča,ê'ččaq near the surface	
ê'čča nitva'nat if they had remained on the surface 68.27	
ra'nau straight ahead	(K. K. ya'nau, Kamchadal čñil)
I'mla close by	(K. K. tl'mla, Kamchadal ti'mal)
fia'lhil on both sides, on all sides 129.24.	ga'lñil Ker. 58.2
rIma'gtI farther on, beyond	yImai'tI
a'čhītā side by side	a'čñIta
em-nu'ñkī inland 112.6	am-nuñik
wŭ'rrI on the back	wŭ'ssiñ Kor. 30.3
ya'gna in the presence	
riagnau'ki (ri-yagna-u-ki; ri—u causative) opposite to 100.28	
kamle'lz around	
am-liga'nli back to back	
ye'tir half-way 109.1	
rInere' askance	
wolva'kı across; stem wulv	mal-volve'tI, volva'kI
eulete'li lengthwise	
če'če along	
qa'či, qa'ča close to (see § 100.26)	
ai'gêpŭ windward 111.10 (eig odor coming with the wind; -êpŭ ablative)	

Most of these may form compounds with nominal and verbal stems, or with the locative of the noun.

<sup>1</sup> The form roche'ts to the other shore 30.11 suggests a nominal stem.

<sup>§ 126</sup> 

nuwolvênaña'tvaqên across sitting was carried 145.3 (n(u)—qin nominalizing prefix; wulv across; ineñe to sit on a sledge; tva to be)

gŭmŭgga'gna in my presence

êulêtê'l-va'lın of elongated shape 91.15

ya'rau-liha'nlınqač-va'lın to the houses from the other side being 11.7

tê'rkı-ırgo'l at sunrise 104.16

va'am-girgogča'gtı up river 119.14

kamlê'li -ya'rak around the tent 104.20

yoro'wti kamle'li sleeping room all around 12.10 (yoro'wti allative)

ve'emīk va'ê qa'ča he lived close to the river 122.8 Nota'rmêngača close to Nota'rmeñ 121.10

## § 127. Temporal Adverbs.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Kamchadal	
ti'te	ti'ta Kor. 27.7	i'te	when
$E'n\cdot kI$	E'nki Kor. 39.2	$kna^{\varepsilon}\tilde{n},\tilde{n}ur$	then
$En'\tilde{n}$ $ite'q$			of late
$l \breve{u}' m \tilde{n} a 19.1$	$g\ddot{u}'m!a\tilde{n}$ Kor. 84.11	te'nax	again
pı'tkä-lumña			double again, i.e.
Pr tha vanita			the third time
ya'net 43.9	ya'not		at first
yep	ye'ppe		still
te'le 7.1	ge ppe		in olden times
telenye'p 112.2	0 ankiyep		long ago
	titoo'n		from what time
tite'ep	titoo n		on, after a long
			time Kor. 57.5
/ 700.10		*F	
gr'nm1l 83.19		$i^{oldsymbol{arepsilon}}ne$	recently
ginmiye'p			from recent time
			on
i'gıt 21.1; 36.9	a'čhi Kor. 30.9	$ne^{\varepsilon}n$	now, at present
ai've	ai'give Kor. 78.26	$a'\check{c}in\check{c}k$	yesterday
$aiv_{\it End}$ 'a' $p$			from yesterday
$(aiven-y\hat{e}p)$			on
aigo'on		q lank	lately
$aigoond \cdot a'p$			from late times
(aigoon-yep)			on
irga'tik	miti'w Kor. 21.8	a'jujk	to-morrow
kitu'r	$vo't$ ı $n$ - $ai'\tilde{n}un$	i'xiltu	last year
kıtuje'p			from last year on
$(k_{I}tur-yep)$			
UAL			1000

	Chuckchee K	or. Kam.	Kamchadal	
	kıtur-ño'on			many a year ago
	yawri'nak	ya'wy1n	tal`a'nank	next year
	pe'Le 20.2			soon
	pı'tkä-yawnak			the year after
				next
	čit 17.6			before this
	pa'nêna 54.9	pa'nena		another time
	-1 -1 -110-11	Kor. 15.6		1
	$\bar{\imath}'ne, \bar{\imath}'ne\bar{n}$ 113.11	714 17 7		early
	quli'ninek	quli'nikak		C. 3
	$ai\theta k$ 118.20	vaeyuk Kor.	<del></del>	afterwards
		$21.3 \ va^{\epsilon\prime}ak$		
		Kor. 56.5		
	ña'nenqač	7. 7.		day after to-mor-
	$qol\hat{e}$ - $t$ - $a^{\varepsilon}lo'$	qole'-alo'	D)	row
	aive na'nen-	aigīv-ai'gī-		day before yes-
	qač	večña	2	terday
	ımıčıčo ñêt	a'mñuč, Kor.	$k\ddot{o}n\check{c}pol$	always
		53.1, a'wun		
		a'mñut Kor.		
		54.5		22 . 2
	a'mkınıčo 112.8			all the time
	(e'mkin every)			
	čo probably			
	analogous			
	to če nu-			
	meral ad-			
	verbial;			
	a suffix)	14 77		
		ai'ñum Kor.		long ago
		61.1		
		$a'mli_I$ $ ilde{n}$		after that Kor.
	7.1 .7 .1 .7			II
	quli'-thi'wik			in future years
	čiq-etuwä'k 44.4			all at once
				(čiq see §
		yu'laq Kor.		113.13)
		16.2; 64.10	<del></del>	for a long time
	wŭ°′tku	$w\check{u}^{\varepsilon'}t\check{c}u$ Kor.		just then
		31.2; 41.2;		Juon orrorr
		47.9; 80.2		
		woe'tvañ Kor.		
		96.8		
8	127			

Chuckchee $un^{arepsilon't}ar{k}u$	Kor. Kam. $itu^{\epsilon}'pil$	Kamchadal	after a while (see êto' pel Ch.)
	$akila^{\varepsilon}$ 'č Kor.		just now
	27.4;28.3		
	$akila^{\varepsilon}'t$ Kor.		
	27.5		
	$v\hat{e}'tha$ - $qo'nom$		just now
	Kor. 56.10		
-	piče' Kor. 14.11		for a while
	qoṇa Kor. 70.14		after a while
-	qu'lin Kor. 60.2		afterwards
	ñinvo'q Kor. 13.	5	many a time

A number of these are adverbial phrases:

quli'ninek at something else (from quli some, ni'kek see p. 731).  $qol\partial t - a^{\epsilon}lo'$  another day  $lmi\partial i\partial t'$  all days  $lmg\partial i'k$  on to-morrow, etc.

Other adverbial terms are derived by means of post-positions from the forms here given.

*irgatė'ti* towards to-morrow *irga'thŭpŭ* from to-morrow

Others, like lumña again, yanet at first, do not take post-positions.

Seasons of the year, sections of the day and night are expressed by the locative—

wulqätvi'k in the evening time 120.3 (wu'lq darkness -tvi to attain a certain quality §110, 68)

 $l\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}le'\bar{n}k_{I}$  in the winter 51.1 (stem  $l\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}le\tilde{n}$ )

ırgiro'k at dawn (ırg dawn; -ru: phenomena of nature (§110, 71)

Following are some examples of their use.

# yep still

yep wu'kwu ya'rañı na'qam but the tent was still stone 107.11 yep ırgıro'ka while (the day) was not yet dawning 56.8 mı'nkri re'lqu va'ma yep while he is still in the inner room 135.15 telen-ye'p in olden times 61.5 telen-ye'pkin belonging to olden times 61.5 yep e'čhı not yet

## gI'nmIlkin lately

e'nmen lu'ur gı'nmılkin ro'o then there was the one who had lately been eaten 35.9

me'mɛlqai gı'nmilkin ɛni'n tɪmyo' yarro'nên the seal he had lately killed, he put in his bosom 43.8

 $g_I'nm_Ilkin\ lo^{\varepsilon'}o$  the one recently seen 104.8-

### pe'nin(e) as before

pe'nin nıma'yınqanačhın of large size as before 20.5 pe'nin ını'n i'gıtkin lu 'lq il it was his face as before 77.14 pe'nin tautawa'tılın as before he barked 104.13

peninei'-git lei'wul-i-git from olden times on thou art travelling 133.12

pa'nêna releulewe'urkın will you as before do wrong? 20.12-21.1 pa'nêna nıkıtıma'tqen he was as before extending his hands 47.8 qän've'r e'nmen ırga'tık pa'nêna wulqätvi'i<sup>ɛ</sup> at this time then in the morning it became as before dark 54.9

go'onqan panêna'gtı genlete'tü that to the former (place) is carried 133.2

## Koryak:

assa'kin pa'nin gayoe'olen the one of the other day (who) before had found him Kor. 52.6

pa'nena . . . ga'npılen another time he stuffed it in Kor. 15.6 qa'wun pani'ta mi'kinak nayamata'ge though some time thou wilt marry some one Kor. 78.17

pa'ninau vača'pgıčñu . . . the scars of former times Kor. 86.1

#### čit formerly

a'men čit gŭmu'w-či'mgutä a<sup>e</sup>qa'-rkıla gene'l-i-ŭm before this, in my own mind, I have become one who can hardly be pursued 17.6

znqa'n ui'ñä čit eñe'ñkälin that one formerly had no spirits 60.1 čit vai ke'le eččaka'ta nılei'wuqin formerly there ke'le wandered outside 61.6

qailo'qum čit kime'k me'čɛn·ku-wa'l-êum in truth formerly I was a fairly good one 114.34

čit ŭm wutkekinei'-gŭm formerly I belonged to this place 97.10 guiwele' Li'n čit one who had formerly had evil charms 50.11

čit mitu'ren mik ĉij ge'nu ñi'rä-mu'ri before we were born to father, we two R44.2-3

The following example seems to refer to the future:

čit Eligiqai'gŭpŭ ta'a<sup>e</sup>t e'un ui'ña after some time they passed by the father's place and (there was) nothing 109.34-35

#### tele in olden times

te'le e'nmen . . . näqäliči'tqinet in olden times, then they were at war 7.1

#### pe'Le soon

naqa'm pe'le nŭmqıtvi'qin but soon it decreased in size 20.2 pe'le neue'ttın topa'wkwê soon the bitch was 104.7

### a'čhi va'n this time

ačhi va'n qo'npŭ maļa'tī this time it grew much better Kor. 20.5-6

e'čhi-van tı'nmın this time I killed her (Lesna) Kor. 97.13 ačhi va'n qaye'm this time not Kor. 54.3 (see also Kor. 20.5; 54.3)

a'čhi just now (i. e. before a little while)

a'čhi ni'w-i-qi qanga'tiykın now you said, "it burns" Kor 30.9 ačhi'kin nenenaye'ye-qe? wert thou looking for it just now? Kor. 49.8-9

ačhiki'ču-ai'ñaka now do not cry! Kor. 60.7 See also Kor. 68.13

### §128. Miscellaneous Adverbs and Conjunctions, Chukchee.

On the following pages I give a list of adverbs and conjunctions without attempting to differentiate between the two groups. The meaning of many of the adverbail or connective particles is so uncertain that a division seems hardly possible. Many of them have such nice shades of meaning that they can not be rendered adequately in English.

The use of such particles is much more extended in Chukchee than in Koryak. In Kamchadal most of the particles, particularly most conjunctions have been lost and replaced by Russian loanwords.

The particles occur frequently in groups as will be seen for instance in the use of  $\check{u}m$ , ELO'n, a'mEn, etc. Some are always postpositional and tend to unite phonetically with the word they modify (see examples under  $\check{u}m$ )

*ŭm*, *Im*, *-m* an emphatic adverb. It is always postpositional and seems to emphasize the word to which it is attached

## Following nouns:

Enřa'q ře'us qät ŭm... ganra'gtalên then the woman...
took it home 28.5-6
pênyo'lhin ŭm nlete'tyi the hearth blazed up 32.3
wŭ'rgirgin ŭm vai ge'pkilin the noise reached there 32.13
inpina'čhin ŭm ell'gin geñe'wänä the old father and his wife 33.9
3045°—Bull. 40, pt. 2-12—54

Enqa'n E'n·ki erre'č ñe'us·qät, . . . qora'k ŭm uwä²'quč there was only she the woman, . . . with the reindeer (was) the husband 51.9-10

uwä<sup>e</sup>'quċitä ŭm by the husband 39.6 yorou'tı ŭm to the sleeping room 39.10

## Following pronouns:

wo'tqan ŭm vai this one here 45.12 ɛnqa'n ŭm vi'n vi te'rgilin this one who was weeping secretly 49.1 gŭmna'n ŭm I 137.1

## Following verbs:

teqe'lignin ŭm a'mı she made a cap too 28.8 ye'tti-m vai she came there 29.13 qaplêta't ŭm qora'ñi the reindeer fell down 51.6 guq, gemı's 'qälın ŭm, a'nı it is deep! 53.1 mınpêla'a<sup>e</sup>n ŭm let us leave it 53.1

The emphatic  $\check{u}m$  appears frequently in combination with other particles. Examples of these will be found on the following pages. I'mI also, furthermore,

ı'mı am-vıyê'irgä gi'lhın naranauno'nın furthermore, by only breathing on the skin, he shall be cured 24.4-5

i'gıt ı'mı yei'velqäi ku'likä ralai'viññoi furthermore, from now on an orphan child may travel alone 24.10

ımı nounou'lın ın e'le wu'tku epki'rkälin g ŭ'nurk not even a hair here would reach me 93.6

i'gir i'mi Nota's qa-Va'irgin narataaro'ñiñoñin from now on, furthermore, the Ground-Beings shall be given sacrifices 25.1-2 Enga'at gei'lgäLeet i'miñ they also were sleeping 55.2

## Ina'n exhortative particle

pu'ru ına'n wo'tqan va'lɛ mi'ilhır in exchange let me give thee this knife 15.12 (see also 93.30; 103.31; 104.3)

ına'n am-taaro'ña qätčı'gıtkı no'ta-mla'ırgıtkon only with sacrifices provide the ground-crevices 24.1-2

le'uti-tețe<sup>e</sup>n ına'n nanwa'qoa<sup>e</sup>n let the head-sufferer be seated! 45.11

In the following example ina'n appears with the future:

ına'n tre'etyä<sup>ɛ</sup> meĕ-ä<sup>ɛ</sup>'qälpe I shall come quickly 45.9-10

The following are probably derived from the demonstrative stem en-

### E'nIkIt all at once

na'qam E'nıkıt poi'ga nıtı'npŭqên ŭm but all at once they struck him with a spear 36.2

In most cases E'nikit appears in coordinate clauses and may be translated as soon as

e'nmen E'nikit rilu'tku then all at once he moved 16.5

E'nıkıt uwi'k kıpčı'tkθηêη (as soon as) he struck the body (i. e. himself) 35.11

E'nikit ŭm naramata'git (as soon as) they will take thee 36.9-10 E'nikit gai'mi'čin nigite'äen (as soon as) they looked upon the wealth 107.16

E'nikit rečipe'tyä<sup>ɛ</sup> (as soon as) you will be submerged 114.22 E'nikit ne'rgiä<sup>ɛ</sup>n ilule'tyi<sup>ɛ</sup> as soon as he was loose he stirred 102.25 E'nikim gite'nin . . . as soon as he looked on it 23.9

Enna'n I in like manner

 $En\check{r}a'q$  then (see under e'nmen)

Enqana'ta therefore (instrumental of Enqa'n, BY THAT)

Enqana'ta E'nqu tilgi'rkinêt therefore I gave them up R46.39 Enqana'ta ño'č-e-ŭm gene'l-i-ŭm therefore I become poor R45.28 gaño'twey-gŭm Enqana'ta qo'n pu therefore I became quite poor R45.28

enqana'ta ılva'-nəta'gtı tıtêggê'ñırkın therefore I wish for the wild reindeer country R46.52

enqana'ta čaučuwa'-ra'mkıčha a<sup>e</sup>'ttın nıggi'pqin therefore the reindeer breeding people keep dogs R53.31

En·ke'mīr, En·qe'mīr, En·ke'mir e'ur moreover (see also ačhê'mīra)

En'qe'mir e'ur a'qa'-ra'mkı<br/>ċhın ya''rat moreover, they are very bad people R 53.20–21

En qam then (see under e'nmen)

En·ñata'l THIS TIME.

En·ñata'l ŭm li'iti'lhi-gir from now on I shall know thee 93.21 En·ñata'l Enqa'n ru'nin this time she ate 90.6

En nata'l anquique agti . . . ri'ntininet this time she threw them seaward 49.6

En·ñata'l kirvete'ru qinetei'kıtık from now on jostle me! (literally with elbow jostling do me) 61.3

En·ñata'l ŭm revi<sup>ɛ</sup>'ntık this time (if you do so) you will die 64.19
En·ñata'l ŭm qalhêqamı'tvatık of that you may eat your fill 65.31
En·ñata'l ŭm qa·s·qäče'wkwi<sup>ɛ</sup> this time he did it in earnest 83.20
En·ñata'l ŭm lu-ora'wêṭan this time they were real people 84.29
En·ñata'l ŭm i'ppe gına'n i'me rä<sup>ɛ</sup>'nut em-gınři'tä nine'ntı-gıt

this time evidently you for everything lie in ambush 93.20 En nata'l ŭm na'nmırkın-ê-gıt this time he will kill you 114.32 En nata'l Enqa'n êrrêttêgın this time it is ended R4.50

'nkri gratis

En.ni'n thus

nignopitva'qên en·ñi'n· iri'čiku he remained crouching thus in his coat 7.4

 $En\cdot \bar{n}i'n\cdot \check{u}m$   $\bar{n}an$   $n_1piu'riqin$  thus that one plunged along 8.11–12  $qagno'pg\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$  . . .  $En\cdot \bar{n}i'n\cdot$  crouch down thus 32.4

e'Le En'ni'n va'la inenu'käli-muri not of [thus being] such we eat 34.9-35.1

Also 9.4; 15.4; 90.1, 10; 94.1; 95.34; 105.17

#### En nu thus

 $E'n\tilde{u}-wa'l-\hat{e}-git$  such a one art thou 70.25  $En\tilde{u}-wa'l-te'r\hat{e}$  such are you 106.28

#### E'n'not thus

ni'wkwä<sup>e</sup>n E'n'hot they spoke thus 78.4 ti'wkwä<sup>e</sup>k E'nhot I say thus 15.8

## ELO'n emphatic particle

gik Elo'n oh! 10.1

ELO'n ginni'ku ne'lyät now they became (our) game 12.2 gik, e'nmen nipe'ät. ELO'n re'mkin tumge'wkwit oh, they landed.

Now the people became friendly 14.1

ELO'n En nu'-wa'lê-git such a one art thou 21.11

ELO'n mirri'wkut-hit let us bind thee 23.8

ia'm elo'n ten ñe'urkin why doest thou laugh? 30.3

ELO'n nara'nmugit they will kill thee 37.10

ELO'n vai tıyı'lırkın-i-gıt this one I give thee 104.1

ELO'n gina'n ELO'n Piti'yn-i-git thou art Rheum 103.21 i'git ŭm ELO'n but now! 123.18

Here belongs also—

e'milon somewhere 97.23; 121.1 (< emi-Elo'n) e'milonai'nin (augmentative of e'milon) 43.6

## awe'tuwaq suddenly, at once

awe'tuwaq êwkwê'tyi\* suddenly he left R 13.27

yılg-awe'tuwaq naus qatı'ynın orgü'tkını kenema'nnen at once he tied the girl to the sledge R 13.23

a'men mm elo'n expresses displeasure, somewhat like German "aber doch" without disjunctive meaning.

gik, a'men ŭm elo'n notas qa'wkwê Oh, the land is near 8.8 ("aber das Land ist doch nahe")

guq, a'men ŭm elo'n či'mquk pêla'arkin oh, some are leaving 8.9. guq, a'men ŭm elo'n . . . re'mkin qäiñunre'lqiä oh, the people will come 10.3-4

quq, a'men ŭm elo'n miniwkurkin-i'-qit let us tie thee 20.9; see also 23.13

a'men ŭm elo'n e<sup>e</sup>'tqi nintewimin ge't-i-um I was badly tortured by them 21.9

a'men ŭm elo'n Nota's qa-Va'ırga ini'wkwi I am told by the Ground-Beings 23.11-12

guq, elo'n ŭm a'men ga'mga-vairgê'pŭ timete'wkwä<sup>e</sup>k among all beings I could not do it 18.9

ELO'N ŭm a'men, wotqanai'ñın ELO'n garaqêčha'lên that big one, what has the bad one done! 31.9

amen ŭm elo'n wot uwä qučitä ai'mak em e lu getei'kilin this husband made the whole carcass into excrement 81.11

a'men ŭm elo'n ñe'us qät-i-gir so you are the woman 136.15

a'mEn seems to introduce an unexpected event—AND THEN UNEX-PECTEDLY—or to introduce an entirely new idea, to which emphasis is given 40.4; 41.12.

a'men um e'nmen pênyo'lhin nuurgirge'tqin and then unexpectedly the hearth made a noise 32.8

e'nmen ŭm uwä'quč qla'ul a'men ŭm nitvêtča'qên wŭlh-ê'räqaia a'men ŭm vai li'i-teñ-evirälin then the man, the husband, was standing there unexpectedly with a little thin fur shirt, unexpectedly really well clothed 33.2

also 24.1; 29.11; 33.11; 39.3, 4, 5; 38.9, 11; 81.1; 88.8 am, a'mbn oh! (another idea) 56.8

--, a'men-! 58.7

a'men-ŭm 8.10; 9.5; 13.10; 9.13; 39.3; 58.5; 65.20; 77.29; 80.25; 89.9; 93.31; 99.1; 101.2

a'minam (= amen-ŭm 15.10)

a'men um not! such a one 98.33

a'men ŭm elo'n 8.8, 9; 10.3; 39.1, 13; 41.6; 64.1; 81.11; elo'n ŭm a'men 31.9 (see under elo'n) it should not be expected, but a'men ŭm qarê'm 16.9; a'men qarêm but I will not! 16.1 a'men ŭm naqa'm 39.4; na'qam a'men 63.11; however venli'i ŭm a'men 40.7

#### a'mI

tepe'lignin ŭm a'mı she made a cap too 28.8 nananaqa'gčıñın ŭm a'mı geggeu'lın the little child awoke 55.3 telenye'p üm a'mı long ago 61.5-6 kırga'm ŭm a'mı . . . well (if you had found him) 121.4

a'nI an emphatic particle (?)

 $e'nmen\ a'n_I\ q\ddot{a}nu'r\ qun\ nute's q\ddot{a}n\$  then certainly just like ground 8.6

e'nmen a'nı gilu'tkulin then she practised shamanism 39.7 (see also 39.8, 9; 40.4; 102.15; 104.35; 105.2, 15; 109.32)
gečenıte'ıin ŭm a'nı she was startled 29.6-7

genpeu'lin um a'nı he became quite decrepit 107.26 a'nı, geilitkoi'vulin u'kkäm so they distributed vessels 14.1 a'nı, gilu'tkulin he beat the drum 107.9 a'nı, getipeiñe'lin he continued to sing 102.17 a'nı a'ttau for no particular object 30.4 a'nı qu'num, qanto'ê oh, look here! come out! 81.27

atau' without purpose; for no particular reason; it does not matter atau', li'en re'qärkin (you went to no purpose) what is the matter with thee? 18.6

atau',  $le^{\varepsilon'}nve$  (to no purpose, only) in order to be looked at 19.2 (also 19.6; 23.1; 30.4; 48.12; 125.1,6)

ata'um nı'rgıpa'tqên to no purpose was he discussed 15.7 geisnkeli'y-gır, a'ttau it is your (own) tattooed face; (you act) to

no purpose 77.8

attau' girgo'l-qla'ule nine'lhäqin for no particular reason he takes it for the man above 124.6-7

quq, attau'-qun o'rqoor yê'taqätčı'qın just get (your) sledge ready 105.20 (see also 119.18)

e, gu'nä, a'ttau oh, well, it does not matter 78.7

guk, attau' gumı'k oh, it does not matter, with me (sit down) 78.24

# ačhê'mīra, ačhê'mīra-ñ-e'ur moreover (see also ɛn·ke'mīr) a'līmī disjunctive

a'lımı aloska'gtı va'lɛ-ŭm although I am invisible 22.10 a'lımı va'lɛ ra'qalqal however, there is no need of the knife 57.4 ka'ko, a'lımı inelus'kälinet he has not seen them anyway 70.32 ya'am tıle'lıt a'lımı lu'ur ñan titqä'nninet but it swallowed them 71.3

a'lımı quwalo'mŭrkın ŭm vê'tı do obey! 88.10 a'lımı eñe'ñılın however, he was a shaman 105.1 a'lımı kamagra'ñnoi he really gave a start 101.16–17

# a-lŭ'mña expresses surprise (see lŭ'mña)

g.uq, a-lŭ'mña qai've gɪt oh, is that so, is it thou? 97.13 a-lŭ'mña is that so? 121.1; 125.7 a-lŭ'mña ño'on me'ñin who was here? 109.21

a<sup>ε</sup>'qälpe quickly 122.2

# ei'uk, ai'ok

ina'n ai'ok ñeuëtte'pik trenurete'ur let me in due time make it appear (be born) through a female dog 121.31 gik,gini'k ei'uk ekälu'k oh, in due time (I see) thee at last 19.4 En'qa'm ina'n ai'ok . . . re'etyä<sup>e</sup> then after a while . . . he shall come 83.5-7

Also 118.20

e'un seems to be a connective with weak temporal tone.

mi'ākri, e'nmen, ge'mge-ni'kin āi'ngäi nêna'gtogên, e'un navis'qin how, then to whomsoever a child is born, and (then) it dies 20.8

ni'lhä ge'wkuzin e'un ninenlipe'tqäet with thongs he is tied and he breaks them 20.9

e'ur pŭki'rgi<sup>e</sup> e'un nelki'nkä<sup>e</sup>t then she came and they had gone abroad 31.2

e'ur enqa'n ä<sup>e</sup>'ttwet geti'neñezin, e'un geplı'tkuleet and that boat was loaded and they had finished 31.1

 $qagno'pg\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$  . . . e'un  $e\iota e'pk\ddot{a}$  sit with head bent down . . . and do not look 32.4-5

"en·ñe' ele'pkä," e'un walo'mgê "Do not look!" and she obeyed e'un nine'čviqin and they cut it 72.18

e'un gepelqäručeu'linet ñe'wanti and their wives had become decrepit with age 72.29

čeq-alvam-va'lıt, e'un ı'pe kele'tä gayo''laat how very extraordinary! and evidently they are visited by kelet 106.8

e'nmen e'un E'n·ki nitva'qên i'me-rä<sup>ɛ</sup>'nut and then there was everything 106.32

e'un yara'ne nine'lqin and it became a house 107.14 qik, ripe't ŭm e'un! (now they are coming!) 11.10

gık, neqe'm e'un qarê'm e'un, gık oh, but it was not there 27.11 gai'mıčın nıgite'ä n, e'un kukwa't-koko'ñalhın they looked at the wealth and all was turned into dry leaves 107.16

Note: Not to be confounded with the prefix e'un- actual, principal, as in e'un-ñe'lvŭl principal herd; a'un-gêta' gti just in their sight 83.28

eur, eur-um is connective and with the added connotation at that time; it always refers to two events taking place at the time.

ra'gtia<sup>e</sup>t, En'qa'm e'ur lŭmĥêna'ê<sup>e</sup> they went home, and at the same time he also followed 120.26

e'ur girgironta'iên, aë'ttwilä ničamitaqên at that time the dawn came, (and) a boat's crew crept up to him 10.9

e'ur rırka'ta i'unin, "E'ur yı'lqä narayo" git, muru'wmil qaiñe'i" at that time the walrus said to him, "At the time when sleep overtakes you, roar like we (do)" 10.6

e'ur ŭm qo<sup>e</sup>laro'a<sup>e</sup>t, Aiwhuanpına'čhäqai gi'ulin at the time when they began to make a noise, the little old St. Lawrence Island man said 11.10

a<sup>e</sup>'ttwu-yê'ñkı nıgite'qin, e'ur ŭm geyı'reLin they looked into the canoe and at that time it was full 67.6

e'ur is used also quite frequently as conditional.

e'ur Iumetu'nu ri'tyä<sup>ɛ</sup>, rine'newkwä<sup>ɛ</sup> at the time when you are Iumetun, you shall make me black 23.6 (= if you are the same); also 24.2

kıta'm e'ur lı'ê-va'ırıñki gañau'tıñ-ê-gıt, vai u'mkı qagtı'gın this time if you have indeed married among real gods, then bring a polar bear 110.5

i<sup>e</sup>'tik e'ur tegge'ñirkin, gina'n či'mñutä if actually you want it, do as you please

eulŭ'mña < e'ur lŭ'mña or, or again

eulŭ'mña e'kik or again the son R 23.88

but e'ur lŭmña 98.9

Before the initial n of the following word e'ur changes to e'un (see § 7.20; § 11). See 20.8; 72.18

Still e'un and e'ur are not identical, e'ur being used as connective AND between separate nominal (or verbal) forms while e'un is not so used.

gettu'tä e'ur geleu'tırgıtkutä with blowing or with scratching the head 126.7

*ılh-a<sup>e</sup>'ttin e'un, e'ur ŭm uneči'čhın* also the white dog and the thong-seal 102.29; also 97.18

also 8.7; 9.2; 21.6; 31.1, 2, 3; 98.9

Note: Between proper names, instead of the connective e'ur, the plurals of the personal pronouns may be used.

Gi'thılın e'rrı Tña'ırgın geñewtu'mgä Sunset and Dawn are connected by group marriage R 228, footnote 1 (lit. Sunset they Dawn)

mu'ri Qla'ul I and Qla'ul (lit. we Qla'ul)

# eple'un

ki'tam qun eple'un li'i eñeñitvi'e<sup>e</sup> well, did he really obtain shamanistic power? 18.4

*êwkurga, êwkurga-m* however

ê'wkurga tu'mgitum ui'ñä however, companion none (i. e. my companion is not with me) 11.1

ê'wkurga ginenčeññitew-i-git however, you have frightened me 15.10

 $\hat{e}'wkurga\ tilv-a'minan\ trene'lh\ddot{a}$  (if I do so) however, I shall be all alone 31.13–32.1

ê'wkurga Nota's qa-Va'ırga nênanwêthawa'tqên however, the Ground-Beings spoke to me (against my will) 24.9

egei', ê'wkurga ča'mam all right, however, (it will be) in vain 108.30

ê'wkurga-m čotolêu' o'čo nine'lh-i-ŭm, however, that under my pillow I have for my leader 128.13

êwkurga-m 110'n vai nitermeče'nqin however, he does much violence 66.26

See also 45.7; 66.14; 79.20; 84.6; 85.8

e'pte likewise, in the same manner

qän·ve'r kĭme'k êna'nmuê<sup>ε</sup> e'pte gŭm at this time almost you killed me likewise 121.16, 17

attau'  $a^{\epsilon}$ 'ttu mılhı' $a^{\epsilon}$ n e'pte gŭm simply as a dog I'll use it (I) likewise 135.20–21

e'pte gınni'g-gili'lıt ne'mäqäi gına'n nêna'nmê-gıt likewise the game procurers also thou has killed 44,9-10

a'men ŭm elo'n e'pte gŭm miñau'tingaek let me likewise take a wife R12.8

### emIte't at once, just now

emīte't ŭm muwê'ññītaaq I shall go for (my) body 31.12 (see 32.2 emīte't ŭm in final position

emite't ŭm tê'rgilin ra'qal ñan even thus crying for what? 27.12 guq, emite't ŭm evi'rit qätei'kiginet oh, at once clothing make! 49.4

emite't-ŭm tipêla'nat nime'lqinet I just left them in safety (= good ones) 53.4

emite't-ŭm ataa'nkĕlin tê'rgilin they did not touch the one who cried, (so at once . . .)

emite't ŭm qagti'gin bring it at once 111.3 emite'tim tigite'äën I looked on her 88.30

## e'tI evidently, probably.

e'tım vai nıpa'tqênat vên va'kı evidently they cooked them secretly 9.9

e'tım nu'tenut mınnei'meukwä<sup>e</sup>n evidently we are approaching land 9.11

e'tım am gemge-nute'qin evidently from every country 11.4

e'tım a'mɛn kuwi'čın treɛ'tyäɛn evidently I brought Children's Death 20.1

e'tım ke'lek qäli'ketyi evidently thou wilt marry a kele 26.2

ê'tım wu'tku evidently he is here! 125.2

e'tım ñiro'rgarı there many have been three 97.26

ELO'n ŭm ê'tım evidently that! (a term expressing annoyance) 31.10; 108.22

# $\hat{e}'tIlIn$ necessarily

#### êto—

eto'qaia'qan ripkire'nnin after a while he brought her back 51.4

e'nmen êto'qaia'qañ ŭm gre'lgi<sup>e</sup> after a while he vomits 136.24-25 qai'vz-mač-êto'pêl indeed I am a little better 135.7-8

erre'č git êto' pêl thou art most fit 135.19

eto'pêl en ki iwkuči'i she better drank then (i. e. she could drink then) 37.4

ê'toqon wo'tqan qäimi'tgin will you take this one?

ê'toqon mirrenu'tergi-git shall we bury thee rather in the ground? R 60.23

e'nmen, En'qam, Enřa'q Then, coordinating conjunctions. Of these enme'n seems to express the most definite temporal sequence, En'qa'm a closer temporal connection, while Enřa'q should be translated in turn and indicates a still closer connection. It seems to depend upon the liveliness of the narrative which of these three is used. The first one is the most frequent connective conjunction, although a constant use of En'qam is not rare 62.6 et seq.

The difference between e'nmen and en'qa'm appears most clearly when their use alternates; as in the following examples.

En qu'm Enqu'n Umqäqäi'ınä rılhındigiwe'nnin ñe'us qät. e'nmen lu'ur e'gripgi<sup>©</sup> At that time U'mqäqäi pointed with his finger at the woman. Then thereafter she felt pain 63.7-8

En qa'm Enqa'n Umqäqäi'ınti geginteu'linet; e'nmen qu'ttirgin qa'at nerri'net at that time U'mqäqäi and his people fled; then the others untied the reindeer 63.10-11

En·qa'm nıte'giñqin . . . e'nmen nıten·ñe'w-i-ŭm . . . En·qa'm gi'ulin At that time she sniffed . . . . then I laughed a little; . . . then she said 72.11-13

e'nmen ya'yak qamıtvača'qên mı'tqak, En:qa'm Enqa'n rıyırrai'nênat then the gulls ate all the blubber and at that time they anointed them

en'men uwi'lkan qätei'kigin . . . En'qa'm dinčikou'ti qineni'ntrithen make a woodpile and throw me into the fire! 31.12-13

In all these examples, the impression is conveyed that  $en \cdot qa'm$  signifies a closer connection than e'nmen.

The form  $en\check{r}a'q$  is parallel to  $me'rgin-\check{r}aq$  we next 69.22 and  $g\check{u}m-\check{r}aq$  i next 77.21 Its meaning in turn this time appears clearly 17, 23, 96.11.

enřa'q appears also together with e'nmen

e'nmen nute's qün enřa'q nuwêthau'qên then this time he spoke to the ground 15.9-10

e'nmen ɛnřa'q ya'yakıt namıngukwa'arkınat then in turn he rewarded the gulls 74.28-29

In the beginning of a story e'nmen means once upon a time. § 128

#### e'nmeč because

va'nêvan nı'tvınên, e'nmeč ŭm nayılhau'nên she did not tell him anything because she feared his anger 88.22-23

e'nmeč ŭm e'un pŭki'rgi<sup>ɛ</sup> ya'rak garančêmau'lên uwä<sup>ɛ</sup>'quč when she came home, her husband had broken the tent 30.10–11

e'nmeč ŭm ñan e'čhi wulqätvi'i<sup>c</sup>, keñkele'nnin because of this, before evening came, he made her descend 97.5-6

e'nmeč ä'qälpe because of this, hurry up!

e'nmeč geplı'tkulin and already it is finished

e'nmeč qui'mik ta²la'iorkin already I soil my trousers 94.19

e'nmeč wı'yolu qi'nelhı'rkın already you shall have me for a servant 95.7, also 95.15

#### eče'nur eču'ur

eče'nur vintuwı'lin it shall be (this way) a well trained one 24.6 eče'nur . . . veime'nu nere'lhıñın it shall be (this way) one who is kindly treated 25.8-9

guq, eče'nur yê'ta qäle'tik it shall be this way! (you shall) move on slowly 65.28

eču'ur yep vai atêvga'tka vai yegte' Ļe<sup>e</sup>t it shall be this way! as yet without crying (shall be) those living R 54.40

#### ečhi before

e'čhi ras·qêuño'ast čit nepi'rirkın qla'ulqai before they could enter they attacked the man 85.15

e'čhi yılqä'tyät ganto'lên before they had gone to sleep he went out 8.4

e'čhi eime'wkwi<sup>e</sup> irgiro'ňňoi before it approached the dawn came 9.12

See also 10.9, 12.10, 11; 13.3; 20.3; 31.3; 55.6, 8; 97.20

Followed by -rkin when about to-

e'čhi pelqänte'erkın . . . gapêkagta'ıên when about to come back, she fell down 97.20

e'čhi čit qamı'tvarkın lu'ur pi'rinin when she was about to eat, after that he caught her 87.12

e'nmen e'čhi re<sup>e</sup>'nılä te'grırkınin lu'ur i'wkwi<sup>e</sup> then, when the bow-man was about to fling the harpoon, after that he said 10.10

# elhIle'ñki in case, if

elhile'nki relue'nin in case you should see him

## erre'č only

erre'č qun ñe'ekik an only daughter R 12.10 erre'č enqa'n ñe'ekik (there was) only that daughter 28.2 erre'č ñiro'rgari there were only three of them 34.3 na'qam erre'č yi'liil ru'rkinin he eats only tongues 49.3 erre'č ŭm am-gitka't gegnu'linet only just the legs were left 51.4 erre'č ai'kolak moli'yñin ŭm on the bedskins was only blood 56.4-5 erre't-te'gin limit of end (i. e. it is the end); from -tegn limit (only in compounds) 64.2

erre'č mi'mil, yaë'rat mi'mil nine'uqin a'mkiničo only water, verily water they were consuming in quantities R 32.28

eke'In but (weaker than naga'm)

eke'ın gıt nıru'l-ı-gıt; gi'newän ŭm gŭmna'n me'čen kı tre'ntıñın but you are weak; İ, on the contrary, shall do it very well

ekälu'k

gını'k ei'uk ekälu'k at last for thee 19.4

ekeña'n, ečena'n I wish I could (with subjunctive b) ekeña'n gŭmna'n trepi'reäen I wish I could take it

eke'upčī and now, but now

eke'upči tipli'tkurkin and now I am finishing it

ia'm > Iyam why 19.5

ia'm elo'n ten ñe'urkin why are you laughing 30.3

ia'm pegči'ñu nine'lhi-gir ora'wêṭan why doest thou meddle with man ? (lit. to meddling interest doest thou become) 23.11

ia'm gemge'-ginni'k qo'nmu'an why do you kill all the game?
92.32

iu'kä oh if! I wish—

 $guq,\,iu'k\ddot{a}~qaia'qa\tilde{n}~minqami'tvarkin~I$  wish we could eat more 65.4

guq, iu'kä mınpontorkın-ê-gıt I wish I could eat of your liver! 95.19

 $iu'k\ddot{a}$  ño'onqan  $mini'nm\check{u}rkin$  I wish we might kill this one 70.22

i'ppe, yI'pe actually

En qa'm i'ppe mŭkiči'yñin . . . gata'lên then actually very many . . . moved 11.7

e'un r'pe kele'tä gayo''laat now really kele visit them 106.8 i'pe-qun really 45.3

găm, gu'nä li'i-i'ppe ti'urkın I, indeed, quite truly say 57.2

 $i^{arepsilon'}tik$ 

i<sup>e</sup>'tik a'men ärunte'erkin in reality thou desirest 24.11 qarê'mên ora'wêlan, i<sup>e</sup>'tik ŭm ke'le (she is) not a human being, in reality she is a ke'le 29.9

qarê'mên iş'tık lŭ'mñıl this is not a real myth 61.5
gık, attau' iş'tık ŭm tişluş'äşn in vain, if in reality I had seen
him 121.6

 $gale^{\epsilon}o\check{c}ina'-mer\hat{e}~i^{\epsilon'}tik~\check{u}m$  in reality we have met 121.23 § 128

Nota's qa-Va'ırga leule'wu ine'lhii li'en i tik the Ground-Beings induce me to do wrong, just really 25.1

li'en ië'tik amñi'čvinla gü'mik rinike'urkin qarê'm milimala'ññoaëk just really the angry ones order me to do something, let me not obey them 21.10

i<sup>e</sup>'tig lŭ'mña Tño'tirgê-git in reality again, thou art Tňo'irgin i<sup>e</sup>'tig lŭ'mña qailhina'n gêt eñe'ñetvii in reality again he has acquired real shamanistic power 19.11-12

#### $i^{\varepsilon'}nqun$ lest

 $n\hat{e}naio'q\hat{e}n$   $i^{\varepsilon'}nqun$   $nere'lu^{\varepsilon}\tilde{n}in$  she shoved it in, lest they should find it 29.3

··· i i nqun vai kıntaya'n ragno'urkın vê'tı gınni'k lest even the lucky one should feel great scarcity of game 42.3

nini'uqinet, titi't remle'gıtkı, i'nqun nere'luënın he said to them, "You will break the needles!" lest they should look at them 82.12

## i'gIt now

i'gir i'mi Nota's qa-Va'irgin narataaro'ñiñoñin now also the Ground-Beings shall be given sacrifices 25.1-2

qu'num i'git ŭm minra'gtatyaen let me now take it home 121.28 i'git-ŭm-rio'n o'ra tiyoe'wkut now I have come to thee openly 123.18

### ya'not first

go,gŭm ŭm ya'net oh, I first 43.9

ya'nřa separately, alone

nıtkiu'qin ya'nra she passes the nights by herself 28.3

 $ya^{\epsilon'}rat$  very (sometimes  $ya^{\epsilon'}čat$ )

nsthi'lqinet yaë'rat very hot ones 9.9
yaë'rat nite'nqinet very good ones 14.8
nste'ñqin yaë'rat a very pretty one 36.3
eñeñitvi'ië yaë'rat he acquired great shamanistic power 35.10
yaë'ran niglo'qên she sorrowed very much 27.10
a'men ŭm yaë'rat verily! 85.2

## yaka'n -kIn probably 9.13

yäqqäi a particle giving a slightly emphatic shade to the phrase, like German "ja"

yäqqäi' En:qa'm pe'le tre'etyä<sup>e</sup> I'll soon be back (ich werde ja bald wiederkommen) 30.8

yäqqäi' ŭm qu'num tu'ri qarê'mêna-terê ye are not (human beings) (ihr seid ja doch nicht Menschen) 85.4-5

"mi'nkri-m-e'un yäqqäi' gumna'n mılu" aën tuwêlvača'arkın how is it then? I shall find him. I am unable to do it (ich soll ihn ja finden) 124.3

yäqqäi'-ñan git tratara'ññae for thee I'll pitch the tent (für dich will ich ja das Zelt aufstellen) R 61.38

yäqqäi' ora'wêṇa-taiñatıčha'tıl-ê-qıt you are a murderer of men (du bist ja ein Mörder) 94.6

yäqqäi' ŭm räë'nut what is it? (ja, was soll das denn?) 111.3 yäqqäi' ŭm yaqtalê'n'vo trye'tyäëk have I come for life? (bin ich etwa gekommen, um mein Leben zu erhalten) 113.26

ye<sup>e</sup>li'i (evidently containing the element li'i TRULY, REALLY, see also uzi'i, venli'i, miteli'i, qäzi'i, quzi'i)

e'nmen ye'li'i Enqa'n is he the only one? 21.13 ye'li'i gŭmna'n rather (let) me (be the one) Also R 12.7

yu'raq perhaps.

opo'po exhortative

opo'pe garai'-git minle'git thou hast a home, let me take thee there 89.7

opo'pŭ mɪnpa'awkut let us stop! 98.6 opo'po mɪtalai'ruut let me give thee a beating R 61.50

o'ptıma like (see Koryak Kamenskoye opta)

o'ra openly

gina'n qanra'qtatyaen o'ra if thou shouldst take it home openly 121.30

i'gıt-ŭm-ılo'n o'ra tıyo''wkut I have come to thee openly

uru'ur it seems that

u'rri thus, so

u'rri năplu⁵tvi'qin it was so small 20.3

u'rri lı'ñkı nămqıtvi'qin thus becoming it decreased in size 20.4 qaqno'pgê êrıčıkou'tı u'rri ɛñ·ñi'n sit with head bent down in your clothes thus 32.4

u'rri mingi'linin ri'nnin thus he did (with) his hand 57.10 u'rri nan gini'n . . . thus it is yours . . . 93.9-10

wu'rrI thus

na'qam ŭm neyule'tqin wu'rrı still he was alive (although he was) in this condition 50.3

e'nmen vai wu'rrı enqa'n gama'tıñolên there thus that one dragged her 51.1

Enqa'n Ena'n čini't wu'rri ni'tqin that one there herself was thus 26.9

e'nmen wu'rri puulge'wkwie then he floated thus 77.23

e'nmen E'nıkıt rilu'tku wu'rri gırgola'gtı there at once he moved thus upward 16.5

u'nmŭk greatly, strongly

uLi'i in this case indeed (or besides indeed?) (containing the element li'i REALLY, TRULY; see also yeeli'i, venli'i, miteli'i, qüli'i, quli'i)

uzī'i yara'nı qamata'gın wu'kwên then take also my stone-house

92.4 (in the same way 92.14, 24; 93.3, 8, 18, 26)

ve't1 really, truly, very, at once; an intensifying particle

ve'tı veime'nu nere'lhıñın truly friendly he will be treated 25.9 vêtı gınni'k ŭm a'mɛn nenankêttuwa'tqên truly they made game scarce by means of magic 42.4

vê'tı nımei' enqin he was very large 73.9

vê'tı nıqe'tvŭqin he was very strong 47.3

vê'tı nara'nmuntık it will kill you at once 70.12

vê'tı-m re<sup>ɛ</sup>'w nêna'nmŭqên he killed really (many) whales 73.3 a'lımı quwalo'mŭrkın ŭm vê'tı but obey me strictly 88.10–11 trañautı'ñırkın vê'tɛ qun I shall marry at once 57.2 nıre'viɛnqin vê'tı he really wanted to die 99.27

vê'tı, qaya'arkınat ñan do sing it again! 120.24 vetči'in ŭm, velči'in for my part granted!

vê'nom

vê'nom ergina'n let them (be) 55.11 Also 56.1

vên·va'kI secretly (see vi'n·vi)

venli'i unexpectedly 60.7; 61.2; 69.33 (Bogoras: still, meanwhile, notwithstanding), (containing the element li'i really, truly; see also  $ye^{\epsilon}li'i$ ,  $u\iota i'i$ , miteli'i,  $q\ddot{a}\iota i'i$ ,  $qu\iota i'i$ )

qän·ve'r met·ki'it venli'i ŭm a men rıma'gtı nine'lqin at this time somehow unexpectedly to the other side it came (i. e.

nevertheless it came across somehow) 40.7

venli'i leu'tı kı'plınên unexpectedly he hit him on the head 45.12 qai've teiñele'erkın, venli'i aa'lomka i'irkın indeed, I blame him; unexpectedly he does not obey

na'qam pa'nêna venli'i nuurgeimeu'qin but unexpectedly more

thunder approached 69.30

ne'me čuwi'pīt nīmei'netqin venli'i unexpectedly the remaining piece also was growing in size 72.18

venli'i nıtiu'qin unexpectedly he is persistent 137.15 Also 74.4: 137.13

vele'r, vele'r-ŭm, vele'r ŭm ñau at least (Kor. Kam. va'lan) limited qualification of action)

gailo'kım mi'nkri, vele'r-ŭm wo'tqan indeed, how then, at least this one?

vele'r-um mıčaqaro'a'k at least I will eat some sugar R 65.124 vele'r-ım yara'ñı qa'tvata although a house had been there (lit. at least with house's being) 31.6

vele'rčimče't<br/>ä $q\hat{e}nata'g\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}$  at least move near! 37.10 (see also 37.9,13)

vele'rım mıtwêt ha'urkın at least I can talk with thee 32.1 vele'r-qun, vele's -qun at least (with a shade of anger) R 72.20

vien < viyen just, simply

tıkimıče'erkın am, vi'en mewkwe'tyä\*k I am staying too long, just let me depart

vi'en pŭki'rgı êuño'at he just arrived (and) they began to speak 110.3

go, vi'en qra'gtıtık just go home! 45.9

vi'en aa'lomkėl-ê-git thou just doest not listen 54.11

vI'n·vI, vên·va'kI secretly 108.14

pILa', pILa'q apparently, pretending pILa' vi<sup>e</sup>'lin pretending death 82.4; 124.6

 $pl\ddot{a}gi'$  that is all! 107.21 (from stem pl— to finish)

mel, meč, like, somewhat like (see § 113.10, 11).

mei

ka'ko mei oho, there! 14.5

met-ki'tkit, met-ki'it (?)

me'čiču besides

i'tkenin ŭm me'čičŭ êrga'wkwê he robbed him and ridiculed him besides

mač exhortative particle

mač gumna'n let me be the one!

mač irgina'n let them be the ones! R 62.70

ma'čınan < mač-Ena'n let it be (impersonal)

ma'čınan čei'vä let it be (done) on foot R 60.21

mite' of course 121.6

miteli'i undoubtedly (containing the element li'i REALLY, TRULY; see also yeeli'i, uli'i, venli'i, qüli'i, quli'i)

miteli'i tıla'nvu va'rkın ritu undoubtedly there is a stranded carcass 64.18

miteli'i ranto'ae undoubtedly she will come out 82.21

miteli'i kitkin u'qai rakêrga'tya undoubtedly a small bright spot will appear 118.6

mitiu' (perhaps mithiu') I thought—

mitiu' ene'nılı-gıt I thought thou wert a shaman 22.3

mitiu' ke'le-i-git I thought thou wert a kele 15.11

mıti'ŭm Elo'n qäigi'pe viri'irkın we thought he really wanted to die R 52.7

### tam, tagam all right!

ge, tam! oh, all right! 121.28

gi, tam, a'men! oh, all right then! 84.14

1, tam! yes, all right 84.19

## te'naq if perhaps (always with future)

te'naq nara'nmı-ŭm if perhaps they should kill me

### $te'\check{c}e-\tilde{n}$ how many times

ne'me te'če-ñ giiwi'i<sup>e</sup> again how many times a year passed (i. e. after several years) 12.8

### naqa'm however, but

utte'mil nımayınqana'čhın naqa'm pe'le nŭmqıtvi'qin like a tree was he large, but soon he decreased (in size) 20.2

nara'nmŭngên na'qam . . . nênalwau'qên they wanted to kill him, but . . . they could not do it 36.1-2.

na'qam nın'ni'uqin ne'me but they ordered him again 59.6

u'ttäqai—na'qam enqa'n gelelu'qäglin it is little piece of wood!— But it has whiskers 75.4-5

na'qam čemi'ngit yito'nenat but (this time) she pulled out a pair of gloves 111.5-6; also 76.4, 6, 24

na'qam ŭm is more strongly adversative

guq, naqa'm ŭm re'qä but with what then? 34.9

naga'm ŭm ño'ongan but this one 35.1

na'qam ŭm tew-mu'rılın but this one's blood was good 117.14 na'qam ŭm nıqe'tvuqin but this one was strong 66.20

## With a'men it is strongly adversative

e'nmen qu'ttırgın qa'at pe'reqäi nerri'net, na'qam a'men Umqäqäi'in . . . nênalwau'qên then the others' reindeer quickly were untied, but on the other hand Umqäqäi''s . . . could not 63.11-12

a'men ŭm naqa'm inpilu'tkul-i-git you on the other hand, are an old shamanistic practitioner 39.4

naqa'm lămña (literally but again). In this complex the adversative meaning is not always marked. It seems to mean AFTER ALL THAT HAS HAPPENED.

naqa'm lŭmña ña'wtingês after all, he married 58.7–8 naqa'm lŭ'mña gaa'qelên after all he sat down 98.24

na'qam lŭ'mña inennike'wkwi<sup>e</sup> after all I am treated thus 98.28-29

 $na'qam l\check{n}'m\bar{n}a$   $\check{c}eq-\hat{e}'\check{c}\check{c}aq$  after all, quite on the surface (?) 102.25-26

inenpelqu'utkălin ŭm wot, naqa'm lŭ'mña he can not be vanquished, after all 114.27

na qam lŭ'mā i'liil ui'ā ne'lyi after all, the rain stopped 116.11-12

naqa'm lŭ'mña gınni'k reurre'tyä<sup>e</sup> after all that has been done game shall appear 25.6

Clearly adversative are:

naqa'm lŭ'mña awgê'tkınka nevertheless they did not say anything 26.6

e'nmen ım-nıkê'řêt nıkamagra'qên, naqa'm lŭ'mña va<sup>ɛ</sup>glıñın no'mräqên then the whole night he struggled, however the grass (with which he was tied) was (too) tough (to be torn) 20.10-11.

It is also used before nominal forms, pronouns, and nominalized verbs

kıčauča'tyê na'qam wus qŭ'mčıku, na'qam nıki'tä he galloped off notwithstanding the darkness, notwithstanding the nighttime 57.5

na'qam yo'yo q\(\alpha\)n've'r . . . naa'lomga\(\epsilon\)n notwithstanding the wind, just at that time they heard it 34.4

eñe'ñılın naqa'm go'rgulên but the shaman had a sledge 14.10

naqa'm am-gina'n but only thou (i. e., but you are all alone) 30.3

Apparently following the verb to which it belongs:

gapê'nřilên na'qam, ganmitkoi'vulên but they were attacked, they were slaughtered 12.4 ni'nägin ŭm naga'm but this one was swift 40.4-5

ne'me again

ne'me gitte'wkwi<sup>ɛ</sup> again thou art hungry 9.13 ne'me cipe'tyi<sup>ɛ</sup> again he dived 10.1 gu, ne'me oh, again 36.6!

*ne'mäqäi* also

e'nmen ŭm Ai'wanat ŭm ne'mäqä'i they are also Aiwan 7.9 e'nmen ne'mäqäi, geri'ñelin then he also had flown up 15.3 e'pte gɪnni'g-gili'lit ne'mäqäi gɪna'n nêna'nmê-gɪt likewise the game procurers also thou hast killed 44.9–10

nemäqäi gümna'n I also 93.13

ne'mäqäi Enqa'n eñe'ñılın nıpe'gtımet also that shaman is hauling a sledge 14.12–15.1

neqe'm but, nevertheless

gık,neqe'm e'un qarê'm e'un, gık oh, but it was not there 27.11 neqe'm ŭm lı'ı-vêññolın nigtaqin vê ɛ-re'mkä nevertheless having just died, he is taken away by the dead people R 52.12

 $\check{c}I'mq\check{u}q$  partly, somewhat

či'mqŭq nŭ'mkäqin re'mkin nimitva'lin somewhat many people were encamped 58.9

či'mqŭq enqa'n niqulile'tqin in part they were noisy 60.9

či'mqŭk kuke'čiku e'ret nitva'qên partly in the kettle boiled meat was (left) 75.11

 $e'nmen\ vai\ y\hat{e}'tvu\hat{e}^{\varepsilon}\ \check{c}_{l}'mq\check{u}k$  then it grew somewhat brighter  $94.22{-}23$ 

### ča'ma also

ča'ma enqa'n mač-êwga'n tıtvu'rkın also this is an incantation I tell 39.13

ča'ma mu' La e'ur also with blood (they sacrifice) 41.11

ča'ma li'en ai'makık na<br/>elai'oqên he also defecated on the carcass  $81.6\,$ 

ča'ma qu'tti ga'nmılaat also the others were killed 98.3

 $tinin-la'li-m\dot{\theta}'r\hat{\epsilon}$  vai ča'ma we come here also carrying antlers 121.20

ča'ma nuwêthau'qaat orawêĻa'-mêl they also talk like men 64.10 guq, a'mɛn ŭm na'qam ŭm gai'mɪč-ai'wan ča'ma oh, he was however a rich Aiwan 50.7

See also 42.3

#### če'mit therefore

če'mıt gü'muk êna'tvat epki'rkä nıtva'qen therefore to me promised gifts do not come 93.16

go, e'ur qu'num če'met li'i iulule'tyi<sup>ɛ</sup> lŭ'mña walqa'ııñın oh, and therefore really has become long again the jaw 45.8

## čê'nêt since, because

 $\tilde{c}\hat{e}'\tilde{n}\hat{e}t$ ım vin<br/>ř $\hat{e}'t\imath l\imath t$ nŭ'mqäqäet since there are many helpers R<br/> 4.44

čê'ñêt ŭm qaalvılu'ɛn·ñi'n· ñu'rri nıtva'qen since the buck is there on its back R 4.34

čê'ñêt vê''lıčhın, ia'm mınyo''a'n since he is dead, why should we visit him? 108.13-14

čê'ñêt ŭmɛnnê'n:-mi'mlä giwkuči'tä since they drink one water R 45.13-14

čê'ñêt gumni'n E'nni-ku'prên u'iñä Enmêqa'eti tralva'wñin since
I have no fish nets, I cannot trade in fish R 46.47–48

gu, če'ñet ŭm ili'ṇä-muri oh, since we are on an island! (an exclamatory phrase) 11.11

#### čite'un

cit gămik ga'tvalen, cite'un ta'n ñik titva'rkin ăm i'git before it was with me, and later (now) with the Russians I am staying now R 45.19

čit ai'vanana me'tal rīnřīrkīnin . . . čite'un ŭm gŭmna'n wu'tku tī nři'qä n before the Aivan kept the medal, . . . and later (now) here I should (like to) keep it R 45.20-21

čite'un akka'gtı tıtêggê'ñırkın and later (now) with (my) son I should like (to be) R 46.38

čite'un kınta'ırga memilqa'a na<sup>e</sup>na'lpınřie<sup>e</sup> later on (now) good luck may give me seals R 46.42

čite'un a<sup>e</sup>'ttılu a'lvañqan nıta'qênat and later on some dog-drivers were moving on in unwanted directions R 32.38

inpič-ekke'tä riri'lpinnên čite'un vê-wgênto'ê the eldest son was with him later on then he gave up his breath (i. e. that he might die an easier death) R 49.15

## le'ñItaq already (?)

le'nıtaq ŭm napêla'an ŭm vai ne'ekik already they had left this daughter 30.12

leñita'q ŭm... čŭmña'čhin na'nmuga\*n already they had slaughtered the reindeer-buck R 52.7

li'en and simply, and only; restricted action

nineimeu'qinet, li'en as'ttä gape'nrčilên they approached, the dogs just jumped at them 111.21

li'en êlhipêra'rkın e'če it is simply white with fat 81.27

ta'yolhın ŭm lo'lo li'en: the needle-case was simply his penis 82.13 See also 67.19; 81.6; 86.8, 12, 25; 87.1, 28.

li'en  $i^{\varepsilon'}tIk$  (see  $i^{\varepsilon'}tIk$   $\check{u}m$ ) I tell you what (implying contempt) R 61.1

li'en i<sup>e</sup>'tık kêma'wkurgê-gıt and let me tell you that you are causing delay

li'en i<sup>e</sup>'tık ra'ññıtaê and may I ask you what do you want here li'en ŭm i<sup>e</sup>'tık e<sup>e</sup>'tqi and really, as I tell you, it is bad 11.3 attau' li'en utterly in vain (see atau' p. 854)

luu, before vowels lu'un just, just like loon-ai've just yesterday

li'i really

En·nata'l ŭm li'i tı'lhı-gır from now on I shall really know thee 93.21-22

li'i e $\~ne\~ntvi'i^{\varepsilon}$  he has really acquired shamanistic power 18.4

lu'ur After that, thereupon always refers back to a preceding event.

e'nmen ai'gêpŭ eiñe'utkui<sup>e</sup>, goq, lu'ur qa'at ye'tyä<sup>e</sup>t and he called to the east; oh, thereupon reindeer came 108.32

atčau' luwau'nen, lu'ur yopa'tyê she could not wait, thereupon she went to look 30.13

lu'urwêthau'ñoê<br/>e thereupon he began to speak 31.11

lu'ur pintiqoro'a<sup>e</sup>t thereupon they began to emerge 102.23

kıye'wkwä<sup>ɛ</sup>t. e'nmen lu'ur qla'ul ye'tyi<sup>ɛ</sup> they awake. Then, after that, a man came 66.11

rılhındigiwe'nnin; e'nmen lu'ur e'gripgi<sup>e</sup> he pointed at her with a finger; thereupon she felt pain 63.7

See also 8.5; 10.8, 10; 15.1; 29.6; 61.9; 68.11; 70.27

lu'un matali'yñin êuñoi' thereupon the father-in-law said 114.9

### *lŭ'mña* again

gu, mê'nko lŭ'mna pı'ntıqätyi oh, whence doest thou appear again ? 10.12

ra' nota' čhit lŭ' mña what are these again? 14.3-4 naga'm lŭ' mña . . . ergewe' tyi but again he dived 17.4 See a-lŭ' mña p. 854.

### rIpe't even

rıpe't têrga'tyê<sup>€</sup> he even began to cry

eyı'lqakğlinet rıpe't ell' git without sleep were even the parents 34.3-4

lile'-mi'mlä nıpyuči'tqin rıpe't the eye fluid even spurted out 106.19

rıpe't ge'mu lı'ngä<sup>ɛ</sup>n you did not even mind it 109.25

e'nmen ripe't tênaslai'okwut I even eased myself over you 109.23

### rattan·ñauñIn enough 65.6

#### re'en I confess

-řam with personal pronouns MY, THY, HIS TURN (perhaps < enřaq ŭm, gŭm-ra'q ŭm it is my turn)

e'ŭn nipampiĉe'teqen enřa'm či'čhinčiku aŭnra'lin he puts on tufts of reindeer hair in their turn in the armpits of the owner (i. e. the owner puts on . . . ) R 4.46

Enřa'm rımne'tä gaikola' Leet this time they spread the skins the inside upward R 59.13

enřa'm nimtu'mqäqäi eñinqä'ikĕlin on his part their camp companion has no child R 12.11-12

# kIme'l, qIme'l at once (?)

kıme'l e'lhu ine'tčii<sup>ɛ</sup> at once he has a liking for me 137.14 (see also 137.5, 11)

Enqa'm naus qatê'tı qıme'l then at once (he said) to the woman 58.6

### kime'k almost

kıme'k miti'nmuut almost we killed thee 10.11

a'mɛn-ŭm-ılo'n tı'nma ine'ntii<sup>ɛ</sup> kıme'k but now you did almost kill one 123.17–18

qän·ve'r kime'k ênanmüê<sup>e</sup> e'pte güm this time you have almost killed me 121.16-17. See also 66.35; 71.6; 85.27; 128.12.

# kīta', kīta'm, kitau

kıtau'

kıtau'qun, mi'ñkri ni'tqin now then! how was he? 17.12 (kıta'm qun 18.1) §128

kıtau' qun a<sup>e</sup>tti'yna ninenyeştele'nmık well then! the big dog saved us 106.26

kıta'

kıta' ña'nko go ahead! (bring him) here! 20.1 kıta'm 46.4; 79.1; 80.10; 87.8; 94.9; 110.20; 113.21; 124.2; kıta'm qun 16.6; 18.4; kıta'm qu'num 21.5 well then! kıta'm ñu'nři now then (bring home) here! 23.2 guq, kıta'm lŭ'mña well! now then again! 68.17

It may be separated from the imperative or subjunctive by a clause

kıta'm e'ur li'ê-va'ırıñkı gañau'tıñ-ê-gıt qailhına'n gêt, vai u'mkı qagtı'gın now then, if among real being (gods) thou hast married really, here a polar bear bring! 110.5-6. Compare 110.9-10.

kIta'tkE unfortunately 25.12

kI'tvil-qun notwithstanding

kI'nmal together (?)

ki'nmal minuñe'lmik let us go for fuel! 30.6
ki'nmal . . . piligili'lit . . . . gina'n nêna'nmê-git thou wert
killing at once (?) the food procurers 44.9. See also 83.26

kīrga'm ŭm a'mEn, kīrga'm ŭm a'mi well now! 121.4 kite' seldom

ki'tkit a little

ki'tkit nıten ne'w-i-m I laughed a little 72.13 ki'tkit qänve'ntetyi open it a little 94.21, 34 ki'tkit nuurre'tqinet they were a little visible 95.30

ku'likä alone 24.10.

qaia'qañ a while

e'nmen qaia'qañ tıle'ä<sup>ɛ</sup>t they walked a while 64.8. See also 66.8. guk,iu'kä qaia'qañ mɪnqamı'tvarkın I wish we could eat a while 65.4

qaia'qan e'ur neimeu'qin for a while he approached 66.13 qaia'qan e'ŭli-gêlêñño'êε ven-čŭmñ'a'chɪn for a while the trained reindeer-buck was looking for urine R 13.26

Eto'-qaia'qañ rıpkire'nnin after a while he brought her back 51.4 qüll'i êto'-qaia'qañ gewkwe'lin after a while he departed 45.11

qai'vE indeed, truly, really.

qai've qine'ilhie really, give (it) to me 16.1

enqa'n qai've Iu'metui-gir thou art really Iu'metun
qai've-m i'mi yei'velqäi nu'tek tămñ-alva'lag rayı'lqañño indeed,
also a little orphan in the country anywhere may (will) sleep
24.10-11

guq, qai've-m ñan me'čen'kı tre'ntı'ñın oh, indeed, I shall be able to manage him 67.22

Also 23.6; 80.27; 85.3; 92.23; 97.14

### qailo'kIm

gık, qailo'kım re'qä qäta'lıñıtkı with what will you answer 14.2 qailo'kım mi'ñkri mıñi'ntın how shall we act 53.1

qailo'kım wu'tku mı'ntı-gır how should I keep thee here 109.30 qailo'kım pe'nin wolvıtva'lın before he was motionless 125.4

qailo'kim ele'nyutä riri'lpinnen the younger brother accompanied him R 50.22-23

qailh Ina'n gêt, qaglêna'n gêt and actually

qailhina'n gêt li'ê-tanicê'tiñoê and actually, he began to feel quite well 33.5

guq, qailhına'ngêt ŭm ne'luen and indeed, they saw it 10.3 qailhına'ngêt nı'rgıpatqên and actually, he was talked about 17.5, 7

Also, 15.7; 18.3; 67.22; 80.27; 104.9

qa'tin just so 127.10

qalêlE vertically

qäi-I'pe, qäigi'pe really, in truth

qäi-i'pe li'ê-va'ırıñkı gañau'tıñ-ê-gıt really among the gods (real beings) you married 110.30-31

mīti'ŭm Elo'n qäigi'pe viri'irkīn we thought he really wanted to die R 52.7

qäi'ñun it seems (Kor. II, Pallen qa'iñun Kor. 90.2)

qä'iñun tu'rgin re'mkın e<sup>ɛ</sup>'tqi it seems your people are bad 8.9–10 tu'rgin re'mkın qä'iñun re'lqıä it seems your people will appear 10.4

qa'inun meti'u rine'lhii<sup>e</sup> certainly I shall not be believed by them 19.8

Also 21.2, 5, 12; 24.2.

# qänu'r like, as

gene'ti'net qänu'r pe'welti they became like bladders 9.4 mi'ñkri va'lıt qänu'r aë'ttwukin pu'ttıñet how big! like holes of a boat (cover) 14.6

naqa'm lŭ'mña qänu'r mêmlıčıkou'tı ergewe'tyis but again as into [the inside of] water he dived 17.4

qünu'r vê<sup>ɛ</sup>lê'tı gılo'lên as for a dead one she mourned 27.12

e'nmen qänu'r as'ttın arê'ta nı'ntäqin then like a dog they held him back 66.17

kele'tä va'nêvan elu<sup>ɛ</sup>'kä qänu'r mergina'n i'git ka'mak va'nêvan eu'rrekžlin to the spirits they are invisible, as to us now an evil spirit is invisible 62.1-2

· · · qänu'r vai mu'rgin re'mkın like our people here 61.6

e'nmen a'nı qänu'r qun nute's qän then it was just like earth 8.6 qänu'r qun wı'lquul just like coal 22.7

qänu'r qun nıthı'lqinet they were like hot ones 9.10

e'nmen qol qänu'r ınpına'čhın geñe'wänä then another one, like the last (namely in the preceding story) an old man with his wife 28.1

qänu'r li'en ne'ntiäen just as though simply they did to him 35.4 e'nmen qänu'r qun ničiite'ruqin then it was as though they were heated 9.8

qün've'r the fundamental meaning seems to be AT THIS MOMENT, AT THIS TIME

qän·ve'r gale olhsočina'-me'rê just at this moment we have met 121.15

qän·ve'r qınmı'lkinek tıl-eime'wani'tqinet at this time they drew nearer 103.8

qän·ve'r gitte'pičin kele'kin i'wkwie at that moment the leader of the kelet said 104.26

e'nmen qän·ve'r neime'wkwä<sup>e</sup>n qän·ve'r ŭm a<sup>e</sup>ttɪ'yñɪn ŭm wêthau'ñoê at that moment they approached and at that moment the dog began to speak 103.19

Taaro'ñ-Va'ırgu ŭm qän've'r . . . Va'ırgu ne'teen a Sacrifice-(receiving-) Being at that time . . . a (spiritual) Being he became 41.9-10

Often it may be translated therefore.

qän've'r um têrgıla'tıñoê therefore (at this moment) she began to cry 31.7

lu'ur wo'tqan q\u00e4n've'r w\u00e4'rgirgin walo'mi\u00faon\u00ean afterwards, therefore, she began to hear this noise 32.9 (in the following lines, however, the translation AT THIS MOMENT is suitable)

 $q\ddot{a}\check{c}I'$ -qu'num as you like it(?) R 54.36

qäLi'i (<qä[t]-li'i) but in fact (containing the element li'i REALLY, TRULY; see also ye\*li'i, uzi'i, venli'i, miteli'i, quzi'i)

ni'mnim vai čı'mčeqäi va'rkın, Eiwhue'n qäzi'i a settlement is quite near there, but in fact St. Lawrence Islanders 7.7

qäli'i git but in fact, it is you 23.5

qäli'i Re'kkenit but in fact, they were Rekken 34.5

qäzi'i êto'-qaia'qañ gewkwe'zin in fact after a short time he departed 45.11

qäli'i rie'lin in fact (it was) the dead one 52.2

qä⊥i'i ɛnqa'n . . . ê'tın ye'tyi<sup>ε</sup> in fact this was the master 70.28-30

qäli'i pinle'nênat in fact, he asked them 70.30

qäzi'i notas qa'urkıt in fact they were digging the ground 71.9 qäzi'i qun ɛnqa'nat tei'n ñičıt in reality they were murderous 68.20

qäli'i ŭm qun qäi-aë'ttıqäi in fact it was (only) a pup 80.4 qäli'i ɛnqa'n . . . ela' in fact that was the mother 85.21-22 qäli'i pala'wkun yara'ñı in fact a funeral circle 108.17

#### qeteu' even now

garaqêčha'ıên qeteu' what has the bad one been doing, even now 31.9

qete'm, qette'm, kete'm just, just like qette'm gŭ'mnin yara'ñı just like my house kete'm plı'tku just as it is finished R 3.24

qo'nIrI, qo'nIrI-m, qo'nIrI e'ur (contracted also qi'en-e'ur) since

qol qoi'maron wŭ'thiči, tu'mgin enqa'n qo'nirim geñewtu'mgeleet enqa'nat the other rear sleeping room was in the middle, a strange person's (not a member of the family) that, because they were wife-companions (lived in group-marriage), these 53.9-10

qo'nırım ča'ma qo'čer-qla'ŭl-e-ŭm Enqana'ta ño'o-e-ŭm gene'l-i-ŭm because also a gambling-man I was, therefore poor I became R 45.27-28

qo'nırım gumni'n i'git i'rälqäl u'iñä . . . trê'lqätya<sup>ɛ</sup>q Velewkwaygo'iti because my now clothing material nothing . . . I'll go to Merchants Point (i. e. because I have no material) R 46.43-44

qo'nırı tılv-e'tqin-i-gıt since you are utterly bad

qo'nırım e'un Eli'gin i'mi viri'tägi'lin since (the) father has also died a voluntary death R 49.11. See also R 32.37

qo'nırım Eñe'neñe eiñe'wä ča'ma i'liil ŭm, qa'ko because he calls the East wind, it rains 132.20-21. Also R 13.21

qo'n·pŭ quite

qo'n·pŭ vi<sup>ε</sup>'i<sup>ε</sup> he was quite dead 83.21

qo'n pŭ nīmī'tvañño'a t they began to be quite a camp 107.19 gīk, qoñ pŭ nīnenmelewe'tqin he is made quite well 127.3; 135.12–13

qun, qu'num, qun-ŭm probably an emphatic particle, stronger than  $\check{u}m$  (p. 849) and ELO'n (p. 852). It stands in second position, generally following another particle

qänu'r qun wı'lquul just like coal 22.7

e'nmen qänu'r qun ničiite'ruqin they were just as though they had been heated 9.8-9

 $q\ddot{a}nu'r\ qun\ nithi'lqinet$  just as though they were hot 9.10  $q\ddot{a}'nur\ qun\ mi'mlik$  just as though (they were) in water 101.32

e'nmen a'nı qänu'r qun nute's qän they were just like land 8.6 a'nı qun qrilu'tkui valata thus it is! they move about with the knives 16.4

a'nı qun li'en re'pkirgä ratopa'wkwa thus it is! simply you will come home, she will be pregnant 104.4

a'nı qu'num te'kıčhın qänu'utkı thus it is! then eat the meat! 14.6

 $a'n_I qu'num qanto'\hat{e}^{\epsilon}$  come out! 81.27

a'nı qun, i'ppe qun thus it is! really! 94.8; also 45.3

*têrga'tyê⁵ qun ŭm* he cried 116.7

ki'tam qun eple'un li'i eñeñitvi'i did he this time attain shamanistic power? 18.4

kıta'm qun mi'nkri ni'tqin how is he this time? 18.1

kıta'm qun ê'nnıčhın qai'păgun this time put on the necklace 16.6

kıta'm qu'num mınırri'l-hıt this time we will let thee go 21.5-6 kıta'm qu'num inele'tti qatvu'gınat this time what shall there be for payment? 102.11

kıtau'qun a<sup>e</sup>tti'yna ninenyegtele'nmık this time the big dog saved us 106.26-27.

kıtau' qun mi'nkri ni'tqin how is he this time 17.12

attau'-qun non um Tno'tirgina minpêčarê'ra we are just going to Tno'tirgin for food 119.18

attau'-qun o'rgoor yê'ta qätči'qin just get the sledge ready 105.20 e'nmen -qun ra'qtie<sup>ɛ</sup> ELA' then the mother went home 30.10 qäĻ'i'i ŭm qun qäi-a<sup>ɛ</sup>'ttiqäi in fact, it was only a small pup 80.4

In the following examples qun follows verbs, verbal nouns and pronominal forms.

nipe'ät qu'num E'n'ki they went ashore there 71.12 panča'tik nimpe'qinet qu'num gi leaping it went ashore, indeed!

122.16

a'un-gêta'gtı pılhırra'ty<br/>äqu'numhe makes himself flat before them 83.28–84.1

ti'ñunin qu'num he pulled it out 84.7

ei'miunin ŭm qun he caught him 121.13

vi<sup>\*</sup>/lın ŭm qu'num ɛnqa'n nine'lqin dead that one had become (he had died) 125.10

i'me-räs'nut qu'num everything 107.2

mi'ākri qu'num mīthītte'urkīn it is because we are hungry 70.24 čei'vutkuisnīmnīmeitī, qu'num astto'rguqaia he went to the camp with a dog sledge 105.5

According to punctuation qunum is in initial position in the following example

ge, tam! qu'num i'atăm mrura' atatyaen let us take it home now! 121.27

It seems, however, that instead of *ge*, tam we might read kita'm as p. 21.5

quLi'i in this case indeed (containing the element li'i REALLY, TRULY; see also ye\*li'i, uli'i, venli'i, miteli'i, q\u00e4li'i)

quii'i va'añqan aº'ttin ni'ilhit in this case indeed I will give you that dog 121.24

gečeu'kI together.

githite' against one's will

gi'newän besides

 $\tilde{n}e'w\ddot{a}q$  strong emphasis

ñe'wäq gi'wä you do say 21.11

ñe'wäq ninemirke'w-i-ŭm I have been working hard 81.9

qai've ne'wäq ena'n čini't mini'uqin indeed, he himself did say it R 50.23

ñe'wäq qai'vE and indeed

### §129. Miscellaneous Adverbs and Conjunctions; Koryak

### ImI also

I'mi gaaqai'palen also it fitted badly Kor. 34.9
I'min yuqya'nu gana''linau they also become bumble bees Kor.

45.3

ımıñ gayai'tılen nevertheless he came home Kor. 42.8

I'mıñ . . . pla'ku wu'gwa gayi'lin also (her) boots they filled with stones Kor. 28.7

Also adj. Kor. 66.8, 72.14, 76.19

Ina'n-awi'wut quickly Kor. 70.12

Enna'n that one alone

Enna'n koro'wapel gana<sup>e</sup>'lin only the cow was left Kor. 78.12

Enna'niku from that time on Kor. 80.7

Enqa'ta

Enka'ta tĭḷai'vɪkɪn ñe'ṇa then a herd was walking about Kor. 21.8

Enqa'ta gassa'len qata'p-vai'am then he dragged a net along the bottom of the river Kor. 70.11

E'nki then, at that very moment

E'nki yu'la n gaplıtcu'linau then they finished what was to be eaten Kor. 50.1

E'nki tryanu'wgi then I shall eat you Kor. 78.18 §129

E'nki Ennı'mtıla<sup>e</sup>n pipi'tčuykın E'nki qata'p-e'mat va'ykın then Fish-Man was combing his hair; then a load of winter-fish was (there) Kor. 86.16

Enke' Enni'mtilaen then (there was) Fish-Man Kor. 88.15

#### $E\tilde{n}na^{\varepsilon'}an$ thus

 $en\tilde{n}a^{\epsilon'}an$  thus it is! Kor. 78.2

galqaṭin qaičayiċi'ña ɛnñaɛ'an wŭs·qŭ'mċiku she went groping thus in the dark Kor. 16.9-10

enñaε'an vañvolai'ke thus they lived Kor. 43.7

Enña'an gayıltelnıvo'lenat thus they began to lie down Kor. 82.10 gına'n Enña'an ina'ntı thou didst thus to me Kor. 88.2

gave Fox-Woman to him Kor. 70.14

Enña<sup>e</sup>'nvot gani'kalimau all at once something happened Kor. 70.17-18

#### Dual forms:

Enña<sup>e</sup>'anet gana'tvilen thus they brought him in Kor. 59.2 Enña<sup>e</sup>'anet patta<sup>e</sup>'la mani'ti gayı'ssalinat thus the two filled with dried meat two bags Kor. 70.21

ayi'kvan at least Kor. 18.1

Ina'n-awi'wut quickly Kor. 70.12

avi'ut Kor. 44.5

a'wun (Kor. II, Kor. Paren, Lesna e'wun Kor. 96.30; 97.17)
a'wun gaya'lqıwlinau and so they entered Kor. 80.18-19
a'wun im-la'wtalin and so his head became hairless Kor. 82.13
a'wun ui'ña and then there was no one Kor. 96.12 (=e'wun
i'tka Kor. II, Kor. 96.30: e'wune'te Paren, Kor. 97.17

awnu'p (?) Kor. 64.11

a'wgi falsely Kor. 88.14

am (Paren 1m)

qun-am nu'tak ui'ña ane'lhsyspnuka even in the open country we eat no inner skin Kor. 49.1

pe'nin qun-ım Uwe'ñpilıñ the same (former) little U'weñ (Paren) Kor. 92.7

### a'men

a'men gawgu' in and they tied her Kor. 23.4

a'men e'wañ and they said Kor. 23.6; 28.1

a'men yı'nna and now what! Kor. 28.2

a'čhi a'men gŭ'mkıñ ni'wi-qi just now like me thou wert talking Kor. 29.2

a'mu I do not know Kor. 55.3

atau vainly Kor. 61.3

ata'mtım in vain Kor. 30.8

#### $as \cdot s \cdot o'$ since

as's'o' qati' since you went away Kor. 18.5

a'ččič, aččo'č (Ch. erre'č) that is all, only, no more Kor. 62.8; 70.8 aččo'č Kor. 66.19; 68.19

### a'nam then, and so

 $me'\tilde{n}qa\tilde{n}$  a'nam qi'Linau how then did they become? Kor. 61.9-10

a'nam . . . gaļa'lin then he came to him Kor. 63.6

Also Kor. 66.6; 78.1

a'nam- $e^{\epsilon}$ 'en all right then! Kor. 30.5; 31.8  $e^{\epsilon}$ 'en a'nau all right then! Kor. 32.1 a'naqun and so Kor. 36.10

anuva't just as, just when

anuva't nıyatılqi'wqin, E'nki mıtyı'lqala just when he was about to come, we went to sleep

### a'lImI I wish it were!

a'lımı vai'čıta I wish (we would go) on foot Kor. 21.2-3 gina'n a'lımıñ qeti'gin I wish thou wouldst take it Kor. 72.24-74.1

### alva' other

alva'lin it is of different material Kor. 76.23

### a'kyel also

gayo'olenan, a'kyel ipa'ña they put it into it, also into the soup, Kor. 28.6

## $e^{\varepsilon'}en$ (Ch. e'ur) then, and

gayoe'olen, ee'en gavıe'yalin he visited him and he was dead Kor. 20.8

ya'nya e<sup>e</sup>'en ña'witqatu partly also women Kor. 44.2

e<sup>e</sup>'en . . . gamļawanka'wļen and she ended her dance Kor. 48.6 gakya'wlinau e<sup>e</sup>'en yaq ñi'ļñın ni'tın they awoke and what thong was there? (i. e. and there was no thong) Kor. 40.5

e'enač once upon a time Kor. 58.4

# e'wun (see a'wun)

matuļa'tīn e'wun mīssaitīļa'ñīn they stole it but we shall bring it back Kor. 40.8

# i'pa really; indeed Kor. 37.8

i'pa a'nam gı'ssa but really thou Kor. 66.6

# Also as adjectives:

i'pa kmi'ñın the real child Kor. 68.11 gümni'n i'pa qļa'wul vi<sup>\varepsilon</sup> imy real husband died Kor. 21.10-22.1 i'pa lı'qe-ta'ta our real father Kor. 74.20

*i'na*<sup>€</sup> quickly Kor. 39.2

qaye'm i'n'a nıya'tin he did not come back quickly Kor. 72.19

i'n·ač enough! Kor 30.4; 86.11, 18; 88.15

inya'wut (?) Kor 16.5

i'nmIq really, in truth

i'nmiq tapañañivo'ykin in truth it began to be heavy Kor. 51.8 i'nmiqu'năm all right! Kor. 28.1-2.

Also Kor. 61.3; 62.3

iñi'nnin1k in this manner Kor. 14.3 (from iñi'nñin such)
o'ya openly

ui'ña o'ya a'tvaka she was not (there) openly Kor. 76.14

o'pta also (Lesna: The whole; Kamchadal o'ptima The whole); Chukchee o'ptima like)

a'čın o'pta gei'lirin he also gave him fat Kor 15.4-5

qla'wul o'pta enka'ta tılai'vıkın a man also was walking there Kor. 21.9

Quyqınn'a'qu o'pta e'wañ Big-Raven also said Kor. 29.5 qo'na ai'ak o'pta . . . gayo'olen an other one she also put into the storeroom Kor. 55.1

See also Kor. 56.5

oma'ka together

Ama'mqut a'nke o'maka kaña'tıykın Ememqut was fishing together (with them) Kor. 44.10

e<sup>e</sup>'en ña'nyeu oma'ka 1'ssa and then together they (were her children) Kor. 61.2

oennen verily, indeed, Kor. 59.9

u'nmi (?) Kor. 74.10

ya'wač (?) Kor. 64.9

y'anya (Ch. ya'nřa) partly, separately

yaq (indefinite pronoun, see § 59) and now wu'tčin yaq yı'nna and this now, what is it? Kor. 36.9 ame'yaq na'wis'qat well, how is the wife Kor. 68.2

gin-ya'q thy turn Kor. 46.7 (See yaq, § 59, p. 729)

ya'qam only

ya'qam ai'kıpa gapı'wyalin only (with) fly-eggs she scattered Kor. 45.2

ve'lo ya'qam ninataikıñvo'qenau she was only making thimbles Kor. 59.5

ya'qañ why

ya'qañ ya'ti why hast thou come Kor. 64.1 yaqqai'-qun (Paren yäqqai'-qun Kor 92.5) then

ye'lI

ye'lı qayi'ñalin and so she flew away Kor. 46.5 e<sup>e</sup>'en ye<sup>e</sup>l qañekeļa'len and so she felt ashamed Kor. 60.1 §129

### vI'yañ, ve'eñ (?)

vi'yan iskula'ti (if that is so) then you were cold Kor. 26.2 vi'yan lelapi'ttönvo'ykin nevertheless he looked up Kor. 42.8 na'no vi'yan kisva'tik va'ykin of course, it is there on the cross-pole Kor. 68.5

vi'yañ gapanqai'pilen (without clothes) but with a cap Kor. 76.22

vi'n·va, vi'n·vI secretly Kor. 61.1; 76.14

every time (Paren) Kor. 92.10

va<sup>ε</sup>'yuk afterwards Kor. 14.7; 19.5

va<sup>ε</sup>′ak Kor. 56.5; 64.9

van (never in initial position; perhaps related to the Chukchee demonstrative particle ñan which is also used adverbially).

ui'ña-van minka'kɪla not by anybody else Kor. 40.6-7

qaye'm ña'no-van minutñana'wge not those I shall be able to

eat Kor. 55.8-9
a'mlıñ-van kıtve'-lı'ga penči'ykın after that he rushed at her

pa'La perhaps Kor. 60.5

## mači maybe

ma'či wu'tčuk mayhap (it was) here? Kor. 49.7 ma'čči vi'lka va'ykin mayhap a fork is there Kor. 19.7 me'če mima'tage mayhap I'll marry thee Kor. 32.6

#### mal well

mal-kit properly Kor. 15.6; 74.6; 88.9 mal-ki'til very well! Kor. 21.5 Also met-ki'tkit

male'ta quietly Kor. 54.7

ma'kiw somewhere Kor. 80.9

me'ñqañ how Kor. 82.4; 84.21; 88.1

 $me'\bar{n}qa\check{c}$  mi'qun  $mai'm_Ik$  how indeed shall I get water ? 16.7–8  $me'\bar{n}qan$  mi'qun how, indeed ? Kor. 17.12

mi'qun (Paren mu'qun Kor. 92.23) indeed; an intensifying particle mi'qun naña'nqin indeed he is a shaman Kor. 42.9 mi'qun Ama'mqut e'wañ Ememqut said even (this) Kor. 64.11 ya'qu mi'qun qatai'kıgın what indeed will you do? Kor. 76.7 Also 16.3, 8; 17.12; 39.10; 84.21; 86.12

(ti'wgak [literally: I say] it seems Kor. 57.9)

#### ti'ta when

 $ilu^{\epsilon'}p\imath l\imath \tilde{n}$  ti'ta  $m\check{\imath}nelo^{\epsilon'}\check{c}ola$  when we find a shaman's wand Kor. 27.7

ti'ta gŭ'mma tra'tık when was I at home? Kor. 68.13 ti'ta o'pta ninanuva<sup>e</sup>'an let him also swallow me Kor. 84.15 ti'taq mu'yu mıta<sup>e</sup>ttayı'pna!a when did we feed on inner skin of dogs Kor. 48.9 §129 tito-o'n after a long time Kor. 57.5

nIme' very; very much Kor. 16.1, 8

ča'myeq indeed Kor. 24.2

čemya'q really Kor. 56.1

 $\check{c}eme\check{c}e^{\varepsilon}'n$  it is so! Kor. 46.4

čini't since

čini't ennae'an qi'ti since thou art so Kor. 56.9-10

*li'giqai* much less Kor. 49.1

lI'gan simply

' lı'gan mımtelhıyalai'ke simply they were resplendent Kor. 44.3

kĭma'k almost Kor. 21.7; 84.13

kalê' LE, qalê' LE vertically

ke'nam Kor. 39.3; kena'm Kor. 40.3 already

ki'wan truly Kor. 26.9

kit, ki't11 see mal-kit

ki, kič (never in initial position) and

ya'qkin-ki and what for? Kor. 26.10 (for ya'qkin see §§ 47, 59)

ki'tañ; kItta' then (?)

ki'tañ amyaqalheñe'tıñ taya'ñikın then she wanted to go to the porch Kor. 33.8-9

qŭ'mma kıtta' tu'kwak I am çaught Kor. 36.10

kıtta' atawaļñıļa'ka do not look back by any means Kor. 51.6; 52.10

kı'tta negative particle; see § 131.3, p. 883 (Ch. en·ñe)

ki'tkit a little

ki'kit; ki'kič as soon as 84.3

ki'kit gayı'ltilen as soon as he went to sleep Kor. 84.3 ki'kič gaya'lqıwlin as soon as they entered Kor. 72.21

qai'gut indeed Kor. 84.23

qa'wun though

qa'wun pani'ta mi'kinak nayamata'ge though later on thou wilt marry someone Kor. 78.17

qačI'n

qačı'n plakgeñe'tıñ naë'čañvoqen for he had passed water into the boots Kor. 14.2

qačı'n qo'npŭ nıki'ta gana<sup>ɛ</sup>' Ļen therefore altogether it became night Kor. 16.6

qa'čın milya'qpil because it was a small shell Kor. 23.8

gayo''olen, qağın vi'tvitpil they visited her, for there was a small ringed seal Kor. 24.4

qačı'n ena'n tawi'tkıñik for she (had been) pilfering Kor. 34.3

\$129

ña'nyeu qačı'n Yaqyamtıla<sup>ş</sup>'nu for those were Bumble-Bee-Men Kor. 44.6

qa'čın ui'ña ana'luka gatı'kalen for without chewing he swallowed her Kor. 84.1

## $qa'\check{c}Ik$

gı'ssa qa'čık ui'ña a'lva a'tvaka for this reason will you be (feel) wrong Kor. 18.7

gŭ'mma qa'čık oyamya'-gum for am I human game Kor. 42.6

#### qun, qun-am

gına'n qun nıta'witkiñi-gi'so thou art playing mischief Kor. 82.9 qu'nam nu'tak ui'ña ane'lhı-yıpnuka even in the open country we eat no inner skin Kor. 49.1

pe'nin qun-ım Uwe'ñpilıñ the same (former) little U'weñ (Paren) Kor. 92.7

qu'nam mu'yi . . . oya'myañ mɪtɪ'nmɪn even we too (alone) have killed a man Kor. 68.3

qu'nam qun Kor. 74.17

vê'tha-qo'nom just now Kor. 56.10

qo'npŭ very, quite (qon'pu Chukchee; xë Kamchadal); Kor. 13.10; 15.8; 41.8, etc.

gŭmļañ again Kor. 15.8; 18.8; 19.8 etc.

ña'nyen then 63.10; 72.8; 74.3 etc.

#### §130. KAMCHADAL CONJUNCTIONS

Most of the Kamchadal conjunctions have been replaced by the Russian (local) forms.

i, dai (н, дан) and je (же) but tolko (только) merely, only dotopera (до топера) until now potom (потомъ) after that

Other conjunctions of Kamchadal origin are still in use. Among these I mention

hälč, hälčëq it is time! then, now, altogether Kor. 99.5

This particle is used quite frequently with a great variety of meanings. Its use has even influenced the local Russian dialect inasmuch as the Russian adverb nopa it is time is used also as a conjunction, although this does not agree with Russian usage.

-ilme, -me (never initial) AND, AND NOW, corresponds to the Chukchee -ŭm K. K. -am.

-ke (never initial) AND, AND NOW, but more emphatic than -me. 3045°—Bull. 40, pt. 2—12——56 §130

-ven (never initial) Kor. 98.9. This emphatic particle corresponds to K. K. van, and may have been borrowed from Korvak.

kat THEN in the beginning of tales corresponds to Chukchee

e'nmen.

e'wun and, and so corresponds to Ch. e'un, K. K.; a'wun, K. Paren e'wun, but may also have been borrowed from Koryak. lact how is it, wherefore.

### §131. NEGATIVE ADVERBS

1. va'nêvan negative particle, NOT AT ALL (stem probably vanê).

This occurs either alone or with other negative elements.

va'nêvan nınutewurre'erkinen not at all land appears 7.3 va'nêvan nuwa'lomnên he would not hear anything va'nêvan gına'n li'i qälhı'gın? have you no knowledge at all? 38.4 yi'liil rurkınin te'kičhın va'nêvan tongues he eats, meat not at all 49.4

va'nevan nute's qän nreyoë'nën they did not at all reach the ground 52.12

va'nêvan ne<sup>e</sup>nlu<sup>e</sup>'rkinet kele'tä the kele could not see them at all 100.29

va'nêvan na<sup>e</sup>nayılhau'nên a<sup>e</sup>'ttın they were not at all afraid of the dog 105.25

va'nêvan anto'kĕlên ñe'us'qät the woman did not go out at all 54.8 va'nêvan qarĕ'm nuwa'lomnên he would not hear anything va'nêvan ä<sup>ɛ</sup>nlu<sup>ɛ</sup>'net they could not see them at all 61.10 va'nêvan eLe a'lomka they did not hear anything 60.10 va'nêvan elu<sup>ɛ</sup>'kä they are invisible 62.1 va'nêvan eu'rrekĕlin it is not visible 62.2

2. qarê'm; Kor. Kam. qaye'm; Kor. Par., qeye'm; Kor. II (village Qare'ñn and others in Kamchatka i'gut); Kamchadal. x•ënĕ, x•ë. Used always with the exhortative, or alone with exhortative meaning, and ignifying negative future.

qarê'm mini'nmitik we shall not kill you 13.4
qarê'm mine'etyä<sup>£</sup>k I shall not become black 23.6
qarê'm milhiño'a<sup>£</sup>n I shall not treat him 24.10
qarê'm minmu'ut I will not kill thee 98.25; 99.7
qarê'm mra'gtia<sup>£</sup>k I will not return home 99.2, 24
qarê'm Eli'gä rinenyegtele'tyä<sup>£</sup> father will not allow me to live 99.15
qarê'm mi'ilhä<sup>£</sup>n I will not do it 99.20
qarê'm mi'ilhit I will not give it to thee 15.13; 16.9
qarê'm miye'tyä<sup>£</sup>k I will not come
a'men qarêm' but no! (i. e., I shall not do so) 16.1

qarê'm i'gıt! not now! (i. e., I shall not do so from now on) 21.1 qarê'm! no! (i. e., I shall not do it) 99.13

Kor. Kam. qarê'm mļa<sup>e</sup>'k, Kor. II. i'hut mļe<sup>e</sup>k, Kamchadal x·ënč mnuk I will not eat

### Koryak.-

ačhiva'n qaye'm this time I shall not! Kor. 54.3
qaye'm ña'no-van mınutñana'wge I shall not be able to eat
them Kor. 55.8
qaye'm enalha'lmık he will not catch us Kor. 72.19

Even future imperatives take this particle.

qarê'm quwië'tık do not die! (i. e., you shall not die) 64.16, 17

Derived from  $qar\hat{e}'m$  is the verbal form  $qar\hat{e}'m\hat{e}n$  (Kor. Kam.  $q_{IVme'en}$  Kor. 38.5, Kor. Par.  $q_{ISme'nen}$ ) it is not so, not true.

qarê'mên i'tik lŭ'mñıl it is not really a story 61.5 qarêmênai'-yŭm I am not this one 23.5 qarê'mên ora'wêṭan he is not a human being 29.9 qarêmêna'igŭm qla'ulêŭm I am not a man qarêmêna'igŭm nıru'liŭm I am not feeble qarê'mêna'igit nıru'liğit thou art not feeble qarê'mên nıru'lqin he is not feeble qarê'mên nıru'lqin he is not feeble qaremênai'gŭm ñe'usqätiŭm I am not a woman 116.31 Kor. Kam. qıyıme'w un impossible! Kor. 14.3.

- 3. en·ñe Kor. Kam. kI'tta, Kamchadal jak, x·ë do not! (see § 114 p. 823)
- 4. **êlo'** no **elo'** (Reindeer Kor.) 30.9

  ele no 30.8

  rä<sup>e</sup>t'uri?-êlo' what is the matter with you?—nothing 53.6
- 4a. ča'mam no! I do not want to (referring to future events) 78.6; used with future indicative. There is no corresponding form in either Koryak or Kamchadal.

ča'mam I do not want to 98.5, 8 ča'mam tre'ilhit I shall not give thee

- 5. e'Le Nor, signifying simple denial Kor. Par. e'Le, Reindeer Kor. e'Le, Kor. II., village Qare'ñın and others in Kamchatka ella, Kamchadal qam Kor. Kam. ui'ñä instead (see below). See 15, 12, 21.3, 24.8
- 6.  $ui'\tilde{n}\ddot{a}$  None (with nouns; substantives and adjectives). (Kor. Kam.,  $ui'\tilde{n}a$ , Kor. Par.,  $ui'\tilde{n}u$  e'Le, Kor. II., village Qare'ñin and others in Kamehatka em, e'mma Not. The Kamehadal uses qam (see above, under e'Le). Kor. Par. uses also e'Le alone

ur'ñä ępi'ñkä I have no powder See also 18.5; 22.3; 27.9

Without the negative prefix-suffix we find-

čai ui'ñä, ta'aq ui'ñä, tam-va'irgm gămni'n no tea, no tobacco, mine is a good life!

(Kor. Par.) e're epi'nke I have no powder

Derived from this particle is ui'ñılın having none.

ui'ñıliŭm epi'nkeliŭm I have none, I am without powder 59.2

### § 132. Interjections

Chukchee and Koryak are rich in interjections. These may be divided into several groups; namely, a) ejaculations expressing a state of strong emotion, without definite tone; b) exclamations expressing assent, disapproval, surprise, fear, pain, question, call, and answer, etc.; c) onomatopoetic interjections, sound pictures, imitations of sounds, such as singing of birds, thumping of stones, swishing of rapidly moving slabs, etc.; d) words and phrases used as exclamations. Some of these are derived from pronominal or conjunctional stems, while others can not be reduced to such sources, at least not at present.

### a. Ejaculations

```
a! 45.3 (Kor. a! A! Kor. 55.5) oh!
  ga! R 104.48 oh!
  o! 63.9 oh!
  e! 85.12; 90.6; 91.7 ah!
  e! 101.20 all right
  go, go! R 65.119 (call)
  ga, ga! 122.1 call
  gi, gi! R 72.16 ah, ah!
  gei! 69.4 oh!
  ggg! (Kor. ggg!) yes!
  guq! 10.3; 52.3; 53.1; (Kor. gek! Kor. 50.4) ugh! oh!
  goq! 24.1 108.32; gik! 10.1; 11.2; gi! 68.30; R 69.35; Kor.
    51.1, 5; 58.6
  gu! 26.4; go! 69.7; 108.19
  ogogogogoi! 70.2 oh, oh, oh!
  ugugugu! 29.7 uhuhuhuh!
Koryak
```

e! oh! Kor. 47.1 ye! ah! Kor. 49.2 eñi'! oh! Kor. 64.19 añe'! Kor. 49.3 iñe'! Kor. 27.6

#### b. Exclamations

Their stems are independent and some of them form derivatives.

qo! expresses ignorance: 1 do not know!

qoña'arkin to speak always of one's ignorance; to answer: "I do not know."

#### Assent:

1! 9.6, 13; 66.25; 84.10 (Kor. o! Kor. 30.2; 38.6) yes.

1! 84.19 ah!

egei'! 133.24 R 71.5; R 73.34 (Kor. uga') all right! assent and approval.

egei'! 75.30 oh!

taga'm! R 59.9, 16; R 66, 134 (Kor. toq! to! Kor. 35.3; Kor. 45.8) come! well!

taga'm is used also as the usual leave taking.

taga'm tewkwe'erkm! R 41.98 (in Koryak toq is used as leave taking) good-bye! I am going.

The usual greeting is ye'ti? or less frequently ge'et-i-git; R 62.62; R 76.25 (Kor. yati? have you come? as greeting)

The answer is *i!* yes! or *i, tiye'tyä\*k!* yes, I have come! Greeting borrowed from Russian, *toro'ma* (здорово) (Kor. *toro'va*) how do you do?

tam contracted from taga'm, mostly with an ejaculation preceding, come! well!

ee, tam! 30.9; 89.23; e tam! 90.3.

ı, tam! 84.19.

g1, tam! 84.14.

#### Assertion:

gu'nä! 82.16; 85.6; R 76.27 sure! gu'nä, qai'vɛ 24.8 indeed, yes!

#### Calls:

mei! 76.22 R 73.32; R 59.11, from man to woman 53.6;
Kor. mai! Kor. 64.24 amei! Kor. 63.6; mei! Kor. 32.5; here addressed from a man to a woman; me! Kor. 100.5 K. Paren ve! Kor. 101.13; Koryak II Qareñin mei Kor. 102.4 there, you! you! halloo!

ña'ul 45.3 (Kor. nã'wal) call among women

wui'! 83.13; R 72.15; goi 60.2 (Kor. goi'!) answer to call yago'! 67.8 (Kor yawo'! Kor. 33.9) halloo

wago'! R 125.22 halloo! there, take it!

# Disapproval:

e'wi! 120.10 so!

ee'! 81.17; 83.14; is it? (doubt and disapproval)
eei'! 108.19 aha! (doubt and disapproval)

§132

Surprise:

Used by men

ka'ko'! generally reduplicated kako, kako! 8.5; 12.6; 68.31 oho!; qako! 84.10; qako, qako! 77.26; 104.14; ga'ko 21.4

kako, mei'! 14.7; R 64.93. ga'ko mei!, go'čo mei!

Used by women

ke'ke'! 52.2; 71.26.

ke'ke, ña'ul!

keke', keke', keke'! 29.7 great surprise and fear Koryak, for both sexes.

> če Kor. 47.6 (surprise and disapproval) ugh. qe'e Kor. 82.14 surprise

Fear:

gokkoi'! 63.1 oh, oh!; goqoi'! 18.8 kokkoi'! 22.5 surprise and fear akakaka! 87.14 sudden fright

Question:

wa? (Kor. va? Kor. 46.10) would you? amto'? 13.9; 80.4; R 92.18 (Kor. amto'?) well? what news? also used as a conjunction: amto' gitka'lhin? how is your leg?

Pain:

gi,gi,gi R 74.46 (Kor. mikikikik! Kor. 29.1) sudden acute

ge, ge, ge! 63.8 (Kor. igigi'! Kor. 23.9) crying

Warning:

ga, ga, ga! 85.17,28 (Kor. got!) off! look out!

Laughing:

ga, ga, ga! R 79.10

gigi! 30.2

gm! 30.2 laughing of a skull.

Anger:

gm! R 72.20 (Kor. gm! Kor. 31.2)

gŭm! (terminal) 61.2

taga'm, qapa'ae, gŭm! 61.2 well, cease, will you!

Miscellaneous:

qo! (Kor. qo! Kor. 49.6) I do not know yau yau! 66.17; R 73.35 wait a while! (Kor. ya'wo)

## c. Onomatopoetic Interjections

qa,qa,qa! R 140.10; R 277.8, yapping of fox gin, gin! 105.27 barking of dog

m-m! 106.15 mumbling of ke'le (hence derived a noun mŭ'ŭmgin kele's mumbling)

kabeu', kabeu'! R 307.8 cackling of ptarmigan

aña', aña', aña'! 84.8 crying of small infant ew, ew, ew! R 104.47 singing of thanksgiving ceremonial cig, cig! 68.25 swishing of slabs of whalebone piw, piw! 68.8 thumping of stone on the ground pig, pig! 76.3 thudding of small objects on the ground pr! 88.17 sound produced with lips (Koryak) vakīkīkī'! Kor. 46.1 jabbering of magpie

Shaman's calls

egegegegei'! 15.7; 68.28; (Kor. ogogogogoi'!); 66.35 (here merely fatigue, though borrowed also from shamanistic practice)

ototototototoi'! 59.4 otatatatatatai'! 59.4

Answer to shaman's call

git, git, git, grge't! 39.9

ge'we, gewe! R 306.1 raven's shamanistic song

go'oñ-kale', go'oñ-kale' R 314.23 (Kor. qo'oñ, qo'oñ Kor. 48.2; ann, ann! Kor. 47.2; Koryak, umyu'm Kor. 90.15; Kor. II Pallan, raven's cawing) raven's shamanistic song ge'we, egegegei'! R 122.2 mosquito's shamanistic song

R 306.7

qaia'qañ, qaia'qañ! foxes shamanistic song (a little more! at the same time onomapoetic)

ge'wye, ge'wye ko'onm R 315.31 polar bear's shamanistic song

Calls of reindeer-herders

go, go, go, goq, goq, goq! 32.11 for driving the herd

ga, ga, gaq, gaq, gaq!

eia', eia', eia'! R 307.13 for calling a broken reindeer (chiefly in offering it urine)

qrr! R. 4.38 the same; also reindeer's snorting, onomatopoetic

Interjections are often used in groups

guq, i! 9.13; gik, i'! 65.26 oh, yes! ee, ta'm! (see before) gei, gu'nä! 69.4 oh, indeed!

## d. Words and phrases used as interjections

rna'nkên, rna'nkên ŭm 9.5; 64.7, 14; 68.16 oh, my!
trte'ñet! 64.15; 68.16; 80.22 (great emphasis) used also in compounds with personal pronouns in verbalized form
trte'ñet-i-git it is wonderful with you
trte'ñet-tu'ri (plur.) it is wonderful with you
trte'ñet-ve'rin it is wonderful with him (stem verin unknown

otherwise) §132

i, tu'n-nikek! oh, my! sudden surprise; (tur new; nikek verbal noun of indefinite verb nike (§82)

ečhinre'wän! 80.23 oh, goodness!

am no't amen! R. 73.27 (surprise and anger; em mere §113.5) not demonstrative particle (§57) amen adversative conjunction p. 853) how is it then!

čêq-a'lvam va'lın! 76.5 (§113.15) how very strange!

vê'nom wonder and blame; in compounds with subjective form of personal pronoun

vênom gina'n 55.11; 109.24 something like out with you! alŭ'mña! 120.16,23 (a ah, lŭmña again) only think of it! sudden surprise (see also p. 854)

nire'qin-ŭm! I do agree! 84.19; R 62.58; R 65.112 regular nominalising form of indefinite verb req (§82)

rere'q-ŭm R 75.6 I do agree! (causative re-reqŭm)

re'qu lŭ'mna the same! R 73.24 (re'qu designative of req what; lŭmna again)

ra'qal 80.25 what of that; (req what; al otherwise unknown) u'nmuñ a'nı, u'nmuñ a'nı-m e'un 84.26; 87.7 Oh, how bad it is! (u'nmuñ very, a'nı-m even so; e'un and so)

### KAMCHADAL

tea there! qu call; ha lloo!

tle there, take it! hë, hei answer to call

nux here! qa what do you want?

ee yes xi surprise

# § 133. Euphemism

For diseases, dangerous animals, and unfortunate events or conditions, euphemistic phrases are in use, some of which express the idea to be stated by the opposite idea.

nıgıtte'pqin (literally, clever) fool čıkayê'tu-wa'lın (from čıka'yoñ-va'lın intelligent) silly erme'urkin (literally, he acquires force) he becomes possessed by madness

also

emtine'urkin (literally, he reposes) he dies of hunger
uulvilu' (literally, black wild reindeer) brown bear
lei'wulin (literally, the one who walks about) wolf
re'qätkurkin (literally, something is happening) contagious
disease is spreading
va'irgitkerkin (from va'irgin being) disease

# § 134. New Words.

On the whole, Chukchee and Koryak have not borrowed many terms from the Russian, but have rather coined new words for new ideas. Following are a few examples of these.

Chukchee	Kor. Kamenskoye		
e'rem	a'yI $m$	commander (literally, strong man)	
te'qenañ	ta'qana	tribute (literally, thing for bowing down with)	
teq-e'rem	taqa'-a'yım	chief officer of district (literally, tribute-strong-man)	
añañ-ra'n	añañ-ya'n	church (literally, spirit- house)	
tin-koi'ñın	$e'tti-koi' \tilde{n}_{In}$	glass (literally, ice-cup)	
wui'gun	$gui'v_{I}n$	fortified log-house	
tin-ù'kkäm	puti'lkan	bottle (Russian бутыка; in Chukchee literally, ice- vessel)	
$\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}q \cdot i'm_{I}l$	$a^{\varepsilon}qa'$ - $mi'mi!$	brandy (literally, bad water)	
keli'kel	kaļi'kaļ	letter, book, writing (literally, carving)	
keli'tul	kaļi'tuļ	ruble, paper ruble (literally, piece of carving)	
ta'aq-koi'ñın	ka'nča	tobacco-pipe (local Russian ransa, borrowed from Turkish, in Chukchee literally, tobacco-cup)	
êmtê'-qal		(one side of) horse-pack (literally, earrying-side)	
yara'r-o'kkam		flat brandy-keg (literally, drum-vesse)	
aima'lqal	<del></del>	long brandy-keg (literally, thigh-bone)	
ilh-u'kkäm		plate (literally, white vessel)	

Russian loan-words are always modified to suit the phonetic character of the language. The Koryak, even those that have no r, retain, however, the Russian r.

Chukchee	Kor. Kam.	Russian	
$\check{c}a'qar$	ča'qar	сахаръ	sugar
ta'aq	ta'wax	табакъ	tobacco
čai	čai	чай	tea
ko'nekon	ko'ne	конь	horse
ko'čir	ko'čir	козырь (trump	) cards

čol	čol	соль	salt
toro'ma	toro'wa	здорово	how is your
če' čver	če' čver	четверть	health? quarter
koro'walhin	koro'wa	корова	cow
ka'čak	ka'sak	казакъ	cossack
Etto'l	stol	столъ	table
torê'lqan	tore'lka	тарелка	plate

#### CHUKCHEE TEXT

## THE WOMAN WHO MARRIED THE MOON 1

qol3 E'nmen<sup>2</sup> vara'čhin.4 ñe'us gät 5 ŭm 6 qol3 ora'wela-Once a certain house, woman certain ge'tčilin,10 uwäe'qučitä8 E'nku9 e'nmen<sup>2</sup> ña'us qat 7 gequ'pqäLin.11 the husband to rejection used her, woman then she was starving, gite'.15 elvetiñe'tä 12 gene'Lin 13 em-qu'pgätä.14 E'nmen<sup>2</sup> Qän've'r crawling on she became just by starving. she was After that Then all-fours hungry. res qi'wkwie.17 čiče'pgi<sup>ε</sup>,18 yara'čhīn4 lue'nin,16 e'ur 19 teik-

evi'rın 20 gaimêi'vŭlên, 21 e'ur 19 ŭpa'lha 22 keme'ñı 23 geyı'reLin, 24 garments were hanging, at the same time with tallow a dish was full, same time

she entered

she looked

at the

made

nıqamı'tvaqên 25 ŭm 6 e'nmen, 2 li'en nıplı'tkuqin, 26 nıgınteu'qin 27 she eats and then, just she finished, she fled

nota'gti.28 to the country.

a certain house

2 ONCE UPON A TIME, also connective AND THEN, THEN; always in narrative (p. 858).

she saw it,

Absolute form; with non-personal nouns the synthetic quli is also used (§ 60, p. 732).

<sup>4</sup>Stem ra House; yara probably reduplication from rara; -chin A PARTICULAR ONE (§ 53, p. 716), absolute form; here in predicative sense THERE WAS A PARTICULAR HOUSE.

<sup>5</sup>Stem *heu* FEMALE; -s·qät a suffix, probably related to others in -s·q-, but not free. Absolute form as before.

<sup>6</sup>Particle, indicating that the whole story is well known to the narrator, and is supposed to be known to the hearer (§ 128, p. 849).

\*\*fora'wer+lasn\*\* WHAT BELONGS TO THE HUMAN BACE (§ 54, p. 717); r+l in contact form L(§ 7, 17; p. 654) the strong vowels of the word produce ablaut in the second part of the compound (§ 3, p. 646) The first part of the compound has dropped the suffix -n of the absolute form (§ 115, p. 826).

\*Subjective form in -ta (§§ 37, p. 697); here as subject of transitive verb (§ 92, p. 780).

\*E'nku; verbal stem Enk TO REJECT, TO REFUSE;—u suffix (§103.34) expressing purpose, depending. upon the following verb.

10 rItči'rkin or rItči'rkin to make some one something (§ 79, p. 765); stem rtč—initial; tč medial ;ge—lin nominalized verb (b) (§ 73, p. 758).

If Stem  $qupq(\ddot{a}t)$  to starve; qe—lin she who had attained a starving condition (§ 73); t<t+(§ 7).

12 Stem elvetin, -et adverbial suffix (§ 110.70, p. 810); ā Nominal Form I,3 (§§ 64; 95, p. 786).

13 Stem nel (§ 77); ge-lin (see note 10); L < l+l (§ 7).

14 em- MERE (§ 113, 7, p. 816);  $qupq\ddot{a}t$  to starve (see note 11; - $\ddot{a}$  Nominal Form I, 3 (§§ 64, 95).

16 gitteu to be hungry.

16 Stem lu<sup>8</sup> TO SEE; -nin HE—HIM (§ 67, p. 741).

<sup>17</sup> Stem res qiu to enter; -gi he (§ 64, p. 738); wkw < u+g (§§ 7.2; 72.4).

16 Stem čičep related to lilep to look (§§ 2; 122, p. 834), also čiče to look; -gi\* HE (§ 64).

19 § 128, p. 855.

Stem teik to make; evi'rin garment, absolute form (§ 30, p. 691), here subject of intransitive verb (§ 91, p. 779); composition see § 116, 4, p. 830.

"Stem  $\eta \eta m$  to hang; suffix—yv(u) frequentative or intensive (§110.54); gq—len (§ 74, p. 760); with ablaut (§3).

<sup>22</sup>ŭpa'lhtn τALLow; subjective form in -ä expressing modality (§§ 37, 92); with ablaut (§ 3).

23 keme'ñi dish, absolute form in -ñi (§ 30); absolute form as subject of intr. verb (§ 91).

24 Stem yir full; with suffix -et (§ 110, 70); ge—lin (see note 10); L < t+l.

 $^{25}$ Stem  $q\bar{q}m$ , compounded with tvq to be; n-qin one who is performing an action (§ 73, p. 758); with ablaut (§ 3).

26 Stem pl and suffix -tku (§ 110, 67), compare  $pl\ddot{a}gi$  it is ended; n—qin see note 25.

\*\*Stem gint; with suffix -eu (§ 110, 70); n—qin see note 25.

28 Stem nute; -gtz after vowel, allative (§ 40); ablaut (§ 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From W. Bogoras, Chukchee Texts; Publications of the Jesup North Pacific Expedition Vol. VIII, pp. 86-89.

Qla'ul 29 pŭki'rgi 30 čeivutku'lin. 31 Yi i'lhin 32 ŭm 6 qu'num, rä 'nut. 33 came walking. The moon really, A man čêq-a'lyam-va'lın.34 rä e'nut 33 lei'yurkin 35 "Guq, qamitvala'arkin,36 quite extraordinary being, what is walking is eating much, "Oh, te'lpirkin.38" ırga'tık 39 u'kkäm-yı'riir 37 Ne'me ewkwe'tvi€.40 vessel-full is finished." Again in the morning he started. ple'kit 42 Elve'lin 41 li'en· nênai'pŭqênat,43 eu'rrekĕlin44 na'qam Other ones just boots he put on, however not appeared pŭki′rgi<sup>€</sup>,³º ñe'wän.45 qäti',46 e'ur<sup>19</sup> Enga'n47 Ne'meñe'us gät⁵ the woman he went, at the same then came, a wife. Again time

ŭpa'lhın²² ne'me lu¢'nin.¹8 Qamiıtvala'tyê¢,⁴8 qän've'r gın'kew'kwi¢.⁴9 the tallow again she saw it. Qamiıtvala'tyê¢,⁴8 qän've'r gın'kew'kwiç.⁴9

ne'me ragtiê<sup>e</sup>. 50 "Guq, u'nmuñ a'ni. 51 Qla'ul29 йm<sup>6</sup> Cêq-a'lvamcame home. "Oh, again how bad! Quite extraordinary The man Rä<sup>ε</sup>'nut<sup>33</sup> Ilo'n<sup>52</sup> gamitvala'arkin <sup>36</sup> Irga'tik39 Kıta'm e'ur<sup>19</sup> in the being. then is eating much? Well then this time morning

Ne'me am-ginotilo'<sup>55</sup> ne'lyi<sup>ε</sup>. <sup>56</sup> mi'tyäek!"54 ečei′vutkukä<sup>53</sup>  $\mathrm{Lu'ur^{57}}$ let me be!" mere mid-day it became. Thereupon not going Again ye'tyi<sup>ε</sup>, <sup>59</sup> res qi'wkwi<sup>ε17</sup> ŭm<sup>6</sup> ne'me, takêčhê'tı<sup>60</sup> ne'me ño't1<sup>58</sup> qäti'.46 she entered again, to the meat again behind there she came,

30 Stem pŭkir in initial position; pkir in medial position (§ 12, p. 662).

32 Absolute form; predicative.

83 See § 59 p. 729; absolute form.

35 Stem leivu, related to čeivu (note 31); -rkin derived form (§§ 64, 87).

 $^{37}$  u'kkäm dish, stem yir full, here reduplicated absolute form (§ 29).

38 Stem telp; derived form (see note 35).

§ 40 Stem ewkw with suffix -et (§ 110, 70); ty < t+g (§ 7. 26, p. 654).

42 Plural.

44 Stem urr(eu) often medially wurr; c-kžlin negation (§ 114, 4; p. 824).

45 Derived from neu FEMALE.

47 Demonstrative (§ 57).

48 See note 36, ty < t+g (§ 7. 26, p. 654).

49 Stem gIn k+eu (§ 110, 70); wkw < u+g (§ 7.2).

<sup>50</sup> From ra house, probably the allative form ragli which serves here as verbal stem:  $tie^{\epsilon} < t \cdot g!^{\epsilon}$  with ablaut (§§ 3, 7).

61 Particles (§ 128, p. 853).

52 § 128, p. 852.

53 Stem čeivu, see notes 31 and 35; -tku (§ 110, 67); e-kä negation (§ 114, 4), see also note 44.

Stem it to be, 1st person subj. (a); ty < t+g (§ 7. 26).

55 em- MERE (§ 113, 7); gino'n middle; ilo'-, aclo' DAY.

56 Stem nel- to become; ly < l+g (§7): see Note 13.

57 § 126, p. 868.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Probably reduplicated absolute form from a stem  $qla\ (qla^{\epsilon}l)\ (\S\ 29);\ q$  before consonant becomes  $\epsilon$  (§ 7); absolute form as subject of intransitive verb (§ 91).

<sup>31</sup> Stem čeivu, related to leivu (§§ 2, 122); -tku (§ 110, 67); -lin one who (§ 54). See note 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Ciq- EXCESSIVELY (§ 113, 15); elve different; alvam-va'lin extraordinary (§ 112, 82, p. 814) stem tva- to be, initial va- (§ 12.2, p. 661); -lin (§ 54).

<sup>\*\*</sup>See note 25; derived form (§§ 64, 87); -let Frequentative, (§ 110.53 with t dropping out in intervocalic position (§ 10).

<sup>38</sup> Stem Irg dawn; a locative form (§ 38). Compare IrgIro'ññoi IT BEGAN TO DAWN 9.13; IrgIro'k at dawn 10.3.

<sup>41</sup> elve DIFFERENT, OTHER; -lin absolute form (§ 60.3); singular and instead of plural (§ 46, p. 709). The strong form alva signifies AWAY!

<sup>\*</sup>Stem  $ip\ddot{u}$ ; prefix ine- making transitive verb intransitive, here passive (§ 113, 28); n-qin, one who is performing an action (§ 73), plural because referring to ple'klt: Those that are being put on (§ 74); with ablaut (§ 3).

<sup>46</sup> Stem medially  $lq\ddot{a}t$ ; 3d person past, more frequently  $q\ddot{a}'tyi^{\epsilon}$ ; ty < t+g (§ 7. 26, p. 654).

<sup>58</sup> Demonstrative particle (§ 57).

<sup>59</sup> Stem yet- to come.

oteki'čhin MEAT; allative form in -êti (§§ 53, 40).

Ne'me ečhi čit<sup>61</sup> qamı'tvarkın, <sup>62</sup> lu'ur<sup>57</sup> pi'rinin. <sup>63</sup> Again before as before she ate, therenpon he took her.

a'lya!",41 nıqama'graqên. 65 "Gu, e'uñan 66 she struggled. "Oh, so "Akakaka"! ilu'kä<sup>64</sup> "Ah, ah, ah! motionless awayl'' gma'n."67—"Gu'nä, a'lva,41 gine'rrilhi<sup>e</sup>!68—"Gu'nä, ilu'kä.64 Qarê'm<sup>69</sup> "Oh, away! let me go!" - "Oh motionless. minre'qewkur,70 mımñılo'ur.71 Ģik, ia'm nilei'vutku-ĭ-gir?<sup>72</sup> I shalldoanything to thee, I will question thee. Oh, why art thou walking about? Qai'vê gêtı'nvê-gır?''<sup>73</sup>—''Ui'ñä.''<sup>74</sup>—''E'mim?''—''Uwä<sup>\$'</sup>qučitä<sup>\$</sup> E'nku<sup>\$</sup> Indeed thou hast a master?" - "No." -"Where is he?" - "My husband to rejection ginenqu'pqeulin."77 — "En qa'm 78 gine'tčilin,75 gênančaatvau'lên,76 he let me starve." - "Then he cast me off, va'nêvan<sup>79</sup> wu'tku<sup>80</sup> yara'čıku<sup>81</sup> re'gän<sup>82</sup> ginelu<sup>e</sup>'rkın."<sup>83</sup>—"Va'nênot at all inside of the something thou hast seen." - "Nothing." here house

van."<sup>79</sup> "Guq, a'mên <sup>84</sup> ŭm, mīmata'gīt."<sup>85</sup>
"Oh, then let me marry thee."

Ma'tanên. 86 Ne'me čei'vutkui<sup>c,87</sup> Wulqätvi'k<sup>88</sup> ŭm<sup>6</sup> pŭki'rī. 89 He married her. Again he walked. In the evening he came.

<sup>61</sup> Before, formerly (§ 127, p. 848).

<sup>52</sup> See notes 25, 36, 48; here qamitva, derived tense in -rkin (§§ 64, 87).

<sup>63</sup> Stem piri to take; -nin he-him (§ 67).

<sup>64</sup> ilu to Move; e-ka negation (§ 114, 4). The initial e is contracted with the i of the stem.

<sup>65</sup> Stem  $qama'gra; n-qen (\S 73);$  with ablaut (§ 3).

<sup>66 § 126,</sup> p. 855.

<sup>67</sup> Subjective form (§ 56); presumably as subject of an idea like YOU HAVE EATEN IT.

<sup>88</sup> Stem initial rIrrIl, medial rrIl; ine- (§§ 67; 113.28); q—gi<sup>2</sup> imperative 2d person sing.

<sup>99</sup> Negation with exhortative meaning (§ 131.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Medial form of the causative prefix—n; stem raq what, something; —eu (§ 110.70); predicative form of the indefinite pronoun; m-git Let ME—thee, subjunctive (§ 67); wku < u+g; in place of git we have here and in the next word the alternating form gir.

<sup>&</sup>quot;I Stem \*pnlo; initial form pinlo-: medial form -mnito-; m-git Let me-thee, see note 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup>Stem lcivu, see notes 31, 35, 53; -tku (§§ 110, 67); predicative form of nominalized verb (§ 73).

<sup>73</sup> Stem *êtInv* MASTER (§§ 48, 73).

<sup>74</sup> NO (§ 131.6).

<sup>76</sup> Sec note 10; here with the prefix -ine- referring to the first person (§ 73).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup>See note 75, the same form; stem - $\check{c}aatv$ -; r—au Causative (§ 114, 1).

<sup>77</sup> See note 75, the same form; stem gupq to Starve (see note 11); r-eu causative (§ 114, 1).

<sup>78</sup> Conjunction (§ 128, p. 858).

<sup>79 § 131. 1.</sup> 

<sup>80</sup> Demonstrative (§ 57); as particle vai; stem wut-; locative in -k.

<sup>81</sup> Sec note 4; -čIku inside of, nominal (§ 101, 24).

<sup>\*\*</sup> $^{82}$ räq something; here absolute form (irregular) used as object with the verbs iu to say,  $lu^{\varepsilon}$  to see \*\*3Stem  $lu^{\varepsilon}$  to see; derived tense in -rkin (§ 64); ine- (§ 113.28); q- imperative (§ 64); here used as a past (§ 85).

<sup>4</sup> Conjunction (§ 128, p. 853).

<sup>85</sup> Stem mata to take, to marry; subjunctive (a), m-git let me—thee (§ 67).

<sup>86</sup> Stem mata to take, to marry; -nin he-her (§ 67).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Stem  $\check{e}eivu+tku$ , see notes 31, 35, 53. The g of the ending  $-gi^e$  has dropped out on account of its intervocalic position.

<sup>\*</sup>Stem wulq evening, compare wu's q darkness; a locative form (§ 38); -tvi to become (§ 110, 68)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Initial stem  $p\check{u}kir$ , medial pkir; abbreviated termination for  $-qi^{\varepsilon}$ .

I'wkwi<sup>e90</sup> En'ño't<sup>91</sup> ñawanê'ti,''<sup>92</sup> ''En'ñe'<sup>93</sup> a'ntoka<sup>94</sup> ralkočikoj'pŭ.<sup>95</sup> He said "Don't thus to his wife. not going from the inside of the

ple'kıt42 qäni'ntıñınet."98 Mınre's qıumık, 96 li'en čot-tagnê'tı 97 just pillow edge to boots

E'nmen<sup>2</sup> ri'ntıninet. 99 E'nmen<sup>2</sup> lu'ur<sup>57</sup> vai<sup>100</sup> keme'ñı<sup>23</sup>, em-keme'ñi<sup>55</sup> she threw them. Then therea dish, here a mere dish upon

res qi'wkwi<sup>ε</sup>, 17 e'un101 ere'tä102 geyi'reLin. 103 Qami'tvaaet, 104 ne'me with being full. then again boiled meat

ırga'tık39 üm6 kıve'wkwäet.108 li'en ne'nyuä<sup>e</sup>n<sup>105</sup> keme'ñi.<sup>23</sup> At that they put out the dish, in the mornthey awoke. ing time

keme'ñ123 gite'nin107 ñe'us qätä,108 genu'mkeulin109. she saw it the woman, it was put in its proper place.

Ne'me čei'vutkui<sup>c</sup>. 87 Ge'lvulin, 110—"Gık,ırga'tık 39 ŭm6 mınine'tčımık 111 he went. He obtained a Oh, to-morrow let us have a thankswild reindeer,giving ceremonial.

qänti'ginet."114 Tai'ñikwut<sup>112</sup> en'ñe'93 gina'n67 enne'kä<sup>113</sup> E'un101 The charm-strings thou have them for And so not carry ones being thus." out

nayopa'tyaen<sup>115</sup> êna'tčiyo<sup>116</sup> ilvilu', <sup>117</sup> e'un<sup>101</sup> tai'ñikwut<sup>112</sup> gene', inet. <sup>118</sup> the charmthey went to it made to be the wild reinand so were on it. thanksgiving deer. strings ceremonial

Irga'tık39 yılqä'tyäet.118 kıye'wkwäet,106 Guq, ŭm6 ne'me they slept. Next morning again they awoke,

94 Initial stem ñito, medial nto to go out; ç—kä not (§ 114.4).

95 Stem relku; -čiku interior (§ 101. 24); -ipŭ ablative (§ 42).

96Stem resique to enter; subjunctive (a), min-mik let us (§ 64); see Note 17. 97 čot Pillow; te'gin edge; -éti allative (§ 40); Pillow-Edge i. e. the outer tent.

98 Stem int; r- to cause to (§ 114.1 c);  $q\ddot{a}-\tilde{n}$  Inet thou—them, imperative (§ 67).

99 Stem and prefix as in note 98; -ninet HE-THEM (§ 67).

100 Demonstrative particle; stem wut- (§ 57, p. 723). See note 80.

101 § 128, p. 855.

102 e'ret boiled meat; -ä instrumental (§ 37).

103 Stem yIr FULL. See note 24.

104 See notes 25, 36, 48, 62; here 3d per. plur. ending -gäst, with intervocalic g dropping out; ablaut (§ 3).

<sup>106</sup> Initial stem kIy+eu, medial gg+eu, suffix -eu; wkw < u+g (§ 7).

107 Stem gite to SEE, -nin HE-HIM (§ 67).

108 See note 5, -ä subjective as subject of transitive verb.

100 Transitive prefix r—, in medial position -n -( $\S$  114.1); Stem umk+eu ( $\S$  110.70); ge-lin ( $\S$  73).

110 Stem Ilv W1LD REINDEER, -u to consume (§ 111, 71); ge-lin (§ 73).

III Stem inetč to have a thanksgiving ceremonial (perhaps ine+tč but never used without ine; cf. Kor. Kam. ina-čazč-at); subjunctive (a), mIn-mIk LET US (§ 64).

"12 tai' ñikulhin pl. tai' ñikut misfortune-protector; Stem taiña to trespass; -kwk protector (§105.43).  $^{113}e-k\ddot{a}$  negation (§114,4). If it were affirmative this would be a verbal noun in -(t) $\ddot{a}$  dependent upon following verb (§ 80, p. 766); stem, initial rne, medial nne.

114 Initial stem rt, medial nt; qä—ginet thou—them, imperative (§ 67).

<sup>115</sup> Stem ygpgt to visit;  $n\underline{e}-g\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}n$  They—Him (§ 67). <sup>116</sup> Stem  $inet\ddot{c}$ , see note 111; -yo past participle (§ 107, 47); ablaut (§ 3).

117 Stem Ilv, absolute reduplicated form (§ 29).

118 Stem nel; ge—linet, 3d person plural (§ 73).

119 Stem yilgät to sleep; ty < t+g (§ 7).

<sup>90</sup> Stem iu; suffix  $-gi^{\varepsilon}$ ; wkw < u+g (§ 7).

<sup>91</sup> See § 58, p. 727.

<sup>92</sup> Stem ñewän wife; - £ti allative (§ 40).

<sup>98 § 131.3.</sup> 

147 ayılhau; n-qin (§ 73). 148 Particle (§ 128, p. 871). 149 Contraction of um. 150 Absolute form (§ 28). 151 Ending  $wkw\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t < u-g\ddot{a}^{\epsilon}t$ .

152 Initial stem tuw, medial tvu; ni-nen (§67, Ia 6).

```
"Re^{\epsilon}q\breve{u}m^{82} a'mên<sup>84</sup> i'unin, 120 'Ño'ongan<sup>121</sup>
čei'vutkurkın.87
                                   "What
                                                                                       'That
    he was going.
                                                    then
                                                                he said to her,
                                                                                                           trunk
en'ñe'93
               enve'ntetkä<sup>123</sup>
                                       qänti'rkin.124
                                                                En'ñe'93
                                                                                pegči'ñu125
                                                                                                    e'lhikä.126
 don't
                   not opened
                                          have it for one
                                                                  Don't
                                                                                  for object of
                                                                                                     not having it
                                           that is thus.
                                                                                    concern
                                                                                                        as one.
A'lımı<sup>127</sup> quwalo'mürkın<sup>128</sup> üm<sup>6</sup> vê'tı.'''<sup>129</sup>
 However
                                                        truly.
                        obey
                                                   \check{c}e'\tilde{n}ıl^{122}
   Gik, e'nmen² čei'vutkniε,87
                                                                   ruwentečewiu'nin. 130
                                                                                                         \mathbf{E}'un^{101}
                                he went,
                                                   the trunk
                                                                        she opened it.
                                                                                                         And so
E'n'k1131
               ñe'usqät<sup>5</sup> nênanva'tqên, 132 en'men² lu<sup>6</sup>/lqäl<sup>133</sup> čıña'ta<sup>134</sup> va'lın, 34
                                                                                            split
                                    was placed
                                                            then
                                                                         destined to
    there
                a woman
                                                                          be a face
                                   nu'uqin,137
qača'kên<sup>135</sup>
                  -\mathrm{le}^{arepsilon\prime}\mathrm{qa}\check{\mathrm{c}}^{\scriptscriptstyle 136}
                                                         qol<sup>138</sup> ŭm<sup>6</sup> ničê'Loqên<sup>139</sup>
                                                                                                     leε′qač. 136
                    side of face
                                          black,
                                                          the other
                                                                                                     side of face.
                                                                                    red
                                   yıkı'rga140
                                                      puke'nnin:141
E'nmen<sup>2</sup>
               ñe'us'qät5
                                                                                "Pr.!"
   Then
                 the woman
                                    with mouth
                                                      made a noise at her:
                                                                                 "Pr!"
                     gite'nin, 107
                                          e'ur19
                                                     ñan<sup>142</sup>
                                                                  Vai100 Vierie, 143
                                                                                           pêkagta'tyê€.144
   E'nmen<sup>2</sup>
      Then
                     she saw her,
                                          at that
                                                     that one
                                                                   here
                                                                                died.
                                                                                               she fell down.
                                           time
E'nmen<sup>2</sup> rınênnoma'nnên, 145 mıñkri 146
                                                                   navilhau'qên.147
                                                                                                gailo'gim.14
    Then
                       she closed it,
                                                      how
                                                                       she was afraid
                                                                                                   of course.
Pŭki′rgi<sup>€</sup>-m<sup>149</sup> uwä<sup>€</sup>′quč. <sup>150</sup>
                                              Res 'qi'wkwä<sup>e</sup>t. <sup>151</sup>. Va'nêvan<sup>79</sup>
                                                                                                nı'tvinên, 152
     He came
                         the husband.
                                                  They entered.
                                                                                 Nothing
                                                                                                 she told him,
 120 Stem iu to say; -nin HE-HIM (§ 67).
 121 THAT YONDER, independent form (§ 57, p. 723).
  122 Absolute form (§ 28).
  123 Stem vent to be open; r-et causeative (§ 114, 1): e-k\ddot{a} negation (§ 114, 4).
  124 Stem rt, see note 114; derived form (§ 67).
  125 pegčiñ concern; -nu serving for (§ 103.34).
  126 Stem -l\tilde{n} (§ 78); e-k\ddot{a} negation (§ 114, 4).
 127 Disjunctive conjunction (§ 128, p. 854).
 128 Stem walom to HEAR; imperative of derived form (§ 64).
 129 Particle (§ 128, p. 863).
 130 Stem vent to be open; r—et causative (§ 114, 1); -čewiu contracted from—čet—yw(u), (§100.54,56);
she opened with great care and after several attempts; -nin HE-HIM (§ 67).
  131 Locative.
  132 n-éna-n-va-t-qén (§ 74; also § 114.1).
  133 § 103.37.
  184 Stem \ell i \tilde{n}; suffix—at; verbal noun in -(t)\tilde{a} dependent on the following participle va'lin.
  135 qača'kėn belonging to one side (§ 47).
  136 tue FACE; -qač SIDE OF (§ 101, 26).
  137 See § 49.
  138 See § 60, p. 732.
  139 n-qin (§ 49)
  140 yiki'rgin mouth; -a subjective (§ 37).
  141 -nin HE-HIM (§ 67).
  142 Demonstrative (§ 57).
  143 Stem vi^{\varepsilon} to DIE, vi^{\varepsilon'}i^{\varepsilon} < vi^{\varepsilon'}gi^{\varepsilon} with loss of intervocalic g (§ 10).
  144 Stem pékagt-; suffix -at
  145 Stem om(r); r-at (§114.1); n-\ell n-n prefixes, n-n transitive, \ell n-intransitive (?).
  146 Stem mik (§ 58, p. 727)
```

Ple'kit42 e'nmeč<sup>153</sup> ŭm<sup>6</sup> nayılhau'nên. 154 ri'ntminet99 čot-tagnê'tı,97 because she feared him. The boots she threw pillow-edge to, them out e'nmen² a'tčak-155 luwa'wkwaet156 kama'gti. 157 then to wait they were unable for the dish. Guq, uwäe'quč<sup>150</sup> ure'wkwie, 158 "Guq, qa'ko. Tite'ñet-ve'rin. 159 "Oh Oh, the husband appeared, It is wonderful Enřa'q160 e'mi?161 Qai've e'le<sup>162</sup> če'ñıl<sup>122</sup> i'nenvente'tkäl-i-gıt?"<sup>163</sup> where is it? Indeed not the trunk not you opened it?" "Na'qam ŭm "E'Le."162 e'mi? Gu'nä quwalo'mŭrkin, 164 "No." "However where is it? Do qatvu'gun!"165 tu'wnên,166 "Emite'tim167 Qän ve'r tigite'äen. 164 tell!" After that she told him, "Namely "I saw her. tıpuke'tyä<sup>ɛ</sup>k,<sup>170</sup> e'Le. 162 Yıkı'rga 140 Inegite'kälin, 169 lu'ur<sup>57</sup> With the mouth She did not see me, no. I made a noise, thereupon kaplêta'tyê. 5,171 she fell down." Guq, č'êq-a'lvam-va'l-ê-gıt.'<sup>173</sup> Ia'm agêro'lkül-ê-gıt?<sup>173</sup> Atta'um<sup>174</sup> Oh, quite extraordinary you are. Why dost thou not obey? With reason ge'tčigit. 175 deserted thee. e'un<sup>101</sup> uwä<sup>ε</sup>'qučitä<sup>8</sup> E'nku<sup>9</sup> qine'ilhi."177 Ya'rar<sup>176</sup> rai behind the husband from Drum there give me." rinirgirgeu'nin.178 ei′ui<sup>ε</sup>.¹<sup>79</sup> E'nmen<sup>2</sup> E'nmen<sup>2</sup> En 'qa'm<sup>78</sup> lu'ur<sup>57</sup> he drummed on it. Then she revived. Then thereupon gagtan 'ñınai' pŭlên, 180 keme' ñı 23 gereli's 'qičeLin. 181 she was quite angry, the dish she pushed in strongly.

<sup>153</sup> See § 128, p. 859.

<sup>154</sup> See note 147, transitive form; n-nên (67, Ia 6).

<sup>155</sup> a'tča to wait (§ 95, p. 786).

<sup>156</sup> Initial stem tuw+au, medial tv+au to be unable; 3 p. pl.

<sup>157</sup> From keme'ñi dish; -gtz ALLATIVE; with ablaut (§ 3).

<sup>158</sup> Initial stem ur + eu.

<sup>159</sup> It is a wonder! (Interjection).

<sup>160</sup> From demonstrative stem En.

<sup>161</sup> Interrogative adverb.

<sup>162&#</sup>x27;Negation (§ 131.5).

<sup>163</sup> Stem vent, see notes 123, 130; r—et CAUSATIVE (§ 114, 1); i—kälin NEGATION (§ 114, 4); 2d person verbalized noun (§ 73).

<sup>164</sup> Stem walom to hear, listen, obey, see note 128; derived tense, imperative.

<sup>165</sup> Stem tuw, see note 152; imperative.

<sup>166</sup> Stem tuw; -nin HE-HIM; with ablaut (§ 3).

<sup>167</sup> em-Ite't-um (§ 128, p. 857).

<sup>166</sup> Stem gite to see; ti—gä<sup>ε</sup>n i—нім.

<sup>169</sup> Stem gite to see; ine- (§ 67); i-kälin negation (§ 114, 4).

<sup>170</sup> Stem puket; tI— $g\ddot{a}^{\varepsilon}k$  I—, intransitive (§ 64).

<sup>171 3</sup>d person sing.

<sup>172</sup> See note 34; 2d person, nominatized verb (§ 73).

<sup>173</sup> Stem gêrol to obey; e-kälin negation (§ 114, 4); 2d person sing.

<sup>174</sup> atau'-ŭm but with some reason (§ 128, p. 854).

<sup>175 2</sup>d person nominatized verb (§ 73).

<sup>176</sup> Absolute form, perhaps for rar-rar (§ 29).

<sup>177</sup> Stem yıl; q-ine-gi<sup>©</sup> Thou-ME, imperative; transitive form.

<sup>178</sup> r-eu to cause; -nin he-him.

<sup>179</sup> Stem ei'u, with vocalic u; therefore with loss of intervocalic g of the suffix  $-gi^{\sharp}$ .

<sup>180-</sup>gti very (§ 113, 22); stem  $an \cdot \bar{n}$  in anger;  $ip\check{u}$  to put on;  $ge-\dot{lin}$  (§ 73).

<sup>181</sup> Stem reli; -s. qićet intensity (§ 110, 59); ge—lin (§ 73).

Irga'tık39 ŭm6 kıye'wkwäft, 106 rıle'rkınin. 182 I'unin, 120 "Atta'um174 In the he carried her He said to "With reason they awoke, her, morning (back). garai'-git,184 minle'git."185 ge'tčigit.175 Opo'pe183 uwäe'qučitä8 E'nku9 he deserted thee. thou hast a let me carry thee (back)." the husband from Let! house, rile'nnin, 187 ripkire'nnin, 188 ELI'gêtI186 mata'lın189 i'unin,120 "Guq, " Oh, To the father he carried her he took her, to the fatherhe said to in-law him, (back), a'mên84 ŭm gŭmna'n190 ie'tık191 gınřı'tık192 tılva'wkwaen."193 then 1 indeed watching I could not do her."

3045-Bull. 40, pt. 2-11-57

<sup>182</sup> Stem \*rle; initial rile, medial nle; derived form; -nin HE—HIM (§ 67).

<sup>183</sup> An exhortative particle (§ 128, p. 862).

<sup>184</sup> Stem ra house; gara'lin he who has a house; 2d person sing. (§ 73).

<sup>185</sup> Stem \*rle, see note 182; min-git let me-thee.

<sup>186</sup> ELI'gin FATHER; allative (§ 40).

<sup>187</sup> Stem \*rle, see note 182; -nin HE-HIM.

<sup>188</sup> Stem pkir to come; r1-eu causative (§114, 1); -nin HE-HIM.

<sup>189</sup> From mata to take, to Marry; absolute form.

<sup>190</sup> Subjective form (§ 56).

<sup>191</sup> Adverbial.

<sup>192</sup> ginfit to watch verbal noun in -k depending on the verb lvau.

initial stem luv+au, medial lv+au cannot;  $tI-ga^{\varepsilon}n$  I—HIM.

### KORYAK TEXT

### LITTLE-BIRD-MAN AND RAVEN-MANG

Valvımtıla "ninti 1 E'ččı Pĭči'qaļa na nawınyon vo'yke Quyqını a

they wanted for a wife at Great-Raven-Man the two Little-Bird-Man Pĭče'qaļaenañe gaimanñivo'ykin,7 e'wañ,8 qu'yık.5 Quyqinn'a'qu Great-Raven to Little-Bird-Man had desired, he said, Raven's. Ģŭmna'n9 e'wañ.8 tıyai'lıñın."11 ñawa'kak10 Pĭče'qala<sup>e</sup>nañ<sup>6</sup> Miti' to Little-Bird-Man I shall give her." said. daughter Miti "Gŭmna'n9 ñawa'kak10 Vaļvi'mtiļa<sup>e</sup>nañ<sup>e</sup> tiyai'ļiñin "11 Va<sup>ε</sup> yuk Val-I shall give her." Afterwards Ravendaughter to Raven-Man awyeñvo'ykın,14 attae'wawa15 vi'mtilaen3 vi'n va ñitoi'kin,12 aela'ta13 Man with excrewith dog carrion secretly went out, he ate. ment Kıyaw'laike, 16 E'nkı 17 vañvolai'ke 18 qapa'au 19 qu'tti 20 awyeñvo'ykın.14 he ate. They awoke, there are lying wolverine (skins) Newñivo'ykinenat,22 "Mi'kinak23 ga'nmilenau?"24 i<sup>€</sup>u'wi. 21 Valvı'mwolf (skins). They began to say to both, "Who killed them?" Raventıla<sup>e</sup>n, 3 "Gumna'n." 9 Man, 49.0

1- $la^{\epsilon}n$  HAVING THE QUALITY OF (§ 48); -inti dual of personal nouns (§ 35).

<sup>2</sup> Personal pronoun, 3d person dual, absolute form.

8 -las in note 1;

4 ñaw woman; 1 auxiliar vowel; -nyų to work as a herdsman; -ñvo to begin (§ 110, 63); -yke derived form, 3d person dual (§ 65). See Publications Jesup Expedition, Vol. VII, p. 579.

<sup>5</sup> Quyqin Raven; used only in augmentative; -n·aqu augmentative (§ 98.2); y(i) personal plural suffix for-wgi (§ 35); -k locative (§ 38).

6-nañ ALLATIVE used with personal nouns (§ 41).

7 gaimat TO DESIRE; -ñvo TO BEGIN (§ 110.63); -ykin derived form (§ 65).

8 Stem iu to say. Irregular adverbial form, used as a quotative, says he.

9 Subjective form (§ 56).

10 naw female; akak son.

In  $t_{I-1}$ ; ya- future;  $y_{I}$ - stem to give;  $-\tilde{n}_{I}n$  Him, future (§ 68).

12 ñito to go out; -ikin derived form, 3d person singular.

13 Subjective here as instrumental; stem of excrement.

14 Stem awyi; -ñvo to BEGIN (§ 110.63); -ykin derived form, 3d person ing. (§ 68).

15 atta DOG; awaw CARRION; subjective here as instrumental.

16 Stem kiyau to AWAKE; -laike 3d. per. plural, derived form.

17 Locative adverb.

18 Stem tva to be, in initial position va (§ 18, p. 674); - avo to begin; -laike 3d per. pl., derived form.

19 qapa'au, plural in u (§ 34, p. 732).

20 Non-personal form, dual (§ 60, p. 695).

21 For  $i^{\varepsilon}u'wgi$  plural (§ 34).

2 Stem iu to say; -nīvo to begin; n-ykinenat derived form, 3d per. dual (§ 68, p. 744).

23 Subjective form (§ 39).

24 Stem tim, in medial position nm to KILL (§ 18); ga-linau nominalized form of transitive verb, 3d per. pl. (§ 74).

a From W. Bogoras, Koryak Texts; Publications of the American Ethnological Society, Vol. V, pp.

Va<sup>ε</sup>'yuk gawya'lyolen, <sup>25</sup> qo'npŭ Enña<sup>ε</sup>'an<sup>26</sup> ama'latča. <sup>27</sup> QuyqInn'a-Afterwards a snowstorm came, altogether thus not getting better. QuyqInn'a-Great-

better, to that one I shall give the wife." Raven-Men, "I mimalitva'tik." E'wañ, "Ginatinuñla'tik!" Ñinvo'q pla'kilñu<sup>38</sup> shall make it better." He said, "Prepare the provisions for me!" A number of boots

gatai'kılinau. 39 Ga'lqaLin. 40 E'nkI<sup>17</sup> vañvo'ykın 41 e'n mıgenka, 42 they made them. He went. There he stayed under a cliff,

yenotčoñvo'ykin. 43 Piči'qalasn³ ñitoñvo'ykin, 44 Enke'17 vañvo'ykin, 41 he wanted to eat. Little Bird-Man went out, there he stayed,

awyeñvo'ykın. 14 Čemya'q Pĭčeqalanai'tıñ 15 Valvı'mtıla 16 aqa-Lapñıhe ate. Of course on Little-Bird-Man Raven-Man badly

vo'ykın. 46 Pĭči'qala<sup>¢</sup>n yalqı'wikın, 47 ui'ñä i'wka 48 enñıvo'ykın. 49 Little-Bird-man he was.

Valvi'mtila ne'nki va'ykin. Lenña alogether there was a snowstorm, ui'ña ama'latča. Go, va yuk gaya'lqiwlin, i'mi-pla'ku gaqi'tilinau, so not it beeame oh, then he entered, all boots were frozen,

qači'n plakgeñe'tiñ<sup>54</sup> na<sup>ε</sup>'čañvoqen, <sup>55</sup> iñi'nñinik pla'ku<sup>52</sup> gaqi'tilinau. <sup>58</sup> mean-while he urinated, therefore, the boots were frozen.

"Qĭyĭme'wun, i'ya<sup>ɛ</sup>n<sup>71</sup> gači'malin." Va<sup>ɛ</sup>'yuk Pĭči'kala<sup>ɛ</sup>n gewñīvo'len, <sup>56</sup> "Impossible, heaven is broken." Va<sup>ɛ</sup>'yuk Pĭči'kala<sup>ɛ</sup>n gewñīvo'len, <sup>56</sup> "Inpossible, heaven is broken."

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$ Stem in initial position vuya! medial wyg!, yy verbal suffix, phenomena of nature (§ 110.71, p.811) ga-!in nominalized form of intransitive verb (§ 73).

<sup>26</sup> Demonstrative adverb (§ 129, p. 876).

<sup>7</sup> Stem mal GOOD; a- tča negative (§ 114, 4).

<sup>28</sup> Subjective in -nak (§ 39).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Stem *iu* To TELL; -*nīvo* To BEGIN; *ga—ļinat* nominalized form of transitive verb, 3d pers. dual (§ 74).

<sup>30</sup> Stem mal good; tva to BE; q—gitik imperative, 2d pers. dual (§ 65).

<sup>31</sup> Absolute form (§ 58, p. 726).

<sup>32</sup> ya- future.

<sup>33</sup> Absolute form of demonstrative (§ 57).

<sup>34</sup> tiya- 1st pers. sing. future; -ñin 3d pers. sing. object.

<sup>35</sup> Absolute form (§ 56).

<sup>36 1</sup>st person sing, exhortative, intransitive.

 $<sup>^{37}</sup>$  qina—latik imperative, YE—ME; t(a)— $\tilde{n}$  to MAKE (§114.2); inu Provisions.

<sup>88</sup> Stem plak BOOT; -lnin (§ 52); -u PLURAL; more frequently plaku.

<sup>89</sup> Stem taiks to make; ga-linau nominalized form of transitive verb, 3d pers. pl. (§ 74).

<sup>40</sup> Stem lqat to Go; nominalized form, 3d pers. sing. (§ 73).

Stem tva, in initial position va to STAY: -nvo to BEGIN; -ykin derived form.

<sup>42 -</sup>giñ BASE (§ 101.21), locative.

<sup>43</sup> ye- Desiderative; nu to eat; -tču intensive action § 110.67); -ñro to begin; -ykin derived form.

<sup>4</sup> Stem filto to go out; -fivo to begin; -ykin derived form.

<sup>45-</sup>it1 allative (§ 40); with nasalization added to terminal vowel (§§ 18, 41).

<sup>46</sup> Stems aga BAD; lila to see; yp to put on; ñivo-ykin as in note 44.

<sup>47</sup> ya'lqiu, Ch. re's qiw to ENTER; derived form.

<sup>48</sup> Stem iu to say; a-ka negation (§ 114, 4); a contracted with i to i.

<sup>49</sup> Stem it; -nīvo-ykīn as in note 44.

<sup>∞</sup> Stem vuyal in medial position wyal; -at (§ 110.70) (see note 25).

<sup>51</sup> yalqiw to enter (see note 47); ga-lin nominalized verb (§ 73).

<sup>52</sup> Imi ALL (§ 113.6); -u plural (§ 34).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> qit frozen; ga—linau nominalized verb, 3d pers. pl. (§ 73).

<sup>54</sup> plak boot; giñ bottom; -iti allative (§ 40).

<sup>56</sup> acca urine; -nvo to begin; n-qin nominalized verb (§ 73).

<sup>56</sup> Compare note 29; here 3d pers. sing.

"Tog, qmalatva't!"58—"Qĭyĭmee'en, make it well!" — "Impossible, ginya'q57 gI'niw<sup>59</sup> gŭ'mma<sup>35</sup> thou now like to thee tiyanto'ykin,60 plakgeñe'tıñ54 tıyaaçčañvo'ykın?"61 Ģewñıvo'lenau62 shall go out, into the boots shall I urinate?" He said to them Quyqınn aqu'nak,28 "Qalqala'tık,63 "Go away, kıtta'ñ aña'wtıñka!"64 Vae'yuk there unmarried!" Then gewñivo'len, 62 "Atau'-qun." Qo'ia65 ača'pil66 ga'kmilin, 67 qalte'nñin, 68 said, "Well now." Some small fat he took," a stopper, ga'lqaLin70  $e^{\varepsilon}e'ti$ ,71 gala'lin,73 wŭlpa'pel;69 gayı'nalin,72 iya€′kin<sup>74</sup> a little shovel; he went to the sky, the sky's qalte'nña<sup>76</sup> gai'pılen,<sup>77</sup> ača'pil<sup>66</sup> with the stopper he closed, little fat čêma'thitñin<sup>75</sup>  $ee^{\varepsilon'}ti\tilde{n}^{71}$ gani'ñlalin:78 to the sky he threw; gama'lalin.79 piče' for a it grew better. gayai'tılen,80 gŭ'nılañ Gŭ'mlañ gawyalyo'len.25

Na'nyen<sup>33</sup> he went home, Again again there was a snowstorm. That qalte'nñin68 ganqu'lin81 yayačıkoi'tıñ,82 nepplu'qin83 mi'qun. E'wañ.8 stopper came out into the house, small one even. He said, gači'malin." "Qĭyĭme'wun. I'yaen71 Quyqınn aqu'nak28 qalte'nñin68 "Impossible. The sky is broken." Big-Raven the stopper va'sqin gatai'kilin<sup>39</sup> nima'yinqin<sup>83</sup> gei'liLin,<sup>84</sup> a'čin<sup>66</sup> o'pta nima'yinqin<sup>83</sup> another one he made a large one he gave it, fat also large Ģa'lqarin<sup>70</sup> gŭ'mlañ, panenai'tıñ<sup>85</sup> gayi'ñalin.<sup>72</sup> gei'liLin.84 Gala'lin,73 He went again to the former place he gave. he flew. He came, ña'nyen³³ qalte'nñin<sup>68</sup> mal-kit86 pa'nena85 ga'npilen,87 tala'wga88 he closed it, that time that stopper well with a mallet

 $<sup>^{67}</sup>$  qIn-thou; -yaq indicates that another person is to perform an act which the subject has performed before.

<sup>58</sup> Stems mal, tva WELL, TO BE; imperative.

<sup>59</sup> Second person personal pronoun gin- (§ 56; 129, p. 878 under yaq).

<sup>60</sup> tiya- I, future; stem ñito, medial nto; derived form.

<sup>61</sup> See note 55, 1st pers. sing. future, derived form.

<sup>62</sup> See notes 29, 56; here 3d pers. pl. instead of dual.

<sup>63</sup> Stem *lqat* To GO; qa—*latik* imperative, dual.

<sup>64</sup> a-ka negation (§ 114, 4); ñaw woman.

<sup>65</sup> Indefinite pronoun (§ 60, p. 732).

<sup>66</sup> ača fat; -pil small (§ 100.15).

<sup>67</sup> Stem akmit; ga-lin nominalized verb (§ 74).

<sup>58</sup> Absolute form (§ 30).

<sup>69 -</sup>pil small (§ 100.15); here with ablaut.

<sup>70</sup> Stem lqat to Go (see note 63); ga-lin nominalized verb (§ 73).

<sup>11</sup> Absolute form i'yaen SKY; -eti allative.

<sup>72</sup> Stem yiña to FLY UP; ga—lin nominalized verb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Stem initial tila, medial la to move, to go; ga—lin as before.

<sup>74</sup> Belonging to the sky (§ 47).

<sup>75</sup> Absolute form.

<sup>76</sup> Subjective as instrumental (§§ 37, 92).

<sup>7</sup> Stem aip to stop up, close; ga-lin as before, here with ablaut.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Stem inla (Ch. int) to throw; ga-lin nominalized verb.

<sup>79</sup> Stem mal GOOD.

<sup>80</sup> Stem yait (allative of ya House) to go home.

<sup>81</sup> Stem yIqu, medial nqu to come loose, to come out (like a plug out of a hole).

<sup>82</sup> Stems yaya- HOUSE; -čIku INSIDE; -iII ALLATIVE, with terminal nasalization.

<sup>83</sup> plu small; n—qin (§ 49)  $ma'y(I)\tilde{n}$  large.

<sup>84</sup> Stem yIl, medial yll to GIVE; geiliķin instead of gai'liķin irregular.

<sup>\*</sup> panina before, former; absolute form pa'nin; adverb pa'nena AGAIN, ANOTHER TIME; ill ALLATIVE, with terminal nasalization.

<sup>\*\*</sup> ma'l-kit well, all right (Ch. met'-ki'lkit, met'-ki'it somehow); mal (Ch. meč, mel) see § 113.10 and 11; (Ch. ki'lkit, adverb, a little).

<sup>87</sup> yIp, medial-np to stick into, to stuff into; ga-lin with ablaut.

<sup>68</sup> Subjective as instrumental (§§ 87, 92); stem tala to STRIKE.

gata'lalen,  $^{88}$  ña'nyen  $^{33}$  a'čın  $^{66}$  e  $^{\epsilon}$ e'tıñ  $^{71}$  gani'ñlalin,  $^{78}$  gŭ'mlañ ä  $^{\epsilon}$ läla  $^{89}$  he struck it, that fat to the sky he threw it, again with snow ga  $^{\epsilon}$ 'lmelin  $^{90}$  qoqlo'wičñın;  $^{91}$  qo'npŭ gama'lalen.  $^{92}$ 

altogether it became better. the hole; he shoveled Gala'lin; 73 ña'nyen 33 Valvı'mtıl an aqa'nn u 93 ga'ččılin. 94 to hate they had. At Miti's that Raven-Man He came; eñyei'ña vaga'lekin,96 newñivo'ykin22 Valvi'mtilaen, "Meñqañqa'če97 he was sitting, she said to Raven-Man, enñ'ivo'ykin,49 nime' aflatčiñvo'ykin?"98—"Mi'qun,99 ui'ña yu'laq 100 thou smellest of "Why, it happens to thee, quite not excrement?"—

akle'woka 101 tına 102 tına 102 Çew nivo'len 103 'i'in ač, ga'lqata! 103 Ui'na without bread I have been." She said, "Enough, go away! Not mi'qun amalatva'tča 104 i'tı! 105 Ça'lqaLin. 105 Piči'qala 104 nak 108 nak 108 nayen 105 i'qala 104 nak 105

Yini'a-ñawgut gama'talen. 106
Yini'a-ñawgut married.

Yini'a-ñawgut gamuqai'ulin. 108 galai'ulin,107 inva'wut Valvı′mtıla<sup>ε</sup>n Toq, Oh, Raven-Man summer came, it was raining. then ganae'Len.111 gaya'luplin.110 ti'ykıtiy 109 Qači'n nıki'ta qo'npŭ So it became. took in mouth. night altogether the sun qaimŭ'ge!"112-"Me'ñqač mi'qun "Čan'ai', Va€'yuk gewñivo'len,56 fetch water!" - "How "Čan ai'. Then they said, mai'mīk ? " 113 gewñivo'len,58 Va€'yuk "Me'ñqan nime' miti-"Why let me draw water?" Then they said, quite Vaε'yuk missaviε'yala." 115 Ģa'lqaĻin 70 qaičayiči'ña, 116 pa<sup>e</sup>lai'kınen. 114 Then we shall die." She went groping, are thirsty. ga'ñvilin,118 gañvo'len 119 wŭs'qŭ'mčiku,117 va€′yuk **E**nña<sup>ε</sup>′an she began she stopped, in the dark,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Subjective as instrumental; absolute form ä<sup>ε</sup>'läl, a<sup>ε</sup>la<sup>ε</sup>l

<sup>90</sup> Stem aclme.

<sup>91</sup> Absolute form as object.

<sup>92</sup> Stem mal GOOD.

<sup>93</sup> aqa'nn·in hate, stems probably aeq BAD, a'n·nin anger; designative form in -u (§ 94).

<sup>94</sup> See § 114.4.

<sup>95</sup> Locative form (§ 42).

<sup>96</sup> Stem vagal, medical tvagal TO SIT DOWN.

<sup>97</sup> Stem mik where; -qača near (§ 101.26).

<sup>98</sup> Stem at Excrement; -tc to smell of.

<sup>99</sup> Stem mik (§ 58, p. 726).

<sup>100</sup> Stem yu! Long; -aq adverbial suffix (§ 112, 79).

<sup>101</sup> a-ka negation (§ 114, 4).

<sup>102</sup> Stem nal TO BECOME (§ 77).

<sup>103</sup> Verbal noun in -a used as imperative (§ 95, p. 787).

<sup>104</sup> From a-ka negation (§ 114, 4); mal GOOD; tva TO BE.

<sup>105</sup> Stem it TO BE (§ 75).

<sup>106</sup> Stem mata to take, to marry.

<sup>107</sup> ala summer; -yu suffix. phenomena of nature (§ 110.71).

<sup>108</sup> muqa RAIN; -yu as in note 107.

<sup>109</sup> Reduplicated absolute form (§ 29).

<sup>110</sup> yalu to chew; yop to put on (see Note 46); yalup a QUID.

III Stem nal to become (§ 77); ga—lin nominalized verb (§ 73); L < l + l (§ 18).

<sup>112</sup> Stem aim to DRAW WATER; q-ge imperative.

<sup>113</sup> Subjunctive (a), 1st pers. sing.

<sup>114</sup> Derived form, 1st person pl.

<sup>115</sup> Future, without ending -mik (§ 65).

<sup>116</sup> Verbal noun in -a expressing modality (§ 95).

<sup>117</sup> Stems wäs DARKNESS; -čiku INSIDE (§ 101.24); üm is an unusual form of the connective vowel (see § 18, 1): the parallel form wäs qü'mõiku is found in Chukchee.

<sup>118</sup> Stem ñuv, medial ñv To STOP.

<sup>119</sup> Stem  $\bar{n}vo$  to begin.

Yini'a-ña'wgut

E'wañ,8 "I'min qai-vai'amti 121 alña'we ye." 122 gıya'pčak. 120 Vaevuk She said, "All the little rivers singing. are stingy." gañvo'len 119 Enkai't1 124 vai'ampil1ñ, 125 čilala'tik.126 gani'kalin 123 it did so to that place a small river began bubbling. milh-u'kkam, 128 yaite'tI 129 ga'lqaLin, 70 milh-u'kkam 128 a Russian vessel, to the house ga'lqaLin, 70 milh-u'kkam 128 Gayı'ččalin 127 She filled gemtei'pɪlin; 130 qla'wul gala'lin. 73 Gapkau'len, 131 e'wañ, 8 "Gumna'n, 9 she carried on her a man She could not he said. came. (carry), gumna'n mi'mtin." 132 Gayai'tılen 80 wŭs 'qŭ'mčıku.117 Na'nven 33 will carry it." She came home Gewñivo'len, 56 "Eni'n "That one in the dark. galımñena'len 133 vai'am. "Eni'n ma'ki?" E'wañ, who?" followed the river. He said, Gŭmna'n 9 yai'vaču 135 ti'tčin 136 Ena'n "Gŭ'mma 35 Vaiamenai'-gŭm. 134 am the River. pity had gıya'pčalaen." 137 Gañvo'len 119 ñawa'kak 10 kıtai'ñak.138 Ña'nyen 33 singer." They began daughter scolding. That one Vaia'mınak 28 gama'talen. 106 married her. To, va yuk qo'npu wus qu'meiku 117 va nvolai ke. 139 Gew nivo'len 56 Oh, then altogether in the dark they remained. He was told Vai'am, "Me'ñqañ 97 niki'ta 140 mititvañ volai'kin ?" 141 E'wañ, 8 "Men'qañ 97 in the night we remain?" "Why He said, gai'pilen,144 ganto'len,145 ayi'mi'qun?" Lawtıkı'lčıčñın 142 vi'tvitin 143 indeed?" he put on, Head-band of ringed seal thong he went out gato'mwalen. 148 gaqayıčhilan nivo'len; vantıge'nın 147  $\mathrm{Va}^{arepsilon\prime}$ kvan least a small light began to be; dawn "Me'ñqañ 97 gewñivo'len,56 mi'ntin? Yini'a-ña'wgut vuk

120 Stem giyapča; verbal noun, locative form (§ 95, p. 785).

shall we do it?"

"How

they talked,

<sup>121</sup> qai- SMALL, related to Chukchee suffix -qāi (§ 98.4); -nti, after terminal m of vaiam, -ti dual (§ 34).

<sup>122 3</sup>d pers. dual (§ 65) instead of plural; stem aln stingy.

<sup>123</sup> nika something (§ 60); ga—lin verbalized.

<sup>124 -</sup>it1 allative (§ 58).

<sup>125</sup> Diminutive iu -pil, absolute form in -Iñ (§ 30).

<sup>126</sup> Stem čilal+at to bubble; verbal noun, in -k, dependent on nvo to begin (see notes 138, 150).

<sup>127</sup> Stem yičč to be full.

<sup>128</sup> milh strike-a-light, fire (see Publ. Jesup Exp. Vol. VII, p. 18); u'kkäm vessel.

<sup>129</sup> yait to GO HOME (see § 95); verbal noun allative.

<sup>120</sup> Stem imt, imti to CARRY; -yop to PUT to; ga--lin with ablaut.

<sup>131</sup> Stem pkau TO BE UNABLE.

<sup>132</sup> Stem int to Carry; subjunctive (a) 1st pers. sing. subject, 3d pers. sing. object.

<sup>133</sup> Stem limnena TO FOLLOW.

<sup>134</sup> Stem vaiam RIVER; -ena suffix for living being; nominalized verb, 1st pers. sing. (§ 73).

<sup>136</sup> yai'vač to have pity with; designative in -u (§ 94).

<sup>136</sup> t-In I-HIM (§ 65); stem -tč (§ 79).

<sup>137</sup> Compare note 120.

<sup>138</sup> Verbal noun in -k dependent on ñvo to BEGIN (see notes 126, 150).

<sup>139</sup> Stem tva, in initial position va to be; ñvo to begin; -laike 3d pers. pl., derived form.

<sup>140</sup> Stem niki night; probably verbal noun in  $(t)\ddot{a}$ , although the verb has usually the suffix yu expressing phenomena of nature. (Ch. niki—ru'—rkin Night comes).

<sup>141</sup> Stem tva TO BE; 1st pers. pl., derived form.

<sup>142</sup> Compound noun lawt HEAD, ki'lčičnin band (from kilt to tie (§§ 53; 106.44). .

<sup>143</sup> Reduplicated form retained in a derived form in -in (§ 29, p. 690, note).

<sup>144</sup> Stem yop to PUT ON.

<sup>145</sup> Stem nto, in initial position nIto to GO OUT.

<sup>146</sup> qai- small; ich to dawn, to light fivo to begin; ga-lin nominalized verb.

<sup>147</sup> Stem vant to DAWN; ge'nīn (§ 106.44).

<sup>148</sup> tomwa TO BE CREATED.

<sup>149</sup> Stem yt, in medial position nt TO DO, MAKE (§ 80).

tenma'witčuk, 150 Valvımtıla yıkıñ 151 gala'lin. 73 gañvo'len119 preparing, to Raven-Man she reached. hegan va'ykın?"152 Va'čvi-ña'ut 153 e'wañ, "'Va'ykın." Valvı′mtıla<sup>¢</sup>n Raven-Woman Raven-Man is staying?" said, Gewñivo'len Valvi'mtila<sup>e</sup>n, "As soo' qati', 154 qo'npŭ a'lva titva'ñvok." He was told Raven-Man, "Since you left, altogether wrong I was." Gayo<sup>€</sup>′olen 156 Valvi'mtilaen, gewñivo'len, "Gi'ssa 157 he was told."Thou qa'čik he was told, She found Raven-Man, "Thou really al'va a'tvaka?48 Qe'nñivo?"158 Qa'pten159 gayı'ltilen,160 yai'na<sup>101</sup> Wilt thou stay so?" The back he turned, to the front Va<sup>ε</sup>′yuk Ģŭ'mlañ qa'pten li'ykın. 163 gañvo'len 119 she turned him. the back he turned. Then she began Again yıyıgıčha'wik,165 gačečheñqatviñvo'len;166 čičhi'ñīk 104 čake'ta 167 tickling, putting her hands in his armpits; in the armpits the sister matter with thee? Enkai'tI<sup>124</sup> gañvo'len, 119 ''Gm, gm, gm!" Qo'yIñ 170 yIleñvo'ykInen. 171 there to he began, ''Gm, gm, gm!" To the other side she turned him. Va<sup>e</sup>'yuk gaktača'čhalen, <sup>172</sup> ''Ga, ga, ga!" Ti'ykıtiy <sup>109</sup> gače'pñıtolen, <sup>173</sup> he laughed aloud, "Ga, ga, ga!" The sun

gečha'Len. 176

it became light.

ga'plin, 175

to the sky it fastened itself, altogether

i'ya<sup>e</sup>g<sup>174</sup>

qo'npŭ

<sup>150</sup> Stem tenm to prepare; verbal noun in -k dependent on ñvo to begin (see notes 126, 138); -tču intensity of action.

<sup>151 -</sup>yıkıñ a personal allative form (see §§ 41 and 44).

<sup>162</sup> Derived form of stem tva, in initial position va to BE.

<sup>163</sup> Va'čvi < Valvi (§§ 16.3; 122).

<sup>154</sup> Stem laat to Walk, in initial position gat; 2d pers. sing.

<sup>155</sup> Stems tva-ñvo to be-to begin, ti-k 1st pers. sing.

<sup>156</sup> Stem yo⁵ TO VISIT.

<sup>157</sup> Absolute form (§ 56).

<sup>158</sup> Stem it to be  $\bar{n}$  Ivo to begin; q(u)—(§ 68), no personal ending.

<sup>159</sup> Absolute form.

<sup>160</sup> yIlt TO TURN; ga-lin nominalized verb.

<sup>161</sup> yaina TO MEET; adverbial: face to face.

<sup>162</sup> yI causative; li to turn; derived form, HE-HIM.

<sup>163</sup> Stem li to turn; derived form.

<sup>164</sup> Locative.

<sup>165</sup> yı — aw causative; yıgıčh to itch.

<sup>166</sup> Stems čičhiñi armpits; qatv to put in; -ñvo to begin.

<sup>167</sup> Subjective as subject of transitive verb.

<sup>168</sup> yaq WHAT; predicative form (§ 82); second person (§ 68).

<sup>169</sup> mal GOOD; ñaw +Itqat WOMAN; here subjective.

<sup>170</sup> qo'yıñ allative of qoyo'. (See § 43, p. 705.)

 $<sup>^{171}\,\</sup>mathrm{See}$  note 162; the same form with added  $\bar{n}vo$  to begin.

<sup>172</sup> Prefix kt—VERY (§ 113.22); ačačhat to LAUGH; ga——lin with ablaut.

 $<sup>^{173}\,\</sup>mathrm{Stem}\ lilep,\ \mathrm{medial}\ \text{$\rlap/{\text{le}p}$; for $\rlap/{\text{c}ep}$, see § 16.3; 122. $\rlap/{n}$ Ito to go out.}$ 

<sup>174</sup> Locative (see note 71).

<sup>175</sup> Stem ap to fasten itself.

<sup>176</sup> Stem ¿čh, Ičh to DAWN (see note 146).

